

TUTOR PERINI Corp
Form 10-Q
May 04, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-6314

Tutor Perini Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MASSACHUSETTS
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-1717070
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15901 OLDEN STREET, SYLMAR, CALIFORNIA 91342-1093
(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip code)

(818) 362-8391
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-Accelerated filer Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value per share, of the registrant outstanding at May 2, 2012 was
47,367,950.

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page Number
Part I. Financial Information:	
-	
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets – March 31, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011 (unaudited)</u> 3
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations – Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u> 4
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income – Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u> 5
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statement of Stockholders' Equity – Three months ended March 31, 2012 (unaudited)</u> 6
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows – Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u> 7
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> 8 – 33
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 34 – 40
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 40
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 40
Part II. Other Information:	
-	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 40
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u> 41
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 41
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 41
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> 41
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u> 41

Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	41 – 43
---------	-----------------	---------

<u>Signatures</u>		44
-------------------	--	----

Index

Part I. – Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)
MARCH 31, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In Thousands, except Share Data)

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 190,414	\$ 204,240
Restricted Cash	35,450	35,437
Accounts Receivable, including retainage	1,184,213	1,275,031
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	377,599	358,398
Deferred Income Taxes	1,958	-
Other Current Assets	87,862	76,928
Total Current Assets	1,877,496	1,950,034
Long-term Investments	46,283	62,311
Property and Equipment (net of Accumulated Depreciation of \$117,712 in 2012 and \$104,541 in 2011)	490,011	491,377
Other Assets:		
Goodwill	893,790	892,602
Intangible Assets, net	192,960	197,999
Other	18,040	18,804
	\$ 3,518,580	\$ 3,613,127
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$ 60,916	\$ 59,959
Accounts Payable, including retainage	684,327	785,725
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	376,391	384,282
Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities	159,612	163,268
Total Current Liabilities	1,281,246	1,393,234
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	617,095	612,548
Deferred Income Taxes	105,683	97,921
Other Long-term Liabilities	111,169	109,597
Contingencies and Commitments		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common Stock - \$1 par value: 75,000,000 shares authorized; Shares issued and outstanding: 47,367,950 and 47,329,275, respectively	47,368	47,329

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Additional Paid-in Capital	996,313	993,434
Retained Earnings	401,476	402,679
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(41,770)	(43,615)
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,403,387	1,399,827
	\$ 3,518,580	\$ 3,613,127

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2012	2011
Revenues	\$ 912,534	\$ 615,289
Cost of Operations	826,375	552,826
Gross Profit	86,159	62,463
General and Administrative Expenses	69,196	43,950
INCOME FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	16,963	18,513
Other Income (Expense), net	(2,308)	(447)
Interest Expense	(11,082)	(7,155)
Income before Income Taxes	3,573	10,911
Provision for Income Taxes	(4,776)	(3,982)
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ (1,203)	\$ 6,929
BASIC (LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.15
DILUTED (LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.14
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:		
BASIC	47,330	47,100
Effect of Dilutive Stock Options and Restricted Stock Units Outstanding	-	762
DILUTED	47,330	47,862

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED) (In Thousands)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED	
	MARCH 31,	
	2012	2011
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,203)	\$ 6,929
Other Comprehensive Income:		
Foreign currency translation (net of tax of \$198)	323	44
Change in fair value of investments (net of tax of \$154)	202	-
Change in fair value of interest rate swap (net of tax of \$420)	(685)	-
Realized loss on sale of investments recorded in Net (Loss) Income (net of tax of \$1,219)	2,005	-
Total Other Comprehensive Income	1,845	44
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 642	\$ 6,973

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012
(In Thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance - December 31, 2011	\$47,329	\$993,434	\$402,679	\$ (43,615)	\$1,399,827
Net (Loss) Income	-	-	(1,203)	-	(1,203)
Other comprehensive income:					
Foreign currency translation (net of tax of \$198)	-	-	-	323	323
Change in fair value of investments (net of tax of \$154)	-	-	-	202	202
Change in fair value of interest rate swap (net of tax of \$420)	-	-	-	(685)	(685)
Realized loss on sale of investments recorded in Net (Loss) Income (net of tax of \$1,219)	-	-	-	2,005	2,005
Total comprehensive income					642
Tax effect of stock-based compensation	-	(195)	-	-	(195)
Stock-based compensation expense	-	3,419	-	-	3,419
Issuance of common stock, net	39	(345)	-	-	(306)
Balance - March 31, 2012	\$47,368	\$996,313	\$401,476	\$ (41,770)	\$1,403,387

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
(In Thousands)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,203)	\$ 6,929
Adjustments to reconcile Net (Loss) Income to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	15,790	8,043
Stock-based compensation expense	3,419	3,615
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	-	(18)
Deferred income taxes	5,693	(411)
Loss on sale of investments	2,699	-
Loss (gain) on sale of equipment	(79)	596
Other long-term liabilities	214	(243)
Other non-cash items	1,031	64
Changes in other components of working capital	(52,602)	(66,229)
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(25,038)	(47,654)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisitions, net of cash balance acquired	-	(70,620)
Business acquisition related payments	(1,188)	(3,000)
Acquisition of property and equipment	(10,649)	(8,932)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	3,968	893
Investments in available-for-sale securities	(535)	-
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	16,553	-
Change in restricted cash	(13)	(8)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	8,136	(81,667)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from debt	98,500	58,175
Repayment of debt	(95,107)	(33,119)
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	-	18
Issuance of common stock and effect of cashless exercise	(307)	-
Debt issuance costs	(10)	(25)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	3,076	25,049
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(13,826)	(104,272)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	204,240	471,378
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 190,414	\$ 367,106
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Paid During the Period For:		
Interest	\$ 3,320	\$ 1,091
Income taxes	\$ 781	\$ 1,296
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Transactions:		
Property and equipment acquired through financing arrangements	\$ 2,050	\$ 1,604

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Property and equipment additions accrued in accounts payable	\$ -	\$ 3,331
Grant date fair value of common stock issued for services	\$ 1,421	\$ 717

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

7

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements presented herein include the accounts of Tutor Perini Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries (“Tutor Perini” or the “Company”). The Company’s interests in construction joint ventures are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method. These unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, results of operations and comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are not indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012 because, among other reasons, such results can vary depending on the timing of progress achieved and changes in estimated profitability of projects being reported.

The Company considers events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued to provide additional evidence relative to certain estimates or to identify matters that require additional disclosures.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company and its subsidiaries in preparing its consolidated financial statements are set forth in Note 1 to such financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company adopted the following accounting pronouncements that were issued in 2011:

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (“FASB”) issued a staff position amending existing guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures in both interim and annual financial statements. This update expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this update has not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued a staff position which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. The adoption of this update has not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued a staff position that gives an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment. An entity that adopts this option will be required to perform the two-step impairment test only if it concludes that the fair value of a reporting unit is more likely than not less than its carrying value. On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted this option. The adoption of this option has not had a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements, but it may impact the manner in which the Company performs testing for goodwill impairment.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with normal practice in the construction industry, the Company includes in current assets and current liabilities amounts related to construction contracts realizable and payable over a period in excess of one year. Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings related to the Company's contracts and joint venture contracts at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Unbilled costs and profits incurred to date*	\$ 128,101	\$ 107,645
Unapproved change orders	102,011	136,704
Claims	147,487	114,049
	\$ 377,599	\$ 358,398

*Represents the excess of contract costs and profits recognized to date on the percentage of completion accounting method over the amount of contract billings to date on certain contracts.

Of the balance of "Unapproved change orders" and "Claims" included above in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately \$76.6 million and \$85.2 million, respectively, are amounts subject to pending litigation or dispute resolution proceedings as described in Note 7. These amounts are management's estimate of the probable cost recovery from the disputed claims considering such factors as evaluation of entitlement, settlements reached to date and experience with the customer. In the event that future facts and circumstances, including the resolution of disputed claims, cause a reduction in the aggregate amount of the estimated probable cost recovery from the disputed claims, the amount of such reduction will be recorded against earnings in the relevant future period.

(3) Mergers and Acquisitions

(a) Information regarding acquisitions that are material in the aggregate

On January 3, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Fisk Electric Company ("Fisk"), a privately held electrical construction company based in Houston, Texas. Fisk was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit enabling the Company to expand its nationwide electrical construction capabilities and to realize significant synergies and opportunities in support of the Company's non-residential building and civil operations. On April 1, 2011, the Company acquired 100% ownership of Anderson Companies ("Anderson"), the privately held parent company of Roy Anderson Corporation, Harrell Contracting Group, LLC and Brice Building Company, LLC. Anderson was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit for the Company's building business and strengthens the Company's position in the southeastern United States. On June 1, 2011, the Company acquired 100% ownership of Frontier-Kemper Constructors, Inc. ("Frontier-Kemper"), a privately held Indiana-based corporation. Frontier-Kemper was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit for the Company's civil business, bolstering the Company's tunneling business in the United States and expanding the Company's geographic reach into Canada. On August 18, 2011, the Company acquired 100% ownership of Becho, Inc. ("Becho"), a privately held Utah-based corporation. Becho was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit for the Company's civil business, bolstering the Company's drilling capabilities in the southwestern United States.

The transactions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not materially revise any of the assumptions, estimates or amounts used to complete its

purchase price accounting as of December 31, 2011. The Company has not yet completed the final fair value assessment of contingent consideration or the allocation of the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets for Becho. Pending the outcome of further analysis, the preliminary purchase price allocation could change.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following unaudited pro forma summary financial information presents the operating results of the combined Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 assuming that the acquisitions occurred on January 1, 2010. This unaudited pro forma summary financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative either of the operating results that actually would have occurred had the acquisitions been completed on January 1, 2010, or of future results.

Pro Forma (unaudited)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
(in thousands, except per share data)	
Revenues	\$ 748,081
Income from Construction Operations	\$ 23,977
Net Income	\$ 7,732
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.16
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.16

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and include certain adjustments such as (i) interest expense on acquisition debt; (ii) adjustments to depreciation expense resulting from the adjustment of fixed asset bases to fair value at acquisition; (iii) additional amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets arising from the acquisitions; (iv) elimination of acquisition related expenses incurred; and (v) to reflect a statutory income tax rate on the pretax income of Fisk, Anderson, Frontier-Kemper and Becho, as well as on the applicable pro forma adjustments made. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative either of the results of operations that actually would have resulted had the acquisitions been in effect on January 1, 2010 or of future results.

(b) Merger with GreenStar Services Corporation

On July 1, 2011, the Company completed a merger with GreenStar Services Corporation (“GreenStar”). GreenStar is primarily comprised of three operating entities: Five Star Electric Corporation and WDF, Inc., which are located in New York, and Nagelbush Mechanical, Inc. which is located in Florida. GreenStar was acquired because it is one of the largest specialty contractors in the United States and it will provide an opportunity to expand the Company’s presence in the northeastern markets.

The transaction was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not materially revise any of the assumptions, estimates or amounts used to complete its purchase price accounting as of December 31, 2011.

The following unaudited pro forma summary financial information presents the operating results of the combined Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 assuming that the merger occurred on January 1, 2010. This unaudited pro forma summary financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative either of the operating results that actually would have occurred had the merger been completed on January 1, 2010, or of future results.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pro Forma (unaudited)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
(in thousands, except per share data)	
Revenues	\$ 770,688
Income from Construction Operations	\$ 41,073
Net Income	\$ 19,964
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.42
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.42

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and include certain adjustments such as (i) interest expense on merger debt; (ii) adjustments to depreciation expense resulting from the adjustment of fixed asset bases to fair value at the merger date; (iii) additional amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets arising from the merger; (iv) elimination of merger related expenses incurred; and (v) to reflect a statutory income tax rate on the pretax income of GreenStar, as well as on the applicable pro forma adjustments made. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative either of the results of operations that actually would have resulted had the merger been in effect on January 1, 2010 or of future results.

(c) Acquisition of Lunda Construction Company

On July 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Lunda Construction Company (“Lunda”). Headquartered in Black River Falls, Wisconsin, and with offices in Wisconsin and Minnesota, Lunda is a heavy civil contractor engaged in the construction, rehabilitation and maintenance of bridges, railroads, and other civil structures in the Midwest and throughout the United States. Lunda was acquired because the Company believes it is a strong strategic fit for its civil business and will provide the Company with the opportunity to expand its civil business into the midwestern United States.

The transaction was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not materially revise any of the assumptions, estimates or amounts used to complete its purchase price accounting as of December 31, 2011.

The following unaudited pro forma summary financial information presents the operating results of the combined Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 assuming that the acquisition occurred on January 1, 2010. This unaudited pro forma summary financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative either of the operating results that actually would have occurred had the acquisitions been completed on January 1, 2010, or of future results.

Pro Forma (unaudited)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
(in thousands, except per share data)	
Revenues	\$ 660,059
Income from Construction Operations	\$ 24,729
Net Income	\$ 10,002

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.21
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.21

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and include certain adjustments such as (i) interest expense on acquisition debt; (ii) adjustments to depreciation expense resulting from the adjustment of fixed asset bases to fair value at acquisition; (iii) additional amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets arising from the acquisitions; (iv) elimination of acquisition related expenses incurred; and (v) to reflect a statutory income tax rate on the pretax income of Lunda, as well as on the applicable pro forma adjustments made. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative either of the results of operations that actually would have resulted had the acquisitions been in effect on January 1, 2010 or of future results.

(4) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents, as reported in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, consist of amounts held by the Company that are available for general corporate purposes and the Company's proportionate share of amounts held by construction joint ventures that are available only for joint venture-related uses, including future distributions to joint venture partners. Restricted cash is primarily held to secure insurance-related contingent obligations, such as insurance claim deductibles, in lieu of letters of credit. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Corporate Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 88,138	\$ 109,180
Company's share of joint venture Cash and Cash Equivalents	102,276	95,060
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 190,414	\$ 204,240
Restricted Cash	\$ 35,450	\$ 35,437

(5) Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, such as money market funds, at their fair value. The fair value was determined based on a three-tier valuation hierarchy for disclosure of significant inputs. These hierarchical tiers are defined as follows:

Level 1 – inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable through market corroboration.

Level 3 – inputs are unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables provide the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2012			
	Total Carrying Value at March 31, 2012	Using Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and Cash Equivalents (1)	\$ 190,414	\$ 190,414	\$ -	\$ -
Restricted Cash (1)	35,450	35,450	-	-
Short-term investments (2)	3,080	-	3,080	-
Bonds substituted for retainage (3)	12,791	-	12,791	-
Long-term Investments – Auction rate securities (4)	46,283	-	-	46,283
Total	\$ 288,018	\$ 225,864	\$ 15,871	\$ 46,283

Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap contract (5)	\$ 1,368	\$ -	\$ 1,368	\$ -
Contingent Consideration (6)	51,697	-	-	51,697
Total	\$ 53,065	\$ -	\$ 1,368	\$ 51,697

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011			
	Total Carrying Value at December 31, 2011	Using Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and Cash Equivalents (1)	\$ 204,240	\$ 204,240	\$ -	\$ -
Restricted Cash (1)	35,437	35,437	-	-
Short-term investments (2)	3,465	1,026	2,439	-
Bonds substituted for retainage (3)	12,488	-	12,488	-
Long-term Investments – Auction rate securities (4)	62,311	-	-	62,311
Total	\$ 317,941	\$ 240,703	\$ 14,927	\$ 62,311

Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap contract (5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contingent Consideration (6)	51,555	-	-	51,555
Total	\$ 51,555	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,555

(1)

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash consist primarily of money market funds with original maturity dates of three months or less, for which fair value is determined through quoted market prices.

- (2) Short-term investments are classified as other current assets and are comprised of municipal bonds. The fair values of the municipal bonds are obtained from readily-available pricing sources for comparable instruments, and as such, the Company has classified these assets as Level 2.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (3) Bonds substituted for retainage are classified as account receivables, including retainage and are comprised of U.S. Treasury Notes and other municipal bonds, the majority of which are rated Aa2 or better. The fair values of these assets are obtained from readily-available pricing sources for comparable instruments, and as such, the Company has classified these assets as Level 2.
- (4) At March 31, 2012 the Company had \$46.3 million invested in ARS which the Company considers as available-for-sale long-term investments. The long-term investments ARS held by the Company at March 31, 2012 are in securities collateralized by student loan portfolios. At March 31, 2012 most of the Company's ARS are rated AAA. The Company estimated the fair value of its ARS utilizing an income approach valuation model which considered, among other items, the following inputs: (i) prices from recent comparable transactions; (ii) other third-party pricing information without adjustment; (iii) the underlying structure of each security; (iv) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; (discount rates range from 3-7%) and (v) consideration of the probabilities of default or repurchase at par for each period (term periods range from 6-8 years).
- (5) As discussed in Note 10, the Company entered into a swap agreement with Bank of America, N.A. to establish a long-term interest rate for its \$200 million five-year term loan. The swap agreement became effective for the term loan principal balance outstanding at January 31, 2012 and will remain effective through the maturity date of the term loan. The Company values the interest rate swap liability utilizing a discounted cash flow model that takes into consideration forward interest rates observable in the market and the counterparty's credit risk. This liability as classified as a component of other long-term liabilities.
- (6) The liabilities listed as of March 31, 2012 above represent the contingent consideration for the acquisitions of Fisk, Anderson, GreenStar, and Lunda for which the measurement period for purchase price analysis has concluded. The liabilities listed as of March 31, 2011 represent the contingent consideration for the acquisition of Fisk, as each of the other acquisitions listed above were closed subsequent to March 31, 2011. See the level 3 rollforward below for disclosure of the Company's valuation approach.

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 62,311
Purchases	-
Settlements	(16,553)
Realized loss included in other income (expense), net	(2,699)
Reversal of pretax impairment charges included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3,224
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 46,283
	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 88,129
Purchases	-
Settlements	-
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 88,129

The Company has classified its \$46.3 million ARS investment as long-term investments in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet at March 31, 2012, due to the Company's belief that the market for government-backed student loans may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Contingent Consideration
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 51,555
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	142
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 51,697
	Contingent Consideration
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ -
Fair value measured at conclusion of purchase price analysis measurement period	4,200
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 4,200

The fair values of the contingent consideration were estimated based on an income approach which is based on the cash flows that the acquired entity is expected to generate in the future. This approach requires management to project revenues, operating expenses, working capital investment, capital spending and cash flows for the reporting unit over a multi-year period, as well as determine the weighted-average cost of capital to be used as a discount rate (weighted-average cost of capital inputs have ranged from 14-18%). As a result of this analysis, the Company increased liabilities accrued at the conclusion of the measurement period by approximately \$0.1 million, and the adjustments were included in other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these items. The carrying value of receivables, payables, other amounts arising out of normal contract activities, including retainage, which may be settled beyond one year, is estimated to approximate fair value. Of the Company's long-term debt, the fair value of the fixed rate senior unsecured notes as of March 31, 2012 is \$303 million, compared to its carrying value of \$298.1 million. The fair value of the senior unsecured notes was estimated based on market quotations at March 31, 2012. For the remainder of the Company's long-term debt, the carrying value is estimated to approximate fair value.

There were no significant transfers between level 1 and level 2 financial assets and liabilities that are fair valued on a recurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(6) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2012 in connection with our recent acquisitions are shown in the tables below (in thousands):

	Building	Civil	Specialty Contractors	Management Services	Total
Gross Goodwill	\$ 420,267	\$ 430,762	\$ 141,833	\$ 66,638	\$ 1,059,500
Accumulated Impairment	(146,847)	-	-	(20,051)	(166,898)
Balance at December 31, 2011	273,420	430,762	141,833	46,587	892,602
Acquisition related adjustment	-	1,188	-	-	1,188
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 273,420	\$ 431,950	\$ 141,833	\$ 46,587	\$ 893,790

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012				Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Accumulated Impairment Charge	Carrying Value	
Trade names (non-amortizable)	\$ 117,600	\$ -	\$ (56,100)	\$ 61,500	Indefinite
Trade names (amortizable)	74,350	(1,698)	(800)	71,852	20 years
Contractor license	6,000	-	(680)	5,320	Indefinite
Customer relationships	39,800	(11,485)	-	28,315	11.6 years
Construction contract backlog	71,140	(45,167)	-	25,973	2.9 years
Total	\$ 308,890	\$ (58,350)	\$ (57,580)	\$ 192,960	

	December 31, 2011				Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Accumulated Impairment Charge	Carrying Value	
Trade names (non-amortizable)	\$ 117,600	\$ -	\$ (56,100)	\$ 61,500	Indefinite
Trade names (amortizable)	74,350	(788)	(800)	72,762	20 years
Contractor license	6,000	-	(680)	5,320	Indefinite
Customer relationships	39,800	(10,585)	-	29,215	11.6 years
Construction contract backlog	71,140	(41,938)	-	29,202	2.9 years
Total	\$ 308,890	\$ (53,311)	\$ (57,580)	\$ 197,999	

Amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$5.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2012, amortization expense is estimated to be \$13.3 million for the remainder of 2012, \$15.9 million in 2013, \$14.4 million in 2014, \$8.5 million in 2015, \$6.3 million in 2016 and \$67.7 million thereafter.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7)Contingencies and Commitments

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are involved in litigation and are contingently liable for commitments and performance guarantees arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company and certain of its clients have made claims arising from the performance under its contracts. The Company recognizes certain significant claims for recovery of incurred cost when it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and when the amount of the claim can be reliably estimated. These assessments require judgments concerning matters such as litigation developments and outcomes, the anticipated outcome of negotiations, the number of future claims and the cost of both pending and future claims. In addition, because most contingencies are resolved over long periods of time, liabilities may change in the future due to various factors.

Several matters are in the litigation and dispute resolution process. The following discussion provides a background and current status of these matters.

Tutor-Saliba-Perini Joint Venture vs. Los Angeles MTA Matter

During 1995 Tutor-Saliba-Perini (“Joint Venture”) filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles against the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (“LAMTA”), seeking to recover costs for extra work required by LAMTA in connection with the construction of certain tunnel and station projects. In 1999, LAMTA countered with civil claims under the California False Claims Act against the Joint Venture, Tutor-Saliba and the Company jointly and severally (together, “TSP”).

Between 2005 and 2010, the court granted certain Joint Venture motions and LAMTA capitulated on others which reduced the number of false claims LAMTA may seek and limited LAMTA’s claims for damages and penalties. In September 2010, LAMTA dismissed its remaining claims and agreed to pay the entire amount of the Joint Venture’s remaining claims plus interest. The Court subsequently entered judgment in favor of TSP and against LAMTA in the amount of \$3 million. This amount is after deducting the amount of \$0.5 million, representing the tunnel handrail verdict plus accrued interest against TSP. The parties filed post-trial motions for costs and fees. The Court ruled TSP’s sureties could recover costs, LAMTA could recover costs for the tunnel handrail trial, and no party could recover attorneys’ fees. In April 2011, TSP filed a notice of appeal regarding the false claims jury verdict on the tunnel handrail claim and other issues, and LAMTA subsequently filed its notice of cross-appeal. In October 2011, TSP filed a notice of appeal regarding the Court’s order denying TSP and its Sureties’ request for attorneys’ fees. In March 2012, the Court finalized the preparation of the record for the Court of Appeal with the filing of opening briefs expected in the 3rd Quarter 2012. The appeal of this case is expected to take at least a year.

The Company does not expect this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Perini/Kiewit/Cashman Joint Venture-Central Artery/Tunnel Project Matter

Perini/Kiewit/Cashman Joint Venture (“PKC”), a joint venture in which the Company holds a 56% interest and is the managing partner, is currently pursuing a series of claims, instituted at different times over the course of the past ten years, for additional contract time and/or compensation against the Massachusetts Highway Department (“MHD”) for work performed by PKC on a portion of the Central Artery/Tunnel (“CA/T”) project in Boston, Massachusetts. During construction, MHD ordered PKC to perform changes to the work and issued related direct cost changes with an estimated value, excluding time delay and inefficiency costs, in excess of \$100 million. In addition, PKC encountered a number of unforeseen conditions during construction that greatly increased PKC’s cost of performance. MHD has

asserted counterclaims for liquidated damages and backcharges.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Certain of PKC's claims have been presented to a Disputes Review Board ("DRB") which consists of three construction experts chosen by the parties. To date, five DRB panels have issued several awards and interim decisions in favor of PKC's claims, amounting to total awards to PKC in excess of \$128 million, of which \$110 million were binding awards.

In December 2010, the Suffolk County Superior Court granted MHD's motion for summary judgment to vacate the Third DRB Panel's awards to PKC for approximately \$55 million. The Court granted the motion on the grounds that the arbitrators do not have authority to decide whether particular claims are subject to the arbitration provision of the contract. MHD subsequently moved to vacate approximately \$12 million of the Fourth DRB Panel's total awards to PKC on the same arbitrability basis that the Third DRB's awards were vacated. In October 2011, the Suffolk County Superior Court followed its earlier arbitrability rulings holding that the Fourth DRB exceeded its authority in deciding arbitrability with respect to certain of the Fourth DRB Panel's awards (approximately \$8 million of the \$12 million discussed above). PKC is pursuing an appeal of the Superior Court decisions. That appeal has been fully briefed by the parties and a hearing is scheduled for May 2012.

Subject to the results of further proceedings as a result of the PKC's appeal of the Court's decisions with respect to the Third and Fourth DRB Panel's awards to PKC, the remaining claims to be decided by the DRB primarily consist of interest awards on the previous Third and Fourth DRB rulings in PKC's favor. In February 2012, PKC received a \$22 million payment for an interest award associated with the Second DRB panel's awards to PKC. The parties are currently participating in settlement discussions.

Management has made an estimate of the anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Matter

The Company reconstructed the Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Interchange (the "Project") for the New York State Department of Transportation (the "NYSDOT"). The \$130 million project was substantially completed in January 2004 and was accepted by the NYSDOT as finally complete in February 2006. The Company incurred significant added costs in completing its work and suffered extended schedule costs due to numerous design errors, undisclosed utility conflicts, lack of coordination with local agencies and other interferences for which the Company believes that the NYSDOT is responsible.

In March 2011, the Company filed its claim and complaint with the New York State Court of Claims and served to the New York State Attorney General's Office, in the amount of \$53.8 million. In May 2011, the NYSDOT filed a motion to dismiss the Company's claim on the grounds that the Company had not provided required documentation for project closeout and filing of a claim. In September 2011, the Company reached agreement on final payment with the Comptroller's Office on behalf of the NYSDOT which resulted in an amount of \$0.5 million payable to the Company and formally closed out the project, which will allow the Company's claim to be re-filed. The Company re-filed its claim in the amount of \$53.8 million with the NYSDOT in February 2012 and with the Court of Claims in March 2012.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Queensridge Matter

Perini Building Company, Inc. (“PBC”) was the general contractor for the construction of One Queensridge Place, a condominium project in Las Vegas, Nevada. The developer of the project, Queensridge Towers, LLC / Executive Home Builders, Inc. (“Queensridge”), has failed to pay PBC for work which PBC and its subcontractors performed on the project.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Subcontractors have brought claims against PBC and have outstanding liens on the property in the amount of approximately \$19 million. PBC also has an outstanding lien on the property in the amount of approximately \$24 million, representing unpaid contract balances and additional work; \$19 million of PBC's \$24 million lien amount would be paid to subcontractors. Queensridge has alleged that PBC and its subcontractors are not due amounts sought and that it has back charges from incomplete and defective work. PBC filed an arbitration demand, asserting \$35 million in claims against Queensridge, including \$25 million for contract damages and \$10 million for punitive damages.

In April 2011, the American Arbitration Association granted PBC's request for consolidation of claims. All claims will be arbitrated. The arbitration hearings started in early 2012 and are expected to conclude in late 2012. At the conclusion of the arbitration, the parties will return to District Court to resolve the lien issues.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Gaylord Hotel and Convention Center Matter

In 2005, Gaylord National, LLC ("Gaylord"), as Owner, and Perini Building Company, Inc. / Tompkins Builders, Joint Venture ("PTJV"), as Construction Manager, entered into a contract to construct the Gaylord National Resort and Convention Center (the "Project") in Maryland. The Project is complete and as part of its settlement with Gaylord reached in November 2008, PTJV agreed to pay all subcontractors and defend all claims and lien actions by them relating to the Project. PTJV has closed out most subcontracts. Resolution of the issues with the remaining subcontractors may require mediation, arbitration and/or trial.

PTJV is pursuing an insurance claim for approximately \$40 million related to work performed by Banker Steel Company, Inc. ("Banker Steel"), a subcontractor, including \$11 million for business interruption costs incurred by Gaylord which have effectively been assigned to PTJV. In November 2009, PTJV filed suit against Factory Mutual Insurance Co. ("FM") in the Maryland federal district court alleging FM breached the insurance contracts and for declaratory judgment with respect to the insurance coverage. In December 2010, PTJV filed suit against ACE American Insurance Company ("ACE") in Maryland federal district court alleging ACE breached the general liability insurance contract, requesting a declaratory judgment with respect to the insurance coverage and for bad faith.

The court assigned an April 2012 trial date; however, the parties are still participating in settlement discussions and agreed to submit to a mediation which is expected to occur in the 2nd or 3rd Quarter of 2012.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Fontainebleau Matter

DMI and Fisk, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, were subcontractors on the Fontainebleau Project in Las Vegas ("Fontainebleau"), a hotel/casino complex with approximately 3,800 rooms. In June 2009, Fontainebleau filed for bankruptcy protection, under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, in the Southern District of Florida. Fontainebleau is headquartered in Miami, Florida.

DMI and Fisk filed liens in Nevada for approximately \$44 million, representing unreimbursed costs to date and lost profits, including anticipated profits. Other unaffiliated subcontractors have also filed liens. In June 2009, DMI filed suit against Turnberry West Construction, Inc. (“Turnberry”), the general contractor, in the 8th Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, and in May 2010, the court entered an order in favor of DMI for approximately \$45 million. DMI is uncertain as to Turnberry’s present financial condition.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In January 2010, the Bankruptcy Court approved the sale of the property to Icahn Nevada Gaming Acquisition, LLC and this transaction closed in February 2010. As a result of a July 2010 ruling relating to certain priming liens there is now approximately \$125 million set aside from this sale, which is available for distribution to satisfy the creditor claims based on seniority. The total estimated sustainable lien amount is approximately \$350 million. The project lender filed suit against the mechanic's lien claimants, including DMI and Fisk, alleging that certain mechanic's liens are invalid and that all mechanic's liens are subordinate to the lender's claims against the property. The Nevada Supreme Court has agreed to hear the case and rule on the issue of lien priority, which once received will be referred to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

MGM CityCenter Matter

Perini Building Company, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, contracted with MGM MIRAGE Design Group ("MGM") in March 2005 to construct the CityCenter project in Las Vegas, Nevada (the "Project"). The Project, which encompasses nineteen separate contracts, is a 66-acre urban mixed use development consisting of hotels, condominiums, retail space and a casino.

The Company achieved substantial completion of the Project in December 2009, and MGM opened the Project to the public on the same date. In March 2010, the Company filed suit against MGM and certain other property owners in the Clark County District Court alleging several claims including breach of contract, among other items. In March 2010, the Company also filed a \$491 million mechanic's lien against the Project.

In a Current Report on Form 8-K filed by MGM in March 2010, and in subsequent communications issued, MGM has asserted that it believes it owes substantially less than the claimed amount and that it has claims for losses in connection with the construction of the Harmon Hotel and is entitled to unspecified offsets for other work on the Project. According to MGM, the total of the offsets and the Harmon Hotel claims exceed the amount claimed by the Company. MGM's filing and subsequent communications do not specify in any detail the basis for MGM's belief that it has such claims against the Company.

In May 2010, MGM filed a counterclaim and third party complaint against the Company and its subsidiary Perini Building Company. The court granted the Company and MGM's joint motion to consolidate all subcontractor initiated actions into the main CityCenter lawsuit. A trial date has been set for February 2013. MGM has filed a motion to demolish the Harmon Tower, one of the CityCenter buildings. The Company opposed the motion, and hearings on the motion commenced in March 2012. These hearings have not yet concluded. Discovery is ongoing with additional depositions on repair procedures and cost prior to the July hearings. Trial is set for February 2013.

In public statements, MGM asserted its intent to enter into settlement discussions directly with subcontractors under contract with the Company. As of March 2012, MGM has reached agreements with subcontractors to settle at a discount \$301 million of amounts previously billed to MGM. The Company has reduced and will continue to reduce amounts included in revenues, cost of construction operations, accounts receivable and accounts payable for the reduction in subcontractor pass-through billings, which the Company would not expect to have an impact on recorded profit. At March 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$192 million recorded as contract receivables for amounts due and owed to the Company and its subcontractors. In December 2011, a portion of the amounts owed to

one of the Company's subsidiaries, Fisk, was settled for approximately \$15 million. Included in the Company's receivables are pass-through subcontractor billings for contract work and retention, and other requests for equitable adjustment for additional work in the amount of \$61 million. As pass-through subcontractor billings are settled, the Company will reduce its mechanic's lien as appropriate. As of March 31, 2012, the Company's mechanic's lien has been reduced to \$313 million.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

With respect to alleged losses at the Harmon Hotel, the Company has contractual indemnities from the responsible subcontractor, as well as existing insurance coverage that it expects will be available and sufficient to cover any liability that may be associated with this matter. The Company's insurance carrier initiated legal proceedings seeking declaratory relief that their insurance policies do not provide for defense or coverage for matters pertaining to the Harmon Towers. Those proceedings are stayed pending the outcome of the underlying dispute in Nevada District Court. The Company is not aware of a basis for other claims that would amount to material offsets against what MGM owes to the Company. The Company does not expect this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges Matter

In 1999, the Company was awarded a contract for reconstruction of the Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges (the "Project") for the City of New York (the "City"). In June 2003, after substantial completion of the Project, the Company initiated an action to recover \$8.75 million in claims from the City on behalf of itself and its subcontractors. In March 2010, the City filed counterclaims for \$74.6 million and other relief, alleging fraud in connection with the disadvantaged business enterprise ("DBE") requirements for the Project. In May 2010, the Company served the City with its response to the City's counterclaims and affirmative defenses. Parties are discussing settlement possibilities as discovery efforts continue. No trial date has been set.

The Company does not expect this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Westgate Planet Hollywood Matter

Tutor-Saliba Corporation ("TSC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, contracted to construct a time share development in Las Vegas (the "Project") which was substantially completed in December 2009. The Company's claims against the owner, Westgate Planet Hollywood Las Vegas, LLC ("WPH"), relate to unresolved owner change orders and other claims. The Company filed a lien on the project in April 2010 in the amount of \$19.3 million, and filed its complaint in May 2010 with the District Court, Clark County, Nevada. Included in the Company's receivables are pass-through subcontractor billings for contract work and retention of approximately \$12 million. Several subcontractors have also recorded liens, some of which have been released by bonds and some of which have been released as a result of subsequent payment. Westgate has posted a mechanic's lien release bond for \$22.3 million.

WPH filed a cross-complaint alleging non-conforming and defective work for approximately \$51 million, primarily related to alleged defects, misallocated costs, and liquidated damages. Some or all of the allegations will be defended by counsel appointed by TSC's insurance carrier. WPH has since revised the amount of their counterclaims to approximately \$45 million.

TSC filed an amended complaint in May 2011, which increases TSC's claim to \$22.3 million, and replaces the cause of action to foreclose its mechanic's lien with an action against WPH's lien release bond.

The Court set trial for September 2012 with the discovery process continuing. The Company does not expect this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

100th Street Bus Depot Matter

The Company constructed the 100th Street Bus Depot for the New York City Transit Authority (“NYCTA”) in New York. Prior to receiving notice of final acceptance from the NYCTA, this project experienced a failure of the brick façade on the building due to faulty subcontractor work. The Company has not yet received notice of final acceptance of this project from the NYCTA. The Company contends defective structural installation by the Company’s steel subcontractor caused or was a causal factor of the brick façade failure.

The Company has tendered its claim to the NYCTA Owner Controlled Insurance Program (“OCIP”) and to Chartis Claims, Inc. (“Chartis”), its insurance carrier. Coverage was denied in January 2011. The OCIP and general liability carriers have filed a declaratory relief action in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York against the Company seeking court determination that no coverage is afforded under their policies. The Company believes it has legal entitlement to recover costs under the policies and intends to defend and pursue its claim against the carriers for breach of contract and appropriate associated causes of action. The Company filed a lawsuit against certain underwriters at Lloyds, London, the excess carrier, Illinois National Insurance Company, the Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania, and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with respect to this claim in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Suffolk County Superior Court, in June 2011. This case will be dismissed and the dispute will be heard in the New York action. Discovery is ongoing and must be completed by June 2012.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Brightwater Matter

In 2006, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division of King County (“King County”), as Owner, and Vinci Construction Grands Projects/Parsons RCI/Frontier-Kemper, Joint Venture (“VPFK”), as Contractor, entered into a contract to construct the Brightwater Conveyance System and tunnel sections (the “Project”) in Washington State. Frontier-Kemper, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is a 20% minority partner in the joint venture.

In April 2010, King County filed a lawsuit alleging damages in the amount of \$74 million, plus costs, for VPFK’s failure to complete specified components of the project in the King County Superior Court, State of Washington. Shortly thereafter, VPFK filed a counterclaim in the amount of approximately \$75 million, seeking reimbursement for additional costs incurred as a result of differing site conditions, King County’s defective specifications, for damages sustained on VPFK’s tunnel boring machines (“TBM”), and increased costs as a result of hyperbaric interventions. VPFK’s claims related to differing site conditions, defective design specifications, and damages to the TBM were presented to a Dispute Resolution Board (“DRB”). King County amended the amount sought in its lawsuit to approximately \$132 million. In August 2011, the DRB generally found that King County was liable to VPFK for VPFK’s claims for encountering differing site conditions, including damages to the TBM, but not on VPFK’s alternative theory of defective specifications. King County has proposed the parties mediate the hyperbaric work

claim. VPFK agreed to this request. Discovery continues and must be completed by June 2012 while the parties continue discussions to identify an agreed upon mediation process.

Trial is currently set for September 2012.

The ultimate financial impact of King County's lawsuit is not yet determinable. Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on the submitted claims and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

156 Stations Matter

In December 2003, Five Star Electric Corporation (“FSE”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an agreement with the Prime Contractor Transit Technologies, L.L.C (“Transit”), a Consortium member of Siemens Transportation Transit Technologies, L.L.C (“Siemens”), to assist in the installation of new public address and customer information screens system for each of the 156 stations for the New York City Transit Authority (“NYCTA”) as the owner. Work on the project commenced in early 2004 and is substantially complete.

In June 2007, FSE submitted a Demand for Arbitration against Transit to terminate its subcontract due to the execution of a Cure Agreement between the NYCTA, Siemens and Transit which severely amended FSE’s rights under the Prime Contract, due to Transit’s failure to provide information and equipment in a manner which would allow work to progress according to the approved baseline schedule, and for failure to tender payment in excess of a year. This arbitration demand also sought \$18 million in damages caused by subcontract breaches on the part of Transit. On July 17, 2009, FSE unilaterally terminated its contract with Transit and amended its claim to include all costs incurred through the date it ceased work following its termination. This claim is for approximately \$25 million.

In August 2007, FSE commenced action against the Federal Insurance Company and St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, the payment bond sureties for the Consortium, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York. FSE’s action was amended in November 2009, to include all costs incurred through the date work ceased following its termination. This claim, like the underlying arbitration, alleges damages of \$25 million.

In response, Transit notified Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America (“Travelers”), FSE’s surety, of its intent to default FSE from the contract for failure to perform. Transit filed a suit against Travelers in May 2011, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, seeking compensation for damages allegedly suffered by Transit as a result of the actions of FSE and Travelers. The cause and amount of the damages are not specified in the suit; however, the damages are for an amount in excess of \$25 million up to the contract amount of \$36 million. By an agreement executed in June 2011, Travelers tendered defense to FSE. Arbitration has been substantially completed, and the final briefings are in process.

The ultimate financial impact of Transit’s lawsuit is not yet determinable. Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on the submitted claims and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time. Additionally, pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement with the former shareholders of GreenStar, the Company has contractual indemnities for claim losses allowed for by the GreenStar Indemnity Holdbacks as defined in Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

(8) Income Taxes

The Company’s effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was 133.7% and 36.5%, respectively. The current period income tax expense of \$4.8 million includes discrete items of \$3.6 million, related to an increase in unrecognized tax benefits and an adjustment, both associated with certain stock-based compensation items identified during the current period.

For financial statement purposes the Company uses the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold and a tax benefit measurement process for recording changes to unrecognized tax benefits. The Company recognizes interest and

penalties on any income tax liabilities as a component of its income tax provision. The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits recorded was approximately \$2.9 million and \$1.7 million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has been notified by the Internal Revenue Service that its 2010 U.S. Federal tax return will be examined.

(9) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognized \$3.4 million in general and administrative expenses related to stock-based compensation awards for the three months ended March 31, 2012, and \$3.6 million of total compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards vest subject to the satisfaction of service requirements or the satisfaction of both service requirements and achievement of certain pre-established pre-tax income performance targets. Upon vesting, each award is exchanged for one share of the Company's common stock. The grant date fair values of these awards are determined based on the closing price of either the award date (if subject only to service conditions), or, if later, the date that the Compensation Committee establishes the applicable performance target (if subject to performance conditions). As of March 31, 2012, the Compensation Committee has approved the grant of an aggregate of 4,092,500 restricted stock awards to eligible participants.

In March 2012, the Compensation Committee established the 2012 pre-tax income performance targets for 220,000 restricted stock units awarded in 2009 and 2010. Additionally, 7,500 restricted stock unit awards were forfeited during the current period.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized \$2.5 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to restricted stock awards. As of March 31, 2012 there was \$10.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the unvested awards which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years. A summary of restricted stock awards activity under the plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Granted and Unvested - January 1, 2012	1,185,832	\$ 19.65	\$14,633,167
Vested	(58,332)	24.36	909,229
Granted	220,000	15.49	3,427,600
Forfeited	(7,500)	13.32	-
Total Granted and Unvested	1,340,000	18.80	20,877,200
Approved for grant	211,669	(a)	3,297,803
Total Awarded and Unvested - March 31, 2012	1,551,669	n.a.	24,175,003

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee.

The outstanding unvested awards at March 31, 2012 are scheduled to vest as follows, subject where applicable to the achievement of performance targets. As described above, certain performance targets are not yet established.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Vesting Date	Number of Awards
2012	150,000
2013	950,000
2014	451,669
Total	1,551,669

Approximately 235,000 of the unvested awards will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 1,316,669 will vest based on the satisfaction of both service requirements and the achievement of pre-tax income performance targets.

Stock Options

Stock option awards generally vest subject to the satisfaction of service requirements or the satisfaction of both service requirements and achievement of certain pre-established pre-tax income performance targets. The grant date fair values of these awards are determined based on the Black-Scholes option price model on either the award date (if subject only to service conditions), or, if later, the date that the Compensation Committee establishes the applicable performance target (if subject to performance conditions). The related compensation expense is amortized over the applicable service period. The exercise price of the options is equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date the awards were approved by the Compensation Committee, and the awards expire ten years from the award date. As of March 31, 2012, the Compensation Committee has approved the award of an aggregate of 1,685,465 stock option awards to eligible participants. In March 2012, the Compensation Committee established the 2012 pre-tax income performance target for 150,000 stock options awarded in 2009.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$0.9 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to stock option awards. As of March 31, 2012, there was \$3.8 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the outstanding options which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years.

A summary of stock option activity under the plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Exercise Price
Total Granted and Outstanding - January 1, 2012	1,225,465	\$ 10.11	\$ 18.45
Granted	150,000	5.62	20.33
Total Granted and Outstanding	1,375,465	9.62	18.65
Approved for grant	150,000	(a)	20.33
Total Awarded and Outstanding - March 31, 2012	1,525,465	n.a.	18.82

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee.

There were 340,465 options that have vested and were exercisable at March 31, 2012 at a weighted average exercise price of \$19.55 per share. Of the remaining options outstanding, approximately 650,000 of the outstanding options will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 535,000 will vest based on the satisfaction of both

service requirements and the achievement of pre-tax income performance targets.

The outstanding options had an intrinsic value of \$1.4 million and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.3 years at March 31, 2012.

25

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the award of 750,000 stock options that vest in five equal annual tranches from 2010 to 2014 subject to the achievement of pre-tax income performance targets established by the Compensation Committee. In March 2012, the Compensation Committee established the 2012 pre-tax income performance target for the fourth tranche of 150,000 stock options awarded in 2009. The fair value of this tranche was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following key assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	0.88	%
Expected life of options	4.4	years
Expected volatility of underlying stock	53.89	%
Expected quarterly dividends (per share)	\$ 0.00	

(10) Financial Commitments

Amended Credit Agreement

On August 3, 2011, the Company entered into a Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”), as Borrower, with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer (the “Lender”). The Credit Agreement allows the Company to borrow up to \$300 million on a revolving credit basis (the “Revolving Facility”), with a \$50 million sublimit for letters of credit, and an additional \$200 million term loan (the “Term Loan”). Subject to certain conditions, the Company has the option to increase the base facility by up to an additional \$50 million. Substantially all of the Company’s subsidiaries unconditionally guarantee the obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by a lien on all personal property of the Company and its subsidiaries party thereto. Amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to, at the Company's option, (a) the adjusted British Bankers Association LIBOR rate, as defined, plus 200 to 300 basis points (floor of 200 basis points) based on the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries to consolidated EBITDA or (b) the higher of the Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points, or the prime rate announced by Bank of America, N.A., plus up to 200 basis points based on the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries to consolidated EBITDA. In addition, the Company has agreed to pay quarterly facility fees ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum of the unused portion of the credit facility. Any outstanding loans under the Revolving Facility mature on August 3, 2016, while the Term Loan includes quarterly installments of principal and interest payable over a five-year period.

The Credit Agreement requires the Company to comply with certain financial and other covenants including minimum net worth, minimum fixed charge coverage and maximum leverage ratios. The Company is currently in compliance with the covenants of the Credit Agreement.

The Company had \$18.5 million of outstanding borrowings under its Revolving Facility as of March 31, 2012 and no outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2011. The Company utilized the Revolving Facility for letters of credit in the amount of \$0.2 million and \$3.0 million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Accordingly, at March 31, 2012, the Company had \$281.3 million available to borrow under the Credit Agreement.

On August 26, 2011, the Company entered into a swap agreement (“Swap Agreement”) with Bank of America, N.A. to establish a long-term interest rate for the Term Loan discussed above. The Swap Agreement pertains to the Term Loan principal balance outstanding at January 31, 2012 and will remain effective through the maturity date of the Term Loan. Amounts outstanding under the Swap Agreement will bear interest at a rate equal to the Applicable Rate,

as defined in the Credit Agreement (based upon the Company's consolidated leverage ratio), plus 97.5 basis points. The Swap Agreement includes quarterly installments of principal and monthly installments of interest payable through the maturity date of the Term Loan.

(11) Earnings (Losses) per Common Share

Basic earnings (losses) per common share were computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings (losses) per common share was similarly computed after giving consideration to the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards outstanding on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings (losses) per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 excludes 1,225,465 stock options, and 1,185,832 restricted stock units because the awards would have an antidilutive effect. The computation of diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 excludes 415,000 stock options.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(12) Business Segments

The following tables set forth certain reportable segment information relating to the Company's operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands).

	Reportable Segments				Totals	Corporate	Consolidated Total
	Building	Civil	Specialty Contractors	Management Services			
Three Months Ended March 31, 2012							
Total Revenues	\$ 343,039	\$ 250,589	\$ 267,736	\$ 68,112	\$ 929,476	\$ -	\$ 929,476
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(2,245)	(1,216)	(298)	(13,183)	(16,942)	-	(16,942)
Revenues from external customers	340,794	249,373	267,438	54,929	912,534	-	912,534
Income from Construction Operations	(8,897)	16,842	19,748	1,886	29,579	(12,616)*	16,963
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011							
Total Revenues	\$ 365,483	\$ 128,648	\$ 91,685	\$ 46,035	\$ 631,851	\$ -	\$ 631,851
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(4,863)	(3,603)	-	(8,096)	(16,562)	-	(16,562)
Revenues from external customers	360,620	125,045	91,685	37,939	615,289	-	615,289
Income from Construction Operations	11,252	13,052	928	2,641	27,873	(9,360)*	18,513

* Consists primarily of corporate general and administrative expenses.

(13) Employee Pension Plans

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan and an unfunded supplemental retirement plan. Effective September 1, 2004, all benefit accruals under the Company's pension plan were frozen; however, the current vested benefit was preserved. The pension disclosure presented below includes aggregated amounts for both of the Company's plans. The following table sets forth the net periodic benefit cost by component for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Interest cost	\$ 1,005	\$ 1,108
Expected return on plan assets	(1,186)	(1,254)
Amortization of net loss	1,396	992
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,215	\$ 846

The Company contributed \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company expects to contribute an additional \$3.1 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the remainder of fiscal year 2012.

(14) Related Party Transactions

The Company leases certain facilities from Ronald N. Tutor, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and an affiliate owned by Mr. Tutor under non-cancelable operating lease agreements with monthly payments of \$0.2 million, which increase at 3% per annum beginning August 1, 2009 and expire on July 31, 2016. Lease expense for these leases, recorded on a straight-line basis, was \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Vice Chairman of O&G Industries, Inc. ("O&G") is a director of the Company. O&G occasionally participates in joint ventures with the Company. The Company's share of revenues related to these joint ventures amounted to \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million (or less than 1%) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. O&G's cumulative holdings of the Company's stock as of March 31, 2012 and 2011 were 600,000 shares, or 1.27% of total common shares outstanding at March 31, 2012.

The Company periodically utilizes flight services from JF Aviation, LLC. James A. Frost is the Owner of JF Aviation, LLC and serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company's Civil segment. During the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the transactions amounted to approximately \$0.3 million and \$0, respectively.

(15) Separate Financial Information of Subsidiary Guarantors of Indebtedness

The Company's obligation to pay principal and interest on its 7.625% senior unsecured notes due November 1, 2018, is guaranteed on a joint and several basis by substantially all of the Company's existing and future subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Company's Credit Agreement, with certain exceptions (the "Guarantors"). The guarantees are full and unconditional and the Guarantors are 100%-owned by the Company. The following supplemental condensed consolidating financial information reflects the summarized financial information of the Company as the issuer, the Guarantors and the Company's non-guarantor subsidiaries on a combined basis.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - MARCH 31, 2012 (UNAUDITED)
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 122,283	\$ 41,080	\$ 27,051	\$ -	\$ 190,414
Restricted Cash	26,991	8,459	-	-	35,450
Accounts Receivable	118,747	1,133,784	6,527	(74,845)	1,184,213
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	91,207	303,315	152	(17,075)	377,599
Deferred Income Taxes	-	15,283	-	(13,325)	1,958
Other Current Assets	64,635	30,534	3,811	(11,118)	87,862
Total Current Assets	423,863	1,532,455	37,541	(116,363)	1,877,496
Long-term Investments	46,283	-	-	-	46,283
Property and Equipment, net	54,482	430,484	5,045	-	490,011
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	82,115	584,299	(12,024)	(654,390)	-
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	-	893,790	-	-	893,790
Intangible Assets, net	-	192,960	-	-	192,960
Investment in Subsidiaries	2,367,114	4	50	(2,367,168)	-
Other	15,396	8,847	20,375	(26,578)	18,040
	\$ 2,989,253	\$ 3,642,839	\$ 50,987	\$ (3,164,499)	\$ 3,518,580
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current Maturities of Long-term					
Debt	\$ 37,074	\$ 23,842	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,916
Accounts Payable	49,484	724,778	1,985	(91,920)	684,327
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	75,406	300,951	34	-	376,391
Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities	71,146	83,399	29,510	(24,443)	159,612
Total Current Liabilities	233,110	1,132,970	31,529	(116,363)	1,281,246
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	515,993	125,686	-	(24,584)	617,095
Deferred Income Taxes	99,916	7,761	-	(1,994)	105,683
Other Long-term Liabilities	106,948	4,221	-	-	111,169
Contingencies and Commitments					
	629,899	18,050	6,441	(654,390)	-

Intercompany Notes and Advances
Payable

Stockholders' Equity	1,403,387	2,354,151	13,017	(2,367,168)	1,403,387
	\$ 2,989,253	\$ 3,642,839	\$ 50,987	\$ (3,164,499)	\$ 3,518,580

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 134,936	\$ 52,492	\$ 16,812	\$ -	\$ 204,240
Restricted Cash	26,985	8,452	-	-	35,437
Accounts Receivable	106,540	1,257,384	10,173	(99,066)	1,275,031
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	103,418	254,828	152	-	358,398
Deferred Income Taxes	-	-	-	-	-
Other Current Assets	53,513	48,218	2,767	(27,570)	76,928
Total Current Assets	425,392	1,621,374	29,904	(126,636)	1,950,034
Long-term Investments	62,311	-	-	-	62,311
Property and Equipment, net	49,343	436,921	5,113	-	491,377
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	9,232	705,371	(10,761)	(703,842)	-
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	-	892,602	-	-	892,602
Intangible Assets, net	-	197,999	-	-	197,999
Investment in Subsidiaries	2,431,150	300	50	(2,431,500)	-
Other	13,830	9,183	20,375	(24,584)	18,804
	\$ 2,991,258	\$ 3,863,750	\$ 44,681	\$ (3,286,562)	\$ 3,613,127
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
EQUITY					
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$ 36,105	\$ 23,854	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,959
Accounts Payable	40,072	844,664	55	(99,066)	785,725
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	58,877	325,371	34	-	384,282
Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities	39,870	123,598	27,370	(27,570)	163,268
Total Current Liabilities	174,924	1,317,487	27,459	(126,636)	1,393,234
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	507,482	129,650	-	(24,584)	612,548
Deferred Income Taxes	89,798	8,123	-	-	97,921
Other Long-term Liabilities	104,740	4,857	-	-	109,597
Contingencies and Commitments					
	714,487	(15,835)	5,190	(703,842)	-

Intercompany Notes and Advances
Payable

Stockholders' Equity	1,399,827	2,419,468	12,032	(2,431,500)	1,399,827
	\$ 2,991,258	\$ 3,863,750	\$ 44,681	\$ (3,286,562)	\$ 3,613,127

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 69,125	\$ 861,695	\$ -	\$ (18,286)	\$ 912,534
Cost of Operations	62,694	785,894	(3,927)	(18,286)	826,375
Gross Profit	6,431	75,801	3,927	-	86,159
General and Administrative Expenses	18,909	49,718	569	-	69,196
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	(12,478)	26,083	3,358	-	16,963
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	17,532	-	-	(17,532)	-
Other Income (Expense), net	(2,064)	(476)	232	-	(2,308)
Interest Expense	(10,071)	(1,011)	-	-	(11,082)
Income (loss) before Income Taxes	(7,081)	24,596	3,590	(17,532)	3,573
(Provision) Credit for Income Taxes	5,878	(9,297)	(1,357)	-	(4,776)
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ (1,203)	\$ 15,299	\$ 2,233	\$ (17,532)	\$ (1,203)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 85,358	\$ 477,129	\$ 69,365	\$ (16,563)	\$ 615,289
Cost of Operations	70,916	438,274	60,199	(16,563)	552,826
Gross Profit	14,442	38,855	9,166	-	62,463
General and Administrative Expenses	15,317	23,618	5,015	-	43,950
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	(875)	15,237	4,151	-	18,513

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	11,046	-	-	(11,046)	-
Other Income (Expense), net	1,026	(1,493)	20	-	(447)
Interest Expense	(6,636)	(519)	-	-	(7,155)
Income (loss) before Income Taxes	4,561	13,225	4,171	(11,046)	10,911
(Provision) Credit for Income Taxes	2,368	(4,827)	(1,523)	-	(3,982)
NET INCOME	\$ 6,929	\$ 8,398	\$ 2,648	\$ (11,046)	\$ 6,929

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:					
Net (loss) income	\$ (1,203)	\$ 15,299	\$ 2,233	\$ (17,532)	\$ (1,203)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,175	14,547	68	-	15,790
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(17,532)	-	-	17,532	-
Stock-based compensation expense	3,419	-	-	-	3,419
Deferred income taxes	5,765	(72)	-	-	5,693
(Gain) Loss on sale of equipment	23	(102)	-	-	(79)
Loss on sale of investments	2,699	-	-	-	2,699
Other long-term liabilities	840	(626)	-	-	214
Other non-cash items	586	445	-	-	1,031
Changes in other components of working capital	33,476	(92,750)	6,672	-	(52,602)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 29,248	\$ (63,259)	\$ 8,973	\$ -	\$ (25,038)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Business acquisition related payments	(1,188)	-	-	-	(1,188)
Acquisition of property and equipment	(5,761)	(4,888)	-	-	(10,649)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	3,968	-	-	3,968
Investments in available-for-sale securities	-	(535)	-	-	(535)
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	16,553	-	-	-	16,553
Change in restricted cash	(6)	(7)	-	-	(13)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$ 9,598	\$ (1,462)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,136
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Proceeds from debt	98,500	-	-	-	98,500
Repayment of debt	(89,076)	(6,031)	-	-	(95,107)
Issuance of common stock and effect of cashless exercise	(307)	-	-	-	(307)
Debt issuance costs	(10)	-	-	-	(10)
Increase (decrease) in intercompany advances	(60,606)	59,340	1,266	-	-
	\$ (51,499)	\$ 53,309	\$ 1,266	\$ -	\$ 3,076

**NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY
FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(12,653)	(11,412)	10,239	-	(13,826)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	134,936	52,492	16,812	-	204,240
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 122,283	\$ 41,080	\$ 27,051	\$ -	\$ 190,414

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:					
Net (loss) income	\$ 6,929	\$ 8,398	\$ 2,648	\$ (11,046)	\$ 6,929
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,503	5,792	748	-	8,043
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(11,046)	-	-	11,046	-
Stock-based compensation expense	3,615	-	-	-	3,615
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(18)	-	-	-	(18)
Deferred income taxes	(293)	(118)	-	-	(411)
Loss (gain) on sale of equipment	-	598	(2)	-	596
Other long-term liabilities	182	(425)	-	-	(243)
Other non-cash items	44	20	-	-	64
Changes in other components of working capital	(7,647)	(65,022)	6,440	-	(66,229)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (6,731)	\$ (50,757)	\$ 9,834	\$ -	\$ (47,654)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Acquisition of Fisk Electric, net of cash balance acquired	(70,620)	-	-	-	(70,620)
Business acquisition related payments	(3,000)	-	-	-	(3,000)
Acquisition of property and equipment	(1,097)	(7,373)	(462)	-	(8,932)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	891	2	-	893
Change in restricted cash	(8)	-	-	-	(8)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$ (74,725)	\$ (6,482)	\$ (460)	\$ -	\$ (81,667)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Proceeds from long-term debt	7,276	50,899	-	-	58,175
Repayment of long-term debt	(6,431)	(26,688)	-	-	(33,119)
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	18	-	-	-	18
Debt issuance costs	(25)	-	-	-	(25)
Increase (decrease) in intercompany advances	33,786	(35,211)	1,425	-	-
	\$ 34,624	\$ (11,000)	\$ 1,425	\$ -	\$ 25,049

NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY
FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(46,832)	(68,239)	10,799	-	(104,272)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	222,156	220,086	29,136	-	471,378
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 175,324	\$ 151,847	\$ 39,935	\$ -	\$ 367,106

Index

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discusses our financial position at March 31, 2012, and the results of our operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and should be read in conjunction with: (1) the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and notes contained herein, and (2) the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Overview

We were incorporated in 1918 as a successor to businesses that had been engaged in providing construction services since 1894. We provide diversified general contracting, construction management and design-build services to private clients and public agencies throughout the world. Our construction business is conducted through four basic segments or operations: Civil, Building, Specialty Contractors and Management Services. Our Civil segment specializes in public works construction and the repair, replacement and reconstruction of infrastructure, including highways, bridges, mass transit systems and water and wastewater treatment facilities, primarily in the western, northeastern and mid-Atlantic United States. Our Building segment has significant experience providing services to a number of specialized building markets, including the hospitality and gaming, transportation, healthcare, municipal offices, sports and entertainment, educational, correctional facilities, biotech, pharmaceutical and high-tech markets. Our Specialty Contractors segment specializes in plumbing, HVAC, electrical, mechanical, and pneumatically placed concrete for a full range of civil, building and management services construction projects in the industrial, commercial, hospitality and gaming, and transportation end markets, among others. Our Management Services segment provides diversified construction and design-build services to the U.S. military and federal government agencies, as well as surety companies and multi-national corporations in the United States and overseas.

The contracting and management services that we provide consist of general contracting, pre-construction planning and comprehensive management services, including planning and scheduling the manpower, equipment, materials and subcontractors required for the timely completion of a project in accordance with the terms and specifications contained in a construction contract. We also offer self-performed construction services including site work, concrete forming and placement, steel erection, electrical and mechanical, plumbing and HVAC. We provide these services by using traditional general contracting arrangements, such as fixed price, guaranteed maximum price and cost plus fee contracts and, to a lesser extent, construction management or design-build contracting arrangements. In the ordinary course of our business, we enter into arrangements with other contractors, referred to as "joint ventures," for certain construction projects. Each of the joint venture participants is usually committed to supply a predetermined percentage of capital, as required, and to share in a predetermined percentage of the income or loss of the project. Generally, each joint venture participant is fully liable for the obligations of the joint venture.

We believe our leadership position as the contractor of choice for large, complex civil and nonresidential building projects will support our long term backlog growth and provide further visibility into the future earnings of our business. As evidenced by our recently announced award for the Hudson Yards development project, which will be booked into backlog as various phases are released, we believe that our increased self-performance and schedule control capabilities will lead to additional large scale Civil and Building backlog awards through the remainder of 2012.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recorded revenues of \$912.5 million, income from construction operations of \$17.0 million and a net loss of \$1.2 million as compared to revenues of \$615.3 million, income from construction operations of \$18.5 million and net income of \$6.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Our volume increased during 2012 primarily due to the contributions from our acquisitions, however our operating margins decreased due to several factors including: the substantial completion of several successful large public works projects in early 2011, the timing of approval of change orders on certain Civil segment jobs, and delays

relating to the timing of new awards and the startup of pending awards. We continue to experience strong contributions from our Specialty Contractors segment, consistent with our strategy of focusing on the growth of our self-performance capabilities. Our Management Services segment continues its focus on obtaining new work with various U.S government agencies, including the U.S. military, both domestically and abroad. Our operating results also reflect the impacts of a \$3.6 million increase to our provision for income taxes due to discrete tax adjustments identified during the period as well as a \$2.7 million loss on the sale of a portion of our auction rate securities. We also had increased interest expense with our term loan which was entered into in August 2011, and increased amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets.

Index

At March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$596.3 million, a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.47 to 1.00, and a ratio of long-term debt to equity of 0.44 to 1.00 as compared to working capital of \$556.8 million, a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.40 to 1.00 and a ratio of long-term debt to equity of 0.44 to 1.00 at December 31, 2011. Our stockholders' equity remained at \$1.4 billion as of March 31, 2012, consistent with our stockholders' equity at December 31, 2011.

Recent Developments

Backlog of \$5.9 Billion

Our backlog of uncompleted construction work at March 31, 2012 was approximately \$5.9 billion compared to \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2011. During the first quarter of 2012 we converted a number of pending awards into backlog across each of our business segments, and we had significant adjustments to existing contracts. Significant awards include a \$97 million casino in Louisiana, an \$82 million casino in Arizona, \$72 million of civil infrastructure projects in the Midwest, and a \$49 million mechanical subcontract for the Alaskan Way Viaduct project in Seattle where one of our Civil segment subsidiaries is a general contractor joint venture partner. In addition, we have pending contract awards, including the Hudson Yards development project, and prospects for both public and private sector customers that we expect will enter our backlog in 2012 as we continue to leverage our self-performance and schedule control capabilities.

(dollars in millions)	Backlog at December 31, 2011	New Business Awarded (1)	Revenues Recognized	Backlog at March 31, 2012
Building	\$ 2,248.9	\$ 287.3	\$ (340.8)	\$2,195.4
Civil	2,222.2	175.9	(249.4)	2,148.7
Specialty Contractors	1,371.5	207.2	(267.4)	1,311.3
Management Services	265.7	50.6	(54.9)	261.4
Total	\$ 6,108.3	\$ 721.0	\$ (912.5)	\$5,916.8

(1) New business awarded consists of the original contract price of projects added to our backlog plus or minus subsequent changes to the estimated total contract price of existing changes.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Our critical accounting policies are also identified and discussed in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we adopted the following accounting pronouncements that were issued in 2011:

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") issued a staff position amending existing guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures in both interim and annual financial statements. This update expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this update has not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued a staff position which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous

statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. The adoption of this update has not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Index

In September 2011, the FASB issued a staff position that gives an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment. An entity that adopts this option will be required to perform the two-step impairment test only if it concludes that the fair value of a reporting unit is more likely than not less than its carrying value. On January 1, 2012, we adopted this option. The adoption of this option has not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements, but it may impact the manner in which the Company performs testing for goodwill impairment.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 with the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recorded revenues of \$912.5 million, income from construction operations of \$17.0 million and a net loss of \$1.2 million as compared to revenues of \$615.3 million, income from construction operations of \$18.5 million and net income of \$6.9 million for the first quarter of March 31, 2011. Basic and diluted losses per common share for 2012 were \$0.03 and \$0.03, respectively, as compared to basic and diluted earnings per common share of \$0.15 and \$0.14, respectively, for 2011.

(dollars in millions)	Revenues for the Three months ended March 31,				% Change	% Change
	2012	2011	\$ Change			
Building	\$ 340.8	\$ 360.7	\$ (19.9)	(5.5)	%	
Civil	249.4	125.0	124.4	99.5	%	
Specialty Contractors	267.4	91.7	175.7	191.6	%	
Management Services	54.9	37.9	17.0	44.9	%	
Total	\$ 912.5	\$ 615.3	\$ 297.2	48.3	%	

Building segment revenues decreased by \$19.9 million (or 5.5%), from \$360.7 million in 2011 to \$340.8 million in 2012, due primarily to the substantial completion of a large, successful public works project in Las Vegas and large hospitality and gaming projects in New York and Las Vegas. This decrease was partly offset by the acquisition of Anderson Companies ("Anderson"), which contributed approximately \$118.5 million in revenues.

Civil segment revenues increased by \$124.4 million (or 99.5%), from \$125.0 million in 2011 to \$249.4 million in 2012, due primarily to the acquisitions of Frontier-Kemper Constructors, Inc. ("Frontier-Kemper"), Lunda Construction Company ("Lunda") and Becho, Inc. ("Becho") which contributed approximately \$126.9 million in revenues in the aggregate.

Specialty Contractors segment revenues increased by \$175.7 million (or 191.6%), from \$91.7 million in 2011 to \$267.4 million in 2012, due primarily to the acquisition of Five Star Electric Corporation ("FSE"), WDF, Inc. ("WDF") and Nagelbush Mechanical, Inc. ("Nagelbush") in mid 2011 which contributed approximately \$188.9 million in revenues in the aggregate.

Management Services segment revenues increased by \$17.0 million (or 44.9%), from \$37.9 million in 2011 to \$54.9 million in 2012, due primarily to continued progress on a task order contract for containerized housing in southern Iraq and an air force base project in the eastern United States.

Index

(dollars in millions)	Income (Loss) from Construction Operations for the Three months ended March 31,			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Building	\$ (8.9)	\$ 11.3	\$ (20.2)	(178.8)%
Civil	16.9	13.1	3.8	29.0 %
Specialty Contractors	19.7	0.9	18.8	*NM
Management Services	1.9	2.6	(0.7)	(26.9)%
Corporate	(12.6)	(9.4)	(3.2)	(34.0)%
Total	\$ 17.0	\$ 18.5	\$ (1.5)	(8.1)%

*NM– Not Meaningful

Building segment income from construction operations decreased \$20.2 million (or 178.8%), from \$11.3 million in 2011 to a loss of \$8.9 million in 2012, due primarily to the underlying change in mix of work from public to the more competitive private market, increased general and administrative expenses from the Anderson acquisition, and costs associated with the mobilization of operations on the east coast where we have several high quality pending award and prospect projects, led by the recently announced Hudson Yards development project.

Civil segment income from construction operations increased by \$3.8 million (or 29.0%), from \$13.1 million in 2011 to \$16.9 million in 2012, due primarily to the contributions from our acquisitions discussed above of \$11.0 million (net of intangible assets amortization). This increase was partly offset by a decline in operating margin caused by work performed under unapproved change orders, which we expect will provide additional profit in the period in which the change orders are approved, and the substantial completion of several successful public works projects on the east coast in early 2011.

Specialty Contractors segment income from construction operations increased by \$18.8 million, from \$0.9 million in 2011 to income of \$19.7 million in 2012, due primarily to the acquisitions discussed above which contributed approximately \$18.2 million in income from construction operations (net of intangible assets amortization) in the aggregate.

Management Services segment income from construction operations decreased by \$0.7 million (or 26.9%), from \$2.6 million in 2011 to \$1.9 million in 2012, due primarily to the substantial completion of a successful U.S. military facility project in Iraq in early 2011 and increased competition for new work.

Corporate general and administrative expenses increased by \$3.2 million (or 34.0%) from \$9.4 million in 2011 to \$12.6 million in 2012 due primarily to a change in our methodology of allocating corporate expenses to our segments as well as additional acquisition and integration-related expenses in 2012.

Consolidated Other Income, Interest Expense and Provision for Income Taxes

(dollars in millions)	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	\$ Change	% Change
Three months ended				
Other Income (Expense), net	\$ (2.3)	\$ (0.4)	\$ (1.9)	*NM
Interest Expense	(11.1)	(7.2)	(3.9)	(54.2)%
Provision for Income Taxes	(4.8)	(4.0)	(0.8)	(20.0)%

*NM– Not Meaningful

Other income (expense), net decreased by \$1.9 million from expense of \$0.4 million in 2011 to expense of \$2.3 million in 2012, due primarily to a loss on the sale of a portion of our auction rate securities. Interest expense increased by \$3.9 million from \$7.2 million in 2011 to \$11.1 million in 2012, due primarily to interest expense on our term loan which was entered into in August 2011. The provision for income taxes increased from \$4.0 million in 2011 to \$4.8 million in 2012. The effective tax rate was 133.7% for 2012 and 36.5% for 2011. The 2012 provision for income taxes includes discrete items of \$3.6 million, related to an increase in unrecognized tax benefits and an adjustment, both associated with certain stock-based compensation items identified during the current period. We expect our 2012 fiscal year tax rate to approximate 38.0%, excluding these discrete items.

Index

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and Working Capital

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash held by us and available for general corporate purposes was \$88.1 million and \$109.2 million, respectively. Our proportionate share of cash held by joint ventures and available only for joint venture-related uses, including distributions to joint venture partners, was \$102.3 million and \$95.1 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and our restricted cash was \$35.4 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

A summary of cash flows for each of the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below:

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from:		
Operating activities	\$ (25.0)	\$ (47.7)
Investing activities	8.1	(81.7)
Financing activities	3.1	25.1
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(13.8)	(104.3)
Cash at beginning of year	204.2	471.4
Cash at end of period	\$ 190.4	\$ 367.1

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we used \$25.0 million in cash to fund operating activities, primarily due to the timing of collections in the Building and Specialty Contractors segments. We received \$8.1 million in cash from investing activities, due primarily to the sale of several of our auction rate securities offset by cash used to purchase construction equipment. We received \$3.1 million in cash from financing activities, primarily due to our outstanding borrowings under our revolving facility offset cash used for scheduled debt repayments.

At March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$596.3 million, a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.47 to 1.00, and a ratio of long-term debt to equity of 0.44 to 1.00 as compared to working capital of \$556.8 million, a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.40 to 1.00 and a ratio of long-term debt to equity of 0.44 to 1.00 at December 31, 2011.

Long-term Investments

At March 31, 2012, we had investments in auction rate securities (“ARS”) of \$46.3 million, which are reflected at fair value. Our investment policy is to manage our assets to achieve our goals of preserving principal, maintaining adequate liquidity at all times, and maximizing returns subject to our investment guidelines. The current overall liquidity concerns in capital markets have affected our ability to liquidate many of our investments in auction rate securities. As such, we classified our ARS as “available-for-sale” Long-term Investments. Based on our ability to access our cash equivalent investments and our available revolving facility, we do not expect that the short-term lack of liquidity of our ARS investments will materially affect our overall liquidity position or our ability to execute our current business plan. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we received approximately \$16.6 million in proceeds from the sale of certain of our ARS holdings. For a description of our accounting for our ARS, see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Long-term Debt

We had \$18.5 million in outstanding borrowings under our revolving facility as of March 31, 2012, and we utilized the revolving facility for outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$0.2 million. Accordingly, at March 31, 2012, we had \$281.3 million available to borrow under our credit agreement. We believe that our financial position and credit arrangements are sufficient to support our current backlog and anticipated new work.

Index

Long-term debt, excluding current maturities of \$60.9 million, was \$617.1 million at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$4.6 million from \$612.5 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to outstanding borrowings on our revolving facility. Our long-term debt to equity ratio remained at 0.44x at March 31, 2012, consistent with the ratio as of December 31, 2011.

There were no other material changes in our contractual obligations during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any financial partnerships with unconsolidated entities, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities which are often established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Accordingly, we are not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had such relationships.

Dividends

There were no cash dividends declared or paid on our outstanding common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Forward-looking Statements

The statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements on Form 10-Q that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including without limitation, statements regarding our management's expectations, hopes, beliefs, intentions or strategies regarding the future. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we have anticipated. These forward-looking statements involve a number of risks, uncertainties (some of which are beyond our control) or other assumptions that may cause actual results or performance to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to win new contracts and convert backlog into revenue;
- our ability to successfully and timely complete construction projects;
- our ability to realize the anticipated economic and business benefits of our acquisitions and our strategy to assemble and operate a Specialty Contractors business segment;
 - the potential delay, suspension, termination or reduction in scope of a construction project;
- the continuing validity of the underlying assumptions and estimates of total forecasted project revenues, costs and profits and project schedules;
 - the outcomes of pending or future litigation, arbitration or other dispute resolution proceedings;
 - the availability of borrowed funds on terms acceptable to us;
 - the ability to retain certain members of management;
 - the ability to obtain surety bonds to secure our performance under certain construction contracts;
 - possible labor disputes or work stoppages within the construction industry;
- changes in federal and state appropriations for infrastructure projects and the impact of changing economic conditions on federal, state and local funding for infrastructure projects;
- possible changes or developments in international or domestic political, social, economic, business, industry, market and regulatory conditions or circumstances;
- actions taken or not taken by third parties including our customers, suppliers, business partners, and competitors and legislative, regulatory, judicial and other governmental authorities and officials; and

- other risks and uncertainties discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2012.

Index

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no material change in our exposure to market risk from that described in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. The effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures is necessarily limited by the staff and other resources available to us and, although we have designed our disclosure controls and procedures to address the geographic diversity of our operations, this diversity inherently may limit the effectiveness of those controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. As part of our integration of our recent acquisitions, we have substantially completed the process of incorporating our controls and procedures into the operations of these newly acquired entities.

In connection with Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we will continue to review and assess the adequacy of our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal control over financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.

Part II. - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time in the ordinary course of business, we are subject to claims, asserted or unasserted, or named as a party to lawsuits or investigations. Litigation can be expensive and disruptive to normal business

operations. Moreover, the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with any certainty and, in the case of more complex legal proceedings, the results are difficult to predict at all. We disclosed information about certain of our legal proceedings in Part I, Item 3 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. For an update to those disclosures, see Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Index

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information regarding risk factors affecting our business is discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material changes from those risk factors during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no repurchases by the Company of its equity securities during the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Company acquired 19,657 shares from several employees in connection with the settlement of income tax and related benefit withholding obligations arising from vesting in restricted stock units.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Section 1503 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) requires domestic mine operators to disclose violations and orders issued under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the “Mine Act”) by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration. We do not act as the owner of any mines but we may act as a mining operator as defined under the Mine Act where we may be an independent contractor performing services or construction of such mine.

Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act and Item 104 Regulation S-K is included in Exhibit 95.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 2.1 Stock Purchase Agreement dated July 1, 2011 by and among Tutor Perini Corporation, Lunda Construction Company, and each of the Shareholders of Lunda Construction Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 6, 2011). Exhibits, schedules (or similar attachments) to the Stock Purchase Agreement are not filed. The Company will furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted exhibit or schedule to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Exhibit 2.2 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated July 1, 2011 by and among Tutor Perini Corporation, GreenStar Services Corporation, Galaxy Merger, Inc., and GreenStar IH Rep LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K filed on July 6, 2011). Exhibits, schedules (or similar attachments) to the Agreement and Plan of Merger are not filed. The Company will furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted exhibit or schedule to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Exhibit 3.1 Restated Articles of Organization (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Form S-2 (File No. 33-28401) filed on April 28, 1989).

Exhibit 3.2

Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Organization of Perini Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form S-1 (File No. 333-111338) filed on December 19, 2003).

Index

Exhibit 3.3	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Organization of Perini Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2000).
Exhibit 3.4	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Organization of Perini Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2008).
Exhibit 3.5	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Organization of Perini Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to Form 10-Q filed on August 10, 2009).
Exhibit 3.6	Second Amended and Restated By-laws of Tutor Perini Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2009).
Exhibit 4.1	Shareholders Agreement, dated as of April 2, 2008, by and among Tutor Perini Corporation, Ronald N. Tutor and the shareholders of Tutor-Saliba Corporation signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2008).
Exhibit 4.2	Amendment No. 1 to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2010, by and between Tutor Perini Corporation and Ronald N. Tutor, as shareholder representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2010).
Exhibit 4.3	Amendment No. 2 to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of June 2, 2011, by and between Tutor Perini Corporation and Ronald N. Tutor, as shareholder representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2011).
Exhibit 4.4	Amendment No. 3 to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of September 13, 2011, by and between Tutor Perini Corporation and Ronald N. Tutor, as shareholder representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on September 16, 2011).
Exhibit 4.5	Indenture, dated October 20, 2010, by and among Tutor Perini Corporation, certain subsidiary guarantors named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010).
Exhibit 4.6	Registration Rights Agreement dated October 20, 2010, by and among Tutor Perini Corporation, certain subsidiary guarantors named therein and the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010).
Exhibit 10.1	Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2011, among Tutor Perini Corporation, the subsidiaries of Tutor Perini named therein, and Bank of America, N.A., and the other lenders that are parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed on August 4, 2011).
Exhibit 10.2	Promissory Note, dated July 1, 2011, issued by Tutor Perini Corporation to GreenStar IH Rep LLC, in its capacity as the Interest Holder Representative on behalf of certain equity holders of GreenStar (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 6, 2011).
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – filed herewith.

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – filed herewith.

*Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – filed herewith.

Index

***Exhibit 32.2** Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – filed herewith.

Exhibit 95 Mine Safety Disclosure – filed herewith.

****Exhibit 101**The following materials from Tutor Perini Corporation’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (1) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (2) Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (3) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (4) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Stockholders’ Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012, (5) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and (6) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

* These certifications are being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and are not being filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Tutor Perini Corporation
Registrant

Date: May 4, 2012

/s/Michael J. Kershaw
Michael J. Kershaw, Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer
Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer