CAREER EDUCATION CORP Form 10-K/A April 28, 2003

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM 10-K/A (Amendment No. 1)

# ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

Commission File Number 0-23245

# CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State of Incorporation)

**36-3932190** (I.R.S. Employer ID No.)

2895 Greenspoint Parkway, Suite 600 Hoffman Estates, Illinois

60195

(Address of principal executive offices)

(zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (847) 781-3600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K/A or any amendment to this Form 10-K/A. ý

Indicate by check mark whether Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). YES ý NO o

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based upon the \$45.00 per share closing sale price of the Registrant's Common Stock on June 28, 2002 (the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second quarter), was approximately \$2,028,719,430. For purposes of this calculation, the Registrant's directors and executive officers have been assumed to be

affiliates.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.01, as of March 6, 2003, was 46,245,012.

Portions of the Registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, scheduled to be held on May 19, 2003, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

#### **EXPLANATORY NOTE**

The Registrant is filing this Amendment No. 1 to its annual report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 10, 2003 in response to comments received from the staff of the SEC in connection with the SEC's review of the Registrant's registration statement on Form S-3 filed on March 11, 2003.

This Amendment No. 1 makes certain changes to Business (Item 1), Selected Financial Data (Item 6), Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Item 7) and Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K (Item 15), primarily to reclassify bad debt expense as a component of general and administrative expenses instead of as a component of net revenue and expand certain disclosure in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The changes represented by this Amendment No. 1 have no effect on the financial condition, net income or cash flows presented in the Registrant's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002.

This Amendment No. 1 continues to speak as of the date of the original filing of the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, and the Registrant has not updated the disclosures contained therein to reflect any events that occurred at a date subsequent to the filing of the Form 10-K.

# CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION

# FORM 10-K/A

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
F	PART I		1
-	ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
	ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	28
	ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	28
	ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	28
F	PART II		29
	ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	29
	ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	30
	ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	32
	ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	54
	ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	55
	ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	55
F	PART III		56

		Page
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	56
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	56
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	56
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	56
ITEM 14.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	56
PART IV		57
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	57

#### PART I

# ITEM 1. BUSINESS

This Form 10-K/A contains "forward-looking statements," as defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that reflect our current expectations regarding our future growth, results of operations, performance and business prospects and opportunities. We have tried to use words such as "anticipate," "believe," "plan," "expect," "intend" and similar expressions to identify these forward-looking statements. These statements are based on information currently available to us and are subject to risks and uncertainties, including those discussed under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risks Related to Our Business", that could cause our actual growth, results of operations, performance and business prospects and opportunities to differ from those expressed in, or implied by, these statements.

#### Overview

We are a provider of private, for-profit postsecondary education with 51 campuses throughout the United States, Canada, France, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates. We also offer online programs through American InterContinental University-Online, our e-learning division. Including the impact of our February 18, 2003, acquisition of the INSEEC Group, our total student population as of January 31, 2003, would have been approximately 55,000. Our schools enjoy long operating histories and offer a variety of master's degree, bachelor's degree, associate's degree, and diploma programs in career-oriented disciplines.

We were founded in January 1994 by John M. Larson, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, who has over 29 years of experience in the career-oriented education industry. We were formed to capitalize on opportunities in the large and highly fragmented postsecondary school industry. Since our inception, we have completed 25 acquisitions and have opened four branch start-up campuses. We have acquired schools that we believe possess strong curricula, leading reputations and broad marketability, but that have been under managed from a marketing and/or financial standpoint. We seek to apply our expertise in operations, marketing and curricula development, as well as our financial strength, to improve the performance of these schools.

Our schools offer educational programs principally in the following five career-related fields of study, identified by us as areas with highly interested and motivated students, strong entry-level employment opportunities and ongoing career and salary advancement potential:

Visual Communication and Design Technologies: These programs include desktop publishing, graphic design, fashion design, interior design, graphic imaging, web page design, animation, photography and visual journalism.

*Information Technology:* These programs include PC/LAN, PC/Net, computer technical support, computer network operation, computer information management and computer programming.

Business Studies: These programs include business administration, business operations, e-commerce, merchandising management and hospitality management.

Culinary Arts: These programs include culinary arts, hotel and restaurant management and pastry arts.

*Health Education:* These programs include healthcare management, dental office administration, medical massage science, medical office administration, medical assisting, dental assisting, medical administrative assisting and massage therapy.

Our schools are summarized in the following table:

1

School	Year Founded	Date Opened/ Acquired	Principal Curricula(1)	Degree Granting
Collins College	1978	1/94	IT, VC	
Tempe, AZ				Yes
Brooks College	1970	6/94	B, VC	
Long Beach, CA	1060	7.05	D. IT. M.C.	Yes
Allentown Business School	1869	7/95	B, IT, VC	37
Allentown, PA	1046	7.05	D CA IT VC	Yes
Brown College	1946	7/95	B, CA, IT, VC	V
Mendota Heights, MN	1983	10/96	CA	Yes
Western Culinary Institute Portland, OR	1983	10/90	CA	Yes
International Academy of Design & Technology	1967	2/97	B, IT, VC	ies
Fairmont, WV	1907	2191	D, 11, VC	Yes
Pittsburgh, PA				Yes
The Katharine Gibbs Schools	1911	5/97	B, IT, VC	103
Boston, MA	1711	3171	В, 11, VC	Yes
Melville, NY				Yes
Montclair, NJ				Yes
New York, NY				Yes
Norwalk, CT				Yes
Piscataway, NJ				No
Providence, RI				No
International Academy of Design & Technology	1977	6/97	VC, IT	140
Chicago, IL	17//	0/ / /	, 0, 11	Yes
Tampa, FL				Yes
International Academy of Design & Technology	1983	6/97	B, VC, IT	105
Montreal, PQ	1703	0/7/	<i>D</i> , <i>v c</i> , 11	No
Toronto, ON				No
California School of Culinary Arts	1994	3/98	CA	110
South Pasadena, CA				No
Scottsdale Culinary Institute	1986	7/98	CA	
Scottsdale, AZ				Yes
Harrington Institute of Interior Design	1931	1/99	VC	
Chicago, IL				Yes
McIntosh College	1896	3/99	B, CA, IT, VC	
Dover, NH			, , ,	Yes
Briarcliffe College	1966	4/99	B, IT, VC	
Bethpage, NY			• •	Yes
Patchogue, NY				Yes
Brooks Institute of Photography	1945	6/99	VC	
Santa Barbara, CA				Yes
Gibbs College	1950	12/99	B, IT, VC	
Vienna, VA			, ,	Yes
Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago	1983	2/00	CA	
Chicago, IL				Yes
Chicago, IL California Culinary Academy	1977	4/00	CA	Yes

School	Year Founded	Date Opened/ Acquired	Principal Curricula(1)	Degree Granting
SoftTrain College	1987	7/00	IT	
Toronto, ON				No
International Academy of Design & Technology	1975	10/00	IT, VC	
Ottawa, ON				No
American InterContinental University	1970	1/01	B, IT, VC	
Atlanta, GA (Dunwoody and Buckhead)				Yes
Dubai, United Arab Emirates				Yes
Los Angeles, CA				Yes
Ft. Lauderdale, FL				Yes
London, United Kingdom				Yes
Washington, DC(2)				Yes
Online	2001	1.01	TT LIG	Yes
International Academy of Design & Technology(3)	2001	1/01	IT, VC	3.7
Orlando, FL	2001	1 /01	IT NO	Yes
Katharine Gibbs School(3)	2001	1/01	IT, VC	NT
Philadelphia, PA Texas Culinary Academy	1985	8/01	CA	No
Austin, TX	1985	8/01	CA	Yes
Pennsylvania Culinary Institute	1986	12/01	CA	res
Pittsburgh, PA	1900	12/01	CA	Yes
Orlando Culinary Academy(3)	2002	1/02	CA	168
Orlando, FL	2002	1702	CA	Yes
Brooks College(3)	2002	2/02	VC	103
Sunnyvale, CA	2302	2,02	, 0	Yes
Missouri College	1963	9/02	VC, HE	103
St Louis, MO	1703	), 0 <u>2</u>	. 0, 112	Yes
The INSEEC Group	1975	2/03	B, VC, HE	100
Bordeaux, France	2,7,0	0	-,,	Yes
Lyon, France				Yes
Paris, France				Yes

- (1) The programs offered by our schools include business studies ("B"), culinary arts ("CA"), information technology ("IT"), visual communication and design technologies ("VC") and health education ("HE").
- The Washington, D.C. facility stopped admitting new students in 2001 and was closed in 2002 after existing students completed their programs pursuant to a teach-out agreement. Further references to this campus throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A have been excluded.
- These schools were not acquired but rather opened by us as new start-up campuses. The new start-up campuses are opened as branch campuses of an existing location and, therefore, are considered by the Department of Education as one institution for reporting purposes.

# **Industry Background**

Based on estimates for the 2000-2001 academic year by the Department of Education, postsecondary education is approximately a \$275 billion industry in the U.S., with approximately 15.3 million students obtaining some form of postsecondary education. According to the Department of Education, the number of students is expected to grow by 19% to approximately 18.2 million students

by 2012. In 2000-2001, approximately 3.5 million students were enrolled in 2,484 private, degree-granting schools.

Total federal aid available to support postsecondary education was estimated to exceed \$62 billion during the 2001-2002 academic year, and has grown approximately 158% from \$24 billion during the 1991-1992 academic year. Of the total amount of federal funding available, the federal government lent approximately \$42 billion to qualified students during the 2001-2002 academic year, almost triple the amount borrowed by students during the 1991-1992 academic year. State, local and third-party private lenders are also available to fund students' postsecondary education.

Several national economic, demographic and social trends are converging to contribute to growing demand for career-oriented postsecondary education:

Changes in Workplace Demands. The workplace is becoming increasingly knowledge-intensive. Rapid advances in technology have increased demands on employers and their employees, requiring many new workers to have some form of education beyond the high school level. The increasing technological skills required for entry-level jobs are spurring demand for specialized career-oriented training that may not be provided by traditional two-year and four-year colleges. The Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, projects that between 2000 and 2010, jobs requiring (1) a bachelor's or higher degree are expected to increase approximately 22 percent, (2) an associate degree are expected to increase approximately 32 percent and (3) postsecondary vocational training are expected to increase approximately 18 percent. In 2002, approximately 27 percent of the students in our U.S. accredited institutions were enrolled in bachelor's and master's degree programs, 60 percent were enrolled in associate degree programs and 13 percent were enrolled in diploma/certificate programs. Our Canadian schools do not grant degrees and, therefore, their programs are classified as "diploma/certificate." Furthermore, career-oriented schools generally have the ability to react quickly to the changing needs of the business and industrial communities. Additionally, to meet the new workplace demands, many major companies are now using career-oriented institutions on a contractual basis to provide customized training for their employees.

Finally, we believe that continuing formal education is becoming a lifelong process, as career change becomes commonplace. According to a Market Fact, Inc. survey that we sponsored in 1999, half of the working Americans surveyed would consider a new career and 60 percent of those who have switched careers obtained additional education to prepare for their new career.

Increasing Numbers of High School Graduates. During the 2000-2001 academic year, U.S. high school graduates represented over 2.8 million new prospective postsecondary students, the largest pool of potential enrollees. From the 2000-2001 academic year through the 2011-2012 academic year, the number of high school graduates is projected to increase by 11 percent and grow to 3.1 million. During the 18 years prior to 1993, the number of high school graduates had been declining. However, this trend has changed favorably as children of the "baby boom" generation are entering their high school years. These members of the "echo boom," as it is commonly known, are expected to boost enrollment in postsecondary educational programs to as high as 18.2 million students by the 2011-2012 academic year, an increase of 19 percent from approximately 15.3 million in the 2000-2001 academic year.

Growing Demand for Postsecondary Education. High school graduates and adults are seeking postsecondary education in increasing numbers. The U.S. Department of Labor estimates that among the 2.8 million high school graduates in 2000, approximately 1.7 million (63 percent) pursued some form of postsecondary education the following fall. The U.S. Department of Labor projects the number of jobs requiring at least an associate degree to grow by 32 percent between 2000 and 2010. The recent trend toward corporate downsizing and resulting layoffs is expected to increase enrollment in postsecondary programs as individuals seek to enhance their skills or re-train for new job requirements.

4

Proliferation of Online Education. Over the years, many adults have suppressed desires to return to school due to the many challenges of integrating school-related responsibilities with the critical demands of families and employment. However, the recent proliferation of online learning and other distance education alternatives is enabling many adults to address previously suppressed interests in post-secondary education. The U.S. Department of Education estimates that the number of degree-seeking students taking online courses will grow at a compound rate of 33% for the next several years, reaching approximately 2.2 million by 2004. The types of online programs and offerings vary significantly and include, among others, (1) fully online programs that permit students to complete 100 percent of required coursework online with no campus based component, (2) hybrid programs that combine campus-based courses with an online component, and (3) supplemental online programs that enable campus-based students to complete some coursework online. Additionally, online programs often offer the convenience and flexibility of an asynchronous format, whereby students can log on at any time to participate in the learning process.

Growing Demand for Health Education. According to the National Center for Health Statistics, life expectancy in the U.S. has risen to 77 years. As America has aged, the demand for healthcare professionals has soared. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, 14 percent of all newly created jobs in U.S. are in the healthcare field, and two of the 10 fastest-growing jobs in the U.S. are healthcare occupations. As a

result, health education has become one of the fastest growing segments of the postsecondary education industry.

Recognition of the Value of Postsecondary Education. Over the years, the difference between the earnings of young adults who have completed at least a bachelor's degree and the earnings of their counterparts who have completed no more than a high school education has increased. According to a 2002 U.S. Census Bureau survey, the average graduate with a bachelor's degree is expected to earn \$1.0 million more during the course of his or her career than an individual with only a high school diploma. Accordingly, we believe that prospective students are increasingly cognizant of this income premium and other improvements in career prospects associated with postsecondary education. More specifically, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce, on average, in 1999, (1) an individual with an associate degree earned approximately 23 percent more than did an individual who had completed no more than a high school education, while (2) an individual with a bachelor's degree earned approximately 52 percent more than did an individual who had completed no more than a high school education. Independent research studies have demonstrated that prospective students consider these benefits when making their education decisions.

Reduction in Public Education Funding. The reduction of state or provincial and local funding of many public educational institutions in recent years has forced many educational institutions to cut back spending on general operations and refrain from increasing capacity. As a result, some public schools have become under-funded and overcrowded. This trend, along with a general increase in postsecondary enrollment as a result of a projected increase in high school graduates, may provide an opportunity for proprietary institutions to serve, at more competitive prices, the postsecondary education needs of individuals who would have otherwise attended public schools. For example, according to the Chronicle of Higher Education, in 1995, no for-profit institutions ranked among the top 20 U.S. universities in terms of student population. However, by the fall of 2001, four for-profit institutions were listed among the 20 most densely populated U.S. universities.

We believe that private, for-profit, career-oriented schools are uniquely positioned to take advantage of these national trends. We also believe that similar factors are creating a favorable climate for career-oriented postsecondary education in Canada, Europe and other international markets.

5

#### **Business and Operating Strategy**

Our business and operating strategy has enabled us to achieve significant improvements in the performance of our schools. We believe this strategy will enable us to continue to capitalize on the favorable economic, demographic and social trends that are driving demand for career-oriented education, thereby strengthening our position as a premier, professionally-managed system of career-oriented postsecondary educational institutions. The key elements of our business and operating strategy are as follows:

Focusing on Core Curricula. Our schools offer educational programs principally in five career-related fields of study:

visual communication and design technologies, offered at 34 campuses

business studies, offered at 32 campuses

information technology, including Internet and intranet technology, offered at 27 campuses

culinary arts, offered at 10 campuses

health education, offered at 2 campuses

We perceive a growing demand by employers for individuals possessing skills in these particular fields. We also believe there are many entry-level positions and ongoing career and salary advancement potential for individuals who have received advanced training in these areas. We recognize that these employment opportunities have attracted highly interested and motivated students. These students include both recent high school graduates and adults seeking formal training in these fields as well as degrees, diplomas and certificates evidencing their knowledge and skills. Our experience and expertise in these attractive areas of study enable us to differentiate ourselves from many of our competitors and to effectively tailor our acquisition and marketing plans.

Adapting and Expanding Educational Programs. We strive to meet the changing needs of our students and the employment market. We continually refine and adapt our courses to ensure that both students and employers are satisfied with the quality and breadth of our educational programs. Through various means, including student and employer surveys and curriculum advisory boards comprised of business and community members, our schools regularly evaluate their program offerings and consider revisions to existing classes and programs, as well as the introduction of new courses and programs of study within our core curricula. Successful school programs are selectively duplicated at other schools within our system. In 2002, we successfully duplicated 15 programs, and an additional 50 program duplications are expected in 2003.

Investing for Future Growth. We make substantial investments in our people, facilities, management information systems and classroom technologies to prepare our company for continued growth. We devote particular attention to attracting and retaining both corporate and school-level management, and focus on employee development in order to facilitate internal promotions. We make substantial investments in facilities and classroom technologies to attract, retain and prepare students for the increasing technical demands of the workplace. Additionally, we have made significant investments in our management information systems to standardize applications and processes across our schools in order to maintain effective and expedient communication between our schools and corporate management, as well as to ensure the smooth integration of newly acquired schools and newly opened branch campuses.

Emphasizing School Management Autonomy and Accountability. We provide significant autonomy and appropriate performance-based incentives to our campus-level managers. We believe these policies foster an important sense of personal responsibility for achieving campus performance objectives. We also believe our willingness to grant local autonomy provides our schools and us with a significant

6

advantage in recruiting and retaining highly-motivated individuals with an entrepreneurial spirit. Management of each of our campuses is principally directed by a campus president and local managers, who are accountable for the campus' operations and profitability. Divisional oversight ensures adherence to business plans, while business strategy, information technology, finance and accounting consolidation functions are centralized at our executive offices in Hoffman Estates, Illinois. When a new school is acquired, we evaluate the capabilities of existing campus management personnel and typically retain a significant portion, which contributes to our ability to rapidly integrate acquired schools into our system. We also determine the acquired school's needs for additional or stronger managers in key areas and, where necessary, take appropriate action by hiring new managers or assigning experienced staff to the school's campuses.

Direct Response Marketing. We seek to increase school enrollment and profitability through intensive local, regional and national direct response marketing programs designed to maximize each school's market penetration. We also use Internet-based advertising to attract potential students and believe that this medium will be an increasingly important marketing tool. Because many of our schools have been significantly under-marketed prior to their acquisition, we believe that major benefits can result from carefully crafted, targeted marketing programs that leverage schools' curriculum strength and brand name recognition. After every acquisition, we design a marketing program tailored to the particular school to highlight its strengths and improve student lead generation and student enrollment rates. Our management uses a diversified media, direct response approach, including direct mail, Internet-based advertising, infomercials, other television-based advertising, newspaper advertising and other print media, to attract potential students. We place particular emphasis on high school recruitment because it produces a steady supply of new students.

Improving Student Retention. We emphasize the retention of students, from initial enrollment to completion of their courses of study, at each of our schools. Because, as at any postsecondary educational institution, a substantial portion of our students never finish their educational programs for personal, financial or academic reasons, substantial increases in revenue and profitability can be achieved through modest improvements in student retention rates. Our costs to keep current students in school are much less than the expense of the marketing efforts associated with attracting new students; therefore, student retention efforts, if successful, are extremely beneficial to operating results. We strive to improve retention by treating students as valued customers. We consider student retention the responsibility of the entire staff of each school, from admissions to faculty and administration to career counseling services, and provide resources and support for the retention efforts developed by our local school administrators. School personnel typically employ an approach based upon establishing personal relationships with students; for example, students may receive a telephone call from a school counselor or faculty member if they miss classes.

In addition, our corporate staff regularly tracks retention rates at each school and provides feedback and support to local school administrators. During 2002, our retention rate was approximately 73 percent. This rate was determined in accordance with the standards of the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools, which determines retention rates by dividing the total number of student dropouts by the sum of (1) beginning student population, (2) new starts and (3) student re-enters.

Emphasizing Employment of Graduates. We believe that the high rates of employment for graduates of our schools enhance the overall reputation of the schools as well as their ability to attract new students. High placement rates also lead to low student loan default rates, which are necessary to allow our schools to continue to participate in federal student financial aid programs. We consider student placement a high priority, and 94.1 percent of our graduates who were available for employment for the academic year ended June 30, 2002, had found

employment relating to their fields of study within six months of graduation. We are committed to maintaining or improving these

7

graduate employment rates, and newly acquired or opened schools will be expected to meet similar graduate employment success standards.

#### **Growth Strategy**

We believe we can achieve superior long-term growth in revenue and profitability by continuing to exhibit strong internal growth, acquiring additional schools and developing branch campuses of existing institutions. We believe we can achieve additional growth in the future by growing our online education division and expanding further internationally. To effectively manage this growth, we operate our company in two distinct operating groups, the "Colleges, School and Universities" group, which includes our on-campus schools, and the "Online Education" group, which includes American InterContinental University Online.

Expanding Existing Operations. We believe that our existing 51 campuses can continue to achieve significant internal growth in enrollment, revenue and profitability. We are executing our business and operating strategy, including all of the elements described above, to accomplish this growth.

Acquiring Additional Schools. To date, we have grown by acquiring new schools in the U.S., Canada, France, the United Kingdom, and the United Arab Emirates and then applying our expertise in marketing and school management to increase enrollment, revenue and profitability at those schools. We expect that this process will continue to be an important element of our growth strategy. We recently acquired a group of schools in France and may continue to acquire operations outside North America, where we believe significant opportunities exist. We have an active acquisition program and, on an ongoing basis, engage in evaluations of and discussions with possible acquisition candidates, including evaluations and discussions relating to acquisitions that may be material in size or scope.

We make selective acquisitions of career-oriented schools that have capable faculty and operations staff, as well as quality educational programs that stand to benefit from our educational focus and marketing and operating strengths. We target schools that we believe have the potential to generate superior financial performance. Generally, such schools demonstrate the following characteristics:

"Schools of Choice" Possessing leading reputations in career-oriented disciplines within local, regional and national markets;

Success Demonstrating the ability to attract, retain and place students, while meeting applicable federal and state regulatory criteria and accreditation standards;

Marketable Curricula Offering programs that provide students with relevant training and the skills necessary to obtain attractive jobs and advance in their selected fields;

Broad Marketability Capable of attracting recent high school graduates and adult students from local, national and international markets; and

Attractive Facilities and Geographic Locations Providing geographically desirable locations and modern facilities to attract students.

We believe that significant opportunities exist for growth through acquisition. Some opportunities result from institutions having limited resources to manage increasingly complex regulations or to fund the significant cost of developing new educational programs necessary to meet changing demands of the employment market. We believe that a substantial number of schools exhibiting the characteristics described above exist both domestically and internationally and that such schools can be successfully integrated into our marketing and administrative structure.

We analyze acquisition targets for their long-term profit potential, enrollment potential and long-term demographic trends, concentration of likely employers within the region, level of competition, facility costs and availability and quality of management and faculty. We carefully

investigate any potential acquisition target for its history of regulatory compliance, both as an indication of future regulatory costs and compliance issues and the school's overall condition. Significant regulatory compliance issues in the school's past will generally remove a school from our consideration as an acquisition candidate.

After we complete an acquisition, we immediately begin to apply our business strategy to boost enrollment and improve the acquired school's profitability. We assist acquired schools in achieving their potential through a highly focused and active management role, as well as through capital contributions. We selectively commit resources to improve marketing, advertising, student placement, administration and regulatory compliance at each acquired school. We may also commit further resources to enhance management depth. We retain acquired schools' brand names to take advantage of their established reputation in local, regional and national markets as "schools of choice."

By acquiring new schools, we are also able to realize economies of scale in terms of our management information systems, accounting and audit functions, employee benefits and insurance procurement. We also benefit from the exchange of ideas among school administrators regarding faculty development, student retention programs, recruitment, curriculum, financial aid and student placement programs.

Opening New Start-up Campuses. To date, we have opened four new start-up campuses (two in 2002 and two in 2001) and we expect to continue to establish new locations. The new start-up campuses are opened as branch campuses of an existing location and, therefore, are considered by the Department of Education as one institution for reporting purposes. Opening branch campuses enables us to capitalize on new markets or geographic locations that exhibit strong enrollment potential and/or the potential to establish a successful operation in one of our core curricula areas. We believe that this strategy compliments our acquisition strategy as it allows us to continue to grow rapidly even if appropriate acquisition opportunities are not readily available.

Expanding Online Education. While we expect our current career-oriented school operations to continue to provide the substantial majority of our revenue in the near term, we plan to continue to invest in our rapidly growing online education system. In February 2001, we began enrolling students into full degree-granting online programs within American InterContinental University Online ("AIU-Online"). AIU-Online offers eight full-degree programs in business administration, information technology, visual communications, and education. As of January 31, 2003, AIU-Online had a total student population of approximately 3,000, compared to a student population of approximately 300 as of January 31, 2002.

Expanding Internationally and Recruiting International Students. We believe that trends similar to those impacting the market for postsecondary career-oriented education in the U.S. are occurring outside of North America. As a result, we believe that there may be significant additional international opportunities in private, for-profit postsecondary education and will continue our marketing efforts in selected countries to increase international student enrollments at our domestic schools.

# **Student Recruitment**

Our schools seek to attract students with both the desire and ability to complete their academic programs. Therefore, to produce interest among potential students, each of our schools engages in a wide variety of marketing activities.

We believe that the reputation of our schools in local, regional and national business communities and the recommendations of satisfied former students are important factors contributing to success in recruiting new students. Each school's admissions office is responsible for identifying individuals interested in attending our schools ("leads"), providing information needed by these prospective

9

students to help them in making an enrollment decision and assisting them with the completion of the enrollment process.

Leads are generated in a number of ways including:

Referrals;

Collection of student interest cards at high school presentations made by our school representatives;

Direct mail and e-mail solicitation campaigns; and
Advertising placed in a variety of media.
High school presenters conduct informational programs at local secondary schools and collect student interest cards for future follow-up. The interpersonal relationships formed between students and high school counselors and faculty may have significant influence over a potential student's choice of school. We believe that the brand awareness and placement rates of our schools assist presenters in gaining access to counselors and that the relationships of our schools' presenters with the counseling departments of high schools are strong.
We purchase direct mail and e-mail lists from a variety of sources, and we mail brochures and send e-mails to prospective students regularly during the course of the year.
Each school develops advertising for a variety of media, including Internet-based, television and print advertising, which is run locally, regionally and, sometimes, nationally. Internet-based advertising consists of e-mail campaigns, co-branded micro-sites, banner advertisements, and branded school web sites. We believe Internet-based advertising offers a fast and cost-effective way to reach a targeted population.
Admissions representatives at each school serve as prospective students' primary contacts for obtaining information and enrollment assistance. Admissions representatives interview and advise prospective students interested in specific careers to determine the likelihood of prospective students' success in completing their chosen educational programs. As of December 31, 2002, our schools employed approximately 820 admissions representatives, each of whom focuses his or her efforts on one or more of the following recruiting areas:
Local market;
High school;

Every school also has a website containing extensive information about the school and online enrollment capability. We expect this web-based information and enrollment capability to become an increasingly important, effective and efficient part of our admissions activity.

We closely monitor the effectiveness of our marketing efforts. We estimate that in 2002, Internet-based leads were responsible for generating approximately 27% of our total starts, television and print media advertising leads were responsible for generating approximately 25% of our total starts, referral leads were responsible for generating approximately 21% of our total starts, high school leads were responsible for generating approximately 10% of our total starts, direct mailing leads were responsible for generating approximately 9% of our total starts, and leads from other marketing methods were responsible for generating approximately 8% of our total starts.

10

#### **Student Admissions and Retention**

Out-of-area;

Internet.

International; and

The admissions and entrance standards of each school are designed to identify those students who are best equipped to meet the requirements of their chosen fields of study. The most important qualifications for students include a strong desire to learn, passion for their area of interest, initiative and a high likelihood of successfully completing their programs. Admissions representatives generally identify these characteristics through personal interviews. We believe that a success-oriented student body results in higher retention and placement rates,

increased student and employer satisfaction and lower student default rates on government loans. To be qualified for admission to one of our schools, each applicant must have received a high school diploma or a General Education Development certificate. Many of our schools also require that applicants obtain certain minimum scores on academic assessment examinations.

We recognize that our ability to retain students until graduation is an important indicator of our success and that modest improvements in retention rates can result in meaningful increases in school revenue and profitability. As with other postsecondary educational institutions, many of our students do not complete their programs for a variety of personal, financial or academic reasons. As a result, student retention is considered the responsibility of each department within the entire school. To minimize student withdrawals, faculty and staff members at each of our campuses strive to establish personal relationships with students. Each campus devotes staff resources to advising students regarding academic and financial matters, part-time employment and other factors that may affect their success. In addition, our corporate staff regularly tracks retention rates at each campus and provides feedback and support to appropriate local campus administrators.

# **Curriculum Development and Faculty**

We believe that curriculum is an important component of our operations, because students choose, and employers recruit from, career-oriented schools based on the type and quality of technical education offered and provided. The curriculum development efforts of our schools are a product of their operating partnership with students and the business and industrial communities.

The relationship of each of our schools with the business community plays a significant role in the development and adaptation of school curriculum. Each school has one or more program advisory boards composed of members of the local and regional business communities who are engaged in businesses directly related to the school's educational offerings. These boards provide valuable input to the school's education department, which allows the school to keep programs current and provide students with the training and skills that employers seek.

We also endeavor to enhance and maintain the relevancy of our curricula by soliciting ideas through student and employer surveys and by requiring students in selected programs to complete an internship during their school experience. We have developed a number of techniques designed to both gain valuable industry insight for ongoing curriculum development and enhance the overall student experience. These techniques include (1) classroom discussions with industry executives, (2) part-time job placement within a student's industry of choice and (3) classroom case studies based upon actual industry issues.

Our schools are in continuous contact with employers through the interactions of employers with our faculty, many of whom are industry professionals. Our schools hire a significant number of part-time faculty who hold positions in business and industry because specialized knowledge is required to teach many of the schools' courses and provide students with current, industry-specific training. The schedules of business and industry professionals often permit them to teach the many evening courses offered by our schools. Unlike traditional four-year colleges, instructors in our schools are not awarded tenure and are evaluated, in part, based upon the results of student evaluations. As of January 31,

11

2003, our schools employed approximately 4,000 faculty members, of whom approximately 38% were full-time employees and approximately 62% were hired on a part-time, adjunct basis.

#### **School Administration**

We provide significant operational autonomy and appropriate performance-based compensation to local school administrators who have demonstrated the ability to undertake such responsibility, based on our belief that success is driven by performance at the local level through enrollment growth and strong student retention rates and placement rates. In addition, each of our schools requires, to a certain extent, different resources and operating tactics due to a variety of differing factors, including curriculum, demographics, geographic location and size. Overall management of each of our schools is principally the responsibility of a school president, who is accountable for the school's operations and profitability. Each of our schools has five primary operating departments: admissions, financial aid, education, career services and accounting.

Business strategy, finance and accounting consolidation functions are centralized at our corporate headquarters. Our corporate staff develops long-term and short-term operating strategies for the schools and works closely with local administrators to accomplish their goals and ensure adherence to our strategy. We maintain stringent quality standards and controls at both the corporate and individual school levels. Activities at the corporate level include regular reporting processes that track the vital statistics of each school's operations, including leads, enrollments, retention rates, placements and financial data. These reports allow management to monitor the performance of each campus. Each campus-level operating department is also required to compile quantitative reports, including reports on admissions, financial aid, academic performance and placement, at regular intervals.

We have designed and implemented a number of quality and financial controls. Student information is tracked through an advanced, PC-based management information system, which is centralized to allow access to account information.

#### **Tuition and Fees**

Currently, total tuition for completion of a diploma or certificate program offered by our schools, assuming full time attendance, ranges from \$4,350 to \$26,500, for completion of an associate's degree program ranges from \$16,785 to \$42,160, for completion of a bachelor's degree program ranges from \$27,950 to \$70,560, and for completion of a master's degree program ranges from \$15,840 to \$34,175. In addition to these tuition amounts, students at our schools typically must purchase textbooks and supplies as part of their chosen educational programs.

Our institutions bill students for tuition and other institutional charges based on the specific instructional format or formats of the school's educational programs. Each institution's refund policies must meet the requirements of the Department of Education and such institution's state and accrediting agencies. The U.S. Department of Education requires that a proportionate return of Title IV funds, the amount based on the number of days enrolled during a payment period or period of enrollment, be disbursed to individual students for those students who cease attendance within the first 60% of their payment period or period of enrollment. Refunds of tuition and other institutional charges are based on federal, state or institutional refund requirements, as applicable.

# **Graduate Employment**

We believe that employment of graduates of our schools in occupations related to their fields of study is critical to the reputation of the schools and their ability to continue to successfully recruit students. We believe that our schools' most successful form of recruiting is through referrals from satisfied graduates. A strong career services office is important to maintain and elevate a school's reputation, as well as manage the loan default rate of former students.

12

We devote a significant amount of time and resources to student placement, which we believe to be the ultimate indicator of our success. We believe that our average placement rate is attractive to prospective students. Beginning on the first day of student enrollment, student placement is a top priority of each of our schools. This approach heightens the students' awareness of the career services departments and keeps students focused on their ultimate goal job placement within their field of choice. Moreover, our schools include career development instruction, which includes the preparation of résumés, cover letters, networking and other essential job-search tools, in our curricula. Placement office resources are regularly available to our graduates. With such assistance, our graduates find employment with a wide variety of businesses located not only within the schools' local markets but also regionally and nationally.

Each campus' career services department also plays a role in marketing the campus' curriculum to the business community to produce job leads for graduates. As of December 31, 2002, approximately 180 employees worked in the career services departments of our campuses. Career services counselors participate in professional organizations, advisory boards, trade shows and community events to keep apprised of industry trends and maintain relationships with key employers. Partnerships with local and regional businesses are established through internships and curriculum development programs and facilitate the placement of graduates in local and regional businesses. Career services departments also assist current students in finding part-time employment while attending school. These part-time placements often lead to permanent positions.

During 2002, we launched a career services intranet site that allows all of our campuses to share job opportunities. Additionally, in October 2002, we held our first virtual job fair, which attracted more than 700 employers and 4,000 of our students and alumni.

Based on survey information received from graduating students and employers, we believe that of the 16,366 students graduating from our schools during the academic year ended June 30, 2002, 94.1% of the 14,426 available graduates, which excludes students who are continuing their education, in active military service or disabled or deceased, as well as students from foreign countries who are legally ineligible to work in the U.S., obtained employment in fields related to their programs of study. This represents a 2.1 percentage point increase from our placement rate for the academic year ending June 30, 2001, of 92.0%.

The reputation of some of our schools allows them to charge fees to employers for placement of many of the schools' students. Our other schools do not currently receive such placement fees, nor, we believe, do any of our principal proprietary competitors.

#### **Technology**

We are committed to providing our students access to the technology necessary for developing skills required to succeed in the careers for which they are training. Through regular consultation with business representatives, we ensure that all our schools provide their students with

industry-current computer hardware and computer software and equipment meeting industry-specific technical standards. In each program, students use the types of equipment that they will eventually use in their careers of choice. For example, graphic animation students use sophisticated computer multimedia animation and digital video editing equipment and supplies, and visual communication and design technologies students make significant use of technologies for computer-related design and layout and digital pre-press applications.

# **Employees**

As of December 31, 2002, Career Education Corporation and our schools had a total of approximately 4,700 full-time employees and approximately 2,900 part-time employees. We do not have

13

any collective bargaining agreements with our employees. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

#### Competition

The postsecondary education market is highly fragmented and competitive, with no single institution having a significant market share. Our schools compete with traditional public and private two-year and four-year colleges and universities, other proprietary schools, including those that offer online education programs, and alternatives to higher education such as immediate employment and military service. Private and public colleges and universities may offer courses of study similar to those of our schools. Some public institutions are able to charge lower tuition than our schools due in part to government subsidies, government and foundation grants, tax-deductible contributions and other financial sources not available to proprietary schools. However, tuition at private, non-profit institutions is, on average, higher than the average tuition rates of our schools. Other proprietary career-oriented schools also offer programs that compete with those of our schools. We believe that our schools compete with other educational institutions principally based upon the quality of their educational programs, the reputation in the business community, the costs of programs and the graduates' ability to find employment. Some of our competitors in both the public and private sectors may have substantially greater financial and other resources than we do.

Changes in the regulatory environment have stimulated consolidation in the postsecondary education industry. Regulations adopted in recent years have tightened standards for educational content, established strict standards for student loan default rates, required intensified scrutiny by state education agencies and accrediting agencies and created more stringent standards for the evaluation of an institution's financial responsibility and administrative capability. As a result, some career-oriented schools have been forced to close because they lacked sufficient quality or financial resources or could not manage the increased regulatory burden. At the same time, despite increasing demand, potential new entrants face significant barriers to entry due to the highly regulated nature of the industry and the considerable expense of start-up operations.

# **Financial Aid and Regulation**

Our schools and students in the U.S. and Canada, as well as U.S. students at our schools in London and Dubai, participate in a wide variety of government-sponsored financial aid programs (i.e., programs administered by federal, state, provincial, and local government agencies). For this reason, our schools are subject to extensive regulatory requirements imposed by government funding agencies and other bodies. For the 2002 fiscal year, we derived approximately 61% of our revenue on a cash basis from such government sponsored financial aid received by our students, and we estimate that approximately 75% of our students receive government sponsored financial aid.

Nature of Federal Support for Postsecondary Education in the U.S. While many states support public colleges and universities primarily through direct state subsidies, the federal government provides a substantial part of its support for postsecondary education in the form of grants and loans to students who can use those funds at any institution that has been certified as eligible by the Department of Education. These federal programs are authorized by Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, and are collectively referred to as the "Title IV Programs."

Most aid under the Title IV Programs is awarded on the basis of financial need, generally defined under the Higher Education Act as the difference between the cost of attending the institution and the amount a student can reasonably contribute to that cost. All recipients of Title IV Program funds must maintain a satisfactory grade point average and progress in a timely manner toward completion of their program of study.

Students at our schools receive grants, loans and work opportunities to fund their education under the following Title IV Programs, although not every campus participates in all programs: (1) the Federal Family Education Loan ("FFEL") program, (2) the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan ("FDL") program, (3) the Federal Pell Grant ("Pell") program, (4) the Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant ("FSEOG") program, (5) the Federal Perkins Loan ("Perkins") program and (6) the Federal Work-Study ("FWS") program.

- *FFEL*. Loans made under the FFEL program are federally guaranteed. Loans are made by banks and other lending institutions, but if a student or parent defaults on a loan, payment is guaranteed by a federally recognized guaranty agency, which is then reimbursed by the Department of Education. Students with financial need qualify for interest subsidies while in school and during grace periods. Our schools and their students use a wide variety of lenders and guaranty agencies and have not experienced difficulties in identifying lenders and guaranty agencies willing to make and guarantee FFEL loans.
- **FDL.** Under the FDL program, students or their parents may obtain loans directly from the Department of Education rather than from commercial lenders. The conditions on FDL loans are generally the same as on loans made under the FFEL program.
  - Pell. Under the Pell program, the Department of Education makes grants to students who demonstrate financial need.
- **FSEOG.** FSEOG awards are designed to supplement Pell grants for the neediest students. An institution is required to make a 25% matching contribution for all federal funds received under this program.
- **Perkins.** Perkins loans are made from a revolving institutional account, 75% of which is capitalized by the Department of Education and the remainder by the institution. Each institution is responsible for collecting payments on Perkins loans from its former students and lending those funds to currently enrolled students.
- *FWS.* Under the FWS program, federal funds are used to pay up to 75% of the cost of part-time employment of eligible students to perform work for the institution or certain off-campus organizations. The remaining 25% is paid by the institution or the employer.

Regulation of Federal Student Financial Aid Programs for U.S. Schools. To participate in the Title IV Programs, an institution must be authorized to offer its programs of instruction by the relevant agencies of the state in which it is located, accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the Department of Education and certified as eligible by the Department of Education. The Department of Education will certify an institution to participate in the Title IV Programs only after the institution has demonstrated compliance with the provisions of the Higher Education Act and the Department of Education's extensive regulations regarding institutional eligibility. An institution must also demonstrate its compliance with these requirements to the Department of Education on an ongoing basis. These standards are applied primarily on an institutional basis, with an institution defined as a main campus and its additional locations, if any. Under this definition, each of our U.S. campuses is a separate institution, except for Briarcliffe-Patchogue, which is an additional location of Briarcliffe-Bethpage, Gibbs-Piscataway, which is an additional location of Gibbs-Montclair and IADT-Fairmont (formerly known as the School of Computer Technology-Fairmont), which is an additional location of IADT-Pittsburgh (formerly known as the School of Computer Technology-Pittsburgh). Gibbs-Philadelphia is an additional location of Gibbs-New York, and IADT-Orlando and Orlando Culinary Academy are additional locations of IADT-Tampa. American InterContinental University campuses in Dunwoody, Dubai, Los Angeles, Ft. Lauderdale, and London are additional locations of American InterContinental University- Buckhead. All of our U.S. schools currently participate in the Title IV

15

Programs. The Dubai campus of AIU is not an eligible additional location per Department of Education requirements.

The substantial amount of federal funds disbursed through the Title IV Programs coupled with the large numbers of students and institutions participating in those programs have led to instances of fraud, waste and abuse. As a result, the U.S. Congress has required the Department of Education to increase its level of regulatory oversight of institutions to ensure that public funds are properly used. Under the Higher Education Act, accrediting agencies and state licensing agencies also have responsibilities for overseeing institutions and continued approval by such agencies is necessary for an institution to maintain eligibility to participate in the Title IV Programs. As a result, each of our institutions is subject to frequent reviews and detailed oversight and must comply with a complex framework of laws and regulations. Because the Department of Education periodically revises its regulations and changes its interpretation of existing laws and regulations, we cannot assure you that the Department of Education will agree with our understanding of each Title IV Program requirement.

Significant factors relating to the Title IV Programs that could adversely affect us include the following:

Legislative Action. Political and budgetary concerns significantly affect the Title IV Programs. The U.S. Congress must reauthorize the Higher Education Act approximately every six years. The most recent reauthorization in October 1998 reauthorized the Higher Education Act

through 2003, with an automatic one-year extension if the reauthorization is not completed within that timeframe. In addition, the U.S Congress reviews and determines federal appropriations for the Title IV Programs on an annual basis. The U.S. Congress can also make changes in the laws affecting the Title IV Programs in those annual appropriations bills and in other laws it enacts in between the Higher Education Act reauthorizations. Since a significant percentage of our revenue is derived from the Title IV Programs, any action by the U.S. Congress that significantly reduces Title IV Program funding or the ability of our schools or students to participate in the Title IV Programs could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Legislative action may also increase our administrative costs and require us to adjust our practices in order for our schools to comply fully with the Title IV Program requirements.

Cohort Default Rates. A significant component of the U.S. Congress' initiative to reduce abuse in the Title IV Programs has been the imposition of limitations on institutions whose former students default on the repayment of their federally guaranteed or funded student loans above specific rates. All of our institutions have implemented aggressive student loan default management programs aimed at reducing the likelihood of students failing to repay their loans in a timely manner. Those programs emphasize the importance of students meeting loan repayment requirements and provide for extensive loan counseling, methods to increase student persistence and completion rates and graduate employment rates, and proactive borrower contacts after students cease enrollment.

An institution's cohort default rate under the FFEL and FDL programs is calculated on an annual basis as the rate at which student borrowers scheduled to begin repayment on their loans in one federal fiscal year default on those loans by the end of the next federal fiscal year. An institution whose cohort default rates equal or exceed 25% for three consecutive years will no longer be eligible to participate in the FFEL, FDL or Pell programs for the remainder of the federal fiscal year in which the Department of Education determines that such institution has lost its eligibility and for the two subsequent federal fiscal years. An institution whose cohort default rate under the FFEL or FDL program for any federal fiscal year exceeds 40% may have its eligibility to participate in all of the Title IV Programs limited, suspended or terminated by the Department of Education.

None of our 27 institutions participating in FFEL or FDL programs had an FFEL or FDL cohort default rate of 25% or greater for any of the last three federal fiscal years. The following table sets

16

forth the FFEL and FDL cohort default rates for our institutions for federal fiscal years 2000, 1999 and 1998, the most recent years for which the Department of Education has published such rates:

CCCI	and FDI	Cohont	Dofoult	Data
	anu riji	/ COHOLL	Delault	Nate

School	2000	1999	1998					
American InterContinental University								
Atlanta, GA (Dunwoody and Buckhead)								
Dubai, United Arab Emirates,								
Los Angeles, CA, Ft. Lauderdale, FL and London, England	6.4%	10.9%	8.4%					
Allentown Business School								
Allentown, PA	11.2%	9.2%	9.2%					
Briarcliffe College								
Bethpage & Patchogue, NY	5.9%	5.4%	7.9%					
Brooks College								
Long Beach, CA	6.1%	9.8%	16.3%					
Brooks Institute of Photography								
Santa Barbara, CA	6.8%	5.7%	6.0%					
Brown College								
Mendota Heights, MN	3.7%	9.5%	5.5%					
California Culinary Academy								
San Francisco, CA	5.6%	4.0%	2.7%					
California School of Culinary Arts*								
Pasadena, CA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Collins College								
Tempe, AZ	7.6%	9.9%	10.0%					

FFFI	and FD	L Cohort	Defau	lt Rate

The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago			
Chicago, IL	15.4%	10.1%	7.9%
Gibbs College			
Norwalk, CT	9.6%	9.1%	5.6%
Montclair, NJ and Piscataway, NJ	11.4%	16.9%	16.5%
Gibbs School			
Vienna, VA	5.9%	8.7%	9.2%
Harrington Institute of Interior Design			
Chicago, IL	2.7%	1.7%	1.4%
International Academy of Design & Technology			
Chicago, IL	13.1%	9.8%	6.8%
Pittsburgh, PA and Fairmont, WV	9.6%	9.1%	9.5%
Tampa, FL and Orlando, FL	7.9%	6.0%	7.5%
The Katharine Gibbs Schools			
Boston, MA	10.5%	12.4%	14.2%
Melville, NY	6.2%	8.2%	7.6%
New York, NY and Philadelphia, PA	11.0%	6.8%	8.5%
Providence, RI	5.1%	1.8%	18.5%
	17		

#### FFEL and FDL Cohort Default Rate

McIntosh College   B.0%   9.0%   7.7%				
Dover, NH   8.0%   9.0%   7.79	School	2000	1999	1998
Missouri College         St Louis, MO       10.2%       8.6%       8.6%         Pennsylvania Culinary Institute       Pittsburgh, PA       5.8%       7.6%       9.6%         Scottsdale Culinary Institute       Scottsdale, AZ       3.9%       5.0%       5.2%         Texas Culinary Academy         Austin, TX       15.0%       10.3%       22.0%         Western Culinary Institute	McIntosh College			
St Louis, MO       10.2%       8.6%       8.6%         Pennsylvania Culinary Institute         Pittsburgh, PA       5.8%       7.6%       9.6%         Scottsdale Culinary Institute         Scottsdale, AZ       3.9%       5.0%       5.2%         Texas Culinary Academy         Austin, TX       15.0%       10.3%       22.0%         Western Culinary Institute	Dover, NH	8.0%	9.0%	7.7%
Pennsylvania Culinary Institute Pittsburgh, PA 5.8% 7.6% 9.69 Scottsdale Culinary Institute Scottsdale, AZ 3.9% 5.0% 5.29 Texas Culinary Academy Austin, TX 15.0% 10.3% 22.09 Western Culinary Institute	Missouri College			
Pittsburgh, PA       5.8%       7.6%       9.69         Scottsdale Culinary Institute       3.9%       5.0%       5.29         Scottsdale, AZ       3.9%       5.0%       5.29         Texas Culinary Academy       4.00%       15.0%       10.3%       22.09         Western Culinary Institute       4.00%       10.3%       22.09	St Louis, MO	10.2%	8.6%	8.6%
Scottsdale Culinary Institute Scottsdale, AZ  Texas Culinary Academy Austin, TX  15.0%  10.3%  22.09  Western Culinary Institute	Pennsylvania Culinary Institute			
Scottsdale, AZ       3.9%       5.0%       5.29         Texas Culinary Academy         Austin, TX       15.0%       10.3%       22.09         Western Culinary Institute	Pittsburgh, PA	5.8%	7.6%	9.6%
Texas Culinary Academy Austin, TX 15.0% 10.3% 22.09 Western Culinary Institute	Scottsdale Culinary Institute			
Austin, TX 15.0% 10.3% 22.09 Western Culinary Institute	Scottsdale, AZ	3.9%	5.0%	5.2%
Western Culinary Institute	Texas Culinary Academy			
•	•	15.0%	10.3%	22.0%
•	Western Culinary Institute			
	•	5.9%	5.9%	7.5%

California School of Culinary Arts did not begin participating in the FFEL or FDL programs until being acquired by us in March 1998.

An institution whose cohort default rate under the FFEL or FDL program equals or exceeds 25% for any one of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or whose cohort default rate under the Perkins program exceeds 15% for any year, may be placed on provisional certification status by the Department of Education for up to four years. Three of our institutions have Perkins cohort default rates in excess of 15% for students who were scheduled to begin repayment in the 2000-01 federal award year, the most recent year for which such rates have been published. The Perkins cohort default rates for these three institutions ranged from 34.38% to 48.84%. These rates include one institution that previously notified the Department of Education that it is no longer participating in the Perkins Loan program. Currently, the Department of Education has placed two of our institutions, Brown College and Gibbs-Melville, on provisional certification for their Perkins cohort default rates. There were no Perkins Loans disbursed in 2002. See "Eligibility and Certification Procedures."

Financial Responsibility Standards. All institutions participating in the Title IV Programs must satisfy specific standards of financial responsibility. The Department of Education evaluates institutions for compliance with these standards each year, based on the annual audited financial statements of the institution or its parent corporation, and following a change of control of the institution. In reviewing our financial statements, it has been the DOE's practice to measure financial responsibility on the basis of the financial statements of both our individual institutions and CEC on a consolidated basis.

To be considered financially responsible, an institution must, among other things, (i) have sufficient cash reserves to make required refunds, (ii) be current on its debt payments, (iii) meet all of its financial obligations, and (iv) achieve a "composite score" of at least 1.5 based on the institution's annual audited financial statements. The Department of Education calculates the institution's composite score based on its:

equity ratio, which measures the institution's capital resources, ability to borrow and financial viability,

primary reserve ratio, which measures the institution's ability to support current operations from expendable resources and

net income ratio, which measures the institution's ability to operate at a profit.

Once computed, these ratios are adjusted by strength factors, weighted and summed to determine the institution's composite score, which may range from negative one to three. If an institution's composite score is 1.5 or greater and it satisfies all other Department of Education standards of

18

financial responsibility, the institution is deemed financially responsible. An institution that does not meet the Department of Education's minimum composite score may demonstrate its financial responsibility by posting a letter of credit in favor of the Department of Education in an amount equal to at least 50% of the Title IV Program funds received by the institution during its prior fiscal year or posting such letter of credit in an amount equal to at least 10% of the Title IV Program funds received by the institution during its prior fiscal year and accepting other conditions on its participation in the Title IV Programs. Our consolidated composite score as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002, was 2.5.

Under a separate standard of financial responsibility, an institution that has been found in an audit or compliance review to have made late student refunds above a minimum threshold in either of its last two fiscal years must post a letter of credit with the Department of Education in an amount equal to 25% of the total Title IV Program refunds paid by the institution in its prior fiscal year. Based on this standard, we currently have posted a total of \$2,783,084 in letters of credit with respect to 21 schools.

Change of Ownership or Control. When we acquire an institution that is eligible to participate in the Title IV Programs, that institution undergoes a change of ownership resulting in a change of control as defined by the Department of Education. Upon such a change of control, an institution's eligibility to participate in the Title IV Programs is subject to review, and the institution could lose its eligibility unless it can reestablish its state authorization and accreditation and satisfy the other requirements to be recertified by the Department of Education as an eligible institution under our ownership. If an institution is recertified following a change of control, it will be on a provisional basis.

Since 1998, the Department of Education has had the authority to provisionally and temporarily certify an institution following a change of control under certain circumstances while the Department of Education reviews the institution's application. The Department of Education has provided such temporary certification to each institution we have acquired since January 1999 within periods of time ranging from 10 to 60 days after closing.

Each U.S. institution we have acquired has undergone a certification review under our ownership and has been certified to participate in the Title IV Programs on a provisional basis. Eleven of our U.S. institutions are presently participating in the Title IV Programs under provisional certification (eight due to change of ownership), and 16 have been granted regular certification.

Some other types of transactions can also cause a change of control. The Department of Education, state education agencies and the accrediting agencies that accredit our schools have their own definitions of when a transaction is deemed a change of control. With respect to a publicly traded corporation, such as us, Department of Education regulations provide that a change of control occurs under either of two standards. First, a change of control occurs if a person acquires such ownership and control that the corporation is required to file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosing a change of control. Second, a change of control occurs if a shareholder (other than an institutional investor) that owns at least 25% of the corporation's voting stock and more voting stock than any other shareholder ceases to satisfy either of those conditions. Most of the states and accrediting agencies include the sale of a controlling interest of common stock in the definition of a change of control. A change of control under the definition of one of these agencies would require the affected institution to reaffirm its state authorization or accreditation. The requirements to obtain such reaffirmation from the states and accrediting agencies with jurisdiction over our schools vary widely.

The potential adverse effects of a change of control could influence future decisions by us and our stockholders regarding the sale, purchase, transfer, issuance or redemption of our capital stock.

19

Opening Additional Schools and Adding Educational Programs. The Higher Education Act generally requires that proprietary institutions be fully operational for two years before applying to participate in the Title IV Programs. However, an institution that is certified to participate in the Title IV Programs may establish an additional location and apply to participate in the Title IV Programs at that location without reference to the two-year requirement, if such additional location satisfies all other applicable eligibility requirements. Our expansion plans are based, in part, on our ability to open new campuses as additional locations of our existing institutions. Under a new regulation that took effect on July 1, 2001, an institution that satisfies certain conditions may open an additional location that may begin participation in the Title IV Programs as soon as the institution notifies the Department of Education of such location, rather than waiting for Department of Education approval.

Generally, an institution that is eligible to participate in the Title IV Programs may add a new educational program without Department of Education approval if that new program leads to an associate level or higher degree and the institution already offers programs at that level, or if it prepares students for gainful employment in the same or related occupation as an educational program that has previously been designated as an eligible program at that institution and meets minimum length requirements. If an institution erroneously determines that an educational program is eligible for the Title IV Programs, the institution would likely be liable for repayment of the Title IV Program funds provided to students in that educational program. We do not believe that current Department of Education regulations will create significant obstacles to our plans to add new programs.

Some of the state education agencies and accrediting agencies with jurisdiction over our campuses also have requirements that may affect schools' ability to open a new campus, acquire an existing campus, establish an additional location of an existing institution or begin offering a new educational program. We do not believe that these standards will have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans.

"90/10 Rule." Under a provision of the Higher Education Act commonly referred to as the "90/10 Rule," a proprietary institution, such as each of our institutions, would cease being eligible to participate in the Title IV Programs if, on a cash accounting basis, it derived more than 90% of its revenue for any fiscal year from the Title IV Programs. Any institution that violates this rule becomes ineligible to participate in the Title IV Programs as of the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which it exceeds 90% and is unable to apply to regain its eligibility until the next fiscal year. If one of our institutions violated the 90/10 Rule and became ineligible to participate in the Title IV Programs but continued to disburse Title IV Program funds, the Department of Education would require the institution to repay all Title IV Program funds received by the institution after the effective date of the loss of eligibility.

We have calculated that, since this requirement took effect in 1995, none of our institutions has derived more than 83% of its revenue from the Title IV Programs for any fiscal year. We regularly monitor compliance with this requirement to minimize the risk that any of our institutions would derive more than the maximum percentage of its revenue from the Title IV Programs for any fiscal year. If an institution appeared likely to approach the maximum percentage threshold, we would evaluate making changes in student funding and financing to ensure compliance with the rule.

Administrative Capability. The Department of Education assesses the administrative capability of each institution that participates in the Title IV Programs under a series of separate standards. Failure to satisfy any of the standards may lead the Department of Education to find the institution ineligible to participate in the Title IV Programs or to place the institution on provisional certification as a condition of its participation. A violation of these requirements could also subject the institutions to other penalties. See "Compliance with Regulatory Standards and Effect of Regulatory Violations."

One standard, which applies to programs with the stated objective of preparing students for employment, requires the institution to show a reasonable relationship between the length of the

20

program and the entry-level job requirements of the relevant field of employment. We believe we have made the required showing for each of our applicable programs. Short-term educational programs that provide less than 600 clock hours, 16 semester hours or 24 quarter hours of instruction must demonstrate that 70% of all students who enroll in such programs complete them within a prescribed time and that 70% of the

graduates of such programs obtain employment in the occupation for which they were trained within a prescribed time. Some of the Gibbs institutions offer such short-term programs, but students enrolled in these programs represent a small percentage of our total enrollment.

Other standards provide that an institution may be found to lack administrative capability and be placed on provisional certification if its student loan default rate under the FFEL and FDL programs is 25% or greater for any of the three most recent federal fiscal years, or if its Perkins cohort default rate exceeds 15% for any federal award year. Due to Perkins default rates, two of our institutions have been determined by the Department of Education to lack administrative capability and have been placed on provisional certification with respect to the Perkins loan program. This does not affect our ability to administer the FFEL and FDL programs.

An additional standard prohibits an institution from providing any commission, bonus or other incentive payment based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid to any person or entity engaged in any student recruitment, admission or financial aid awarding activity. In November of 2002, the Department of Education revised its regulations to clarify this requirement and identified particular activities and payment arrangements that are consistent with this standard. We believe that our current compensation plans are in compliance with the Higher Education Act standards, although the regulations of the Department of Education still do not establish clear criteria for compliance with respect to all issues.

Eligibility and Certification Procedures. The Higher Education Act and its implementing regulations require each institution to apply to the Department of Education for continued certification to participate in the Title IV Programs at least every six years, or when it undergoes a change of control. The Department of Education may place an institution on provisional certification status if it finds that the institution does not fully satisfy all of the eligibility and certification standards. The Department of Education may withdraw an institution's provisional certification without advance notice if the Department of Education determines that the institution is not fulfilling all material requirements, and may more closely review an institution that is provisionally certified if it applies for approval to open a new location or make any other significant change. Provisional certification does not otherwise limit an institution's access to Title IV Program funds. In addition, an institution must obtain Department of Education approval for substantial changes (i.e., changes in an institution's accrediting agency or state authorizing agency, as well as changes to an institution's structure or certain basic educational features).

An institution seeking certification to participate in the Title IV Programs after a change of control will be provisionally certified for a limited period, following which the institution must reapply for continued certification. If at that time the institution satisfies all conditions for full certification, the Department of Education will recertify the institution and remove the provisional status. Otherwise, the Department of Education may recertify the institution on a continued provisional basis. Each institution that we have acquired was initially certified by the Department of Education for participation in the Title IV Programs under our ownership on a provisional basis. Eight of our institutions remain on provisional certification status because the initial period of their provisional certification has not expired. Three of our institutions have been recertified by the Department of Education on a provisional status for reasons other than change of ownership (two due to Perkins Loan default rates and one due to late refunds).

Compliance with Regulatory Standards and Effect of Regulatory Violations. Our schools are subject to audits and program compliance reviews by various external agencies, including the Department of

21

Education, state authorizing agencies, guaranty agencies and accrediting agencies. The Higher Education Act and its implementing regulations also require that an institution's administration of Title IV Program funds be audited annually by an independent accounting firm, and the resulting audit report submitted to the Department of Education for review. If the Department of Education or another regulatory agency determined that one of our institutions improperly disbursed Title IV Program funds or violated a provision of the Higher Education Act or the Department of Education's regulations, that institution could be required to repay such funds, and could be assessed an administrative fine. The Department of Education could also subject the institution to heightened cash monitoring, under which the institution's federal funding requests would be more carefully reviewed by the Department of Education, or the Department of Education could transfer the institution from the advance system of receiving Title IV Program funds to the reimbursement system, under which an institution must disburse its own funds to students and document the students' eligibility for Title IV Program funds before receiving such funds from the Department of Education. Violations of Title IV Program requirements could also subject us or our schools to other civil and criminal penalties.

Significant violations of Title IV Program requirements by us or any of our institutions could be the basis for a proceeding by the Department of Education to limit, suspend or terminate the participation of the affected institution in the Title IV Programs. Generally, such a termination extends for 18 months before the institution may apply for reinstatement of its participation. There is no proceeding pending to fine any of our institutions or to limit, suspend or terminate any of our institutions' participation in the Title IV Programs, and we have no reason to believe that any such proceeding is contemplated. Any such action that substantially limited our schools' participation in the Title IV Programs could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### State Authorization for U.S. Schools

We are subject to extensive regulation in each of the 18 states in which we currently operate schools and in other states in which our schools recruit students. Each of our campuses must be authorized by the applicable state agency or agencies to operate and grant degrees or diplomas to its students. In addition, state authorization is required for an institution to become and remain eligible to participate in the Title IV Programs. Currently, each of our U.S. campuses is authorized by the applicable state agency or agencies.

The level of regulatory oversight varies substantially from state to state. In some states, the campuses are subject to licensure by an agency that regulates proprietary schools and also by a separate higher education agency. State laws establish standards for instruction, qualifications of faculty, location and nature of facilities, financial policies and other operational matters. State laws and regulations may limit our schools' ability to operate or to award degrees or diplomas or offer new degree programs. Some states prescribe standards of financial responsibility that are different from those prescribed by the Department of Education. We believe that each of our campuses is in substantial compliance with state authorizing and licensure laws. If any one of our campuses lost its state authorization, the campus would be unable to offer its programs and we would be forced to close that campus. Closing one of our campuses for any reason could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### Accreditation for U.S. Schools

Accreditation is a non-governmental process through which an institution submits to a qualitative review by an organization of peer institutions. Accrediting agencies primarily examine the academic quality of the institution's instructional programs, and a grant of accreditation is generally viewed as confirmation that an institution's programs meet generally accepted academic standards. Accrediting

22

agencies also review the administrative and financial operations of the institutions they accredit to ensure that each institution has the resources to perform its educational mission.

Accreditation by an accrediting agency recognized by the Department of Education is required for an institution to be certified to participate in the Title IV Programs. Accrediting agencies must adopt specific standards in connection with their review of postsecondary institutions to be recognized by the Department of Education. All of our U.S. campuses are accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the Department of Education. Nineteen of our campuses are accredited by the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools ("ACICS"), eight of our campuses are accredited by the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges of Technology ("ACCSCT"), six of our campuses are accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges, one of our campuses is accredited by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, one of our campuses is accredited by the National Association of Schools of Art and Design, one of our campuses is accredited by the New England Association of Schools and Colleges Commission on Technical and Career Institutions, one of our campuses is accredited by the Council on Occupational Education ("COE") and two of our campuses are accredited by the Middle States Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Higher Education. In addition, programmatic accreditation has been granted to seven of our campuses' interior design programs by the Foundation for Interior Design Education Research, five of our campuses' culinary arts programs by the American Culinary Federation Educational Institute Accrediting. These programmatic accreditations are not recognized by the Department of Education.

An accrediting agency may place a campus on "reporting" status to monitor one or more specified areas of performance. A campus placed on reporting status is required to report periodically to its accrediting agency on that campus' performance in the specified areas. One campus, Gibbs-Vienna, is on financial reporting status with its accrediting agency and three campuses (Gibbs-Boston, Gibbs-Vienna, and IADT-Pittsburgh) are on retention reporting status. If any of our campuses loses its accreditation, the campus would be ineligible to continue its participation in the Title IV Programs. The loss of Title IV Program funding for any of our campuses could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### **Canadian Regulation**

Depending on their province of residence, our Canadian students may receive loans under the Canada Student Loans Program, the Ontario Student Loans Plan, the Quebec Loans and Bursaries Program, and/or provincial funding from their province of residence (if not Ontario or Quebec). Canadian schools must meet eligibility standards to administer these programs and must comply with extensive statutes, rules, regulations and requirements. We believe our Canadian schools currently hold all necessary registrations, approvals and permits and meet all eligibility requirements to administer these governmental financial aid programs. If our Canadian schools cannot meet these and other eligibility standards or fail to comply with applicable requirements, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or

financial condition. Our Canadian schools are subject to regulation in the provinces in which they operate and in the provinces in which they recruit students.

Ontario. The Ontario Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities ("Ontario Ministry") provides financial assistance to eligible students through the Ontario Student Assistance Program ("OSAP"). This program includes two main components, the Canada Student Loans Program and the Ontario Student Loans Plan. To maintain the right to administer OSAP, our schools located in Ontario, International Academy of Design and Technology in Ottawa and Toronto and the SoftTrain Institute (the "Ontario Schools"), must, among other things, be registered and in good standing under the Private Career Colleges Act (Ontario) and abide by the rules, regulations and administrative manuals

23

of the Canada Student Loans Program, Ontario Student Loans Plan and other OSAP-related programs. In order to attain initial eligibility, an institution has to establish that it has (1) been in good standing under the Private Career Colleges Act (Ontario) for at least 12 months, (2) offered an eligible program for at least 12 months and (3) graduated at least one class with a minimum of five students enrolled in an eligible program that satisfied specific requirements with respect to class size and graduation rate and (4) described the procedures to be implemented to properly administer a financial aid office, including provisions for appropriately training staff. Pursuant to Ontario Ministry rules, during each of the first two years of initial eligibility, an institution has its administration of OSAP independently audited, and full eligibility is not granted until these audits confirm that the school is properly administering OSAP. Our Ontario Schools have been granted full eligibility. Under Ontario Ministry rules, our Ontario Schools must advise the Ontario Ministry before they take any material action that may result in their failure or inability to meet any rules, regulations or requirements related to OSAP.

In order for our Ontario Schools to establish any new branches, they must obtain OSAP-designation from the Ontario Ministry. We do not believe that OSAP's requirements will create significant obstacles to our plans to acquire additional institutions or open new branches in Ontario.

Our Ontario Schools may submit applications for loans only to students enrolled in educational programs that have been designated as OSAP-eligible by the Ontario Ministry. To be eligible, among other things, a program must be registered with the Private Institutions and Student Protection Unit of the Ontario Ministry, must be of a minimum length and must lead to a diploma or certificate. We do not anticipate that these program approval requirements will create significant problems with respect to our plans to add new educational programs.

Under Ontario Ministry rules, an institution cannot automatically acquire OSAP designation through the acquisition of other OSAP-eligible institutions. When there is a change of ownership, including a change in controlling interest, in a non-incorporated OSAP-eligible institution, the Ontario Ministry will require evidence of the institution's continued capacity to properly administer the program before extending OSAP designation to the new owner. We do not believe that this annual filing will be considered a change of ownership for purposes of OSAP. Given that the Ontario Ministry periodically revises its regulations and other requirements and changes its interpretations of existing laws and regulations, we cannot assure you that the Ontario Ministry will agree with our understanding of each requirement.

Our Ontario Schools are required to audit their OSAP administration annually and the Ontario Ministry is authorized to conduct its own audits of our administration of these programs. We have complied with these requirements on a timely basis. Based on the most recent annual compliance audits, our Ontario schools are in substantial compliance with OSAP requirements and we believe that the schools continue to be in substantial compliance with these requirements.

The Ontario Ministry has the authority to take any measures it deems necessary to protect the integrity of the administration of OSAP. If the Ontario Ministry deems a failure to comply to be minor in nature, the Ontario Ministry will advise us of the deficiency and provide us with an opportunity to remedy it. If the Ontario Ministry deems the failure to comply to be more serious in nature, the Ontario Ministry has the authority to: (1) condition our continued OSAP designations upon our meeting specific requirements during a specific time frame; (2) suspend our OSAP designations; or (3) revoke our OSAP designations. When the Ontario Ministry determines that any non-compliance in our OSAP administration is serious, the Ontario Ministry has the authority to contract with an independent auditor, at our expense, to conduct a full audit in order to quantify the deficiencies and to require repayment of all loan amounts plus interest. In addition, the Ontario Ministry may impose a penalty up to the amount of the damages assessed in the independent audit.

Adopting a practice similar to that of the U.S. Department of Education, the Ontario Ministry calculates for each school student loan default rates on the basis of incidences of default and expresses

the default rates as a percentage of the total number of loans issued to students attending that school. Beginning with loans issued in the 1998-99 award year (August 1, 1998 to July 31, 1999), institutions with a 1997 default rate that is 15 percentage points or more above the 1997 provincial average of 23.5% (i.e. 38.5%) were required to share the cost of defaults. In the 1999-2000 award year, this policy applied to institutions with a 1998 default rate 10 percentage points or more above 23.5% (i.e. 33.5%). In the 2000-2001 award year, this policy applied to institutions with a 1999 default rate above 28.5%. In the 2001-2002 award year, this policy applied to institutions with a 2000 default rate of 25.0% or above. For the 2002-2003 award year, this policy will apply to institutions with a 2001 default rate of 25.0% or above. The rate for 2003-2004 is also 25.0%. The two branches of our International Academy of Design and Technology school in Toronto had an average overall default rate of 20.7% in 2002, 21.6% in 2001, 18.1% in 2000, 16.7% in 1999, and 23.8% in 1998. Our International Academy of Design and Technology school in Ottawa had an overall default rate of 28.6% in 2002, 14.3% in 2001, 13.0% in 2000 and 15.4% in 1999. There are currently no students enrolled in the programs that are above the default threshold at the International Academy of Design and Technology-Ottawa. IADT-Ottawa has received a letter from the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities relating to the increase in its default rate. The Ministry has indicated that IADT-Ottawa may have to share in the cost of the defaults by posting an additional bond with the Ministry. However, because the program at issue is no longer being offered by IADT-Ottawa, it is not clear whether the Ministry will follow through on this request. The default rate for our SoftTrain Institute in Toronto was 9.1% in 2002, 0.00% in 2001 and was not recorded by the Ontario Ministry in previous years, as the number of student loans issued by the institution was

For the purpose of calculating default rates, student loan recipients/defaulters are assigned to the last institution they attended. An Ontario student loan is in default when the Ontario government has paid a bank's claim for an inactive loan. A loan is inactive when the student has made no payments for at least 90 days. The overall 2002 default rate for Ontario post-secondary institutions (which includes universities, colleges and private career college) is 13.9%, a decrease from the 2001 default rate of 15.4%. The 2002 default rate for the private career college sector, as a whole, is 24.2%. The rate for 2002 is the most recent figure available.

The Ontario Ministry has stated that while the decrease in the overall default rate is encouraging, it remains, from the Ontario government's perspective, unacceptably high. The Ontario Ministry's business plan requires that the overall default rate for Ontario post-secondary institutions be reduced to 10.0%, failing which the institution in question will be responsible for sharing the cost of defaults.

On December 20, 2000, the Ontario government passed the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Statute Law Amendment Act, 2000, the last two parts of which were proclaimed in force on October 1, 2001. This legislation has brought about several changes to Ontario's postsecondary school system. The legislation and changes will expand access to Ontario students to degree programs by allowing the establishment of privately funded degree granting institutions in the province, as well as permitting Ontario community colleges to offer applied degrees. The legislation permits the Minister of Training, Colleges and Universities to appoint inspectors to ensure that institutions are administering OSAP properly and is part of the government's commitment to ensure both the viability of postsecondary school institutions and the protection of both taxpayers and students.

The legislation also creates new provincial offenses to prevent OSAP abuse (for example, obtaining awards, grants and student loans to which a person is not entitled, for assisting a person in obtaining an award, grant or loan to which the person is not entitled, for failing to provide information when required and for providing false information in connection with an award, grant or student loan). An individual convicted of any one of the offenses could be subject to a fine of not more than \$25,000 Canadian and/or a term of imprisonment of not more than one year. A corporation convicted of an offense could be subject to a fine of not more than \$100,000 Canadian.

25

Federal bankruptcy legislation exempts federal and provincial student loans from being included in bankruptcy proceedings for a 10-year period following a students' completion of his or her studies.

On June 27, 2001, the Ontario government passed the Ontario Student Loan Harmonization Act, 2001, which was proclaimed in force on June 29, 2001. The legislation, among other things, permits the Ontario Ministry to enter into agreements with one or more persons (including financial institutions) respecting the administration of loans to students and respecting such other matters relating to the loans as the Ontario Ministry considers proper. The legislation also requires that such agreements and student loans contain all terms as may be prescribed by regulation and such other terms as the Ontario Ministry considers proper. The *Government Efficiency Act*, 2002, which became effective on November 26, 2002, clarified that these agreements may impose performance or other requirements on a private career college that must be met in order for students of the institution to be eligible to apply for awards, grants or student loans. These requirements may include, among other things, a performance bond and a loan default sharing arrangement. If one of our schools ceases to meet any condition of any such agreement, the Ontario Ministry may withdraw the school's OSAP eligibility.

The Ontario government passed new regulations, effective August 1, 2001, relating to Ontario student loans made after July 31, 2001 and Ontario student loans made before August 1, 2001. These regulations, among other things, clarify the requirements and process for an individual

obtaining a student loan and maintaining his or her status as a qualifying student. The regulations also address what constitutes default on a student loan and the consequences of such a default for the student.

The Canadian government also passed regulations, effective October 31, 2001, relating to the credit screening of student loan applicants to prevent credit abuse and improve the overall integrity of the Canada Student Loans Program.

The Ontario Government amended the *Private Vocational Schools Act* in 2002. Among other things, the Act was renamed the *Private Career Colleges Act*. The amending legislation, the *Keeping the Promise for Growth and Prosperity Act (2002 Budget)*, 2002, which was passed on June 27, 2002, also provides for the enactment of new regulations prescribing forms of security or other methods of protecting the financial interest of students other than the bonding requirements discussed below. No regulations have been passed by the Ontario government to date under this provision.

We may only operate a private career college in Ontario if the school is registered under the Private Career Colleges Act (Ontario). Upon payment of the prescribed fee and satisfaction of the conditions prescribed by the regulations under the Private Career College Act (Ontario) and by the Private Institutions and Student Protection Unit of the Ontario Ministry, an applicant or registrant such as one of our Ontario Schools are entitled to registration or renewal of registration to conduct or operate a private career college unless:

the school cannot reasonably be expected to be financially responsible in the conduct of the private career college school,

the past conduct of the officers or directors provides reasonable grounds for belief that the operations of the school will not be carried on in accordance with relevant law and with integrity and honesty,

it can reasonably be expected that the course or courses of study or the method of training offered by the school will not provide the skill and knowledge requisite for employment in the vocation or vocations for which the applicant or registrant is offering instruction, and

the applicant is carrying on activities that are, or will be, if the applicant is registered, in contravention of the Private Career Colleges Act (Ontario) or the regulations under that Act.

An applicant for registration to conduct or operate a private career college is required to submit with the application a bond in an amount determined in accordance with the regulations under the

26

Private Career College Act (Ontario). Our Ontario Schools are currently registered under the Private Career College Act (Ontario) for all locations, and we do not believe that there will be any impediment to renewal of such registrations on an annual basis.

The Private Career College Act (Ontario) provides that a "registration" is not transferable. However, the Private Institutions and Student Protection Unit of the Ontario Ministry takes the position that a purchase of shares of a private career college does not invalidate the school's registration under the Private Career Colleges Act. We do not believe that this annual filing will invalidate the registrations of our Ontario Schools.

If our Ontario Schools are convicted of violating the Private Career Colleges Act (Ontario) or the regulations under that Act, the school can be fined up to \$25,000 Canadian.

Quebec. Our Quebec students may receive loans under the Quebec Loans and Bursaries Program subject to student eligibility criteria. Under an Act Respecting Private Education, our International Academy of Design school in Montreal may not operate as a private educational institution without holding a permit issued by the Quebec Minister of Education. Permits cannot be transferred without the written authorization of the Quebec Minister and we must notify that Minister of any amalgamation, sale or transfer affecting our Quebec school, as well as any change in the school's name. The Quebec Minister can modify or revoke our permit in certain circumstances, such as if we do not comply with the conditions, restrictions or prohibitions of the permit or if we are to become insolvent. We must be provided with a chance to present our views before our permit can be revoked.

#### **Other International Regulations**

Our international schools that operate in France, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates are subject to local regulations. Each of these schools currently holds all necessary domestic authority to operate within their respective jurisdictions and the schools work closely with the local regulators to ensure that they are in compliance with domestic regulations. Additionally, our American InterContinental University (AIU) schools in the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates are accredited by a U.S. regional accrediting association, the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools ("SACS") as branches of AIU. During 2002, SACS granted AIU's six schools a 10-year reaffirmation.

France. The INSEEC Group consists of nine campuses and is recognized as a leading provider of higher education in France. Eight of the campuses are governed by the French Ministry of Education, while the remaining campus is accredited by the National Professional Committee for Medical Visits for its pharmaceutical test preparation and continuing education classes. There are three-tiers to the French Ministry approval: state recognition (Level III), diploma endorsement (Level II) and diploma stamp (Level I highest recognition). Currently, two campuses have the highest recognition with Level I approval, three campuses have Level II approval and three campuses have Level III approval. The campuses that have lower level approvals have submitted applications to the French Ministry of Education to be granted higher degree granting authority. These applications were prepared and submitted to the Ministry of Education after the campuses were acquired by the INSEEC Group. The regulation of tertiary (postsecondary) education in France is undergoing reorganization. Changes in the organic laws governing the authority of institutions to operate within France, and the terms and conditions under which such institutions operate, may be changed as a result of such reorganization. The effects of any such changes on our schools in France, the nature of which are unknown at the present time, are uncertain.

*United Kingdom.* American InterContinental University London (AIU London) has been authorized by the cognizant U.S. and United Kingdom agencies to grant academic credentials. AIU London is authorized to grant academic degrees by both the Nonpublic Postsecondary Education

27

Commission of the State of Georgia and the Education Licensure Commission of the District of Columbia. U.S. students that attend AIU London are eligible to participate in the U.S. Title IV programs through AIU London's status as an additional location of AIU Buckhead. AIU London is a "Listed Body" pursuant to The Education (Listed Bodies) (England) Order 2002, and approved by the Open University (U.K.) Validation Services as an appropriate organization to offer higher education programs.

United Arab Emirates. American University Dubai (AU Dubai) is authorized to grant academic credentials by both the Nonpublic Postsecondary Education Commission of the State of Georgia and the Education Licensure Commission of the District of Columbia. AU Dubai does not participate in the U.S. Title IV programs. AU Dubai has been granted domestic programmatic accreditation by the United Arab Emirates Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research and has authority to operate through a grant from the Emir (the head of state) of Dubai.

#### **Available Information**

Our investor relations website is *http://www.careered.com*. We make available on this website under the caption "Financial Information," free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

# ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters are located in Hoffman Estates, Illinois, near Chicago, and our 51 campuses are located throughout the United States, Canada, France, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates. Each campus contains teaching facilities, including modern classrooms, laboratories and, in the case of the schools with culinary arts programs, large, well-equipped kitchens. Admissions and administrative offices are also located at each campus. Additionally, the Brooks College campus includes a dormitory and student cafeteria. Atlantic Culinary Academy, Brown College, Texas Culinary Academy, Western Culinary Institute, Scottsdale Culinary Institute, California School of Culinary Arts, California Culinary Academy and The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago utilize leased space to operate restaurants in conjunction with their culinary arts program.

We lease all of our facilities, except the primary Gibbs facility in Montclair, New Jersey, a facility at Brooks Institute of Photography and a facility at the Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago, which we own. As of December 31, 2002, we owned real property covering approximately 40,000 square feet. As of December 31, 2002, we had entered into leases for approximately 3.8 million square feet of real

property. The leases have remaining terms ranging from less than one year to 20 years.

We actively monitor facility capacity in light of our current utilization and projected enrollment growth. We believe that our schools can acquire any necessary additional capacity on reasonably acceptable terms. We devote capital resources to facility improvements and expansions as necessary.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We and our institutions are subject to occasional lawsuits, investigations and claims arising out of the ordinary conduct of our business. Although outcomes cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that any legal proceeding to which we are a party will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter of 2002.

28

#### **PART II**

#### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our Common Stock has been quoted on the Nasdaq National Market (the "National Market") under the symbol "CECO" since January 29, 1998.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices per share for our common stock as reported on the National Market, where the stock trades under the symbol "CECO," for the periods indicated. All share prices reflect our 2-for-1 stock split affected in the form of a stock dividend in September 2001. The split-adjusted initial public offering price of our common stock on January 28, 1998, was \$4.00 per share.

 High		Low	
\$ 25.63	\$	15.47	
30.68		22.72	
33.61		21.69	
36.52		22.87	
High		Low	
\$ 40.23	\$	30.00	
\$ 40.23 48.21	\$	30.00 38.00	
\$	\$		
\$	\$ 25.63 30.68 33.61 36.52	\$ 25.63 \$ 30.68 33.61 36.52	

The closing price of our common stock as reported on the National Market on March 6, 2003, was \$46.93 per share. As of March 6, 2003, there were 72 holders of record of our common stock.

We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future and we plan to retain our earnings to finance future growth. Our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock is also

limited under the terms of our existing credit agreement. The declaration and payment of dividends on our common stock are subject to the discretion of our board of directors. Our board's decision to pay future dividends will depend on general business conditions, the effect on our financial condition and other factors the board may consider to be relevant.

29

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical consolidated financial and other data are qualified by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Our selected statement of income data set forth below for each of the five years ended December 31, 2002, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2002, 2001, 2000, 1999 and 1998, are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. As further described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," bad debt expense has been reclassified as a component of general and administrative expenses instead of as a component of net revenue.

	Year Ended December 31,									
		2002		2001		2000		1999		1998
				(In thousa	sands, except per share data)			e data)		
Statement of Income Data:										
Revenue:										
Tuition and registration fees	\$	704,172	\$	495,152	\$	304,909	\$	205,754	\$	137,909
Other		75,887		51,907		29,619		17,747	_	11,306
Total revenue		780,059		547,059		334,528		223,501		149,215
Operating expenses:										
Educational services and facilities		294,539		213,916		129,628		85,490		57,151
General and administrative		338,586		233,503		144,926		103,103		68,839
Depreciation and amortization.		33,636		30,818		20,594		14,557		12,163
Compensation expense related to the initial public offering		33,030		30,010		20,371		11,557		1,961
Total operating expenses		666,761		478,237		295,148		203,150		138,153
	_		_				_			
Income from operations		113,298		68,822		39,380		20,351		9,101
Other income (expense): Interest income		808		734		1,484		329		166
Interest expense		(2,094)		(1,493)		(1,358)		(1,482)		(1,416)
Share of affiliate earnings		2,348		1,674		(1,336)		(1,402)	_	(1,410)
Total other income (expense)		1,062		915		126		(1,153)		(1,250)
Income before provision for income taxes and cumulative effect of		111260		(0.727		20.506		10 100		7.051
change in accounting principle Provision for income taxes		114,360 46,888		69,737 31,382		39,506 17,322		19,198 8,255		7,851 3,350
FIGVISION TO MICOME taxes		40,000		31,362		17,322		0,233		3,330
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		67,472		38,355		22,184		10,943		4,501
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of income tax benefit						(778)				(205)
	_		_		_		_		_	
Net income	\$	67,472	\$	38,355	\$	21,406	\$	10,943	\$	4,296

# Year Ended December 31,

	_									
Income attributable to common stockholders:										
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	67,472	\$	38,355	\$	22,184	\$	10,943	\$	4,501
Dividends on preferred stock										(274)
Accretion to redemption value of preferred stock and warrants	_		_							(2,153)
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle attributable to common stockholders		67,472		38,355		22,184		10,943		2,074
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	_					(778)				(205)
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	67,472	\$	38,355	\$	21,406	\$	10,943	\$	1,869
ncome per share attributable to common stockholders:										
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.59	\$	0.36	\$	0.08
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	_					(0.02)				(0.01)
Net income	\$	1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.57	\$	0.36	\$	0.07
Diluted	_									
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.57	\$	0.34	\$	0.08
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	_					(0.02)				(0.01)
Net income	\$	1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.55	\$	0.34	\$	0.07
		30								
		Year Ended December 31,								
		2002	2001		2000		1999		1998	
				(In thousands)						
ther Data:										
BITDA(1)	\$	149,282 \$	6	101,314	\$	59,974	3	34,908	5	21,264
BITDA margin(1)		19.1%		18.5%		17.9%		15.6%		14.3%
et cash provided by operating activities et cash used in investing activities	\$ \$	106,230 \$ (68,087) \$			\$ \$	25,636 S (63,377) S		34,191 S (55,859) S		22,227 (12,356)
et cash used in investing activities et cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$	(44,497) \$			\$	(63,377) § 26,899 §		42,711		(4,897)
apital expenditures, net	\$	60,871			\$	28,453		12,169		6,383
udent population(2)	Ψ	50,400	•	41,100	Ψ	29,000	,	22,500	,	15,900
umber of campuses(3)		43		41		30		26		20
/					De	ecember 31,				
		2002		2001		2000		1999		1998
					(In	thousands)	-			
alance Sheet Data:										
Cash		\$ 33,474				33,742	\$	44,745		23,548
ash Vorking capital (deficit) Otal assets		\$ 33,474 (10,964 586,136	)	39,675 10,221 490,789		33,742 29,316 280,699	\$	44,745 25,787 210,524		23,548 15,994 132,887

#### December 31,

Total debt	32,769	89,922	19,120	49,939	22,617
Total stockholders' equity	405,697	306,405	200,893	113,681	84,636

(1)
For any period, Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") equals the sum of income from operations, depreciation and amortization, and share of affiliate earnings, as follows:

#### Year Ended December 31,

	 2002 2001 2000 1999							1998		
	 002		2001		2000		1999		1990	
	(In thousands)									
Income from operations	\$ 113,298	\$	68,822	\$	39,380	\$	20,351	\$	9,101	
Depreciation and amortization	33,636		30,818		20,594		14,557		12,163	
Share of affiliate earnings	2,348		1,674							
EBITDA	149,282		101,314		59,974		34,908		21,264	

EBITDA margin equals EBITDA as a percentage of revenue. EBITDA and EBITDA margin should not be considered as alternatives to, nor is there any implication that they are more meaningful than, any measure of performance or liquidity promulgated under GAAP. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for why we have included such measures.

- (2) Represents the approximate total student population at our schools as of October 31.
- (3) Represents the total number of campuses operated by us as of the end of the period.

31

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion below contains certain forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that are based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. Our actual growth, results, performance and business prospects and opportunities in 2003 and beyond could differ materially from what is expressed in, or implied by, any such forward-looking statements.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein.

#### Reclassifications

Bad debt expense of approximately \$29.1 million, \$17.8 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 is classified as a component of general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. In prior periods, bad debt expense was classified as a component of net revenue.

#### **Background and Overview**

We are a provider of private, for-profit postsecondary education with 51 campuses throughout the United States, Canada, France, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates. We also offer online programs through American InterContinental University-Online, our e-learning division. Including the impact of our February 18, 2003, acquisition of the INSEEC Group, our total student population as of

January 31, 2003, would have been approximately 55,000 students. Our schools enjoy long operating histories and offer a variety of master's degree, bachelor's degree, associate degree, and diploma programs in career-oriented disciplines within our core curricula of:

Visual Communication and Design Technologies
Information Technology
Business Studies
Culinary Arts
Health Education

We have invested significant amounts of capital in the hiring of additional personnel and increased marketing and capital improvements at each of the schools we have acquired. The increased costs of personnel and marketing are expensed as incurred and are reflected in general and administrative expenses. Additional depreciation expense is a result of capital improvements. Increased amortization expense during 2000 and 2001 is a result of additional goodwill recorded in connection with 2000 and 2001 acquisitions. Decreased amortization expense during 2002 is primarily a result of the elimination of goodwill amortization in connection with our January 1, 2002 adoption of Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets ("SFAS 142").

We have experienced significant growth both internally and through acquisitions, with our revenue increasing from \$19.9 million in 1995 to \$780.1 million in 2002. In addition, our net income has increased from \$0.1 million in 1995 to \$67.5 million in 2002. In addition, we believe that Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA"), while not a substitute for generally accepted accounting principles' measures of operating results, is an important measure of our financial performance and that of our schools. For the year ended December 31, 2002, EBITDA was \$149.3 million, a 47 percent increase from EBITDA of \$101.3 million for the year ended December 31,

32

2001. We believe that EBITDA is particularly meaningful due principally to the role acquisitions have played in our development. Historically, our rapid growth through acquisitions has resulted in significant non-cash depreciation and amortization expense, because a significant portion of the purchase price of a school acquired by us is generally allocated to fixed assets, goodwill and other intangible assets. However, as a result of the elimination of goodwill amortization expense in connection with our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 142, amortization expense decreased significantly in 2002.

Our principal source of revenue is tuition collected from our students. The academic year is at least 30 weeks in length but varies both by individual school and program of study. The academic year is divided by term, which is determined by start dates, which vary by school and program. Payment of each term's tuition may be made by full cash payment, financial aid and/or an installment payment plan. If a student withdraws from school prior to the completion of the term, we refund the portion of tuition already paid which is attributable to the period of the term that is not completed. Tuition revenue and one-time fees, such as application fees, are recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable program. The portion of tuition payments received but not earned is recorded as deferred revenue.

Our campuses charge tuition at varying amounts, depending not only on the particular school but also upon the type of program and the specific curriculum. On average, our campuses increase tuition one or more times annually.

Other revenue consists primarily of bookstore sales, dormitory revenue, student laptop computer sales, placement revenue, contract training revenue, rental income, cafeteria revenue, and restaurant revenue. Other dormitory and cafeteria revenues are recognized upon delivery. Other student laptop computer sales, bookstore sales, placement revenue, restaurant revenue, rental income, and contract training revenue are recognized as services are performed or goods are delivered.

Educational services and facilities expense includes costs directly attributable to the educational activity of our schools, including salaries and benefits of faculty, academic administrators and student support personnel. Educational services and facilities expense also includes costs of educational supplies and facilities, including rents on school leases, distance learning costs, certain costs of establishing and maintaining

computer laboratories, costs of student housing and owned facility costs.

General and administrative expense includes salaries and benefits of personnel in recruitment, admissions, accounting, personnel, compliance and corporate and school administration. Costs of promotion and development, advertising and production of marketing materials, occupancy of the corporate offices and bad debt expense are also included in this expense category.

Depreciation expense includes costs associated with the depreciation of, among other things, purchased computer laboratories, equipment, furniture and fixtures, courseware, owned facilities, capitalized equipment leases. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the useful lives or lease terms of the related assets for financial reporting purposes and an accelerated method for income tax purposes. Amortization expense includes the amortization of intangible assets. Through December 31, 2001, goodwill related to each acquisition completed before June 30, 2001, was amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life, and goodwill related to each acquisition completed after June 30, 2001, was not amortized, in accordance with SFAS 142. Beginning January 1, 2002, pursuant to our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 142, goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets are no longer subject to amortization. However, goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets are reviewed for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test on at least an annual basis.

33

# Acquisitions

All of our acquisitions to date were accounted for as purchases. Accordingly, in connection with each acquisition, the purchase price was allocated to the fair market values of all acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities as of the date of the acquisition. As necessary, reserves were established at the acquisition dates to provide for restructuring liabilities and certain long-term contractual obligations.

The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago, Inc. (CHIC)

On February 1, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago, Inc. for approximately \$5.1 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$4.8 million.

California Culinary Academy, Inc. (CCA)

On April 3, 2000, we completed the acquisition of California Culinary Academy, Inc. The purchase price was approximately \$19.1 million. We also assumed approximately \$3.0 million of the debt of California Culinary Academy, Inc. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$21.2 million. In connection with the acquisition we recorded a severance liability of \$3.0 million, all of which had been paid as of December 31, 2000.

SoftTrain Institute, Inc. (SoftTrain)

On July 28, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of SoftTrain Institute, Inc. for approximately \$0.5 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million.

Retter Business College Inc. (Retter)

On October 2, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Retter Business College, Inc. for approximately \$0.4 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million.

American InterContinental University (AIU)

On January 2, 2001, we completed our acquisition of EduTrek International, Inc. ("EduTrek"), a Georgia corporation and operator of American InterContinental University. EduTrek's shareholders received an aggregate of approximately 2.4 million shares of our common stock (0.1802 shares of our common stock for each share of EduTrek stock) and approximately \$2.5 million in cash (\$0.1877 per share of EduTrek stock). The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$71.4 million. Based upon the finalization of our purchase accounting, we recorded

additional reserves for deferred revenue and certain accrued liabilities existing at the date of the acquisition, resulting in an increase in goodwill of \$3.1 million, net of tax, from the \$68.3 million originally recorded. Additionally, at November 30, 2000, one of EduTrek's lenders assigned its \$5.0 million promissory note to us, in exchange for \$5.0 million plus accrued interest. In connection with the acquisition, this note was forgiven and became part of the purchase price.

34

As part of the acquisition, we acquired a 30% minority interest in the American InterContinental University in Dubai, United Arab Emirates. The interest is accounted for using the equity method.

Texas Culinary Academy (TCA)

On August 1, 2001, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Le Chef College of Hospitality Careers, Inc., doing business as Texas Culinary Academy, in exchange for assuming certain liabilities of the school. We paid no consideration to the former owners of Texas Culinary Academy in connection with the transaction, and no future consideration is due. We acquired the school primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational market that it serves, and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. In addition, we acquired the school to gain access to an accredited institution in Texas, a geographic region in which we seek to expand. We plan to increase the school's enrollments significantly by offering more programs, installing our Le Cordon Bleu culinary program, and expanding the school's marketing capabilities.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and, accordingly, all acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair values as of August 1, 2001. Intangible assets acquired consist of accreditation and licensing rights with a fair value of approximately \$1.2 million. There was no goodwill generated in the acquisition.

Pennsylvania Culinary Institute (PCI)

On December 3, 2001, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Pennsylvania Culinary Institute for approximately \$44.0 million in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement. We acquired PCI primarily because of its strong brand value, its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational market that it serves, and its potential for strong returns of invested capital. In addition, our acquisition of PCI will enhance our culinary presence in Pennsylvania and surrounding states and provide our other culinary schools with a strong high school marketing model. We plan to increase PCI's enrollments significantly by introducing our Le Cordon Bleu culinary program and expanding PCI's marketing capabilities.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and, accordingly, the purchase price plus acquisition costs of approximately \$45.2 million was allocated to the fair market values of acquired tangible and intangible assets of approximately \$15.9 million and assumed liabilities of approximately \$11.8 million as of December 3, 2001. Intangible assets acquired include, among others, accreditation, licensing, and Title IV participation rights with a fair value of approximately \$2.4 million and a trade name with a fair value of approximately \$1.6 million. Our final allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of acquired asset and assumed liabilities has resulted in goodwill totaling approximately \$41.1 million. This amount represents an increase in goodwill of approximately \$6.2 million from the \$34.9 million recorded pursuant to our initial purchase price allocation.

#### Missouri College

On September 3, 2002, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Missouri College, Inc. for approximately \$6.1 million in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement. The school, based in St. Louis, Missouri, provides private, for-profit, postsecondary education leading to an associate degree or a diploma in the career-oriented disciplines of healthcare education, information technology, and business studies. We acquired the school primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational markets that it serves, and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. In addition, the acquisition of Missouri College provides us with access to an accredited institution in St. Louis, Missouri, a geographic location in which we seek to expand, and an opportunity to broaden our core curricula into the healthcare

education field. We plan to increase the school's enrollments significantly by expanding the school's marketing capabilities and offering more programs.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the purchase price plus acquisition costs, subject to adjustment, of approximately \$6.5 million was allocated to the fair market values of the acquired tangible and intangible assets of approximately \$3.3 million and assumed liabilities of approximately \$0.9 million as of September 3, 2002. Intangible assets acquired include, among others, accreditation, licensing and Title IV participation rights with a fair value of approximately \$1.2 million and a trade name with a fair value of approximately \$0.5 million.

Based upon a preliminary purchase price allocation, goodwill of approximately \$4.1 million has been recorded. We do not expect any portion of this goodwill balance to be deductible for tax purposes. Subsequent adjustments may be made to the purchase price allocation. However, we do not believe that such adjustments will be material.

#### The INSEEC Group

On February 18, 2003, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Formastrat SA and its subsidiaries, also known as the INSEEC Group, for approximately \$19.8 million. We acquired the school primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational markets that it serves and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. The acquisition of the INSEEC Group provides us with a solid platform for additional expansion in Europe. We plan to promote further growth of the INSEEC Group by expanding its marketing channels and adding new programs.

We are currently in the process of allocating the purchase price to the fair market values of acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities as of February 18, 2003.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting policies generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including, but not limited to, those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, intangible assets, the recoverability of long-lived assets, accrued liabilities, contractual obligations, revenue recognition and contingencies. Management bases its estimates on historical experience, assessment of current conditions, and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities and are not readily apparent from other sources. Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments by management and uncertainties, and that could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Although, historically, actual results have not deviated significantly from those determined using management's estimates, as discussed below, our consolidated financial position or results of operations could be materially different if we were to report under different conditions or using different assumptions in the application of our critical accounting policies. We believe that the following accounting policies are most critical to us, in that they represent the primary areas where financial information is subject to the application of management's estimates, assumptions and judgment in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The critical accounting policies discussed herein are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is

36

specifically dictated by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, with no need for management's judgment in the application of such principles. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result from the result derived from the application of our critical accounting policies. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is derived primarily from programs taught at our schools. Tuition revenue and one-time fees, such as application fees, are recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable program. Dormitory and cafeteria revenues charged to students are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of a student's dormitory and cafeteria use. Other dormitory and cafeteria revenues are recognized upon delivery. Other revenue, such as student laptop computer sales, bookstore sales, placement revenue, restaurant revenue, rental

income and contract training revenue, is recognized as services are performed or goods are delivered. The portion of payments received but not earned is recorded as deferred revenue and reflected as a current liability in our consolidated balance sheets, as such amount represents revenue that we expect to earn within the next year. Refunds are calculated and paid in accordance with applicable federal, state and accrediting agency standards and Company policy.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Based upon past experience and judgment, we establish an allowance for doubtful accounts with respect to tuition receivables. In establishing our allowance for doubtful accounts, we consider, among other things, a student's status (in-school or out-of-school), whether or not additional financial aid funding will be collected from Title IV Programs or other sources, whether or not a student is currently making payments, and overall collections history. Changes in trends in any of these areas may impact the allowance for doubtful accounts. The receivable balances of withdrawn students with delinquent obligations are fully reserved in our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Our historical bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000 was 3.7%, 3.3%, and 2.8%, respectively.

Because a substantial portion of our revenue is derived from Title IV Programs, any legislative or regulatory action that significantly reduces the funding available under Title IV Programs or the ability of our students or schools to participate in Title IV Programs could have a material effect on the realizability of our receivables.

# Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill related to each acquisition completed before June 30, 2001, has been amortized through December 31, 2001, on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life. Goodwill related to acquisitions completed after June 30, 2001, has not been amortized, as required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets ("SFAS 142"). Effective January 1, 2002, pursuant to our adoption of SFAS 142, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer subject to amortization. However, these assets are reviewed for impairment on at least an annual basis by applying a fair-value-based test.

During the second quarter of 2002, we completed the transitional intangible asset impairment test required under SFAS 142 and, based on the results of the test, concluded that no impairment of goodwill or other indefinite lived intangible assets had occurred. Therefore, no impairment loss was recorded in connection with our adoption of SFAS 142.

37

In evaluating the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of our reporting units, as defined under SFAS 142. If our fair value estimates or related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges related to goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line or accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives.

# Recoverability of Long-lived Assets

On an ongoing basis, we review property and equipment, definite-lived intangible assets and other long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable. To date, no such events or changes in circumstances have occurred. If such events or changes in circumstances occur, we will recognize an impairment loss if the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset (or acquired business) are less than the carrying value of the related asset. The impairment loss would adjust the asset to its fair value.

In evaluating the recoverability of long-lived assets, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of such assets. If our fair value estimates or related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges related to goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* ("SFAS 109"), which requires the recognition of deferred income taxes based upon the tax consequences of temporary differences between financial reporting and income tax reporting by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. SFAS 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income tax liability for each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current income tax expense and assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of certain income or expense items for income tax reporting and financial reporting purposes. We also recognize as deferred tax assets the expected future tax benefits of net operating loss carry forwards. In evaluating the realizability of deferred tax assets associated with net operating loss carry forwards, we consider, among other things, expected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary reporting differences, and the expected impact of tax planning strategies that may be implemented to prevent the potential loss of future tax benefits. Changes in, among other things, income tax legislation, statutory income tax rates or future taxable income levels could materially impact the our valuation of income tax assets and liabilities and could cause our income tax provision to vary significantly among financial reporting periods.

38

# **Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes our operating results as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated.

	Year En	Year Ended December 31,				
	2002	2001	2000			
Revenue:						
Tuition and registration fees	90.3%	90.5%	91.1%			
Other	9.7	9.5	8.9			
Total revenue	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Operating expenses:						
Educational services and facilities	37.8	39.1	38.7			
General and administrative	43.4	42.7	43.3			
Depreciation and amortization	4.3	5.6	6.2			
Total operating expenses	85.5	87.4	88.2			
Income from operations	14.5	12.6	11.8			
Other income (expense)						
Interest income	0.1	0.1	0.4			
Interest expense	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)			
Share of affiliate earnings	0.3	0.3				
Total other income	0.2	0.1				
Income before provision for taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	14.7	12.7	11.8			
Provision for income taxes	6.1	5.7	5.2			
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	8.6	7.0	6.6			

Year Ended December 31,

Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (net of taxes)			(0.2)
Net income	8.6%	7.0%	6.4%

# Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2001

Revenue. Tuition and registration fee revenue increased \$209.0 million or 42%, from \$495.2 million in 2001 to \$704.2 million in 2002. The increase was due primarily to a \$174.8 million or 35 percentage point improvement in tuition and registration fee revenue on a same-school basis (i.e., schools acquired or opened on or prior to January 2, 2001). This same-school revenue increase was attributable to an approximate 22 percentage point increase in the average student population during 2002 at the schools we acquired or opened on or prior to January 2, 2001, and an approximate 13 percentage point revenue increase associated with 2002 tuition price increases and a shift in student enrollment mix toward higher priced programs. The remainder of the increase in tuition and registration fee revenue was due to additional revenue of \$34.2 million generated by schools acquired or opened after January 2, 2001 (TCA, PCI, Orlando Culinary Academy, Brooks College Sunnyvale, CA and Missouri College). This additional revenue accounted for 7 percentage points of the total 42 percentage point increase in tuition and registration fee revenue during 2002.

Other revenue increased \$24.0 million or 46%, from \$51.9 million in 2001 to \$75.9 million in 2002. This increase is attributable to an approximate \$14.0 million or 27 percentage point improvement in other revenue on a same-school basis, caused primarily by the increase in student population mentioned above, and additional other revenue of \$10.0 million generated by schools acquired or opened after January 2, 2001. The disproportionate growth of other revenue relative to tuition and

39

registration fee revenue growth was primarily a result of a higher concentration of other revenue at our newly acquired schools.

Educational Services and Facilities Expense. Educational services and facilities expense increased \$80.6 million or 38%, from \$213.9 million in 2001 to \$294.5 million in 2002. Approximately \$60.2 million or 28 percentage points of the total increase, is attributable to schools acquired or opened on or prior to January 2, 2001. This same-school increase was due primarily to increases in variable expenses necessary to support the increase in student population mentioned above, additional student service activities designed to improve retention, an increase in curriculum development activities, and increase in occupancy costs associated with 2002 facility upgrades and expansions. Schools acquired or opened after January 2, 2001, accounted for \$20.4 million or 10 percentage points of the total increase in educational services and facilities expense.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense increased \$105.1 million or 45%, from \$233.5 million in 2001 to \$338.6 million in 2002. Approximately \$18.7 million or 8 percentage points of the total increase was attributable to schools acquired or opened after January 2, 2001, and \$86.4 million or 37 percentage points of the total increase was due primarily to increased advertising, marketing and admissions activities by schools acquired or opened on or prior to January 2, 2001, in support of increased student lead, enrollment and start targets. Additionally, bad debt expense increased \$11.2 million or 63%, from \$17.8 million in 2001 to \$29.1 million in 2002, and bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue increased from 3.3% during 2001 to 3.7% during 2002. This anticipated increase in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue is primarily attributable to a greater number of students taking highter priced programs, which results in lower government funding for students as a percentage of cash receipts. This requires many of our students to enter into payment arrangements with larger monthly payments and balances due that may extend beyond their scheduled graduation dates. In addition, a higher rate of attrition caused a larger portion of our receivables to be due from students who did not complete their programs. These students represent a poorer credit risk than do those who complete their programs. The increase in general and administrative expense during 2002 is also attributable to investments in corporate and school-level technology and infrastructure necessary to support anticipated growth and maximize operating efficiency.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$2.8 million or 9%, from \$30.8 million in 2001 to \$33.6 million in 2002. Depreciation expense increased \$7.4 million or 30%, from \$24.6 million in 2001 to \$32.0 million in 2002. This increase is primarily attributable to 2001 and 2002 capital expenditures and resulted in an increase in same-school depreciation expense of \$5.5 million, or 22 percentage points of the total 30 percentage point increase, and additional depreciation expense of \$1.9 million, or 8 percentage points of the total 30 percentage point increase, incurred by schools acquired or opened after January 2, 2001. Amortization expense decreased \$4.6 million or 74%, from \$6.2 million in 2001 to \$1.6 million in 2002, due primarily to the elimination of goodwill amortization of approximately \$4.2 million in connection with our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 142.

*Interest Income.* Interest income increased \$0.1 million or 10%, from \$0.7 million in 2001 to \$0.8 million in 2002, due primarily to an increase in cash available for short-term investment purposes, offset by generally lower interest rates paid on investment balances.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense increased \$0.6 million or 40%, from \$1.5 million in 2001 to \$2.1 million in 2002, due primarily to an increase in interest incurred in connection with our long-term contractual obligations and certain other liabilities, offset, in part, by a decrease in average revolving borrowings under our credit agreements, a decrease in our average capital lease obligation, and a decrease in the average interest rate paid on revolving borrowings under our credit agreements.

40

*Share of Affiliate Earnings.* Share of affiliate earnings from our minority interest in American InterContinental University in Dubai, United Arab Emirates increased \$0.7 million or 40%, from \$1.7 million in 2001 to \$2.3 million in 2002. We acquired a 30% minority interest in the school in connection with our January 2, 2001, acquisition of EduTrek.

**Provision for Income Taxes.** Provision for income taxes increased \$15.5 million or 49%, from \$31.4 million in 2001 to \$46.9 million in 2002, as a result of an increase in pretax income during 2002 of \$44.6 million, offset by a reduction of our effective income tax rate from 45% in 2001 to 41% in 2002. Approximately 1.5 percentage points of the 4.0 percentage point decrease in our effective tax rate are attributable to the elimination of goodwill amortization expense during 2002 in connection with our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 142. The remainder of the reduction in our effective tax rate is attributable to the impact of various tax planning strategies.

*Net Income.* Net income increased \$29.1 million or 76%, from \$38.4 million in 2001 to \$67.5 million in 2002, due to the cumulative effect of the factors noted above.

#### Year Ended December 31, 2001 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2000

Revenue. Tuition and registration fee revenue increased \$190.2 million or 62%, from \$304.9 million in 2000 to \$495.2 million in 2001. The increase was due primarily to a \$109.2 million or 36 percentage point improvement in tuition and registration fee revenue on a same-school basis (i.e., schools acquired prior to 2000). This same-school revenue increase was attributable to an approximate 21 percentage point increase in the average student population at the schools we owned prior to 2000 and an approximate 15 percentage point revenue increase associated with 2001 tuition price increases and a shift in student enrollment mix toward higher priced programs. The remainder of the increase in tuition and registration fee revenue was due to additional revenue of \$81.0 million generated by schools acquired or opened during 2000 and 2001 (AIU, International Academy of Design and Technology Orlando, FL, Katharine Gibbs School Philadelphia, PA, TCA and PCI). This additional revenue accounted for 26 percentage points of the total 61 percentage point increase in tuition and registration fee revenue during 2001.

Other revenue increased \$22.3 million or 75%, from \$29.6 million in 2000 to \$51.9 million in 2001. This increase is attributable to an approximate \$10.2 million or 34 percentage point improvement in other revenue on a same-school basis, caused primarily by the increase in student population mentioned above, and additional other revenue of \$12.1 million, or 41 percentage points of the total 75 percentage point increase, generated by schools acquired or opened during 2000 and 2001.

Educational Services and Facilities Expense. Educational services and facilities expense increased \$84.3 million or 65%, from \$129.6 million in 2000 to \$213.9 million in 2001. Approximately \$41.8 million or 32 percentage points of the total increase was attributable to schools acquired prior to 2000. This same-school increase was due primarily to the increase in student population mentioned above, additional retention and student service activities, and an increase in curriculum development activities. Schools acquired or opened during 2000 and 2001 accounted for \$42.5 million or 33 percentage points of the total increase in educational services and facilities expense.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense increased \$88.6 million or 61%, from \$144.9 million in 2000 to \$233.5 million in 2001. Approximately \$45.2 million or 31 percentage points of the total increase was attributable to schools acquired or opened during 2000 or 2001, and \$37.3 million or 26 percentage points of the total increase was due to increased advertising, marketing and admissions activities by schools acquired prior to 2000 in support of future growth. In addition, \$6.0 million or 4 percentage points of the total 61 percentage point increase in general and administrative expense related to planned corporate and regional infrastructure enhancements. Bad debt expense increased \$8.6 million or 93%, from \$9.2 million in 2000 to

\$17.8 million in 2001, and bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue increased from 2.8% during 2000 to 3.3% during 2001. We estimate that a significant portion of this increase in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue was attributable to the implementation of the DOE's Return of Title IV Funds policy. Advertising, marketing and admissions expense as a percentage of revenue was reasonably consistent between years.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$10.2 million or 50%, from \$20.6 million in 2000 to \$30.8 million in 2001. Depreciation expense increased \$8.6 million or 54%, from \$16.0 million in 2000 to \$24.6 million in 2001, due to additional depreciation expense of \$3.7 million, or 23 percentage points of the total 54 percentage point increase, for schools acquired or opened during 2000 or 2001 and an increase in depreciation expense of \$4.9 million, or 31 percentage points of the total 54 percentage point increase, for schools acquired prior to 2000 caused by 2000 and 2001 capital expenditures. Amortization expense increased \$1.6 million or 35%, from \$4.6 million in 2000 to \$6.2 million in 2001, due to additional amortization of covenants not-to-compete and goodwill of \$1.8 million incurred by schools acquired or opened during 2000 or 2001. This increase was offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in amortization of covenants not-to-compete for schools acquired prior to 2000.

*Interest Income.* Interest income decreased \$0.8 million or 51%, from \$1.5 million in 2000 to \$0.7 million in 2001, due to decreases in both cash available for short-term investment purposes and interest rates paid on investment balances.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense increased \$0.1 million or 10%, from \$1.4 million in 2000 to \$1.5 million in 2001, due primarily to debt incurred in connection with our acquisitions of EduTrek and Pennsylvania Culinary Institute during 2001.

*Share of Affiliate Earnings.* Share of affiliate earnings from our affiliate in Dubai, United Arab Emirates, was \$1.7 million in 2001. We acquired a 30% minority interest in the entity in connection with our 2001 acquisition of EduTrek.

**Provision for Income Taxes.** Provision for income taxes increased \$14.1 million or 81%, from \$17.3 million in 2000 to \$31.4 million in 2001, primarily as a result of the increase in pretax income during 2001 and a 1.2 percentage point increase in our effective income tax rate. If SFAS 142 had been in effect in 2001, our effective tax rate would have been approximately 42.8 percent as a result of the elimination of goodwill amortization expense.

*Income before Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle.* Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle increased \$16.2 million or 73%, from \$22.2 million in 2000 to \$38.4 million in 2001, as a cumulative result of the factors discussed above.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle. We adopted the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition ("SAB 101") effective January 1, 2000, resulting in a net of tax charge of \$0.8 million in 2000. SAB 101 requires us to recognize revenue related to application and registration fees over the student's benefit period rather than immediately upon receipt.

*Net Income.* Net income increased \$17.0 million or 79%, from \$21.4 million in 2000 to \$38.4 million in 2001, as a cumulative result of the factors discussed above.

#### Seasonality

Our results of operations fluctuate primarily as a result of changes in the level of student enrollment at our schools. Our schools experience a seasonal increase in new enrollments in the fall, traditionally when the largest number of new high school graduates begins postsecondary education.

42

Furthermore, although we encourage year-round attendance at all schools, some schools have summer breaks for some of their programs. As a result of these factors, total student enrollment and revenue are typically highest in the fourth quarter (October through December) and lowest in the second quarter (April through June). However, our costs and expenses do not fluctuate as significantly on a quarterly basis, except for admissions and advertising costs, as these are typically higher in the second and third quarter in support of seasonally high enrollments. We anticipate that these seasonal trends will continue.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

On May 10, 2000, we sold 8.1 million shares of common stock at \$8.13 per share pursuant to a secondary public offering. The net proceeds to us from the sale of the shares of common stock, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us, were approximately \$61.6 million. We used \$28.5 million of the offering net proceeds to repay indebtedness under our prior credit agreement, and the remaining \$33.1 million was used for general corporate purposes.

Our merger with EduTrek International, Inc. ("EduTrek"), operator of American InterContinental University, was completed on January 2, 2001. Under the terms of the merger agreement, EduTrek shareholders received an aggregate of approximately 2.4 million shares of our common stock (approximately 0.1802 shares of our stock for each share of EduTrek stock) and \$2.5 million in cash (approximately \$0.1877 per share of EduTrek stock). There were approximately 13.3 million EduTrek shares outstanding.

On December 3, 2001, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Pennsylvania Culinary Institute. The purchase price of approximately \$44.0 million was paid in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement.

On August 30, 2002, we amended our license agreement with Le Cordon Bleu Limited to reduce the royalty rate payable on eligible culinary revenues from eight percent to two percent from September 1, 2002, through December 31, 2008. As consideration for the royalty rate reduction, we paid Le Cordon Bleu Limited a one-time royalty fee of \$40.0 million with cash generated from operating activities and funds obtained under our prior credit agreement. In connection with the amendment, we also issued Le Cordon Bleu Limited an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock.

On September 30, 2002, we acquired all the issued and outstanding stock of Missouri College, Inc. The purchase price, subject to adjustment, of approximately \$6.1 million was paid in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement.

On February 18, 2003, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of the INSEEC Group. The purchase price of approximately \$19.8 million was paid primarily with funds obtained under our Credit Agreement.

We finance our operating activities and our internal growth primarily through cash generated from operations. We finance acquisitions primarily through funding from a combination of equity issuances, credit facilities, and cash generated from operations. Net cash provided by operating activities increased to \$106.2 million in 2002 from \$52.0 million in 2001. The increase is attributable primarily to an increase in net income of approximately \$29.1 million and a \$4.6 million decrease in net operating assets during 2002 relative to a \$30.4 million increase in net operating assets during 2001. Excluding the impact of the \$40.0 million August 30, 2002, royalty payment to Le Cordon Bleu Limited, cash flow from operating activities during 2002 was approximately \$146.2 million. Of the \$30.4 million increase in net operating assets during 2001, \$34.6 million was attributable specifically to our January 2, 2001, acquisition of EduTrek. These planned changes in net operating assets that have occurred since the acquisition date flow through cash flows from operating activities rather than through cash flows from business acquisitions under investing activities. Excluding the effect of our acquisition of Edutrek, cash provided by operating activities during 2001 was approximately \$65.2 million.

43

Capital expenditures increased from \$54.6 million during 2001 to \$60.9 million during 2002. Capital expenditures during 2002 included, among other things, investments in leasehold improvements in connection with new and expanded facilities and capital equipment purchases necessitated by increasing student population. Approximately 50% of our total 2002 capital expenditures were made in connection with expansion projects and information system improvements in support of future growth. We expect capital expenditures to be approximately \$75.0 to \$80.0 million during 2003 as new schools are acquired or opened, student population increases, and current facilities and equipment are upgraded and expanded. We finance capital expenditures primarily with cash generated from operations.

Net receivables increased \$19.5 million or 31%, from \$62.8 million on December 31, 2001 to \$82.3 million on December 31, 2002. Net receivables as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 were 10.4% and 11.9%, respectively, of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Quarterly Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) were 33 days as of December 31, 2002. This represents a 3 day decrease from DSO as of December 31, 2001, of 36 days. The overall increase in receivables as of December 31, 2002, was primarily due to an increase in tuition and registration fee revenue of 42% during 2002, offset by a decrease in DSO and an increase in our allowance for doubtful accounts as a percentage of total gross receivables.

From May 30, 1997 through December 19, 2002, we maintained a credit agreement with a consortium of banks that was amended from time to time. The amended credit agreement allowed us to borrow up to \$90.0 million under a revolving credit facility and obtain up to \$50.0 million in standby letters of credit. On December 19, 2002, we prepaid in full and terminated our amended credit agreement and entered into a new unsecured credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a syndicate of financial institutions, represented by, among others, an administrative agent. Costs incurred in connection with the prepayment and early termination of our amended credit agreement were not material.

Under our Credit Agreement, we may borrow up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$200.0 million in U.S. dollars and various foreign currencies under a revolving credit facility and obtain up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$100.0 million in standby letters of credit in U.S. dollars and various foreign currencies. Outstanding letters of credit reduce the availability of borrowings under the revolving credit facility. At any time during the three- year period after December 19, 2002, provided no default under the Credit Agreement then exists, we may, upon notice to, but without the consent of, the administrative agent and the lenders, increase the revolving credit facility under our Credit Agreement up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$275.0 million. Subject to the satisfactions of certain conditions precedent under the Credit Agreement, we may prepay outstanding loans under the Credit Agreement at any time and without penalty. The stated maturity of our Credit Agreement is December 19, 2007.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at variable rates per annum that are tied to the prime rate and the Eurocurrency rate as follows:

- (1) the higher of the administrative agent's prime rate or the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points, plus a specified number of basis points, ranging from 0 to 50 (based on our consolidated leverage ratio) or
- (2) the British Bankers Association Interest Settlement Rate ("eurocurrency"), plus a specified number of basis points, ranging from 75 to 150 (based upon our consolidated leverage ratio).

Subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement we may elect which of the foregoing types of interest rates applies to a particular borrowing made under the Credit Agreement. Interest on each borrowing bearing interest at the prime rate (as specified in (1) above) is payable quarterly and at maturity. Interest on each borrowing bearing interest at the eurocurrency rate (as specified in (2) above) is payable every one, two or three months, depending on the interest period applicable to such borrowing, and at maturity.

44

As of December 31, 2002, we had outstanding revolving loans totaling \$27.0 million and letters of credit totaling \$12.1 million. Approximately \$2.8 million of the total outstanding letters of credit are being used to satisfy certain Department of Education financial responsibility requirements. Availability under our Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2002, was \$160.9 million. Weighted average daily revolving credit borrowings during 2002 and 2001 were approximately \$11.5 million and \$20.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2002 and 2001, the weighted average annual interest rate of borrowings under the Credit Agreement and the credit agreement that terminated on December 19, 2002 was 4.25% and 3.74%, respectively.

Our domestic subsidiaries, jointly and severally, have guaranteed repayment of obligations under the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, we are limited in our ability to take certain actions, including, among other things, consummating acquisitions or mergers, paying cash dividends, selling or disposing of certain assets or subsidiaries, incurring other debt in excess of specified amounts, prepaying other debt, and making certain investments. We are also required to satisfy certain financial covenants on a periodic basis, including the maintenance of a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 2.50:1 (during the current period), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.50:1, a minimum level of consolidated net worth, and a minimum annual consolidated Department of Education financial responsibility composite score of 1.50. As of December 31, 2002, we were in compliance with the covenants of our Credit Agreement.

We rent most of our facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements that expire at various dates through 2023. We also finance the acquisition of certain equipment through capital lease agreements. At December 31, 2002, the principal balance of outstanding capital lease obligations was approximately \$5.2 million.

In 2001, we recorded a \$5.1 million liability representing our preliminary estimate of the present value of payments due, beginning in 2008, under a pre-existing long-term contractual obligation for which we will receive no future benefit. During 2002, we recorded a purchase accounting adjustment of \$4.1 million and interest accretion of \$0.5 million to increase the total reserve to \$9.7 million.

As of December 31, 2002, future minimum cash payments due under contractual obligations, including our credit agreement, non-cancelable operating and capital lease agreements and long-term arrangements, are as follows (in thousands):

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008 & Thereafter	Total
Revolving loans	\$ 27,000	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 27,000

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008 & Thereafter	Total
Capital lease obligations	3,182	1,844	419	132	132	124	5,833
Other long-term debt	330	196					526
Operating lease obligations	56,479	53,462	50,571	49,704	46,830	284,255	541,271
Long-term contractual obligations						9,679	9,679
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 86,991 \$	55,502	\$ 50,990	\$ 49,836	\$ 46,962	\$ 294,028	\$ 584,309

The DOE requires that we keep unbilled Title IV Program funds that are collected in separate cash accounts until the students are billed for the program portion related to those Title IV Program funds. In addition, all funds transferred to our schools through electronic funds transfer program are held in a separate cash account until certain conditions are satisfied. As of December 31, 2002, we held nominal amounts of such funds in separate accounts. The restrictions on any cash held in these accounts have not significantly affected our ability to fund daily operations.

45

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 1999, the Securities Exchange Commission released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition ("SAB 101"), to provide guidance on the recognition, presentation, and disclosure of revenue in financial statements. SAB 101 outlines basic criteria that must be met before registrants may recognize revenue, including persuasive evidence of the existence of an arrangement, the delivery of products or services, a fixed and determinable sales price, and reasonable assurance of collection. Prior to the release of SAB 101, our revenue recognition policy was in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. Through December 31, 1999, we recognized application and registration fees as revenue upon receipt. Effective January 1, 2000, we adopted a change in accounting principle to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of SAB 101. As a result, we recognized a cumulative charge of \$0.8 million, net of taxes. SAB 101 requires us to recognize revenue related to application and registration fees over the program period.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations ("SFAS 143"). This statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and associated asset retirement costs. SFAS 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made and that the associated asset retirement costs be capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We do not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations ("SFAS 141") and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for using the purchase method and establishes specific criteria for the recognition of acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill. Under SFAS 142, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer subject to amortization over their estimated useful life. Rather, these assets are subject to, at least, an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test. Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted a change in accounting principle to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of SFAS 141 and SFAS 142. Our adoption of SFAS 142 resulted in a \$4.2 million decrease in amortization expense and a \$3.9 million increase in net income during 2002. During the second quarter of 2002, we completed the transitional intangible asset impairment test required under SFAS 142 and, based on the results of the test, concluded that no impairment of goodwill or other indefinite lived intangible assets had occurred. Therefore, no impairment loss was recorded in connection with our adoption of SFAS 142.

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. SFAS 144 supercedes FASB Statement No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of ("SFAS 121") and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual, and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions ("APB 30"), for the disposal of a segment of a business. However, SFAS 144 retains the fundamental provisions of SFAS 121 for recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and the measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. SFAS 144 also retains the requirement under APB 30 to report discontinued operations separately from continuing operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified

as held for sale. The provisions of SFAS 144 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 144 has not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In July 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Disposal Activities ("SFAS 146"). Under SFAS 146, liabilities for costs associated with a plan to dispose of an asset or to exit a business activity must be recognized in the period in which the costs are incurred. SFAS 146 is effective for disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We do not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure ("SFAS 148"). SFAS 148 amends SFAS 123 and provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS 148 is effective for financial statements for annual periods ending after December 15, 2002 and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2002. We have adopted the amendments to SFAS 123 disclosure provisions required under SFAS 148 but will continue to use intrinsic value method under Opinion 25 to account for stock-based compensation. As such, our adoption of this statement has not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("Interpretation 46"). Interpretation 46 requires a company to consolidate a variable interest entity if the company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns. The interpretation also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. Interpretation 46 is immediately effective for variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and effective June 15, 2003, with respect to variable interest entities created on or prior to January 31, 2003. We do not believe that the adoption of this interpretation will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

#### **Risks Related to Our Business**

The following risks, uncertainties and other factors could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, operating results and growth prospects.

#### Failure to Comply with Extensive Regulations Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on our Business

Failure of our U.S. schools to comply with extensive regulations could result in financial penalties, restrictions on our operations or loss of external financial aid funding.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue from U.S. federal student financial aid programs administered by the U.S. Department of Education. To participate in these programs, a U.S. institution must obtain and maintain authorization by the appropriate state agencies, accreditation by an accrediting agency recognized by the Department of Education, and certification by the Department of Education. As a result, our U.S. schools are subject to extensive regulation by these agencies. These regulations cover virtually all phases of our operations, including our educational programs, facilities, instructional and administrative staff, administrative procedures, marketing and recruiting, financial

47

operations, including the payment of refunds to students who withdraw, and financial strength. These regulations also affect our ability to acquire or open additional schools, add new educational programs or change our corporate structure. The agencies that regulate our operations periodically revise their requirements and modify their interpretations of existing requirements.

If one of our schools were to violate any of these regulatory requirements, we could suffer a financial penalty. A regulatory agency could also place limitations on our schools' operations or terminate the schools' ability to grant degrees and certificates or their eligibility to receive federal student financial aid funds. We cannot predict with certainty how all of these requirements will be applied, or whether each of our

schools will be able to comply with all of the requirements in the future. We have described some of the most significant regulatory requirements and risks that apply to our U.S. schools in the following paragraphs. Please also see "Business Financial Aid and Regulation" for more detailed information on the regulations and other requirements that apply to us.

The U.S. Congress may change the law or reduce funding for federal student financial aid programs, which could harm our student population and revenue.

The U.S. Congress periodically revises the Higher Education Act and other laws governing the federal student financial aid programs and annually determines the funding level for each of these programs. During 2003-2004, Congress is expected to devote significant attention to reauthorizing the Higher Education Act, which will likely result in numerous legislative changes. Any action by Congress that significantly reduces funding for the federal student financial aid programs or the ability of our schools or students to participate in these programs could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue. Legislative action may also increase our administrative costs and require us to modify our practices in order for our schools to comply fully with applicable requirements.

If we or our schools do not meet financial responsibility standards, our schools may be required to post a letter of credit and our participation in federal student financial aid programs could be limited, which could harm our net income and student population.

To participate in the federal student financial aid programs, an institution must meet general standards of financial responsibility and either meet specific numeric measures of financial responsibility or post a letter of credit in favor of the Department of Education and possibly accept other conditions on its participation in the federal student financial aid programs. Currently, none of our schools is required to post a letter of credit in favor of the Department of Education or accept other conditions on its participation in the federal student financial aid programs due to failure to satisfy the numeric measures of financial responsibility. If, however, we or our institutions fail to satisfy the numeric standards in the future, any required letter of credit, if obtainable, and any limitations on our participation in the federal student financial aid programs could adversely affect our net income and student population. Our inability to obtain a required letter of credit could also adversely affect our net income and student population. Under a separate requirement, an institution must post a letter of credit if it makes more than a certain proportion of student refunds beyond the regulatory time period. Twenty-one of our institutions have outstanding letters of credit in favor of the Department of Education in the amount of \$2.8 million due to late student refunds.

Our schools may lose eligibility to participate in federal student financial aid programs if their student loan default rates are too high, which could harm our student population and revenue.

An institution may lose its eligibility to participate in some or all of the federal student financial aid programs if defaults by its students on their federal student loans exceed specified rates. If any of our institutions, depending on its size, loses eligibility to participate in the federal student financial aid programs because of high student loan default rates, that loss could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue.

48

Our schools may lose eligibility to participate in federal student financial aid programs if the percentage of their revenue derived from those programs is too high, which could harm our student population and revenue.

A proprietary institution loses its eligibility to participate in the federal student financial aid programs if it derives more than 90% of its revenue from these programs in any fiscal year. If any of our institutions, depending on its size, loses eligibility to participate in federal student financial aid programs, that loss could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue.

If regulators do not approve our acquisition of schools from third parties, our ability to participate in federal student financial aid programs at the acquired institution would be limited.

When we acquire an institution, the Department of Education and most applicable state authorizing agencies and accrediting agencies consider that a change of ownership or control of the institution has occurred. A change of ownership or control of an institution under the standards of the Department of Education may result in the temporary suspension of the institution's participation in the federal student financial aid programs until the Department of Education issues a temporary certification document. If we were unable to promptly reestablish the state authorization, accreditation or Department of Education certification of an institution we acquired, depending on the size of that acquisition, that failure could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If regulators do not approve transactions involving a change of control or change in our corporate structure, we may lose our ability to participate in federal student financial aid programs.

If we experience a change of control under the standards of applicable state agencies, accrediting agencies or the Department of Education, we or the schools governed by such agencies must seek the approval of the relevant agencies. The failure of any of our schools to reestablish its state authorization, accreditation or Department of Education certification would result in a suspension or loss of federal student financial aid funding, which could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue.

The Department of Education, applicable state agencies or applicable accrediting agencies may consider other transactions or events to constitute a change of control. If the Department of Education or any other regulatory agencies interpreted a particular sequence of events to constitute a change of control, we would be required to seek all necessary approvals to continue our participation in the federal financial aid programs, and could at least temporarily lose access to financial aid funding on that basis. Some of these transactions or events, such as a significant acquisition of our common stock, may be beyond our control.

If our schools do not maintain their state authorizations and accreditations, they may not operate or participate in federal student financial aid programs.

A school that grants degrees, diplomas or certificates must be authorized by the relevant agencies of the state in which it is located and, in some cases, other states where the school is deemed to be operating. State authorization and accreditation by an accrediting agency recognized by the Department of Education also are required for an institution to participate in the federal student financial aid programs. Requirements for authorization or accreditation vary substantially among the applicable agencies, and some of our schools must satisfy highly detailed standards regarding their educational programs, facilities, faculty and other matters to maintain the necessary approvals. Loss of state authorization or accreditation by any of our campuses, depending on the size of the campus, could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue.

49

#### Regulatory agencies or third parties may commence compliance reviews, bring claims or initiate litigation against us.

Because we operate in a highly regulated industry, we are subject to compliance reviews, claims of non-compliance or lawsuits by government agencies or third parties. If the results of these reviews or proceedings are unfavorable to us or if we are unable to defend successfully against third-party lawsuits or claims, we may be required to pay money damages or be subject to fines, limitations, loss of federal funding, injunctions or other penalties. Even if we adequately address issues raised by an agency review or successfully defend a third-party lawsuit or claim, we may have to devote significant money and management resources to address these issues.

Failure to comply with extensive Canadian regulations could affect the ability of our Canadian schools to participate in Canadian financial aid programs.

We derive a majority of our Canadian schools' revenue from Canadian governmental financial aid programs. Depending on their province of residence, Canadian students may receive loans under the Canada Student Loans Program, the Ontario Student Loans Plan and the Quebec Loans and Bursaries Program. In addition, there are students attending the Canadian schools who receive government-sponsored financial assistance from provinces other than Ontario and Quebec.

Our Canadian schools must meet eligibility standards to administer these programs and must comply with extensive statutes, rules, regulations and requirements. Each of our Ontario schools will be required to share the cost of student loan defaults if defaults by its students on their Ontario Student Assistance Plan loans exceed specified rates. Our International Academy of Design and Technology and SoftTrain schools in Toronto currently do not have a default rate that exceeds the applicable threshold of 25%. However, our International Academy of Design and Technology school in Ottawa had an overall default rate of 28.6% in 2002 and has received a letter from the Ontario Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities indicating that it may have to share in the costs of defaults and post an additional bond with the Ministry. If our Canadian schools cannot meet these and other eligibility standards or fail to comply with applicable requirements, it could have a material adverse effect on our net income.

The Canadian, Ontario and Quebec governments continuously review the legislative, regulatory and other requirements relating to student financial assistance programs due to political and budgetary pressures. Although we do not anticipate a significant reduction in the funding for these programs, any change that significantly reduces funding or the ability of our schools to participate in these programs could have a material adverse effect on our student population and revenue.

## Our ability to conduct business in other foreign countries could be limited if government regulation increases or changes.

Our schools in France, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates are subject to extensive local regulations and requirements. If we are found to be in violation of local regulations, including licensing and accreditation requirements, we could be subject to civil sanctions,

including monetary penalties. Additionally, we could be required to incur significant ongoing expenses to comply with these regulatory requirements or, conceivably, could be restricted or barred from providing educational services in that jurisdiction. Please also see "Business" Other International Regulations" for more detailed information on the international regulations that apply to us.

50

#### Risks Specific to our Business Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Us

#### Failure to effectively manage our growth could harm our business.

We have grown rapidly since our incorporation in January 1994. Our continued rapid growth may place a strain on our management, operations, employees or resources. We may not be able to maintain or accelerate our current growth rate, effectively manage our expanding operations or achieve planned growth on a timely or profitable basis. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, we may experience operating inefficiencies and our net income may be materially adversely affected.

#### If students fail to pay their outstanding balances, our business will be harmed.

We offer a variety of payment plans to help students pay that portion of their education expense not covered by financial aid programs. These balances are unsecured and not guaranteed. Losses related to unpaid student balances in excess of the amounts we have reserved for bad debts could have a material adverse effect on our business.

#### Failure to effectively identify, pursue and integrate acquired schools could harm our business.

We expect to continue to rely on acquisitions as a key component of our growth. From time to time, we engage in, and we are currently engaged in, evaluations of, and discussions with, possible acquisition candidates. We may not continue to be able to identify suitable acquisition opportunities or to acquire any such schools on favorable terms. Furthermore, we may not be able to successfully integrate any acquired schools into our operations profitably. Acquisitions involve a number of special risks and challenges, including the diversion of management's attention, difficulties in assimilating the operations and personnel of acquired schools, adverse short-term effects on reported operating results, possible loss of key employees and difficulty of presenting a unified corporate image. Continued growth through acquisition may also subject us to unanticipated business or regulatory uncertainties or liabilities. Acquired schools may not enhance our business and, if we do not successfully address associated risks and uncertainties, may ultimately have a material adverse effect on our growth and ability to compete.

#### Opening additional new schools and adding new services could be difficult for us.

We have also grown by opening new schools, primarily as additional branches of existing schools. Establishing new schools poses unique challenges and requires us to make investments in management, capital expenditures, marketing expenses and other resources different, and in some cases greater, than those required with respect to the operation of acquired schools. When opening a new school, we may be required to obtain appropriate state or provincial and accrediting agency approvals. In addition, to be eligible for federal student financial aid programs, such a school has to be certified by the Department of Education. Our failure to effectively manage the operations of newly established schools or service areas, or any diversion of management's attention from our core career-oriented school operating activities, could harm our business.

#### Failure to keep pace with changing market needs and technology could harm our student population.

Prospective employers of our graduates increasingly demand that their entry-level employees possess appropriate technological skills. Educational programs at our schools, particularly programs in visual communications and information technology, must keep pace with these evolving requirements. If we cannot respond to changes in industry requirements, it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to attract students.

51

# We compete with a variety of schools and if we are unable to compete effectively, our student population and revenue could be harmed.

The post-secondary education market is highly competitive. Our schools compete with traditional public and private two-year and four-year colleges and universities and other proprietary schools, including those that offer distance learning programs. Some public and private colleges and universities, as well as other private career-oriented schools, offer programs similar to those of our schools. Although tuition at private

nonprofit institutions is, on average, higher than tuition at our schools, some public institutions are able to charge lower tuition than our schools, due in part to government subsidies and other financial sources not available to proprietary schools. Some of our competitors in both the public and private sectors have substantially greater financial and other resources than us. We may incur increasing costs competing for students. If we are not able to compete effectively for students, our revenue may decline.

#### Expansion outside of the U.S., Canada, France, the United Kingdom and Dubai could adversely affect our business.

Although we currently operate in the U.S., Canada, France, the United Kingdom and Dubai, we intend to explore opportunities outside those markets. There may be difficulties and complexities associated with our expansion into these other international markets, and our strategies may not succeed beyond our current markets. International operations present inherent risks, including currency fluctuations, varying political and economic conditions, unanticipated changes in regulation, trade barriers, staffing and management problems and adverse tax consequences. Also, in further expanding internationally, we would be required to comply with different, and potentially more onerous, regulatory requirements. If we do not effectively address these risks, our growth and ability to compete may be impaired.

#### Failure to obtain additional capital in the future could reduce our ability to grow.

We believe that funds from operations, cash, investments and borrowings under our \$200 million credit facility pursuant to our credit agreement will be adequate to fund our current operating plans for the foreseeable future. However, we may need additional debt or equity financing in order to carry out our strategy of growth through acquisitions. We may also need additional debt or equity financing in the future to carry out our growth strategy. The amount and timing of such additional financing will vary principally depending on the timing and size of acquisitions and the sellers' willingness to provide financing themselves. To the extent that we require additional financing in the future and are unable to obtain such additional financing, we may not be able to fully implement our growth strategy.

#### Our credit agreement limits our ability to take various actions.

Our credit agreement limits our ability to take various actions, including paying dividends and disposing of assets. Accordingly, we may be restricted from taking actions which management believes would be desirable and in the best interests of us and our stockholders. The credit agreement also requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy specified financial tests. A breach of any covenants contained in the credit agreement could result in an event of default under that agreement and allow the lenders to pursue various remedies, including accelerating the indebtedness outstanding thereunder, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

#### The loss of our key personnel could harm our business.

Our success to date has depended, and will continue to depend, largely on the skills and efforts of John M. Larson, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Patrick K. Pesch, our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary, Nick Fluge, President of our Online

52

Education Group and Jacob P. Gruver, President of our Colleges, Schools & Universities Group and our other key personnel. Our success also depends, in large part, upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified faculty, school presidents and administrators and corporate management. Due to the nature of our business, we may have difficulty locating and hiring qualified personnel, and retaining such personnel once hired. None of our employees is subject to an employment or noncompetition agreement other than Mr. Larson. We do not maintain life insurance on any of our employees. The loss of the services of any of our key personnel, or our failure to attract and retain other qualified and experienced personnel on acceptable terms, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, a limited number of our faculty members are represented by unions, although they are not currently subject to collective bargaining agreements. If we become subject to collective bargaining agreements, our flexibility in making human resources decisions could be impaired.

# Terrorist attacks and other acts of wider armed conflict may have an adverse effect on the U.S. and world economies and may disrupt our provision of educational services.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war, such as those that took place on September 11, 2001, could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. There can be no assurance that there will not be further terrorist attacks against the United States or its businesses or interests. Attacks or armed conflicts that directly impact our physical facilities or ability to recruit foreign students could significantly affect our ability to provide educational services to our students and thereby impair our ability to achieve our expected results. Further, the adverse effects that such violent acts and threats of future attacks could have on the U.S. and world economies could similarly have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Finally, further terrorist acts could cause the United States to enter into a wider armed conflict which could further impact our operations and result in prospective students, as well as our

current students and employees, entering the armed forces. These factors could cause significant declines in our enrollment and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law and our stockholders' rights plan could make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult.

Provisions of our Certificate of Incorporation and our by-laws contain provisions that may delay, defer or inhibit a future acquisition of us not approved by our board of directors. These provisions are intended to encourage any person interested in acquiring us to negotiate with and obtain the approval of our board of directors in connection with the transaction. For example, our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes our board of directors to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such voting, conversion and other rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions as it determines, without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be subject to, and may be harmed by, the rights of the holders of our preferred stock that may be issued in the future. In addition, our Certificate of Incorporation divides our board of directors into three classes having staggered terms. We are also subject to the provisions of Delaware law that could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of our company. One of these provisions prevents us from engaging in a business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years from the date the person becomes an interested stockholder, unless specified conditions are satisfied. We have also implemented a stockholders' rights plan, also commonly called a "poison pill", that would substantially reduce or eliminate the expected economic benefit to an acquiror from acquiring us in a manner or on terms not approved by our board of directors. These and other impediments to a third-party acquisition or change of control could limit the price investors are willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

53

#### Risks Specific to our AIU-Online's Business Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on our Business.

AIU-Online intends to continue increasing the number of its students and more resources will be required to support this anticipated growth, including additional faculty, and enrollment, academic and financial counselors. This exceptional growth has placed, and future growth is expected to place, a significant strain on AIU-Online's operational resources. The continued development of awareness of the programs offered by AIU-Online is critical to the continued acceptance and growth of AIU-Online's programs. If AIU-Online is unable to continue to develop awareness of the programs offered by it, this could limit its enrollments and negatively impact its ability to increase revenues and profitability.

AIU-Online's success depends in part on its ability to expand the content of its programs, develop new programs in a cost-effective manner, and meet its students' needs in a timely manner. The expansion of AIU-Online's existing programs and the development of new programs may not be accepted by its students or the online education market.

The performance and reliability of AIU-Online's program infrastructure is critical to the reputation of AIU-Online and its ability to attract and retain students. Any system error or failure, or a sudden and significant increase in traffic, may result in the unavailability of AIU-Online's computer networks. Individual, sustained, or repeated occurrences could significantly damage the reputation of AIU-Online and result in a loss of potential or existing students. Additionally, AIU-Online's computer systems and operations are vulnerable to interruption or malfunction due to events beyond its control, including natural disasters and telecommunications failures. Any interruption to AIU-Online's computer systems or operations could have a material adverse effect on the ability of AIU-Online to attract and retain students.

AIU-Online's computer networks may be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer hackers, computer viruses, and other security problems. A user who circumvents security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions or malfunctions in operations. Due to the sensitive nature of the information contained on AIU-Online's networks, such as students' grades, its networks may be targeted by hackers. As a result, AIU-Online may be required to expend significant resources to protect against the threat of these security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by these breaches.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes, foreign currency fluctuations and changes in the market value of our investments. We have not entered into interest rate caps or collars or other hedging instruments.

Our exposure to changes in interest rates is limited to borrowings under a revolving credit agreement that bear annual interest at variable rates tied to the prime rate and the eurocurrency rate. The weighted average annual interest rate of borrowings under this credit agreement was 4.25% at December 31, 2002. In addition, at December 31, 2002, we had capital lease obligations totaling \$5.2 million with a weighted average rate of 8.80%. We estimate that the book value of our debt instruments approximated their fair values as of December 31, 2002.

We are subject to fluctuations in the value of the Canadian dollar, the Euro, and the British pound vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar. Our investment in our foreign operations as of December 31, 2002, is not significant, and the book value of the assets and liabilities of these operations at December 31, 2002, approximated their fair values.

54

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated balance sheets are as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows are for each of the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:

Report of Independent Auditors, page F-1.

Report of Independent Public Accountants, page F-2.

Consolidated Balance Sheets, page F-3.

Consolidated Statements of Income, page F-4.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, page F-5.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, page F-6.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, page F-7.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

55

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the sections captioned "PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "EXECUTIVE OFFICERS" of the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2003 Proxy Statement").

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the section of the 2003 Proxy Statement captioned "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION."

#### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the sections of the 2003 Proxy Statement captioned "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS" and "EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION."

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information in response to this item is incorporated by reference from the sections of the 2003 Proxy Statement captioned "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION" and "COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION."

#### ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Within 90 days prior to the date of this report, we completed an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis of material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

No significant changes were made to our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

56

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K/A or incorporated by reference as set forth below:

Financial Statements of Career Education Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Report of Independent Auditors, page F-1.

Report of Independent Public Accountants, page F-2.

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2002 and 2001, page F-3.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, page F-4.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, page F-5.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, page F-6.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, page F-7.

2. (a) Exhibits:

\*3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended.

- +3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company.
- \*\*4.1 Form of specimen stock certificate representing Common Stock.
- \*\*\*4.2 Credit Agreement dated as of December 19, 2002 among Career Education Corporation, as borrower, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, LaSalle Bank National Association and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Co-Syndication Agents, SunTrust Bank, as Documentation Agent, and the other lenders named therein. Schedules and exhibits to this Credit Agreement have not been included herewith, but will be furnished supplementally to the Commission upon request.
  - \*4.3 Credit Agreement dated as of February 18, 2003 among International Academy of Merchandising & Design (Canada) Ltd., Académie Internationale du Design Inc./International Academy of Design Inc., Softtrain Institute Inc., Retter Business College Corp., Bank of America, N.A., acting through its Canadian branch, as Canadian Administrative Agent, and the other lenders named therein. Schedules and exhibits to this Credit Agreement have not been included herewith, but will be furnished supplementally to the Commission upon request.
- \*\*\*\*4.4 Rights Agreement dated as of May 28, 2002 between Career Education Corporation and Computershare Investor Services, LLC which includes as Exhibit A, the Form of Certificate of Designations, as Exhibit B, the Form of Rights Certificate and as Exhibit C, the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares.
- \*\*10.1 Career Education Corporation 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended. ++
- \*\*10.2 Form of Option Agreement under the Company's 1995 Stock Option Plan. ++
- \*\*10.3 Career Education Corporation 1998 Employee Incentive Compensation Plan. ++

57

- \*\*10.4 Form of Option Agreement under the Company's 1998 Employee Incentive Compensation Plan. ++
- \*\*10.5 Career Education Corporation 1998 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan. ++
- \*\*10.6 Form of Option Agreement under the Company's 1998 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan. ++
- \*\*10.7 Career Education Corporation 1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- \*\*10.8 Amendments to Career Education Corporation 1998 Employee Incentive Compensation Plan dated as of July 29, 1998, February 17, 1999, January 24, 2000 and April 5, 2002. ++
- \*\*\*\*\*10.9 Employment Agreement dated as of August 1, 2000, between the Company and John M. Larson. ++
  - \*\*10.10 Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors and Executive Officers. ++
  - \*\*10.11 Form of Management Fee Agreement between the Company and each of its subsidiaries.
  - \*\*10.12 Form of Tax Sharing Agreement between the Company and each of its subsidiaries.
    - \*21 Subsidiaries of the Company.
    - 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
    - 23.2 Explanation Concerning Absence of Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP.
    - 99.1 Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
    - 99.2 Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

<sup>\*</sup> Previously filed with our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Incorporated herein by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1, effective as of January 28, 1998.

- \*\*\* Incorporated herein by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2002.
- \*\*\*\* Incorporated herein by reference to our Registration Statement on Form 8-A, effective as of May 28, 2002.
- \*\*\*\*\* Incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2000.
- + Incorporated herein by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997.
- ++ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit on this Form 10-K.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

We filed a Current Report on Form 8-K on December 20, 2002 announcing that we had entered into a new \$200 million Credit Agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, LaSalle Bank National Association and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Co-Syndication Agents, SunTrust Bank, as Documentation Agent, and the other lenders named therein (Items 5 and 7 of Form 8-K).

58

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 24th day of April 2003.

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION

By: /s/ PATRICK K. PESCH

Patrick K. Pesch, Chief Financial Officer

59

J

#### **CERTIFICATION**

- I, John M. Larson, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify that:
  - 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Career Education Corporation;
  - Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a
    material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made,
    not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
  - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
  - 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
    - designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6.

  The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 24, 2003

/s/ JOHN M. LARSON

John M. Larson

Chief Executive Officer

60

#### **CERTIFICATION**

- I, Patrick K. Pesch, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify that:
  - I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Career Education Corporation;
    - Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
    - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
    - 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a)

designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

- evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6.

  The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 24, 2003

/s/ PATRICK K. PESCH

Patrick K. Pesch

Chief Financial Officer

61

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Career Education Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) AND SUBSIDIARIES as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements of CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES as of December 31, 2001, and for each of the two years in the period then ended, before the inclusion of additional disclosures referred to in the last paragraph of this report, were audited by another auditor who has ceased operations and whose report dated January 29, 2002, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements and included an explanatory paragraph that discussed the change in the Company's method of accounting for application and registration fees to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition* discussed in Note 4 to these financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We

believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES as of December 31, 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As discussed in Note 3 and Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2002, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets.

As discussed above, the financial statements of CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES as of December 31, 2001, and for each of the two years in the period then ended were audited by another auditor who has ceased operations. As described in Note 4, these financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2002. Our audit procedures with respect to the table of amounts in Note 4 with respect to 2001 and 2000 included (a) agreeing the previously reported amounts to the previously issued financial statements and the adjustments to previously reported amounts representing amortization expense (including any related tax effects) recognized in those periods related to goodwill and intangible assets that are no longer being amortized to the Company's underlying records obtained from management, and (b) testing the mathematical accuracy of the reconciliation of previously reported amounts to adjusted amounts, and the related earnings-per-share amounts. In our opinion, the disclosures relating to the table of amounts in Note 4 are appropriate. However, we were not engaged to audit, review or apply any procedures to the 2001 or 2000 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to such disclosures and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 2001 or 2000 financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP Chicago, Illinois January 23, 2003, except for Note 18, as to which the date is February 18, 2003

F-1

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS(1)

To the Stockholders of Career Education Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) AND SUBSIDIARIES as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Career Education Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As explained in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2000, the Company changed its method of accounting for application and registration fees to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition*.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Chicago, Illinois January 29, 2002

(1) This is a copy of the audit report for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, previously issued by Arthur Andersen LLP. This audit report has not been reissued by Arthur Andersen LLP in connection with this filing on Form 10-K/A. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2000, referred to in this report has not been included in the accompanying financial statements. Additionally, the consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1999, referred to in this report have not been included in the accompanying financial statements.

F-2

#### CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31,		31,	
		2002		2001
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	33,474	\$	39,675
Receivables				
Students, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$23,598 and \$15,273 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively		75,498		57,914
Other		6,822		4,899
Inventories		7,407		6,808
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		23,278		16,905
Deferred income tax assets		2,765		4,393
	_		_	
Total current assets	_	149,244		130,594
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		177,429		148,044
GOODWILL, net		202,920		195,635
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net		9,524		8,961
PREPAID ROYALTIES		33,947		
OTHER ASSETS		13,072		7,555
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	586,136	\$	490,789
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	30.083	\$	44,369
Accounts payable	Ψ	23,410	Ψ	14,206
Accrued expenses		23,110		11,200
Payroll and related benefits		17,282		10,834
Income taxes		20,082		10,05 1
media takes		20,002		

		Decem	ber 3	1,
Other		16,447		10,076
Deferred revenue		52,904		40,888
Total current liabilities		160,208		120,373
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:				
Long-term debt, net of current maturities		2,686		45,553
Long-term contractual obligations		9,679		5,144
Deferred income tax liabilities		1,616		8,245
Other		6,250		5,069
Total long-term liabilities  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		20,231		64,011
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2001	\$		\$	
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 46,029,859 and 44,697,817 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2001	Ψ	460	Ψ	447
Additional paid-in capital		278,264		246,636
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,109)		(1,288)
Retained earnings		128,082		60,610
Total stockholders' equity		405,697		306,405
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	586,136	\$	490,789

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

F-3

#### CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2002	2001	2000
REVENUE:			
Tuition and registration fees	\$ 704,172	\$ 495,152	\$ 304,909
Other	75,887	51,907	29,619

For the Year Ended December 31,

			,		
Total revenue	 780,059		547,059		334,528
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
Educational services and facilities	294,539		213,916		129,628
General and administrative	338,586		233,503		144,926
Depreciation and amortization	33,636		30,818		20,594
Total operating expenses	666,761		478,237		295,148
Income from operations	113,298		68,822		39,380
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):					
Interest income	808		734		1,484
Interest expense	(2,094)		(1,493)		(1,358)
Share of affiliate earnings	 2,348		1,674		
Total other income	1,062		915		126
Income before provision for income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	114,360		69,737		39,506
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	46,888		31,382		17,322
	 	_		_	
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	67,472		38,355		22,184
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE, net of income tax benefit of \$587					(778)
NET INCOME	\$ 67,472	\$	38,355	\$	21,406
INCOME PER SHARE:					
Basic					
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.59
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	 				(0.02)
Net income	\$ 1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.57
Diluted					
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.57
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle					(0.02)
Net income	\$ 1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.55

## WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:

For the Year Ended December 31,

Basic	45,407	43,751	37,374
	<u> </u>		
Diluted	47,547	45,390	38,780

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

F-4

## CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## (In thousands)

	Common	Stock				
	150,000,000 Shares Authorized	\$0.01 Par Value	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
BALANCE, December 31, 1999	31,507	\$ 315	\$ 112,889	\$ (372) \$	849	\$ 113,681
Net income					21,406	21,406
Foreign currency translation				(326)		(326)
Total comprehensive income						21,080
Compensatory options			52			52
Issuance of common stock	8,510	85	63,586			63,671
Options exercised	630	6	1,150			1,156
Tax benefit of options exercised			1,253	 		1,253
BALANCE, December 31, 2000	40,647	\$ 406	\$ 178,930	\$ (698) \$	22,255	\$ 200,893
Net income					38,355	38,355
Foreign currency translation				(590)		(590)
Total comprehensive income						37,765
Compensatory options			52			52
Issuance of common stock	2,494	25	42,254			42,279
Options exercised	1,557	16	9,299			9,315
Tax benefit of options exercised			16,101			16,101
BALANCE, December 31, 2001	44,698	\$ 447	\$ 246,636	\$ (1,288) \$	60,610	\$ 306,405
Net income					67,472	67,472
Foreign currency translation				179		179

#### **Common Stock**

Total comprehensive income						67,651
Compensatory options			52			52
Issuance of common stock	73	1	2,228			2,229
Option issued to Le Cordon Bleu						
Limited			3,000			3,000
Options exercised	1,259	12	12,013			12,025
Tax benefit of options exercised			14,335			14,335
BALANCE, December 31, 2002	46,030	\$ 460 \$	\$ 278,264	\$ (1,109)\$	128,082 \$	405,697

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

F-5

## CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (In thousands)

# For the Year Ended December 31,

		December 31,				
	2002		2001		2000	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income	\$ 67	7,472 \$	38,355	\$	21,406	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities						
Depreciation and amortization	33	3,636	30,818		20,594	
Deferred income taxes		(383)	13,167		4,363	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle					778	
Royalty expense related to stock options		158				
Compensation expense related to stock options		52	52		52	
Loss on sale of property and equipment		690	10		22	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions						
Receivables, net	(20	),718)	(27,023)		(16,705)	
Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8)	3,937)	(3,435)		(7,662)	
Deposits and other non-current assets	(35	5,417)	(4,837)		2,377	
Accounts payable	Ç	9,169	1,859		(3,140)	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	32	2,420	(15,393)		138	
Tax benefit associated with option exercises	14	1,335	16,101		1,253	
Deferred tuition revenue	13	3,753	2,307		2,160	
Net cash provided by operating activities	100	5,230	51,981		25,636	
- · · · · · · ·				_		

For the Year Ended December 31,

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Business acquisitions, net of acquired cash	(5,762)	(44,212)	(31,651)
Acquisition transaction costs	(1,291)	(1,953)	(3,273)
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(60,871)	(54,550)	(28,453)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	49		
Change in investment in affiliate	(212)	23	
Net cash used in investing activities	(68,087)	(100,692)	(63,377)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Issuance of common stock	14,254	10,971	67,952
Equity and debt financing costs	(1,054)	(30)	(4,125)
Payments of long-term debt	(880)	(16,655)	(2,929)
Payments of capital lease obligations	(5,817)	(6,878)	(3,509)
Net proceeds from (payments of) revolving loans under credit agreements	(51,000)	67,500	(30,500)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(44,497)	54,908	26,889
EFFECT OF FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE			
CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	153	(264)	(151)
	(6.004)		(14.000)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	(6,201)	5,933	(11,003) 44,745
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	39,675	33,742	44,743
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 33,474	\$ 39.675	\$ 33.742
Onorman Chair Decirion of the organia	ψ 33,17 <del>1</del>	<i>\$ 37,013</i>	Ψ 33,742

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

F-6

## CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

#### 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY

Career Education Corporation ("CEC", collectively with its subsidiaries, "we" or "our") owns and operates, as of December 31, 2002, 43 campuses that provide private, for-profit postsecondary education in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates. Our schools enjoy long operating histories and offer a variety of master's degree, bachelor's degree, associate's degree and diploma programs in career-oriented disciplines within our core curricula of:

Visual Communication and Design Technologies

Information Technology	
Business Studies	
Culinary Arts	
Health Education	

#### 2. STOCK OFFERINGS AND SPLITS

On May 10, 2000, we sold 8.1 million shares of common stock at \$8.13 per share pursuant to a public offering. The net proceeds to us from the sale of the shares of common stock, net of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses paid by us, were approximately \$61.6 million. We used \$28.5 million of the net offering proceeds to repay indebtedness under our former credit facility, and the remaining \$33.1 million was utilized for general corporate purposes.

During 2000, our Board of Directors approved a two-for-one stock split affected in the form of a stock dividend. The dividend was paid on August 25, 2000, to stockholders of record on August 20, 2000. During 2001, our Board of Directors approved another two-for-one stock split, also affected in the form of a stock dividend. The dividend was paid on September 28, 2001, to stockholders of record on September 17, 2001. All share and per share amounts in the accompanying financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to reflect these stock dividends.

#### 3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### a. Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CEC and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The results of operations of all acquired businesses have been consolidated for all periods subsequent to the date of acquisition.

#### b. Concentration of Credit Risk

We extend unsecured credit for tuition to a significant portion of the students who are in attendance at the campuses operated by our subsidiaries. Based upon past experience and judgment, we establish an allowance for doubtful accounts with respect to student receivables. A substantial portion of credit extended to students is repaid through the students' participation in various federally funded financial aid programs under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended ("Title IV Programs"). The following table summarizes our U.S.-accredited institutions' cash receipts from Title IV Programs for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. The balances and percentages reflected therein were determined based upon each U.S.-accredited institution's cash receipts for the

F-7

twelve-month period ended December 31, pursuant to the regulations of the United States Department of Education ("DOE") at 34 C.F.R. § 600.5.

	 For the Year Ended December 31,								
	2002 2001				2000				
	 (	Dollars	s in thousands	(					
Total Title IV funding	\$ 415,104	\$	311,438	\$	177,632				
Total cash receipts	\$ 778,615	\$	517,448	\$	279,513				

For the Year Ended December 31,

Total Title IV funding as a percentage of total cash receipts 53% 60% 64%

Transfers of funds received from Title IV Programs are made in accordance with DOE requirements. Changes in DOE funding of Title IV Programs could impact our ability to attract students.

#### c. Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include short-term investments with a term to maturity of less than 90 days.

#### d. Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs, which are included in general and administrative expenses, were approximately \$82.8 million, \$60.7 million and \$36.6 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

#### e. Inventories

Inventories, consisting principally of program materials, books and supplies, are stated at the lower of cost, determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or market.

#### f. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization are recognized using the straight-line method over the useful lives of the related assets for financial reporting purposes and an accelerated method for income tax reporting purposes. Leasehold improvements and assets recorded under capital leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful life or lease term, whichever is shorter. Courseware represents the fair value of acquired curriculum and other tangible academic materials, such as lesson plans and syllabi, used to deliver educational services. The fair values of acquired courseware are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their useful lives, which are estimated by management based upon, among other things, the expected future utilization period, the nature of the related academic programs and, as necessary, consultation with independent third parties. Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals and betterments are expensed; major improvements are capitalized.

#### g. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair market value of identifiable net assets acquired through business purchases. Goodwill related to each acquisition completed before June 30, 2001, has been amortized through December 31, 2001, on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life.

F-8

Goodwill related to acquisitions completed after June 30, 2001, has not been amortized, as required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* ("SFAS 142"). Effective January 1, 2002, pursuant to our adoption of SFAS 142, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer subject to amortization. However, these assets are reviewed for impairment on at least an annual basis by applying a fair-value-based test.

Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line or accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives.

## h. Long-lived Assets

On an ongoing basis, we review property and equipment, definite-lived intangible assets and other long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable. To date, no such events or changes in circumstances have occurred. If such events or changes in circumstances occur, we will recognize an impairment loss if the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset (or acquired business) are less than the carrying value of the related asset. The impairment loss would adjust the asset to its fair value.

#### i. Revenue Recognition

Revenue is derived primarily from programs taught at our schools. Tuition revenue and one-time fees, such as application fees, are recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the applicable program. Dormitory and cafeteria revenues charged to students are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of a student's dormitory and cafeteria use. Other dormitory and cafeteria revenues are recognized upon delivery. Other revenue, such as student laptop computer sales, bookstore sales, placement revenue, restaurant revenue, rental income, and contract training revenue, is recognized as services are performed or goods are delivered. Deferred revenue represents the portion of payments received but not earned and is reflected as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, as such amount represents revenue that we expect to earn within the next year. Refunds are calculated and paid in accordance with applicable federal, state and accrediting agency standards and Company policy.

#### j. Rent Expense

Rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Rent expense, exclusive of taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs, totaled approximately \$56.3 million, \$46.0 million and \$27.4 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

#### k. Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Significant estimates, among others, include the allowance for doubtful accounts, the allocation of purchase price to net assets acquired in connection with business combinations, and valuations of liabilities established at the date of business acquisitions, including restructuring liabilities and certain long-term contractual obligations. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

F-9

#### l. Income Taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return and provide for deferred income taxes under the asset and liability method of accounting. This method requires the recognition of deferred income taxes based upon the tax consequences of temporary differences between financial reporting and income tax reporting by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities.

#### m. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of current assets and liabilities reasonably approximate their fair values due to their short maturity periods. The carrying values of our debt obligations reasonably approximate their fair values as the stated interest rates approximate current market interest rates of debt with similar terms.

### n. Equity Investment

We hold a 30% minority interest in the American InterContinental University in Dubai, United Arab Emirates ("AIU-Dubai"). Our interest is accounted for using the equity method, and, therefore, the entity's financial statements are not consolidated into our financial statements. Our investment in AIU-Dubai of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, is included in other noncurrent assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, and our share of AIU-Dubai's 2002 and 2001 results of operations are included in other income in our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

#### o. Income Per Share

The weighted average number of common shares used in determining basic and diluted net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, are as follows:

For the Year Ended December 31,

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2002	2001	2000				
		(In thousands)					
Basic common shares outstanding	45,407	43,751	37,374				
Common stock equivalents	2,140	1,639	1,374				
Common stock contingently issuable			32				
Diluted common shares outstanding	47,547	45,390	38,780				

#### p. Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and have adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123") related to options and warrants issued to employees. For options issued to employees during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, no stock-based employee compensation is reflected in net income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, as all such options had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on

F-10

the date of the grant. Had we applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, net income and earnings per share would have been as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2002			2001		2000	
		ot					
Net income, as reported	\$	67,472	\$	38,355	\$	21,406	
Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of tax effect		(8,813)		(4,141)		(2,299)	
Pro forma net income	\$	58,659	\$	34,214	\$	19,107	
Basic earnings per share							
As reported	\$	1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.59	
Pro forma	\$	1.29	\$	0.78	\$	0.51	
Diluted earnings per share							
As reported	\$	1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.57	
Pro forma	\$	1.23	\$	0.75	\$	0.50	

The pro forma results of operations summarized above are not likely to be indicative of pro forma results that may be expected in future years because of the fact that options vest over several years, pro forma compensation expense is recognized as the options vest, and additional awards may be granted. See Note 11 for assumptions used to value options granted to employees during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

Costs associated with stock options and warrants issued to non-employees are recorded in accordance with SFAS 123.

#### q. Foreign Currency Translation

For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, revenues and expenses related to foreign-based operations have been translated into U.S dollars, our functional currency, at average exchange rates in effect at the time that the underlying transactions occurred. Transaction gains or losses are included in net income. The assets and liabilities of these operations have been translated into U.S. dollars at the year-end exchange rate, with gains and losses resulting from such translation included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

F-11

#### r. Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
		2002		2001		2000	
			(In	thousands)			
CASH PAID FOR:							
Interest	\$	1,679	\$	1,956	\$	1,107	
Taxes		10,295		12,930		13,142	
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:							
Capital lease obligations for purchases of equipment	\$	27	\$	2,367	\$	4,341	
Fair value of options issued to Le Cordon Bleu Limited		3,000					
Fair value of shares of common stock issued for licensing fee						1,000	
Fair value of shares of common stock issued to EduTrek shareholders				40,623			
ACQUISITION ACTIVITY:							
Fair value of assets acquired	\$	623	\$	54,265	\$	13,730	
Fair value of liabilities assumed		(1,891)		(71,383)		(14,073)	
Goodwill		8,207		108,332		27,071	
Purchase price, including acquisition costs	\$	6,939	\$	91,214	\$	26,728	

## s. Segment Information

We operate in one industry segment. Operations in geographic regions outside the United States are not significant.

#### t. Reclassifications

Bad debt expense of approximately \$29.1 million, \$17.8 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 is classified as a component of general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. In prior periods, bad debt expense was classified as a component of net revenue.

Certain other 2001 balances have been reclassified to conform to 2002 financial statement presentation. The impact on our consolidated financial statements of such reclassifications is not significant.

#### 4. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 1999, the Securities Exchange Commission released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition* ("SAB 101"), to provide guidance on the recognition, presentation, and disclosure of revenue in financial statements. SAB 101 outlines basic criteria that must be met before registrants may recognize revenue, including persuasive evidence of the existence of an arrangement, the delivery of products or services, a fixed and determinable sales price, and reasonable assurance of collection. Prior to the release of SAB 101, our revenue recognition policy was in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. Through December 31, 1999, we recognized application and registration fees as revenue upon receipt. Effective January 1, 2000, we adopted a change in accounting

F-12

principle to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of SAB 101. As a result, we recognized a cumulative charge of \$0.8 million, net of taxes. SAB 101 requires us to recognize revenue related to application and registration fees over the program period.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations* ("SFAS 143"). This statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and associated asset retirement costs. SFAS 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made and that the associated asset retirement costs be capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We do not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations* ("SFAS 141") and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, to be accounted for using the purchase method and establishes specific criteria for the recognition of acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill. Under SFAS 142, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer subject to amortization over their estimated useful life. Rather, these assets are subject to, at least, an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test. Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted a change in accounting principle to comply with the specific provisions and guidance of SFAS 141 and SFAS 142. Our adoption of SFAS 142 resulted in a \$4.2 million decrease in amortization expense and a \$3.9 million increase in net income during 2002. During the second quarter of 2002, we completed the transitional intangible asset impairment test required under SFAS 142 and, based on the results of the test, concluded that no impairment of goodwill or other indefinite lived intangible assets had occurred. Therefore, no impairment loss was recorded in connection with our adoption of SFAS 142.

F-13

The following information assumes that SFAS 142 was adopted on January 1, 2000:

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
	2002		2001			2000		
		`	ısands, excej are amounts					
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, as reported	\$	67,472	\$	38,355	\$	22,184		
Elimination of amortization, net of tax effect of \$279 and \$272, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000	_			3,918		1,950		
Adjusted income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		67,472		42,273		24,134		

For the Year Ended December 31.

Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of income tax benefit of \$587, as reported						(778)
Adjusted net income	\$	67,472	\$	42,273	\$	23,356
Income per share:						
Basic						
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, as reported	\$	1.49	\$	0.88	\$	0.59
Elimination of amortization, net of tax effect				0.09		0.06
Adjusted income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	1.49		0.97		0.65
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax effect, as reported	Ψ	1.17		0.57		(0.03)
5 F F						(0100)
Adjusted net income	\$	1.49	\$	0.97	\$	0.62
	_		_		_	
Diluted						
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, as reported	\$	1.42	\$	0.85	\$	0.57
Elimination of amortization, net of tax effect				0.08		0.05
Adjusted income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	1.42		0.93		0.62
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax effect, as reported	Ψ	1.12		0.75		(0.02)
5						(0.02)
Adjusted net income	\$	1.42	\$	0.93	\$	0.60
. Agastes not meeting	Ψ	1,12	Ψ	0.73	Ψ	0.00

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. SFAS 144 supercedes FASB Statement No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of ("SFAS 121") and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual, and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions ("APB 30"), for the disposal of a segment

F-14

of a business. However, SFAS 144 retains the fundamental provisions of SFAS 121 for recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and the measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. SFAS 144 also retains the requirement under APB 30 to report discontinued operations separately form continuing operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale. The provisions of SFAS 144 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Our January 1, 2002, adoption of SFAS 144 has not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In July 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Disposal Activities ("SFAS 146"). Under SFAS 146, liabilities for costs associated with a plan to dispose of an asset or to exit a business activity must be recognized in the period in which the costs are incurred. SFAS 146 is effective for disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We do not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure ("SFAS 148"). SFAS 148 amends SFAS 123 and provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS 148 is effective for financial statements for

annual periods ending after December 15, 2002, and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2002. We have adopted the amendments to SFAS 123 disclosure provisions required under SFAS 148 but will continue to use the intrinsic value method under Opinion 25 to account for stock-based compensation. As such, our adoption of this statement has not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* ("Interpretation 46"). Interpretation 46 requires a company to consolidate a variable interest entity if the company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns. The interpretation also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. Interpretation 46 is immediately effective for variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and effective June 15, 2003, with respect to variable interest entities created on or prior to January 31, 2003. We do not believe that the adoption of this interpretation will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

#### 5. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

#### The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago, Inc. (CHIC)

On February 1, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of The Cooking and Hospitality Institute of Chicago, Inc. for approximately \$5.1 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$4.8 million.

F-15

#### California Culinary Academy, Inc. (CCA)

On April 3, 2000, we completed the acquisition of California Culinary Academy, Inc. The purchase price was approximately \$19.1 million. We also assumed approximately \$3.0 million of the debt of California Culinary Academy, Inc. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$21.2 million. In connection with the acquisition we recorded a severance liability of \$3.0 million, all of which had been paid as of December 31, 2000.

#### SoftTrain Institute, Inc. (SoftTrain)

On July 28, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of SoftTrain Institute, Inc. for approximately \$0.5 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million.

### Retter Business College Inc. (Retter)

On October 2, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Retter Business College, Inc. for approximately \$0.4 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million.

#### American InterContinental University (AIU)

On January 2, 2001, we completed our acquisition of EduTrek International, Inc. ("EduTrek"), a Georgia corporation and operator of American InterContinental University. EduTrek's shareholders received an aggregate of approximately 2.4 million shares of our common stock (0.1802 shares of our common stock for each share of EduTrek stock) and approximately \$2.5 million in cash (\$0.1877 per EduTrek share). The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and the purchase price exceeded the fair market value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, resulting in goodwill of approximately \$71.4 million. Based upon the finalization of our purchase accounting, we recorded additional reserves for deferred revenue and certain accrued liabilities existing at the date of the acquisition, resulting in an increase in goodwill of \$3.1 million, net of tax, from the \$68.3 million originally recorded. Additionally, at November 30, 2000, one of EduTrek's lenders assigned its \$5.0 promissory note to us, in exchange for \$5.0 million plus accrued interest. In connection with the acquisition, we forgave this note and it became part of the purchase price.

As part of the acquisition, we acquired a 30% minority interest in AIU-Dubai. The interest is accounted for using the equity method.

#### Texas Culinary Academy (TCA)

On August 1, 2001, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Le Chef College of Hospitality Careers, Inc., doing business as Texas Culinary Academy, in exchange for assuming certain liabilities of the school. We paid no consideration to the former owners of Texas Culinary Academy in connection with the transaction, and no future consideration is due. We acquired TCA primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational market that it serves, and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. In addition, we acquired the

F-16

school to gain access to an accredited institution in Texas, a geographic region in which we seek to expand. We plan to increase TCA's enrollments significantly by increasing the number of programs offered, introducing our Le Cordon Bleu culinary program, expanding the TCA's marketing capabilities, and constructing a new facility.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and, accordingly, acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair values as of August 1, 2001. Intangible assets acquired consist of accreditation and licensing rights with a fair value of approximately \$1.2 million. There was no goodwill generated by the acquisition.

#### Pennsylvania Culinary Institute (PCI)

On December 3, 2001, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Pennsylvania Culinary Institute for approximately \$44.0 million in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement. We acquired PCI primarily because of its strong brand value, its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational market that it serves, and its potential for strong returns of invested capital. In addition, our acquisition of PCI will enhance our culinary presence in Pennsylvania and surrounding states and provide our other culinary schools with a strong high school marketing model. We plan to increase PCI's enrollments significantly by introducing our Le Cordon Bleu culinary program and expanding PCI's marketing capabilities.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase, and, accordingly, the purchase price plus acquisition costs of approximately \$45.2 million was allocated to the fair market values of acquired tangible and intangible assets of approximately \$15.9 million and assumed liabilities of approximately \$11.8 million as of December 3, 2001. Intangible assets acquired include, among others, accreditation, licensing, and Title IV participation rights with a fair value of approximately \$2.4 million and a trade name with a fair value of approximately \$1.6 million. Our final allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of acquired asset and assumed liabilities has resulted in goodwill totaling approximately \$41.1 million. This amount represents an increase in goodwill of approximately \$6.2 million from the \$34.9 million recorded pursuant to our initial purchase price allocation. The increase is attributable to, among other things, a \$4.1 million increase in the estimated value of a long-term contractual obligation existing at the acquisition date and a \$2.1 million reduction in the estimated amount due from the former owner of PCI upon the finalization of the purchase price adjustment.

#### Missouri College

On September 3, 2002, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Missouri College, Inc. for approximately \$6.1 million in cash with funds obtained under our prior credit agreement. The school, based in St. Louis, Missouri, provides private, for-profit, postsecondary education leading to an associate degree or a diploma in the career-oriented disciplines of healthcare education, information technology, and business studies. We acquired the school primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational markets that it serves, and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. In addition, the acquisition of Missouri College provides us with access to an accredited institution in St. Louis, Missouri, a geographic location in which we seek to expand, and an opportunity to broaden our core curricula into the healthcare education field. We plan to increase the school's enrollments significantly by expanding the school's marketing capabilities and offering more programs.

F-17

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the purchase price plus acquisition costs, subject to adjustment, of approximately \$6.5 million was allocated to the fair market values of acquired tangible and intangible assets of approximately \$3.3 million and assumed liabilities of approximately \$0.9 million as of September 3, 2002. Intangible assets acquired include, among others, accreditation, licensing and Title IV participation rights with a fair value of approximately \$1.2 million and a trade name with a fair value of approximately

#### \$0.5 million.

Based upon a preliminary purchase price allocation, goodwill of approximately \$4.1 million has been recorded. We do not expect any portion of this goodwill balance to be deductible for tax purposes. Subsequent adjustments may be made to the purchase price allocation. However, we do not believe that such adjustments will be material.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of September 3, 2002 (in thousands):

Current assets	\$	1,056
Property and equipment		499
Intangible assets not subject to amortization		
Accreditation, licensing and Title IV participation rights		1,200
Trade name		520
Intangible asset subject to amortization		
Covenant not to compete (2 year useful life)		10
Goodwill		4,098
Other assets		15
Total assets acquired		7,398
		700
Current liabilities		799
Long-term liabilities		110
Total liabilities		909
Net assets acquired	\$	6,489
	_	

#### **Pro Forma Results of Operations**

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations (in thousands, except per share amounts) for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, assume that the business acquisitions completed subsequent to January 1, 2000, described above occurred at the beginning of the year preceding the year of the acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are based on

F-18

historical results of operations, include adjustments for depreciation, amortization, interest and taxes, among others, and do not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred.

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
	2002		2001			2000		
			J)	J <b>naudited</b> )				
Pro forma revenue	\$	783,606	\$	576,639	\$	434,465		
Pro forma income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		67,326		38,322		8,466		
Pro forma net income	\$	67,326	\$	38,322	\$	7,688		
Des farmes havis in a result on								
Pro forma basic income per share								
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	1.48	\$	0.88	\$	0.21		

Net income	\$ 1.48	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.19
Pro forma diluted income per share			
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.20
Net income	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.19

#### 6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The estimated cost basis and useful lives of property and equipment at December 31, 2002 and 2001, are as follows:

	Decem			
	2002 2001			Life
	(In tho			
Land	\$ 5,981	\$	5,981	
Buildings and improvements	1,884		1,850	13-35 years
Computer hardware and software	67,673		45,877	1-5 years
Courseware	42,199		42,418	5-18 years
Classroom equipment and other instructional materials	27,137		22,385	5-10 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	28,439		21,082	3-10 years
Leasehold improvements	99,328		71,619	Life of lease
Vehicles	258		181	3-5 years
	272,899		211,393	
Less Accumulated depreciation and amortization	95,470		63,349	
	\$ 177,429	\$	148,044	

The gross cost of assets recorded under capital classroom equipment leases, included above, totals approximately \$18.2 million and \$19.0 million as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The accumulated amortization related to these assets is approximately \$15.6 million and \$12.4 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2002 and 2001.

From time to time, we enter into agreements that commit us to certain minimum capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2002, such commitments are not significant.

F-19

## 7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2002, are as follows (in thousands):

Goodwill balance as of December 31, 2001	\$ 195,695
Goodwill acquired pursuant to business combination	3,950
Purchase accounting adjustments	3,275
Goodwill balance as of December 31, 2002	\$ 202,920

Goodwill as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, is reflected net of accumulated amortization of approximately \$9.2 million. Approximately \$53.1 million of our total goodwill balance is expected to be deductible for income tax reporting purposes in future periods.

As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the cost basis and weighted average useful lives of intangible assets are as follows:

	December 31,				****	
	2002		2001		Weighted Average Useful Lives	
		(In tho	usand	s)		
Amortizable intangible assets						
Covenants not-to-compete	\$	15,154	\$	15,144	3.0 years	
Trade names		1,602		1,602	15.0 years	
Licensing agreements		3,000		3,000	9.5 years	
Other intangibles		20		20	1.0 year	
		19,776		19,766	9.0 years	
Less Accumulated amortization		15,584		14,373		
	\$	4,192	\$	5,393		
Nonamortizable intangible assets Accreditation, licensing and Title IV participation						
rights	\$	4,812	\$	3,568		
Trade names		520				
	_					
	\$	5,332	\$	3,568		
			_			
Intangible assets, net	\$	9,524	\$	8,961		

As of December 31, 2002, net intangible assets includes accreditation, licensing and Title IV participation rights of approximately \$4.8 million. Such assets, as well a trade name with a value of approximately \$0.5 million, are considered to have indefinite useful lives and, in accordance with SFAS 142, are not subject to amortization but rather reviewed for impairment on at least an annual basis by applying a fair-value-based test. Other net intangible assets of \$4.2 million, including, among others, covenants not-to-compete of \$0.8 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$14.4 million), trade names of \$1.5 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$0.1 million) and licensing agreements of \$2.0 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$1.0 million), are amortized on a straight-line or accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from one to fifteen years.

F-20

Amortization expense was \$1.6 million, \$6.2 million, and \$4.6 million, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. As of December 31, 2002, estimated future amortization expense is as follows (in thousands):

2003	\$	1,015
2004		597
2005		425
2006		425
2007		425
2008 and thereafter	1	1,305
Total	\$	4,192
		,

## 8. RESTRUCTURING AND SEVERANCE OBLIGATIONS

In connection with our acquisition of CCA in 2000, we restructured or discontinued certain CCA operations and terminated certain CCA employees. Accordingly, as of April 3, 2000, we recorded a liability of approximately \$1.6 million, primarily for severance compensation and other expenses associated with programs to be discontinued. During March 2001, we increased the reserve by \$1.1 million to provide for future lease obligations that could not be renegotiated. This purchase accounting adjustment was recorded as an increase in goodwill during 2001. Through December 31, 2002, we have paid and charged against the liability approximately \$2.2 million of these restructuring and severance costs. As of December 31, 2002, the remaining liability of approximately \$0.5 million is included in other accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In connection with our acquisition of EduTrek in 2001, we restructured certain operations of EduTrek and terminated certain EduTrek employees. On the date of acquisition, we recorded a liability of approximately \$1.3 million for severance compensation and a liability of approximately \$4.8 million for restructuring costs associated with the previous owners' decision to close EduTrek's Washington D.C. location, including future lease obligations and estimated future operating losses associated with our contractual obligation to teach students previously enrolled in the school prior to closure. Through December 31, 2001, substantially all of our severance obligation had been paid and charged against the severance reserve. As of December 31, 2002, we have paid and charged against the restructuring reserve approximately \$4.2 million of estimated restructuring costs, and the remaining restructuring reserve of approximately \$0.6 million is included in other accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In connection with our acquisition of PCI in 2001, we recorded a \$1.1 million reserve for minimum future lease obligations related to dormitory space not utilized and not expected to provide any future benefit. As of December 31, 2002, approximately \$0.7 million of this obligation has been paid, and the remaining obligation of approximately \$0.4 million is included in other accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

#### 9. LONG-TERM CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

In December 2001, in connection with our acquisition of PCI, we recorded a \$5.1 million liability representing our preliminary estimate of the present value of rental payments due, beginning in 2008, under a pre-existing long-term contractual obligation for which we will receive no future benefit. In the fourth quarter of 2002, upon the finalization of our purchase price allocation, we recorded a purchase

F-21

accounting adjustment of \$4.1 million to reflect a change in our estimate of the liability existing as of the December 3, 2001 acquisition date. The ultimate amount to be paid in connection with this long-term contractual obligation is subject to ongoing negotiations, the outcome of which is uncertain at this time. Based upon the final resolution of such negotiations, the actual liability could vary from the amount recorded as of December 31, 2002.

As of December 31, 2002, the \$9.7 million carrying value of this unsecured, non-interest bearing liability, including interest accretion recorded during 2002 of \$0.5 million, is reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as a long-term contractual obligation.

#### 10. DEBT

Our debt as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, consists of the following:

2002 2001		
2002		2001
(In tho	usan	ds)
\$ 27,000	\$	78,000
5,243		10,926
526		996
32,769		89,922
30,083		44,369
\$	2002 (In thou \$ 27,000 5,243 526 32,769	\$ 27,000 \$ 5,243 526 32,769

 Decem	ber 3	31,
\$ 2,686	\$	45,55

From May 30, 1997 through December 19, 2002, we maintained a credit agreement with a consortium of banks that was amended from time to time. The amended credit agreement allowed us to borrow up to \$90.0 million under a revolving credit facility and obtain up to \$50.0 million in standby letters of credit. On December 19, 2002, we prepaid in full and terminated our amended credit agreement and entered in a new unsecured credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a syndicate of financial institutions, represented by, among others, an administrative agent. Costs incurred in connection with the prepayment and early termination of our amended credit agreement were not material.

Under our Credit Agreement, we may borrow up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$200.0 million in U.S. dollars and various foreign currencies under a revolving credit facility and obtain up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$100.0 million in standby letters of credit in U.S. dollars and various foreign currencies. Outstanding letters of credit reduce the availability of borrowings under the revolving credit facility. At any time during the three-year period after December 19, 2002 (provided no default under the Credit Agreement then exists), we may, upon notice to, but without the consent of, the administrative agent and the lenders, increase the revolving credit facility under our Credit Agreement up to the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$275.0 million. Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions precedent under the Credit Agreement, we may prepay outstanding loans under the Credit Agreement at any time and without penalty. The stated maturity of our Credit Agreement is December 19, 2007.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at variable rates per annum that are tied to the prime rate and the eurocurrency rate as follows: (1) the higher of the administrative agent's prime rate or the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points, plus a specified number of basis points, ranging from

F-22

0 to 50 (based on our consolidated leverage ratio), or (2) the British Bankers Association Interest Settlement Rate ("eurocurrency rate"), plus a specified number of basis points, ranging from 75 to 150 (based upon our consolidated leverage ratio).

As of December 31, 2002, we had outstanding revolving loans totaling \$27.0 million and letters of credit totaling \$12.1 million. Approximately \$2.8 million of the total outstanding letters of credit are being used to satisfy certain Department of Education financial responsibility requirements. Availability under our Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2002, is \$160.9 million. Weighted average daily revolving credit borrowings during 2002 and 2001, respectively, were approximately \$11.5 million and \$20.2 million. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the weighted average annual interest rate of borrowings under the Credit Agreement and the amended credit agreement that terminated on December 19, 2002, respectively, was 4.25% and 3.74%.

Our domestic subsidiaries, jointly and severally, have guaranteed repayment of obligations under the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, we are limited in our ability to take certain actions, including, among other things, consummating certain acquisitions or mergers, paying cash dividends, selling or disposing of certain assets or subsidiaries, incurring other debt in excess of specified amounts, prepaying other debt, and making certain investments. We are also required to satisfy certain financial covenants on a periodic basis, including the maintenance of a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 2.50:1 (during the current period), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.50:1, a minimum level of consolidated net worth, and a minimum annual consolidated Department of Education financial responsibility composite score of 1.50. As of December 31, 2002, we are in compliance with the covenants of our Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2002, future annual principal payments of debt are as follows (in thousands):

2003	\$	30,083
2004		1,968
2005		1,968 375
2006		107
2007		117
2008	<u> </u>	119
Total	\$	32,769

## 11. STOCK OPTION PLANS

We maintain stock option plans for our directors, officers, employees, and consultants. Under these plans, we may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, deferred stock and other awards that are convertible into shares of common stock upon exercise. Stock options may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options. No stock option or appreciation right is exercisable more than ten years after the date of grant. At December 31, 2002, the plans have reserved approximately 5.9 million shares of common stock for the exercise of options outstanding at December 31, 2002, and approximately 1.6 million additional shares of common stock for future stock option awards under the plans.

F-23

Stock option activity under all of our stock option plans is as follows:

	Shares		Exercise Price Range		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding as of December 31, 1999	4,925,188	\$	0.01 - 9.32	\$	5.68
Granted	1,610,000		8.66 - 16.00		11.98
Exercised	(629,602)		0.01 - 7.60		1.84
Cancelled	(110,640)		4.00 - 12.00		6.86
Outstanding as of December 31, 2000	5,794,946		0.01 - 16.00		7.83
Granted	1,809,500		8.06 - 33.98		25.08
Exercised	(1,557,474)		0.97 - 16.00		5.98
Cancelled	(132,104)		3.68 - 25.25		12.57
Outstanding as of December 31, 2001	5,914,868		0.97 - 33.98		13.49
Granted	1,383,400		30.47 - 51.04		42.96
Exercised	(1,259,465)		0.97 - 30.79		9.55
Cancelled	(160,700)		4.00 - 45.34		27.79
Outstanding as of December 31, 2002	5,878,103	\$	0.97 - 51.04	\$	20.88
Stock options exercisable at					
December 31, 2000	1,499,832	\$	0.01 - 16.00	\$	5.66
Beccinioci 31, 2000	1,199,032	Ψ	0.01 10.00	Ψ	3.00
December 31, 2001	1,212,614	\$	0.97 - 25.25	\$	8.43
December 31, 2002	1,569,967	\$	0.97 - 45.34	\$	12.94

The following table summarizes information about all stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2002:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable			
Exercise Price Ranges	Number Outstanding as of December 31, 2002	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2002	Weighted Average Exercise Price		

		Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
\$ 0.97 - 4.00	199,161	\$	3.91	5.02	76,681	\$	3.91
\$ 4.94 - 9.92	1,719,492		7.45	6.37	729,612	Ļ	7.70
\$12.00 - 16.00	1,116,500		12.27	7.51	431,500	)	12.29
\$20.47 - 29.65	1,488,050		25.07	8.37	289,800	)	25.11
\$30.79 - 38.84	202,000		35.12	9.16	6,375		31.94
\$41.38 - 51.04	1,152,900		44.24	9.39	35,999		44.69
\$ 0.97 - 51.04	5,878,103	\$	20.88	7.74	1,569,967	\$	12.94
			F-24				

The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The weighted average fair value of the options granted during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, and assumptions used to value the options are as follows:

	 For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2002		2001		2000
Dividend yield					
Risk-free interest rate	3.0%	,	5.0%		6.5%
Volatility	50%	,	63%		60%
Expected life (in years)	4		5		7
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 18.05	\$	14.51	\$	6.88

Pro forma net income and earnings per share assuming we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, are disclosed in Note 3.

## 12. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, consists of the following:

		For the Year Ended December 31,					
		2002		2001		2000	
			(In	thousands)			
Current provision (credit)							
Federal	\$	43,470	\$	15,524	\$	9,618	
State and local		5,331		2,265		2,482	
Foreign		(1,530)		426		859	
Total current provision		47,271		18,215		12,959	
Deferred provision (credit)							
Federal		(457)		11,207		3,172	
State and local		(39)		1,921		734	
Foreign		113		39		457	
Total deferred provision (credit)	_	(383)		13,167		4,363	
Total provision for income taxes	\$	46,888	\$	31,382	\$	17,322	

For the Year Ended December 31,

During 2002, 2001 and 2000, we recognized a tax benefit of approximately \$14.3 million, 16.1 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively, in connection with stock options exercised during the year.

F-25

A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows:

For	the	Year	End	led
I	<b>Dece</b>	mber	31,	

	2002	2001	2000
Statutory U.S. federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Foreign taxes	0.1	(0.1)	0.9
State and local income taxes, net of Federal benefit	3.0	3.9	5.3
Nondeductible goodwill amortization		1.7	1.3
Other	2.9	4.5	1.3
Effective income tax rate	41.0%	45.0%	43.8%

Components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, are as follows:

	D	December 31,				
	2002	2001				
	(In	n thousands)				
Deferred income tax assets:						
Tax net operating loss carry forwards	\$ 13,2	238 \$ 8,531				
Covenants not-to-compete	3,1	150 4,357				
Deferred rent obligation	1,3	1,007				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	8	325 2,754				
Accrued restructuring and severance	(	510 1,567				
Other	2,8	866 807				
Total deferred income tax assets	21,9	996 19,023				
Deferred income tax liabilities:						
Depreciation and amortization	19,2	275 17,950				
Operating lease	(	586 4,456				
Other	8	386 469				
Total deferred income tax liabilities	20,8	347 22,875				
Net deferred income tax asset (liability)	\$ 1,1	(3,852)				

We have assumed certain tax net operating loss carry forwards in connection with our business acquisitions. At December 31, 2002, we have federal and state tax net operating loss carry forwards totaling approximately \$32.6 million and \$27.9 million, respectively, that begin to expire in 2010. We have not recorded a valuation allowance with respect to our deferred income tax assets because we believe that such assets will be realized in the future.

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Litigation

We are subject to occasional lawsuits, investigations and claims arising out of the normal conduct of our business. In certain cases, claims against acquired businesses relating to events that occurred

F-26

during periods when we did not own the businesses are indemnified by the former owners. We do not believe that the outcome of any pending claims will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

#### Leases

We rent most of our facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2023. The facility leases require us to make monthly payments covering rent, taxes, insurance and maintenance costs.

As of December 31, 2002, future minimum lease payments under capital leases and operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

	Capital Leases		Operating Leases		Total		
2003	\$	3,182	\$	56,479	\$	59,661	
2004		1,844		53,462		55,306	
2005		419		50,571		50,990	
2006		132		49,704		49,836	
2007		132		46,830		46,962	
2008 and thereafter		124		284,225		284,349	
	\$	5,833	\$	541,271	\$	547,104	
Less Portion representing interest at a weighted average annual rate of $8.80\%$		590					
Principal payments		5,243					
Less Current portion		2,753					
	\$	2,490					

#### **Licensing Agreement**

We have an agreement with Le Cordon Bleu Limited that gives us the exclusive right to use the Le Cordon Bleu trade name in the United States and Canada. Under this agreement, we pay Le Cordon Bleu Limited royalties based on eligible culinary revenues collected from students enrolled in Le Cordon Bleu culinary programs at our schools. Royalty expense during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, was approximately \$6.9 million, \$5.4 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively. The licensing agreement terminates on December 31, 2008, but can be renewed for two successive five-year terms if certain conditions are met.

Effective August 30, 2002, the agreement was amended to reduce the royalty rate payable on eligible culinary revenues from eight percent to two percent for the period from September 1, 2002, to December 31, 2008. On August 30, 2002, as consideration for the reduction of the royalty rate, we paid Le Cordon Bleu Limited a one-time royalty fee of \$40.0 million and issued to Le Cordon Bleu Limited an option to

purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock. The option is immediately exercisable at an exercise price per share of \$39.20 and expires on August 29, 2012.

F-27

The fair value of the option of approximately \$3.0 million was estimated on the date of the grant based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used to estimate the value of the option are as follows:

Dividend yield	
Risk-free interest rate	4.0%
Volatility	50%
Contractual life (in years)	10

The cash and equity consideration paid to Le Cordon Bleu Limited totaling approximately \$43.0 million was recorded as a prepaid asset, approximately \$35.6 million of which is classified as non-current as of December 31, 2002, and is being amortized to educational services and facilities expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the license agreement.

#### 14. REGULATORY

Our U.S. schools are subject to extensive regulation by federal and state governmental agencies and accrediting bodies. In particular, the Higher Education Act ("HEA") and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the Department of Education (DOE) subject our U.S. schools to significant regulatory scrutiny on the basis of numerous standards that schools must satisfy in order to participate in the various federal student financial assistance programs under Title IV of the HEA ("Title IV Programs").

To participate in the Title IV Programs, an institution must be authorized to offer its programs of instruction by the relevant agencies of the state in which it is located, accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the DOE and certified as eligible by the DOE. The DOE will certify an institution to participate in the Title IV Programs only after the institution has demonstrated compliance with the HEA and the DOE's extensive regulations regarding institutional eligibility. An institution must also demonstrate its compliance with these requirements to the DOE on an ongoing basis.

Political and budgetary concerns significantly affect the Title IV Programs. The U.S. Congress must reauthorize the HEA approximately every six years. The most recent reauthorization in October 1998 reauthorized the HEA through 2003, with an automatic one-year extension if the reauthorization is not completed within that timeframe.

A significant component of the U.S. Congress' initiative to reduce abuse of the Title IV Programs has been the imposition of limitations on institutions whose former students default on the repayment of their Federally guaranteed or funded student loans above specific rates (cohort default rates). An institution whose cohort default rates under the Federal Family Education Loan ("FFEL") program or the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan ("FDL") program equal or exceed 25% for three consecutive years will no longer be eligible to participate in those programs or the Federal Pell Grant ("Pell") program. An institution whose cohort default rate under those programs for any federal fiscal year exceeds 40% may have its eligibility to participate in all of the Title IV Programs limited, suspended or terminated by the DOE.

All institutions participating in the Title IV Programs must satisfy specific standards of financial responsibility. Based on the institution's annual audited financial statements, the DOE evaluates institutions for compliance with these standards each year and following a change of ownership of the

F-28

institution. In reviewing our financial statements, it has been the DOE's practice to measure financial responsibility on the basis of the financial statements of both our individual institutions and CEC on a consolidated basis.

For purposes of measuring financial responsibility, the DOE calculates the institution's composite score based on its (i) equity ratio, which measures the institution's capital resources, ability to borrow and financial viability, (ii) primary reserve ratio, which measures the institution's ability to support current operations from expendable resources, and (iii) net income ratio, which measures the institution's ability to operate at a profit. An institution that does not meet the DOE's minimum composite score may demonstrate its financial responsibility by posting a letter of

credit in favor of the DOE in an amount equal to at least 50% of the Title IV Program funds received by the institution during its prior fiscal year or posting such letter of credit in an amount equal to at least 10% of the Title IV Program funds received by the institution during its prior fiscal year and accepting other conditions of its participation in the Title IV Programs.

The DOE also assesses the administrative capability of each institution that participates in the Title IV Programs. In addition, each institution is required to apply to the DOE for continued certification to participate in the Title IV Programs at least every six years, or when it undergoes a change of control.

When we acquire an institution that is eligible to participate in the Title IV Programs, that institution undergoes a change of ownership resulting in a change of control ("change of control"), as defined by the DOE. Upon a change of control, an institution's eligibility to participate in the Title IV Programs is subject to review, and the institution could lose its eligibility unless it can reestablish its state authorization and accreditation and satisfy the other requirements to be recertified by the DOE as an eligible institution under our ownership. If an institution is recertified following a change of control, it will be recertified on a provisional basis. Since 1998, the DOE has had the authority to provisionally and temporarily certify an institution following a change of control under certain circumstances while the DOE reviews the institution's application. The DOE has provided such temporary certification to each institution we have acquired since January 1999 within periods of time ranging from 10 to 60 days after the date of the acquisition. Each of the U.S. institutions we have acquired has undergone a certification review under our ownership and has been certified to participate in the Title IV Programs.

In Canada, there are several government programs that provide students attending eligible institutions with government sponsored financial assistance. The provisions governing an eligible institution vary by province and generally require an institution's programs qualifying for funding to meet certain rules and regulations and also to have the administration of the program independently audited.

We perform periodic reviews of our compliance with the various applicable regulatory requirements. We have not been notified by any of the various regulatory agencies of any significant non-compliance matters and believe that we are in substantial compliance with applicable regulatory requirements as of December 31, 2002.

#### 15. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We maintain a contributory profit sharing plan that provides retirement benefits for our eligible employees. The plan contains "cash or deferred arrangements" and is subject to the provisions of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan provides for matching contributions to eligible

F-29

employees. Our matching contributions were approximately \$4.0 million, \$2.8 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

We maintain an employee stock purchase plan that provides for the issuance of up to 1.0 million shares of common stock to be purchased by our eligible employees through periodic offerings. Our employees may purchase common stock through payroll deductions, not to exceed \$20,000 per person within any calendar year, at 85% of the stock's fair market value. As of December 31, 2002, employees have purchased a total of 436,710 shares under the employee stock purchase plan.

In January 2001, we established a deferred compensation plan whereby certain wages earned by plan participants are deferred and placed in a Rabbi Trust. Assets held in trust are invested in marketable securities on behalf of plan participants. We are required to include in our financial statements the net assets of the trust. Assets held in trust are accounted for as trading securities, and, accordingly, changes in the fair value of such assets are recognized as realized investment gains or losses. Changes in the fair value of the deferred compensation obligation are recorded as charges or credits to compensation expense. Contributions to the plan by plan participants during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, were approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Distributions and net realized losses during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, were not significant. The fair value of assets held in the Rabbi Trust and the accompanying deferred compensation obligation at December 31, 2002 and 2001, were approximately \$1.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, and are included in other noncurrent assets and other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

#### 16. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL SUMMARY (UNAUDITED)

2002 Quarters	First	Second	Third	Fourth

Edgar Filing: CAREER EDUCATION CORP - Form 10-K/A

First Second				Third	Fourth						
(In thousands, except per share data)											
\$	176,286	\$	178,390	\$	197,155	\$	228,228				
	19,723		17,270		24,311		51,994				
	11,965		10,328		14,172		31,007				
\$	0.27	\$	0.23	\$	0.31	\$	0.67				
	0.26		0.22		0.30		0.65				
	First		Second		Third		Fourth				
					(In thousands, except per share data)						
	(Ir	tho	ousands, exc	ept <sub>l</sub>	per share da	ta)					
\$	(Ir 123,207	tho	ousands, exc 124,673	ept j	per share da 137,939		161,240				
\$							161,240 34,086				
\$	123,207		124,673		137,939						
\$	123,207 11,127		124,673 9,035		137,939 14,574		34,086				
\$	123,207 11,127	\$	124,673 9,035	\$	137,939 14,574	\$	34,086				
·	123,207 11,127 6,282	\$	124,673 9,035 4,957	\$	137,939 14,574 7,822	\$	34,086 19,294				
	·	\$ 176,286 19,723 11,965 \$ 0.27 0.26	\$ 176,286 \$ 19,723 11,965 \$ 0.27 \$ 0.26	\$ 176,286 \$ 178,390 19,723 17,270 11,965 10,328 \$ 0.27 \$ 0.23 0.26 0.22	\$ 176,286 \$ 178,390 \$ 19,723 17,270 11,965 10,328 \$ 0.27 \$ 0.23 \$ 0.26 0.22	(In thousands, except per share da  \$ 176,286 \$ 178,390 \$ 197,155 19,723 17,270 24,311 11,965 10,328 14,172  \$ 0.27 \$ 0.23 \$ 0.31 0.26 0.22 0.30	(In thousands, except per share data)  \$ 176,286 \$ 178,390 \$ 197,155 \$ 19,723 17,270 24,311 11,965 10,328 14,172  \$ 0.27 \$ 0.23 \$ 0.31 \$ 0.26 0.22 0.30				

#### 17. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

	Balance at Beginning of Period		O	Charges to (Decrease) Operating Due to Expenses Acquisitions		Amounts Written-off		alance at End f Period	
					(In the	ousands)			
Student receivable allowance activity for the year ended December 31, 2002	\$	15,273	\$	29,051	\$	(112) \$	6 (20,614)	\$	23,598
Student receivable allowance activity for the year ended December 31, 2001		5,499		17,819		7,791	(15,836)		15,273
Student receivable allowance activity for the year ended December 31, 2000		2,723		9,235		1,845	(8,304)		5,499

#### 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 18, 2003, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Formastrat SA and its subsidiaries, also known as the INSEEC Group, for approximately \$19.8 million primarily with funds obtained under our Credit Agreement. We acquired the company primarily because of its potential for market leadership, the attractiveness of the educational markets that it serves, and its potential for strong returns on invested capital. The acquisition of the INSEEC Group provides us with a solid platform for additional expansion in Europe. We plan to promote further growth of the INSEEC Group by expanding its marketing channels and adding new programs.

We are currently in the process of allocating the purchase price to the fair market values of acquired tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities as of February 18, 2003.

QuickLinks

**EXPLANATORY NOTE** 

**TABLE OF CONTENTS** 

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES** 

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

**PART III** 

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

**ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES** 

**PART IV** 

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

SIGNATURES

**CERTIFICATION** 

**CERTIFICATION** 

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS(1)

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000