GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES INC Form 10-Q August 09, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Transition Period from

to

Commission file number 1-11656

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 42-1283895

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

110 N. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606

(Address of principal executive offices, including Zip Code)

(312) 960-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes x No

The number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding on August 5, 2010 was 317,392,796.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

INDEX

		PAGE NUMBER
Part I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended	
	June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Consolidated Statements of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
	Note 1: Organization	8
	Note 2: Intangible Assets and Liabilities	23
	Note 3: Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	23
	Note 4: Mortgages, Notes and Loans Payable	31
	Note 5: Income Taxes	34
	Note 6: Stock-Based Compensation Plans	35
	Note 7: Other Assets and Liabilities	37
	Note 8: Commitments and Contingencies	38
	Note 9: Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	39
	Note 10: Segments	39
	Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	53
	Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	56
	Item 4: Controls and Procedures	56
<u>Part II</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	
	Item 1: Legal Proceedings	56
	Item 1A: Risk Factors	56
	Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	61
	Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities	61
	Item 5: Other Information	61
	Item 6: Exhibits	61
	SIGNATURE	62
	EXHIBIT INDEX	63
	2	

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

		June 30, 2010	I	December 31, 2009
		(Dollars in	thousand	ls)
Assets:				
Investment in real estate:				
Land	\$	3,326,837	\$	3,327,447
Buildings and equipment		22,788,677		22,851,511
Less accumulated depreciation		(4,733,556)		(4,494,297)
Developments in progress		425,864		417,969
Net property and equipment		21,807,822		22,102,630
Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates		1,991,782		1,979,313
Investment property and property held for development and sale		1,913,655		1,753,175
Net investment in real estate		25,713,259		25,835,118
Cash and cash equivalents		548,265		654,396
Accounts and notes receivable, net		372,621		404,041
Goodwill		199,664		199,664
Deferred expenses, net		264,985		301,808
Prepaid expenses and other assets		738,589		754,747
Total assets	\$	27,837,383	\$	28,149,774
Liabilities and Equity:				
Liabilities not subject to compromise:				
Mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$	16,809,002	\$	7,300,772
Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	Ψ	40,536	Ψ	38,289
Deferred tax liabilities		787,798		866,400
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		1,302,668		1,122,888
Liabilities not subject to compromise		18,940,004		9,328,349
Liabilities subject to compromise		7,856,257		17,767,253
Total liabilities		26,796,261		27,095,602
Dede-weekle was entrelling interests				
Redeemable noncontrolling interests: Preferred		120.756		120.756
		120,756		120,756
Common		97,851		86,077
Total redeemable noncontrolling interests		218,607		206,833
Commitments and Contingencies				
Redeemable Preferred Stock: \$100 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued				
and outstanding				
Equity:				
Common stock: \$.01 par value; 875,000,000 shares authorized, 318,842,829 shares issued				
as of June 30, 2010 and 313,831,411 shares issued as of December 31, 2009		3,188		3,138

Additional paid-in capital	3,771,167	3,729,453
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(2,898,498)	(2,832,627)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(504)	(249)
Less common stock in treasury, at cost, 1,449,939 shares as of June 30, 2010 and		
December 31, 2009	(76,752)	(76,752)
Total stockholders equity	798,601	822,963
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate affiliates	23,914	24,376
Total equity	822,515	847,339
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 27,837,383	\$ 28,149,774

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Mor June	nded	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2010	,	2009		2010	,	2009
	(I	Dollar	s in thousands, exce	pt for	per share amount	s)	
Revenues:							
Minimum rents	\$ 484,459	\$	498,708	\$	977,217	\$	997,816
Tenant recoveries	215,587		224,691		429,838		457,710
Overage rents	7,447		5,782		17,793		15,806
Land and condominium sales	59,965		22,448		65,035		31,435
Management fees and other corporate revenues	15,902		18,860		33,988		40,719
Other	21,957		21,606		42,683		37,249
Total revenues	805,317		792,095		1,566,554		1,580,735
Expenses:							
Real estate taxes	71,062		68,959		143,157		140,518
Property maintenance costs	26,188		22,100		62,032		49,459
Marketing	6,250		6,906		13,331		14,482
Other property operating costs	128,201		126,479		255,272		258,178
Land and condominium sales operations	59,065		21,850		69,232		32,464
Provision for doubtful accounts	3,619		8,847		9,946		19,179
Property management and other costs	48,517		42,200		83,949		85,609
General and administrative	5,668		6,591		13,306		14,112
Strategic initiatives			25,713				64,013
Provisions for impairment	19,923		82,388		31,273		413,480
Depreciation and amortization	175,318		186,472		352,621		391,087
Total expenses	543,811		598,505		1,034,119		1,482,581
Operating income	261,506		193,590		532,435		98,154
Interest income	137		501		813		1,231
Interest expense	(301,726)		(328,351)		(637,004)		(656,841)
Loss before income taxes, noncontrolling interests,							
equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate							
Affiliates and reorganization items	(40,083)		(134,260)		(103,756)		(557,456)
Provision for income taxes	(14,234)		(15,742)		(17,884)		(4,228)
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate							
Affiliates	16,901		16,339		50,652		23,877
Reorganization items	(80,111)		(24,918)		9,301		(24,918)
Loss from continuing operations	(117,527)		(158,581)		(61,687)		(562,725)
Discontinued operations - loss on dispositions							(55)
Net loss	(117,527)		(158,581)		(61,687)		(562,780)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	1		179		(4,184)		8,299
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (117,526)	\$	(158,402)	\$	(65,871)	\$	(554,481)
			(· -))		(- ,- ·)		, , ,)

Basic and Diluted Loss Per Share:

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

Continuing operations	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (1.78)
Discontinued operations				
Total basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.51)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (1.78)
Dividends declared per share	\$	\$	\$	\$
Comprehensive Loss, Net:				
Net loss	\$ (117,527)	\$ (158,581)	\$ (61,687)	\$ (562,780)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net unrealized gains on financial instruments	4,251	5,515	8,179	7,624
Accrued pension adjustment	(311)	223	100	324
Foreign currency translation	(3,672)	27,966	(8,540)	25,684
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	(3)	89	1	111
Other comprehensive income (loss)	265	33,793	(260)	33,743
Comprehensive loss	(117,262)	(124,788)	(61,947)	(529,037)
Other comprehensive (income) loss allocated to				
noncontrolling interests	(6)	(767)	5	(766)
Adjustment for noncontrolling interests				(9,065)
Comprehensive loss, net, attributable to common				
stockholders	\$ (117,268)	\$ (125,555)	\$ (61,942)	\$ (538,868)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

	 ommon Stock	A	Additional Paid-In Capital	(A	Retained Earnings accumulated Deficit)	Cor Inc	nulated Other nprehensive come (Loss) rs in thousands)	Treasury Stock		•						Con	oncontrolling Interests in Isolidated Real tate Affiliates	Total Equity
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 2,704	\$	3,454,903	\$	(1,488,586)	\$	(56,128)	\$	(76,752)	\$	24,266	\$ 1,860,407						
Net (loss) income					(554,481)						1,246	(553,235)						
Distributions to noncontrolling interests in consolidated Real Estate Affiliates					, ,						(1,324)	(1,324)						
Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock (43,408,053 common																		
shares)	434		324,054									324,488						
Issuance of common stock (69,309 common shares)	1		42									43						
Restricted stock grant, net of forfeitures and compensation expense	1		72									73						
(26,682 common shares)	(1)		1,085									1,084						
Other comprehensive																		
income							23,912					23,912						
Adjustment for																		
noncontrolling interest in operating partnership			12,128									12,128						
Balance at June 30,																		
2009	\$ 3,138	\$	3,792,212	\$	(2,043,067)	\$	(32,216)	\$	(76,752)	\$	24,188	\$ 1,667,503						
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 3,138	\$	3,729,453	\$	(2,832,627)	\$	(249)	\$	(76,752)	\$	24,376	\$ 847,339						
N (d)					(65.051)						1.027	((4.046)						
Net (loss) income Distributions to noncontrolling interests in consolidated Real					(65,871)						1,025	(64,846)						
Estate Affiliates Issuance of common stock - payment of dividend (4,923,287											(1,487)	(1,487)						
common shares)	50		53,346									53,396						
Restricted stock grants, net of forfeitures and			1,647									1,647						

compensation expense							
(82,975 common shares)							
Other comprehensive							
loss				(255)			(255)
Adjustment for							
noncontrolling interest in							
operating partnership		(13,279)					(13,279)
Balance at June 30,							
2010	\$ 3,188	\$ 3,771,167	\$ (2,898,498)	\$ (504)	\$ (76,752)	\$ 23,914	\$ 822,515

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	2010		ths Ended e 30,	2009
	2010	(In tho	usands)	2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			ĺ	
Net loss \$	(6	51,687)	\$	(562,780)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	(5	50,652)		(23,877)
Provision for doubtful accounts		9,946		19,179
Distributions received from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	1	8,319		20,605
Depreciation	33	30,183		365,636
Amortization	2	22,438		25,451
Amortization of deferred finance costs	1	6,352		24,888
Amortization (accretion) of debt market rate adjustments	2	27,303		(6,063)
(Accretion) amortization of intangibles other than in-place leases		(385)		1,308
Straight-line rent amortization	(1	9,117)		(18,694)
Non-cash interest expense on Exchangeable Senior Notes	1	4,290		13,449
Non-cash interest expense resulting from termination of interest rate swaps		9,040		(18,675)
Non-cash interest expense related to Special Consideration entities	(3	36,124)		
Provisions for impairment	3	31,273		413,480
Participation expense pursuant to Contingent Stock Agreement				(1,793)
Land/residential development and acquisitions expenditures	(3	32,443)		(29,811)
Cost of Land and condominium sales	5	50,224		18,667
Revenue recognition of deferred Land and condominium sales	(3	36,443)		
Reorganization items - finance costs related to emerged entities	13	3,997		
Non-cash reorganization items	(19	98,533)		31,176
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(4	16,341)		2,532
Glendale Matter deposit				67,054
Net changes:				
Accounts and notes receivable	4	1,128		(11,537)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4	11,437		(7,062)
Deferred expenses	(1	6,344)		(16,408)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses and deferred tax liabilities	11	7,932		184,708
Other, net		(365)		6,446
Net cash provided by operating activities	36	55,428		497,879
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Acquisition/development of real estate and property additions/improvements	(11	3,169)		(127,584)
Proceeds from sales of investment properties		94		6,409
Proceeds from sales of investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates		7,450		
Increase in investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	(1	0,504)		(76,067)
Distributions received from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates in excess of income	1	5,849		50,244
Loans (to) from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, net				(9,666)

(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(4,447)	10,620
Other, net	(2,722)	(2,061)
Net cash used in investing activities	(107,449)	(148,105)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of the DIP facility		400,000
Principal payments on mortgages, notes and loans payable	(222,487)	(295,406)
Deferred financing costs		(2,176)
Finance costs related to emerged entities	(133,997)	
Cash distributions paid to common stockholders	(5,957)	
Cash distributions paid to holders of Common Units		(625)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, including from common stock plans		43
Other, net	(1,669)	2,241
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(364,110)	104,077
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(106,131)	453,851
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	654,396	168,993
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 548,265	\$ 622,844

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2010	,	2009		
	(In thous				
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:					
Interest paid	\$ 493,250	\$	534,718		
Interest capitalized	19,750		31,719		
Income taxes paid	4,461		16,960		
Reorganization items paid	189,232		2,550		
Non-Cash Transactions:					
Common stock issued in exchange for Operating Partnership Units	\$	\$	(1,031)		
Change in accrued capital expenditures included in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(55,001)		(50,845)		
Change in deferred contingent property acquisition liabilities	178,130		(147,616)		
Deferred financing costs payable in conjunction with the DIP Facility			19,000		
Recognition of note payable in conjunction with land held for development and sale			6,520		
Mortgage debt market rate adjustment related to emerged entities	319,009				
Gain on Aliansce IPO	9,383				

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Debtor-in-Possession)

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION

Readers of this Quarterly Report should refer to the Company s (as defined below) audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 which are included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Annual Report) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (Commission File No. 1-11656), as certain footnote disclosures which would substantially duplicate those contained in our Annual Report have been omitted from this report. Capitalized terms used, but not defined, in this Quarterly Report have the same meanings as in our Annual Report.

General

General Growth Properties, Inc. (GGP or the Company), a Delaware corporation, is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, referred to as a REIT which, together with certain of the Company s subsidiaries, filed for voluntary bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (Chapter 11) in the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court) on April 16, 2009. On April 22, 2009 (together with April 16, 2009, as applicable, the Petition Date) certain additional domestic subsidiaries (collectively with GGP and the subsidiaries filing on April 16, 2009, the Debtors) of the Company also filed voluntary petitions for relief in the Bankruptcy Court (collectively, the Chapter 11 Cases), which the Bankruptcy Court ruled may be jointly administered.

On July 13, 2010, as most recently amended by a filing on August 2, 2010, GGP filed with the Bankruptcy Court its disclosure statement and the plan of reorganization (as it may be amended, modified or supplemented from time to time, the Plan) for the 126 Debtors currently remaining in the Chapter 11 Cases (the TopCo Debtors). The Bankruptcy Court has set the hearing to consider approval of the disclosure statement for August 19, 2010. Following Bankruptcy Court approval of the disclosure statement, we will solicit acceptances of the Plan and confirmation by the Bankruptcy Court. The Plan contemplates a reorganized GGP (New GGP) at the date of GGP s emergence from bankruptcy (the Effective Date), which is currently expected to be in the fourth quarter of 2010, and outlines the manner in which the prepetition creditors and equity holders various claims against and interests in the Topco Debtors will be treated, subject to confirmation of the Plan and the occurrence of the Effective Date. The Plan also contemplates the distribution to our stockholders of the stock of Spinco, Inc. (Spinco), a new real estate company, which will own a diversified portfolio of properties that represent near, medium and long-term development opportunities including our Master Planned Communities segment described below and other mixed use and mall projects. Spinco will be a publicly-held company, majority-owned by our existing stockholders. Spinco will not exist as a stand-alone company unless and until the Plan is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court.

GGP was organized in 1986 and through its subsidiaries and affiliates owns, operates, manages and develops retail and other rental properties, primarily shopping centers, which are located primarily throughout the United States. GGP also holds assets through its international Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates in Brazil and Turkey (Note 3). In July 2010, we sold our third party management business for nominal consideration and participation in the future earnings of the assigned management contracts.

Additionally, GGP develops and sells land for residential, commercial and other uses primarily in large-scale, long-term master planned community projects in and around Columbia, Maryland; Summerlin (Las Vegas), Nevada; and Houston, Texas, as well as one residential

condominium project located in Natick (Boston), Massachusetts. Substantially all of our business is conducted by our operating partnership, GGP Limited Partnership (GGPLP or the Operating Partnership), in which, at June 30, 2010, GGP holds approximately a 98% common equity ownership interest. In these notes, the terms we, us and our refer to GGP and its subsidiaries (the Company).

In this report, we refer to our ownership interests in majority-owned or controlled properties as Consolidated Properties , to joint ventures in which we own a noncontrolling interest as Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates and the properties owned by such joint ventures as the Unconsolidated Properties. Our Company Portfolio includes both our Consolidated Properties and our Unconsolidated Properties.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of GGP, our subsidiaries and joint ventures in which we have a controlling interest. For consolidated joint ventures, the noncontrolling partner s

8

Table of Contents

share of the assets, liabilities and operations of the joint ventures (generally computed as the joint venture partner s ownership percentage) is included in noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate affiliates as permanent equity of the Company. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods have been included. The results for the interim period ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Specifically, in order to improve our internal and external reporting, we reclassified \$2.9 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, of asset management and other corporate revenues (such as sponsorship income, photo income and vending income) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 from other revenue to management fees and other corporate revenues. In addition, we reclassified \$28.0 million and \$56.0 million, respectively, of cleaning, landscaping and refuse removal expenses from property maintenance costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 to other property operating costs.

Debtors in Possession

As we had significant past due, or imminently due, and cross-collateralized or cross-defaulted debt, the Company, the Operating Partnership and certain of the Company s domestic subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11. However, neither GGMI, certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, nor any of our joint ventures, (collectively, the Non-Debtors) either consolidated or unconsolidated, sought such protection.

Pursuant to Chapter 11, a debtor is afforded certain protection against its creditors and creditors are prohibited from taking certain actions (such as pursuing collection efforts or proceeding to foreclose on secured obligations) related to debts that were owed prior to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases. Accordingly, although the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases triggered defaults on substantially all debt obligations of the Debtors, creditors are stayed from taking any action as a result of such defaults. Absent an order of the Bankruptcy Court, these pre-petition liabilities are subject to settlement under a plan of reorganization.

Through July 30, 2010, of the total 388 Debtors with approximately \$21.83 billion of debt that filed for Chapter 11 protection, 260 Debtors owning 145 properties with \$14.79 billion of secured mortgage loans filed consensual plans of reorganization and emerged from bankruptcy (the Emerged Debtors). The effectiveness of the plans of reorganization and emergence from bankruptcy of two additional Debtors (owning one property with \$95.0 million of debt) is in the process of being finalized. Of the Emerged Debtors, 5 Debtors owning 4 properties emerged during the month of July 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 142 Debtors owning 91 properties with \$10.05 billion of secured mortgage debt emerged from bankruptcy, while 113 Debtors owning 50 properties with \$4.66 billion secured debt had emerged from bankruptcy as of December 31, 2009.

The Plan is based on the agreements (collectively, as amended and restated, the Investment Agreements) with REP Investments LLC, an affiliate of Brookfield Asset Management Inc. (the Brookfield Investor), an affiliate of Fairholme Funds, Inc. (Fairholme) and an affiliate of Pershing Square Capital Management, L.P. (Pershing Square and together with the Brookfield Investor and Fairholme, the Plan Sponsors), pursuant to

which GGP would be divided into two companies, New GGP and Spinco, and the Plan Sponsors would invest in the Company's standalone emergence plan. As a result of the Investment Agreements, the Company has equity commitments for \$6.55 billion and a \$1.5 billion debt backstop commitment, in each case subject to the conditions set forth in such agreements. Pursuant to the Investment Agreements, the Plan Sponsors are expected to purchase up to \$6.3 billion of New GGP common stock at \$10 per share and \$250 million of Spinco stock at \$47.61904 per share. The per share price for Spinco common stock has been adjusted from the originally contemplated per share purchase price to net the fees associated with the eliminated Spinco rights offering and to reflect a reduction in the number of shares of Spinco common stock that will be issued for the same aggregate consideration on the Effective Date. In addition, pursuant our agreement with the Teachers

Table of Contents

Retirement System of Texas (Texas Teachers), Texas Teachers will purchase \$500 million of New GGP common stock at \$10.25 per share, subject to the conditions set forth in such agreement.

The Investment Agreements and our agreement with Texas Teachers permit us to reduce the equity commitments of Pershing, Fairholme and Texas Teachers up to 50% with alternative equity sources at more favorable pricing at any time prior to the Effective Date. On July 15, 2010, New GGP filed a registration statement on Form S-11 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to raise up to \$2.15 billion to replace a portion of these commitments. However, even if the Pershing Square, Fairholme and Texas Teachers equity commitments are replaced to the maximum extent permitted by the Investment Agreements and the Texas Teachers agreements, the Plan Sponsors are expected to own, in the aggregate, a majority of the equity in New GGP. As a result, consummation of the Plan will require the application of acquisition accounting to the assets and liabilities of New GGP (after the distribution of certain assets and liabilities to Spinco). The assets and liabilities of New GGP will be recorded at Fair Value (See Note 2) as of the Effective Date and are expected to have a carrying value substantially different than the historical cost carrying values included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Finally, the Plan Sponsors have entered into an agreement with The Blackstone Group (Blackstone) whereby Blackstone has been given the option to subscribe for approximately 7.6% of the New GGP and Spinco shares to be issued to the Plan Sponsors and receive a pro rata portion of each Plan Sponsors Permanent Warrants (as defined below).

In lieu of the receipt of fees that would be customary in similar transactions, pursuant to the Investment Agreements, interim warrants were issued to the Brookfield Investor and Fairholme to purchase approximately 103 million shares of GGP at \$15.00 per share (the Interim Warrants) on May 10, 2010. The Interim Warrants vest: 40% upon issuance, 20% on July 12, 2010, and the remaining Interim Warrants vest in equal daily installments from July 13, 2010 to December 31, 2010, except that any Interim Warrants that have not vested on or prior to termination of the Brookfield Investor or Fairholme s Investment Agreement, as the case may be, will not vest and will be cancelled. Upon consummation of the Plan, the Interim Warrants will be cancelled and warrants to purchase equity of Spinco and New GGP will be issued to the Plan Sponsors (the Permanent Warrants). Specifically, 8 million warrants to purchase equity of Spinco at an exercise price of \$50.00 per share and 120 million warrants to purchase equity of New GGP at an exercise price of \$10.75 per share, in the case of the Brookfield Investor, and an exercise price of \$10.50 in the case of Fairholme and Pershing Square, will be issued. The Interim Warrants may only be exercised if the Investment Agreements are not consummated. Accordingly, no expense has been recognized for the issuance of the Interim Warrants. Recognition of the value of the Permanent Warrants will occur when, and if, such Permanent Warrants are issued.

Until the Plan is confirmed, there will continue to be substantial doubts as to our ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, such realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. Our consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Accounting for Reorganization

The accompanying unaudited combined condensed financial statements of the Topco Debtors presented below have been prepared in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles related to financial reporting by entities in reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code, and on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Such accounting guidance also provides that if a debtor, or group of debtors, has significant combined assets and liabilities of entities which have not sought, or no longer remain under, Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, the debtors and non-debtors should continue to be combined. However, separate disclosure of financial statement information solely relating to the debtor entities should be presented.

The unaudited combined condensed balance sheets of the Topco Debtors which are operating under Chapter 11 protection, excluding the Emerged Debtors, are presented as of the dates indicated below:

Unaudited Combined Condensed Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2010 (In the	De ousands)	ecember 31, 2009
Net investment in real estate	\$ 3,196,642	\$	3,066,355
Cash and cash equivalents	495,208		584,598
Accounts and notes receivable, net	22,377		29,192
Other	4,324,421		4,425,233
Total assets	\$ 8,038,648	\$	8,105,378
Liabilities not subject to compromise:			
Mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$ 408,031	\$	400,000
Deferred tax liabilities	831,438		910,847
Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	32,552		33,005
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	669,144		562,365
Liabilities subject to compromise	7,856,257		7,612,738
Total redeemable noncontrolling interest	218,607		206,833
Deficit	(1,977,381)		(1,620,410)
Total liabilities and deficit	\$ 8,038,648	\$	8,105,378

As described above, substantially all of the subsidiary mortgage borrower Debtors have emerged from bankruptcy protection as of June 30, 2010. The unaudited combined condensed statements of operations and the unaudited combined condensed statements of cash flows presented below includes the Topco Debtors, and excludes Emerged Debtors, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. Since the Debtor s commenced their respective Chapter 11 Cases on two different dates in April 2009, the unaudited combined condensed statements of operations and cash flows have been prepared for the period May 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009.

Unaudited Combined Condensed Statements of Operations

	 x Months Ended June 30, 2010	-	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In thousands)]	May 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009
Operating Revenues	\$ 144,948	\$	99,503	\$	30,617
Operating Expenses	147,116		105,626		107,144
Provision for Impairment	11,544		208		19,927
Operating Loss	(13,712)		(6,331)		(96,454)
Interest expense, net	(184,610)		(92,331)		(74,021)
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(14,645)		(11,517)		1,016
Equity in income of Real Estate Affiliates	65,215		36,669		22,159
Reorganization items	(146,897)		(59,854)		(23,123)
Net loss	(294,649)		(133,364)		(170,423)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	(5,160)		(1,012)		322
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (299,809)	\$	(134,376)	\$	(170,101)

Table of Contents

Unaudited Combined Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	 onths Ended ne 30, 2010	May	1, 2009 to June 30, 2009
	(In thou	sands)	
Net cash used in:			
Operating activities	\$ (89,948)	\$	192,400
Investing activities	6,515		56,187
Financing activities	(5,957)		188,225
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(89,390)		436,812
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	584,598		52,978
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 495,208	\$	489,790
Cash paid for reorganization items	\$ (53,745)	\$	(125)

Classification of Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise

Liabilities not subject to compromise include: (1) liabilities held by Non-Debtor entities and Debtors that have emerged from bankruptcy; (2) liabilities incurred after the Petition Date; (3) certain pre-Petition Date liabilities the Topco Debtors expect to pay in full, even though certain of these amounts may not be paid until a plan of reorganization is effective; (4) liabilities related to pre-petition contracts that affirmatively have not been rejected; and (5) pre-Petition Date liabilities that have been approved for payment by the Bankruptcy Court and that the Debtors expect to pay (in advance of a plan of reorganization) in the ordinary course of business, including certain employee related items (salaries, vacation and medical benefits).

All liabilities incurred by the Debtors prior to the Petition Date other than those specified above are considered liabilities subject to compromise. The amounts of the various categories of liabilities that are subject to compromise are set forth below. These amounts represent the Company s estimates of known or potential pre-Petition Date claims that are likely to be resolved in connection with the bankruptcy filings. Such claims remain subject to future adjustments. Adjustments may result from negotiations, actions of the Bankruptcy Court, rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases, the determination as to the value of any collateral securing claims, proofs of claim, or other events. There can be no assurance that the equity of the Company s stockholders will not be diluted. The amounts subject to compromise consisted of the following items:

	Jı	ane 30, 2010	De	ecember 31, 2009			
	(In thousar						
Mortgages and secured notes	\$	1,491,465	\$	11,148,467			
Unsecured notes		5,619,539		6,006,778			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		745,253		612,008			
Total liabilities subject to compromise	\$	7,856,257	\$	17,767,253			

The classification of liabilities not subject to compromise versus liabilities subject to compromise is based on currently available information and analysis. As the remaining Chapter 11 Cases proceed and additional information is received and analysis is completed, or as the Bankruptcy Court rules on relevant matters, the classification of amounts between these two categories may change. The amount of any such changes could be significant.

Reorganization Items

Reorganization items under the bankruptcy filings are expense or income items that were incurred or realized by the Debtors as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases and are presented separately in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income and in the unaudited condensed combined statements of operations of the Debtors that have not emerged from bankruptcy at June 30, 2010 presented above. These items include professional fees and similar types of expenses and gains on liabilities subject to compromise directly related to the Chapter 11 Cases, resulting from activities of the reorganization process, and interest earned on cash accumulated by the Debtors as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases.

With respect to certain retained professionals, the terms of engagement and the timing of payment for services rendered are subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court. In addition, certain of these retained professionals

Table of Contents

have agreements that provide for success or completion fees that are payable upon the consummation of specified restructuring or sale transactions. A portion of such fees, currently estimated at approximately \$48.5 million in the aggregate, have been deemed probable of being paid; and therefore, we accrued the portion related to the period from the date the Bankruptcy Court approved retention of those professionals to our estimated date of successful emergence from bankruptcy. We accrued a liability for such fees in Accounts payable and accrued expense on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$29.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$7.2 million as of December 31, 2009. In addition, we recognized the resulting \$12.2 million of expense in Reorganization items in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and \$22.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

In addition, the key employee incentive program (the KEIP) provides for payment to certain key employees upon successful emergence from bankruptcy. Although the amount of the potential KEIP payment is uncapped, a portion of the KEIP, currently estimated for financial statement purposes based on the trading value of the GGP common stock on June 30, 2010 at approximately \$135.7 million in the aggregate, has been deemed probable of being paid; therefore, we are recognizing our estimated KEIP expense in the period from the date the KEIP was approved by the Bankruptcy Court to our estimated date of successful emergence from bankruptcy. We accrued a liability for the KEIP in Accounts payable and accrued expense on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$97.0 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$27.5 million of December 31, 2009. In addition, we recognized the resulting expense in Reorganization items on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income of \$18.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and \$69.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Reorganization items are as follows:

Reorganization Items	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In thousa		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 ands)		1	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 (In thousands	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Gains on liabilities subject to							
compromise - vendors (1)	\$	(5,672)	\$	(6,876)	\$	(2,379) \$	(2,379)
Gains on liabilities subject to							
compromise - mortgage debt (2)		(35,938)		(319,009)			
Interest income (3)		(80)		(90)		(7)	(7)
U.S. Trustee fees (4)		1,413		2,837		1,097	1,097
Restructuring costs (5)		120,388		313,837		26,207	26,207
Total reorganization items	\$	80,111	\$	(9,301)	\$	24,918 \$	24,918

⁽¹⁾ This amount includes gains from repudiation, rejection or termination of contracts or guarantee of obligations. Such gains reflect agreements reached with certain critical vendors, which were authorized by the Bankruptcy Court and for which payments on an installment basis began in July 2009. Also included is \$3.4 million of gains related to the accrued interest associated with the forgiveness of debt associated with the pay down of debt for Stonestown Galleria.

- (3) Interest income primarily reflects amounts earned on cash accumulated as a result of our Chapter 11 cases.
- (4) Estimate of fees due remain subject to confirmation and review by the Office of the United States Trustee (U.S. Trustee).
- (5) Restructuring costs primarily include professional fees incurred related to the bankruptcy filings, the estimated KEIP payment, finance costs incurred by the Emerged Debtors and the write off of unamortized deferred finance costs related to the Emerged Debtors.

Impairment

Operating properties, land held for development and sale and developments in progress

⁽²⁾ Such net gains include the Fair Value adjustments of mortgage debt, as well as \$38.0 million recorded in the first quarter of 2010 resulting from the write off of existing Fair Value of debt adjustments for the entities that emerged from bankruptcy and \$33.9 million of gains recorded in the second quarter of 2010 related to the forgiveness of debt associated with the paydown of debt for Stonestown Galleria.

The generally accepted accounting principles related to accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets require that if impairment indicators exist and the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by an asset are less than its carrying amount, an impairment provision should be recorded to write down the carrying amount of such asset to its Fair Value. We review our consolidated and unconsolidated real estate assets, including operating properties, land held for development and sale and developments in progress, for potential impairment indicators whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Impairment indicators for our retail and other segment are assessed separately for each property and include, but are not limited to, significant decreases in real estate property net operating income and occupancy percentages.

Impairment indicators for our Master Planned Communities segment are assessed separately for each community and include, but are not limited to, significant decreases in sales pace or average selling prices,

Table of Contents

significant increases in expected land development and construction costs or cancellation rates, and projected losses on expected future sales.

Impairment indicators for pre-development costs, which are typically costs incurred during the beginning stages of a potential development, and developments in progress are assessed by project and include, but are not limited to, significant changes in projected completion dates, revenues or cash flows, development costs, market factors and sustainability of development projects.

If an indicator of potential impairment exists, the asset is tested for recoverability by comparing its carrying amount to the estimated future undiscounted cash flow. The cash flow estimates used both for determining recoverability and estimating Fair Value are inherently judgmental and reflect current and projected trends in rental, occupancy and capitalization rates, and estimated holding periods for the applicable assets. Although the estimated Fair Value of certain assets may be exceeded by the carrying amount, a real estate asset is only considered to be impaired when its carrying amount cannot be recovered through estimated future undiscounted cash flows. To the extent an impairment provision is necessary; the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated Fair Value is expensed to operations. In addition, the impairment provision is allocated proportionately to adjust the carrying amount of the asset. The adjusted carrying amount, which represents the new cost basis of the asset, is depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset.

We recorded impairment charges related to our operating properties, land held for development and sale, and properties under development of \$19.9 million and \$31.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$63.0 and \$284.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as presented in the table below. All of these impairment charges are included in provisions for impairment in our consolidated financial statements.

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates

In accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles related to the equity method of accounting for investments, a series of operating losses of an investee or other factors may indicate that a decrease in value of our investment in the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates has occurred which is other-than-temporary. The investment in each of the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates is evaluated periodically and as deemed necessary for recoverability and valuation declines that are other than temporary. Accordingly, in addition to the property-specific impairment analysis that we perform on the investment properties, land held for development and sale and developments in progress owned by such joint ventures (as part of our investment property impairment process described above), we also considered the ownership and distribution preferences and limitations and rights to sell and repurchase our ownership interests. Based on our evaluations, no provisions for impairment were recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 related to our investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates.

Goodwill

The excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired (including identified intangible assets) and liabilities assumed was recorded as goodwill. Goodwill has been recognized and allocated to specific properties in our Retail and Other Segment since each individual rental property or each operating property is an operating segment and considered a reporting unit. The generally accepted accounting principles related to goodwill and other intangible assets states that goodwill should be tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. We perform this test by first comparing the estimated Fair Value of each property with our book value of the property, including, if applicable, its allocated portion of aggregate goodwill. We assess Fair Value based on estimated future cash flow projections that utilize discount and capitalization rates which are generally unobservable in the market place (Level 3 inputs) under these principles, but approximate the inputs we believe would be utilized by market participants in assessing fair value. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions. If the carrying amount of a property, including its goodwill, exceeds its estimated Fair Value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. In this second step, if the implied Fair Value of

goodwill is less than the carrying amount of goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, there were no events or changes in circumstances that would indicate that the current carrying amount of goodwill might be impaired; accordingly, we did not perform interim testing procedures. As of June 30, 2009, we performed interim impairment tests of goodwill as changes in current market and economic conditions during the first and second quarter of 2009 indicated an impairment of the asset might have occurred. As a result of the procedures performed, we recorded provisions for impairment of goodwill of \$19.4 million and \$128.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as presented in the table below.

General

Certain of our properties had Fair Values less than their carrying amounts. However, based on the Company s plans with respect to those properties, we believe that the carrying amounts are recoverable and therefore, under applicable generally accepted accounting principles guidance, no additional impairments were taken. Nonetheless, due to the uncertain economic environment, as well as other uncertainties, or if our plans regarding our assets change, additional impairment charges in the future could result. Therefore, we can provide no assurance that material impairment charges with respect to operating properties, Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, developments in progress, property held for development and sale or goodwill will not occur in future periods. Accordingly, we will continue to monitor circumstances and events in future periods to determine whether additional impairments are warranted.

Impaired Asset	Location	Method of Determining Fair Value		ee Months Ended June 30, 2010	 Months Ended June 30, 2010
Impaneu Asset	g ,		June 30, 2010 (In thousai	June 30, 2010	
Retail and other:					
Operating properties:					
Bay City Mall	Bay City, MI	Discounted cash flow analysis	\$	2,309	\$ 2,309
Chico Mall	Chico, CA	Discounted cash flow analysis		895	895
Eagle Ridge Mall	Lake Wales, FL	Discounted cash flow analysis		266	266
Lakeview Square	Battle Creek, MI	Discounted cash flow analysis		7,057	7,057
Moreno Valley Mall	Moreno Valley, CA	Discounted cash flow analysis		6,608	6,608
Northgate Mall	Chattanooga, TN	Discounted cash flow analysis		1,398	1,398
Oviedo Marketplace	Oviedo, FL	Discounted cash flow analysis		1,184	1,184
The Pines	Pine Bluff, AR	Direct Capitalization method			11,057
Total operating properties			\$	19,717	\$ 30,774
Various pre-development					
costs		(2)		206	499
Total Provisions for					
impairment			\$	19,923	\$ 31,273

Impaired Asset	Location	Method of Determining Fair Value	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 (In thou	Ju	Ionths Ended ne 30, 2009
Retail and other:					
Operating properties:					
Owings Mills Mall	Owings Mills, MD	Discounted cash flow analysis	\$	\$	40,308
River Falls Mall	Clarksville, IN	Discounted cash flow analysis			81,114
Total operating properties			\$	\$	121,422
Development:					
Allen Towne Mall	Allen, TX	Projected sales price analysis (1)			24,166
Redlands Promenade	Redlands, CA	Projected sales price analysis (1)			6,747
Total development			\$	\$	30,913

Various pre-development				
costs		(2)	7,104	23,703
Goodwill		(3)	19,361	128,750
Total Retail and other			\$ 26,465	\$ 304,788
Master Planned				
Communities:				
Fairwood Master Planned				
Community	Columbia, MD	Projected sales price analysis (1)		52,769
Nouvelle at Natick	Natick, MA	Discounted cash flow analysis	55,923	55,923
Total Master Planned				
Communities			\$ 55,923	\$ 108,692
Total Provisions for				
impairment			\$ 82,388	\$ 413,480

⁽¹⁾ Projected sales price analysis incorporates available market information and other management assumptions.

Noncontrolling Interests

Generally, the holders of the Common Units share equally with our common stockholders on a per share basis in any distributions by the Operating Partnership. However, the Operating Partnership agreement permits

⁽²⁾ Related to the write down of various pre-development costs that were determined to be non-recoverable due to the related projects being terminated.

⁽³⁾ These impairments were primarily driven by continued increases in capitalization rate assumptions during 2009 and reduced estimates of NOI, primarily due to the impact of decline in the retail market on our operations.

Table of Contents

distributions solely to GGP if such distributions are required to allow GGP to comply with the REIT distribution requirements or to avoid the imposition of excise tax. Under certain circumstances, the conversion rate for each Common Unit is adjusted to give effect to stock distributions. Under certain circumstances, the Common Units (other than Common Units held by the parties to the Rights Agreement dated July 27, 1993, as described below) can be redeemed at the option of the holders for cash or, at our election, shares of GGP common stock. Upon receipt of a request for redemption by a holder of such Common Units, the Company, as general partner of the Operating Partnership, has the option to pay the redemption price for such Common Units with shares of common stock of the Company (subject to certain conditions), or in cash, with a cash redemption price calculated based upon the market price of one share of common stock of the Company at the time of redemption. Parties to the Rights Agreement dated July 27, 1993 (the Rights Agreement) have the right to redeem the Common Units covered by such agreement for shares of GGP Common Stock.

All prior requests for redemption of Common Units have been fulfilled with shares of the Company s common stock. Notwithstanding this historical practice, the aggregate amount of cash that would have been paid to the holders of the outstanding Common Units as of June 30, 2010 if such holders had requested redemption of the Common Units as of June 30, 2010, and all such Common Units were redeemed (or purchased in the case of the Rights Agreement) for cash, would have been \$97.9 million. As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, we currently cannot redeem Common Units for cash or shares of GGP common stock. In addition, the conditions necessary to issue GGP common stock upon redemption of Common Units are not currently satisfied. Under the Plan, holders of the Common Units have the right to elect to either receive common units of the reorganized GGPLP (with redemption rights to New GGP) or to redeem or convert their Common Units on the Effective Date. Generally accepted accounting principals provide that the redeemable noncontrolling interests are to be presented in our Consolidated Balance Sheets at the greater of Fair Value (the conversion value of the units based on the stock price) or the carrying amount of the units. The applicable stock price was \$13.26 and \$11.56 per share at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Accordingly, the redeemable noncontrolling interests have been presented at Fair Value at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

The following table reflects the activity of the redeemable noncontrolling interests for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	(In	thousands)
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$	499,925
Net loss		(9,545)
Distributions		(4,670)
Conversion of Operating Partnership units into common shares		(324,488)
Other comprehensive income		9,831
Adjustment for noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership		(12,128)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$	158,925
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$	206,833
Net income		3,159
Distributions		(4,659)
Other comprehensive loss		(5)
Adjustment for noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership		13,279
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$	218,607

On January 2, 2009, MB Capital Units LLC, pursuant to the Rights Agreement, converted 42,350,000 Common Units (approximately 13% of all outstanding Common Units, including those owned by GGP) held in the Company s Operating Partnership into 42,350,000 shares of GGP common stock.

The Operating Partnership has also issued Convertible Preferred Units, which are convertible, with certain restrictions, at any time by the holder into Common Units of the Operating Partnership at the following rates (subject to adjustment):

Table of Contents

Number of Common Units for each Preferred Unit
3.000

Series B	3.000
Series D	1.508
Series E	1.298

Fair Value Measurements

Fair Value is defined as the price that would be received to sell or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The accounting principles for Fair Value measurements establish a three-tier Fair Value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring Fair Value. These tiers include:

- Level 1 defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2 defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and
- Level 3 defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The asset or liability Fair Value measurement level within the Fair Value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the Fair Value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Any Fair Values utilized or disclosed in our consolidated financial statements were developed for the purpose of complying with the accounting principles established for Fair Value measurements. The Fair Values of our assets or liabilities for enterprise value in our Chapter 11 Cases or as a component of our reorganization plan (Note 1) may reflect differing assumptions and methodologies. These estimates will be subject to a number of approvals and reviews and therefore may be materially different.

As of June 30, 2010, our derivative financial instruments and our investments in marketable securities are immaterial to our consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes our assets and liabilities that are measured at Fair Value on a nonrecurring basis:

	Total Fair Value Measurement		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable		Total (Loss) Gain Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		Six I	al (Loss) Gain Months Ended ine 30, 2010
Investments in real estate: (1)										
Bay City Mall	\$	23,950	\$	\$	\$	23,950	\$	(2,309)	\$	(2,309)
Chico Mall		54,000				54,000		(895)		(895)
Eagle Ridge Mall		26,600				26,600		(266)		(266)
Lakeview Square		25,900				25,900		(7,057)		(7,057)
Moreno Valley Mall		71,000				71,000		(6,608)		(6,608)
Northgate Mall		24,000				24,000		(1,398)		(1,398)
Oviedo Marketplace		32,840				32,840		(1,184)		(1,184)
The Pines Mall		4,100				4,100				(11,057)
Total investments in real										
estate	\$	262,390	\$	\$	\$	262,390	\$	(19,717)	\$	(30,774)

Debt:					
Fair Value of emerged entity					
mortgage debt (2)	\$ 9,331,899	\$ \$	\$ 9,331,899	\$ 2,038	\$ 177,716
Special consideration					
properties mortgage debt (3)	587,590		587,590		69,346
Total liabilities	\$ 9,919,489	\$ \$	\$ 9,919,489	\$ 2,038	\$ 247,062

⁽¹⁾ The Fair Value was calculated using the direct capitalization method.

Of the Emerged Debtors, as of June 30, 2010 we have identified 13 properties (the Special Consideration Properties) as underperforming retail assets. Pursuant to the terms of the agreements with the lenders for these properties, the Debtors have until two days following emergence of the TopCo Debtors to determine whether the collateral property for these loans should be deeded to the respective lender or the property should be retained with further modified loan terms. Prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, all cash produced by the property is under the control of respective lenders and we are required to pay any operating expense shortfall. In addition, prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, the respective lender can change the manager of the property or put the property in receivership and GGP has the right to deed the property to the lender.

Generally accepted accounting principles state that an entity may choose to elect the Fair Value option for an eligible item only on the date of the event that requires Fair Value measurement. As each of the Special Consideration Properties emerged from bankruptcy, we elected to measure and report the mortgages related these properties at Fair Value from the date of emergence because the Debtor entities of the Special Consideration Properties have the right to return the properties to the lenders in full satisfaction of the related debt. Accordingly, the Fair Value of the mortgage liability should not exceed the Fair Value of the underlying

⁽²⁾ The Fair Value of debt relates to the 91 properties that emerged from bankruptcy during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

⁽³⁾ The Special Consideration Properties are fair valued on a quarterly basis.

Table of Contents

property. See our disclosure of Impairment Operating properties, land held for development and sale and developments in progress for more detail regarding the methodology used in determining the Fair Value of these properties.

The following is a summary of the components of our debt that was eligible for the Fair Value option, and similar items that were not eligible for the Fair Value option at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	June 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
	(In th	ousands)	
Debt related to Special Consideration Properties (elected for Fair Value option)	\$ 587,590	\$	316,966
Similar eligible debt (not elected for Fair Value option)	3,094,755		4,233,747
Debt not eligible for Fair Value option	13,565,684		3,010,301
Market rate adjustments	(439,027)		(260,242)
Total Mortgages, notes and loans payable, not subject to compromise	\$ 16,809,002	\$	7,300,772

Of the Special Consideration Properties, five of the properties had emerged as of December 31, 2009 for which we recorded a gain in reorganization items of \$54.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The remaining eight properties emerged during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The gain in reorganization items for the eight Special Consideration Properties was \$69.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Subsequent to the emergence from bankruptcy, we are required to determine the Fair Value of the mortgage loans related to the Special Consideration Properties quarterly, so long as we hold the Special Consideration Properties. Any change in the Fair Value of the mortgages related to all of the Special Consideration Properties will be recorded in interest expense in the quarter in which such change occurs. We have exercised our right with respect to the Eagle Ridge Mall, Oviedo Marketplace and Northgate Mall properties to satisfy the respective mortgage loans by deeding such properties to such lenders. When such deed transfers occur, no significant gain or loss is expected to result since we have recorded the Fair Value of the mortgages related to these properties.

The unpaid debt balance, Fair Value estimates, Fair Value measurements, gain (in reorganization items) and interest expense for the three months ended and six months ended June 30, 2010 with respect to the Special Consideration Properties are as follows:

	Unpaid Baland Spec Conside Prope	ce of ial ration	Estin Sp Consid	Value nate of ecial deration perties	Unob	nificant servable ts (Level 3)	Total Gain for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In thousands	M Ju	otal Gain for the Six onths Ended une 30, 2010	Expert the 'Month	erest nse for Fhree s Ended 60, 2010	Expe the Six En	erest ense for a Months nded 30, 2010
Mortgages, notes and loans payable, not subject to compromise		747,234	\$	587,590	\$	587,590	\$	\$	69,346	\$	(25,875)	\$	(15,485)

A summary of the changes to the carrying value of the debt relate to the Special Consideration Properties reflected the Fair Value measurements discussed above, are as follows:

	_	ne 30, 2010 thousands)
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$	316,966
Additions during the period - Emerged Special Consideration Properties debt		309,307
Balance at March 31, 2010		626,273
Changes in Fair value Special Consideration Properties		(36,124)
Principal payments		(2,559)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$	587,590

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Fair Values of our financial instruments approximate their carrying amount in our financial statements except for debt. Notwithstanding that we do not believe that a fully-functioning market for real property financing exists currently, GAAP guidance requires that management estimate the Fair Value of our debt. However, as a result of the Company s Chapter 11 filing, the Fair Value for the outstanding debt that is included in liabilities subject to compromise in our Consolidated Balance Sheets cannot be reasonably determined at June 30, 2010 as the timing and amounts to be paid are subject to confirmation by the Bankruptcy Court. For the \$16.81 billion of mortgages, notes and loans payable outstanding that are not subject to compromise at June 30, 2010, management s required estimates of Fair Value are presented below. This Fair

Table of Contents

Value was estimated solely for financial statement reporting purposes and should not be used for any other purposes, including to estimate the value of any of the Company securities. We estimated the Fair Value of this debt based on quoted market prices for publicly-traded debt, recent financing transactions (which may not be comparable), estimates of the Fair Value of the property that serves as collateral for such debt, historical risk premiums for loans of comparable quality, current London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), a widely quoted market interest rate which is frequently the index used to determine the rate at which we borrow funds and U.S. treasury obligation interest rates, and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made on such debt. The discount rates estimated reflect our judgment as to what the approximate current lending rates for loans or groups of loans with similar maturities and credit quality would be if credit markets were operating efficiently and assume that the debt is outstanding through maturity. We have utilized market information as available or present value techniques to estimate the amounts required to be disclosed, or, in the case of the Emerged Debtors, recorded due to GAAP bankruptcy emergence guidance. Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions and do not acknowledge transfer or other repayment restrictions that may exist in specific loans, it is unlikely that the estimated Fair Value of any of such debt could be realized by immediate settlement of the obligation.

	June 30, 2010							
		Carrying Amount		Estimated Fair Value				
		(In thousands)						
Fixed-rate debt	\$	14,404,970	\$	14,899,790				
Variable-rate debt		2,404,032		2,408,124				
	\$	16,809,002	\$	17,307,914				

Derivative Financial Instruments

As of January 1, 2009, we adopted the generally accepted accounting principles related to disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities which requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the Fair Value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

We use derivative financial instruments to reduce risk associated with movement in interest rates. We may choose or be required by lenders to reduce cash flow and earnings volatility associated with interest rate risk exposure on variable-rate borrowings and/or forecasted fixed-rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swaps or interest rate caps. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. During the first quarter of 2009, our interest rate swaps no longer qualified as highly effective and therefore no longer qualified for hedge accounting treatment as the Company made the decision not to pay future settlement payments under such swaps. As a result of the terminations of the swaps, we incurred termination fees of \$34.8 million. Accordingly, we reduced the liability associated with these derivative financial instruments during the first and second quarter of 2009 (included in interest expense in our consolidated financial statements) which for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 resulted in a reduction in interest expense of \$14.6 million and \$27.7 million, respectively. As the interest payments on the hedged debt remain probable, the net balance in the gain or loss in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income of \$(27.7) million that existed as of December 31, 2008 is amortized to interest expense as the hedged forecasted transactions impact earnings or are deemed probable not to occur. The amortization of the accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income resulted in additional interest expense of \$4.5 million and \$9.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009.

Under interest rate cap agreements, we make initial premium payments to the counterparties in exchange for the right to receive payments from them if interest rates exceed specified levels during the agreement period. Notional principal amounts are used to express the volume of these transactions, but the cash requirements and amounts subject to credit risk are substantially less. We had no interest rate cap derivatives for our Consolidated Properties as of June 30, 2010 while as of June 30, 2009, we had three outstanding interest rate cap derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk with a notional value of \$967.5 million.

Parties to interest rate exchange agreements are subject to market risk for changes in interest rates and risk of credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty. We do not require any collateral under these

Table of Contents

agreements, but deal only with well known financial institution counterparties (which, in certain cases, are also the lenders on the related debt) and expect that all counterparties will meet their obligations.

We have not recognized any losses as a result of hedge discontinuance and the expense that we recognized related to changes in the time value of interest rate cap agreements were insignificant for the six months ended June, 30 2010 and 2009.

Revenue Recognition and Related Matters

Minimum rent revenues are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases. Minimum rent revenues also include amounts collected from tenants to allow the termination of their leases prior to their scheduled termination dates and accretion related to above and below-market tenant leases on acquired properties. Termination income recognized was \$6.6 million and \$16.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$9.3 million and \$16.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Net accretion related to above and below-market tenant leases was \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$3.1 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Straight-line rent receivables, which represent the current net cumulative rents recognized prior to when billed and collectible as provided by the terms of the leases, of \$273.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$254.7 million as of December 31, 2009, are included in Accounts and notes receivable, net in our consolidated financial statements.

Percentage rent in lieu of fixed minimum rent received from tenants was \$18.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$32.3 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$13.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$24.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and is included in Minimum Rents in our consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2010, there have been 128 unit closings of sales at our 215 unit Nouvelle at Natick residential condominium project. As the threshold for profit recognition on such sales has been achieved, the \$52.9 million of sales proceeds received which had been deferred and the related costs of units sold have been recognized on the percentage of completion method within our master planned community segment.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. For example, estimates and assumptions have been made with respect to useful lives of assets, capitalization of development and leasing costs, provision for income taxes, recoverable amounts of receivables and deferred taxes, initial valuations and related amortization periods of deferred costs and intangibles, particularly with respect to acquisitions, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, Fair Value of debt of the Emerged Debtors and cost ratios and completion percentages used for land sales. Actual results could differ from these and other estimates.

Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Information related to our EPS calculations is summarized as follows:

Table of Contents

	20-	Three Months I	Ended J	une 30, 20			
	Basic 201	LU	Diluted	Basic)9	Diluted
			(In thou	isands)			
Numerators:							
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (117,527)	\$	(117,527)	\$	(158,581)	\$	(158,581)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	1		1		179		179
Loss from continuing operations - net of							
noncontrolling interests	(117,526)		(117,526)		(158,402)		(158,402)
Net loss	(117,527)		(117,527)		(158,581)		(158,581)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	1		1		179		179
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (117,526)	\$	(117,526)	\$	(158,402)	\$	(158,402)
Denominators:							
Weighted average number of common shares							
outstanding - basic and diluted	317,363		317,363		312,337		312,337
Effect of dilutive securities							
Weighted average number of common shares							
——————————————————————————————————————	317.363		317.363		312,337		312,337
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	317,363		317,363		312,337		312,337

			Six Months E	nded Jui	ne 30,		
	20	10			20	09	
	Basic		Diluted		Basic		Diluted
			(In tho	usands)			
Numerators:							
Loss from continuing operations \$	(61,687)	\$	(61,687)	\$	(562,725)	\$	(562,725)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	(4,184)		(4,184)		8,297		8,297
Loss from continuing operations - net of							
noncontrolling interests	(65,871)		(65,871)		(554,428)		(554,428)
Discontinued operations - loss on dispositions					(55)		(55)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests					2		2
Discontinued operations - net of							
noncontrolling interests					(53)		(53)
Net loss	(61,687)		(61,687)		(562,780)		(562,780)
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	(4,184)		(4,184)		8,299		8,299
Net loss attributable to common stockholders \$	(65,871)	\$	(65,871)	\$	(554,481)	\$	(554,481)
Denominators:							
Weighted average number of common shares							
outstanding - basic and diluted	316,572		316,572		311,606		311,606
Effect of dilutive securities							
Weighted average number of common shares							
outstanding - basic and diluted	316,572		316,572		311,606		311,606

All options, which totaled 3,253,419 shares as of June 30, 2010 and 4,242,100 as of June 30, 2009, were excluded from diluted EPS as they would have an anti-dilutive effect. Outstanding Common Units have also been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation because including such Common Units would also require that the share of GGPLP income attributable to such Common Units be added back to net

income therefore resulting in no effect on EPS. In addition, the impact of the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Notes that were issued in April 2007 is also excluded from EPS because while the conditions for exchange were met as of June 30, 2009 and 2010, as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, the holders of such notes are stayed from exercising such exchange rights absent an order from the Bankruptcy Court. Finally, the effect of the Interim Warrants (Note 1) has been excluded as the conditions for exercise of such warrants were not satisfied at June 30, 2010 and we expect that such Interim Warrants will be terminated upon effectiveness of the Plan.

Debt Market Rate Adjustments

We record market rate adjustments related to our mortgages, notes and loans payable primarily for debt of the Debtors upon emergence from bankruptcy, with the exception of the Special Consideration Properties. Such debt market rate adjustments are recorded based on the estimated Fair Value of the debt at the time of emergence and are recorded within mortgages, notes and loans payable on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The debt market rate adjustments are amortized as interest expense over the remaining term of the loans.

Table of Contents

Transactions with Affiliates

Management fees and other corporate revenues primarily represent management and leasing fees, development fees, financing fees and fees for other ancillary services performed for the benefit of certain of the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates and for properties owned by third parties. Fees earned from the Unconsolidated Properties totaled \$14.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$29.8 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$15.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$34.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Such fees are recognized as revenue when earned.

Table of Contents

NOTE 2 INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table summarizes our intangible assets and liabilities:

	Gross Asset (Liability)	`	Accumulated Amortization)/ Accretion (In thousands)	Net Carrying Amount
As of June 30, 2010				
Tenant leases:				
In-place value	\$ 482,721	\$	(303,376)	\$ 179,345
Above-market	75,219		(46,355)	28,864
Below-market	(127,552)		72,821	(54,731)
Ground leases:				
Above-market	(16,968)		2,660	(14,308)
Below-market	271,602		(32,864)	238,738
Real estate tax stabilization agreement	91,879		(22,234)	69,645
As of December 31, 2009				
Tenant leases:				
In-place value	\$ 539,257	\$	(335,310)	\$ 203,947
Above-market	94,194		(59,855)	34,339
Below-market	(149,978)		86,688	(63,290)
Ground leases:				
Above-market	(16,968)		2,423	(14,545)
Below-market	271,602		(29,926)	241,676
Real estate tax stabilization agreement	91,879		(20,272)	71,607

The gross asset balances of the in-place value of tenant leases are included in Buildings and equipment in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The above-market and below-market tenant and ground leases are included in Prepaid expenses and other assets and Accounts payable and accrued expenses (Note 7) in our consolidated financial statements. The decrease in the gross asset (liability) accounts at June 30, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 is primarily due to the write-off of fully amortized assets/(liabilities) in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Amortization/accretion of these intangible assets and liabilities, and similar assets and liabilities from our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates at our share, decreased our income (excluding the impact of noncontrolling interests and the provision for income taxes) by \$14.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010; \$8.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$22.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Future amortization, including our share of such items from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, is estimated to decrease net income (excluding the impact of noncontrolling interests and the provision for income taxes) by approximately \$58.5 million in 2010, \$43.7 million in 2011, \$36.3 million in 2012, \$30.3 million in 2013 and \$31.0 million in 2014.

NOTE 3 UNCONSOLIDATED REAL ESTATE AFFILIATES

The Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates include our noncontrolling investments in real estate joint ventures. Generally, we share in the profits and losses, cash flows and other matters relating to our investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates in accordance with our respective ownership percentages. We manage most of the properties owned by these joint ventures. As we have joint interest and control of these ventures with our venture partners and they have substantive participating rights in such ventures, we account for these joint ventures using the equity method. Some of the joint ventures have elected to be taxed as REITs. As described in Note 1, at June 30, 2010, we have two joint venture investments located outside the U.S. These investments, with an aggregate carrying amount of \$228.5 million at June 30, 2010 and \$214.4 million at December 31, 2009, are managed by the respective joint venture partners in each country. As we also have substantial participation rights with respect to these international joint ventures, we account for them on the equity method. Lastly, during March 2010, we closed on the sale of our Costa Rica investment for \$7.5 million, yielding a gain of \$0.9 million.

Table of Contents

Generally, we anticipate that the 2010 operations of our joint venture properties will support the operational cash needs of the properties, including debt service payments, with the exception of two properties (Silver City and Montclair) owned by our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates with approximately \$393.5 million of non-recourse secured mortgage debt, of which our share is \$198.1 million, that we have identified as underperforming assets. With respect to each of the properties owned by such Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, all cash produced by such properties are under the control of the applicable lender. In the event we are unable to satisfactorily modify the terms of each of the loans associated with these properties, the collateral property for any such loan we are unable to satisfactorily restructure may be deeded to the respective lender in full satisfaction of the related debt.

On May 3, 2010, the joint venture that owned the Highland Mall located in Austin, Texas conveyed the property to the lender in full satisfaction of the non-recourse mortgage loan secured by the property. Such conveyance yielded to the Highland joint venture a gain on forgiveness of debt of approximately \$55 million. Our allocable share of such gain was approximately \$27 million, with such gain yielding an equal increase in our investment account. Immediately subsequent to the conveyance, GGP wrote-off the balance of its investment in Highland, yielding a nominal net gain on our investment in such joint venture.

In June and July 2009 we made capital contributions of \$28.7 million and \$57.5 million, respectively, to fund our portion of \$172.2 million of joint venture mortgage debt which had reached maturity. As of June 30, 2010, \$6.25 billion of indebtedness was secured by our Unconsolidated Properties, our proportionate share of which was \$2.94 billion. There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance or restructure such debt on acceptable terms or otherwise, or that joint venture operations or contributions by us and/or our partners will be sufficient to repay such loans.

In certain circumstances, we have debt obligations in excess of our pro rata share of the debt of our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates (Retained Debt). This Retained Debt represents distributed debt proceeds of the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates in excess of our pro rata share of the non-recourse mortgage indebtedness of such Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates. The proceeds of the Retained Debt which are distributed to us are included as a reduction in our investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates. Such Retained Debt totaled \$156.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$158.2 million as of December 31, 2009, and has been reflected as a reduction in our investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates. We are obligated to contribute funds to our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates in amounts of sufficient to pay debt service on such Retained Debt. If we do not contribute such funds, our distributions from such Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, or our interest in, could be reduced to the extent of such deficiencies. As of June 30, 2010, we do not anticipate an inability to perform on our obligations with respect to such Retained Debt.

In certain other circumstances, the Company, in connection with the debt obligations of certain Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, has agreed to provide supplemental guarantees or master-lease commitments to provide to the debt holders additional credit-enhancement or security. As of June 30, 2010, we do not expect to be required to perform pursuant to any of such supplemental credit-enhancement provisions for our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, either due to estimates of the current obligations represented by such provisions or as a result of the protections afforded us through our Chapter 11 Cases.

On January 29, 2010, our Brazilian joint venture, Aliansce Shopping Centers S.A. (Aliansce), commenced trading on the Brazilian Stock Exchange, or BM&FBovespa, as a result of an initial public offering of Aliansce s common shares in Brazil (the Aliansce IPO). Although we did not sell any of our Aliansce shares in the Aliansce IPO, our ownership interest in Aliansce was diluted from 49% to approximately 31% as a result of the stock sold in the Aliansce IPO. We will continue to apply the equity method of accounting to our ownership interest in Aliansce. Generally accepted accounting principles state that as an equity method investor, we need to account for the shares issued by Aliansce as if we had sold a proportionate share of our investment at the issuance price per share of the Aliansce IPO. Accordingly, we recognized a gain of \$15.3 million in the first quarter of March 31, 2010 which is reflected in equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates. Based on updated prior period and current 2010 information received from Aliansce in the second quarter 2010, we have reduced by approximately \$6.0 million in

the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

On August 4, 2010, we agreed to sell our entire interest in one of our joint ventures to our venture partner. Consummation of the transaction is subject to customary closing procedures, documentation and regulatory approvals. We do not expect this transaction to have a material impact to our financial statements.

The significant accounting policies used by the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates are the same as ours.

Condensed Combined Financial Information of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates

Following is summarized financial information for our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Certain amounts in the 2009 condensed combined financial information have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

25

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2010	1	December 31, 2009	
	(In tho	usands)		
Condensed Combined Balance Sheets - Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates				
Assets:		_		
Land	\$ 898,096	\$	901,387	
Buildings and equipment	7,952,999		7,924,577	
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,774,258)		(1,691,362)	
Developments in progress	279,020		333,537	
Net property and equipment	7,355,857		7,468,139	
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	569,848		452,291	
Investment property and property held for development and sale	247,488		266,253	
Net investment in real estate	8,173,193		8,186,683	
Cash and cash equivalents	542,424		275,018	
Accounts and notes receivable, net	205,578		226,385	
Deferred expenses, net	191,721		197,663	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	173,010		209,568	
Total assets	\$ 9,285,926	\$	9,095,317	
Liabilities and Owners Equity:				
Mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$ 6,252,434	\$	6,358,718	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	481,864		490,814	
Owners equity	2,551,628		2,245,785	
Total liabilities and owners equity	\$ 9,285,926	\$	9,095,317	
Investment In and Loans To/From Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, Net:				
Owners equity	\$ 2,551,628	\$	2,245,785	
Less joint venture partners equity	(2,225,066)		(1,935,689)	
Capital or basis differences and loans	1,624,684		1,630,928	
Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, net	\$ 1,951,246	\$	1,941,024	
Reconciliation - Investment In and Loans To/From Unconsolidated Real Estate				
Affiliates:				
Asset - Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	\$ 1,991,782	\$	1,979,313	
Liability - Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates	(40,536)		(38,289)	
Investment in and loans to/from Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, net	\$ 1,951,246	\$	1,941,024	
26				
20				

Table of Contents

	Three Months 1	Ended J	June 30, 2009	Six Months E	nded Ju	ne 30, 2009
	(In thou	ısands)	2009		usands)	2009
Condensed Combined Statements of Income - Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates						
Revenues:						
Minimum rents	\$ 187,531	\$	190,136 \$	379,297	\$	379,796
Tenant recoveries	79,562		82,250	162,880		168,847
Overage rents	2,221		1,500	4,831		3,059
Land sales	25,405		25,560	49,471		35,276
Management and other fees	13,388		9,105	21,630		16,422
Other	22,557		23,584	43,977		46,749
Total revenues	330,664		332,135	662,086		650,149
Expenses:						
Real estate taxes	25,135		24,787	51,293		51,864
Property maintenance costs	9,867		8,994	21,318		19,326
Marketing	2,360		2,606	5,615		5,724
Other property operating costs	55,299		61,287	114,067		125,286
Land sales operations	19,300		17,469	37,666		27,566
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,506		3,715	4,439		6,307
Property management and other costs	20,075		19,245	39,282		38,020
General and administrative *	(900)		5,005	351		13,124
Provisions for impairment	842		3,559	842		6,459
Depreciation and amortization	65,747		66,104	133,600		133,577
Total expenses	199,231		212,771	408,473		427,253
Operating income	131,433		119,364	253,613		222,896
Interest income	6,933		1,628	8,271		3,396
Interest expense	(94,297)		(86,710)	(181,787)		(171,355)
Provision for income taxes	(1,060)		(729)	(790)		(969)
Equity in income of unconsolidated joint						
ventures	11,533		9,454	28,860		17,227
Income from continuing operations	54,542		43,007	108,167		71,195
Discontinued operations - Gain on dispositions	55,099			55,099		
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	181		(638)	39		(925)
Net income attributable to joint venture partners	\$ 109,822	\$	42,369 \$	163,305	\$	70,270
Equity In Income of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates:						
Net income attributable to joint venture partners	\$ 109,822	\$	42,369 \$	163,305	\$	70,270
Joint venture partners share of income	(46,597)		(21,723)	(69,407)		(36,788)
Amortization of capital or basis differences	(10,762)		(4,015)	(22,950)		(9,011)
(Loss) gain on Aliansce IPO	(5,883)			9,383		
Loss on Highland Mall conveyence	(29,679)			(29,679)		
Elimination of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates loan interest			(292)			(594)
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate			(-> -)			(-, -,
Affiliates	\$ 16,901	\$	16,339 \$	50,652	\$	23,877

^{*} Includes (gain) loss on foreign currency.

Following is summarized financial information for GGP/Homart II L.L.C. (GGP/Homart II), GGP-TRS L.L.C. (GGP/Teachers) and The Woodlands Land Development Holdings, L.P. (The Woodlands Partnership). We account for these joint ventures using the equity method because we have joint interest and control of these ventures with our venture partners and they have substantive participating rights in such ventures. For financial reporting purposes, we consider each of these joint ventures to be an individually significant Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliate. Our investment in such affiliates varies from a strict ownership percentage due to capital or basis differences or loans and related amortization.

Table of Contents

GGP/Homart II							
	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009				
	(In thou	ısands)					
\$	229,248	\$	238,164				
	2,784,457		2,783,869				
	(569,585)		(526,985)				
	15,755		5,129				
	2,459,875		2,500,177				
	102,496		70,417				
	46,035		47,843				
	90,904		92,439				
	22,543		20,425				
\$	2,721,853	\$	2,731,301				
\$	2,232,483	\$	2,245,582				
	60,105		63,923				
	429,265		421,796				
\$	2,721,853	\$	2,731,301				
	\$	\$ 229,248 2,784,457 (569,585) 15,755 2,459,875 102,496 46,035 90,904 22,543 \$ 2,721,853 \$ 2,232,483 60,105 429,265	June 30, 2010 (In thousands) \$ 229,248 \$ 2,784,457 (569,585) 15,755 2,459,875 102,496 46,035 90,904 22,543 \$ 2,721,853 \$ \$ 2,232,483 \$ 60,105 429,265				

	GGP/Ho Three Months I 2010			GGP/Ho Six Months Er 2010		
	(In thou	sands	3)	(In thou	sands)	1
Revenues:						
Minimum rents	\$ 59,941	\$	60,482	\$ 121,530	\$	122,107
Tenant recoveries	26,371		26,942	53,102		55,742
Overage rents	237		363	1,052		884
Other	1,720		1,518	3,475		3,476
Total revenues	88,269		89,305	179,159		182,209
Expenses:						
Real estate taxes	8,348		7,453	16,869		16,768
Property maintenance costs	2,972		2,278	6,180		5,183
Marketing	822		1,084	1,884		2,250
Other property operating costs	12,002		12,310	24,643		25,125
Provision for doubtful accounts	395		1,265	1,270		2,001
Property management and other costs	5,630		5,498	11,198		11,260
General and administrative	(6)		85	64		210
Provisions for impairment	725		3,183	725		3,694
Depreciation and amortization	23,347		23,303	48,308		48,051
Total expenses	54,235		56,459	111,141		114,542
Operating income	34,034		32,846	68,018		67,667
Interest income	62		1,277	144		2,620
Interest expense	(30,013)		(31,200)	(60,343)		(61,458)
Provision for income taxes	(186)		(443)	(348)		(549)
Net income	3,897		2,480	7,471		8,280
Allocation to noncontrolling interests	12		(6)	61		(4)
Net income attributable to joint venture partners	\$ 3,909	\$	2,474	\$ 7,532	\$	8,276

Table of Contents

	GGP/Teachers							
		June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009				
		(In thou	sands)					
Assets:								
Land	\$	195,832	\$	195,832				
Buildings and equipment		1,072,969		1,071,748				
Less accumulated depreciation		(169,243)		(153,778)				
Developments in progress		1,413		3,586				
Net investment in real estate		1,100,971		1,117,388				
Cash and cash equivalents		9,878		6,663				
Accounts and notes receivable, net		16,637		17,622				
Deferred expenses, net		41,594		42,941				
Prepaid expenses and other assets		8,718		7,216				
Total assets	\$	1,177,798	\$	1,191,830				
Liabilities and Members Capital:								
Mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$	1,007,959	\$	1,011,700				
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		29,387		32,914				
Members Capital		140,452		147,216				
Total liabilities and members capital	\$	1,177,798	\$	1,191,830				

	GGP/To Three Months I 2010		•	GGP/ Six Months l 2010	Feachers Ended Ju	
	(In thou	usands))	(In the	ousands)	
Revenues:						
Minimum rents	\$ 23,672	\$	25,865	\$ 50,003	\$	52,104
Tenant recoveries	11,795		12,118	24,555		25,011
Overage rents	275		208	629		365
Other	850		570	1,411		1,063
Total revenues	36,592		38,761	76,598		78,543
Expenses:						
Real estate taxes	3,587		3,751	7,455		7,412
Property maintenance costs	981		950	2,418		2,338
Marketing	324		511	823		1,112
Other property operating costs	6,261		5,876	12,258		11,858
Provision for doubtful accounts	160		321	515		951
Property management and other costs	2,187		2,272	4,430		4,569
General and administrative			70			134
Provisions for impairment			17			17
Depreciation and amortization	9,072		9,351	18,636		19,591
Total expenses	22,572		23,119	46,535		47,982
Operating income	14,020		15,642	30,063		30,561
Interest income	1		1	2		3
Interest expense	(14,210)		(13,687)	(28,275)		(27,331)
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes	(5)		(40)	757		(42)
Net (loss) income attributable to joint venture			ì			
partners	\$ (194)	\$	1,916	\$ 2,547	\$	3,191

29

Table of Contents

	The Woodlands Partnership					
		June 30, 2010]	December 31, 2009		
		(In thou	sands)			
Assets:						
Land	\$	19,841	\$	19,841		
Buildings and equipment		130,303		101,119		
Less accumulated depreciation		(15,818)		(14,105)		
Developments in progress		4,690		31,897		
Investment property and property held for development and sale		247,488		266,253		
Net investment in real estate		386,504		405,005		
Cash and cash equivalents		41,267		30,373		
Accounts and notes receivable, net		6,549		4,660		
Deferred expenses, net		179		593		
Prepaid expenses and other assets		38,053		30,275		
Total assets	\$	472,552	\$	470,906		
Liabilities and Owners Equity:						
Mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$	277,686	\$	281,964		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		(36)		629		
Owners equity		194,902		188,313		
Total liabilities and owners equity	\$	472,552	\$	470,906		

	The Woodland Three Months E 2010			The Woodlands Partnership Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 2009		
	(In thou	sands)		(In the	ousands)	
Revenues:						
Minimum rents	\$ 1,453	\$	1,606 \$	2,352	\$	2,918
Land sales	25,405		25,560	49,471		35,276
Other	2,639		2,545	4,805		4,825
Total revenues	29,497		29,711	56,628		43,019
Expenses:						
Real estate taxes	496		170	981		261
Property maintenance costs	381		199	92		448
Other property operating costs	3,396		4,279	5,855		8,123
Land sales operations	19,300		17,469	37,666		27,566
Depreciation and amortization	771		709	1,671		1,434
Total expenses	24,344		22,826	46,265		37,832
Operating income	5,153		6,885	10,363		5,187
Interest income	104		148	232		358
Interest expense	(939)		(997)	(1,932)		(1,892)
Provision for income taxes	(193)		(184)	(399)		(268)
Net income attributable to joint venture partners	\$ 4,125	\$	5,852 \$	8,264	\$	3,385

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 MORTGAGES, NOTES AND LOANS PAYABLE

Mortgages, notes and loans payable are summarized as follows:

		June 30, 2010 (In thou	cande)	December 31, 2009
Fixed-rate debt:		(III tilou	sanus)	
Collateralized mortgages, notes and loans payable	\$	14,890,269	\$	15,446,962
Corporate and other unsecured term loans	, ,	3,747,006		3,724,463
		.,,		-,- ,
Total fixed-rate debt		18,637,275		19,171,425
Variable-rate debt:				
Collateralized mortgages, notes and loans payable		2,499,031		2,500,892
Corporate and other unsecured term loans		2,783,700		2,783,700
Total variable-rate debt		5,282,731		5,284,592
Total Mortgages, notes and loans payable		23,920,006		24,456,017
Less: Mortgages, notes and loans payable subject to compromise		(7,111,004)		(17,155,245)
Total mortgages, notes and loans payable not subject to compromise	\$	16,809,002	\$	7,300,772

As previously discussed, on April 16 and 22, 2009, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11, which triggered defaults on substantially all debt obligations of the Debtors. However, under section 362 of Chapter 11, the filing of a bankruptcy petition automatically stays most actions against the debtor s estate. These pre-petition liabilities are subject to settlement under a plan of reorganization, and therefore are presented as Liabilities subject to compromise on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The \$16.81 billion that is not subject to compromise as of June 30, 2010 consists primarily of the collateralized mortgages of the Non-Debtors, the Emerged Debtors and the DIP Facility (defined below).

A total of 255 Debtors owning 141 properties with \$14.71 billion of secured mortgage debt emerged from bankruptcy as of June 30, 2010. Of the Emerged Debtors, 142 Debtors owning 91 properties with \$10.05 billion of secured mortgage debt emerged from bankruptcy during the six months ended June 30, 2010, while 113 Debtors owning 50 properties with \$4.66 billion secured debt had emerged from bankruptcy as of December 31, 2009. The plans of reorganization for such Emerged Debtors provided for, in exchange for payment of certain extension fees and cure of previously unpaid amounts due on the applicable mortgage loans (primarily, principal amortization otherwise scheduled to have been paid since the Petition Date), the extension of the secured mortgage loans at previously existing non-default interest rates. As a result of the extensions, none of these loans will have a maturity prior to January 1, 2014 and the weighted average remaining duration of the secured loans associated with these properties as of June 30, 2010 is 5.65 years. In conjunction with these extensions, certain financial and operating covenants and guarantees were created or reinstated, all to be effective with the bankruptcy emergence of the Topco Debtors.

Also in conjunction with these extensions, the Special Consideration Properties have until two days following emergence of the TopCo Debtors to determine whether the collateral property should be deeded to the respective lender or the property should be retained with further modified loan terms. Prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, the lenders related to the Special Consideration Properties control all cash produced by the property and we are required to pay any operating expense shortfall. In addition, prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, the respective lender can change the manager of the property or put the property in receivership and GGP has an unrestricted right to deed the property to the lender. As of June 30, 2010, the 13 Special Consideration Properties with \$747.2 million in secured debt have emerged from bankruptcy. As

described in Note 2, we have already determined to deed three of the Special Consideration Properties to the lenders.

The weighted-average interest rate (including the effects of interest rate swaps for December 31, 2009), excluding the effects of deferred finance costs and using the contract rate prior to any defaults on such loans, on our collateralized mortgages, notes and loans payable was 5.44% at June 30, 2010 and 5.31% at December 31, 2009. The weighted average interest rate, using the contract rate prior to any defaults on such loans, on the remaining corporate unsecured fixed and variable rate debt and the revolving credit facility was 4.26% at June 30, 2010 and 4.24% at December 31, 2009. With respect to those loans and Debtors that remain in bankruptcy at June 30, 2010, we are currently recognizing interest expense on our loans based on contract rates in effect

Table of Contents

prior to bankruptcy as the Bankruptcy Court has ruled that interest payments based on such contract rates constitutes adequate protection to the secured lenders.

Collateralized Mortgages, Notes and Loans Payable

As of June 30, 2010, \$24.39 billion of land, buildings and equipment and developments in progress (before accumulated depreciation) have been pledged as collateral for our mortgages, notes and loans payable. Certain of these secured loans, representing \$3.30 billion of debt, are cross-collateralized with other properties. Although substantially all of the \$17.39 billion of fixed and variable rate collateralized mortgages, notes and loans payable are non-recourse, \$2.79 billion of such mortgages, notes and loans payable are recourse due to guarantees or other security provisions for the benefit of the note holder. Enforcement of substantially all of these security provisions are stayed by our Chapter 11 Cases. In addition, certain mortgage loans as of June 30, 2010 contain other credit enhancement provisions (primarily master leases for all or a portion of the property) which have been provided by Topco Debtors upon which we do not expect to be required to perform during the pendency of our Chapter 11 Cases. Certain mortgage notes payable may be prepaid but are generally subject to a prepayment penalty equal to a yield-maintenance premium, defeasance or a percentage of the loan balance.

Corporate and Other Unsecured Loans

The TopCo Debtors have certain unsecured debt obligations, the terms of which are described below. Plan treatment for each of these obligations is also described below.

In April 2007, GGPLP sold \$1.55 billion aggregate principal amount of 3.98% Exchangeable Notes. Interest on the Exchangeable Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning October 15, 2007. The Exchangeable Notes will mature on April 15, 2027 unless previously redeemed by GGPLP, repurchased by GGPLP or exchanged in accordance with their terms prior to such date. Prior to April 15, 2012, we will not have the right to redeem the Exchangeable Notes, except to preserve our status as a REIT. On or after April 15, 2012, we may redeem for cash all or part of the Exchangeable Notes at any time, at 100% of the principal amount of the Exchangeable Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. On each of April 15, 2012, April 15, 2017 and April 15, 2022, holders of the Exchangeable Notes may require us to repurchase the Exchangeable Notes, in whole or in part, for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of Exchangeable Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The Exchangeable Notes are exchangeable for GGP common stock or a combination of cash and common stock, at our option, upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, and any exchange currently is stayed by our Chapter 11 Cases. The exchange rate for each \$1,000 principal amount of the Exchangeable Notes is 11.45 shares of GGP common stock, which is subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The Plan provides that the holders of the Exchangeable Notes will be reinstated unless they elect to be paid in full in cash at par plus accrued interest at the stated non-default rate.. If 100% of the holders of the Exchangeable Notes not held by the Plan Sponsors are reinstated, approximately \$526 million of such notes would remain outstanding.

The 2006 Credit Facility provides for a \$2.85 billion term loan (the Term Loan) and a \$650.0 million revolving credit facility. However, as of June 30, 2010, \$1.99 billion of the Term Loan and \$390.0 million of the revolving credit facility was outstanding under the 2006 Credit Facility and no further amounts were available to be drawn due to our Chapter 11 Cases. The 2006 Credit Facility had a scheduled maturity of February 24, 2010, although collection of such amount has been stayed by the Chapter 11 Cases. The interest rate, as of June 30, 2010, was LIBOR plus 1.25 %. The Plan provides for payment in full of 2006 Credit Facility principal and accrued interest.

Concurrently with the 2006 Credit Facility transaction, GGP Capital Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust (the Trust) and a wholly-owned subsidiary of GGPLP, completed a private placement of \$200 million of trust preferred securities (TRUPS). The Trust also issued \$6.2 million of Common Securities to GGPLP. The Trust used the proceeds from the sale of the TRUPS and Common Securities to purchase \$206.2 million of floating rate Junior Subordinated Notes of GGPLP due 2036. Distributions on the TRUPS are equal to LIBOR plus 1.45%. Distributions are cumulative and accrue from the date of original issuance. The TRUPS mature on April 30, 2036, but may be redeemed beginning on April 30, 2011 if the Trust exercises its right to redeem a like amount of the Junior Subordinated Notes. The Junior Subordinated Notes bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.45%. Though the Trust is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GGPLP, we are not the primary beneficiary of the Trust and, accordingly, it is not consolidated for accounting purposes. As a result, we have recorded the Junior

Table of Contents

Subordinated Notes as Mortgages, Notes and Loans Payable and our common equity interest in the Trust as Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The Plan provides for reinstatement of the TRUPS.

In conjunction with the TRC Merger, we assumed certain publicly-traded unsecured bonds which totaled \$2.25 billion at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. In addition, in May 2006 TRCLP sold \$800.0 million of senior unsecured bonds which have a scheduled maturity of May 1, 2013. To the extent such debt has matured, the Plan provides for repayment in full, including accrued interest, with the remaining amount of unmatured debt not held by the Plan Sponsors, approximately \$1.345 billion, to be reinstated.

Debtor-in-Possession Facility

On May 14, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order authorizing certain of the Debtors to enter into a Senior Secured Debtor in Possession Credit, Security and Guaranty Agreement among the Company, as co-borrower, GGP Limited Partnership, as co-borrower, certain of their subsidiaries, as guarantors, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as agent, and the lenders party thereto (the DIP Facility).

The DIP Facility, which closed on May 15, 2009, provided for an aggregate commitment of \$400.0 million (the DIP Term Loan), which was used to refinance the \$215.0 million remaining balance on the short-term secured loan and the remainder of which has been used to provide additional liquidity to the Debtors during the pendency of their Chapter 11 Cases. The DIP Facility provided that principal outstanding on the DIP Term Loan bear interest at an annual rate equal to LIBOR (subject to a minimum LIBOR floor of 1.5%) plus 12%.

Subject to certain conditions being present, the Company had the right to elect to repay all or a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the DIP Term Loan, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon and all exit fees. The DIP Credit Agreement contained customary non-financial covenants, representations and warranties, and events of default.

On June 22, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order authorizing certain of the Debtors to enter into a new Senior Secured Debtor in Possession Credit, Security and Guaranty Agreement among the Company, as co-borrower, GGP Limited Partnership, as co-borrower, certain of their subsidiaries, as guarantors, Barclays Capital, as the sole arranger, Barclay and Bank, PLC, as the Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent and the lenders party thereto (the New DIP Facility).

The New DIP Facility, which closed on July 23, 2010, provides for an aggregate commitment of \$400.0 million (the New DIP Term Loan), which was used to refinance the DIP Term Loan. The New DIP Facility provides that principal outstanding on the New DIP Term Loan bears interest at an annual rate equal to 5.5% and matures at the earlier of May 16, 2011 or the effective date of a plan of reorganization of the Remaining Debtors.

Subject to certain conditions precedent, the Company has the right to elect to repay all or a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the New DIP Term Loan, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon by issuing common stock of the New GGP to the lenders (the Equity Conversion). Any Equity Conversion will be limited to the lenders receipt of New GGP common stock equaling no more than (1) 8.0% of the New GGP common stock distributed in connection with the Debtors plan of reorganization, on a fully-diluted basis, or (2) 9.9% of the New GGP common stock actually distributed in connection with the plan of reorganization on the

Table of Contents

effective date of such plan, without giving effect to common stock held back for the payment of contingencies. The New DIP Credit Agreement contains customary covenants, representations and warranties, and events of default. The Plan provides for the repayment of the New DIP Term Loan in full, including accrued interest.

Letters of Credit and Surety Bonds

We had outstanding letters of credit and surety bonds of \$116.5 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$112.8 million as of December 31, 2009. These letters of credit and bonds were issued primarily in connection with insurance requirements, special real estate assessments and construction obligations.

NOTE 5 INCOME TAXES

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with our taxable year beginning January 1, 1993. We currently intend to maintain our REIT status. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including requirements to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income and to either distribute capital gains to stockholders, or pay corporate income tax on the undistributed capital gains. In addition, we are required to meet certain asset and income tests. In December, 2009, we obtained Bankruptcy Court approval to distribute \$0.19 per share to our stockholders (paid on January 28, 2010) to satisfy such GGP REIT distribution requirements for 2009. The dividend was paid on January 28, 2010 in a combination of \$6.0 million in cash and 4,923,287 shares of common stock (with a valuation of \$10.8455 calculated based on the volume weighted average trading prices of GGP s common stock on January 20, 21 and 22, 2010).

We also have subsidiaries which we have elected to be treated as taxable real estate investment trust subsidiaries and which are therefore subject to federal and state income taxes.

Unrecognized tax benefits recorded pursuant to uncertain tax positions were \$174.5 million and \$104.0 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, excluding interest, of which \$34.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, would impact our effective tax rate. Accrued interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits amounted to \$42.7 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$25.4 million as of December 31, 2009. We recognized an increase of interest expense related to the unrecognized tax benefits of \$15.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010; \$17.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010; \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

We increased previously unrecognized tax benefits related to tax positions taken in prior years, excluding accrued interest, of \$70.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010; all of which decreased our deferred tax liability.

Generally, we are currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ending December 31, 2005 through 2009 and are open to audit by state taxing authorities for years ending December 31, 2004 through 2009.

Two of our taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to IRS audit for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2008, and in connection with such audits, the IRS has proposed changes resulting in \$148.2 million of additional tax. We have disputed the proposed changes and it is the Company s position that the tax law in question has been properly applied and reflected in the 2007 and 2008 returns for these two taxable REIT subsidiaries. We are currently considering a settlement offer from the IRS and cannot predict when these audits will be resolved. We have previously provided for the additional taxes sought by the IRS, through our uncertain tax position liability or deferred tax liabilities. Although we believe our tax returns are correct, the final determination of tax examinations and any related litigation could be different than what was reported on the returns. In the opinion of management, we have made adequate tax provisions for years subject to examination.

Table of Contents

Based on our assessment of the expected outcome of these examinations or examinations that may commence, or as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations for specific jurisdictions, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits, excluding accrued interest, for tax positions taken regarding previously filed tax returns will materially change from those recorded at June 30, 2010. A material change in unrecognized tax benefits could have a material effect on our statements of income and comprehensive income. As of June 30, 2010, there are \$111.0 million of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding accrued interest, which due to the reasons above, could significantly increase or decrease during the next twelve months.

There are certain tax attributes, such as net operating loss carry forwards, that may be limited in the event of an ownership change as defined under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. If an ownership change were to occur, there could be significant valuation allowances placed on deferred tax assets that do not have valuation allowances as of June 30, 2010.

NOTE 6 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Incentive Stock Plans

Prior to the Chapter 11 Cases, we granted qualified and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock grants to attract and retain officers and key employees through the General Growth Properties, Inc. 2003 Incentive Stock Plan (the 2003 Incentive Plan). The 2003 Incentive Plan provides for the issuance of 9,000,000 shares, of which 5,873,359 shares (5,036,627 stock options and 836,732 restricted shares) have been granted as of June 30, 2010 (subject to certain customary adjustments to prevent dilution). Additionally, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee) grants employment inducement awards to senior executives on a discretionary basis, and in the fourth quarter of 2008 granted 1,800,000 stock options to two senior executives. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Compensation Committee granted 100,000 stock options to a senior executive under the 2003 Incentive Plan. Further, as a result of the stock dividend, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of all outstanding options was increased by 58,127 shares in January 2010. Stock options are granted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors at an exercise price of not less than 100% of the Fair Value of our common stock on the date of grant. The other terms of these options were determined by the Compensation Committee.

The following tables summarize stock option activity for the 2003 Incentive Plan as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Shares	2010	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	2009	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Stock options outstanding at January 1	4,241,500	\$	31.63	4,730,000	\$	33.01
Granted	100,000		16.75			
Stock dividend adjustment	58,127		30.32			
Forfeited	(55,870)		64.79	(290,000)		54.66
Expired	(929,840)		44.28	(197,900)		30.84
Stock options outstanding at June 30	3,413,917	\$	26.67	4,242,100	\$	31.63

Stock Options Outstanding

Shares

Shares

Stock Options Exercisable

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

Range of Exercise Prices		Weigh Avera Remair Contrac Tern (in yea	ge iing tual 1	E	Weighted Average xercise Price		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	F	Weighted Average exercise Price
\$ 0 - \$ 6.5810	1,828,369		3.4	\$	3.67	1,828,369	3.4	\$	3.67
\$ 13.1621 - \$ 19.7430	150,788		3.4		16.25	50,788	0.9		15.25
\$ 39.4861 - \$ 46.0670	25,394		0.5		45.91	25,394	0.5		45.91
\$ 46.0671 - \$ 52.6480	698,333		0.6		49.63	698,333	0.6		49.63
\$ 59.2291 - \$ 65.8100	711,033		1.4		64.79	633,511	1.4		64.79
Total	3,413,917		2.4	\$	26.67	3,236,395	2.3	\$	26.06
Intrinsic value (in thousands)	\$ 17,534					\$ 17,534			

Table of Contents

Stock options generally vest 20% at the time of the grants and in 20% annual increments thereafter. The intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable stock options as of June 30, 2010 represents the excess of our closing stock price on that date, \$13.26, over the weighted average exercise price multiplied by the applicable number of shares that may be acquired upon exercise of stock options, and is therefore not presented in the table above if the result is a negative value. The intrinsic value of exercised stock options represents the excess of our stock price, at the time the option was exercised, over the exercise price. No options were exercised in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010. No options were exercised or granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$0.5 million.

Restricted Stock

Pursuant to the 2003 Incentive Plan, we make restricted stock grants to certain employees and non-employee directors. The vesting terms of these grants are specific to the individual grant. The vesting terms vary in that a portion of the shares vest either immediately or on the first anniversary and the remainder vest in equal annual amounts over the next two to five years. Participating employees must remain employed for vesting to occur (subject to certain exceptions in the case of retirement). Shares that do not vest are forfeited. Dividends are paid on stock subject to restrictions and are not returnable, even if the related stock does not ultimately vest.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity for the respective grant years as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Shares	Ave	Weighted erage Grant e Fair Value	Shares	2009 Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value		
Nonvested restricted stock grants outstanding as of							
January 1	275,433	\$	33.04	410,767	\$	41.29	
Granted	90,000		15.14	40,000		1.10	
Canceled	(7,025)		35.56	(66,682)		46.50	
Vested	(121,863)		29.25	(125,707)		37.92	
Nonvested restricted stock grants outstanding as of							
June 30	236,545	\$	28.11	258,378	\$	35.36	

The weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) of nonvested awards as of June 30, 2010 was 1.4 years.

The total Fair Value of restricted stock grants vested during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.7 million while the total Fair Value of restricted stock grants which vested during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.07 million.

Threshold-Vesting Stock Options

Under the 1998 Incentive Stock Plan (the 1998 Incentive Plan), stock incentive awards to employees in the form of threshold-vesting stock options (TSOs) have been granted. The exercise price of the TSO is the Current Market Price (CMP) as defined in the 1998 Incentive Plan of our common stock on the date the TSO is granted. In order for the TSOs to vest, our common stock must achieve and sustain the applicable threshold price for at least 20 consecutive trading days at any time during the five years following the date of grant. Participating employees must remain employed until vesting occurs in order to exercise the options. The threshold price is determined by multiplying the CMP on the

date of grant by an Estimated Annual Growth Rate (7%) and compounding the product over a five-year period. TSOs granted in 2004 and thereafter must be exercised within 30 days of the vesting date. TSOs granted prior to 2004, all of which have vested, have a term of up to 10 years. The 1998 Incentive Plan terminated according to its terms December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2010, a total of 1,746,884 TSOs were outstanding for all grant years.

Other Required Disclosures

Historical data, such as the past performance of our common stock and the length of service by employees, is used to estimate expected life of the stock options, TSOs and our restricted stock and represents the period of time the options or grants are expected to be outstanding. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we granted awards from the 2003 Incentive Plan of which 100,000 stock options were granted to a senior executive, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options was adjusted to reflect 58,127 additional shares and 90,000 restricted shares were issued to certain non-employee directors. No TSOs were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010. 40,000 restricted stock shares were granted to a non-employee director and no stock options or TSOs were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The weighted average estimated values of options granted during 2010 were based on the following assumptions:

Table of Contents

Risk-free interest rate	1.55%
Dividend yield	4.50%
Expected volatility	50.82%
Expected life (in years)	3.0

Compensation expense related to the Incentive Stock Plans, TSOs and restricted stock was \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010; \$6.1 for the six months ended June 30, 2010; \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, total compensation expense which had not yet been recognized related to nonvested options, TSOs and restricted stock grants was \$9.4 million. The provisions of all of our Incentive Stock Plans provide for vesting of all such outstanding unvested restricted stock and options under certain conditions, with such conditions expected to occur on the Effective Date, pursuant to the Plan. Accordingly, all such previously unrecognized expense is expected to be recognized in 2010. Additionally, the Plan provides that all outstanding options to purchase our stock will be converted into vested options to purchase Spinco common stock and New GGP common stock, with appropriate adjustments to the exercise price.

NOTE 7 OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table summarizes the significant components of prepaid expenses and other assets.

	-	ne 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009		
		(In thousands)				
Below-market ground leases (Note 2)	\$	238,738	\$	241,676		
Security and escrow deposits		146,671		99,685		
Receivables - finance leases and bonds		95,625		119,506		
Prepaid expenses		82,059		88,651		
Real estate tax stabilization agreement (Note 2)		69,645		71,607		
Special Improvement District receivable		48,765		48,713		
Above-market tenant leases (Note 2)		28,864		34,339		
Deferred tax, net of valuation allowances		11,900		28,615		
Other		16,322		21,955		
	\$	738,589	\$	754,747		

The following table summarizes the significant components of accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2010 (In thou			December 31, 2009 ousands)		
	Φ.	506.204	ф	266,200		
Accrued interest	\$	506,284	\$	366,398		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		380,059		434,912		
Contingent purchase price liability		245,000		68,378		
Uncertain tax position liability		217,192		129,413		
Accrued payroll and other employee liabilities		173,611		104,926		
Accrued real estate taxes		90,735		88,511		
Construction payable		82,696		150,746		
Deferred gains/income		81,734		67,611		
Below-market tenant leases (Note 2)		54,731		63,290		
Conditional asset retirement obligation liability		24,681		24,601		
Tenant and other deposits		23,219		23,250		
Other		167,979		212,860		
Total accounts payable and accrued expenses		2,047,921		1,734,896		
Less: amounts subject to compromise (Note 1)		(745,253)		(612,008)		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses not subject to compromise	\$	1,302,668	\$	1,122,888		

NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, from time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings relating to the ownership and operations of our properties. In management s opinion, the liabilities, if any, that may ultimately result from such legal actions are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

We lease land or buildings at certain properties from third parties. The leases generally provide us with a right of first refusal in the event of a proposed sale of the property by the landlord. Rental payments are expensed as incurred and have, to the extent applicable, been straight-lined over the term of the lease. Contractual rental expense, including participation rent, was \$4.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010; \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$9.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The same rent expense excluding amortization of above and below-market ground leases and straight-line rents, as presented in our consolidated financial statements, was \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010; \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010; \$2.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$6.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

We have, in the past, periodically entered into contingent agreements for the acquisition of properties. Each acquisition subject to such agreements was subject to satisfactory completion of due diligence and, in the case of property acquired under development, completion of the project. In conjunction with the acquisition of The Grand Canal Shoppes in 2004, we entered into an agreement (the Phase II Agreement) to acquire the multi-level retail space that is part of The Shoppes at The Palazzo in Las Vegas, Nevada (The Phase II Acquisition) which is connected to the existing Venetian and the Sands Expo and Convention Center facilities and The Grand Canal Shoppes. The project opened on January 18, 2008. The acquisition closed on February 29, 2008 for an initial purchase price payment of \$290.8 million, which was primarily funded with \$250.0 million of new variable-rate short-term debt collateralized by the property and for Federal income tax purposes was used as replacement property in a like-kind exchange. The Phase II Agreement provides for additional purchase price payments based on net operating income, as defined, of the Phase II retail space. Such additional payments, if any, are to be made during the 30 months after closing with the final payment being subject to re-adjustment 48 months after closing. Although we have currently estimated that no additional amounts will be paid pursuant to the Phase II Agreement, the total final purchase price of the Phase II Acquisition could be different than the current estimate.

See Note 5 for our obligations related to uncertain tax positions for disclosure of additional contingencies.

Table of Contents

Contingent Stock Agreement

In conjunction with GGP s acquisition of The Rouse Company (TRC) in November 2004, GGP assumed TRC s obligations under the Contingent Stock Agreement, (the CSA). TRC entered into the CSA in 1996 when it acquired The Hughes Corporation (Hughes). This acquisition included various assets, including Summerlin (the CSA Assets), a development in our Master Planned Communities segment. GGP s obligations to the former Hughes owners or their successors (the Beneficiaries) under the CSA are subject to treatment in accordance with applicable requirements of the bankruptcy law and any plan of reorganization that may be confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court.

Under the terms of the CSA, GGP was required through August 2009 to issue shares of its common stock semi-annually (February and August) to the Beneficiaries with the number of shares to be issued in any period based on cash flows from the development and/or sale of the CSA Assets and GGP s stock price. The Beneficiaries share of earnings from the CSA Assets has been accounted for in our consolidated financial statements as a land sales operations expense, with the difference between such share of operations and the share of cash flows paid remaining as a contingent obligation. During 2009, GGP was not obligated to deliver any shares of its common stock under the CSA as the net development and sales cash flows were negative for the applicable periods. During 2008, 356,661 shares of GGP common stock (from treasury shares) were delivered to the Beneficiaries pursuant to the CSA.

Under the terms of the CSA, GGP was also required to make a final distribution to the Beneficiaries in 2010, following a final valuation of the remaining CSA Assets as of December 31, 2009. The CSA set forth a methodology for establishing this final valuation and required the payment be made in shares of GGP common stock. On August 4, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order directing the parties to proceed with an expedited appraisal process for the CSA assets and directing the parties to choose an independent appraiser to assist in the valuation process. Although the final payment may be in a range of amounts, we have estimated an amount to satisfy the obligations with respect to the final CSA distribution requirement. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, we recorded an incremental liability in accounts payable and accrued expenses net of the accrued contingent obligation related to the share of previous earnings of the CSA assets, with such amount reflected as additional investment (approximately \$178 million) in the CSA Assets (that is, contingent consideration) which is included in investment property and property held for development and sale. The actual amount of the final distribution to the Beneficiaries remains subject to determination by the Bankruptcy Court.

NOTE 9 RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued new generally accepted accounting guidance that amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities. The amendments significantly affect the overall consolidation analysis under previously issued guidance. The amendments to the consolidation guidance affect all entities and enterprises currently within the scope of the previous guidance and are effective on January 1, 2010. We have adopted this new pronouncement and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 10 SEGMENTS

We have two business segments which offer different products and services. Our segments are managed separately because each requires different operating strategies or management expertise. We do not distinguish or group our consolidated operations on a geographic basis. Further, all material operations are within the United States and no customer or tenant comprises more than 10% of consolidated revenues. Our reportable segments are as follows:

•	Retail and Other	- includes the operation,	development as	nd management of	f retail and oth	er rental property,	primarily	shopping
centers								

• Master Planned Communities - includes the development and sale of land, primarily in large-scale, long-term community development projects in and around Columbia, Maryland; Summerlin, Nevada; and Houston, Texas, and our one residential condominium project located in Natick (Boston), Massachusetts

The operating measure used to assess operating results for the business segments is Real Estate Property Net Operating Income (NOI) which represents the operating revenues of the properties less property operating

Table of Contents

expenses, exclusive of depreciation and amortization and, with respect to our retail and other segment, provisions for impairment. Management believes that NOI provides useful information about a property s operating performance.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those of the Company, except that we report unconsolidated real estate ventures using the proportionate share method rather than the equity method. Under the proportionate share method, our share of the revenues and expenses of the Unconsolidated Properties are combined with the revenues and expenses of the Consolidated Properties. Under the equity method, our share of the net revenues and expenses of the Unconsolidated Properties are reported as a single line item, Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, in our Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. This difference affects only the reported revenues and operating expenses of the segments and has no effect on our reported net earnings. In addition, other revenues are reduced by the NOI attributable to our noncontrolling interests in consolidated joint ventures.

The total expenditures for additions to long-lived assets for the Master Planned Communities segment were \$32.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$29.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The total expenditures for additions to long-lived assets for the Retail and Other segment were \$113.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$127.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Such amounts for the Master Planned Communities segment and the Retail and Other segment are included in the amounts listed as Land/residential development and acquisitions expenditures and Acquisition/development of real estate and property additions/improvements, respectively, in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The total amount of goodwill, as presented on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, is included in our Retail and Other segment.

Table of Contents

Segment operating results are as follows:

	(Th Consolidated Properties	nths Ended June 30, 2010 Unconsolidated Properties (In thousands)	Segment Basis
Retail and Other				
Property revenues:				
Minimum rents	\$	484,459	\$ 97,466	581,925
Tenant recoveries		215,587	37,500	253,087
Overage rents		7,447	951	8,398
Other, including noncontrolling interests		19,746	11,667	31,413
Total property revenues		727,239	147,584	874,823
Property operating expenses:				
Real estate taxes		71,062	12,078	83,140
Property maintenance costs		26,188	4,599	30,787
Marketing		6,250	1,107	7,357
Other property operating costs		128,201	27,509	155,710
Provision for doubtful accounts		3,619	715	4,334
Total property operating expenses		235,320	46,008	281,328
Retail and other net operating income		491,919	101,576	593,495
Master Planned Communities				
Land and condominium sales		59,965	13,337	73,302
Land and condominium sales operations		(59,065)	(8,770)	(67,835)
Master Planned Communities net operating income		900	4,567	5,467
Real estate property net operating income	\$	492,819	\$ 106,143	598,962

	 Thr ensolidated properties	Uno F	s Ended June 30, 20 consolidated Properties thousands)	009	Segment Basis
Retail and Other					
Property revenues:					
Minimum rents	\$ 498,708	\$	97,043	\$	595,751
Tenant recoveries	224,691		38,722		263,413
Overage rents	5,782		975		6,757
Other, including noncontrolling interests	18,809		13,013		31,822
Total property revenues	747,990		149,753		897,743
Property operating expenses:					
Real estate taxes	68,959		12,263		81,222
Property maintenance costs	22,100		4,165		26,265
Marketing	6,906		1,275		8,181
Other property operating costs	126,479		32,068		158,547
Provision for doubtful accounts	8,847		1,806		10,653
Total property operating expenses	233,291		51,577		284,868
Retail and other net operating income	514,699		98,176		612,875
Master Planned Communities					
Land and condominium sales	22,448		13,419		35,867
Land and condominium sales operations	(21,850)		(8,732)		(30,582)
Master Planned Communities net operating income before					
provision for impairment	598		4,687		5,285

Provision for impairment	(55,923)		(55,923)
Master Planned Communities net operating (loss) income	(55,325)	4,687	(50,638)
Real estate property net operating income	\$ 459,374	\$ 102,863	\$ 562,237

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30 Consolidated Unconsolidated Properties Properties (In thousands)		Unconsolidated Properties	010 Segment Basis	
Retail and Other					
Property revenues:					
Minimum rents	\$ 977,217	\$	197,345	\$	1,174,562
Tenant recoveries	429,838		76,771		506,609
Overage rents	17,793		2,190		19,983
Other, including noncontrolling interests	36,549		22,355		58,904
Total property revenues	1,461,397		298,661		1,760,058
Property operating expenses:					
Real estate taxes	143,157		24,663		167,820
Property maintenance costs	62,032		9,881		71,913
Marketing	13,331		2,628		15,959
Other property operating costs	255,272		57,231		312,503
Provision for doubtful accounts	9,946		2,145		12,091
Total property operating expenses	483,738		96,548		580,286
Retail and other net operating income	977,659		202,113		1,179,772
Master Planned Communities					
Land and condominium sales	65,035		25,972		91,007
Land and condominium sales operations	(69,232)		(18,741)		(87,973)
Master Planned Communities net operating (loss) income	(4,197)		7,231		3,034
Real estate property net operating income	\$ 973,462	\$	209,344	\$	1,182,806

	Consolidated Properties		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Unconsolidated Properties (In thousands)			9 Segment Basis	
Retail and Other							
Property revenues:							
Minimum rents	\$	997,816	\$	194,434	\$	1,192,250	
Tenant recoveries		457,710		79,541		537,251	
Overage rents		15,806		2,191		17,997	
Other, including minority interest		31,606		25,641		57,247	
Total property revenues		1,502,938		301,807		1,804,745	
Property operating expenses:							
Real estate taxes		140,518		24,844		165,362	
Property maintenance costs		49,459		8,999		58,458	
Marketing		14,482		2,750		17,232	
Other property operating costs		258,178		64,490		322,668	
Provision for doubtful accounts		19,179		3,054		22,233	
Total property operating expenses		481,816		104,137		585,953	
Retail and other net operating income		1,021,122		197,670		1,218,792	
Master Planned Communities							
Land and condominium sales		31,435		18,520		49,955	
Land and condominium sales operations		(32,464)		(13,500)		(45,964)	
Master Planned Communities net operating (loss) income before							
provision for impairment		(1,029)		5,020		3,991	
Provision for impairment		(108,691)				(108,691)	
Master Planned Communities net operating (loss) income		(109,720)		5,020		(104,700)	
Real estate property net operating income	\$	911,402	\$	202,690	\$	1,114,092	

Table of Contents

The following reconciles NOI to GAAP-basis operating income and loss from continuing operations:

	Three Months I	Ended .	- /		Six Months E	,	
	2010		2009 (In tho	(shnesu	2010		2009
Real estate property net operating income:			(111 1110	astirus)			
Segment basis	\$ 598,962	\$	562,237	\$	1,182,806	\$	1,114,092
Unconsolidated Properties	(106,143)		(102,863)		(209,344)		(202,690)
Consolidated Properties	492,819		459,374		973,462		911,402
Management fees and other corporate							
revenues	15,902		18,860		33,988		40,719
Property management and other costs	(48,517)		(42,200)		(83,949)		(85,609)
General and administrative	(5,668)		(6,591)		(13,306)		(14,112)
Strategic initiatives			(25,713)				(64,013)
Provisions for impairment	(19,923)		(26,465)		(31,273)		(304,789)
Depreciation and amortization	(175,318)		(186,472)		(352,621)		(391,087)
Noncontrolling interest in NOI of							
Consolidated Properties and other	2,211		2,797		6,134		5,643
Operating income (loss)	261,506		193,590		532,435		98,154
Interest income	137		501		813		1,231
Interest expense	(301,726)		(328,351)		(637,004)		(656,841)
Provision for benefit from income taxes	(14,234)		(15,742)		(17,884)		(4,228)
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real							
Estate Affiliates	16,901		16,339		50,652		23,877
Reorganization items	(80,111)		(24,918)		9,301		(24,918)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (117,527)	\$	(158,581)	\$	(61,687)	\$	(562,725)

The following reconciles segment revenues to GAAP-basis consolidated revenues:

	Three Months	Ended ,	June, 30	Six Months Ended June, 30				
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
			(In tho	usands)				
Segment basis total property revenues	\$ 874,823	\$	897,743	\$	1,760,058	\$	1,804,745	
Unconsolidated segment revenues	(147,584)		(149,753)		(298,661)		(301,807)	
Consolidated Land and condominium sales	59,965		22,448		65,035		31,435	
Management fees and other corporate								
revenues	15,902		18,860		33,988		40,719	
Noncontrolling interest in NOI of								
Consolidated Properties and other	2,211		2,797		6,134		5,643	
GAAP-basis consolidated total revenues	\$ 805,317	\$	792,095	\$	1,566,554	\$	1,580,735	

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All references to numbered Notes are to specific footnotes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report and which descriptions are incorporated into the applicable response by reference. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with such Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes. Capitalized terms used, but not defined, in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) have the same meanings as in such Notes or in our Annual Report.

Forward-looking information

We may make forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report and in other reports that we file with the SEC or the Bankruptcy Court. In addition, our senior management may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, creditors, the media and others.

Forward-looking statements include:

- Descriptions of plans or objectives for debt extensions or the Plan, strategic alternatives, and future operations
- Projections of our revenues, net operating income, earnings per share, Funds From Operations (FFO), capital expenditures, income tax and other contingent liabilities, dividends, leverage, capital structure or other financial items
- Forecasts of our future economic performance
- Descriptions of assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing

In this Quarterly Report, for example, we make forward-looking statements discussing our expectations about:

Table of Contents

- Emergence from bankruptcy, reorganization and liquidity
- Future financings, repayment of debt and interest rates

Forward-looking statements discuss matters that are not historical facts. Because they discuss future events or conditions, forward-looking statements often include words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, plan, project, target, can, could, may, similar expressions. Forward-looking statements should not be unduly relied upon. They give our expectations about the future and are not guarantees. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and we might not update them to reflect changes that occur after the date they are made.

There are several factors, many beyond our control, which could cause results to differ materially from our expectations, some of which are described below under Risk Factors. Some of these factors are described in our Annual Report, which factors are incorporated herein by reference. Any factor could by itself, or together with one or more other factors, adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. There are also other factors that we have not described in this Quarterly Report or in our Annual Report that could cause results to differ from our expectations.

Overview

The Company is currently operating as a Debtor in Possession under Chapter 11.

As of June 30, 2010, we are the owner or manager of over 200 regional shopping malls in 43 states and the owner of four master planned communities. We operate in two reportable business segments: Retail and Other and Master Planned Communities.

The Chapter 11 Cases created the protections necessary for the Debtors to develop and execute plans of reorganization to restructure the Debtors and extend mortgage maturities, reduce corporate debt and overall leverage and establish a sustainable long-term capital structure. We have created a long-term business plan necessary to effect the objectives we sought to achieve through the Chapter 11 process. The business plan contemplates the continued operation of retail shopping centers, divestiture of non-core assets and businesses and certain non-performing retail assets, and select development projects. To fulfill this business plan, we have pursued a deliberate two-stage strategy. The first stage entailed the restructuring of our property-level secured mortgage debt. The second stage is the restructuring of the debt and third party equity of the TopCo Debtors including our public equity that will be accomplished pursuant to the Plan.

As of July 30, 2010, a total of 260 Debtors owning 145 properties with \$14.79 billion of secured mortgage debt have emerged from bankruptcy. An additional two Debtors representing approximately \$95.0 million of debt are finalizing terms for their emergence. The plans of reorganization for all of these Debtors provide for payment in full of undisputed claims of creditors.

We have identified 13 Special Consideration Properties with \$747.2 million of secured mortgage debt at June 30, 2010 as underperforming retail assets. Pursuant to the terms of the agreements with the lenders for these properties, the Debtors have until two days following emergence of the TopCo Debtors to determine whether the collateral property for these loans should be deeded to the respective lender in full satisfaction of the

related debt or the property should be retained with further modified loan terms. Prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, all cash produced by the property is under the control of respective lenders and we are required to pay any operating expense shortfall. In addition, prior to emergence of the TopCo Debtors, the respective lender can change the manager of the property or put the property in receivership and GGP has the right to deed the property to the lender, but no such actions have yet occurred. As described in Note 2, we have notified the lenders of three of the Special Consideration Properties of our intent to deed these properties to the respective lenders pursuant to these transfer rights.

We have also identified two properties (Silver City and Montclair) owned by our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates with approximately \$393.5 million of non-recourse secured mortgage debt, of which our share is \$198.1 million, as underperforming assets. With respect to each of the properties owned by such Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates, all cash produced by such properties are under the control of the applicable lender. In the event we are unable to satisfactorily modify the terms of each of the loans associated with these properties, the collateral property for any such loan may be deeded to the respective lender in full

Table of Contents

satisfaction of the related debt. On May 3, 2010, the property owned by the Highland joint venture was transferred to the lender, yielding a nominal net gain on our investment in such joint venture (Note 3).

The Plan provides for the Topco Debtors emergence from bankruptcy. Under the Plan, we will satisfy our debt and other claims in full, provide for substantial recovery to the third party equity holders of the Topco Debtors, including our stockholders, and implement a recapitalization and create New GGP. The Plan also contemplates that we will distribute to our stockholders equity ownership in Spinco, a newly-formed, publicly-held company that will own a diverse portfolio of real estate assets currently owned by us. Spinco s assets are expected to consist of all of our master planned communities, nine mixed-use development opportunities, four potential mall development projects, seven redevelopment properties and other miscellaneous real estate interests. Upon consummation of the Plan, Spinco will initially be capitalized with new equity capital in the amount of \$250.0 million.

Approximately \$8.395 billion will be required to consummate the Plan, which includes \$250.0 million for the capitalization of Spinco. The Investment Agreements with each of Fairholme, Pershing Square and the agreement with Texas Teachers commit such parties to invest approximately \$2.71 billion, approximately \$1.09 billion and \$500.0 million, respectively, in New GGP common stock. Such agreements permit Existing GGP to use the proceeds of a sale of, or binding commitments to sell, common stock of New GGP, for not less than \$10.50 per share (net of all underwriting and other discounts, fees and related consideration) or notes, exchangeable for such common stock, to reduce the amount of New GGP common stock to be sold to Fairholme, Pershing Square and Texas Teachers, pro rata as between Fairholme and Pershing Square only, by up to 50% (or approximately \$2.15 billion in the aggregate) prior to the Effective Date. The Plan funding sources set forth below only include 50% of the actual commitments for each of Fairholme, Pershing Square Square and Texas Teachers as New GGP filed a registration statement on Form S-11 on July 15, 2010 to issue \$2.15 billion of mandatorily exchangeable notes.

As a result, the Plan contemplates funding the Topco Debtors reorganization and emergence from bankruptcy with the proceeds from the following transactions:

- \$4.4 billion of investments in New GGP s common stock, comprised of investments by the Brookfield Investor in the amount of \$2.5 billion, Fairholme in the amount of approximately \$1.36 billion and Pershing Square, in the amount of approximately \$542.9 million, which amounts, with respect to Pershing Square and Fairholme, have been reflected at 50% of the original committed amounts in accordance with our cutback rights under the investment agreements as described above;
- a \$250.0 million investment in New GGP s common stock by Texas Teachers, which amount has been reflected at 50% of the original committed amount in accordance with our cutback rights under the investment agreement described above;
- reinstatement of \$1.345 billion in the aggregate of TRCLP bonds not held by the Plan Sponsors; and
- \$2.15 billion of New GGP mandatorily exchangeable notes.

The Plan Sponsors have also provided backstop commitments for up to \$1.5 billion of additional debt financing for New GGP.

As a result of the flexibility afforded to us in the Investment Agreements and the Texas Teachers agreement, we have not finally determined certain aspects of our emergence capital structure. This determination necessarily depends on the results of our ongoing capital raise process.

There can be no assurances that the Plan will be consummated on the terms described or at all. For example, the plan of reorganization ultimately confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court may differ materially from the Plan. In

Table of Contents

connection with any changes to the Plan or otherwise, we and the Plan Sponsors may amend, waive provisions in or terminate the Plan Sponsors investment agreements, and we may make other changes to the transactions described above. As a result, the actual dollar amounts of equity and debt and the capitalization of New GGP, may differ materially.

We have the exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization until October 18, 2010 and until December 16, 2010 to solicit acceptances of such a plan. If we do not obtain acceptance of the Plan for the TopCo Debtors prior to December 16, 2010, other parties would be able to file a plan of reorganization for any of the TopCo Debtors.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, we generated NOI of \$593.5 million in our retail and other segment. Included in this amount is income from our Unconsolidated Properties at our ownership share. Comparatively, in the three months ended June 30, 2009, we reported NOI of \$612.9 million. Based on the results of our evaluations for impairment (Note 1), we recognized total impairment charges for our retail and other segment and our master planned community segment of \$19.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and \$82.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total property revenues declined \$22.9 million, or 2.6%, to \$874.8 million, primarily due to declines in minimum rents, tenant recoveries and overage rents as a result of declines in overall occupancy and specialty leasing occupancy and sales volumes. Included in this amount is revenues from Unconsolidated Properties at our ownership share of \$147.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, which are roughly comparable to the \$149.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Land and condominium sales and land and condominium sales operations at our Master Planned Communities increased for the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily as a result of the recognition of deferred revenue related to condominium unit sales at the Nouvelle at Natick, where sales through June 30, 2010 have now surpassed the threshold of sold units required to recognize revenue under the percentage of completion method during the quarter.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to successfully implement the Plan, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so. We have described such concerns in Note 1 and our independent registered public accounting firm has included an explanatory paragraph in its report on the audit of our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2009 and for the year then ended expressing substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

Results of Operations

We have presented the following discussion of our results of operations on a segment basis under the proportionate share method. Under the proportionate share method, our share of the revenues and expenses of the Unconsolidated Properties are combined with the revenues and expenses of the Consolidated Properties. Other revenues are reduced by the NOI attributable to our noncontrolling interests in consolidated joint ventures. See Note 11 for additional information including reconciliations of our segment basis results to GAAP basis results.

Table of Contents

Three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Retail and Other Segment

	Three Months Ended June 30,			\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Property revenues:					
Minimum rents	\$ 581,925	\$	595,751	\$ (13,826)	(2.3)%
Tenant recoveries	253,087		263,413	(10,326)	(3.9)
Overage rents	8,398		6,757	1,641	24.3
Other, including non controlling interest	31,413		31,822	(409)	(1.3)
Total property revenues	874,823		897,743	(22,920)	(2.6)
Property operating expenses:					
Real estate taxes	83,140		81,222	1,918	2.4
Property maintenance costs	30,787		26,265	4,522	17.2
Marketing	7,357		8,181	(824)	(10.1)
Other property operating costs	155,710		158,547	(2,837)	(1.8)
Provision for doubtful accounts	4,334		10,653	(6,319)	(59.3)
Total property operating expenses	281,328		284,868	(3,540)	(1.2)
Retail and other net operating income	\$ 593,495	\$	612,875	\$ (19,380)	(3.2)%

Minimum rents decreased \$13.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to a \$15.4 million decrease in base rents related to our permanent tenants resulting from the decrease in occupancy, conversion of leases from base rent to percent in lieu leases and rent relief granted. Partially offsetting these decreases in base rents was an increase of \$5.2 million in percent in lieu rents resulting from the conversion of certain tenants leases from base rent leases. In addition, there was a \$3.0 million decrease in temporary rental revenues resulting from a decrease in temporary tenant occupancy and sales volume in the three months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, termination income decreased \$3.1 million to \$8.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$11.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009. These decreases in minimum rents were most significant at Fashion Show, The Shoppes at Palazzo, Saint Louis Galleria and Tysons Galleria.

Certain of our leases include both a base rent component and a component which requires tenants to pay amounts related to all, or substantially all, of their share of real estate taxes and certain property operating expenses, including common area maintenance and insurance. The portion of the tenant rent from these leases attributable to real estate tax and operating expense recoveries are recorded as tenant recoveries. The \$10.3 million decrease in tenant recoveries in the three months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily attributable to the decrease in current year occupancy and the conversion of tenants to gross leases.

Overage rents increased for the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to increased sales volume from our temporary specialty leasing tenants across the Company Portfolio.

Property maintenance costs increased \$4.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to increased spending across the Company Portfolio in 2010 on contract services, lighting, building and parking repairs, plumbing, roofing and HVAC.

Marketing expenses decreased \$0.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 across the Company Portfolio as the result of continued Company-wide efforts to consolidate marketing functions and reduce advertising spending. The largest savings were the result of reductions in advertising costs, contracted services and payroll.

The provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$6.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to higher allowances in 2009 related to tenant bankruptcies and weak economic conditions.

47

Table of Contents

Master Planned Communities Segment

	Three Months I	Ended J	une 30,	\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Land and condominium sales	\$ 73,302	\$	35,867	37,435	104.4%
Land and condominium sales operations	(67,835)		(30,582)	37,253	121.8
Master Planned Communities net operating					
income before provision for impairment	5,467		5,285	182	3.4
Provision for impairment			(55,923)	55,923	100.0
Master Planned Communities net operating loss	\$ 5,467	\$	(50,638)	56,105	110.8%

The increase in land sales and land sales operations in 2010 was primarily the result the recognition of \$52.9 million of deferred revenue and \$48.3 million of associated costs of sales related to condominium unit sales at the Nouvelle at Natick, where sales through June 30, 2010 have now surpassed the threshold of sold units required for recognition of revenue under the percentage of completion method. These increases were partially offset by the bulk sale of remaining single family lots at the Fairwood community in Maryland in 2009.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, we sold 67.4 residential acres compared to 298.7 acres for the three months ended June 30, 2009. We sold 10.0 acres of commercial lots for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 15.3 acres for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, the master planned communities have approximately 14,800 remaining salable acres and Nouvelle at Natick has 87 available condominium units for sale.

Certain Significant Consolidated Revenues and Expenses

	Three Months Ended June 30,			\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Tenant rents	\$ 707,493	\$	729,181	\$ (21,688)	(3.0)%
Land and condominium sales	59,965		22,448	37,517	167.1
Property operating expense	235,320		233,291	2,029	0.9
Land and condominium sales operations	59,065		21,850	37,215	170.3
Management fees and other corporate revenues	15,902		18,860	(2,958)	(15.7)
Property management and other costs	48,517		42,200	6,317	15.0
General and administrative	5,668		6,591	(923)	(14.0)
Strategic initiatives			25,713	(25,713)	(100.0)
Provisions for impairment	19,923		82,388	(62,465)	(75.8)
Depreciation and amortization	175,318		186,472	(11,154)	(6.0)
Interest expense	301,726		328,351	(26,625)	(8.1)
Provision for income taxes	14,234		15,742	(1,508)	(9.6)
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate					
Affiliates	16,901		16,339	562	3.4
Reorganization items	(80,111)		(24,918)	(55,193)	221.5
Discontinued operations - loss on dispositions					

Changes in consolidated tenant rents (which includes minimum rents, tenant recoveries and overage rents), land sales, property operating expenses (which includes real estate taxes, property maintenance costs, marketing, other property operating costs and provision for doubtful accounts) and land sales operations were attributable to the same items discussed above in our segment basis results, excluding those items related to our Unconsolidated Properties. Management fees and other corporate revenues, property management and other costs and general and administrative in the aggregate represent our costs of doing business and are generally not direct property-related costs.

Management fees and other corporate revenues decreased \$3.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to a decrease in development fees, lease fees and other management fees. As a result of the sale of our third-party management business in July 2010 (Note 1), the approximate \$1.5 million of related fees recognized in the three months ended June 30, 2010 will not be earned in future periods, with a related reduction in property management and other costs also is expected.

Table of Contents

Property management and other costs increased \$6.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to a \$5.1 million increase legal, information technologies and professional consulting fees and a \$2.1 million increase in compensation expense.

General and administrative costs decreased \$0.9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily due to a decrease in professional fees.

Strategic initiatives for the three months ended June 30, 2009 is primarily due to professional fees for restructuring that were incurred prior to filing for Chapter 11. Similar fees incurred after filing for Chapter 11 are recorded as reorganization items.

Based on the results of our evaluations for impairment (Note 1), we recognized impairment charges of \$19.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and \$82.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The impairment charges recognized were as follows:

2010

- \$2.3 million related to Bay City Mall in Bay City, Michigan
- \$0.9 million related to Chico Mall in Chico, California
- \$0.3 million related to Eagle Ridge Mall in Lake Wales, Florida
- \$7.1 million related to Lakeview Square in Battle Creek, Michigan
- \$6.6 million related to Moreno Valley Mall in Moreno Valley, California
- \$1.4 million related to Northgate Mall in Chattanooga, Tennessee
- \$1.2 million related to Oviedo Marketplace in Oviedo, Florida
- \$0.2 million related to the write down of various pre-development costs that were determined to be non-recoverable due to the termination of associated projects

2009

• \$7.1 million related to the write down of various pre-development costs that were determined to be non-recoverable due to the termination of associated projects

- \$19.4 million related to Goodwill
- \$55.9 million related to our Nouvelle at Natick project located in Boston, Massachusetts

The decrease in depreciation and amortization for the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily results from the decrease in the carrying amount of buildings and equipment due to the impairment charges recorded in 2009.

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$26.6 million primarily due to a \$36.1 million gain related to the Fair Value option of debt for the Special Consideration Properties (Note 1) partially offset by the amortization of the market rate adjustments related to the Fair Value of debt upon the emergence of certain subsidiary Debtors from Chapter 11. In addition, the amount of interest that was capitalized during the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased compared to the same period of 2009 due to decreased development activity.

The decrease in the provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to a significant decrease in valuation allowances compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by an increase in taxable income related to our taxable entities during the same periods.

Reorganization items under the bankruptcy filings are expense or income items that were incurred or realized by the Debtors as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. These items include professional fees and similar types of expenses incurred directly related to the bankruptcy filings, gains or losses resulting from activities of the reorganization process, including gains related to recording the mortgage debt at Fair Value upon emergence from bankruptcy and interest earned on cash accumulated by the Debtors. See Note 1 Reorganization items for additional detail.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, we recorded the entire cumulative compensation expense of \$15.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Retail and Other Segment

	Six Months E	nded J	une 30,	\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Property revenues:					
Minimum rents	\$ 1,174,562	\$	1,192,250	\$ (17,688)	(1.5)%
Tenant recoveries	506,609		537,251	(30,642)	(5.7)
Overage rents	19,983		17,997	1,986	11.0
Other, including non controlling interest	58,904		57,247	1,657	2.9
Total property revenues	1,760,058		1,804,745	(44,687)	(2.5)
Property operating expenses:					
Real estate taxes	167,820		165,362	2,458	1.5
Property maintenance costs	71,913		58,458	13,455	23.0
Marketing	15,959		17,232	(1,273)	(7.4)
Other property operating costs	312,503		322,668	(10,165)	(3.2)
Provision for doubtful accounts	12,091		22,233	(10,142)	(45.6)
Total property operating expenses	580,286		585,953	(5,667)	(1.0)
Retail and other net operating income	\$ 1,179,772	\$	1,218,792	\$ (39,020)	(3.2)%

Minimum rents decreased \$17.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to a \$18.0 million decrease in base rents related to our permanent tenants resulting from the decrease in occupancy, conversion of leases from base rent to percent in lieu leases, rent relief and rental revenue associated with co-tenancy clauses that have been triggered. Partially offsetting these decreases in base rents was an increase of \$7.7 million in percent in lieu rents resulting from the conversion of certain tenants leases from base rent leases. In addition, there was a \$7.1 million decrease in temporary rental revenues resulting from a decrease in temporary tenant occupancy and sales volume in the six months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, termination income increased \$0.5 million from \$21.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$20.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. These decreases in minimum rents were most significant at Fashion Show, The Woodlands properties, The Boulevard Mall, Saint Louis Galleria and Tysons Galleria.

Certain of our leases include both a base rent component and a component which requires tenants to pay amounts related to all, or substantially all, of their share of real estate taxes and certain property operating expenses, including common area maintenance and insurance. The portion of the tenant rent from these leases attributable to real estate tax and operating expense recoveries are recorded as tenant recoveries. The \$30.6 million decrease in tenant recoveries in the six months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily attributable to the decrease in current year occupancy and the conversion of tenants to gross leases. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2010 also includes decreases in recoveries related to common area maintenance, real estate taxes and electric utility expenses as a result of tenant settlements for 2008 and 2009 that were delayed due to the Debtors bankruptcy.

Overage rents increased slightly for the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to increased sales volume from our temporary specialty leasing tenants, most notably at our Fashion Show and Park Place Malls.

Property maintenance costs increased \$13.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to increased spending across the Company Portfolio in 2010 on repairs related to parking, contract services, lighting, building repairs, plumbing, roof and HVAC.

Other property operating costs decreased \$10.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to decreased miscellaneous property operating expense at the Woodlands properties and a decrease related to a prior period adjustment resulting from our Aliansce joint venture.

The provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$10.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to higher allowances in 2009 related to tenant bankruptcies and weak economic conditions.

Table of Contents

Mall. Also contributing to the decrease recorded was improved collections of outstanding accounts receivable during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Master Planned Communities Segment

	Six Months E	nded Ju	ne 30,	\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Land and condominium sales	\$ 91,007	\$	49,955 \$	41,052	82.2%
Land and condominium sales operations	(87,973)		(45,964)	42,009	91.4
Master Planned Communities net operating					
income before provision for impairment	3,034		3,991	(957)	(24.0)
Provision for impairment			(108,691)	108,691	100.0
Master Planned Communities net operating loss	\$ 3,034	\$	(104,700) \$	107,734	102.9%

The increase in land sales and land sales operations in 2010 was primarily the result the recognition of \$52.9 million of deferred revenue and \$48.6 million of associated costs of sales related to condominium unit sales at the Nouvelle at Natick, where sales through June 30, 2010 have surpassed the threshold of sold units required to recognize revenue under the percentage of completion method. In addition, there was increased residential and commercial sales activity at the Woodlands community in Houston Texas. These increases were partially offset by the bulk sale of remaining single family lots at the Fairwood community in Maryland in 2009. There were no land sales for the six months ended June 30, 2010 in our Fairwood communities in Maryland and in our Summerlin community in Las Vegas, Nevada. There were minimal land sales in our Columbia community in Maryland and our Bridgeland Community in Houston, Texas. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a \$52.8 million provision for impairment at our Fairwood community in Maryland and a \$55.9 million provision for impairment at Nouvelle at Natick.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we sold 138.8 residential acres compared to 322.1 acres for the six months ended June 30, 2009. We sold 24.7 acres of commercial lots for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 34.5 acres for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, the master planned communities have approximately 14,800 remaining salable acres and Nouvelle at Natick has 87 available condominium units for sale.

Certain Significant Consolidated Revenues and Expenses

	Six Months E	nded J	une 30,	\$ Increase	% Increase
(In thousands)	2010		2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Tenant rents	\$ 1,424,848	\$	1,471,332	\$ (46,484)	(3.2)%
Land and condominium sales	65,035		31,435	33,600	106.9
Property operating expense	483,738		481,816	1,922	0.4
Land and condominium sales operations	69,232		32,464	36,768	113.3
Management fees and other corporate revenues	33,988		40,719	(6,731)	(16.5)
Property management and other costs	83,949		85,609	(1,660)	(1.9)

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

General and administrative	13,306	14,112	(806)	(5.7)
Strategic initiatives		64,013	(64,013)	(100.0)
Provisions for impairment	31,273	413,480	(382,207)	(92.4)
Depreciation and amortization	352,621	391,087	(38,466)	(9.8)
Interest expense	637,004	656,841	(19,837)	(3.0)
Provision for income taxes	17,884	4,228	13,656	323.0
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Real				
Estate Affiliates	50,652	23,877	26,775	112.1
Reorganization items	9,301	(24,918)	34,219	(137.3)

Changes in consolidated tenant rents (which includes minimum rents, tenant recoveries and overage rents), land sales, property operating expenses (which includes real estate taxes, property maintenance costs, marketing, other property operating costs and provision for doubtful accounts) and land sales operations were attributable to the same items discussed above in our segment basis results, excluding those items related to our Unconsolidated Properties. Management fees and other corporate revenues, property management and other

Table of Contents

costs and general and administrative in the aggregate represent our costs of doing business and are generally not direct property-related costs.

The decrease in management fees and other corporate revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily due to a \$2.7 million decrease in development fee income resulting from a significant decline in development activity for our managed properties. In addition, third party management fee income decreased approximately \$2.3 million due to certain contract terminations in 2009.

Strategic initiatives for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is primarily due to professional fees for restructuring that were incurred prior to filing for Chapter 11 protection. Similar fees incurred after filing for Chapter 11 protection are recorded as reorganization items.

Based on the results of our evaluations for impairment (Note 1), we recognized impairment charges of \$31.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$413.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The impairment charges recognized were as follows:

2010

- \$11.1 million related to The Pines Mall in Pine Bluff, Arkansas
- \$2.3 million related to Bay City Mall in Bay City, Michigan
- \$0.9 million related to Chico Mall in Chico, California
- \$0.3 million related to Eagle Ridge Mall in Lake Wales, Florida
- \$7.1 million related to Lakeview Square in Battle Creek, Michigan
- \$6.6 million related to Moreno Valley Mall in Moreno Valley, California
- \$1.4 million related to Northgate Mall in Chattanooga, Tennessee
- \$1.2 million related to Oviedo Marketplace in Oviedo, Florida
- \$0.5 million related to the write down of various pre-development costs that were determined to be non-recoverable due to the termination of associated projects

2009

- \$40.3 million to Owning Mills Mall in Owning Mills, Maryland
- \$81.1 million to River Falls Mall in Clarksville, Indiana
- \$24.2 million to the Allen Towne Mall development in Allen, Texas
- \$6.7 million to the Redlands Promenade development in Redlands, California
- \$23.7 million related to the write down of various pre-development costs that were determined to be non-recoverable due to the termination of associated projects
- \$52.8 million to our Fairwood Master Planned Community in Columbia, Maryland
- \$55.9 million related to our Nouvelle at Natick project located in Boston, Massachusetts
- \$128.8 million related to Goodwill

The decrease in depreciation and amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily results from the decrease in the carrying amount of buildings and equipment due to the impairment charges recorded in 2009 as well as write-offs of tenant allowances and assets becoming fully amortized in 2009.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$19.8 million primarily due to primarily due to a \$36.1 million gain related to the Fair Value option of debt for the Special Consideration Properties (Note 1) partially offset by the amortization of the market rate adjustments related to the Fair Value of debt upon the emergence of certain subsidiary Debtors from Chapter 11. In addition, the amount of interest that was capitalized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased compared to the same period of 2009 due to decreased development activity.

The increase in the provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to an increase in taxable income related to our taxable entities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and a tax benefit related to provisions for impairments as our master planned communities in 2009, partially offset by a significant decrease in valuation allowances compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The increase in equity in income of Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily due to our investments in our international joint ventures. We recorded a \$9.4 million gain

Table of Contents

related to our investment in Aliansce as a result of the Aliansce IPO (Note 3). In addition, our investment in our international joint ventures increased \$2.6 million resulting from foreign currency translation adjustments.

Reorganization items under the bankruptcy filings are expense or income items that were incurred or realized by the Debtors as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. These items include professional fees and similar types of expenses incurred directly related to the bankruptcy filings, gains or losses resulting from activities of the reorganization process, including gains related to recording the mortgage debt at Fair Value upon emergence from bankruptcy and interest earned on cash accumulated by the Debtors. See Note 1 Reorganization items for additional detail.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary uses of cash include payment of operating expenses, working capital, debt repayment, including principal and interest, reinvestment in properties, redevelopment of properties, tenant allowance, dividends and restructuring costs. Our primary sources of cash include tenant rents, land sales and the new equity expected in connection with the Plan.

As of June 30, 2010 our consolidated debt (\$23.92 billion) combined with our share of the debt of our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates (\$2.94 billion) aggregated \$26.86 billion (excluding the Woodlands master planned community and Aliansce). The Chapter 11 Cases triggered defaults on substantially all of the debt obligations of the Debtors, approximately \$21.83 billion of our consolidated debt, which defaults were stayed under section 362 of Chapter 11.

As of July 30, 2010, \$14.79 billion of our consolidated debt has been restructured and does not mature until dates after January 1, 2014, with the exception of the debt associated with the Special Consideration Properties. Principal amortization on these restructured secured loans resumed or commenced on the emergence of the respective borrowers. We will have sufficient cash to make these amortization payments through emergence, and assuming consummation of the Plan, expect to have sufficient cash provided by operations to make amortization payments subsequent to emergence. These restructured loans also have financial covenants, primarily debt service coverage ratios, which will restrict our cash and operations.

We have approximately \$6.92 billion of consolidated debt still subject to the stay under section 362 of Chapter 11. These debt obligations and substantially all other pre-petition obligations of the Topco Debtors are subject to settlement under the Plan.

This consolidated debt consists of the following:

- \$2.58 billion under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the 2006 Credit Facility)
- \$1.55 billion of 3.98% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2007 issued by GGPLP (the Exchangeable Notes)
- \$2.25 billion of unsecured bonds issued by TRCLP

- \$206.2 million of trust preferred securities issued by GGP Capital Trust I (the Trups), a subsidiary of GGPLP
- \$338.8 million of secured debt related to the TopCo Debtors

The Plan provides for the reinstatement of the Exchangeable Notes and the unmatured TRCLP Bonds not held by the Plan Sponsors, the Trups and certain other TopCo Debtors secured debt and payment in full of the 2006 Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

As a result, on emergence from bankruptcy and excluding the Special Consideration Properties, our aggregate debt is expected to be \$21.1 billion, consisting of approximately \$18.3 billion of consolidated debt and approximately \$2.8 billion of our share of debt of our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates (excluding the Woodlands master planned community and Aliansce). Our consolidated debt is expected to consist of:

- \$16.4 billion of secured mortgage debt;
- \$206.2 million of Trups;
- \$1.345 billion TRCLP Bonds; and
- \$338.8 million of TopCo secured debt

Pursuant to the Plan, holders of the Exchangeable Notes will have the option to elect to receive the payment of par plus accrued and unpaid interest in satisfaction of such Exchangeable Notes or to have such Exchangeable Notes reinstated. In the event that holders of the Exchangeable Notes elect reinstatement rather than cash payment, up to \$526.0 million of Exchangeable Notes may remain outstanding, which reflects the amount of Exchangeable Notes not held by the Plan Sponsors.

We currently believe we will be able to consummate the Plan and that we will have sufficient liquidity to satisfy our consolidated debt still subject to the automatic stay in full.

With respect to our approximately \$2.8 billion share of the debt of our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates (excluding the Woodlands master planned community and Aliansce) \$509.0 million matures in 2010 and \$1.12 billion matures in 2011. We generally believe that we will be able to extend the maturity date or refinance the debts of our Unconsolidated Real Estates Affiliates, except for Silver City and Montclair. If we are unable to extend or refinance such loans, or are unable to do so on satisfactory terms, we may not have sufficient liquidity to pay these debts.

Our multi-year plan for operating capital expenditures projects estimated expenditures of \$96.3 million and \$113.8 million in 2010 and 2011, respectively. In addition, we are currently redeveloping certain properties, including St. Louis Galleria and Christiana Mall, and expect to spend \$59.5 million and \$15.0 million in 2010 and 2011, respectively, on these and other redevelopment projects.

Following our emergence from bankruptcy we intend to reduce our outstanding debt through a combination of selling non-core assets and certain joint venture interests, entering into joint ventures with respect to certain of our existing properties, refinancings, equity issuances and debt paydowns pursuant to our restructured amortization schedule. With respect to asset sales, we intend to seek opportunities to dispose of assets that are not core to our business in order to optimize our portfolio and reduce leverage, including the opportunistic sale of our strip shopping centers, stand-alone office buildings and certain regional malls. In addition, pursuant to our rights, we expect to transfer the deeds for the Special Consideration Properties to the applicable lenders in satisfaction of the related mortgage debt.

Our ability to continue as a going concern, as described in Note 1, is dependent upon our ability to obtain approval and confirmation of the Plan for the TopCo Debtors.

Summary of Cash Flows

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$365.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$497.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Cash used for Land/residential development and acquisitions expenditures was \$32.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase from \$29.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Net cash provided by (used in) certain assets and liabilities, including accounts and notes receivable, prepaid expense and other assets, deferred expenses, and accounts payable and accrued expenses and deferred tax liabilities totaled \$184.2 million in 2010 and \$149.7 million in 2009. Accounts payable and accrued expenses and deferred tax liabilities increased \$117.9 million primarily as a result of an increase in accrued interest for

Table of Contents

unsecured debt. Although liabilities not subject to compromise and certain liabilities subject to compromise have been approved for payment by the Bankruptcy Court, a significant portion of our liabilities subject to compromise are subject to settlement under the Plan and have not been paid to date. In addition, accounts and notes receivable decreased \$41.1 million from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010, whereas, such accounts increased \$11.5 million from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009. Also included during the six months ended June 30, 2010 are the impact of reorganization items of \$64.5 million, net.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$107.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$148.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Cash used for acquisition/development of real estate and property additions/improvements was \$113.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, a decline from \$127.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to the completion, suspension or termination of a number of development projects.

Net investing cash provided by (used in) our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates was \$12.8 million in 2010 and \$(35.5) million in 2009. This increase is primarily due to the \$7.5 million of proceeds from the sale of our investment in Costa Rica (Note 3) in the first quarter of 2010, as well as, loans to Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates during the first six months of 2009.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities was \$(364.1) million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$104.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Principal payments on mortgages, notes and loan payables were \$222.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$295.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. In addition, we paid \$134.0 million of finance costs related to the Debtors that emerged from bankruptcy during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we declared a dividend of \$0.19 per share of common stock (to satisfy REIT distribution requirements for 2009) payable in a combination of cash and common stock, and issued approximately 4.9 million shares of common stock. On January 28, 2010, we paid approximately \$6.0 million in cash. No dividends were paid during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no distributions to holders of common units during the six months ended June 30, 2010 while \$0.6 million was paid during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Seasonality

Although we have a year-long temporary leasing program, occupancies for short-term tenants and, therefore, rental income recognized, are higher during the second half of the year. In addition, the majority of our tenants have December or January lease years for purposes of calculating annual overage rent amounts. Accordingly, overage rent thresholds are most commonly achieved in the fourth quarter. As a result, revenue production is generally highest in the fourth quarter of each year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both significant to the overall presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments. Our critical accounting policies as discussed in our 2009 Annual Report have not changed during 2010, and such policies, and the discussion of such policies, are incorporated herein by reference.

REIT Requirements

In order to remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must distribute or pay tax on 100% of our capital gains and distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to stockholders. See Note 5 for more detail on our ability to remain qualified as a REIT.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

As described in Note 9, new accounting pronouncements have been issued which impact or could impact the prior, current, or subsequent years.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no significant changes in the market risks described in our Annual Report.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Other than the Chapter 11 Cases, neither the Company nor any of the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates is currently involved in any material pending legal proceedings nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding currently threatened against the Company or any of the Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors should be considered in addition to the risk factors previously discussed in our Annual Reports.

Bankruptcy Risks

The Bankruptcy Court may not confirm the Plan, and if the Plan is confirmed, we may be subject to higher risks of litigation.

The Bankruptcy Court may not confirm the Plan. Even if the Plan is confirmed, there can be no assurance that our stakeholders will not contest the Plan through litigation following our emergence from bankruptcy.

Our actual financial results may vary significantly from the projections filed with the Bankruptcy Court.

The Disclosure Statement, as amended from time to time, which the TopCo Debtors were required to prepare in connection with the Plan, contains projected financial information and estimates of value that demonstrate the feasibility of the Plan and the TopCo Debtors and Spinco s ability to continue operations upon the TopCo Debtors emergence from proceedings under the Bankruptcy Code. The information in the Disclosure Statement was prepared for the limited purpose of furnishing recipients of such Disclosure Statement with adequate information to make an informed judgment regarding acceptance of the Plan and was not prepared for the purpose of providing the basis for an investment decision relating to any securities of GGP or Spinco. The projections and estimates of value, as well as the Disclosure Statement, are expressly excluded from this report on Form 10-Q and should not be relied upon in any way or manner and should not be regarded as representations or warranties by us, Spinco or any other person, as to the accuracy of such information or that any such projections or valuations will be realized. Those projections and estimates of value have not been, and will not be, updated on an ongoing basis, and they were not audited or reviewed by independent accountants. They reflect numerous assumptions concerning our anticipated future performance and with respect to prevailing and anticipated market and economic conditions that were, and remain, beyond our control. Projections and estimates of value are inherently subject to substantial and numerous uncertainties and to a wide variety of significant business, economic and competitive risks, and the assumptions underlying the projections and/or valuation estimates may

Table of Contents

be wrong in any material respect. Actual results may vary and may continue to vary significantly from those contemplated by the projections and/or valuation estimates.

We will not know the terms or outstanding amount of all of our post-emergence debt until the solicitation of approvals contemplated by the Plan is completed.

The Plan provides for the treatment of administrative expense claims, prepetition claims and equity interests against and in the TopCo Debtors. In order for the TopCo Debtors to successfully emerge from bankruptcy protection, the Bankruptcy Court must first confirm a plan of reorganization with respect to the TopCo Debtors that satisfies the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code. Under the Plan, certain indebtedness may be reinstated unless holders of such debt elect to receive cash in an amount equal to the outstanding principal amount of any allowed claims plus accrued and unpaid interest. Because we cannot predict the actual participation level, we will not know whether the holders of our indebtedness will elect to receive cash in lieu of reinstatement. As a result, the actual amount of indebtedness outstanding upon our emergence from bankruptcy can not be determined at this time.

We may not be able to satisfy the conditions of the investment agreements with the Plan Sponsors, which is required to consummate the Plan.

The funding obligations of Plan Sponsors pursuant to its investment agreement are subject to the satisfaction of numerous conditions, many of which are beyond our control. For example, the agreement with The Plan Sponsors requires that the shares of common stock issued in the Plan be authorized for listing on the NYSE and, that the shares of Spinco common stock be authorized for listing on a U.S. national securities exchange. In addition, the agreement requires the transfer of specific assets to Spinco. Under certain circumstances, in lieu of transferring the specified assets, the agreement permits either (i) the creation of a synthetic instrument that would place Spinco in the same economic position as if such Spinco asset had been transferred, or (ii) the contribution to Spinco of an asset having reasonably equivalent economic value and financial impact in the event that the creation of a synthetic instrument is not practicable. There can be no assurance that we will be able to satisfy any or all of the conditions to the Plan Sponsors funding obligations set forth in the Plan Sponsors investment agreements, including, but not limited to, those conditions set forth above.

We cannot be certain that the Chapter 11 Cases will not adversely affect our operations going forward. Our bankruptcy may have affected our relationship with key employees, tenants, consumers, suppliers and communities, and our future success depends on our ability to maintain these relationships.

Although we will emerge from bankruptcy upon consummation of the Plan, there can be no assurance that having been subject to bankruptcy protection will not adversely affect our operations going forward, including our ability to negotiate favorable terms from and maintain relationships with tenants, consumers, suppliers and communities. The failure to obtain such favorable terms and maintain such relationships could adversely affect our financial performance and our ability to realize our strategy.

We are dependent on our long-tenured operational leadership to effectively manage properties across our portfolio, and an inability to retain these key employees for the period up to and following our emergence from bankruptcy could adversely affect our operations.

Following our emergence from bankruptcy, the Plan Sponsors will hold substantial interests in us, and their interests may not be aligned with the current stockholders.

We expect that the Plan Sponsors will have substantial interests in us after the consummation of the Plan; however, we cannot determine their percentage equity ownership with certainty at this time as we have the ability to reduce the Plan Sponsors funding commitment (and the ultimate ownership interest) either prior to or following our emergence from bankruptcy.

Although the Plan Sponsors are required to enter into non-control agreements to limit their influence, the concentration of ownership of our outstanding equity in the Plan Sponsors may make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of the Plan Sponsors, or more likely with the support of the Plan Sponsors. For example, the concentration of ownership held by the Plan Sponsors could delay, defer or prevent

Table of Contents

a change of control of our company or impede a merger, takeover or other business combination that may otherwise be favorable for us and the other stockholders. A Plan Sponsor, substantial stockholder or affiliate thereof may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. There can be no assurance that the non-control agreements can fully protect against these risks.
As long as the Plan Sponsors and any other substantial stockholder own, directly or indirectly, a substantial portion of our outstanding shares, subject to the terms of the non-control agreements and were they to act in a coordinated manner, they would be able to exert significant control over us following emergence, including:
• the composition of our board of directors, including the right of the Plan Sponsors and Pershing Square to designate directors under the Investment Agreements, and, through it, any determination with respect to our business;
• direction and policies, including the appointment and removal of officers;
• the determination of incentive compensation, which may affect our ability to retain key employees;
• any determinations with respect to mergers or other business combinations;
• our acquisition or disposition of assets;
• our financing decisions and our capital raising activities;
• the payment of dividends;
• conduct in regulatory and legal proceedings; and
amendments to our certificate of incorporation.

Our new directors and officers from and after the Effective Date may change our current long-range plan

As of the Effective Date, we will have a nine-member board of directors, of which three members will be designated by the Brookfield Investor
and one member will be designated by Pershing Square. Our executive officers may change following the Effective Date, subject to their
appointment by the new board of directors. Following the Effective Date, the new board of directors and management team may make material
changes to our business, operations and long-range plans described in this prospectus. It is impossible to predict what these changes will be and
the impact they will have on our future results of operations and the price of our common stock.

Liquidity Risks

Our substantial indebtedness adversely affects our financial health and operating flexibility

After giving effect to the Plan and excluding the Special Consideration Properties, we currently expect to have approximately \$20.9 billion of indebtedness outstanding, including, approximately \$1.345 billion of reinstated TRCLP bonds, \$206.2 million of reinstated trust preferred securities, approximately \$16.4 billion of consolidated project-level mortgage debt and approximately \$2.8 billion of our share of debt of our Unconsolidated Real Estate Affiliates. In addition, the Plan provides that holders of GGPLP s 3.98% exchangeable notes may elect to receive cash payment in full at the non-default rate or reinstatement of their bonds. Our indebtedness could have important consequences to us and the value of our common stock, including:

- limiting our ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy or other purposes;
- limiting our ability to use operating cash flow in other areas of our business or to pay dividends because we must dedicate a substantial portion of these funds to service debt;

58

Table	of	Contents

• increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, including increases in interest rates, particularly gis substantial indebtedness which bears interest at variable rates;	ven our
• limiting our ability to capitalize on business opportunities and to react to competitive pressures and adverse changes in government regulation;	
• limiting our ability or increasing the costs to refinance indebtedness;	
• limiting our ability to enter into marketing and hedging transactions by reducing the number of counterparties with whom we can ensuch transactions as well as the volume of those transactions; and	ter into
• giving secured lenders the ability to foreclose on our assets.	
Our debt contains restrictions and covenants	
As of June 30, 2010, we had filed plans to restructure approximately \$14.89 billion of secured mortgage debt since our initial bankruptcy. The terms of certain of this debt will require us to satisfy certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and to meet financial ratio tests, including ratios and tests based on leverage, interest coverage and net worth, or to satisfy similar tests as a precondition to incurring additional debt. We also expect to enter into a new revolving credit facility containing similar covenants and restrictions. In addition, cert our indebtedness that may be reinstated in connection with the Plan contain restrictions. The covenants and other restrictions under our deagreements affect, among other things, our ability to:	os and g tain of
• incur indebtedness;	
• create liens on assets;	
• sell assets;	
• manage our cash flows;	

	59
cont oper inst	to the current lending environment, our bankruptcy proceedings, our financial condition and general economic factors, our refinanced debt tains certain terms which are less attractive than the terms contained in the debt being refinanced. Such terms include more restrictive rational and financial covenants, restrictions on the distribution of cash flows from properties serving as collateral for the debt and, in certain ances, higher interest rates. These fees and cash flow restrictions may affect our ability to fund our on-going operations from our operating and financial limited in our operating and financial
lates secu TRO guar to o refin	ther, our ability to incur debt under the indentures governing the TRCLP Bonds which remain outstanding through November 2013 (the st maturity of the three series of reinstated TRCLP Bonds), is determined by the calculation of several covenant tests, including ratios of ured debt to gross assets and total debt to gross assets. We do not intend to include a net intercompany receivable currently owed by us to CLP as an asset for the purposes of calculating these covenants, but we do intend to include full allocations of certain indebtedness ranteed by TRCLP or its subsidiaries. As a result, our methodology for calculating these ratios would differ from the methodology used prior ur bankruptcy filing. We expect that TRCLP and its subsidiaries may need to refinance project-level debt prior to 2013, and our ability to nance such debt may be limited by these ratios which are calculated on an incurrence basis, and any potential non-compliance with the enants may result in TRCLP seeking other sources of capital, including investments from us, or may result in a default on the reinstated CLP bonds.
•	make distributions to equity holders, including holders of our common stock.
•	engage in mergers and acquisitions; and
•	make capital expenditures;
•	transfer assets to other subsidiaries;

Table of Contents

flex	ibility and, thus, may be limited in our ability to respond to changes in our business or competitive activities.		
	Our stock price historically has been, and the trading prices of the notes and shares of our common stock are likely to be, volatile, and you may lose all or part of your investment.		
The price of our common stock on the NYSE constantly changes and has been subject to significant price fluctuations. For example, between February 24, 2010 (the day we re-listed on the NYSE) and June 30, 2010, the intra-day price of our common stock on the NYSE fluctuated between \$12.31 and \$18.15 per share. The trading price of our common stock can fluctuate as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors may include:			
•	our obligations that remain after our emergence from bankruptcy;		
•	actual or anticipated variations in our operating results;		
•	changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;		
•	the success of our real estate redevelopment and expansion strategy;		
•	our ability to comply with the financial covenants in our debt agreements and the impact of restrictive covenants in our debt agreements;		
•	our access to financing;		
•	changes in market valuations of similar companies;		
•	speculation in the press or investment community; and		
•	the realization of any of the other risk factors included in this prospectus.		

Risks Related to the Distribution of Spinco

If the distribution of Spinco does not qualify as a tax-free distribution under Section 355 of the Code, then we may be required to pay substantial U.S. federal income taxes.

Our obligation to close the transactions contemplated by the Investment Agreements is conditioned upon receipt of a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) to the effect that the distribution of Spinco and certain related transactions will qualify as tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes (the IRS ruling). A private letter ruling from the IRS generally is binding on the IRS. A favorable IRS ruling has not yet been received. Such IRS ruling will not establish that the spin-off satisfies every requirement for a tax-free spin-off, and the parties will rely solely on the advice of counsel for comfort that such additional requirements are satisfied.

Even if obtained, the IRS ruling will be based on, among other things, certain representations and assumptions as to factual matters made by us. The failure of any factual representation or assumption to be true, correct and complete in all material respects could adversely affect the validity of the IRS ruling at the time of and subsequent to the distribution of Spinco. In addition, the IRS ruling will be based on current law, and cannot be relied upon if current law changes with retroactive effect. We will enter into a tax matters agreement with Spinco, pursuant to which, among other things, we may be held liable for the cost of the failure to receive a positive IRS ruling if we caused such failure. If Spinco caused such failure, Spinco could be liable for such costs. If the cause for the failure cannot be determined or was not caused by a single party, then we will share such liability with Spinco.

In addition, pursuant to the investment agreement with the Brookfield Investor, we may be required to indemnify Spinco from and against 93.75% of any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities and reasonable expenses to which Spinco and its subsidiaries become subject, in each case solely to the extent directly attributable to MPC

Table of Contents
Taxes (as defined in the investment agreement with the Brookfield Investor) in an amount up to the indemnity cap which is determined using a complex formula described in the investment agreement with the Brookfield Investor.
We may not obtain benefits from or be adversely affected by the distribution of Spinco, and the distribution of Spinco may occupy a substantial amount of management s time.
We may not achieve some or all of the expected benefits of the distribution of Spinco, or may not achieve them in a timely fashion. When the distribution is completed, our operational and financial profile will change as a result of the separation of Spinco s assets from our other businesses. As a result, our diversification of revenue sources will diminish. Some of the assets being distributed to Spinco may also compete directly with our properties in the future. In addition, we intend to enter into a transition services agreement with Spinco, pursuant to which our management will assist with transition services for Spinco. In addition to possible disputes, these obligations may occupy a substantial amount of our management s time. It is also possible that the separation of Spinco may result in disputes regarding the terms of such separation and/or future performance pursuant to agreements entered into in order to effectuate such separation.
Other risks
We are currently involved in an SEC inquiry
In July 2010, the Company received notice that, pursuant to an April 21, 2010 order, the SEC is conducting a formal, non-public investigation

In July 2010, the Company received notice that, pursuant to an April 21, 2010 order, the SEC is conducting a formal, non-public investigation into possible violations of proscriptions on insider trading under the federal securities laws by certain current and former officers and directors. The formal investigation is the continuation of an informal inquiry that the SEC initiated in October 2008. The Company intends to continue to cooperate fully with the SEC with respect to the investigation. While the Company cannot predict the outcome of this investigation with certainty, based on the information currently available to it, the Company believes that the outcome of the investigation will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Previously reported in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

None	
ITEM 6	EXHIBITS
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Consolidated Financial Statements of The Rouse Company LP, a subsidiary of General Growth Properties, Inc.
June 30, Balance Statemen S-T, this	The following financial information from General Growth Properties, Inc. s. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 2010, filed with the SEC on August [10], 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (1) Consolidated Sheets, (2) Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income, (3) Consolidated Statements of Equity, (4) Consolidated its of Cash Flows and (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is not otherwise subject to liability under ections.
and for v	to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, the registrant has not filed debt instruments relating to long-term debt that is not registered which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a ated basis as of June 30, 2010. The registrant agrees to furnish a copy of such agreements to the SEC upon request.
	61

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2010 by: /s/ Steven J. Douglas

Steven J. Douglas Chief Financial Officer

62

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Consolidated Financial Statements of The Rouse Company LP, a subsidiary of General Growth Properties, Inc.
Balance Stateme S-T, this	The following financial information from General Growth Properties, Inc. s. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 2010, filed with the SEC on August [10], 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (1) Consolidated Sheets, (2) Consolidated Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income, (3) Consolidated Statements of Equity, (4) Consolidated nts of Cash Flows and (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation is information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is not otherwise subject to liability under ctions.
for whic	t to Item 601(b)(4)(v) of Regulation S-K, the registrant has not filed debt instruments relating to long-term debt that is not registered and the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a lated basis as of June 30, 2010. The registrant agrees to furnish a copy of such agreements to the SEC upon request.

63