BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE INC Form 10QSB November 13, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-QSB

ý QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 29, 2002

o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Commission File Number 0-20845

BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

(Exact Name of Small Business Issuer as Specified in Its Charter)

Michigan

38-3196031

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

550 South Wisconsin Street Gaylord, Michigan 49734 (989) 731-0401

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Issuer s Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ý No o.

As of November 12, 2002, the issuer had outstanding 862,011 shares of common stock and 2,550,000 Class A Warrants (exercisable for 364,285 shares of common stock). All information concerning the issuer s authorized, issued and outstanding common stock and all financial information presented on a per share basis reflects a one-for-seven reverse stock split effective as of the close of business on September 13, 2002.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1</u> <u>Financial Statements</u>

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 29, 2002 and December 30, 2001

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2002

and September 30, 2001

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 29, 2002 and

September 30, 2001

Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Item 2</u> <u>Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation</u>

<u>Item 3</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

<u>Item 2</u> <u>Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>

<u>Item 3</u> <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>

<u>Item 4</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>

<u>Item 5</u> <u>Other Information</u>

<u>Item 6</u> <u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u>

SIGNATURES

CERTIFICATIONS

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

i

PART I

ITEM 1 Financial Statements

Edgar Filing: BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE INC - Form 10QSB BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

		September 29, 2002	December 30, 2001
Aggrang		(Unaudited)	
ASSETS			
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash	\$	1,427,549 \$	96,453
Accounts receivable		26,159	237,187
Inventories		207,554	223,891
Prepaids and other		673,543	592,927
Total current assets		2,334,805	1,150,457
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		22,433,175	22,926,270
OTHER ASSETS, net		651,187	803,881
	\$	25,419,167 \$	24,880,609
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Accounts payable	\$	626,833 \$	1 025 009
Accrued expenses	Ф	1,246,382	1,925,998 1,099,017
Current maturities of long-term obligations		10,539,271	1,151,728
Total current liabilities		12,412,486	4,176,743
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS, less current maturities		9,079,088	15,084,690
Total liabilities		21,491,574	19,261,433
MINORITY INTEREST		457,185	466,143
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:			
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding			
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 862,011 and 6,034,080 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		8,620	60,834
Additional paid-in capital		14,905,621	14,870,14
Accumulated deficit		(11,443,833)	(9,777,94)
Total shareholders equity		3,470,408	5,153,033
	\$	25,419,167 \$	24,880,609

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended			
		September 29, 2002		September 30, 2001	September 29, 2002		September 30, 2001
REVENUE:							
Restaurant sales	\$	4,175,807	\$	4,288,490	\$ 12,546,630	\$	12,936,888
Wholesale and retail sales		41,326		84,150	109,046		230,971
Total revenue		4,217,133		4,372,640	12,655,676		13,167,859
COSTS AND EXPENSES:							
Cost of sales		1,427,197		1,420,918	4,202,821		4,344,775
Restaurant salaries and benefits		1,095,167		1,108,273	3,259,271		3,499,137
Operating expenses		983,626		973,976	2,867,333		2,728,947
Depreciation		339,640		349,509	1,000,790		989,637
Preopening expenses and store development costs		ĺ		Ź	19,930		,
General and administrative expenses		434,332		415,265	1,373,426		1,322,112
Total costs and expenses		4,279,962		4,267,941	12,723,572		12,884,608
		1,277,202		.,207,511	12,720,072		12,001,000
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS		(62,829)		104,699	(67,895)		283,251
OTHER EXPENSE:							
Interest expense		(439,245)		(392,876)	(1,319,845)		(1,219,660)
Other expense/amortization of financing cost		(89,624)		(178,798)	(287,110)		(353,981)
Other expense, net		(528,869)		(571,674)	1,606,955)		(1,573,641)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND							
MINORITY INTEREST		(591,698)		(466,975)	(1,674,849)		(1,290,390)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE							
LOSS BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST		(591,698)		(466,975)	(1,674,849)		(1,290,390)
MINORITY INTEREST SHARE OF JOINT		7.060		4.505	0.050		(5.46)
VENTURE LOSS (INCOME)		5,868		4,525	8,958		(546)
NET LOSS	\$	(585,830)	\$	(462,450)	\$ (1,665,892)	\$	(1,290,937)
1121 2000	Ф	(363,630)	Ф	(402,430)	\$ (1,003,692)	Ф	(1,290,937)
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER COMMON							
SHARE	\$	(0.67)	\$	(0.54)	\$ (1.92)	\$	(1.58)
		,		,	,		` ,
OUTSTANDING WEIGHTED AVERAGE							
SHARES		870,683		852,972	869,595		819,599

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended				
	September 29, 2002		September 30, 2001		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Net loss	\$	(1,665,892)	\$	(1,290,937)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flows used in operating activities -					
Depreciation and amortization		1,236,846		1,266,521	
Minority interest s share of joint venture income		(8,958)		546	
Interest expense paid for with common stock				303,170	
Consulting services paid for with common stock				23,698	
Change in operating assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable		211,028		340,596	
Inventories		16,337		62,899	
Prepaids and other		(80,616)		(237,865)	
Accounts payable		(1,229,164)		(569,048)	
Accrued expenses		147,365		(124,934)	
Net cash used in operating activities		(1,443,054)		(225,353)	
		(=,::=,==:)		(===,===)	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases of property and equipment		(473,710)		(615,218)	
Leasehold improvements purchased with tenant allowance		(173,710)		(340,000)	
Increase in other assets		(99,571)		(42,949)	
Net cash used in investing activities		(573,281)		(998,167)	
		(373,201)		(550,107)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Borrowings under long-term debt		5,500,000		1,096,000	
Tenant Allowance		3,500,000		340,000	
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations		(2,118,060)		(198,447)	
Proceeds from sale of common stock		2,621		10,000	
Repurchase of common stock		(19,355)		10,000	
Payment of deferred financing costs		(17,775)			
Net cash provided by financing activities		3,347,431		1,247,553	
I was a game of		3,347,431		1,247,333	
INCREASE IN CASH		1,331,096		24,033	
		1,331,090		24,033	
CASH, beginning of period		96,453		22,901	
		90,433		22,901	
CASH, end of period	\$	1,427,549	\$	46,934	
en abra, en a or perioa	Ф	1,427,349	Φ	40,934	
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Interest paid	\$	665,182	\$	916,490	
Income taxes paid	Φ	005,182	Ф	910,490	
NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS:					
Issuance of common stock, stock options and warrants for property and services and					
interest and debt	\$		\$	486,860	
				,	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

Condensed Notes to Financial Statements

September 29, 2002

(1) Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements included herein have been prepared by Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc. in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although Big Buck believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading.

The financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2002 include the results of operations for the joint venture described in Big Buck s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001.

The unaudited balance sheet as of September 29, 2002, the unaudited statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 29, 2002 and September 30, 2001, and the unaudited statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 29, 2002 and September 30, 2001 include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial results for the respective interim periods and are not necessarily indicative of results of operations to be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 29, 2002. The accompanying interim financial statements have been prepared under the presumption that users of the interim financial information have either read, or have access to, the audited financial statements and notes in Big Buck s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001. Accordingly, footnote disclosures which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 30, 2001 audited financial statements have been omitted from these interim financial statements except for the disclosures below. It is suggested that these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in Big Buck s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001.

ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

The following discussion contains various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Although we believe that, in making any such statement, our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, any such statement may be influenced by factors that could cause actual outcomes and results to be materially different from those projected. When used in the following discussion, the words anticipates, believes, expects, intends, plans, estimates and similar expressions, as they relate to us or our management, are intendidentify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, certain of which are beyond our control, are set forth in this section under the caption Cautionary Statement.

Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, forward-looking statements. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that any of the events anticipated by forward-looking statements will occur or, if any of them do occur, what impact they will have on us. We caution you to keep in mind the cautions and risks described in our Cautionary Statement and to refrain from attributing undue certainty to any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of the document in which they appear.

Overview

We develop and operate restaurant-brewpubs under the name Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse. Until May 1995 when we opened our first unit in Gaylord, Michigan, we had no operations or revenues and our activities were devoted solely to development. In March 1997, we opened our second unit in Grand Rapids, Michigan, and in October 1997, we opened our third unit in Auburn Hills, Michigan, a suburb of Detroit. In August 2000, we opened our fourth unit in Grapevine, Texas, a suburb of Dallas. This unit is owned and operated by Buck & Bass pursuant to our joint venture agreement with Bass Pro.

We had planned, subject to obtaining adequate financing, to open our next unit in Nashville, Tennessee. In March 2002, following the recommendation of the landlord and after careful consideration of the marketplace and our limited capital resources, we determined to discontinue our plans to open this unit. For the foreseeable future, we plan to focus on the following objectives:

exploration of licensing and franchising opportunities,

refinancing of existing indebtedness,

continued implementation of cost controls, and

recapturing market share lost following the events of September 11, 2001.

Our future revenues and profits will depend upon various factors, including market acceptance of the Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse concept and general economic conditions.

Three and Nine Months Ended September 29, 2002 and September 30, 2001

Our operating results, expressed as a percentage of total revenue, were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	September 29, 2002	September 30, 2001	September 29, 2002	September 30, 2001	
REVENUE:					
Restaurant sales	99.0%	98.1%	99.1%	98.2%	
Wholesale and retail sales	1.0	1.9	0.9	1.8	
Total revenue	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Cost of sales	33.8	32.5	33.2	33.0	
Restaurant salaries and benefits	26.0	25.3	25.8	26.6	
Operating expenses	23.3	22.3	22.7	20.7	
Depreciation	8.1	8.0	7.9	7.5	
Preopening expenses and store development costs	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	
General and administrative expenses	10.3	9.5	10.9	10.0	
Total costs and expenses	(101.5)	97.6	(100.5)	97.8	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(1.5)	2.4	(0.5)	2.2	
OTHER EXPENSE:					
Interest expense	(10.4)	(9.0)	(10.4)	(9.3)	
Other expense/amortization of financing cost	(2.1)	(4.1)	(2.3)	(2.7)	
Minority interest s share of subsidiary s loss (income)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	
NET LOSS	(13.9)%	(10.6)%	(13.2)%	(9.8)%	

Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 29, 2002 and September 30, 2001

Revenues

Revenues decreased 3.6% to \$4,217,133 in the third quarter of 2002 from \$4,372,640 in the third quarter of 2001, and decreased 3.9% to \$12,655,676 for the first nine months of 2002 from \$13,167,859 for the first nine months of 2001. The decreases were mainly due to a weak economy and a decline in tourism following the events of September 11, 2001. We believe that an improved economy will increase revenues at our existing units.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales, which consists of food, merchandise and brewing supplies, increased 0.4% to \$1,427,197 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$1,420,918 in the third quarter of 2001, and decreased 3.3% to \$4,202,821 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$4,344,775 for the first nine months of

2001. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales increased to 33.8% in the third quarter of 2002 as compared to 32.5% in the third quarter of 2001, and increased to 33.2% for the first nine months of 2002 compared to 33.0% for the first nine months of 2001. The increases as a percentage of revenues were primarily due to the purchase of a new bread product and the addition of vegetables to entrees.

Restaurant Salaries and Benefits

Restaurant salaries and benefits, which consist of restaurant management and hourly employee wages and benefits, payroll taxes and workers compensation insurance, decreased 1.2% to \$1,095,167 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$1,108,273 in the third quarter of 2001, and decreased 6.9% to \$3,259,271 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$3,499,137 for the first nine months of 2001. As a percentage of revenues, restaurant salaries and benefits increased to 26.0% in the third quarter of 2002 as compared to 25.3% in the third quarter of 2001, and decreased to 25.8% for the first nine months of 2002 compared to 26.6% for the first nine months of 2001. The decrease for the first nine months was due to the implementation of lower staffing levels and more efficient scheduling.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, which include supplies, utilities, repairs and maintenance, advertising and occupancy costs, increased 1.0% to \$983,626 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$973,976 in the third quarter of 2001, and increased 6.6% to \$2,867,333 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$2,728,947 for the first nine months of 2001. As a percentage of revenues, operating expenses increased to 23.3% in the third quarter of 2002 as compared to 22.3% in the third quarter 2001, and increased to 22.7% for the first nine months of 2002 compared to 20.7% for the first nine months of 2001. The increases were due primarily to increased expenditures for advertising intended to attract additional customers, including our participation in an entertainment card program, as well as our implementation of an enhanced food plan for employees designed to improve employee retention. We anticipate increased advertising expenses in future periods, offset, in part, by the expiration of the entertainment card program.

Depreciation

Depreciation expenses decreased 2.8% to \$339,640 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$349,509 in the third quarter of 2001, and increased 1.1% to \$1,000,790 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$989,637 for the first nine months of 2001. As a percentage of revenues, these expenses increased to 8.1% in the third quarter of 2002 from 8.0% in the third quarter of 2001, and increased to 7.9% for the first nine months of 2002 from 7.5% for the first nine months of 2001. Our depreciation expenses change as we acquire new assets and as our assets become fully depreciated.

Preopening Expenses and Store Development Costs

Preopening expenses and store development costs consist of expenses incurred prior to the opening of a new unit, including but not limited to wages and benefits, relocation costs, supplies, advertising expenses and training costs. We incurred no preopening expenses and store development costs in the third quarter of 2002, the third quarter of 2001 or the first nine months of 2001. We incurred preopening expenses and store development costs of \$19,930 for the first nine months of 2002.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 4.6% to \$434,332 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$415,265 in the third quarter of 2001, and increased 3.9% to \$1,373,426 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$1,322,112 for the first nine months of 2001. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses increased to 10.3% in the third quarter of 2002 as compared to 9.5% in the third quarter of 2001, and increased to 10.9% for the first nine months of 2002 compared to 10.0% for the first nine months of 2001. The

changes in general and administrative expenses were primarily driven by increased consulting and professional fees.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased 11.8% to \$439,245 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$392,876 in the third quarter of 2001, and increased 8.2% to \$1,319,844 for the first nine months of 2002 compared to \$1,219,660 for the first nine months of 2001. As a percentage of revenues, interest expense increased to 10.4% in the third quarter of 2002 from 9.0% in the third quarter of 2001, and increased to 10.4% for the first nine months of 2002 compared to 9.3% for first nine months of 2001. The increases reflected the interest paid on the loan we obtained from United Bank and Trust Company.

Other Income and Expense

Other income and expense includes miscellaneous income, amortization expense and amounts paid in settlement of legal proceedings. Other expenses decreased to \$89,624 in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$178,798 in the third quarter of 2001, and decreased to \$287,110 for the first nine months of 2002 as compared to \$353,981 for the first nine months of 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We used \$1,443,054 in cash for operating activities during the first nine months of 2002, and used \$225,353 in cash for operating activities during the first nine months of 2001. We had a working capital deficit of \$10,077,681 at September 29, 2002 and a working capital deficit of \$3,026,286 at September 30, 2001. The reclassification of our indebtedness to Wayne County Employees Retirement System (WCERS) from a long-term obligation to a short-term obligation was responsible for the increase in our working capital deficit, partially offset by the loan we obtained for \$5,000,000 from United Bank and Trust Company during the first quarter of 2002. During the first nine months of 2002, we spent \$473,710 for fixed assets and repaid \$2,118,060 in debt. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient financial resources to repay existing indebtedness or to continue operations. As of November 12, 2002, we had defaulted on \$1,049,380 of principal and interest payments to WCERS, the holder of approximately \$8,900,000 of our secured debt, and \$550,000 of principal payments to certain holders of our convertible subordinated promissory notes.

In general, we have experienced operating losses in each quarterly and annual period since inception. We incurred net losses of \$585,830 for the third quarter of 2002 and \$462,450 for the third quarter of 2001. As of September 29, 2002, we had an accumulated deficit of \$11,443,833. We currently depend upon our existing units for all of our revenues. We expect to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. We will need to generate significant increases in our revenues to achieve and maintain profitability. If our revenues fail to grow or grow more slowly than we anticipate, or our operating expenses exceed our expectations, our losses could significantly increase, which would harm our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. In addition, our failure to become and remain profitable may adversely affect the market price of our securities and our ability to raise capital and continue operations. The reports of our independent public accountants for the years ended December 30, 2001 and December 31, 2000 include explanatory paragraphs expressing doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Since inception, our principal capital requirements have been the funding of (a) our operations and promotion of the Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse format and (b) the construction of units and the acquisition of furniture, fixtures and equipment for such units. Total capital expenditures for the Gaylord, Grand Rapids and Auburn Hills units were approximately \$6.2 million, \$3.2 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. Total capital expenditures of Buck & Bass for the Grapevine unit were approximately \$7.6 million. Pursuant to our

joint venture agreemen	at with Bass Pro, we funded approximately \$6.4 million of that cost, including our \$1.5 million loan to Buck & Bass.
Financing activities du	uring the first nine months of 2002
loan from United Bank	received a \$500,000 advance from WCERS to cover unpaid real estate taxes in Michigan. In March 2002, we obtained a cand Trust Company for \$5,000,000 which matures in March 2004. The collateral of this loan is a \$5,000,000 letter of Of the proceeds of such loan, we have used:
	\$200,000 to terminate our lease of the Nashville site,
	\$500,000 to repay the advance from WCERS,
	\$1,000,000 to repay our indebtedness to Crestmark Bank,
unit,	\$825,000 to settle the dispute between Buck & Bass and the general contractor of the Grapevine
	\$380,000 to make principal and interest payments to United Bank and Trust Company,
Mark Provenzano,	\$108,000 to pay Columbia Construction Services, an entity controlled by one of our directors, for services rendered in connection with the Nashville site,
	\$163,000 to pay certain accounts payable,
	\$100,000 to pay property taxes, and
	\$217,000 for other working capital purposes.

Financing activities during the first nine months of 2001

In February 2001, we agreed with WCERS to extend the maturity dates of certain promissory notes held by WCERS, with an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$7,400,000, to February 2003. As a consequence, each of our outstanding promissory notes held by WCERS has a maturity date of February 1, 2003. On the same day, we also issued 46,200 shares of our common stock to WCERS in lieu of \$327,610 in principal and/or interest otherwise payable to WCERS in February, March, April and May 2001. In March 2001, we entered into a first amendment and acknowledgment of partial payment with the holder of one of our convertible subordinated promissory notes with a principal amount of \$250,000. Pursuant to such agreement, we repaid \$75,000 of principal, agreed to a repayment schedule involving monthly payments of principal and interest commencing May 1, 2001, and adjusted the conversion price on such note from \$10.3264 to \$5.11 per share. We repaid such note in full in March 2002. Also in March 2001, we entered into two amendments with Michael G. Eyde, one of our shareholders and the holder of two of our subordinated promissory notes. Pursuant to such agreements, we made his \$100,000 non-convertible note convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$7.00, adjusted the conversion price of his \$100,000 convertible note from \$13.4316 to \$7.00 and extended the maturity date of both notes until October 2001. In April 2001, we entered into a first amendment with the holder of one of our convertible subordinated promissory notes with a principal amount of \$50,000. Pursuant to such agreement, the maturity date of such note was extended until January 2002 and the conversion price was adjusted from \$13.3875 to \$5.11 per share. In April 2001, we entered into a first amendment with the holder of one of our convertible subordinated promissory notes with a principal amount of \$250,000. Pursuant to such agreement, the maturity date of such note was extended until October 2001 and the conversion price was adjusted from \$10.3264 to \$5.11 per share. We subsequently

agreed to a repayment schedule involving monthly payments of principal and interest on this note commencing November 1, 2001. In July 2001, we generated \$100,000 in net proceeds from the private placement of a \$100,000 principal amount convertible subordinated promissory note to Thomas E. Zuhl, who became one of our directors in January 2002. The note, which is convertible into 16,496 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$6.062 per share, matured in July 2002. In December 2001, we generated \$100,000 in net proceeds from the private placement of a \$100,000 principal amount convertible promissory note to Pac Rim Associates, Inc. Such entity is owned by Thomas E. Zuhl, who became one of our directors in January 2002. The note, which is convertible into 27,793 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$3.598 per share, matures on December 11, 2002. We used the funds provided by this subordinated debt financing for working capital purposes.

Additional financing is required for debt repayment

Without additional financing, our leveraged position and the requirements for payments to the holders of our secured and subordinated debt may require us to liquidate all or a portion of our assets. We had a working capital deficit of \$10,077,631 at September 29, 2002 and a working capital deficit of \$3,026,286 at September 30, 2001. As of November 12, 2002, we had outstanding (1) convertible secured debt aggregating \$7,409,271, (2) non-convertible secured debt aggregating \$5,979,610, and (3) convertible subordinated debt aggregating \$718,000. Of the outstanding convertible subordinated debt, \$100,000 matured in November 2000, \$300,000 matured in October 2001, \$50,000 matured in January 2002 and \$100,000 matured in July 2002. We cannot assure you that we will be able to extend the maturity dates of those, or any other, notes.

Our outstanding debt must be repaid in full as follows:

Type of Debt	Principal Amount	Maturity Date
Convertible Secured Debt	\$ 7,409,271	February 2003
Non-Convertible Secured Debt	\$ 1,500,000	February 2003
Non-Convertible Secured Debt	\$ 4,479,610	March 2004
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	November 2000
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 300,000	October 2001
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 50,000	January 2002
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	July 2002
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 68,000	*
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	December 2002

^{*} We have agreed to make three additional monthly payments of \$18,000 (commencing December 1, 2002) and one monthly payment of \$14,000 (on March 1, 2003) to repay this note in full.

To fund the maturity of the outstanding debt, we will be required to obtain additional financing or refinance the debt. However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the required funds or refinance the debt, which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Our agreements with WCERS require us to make the following payments to WCERS on the first day of each month:

\$53,396 in principal and interest on a convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$5.8 million,

10

\$14,756 in principal and interest on a convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.6 million, and

\$13,750 in interest only on a non-convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.5 million.

As of November 12, 2002, we had defaulted on \$1,049,380 of principal and interest payments to WCERS. Such amount represents:

\$747,548 which became due and payable between September 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$5.8 million,

\$191,831 which became due and payable between November 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.6 million, and

\$110,000 which became due and payable between January 2002 and November 2002 on the non-convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.5 million.

As a result, WCERS may, by notice in writing to us, declare all amounts owing with respect to the agreements to be immediately due and payable without presentment, demand, protest or other notice of any kind, all of which we previously waived. Our assets are, as a consequence, subject to foreclosure by WCERS. In particular, we have granted the following security interests to WCERS:

a pledge of our limited partnership interest in Buck & Bass,

a pledge of our shares of the issued and outstanding common stock of BBBP Management Company, and

a security interest, assignment or mortgage, as applicable, in our interest in all assets now or hereafter owned, ownership interests, licenses, and permits, including, without limitation, a mortgage encumbering the Gaylord, Auburn Hills and Grand Rapids sites.

We also agreed with WCERS that (1) we would not create, incur or suffer to be created or incurred or to exist, any lien of any kind upon any of our property or assets of any character whether then owned or thereafter acquired, or upon the income or profits therefrom except for certain permitted liens, (2) we would keep and maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$8.5 million, (3) we would keep and maintain a minimum debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.0 (excluding Grapevine pre-opening and financing costs), (4) we would maintain our then current cash flow position, and (5) we would not permit the difference between our current assets and our current liabilities (other than subordinated debt) to be less than \$500,000. We have notified WCERS that we violated each of the foregoing covenants. On April 3, 2001, we entered into a letter agreement with WCERS pursuant to which the foregoing covenants were modified to provide that (1) we must maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$6.25 million and (2) we have until January 1, 2002 to meet all other covenants set forth in the loan documents (unless modified by the parties in writing). We notified WCERS that we violated the amended covenants. On April 1, 2002, we entered into a letter agreement with WCERS pursuant to which the foregoing covenants were modified to provide that (1) we must maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$4.5 million and (2) we have until January 1, 2003 to meet all other covenants set forth in the loan documents (unless modified by the parties in writing).

We cannot assure you that we will be able to repay or refinance our indebtedness to WCERS or comply with the amended covenants. Our agreements with WCERS define an event of default to include our failure to perform any term, covenant or agreement contained in our agreement, including the payment of principal and interest. If our payment or other defaults are not waived by WCERS, our assets will remain subject to foreclosure by WCERS. Foreclosure by WCERS would force us to cease all operations.

Grapevine covenant violations

The existence of encumbrances on the Grapevine site, the failure of Buck & Bass to perform quarterly customer satisfaction surveys and the failure of Buck & Bass to achieve annual gross sales of \$7.0 million give Bass Pro the ability to declare an event of default under the sublease, terminate the sublease and demand all unpaid and reasonably calculable future rent over the balance of the sublease term. Pursuant to the limited partnership agreement, a material default under the sublease would also entitle Bass Pro to purchase our interest in the joint venture at 40% of book value, thereby eliminating our interest in the Grapevine unit. The termination of the sublease or the elimination of our interest in the Grapevine unit would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Limitations on ability to incur additional indebtedness

We granted WCERS a right of first refusal pursuant to which WCERS may, for so long as the approximately \$5.8 million promissory note is outstanding or WCERS owns more than 15% of our common stock, elect to purchase securities offered by us, within 45 days of the receipt of notice by WCERS, at the same price and on the same terms and conditions as are offered to a third party.

Our agreement with WCERS imposes limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. We agreed that we would not create, incur, assume, guarantee or be or remain liable, contingently or otherwise, with respect to any indebtedness, except for indebtedness incurred in the ordinary course of business not to exceed at any time \$1.5 million in the aggregate. Any indebtedness not in the ordinary course of business or in excess of \$1.5 million requires the approval of WCERS. These restrictions may impede our ability to secure financing for continued operations. Our failure to raise capital when needed would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Liquidity plans

We are exploring the possible sale of our Grand Rapids unit. If we enter into an agreement to sell such unit, we cannot assure you that we will be able to complete the sale, nor can we assure you that any completed sale would be on favorable or acceptable terms. Any such sale would also require the consent of the lessor of the Grand Rapids site.

We continue to explore licensing and franchising arrangements. As previously noted, we are in discussions with several parties regarding the possible licensing of the Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse concept in and outside the state of Michigan. We have entered into a license agreement with Up North Adventures, an entity owned by one of our directors, Thomas E. Zuhl, pursuant to which we hope to introduce our concept to various Asian markets. Our beers have already received awards for excellence at tradeshows in Japan.

We also seek to refinance our indebtedness to WCERS. We hope to refinance such indebtedness at lower interest rates. In the first quarter of 2002, WCERS engaged a consultant to evaluate restructuring our debt to WCERS. In the second quarter of 2002, we engaged the same consultant to assist our management in the development of a going-forward business strategy. Such assistance includes development of action items for critical financial and operational issues, analysis of immediate, near-term and long-term obstacles faced by our company, and assessment of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats for the going-forward business plan. In the third quarter of 2002, we continued to work with the consultant to achieve our goal of refinancing our indebtedness to WCERS.

12

We continue searching for ways of reducing our operating costs. In addition, we have implemented new short-term and long-term marketing campaigns pursuant to which we hope to regain revenues lost due to the decrease in tourism following the events of September 11, 2001. In October 2002, we entered into a one-year renewal of a contract with our marketing consultant in an effort to implement these marketing campaigns.

Seasonality

Our operating results are expected to fluctuate based on seasonal patterns. Based on our existing units, we anticipate that our highest revenues will occur in the second and third calendar quarters due to the milder climate during those quarters in Michigan. Because of the effect of seasonality on our business, results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for a full fiscal year and cannot be used to indicate financial performance for a full fiscal year.

Cautionary Statement

Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc., or persons acting on our behalf, or outside reviewers retained by us making statements on our behalf, or underwriters of our securities, from time to time, may make, in writing or orally, forward-looking statements as defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This Cautionary Statement, when used in conjunction with an identified forward-looking statement, is for the purpose of qualifying for the safe harbor provisions of the Litigation Reform Act and is intended to be a readily available written document that contains factors which could cause results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements. These factors are in addition to any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made, or referred to, in connection with any such forward-looking statement.

The following matters, among others, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations or prospects, financial or otherwise. Reference to this Cautionary Statement in the context of a forward-looking statement or statements shall be deemed to be a statement that any one or more of the following factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such forward-looking statement or statements.

We may be unable to repay current maturities of existing indebtedness, forcing us to liquidate all or a portion of our assets.

Overview

Without additional financing, our leveraged position, requirements for payments to the holders of our secured and subordinated debt and requirements for payments on our loan agreement may require us to liquidate all or a portion of our assets. We had working capital deficits of approximately \$10.1 million at September 29, 2002, approximately \$3.0 million at December 30, 2001 and approximately \$3.5 million at December 31, 2000. As of November 12, 2002, we had outstanding (1) convertible secured debt aggregating \$7,409,271, (2) non-convertible secured debt aggregating \$5,979,610, and (3) convertible subordinated debt aggregating \$718,000. Of the outstanding convertible subordinated debt, \$100,000 matured in November 2000, \$300,000 matured in October 2001, \$50,000 matured in January 2002 and \$100,000 matured in July 2002. We cannot assure you that we will be able to extend the maturity dates of those, or any other, notes.

Our outstanding debt must be repaid in full as follows:

Type of Debt	Principal Amount	Maturity Date
Convertible Secured Debt	7,409,271	February 2003
Non-Convertible Secured Debt	\$ 1,500,000	February 2003
Non-Convertible Secured Debt	\$ 4,479,610	March 2004
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	November 2000
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 300,000	October 2001
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 50,000	January 2002
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	July 2002
Convertible Subordinated Debt	68,000	*
Convertible Subordinated Debt	\$ 100,000	December 2002

^{*} We have agreed to make three additional monthly payments of \$18,000 (commencing December 1, 2002) and one monthly payment of \$14,000 (on March 1, 2003) to repay this note in full.

To fund the maturity of the outstanding debt, we will be required to obtain additional financing or refinance the debt. However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the required funds or refinance the debt, which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

(Convertible	Secured	and Non-	Convertible	Secured	Deht

As of November 12, 2002, we had defaulted on \$1,049,380 of principal and interest payments to WCERS. Such amount represents:

\$747,548 which became due and payable between September 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$5.8 million,

\$191,831 which became due and payable between November 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.6 million, and

\$110,000 which became due and payable between January 2002 and November 2002 on the non-convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.5 million.

As a result, WCERS may, by notice in writing to us, declare all amounts owing with respect to the agreements to be immediately due and payable without presentment, demand, protest or other notice of any kind, all of which we previously waived. Our assets are, as a consequence, subject to foreclosure by WCERS. In particular, we have granted the following security interests to WCERS:

a pledge of our limited partnership interest in Buck & Bass,

a pledge of our shares of the issued and outstanding common stock of BBBP Management Company, and

a security interest, assignment or mortgage, as applicable, in our interest in all assets now or hereafter owned, ownership interests, licenses, and permits, including, without limitation, a mortgage encumbering the Gaylord, Auburn Hills and Grand Rapids sites.

We also granted to WCERS a right of first refusal pursuant to which WCERS may, for so long as the approximately \$5.8 million promissory note is outstanding or WCERS owns more than 15% of our common stock, elect to purchase securities offered by us, within 45 days of the receipt of notice by WCERS, at the same price and on the same terms and conditions as are offered to a third party.

Among other things, we agreed with WCERS, the holder of approximately \$8.9 of our secured debt, that (1) we would not create, incur or suffer to be created or incurred or to exist, any lien of any kind upon any of our property or assets of any character whether then owned or thereafter acquired, or upon the income or profits therefrom except for certain permitted liens, (2) we would keep and maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$8.5 million, (3) we would keep and maintain a minimum debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.0 (excluding Grapevine pre-opening and financing costs), (4) we would maintain our then current cash flow position, and (5) we would not permit the difference between our current assets and our current liabilities (other than subordinated debt) to be less than \$500,000. We have notified WCERS that we have violated each of the foregoing covenants. On April 3, 2001, we entered into a letter agreement with WCERS pursuant to which the foregoing covenants were modified to provide that (1) we must maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$6.25 million and (2) we have until January 1, 2002 to meet all other covenants set forth in the loan documents (unless modified by the parties in writing). We notified WCERS that we violated the amended covenants. On April 1, 2002, we entered into a letter agreement with WCERS pursuant to which the foregoing covenants were modified to provide that (1) we must maintain tangible net worth plus subordinated debt in an amount not less than \$4.5 million and (2) we

have until January 1, 2003 to meet all other covenants set forth in the loan documents (unless modified by the parties in writing).

We cannot assure you that we will maintain compliance with the amended covenants or be able to repay or refinance our indebtedness to WCERS. Our agreements with WCERS define an event of default to include our failure to perform any term, covenant or agreement contained in our agreement. In the event of a default which is not waived under our agreements with WCERS, our assets would be at risk. Foreclosure by WCERS would force us to cease all operations.

In March 2002, we obtained a loan from United Bank and Trust Company for \$5.0 million which matures in March 2004. The collateral of this loan is a \$5.0 million letter of credit from WCERS. We cannot assure you that we will be able to repay our indebtedness to United Bank.

Convertible Subordinated Promissory Notes

To fund the maturity of the outstanding convertible subordinated promissory notes, we will be required to obtain additional financing or refinance the debt. However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the required funds or refinance the debt, which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. As of November 12, 2002, our outstanding convertible subordinated promissory notes had the following terms and conditions:

Principal Amount		Date of Issuance	Maturity Date	Shares Issuable Upon Conversion	Conversion Price
\$	100,000	10-08-99	10-07-01	9,523	\$ 10.50
\$	68,000	10-11-99	*	13,307	\$ 5.11
\$	100,000	11-17-99	11-01-00	5,890	\$ 16.9764
\$	100,000	01-19-00	10-01-01	14,285	\$ 7.00
\$	100,000	12-04-00	10-01-01	14,285	\$ 7.00
\$	50,000	01-27-00	01-01-02	9,784	\$ 5.11
\$	100,000	07-20-01	07-20-02	16,496	\$ 6.062
\$	100,000	12-11-01	12-11-02	27,793	\$ 3.598

^{*} We have agreed to make three additional monthly payments of \$18,000 (commencing December 1, 2002) and one monthly payment of \$14,000 (on March 1, 2003) to repay this note in full.

We have incurred losses and we expect to incur substantial future losses. This may prevent us from raising capital and continuing operations.

In general, we have experienced operating losses in each quarterly and annual period since inception. We incurred net losses of approximately \$1,665,892 million for the nine months ended September 29, 2002, approximately \$2.2 million for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2001, and approximately \$3.2 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000. As of September 29, 2002, we had an accumulated deficit of \$11.4

million. We currently depend upon our existing units for all of our revenues. We expect to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. We will need to generate significant increases in our revenues to achieve and maintain profitability. If our revenues fail to grow or grow more slowly than we anticipate, or our operating expenses exceed our expectations, our losses could significantly increase, which would harm our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. In addition, our failure to become and remain profitable may adversely affect the market price of our securities and our ability to raise capital and continue operations. The reports of our independent public accountants for the years ended December 30, 2001 and December 31, 2000 include explanatory paragraphs expressing doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

16

If we are unable to obtain additional capital or secure refinancing when required, we may be required to scale back our operations or cease our operations.
If additional capital does not become available to us when required, we may be required to scale back our operations or cease our operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional financing or refinancing when required. If we are able to obtain financing or refinancing, we cannot assure you that it will be on favorable or acceptable terms. To obtain additional financing, we anticipate that we will be required to sell additional debt or equity securities. New investors may seek and obtain substantially better terms than those available in connection with open market purchases and our issuance of securities in the future may result in substantial dilution. To obtain refinancing, we may be required to agree to certain negative covenants with which we may be unable to comply.
Our agreement with WCERS imposes limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. We agreed that we would not create, incur, assume, guarantee or be or remain liable, contingently or otherwise, with respect to any indebtedness, except for indebtedness incurred in the ordinary course of business not to exceed at any time \$1.5 million in the aggregate. Any indebtedness not in the ordinary course of business or in excess of \$1.5 million requires the approval of WCERS. These restrictions may impede our ability to secure financing for future expansion and continued operations. Our failure to raise capital when needed would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.
We cannot assure you that future operations of any unit will be profitable.
Future revenues and profits, if any, will depend upon various factors, including:
the quality of restaurant and brewery operations,
the acceptance of our food and beer, and

You may have difficulty reselling your securities.

We cannot assure you that we will ever operate profitably.

general economic conditions.

Our securities are subject to certain rules of the SEC relating to penny stocks. Such rules require broker-dealers to make a suitability determination for purchasers and to receive the purchaser's prior written consent for a purchase transaction, thus restricting the ability to purchase or sell the securities in the open market. In addition, trading in our securities is conducted in the over-the-counter market on the OTC Bulletin Board, which was established for securities that do not meet Nasdaq listing requirements. Selling our securities may be difficult because of the quantity of securities that may be bought and sold, the possibility that transactions may be delayed, and the low level of security analyst and news media coverage given to over-the-counter stocks. These factors could contribute to lower prices and larger spreads in the bid and ask prices for our securities.

Our interest in the Grapevine unit may be reduced or eliminated by Bass Pro.

The existence of encumbrances on the Grapevine site, the failure of Buck & Bass to perform quarterly customer satisfaction surveys and the failure of Buck & Bass to achieve annual gross sales of \$7.0 million give Bass Pro the ability to declare an event of default under the sublease, terminate the sublease and demand all unpaid and reasonably calculable future rent over the balance of the sublease term. Pursuant to the limited partnership agreement, a material default under the sublease would also entitle Bass Pro to purchase our interest in the joint venture at 40% of book value, thereby eliminating our interest in the Grapevine unit. The

17

termination of the sublease or the elimination of our interest in the Grapevine unit would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

If Buck & Bass is required to pay more than the minimum annual base rent for the Grapevine site, funds available to us for other purposes will be reduced.

Pursuant to a commercial sublease agreement, Buck & Bass leases the Grapevine site from Bass Pro over a 15-year term. Buck & Bass is obligated to pay annual percentage rent in the amount of 5.5% on gross sales less than \$11.0 million per year and 6.5% on gross sales in excess of \$11.0 million per year; provided, however, that the minimum annual base rent is \$385,000. Bass Pro may terminate the sublease in the event of a default which is not cured within the applicable grace period. In March 2000, we agreed with Bass Pro in writing to revise the definition of default under the sublease. As amended, the sublease provides that a default includes, but is not limited to:

the failure of Buck & Bass to remain open during all business days,

the failure of Buck & Bass to maintain on duty a fully trained service staff,

the failure of Buck & Bass to provide high quality food of the type provided at our Gaylord unit,

the failure of Buck & Bass to achieve gross sales in the first full calendar year immediately following the opening and for each calendar year thereafter of \$7.0 million,

Buck & Bass encumbering in any manner any interest in the subleased premises, or

the failure of Buck & Bass to conduct full and complete customer surveys no less frequently than each calendar quarter.

The minimum annual base rent is required whether the Grapevine unit is profitable or not. If Buck & Bass is required to pay in excess of the minimum annual base rent, the funds available to us for other purposes will be reduced. In the event of a default and termination of the joint venture agreement, our interest in the Grapevine unit would be eliminated. This would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

If we are required to pay more than the minimum annual base rent for the Grand Rapids or Auburn Hills sites, funds available to us for other purposes will be reduced.

In April 1997, we sold the Grand Rapids site, including all improvements thereto, to an entity owned by one of our shareholders, Eyde Brothers Development Co., pursuant to a real estate purchase and leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. Pursuant to a separate lease agreement, we lease the Grand Rapids site at a minimum annual base rent of \$140,000 and a maximum annual base rent of \$192,500 over a ten-year term. The lease may be extended at our option for up to two additional five-year terms. In addition to the annual base rent, the lease, as amended in March 2000, provides that we are obligated to pay annual percentage rent in the amount of 5% on gross sales at the site in excess of \$1.5 million per year. Annual gross sales did not exceed \$1.5 million for the lease year ended April 2001 or the lease year ended April 2002.

In August 1997, we entered into a real estate purchase and leaseback agreement providing for the sale of the Auburn Hills site to one of our shareholders, Michael G. Eyde, for \$4.0 million. In connection with this transaction, we granted a five-year stock option, exercisable at \$35.00 per share, for 7,142 shares of our common stock to Mr. Eyde. We lease the Auburn Hills site pursuant to a separate lease agreement which provides for a minimum annual base rent of \$400,000, and a maximum annual base rent of \$550,000, over a 25-year term. The lease may be extended at our option for up to two additional ten-year terms. In addition to

18

the annual base rent, we are obligated to pay annual percentage rent of 5.25% of gross sales at the site in excess of \$8.0 million per year. Annual gross sales did not exceed \$8.0 million for the fourth or fifth years of the lease term.

Annual percentage rent is required whether or not such units are profitable. If we are required to pay annual percentage rent, the funds available to us for other purposes will be reduced.

If we default and the lessor of the Grand Rapids site or the lessor of the Auburn Hills site terminates the related lease, we would be unable to continue operating such unit.

The Grand Rapids and Auburn Hills lessors may terminate in the event of a default which is not cured within the applicable grace period. A default is defined as:

our failure to make a rental payment within 30 days after receipt of written notice that a payment is past due, or

our failure to perform our obligations under the lease, other than rent payments, within 30 days after written notice of a curable violation:

provided, however, that if such default cannot be cured within the 30-day period, a default will be deemed to have occurred only if we have failed to commence a cure within such 30-day period. In the event of a default and termination of either lease, we would be unable to continue operating the related unit, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

If we are required to repurchase the Grand Rapids site or the Auburn Hills site and cannot do so, we would be unable to continue operating such unit.

Because annual gross sales at the Grand Rapids site did not exceed \$1.5 million for the lease year ended April 2001 or the lease year ended April 2002, the lessor of the Grand Rapids site obtained the right to require us to repurchase such site for \$1.4 million, plus \$70,000 for each lease year on a pro rata basis. In March 2002, the lessor waived its right to require repurchase based upon annual gross sales for the lease years ended April 2001 and April 2002. The lessor of the Grand Rapids site has the option to require us to repurchase such site after the seventh full lease year for the same price. We also have the option to purchase the Grand Rapids site from the lessor after the seventh full lease year for the same price.

Because annual gross sales at the Auburn Hills site did not exceed \$8.0 million for the fourth or fifth years of the lease term, the lessor of the Auburn Hills site obtained the right to require us to repurchase such site for \$4.0 million, plus \$200,000 for each lease year on a pro rata basis. We also have the option to purchase the Auburn Hills site from the lessor after the seventh full lease year for the same price.

If either lessor elects to exercise his option to require us to repurchase a site, we would be forced to repurchase such site at a premium over its sale price. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient funds to repurchase either site. If we are required to repurchase a site and cannot do so, it would have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We may be unable to compete with larger, better-established restaurant competitors.

The restaurant industry is highly competitive with respect to price, service, location and food quality, including taste, freshness and nutritional value. New restaurants have a high failure rate. New restaurants generally experience a decline in revenue growth, or in actual revenues, following a period of excitement that accompanies their opening. The restaurant industry is also generally affected by changes in consumer preferences, national, regional and local economic conditions, and demographic trends. The performance of individual restaurants may also be affected by factors such as traffic patterns, demographic considerations, and

the type, number and location of competing restaurants. In addition, factors such as inflation, increased food, labor and employee benefit costs, and the unavailability of experienced management and hourly employees may also adversely affect the restaurant industry in general and our units in particular. Restaurant operating costs are further affected by increases in the minimum hourly wage, unemployment tax rates and similar matters over which we have no control. We face numerous well-established competitors, including national, regional and local restaurant chains, possessing substantially greater financial, marketing, personnel and other resources than we do. We also compete with a large variety of locally owned restaurants, diners and other establishments that offer moderately priced food to the public and with other brewpubs. Competitors could utilize the Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse format or a related format. We cannot assure you that we will be able to respond to various competitive factors affecting the restaurant industry.

If we are unable to comply with applicable restaurant regulations, we will be unable to operate; compliance with such regulations may increase our operating expenses.

The restaurant industry is subject to numerous federal, state and local government regulations, including those relating to the preparation and sale of food and those relating to building and zoning requirements. We are subject to regulation by air and water pollution control divisions of the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States and by certain states and municipalities in which our units are located. We are also subject to laws governing our relationship with our employees, including minimum wage requirements, overtime, working and safety conditions and citizenship requirements. Restaurant operating costs are affected by increases in the minimum hourly wage, unemployment tax rates, sales taxes and similar matters, such as any government-mandated health insurance, over which we have no control.

Our operations depend upon obtaining and maintaining governmental licenses and permits required for brewing and selling beer.

A significant percentage of our revenue is derived from beer sales. Total sales of alcohol, including beer, wine and hard liquor, accounted for 20.4% of our revenues during 2001. We must comply with federal licensing requirements imposed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms of the United States Department of Treasury, as well as the licensing requirements of states and municipalities where our units are located. Our failure to comply with federal, state or local regulations could cause our licenses to be revoked and force us to cease brewing and selling our beer. Typically, licenses must be renewed annually and may be revoked or suspended for cause at any time.

We may face liability under dram-shop laws.

We are subject to dram-shop laws in Michigan and Texas. These laws generally provide someone injured by an intoxicated person the right to recover damages from the establishment that wrongfully served alcoholic beverages to such person. We carry liquor liability coverage as part of our existing comprehensive general liability insurance. However, a judgment against us under a dram-shop statute in excess of our liability coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We must pay federal and state excise taxes on our beer; we may be unable to retain the credits that help us to offset part of such taxes.

The federal government imposes an excise tax of \$18.00 on each barrel of beer produced for domestic consumption in the United States. However, each brewer with production under 2,000,000 barrels per year is granted a small brewer s excise tax credit in the amount of \$11.00 per barrel on its first 60,000 barrels produced annually. We cannot assure you that the federal government will not reduce or eliminate this credit. Michigan imposes an excise tax of \$6.30 per barrel on each barrel of beer sold in Michigan. However, each brewer that is a brewpub under Michigan law and manufactures less than 50,000 barrels per year is granted a brewer s excise tax credit in the amount of \$2.00 per barrel. Buck & Bass is subject to excise taxes under

Texas law. Excise taxes in Texas are \$6.138 per barrel for ale and malt liquor, and \$6.00 per barrel for beer. However, Texas grants a 25% tax exemption for manufacturers of beer whose annual production in Texas does not exceed 75,000 barrels of beer per year. As a result, Buck & Bass faces an effective excise tax of \$4.50 per barrel for beer. If our beer production exceeds the foregoing credit thresholds, our average excise tax rate would increase.

It is possible that the rate of excise taxation could be increased by either federal or state governments, or both. Increased excise taxes on alcoholic beverages have been considered by the federal government as an additional source of tax revenue in connection with various proposals and could be included in future legislation. Future increases in excise taxes on alcoholic beverages, if enacted, could adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Our management possesses significant control which could reduce your ability to receive a premium for your securities through a change in control.

As of June 30, 2002, our officers and directors beneficially owned approximately 53.9% of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, such persons can:

exert substantial influence over the composition of our board of directors,

generally direct our affairs, and

may have the power to control the outcome of shareholder approvals of business acquisitions, mergers and combinations and other actions.

We are also subject to Michigan statutes regulating business combinations and restricting voting rights of certain persons acquiring shares of common stock which may hinder or delay a change in control.

Fluctuations in our operating results may result in decreases in the market price of our securities.

Our sales and earnings are expected to fluctuate based on seasonal patterns. Based on our existing units, we anticipate that our highest earnings will occur in the second and third calendar quarters due to the milder climate during those quarters in Michigan. Because of the effect of seasonality on our business, results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full fiscal year.

You may not be able to sell our securities at the same price at which you purchase our securities due to significant volatility in the market price of our securities.

The market price of ou	ar common stock has been subject to significant fluctuations in response to numerous factors, including:
	variations in our annual or quarterly financial results or those of our competitors,
competitors,	changes by financial research analysts in their estimates of our earnings or those of our
	conditions in the economy in general or in the brewing industry in particular,
	unfavorable publicity, and
affecting us or the	changes in applicable laws and regulations, or judicial or administrative interpretations thereof, brewing industry.
	21

We cannot assure purchasers of our securities that they will be able to sell such securities at or above the prices at which they were purchased.

The sale of additional securities may be dilutive to existing security holders.

We had 862,011 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 12, 2002. On that date, we also had warrants, stock options, convertible debt and other rights outstanding to purchase an additional 1,222,679 shares of common stock, exercisable at prices ranging from \$1.33 to \$56.00 per share. We have also registered certain shares of our common stock for resale on the public market. The sale of such shares, and the sale of additional shares which may become eligible for sale in the public market from time to time upon the exercise of warrants, stock options, convertible debt and other rights, may be dilutive to existing security holders and could have the effect of depressing the market price of our securities.

ITEM 3 Controls and Procedures

We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that is designed to provide reasonable assurance that information, which is required to be disclosed, is accumulated and communicated to management timely. Within the 90-day period prior to the filing date of this periodic report, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to our company required to be disclosed in our periodic filings with the SEC.

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their most recent evaluation.

PART II	OTHER INFORMATION
ITEM 1	Legal Proceedings
Company, Bass Pro Outdoor V District Court of Tarrant Count failure to make prompt paymen & Bass. The proceeding is a la construction manager, signed a agreement, Buck & Bass hired but is still owed \$1,174,516 that recovery under St. Paul s bond attorneys fees, court costs, an	Instruction, Inc., the general contractor of the Grapevine unit, sued Buck & Bass, L.P., BBBP Management World, L.L.C., St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company, Grapevine II, L.P. and our company in the 352 nd ty, Texas, for breach of contract and quantum meruit, as well as claims under the Texas Property Code for alleged at and alleged misapplication of statutory construction trust funds. Our company owns an 89.9% interest in Buck away in that arises out of the construction of the Grapevine unit. Buck & Bass, as owner, and Knoebel, as an AIA—Standard Form of Agreement Between Owner and Construction Manager—dated June 30, 2000. Under the Knoebel to construct the Grapevine unit. Knoebel alleges that it performed its obligations under the agreement at Buck & Bass has failed to pay. Buck & Bass disputes the amount of Knoebel—s claims. Knoebel also seeks d and foreclosure of a statutory lien. In addition to its alleged damages of \$1,174,516, Knoebel—s petition asks for d pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. In accordance with the agreement, the parties agreed to abate the to the American Arbitration Association.
agreement, Knoebel terminated	d the lawsuit and arbitration proceeding initiated by Knoebel. Pursuant to a mutual release and settlement d the lawsuit and the arbitration proceeding and Big Buck paid Knoebel \$825,000 on behalf of Buck & Bass. Big using a portion of the proceeds of the loan it received in the first quarter of 2002 from United Bank and Trust
	al actions in the ordinary course of our business. Although the outcomes of any such legal actions cannot be nagement these routine legal proceedings are unlikely to have a material adverse effect upon our business, and financial condition.
ITEM 2	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds
Not applicable.	
ITEM 3	Defaults upon Senior Securities

As of November 12, 2002, we had defaulted on \$1,049,380 of principal and interest payments to WCERS. Such amount represents:

the

\$747,548 which became due and payable between September 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$5.8 million,

\$191,831 which became due and payable between November 2001 and November 2002 on the convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.6 million, and

\$110,000 which became due and payable between January 2002 and November 2002 on the non-convertible secured promissory note with a principal balance of approximately \$1.5 million.

As a result, WCERS may, by notice in writing to us, declare all amounts owing with respect to the agreements to be immediately due and payable without presentment, demand, protest or other notice of any kind, all of which we previously waived. Our assets are, as a consequence, subject to foreclosure by WCERS. For more information regarding our payment and other defaults, please review Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources.

ITEM 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on August 30, 2002. Three proposals were submitted for shareholder approval, all of which passed with voting results as follows:

(1) To elect eight directors for the ensuing year and until their successors shall be elected and duly qualified.

	FOR	WITHHOLD AUTHORITY
William F. Rolinski	4,345,407	1,700,073
Jonathon D. Ahlbrand	4,353,957	1,700,523
Matthew P. Cullen	4,353,707	1,691,773
Richard A. Noelke	4,342,732	1,702,748
Mark S. Provenzano	4,344,603	1,700,877
Henry T. Siwecki	4,338,036	1,708,407
Ronald Yee	4,338,036	1,707,444
Thomas E. Zuhl	4,348,282	1,697,198

To consider and vote upon a restatement of our Restated Articles of Incorporation for the purpose of effecting a possible stock combination (reverse stock split) pursuant to which our outstanding shares of common stock would be exchanged for new shares of common stock in an exchange ratio of one newly issued share for every seven outstanding shares, while maintaining 15,000,000 authorized shares, consisting of 10,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

FOR	AGAINST
4,281,537	151.731

ABSTAIN	NON-VOTE
11,765	0

(3) To ratify the appointment of Plante & Moran, LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 29, 2002.

FOR	AGAINST
4,370,157	40,886
ABSTAIN	NON-VOTE
33,990	0

ITEM 5 Other Information

Not applicable.

ITEM 6 Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

See Index to Exhibits.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

On August 16, 2002, the registrant filed a Current Report on Form 8-K relating to (1) its receipt of a Nasdaq Staff Determination indicating that it fails to comply with the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement for continued listing and (2) its settlement of the lawsuit and arbitration proceeding initiated by Knoebel Construction, Inc. On September 3, 2002, the registrant filed a Current Report on Form 8-K disclosing the record date for the one-for-seven reverse stock split approved by the registrant s shareholders. The registrant filed no other Current Reports on Form 8-K during the third quarter of 2002.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIG BUCK BREWERY & STEAKHOUSE, INC.

Date: November 13, 2002 By /s/ Anthony P. Dombrowski

Anthony P. Dombrowski

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

CERTIFICATIONS

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14

I, William F. Rolinski, President and	Chief Executive Officer of Big Buck F	Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc., cer	tify that:
1. I have review	ved this quarterly report on Form 10-QS	SB of Big Buck Brewery & Stea	khouse, Inc.;
	knowledge, this quarterly report does tatements made, in light of the circums quarterly report;		
	knowledge, the financial statements, a nancial condition, results of operations		
	nt s other certifying officers and I are re Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the re		naintaining disclosure controls and
	n disclosure controls and procedures to known to us by others within those en		
b) evaluated the filing date of this quarterly report (th	effectiveness of the registrant s disclose Evaluation Date); and	sure controls and procedures as o	of a date within 90 days prior to the
c) presented in to on our evaluation as of the Evaluation	his quarterly report our conclusions abo n Date;	out the effectiveness of the discl	osure controls and procedures based
	nt s other certifying officers and I have registrant s board of directors (or perso		

a) ability to record, proc controls; and	all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ess, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in interna
b) registrant s internal c	any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the controls; and
6. changes in internal co	The registrant s other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant ontrols or in other factors that
	28

could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: November 13, 2002

By /s/ William F. Rolinski
William F. Rolinski
President and Chief Executive Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14

I, Anthony P. Dombrowski, Chief Financial Officer of Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc., certify that:		
1. I have revio	ewed this quarterly report on Form 10-QSB of Big Buck Brewery	& Steakhouse, Inc.;
	ny knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such is quarterly report;	
	ny knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial inform financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the reg	
	ant s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:	g and maintaining disclosure controls and
	ach disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material info de known to us by others within those entities, particularly during	
b) evaluated th filing date of this quarterly report (ne effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedu the Evaluation Date); and	ares as of a date within 90 days prior to the
c) presented in on our evaluation as of the Evaluat	n this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the cion Date;	ne disclosure controls and procedures based
	ant s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our fregistrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equiva-	

a) ability to record, proc controls; and	all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ess, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal
b) registrant s internal c	any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the ontrols; and
6. changes in internal co	The registrant s other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant ntrols or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent
	30

evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: November 13, 2002

By /s/ Anthony P. Dombrowski Anthony P. Dombrowski Chief Financial Officer

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc. (the Company) on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended September 29, 2002, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, William F. Rolinski, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 13, 2002 B

By /s/ William F. Rolinski
William F. Rolinski
President and Chief Executive Officer

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Big Buck Brewery & Steakhouse, Inc. (the Company) on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended September 29, 2002, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, Anthony P. Dombrowski, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 13, 2002

By /s/ Anthony P. Dombrowksi Anthony P. Dombrowski Chief Financial Officer

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	
Number	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2, filed on July 15, 1996
	(File No. 333-3548)).
4.1	See Exhibit 3.1.
4.2	See Exhibit 3.2.
4.3	Specimen common stock certificate.
4.4	Form of Warrant Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association (f/k/a Norwest Bank Minnesota,
	National Association) (including specimen Class A Warrant certificate) (incorporated by reference to our Registration
	Statement on Form SB-2, filed on July 15, 1996 (File No. 333-03548)).
4.5	Amendment to Warrant Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association (f/k/a Norwest Bank Minnesota,
	National Association) (including specimen Class A Warrant certificate) (incorporated by reference to Post-Effective
	Amendment No. 2 to our Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed on June 8, 2000 (File No. 333-03548)).
4.6	Amendment No. 2 to Warrant Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association (f/k/a Norwest Bank
	Minnesota, National Association) (incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed on July 1, 2002
	(File No. 0-20845))