

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC  
Form 10-K  
September 30, 2002

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2002

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from . . . . . to . . . . .

Commission file number 000-23955

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.

-----  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA

87-0458721

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

Two Centerpointe Drive, Suite 450,  
Lake Oswego, OR

97035

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number including area code: (503) 594-1210

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock

-----  
(Title of class)

# Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ ]

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant at September 4, 2002 was approximately \$61,000,000. Shares of Common Stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding Common Stock have been excluded from this computation in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates.

As of September 4, 2002, there were 83,203,352 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

## DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report in that the Registrant will file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A (the "Proxy Statement") not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information included therein is incorporated into Part III of the Annual Report by reference.

2

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I

ITEM 1.	Business.....
ITEM 2.	Properties.....
ITEM 3.	Legal Proceedings.....
ITEM 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....

### PART II

ITEM 5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.....
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data.....
ITEM 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....
ITEM 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures.....

### PART III

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

ITEM 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.....
ITEM 11. Executive Compensation.....
ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.....
ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....

PART IV

ITEM 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.....

PART I

-----

THIS DOCUMENT, AND THE DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, CERTAIN STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN ITEM 1, "BUSINESS" AND ITEM 7, "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS," CONTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE THE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE COMPANY TO BE MATERIALLY DIFFERENT FROM ANY FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WHEN USED IN THIS DOCUMENT THE WORDS "EXPECTS," "ANTICIPATES," "INTENDS," "PLANS," "MAY," "BELIEVES," "SEEKS," "ESTIMATES" AND SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS GENERALLY IDENTIFY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. ALL FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INCLUDED IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE BASED ON INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE COMPANY ON THE DATE HEREOF, AND WE ASSUME NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE REQUIRED UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH OUR AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INCLUDED IN PART II AND "RISK FACTORS" NOTED BELOW.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

INTRODUCTION

Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. ("we", "us", "our", "CTI", "the Company") designs, manufactures and markets thermal imaging and infrared devices and services used for clinical diagnosis, pain management and non-destructive testing of industrial products and materials. We market our products with an internal sales force and independent distributors.

Our research emphasizes applications for thermal imaging technology and the development of equipment and methods for producing, interpreting, and cataloging thermal images. We believe our products provide our customers with valuable and unique data for the detection of abnormalities. Our medical products are used in the diagnosis and treatment of certain diseases and disorders. Our industrial products are used for testing product quality and enabling more efficient designs.

We have applied for a pre-market approval ("PMA") from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") for our Breast Imaging System: The BCS 2100(TM) ("BCS 2100") , a painless and non-invasive technique for acquiring physiological information from women recommended for breast biopsy. To receive PMA approval, we must establish the BCS 2100's ability to consistently distinguish between malignant and benign tissue and thereby reduce the number of benign breast biopsies performed.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The FDA has accepted four of five modules of our PMA application, and based on their review of the fifth module, have invited us to present before its Radiological Devices Advisory Panel (the "Panel") on October 16, 2002. The Panel is an independent review board comprised of experienced radiologists,

4

scientists, statisticians, an industry representative and a consumer representative. The Panel will review our clinical data and make recommendations to the FDA regarding our PMA application. The FDA is not obligated to follow the Panel's findings or recommendations, but we believe the FDA often relies upon and follows the Panel's findings and recommendations in making the final decision to approve, approve with condition or deny product approval. Before granting final approval, the FDA will audit our manufacturing processes, conclude its audit of our clinical trials and may request from us further information, analysis or clinical data. We cannot determine when or whether the FDA will approve our BCS 2100.

In addition to our BCS 2100, we have developed products for pain management . We designed, manufacture and sell our Thermal Image Processor ("TIP") as a device to assist in the diagnosis of pain syndromes and soft tissue injuries and our Photonic Stimulator as a device to treat pain.

We also have developed a product that uses our technology in an industrial setting. Our Turbine Blade Inspection System is a quality assurance tool and, using techniques similar to our BCS 2100, meets industrial requirements for non-destructive testing and examination of turbine blades and other industrial components, composite materials and metals.

We are publicly traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "CIO." As of September 4, 2002, we had approximately 83 million shares of common stock outstanding; held by approximately 29,000 shareholders. In addition to common stock, there are outstanding warrants and options to acquire approximately 15 million shares at exercise prices ranging from \$.60 to \$9.0625. Of the approximately 98 million fully-diluted common shares outstanding, 26.5% are beneficially owned by insiders and affiliates. Other than our wholly-owned subsidiary, Bales Scientific, Inc., we have no interest in any other entity.

We use our capital to pay general corporate expenses, including salaries, manufacturing costs, professional fees, clinical study and technical support costs, and general and administrative expenses. To date, we have funded our business activities with funds raised through the private placement of common stock, debt and warrants, and the exercise of warrants and options.

### INDUSTRY OVERVIEW & TRENDS

The American Cancer Society estimates that 203,500 new cases of invasive breast cancer will be diagnosed among women and approximately 40,000 women in the United States will die from the disease during 2002(1). Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women, accounting for nearly one of every three new cancers diagnosed, and is the second leading cause of cancer death (after lung cancer)(2). In order to accurately identify the 203,500 new cases of breast cancer expected during 2002, physicians will perform approximately 1.3 million biopsies. More than 80 percent of these breast biopsies performed during 2002 are expected to yield benign results.

-----  
(1) American Cancer Society website - Key Statistics for Breast Cancer

(2) Although more women are diagnosed with breast cancer, more women will die from lung cancer according to the latest statistics report from the American Cancer Society - 2001 Cancer Facts & Figures.

Each year, more than 20 million women in the U.S. have a mammogram to screen for breast cancer. Ten percent of those mammograms require additional follow-up due to a suspicious finding, and approximately 1.3 million abnormal mammograms require a breast biopsy to characterize the suspicious tissue as benign or malignant. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2002 only 203,500 of those suspicious lesions will turn out to actually be cancerous.

Of the 1.3 million breast biopsies performed in the U.S. each year, approximately 800,000 are open surgical procedures where the patient is anesthetized or heavily sedated and a surgeon extracts the mass through an incision. The remaining approximately 500,000 biopsies are less invasive "core" biopsies where a needle is guided to the region of interest and a sample is obtained without having to perform open surgery. The trend is toward less invasive biopsy methods to reduce scarring, cost and emotional trauma. The number of biopsies performed has doubled in the last 10 years, and the trend toward less invasive biopsy techniques has accelerated.

If we receive FDA approval for our breast imaging system, under prescribed circumstances radiologists and surgeons will be able to use the physiological profile of the suspicious tissue produced by our BCS 2100 to determine whether masses are benign, without performing a biopsy.

#### OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

Our imaging systems integrate third-party hardware, our proprietary software and heat-sensing camera to produce, interpret, and catalogue thermal images. These systems provide medical professionals with physiological information to assist in the evaluation of breast abnormalities and the management of chronic pain. These systems also have industrial applications in non-destructive testing and inspection of complex industrial products; e.g., turbine blades.

The Company has developed six significant proprietary technologies: (1) a climate-controlled examination unit to provide patient comfort and facilitate reproducible tests; (2) an imaging protocol that produces consistent results; (3) a statistical model that detects physiological irregularities; (4) infrared imaging and analysis hardware, including our proprietary heat-sensing camera (collectively, we refer to items 2-4 as the "Thermal Imaging Process"); (5) a system to treat pain and other symptoms of diseases that restrict blood flow (the "Photonic Stimulator"); and (6) a system for non-destructive testing and examination of turbine blades and other industrial components (the "Turbine Blade Inspection System").

Our BCS 2100 provides a non-invasive, painless way to collect information that supplements the information provided by mammograms for the evaluation of suspicious breast lesions. The BCS 2100 captures 103 dynamic images of each breast and analyzes over 8.3 million temperature values per breast, to measure minute changes in physiological and metabolic activity. This data, when combined with diagnostic information from mammograms, provides radiologists additional information that can be useful in determining more precisely when a surgical biopsy is needed.

Mammography and related imaging methods capture a snapshot of structure at a moment in time, but do not provide information about the behavior of the structures exposed. While mammography may detect the presence of an abnormality

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

in the breast, a biopsy is required to determine whether the abnormality is benign or malignant. We believe our technology produces images that expose the physiology and function of structures and provides health professionals with a tool for more accurately discriminating between those cases that require invasive biopsy and those that do not. We believe our BCS 2100 provides physiological data that can lead to fewer biopsies, 80 percent of which have benign findings.

Medical professionals use the Thermal Imaging Processor to compare actual, relative and absolute temperature differences, to locate soft tissue injuries or potential sources of pain and to verify the effect of treatment. The Photonic Stimulator is an infrared light therapy device used to treat the symptoms of soft tissue injuries and pain syndromes.

The Turbine Blade Inspection System provides customers an effective, cost-efficient quality assurance tool. Using techniques similar to our BCS 2100, our automated infrared inspection system creates thermal stress by rapidly heating or cooling the component, collects a series of images as the component returns to ambient temperature, and then analyzes these images to determine the presence or absence of characteristics determined to correlate with certain manufacturing and usage-induced defects. The analysis identifies defects, abnormalities and flaws in the test material. This system can identify blockages in cooling holes as small as the diameter of a human hair.

The Company performs services for customers in connection with developing additional hardware, software to expand the type and number of components a customer can test, repairing previously installed equipment, and helping customers solve quality assurance or product design problems.

### PATENTS

As of June 30, 2002, we have the following patents or patent applications pending before the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

- o Patent No. 5,999,842, dated December 7, 1999, acquired by assignment from TRW on a Functional Thermal Imaging Apparatus (our BCS 2100 Patient Positioning Table).
  - o Patent No. 6,157,854, dated December 5, 2000, covering techniques designed to reduce or eliminate pain by the application of infrared therapy while monitoring the process as it is being conducted. The techniques involve the use of our Photonic Stimulator to apply infrared energy to a patient while using the Thermal Imaging Processor to monitor the patient's response to the therapy.
- 7
- o Patent No. 6,366,802, dated April 2, 2002, covering techniques designed to reduce or eliminate pain by the application of infrared therapy while monitoring the process as it is being conducted. The techniques involve the use of our Photonic Stimulator to apply infrared energy to a patient while using the Thermal Image Processor to monitor the patient's response to the therapy.
  - o Patent application (Serial No. 09/425,042, dated October 19, 1999) for an algorithm used to analyze imaging data collected through our BCS 2100.
  - o Patent application (Serial No. 10/062,638, dated January 31,

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

2002) for a turbine component inspection system, emphasizing the system's integration and ability to deliver precise thermal stimuli independent of the overall inspection cycle.

- o Patent application (Serial No. 10/062,862, dated January 31, 2002) for a heat exchanger for turbine component inspection system covering an improved convective heat exchanger design for use in the turbine component inspection system.
- o Patent application (Serial No. 10/062,631, dated January 31, 2002) for an infrared imaging arrangement for the turbine component inspection system covering the overall fixture and infrared imager arrangement.
- o Patent application (Serial No. 10/006,441, dated November 21, 2001) for software providing operator assistance during the use of an automated infrared inspection system of turbine components.
- o Patent application (Serial No. 10/006,436, dated November 21, 2001) for software performing automated analysis of the thermal response of a turbine component to application of thermal stimuli by an infrared inspection system.
- o Patent application (Serial No. 60/378,764, dated May 7, 2002) for the cold stimulus turbine component inspection system.

We expect to apply for additional patents in the future to cover other technologies or components of our products.

### BUSINESS STRATEGY AND MARKETS

We believe our products and technologies provide a unique collection of new and cost effective diagnostic, pain management and product testing solutions for medical and industrial customers. Our target customers are hospital radiology departments, cancer research facilities and imaging centers, chiropractors and physical therapists, and manufacturers of products with complex cast components or processes.

To exploit the BCS 2100 and expand the market for our pain management products, the Company is pursuing FDA approvals and clearances. Our BCS 2100 qualifies as a medical device under federal law, because of its intended use in the diagnosis of disease. We are pursuing FDA approval for our BCS 2100, and we believe that this approval will enhance our ability to market our products by: 1) allowing us to reference medical efficacy claims in connection with marketing

8

our BCS 2100; 2) improving physician acceptance of our systems; and 3) facilitating the designation of insurance payment codes. We are conducting clinical studies to expand the approved labeling and indications for use for our pain management products. We believe that expanding indications for use will improve physician acceptance of our products and increase pain management product revenues.

Our marketing efforts rely upon building relationships with manufacturers, medical equipment dealers, physicians and clinical investigators. We attend trade shows and conferences and make direct sales calls on industrial customers and sponsor clinics, where we introduce and demonstrate our breast imaging, pain management and non-destructive testing products. We believe marketing our medical products directly and through a dealer channel, augmented

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

with trade shows, conference presentations, direct mail and inside sales, provides a cost-effective approach to diagnostic imaging and pain management practitioners. We plan to continue investing resources in these programs.

As with all medical devices, it is important that our BCS 2100 customers receive adequate reimbursements from third party-payers: insurance companies, Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement agencies. We have applied for an Emerging Technology Code from the American Medical Association for our BCS 2100, which is the first step in obtaining reimbursement codes. We plan to present our technology and information regarding the medical efficacy and cost effectiveness of our BCS 2100 to insurance carriers and other payers. Our pain management products qualify for insurance reimbursement in most states, at rates that vary on a state-by-state basis.

We plan to continue organizing clinical studies with institutions and practitioners to obtain user feedback and to secure technical, peer reviewed papers for training and educational marketing purposes. For example, during 2002 we entered into a research relationship with McKay-Dee Hospital and Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School's largest teaching hospital.

Although our primary focus is now product manufacturing and marketing, we continue to expend significant financial and technical resources improving and developing new applications for our products. While we cannot assure the success of any new product or regulatory approval of any proposed indication for use, we believe that improving product features and functions will expand the market for our products and increase revenues.

### OUR COMPETITION

MEDICAL IMAGING. The principal methods used to visualize internal human anatomy are: X-ray, computed tomography, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. Physicians view these technologies as elements of a toolkit, each uniquely suited to the diagnosis of a specific problem or problems.

Our BCS 2100 provides physiological information that supplements the anatomical information obtained from mammography and does not compete directly with X-ray, computed tomography, ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging. Our system is painless, requires no radioactive materials, and involves no invasive technology.

9

Our pain management products compete with ultra-sound, electrical stimulation, newly approved laser light therapy devices; and infrared cameras, typically purchased from aftermarket sources.

Our industrial applications compete with industrial x-ray, and high pressure water and air techniques; which require skilled labor, are time consuming and may utilize dangerous radiation that requires special facilities. Our system provides additional defect analysis more quickly, using less skilled labor and no special environment; and may replace high pressure water and air or x-ray for certain applications.

The companies that supply diagnostic and industrial imaging equipment range from large manufacturers to smaller specialized companies. Large diversified manufacturers, for which imaging systems define only a portion of their total business, include General Electric, Siemens, Toshiba, Hitachi and Philips.

### NEW TECHNOLOGIES.



## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Digital X-ray captures images electronically and may provide several important benefits relative to existing technologies: 1) reduced radiation dosage, 2) faster access to images, which is critical for emergency room use, 3) digital technology, which can be distributed and accessed through a computer, enables remote consultation, and 4) reductions in labor and radiographic film costs. Our BCS 2100 does not compete with digital X-ray equipment. In fact, as mammography technology improves more women are referred for biopsies. This will create a greater demand for technologies, like our BCS 2100 that may be able to determine whether a patient's mass is benign without the use of an invasive surgical procedure.

Positron Emission Tomography ("PET"), an invasive, nuclear medicine-based diagnostic imaging technique for measuring the metabolic activity of human cells, may benefit patients suffering from certain types of cancer or certain conditions affecting the brain and heart. Many insurance carriers approve PET, but the technology is expensive and difficult to administer.

Optical imaging of the breast based on laser transillumination is a technology under investigation as a possible approach for medical imaging, and at least one potential competitor is attempting to secure FDA approval for their version of this technology. Laser transillumination has been investigated for over 20 years and recent implementations of this technology use computed tomography to improve the results. We believe our BCS 2100 competes favorably with this technology.

### OUR SALES AND MARKETING STRATEGY

10

**OVERVIEW.** We plan to market our products with a multi-channel strategy incorporating independent distributors, direct marketing, telemarketing, the internet and corporate marketing. We plan to address the industrial market with a direct sales force augmented by distributors as appropriate.

**DISTRIBUTORS.** The Company has retained and will continue to seek the services of distributors. Our distributors usually focus their efforts on a specific channel in a specific region; e.g., chiropractors and physical therapists in Northern California. We believe that distributors provide intimate local market knowledge and contacts critical to accessing hospital imaging facilities, radiologists and local service capability.

**TELEMARKETING / TELESALLES.** We believe telemarketing/telesales provides important direct marketing, lead follow-up and customer service capability, particularly in the pain management segment. Telemarketing creates revenue through direct sales and generates leads for distributors.

**INTERNET.** We use the internet to provide information to current and potential customers.

**USER GROUPS AND SEMINARS.** We believe meeting with our customers and potential customers at informal user conferences and training sessions provides valuable market intelligence, product use information, and assists us in selling our products. We conduct user group meetings at various sites across the United States and by conference call.

**TRADE SHOWS AND ASSOCIATIONS.** We attend medical and industrial trade shows and present papers at professional conferences. We believe attendance at trade shows and conferences allows us to build product awareness, demonstrate our products, educate customers and generate leads for future sales.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

CLINICAL STUDIES. We plan to conduct clinical studies utilizing our BCS 2100, Thermal Imaging Processor technology and Photonic Stimulator at hospitals and clinics in the United States. These studies provide us with an opportunity to: 1) evaluate product enhancements; 2) research expanded indications for use, which we may use to obtain expanded FDA clearances; and 3) collect data for technical manuscripts, which are submitted to professional journals to consider for publication, used for presentations to professional organizations and as sales literature.

CORPORATE MARKETING. We intend to develop product and company collateral materials, advertise in select trade journals, demonstrate our products and present papers, and research results at conferences and trade shows. We believe that this activity will build corporate and product awareness and support our sales efforts in selected vertical markets.

11

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS. The Company has a small internal team pursuing industrial opportunities. This team manages relationships with existing and potential customers in the turbine power market and is exploring potential relationships with industrial customers requiring non-destructive testing capabilities.

### SERVICE PROVIDERS AND CONTRACTOR RELATIONSHIPS

OVERVIEW. As a development company, our business model relies upon contractors and suppliers to reduce our development risk and to provide necessary clinical resources. We continue to utilize some of these contractors to support our PMA application and clinical studies.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE assists us in the preparation of regulatory submissions and provides technical consulting services in connection with 1) algorithm development and 2) statistical consultation for interaction with the FDA.

QUINTILES, INC. is an independent consulting firm, authorized by the FDA to verify clinical examination results, provide clinical trial monitoring and FDA preparation support. Quintiles provides the Company services on a time and materials basis. Quintiles continues to provide consulting support in connection with securing FDA approval.

CLINICAL TRIALS. We contracted with six hospitals to conduct the clinical trials necessary for FDA approval of the BCS 2100. The Company continues to maintain relationships with these institutions in connection with completion of the PMA:

- USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles;
- Los Angeles County Hospital, Los Angeles;
- Mt. Sinai Hospital, Miami;
- St. Agnes Hospital, Baltimore;
- Lahey Clinic, Boston; and
- Providence Hospital, Washington, D.C.

### GOVERNMENT REGULATION

OVERVIEW. Our BCS 2100 and pain management devices qualify as medical devices under federal law because they are intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of disease but do not interact chemically with the body. Typically, low risk devices that are substantially similar to approved products already on the market obtain FDA clearance by the agency's pre-market notification known as a 510(k) filing. Each year more than

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

4,000 new devices are cleared using this approach. Sophisticated instruments that entail significant risk, or utilize unique or new technology require manufacturers to submit a PMA to the FDA. More complex and time-consuming to prepare than a 510(k) filing, a PMA typically contains significant clinical testing, manufacturing and other data, all of which are scrutinized by the FDA to demonstrate the product's safety, reliability and effectiveness. Typically, less than 40 devices a year are granted PMA approval.

12

We are pursuing PMA approval for our BCS 2100, and we believe an approved PMA provides valuable benefits that enhance our ability to market the product, including: 1) an ability to reference medical efficacy claims in our marketing, 2) improved physician acceptance of our system, and 3) assistance in obtaining insurance reimbursement codes, which we believe will enhance the successful marketing of the BCS 2100.

We submitted our PMA in five modules. Module 1 provided:

- o an introduction of the use of infrared imaging, its safety and effectiveness;
- o summary of indications for use of infrared imaging;
- o summary of incidence, diagnosis and prognosis of breast cancer;
- o description of current modalities for detecting breast cancer;
- o description of our BCS 2100, including major components and the population for which our device has clinical utility;
- o description of our clinical study and the population of the study; and
- o statement of marketing of our device for its intended use.

Module 2 provided:

- o a detailed description of our BCS 2100 and its component parts;
- o detailed discussion of the clinical evaluation system required to analyze and interpret the clinical data obtained through the clinical study; and
- o documentation of all software used in our BCS 2100, including software used in the development of our system and the acquisition of data in our clinical study.

Module 3 provided:

- o manufacturing information concerning our BCS 2100, including a detailed discussion of the facilities, personnel, equipment and controls used to manufacture our system;
- o information concerning the distribution and installation of our system; and
- o a description of the procedures and record keeping associated with the manufacture, testing and installation of our device.

Module 4 reiterated certain information and provided additional information regarding:

- o the safety of our system, including all non-clinical testing of the structural and functional components of our device; and
- o the safety of materials used in manufacturing the device.

Finally, Module 5 was an evaluation of our clinical studies, including the accumulation and analysis of all the clinical study and efficacy data.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The FDA has accepted four of the five modules of our PMA application. On October 16, 2002, the Panel will meet to discuss, make recommendations and vote on our PMA. The Panel's recommendation could be conditioned upon, among other things, modifications to labeling requirements, additional clinical

13

validation or further data analysis. The FDA is not obligated to follow the Panel's findings, but we believe the FDA is often strongly influenced by the Panel's findings and recommendations in making the final decision to grant approval, provide conditional approval or deny product approval. Before granting final approval, the FDA will conduct an audit to ensure our manufacturing practices comply with FDA regulations and will conclude an audit of our clinical trial study controls; and may request from us further information, analysis or clinical data. We cannot determine when or whether the FDA will approve our BCS 2100.

### CURRENT EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2002, we had 75 full and part time employees: 14 general and administrative, 15 sales and marketing, 18 research, software and engineering, and 28 manufacturing and service. None of our employees are represented by a union, and we consider our employee relations to be good.

### RISK FACTORS

INVESTMENT IN SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK IS SUBJECT TO A NUMBER OF RISK FACTORS THAT, IF REALIZED OR COME TO FRUITION, MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S PROFITABILITY AND THE VALUE OF THESE SHARES WHILE HELD BY OUR SHAREHOLDERS.

THE FAILURE TO OBTAIN FDA APPROVAL OF OUR BCS 2100 WOULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE COMPANY.

Our BCS 2100 is presently under review with the FDA. There is no assurance that we will receive FDA approval. Failure to secure FDA approval would materially reduce or eliminate the market for our BCS 2100 and would have a material adverse effect on the business.

WE ARE INVOLVED IN SUBSTANTIAL SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION, WHICH MAY HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON US AND OUR SHAREHOLDERS.

In 2002, five different lawsuits were filed against us in the United States District Court in Oregon. Each suit makes substantially the same allegations: the Company misled shareholders regarding such things as FDA approval and other matters, which the plaintiffs believe caused significant damage to the shareholders holding shares of our common stock at the time of these alleged misrepresentations and omissions. We believe the allegations are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously. Defending these lawsuits, which we believe will be consolidated into a single lawsuit, will require additional legal expenses to defend, may make fund raising more difficult if not impossible and will distract certain members of management from day to day operations.

Moreover, our insurance carrier has denied coverage for the plaintiffs' claims and, accordingly, has indicated it will not cover the costs of defending the claims and will not pay any resulting damages we may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful. We have retained insurance counsel to advise us in this matter, which is in its early stages.

Finally, under our bylaws and contractual agreements we are required to indemnify our current and former officers and directors who are parties to the litigation by providing legal defense through our attorneys (or reimbursing them for their own attorneys) and covering all damages they may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful.

All of these financial impacts may have an adverse impact on the value of our common stock.

WE HAVE LIMITED REVENUES FROM OPERATIONS AND MAY NEVER HAVE SUBSTANTIAL REVENUE FROM OPERATIONS.

With limited exceptions, our products have not been used in commercial applications and there is no assurance that the market will accept our products in sufficient volume to assure profitability.

WE MUST OBTAIN INSURANCE CODES FOR OUR BCS 2100 THAT PROVIDE ADEQUATE REIMBURSEMENT FOR OUR CUSTOMERS.

We have applied to the American Medical Association for an Emerging Technology Code, which is the first step in obtaining Medicare, Medicaid and private insurance reimbursement for procedures performed using our BCS 2100. There can be no assurance that we will receive these codes, that Medicare, Medicaid or private insurers will provide reimbursement under these codes, or that our customers will find the reimbursements sufficient to warrant the use of our BCS 2100. If our customers cannot obtain adequate insurance reimbursement for their services, the market for our BCS 2100 would be reduced, and this would have a material adverse effect on us and our shareholders.

WE EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO INCUR LOSSES, DEFICITS, AND DEFICIENCIES IN LIQUIDITY THAT COULD IMPAIR OUR ABILITY TO GROW.

We must develop clinical applications, obtain regulatory approvals and market our products in order to become profitable. There is no assurance that we will be able to accomplish these objectives. We have incurred substantial losses in the past and expect to continue to incur losses, deficits and deficiencies in liquidity due to the significant costs associated with the continuing development and commercialization of our products. Such losses and deficiencies could have a material adverse impact on us and our shareholders.

WE WILL HAVE TO RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IN ORDER TO FUND OPERATIONS.

Until our operating results improve, we will have to rely on outside financing or the sale of assets to fund our business. We expect that we will use a combination of equity and debt securities and instruments in order to secure additional funding. The sale of equity securities could dilute our existing shareholders, and borrowings from third parties could result in assets being

pledged as collateral and loan terms that could restrict our operations. There is no assurance that capital will be available from any source or, if available, upon acceptable terms and conditions. If our losses continue and we are unable to obtain additional third party financing or proceeds from the sale of certain of our assets, we will have to materially reduce our operations, which could adversely affect us and our shareholders.

WE ARE DEPENDENT ON OUR EMPLOYEES.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Our success is dependent upon the time, talent, experience and technical knowledge of our employees. In order for us to obtain regulatory approvals; develop, enhance and market our products, and secure additional financing, we must attract, motivate, retain and manage qualified employees. Demand for qualified technology personnel is intense. There is no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain the people we need.

THE RECENT VOLATILITY IN THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR SHARES COULD CONTINUE AND ADVERSELY AFFECT SHAREHOLDER VALUE.

The market price of our stock may continue to experience wide fluctuations, as it has in the recent past, which could be unrelated to our financial and operating results. Such volatility could result in a material loss in the value of your investment in our shares.

WE COULD ISSUE PREFERRED STOCK AND THIS COULD HARM YOUR INTERESTS.

We have authorized 3 million shares of preferred stock, par value \$5.00 per share, none of which are outstanding. The preferred stock, if issued, could have preferential voting, dividend and liquidation rights which adversely affect the rights of our common shareholders. Our authority to issue preferred stock without shareholder approval could discourage potential takeover attempts and could delay or prevent a change in control through merger, tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise by making such attempts more difficult and costly. The inability for a third party to enter into such a transaction may reduce the value of our shares.

WE RELY ON THIRD PARTIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND MANUFACTURE OF KEY COMPONENTS FOR OUR PRODUCTS. IF THEY FAIL TO PERFORM, FDA APPROVALS, PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT, AND/OR PRODUCTION COULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY DELAYED.

We depend upon third parties to assist us with clinical studies, product development and to supply product components. Our products are highly specialized and have component parts developed and manufactured according to unique specifications. Although there may be more than one developer or manufacturer for these components, failure to develop or manufacture in a timely manner could result in a loss of business and further result in substantial delays in FDA approvals and/or commercialization of our products. Such delays could adversely affect our operations and shareholder value.

IF WE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL IN PREVENTING OTHERS FROM USING OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WE COULD LOSE A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE.

16

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to use and prevent others from using our trademarks and other intellectual property. We currently hold three patents and have submitted seven patent applications. There can be no assurance that the steps we have taken to protect our property will protect our rights. Defense of our intellectual property could be expensive and time consuming, and parties that misappropriate our intellectual property could have significantly more financial resources than the Company, making it financially impossible to protect our rights.

WE DO NOT HAVE PRODUCT LIABILITY INSURANCE.

The manufacture and sale of medical imaging systems may entail significant risk of product liability claims. There can be no assurance that we can obtain insurance coverage with limits adequate to protect us from any liability that might arise in connection with the sale of our products. Without

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

such insurance, we may have to pay claims with Company funds, thereby making it impossible to maintain operations.

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease facilities under various operating leases requiring fixed monthly payments, adjusted periodically over their term as follows:

LAKE OSWEGO, OREGON LEASE AGREEMENT. We lease approximately 7,388 square feet of executive office space through July 31, 2005, with respect to 2,088 square feet and July 1, 2006, with respect to the remaining 5,300 square feet. Pursuant to the agreement, monthly lease payments are \$15,700. This space is used as our headquarters and houses our administrative, financial, executive, and marketing employees.

LAYTON, UTAH LEASE AGREEMENT. We currently lease approximately 8,507 square feet of office space in Layton, Utah which formerly housed our corporate offices and clinical research operations, which have been moved to the Ogden, Utah and Lake Oswego, Oregon facilities. The Layton Lease Agreements specifies rent of \$14,579 per month, expires by its terms November 2002, and will not be renewed.

WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA LEASE AGREEMENT. In connection with the acquisition of Bales Scientific, Inc., we entered into a three-year lease ending on April 19, 2003. The monthly lease payment of \$8,131 covers approximately 5,500 square feet of office space. The lease rate increases on April 20 of each year by an amount computed using the Consumer Price Index. The facility houses our industrial products and product research and development operations.

OGDEN, UTAH LEASE AGREEMENT. We lease approximately 7,660 square feet of manufacturing space in Ogden, Utah through June 30, 2003. Monthly payments under the lease are \$5,783. Our manufacturing, regulatory, quality assurance and clinical development departments use this space.

17

We believe that our offices are adequate for our present needs and that suitable space will be available for our future needs.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

#### SHAREHOLDER SECURITIES LITIGATION

See the description above in "Risk Factors."

#### BLOOMBERG DEFAMATION ACTION

On August 28, 2000, we filed a complaint for libel in the United States District Court for the District of Utah against Bloomberg, L.P. ("Bloomberg"). The lawsuit alleges that on June 29 and July 18, 2000, Bloomberg published certain defamatory articles about the Company through its news service. On March 26, 2001, the Court dismissed our complaint against Bloomberg, with prejudice. We have appealed the District Judge's decision to the United States 10th Circuit Court of Appeals in Denver, Colorado, and oral arguments were heard on September 24, 2002.

#### SALAH AL-HASAWI ADVISORY SERVICES CLAIM

On March 29, 2000, Salah Al-Hasawi ("Plaintiff"), a citizen and

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

resident of Kuwait, filed an action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, against us and our former Chief Executive Officer, alleging violations under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, for commissions allegedly due to Plaintiff in connection with the private placement of our securities. Shortly thereafter, the Plaintiffs lawsuit was dismissed without prejudice and on April 12, 2000, the Plaintiff filed a similar complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Utah. Plaintiff seeks specified damages of \$15.5 million, attorney fees and unspecified damages pursuant to five separate causes of action including breach of contract, fraud and unjust enrichment.

We have denied all of Plaintiffs claims and have affirmatively alleged that all amounts due have been paid in full. We are currently engaged in discovery and no trial date has yet been set.

DAVID PACKER VS. COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.

In June of 2001, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Packer, the Company's former president. Shortly thereafter, Mr. Packer filed suit against the Company to recover benefits, compensation, and 1,000,000 stock options granted pursuant to certain employment and separation agreements the Company had previously entered into with Mr. Packer. The Company filed a counterclaim and answered with affirmative defenses against Mr. Packer. The Company later dismissed its counterclaim and the trial court subsequently

18

granted summary judgment in favor of Mr. Packer against the Company's affirmative defenses. As a result, the extent of Mr. Packer's damages remained the only outstanding issue. On August 31, 2002, the Company and Mr. Packer reached a settlement in this case that settled Mr. Packer's and the Company's claims and allows the Company to avoid further defense costs and litigation risk.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of the security holders during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

#### PART II

-----

#### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock trades on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "CIO."

#### PRICE RANGE OF OUR COMMON STOCK

The following table summarizes the quarterly low and high bid prices per share for our common stock. The bid prices reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

<u>Fiscal year ended June 30, 2001</u>	<u>Low Bid</u>	<u>High Bid</u>
First Quarter	\$4.81	\$4.97



## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Second Quarter	\$1.44	\$1.63
Third Quarter	\$2.28	\$2.47
Fourth Quarter	\$2.77	\$4.95

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2002

First Quarter	\$1.85	\$4.05
Second Quarter	\$1.28	\$2.40
Third Quarter	\$0.82	\$1.62
Fourth Quarter	\$0.56	\$1.10

On September 4, 2002, the closing price of our common stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange was \$.70 per share. On September 4, 2002, we had approximately 29,000 beneficial stockholders of our common stock and approximately 83 million shares of our common stock outstanding.

19

### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data presented below are for each fiscal year in the five-year period ended June 30, 2002. This data is derived from, and qualified by reference to, CTI's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. We are considered a development stage enterprise as described in Note 1 to consolidated financial statements.

DESCRIPTION	2002	2001	2000	1999
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ 877,929	\$ 673,782	\$ 329,283	\$ --
Cost of goods sold	(609,159)	(419,157)	(176,936)	--
Gross margin	268,770	254,625	152,347	--
Operating expenses				
Operating, general & administrative	1,356,017	11,345,164	2,861,414	2,576,169
Litigation Settlement	1,600,000	--	583,054	--
Research & development	6,141,190	8,702,618	5,114,518	1,837,182
Marketing	2,992,654	3,101,095	674,514	--
Depreciation & amortization	1,600,015	2,258,445	616,205	50,393
Impairment loss	8,717,149	2,893,849	--	--
Total operating expenses	22,407,025	28,301,171	9,849,705	4,463,744
Operating income (loss)	(22,138,255)	(28,046,546)	(9,697,358)	(4,463,744)
Other income, net	434,924	1,933,962	804,203	(562,097)
Extinguishment of Debt				
Net Loss	\$ (21,703,331)	\$ (26,112,584)	\$ (8,893,155)	\$ (5,025,841)
Basic loss per Share	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.09)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$ 8,939,765	\$ 18,880,350	\$ 35,032,166	\$ 137,162
Total Assets	12,541,124	31,843,009	51,462,670	375,805
Accumulated deficit	(82,695,560)	(60,913,229)	(34,601,965)	(25,708,810)
Total Equity	\$ 6,046,064	\$ 29,184,680	\$ 48,284,845	\$ (159,709)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CONCERNING OUR BUSINESS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Notes thereto and the other information included in this Report. Certain statements in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" are forward-looking statements. When used in this document, the words "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "may," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," and similar expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained herein are based on current expectations and entail various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements. For a more detailed discussion of these and other business risks, see "Risk Factors."

20

#### OVERVIEW

Our mission is to improve the quality of life by continuously raising the performance standards of infrared thermal imaging technology for both the medical device and industrial markets. We design, manufacture and market thermal imaging devices and services used for clinical diagnosis, pain management and industrial non-destructive testing. We provide inspection services and design and build non-destructive test systems for industrial customers.

Our current products are the Breast Cancer System (currently undergoing FDA review), Photonic Stimulator, Thermal Imaging Processor, and our Turbine Blade Inspection System. We market our products with an internal sales force and through independent distributors.

To date, revenues have been generated from the sale of our Photonic Stimulator, Thermal Imaging Processor and services provided in connection with our Turbine Blade Inspection System. The Company has delivered a Turbine Blade Inspection System to Alstom Power UK Limited ("Alstom"), but has deferred that revenue in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). GAAP will allow us to recognize this revenue upon expiration of an extended warranty and software upgrade period, which we believe will occur during the third quarter of fiscal 2003.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATION

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 AND 2001

##### REVENUE

Total revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, totaled \$878,000 compared to \$674,000 for the prior year, an increase of \$204,000 or 30%. Revenues do not include \$420,000 in deferred revenues for products shipped during the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, which will be recognized as revenues in future periods in accordance with, and to the extent permitted by,

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

GAAP.

Our medical segment revenue was \$750,000 and \$566,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2002, and 2001, respectively. The \$184,000 or 33% improvement results from increased shipments of Thermal Imaging Processors and Photonic Stimulators.

Industrial segment revenue, primarily from the sale of test services and product analysis, was \$128,000 and \$108,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The \$20,000 or 19% increase is from increased sales of turbine blade test services to Alstom, which ended when the Company shipped a Turbine Blade Inspection System ("TBIS") to Alstom during the second fiscal quarter. Industrial revenues do not include deferred revenue from the shipment of a TBIS to Alstom during the second quarter, which will be recognized in accordance with GAAP. On June 30, 2002, we had a backlog of industrial orders for our TBIS and industrial products of approximately \$425,000 that we expect to

21

complete in the next fiscal year. We have no backlog for Pain Management products, which are shipped immediately upon receipt of an order. Reported backlog represents the actual value of purchase orders issued to the Company for delivery of goods in the future.

### EXPENSES

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. General and administrative expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002 were \$1,356,000 compared to \$11,345,000 for the same period last year. Excluding a non-cash compensation benefit resulting from variable accounting for certain employee stock options of \$2,914,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, and non-cash compensation expense of \$5,140,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$1,935,000, or 31%. This decrease is primarily a result of: 1) a \$258,000 decrease in wages and related expenses; 2) \$877,000 decrease in legal services expense, offset by a \$250,000 provision for legal fees relating to the shareholder litigation; 3) \$64,000 decrease in professional services expense; 4) \$401,000 decrease in stockholder service expense; and 5) \$191,000 decrease in overhead expenses, primarily insurance and rent.

During the year, we accrued \$250,000 in legal fees. This accrual represents our insurance deductible and expected obligations related to the shareholder securities litigation described above. Our current policy covers up to \$10 million in potential claims. As of September 5, 2002, our insurance carrier has denied coverage. If our insurance carrier continues to take the position that the claims are not covered by insurance and does not pay the claims associated with this lawsuit, we will incur significant legal fees and, if we are unable to defend the lawsuit successfully, significant damages.

LITIGATION SETTLEMENT. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2002, we expensed \$1,600,000 and assumed liabilities to concluded lawsuits.

SALES AND MARKETING. Sales and marketing expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, were \$2,993,000 compared to \$3,101,000 for the same period last year. Excluding a non-cash compensation benefit resulting from variable accounting for certain employee stock options of \$378,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, and non-cash compensation expense of \$577,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, sales and marketing expenses increased by \$847,000 or 34%. This increase was primarily a result of: 1) \$408,000 increase in wages and related expenses from an increase in the number of sales and marketing employees; 2) \$74,000 increase in marketing and tradeshows to develop a market for our products; 3) \$83,000 increase in overhead

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

expenses--principally, utilities, supplies, postage and miscellaneous expenses; and 4) \$43,000 increase in employee benefits.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Research and development expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, were \$6,141,000 compared to \$8,703,000 for the same period last year. Excluding a non-cash compensation benefit resulting from variable accounting for certain employee stock options of \$209,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, and non-cash compensation expense of \$216,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, research and development expenses decreased by \$2,137,000 or 25%. This decrease was primarily a result of: 1) \$2,867,000 decrease in consulting services associated with the development of our BCS 2100 and FDA PMA application; 2) \$310,000 decrease in software license

22

fees; and 3) \$707,000 decrease in clinical trial expense. This reduction in expense was partially offset by: 4) \$796,000 increase in salaries and related expenses as a result of an increase in the number of engineering, regulatory, and manufacturing support employees; 5) \$299,000 increase in administrative costs; 6) \$121,000 increase in patent related expenditures; and 7) \$206,000 increase in temporary labor services.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, and all prior periods, we expensed all costs associated with process and systems development, including software code development, computer hardware and software purchases, and expenses related to the development of our examination table.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION. Depreciation and amortization expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, were \$1,600,000 compared to \$2,258,000 for the same period last year. The \$658,000, or 29% decrease was primarily related to fixed asset impairments recorded in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001.

NON-RECURRING EXPENSES. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2002, we evaluated the carrying value of our long-lived assets pursuant to the methods described in SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," and we recorded a reduction of \$8,717,000 in goodwill and other intangible assets in fiscal 2002.

### NET INTEREST INCOME/EXPENSE

Interest income for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, was \$654,000 compared to \$1,921,000 for the same period last year. The \$1,267,000 or 66% decrease was primarily a result of lower interest rates and decreased cash balances available for investment.

Interest expense for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, was \$219,000. This amount includes interest on \$2,500,000 of long-term debentures and the amortization of deferred finance costs totaling \$367,000 and other financing cost of \$243,000 related to the debenture.

### NET LOSS

As a result of the foregoing, we incurred a loss of \$21,703,331, or \$(.26) per share, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, compared to a loss of \$26,113,000, or \$(0.32) per share, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001.

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000

### REVENUE

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, totaled \$674,000 compared to \$329,000 for the prior year, an increase of \$345,000 or 105%.

23

The Company's medical segment revenue was \$566,000 and \$318,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2001, and 2000, respectively. The \$248,000 or 78% increase was primarily related to the sales of Thermal Imaging Processors and Photonic Stimulators.

The Company's industrial segment revenue was \$108,000 and \$11,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2001, and 2002, respectively. The \$97,000 or 882% increase was primarily related to turbine blade testing for Alstom.

### EXPENSES

**GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE.** During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, general and administrative expenses increased \$8,484,000 and were \$11,345,000 compared to \$2,861,000 for the prior comparable period. Excluding a non-cash compensation expense of \$5,140,000 in fiscal year 2001, general and administrative expenses increased by \$3,344,000 or 117%. This increase is primarily a result of: 1) additional compensation and related expenses of \$1,039,000 resulting from an increase in the number of employees; 2) additional legal expenses of \$1,339,000 incurred in connection with regulatory filings, ongoing litigation, and other legal matters; 3) an increase in travel costs of \$345,000; 4) an increase in professional related services of \$225,000 incurred in connection with regulatory and business consultation; and 5) a \$164,000 increase in insurance expenses. These expenses were partially offset by a decrease \$280,000 in stockholder services related to public relations, shareholder meetings, and SEC filing requirements.

**MARKETING.** During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, marketing expenses increased \$2,426,000 to \$3,101,000. Excluding a non-cash compensation expense of \$577,000, sales and marketing expenses increased \$1,849,000 or 274% from \$675,000 to \$2,524,000. The increase, excluding non-cash compensation, is primary a result of: 1) an increase in salary and wage related expenses of \$631,000 attributable to an increase in the number of marketing employees; 2) increased marketing and public relations expenses of \$755,000; and 3) an increase of \$132,000 in travel expenses.

**RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.** During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, research and development expenses increased \$3,588,000 to \$8,703,000 compared to \$5,115,000 for the prior comparable period. Excluding a non-cash compensation expense of \$216,000, research and development expenses increased \$3,372,000 or 66% to \$8,487,000 compared to \$5,115,000 for the prior comparable period. The increase, excluding non-cash compensation, results primarily from 1) a \$1,460,000 increase in salary and wage related expenses attributable to an increase in the number of research and engineering employees; 2) a \$544,000 increase in research and development costs related the development of our medical and industrial applications; 3) a \$253,000 increase in employee benefits; and 4) a \$194,000 increase in clinical trial expenses.

For the 12 months ended June 30, 2001, and all prior periods, we expensed all costs associated with process and systems development, including software code development, computer hardware and software purchases, and expenses related to the development of our examination table.

24

**DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION.** Depreciation and amortization for the 12

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

months ended June 30, 2001, increased \$1,642,000 or 267% to \$2,258,000 compared to \$616,000 for the prior comparable period. This increase is expense resulting from amortization of goodwill associated with our acquisition of Bales Scientific in April 2000 and amortization of our software licenses.

NONRECURRING EXPENSES. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2001, we abandoned our database management project. In connection therewith, we reduced the capitalized value of the software to zero, incurring a one-time charge of approximately \$2,740,000. We also wrote off other tangible and intangible assets, with a net book value of \$154,000 in connection with relocating our Utah operations to our Ogden, Utah, manufacturing facility.

### NET INTEREST INCOME (EXPENSE)

Interest income for the 12 months ended June 30, 2001, increased \$1,083,000 or 129% to \$1,921,000 compared to \$838,000 for the prior comparable period. This increase resulted from investing proceeds from the April 2000 private placement of our common stock.

### NET LOSS

As a result of the foregoing, we incurred a loss of \$26,113,000, or \$(0.32) per share, for the 12 months ended June 30, 2001, compared to a loss of \$8,893,000, or \$(0.13) per share, for the 12 months ended June 30, 2000.

### UNAUDITED QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

-----

The following table summarizes our results of operations for each of the four quarters ended June 30, 2000, through June 30, 2002. This information was derived from unaudited interim consolidated financial statements that, in the opinion of management, have been prepared on a basis consistent with the audited consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report and includes all adjustments necessary for fair statement of such information when read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Period-to-period comparisons of our historical operating results are not necessarily indicative of future performance.

25

	Quarter ended (unaudited)							
	(in thousands)							
	6/30/02	3/31/02	12/31/01	9/30/01	6/30/01	3/31/01	12/31/00	9/30/00
Revenues	\$ 121	\$ 314	\$ 236	\$ 207	\$ 371	\$ 107	\$ 116	\$ 80
Cost of goods sold	(124)	(219)	(155)	(111)	(285)	(42)	(49)	(43)
Gross margin	(3)	95	81	96	86	65	67	37
General & administrative	1,110	1,091	1,182	(2,027)	4,786	1,761	3,342	1,456
Litigation settlements	1,600	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Research & development	1,760	1,511	1,581	1,289	2,127	2,492	2,294	1,790
Marketing	956	751	1,056	230	1,623	512	634	332

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Depreciation & amortization	434	391	388	387	567	544	709	438
Impairment Loss	8,717	--	--	--	2,894	--	--	--
Total costs and expenses	14,577	3,744	4,207	(121)	11,997	5,309	6,979	4,016
Interest income/(expense)	(52)	(8)	230	265	367	425	512	617
Misc. Income	--	--	--	--	6	4	--	2
Total other income	(52)	(8)	230	265	373	429	512	619
Net loss	\$ (14,632)	\$ (3,657)	\$ (3,896)	\$ 482	\$ (11,538)	\$ (4,815)	\$ (6,400)	\$ (3,360)

For the quarter ended December 31, 2001, our net loss is adjusted by \$9,000 to \$3,896,000 to reflect an increase to general and administrative expense; and for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, the net loss is adjusted \$11,000 to \$3,657,000 to reflect a \$5,000 increase in general and administrative expense and a \$6,000 increase in interest expense. These non-cash expenses increased from an adjustment to the volatility computations applied in the Black Scholes equation we used to calculate the fair value of options and warrants issued to consultants and Beach Boulevard, LLC.

The Company's financial results for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2002 were affected by an approximate \$8.7 million asset impairment loss related to the writeoff of goodwill.

#### SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY

Since inception, we have generated losses from operations. As of June 30, 2002, these losses equal \$82,418,000 in the aggregate.

Our cash requirements include general corporate expenses including salaries and benefits, lease payments for office space, technology acquisition, software license and maintenance contract payments, legal and accounting fees, clinical trial and technical support, FDA consulting, marketing, and expenses associated with the private placement of our equity securities. Capital resources needed to meet our past and planned expenditures have been financed and are likely to continue to be primarily from the sale of equity securities.

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations and commitments to make future payments:

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments due by period		
		Less than 1 year	1-2 years	After 3 years
Operating Leases	\$ 964,426	\$ 429,267	\$ 203,170	\$ 331,989
Debenture	2,500,000	2,500,000	--	--
Penalty	287,165	287,165	--	--
Interest on Debenture	175,000	175,000	--	--
Total Commercial Commitments	\$3,926,591	\$3,391,432	\$ 203,170	\$ 331,989

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

### AGREEMENT WITH BEACH BOULEVARD, LLC.

On December 31, 2001, we reached a financing agreement (the "Agreement") with Beach Boulevard, LLC (the "Investor"), pursuant to which the we issued a 7 percent convertible debenture in the amount of \$2.5 million (the "Debenture Offering") and secured an equity line of credit (the "Equity Line") for \$20 million that allows the us to sell up to \$20 million in common stock to the Investor at 94 percent of the market price, as defined by the Agreement. The Convertible Debenture is due on December 31, 2004. The terms of the Agreement permit the Investor to convert the Convertible Debenture into common stock at a conversion price of \$1.44 per share at any time during the term of the Agreement.

In connection with the agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement and subsequently filed an effective registration statement with the SEC. The Investor may require us to redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Debenture if the average closing bid price of the our common stock for the 90 consecutive trading days after the effective date of the registration statement is less than \$1.44 (a "Trigger Event"). The amount redeemable is equal to 111 percent of the principal balance of the Convertible Debenture and accrued interest (the "Redeemable Balance"). If a Trigger Event occurs, the Investor is required to provide notice to us of its election to force redemption and to specify the date (the "Redemption Due Date") on which the Redeemable Balance is to be paid. If we do not pay the Redeemable Balance in full by the Redemption Due Date, we are required to issue registered unrestricted shares of common stock pursuant to a series of put notices consistent with the terms of the Equity Line. If the Redeemable Balance is not satisfied through the mandatory puts within six months of the Investor's notice to force redemption, the unpaid portion of the Redeemable Balance is required to be paid immediately.

On July 25, 2002, the Investor notified us that a Trigger Event had occurred. On the date of the Trigger Event, the Redeemable Balance was approximately \$2.9 million, which includes principal of \$2.5 million, \$111,000 of accrued interest and \$287,000 of penalty. We elected to satisfy the Redeemable Balance through a series of put notices based on the terms of the Equity Line. The terms of the Equity Line provide for one mandatory put per month and a maximum put amount equal to the lesser of \$500,000 or 125 percent of the weighted average volume for the 20 days immediately preceding the date of the put notice. Based on the terms of the Equity Line and the weighted average volume for the 20 days preceding the first put on August 1, 2002, we estimate we will be able to pay approximately \$696,000 of the Redeemable Balance before the end of the six-month period. The remaining unpaid balance will then be due in cash.

The Equity Line allows for the sale of up to \$20 million of common stock subject to certain conditions during a 24-month period, at 94 percent of the then current market price. We believe our availability under the Equity Line will be significantly less than \$20 million because availability is contingent upon a) our common stock price and b) our daily trading volume both of which have declined since we entered into the financing arrangement, and because we are using the Equity Line to redeem the debenture as described above.

27

In connection with the Agreement, we issued the Investor warrants for the purchase of 260,417 shares of common stock at \$2.03 a share and 641,026 shares of common stock at \$1.95 a share, which expire December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2007, respectively. The proceeds from the Debenture Offering were allocated between the Convertible Debenture, the beneficial conversion feature,



## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

and the warrants issued to the Investor. We also issued separate warrants to an investment bank for the purchase of 100,000 shares of common stock at \$1.87 per share. The fair market value of these warrants and other related financing costs have been recorded as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the three-year term of the Agreement.

### CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS/PLAN OF OPERATION

Our capital requirements may vary from our estimates and depend upon numerous factors including a) progress in our research and development programs; b) results of pre-clinical and clinical testing; c) costs of technology; d) time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals; e) costs of filing, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; f) the economic impact of developments in competing technology and our markets; g) competing technological and market developments; h) the terms of any new collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish; and i) litigation costs.

We estimate that we will require approximately \$16.0 million in net cash to meet our operating and financing goals for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003. If we are able to satisfy our operating and financing needs through increased revenue and securing additional equity or debt financing, we expect to use approximately: a) \$4.2 million to fund research and development to continue our clinical studies, test our medical systems in connection with other clinical applications, and expand our industrial applications; b) \$3.8 million for day-to-day operating expenses including lease payments on our facilities; c) \$3.4 million to cover salaries not including R&D salaries; d) \$2.1 million for public relations, advertising, and commercialization of our products; e) \$0.5 million for capital expenditures; and f) \$2.0 million for legal, accounting and litigation settlement expenses.

In 2002, five different lawsuits were filed against us in the United States District Court in Oregon. Each suit makes substantially the same allegations: the Company misled shareholders regarding such things as FDA approval and other matters, which the plaintiff's believe caused significant damage to the shareholders holding shares of our common stock at the time of these alleged misrepresentations and omissions. The Company believes the allegations are without merit and intends to defend them vigorously. However, defending these lawsuits, which we believe will be consolidated into a single lawsuit, will require additional legal expenses to defend, may make fund raising more difficult if not impossible and will distract certain members of management from day-to-day operations.

Moreover, our insurance carrier has denied there is insurance coverage for the plaintiff's claims and, accordingly, has indicated it will not cover the costs of defending the claims and will not pay any resulting damages we may suffer if the plaintiff's are successful.

28

Finally, under our bylaws and contractual agreements we are required to indemnify our current and former officers and directors who are a party to the litigation by providing legal defense through our attorneys (or reimbursing them for their own attorneys) and covering all damages they may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful.

We do not have sufficient capital to cover the expected costs or potential damages of the shareholder litigation if there is no coverage under our insurance policies or to fund our business plans over the next year. We will have to obtain additional capital through loans, the sale of assets or capital contributions from private investors. We are working with an investment banking

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

firm and, we believe that we will be able to acquire the capital needed to carry out our business plans; however, if we are not successful, we will have to scale back our business plans.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141, BUSINESS COMBINATIONS, and SFAS No. 142, GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS. SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase methods of accounting be used and establishes new standards and the recognition of certain identifiable intangible assets separate from goodwill for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized but instead tested for impairment at least annually. Management does not expect these statements to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 143, ACCOUNTING FOR ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS, effective June 1, 2002, that addresses obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and associated retirement costs. The FASB issued SFAS No. 144, ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, that addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. Management does not expect these statements to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, RESCISSION OF FASB STATEMENTS NO. 4, 44, AND 64, AMENDMENT OF FASB STATEMENT NO. 13, AND TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS. SFAS No. 145 rescinds several statements, including SFAS No. 4, REPORTING GAINS AND LOSSES FROM EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT. The statement also makes several technical corrections to other existing authoritative pronouncements. SFAS No. 145 is effective in May 2002, except for the rescission of SFAS No. 4, which is effective in January 2003. Management has not determined the impact, if any, this statement will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, ACCOUNTING FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EXIT OR DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES, which requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred and nullifies EITF 94-3. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 146 in July 2002. Management has not determined the impact, if any, this statement will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

29

### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are a development stage enterprise. We currently believe we are not subject to market risks beyond ordinary economic risks, such as interest rate fluctuation and inflation. As we begin to market our products, we become exposed to the opportunities and risks usually associated with marketing and manufacturing novel products, including staff recruiting and retention, market acceptance, product warranty, bad debts, and inventory obsolescence.

At June 30, 2002, we had invested approximately \$8.0 million in available-for-sale marketable securities including investments in United States government securities and corporate bonds. Although we believe the issuers of these marketable securities are solvent and are favorably rated by recognized rating agencies, there is the risk that such issuers may not have sufficient liquid assets to satisfy their obligations at the time such obligations become due. If such were to occur, we may not be able to recover the full amount of our

# Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

investment.

Each of our marketable securities has a fixed rate of interest. Accordingly, a change in market interest rates may result in an increase or decrease in the market value of our marketable securities. If we liquidate any of our marketable securities prior to the time of their maturity, we could receive less than the face value of the security.

30

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	PAGE
Independent Auditors' Report--Deloitte & Touche LLP	F-2
Independent Auditors' Report--HJ & Associates, LLC	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2002 and 2001	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, and for the period June 10, 1987 (inception) through June 30, 2002	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, and for the period June 10, 1987 (inception) through June 30, 2002	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, and for the period June 10, 1987 (inception) through June 30, 2002	F-13
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-14
	F-1

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Lake Oswego, Oregon

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") (a development stage company) as of June 30, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period June 10, 1987 (date of inception) to June 30, 2002. Our audits also include the information for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 in the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 14. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2000, and for the period June 10, 1987 (date of inception) through June 30, 2000 were audited by other auditors whose report dated September 1, 2000, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements. The consolidated financial statements for the period June 10, 1987 (date of inception) through June 30, 2000 reflect total revenues and net loss of \$329,283 and \$34,601,965, respectively, of the related totals. The other auditors' report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for such prior period, is based solely on the report of such other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors for the cumulative information for the period from June 10, 1987 (date of inception) to June 30, 2000, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at June 30, 2002 and 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, and for the period June 10, 1987 (date of inception) to June 30, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, The Company is in the development stage and the Company's recurring losses from operations, negative cash flows from operations, pending shareholder class-action lawsuits and denial of coverage for any resulting claims by the Company's provider of directors and officers insurance, forced redemption of the convertible debentures, the need for additional working capital, and the possibility that the Company may not receive FDA approval for its primary product raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah  
September 25, 2002

F-2

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)

# Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Layton, Utah

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows of Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. (a development stage company) for the year ended June 30, 2000, and from inception on June 10, 1987 through June 30, 2000. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of operations and cash flows of Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. (a development stage company) for the year ended June 30, 2000, and from inception on June 10, 1987 through June 30, 2000 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

HJ & Associates, LLC  
Salt Lake City, Utah  
September 1, 2000

F-3

## COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2002 AND 2001

ASSETS	2002	2001
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 936,796	\$ 7,810,2
Investments available for sale	8,002,969	11,070,0
Accounts receivable - trade (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$96,115 and \$23,963 for 2002 and 2001, respectively)	47,145	383,3
Accounts receivable - other	116,617	559,0
Inventories	1,078,437	643,0
Prepaid expenses	514,444	269,7
Deferred finance costs	366,837	
Total current assets	11,063,245	20,735,5

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, Net	1,438,873	1,228,6
	-----	-----
INTANGIBLE ASSETS:		
Goodwill (less accumulated amortization of \$1,348,184)	--	9,834,8
Intellectual property rights (less accumulated amortization: 2002 - \$10,994; 2001 - \$5,993)	39,006	44,0
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 12,541,124	\$ 31,843,0
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 992,006	\$ 1,802,8
Accrued liabilities	1,426,072	844,2
Accrued litigation settlement	1,400,000	
Convertible debenture	2,257,076	
Deferred revenues	419,906	11,2
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	6,495,060	2,658,3
	-----	-----
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 2, 9, and 13)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Convertible preferred stock, \$5.00 par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized	--	
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 83,004,313 and 81,076,546 issued and outstanding on June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively	83,004	81,0
Additional paid-in capital	88,644,442	89,910,4
Accumulated other comprehensive income	14,178	106,3
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(82,695,560)	(60,913,2
	-----	-----
Total stockholders' equity	6,046,064	29,184,6
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 12,541,124	\$ 31,843,0
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

	2002	2001	
INCOME:			
Revenues	\$ 877,929	\$ 673,782	\$
Cost of goods sold	(609,159)	(419,157)	
GROSS MARGIN			
	268,770	254,625	
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Operating, general and administrative	1,356,017	11,345,164	2
Litigation settlements	1,600,000	--	
Research and development	6,141,190	8,702,618	5
Marketing	2,992,654	3,101,095	
Depreciation and amortization	1,600,015	2,258,445	
Impairment loss	8,717,149	2,893,849	
Total operating expenses	22,407,025	28,301,171	9
OPERATING LOSS			
	(22,138,255)	(28,046,546)	(9)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):			
Interest income	653,618	1,921,066	
Interest expense	(218,694)	--	
Other	--	12,896	
Total other income	434,924	1,933,962	
LOSS BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM			
	(21,703,331)	(26,112,584)	(8)
EXTRAORDINARY GAIN ON EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT			
	--	--	
NET LOSS			
	(21,703,331)	(26,112,584)	(8)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) -			
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments available for sale	(92,197)	73,883	
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE LOSS			
	\$ (21,795,528)	\$ (26,038,701)	\$ (8)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING			
	82,525,878	80,463,731	68
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER COMMON SHARE			
	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.32)	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	SUBSCRIPTION RECEIVABLE	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
	SHARES	AMOUNT			
Balance at inception, June 10, 1987	--	--	--	--	--
Stock issued for cash to founders in 1987 at \$0.001 per share	5,000,000	\$ 5,000	--	--	--
Stock issued for cash in connection with public offering in 1988 at \$0.004 per share	5,000,000	5,000	\$ 14,562	--	--
Stock issued for cash in connection with a Regulation D offering in 1989 at \$3.13 per share	80,000	80	249,930	--	--
Stock issued for services in 1990 at \$0.51 per share	500,000	500	254,500	--	--
Stock issued for cash in connection with a Regulation D offering in 1991 at \$0.50 per share	180,000	180	89,820	--	--
Stock issued for services in 1991 at \$0.50 per share	3,240,000	3,240	1,616,760	--	--
Stock issued for services in 1992 at \$0.12 per share	4,860,000	4,860	578,340	--	--
Stock issued for services in 1993 at \$0.06 per share	1,134,500	1,134	82,726	--	--
Stock issued for extension of debt agreement in 1993 at \$0.08 per share	9,000	9	691	--	--
Stock issued in connection with claims of certain stock- holders in 1993 at \$0.06 per share	1,000	1	59	--	--
Stock issued for cash in 1994 at \$0.07 per share	387,000	387	25,613	--	--
Stock issued for services in 1994 at \$0.10 per share	1,485,660	1,486	149,148	--	--
Stock issued for extension of debt agreement in 1994 at \$0.07 per share	9,000	9	591	--	--
Balance Forward	21,886,160	21,886	3,062,740	--	--

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial s



Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

F-6

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	SUBSCRIPTION RECEIVABLE	ACCUM OTH COM HEN INC
	SHARES	AMOUNT			
Balance Forward	21,886,160	\$ 21,886	\$ 3,062,740	--	
Stock issued in connection with claims by certain stockholders at \$0.12 per share	51,000	51	5,989	--	
Stock issued for cash in 1995 at \$0.60 per share	679,202	680	407,995	--	
Stock issued for services in 1995 at \$0.87 per share	3,506,461	3,506	3,049,200	--	
Stock issued to convert notes payable in 1996 at \$0.17 per share	702,400	702	117,941	--	
Common stock issued upon conversion of preferred shares in 1995 at \$1.69 per share	124,600	125	209,875	--	
Stock issued for cash in connection with a Regulation D offering in 1996 at \$1.00 per share	1,462,600	1,463	1,461,137	--	
Stock issued for note receivable in connection with a Regulation D offering in 1996 at \$1.00 per share	525,000	525	524,475	(525,000)	
Stock issued in satisfaction of offering costs in connection with a Regulation D offering in 1996 at \$0.00 per share	53,650	53	(53)	--	
Stock issued in connection with the settlement of a note payable to an individual in 1996 at \$0.98 per share	734,942	735	721,345	--	
Stock issued in connection with the settlement of claims by certain stockholders in 1996 at \$0.88 per share	578,000	578	507,702	--	
Common stock issued upon conversion of preferred shares in 1996 at \$1.70 per share	14,700	14	24,986	--	
Balance Forward	30,318,715	30,318	10,093,332	(525,000)	

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	SUBSCRIPTION RECEIVABLE	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
	SHARES	AMOUNT			
Balance Forward	30,318,715	\$ 30,318	\$ 10,093,332	\$ (525,000)	
Stock issued in repayment of notes payable/interest expense in 1996 at \$1.05 per share	146,590	147	153,060	--	
Stock issued for cash in 1996 at \$0.68 per share	1,163,625	1,164	795,306	--	
Stock issued for services in 1996 at \$1.05 per share	1,277,633	1,278	891,874	--	
Stock issued as a bonus to investors in connection with the Company's 1996 Regulation D offering at \$0.00 per share	211,900	212	(212)	--	
Conversion of debentures to common stock at \$0.65 per share	98,768	99	64,026	--	
Stock issued for cash at \$0.55 per share	1,833,152	1,833	1,008,376	--	
Stock issued for services at \$0.59 per share	687,266	687	404,811	--	
Losses accumulated during the period from inception, June 10, 1987 to June 30, 1997	--	--	--	--	
Balance, June 30, 1997	35,737,649	35,738	13,410,573	(525,000)	
Conversion of debentures to common stock at \$0.41 per share	2,403,838	2,404	977,951	--	
Stock issued to convertible debenture holders for failure to complete registration of the underlying common stock in a timely manner at \$0.42 per share	197,574	198	82,018	--	

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Stock issued for cash at \$0.31 per share	9,476,418	9,476	2,896,760	--
Balance Forward	47,815,479	47,816	17,367,302	(525,000)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-8

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	SUBSCRIPTION	ACCUMULATED
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN CAPITAL	RECEIVABLE	OTHER COM- MEN- ING
Balance Forward	47,815,479	\$ 47,816	\$ 17,367,302	\$ (525,000)	
Stock issued for services at \$0.59 per share	521,478	521	305,860	--	
Warrants issued for services	--	--	1,006,000	--	
Stock subject to rescission offer	(771,200)	(771)	(306,102)	--	
Net loss accumulated in 1998	--	--	--	--	
Balance, June 30, 1998	47,565,757	47,566	18,373,060	(525,000)	
Reclassification of stock no longer subject to rescission offer at \$0.40 per share	771,200	771	306,102	--	
Stock issued in a private place- ment to a director and a stock- holder for cash at \$0.70 per share	285,000	285	199,715	--	
Stock issued for cash with 169,837 shares issued for a placement fee to a third party at \$0.47 per share	2,133,862	2,134	997,866	--	
Stock issued in satisfaction of cash advances at \$0.47 per share	460,861	461	217,316	--	
Stock issued in satisfaction of cash advances from affiliate at \$0.48 per share	4,403,323	4,403	2,098,558	--	
Stock issued upon conversion of warrants at \$0.71 per share, net of placement fee of \$2,000	264,166	264	187,936	--	

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Stock issued for services at \$0.67 per share	45,800	46	30,640	--
Stock issued in private placement at \$0.37 per share	2,364,865	2,365	872,635	--
Stock issued for cash to redeem two notes totaling \$597,500, accrued discount of \$597,500, accrued interest of \$49,638, for a total of \$1,244,638 at \$0.37 per share	2,140,164	2,140	1,242,498	--
Balance Forward	60,434,998	60,435	24,526,326	(525,000)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-9

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	SUBSCRIPTION	ACCUMULATED
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN CAPITAL	RECEIVABLE	OTHER COMPLETION DEFERRED INCOME
Balance Forward	60,434,998	\$ 60,435	\$ 24,526,326	\$ (525,000)	
Stock issued for cash at \$0.55 per share, net of offering costs of \$87,660	1,669,127	1,669	910,671	--	
Stock issued in satisfaction of liability at \$0.29 per share	171,435	172	49,828	--	
Net loss accumulated in 1999	--	--	--	525,000	
Balance, June 30, 1999	62,275,560	62,276	25,486,825	--	
Stock issued for cash:					
\$0.54 per share, net of offering expenses of \$25,000	933,707	934	474,066	--	
\$0.55 per share	913,916	914	499,086	--	
\$0.60 per share, net of offering expenses of \$25,000,	875,657	876	502,583	--	
\$1.25 per share, net of offering expenses of \$25,000	400,641	401	474,569	--	
\$9.80 per share	510,204	510	4,999,490	--	
Stock issued to corporation for services at \$0.94 per share	33,997	34	31,839	--	
Warrants exercised for cash:					

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

\$0.46 per share	150,000	150	68,850	--
\$0.72 per share	133,166	133	95,746	--
\$1.19 per share	254,155	254	302,203	--
\$1.50 per share	50,000	50	74,950	--
\$2.00 per share	100,000	100	199,900	--
\$2.50 per share	1,235,963	1,236	3,187,130	--
Stock issued to individuals for services:				
\$1.20 per share	200,000	200	239,800	--
\$2.80 per share	2,000	2	5,598	--
Stock issued to individual for shares of CTICO (a subsidiary):	--	--	--	
\$1.20 per share	15,000	15	17,985	--
\$1.50 per share	5,000	5	7,495	--
\$2.80 per share	50,000	50	139,950	--
Warrants exercised for services:	--	--	--	
\$3.63 per share	13,885	13	50,319	--
\$3.72 per share	15,623	16	58,083	--
Balance Forward	68,168,474	68,169	36,916,467	--

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-10

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	SUBSCRIPTION RECEIVABLE	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
	SHARES	AMOUNT			
Balance Forward	68,168,474	\$ 68,169	\$ 36,916,467	--	
Stock issued to Company's 401K plan at \$3.81 per share	11,348	11	43,225	--	
Warrants exercised for cash at \$2.50 per share	76,250	76	190,548	--	
Stock and warrants issued for cash, net of offering expenses of \$2,932,324, at \$3.81 per share and warrant	11,148,766	11,149	39,533,423	--	
Stock issued in connection with acquisition of Bales Scientific at \$7.75 per share	709,678	710	5,499,290	--	
Shares issued on exercise of stock options by officer at					

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

\$0.70 per share	35,000	35	24,465	--
Options granted to officer at 15% discount to market as compensation	--	--	91,750	--
Warrants issued at 14% discount to market in connection with the settlement of a lawsuit	--	--	475,000	--
Other comprehensive income	--	--	--	--
Net loss accumulated in 2000	--	--	--	--
<hr/>				
Balance at June 30, 2000	80,149,516	80,150	82,774,168	--
Warrants exercised on a cashless basis:				
\$0.9375 per share	32,249	32	(32)	--
\$1.70 per share	162,430	162	(162)	--
Warrants exercised for cash:				
\$0.72 per share	16,379	16	11,777	--
\$2.50 per share	73,125	73	182,740	--
\$5.00 per share	26,246	27	131,204	--
<hr/>				
Balance forward	80,459,945	80,460	83,099,695	--

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-11

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	SUBSCRIPTION	ACCUMULATED
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN CAPITAL	RECEIVABLE	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
	<hr/>		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Balance Forward	80,459,945	\$ 80,460	\$ 83,099,695	--	\$
Options exercised for cash:					
\$0.70 per share	264,286	264	184,736	--	
\$1.50 per share	45,766	46	68,603	--	
\$1.70 per share	105,659	106	179,516	--	
Stock issued to Company's 401K plan at \$4.5739 per share					
	11,533	12	52,739	--	
Stock issued for services:					
\$3.75 per share	189,357	189	134,646	--	
Compensation expense on options marked to market	--	--	3,840,942	--	

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Refund received of stock offering costs	--	--	192,664	--	
Deemed dividend on extension of warrants	--	--	198,680	--	
Options issued at a discount to market	--	--	270,986	--	
Options extended beyond their expiration date	--	--	1,687,250	--	
Other comprehensive income	--	--	--	--	
Net loss accumulated in 2001	--	--	--	--	
-----					
Balance at June 30, 2001	81,076,546	81,077	89,910,457	--	1
=====					
Options issued for services:					
\$1.55 per share	--	--	7,185	--	
\$1.88 per share	--	--	3,486	--	
\$1.95 per share	--	--	14,463	--	
Options exercised for cash:					
\$0.75 per share	1,000,000	1,000	749,000	--	
\$0.97 per share	500,000	500	484,500	--	
\$1.50 per share	54,002	54	80,950	--	
Stock issued for cash:					
\$0.98 per share	200,126	200	189,800	--	
Stock issued for services	50,000	50	(50)	--	
Warrants exercised for cash:					
\$2.50 per share	122,715	122	306,665	--	
Warrants issued for financing:					
\$1.87 to \$2.03 per share	--	--	118,905	--	
Warrants exercised on a cashless basis					
\$1.19 per share	924	1	(1)	--	
Compensation expense on options marked to market	--	--	(3,501,170)	--	
Other comprehensive loss	--	--	--	--	
Detachable warrants issued with convertible debentures	--	--	35,959	--	
Beneficial conversion feature on convertible debentures	--	--	244,293	--	
Preferential dividend to a shareholder	--	--	--	--	
Net loss	--	--	--	--	
-----					
Balance at June 30, 2002	83,004,313	\$ 83,004	\$ 88,644,442	--	\$
=====					

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-12

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

	YEARS ENDED JUNE 30	
	2002	2001
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$(21,703,331)	\$(26,112,584)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,600,015	2,258,445
Impairment loss	8,717,149	2,893,849
Amortization of bond premium (discount)	57,025	(74,685)
Amortization of discount on convertible debenture and deferred finance costs	109,181	--
Common stock, warrants, and options issued as compensation for services	25,134	270,986
Options extended beyond their expiration date	--	1,687,250
Common stock issued for interest expense	--	--
Stock-based compensation on options marked to market	(3,501,170)	3,840,942
Common stock issued to settle litigation	--	--
Options issued at discount to market to settle litigation	--	--
Options issued at discount to market as compensation expense	--	134,836
Common stock issued for failure to complete timely registration	--	--
Common stock issued to 401(k) plan	--	52,751
Extraordinary gain on extinguishment of debt	--	--
Bad debt expense	191,351	346,874
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:		
Accounts receivable - trade	144,835	(230,040)
Accounts receivables - other	442,463	(119,807)
Inventories	(435,339)	(532,892)
Prepaid expenses	(244,736)	244,696
Accounts payable	(810,860)	1,114,802
Accrued liabilities	581,869	104,442
Accrued litigation settlement	1,400,000	--
Deferred revenues	408,646	(1,738,740)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(13,017,768)	(15,858,875)
	-----	-----
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of assets	--	--
Capital expenditures	(687,595)	(1,160,616)
Acquisition of Thermal Imaging, Inc. common stock	--	(40,000)
Purchase of software license	--	--
Purchase of investments available for sale	(15,447,740)	(2,070,655)
Proceeds on redemption of investments available for sale	18,365,615	17,183,558
Acquisition of Bales Scientific common stock, net of cash acquired	--	--
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,230,280	13,912,287
	-----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements



Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000  
AND FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 10, 1987 (INCEPTION) THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

	YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,		
	2002	2001	2000
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants, net of offering costs	\$ 1,812,791	\$ 759,106	\$ 50,597,
Advances to affiliate	--	--	(107,
Advances from stockholders	--	--	
Proceeds from borrowing, net of finance costs	2,180,208	--	
Payments on debt	--	--	
Preferential dividend to a shareholder	(79,000)	--	
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,913,999	759,106	50,489,
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(6,873,489)	(1,187,482)	8,860,
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	7,810,285	8,997,767	137,
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 936,796	\$ 7,810,285	\$ 8,997,
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>			
Cash paid for:			
Interest expense	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Income taxes	--	--	--
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Warrants issued for financing costs	\$ 118,905	\$ --	
Common stock issued to individuals to acquire minority interest of subsidiary	--	--	\$ 165,
Common stock issued in acquisition of Bales Scientific	--	--	5,500,
Options issued at discount to market in connection with offering	--	--	744,
Stock offering costs capitalized	--	--	(744,
Common stock issued for advances from shareholders	--	--	
Common stock issued for notes payable, accrued discount and interest	--	--	
Common stock issued for convertible subordinated debentures	--	--	
Common stock issued for liabilities	--	--	

# Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-14

## COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002, 2001, AND 2000

---

### 1. SUMMARY OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ORGANIZATION--Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. (the "Company" or "CTI"), a Nevada Corporation, develops and markets thermal imaging systems for applications in healthcare and industrial markets. The Company's system is based upon computer interpretation of thermal photography using proprietary software developed by the Company. The Company also applies elements of its core thermal imaging technology to industrial non-destructive testing applications. The Company is considered a development stage enterprise because it has not yet generated significant revenues from the sale of its products and has not received FDA approval on its primary product, the Breast Imaging System: the BCS 2100(TM) (the "BCS 2100").

Since inception, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts to: 1) the development and improvement of systems for commercial application of thermal imaging technology in the medical industry; 2) the development of markets for its technology; and 3) the search for sources of capital to fund its efforts. On April 18, 2000, the Company acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Bales Scientific, Inc. ("Bales"), a company that designs, manufactures, and sells high-resolution, dynamic, digital infrared-imaging workstations and related products for both medical and industrial applications (see Note 6).

BASIS OF PRESENTATION--The Company's consolidated financial statements have been presented on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has been primarily involved in research and development activities. This has resulted in significant operating losses and an accumulated deficit at June 30, 2002, of \$82,695,560. As explained in the paragraphs below, the Company has numerous conditions which may adversely affect its ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

The following conditions may adversely affect the Company's ability to continue as a going concern:

The Company has not received regulatory approval for the BCS 2001. Regulatory approval is contingent upon, among other things, successful completion of an FDA device panel review and an audit of the Company's manufacturing and clinical trial practices. There is no assurance that the Company will receive FDA approval.

If the BCS 2100 receives FDA approval, the Company's cash flow and

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

profitability will be dependant upon, among other things, successful marketing and acceptance of the system by the medical community, obtaining reimbursements from private and public insurance providers for procedures performed with the BCS 2100, and that customers will find these reimbursements sufficient to warrant its use. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to successfully market the system, secure reimbursements, nor can the Company assure that customers will believe reimbursements offered are sufficient.

F-15

During 2002, five different class-action lawsuits were filed against the Company (see Note 9). The lawsuits allege that the Company misled shareholders regarding such things as FDA approval and other matters. The Company's bylaws and contractual agreements require the Company indemnify its current and former directors and officers by providing legal defense and covering damages they may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful. The provider of the Company's director and officer insurance has denied coverage for the litigation.

During July 2002, the holder of the Company's convertible debenture required its redemption (see Note 2). In certain circumstances, the terms of the agreements between the Company and the debenture holder permit the Company to redeem the convertible debenture through the issuance of its common stock. However, the Company estimates it will be able to satisfy approximately \$696,000 of the \$2,898,000 redeemable balance through issuance of common stock due to limitations in the agreements, the remaining balance will be payable in cash. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to negotiate a revised payment schedule or obtain the additional funding necessary to satisfy this obligation.

The Company's current operating plan for fiscal 2003 assumes the expenditure of approximately \$16 million for general and administrative costs, research and development, marketing, and payment of litigation settlements that were reached during the first quarter of fiscal 2003 (see Note 9). The operating plan does not contemplate cash payments that will be required to redeem the Company's convertible debentures as described above (see Note 2) or any costs related to the shareholder class-action lawsuits (see Note 9). In order to fund operations, the Company will be required to raise additional capital through debt or equity financing. Uncertainties regarding FDA approval for the BCS 2100 and the shareholder litigation may make fund raising more difficult.

Management of the Company, has taken certain actions in response to these risk factors. Management believes that regulatory approval is contingent upon, among other things, successful completion of an FDA device panel review and an audit of the Company's manufacturing and clinical trial practices. The FDA's Radiological Devices Panel will meet October 16, 2002, to review, discuss and make a recommendation regarding the BCS 2100, and the Company expects to conclude the manufacturing practices and clinical trail audits during the second quarter of Fiscal 2003. Management is confident, but cannot guarantee whether or when the FDA will approve the BCS 2100, and has retained consultants to assist with preparation for the Radiological Devices Panel meeting, manufacturing practices and clinical trial audits.

Further, management believes that success with regulatory activities will facilitate funding and insurance reimbursement efforts. The Company has retained an investment banker to assist in securing additional funding and renegotiation of existing agreements, and consultants to assist with securing insurance reimbursements.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The Company plans to secure additional cash from operations through selective cost reduction activities, by continuing to actively market in the United States and International markets, by securing expanded indications for use of its pain management products (which may require regulatory approval) and is conducting studies for customers that may result in the development of new industrial applications. Management cannot guarantee success of these efforts.

In connection with shareholder lawsuits filed against the company, which the Company believes are without merit, and subsequent denial of coverage by the Company's Directors and Officers Liability insurance carrier; the Company has retained counsel to defend the shareholder litigation and insurance counsel to manage its relationship with the D&O insurance carrier.

F-16

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION--The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Computerized Thermal Imaging Company ("CTICO"), formerly known as Thermal Medical Imaging, Inc, which was dissolved during June 2001, and Bales Scientific, Inc. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

USE OF ESTIMATES IN PREPARING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS--Cash and cash equivalents include cash in checking accounts and short-term highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE FOR SALE--The Company invests cash reserves in U.S. government securities, corporate bonds and certificates of deposit. All investments are classified as available for sale and are reported at fair market value with net unrealized gains or losses (net of taxes) reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

INVENTORIES--Inventories consist of finished goods, work-in-process, and raw materials. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in first-out method.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT--Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives:

Leasehold improvements	3 years
Office furniture and fixtures	5-7 years
Machinery and equipment (including demonstration equipment)	2-7 years

INTANGIBLE ASSETS--Intangible assets are stated at cost and amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives:

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Intellectual property rights  
Goodwill

10 years  
10 years

ACCRUED LIABILITIES--Included in accrued liabilities are bonuses payable to employees totaling \$284,163. Bonuses are performance driven and are computed based on a percentage of each employees' salary.

REVENUE RECOGNITION--The Company recognizes revenue from its product sales upon shipment of products when persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, delivery of the product has occurred, no significant Company obligations remain, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is probable. If these conditions are not met, revenue is deferred until such obligations and conditions are fulfilled. If the Company retains an ongoing obligation under a sales arrangement, revenue is deferred until all the Company's obligations are fulfilled. Warranty revenue is recognized ratably over the period of the agreement as services are provided.

INCOME TAXES--The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes. The Company has provided a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

F-17

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES--The Company expenses as incurred the direct, indirect, and purchased research and development costs associated with its products.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS--The Company evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets or intangibles may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. During 2002, the Company wrote-off approximately \$8,700,000 of goodwill based on its assessment that the entire carrying value of goodwill was not recoverable.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION--The Company has elected to follow the accounting provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK ISSUED TO EMPLOYEES FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION, for stock options granted to employees and directors and to furnish the pro forma disclosure required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION. Options and warrants issued for services are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME--The Company classifies components of other comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements and displays the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheets.

NET LOSS PER SHARE--Net loss per share is based on the net loss and the

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Common equivalent shares from common stock options and warrants are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as their effect would be antidilutive to the loss per share for all periods presented.

RECENTLY ISSUED FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS--SFAS No. 142, GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, changes the accounting for goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets from an amortization method to an impairment-only approach. Goodwill, including goodwill recorded in past business combinations, is no longer amortized, but is tested for impairment at least annually at the reporting unit level. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 142 for its fiscal year beginning July 1, 2002. Management does not expect this statement to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 143, ACCOUNTING FOR ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The Company is required to implement SFAS No. 143 on July 1, 2002. Management does not expect this statement to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND FOR LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF. The statement retains the previously existing accounting requirements related to the recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and expands the measurement requirements of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale to include discontinued operations. It also expands the previously existing reporting requirements for discontinued operations to include a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale. The Company is required to implement SFAS No. 144 on July 1, 2002. Management does not expect this statement to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

F-18

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, RESCISSION OF FASB STATEMENTS NO. 4, 44, AND 64, AMENDMENT OF FASB STATEMENT NO. 13, AND TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS. This statement eliminates the required classification of gain or loss on extinguishment of debt as an extraordinary item of income and states that such gain or loss be evaluated for extraordinary classification under the criteria of Accounting Principles Board No. 30, REPORTING RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. This statement also requires sale-leaseback accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects that are similar to sale-leaseback transactions, and makes various other technical corrections to existing pronouncements. The Company is required to implement SFAS No. 145 on July 1, 2002. Management has not determined the impact, if any, this statement will have on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, ACCOUNTING FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EXIT OR DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES. This statement nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, LIABILITY RECOGNITION

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEE TERMINATION BENEFITS AND OTHER COSTS TO EXIT AN ACTIVITY (INCLUDING CERTAIN COSTS INCURRED IN A RESTRUCTURING). This statement requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred rather than the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. Management has not determined the impact, if any, this statement will have on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

RECLASSIFICATION--Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

### 2. CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURE

On December 31, 2001, the Company entered into a financing agreement (the "Agreement") with Beach Boulevard, LLC (the "Investor"), pursuant to which the Company issued a 7 percent convertible debenture in the amount of \$2.5 million (the "Convertible Debenture") and secured an equity line of credit (the "Equity Line") that allows the Company to sell up to \$20 million in common stock to the Investor at 94 percent of the market price, as defined by the Agreement. The Convertible Debenture is due on December 31, 2004. The terms of the Agreement permit the Investor to convert the Convertible Debenture into 2,100,694 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$1.44 per share at anytime during the term of the Agreement. Interest on the Convertible Debenture is due on the conversion date and is payable, at the option of the Company, in cash or common stock.

In connection with the agreement, the Company entered into a registration rights agreement and subsequently filed an effective registration statement with the SEC. The Investor may require the Company to redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Debenture if the average closing bid price of the Company's common stock for the 90 consecutive trading days after the effective date of the registration statement is less than \$1.44 (a "Trigger Event"). The amount redeemable is equal to 111 percent of the principal balance of the Convertible Debenture and accrued interest (the "Redeemable Balance"). If a Trigger Event occurs, the Investor is required to provide notice to the Company of its election to force redemption and to specify the date (the "Redemption Due Date") on which the Redeemable Balance is to be paid. If the Company does not pay the Redeemable Balance in full by the Redemption Due Date, the Company is required to issue registered unrestricted shares of common stock pursuant to a series of mandatory put notices consistent with the terms of the Equity Line. If the Redeemable Balance is not satisfied through the mandatory puts within six months of the Investor's notice to force redemption, the unpaid portion of the Redeemable Balance is required to be paid immediately.

F-19

On July 25, 2002, the Investor notified the Company that a Trigger Event had occurred and the Redeemable Balance of the Convertible Debenture became due. On the date of the Trigger Event, the Redeemable Balance was approximately \$2,898,000, which includes principal of \$2,500,000, \$111,000 of accrued interest and \$287,000 of penalty. The Company elected to satisfy the Redeemable Balance through a series of mandatory put notices based on the terms of the Equity Line. The terms of the Equity Line provide for one mandatory put per month and a maximum put amount per put equal to the lesser of \$500,000 or 125 percent of the weighted average trading volume of the Company's common stock for the 20 days

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

immediately preceding the date of the mandatory put notice. Based on the terms of the Equity Line and the weighted average trading volume for the 20 days preceding the first mandatory put on August 1, 2002, the Company estimates it will be able to pay approximately \$696,000 of the Redeemable Balance before the end of the six-month period. The remaining unpaid balance will then be payable in cash.

In connection with the Agreement, the Company issued the Investor warrants for the purchase of 260,417 shares of common stock at \$2.03 a share and 641,026 shares of common stock at \$1.95 a share, which expire December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The proceeds from the Debenture Offering were allocated between the Convertible Debenture, the beneficial conversion feature, and the warrants issued to the Investor. The Company also issued separate warrants to an investment bank for the purchase of 100,000 shares of common stock at \$1.87 per share. The fair market value of these warrants and other related financing costs have been recorded as deferred financing costs. Because of the Trigger Event discussed in the preceding paragraph, the deferred financing costs are being amortized over the six-month period ending January 25, 2003.

### 3. INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The following table summarizes the Company's investments available for sale (in thousands):

	2002			2001		
	AMORTIZED COST	GROSS UNREALIZED GAINS	GROSS UNREALIZED LOSSES	FAIR VALUE	AMORTIZED COST	GROSS UNREALIZED GAINS
Corporate securities	\$ 4,379	\$ 13	\$ (22)	\$ 4,370	\$10,106	\$ 92
Government securities	3,500	23	--	3,523	748	14
Other	110	--	--	110	110	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$ 7,989	\$ 36	\$ (22)	\$ 8,003	\$10,964	\$ 106
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

F-20

Contractual maturities and yields of investments in available-for-sale securities at June 30 were as follows (in thousands):

	CORPORATE SECURITIES			GOVERNMENT SECURITIES		
	AMORTIZED COST	FAIR VALUE	YIELD	AMORTIZED COST	FAIR VALUE	YIELD
2002						
Due within 1 year	\$ 4,379	\$ 4,370	6.78 %	\$ --	\$ --	
After 1 but within 5 years	--	--		3,500	3,523	3.40 %
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$ 4,379	\$ 4,370	6.78 %	\$ 3,500	\$ 3,523	3.40 %
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====



## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

2001

Due within 1 year	\$10,106 =====	\$10,198 =====	6.55 % =====	\$ 748 =====	\$ 762 =====	6.77 % =====
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Gross realized gains from sales of investment securities classified as available for sale were \$16,000 and \$22,000 for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. There were no gross realized losses during 2002 and 2001, and no realized gains or losses during 2000.

#### 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following at June 30, 2002 and 2001:

	2002	2001
Raw materials	\$ 490,464	\$ 280,331
Work-in-process	102,178	61,567
Finished goods	485,795	301,200
	-----	-----
Total	\$1,078,437 =====	\$ 643,098 =====

As of June 30, 2002, finished goods include inventories totaling \$220,738 related to deferred revenues of \$419,906 for the year ended June 30, 2002. No amounts were deferred for the year ended June 30, 2001.

#### 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following at June 30, 2002 and 2001:

	2002	2001
Leasehold improvements	\$ 211,769	\$ 197,470
Office furniture and fixtures	348,732	237,579
Machinery and equipment	1,815,261	1,276,237
	-----	-----
	2,375,762	1,711,286
Less accumulated depreciation	(936,889)	(482,677)
	-----	-----
Property and equipment, net	\$ 1,438,873 =====	\$ 1,228,609 =====

F-21

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 was approximately \$477,000, \$1,053,000, and \$471,000, respectively.

Included in machinery and equipment is approximately \$311,000 of demonstration equipment and \$102,000 of equipment held for sale. Demonstration equipment used in clinical studies, tradeshow, research and development, and customer demonstrations is recorded at cost and amortized over two years.

During the year ended June 30, 2001, the Company recognized an impairment

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

loss relating to property and equipment of approximately \$150,000.

### 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following at June 30, 2002 and 2001:

	2002	2001
Goodwill	--	\$ 11,183,010
Intellectual property rights	\$ 50,000	50,000
	-----	-----
	50,000	11,233,010
Less accumulated amortization	(10,994)	(1,354,177)
	-----	-----
Net Intangible assets	\$ 39,006	\$ 9,878,833
	=====	=====

Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000 was approximately \$1,123,000, \$1,205,000, and \$145,000, respectively. During 2002, the Company wrote-off approximately \$8,700,000 of goodwill based on its assessment that the entire carrying value of goodwill was not recoverable. During 2001, the Company concluded that changes in the regulatory environment precluded effective marketing of its database management system and abandoned the project. Therefore, the Company reduced the carrying value of its software license to zero, due to the fact there was no market for the license, and recognized an impairment loss of approximately \$2,740,000.

### 7. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

Effective April 18, 2000, the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Bales Scientific, Inc. for \$5,604,058 in cash, common stock with a fair market value of approximately \$5,500,000, and the assumption of liabilities totaling \$155,167. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting; as such, results of operations have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. In conjunction with the acquisition, the Company recorded goodwill of approximately \$10,871,863, which was being amortized over 10 years until the Company determined in 2002 that the entire carrying value of goodwill was not recoverable.

F-22

The unaudited pro forma results of operations of the Company for the years ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 (assuming the acquisition of Bales had occurred as of July 1, 1998) are as follows:

	2000	1999
Net revenues	\$ 1,222,530	\$ 687,772
Net loss	(8,900,830)	(4,081,498)
Basic loss per common share	(0.11)	(0.06)

### 8. INCOME TAXES

Net deferred income taxes at June 30, 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

	2002	2001
--	------	------

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 23,514,796	\$ 20,434,674
Research credit carryforward	1,757,076	1,253,066
Deferred revenue	161,664	--
Accrued compensation	1,041,144	--
Other	905,577	89,622
	-----	-----
Total	27,380,257	21,777,362
Less valuation allowance	(27,316,907)	(21,615,745)
	-----	-----
Deferred tax assets	63,350	161,617
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax depreciation and amortization	(22,395)	(161,617)
Other	(40,955)	--
	-----	-----
Deferred tax liabilities	(63,350)	(161,617)
	-----	-----
Total	\$ --	\$ --
	=====	=====

F-23

The difference between the income tax benefit in the accompanying statements of operations and the amount that would result if the U.S. Federal statutory rate of 34% was applied to pre-tax loss is as follows:

	2002	2001	
Computed Federal income tax benefit			
at statutory rate of 34%	\$ (7,405,993)	\$ (8,878,279)	\$ (3
State income tax benefit, net of federal benefit	(748,887)	(1,175,066)	
Goodwill	3,343,833	463,948	
Other nondeductible items	15,215	6,171	
Research credit	(250,000)	(258,611)	
True-up of prior year return	(655,330)		
Increase in valuation allowance	5,701,162	9,841,837	3
	-----	-----	---
Total	\$ --	\$ --	\$
	=====	=====	=====

At June 30, 2002, for federal income tax and alternative minimum tax reporting purposes, the Company has approximately \$61,077,000 of unused net operating losses available for carry forward to future years. The benefit from carry forward of such net operating losses will expire in various years between 2003 and 2022 and could be subject to severe limitations if significant ownership changes occur in the Company. Of the unused net operating losses noted above, approximately \$6.0 million relates to losses incurred by the Company's subsidiary, CTICO. In fiscal years prior to June 30, 2001, CTICO was not included in the consolidated federal income tax returns of the Company. Accordingly, the \$6.0 million loss incurred by CTICO is further subject to separate limitations that

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

severely restrict the ability of the Company to use such losses.

### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

LITIGATION--The Company is involved in a lawsuit, Al-Hasawi v CTI, brought in connection with its April 2000 private placement wherein the plaintiffs allege non-payment of cash and options earned in connection with their efforts in that funding. Al-Hasawi asserts the Company failed to pay him commissions of approximately \$516,000 plus stock options to purchase 1,070,000 shares of common stock, valued by the plaintiff at \$15 million.

The Company has categorically denied all of the individual's claims and has affirmatively alleged that, at all times, the individual acted as an agent of Financial Services Group, a shareholder of the Company. The Company is currently engaged in discovery and no trial date yet has been set. Due to the preliminary nature of this lawsuit, no provision for loss has been made in the consolidated financial statements.

In 2002, five different class-action lawsuits were filed against the Company in the U.S. District Court in Oregon. Each suit makes substantially the same allegations: the Company misled shareholders regarding such things as FDA approval and other matters, which the plaintiffs believe caused significant damage to the shareholders at the time of these alleged misrepresentations and omissions. The Company believes the allegations are without merit and intends to defend them vigorously. Defending these lawsuits, which the Company expects will be consolidated into a single lawsuit, will require additional legal expenses to defend, may adversely impair the Company's ability to raise

F-24

funds from outside third parties, and will distract certain members of management from day-to-day operations. Moreover, the Company's insurance carrier has denied coverage of the plaintiffs' claims and, accordingly, has indicated it will not cover the costs of defending the claims and will not pay any resulting damages the Company may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful. Due to the preliminary nature of these lawsuits, no provision for losses related to these matters has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

Finally, under the Company's bylaws and contractual agreements the Company is required to indemnify its current and former officers and directors who are parties to the litigation by providing legal defense through the Company's attorneys (or reimbursing the parties for their own attorneys) and covering all damages the parties may suffer if the plaintiffs are successful.

A former consultant sued the Company for various allegations in June 2000. The lawsuit was settled in February 2002.

In June 2001, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Packer, its former president. Shortly thereafter, Mr. Packer filed suit against the Company to recover benefits, compensation, and 1,000,000 stock options granted pursuant to certain employment and separation agreements the Company had previously entered into with Mr. Packer. The Company filed a counterclaim and answer with affirmative defenses against Mr. Packer. The Company later dismissed its counterclaim and the trial court subsequently granted summary judgment in favor of Mr. Packer against the Company's affirmative defenses. As a result, the extent of Mr. Packer's damages remained the only outstanding issue. On August 30, 2002, the Company and

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Mr. Packer reached a settlement in this case that concluded Mr. Packer's and the Company's claims and allows the Company to avoid further defense costs and litigation risk. In connection with the settlement, the Company assumed a promissory note that was payable by Mr. Packer to another shareholder of the Company (see Note 13). The cash portion of the settlement and an amount equal to the amount due under the promissory note have been included with litigation settlements in the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2002.

Results of operations for the year ended June 30, 2002 reflect the settlement of two lawsuits at a cost of \$1,600,000.

The Company is involved in certain other litigation matters in the normal course of business which, in the opinion of management, will not result in any material adverse effects on the financial position, results of operations, or net cash flows of the Company.

OPERATING LEASES--The Company leases certain office and warehouse space. Total expense recorded under operating lease agreements in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations is approximately \$557,000, \$554,000, and \$388,000 for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

F-25

At June 30, 2002, the future minimum payments required under the noncancelable operating leases are as follows:

Year ended June 30:	
2003	\$429,000
2004	203,000
2005	198,000
2006	134,000
	-----
Total	\$964,000
	=====

With respect to one of the leases, the Company has an \$110,000 cash-collateralized letter of credit to secure leasehold improvements made by the lessor.

OTHER CONTINGENCIES--The Company has funded its operations in part by means of various offerings thought to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 or various applicable state securities laws. In the event that any of the exemptions upon which the Company relied were not, in fact, available, the Company could face claims from federal and state regulators and from purchasers of their securities. Management and legal counsel, although not aware of any alleged specific violations, cannot predict the likelihood of claims or the range of potential liability that could arise from this issue.

Prior to February 4, 1998, most of the Company's stockholders held preemptive rights to acquire shares of the Company's common stock under certain circumstances. In certain instances, the Company failed to properly offer stockholders these preemptive rights. No shareholder has asserted any preemptive rights to date. Should any stockholder do so, the Company plans to issue shares of common stock at the price to which the stockholder was originally entitled.

### 10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

PREFERRED STOCK--The Company has authorized 3,000,000 shares of \$5.00 par value preferred stock that is convertible into shares of common stock. The Board of Directors has the authority, without further stockholder action, to issue up to 3,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions thereof.

The Company had no preferred stock outstanding as of June 30, 2002 and 2001.

F-26

### 11. STOCK WARRANTS AND OPTIONS

WARRANTS--A summary of warrant activity for the period from July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2002, is as follows:

	# OF SHARES	EXERCISE PRICE
Balance at July 1, 1999	4,600,583	\$0.46 - \$3.72
Exercised (including cashless exercises)	(2,084,747)	\$0.46 - \$3.72
Granted	6,368,360	\$1.70 - \$7.25
	-----	
Balance at June 30, 2002	8,884,196	\$0.46 - \$7.25
Exercised (including cashless exercises)	(353,398)	\$0.72 - \$5.00
Granted	--	
	-----	
Balance at June 30, 2001	8,530,798	\$0.46 - \$7.25
Exercised (including cashless exercises)	(132,715)	\$2.50
Granted	1,001,443	\$1.87 - \$2.03
Forfeited	(2,680,013)	\$0.72 - \$7.25
	-----	
Balance at June 30, 2002	6,719,513	\$0.46 - \$7.25
	=====	

During the year ended June 30, 2002, warrants at \$2.50 per common share were exercised for the purchase of 122,715 common stock shares of the Company for proceeds of \$306,788. Also, during the year, 10,000 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis for 924 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.19 per warrant. Warrants at prices ranging from \$0.72 - \$7.25 to purchase 2,680,013 shares of common stock were cancelled.

During the year ended June 30, 2001, 115,750 warrants were exercised for the purchase of 115,750, common stock shares of the Company for proceeds of \$325,837. The warrants were exercised at prices ranging from \$0.72 to \$5.00 per common share. Also during the year ended June 30, 2001, 237,648 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis for 194,679 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$.94 to \$1.70 per warrant.

OPTIONS--Periodically, the Company issues incentive stock options to employees and officers and non-qualified options to directors and outside consultants to promote the success of the Company and enhance its ability to attract and retain the services of qualified persons.

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

The Company has granted 6,971,762 options and issued 50,000 shares of stock under the 1997 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plans (the "Plan") since its adoption, and could issue an additional aggregate of 1,200,302 options and shares. The Plan permits restricted stock grants to employees, officers, directors and consultants at prices that may be less than 100 percent of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The Company also has outstanding 905,000 non-statutory stock options issued outside the Plan. Options issued under the Plan will generally have a term of 10 years from the date of grant and will generally vest ratably over the following three years subsequent to the date of grant.

F-27

EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTIONS--The Company has granted the following fixed price stock options during the period July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2002:

	2002		2001	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	8,457,846	\$ 1.73	5,798,617	\$ 1.5
Granted .....	996,499	1.25	3,119,627	2.0
Exercised .....	(1,554,002)	0.85	(310,052)	0.8
Forfeited .....	(23,581)	2.19	(150,346)	3.8
Outstanding at end of year .....	7,876,762	\$ 1.83	8,457,846	\$ 1.7
Options exercisable at year end .	6,160,018		6,386,357	
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year	\$ 0.49		\$ 1.36	

The following table summarizes information about stock options issued to employees outstanding at June 30, 2002:

OPTIONS OUTSTANDING				
Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Ex
\$ .63 - \$ .91	1,366,414	2.77	\$ 0.70	1
\$1.00 - \$1.81	4,046,505	5.01	1.38	3
\$2.27 - \$2.95	1,584,740	3.15	2.29	1

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

\$3.00 - \$3.90	536,150	4.95	3.53
\$4.00 - 5.00	81,704	8.08	4.33
\$7.72	261,249	0.86	7.72
-----	-----	-----	-----
\$ .63 - \$7.72	7,876,762	4.28	\$ 1.83
=====	=====	=====	=====

Proforma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that Statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions for 2002, 2001 and 2000:

- 1) risk-free interest rate between 2.00 and 6.43 percent depending upon the term of the option;
- 2) no dividend yield;
- 3) no discount for lack of marketability; and
- 4) a volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock from 1.68% to 68% for the years ended June 30, 2000 through 2002.

F-28

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable, and require the use of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its outstanding stock options.

For purposes of proforma disclosure, the estimated fair value of the options is included in expense over the vesting period of the options. The Company's proforma information follows:

	2002	2001	
	-----		
Net loss:			
As reported .....	\$ (21,703,331)	\$ (26,112,584)	\$ (8)
Pro forma .....	(25,990,516)	(25,476,420)	(9)
Basic and diluted loss per common share:			
As reported .....	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.32)	\$
Pro forma .....	(0.32)	(0.32)	

NON-EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTIONS--Changes in stock options issued to non-employees are as follows for the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively:

2002

2001



Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Outstanding at beginning of year	2,410,967	\$ 1.09	2,516,626	\$ 1.12
Granted	75,000	1.81	-	
Exercised	(36,118)	1.70	(105,659)	1.70
Outstanding at end of year	2,449,849	\$ 1.11	2,410,967	\$ 1.09
Options exercisable at year end	2,449,849	\$ 1.11	2,410,967	\$ 1.09
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year	\$ 0.34		-	

F-29

The following table summarizes information about stock options issued to non-employees that were outstanding at June 30, 2002:

OPTIONS OUTSTANDING					
Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Exe	
\$ 0.60	2,000,000	0.39	\$ 0.60	2,000,000	
\$1.00 - 1.81	309,849	1.18	1.69	309,849	
\$ 1.95	40,000	2.39	1.95	40,000	
\$ 9.06	100,000	0.80	9.06	100,000	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
\$ 9.06	2,449,849	0.54	\$ 1.11	2,449,849	
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

12. PROFIT SHARING PLAN

The Company sponsors a profit sharing plan (the Plan) under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Plan is designed to allow participating employees to accumulate savings for retirement or other purposes. Under the Plan, all full-time employees are eligible to participate. The Plan allows employees to make contributions to the Plan from salary reductions up to a maximum amount established by the Internal

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Revenue Service, currently \$11,000 for 2002. The Company may, at the discretion of the board of directors match a percentage of employee contributions with its common stock or cash. Matching contributions vest ratably over a two-year period. During the years ended June 30, 2002, 2001, and 2000, the Company expensed \$62,202, \$52,751, and \$43,236, respectively, as contributions to the Plan.

### 13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has been dependent upon certain individuals, officers, stockholders and other related parties to provide capital, management services, assistance in finding new sources for debt and equity financing, and guidance in the development of the Company's business. The related parties have generally provided services and incurred expenses on behalf of the Company in exchange for shares of the Company's common stock.

During 2002, the Company paid a shareholder \$79,000. Because of inadequate documentation, the amount has been recorded as a preferential dividend to a shareholder in the accompanying statement of stockholders' equity for the year ended June 30, 2002. The Company also assumed a \$100,000 promissory note due to the same shareholder in connection with settling certain litigation (see Note 9) and in connection therewith recorded a \$100,000 expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2002.

During 2001, the Company determined that a note receivable from a shareholder totaling \$130,247 was uncollectible and wrote-off the entire amount.

During 2000, the Company compensated a shareholder for services totaling approximately \$46,000 and granted options to acquire 3,038 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$1.70 per share.

F-30

In conjunction with the acquisition of Bales in 2000 (see Note 7), the Company entered into a licensing agreement with the former owner of Bales, who is also a current shareholder of the Company, whereby the Company would pay \$250 for each Photonic Stimulator manufactured by the Company. The agreement extends through April 2003. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid \$51,500, \$29,500, and \$2,250 during 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. Additionally, the Company paid rents for office space to the same individual totaling \$95,262, \$91,400, and \$18,100 during 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

### 14. SEGMENTS

Beginning July 1, 2001, the Company changed the structure of its internal organization such that management now evaluates the Company based on two distinct operating segments: medical and industrial products and services. Segment information for 2001 and 2000 has been restated.

	2002			2001			Me
	Medical	Industrial	Total	Medical	Industrial	Total	
Revenues	\$ 750	\$ 128	\$ 878	\$ 566	\$ 108	\$ 674	\$
Cost of goods sold	(582)	(27)	(609)	(381)	(38)	(419)	\$
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Gross margin	168	101	269	185	70	255	
Operation, general and administrative	1,098	258	1,356	9,189	2,156	11,345	
Litigation settlements	1,600	--	1,600	--	--	--	
Research & development	5,089	1,052	6,141	7,937	766	8,703	
Marketing	2,424	569	2,993	2,512	589	3,101	
Depreciation and amortization	1,549	51	1,600	2,242	16	2,258	
Impairment loss	8,717	--	8,717	2,894	--	2,894	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	20,477	1,930	22,407	24,774	3,527	28,301	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating loss	\$ (20,309)	\$ (1,829)	\$ (22,138)	\$ (24,589)	\$ (3,457)	\$ (28,046)	\$ (
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Because of the integrated nature of the Company's operations, management believes that assets for the two segments cannot be reported separately.

\*\*\*\*\*

F-31

### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

### PART III

### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Information concerning our Company's executive officers required by this item is included in the Biographical section of the Election of Directors portion of the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference and will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") not later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information with respect to executive compensation is included under "Executive Compensation" in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

31

### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters is included under "Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management" in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Management believes that all prior related-party transactions are on terms no less favorable to us as could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Management's reasonable belief of fair values is based upon proximate similar transactions with third parties or attempts to obtain the consideration from third parties. All ongoing and future transactions with such persons, if any, including any loans or compensation to such persons, will be approved by a majority of disinterested, independent outside members of the Board of Directors.

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FROM 8-K.

The following reports are filed with this report:

- (1) Financial Statements.  
The financial statements are included in Item 8 above.
- (2) Financial Statement Schedules.  
The financial statements schedule as set forth in Item 8 of this report is incorporated by reference.  
Schedule II valuation and qualifying accounts
- (3) Reports on Form 8-K  
Form 8-K filed April 9, 2002 (Item 5 Other Events. New Director)  
Form 8-K filed May 14, 2002 (Item 5 Other Events. Response to Various Law Suits)  
Form 8-K filed June 18, 2002 (Item 5 Other Events. Change of Board of Directors)
- (4) Exhibits.  
The following exhibits are filed, or were previously filed, as part of this report

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Incorporated by reference as noted.

Exhibit No. -----	Identification of Exhibit -----
3.1**	Articles of Incorporation of Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc., filed June 10, 1987 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
3.1.1**	Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed July 31, 1987 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).

- 3.1.2\*\* Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed August 12, 1989 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
- 3.1.3\*\* Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed November 6, 1989 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
- 3.1.4\*\* Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed April 22, 1992 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
- 3.1.5\*\* Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed February 17, 1998 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
- 3.1.6\*\* Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed July 5, 2000 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended).
- 3.2\*\* Bylaws of Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc., as amended January 15, 1998 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement SB-2 filed March 3, 1998, as subsequently amended). Debenture (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 4.1 Debenture (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002)
- 4.2\*\* Form of Warrant (Debenture) (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 4.3\*\* Form of Warrant (Equity Line) (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 4.4\*\* Registration Rights Agreement (Debenture) (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 4.5\*\* Registration Rights Agreement (Equity Line) (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 10.1\*\* Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Plan Restatement 2001 (the "Plan") (incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on July, 15, 2002).
- 10.2\*\* Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Plan Restatement 2001 Amendment (incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on July, 15, 2002).
- 10.3\*\* Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Plan Restatement 2001 Second Amendment (incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on July, 15, 2002).

- 10.4\*\* Employment Agreement dated October 12, 2000 between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and John M. Brenna. (incorporated by reference to Form 10-QA filed on May 17,

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

- 2001).
- 10.5\* Employment Agreement dated September 18, 2000 between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Richard V. Secord.
- 10.6\* Employment Agreement dated June 6, 2000 between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Bernard J. Brady.
- 10.7\*\* Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of December 21, 2001, by and between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Beach Boulevard, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 10.8\*\* Private Equity Credit Agreement dated as of December 21, 2001, by and between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc., a Nevada corporation, and Beach Boulevard, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 10.9\*\* Registration Rights Agreement by and between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc., and Beach Boulevard, LLC (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 10.10\*\* Debenture by and between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Beach Boulevard, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002).
- 10.11\*\* Lease agreement dated June 13, 2001, between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and Silver Creek Engineering (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K/A filed on October, 2, 2001).
- 10.12\*\* Lease Agreement dated May 31, 2000, between Computerized Thermal Imaging, Inc. and St. Paul Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K filed on September 15, 2000).
- 21 Subsidiaries of registrant
- 23.1\* Consent of Deloitte & Touche, LLP.
- 23.2\* Consent of HJ & Associates, LLC.
- 99.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 99.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer

34

### SIGNATURES

In accordance with Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING, INC.

Date: September 30, 2002

/s/ Richard V. Secord

-----  
RICHARD V. SECORD  
Director, Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer

## Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

In accordance with The Exchange Act, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the issuer and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Richard V. Secord September 30, 2002

-----  
 RICHARD V. SECORD  
 Director, Chairman of the Board  
 and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ John M/. Brenna September 30, 2002

-----  
 JOHN M. BRENNA  
 Director, President &  
 Chief Operating Officer

/s/ Brent M. Pratley September 30, 2002

-----  
 BRENT M. PRATLEY, M.D.  
 Director

/s/ Milton R. Geilmann September 30, 2002

-----  
 MILTON R. GEILMANN  
 Director

/s/ Harry C. Aderholt September 30, 2002

-----  
 HARRY C. ADERHOLT  
 Director

/s/ Robert L. Simmons September 30, 2002

-----  
 ROBERT L. SIMMONS, M.D.  
 Director

/s/ Bernard J. Brady September 30, 2002

-----  
 BERNARD BRADY  
 Chief Financial Officer,  
 Treasurer & Secretary

35

### SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

The following table summarizes the Company's valuation and qualifying accounts:

Description	Balance at beginning of year	Additions Charged to Expenses	Additions via Acquisitions	Deductions	Balance at end of year
-----					
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:					
2000	--	--	\$ 4,200	--	\$ 4,200
2001	\$ 4,200	\$ 23,963	--	\$ (4,200)	23,963
2002	23,963	191,350	--	(119,198)	96,115
-----					

Edgar Filing: COMPUTERIZED THERMAL IMAGING INC - Form 10-K

Allowance for  
Inventory  
Obsolescence:

2000	--	--	--	--	--
2001	--	\$ 120,209	--	--	\$ 120,209
2002	\$ 120,209	93,555	--	--	213,764
-----					

Deductions represents write-offs, net of recoveries