

GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/  
Form 10-Q  
November 06, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark  
One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-9753

**GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**58-1563799**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**115 Perimeter Center Place, Suite 460,  
Atlanta, Georgia**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**30346**  
(Zip Code)  
**(770) 395-4500**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code:)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<b>Class</b>	<b>Outstanding as of November 3, 2008</b>
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	<b>34,477,244</b>

---

Table of Contents

**GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION FORM 10-Q  
QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008  
INDEX**

	<b>Page Number</b>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	34
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	47
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	47
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	48
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	49
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	49
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	50
<b><u>CERTIFICATIONS</u></b>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)**

<b>(In thousands, except share data)</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 52,720	\$ 9,227
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10,458 in 2008 and \$12,815 in 2007	210,061	211,613
Inventories	306,386	366,545
Prepaid expenses	33,079	19,999
Income tax receivables	3,979	15,837
Deferred income taxes	24,871	25,049
Total current assets	631,096	648,270
Property, plant and equipment, net	862,901	967,188
Goodwill	257,674	282,282
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$9,718 in 2008 and \$6,147 in 2007	69,728	75,789
Other assets, net	187,398	196,262
Non-current assets held for sale	678	31,873
Total assets	\$ 2,009,475	\$ 2,201,664
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 90,042	\$ 24,209
Accounts payable	151,431	232,477
Interest payable	36,735	17,752
Income taxes payable	2,618	1,094
Accrued compensation	18,486	32,882
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves	30,613	79,431
Other accrued liabilities	54,784	59,680
Total current liabilities	384,709	447,525
Long-term debt	1,317,761	1,357,799
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits	37,559	37,874
Deferred income taxes	119,829	134,464
Other non-current liabilities	34,614	27,201
Total liabilities	1,894,472	2,004,863
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock \$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding: 34,475,867 in 2008 and 34,392,370 in 2007	344	344
Additional paid-in capital	104,759	103,238

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Retained (deficit) earnings	<b>(19,814)</b>	44,730
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	<b>29,714</b>	48,489
Total stockholders' equity	<b>115,003</b>	196,801
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<b>\$ 2,009,475</b>	\$ 2,201,664

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net sales	\$ 818,564	\$ 815,293	\$ 2,380,868	\$ 2,380,854
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	756,503	714,809	2,217,656	2,138,830
Selling, general and administrative expenses	44,095	53,228	130,459	167,216
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	3,718	2,551	171	5,691
Total operating costs and expenses	804,316	770,588	2,348,286	2,311,737
Operating income	14,248	44,705	32,582	69,117
Interest expense, net	(32,280)	(33,906)	(98,157)	(99,362)
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	(1,864)	(2,440)	(585)	3,070
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(19,896)	8,359	(66,160)	(27,175)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(2,494)	8,703	(7,205)	1,553
Loss from continuing operations	(17,402)	(344)	(58,955)	(28,728)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		433		(9,974)
Net income (loss)	\$ (17,402)	\$ 89	\$ (58,955)	\$ (38,702)
Income (loss) per share:				
Basic:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (1.71)	\$ (0.84)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		0.01		(0.29)
Net income (loss)	\$ (0.50)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.71)	\$ (1.13)
Diluted:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (1.71)	\$ (0.84)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		0.01		(0.29)
Net income (loss)	\$ (0.50)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.71)	\$ (1.13)
Weighted average common shares:				
Basic	34,476	34,359	34,451	34,343
Diluted	34,476	34,359	34,451	34,343

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (58,955)	\$ (38,702)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	112,495	111,935
Foreign exchange gain		(7,300)
Deferred income taxes	(13,089)	(11,612)
Tax deficiency related to stock plans	(861)	(714)
Stock based compensation	2,493	9,221
Long-lived asset impairment charges	21,872	
Net (gain) loss on sale of property, plant and equipment, and assets held for sale	(27,125)	485
Other non-cash items	1,608	15,133
Change in operating assets, liabilities and other	(25,752)	(14,761)
Payment of Quebec trust tax settlement	(20,073)	
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities from continuing operations	(7,387)	63,685
Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations		398
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(7,387)	64,083
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(44,023)	(72,624)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment, and assets held-for sale	78,095	79,642
Net cash provided by investing activities	34,072	7,018
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net change in revolving line of credit	107,718	(6,591)
Repayment of long-term debt	(73,094)	(152,921)
Proceeds from lease financing		95,865
Purchases and retirement of common stock	(110)	(685)
Fees paid to amend debt facilities	(9,823)	
Dividends paid	(8,379)	(8,325)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	16,312	(72,657)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	496	(98)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	43,493	(1,654)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,227	9,641
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 52,720	\$ 7,987

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.





Table of Contents

**GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)**

**1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do reflect all the adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods reported. Such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Our operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There have been no material changes in the significant accounting policies followed by us during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008.

*Reclassification.* Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 included approximately \$15.6 million of other non-cash items that were previously included in change in operating assets, liabilities and other. Additionally, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.6 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net to conform with current period presentation.

**2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement also affects other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. Recently, the FASB Staff Position ("FSP") SFAS 157-1 was issued removing leasing transactions accounted for under SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, and related guidance from the scope of SFAS No. 157. Also, FSP SFAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, was issued, deferring the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The effective date for all other fair value measurements is for fiscal years beginning January 1, 2008. Our adoption of SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. This statement permits all entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted

Table of Contents

provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB recently completed the second phase of the multiphase project to reconsider the accounting for business combinations. The first phase resulted in the issuing of SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles*. In connection with the second phase the FASB has issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements An Amendment of ARB No. 51*. These statements will require more assets and liabilities assumed to be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date; liabilities related to contingent consideration to be remeasured at fair value in each subsequent period; an acquirer in preacquisition periods to expense all acquisition-related costs; and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries initially to be measured at fair value and classified as a separate component of equity. Additionally, SFAS No. 141(R) will require, subsequent to the acquisition period, changes in the valuation allowances for deferred taxes, and liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits related to an acquisition to be recognized as a part of income tax expense. Both statements are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The FASB does not permit early adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of both statements on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 19, 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an Amendment of FASB Statement 133*. SFAS No. 161 enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how: a) an entity uses derivative instruments; b) derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under the FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*; and c) derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 with early application encouraged. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

On April 25, 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162 *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. SFAS No. 162 reorganizes the generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") hierarchy in order to improve financial reporting by providing a consistent framework for determining what accounting principles should be used when preparing U.S. GAAP financial statements. SFAS No. 162 will be effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC's") approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 162 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 16, 2008, the FASB issued FSP Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") No. 03-6-1, which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment awards are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. This FSP affects entities that accrue cash dividends on share-based payment awards during the awards' service period when the dividends do not

Table of Contents

need to be returned if the employees forfeit the awards. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

In June 2008, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 08-3 *Accounting by Lessees for Maintenance Deposits Under Lease Arrangements*. EITF Issue No. 08-3 resolves that all nonrefundable maintenance deposits that are contractually and substantively related to maintenance of the leased asset are accounted for as deposit assets. The lessee's deposit asset is expensed or capitalized as part of a fixed asset (depending on the lessee's maintenance accounting policy) when the underlying maintenance is performed. When the lessee determines that it is less than probable that an amount on deposit will be returned to the lessee (and thus no longer meets the definition of an asset), the lessee must recognize an additional expense for that amount. EITF Issue No. 08-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and must be applied by recognizing the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle in the opening balance of retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which this EITF issue is initially applied. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

On September 24, 2008, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 08-6, *Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations*, which requires an entity to account for equity method investments by calculating the difference between the cost of an equity method investment and the underlying equity in the net assets of that investee as if the investee were a consolidated subsidiary. The initial carrying value of an equity method investment should be determined by applying a cost accumulation model and for subsequent measurements, share issuances by the investee should be accounted for as if the equity method investor had sold a proportionate share of its investment. EITF Issue No. 08-6 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of this statement on our financial position and results of operations.

### 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, ASSETS HELD-FOR-SALE AND DIVESTITURES

*Discontinued Operations Outdoor Building Products Segment.* As part of our strategic plan for the acquired Royal Group businesses, we exited certain non-core businesses included in our outdoor building products segment. Discontinued operations had no activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008. The results of all discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 were as follows:

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2007</b>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2007</b>
Net sales	\$ 845	\$ 17,603
Operating income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 756	\$ (12,428)
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(323)	2,454
Total income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 433	\$ (9,974)

There were no assets of discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment as of September 30, 2008. The assets of the discontinued operations in our outdoor building products segment as of December 31, 2007, consisted of \$2.9 million of property, plant and equipment and are included in non-current assets held for sale on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

*Assets Held-For-Sale.* As part of our strategic plan, we also continue to sell certain non-core assets and businesses. Assets held for sale include U.S. real estate totaling \$0.7 million at September 30, 2008 and Canadian and U.S. real estate totaling \$29.0 million at December 31, 2007. In June 2008, we sold property for \$3.2 million and received \$1.2 million in cash and a short-term note for \$2.0 million. In March 2008, we

Table of Contents

executed a contingent sale agreement and received net proceeds of \$12.6 million for certain Canadian real estate. The contingency was based on the buyer satisfying certain property zoning conditions. The contingency was resolved in June 2008. This transaction resulted in a \$3.3 million loss recorded in March 2008 and is included in asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. See Note 10.

*Divestitures.* In June 2008, we sold land for net proceeds of \$36.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$28.8 million. Additionally, in June 2008, we sold and leased back equipment for \$10.6 million resulting in a \$2.2 million currently recognized gain, a short-term deferred gain of \$0.8 million and a non-current deferred gain of \$7.2 million. In March 2008, we sold the assets and operations of our outdoor storage buildings business that were previously a part of our outdoor building products segment. The outdoor storage buildings business was sold for \$13.0 million and resulted in a loss of approximately \$4.6 million. In addition, we sold the land and building from our Winnipeg, Manitoba Window and Door Profiles business for \$4.5 million in March 2008. See Note 10.

**4. RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES**

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we initiated plans to restructure the operations of Royal Group to eliminate certain duplicative activities, focus our resources on operations with future growth opportunities and reduce our cost structure. In connection with the restructuring plan, we incurred costs related to termination benefits for employee positions that were eliminated. Pursuant to EITF Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, involuntary termination costs related to the Royal Group acquisition have been recognized as a liability assumed as of the consummation date of the acquisition and included in the purchase price allocation. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had a remaining liability of nil and approximately \$1.0 million, respectively. This liability is included in other accrued liabilities on the December 31, 2007 condensed consolidated balance sheet. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash payments and adjustments to the accrual of \$0.1 million and \$1.0 million were made under this plan, respectively. A summary of our restructuring activities by reportable segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 follows:

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Balance at June 30, 2007</b>	<b>Cash Payments</b>	<b>Foreign Exchange and Other Adjustments</b>	<b>Balance at September 30, 2007</b>
<i>Chlorovinyls</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	\$ 833	\$ (87)	\$ (746)	\$
<i>Window and door profiles and mouldings products</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	3,950	(750)	(515)	2,685
<i>Outdoor building products</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	2,175	(1,036)	(1,103)	36
<i>Unallocated and other</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	3,385	(705)	(911)	1,769
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,343</b>	<b>\$ (2,578)</b>	<b>\$ (3,275)</b>	<b>\$ 4,490</b>

Table of Contents

In thousands	Balance at December 31, 2006	Cash Payments	Foreign Exchange and Other Adjustments	Balance at September 30, 2007
<i>Chlorovinyls</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	\$ 1,468	\$ (1,125)	\$ (343)	\$
<i>Window and door profiles and mouldings products</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	3,293	(2,755)	2,147	2,685
<i>Outdoor building products</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	10,729	(7,286)	(3,407)	36
<i>Unallocated and other</i>				
Involuntary termination benefits	5,897	(5,063)	935	1,769
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,387</b>	<b>\$ (16,229)</b>	<b>\$ (668)</b>	<b>\$ 4,490</b>

In March 2008, we initiated plans to permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma polyvinyl chloride ("PVC" or "vinyl resin") plant. The plant ceased operations in March 2008. We wrote down the plant's property, plant and equipment, resulting in a \$15.6 million impairment charge in the three months ended March 31, 2008. Additionally, we incurred \$1.8 million and \$3.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, in connection with plant closing costs consisting primarily of shut-down costs and severance costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. All of the above mentioned charges are included in asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. See Note 10.

## 5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION

We have an agreement pursuant to which we sell an undivided percentage ownership interest in a defined pool of our trade receivables on a revolving basis through a wholly owned subsidiary to third parties (the "Securitization"). As collections reduce accounts receivable included in the pool, we sell ownership interests in new receivables to bring the ownership interests sold up to a maximum of \$165.0 million, as permitted by the Securitization. The Securitization agreement expires on September 18, 2009. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the unpaid balance of accounts receivable in the defined pool was approximately \$232.2 million and \$244.2 million, respectively. The balances of receivables sold were \$165.0 million and \$147.0 million as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

In connection with the amendment of our senior secured credit agreement on September 11, 2008, we executed an amendment to our Securitization since the Securitization agreement incorporates certain defined terms from the senior secured credit agreement. The primary purpose of the amendment was to further increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio for the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. We also increased applicable per annum discount rates by approximately 1.8% for the fourth quarter of 2008 and 2.3% thereafter.

## 6. INVENTORIES

The major classes of inventories were as follows:

In thousands	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Work-in-progress and raw materials	\$ 112,219	\$ 153,256
Finished goods	194,167	213,289
<b>Total inventories</b>	<b>\$ 306,386</b>	<b>\$ 366,545</b>

Table of Contents**7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET**

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>
Machinery and equipment	<b>\$ 1,394,412</b>	\$ 1,437,902
Land and land improvements	<b>95,360</b>	99,364
Buildings	<b>216,476</b>	231,290
Construction-in-progress	<b>31,386</b>	27,875
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	<b>1,737,634</b>	1,796,431
Accumulated depreciation	<b>874,733</b>	829,243
Property, plant and equipment, net	<b>\$ 862,901</b>	\$ 967,188

**8. OTHER ASSETS, NET AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Other assets, net of accumulated amortization, consisted of the following:

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>
Advances for long-term purchase contracts	<b>\$ 91,151</b>	\$ 99,789
Investment in joint ventures	<b>17,649</b>	20,308
Debt issuance costs	<b>40,715</b>	36,316
Prepaid pension costs	<b>28,442</b>	28,867
Long-term receivables	<b>5,965</b>	6,263
Other	<b>3,476</b>	4,719
Total other assets, net	<b>\$ 187,398</b>	\$ 196,262

*Indefinite-lived intangible assets-trade names.* At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we have trade name assets related to the acquisition of Royal Group of \$10.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, with the change resulting from foreign currency translation adjustments.

*Goodwill.* At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we have goodwill primarily related to the acquisition of Royal Group of \$257.7 million and \$282.3 million, respectively, with the change resulting from a decrease of \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the pre-acquisition Quebec Trust Tax contingency and foreign currency translation adjustments.

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

*Finite-lived intangible assets.* The following represents the summary of finite-lived intangible assets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Total estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years is approximately \$4.8 million per year.

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Chlorovinyls</b>		
<b>Gross carrying amounts at September 30, 2008:</b>			
Customer relationships	\$ 1,000	\$ 34,523	\$ 35,523
Technology		31,000	31,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>65,523</b>	<b>66,523</b>
<b>Accumulated amortization at September 30, 2008:</b>			
Customer relationships	(124)	(4,427)	(4,551)
Technology		(5,167)	(5,167)
<b>Total</b>	<b>(124)</b>	<b>(9,594)</b>	<b>(9,718)</b>
<b>Foreign currency translation adjustment at September 30, 2008:</b>			
Customer relationships	57	2,095	2,152
Technology			
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>2,095</b>	<b>2,152</b>
<b>Net carrying amounts at September 30, 2008:</b>			
Customer relationships	933	32,191	33,124
Technology		25,833	25,833
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 933</b>	<b>\$ 58,024</b>	<b>\$ 58,957</b>

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Chlorovinyls</b>		
<b>Gross carrying amounts at December 31, 2007:</b>			
Customer relationships	\$ 1,000	\$ 34,523	\$ 35,523
Technology		31,000	31,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>65,523</b>	<b>66,523</b>
<b>Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2007:</b>			
Customer relationships	(74)	(2,844)	(2,918)
Technology		(3,229)	(3,229)
<b>Total</b>	<b>(74)</b>	<b>(6,073)</b>	<b>(6,147)</b>
<b>Foreign currency translation adjustment at December 31, 2007:</b>			
Customer relationships	125	4,048	4,173
Technology			
<b>Total</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>4,048</b>	<b>4,173</b>
<b>Net carrying amounts at December 31, 2007:</b>			
Customer relationships	1,051	35,727	36,778
Technology		27,771	27,771
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,051</b>	<b>\$ 63,498</b>	<b>\$ 64,549</b>

Finite-lived intangible assets amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$1.2 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$1.2 million and \$4.0 million, respectively.





Table of Contents**9. LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

In thousands	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>Senior Secured Credit Facility:</b>		
Revolving credit facility expires 2011	\$ 125,762	\$ 19,950
Term loan B due 2013	351,246	424,300
7.125% notes due 2013	100,000	100,000
9.5% senior notes due 2014	497,152	496,900
10.75% senior subordinated notes due 2016	197,354	197,207
Lease financing obligation	105,287	112,649
Other	31,002	31,002
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,407,803</b>	<b>\$ 1,382,008</b>
Less current portion	(90,042)	(24,209)
<b>Long-term debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,317,761</b>	<b>\$ 1,357,799</b>

The current portion of long-term debt includes \$39.5 million on our revolving credit facility, \$17.0 million of other debt maturing in May 2009, and \$33.5 million of principal on our term loan B as our expectation of what we expect to pay within the next twelve months. Debt under the senior secured credit facility is secured by a majority of our consolidated assets, including real and personal property, inventory, accounts receivable and other intangibles.

At September 30, 2008 under our revolving credit facility, we had a maximum borrowing capacity of \$375.0 million with \$6.5 million through Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers, Inc. that is unavailable due to their current bankruptcy filing, and net of outstanding letters of credit of \$83.1 million and current borrowings of \$125.8 million, we had remaining availability of \$159.6 million. The availability is subject to restrictive covenants requiring compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and minimum interest coverage ratio. In addition, of the \$125.8 million revolver borrowings and \$83.1 million of letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2008, \$39.7 million relates to Lehman Brothers commitment, and would not be available to us if we paid down the revolver or reduced the related outstanding letters of credit. We are working towards securing other lenders to replace the Lehman Commercial Paper Inc. portion of our revolving credit facility.

Under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent, and 10.75 percent notes, we are subject to certain restrictive covenants, the most significant of which require us to maintain certain financial ratios and limit our ability to pay dividends, make investments, incur debt, grant liens, sell our assets and engage in certain other activities. Our ability to meet these covenants, satisfy our debt obligations and pay principal and interest on our debt, fund working capital, and make anticipated capital expenditures will depend on our future performance, which is subject to general macroeconomic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. On March 14, 2007, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility, which temporarily waived our interest coverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2006, and through May 31, 2007. On May 10, 2007, we executed another amendment to our senior secured credit facility to increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio each quarter generally through December 31, 2009. In addition, this amendment reduced our capital expenditures limitation to \$100 million in 2007, \$90 million in 2008 and \$135 million in 2009. On September 11, 2008 we executed the fourth amendment to our senior secured credit facility to further increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio for the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. Applicable per annum interest rates increased by approximately 2.5% for the fourth quarter of 2008 and 3.0% thereafter for both the Eurodollar rate loans and base rate loans. The capital expenditure limit set forth in the senior secured credit facility was

Table of Contents

decreased from \$90 million to \$65 million in 2008, and from \$135 million to \$65 million in 2009. As of September 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent and 10.75 percent notes. Management believes that based on current and projected levels of operations and conditions in our markets, planned sales of assets, tax refunds, other non-operating transactions, the effect of the previous amendments, cash flow from operations, together with our cash and cash equivalents of \$52.7 million and the availability to borrow an additional \$159.6 million under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2008, we will have adequate funds to make required payments of principal and interest on our debt and fund our working capital and capital expenditure requirements. However, based on recent trends and our current assumptions regarding our operations, future level of debt repayment, and non-core asset sales and other non-operating transactions, we may not be able to meet the restrictive covenants and may not be able to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios in our senior secured credit facility after June 2009 particularly with the tightening of the covenants within our senior secured credit facility. As a result, we are continuing to evaluate our capital structure and to explore options including the possibility of seeking an amendment or refinancing of our senior secured credit facility to obtain a structure with greater flexibility. Although we have successfully negotiated covenant relief and refinanced our debt in the past, there can be no assurance we can do so in the future.

On September 29, 2008, we obtained the consent of a majority of the holders of the 7.125 percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and paid a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The amendment amends certain covenants in the Indenture, and provides a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement was required for the consent fee payment and the Indenture amendment.

*Lease Financing Transaction.* The lease financing obligation is the result of the sale and concurrent leaseback of certain land and buildings in Canada in 2007. In connection with this transaction a collateralized letter of credit was issued in the favor of the buyer lessor resulting in the transaction being recorded as a financing transaction rather than a sale, and the land and building and related accounts continue to be recognized in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The future minimum lease payments under the terms of the related lease agreements at September 30, 2008 are \$1.6 million in 2008, \$6.7 million in 2009, \$6.8 million in 2010, \$7.0 million in 2011, \$7.1 million in 2012, and \$31.8 million thereafter. The change in the future minimum lease payments from the December 31, 2007 balance is due to monthly payments and the change in the Canadian dollar exchange rate during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

**10. ASSET IMPAIRMENTS, GAINS, EXIT COSTS AND OTHER, NET**

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net consisted of the following:

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Assets Held for Sale</b>	<b>Divestiture of Outdoor Storage Buildings Business</b>	<b>Oklahoma City Plant Shut-down</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>
Severance, restructuring and other exit costs	\$	\$ 118	\$ 693	\$ 463	\$ 1,274
Charges for assets writedowns, net			1,115	1,329	2,444
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 118</b>	<b>\$ 1,808</b>	<b>\$ 1,792</b>	<b>\$ 3,718</b>

Table of Contents

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Assets Held for Sale</b>	<b>Divestiture of Outdoor Storage Buildings Business</b>	<b>Oklahoma City Plant Shut-down</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>
Severance, restructuring and other exit costs	\$	\$ 4,055	\$ 1,770	\$ 2,921	\$ 8,746
Charges for assets writedowns, net	3,300	621	16,816	1,756	22,493
Gain on the sale and leaseback of equipment				(2,238)	(2,238)
Gain on sale of land	(28,830)				(28,830)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (25,530)</b>	<b>\$ 4,676</b>	<b>\$ 18,586</b>	<b>\$ 2,439</b>	<b>\$ 171</b>

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.6 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

**11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Legal Proceedings.* In October 2004, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") notified us that we have been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") for a Superfund site in Galveston, Texas. The site is a former industrial waste recycling, treatment and disposal facility. Over one thousand PRPs, have been identified by the USEPA. We contributed a relatively small proportion of the total amount of waste shipped to the site. In the notice, the USEPA informed us of the agency's willingness to settle with us and other PRPs that contributed relatively small proportions of the total quantity of waste shipped to the Superfund site. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we accepted a settlement offer from USEPA. Under the terms of this settlement, we would be required to pay approximately \$64,000 for cleanup costs incurred, or to be incurred, by USEPA, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and protection from contribution actions brought by other parties. The settlement agreement must still be signed by USEPA officials, and then filed with, and approved by, a federal district court.

In August 2004 and January and February 2005, the USEPA conducted environmental investigations of our manufacturing facilities in Aberdeen, Mississippi and Plaquemine, Louisiana, respectively. The USEPA informed us that it has identified several "areas of concern," and indicated that such areas of concern may, in its view, constitute violations of applicable requirements, thus warranting monetary penalties and possible injunctive relief. In lieu of pursuing such relief through its traditional enforcement process, the USEPA proposed that the parties enter into negotiations in an effort to reach a global settlement of the areas of concern and that such a global settlement cover our manufacturing facilities at Lake Charles, Louisiana and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, as well. During the second quarter of 2006, we were informed by the USEPA that its regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana desired to pursue resolution of these matters on a separate track from the regional office responsible for Mississippi. During the second quarter of 2007, we reached agreement with the USEPA responsible for Mississippi on the terms and conditions of a consent decree that settled USEPA's enforcement action against our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility. All parties have executed a consent decree setting forth the terms and conditions of the settlement. The consent decree has been approved by a federal district court in Atlanta, Georgia. Under the consent decree, we are required to, among other things, pay a \$610,000 fine, which was paid in March 2008, and undertake certain other environmental improvement projects. While the cost of such additional projects will likely exceed \$1 million, we do not believe that these projects will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We have not yet achieved a settlement with the USEPA regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana. It is likely that any settlement, if achieved, will result in the imposition of monetary penalties,

Table of Contents

capital expenditures for installation of environmental controls, and/or other relief. We do not know the total cost of monetary penalties, environmental projects, or other relief that would be imposed in any settlement or order. While we expect that such costs will exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

During the first quarter of 2007, we voluntarily disclosed possible noncompliance with environmental requirements, including hazardous waste management and disposal requirements, at our Pasadena facility to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"). We are currently working with the TCEQ to resolve any such possible noncompliance issues. Penalties, if any, for such possible noncompliance may exceed \$100,000. However, we do not expect the cost of any penalties, injunctive relief, or other ordered actions to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Royal Group was under investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP") regarding its prior public disclosures, including financial and accounting matters. In October 2005, Royal Group advised the Ontario Securities Commission, the RCMP and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") of emails and documents authored by a former finance employee of Royal Group that relate to certain financial accounting and disclosure matters. Royal Group understands that the SEC made a referral to the U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, in connection with those documents. In May 2008, Royal Group was advised that it is no longer a target of the RCMP's investigation.

On June 6, 2008, we received notice and a letter of transmittal (collectively, the "Notice") from persons ("Claimants") claiming to own at least 25% of our 7.125% notes due 2013 (the "Notes"), which were issued under an indenture dated December 3, 2003 (the "Indenture") between us and U.S. Bank National Association, the trustee, under the indenture. The Notice asserted that borrowings under our senior credit facility resulted in the incurrence of debt obligations in excess of the amount permitted under Section 3.3 of the Indenture. Believing that all existing indebtedness was incurred in compliance with the provisions of the Indenture, we disputed the Notice. We filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware on June 8, 2008 seeking to enjoin the Claimants and seeking a declaratory judgment to the effect that we were not in default under Section 3.3 of the Indenture (the "Complaint").

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Claimants. In connection with the settlement, the Claimants withdrew their notice of default and the parties dismissed the litigation. The terms of the settlement include mutual releases of the parties and certain restrictions and obligations upon the Claimants with regard to their holdings of our securities, and the payment by us of \$1.4 million of legal fees of the Claimants.

On September 29, 2008, we obtained the consent of holders of a majority of the 7.125 percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and paid a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The amendment amends certain covenants in the Indenture, and provides a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement was required for the consent fee payment and the Indenture amendment. In addition, we are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

*Environmental Regulation.* Our operations are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental quality. These regulations, which are enforced principally by the USEPA and comparable state agencies and Canadian federal and provincial agencies, govern the management of solid hazardous waste, emissions into the air and discharges into surface and underground waters, and the manufacture of chemical substances. In addition to the matters involving environmental regulation above, we have the following potential environmental issues.

In the first quarter of 2007, the USEPA informed us of possible noncompliance at our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility with certain provisions of the Toxic Substances Control Act. Subsequently, we

Table of Contents

discovered possible non-compliance involving our Plaquemine, Louisiana and Pasadena, Texas facilities, which were then disclosed. We expect that all of these disclosures will be resolved in one settlement agreement with USEPA. While the penalties, if any, for such noncompliance may exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that any penalties will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

There are several serious environmental issues concerning the vinyl chloride monomer ("VCM") facility at Lake Charles, Louisiana we acquired from CONDEA Vista Company ("CONDEA Vista" is now Sasol North America, Inc.) on November 12, 1999. Substantial investigation of the groundwater at the site has been conducted, and groundwater contamination was first identified in 1981. Groundwater remediation through the installation of groundwater recovery wells began in 1984. The site currently contains about 90 monitoring wells and 18 recovery wells. Investigation to determine the full extent of the contamination is ongoing. It is possible that offsite groundwater recovery will be required, in addition to groundwater monitoring. Soil remediation could also be required.

Investigations are currently underway by federal environmental authorities concerning contamination of an estuary near the Lake Charles VCM facility we acquired known as the Calcasieu Estuary. It is likely that this estuary will be listed as a Superfund site and will be the subject of a natural resource damage recovery claim. It is estimated that there are about 200 PRPs associated with the estuary contamination. CONDEA Vista is included among these parties with respect to its Lake Charles facilities, including the VCM facility we acquired. The estimated cost for investigation and remediation of the estuary is unknown and could be quite costly. Also, Superfund statutes may impose joint and several liability for the cost of investigations and remedial actions on any company that generated the waste, arranged for disposal of the waste, transported the waste to the disposal site, selected the disposal site, or presently or formerly owned, leased or operated the disposal site or a site otherwise contaminated by hazardous substances. Any or all of the responsible parties may be required to bear all of the costs of cleanup regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal or ownership of the disposal site. Currently, we discharge our wastewater to CONDEA Vista, which has a permit to discharge treated wastewater into the estuary.

CONDEA Vista has agreed to retain responsibility for substantially all environmental liabilities and remediation activity relating to the vinyls business we acquired from it, including the Lake Charles, Louisiana VCM facility. For all matters of environmental contamination that were currently known at the time of acquisition (November 1999), we may make a claim for indemnification at any time. For environmental matters that were then unknown, we must generally make claims for indemnification before November 12, 2009. Further, our agreement with CONDEA Vista provides that CONDEA Vista will be subject to the presumption that all later discovered on-site environmental contamination arose before closing, and is therefore CONDEA Vista's responsibility. This presumption may only be rebutted if CONDEA Vista can show that we caused the environmental contamination by a major, unaddressed release.

At our Lake Charles VCM facility, CONDEA Vista will continue to conduct the ongoing remediation at its expense until November 12, 2009. After November 12, 2009, we will be responsible for remediation costs up to about \$150,000 of expense per year, as well as costs in any year in excess of this annual amount up to an aggregate one-time amount of about \$2.3 million. As part of our ongoing assessment of our environmental contingencies, we determined these remediation costs to be probable and estimable and therefore have recorded a \$2.2 million accrual in non-current liabilities at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

As for employee and independent contractor exposure claims, CONDEA Vista is responsible for exposures before November 12, 2009, and we are responsible for exposures after November 12, 2009, on a pro rata basis determined by years of employment or service before and after November 12, 1999, by any claimant.

Table of Contents

In May 2008, our corporate management was informed that further efforts to remediate a spill of styrene reducer at our Royal Mouldings facility in Atkins, VA would be necessary. The spill was the result of a supply line rupture from an external holding tank. As a result of this spill, the facility entered into a voluntary remediation agreement with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality ("VDEQ") in August 2003 and began implementing the terms of the voluntary agreement shortly thereafter. In August 2007, the facility submitted a report on the progress of the remediation to VDEQ. Subsequently, VDEQ responded by indicating that continued remediation of the area impacted by the spill is required. While the additional remediation costs may exceed \$100,000, we do not expect such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We believe that we are in material compliance with all current environmental laws and regulations. We estimate that any expenses incurred in maintaining compliance with these requirements will not materially affect earnings or cause us to exceed our level of anticipated capital expenditures. However, there can be no assurance that regulatory requirements will not change, and it is not possible to accurately predict the aggregate cost of compliance resulting from any such changes.

Although we are not aware of any significant environmental liabilities associated with Royal Group, should any arise, we would have no third party indemnities for environmental liabilities, including liabilities resulting from Royal Group's operations prior to our acquisition of the company.

## **12. HEDGING TRANSACTIONS AND DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

*Raw Materials and Natural Gas Price Risk Management.* The availability and price of our raw materials and natural gas are subject to fluctuations due to unpredictable factors in global supply and demand. To reduce price risk caused by market fluctuations, we may enter into derivative contracts, such as swaps, futures and option contracts with financial counter- parties, which are generally less than one year in duration. We designate any natural gas or raw material derivatives as cash flow hedges. Any outstanding contracts are valued at fair value with the offset recorded in other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes and any hedge ineffectiveness. Any gain or loss is recognized in cost of goods sold in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The fair value of our natural gas swap contract was a \$0.4 million current liability at September 30, 2008. At December 31, 2007, we had no raw material or natural gas derivative instruments outstanding.

*Interest Rate Risk Management.* We maintain floating rate debt, which exposes us to changes in interest rates. Our policy is to manage our interest rate risk through the use of a combination of fixed and floating rate instruments and interest rate swap agreements. We designate interest rate derivatives as cash flow hedges. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of underlying floating rate debt obligations, with liabilities of \$2.3 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2008, \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. At December 31, 2007, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. These hedges have various expiration dates in 2008 and 2009. The effective portion of the mark-to-market effects of our cash flow hedge instruments is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") until the underlying interest payment affects income. The unrealized amounts in AOCI will fluctuate based on changes in the fair value of open contracts at the end of each reporting period. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the impact on the consolidated financial statements due to interest rate hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial.

## **13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

Under the 2002 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan, we are authorized by our stockholders to grant awards for up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock to employees and non-employee directors. As of September 30, 2008, we had various types of share-based payment arrangements with our employees and non-employee directors including restricted and deferred stock units, and employee stock options.

Table of Contents

*Stock Options.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, we granted options to purchase 784,125 and 579,483 shares, respectively, to employees and non-employee directors. Option prices are equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Options vest over a one or three-year period from the date of grant and expire no more than ten years after the date of grant.

*Stock-Based Compensation related to Stock Options.* The fair value of stock options granted has been estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The use of a valuation model requires us to make certain assumptions with respect to selected model inputs. We use the historical volatility for our stock, as we believe that historical volatility is more representative than implied volatility. The expected life of the awards is based on historical and other economic data trended into the future. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates appropriate for the terms of our awards. The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of dividend payouts. The weighted average fair value derived from the Black-Scholes model and the related weighted-average assumptions used in the model are as follows:

	Stock Option Grants Nine months ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
Grant date fair value	\$ 2.31	\$ 6.98
Assumptions		
Risk-free interest rate	2.86%	4.66%
Expected life	6.00 years	5.77 years
Expected volatility	53%	40%
Expected dividend yield	4.75%	1.67%

A summary of stock option activity under all plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, is as follows:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2008			
	Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding on January 1, 2008	2,464,027		\$ 27.86	
Granted	784,125		\$ 6.74	
Exercised				
Forfeited	(28,785)		\$ 10.04	
Expired	(177,904)		\$ 35.03	
Outstanding on September 30, 2008	3,041,463	6.19 years	\$ 22.16	\$
Vested or expected to vest at September 30, 2008	3,014,391	6.16 years	\$ 22.28	\$
Exercisable on September 30, 2008	1,817,432	4.33 years	\$ 28.60	\$
Shares available on September 30, 2008 for options that may be granted	1,587,271			

Compensation expense, net of tax, for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 from stock options was approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Compensation expense, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 from stock options was approximately \$1.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

*Restricted and Deferred Stock.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, we granted 273,986 and 202,698 shares of restricted stock units, restricted stock and deferred stock units, respectively, to our key employees and non-employee directors. The restricted stock units and restricted

Table of Contents

stock vest over a three-year period and the deferred stock units vest over a one-year period. The weighted average grant date fair value per share of restricted and deferred stock units and restricted stock granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$6.72 and \$19.09, respectively, which is based on the stock price as of the date of grant. Compensation expense, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 from restricted stock units, restricted stock and deferred stock units was \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. A summary of restricted stock and deferred stock units and related changes therein is as follows:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2008			
	Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding on January 1, 2008	324,222		\$ 25.95	
Granted	273,986		\$ 6.72	
Vested	(134,545)		\$ 30.30	
Forfeited	(8,909)		\$ 9.58	
Outstanding on September 30, 2008	454,754	1.18 years		\$ 1,137
Vested or expected to vest at September 30, 2008	445,320	1.17 years		\$ 1,045

As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, we had approximately \$4.2 million and \$5.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation which we will record in our statements of operations over a weighted average recognition period of approximately two years. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$6.6 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. For additional information about our share-based payment awards, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

**14. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS**

The following table provides the components for the net periodic benefit costs for all pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans:

In thousands	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Components of periodic benefit cost:				
Service cost	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,024	\$ 3,961	\$ 3,135
Interest cost	1,843	1,938	5,578	5,452
Expected return on plan assets	(2,761)	(2,567)	(8,280)	(7,690)
Amortization of:				
Transition obligation		20		61
Prior service cost	(141)	121	(405)	312
Actuarial gain	(20)	(12)	(26)	(16)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 125	\$ 524	\$ 828	\$ 1,254



Table of Contents

Our major assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for pension plans are presented as weighted-averages:

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
Discount rate	6.25%	6.00%
Expected return on assets	8.00%	8.00%
Rate of compensation increase	4.26%	4.27%

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we made no contributions to the plan trust. We made direct benefit payments for both the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

In September 2007, upon approval by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, we announced to our employees that we were amending the Georgia Gulf Corporation Salaried Employees Retirement Plan ("SERP") to freeze benefit accruals through December 31, 2007 and, effective January 1, 2008, the SERP was converted to a "Cash Balance" plan with future benefit accruals to be determined under a cash balance formula. Royal Mouldings Retirement Plan participants entered the SERP on December 31, 2007 (the "Plan Merger Date") and the SERP was renamed the Georgia Gulf Corporation Retirement Plan (the "Plan"). Each Plan vested participant was allocated their total pension benefit accrued through the Plan Merger Date. Benefits for the Royal Mouldings Retirement Plan were frozen at December 31, 2004, thus participants were allocated their total pension benefit through that date upon entry into the Plan.

**15. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) INFORMATION**

Our comprehensive income (loss) includes foreign currency translation of assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature, unrealized gains and losses on derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges, and adjustments to pension liabilities as required by SFAS No. 158. The components of accumulated other comprehensive income and total comprehensive (loss) income are shown as follows:

**Accumulated other comprehensive income net of tax**

In thousands	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Unrealized losses on derivative contracts	\$ (1,651)	\$ (2,670)
Pension liability adjustment including effect of SFAS No. 158	3,990	4,205
Cumulative currency translation adjustment	27,375	46,954
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 29,714	\$ 48,489

Table of Contents

The components of total comprehensive (loss) income are as follows:

**Total comprehensive (loss) income**

In thousands	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net (loss) income	\$ (17,402)	\$ 89	\$ (58,955)	\$ (38,702)
Unrealized income (losses) on derivative contracts	1,108	(1,256)	1,019	(529)
Pension liability adjustment including effect of SFAS No. 158	(114)	8,848	(215)	8,911
Cumulative currency translation adjustment	(9,908)	29,710	(19,579)	68,769
<b>Total comprehensive (loss) income</b>	<b>\$ (26,316)</b>	<b>\$ 37,391</b>	<b>\$ (77,730)</b>	<b>\$ 38,449</b>

**16. INCOME TAXES**

In March 2008, we reached a settlement with the provinces of Quebec and Ontario and the Canada Customs and Revenue Agency with respect to their assessments resulting from the retroactive application of tax law changes promulgated by Bill 15, which amended the Quebec Taxation Act and other legislative provisions. Over the last several years, Royal Group, in connection with its tax advisors, established tax structures that used a Quebec Trust to minimize its overall tax liabilities in Canada. Bill 15 eliminated the ability to use the Quebec Trust structure on a retroactive basis. As of December 31, 2007, we had recorded a liability for the unrecognized tax benefit of \$46.1 million related to the Quebec Trust matter. We settled this matter with all relevant jurisdictions by making cash payments totaling \$20.1 million. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$9.2 million related to the reversal of \$5.8 million in interest accrued on this liability and the reversal of \$3.4 million in a previously established valuation allowance for net operating loss carryforwards, the value of which was realized via this settlement. In addition, we reduced goodwill by \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the preacquisition tax contingency. Finally, we were able to release a letter of credit in favor of the trustee for the Quebec Trust of C\$44.0 million.

Our effective tax rates for continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were 12.5 percent and 104.1 percent, respectively. Our effective tax rates for continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were 10.9 percent and negative 5.7 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates as compared to the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate was primarily due to the routine accrual of interest on FIN 48 liabilities under FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ("FIN 48"), the reversal of interest accrued on the Quebec Trust matter, and the valuation allowance in Canada discussed above. As previously disclosed in Note 16 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, we are not recognizing a tax benefit for the net operating losses in Canada as we have determined that we have not met the SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, requirement to allow us to realize such benefits.

**17. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for financial assets and liabilities. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. The statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes a transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. In accordance with FSP SFAS 157-2, we will defer adoption of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until our fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently

Table of Contents

assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. These levels, in order of highest to lowest priority are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Prices that are unobservable for the asset or liability and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Company's own data.

Our financial instruments consist primarily of interest rate swap and natural gas derivative contracts. For further details concerning our derivative instruments, including gains and losses recognized in the current period, refer to Note 12 "Hedging Transactions and Derivative Financial Instruments." Our interest rate swap contracts fall within "Level 2" and our natural gas derivatives fall within "Level 1" of the fair value hierarchy noted above.

**18. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

We have identified four reportable segments through which we conduct our operating activities: (i) chlorovinyls; (ii) window and door profiles and mouldings products; (iii) outdoor building products; and (iv) aromatics. These four segments reflect the organization used by our management for purposes of allocating resources, and assessing performance. The chlorovinyls segment is a highly integrated chain of products, which includes chlorine, caustic soda, VCM and vinyl resins and compounds. Our vinyl-based building and home improvement products are marketed under the Royal Group brand names, and are managed within two reportable segments: window and door profiles and mouldings products and outdoor building products. Outdoor building products include siding, pipe and pipe fittings, deck, fence and rail products, and until March 2008, outdoor storage buildings. The aromatics segment is also integrated and includes cumene and the co-products phenol and acetone.

Earnings of our segments exclude interest income and expense, unallocated corporate expenses and general plant services, provision for income taxes and costs of our receivables securitization program.

Table of Contents

Transactions between operating segments are valued at market-based prices. The revenues generated by these transfers are provided in the following table.

<b>In thousands</b>	<b>Chlorovinyls</b>	<b>Aromatics</b>	<b>Window and Door Profiles and Mouldings Products</b>	<b>Outdoor Building Products</b>	<b>Eliminations, Unallocated and Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Three months ended</b>						
<b>September 30, 2008:</b>						
Net sales	\$ 365,501	\$ 165,457	\$ 124,027	\$ 163,579	\$	\$ 818,564
Intersegment revenues	96,819		866	19	(97,704)	
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	1,810		1,448	460		3,718
Operating income (loss)	27,982	(4,547)	(561)	516	(9,142)	14,248
Depreciation and amortization	18,314	1,230	11,483	3,616	1,828	36,471
<b>Three months ended</b>						
<b>September 30, 2007:</b>						
Net sales	\$ 356,794	\$ 148,936	\$ 147,029	\$ 162,534	\$	\$ 815,293
Intersegment revenues	87,748		835	1,181	(89,764)	
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	(6)		603	1,954		2,551
Operating income (loss)	43,621	(3,076)	8,364	3,828	(8,032)	44,705
Depreciation and amortization	18,079	1,740	12,009	4,603	1,606	38,037
<b>Three months ended</b>						
<b>September 30, 2008:</b>						
Net sales	\$ 1,108,471	\$ 516,118	\$ 328,104	\$ 428,175	\$	\$ 2,380,868
Intersegment revenues	232,589		2,756	1,750	(237,095)	
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	17,797		4,002	7,202	(28,830)	171
Operating income (loss)	64,673	(7,373)	(15,943)	(14,295)	5,520	32,582
Depreciation and amortization	56,269	4,522	34,911	11,516	5,277	112,495
<b>Three months ended</b>						
<b>September 30, 2007:</b>						
Net sales	\$ 1,052,704	\$ 491,827	\$ 381,853	\$ 454,470	\$	\$ 2,380,854
Intersegment revenues	230,941		2,307	9,070	(242,318)	
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	363		2,320	3,008		5,691
Operating income (loss)	84,061	6,983	5,537	2,769	(30,233)	69,117
Depreciation and amortization	52,481	5,258	35,502	13,621	4,714	111,576

Table of Contents

**19. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION**

Our payment obligations under the indentures for our unsecured 7.125 percent senior notes, our unsecured 9.5 percent senior notes, and our unsecured 10.75 percent senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by Great River Oil & Gas Corporation, Georgia Gulf Lake Charles, LLC, Georgia Gulf Chemicals & Vinyls, LLC, and Royal Plastics Group (USA) Limited, Rome Delaware Corporation, Plastic Trends, Inc., Royal Outdoor Products, Inc., Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 12 Inc., Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 13, Royal Window and Door Profiles Plant 14 Inc., and Royal Window Coverings (USA) LP, all of which are wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries") of Georgia Gulf Corporation. The guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several. Georgia Gulf is in essence a holding company for all of its wholly and majority owned subsidiaries. The following condensed consolidating balance sheet information, statements of operations information and statements of cash flows information present the combined financial statements of the parent company, and the combined financial statements of our Guarantor Subsidiaries and our remaining subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). Separate financial statements of the Guarantor Subsidiaries are not presented because we have determined that they would not be material to investors.

Provisions in our senior secured credit facility limit payment of dividends, distributions, loans and advances to us by our subsidiaries.

The intercompany receivable and payable balances between the Parent Company, Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries are reflected in accounts receivable and accounts payable line items and eliminated in the Eliminations column. Historically, we have reflected the aggregation of all the intercompany balances in both the Parent Company and the respective Guarantor Subsidiaries or Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries and then eliminated these gross amounts in the elimination column of the respective supplemental consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, to reflect the intercompany receivable and payable balances between separate legal entities within the Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries we have historically reflected such balances on a gross basis within such individual Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries columns. While the legal right of offset between individual legal entities has always existed, effective January 1, 2008 we have changed our policy for presenting the intercompany receivable and payable balances to reflect our intent to offset such intercompany accounts between legal entities to better reflect the Parent Company, Guarantor Subsidiaries and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries on a stand alone basis and on a basis more consistent with our overall consolidation policy. This change in policy included presenting intercompany receivable and payable balances within the individual Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiary columns on a consolidated net basis. These changes in policy were not made retroactively but would have impacted the prior year December 31, 2007 supplemental Guarantor balance sheet as follows: (i) approximately \$190.0 million of intercompany accounts receivable and accounts payable balances in the Parent Company column would be eliminated to reduce both the receivables, net and accounts payable line items by the same amount; (ii) approximately \$136.0 million of intercompany accounts receivable and accounts payable balances in the Guarantor Subsidiaries column for intercompany balances due primarily between separate legal entities within that column would be eliminated to reduce both the receivables and payables line items by the same amount; (iii) approximately \$326.0 million, representing the sum of these adjustments, would have reduced the elimination amounts for receivables, net and accounts payable within the eliminations column. Giving effect to this policy change, effective January 1, 2008, intercompany balances between entities within the Guarantor Subsidiaries are eliminated within the Guarantor Subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

## Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

September 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 46,372	\$ 6,348	\$	\$ 52,720
Receivables, net	33,240	193,500	234,014	(250,693)	210,061
Inventories		182,718	123,668		306,386
Prepaid expenses		27,162	5,917		33,079
Income tax receivable		2,932	1,047		3,979
Deferred income taxes	213	24,658			24,871
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>33,453</b>	<b>477,342</b>	<b>370,994</b>	<b>(250,693)</b>	<b>631,096</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	233	525,231	337,437		862,901
Long-term receivables affiliates	430,091			(430,091)	
Goodwill		183,565	74,109		257,674
Intangibles, net		35,538	34,190		69,728
Other assets, net	39,014	133,044	15,340		187,398
Non-current assets held-for-sale		678			678
Investment in subsidiaries	1,145,273	146,563		(1,291,836)	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,648,064</b>	<b>\$ 1,501,961</b>	<b>\$ 832,070</b>	<b>\$ (1,972,620)</b>	<b>\$ 2,009,475</b>
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 90,000	\$ 42	\$	\$	\$ 90,042
Accounts payable	164,228	159,416	78,480	(250,693)	151,431
Interest payable	36,735				36,735
Income tax payable			2,618		2,618
Accrued compensation	315	9,300	8,871		18,486
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves		5,184	25,429		30,613
Other accrued liabilities	987	26,342	27,455		54,784
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>292,265</b>	<b>200,284</b>	<b>142,853</b>	<b>(250,693)</b>	<b>384,709</b>
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,212,516	65	105,180		1,317,761
Long-term payables affiliates			430,091	(430,091)	
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits		6,220	31,339		37,559
Deferred income taxes	18,853	99,271	1,705		119,829
Other non-current liabilities	9,427	17,740	7,447		34,614
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,533,061</b>	<b>323,580</b>	<b>718,615</b>	<b>(680,784)</b>	<b>1,894,472</b>
Stockholders' equity	115,003	1,178,381	113,455	(1,291,836)	115,003
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,648,064</b>	<b>\$ 1,501,961</b>	<b>\$ 832,070</b>	<b>\$ (1,972,620)</b>	<b>\$ 2,009,475</b>



Table of Contents**Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries****Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information****December 31, 2007****(Unaudited)**

<b>(In thousands)</b>	<b>Parent Company</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 8,315	\$ 912	\$	\$ 9,227
Receivables, net	190,236	269,477	251,768	(499,868)	211,613
Inventories		242,026	124,519		366,545
Prepaid expenses	98	12,506	7,395		19,999
Income tax receivable		15,837			15,837
Deferred income taxes		25,049			25,049
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>190,334</b>	<b>573,210</b>	<b>384,594</b>	<b>(499,868)</b>	<b>648,270</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	256	568,588	398,344		967,188
Long-term receivables affiliates	485,140			(485,140)	
Goodwill		185,115	97,167		282,282
Intangibles, net		37,731	38,058		75,789
Other assets, net	35,872	146,394	13,996		196,262
Non-current assets held-for-sale		9,076	22,797		31,873
Investment in subsidiaries	1,127,655	147,350		(1,275,005)	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,839,257</b>	<b>\$ 1,667,464</b>	<b>\$ 954,956</b>	<b>\$ (2,260,013)</b>	<b>\$ 2,201,664</b>
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 24,190	\$ 19	\$	\$	\$ 24,209
Accounts payable	312,619	383,024	36,702	(499,868)	232,477
Interest payable	17,752				17,752
Income tax payable		(106)	1,200		1,094
Accrued compensation	844	14,219	17,819		32,882
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits and other tax reserves		7,558	71,873		79,431
Other accrued liabilities	2,402	24,843	32,435		59,680
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>357,807</b>	<b>429,557</b>	<b>160,029</b>	<b>(499,868)</b>	<b>447,525</b>
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,245,169	128	112,502		1,357,799
Long-term payables affiliates			485,140	(485,140)	
Liability for unrecognized income tax benefits		6,315	31,559		37,874
Deferred income taxes	28,243	104,391	1,830		134,464
Other non-current liabilities	11,237	10,643	5,321		27,201
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,642,456</b>	<b>551,034</b>	<b>796,381</b>	<b>(985,008)</b>	<b>2,004,863</b>
Stockholders' equity	196,801	1,116,430	158,575	(1,275,005)	196,801
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,839,257</b>	<b>\$ 1,667,464</b>	<b>\$ 954,956</b>	<b>\$ (2,260,013)</b>	<b>\$ 2,201,664</b>





Table of Contents

## Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 3,563	\$ 661,531	\$ 223,921	\$ (70,451)	\$ 818,564
<b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>					
Cost of sales		622,831	197,076	(63,404)	756,503
Selling, general and administrative expenses	8,130	20,486	22,526	(7,047)	44,095
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net		2,222	1,496		3,718
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>8,130</b>	<b>645,539</b>	<b>221,098</b>	<b>(70,451)</b>	<b>804,316</b>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	<b>(4,567)</b>	<b>15,992</b>	<b>2,823</b>		<b>14,248</b>
<b>Other (expense) income:</b>					
Interest expense, net	(31,842)	3,460	(3,898)		(32,280)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(91)	(6)	(1,767)		(1,864)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	10,766	128		(10,894)	
Intercompany interest income (expense)	4,462		(4,462)		
<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>(21,272)</b>	<b>19,574</b>	<b>(7,304)</b>	<b>(10,894)</b>	<b>(19,896)</b>
<b>Provision (benefit) for income taxes</b>	<b>(3,870)</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1,342</b>		<b>(2,494)</b>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (17,402)</b>	<b>\$ 19,540</b>	<b>\$ (8,646)</b>	<b>\$ (10,894)</b>	<b>\$ (17,402)</b>

Table of Contents**Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries****Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information****Three Months Ended September 30, 2007****(Unaudited)**

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 3,010	\$ 581,489	\$ 252,647	\$ (21,853)	\$ 815,293
Operating costs and expenses:					
Cost of sales		523,585	205,854	(14,630)	714,809
Selling, general and administrative expenses	6,255	23,552	30,674	(7,223)	53,228
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net			2,551		2,551
Total operating costs and expenses	6,255	547,107	239,079	(21,853)	770,588
Operating income (loss)	(3,245)	34,382	13,568		44,705
Other (expense) income:					
Interest expense, net	(31,025)	636	(3,517)		(33,906)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	2,407	13	(4,860)		(2,440)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	36,802	918		(37,720)	
Intercompany interest income (expense)	9,787		(9,787)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	14,726	35,949	(4,596)	(37,720)	8,359
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	14,637	(7,465)	1,531		8,703
Income (loss) from continuing operations	89	43,414	(6,127)	(37,720)	(344)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		101	332		433
Net income (loss)	\$ 89	\$ 43,515	\$ (5,795)	\$ (37,720)	\$ 89

Table of Contents

## Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 9,723	\$ 1,957,596	\$ 588,689	\$ (175,140)	\$ 2,380,868
<b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>					
Cost of sales		1,842,256	530,993	(155,593)	2,217,656
Selling, general and administrative expenses	18,126	62,746	69,134	(19,547)	130,459
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net		(11,619)	11,790		171
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>18,126</b>	<b>1,893,383</b>	<b>611,917</b>	<b>(175,140)</b>	<b>2,348,286</b>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	<b>(8,403)</b>	<b>64,213</b>	<b>(23,228)</b>		<b>32,582</b>
<b>Other (expense) income:</b>					
Interest expense, net	(93,254)	6,480	(11,383)		(98,157)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(294)	(10)	(281)		(585)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	17,500	(1,927)		(15,573)	
Intercompany interest income (expense)	16,152		(16,152)		
<b>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>(68,299)</b>	<b>68,756</b>	<b>(51,044)</b>	<b>(15,573)</b>	<b>(66,160)</b>
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(9,344)	5,888	(3,749)		(7,205)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (58,955)</b>	<b>\$ 62,868</b>	<b>\$ (47,295)</b>	<b>\$ (15,573)</b>	<b>\$ (58,955)</b>

Table of Contents**Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries****Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations Information****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007****(Unaudited)**

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 9,031	\$ 1,758,693	\$ 679,163	\$ (66,033)	\$ 2,380,854
Operating costs and expenses:					
Cost of sales		1,608,308	573,335	(42,813)	2,138,830
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22,434	74,584	93,418	(23,220)	167,216
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net			5,691		5,691
Total operating costs and expenses	22,434	1,682,892	672,444	(66,033)	2,311,737
Operating income (loss)	(13,403)	75,801	6,719		69,117
Other (expense) income:					
Interest expense, net	(91,784)	102	(7,680)		(99,362)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	7,917	25	(4,872)		3,070
Equity in income of subsidiaries	39,354	2,865		(42,219)	
Intercompany interest income (expense)	23,437		(23,437)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(34,479)	78,793	(29,270)	(42,219)	(27,175)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	4,223	2,821	(5,491)		1,553
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(38,702)	75,972	(23,779)	(42,219)	(28,728)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(3,168)	(6,806)		(9,974)
Net income (loss)	\$ (38,702)	\$ 72,804	\$ (30,585)	\$ (42,219)	\$ (38,702)

Table of Contents

## Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (37,857)	\$ 27,758	\$ 2,712	\$	\$ (7,387)
<b>Cash from investing activities:</b>					
Capital expenditures		(35,310)	(8,713)		(44,023)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment and assets held for sale		47,148	30,947		78,095
Net cash provided by investing activities		11,838	22,234		34,072
<b>Cash from financing activities:</b>					
Net change in revolving line of credit	105,813		1,905		107,718
Long-term debt payments	(73,054)	(40)			(73,094)
Intercompany financing	23,099		(23,099)		
Return of internal capital		(1,499)	1,499		
Purchases and retirement of common stock	(110)				(110)
Fees paid to amend debt facilities	(9,823)				(9,823)
Dividends paid	(8,379)				(8,379)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	37,546	(1,539)	(19,695)		16,312
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	311		185		496
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		38,057	5,436		43,493
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		8,315	912		9,227
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$ 46,372	\$ 6,348	\$	\$ 52,720

Table of Contents**Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries****Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007****(Unaudited)**

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 34,725	\$ 46,303	\$ (16,945)	\$	\$ 64,083
Cash from investing activities:					
Capital expenditures	(112)	(57,132)	(15,380)		(72,624)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment and assets held for sale		5,650	73,992		79,642
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(112)	(51,482)	58,612		7,018
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Net change in revolving line of credit	(5,300)		(1,291)		(6,591)
Proceeds from notes payable to affiliates	132,324		(132,324)		
Long-term debt payments	(152,500)	(43)	(378)		(152,921)
Proceeds from sales leaseback of property			95,865		95,865
Purchases and retirement of common stock	(685)				(685)
Dividends paid	(8,325)				(8,325)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(34,486)	(43)	(38,128)		(72,657)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(127)		29		(98)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(5,222)	3,568		(1,654)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		11,400	(1,759)		9,641
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$ 6,178	\$ 1,809	\$	\$ 7,987

Table of Contents**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.****Overview**

We are a leading, integrated North American manufacturer of two chemical product lines, chlorovinyls and aromatics, and a manufacturer of vinyl-based building and home improvement products. Our primary chlorovinyls products are chlorine, caustic soda, vinyl chloride monomer ("VCM"), vinyl resins and vinyl compounds, and our aromatics products are cumene, phenol and acetone. Our vinyl-based building and home improvement products, marketed under Royal Group brands, include window and door profiles, mouldings, siding, pipe and pipe fittings, and deck, fence and rail.

We have identified four reportable segments through which we conduct our operating activities: (i) chlorovinyls products; (ii) window and door profiles and mouldings products; (iii) outdoor building products; and (iv) aromatics products.

**Sale of Business and Assets**

On March 31, 2008, we sold the assets and operations of our outdoor storage buildings business that was previously a part of our outdoor building products segment. The outdoor storage buildings business was sold for \$13.0 million and resulted in a loss of approximately \$4.6 million. In June 2008, we sold an excess tract of land along the Houston ship channel in Pasadena, Texas for net proceeds of \$36.5 million and a gain of \$28.8 million.

**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth our consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the percentage of net sales of each line item for the periods presented.

Dollars in Millions	Three months ended				Nine months ended			
	September 30, 2008		September 30, 2007		September 30, 2008		September 30, 2007	
Net sales	\$ 818.6	100%	\$ 815.3	100.0%	\$ 2,380.9	100%	\$ 2,380.8	100.0%
Cost of sales	756.5	92.4%	714.8	87.7%	2,217.7	93.1%	2,138.8	89.8%
Gross margin	62.1	7.6%	100.5	12.3%	163.2	6.9%	242.0	10.2%
Selling, general and administrative	44.1	5.4%	53.2	6.5%	130.4	5.5%	167.2	7.0%
Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net	3.7	0.5%	2.6	0.3%	0.2	0.0%	5.7	0.2%
Operating income	14.3	1.7%	44.7	5.5%	32.6	1.4%	69.1	2.9%
Net interest expense	32.3	4.0%	33.9	4.2%	98.2	4.1%	99.4	4.1%
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	1.9	0.2%	2.4	0.3%	0.6	0.0%	(3.1)	(0.1)%
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(2.5)	(0.3)%	8.7	1.1%	(7.2)	(0.3)%	1.5	0.1%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(17.4)	(2.1)%	(0.3)	(0.1)%	(59.0)	(2.5)%	(28.7)	(1.2)%
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		%	0.4	0.1%		%	(10.0)	(0.4)%
Net income (loss)	\$ (17.4)	(2.1)%	\$ 0.1	0.0%	\$ (59.0)	(2.5)%	\$ (38.7)	(1.6)%



Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain financial data by reportable segment for the periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the percentage of total net sales or gross margin by segment for each line item.

Dollars in Millions	Three months ended				Nine months ended			
	September 30, 2008		September 30, 2007		September 30, 2008		September 30, 2007	
<b>Net sales</b>								
Chlorovinyls products	\$ 365.5	44.7%	\$ 356.8	43.8%	\$ 1,108.5	46.6%	\$ 1,052.7	44.2%
Window and door profiles and mouldings products	124.0	15.1%	147.0	18.0%	328.1	13.8%	381.9	16.0%
Outdoor building products	163.6	20.0%	162.6	19.9%	428.2	17.9%	454.5	19.1%
Aromatics products	165.5	20.2%	148.9	18.3%	516.1	21.7%	491.8	20.7%
Total net sales	\$ 818.6	100%	\$ 815.3	100%	\$ 2,380.9	100%	\$ 2,380.9	100%
<b>Gross margin</b>								
Chlorovinyls products	\$ 37.4	10.2%	\$ 54.3	15.2%	\$ 107.5	9.7%	\$ 114.9	10.9%
Window and door profiles and mouldings products	11.7	9.4%	24.3	16.5%	22.1	6.7%	51.2	13.4%
Outdoor building products	16.7	10.2%	23.8	14.6%	38.3	8.9%	65.6	14.4%
Aromatics products	(3.6)	(2.2)%	(1.9)	(1.3)%	(4.7)	(0.9)%	10.3	2.1%
Total gross margin	\$ 62.1	7.6%	\$ 100.5	12.3%	\$ 163.2	6.9%	\$ 242.0	10.2%

**Three Months Ended September 30, 2008, Compared With Three Months Ended September 30, 2007**

*Net Sales.* For the three months ended September 30, 2008, net sales totaled \$818.6 million, a slight increase compared to \$815.3 million for the same quarter last year. This slight increase in our overall sales was primarily a result of an increase in our overall net sales prices of 25 percent offset by a decrease in volumes of 20 percent. Our overall average sales price increase is due to higher costs for our raw materials and natural gas. Our overall sales volume decrease is mainly attributable to a decrease in demand in North America for vinyl resins and compounds as North American housing starts decreased 32 percent from third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of this year. In addition, our sales volumes were impacted by hurricanes Gustav and Ike in the U.S. gulf coast region during the third quarter of 2008.

Chlorovinyls segment net sales totaled \$365.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 2 percent compared with net sales of \$356.8 million for the same period last year. Our overall average sales prices increased by 32 percent, primarily as a result of increases in the prices of vinyl resins of 28 percent and caustic soda of 103 percent. The vinyl resins sale price increase reflects higher costs for the feedstock ethylene and natural gas. The caustic soda price increase reflects the tightness of supply resulting from the weak demand for its co-product chlorine. Our overall chlorovinyls sales volumes were down 22 percent primarily as a result of the decrease in demand for vinyl resins of 37 percent and vinyl compounds of 15 percent. Our vinyl resins sales volume decrease reflects a reduction in domestic sales as a result of a decrease in demand, a rationalization of lower margin customers, and disruptions caused by hurricanes Gustav and Ike during the third quarter of 2008, offset partially by an increase in exports. North American vinyl resin industry sales volume declined 12 percent as a result of the domestic sales volume decrease of 13 percent, reflecting the decline in U.S. housing.

Window and door profiles and mouldings products segment net sales totaled \$124.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 16 percent (16 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$147.0 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 17 percent. North American vinyl resin extruded window and door industry sales volumes declined about 22 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a minimal currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the change of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the third quarter of 2008, our window and door profiles and mouldings segment generated about 56 percent of its revenue in the U. S. and the remainder in Canada.

Table of Contents

Outdoor building products segment net sales totaled \$163.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 1 percent (0.4 percent change on a constant currency basis) compared to \$162.6 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 7 percent. North American vinyl resin pipe, siding, fence and decking industry sales volumes declined about 15 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction market. We experienced a minimal currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the change of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the third quarter of 2008, our outdoor building products segment generated about 29 percent of its revenue in the U.S. and the remainder in Canada.

Aromatics segment net sales were \$165.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$148.9 million for the third quarter of 2007. Our overall average sales prices increased 34 percent as a result of increases in the prices of cumene of 30 percent, phenol of 21 percent and acetone of 61 percent. The sales price increases reflect higher costs for the feedstocks benzene and propylene. The North American phenol and acetone industries' operating rates were approximately 78 percent for the third quarter of 2008, or 7 percent lower than the same period last year. Our overall aromatics sales volumes decreased 17 percent primarily as a result of a decrease in phenol and acetone sales of 33 and 40 percent, respectively. The phenol and acetone sales volume decrease is due to weak demand in North America reflecting the decline in the U.S. housing and construction markets. In addition, our sales volumes were impacted by hurricanes Gustav and Ike in the U.S. gulf coast region during the third quarter of 2008.

*Gross Margin.* Total gross margin decreased from 12.3 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007, to 7.6 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008. This \$38.4 million decrease is due to lower overall sales volumes and higher feedstock costs and was partially offset by an increase in overall sales prices. Some of our primary raw materials and natural gas costs in our chemical segments normally track crude oil and natural gas industry prices. Crude oil and natural gas industry prices experienced increases of 56 percent and 66 percent, respectively, from the third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of 2008. We have implemented several cost savings initiatives during 2008 including the temporary closure of our 450 million pound vinyl resin plant in Sarnia, Ontario and the permanent closure of our 500 million pound vinyl resin plant in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma resulting in a number of cost reductions including a decrease in labor cost related to cost of sales of about \$8.5 million compared to the third quarter of 2007.

Chlorovinyls segment gross margin decreased from 15.2 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007 to 10.2 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008. This \$16.9 million decrease primarily is due to decreases in sales volumes for most of our chlorovinyls products and increases in our raw materials and natural gas costs. Our overall raw materials and natural gas costs in the third quarter of 2008 increased 46 percent compared to same quarter of 2007. Our chlorovinyls operating rate decreased from about 88 percent for the third quarter of 2007 to about 69 percent for the third quarter of 2008. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant, which had a 500 million pound, annualized capacity and moved the production requirements of our customers to our other manufacturing locations. In addition, our sales volumes were impacted by inclement weather conditions in the U.S. gulf coast region caused by increased hurricane activity compared to the same period last year.

Window and door profiles and mouldings segment gross margin decreased from 16.5 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007 to 9.4 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008. This \$12.6 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 33 percent from the third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of 2008.

Outdoor building products segment gross margin decreased from 14.6 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007, to 10.2 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30,

Table of Contents

2008. This \$7.1 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 33 percent from the third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of 2008.

Aromatics segment gross margin decreased from negative 1.3 percent of sales for three months ended September 30, 2007, to a negative 2.2 percent of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008. This \$1.7 million decrease from the same quarter last year is due primarily to decreases in phenol and acetone sales volumes as well as higher benzene and propylene costs. Overall raw material costs increased 27 percent primarily as a result of increases in benzene and propylene costs from the third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of 2008.

*Impact from Hurricanes Ike and Gustav on the three months ended September 30, 2008.* Hurricanes Ike and Gustav impacted the U.S. Gulf Coast region during the first two weeks of September of 2008 resulting in a significant disruption of our operations and minor property damage at our Louisiana and Texas facilities. Certain manufacturing plants were shut down in an orderly manner just prior to the hurricanes and were down or running at reduced rates as a result of the disruption to feedstock and energy supplies, and transportation networks in the region. As of September 30, 2008, all of our impacted plants returned to near normal operations. We estimate that these events negatively impacted our operating income by approximately \$27.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008 as a result of repairs and maintenance costs, unabsorbed fixed costs and lost sales resulting from the hurricanes. In addition, based on current projections of costs related to the hurricanes, we believe it is unlikely that we will be able to recover any material amount under our commercial insurance policies.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$44.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, a 17 percent decrease from the \$53.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. This \$9.1 million decrease reflects our cost saving initiatives in our window and door profiles and mouldings and outdoor building product segments that collectively declined \$8.6 million including a decrease in payroll related cost of \$3.0 million and advertising, commission and promotional expense of \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the same period last year.

*Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net.* In the three months ended September 30, 2008, we wrote down assets in our building and home improvement operations and our chemicals operations for \$1.3 and \$0.3 million, respectively. We also incurred severance, restructuring and other costs of \$2.1 million that consisted primarily of remaining wind-down cost associated with the March 2008 closure of our Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, there were costs of \$2.6 million related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

*Interest Expense, net.* Interest expense, net decreased to \$32.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, from \$33.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. This decrease of \$1.6 million was primarily attributable to lower average debt balances and interest rates offset by lower capitalized interest on property, plant and equipment and construction in progress during the third quarter of 2007 compared to the same quarter last year.

*Benefit and Provision for Income Taxes.* The benefit for income taxes from continuing operations was \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with an income tax provision of \$8.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Pre-tax loss from continuing operations was \$19.9 million for the third quarter of 2008 compared to a pre-tax income from continuing operations of \$8.4 million for the third quarter of 2007. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 12.5 percent and 104.1 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates was due to the routine accrual of interest on FIN 48 liabilities and the valuation allowance resulting

Table of Contents

from not recognizing a tax benefit for the net operating losses in Canada as we have determined that we have not met the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, criteria to realize such benefits.

*Loss from Discontinued Operations.* Subsequent to the Royal Group acquisition on October 3, 2006, we began to exit several non-core businesses. Certain businesses qualified as discontinued operations under generally accepted accounting principles. There was no activity in our discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with net income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008, Compared With Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007**

*Net Sales.* For both the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, net sales were \$2.38 billion. Our overall sales volume decrease of 14 percent was offset by an increase in our overall net sales prices of 15 percent. Our overall sales volume decrease is mainly attributable to a decrease in demand for vinyl resins and compounds as North American housing starts decreased 30 percent from the first nine months of 2007 to the same period of this year. Our overall average sales price increase is due to higher costs for our raw materials and natural gas and a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada of about 7 percent resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar.

Chlorovinyls segment net sales totaled \$1.11 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 5 percent compared with net sales of \$1.05 billion for the same period last year. Our overall average sales prices increased by 24 percent, primarily as a result of increases in the prices of vinyl resins of 28 percent and caustic soda of 71 percent. The vinyl resins sales price increase reflects higher costs for the feedstock ethylene and natural gas. The caustic soda price increase reflects the tightness of supply resulting from the weak demand for its co-product chlorine. Our overall chlorovinyls sales volumes were down 15 percent primarily as a result of the decrease in demand for vinyl resins of 28 percent and vinyl compounds of 15 percent. Our vinyl resins sales volume decrease reflects a decrease in domestic sales as a result of a decrease in demand, a rationalization of lower margin customers, and the disruptions caused by hurricanes Gustav and Ike during the third quarter of 2008, offset partially by an increase in exports. North American vinyl resin industry sales volume declined 7 percent as a result of the domestic sales volume decrease of 12 percent, reflecting the decline in U.S. housing starts, offset partially by an increase in exports of 47 percent.

Window and door profiles and mouldings products segment net sales totaled \$328.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 14 percent (17 percent decrease on a constant currency basis) compared to \$381.9 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 15 percent. North American vinyl resin extruded window and doors industry sales volumes declined about 13 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the first nine months of 2008, our window and door profiles and mouldings segment generated about 57 percent of its revenue in the U. S. and the remainder in Canada.

Outdoor building products segment net sales totaled \$428.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 6 percent (11 percent change on a constant currency basis) compared to \$454.5 million for the same period last year. Our overall sales volumes decreased 16 percent. North American vinyl resin pipe, siding, fence and decking industry sales volumes declined about 15 percent reflecting the decline in U.S. housing and construction. We experienced a favorable currency impact on our sales in Canada resulting from the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar. During the first nine months of 2008, our outdoor building products segment generated about 32 percent of its revenue in the U.S. and the remainder in Canada.

Aromatics segment net sales were \$516.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 5 percent compared to \$491.8 million for the same period last year. Our overall average sales

Table of Contents

prices increased 18 percent as a result of increases in the prices of cumene of 19 percent, phenol of 9 percent and acetone of 27 percent. The sales price increases reflect higher costs for the feedstocks benzene and propylene. The North American phenol industry operating rate was approximately 83 percent during the first nine months of 2008, compared to 87 percent 2007. Our overall aromatics sales volumes decreased 11 percent as a result of decline in cumene of 6 percent, phenol of 16 percent and acetone of 13 percent. The cumene sales volume decrease reflects the loss of additional sales in the first half of 2007 that resulted from several competitors' unscheduled plant outages along with a strong export market. The phenol sales volume decrease is due to weak demand in North America reflecting the decline in the U.S. housing market. In addition, our sales volumes were impacted by hurricanes Gustav and Ike in the U.S. gulf coast region during the third quarter of 2008.

*Gross Margin.* Total gross margin decreased from 10.2 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, to 6.9 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This \$78.8 million decrease is due to lower overall sales volumes and higher feedstock costs and was partially offset by an increase in overall sales prices. Some of our primary raw materials and natural gas costs in our chemical segments normally track crude oil and natural gas industry prices. Crude oil and natural gas industry prices experienced increases of 71 percent and 43 percent, respectively, from the first nine months of 2007 to the same period of 2008. We have implemented several cost savings initiatives during 2008 including the temporary closure of our 450 million pound vinyl resin plant in Sarnia, Ontario and the permanent closure of our 500 million pound vinyl resin plant in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma resulting in a number of cost reductions including a decrease in labor cost related to cost of sales of about \$20.2 million compared to the first nine months of 2007.

Chlorovinyls segment gross margin decreased slightly from 10.9 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to 9.7 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This \$7.4 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes for most of our chlorovinyls products and increases in our raw materials and natural gas costs offset partially by an increase in overall sales prices. Our overall raw materials and natural gas costs during the first nine months of 2008 increased 42 percent compared to same period last year. Our chlorovinyls operating rate decreased from about 81 percent for the first nine months of 2007 to about 63 percent for the first nine months of 2008. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant that had a 500 million pound annualized capacity and moved the production requirements of our customers to our other manufacturing locations.

Window and door profiles and mouldings segment gross margin decreased from 13.4 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to 6.7 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This \$29.1 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 34 percent from the first nine months of 2007 to the first nine months of 2008.

Outdoor building products segment gross margin decreased from 14.4 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, to 8.9 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This \$27.3 million decrease primarily reflects decreases in sales volumes and increases in our raw materials costs. The industry price of vinyl resins, this segment's primary raw material, increased about 34 percent from the first nine months of 2007 to the first nine months of 2008.

Aromatics segment gross margin decreased from 2.1 percent of sales for nine months ended September 30, 2007, to a negative 0.9 percent of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This \$15.0 million decrease from the first nine months of last year is due primarily to decreases in sales volumes as well as an increase in our benzene and propylene raw material cost which were not fully offset by increases in sales prices for all of our aromatics products. Overall raw material costs increased 16 percent primarily as a result of increases in benzene and propylene costs from the first nine months of 2007 to the first nine months of 2008.

Table of Contents

*Impact from Hurricanes Ike and Gustav on the nine months ended September 30, 2008.* Hurricanes Ike and Gustav impacted the U.S. Gulf Coast region during the first two weeks of September of 2008 resulting in a significant disruption of our operations and minor property damage at our Louisiana and Texas facilities. Certain manufacturing plants were shut down in an orderly manner just prior to the hurricanes and were down or running at reduced rates as a result of the disruption to feedstock and energy supplies, and transportation networks in the region. As of September 30, 2008, all of our impacted plants returned to near normal operations. We estimate that these events negatively impacted our operating income by approximately \$27.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as a result of repairs and maintenance costs, unabsorbed fixed costs and lost sales resulting from the hurricanes. In addition, based on current projections of costs related to the hurricanes, we believe it is unlikely that we will be able to recover any material amount under our commercial insurance policies.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$130.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a 22 percent decrease from \$167.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This \$36.8 million decrease primarily reflects our continued focus on targeted cost saving initiatives. We have reduced selling, general and administrative costs in our window and door profiles and mouldings and outdoor building products segments, collectively by \$23.9 million, which includes decreases in payroll related cost of \$10.8 million, legal and professional fees of \$7.1 million, advertising, commission and promotional expense of \$5.7 million, information systems related costs of \$2.1 million and capital tax expense of \$2.2 million. We have also reduced our chlorovinyls and aromatics segments, collectively, selling, general, and administrative costs primarily as a result of a decrease in our information systems related costs of \$1.8 million and a reduction of \$4.1 million relating to a change in our vacation policy. Additionally, our share-based compensation expense is lower by \$6.7 million. The decreases in selling, general and administrative expenses were offset by an increase in legal and professional fees of \$3.8 million primarily related to the favorable resolution of an alleged notice of default issue during the second quarter of 2008 and an unfavorable currency effect of about \$4.9 million as the U.S. dollar weakened against the Canadian dollar during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year.

*Asset impairments, gains, exit costs and other, net.* In June 2008, we sold land for \$36.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$28.8 million. Additionally, in June 2008, we sold and leased back equipment for \$10.6 million resulting in a \$2.2 million currently recognized gain, a short-term deferred gain of \$0.8 million and a non-current deferred gain of \$7.2 million. In March 2008, we permanently shut down the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma vinyl resin plant and operations were ceased. In accordance with general accepted accounting principles, we wrote down the plant's property, plant and equipment, resulting in an additional \$15.9 million charge. We also incurred a loss on disposition of outdoor storage buildings business of \$4.7 million, a loss on the sale of real estate of \$3.3 million and severance and other costs of \$7.3 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, there were costs of \$5.7 million related to severance, restructuring and other exit costs historically reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as selling, general and administrative expenses, which have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation.

*Interest Expense, net.* Interest expense, net decreased to \$98.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, from \$99.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This minimal change was primarily attributable to lower capitalized interest on property, plant and equipment and construction in progress offset by lower average debt balances and interest rates during the first nine months of 2008 compared to the same period last year.

*Benefit and Provision for Income Taxes.* The benefit for income taxes from continuing operations was \$7.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with an income tax provision of \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Loss from continuing operations before income taxes increased \$39.0 million from the first nine months of 2007 to the first nine months of 2008.

Table of Contents

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 10.9 percent and negative 5.7 percent, respectively. The difference in the rates was due to the routine accrual of interest on FIN 48 liabilities, the reversal of interest accrued on the Quebec tax trust settlement, (described below), and a portion of our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets in Canada, which was realized as a result of the Quebec Trust Settlement and the valuation allowance resulting from not recognizing a tax benefit for the net operating losses in Canada as we have determined that we have not met the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, criteria to realize such benefits.

In March 2008, we reached a settlement with the provinces of Quebec and Ontario and the Canada Customs and Revenue Agency with respect to their assessments resulting from the retroactive application of tax law changes promulgated by Bill 15, which amended the Quebec Taxation Act and other legislative provisions. Over the last several years, Royal Group, in connection with its tax advisors, established tax structures that used a Quebec Trust to minimize its overall tax liabilities in Canada. Bill 15 eliminated the ability to use the Quebec Trust structure on a retroactive basis. As of December 31, 2007, we had recorded a liability for the unrecognized tax benefit of \$46.1 million related to the Quebec Trust matter. We settled this matter with all relevant jurisdictions by making cash payments totaling \$20.1 million. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$9.2 million related to the reversal of \$5.8 million in interest accrued on this liability and the reversal of \$3.4 million in a previously established valuation allowance for net operating loss carryforwards, the value of which was realized via this settlement. In addition, we reduced goodwill by \$16.5 million as a result of the settlement of the preacquisition tax contingency.

*Loss from Discontinued Operations.* Subsequent to the Royal Group acquisition on October 3, 2006, we began to exit several non-core businesses. Certain businesses qualified as discontinued operations under generally accepted accounting principles. There was no activity in our discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with a net loss of \$10.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

*Operating Activities.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we used \$7.4 million of cash for operating activities as compared with \$64.1 million being provided from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The increase in cash used in operating activities of \$71.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was primarily due to the payments made for the Quebec Trust tax settlement, and a decrease in accounts payable and an increase in prepaid expenses. The major uses of cash flow for the first nine months of 2008 were a net loss of \$59.0 million, \$20.1 million for payments made related to the Quebec Trust tax settlement and a \$77.0 million decrease in accounts payable. In addition, included in cash from operating activities is the net gain on asset dispositions of \$26.7 million. The major sources of cash for the first nine months of 2008 were a decrease in inventories of \$47.8 million and an increase in the interests sold in our trade receivables as a result of an increase in eligible receivables under our Securitization program (as described below) of \$18.0 million. The major uses of cash flow for the first nine months of 2007 were a net loss of \$38.7 million, a \$24.0 million decrease in the interests sold in our trade receivables as a result of a decrease in eligible receivables under our Securitization program and a \$115.1 million increase in working capital. Net working capital at September 30, 2008 was a surplus of \$246.4 million versus a surplus of \$200.7 million at December 31, 2007. Significant changes in working capital for the first nine months of 2008 included an increase in cash and prepaid expenses and a decrease in inventory and accounts payable. We continued our focus on working capital management specifically as it relates to inventory and accounts receivable. Additionally, our significant decrease in inventories and accounts payable during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 are directly associated with lower production volumes in our chlorovinyls and aromatics segments as a result of plant outages caused by hurricanes Ike and Gustav. Our facilities sustained minimal physical damage during the hurricanes, but the disruption in feedstock, energy supplies,

Table of Contents

and transportation networks impacted production and sales. Net working capital at September 30, 2007 was a surplus of \$256.1 million versus a surplus of \$203.0 million at December 31, 2006. Significant changes in working capital for the first nine months of 2007 included an increase in our trade receivables, inventories, accounts payables, and current portion of long-term debt. Additionally, the adoption of FIN 48 in 2007 required the reclassification of a significant amount of the related liabilities to non-current liabilities. Our trade receivables increase was due to seasonal increases in sales volumes and prices. Inventory and accounts payable increases resulted from seasonally higher prices and production volumes in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

*Investing Activities.* Net cash provided by investing activities was \$34.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$7.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we received cash proceeds from non-core asset sales of \$78.1 million. These proceeds relate primarily to the sale of the outdoor storage business for \$13.0 million, a sale of real estate in Ontario, Canada for \$12.6 million, a sale of real estate in Manitoba, Canada for \$4.5 million, the sale of a vacant tract of land along the Houston ship channel in Pasadena, Texas for net proceeds of \$36.5 million, and the sale and lease back of equipment for \$10.6 million. During the first nine months of 2007, we received cash proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment and assets held for sale of \$79.6 million. These proceeds primarily relate to the sale of Royal Group's corporate headquarters and two manufacturing facilities located in Woodbridge, Ontario. The \$28.6 million decrease in capital expenditures during the first nine months of 2008 compared with the first nine months of 2007 is primarily attributable to the completion of our Plaquemine, Louisiana vinyl resin modernization project in the latter part of 2007.

*Financing Activities.* Cash provided by financing activities was \$16.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared with \$72.7 million cash used for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was impacted by our adjustment of our cash management activities to maximize our financial flexibility during this time of uncertainty in the global credit markets. As a result we maintained a higher cash balance partially due to \$107.8 million of net additional borrowing on our revolving line of credit that was partially offset by the repayment of \$73.1 million of long-term debt. Third quarter is typically a period when we generate operating cash flow and reduce our borrowings. We normally maintain approximately \$10 to 15 million of cash on hand. At September 30, 2008 we had \$52.7 million in cash. Additionally, Lehman Commercial Paper, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Inc. (collectively "Lehman Brothers"), is a participant in our revolving line of credit representing about 12 percent of our \$375 million revolving line of credit facility. Due to their failure to fund revolver draws, we now have about \$6.5 million of our revolving line of credit that is not available to us. We are continuing to explore opportunities for other institutions to replace Lehman Brothers. During the first nine months of 2007, we repaid \$152.9 million of long-term debt and \$6.6 million in our revolving line of credit offset by \$95.9 million received from lease financing transactions accounted for as a financing. These lease financing property transactions in 2007 primarily related to the lease of four Royal Group manufacturing facilities located in Woodbridge, Ontario. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 we have paid dividends of \$8.4 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. We have suspended the quarterly cash dividend due to the impact of continued weak economic conditions, particularly the U.S. housing market.

On September 30, 2008, our balance sheet debt consisted of \$351.2 million of term debt and \$125.8 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facilities under our senior secured credit facility, \$100.0 million of unsecured 7.125 percent senior notes due 2013, \$500.0 million of unsecured 9.5% senior notes due 2014, \$200.0 million of unsecured 10.75% senior subordinated notes due 2016, \$105.3 million of lease financing obligations and \$31.0 million in other debt. The decrease of \$7.4 million in the lease financing obligations from December 31, 2007 is due to foreign currency translation adjustments. At September 30, 2008, under our revolving credit facility we had a maximum borrowing capacity of \$375.0 million with \$6.5 million through Lehman Brothers that is unavailable due to their current



Table of Contents

bankruptcy filing, and net of outstanding letters of credit of \$83.1 million and current borrowings of \$125.8 million, and we had remaining availability of \$159.6 million. Of the \$125.8 million revolver borrowings and \$83.1 million of letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2008, \$39.7 million relates to Lehman Brothers commitment, and would not be available to us if we paid down the revolver or reduced the related outstanding letters of credit. Over the next twelve months, we expect to pay off \$90.0 million of borrowings, including \$39.5 million on our revolver credit facility, \$17.0 million of other debt and \$33.5 million on our term loan B as our expectation of what we expect to pay within the next twelve months. Therefore, we have classified this debt as current in our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2008. Debt under the senior secured credit facility is secured by a majority of our assets, including real and personal property, inventory, accounts receivable and other intangibles.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of underlying floating rate debt obligations, with liabilities of \$2.3 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2008, \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. At December 31, 2007, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million are current and non-current liabilities, respectively. These hedges have various expiration dates in 2008 and 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we have interest rate swap contracts maturing where we are paying a 5.2 percent fixed rate and receiving 1 month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, on the underlying floating rate debt of \$225.0 million. We currently do not plan renew these contracts.

*Covenants and Restrictions.* Under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent, and 10.75 percent notes, we are subject to certain restrictive covenants, the most significant of which require us to maintain certain financial ratios and limit our ability to pay dividends, make investments, incur debt, grant liens, sell our assets and engage in certain other activities. Our ability to meet these covenants, satisfy our debt obligations and pay principal and interest on our debt, fund working capital, and make anticipated capital expenditures will depend on our future performance, which is subject to general macroeconomic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. On March 14, 2007, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility, which temporarily waived our interest coverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2006, and through May 31, 2007. On May 10, 2007, we executed another amendment to our senior secured credit facility to increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio each quarter generally through December 31, 2009. In addition, this amendment reduced our capital expenditures limitation to \$100 million in 2007, \$90 million in 2008 and \$135 million in 2009. On September 11, 2008 we executed another amendment to our senior secured credit facility to further increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio for the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. Applicable per annum interest rates increased by approximately 2.5% for the second half of 2008 and 3.0% thereafter for both the Eurodollar rate loans and base rate loans. The capital expenditure limit set forth in the Senior Secured Credit Facility was decreased from \$90 million to \$65 million in 2008, and from \$135 million to \$65 million in 2009. As of September 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under our senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125 percent, 9.5 percent and 10.75 percent notes. Management believes that based on current and projected levels of operations and conditions in our markets, planned sales of assets, tax refunds, other non-operating transactions, the effect of the previous amendments, cash flow from operations, together with our cash and cash equivalents of \$52.7 million and the availability to borrow an additional \$159.6 million under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2008, we will have adequate funds to make required payments of principal and interest on our debt and fund our working capital and capital expenditure requirements. However, based on recent trends and our current assumptions regarding our operations, future level of debt repayment, and non-core asset sales and other non-operating transactions, we may not be able to meet the restrictive covenants and may not be able to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios after June 2009, particularly with the tightening of the covenants within our senior secured credit facility. As a result, we are continuing to evaluate our capital structure and to explore our options including the possibility of seeking an amendment or refinancing of

Table of Contents

our senior secured credit facility to obtain a structure with greater flexibility. Although we have successfully negotiated covenant relief and refinanced our debt in the past, there can be no assurance we can do so in the future.

On September 29, 2008, we obtained the consent of the holders of a majority of the 7.125 percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and paid a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The amendment amends certain covenants in the indenture, and provides a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement was required for the consent fee payment and the indenture amendment.

We conduct our business operations through our wholly owned subsidiaries as reflected in the consolidated financial statements. As we are essentially a holding company, we must rely on distributions, loans and other intercompany cash flows from our wholly owned subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to satisfy the repayment of our existing debt. Provisions in the senior secured credit facility and the indentures related to the 7.125, 9.5, and 10.75 percent notes limit payments of dividends, distributions, loans or advances to us by our subsidiaries.

During the first nine months of each of 2008 and 2007, we paid quarterly dividends of \$0.08 per share, totaling \$8.4 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. We have suspended the quarterly cash dividend due to the impact of continued weak economic conditions, particularly the U.S. housing market.

*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement.* We have an agreement pursuant to which we sell an undivided percentage ownership interest in a defined pool of our trade receivables on a revolving basis through a wholly owned subsidiary to third parties (the "Securitization"). Our Securitization provides one of our cheapest sources of funds and enables us to reduce our annual interest expense. The funded balance has the effect of reducing accounts receivable and short-term liabilities by the same amount. The Securitization expires on September 18, 2009. As collections reduce accounts receivable included in the pool, we sell ownership interests in new receivables to bring the ownership interests sold up to a maximum of \$165.0 million, as permitted by the Securitization. The balance in the interest of receivables sold at September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, was \$165.0 million and \$147.0 million, respectively.

Continued availability of the Securitization is conditioned upon compliance with covenants, related primarily to operation of the Securitization as set forth in the related agreements. In connection with the amendment of our senior secured credit agreement on September 11, 2008, we executed an amendment to our Securitization since the Company's Securitization agreement incorporates certain defined terms from the senior secured credit agreement. The primary purpose of the amendment was to further increase our leverage ratio and to decrease our interest coverage ratio for the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. We also increased applicable per annum discount rates by approximately 1.8% for the fourth quarter of 2008 and 2.3% thereafter. As of September 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all such covenants. If the Securitization agreement was terminated, we would not be required to repurchase previously sold receivables, but would be prevented from selling additional receivables to the third parties. In the event that the Securitization agreement was terminated, we would have to source these funding requirements with availability under our senior credit facility or obtain alternative financing.

Table of Contents

*Contractual Obligations.* Our aggregate future payments under contractual obligations by category at September 30, 2008, were as follows:

In millions	Total	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013 and thereafter
<b>Contractual obligations:</b>							
Long-term debt principal	\$1,311	\$ 1	\$ 20	\$ 3	\$129	\$101	\$ 1,057
Long-term debt interest	691	32	129	117	114	106	193
Operating lease obligations	105	8	27	19	12	11	28
Lease financing obligations	61	1	7	7	7	7	32
Purchase obligations	4,469	394	1,192	748	668	517	950
Asset retirement obligations	11						11
Uncertain income tax positions	22	22					
Other	1	1					
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$6,671</b>	<b>\$459</b>	<b>\$1,375</b>	<b>\$894</b>	<b>\$930</b>	<b>\$742</b>	<b>\$ 2,271</b>

*Long-Term Debt.* Long-term debt includes principal and interest payments based upon our interest rates as of September 30, 2008. Long-term debt obligations are listed based on when they are contractually due.

*Operating Lease Obligations.* We lease railcars, storage terminals, computer equipment, automobiles, production plants, warehouses and office space under non-cancelable operating leases with varying maturities through the year 2014. We do not have significant capital lease obligations as of September 30, 2008.

*Lease Financing Obligations.* We lease land and buildings for certain of our Canadian manufacturing facilities under leases with varying maturities through the year 2017.

*Purchase Obligations.* Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms. We have certain long-term raw material supply contracts and energy purchase agreements with various terms extending through 2014. These commitments are designed to assure sources of supply for our normal requirements. Amounts are based upon contractual raw material volumes and market rates at September 30, 2008.

*Uncertain Income Tax Positions.* We recognized a liability for our unrecognized income tax benefits of approximately \$59.9 million as of September 30, 2008. Of this amount, \$22.3 million relates to audits and other tax matters that we are likely to pay within the next twelve month period. The ultimate resolution and timing for remaining matters remains uncertain and, therefore, they are excluded from the above table.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Form 10-Q and other communications to stockholders may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to, among other things, our outlook for future periods, supply and demand, pricing trends and market forces within the chemical and building products industries, cost reduction strategies and their results, planned capital expenditures, planned divestitures, long-term objectives of management and other statements of expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Predictions of future results contain a measure of uncertainty and, accordingly, actual results could differ materially due to various factors. Factors that could change forward-looking statements are, among others:

our ability to comply with the financial covenants and operate our business in compliance with restrictions contained in our senior secured credit facility and indentures;

Table of Contents

our high degree of leverage and significant debt service obligations;

availability and pricing of raw materials;

the impacts of the current economic downturn in the housing and construction markets and potential future downturns;

our ability to borrow funds under our senior secured credit facility;

changes in the general economy;

our ability to penetrate new geographic markets and introduce new products;

changes in demand for our products or increases in overall industry capacity that could affect production volumes and/or pricing;

changes and/or seasonality and cyclicalities in the industries to which our products are sold;

the risk that our and Royal Group's businesses will not be integrated successfully;

the risk that the cost savings and any other synergies from our acquisition of Royal Group may take longer to realize than expected or may not be fully realized;

disruption from our acquisition of Royal Group making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers;

the risks associated with establishing enterprise-wide infrastructure such as information systems;

risks associated with ensuring effective internal controls over financial reporting for Royal Group's operations;

the outcome of the pending investigations of, and pending and threatened lawsuits against, Royal Group;

risks associated with any potential failures of our joint venture partners to fulfill their obligations;

changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

technological changes affecting production;

difficulty in plant operations and product transportation;

governmental and environmental regulations; and

other unforeseen circumstances.

A number of these factors are discussed in this Form 10-Q and in our other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we have not made any significant changes to our critical accounting policies listed in Part II. Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

**Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

For a discussion of certain market risks related to Georgia Gulf, see Part II, Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There have been no significant developments with respect to our exposure to market risk during the quarter ended September 30, 2008.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

*Controls and Procedures.* We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of Georgia Gulf management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act"). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2008.

*Changes in Internal Control.* There were no changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2008, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

In October 2004, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") notified us that we have been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") for a Superfund site in Galveston, Texas. The site is a former industrial waste recycling, treatment and disposal facility. Over one thousand PRPs, have been identified by the USEPA. We contributed a relatively small proportion of the total amount of waste shipped to the site. In the notice, the USEPA informed us of the agency's willingness to settle with us and other PRPs that contributed relatively small proportions of the total quantity of waste shipped to the Superfund site. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we accepted a settlement offer from USEPA. Under the terms of this settlement, we would be required to pay approximately \$64,000 for cleanup costs incurred, or to be incurred, by USEPA, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and protection from contribution actions brought by other parties. The settlement agreement must still be signed by USEPA officials, and then filed with, and approved by, a federal district court.

In August 2004 and January and February 2005, the USEPA conducted environmental investigations of our manufacturing facilities in Aberdeen, Mississippi and Plaquemine, Louisiana, respectively. The USEPA informed us that it has identified several "areas of concern," and indicated that such areas of concern may, in its view, constitute violations of applicable requirements, thus warranting monetary penalties and possible injunctive relief. In lieu of pursuing such relief through its traditional enforcement process, the USEPA proposed that the parties enter into negotiations in an effort to reach a global settlement of the areas of concern and that such a global settlement cover our manufacturing facilities at Lake Charles, Louisiana and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, as well. During the second quarter of 2006, we were informed by the USEPA that its regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana desired to pursue resolution of these matters on a separate track from the regional office responsible for Mississippi. During the second quarter of 2007, we reached agreement with the USEPA responsible for Mississippi on the terms and conditions of a consent decree that settled USEPA's enforcement action against our Aberdeen, Mississippi facility. All parties have executed a consent decree setting forth the terms and conditions of the settlement. The consent decree has been approved by a federal district court in Atlanta, Georgia. Under the consent decree, we are required to, among other things, pay a \$610,000 fine, which was paid in March 2008, and undertake certain other environmental improvement projects. While the cost of such additional projects will likely exceed \$1 million, we do not believe that these projects will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We have not yet achieved a settlement with the USEPA regional office responsible for Oklahoma and Louisiana. It is likely that any settlement, if achieved, will result in the imposition of monetary penalties, capital expenditures for installation of environmental controls, and/or other relief. We do not know the total cost of monetary penalties, environmental projects, or other relief that would be imposed in any settlement or order. While we expect that such costs will exceed \$100,000, we do not expect that such costs will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

During the first quarter of 2007, we voluntarily disclosed possible noncompliance with environmental requirements, including hazardous waste management and disposal requirements, at our Pasadena facility to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ"). We are currently working with the TCEQ to resolve any such possible noncompliance issues. Penalties, if any, for such possible noncompliance may exceed \$100,000. However, we do not expect the cost of any penalties, injunctive relief, or other ordered actions to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Royal Group was under investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP") regarding its prior public disclosures, including financial and accounting matters. In October 2005, Royal Group advised the Ontario Securities Commission, the RCMP and the SEC of emails and documents authored by a former finance employee of Royal Group that relate to certain financial accounting and disclosure matters. Royal Group understands that the SEC made a referral to the U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal

Table of Contents

Division, in connection with those documents. In May 2008, Royal Group was advised that it is no longer a target of the RCMP's investigation.

On June 6, 2008, we received notice and a letter of transmittal (collectively, the "Notice") from persons ("Claimants") claiming to own at least 25% of our 7.125 percent notes due 2013 (the "Notes"), which were issued under an indenture dated December 3, 2003 (the "Indenture") between us and U.S. Bank National Association, the trustee, under the indenture. The Notice asserted that borrowings under our senior credit facility resulted in the incurrence of debt obligations in excess of the amount permitted under Section 3.3 of the Indenture. Believing that all existing indebtedness was incurred in compliance with the provisions of the Indenture, we disputed the Notice. We filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware on June 8, 2008 seeking to enjoin the Claimants and seeking a declaratory judgment to the effect that we were not in default under Section 3.3 of the Indenture (the "Complaint").

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Claimants. In connection with the settlement, the Claimants withdrew their notice of default, and the parties dismissed the litigation. The terms of the settlement include mutual releases of the parties, certain restrictions and obligations upon the Claimants with regard to their holdings of our securities, and the payment by us of \$1.4 million of legal fees to the Claimants.

On September 29, 2008, we obtained the consent of the holders of a majority of the 7.125 percent notes to an amendment to the related indenture and paid a consent fee of \$1.5 million to all consenting note holders pro rata to their respective holdings. The amendment amends certain covenants in the Indenture, and provides a waiver of defaults, if any. Approval of the lenders under our bank credit agreement was required for the consent fee payment and the Indenture amendment.

In addition, we are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

There have been no material changes to the information set forth in Part I. Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

**Item 6. EXHIBITS**

**Exhibits**

- \*10.1 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement and Waiver, dated September 11, 2008, among Georgia Gulf Corporation and Royal Group, Inc., as Borrowers, certain subsidiaries of Georgia Gulf Corporation from time to time party thereto, as Guarantors, Bank of America, National Association, as Domestic Administrative Agent and the other lenders party thereto.
- 10.2 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 29, 2008, to the Indenture among the Company, the subsidiary guarantors named therein, and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to SunTrust Bank), as trustee, dated December 3, 2003, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Form 8-K filed October 1, 2008).
- \*10.3 Consent and Agreement in connection with amended and restated receivables purchase agreement, dated as of September 10, 2008.
- \*31 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.
- \*32 Section 1350 Certifications.

---

\*  
Filed here with



Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

Date: November 6, 2008

/s/ PAUL D. CARRICO

---

Paul D. Carrico  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
*(Principal Executive Officer)*

Date: November 6, 2008

/s/ GREGORY C. THOMPSON

---

Gregory C. Thompson  
*Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer*  
*(Principal Financial Officer)*

50

---