

ELLIE MAE INC
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-35140

ELLIE MAE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-3288780

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4155 Hopyard Road, Suite 200

Pleasanton, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

(925) 227-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

94588

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ o (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ o (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Edgar Filing: ELLIE MAE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date:

As of August 4, 2014:

Class

Number of Shares

Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value

28,322,483

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>ITEM 1—Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>ITEM 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>ITEM 3—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>ITEM 4—Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>26</u>
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>ITEM 1—Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>ITEM 1A—Risk Factors</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>ITEM 6—Exhibits</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>39</u>

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1—CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$34,001	\$33,462
Short-term investments	43,585	46,325
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$131 and \$81 as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	16,781	12,024
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,977	6,473
Total current assets	100,344	98,284
Property and equipment, net	18,725	12,751
Long-term investments	64,829	56,285
Other intangible assets, net	7,661	5,089
Goodwill	52,460	51,051
Deposits and other assets	5,454	5,112
Total assets	\$249,473	\$228,572
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$3,676	\$3,783
Accrued and other current liabilities	11,971	10,224
Acquisition holdback, net of discount	1,993	1,965
Deferred revenue	4,570	4,752
Total current liabilities	22,210	20,724
Leases payable, net of current portion	445	175
Other long-term liabilities	1,221	777
Total liabilities	23,876	21,676
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share; 140,000,000 authorized shares, 28,277,649 and 27,624,025 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	225,534	212,043
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	38	(34)
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	22	(5,116)
Total stockholders' equity	225,597	206,896
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$249,473	\$228,572

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues	\$39,984	\$34,270	\$72,162	\$65,125
Cost of revenues	10,576	8,607	19,776	16,218
Gross profit	29,408	25,663	52,386	48,907
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	6,451	5,167	12,546	10,070
Research and development	6,077	6,530	12,892	12,078
General and administrative	9,551	7,975	18,544	15,561
Total operating expenses	22,079	19,672	43,982	37,709
Income from operations	7,329	5,991	8,404	11,198
Other income, net	109	151	209	272
Income before income taxes	7,438	6,142	8,613	11,470
Income tax provision	3,082	2,457	3,475	3,872
Net income	\$4,356	\$3,685	\$5,138	\$7,598
Net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$0.16	\$0.14	\$0.19	\$0.29
Diluted	\$0.15	\$0.13	\$0.18	\$0.27
Weighted average common shares used in computing net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	27,617,142	26,368,860	27,479,035	26,268,134
Diluted	29,288,928	28,281,922	29,192,867	28,182,572
Net income	\$4,356	\$3,685	\$5,138	\$7,598
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	75	(28) 72	(123
Comprehensive income	\$4,431	\$3,657	\$5,210	\$7,475

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$5,138	\$7,598
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,320	2,273
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	58	1
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,040	721
Amortization of discount related to acquisition holdback	29	69
Stock-based compensation	7,488	7,508
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(2,256)	(249)
Deferred income taxes	—	(137)
Amortization of investment premium	669	852
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(4,815)	(1,708)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,447	(549)
Deposits and other assets	(342)	(1,116)
Accounts payable	374	1,640
Accrued, other current and other liabilities	2,834	4,011
Deferred revenue	(181)	(252)
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,803	20,662
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(7,421)	(3,393)
Purchases of investments	(38,867)	(63,344)
Maturities of investments	32,466	41,842
Acquisitions	(4,500)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(18,322)	(24,895)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payment of capital lease obligations	(735)	(363)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	4,038	1,985
Tax payments related to shares withheld for vested restricted stock units	(501)	(26)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	2,256	249
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,058	1,845
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	539	(2,388)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning of period	33,462	44,114
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, End of period	\$34,001	\$41,726
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$39	\$156
Cash paid for income taxes	\$18	\$1,736
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Fixed asset purchases not yet paid	\$404	\$218
Stock-based compensation capitalized to property and equipment	\$210	\$39
Acquisition of property and equipment under capital leases	\$1,195	\$1,271

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1—Description of Business

Ellie Mae, Inc. (“Ellie Mae,” “the Company,” “we,” “our” or “us”) is a leading provider of innovative on-demand software solutions and services for the residential mortgage industry in the United States. The Company’s end-to-end Encompass mortgage management solution provides one system of record that allows banks, credit unions and mortgage lenders to originate and fund mortgages and improve compliance, loan quality and efficiency.

NOTE 2—Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”) and applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Therefore, these condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which was filed with the SEC on March 13, 2014 (“2013 Form 10-K”). The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, included herein, was derived from the audited financial statements as of that date but does not include all disclosures, including notes required by GAAP.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial positions, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods, but are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be anticipated for the full year 2014 or any future period.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to current period presentation. Such reclassifications have no effect on revenues, income from operations or net income as previously reported.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2013 Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes to these policies.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net consisted of the following:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Interest income	\$144	\$252	\$266	\$415
Net realized loss on investments	(8) (59) (7) (60
Interest expense	(27) (42) (50) (83
Total other income, net	\$109	\$151	\$209	\$272

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income, specifically unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments. Except for net realized gain (loss) on investments which was not significant, there were no reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that affected net income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"), which outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. ASU 2014-09 also requires significantly expanded disclosures about revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating the impact that adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3—Net Income Per Share of Common Stock

Net income per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding and potential shares of common stock during the period. Potential shares of common stock include shares attributable to the assumed exercise of stock options, restricted stock unit awards ("RSUs"), performance share awards ("Performance Awards") and Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") shares using the treasury stock method, if dilutive.

The components of net income per share of common stock were as follows:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Net income	\$4,356	\$3,685	\$5,138	\$7,598
Basic shares:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	27,617,142	26,368,860	27,479,035	26,268,134
Diluted shares:				
Weighted average shares used to compute basic net income per share	27,617,142	26,368,860	27,479,035	26,268,134
Effect of potentially dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options, RSUs, Performance Awards and ESPP shares	1,671,786	1,913,062	1,713,832	1,914,438
Weighted average shares used to compute diluted net income per share	29,288,928	28,281,922	29,192,867	28,182,572
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.16	\$0.14	\$0.19	\$0.29
Diluted	\$0.15	\$0.13	\$0.18	\$0.27

The following potential common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Employee stock options and awards	1,305,110	876,237	1,051,298	613,679

Performance Awards are included in the diluted shares outstanding for each period if the established performance criteria have been met at the end of the respective periods. However, if none of the required performance criteria have been met for such awards, we include the number of shares that would be issuable if the end of the reporting period

were the end of the contingency period. Accordingly, in addition to the employee stock options and awards noted above, 100,000 and 192,100

Table of Contents

shares underlying Performance Awards have been excluded from the dilutive shares outstanding for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 4—Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 — Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Valuations based on other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 — Valuations based on inputs that are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their values:

	Fair value at June 30, 2014 (in thousands)	Fair value measurements using inputs considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$18,052	\$18,052	\$—	\$—
Certificates of deposit	14,521	—	14,521	—
Corporate notes and obligations	23,076	—	23,076	—
Municipal obligations	5,336	—	5,336	—
U.S. government and government agency obligations	65,481	13,186	52,295	—
	\$126,466	\$31,238	\$95,228	\$—

	Fair value at December 31, 2013 (in thousands)	Fair value measurements using inputs considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$16,431	\$16,431	\$—	\$—
Certificates of deposit	14,920	—	14,920	—
Corporate notes and obligations	26,774	—	26,774	—
Municipal obligations	3,830	—	3,830	—
U.S. government and government agency obligations	60,018	11,428	48,590	—
	\$121,973	\$27,859	\$94,114	\$—

Financial instruments include cash, cash equivalents and investments including investment-grade interest-bearing securities such as money market accounts, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, corporate bonds, municipal and government agency obligations and guaranteed obligations of the U.S. government. We classify our money market funds and U.S. government obligations as Level 1 instruments due to the use of observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in active markets.

When the Company uses observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in less active markets, the Company classifies its marketable financial instruments as Level 2. When observable market prices for identical securities are not available, the Company prices its marketable financial instruments using non-binding market consensus prices that are corroborated with observable market data; quoted market prices for similar instruments; or pricing models with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. Non-binding

market consensus prices are based on the proprietary valuation models of pricing providers. These valuation models incorporate a number of inputs, including non-binding and binding broker quotes; observable market prices for identical or similar securities; and the internal assumptions of pricing providers or brokers that use observable market inputs and, to a lesser degree, unobservable market inputs. The Company corroborates non-binding market consensus prices with observable market data as such data exists.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company did not have any assets or liabilities that were valued using Level 3 inputs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were no transfers of financial instruments among Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 classifications.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 the Company recognized interest income from financial instruments of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 the Company recognized interest income from financial instruments of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Gross realized gains and gross realized losses from the sale of investments were not significant during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

The carrying amounts, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of cash and cash equivalents and both short and long-term investments consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2014			
	Amortized cost (in thousands)	Unrealized gains	Unrealized losses	Carrying or fair value
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash	\$15,949	\$—	\$—	\$15,949
Money market funds	18,052	—	—	18,052
	\$34,001	\$—	\$—	\$34,001
Investments:				
Corporate notes and obligations	\$23,055	\$30	\$(9)) \$23,076
Certificates of deposit	14,515	16	(10)) 14,521
Municipal obligations	5,323	13	—	5,336
U.S. government and government agency obligations	65,483	44	(46)) 65,481
	\$108,376	\$103	\$(65)) \$108,414
	December 31, 2013			
	Amortized cost (in thousands)	Unrealized gains	Unrealized losses	Carrying or fair value
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash	\$14,092	\$—	\$—	\$14,092
Money market funds	16,431	—	—	16,431
U.S. government agency securities	2,939	—	—	2,939
	\$33,462	\$—	\$—	\$33,462
Investments:				
Corporate notes and obligations	\$26,770	\$17	\$(13)) \$26,774
Certificates of deposit	14,945	1	(26)) 14,920
Municipal obligations	3,827	5	(2)) 3,830
U.S. government and government agency obligations	57,102	15	(31)) 57,086
	\$102,644	\$38	\$(72)) \$102,610

Table of Contents

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and the related fair values of our investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	June 30, 2014		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Less than 12 Months	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized
	Fair Value	losses		losses		losses
	(in thousands)					
Corporate notes and obligations	\$6,416	\$(9)	\$—	\$—	\$6,416	\$(9)
Certificates of deposit	4,215	(10)	—	—	4,215	(10)
U.S. government and government agency obligations	19,949	(46)	—	—	19,949	(46)
	\$30,580	\$(65)	\$—	\$—	\$30,580	\$(65)
	December 31, 2013		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Less than 12 Months	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized
	Fair Value	losses		losses		losses
	(in thousands)					
Corporate notes and obligations	\$6,403	\$(13)	\$—	\$—	\$6,403	\$(13)
Certificates of deposit	12,714	(26)	—	—	12,714	(26)
Municipal obligations	552	(2)	—	—	552	(2)
U.S. government and government agency obligations	24,975	(31)	—	—	24,975	(31)
	\$44,644	\$(72)	\$—	\$—	\$44,644	\$(72)

The following table summarizes the maturities of the Company's investments at June 30, 2014:

	Carrying or fair value (in thousands)
Remainder of 2014	\$20,812
2015	41,222
2016	31,698
2017	14,682
Total	\$108,414

Actual maturities may differ from the contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations.

NOTE 5—Acquisition

On January 15, 2014, the Company acquired substantially all the assets of ARG Interactive, LLC (dba MortgageCEO), a software as a service (“SaaS”) company specializing in customer relationship management and marketing solutions for the residential mortgage industry. The Company acquired the MortgageCEO business in order to add functionality to its product offerings. The transaction was accounted for as a business combination and, accordingly, the total purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired based on their respective fair values. The Company expensed all transactions costs, which were insignificant, in the period in which they were incurred. The total purchase price was \$5.0 million, of which \$4.5 million was paid at the time of closing. The remaining \$0.5 million (the “holdback funds”) has been retained from the purchase price to cover working capital adjustments and any indemnity claims. Any unused portion of the holdback funds will be paid on July 15, 2015.

Table of Contents

The allocation of the consideration of \$5.0 million to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed under the purchase method of accounting, based on their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date, is summarized in the following table (in thousands):

Amortizable intangible assets:

Developed technology	\$2,927
Customer relationships	643
Trade name	41
Goodwill	1,409
Total purchase price	\$5,020

Developed technology consists of the technology underlying MortgageCEO's existing products. The value of the developed technology was determined by discounting the estimated net future cash flows of these products. The Company is amortizing the developed technology on a straight-line basis over an estimated life of 5 years.

Customer relationships relate to the Company's ability to sell existing and future versions of the Company's products and services to existing MortgageCEO customers. The fair value of the customer relationships was determined by discounting the estimated net future cash flows from the customer contracts. The Company is amortizing customer relationships on a straight-line basis over an estimated life of 5 years.

Trade name represents the right to use the MortgageCEO name. The fair value of the trade name was determined by estimating a benefit from owning the asset rather than paying a royalty to a third party for the use of the asset. The Company is amortizing the asset on a straight-line basis over an estimated life of 2 years.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and is not deductible for tax purposes. Among the factors that contributed to a purchase price in excess of the fair value of the identifiable assets was the acquisition of an assembled workforce and synergies between the Company's products and MortgageCEO's products.

MortgageCEO's results of operations since the closing date of January 15, 2014 have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. If the acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2014, the revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the current reporting period would have been approximately the same. MortgageCEO's revenues and earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were not significant.

NOTE 6— Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying value of goodwill during the six months ended June 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$51,051
Addition: MortgageCEO acquisition	1,409
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$52,460

Table of Contents

Other intangible assets, net, consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2014			Weighted
	Gross	Accumulated	Net	Average
	carrying	amortization	intangibles	Remaining
	amount			Useful Life
	(in thousands)			(in years)
Developed technology	\$4,801	\$(1,933)) \$2,868	4.3
Customer relationships	7,943	(3,193)) 4,750	4.7
Trade names	301	(258)) 43	1.0
	\$13,045	\$(5,384)) \$7,661	4.5

	December 31, 2013			Weighted
	Gross	Accumulated	Net	Average
	carrying	amortization	intangibles	Remaining
	amount			Useful Life
	(in thousands)			(in years)
Developed technology	\$1,874	\$(1,500)) \$374	1.2
Customer relationships	7,300	(2,653)) 4,647	5.1
Trade names	260	(192)) 68	1.0
	\$9,434	\$(4,345)) \$5,089	4.8

Amortization expense associated with other intangible assets was \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. There was no impairment of intangible assets during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Minimum future amortization expense for other intangible assets at June 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Amortization
	(in thousands)
Remainder of fiscal 2014	\$1,070
2015	1,767
2016	1,643
2017	1,642
2018	978
2019	295
Thereafter	266
	\$7,661

NOTE 7—Income Taxes

We compute our interim provision for income taxes by applying the estimated annual effective tax rate to the year-to-date income from recurring operations and adjust the provision for discrete tax items recorded in the period. We evaluate and update our estimated annual effective income tax rate on a quarterly basis. The estimated effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was 40.3% and 45.0%, respectively.

The difference between the federal statutory rate of 35% and our estimated effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was primarily due to our state income tax provision and non-deductible stock-based compensation expenses and limitations of tax deductions under Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) Section 162(m) for compensation paid to our executive officers, offset by the domestic production activities deduction under IRC Section 199 and disqualifying dispositions of stock-based awards.

Our tax positions are subject to income tax audits by multiple tax jurisdictions. We account for uncertain tax positions and believe that we have provided adequate reserves for our unrecognized tax benefits for all tax years still open for

Table of Contents

assessment. We also believe that we do not have any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months.

We have a policy to classify accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions together with the related liability in the balance sheet, and to include the expenses incurred related to such accruals in the provision for income taxes. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we included interest and penalties of \$40 thousand in the provision for income taxes. There were no interest or penalties included in the provision for income taxes during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

NOTE 8—Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

In January 2014, we entered into a lease agreement to finance the purchase of computer equipment with payments of \$50,000 per month over the 36 month term of the agreement. In April 2014, we entered into an agreement to lease office space in Parsippany, New Jersey, with payments ranging from \$2,000 per month to \$5,000 per month over the 66 month term of the lease agreement. In May 2014, we entered into an agreement to lease office space in San Diego, California, with payments ranging from \$11,000 per month to \$12,000 per month over the 39 month term of the lease agreement. In May 2014, we entered into an amendment to our existing lease of office space in Calabasas, California, in which we will make payments of \$2,000 per month over the five month term of the lease arrangement in return for expanded office space.

Legal Proceedings

On March 25, 2011, Industry Access Incorporated (“Industry Access”) filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us and another defendant in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain aspects of our Encompass loan management software system and related operations infringe a single patent, and seeks declaratory relief and unspecified damages from the defendants, including enhanced damages for willful infringement and reasonable attorneys’ fees. On June 24, 2011, the Court issued an order requiring plaintiff to serve the complaint on all defendants within three days of the order. On June 28, 2011, plaintiff served us with the complaint and we filed its answer on August 5, 2011 denying all material allegations of the complaint. On November 18, 2011 the other defendant filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the “PTO”) a request for ex parte reexamination of Industry Access’ U.S. Patent No 7,769,681, which the PTO granted on February 14, 2012. On December 15, 2011, we filed a motion to stay the litigation pending the reexamination, which the Court granted on February 28, 2012. On October 9, 2012, the PTO issued the reexamination certificate. The Court granted a motion to dismiss the other defendant from this action on April 7, 2013 and lifted the stay on April 11, 2013.

On March 19, 2013, Industry Access filed a second patent infringement lawsuit against us in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges, among other things, that our Encompass loan management software system, including the Encompass software, the Ellie Mae Network, Encompass Originator, Encompass Compliance Service, Encompass CenterWise, Encompass Electronic Document Management, Encompass Docs Solution and Encompass Product and Pricing Service, infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 8,117,120 and 8,145,563, which are continuations of U.S. Patent No. 7,769,681, asserted in the lawsuit described above. Plaintiff is seeking unspecified damages. On June 12, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, to transfer this case to the Northern District of California, which the Court denied on September 18, 2013.

On September 12, 2013, we filed a motion to relate and consolidate the two Industry Access lawsuits so that all of Industry Access’ related patent infringement claims would be heard before the same judge on the same schedule. Industry Access responded to this motion on October 11, 2013. The Court granted our motion to consolidate on October 31, 2013. The Court held a claim construction hearing on June 2, 2014, and issued a claim construction order on July 9, 2014. Trial is set for December 16, 2014.

We believe that we have substantial and meritorious defenses in the newly consolidated case and, we intend to defend these and similar claims vigorously.

We are also subject to various other legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. With respect to these matters and the litigations described above, we cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings and the amounts and ranges of potential damages associated with such proceedings cannot be estimated or assessed. An unfavorable outcome of these or the litigation could materially adversely affect our business, financial

condition and results of operations.

NOTE 9—Stock Incentive Plans

We recognize stock-based compensation related to awards granted under our 2009 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the “2009 Plan”), 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan (the “2011 Plan”) and ESPP.

11

Table of Contents

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized consisted of:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Cost of revenues	\$386	\$171	\$624	\$270
Sales and marketing	547	250	880	387
Research and development	836	943	1,572	1,628
General and administrative	2,409	2,771	4,412	5,223
	\$4,178	\$4,135	\$7,488	\$7,508

Capitalized stock-based compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$156,000 and \$210,000, respectively. Capitalized stock-based compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$24,000 and \$39,000, respectively.

2009 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan

Stock Options

The following table summarizes our stock option activity under the 2009 Plan and 2011 Plan:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at January 1, 2014	3,284,672	\$11.17		
Granted	681,445	\$25.76		
Exercised	(459,781)	\$6.25		
Forfeited or expired	(19,519)	\$21.32		
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	3,486,817	\$14.61	7.49	\$57,605
Ending vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2014	3,303,192	\$14.17	7.40	\$56,036
Exercisable at June 30, 2014	1,725,934	\$9.24	6.20	\$37,781

Stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2014 were made under the 2011 Plan. There were no grants under the 2009 Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Intrinsic value of an option is the difference between the fair value of our common stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price to be paid. The aggregate intrinsic value for options outstanding at June 30, 2014 in the table above represents the total intrinsic value, based on our closing stock price of \$31.13 as of June 30, 2014, which would have been received by option holders had all option holders exercised their in-the-money options as of that date. Options outstanding that are expected to vest are net of estimated future option forfeitures.

Following is additional information pertaining to our stock option activity:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands, except per option amounts)			
Weighted average fair value per option granted	\$12.95	\$11.62	\$13.04	\$11.23
Grant-date fair value of options vested	\$1,994	\$945	\$3,471	\$1,972
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$3,637	\$3,035	\$10,062	\$6,393
Proceeds received from options exercised	\$1,425	\$616	\$2,873	\$1,043

As of June 30, 2014, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock options, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, was \$14.7 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Awards

In August 2012, the Company granted 147,000 Performance Awards (“2012 Performance Awards”) to designated participants under the 2011 Plan. The 2012 Performance Awards represented the right to receive between zero and 4 shares of the Company’s common stock upon achievement of certain performance goals during the performance period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. After the Company filed with the SEC its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Compensation Committee determined the level of achievement of the performance goals (the “Determination Date”), at which time the designated participants earned an aggregate of 588,000 shares of common stock. Of the issued shares, 25% were vested upon issuance and the remaining shares will vest 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of the Determination Date, subject to the continuous employment of the participant through such dates.

In February 2013, the Company granted 113,000 Performance Awards (“2013 Performance Awards”) to designated participants under the 2011 Plan. The 2013 Performance Awards represent the right to receive shares of the Company’s common stock upon achievement of certain performance goals during the performance period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. In March 2014, after the Company filed with the SEC its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, the Compensation Committee determined the level of achievement of the performance goals (the “2013 Award Determination Date”), at which time it was determined that the designated participants had earned an aggregate of 124,300 shares of common stock. The earned shares were issued in April 2014, with 25% of the shares vested upon issuance and the remaining shares to vest 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of the 2013 Award Determination Date, subject to the continuous employment of the participant through such dates.

In February 2014, the Company granted 62,500 Performance Awards (“2014 Performance Awards”) to designated participants under the 2011 Plan. The 2014 Performance Awards represent the right to receive shares of the Company’s common stock upon achievement of certain performance goals during the performance period of January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014. After the Company files with the SEC its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2014, the Compensation Committee will determine the level of achievement of the performance goals (the “2014 Award Determination Date”), at which time the designated participants may earn between zero and 2.5 shares of common stock for each 2014 Performance Award. Shares of common stock earned, if any, will be issued after the 2014 Award Determination Date with 25% of the shares to vest upon issuance and the remaining shares to vest 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of the 2014 Award Determination Date, subject to the continuous employment of the participant through such dates. As of June 30, 2014, we expect that each award will convert to 1.6 shares of common stock on the 2014 Award Determination Date. No forfeitures are expected.

The following table summarizes our RSU and Performance Award activity:

	RSUs		Performance Awards	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding at January 1, 2014	257,378	\$ 23.10	565,300	\$ 24.43
Granted	419,148	26.28	100,000	24.93
Released	(47,500)	22.35	(31,076)	19.60
Forfeited or expired	(10,012)	24.86	—	—
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	619,014	\$ 24.60	634,224	\$ 24.75
Ending vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2014	510,763		634,224	

RSUs and Performance Awards that are expected to vest are net of estimated future forfeitures. RSUs released during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$1.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively and had an aggregate grant-date fair value of \$1.1 million and \$56 thousand, respectively. Performance Awards released during the six months ended June 30, 2014 had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0.8 million and had

an aggregate grant-date fair value of \$0.6 million. There were no Performance Awards released during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The number of RSUs released includes shares that we withheld on behalf of employees to satisfy the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

As of June 30, 2014, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested RSUs and Performance Awards was \$18.9 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.

Table of Contents

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, employees purchased 45,746 shares and 54,728 shares, respectively, under the ESPP for a total of \$1.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2014, unrecognized compensation expense related to the current ESPP period, which ends on August 29, 2014, was \$0.2 million and is expected to be recognized over two months.

Valuation Information

The fair value of stock options and stock purchase rights granted under the 2009 Plan, the 2011 Plan and the ESPP were estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months ended June 30, 2014		2013		Six Months ended June 30, 2014		2013	
Stock option plans:								
Risk-free interest rate	1.88	%	0.96	%	1.87	%	0.96	%
Expected life of options (in years)	5.93		5.92		5.97		5.97	
Expected dividend yield	—	%	—	%	—	%	—	%
Volatility	52	%	52	%	52	%	52	%
Employee Stock Purchase Plan:								
Risk-free interest rate	0.08	%	0.13	%	0.08	%	0.13	%
Expected life of options (in years)	0.50		0.50		0.50		0.50	
Expected dividend yield	—	%	—	%	—	%	—	%
Volatility	39	%	37	%	39	%	37	%

Common Stock

The following numbers of shares of common stock were reserved and available for future issuance at June 30, 2014:

	Reserved Shares
Options and awards outstanding under stock incentive plans	4,740,055
Shares available for future grant under the stock incentive plan	2,354,956
Shares available under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan	1,082,886
Total	8,177,897

In February 2014, 276,240 additional shares were reserved under the ESPP and 1,381,201 additional shares were reserved under the 2011 Plan, pursuant to the automatic increase provisions in each plan.

NOTE 10—Segment Information

We operate in one industry—mortgage-related software and services. Our chief operating decision makers are our chief executive officer and president and chief operating officer, who make decisions about resource allocation and review financial information presented on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, we have determined that we have a single reporting segment and operating unit structure, specifically technology-enabled solutions to help streamline and automate the residential mortgage origination process for our network participants.

We are organized primarily on the basis of service lines. Supplemental disclosure of revenues by type is as follows:

	Three Months ended June 30, 2014		2013		Six Months ended June 30, 2014		2013	
	(in thousands)							
On-demand revenues	\$38,096		\$31,369		\$68,242		\$59,320	
On-premise revenues	1,888		2,901		3,920		5,805	
	\$39,984		\$34,270		\$72,162		\$65,125	

Table of Contents

In the Notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements on our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, we included all revenues from professional services in on-premise revenues in our disclosure related to revenues by type. For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014, we included professional services revenues in either on-demand revenues or on-premise revenues as determined by the related service or software license revenue. We have retrospectively reclassified our disclosure of on-demand and on-premise revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 in order to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE 11—Subsequent Event

In July 2014, we entered into a lease agreement for office space in Pleasanton, California that will serve as our future corporate headquarters. The term of the lease commences on April 1, 2015 and has an initial term of 117 months ending December 31, 2024, with payments ranging from \$263,000 per month to \$344,000 per month.

ITEM 2—MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. Forward-looking statements may include words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” “continue” or other wording indicating future results or expectations. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and actual events or results may differ materially. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, those discussed under “Risk Factors” in this report. We also face risks and uncertainties relating to our business including: outages and other system interruptions in the Ellie Mae Network service, our hosted Encompass software and any related impact on our reputation; fluctuations in mortgage lending volume; the volume of mortgages originated by our Encompass users; the impact of changes in mortgage interest rates; changes in mortgage originator, lender, investor or service provider behavior and any related impact on the residential mortgage industry; our ability to accurately forecast revenues and appropriately plan our expenses; the number of Encompass users, including contracted SaaS Encompass users; the effectiveness of our marketing and sales efforts to attract new and retain existing SaaS Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants; transaction volume on the Ellie Mae Network; the level of demand for our Encompass Docs Solution and other services we offer; the level of adoption of our Total Quality Loan, or TQL, program; the timing of the introduction and acceptance of new Ellie Mae Network offerings and new on-demand services; our ability to protect the confidential information of our Encompass users, Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers; customer renewal and upgrade rates; the increased time, cost and complexity that may be required to successfully target larger customers; our ability to scale our operations and increase productivity to support our existing and growing customer base; our ability to successfully manage our growth and any future acquisitions of businesses, solutions or technologies; the timing of future acquisitions of businesses, solutions or technologies and new product launches; the impact of uncertain domestic and worldwide economic conditions, including the resulting effect on residential mortgage volumes; changes in government regulation affecting Ellie Mae Network participants or our business, and potential structural changes in the U.S. residential mortgage industry; the attraction and retention of qualified employees and key personnel; our ability to compete effectively in a highly competitive market and adapt to technological changes; our ability to enhance the features and functionality of our Encompass software and the Ellie Mae Network; our ability to protect our intellectual property, including our proprietary Encompass software; costs associated with defending intellectual property infringement and other claims; our ability to maintain effective internal controls and the risk of natural and man-made catastrophic interruptions to our business. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements to reflect any event or circumstance that arises after the date of this report, or to conform such statements to actual results or changes in our expectations.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the consolidated financial statements and notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 2013 Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a leading provider of innovative on-demand software solutions and services for the residential mortgage industry in the United States. Our end-to-end Encompass mortgage management solution provides one system of record that allows banks, credit unions and mortgage lenders to originate and fund mortgages and improve compliance, loan quality and efficiency.

Mortgage originators use our Encompass software, a comprehensive operating system that handles key business and management functions involved in running a mortgage origination business. Mortgage originators use Encompass as a single tool for loan processing, marketing, customer communication and to interact electronically with lenders, investors and service providers over the Ellie Mae Network. Our software also enables enforcement of rules and business practices designed to ensure loan quality,

Table of Contents

adherence to processing standards and regulatory compliance.

We also offer Encompass users a variety of other on-demand software services, including: Encompass Docs Solution, which automatically prepares the disclosure and closing documents necessary to fund a mortgage; Encompass CenterWise, a bundled offering of electronic document management, or EDM, and websites used for customer relationship management; TQL, which offers a suite of fraud detection, valuation, validation and risk analysis services using streamlined workflows and processing rules; Encompass Compliance Service, which automatically checks for compliance with federal, state and local regulations throughout the origination process; tax transcript services which provide income verification capability to our customers; Encompass Product and Pricing Service, which allows Encompass users to compare loans offered by different lenders and investors to determine appropriate mortgage programs available to a particular borrower, Encompass Flood Service, which allows Encompass users to order and transfer flood zone certifications and Encompass CRM, which offers a suite of sales and marketing tools that allows users to manage contacts, leads and marketing campaigns. By the nature of our on-demand service, even with our robust security monitoring and detection systems, we cannot guarantee that our security measures will prevent security breaches and we may need to expend significant resources to protect against and remedy any potential security breaches and their consequences.

The Ellie Mae Network electronically connects the approximately 99,000 mortgage professionals using Encompass to the broad array of mortgage lenders, investors and third-party service providers integral to the origination and funding of residential mortgages. During the mortgage origination process, mortgage originators may order various services through the Ellie Mae Network, including credit reports, product eligibility and pricing services, automated underwriting services, appraisals, title reports, insurance, flood certifications and flood insurance, compliance reviews, fraud detection, document preparation and verification of income, identity and employment. Mortgage originators can also initiate secure data transmission to and from lenders and investors.

We were formed as a California corporation in 1997 and reincorporated in Delaware in November 2009. From inception through 2000, we developed consumer-facing websites and initial versions of our network. We launched our first transaction platform in late 2000, the present version of which is the Ellie Mae Network.

Our revenues consist of on-demand and on-premise revenues. On-demand revenues are generated primarily from software subscriptions we host that customers access through the Internet, including customers who pay fees based on the number of loans they fund, or success basis, subject to monthly base fees, which we refer to as Success-Based Pricing, and related professional services such as consulting, implementation and training services. On-demand revenues also include software services that are sold transactionally and Ellie Mae Network transaction fees paid by lender-investors, service providers and certain government-sponsored entities participating on the Ellie Mae Network. On-premise revenues are generated from customer-hosted software licenses and related professional services and maintenance services.

Our on-demand revenues generally track the seasonality of the residential mortgage industry, typically, but not always, with increased activity in the second and third quarters and reduced activity in the first and fourth quarters as home buyers tend to purchase their homes during the spring and summer in order to move to a new home before the start of the school year. Mortgage volumes are also impacted by other factors such as interest rate fluctuations, home sale activity and general economic conditions, which can lead to departures from the typical seasonal pattern. For example, increases in mortgage interest rates could reduce the volume of new mortgages originated and, in particular, the volume of mortgage refinancings. We currently estimate that approximately 30% to 40% of our revenues have some sensitivity to volume.

We are investing aggressively in initiatives that we believe will help us continue to grow our business, improve our products and services and strengthen our competitive advantage while bringing sustainable, long-term value to our customers. During 2013 and the first half of 2014, we accelerated our investments in our sales and client services capabilities, in research and development and in technology infrastructure to support our user additions and overall business growth. These investments include expanding our talent across the organization by hiring additional personnel.

On March 31, 2014, we experienced a system outage that prevented some of our customers from being able to access certain of our services until the system was restored on April 1, 2014. In responding to this outage, we engaged

forensics experts and other consultants, resulting in significant forensics and consulting fees, some of which we incurred in the second quarter of 2014 and some of which we expect to incur during the remainder of 2014. As a result of the outage, we have accelerated our investments to bolster our infrastructure and enhance our system capacity, reliability and security, which costs we expect will be incurred throughout the remainder of 2014.

In addition to our internal initiatives, our business strategy has evolved to address recent industry trends, including:

- expected lower lending volume;
- increased quality standards imposed by regulators, lenders and investors;
 - increased regulation affecting lenders and investors;
- greater focus by our customers on operational efficiencies; and
- customers adopting multi-channel strategies

Table of Contents

We are responding to these trends as follows:

Expected lower lending volume. Mortgage lending volume is expected to be lower in 2014 than in 2013, as forecasted by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Mortgage Bankers Association. Since late 2009, we have focused our marketing and sales efforts on our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering, and particularly our SaaS Encompass Success-Based Pricing model, in contrast to our on-premise license model. In our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering, the customer does not pay the significant up-front licensing fee associated with our license model, which we believe is particularly attractive in the present climate of the residential mortgage origination market. Our SaaS Encompass Success-Based Pricing model builds on this value proposition by aligning customers' payments for our software solutions with their own receipts of revenues. Our focus on our SaaS Encompass offering is important in light of lower lending volumes because we typically generate greater revenues per user through our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering than through our on-premise license offering.

We are also focusing on increasing use of our Ellie Mae Network offerings and our other services, which were introduced from late 2009 through late 2011. These offerings include our TQL program, Encompass Compliance Service, Encompass Product and Pricing Service, Encompass Docs Solution, Encompass 4506-T Service and Encompass CRM. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, Encompass users employed the Ellie Mae Network to process on average approximately six transactions per loan file. By continuing to enhance our service offerings and encouraging providers of settlement services to deliver their services electronically through the Ellie Mae Network, we will continue to build value for our customers while increasing the number of transactions for which the Ellie Mae Network is used.

Increased quality standards imposed by regulators, lenders and investors. Encompass is designed to automate and streamline the process of originating mortgages to, among other things, satisfy increased quality requirements of investors. Relevant features of Encompass include enabling customers' management to impose processing rules and formats, and providing milestone and process reminders, automated population of forms with accurate data, and accurate and automated transmission of loan files and data from originators to investors and lenders. Our TQL program is designed to further enhance the quality, compliance and saleability of loans that are originated through Encompass. Additionally, TQL is intended to reduce the opportunities for errors in the process of transferring information from originator to investor and give investors confidence in the accuracy and regulatory compliance of the information that is underlying loan files.

In response to the increased quality standards and compliance mandates affecting the industry, we expect an increased number of mortgage lenders to assess new platform options and replace their legacy systems. We have increased the size of our customer acquisition, implementation and support teams by approximately 61% from 154 employees on December 31, 2012 to 248 employees on June 30, 2014 in order to address anticipated demand for our software solutions.

Increased regulation affecting lenders and investors. Regulatory reforms have significantly increased the complexity and importance of regulatory compliance. We devote considerable resources to continually upgrading software to help customers address regulatory changes. We offer Encompass Compliance Service, which automatically checks loan files for compliance with the myriad of federal, state and local regulations and alerts users to possible violations of these regulations. In addition, we have a staff of attorneys and work with compliance experts who help assure that documents prepared using our software and the processes recommended by the Encompass workflow comply with applicable rules and regulations. We believe we are well-positioned to help our customers meet additional requirements from the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank Act, that became effective in January 2014. Our Ability-to-Repay, or ATR, / Qualified Mortgage, or QM, functionality is designed to allow our customers to document their compliance with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or CFPB's ATR/QM Final Rule that applies to the majority of residential mortgage loan originations in the United States. We believe we are also well-positioned to help our customers meet future Dodd-Frank Act requirements as they are published and become effective.

Greater focus on operational efficiencies. Mortgage originators experienced a \$2,263 increase in the average total production cost per loan, from \$3,685 in 2009 to \$5,948 in 2013¹. During the first quarter of 2014, the average total production cost per loan was \$8,025,² and we expect the trend of increasing costs to continue due to continued

increased regulation and heightened quality standards. By automating many of the functions of mortgage origination, we enable our users to comply with regulations and process quality loans more efficiently and effectively. This reduces the cost of originating a loan and lowers the risk of buy back demands from investors resulting from poorly originated or documented loans and/or loans that fail to comply with applicable regulations.

-
- 1 Mortgage Bankers Association, Annual Mortgage Bankers Performance Report 2013 Data, Net Loan Production Income and Expense, \$ per loan, Copyright May 2014.
 - 2 Mortgage Bankers Association, Independent Mortgage Bankers Report Net Production Losses in the First Quarter of 2014, June 10, 2014

Table of Contents

With an eye towards providing customers with ever-greater tools to enhance efficiency, we will continue to develop new service offerings through the Ellie Mae Network and pursue adoption of our services through initiatives such as our TQL program. By integrating and expanding our current and new services, we will provide a more comprehensive benefit to our users.

In addition to providing efficiency-enhancing solutions, delivery of our Encompass software in an on-demand SaaS environment provides customers with the added benefits of lower up front implementation costs and reduced need for an infrastructure of servers, storage and network devices as well as providing access to the most current release of an application, periodic upgrades and regulatory updates.

Customers adopting multi-channel strategies. Customers are developing multi-channel strategies beyond a single retail, correspondent or wholesale channel in order to grow their businesses. The requirements of these different channels vary and in order to maintain a single operating system, customers must use a robust system with customizable functionality. We continually address the changing needs of our customers by developing and enhancing tools to allow for simplified regulatory compliance, increased availability of information, and enhanced system functionality and performance.

Acquisition Strategy

Our industry is highly fragmented, and we believe there are strategic opportunities available to acquire competing software companies or software providers that offer related mortgage origination functionality that will complement and increase the attractiveness of Encompass. For example, in January 2014, we acquired substantially all the assets of ARG Interactive, LLC (dba MortgageCEO), or MortgageCEO, a SaaS company specializing in customer relationship management and marketing solutions for the residential mortgage industry. We intend to continue pursuing additional strategic acquisitions.

Operating Metrics

We use certain operational metrics to evaluate our business, determine allocation of our resources and make decisions regarding corporate strategy. We focus on these metrics to determine our success in leveraging our user base to increase our revenues and to gauge the degree of our market penetration.

These metrics are defined below.

Contracted revenues. Contracted revenues are those revenues that are fixed by the terms of a contract and are not affected by fluctuations in mortgage origination volume. These revenues consist of the base fee portion of success-based SaaS Encompass revenues, monthly per-user subscription SaaS Encompass revenues, professional services revenues, on-premise revenues, and subscription revenues paid for products other than Encompass.

SaaS Encompass revenues. SaaS Encompass revenues are those revenues earned from the customer's usage of the SaaS version of Encompass. These revenues consist of success-based SaaS Encompass revenues and subscription-based SaaS Encompass revenues.

Active Encompass users. An active Encompass user is a mortgage origination professional who has used Encompass at least once within a 90-day period preceding the measurement date. An Encompass user is a mortgage origination professional working at an Encompass mortgage lender, such as a mortgage bank, commercial bank, thrift or credit union, which sources and funds loans and generally sells these funded loans to investors; or a mortgage brokerage, which typically processes and submits loan files to a mortgage lender or mega lender that funds the loan.

Contracted SaaS users. A contracted SaaS user is a mortgage origination professional who has a license to use SaaS Encompass and has an obligation to pay for this license, but who is not necessarily an active user.

Active SaaS Encompass users. An active SaaS Encompass user is a mortgage origination professional who has used SaaS Encompass at least once within a 90-day period preceding the measurement date.

Average active Encompass users. Average active Encompass users during a period is calculated by averaging the monthly active Encompass users during a period.

Average active SaaS Encompass users. Average active SaaS Encompass users during a period is calculated by averaging the monthly active SaaS Encompass users during a period.

Revenue per average active Encompass user. Revenue per average active Encompass user is calculated by dividing total revenues by average active Encompass users during a period.

Table of Contents

The following table shows these operating metrics as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues (in thousands):				
Total revenues	\$39,984	\$34,270	\$72,162	\$65,125
Total contracted revenues	\$24,293	\$16,791	\$46,834	\$32,178
Total SaaS Encompass revenues	\$24,003	\$19,112	\$43,779	\$36,043
Users at end of period:				
Contracted SaaS users	109,516	78,801	109,516	78,801
Active Encompass users	98,996	88,688	98,996	88,688
Active SaaS Encompass users	72,085	55,952	72,085	55,952
Active SaaS Encompass users as a percentage of active Encompass users	73	% 63	% 73	% 63
Active SaaS Encompass users as a percentage of contracted SaaS users	66	% 71	% 66	% 71
Average users during period:				
Active Encompass users	97,470	86,045	95,586	82,144
Active SaaS Encompass users	70,443	53,376	68,302	49,622
Active SaaS Encompass users as a percentage of active Encompass users	72	% 62	% 71	% 60
Revenue per average user during period:				
Revenue per average active Encompass user	\$410	\$398	\$755	\$793

Basis of Presentation

General

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ellie Mae, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

We generate revenue primarily from transaction-based fees and fees for software and related services. Our software can be accessed either through a company-hosted subscription or a customer-hosted license. Accordingly, our revenues are described as on-demand and on-premise revenues. Sales taxes assessed by governmental authorities are excluded from revenue.

In the Notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements on our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, we included all revenues from professional services in on-premise revenues in our disclosure related to revenues by type. For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014, we included professional services revenues in either on-demand revenues or on-premise revenues as determined by the related service or software license revenue. We have retrospectively reclassified our disclosure of on-demand and on-premise revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 in order to conform to the current presentation.

On-demand Revenues

On-demand revenues are revenues generated from company-hosted software subscriptions that customers access through the Internet, revenues from a small number of customers that have opted to self-host a portion of the software but pay fees based on a per closed loan, or success, basis subject to monthly base fees, which we refer to as Success-Based Pricing, and related professional services which include consulting, implementation and training services. On-demand revenues are also comprised of software services sold transactionally and Ellie Mae Network transaction fees.

On-premise Revenues

On-premise revenues are revenues generated from maintenance services, sales of customer-hosted software licenses (except for customer-hosted Success-Based Pricing revenues, which are included in on-demand revenues described

above), and related professional services which include consulting, implementation and training services.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenues and Operating Expenses

Cost of Revenues

Our cost of revenues consists primarily of: salaries and benefits, including stock-based compensation expense, expenses for document preparation, income verification and compliance services, customer support, data centers, depreciation on computer equipment used in supporting the Ellie Mae Network, SaaS Encompass and Encompass CenterWise offerings, amortization of acquired intangible assets such as developed technology and trade names, professional services associated with implementation of our software, and allocated facilities costs. We expect that our cost of revenues will continue to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues increase, as we pursue additional strategic acquisitions and as we continue to hire additional personnel in our implementation and customer support departments to support new customers.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of: salaries, benefits and incentive compensation, including stock-based compensation expense and commissions; allocated facilities costs; expenses for trade shows, public relations and other promotional and marketing activities; expenses for travel and entertainment; and amortization of acquired intangible assets such as customer relationships. We expect that our sales and marketing expense will continue to increase as we continue to hire additional sales personnel in order to address anticipated demand for our software solutions as we expect an increased number of mortgage lenders to assess new platform options and replace their legacy systems. We also intend to increase marketing activities focused on SaaS Encompass, our Ellie Mae Network offerings and our other Encompass services. We will continue to see increased amortization of intangible assets as we pursue additional strategic acquisitions.

Research and Development

Our research and development expenses consist primarily of: salaries and benefits, including bonuses and stock-based compensation expense; fees to contractors engaged in the development and support of the Ellie Mae Network, Encompass software and other products; and allocated facilities costs. We expect that our research and development expenses will continue to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to invest in our products and services and related next-generation enhancements, including hiring additional engineering and product development personnel.

General and Administrative

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of: salaries and benefits, including bonuses and stock-based compensation expense for employees involved in finance, accounting, human resources, administrative and legal roles, consulting, legal, accounting and other professional services by third-party providers, and allocated facilities costs. General and administrative expenses recognized during the second quarter of 2014 also included forensic and consulting fees incurred in response to the service outage. We expect general and administrative expenses to continue to increase in absolute dollars as we make additional and accelerated investments to bolster our infrastructure and enhance our system capacity, reliability and security. We also expect increases to general and administrative expenses as we hire additional personnel and grant stock-based awards to attract and retain the employees needed to continue to grow our business.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net consists of interest income earned on investments, cash accounts and notes receivable, offset by investment discount amortization and imputed interest expense related to our acquisition holdback payments and interest expense paid on equipment and software leases.

Income Taxes

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our expected income tax expense or benefit based on our year-to-date operations, and we record an adjustment in the current quarter. The net tax provision is the result of the mix of profits earned by us and our subsidiaries in tax jurisdictions with a broad range of income tax rates. We are required to estimate deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in our consolidated statements of operations become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws or loss or credit carry forwards are utilized. Accordingly, realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable

income against which these deductions, losses and credits can be utilized. We use management judgment to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not more likely than not, we must establish a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no material changes during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 to our critical accounting policies and estimates previously disclosed in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth our results of operations for the periods presented and as a percentage of our revenues for those periods. The period-to-period comparison of financial results is not necessarily indicative of future results.

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Revenues	\$39,984	\$34,270	\$72,162	\$65,125
Cost of revenues (1)	10,576	8,607	19,776	16,218
Gross profit	29,408	25,663	52,386	48,907
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing (1)	6,451	5,167	12,546	10,070
Research and development (1)	6,077	6,530	12,892	12,078
General and administrative (1)	9,551	7,975	18,544	15,561
Total operating expenses	22,079	19,672	43,982	37,709
Income from operations	7,329	5,991	8,404	11,198
Other income, net	109	151	209	272
Income before income taxes	7,438	6,142	8,613	11,470
Income tax provision	3,082	2,457	3,475	3,872
Net income	\$4,356	\$3,685	\$5,138	\$7,598

(1) Stock-based compensation included in the above line items:

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Cost of revenues	\$386	\$171	\$624	\$270
Sales and marketing	547	250	880	387
Research and development	836	943	1,572	1,628
General and administrative	2,409	2,771	4,412	5,223
	\$4,178	\$4,135	\$7,488	\$7,508

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0
Cost of revenues	26.5	25.1	27.4	24.9
Gross margin	73.5	74.9	72.6	75.1
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	16.1	15.1	17.4	15.5
Research and development	15.2	19.1	17.9	18.5
General and administrative	23.9	23.3	25.7	23.9
Total operating expenses	55.2	57.5	61.0	57.9
Income from operations	18.3	17.4	11.6	17.2
Other income, net	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
Income before income taxes	18.6	17.8	11.9	17.6

Edgar Filing: ELLIE MAE INC - Form 10-Q

Income tax provision	7.7		7.2		4.8		5.9	
Net income	10.9	%	10.6	%	7.1	%	11.7	%

21

Table of Contents

Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

Revenues

The following table sets forth our revenues by type for the periods presented:

	Three Months ended June 30,				Six Months ended June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	(dollars in thousands)							
Revenue by type:								
On-demand	\$38,096		\$31,369		\$68,242		\$59,320	
On-premise	1,888		2,901		3,920		5,805	
Total	\$39,984		\$34,270		\$72,162		\$65,125	

	Three Months ended June 30,				Six Months ended June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
Revenue by type:								
On-demand	95.3	%	91.5	%	94.6	%	91.1	%
On-premise	4.7	%	8.5	%	5.4	%	8.9	%
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Three months ended June 30, 2014. Total revenues increased \$5.7 million, or 16.7%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013.

On-demand revenues increased by \$6.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014, consisting primarily of a \$4.9 million increase in SaaS Encompass revenues, of which \$4.3 million related to our Success-Based Pricing model. The increase in SaaS Encompass revenues resulted from the addition of new SaaS Encompass users and from upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform. The number of average active Encompass users increased by 32.0% from 53,376 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to 70,443 for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

The revenue increase from additional users was offset in part by a reduction in revenue generated from closed loans, which was driven by an overall estimated 45% decline in mortgage origination volume for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013.

Contributing to the increase in on-demand revenues was a \$1.8 million increase in revenues from professional services, primarily driven by training and implementation services provided to new customers and to customers that upgraded from on-premise users to SaaS users. Partially offsetting the increase in on-demand revenues was a \$0.9 million decrease in revenues from the standalone version of Encompass Docs Solution for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013, primarily as a result of the upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform and partially from the loss of two standalone solutions subscription customers that went out of business during the third quarter of 2013.

On-premise revenues decreased by \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013, primarily due to a \$0.9 million decrease in software license and maintenance fees arising in part from upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform.

Contracted revenues, which are not sensitive to volume, increased from \$16.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to \$24.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, driven by an increase in monthly base fees due to an increase in contracted SaaS users. The number of contracted SaaS users increased by 39.0% from 78,801 as of June 30, 2013 to 109,516 as of June 30, 2014.

Six months ended June 30, 2014. Total revenues increased \$7.0 million, or 11%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013.

On-demand revenues increased by \$8.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014, consisting primarily of a \$7.7 million increase in SaaS Encompass revenues, of which \$6.6 million related to our Success-Based Pricing model. The increase in SaaS Encompass revenues resulted from the addition of new SaaS Encompass users and from

upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform. The number of average active SaaS Encompass users increased by 16.4% from 49,622 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 68,302 for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The revenue increase from additional users was offset in part by a reduction in revenue generated from closed loans, which we believed was due to customers delaying the implementation of Encompass as they focused on preparing for ATR/QM rules, as well as an overall estimated 50% decline in mortgage origination volume for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013.

Contributing to the increase in on-demand revenues was a \$2.6 million increase in revenues from professional services, primarily driven by training and implementation services provided to new customers and to customers that upgraded from on-premise users to SaaS users. Partially offsetting the increase in on-demand revenues was a \$2.2 million decrease in revenues from the standalone version of Encompass Docs Solution for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013, primarily as a result of the upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform and partially from the loss of two standalone solutions subscription customers that went out of business during the third quarter of 2013.

On-premise revenues decreased by \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013, primarily due to a \$1.7 million decrease in software license and maintenance fees arising in part from upgrades of existing on-premise customers to our SaaS platform.

Contracted revenues, which are not sensitive to volume, increased from \$32.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$46.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, driven by an increase in monthly base fees due to an increase in contracted SaaS users. The number of contracted SaaS users increased by 39.0% from 78,801 as of June 30, 2013 to 109,516 as of June 30, 2014.

Gross Profit

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)			
Gross profit	\$29,408	\$25,663	\$52,386	\$48,907
Gross margin	73.5	% 74.9	% 72.6	% 75.1

Gross profit increased by \$3.7 million and gross margin percentage decreased by 1.4 percentage points during the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013 as revenues increased by \$5.7 million and cost of revenues increased by \$2.0 million. The increase in cost of revenues was primarily due to a \$1.6 million increase in fixed salary and employee benefit costs associated with headcount added to our professional services and customer support organizations in anticipation of continued increasing demand for our software solutions.

Gross profit increased by \$3.5 million and gross margin percentage decreased by 2.5 percentage points during the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013 as revenues increased by \$7.0 million and cost of revenues increased by \$3.6 million. The increase in cost of revenues was primarily due to a \$3.2 million increase in fixed salary and employee benefit costs associated with headcount added to our professional services and customer support organizations in anticipation of continued increasing demand for our software solutions.

Sales and Marketing

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)			
Sales and marketing	\$6,451	\$5,167	\$12,546	\$10,070
Sales and marketing as % of revenues	16.1	% 15.1	% 17.4	% 15.5

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$1.3 million, or 24.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased by 1.0% of revenues. These increases were primarily due to a \$0.7 million increase in salaries and employee benefits and a \$0.3 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, both related to increased headcount as we continued to grow our sales and marketing departments in an effort to increase our market share and address anticipated demand for our software solutions.

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$2.5 million, or 24.6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased by 1.9% of revenues. These increases were primarily due to a \$1.8 million increase in salaries and employee benefits and a \$0.5 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, both related to increased headcount as we continued to grow our sales and marketing departments in an effort to increase our market share and address anticipated demand for our software solutions.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)			
Research and development	\$6,077	\$6,530	\$12,892	\$12,078
Research and development as % of revenues	15.2	% 19.1	% 17.9	% 18.5

Research and development expenses decreased by \$0.5 million, or 6.9%, in the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased by 3.9% of revenues. These decreases were primarily due to a \$2.1 million increase in salary, benefits, and stock compensation costs that were capitalized to property and equipment rather than expensed because they were associated with the development of new products, offset by a \$1.7 million increase in total salary, benefits, and stock compensation costs from additions to headcount as we continue to invest in our products and services.

Research and development expenses increased by \$0.8 million, or 6.7%, in the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased by 0.6% of revenues. These changes were primarily due to a \$3.5 million increase in total salary, benefits, and stock compensation costs from additions to headcount as we continue to invest in our products and services, offset by a \$2.7 million increase in salary, benefits, and stock compensation costs that were capitalized to property and equipment rather than expensed because they were associated with the development of new products, as well as increased overall revenues.

General and Administrative

	Three Months ended June 30,		Six Months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(dollars in thousands)			
General and administrative	\$9,551	\$7,975	\$18,544	\$15,561
General and administrative as % of revenues	23.9	% 23.3	% 25.7	% 23.9

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.6 million, or 19.8%, in the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased by 0.6% of revenues. These increases were primarily due to a \$1.2 million increase in salaries and employee benefits related to increased headcount, a \$0.4 million increase in fees to professional service firms related to legal proceedings and in connection with the service outage in March 2014, and a \$0.3 million increase in the use of consultants and temporary contractors, offset in part by a \$0.4 million decrease in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from a decrease in expense relating to Performance Awards for which expense is recognized on an accelerated basis over the vesting period.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.0 million, or 19.2%, in the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased by 1.8% of revenues. These increases were primarily due to a \$2.2 million increase in salaries and employee benefits related to increased headcount, a \$0.6 million increase in fees to professional service firms related to legal proceedings and in connection with the service outage, a \$0.3 million increase in the use of consultants and temporary contractors, and a \$0.3 million increase in technology expenses relating to licenses and support for software used to manage our business, offset in part by a \$0.8 million decrease in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from a decrease in expense relating to Performance Awards for which expense is recognized on an accelerated basis over the vesting period.

Income Tax Provision

Income tax provision was \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in income tax provision was primarily due to the increase of pretax income from \$6.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to \$7.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

Income tax provision was \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in income tax provision was primarily due to the decrease of pretax income from \$11.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$8.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of June 30, 2014, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$77.6 million and long-term investments of \$64.8 million. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and money market accounts. Both short and long-term investments consist of corporate bonds and obligations, certificates of deposit, municipal obligations, U.S. government notes and U.S. government agency securities.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments will be sufficient to fund capital expenditures, operating expenses and other cash requirements for at least the next 12 months. We may enter into acquisitions in the future, which could require us to seek additional equity or debt financing. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all.

The following table sets forth our statement of cash flows data for the periods presented:

	Six Months ended June 30,		Net
	2014	2013	Change
	(in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 13,803	\$ 20,662	\$ (6,859)
Net cash used in investing activities	(18,322)	(24,895)	6,573
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,058	1,845	3,213
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 539	\$ (2,388)	\$ 2,927

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$6.9 million from \$20.7 million in 2013 to \$13.8 million in 2014. In the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash provided by operating activities is presented as net income adjusted for non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities. Net income decreased by \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. The net contribution of non-cash items to cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period of 2013. The net contribution of changes in operating assets and liabilities to cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2013. The decrease in the net contribution of non-cash items to cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to a \$2.0 million increase in excess tax benefits (which reduces cash provided by operating activities).

Changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in a net decrease of \$2.7 million to cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Our net accounts receivable balance fluctuates from period to period, depending on the amount and timing of sales and billing activity and cash collections. The change in prepaid expenses and other current assets was primarily due to the timing of the payment for computer software licenses. The change in deposits and other assets was due to deferred commission expenses and timing of the payment for software licenses. The change in accounts payable and accrued and other liabilities was due to the timing of additional liabilities and payments in general, and does not reflect any significant change in the nature of accrued liabilities. The change in deferred revenue is the result of timing differences in the recognition of revenues from professional services and maintenance services.

Investing Activities

Our primary investing activities have consisted of purchases and maturities of investments, purchases of property and equipment (including costs incurred to develop internal-use software) and payments for acquisitions. Purchases of property and equipment may vary from period to period due to the timing of the expansion of our operations and the timing of our software development projects subject to capitalization. We plan to continue to invest in hardware and software to support our growth and corporate infrastructure. We also intend to continue pursuing additional strategic acquisitions.

Cash used in investing activities of \$18.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was primarily the result of \$6.4 million in net purchases of investments, \$7.4 million for purchases of property and equipment and costs incurred to develop internal-use software and website applications and \$4.5 million cash paid for the acquisition of MortgageCEO.

Cash used in investing activities of \$24.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was the result of \$21.5 million in net purchases of short-term investments and \$3.4 million for purchases of property and equipment and costs

incurred to develop internal-use software and website applications.

25

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

Financing activities have consisted primarily of cash provided from the exercise of stock options, excess tax benefits from employee exercises of stock options, payments related to capital lease obligations and tax payments related to shares withheld for vested restricted stock units (RSUs). In May 2014, our board of directors approved a stock repurchase program under which we are authorized to repurchase up to \$75.0 million of our common stock over a 36-month period, all of which remains available as of June 30, 2014. Under the program, purchases may be made from time to time on the open market, and will be funded from available working capital. There were no repurchases under the program during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Cash provided by financing activities of \$5.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 consisted primarily of \$4.0 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and \$2.3 million in excess tax benefits from employee exercises of stock options, offset in part by tax payments of \$0.5 million related to shares withheld for vested RSUs and payments on capital leases of \$0.7 million.

Cash provided by financing activities of \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 consisted primarily of \$2.0 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options, and \$0.2 million in excess tax benefits from employee exercises of stock options.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2014, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements and operating leases were the only financing arrangements not reported on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3—QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We believe that there have been no significant changes in our market risk exposures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared with those discussed in our 2013 Form 10-K.

ITEM 4—CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2014. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2014, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1—LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of the material legal proceedings, please see Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this report. If any of the following risks materialize, our business could be materially harmed, and our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The risks described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We experienced a system outage in March 2014 that prevented some of our customers from being able to access certain of our services. This system interruption and future system interruptions that impair access to the Ellie Mae Network or SaaS Encompass could damage our reputation and brand and may substantially harm our business. The satisfactory performance, reliability and availability of SaaS Encompass, the Ellie Mae Network, our website, our services, including our Encompass Compliance Service, and our network infrastructure are critical to our reputation and our ability to attract and retain Ellie Mae Network participants and Encompass users. Because our service is complex and incorporates a variety of hardware and proprietary and third-party software, our service may have errors or defects that could result in unanticipated downtime for our subscribers. Internet-based services frequently contain undetected errors when first introduced or when new versions or enhancements are released, and we have from time to time found defects in our service and new errors in our service may be detected in the future. In addition, our customers may use our service in unanticipated ways that may cause a disruption in service for other customers attempting to access their data.

Moreover, we have experienced and may in the future continue to experience temporary system interruptions, either to the Ellie Mae Network or to SaaS Encompass hosting locations, for a variety of reasons, including network failures, power failures, software errors, problems with Encompass and other third-party firmware updates, as well as an overwhelming number of Ellie Mae Network participants and Encompass users trying to access our network during periods of strong demand. For example, on March 31, 2014, we experienced a system outage that prevented some of our customers from being able to access certain of our services until the system was restored on April 1, 2014. Since our customers use our service for important aspects of their business, any errors, defects, disruptions in service or other performance problems could result in negative publicity, damage our reputation and brand, reduce our revenue, increase our operating expenses, negatively impact our ability to run our business, hinder our ability to enroll new customers and cause us to lose current customers, all of which could substantially harm our business and operating results.

In addition, our two primary data centers, located in Santa Clara, California and Chicago, Illinois, are hosted by a third-party service provider over which we maintain regular oversight but have little direct control. We depend on this third-party service provider to provide continuous and uninterrupted access to the Ellie Mae Network and SaaS Encompass. If for any reason our relationship with this third party were to end unexpectedly, it could require a significant amount of time to transition the hosting of our data centers to a new third-party service provider. We are also subject to interruptions beyond our and our third party service provider's control, such as disruptions or congestion in the portions of the internet linking us to our customers. Since we are dependent on third parties for the implementation and maintenance of certain aspects of our systems and because some of the causes of system interruptions may be outside of our control, we may not be able to remedy such interruptions in a timely manner. Our future performance will be highly dependent on our ability to continue to attract SaaS Encompass customers and to grow revenues from new on-demand services.

To maintain or increase our revenues, we must increase the number of users of our software and percentage of our software users who choose our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering, from which we generate greater revenues than from our on-premise license offering. We cannot guarantee our Success-Based Pricing strategy will continue to be successful. If it is not successful, or if we are unable to identify an alternate strategy and successfully increase the number of SaaS Encompass customers, our business may be materially adversely affected.

Our success will also depend, to a large extent, on the willingness of mortgage lenders to continue to accept the SaaS model for delivering software applications that they view as critical to the success of their business. Our success will substantially depend on our ability to convince enterprises using on-premise enterprise software solutions to invest significant personnel and financial resources to migrate to our SaaS offering. It is difficult to predict customer adoption rates and demand for our services, the future growth rate and size of the SaaS market or the entry of competitive applications. The growth of the SaaS market depends on a

Table of Contents

number of factors, including the cost, performance and perceived value associated with SaaS offerings, as well as the ability of SaaS companies to address security and privacy concerns. If other SaaS providers experience security incidents, loss of customer data, disruptions in delivery or other problems, the market for SaaS applications as a whole, including our own products and services, may be negatively affected. If there is a reduction in demand for SaaS caused by technological challenges, weakening economic conditions, security or privacy concerns, competing technologies and products, decreases in corporate spending or otherwise, it could result in decreased revenues and our business could be adversely affected.

In order to grow our business, we must expand the use of settlement services on, and increase the number of transactions effected through, the Ellie Mae Network.

To grow our base of Ellie Mae Network participants, we and settlement service providers must continue to enhance the features and functionality of offerings to them. In addition, increasing the number of settlement service transactions effected through the Ellie Mae Network will depend, in part, on settlement service providers enhancing their technical capabilities, which is largely beyond our control.

We must also convince a variety of potential Ellie Mae Network participants, including mortgage lenders, originators, settlement service providers and mega lenders, of the benefits of electronic origination and network participation as compared to traditional mortgage origination methods including paper, facsimile, courier, mail and email.

We cannot guarantee that our Ellie Mae Network and other service offerings will achieve market acceptance. In the event these efforts are not successful, our business and growth prospects would be adversely affected.

Our failure to protect the confidential information of our Encompass users, our Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers could damage our reputation and brand and substantially harm our business.

Certain confidential information relating to certain of our Encompass users, our Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers resides on our third-party hosted data center servers and is transmitted over our network.

We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to effect secure transmission of confidential information, including personal information and credit card numbers. These security measures may be breached as a result of third-party action, including intentional misconduct by computer hackers, service provider error, malfeasance or otherwise. These servers may also be vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems, which could result in someone obtaining unauthorized access to our customers' data or our data, including our intellectual property and other confidential business information, or our IT systems. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access to, or to sabotage, systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. The possession and use of personal information in conducting our business subject us to legislative and regulatory burdens that may require notification to customers of a security breach, restrict our use of personal information and hinder our ability to acquire new customers or market to existing customers.

We cannot guarantee that our security measures will prevent security breaches. Any such compromise of our security could damage our reputation and brand and expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and potential liability, which would substantially harm our business and operating results. We may need to expend significant resources to protect against and remedy any potential security breaches and their consequences.

We cannot accurately predict subscription renewal or upgrade rates and the impact these rates may have on our future revenues and operating results.

Our customers have no obligation to renew their subscriptions for our service after the expiration of their initial subscription period, which ranges from one to five years. They may also choose to renew their subscriptions at lower levels. In addition, in the first year of a subscription, customers often purchase a higher level of professional services than they do in renewal years. As a result, our ability to grow is dependent in part on customers purchasing additional subscriptions and services after the initial subscription term. We cannot accurately predict renewal rates given our varied customer base and the number of multi-year subscription contracts. Our customers' renewal rates may decline or fluctuate because of several factors, including their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with our services, the prices of our services, the prices of services offered by our competitors or reductions in our customers' spending levels due to the macroeconomic environment or other factors. If our customers do not renew their subscriptions for our services,

renew on less favorable terms or do not purchase additional subscriptions or services, our revenues may grow more slowly than expected or decline and our profitability and gross margin may be harmed.

Mortgage lending volume was lower in 2013 than in 2012, and it is expected to be lower in 2014 than in 2013 due to various factors which could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Mortgage lending volume was lower in 2013 than in 2012, and it is expected to be lower in 2014 than in 2013. Factors that adversely impact mortgage lending volumes include increasing mortgage interest rates, reduced consumer and investor demand for mortgages, more stringent underwriting guidelines, decreased liquidity in the secondary mortgage market, high levels of unemployment, high levels of consumer debt, lower consumer confidence, changes in tax and other regulatory policies, the number of existing mortgages eligible for refinancing and other macroeconomic factors. In addition, mortgage interest rates were at historic lows and recently have been rising. Mortgage interest rates are influenced by a number of factors, including monetary policy. The Federal Reserve Bank may raise the federal funds rate, which would likely cause mortgage interest rates to rise. Increases in mortgage interest rates could reduce the volume of new mortgages originated, in particular the volume of mortgage refinancings. Additionally, because the ratio of applications to closed loans typically is greater with refinancings than with purchase loans, a continued decrease in refinancings would result in fewer mortgage applications per funded loan. Since we generate some Ellie Mae Network revenues during the application process, regardless of whether the loan is eventually funded, this may continue to negatively impact our transaction based revenue.

We currently estimate that approximately 30% to 40% of our revenues have some sensitivity to volume. The forecasted lower levels in residential mortgage loan volume in 2015 as compared to 2014 levels will require us to increase our user base and/or our revenues per loan processed by our customers in order to maintain our financial performance. Any additional decrease in residential mortgage volumes would heighten our need to increase these revenue drivers. We cannot guarantee we will be successful in these efforts, which could materially adversely affect our business.

A significant decline in mortgage origination volume, such as the significant drop in mortgage volume anticipated in 2014, could also negatively impact our customers, resulting in a reduction of their Encompass users, consolidation with other lenders or cessation of operations. If any of these occurs, it could materially adversely affect our business. We expect a number of factors to cause our operating results to fluctuate on a quarterly and annual basis, which may make it difficult to predict our future performance.

Our revenues and operating results have in the past varied and could in the future vary significantly from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year because of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. As a result, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be indicative of future operating results. In addition to other risk factors discussed in this section, factors that may contribute to the variability of our quarterly and annual results include:

- the number of Encompass users;
- the volume of mortgages originated by Encompass users, especially users on our Success-Based Pricing model;
- transaction volume on the Ellie Mae Network;
- fluctuations in mortgage lending volume;
- the relative mix of purchase and refinance volume handled by Encompass users;
- the level of demand for our services;
- the timing of the introduction and acceptance of Ellie Mae Network offerings and new on-demand services;
- costs associated with defending intellectual property infringement and other claims; and
- changes in government regulation affecting Ellie Mae Network participants or our business.

Due to these and other factors, our future results may not reach our internal projections. In addition, our operating results in future periods may not meet the expectations of investors or public market analysts who follow our company, which could cause our stock price to decline rapidly and significantly. The results of any prior quarterly or annual periods should not be relied upon as indications of our future operating performance.

Table of Contents

Since part of our sales efforts are targeted at larger customers, our sales cycle may become longer and more expensive, we may encounter pricing pressure and implementation and customization challenges, and we may have to delay revenue recognition for some complex transactions, all of which could harm our business and operating results. Part of our business strategy is to target larger mortgage lenders that handle greater volumes of loans. As we target more of our sales efforts at larger customers, we could face greater costs, longer sales cycles and less predictability in completing some of our sales. In this market, the customer's decision to use our products and services may be an enterprise-wide decision and, if so, this type of sale could require us to provide greater levels of education regarding the use and benefits of our products and services. In addition, larger customers may demand more customization, implementation services and features. As a result of these factors, these sales opportunities may require us to devote greater sales support and professional services resources to individual customers, driving up costs and time required to complete sales and diverting our own sales and professional services resources to a smaller number of larger transactions, while potentially requiring us to delay revenue recognition on some of these transactions until the technical or implementation requirements have been met.

Supporting our existing and growing customer base could strain our personnel resources, and if we are unable to scale our operations and increase productivity, we may not be able to successfully implement our business plan.

We continue to experience significant growth in our customer base, which has placed a strain on our management and administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. We anticipate that additional investments in our implementation capabilities and research and development and general and administrative spending will be required to scale our operations and increase productivity, address the needs of our customers, further develop and enhance our products and services and scale with the overall growth of our company.

In addition, professional services, such as implementation services, are a key aspect of on-boarding new customers.

The implementation process is complicated and we will need to scale our capabilities in this area to meet future revenue targets. If a customer is not satisfied with the quality of work performed by us or with the type of services or solutions delivered, then we could incur additional costs to address the situation, the profitability of that work might be impaired, and the customer's dissatisfaction with our products and services could damage our ability to obtain additional work from that customer. In addition, negative publicity related to our customer relationships, regardless of its accuracy, may further damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new business with current and prospective customers.

Continued growth may place significant demands on our management and our infrastructure and require significant expenditures and resources.

Our growth has placed and may continue to place significant demands on our management and our administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. As our operations grow in size, scope and complexity, we will need to improve and upgrade our systems and infrastructure, including our data centers and financial reporting systems. These upgrades and improvements are necessary in order to offer an increasing number of customers enhanced solutions, features and functionality and to ensure continued adequate controls over financial reporting.

In addition, the expansion of our systems and infrastructure will require us to commit substantial financial, operational and technical resources in advance of any anticipated increase in the volume of business, with no assurance that the volume of business will actually increase. Continued growth could also strain our ability to maintain reliable service levels for our customers, develop and improve our operational, financial and management controls, enhance our reporting systems and procedures and recruit, train and retain highly skilled personnel.

Managing our growth will require significant expenditures and allocation of valuable management resources. We have been aggressively hiring talent in all areas of our business, which has significantly increased our expenses. If we fail to achieve the necessary level of efficiency in our organization as it grows, our business would be harmed. We are also in the process of upgrading and/or replacing various software systems including our new enterprise resource planning, or ERP, system, which we began using during the fourth quarter of 2013. The implementation of an ERP system entails certain risks, including difficulties with changes in business processes that could disrupt our company's operations, such as our ability to process orders, provide services and customer support, fulfill contractual obligations and aggregate financial and operational data, and the ERP providers to deliver the functionality we require and in a timely manner. Unanticipated problems impacting the implementation of these systems could significantly increase

the expenditures and resources allocated to this project, divert the attention of management and harm our business. If the implementations of these new applications are delayed, or if we encounter unforeseen problem with our new systems or in migrating away from our existing applications and systems, our operations and our ability to manage our business could be negatively impacted.

Table of Contents

Integrating future acquisitions could disrupt our business, harm our financial condition and operating results or dilute or adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Our success will depend in part on our ability to expand our solutions and services and to grow our business in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may pursue growth through the acquisition of complementary businesses, solutions or technologies rather than through internal development. For example, in January 2014, we acquired substantially all the assets of MortgageCEO, a SaaS company specializing in customer relationship management and marketing solutions for the residential mortgage industry.

The identification of suitable acquisition candidates can be difficult, time-consuming and costly, and we may not be able to complete acquisitions successfully. Moreover, if such acquisitions require us to seek additional debt or equity financing, we may not be able to obtain such financing on terms favorable to us or at all. Acquisitions and investments involve numerous risks which may have a negative impact on our results of operations, including:

- write-offs of acquired assets or investments;
- potential financial and credit risks associated with acquired customers;
- unknown liabilities associated with the acquired businesses;
- unanticipated expenses related to acquired technology and its integration into existing technology;
- depreciation and amortization of amounts related to acquired intangible assets, fixed assets and deferred compensation; and
- adverse tax consequences of any such acquisitions.

Even if we successfully complete an acquisition, we may not be able to assimilate and integrate effectively the acquired business, technologies, solutions, assets, personnel or operations, particularly if key personnel of an acquired company decide not to work for us. We may encounter difficulty in incorporating acquired technologies into our service and maintaining the quality standards that are consistent with our brand and reputation. In addition, we may issue debt or equity securities to complete an acquisition, which could dilute our stockholders' ownership and adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Events similar to the extreme turmoil in the residential mortgage industry that occurred from 2007 to 2009 could adversely affect our business.

From 2007 to 2009, the worldwide credit market was severely disrupted by the global financial crisis due to the precipitous rise of sub-prime mortgage delinquencies and resulting failure of securities backed by mortgages, including these sub-prime mortgages. This crisis resulted in extreme turmoil in the residential mortgage industry and caused many mortgage originators and other mortgage industry participants to go out of business. If the residential mortgage industry were to experience another similar disruptive event, our business could be materially adversely affected.

The residential mortgage industry is heavily regulated and changes in current legislation or new legislation could adversely affect our business.

Changes in the regulations that govern our customers could adversely affect our business.

The U.S. mortgage industry is heavily regulated. Federal and state governments and agencies could enact legislation or other policies that could negatively impact the business of our Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants. Any changes to existing laws or regulations or adoption of new laws or regulations that increase restrictions on the residential mortgage industry may decrease residential mortgage volume or otherwise limit the ability of our Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants to operate their businesses, resulting in decreased usage of our solutions.

Changes in current legislation or new legislation may increase our costs by requiring us to update our products and services.

Changes to existing laws or regulations or adoption of new laws or regulations relating to the residential mortgage industry could require us to incur significant costs to update our products and services. Our Encompass Compliance Service analyzes mortgage loan data for compliance with consumer protection laws and institutionally mandated compliance policies and must continually be updated to incorporate changes to such laws and policies. The Dodd-Frank Act has caused and will continue to cause us to make similar updates to Encompass, Encompass Product

and Pricing Service, Encompass Docs Solution, TQL and the Ellie Mae Network to address, among other things, regulations that protect consumers against unfair, deceptive and abusive practices by lenders. For example, additional tools and product updates were recently required to address the Ability-to-Repay, or

Table of Contents

ATR, / Qualified Mortgage, or QM, and Federal and State High Cost rules effective in January 2014. These additions and updates have caused us to incur significant expense, and future updates will likely similarly cause us to incur significant expense.

Potential structural changes in the U.S. residential mortgage industry, in particular plans to diminish the role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, could disrupt the residential mortgage market and have a material adverse effect on our business.

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play a very important role in providing liquidity, stability and affordability in the current U.S. residential mortgage market. In particular, they participate in the secondary mortgage market by purchasing mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities for investment and by issuing guaranteed mortgage-related securities. In February 2011, the Obama administration delivered a report to Congress which proposed the winding down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and shrinking the federal government's role in the housing market. This proposal includes the withdrawal of government guarantees currently available for certain residential loans and increasing the down payment requirements for borrowers, both of which could reduce mortgage lending volume. In February 2012, the Federal Housing Finance Agency sent Congress a strategic plan to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac over the next several years. This proposal includes building a new infrastructure for the secondary mortgage market, continuing to shrink Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's operations by eliminating the direct funding of mortgages and shifting mortgage credit risk to private investors and maintaining foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability. In August 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury announced it would require Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce their investment portfolios more quickly, at an annual rate of 15% versus the previous rate of 10%. In June 2013, the U.S. Senate introduced a bill to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac over five years. This legislation would replace Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with a new Federal Mortgage Insurance Corporation that would continue to guarantee mortgages, but only after private capital absorbs the first 10% of any losses. In July 2013, the U.S. House of Representatives also unveiled draft legislation to similarly wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac over a five year period. The effects of these proposals, the passage of either of these bills into law or any significant structural change to the U.S. residential mortgage industry may cause significant disruption to the residential mortgage market. If we are unable to react effectively and quickly to changes in the residential mortgage industry, our business could be harmed.

We may be limited in the way in which we market our business or generate revenue by U.S. federal law prohibiting referral fees in real estate transactions, and if we are found to be in violation of such laws we would be subject to significant liability.

RESPA generally prohibits the payment or receipt of fees or any other thing of value for the referral of business related to a residential real estate settlement service and prohibits fee shares or splits or unearned fees in connection with the provision of such services. Encompass software and services and the Ellie Mae Network were designed with payment methods that are not currently prohibited by the restrictions under RESPA. Nonetheless, RESPA may restrict our ability to enter into marketing and distribution arrangements with third parties for existing or newly developed products and services, particularly to the extent that such arrangements may be characterized as involving payments for the referral of residential real estate settlement service business. Additionally, any amendments to RESPA or court opinions interpreting the provisions of RESPA that result in restrictions on our current payment methods, or any determination that our payment methods have been and currently are subject to the restrictions under RESPA, could have a material adverse effect on our business. If we were found to be in violation of RESPA rules, we would be exposed to significant potential liability that could have a material adverse effect on our reputation and business.

We depend on key and highly skilled personnel to operate our business, and if we are unable to retain our current or hire additional personnel, our ability to develop and successfully market our business could be harmed.

We believe our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, technical, finance, creative and sales and marketing personnel. Moreover, we believe that our future success is highly dependent on the contributions of our named executive officers. All of our officers and other employees are at-will employees, which means they may terminate their employment relationship with us at any time, and their knowledge of our business and industry would be extremely difficult to replace. In addition, the loss of any key employees or the inability to attract or retain qualified personnel could delay the development and introduction of, and harm our ability

to sell, our solutions and harm the market's perception of us. Competition for qualified personnel is particularly intense in the San Francisco Bay Area, where our headquarters are located. Qualified individuals are in high demand, and we may incur significant costs to attract them. We may be unable to attract and retain suitably qualified individuals who are capable of meeting our growing sales, operational and managerial requirements, or may be required to pay increased compensation in order to do so. If we are unable to attract and retain the qualified personnel we need to succeed, our business will suffer.

Volatility or lack of performance in our stock price may also affect our ability to attract and retain our key employees. Our named executive officers are vested in a substantial amount of stock options and performance share awards. Employees may be more likely to leave us if the shares they own or the shares underlying their vested options have significantly appreciated in value relative to the original purchase prices of the shares or the exercise prices of the vested options, or if the exercise prices of the

Table of Contents

options that they hold are significantly above the market price of our common stock. If we are unable to retain our named executive officers or other key employees, our business will be harmed.

We operate in a highly competitive market, which could make it difficult for us to attract and retain Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants.

The mortgage origination software market is highly competitive. There are many software providers, such as: Byte Software Inc., a subsidiary of CBCInnovis; Calyx Technology, Inc.; Harland Financial Solutions, a subsidiary of Davis + Henderson Corporation; and PCLender.com, Inc., a subsidiary of Fidelity National Financial, Inc., that compete with us by offering loan origination software to mortgage originators. Some software providers, including Calyx Technology, Inc., also provide connectivity between their software users and lenders and service providers. Other connectivity alternatives are provided by services such as RealEC Technologies, Inc., a subsidiary of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. We also compete with compliance and document preparation service providers that are much larger and more established than us. There is vigorous competition among providers of these services and we may not succeed in convincing potential customers using other services to switch to ours. Many service providers connect directly to mortgage originators without using any loan origination software. Some of our competitors also offer services on a per closed loan basis, which could adversely impact the effectiveness of our Success-Based Pricing strategy for increasing the number of SaaS Encompass customers. If we are unsuccessful in competing effectively by providing attractive functionality, customer service or value, we could lose existing Encompass users to our competitors and our ability to attract new Encompass users could be harmed.

We only offer our Encompass services to Encompass users. There are many other service providers that offer our Encompass users competing services, including borrower-facing websites, document preparation services, compliance services and EDM. We may be unsuccessful in continuing to differentiate our Encompass service offerings to the extent necessary to effectively compete in some or all of these markets.

The Ellie Mae Network is only available to mortgage originators using Encompass. The principal alternative to the use of the Ellie Mae Network by Encompass users remains traditional methods of exchanging data and documents among mortgage industry participants by email, facsimile, phone, courier and mail. In addition, mortgage originators may use standalone web browsers to go individually to each investor, lender or service provider's website and then manually upload loan data or enter information into the website. Mortgage originators may continue to use these methods due to habit, personal business relationships or otherwise. The success of the Ellie Mae Network depends on our ability to achieve and offer access to both the critical mass of investors, lenders and service providers necessary to attract and retain mortgage originators using Encompass on the Ellie Mae Network and the critical mass of active mortgage originators necessary to attract and retain investors, lenders and service providers on our network.

Some of our actual and potential competitors have longer operating histories and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do and, as a result, these companies may be able to respond more quickly to changes in regulations, new technologies or customer demands, or devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their software and services than we can. In addition, we may face increased competition as a result of continuing industry consolidation, such as: Accenture's acquisition of Mortgage Cadence LLC in August 2013; Davis + Henderson Corporation's acquisitions of Harland Financial Solutions in August 2013, Mortgagebot LLC in April 2011 and Avista Solutions, Inc. in May 2012; Lender Processing Services, Inc.'s acquisition of PCLender.com, Inc. in March 2011; Optimal Blue, Inc.'s acquisition of LoanSifter, Inc. in December 2013 and Fidelity National Financial, Inc.'s acquisition of Lender Processing Services, Inc. in January 2014. We expect the mortgage origination market to continue to attract new competitors and there can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors or that competitive pressures we face will not materially adversely affect our business.

Failure to adapt to technological changes may render our technology obsolete or decrease the attractiveness of our solutions to our customers.

If new industry standards and practices emerge, or if competitors introduce new solutions embodying new services or technologies, Encompass and the Ellie Mae Network technology may become obsolete. Our future success will depend on our ability to:

- enhance our existing solutions;

• develop and potentially license new solutions and technologies that address the needs of our prospective customers;
• and
• respond to changes in industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis.

Table of Contents

We must continue to enhance the features and functionality of Encompass, other Encompass services and the Ellie Mae Network. The effective performance, reliability and availability of Encompass, Encompass services and the Ellie Mae Network infrastructure are critical to our reputation and our ability to attract and retain Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants. If we do not continue to make investments in product development and, as a result, or due to other reasons, fail to attract new and retain existing mortgage originators, lenders, investors and service providers, we may lose existing Ellie Mae Network participants, which could significantly decrease the value of the Ellie Mae Network to all participants and materially adversely affect our business.

Failure to adequately protect our intellectual property could harm our business.

The protection of our intellectual property rights, including our proprietary Encompass software and Ellie Mae Network technology, is crucial to the success of our business. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret law and contractual restrictions to protect our intellectual property. Our present and future patents may provide only limited protection for our technology and may not be sufficient to provide competitive advantage to us. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee any patents will be issued to us as a result of our patent applications. We also rely in part on confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees, independent contractors and consultants. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our Ellie Mae Network and Encompass features and functionality or obtain and use information that we consider proprietary. Enforcing our proprietary rights is difficult and may not always be effective. We have registered “Ellie Mae” and “Encompass” and certain of our other trademarks as trademarks in the United States. Competitors may adopt service names similar to ours, thereby impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to customer confusion. In addition, there could be potential trade name or trademark infringement claims brought by owners of other registered trademarks or trademarks that incorporate variations of the terms Ellie Mae, Encompass or our other trademarks.

Litigation or proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or other governmental authorities and administrative bodies in the United States and abroad may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, protect our patent and copyright rights, trade secrets and domain names and determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Our efforts to enforce or protect our proprietary rights may be ineffective and could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business.

Assertions that we infringe third-party intellectual property rights could result in significant costs and substantially harm our business.

Other parties have asserted, and may in the future assert, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. For example, on March 25, 2011, we were named a defendant in a patent infringement lawsuit filed by Industry Access Incorporated alleging that our Encompass loan management software system and related operations infringes a patent and on March 19, 2013, Industry Access filed a second patent infringement lawsuit against us alleging that our products and services infringe two additional patents. See Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, we generally agree to indemnify our customers against legal claims that our software products infringe intellectual property rights of third parties and, in the event of an infringement, to modify or replace the infringing product or, if those options are not reasonably possible, to refund the cost of the software, as pro-rated over a period of years. We cannot predict whether assertions of third-party intellectual property rights or claims arising from such assertions will substantially harm our business and operating results. If we are forced to defend against any infringement claims, whether they are with or without merit or are determined in our favor, we may face costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel. Furthermore, an adverse outcome of a dispute may require us to: pay damages, potentially including treble damages and attorneys’ fees if the infringement were found to be willful; cease providing solutions that allegedly incorporate the intellectual property of others; expend additional development resources to redesign or re-engineer our solutions and products, if feasible; and enter into potentially unfavorable royalty or license agreements in order to obtain the right to use necessary technologies. We cannot be certain of the outcome of any litigation. Any royalty or licensing agreement, if required, may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Our failure to obtain the necessary licenses or other rights could prevent the sale or distribution of some of our products and services and, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business. Current or future litigation could substantially harm our business.

We have been and continue to be involved in legal proceedings, claims and other litigation. For more on legal proceedings, see Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

We are also subject to various other legal proceedings and claims arising out of the ordinary course of business. While we do not expect the outcome of any such pending litigation to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, litigation is unpredictable and excessive verdicts, both in the form of monetary damages and injunctions, could occur. In the future, litigation

Table of Contents

could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and we could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate financial statements could be impaired, which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, significant expenses to remediate any internal control deficiencies and ultimately have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

As a publicly-traded company, we are subject to compliance with, among other regulations, Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or SOX, which requires that we test our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Ensuring that we have adequate internal financial and accounting controls and procedures in place so that we can produce accurate financial statements on a timely basis is a costly and time-consuming effort that needs to be re-evaluated frequently. Our compliance with SOX requires that we incur substantial expense and expend significant management time on compliance-related issues. If we fail to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment, we could suffer material misstatements in our financial statements and fail to meet our reporting obligations, which would likely cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. This could harm our operating results and lead to a decline in our stock price. Additionally, ineffective internal control over financial reporting could expose us to increased risk of fraud or misuse of corporate assets and subject us to potential delisting from the New York Stock Exchange, regulatory investigations, civil or criminal sanctions and class action litigation.

As a third-party technology service provider of mission-critical products and services to many financial institutions that are regulated by one or more member agencies of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, or FFIEC, we are subject to an IT examination by the member agencies of the FFIEC. As a result, the FFIEC conducts recurring IT Examinations in order to identify existing or potential risks associated with our operations that could adversely affect the financial institutions to whom we provide products and services, evaluate our risk management systems and controls and determine our compliance with applicable laws that affect the products and services we provide to financial institutions. In addition to examining areas such as our management of technology, data integrity, information confidentiality and service availability, the reviews also assess our financial stability. A sufficiently unfavorable review from the FFIEC could result in our financial institution customers not being allowed to use our technology products and services, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. If one or more U.S. states or local jurisdictions successfully assert that we should have collected or in the future should collect additional sales or use taxes on our fees, we could be subject to additional liability with respect to past or future sales, and the results of our operations could be adversely affected.

We do not collect state and local sales and use taxes in all jurisdictions in which our customers are located, based on our belief that such taxes are not applicable. Sales and use tax laws and rates vary by jurisdiction and such laws are subject to interpretation. Jurisdictions in which we do not collect sales and use taxes may assert that such taxes are applicable, which could result in the assessment of such taxes, interest and penalties, and we could be required to collect such taxes in the future. This additional sales and use tax liability could adversely affect the results of our operations.

Our business is subject to the risks of earthquakes, fires, floods and other natural catastrophic events and to interruption by man-made problems such as terrorism.

Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, fires, floods, power losses, telecommunications failures, terrorist attacks, acts of war and similar events. For example, a significant natural disaster, such as an earthquake, fire or flood, could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition, and our insurance coverage may be insufficient to compensate us for losses that may occur. Our corporate offices and one of the facilities we lease to house our computer and telecommunications equipment are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, a region known for seismic activity. In addition, acts of terrorism, which may be targeted at metropolitan areas with higher population density than rural areas, could cause disruptions in our or our customers' businesses or the economy as a whole. We may not have sufficient protection or recovery plans in certain circumstances, such as natural disasters affecting the San Francisco Bay Area, and our business interruption insurance may be insufficient to compensate us for losses that may occur.

Our stock price is volatile and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.

The trading price of our common stock may be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. In addition to the factors discussed in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, these factors include:

- our operating performance and the operating performance of similar companies;
- the overall performance of the equity markets;
- the number of shares our common stock publicly owned and available for trading;

Table of Contents

threatened or actual litigation;
 changes in laws or regulations relating to our solutions;
 any major change in our board of directors or management;
 publication of research reports about us or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
 large volumes of sales of our shares of common stock by existing stockholders; and
 general political and economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. Securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company's securities. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in very substantial costs, divert our management's attention and resources and harm our business.

Our stock repurchase program may be suspended or terminated at any time, which may result in a decrease in the trading price of our common stock.

Our board of directors previously approved a stock repurchase program under which we are authorized to repurchase up to \$75.0 million of our common stock over a 36-month period, all of which remains available as of June 30, 2014. Such stock repurchases may be limited, suspended, or terminated at any time without prior notice. There can be no assurance that we will repurchase additional shares of our common stock under our stock repurchase program or that any future repurchases will have a positive impact on the trading price of our common stock or earnings per share. Important factors that could cause us to limit, suspend or terminate our stock repurchase program include, among others, unfavorable market conditions, the trading price of our common stock, the nature of other investment or strategic opportunities presented to us from time to time, the rate of dilution of our equity compensation programs, the availability of adequate funds, and our ability to make appropriate, timely, and beneficial decisions as to when, how, and whether to purchase shares under the stock repurchase program. If we limit, suspend or terminate our stock repurchase program, our stock price may be negatively affected.

If securities or industry analysts discontinue publishing research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which might cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

Certain provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage takeover attempts and lead to management entrenchment.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that could have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or changes in our board of directors. These provisions include:

- a classified board of directors with three-year staggered terms, which may delay the ability of stockholders to change the membership of a majority of our board of directors;
- no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which limits the ability of minority stockholders to elect director candidates;
- the exclusive right of our board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the board of directors or the resignation, death or removal of a director, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our board of directors;
- the ability of our board of directors to determine to issue shares of preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences and voting rights, without stockholder approval, which could be used to significantly dilute the ownership of a hostile acquirer;
- a prohibition on stockholder action by written consent, which forces stockholder action to be taken at an annual or special meeting of our stockholders;
-

the requirement that a special meeting of stockholders may be called only by the chairman of the board of directors, the chief executive officer, the president or the board of directors, which may delay the ability of our stockholders to force consideration of a proposal or to take action, including the removal of directors; and

Table of Contents

advance notice procedures that stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates to our board of directors or to propose matters to be acted upon at a stockholders' meeting, which may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us.

We are also subject to certain anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not, in general, engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its capital stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the board of directors has approved the transaction.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6—EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1	Separation and Release Agreement, dated June 30, 2014, by and between the Company and Elisa Lee.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 are being furnished and shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall such exhibits be deemed to be incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise specifically stated in such filing.

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and is not subject to liability under those sections, is not part of any registration statement or prospectus to which it relates and is not incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into any registration statement, prospectus or other document.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ELLIE MAE, INC.

Date: August 6, 2014

By: /s/ Edgar A. Luce
Edgar A. Luce
Executive Vice President, Finance and
Administration and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and
duly authorized signatory)