

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016
or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-11727

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 73-1493906
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

8111 Westchester Drive, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75225

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)
(214) 981-0700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At July 29, 2016, the registrant had 523,519,262 Common Units outstanding.

Table of Contents

FORM 10-Q

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheets 1

Consolidated Statements of Operations 3

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income 4

Consolidated Statement of Equity 5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows 6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 7

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 31

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK 48

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES 50

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS 51

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS 51

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS 52

SIGNATURE 53

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this report, excluding historical information, as well as some statements by Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (the “Partnership,” or “ETP”) in periodic press releases and some oral statements of the Partnership’s officials during presentations about the Partnership, include forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Statements using words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “intend,” “project,” “plan,” “expect,” “continue,” “estimate,” “goal,” “may,” “will” or similar expressions help identify forward-looking statements. Although the Partnership and its general partner believe such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions and current expectations and projections about future events, no assurance can be given that such assumptions, expectations, or projections will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Partnership’s actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, projected or expected, forecasted, estimated or expressed in forward-looking statements since many of the factors that determine these results are subject to uncertainties and risks that are difficult to predict and beyond management’s control. For additional discussion of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the Partnership’s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2016.

Definitions

The following is a list of certain acronyms and terms generally used in the energy industry and throughout this document:

/d	per day
AmeriGas	AmeriGas Partners, L.P.
AOCI	accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Bbls	barrels
Btu	British thermal unit, an energy measurement used by gas companies to convert the volume of gas used to its heat equivalent, and thus calculate the actual energy used
Capacity	capacity of a pipeline, processing plant or storage facility refers to the maximum capacity under normal operating conditions and, with respect to pipeline transportation capacity, is subject to multiple factors (including natural gas injections and withdrawals at various delivery points along the pipeline and the utilization of compression) which may reduce the throughput capacity from specified capacity levels
Citrus	Citrus, LLC
CrossCountry	CrossCountry Energy, LLC
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ETC FEP	ETC Fayetteville Express Pipeline, LLC
ETC MEP	ETC Midcontinent Express Pipeline, L.L.C.
ETC OLP	La Grange Acquisition, L.P., which conducts business under the assumed name of Energy Transfer Company

Edgar Filing: Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. - Form 10-Q

ETC Tiger	ETC Tiger Pipeline, LLC
ETE	Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., a publicly traded partnership and the owner of ETP LLC
ET Interstate	Energy Transfer Interstate Holdings, LLC
ET Rover	ET Rover Pipeline LLC
ETP Credit Facility	ETP's \$3.75 billion revolving credit facility
ETP GP	Energy Transfer Partners GP, L.P., the general partner of ETP
ETP Holdco	ETP Holdco Corporation
ETP LLC	Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C., the general partner of ETP GP
Exchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934
FEP	Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Table of Contents

FGT	Florida Gas Transmission Company, LLC
GAAP	accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America
HPC	RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Regency Intrastate Gas LP
IDRs	incentive distribution rights
Lake Charles LNG	Lake Charles LNG Company, LLC (previously named Trunkline LNG Company, LLC), a subsidiary of ETE
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
LNG	liquefied natural gas
Lone Star	Lone Star NGL LLC
MEP	Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC
MMBtu	million British thermal units
MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether
NGL	natural gas liquid, such as propane, butane and natural gasoline
NYMEX	New York Mercantile Exchange
OSHA	federal Occupational Safety and Health Act
OTC	over-the-counter
Panhandle	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP and its subsidiaries
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PES	Philadelphia Energy Solutions, a refining joint venture
PHMSA	Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
Preferred Units	ETP Series A cumulative convertible preferred units
Regency	Regency Energy Partners LP
Retail Holdings	ETP Retail Holdings, LLC, a joint venture between subsidiaries of ETC OLP and Sunoco, Inc.
Sea Robin	Sea Robin Pipeline Company, LLC, a subsidiary of Panhandle
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission

Southern Union Southern Union Company

Sunoco Logistics Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

Sunoco LP Sunoco LP (previously named Susser Petroleum Partners, LP)

Transwestern Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC

Trunkline Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, a subsidiary of Panhandle

Adjusted EBITDA is a term used throughout this document, which we define as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities, non-cash impairment charges, losses on extinguishments of debt, gain on deconsolidation and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for less than wholly-owned subsidiaries based on 100% of the subsidiaries' results of operations and for unconsolidated affiliates based on the Partnership's proportionate ownership.

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$386	\$ 527
Accounts receivable, net	2,542	2,118
Accounts receivable from related companies	354	268
Inventories	1,425	1,213
Derivative assets	35	40
Other current assets	587	532
Total current assets	5,329	4,698
Property, plant and equipment	53,421	50,869
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(6,434)	(5,782)
	46,987	45,087
Advances to and investments in unconsolidated affiliates	5,018	5,003
Non-current derivative assets	18	—
Other non-current assets, net	518	536
Intangible assets, net	4,032	4,421
Goodwill	4,139	5,428
Total assets	\$66,041	\$ 65,173

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

1

Table of ContentsENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$2,493	\$ 1,859
Accounts payable to related companies	21	25
Derivative liabilities	23	63
Accrued and other current liabilities	1,815	2,048
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,007	126
Total current liabilities	5,359	4,121
Long-term debt, less current maturities	27,950	28,553
Long-term notes payable – related companies	182	233
Non-current derivative liabilities	367	137
Deferred income taxes	4,471	4,082
Other non-current liabilities	961	968
Commitments and contingencies		
Series A Preferred Units	33	33
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	15	15
Equity:		
General Partner	234	306
Limited Partners:		
Common Unitholders	16,024	17,043
Class H Unitholder	3,474	3,469
Class I Unitholder	2	14
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(6)) 4
Total partners' capital	19,728	20,836
Noncontrolling interest	6,975	6,195
Total equity	26,703	27,031
Total liabilities and equity	\$66,041	\$ 65,173

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

2

Table of ContentsENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per unit data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
REVENUES:				
Natural gas sales	\$695	\$899	\$1,533	\$1,933
NGL sales	1,150	988	2,090	1,969
Crude sales	1,713	2,680	2,923	4,888
Gathering, transportation and other fees	1,045	980	2,005	1,973
Refined product sales (see Note 2)	234	4,434	479	8,090
Other (see Note 2)	452	1,559	740	3,013
Total revenues	5,289	11,540	9,770	21,866
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of products sold (see Note 2)	3,630	9,354	6,598	17,850
Operating expenses (see Note 2)	374	635	722	1,245
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	496	501	966	980
Selling, general and administrative (see Note 2)	74	162	155	295
Total costs and expenses	4,574	10,652	8,441	20,370
OPERATING INCOME	715	888	1,329	1,496
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest expense, net	(317)	(336)	(636)	(646)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	119	117	195	174
Losses on extinguishments of debt	—	(33)	—	(33)
Gains (losses) on interest rate derivatives	(81)	127	(151)	50
Other, net	27	17	44	24
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX BENEFIT	463	780	781	1,065
Income tax benefit	(9)	(59)	(67)	(42)
NET INCOME	472	839	848	1,107
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	102	212	167	206
Less: Net loss attributable to predecessor	—	(27)	—	(34)
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTNERS	370	654	681	935
General Partner's interest in net income	223	260	520	502
Class H Unitholder's interest in net income	85	64	164	118
Class I Unitholder's interest in net income	2	32	4	65
Common Unitholders' interest in net income (loss)	\$60	\$298	\$(7)	\$250
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON UNIT:				
Basic	\$0.10	\$0.67	\$(0.05)	\$0.63
Diluted	\$0.10	\$0.67	\$(0.05)	\$0.63

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income	\$472	\$839	\$848	\$1,107
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Change in value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges	—	—	—	1
Change in value of available-for-sale securities	3	(1)	5	—
Actuarial gain (loss) relating to pension and other postretirement benefit plans	6	—	(3)	45
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	(1)	(2)
Change in other comprehensive income from unconsolidated affiliates	(5)	—	(11)	(2)
	4	(1)	(10)	42
Comprehensive income	476	838	838	1,149
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	102	212	167	206
Less: Comprehensive loss attributable to predecessor	—	(27)	—	(34)
Comprehensive income attributable to partners	\$374	\$653	\$671	\$977

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of ContentsENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	Limited Partners				Class I Units	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
	General Partner	Common Units	Class H Units					
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ 306	\$17,043	\$3,469	\$ 14	\$ 4	\$ 6,195	\$27,031	
Distributions to partners	(592)	(1,046)	(159)	(16)	—	—	(1,813)	
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	(209)	(209)	
Units issued for cash	—	408	—	—	—	—	408	
Subsidiary units issued for cash	—	14	—	—	—	653	667	
Capital contributions from noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	161	161	
Sunoco, Inc. retail business to Sunoco LP transaction	—	(405)	—	—	—	—	(405)	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(10)	—	(10)	
Other, net	—	17	—	—	—	8	25	
Net income (loss)	520	(7)	164	4	—	167	848	
Balance, June 30, 2016	\$ 234	\$16,024	\$3,474	\$ 2	\$ (6)	\$ 6,975	\$26,703	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of ContentsENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		
	2016		2015
OPERATING			
ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$	848	\$ 1,107
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	966		980
Deferred income taxes	(79)	79
Amortization included in interest expense	(12)	(21
Inventory valuation adjustments	(106)	(150
Unit-based compensation expense	38		43
Losses on extinguishments of debt	—		33
Distributions on unvested awards	(13)	(7
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(195)	(174
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates	199		162
Other non-cash	(124)	19
Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition	(96)	(938
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,426		1,133
INVESTING			
ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from the Sunoco, Inc. retail business to Sunoco LP transaction	2,200		—
Proceeds from Bakken Pipeline Transaction	—		980
Proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest	—		64
Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling	—		(129

Edgar Filing: Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. - Form 10-Q

interest			
Cash paid for all other acquisitions	—		(475)
Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction	(3,479))	(4,143)
Contributions in aid of construction costs	25		12
Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates	(31))	(43)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings	56		64
Proceeds from the sale of assets	7		15
Change in restricted cash	(2))	8
Other	(1))	(9)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,225))	(3,656)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from borrowings	7,811		12,494
Repayments of long-term debt	(7,514))	(9,386)
Proceeds from borrowings from affiliates	147		—
Units issued for cash	408		724
Subsidiary units issued for cash	667		1,013
Predecessor units issued for cash	—		34
Capital contributions from noncontrolling interest	161		398
Distributions to partners	(1,813))	(1,384)
Predecessor distributions to partners	—		(202)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(209))	(165)
Debt issuance costs	—		(50)
Other	—		(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(342))	3,475
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(141))	952
	527		663

Cash and cash
equivalents, beginning of
period

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	386	\$	1,615
------------------------------------------------	----	-----	----	-------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Tabular dollar and unit amounts, except per unit data, are in millions)

(unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership, and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Partnership,” “we,” “us,” “our” or “ETP”) are managed by our general partner, ETP GP, which is in turn managed by its general partner, ETP LLC. ETE, a publicly traded master limited partnership, owns ETP LLC. The consolidated financial statements of the Partnership presented herein include our operating subsidiaries described below.

Our activities are primarily conducted through our operating subsidiaries (collectively, the “Operating Companies”) as follows:

ETC OLP, a Texas limited partnership primarily engaged in midstream and intrastate transportation and storage natural gas operations. ETC OLP owns and operates, through its wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries, natural gas gathering systems, intrastate natural gas pipeline systems and gas processing plants and is engaged in the business of purchasing, gathering, transporting, processing, and marketing natural gas and NGLs in the states of Texas, Louisiana, New Mexico and West Virginia.

ET Interstate, a Delaware limited liability company with revenues consisting primarily of fees earned from natural gas transportation services and operational gas sales. ET Interstate is the parent company of:

Transwestern, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in interstate transportation of natural gas. Transwestern’s revenues consist primarily of fees earned from natural gas transportation services and operational gas sales.

ETC FEP, a Delaware limited liability company that directly owns a 50% interest in FEP, which owns 100% of the Fayetteville Express interstate natural gas pipeline.

ETC Tiger, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in interstate transportation of natural gas.

CrossCountry, a Delaware limited liability company that indirectly owns a 50% interest in Citrus, which owns 100% of the FGT interstate natural gas pipeline.

ETC MEP, a Delaware limited liability company that directly owns a 50% interest in MEP.

ETC Compression, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in natural gas compression services and related equipment sales.

ETP Holdco, a Delaware limited liability company that indirectly owns Panhandle and Sunoco, Inc. Panhandle owns and operates assets in the regulated and unregulated natural gas industry and is primarily engaged in the transportation and storage of natural gas in the United States. Sunoco, Inc. owned and operated retail marketing assets, which were contributed to Sunoco LP in March 2016, as discussed in Note 2. Subsequent to this transaction, Sunoco Inc.’s assets primarily consist of its ownership in Retail Holdings, which owns noncontrolling interests in Sunoco LP and PES.

Sunoco Logistics, a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership that owns and operates a logistics business, consisting of a geographically diverse portfolio of complementary crude oil, NGLs, and refined products pipeline, terminalling, and acquisition and marketing assets which are used to facilitate the purchase and sale of crude oil, NGLs and refined products.

Effective July 1, 2015, ETE acquired 100% of the membership interests of Sunoco GP LLC, the general partner of Sunoco LP, and all of the IDR of Sunoco LP from ETP, and in exchange, ETE transferred to ETP 21 million ETP common units. These operations were reported within the retail marketing segment. In connection with this transaction, the Partnership deconsolidated Sunoco LP, and its remaining investment in Sunoco LP is accounted for under the equity method. Additionally, in March 2016 and as discussed in Note 2, ETP contributed to Sunoco LP its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business effective January 1, 2016.

Our financial statements reflect the following reportable business segments:

- intrastate transportation and storage;
- interstate transportation and storage;

- midstream;
- liquids transportation and services;

7

Table of Contents

- investment in Sunoco Logistics;
- retail marketing; and
- all other.

Basis of Presentation

The unaudited financial information included in this Form 10-Q has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. In the opinion of the Partnership's management, such financial information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for such interim periods in accordance with GAAP. All intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on net income or total equity.

Merger with Regency. On April 30, 2015, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership merged with Regency, with Regency surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership (the "Regency Merger"). The Regency Merger was a combination of entities under common control; therefore, Regency's assets and liabilities were not adjusted. The Partnership's consolidated financial statements have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect consolidation of Regency for all prior periods subsequent to May 26, 2010 (the date ETE acquired Regency's general partner).

Use of Estimates

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which includes the use of estimates and assumptions made by management that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities that exist at the date of the consolidated financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's available knowledge of current and expected future events, actual results could be different from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue based on the core principle that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date of ASU 2014-09, which is now effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within those annual periods. ASU 2014-09 can be adopted either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that adopting this new accounting standard will have on our revenue recognition policies.

In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis ("ASU 2015-02"), which changed the requirements for consolidations analysis. Under ASU 2015-02, reporting entities are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. The Partnership adopted this standard on January 1, 2016, and the adoption did not impact the Partnership's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02"), which establishes the principles that lessees and lessors shall apply to report useful information to users of financial statements about the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from a lease. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that adopting this new standard will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09, Stock Compensation (Topic 718) ("ASU 2016-09"). The objective of the update is to reduce complexity in accounting standards. The areas for simplification in

this update involve several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including the income tax

8

Table of Contents

consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. In addition, the amendments in this update eliminate the guidance in Topic 718 that was indefinitely deferred shortly after the issuance of FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact that it will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

2. CONTRIBUTION TRANSACTION**Sunoco Retail to Sunoco LP**

In March 2016, ETP contributed to Sunoco LP its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for \$2.23 billion. Sunoco LP paid \$2.20 billion in cash, including a working capital adjustment, and issued 5.7 million Sunoco LP common units to Retail Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership. The transaction was effective January 1, 2016. In connection with this transaction, the Partnership deconsolidated the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business, including goodwill of \$1.29 billion and intangible assets of \$294 million. The results of Sunoco, LLC and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business' operations have not been presented as discontinued operations and Sunoco, Inc.'s retail business assets and liabilities have not been presented as held for sale in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements.

Impact of Contribution Transactions

Following is a summary of amounts reflected in ETP's consolidated statements of operations related to Sunoco, LLC and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business, which operations are no longer consolidated for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015
Revenues	\$ 5,537	\$ 10,342
Cost of products sold	5,003	9,370
Operating expenses	281	552
Selling, general and administrative expenses	58	93

3. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash on hand, demand deposits, and investments with original maturities of three months or less. We consider cash equivalents to include short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and that are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

We place our cash deposits and temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions. At times, our cash and cash equivalents may be uninsured or in deposit accounts that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limit.

Table of Contents

The net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition, included in cash flows from operating activities is comprised as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Accounts receivable	\$(471)	\$82
Accounts receivable from related companies	(129)	(53)
Inventories	(157)	(252)
Other current assets	(53)	(110)
Other non-current assets, net	8	99
Accounts payable	509	(333)
Accounts payable to related companies	21	(262)
Accrued and other current liabilities	(22)	(169)
Other non-current liabilities	20	30
Derivative assets and liabilities, net	178	30
Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition	\$(96)	\$(938)

Non-cash investing and financing activities are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Accrued capital expenditures	\$861	\$693
Sunoco LP limited partner interest received in exchange for contribution of the Sunoco, Inc. retail business to Sunoco LP	194	—
Net gains from subsidiary common unit issuances	14	102
NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Issuance of common units in connection with the Regency Merger	\$—	\$9,250
Issuance of Class H Units in connection with the Bakken Pipeline Transaction	—	1,946
Redemption of common units in connection with the Bakken Pipeline Transaction	—	999

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	June 30, December 31,	
	2016	2015
Natural gas and NGLs	\$ 552	\$ 415
Crude oil	564	424
Refined products	106	104
Other	203	270
Total inventories	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,213

We utilize commodity derivatives to manage price volatility associated with our natural gas inventories stored in our Bammel storage facility. Changes in fair value of designated hedged inventory are recorded in inventory on our consolidated balance sheets and cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

5. FAIR VALUE MEASURES

Based on the estimated borrowing rates currently available to us and our subsidiaries for loans with similar terms and average maturities, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations as of June 30, 2016 was \$29.25 billion and \$28.96 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations was \$25.71 billion and \$28.68 billion, respectively. The fair value of our consolidated debt obligations is a Level 2 valuation based on the observable inputs used for similar liabilities.

We have commodity derivatives, interest rate derivatives and embedded derivatives in the Preferred Units that are accounted for as assets and liabilities at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. We determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurement by using the highest possible “level” of inputs. Level 1 inputs are observable quotes in an active market for identical assets and liabilities. We consider the valuation of marketable securities and commodity derivatives transacted through a clearing broker with a published price from the appropriate exchange as a Level 1 valuation. Level 2 inputs are inputs observable for similar assets and liabilities. We consider OTC commodity derivatives entered into directly with third parties as a Level 2 valuation since the values of these derivatives are quoted on an exchange for similar transactions. Additionally, we consider our options transacted through our clearing broker as having Level 2 inputs due to the level of activity of these contracts on the exchange in which they trade. We consider the valuation of our interest rate derivatives as Level 2 as the primary input, the LIBOR curve, is based on quotes from an active exchange of Eurodollar futures for the same period as the future interest swap settlements. Level 3 inputs are unobservable. Derivatives related to the embedded derivatives in our preferred units are valued using a binomial lattice model. The market inputs utilized in the model include credit spread, probabilities of the occurrence of certain events, common unit price, dividend yield, and expected value, and are considered Level 3. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, no transfers were made between any levels within the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 based on inputs used to derive their fair values:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016			
	Fair Value Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Interest rate derivatives	\$29	\$ —	\$ 29	\$ —
Commodity derivatives:				
Natural Gas:				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	18	18	—	—
Swing Swaps IFERC	6	—	6	—
Fixed Swaps/Futures	41	41	—	—
Forward Physical Swaps	4	—	4	—
Power:				
Forwards	30	—	30	—
Options – Calls	3	3	—	—
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	76	76	—	—
Refined Products – Futures	1	1	—	—
Crude – Futures	5	5	—	—
Total commodity derivatives	184	144	40	—
Total assets	\$213	\$ 144	\$ 69	\$ —
Liabilities:				
Interest rate derivatives	\$(358)	\$ —	\$(358)	\$ —
Embedded derivatives in the Preferred Units	(9)	—	—	(9)
Commodity derivatives:				
Natural Gas:				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(17)	(17)	—	—
Swing Swaps IFERC	(6)	(1)	(5)	—
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(64)	(64)	—	—
Forward Physical Swaps	(2)	—	(2)	—
Power:				
Forwards	(28)	—	(28)	—
Futures	(1)	(1)	—	—
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	(88)	(88)	—	—
Refined Products – Futures	(9)	(9)	—	—
Crude – Futures	(7)	(7)	—	—
Total commodity derivatives	(222)	(187)	(35)	—
Total liabilities	\$(589)	\$(187)	\$(393)	\$(9)

Table of Contents

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015			
	Fair Value Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Commodity derivatives:				
Natural Gas:				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ —	\$ —
Swing Swaps IFERC	10	2	8	—
Fixed Swaps/Futures	274	274	—	—
Forward Physical Swaps	4	—	4	—
Power:				
Forwards	22	—	22	—
Futures	3	3	—	—
Options – Puts	1	1	—	—
Options – Calls	1	1	—	—
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	99	99	—	—
Refined Products – Futures	9	9	—	—
Crude – Futures	9	9	—	—
Total commodity derivatives	448	414	34	—
Total assets	\$ 448	\$ 414	\$ 34	\$ —
Liabilities:				
Interest rate derivatives	\$ (171)	\$ —	\$ (171)	\$ —
Embedded derivatives in the Preferred Units	(5)	—	—	(5)
Commodity derivatives:				
Natural Gas:				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(16)	(16)	—	—
Swing Swaps IFERC	(12)	(2)	(10)	—
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(203)	(203)	—	—
Power:				
Forwards	(22)	—	(22)	—
Futures	(2)	(2)	—	—
Options – Puts	(1)	(1)	—	—
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	(89)	(89)	—	—
Crude – Futures	(5)	(5)	—	—
Total commodity derivatives	(350)	(318)	(32)	—
Total liabilities	\$ (526)	\$ (318)	\$ (203)	\$ (5)

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for our Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ (5)
Net unrealized gains included in other income (expense)	(4)
Balance, June 30, 2016	\$ (9)

6. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT

Net income for partners' capital and statement of operations presentation purposes is allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their respective partnership percentages, after giving effect to priority income allocations for incentive distributions, if any, to the General Partner, the holder of the IDRs pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, which

Table of Contents

are declared and paid following the close of each quarter. Earnings in excess of distributions are allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners based on their respective ownership interests. Loss attributable to predecessor represents amounts allocated to the former Regency partners and have no impact on net income (loss) per unit for the periods prior to the Regency Merger.

A reconciliation of net income and weighted average units used in computing basic and diluted net income (loss) per unit is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income	\$472	\$839	\$848	\$1,107
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interest	102	212	167	206
Less: Loss attributable to predecessor	—	(27)	—	(34)
Net income, net of noncontrolling interest and predecessor income	370	654	681	935
General Partner's interest in net income	223	260	520	502
Class H Unitholder's interest in net income	85	64	164	118
Class I Unitholder's interest in net income	2	32	4	65
Common Unitholders' interest in net income (loss)	60	298	(7)	250
Additional earnings allocated to General Partner	(3)	(2)	(6)	(4)
Distributions on employee unit awards, net of allocation to General Partner	(5)	(3)	(10)	(7)
Net income (loss) available to Common Unitholders	\$52	\$293	\$(23)	\$239
Weighted average Common Units – basic ⁽¹⁾	501.6	434.8	495.9	379.6
Basic net income (loss) per Common Unit	\$0.10	\$0.67	\$(0.05)	\$0.63
Net income (loss) available to Common Unitholders	\$52	\$293	\$(23)	\$239
Income attributable to Preferred Units	(4)	—	(3)	—
Diluted net income (loss) available to Common Unitholders	\$48	\$293	\$(26)	\$239
Weighted average Common Units – basic ⁽¹⁾	501.6	434.8	495.9	379.6
Dilutive effect of unvested employee unit awards	0.7	1.5	—	1.5
Dilutive effect of Preferred Units	0.4	—	0.4	—
Weighted average Common Units – diluted ⁽¹⁾	502.7	436.3	496.3	381.1
Diluted net income (loss) per Common Unit	\$0.10	\$0.67	\$(0.05)	\$0.63

⁽¹⁾ Excludes Common Units owned by the Partnership's consolidated subsidiaries.

7. DEBT OBLIGATIONS**Credit Facilities****ETP Credit Facility**

The ETP Credit Facility allows for borrowings of up to \$3.75 billion and expires in November 2019. The indebtedness under the ETP Credit Facility is unsecured, is not guaranteed by any of the Partnership's subsidiaries and has equal rights to holders of our current and future unsecured debt. As of June 30, 2016, the ETP Credit Facility had \$1.13 billion of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco Logistics Credit Facilities

Sunoco Logistics maintains a \$2.50 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility"), which matures in March 2020. The Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility contains an accordion feature, under which the total

Table of Contents

aggregate commitment may be increased to \$3.25 billion under certain conditions. As of June 30, 2016, the Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility had \$1.26 billion of outstanding borrowings.

ETP Senior Notes

Subsequent to the Regency Merger in 2015, ETP assumed \$3.80 billion total aggregate principal amount of Regency's senior notes, which remained outstanding as of June 30, 2016. These notes were previously guaranteed by certain consolidated subsidiaries that had previously been consolidated by Regency. The subsidiary guarantees on all of these outstanding notes have been released.

Sunoco Logistics Senior Notes

Sunoco Logistics had \$175 million of 6.125% senior notes which matured and were repaid in May 2016, using borrowings under the \$2.50 billion Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility.

In July 2016, Sunoco Logistics issued \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 3.90% senior notes due in July 2026. The net proceeds from this offering were used to repay outstanding credit facility borrowings and for general partnership purposes.

Bakken Financing

In August 2016, ETP, Sunoco Logistics and Phillips 66 announced the completion of the project-level financing of the Dakota Access Pipeline and Energy Transfer Crude Oil Pipeline projects (collectively, the "Bakken Pipeline"). The \$2.50 billion facility is anticipated to provide substantially all of the remaining capital necessary to complete the projects.

Compliance with Our Covenants

We were in compliance with all requirements, tests, limitations, and covenants related to our credit agreements as of June 30, 2016.

8. EQUITY

ETP

The changes in outstanding common units during the six months ended June 30, 2016 were as follows:

	Number of Units
Number of common units at December 31, 2015	505.6
Common units issued in connection with equity distribution agreements	11.2
Common units issued in connection with the distribution reinvestment plan	3.1
Number of common units at June 30, 2016	519.9

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Partnership received proceeds of \$324 million, net of \$3 million commissions, from the issuance of common units pursuant to equity distribution agreements, which were used for general partnership purposes. As of June 30, 2016, none of the Partnership's common units were available to be issued under an equity distribution agreement. In July 2016, the Partnership entered into an equity distribution agreement with an aggregate offering price up to \$1.50 billion.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, distributions of \$84 million were reinvested under the distribution reinvestment plan. As of June 30, 2016, a total of 8.4 million common units remain available to be issued under the existing registration statement in connection with the distribution reinvestment plan.

Sunoco Logistics

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, Sunoco Logistics received proceeds of \$667 million, net of \$7 million commissions and fees, from the issuance of Sunoco Logistics common units pursuant to equity distribution agreements, which were used for general partnership purposes. As a result of Sunoco Logistics' issuances of common units during the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Partnership recognized increases in partners' capital of \$14 million.

Table of Contents**Bakken Equity Sale**

In August 2016, ETP and Sunoco Logistics announced they have signed an agreement to sell 36.75% of the Bakken Pipeline project to MarEn Bakken Company LLC, an entity jointly owned by Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. and Marathon Petroleum Corporation, for \$2.00 billion in cash. The sale is expected to close in the third quarter of 2016, subject to certain closing conditions. ETP and Sunoco Logistics will receive \$1.20 billion and \$800 million in cash at closing, respectively, and will own a combined 38.25% of the Bakken Pipeline project.

Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by the Partnership subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 16, 2016	\$1.0550
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 16, 2016	1.0550
June 30, 2016	August 8, 2016	August 15, 2016	1.0550

In July 2016, ETE agreed to relinquish incentive distributions over seven quarters, beginning with \$75 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2016. ETE has also previously agreed to relinquish additional incentive distributions. In the aggregate, including relinquishment agreed to in July 2016, ETE has agreed to relinquish its right to the following amounts of incentive distributions in future periods, including distributions on Class I Units.

	Total Year
2016 (remainder)	\$ 249
2017	593
2018	105
2019	95

Sunoco Logistics Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco Logistics subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 12, 2016	\$0.4790
March 31, 2016	May 9, 2016	May 13, 2016	0.4890
June 30, 2016	August 8, 2016	August 12, 2016	0.5000

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table presents the components of AOCI, net of tax:

	June 30, December 31,	
	2016	2015
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 5	\$ —
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(5)	(4)
Actuarial gain related to pensions and other postretirement benefits	5	8
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates, net	(11)	—
Total AOCI, net of tax	\$ (6)	\$ 4

9. INCOME TAXES

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Partnership's income tax benefit primarily resulted from losses among the Partnership's consolidated corporate subsidiaries. Also, for the three months ended June 30, 2015, the

Table of Contents

Partnership income tax expense was favorably impacted by \$11 million due to a reduction in the statutory Texas franchise tax rate which was enacted by the Texas legislature during the second quarter of 2015.

10. REGULATORY MATTERS, COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES

Contingent Residual Support Agreement – AmeriGas

In connection with the closing of the contribution of its propane operations in January 2012, ETP agreed to provide contingent, residual support of \$1.55 billion of intercompany borrowings made by AmeriGas and certain of its affiliates with maturities through 2022 from a finance subsidiary of AmeriGas that have maturity dates and repayment terms that mirror those of an equal principal amount of senior notes issued by this finance company subsidiary to third party purchasers. In June 2016, AmeriGas repurchased certain of its senior notes, which caused a reduction in the amount supported by ETP under the contingent residual support agreement. As of June 30, 2016, ETP continued to provide contingent, residual support of approximately \$1 billion of borrowings.

ETP Retail Holdings Guarantee of Sunoco LP Notes

Retail Holdings has provided a guarantee of collection, but not of payment, to Sunoco LP with respect to (i) \$800 million principal amount of 6.375% senior notes due 2023 issued by Sunoco LP, (ii) \$800 million principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due 2021 issued by Sunoco LP and (iii) \$2.035 billion aggregate principal for Sunoco LP's term loan due 2019.

NGL Pipeline Regulation

We have interests in NGL pipelines located in Texas and New Mexico. We commenced the interstate transportation of NGLs in 2013, which is subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act (“ICA”) and the Energy Policy Act of 1992. Under the ICA, tariff rates must be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory and pipelines may not confer any undue preference. The tariff rates established for interstate services were based on a negotiated agreement; however, the FERC's rate-making methodologies may limit our ability to set rates based on our actual costs, may delay or limit the use of rates that reflect increased costs and may subject us to potentially burdensome and expensive operational, reporting and other requirements. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business, revenues and cash flow.

FERC Audit

In March 2016, the FERC commenced an audit of Trunkline for the period from January 1, 2013 to present to evaluate Trunkline's compliance with the requirements of its FERC gas tariff, the accounting regulations of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by the FERC, and the FERC's annual reporting requirements. The audit is ongoing.

Commitments

In the normal course of our business, we purchase, process and sell natural gas pursuant to long-term contracts and we enter into long-term transportation and storage agreements. Such contracts contain terms that are customary in the industry. We believe that the terms of these agreements are commercially reasonable and will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We have certain non-cancelable leases for property and equipment, which require fixed monthly rental payments and expire at various dates through 2058. The table below reflects rental expense under these operating leases included in operating expenses in the accompanying statements of operations, which include contingent rentals, and rental expense recovered through related sublease rental income:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014
Rental expense ⁽¹⁾	\$21	\$54	\$39	\$106
Less: Sublease rental income	—	(4)	—	(12)
Rental expense, net	\$21	\$50	\$39	\$94

(1) Includes contingent rentals totaling \$6 million and \$10 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Our joint venture agreements require that we fund our proportionate share of capital contributions to our unconsolidated affiliates. Such contributions will depend upon our unconsolidated affiliates' capital requirements, such as for funding capital projects or repayment of long-term obligations.

Litigation and Contingencies

We may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. Natural gas and crude oil are flammable and combustible. Serious personal injury and significant property damage can arise in connection with their transportation, storage or use. In the ordinary course of business, we are sometimes threatened with or named as a defendant in various lawsuits seeking actual and punitive damages for product liability, personal injury and property damage. We maintain liability insurance with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles management believes are reasonable and prudent, and which are generally accepted in the industry. However, there can be no assurance that the levels of insurance protection currently in effect will continue to be available at reasonable prices or that such levels will remain adequate to protect us from material expenses related to product liability, personal injury or property damage in the future.

Mont Belvieu Incident

On June 26, 2016, a subsurface release of hydrocarbons and water, and a subsequent fire, occurred at Lone Star's South Terminal. All employees and contractors were accounted for, and there were no injuries. The cause of the fire and evaluation of possible damages is currently under investigation.

MTBE Litigation

Sunoco, Inc., along with other refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, is a defendant in lawsuits alleging MTBE contamination of groundwater. The plaintiffs typically include water purveyors and municipalities responsible for supplying drinking water and governmental authorities. The plaintiffs primarily assert product liability claims and additional claims including nuisance, trespass, negligence, violation of environmental laws and deceptive business practices. The plaintiffs in all of the cases seek to recover compensatory damages, and in some cases also seek natural resource damages, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

As of June 30, 2016, Sunoco, Inc. is a defendant in five cases, including cases initiated by the States of New Jersey, Vermont, Pennsylvania, and two others by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico with the more recent Puerto Rico action being a companion case alleging damages for additional sites beyond those at issue in the initial Puerto Rico action.

Four of these cases are venued in a multidistrict litigation proceeding in a New York federal court. The New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Vermont, and Pennsylvania cases assert natural resource damage claims.

Fact discovery has concluded with respect to an initial set of 19 sites each that will be the subject of the first trial phase in the New Jersey case and the initial Puerto Rico case. The initial set of 19 New Jersey trial sites are now pending before the United States District Judge for the District of New Jersey, the Hon. Freda L. Wolfson for the pre-trial and trial phases. Judge Wolfson then referred the case to United States Magistrate Judge for the District of New Jersey, the Hon. Lois H. Goodman. Judge Goodman conducted a status conference with all of the parties and inquired whether the parties will engage in a global mediation and instructed the parties to exchange possible mediator names. Sunoco informed Judge Goodman it is open to participating in global settlement discussions in a global mediation forum. The remaining portion of the New Jersey case remains in the MDL. It is reasonably possible that a loss may be realized; however, we are unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued. Management believes that an adverse determination with respect to one or more of the MTBE cases could have a significant impact on results of operations during the period in which any said adverse determination occurs, but does not believe that any such adverse determination would have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's consolidated financial position.

Regency Merger Litigation

Following the January 26, 2015 announcement of the Regency Merger, purported Regency unitholders filed lawsuits in state and federal courts in Dallas and Delaware asserting claims relating to the Regency Merger. All Regency Merger-related lawsuits have been dismissed, although one lawsuit remains pending on appeal. On June 10, 2015, Adrian Dieckman ("Dieckman"), a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges that the Regency Merger breached the Regency partnership agreement because Regency's conflicts committee was not properly formed, and the

Regency Merger was not approved in good faith. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss, and on March 29, 2016, the Delaware court granted Defendants' motion and dismissed the lawsuit. On April 26, 2016, Dieckman filed his Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court of Delaware.

Table of Contents

This appeal is styled *Adrian Dieckman v. Regency GP LP, et al.*, No. 208, 2016, in the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware. Dieckman filed his Opening Brief on June 9, 2016, and Defendants' Answering Brief is currently due on July 29, 2016.

Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC Litigation

On January 27, 2014, a trial commenced between ETP against Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC (collectively, "Enterprise") and Enbridge (US) Inc. Trial resulted in a verdict in favor of ETP against Enterprise that consisted of \$319 million in compensatory damages and \$595 million in disgorgement to ETP. The jury also found that ETP owed Enterprise approximately \$1 million under a reimbursement agreement. On July 29, 2014, the trial court entered a final judgment in favor of ETP and awarded ETP \$536 million, consisting of compensatory damages, disgorgement, and pre-judgment interest. The trial court also ordered that ETP shall be entitled to recover post-judgment interest and costs of court and that Enterprise is not entitled to any net recovery on its counterclaims. Enterprise has filed a notice of appeal with the Texas Court of Appeals, and briefing by Enterprise and ETP is complete. Oral argument was held on April 20, 2016. The Court of Appeals is taking the briefs under advisement. In accordance with GAAP, no amounts related to the original verdict or the July 29, 2014 final judgment will be recorded in our financial statements until the appeal process is completed.

Other Litigation and Contingencies

We or our subsidiaries are a party to various legal proceedings and/or regulatory proceedings incidental to our businesses. For each of these matters, we evaluate the merits of the case, our exposure to the matter, possible legal or settlement strategies, the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the availability of insurance coverage. If we determine that an unfavorable outcome of a particular matter is probable and can be estimated, we accrue the contingent obligation, as well as any expected insurance recoverable amounts related to the contingency. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, accruals of approximately \$56 million and \$40 million, respectively, were reflected on our consolidated balance sheets related to these contingent obligations. As new information becomes available, our estimates may change. The impact of these changes may have a significant effect on our results of operations in a single period.

The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty and there can be no assurance that the outcome of a particular matter will not result in the payment of amounts that have not been accrued for the matter. Furthermore, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued.

No amounts have been recorded in our June 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015 consolidated balance sheets for contingencies and current litigation, other than amounts disclosed herein.

Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. New England Gas Company.

On July 7, 2011, the Massachusetts Attorney General ("AG") filed a regulatory complaint with the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("MDPU") against New England Gas Company with respect to certain environmental cost recoveries. The AG is seeking a refund to New England Gas Company customers for alleged "excessive and imprudently incurred costs" related to legal fees associated with Southern Union's environmental response activities. In the complaint, the AG requests that the MDPU initiate an investigation into the New England Gas Company's collection and reconciliation of recoverable environmental costs including: (i) the prudence of any and all legal fees, totaling approximately \$19 million, that were charged by the Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the year when a partner in the firm, the Southern Union former Vice Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, joined Southern Union's management team; (ii) the prudence of any and all legal fees that were charged by the Bishop, London & Dodds firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the period during which a member of the firm served as Southern Union's Chief Ethics Officer; and (iii) the propriety and allocation of certain legal fees charged that were passed through the recovery mechanism that the AG contends only qualify for a lesser, 50%, level of recovery. Southern Union has filed its answer denying the allegations and moved to dismiss the complaint, in part on a theory of collateral estoppel. The hearing officer has deferred consideration of Southern Union's motion to dismiss. The AG's motion to be reimbursed expert and consultant costs by Southern Union of up to \$150,000 was granted. By tariff, these costs are recoverable through rates

charged to New England Gas Company customers. The hearing officer previously stayed discovery pending resolution of a dispute concerning the applicability of attorney-client privilege to legal billing invoices. The MDPU issued an interlocutory order on June 24, 2013 that lifted the stay, and discovery has resumed. Panhandle (as successor to Southern Union) believes it has complied with all applicable requirements regarding its filings for cost recovery and has not recorded any accrued liability; however, Panhandle will continue to assess its potential exposure for such cost recoveries as the matter progresses.

Table of Contents

Compliance Orders from the New Mexico Environmental Department

Regency received a Notice of Violation from the New Mexico Environmental Department on September 23, 2015 for allegations of violations of New Mexico air regulations related to Jal #3. The Partnership has accrued \$250,000 related to the claims and will continue to assess its potential exposure to the allegations as the matter progresses.

Lone Star NGL Fractionators Notice of Enforcement

Lone Star NGL Fractionators received a Notice of Enforcement from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality on August 28, 2015 for allegations of violations of Texas air regulations related to its Mont Belvieu Gas Plant. The Partnership has accrued \$300,000 related to the claim. As of April 2016, the Agreed Order is in the approval process with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and includes a \$21,000 penalty and a \$21,000 Supplemental Environmental Project.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, tribal, state and local environmental and safety laws and regulations that require expenditures to ensure compliance, including related to air emissions and wastewater discharges, at operating facilities and for remediation at current and former facilities as well as waste disposal sites. Although we believe our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, risks of additional costs and liabilities are inherent in the business of transporting, storing, gathering, treating, compressing, blending and processing natural gas, natural gas liquids and other products. As a result, there can be no assurance that significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred. Costs of planning, designing, constructing and operating pipelines, plants and other facilities must incorporate compliance with environmental laws and regulations and safety standards. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory, remedial and corrective action obligations, the issuance of injunctions in affected areas and the filing of federally authorized citizen suits. Contingent losses related to all significant known environmental matters have been accrued and/or separately disclosed. However, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome.

Environmental exposures and liabilities are difficult to assess and estimate due to unknown factors such as the magnitude of possible contamination, the timing and extent of remediation, the determination of our liability in proportion to other parties, improvements in cleanup technologies and the extent to which environmental laws and regulations may change in the future. Although environmental costs may have a significant impact on the results of operations for any single period, we believe that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

Based on information available at this time and reviews undertaken to identify potential exposure, we believe the amount reserved for environmental matters is adequate to cover the potential exposure for cleanup costs.

Environmental Remediation

Our subsidiaries are responsible for environmental remediation at certain sites, including the following:

Certain of our interstate pipelines conduct soil and groundwater remediation related to contamination from past uses of PCBs. PCB assessments are ongoing and, in some cases, our subsidiaries could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties.

Certain gathering and processing systems are responsible for soil and groundwater remediation related to releases of hydrocarbons.

Legacy sites related to Sunoco, Inc., that are subject to environmental assessments include formerly owned terminals and other logistics assets, retail sites that Sunoco, Inc. no longer operates, closed and/or sold refineries and other formerly owned sites.

Sunoco, Inc. is potentially subject to joint and several liability for the costs of remediation at sites at which it has been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"). As of June 30, 2016, Sunoco, Inc. had been named as a PRP at approximately 49 identified or potentially identifiable "Superfund" sites under federal and/or comparable state law. Sunoco, Inc. is usually one of a number of companies identified as a PRP at a site. Sunoco, Inc. has reviewed the nature and extent of its involvement at each site and other relevant circumstances and, based upon Sunoco, Inc.'s purported nexus to the sites, believes that its potential liability associated with such sites will not be significant.

To the extent estimable, expected remediation costs are included in the amounts recorded for environmental matters in our consolidated balance sheets. In some circumstances, future costs cannot be reasonably estimated because remediation activities are undertaken as claims are made by customers and former customers. To the extent that an environmental remediation

Table of Contents

obligation is recorded by a subsidiary that applies regulatory accounting policies, amounts that are expected to be recoverable through tariffs or rates are recorded as regulatory assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

The table below reflects the amounts of accrued liabilities recorded in our consolidated balance sheets related to environmental matters that are considered to be probable and reasonably estimable. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued. Except for matters discussed above, we do not have any material environmental matters assessed as reasonably possible that would require disclosure in our consolidated financial statements.

	June 30, December 31,	
	2016	2015
Current	\$ 35	\$ 41
Non-current	289	326
Total environmental liabilities	\$ 324	\$ 367

In 2013, we established a wholly-owned captive insurance company to bear certain risks associated with environmental obligations related to certain sites that are no longer operating. The premiums paid to the captive insurance company include estimates for environmental claims that have been incurred but not reported, based on an actuarially determined fully developed claims expense estimate. In such cases, we accrue losses attributable to unasserted claims based on the discounted estimates that are used to develop the premiums paid to the captive insurance company.

During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Sunoco, Inc. recorded \$8 million and \$11 million, respectively, of expenditures related to environmental cleanup programs. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, Sunoco, Inc. recorded \$14 million and \$18 million, respectively, of expenditures related to environmental cleanup programs.

On December 2, 2010, Sunoco, Inc. entered an Asset Sale and Purchase Agreement to sell the Toledo Refinery to Toledo Refining Company LLC (“TRC”) wherein Sunoco, Inc. retained certain liabilities associated with the pre-Closing time period. On January 2, 2013, USEPA issued a Finding of Violation (“FOV”) to TRC and, on September 30, 2013, EPA issued an NOV/FOV to TRC alleging Clean Air Act violations. To date, EPA has not issued an FOV or NOV/FOV to Sunoco, Inc. directly but some of EPA’s claims relate to the time period that Sunoco, Inc. operated the refinery. Specifically, EPA has claimed that the refinery flares were not operated in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions and/or in conformance with their design, and that Sunoco, Inc. submitted semi-annual compliance reports in 2010 and 2011 that failed to include all of the information required by the regulations. EPA has proposed penalties in excess of \$200,000 to resolve the allegations and discussions continue between the parties. The timing or outcome of this matter cannot be reasonably determined at this time, however, we do not expect there to be a material impact to our results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Our pipeline operations are subject to regulation by the U.S. Department of Transportation under the PHMSA, pursuant to which the PHMSA has established requirements relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline facilities. Moreover, the PHMSA, through the Office of Pipeline Safety, has promulgated a rule requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs to comprehensively evaluate their pipelines, and take measures to protect pipeline segments located in what the rule refers to as “high consequence areas.” Activities under these integrity management programs involve the performance of internal pipeline inspections, pressure testing or other effective means to assess the integrity of these regulated pipeline segments, and the regulations require prompt action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment and analysis. Integrity testing and assessment of all of these assets will continue, and the potential exists that results of such testing and assessment could cause us to incur future capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our pipelines; however, no estimate can be made at this time of the likely range of such expenditures.

In January 2012, Sunoco Logistics experienced a release on its products pipeline in Wellington, Ohio. In connection with this release, the PHMSA issued a Corrective Action Order under which Sunoco Logistics is obligated to follow specific requirements in the investigation of the release and the repair and reactivation of the pipeline. Sunoco

Logistics also entered into an Order on Consent with the EPA regarding the environmental remediation of the release site. All requirements of the Order on Consent with the EPA have been fulfilled and the Order has been satisfied and closed. Sunoco Logistics has also received a "No Further Action" approval from the Ohio EPA for all soil and groundwater remediation requirements. In May 2016, Sunoco Logistics received a proposed penalty from the EPA and U.S. Department of Justice associated with this release, and continues to work with the involved parties to bring this matter to closure. The timing and outcome of this matter cannot be reasonably determined at this time. However, Sunoco Logistics does not expect there to be a material impact to its results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Table of Contents

Our operations are also subject to the requirements of the OSHA, and comparable state laws that regulate the protection of the health and safety of employees. In addition, OSHA's hazardous communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that past costs for OSHA required activities, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements, and monitoring of occupational exposure to regulated substances have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations but there is no assurance that such costs will not be material in the future.

11. DERIVATIVE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility of commodity prices. To manage the impact of volatility from these prices, we utilize various exchange-traded and OTC commodity financial instrument contracts. These contracts consist primarily of futures, swaps and options and are recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets.

We use futures and basis swaps, designated as fair value hedges, to hedge our natural gas inventory stored in our Bammel storage facility. At hedge inception, we lock in a margin by purchasing gas in the spot market or off peak season and entering into a financial contract. Changes in the spreads between the forward natural gas prices and the physical inventory spot price result in unrealized gains or losses until the underlying physical gas is withdrawn and the related designated derivatives are settled. Once the gas is withdrawn and the designated derivatives are settled, the previously unrealized gains or losses associated with these positions are realized.

We use futures, swaps and options to hedge the sales price of natural gas we retain for fees in our intrastate transportation and storage segment and operational gas sales on our interstate transportation and storage segment. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use NGL and crude derivative swap contracts to hedge forecasted sales of NGL and condensate equity volumes we retain for fees in our midstream segment whereby our subsidiaries generally gather and process natural gas on behalf of producers, sell the resulting residue gas and NGL volumes at market prices and remit to producers an agreed upon percentage of the proceeds based on an index price for the residue gas and NGL. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use derivatives in our liquids transportation and services segment to manage our storage facilities and the purchase and sale of purity NGL. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

Sunoco Logistics utilizes swaps, futures and other derivative instruments to mitigate the risk associated with market movements in the price of refined products and NGLs. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use futures and swaps to achieve ratable pricing of crude oil purchases, to convert certain expected refined product sales to fixed or floating prices, to lock in margins for certain refined products and to lock in the price of a portion of natural gas purchases or sales and transportation costs in our retail marketing segment. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use financial commodity derivatives to take advantage of market opportunities in our trading activities which complement our transportation and storage segment's operations and are netted in cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations. We also have trading and marketing activities related to power and natural gas in our all other segment which are also netted in cost of products sold. As a result of our trading activities and the use of derivative financial instruments in our transportation and storage segment, the degree of earnings volatility that can occur may be significant, favorably or unfavorably, from period to period. We attempt to manage this volatility through the use of daily position and profit and loss reports provided to our risk oversight committee, which includes members of senior management, and the limits and authorizations set forth in our commodity risk management policy.

Table of Contents

The following table details our outstanding commodity-related derivatives:

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Notional Volume	Maturity	Notional Volume	Maturity
Mark-to-Market Derivatives				
(Trading)				
Natural Gas (MMBtu):				
Fixed Swaps/Futures	5,825,000	2016-2017	(602,500)	2016-2017
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX ⁽¹⁾	7,920,000	2016-2017	(31,240,000)	2016-2017
Power (Megawatt):				
Forwards	272,164	2016-2017	357,092	2016-2017
Futures	(320,257)	2016-2017	(109,791)	2016
Options – Puts	(424,000)	2016	260,534	2016
Options – Calls	696,000	2016	1,300,647	2016
Crude (Bbls):				
Futures	(222,000)	2016-2017	(591,000)	2016-2017
(Non-Trading)				
Natural Gas (MMBtu):				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(522,500)	2016-2017	(6,522,500)	2016-2017
Swing Swaps IFERC	34,465,000	2016-2017	71,340,000	2016-2017
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(3,835,000)	2016-2018	(14,380,000)	2016-2018
Forward Physical Contracts	3,838,458	2016-2017	21,922,484	2016-2017
Natural Gas Liquid (Bbls) – Forwards/Swaps	(10,443,400)	2016	(8,146,800)	2016-2018
Refined Products (Bbls) – Futures	(1,557,000)	2016-2017	(993,000)	2016-2017
Corn (Bushels) – Futures	—	—	1,185,000	2016
Fair Value Hedging Derivatives				
(Non-Trading)				
Natural Gas (MMBtu):				
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(42,167,500)	2016-2017	(37,555,000)	2016
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(42,167,500)	2016-2017	(37,555,000)	2016
Hedged Item – Inventory	42,167,500	2016-2017	37,555,000	2016

⁽¹⁾ Includes aggregate amounts for open positions related to Houston Ship Channel, Waha Hub, NGPL TexOk, West Louisiana Zone and Henry Hub locations.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk for changes in interest rates. To maintain a cost effective capital structure, we borrow funds using a mix of fixed rate debt and variable rate debt. We also manage our interest rate exposure by utilizing interest rate swaps to achieve a desired mix of fixed and variable rate debt. We also utilize forward starting interest rate swaps to lock in the rate on a portion of our anticipated debt issuances.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our interest rate swaps outstanding, none of which were designated as hedges for accounting purposes:

Term	Type ⁽¹⁾	Notional Amount Outstanding	Date
July 2016 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.80% and receive a floating rate	\$ —	June 30, 2016
July 2017 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.90% and receive a floating rate	500	December 31, 2015
July 2018 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 4.00% and receive a floating rate	200	2015
December 2018	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.53%	1,200	2015
March 2019	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.42%	300	2015
July 2019 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.25% and receive a floating rate	200	2015

(1) Floating rates are based on 3-month LIBOR.

(2) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 10 and 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.

(3)