EMCOR GROUP INC Form 4

Check this box

July 29, 2014

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

SECURITIES

Washington, D.C. 20549

if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5

obligations may continue.

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

See Instruction

(Print or Type Responses)

1(b).

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person * YONKER MICHAEL T

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol

EMCOR GROUP INC [EME]

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

OMB APPROVAL

3235-0287

January 31,

2005

0.5

OMB

Number:

Expires:

response...

Estimated average

burden hours per

(First) (Last)

447 GOLDEN EYE DRIVE

(Middle)

(Zip)

3. Date of Earliest Transaction

(Month/Day/Year)

X Director 10% Owner Officer (give title Other (specify

(Check all applicable)

07/28/2014

(Street) 4. If Amendment, Date Original

Filed(Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check

Applicable Line)

X Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting

D

Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

below)

BOCA GRANDE, FL 33921

(State)

(City)

1.Title of 2. Transaction Date 2A. Deemed Security (Month/Day/Year) Execution Date, if (Instr. 3) (Month/Day/Year)

4. Securities TransactionAcquired (A) or Code Disposed of (D) (Instr. 8) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5) 5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported

6. Ownership 7. Nature of Form: Direct Indirect (D) or Beneficial Indirect (I) Ownership (Instr. 4) (Instr. 4)

(A) or

Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)

Code V Amount (D) Price

Common 07/28/2014 Stock

49 (1) \$0 37,159 ⁽²⁾ A

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of SEC 1474 information contained in this form are not (9-02)required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of	2.	3. Transaction Date	3A. Deemed	4.	5.	6. Date Exercis	sable and	7. Title an	nd 8. Pr	ice of	9. Nu
Derivative	Conversion	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date, if	Transacti	onNumber	Expiration Date	e	Amount o	of Deri	vative	Deriv
Security	or Exercise		any	Code	of	(Month/Day/Yo	ear)	Underlyin	ng Secu	rity	Secui
(Instr. 3)	Price of		(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 8)	Derivativ	e		Securities	(Inst	r. 5)	Bene
	Derivative		•		Securities	S		(Instr. 3 a	nd 4)		Owne
	Security				Acquired						Follo
	•				(A) or						Repo
					Disposed						Trans
					of (D)						(Instr
					(Instr. 3,						
					4, and 5)						
				Code V	(A) (D)	Data I	7minotion	Title Am	n ount		
				Code V	(A) (D)			Title Am	nount		
						Exercisable I	Date	or	1		
									mber		
								of			
								Sha	ares		

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships							
reporting 6 wher runne / runness	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other				
YONKER MICHAEL T 447 GOLDEN EYE DRIVE BOCA GRANDE, FL 33921	X							

Signatures

Sheldon I. Cammaker, 07/29/2014 Attorney-in-Fact

**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- Represents restricted stock units ("RSUs") issued in respect of already outstanding RSUs as a consequence of a dividend paid on the
- (1) Company's common stock on July 28, 2014. The RSUs issued on July 28, 2014 are subject to the same vesting and forfeiture provisions as the RSUs in respect of which they have been issued.
- (2) Includes shares issuable in respect of RSUs.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. you may revoke your proxy, if you wish, and vote in person. A proxy may also be revoked at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice to, or filing a duly exercised proxy bearing a later date with, our Secretary. If you would like to attend and your units are not registered in your own name, please ask the broker, trust, bank or other nominee that holds the units to provide you with evidence of your unit ownership.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Cary D. Brown Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, general partner of

Reporting Owners 2

Legacy Reserves LP

303 W. Wall, Suite 1400 Midland, Texas 79701

NOTICE OF THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of the Unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP, or the Partnership, will be held on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 10:30 a.m. local time at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701 for the following purposes:

1. To elect seven (7) directors to the board of directors of our general partner, each to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Unitholders;

2. To ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Partnership for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and

3. To transact any other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof, including, without limitation, the adjournment of the annual meeting in order to solicit additional votes from unitholders in favor of adopting the foregoing proposals.

Only unitholders of record at the close of business on March 28, 2013, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. A list of such unitholders will be open to examination, during regular business hours, by any unitholder for at least ten days prior to the Annual Meeting, at our offices at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1400, Midland, Texas 79701. Unitholders holding at least a majority of the outstanding units representing limited partner interests are required to be present or represented by proxy at the meeting to constitute a quorum.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Your broker cannot vote your units on your behalf until it receives your voting instructions. For your convenience, internet and telephone voting are available. The instructions for voting by internet or telephone are set forth on your proxy card. If you prefer, you may vote by mail by completing your proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If you do attend the meeting and prefer to vote in person, you may do so.

Please note that space limitations make it necessary to limit attendance at the meeting to unitholders, though each unitholder may be accompanied by one guest. Admission to the meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration will begin at 9:30 a.m. Each unitholder may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver s license or passport. Unitholders holding units in brokerage accounts must bring a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting unit ownership as of the record date. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Cary D. Brown Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, general partner of Legacy Reserves LP

Midland, Texas April 5, 2013

Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Unitholders of LEGACY RESERVES LP To Be Held on Tuesday, May 14, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	1
The Annual Meeting	1
Voting and Proxy Procedures	2
Quorum and Required Votes	3
Additional Questions and Information	4
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	5
Board of Directors	5
Voting	5
Recommendation and Proxies	5
Nominees for Election	6
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	13
Management of Legacy Reserves LP	13
Board of Directors	13
Director Independence	13
Leadership Structure of the Board	13
Risk Oversight	14
Code of Ethics	18
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	19
Introduction	19
Executive Summary	19
Corporate Governance	22
Executive Officer Compensation Strategy and Philosophy	23
Components of Compensation	24
Amended and Restated Legacy Reserves LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)	33
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	36
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	37
Summary Compensation Table	37
Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2012	39
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Fiscal Year-End	39
Employment Agreements	40
Option Exercises and Units Vested in 2012	44
Equity Compensation Plan Information	45
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	46
Director Compensation for the 2012 Fiscal Year	46
MANAGEMENT	47
Executive Officers	47

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	48
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	50
Distributions and Payments to Our General Partner and Its Affiliates	50
Transactions with Related Persons	51
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	52
PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC	
ACCOUNTING FIRM	53
Vote Required for Approval	54
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012	55
OTHER MATTERS	56
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	56
Unitholder Proposals	56
Communications with Directors or the Board of Directors	56
Availability of Annual Report	56

Legacy Reserves LP 303 W. Wall, Suite 1400 Midland, Texas 79701

PROXY STATEMENT
FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON MAY 14, 2013

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

The Annual Meeting

Definitions:

Unless otherwise indicated, the terms Partnership, Legacy, we, our, and us are used in this proxy statement to refer to Legacy Reserves I together with our subsidiaries. The terms Board and Board of Directors refer to our general partner s board of directors.

What is a proxy statement and why is it important?

We hold a meeting of unitholders annually. This year s meeting will be held on May 14, 2013. Our Board of Directors is seeking your proxy to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Unitholders (Annual Meeting). This proxy statement contains important information about the Partnership and each of the matters to be voted on at the meeting. We are mailing this proxy statement to unitholders on or about April 5, 2013. Please read these materials carefully so that you have the information you need to make informed decisions.

You do not need to attend the Annual Meeting to vote. Instead, you may simply complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card, vote on the internet or by telephone as provided on your proxy card.

When and where is the Annual Meeting?

The 2013 Annual Meeting of Unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP will be held on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 10:30 a.m., local time, at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701.

The Petroleum Club of Midland is located on the southwest corner of Wall Street and Marienfeld in downtown Midland. From the Midland International Airport, exit the airport on the south side and cross over and merge onto Business 20 East, which turns into Wall Street. The Petroleum Club is 10 miles east of the airport and is a white, two story building. There is parking behind the building. For your convenience, the Petroleum Club phone number is (432) 682-2557.

What am I being asked to vote upon?

You are being asked to (1) approve the election of the directors nominated to our Board of Directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Unitholders; (2) ratify the appointment of the firm of BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Partnership for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and (3) consider and vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Voting and Proxy Procedures

Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only unitholders of record at the close of business on March 28, 2013, the record date for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to receive notice of and to participate in the Annual Meeting. If you were a unitholder of record on that date, you will be entitled to vote all of the units representing limited partner interests of Legacy Reserves LP, each referred to as a unit, that you held on that date at the Annual Meeting, or any postponements or adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

It is critical that you instruct your broker how you wish to vote your units on Proposal 1. Absent instructions from you, the bank or broker may not vote your units on this proposal and your units will be considered broker non-votes, which will have no effect on the outcome of the proposal for consideration at the annual meeting.

What are the voting rights of the holders of Units?

Each unit is entitled to one vote on all matters. Your proxy card indicates the number of units that you owned as of the record date.

Who is soliciting my proxy?

Our Board of Directors on behalf of the Partnership is soliciting proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting.

What different methods can I use to vote?

By Written Proxy. Whether you plan to attend the Annual Meeting or not, we urge you to complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided. Returning the proxy card will not affect your right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

By Internet. Go to the website set forth on the proxy card and follow the on-screen instructions. You will need the control number contained on your proxy card. Voting by internet is the fastest and lowest cost medium of voting your proxy.

By Telephone. Please dial the toll-free telephone number set forth on the proxy card and follow the audio instructions. You will need the control number contained on your proxy card.

If you properly follow the instructions above in time to vote, your proxy (Micah C. Foster or James Daniel Westcott are the individuals named as proxies on your proxy card) will vote your units as you have directed. Unless otherwise directed by you, your proxy will vote your units:

- For the election of the seven director nominees proposed by our Board of Directors; and
- For the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered accounting firm.

If any other matter is presented, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote proxies held by them in accordance with their best judgment. At the time this proxy statement was first mailed to unitholders, we knew of no matters that needed to be acted on at the Annual Meeting other than those discussed in this proxy statement.

In Person. All unitholders of record may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, we will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your units are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee, you must bring an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the units on the record date.

How may I revoke my signed proxy card?

You may revoke your proxy card or change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at the Annual Meeting. You can do this in one of three ways:

- You can send a written notice in advance of the meeting to our Secretary at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1400, Midland, Texas 79701, stating that you would like to revoke your proxy.
- You can complete and submit a later-dated proxy card.
- You can attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person. Your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not alone revoke your proxy unless you vote at the meeting as described below.
- If you have instructed a broker to vote your units, you must follow directions received from your broker to change those instructions.

You may change your internet vote as often as you wish by following the procedures for internet voting. The last known vote in the internet voting system as of 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 13, 2013 will be counted.

You may change your telephone vote as often as you wish by following the procedures for telephone voting. The last known vote in the telephone voting system as of 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 13, 2013 will be counted.

What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

It indicates that your units are held in more than one account, such as two brokerage accounts registered in different names. You should complete each of the proxy cards to ensure that all of your units are voted. We encourage you to register all of your brokerage accounts in the same name and address for better service. You should contact your broker, bank or nominee for more information. Additionally, our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., can assist you if you want to consolidate multiple accounts registered in your name by contacting our transfer agent at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078, Telephone: (781) 575-4238.

Quorum and Required Votes

How many votes are needed to hold the meeting?

A majority of the outstanding units as of the record date must be represented at the meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. As of March 28, 2013, the record date, there were 57,422,319 units outstanding held by approximately 93 holders of record. Unitholders are entitled to one vote, exercisable in person or by proxy, for each unit held by such Unitholder on the record date. Our partnership agreement does not provide for cumulative voting.

Units are counted as present at the Annual Meeting if:

- the unitholder is present and votes in person at the meeting,
- the unitholder has properly submitted a proxy card, or
- under certain circumstances, the unitholder s broker votes the units.

Who will count the vote?

Representatives of Computershare Trust Company, N.A., our transfer agent, will tabulate the votes.

How many votes are required to approve the proposals?

The affirmative vote of holders of a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting is required to elect directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be taken into account in determining the outcome of the election of directors (Proposal 1).

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the units entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy at the meeting is required for the ratification of our appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 (Proposal 2) and any other matters that properly come before the meeting.

How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?

Abstentions and broker non-votes are included in determining whether a quorum is present.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be taken into account in determining the outcome of the election of directors.

For the purpose of determining whether a proposal other than the election of directors has received a majority vote, abstentions are included in the vote totals with the result that an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against.

With respect to the approval of auditors, brokers have the discretionary authority to vote on this proposal. Therefore, to the extent of any broker non-votes on Proposal 2, these will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

How are proxies solicited?

Proxies may be solicited by mail, telephone, or other means by our general partner s officers, directors and our employees. No additional compensation will be paid to these individuals in connection with proxy solicitations. We will pay for distributing and soliciting proxies and will reimburse banks, brokers and other custodians their reasonable fees and expenses for forwarding proxy materials to unitholders.

Additional Questions and Information

If you would like additional copies of this proxy statement (which copies will be provided to you without charge) or if you have questions, including the procedures for voting your units, you should contact:

Legacy Reserves LP 303 W. Wall, Suite 1400 Midland, Texas 79701 Attention: Dan G. LeRoy

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 14, 2013.

The Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 are available at http://www.legacylp.com/annual-proxy.cfm.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board of Directors

The Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of our general partner provides that our Board of Directors will consist of a number of directors as determined from time to time by resolution adopted by a majority of directors then in office, but shall not be less than seven nor more than nine. Currently, our Board of Directors has seven directors. Each of the nominees for election to the Board of Directors is currently a director of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC. If elected at the annual meeting, each of the nominees will be elected to hold office for a one year term and thereafter until his successor has been elected and qualified, or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.

Voting

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Units represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of the nominees named below. In the event that any nominee should be unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, such units will be voted for the election of such substitute nominee as may be nominated by our Board of Directors. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected, and we have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

Recommendation and Proxies

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees named below.

The persons named as proxies in the enclosed proxy card will vote all units over which they have discretionary authority FOR the election of the nominees named below. Although our Board of Directors does not anticipate that any of the nominees will be unable to serve, if such a situation should arise prior to the meeting, the appointed persons will use their discretionary authority pursuant to the proxy and vote in accordance with their best judgment.

Set forth below is biographical information regarding each director nominee and information regarding the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that qualify the nominees to serve on the Board of Directors. Each of the director nominees is an existing director standing for re-election for a one-year term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Nominees for Election

Name

Cary D. Brown

Principal Occupation

University.

Mr. Brown is Chairman of our Board of Directors and President and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner and has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since our founding in October 2005. Mr. Brown was appointed President effective March 16, 2012. Prior to October 2005, Mr. Brown co-founded two businesses, Moriah Resources, Inc. and Petroleum Strategies, Inc. Moriah Resources, Inc. was formed in 1992 to acquire oil and natural gas reserves. Petroleum Strategies, Inc. was formed in 1991 to serve as a qualified intermediary in connection with the execution of Section 1031 transactions for major oil companies, public independents and private oil and natural gas companies. Mr. Brown has served as Executive Vice President of Petroleum Strategies, Inc. since its inception in 1991. Mr. Brown served as an auditor for Grant Thornton in Midland, Texas from January 1991 to June 1991 and for Touche Ross in Houston, Texas from June 1989 to December 1990. Mr. Brown has a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, with honors, from Abilene Christian

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Brown should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 23 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry and 21 years of experience in the Permian Basin.

6

Age 46

Director Since October 2005 Name Kyle A. McGraw

Principal Occupation

Mr. McGraw is a member of the Board of Directors and also serves as the Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer of our general partner. Mr. McGraw was appointed as Executive Vice President, Chief Development Officer and Secretary effective March 16, 2012, and has served as a director since our founding in October 2005. Mr. McGraw resigned as Secretary on May 9, 2012. Mr. McGraw previously served as Executive Vice President of Business Development and Land of our general partner from our founding in October 2005 to March 2012. Mr. McGraw joined Brothers Production Company in 1983, and has served as its General Manager since 1991 and became President in 2003. Mr. McGraw is a registered professional engineer (inactive status) in the state of Texas. Mr.

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. McGraw should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 30 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry in the Permian Basin, experience as a petroleum engineer and managerial and executive experience attained through his service with Brothers Production Company where he has served in numerous capacities including reservoir and production engineering, acquisition evaluation and land management.

McGraw has a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum

Engineering from Texas Tech University.

Age Director Since
53 October 2005

Name Dale A. Brown

Principal Occupation

Age Director Since October 2005

Mr. Brown is a member of our Board of Directors and has served in such capacity since our founding in October 2005. Mr. Brown has been President of Moriah Resources, Inc. since its inception in 1992 and President of Petroleum Strategies, Inc. since he co-founded it in 1991 with his son, Cary D. Brown. Since 2005, Mr. Brown has been a principal in the Moriah Group, including Managing General Partner of Moriah Investment Partners. The Moriah Group invests in real estate and non-oil and gas production business ventures. Mr. Brown is a retired certified public accountant. Mr. Brown has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Pepperdine University.

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Brown should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: financial literacy and experience as a Certified Public Accountant (retired at age 65) since 1967; the knowledge and experience attained through his service in the petroleum industry since 1972 and managerial experience attained through his service with Moriah Resources prior to the contribution of its assets as part of the formation transactions of Legacy in connection with its private equity offering in 2006.

Name

G. Larry Lawrence

Principal Occupation

Mr. Lawrence has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 1, 2006. Mr. Lawrence is Chief Financial Officer and Vice President - Finance of Natural Gas Services Group (NGSG), a public company that provides small to medium horsepower compression equipment to the natural gas industry, and has served in this position since July 2011. Previously, Mr. Lawrence served as Controller of NGSG from September 2010 to January 2011 before being promoted to Treasurer, Manager of Accounting and Principal Accounting Officer of NGSG in January 2011. From June 2006 to September 2010, Mr. Lawrence was self-employed as a management consultant doing business as Crescent Consulting. From September 2006 to August 2009, he served as Chief Financial Officer on a contract basis for Lynx Operating Company, a private company engaged in oil and gas operations with a primary business focus on gas processing. From May 2004 through April 2006, Mr. Lawrence served as Controller of Pure Resources, an exploration and production company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Unocal Corporation which was acquired by Chevron Corporation. From June 2000 through May 2004, Mr. Lawrence was a practice manager of the Parson Group, LLC, a financial management consulting firm whose services included Sarbanes Oxley engagements with oil and natural gas industry clients. From 1973 through May 2000, Mr. Lawrence was employed by Atlantic Richfield Company, a public oil and gas company (ARCO) where he most recently (from 1993 through 2000) served as Controller of ARCO Permian. Mr. Lawrence has a Bachelor of Arts degree in Accounting, with honors, from Dillard University.

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Lawrence should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: financial expertise and experience as a chief financial officer and controller, Sarbanes Oxley consulting expertise, and financial reporting expertise and the knowledge and experience attained through his years of service in the preparation of publicly audited financial statements.

9

Age Director Since
61 May 2006

Name

William D. (Bill) Sullivan

Principal Occupation

Mr. Sullivan was appointed to our Board of Directors upon completion of our private equity offering on March 15, 2006. Since May 2004, Mr. Sullivan has served as a director and since May 2009 as a non-executive Chairman of the board of directors of SM Energy Company, a publicly traded exploration and production company (formerly known as St. Mary Land & Exploration Company). Mr. Sullivan has served as director of Targa Resources GP, LLC (the general partner of Targa Resource Partners LP) since February 14, 2007. Targa is principally in the gas and gas liquids gathering, processing and logistics services business. Mr. Sullivan has served as a director of TETRA Technologies, Inc. since August 2007. TETRA is principally in the oilfield services business. Mr. Sullivan has served as a director of Compressco Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of Compressco Partners, L.P., since Compressco Partners completed its initial public offering in June 2011. Compressco Partners is a provider of wellhead compression-based production enhancement services and is a majority owned subsidiary of TETRA. From 1981 through August 2003, Mr. Sullivan was employed in various capacities by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, most recently as Executive Vice President, Exploration and Production. Mr. Sullivan has been retired for the past six years. Mr. Sullivan has a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering, with high honors, from Texas A&M University.

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Sullivan should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his significant management experience in midstream oil and natural gas operations and in the exploration and production of oil and natural gas. Mr. Sullivan also has substantial experience in executive compensation matters and in serving on the boards of publicly held corporations and publicly traded limited partnerships operating in the oil and natural gas industry.

Age Director Since
56 March 2006

Name

William R. Granberry

Principal Occupation

Mr. Granberry was appointed to our Board of Directors on January 23, 2008. Mr. Granberry has been a member of the board of directors of The Williams Companies, Inc. (an integrated gas company with exploration and production, midstream, and gas pipeline operations) from November 2005 to December 2011. In January 2012 he became a member of the board of directors of WPX Energy, Inc., which was spun off from The Williams Companies Inc. He has been a member of Compass Operating Company, LLC, a small, private oil and gas exploration, development and producing company with properties in West Texas and Southeast New Mexico since October 2004. From 1999 through September 2004, he managed investments and consulted with oil and gas companies. He invested in and became a board member of Just4Biz.com (a start-up internet company engaged in online office supply) in 1999 and served as Interim CEO for brief periods in 2000 and 2001. Just4Biz.com filed for bankruptcy in May 2001. From January 1996 to May 1999, Mr. Granberry was President and Chief Operating Officer of Tom Brown, Inc., a public oil and gas company with exploration, development, acquisition and production activities throughout the central United States. Mr. Granberry earned Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Petroleum Engineering from the University of Texas and upon graduation, worked for Amoco Production Company for 16 years.

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Granberry should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: expertise in the oil and gas industry which was attained through his 47 years of service in engineering and service in executive positions with companies ranging from a large global energy company to small independents.

Age Director Since
70 January 200

January 2008

Name Kyle D. Vann

Principal Occupation

Mr. Vann was appointed to the Board of Directors upon completion of our private equity offering on March 15, 2006. From 1970 through 1979, Mr. Vann was employed in the refining division of Exxon Company USA, and from 1979 through January 2001, Mr. Vann was employed by Koch Industries. From February 2001 through December 2004, Mr. Vann served as Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Koch, LP, an energy trading and transportation company. Mr. Vann continues to serve Entergy as a consultant and serves on the board and consults with Texon, LP, a private petroleum transportation company. On May 8, 2006, Mr. Vann was appointed to the board of directors of Crosstex Energy, L.P., a publicly traded midstream master limited partnership. From January 2009 through June 2010, Mr. Vann served as an advisory board member for Enexus, LLC, which is a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. In October 2012, Mr. Vann joined CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, a private equity firm, as an Executive Advisor. In November 2012, Mr. Vann joined the board of Chaparral Energy, Inc., a privately held company which is partially owned by CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC. Mr. Vann has a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering, with honors, from the University of Kansas. Mr. Vann serves on the Board of Advisors for the School of Engineering at the University of Kansas, which selected him to

The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Vann should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 42 years of service in the commodity trading business and his background and expertise in risk assessment and leadership in the energy sector.

receive its Distinguished Engineering Service Award in 2012.

Age Director Since
65 March 2006

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Management of Legacy Reserves LP

The directors and officers of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, as our general partner, manage our operations and activities. Our general partner is not elected by our unitholders and will not be subject to re-election on a regular basis in the future. Other than through their ability to elect directors of our general partner as described below, unitholders will not be entitled to directly or indirectly participate in our management or operation.

Our general partner owes a fiduciary duty to our unitholders. Our general partner will be liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Our general partner therefore may cause us to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse to it.

The limited liability company agreement of our general partner provides for a board of directors of not less than seven and not more than nine members.

Our unitholders, including affiliates of our general partner, are entitled to annually elect all of the directors of our general partner. Directors of our general partner hold office until the earlier of their death, resignation, removal or disqualification or until their successors have been elected and qualified.

Board of Directors

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, our Board of Directors held eleven meetings. It is the policy of our Board of Directors to encourage directors to attend each meeting of unitholders. All of our seven directors attended the Annual Meeting held in 2012.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors includes four individuals who meet the independence and experience standards established by the NASDAQ Global Select Market, or NASDAQ, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act: Messrs. Granberry, Lawrence, Sullivan and Vann.

The Board annually reviews all relevant business relationships any director may have with Legacy and the independence standards established by the NASDAQ. Although not required under NASDAQ listing standards, we currently have a majority of independent directors on our Board of Directors.

The audit committee met five times, the compensation committee met nine times, and the nominating, governance and conflicts committee met once during 2012.

Leadership Structure of the Board

As prescribed by the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of our general partner, the Chairman of the Board of Directors has the power to preside at all meetings of the Board. Mr. C. Brown serves as Chairman of our Board and as our Chief Executive Officer. The Board believes that the combination of the Chairman and CEO roles is appropriate in the current circumstances, however, such approach is not established as a policy and the nominating, governance and conflicts committee will re-evaluate this approach periodically.

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee believes that Mr. C. Brown s history as one of the Partnership s founders and his strategic experience make him the appropriate leader of the Board. In November 2010, the committee discussed and considered the appointment of an independent lead director and determined not to appoint one. It is the nominating, governance and conflicts committee s view that Mr. C. Brown in his dual capacity as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer will serve as an effective communication link between the Board and management and there is no need for an independent lead director at this time. The nominating, governance and conflicts committee will re-evaluate its view on the Board s leadership structure periodically.

Risk Oversight

While it is the job of management to assess and manage our risk, the Board and its audit committee (each where applicable) discuss the guidelines and policies that govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken and evaluate reports from various functions with the management team on risk assessment and management. The Board interfaces regularly with management and receives periodic reports that include updates on operational, financial, legal and risk management matters. The audit committee assists the Board in oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements including those related to the health, safety and environmental performance of Legacy. The audit committee also reviews and assesses the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors. The Board receives regular reports from the audit committee. We do not believe that the Board s role in risk oversight has an effect on the Board s leadership structure.

Evaluation of Compensation Risk

Our compensation committee has reviewed our employee compensation programs and overall compensation structure and internal controls. There are several design features of our compensation policy that reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking:

- Annual cash incentive opportunities are contingent upon several carefully-designed objective operational and financial measures (50%) as well as the compensation committee s discretion as to whether and in what amount to award the remaining 50% of the target cash incentive compensation;
- Our compensation policy is designed to provide a balanced mix of cash and equity and short- and long-term incentives;
- The potential payouts pursuant to our annual cash incentives are subject to reasonable maximum limits; and
- Internal controls are in place to assure that payments and awards are consistent with actions approved by the compensation committee.

Taking into consideration the factors above, the compensation committee does not believe that there is a reasonable likelihood that Legacy s compensation policy could have a material adverse effect on Legacy.

Audit Committee

Membership

The audit committee has been established in accordance with Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act. The Board of Directors has appointed Messrs. Lawrence, Sullivan, and Granberry as members of the audit committee. Mr. Lawrence serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of the audit committee has been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent under NASDAQ s standards for audit committee members to serve on its audit committee. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that at least one member of the audit committee (Mr. Lawrence) has such accounting or related financial management expertise sufficient to qualify such person as the audit committee financial expert in accordance with Item 407 of Regulation S-K and NASDAQ requirements.

Responsibilities

The audit committee assists the Board of Directors in overseeing:

- our accounting and financial reporting processes;
- the integrity of our financial statements;

- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- the qualifications and independence of our independent auditors; and
- the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors.

The audit committee is also charged with making regular reports to the Board of Directors and preparing any reports that may be required under NASDAQ listing standards or SEC rules.

Charter

The Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the audit committee, a copy of which is available on our website at *www.legacylp.com*. Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

Compensation Committee

Membership

The compensation committee consists of three members of the Board of Directors, Messrs. Vann, Granberry and Sullivan, all of whom have been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent under NASDAQ listing standards. In addition, each member of the compensation committee qualifies as a non employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and as an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Mr. Vann is the chairman of the compensation committee.

Responsibilities

The committee s responsibilities under its charter are to:

- evaluate and/or develop the compensation policies applicable to the executive officers of our general partner, which are required to include guidance regarding the specific relationship of performance to executive compensation;
- review and approve on an annual basis the corporate goals and objectives with respect to compensation for the Chief Executive Officer;
- evaluate at least once a year the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of established goals and objectives;
- determine and approve the Chief Executive Officer s compensation;
- make recommendations to the Board with respect to the compensation to be paid to the general partner s other executive officers based on the approval of the compensation committee of the Chief Executive Officer s report and recommendation;
- periodically review the compensation paid to non-employee directors (including Board and committee chairpersons) and to make recommendations to the Board regarding any adjustments;
- review and make recommendations to the Board with respect to our incentive compensation and other unit-based plans;
- assist the full Board with respect to the administration of the incentive compensation and other unit-based plans; and
- prepare and publish an annual executive compensation report.

Charter

The Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the compensation committee, a copy of which is available on our website at www.legacylp.com. Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

Nominating, Governance and Conflicts Committee

Membership

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee consists of Messrs. Granberry, Lawrence, Sullivan and Vann. Mr. Granberry serves as the chairman of the committee. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the nominating and governance committee are independent under NASDAQ listing standards. The purpose of the committee is to:

- identify, recruit, evaluate and recommend individuals for election to the Board and the committees thereof as well as to fill any vacancies, consistent with criteria approved by the Board;
- develop and oversee the general partner s policies and procedures regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations relating to the honest and ethical conduct of the general partner s directors, officers and employees, and senior financial officers (as well as the sole responsibility for granting any waivers thereunder); and
- oversee the evaluations of the Board and the committees of the Board.

Responsibilities

In addition to the purposes of the committee listed above, the duties of the nominating, governance and conflicts committee include:

- develop a process to be used by the committee in identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on the Board and its committees:
- annually present to the Board a list of nominees recommended for election to the Board at the annual meeting of unitholders;
- evaluate any director candidates recommended by unitholders of the Partnership pursuant to the procedures set forth in the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership of the Partnership to be followed by unitholders in making such recommendations;
- adopt a process for unitholders of the Partnership to send communications to the Board; and
- recommend general matters for consideration by the Board including but not limited to (i) the structure of Board meetings; (ii) director retirement policies; (iii) director and officer insurance policy requirements; (iv) policies regarding the number of boards on which a director may serve; (v) director orientation and training; and (vi) the role of the general partner s executive officers and the outside directorships of such directors.

Further, the nominating, governance and conflicts committee, at the request of the Board of Directors, will review specific matters that the Board of Directors believes may involve a conflict of interest. The committee will determine if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to the unitholders. Any matters approved by the committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders.

Director Nominations

Under our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership, unitholders desiring to suggest a Board nominee must give prior written notice to our Secretary regarding the persons to be nominated. The notice must be received at our principal executive offices at the address shown on the cover page within the specified period and must be accompanied by the information and documents specified in the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership. A copy of the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership may be obtained by writing to our Secretary at the address shown on the cover page of this proxy statement.

Recommendations by unitholders for directors to be nominated at the 2014 annual meeting of unitholders must be in writing and include sufficient biographical and other relevant information such that an informed judgment as to the proposed nominee squalifications can be made and the name address and the class and number of units owned by such unitholder. Recommendations must be accompanied by a notarized statement executed by the proposed nominee consenting to be named in the proxy statement, if nominated, and to serve as a director, if elected. Notice and the accompanying information must be received at our principal executive office at the address shown on the cover page of this proxy statement no later than January 9, 2014 and no earlier than December 26, 2013.

The amended and restated agreement of limited partnership does not affect any unitholder s right to request inclusion of proposals in our proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Rule 14a-8 specifies what constitutes timely submission for a unitholder proposal to be included in our proxy statement. Under the SEC s proxy solicitation rules, in order to be considered for inclusion in the proxy materials for the 2014 annual meeting of unitholders, proposals must be received by our Secretary at our principal offices in Midland, Texas by January 9, 2014. Unitholders are urged to review all applicable rules and consult legal counsel before submitting a nomination or proposal to us.

Nomination Criteria

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee is responsible for assessing the skills and characteristics that candidates for election to our Board of Directors should possess, as well as the composition of our Board of Directors as a whole. The assessments include qualifications under applicable independence standards and other standards applicable to our Board of Directors and its committees as well as consideration of skills and experience in the context of the needs of our Board of Directors. Each candidate must meet certain minimum qualifications including:

- the ability to dedicate sufficient time, energy and attention to the performance of her or his duties, taking into consideration the nominee s service on other public company boards; and
- skills and expertise complementary to the skills and expertise of the existing members of our Board of Directors in this regard the Board of Directors will consider its need for operational managerial, financial, governmental affairs or other relevant expertise.

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee may also consider the ability of a prospective candidate to work with the then existing interpersonal dynamics of our Board of Directors and the candidate s ability to contribute to the collaborative culture among the members of the Board of Directors.

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee will also evaluate each nominee based upon a consideration of diversity, age, skills and experience in the context of the needs of the Board of Directors. The committee does not have a policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees. Diversity, including diversity of experience, professional expertise, gender, race and age, is one factor considered in evaluating a nominee.

Based on this initial evaluation, the committee will determine whether to interview the candidate, and if warranted, will recommend that one or more of its members, other members of our Board of Directors or senior management, as appropriate, interview the candidate in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview process, the committee ultimately determines its list of nominees and submits it to the full Board of Directors for consideration and approval.

Charter

Our Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the nominating, governance and conflicts committee, a copy of which is available on our website at *www.legacylp.com*. Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

Code of Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applicable to officers, directors of our general partner and our employees including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller, or those persons performing similar functions, of our general partner. The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is available on our website at www.legacylp.com and in print to any unitholder who requests it. Amendments to or waivers from the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct will also be available on our website and reported as may be required under SEC rules; however, any technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendments to the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct may not be posted. Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis of compensation arrangements of the named executive officers of our general partner, Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth below.

Introduction

Our general partner manages our operations and activities through its Board of Directors. Under our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership, we reimburse our general partner for direct and indirect general and administrative expenses incurred on our behalf including the compensation of our general partner s executive officers. Our general partner has not incurred any reimbursable expenses related to the compensation of our general partner s executive officers for their management of us. Currently, our general partner s executive officers are employed by our wholly owned subsidiary, Legacy Reserves Services, Inc., and are directly compensated for their management of us pursuant to their employment agreements. The compensation amounts disclosed in this section and under Executive Compensation reflect the total compensation paid to the executive officers of our general partner. Please read Executive Compensation Employment Agreements.

Executive Summary

We are a master limited partnership headquartered in Midland, Texas, focused on the acquisition and development of oil and natural gas properties primarily located in the Permian Basin, Mid-Continent and Rocky Mountain regions of the United States. Our compensation policy, as adopted by the compensation committee and approved by the Board of Directors in September 2009 and amended in February 2010 and March 2012 (the compensation policy) is designed to make our executive officers total compensation comparable to that of similarly-sized publicly traded limited partnerships and exploration and production companies. The goals of our compensation policy are to:

- align the compensation of the executive officers with unitholder return;
- provide financial incentives to our executive officers for performance, achievement of goals and enhancement of unitholder value;
- drive and support the long-term goal of sustainable growth in unit distributions and total unitholder return by paying for performance; and
- enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers.

In meeting the goal of sustainable growth, we intend to invest in our long-term opportunities while meeting our short-term commitments.

To achieve these goals, our total compensation to our executive officers is comprised of base salary, cash incentive compensation (cash bonus) and equity-based incentive compensation, as shown in the charts below that illustrate the allocation of compensation opportunities between salary, annual cash bonuses and annual grants of equity to our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers (NEOs) with respect to fiscal year 2012. Base salaries in the charts below are represented by annualized base salaries that were effective from March 1, 2012 through February 28, 2013.

Cash Incentive Compensation. With respect to fiscal year 2012, the maximum total annual cash bonus (subjective plus objective component) expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each named executive officer was as follows: Mr. C. Brown: 130%; Mr. Horne: 80%; Mr. McGraw: 80%; Mr. Westcott: 100%; and Mr. LeRoy: 60%.

Subjective Component of Cash Incentive Compensation (50%). In determining 2012 cash incentive awards, our compensation committee conducted a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year individual officer and Partnership performance for 50% of maximum cash incentive compensation.

Objective Component of Cash Incentive Compensation (50%). The remaining 50% of maximum cash incentive compensation was objectively determined in accordance with the objective criteria set forth in our compensation policy, which are based on our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives during the prior fiscal year and are designed to align the incentive compensation of each executive officer with unitholder return by rewarding performance that maintains or grows distributions and increases EBITDA.

Set forth below are the EBITDA and cash distribution growth targets used to determine the objective portion of each executive officer s cash bonus. Achievement of less than 85% of Target EBITDA or failure to maintain the prior cash distribution level, respectively, will result in no cash bonus awarded with respect to that particular performance measure.

Performance Measure	Weight	Performance Level/Percent Earned					
EBITDA	50%	< 85% of Target	85% of Target	100% of Target	115% of Target		
		0%	30%	75%	100%		
Growth in Cash Distributions	50%	< 0% Growth	0% Growth	7.5% Growth	15% Growth		
Per Unit		0%	50%	75%	100%		

Equity-Based Incentive Compensation. We believe meaningful equity participation by each named executive officer to be a strong motivating factor that will result in significant increases in value and in growth. With respect to 2012, the maximum total annual equity-based compensation expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each named executive officer was as follows: Mr. C. Brown: 275%; Mr. Horne: 175%; Mr. McGraw: 175%; Mr. Westcott 150%; Mr. LeRoy: 75%.

Subjective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation (40%). The service-based component of equity-based compensation is determined by a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year performance by the compensation committee. Equity-based compensation awarded under this component and associated distribution equivalent rights, or DERs, vest ratably over a three-year period and are not subject to any performance criteria. The DERs entitle the recipient of the award to a payment equivalent to the amount of the per unit distribution payable to unitholders.

Objective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation (60%). The objective or performance-based component, awarded as phantom units and associated DERs, is designed to reward our executive officers for their long-term performance and to align their interest with those of our unitholders.

The objective component is granted at the maximum amount each year but is subject to 1/3 vesting each year in accordance with an objective performance-related formula (as set forth under Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation below) based on our absolute total unitholder return each year and our total unitholder return each year compared to the total unitholder returns of a group of our peers as well as the total unitholder returns of a broader group of MLPs. If none or only a portion of phantom units of a particular tranche vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion of phantom units will be forfeited.

Set forth in the table below is a summary of the maximum amounts of awards available with respect to fiscal 2012 to each named executive officer, expressed as a percentage of each of such executive officer s applicable base salary.

	Maximum Cash Bonus Opportunity as a Percentage of 2012 Annual Salary(1) a Percentage of 2012 Annual S									
Named Executive Officer	Subjective	Objective	Total	Subjective	Objective	Total				
Cary D. Brown(2) Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	65%	65%	130%	110%	165%	275%				
Steven H. Pruett(3) Former President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary	50%	50%	100%	80%	120%	200%				
Paul T. Horne(4) Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	40%	40%	80%	70%	105%	175%				
Kyle A. McGraw (5) Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer	40%	40%	80%	70%	105%	175%				
James Daniel Westcott(6) Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	50%	50%	100%	60%	90%	150%				
Dan G. LeRoy(7) Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	30%	30%	60%	30%	45%	75%				
(1)	Salaries effective	ve March 1, 2012	2.							
(2)		was appointed as nan and Chief Ex			5, 2012, and con	tinues to				
(3)		Mr. Pruett resigned as President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary effective March 16, 2012 and as a result did not receive any incentive compensation in 2012.								
(4)		Effective March 16, 2012, Mr. Horne was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from Executive Vice President of Operations.								
(5)	Chief Developr	Effective March 16, 2012, Mr. McGraw was promoted to Executive Vice President, Chief Development Officer and Secretary from Executive Vice President of Business Development and Land. In conjunction with Mr. LeRoy s appointment as Secretary, Mr.								

McGraw resigned as Secretary on May 9, 2012.

(6)	Effective September 24, 2012, Mr. Westcott was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.
(7)	Effective May 1, 2012, Mr. LeRoy was appointed Vice President and General Counsel. Effective May 9, 2012, Mr. LeRoy was also appointed as Secretary.

Corporate Governance

Compensation Committee Authority

Executive officer compensation is administered by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors, which is currently composed of three members, Messrs. Vann, Granberry and Sullivan. The Board of Directors appoints the compensation committee members and delegates to the compensation committee the direct responsibility for, among other things, determining and approving the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, recommending compensation for the general partner's other named executive officers, establishing equity and non-equity incentive plans, and administering our LTIP.

The Board of Directors has determined that each committee member is independent under NASDAQ listing standards, SEC rules and the relevant securities laws, and that each member qualifies as a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and as an outside director as defined in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Role of Compensation Experts in Determining 2012 Executive Officer Compensation

The compensation committee is authorized to obtain, at the Partnership's expense, compensation surveys, reports on the design and implementation of compensation programs for directors, officers, and employees and other data and documentation as the compensation committee considers appropriate. In addition, the compensation committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any outside counsel or other experts or consultants engaged to assist it in the evaluation of compensation of our directors and executive officers, including the sole authority to approve such consultants fees and other retention terms.

The compensation committee retained BDO USA, LLP (BDO) as a compensation consultant for 2012. BDO was engaged to provide a study of compensation programs related to named executive officers and outside directors offered by a broad peer group of exploration and production companies and publicly traded limited partnerships. The compensation committee charged BDO with undertaking this study to ascertain how the members of this peer group structure their compensation and to assist the compensation committee in establishing and maintaining an appropriate compensation program to better enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers and to further align the interests of our executive officers with those of our unitholders.

Selection of Compensation Comparative Data

As discussed in greater detail below, central to our compensation philosophy is the alignment of the interests of our named executive officers with the interests of our unitholders. It is the goal of our compensation philosophy to provide financial incentives to our executive officers to focus on business strategies designed to increase the distributions we pay to our unitholders. In addition to comparing compensation packages of our named executive officers and outside directors with the compensation of their counterparts within our peer group of exploration and production companies and publicly traded limited partnerships, other specific performance levels or benchmarks, as described in the compensation policy, were used in 2012 to establish the compensation packages of our named executive officers and outside directors.

In 2012, our peer group included Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., Breitburn Energy Partners L.P. (Breitburn), Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc., Crestwood Midstream Partners LP, Clayton Williams Energy, Inc., Copano Energy, L.L.C., Crosstex Energy, L.P., Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P., EV Energy Partners, L.P. (EV Energy), Forest Oil Corporation, GeoResources, Inc. (acquired by Halcón Resources Corporation during 2012), Gulfport Energy Corporation, PVR Partners, L.P., Swift Energy Company, Resolute Energy Corporation, Rosetta Resources Inc., and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC (Vanguard). Our peer group is determined by the compensation committee at the beginning of each fiscal year to ensure that the peer group s size and composition produces relevant information for the compensation committee s consideration. If any company in the peer group ceases to be publicly traded during any performance period, the compensation committee will adjust the composition of the peer group as it deems appropriate.

Decision-Making Process and Role of Executive Officers

Compensation decisions for executive officers involve both objective and subjective criteria. In 2012, the compensation committee consultant first provided information to the compensation committee regarding competitive market data. The second component of the decision making process was our Chief Executive Officer providing a written overview of performance by the Partnership, including an overview of the performance by each named executive officer other than himself, in light of established operational and financial goals and objectives. After reviewing this written overview, the compensation committee met with the Chief Executive Officer in order to ask questions regarding the information set forth in the written overview and to gather any additional information needed in order to make recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the compensation of the named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer.

In determining the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, the compensation committee took into account the information provided by the compensation committee consultant. The compensation committee then evaluated the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of established operational and financial goals and objectives and determined as a committee, together with any other independent directors participating in the process, the Chief Executive Officer s compensation.

Executive Officer Compensation Strategy and Philosophy

Our compensation policy is designed to make our executive officers total compensation comparable to that of similarly-sized publicly traded limited partnerships and exploration and production companies.

Our executive officer compensation strategy is designed to:

- align the compensation of the executive officers with unitholder return;
- provide financial incentives to our executive officers for performance, achievement of goals and enhancement of unitholder value;
- drive and support the long-term goal of sustainable growth in unit distributions and total unitholder return by paying for performance; and
- enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers.

In meeting the goal of sustainable growth, we intend to invest in our long-term opportunities while meeting our short-term commitments. As all our executive officers hold units in the Partnership, we have attempted to maintain competitive levels of compensation while focusing on the growth of our business and distributions. Through this approach, our executives receive cash and equity compensation for the market value of their services and their performance is further rewarded through the distributions they receive on their holdings of our units, which creates alignment of interests with our unitholders.

At our named executive officers 2012 compensation levels and due to our organizational structure, we did not believe that Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) would be applicable and accordingly, did not consider it in setting 2012 compensation levels.

Components of Compensation

Named Executive Officer Compensation

Total compensation to our executive officers is comprised of base salary, cash incentive compensation (cash bonus) and equity-based incentive compensation.

2012 Performance Goals and Objectives

For the 2012 performance year, the operational and financial goals and objectives were as follows:

- Grow cash distributions to \$0.57 per unit attributable to the fourth quarter of 2012 with a coverage ratio of 1.08 times for the year (after deducting \$62 million of total development capital expenditures in 2012);
- Acquire \$200.0 million of producing properties at targeted cash flow metrics;
- Generate \$206 million of EBITDA with \$62 million of total development capital expenditures;
- Improve organizational depth and capabilities;
- Provide the capital needed to execute the business plan, including continuing the ATM issuance and access debt in a favorable manner while maintaining a total debt to EBITDA ratio below 3 times; and
- Experience zero lost-time accidents.

These goals and objectives, as supplemented by more detailed supporting goals and objectives put forth by our named executive officers, provided a framework for the compensation committee to assess our 2012 performance and to determine named executive officers compensation. Relative weight is not assigned to any or all of these goals and objectives. Additionally, the various financial goals were based on various assumptions, with the understanding that our actual financial performance would be assessed based on factors considered relevant by the compensation committee at the time named executive officer compensation was reviewed and determined.

2012 Performance Assessment

The compensation committee assessed the 2012 performance of executive officers for purposes of the determination of the subjective components of cash incentive compensation and equity-based incentive compensation (as set forth in the compensation policy) based on the attainment of the foregoing goals and objectives and the performance-related factors that it considered to be relevant.

Among relevant other things, the compensation committee considered the following achievements by the Partnership and the executive officers during 2012:

- Paid distributions per unit of \$0.555, \$0.56, \$0.565, and \$0.57 attributable to the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively, with a coverage ratio of 0.95 for the year (after deducting \$68.2 million of total development capital expenditures in 2012);
- Acquired \$635 million (before impairment) of producing properties in 19 transactions, including our \$502.6 million COG 2012
 Acquisition that closed on December 20, 2012, at slightly better than targeted cash flow metrics and also acquired \$7.2 million of undeveloped prospective acreage in the Permian Basin;
- Generated EBITDA of \$197.6 million with \$68.2 million of development capital expenditures;
- Improved our organizational depth and capabilities throughout the partnership (including our operations, land and accounting departments), including the net addition of 43 full-time employees during 2012 that included a significant number of hires in November and December related to the COG 2012 Acquisition;
- Closed our largest equity offering with a follow-on offering in November 2012 providing \$218.0 million in net proceeds, gained first-time access to the high yield market through our \$300 million 8% senior notes offering that closed in December 2012, increased

our borrowing base for a third time in 2012 to \$800 million in December with our newly-expanded 20-member bank group, and ended the year with a debt to estimated pro forma 2012 EBITDA ratio of approximately 2.9 times; and

• Experienced one lost-time accident.

Primarily based on these achievements, the compensation committee awarded to Mr. Brown 85% of the subjective component of the cash bonus and 100% of the subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation and recommended to the Board that the executive officers other than Mr. Brown be awarded 90% (Messrs. McGraw and Westcott) and 85% (Messrs. Horne and LeRoy) of the subjective component of the cash bonus and 100% of the subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation at the individual levels set forth in subsequent sections and the Board approved such rewards.

Base Salaries

Overview

We pay base salary to attract talented executives and provide a fixed base of cash compensation. The compensation committee determines and approves the Chief Executive Officer's compensation including salary based on a review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of established corporate goals and objectives. The compensation committee, with the assistance of the compensation committee consultant and input of the Chief Executive Officer, also makes recommendations to the Board of Directors as a whole with respect to the compensation including base salary to be paid to the other executive officers of our general partner.

It is the intent of the compensation committee to have the base salaries of our named executive officers reviewed on an annual basis as well as at the time of a promotion or other material change in responsibilities.

2012 Base Salary Determinations

Effective March 1, 2012, base salaries were increased as follows: Mr. Brown: \$450,000, Messrs. Horne and McGraw: \$300,000. On February 28, 2012, James R. Lawrence was appointed as Interim Chief Financial Officer effective March 16, 2012. Mr. Lawrence resigned as Interim Chief Financial Officer effective September 24, 2012, but continued in his roles as Vice President Finance and Treasurer. On March 1, 2012, the Board, upon recommendation of the compensation committee, increased Mr. Lawrence s annual base salary to \$210,000, effective March 16, 2012. Effective September 24, 2012 James Daniel Westcott was appointed by the Board as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer with a base salary of \$275,000. Effective May 1, 2012, Dan LeRoy was appointed by the Board as Vice President and General Counsel, and was subsequently appointed as Secretary effective May 9, 2012, with a base salary of \$230,000.

Cash Incentive Compensation (Cash Bonus)

Overview

As a component of total compensation, the compensation committee chooses to pay annual incentives to drive the achievement of key results and to recognize individuals based on their contributions to those results. The compensation committee recognizes that short-term results contribute to achieving long-term goals. The amount of annual incentives is based upon our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives. The range and target amounts are recommended to the compensation committee by our Chief Executive Officer.

In determining cash incentive awards, a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year individual officer and Partnership performance (subjective criteria) and our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives during the prior fiscal (objective criteria) are considered.

The objective and subjective components of the cash incentive compensation each comprise 50% of the maximum bonus available expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each executive officer, as set forth in the following table for fiscal year 2012.

		Maximum Cash Bonus Opportunity as			
		a Percentage of Annual Sa			
Named Executive Officer	Title	Subjective	Objective	Total	
Cary D. Brown	Chairman of the Board, President and	65%	65%	130%	
	Chief Executive Officer				
Paul T. Horne	Executive Vice President and Chief	40%	40%	80%	
	Operating Officer				
Kyle A. McGraw	Director, Executive Vice President	40%	40%	80%	
	and Chief Development Officer				
James Daniel Westcott	Executive Vice President and Chief	50%	50%	100%	
	Financial Officer				
Dan G. LeRoy	Vice President, General Counsel and	30%	30%	60%	
	Secretary				

Objective Component of Cash Bonus

The objective component (up to 50% of the annual cash incentive compensation) is based on two measures of equal weight:

- EBITDA (same as Adjusted EBITDA as defined in our 2012 Form 10-K); and
- Growth in cash distributions per unit.

The percentage levels that may be earned each year are based on the ranges of performance levels with respect to each target as set forth in the following table, as determined by straight-line interpolation. Our executive officers will not receive a cash bonus (with respect to either of the two performance measures) under this objective component unless the Partnership maintains its cash distribution per unit or achieves EBITDA that is at least 85% of the target EBITDA for the year.

Performance Measure	Weight	Performance Level/Percent Earned						
EBITDA	50%	< 85% of Target	85% of Target	100% of Target	115% of Target			
		0%	30%	75%	100%			
Growth in Cash Distributions Per Unit	50%	< 0% Growth 0%	0% Growth 50%	7.5% Growth 75%	15% Growth 100%			

These objective measures are intended to align the cash incentive compensation of each executive officer with unitholder return by rewarding performance that maintains or grows distributions and increases EBITDA. The respective target levels of EBITDA and growth in cash distributions per unit, respectively, for purposes of the annual cash bonus determination only, will be set by the compensation committee at the beginning of each year after considering management s recommendation.

During 2012, the Partnership achieved EBITDA of \$197.6 million, or 95.9% of the \$206 million target EBITDA, resulting in a Percentage Earned (pursuant to the table above) of 62.7% (weighted at 50% or 31.35%) and distribution growth in 2012 was 3.64% resulting in a Percentage Earned of 62.12% (weighted at 50% or 31.06%), resulting in bonus amounts at 62.41% of the Objective Factor (as set forth in the table below).

Subjective Cash Award

Each executive officer was awarded the cash bonuses in the amounts determined by the percentage of maximum levels available, as set forth under % of Subjective Factor Earned in the table below, and the potential maximum level of the subjective component of cash incentive compensation for 2012 (the Subjective Factor as set forth below).

Based on Legacy s and the individual executive officers accomplishments and performances as set forth above, under the caption 2012 Performance Assessment, the Board, based on the compensation committee s recommendation, set the subjective portion of the cash bonus as shown in the following table.

The chart below illustrates the 2012 cash incentive award for each named executive officer in accordance with the performance level/percentage earned calculation set forth in the compensation policy:

		Subjective			Objective			
			% of Subjective			% of Objective	Cash	
	2012	Subjective	Factor	Bonus	Objective	Factor	Incentive	Total Cash
Named Executive Officer	Salary(a)	Factor	Earned	Amount	Factor	Earned	Amount(b)	Incentive
Cary D. Brown	\$ 450,000	65%	85%	\$ 248,625	65%	62.41%	\$ 182,549	\$431,174
Paul T. Horne	\$ 300,000	40%	85%	\$ 102,000	40%	62.41%	\$ 74,892	\$176,892
Kyle A. McGraw	\$ 300,000	40%	90%	\$ 108,000	40%	62.41%	\$ 74,892	\$182,892
James Daniel Westcott	\$ 275,000	50%	90%	\$ 123,750	50%	62.41%	\$ 85,814	\$209,564
Dan G. LeRoy	\$ 230,000	30%	85%	\$ 58,650	30%	62.41%	\$ 43,063	\$101,713

- (a) Effective March 1, 2012, except for Mr. Westcott, whose first day of employment with Legacy was September 24, 2012, and Mr. LeRoy, whose first day of employment with Legacy was May 1, 2012.
- (b) The amounts are determined by using a weighted earned percentage of 62.41% of the Objective Factor as determined in accordance with the formula set forth in the compensation policy. See Cash Incentive Compensation (Cash Bonus) Objective Component of Cash Bonus above

Equity-Based Incentive Compensation

Overview

We provide performance-based equity-based incentive compensation opportunities to our executive officers as part of the compensation program because we believe that this element of compensation ties the interests of our executive officers directly to the interests of our unitholders. We also believe that equity-based incentive compensation serves as an important retention tool.

More specifically, the equity-based incentive compensation program adopted by the Board of Directors and compensation committee of our general partner is designed to reward our named executive officers for their long-term performance by aligning grants of phantom units with associated DERs with the growth of distributions to unitholders.

We consider equity-based incentive compensation to be an important element of our compensation program for named executive officers. We believe meaningful equity participation by each named executive officer to be a strong motivating factor that will result in significant increases in value and in growth. This belief is reflected in the aggregate awards of phantom units that have been made to named executive officers that did not already have a significant interest in our units. Our award structure for long-term equity-based incentives employs a mix of subjective (weighted at 40% of total) and objective measures (weighted at 60% of total) as set forth below.

Subjective or Service-Based Component. The subjective or service-based component is determined by a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year performance and, with respect to each executive officer, may be awarded up to the maximum percentage of annual salary as set forth in the table below. Once granted, the only condition to vesting will be that the executive officer remain in the service of the Partnership until the end of the respective vesting period. The vesting of service-based equity-based awards, once granted, is not subject to the attainment of any performance criteria.

Objective or Performance-Based Component. The objective or performance-based component is granted each year at the maximum percentage listed in the table below, but the amount vested each year for the three-year vesting period is determined on each vesting date in accordance with a formula (as set forth under Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation below) based on the objective total unitholder return and relative performance measures (described below) achieved during the fiscal year prior to the applicable vesting date. If none or only a portion of phantom units of a particular tranche vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion

Ωŧ	phantom	unite	ot a	narticu	ar	tranch	e will	he	torte	ited

All equity-based incentive compensation awards are phantom units, with associated DERs, up to the maximum amounts reflected as percentages of annual salary as set forth in the following table.

		Maximum V	m Units	
		as a Percentage	of Annual Sal	ary
Named Executive Officer	Title	Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown	Chairman of the Board, President	110%	165%	275%
	and Chief Executive Officer			
Paul T. Horne	Executive Vice President and Chief	70%	105%	175%
	Operating Officer			
Kyle A. McGraw	Director, Executive Vice President	70%	105%	175%
	and Chief Development Officer			
James Daniel Westcott	Executive Vice President and Chief	60%	90%	150%
	Financial Officer			
Dan G. LeRoy	Vice President, General Counsel and	30%	45%	75%
	Secretary			

A phantom unit is a notional unit that entitles the holder upon vesting to receive cash valued at the closing price of units on the vesting date, or, at the discretion of the compensation committee, the same number of Partnership units. The number of phantom units granted is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the intended grant value by the average closing price of Partnership units over the 20 trading days preceding the date of grant in the case of the subjective unit grant. In the case of the objective unit grant, the average closing price of Partnership units is calculated for the 20 trading day period ended the last trading day prior to January 1st in the year of the grant. All phantom unit grants vest in three equal tranches over a three-year period, with each tranche to vest on or about the first, second and third anniversary of the initial grant date or such date as determined by the compensation committee. With respect to the objective component only, the actual number vested will be determined based on the three-step formula set forth below.

With respect to all phantom unit grants, DERs accumulate and accrue based on the assumed 100% vesting of each tranche. With respect to the objective component only, the actual amounts payable are based solely on the number of vested underlying phantom units.

Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation

At the vesting date of each one-third tranche of the objective or performance-based component of equity-based compensation, the number of phantom units to vest each year is determined based on the following three-step process, with the total vested amount for each year to be determined by adding the values arrived at in Step 1 and Step 2.

Step 1: 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the Total Unitholder Return for the Partnership (Legacy TUR) and the percentile rank of the Legacy TUR among such upstream master limited partnership (MLP) peer companies as determined by the compensation committee at the beginning of each fiscal year (Peer Group). The Legacy TUR or the Total Unitholder Return for any entity in the Peer Group for any performance period means the percentage increase in the value of a \$100 investment in a unit or common unit purchased at the average closing price of such a unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to January 1 of the year with respect to which the grant is made, assuming such investment is liquidated on the January 1 immediately following the fiscal year with respect to which the grant is made, at a price that is the average price of the unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to the liquidation, plus any cash distributions paid during the fiscal year with respect to which the grant is made. The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group portion of the award. The total grant value to vest each year is the sum of the results derived from each of the two grids shown below.

	Legacy TUR								
	< 8.0%	8.0%	12.0%	15.0%	17.5%	20%+			
<25 th %ile	0%	0%	15%	25%	40%	50%			
%ile	0%	15%	35%	50%	60%	75%			
%ile 25 th - <50 th	15%	35%	50%	60%	75%	85%			
75 th 100 %ile 50 th - <75 th	25%	40%	60%	75%	85%	100%			

^{*} For the 2012 performance period, the Peer Group consisted of Breitburn, EV Energy, Linn Energy, LLC, LRR Energy, L.P., Memorial Production Partners LP, Mid-Con Energy Partners, LP, QR Energy, LP, Pioneer Southwest Energy Partners L.P. and Vanguard. If any company in the Peer Group ceases to be publicly traded during any performance period, the compensation committee will adjust the composition of the Peer Group as it deems appropriate.

To determine the performance-based awards earned for this Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group component, the percentage determined in accordance with the performance grid (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages given above) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the maximum number of phantom units available for vesting that particular year.

Step 2: 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the Legacy TUR and the percentile rank of the Partnership among a group of MLPs included in the Alerian MLP Index (such group of MLPs as determined by the compensation committee, excluding publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies) (the Adjusted Alerian Index). The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index portion of the award.

25 th %ile <25 th %ile	0% 0%	15% 0% 8.0 %	25% 0% 8.0 %	40% 15% 12.0 %	50% 30% 15.0 %	60% 50%	20%+
75 th %ile 50 th %ile 25 th %ile	15% 0%	40% 25%	50% 40%	60% 50%	75% 60%	85% 75%	
90 th %ile	30%	50%	60%	75%	85%	100%	

^{**} Adjusted Alerian Index means a subset of companies included in the Alerian MLP Index as determined by the compensation committee and excludes publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies, as of the beginning of each fiscal year. The calculation of the Adjusted Alerian Index along with calculation of percentile results and the Legacy TUR percentile ranking is subject to third-party review.

To determine the performance-based awards earned on this Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index component, the percentage earned in accordance with the above matrix (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages set forth in the matrix) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the maximum number of phantom units available for vesting that particular year.

Step 3: The respective award values arrived at by performing the calculations set forth in Step 1 and Step 2 above will be added to determine the total vested portion of the performance-based equity award with respect to a particular fiscal year.

2013 Phantom Unit Grants

On March 7, 2013, in accordance with the compensation policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. Cary Brown. With respect to the remaining named executive officers, the compensation committee recommended the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs to the Board and the Board, on March 7, 2013, approved such awards:

2013 Phantom Unit Grants (and associated DERs)

		(Based on 20	Subjective Grant 12 Performance)		Objective Po Based Gran	erformance- t	
		Subjective Subjective		Phantom	Objective	Maximum Phantom	
Named Executive Officer	2012 Salary	Factor(a)	Award	Units(b)	Factor(a)	Units(c)	
Cary D. Brown	\$450,000	110%	100%	19,105	165%	31,569	
Paul T. Horne	\$300,000	70%	100%	8,105	105%	13,393	
Kyle A. McGraw	\$300,000	70%	100%	8,105	105%	13,393	
James Daniel Westcott	\$275,000	60%	100%	6,368	90%	10,523	
Dan G. LeRoy	\$230,000	30%	100%	2,663	45%	4,401	

- (a) Represents percentage of 2012 salary effective March 1, 2012 or the dates of hire for Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy.
- (b) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units ended on the last trading day prior to March 7, 2013, or \$25.91. Subjective grant phantom units vest in one-third tranches over the next three years beginning on February 18, 2014. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to any performance criteria.
- (c) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units prior to January 1, 2013, or \$23.52. Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over the next three years starting February 18, 2014, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited.

Subjective or Service-Based Component. Based upon the rationale set forth in 2012 Performance Assessment set forth above, each executive officer was awarded the 100% of the potential subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation with respect to 2012. The number of subjective phantom units granted are based on the 20-day trading average ended on the last trading day prior to March 7, 2013 or \$25.91. The only condition to vesting will be that the award recipient remains in the service of the Partnership until the end of the respective vesting period. The vesting of service-based equity-based awards, once granted, is not subject to the attainment of any performance criteria.

Objective Equity Compensation. The number of phantom units for the objective component of equity-based incentive compensation was granted as prescribed by the compensation policy at the maximum level, in an amount based on the average closing price of Partnership units for the 20 trading day period ended the last trading day prior to January 1, 2013, or \$23.52. As set forth in the compensation policy, the objective or performance-based component is granted each year at the maximum percentage listed in the table above but the amount vested each year for the three-year vesting period will be determined on each vesting date in accordance with a formula based on the objective total unitholder return measures achieved during the fiscal year prior to the applicable vesting date. If none or only a portion of phantom units of a particular one-third tranche vest as a result of target levels not being met, such number of phantom units will be forfeited.

2012 Phantom Unit Grants

On February 1, 2012, in accordance with the compensation policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards to the Board and the Board approved such awards on February 2, 2012:

	2012 Phantom U	Subjective 2011	Grant (Ba	Objective Performance- Based Grant		
		Performance)			Based Gran	ı Maximum
		Subjective	Subjective	e Phantom	Objective	Phantom
Named Executive Officer	2011 Salary	Factor(a)	Award	Units(b)	Factor(a)	Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$ 400,000	100%	85%	11,872	150%	22,043
Steven H. Pruett(d)	\$ 320,000	80%	85%	7,608	120%	14,107
Paul T. Horne	\$ 269,000	60%	85%	4,797	90%	8,894
Kyle A. McGraw	\$ 252,000	50%	85%	3,745	75%	6,943

- (a) Represents percentage of 2011 salary effective March 1, 2011.
- (b) Subjective phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The first one-third tranche vested on February 18, 2013. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to performance criteria.
- (c) Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over the next three years starting February 18, 2013, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited. In accordance with the performance criteria, none of the first one-third tranche of these phantom units granted vested on February 18, 2013, and all phantom units of the first one-third tranche were forfeited.
- (d) Mr. Pruett resigned effective March 16, 2012. As a result, all his unvested phantom units were forfeited.

2012 Restricted and Unrestricted Unit Grants

In accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. Westcott was granted 81,000 service-based restricted units on September 24, 2012, none of which are subject to performance criteria. 21,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest in three equal tranches on the first three anniversaries of the grant date, while 60,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

In accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. LeRoy was granted 10,000 service-based restricted units, none of which are subject to performance criteria, as well as 2,500 unrestricted units on May 9, 2012. 4,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest in two equal tranches on May 19, 2013 and May 19, 2014, while 6,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest on May 19, 2017.

2011 Phantom Unit Grants

On February 18, 2011, in accordance with the compensation policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards to the Board and the Board approved such awards.

	its (and associated DERs)						
		Subjective G	rant		Objective P	erformance-	
		(Based on 20	10 Performanc	Based Grant			
					Maximum		
		Subjective	Subjective	Phantom	Objective	Phantom	
Named Executive Officer	2010 Salary	Factor(a)	Award	Units(b)	Factor(a)	Units(c)	
Cary D. Brown	\$364,000	100%	100%	12,385	150%	20,192	
Steven H. Pruett(d)	\$292,000	80%	100%	7,948	120%	12,959	

Paul T. Horne	\$258,000	60%	100%	5,267	90%	8,587
Kyle A. McGraw	\$242,000	50%	100%	4,117	75%	6,712

(a) Represents percentage of 2010 salary effective March 1, 2010.

(b) Subjective phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The second one-third tranche of each of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2013. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to performance criteria.

- (c) Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over the next three years starting February 18, 2012, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited. In accordance with such performance criteria, 32.27% of the first one-third tranche of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2012, and the remaining phantom units of the first one-third tranche were forfeited. None of the second tranche vested on February 18, 2013 and all phantom units of the second tranche were forfeited.
- (d) Mr. Pruett resigned effective March 16, 2012. As a result, all of his unvested phantom units were forfeited.

2010 Phantom Unit Grants

On February 18, 2010, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards to the Board and the Board approved such awards:

	2010 Phantom	Subjective G		Objective Performance-Based G	rant Maximum	
		Subjective	Subjective	Phantom		Phantom
Named Executive Officer	2009 Salary	Factor(a)	Award	Units(b)	Objective Factor(a)	Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$325,000	100%	100%	16,193	150%	25,848
Steven H. Pruett(d)	\$275,000	80%	100%	10,962	120%	17,497
Paul T. Horne	\$250,000	60%	100%	7,474	90%	11,930
Kyle A. McGraw	\$235,000	50%	100%	5,855	75%	9,345

- (a) Represents percentage of 2009 salary.
- (b) Subjective phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The third one-third tranche of each of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2013. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to performance criteria.
- (c) Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over the next three years starting February 18, 2011, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited. In accordance with such performance criteria, 100% of the first one-third tranche of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2011. 32.27% of the second one-third tranche of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2012, and the remaining phantom units of the second tranche were forfeited. None of the third one-third tranche vested on February 18, 2013 and all phantom units of the third tranche were forfeited.
- (d) Mr. Pruett resigned effective March 16, 2012. As a result, all his unvested phantom units were forfeited.

2013 Objective Phantom Unit Vesting

In accordance with the calculation of the objective component of equity compensation as set forth in the Compensation Policy, none of the first tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on February 1 and 2, 2012, the second tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on February 18, 2011 and the third tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on February 18, 2010 vested on February 18, 2013.

The Performance Factor is determined based on the Partnership s performance during 2012 as measured by the Partnership s TUR, the Partnership s TUR compared to the TUR of an index of other MLPs, and the Partnership s TUR ranking among its peer group.

Objective Grant

	Maximum Phantom Units Subject to	Performance	
Executive Officer	Vesting(1)	Factor(2)	Phantom Units Vested
Cary D. Brown	22,695	0%	0
Paul T. Horne	9,804	0%	0
Kyle A. McGraw	7,668	0%	0

- (1) Represents one-third of the total phantom units granted pursuant to the objective component of the Compensation Policy.
- (2) Based on the Partnership s TUR for 2012 of -5.4%, Legacy ranks in the 33.3 percentile among its Peer Group (as defined in the Compensation Policy) in TUR and in the 29.2 percentile rank among the Adjusted Alerian MLP Index (as defined in the Compensation Policy) in TUR.

Amended and Restated Legacy Reserves LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

Long-term incentive compensation awards are administered through our LTIP adopted in March 2006 and amended and restated on August 17, 2007. The plan is administered by the compensation committee and permits the grant of awards resulting in the issuance of an aggregate of 2,000,000 units. The purpose of the plan is to promote the interests of our unitholders by encouraging our employees, directors and other service providers to acquire or increase their equity interest in us, thereby giving them the added incentive to work toward our continued growth and success. The plan permits awards of unit grants, restricted units, phantom units, unit options, unit appreciation rights, performance based units and other forms of equity compensation.

As of December 31, 2012, grants of awards, net of forfeitures, covering 1,394,007 units have been made including 366,879 restricted units, 266,014 unit options, 519,219 unit appreciation rights, 148,232 phantom units and 93,663 units issued to directors. We selected these types of awards because of the expectation by most of our employees as well as our directors that part of their compensation would be derived from the growth in value of Partnership units.

Our Board of Directors, or its compensation committee, in its discretion may terminate, suspend or discontinue the LTIP at any time with respect to any award that has not yet been granted. Our Board of Directors, or its compensation committee, also has the right to alter or amend the LTIP or any part of the plan from time to time, including increasing the number of units that may be granted, subject to unitholder approval as required by the exchange upon which the units are listed at that time. However, no change in any outstanding grant may be made that would materially impair the rights of the participant without the consent of the participant.

Unit Grants

The LTIP permits the grant of units. A unit grant is a grant of units that vests immediately upon issuance.

Restricted Units and Phantom Units

A restricted unit is a unit that is subject to forfeiture prior to the vesting of the award. A phantom unit is a notional unit that entitles the grantee to receive a unit upon the vesting of the phantom unit or, in the discretion of the compensation committee, cash equivalent to the value of a unit. The compensation committee may make grants under the plan of restricted units and phantom units to employees, consultants and directors containing such terms, consistent with the plan, as the compensation committee shall determine. The compensation committee will determine the period over which the restricted units and phantom units granted to employees, consultants and directors will vest. The compensation committee may base vesting upon the achievement of specified financial objectives or on the grantee s completion of a period of service. In addition, the restricted units and phantom units will vest upon a change of control of the Partnership or our general partner, unless provided otherwise by the compensation committee in the award agreement.

If the grantee s employment, service relationship or membership on the Board of Directors terminates for any reason, the grantee s restricted units and phantom units will be automatically forfeited unless, and to the extent, the compensation committee provides otherwise in the award agreement or waives (in whole or in part) any such forfeiture. Units to be delivered in connection with the grant of restricted units or upon the vesting of phantom units may be units acquired by us on the open market, or from any other person, or we may issue new units, or any combination of the foregoing. Our general partner is entitled to reimbursement by us for the cost incurred in acquiring units. Thus, the cost of the restricted units and the delivery of units upon the vesting of phantom units will be borne by us. If we issue new units in connection with the grant of restricted units or upon vesting of the phantom units, the total number of units outstanding will increase. The compensation committee, in its discretion, may provide for tandem distribution rights with respect to restricted units and grant tandem DERs with respect to phantom units that entitle the holder to receive cash equal to any cash distributions made on units prior to the vesting of a restricted or phantom unit, however, any such cash distributions will accumulate and accrue based on the assumed 100% vesting of any such restricted or phantom units but will not be payable until such vesting occurs. The actual amounts payable pursuant to such tandem distribution rights or tandem DERs will be based solely on the number of underlying restricted or phantom units that actually vest.

Unit Options and Unit Appreciation Rights

The LTIP permits the grant of options covering units and the grant of unit appreciation rights. A unit appreciation right is an award that, upon exercise, entitles the participant to receive the excess of the fair market value of a unit on the exercise date over the exercise price established for the unit appreciation right. Such excess may be paid in units, cash, or a combination thereof, as determined by the compensation committee in its discretion. The compensation committee will be able to make grants of unit options and unit appreciation rights under the plan to employees, consultants and directors containing such terms as the committee shall determine consistent with the plan. Unit options and unit appreciation rights may not have an exercise price that is less than the fair market value of the units on the date of grant. In general, unit options and unit appreciation rights granted will become exercisable over a period determined by the compensation committee. In addition, the unit options and unit appreciation rights will become exercisable upon a change in control of the Partnership or our general partner, unless provided otherwise by the committee in the award agreement. The compensation committee, in its discretion may grant tandem DERs with respect to unit options and unit appreciation rights.

Upon exercise of a unit option (or a unit appreciation right settled in units), we will acquire units on the open market or from any other person or we may issue new units, or any combination of the foregoing. If we issue new units upon exercise of the unit options (or a unit appreciation right settled in units), the total number of units outstanding will increase, and our general partner will pay us the proceeds it receives from an optionee upon exercise of a unit option. The availability of unit options and unit appreciation rights is intended to furnish additional compensation to employees, consultants and directors and to align their economic interests with those of unitholders.

Unit Option Practices

Although our LTIP permits us to award options under a variety of circumstances, we have not granted unit options since early 2007 and do not anticipate granting any further unit options. We have not back-dated any option awards. The option grants we have made to date had an exercise price that corresponded with the offering price to purchasers of our units in a private offering we conducted in March 2006, the price at which our units traded on the Portal Market, the price to the public of our units in our January 2007 initial public offering, or the market value of our units at the close of trading on the date of the grant. Any option grants we may make in the future will have an exercise price equal to the market value of our units at the close of trading on the date of the grant. We have chosen to replace the use of unit options in the future with unit appreciation rights to reduce the administrative costs associated with unit options.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We maintain a 401(k) plan. The plan permits eligible full-time employees, including named executive officers, to make voluntary, pre-tax contributions to the plan up to a specified percentage of compensation, subject to applicable tax limitations. We may make a discretionary matching contribution to the plan for each eligible employee equal to 6.0% of an employee s annual compensation not in excess of \$245,000 for 2010 and \$250,000 for 2012, subject to applicable tax limitations. Eligible employees who elect to participate in the plan are generally vested in any matching contribution after commencement of employment with the company. The plan is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code so that contributions to the plan, and income earned on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the plan, and so that contributions, if any, will be deductible when made.

We maintain an employee benefit plan that provides our employees with the opportunity to enroll in our health, dental and life insurance plans. We pay all of our employees health and life insurance premiums. Our dental plan requires the employee to pay a portion of the premium, and we pay the remainder. We provide these benefits so that we will remain competitive in the employment market and offer the benefits to all employees on the same basis.

Unit Ownership Guidelines

We do not currently have any policy or guideline that requires a specified ownership of our units by our directors or executive officers or unit retention guidelines applicable to equity-based awards granted to directors and executive officers. Although we do not have a policy requiring ownership, each of our named executive officers directly or indirectly owns units.

As of December 31, 2012, our named executive officers as a group beneficially owned 6,621,647 units. Our named executive officers beneficially own approximately 11.6% of our 57,269,419 issued and outstanding units.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee of the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC held nine meetings during fiscal year 2012. The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based upon such review, the related discussions and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the compensation committee, the compensation committee has recommended to the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Members of the compensation committee of the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC:

Kyle D. Vann (Chairman) William R. Granberry William D. Sullivan

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our named executive officers serving at December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012 and reflects the total compensation paid to the executive officers of our general partner.

		Salary	Bonus	_	Unit Awards		Option All Other AwardsCompensation		on	n Total	
Name and Principal Position	Year	(\$)(a)	(\$)	(\$)	(b)	(\$)	(\$	•		(\$)	
Cary D. Brown(j)	2010	\$ 357,500	\$340,500	\$	617,504	\$	\$	51,472	(c)		1,366,976
Chairman of the Board,	2011	\$ 394,000	\$ 341,528	\$	536,988	\$	\$	71,826	(c)	\$	1,344,342
President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 441,803	\$ 431,174	\$	625,110	\$	\$	81,616	(c)	\$:	1,579,703
Steven H. Pruett(k)	2010	\$ 289,167	\$ 248,317	\$	418,013	\$	\$	51,472	(d)	\$	1,006,969
Former President, Chief	2011	\$ 315,333	\$ 248,384	\$	344,619	\$	\$	62,720	(d)	\$	971,056
Financial Officer and Secretary	2012	\$ 65,574	\$	\$	400,295	\$	\$	52,017	(d)	\$	517,886
James Daniel Westcott(l)	2010	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$			\$	
Executive Vice President	2011	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$			\$	
and Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$ 74,385	\$ 209,564	\$	2,338,470	\$	\$	51,132	(e)	\$ 2	2,673,551
Kyle A. McGraw(m)	2010	\$ 240,833	\$ 144,058	\$	223,263	\$	\$	47,785	(f)	\$	655,939
Director, Executive Vice	2011	\$ 250,333	\$ 136,922	\$	178,503	\$	\$	51,606	(f)	\$	617,364
President and Chief Development Officer	2012	\$ 292,131	\$ 182,892	\$	197,026	\$	\$	53,051	(f)	\$	725,100
Paul T. Horne(m)	2010	\$ 256,667	\$ 175,523	\$	285,010	\$	\$	47,785	(g)	\$	764,985
Executive Vice President	2011	\$ 267,167	\$ 172,418	\$	228,365	\$	\$	54,982	(g)	\$	722,932
and Chief Operating	2012	\$ 294,918									