

REGIS CORP
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-12725

Regis Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

41-0749934

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

7201 Metro Boulevard, Edina, Minnesota

(Address of principal executive offices)

55439

(Zip Code)

(952) 947-7777

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to be submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of October 24, 2012:

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Common Stock, \$.05 par value
Class

57,526,940
Number of Shares

Table of Contents

REGIS CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information UNAUDITED

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:</u> | |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 28 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 46 |

Part II. Other Information

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 50 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 50 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 51 |

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****REGIS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (Unaudited)**

As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 222,458 | \$ 111,943 |
| Receivables, net | 32,424 | 28,954 |
| Inventories | 155,990 | 142,276 |
| Deferred income taxes | 14,520 | 14,503 |
| Income tax receivable | 14,175 | 14,098 |
| Other current assets | 24,233 | 55,903 |
| Current assets held for sale (Note 2) | 17,202 | 17,000 |
| Total current assets | 481,002 | 384,677 |
| Property and equipment, net | 303,150 | 305,799 |
| Goodwill | 463,917 | 462,279 |
| Other intangibles, net | 23,375 | 23,395 |
| Investment in and loans to affiliates | 59,910 | 160,987 |
| Other assets | 60,824 | 59,488 |
| Long-term assets held for sale (Note 2) | 176,204 | 175,221 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,568,382 | \$ 1,571,846 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Long-term debt, current portion | \$ 28,883 | \$ 28,937 |
| Accounts payable | 63,428 | 47,890 |
| Accrued expenses | 134,565 | 157,026 |
| Current liabilities related to assets held for sale (Note 2) | 15,959 | 18,120 |
| Total current liabilities | 242,835 | 251,973 |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 251,178 | 258,737 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 148,594 | 143,972 |
| Long-term liabilities related to assets held for sale (Note 2) | 28,063 | 28,007 |
| Total liabilities | 670,670 | 682,689 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.05 par value; issued and outstanding 57,527,496 and 57,415,241 common shares at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively | 2,876 | 2,871 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 347,408 | 346,943 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 38,173 | 55,114 |
| Retained earnings | 509,255 | 484,229 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 897,712 | 889,157 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,568,382 | \$ 1,571,846 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**REGIS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)****For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011****(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data amounts)**

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Revenues: | | |
| Service | \$ 393,416 | \$ 415,017 |
| Product | 102,284 | 106,773 |
| Royalties and fees | 9,660 | 9,556 |
| | 505,360 | 531,346 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Cost of service | 232,528 | 235,665 |
| Cost of product | 53,132 | 53,023 |
| Site operating expenses | 52,347 | 54,811 |
| General and administrative | 55,872 | 65,870 |
| Rent | 81,499 | 82,176 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 20,709 | 30,797 |
| Total operating expenses | 496,087 | 522,342 |
| Operating income | 9,273 | 9,004 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (6,829) | (7,360) |
| Interest income and other, net (Note 3) | 24,726 | 1,317 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies | 27,170 | 2,961 |
| Income taxes | (2,986) | (1,209) |
| Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of income taxes | 577 | 3,870 |
| Income from continuing operations | 24,761 | 5,622 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes (Note 2) | 3,777 | 2,715 |
| Net income | \$ 28,538 | \$ 8,337 |
| Net income per share: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | 0.43 | 0.10 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Net income per share, basic(1) | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.15 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | 0.39 | 0.10 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Net income per share, diluted(1) | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.15 |
| Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 57,283 | 56,849 |
| Diluted | 68,589 | 57,098 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|----|------|
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.06 |
|--|----|------|----|------|

(1) Total is a recalculation; line items calculated individually may not sum to total due to rounding.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)

For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 28,538 | \$ 8,337 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 7,038 | (20,552) |
| Change in fair market value of financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges | (23) | 446 |
| Recognition of deferred compensation and other | | (1) |
| Reclassifications associated with liquidation of foreign entities (Note 3) | (23,956) | |
| Other comprehensive loss | (16,941) | (20,107) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 11,597 | \$ (11,770) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**REGIS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)****For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011****(In thousands)**

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 28,538 | \$ 8,337 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 20,433 | 31,638 |
| Amortization | 728 | 2,468 |
| Equity in income of affiliated companies | (907) | (4,032) |
| Dividends received from affiliated companies | 347 | 270 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,120 | (2,800) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income reclassifications (Note 3) | (23,956) | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans | (35) | |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,818 | 2,440 |
| Amortization of debt discount and financing costs | 1,749 | 1,613 |
| Other noncash items affecting earnings | 98 | (477) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities (1): | | |
| Receivables | (3,355) | (907) |
| Inventories | (13,534) | (23,612) |
| Income tax receivable | (57) | 4,260 |
| Other current assets | 1,790 | 1,806 |
| Other assets | 1,408 | 509 |
| Accounts payable | 14,599 | 11,376 |
| Accrued expenses | (24,670) | (7,906) |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | (3,648) | (11,528) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 8,466 | 13,455 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (18,077) | (16,827) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 21 | 369 |
| Asset acquisitions, net of cash acquired and certain obligations assumed | | (2,077) |
| Proceeds from loans and investments | 130,281 | 1,290 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 112,225 | (17,245) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Borrowings on revolving credit facilities | | 23,900 |
| Payments on revolving credit facilities | | (23,900) |
| Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (8,905) | (9,669) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans | 35 | |
| Dividends paid | (3,448) | (3,494) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights | 45 | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (12,273) | (13,163) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 2,097 | (3,637) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 110,515 | (20,590) |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|---------|----|--------|
| Beginning of period | | 111,943 | | 96,263 |
| End of period | \$ | 222,458 | \$ | 75,673 |

(1) Changes in operating assets and liabilities exclude assets acquired and liabilities assumed through acquisitions.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

REGIS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Regis Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2012 and for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to fairly state the consolidated financial position of the Company as of September 30, 2012 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the interim periods. Adjustments consist only of normal recurring items, except for any discussed in the notes below. The results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results of operations and cash flows for the full year.

The Consolidated Balance Sheet data for June 30, 2012 was derived from audited Consolidated Financial Statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 and other documents filed or furnished with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) during the current fiscal year.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company as of September 30, 2012 and for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included in this Form 10-Q have been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Their separate report dated November 9, 2012 appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a report or a part of the registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Reclassifications:

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, salon marketing and advertising expenses that were presented within cost of service and general and administrative operating expense line items in prior filings were reclassified to site operating expenses within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The reclassifications were made to better present how management of the Company views the respective salon marketing and advertising expenses. The prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on operating income or net income. The table below presents the impact of the reclassification to the three months ended September 30, 2011 and excludes discontinued operations:

**For the Three Months Ended,
September 30, 2011**

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Prior Presentation(1) | Reclassification (Dollars in thousands) | As Presented |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| Cost of service | \$ 235,969 | \$ (304) | \$ 235,665 |
| Site operating expenses | 50,971 | 3,840 | 54,811 |
| General and administrative | 69,406 | (3,536) | 65,870 |

(1) Prior presentation amounts exclude amounts related to discontinued operations. See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

In addition, expenses associated with our distribution centers were reclassified from Corporate to our North America reportable segment. The reclassifications were made to better present how management of the Company views the respective distribution centers expenses. This reclassification had no impact on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The table below presents the impact of the reclassification of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization expenses between the Company's Corporate and North America reportable segments:

Table of Contents

| | For the three months ended, September 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | North America | | | Corporate | | | |
| | Prior Presentation(1) | Reclassification (Dollars in thousands) | As Presented(3) | Prior Presentation | Reclassification (Dollars in thousands) | As Presented(3) | |
| General and administrative(2) | \$ 29,706 | \$ 2,939 | \$ 32,645 | \$ 36,775 | \$ (6,191) | \$ 30,584 | |
| Rent | 73,215 | 165 | 73,380 | 197 | (165) | 32 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,970 | 571 | 18,541 | 11,521 | (571) | 10,950 | |

(1) Prior presentation amounts exclude amounts related to discontinued operations. See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

(2) The North America general and administrative reclassification consists of a \$6,191 increase for the offset to the Corporate reclassification within this line item, partially offset by a decrease of \$3,252 applicable to North America for the marketing and advertising expense reclassification above.

(3) See Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for presentation of segment information.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation:

Stock-based awards are granted under the terms of the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). Additionally, the Company has outstanding stock options under its 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan), although the 2000 Plan terminated in 2010. Under these plans, five types of stock-based compensation awards are granted: stock options, equity-based stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock awards (RSAs), restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share units (PSUs). Stock options, SARs and RSAs granted prior to July 1, 2011 under the 2004 Plan generally vest at a rate of 20.0 percent annually on each of the first five anniversaries of the date of grant. The stock options and SARs have a maximum term of ten years. The RSUs granted prior to fiscal year 2012 cliff vest after five years and payment of the RSUs is deferred until January 31 of the year following vesting.

During fiscal year 2012, the Company granted RSAs and RSUs. Certain of the RSAs vest at a rate of 20.0 percent annually on the first five anniversaries of the date of grant while the other RSAs cliff vest two years after the grant date. The RSUs granted to the Company's non-employee directors occurred in the last month of fiscal year 2012, with retroactive vesting on a monthly basis, generally from the Company's 2011 annual shareholder meeting date. The distribution of vested RSUs is deferred until the non-employee director's separation of service from the Company, at which time the vested RSUs will be converted into common stock. Awards granted prior to July 1, 2012 do not contain acceleration of vesting terms for retirement of eligible recipients.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company granted SARs, RSAs, RSUs, and PSUs. The SARs and RSUs granted to employees vest 33.3 percent annually on the first three anniversaries of the date of grant and become fully vested on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The Company also granted RSUs to the Company's Chief Executive Officer that will vest in full and convert to common stock if the Company's stock price reaches a certain price for twenty consecutive days prior to the fifth anniversary of his start date. The RSAs granted cliff vest after five years. The PSUs represent shares potentially issuable in the future. Issuance of the PSUs is based on the Company's performance during fiscal year 2013 as it relates to the Company achievement of same-store sales and adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization.

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Awards granted after July 1, 2012 generally contain various acceleration of vesting terms depending on the type of award for eligible recipients aged sixty-two years or older and employees aged fifty-five and have fifteen years of continuous service.

Unvested awards are subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of employment. The Company utilizes an option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and SARs at their grant date. Stock options and SARs are granted at not less than fair market value on the date of grant. The Company utilized a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the fair value of the market-based restricted stock unit. The Company generally recognizes compensation expense for its stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the respective awards vesting period. Compensation expense related to the PSUs is recognized based on the Company's estimate for the number of shares that will ultimately be issued. Historically, the Company's primary employee stock-based compensation grant occurs during the fourth fiscal quarter.

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Total compensation cost for stock-based payment arrangements totaled \$1.8 and \$2.4 million for each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Stock options outstanding and weighted average exercise price as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

| Options | Shares (in thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2012 | 652 | \$ 32.53 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | (3) | 18.90 |
| Forfeited or expired | (64) | 29.77 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2012 | 585 | \$ 32.89 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2012 | 531 | \$ 33.94 |

Outstanding options of 584,938 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value (the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise or grant date price) of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.6 years. Exercisable options of 530,568 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.3 years. Of the outstanding and unvested options and due to estimated forfeitures, 49,399 are expected to vest with a \$22.96 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 6.7 years and a total intrinsic value of zero.

All options granted relate to stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders of the Company.

The table below contains a rollforward of RSAs, RSUs and SARs outstanding, as well as other relevant terms of the awards:

| | Restricted Stock Outstanding | | SARs Outstanding | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| | Shares/Units (in thousands) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares (in thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | 660 | \$ 25.44 | 734 | \$ 26.02 |
| Granted | 284 | 17.52 | 581 | 18.01 |
| Vested/Exercised | (17) | 17.96 | (3) | 16.60 |
| Forfeited or expired | (3) | 18.01 | (287) | 28.00 |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | 924 | \$ 23.18 | 1,025 | \$ 20.95 |

Outstanding and unvested RSAs of 500,701 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$9.2 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 2.5 years. Due to estimated forfeitures, 452,826 are expected to vest with a total intrinsic value of \$8.3 million.

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Outstanding RSUs of 423,782 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$7.8 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 1.0 years. Vested RSUs of 250,907 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$4.6 million. Unvested RSUs of 164,106 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$3.0 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 2.6 years. The payment of 215,000 vested RSUs is deferred until January 31, 2013. The payment of the remaining 35,907 vested RSUs is deferred until the non-employee director no longer serves on the Board of Directors, at which time the vested RSUs will be converted into common stock.

Outstanding SARs of 1,024,725 at September 30, 2012 had a total intrinsic value of \$0.3 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 8.1 years. Exercisable SARs of 299,080 at September 30, 2012 had a total intrinsic value of less than \$0.1 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.2 years. Of the outstanding and unvested rights and due to estimated forfeitures, 672,567 are expected to vest with a \$18.18 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.4 years and a total intrinsic value of \$0.3 million.

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Performance share units outstanding and weighted average grant date fair value as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

| Performance Share | Units (1) (in thousands) | Nonvested Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2012 | | \$ |
| Granted | 193 | 18.38 |
| Vested | | |
| Forfeited | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2012 | 193 | \$ 18.38 |

(1) Assumes attainment of targeted payout rates as set forth in the performance criteria based in thousands of share units.

Future compensation expense for currently unvested PSUs could reach a maximum of \$7.1 million, assuming payout of all unvested performance units. Assuming attainment of targeted payout rates, future compensation expense for currently unvested PSUs would be \$3.5 million.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 total cash received from the exercise of share-based instruments was less than \$0.1 million and zero, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$17.8 million. The related weighted average period over which such cost is expected to be recognized was approximately 2.9 years as of September 30, 2012.

The total intrinsic value of all stock-based compensation that was exercised during each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was less than \$0.1 million.

The total fair value of stock awards that vested and were distributed during the months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, was \$0.3 and less than \$0.1 million, respectively.

Using the fair value of each grant on the date of grant, the weighted average fair values per stock-based compensation award granted during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|
| SARs | \$ 6.64 | \$ 13.59 |
| Restricted stock awards | 18.01 | 17.16 |
| Restricted stock units | 17.16 | 18.38 |
| Performance share units | 18.38 | |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

The expense associated with the RSA and RSU grants is based on the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. The significant assumptions used in determining the underlying fair value on the date of grant of the SAR and market-based RSU grants issued during the three months ended September 30 2012 is presented below:

| | SAR | RSU |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.85% | 0.66% |
| Expected term (in years) | 6.00 | N/A |
| Expected volatility | 44.00% | 47.00% |
| Expected dividend yield | 1.33% | 1.33% |

The risk free rate of return is determined based on the U.S. Treasury rates approximating the expected life of the respective awards granted. Expected volatility is established based on historical volatility of the Company's stock price. Estimated expected life was based on an analysis of historical stock options granted data which included analyzing grant activity including grants exercised, expired, and canceled. The expected dividend yield is determined based on the Company's annual dividend amount as a percentage of the strike price at the time of the grant. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting forfeiture rates.

Table of Contents**Goodwill:**

As of the fiscal year 2012 annual impairment testing of goodwill, the estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units exceeded the carrying value by approximately 14.0 and 12.0 percent, respectively. The respective fair values of the Company's remaining reporting units exceeded carrying value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may experience additional impairment in future periods. As previously disclosed, the Company has agreed to sell the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit in fiscal year 2013; however, until this reporting unit is sold, it is reasonably likely that there could be impairment of the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit's goodwill in future periods. See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details of Hair Restoration Center's goodwill balance. The term "reasonably likely" refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of goodwill for the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company's estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units' fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2012.

A summary of the Company's goodwill balance as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 by reporting unit is as follows:

| Reporting Unit | As of | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Regis | \$ 35,957 | \$ 35,910 |
| MasterCuts | 4,652 | 4,652 |
| SmartStyle | 48,780 | 48,558 |
| Supercuts | 129,634 | 129,621 |
| Promenade | 244,894 | 243,538 |
| Total North America Salons | \$ 463,917 | \$ 462,279 |

See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on the Company's goodwill balance.

Property and Equipment:

Historically, because of the Company's large size and scale requirements it has been necessary for the Company to internally develop and support its own proprietary point-of-sale (POS) information system. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company identified a third party POS alternative. At June 30, 2011 and throughout fiscal year 2012, the Company reassessed and adjusted the remaining useful life of the Company's capitalized POS software. As of June 30, 2012, the existing POS information system was fully depreciated. Depreciation expense related to the existing POS information system totaled zero and \$9.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Prior to September 30, 2012, the Company decided the third party POS alternative would only be utilized in United Kingdom (U.K.). The Company reviewed the third party POS alternative capitalized software carrying value for impairment at September 30, 2012. As a result of the Company's long-lived asset impairment testing at September 30, 2012 for this grouping of assets, no impairment charges were recorded. There was no adjustment to the useful life as the Company expects to fully utilize the third party POS alternative in the U.K. salons. The Company is currently evaluating another third party POS solution for salons in North America.

Recent Accounting Standards Adopted by the Company:

Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) updated the accounting guidance related to annual and interim goodwill impairment testing. The updated accounting guidance allows entities to first assess qualitative factors before performing a quantitative assessment of the fair value of a reporting unit. If it is determined on the basis of qualitative factors that the fair value of the reporting

Table of Contents

unit is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the existing quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The Company adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, and subsequently amended in December 2011, the FASB issued final guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Under the newly issued guidance, net income and comprehensive income may only be presented either as one continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The Company retrospectively adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, with comprehensive income shown as a separate statement immediately following the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Accounting Standards Recently Issued But Not Yet Adopted by the Company:

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to disclosures on offsetting assets and liabilities on the balance sheet. This newly issued accounting standard requires an entity to disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet as well as instruments and transactions executed under a master netting or similar arrangement and was issued to enable users of financial statements to understand the effects or potential effects of those arrangements on its financial position. This accounting guidance is required to be applied retrospectively and is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. Since the accounting guidance only impacts disclosure requirements, its adoption will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment

In July 2012, the FASB updated the accounting guidance related to annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment testing. The updated accounting guidance allows entities to first assess qualitative factors before performing a quantitative assessment of the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets. If it is determined on the basis of qualitative factors that the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the existing quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The updated guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014 with early adoption permitted under certain circumstances. The Company will adopt this accounting guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014 and does not expect it to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

Hair Restoration Centers

On July 13, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Hair Club for Men and Women business (Hair Club), a provider of hair restoration services, for cash of \$163.5 million to Aderans, Co., Ltd. The definitive agreement includes a working capital adjustment provision that could impact the final sale price. The sale includes the Company's 50.0 percent interest in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. accounted for by the Company under the equity method. The transaction is expected to close during fiscal year 2013. The Company is currently anticipating recognizing a gain upon closing of the deal.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company classified the results of operations of Hair Club as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The assets and liabilities of this business to be sold met the criteria to be classified as held for sale and have been aggregated and reported as current assets held for sale, long-term assets held for sale, current liabilities related to assets held for sale and long-term liabilities related to assets held for sale in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for all periods presented. The classification was based on the Company entering into the agreement to sell Hair Club, the centers being available for sale in present condition, and the sale being probable as of September 30, 2012. The operations and cash flows of Hair Club will be eliminated from ongoing operations of the Company, which was previously recorded as the Hair Restoration reporting segment. There will be no significant continuing involvement by the Company in the operations of Hair Club after disposal.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the assets and liabilities of our Hair Club operations at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2012 | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Current assets held for sale | | | | |
| Receivables, net | \$ | 2,563 | \$ | 2,624 |
| Inventories | | 6,564 | | 6,165 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 3,229 | | 2,892 |
| Other current assets | | 4,846 | | 5,319 |
| Total current assets held for sale | | 17,202 | | 17,000 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | | |
| Property and equipment, net | | 18,559 | | 17,261 |
| Goodwill | | 74,374 | | 74,376 |
| Other intangibles, net | | 78,119 | | 78,395 |
| Investment in affiliates | | 5,152 | | 5,189 |
| Total long-term assets held for sale | | 176,204 | | 175,221 |
| Total assets held for sale | \$ | 193,406 | \$ | 192,221 |
| Current liabilities related to assets held for sale | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 1,806 | \$ | 2,564 |
| Accrued expenses | | 14,153 | | 15,556 |
| Total current liabilities related to assets held for sale | | 15,959 | | 18,120 |
| Other non-current liabilities related to assets held for sale | | 28,063 | | 28,007 |
| Total liabilities related to assets held for sale | \$ | 44,022 | \$ | 46,127 |

The following summarizes the results of operations of our discontinued hair restoration service operations for the periods presented:

| | For the Periods Ended | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|-------------|
| | September 30, | | | |
| | Three Months | | | |
| | 2012 | (Dollars in thousands) | | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$ | 38,951 | \$ | 37,403 |
| Income from discontinued operations, before income taxes | | 5,872 | | 4,067 |
| Income tax provision on discontinued operations | | (2,299) | | (1,514) |
| Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of tax | | 204 | | 162 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | 3,777 | | 2,715 |

Income taxes have been allocated to continuing and discontinued operations based on the methodology required by interim reporting and accounting for income taxes guidance. Depreciation and amortization were ceased during the three months ended September 30, 2012 in accordance with accounting for discontinued operations.

Trade Secret

On February 16, 2009, the Company sold its Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). The Company reported Trade Secret as a discontinued operation. The carrying value of the note receivable with the purchaser of Trade Secret was fully reserved as of June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

The purchaser of Trade Secret emerged from bankruptcy in March 2012 and in conjunction, the Company entered into a credit and security agreement in which the principal balance of the note receivable was reduced from \$35.7 to \$18.0 million. Payments of \$0.5 million are due quarterly beginning on May 31, 2012. Upon receipt of the quarterly payments through February 2019 the remaining principal and unpaid interest will be forgiven. The purchaser of Trade Secret partially satisfied the principal payment during the first fiscal quarter of 2013 by providing the Company with \$0.3 million of saleable inventory. The Company recorded the recovery of bad debt expense upon receipt of the inventory during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The carrying value of the note receivable continues to be fully reserved at September 30, 2012.

Effective in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company has an agreement in which the Company provides warehouse services to the purchaser of Trade Secret. Under the warehouse services agreement, the Company recognized \$0.2 and \$0.5 million of other income related to warehouse services during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The carrying value of the receivable related to warehouse services was \$0.2 and \$0.1 million as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not Trade Secret was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret. The Company concluded that Trade Secret is a VIE based on the fact that the equity investment at risk in Trade Secret is insufficient. The Company determined that the purchaser of Trade Secret has met the power criterion due to the purchaser of Trade Secret having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Trade Secret's economic performance. The Company concluded based on the consideration above that the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret is the purchaser of Trade Secret. The exposure to loss related to the Company's involvement with Trade Secret is the guarantee of approximately 20 operating leases. The Company has determined the exposure to the risk of loss on the guarantee of the operating leases to be immaterial to the financial statements.

3. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

Net Income Per Share:

The Company's basic earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding, excluding unvested outstanding RSAs and RSUs. The Company's dilutive earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, which includes shares issuable under the Company's stock option plan and long-term incentive plan, and dilutive securities. Stock-based awards with exercise prices greater than the average market value of the Company's common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. The Company's dilutive earnings per share will also reflect the assumed conversion under the Company's convertible debt if the impact is dilutive, along with the exclusion of interest expense, net of taxes. The impact of the convertible debt is excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share when interest expense per common share obtainable upon conversion is greater than basic earnings per share.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

**For the Three Months
Ended September 30,
2012 2011
(Shares in thousands)**

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares for basic earnings per share | 57,283 | 56,849 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation | 67 | 249 |
| Dilutive effect of convertible debt | 11,239 | |
| Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share | 68,589 | 57,098 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the awards which are excluded from the various earnings per share calculations:

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Shares in thousands) | |
| <i>Basic earnings per share:</i> | | |
| RSAAs (1) | 501 | 858 |
| RSUs (1) | 173 | 215 |
| | 674 | 1,073 |
| <i>Diluted earnings per share:</i> | | |
| Stock options (2) | 585 | 826 |
| SARs (2) | 444 | 1,030 |
| RSAAs (2) | | 767 |
| Shares issuable upon conversion of debt (3) | | 11,184 |
| | 1,029 | 13,807 |

-
- (1) Awards were not vested
- (2) Awards were anti-dilutive
- (3) Shares were anti-dilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders and the net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share under the if-converted method:

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ 24,761 | \$ 5,622 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Interest on convertible debt, net of taxes | 2,130 | |
| Net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share | \$ 26,891 | \$ 5,622 |

Additional Paid-In Capital:

The change in additional paid-in capital during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to the following:

(Dollars in
thousands)

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----|---------|
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ | 346,943 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 1,818 |
| Vested stock option and stock appreciation right expirations | | (1,126) |
| Taxes related to restricted stock | | (105) |
| Net tax loss from stock-based compensation plans | | (165) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights | | 45 |
| Other | | (2) |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | \$ | 347,408 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:

The Company completed the sale of its investment in Provalliance during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and subsequently liquidated all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations. Amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income that were recognized in earnings were foreign currency translation rate gain adjustments of \$33.6 million, a cumulative tax-effected net loss of \$7.9 million associated with a cross-currency swap that was settled in fiscal year 2007 that hedged the Company's European operations, and a \$1.7 million net loss associated cash repatriation with the Company's European operations.

Table of Contents

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

The fair value measurement guidance for financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. This guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prescribed by this guidance contains three levels as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following tables sets

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values.

| | Fair Value at September 30, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 (Dollars in thousands) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Noncurrent assets | | | | |
| Equity call option-Roosters | \$ 117 | \$ | \$ | \$ 117 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Non-current liabilities | | | | |
| Equity put option - Roosters | \$ 161 | \$ | \$ | \$ 161 |

Table of Contents

| | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 (Dollars in thousands) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | |
| Derivative instruments | \$ 145 | \$ | \$ 145 | \$ |
| Noncurrent assets | | | | |
| Equity call option-Roosters | 117 | | | 117 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Non-current liabilities | | | | |
| Equity put option-Provalliance | \$ 633 | \$ | \$ | \$ 633 |
| Equity put option-Roosters | 161 | | | 161 |

Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the changes during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in our Level 3 financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

| | Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|
| | Roosters | Roosters | Provalliance |
| | Equity Call Option | Equity Put Option (Dollars in thousands) | Equity Put Option |
| Balance at July 1, 2012 | \$ 117 | \$ 161 | \$ 633 |
| Total realized and unrealized losses: | | | |
| Included in equity income of affiliated companies | | | (633) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 117 | \$ 161 | \$ |

| | Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
| | Roosters | Roosters | Provalliance |
| | Equity Call Option | Equity Put Option (Dollars in thousands) | Equity Put Option |
| Balance at July 1, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ 22,700 |
| Total realized and unrealized losses: | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive loss | | | (1,576) |
| Issuances | | 161 | |
| Purchases | 117 | | |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$ 117 | \$ 161 | \$ 21,124 |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Derivative instruments. The Company's derivative instrument assets and liabilities historically have consisted of cash flow hedges represented by forward foreign currency contracts. The instruments are classified as Level 2 as the fair value is obtained using observable inputs available for similar liabilities in active markets at the measurement date that are reviewed by the Company. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity put option Provalliance. The Company's merger of the European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group on January 31, 2008 contained an equity put (Provalliance Equity Put) and an equity call. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the share purchase agreement. On September 27, 2012 the share purchase agreement closed in which the Company sold its 46.7 percent equity interest in Provalliance. The fair value of the Provalliance Equity Put decreased to zero during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as it automatically terminated upon closing of the share purchase agreement.

Equity put and call options Roosters. The purchase agreement for the Company's acquisition of a 60.0 percent ownership interest in Roosters MGC International LLC (Roosters) on July 1, 2011 contained an equity put (Roosters Equity Put) and an equity call (Roosters Equity Call). See further discussion within Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The

Table of Contents

Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call are valued using binomial lattice models that incorporate assumptions including the business enterprise value at that date and future estimates of volatility and earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization multiples. The sensitivity of the underlying assumptions to the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call is not material to the consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2012, the fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call were \$0.2 and \$0.1 million, respectively, and are classified within noncurrent liabilities and other assets, respectively, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Financial Instruments. In addition to the financial instruments listed above, the Company's financial instruments also include cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and debt.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximated the carrying values as of September 30, 2012 and 2011. At September 30, 2012, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$298.5 and \$280.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2011, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$330.0 and \$304.0 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of debt was determined based on internal valuation models, which utilize quoted market prices and interest rates for the same or similar instruments (Level 2).

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We measure certain assets, including the Company's equity method investments, tangible fixed assets and goodwill, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis when they are deemed to be other than temporarily impaired. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections.

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the \$80 million share purchase agreement related to Provalliance. Other than the Company's investment in Provalliance, there were no assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES:

The table below contains details related to the Company's recorded goodwill as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

| | North America | Salons International | Consolidated |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Gross goodwill at June 30, 2012 | \$ 717,466 | \$ 41,661 | \$ 759,127 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (255,187) | (41,661) | (296,848) |
| Net goodwill at June 30, 2012 | 462,279 | | 462,279 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Goodwill acquired | | | | |
| Translation rate adjustments | | 1,638 | | 1,638 |
| Gross goodwill at September 30, 2012 | | 719,104 | 41,661 | 760,765 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | | (255,187) | (41,661) | (296,848) |
| Net goodwill at September 30, 2012 | \$ | 463,917 | \$ | 463,917 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The table below presents other intangible assets as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | | June 30, 2012 | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization (1) | Net | Cost | Accumulated Amortization (1) | Net |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | | | | |
| Brand assets and trade names | \$ 9,710 | \$ (3,108) | \$ 6,602 | \$ 9,494 | \$ (2,960) | \$ 6,534 |
| Franchise agreements | 11,646 | (6,748) | 4,898 | 11,398 | (6,494) | 4,904 |
| Lease intangibles | 14,844 | (6,069) | 8,775 | 14,796 | (5,862) | 8,934 |
| Non-compete agreements | 213 | (130) | 83 | 207 | (117) | 90 |
| Other | 4,695 | (1,678) | 3,017 | 4,533 | (1,600) | 2,933 |
| | \$ 41,108 | \$ (17,733) | \$ 23,375 | \$ 40,428 | \$ (17,033) | \$ 23,395 |

(1) Balance sheet accounts are converted at the applicable exchange rates effective as of the reported balance sheet dates, while income statement accounts are converted at the average exchange rates for the year-to-date periods presented.

All intangible assets have been assigned an estimated finite useful life and are amortized over the number of years that approximate their respective useful lives (ranging from one to 40 years). The cost of intangible assets is amortized to earnings in proportion to the amount of economic benefits obtained by the Company in that reporting period. The weighted average amortization periods, in aggregate and by major intangible asset class, are as follows:

| | Weighted Average Amortization Period | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In years) | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | |
| Brand assets and trade names | 33 | 33 |
| Franchise agreements | 19 | 19 |
| Lease intangibles | 20 | 20 |
| Non-compete agreements | 6 | 6 |
| Other | 21 | 21 |
| Weighted average amortization period | 23 | 23 |

Total amortization expense related to the amortizable intangible assets was \$0.7 and \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, future estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets is estimated to be:

| Fiscal Year | (Dollars in thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2013 (Remainder: nine-month period) | \$ 1,333 |
| 2014 | 1,946 |

| | |
|------|-------|
| 2015 | 1,923 |
| 2016 | 1,891 |
| 2017 | 1,889 |

6. ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENT IN AND LOANS TO AFFILIATES:

Acquisitions

The Company did not make any acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company made salon acquisitions and the purchase prices have been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. These acquisitions individually and in the aggregate are not material to the Company's operations. Operations of the acquired companies have been included in the operations of the Company since the date of the respective acquisition.

Table of Contents

Based upon purchase price allocations, the components of the aggregate purchase prices of the acquisitions made during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and the allocation of the purchase prices were as follows:

| Allocation of Purchase Prices | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|---|---------|
| Components of aggregate purchase prices: | | |
| Cash (net of cash acquired) | \$ | 2,077 |
| Allocation of the purchase price: | | |
| Current assets | \$ | 304 |
| Property and equipment | | 145 |
| Goodwill | | 4,337 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | | 572 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | (1,068) |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | (1,313) |
| Noncontrolling interest | | (900) |
| | \$ | 2,077 |

The majority of the purchase price in salon acquisitions is accounted for as residual goodwill rather than identifiable intangible assets. This stems from the value associated with the walk-in guest base of the acquired salons, which is not recorded as an identifiable intangible asset under current accounting guidance, as well as the limited value and guest preference associated with the acquired hair salon brand. Key factors considered by consumers of hair salon services include personal relationships with individual stylists, service quality and price point competitiveness. These attributes represent the going concern value of the salon.

Residual goodwill further represents the Company's opportunity to strategically combine the acquired business with the Company's existing structure to serve a greater number of guests through its expansion strategies. In the acquisitions of international salons, the residual goodwill primarily represents the growth prospects that are not captured as part of acquired tangible or identified intangible assets. Generally, the goodwill recognized in the North American salon transactions is expected to be fully deductible for tax purposes and the goodwill recognized in the international salon transactions is not deductible for tax purposes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, certain of the Company's salon acquisitions were from its franchisees. The Company evaluated the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts and associated rights afforded by those contracts. The Company determined that the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts at the date of the acquisition did not result in a gain or loss, as the agreements were neither favorable nor unfavorable when compared to similar current market transactions, and no settlement provisions exist in the pre-existing contracts. Therefore, no settlement gain or loss was recognized with respect to the Company's franchise buybacks.

On July 1, 2011, the Company acquired 31 franchise salon locations through its acquisition of a 60.0 percent ownership interest in Roosters for \$2.3 million. The purchase agreement contains a right, Roosters Equity Put, to require the Company to purchase additional ownership interest in Roosters between specified dates in 2012 to 2015, and an option, Roosters Equity Call, whereby the Company can acquire additional ownership interest in Roosters beginning in 2015. The acquisition price is determined based on a multiple of the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization of Roosters for a trailing twelve month period adjusted for certain items as defined in the agreement which is intended to approximate fair value. The initial estimated fair values as of July 1, 2011 of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call were \$0.2 and

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

\$0.1 million, respectively. Any changes in the estimated fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call are recorded in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 there were no changes in the estimated fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in Roosters was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that Roosters is a VIE based on the fact that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lack the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity. The Roosters Equity Put is based on a formula that may or may not be at market when exercised, therefore, it could prevent the minority interest owners from absorbing its share of expected losses by transferring such obligation to the Company. Under certain circumstances, including a decline in the fair value of Roosters, the Roosters

Table of Contents

Equity Put could be exercised and the minority interest owners could be protected from absorbing the downside of the equity interest. As the Roosters Equity Put absorbs a large amount of variability, this characteristic results in Roosters being a VIE.

Regis determined that the Company has met the power criterion due to the Company having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Roosters' economic performance. The Company concluded based on the considerations above that it is the primary beneficiary of Roosters and therefore the financial positions, results of operations, and cash flows of Roosters are consolidated in the Company's financial statements from the acquisition date. Total assets, total liabilities and total shareholders' equity of Roosters as of September 30, 2012 were \$5.8, \$2.0 and \$3.8 million, respectively. Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Roosters was less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and was recorded in interest income and other, net within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. Shareholders' equity attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Roosters was \$1.6 million as of September 30, 2012 and was recorded in retained earnings within the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Investment in and loans to affiliates

The table below presents the carrying amount of investments in and loans to affiliates as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2012 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Empire Education Group, Inc. | \$ | 59,631 | \$ 59,683 |
| Provalliance | | | 101,304 |
| MY Style | | 279 | |
| | \$ | 59,910 | \$ 160,987 |

Empire Education Group, Inc.

On August 1, 2007, the Company contributed its 51 wholly-owned accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG) in exchange for a 49.0 percent equity interest in EEG. In January 2008, the Company's effective ownership interest increased to 55.1 percent related to the buyout of EEG's minority interest shareholder. EEG operates 105 accredited cosmetology schools.

At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had a \$0.8 and \$21.4 million outstanding loan receivable with EEG, respectively. The Company has also provided EEG with a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, against which there were no outstanding borrowings as of September 30, 2012 and 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 and \$0.1 million, respectively, of interest income related to the loan and revolving credit facility. The Company has also guaranteed a credit facility of EEG that expires on December 31, 2012 with a maximum exposure of \$9 million. The exposure to loss related to the Company's involvement with EEG is the carrying value of the investment, the outstanding loan and the guarantee of the credit facility.

Due to economic and other factors, the Company may be required to record impairment charges related to our investment in EEG and such impairments could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the market values of for

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

profit educational entities has continued to decline. Since market values are part of how the Company assesses the fair value of EEG, if market conditions do not improve, this increases the likelihood the Company would need to record an impairment on our investment in EEG during fiscal year 2013. In addition, EEG may be required to record impairment charges related to long-lived assets and goodwill, and our share of such impairment charges could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations.

The Company utilized consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in EEG was a variable interest entity (VIE), and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that EEG was not a VIE based on the fact that EEG had sufficient equity at risk. As the substantive voting control relates to the voting rights of the Board of Directors, the Company granted the other shareholder a proxy to vote such number of the Company's shares such that the other shareholder would have voting control of 51.0 percent of the common stock of EEG. The Company accounts for EEG as an equity investment under the voting interest model. During three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 and \$1.0 million of equity loss and earnings, respectively, related to its investment in EEG.

Table of Contents

Provalliance

On April 9, 2012, the Company entered into the Agreement to sell the Company's 46.7 percent equity interest in Provalliance to the Provost Family for a purchase price of \$80 million. The transaction closed on September 27, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 the Company recorded a \$0.6 million decrease in the fair value of the Provalliance Equity Put that automatically terminated upon closing of the share purchase agreement. Due to the sale of the Company's investment in Provalliance, the Company has liquidated its foreign entities with Euro denominated operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$24.0 million from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings, primarily cumulative translation adjustment as a result of the liquidated Euro denominated operations.

MY Style

In April 2007, the Company purchased exchangeable notes issued by Yamano Holding Corporation (Exchangeable Note) and a loan obligation of a Yamano Holdings subsidiary, MY Style, formally known as Beauty Plaza Co. Ltd., (MY Style Note) for an aggregate amount of \$11.3 million (1.3 billion Yen as of April 2007). The Exchangeable Note contained an option that the Company exercised during the three months ended September 30, 2012 by exchanging a portion (21,700,000 Yen or \$0.3 million) of the Exchangeable Note for 27.1 percent of the 800 outstanding shares of common stock of MY Style. The Company accounts for the 27.1 percent ownership interest in MY Style as a cost method investment. The Company has no influence over the operating and financial policies of MY Style as the Company does not have representation on MY Style's board of directors and is a minority shareholder with no voting influence compared to Yamano Holding Corporation's super-majority voting interest.

MY Style Note. As of September 30, 2012, the principal amount outstanding under the MY Style Note is \$0.7 million (52,164,000 Yen). Principal payments of 52,164,000 Yen along with accrued interest are due annually on May 31 through May 31, 2013. The Company reviews the outstanding note with MY Style for changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events that suggest the Company's note may not be recoverable. The \$0.7 million outstanding note with MY Style as of September 30, 2012 is in good standing with no associated valuation allowance. The Company has determined the future cash flows of MY Style support the ability to make payments on the outstanding note. The MY Style Note accrues interest at 3.0 percent. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the MY Style Note during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

All foreign currency transaction gains and losses on the MY Style Note are recorded through other income within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The foreign currency transaction gain was less than \$0.1 and \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The exposure to loss related to the Company's involvement with MY Style is the carrying value of the outstanding note and investment in MY Style.

7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

As of September 30, 2012 the Company did not have any derivative instruments.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company previously used forward foreign currency contracts to manage foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with certain forecasted intercompany transactions. The Company's primary forward foreign currency contracts hedged approximately \$0.6 million of monthly payments in Canadian dollars for intercompany transactions. The Company's forward foreign currency contracts hedged transactions through September 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized a \$0.4 million gain on the forward foreign currency contracts designated as cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income.

These cash flow hedges were effective as cash flow hedges. They were recorded at fair value within other noncurrent liabilities or other current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, with corresponding offsets primarily recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax.

Freestanding Derivative Forward Contracts

The Company previously used freestanding derivative forward contracts to offset the Company's exposure to the change in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated investments and intercompany assets and liabilities. These derivatives were not designated as hedges and therefore, changes in the fair value of these forward contracts are recognized currently in earnings, thereby offsetting the current earnings effect of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had less than \$0.1 and \$0.1 million in forward foreign currency contracts, designed as a cash flow hedge and a freestanding derivative contract, respectively, recorded within other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

There were no reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income into current earnings during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized less than \$0.1 and (\$0.4) million within interest income and other, net associated with the freestanding forward foreign currency contracts.

8. LITIGATION:

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide consumer and wage and hour violations. In addition, the Company is a nominal defendant, and nine current and former directors and officers of the Company are named defendants, in a shareholder derivative action in Minnesota state court. The derivative shareholder alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with their approval of certain executive compensation arrangements and certain related party transactions. A Special Litigation Committee has been formed per the direction of the judge in the matter. The Company is working with outside counsel to formulate its next steps in keeping with the courts. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although the actions are being vigorously defended, the Company could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations in any particular period.

9. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS:

The Company's long-term debt as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

| | Maturity Dates (fiscal year) | Interest rate percentage | | Amounts outstanding | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
| | | | | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Senior term notes | 2013 - 2018 | 6.69 - 8.50% | 6.69 - 8.50% | \$ 104,286 | \$ 111,429 |
| Convertible senior notes | 2015 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 162,422 | 161,134 |
| Revolving credit facility | 2016 | | | | |
| Equipment and leasehold notes payable | 2015 - 2016 | 4.90 - 8.75 | 4.90 - 8.75 | 13,219 | 14,780 |
| Other notes payable | 2013 | 8.00 | 5.75 - 8.00 | 134 | 331 |
| | | | | 280,061 | 287,674 |
| Less current portion | | | | (28,883) | (28,937) |
| Long-term portion | | | | \$ 251,178 | \$ 258,737 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

The debt agreements contain covenants, including limitations on incurrence of debt, granting of liens, investments, merger or consolidation, and transactions with affiliates. In addition, the Company must adhere to specified fixed charge coverage and leverage ratios, as well as minimum net worth levels. The Company was in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our financing arrangements as of September 30, 2012. The Company obtained a waiver in connection with the agreement to sell Hair Club.

The table below contains details related to the Company's debt for the three months ending September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| Total Debt | For the Three Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | September 30, | |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Balance at June 30, | \$ 287,674 | \$ 313,411 |
| Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (8,905) | (9,669) |
| Amortized debt discount | 1,288 | 1,183 |
| Other | 4 | (910) |
| Balance at September 30, | \$ 280,061 | \$ 304,015 |

Table of Contents*Private Shelf Agreement*

At September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the Company had \$104.3 and \$111.4 million, respectively, in unsecured, fixed rate, senior term notes outstanding under a Private Shelf Agreement, of which \$22.1 million were classified as part of the current portion of the Company's long-term debt at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012. The notes require quarterly payments, and final maturity dates range from June 2013 through December 2017.

Convertible Senior Notes

In July 2009, the Company issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due July 2014. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Company and interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year at a rate of 5.0 percent per year. Upon the July 2009 issuance the notes were convertible subject to certain conditions further described below at an initial conversion rate of 64.6726 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.46 per share of the Company's common stock). As of September 30, 2012, the conversion rate was 65.2163 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing a conversion price of approximately \$15.33 per share of the Company's common stock).

Holder may convert their notes at their option prior to April 15, 2014 if the Company's stock price meets certain price triggers or upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as defined in the convertible senior note agreement. On or after April 15, 2014, holders may convert each of their notes at their option at any time prior to the maturity date for the notes.

The Company has the choice of net-cash settlement, settlement in its own shares or a combination thereof and concluded the conversion option is indexed to its own stock. As a result, the Company allocated \$24.7 million of the \$172.5 million principal amount of the convertible senior notes to equity, which resulted in a \$24.7 million debt discount. The allocation was based on measuring the fair value of the convertible senior notes using a discounted cash flow analysis. The discount rate was based on an estimated credit rating for the Company. The estimated fair value of the convertible senior notes was \$147.8 million, and the resulting \$24.7 million debt discount will be amortized over the period the convertible senior notes are expected to be outstanding, which is five years, as additional non-cash interest expense. The combined debt discount amortization and the contractual interest coupon resulted in an effective interest rate on the convertible debt of 8.9 percent.

The following table provides the principal and unamortized debt discount of the convertible senior notes:

| Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014 | September 30, | |
|--|------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Principal amount on the convertible senior notes | \$ 172,500 | \$ 172,500 |
| Unamortized debt discount | (10,078) | (15,069) |
| Net carrying amount of convertible debt | \$ 162,422 | \$ 157,431 |

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table provides interest rate and interest expense amounts related to the convertible senior notes:

| Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014 | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Interest cost related to contractual interest coupon 5.0% | \$ 2,156 | \$ 2,156 |
| Interest cost related to amortization of the discount | 1,288 | 1,183 |
| Total interest cost | \$ 3,444 | \$ 3,339 |

Revolving Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under this facility. Additionally, the Company had outstanding standby letters of credit under the facility of \$51.0 and \$26.1 million at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily related to its self-insurance program. Unused available credit under the facility at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 was \$349.0 and \$373.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

10. INCOME TAXES:

The determination of the annual effective income tax rate is based upon a number of significant estimates and judgments, including the estimated annual pre-tax income of the Company in each tax jurisdiction in which it operates and the development of tax planning strategies during the year. In addition, as a global enterprise, the Company's interim tax expense can be impacted by changes in tax rates or laws, the finalization of tax audits or reviews, as well as other factors that cannot be predicted with certainty. As such, there can be significant volatility in interim tax provisions.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized tax expense of \$3.0 and \$1.2 million, respectively, with corresponding effective tax rates of 11.0% and 40.8% percent. The effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 is significantly lower than the effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 due to the \$24.0 million net gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income that is primarily non-taxable. This resulted in the Company recording less tax expense on pre-tax income than would normally be expected.

The Company accrues for the effects of open uncertain tax positions and the related potential penalties and interest. There were no material adjustments to our recorded liability for unrecognized tax benefits during the three months ended September 30, 2012. It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefit with respect to certain of our unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease during the next 12 months. However, we do not expect the change to have a significant effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company files tax returns and pays tax primarily in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Luxembourg as well as states, cities, and provinces within these jurisdictions. In the United States, fiscal years 2009 and after remain open for federal tax audit. The Company's United States federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 2010 and 2011 are currently under audit. For state tax audits, the statute of limitations generally spans three to four years, resulting in a number of states remaining open for tax audits dating back to fiscal year 2008. However, the Company is under audit in a number of states in which the statute of limitations has been extended to fiscal years 2006 and forward. Internationally (including Canada), the statute of limitations for tax audits varies by jurisdiction, but generally ranges from three to five years.

11. SEGMENT INFORMATION:

As of September 30, 2012, the Company owned, franchised, or held ownership interests in approximately 10,000 worldwide locations. The Company's locations consisted of 9,311 North American salons (located in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico), 392 international salons (located primarily in the United Kingdom), and approximately 242 locations in which the Company maintains an ownership interest.

The Company operates its North American salon operations through five primary concepts: Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Promenade salons. The concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the company-owned and franchise salons within the North American salon concepts are located in high traffic, retail shopping locations that attract mass market consumers, and the individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

The Company operates its international salon operations, primarily in the United Kingdom, through three primary concepts: Regis, Supercuts, and Sassoon salons. Consistent with the North American concepts, the international concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the international salon concepts are company-owned and are located in malls, leading department stores, and high-street locations. Individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

Based on the way the Company manages its business, it has reported its North American salons and international salons as two separate reportable segments.

Table of Contents

Financial information for the Company's reporting segments is shown in the following tables:

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012(1)(2) | | | | Consolidated |
|---|---|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | North America | Salons | International | Unallocated Corporate | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Service | \$ 369,680 | \$ 23,736 | \$ | \$ | \$ 393,416 |
| Product | 94,542 | 7,742 | | | 102,284 |
| Royalties and fees | 9,660 | | | | 9,660 |
| | 473,882 | 31,478 | | | 505,360 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of service | 220,231 | 12,297 | | | 232,528 |
| Cost of product | 49,076 | 4,056 | | | 53,132 |
| Site operating expenses | 49,596 | 2,751 | | | 52,347 |
| General and administrative | 31,691 | 2,518 | 21,663 | | 55,872 |
| Rent | 72,722 | 8,402 | 375 | | 81,499 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,588 | 1,032 | 3,089 | | 20,709 |
| Total operating expenses | 439,904 | 31,056 | 25,127 | | 496,087 |
| Operating income (loss) | 33,978 | 422 | (25,127) | | 9,273 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest expense | | | (6,829) | | (6,829) |
| Interest income and other, net | | | 24,726 | | 24,726 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies | \$ 33,978 | \$ 422 | \$ (7,230) | \$ | \$ 27,170 |

(1) As of September 30, 2012, the Hair Restoration Centers reportable segment was accounted for as a discontinued operation. All comparable periods will reflect Hair Restoration Centers as a discontinued operation. See further discussion at Note 2 in these Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of reclassifications of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization between the Company's Corporate and North America reportable segments.

Table of Contents

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011(1)(2) | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-----------------------|----|--------------|
| | Salons | | Unallocated Corporate | | Consolidated |
| | North America | International | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Service | \$ 390,164 | \$ 24,853 | \$ | \$ | 415,017 |
| Product | 98,137 | 8,636 | | | 106,773 |
| Royalties and fees | 9,556 | | | | 9,556 |
| | 497,857 | 33,489 | | | 531,346 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of service | 222,975 | 12,690 | | | 235,665 |
| Cost of product | 48,444 | 4,579 | | | 53,023 |
| Site operating expenses | 51,852 | 2,959 | | | 54,811 |
| General and administrative | 32,645 | 2,641 | 30,584 | | 65,870 |
| Rent | 73,380 | 8,764 | 32 | | 82,176 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,541 | 1,306 | 10,950 | | 30,797 |
| Total operating expenses | 447,837 | 32,939 | 41,566 | | 522,342 |
| Operating income (loss) | 50,020 | 550 | (41,566) | | 9,004 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest expense | | | (7,360) | | (7,360) |
| Interest income and other, net | | | 1,317 | | 1,317 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies | \$ 50,020 | \$ 550 | \$ (47,609) | \$ | 2,961 |

(1) As of September 30, 2012, the Hair Restoration Centers reportable segment was accounted for as a discontinued operation. All comparable periods will reflect Hair Restoration Centers as a discontinued operation. See further discussion at Note 2 in these Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of reclassifications of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization between the Company's Corporate and North America reportable segments.

Table of Contents

REVIEW REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of Regis Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Regis Corporation as of September 30, 2012, the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and of comprehensive income (loss) and the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated August 29, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets of June 30, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota
November 9, 2012

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A is presented in five sections:

- Management's Overview

- Critical Accounting Policies

- Overview of Results

- Results of Operations

- Liquidity and Capital Resources

MANAGEMENT'S OVERVIEW

Regis Corporation (RGS) owns or franchises beauty salons and hair restoration centers. As of September 30, 2012, we owned, franchised or held ownership interests in approximately 10,000 worldwide locations. Our locations consisted of 9,703 system wide North American and international salons, and 242 locations in which we maintain a non-controlling ownership interest less than 100 percent. Our salon concepts offer generally similar products and services and serve mass market consumers. Our salon operations are organized to be managed based on geographical location. Our North American salon operations include 9,311 salons, including 2,023 franchise salons, operating in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico primarily under the trade names of Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Cost Cutters. Our international salon operations include 392 salons located in Europe, primarily in the United Kingdom. As of September 30, 2012, we had approximately 54,000 corporate employees worldwide.

Our fiscal year 2013 strategy is focused on improving the guest experience. We plan to execute our strategy by putting guests and stylists first, leveraging the power of our salon brands, focusing on technology and connectivity, and reviewing our non-core assets. Initiatives include:

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

- Putting guests and stylists first by improving both the experience for the person in the chair and behind the chair. The Company continues to work on attracting, developing and retaining the best stylists through orientation programs, training and development, rewards and recognition through a performance driven culture.
- Leveraging the power of our salon brands through focusing on the best brands within the best markets.
- Using technology and connectivity, including internet in the salons, to enhance effectiveness of field management and improve guest satisfaction and retention.
- Reviewing non-core assets.

Salon Business

The strength of our salon business is in the fundamental similarity and broad appeal of our salon concepts. Each concept generally targets the middle market guest, however, each attracts a different demographic.

We execute our salon growth strategy by focusing on real estate. Our salon real estate strategy is to add new units in convenient locations with good visibility and guest traffic, as well as appropriate trade demographics. Our various salon and product concepts operate in a wide range of retailing environments, including regional shopping malls, strip centers and Walmart Supercenters. We believe that the availability of real estate will augment our ability to achieve the aforementioned strategic objectives.

Organic salon revenue is achieved through the combination of new salon construction and salon same-store sales results. Older, unprofitable salons will be closed or relocated. Although we have generally been experiencing negative same-store sales, we believe our strategy of focusing on the in-salon guest experience will improve guest counts and same-store sales.

Table of Contents

Historically, our salon acquisitions have varied in size from as small as one salon to over one thousand salons. The median acquisition size is approximately ten salons. From fiscal year 1994 through September 30, 2012, we acquired 8,052 salons, net of franchise buybacks.

Hair Restoration Business

In December 2004, we acquired Hair Club for Men and Women. Hair Club for Men and Women is a provider of hair loss solutions with an estimated five percent share of the \$4 billion domestic market. This industry is comprised of numerous locations domestically and is highly fragmented. In an effort to provide confidentiality for guests, the hair restoration centers operate primarily in professional or medical office buildings. Further, the hair restoration business is more marketing intensive. As a result, organic growth at hair restoration centers is dependant on successfully generating new leads and converting them into hair restoration guests.

On July 13, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Hair Club for Men and Women business (Hair Club) for \$163.5 million. The transaction is expected to close during fiscal year 2013.

See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as the results of operations for Hair Club are accounted for as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that could have a significant impact on the results reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We base these estimates on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimates are considered to be critical if they meet both of the following criteria: (1) the estimate requires assumptions about material matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimates are made, and (2) other materially different estimates could have been reasonably made or material changes in the estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Changes in these estimates could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part II, Item 8 of the June 30, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We believe the accounting policies related to the valuation of goodwill, the valuation and estimated useful lives of long-lived assets, investment in and loans to affiliates, purchase price allocations, revenue recognition, self-insurance accruals, stock-based compensation expense, legal contingencies and estimates used in relation to tax liabilities and deferred taxes are most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial condition and results of operations. Discussion of each of these policies is contained under Critical Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 7 of our June 30, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no significant changes in or application of our critical accounting policies during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Goodwill:

As of the fiscal year 2012 annual impairment testing of goodwill, the estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units exceeded the carrying value by approximately 14.0 and 12.0 percent, respectively. The respective fair values of the Company's remaining reporting units exceeded carrying value by greater than 20.0 percent at June 30, 2012. While the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may experience additional impairment in future periods. As previously disclosed, the Company has agreed to sell the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit in fiscal year 2013; however, until this reporting unit is sold it is reasonably likely that there could be impairment of the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit's goodwill in future periods. The term "reasonably likely" refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of goodwill for the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company's estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units' fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2012.