INTERPUBLIC GROUP OF COMPANIES, INC. Form DEF 14A April 18, 2008

(4)

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

		SCHEDULE 14A
		Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.
File	d by the	Registrant ý
File	d by a P	arty other than the Registrant o
Che	ck the a	ppropriate box:
o	Prelim	inary Proxy Statement
o	Confid	dential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
ý	Defini	tive Proxy Statement
o	Defini	tive Additional Materials
o	Solicit	ing Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12
		The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc.
		(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
		(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payı		Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
ý	No fee	required.
O	Fee co	mputed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

o

ree p	id previously with preliminary materials.
filing	box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration ent number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: Filing Party:

THE INTERPUBLIC GROUP OF COMPANIES, INC. 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

April 18, 2008

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., to be held at 9:30 A.M. Eastern Time, on Thursday, May 22, 2008. The meeting will be held in the Paley Center for Media, 25 West 52nd Street, New York, New York.

The business to be considered is described in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement. In addition to these matters, we will present a report on the state of our company.

We hope you will be able to attend.

Sincerely, Michael I. Roth Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

THE INTERPUBLIC GROUP OF COMPANIES, INC.

1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To Be Held May 22, 2008

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. ("Interpublic") will be held in the Paley Center for Media, 25 West 52nd Street, New York, New York, on Thursday, May 22, 2008, at 9:30 A.M., Eastern Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect ten directors;
- To confirm the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Interpublic's independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2008;
- 3. To consider and vote upon the shareholder proposal regarding the calling of special shareholder meetings;
- 4. To consider and vote upon the shareholder proposal on an advisory vote on executive compensation; and
- 5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment thereof.

The close of business on April 1, 2008 has been designated as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at this meeting and any adjournment thereof.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be held on May 22, 2008.

Interpublic's 2008 Proxy Statement and 2007 Annual Report are available electronically at http://www.interpublic.com/2008/proxymaterials

> By Order of the Board of Directors, Nicholas J. Camera Secretary

Dated: April 18, 2008

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please fill in, sign, date and promptly return the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States, or vote over the telephone or Internet. Your proxy is revocable, so that you may still vote your shares in person if you attend the meeting and wish to do so. If you wish to revoke your proxy, you will find instructions on how to do so on page 1 of this Proxy Statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Proxy Statement	1
Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners	2
Share Ownership of Management	4
Election of Directors	6
Corporate Governance Practices	8
Communications with the Board of Directors and Non-Management Directors	10
Code of Conduct	10
Meetings and Committees of the Board	10
Non-Management Director Compensation	15
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	18
Compensation Committee Report	33
Compensation of Executive Officers	34
Summary Compensation Table	34
Grants of Plan-Based Equity Awards	37
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	39
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	41
Pension Arrangements	42
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Arrangements	45
Employment Agreements, Termination of Employment and Change of Control Arrangements	48
Severance and Change of Control Benefits	53
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	59
Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	59
Audit Committee Report	61
Shareholder's Proposal Special Shareholder Meetings	62
Shareholder's Proposal Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	64
Information for Shareholders That Hold Common Stock Through a Bank or Broker	65
Information for Participants in the Interpublic Savings Plan	66
Solicitation of Proxies	66

THE INTERPUBLIC GROUP OF COMPANIES, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL

Introduction

The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. ("Interpublic", the "Company", "us", "we" or "our") is furnishing this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Interpublic of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be held in the Paley Center for Media, 25 West 52nd Street, New York, New York, at 9:30 A.M., Eastern Time, on Thursday, May 22, 2008.

Interpublic's principal executive office is located at 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036. This Proxy Statement and the enclosed form of proxy, together with Interpublic's Annual Report to Shareholders, are first being sent to shareholders on or about April 18, 2008.

Any proxy given in response to this solicitation may be revoked at any time before it has been exercised. The giving of the proxy will not affect your right to vote in person if you attend the meeting. Your proxy, whether given by the execution of the proxy, by telephone or via the Internet, may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise by giving written notice to our Secretary at The Interpublic Group of Companies Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, by delivering a later dated proxy, or by voting in person at the meeting.

If you do not attend the Annual Meeting, or if you attend and do not vote in person, the shares represented by your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions on the matters set forth in items 1 through 4. If no voting instructions are given with respect to any one or more of the items, a duly granted proxy will be voted on the uninstructed matter or matters as follows:

FOR the Board's nominees for election as directors;

FOR the confirmation of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PricewaterhouseCoopers") as Interpublic's independent registered public accounting firm for 2008;

AGAINST the shareholder resolution regarding the calling of special shareholder meetings; and

AGAINST the shareholder resolution on an advisory vote on executive compensation.

A duly granted proxy also may be voted in the discretion of the proxy holders on any other matter submitted to a vote at the meeting.

Outstanding Shares

The record date for the Annual Meeting is April 1, 2008. The outstanding capital stock of Interpublic at the close of business on April 1, 2008 consisted of 472,167,390 shares of Common Stock, and 525,000 shares of 5.25% Series B Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock"). Holders of Interpublic's Common Stock are the only security holders entitled to vote at this meeting of shareholders. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote on each matter that is submitted to a vote of shareholders at the meeting.

SHARE OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth information concerning direct and indirect beneficial ownership of Interpublic's Common Stock as of December 31, 2007 by persons known to Interpublic to have beneficial ownership of more than 5% of the Common Stock:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock(1)	Percent of Class
Dodge & Cox(2) 555 California Street, 40 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	48,896,585	10.40%
Franklin Resources, Inc.(3) One Franklin Parkway San Mateo, CA 94403	54,890,306	11.60%
Hotchkis and Wiley Capital Management, LLC(4) 725 S. Figueroa Street 39 th Fl Los Angeles, CA 90017	35,299,210	7.50%
Lord Abbett & Co. LLC(5) 90 Hudson Street Jersey City, NJ 07302	38,106,682	8.08%
UBS AG(6) Bahnhofstrasse 45 PO Box CH-8021 Zurich, Switzerland	51,725,395	11.00%

- The rules of the Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC") deem a person to be the beneficial owner of a security (for purposes of proxy statement disclosure) if that person has or shares either or both voting or dispositive power with respect to such security. Additionally, a security is deemed to be beneficially owned by a person who has the right to acquire beneficial ownership of the security within 60 days, for example through the conversion of notes or preferred stock.
- This disclosure is based on information supplied by Dodge & Cox ("Dodge") in an Amendment No. 3 to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2008, in which Dodge reported that it is an investment adviser that has sole voting power with respect to 46,544,485 shares and shared voting power with respect to 96,300 shares of Common Stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 48,896,585 shares of Common Stock.
- (3)

 This disclosure is based on information supplied by Franklin Resources, Inc. ("Franklin") in an Amendment No. 3 to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 8, 2008, in which Franklin reported that it is a holding company of a group of investment management companies that in the aggregate have sole voting power with respect to 46,925,283 shares of Common Stock and sole

dispositive power with respect to 47,417,588 and shared dispositive power with respect to 225,845 shares of Common Stock.

- (4) This disclosure is based on information supplied by Hotchkis and Wiley Capital Management, LLC ("Hotchkis") in an Amendment No. 1 to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2008, in which Hotckis reported that it is an investment adviser that has sole voting power with respect to 21,758,610 shares of Common Stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 35,299,210 shares of Common Stock.
- (5)
 This disclosure is based on information supplied by Lord, Abbett & Co. LLC ("Lord Abbett") in an Amendment No. 2 to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2008, in which Lord Abbett reported that it is an investment adviser that has sole voting power with respect to 36,780,182 shares of Common Stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 38,106,682 shares of Common Stock.
- This disclosure is based on information supplied by UBS AG ("UBS") in an Amendment No. 1 to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 10, 2008, in which UBS reported that it is a holding company of a group of investment management companies that in the aggregate have sole voting power with respect to 45,371,770 shares of Common Stock and shared dispositive power with respect to 51,725,395 shares of Common Stock.

SHARE OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information concerning the direct and indirect beneficial ownership of Interpublic's Common Stock as of April 1, 2008 by each director, each nominee for election as a director, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table below, and all directors and executive officers of Interpublic as a group:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock Ownership(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)	Options Exercisable Within 60 Days	Total
Frank J. Borelli	35,193	13,010	48,203
Reginald K. Brack	53,193	13,010	66,203
Jocelyn Carter-Miller	9,024	15,010	9,024
Jill M. Considine	33,693	13,010	46,703
John J. Dooner, Jr.	819,878	920,222	1,740,100
Richard A. Goldstein	30,924	6,000	36,924
H. John Greeniaus	112,960	4,000	116,960
Mary J. Steele Guilfoile	9,024	0	9,024
William T. Kerr	40,093	0	40,093
Philippe Krakowsky	83,864	72,205	156,069
Frank Mergenthaler	199,314	66,585	265,899
Michael I. Roth	614,687	424,402	1,039,089
J. Phillip Samper	45,175	13,010	58,185
Timothy A. Sompolski	76,955	85,482	162,437
David M. Thomas	22,393	0	22,393
All directors and executive officers as a group			
(17 persons)	2,302,782	1,912,750	4,220,532

- The rules of the SEC deem a person to be the beneficial owner of a security (for purposes of proxy statement disclosure) if that person has or shares either or both voting or dispositive power with respect to such security. Additionally, a security is deemed to be beneficially owned by a person who has the right to acquire beneficial ownership thereof within 60 days, for example through the exercise of a stock option. Common Stock ownership set forth in this table includes unvested shares of restricted stock awarded under any of the 2006 Performance Incentive Plan, 2004 Performance Incentive Plan, the 2002 Performance Incentive Plan, the 1997 Performance Incentive Plan, the Interpublic Outside Directors' Stock Incentive Plan and the Interpublic Non-Management Directors' Stock Incentive Plan due to the right of the persons identified to exercise voting power with respect to the shares. Except as otherwise indicated, each person has sole voting and sole dispositive power over the shares indicated as beneficially owned.
- (2)
 No individual identified in the table has, nor do Interpublic's directors and executive officers as a group have, beneficial ownership of more than 1% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.
- (3) Includes for Mr. Goldstein 1,600 shares held by his spouse in a trust.
- (4) No executive officer or director of Interpublic has pledged as security any shares of Common Stock.
- (5)

 No executive officer or director of Interpublic is a beneficial owner of any (i) shares of the Series B Preferred Stock or (ii) of Interpublic's outstanding convertible notes.

Voting

Each director shall be elected by a majority of the votes cast "for" his or her election.

Interpublic's by-laws provide that the election of each director requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the matter, except that in a contested election where the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. Accordingly, at the 2008 Annual Meeting, a nominee will be elected as a director only if the holders of a majority of the shares present and entitled to vote cast votes "for" his or her election. In accordance with Interpublic's by-laws, any incumbent nominee who fails to receive the necessary vote "for" his or her election is required to resign from the Board no later than 120 days after the date of the certification of the election results.

Approval of Items 2 through 4 will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the matter. Interpublic's transfer agent tabulates the votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes are each tabulated separately and are counted as shares present for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum present for the conduct of business at the Annual Meeting. For Items 2 through 4, shares that are the subject of an abstention are included as shares entitled to vote on the matter and, therefore, have the same effect as a vote against the matter, and shares, if any, that are the subject of a broker non-vote with respect to a particular matter are not included as shares entitled to vote on that matter.

Shareholder Proposals To Be Presented At 2009 Annual Meeting

Proposals of shareholders intended to be presented at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 21, 2009, must be received by Interpublic by December 29, 2008, and must comply with applicable SEC regulations, in order to be considered for inclusion in Interpublic's Proxy Statement and form of proxy relating to that meeting. If notice of a proposal intended to be presented at the Annual Meeting is not received by Interpublic before March 21, 2009, the persons named as proxies in Interpublic's 2009 proxy material will have the discretionary authority to vote on the matter in accordance with their best judgment without disclosure in the proxy statement of such matter or of how the proxy holders intend to exercise their discretionary authority to vote on the matter.

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, has nominated the individuals listed below as its candidates for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. Persons elected as directors at the Annual Meeting will hold office until the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and qualify or until their earlier death, resignation or removal. Certain biographical information concerning each of the nominees is provided below. All of the nominees are currently serving as directors of Interpublic. The Board of Directors believes that each of the nominees will be available and able to serve as a director. However, if for any reason any of the nominees is unable to serve, all proxies will be voted for the remainder of the nominees and, unless the size of the Board of Directors is reduced, for a replacement nominee designated by the Board of Directors having due regard for any recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee.

J. Phillip Samper, after 18 years of distinguished service, has announced his intention to retire from the Board at the Annual Meeting.

The following information with respect to the principal occupation or employment, recent employment history, age and directorships in other companies is as of March 15, 2008, and has been furnished or confirmed to Interpublic by the respective nominees.

FRANK J. BORELLI has been a Senior Adviser to Stone Point Capital, a former wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. ("Marsh & McLennan") since his retirement on January 2, 2001. Prior to that time he was Senior Vice President of Marsh & McLennan from January through December 2000 and was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1984 through 1999. He is a director of Express Scripts, Inc. and Genworth Financial, Inc. and was a director of Marsh & McLennan until September 30, 2000. Mr. Borelli has been a director of Interpublic since 1995. Age 72.

REGINALD K. BRACK is the Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Time, Inc. From September 1994 to June 1997, Mr. Brack was Chairman of Time, Inc. and was its Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer from December 1986 until August 1994. Mr. Brack also serves on the Board of Directors of Quebecor World, Inc. Mr. Brack has been a director of Interpublic since 1996. Age 70.

JOCELYN CARTER-MILLER is President of TechEdVentures, a firm that develops and manages charter schools and community-based programs. Ms. Carter-Miller was Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of Office Depot, Inc. from February 2002 until March 2004. Prior to that time, Ms. Carter-Miller was Corporate Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of Motorola, Inc. from February 1999 until February 2002. Ms. Carter-Miller serves on the Board of Directors of The Principal Financial Group, Inc. Ms. Carter-Miller is also a former board member of the Association of National Advertisers. Ms. Carter-Miller has been a director of Interpublic since July 2007. Age 50.

JILL M. CONSIDINE has been Senior Advisor of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation and its subsidiaries (securities depository and clearing house) since August 2007, having served as Chairman from August 2006 to August 2007, and as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from January 1999 to August 2006. The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation is a holding company that is the parent of various securities clearing corporations and The Depository Trust Company which is a large securities depository limited purpose trust company and clearing corporation. She was President of the New York Clearing House Association, L.L.C. from 1993 to 1998. Ms. Considine served as a Managing Director, Chief Administrative Officer and as a member of the Board of Directors of American Express Bank Ltd., from 1991 to 1993. She also serves on the Board of Directors of Atlantic Mutual Insurance Companies, Ambac Financial Group, Inc. and Fulcrum Group, Ltd. Ms. Considine has been a director of Interpublic since February 1997. Age 63.

RICHARD A. GOLDSTEIN retired as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of International Flavors & Fragrances Inc. (IFF) in May 2006 after serving in that position for six years. Prior to his six years leading IFF, Mr. Goldstein served for 25 years in key executive positions at Unilever, including as

Business Group President of Unilever North American Foods from 1996 to June 2000 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Unilever United States, Inc. from 1989 to June 2000. Mr. Goldstein is also a Director of Fiduciary Trust Company International and Fortune Brands. Mr. Goldstein has been a director of Interpublic since 2001. Age 66.

H. JOHN GREENIAUS has been President of G-Force, Inc. since 1998. He was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Nabisco, Inc. from 1993 through 1997. Prior to 1993, Mr. Greeniaus held various marketing and general management positions in the U.S., Canada, and the U.K. with Nabisco, PepsiCo, J. Walter Thompson and Procter & Gamble. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Primedia Inc. Mr. Greeniaus has been a director of Interpublic since December 2001. Age 63.

MARY J. STEELE GUILFOILE is currently Chairman of MG Advisors, Inc., a privately owned financial services merger and acquisitions advisory and consulting firm. From 2000 to 2002, Ms. Guilfoile was Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer at JPMorgan Chase. Ms. Guilfoile was Partner, CFO and COO of The Beacon Group, a private equity, strategic advisory and wealth management partnership, from 1996 through 2000. Ms. Guilfoile continues as a partner in the ongoing investment arm of The Beacon Group. Ms. Guilfoile also serves on the Board of Directors of Valley National Bancorp. Ms. Guilfoile has been a director of Interpublic since October 2007. Age 54.

WILLIAM T. KERR has been Chairman of Meredith Corporation since 1998. He was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Meredith Corporation from 1998 to 2006. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of Meredith Corporation from 1997 to 1998. Mr. Kerr served as President and Chief Operating Officer for Meredith Corporation from 1994 through 1997 and as Vice President of Meredith Corporation and President of its Magazine Group from 1991 through 1994. Prior to that time, Mr. Kerr served as Vice President of The New York Times Company and President of its magazine group, a position he held since 1984. Mr. Kerr also serves on the Board of Directors of the Principal Financial Group, the Whirlpool Corporation and Arbitron, Inc. Mr. Kerr has been a director of Interpublic since October 2006. Age 66.

MICHAEL I. ROTH became Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Interpublic, effective January 19, 2005. Prior to that time Mr. Roth served as Chairman of the Board of Interpublic from July 13, 2004 to January 2005. Mr. Roth served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The MONY Group Inc. from February 1994 to June 2004. Mr. Roth also serves on the Board of Directors of Pitney Bowes Inc. and Gaylord Entertainment Company. Mr. Roth has been a director of Interpublic since February 2002. Age 62.

DAVID M. THOMAS retired as executive chairman of IMS Health Inc. ("IMS") in March 2006, after serving in that position since January 2005. From November 2000 until January 2005, Mr. Thomas served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of IMS. Prior to joining IMS, Mr. Thomas was Senior Vice President and Group Executive of IBM from January 1998 to July 2000. Mr. Thomas also serves on the Board of Directors of Fortune Brands Inc. Mr. Thomas has been a director of Interpublic since October 2004. Age 59.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Interpublic has a strong commitment to sustaining sound corporate governance practices. Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines are available free of charge on Interpublic's website at http://www.interpublic.com or by writing to The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, Attention: Secretary.

Director Independence

In accordance with New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards (the "NYSE Listing Standards"), the Board annually evaluates the independence of each member of the Board of Directors under the independence standards set forth in Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines, and under the applicable rules of the NYSE Listing Standards. Interpublic's Director Independence Standards are included in Interpublic's "Corporate Governance Guidelines" available at the website noted above.

Interpublic has eleven directors, one of whom, Michael I. Roth, is an employee of Interpublic (who is referred to in this Proxy Statement as the "Management Director") and ten of whom are not employees of Interpublic or its subsidiaries (those non-employee directors are referred to in this Proxy Statement as "Non-Management Directors" or "Outside Directors"). Of the ten Non-Management Directors, the Corporate Governance Committee has determined, at its meeting held on February 28, 2007, that Mss. Carter-Miller, Considine and Guilfoile and Messrs. Brack, Goldstein, Greeniaus, Kerr, Samper and Thomas are each independent directors under its Corporate Governance Guidelines and the NYSE Listing Standard. Under the NYSE Listing Standards, Mr. Borelli is deemed not to be independent because his son is a principal of Deloitte & Touche, a firm Interpublic has used to support a number of internal audit functions. Mr. Borelli's son is not a certified public accountant and is not engaged in providing services to Interpublic. All of the members of the Compensation Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Audit Committee are independent directors.

Meeting of Independent Directors

The NYSE Listing Standards require that if the group of Non-Management Directors includes one or more directors that are not independent, then at least once annually, the Non-Management Directors should hold an executive session that includes only independent directors. The Board held an executive session of its independent directors on March 27, 2008. Mr. Goldstein served as the Chairperson of the executive session.

Director Selection Process

The Corporate Governance Committee is charged with the responsibilities described in this Proxy Statement below under the heading "Principal Committees of the Board of Directors Corporate Governance Committee."

One of the Committee's responsibilities is to identify and recommend to the Board candidates for election as directors. The Committee considers candidates suggested by its members, other directors, senior management and shareholders as necessary in anticipation of upcoming director elections or due to Board vacancies. The Committee is given broad authorization to retain, at the expense of Interpublic, external legal, accounting or other advisers including search firms to identify candidates and to perform "background reviews" of potential candidates. The Committee is expected to provide guidance to search firms it retains about the particular qualifications the Board is then seeking.

In 2007 Mss. Jocelyn Carter-Miller and Mary J. Steele Guilfoile were each elected by the Board to become members of the Board of Directors of Interpublic. Interpublic paid a fee to professional search

firm Spencer Stuart & Associates to identify and assist the Committee in identifying, evaluating and conducting due diligence on potential nominees, and such firm identified Mss. Carter-Miller and Guilfoile.

All director candidates, including those recommended by shareholders, are evaluated on the same basis. Candidates are considered in light of the entirety of their credentials, including:

their business and professional achievements, knowledge, experience and background, particularly in light of the principal current and prospective businesses of Interpublic and the strategic challenges facing Interpublic and its industry as a whole;

their integrity and independence of judgment;

their ability and willingness to devote sufficient time to Board duties;

their qualifications for membership on one or more of the committees of the Board;

their potential contribution to the diversity and culture of the Board;

their educational background;

their independence from management under NYSE Listing Standards and Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines;

the needs of the Board and Interpublic; and

the Board's policies regarding the number of boards on which a director may sit, director tenure, retirement and succession as set out in Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

In determining the needs of the Board and Interpublic, the Committee considers the qualifications of sitting directors and consults with other members of the Board (including as part of the Board's annual self-evaluation), the CEO and other members of senior management and, where appropriate, external advisers. All directors are expected to exemplify the highest standards of personal and professional integrity and to assume the responsibility of challenging management through their active and constructive participation and questioning in meetings of the Board and its various committees, as well as in less formal contacts with management.

Director candidates, other than sitting directors, are interviewed by members of the Committee and by other directors, the CEO and other key management personnel, and the results of those interviews are considered by the Committee in its deliberations. The Committee also reviews sitting directors who are considered potential candidates for re-election, in light of the above considerations and their past contributions to the Board.

Shareholders wishing to recommend a director candidate to the Committee for its consideration should write to the Committee, in care of its Chairperson, at The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036. Any recommendations will be considered for the next annual election of directors in 2008. A recommendation should include the proposed candidate's name, biographical data and a description of his or her qualifications in light of the criteria listed above. If Interpublic receives in a timely manner, in accordance with the SEC requirements, any recommendation of a director candidate from a shareholder, or group of shareholders, that beneficially owns more than 5% of Interpublic's Common Stock for at least one year as of the date of recommendation, as determined under SEC rules, Interpublic will disclose in its proxy statement the names of the recommending shareholder(s) and the proposed candidate if the shareholder (or each member of the group) and the candidate consent in writing to that disclosure.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND NON-MANAGEMENT DIRECTORS

Interested parties may contact Interpublic's Board of Directors, or the Non-Management Directors as a group, at the following address:

Board of Directors or Non-Management Directors, as applicable The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Communications may also be sent to individual directors at the above address. Communications to the Board, the Non-Management Directors or to any individual director that relate to Interpublic's accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will also be referred to the Chairperson of the Audit Committee. Other communications will be referred to the Presiding Director (whose responsibilities are described below) or the appropriate committee chairperson.

CODE OF CONDUCT

Interpublic has adopted a code of ethics, known as the Code of Conduct, which applies to all employees of Interpublic and its subsidiaries and affiliates. Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that members of the Board of Directors and officers (which includes Interpublic's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller and other persons performing similar functions) must comply with the Code of Conduct. In addition, the Corporate Governance Guidelines state that the Board will not waive any provision of the Code of Conduct for any Director or executive officer. The Code of Conduct, including future amendments, is available free of charge on Interpublic's website at http://www.interpublic.com or by writing to The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, Attention: Secretary.

MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

Board Structure and Committees

Interpublic has eleven members of the Board of Directors consisting of one Management Director and ten Non-Management Directors. The standing committees of the Board consist of the Executive Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Audit Committee. The activities of the Compensation Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Audit Committee are each governed by a charter that is available free of charge on Interpublic's website at http://www.interpublic.com or by writing to The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, Attention: Secretary. A description of the responsibilities of each standing Committee of the Board is provided in this Proxy Statement below under the heading "Principal Committees of the Board of Directors."

Attendance at Board of Directors and Committee Meetings

The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that each director is expected to prepare for, attend and participate in, at least 75% of all regularly scheduled and special meetings of the Board, absent special circumstances. The Board of Directors of Interpublic held 6 meetings in 2007 and committees of the Board held a total of 18 meetings. During 2007, each director attended 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and committees on which he or she served.

Attendance at Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Interpublic does not have a specific policy for attendance by directors at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. However, each current director who was a director at the time of the 2007 Annual Meeting attended the meeting.

Principal Committees of The Board of Directors

The table below provides 2007 membership information for each of the Board Committees.

Name	Audit	Compensation	Corporate Governance	Executive
				37
Frank Borelli				X
Reginald K. Brack		X*	X	X
Jocelyn Carter-Miller		X		
Jill M. Considine		X	X*	X
Richard A. Goldstein**	X^*		X	X
H. John Greeniaus	X	X		
Mary J. Steele Guilfoile	X			
William T. Kerr	X	X		
Michael I. Roth				X*
J. Phillip Samper	X		X	
David Thomas	X		X	
Number of Meetings Held in 2007	8	5	5	0

*

Chair

**

Presiding Director

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee is authorized, when the Board of Directors is not in session, to exercise all powers of the Board of Directors which, under Delaware law and the by-laws of Interpublic, may properly be delegated to a committee, except certain powers that have been delegated to other committees of the Board of Directors or reserved for the Board of Directors itself. Due to the frequency in number of meetings of the Board and other committees of the Board, the Executive Committee did not hold any meetings in 2007.

Corporate Governance Committee

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for recommending to the Board of Directors the persons to be nominated for election to the Board of Directors and the membership and chairman of each Board committee. The other responsibilities of the Corporate Governance Committee include the establishment of criteria for membership on the Board and its committees, the review and recommendation to the Board as to the independence of Non-Management Directors under the standards set forth in Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the NYSE Listing Standards, the evaluation on an annual basis of the collective performance of the Board and the Board's committees, the recommendation to the Board of compensation and benefits for Non-Management Directors, and the review, the continual assessment and the recommendation to the Board of the best practices in corporate governance matters generally. The Corporate Governance Committee held five meetings in 2007.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to (i) the annual financial information to be provided to shareholders and the SEC; (ii) the system of internal controls that management has established; and (iii) the internal and external audit processes. In addition, the Audit Committee provides an avenue for communication among internal audit, the independent auditors, financial management and the Board. The Audit Committee also is responsible for the selection and retention of Interpublic's independent auditors and the review of their compensation, subject to approval of the Board of Directors. Specific activities of the Committee are described in the Audit Committee Report below. Each member of the Audit Committee is a Non-Management Director and is independent in accordance with the standards set forth in Interpublic's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the NYSE Listing Standards. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. The Audit Committee held eight meetings in 2007.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the adoption and periodic review of a remuneration strategy for Interpublic and its subsidiaries, which ensures that executive compensation for key senior executives is designed to incentivize and reward long-term growth, profitability and return to shareholders.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for approving the compensation paid to senior executives of Interpublic and its subsidiaries. For these purposes, compensation includes but is not limited to: (1) salary, (2) deferred compensation, (3) bonuses and other extra compensation of all types, including annual and long-term performance incentive awards under Interpublic's 2006 Performance Incentive Plan, (4) insurance paid for by Interpublic or any of its subsidiaries other than group plans, (5) annuities and individual retirement arrangements, (6) Executive Special Benefit Agreements, (7) Interpublic's Senior Executive Retirement Income Plan ("SERIP"), and (8) Interpublic's Capital Accumulation Plan ("CAP"). The Compensation Committee also administers the 2006 Performance Incentive Plan (and its predecessors, the 2004 Performance Incentive Plan, the 2002 Performance Incentive Plan, the Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan, the Management Incentive Compensation Plan and the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan) and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2006).

The Committee approves any newly adopted or major changes made to these plans and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to incentive-compensation plans and equity-based plans. The Committee also reviews initiatives of Interpublic and its subsidiaries to retain and develop key employees on an ongoing basis and coordinates, manages and reports to the Board on the annual performance evaluation of key executives of Interpublic. In addition, the Committee is authorized, if appropriate, to hire experts or other independent advisers or legal counsel, at Interpublic's expense, to assist the Committee in the discharge of its duties. The Compensation Committee held five meetings in 2007.

The Committee's primary processes for establishing and overseeing executive compensation can be found below in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading "Setting Compensation for the Named Executive Officers."

Presiding Director

Interpublic created the position of Presiding Director of the Board in November 2002. The Presiding Director of the Board helps to coordinate communications between the Board and management of Interpublic. Specifically, the Presiding Director convenes and chairs meetings of the Non-Management Directors, coordinates and develops the agenda for, and chairs executive sessions of, the Non-Management Directors, coordinates feedback to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer on behalf of the Non-Management Directors regarding business issues and management, and coordinates and develops with the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer the agendas and presentations for meetings

of the Board together with the informational needs associated with those agendas and presentations. Mr. Goldstein currently serves as the Presiding Director.

Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons

Interpublic's Code of Conduct requires directors and employees to avoid activities that could conflict with the interests of Interpublic, except for transactions that are disclosed and approved in advance. Interpublic has adopted a written policy (the "Related Person Transaction Policy") for approval of any transaction, agreement or relationship between Interpublic or any of its consolidated subsidiaries on the one hand, and a Related Person (a "Related Person Transaction").

Under the Related Person Transaction Policy, a "Related Person" is defined as any (i) director, nominee for election as a director, or executive officer of Interpublic or a nominee for director or any of their immediate family members (as defined by the Related Person Transaction Policy); (ii) any entity, including not-for-profit and charitable organizations, controlled by or in which any of the foregoing persons have a substantial beneficial ownership interest; or (iii) any person who is known to be, at the time of the transaction, the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the voting securities of Interpublic or an immediate family member of such person.

Under the policy, Related Person Transactions do not include any employee benefit plan, program, agreement or arrangement that has been approved by the Compensation Committee or recommended by the Compensation Committee for approval by the Board.

To facilitate compliance with the policy, the Code of Conduct requires that employees, including directors and executive officers, report circumstances that may create or appear to create a conflict between the personal interests of the individual and the interests of Interpublic, regardless of the amount involved, to Interpublic's Chief Risk Officer using Interpublic's Compliance Report Form. Each director and executive officer annually confirms to the Company certain information about related person transactions as part of the preparation of Interpublic's Annual Report on Form 10-K and its annual proxy statement. Director nominees and persons promoted to executive officer positions must also confirm such information. Management also reviews its records and makes additional inquiries of management personnel and, as appropriate, third parties and other resources for purposes of identifying related person transactions, including related person transactions involving beneficial owners of more than 5% of Interpublic's voting securities.

The Audit Committee reviews transactions subject to the Related Person Transaction Policy and determines whether or not to approve or disapprove those transactions, by examining whether or not the transactions are fair, reasonable and within Interpublic policy. The Audit Committee makes it determination, by taking into account all relevant factors and the controls implemented to protect the interests of Interpublic and its shareholders. Among the factors that the Audit Committee takes into account in determining whether a transaction is fair and reasonable, as applicable, are the following:

the benefits of the transaction to Interpublic;

the terms of the transaction and whether they are arm's-length and in the ordinary course of Interpublic's business;

the direct or indirect nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;

the size and expected term of the transaction; and

other facts and circumstances that bear on the materiality of the related person transaction under applicable law and listing

No director may participate in any consideration or approval of a Related Person Transaction with respect to which he or she or any of his or her immediate family members is the Related Person. Related

Person Transactions entered into, but not approved or ratified as required by the Related Person Transaction Policy, are subject to termination by Interpublic. If the transaction has been completed, the Audit Committee will consider if rescission of the transaction is appropriate and whether disciplinary action is warranted.

Share Ownership Guidelines

Director Share Ownership Guidelines. The Compensation Committee has adopted stock ownership guidelines for Non-Management Directors. These guidelines set the minimum ownership expectations for Non-Management Directors at a value of \$240,000, which represents three times the Directors' current annual cash retainer of \$80,000. Non-Management Directors have 5 years from their initial election to meet this guideline (or, for incumbent directors, until October 2012). Annual grants to Directors of shares of restricted stock are included in the determination of the ownership guideline amount, but stock underlying unexercised stock options held by Non-Management Directors is not included. In addition, Non-Management Directors will be required to hold all shares awarded to them (net of shares sold to meet tax requirements upon vesting) until they resign or retire from the Board. We believe that the equity component of director compensation serves to further align the Non-Management Directors with the interests of our shareholders. For information about share ownership of our Non-Management Directors, see "Non-Management Director Compensation" on page 15 and "Share Ownership of Management" on page 4.

Executive and Senior Management Share Ownership Guidelines. In 2007, the Compensation Committee adopted formal share ownership guidelines that set minimum expectations for ownership of stock by executive and senior management of Interpublic and its subsidiaries. Currently, 39 executives and members of senior management are covered under this share ownership policy. The ownership guidelines state that executives and members of senior management are expected to reach certain levels of stock ownership stated as a multiple of an executive's base salary within five years of becoming subject to the guidelines and are advised to reach the applicable level earlier. The expected level of stock ownership is as follows:

vaiue	OI	Snares	Ownea

Chief Executive Officer	5.0 × Base Salary
Executive officers and members of senior management	$2.0 \times \text{Base Salary}$
Officers and members of senior management	$0.75 \times Base Salary$

For purposes of these guidelines, stock ownership includes shares over which the executive has direct or indirect ownership or control, including shares of restricted stock and shares purchased under the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Executive and senior management are expected to meet their ownership guidelines within five years of becoming subject to the guidelines. Shares underlying unexercised stock options held by executives and senior management are not considered in determining whether these guidelines have been met. Executive and senior management are advised to hold all compensatory shares (net of taxes) until the applicable stock ownership level is reached. For information about executive stock ownership, see "Share Ownership of Management" on page 4.

NON-MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Annual Board/Committee Retainer Fees

During 2007, each Non-Management Director received as cash compensation for services rendered an annual retainer of \$80,000, and no additional compensation for attendance at Board or committee meetings.

The Chairperson of the Compensation Committee and the Chairperson of the Corporate Governance Committee each receives an additional retainer of \$7,500 per year and the Chairperson of the Audit Committee receives an additional retainer of \$10,000 per year.

Presiding Director Retainer Fees

As Presiding Director of the Board, Mr. Goldstein received an annual retainer of \$50,000 in addition to his retainer as (i) a Non-Management Director and (ii) Chairperson of the Audit Committee.

Non-Management Directors Plan

Each Non-Management Director also receives, as consideration for services rendered as a member of the Board, stock-based compensation under the Interpublic Non-Management Directors' Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved by the shareholders in 2004 (the "Non-Management Directors' Plan"). The Non-Management Directors' Plan provides for an annual grant to each Non-Management Director of share of Interpublic common stock having a market value of \$80,000 on the date of grant (the "Restricted Shares").

A recipient of Restricted Shares has all rights of ownership, including the right to vote and to receive dividends, except that, prior to the expiration of the earlier to occur of (i) the three-year period after the date of grant or (ii) the retirement of the director on or after the first anniversary of the date of grant (the "Restricted Period"), the recipient is prohibited from selling or otherwise transferring the shares.

If the recipient's service as a director terminates for any reason (including death) before the first anniversary of the date of grant of Restricted Shares, all such Restricted Shares will be forfeited.

The Corporate Governance Committee, which is responsible for the administration of the Non-Management Directors' Plan, may in its discretion direct Interpublic to make cash payments to the recipient of any Restricted Shares to assist in satisfying the federal income tax liability with respect to the receipt or vesting of any Restricted Shares awarded under the Non-Management Directors Plan. For 2007, the Corporate Governance Committee did not direct Interpublic to make any such cash payments.

On January 31, 2008, in accordance with the Non-Management Directors' Plan, each of Mss. Carter-Miller, Considine and Guilfoile and Messrs. Borelli, Brack, Goldstein, Greeniaus, Kerr, Samper and Thomas received a grant of 9,024 Restricted Shares.

Deferred Compensation Arrangement

Mr. Goldstein and Ms. Considine each has an agreement with Interpublic under which they have deferred all fees that the individual received as a director or as a member of any committee of the Board of Directors prior to 2007. The amounts deferred earn credits equivalent to 4.829% interest in accordance with the terms of Interpublic's Plan for Credits Equivalent to Interest on Balances of Deferred Compensation Owing under Employment Agreements. Payments of the amounts deferred, together with accrued interest, will be made to the director, or his or her designated beneficiaries, as the case may be, in a lump-sum upon the director's death, disability or retirement from the Board.

Both Ms. Considine and Mr. Goldstein have elected to receive all director fees on a current basis beginning with fees for services performed on and after January 1, 2007.

Outside Directors' Pension Plan

Each Non-Management Director who, as of December 31, 1995, had accumulated at least five years of service is entitled to receive an annual retirement benefit under the Interpublic Outside Directors' Pension Plan (the "Outside Directors' Pension Plan"). In general, the benefit becomes payable in the month following the month the director leaves the Board. The benefit is equal to the amount of the annual retainer paid to the director as a Board member in the year in which he or she ceased to serve as a director and will be paid for the same number of years as the director's years of service, up to a maximum of 15 years. In the event of the death of a director with a vested retirement benefit, the then present value of the director's unpaid retirement benefits will be paid to the surviving spouse or the estate of the director. Effective December 31, 1995, the Outside Directors' Pension Plan was terminated, except to the extent benefits were accrued prior to termination. Any director with fewer than five years of service on the date that the Plan was terminated is not entitled to receive any benefits under the Plan. Mr. Samper, who has over 15 years of service as a director, is the only current director entitled to receive benefits under the Outside Directors' Pension Plan.

Change in

The following table shows the compensation paid to Non-Management Directors for 2007.

Name(1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (2)(3)	Stock Awards (\$)(4)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (5)(6)	All Other Compensation (\$)(7)	Total(\$)
Frank Borelli	80,000	77,923	0	0	0	20,000	177,923
Reginald K. Brack	87,500	82,521	0	0	0	15,000	185,021
Jocelyn Carter-Miller	40,000	0	0	0	0	0	40,000
Jill M. Considine	87,500	82,521	0	0	0	17,500	187,521
Richard A. Goldstein	140,000	77,923	0	0	0	20,000	237,923
H. John Greeniaus	80,000	82,521	0	0	0	20,000	182,521
Mary J. Steele Guilfoile	20,000	0	0	0	0	0	20,000
William T. Kerr	80,000	73,324	0	0	0	15,000	168,324
J. Phillip Samper	80,000	77,923	0	0 \$	405,976	20,000	583,899
David M. Thomas	80,000	76,423	0	0	0	20,000	176,423

- (1)
 Michael Roth, Interpublic's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is not included in this table because he is an employee of Interpublic and thus receives no compensation for his services as Director. Mr. Roth's compensation as an employee of Interpublic is shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 3, and the sections that follow the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2)

 Reflects aggregate dollar amount of all fees earned or paid in cash for services as a director, including annual retainer fees and chairmanship fees and, for Mr. Goldstein, fees for service as the Presiding Director.
- (3) Ms. Carter-Miller began her term as a director on July 26, 2007 following her election by the Board of Directors. Ms. Guilfoile began her term as a director on October 25, 2007 following her election by the Board of Directors.
- Reflects the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 in accordance with SFAS 123(R), excluding estimated forfeitures, of awards pursuant to the Non-Management Directors Plan (and its predecessor, the Interpublic Outside Directors' Stock Incentive Plan) and thus may include amounts attributable to awards granted in and prior to 2007. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 14 to Interpublic's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 included in

Interpublic's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008 (the "2007 Form 10-K"). On January 31, 2007, each of the directors, other than Ms. Carter-Miller and Ms. Guilfoile, received a grant of 6,069 Restricted Shares. The "grant date fair value" of the shares awarded to the directors, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123(R), based on the average high and low shares price of Interpublic common stock (\$13.18) on the grant date, is \$80,000. The grants were made in accordance with the Non-Management Directors Plan, which is described in greater detail on page 15, under the heading "Non-Management Directors Plan."

- Ms. Considine and Mr. Goldstein each have an agreement with Interpublic under which each director deferred all fees that he or she received as a director or a member of any committee prior to 2007, which is described in greater detail on page 15, under the heading Deferred Compensation Arrangement. Ms. Considine and Mr. Goldstein each elected to end the deferral of his or her fees as of December 31, 2006. During 2007, the deferral balances earned credits equivalent to an interest rate 4.829%, and accordingly were not "above-market" or "preferential" as defined by SEC rules.
- Mr. Samper is entitled to receive benefits under the Outside Directors' Pension Plan, which is described in greater detail on page 16, under the heading "Outside Directors' Pension Plan." Mr. Samper, a director of Interpublic since 1990, achieved the maximum benefit under the Outside Directors' Pension Plan in 2005. The payments under the plan will commence the month following his retirement from the Board. As of December 31, 2007, the increased amount in the pension value for Mr. Samper is due primarily to the increase of the Board retainer fee from \$40,000 to \$80,000, effective January 1, 2007. The actuarial present value of Mr. Samper's accumulated benefit under the Outside Directors' Pension Plan" was determined using a discount rate of 6%.
- The Board of Directors has approved a program under which the Company will match charitable contributions made by members of the Board of Directors and certain senior management employees of Interpublic and its subsidiaries up to a maximum of \$20,000 that are made to eligible charities and academic institutions. The amount shown reflects the matching by the Company of charitable contributions made by Board members. The Non-Management Directors did not receive any other perquisites or other personal benefits.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee of the Board (for the purposes of this discussion and analysis, the "Committee") is responsible for establishing, implementing and continually monitoring adherence to the Company's compensation philosophy, approving compensation awarded to senior corporate and operating executives, including the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and authorizing all awards under Interpublic's 2006 Performance Incentive Plan.

Throughout this proxy statement, we refer to the individuals who served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during fiscal 2007, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34 as the "named executive officers."

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The success of our Company continues to depend on our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled individuals throughout our organization. Employees are our Company's most vital asset and most significant expense, so we must make sure our investment in this resource is disciplined and designed to drive results. Therefore, our executive compensation programs have been designed to enable us to secure the needed talent and to drive Interpublic's transformation, long-term success and the creation of shareholder value.

In selecting, evaluating and administering our compensation programs, including those that involve the named executive officers and those that apply more broadly within the Company, management and the Committee are guided by the following key principles, which the Committee confirmed at its October 2007 meeting:

Performance-based and aligned with shareholders. Our compensation programs should emphasize pay-for-performance by placing a significant portion of total compensation "at risk" by making it contingent on the achievement of performance objectives, and aligning the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders. Accordingly, revenue growth, operating margin and profit measures form the bases of the financial performance goals for our named executive officers.

Market-based. Our total compensation levels should be competitive with those at other advertising and marketing service companies and, for some executive positions, with other labor markets and with companies undergoing similar transformations.

Short- and long-term balanced. Our programs should focus on both short- and long-term results, and balance the Company's need to retain talent to ensure sustainable performance over longer periods with our industry's emphasis on base salaries and annual incentives.

Total compensation-focused. Our compensation program decisions should be made in a total pay context. In this way, we can ensure our programs work together to comprehensively support our objectives.

Disciplined. While our programs and individual pay decisions should reflect differences in job responsibilities, labor market, geographic locations and specific business needs, the overall structure of compensation and benefits programs at the senior executive levels should be consistent except in the most unique individual- or business-specific situations.

Easily understood. Our programs should clearly describe for participants the link between their pay and their direct individual accomplishments and collective contributions to the Company's achievement of its strategic and operational objectives.

Supportive of Interpublic careers. Our programs should encourage and ensure talent development, movement within and between our operating units, and long-term retention.

The Company's overall compensation program comprises four principal elements: base salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives consisting of stock options, performance shares and restricted stock awards, and retirement and other benefits.

An overview of each major compensation program element is included below in the section entitled "2007 Executive Compensation Program Elements."

Role of Executive Officers and Management in Compensation Decisions

The Committee makes all pay decisions related to the named executive officers. The CEO does not participate in the Committee's deliberations or decisions with regard to his own compensation. However, at the Committee's request, the CEO will present individual pay recommendations to the Committee for the CFO, the other named executive officers, and other executives subject to the Committee's review. The CEO's pay recommendations are informed by his assessments of individual contributions, achievement of specified performance objectives, talent review results, competitive pay data and other factors. These recommendations are then considered by the Committee with the assistance of the independent consultant.

The CEO, Chief Human Resources Officer, General Counsel, and Senior Vice President of Compensation and Benefits attend Committee meetings, but are not present for the Committee's executive sessions or for any discussion of their own compensation. Other senior executives, as appropriate to the topic, will attend Committee meetings, but they too do not attend executive sessions or any discussion of their own compensation.

Role of Independent Consultant

The Committee has retained the services of an external compensation consultant, Hewitt Associates ("Hewitt") to serve Interpublic and work for the Committee in its review of executive and director compensation practices, including the competitiveness of pay levels, executive compensation design issues, market trends, and technical considerations.

At no time during 2007 or at any other time did the Committee direct Hewitt to perform services in any particular manner or under any particular method. The Committee has the final authority to hire and terminate the consultant, and the Committee evaluates the consultant annually. At its July 2007 meeting, the Committee approved the retaining of Hewitt for 2008.

Setting Compensation for the Named Executive Officers

Interpublic's annual and long-term cash- and equity-based compensation programs are structured to motivate the named executive officers to achieve the business goals set by the Company and reward executives for achieving such goals. In addition, the Company's benefits are intended to be competitive in the relevant labor markets and attract top talent.

The Committee reviews and assesses compensation for the named executive officers on an annual basis. The Committee approves adjustments as appropriate based on the compensation philosophy and objectives discussed above and also considers the length of time since the last adjustment. Material changes in compensation typically only occur based on performance, in response to significant changes in responsibility or market conditions, or in limited circumstances when the company is at risk of losing a talented employee.

Compensation decisions are made based on the following information:

External Market Analysis: The Committee completes a review of market competitive compensation levels for the named executive officers annually. This analysis is performed by the independent consultant after the Committee has approved the peer companies used for the study. The

Committee strives to pay executives between the 50th and 75th percentile pay levels from this external market analysis, while considering internal equity and individual performance.

Internal Equity: The Company has established internal levels of comparability based on revenue, operating income and headcount responsibility, geographic scope, and job complexity. Internal equity is one piece of information the Committee considers when making pay decisions.

The Committee's consideration is informed by the Company's leadership talent and succession plan annual review. The Committee participates in this annual review with the full Board. The process begins within the operating companies and corporate functions, and includes the CEO meeting with the chief executive officers of each of the Company's principal operating units and with the heads of the corporate staff functions to review senior talent, succession plans, and diversity and inclusion efforts. The CEO then reviews senior talent with the full Board, including a discussion of each of the named executive officers, their potential successors, and succession plans for his own position, thereby providing the Board with additional insight into each named executive officer's capabilities, potential and performance.

Individual Performance: The leadership talent and succession plan annual review described above includes the named executive officers. The review helps to identify succession to critical roles, ensure appropriate relative compensation decisions, and establish development opportunities for the named executive officers to increase their retention and reduce unwanted turnover. These reviews inform pay decisions by providing an in-depth look at the named executive officers, their responsibilities, relative contributions and future potential, and their relative compensation.

In 2007, the Committee's independent consultant conducted its annual market analysis to assess the competitiveness of total target compensation to the named executive officers. (Total target compensation includes base salary, target annual incentive and target long-term incentives.) To reflect the fact that the Company competes for executive talent with direct industry peers and a broader group of companies, the annual compensation analyses benchmarked pay against multiple peer groups to capture the labor markets that are sources of talent for the Company's businesses. These peer groups included:

Advertising Peers: Companies that directly compete with the Company for services and talent. This data was drawn from proxy and/or 20-F filings (SEC disclosure form pertinent to foreign private issuers).

Custom Peer Group: A peer group of 15 companies in related industries with similar talent needs, including publishing, media, and other talent-dependent companies facing similar talent challenges (i.e. professional services companies). The data was sourced from Hewitt Associates' Total Compensation Measurement Database and proxy filings. Statistical analysis was used to adjust the compensation data to reflect Interpublic's company size and structure.

Broader service industry companies: A broader group of companies in the publishing, media, broadcasting, consulting, professional and financial services industries. The median revenue of this group was \$6.4 billion. This group was used only as a supplemental source when the other data sources (above) provided insufficient data. The data was sourced from Hewitt Associates' Total Compensation Measurement Database and proxy filings. Statistical analysis was used to adjust the compensation data to reflect Interpublic's company size and structure.

The table below lists the specific companies included within the two primary peer groups used to assess compensation to the named executive officers in 2007:

Advertising Peers	C	Custom Peer Group		
Havas	Accenture	News Corp.		
Publicis Groupe	BearingPoint	PricewaterhouseCoopers		
WPP Group	CBS Corp.	Thomson		
Omnicom	EW Scripps	Time Warner		
	Gannett	Tribune		
	Liberty Media	Viacom		
	Meredith	Warner Music		
	New York Times			

Data from the Advertising Peers and Custom Peer Group was used to assess the pay competitiveness of Messrs. Roth, Mergenthaler, and Dooner. Data from the broader service industry companies was used to supplement the analyses for Messrs. Krakowsky's and Sompolski's roles because there was insufficient data for these two roles from the two peer groups and the broader group of companies reflected the applicability of these roles to various industries.

Data from these sources was used to determine a range of competitive pay. By considering data for multiple peer groups, the Committee reduced concerns about potential inaccuracies in and limitations of a single competitive data point. The independent consultant advised that using multiple reference points provided the Committee with a more complete view of competitive pay practices within the Company's relevant labor markets for our named executive officers.

2007 Executive Compensation Program Elements

For the	fiscal	vear ended December 31.	2007, the	principal of	components of Inter	public's executive of	compensation program were

Base salary;

Performance-based annual incentive compensation;

Long-term equity-based incentive compensation; and

Retirement and other benefits.

Base Salary

Base salary is central to our ability to attract and retain our talent, including our named executive officers. Although its prominence in the pay mix declines with seniority, base salary generally remains an important part of compensation discussions with executive talent in this industry.

Each year, after considering competitive analyses provided by the independent consultant and other factors as described below, the Committee determines the base salary for the CEO and, after considering recommendations from the CEO, the Committee approves base salaries for the other named executive officers.

The Committee considers many quantitative and qualitative factors when determining base salaries, including the executive's total compensation, individual performance, level of responsibility, tenure, pay history and time since last increase, and prior experience. As appropriate, the Committee will also consider any material changes in responsibilities and/or respond to perceived retention risks. The Committee makes use of periodic comparisons to base salary data paid for comparable positions within the Company and the independent consultant's annual compensation analyses for base salaries paid to comparable positions within comparably-sized advertising and companies in related industries with similar client focus and talent strategies.

For the named executive officers, base salaries are the subject of individual employment agreements (described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements"), which give Interpublic the ability to increase, but not decrease, base salary. Effective January 1, 2007, the Committee decided to discontinue auto and club allowances for the CEO and other named executive officers, reflecting its belief that these perquisites are not necessary for these roles, and approved an increase to base salaries by an equivalent amount. These increased salaries are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34 and described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements." The 2007 salaries reported in the table include \$30,000, \$20,000, \$35,000, \$20,000 and \$20,000 increases for Messrs. Roth, Mergenthaler, Dooner, Krakowsky and Sompolski, respectively that correspond to this shift of auto and club allowances to salary.

At its March 2008 meeting, the Committee elected to increase Mr. Roth's base salary to \$1,400,000 effective April 1, 2008. The decision was based on the three-year period since becoming Chairman and CEO without an increase, competitive data for similar positions as provided by the independent consultant as part of its annual review, the CEO role's prominence in the Company's transformation, improvements in the Company's Profit Before Taxes, Operating Margin, and Revenue Growth, the Company becoming Sarbanes-Oxley compliant and its desire to ensure his retention.

In 2007, the Committee approved an increase in Mr. Mergenthaler's base salary to \$900,000 effective November 1, 2007. This decision was based on the CEO's recommendation, the two-year period since he was hired without an increase, competitive data for similar positions as provided by the independent consultant as part of its annual review, the CFO role's prominence in the Company's transformation, the incumbent's strong performance in improving the Company's financial planning, budgeting and reporting capabilities, leading the Company's Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, improving the finance function's resources and personnel, and the Company's desire to ensure his retention.

The Committee also approved an increase in Mr. Krakowsky's base salary to \$620,000 effective April 1, 2007. This decision was based on the CEO's recommendation, Mr. Krakowsky's additional responsibilities, which included greater direct involvement with the Company's media assets, competitive data for similar positions as provided by the independent consultant as part of its annual review, the prominence of his role in the Company's transformation, his strong performance and the Company's desire to ensure his retention.

Annual Incentives

Annual cash incentives are paid to reward performances that drive shareholder value through growth, improved profitability, and the achievement of high priority strategic objectives. They are a standard component of competitive compensation within our labor markets and an important tool for driving behavior, improving financial results and increasing shareholder value. The annual cash incentive awards to senior executives are made under the shareholder-approved 2006 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2006 PIP"). The 2006 PIP limits the bonus amount that may be earned by any one individual to \$5,000,000.

For the purposes of 2007 and prospective 2008 bonus awards, the Committee has set a specific individual incentive award target for each named executive officer. The individual incentive award target is expressed as a percentage of each individual's base salary. Each year, as part of its total compensation review for senior executives and after considering the independent consultant's competitive analyses and other factors, the Committee determines the annual incentive target for the CEO, and, after considering recommendations from the CEO, approves the annual incentive targets for the named executive officers. The Committee's approach is consistent with that described above for base salary considering many quantitative and qualitative factors, as well as individual employment agreements. For 2007 and 2008, annual cash incentive targets, as a percent of base salary, for the named executive officers are as follows: Mr. Mergenthaler 100%; Mr. Dooner 100%; Mr. Krakowsky 100%; and Mr. Sompolski 75%. Mr. Roth's

annual cash incentive target for 2007 was 150% of his base salary. At its March 2008 meeting, the Committee elected to increase Mr. Roth's target bonus to 160% of his base salary in recognition of the Company's improvements in its Profit Before Taxes, Operating Margin, and Revenue Growth, and the Company becoming Sarbanes-Oxley compliant. For the named executive officers other than Mr. Dooner, annual incentive targets are the subject of individual employment agreements (described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements") which give Interpublic the ability to increase, but not decrease, such targets. Mr. Dooner's annual incentive target is not an element of his employment contract and is periodically determined by the Committee.

In 2007, actual awards earned could vary between 0% and 200% of this individual incentive target based 2/3^{rds} on financial performance and 1/3rd on the achievement of high priority objectives, except for Mr. Dooner who's award was based 50% on financial performance and 50% on the achievement of high priority objectives. For Messrs. Roth, Mergenthaler, Krakowsky and Sompolski financial performance was assessed based on the consolidated Operating Margin (OM) and Profit Before Taxes (PBT) of the Company. For Mr. Dooner, the financial performance measures were OM and Operating Income After Incentives (OIAI) of McCann Worldgroup. OM, PBT and OIAI are the Company's primary measures of profitability. PBT is defined as the profit before taxes from operating, non-operating, continuing and non-continuing operations. OIAI is defined as operating income before restructuring and impairment charges, and after the cost of all incentives. OM is defined as OIAI divided by Gross Revenue. Financial measures are equally-weighted with results compared to minimum, target and maximum levels set for Interpublic and its principal operating units early in the year. Prior to this assessment, financial results may be adjusted for one-time extraordinary items, with the specific items subject to the Committee's review and approval.

For 2008, the Committee revised the relative weights placed on financial objectives and high priority objectives to 2/3^{rds} and 1/3rd, respectively, for Mr. Dooner. This change reflects the Committee's view that, although high priority objectives continue to represent key strategic imperatives, an increased portion of the award should be directly tied to the Company or unit's annual financial results to follow the key principles in the compensation philosophy.

High priority objectives are set early in the year, and may include quantitative and/or qualitative objectives specific to the individual. High priority objectives include goals tied to the Company's or operating unit's strategic priorities and typically include client retention, governance and control, talent management, diversity and inclusion and collaboration. For quantitative high priority objectives, specific objectives are established. For qualitative high priority objectives, specific accomplishments or expectations are defined and the Committee exercises judgment in assessing performance. With all high priority objectives, performance is assessed after considering written self-assessments provided to the Committee for the Company and its principal operating units. Results are then categorized as "below minimum," "minimum," "good," "very good," and "exceptional," and a rating of 0% to 200% of target assigned.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the named executive officers received the following annual incentive awards, which were paid in March 2008.

Name		2007 Annual Incentive Award	
Michael I. Roth	\$	2,404,640	
Frank Mergenthaler	\$	1,300,000	
John J. Dooner, Jr.	\$	1,750,000	
Philippe Krakowsky	\$	1,000,000	
Timothy A. Sompolski	\$	620,000	

For the named executive officers including Mr. Roth and other than Mr. Dooner, the Committee considered Interpublic's financial performance and each individual's achievement of individual high priority objectives. The financial portion of each corporate executive's 2007 award is based on ratings of

143.6% and 132.0% for PBT and OM, respectively. These ratings were based on performance relative to pre-set targets that the Committee considered difficult to achieve, and final results that represented PBT and OM growth relative to 2006 of 225% and 349 basis points, respectively. The final financial performance ratings were based on pre-determined schedules approved by the Committee early in 2007 and each financial performance rating is applied to 1/3rd of each executive's incentive target. For the purposes of the financial performance assessment, the Committee approved adjustments to financial targets and results, as agreed at the time objectives were established, for certain extraordinary and non-recurring items, including merger and/or restructuring-related costs, non-cash Company driven real estate transactions and reversal of restatement-related credits from prior years.

For the corporate named executive officers other than Mr. Roth, each executive's high priority objective rating is based on the Committee and CEO's assessment of the executive officer's achievement of key strategic objectives.

Mr. Mergenthaler received a high priority objective rating of "exceptional" or 200% reflecting his leadership role and success in: managing the finance function, the Company achieving Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, improving finance talent at corporate and within the operating units, achieving broad-based cost containment and reduction disciplines, enhancing the Company's information tools and technology, dramatically improving the financial planning process, working effectively with the Company's financial constituencies, as well as leading the Company's diversity and inclusion initiatives as both Chairperson of the Corporate Diversity Council and an executive sponsor of employee resource groups.

Mr. Krakowsky received a high priority objective rating of "very good" to "exceptional" or 189.5% reflecting his leadership role and success in: defining the Company's strategy to improve overall competitiveness, implementing and evolving an aligned media strategy that contributed to dramatically improved performance at the Company's media assets in 2007, assessing the Company's digital offerings and providing oversight over certain emerging media activity, managing a number of major cross-agency client matters, as well as leading the Company's diversity and inclusion initiatives as an executive sponsor of employee resource groups and a member of the Corporate Diversity Council.

Mr. Sompolski received a high priority objective rating of "very good" or 159.5% reflecting his success in managing the human resources function including ongoing improvement in and development of human resources talent resident at Interpublic and the operating units, his leadership role in the Company's diversity and inclusion efforts; and his driving of improvements in the Company's talent management capabilities and succession planning.

Mr. Roth received a high priority objective rating of "very good" or 150% reflecting his leadership role and success in: leading the company back to growth and a dramatic improvement in profitability, the Company achieving Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, defining a vision and strategy for the Company that will enhance long-term competitiveness, improving management succession and talent development processes at the principal operating units and at Interpublic, providing personal leadership and making significant progress against employee and supplier diversity objectives, continuing to promote best practices in corporate governance, disclosure and transparency, as well as working effectively with the Company's financial constituencies.

One-half of Mr. Dooner's incentive target was tied to McCann Worldgroup's financial results relative to specific pre-set objectives and the remaining one-half to his achievement of individual or shared high priority objectives set for McCann Worldgroup. The financial portion of Mr. Dooner's 2007 award, therefore, was directly based on McCann Worldgroup's financial assessment of 94.6% and 93.8% for OIAI and OM, respectively, as McCann Worldgroup achieved 97.8% and 97.5%, respectively, of its pre-set financial performance objectives. The Company has elected in accordance with SEC guidance not to disclose the specific performance objectives or 2007 results as this financial data is not publicly-disclosed and might provide competitive insights that could harm our business. Management and the Committee, however, did deem these financial performance targets as relatively difficult to achieve and McCann

Worldgroup's financial performance as very strong after considering that McCann Worldgroup's 2007 OIAI and OM targets represented 16% and 9% increases, respectively, over 2006 levels.

The remaining 50% of Mr. Dooner's incentive target was tied to his achievement of pre-set high priority objectives and a rating of "very good" to "exceptional" or 178.2%. This assessment, recommended by the CEO and approved by the Committee, reflects McCann Worldgroup's significant creative performance, becoming Sarbanes-Oxley compliant, actions taken on specific talent-related initiatives, and progress on diversity and inclusion initiatives across the operating company.

Long-term Incentives

Long-term Incentive awards support Interpublic's talent management strategy, and are designed to retain and attract top talent, and align executive and shareholder interests by focusing recipients on the long-term performance of Interpublic and its principal operating units. Management and the Committee believe long-term incentives are a vital way to encourage collaboration across the Company and drive sustainable results across a multi-year period. Further, these incentives ensure that executives have compensation at risk for longer periods of time and subject to forfeiture in the event they terminate their employment.

The Company's annual equity awards are made annually on the final trading day in May each year. In advance, the Committee determines the long-term incentive target, defined as a dollar expected value, for the CEO and, after considering recommendations from the CEO, approves the long-term incentive targets for the other named executive officers. This is part of its total compensation review for senior executives and considers the independent consultant's competitive analyses and other factors, such as each executive's total compensation, pay history, absolute and relative performance, and expected future performance. The Committee's approach is consistent with that described above for base salary considering many quantitative and qualitative factors, as well as individual employment agreements. For the named executive officers, long-term incentive targets are the subject of individual agreements (described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements"), and which allow Interpublic to increase, but not decrease, long-term incentive targets.

Once the recommendations are approved, long-term incentive awards are made under the 2006 PIP. In 2007, Mr. Roth's long-term incentive awards comprised stock options and performance shares. For the other named executive officers, annual long-term incentive awards comprised a mix of stock options, restricted stock and performance shares. For the named executive officers, the Committee believes stock options are an effective long-term incentive as they provide senior strategy-setting and policy-making leaders, the members of the Company's leadership team best able to directly influence Interpublic's share price, with additional incentive tied to stock price gains.

Total long-term incentive expected value guidelines are set for each position. The Committee set the following long-term incentive expected value guidelines for 2007: Mr. Roth, \$5,000,000; Mr. Mergenthaler, \$1,000,000; Mr. Dooner, \$1,000,000; Mr. Krakowsky, \$500,000; and Mr. Sompolski, \$800,000. For 2007, based on the Company's significant progress in its transformation including improvements in its Profit Before Taxes, Operating Margin, and Revenue Growth, and likelihood of being fully compliant with Sarbanes-Oxley by year-end, the Committee decided to provide Mr. Roth with an additional long-term incentive award with an expected value of \$1,000,000. For participants receiving more than one type of long-term incentive, the expected value is then allocated among the types of awards according to specific formulae. For grants made in 2007, the expected value of Mr. Roth's long-term incentive award was intended to be split equally between stock options and performance shares, and for the remaining named executive officers, 50% of the total long-term incentive expected value is provided in stock options, 25% in performance shares and 25% in restricted shares. The split is intended to be consistent with the Company's executive compensation key principals.

The number of stock options or restricted shares granted, or performance shares awarded at target, is determined by dividing the expected value allocated to each type of award, by its corresponding estimated expected value at the time of grant. These estimated expected values are developed with the independent consultant's assistance, and for stock options with the assistance of the third party that provides the Company with stock option values for SFAS 123(R) reporting purposes, and reflect an approximation of the relative economic values for stock options, performance shares and restricted shares. In all cases, these expected values and stock option exercise prices are based on the Company's stock price on the date of grant using the average of the Company's high and low prices for that day. In no event is the grant date to precede the approval date.

The expected values at grant for the named executive officers' 2007 annual long-term incentive awards were as follows:

ne		Stock Options		Performance Shares		Restricted Shares	
Michael I. Roth	\$	2,446,000	\$	3,000,000	\$	0	
Frank Mergenthaler	\$	500,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	
John J. Dooner, Jr.	\$	500,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	
Philippe Krakowsky	\$	250,000	\$	125,000	\$	125,000	
Timothy A. Sompolski	\$	400,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	

Under the terms of the 2006 PIP, Mr. Roth's 2007 stock option award could not exceed 500,000 stock options. For 2007, this limit resulted in an award with a total grant date expected value of \$2,446,000, which resulted in a \$554,000 shortfall relative to the expected value with respect to stock options approved by the Committee. Similarly, in 2006, this limit resulted in a \$301,000 expected value shortfall relative to the expected value with respect to stock options approved by the Committee. In view of the reduced awards in 2006 and 2007, the Committee awarded Mr. Roth restricted shares in February 2008 with an expected value equal to the expected values for the 2006 and 2007 stock options on the restricted stock grant date. The Committee, with the assistance of a third party that provides the Company with stock option values for SFAS 123(R) reporting purposes, determined the expected value of the 2006 and 2007 stock options shortfall to be \$517,778 as of February 2008. Accordingly, Mr. Roth was granted restricted shares with an expected value at grant of \$517,778, or 59,583 shares, with two-year vesting, which is intended, on average, to replicate the vesting periods for the 2006 and 2007 stock option awards.

Equity awards are made on an annual grant cycle except for those made as needed on a case-by-case basis to attract new executives, retain existing talent, address total compensation objectives, substitute for cash, and/or reflect a material change in responsibilities. In 2007, the Committee made the following grants outside of the normal annual grant cycle:

In February 2007, the Committee granted restricted stock to Mr. Roth with a fair market value of \$1,000,000 with just over three-year vesting. As discussed in the section below headed "Retirement and Other Benefits," this grant was based on the Committee's assessment of Mr. Roth's wealth accumulation and retirement benefits, and the decision was made in conjunction with the decision to increase his annual Capital Accumulation Plan credit.

At the end of October, the Committee granted Mr. Mergenthaler restricted stock with a fair market value of \$1,000,000 with four-year vesting. This award was made as part of Mr. Mergenthaler's total compensation review as described in the base salary section above.

In March of 2007, the Committee granted Mr. Dooner restricted stock with a fair market value of \$500,000 with three-year vesting as partial payment of his annual incentive related to 2006 performance. As disclosed in the Company's 2007 proxy statement, the Committee considered McCann Worldgroup's 2006 financial results, including assessments of 121% and 110% for OIAI and OM (as defined in the third paragraph of the "Annual Incentives" section above), respectively, and a high priority objective rating of "very good" for 2006 reflecting McCann Worldgoup's significant creative gains, improvements made

toward achieving a Sarbanes-Oxley compliant environment, progress on specific talent-related initiatives and other strategic steps forward. Based on this assessment, the Committee approved a \$2,000,000 annual incentive award, \$1,500,000 of which was paid in cash and the balance in restricted shares with three-year vesting and a \$500,000 fair market value at their grant on March 30, 2007.

In 2007, annual equity awards were made on May 31. At the Committee's May meeting, except for performance shares for which specific target expected values are approved for named executive officers at the Committee's March meeting, the Company presents a specific list with equity award recommendations by individual for the Committee's review and approval. Equity award recommendations must include individual grant amounts and individual grant details. Also at this meeting, the Committee will make further determinations regarding the proposed annual equity awards and the specific grant date, which is not to precede the date of the Committee's meeting. In each case, if awards are approved, the Committee's determination will specify in detail an objective formula (or formulae) for determining, in respect of each grant, as applicable, option exercise price, number of options or number of shares of restricted shares or restricted stock units, and performance shares or performance share units to be issued. The formula (or formulae) for determining an option's exercise price, the number of options or stock appreciation rights, or number of shares of restricted shares, restricted stock units, to be issued will be based on the fair market value of a share of the Company common stock on the grant date. The formula (or formulae) for determining the number of performance shares or performance units to be issued will be based on 80% of the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the grant date. This 80% ratio was determined by the Committee's independent consultant as the expected value of the Company's performance shares and performance units.

At its March 2008 meeting, the Committee approved, for award on the final trading day of May 2008, the performance share portion of 2008 annual equity awards to the current and possible future named executive officers. For the named executive officers, the expected values, assuming performance at the target level, were as follows: \$2,500,000 for Mr. Roth, \$250,000 for Messrs. Mergenthaler and Dooner, \$125,000 for Mr. Krakowsky, and \$200,000 for Mr. Sompolski with the specific number of target shares to be determined on the award date based on an expected value per share, which equals 80% of the share price on the grant date as described above.

Stock options are granted on such terms as are approved by the Committee. The grant must occur subsequent to the Committee's approval, the term of the option may not exceed ten years and the exercise price may not be less than the fair market price of the Common Stock on the date of grant. In the case of a new executive hire, the Committee may approve the award prior to the extension of the employment offer and the grant is timed for the final trading day of the month in which the executive's employment begins.

All stock options granted to the named executive officers in 2007 vest 33%, 33% and 34% on the second, third and fourth anniversaries, respectively, of the date of grant. The Company believes that these vesting provisions promote a long-term focus and provide a strong retention incentive for participants. Grants to the named executive officers are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 37.

Full-value shares play a prominent role in the Company's long-term incentives program with a focus on restricted stock and performance shares. For restricted stock, the sale or transfer of shares is typically restricted for a period of three years from the grant date and the shares are subject to forfeiture if the executive leaves Interpublic before the restrictions expire, unless the Committee determines otherwise. Two exceptions generally apply as follows. First, the Company may, on a case-by-case basis grant shares with different vesting periods, most often in the case of up-front equity grants made to new executives as consideration for equity forfeited at prior employers. In these instances, the Company will strive to generally approximate the vesting terms that existed for the forfeited equity and, per the terms of the plan for restricted stock, will not vest in less than one year. Second, equity awards may be used to address a specific employment or retention need and the vesting period may be lengthened or shortened as appropriate to the specific individual circumstances.

For performance shares, participants are given a target number of shares at the time of the awards. At the end of the three-year performance and vesting period(s), the participant is granted full-value shares. The number of shares granted may vary from 0% to 200% of the target number based on Interpublic or its principal operating unit's multi-year performance against pre-set objectives. For the periods beginning in 2005, 2006 and 2007, performance will be assessed against equally-weighted metrics intended to drive revenue growth and profitability. Final award values are, therefore, a function of performance against these metrics and the price performance of Interpublic's Common Stock, and are paid in fully-vested shares or cash, as determined by the Committee, as soon as practicable after audited financial results are available for the completed performance period, the Committee approves the results, and the vesting period ends.

For the named executive officers, other than Mr. Dooner, performance shares awarded in 2007 for the 2007-09 performance period are tied to Interpublic's three-year cumulative revenue growth and operating margin. Performance shares awarded to Mr. Dooner are tied to the same metrics for McCann Worldgroup. The target number of shares were established on May 31, 2007 based on the share price on that date, and the final number of shares, if any, will be issued at the end of the three-year performance period depending on the extent to which the performance objectives are achieved. The Company has elected in accordance with SEC guidance not to disclose the specific performance objectives as these financial target data are not publicly-disclosed and might provide competitive insights that could harm our business. Management and the Committee, however, based on year-over-year comparisons, deem these financial performance targets as relatively difficult to achieve.

Retirement and Other Benefits

The Company also provides its named executive officers with life and medical insurance, retirement savings and compensation deferral programs and other benefits. The other benefits include the Capital Accumulation Plan ("CAP"), a non-qualified plan under which a fixed dollar amount and interest are annually credited to an account in each participant's name, and the Senior Executive Retirement Income Plan ("SERIP"), a defined benefit plan under which participants receive a fixed annual annuity for 15 years upon a qualifying retirement. Additional benefits may include executive medical benefits, the Company's qualified 401(k) savings plan and reimbursement for tax planning and preparation.

Other compensation and benefits serve several purposes. Some, like tax planning and executive medical are in direct response to industry norms, and the expectations of executives and candidates alike.

As part of its competitive pay analyses, the independent consultant periodically provides the Committee with a comparison of Interpublic's benefits programs to those for peer companies. The sample of peer companies used for this purpose, while different from that described earlier for assessing the Company's compensation programs, is intended to mirror those earlier comparator groups as closely as possible. As the benefits competitive data is provided using different survey sources, the company samples are not identical. In its work, the independent consultant attempts to ensure that the benefits sample is as close to the other sample(s) as possible. This benefits program review is conducted in the context of total compensation, and the analyses consider compensation and benefits in total.

Decisions regarding new or enhanced participation in these programs are made after considering the total compensation, and are often used as inducements for new hires to accept employment or as one component to a total pay discussion or negotiation. For many of the named executive officers, retirement and other benefits are the subject of individual employment agreements (described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements"), which give Interpublic the ability to increase, but not decrease, the specific benefit.

The Company's 401(k) savings plan is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan pursuant to which all U.S.-based employees, including the named executive officers, are able to contribute up to 25% of their annual compensation (100% if age 50 or older) on a before-tax basis. The annual contribution is subject to

dollar limits prescribed by the federal tax laws. For employees with less than 10-years service, the Company will match 50% of the first 6% of compensation that is contributed. For employees with 10 or more years of service, the Company will match 75% of the first 6% of compensation that is contributed. The Company's 401(k) savings plan also allows after-tax contributions up to limits prescribed by the federal tax laws. The match applies to the total amount contributed before- and after-tax.

The Company provides an additional performance-based match whereby a fixed percentage may be contributed to participants' accounts based on the Committee's assessment of the Company's annual performance, including the Company's Operating Margin for its consolidated U.S. businesses relative to pre-set targets. The objective of this feature is to induce greater participation in the 401(k) savings plan and to provide all U.S. employees with a link to the Company's performance. For 2007, the Committee approved an additional match equal to 8% of participant matched contributions.

On a case-by-case basis, the Committee and Management Human Resources Committee (MHRC), comprising Interpublic's CEO, CFO, General Counsel and Chief Human Resources Officer, to which the Committee delegates certain responsibilities, consider the appropriateness of CAP and SERIP participation and benefits. In making recommendations to the Committee or MHRC, the Company considers the individual's role, level in the organization, total compensation level, performance, length of service, and other factors. When making determinations to award additional non-qualified cash wealth accumulation or retirement awards, the Company also considers all forms of accrued qualified and non-qualified retirement benefits previously awarded or earned, and assumes the executive contributed the maximum amount permitted to the 401(k) savings plan.

The CAP provides participants with an annual dollar credit to an interest-bearing account. Under the terms of the Plan, interest is credited on December 31st of each year at an interest rate equal to the closing 10-year U.S. Treasury yield on the last business day of the immediately preceding calendar year. As reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34, credits were added in 2007 to the CAP accounts of Messrs. Roth, Mergenthaler, Sompolski and Krakowsky per terms of agreements made in prior years. At its February 2007 meeting, the Committee decided to increase Mr. Roth's annual CAP credit by \$250,000 and to grant him \$1,000,000 in restricted shares, as described under "long-term incentives" above, after considering the independent consultant's total compensation review, a wealth accumulation analysis, and Mr. Roth's tenure and performance in the role. At its October 2007 meeting, the Compensation Committee decided to increase Mr. Mergenthaler's annual CAP credit by \$100,000 after considering the independent consultant's total compensation review, Mr. Mergenthaler's tenure and expected service at retirement. For a more detailed description of the CAP, see "Deferred Compensation Arrangements The Interpublic Capital Accumulation Plan" on page 45.

The SERIP provides a defined annual annuity to selected executives for a 15-year period upon satisfying the vesting provisions. Participation is limited to a select group of very senior executives and requires Committee approval. At its March 2008 meeting, after considering the independent consultant's total compensation review, a wealth accumulation analysis, and Mr. Roth's tenure and performance in the role, the Compensation Committee approved Mr. Roth's participation in the SERIP. The Compensation Committee set Mr. Roth's annual annuity under the SERIP, upon attainment of age 65, at \$110,000. Mr. Roth is the only named executive officer that participates in the SERIP. For a more detailed description of the SERIP, see "Pension Arrangements" The Interpublic Senior Executive Retirement Income Plan" on page 43.

Severance and Change of Control Benefits

The named executive officers may receive severance benefits from the Company under the terms of their employment agreements (described in greater detail on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements"), the Company's Executive Severance Plan and/or their change of control agreements depending on the circumstances of their terminations. Under the 2006 PIP, named executive officers

receive accelerated vesting and payouts at target of their annual and long-term incentives upon a Change of Control (as defined on page 58). Severance benefits under these and other applicable plans or agreements are described below.

In 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Executive Severance Plan ("ESP") to provide severance benefits in certain situations and in a consistent manner that are competitive with those of our direct competitors and general industry, and to mitigate job security concerns for named executive officers and other senior executives. Under the Plan, severance benefits will be provided if the executive's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or the executive resigns for good reason (collectively, a "Qualifying Termination"). Severance levels depend on each executive's role with more senior executives receiving higher severance levels, including base salary and medical benefits. Severance periods (during which a participant continues to receive his base salary and medical benefits at active employee rates, or cash in lieu thereof, for the severance period followed by COBRA coverage, or cash in lieu thereof, at regular COBRA rates) for the named executive officers are as follows: 24 months for Mr. Roth and 18 months for Messrs. Mergenthaler, Dooner, Krakowsky and Sompolski.

In 2007, the Company entered into revised change of control agreements with selected senior executives, including each of the named executive officers. These agreements are intended to encourage the retention and focus of critical executive talent in the face of the potentially disruptive impact of a Change of Control of the Company. In addition, the agreements are intended to align executive and shareholder interests by enabling executives to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of shareholders and other constituents of the Company without undue concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executives' own employment.

Although there are some differences in benefit levels depending on the executive's role, the basic elements are consistent across all agreements. First, benefits are contingent on a "double trigger," or a Change of Control followed by a Qualifying Termination two years thereafter. Second, the agreements provide for an enhanced severance benefit, relative to the ESP and named executive officers' employment agreements, that includes a severance payment equal to a multiple (3 for Messrs. Roth and Dooner, and 2 for Messrs. Mergenthaler, Krakowsky and Mr. Sompolski) of the individual's annual base salary plus annual incentive target (this benefit is instead of, not in addition to, the ESP benefit discussed above or similar benefits that may be provided for in employment agreements) and continued health insurance at active employee rates, or cash in lieu thereof, for the period on which the severance payment is based (i.e. the multiple set forth below times 12 months) followed by COBRA coverage (or cash in lieu thereof) at regular COBRA rates. The accelerated vesting of equity awards, treatment of deferred compensation accounts, retirement benefits, and the like, are defined by each specific plan. Change of control agreements, however, also provide for pro-rated dollar and interest credit to the executive's CAP account for the year in which the severance period ends.

Change of control benefits for the named executive officers under the CAP, SERIP and outstanding Executive Special Benefit Agreements ("ESBAs") are as follows:

Upon a Change of Control, Interpublic will contribute to an unsecured trust an amount that an outside auditor determines is equal, or approximately equal, to the value of (a) the benefits that are accrued and vested under the CAP, SERIP and all ESBAs, and (b) the benefits that could become accrued and vested under the CAP, SERIP and all ESBAs within two years after the Change of Control including special CAP, SERIP and ESBA benefits that could become payable under the change of control agreements, plus an allowance for expenses that an outside auditor reasonably expects to be incurred in administering the CAP, SERIP and all ESBAs, and the unsecured trust, during the two years after the change of control.

If, within two years after a Change of Control, the executive's employment is terminated by the Company pursuant to a Qualifying Termination, the executive would receive the following benefits

under CAP, SERIP and any ESBA (in full satisfaction of Interpublic's obligations to the executive under those plans):

CAP SERIP ESBA

The executive's CAP account would become fully-vested, in the event it was not previously-vested, and the executive would receive the full value of his CAP account in a lump sum.

The executive's CAP account would receive credits for the severance period, determined as if severance were paid in semi-monthly installments rather than as a lump sum as provided in change of control agreements, and pro-rated dollar and interest credits for the year in which the termination occurs.

If, as of December 31 of the calendar year in which the change of control occurs, (a) the executive's SERIP benefit will be fully vested or (b) the executive will be (i) age 55 or older and (ii) within two years of full vesting, the executive's SERIP would become fully vested and the executive would receive a lump-sum payment equal to the present value of his unreduced SERIP benefit in a lump sum.

If the executive does not satisfy either of the conditions above, the executive would receive a lump-sum payment equal to the present value of the vested portion of his SERIP benefit. If, as of December 31 of the calendar year in which the Change of Control occurs, (a) the executive is eligible to receive the maximum benefit payable under his ESBA or (b) the executive will be (i) age 55 or older and (ii) within two years of qualifying for the maximum benefit payable under his ESBA, the executive would receive the present value of the maximum benefit payable under his ESBA.

If the executive does not satisfy either of the conditions above, the executive would receive a lump-sum payment equal to the present value of the vested portion of his ESBA benefit, determined based on his age at the end of his severance period (determined as if severance were paid in semi-monthly installments, rather than as a lump sum).

Share Ownership Guidelines

In 2007, the Committee approved the implementation, effective January 1, 2008, of stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors, named executive officers and other senior executives. The purpose of the stock ownership guidelines are to:

Align the financial interests of executives and non-employee directors with the Company's shareholders

Communicate the commitment and personal investment of executives and directors in the business turnaround

Conform with corporate governance best practices trends

The established stock ownership guidelines are expressed as multiples of base salary. The multiple for the CEO is five times base salary and for the other named executive officers is two times base salary. The executives in the program have five years to reach their established guidelines, which will be measured by

adding actual Company stock owned, unvested restricted shares and any shares owned through the Company stock purchase plan.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

U.S. federal income tax law prohibits the Company from taking a tax deduction for certain compensation paid in excess of \$1,000,000 to the named executive officers (other than the principal financial officer). However, performance-based compensation, as defined in the tax law, is fully deductible if the plan under which the compensation is paid has been approved by shareholders and meets other requirements. The Company's policy is to qualify its incentive compensation programs for full corporate deductibility to the extent feasible and consistent with its overall compensation objectives.

As part of its role, the Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation. The Company believes that compensation paid in 2007 under the management incentive plans is generally fully deductible for federal income tax purposes, except as indicated below. In certain situations, the Committee may approve compensation that will not meet these requirements in order to ensure competitive levels of total compensation for its named executive officers. In this regard, for 2007, base salary amounts and restricted share awards in excess of \$1,000,000 (in the aggregate) for the named executive officer covered by Section 162(m) was not deductible for federal income tax purposes.

The Company has guidelines for reviewing the impact of the accounting and tax treatment of various forms of compensation covered by the 2006 PIP. The guidelines identify specific responsibilities and actions required by the Human Resources, Accounting and Tax departments for all group and individual actions. These guidelines are designed to ensure that accounting and tax treatment of the awards granted under the plan are properly addressed.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

On October 22, 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 was signed into law, changing the tax rules applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements, which generally include any deferred compensation and nonqualified retirement benefits, as well as most of the Company's severance arrangements. The Company is operating all deferred compensation arrangements in good faith compliance with the statutory provisions which were effective January 1, 2005 and the final regulations under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code issued on April 10, 2007. The Company is in the process of amending all plans, agreements, and other arrangements with nonqualified deferred compensation subject to the new rules by the deadline established by the Internal Revenue Service, which is currently December 31, 2008.

Accounting for Stock-based Compensation

Beginning on January 1, 2006, the Company began accounting for stock-based payments including its grants of stock options, restricted shares and performance shares in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 123(R).

Compensation Recovery in the Event of a Financial Restatement

For performance periods beginning after December 31, 2005, Interpublic's Board has adopted a policy under which, in the event of a significant restatement of financial results due to fraud or misconduct, it will review payments made to senior executives on the basis of having met or exceeded specific performance targets during the restatement period. If such bonuses would have been lower had they been calculated based on such restated results, the Board will, to the extent permitted by governing law, seek to recoup for the benefit of the Company all such bonuses to senior executives whose fraud or misconduct resulted in

such restatement, as determined by the Board. For purposes of this policy, the term "senior executives" means executive officers for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the term "bonuses" means awards under The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. 2004 Performance Incentive Plan or any equivalent incentive plan which supersedes such plan.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Among its duties, the Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and discussing with the Company's management the Compensation Disclosure and Analysis included in this Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting (the "CDA"). Based on such a review and discussion, the Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the CDA be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Reginald K. Brack, Chairman Jocelyn Carter-Miller Jill M. Considine H. John Greeniaus William T. Kerr

33

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation paid by Interpublic and its subsidiaries to (i) Mr. Roth, who served as the Interpublic's principal executive officer during 2007, (ii) Mr. Mergenthaler, who served as the principal financial officer in 2007 and (iii) each of the three most highly compensated executive officers of Interpublic, other than the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer (as determined based on total compensation in 2007, excluding the increase in pension values and earnings on non-qualified deferred compensation), who were serving as executive officers on December 31, 2007 (the "named executive officers"). In each instance, the compensation shown is for services rendered in all capacities for the years indicated. As used in this Proxy Statement, the executive officers of Interpublic include the Chief Executive Officer of McCann WorldGroup, a significant operating unit of Interpublic. The employment agreements for the named executive officers are summarized beginning on page 48 under the heading "Employment Agreements."

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Change in

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) (1)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Option Awards (\$) (3)(4)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (5)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (6)(7)(8)	All Other Compensation (\$) (9)	Total (\$)
Michael I. Roth Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2007 2006	1,130,000 1,100,000	0	3,473,685 2,471,195	1,537,750 1,890,173	2,404,640 2,062,500	0	394,664 172,948	8,940,739 7,696,816
Frank Mergenthaler Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2007 2006	791,666 750,000	0	1,636,812 523,398	455,749 331,768	1,300,000 1,100,000	0	131,644 148,985	4,315,871 2,854,151
John J. Dooner, Jr. Chairman and CEO of McCann WorldGroup	2007 2006	1,285,000 1,250,000	0	1,520,547 1,111,649	293,528 169,435	1,750,000 1,500,000	893,393 641,347	132,158 207,985	5,874,626 4,880,416
Philippe Krakowsky Executive Vice President, Strategy and Corporate Relations	2007 2006	645,000 550,000	0	687,894 228,235	135,969 73,915	1,000,000 600,000	6,363 0	94,859 106,692	2,570,085 1,558,842
Timothy A. Sompolski Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer	2007 2006	570,000 550,000	0	893,618 352,042	234,821 135,548	620,000 535,000	0	101,960 121,549	2,420,399 1,649,139

⁽¹⁾Includes in each of 2006 and 2007 annual salary in the amount of \$50,000 that Mr. Krakowsky has elected to forgo in consideration for the receipt of an Executive Special Benefit Agreement which is more fully described in this Proxy Statement under the heading "Pension Arrangements Executive Special Benefit Agreements" on page 42.

The amounts reflect the dollar amount of expense recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for each fiscal year, in accordance with SFAS 123(R), excluding estimated forfeitures, of awards pursuant to the 2006 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2006 PIP") and thus may include amounts attributable to awards granted in fiscal years prior to those covered in the table. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are set forth in Note 14 to Interpublic's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended

December 31, 2007 included in the 2007 Form 10-K.