GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE Form 10-Q November 03, 2009

# UNITED STATES

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-51233** 

# GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

83-0423116

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200

**MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102** 

(Address of principal executive office)

#### (703) 287-5800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The number of shares of the issuer s Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of November 2, 2009 was 22,080,133.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Condensed Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 Financial Highlights for the three and six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	3 4 10 11 12 13 14
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources	26 26 29 36
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	46
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	47
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION:	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	48
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	48
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	48
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	48
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	48
Item 5.	Other Information	49
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	49
SIGNATURES		50

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

### (UNAUDITED)

	5	September 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
ASSETS			
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost 9/30/09: \$29,886; Cost 3/31/09: \$134,836)	\$	25,004	\$ 94,740
Control investments (Cost 9/30/09: \$142,698; Cost 3/31/09: \$150,081)		132,399	166,163
Affiliate investments (Cost 9/30/09: \$64,019; Cost 3/31/09: \$64,028)		46,900	53,027
Total investments at fair value (Cost 9/30/09: \$236,603; Cost 3/31/09: \$348,945)		204,303	313,930
Cash and cash equivalents		86,311	7,236
Interest receivable		1,212	1,500
Due from Custodian		932	2,706
Deferred financing fees		963	1,167
Prepaid assets		445	172
Other assets		184	132
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	294,350	\$ 326,843
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	460	\$ 1,283
Fee due to Administrator (Refer to Note 4)		198	179
Fee due to Adviser (Refer to Note 4)		221	187
Short-term loan		75,000	
Borrowings under line of credit (Cost 9/30/09: \$36,100; Cost 3/31/09: \$110,265)		36,278	110,265
Other liabilities		148	127
TOTAL LIABILITIES		112,305	112,041
NET ASSETS	\$	182,045	\$ 214,802
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS:			
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 22,080,133 shares issued			
and outstanding at September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009	\$	22	\$ 22
Capital in excess of par value		264,551	257,361
Net unrealized depreciation of investment portfolio		(32,301)	(35,015)
Net unrealized depreciation of derivative		(27)	(53)
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit		(178)	
Accumulated net investment loss		(50,022)	(7,513)
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$	182,045	\$ 214,802
NET ASSETS PER SHARE	\$	8.24	\$ 9.73

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS

### AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

### (UNAUDITED)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVEST	MENTS:			
Senior Syndicated Loans:				
HMTBP Acquisition II Corp.	Service aboveground storage tanks	Senior Term Debt (2.6%, Due 5/2014) (7), (8)	\$ 3,819	\$ 3,064
Interstate Fibernet, Inc.	Service provider of voice and data telecommunications services	Senior Term Debt (4.3%, Due 7/2013) (7), (9)	9,758	7,929
Survey Sampling, LLC	Service telecommunications-based sampling	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 5/2011) (3)	2,409	958
Subtotal - Syndicated Loans	Samping		\$ 15,986	
Non-syndicated Loans:				
American Greetings	Manufacturing and design	Senior Notes (7.4%, Due		
Corporation	greeting cards	6/2016) (3)	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,588
B-Dry, LLC	Service basement waterproofer	Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 5/2014) (5)	6,647	6,589
		Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 5/2014) (5)	3,910	Í
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	3,910	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	10,857	
			10,007	10,100
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments			\$ 29,886	\$ 25,004
CONTROL INVESTMENTS:				
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	C	Senior Term Debt (4.8%, Due	¢ 0.101	¢ 0.101
	products	3/2012) Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due	\$ 9,101	\$ 9,101
		3/2012) (6)	9,900	9,900
		Senior Subordinated Term	7,700	7,700
		Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2014)	8,586	8,586
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,387	5,333
		Common Stock (4)	130	3,511
			32,104	36,431
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	Manufacturing manifolds and	Senior Subordinated Term	14.500	14.500
	pipes for industrial gasses	Debt (11.5%, Due 3/2012) Preferred Stock (4)	14,500 6,984	,
		Common Stock (4)	1,045	,
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	24	
		Common Stock Warrants (1)	22,553	
			,	- ,-
ASH Holdings Corp.	Retail and Service school buses and parts	Revolver, \$1,500 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (5)	500	150
	and parts	Senior Subordinated Term	300	150
		Debt (non-accrual, Due		
		1/2012) (5)	5,937	1,484
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	4	

				8,941	1,634
Cavert II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing	bailing wire	Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due		
			10/2012)	2,875	2,875
			Senior Term Debt (10.0%,		
			Due 10/2012) (6)	2,700	2,700
			Senior Subordinated Term		
			Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2014)	4,671	4,671
			Preferred Stock (4)	4,110	4,769
			Common Stock (4)	69	1,334
				14,425	16,349
Chase II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing	traffic doors	Revolving Credit Facility, \$0		
			available (4.3%, Due 7/2010)		
			(10)	3,500	3,500
			Senior Term Debt (8.8%, Due		
			3/2011)	8,250	8,250
			Senior Term Debt (12.0%,		
			Due 3/2011) (6)	7,600	7,600
			Senior Subordinated Term		
			Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013)	6,168	6,168
			Preferred Stock (4)	6,961	9,765
			Common Stock (4)	61	670
				32,540	35,953

## ${\bf CONDENSED\ CONSOLIDATED\ SCHEDULES\ OF\ INVESTMENTS\ (Continued)}$

### AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

### (UNAUDITED)

Country Club Enterprises, LLC	Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
Country Club Enterprises, LLC   Service   golf card distribution   Club (14.0%, Due 11/2014) (5)   \$ 7,000   \$ 6,842     Preferred Stock (4)   3,725   6,842     Galaxy Tool Holding Corp.   Manufacturing   aerospace and   plastics   Revolving Credit Facility, School (4)   4,112     Common Stock (4)   4,112   16,862     Preferred Stock (4)   5,500   1,2509     Preferred Stock (4)   5,500   1,2509   1,2509     Preferred Stock (4)   5,500   1,2509   1	CONTROL INVESTMENTS (Continued):				
Preferred Stock (4)   3,725   6,842		Service golf cart distribution		\$ 7.000	\$ 6.842
Manufacturing plastics   Manufacturing plast					7 3,0.2
Plastics   Preferred Stock (4)				10,725	6,842
Plastics	Galaxy Tool Holding Corp.	Manufacturing aerospace and	Senior Subordinated Term		
Preferred Stock (4)		- 1	Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2013) (5)	17,250	16,862
Total Control Investments				4,112	
AFFILIATE   INVESTMENTS:   Danco Acquisition Corp.   Manufacturing machining and sheet metal work   Sc. 100 available (9.3%, Due 10/2010) (5)   \$ 900   \$ 878   \$ 878   \$ 870   \$ 879   \$ 878   \$ 870   \$ 879   \$ 87			Common Stock (4)		
AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:  Danco Acquisition Corp.  Manufacturing machining and sheet metal work  Section Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10.0%,				21,410	16,862
Natural Notes	<b>Total Control Investments</b>			\$ 142,698	\$ 132,399
Danco Acquisition Corp.   Manufacturing machining and sheet metal work   S2,100 available (9,3%, Due 10/2010) (5)   \$ 900   \$ 878					
Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 10/2012) (5)		2	\$2,100 available (9.3%, Due		
10/2012) (5)				\$ 900	\$ 878
A/2013) (5)   9,067   8,727     Preferred Stock (4)   2,500     Common Stock Warrants (4)   2     16,781   13,820     Mathey Investments, Inc.   Manufacturing pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment     A/2013) (5)   Common Stock Warrants (4)   2     Interpretation   Interpretation     A/2013 (5)   Common Stock Warrants (4)   2     A/2013 (5)   Common Stock Warrants (5)   750   747     Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5)   2,375   2,366     Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 3/2014) (5), (6)   7,227   7,137     Common Stock Warrants (4)   500     Common Stock Warrants (4)   277     Int.   11,129   10,250     Noble Logistics, Inc.   Service aftermarket auto parts delivery   (5)   2,000   1,300     Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2009) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048     Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6)   7,300   4,745     Preferred Stock (4)   1,682     Common Stock (4)   1,682			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,312	4,215
Preferred Stock (4)   2,500   Common Stock Warrants (4)   2   16,781   13,820			Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due		
Common Stock Warrants (4)   2   16,781   13,820			4/2013) (5)	9,067	8,727
Mathey Investments, Inc.  Manufacturing pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment    Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5)   750   747			. ,		
Mathey Investments, Inc.       Manufacturing pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment       Revolving Credit Facility, \$250 available (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5)       750       747         Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (5)       2,375       2,366         Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 3/2014) (5), (6)       7,227       7,137         Common Stock (4)       500         Common Stock Warrants (4)       277         11,129       10,250         Noble Logistics, Inc.       Service aftermarket auto parts delivery       Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (4.3%, Due 12/2009) (5)       2,000       1,300         Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2011) (5)       2,000       1,300         Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6)       7,300       4,745         Preferred Stock (4)       1,750         Common Stock (4)       1,682			Common Stock Warrants (4)		
And pipe-fitting equipment available (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5) 750 747  Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (5) 2,375 2,366  Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 3/2014) (5), (6) 7,227 7,137  Common Stock (4) 500  Common Stock Warrants (4) 277  11,129 10,250  Noble Logistics, Inc.  Service aftermarket auto parts delivery (5) 2,000 1,300  Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2009) (5) 2,000 1,300  Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6) 7,300 4,745  Preferred Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,682				16,781	13,820
Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (5)	Mathey Investments, Inc.				
3/2013) (5)   2,375   2,366			(5)	750	747
3/2014) (5), (6)   7,227   7,137			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,375	2,366
Common Stock (4)   500   Common Stock Warrants (4)   277     11,129   10,250     11,129   10,250     11,129   10,250     11,129   10,250     11,129   10,250     1,300     1,300     1,300     1,300     1,300   1,300     1,300   1				7.227	Z 12Z
Noble Logistics, Inc.   Service delivery   aftermarket auto parts delivery   Revolving Credit Facility, \$0   available (4.3%, Due 12/2009)   (5)   2,000   1,300   1,200   12/2011) (5)   6,227   4,048   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5					/,13/
Noble Logistics, Inc.  Service delivery aftermarket auto parts delivery (5)  Service delivery (5)  Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2009)  12/2011) (5)  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6)  Taylor Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6			` /		
Noble Logistics, Inc.  Service delivery  aftermarket auto parts delivery  (5)  Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due  12/2011) (5)  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due  12/2011) (5) (6)  7,300  4,745  Preferred Stock (4)  1,750  Common Stock (4)  1,682			Common Stock warrants (4)		10,250
delivery available (4.3%, Due 12/2009) (5) 2,000 1,300  Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2011) (5) 6,227 4,048  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6) 7,300 4,745  Preferred Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,682					
Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 12/2011) (5) 6,227 4,048  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (5) (6) 7,300 4,745  Preferred Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,682	Noble Logistics, Inc.		available (4.3%, Due 12/2009)	2,000	1 300
12/2011) (5) 6,227 4,048  Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due  12/2011) (5) (6) 7,300 4,745  Preferred Stock (4) 1,750  Common Stock (4) 1,682				2,000	1,300
12/2011) (5) (6) 7,300 4,745 Preferred Stock (4) 1,750 Common Stock (4) 1,682			12/2011) (5)	6,227	4,048
Preferred Stock (4) 1,750 Common Stock (4) 1,682			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.300	4.745
Common Stock (4) 1,682					.,. 10
18,959 10,093					
				18,959	10,093

Quench Holdings Corp.	Service sales, installation and	Senior Subordinated Term		
	service of water coolers	Debt (10.0%, Due 8/2013) (5)	8,000	6,240
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,950	1,378
		Common Stock (4)	447	
			11,397	7,618
Tread Corp.	Manufacturing storage and	Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due		
	transport equipment	5/2013) (5)	5,000	4,962
		Preferred Stock (4)	750	157
		Common Stock & Debt		
		Warrants (4)	3	
			5,753	5,119
Total Affiliate Investments			\$ 64,019	\$ 46,900
TOTAL INVESTMENTS			\$ 236,603	\$ 204,303

- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
- (2) Percentage represents the weighted average interest rates in effect at September 30, 2009, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
- (3) Valued based on the indicative bid price on or near September 30, 2009, offered by the respective syndication agent s trading desk or secondary desk.
- (4) Security is non-income producing.
- (5) Fair value based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. at September 30, 2009.
- (6) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
- (7) Security valued based on the sale price obtained at or subsequent to September 30, 2009, as the security, or a portion of it, was sold.
- (8) Security was sold subsequent to quarter-end; approximately \$3.1 million of cash proceeds was received, and a realized loss of \$757 was recorded.
- (9) A portion of this security, approximately \$3.0 million in principal, was sold subsequent to quarter-end. Approximately \$2.4 million of cash proceeds was received, and a realized loss of \$561 was recorded.
- (10) Revolving credit facility was repaid in full and sold to a third party subsequent to quarter-end.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS

### **AS OF MARCH 31, 2009**

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	vestment (2) Cost	
NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE IN	IVESTMENTS:			
Senior Syndicated Loans:				
Activant Solutions, Inc.	Service - enterprise software and services	Senior Term Debt (3.4%, Due 5/2013) (7)	\$ 1,658	\$ 904
Advanced Homecare Holdings, Inc.	Service - home health nursing services	Senior Term Debt (4.3%, Due 8/2014) (7)	2,947	2,019
Aeroflex, Inc.	Service - provider of highly specialized electronic equipment	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 8/2014) (7)	1,892	1,083
Compsych Investments Corp.	Service - employee assistance	Senior Term Debt (3.8%, Due	,	ŕ
CRC Health Group, Inc.	programs Service - substance abuse	2/2012) (7) Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due	3,083	2,405
	treatment	2/2012) (7)	7,772	5,026
Critical Homecare Solutions, Inc.	Service - home therapy and respiratory treatment	Senior Term Debt (3.8%, Due 1/2012) (7)	4,359	3,632
Generac Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - standby power products	Senior Term Debt (3.0%, Due 11/2013) (7)	6,799	3,820
Graham Packaging Holdings Company	Manufacturing - plastic containers	Senior Term Debt (3.6%, Due 10/2011) (7)	3,348	2,813
HMTBP Acquisition II Corp.	Service - aboveground storage	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due	,	Í
п., г.	tanks	5/2014) (3)	3,838	2,942
Huish Detergents, Inc.	Manufacturing - household cleaning products	Senior Term Debt (2.3%, Due 4/2014) (7)	1,966	1,690
Hyland Software, Inc.	Service - provider of enterprise content management software	Senior Term Debt (3.6%, Due 7/2013) (7)	3,912	2,990
Interstate Fibernet, Inc.	Service - provider of voice and data telecommunications services	Senior Term Debt (5.2%, Due 7/2013) (3)	9,804	6,698
KIK Custom Products, Inc.	Manufacturing - consumer products	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 5/2014) (7)	3,941	1,862
Kronos, Inc.	Service - workforce management	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due	,	ŕ
Local TV Finance, LLC	solutions Service - television station	6/2014) (7) Senior Term Debt (2.5%, Due	1,899	1,291
	operator	5/2013) (7)	985	359
LVI Services, Inc.	Service - asbestos and mold remediation	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 11/2010) (7)	5,916	2,673
MedAssets, Inc.	Service - pharmaceuticals and healthcare GPO	Senior Term Debt (5.1%, Due 10/2013) (7)	3,517	3,129
Network Solutions, LLC	Service - internet domain solutions	Senior Term Debt (3.2%, Due 3/2014) (7)	8,672	5,506
Open Solutions, Inc.	Service - software outsourcing for financial institutions	Senior Term Debt (3.3%, Due 1/2014) (7)	2,648	1,206
Ozburn-Hessey Holding Co.	Service third party logistics	Senior Term Debt (4.4%, Due	,	
LLC		8/2012) (7)	7,523	5,975
Pinnacle Foods Finance, LLC	Manufacturing - branded food products	Senior Term Debt (3.2%, Due 4/2014) (7)	1,950	1,570
PTS Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - drug delivery and packaging technologies	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 4/2014) (7)	6,877	4,264
QTC Acquisition, Inc.	Service - outsourced disability evaluations	Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due 11/2012) (7)	1,763	1,356
Radio Systems Corporation	Service - design electronic pet	Senior Term Debt (3.3%, Due		
Dallas Danta Lua	containment products	9/2013) (7)	1,644	1,308
Rally Parts, Inc.			2,458	1,073

	Manufacturing - aftermarket motorcycle parts and accessories	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 11/2013) (7)				
SafeNet, Inc.	Service chip encryption products	Senior Term Debt (4.2%, Due				
Saler (et, Inc.	service empeneryphon products	4/2014) (7)		2,949		2,008
SGS International, Inc.	Service - digital imaging and	Senior Term Debt (4.0%, Due				
	graphics	12/2011) (7)		1,475		978
Survey Sampling, LLC	Service -	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due				
	telecommunications-based	5/2011) (3)				
m: 11 1	sampling	G		2,596		2,441
Triad Laboratory Alliance, LLC	Service - regional medical laboratories	Senior Term Debt (4.5%, Due 12/2011) (7)		4 120		2 422
Wastequip, Inc.	Service - process and transport	12/2011) (7) Senior Term Debt (2.8%, Due		4,120		3,432
wastequip, nic.	waste materials	2/2013) (7)		2,893		1,530
WaveDivision Holdings, LLC	Service - cable	Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due		2,073		1,550
Wave Britision Frontingo, BBC	Service casic	6/2014) (7)		1,905		1,575
West Corporation	Service - business process	Senior Term Debt (2.9%, Due		•		,
•	outsourcing	10/2013) (7)		3,323		2,293
Subtotal - Senior Syndicated						
Loans			\$	120,432	\$	81,851
Non-Syndicated Loans						
American Greetings	Manufacturing and design -	Senior Notes (7.4%, Due	ф	2.042	Ф	2 100
Corporation	greeting cards	6/2016) (3) (10)	\$	3,043	\$	2,180
B-Dry, LLC	Service - basement waterproofer	Revolving Credit Facility,				
B-DIY, ELEC	Service - basement waterproofer	\$300 available (10.5%, Due				
		10/2009) (5)		450		443
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%,		.50		
		Due 5/2014) (5)		6,681		6,464
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%,				
		Due 5/2014) (5)		3,930		3,802
		Common Stock Warrants (4)		300		
				11,361		10,709
Tatal Nam Cantual/Nam Aggl: 44 In			d.	124 026	d.	04.740
<b>Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments</b>			\$	134,836	Þ	94,740

### ${\bf CONDENSED\ CONSOLIDATED\ SCHEDULES\ OF\ INVESTMENTS\ (Continued)}$

### **AS OF MARCH 31, 2009**

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
CONTROL INVESTMENTS:				
A. Stucki Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - railroad freight car products	Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2012)	\$ 11,246 \$	5 11,246
		Senior Term Debt (7.2%, Due 3/2012) (6)	10,450	10,450
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13%, Due 3/2014)	8,586	8,586
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,387	5,128
		Common Stock (4)	130	14,021
			34,799	49,431
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.	Manufacturing - manifolds and	Senior Subordinated Term Debt		
	pipes for industrial gasses	(11.5%, Due 3/2012)	14,500	14,500
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	6,984	6,920
		Common Stock (4) Common Stock Warrants (4)	1,045 25	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	22,554	21,420
			22,55	21,120
ASH Holdings Corp.	Retail and Service - school buses	Revolver, \$400 available		
	and parts	(non accrual, Due 3/2010) (5)	1,600	560
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt		
		(non accrual, Due 1/2012) (5)	5,937	2,078
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,500	
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	4	2 (20
			10,041	2,638
Cavert II Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - bailing wire	Revolving Credit Facility, \$3,000 available (8.0%, Due 10/2010) (8)		
		Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due		
		10/2012)	5,687	5,687
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (6)	2,950	2,950
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt	2,730	2,730
		(13.0%, Due 10/2014)	4,671	4,671
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,110	4,591
		Common Stock (4)	69	733
			17,487	18,632
Chase II Holdings Corp.	Manufacturing - traffic doors	Revolving Credit Facility, \$1,105		
Chase if Holdings corp.	manufacturing traine doors	available (4.5%, Due 7/2010)	3,395	3,395
		Senior Term Debt (8.8%, Due 3/2011)	8,800	8,800
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2011) (6)	7,680	7,680
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013)	6,168	6,168
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	6,961	9,300
		Common Stock (4)	61	5,537
		Common block (4)	33,065	40,880
			· ·	
Country Club Enterprises, LLC	Service - golf cart distribution		7,000	7,000

		Subordinated Term Debt (14.0% Due 11/2014)		
		Preferred Stock (4)	3,725	3,725
			10,725	10,725
Galaxy Tool Holding Corp.	Manufacturing - aerospace and	Senior Subordinated Term Debt		
	plastics	(13.5%, Due 8/2013)	17,250	17,250
		Preferred Stock (4)	4,112	4,486
		Common Stock (4)	48	701
			21,410	22,437
<b>Total Control Investments</b>			\$ 150,081 \$	166,163

### ${\bf CONDENSED\ CONSOLIDATED\ SCHEDULES\ OF\ INVESTMENTS\ (Continued)}$

### **AS OF MARCH 31, 2009**

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	1	Fair Value
AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:					
Danco Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing - machining and sheet metal work	Revolving Credit Facility, \$2,600 available (9.3%, Due 10/2010) (5) (9)	\$ 400	\$	378
		Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 10/2012) (5)	4,837		4,584
		Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 4/2013) (5)	9,113		8.544
		Redeemable Preferred Stock (4)	2,500		2,558
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	3		
			16,853		16,064
		D 11 G 11 D 11 01 162			
Mathey Investments, Inc.	Manufacturing - pipe-cutting and pipe-fitting equipment	Revolving Credit Facility, \$1,463 available (9.0%, Due 3/2011)	527		520
		(5) (9) Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due	537		529
		3/2013) (5)	2,375		2,339
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due			
		3/2014) (5)(6)	7,227		7,082
		Common Stock (4)	500 277		446 260
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	10,916		10,656
			10,910		10,030
Noble Logistics, Inc.	Service - aftermarket auto parts	Revolving Credit Facility, \$-0-			
	delivery	available (6.5%, Due 12/2009) (5) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due	2,000		1,500
		12/2011) (5)	5,727		4,295
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)(6)	7,300		5,475
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (18.0%, Due 12/2011)	500		375
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt	1.50		4.40
		(14.0%, Due 5/2009)	150 1,750		149
		Preferred Stock (4) Common Stock (4)	1,730		
		Common Stock (4)	19,109		11,794
			,		22,12
Quench Holdings Corp.	Service - sales, installation and service of water coolers	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.0%, Due 8/2013) (5)	8,000		5,800
		Preferred Stock (4)	2,950		2,542
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	447		
			11,397		8,342
Total Care	Manufacturina	Contact Town Dale (10.5% D			
Tread Corp.	Manufacturing - storage and transport equipment	Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2013) (5)	5,000		4,925
	uansport equipment	Preferred Stock (4)	750		793
		Common Stock Warrants (4)	3		453
			5,753		6,171
<b>Total Affiliate Investments</b>			\$ 64,028	\$	53,027

Total Investments \$ 348,945 \$ 313,930

- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
- (2) Percentage represents the weighted average interest rates in effect at March 31, 2009, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
- (3) Security valued using internally-developed, risk-adjusted discounted cash flow methodologies as of March 31, 2009.
- (4) Security is non-income producing.
- (5) Fair value based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. at March 31, 2009.
- (6) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
- (7) Security valued based on the sale price obtained at or subsequent to March 31, 2009, since the security was sold.
- (8) Revolver was sold to third party subsequent to March 31, 2009.
- (9) Terms of agreement were refinanced and revolver limit was reduced.
- (10) The Company received non-cash assumption of \$3,043 worth of senior notes received from American Greetings Corporation for the Company s agreement to the RPG bankruptcy settlement in which the Company received the aforementioned notes and \$909 in cash and recognized a loss on the settlement of approximately \$601.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

### (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Six Months Ended September 30.			
		2009	ber 50,	2008	2009	ibei 50,	2008
INVESTMENT INCOME							
Interest income							
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$	615	\$	2,134	\$ 1,351	\$	4,458
Control investments		2,868		2,735	5,736		5,304
Affiliate investments		1,448		1,349	2,928		2,460
Cash and cash equivalents		1		22	1		46
Total interest income		4,932		6,240	10,016		12,268
Other income		11		576	96		586
Total investment income		4,943		6,816	10,112		12,854
EXPENSES							
Loan servicing fee (Refer to Note 4)		938		1,258	2,006		2,511
Base management fee (Refer to Note 4)		164		435	477		861
Administration fee (Refer to Note 4)		198		212	371		447
Interest expense		552		1,084	1,255		2,186
Amortization of deferred finance costs		438		140	751		278
Professional fees		118		183	320		314
Stockholder related costs		146		200	227		301
Insurance expense		62		55	119		108
Directors fees		48		48	99		95
Other		73		114	137		189
Expenses before credit from Adviser		2,737		3,729	5,762		7,290
Credits to base management fee (Refer to Note 4)		(165)		(696)	(466)		(1,270)
Total expenses net of credit to base management							
fee		2,572		3,033	5,296		6,020
NET INVESTMENT INCOME		2,371		3,783	4,816		6,834
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN							
ON:							
Realized loss on sale of							
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments				(2,498)	(34,605)		(4,215)
Realized loss on termination of derivative					(53)		
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of							
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments		(1,514)		(5,191)	35,214		(726)
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of							
Control investments		(14,900)		10,840	(26,381)		5,973
Net unrealized depreciation of Affiliate							
investments		(3,853)		(5,978)	(6,119)		(11,393)
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of							
derivative		(16)			26		
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under							
line of credit		(178)			(178)		
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line							
of credit		(20,461)		(2,827)	(32,096)		(10,361)

NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$ (18,090)	\$ 956	\$ (27,280)	\$ (3,527)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE:				
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.82)	\$ 0.04	\$ (1.24)	\$ (0.17)
SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING:				
Basic and diluted weighted average shares	22,080,133	22,080,133	22,080,133	21,011,740

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

#### (UNAUDITED)

Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008 Operations: Net investment income \$ 4.816 \$ 6.834 Realized loss on sale of investments (34,605)(4,215)Realized loss on termination of derivative (53)Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of portfolio 2,714 (6,146)Net unrealized appreciation of derivative 26 Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit (178)Net decrease in net assets from operations (3,527)(27,280)Capital transactions: Issuance of common stock 41,290 Shelf offering registration costs (178)(643)(5,299)Distributions to stockholders (10,157)Net (decrease) increase in net assets from capital transactions 30,490 (5,477)Total (decrease) increase in net assets (32,757)26,963 Net assets at beginning of period 214,802 206,445 Net assets at end of period 182,045 \$ 233,408

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

### (UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ende	nths Ended September 30, 2008		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net decrease in net assets resulting from operations	\$ (27,280)	\$	(3,527)	
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets resulting from operations to net cash				
provided by operating activities:				
Purchase of investments	(968)		(36,612)	
Principal repayments of investments	9,482		22,284	
Proceeds from sales of investments	69,222		13,296	
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	75,000			
Net realized loss on sales of investments	34,605		4,215	
Net realized loss on termination of derivative	53			
Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of investment portfolio	(2,714)		6,146	
Net unrealized appreciation of derivative	(26)			
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of credit	178			
Net amortization of premiums and discounts			19	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	751		278	
Decrease in interest receivable	288		309	
Decrease in due from custodian	1,774		1,351	
Increase in prepaid assets	(273)		(114)	
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(40)		309	
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(823)		(167)	
Increase in administration fee payable to Administrator (See Note 4)	19		4	
Increase in base management fee payable to Adviser (See Note 4)	113		123	
Decrease in loan servicing fee payable to Adviser (See Note 4)	(79)		(7)	
Increase in other liabilities	21		13	
Net cash provided by operating activities	159,303		7,920	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock	(178)		40,647	
Borrowings from line of credit	79,000		129,000	
Repayments of line of credit	(153,165)		(142,870)	
Purchase of derivative	(39)			
Deferred financing costs	(547)			
Distributions paid	(5,299)		(10,157)	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(80,228)		16,620	
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	79,075		24,540	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	7,236		9,360	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 86,311	\$	33,900	
CASH PAID DURING PERIOD FOR INTEREST	\$ 1,418	\$	2,134	
NON-CASH ACTIVITIES (1)	\$ 850			

(1) On April 10, 2009, the Company made an investment disbursement to Cavert II Holding Corp. for approximately \$850 on their revolving line of credit, and the proceeds were used to make the next four quarterly payments due under normal amortization for both their senior term A and senior term B loans in a non-cash transaction.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

### (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months End		Six Months Ende	Six Months Ended September 30,			
	2009		2008	2009		2008	
Per Share Data (1)							
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$ 9.19	\$	10.77 \$	9.73	\$	12.47	
Income from investment operations:							
Net investment income (2)	0.11		0.17	0.22		0.33	
Realized loss on sale of investments (2)			(0.11)	(1.57)		(0.20)	
Net unrealized depreciation of investments (2)	(0.92)		(0.02)	0.12		(0.30)	
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings on							
line of credit (2)	(0.01)			(0.01)			
Total from investment operations	(0.82)		0.04	(1.24)		(0.17)	
Distributions from:							
Net investment income	(0.12)		(0.24)	(0.24)		(0.48)	
Total distributions (3)	(0.12)		(0.24)	(0.24)		(0.48)	
Effect of shelf offering:							
Shelf registration offering costs	(0.01)			(0.01)		(0.03)	
Effect of distribution of stock rights offering							
after record date (4)						(1.22)	
Total effect of shelf offering	(0.01)			(0.01)		(1.25)	
Net asset value at end of period	\$ 8.24	\$	10.57 \$	8.24	\$	10.57	
Per share market value at beginning of period	\$ 4.88	\$	6.38 \$	3.67	\$	9.32	
Per share market value at end of period	4.85		6.88	4.85		6.88	
Total Return (5)	1.75%		14.79%	39.03%		(21.39)%	
Shares outstanding at end of period	22,080,133		22,080,133	22,080,133		22,080,133	
į į							
Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data:							
Net assets at end of period	\$ 182,045	\$	233,408 \$	182,045	\$	233,408	
Average net assets (6)	195,005		234,165	202,596		238,410	
	,		,	,		,	
Senior Securities Data:							
Borrowings under line of credit	\$ 36,278	\$	130,965 \$	36,278	\$	130,965	
Asset coverage ratio (7)	602%		278%	602%		278%	
Asset coverage per unit (8)	\$ 6,018	\$	2,782 \$	6,018	\$	2,782	
control congression (e)	2,020	_	_,,,,_ ,	2,0-0	-	_,	
Ratios/Supplemental Data:							
Ratio of expenses to average net assets (9), (10)	5.61%		6.37%	5.69%		6.11%	
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets (9),	2102,1					0,000	
(11)	5.28%		5.18%	5.23%		5.05%	
Ratio of net investment income to average net	2.2370		2.1370	2.23 %		2.00 %	
assets (9)	4.86%		6.46%	4.75%		5.73%	
(/)	1.00 /0		0.1070	1.7570		3.1370	

- (1) Based on actual shares outstanding at the end of the corresponding period.
- (2) Based on weighted average basic per share data.
- (3) Distributions are determined based on taxable income calculated in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from amounts determined under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- (4) The effect of distributions from the stock rights offering after the record date represents the effect on net asset value of issuing additional shares after the record date of a distribution.
- (5) Total return equals the change in the market value of the Company s common stock from the beginning of the period, taking into account dividends reinvested in accordance with the terms of our dividend reinvestment plan.
- (6) Calculated using the average of the balance of net assets at the end of each month of the reporting period.
- (7) As a business development company, the Company is generally required to maintain a ratio of at least 200% of total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to total borrowings.
- (8) Asset coverage per unit is the ratio of the carrying value of the Company s total consolidated assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness. Asset coverage per unit is expressed in terms of dollar amounts per \$1,000 of indebtedness.
- (9) Amounts are annualized.
- (10) Ratio of expenses to average net assets is computed using expenses before credits from the Adviser.
- (11) Ratio of net expenses to average net assets is computed using total expenses net of credits to the management fee.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA AND AS OTHERWISE INDICATED)

#### **SEPTEMBER 30, 2009**

(UNAUDITED)

#### NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Gladstone Investment Corporation (the Company) was incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005 and completed an initial public offering on June 22, 2005. The Company is a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). In addition, the Company has elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (RIC) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). The Company s investment objectives are to achieve a high level of current income and capital gains by investing in debt and equity securities of established private businesses.

Gladstone Business Investment, LLC (Business Investment) a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was established on August 11, 2006 for the sole purpose of owning the Company s portfolio of investments in connection with its line of credit. The financial statements of Business Investment are consolidated with those of the Company.

The Company is externally managed by Gladstone Management Corporation (the Adviser), an unconsolidated affiliate of the Company.

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

Interim financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary for the fair statement of financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The current period s results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ) on June 2, 2009.

The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP.
Reclassifications
Certain amounts in the prior year s financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no effect to net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations.
Cash and Cash Equivalents
The Company considers all short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to cash and have a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Items classified as cash equivalents include temporary investments in commercial paper, United States Treasury securities and money-market funds. Cash and cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.
Recent Accounting Pronouncements
On July 1, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued FASB Statement. The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, also known as FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 105-10, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or (the Codification). ASC 105-10 establishes the exclusive authoritative reference for U.S. GAAP for use in financial statements, except for SEC rules and interpretive releases, which are also authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents have been superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the Codification is considered non-authoritative. The Codification was effective for the Company

during its interim period ended September 30, 2009 and did not have an impact on its financial condition or results of operations. The Company

has included the references to the Codification, as appropriate, in these condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities (VIEs) within ASC 845, Variable Interest Entities. The elimination of the concept of a QSPE, as discussed below, removes the exception from applying the consolidation guidance within this amendment. This amendment requires an enterprise to perform a qualitative analysis when determining whether or not it must consolidate a VIE. The amendment also requires an enterprise to continuously reassess whether it must consolidate a VIE. Additionally, the amendment requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise s involvement with VIEs and any significant change in risk exposure due to that involvement, as well as how its involvement with VIEs impacts the enterprise s financial statements. Finally, an enterprise will be required to disclose significant judgments and assumptions used to determine whether or not to consolidate a VIE. This amendment is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. This amendment will not have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE) from ASC 860-10 and removes the exception from ASC 810, Consolidation. This statement also clarifies the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. ASC 860 is effective for the Company s fiscal year beginning April 1, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASC 855-10-50, Subsequent Events was initiated in an effort to incorporate accounting guidance that originated as auditing standards into the body of authoritative literature issued by the FASB. Moving the accounting requirements out of the auditing literature and into the accounting literature is consistent with the FASB s objective to codify all authoritative U.S. accounting guidance related to a particular topic in one place. It also provided an opportunity to consider international convergence issues. The FASB has established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, the standard is based on the same principles as those that previously existed in the auditing standards. The new standard, which includes a required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events, is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company s adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASC 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities—was issued to make the guidance on other-than-temporary impairment more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. ASC 320-10 requires significant additional disclosures for both annual and interim periods, including the amortized cost basis of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt, the methodology and key imports used to measure the credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment, and a roll forward of amounts recognized in earnings for securities by major security type. ASC 320-10 requires that entities identify major security classes consistent with how the securities are managed based on the nature and risks of the security, and also expands, for disclosure purposes, the list of major security types identified in ASC 320. ASC 320-10 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company s adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASC 820-10-35, Determining the Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability has significantly decreased and also provides guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. ASC 820-10-35 amends ASC 820-10 to require entities to disclose in interim and annual periods the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value together with any changes in valuation techniques and related inputs during the period. ASC 820-10-35 also requires reporting entities to define major categories for both debt and equity securities to be major security types as described in paragraph 19 of ASC 320. This requires entities to provide disclosures on a more disaggregated basis than previously had been required under ASC 820-10. ASC 820-10-35 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The Company s adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Investment Valuation Policy

The Company carries its investments at market value to the extent that market quotations are readily available and reliable, and otherwise at fair value, as determined in good faith by its Board of Directors. In determining the fair value of the Company s investments, the Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the Policy). The Policy is approved by the Company s Board of Directors and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether the Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of the Company s investment portfolio.

The Company uses generally accepted valuation techniques to value its portfolio unless the Company has specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time the Company may accept an appraisal of a business in which the Company holds securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may

differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value the Company s investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are engaged or accepted, the Company uses such appraisals to value the investment the Company has in that business if it is determined that the appraisals are the best estimate of fair value.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to publicly-traded securities, securities for which a limited market exists, and securities for which no market exists.

**Publicly-traded securities:** The Company determines the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that the Company owns restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, the Company will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature.

Securities for which a limited market exists: The Company values securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted price. In valuing these assets, the Company assesses trading activity in an asset class and evaluates variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. If the Company concludes that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if a firm bid price is unavailable, the Company bases the value of the security upon the indicative bid price offered by the respective originating syndication agent s trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that the Company uses the indicative bid price as a basis for valuing the security, the Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, the Company will value its syndicated loans using estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows ( DCF ). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by ASC 820-10-35-15A, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity s own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in the ASC 820-10-35-15A is the use of valuing investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, the Company considers multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, the Company develops a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations are applied to the syndicated loans to provide an estimate of what the Company believes a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. The Company will apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

As of September 30, 2009, the Company assessed trading activity in its syndicated loan assets and determined that there had been a return to market liquidity and a better functioning secondary market for these assets. Thus, firm bid prices or indicative bids were used to fair value the Company's remaining syndicated loans at September 30, 2009. However, for those syndicated loans which were sold but not yet settled as of September 30, 2009, the Company used the respective sales prices to value those syndicated loans.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into three categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; and (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities.

- (1) Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities: Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist ( Non-Public Debt Securities ), and that are issued by portfolio companies where the Company has no equity, or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the Policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to the Company by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. ( SPSE ). The Company may also submit paid in kind ( PIK ) interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.
- **Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:** The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures for the Company's Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, equity, or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where the Company has control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisition market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. In accordance with ASC 820-10, the Company applies the in-use premise of value which assumes the debt and equity securities are sold

16

together. Under this liquidity waterfall approach, the Company first calculates the total enterprise value of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors to determine the total enterprise value of the issuer:

- the issuer s ability to make payments;
- the earnings of the issuer;
- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
- the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, the Company may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. Once the Company has estimated the total enterprise value of the issuer, the Company will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer; which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of total enterprise value over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the total enterprise value of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer s equity or equity like securities. If, in the Adviser s judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that the Company use a valuation by SPSE, or if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(3) Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities: The Company values Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as the Company's principal market. In accordance with ASC 820-10, the Company determines its fair value of these debt securities of non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual debt security using the in-exchange premise of value (as defined in ASC 820-10). As such, the Company estimates the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. Subsequent to June 30, 2009, for equity or equity-like securities of investments for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, the Company estimates the fair value of the equity using the in-exchange premise of value based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration also is given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Further, the Company may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or its own assumptions in the absence of other observable market data and may also employ DCF valuation techniques.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed, and the differences could be material. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that the Company might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an arms-length transaction in the security s principal market.

Refer to Note 3 for additional information regarding fair value measurements and the Company s adoption of ASC 820-10.

Interest and Dividend Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs and for the accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due or if the Company s qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, the Company will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, the Company remains contractually entitled to this interest. At September 30, 2009, one Control investment was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$1.6 million, or 0.8% of the fair value of all loans held in the Company s portfolio at September 30, 2009. At March 31, 2009, one Control investment was on non-accrual with a fair value of approximately \$2.6 million, or 0.8% of the fair value of all loans held in the Company s portfolio at March 31, 2009.

Conditional interest, or a success fee, is recorded upon full repayment of a loan investment. To date, the Company has not recorded any conditional interest. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is accrued to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and that the Company has the option to collect such amounts in cash. To date, the Company has not accrued any dividend income.

17

#### **NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS**

The Company adopted ASC 820-10 on April 1, 2008. In part, ASC 820-10 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The new standard provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. The standard also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- <u>Level 1</u> inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- <u>Level 2</u> inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- <u>Level 3</u> inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect the Company s own assumptions that market participants would use to price the asset or liability based upon the best available information.

As of September 30, 2009, all of the Company s assets were valued using Level 3 inputs.

The following table presents the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, by caption on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities for each of the three levels of hierarchy established by ASC 820-10:

					As of Septem	ıber 30, 2009		
		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$		\$		\$	25,004	\$	25,004
Control investments						132,399		132,399
Affiliate investments						46,900		46,900
Total investments at fair value	\$		\$		\$	204,303	\$	204,303
					As of Marc	ch 31, 2009		
				Y 10				Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statement of
	_	Level 1	_	Level 2		Level 3	_	Assets and Liabilities
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$		\$		\$	94,740	\$	94,740
Control investments						166,163		166,163

Affiliate investments		53,027	53,027
Total investments at fair value	\$ \$	\$ 313,930	\$ 313,930

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements of Investments

The following tables provide a roll-forward in the changes in fair value during the three and six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 for all investments for which the Company determines fair value using unobservable (Level 3) factors. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

#### Fair value measurements using unobservable data inputs (Level 3)

Non-Control/ Non-Affiliate Investments		Control Investments		Affiliate Investments		Total
\$ 94,740	\$	166,163	\$	53,027	\$	313,930
609		(26,381)		(6,119)		(31,891)
(70,345)		(7,383)		(8)		(77,736)
\$ 25,004	\$	132,399	\$	46,900	\$	204,303
\$ 26,961	\$	149,509	\$	50,539	\$	227,009
(1,514)		(14,900)		(3,853)		(20,267)
(443)		(2,210)		214		(2,439)
\$ 25,004	\$	132,399	\$	46,900	\$	204,303
\$	Non-Affiliate Investments  \$ 94,740 609 (70,345)  \$ 25,004  \$ 26,961 (1,514) (443)	Non-Affiliate Investments  \$ 94,740 \$ 609 (70,345)  \$ 25,004 \$  \$ (1,514) (443)	Non-Affiliate Investments         Control Investments           \$ 94,740 \$ 166,163 609 (26,381) (70,345) (7,383)           \$ 25,004 \$ 132,399           \$ 26,961 \$ 149,509 (1,514) (14,900) (443) (2,210)	Non-Affiliate Investments         Control Investments           \$ 94,740 \$ 166,163 \$ 609 (26,381) (70,345) (7,383)           \$ 25,004 \$ 132,399 \$           \$ 26,961 \$ 149,509 \$ (1,514) (14,900) (443) (2,210)	Non-Affiliate Investments         Control Investments         Affiliate Investments           \$ 94,740 \$ 166,163 \$ 53,027 609 (26,381) (6,119) (70,345) (7,383) (8)           \$ 25,004 \$ 132,399 \$ 46,900           \$ 26,961 \$ 149,509 \$ 50,539 (1,514) (14,900) (3,853) (443) (2,210) 214	Non-Affiliate Investments         Control Investments         Affiliate Investments           \$ 94,740 \$ 166,163 \$ 53,027 \$ 609 (26,381) (6,119) (70,345) (7,383) (8)           \$ 25,004 \$ 132,399 \$ 46,900 \$           \$ 26,961 \$ 149,509 \$ 50,539 \$ (1,514) (14,900) (3,853) (443) (2,210) 214

<sup>(</sup>a) Realized/unrealized gains and losses are reported on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2009.

#### Fair value measurements using unobservable data inputs (Level 3)

	Non-Control/ Non-Affiliate Investments	Control Investments	Affiliate Investments	Total
Six months ended September 30, 2008:				
Fair value at March 31, 2008	\$ 142,739	\$ 145,407	\$ 47,458	\$ 335,604
Total realized/unrealized (losses) gains (a)	(4,941)	5,973	(11,393)	(10,361)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(22,665)	5,866	17,812	1,013
Transfers in (out) of Level 3				
Fair value as of September 30, 2008	\$ 115,133	\$ 157,246	\$ 53,877	\$ 326,256
Three months ended September 30, 2008:				
Fair value at June 30, 2008	\$ 130,764	\$ 141,042	\$ 48,493	\$ 320,299

Total realized/unrealized (losses) gains (a)	(7,689)	10,840	(5,978)	(2,827)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net	(7,942)	5,364	11,362	8,784
Transfers in (out) of Level 3				
Fair value as of September 30, 2008	\$ 115,133 \$	157,246 \$	53,877 \$	326,256

<sup>(</sup>a) Realized/unrealized gains and losses are reported on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2008.

Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments

At September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company held investments in Non-Control/Non-Affiliates of approximately \$25.0 million and \$94.7 million, respectively, at fair value. These investments were comprised primarily of syndicated loan participations of senior notes of private companies and a non-syndicated loan investment where the Company does not have a significant ownership interest in the portfolio company. Included in Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments, at both September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, were common stock warrants of one Non-Control/Non-Affiliate company, which carried a nominal fair value. At September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company s investments, at fair value, in Non-Control/Non-Affiliates represented approximately 14% and 44%, respectively, of the Company s net assets.

During April and May 2009, the Company sold 29 of its 32 senior syndicated loans held at March 31, 2009 (collectively, the Syndicated Loan Sales ) for an aggregate of approximately \$69.2 million in cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$34.6 million in connection with these sales. These loans were sold to pay down all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank AG ( Deutsche Bank ) under the Company s prior credit agreement.

On September 29, 2009, the Company agreed to an early termination of its revolving line of credit to B-Dry, LLC, which had an original maturity date of October 16, 2009. The revolving line of credit was fully repaid at the time of the agreement.

During September 2009, the Company finalized its sale of certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate Fibernet, Inc.) that were held in its portfolio of investments to various investors in the syndicated loan market. These loans, in aggregate, had a cost value of approximately \$6.8 million, or 2.9% of the cost value of the Company s total investments, and an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$5.5 million, or 2.7% of the fair market value of the Company s total investments, at September 30, 2009. The Company settled these loans in October 2009 and received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million which will be reflected in the results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2009. (See Note 12, *Subsequent Events*). These loans are included in the Company s condensed consolidated assets as of September 30, 2009 and were valued at their respective sale prices.

Control and Affiliate Investments

At September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company had investments of approximately \$148.6 million and \$157.0 million, respectively, at fair value, in revolving credit facilities, senior debt and subordinated debt of 12 portfolio companies. In addition, at September 30 and March 31, 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$30.7 million and \$62.2 million, respectively, at fair value, in preferred and common equity of those companies. At September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company s investments in Control investments, at fair value, represented approximately 73% and 77%, respectively, of the Company s net assets. Also, at both September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, the Company s investments, at fair value, in Affiliate investments represented approximately 26% and 25%, respectively, of the Company s net assets.

On April 9, 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. refinanced a portion of its senior term debt by making principal repayments of approximately \$2.0 million, which represented the next three quarterly payments due under normal amortization on both their senior term A (\$1.6 million) and senior term B (\$412) loans. Normal amortization is expected to resume on April 1, 2010.

On April 9, 2009, ASH Holdings Corp. made a repayment of approximately \$1.1 million on its revolving line of credit, which reduced the outstanding balance to \$500.

On April 10, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Mathey Investment, Inc. s revolving line of credit from \$2.0 million to \$1.0 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

On April 10, 2009, the Company made an investment disbursement to Cavert II Holding Corp. ( Cavert ) for approximately \$850 on its revolving line of credit, and the proceeds were used to make the next four quarterly payments due under normal amortization for both its senior term A and senior term B loans in a non-cash transaction. Normal amortization on both of these loans is expected to resume on July 1, 2010. Subsequently, on April 17, 2009, Cavert repaid the outstanding \$850 in principal plus accrued interest on its revolving line of credit. The revolving line of credit was then sold to a third party for a nominal fee.

On April 13, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to reduce the available credit limit on Chase II Holdings Corp. s revolving line of credit from \$4.5 million to \$3.5 million. This was a non-cash transaction.

**Investment Concentrations** 

Approximately 53% of the aggregate fair value of the Company s investment portfolio at September 30, 2009 was comprised of senior debt, approximately 32% was senior subordinated debt, and approximately 15% was preferred and common equity securities. At September 30, 2009, the Company had investments in 17 portfolio companies with an aggregate fair value of \$204.3 million, of which A. Stucki Holding Corp., Chase II Holdings Corp. and Acme Cryogenics, Inc. collectively comprised approximately \$90.7 million, or 44% of the Company s total investment portfolio, at fair value. The following table outlines the Company s investments by type at September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	Septembe	009		March 31, 2009				
	Cost	Fair Value			Cost		Fair Value	
Senior Term Debt	\$ 119,170	\$	108,205	\$	230,861	\$	185,161	
Senior Subordinated Term Debt	72,111		65,353		72,762		66,576	
Preferred & Common Equity Securities	45,322		30,745		45,322		62,193	
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$ 236,603	\$	204,303	\$	348,945	\$	313,930	

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications at September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	Se	eptember 30, 2009 Percentag Total	March 31, 2009 Percentage of Total			
	Fair Value	Investments	Net Assets	Fair Value	Investments	Net Assets
Aerospace and Defense	\$ 16,862	8.3%	9.3% \$	22,436	7.2%	10.4%
Automobile	8,476	4.1%	4.7%	14,436	4.6%	6.7%
Beverage, Food and Tobacco				1,570	0.5%	0.7%
Broadcasting and Entertainment				1,934	0.6%	0.9%
Buildings and Real Estate	10,465	5.1%	5.7%	10,709	3.4%	5.0%
Cargo Transport	10,093	4.9%	5.5%	13,324	4.3%	6.2%
Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber	18,328	9.0%	10.1%	21,420	6.8%	10.0%
Containers, Packaging and Glass	16,349	8.0%	9.0%	21,446	6.8%	10.0%
Diversified/Conglomerate						
Manufacturing	49,773	24.4%	27.3%	56,944	18.1%	26.5%
Diversified/Conglomerate Service	3,064	1.5%	1.7%	23,585	7.5%	11.0%
Electronics				6,594	2.1%	3.1%
Healthcare, Education and						
Childcare	7,618	3.7%	4.2%	33,605	10.7%	15.6%
Machinery	46,681	22.8%	25.6%	63,907	20.4%	29.8%
Oil and Gas	5,119	2.5%	2.8%	6,171	2.0%	2.9%
Personal, Food, and Miscellaneous						
Services				3,552	1.1%	1.7%
Printing and Publishing	2,588	1.3%	1.4%	3,158	1.0%	1.5%
Telecommunications	8,887	4.4%	4.9%	9,139	2.9%	4.3%
Total Investments	\$ 204,303	100.0%	\$	313,930	100.0%	

The investments at fair value were included in the following geographic regions of the United States at September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	S	eptember 30, 2009		March 31, 2009				
		Percentag		Percentag	ge of			
		Total		Total				
	Fair Value	Investments	Net Assets	Fair Value	Investments	Net Assets		
Mid-Atlantic	\$ 77,961	38.1%	42.8%\$	119,622	38.1%	55.7%		
Midwest	78,810	38.6%	43.3%	105,945	33.7%	49.3%		
Northeast	7,800	3.8%	4.3%	17,525	5.6%	8.2%		
Southeast	24,278	11.9%	13.3%	40,512	12.9%	18.9%		
West	15,454	7.6%	8.5%	30,326	9.7%	14.1%		
Total								
Investments	\$ 204,303	100.0%	\$	313,930	100.0%			

The geographic region indicates the location of the headquarters for the Company s portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have a number of other business locations in other geographic regions.

Investment Principal Repayments

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of the Company s investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments:

		Amount
For the remaining six months ending March 31:	2010	\$ 13,710
For the fiscal year ending March 31:	2011	23,421
	2012	54,833
	2013	13,256
	2014	61,293
	2015	21,742
	Thereafter	3,043
	Total contractual repayments	\$ 191,298
	Investments in equity securities	45,322
	Unamortized premiums on debt securities	(17)
	Total investments held at September 30, 2009:	\$ 236,603

#### NOTE 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

#### **Investment Advisory and Management Agreement**

The Company has entered into an investment advisory and management agreement with the Adviser (the Advisory Agreement ), which is controlled by the Company s chairman and chief executive officer. In accordance with the Advisory Agreement, the Company pays the Adviser fees as compensation for its services, consisting of a base management fee and an incentive fee. On July 8, 2009, the Company s Board of Directors approved the renewal of its Advisory Agreement with the Adviser through August 31, 2010.

The Company pays the Adviser an annual base management fee of 2% of its average gross assets, which is defined as total assets less uninvested cash and cash equivalents resulting from borrowings calculated as of the end of the two most recently completed quarters.

The following tables summarize the management fees and associated credits reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	Three months end 2009	ed Sep	tember 30, 2008	Six months endo 2009	ed Sept	ember 30, 2008
Base management fee	\$ 164	\$	435 \$	477	\$	861
Credits to base management fee from Adviser:						
Fee reduction for the waiver of 2% fee on						
senior syndicated loans to 0.5% (1)	(48)		(383)	(231)		(807)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the						
portfolio companies	(117)		(313)	(235)		(463)
Credit to base management fee from Adviser	(165)		(696)	(466)		(1,270)
Net base management fee	\$ (1)	\$	(261) \$	11	\$	(409)

<sup>(1)</sup> The Adviser voluntarily and irrevocably waived the annual 2.0% base management fee to 0.5% for senior syndicated loan participations on a quarterly basis to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participation. Fees waived cannot be recouped by the Adviser in the future.

Overall, the base management fee due to the Adviser cannot exceed 2.0% of total assets (as reduced by cash and cash equivalents pledged to creditors) during any given fiscal year. Amounts included in Fee due to Adviser in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities were as follows:

	September 30, 2009	March 31, 200	)9
Unpaid base management fee due to Adviser	\$ (1)	\$	(114)
Unpaid loan servicing fee due to Adviser	222		301
Total Fee due to Adviser	\$ 221	\$	187

From inception through September 30, 2009, the Company has not recorded any income-based incentive fee.

#### Loan Servicing and Portfolio Company Fees

The Adviser also services the loans held by Business Investment, in return for which it receives a 2.0% annual fee based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of loans pledged under the Company s line of credit. Since the Company owns these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded loan servicing fees due to the Adviser of \$938 and \$2,006, respectively, as compared to \$1,258 and \$2,511 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, all of which were deducted against the 2.0% base management fee in order to derive the Base management fee line item in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. Under the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has also provided and continues to provide managerial assistance and other services to the Company s portfolio companies and may receive fees for services other than managerial assistance.

#### **Administration Agreement**

The Company has entered into an administration agreement (the Administration Agreement ) with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser. Under the Administration Agreement, the Company pays separately for administrative services. The Administration Agreement provides for payments equal to the Company sallocable portion of its Administrator s overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement including, but not limited to, rent for employees of the Administrator, and its allocable portion of the salaries and benefits expenses of the Company s chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, treasurer and their respective staffs. The Company sallocable portion of expenses is derived by multiplying the Administrator s total allocable expenses by the percentage of the Company saverage total assets (the total assets at the beginning of each quarter) in comparison to the average total assets of all companies managed by the Adviser under similar agreements. On July 8, 2009, the Company salora of Directors approved the renewal of its Administration Agreement with the Administrator through August 31, 2010.

The Company recorded fees to the Administrator on the condensed consolidated statements of operations of \$198 and \$371 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to administration fees of \$212 and \$447 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2008, respectively. As of September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, \$198 and \$179, respectively, was unpaid and included in Fee due to Administrator in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities.

#### NOTE 5. LINE OF CREDIT

On April 14, 2009, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Investment, entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility ) arranged by Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) as administrative agent. Key Equipment Finance Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender. In connection with entering into the Credit Facility, the Company borrowed \$43.8 million under the Credit Facility to make a final payment in satisfaction of all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under the prior credit agreement. The Credit Facility may be expanded up to \$125.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. The Credit Facility matures on April 14, 2010, and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of the maturity date. Advances under the Credit Facility generally bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 5% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts. As of September 30, 2009, the Company has approximately \$36.1 million of principal outstanding with approximately \$11.6 million of availability under the line of credit.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of the Credit Facility. After April 14, 2010, if the Credit Facility is not renewed, all principal collections from the Company s loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Investment.

The Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Investment to maintain its status as a separate entity; prohibit certain significant transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions); and restrict material changes to the Company's credit and collection policies without lenders consent. The facility also limits payments on distributions to the aggregate net investment income for the prior twelve months preceding April 2010. As of September 30, 2009, Business Investment was in compliance with all of the facility covenants. The Company is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can make, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. The Credit Facility also requires the Company to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which require the Company to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage a minimum net worth, and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement.

In conjunction with entering into the Credit Facility, the Company amended a performance guaranty which remains substantially similar to the form under the previous credit facility. The performance guaranty requires the Company to maintain a minimum net worth of \$169 million plus 50% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after April 14, 2009, to maintain asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, and to maintain its status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of September 30, 2009, the Company was in compliance with the covenants under the performance guaranty.

The Company has adopted ASC 825, Financial Instruments specifically for the Credit Facility. ASC 825 requires that the Company apply a fair value methodology to the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility has been fair valued by an independent third party. The following table presents the Credit Facility carried at fair value as of September 30, 2009, by caption on the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of assets and liabilities for each of the three levels of hierarchy established by ASC 820-10:

As of September 30, 2009

	Level 1	Level 2	I	evel 3	Repo Conso	Cotal Fair Value orted in Condensed olidated Statement of tets and Liabilities
Borrowings under line						
of credit(a)	\$	\$	\$	36,278	\$	36,278
Total	\$	\$	\$	36,278	\$	36,278

<sup>(</sup>a) Unrealized appreciation of \$178 is reported on the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

#### NOTE 6. INTEREST RATE CAP AGREEMENT

In May 2009, the Company cancelled its interest rate cap agreement with Deutsche Bank and entered into an interest rate cap agreement with BB&T that effectively limits the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the line of credit pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility. The interest rate cap has a notional amount of \$45 million at a cost of approximately \$39. At September

30, 2009, the interest rate cap agreement had a fair market value of approximately \$12. The Company records changes in the fair market value of the interest rate cap agreement quarterly based on the current market valuation at quarter end as unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative on the Company s consolidated statement of operations. The interest rate cap agreement expires in April 2010. The agreement provides that the Company s floating interest rate or cost of funds on a portion of the portfolio s borrowings will be capped at 9% when the LIBOR rate is in excess of 9%.

The use of a cap involves risks that are different from those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. Cap agreements may be considered to be illiquid. Although the Company will not enter into any such agreements unless it believes that the other party to the transaction is creditworthy, the Company does bear the risk of loss of the amount expected to be received under such agreements in the event of default or bankruptcy of the agreement counterparty.

#### NOTE 7. SHORT-TERM LOAN

On June 30, 2009, the Company purchased \$83.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies). The securities were purchased with \$18.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$65.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies, with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 2.5%. On July 2, 2009, when the securities matured, the Company repaid the \$65.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and repaid all but \$1.0 million of the amount drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction, which was retained for working capital purposes.

On September 29, 2009, the Company purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies. The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies, with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.65%. On October 2, 2009, when the securities matured, the Company repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full and repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility.

## NOTE 8. COMMON STOCK

As of both September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, were authorized and 22,080,133 shares of common stock were outstanding.

## NOTE 9. NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS PER SHARE RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net assets per share resulting from operations:

	Three months ended September 30,				Six months ended September 30,		
	2009		2008		2009		2008
Numerator for basic and diluted net (decrease)	\$ (18,090)	\$	956	\$	(27,280)	\$	(3,527)
increase in net assets resulting from operations							

per share				
Denominator for basic and diluted shares	22,080	22,080	22,080	21,012
Basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net				
assets resulting from operations per share	\$ (0.82)	\$ 0.04	\$ (1.24)	\$ (0.17)

## NOTE 10. DISTRIBUTIONS

The following table lists the per common share distributions paid for the six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date		Distribution Per Share
April 16, 2009	April 27, 2009	May 8, 2009	\$	0.04
April 16, 2009	May 20, 2009	May 29, 2009		0.04
April 16, 2009	June 22, 2009	June 30, 2009		0.04
July 8, 2009	July 23, 2009	July 31, 2009		0.04
July 8, 2009	August 21, 2009	August 31, 2009		0.04
July 8, 2009	September 22, 2009	September 30, 2009		0.04
		Т	otal \$	0.24

	D 1D (	<b>D</b> 1 <b>D</b> 1		Distribution	
Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date		Per Share	
April 8, 2008	April 22, 2008	April 30, 2008	\$		0.08
April 8, 2008	May 21, 2008	May 30, 2008			0.08
April 8, 2008	June 20, 2008	June 30, 2008			0.08
July 9, 2008	July 23, 2008	July 31, 2008			0.08
July 9, 2008	August 21, 2008	August 29, 2008			0.08
July 9, 2008	September 22, 2008	September 30, 2008			0.08
		T	otal \$		0.48

Aggregate distributions declared and paid for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$2.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Aggregate distributions declared for the six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$5.3 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. All distributions were declared based on estimates of net investment income, and some of the distributions included a return of capital.

The timing and characterization of certain income and capital gains distributions are determined annually in accordance with federal tax regulations which may differ from GAAP. These differences primarily relate to items recognized as income for financial statement purposes and realized gains for tax purposes. As a result, net investment income and net realized gain (loss) on investment transactions for a reporting period may differ significantly from distributions during such period. Accordingly, the Company may periodically make reclassifications among certain of its capital accounts without impacting the net asset value of the Company.

#### Section 19(a) Disclosure

The Company s Board of Directors estimates the source of the distributions at the time of their declaration as required by Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act. On a monthly basis, if required under Section 19(a), the Company posts a Section 19(a) notice through the Depository Trust Company s Legal Notice System (LENS) and also sends to its registered stockholders a written Section 19(a) notice along with the payment of distributions for any payment which includes a distribution estimated to be paid from any other source other than net investment income. The estimates of the source of the distribution are interim estimates based on GAAP that are subject to revision, and the exact character of the distributions for tax purposes cannot be determined until the final books and records of the Company are finalized for the calendar year. Following the calendar year end, after definitive information has been determined by the Company, if the Company has made distributions of taxable income (or return of capital), the Company will deliver a Form 1099-DIV to its stockholders specifying such amount and the tax characterization of such amount. Therefore, these estimates are made solely in order to comply with the requirements of Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act and should not be relied upon for tax reporting or any other purposes and could differ significantly from the actual character of distributions for tax purposes.

The following GAAP estimates were made by the Board of Directors during the quarter ended September 30, 2009:

Payment Date	Ordin	nary Income	Return of Capital	<b>Total Distribution</b>
July 31, 2009	\$	0.042 \$	(0.002) \$	0.040
August 31, 2009		0.039	0.001	0.040
September 30, 2009		0.039	0.001	0.040

Because the Board of Directors declares distributions at the beginning of a quarter, it is difficult to estimate how much of the Company s monthly distributions, based on GAAP, will come from ordinary income, capital gains and returns of capital. Subsequent to the quarter ended September 30, 2009, the following corrections were made to the above listed estimates for that quarter:

Payment Date	Ordina	ary Income	Return of Capital	Tota	al Distribution
July 31, 2009	\$	0.038 \$	0.002	\$	0.040
August 31, 2009		0.034	0.006		0.040
September 30, 2009		0.036	0.004		0.040

For distributions declared subsequent to quarter end, the following estimates, based on GAAP, have been made pursuant to Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act:

Payment Date	Ordina	ry Income Ret	turn of Capital	<b>Total Distribution</b>
October 30, 2009	\$	0.037 \$	0.003 \$	0.040
November 30, 2009		0.040		0.040
December 31, 2009		0.042	(0.002)	0.040

### NOTE 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

At September 30, 2009, the Company was not party to any signed term sheets for potential investments.

In October 2008, the Company executed a guaranty of a vehicle finance facility agreement between Ford Motor Credit Company (FMC) and Auto Safety House, LLC (ASH), one of its Control investments (the Finance Facility). The Finance Facility provides ASH with a line of credit of up to \$500 for component Ford parts used by ASH to build truck bodies under a separate contract. Title and ownership of the parts is retained by Ford. The guaranty of the Finance Facility will expire upon termination of the

separate parts supply contract with Ford or upon our replacement as guarantor. The Finance Facility is secured by all of the assets of Business Investment. As of September 30, 2009, the Company has not been required to make any payments on the guaranty of the Finance Facility.

### NOTE 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluated all events that have occurred subsequent to September 30, 2009 through the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q on November 3, 2009.

Short-Term Loan Repayment

On October 2, 2009, when the securities purchased on September 29, 2009 through Jefferies matured, the Company repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction. Please refer to Note 7, *Short-Term Loan* for more information.

Senior Syndicated Loan Sales

The Company settled certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate Fibernet, Inc.) in October 2009 which were sold in September 2009. Upon the settlement of these senior syndicated loans, the Company received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million which will be reflected in the results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2009. These loans are included in the Company s condensed consolidated assets as of September 30, 2009 and were valued at their respective sale prices. See Note 3, *Investments*, for more information.

Distributions

On October 6, 2009, the Company s Board of Directors declared the following monthly cash distributions:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution Per Share	
October 6, 2009	October 22, 2009	October 30, 2009	\$ 0.040	)
October 6, 2009	November 19, 2009	November 30, 2009	0.040	)
October 6, 2009	December 22, 2009	December 31, 2009	0.040	)

Registration Statement

On July 21, 2009, the Company filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (Registration No. 333-160720) that was amended on October 2, 2009. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on October 8, 2009 and such registration statement will permit the Company to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, senior common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, or a combination of these securities.

Sale of Chase II Holdings Corp. Revolving Line of Credit

On October 13, 2009, the Company refinanced its revolving line of credit with Chase II Holdings Corp. to a third party, and the outstanding balance of \$3.5 million, plus accrued interest, was repaid in full. The proceeds were used to make a repayment on the outstanding amount under the Company s Credit Facility.

Portfolio Company Investment Activity

During October 2009, one of the Company s portfolio companies entered into an agreement with a third party to act as an advisor in looking at strategic investment alternatives. It is premature in the process to speculate on what these strategic alternatives might be or what impact, if any, such activities may have on the Company's investment in the subject portfolio company.

During October 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. declared and paid accrued cash dividends on its preferred stock of which the Company received approximately \$953,000.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated).

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, might, believe, will, provided, anticipate, future, could, intend possible, potential, likely or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statem involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-Q.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005. We were primarily established for the purpose of investing in subordinated loans, mezzanine debt, preferred stock and warrants to purchase common stock of small and medium-sized companies in connection with buyouts and other recapitalizations. We also invest in senior secured loans, common stock and, to a much lesser extent, senior and subordinated syndicated loans. Our investment objective is to generate both current income and capital gains through these debt and equity instruments. We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act ). In addition, for tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company (RIC) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code).

#### **Business Environment**

The current economic conditions generally and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular have decreased liquidity and increased our cost of debt and equity capital, where available. The longer these conditions persist, the greater the probability that these factors could continue to increase our cost of and significantly limit our access to debt and equity capital, and thus have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results. Many of the companies in which we have made or will make investments are also susceptible to the economic downturn, which may affect the ability of one or more of our portfolio companies to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. The recession could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we invest, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio. Therefore, the fair market value of our aggregate portfolio is likely to continue to decrease during these periods.

The recession has affected the availability of credit generally and, as a result, subsequent to our fiscal year end, we sold 29 senior syndicated loans that were held in our portfolio of investments at March 31, 2009 to various investors in the syndicated loan market (collectively, the Syndicated Loan Sales ) in order to repay amounts outstanding under our prior credit facility, which matured in April 2009. These loans, in aggregate, had a cost value of approximately \$104.2 million, or 29.9% of the cost value of our total investments, and an aggregate fair market

value of approximately \$69.8 million, or 22.2% of the fair market value of our total investments, at March 31, 2009. Additionally, during September 2009, we sold certain senior syndicated loans (see Recent Developments Senior Syndicated Sales section below) to various investors in the syndicated loan market. Upon the settlement of these loans in October 2009, we have two remaining senior syndicated loans which we plan to exit in the long-term future. These sales, in aggregate, have changed our asset composition in a manner that has affected our ability to satisfy certain elements of the Code s rules for maintenance of our RIC status. In order to maintain our status as a RIC, in addition to other requirements, as of the close of each quarter of our taxable year, we must meet the asset diversification test, which requires that at least 50% of the value of our assets consist of cash, cash items, U.S. government securities or certain other qualified securities. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we fell below the required 50% asset diversification threshold.

Failure to meet the asset diversification test alone will not result in our loss of RIC status. In circumstances where the failure to meet the quarterly 50% asset diversification threshold is the result of fluctuations in the value of assets, including as a result of the sale of assets, we will still be deemed under the Code s rules to satisfy the asset diversification test and, therefore, maintain our RIC status, as long as we have not made any new investments, including additional investments in our portfolio companies (such as advances under outstanding lines of credit), since the time that we fell below the 50% threshold. At September 30, 2009, the second quarterly measurement date following the sales, we satisfied the 50% asset diversification threshold through the purchase of short-term qualified securities, which was funded primarily through a short-term loan agreement. Subsequent to the September 30th measurement date, these securities matured and we repaid the short-term loan, at which time we again fell below the 50% threshold. See Recent

Developments Short-Term Loan for more information regarding this transaction. As of the date of this filing, we remain below the 50% threshold. Thus, although we currently qualify as a RIC despite our current, and potential future, inability to meet the 50% asset diversification requirement, if we make any additional investments before regaining compliance with the asset diversification test, our RIC status will be threatened. If we make a new or additional investment and fail to regain compliance with the 50% threshold on the next quarterly measurement date following such investment, we will be in non-compliance with the RIC rules and will have thirty days to cure our failure of the asset diversification test to avoid our loss of RIC status. Potential cures for failure of the asset diversification test include raising additional equity or debt capital, or changing the composition of our assets, which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold.

Until the composition of our assets is above the required 50% asset diversification threshold, we will continue to seek to deploy similar purchases of qualified securities using short-term loans that would allow us to satisfy the asset diversification test, thereby allowing us to make additional investments. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to enter into such a transaction on reasonable terms, if at all. We also continue to explore a number of other strategies, including changing the composition of our assets, which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, and raising additional equity or debt capital, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold. Our ability to implement any of these strategies will be subject to market conditions and a number of risks and uncertainties that are, in part, beyond our control.

On April 14, 2009, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Business Investment, LLC (Business Investment), we entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility) arranged by Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) as administrative agent. Key Equipment Finance Company Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, committed funding was reduced from \$125.0 million under our prior facility to \$50.0 million. See Liquidity and Capital Resources—section below for further information. As of the date of this filing, approximately \$16.1 million was outstanding under the Credit Facility and \$32.1 million was available for borrowing due to certain limitations on our borrowing base. As a result of this limited availability under our credit facility, and the restraints upon our investing activities required in order to maintain RIC status under the Code as described above, we are unsure when we will once again be in a position to make any new investments. The Credit Facility also limits our distributions to stockholders and, as a result, we recently decreased our monthly cash distribution rate by 50% as compared to the prior year period. We do not know when market conditions will stabilize, if adverse conditions will intensify or the full extent to which the disruptions will continue to affect us. If market instability persists or intensifies, we may experience increasing difficulty in raising capital.

Challenges in the current market are intensified for us by certain regulatory limitations under the Code and the 1940 Act, as well as contractual restrictions under the agreement governing the Credit Facility that further constrain our ability to access the capital markets. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must satisfy, among other requirements, an annual distribution requirement to pay out at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. Because we are required to distribute our income in this manner, and because the illiquidity of many of our investments makes it difficult for us to finance new investments through the sale of current investments, our ability to make new investments is highly dependent upon external financing. Our external financing sources include the issuance of equity securities, debt securities or other leverage such as borrowings under our line of credit. Our ability to seek external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act, which require us to have at least a 200% asset coverage ratio, meaning generally that for every dollar of debt, we must have two dollars of assets.

Recent market conditions have also affected the trading price of our common stock and thus our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On November 2, 2009, the closing market price of our common stock was \$5.04 which price represented a 38.8% discount to our September 30, 2009 net asset value, or NAV, per share. When our stock is trading below NAV, as it has consistently traded subsequent to September 30, 2008, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act which generally prohibit the issuance and sale of our common stock below NAV per share without stockholder approval other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering. At our annual meeting of stockholders held on August 13, 2009, our stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per share for a period of one year from the date of approval, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale.

The recession may also continue to decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans, as well as the value of our equity investments, which has impacted and may continue to impact our ability to borrow under the Credit Facility. Additionally, the Credit Facility contains covenants regarding the maintenance of certain minimum loan concentrations which are affected by the decrease in value of our portfolio. Failure to meet these requirements would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, would result in the acceleration of our repayment obligations under the Credit Facility.

We expect that, given these regulatory and contractual constraints in combination with current market conditions, debt and equity capital may be costly or difficult for us to access for some time. For so long as this is the case, our near-term strategy depends on retaining capital and building the value of our existing portfolio companies to increase the likelihood of maintaining potential future returns. We will also, where prudent and possible, consider the sale of lower-yielding investments. This has resulted, and may

continue to result, in significantly reduced investment activity, as our ability to make new investments under these conditions is largely dependent on availability of proceeds from the sale or exit of existing portfolio investments, which events may be beyond our control. As capital constraints improve, we intend to continue our strategy of making conservative investments in businesses that we believe will weather the economy and that are likely to produce attractive long-term returns for our stockholders.

#### **Senior Syndicated Loan Valuations**

Due to the illiquidity in the market for syndicated loans during the three quarters prior to and including June 30, 2009, a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology was used to value these investments during those periods, following guidance provided undasC 820-10-35-15A, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active. However, in monitoring the market activity during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we noted changing market conditions indicating a return to liquidity and a better functioning secondary market for syndicated loans. Therefore, in accordance with ASC 820-10-35-15A, and following our valuation procedures, which specify the use of third-party indicative bid quotes for valuing syndicated loans where there is a liquid public market for those loans and market pricing quotes are readily available, a third-party bid quote was used to value the remaining senior syndicated loan not sold during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. We settled certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate Fibernet, Inc.) in October 2009 which sales were finalized in September 2009 as previously described elsewhere in this filing. Those loans are included in our condensed consolidated assets as of September 30, 2009 and were valued at their respective sale prices.

#### **Recent Developments**

#### Short-Term Loan

On September 29, 2009, we purchased \$85.0 million of short-term United States Treasury securities through Jefferies & Company, Inc. ( Jefferies ). The securities were purchased with \$10.0 million in funds drawn on the Credit Facility and the proceeds from a \$75.0 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 0.65%. On October 2, 2009, when the securities matured, we repaid the \$75.0 million loan from Jefferies in full, and repaid the \$10.0 million drawn on the Credit Facility for the transaction.

Senior Syndicated Sales

During September 2009, we finalized the sale of certain senior syndicated loans (HMTBP Acquisition II Corp. and a portion of Interstate Fibernet, Inc.) to various investors in the syndicated loan market. These loans, in aggregate, had a cost value of approximately \$6.8 million, or 2.9% of the cost value of our total investments, and an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$5.5 million, or 2.7% of the fair market value of our total investments, at September 30, 2009. Upon the settlement of these loans in October 2009, we received approximately \$5.5 million in net cash proceeds and recorded a realized loss of approximately \$1.3 million (See Note 12, *Subsequent Events*). These loans are included in our condensed consolidated assets as of September 30, 2009 and were valued at their respective sale prices.

Registration Statement

Short-Term Loan 52

On July 21, 2009, we filed a registration statement on From N-2 (Registration No. 333-160720) that was amended on October 2, 2009. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on October 8, 2009 and such registration statement will permit us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, senior common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, or a combination of these securities.

Sale of Chase II Holdings Corp. Revolving Line of Credit

On October 13, 2009, we refinanced our revolving line of credit with Chase II Holdings Corp. to a third party, and the outstanding balance of \$3.5 million, plus accrued interest, was repaid in full. The proceeds were used to make a repayment on the outstanding amount under our Credit Facility.

Portfolio Company Investment Activity

During October 2009, one of our portfolio companies entered into an agreement with an investment banker to act as an advisor in assessing strategic investment alternatives. It is premature in the process to speculate on what these strategic alternatives might be or what impact, if any, such activities may have on our investment in the subject portfolio company.

During October 2009, A. Stucki Holding Corp. declared and paid accrued cash dividends on its preferred stock of which we received approximately \$953,000.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

A comparison of our operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 is below:

			For the three months ended September 30,				
DATE CONTROL CONTROL OF CONTROL O		2009		2008		\$ Change	% Change
INVESTMENT INCOME							
Interest income	Φ.	£1.5	Φ.	2.124	Φ.	(1.510)	(71.0) 6
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$	615	\$	2,134	\$	(1,519)	(71.2)%
Control investments		2,868		2,735		133	4.9%
Affiliate investments		1,448		1,349		99	7.3%
Cash and cash equivalents		1		22		(21)	(95.5)%
Total interest income		4,932		6,240		(1,308)	(21.0)%
Other income		11		576		(565)	(98.1)%
Total investment income		4,943		6,816		(1,873)	(27.5)%
EXPENSES							
Loan servicing fee		938		1,258		320	25.4%
Base management fee		164		435		271	(2.3%
Administration fee		198		212		14	6.6%
Interest expense		552		1,084		532	49.1%
Amortization of deferred finance costs		438		140		(298)	(212.9)%
Professional fees		118		183		65	35.5%
Stockholder related costs		146		200		54	27.0%
Insurance expense		62		55		(7)	(12.7)%
Directors fees		48		48			
Other		73		114		41	36.0%
Expenses before credit from Adviser		2,737		3,729		992	26.6%
Credits to base management fee		(165)		(696)		(531)	(76.3)%
Total expenses net of credit to base management fee		2,572		3,033		461	15.2%
NET INVESTMENT INCOME		2,371		3,783		(1,412)	(37.3)%
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN ON:							
Realized loss on sale of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate							
investments				(2,498)		2,498	100.0%
Realized loss on termination of derivative				(2, . > 0)		2,.,0	100.070
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of							
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments		(1,514)		(5,191)		3,677	70.8%
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of Control		(1,511)		(0,1)1)		2,077	7 0.0 70
investments		(14,900)		10.840		(25,740)	(237.5)%
Net unrealized depreciation of Affiliate investments		(3,853)		(5,978)		2,125	35.5%
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of derivative		(16)		(3,770)		(16)	33.370
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of		(10)				(10)	
credit		(178)				(178)	
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line of							
credit		(20,461)		(2,827)		(17,634)	(623.8)%
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS							
RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$	(18,090)	\$	956	\$	(19.046)	(1992.3)%
	Ψ	(10,070)	Ψ	750	Ψ	(22,010)	(1),2.5,70

#### **Investment Income**

Total investment income decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year period. This decrease was due mainly to a decrease in the size of our loan portfolio, specifically the senior syndicated loans, as well as continuing decreases in LIBOR, as compared to the prior year period.

Interest income from our investments in debt securities of private companies decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period for multiple reasons. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The average cost basis of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$186.1 million, compared to approximately \$294.4 million for the prior year period, due primarily to the aggregate senior syndicated loan sales. Also contributing to the decrease in our interest income from

investments in debt securities was a decrease in the average LIBOR between periods, which was approximately 0.27% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to 2.62% for the prior year period.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009 due to an overall decrease in the size and number of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held at September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. At September 30, 2008, we held investments in 35 different Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments; however, as a result of the Syndicated Loan Sales, only five different Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments were held at September 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was further accentuated by the continued drops in LIBOR between the two periods, due to the instability and continued tightening of the credit markets.

Interest income from Control investments increased slightly for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. The increase was attributable to two additional Control investments, Galaxy Tool, which was acquired mid-quarter in the prior year period, and Country Club Enterprises, which was purchased in the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, being held for the full quarter ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year period. Decreases in LIBOR played a minimal role in interest income from our proprietary deals during the current quarter, as the majority of them include interest rate floors to protect against such circumstances.

Interest income from Affiliate investments increased slightly for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was due mainly to the reclassification of Quench from a Control investment to an Affiliate investment, which took place during the prior year quarter, as opposed to the current quarter, where it was accruing income for the full quarter.

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio company investments during the respective periods:

	Inte	erest	
Company	Inc	%	
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$	661	13.4%
Galaxy Tools Holding Corp.		595	12.1%
A. Stucki Holding Corp.		575	11.7%
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.		426	8.6%
Danco Acquisition Corp.		392	7.9%
Subtotal	\$	2,649	53.7%
Other companies		2,282	46.3%
Total portfolio interest income	\$	4,931	100.0%

#### Three months ended September 30, 2008

	Int	erest		
Company	Inc	Income		
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$	719	11.6%	
A. Stucki Holding Corp.		677	10.9%	
Noble Logistics, Inc.		435	7.0%	
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.		426	6.8%	
Cavert II Holding Corp.		414	6.7%	
Subtotal	\$	2,671	43.0%	
Other companies		3,547	57.0%	
Total portfolio interest income	\$	6,218	100.0%	

The annualized weighted average yield on our portfolio, excluding cash and cash equivalents, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was 10.01%, compared to 7.98% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The increase in the weighted average yield for the current quarter resulted primarily from our sale of lower interest-bearing senior syndicated loans subsequent to September 30, 2008.

Interest income from invested cash and cash equivalents decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This decrease is a result of lower interest rates offered by banks, as this income is derived mainly from interest earned on overnight sweeps of cash held at financial institutions, in addition to us using the proceeds from repayments on outstanding loans during the year to pay down our line of credit.

Other income decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared the prior year period, due to the recognition of dividends received on the restructuring of one of our Affiliate investments (Quench) as income during the prior year quarter. The current period balance in other income is comprised mainly of loan amendment fees that are amortized over the remaining lives of the respective loans, as well as other miscellaneous income amounts.

### **Operating Expenses**

Total operating expenses, excluding any voluntary and irrevocable credits to the base management fee, decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to a decrease in interest expense associated with the Credit Facility, as well as decreases in the amount of fees due to our Adviser, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Loan servicing fees decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. These fees were incurred in connection with a loan servicing agreement between Business Investment and our Adviser, which is based on the value of the aggregate outstanding balance of eligible loans in our portfolio. These fees were directly credited against the amount of the base

management fee due to our Adviser. The decrease in fees is a result of the reduced size of our pledged loan portfolio, caused by the Syndicated Loan Sales.

The base management fee decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, which is reflective of fewer total assets held during the quarter ended September 30, 2009 when compared to the prior year quarter. Furthermore, due to the liquidation of the majority of our syndicated loans, the credit received against the gross base management fee for investments in syndicated loans has also been reduced. The base management fee is computed quarterly as described under Investment Advisory and Management Agreement in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on June 2, 2009 and is summarized in the table below:

	Three months endo	ed Septe	ember 30, 2008
Base management fee	\$ 164	\$	435
Credits to base management fee from Adviser:			
Fee reduction for the waiver of 2% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% (1)	(48)		(383)
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(117)		(313)
Credit to base management fee from Adviser	(165)		(696)
Net base management fee	\$ (1)	\$	(261)

<sup>(1)</sup> Our Adviser voluntarily and irrevocably waived the annual 2.0% base management fee to 0.5% for senior syndicated loan participations on a quarterly basis to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participations. Fees waived cannot be recouped by the Adviser in the future.

The administration fee remained relatively constant for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared the prior year period. The calculation of the administrative fee is described in detail above under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on June 2, 2009.

Interest expense decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period as a direct result of decreased borrowings under the Credit Facility during the current quarter. The weighted average balance outstanding on our line of credit during the quarter ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$28.3 million, as compared to \$101.3 million in the comparable prior year period.

Other operating expenses (including amortization of deferred financing fees, professional fees, stockholder related costs, insurance expense, directors fees and other direct expenses) increased over the comparable prior year period, driven primarily by increases in deferred financing fees related to the Credit Facility entered into in April 2009. Slightly offsetting the overall increase were decreases in professional fees, such as audit and general legal costs, and stockholder related costs, from lower annual meeting solicitation fees.

Realized and Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Investments

#### Realized Losses

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, no investments were sold or written off. However, subsequent to September 30, 2009, we entered into agreements to sell one senior syndicated loan and a portion of another, both of which settled in October 2009 for aggregate proceeds of \$5.5 million, and recorded a realized loss of \$1.3 million, which will be reflected in the results of operations for the three months ending December 31, 2009. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we exited two senior syndicated loans and realized a net loss of \$2.5 million, which was mostly attributable to the settlement of Lexicon. We sold the majority of our senior syndicated loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, and we expect to sell the remaining two senior syndicated loans in the long-term future as we attempt to remove ourselves from the senior syndicated loan market.

#### Unrealized Gains and Losses

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$20.3 million, compared to \$300 in the prior year period. The unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investment classes for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was as follows:

Investment Category	Net Unrealized Loss
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate	\$ (1,514)
Control	(14,900)
Affiliate	(3,853)
Total	\$ (20,267)

We recorded approximately \$1.5 million of unrealized depreciation on our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, driven primarily by a \$1.4 million unrealized loss on Survey Sampling. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded approximately \$5.2 million of unrealized depreciation on our Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments.

Our Control investments experienced the most significant devaluation in our total portfolio, particularly in our equity holdings, which alone depreciated in value by an aggregate of \$14.0 million during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, mainly in A. Stucki, Acme, Chase, and Galaxy Tools, offset by a modest increase in Cavert s equity holdings. The debt portion of our Control investments depreciated in value by an aggregate of approximately \$900 during the current quarter. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded approximately \$10.8 million of unrealized appreciation on our Control investments.

Our Affiliate investments also experienced unrealized depreciation during the current quarter, particularly in our equity holdings of Danco and Tread, as well as in the debt portion of Noble. Overall, our Affiliate investments experienced approximately \$1.5 million of depreciation related to the debt and \$2.4 million of depreciation in the equity of these companies. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded approximately \$6.0 million of unrealized depreciation on our Affiliate investments.

Over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded an aggregate of approximately \$3.9 million of unrealized depreciation on our debt positions for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, while our equity holdings experienced an aggregate devaluation of approximately \$16.4 million. At September 30, 2009, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than the cost basis of our portfolio by approximately \$32.3 million, as compared to \$12.0 million at June 30, 2009, representing an increase in net unrealized depreciation of \$20.3 million for the quarter. We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets even though we saw some return of market liquidity within the senior syndicated loan markets. Although our investment portfolio has depreciated, our entire portfolio was fair valued at 86.3% of cost as of September 30, 2009. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, we reduced our monthly distribution from \$0.08 to \$0.04 per common share.

### Net Unrealized Appreciation of Borrowings Under Line of Credit

During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we recorded unrealized appreciation of \$178 for the line of credit that was fair valued by an independent third party in accordance with ASC 825. ASC 825 was not applicable for the three months ended September 30, 2008.

#### **Derivatives**

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we cancelled our prior interest rate cap agreements and entered into a new interest rate cap agreement with BB&T for a notional amount of \$45.0 million that will effectively limit the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the Credit Facility. We incurred a premium fee of approximately \$39 in conjunction with this agreement. As of September 30, 2009, the derivative had a fair value of approximately \$12, and unrealized depreciation of \$16 was recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2009. For the comparable prior year period, the fair market value of our prior interest rate cap agreements remained flat.

## Net (Decrease) Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$18.1 million as a result of the factors discussed above. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$956. Our net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations per basic and diluted weighted average common share for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$(0.82) and \$0.04, respectively.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended September 30, 2009 to the Six Months Ended September 30, 2008

A comparison of our operating results for the six months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 is below:

	For the six months ended September 30,						
DIVECTMENT BLOOME		2009		2008	\$	S Change	% Change
INVESTMENT INCOME							
Interest income	Ф	1.251	¢.	4.450	Ф	(2.107)	((0.7)0
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$	1,351	\$	4,458	\$	(3,107)	(69.7)%
Control investments		5,736		5,304		432	8.1%
Affiliate investments		2,928		2,460		468	19.0%
Cash and cash equivalents		10.016		46		(45)	(97.8)%
Total interest income		10,016		12,268		(2,252)	(18.4)%
Other income		96		586		(490)	(83.6)%
Total investment income		10,112		12,854		(2,742)	(21.3)%
EXPENSES							
Loan servicing fee		2,006		2,511		505	20.1%
Base management fee		477		861		384	44.6%
Administration fee		371		447		76	17.0%
Interest expense		1,255		2,186		931	42.6%
Amortization of deferred finance costs		751		278		(473)	(170.1)%
Professional fees		320		314		(6)	(1.9)%
Stockholder related costs		227		301		74	24.6%
Insurance expense		119		108		(11)	(10.2)%
Directors fees		99		95		(4)	(4.2)%
Other		137		189		52	27.5%
Expenses before credit from Adviser		5,762		7,290		1,528	21.0%
Credits to base management fee		(466)		(1,270)		(804)	(63.3)%
Total expenses net of credit to base management fee		5,296		6,020		724	12.0%
NET INVESTMENT INCOME		4,816		6,834		(2,018)	(29.5)%
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN ON:							
Realized loss on sale of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate							
investments		(34,605)		(4,215)		(30,390)	(721.0)%
Realized loss on termination of derivative		(53)				(53)	
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of							
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments		35,214		(726)		35,940	4,950.4%
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of Control							
investments		(26,381)		5,973		(32,354)	(541.7)%
Net unrealized depreciation of Affiliate investments		(6,119)		(11,393)		5,274	46.3%
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of derivative		26				26	
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings under line of							
credit		(178)				(178)	
Net loss on investments and borrowings under line of							
credit		(32,096)		(10,361)		(21,735)	(209.8)%
NET (DECREAGE) INCREAGE IN NET AGGETG							
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$	(27,280)	\$	(3,527)	\$	(23,753)	(673.5)%
RESULTING FROM OFERATIONS	Ф	(27,200)	Φ	(3,347)	Φ	(23,133)	(073.3)%

## **Investment Income**

Investment income decreased for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the six months ended September 30, 2008, due mainly to a decrease in the size of our loan portfolio, as well as decreases in LIBOR over the respective periods.

Interest income from our investments in debt securities of private companies decreased for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period for several reasons. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of

the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. The average cost basis of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the six months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$222.7 million, compared to approximately \$298.0 million for the prior year period. This decrease was primarily due to the Syndicated Loan Sales. Also contributing to the decrease in our interest income from investments in debt securities was a decrease in the average LIBOR between the two periods, which was approximately 0.32% for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to 2.60% for the prior year period.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments decreased for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period, due to an overall decrease in the size and number of Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held between the two periods. At September 30, 2008, we held investments in 35 different Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments; however, as a result of the Syndicated Loan Sales, only five different Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments were held at September 30, 2009. The decrease in interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was further accentuated by the continued drops in LIBOR between the two periods, due to the instability and tightening of the credit markets.

Interest income from Control investments increased slightly for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. The increase is attributable to two additional Control investments, Galaxy Tool, which was acquired during the second quarter of the prior fiscal year, and Country Club Enterprises, which was purchased in the third quarter of the prior fiscal year, being

held for the full six months ended September 30, 2009, as opposed to the prior year period. However, this increase was partially offset by the reclassification of Quench from a Control investment to an Affiliate investment, which took place during the second quarter of the prior fiscal year. Continuing decreases in LIBOR played a minimal role in interest income from our proprietary deals during the current year period, as the majority of them include interest rate floors to protect against such circumstances.

Interest income from Affiliate investments also increased for the six months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was due mainly to the reclassification of Quench as an Affiliate investment, as noted above, and the additional interest income accrued under the Affiliate investments classification as a result.

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio company investments during the respective periods:

#### Six months ended September 30, 2009

	Inte	rest	
Company	Inco	ome	%
Chase II Holdings Corp.	\$	1,321	13.2%
Galaxy Tools Holding Corp.		1,184	11.8%
A. Stucki Holding Corp.		1,151	11.5%
Acme Cryogenics, Inc.		848	8.5%
Danco Acquisition Corp.		787	7.8%
Subtotal	\$	5,291	52.8