

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

LASERSIGHT INC /DE
Form 10QSB
November 08, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005.

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the Transition period from _____ to _____ .

Commission File Number: 0-19671

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware

65-0273162

(State of Incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

6848 Stapoint Court., Winter Park, Florida 32792

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(407) 678-9900

(Issuer's telephone number)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Check whether the registrant filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of November 8, 2005 is 9,997,193.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one):

Yes No

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

Except for the historical information contained herein, the discussion in this report contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that involve risks and uncertainties. LaserSight's actual results could differ materially from those discussed here. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Risk Factors and Uncertainties" in this report and in LaserSight's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004. LaserSight undertakes no obligation to update any such factors or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any future events or developments.

INDEX

	PAGE
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements.	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2005	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2005 and 2004	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2005 and 2004	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.	13
Item 3. Controls and Procedures.	20
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.	21
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	21
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	21
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	21
Item 5. Other Information.	21
Item 6. Exhibits.	21

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Page 2 of 23

PART 1 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(unaudited)

ASSETS	September 30, 2005
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 94,796
Accounts receivable - trade, net (including receivables from related parties of \$1,937,298)	1,944,814
Notes receivable - current portion, net	101,113
Inventories, net	1,509,764
Prepaid expenses	23,578
Total Current Assets	3,674,065
Property and equipment, net	94,993
Patents, net	424,775
Deposits with suppliers	358,191
Deferred financing costs, net	194,840
Total Assets	\$ 4,746,864
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	
Current liabilities:	
Note Payable	\$ 736,491
Accounts payable	181,467
Accrued expenses	258,431
Accrued license fees	181,211
Accrued Warranty	454,000
Deferred revenue	816,742
Total Current Liabilities	2,628,342
Note Payable Related party- convertible	1,000,000
Note Payable long term portion	315,368
Deferred royalty revenue	4,065,491
Commitments and contingencies	
Stockholders' deficit:	
Convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share; authorized 10,000,000 shares; non- issued	-
Common stock - par value \$.001 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 9,997,195 shares issued and 9,997,193 outstanding	9,997
Additional paid-in capital	104,625,869
Accumulated deficit	(107,898,203)
Less treasury stock, at cost; 2 common shares	-
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(3,262,337)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 4,746,864

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Page 3 of 23

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended Sept 30,	Three Months Ended Sept 30,	Nine Months Ended Sept 30,	Nine En Sep
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenues:				
Products	\$ 1,069,988	\$ 930,816	\$ 4,222,546	\$ 3,
(including revenues from related parties of \$894,224, \$778,807, \$3,917,829 and \$3,509,714, respectively)				
Royalties	266,091	234,810	797,303	
	1,336,079	1,165,626	5,019,849	4,
Cost of revenues:				
Product cost	482,969	626,776	2,159,009	2,
Gross profit	853,110	538,850	2,860,840	1,
Research and development and regulatory expenses	46,705	42,747	146,523	
Other general and administrative expenses	576,773	707,258	1,841,367	2,
Selling-related expenses	38,575	117,807	312,072	
Amortization of intangibles	8,379	8,379	25,137	
	623,727	833,444	2,178,576	2,
Income (loss) from operations	182,678	(337,341)	535,741	(
Other income and expenses:				
Interest and other income	654	275,476	3,066	
Interest expense	(78,396)	(189,509)	(249,688)	(
Income (loss) before income tax benefit .	104,936	(251,374)	289,119	(
Income tax expense/benefit	-	-	-	
Net income (loss) before extinguishment of debt	104,936	(251,374)	289,119	(
Gain of extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	15,
Net Income(loss)	\$ 104,936	\$ (251,374)	\$ 289,119	\$ 14,
Income(loss) per common share				
Basic:	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.03	\$

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Diluted:	\$	0.01	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.03	\$
Weighted average number of shares outstanding							
Basic:		9,997,000		9,997,000		9,997,000	21,
Diluted:		9,997,000		9,997,000		9,997,000	34,

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Page 4 of 23

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 and 2004
(unaudited)

	September 30, 2005	September 30, 2004
	-----	-----
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 289,119	\$ 14,327,
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	45,051	80,
Amortization of discount on note payable and deferred financing cost	80,474	
Issuance of stock options	7,800	
Additions to notes payable for fees and penalties ..	-	305,
Gain on extinguishment of debt	-	(15,287,
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and notes receivable, net	(252,988)	(333,
Inventories	206,160	633
Accounts payable	17,624	447,
Accrued expenses	13,876	231,
Deferred revenue	79,532	(704,
Other	43,822	(502,
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities ...	530,470	(801,
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,558)	(109,
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,558)	(109,
Cash flows from financing activities		
Payments on debt financing	(807,628)	(243,
Proceeds from DIP Financing	-	1,250,
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities ...	(807,628)	1,006,
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(282,716)	95,

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	377,512	564,
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 94,796	\$ 660,
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

LASERSIGHT INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2005 and 2004

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements of LaserSight Incorporated and subsidiaries ("LaserSight" or the "Company") as of September 30, 2005, and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, , and the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes necessary for a comprehensive presentation of financial position and the results of operations.

The Company's business is subject to various risks and uncertainties, which contemplates the realization of assets and the discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and has a significant accumulated deficit that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described below. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Accounts receivable due from Shenzhen New Industries Medical Development Co., Ltd. ("NIMD") as of September 30, 2005 totaled \$1,937,298, which was virtually all of the accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2005. \$1,043,074 of NIMD's balance is over ninety days past due. As of November 8, 2005, \$1,296,941 of the Company's accounts receivable balance remains unpaid. While we have verbal promises from the NIMD to pay the accounts receivable balance, we have no guarantee that payment will be made on time. Management continues to believe that it will fully realize the balance due, but the ultimate realization thereof cannot be assured. The loss of NIMD as a customer or the non-payment or slow payment of monies owed to the Company would have a significant adverse effect on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the requirements for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-QSB. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in LaserSight's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary, consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments, for a fair presentation of consolidated financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. There are no other components of comprehensive income other than the Company's consolidated net income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004. The results of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Page 6 of 23

NOTE 2 CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparation of these statements requires management to make judgments and estimates that affect the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Some accounting policies have a significant impact on amounts reported in these financial statements. A summary of significant accounting policies and a description of accounting policies that are considered critical may be found in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed in March 2005, in the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of "Item 7. - Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation."

NOTE 3 PER SHARE INFORMATION

Basic income per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and contingently issuable shares (to the extent that all necessary contingencies have been satisfied). Diluted income per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares, contingently issuable shares (to the extent that all necessary contingencies have been satisfied), and common share equivalents outstanding during each period. Common share equivalents, including options and warrants to purchase Common Stock, are included in the computation using the treasury stock method. The dilutive effect of convertible securities is computed using the if-converted method. The computation of dilutive earnings per shares excludes the effect of the assumed conversion of exercise or contingent issuance of securities that would have an antidilutive effect on earnings per share. For the period ended September 30, 2005, 596,000 shares attributable to outstanding stock options and warrants were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise prices of the stock options and warrants were greater than or equal to the average price of common shares during the period, and therefore inclusion would have been antidilutive. As a result of the September 5, 2003 Chapter 11 filing, all common and preferred shares outstanding at and prior to June 30, 2004, including options and warrants, were cancelled and new shares were distributed, effective June 30, 2004, as

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

follows:

Creditors of LaserSight Incorporated	1,116,000
Creditors of LaserSight Technologies	1,134,000
Old preferred stockholders	360,000
Old common stockholders	539,997 (1)
Cancel treasury stock	(2,802)
Conversion of \$1 million DIP	
Financing	6,850,000

	9,997,195
	=====

(1) The old common stock was converted at a 51.828 to 1 ratio.

The following table presents earnings per share figures as if this reorganization of the capital structure had taken place as of the beginning of the first period presented:

Page 7 of 23

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2004

Net income per common share - basic and diluted	(\$0.03)
	=====
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	9,997,000
	=====

NOTE 4 INVENTORIES

Inventories, which consist primarily of excimer and erbium laser systems and related parts and components, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the standard cost method, which approximates cost determined on the first-in first-out basis. The components of inventories at September 30, 2005 are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2005

Raw material	\$ 1,143,971
Work in Process	408,277
Finished Goods	57,516
Reserve	(100,000)

	\$ 1,509,764
	=====

NOTE 5 AMENDED LOAN AGREEMENT

The Company signed a three-year note with Heller Healthcare Finance,

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Inc ("Heller") and GE Healthcare Financial Services, Inc., as successor-in-interest to Heller (collectively "GE") on August 30, 2004. The note expires on June 30, 2007. The note bears interest of 9%. Certain covenants were modified such that the Company is required to maintain a net worth of \$750,000, a tangible net worth of \$1,000,000 and minimum quarterly revenues of \$1,000,000. GE was issued a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share, or \$0.40 per share if the New Industries Investment Group (the "China Group"), see Note 6, converts its DIP loan to equity. The warrant expires June 30, 2008. The Company was not in compliance with certain covenants of the amended loan agreements and a waiver was obtained on May 23, 2005. The revised agreement removed the net worth and tangible net worth covenants and added an annual net income provision. For the year ended December 31, 2005 and any subsequent trailing twelve month periods, as measured on the last day of each calendar quarter, the Company's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization cannot fall below \$550,000. As of November 8, 2005, we are in compliance with all covenants of our loan agreement.

NOTE 6 CHINA BACKGROUND

Shenzhen New Industries Medical Development Co., Ltd. ("NIMD") was founded and incorporated by the Medical Investment Department of the People's Republic of China in 1995 by its parent company, New

Page 8 of 23

Industries Investment Group ("NII"). It specializes in marketing and distribution of LASIK surgery devices and equipment, as well as in investment and operation of LASIK clinical centers in Chinese market.

In the past decade, NIMD invested and operated PRK/LASIK excimer laser refractive surgery centers in joint venture with hospitals and medical institutes in China. New Industries Investment Consultants (H.K.) Ltd ("NIIC") specializes in hi-tech business investment and consulting services. It is registered in Hong Kong. It was incorporated in 1994 by its principal investor Mr. Xianding Weng (a major shareholder of NII, NII's CEO and the Company's Chairman of the Board of Directors). NIIC, NII and NIMD are collectively referred to herein as the "China Group".

In 2003 and 2004, the China Group provided \$2 million of debtor-in-possession ("DIP") financing to the Company. On June 30, 2004, \$1 million of the DIP financing was converted into 6,850,000 shares of common stock. As of September 30, 2005, the China Group controlled approximately 72% of the Company's common stock. Company revenues from the China Group were approximately \$3.9 million and \$3.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Accounts receivable due from the China Group as of September 30, 2005 was \$1,937,298, virtually all of the accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2005. \$1,043,074 of the China Group's balance is over ninety days past due. As of November 8, 2005, \$1,296,941 of the accounts receivable balance remains unpaid. See Note 1.

NOTE 7 STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation plans using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Accordingly, stock-based employee compensation cost was not reflected in net earnings, as all stock

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

options granted under the plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. No stock options were awarded in 2004, and the Company had no pro forma stock-based compensation.

As previously announced, as a result of the Chapter 11 filing, the Company cancelled all of the common and preferred shares, options and warrants outstanding at June 30, 2004. Effective January 1, 2005 the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, using the retroactive restatement method described in SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure. There was no effect to the financial statements from the implementation of the retroactive restatement method. In May 2005 the Board of Directors approved the issuance of options to purchase 496,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an estimated fair value of approximately \$119,000. The options were issued to employees and Directors and have various vesting schedules, but all are exercisable for ten years. Amortization of unearned stock-based compensation for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$7,800 and \$0, respectively.

NOTE 8 BANKRUPTCY

On September 5, 2003 LaserSight and two of its subsidiaries filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and reorganization in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division. The cases filed were LaserSight Incorporated, ("LSI") Case No.

Page 9 of 23

6-03-bk-10371-ABB; LaserSight Technologies, Inc., ("LST") Case No. 6-03-bk-10370-ABB; and LaserSight Patents, Inc., Case No. 6-03-bk-10369-ABB.

On April 28, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Re-organization Plan. The effective date of the Plan was June 30, 2004. On December 22, 2004 a final decree of bankruptcy was issued.

In June of 2004, the effective date of the re-organization plan, the following liabilities were relieved:

Accounts Payable	\$ 2,905,814
Accrued TLC license fee	825,500
Accrued salaried/severance	235,367
Accrued warranty	6,125,730
Accrued Ruiz license fees	3,471,613
Deposits/service contracts	720,399
Other accrued expenses	1,331,711

	\$ 15,616,134
Stock issued to creditors	(328,500)

Gain on forgiveness of debt	\$ 15,287,634
	=====

The new common stock issued to the creditors was valued at \$0.146 per share, or \$328,500, which was deducted from the forgiven liabilities. The stock value is the same amount as the \$1,000,000 of DIP financing converted to equity.

NOTE 9 CONTINGENCIES

Liquidity

The Company had incurred significant losses and negative cash flows from operations in each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2003, and had an accumulated deficit of \$108 million at September 30, 2005. Net cash flows were negative during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The substantial portion of these losses is attributable to the Company's continued lack of adequate funding and working capital, and additional administrative and professional expenses, attributable to the Chapter 11 filing, have also contributed to these losses. In past years the substantial portion of these losses were attributable to an inability to sell certain products in the U.S. due to delays in Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approvals, various procedures using the Company's excimer laser system in the U.S. and continued development efforts to expand clinical approvals of the Company's excimer laser and other products. The Company's new focus is on China, and it is no longer pursuing additional FDA approvals.

In 2004, the Company had net income of \$14.7 million, which included \$15.3 million of gain on forgiveness of debt. In 2004 the Company incurred \$398,000 of bankruptcy-related expenses for legal services, financial advisor fees, printing and postage, priority claims and new stock certificates.

Contractual obligations with one of our creditors require that one-third of the payments received from WaveLight Laser Technologie AG for licensing our patents be used for additional principal payments. During the first three quarters of 2005, additional principal payments were \$275,000.

Page 10 of 23

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is uncertain and dependent upon continuing to achieve improved operating results and cash flows or obtaining additional equity capital and/or debt financing.

Litigation

Italian Distributor. In February 2003, an Italian court issued an order restraining LaserSight Technologies from marketing our AstraPro software at a trade show in Italy. This restraining order was issued in favor of LIGI Tecnologie Medicali S.p.a. (LIGI), a distributor of our products, and alleged that our AstraPro software product infringes certain European patents owned by LIGI. We had retained Italian legal counsel to defend us in this litigation, and we were informed that the Italian court had revoked the restraining order and ruled that LIGI must pay our attorney's fees in connection with our defense of the restraining order. In addition, our Italian legal counsel informed us that LIGI had filed a motion for a permanent injunction. We believe that our AstraPro software does not infringe the European patents owned by LIGI, but due to limited cash flow the Company has not defended its position. Management believes that the outcome of this litigation will not have a material adverse impact on LaserSight's business, financial condition or results from operations. Since the Chapter 11 petition

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

does not apply to foreign courts, this action is still pending.

Routine Matters. In addition, we are involved from time to time in routine litigation and other legal proceedings incidental to our business. Although no assurance can be given as to the outcome or expense associated with any of these proceedings, we believe that none of such proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of LaserSight.

NOTE 10 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's operations principally include refractive products. Refractive product operations primarily involve the development, manufacture and sale of ophthalmic lasers and related devices for use in vision correction procedures. Patent services involve the revenues and expenses generated from the ownership of certain refractive laser patents.

Operating profit is total revenue less operating expenses. In determining operating profit for operating segments, the following items have not been considered: general corporate expenses, non-operating income and expense and income tax expense. Identifiable assets by operating segment are those that are used by or applicable to each operating segment. General corporate assets consist primarily of cash and income tax accounts.

The table below summarizes information about reported segments as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005:

Page 11 of 23

SEGMENT INFORMATION

Three months ended Sept 30,

	Operating Revenues -----	Operating Profit (Loss) -----	Assets -----	Depreciation and Amortization -----	Ex
2005					

Operating segments:					
Refractive products	\$1,069,988	\$ 66,965	\$4,552,024	\$ 14,026	
Patent services	266,091	266,091	-	-	
General corporate	-	(150,378)	194,840	28,158	

Consolidated total	\$1,336,079	\$ 182,678	\$4,746,864	\$ 42,184	
=====					
2004					

Operating segments:					
Refractive products	\$ 930,816	\$ (437,139)	\$4,652,012	\$ 12,736	
Patent services	234,810	234,810	-	-	
General corporate	-	(135,012)	648,738	-	

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Consolidated total \$1,165,626 \$ (337,341) \$5,300,750 \$ 12,736

Nine months ended Sept 30,

	Operating Revenues	Operating Profit (Loss)	Assets	Depreciation and Amortization	Ex
2005					
Operating segments:					
Refractive products	4,222,546	378,718	4,552,024	45,051	
Patent services	797,303	797,303	-	-	
General corporate	-	(640,280)	194,840	80,474	
Consolidated total	5,019,849	535,741	4,746,864	125,525	
2004					
Operating segments:					
Refractive products	3,936,184	(1,277,466)	4,652,012	80,466	
Patent services	704,430	704,430	-	-	
General corporate	-	(299,047)	648,738	-	
Consolidated total	4,640,614	(872,083)	5,300,750	80,466	

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.

Forward-Looking Statements and Associated Risks

THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-QSB CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE BASED ON MANAGEMENT'S CURRENT EXPECTATIONS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, BELIEFS AND ASSUMPTIONS. WORDS SUCH AS "ANTICIPATES", "EXPECTS", "INTENDS", "PLANS", "BELIEVES", "SEEKS", "ESTIMATES", VARIATIONS OF SUCH WORDS AND SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS ARE INTENDED TO IDENTIFY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THESE STATEMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEES OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND ASSUMPTIONS THAT ARE DIFFICULT TO PREDICT; THEREFORE, ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE EXPRESSED OR FORECASTED IN ANY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THESE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES INCLUDE THOSE DISCUSSED IN "PART I - ITEM 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS - RISK FACTORS" AND PART II - ITEM 6 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS" CONTAINED IN THE COMPANY'S FORM 10-KSB FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. UNLESS REQUIRED BY LAW, THE COMPANY UNDERTAKES NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE PUBLICLY ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, WHETHER AS A RESULT OF NEW INFORMATION, FUTURE EVENTS OR OTHERWISE. INVESTORS SHOULD REVIEW THIS QUARTERLY REPORT IN COMBINATION WITH THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB IN ORDER TO HAVE A

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

MORE COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING OF THE PRINCIPAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH AN INVESTMENT IN THE COMPANY'S STOCK.

Overview

LaserSight is principally engaged in the manufacture and supply of narrow beam scanning excimer laser systems, topography-based diagnostic workstations, and other related products used to perform procedures that correct common refractive vision disorders such as nearsightedness, farsightedness and astigmatism. Since 1994, we have marketed our laser systems commercially in over 30 countries worldwide. We are currently focused on selling in selected international markets; primarily China.

We have significant liquidity and capital resource issues relative to the timing of our accounts receivable collection and the successful completion of new sales compared to our ongoing payment obligations and our recurring losses from operations and net capital deficiency raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have experienced significant losses and operating cash flow deficits, and we expect that operating cash flow deficits will continue without improvement in our operating results.

Bankruptcy

On September 5, 2003 we filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and reorganization. We operated in this manner from September 5, 2003 through June 10, 2004, when the re-organization was approved by the Bankruptcy Court. A final decree of bankruptcy was obtained on December 22, 2004. As a result of the bankruptcy re-structuring, we have recorded credits for debt forgiveness of approximately \$15.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2004. Additionally, we recognized charges of approximately \$8.0 million during 2003 for patent impairment, accounts receivable and inventory write offs. We cancelled all of its outstanding common and preferred stock, including warrants and options, and issued 9,997,195 new common shares on June 30, 2004. We emerged from bankruptcy with approximately \$0.7 million in unsecured liabilities, approximately \$2.1 million in secured debt, approximately \$5.3 million in deferred revenue and approximately \$1.0 million of DIP financing provided by a related party, as part of the approved bankruptcy plan. The related party converted \$1.0 million of the DIP financing for an additional 6,850,000 common shares.

Page 13 of 23

China Transaction

In February 2004, we received a commitment from a related party purchaser to purchase at least \$12.0 million worth of our products during the 12-month period ending February 2005 and to distribute our products in Mainland China, Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan. The purchase agreement provides for two one-year extensions. From February 2004 through September 2005, approximately \$10.1 million worth of products were sold under this agreement. Product issues reduced shipments during the third and fourth quarters of 2004 and the first and second quarters of 2005. These issues have been resolved through revisions to product crating for shipment, software modifications and revised shipper instructions. An extension has not been signed, but the parties have continued to conduct business under similar terms as set forth in the purchase agreement.

WaveLight Transaction

In March 2005 we executed a worldwide non-exclusive license agreement with WaveLight Laser Technologie AG ("WaveLight") to use and reproduce the Lin Scanning Patents for products to be used in ophthalmic surgery, which became

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

effective on March 3, 2005, and an option to acquire a license to the Company's Apple Patents. Both the non-exclusive license and the option include certain WaveLight co-enforcement rights. As consideration for the license, we had received payments totaling \$825,000 by September 30, 2005. The receivable balance as of September 30, 2005 was \$75,000 and we received the final payment of \$75,000 on October 17, 2005. \$45,000 in broker fees were paid to an unrelated party for their assistance in closing this transaction. The agreement terminates when the patents expire, or earlier in the event of the occurrence of certain events of default.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated information derived from our statements of operations for those periods expressed as a percentage of net sales, and the percentage change in such items from the comparable prior year period. Any trends illustrated in the following table are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Page 14 of 23

	As a Percentage of Net Sales				Percent
	-----		-----		Over
	Three Months Ended Sept 30, 2005	2004	Nine Months Ended Sept 30, 2005	2004	Three Months Ended Sept 30, 2005 vs. 2004
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net Revenues:					
Refractive products.....	80.1%	79.9%	84.1%	84.8%	15.0%
Patent services.....	19.9%	20.1%	15.9%	15.2%	13.3%
Net Revenues.....	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	14.6%
Cost of Revenue.....	36.1%	53.8%	43.0%	57.2%	-22.9%
Gross Profit (1).....	63.9%	46.2%	57.0%	42.8%	58.3%
Research, development and regulatory expenses (2)...	3.5%	3.7%	2.9%	2.9%	9.3%
Other general and administrative expenses.....	43.2%	60.7%	36.7%	48.0%	-18.4%
Selling-related expenses (3).	2.9%	10.1%	6.2%	10.2%	-67.3%
Amortization of intangibles..	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Net Income from operations...	13.7%	-28.9%	10.7%	-18.8%	-154.2%

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

- (1) As a percentage of net revenues, the gross profit for refractive products only for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were 55% and 33%, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were 49% and 33%, respectively.
- (2) As a percentage of refractive product net sales, research, development and regulatory expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, were 4% and 5%, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were 3% and 3%, respectively.
- (3) As a percentage of refractive product net sales, selling-related expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were 4% and 13%, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were 7% and 12%, respectively.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2005, Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2004

Our consolidated revenues totaled \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of approximately \$0.2 million or 15% compared to revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2004 of \$1.2 million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased sales of laser systems. Four lasers systems were sold in the third quarter of 2005 and three were sold in the third quarter of 2004. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, parts revenues were \$0.2 million compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. This was offset by a \$0.1 million reduction in sales of our AstraMax(R) diagnostic workstation; no AstraMax sales were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to four for the comparable period in 2004. Our 2005 budget projected laser sales at 12 per quarter, or 48 for the year. Delayed collections of accounts receivables have necessitated further reducing the annual forecast for 2005 to 29 lasers.

Page 15 of 23

Net revenues from patent services increased by \$31,000, or 13%, from \$235,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$266,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. During the first quarter of 2005, the Company licensed its Lin scanning patent for \$855,000, net of expenses of \$45,000. This deferred revenue is being amortized over the remaining life of the patent, approximately seven years.

Geographically, China has become our most significant market with \$0.9 million in revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2005, as compared to \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004.

Consolidated cost of sales includes direct material costs, manufacturing wages, inbound freight, rework, scrap and standard cost variances. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, consolidated cost of sales of \$0.5 million was approximately 36% of net revenues of \$1.3 million, compared to the same period in 2004 when our cost of sales was approximately 54% of net revenues. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, consolidated cost of sales of \$0.5 million was approximately 45% of product revenues of \$1.1 million, compared to the same period in 2004 when our cost of sales was approximately 67% of product revenues. The improvement of 2005 margins is primarily related to significantly less inventory write offs in 2005 and improved margins on part sales. For the remainder of 2005, our emphasis will be on continued unit cost reductions driven by efficient purchasing and limited re-design of our product. Our goal is to maintain a 47% consolidated cost of sales.

Selling-related expenses consist of those items directly related to sales activities, including commissions on sales, royalty or license fees, warranty

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

expenses, and costs of shipping and installation. Selling-related expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 decreased \$79,000, or 67%, to \$39,000 from \$118,000 during 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to a review of the warranty reserve, the reduction to the reserve of \$60,000 and lower shipping costs. The warranty reserve was adjusted to remove reserves for lasers out of the warranty period. Our 2005 operating plan projected our selling-related expense ratio to be 11% of net revenues. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, selling-related expenses were 1% of revenues primarily due to the reduction in the warranty reserve. Our current plan projects our selling-related expense ratio to be 9% of net revenues for the remainder of 2005.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$130,000 to \$577,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2005, from \$707,000 for the same period in 2004. The decrease was primarily due to lower legal fees, and accounting fees and insurance premiums. Our operating plan for fiscal 2005 projected business levels that would require general and administrative expenses to be lower due to reduced legal, printing and postage fees relating to the bankruptcy filing. The current plan projects our general and administrative expenses ratio to be 28% of net revenues. This is a higher percentage than our original budget which is caused by general and administrative expenses remaining similar to our original projections while revenues were lower than originally forecasted.

Research, development and regulatory expenses increased by \$4,000 to \$47,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 from \$43,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Even though our resources are limited, we continue to offer improvements to our product. Our operating plan for fiscal 2005 projected business levels that would require research, development and regulatory expenses to be similar to 2004.

In the three months ended September 30, 2005, amortization of intangibles remained unchanged at \$8,400. In accordance with our operating plan, amortization of intangibles will be at a rate of approximately \$33,000 per year.

Other income and expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 consisted of the following:

- o We received \$654 of interest income on notes receivable.

Page 16 of 23

- o In the three months ended September 30, 2005, we accrued \$78,000 in interest expense. All of the accrued interest was paid except for \$22,500 of accrued interest for the DIP financing. The interest expense includes \$28,000 of amortized financing costs. The amortization of financing costs is \$8,600 per month and will continue until June of 2007.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we had no income tax expense due to our net operating loss carryforwards.

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2005 was approximately \$105,000 compared to a \$251,000 loss for the same period in 2004, an increase of approximately \$356,000. This \$356,000 increase in the current quarter was comprised approximately of:

- o decreased transfer agent expenses of \$18,000 due to the bankruptcy reorganization.
- o increased computer expenses of \$11,000 for upgrading computer

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

systems.

- o decreased interest expense of \$110,000 due to lower loan balances.
- o increased bank charges of \$20,000 due to lender audit fees.
- o decreased professional expenses of \$96,000 for accounting and legal fees.
- o increased gross profit of \$314,000.
- o decreased selling related expenses of \$79,000 related to reduced warranty estimates and unit shipping charges.
- o decreased other income of \$275,000 due to shareholder derivative lawsuit proceeds received in 2004.
- o decreased insurance expense of \$45,000 for D&O coverage.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005, Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

Our consolidated revenues totaled \$5.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of approximately \$0.4 million or 8% compared to revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 of \$4.6 million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased sales of laser systems. 17 lasers systems were sold in the three quarters ended September 30, 2005 and 14 were sold in the three quarters ended September 30, 2004. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, parts revenues were \$0.3 million compared to \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. This was offset by \$0.2 million in reduced sales of our AstraMax(R) diagnostic workstation; four AstraMax sales were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 10 for the comparable period in 2004. Our 2005 budget projected laser sales at 12 per quarter, or 48 for the year. Delayed collections of accounts receivables have necessitated reducing the annual forecast for 2005 to 29 lasers.

Net revenues from patent services increased by \$93,000, or 13%, from \$704,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$797,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. During the first quarter of 2005, we licensed our Lin scanning patent for \$855,000, net of expenses of \$45,000. This deferred revenue is being amortized over the remaining life of the patent, approximately seven years.

Page 17 of 23

Geographically, China has become our most significant market with \$3.9 million in revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, as compared to \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Consolidated cost of sales includes direct material costs, manufacturing wages, inbound freight, rework, scrap and standard cost variances. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, consolidated cost of sales of \$2.2 million was approximately 43% of net revenues of \$5.0 million, compared to the same period in 2004 when our cost of sales was approximately 57% of net revenues. This improvement was caused by the reduction of costs for inventory adjustments, rework and cost variances. The improvement of 2005 margins is primarily related to significantly less inventory write offs in 2005 and improved margins on part sales. For the remainder of 2005, our emphasis will be continued unit cost reductions driven by efficient purchasing and limited re-design of our product. Our goal is to maintain a 47% consolidated cost of sales.

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Selling-related expenses consist of those items directly related to sales activities, including commissions on sales, royalty or license fees, warranty expenses, and costs of shipping and installation. Selling-related expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 decreased \$160,000, or 34%, to \$312,000 from \$473,000 during 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in warranty costs, due to a review of the warranty reserve and the reduction of shipping costs. The warranty reserve was adjusted to remove reserves for lasers out of the warranty period. Our 2005 operating plan projected our selling-related expense ratio to be 11% of net revenues. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, selling-related expenses were 6% of revenues primarily due to the reduction in the warranty reserve. Our current plan projects our selling-related expense ratio to be 9% of net revenues for the remainder of 2005.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$384,000 to \$1.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, from \$2.2 million for the same period in 2004. The decrease was primarily due to reductions of legal fees, accounting fees and insurance expense. Our operating plan for fiscal 2005 projected business levels that would require general and administrative expenses to be lower due to reduced legal, printing and postage fees relating to the bankruptcy filing. The current plan projects our general and administrative expenses ratio to be 28% of net revenues. This is a higher percentage than our original budget which is caused by general and administrative expenses remaining similar to our original projections while revenues were lower than originally forecast .

Research, development and regulatory expenses increased \$11,000 to \$147,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 from \$135,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Even though our resources are limited, we continue to offer improvements to our product. Our operating plan for fiscal 2005 projected business levels that will require research, development and regulatory expenses to be similar to 2004.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2005, amortization of intangibles remained unchanged at \$25,100. In accordance with our operating plan, amortization of intangibles will be at a rate of approximately \$33,000 per year.

Other income and expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 consisted of the following:

- o We received \$3,066 of interest income on notes receivable.
- o In the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we accrued \$250,000 in interest expense. Of the accrued interest only \$52,500 was not paid. This was the interest due on the DIP financing. The interest expense includes \$80,000 of amortized financing costs. The amortization of financing costs is \$8,600 per month and will continue until June of 2007.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we had no income tax expense due to our net operating loss carryforwards.

Page 18 of 23

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was approximately \$289,000 compared to \$14.3 million for the same period in 2004, a decline of approximately \$14 million. This \$14 million decline for the first three quarters of 2005 was comprised approximately of:

- o decrease of \$15.3 million due to forgiveness of debt income in 2004.

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

- o increased gross profit of \$874,000.
- o decreased salaries of \$64,000.
- o decreased other income of \$275,000 due to shareholder derivative lawsuit proceeds in 2004.
- o decreased interest expense of \$170,000 due to lower loan balances.
- o decreased selling related expenses of \$160,000 related to reduced warranty estimates.
- o decreased professional expenses of \$240,000 for legal and accounting fees and printing fees due to the Company's bankruptcy filing.

Inflation and Currency Fluctuation

Inflation and currency fluctuations have not previously had a material impact upon the results of operations and are not expected to have a material impact in the near future.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On September 5, 2003 we filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and reorganization. We operated in this manner from September 5, 2003 through June 10, 2004, when the re-organization was approved by the Bankruptcy Court, effective June 30, 2004. A final decree of bankruptcy was obtained on December 22, 2004. We cancelled all of our outstanding common and preferred stock, including warrants and options, and issued 9,997,195 new common shares on June 30, 2004. We emerged from bankruptcy with approximately \$0.7 million in unsecured liabilities, approximately \$2.1 million in secured debt, approximately \$5.3 million in deferred revenue and approximately \$1.0 million of DIP financing provided by NIIC. NIIC converted \$1.0 million of the DIP financing for additional equity.

With the new revenues being generated from the China Group and projected sales to other customers, management expects that our cash and cash equivalent balances and funds from operations (which are principally the result of sales and collection of accounts receivable) will be sufficient to meet our anticipated operating cash requirements for the next several months. This expectation is based upon assumptions regarding cash flows and results of operations over the next several months and is subject to substantial uncertainty and risks beyond our control. If these assumptions prove incorrect, the duration of the time period during which we could continue operations could be materially shorter. We continue to face liquidity and capital resource issues relative to the timing of the successful completion of new sales compared to our ongoing payment obligations. To continue our operations, we will need to generate increased revenues, collect them and reduce our expenditures relative to our recent history. While we are working to achieve these improved results, we cannot assure you that we will be able to generate increased revenues and collections to offset required cash expenditures. Our revenues from the China Group were approximately \$3.9 million in the nine-months ended September 30, 2005. Accounts receivable due from the China Group as of September 30, 2005 totaled \$1,937,298, which was virtually all of our accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2005. \$1,043,074 of the China Group's balance is over ninety

days past due. As of November 8, 2005, \$1,296,941 of the accounts receivable

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

balance remains unpaid. While we have verbal promises from the China Group to pay the accounts receivable balance and management continues to believe that we will fully realize the balance due, the ultimate realization thereof can not be assured. The loss of the China Group as a customer or the non-payment or slow payment of monies owed to us could have a significant adverse effect on our ability to continue as a going concern.

On August 30, 2004 we signed a three-year note with GE, which will expire on June 30, 2007. The note bears interest of 9%. Certain covenants were modified such that we are required to maintain a net worth of \$750,000, a tangible net worth of \$1,000,000 and minimum quarterly revenues of \$1,000,000. GE was issued a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share, or \$0.40 per share if the China Group converts their DIP loan to equity. The warrant expires on June 30, 2008. We were not in compliance with certain covenants of the amended loan agreements and a waiver was obtained on May 23, 2005. The revised agreement removed the net worth and tangible net worth covenants and added an annual net income provision. For the year ended December 31, 2005 and any subsequent trailing twelve month periods, as measured on the last day of each calendar quarter, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization cannot fall below \$550,000.

There can be no assurance as to the correctness of the assumptions underlying our business plan or our expectations regarding our working capital requirements or our ability to continue operations.

Our ability to continue operations is based on factors including the success of our sales efforts in China and in other foreign countries where our efforts will initially be primarily focused, increases in accounts receivable and inventory purchases when sales increase, the uncertain impact of the market introduction of our AstraMax diagnostic workstations, and the absence of unanticipated product development and marketing costs. Our expectations regarding future working capital requirements and our ability to continue operations are based on various factors and assumptions that are subject to substantial uncertainty and risks beyond our control, and no assurances can be given that these expectations will prove correct. The occurrence of adverse developments related to these risks and uncertainties or others could result in our incurring unforeseen expenses, being unable to generate additional sales, to collect new and outstanding accounts receivable, to control expected expenses and overhead, or to negotiate payment terms with creditors, and we would likely be unable to continue operations.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carry out a variety of on-going procedures, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that such controls and procedures are currently effective at the reasonable assurance level.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings.

Certain legal proceedings against LaserSight are described in Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) of LaserSight's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004, and in Note 9 to the Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Not applicable.

Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

On September 5, 2003 the Company filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. The Company had been in default under its loan agreements with GE. On August 30, 2004 the Company signed a three-year note expiring on June 30, 2007. The note bears interest of 9%. Certain covenants were modified such that the Company is required to maintain a net worth of \$750,000, a tangible net worth of \$1,000,000 and minimum quarterly revenues of \$1,000,000. The Company issued GE a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share, or \$0.40 per share if the China Group converts their DIP loan to equity. The warrant expires on June 30, 2008. The Company was not in compliance with certain covenants of the amended loan agreements and a waiver was obtained on May 23, 2005. The revised agreement removed the net worth and tangible net worth covenants and added an annual net income provision. For the year ended December 31, 2005 and any subsequent trailing twelve month periods, as measured on the last day of each calendar quarter, the Company's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization cannot fall below \$550,000.

Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Not applicable.

Item 5 Other Information.

Not applicable.

Item 6 Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q/A filed on November 21, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation, as amended on March 2, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed on March 23, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference).

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of July 2, 1998, between the Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent, which includes (i) as Exhibit A thereto the form of Certificate of

Page 21 of 23

Designation of the Series E Junior Participating Preferred Stock, (ii) as Exhibit B thereto the form of Rights Certificate (separate certificates for the Rights will not be issued until after the Distribution Date), and (iii) as Exhibit C thereto the Summary of Stockholder Rights Agreement (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on July 8, 1998, and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 10.1 Non-Exclusive License Agreement between the Company and WaveLight Laser Technologie AG, dated February 24, 2005, and effective March 3, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed on April 25, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.2 Amendment Number One to the Third Amended and Restated Secured Term Note between the Company and GE dated May 23, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed on August 5, 2005, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 11 Statement Re: Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1, of this report).
- 31.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 1350 (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350 (filed herewith).

Page 22 of 23

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 8, 2005
LaserSight Incorporated
By: /s/ Danghui ("David") Liu

Danghui ("David") Liu, President,
Chief Executive Officer and Director

Dated: November 8, 2005
By: /s/ Dorothy M. Cipolla

Dorothy M. Cipolla,

sec.gov/CIK">0001013488 bjri:RangeEightMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeNineMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeTenMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeElevenMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeOneMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeTwoMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeThreeMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeFourMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeFiveMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeSixMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeSevenMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeEightMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeNineMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:RangeTenMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:RangeElevenMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
us-gaap:EmployeeStockOptionMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 us-gaap:EmployeeStockOptionMember 2018-01-03
2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2015-12-29 0001013488
bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488
bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2017-01-03 0001013488 bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember
2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488 bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2018-01-02 0001013488
bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:TimeBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2015-12-29 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2017-01-03 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2018-01-02 0001013488
bjri:PerformanceBasedRestrictedStockUnitsMember 2019-01-01 0001013488
us-gaap:DeferredCompensationArrangementWithIndividualByTypeOfCompensationPensionAndOtherPostretirementBenefitsM
2019-01-01 0001013488
us-gaap:DeferredCompensationArrangementWithIndividualByTypeOfCompensationPensionAndOtherPostretirementBenefitsM
2018-01-02 0001013488
us-gaap:DeferredCompensationArrangementWithIndividualByTypeOfCompensationPensionAndOtherPostretirementBenefitsM
2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2017-01-04
2018-01-02 0001013488 bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488
bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488 bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2015-12-30
2017-01-03 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488
us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember
2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488
us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember
2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488 us-gaap:CostOfGoodsTotalMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488 bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488 bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02 0001013488
bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember
bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2015-12-30 2017-01-03 0001013488 bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember
us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember 2018-01-03 2019-01-01 0001013488
bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember 2017-01-04 2018-01-02
0001013488 bjri:OccupancyAndOperatingCostsMember us-gaap:SupplierConcentrationRiskMember 2015-12-30
2017-01-03 0001013488 bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:ThirdPartySuppliersMember
2018-01-02 0001013488 bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember 2019-01-01 0001013488 bjri:JacmarCompaniesMember
2018-01-02 0001013488 2017-01-04 2017-04-04 0001013488 2017-04-05 2017-07-04 0001013488 2017-07-05
2017-10-03 0001013488 2017-10-04 2018-01-02 0001013488 us-gaap:BoardOfDirectorsChairmanMember
us-gaap:SubsequentEventMember 2019-02-19 2019-02-19

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended January 1, 2019

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission file number 0-21423

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California 33 0485615
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

7755 Center Avenue, Suite 300

Huntington Beach, California 92647

(714) 500-2400

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including
area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, No Par Value	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company	

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

The aggregate market value of the common stock of the Registrant (“Common Stock”) held by non-affiliates as of the last business day of the second fiscal quarter, July 2, 2018, was \$1,137,523,932, calculated based on the closing price of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market on such date.

As of February 22, 2019, 21,078,103 shares of the common stock of the Registrant were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain portions of the following documents are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K: The Registrant’s Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

INDEX

PART I

ITEM 1. <u>BUSINESS</u>	3
ITEM <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	
1A.	13
ITEM <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	
1B.	31
ITEM 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u>	31
ITEM 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	32
ITEM 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	32

PART II

ITEM 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	33
ITEM 6. <u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	35
ITEM 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	36
ITEM <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	
7A.	46
ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	47
ITEM 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	47
ITEM <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	
9A.	47
ITEM <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
9B.	49

PART III

ITEM <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	
10.	49
ITEM <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	
11.	49
ITEM <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u>	49
12.	
ITEM <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	49
13.	
ITEM <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	
14.	50

PART IV

ITEM <u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	
15.	50
ITEM <u>FORM 10-K SUMMARY</u>	
16.	52

SIGNATURES

53

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

54



BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

PART I

Unless the context indicates otherwise, when we use the words "BJ's," "the Company," "we," "us" or "our" in this Form 10-K, we are referring to BJ's Restaurants, Inc., a California corporation, and its subsidiaries.

Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results

(Cautionary Statements Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)

This Form 10-K contains "forward-looking" statements and other information based on the current beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words or phrases such as "believe," "plan," "will likely result," "expect," "intend," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "may," "could," "would," "should" and similar expressions in this Form 10-K are intended to identify "forward-looking" statements. These statements reflect our current perspectives and outlook with respect to our future expansion plans, key business initiatives, expected operating conditions and other factors. We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment, and new risks emerge from time to time. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are currently unaware of, or that we currently deem immaterial, may become important factors that affect us. It is not possible for us to predict the impact of all factors on our business, financial condition or results of operations or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any "forward-looking" statements. Given the volatility of the operating environment and its associated risks and uncertainties, investors should not rely on "forward-looking" statements as any prediction or guarantee of actual results.

"Forward-looking" statements include, among others, statements concerning:

- our restaurant concept, its competitive advantages and our strategies for its continued evolution and expansion;
- the rate and scope of our future restaurant development;
- the total domestic capacity for our restaurants;
- dates on which we will commence or complete the development and opening of new restaurants;
- expectations for consumer spending on casual dining restaurant occasions;
- the availability and cost of key commodities and labor used in our restaurants and brewing operations;
- menu price increases and their effect, if any, on revenue and results of operations;
- the effectiveness of our planned operational, menu, marketing and capital expenditure initiatives;
- capital requirement expectations and actual or available borrowings on our line of credit;
- projected revenues, operating costs, including commodities, labor and other expenses;
- projected share repurchases or shareholder dividend frequency and amount; and
- other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated developments and other matters that are not historical facts.

Some, but not all, significant factors that could prevent us from achieving our stated goals are set forth in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include, but are not limited to:

- Failure to maintain a favorable image, credibility and the value of the BJ's brand and our reputation for offering customers a higher quality more differentiated total dining experience at a good value may adversely affect our business.
- Any deterioration in general economic conditions may affect consumer spending and adversely affect our revenues, operating results and liquidity.
- Any deterioration in general economic conditions, which may have a material adverse impact on our landlords or on businesses neighboring our locations, may adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

•

Any inability or failure to successfully expand our restaurant operations may adversely affect our growth rate and results of operations.

•Any inability to open new restaurants on schedule in accordance with our targeted capacity growth or problems associated with securing suitable restaurant locations, leases and licenses, recruiting and training qualified

1

managers and hourly employees and other factors, some of which are beyond our control and difficult to forecast accurately may adversely affect our operations.

- Any inability to access sources of capital and or to raise capital in the future may adversely affect our business.
- Any failure of our existing or new restaurants to achieve expected results may have a negative impact on our consolidated financial results.
- Any strain on our infrastructure and resources due to growth, which may slow our development of new restaurants may adversely affect our ability to manage our existing restaurants.
- Any decision to either reduce or accelerate the pace of openings may positively or adversely affect our comparative financial performance.
- Expenditures required to open new restaurants may adversely affect our future operating results.
- Our corporate office is located in California and a significant number of our restaurants are located in California, Texas and Florida which makes us particularly sensitive to economic, regulatory, weather and other risk factors and conditions that are more prevalent in those states.
- Any negative publicity about us, our restaurants, other restaurants, or others across the food supply chain, due to food borne illness or other reasons, whether or not accurate may adversely affect the reputation and popularity of our restaurants and our results of operations.
- Any adverse changes in the supply of food, labor, brewing, energy and other expenses, including those resulting from climate change, may adversely affect our operating results.
- Any inability of our internal or independent third party brewers to timely supply our beer may adversely affect our operating results.
- Periodic reviews and audits of our internal brewing, independent third party brewing and beer distribution arrangements by various federal, state and local governmental and regulatory agencies may adversely affect our operations and our operating results.
- Government laws and regulations affecting the operation of our restaurants, including but not limited to those that apply to the acquisition and maintenance of our brewing and retail liquor licenses, minimum wages, federal or state exemption rules, health insurance coverage, or other employment benefits such as paid time off, consumer health and safety, nutritional disclosures, and employment eligibility-related documentation requirements may cause disruptions to our operations, adversely affect our operating costs and restrict our growth.
- Heavy dependence of our operations, including our loyalty and employee engagement programs, on information technology may adversely affect our revenues and impair our ability to efficiently operate our business if there is a material failure of such technology.
- Unsolicited takeover proposals, governance change proposals, proxy contests and certain proposals/actions by activist investors may create additional risks and uncertainties with respect to the Company's financial position, operations, strategies and management, and may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key employees. Any perceived uncertainties may affect the market price and volatility of our securities.
- Any suspension of or failure to pay regular dividends or to repurchase the Company's stock up to the maximum amounts permitted under our previously announced repurchase program, either of which may negatively impact investor perceptions of us and may affect the market price and volatility of our stock.

These cautionary statements are to be used as a reference in connection with any "forward-looking" statements. The factors, risks and uncertainties identified in these cautionary statements are in addition to those contained in any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or otherwise addressed in connection with a "forward-looking" statement or contained in any of our filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Because of these factors, risks and uncertainties we caution against placing undue reliance on "forward-looking" statements.

The risks described in this Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and we cannot predict those events or how they may affect us. There may be other risks and uncertainties that are not currently known by us or that are currently deemed by us to be immaterial. However, they may ultimately have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and/or operating results. Although we believe that the

assumptions underlying “forward-looking” statements are reasonable on the dates they are made, any of the assumptions could be incorrect, and there can be no guarantee or assurance that “forward-looking” statements will ultimately prove to be accurate. We do not have any obligation

2

to modify or revise any “forward-looking” statement to take into account or otherwise reflect subsequent events or circumstances arising after the date that the “forward-looking” statement was made. For further information regarding the risks and uncertainties that may affect our future results, please review the information set forth below under “Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

FISCAL PERIODS USED IN THIS FORM 10-K

Throughout this Form 10-K, our fiscal years ended January 1, 2019, January 2, 2018, January 3, 2017, December 29, 2015, and December 30, 2014, are referred to as fiscal years 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. Our fiscal years consist of 52 or 53 weeks and end on the Tuesday closest to December 31. All fiscal years presented in this Form 10-K, with the exception of fiscal year 2016, consisted of 52 weeks. Additionally, all quarters, with the exception of the fourth quarter in fiscal year 2016, consisted of 13 weeks. Fiscal year 2016 consisted of 53 weeks, with a 14-week fourth quarter; therefore, all financial references to fiscal year 2016 assume 53 weeks of operations, unless noted otherwise.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

The first BJ’s restaurant, which opened in 1978 in Orange County, California, was a small sit down pizzeria that featured Chicago style deep-dish pizza with a unique California twist. Our goal then and still today, is to be the best casual dining concept ever by focusing on high quality menu options, at a compelling value, a dining experience that exceeds customers’ expectations for service, hospitality and enjoyment, and an atmosphere that is always welcoming and approachable.

In 1996, we introduced our initial proprietary craft beers and expanded the BJ’s concept from its beginnings as a small pizzeria to a full-service, high energy casual dining restaurant when we opened our first large format restaurant featuring a brewing operations in Brea, California. Today our restaurants feature over 140 menu offerings including: slow roasted entrees, such as, prime rib; EnLIGHTened Entrees® such as our Cherry Chipotle Glazed Salmon; our original signature deep-dish pizza; the often imitated, but never replicated world-famous Pizookie® dessert; and our award-winning BJ’s proprietary craft beers. As of February 25, 2019, we own and operate 202 restaurants located in 27 states, and our proprietary craft beer is produced at several of our locations, our Temple, Texas brewpub locations and by independent third party brewers using our proprietary recipes.

Our Internet address is <http://www.bjsrestaurants.com>. Electronic copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K are available, free of charge, by visiting the "Investor Relations" section of our website. These reports are posted as soon as practical after they are electronically filed with the SEC. We caution that the information on our website is not part of this or any other reports we file with, or furnish to, the SEC.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

We compete in the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry, which is a large, highly fragmented segment with estimated annual sales in the \$100+ billion range. We believe that the BJ’s restaurant concept offers consumers a higher quality, more contemporary and approachable “casual plus,” “premium casual,” or “polished casual” dining experience than the more mature, mass market casual dining concepts. Accordingly, our primary business objective is to continue taking market share in the casual dining restaurant industry by delivering on our “Gold Standard of Operational Excellence” promise to our customers while continuing our new restaurant national expansion program. Our Gold Standard of Operational Excellence is our genuine commitment to take pride in passionately connecting

with every customer on every visit, through flawless and relentless execution of every detail, during every shift – to create and keep fanatical fans of BJ's. We believe that by delivering upon this commitment to our customers, we create the best opportunity to generate significant repeat business and capture additional market share in the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry.

Our Gold Standard of Operational Excellence is focused on the following key areas that help to differentiate BJ's from other casual dining restaurants:

◆ *Feature a Broad and Distinctive Menu* – Over the years we have expanded the BJ's concept to include menu options that meet our customers' preferences for any dining occasion. Our menu items are created by our talented culinary team and prepared to order in our restaurants using fresh high-quality ingredients. Our broad menu is an important factor in our differentiation from other casual dining competitors. We evaluate our menu offerings and prices two to three times a year in addition to offering seasonal or limited time only menu items throughout the year. Building on our early pizza legacy, we now offer almost 20 signature flavors of pizza and made-to-order combinations in tavern-cut to deep-dish styles. Our hand-pressed deep-dish pizza dough is double proofed – which means it rises twice – which elevates its presentation and taste. In 2018, we began to slow cook large format proteins including prime rib,

3

turkey and pork, which continued to grow in popularity throughout the year. Our slow roast Prime Rib Special and our Double Bone-in Pork Chop are signature menu items for BJ's that showcase our higher quality, differentiated positioning. By continually innovating our slow roast offerings, we provide our customers with unique and craveable menu items they can only get at BJ's. Our menu differentiation also includes our successful EnLIGHTened Entrees® category which features "better for you" selections of lower-calorie, "super" foods, vegetarian and gluten-free options.

• *Offer Award Winning, Proprietary Craft Beer* – All of our restaurants feature our award-winning, proprietary craft beers, which we believe showcase the quality and care of the ingredients we use at BJ's. Our high-quality, craft beers further differentiate BJ's from many other restaurant concepts and complement our signature deep-dish pizza and other menu items. Our beers have earned over 180 medals at different beer festivals and events, including 35 medals at the Great American Beer Festival and 11 medals at the World Beer Cup. We also offer approximately 30 domestic, imported and "guest" craft beers on tap, in addition to a selection of bottled beers in our restaurants. Additionally, we offer our craft beer for take-out at select restaurant locations and for sale at select retailers. Our large and unique beer offering is intended to enhance BJ's competitive positioning as a leading retailer of beer in the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry.

• *Provide an Everyday Value Proposition* – We strive to offer great everyday value throughout our menu, with diverse price points and unique flavor profiles. Our menu entrées, excluding our promotional specials, generally range in price from \$7.25 to \$26.50 and our average per-customer check during fiscal 2018, including beverages, was approximately \$16.00, compared to the mass market casual dining concepts that have average customer checks of \$13.00 to \$19.00. To reinforce and highlight our everyday value proposition, our Daily Brewhouse Specials feature iconic food and drink combinations Monday through Thursday and are a key driver of improving customer traffic and loyalty. Our happy hour offerings and lunch specials have further enhanced our strong value equation.

• *A Culture Committed to Service and Hospitality* – Great dining experiences start with great people. We have invested carefully to make sure we recruit, select, train and retain employees that can take care of our customers and operate our large and complex restaurants. In addition to hiring great employees, we have invested in productivity and hospitality systems to enhance our ability to deliver the Gold Standard of Operational Excellence that we promise our customers. These systems include a Net Promoter Scoring system that evaluates several key elements of our service including pace, hospitality, value and recommend scores, as well as mystery shopper and customer loyalty programs. Our restaurant teams execute at a high level and treat every customer as if they were family, which drove higher Net Promoter Scores in 2018.

- *Optimize our Customers' Time* – Time is a finite resource for our customers, and we believe that by being attentive to every detail, we can optimize our customers' enjoyment when they choose to dine in our restaurants. We introduced hand-held ordering tablets to our servers in 2017, which have helped to improve our pace and productivity, including reducing the time it takes to deliver the first drink or food item to our customers. The tablets have resulted in an improved customer experience and driven higher incident rates for beverages, appetizers and desserts.

• *Making the BJ's Menu Available Off-Premise* – Consumer preferences continue to evolve as e-commerce, mobile shopping and "food-on-demand" to any location continue to gain traction and divert visitation away from traditional brick and mortar shopping locations. To meet these new preferences we have invested in the off-premise channel and continue to grow our capabilities to address this opportunity. We offer specialized large-party and catering menus

and collaborate with several third-party delivery partners to provide delivery service from our restaurants. We also leverage our self-developed mobile app, our website and other platforms to ensure our customers can easily enjoy BJ's menu off-premise.

High Energy Atmosphere and Facilities – As part of our competitive positioning as a polished casual dining concept, our restaurants have finishes consistent with more upscale casual dining concepts. All of our restaurants feature high ceilings and have a signature bar statement with large flat screen televisions that can be viewed from any seat. Additionally, we use a variety of higher quality customer touchpoints, including distinctive glassware to fit the beer or beverage style and linen napkins not generally found in casual dining. We believe our large restaurants with a signature bar statement provide our customers with a higher energy dining experience.

RESTAURANT OPERATIONS

Based on internal and publicly available data, we believe that our restaurants, on average, generate relatively high customer traffic per square foot compared to many other casual dining concepts. We have implemented operational systems and

procedures to support our desire to run our restaurants “quality fast,” particularly at peak dining periods, in order to effectively and efficiently serve every customer. The typical management team for a BJ’s restaurant consists of a General Manager, an Executive Kitchen Manager and three to five other managers depending on the sales volume of each restaurant. The General Manager is responsible for the day-to-day operations of their restaurant, including hiring, training, and the development of personnel, as well as for sales and operating profit. The Executive Kitchen Manager is responsible for managing food quality and preparation, purchasing, inventories and kitchen labor costs.

New restaurant managers are required to successfully complete an 11-week comprehensive advanced management training program dedicated to all aspects of the operation of our restaurants including both restaurateuring and restaurant business-related topics. Our restaurant management training program is directed by our Vice President of Operations Talent Development and is closely monitored by our field supervision team.

The General Manager of each restaurant reports to a Director of Operations or an Area Vice President, who reports to a Senior Vice President of Operations or a Vice President of Operations. Additionally, we have Directors of Kitchen Operations who oversee the food quality and safety, kitchen efficiency and consistency in our restaurants and help educate, coach and develop our kitchen managers. Our Directors of Kitchen Operations report to our Senior Vice President of Culinary and Kitchen Innovation. Our Senior and Vice Presidents of Operations report to our Executive Vice President of Operations who oversees all aspects of restaurant operations including kitchen and bar operations, restaurant facility management, new restaurant openings and the roll-out of key operational initiatives.

Each of our restaurants typically employs an average of approximately 110 hourly employees, many of whom work part-time. Our goal is to staff our restaurants with qualified, trained and enthusiastic employees who desire to be an integral part of BJ’s fun, premium casual atmosphere and, at the same time, have the passion, intensity, work ethic and ability to execute our concept correctly and consistently on every shift. In January 2019, we were awarded one of the 2019 Best Practices Awards by TDn2K™ (Transforming Data into Knowledge), the parent company of People Report™, Black Box Intelligence™ and White Box Social Intelligence™. These awards honor restaurant organizations for workplace excellence in their specific segment of the restaurant industry and for setting the standard for overall best-in-class business performance. The Diamond Catalyst Award we received was a tribute to our superior operational and workplace results.

In order to maintain our high standards, all new restaurant hourly employees undergo formal training from certified Employee Instructors at each restaurant. Our restaurant hours of operations are generally from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday. Our restaurants are typically open every day of the year except for Thanksgiving and Christmas. Most of our restaurants currently offer either in-house and/or third party delivery service. Additionally, all of our restaurants offer a call-ahead or online wait list, on-line ordering for dine-in or customer pick-up and reservations for large parties.

RESTAURANT SITE SELECTION AND EXPANSION OBJECTIVES

Our current restaurant format is expected to represent the vast majority of our planned new restaurant growth for the foreseeable future. We may also open new brewpub locations (“brewing restaurants”) to maintain our beer supply as we open more restaurants or where on-site brewing is the only legally permissible way to offer our proprietary craft beer in a particular state.

We seek to secure high-quality, high-profile locations for our “casual plus” restaurants, which we believe have the ability to draw customers from a larger area than most “mass market” casual dining chain restaurants. Since BJ’s has proven that it can be successful in a variety of locations (urban or suburban shopping areas, retail strip centers, lifestyle centers, and entertainment centers – either freestanding or in-line) and in a variety of income demographics, we can be highly selective and flexible in choosing suitable locations. We prefer to open our restaurants at

high-profile sites in mature trade areas with dense populations. We generally target geographic regions that allow us to build multiple restaurants in those areas. This “clustering” approach provides economic benefits including lower supply and distribution costs, improved marketing efficiencies, management supervision leverage and increased brand awareness.

During fiscal 2018, we opened five new restaurants and increased our overall total restaurant operating weeks by approximately 4% during the year. During fiscal 2019, we expect to open seven to nine new restaurants. Based on information currently available, we expect to open four restaurants during the first half of fiscal 2019, and the remaining restaurants during the second half of the year.

We typically enter into operating leases for our locations for periods ranging from 10 to 20 years and we obtain lease extension options in most instances. Our lease payment terms vary from lease to lease, but generally provide for the payment of both minimum base rent and contingent (percentage) rent based on restaurant sales. We may also purchase the land underlying certain restaurant locations if it becomes available. However, it is not our current strategy to own a large number of land

parcels that underlie our restaurants. In many cases, we subsequently enter into sale-leaseback arrangements for land parcels that we purchase.

TARGETED NEW RESTAURANT ECONOMICS

Our current restaurant prototypes average approximately 7,500 square feet with seating for as many as 250 customers with a targeted gross construction cost of approximately \$4.0 million to \$5.0 million, some of which may be reimbursed to us by our landlords in the form of tenant improvement allowance incentives. All potential restaurant locations may not have a tenant improvement allowance available and such allowances, when available, will vary in amount. In selecting sites for our restaurants, an important objective is to earn a suitable rate of return on our investment. However, this return often cannot be meaningfully measured until our restaurants reach their mature sales and profitability levels. Maturation periods vary from restaurant to restaurant, but generally range from two to five years. We currently target a blended 25% return on our net cash invested to build a new restaurant, and a blended 20% return on total capital invested, which includes our net cash invested and a factor for the landlord's invested capital (based on a capitalized value of minimum rents to be paid to the landlord) for each group of new restaurants to be opened each year, measured once the restaurants reach their mature level of operations.

The return-on-investment targets for our restaurant operations do not include any allocation of opening costs, field supervision and corporate support expense, non-cash items such as depreciation, amortization, equity-related compensation expense, and income taxes, and do not represent a targeted return on our common stock. There can be no assurance that any new restaurant opened will have similar operating results to those of established restaurants and actual results will usually differ from targeted results and differences may be material. We generally target our new restaurants to achieve average annual sales at maturity of \$4.5 million to \$5.5 million, and we generally target an average "four wall" estimated operating cash flow margin in the range of 17% to 20% at maturity, after all occupancy expenses.

It is common in the casual dining industry for many new locations to initially open with sales volumes well in excess of their sustainable run-rate levels. This initial "honeymoon" sales period may take up to five years until a new restaurant's sales eventually settle at a more predictable and sustainable level. Additionally, all of our new restaurants usually require several months or longer after opening to reach their targeted restaurant-level operating margin due to cost of sales and labor inefficiencies commonly associated with more complex casual dining restaurants.

RESTAURANT OPENING EXPENSES

Restaurant opening expenses (also referred to as "preopening" expenses) include incremental out-of-pocket costs that are directly related to the openings of new restaurants that may not be capitalized. As a result of the more complex operational nature of our "casual plus" restaurant concept compared to that of a typical casual dining chain restaurant, the preopening process for our new restaurants is more extensive, time consuming and costly. The preopening expense for one of our restaurants usually includes costs to compensate an average of six to eight restaurant management employees prior to opening; costs to recruit and train an average of 150 hourly restaurant employees; wages, travel and lodging costs for our opening training team and other support employees; costs to practice service activities; and straight-line minimum base rent during the construction and in-restaurant training period.

Our preopening expense averaged approximately \$0.4 million per new restaurant in fiscal 2018. We usually incur the most significant portion of direct preopening costs within the two-month period immediately preceding and during the month of a restaurant's opening. Preopening costs can fluctuate significantly from period to period, based on the number and timing of restaurant openings and the specific preopening costs incurred for each restaurant. We expense preopening costs as incurred in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP").

BREWING OPERATIONS

Sales of our proprietary craft beers represented approximately 6% of our total restaurant sales during fiscal 2018. In substantially all of our restaurants we also offer a wide selection of other popular craft beers on tap. Accordingly, total sales of beer represented approximately 10% of our total restaurant sales during fiscal 2018.

Our internal brewing operations originated in 1996 with the opening of the first large format location in Brea, California, which included our first on-site brewing operation. We currently have five restaurants with brewpub operations and two other brewpub locations around the country. We also utilize qualified independent third party brewers to produce our beer, using our proprietary recipes. Additionally, our on-site and independent third party brewing operations analyze each batch of BJ's branded beer in internal laboratories and periodically send samples to an independent laboratory for quality control testing purposes. In fiscal 2018, our five restaurants with brewpub operations and two brewpub locations produced approximately 26,000 barrels of BJ's branded beer, and independent third party brewers produced approximately 31,500 barrels of BJ's

branded beer. Our brewing operations are typically staffed with a head brewer and an assistant brewer, who report to a brewing director. Production planning and quality control are monitored by our corporate brewing operations department which is led by our Senior Vice President of Brewing Operations.

We currently believe that a combination of internal brewing and larger-scale independent third party brewing represents the optimal production method for our craft beers as we continue the national expansion of our restaurants. This approach allows us to get the benefits provided by brewing beer in larger batches, yet also provides us the flexibility to allow our brewing operations to focus on specialty, seasonal and research and development beers. We estimate our total proprietary craft beer requirement to be approximately 62,000 barrels for fiscal 2019, with approximately 53% of that requirement expected to be produced by independent third party brewers.

We also produce our proprietary non-alcoholic craft sodas that are sold in our restaurants. Our craft sodas include root beer, ginger beer, cream, orange and black cherry soda.

MARKETING AND ADVERTISING

We believe that the most effective method, over the long run, to protect and enhance our customer visit frequency is to spend our marketing dollars on the plate and provide better food quality, service and facilities for our customers. However, due to sluggish retail sales growth coupled with the maturation of the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry, we have been prudently increasing our marketing expenditures to improve awareness and brand equity in the markets where we operate. Our marketing spend generally takes the form of limited television for those markets in which we have enough restaurant penetration as well as print, radio, digital and social media programs. We also utilize our loyalty program, BJ's Premier Rewards Plus®, to engage with our customers and monitor their frequency and purchasing behavior.

Our marketing related expenditures were approximately 2.2%, 2.0%, and 1.9% of revenues for fiscal 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. We expect our marketing expenditures in 2019 to continue to be between 2% to 3% of our revenues. However, depending on the current operating conditions for casual dining restaurants, we may decide to increase or decrease our marketing expenditures beyond our current expectations.

CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES

At BJ's we believe it is important to give back to the communities we serve and to do more good things for more people. In fiscal 2006, we started the BJ's Restaurants Foundation (the "Foundation"), a 501(c)(3) qualified non-profit charitable organization, is principally dedicated to supporting charities benefiting children's healthcare and education, with a primary focus on the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation ("CFF"). Our Chairman of the Board of Directors and four of our current executive officers currently serve on the Foundation's seven-person Board of Directors. Our commitment to supporting humanitarian causes is exemplified by our "Cookies for Kids" program, which was created in 1998 and continues to be the heart of BJ's continued financial support of CFF, to which millions of dollars have been donated throughout the years. In addition, we arrange for the collection and donation of other funds to CFF through our restaurant reopening training programs. These programs, combined with other programs administered by the Foundation, resulted in the donation of approximately \$0.4 million to CFF during each of the last three fiscal years.

We also focus on supporting our local communities by providing food and other resources for many worthwhile charitable causes and events through a program called Team Action to Support Communities ("TASC Force"). The TASC Force program recognizes and supports the volunteer efforts of our restaurant employees across the country as they help to give back to the communities in which our restaurants do business. Our restaurant employees volunteer to support community events such as helping to paint a house for needy seniors, assisting in community clean-up campaigns, working at community blood drives, helping with Special Olympics programs, building houses with

Habitat for Humanity, supporting local food banks, or volunteering at marathons and other charitable fundraisers. Our TASC Force program has been honored and recognized twice as the recipient of the California Restaurant Association's Restaurant Neighbor Award, the most community-minded large restaurant chain in the state. We have also received the prestigious Restaurant Neighbor Award in the large business category from the National Restaurant Association.

INFORMATION SYSTEMS

We believe it is extremely important to provide our operators with state of the art, secure technology so that they can better serve our customers and our employees in a productive and efficient manner. These technologies include an automated kitchen display system ("KDS") and bar display system ("BDS"), a web-based labor scheduling and productivity analyzer system, a theoretical food cost system, an automated front desk table management system and handheld server tablets. Each of these systems is integrated into our Point of Sale ("POS") system which is used to record sales transactions, send menu orders to our

kitchen, batch and transmit credit card transactions, record employee time clock information and produce a variety of management reports. Our KDS is an automated routing and cooking station balancing system which improves cooking station productivity, synchronizes order completion, provides valuable ticket time and cooking time data, and allows for more efficient levels of labor without sacrificing quality. Our BDS is an automated routing and beverage station balancing system which improves beverage station productivity by further leveraging our automation capability. Additionally, our web-based labor scheduling and productivity analyzer automates aspects of the labor scheduling for the managers and employees and produces a number of real-time key performance indicators and productivity reports for our management team, including controls and alerts to assist in complying with federal, state and local labor laws. Our theoretical food cost system and automated food prep system allow us to better measure product yields in our kitchens and help reduce kitchen errors and eliminate excessive waste. Our automated front desk table management system helps us to better optimize the overall seating efficiencies and “table turns” in our restaurants. We also utilize a centralized accounting and human resources system that collects data from our restaurants in order to produce operational and scorecard reporting as well as a data center technology services with cloud based technologies to provide scalability and bursting capabilities which support growth and enable rapid technology deployments. Our electronic human resources workflow solution streamlines and expedites the process of onboarding new employees, while insuring accuracy and facilitating the collection of richer data. In 2019, we will be implementing a new human capital management system, which we expect to further enhance and improve our current capabilities. Our tablet-based inventory technology streamlines our inventory counting process while insuring accuracy. Our BJ’s mobile application, which allows our customers to use their smartphones to order ahead, add their name to our waitlist, pay at the table and manage their loyalty account, among other things, has been well received by our customers. We will continue to develop restaurant and support technologies that help improve the customer experience, employee effectiveness and satisfaction, financial management and cost control. All new technology is thoroughly tested before any company-wide rollout is implemented.

SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Our supply chain department, working together with our culinary, marketing and operations teams, is responsible for the selection and procurement of all of the food ingredients, beverages, products and supplies for our restaurants and brewing operations. Specifications are mandated by the supply chain department in order to consistently maintain the highest quality ingredients and operational materials. Our goal is to obtain the highest quality menu ingredients, products and supplies from reliable sources at competitive prices. In order to maximize operating efficiencies between the purchase and usage, each restaurant’s Executive Kitchen Manager determines daily usage requirements for food ingredients, products and supplies for their restaurant and places all orders with vendors approved by our supply chain department. Our Executive Kitchen Managers also inspect our deliveries to ensure that the items received meet our quality specifications and negotiated prices. For many of our menu ingredients, we have arranged for acceptable alternative manufacturers, vendors, growers and shippers in order to reduce risk in our supply chain. In addition to procuring food ingredients, beverages, products and supplies for our restaurants and brewing operations, the supply chain department also manages the procurement agreements in the areas of energy, transportation and general corporate services.

Where economically feasible and possible, we attempt to negotiate contracts for key commodities used in the preparation of our food and beverage offerings, based on our expected requirements for each fiscal year. If our attempts are successful, most of our contracts typically range in duration from three to twelve months. Although we currently do not directly engage in future contracts or other financial risk management strategies with respect to potential commodity cost fluctuations, from time to time we may opportunistically request that our suppliers consider doing so to help minimize the impact of potential cost fluctuations. Suppliers will typically pass the cost of such strategies along to us, either directly or indirectly.

We use Distribution Market Advantage (“DMA”), a consortium of large, regional food distributors located throughout the United States to deliver the majority of our food products to our restaurants. In July 2017, after conducting a market evaluation, we entered into a new five-year agreement with DMA. The new agreement expires in June 2022. Jacmar Foodservice Distribution is a member of DMA and is the primary distributor of food and operating supplies for our California and Nevada restaurants. See Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information regarding this related party. We have a non-exclusive contract with DMA on terms and conditions that we believe are consistent with those made available to similarly situated restaurant companies.

We have an agreement with the largest nationwide foodservice distributor of fresh produce in the United States to service most of our restaurants and, where licensed, to distribute our proprietary craft beer to our restaurants. This distributor currently delivers our proprietary craft beer to approximately 50% of our restaurants.

COMPETITION

The domestic restaurant industry is highly competitive and generally considered to be mature. There are a substantial number of casual dining, fast casual and quick service restaurant chains and other food and beverage service operations, that compete

both directly and indirectly with us in every respect, including food quality and service, the price value relationship, beer quality and selection, atmosphere, suitable sites for new restaurants and for qualified personnel to operate our restaurants, among other factors. We also compete within each of our trade areas with locally-owned restaurants. We face growing competition as a result of the trend toward convergence in grocery, deli and restaurant services, particularly in the supermarket industry which offers “convenient meals” in the form of improved entrées and side dishes.

Our restaurant concept is a relatively small “varied menu” casual dining competitor when compared to the mature “mass market” chains, with 63 of our restaurants currently located in one state - California. Our overall brand awareness and competitive presence in states outside of California is not as significant as that of our major casual dining chain competitors. Many competitors with similar concepts to ours have been in business longer than we have, have greater consumer awareness, and often have substantially greater capital, marketing and human resources.

We believe, however, that our ability to offer higher quality food and beverages at moderate prices with superior service in a distinctive dining environment provides us with the opportunity to capture additional market share in the casual dining segment.

FOOD QUALITY AND SAFETY

Our revenues can be substantially affected by adverse publicity resulting from food quality, illness, or health concerns stemming from incidents occurring at our restaurants as well as incidents that may occur at our competitors’ restaurants. In addition, our revenues can be affected by illness or health concerns stemming from incidents occurring at our suppliers or competing suppliers. We attempt to manage risks of this nature by leveraging food quality and safety controls throughout our supply chain and internal training programs. While we believe that our internal policies and procedures for food safety and sanitation are thorough, the risk of food-borne illness cannot be completely eliminated, and incidents at other restaurant chains or in the food supply chain may affect our restaurants even if our restaurants are not implicated in a food safety concern.

We are committed to serving safe, high quality food. Our food quality and safety teams strive to ensure compliance with our food safety programs and practices, components of which include:

- Partnering with suppliers to improve food safety processes and technology
- Food safety training for all new employees
- Advanced food safety training for management trainees
- Manager food safety certifications
- Several layers of audits and inspections:
 - 12 unannounced audits per year by an independent third party auditing company
 - BJ’s internal Quality Assurance team audits
 - Operation’s team food safety audits
 - Regulatory inspections
- Daily food safety checks based on Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (“HACCP”) principles
- Utilization of technology to manage food safety risks

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

James Dal Pozzo, the Chairman of the Board of the Jacmar Companies (“Jacmar”), is a member of our Board of Directors. Jacmar, through its affiliation with DMA, a consortium of large, regional food distributors located throughout the United States, is currently our largest distributor of food, beverage, paper products and supplies. In 2006, we began using DMA to deliver the majority of our food products to our restaurants. In July 2017, after conducting a market evaluation, we entered into a new five-year agreement with DMA. The new agreement expires in

June 2022. Jacmar services our restaurants in California and Nevada, while other DMA distributors service our restaurants in all other states. Under the terms of our agreement with DMA, Jacmar is required to sell products to us at the same prices as the other DMA distributors. Jacmar does not provide us with any produce, liquor, wine or beer products, all of which are provided by other third party vendors and are included in “Cost of sales” on our Consolidated Statements of Income. See Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on related party transactions.

GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations that affect our business. Each of our restaurants is subject to licensing and regulation by a number of governmental authorities, which may include alcoholic beverage control, labor/equal employment, building, land use, health, safety and fire agencies, and environmental regulations in the state or municipality in which the restaurant is located. Difficulties obtaining or maintaining the required licenses or approvals could

delay or prevent the development of a new restaurant in a particular area or could adversely affect the operation of an existing restaurant. We believe, however, that we are in compliance in all material respects with all relevant laws, rules, and regulations. We have never experienced abnormal difficulties or delays in obtaining the licenses or approvals required to open a new restaurant or in continuing the operation of an existing restaurant.

Alcoholic beverage control regulations require each of our restaurants to apply to a federal and state authority and, in certain locations, municipal authorities for a license and permit to sell alcoholic beverages on and off premises. Typically, licenses must be renewed annually and may be revoked or suspended for cause by such authority at any time. Alcoholic beverage control regulations impact numerous aspects of the daily operations of our restaurants, including the minimum age of patrons and employees, hours of operation, advertising, wholesale purchasing, inventory control and handling, and storage and dispensing of alcoholic beverages.

Our restaurants and brewing operations are subject to “tied house” laws and the “three tier system” of beverage alcohol distribution, which were introduced by various states after the repeal of Prohibition. These laws generally prohibit brewers from holding an interest in retail licenses and require manufacturers, distributors and retailers to remain separate “tiers.” Over the last 25 years, “brewpubs,” which are both retailers and onsite brewers, have been authorized by law in most states through specific exceptions to these laws. These exceptions are unique to each state and do not mirror one another. However, brewpubs are generally licensed as retailers and do not have the same privileges as microbreweries, and the privileges of, and restrictions imposed on, brewpubs vary from state to state. These restrictions sometimes prevent us from operating both brewpubs and restaurants in some states. We believe that we are currently in compliance with the brewpub regulations in the states where we hold such licenses. However, there is some risk that a state’s brewpub regulations or the interpretation of these regulations may change in a way that could impact our current model of brewing beer and/or supplying beer to our restaurants in that state. We apply for our alcoholic beverage licenses with the advice of outside legal and licensing counsel and consultants. Even after the issuance of these licenses, our operations could be subject to differing interpretations of the “tied house” laws and the requirements of the “three tier system” of beverage alcohol distribution in any jurisdiction that we conduct business. Additionally, the failure to receive or retain, or a delay in obtaining, a liquor license in a particular location could adversely affect our ability to obtain such a license elsewhere.

We are subject to “dram-shop” statutes in California and other states in which we operate. Those statutes generally provide a person who has been injured by an intoxicated person the right to recover damages from an establishment that has wrongfully served alcoholic beverages to such person. We carry liquor liability coverage, as part of our existing comprehensive general liability insurance, which we believe is consistent with the coverage carried by other entities in the restaurant industry and would help protect us from exposure created by possible claims. Even though we carry liquor liability insurance, a judgment against us under a dram-shop statute in excess of our liability coverage could have a materially adverse effect on us. Regardless of whether any claims against us are valid or whether we are liable, claims may also be expensive to defend and may divert management’s time and our financial resources away from our operations. We may also be adversely affected by publicity resulting from such claims.

Various federal and state labor laws, along with rules and regulations, govern our relationship with our employees, including such matters as minimum wage, overtime, tip credits, health insurance, working conditions, safety and work eligibility requirements. Significant additional governmental mandates, such as an increased minimum wage, a change in the laws governing exempt employees, an increase in paid time off or leaves of absence, mandates on health benefits and insurance or increased tax reporting and payment requirements for employees who receive gratuities, could negatively impact our restaurants’ profitability. We are also subject to the regulations of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) branch of the United States Department of Homeland Security. In addition, some states in which we operate have adopted immigration employment protection laws. Even if we operate our restaurants in strict compliance with ICE and state requirements, some of our employees may not meet federal work eligibility or residency requirements, despite our efforts and without our knowledge, which could lead to a disruption in our work

force. Additionally, our suppliers may also be affected by various federal and state labor laws which could result in supply disruptions for our various goods and services or higher costs for goods and services supplied to us.

We are also subject to various laws and proposals regarding regulations relating to nutritional content, nutritional labeling, product safety and menu labeling.

We are subject to federal and state environmental regulations. Various laws concerning the handling, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials, such as cleaning solvents, and the operation of restaurants and brewpubs in environmentally sensitive locations may impact aspects of our operations.

Our facilities must comply with the applicable requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”) and related state statutes. The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability with respect to public accommodations and employment. Under the ADA and related state laws, when constructing new restaurants or undertaking significant remodeling

of existing restaurants, we must make them readily accessible to disabled persons. We must also make reasonable accommodations for the employment of disabled persons.

We have a significant number of hourly restaurant employees who receive income from gratuities. We have elected to voluntarily participate in a Tip Reporting Alternative Commitment (“TRAC”) agreement with the Internal Revenue Service. By complying with the educational and other requirements of the TRAC agreement, we reduce the likelihood of potential employer-only FICA assessments for unreported or under reported tips.

EMPLOYEES

On February 25, 2019, we employed approximately 22,000 employees at our 202 restaurants. Most of our employees in our restaurant operations provide their services on a part-time basis, as defined by the Affordable Care Act. We also employed approximately 215 employees at our restaurant support center and in our field supervision organization. We believe that we maintain favorable relations with our employees. Currently, no unions or collective bargaining arrangements are in place at our Company.

INSURANCE

We maintain property and casualty insurance with coverage and limits we believe are currently appropriate for our operations. We retain a substantial portion of our workers’ compensation and general liability costs through self-insured retentions and large deductibles. There is no assurance that any insurance coverage maintained by us will be adequate or that we will not experience claims in excess of our coverage limits; that we can continue to obtain and maintain such insurance at all; or that our premium costs will not rise to an extent that they will adversely affect our ability to economically obtain or maintain such insurance. We carry employment practices insurance, which covers claims involving matters such as harassment, discrimination, and wrongful termination; however, it excludes wage and hour claims and other matters. A settlement or judgment against us in excess of, or outside of, our coverage limitations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity, financial position and business. See “Limitations in our insurance coverage or rising insurance costs could adversely affect our business or financial condition in certain circumstances” in “Risk Factors” contained in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS

We believe that our trademarks, service marks and other proprietary rights have significant value and are important to our brand-building effort and the marketing of our restaurant concept. Our domestically-registered trademarks and service marks include, among others, our stylized logos displaying the name “BJ’s” for restaurant services, restaurant and bar services, on-line ordering and take-out restaurant services and the word mark “BJ’s” for restaurant and bar services, take-out and carry-out restaurant services. We have also registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office many of our standard and seasonal beer logos and names, as well as many of our signature menu item names including “Great White” and “Sweet Pig” for our proprietary pizzas, “Pizookie” for our proprietary dessert and “Enlightened Entrees,” “Craft Matters” and “Wow, I Love This Place” for our branding. We have registered our BJ’s logo mark in a number of foreign countries. Additional domestic and foreign trademark applications are pending. We have also registered our ownership of the internet domain name “www.bjsrestaurants.com” and other internet domain names. We have in the past protected, and expect to continue to vigorously protect, our proprietary rights. We cannot predict whether steps taken by us to protect our proprietary rights will be adequate to prevent misappropriation of these rights or the use by others of restaurant features based upon, or otherwise similar to, our concept and products. There may be other restaurants, retailers and/or businesses that also use the letters “BJ’s” in some form or fashion throughout the United States and abroad. It may be difficult for us to prevent others from copying elements of our concept. Any litigation undertaken to enforce our rights will likely be costly. In addition, we may face claims of misappropriation or infringement of third parties’ trademarks, patents or other intellectual property rights. Defending these claims may be

costly and, if unsuccessful, may prevent us from continuing to use certain intellectual property rights or information in the future and may result in a judgment or monetary damages.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our executive officers and other members of the senior leadership team as of February 25, 2019:

Name	Age	Position
Gregory A. Trojan	59	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Gregory S. Levin	51	President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

11

Gregory S. Lynds 57 Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer
Lon F. Ledwith 61 Executive Vice President, Operations
Kevin E. Mayer 49 Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer
Kendra D. Miller 44 Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary
Brian S. Krakower 48 Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer

GREGORY A. TROJAN has served as a member of the Company's Board of Directors since December 2012 and as our Chief Executive Officer since February 2013. Mr. Trojan also served as our President from December 2012 until January 2018, when Mr. Levin was promoted to President. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Trojan was employed by Guitar Center, Inc., a leading retailer of musical instrument products, where he served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director from November 2010 to November 2012 and as President, Chief Operating Officer and Director from October 2007 to November 2010. From 1998 to 2006, Mr. Trojan served as Chief Executive Officer of House of Blues Entertainment, Inc., an operator of restaurant and music venues, concerts and media properties, having served as President from 1996 to 1998. Prior to that, he held various positions with PepsiCo from 1990 to 1996, including service as an executive officer and eventually as Chief Executive Officer of California Pizza Kitchen, Inc., when it was owned by PepsiCo. Earlier in his career, Mr. Trojan was a consultant at Bain & Company, the Wharton Small Business Development Center and Arthur Andersen & Company. Mr. Trojan served on the Board of Directors at Oakley Inc. from June 2005 to November 2007 and Domino's Pizza, Inc. from March 2010 to November 2017.

GREGORY S. LEVIN has served as our President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary since January 2018. He previously served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary from June 2008 to December 2017, as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from October 2007 to May 2008, and as Chief Financial Officer from September 2005 to September 2007. From February 2004 to August 2005, Mr. Levin served as Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of SB Restaurant Company, a privately held company that operated the Elephant Bar Restaurants. From 1996 to 2004, Mr. Levin was employed by California Pizza Kitchen, Inc., operator and licensor of casual dining restaurants, with his last position as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. Earlier in his career, he served as an audit manager with Ernst & Young LLP.

GREGORY S. LYNDIS has served as our Chief Development Officer since July 2003 and was promoted to Executive Vice President in October 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Lynds served as a Director of Real Estate for Darden Restaurants, Inc., the largest casual dining company in America. Prior to joining Darden, Mr. Lynds served as Vice President of Real Estate and Development for Wilshire Restaurant Group (Marie Callender's and East Side Mario's) and was a partner responsible for expanding the Mimi's Café brand.

LON F. LEDWITH has served as our Executive Vice President of Operations since April 2015. Prior to this responsibility, he served as our Senior Vice President of Operations Talent Development from January 2010 to March 2015, as our Senior Vice President of Restaurant Operations from April 2006 to December 2009, and as Vice President of Operations from February 2004 to March 2006. From July 1981 to November 2003, Mr. Ledwith was employed by Brinker International, Inc., where his last position was Regional Vice President of the Chili's Grill & Bar concept.

KEVIN E. MAYER has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer since July 2014. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Mayer was employed by Volkswagen of America, the U.S. subsidiary of the second largest global auto brand, Volkswagen AG, where he served as Vice President of Marketing from June 2012 to December 2013. From October 2010 to June 2012, Mr. Mayer was employed by General Motors and served as their Director of Global Advertising and Promotions for Chevrolet. Prior to that, Mr. Mayer served as the Director of Marketing Communications for Subaru of America from March 2007 to October 2010. Early in his career, Mr. Mayer served in a variety of agency and client-side leadership roles, such as Grey Advertising.

KENDRA D. MILLER has served as our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary since January 2019. Ms. Miller previously served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary from March 2011 to December 2018. From August 2008 to February 2011, Ms. Miller practiced law as a partner at the international law firm of Crowell & Moring LLP in Irvine, California. From January 2001 to August 2008, she was employed by Carlton, DiSante & Freudenberger LLP, where she became a partner in January 2008. From September 1999 to December 2000, she practiced law at Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP in Los Angeles, California. In her private practice, she litigated on behalf of and counseled numerous restaurant chains on employment law and business matters.

BRIAN S. KRAKOWER has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer since February 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Krakower served as Chief Technology Officer for Restaurant Revolution Technologies, a restaurant order management technology solutions company. From 2007 to 2012, Mr. Krakower was employed by California Pizza Kitchen, Inc., operator and licensor of casual dining restaurants, where his last position was Vice President of Information Technology. From 2003 to 2007, Mr. Krakower served as Senior Director of Information Technology - Corporate Systems for

The Cheesecake Factory Incorporated, a publicly held operator of upscale casual dining restaurants. Prior to that, Mr. Krakower was employed by House of Blues Entertainment, Inc., an operator of restaurant and music venues, concerts and media properties, where he served as its Senior Director of Information Systems & Technology from 1997 to 2003.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risk factors presented below may affect our future operating results, financial position and cash flows. The risks described in this Item 1A and other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are not exhaustive and are not the only risks we may ever face in our business. We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and we cannot predict those events or how they may affect us. There may be other risks and uncertainties that are not currently known or that are currently deemed by us to be immaterial. However, they may ultimately adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. In addition to the risk factors presented below, changes in general economic conditions, credit markets, consumer tastes, discretionary spending patterns, demographic trends, and consumer confidence in the economy, all of which affect consumer behavior and spending for restaurant dining occasions, may have a material impact on us.

Failure to maintain a favorable image, credibility and the value of the BJ's brand and our reputation for offering customers a higher quality more differentiated total dining experience at a good value may adversely affect our business.

The successful operation of the BJ's restaurant concept and the execution of our national expansion plan are highly dependent upon BJ's ability to remain relevant to consumers and a brand they trust. We believe that we have built a strong reputation for quality and our differentiated BJ's menu and beverage offerings are integral components of the total dining experience that customers enjoy in our restaurants. We believe that we must continue to protect, enhance and evolve the BJ's brand to continue to be successful in the future. Any incident that erodes consumer trust in or affinity for the BJ's brand may significantly reduce its value. If consumers perceive or experience any reduction in our food or beverage quality, service or facility ambiance, or in any way believe we failed to deliver a consistently positive dining experience, the value of the BJ's brand and our entire Company may be impaired. We may also need to evolve the BJ's restaurant concept in order to compete with popular new restaurant formats or concepts that emerge from time to time, and we cannot provide any assurance that we will be successful in doing so, or that any changes we make to our concept in response will be successful or not adversely affect our profitability. In addition, with the increasing prevalence of food-away-from-home at fast casual restaurants, single-serve operations, quick-service restaurants and certain grocery operations, combined with the continuing pressure on consumer discretionary spending for restaurant occasions, consumers may choose less expensive alternatives to BJ's which may also negatively affect customer traffic at our restaurants.

In addition, our ability to successfully develop new restaurants in new markets may be adversely affected by a lack of awareness or acceptance of our brand in these new markets. To the extent that we are unable to foster name recognition and affinity for our brand in new markets, our new restaurants may not perform as expected and our growth may be significantly delayed or impaired.

Any inability or failure to recognize, respond to and effectively manage the accelerated impact of social media may adversely affect our business.

There has been a significant increase in the use of social media and similar platforms, including weblogs (blogs), social media websites and other forms of Internet-based communications which allow individuals' access to a broad audience of consumers and other interested persons. Consumers value readily available information concerning goods and services that they have or plan to purchase, and may act on such information without further investigation or

authentication. The availability of information on social media platforms is virtually immediate as is its impact. Many social media platforms immediately publish the content their subscribers and participant's post, often without filters or checks on accuracy of the content posted. The opportunity for dissemination of information, including inaccurate information, is seemingly limitless and readily available. Information concerning our Company may be posted on such platforms at any time. Information posted may be adverse to our interests or may be inaccurate, each of which may harm our performance, prospects or business. The harm may be immediate without affording us an opportunity for redress or correction. Such platforms also may be used for dissemination of trade secret information, compromising valuable company assets. In summary, the dissemination of information online may harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations, regardless of the information's accuracy. The inappropriate use of social media vehicles by our customers or employees could increase our costs, lead to litigation or result in negative publicity that could damage our reputation.

As part of our marketing efforts, we use a variety of digital platforms including search engines, mobile, online videos and social media platforms such as Facebook®, Twitter® and Instagram® to attract and retain customers. We also test new technology platforms to improve our level of digital engagement with our customers and employees to help strengthen our marketing and related consumer analytics capabilities. These initiatives may not prove to be successful and may result in

expenses incurred without the benefit of higher revenues or increased engagement. Our brand could also be confused with brands that have similar names, including but not limited to brands such as BJ's Wholesale Club and other unaffiliated restaurants that use "BJ's" in their names. As a result, our brand value may be adversely affected by any negative publicity related to others that use "BJ's" in their brand names. We have registered certain trademarks and service marks in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. However, we are aware of names and marks identical or similar to our service marks being used from time to time by other persons. Although our policy is to oppose any such infringement, further or unknown unauthorized uses or other misappropriation of our trademarks or service marks may diminish the value of our brands and adversely affect our business.

Any deterioration in general economic conditions may affect consumer spending and adversely affect our revenues, operating results and liquidity.

Any decrease in customer traffic or the average expenditure per customer will negatively impact our financial results, since reduced sales result in the deleveraging of the fixed and semi-fixed costs in our operations and thereby cause downward pressure on our operating profits and margins. There is also a risk that if negative economic conditions persist for a long period of time or worsen, consumers may make long-lasting changes to their discretionary purchasing behavior, including less frequent discretionary purchases on a more permanent basis.

The above factors may also impose practical limits on our menu price increases. From time to time, we may announce that we intend to take price increases on selected menu items in order to offset increased operating expenses. However, we cannot provide assurance that menu price increases will not deter customers from visiting our restaurants, reduce the frequency of their visits or affect their purchasing decisions.

Any deterioration in general economic conditions, which may have a material adverse impact on our landlords or on businesses neighboring our locations, may adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Any deterioration in general economic conditions may result in our landlords being unable to obtain financing or remain in good standing under their existing financing arrangements which may result in their failure to satisfy obligations to us under leases, including failures to fund or reimburse agreed-upon tenant improvement allowances. Any such failure may adversely impact our operations.

In addition, if our landlords are unable to obtain sufficient credit to continue to properly manage their retail centers, we may experience a drop in the level of quality of such centers where we operate restaurants. Our future development of new restaurants may also be adversely affected by the negative financial situation of developers and potential landlords. Landlords may try to delay or cancel recent development projects (as well as renovations of existing projects) which may reduce the number of appropriate locations available that we would consider for our new restaurants. Furthermore, the failure of landlords to obtain licenses or permits for development projects on a timely basis, which is beyond our control, may negatively impact our ability to implement our development plan.

Our restaurants are generally located in or around high traffic retail developments with nationally recognized co-tenants, which help increase overall customer traffic into those retail developments. Some of our co-tenants have ceased or may cease operations in the future or have deferred openings or fail to open in a retail development after committing to do so. These failures may lead to reduced customer traffic and a general deterioration in the surrounding retail centers in which our restaurants are located and may contribute to lower customer traffic at our restaurants. If these retail developments experience high vacancy rates, we may experience decreases in customer traffic. A decrease in customer traffic may adversely affect our results of operations.

Changes in consumer buying patterns, particularly e-commerce sites and off premise sales affect our revenues, operating results and liquidity.

Our restaurants are primarily located near high consumer activity areas such as regional malls, lifestyle centers, “big box” shopping centers and entertainment centers. We depend in large part on a high volume of visitors to these centers to attract customers to our restaurants. E-Commerce or online shopping continues to increase and negatively impact consumer traffic at traditional “brick and mortar” retail sites located in regional malls, lifestyle centers, “big box” shopping centers and entertainment centers. A decline in visitors to these centers near our restaurants may negatively affect our sales.

In the last several years, off premise sales, specifically delivery, have increased due to consumer demand for convenience. While we plan to continue to invest in the growth of our off premise sales, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to increase our off premise sales. Off premise sales could also cannibalize dine in sales, or our systems and procedures may not be sufficient to handle off premise sales, which require additional investments in technology or people. Additionally, a large percentage of delivery from our restaurants is through third party delivery companies. These third party delivery companies require us to pay them a commission, which lower our profit margin on those sales; however, we believe that the majority of

such sales are incremental. Any bad press, whether true or not, regarding third party delivery companies or their business model may negatively impact our sales. If these third party delivery companies cease doing business with us, or cannot make their scheduled deliveries, or do not continue their relationship with us on favorable terms, it will have a negative impact on sales or result in increased third party delivery fees.

Any inability or failure to successfully expand our restaurant operations may adversely affect our growth rate and results of operations.

A critical factor in our future success is our ability to expand our restaurant operations successfully, which will depend in large part on our ability to open new restaurants in a profitable manner. We anticipate that our new restaurants will generally take several months or even longer to reach targeted productivity levels due to the inefficiencies typically associated with new restaurants, including lack of initial market and consumer awareness, the need to hire and train sufficient management and restaurant personnel and other factors. The opening of new restaurants can also have either an expected or an unintended effect on the sales levels at existing restaurants. We cannot guarantee that any restaurant we open will obtain operating results similar to those of our existing restaurants. If we are unable to open and operate new restaurants successfully, our growth rate and our results of operations will be adversely affected. Our expansion plans may also be impacted by the delay or cancellation of potential new sites by developers and landlords, which may become more common as a result of economic deterioration or tightening credit markets.

We intend to open new restaurants in both established and new markets. Opening new restaurants in established markets generally provides some advantages in the form of stronger levels of initial consumer awareness, trial and usage, as well as greater leverage of certain supply chain and field supervision resources. On the other hand, there is a risk that a portion of the sales of existing restaurants in the market may transfer to newly opened restaurants in the same market, resulting in negative pressure on our overall comparable restaurant sales metric. While we do not generally select locations for our new restaurants where we believe that a significant sales transfer will likely occur, some unexpected sales transfer may inadvertently occur.

Some of our new restaurants are planned for new markets where we have little or no operating experience. New markets may have different competitive conditions, consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns than our existing markets. As a result, new restaurants in those markets may be less successful than restaurants in our existing markets. Consumers in a new market may not be familiar with the BJ's brand. We also may find it more difficult to hire, motivate and retain qualified employees in new markets. Restaurants opened in new markets may also have lower average restaurant sales than restaurants opened in our existing markets, and may have higher construction, occupancy or operating costs than restaurants in existing markets. Sales at restaurants opened in new markets may take longer to achieve margins typical of mature restaurants in existing markets or may never achieve these targeted margins thereby affecting our overall profitability. As we expand into new markets and geographic territories, our operating cost structures may not resemble our experience in existing markets. Because there will initially be fewer restaurants in a given market, our ability to optimally leverage our field supervision, marketing and supply chain resources will be limited for a period of time. Further, our overall new restaurant development and operating costs may increase due to more lengthy geographic distances between restaurants resulting in higher purchasing, preopening, labor, transportation and supervision costs. The performance of restaurants in new markets will often be less predictable.

As part of our ongoing restaurant expansion and growth strategy, we may consider the internal development or acquisition of additional restaurant concepts. We may not be able to internally develop or acquire additional concepts that are as profitable as our existing restaurants. Additionally, growth through acquisitions will also involve additional financial and operational risks.

Any inability to open new restaurants on schedule in accordance with our targeted capacity growth or problems associated with securing suitable restaurant locations, leases and licenses, recruiting and training qualified managers and hourly employees and other factors, some of which are beyond our control and difficult to forecast accurately may adversely affect our operations.

In order to achieve our targeted capacity rate of new restaurant growth, we must identify suitable restaurant locations and successfully negotiate and finalize the terms of restaurant leases at a number of these locations. Due in part to the unique nature of each proposed restaurant location, we cannot predict the timing or ultimate success of our site selection process or these lease negotiations. Delays encountered in negotiating, or our inability to finalize to our satisfaction, the terms of a restaurant lease may delay our actual rate of new restaurant growth and cause a significant variance from our targeted capacity growth rate. In addition, our scheduled rate of new restaurant openings may be adversely affected by other factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- the availability and cost of suitable restaurant locations for development;
- our ability to compete successfully for suitable restaurant locations;

15

- the availability of adequate financing;
- the timing of delivery of leased premises from our landlords so we can commence our build-out construction activities;
- construction and development costs;
- labor shortages or disputes experienced by our landlords or outside contractors, including their ability to manage union activities such as picketing or hand billing which may delay construction and may create adverse publicity for our business and operations;
- any unforeseen engineering or environmental problems with the leased premises;
- our ability to hire, train and retain additional management and restaurant personnel;
- our ability to secure governmental approvals and permits, including liquor licenses;
- our ability to make satisfactory arrangements for the delivery of our proprietary craft beer;
- our ability to successfully promote our new restaurants and compete in the markets in which our new restaurants are located;
- weather conditions or natural disasters; and
- general economic conditions.

Any inability to access sources of capital and or to raise capital in the future may adversely affect our business.

Our ability to successfully grow our business depends, in part, on the availability of adequate capital to finance the development of additional new restaurants and other growth related expenses. Changes in our operating plans, acceleration of our expansion plans, a decision to acquire another restaurant concept, increases or changes in our share repurchase program or dividends, lower than anticipated revenues, unanticipated and/or uncontrollable events in the capital or credit markets that impact our liquidity, lower than anticipated tenant improvement allowances offered by landlords, increased expenses or other events, including those described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, may cause us to seek additional debt or equity financing on an accelerated basis in the event our cash flow from operations is insufficient. Financing may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all, and our failure to raise capital when needed may adversely affect our growth and other plans, as well as our financial condition. Additional equity financing, if available, may be dilutive to the holders of our common stock and adversely affect the price of our common stock. Debt financing, if available, may involve significant cash payment obligations, covenants and financial ratios that restrict our ability to operate and grow our business, and would cause us to incur additional interest expense and financing costs. In addition, disruptions in the global credit and equity markets, including unanticipated and/or uncontrollable events, may have an adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to raise additional capital if and when required.

Issuance of additional equity securities without the consent of shareholders may adversely affect our stock price and the rights of existing shareholders.

We are not restricted from issuing additional common stock or preferred stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock or preferred stock or any substantially similar securities. Our Board of Directors is authorized to issue additional shares of common stock and additional classes or series of preferred stock without any action on the part of the shareholders. The Board of Directors also has the discretion, without shareholder approval, to set the terms of any such classes or series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights and preferences over the common stock with respect to dividends or upon the liquidation, or winding up of our business and other terms. If we issue preferred shares in the future that have a preference over our common stock with respect to dividends or upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if we issue preferred shares with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the rights of our common shareholders or the market price of our common stock may be adversely affected.

Any failure of our existing or new restaurants to achieve expected results may have a negative impact on our consolidated financial results.

The financial results of our existing restaurants may not be indicative of longer term performance or the potential market acceptance of restaurants in other locations. There can be no assurance that any new restaurant that we open will have similar operating results to those of prior restaurants. Our new restaurants typically take several months, or even longer, to reach targeted levels of productivity due to inefficiencies typically associated with new restaurants. Accordingly, incremental sales

from newly-opened restaurants generally do not make a significant contribution to our total operating profits in their initial months of operation. We make certain estimates and projections with regard to individual restaurant operations, as well as our overall performance in connection with our impairment analyses for long-lived assets in accordance with U.S. GAAP. An impairment charge is required when the carrying value of the restaurant exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of the restaurant, in which case the restaurant assets are written down to estimated fair value. The projection of restaurant future cash flows used in this analysis requires the use of judgment and a number of estimates. If the restaurant's actual results differ from our estimates, charges to impair the restaurant's assets may be required. If impairment charges are significant, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Any strain on our infrastructure and resources due to growth, which may slow down development of new restaurants may adversely affect our ability to manage our existing restaurants.

We plan to continue opening new restaurants and may also consider the internal development or acquisition of additional restaurant concepts in the future. Additionally, we may also evaluate potential joint ventures to supplement our pace of expansion. Our continued expansion will increase demands on our management team, restaurant management systems and resources, financial controls and information systems. These increased demands may adversely affect our ability to open new restaurants and to manage our existing restaurants. If we fail to continue to improve our infrastructure or to manage other factors necessary for us to meet our expansion objectives, our growth rate and operating results may be adversely affected.

Any decision to either reduce or accelerate the pace of openings may positively or adversely affect our comparative financial performance.

Our opening costs continue to be significant and the amount incurred in any single year or quarter is dependent on the number of restaurants expected to be opened during that time period. As such, our decision to either decrease or increase the rate of openings may have a significant impact on our financial performance for the period of time being measured. Therefore, if we decide to reduce our openings, our comparable opening costs will be lower and the short-term effect on our comparative financial performance will be favorable. Conversely, if the rate at which we develop and open new restaurants is increased to higher levels in the future, the resulting increase in opening costs will have an unfavorable short-term impact on our comparative financial performance.

Our recent trends in average restaurant sales or our trends in comparable restaurant sales may not be indicative of future trends or future operating results.

Our recent average restaurant sales and comparable restaurant sales trends may not be indicative of future trends or future operating results. Our ability to operate new restaurants profitably and increase average restaurant sales and comparable restaurant sales will depend on many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

- our ability to execute our business strategy effectively;
- our ability to execute productively and efficiently within the "four walls" of each restaurant;
- our menu development and pricing strategy;
- our ability to continue deploying menu, beverage, capital expenditure and technological innovations that have the opportunity to increase customer visit frequency and spending per visit;
- initial sales performance by new restaurants, some of which may be unusually strong and thus difficult to increase further;
- intrusions into our restaurant trade areas by new restaurants operated by competitors;
- the timing of new restaurant openings and related expenses;
- changing demographics, consumer tastes or discretionary spending;
-

our ability to develop restaurants in geographic locations that do not compete with or otherwise adversely affect the sales of our existing restaurants;

overall brand awareness in new markets or existing markets where we may develop new restaurants;

maturation of the casual dining segment;

levels of competition in one or more of our markets; and

general economic conditions, credit markets and consumer confidence.

17

We believe that certain of our restaurants operate at or near their effective productive capacities. As a result, we may be unable to grow or maintain comparable restaurant sales at those restaurants, particularly if additional restaurants are opened near the existing locations either by us or by our competitors.

Any failure to drive both short-term and long-term profitable sales growth through continued enhancements to the BJ's restaurant concept and brand, coupled with any slippage in restaurant operational execution, may result in poor financial performance. As part of our business strategy, we intend to drive profitable sales growth by increasing sales at existing restaurants and by opening new restaurants. This strategy involves numerous risks, and we may not be able to achieve our growth objectives. If we are unable to maintain BJ's brand relevance and restaurant operational excellence to achieve sustainable comparable restaurant sales growth, we may have to consider slowing the pace of new restaurant openings. BJ's short-term sales growth may be impacted if we are unable to drive near-term growth in customer traffic, and long-term sales growth may be impacted if we fail to continue to evolve BJ's to maintain its relevance, contemporary energy and overall value and appeal to the consumer. The casual dining segment, in general, has not seen any significant growth in customer traffic in several years. If this trend continues, our ability to grow customer traffic at our restaurants will depend on our ability to increase our market share within the casual dining segment.

Adverse changes in our average restaurant revenues and comparable restaurant sales may have an adverse effect on our common stock or increase the volatility of the price of our common stock.

Any failure of our menu development and marketing programs may not be successful.

We expect to continue investing in certain menu, marketing and merchandising initiatives that are intended to attract and retain customers for our restaurants. Not all of such initiatives may prove to be successful and may thereby result in incremental expenses incurred without the benefit of higher revenues, or may result in other unfavorable economic consequences. Additionally, if our competitors were to increase their spending on menu development and marketing initiatives, or if our menu and marketing initiatives were to be less effective than those of our competitors, we may experience a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our inability or failure to successfully and sufficiently raise menu prices to offset rising costs and expenses may adversely affect our results of operations.

In the past, we have experienced dramatic price increases of certain items necessary to operate our restaurants and brewing operations, including increases in the cost of food, commodities, labor, employee benefits, insurance arrangements, construction, energy and other costs. Additionally, low unemployment, new restaurant growth and competition and state minimum wage increases have resulted in unprecedented wage pressure in the restaurant industry for managers and hourly employees. To manage this risk in part, we attempt to enter into fixed price purchase commitments, with terms up to one year, for many of our commodity requirements. However, it may not be possible for us to enter into fixed-price contracts for an entire fiscal year for many of our commodity requirements. Additionally, we utilize menu price increases to help offset the increased cost of commodities, minimum wage and other costs. However, there is no guarantee that our menu price increases will be accepted by our customers. If our costs increase, our operating margins and results of operations will be adversely affected if we are unable to increase our menu prices to offset such increased costs or if our increased menu prices result in less customer traffic.

Expenditures required to open new restaurants may adversely affect our future operating results.

The expenditures required to develop new restaurants are significant. Actual costs may vary significantly depending upon a variety of factors, including the site type, the square footage and layout of each restaurant, and conditions in the local real estate market. The combination of our relatively small number of existing restaurants, the significant

investment associated with each new restaurant and the average revenues of our new restaurants relative to our total revenue may cause our results of operations to fluctuate significantly.

Our inability to renew existing leases on favorable terms may adversely affect our results of operations.

The majority of our restaurants are located on leased premises and are subject to varying lease-specific arrangements. Some of our leases require base rent that is subject to regional cost-of-living increases and other leases include base rent with specified periodic increases. Other leases are subject to renewal at fair market value, which may involve substantial increases. Additionally, many leases require contingent rent based on a percentage of gross sales. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew our expiring leases after exercising all remaining renewal options; therefore we may incur additional costs to operate our restaurants, including increased rent and other costs related to our renegotiation of lease terms for an existing leased premise or for a new lease in a desirable location and the relocation and development of a replacement restaurant.

The success of our restaurants depends in large part on leased locations. As demographic and economic patterns change, current locations may or may not continue to be attractive or profitable. Possible declines in trade areas where our restaurants are located or adverse economic conditions in surrounding areas may result in reduced revenues in those locations. In addition, desirable locations for new restaurant openings or for the relocation of existing restaurants may not be available at an acceptable cost.

We are subject to all of the risks associated with leasing space subject to long-term non-cancelable leases.

Generally our leases are “net” leases, which require us to pay all of the cost of insurance, taxes, maintenance and utilities and cannot be canceled. Additional sites that we lease are likely to be subject to similar long-term non-cancelable terms. If an existing or future restaurant is not profitable and we decide to close it, we may be required to continue to perform our obligations under the applicable lease including, among other things, paying the base rent for the balance of the lease term. These potential increased occupancy costs may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our suppliers’ inability to continue to do business with or the alteration of the terms on which they do business with us may adversely affect our operations.

If we are forced to find alternative suppliers for key services, whether due to demands from the vendor or the vendor’s bankruptcy, that may be a distraction to us and adversely impact our business. If any of our major suppliers or a large number of other suppliers suspend or cease operations, we may have difficulty keeping our restaurants fully supplied with the commodities and supplies that we require. In addition, we currently rely on one or a limited number of suppliers for certain key menu ingredients. If we were forced to suspend serving one or more of our menu items, that may have a significant adverse impact on our restaurant customer traffic and the public perceptions of us, which would be harmful to our operations.

Our corporate office is located in California and a significant number of our restaurants are located in California, Texas and Florida which makes us particularly sensitive to economic, regulatory, weather and other risk factors and conditions that are more prevalent in those states.

Our corporate office is located in California and a significant number of our restaurants are concentrated in California, Texas and Florida. As a result, we are particularly susceptible to adverse trends and economic conditions in those states. Many states and municipalities in which our restaurants are located may experience severe revenue and budget shortfalls. Additionally, changes in state and municipal-level regulatory requirements, such as increases to the minimum wage rate, income taxes, unemployment insurance, as well as other taxes, mandatory healthcare coverage or paid leave where we operate or may desire to operate restaurants, may adversely impact our financial results. Additionally, we believe that California is subject to a greater risk for earthquakes, fires, water shortages, energy fluctuations and other natural and man-made disasters than most other states.

Any adverse change in consumer trends or traffic levels may adversely affect our business, revenues and results of operations.

Due to the nature of the restaurant industry, we are dependent upon consumer trends with respect to the public’s tastes, eating habits, public perception toward alcohol consumption and discretionary spending priorities, all of which can shift rapidly. We also are dependent upon high consumer traffic rates at the sites surrounding our restaurants, which are primarily located in high-activity areas such as urban, retail, mixed-use and lifestyle centers, to attract customers to our restaurants. In general, such consumer trends and visit frequencies are significantly affected by many factors, including national, regional or local economic conditions, changes in area demographics, public perception and attitudes, increases in regional competition, food, liquor and labor costs, traffic and shopping patterns, weather, natural

disasters, interest rates, co-tenancies in urban, retail and mixed-use and lifestyle centers and the availability and relative cost of gasoline. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and respond to such changing consumer preferences, tastes, eating and purchasing habits, as well as other factors affecting the restaurant industry, including new market entrants and demographic changes. Any adverse change in any of the above factors and our inability to respond to such changes may cause our restaurant volumes to decline and adversely affect our business, revenues and results of operations.

Any inability to compete effectively in the restaurant industry may adversely affect our revenues, profitability and financial results.

The restaurant industry is highly competitive. We compete on the basis of the taste, quality and price of food offered, customer service, brand name identification, beer quality and selection, facilities attractiveness, restaurant location, atmosphere and overall dining experience. Our competitors include a large and diverse group of restaurant chains and individual restaurants that range from independent local operators that have opened restaurants in various markets to well-capitalized national

restaurant companies. In addition, we compete with other restaurants and retailers for real estate. We also face growing competition as a result of the trend toward convergence in grocery, deli and restaurant services, particularly in the supermarket industry which offers “convenient meals” in the form of improved entrées and side dishes from the deli section. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do.

Restaurant consumers are highly focused on overall value and price perception. If other restaurants are able to promote and deliver a higher degree of perceived value through heavy discounting or other methods, our customer traffic levels may suffer which would adversely impact our revenues and profitability. In addition, with improving product offerings at “fast-casual” restaurants, quick-service restaurants and grocery stores, consumers may choose to trade down to these alternatives, which may also negatively affect our financial results.

We believe that we have built a favorable reputation for the quality and differentiation of our restaurant concept. We also believe that we must continue to re-invest in our core established restaurant operations to further protect and grow the overall consumer “value” of our concept so that it will continue to be relevant in the future. Any incident that erodes consumer trust in, or their attraction to, our concept may significantly reduce its value. If consumers perceive or experience any material reduction in food quality, service or ambiance, or in any way believe we materially failed to deliver a consistently positive dining experience, the consumer “value” of our concept may suffer.

Any negative publicity about us, our restaurants, other restaurants, or others across the food supply chain, due to food borne illness or about other reasons, whether or not accurate may adversely affect the reputation and popularity of our restaurants and our results of operations.

The good reputation of our restaurants is a key factor to the success of our business. Incidents that occur at any of our restaurants, or at restaurants operated by other foodservice providers or generally in the food supply chain, may be damaging to the restaurant industry overall, may specifically harm our brand and reputation and may quickly result in negative publicity for us, which may adversely affect our reputation and popularity with our customers. Moreover, negative publicity resulting from poor food quality, illness, injury, food tampering or other health concerns, whether related to one of our restaurants, to the restaurant industry, or to the beef, seafood, poultry or produce industries (such as negative publicity concerning the accumulation of carcinogens in seafood, e-coli, hepatitis A, Avian Flu, listeria, salmonella, and other food-borne illnesses), or operating problems related to one or more of our restaurants, may adversely affect sales for all of our restaurants and make our brand and menu offerings less appealing to consumers.

Although we have followed industry standard food safety protocols in the past and continue to enhance our food safety and quality assurance procedures, no food safety protocols can completely eliminate the risk of food-borne illness in any restaurant. Even if food-borne illnesses arise from conditions outside of our control, the negative publicity from any such illnesses is likely to be significant. If our restaurant customers or employees become ill from food-borne illnesses, we may be forced to temporarily close the affected restaurants.

In addition, our brewing operations are subject to certain hazards and liability risks faced by all brewers, such as potential contamination of ingredients or products by bacteria or other external agents that may be wrongfully or accidentally introduced into products or packaging. While we have not experienced any serious contamination problem in our products, the occurrence of such a problem may result in a costly product recall and serious damage to our reputation for product quality, as well as claims for product liability.

New information or attitudes regarding diet, health and the consumption of alcoholic beverages may materially affect customer demand and have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Regulations and consumer eating habits may change as a result of new information or attitudes regarding diet and health. Such changes may include regulations that impact the ingredients and nutritional content of the food and

beverages we offer. For example, several municipalities and states have approved restrictions on the use of trans-fats by restaurants. The success of our restaurant operations is dependent, in part, upon our ability to effectively respond to changes in any consumer health regulations and our ability to adapt our menu offerings to trends in food consumption. If consumer health regulations or consumer eating habits change significantly, we may be required to modify or delete certain menu items. To the extent we are unable to respond with appropriate changes to our menu offerings, it may materially affect customer demand and have an adverse impact on our results of operations. The risks and costs associated with nutritional disclosures on our menus may also impact our operations, particularly given differences among applicable legal requirements and practices within the restaurant industry with respect to testing and disclosure, ordinary variations in food preparation among our own restaurants, and the need to rely on the accuracy and completeness of nutritional information obtained from third party suppliers.

The gross profit margin on our sales of alcoholic beverages is generally higher than our gross profit margin on sales of food items. The alcoholic beverage industry has become the subject of considerable societal and political attention in recent years due to increasing public concern over alcohol-related social problems, including driving under the influence, underage drinking

and health consequences from the misuse of alcohol, including alcoholism. As an outgrowth of these concerns, the possibility exists that advertising by beer producers may be restricted, that additional cautionary labeling or packaging requirements might be imposed, that further restrictions on the sale of alcohol might be imposed, or that there may be renewed efforts to impose increased excise or other taxes on beer or alcohol related items sold in the United States. If beer or alcohol consumption were to come into disfavor among domestic drinkers, or if the domestic beer industry were subjected to significant additional governmental regulations, our sales and profits may be adversely affected.

Health concerns arising from outbreaks of flu viruses or other diseases, or regional or global health pandemics may adversely affect our business.

The United States and other countries have experienced, or may experience in the future, outbreaks of viruses, such as norovirus, Avian Flu or “SARS,” and H1N1 or “swine flu,” or other diseases such as bovine spongiform encephalopathy, commonly known as “mad cow disease.” To the extent that a virus or disease is food-borne, or perceived to be food-borne, future outbreaks may adversely affect the price and availability of certain food products and cause our customers to eat less of a product. For example, health concerns relating to the consumption of beef or to specific events such as the outbreak of “mad cow disease” may adversely impact sales of our beef-related menu items. In addition, public concern over “avian flu” may cause fear about the consumption of chicken, eggs and other products derived from poultry. The inability to serve beef or poultry-based products would restrict our ability to provide a variety of menu items to our customers. If we change our menu in response to such concerns, we may lose customers who do not prefer the new menu, and we may not be able to sufficiently attract new customers to produce the revenue needed to restore the profitability of our restaurant operations. We also may generate different or additional competitors for our intended customers as a result of such a menu change and may not be able to successfully compete against such competitors. If a virus is transmitted by human contact, our employees or customers may become infected, or may choose, or be advised, to avoid gathering in public places, any of which may adversely affect our restaurant customer traffic and our ability to adequately staff our restaurants, receive deliveries on a timely basis or perform functions at the corporate level. We also may be adversely affected if jurisdictions in which we have restaurants impose mandatory closures, seek voluntary closures or impose restrictions on operations. Even if such measures are not implemented and a virus or other disease does not spread significantly, the perceived risk of infection or significant health risk may adversely affect our business.

A health pandemic is a disease outbreak that spreads rapidly and widely by infection and affects many individuals in an area or population at the same time. We believe that our restaurants have one of the highest levels of customer traffic per square foot in the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry. Our restaurants are places where people can gather together for human connection. Customers might avoid public gathering places in the event of a health pandemic, and local, regional or national governments might limit or ban public gatherings to halt or delay the spread of disease. The impact of a health pandemic on us might be disproportionately greater than on other casual dining concepts that have lower customer traffic and that depend less on the gathering of people.

Any adverse changes in the supply of food, labor, brewing, energy and other expenses, including those resulting from climate change, may adversely affect our operating results.

Our profitability depends, in part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively react to changes in food, labor, utilities and supply costs. Our supply chain department negotiates prices for all of our ingredients and supplies through contracts (with terms of one month up to one year, or longer in a few cases), spot market purchases or commodity pricing formulas. Furthermore, various factors beyond our control, including adverse weather conditions and governmental regulations, may also cause our food and supply costs to increase. We cannot predict whether we will be able to anticipate and react to changing food and supply costs by adjusting our purchasing practices. A failure to do so may adversely affect our operating results or cash flows from operations. We also have a single or a limited number of suppliers for certain of our commodity and supply items. Accordingly, supply chain risk may increase our costs and

limit the availability of some products that are critical to our restaurant and brewing operations.

The overall cost environment for food commodities can be volatile primarily due to domestic and worldwide agricultural supply/demand and other macroeconomic factors that are outside of our control. The availability and prices of food commodities are also influenced by energy prices, droughts, animal-related diseases, natural disasters, increased geo-political tensions, the relationship of the dollar to other currencies, government regulated tariffs and other issues. Virtually all commodities purchased and used in the restaurant industry (meats, grains, oils, dairy products, and energy) have varying amounts of inherent price volatility associated with them. Our suppliers also may be affected by higher costs to produce and transport commodities used in our restaurants and brewpubs, higher minimum wage and benefit costs, and other expenses that they pass through to their customers, which may result in higher costs for goods and services supplied to us. While we attempt to manage these factors by offering a diversified menu and by contracting for our key commodities for extended periods of time whenever feasible and possible, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in this respect due to the many factors that are outside of our control. In addition, raw materials that we may purchase on the international market are subject

to fluctuations in both the value of the U.S. dollar, government regulated tariffs and increases in local demand, which may increase our costs and negatively impact our profitability.

We and our major independent third party brewing partners purchase a substantial portion of brewing raw materials and products, primarily malt and hops, from a limited number of domestic and foreign suppliers. We purchase both North American and European malts and hops for our beers. We purchase a majority of our malts from a single supplier with multiple sources of malts. We generally enter into one-year purchase commitments with our malt and hops suppliers, based on the projected future volumes and brewing needs. We are exposed to the quality of the barley crop each year, and significant failure of a crop may adversely affect our beer costs. Changes in currency exchange rates and freight costs can also result in increased prices. There are other malt vendors available that are capable of supplying all of our needs. We use American and German hops for our beers. We enter into purchase commitments with several hops suppliers, based on our projected future volumes and brewing needs. However, the quality and availability of the hops may be materially adversely affected by factors such as adverse weather and changes in currency exchange rates, resulting in increased prices. We attempt to maintain at least six months' supply of essential hop varieties on hand in order to limit the risk of an unexpected reduction in supply. We store our hops in multiple cold storage warehouses, both at our brewpubs and at our suppliers, to minimize the impact of a catastrophe at a single site. Hops and malt are agricultural products and, therefore, many outside factors, including weather conditions, farmers rotating out of hops or barley to other crops, government regulations and legislation affecting agriculture, may affect both price and supply.

Our restaurant-level operating margins are also affected by fluctuations in the availability and cost of utilities services, such as electricity and natural gas. Interruptions in the availability of gas, electric, water or other utilities, whether due to aging infrastructure, weather conditions, fire, animal damage, trees, digging accidents or other reasons largely out of our control, may adversely affect our operations. In addition, weather patterns in recent years have resulted in lower than normal levels of rainfall in certain areas that may produce droughts in key states such as California, thus impacting the price of water and the corresponding prices of commodities grown in states facing drought conditions. There is no assurance that we will be able to maintain our utility and commodity costs at levels that do not have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Any inability or failure of distributors or suppliers to provide food and beverages to us in a timely fashion may adversely affect our reputation, customer patronage, revenues and results of operations.

We currently depend on national and regional food distribution service companies, as well as other food manufacturers and suppliers, to provide food and beverage products to all of our restaurants. We also rely on independent third party brewers and many local beer distributors to provide us with beer for our restaurants. The operations of our distributors, suppliers and independent third party brewers are subject to risks including labor disputes, financial liquidity, inclement weather, natural disasters, supply constraints, and general economic and political conditions that may limit their ability to timely provide us with acceptable products. Additionally, under the "force majeure" provisions in most of our agreements with suppliers, certain unexpected and disruptive events may excuse a supplier from performing. If our distributors, suppliers and independent third party brewers cease doing business with us, or cannot make a scheduled delivery to us, or are unable to obtain credit in a tightened credit market or experience other issues, we may experience short-term product supply shortages in some or all of our restaurants and may be required to purchase food, beer and beverage products from alternate suppliers at higher prices. We may also be forced to temporarily remove popular items from the menu offering of our restaurants. If alternative suppliers cannot meet our current product specifications, the consistency and quality of our food and beverage offerings, and thus our reputation, customer patronage, revenues and results of operations, may be adversely affected.

With respect to potential liability claims related to our food, beer and beverage products, we believe we have sufficient primary or excess umbrella liability insurance in place. However, this insurance may not continue to be

available at a reasonable cost or, if available, may not be adequate to cover all claims. We generally seek contractual indemnification and insurance coverage from our key suppliers of food, beer and beverages, but this indemnification or insurance coverage is limited, as a practical matter, by the creditworthiness of the indemnifying party and the insured limits of any insurance provided by suppliers.

Pursuant to various laws and regulations, the majority of our proprietary craft beer must be distributed to our restaurants through independent wholesale beer distributors, whether we produce the beer or it is produced by independent third party brewers. Although we currently have arrangements with a sufficient number of beer distributors in all markets where we operate restaurants, our continued national expansion will require us to enter into agreements with additional beer distributors. No assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain or secure additional beer distributors on terms favorable to us. Changes in control or ownership of the participants in our current beer distribution network may lead to less willingness on the part of certain distributors to carry our proprietary craft beer. Our beer distribution agreements are generally terminable by the distributor on short notice. While these beer distribution agreements contain provisions regarding our enforcement and termination rights, some state laws prohibit us from readily exercising these contractual rights. Our ability to maintain our existing beer distribution agreements may also be adversely affected by the fact that many of our distributors are reliant on one of the major beer producers for a large percentage of their revenue and, therefore, they may be influenced by such producers. If

our existing beer distribution agreements are terminated, we may not be able to enter into new distribution agreements on substantially similar terms or it may take some time to enter into a replacement agreement, which may result in an increase in the delivered cost of beer to our restaurants.

Our inability or failure to protect our trademarks, service marks, trade secrets or other intellectual property may adversely affect our business.

Our business prospects depend in part on our ability to develop favorable consumer recognition of our brands, including the BJ's Restaurants name in particular. Although BJ's is a federally registered trademark, there are many other retailers, restaurants and other types of businesses using the name "BJ's" in some form or fashion throughout the United States. While we intend to aggressively protect and defend our trademarks, service marks, trade dress, trade secrets and other intellectual property, particularly with respect to their use in our restaurant and brewing operations, they may be imitated or appropriated in ways that we cannot prevent. Alternatively, third parties may attempt to cause us to change our trademarks, service marks or trade dress or not operate in a certain geographic region or regions if our names are deemed confusingly similar to their prior trademarks, service marks or trade dress. We may also encounter claims from prior users of similar intellectual property in areas where we operate or intend to conduct operations. This may harm our image, brand or competitive position and cause us to incur significant penalties and costs. In addition, we rely on trade secrets, proprietary know-how, concepts and recipes. Our methods of protecting this information may not be adequate. While we believe that we take reasonable protective actions with respect to our intellectual property, these actions may not be sufficient to prevent, and we may not be aware of all incidents of, unauthorized usage or imitation by others. Moreover, we may face claims of misappropriation or infringement of third parties' rights that may interfere with our use of this information. Defending these claims may be costly and, if unsuccessful, may prevent us from continuing to use this proprietary information in the future and may result in a judgment or monetary damages. We do not maintain confidentiality and non-competition agreements with all of our employees or suppliers. Moreover, even with respect to the confidentiality and non-competition agreements we have, we cannot assure that those agreements will not be breached, that they will provide meaningful protection or that adequate remedies will be available in the event of an unauthorized use or disclosure of our proprietary information. If competitors independently develop or otherwise obtain access to our trade secrets, proprietary know-how or recipes, the appeal of our restaurants may be reduced and our business may be harmed.

Federal, state and local beer, liquor and food service regulations may adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

We are required to operate in compliance with federal laws and regulations relating to alcoholic beverages administered by the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau of the U.S. Department of Treasury, as well as the laws and licensing requirements for alcoholic beverages of states and municipalities where our restaurants are or will be located. In addition, each restaurant must obtain a food service license from local authorities. Failure to comply with federal, state or local regulations may cause our licenses to be revoked and force us to cease the brewing or sale of alcoholic beverages, or both, or the serving of food at our restaurants. Additionally, state liquor laws may prevent or impede the expansion of our restaurants into certain markets. The liquor laws of certain states prevent us from selling the beer brewed at our restaurants. Any difficulties, delays or failures in obtaining such licenses, permits or approvals may delay or prevent the opening of a restaurant in a particular area or increase the costs associated therewith. In addition, in certain states, including states where we have existing restaurants or where we plan to open a restaurant, the number of liquor licenses available is limited, and licenses are traded on the open market. Liquor, beer and wine sales comprise a significant portion of our revenues. If we are unable to maintain our existing licenses, our customer patronage, revenues and results of operations may be adversely affected. Or, if we choose to open a restaurant in those states where the number of available licenses is limited, the cost of a new license may be significant.

Brewing operations require various federal, state, and local licenses, permits and approvals. Our restaurants and on-site brewpubs operate pursuant to exceptions to the “tied house” laws, which created the “three tier system” of liquor distribution. These “tied house” laws were adopted by all of the states after the repeal of Prohibition and, generally, prohibit brewers from holding retail licenses and prohibit vertical integration in ownership and control among the three tiers. Brewing restaurants and brewpubs operate under exceptions to these general prohibitions. Over the last 25 years, nearly all of the states have adopted laws and regulations permitting brewing restaurants and brewpubs; however, the privileges and restrictions for brewpubs and brewing restaurants vary from state to state.

We apply for our liquor and brewing licenses with the advice of outside legal and licensing consultants. Generally, our brewing restaurants are licensed as retailers with limited privileges to brew beer on the restaurant premises, and we do not have the same privileges as a microbrewery. Other restrictions imposed by law may prevent us from operating both brewing restaurants and non-brewing restaurants in some states. We are at risk that a state’s regulations concerning brewing restaurants or the interpretation of these regulations may change. Because of the many and various state and federal licensing and permitting requirements, there is a significant risk that one or more regulatory agencies may determine that we have not complied with applicable licensing or permitting regulations or have not maintained the approvals necessary for us to conduct business within

its jurisdiction. Even after the issuance of our licenses, our operations may be subject to differing interpretations of the “tied house” laws and the requirements of the “three tier system” of liquor distribution in any jurisdiction that we conduct business. Any such changes in interpretation may adversely impact our current model of brewing beer or supplying beer, or both, to our restaurants in that state, and may also cause us to lose, either temporarily or permanently, the licenses, permits and registrations necessary to conduct our restaurant operations, and subject us to fines and penalties.

The manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages is a highly regulated and taxed business. Our operations are subject to more restrictive regulations and increased taxation by federal, state, and local governmental entities than are those of non-alcohol related beverage businesses. Federal, state, and local laws and regulations govern the production and distribution of beer, including permitting, licensing, trade practices, labeling, advertising, marketing, distributor relationships, and related matters. Federal, state, and local governmental entities also levy various taxes, license fees, and other similar charges and may require bonds to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Failure to comply with applicable federal, state, or local laws and regulations may result in higher taxes, penalties, fees, and suspension or revocation of permits, licenses or approvals.

Increasing the federal and/or state excise tax on alcoholic beverages, or certain types of alcoholic beverages, is frequently proposed in various jurisdictions either to increase revenues or discourage purchase by underage drinkers. If adopted, these measures may affect some or all of our proprietary craft beer products. If federal or state excise taxes are increased, we may have to raise prices to maintain our current profit margins. Higher taxes may reduce overall demand for beer, thus negatively impacting sales of our beer. Some states have also been reviewing the state tax treatment for flavored malt beverages which may result in increased costs for us, as well as decreased sales. Further federal or state regulation may be forthcoming that may further restrict the distribution and sale of alcohol products.

Any inability of our internal or independent third party brewers to timely supply our beer may adversely affect our operating results.

Our proprietary craft beer is a key factor in the success of our business. Each year, our brewing operations department forecasts our annual beer requirements based on our current restaurant requirements and expansion plans and determines our brewing production. Additionally, in certain states we are either legally required or choose to arrange for independent third party brewers to brew our beer using our proprietary recipes. If the independent third party brewers cease doing business with us, or cannot make a scheduled delivery to us because of a supply chain or production disruption or other issues, or if we cannot otherwise satisfy our internal brewing requirements, we may experience short-term supply shortages in some or all of our restaurants which may result in a loss of revenue. Potential disruptions include labor issues, governmental and regulatory actions, quality issues, contractual disputes, machinery failures or operational shut downs. Additionally, if these independent third party brewers cease doing business with us, we may be required to purchase or brew our own beer at higher costs to us, or we may not be able to sell our proprietary craft beer at all, until we are able to secure an alternative supply source. If the independent third party brewers fail to adhere to our proprietary recipe and brewing specifications, the consistency and quality of beer offerings, and thus our reputation, customer patronage, revenues and results of operations, may be adversely affected. Additionally, financial stability of those brewing operations where we currently contract for our proprietary craft beer production, as well as their ability or willingness to continue to meet our beer production requirements, continues to be a significant risk in our business model. Accordingly, there can be no guarantees that our proprietary brewing requirements will continue to be met in the future.

From time to time, we or the independent third party brewers and manufacturers may also experience shortages of kegs necessary to distribute our craft beer. We distribute our craft beer in kegs that are owned by us as well as leased from third party vendors. We are also responsible for providing kegs to the independent third party brewers that produce our proprietary craft beer.

Periodic reviews and audits of our internal brewing, independent third party brewing and beer distribution arrangements by various federal, state and local governmental and regulatory agencies may adversely affect our operations and our operating results.

Brewing and wholesale operations require various federal, state and local licenses, permits and approvals. The loss or revocation of any existing licenses, permits or approvals, and/or the failure to obtain any required additional or new licenses, permits, or approvals may have a material adverse effect on the ability of the Company to conduct its business.

We are subject to periodic audits and reviews by federal, state and local regulatory agencies related to our internal and independent third party brewing operations. We are particularly subject to extensive regulation at the federal, state and local levels. Permits, licenses and approvals necessary to the U.S. beer business are required from the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau of the United States Treasury Department (“TTB”), state alcohol beverage regulatory agencies and local authorities in some jurisdictions. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be costly. TTB permits and registrations can be suspended, revoked or otherwise adversely affected for failure to pay taxes, keep proper accounts, pay fees, bond premises, abide by federal alcoholic beverage production and distribution regulations, or notify the TTB of any material change. Permits,

licenses and approvals from state regulatory agencies can be revoked for many of the same reasons. Our operations are subject to audit and inspection by the TTB at any time. At the state and local level, some jurisdictions merely require notice of any material change in the operations, management or ownership of the permit or license holder and others require advance approvals, requiring that new licenses, permits or approvals be applied for and obtained in the event of a change in the management or ownership of the permit or license holder. State and local laws and regulations governing the sale of malt beverages and hard cider within a particular state by a supplier or wholesaler vary from locale to locale. Our operations are subject to audit and inspection by state regulatory agencies at any time. Because of the many and various state and federal licensing and permitting requirements, there is a risk that one or more regulatory agencies may determine that we have not complied with applicable licensing or permitting regulations or have not maintained the approvals necessary to conduct business within its jurisdiction.

We are routinely subject to new or modified laws and regulations for which we must comply in order to avoid fines and other penalties. From time to time, new laws and regulations are proposed that may affect the overall structure and effectiveness of the proprietary craft beer production and distribution model we currently utilize. Any such changes in interpretation may adversely impact our current model of brewing beer or supplying beer, or both, to our restaurants in that state, and may also cause us to lose, either temporarily or permanently, the licenses, permits and registrations necessary to conduct our restaurant operations, and subject us to fines and penalties.

Government laws and regulations affecting the operation of our restaurants, including but not limited to those that apply to the acquisition and maintenance of our brewing and retail liquor licenses, minimum wages, federal or state exemption rules, health insurance coverage, or other employment benefits such as paid time off, consumer health and safety, nutritional disclosures, and employment eligibility-related documentation requirements may cause disruptions to our operations, adversely affect our operating costs and restrict our growth.

Our development and construction of additional restaurants must comply with applicable zoning, land use and environmental regulations. More stringent and varied requirements of local government bodies with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors may delay construction of new restaurants and add to their cost in the future. In addition, difficulties or failure in obtaining the required licenses and approvals may delay, or result in our decision to cancel, the opening of new restaurants.

In addition, various federal and state labor laws govern our relationship with our employees and affect our operating costs. These laws include minimum wage requirements, overtime pay, meal and rest breaks, unemployment tax rates, workers' compensation rates, work eligibility requirements, employee classification as exempt/non-exempt for overtime and other purposes, immigration status and other wage and benefit requirements. In particular, we are subject to the regulations of the ICE branch of the United States Department of Homeland Security. In addition, some states in which we operate have adopted immigration employment protection laws. Changes to these aforementioned laws or other employment laws or regulations, may adversely affect our operating results and thus restrict our growth, including additional government-imposed increases in minimum wages, overtime pay, paid time off or leaves of absence, mandated health benefits, increased tax reporting and tax payment requirements for employees who receive gratuities, a reduction in the number of states that allow tips to be credited toward minimum wage requirements and increased employee litigation, including claims relating to the Fair Labor Standards Act and comparable state laws.

The U.S. Congress and Department of Homeland Security from time to time consider and may implement changes to federal immigration laws, regulations or enforcement programs. Some of these changes may increase our obligations for compliance and oversight, which may subject us to additional costs and make our hiring process more cumbersome, or reduce the availability of potential employees. We currently participate in the "E-Verify" program, an Internet-based, free program run by the U.S. government, to verify employment eligibility for all employees throughout our company. However, use of E-Verify does not guarantee that we will properly identify all employees who are ineligible for employment. Even if we operate our restaurants in strict compliance with ICE and state

requirements, some of our employees may not meet federal work eligibility or residency requirements, which may lead to a disruption in our work force. Although we require all of our new employees to provide us with the government-specified documentation evidencing their employment eligibility, some of our employees may, without our knowledge, be unauthorized workers. Unauthorized workers are subject to seizure and deportation and may subject us to fines, penalties or loss of our business license in certain jurisdictions. Additionally, a government audit may result in a disruption to our workforce or adverse publicity that may negatively impact our brand and our use of E-Verify and/or potential for receipt of letters from the Social Security Administration requesting information (commonly referred to as no-match letters) may make it more difficult to recruit and/or retain qualified employees.

Potential changes in labor laws or increased union recruiting activities may result in portions of our workforce being subjected to greater organized labor influence. Because we do not franchise, risks associated with hiring and maintaining a large workforce, including increases in wage rates or the cost of employee benefits, compliance with laws and regulations related to the hiring, payment and termination of employees, and employee-related litigation, may be more pronounced for us than for

restaurant companies at which some or all of these risks are borne by franchisees or other operating contractors. Additionally, while we do not currently have any unionized employees, union organizers have engaged in efforts to organize employees of other restaurant companies. If a significant portion of our employees were to become union organized, our labor costs may increase and our efforts to maintain a culture appealing only to top-performing employees may be impaired. Potential changes in labor laws, including the possible passage of legislation designed to make it easier for employees to unionize, may increase the likelihood of some or all of our employees being subjected to greater organized labor influence, and may have an adverse effect on our business and financial results by imposing requirements that may potentially increase our costs, reduce our flexibility, impact our employee culture and our ability to service our customers. In addition, a labor dispute involving some or all of our employees may harm our reputation, disrupt our operations and reduce our revenues and resolution of disputes may increase our costs.

Additionally, some states, counties and cities have enacted menu labeling laws which are separate of the federally mandated menu labeling law that is part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. Non-compliance with these laws may result in the imposition of fines and/or the closure of restaurants. We may also be subject to lawsuits that claim our non-compliance. These menu labeling laws may also result in changing consumer preferences which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial position. We may not be able to adequately adapt our menu offerings to keep pace with developments in current consumer preferences related to nutrition, which may adversely impact our sales.

Some jurisdictions in which we operate have recently enacted new requirements that require us to adopt and implement a HACCP system for managing food safety and quality. HACCP refers to a management system in which food safety is addressed through the analysis and control of potential hazards from production, procurement and handling, to manufacturing, distribution and consumption of the finished product. We expect to incur certain costs to comply with these regulations, and these costs may be more than we anticipate. If we fail to comply with these laws or regulations, our business may experience a material adverse effect.

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in public accommodations and employment. Although our restaurants are designed to be accessible to the disabled, we may be required to make modifications to our restaurants to provide service to, or make reasonable accommodations for, disabled persons. Non-compliance with this law and related laws enacted at the state or local level may result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants.

The collective impact of current laws and regulations, the effect of future changes in laws or regulations that impose additional requirements and the consequences of litigation relating to current or future laws and regulations, or our inability to respond effectively to significant regulatory or public policy issues, may increase our compliance and other costs of doing business and therefore have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Failure to comply with the laws and regulatory requirements of federal, state and local authorities may result in, among other things, revocation of required licenses, administrative enforcement actions, fines and civil and criminal liability. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be costly and can increase our exposure to litigation or governmental investigations or proceedings.

Any limitations in our insurance coverage or rising insurance costs may adversely affect our business or financial conditions.

We purchase comprehensive insurance coverage, including, but not limited to, property, casualty, directors and officers liability and network privacy and security liability with coverage levels that we consider appropriate, based on the advice of our outside insurance and risk management advisors. However, such insurance is subject to limitations, including deductibles, exclusions and maximum liabilities covered. The cost of insurance fluctuates based on market conditions and availability as well as our historical loss trends. Moreover, there are certain types of losses that may be

uninsurable or not economically insurable. Such hazards may include earthquake, hurricane and flood losses and certain employment practices. If such a loss should occur, we would, to the extent that we were not covered for such loss by insurance, suffer a loss of the capital invested, as well as anticipated profits and cash flow. Punitive damage awards are generally not covered by insurance; thus, any awards of punitive damages as to which we may be liable may adversely affect our ability to continue to conduct our business, to expand our operations or to develop additional restaurants. There is no assurance that any insurance coverage we maintain will be adequate, that we can continue to obtain and maintain such insurance at all or that the premium costs will not rise to an extent that they adversely affect us or our ability to economically obtain or maintain such insurance.

We retain a substantial portion of our workers' compensation and general liability costs through self-insured retentions and large deductibles. We estimate the liability for these programs through the use of third party actuarial analysis. Any unfavorable changes in trends or any increase in the actual dollar amount of claims that we incur may have a negative impact on our profitability. Our self-insured retention and large deductible reserves may not be sufficient causing us to record additional expense. Unanticipated changes may produce materially different financial results than previously reported which may have an adverse impact on operations. Additionally, health insurance costs have risen significantly over the past few years and are expected to continue to increase. These increases may have a negative impact on our profitability if we are not able to

offset the effect of such increases with plan modifications and cost control measures, or by continuing to improve our operating efficiencies.

Any inability to retain key personnel or difficulties in recruiting qualified personnel may adversely affect our business until a suitable replacement is found.

The success of our business continues to depend on the contributions of our senior management team, both individually and as a group. Our senior executives have been instrumental in setting our strategic direction, operating our business, identifying, recruiting and training key personnel, identifying expansion opportunities and arranging necessary financing. Losing the services of any of these individuals may materially adversely affect our business until a suitable replacement is found. We believe that these individuals cannot easily be replaced with executives of equal experience and capabilities. Although we have an employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer, we cannot prevent him from terminating his employment with us.

Additionally, when unemployment levels are at historic lows as they currently are, it is difficult and more expensive for us to fully staff our restaurants. While we do our best to avoid business interruptions in our operating restaurants as well as delays in opening our new restaurants, there is no guarantee or assurance that we can avoid this in the future.

Litigation, including allegations of illegal, unfair or inconsistent employment practices may have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business is subject to the risk of litigation by employees, customers, suppliers, shareholders, government agencies or others through private actions, class or collective actions, administrative proceedings, regulatory actions or other litigation. These actions and proceedings may involve allegations of illegal, unfair or inconsistent employment practices, including wage and hour violations and employment discrimination; customer discrimination; food safety issues including poor food quality, food-borne illness, food tampering, food contamination, and adverse health effects from consumption of various food products or high-calorie foods (including obesity); other personal injury; violation of “dram-shop” laws (providing an injured party with recourse against an establishment that serves alcoholic beverages to an intoxicated party who then causes injury to himself or a third party); trademark or patent infringement; violation of the federal securities laws; or other concerns. The outcome of litigation, particularly class action lawsuits and regulatory actions, is difficult to assess or quantify. Plaintiffs in these types of lawsuits may seek recovery of very large or indeterminate amounts, and the magnitude of the potential loss relating to such lawsuits may remain unknown for substantial periods of time. The cost to defend litigation may be significant. There may also be adverse publicity associated with litigation that may decrease customer acceptance of our brands, regardless of whether the allegations are valid or we ultimately are found liable. Litigation may impact our operations in other ways as well. Allegations of illegal, unfair or inconsistent employment practices, for example, may adversely affect employee acquisition and retention. Also, some employment related claims in the area of wage and hour disputes are not insurable risks. We also are subject to claims and disputes from landlords under our leases, which may lead to litigation or a threatened or actual lease termination. Litigation of any nature may be expensive to defend and may divert money and management’s attention from our operations and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The occurrence or threat of extraordinary events, including terrorist attacks, may cause consumer spending to decline and adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

The occurrence or threat of extraordinary events, including future terrorist attacks and military and governmental responses and the prospect of future wars, may result in negative changes to economic conditions likely resulting in decreased consumer spending. Additionally, decreases in consumer discretionary spending may impact the frequency with which our customers choose to dine out at restaurants or the amount they spend on meals while dining out at restaurants, thereby adversely affecting our sales and results of operations. A decrease in consumer discretionary

spending may also adversely affect our ability to achieve the benefit of planned menu price increases to help preserve our operating margins.

Any adverse weather conditions, seasonal fluctuations, natural disasters and effects of climate change may adversely affect our results of operations.

The occurrence of natural disasters, such as fires, hurricanes, freezing weather or earthquakes (particularly in California where our centralized operating systems and restaurant support center administrative personnel are located) may unfavorably affect our operations and financial performance. In addition, climate change may increase the frequency and severity of weather-related events and conditions, such as drought and forest fires. Any of the foregoing events may result in physical damage, temporary or permanent closure, lack of an adequate work force, or temporary or long-term disruption in the supply of food, beverages, electric, water, sewer and waste disposal services necessary for our restaurants or restaurant support center to operate.

We have disaster recovery procedures and business continuity plans in place to address most events of a crisis nature, including hurricanes and other natural disasters, including back up and off-site locations for recovery of electronic and other forms of

data and information. However, if we are unable to fully implement our disaster recovery plans, we may experience delays in recovery of data, inability to perform vital corporate functions, tardiness in required reporting and compliance, failures to adequately support field operations and other breakdowns in normal communication and operating procedures that may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operation and exposure to administrative and other legal claims.

Any future changes in financial accounting standards may significantly change our reported results of operations.

Generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (“AICPA”), the SEC and various bodies formed to promulgate and interpret appropriate accounting principles. A change in these principles or interpretations may have a significant effect on our reported financial results and may affect the reporting of transactions completed before the announcement of a change. In addition, the SEC has announced a multi-year plan that may ultimately lead to the use of International Financial Reporting Standards by U.S. issuers in their SEC filings. Any such change may have a significant effect on our reported financial results.

Additionally, our assumptions, estimates and judgments related to complex accounting matters may significantly affect our financial results. Generally accepted accounting principles and related accounting pronouncements, implementation guidelines and interpretations with regard to a wide range of matters that are relevant to our business, including but not limited to, revenue recognition, fair value of investments, impairment of long-lived assets, leases and related economic transactions, intangibles, self-insurance, income taxes, property and equipment, unclaimed property laws and litigation, and stock-based compensation are highly complex and involve many subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by us. Changes in these rules or their interpretation or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by us may significantly change our reported or expected financial performance.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile and our shareholders may lose all or part of their investment.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and our shareholders may not be able to resell their shares at or above the price they paid for them. Those fluctuations may be based on various factors in addition to those otherwise described in this Form 10-K and the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in comparable restaurant sales or operating results, whether in our operations or in those of our competitors;
- changes in financial estimates or opinions by research analysts, either with respect to us or other casual dining companies;
- any failure to meet investor or analyst expectations, particularly with respect to total restaurant operating weeks, number of restaurant openings, comparable restaurant sales, average weekly sales per restaurant, total revenues, operating margins and net income per share;
- the public’s reaction to our press releases, other public announcements and our filings with the SEC;
- actual or anticipated changes in domestic or worldwide economic, political or market conditions, such as recessions or international currency fluctuations;
- changes in the consumer spending environment;
- terrorist acts;
- changes in laws or regulations, or new interpretations or applications of laws and regulations, that are applicable to our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- short sales, hedging and other derivative transactions in the shares of our common stock;
- future sales or issuances of our common stock, including sales or issuances by us, our directors or executive officers and our significant shareholders;

our dividend policy;
changes in the market valuations of other restaurant companies;
actions by shareholders;

28

- various market factors or perceived market factors, including rumors, involving us, our suppliers and distributors, whether accurate or not;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new locations, menu items, technological advances, significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, divestitures, joint ventures or other strategic initiatives;
- the addition or loss of a key member of management; and
- changes in the costs or availability of key inputs to our operations.

In addition, we cannot assure that an active trading market for our common stock will continue which may affect our stock price and the liquidity of any investment in our common stock.

The trading market for our common stock is influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us, our business and our industry. If one or more analysts adversely change their recommendation regarding our shares or our competitors' stock, our share price would likely decline. If one or more analysts cease coverage of us or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we may lose visibility in the financial markets which, in turn, may cause our share price or trading volume to decline.

In addition, our stock price can be influenced by trading activity in our common stock or trading activity in derivative instruments with respect to our common stock as a result of market commentary (including commentary that may be unreliable or incomplete in some cases); changes in expectations about our business, our creditworthiness or investor confidence generally; actions by shareholders and others seeking to influence our business strategies; portfolio transactions in our stock by significant shareholders; or trading activity that results from the ordinary course rebalancing of stock indices in which our stock may be included.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholders have often instituted securities class action litigation against those companies. Such litigation, if instituted, may result in substantial costs and a diversion of management attention and resources, which would significantly harm our profitability and reputation.

Any inability to continue to pay cash dividends may negatively impact investor confidence in us and negatively impact our stock price.

Our dividend program requires the use of a substantial amount of our free cash flow. Our ability to pay our dividends over time will depend on our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations and capacity to borrow funds, which may be subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors that are beyond our control. Our credit facility limits cash distributions with respect to our equity interests, such as cash dividends and share repurchases, based on a defined ratio. Any failure to pay or increase our dividends over time may negatively impact investor confidence in us, and may negatively impact our stock price.

Any failure to establish, maintain and apply adequate internal control over our financial reporting may adversely affect our reported results of operations.

We are subject to the ongoing internal control provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules adopted by the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. These provisions provide for the identification of material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting, which is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of our financial statements would be prevented or detected. Should we identify a material weakness in internal controls, there can be no assurance that we will be able to remediate the material weaknesses identified in a timely manner or maintain all of the controls necessary to remain in compliance. Any failure to maintain an effective system of internal controls over financial reporting may limit our ability to report our

financial results accurately and timely or to detect and prevent fraud. Any such failure may subject us to adverse regulatory consequences, including sanctions by the SEC or violations of applicable stock exchange listing rules, or cause a breach of certain covenants under our financing arrangements. There also may be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of investor confidence in us and the reliability of our financial statements. Confidence in the reliability of our financial statements also may suffer if we or our independent registered public accounting firm were to report a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting. This may materially adversely affect us and lead to a decline in the price of our common stock.

Heavy dependence of our operations, including our loyalty and employee engagement programs, on information technology may adversely affect our revenues and impair our ability to efficiently operate our business if there is a material failure of such technology.

We rely heavily on electronic information systems in all aspects of our operations, including (but not limited to) point-of-sale transaction processing in our restaurants; efficient operation of our restaurant kitchens; management of our inventories and overall supply chain; collection of cash; payment of payroll and other obligations; and, various other processes and procedures including our customer loyalty and employee engagement programs. Our ability to efficiently manage our business depends significantly on the reliability and capacity of our in-house information systems and those technology services and systems that we contract for from third parties. Our electronic information systems, including our back-up systems, are subject to damage or interruption from power outages, cyber-attacks, computer and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, internal or external security breaches, catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, tornadoes and hurricanes, and/or errors by our employees. The failure of any of these systems to operate effectively, any problems with their maintenance, any issues with upgrades or transitions to replacement systems, or any breaches in data security may cause material interruptions to our operations or harm to individuals in the form of identity theft or improper use of personal information. While we have invested and continue to invest in technology security initiatives and disaster recovery plans, these measures cannot fully insulate us from technology disruption that may result in adverse effects on operations and profits. Although we, with the help of third party service providers and consultants, intend to maintain and upgrade our security technology and establish operational procedures to prevent such damage, breaches, or attacks, there can be no assurance that these security measures will be successful. In addition, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments may result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms we and our third party service providers use to encrypt and protect customer transaction data. A failure of such security measures may harm our reputation and financial results, as well as subject us to litigation or actions by regulatory authorities. Significant capital investments might be required to remediate any problems, infringements, misappropriations or other third party claims.

Any failure or inability of our third party technology-based vendors to comply with applicable privacy laws and regulations or maintain secure systems may adversely affect our financial performance.

Some of our essential business processes that are dependent on technology are outsourced to third parties. Such processes include, but are not limited to, gift card tracking and authorization, on-line ordering, credit card authorization and processing, certain components of our “BJ’s Premier Rewards” customer loyalty program, certain insurance claims processing, payroll processing, web site hosting and maintenance, data warehousing and business intelligence services, point-of-sale system maintenance, certain tax filings, telecommunications services, web-based labor scheduling and other key processes. We make a diligent effort to ensure that all providers of outsourced services are observing proper internal control practices, such as redundant processing facilities; however, there are no guarantees that failures will not occur. If the security and information systems that our outsourced third party providers use to store or process such information are compromised or if such third parties otherwise fail to comply with applicable privacy laws and regulations, we may face litigation and the imposition of penalties that may adversely affect our financial performance. Our reputation as a brand or as an employer may also be adversely affected from these types of security breaches or regulatory violations, which may impair our sales or ability to attract and keep qualified employees.

We may incur costs resulting from security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer information.

We accept electronic payment cards from our customers for payment in our restaurants. A number of restaurant operators and retailers have experienced actual or potential security breaches in which credit and debit card

information may have been stolen in addition to other personal information such as our customer's names, email addresses, home addresses and phone numbers. While we have taken reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of security breaches in this respect, we may, in the future, become subject to claims for purportedly fraudulent transactions arising out of the actual or alleged theft of credit or debit card information, and we may also be subject to lawsuits or other proceedings in the future relating to these types of incidents. Proceedings related to theft of credit or debit card information may be brought by payment card providers, banks and credit unions that issue cards, cardholders (either individually or as part of a class action lawsuit) and federal and state regulators. Any such proceedings may distract our management from running our business and cause us to incur significant unplanned losses and expenses. Additionally, any adverse publicity related to any security breaches or any stolen personal identification from credit and debit card information or other personal information such as our customer's or employee names, email addresses, home addresses and phone numbers may negatively affect our sales, profitability and reputation. We also receive and maintain certain personal information about our customers and employees. The use of this information by us is regulated at the federal and state levels. If our security and information systems are compromised or our employees fail to comply with these laws and regulations and this information is obtained by unauthorized persons or used inappropriately, it may adversely affect our reputation, as well as results of operations, and may result in litigation against us or the imposition of penalties. In

addition, our ability to accept credit cards as payment in our restaurants and on-line store depends on us remaining in compliance with standards set by the PCI Security Standards Council. These standards, set by a consortium of the major credit card companies, require certain levels of system security and procedures to protect our customers' credit card and other personal information. Privacy and information security laws and regulations change over time, and compliance with those changes may result in cost increases due to necessary systems and process changes.

Periodic audits of our federal, state and local tax returns by the taxing authorities may result in tax assessments or penalties that may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial position.

We are subject to federal, state and local taxes. Significant judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, if the IRS or other taxing authority disagrees with the positions we have taken on our tax returns, we may have additional tax liability, including interest and penalties. If material, payment of such additional amounts, upon final adjudication of any disputes, may have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. The cost of complying with new tax rules, laws or regulations may be significant. Increases in federal or state statutory tax rates and other changes in tax laws, rules or regulations may increase our effective tax rate. Any increase in our effective tax rate may have a material impact on our financial results.

Unsolicited takeover proposals, governance change proposals, proxy contests and certain proposals/actions by activist investors may create additional risks and uncertainties with respect to the Company's financial position, operations, strategies and management, and may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key employees. Any perceived uncertainties may affect the market price and volatility of our securities.

Public companies in the restaurant industry have been the target of unsolicited takeover proposals in the past. In the event that a third party, such as a competitor, private equity firm or activist investor makes an unsolicited takeover proposal, or proposes to change our governance policies or board of directors, or makes other proposals concerning the Company's ownership structure or operations, our review and consideration of such proposals may be a significant distraction for our management and employees, and may require us to expend significant time and resources. Such proposals may create uncertainty for our employees' additional risks and uncertainties with respect to the Company's financial position, operations, strategies and management, and may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key employees. Any perceived uncertainties as to our future direction also may affect the market price and volatility of our securities.

Any suspension of or failure to pay regular dividends or repurchase the Company's stock up to the maximum amounts permitted under our previously announced repurchase program, either of which may negatively impact investor perception of us and may affect the market price and volatility of our stock.

Our ability to pay regular dividends or repurchase stock will depend on our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, as supplemented by proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options and our capacity to borrow funds, which may be subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors that are beyond our control. The inability to pay regular dividends or complete stock repurchases under our previously announced repurchase program may negatively impact investor perception of us, and may therefore affect the market price and volatility of our stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

RESTAURANT LOCATIONS

As of February 25, 2019, we operated a total of 202 restaurants located in the following 27 states:

31

	Number of Restaurants
Alabama	2
Arizona	6
Arkansas	2
California	63
Colorado	5
Florida	22
Indiana	5
Kansas	1
Kentucky	3
Louisiana	3
Maryland	6
Michigan	2
Nevada	5
New Jersey	1
New Mexico	2
New York	3
North Carolina	2
Ohio	12
Oklahoma	3
Oregon	3
Pennsylvania	4
Rhode Island	1
South Carolina	1
Tennessee	1
Texas	34
Virginia	6
Washington	4
	202

The average interior square footage of our restaurants is approximately 8,100 square feet. Many of our restaurants also have outdoor patios that are utilized when weather conditions permit.

As of February 25, 2019, 201 of our 202 existing restaurants are located on leased properties. We own the underlying land for one of our existing restaurants, two of our restaurants that will be opened in fiscal 2019 and our Texas brewpub locations. We also own two parcels of land adjacent to two of our operating restaurants. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew expiring leases after the expiration of all remaining renewal options. Most of our restaurant leases provide for contingent rent based on a percentage of restaurant sales (to the extent this amount exceeds a minimum base rent) and payment of certain occupancy-related expenses. We own substantially all of the equipment, furnishings and trade fixtures in our restaurants. Our restaurant support center (“RSC”) is located in an approximate 56,000 square foot leased space in Huntington Beach, California. Our RSC lease expires August 31, 2024.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a summary of legal proceedings.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

32

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

MARKET INFORMATION

Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "BJRI." As of February 22, 2019, we had approximately 65 shareholders of record and we estimate that there were approximately 15,000 beneficial shareholders.

STOCK-PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The Company has elected to use the S&P 600 Restaurant Index as its peer group for fiscal year 2018 versus the custom peer group it has used in prior years. The Company believes the S&P 600 Restaurant Index is a better and more reliable indicator of its peers, especially in light of the fact that individual peers may be acquired or sold periodically.

The following chart compares the five-year cumulative total stock performance of our common stock, the S&P 600 Restaurant Index, the S&P 500 Index and the Company's prior peer group. The prior peer group consists of: Bloomin' Brands, Inc., Brinker International, Inc., The Cheesecake Factory Incorporated, Chuy's Holdings, Inc., Darden Restaurants, Inc., Famous Dave's of America, Inc., Kona Grill, Inc., Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc., and Texas Roadhouse, Inc. (Class A). These companies all compete in the "casual dining" segment of the restaurant industry. In February 2018 and May 2018, Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc. and Bravo Brio Restaurant Group, respectively, became private companies and therefore were removed from the peer group for fiscal year 2018.

The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2013, in our common stock and in each of the indices and that all dividends were reinvested. The measurement points utilized in the graph consist of the last trading day in each calendar year, which closely approximates the last day of our respective fiscal year. The historical stock performance presented below is not intended to and may not be indicative of future stock performance.

CALCULATION OF AGGREGATE MARKET VALUE OF NON-AFFILIATE SHARES

For purposes of calculating the aggregate market value of shares of our common stock held by non-affiliates as set forth on the cover page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have assumed that all outstanding shares are held by non-affiliates, except for shares held by each of our executive officers, directors and 5% or greater shareholders. In the case of 5% or greater

shareholders, we have not deemed such shareholders to be affiliates unless there are facts and circumstances which would indicate that such shareholders exercise any control over our Company, or unless they hold 10% or more of our outstanding common stock. These assumptions should not be deemed to constitute an admission that all executive officers, directors and 5% or greater shareholders are, in fact, affiliates of our Company, or that there are no other persons who may be deemed to be affiliates of our Company. Further information concerning shareholdings of our officers, directors and principal shareholders is included or incorporated by reference in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We have a shareholder approved stock-based compensation plan, the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (“the Plan”), under which we may issue shares of our common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. Under the Plan, we have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock units. The following table provides information about the shares of our common stock that may be issued upon exercise of awards as of January 1, 2019 (share numbers in thousands):

	Number of Securities		Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Stock-Based Compensation Plans
	to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Stock Options	
Stock-based compensation plans approved by shareholders	1,187	\$ 38.14	430
Stock-based compensation plans not approved by shareholders	—	—	—
Total	1,187		430

DIVIDEND POLICY AND STOCK REPURCHASES

Since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2017, we have paid quarterly cash dividends. While we intend to continue to pay quarterly cash dividends in future periods, any decisions to pay or to increase or decrease cash dividends will be reviewed and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion. Debt instruments that we enter into in the future may contain, covenants that place limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay.

As of January 1, 2019, we have cumulatively repurchased shares valued at approximately \$377.8 million in accordance with our approved share repurchase plan. Approximately \$20.3 million of these shares were repurchased during fiscal 2018. The share repurchases were executed through open market purchases, and future share repurchases may be completed through the combination of individually negotiated transactions, accelerated share buyback, and/or open market purchases. As January 1, 2019, we have approximately \$22.2 million available under the current \$400 million share repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors. Our Credit Facility does not contain any restrictions on the amount of borrowings that can be used to make share repurchases as long as we are in compliance with our financial and non-financial covenants.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the repurchase of common shares during fiscal 2018:

Period (1)	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Announced Plans	Increase in Dollars for Share Repurchase Authorization	Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or
					Programs
01/03/18 – 01/30/18	25,766	\$ 36.85	25,766	\$ —	\$41,593,499
01/31/18 – 02/27/18	22,449	\$ 36.83	22,449	\$ —	\$40,766,591
02/28/18 – 04/03/18	86,399	\$ 43.86	86,399	\$ —	\$36,976,773
04/04/18 – 05/01/18	14,031	\$ 44.62	14,031	\$ —	\$36,350,665
05/02/18 – 05/29/18	9,498	\$ 52.50	9,498	\$ —	\$35,852,051
05/30/18 – 07/03/18	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$35,852,051
07/04/18 – 07/31/18	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$35,852,051
08/01/18 – 08/28/18	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$35,852,051
08/29/18 – 10/02/18	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$35,852,051
10/03/18 – 10/30/18	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$35,852,051
10/31/18 – 11/27/18	57,827	\$ 59.21	57,827	\$ —	\$32,428,197
11/28/18 – 01/01/19	189,196	\$ 54.00	189,196	\$ —	\$22,212,098
Total	405,166		405,166		

(1) Period information is presented in accordance with our fiscal months during fiscal 2018.

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data for the five fiscal years ended January 1, 2019, are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. All fiscal years presented consist of 52 weeks with the exception of fiscal year 2016 which consists of 53 weeks. This selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and other financial information included elsewhere in this report.

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

	Fiscal Year				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues	\$1,116,948	\$1,031,782	\$993,052	\$919,597	\$845,569
Restaurant operating costs (excluding depreciation and amortization):					
Cost of sales	281,953	268,707	251,460	226,942	212,979
Labor and benefits	400,745	371,220	345,370	317,050	298,703
Occupancy and operating	239,446	219,863	204,583	192,739	182,149
General and administrative	60,449	55,447	55,406	53,827	51,558
Depreciation and amortization	70,439	68,665	64,275	59,417	55,387
Restaurant opening	2,298	3,873	6,977	6,562	4,973
Loss on disposal and impairment of assets	4,048	4,775	2,971	2,908	1,963
Gain on lease termination, net	—	—	—	(2,910)	—
Natural disaster and related	—	905	—	—	—
Severance and legal settlements	—	423	369	—	2,431
Total costs and expenses	1,059,378	993,878	931,411	856,535	810,143
Income from operations	57,570	37,904	61,641	63,062	35,426
Other (expense) income:					
Interest expense, net	(4,838)	(4,501)	(1,730)	(1,015)	(238)
Other (expense) income, net	(735)	1,987	1,180	60	1,135
Total other (expense) income	(5,573)	(2,514)	(550)	(955)	897
Income before income taxes	51,997	35,390	61,091	62,107	36,323
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,187	(9,390)	15,534	16,782	8,926
Net income	\$50,810	\$44,780	\$45,557	\$45,325	\$27,397
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$2.42	\$2.10	\$1.91	\$1.76	\$0.99
Diluted	\$2.35	\$2.06	\$1.88	\$1.73	\$0.97
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:					
Basic	20,958	21,374	23,824	25,718	27,710
Diluted	21,584	21,772	24,233	26,231	28,316
Consolidated Balance Sheets Data (end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$29,224	\$24,335	\$22,761	\$34,604	\$30,683
Total assets	\$695,107	\$683,550	\$691,312	\$681,665	\$647,083
Total long-term debt (including current portion)	\$95,000	\$163,500	\$148,000	\$100,500	\$58,000
Shareholders' equity	\$309,221	\$258,729	\$274,897	\$316,483	\$348,689

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL

As of February 25, 2019, we owned and operated 202 restaurants located in 27 states as described in Item 2 - Properties - “Restaurant Locations” in this Form 10-K. Our proprietary craft beer is produced at several of our locations, our Temple, Texas brewpub locations and by independent third party brewers using our proprietary recipes. Our menu features BJ’s award winning, signature deep-dish pizza, our proprietary craft and other beers, as well as a wide selection of appetizers, entrées, pastas, sandwiches, specialty salads and desserts, including our Pizookie® dessert.

36

We intend to continue opening new BJ's restaurants in high profile locations within densely populated areas in both existing and new markets. Since most of our established restaurants currently operate close to full capacity during the peak demand periods of lunch and dinner, and given our relatively high average sales per productive square foot, over the long-term we do not expect to achieve sustained increases in comparable restaurant sales in excess of our increase in average check for our mature restaurants, assuming we are able to retain our customer traffic levels in those restaurants. Therefore, we currently expect that the majority of our year-over-year revenue growth for fiscal 2019 will be derived from new restaurant openings, the carryover impact of partial-year openings during fiscal 2018, off-premise sales growth and increases in our average check.

Newly opened restaurants typically experience inefficiencies in the form of higher cost of sales, labor and direct operating and occupancy costs for several months after their opening relative to our more mature, established restaurants. Accordingly, the number and timing of new restaurant openings have had, and are expected to continue to have, an impact on restaurant opening expenses, cost of sales, labor and occupancy and operating expenses. Additionally, restaurant openings in new markets may experience even greater inefficiencies for several months, if not longer, due to lower initial sales volumes, which results from initially low consumer awareness levels, and a lack of supply chain and other operating cost leverage until additional restaurants can be opened in those markets.

Our revenues are comprised of food and beverage sales at our restaurants. Revenues from restaurant sales are recognized when payment is tendered at the point of sale. Amounts paid with a credit card are recorded in accounts and other receivables until payment is collected. Gift card sales are recorded as a liability and recognized as revenues upon redemption in our restaurants. Estimated gift card breakage is recorded as revenue and recognized in proportion to our historical redemption pattern. The estimated gift card breakage is based on when the likelihood of redemption becomes remote, which has typically been 24 months after the original gift card issuance date. For our customer loyalty program, we allocate the transaction price between the goods delivered and the future goods that will be delivered, on a relative standalone selling price basis, and defer the revenues allocated to the points until such points are redeemed.

All of our restaurants are Company-owned. In calculating comparable restaurant sales, we include a restaurant in the comparable base once it has been open for 18 months. Customer traffic for our restaurants is estimated based on customer checks.

Cost of sales is comprised of food and beverage costs, including the cost to produce and distribute our proprietary craft beer, soda and ciders. The components of cost of sales are variable and typically fluctuate directly with sales volumes, but may be impacted by changes in commodity prices, a shift in sales mix to higher cost proteins or other higher cost items, or varying levels of promotional activities.

Labor and benefit costs include direct hourly and management wages, bonuses, payroll taxes, fringe benefits and stock-based compensation and workers' compensation expense that is directly related to restaurant level employees.

Occupancy and operating expenses include restaurant supplies, credit card fees, third party delivery company commissions, marketing costs, fixed rent, percentage rent, common area maintenance charges, utilities, real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance and other related restaurant costs.

General and administrative costs include all corporate, field supervision and administrative functions that support existing operations and provide infrastructure to facilitate our future growth. Components of this category include corporate management, field supervision and corporate hourly staff salaries and related employee benefits (including stock-based compensation expense and cash-based incentive compensation), travel and relocation costs, information systems, the cost to recruit and train new restaurant management employees, corporate rent, certain brand marketing-related expenses and legal, professional and consulting fees.

Depreciation and amortization are composed primarily of depreciation of capital expenditures for restaurant and brewing equipment and leasehold improvements.

Restaurant opening expenses, which are expensed as incurred, consist of the costs of hiring and training the initial hourly work force for each new restaurant, travel, the cost of food and supplies used in training, grand opening promotional costs, the cost of the initial stock of operating supplies and other direct costs related to the opening of a restaurant, including rent during the construction and in-restaurant training period.

While we currently expect to pursue the renewal of substantially all of our expiring restaurant leases, there is no guarantee that we can obtain a new lease that is satisfactory to our landlord and us or that, if renewed, rents will not increase substantially.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, our Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as percentages of total revenues. All fiscal years presented consist of 52 weeks with the exception of fiscal year 2016 which consists of 53 weeks. Percentages below may not reconcile due to rounding.

	Fiscal Year				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Restaurant operating costs (excluding depreciation and amortization):					
Cost of sales	25.2	26.0	25.3	24.7	25.2
Labor and benefits	35.9	36.0	34.8	34.5	35.3
Occupancy and operating	21.4	21.3	20.6	21.0	21.5
General and administrative	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.9	6.1
Depreciation and amortization	6.3	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.6
Restaurant opening	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.6
Loss on disposal and impairment of assets	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2
Gain on lease termination, net	—	—	—	(0.3)	—
Natural disaster and related	—	0.1	—	—	—
Severance and legal settlements	—	—	—	—	0.3
Total costs and expenses	94.8	96.3	93.8	93.1	95.8
Income from operations	5.2	3.7	6.2	6.9	4.2
Other (expense) income:					
Interest expense, net	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.1)	—
Other (expense) income, net	(0.1)	0.2	0.1	—	0.1
Total other (expense) income	(0.5)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	0.1
Income before income taxes	4.7	3.4	6.2	6.8	4.3
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.1	(0.9)	1.6	1.8	1.1
Net income	4.5 %	4.3 %	4.6 %	4.9 %	3.2 %

52 WEEKS ENDED JANUARY 1, 2019 (FISCAL 2018) COMPARED TO THE 52 WEEKS ENDED JANUARY 2, 2018 (FISCAL 2017)

Revenues. Total revenues increased by \$85.2 million, or 8.3%, to \$1.1 billion during fiscal 2018, from \$1.0 billion during fiscal 2017. The increase in revenues primarily consisted of a \$32.4 million increase in sales from new restaurants not yet in our comparable restaurant sales base, a 5.3%, or \$52.3 million, increase in comparable restaurant sales and \$1.4 million in gift card breakage revenue, offset by \$0.9 million of revenues which have been deferred until the related loyalty points are redeemed in accordance with ASU 2016-10, which was adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2018. The increase in comparable restaurant sales resulted from an increase in average check of approximately 3.7%, coupled with an increase in customer traffic of approximately 1.6%.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by \$13.2 million, or 4.9%, to \$282.0 million during fiscal 2018, from \$268.7 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was primarily due to the opening of five new restaurants during fiscal 2018. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales decreased to 25.2% for fiscal 2018 from 26.0% for the prior fiscal year. This percentage decrease is primarily due to higher menu pricing, improved operational efficiencies related to our slow roast menu and less discounting compared to prior year.

Labor and Benefits. Labor and benefit costs for our restaurants increased by \$29.5 million, or 8.0%, to \$400.7 million during fiscal 2018, from \$371.2 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was primarily due to the opening of five new

restaurants during fiscal 2018. As a percentage of revenues, labor and benefit costs decreased slightly to 35.9% for fiscal 2018 from 36.0% for the prior fiscal year. This was primarily due to our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses from comparable restaurant sales increases, offset by higher restaurant incentive compensation and higher management and hourly wages, as well as increases in workers compensation costs. During fiscal 2019, we expect continued cost pressures related to minimum wage increases as well as tightening labor market conditions. Included in labor and benefits for fiscal 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, or 0.2% of revenues, of stock-based compensation expense related to equity awards granted in accordance with our Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program for certain restaurant management employees.

Occupancy and Operating. Occupancy and operating expenses increased by \$19.6 million, or 8.9%, to \$239.4 million during fiscal 2018, from \$219.9 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was primarily due to the opening of five new restaurants during fiscal 2018. As a percentage of revenues, occupancy and operating expenses increased to 21.4% for fiscal 2018 from 21.3% for the prior fiscal year. This percentage increase was primarily due to increased marketing, fees related to delivery sales, and higher facilities costs for our handheld server tablets, partially offset by our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses over a higher revenue base from new restaurants and from comparable restaurant sales increases.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased by \$5.0 million, or 9.0%, to \$60.4 million during fiscal 2018, from \$55.4 million during fiscal 2017. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses were 5.4% for fiscal 2018 and the prior fiscal year. This percentage remained consistent primarily due to the leveraging of our costs over a higher revenue base from new restaurants and comparable restaurant sales increases, offset by an increase in cash based incentive compensation. Also included in general and administrative costs for fiscal 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$6.0 million and \$5.1 million, or 0.5% of revenues, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$1.8 million, or 2.6%, to \$70.4 million during fiscal 2018, compared to \$68.7 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was primarily due to depreciation expense related to the five new restaurants opened during fiscal 2018. As a percentage of revenues, depreciation and amortization decreased to 6.3% for fiscal 2018 from 6.7% for the prior fiscal period. This percentage decrease was primarily due to our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses from our comparable restaurant sales increase.

Restaurant Opening. Restaurant opening expense decreased by \$1.6 million, or 40.7%, to \$2.3 million during fiscal 2018, compared to \$3.9 million during fiscal 2017. This decrease was due to the opening of five new restaurants during fiscal 2018, compared to 10 new restaurants during fiscal 2017.

Loss on Disposal and Impairment of Assets. The loss on disposal and impairment of assets decreased by \$0.7 million, or 15.2%, to \$4.0 million during fiscal 2018, compared to \$4.8 million during fiscal 2017. This decrease was primarily due to the write-off of the remaining net book value of certain convection ovens and point of sale terminals in fiscal 2017, following the rollout of our new slow roasting ovens and server handheld point of sale tablets.

Natural Disaster and Related. Natural disaster and related expense of \$0.9 million during fiscal 2017 related to property damages, food spoilage, labor and other expenses caused by Hurricanes Harvey and Irma, in excess of our related insurance coverage.

Severance and Legal Settlements. Severance and legal settlements was \$0.4 million during fiscal 2017 related to a reorganization at the Company's restaurant support center.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense, net increased by \$0.3 million to \$4.8 million during fiscal 2018, compared to \$4.5 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was due to higher interest rates during fiscal 2018, compared to fiscal 2017.

Other Expense, Net. Other expense, net increased by \$2.7 million to \$0.7 million during fiscal 2018, compared to other income, net of \$2.0 million during fiscal 2017. This increase was primarily due to the adoption of ASU 2016-10, resulting in the reclassification of gift card breakage from other income to revenues.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit). Our effective income tax rate for fiscal 2018, was 2.3% compared to -26.5% for fiscal 2017. For fiscal 2018, our income tax rate differed from the statutory income tax rate primarily due to recurring

federal tax credits and a \$3.9 million excess tax benefit from equity awards. For fiscal 2017, we recorded a net tax benefit of 26.5%, which principally was attributable to a 44.4% rate benefit realized from the re-measurement of our net deferred tax liability as a result of lower tax rates enacted as part of the 2017 Tax Cut and Jobs Act.

52 WEEKS ENDED JANUARY 2, 2018 (FISCAL 2017) COMPARED TO THE 53 WEEKS ENDED JANUARY 3, 2017 (FISCAL 2016)

Revenues. Total revenues increased by \$38.7 million, or 3.9%, to \$1.0 billion during fiscal 2017, from \$993.1 million during the fiscal 2016. The increase in revenues primarily consisted of an approximate \$65.5 million increase in sales from new restaurants not yet in our comparable restaurant sales base, partially offset by an approximate 0.7%, or \$6.5 million, decrease in comparable restaurant sales, a \$19.9 million decrease related to the shift in weeks as a result of our 53rd week in fiscal 2016 and a \$0.4 million decrease in restaurant sales due to the closure of our Century City, California restaurant in January 2016. The decrease in comparable restaurant sales resulted from a reduction in customer traffic of approximately 2.7%, partially offset by an increase in the average check of 2.0%.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by \$17.2 million, or 6.9%, to \$268.7 million during fiscal 2017, from \$251.5 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 10 new restaurants during fiscal 2017. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales increased to 26.0% for fiscal 2017 from 25.3% for the prior fiscal year. The increase in cost of sales, as

a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to an increase in commodity costs, menu mix shifts related to our new slow roasted items and Daily Brewhouse Specials, as well as increased promotional activities.

Labor and Benefits. Labor and benefit costs for our restaurants increased by \$25.9 million, or 7.5%, to \$371.2 million during fiscal 2017, from \$345.4 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 10 new restaurants during fiscal 2017. As a percentage of revenues, labor and benefit costs increased to 36.0% for fiscal 2017 from 34.8% for the prior fiscal year. The percentage increase was driven by higher hourly labor rates, increased training labor hours related to our major sales building initiatives, and deleveraging from negative comparable restaurant sales. Included in labor and benefits for fiscal 2017 and 2016 was approximately \$1.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, or 0.2% of revenues, of stock-based compensation expense related to equity awards granted in accordance with our Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program for certain restaurant management employees.

Occupancy and Operating. Occupancy and operating expenses increased by \$15.3 million, or 7.5%, to \$219.9 million during fiscal 2017, from \$204.6 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 10 new restaurants during fiscal 2017. As a percentage of revenues, occupancy and operating expenses increased to 21.3% for fiscal 2017 from 20.6% for the prior fiscal year. This percentage increase was due to the deleveraging of the fixed component of these expenses as a result of negative comparable restaurant sales coupled with the impact of the 53rd week in fiscal 2016.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses remained consistent at \$55.4 million during fiscal 2017 and 2016. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased to 5.4% for fiscal 2016 from 5.6% for the prior fiscal year. This percentage decrease was primarily due to the leveraging of our costs over a higher revenue base and a reduction in cash based incentive compensation. Also included in general and administrative costs for fiscal 2017 and 2016 was approximately \$5.1 million and \$3.7 million, or 0.5% and 0.4% of revenues, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased by \$4.4 million, or 6.8%, to \$68.7 million during fiscal 2017, compared to \$64.3 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to depreciation expense related to the 10 new restaurants opened during fiscal 2017. As a percentage of revenues, depreciation and amortization slightly increased to 6.7% for fiscal 2017 from 6.5% for the prior fiscal period. This increase is primarily due to the impact of the 53rd week in fiscal 2016.

Restaurant Opening. Restaurant opening expense decreased by \$3.1 million, or 44.5%, to \$3.9 million during fiscal 2017, compared to \$7.0 million during fiscal 2016. This decrease was due to the opening of 10 new restaurants during fiscal 2017, compared to 17 new restaurants during fiscal 2016.

Loss on Disposal and Impairment of Assets. The loss on disposal and impairment of assets increased by \$1.8 million, or 60.7%, to \$4.8 million during fiscal 2017, compared to \$3.0 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to the write-off of the remaining net book value of certain convection ovens and point of sale terminals following the rollout of our new slow roasting ovens and server handheld point of sale tablets.

Natural Disaster and Related. Natural disaster and related expense of \$0.9 million during fiscal 2017 related to property damages, food spoilage, labor and other expenses caused by Hurricanes Harvey and Irma, in excess of our related insurance coverage.

Severance and Legal Settlements. Severance and legal settlements was \$0.4 million during fiscal 2017 compared to \$0.4 million during fiscal 2016. For fiscal 2017, these costs related to the reduction of corporate positions primarily supporting new restaurant openings. For fiscal 2016, these costs related to the settlement of a wage and hour claim.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense, net increased by \$2.8 million to \$4.5 million during fiscal 2017, compared to \$1.7 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was due to increased borrowings under our Credit Facility.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net increased by \$0.8 million to \$2.0 million during fiscal 2017, compared to \$1.2 million during fiscal 2016. This increase was primarily due to the increase in the cash surrender value of life insurance programs held as part of our deferred compensation program.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. As a result of the 2017 Tax Cut and Jobs Act, for fiscal 2017, we recorded a net tax benefit of (26.5%) principally as a result of a 44.4% rate benefit from the re-measurement of our net deferred tax liability to the new lower corporate tax rate.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table provides, for the periods indicated, a summary of our key liquidity measurements (dollar amounts in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Cash and cash equivalents	\$29,224	\$24,335
Net working capital	\$(71,938)	\$(62,212)
Current ratio	0.5:1.0	0.5:1.0

Our capital requirements are driven by our fundamental financial objective to improve total shareholder return through a balanced approach of new restaurant expansion plans, enhancements and initiatives on existing restaurants, and return of capital to our shareholders through our share repurchase program and dividends. In addition, we want to maintain a flexible balance sheet to provide the financial resources necessary to manage the risks and uncertainties of conducting our business operations in a mature segment of the restaurant industry. In order to achieve these objectives, we use a combination of operating cash flows, funded debt, landlord allowances and proceeds from stock option exercises.

We currently estimate the total domestic capacity for BJ's restaurants to be at least 425, given the size of our current restaurant prototype and the current structure of the BJ's concept and menu. We expect to fund our growth plans using cash from our ongoing operations, our cash balance on hand, proceeds from employee stock option exercises, tenant improvement allowances from our landlords and our \$250 million Credit Facility. Depending on the expected level of new restaurant development, tenant improvement allowances that we receive from our landlords, other planned capital investments including ongoing maintenance capital expenditures, and results from our ongoing operations, we may not generate enough cash flow from operations to completely fund our plans. In addition, share repurchases and our quarterly cash dividend or any significant increases in such repurchases or dividends may impact our available capital resources. Accordingly, we continue to actively monitor overall conditions in the capital and credit markets with respect to the potential sources and the timing of additional financing in order to enhance total shareholder return. However, there can be no assurance that such financing will be available if required or available on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to secure additional capital resources, when needed, we may be required to reduce our planned rate of expansion, share repurchases, quarterly cash dividends or other shareholder return initiatives.

Similar to many restaurant chains, we typically utilize operating lease arrangements (principally ground leases) for the majority of our restaurant locations. We believe our operating lease arrangements provide appropriate leverage for our capital structure in a financially efficient manner. However, we are not limited to the use of lease arrangements as our only method of opening new restaurants and from time to time have purchased the underlying land for new restaurants. While our operating lease obligations currently are not required to be reflected as indebtedness on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, effective the first quarter of fiscal 2019, in accordance with the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), they will be reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as right-of-use assets and operating lease liabilities. See Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information regarding recently issued accounting standards. Currently, the minimum rents and other related lease obligations, such as common area expenses, under our lease agreements must be satisfied by cash flows from our ongoing operations. Accordingly, our lease arrangements reduce, to some extent, our capacity to utilize debt in our capital structure.

We typically lease our restaurant locations for periods of 10 to 20 years under operating lease arrangements. Our rent structures vary from lease to lease, but generally provide for the payment of both minimum and contingent (percentage) rent based on sales, as well as other expenses related to the leases (for example, our pro-rata share of common area maintenance, property tax and insurance expenses). Many of our lease arrangements include the opportunity to secure tenant improvement allowances to partially offset the cost of developing and opening the related restaurants. Generally, landlords recover the cost of such allowances from increased minimum rents. However, there can be no assurance that such allowances will be available to us on each project. From time to time, we may also decide to purchase the underlying land for a new restaurant if that is the only way to secure a highly desirable site. Currently, we own the underlying land for one of our existing restaurants, two of our restaurants that will be opened in

fiscal 2019 and our Texas brewpub locations. We also own two parcels of land adjacent to two of our restaurants. It is not our current strategy to own a large number of land parcels that underlie our restaurants. Therefore, in many cases we have subsequently entered into sale-leaseback arrangements for land parcels that we previously purchased. We disburse cash for certain site-related work, buildings, leasehold improvements, furnishings, fixtures and equipment to build our leased and owned premises. We own substantially all of the equipment, furniture and trade fixtures in our restaurants and currently plan to do so in the future.

We also require capital resources to evolve, maintain and increase the productive capacity of our existing base of restaurants and brewing operations and to further expand and strengthen the capabilities of our corporate and information technology infrastructures. Our requirement for working capital is not significant since our restaurant customers pay for their food and beverage purchases in cash or credit cards at the time of the sale. Thus, we are able to sell many of our inventory items before we are required to pay our suppliers for such items.

CASH FLOWS

The following tables set forth, for the years indicated, our cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Cash provided by operating activities	\$132,917	\$107,036	\$138,359
Net cash used in investing activities	(55,463)	(52,831)	(104,852)
Net cash used in financing activities	(72,565)	(52,631)	(45,350)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$4,889	\$1,574	\$(11,843)
Operating Cash Flows			

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$132.9 million during fiscal 2018, representing a \$25.9 million increase from the \$107.0 million provided during fiscal 2017. The increase in cash from operating activities for fiscal 2018, in comparison to fiscal 2017, is primarily due to higher net income, coupled with the change in deferred income taxes and the timing of payables and accrued expenses, offset by the timing of accounts and other receivables.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$107.0 million during fiscal 2017, representing a \$31.3 million decrease from the \$138.4 million provided during fiscal 2016. The decrease in cash from operating activities for fiscal 2017, in comparison to fiscal 2016, is primarily due to a decrease in our net deferred income tax liability resulting from the revaluation of our deferred tax liability under the 2017 Tax Cut and Jobs Act, the collection of our \$6.0 million lease termination fee receivable in fiscal 2016, coupled with an increase in payroll related accruals in fiscal 2016, as a result of the impact of the 53rd operating week, offset by greater depreciation and amortization and the timing of prepaids and other current assets.

Investing Cash Flows

Net cash used in investing activities was \$55.5 million during fiscal 2018, representing a \$2.6 million increase from the \$52.8 million used in fiscal 2017. The increase over prior year is primarily due to lower proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions, offset by lower investment in new restaurant openings as a result of five fewer openings.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$52.8 million during fiscal 2017, representing a \$52.0 million decrease from the \$104.9 million used in fiscal 2016. The decrease over prior year is primarily due to a lower investment in new restaurant openings as a result of seven fewer openings, offset by proceeds from three sale-leaseback transactions in fiscal 2017.

The following table provides, for the years indicated, the components of capital expenditures (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
New restaurants	\$27,159	\$39,049	\$86,960
Restaurant maintenance and key productivity initiatives	32,642	30,938	21,670
Restaurant and corporate systems	1,163	749	733

Total capital expenditures	\$60,964	\$70,736	\$109,363
----------------------------	----------	----------	-----------

We expect to open seven to nine new restaurants in fiscal 2019, and we have entered into signed leases, land purchase agreements or letters of intent for all of our 2019 new restaurant locations. Our new restaurant unit economics continue to warrant an appropriate allocation of our available capital, and we will continue to balance new restaurant growth with our commitment to drive shareholder returns through our share repurchase program and quarterly cash dividends.

We currently anticipate our total capital expenditures for fiscal 2019, including all expenditure categories, to be approximately \$70 million to \$80 million, including a new human capital management system, as well as increased construction and development costs for our restaurant openings as a result of higher tariffs and labor costs. We expect to fund our anticipated capital expenditures for fiscal 2019 with our current cash balance on hand, expected cash flows from operations, expected tenant improvement allowances and our line of credit. Our future cash requirements will depend on many factors, including the pace of our expansion, conditions in the retail property development market, construction costs, the nature of the specific sites selected for new restaurants, and the nature of the specific leases and associated tenant improvement allowances available, if any, as negotiated with landlords.

Financing Cash Flows

Net cash used in financing activities was \$72.6 million during fiscal 2018, representing a \$19.9 million increase from the \$52.6 million used in fiscal 2017. The increase over prior year is primarily due to higher debt repayment during fiscal 2018.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$52.6 million during fiscal 2017, representing a \$7.3 million increase from the \$45.4 million used in fiscal 2016. The increase over prior year is primarily due to a net increase in line of credit repayments, offset by a decrease in share repurchases.

We have a \$250 million unsecured revolving line of credit that expires on November 18, 2021, and may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We utilize the Credit Facility principally for letters of credit that are required to support certain of our self-insurance programs, to fund a portion of our stock repurchase program and quarterly cash dividend and working capital and construction requirements. While we intend to pay regular quarterly cash dividends in the future, any decisions to pay, increase or decrease cash dividends will be reviewed quarterly and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion. Debt instruments that we enter into in the future may contain covenants that place limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay.

As of fiscal 2018, we have cumulatively repurchased shares valued at approximately \$377.8 million in accordance with our approved share repurchase plan. We repurchased shares valued at approximately \$20.3 million during fiscal 2018. The share repurchases were executed through open market purchases, and future share repurchases may be completed through the combination of individually negotiated transactions, accelerated share buyback, and/or open market purchases. As of January 1, 2019, we have approximately \$22.2 million available under our share repurchase plan. Our Credit Facility does not contain any restrictions on the amount of borrowings that can be used to make share repurchases, or on the amount of dividends we pay, as long as we are in compliance with our financial and non-financial covenants.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or variable interest entities (“VIEs”), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow limited purposes. As of January 1, 2019, we are not involved in any off-balance sheet arrangements.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

Inflation on food, labor, energy and occupancy costs can significantly affect the profitability of our restaurant operations. Our profitability is dependent, among other things, on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in the cost of key operating resources, including food and other raw materials, labor, energy and other supplies and services. Substantial increases in costs and expenses could impact our operating results to the extent that such increases cannot be passed along to our restaurant customers. While we have taken steps to enter into agreements for some of the commodities used in our restaurant operations, there can be no assurance that future supplies and costs for such commodities will not fluctuate due to weather or other market conditions outside of our control. We are currently unable to contract for certain commodities, such as fluid dairy, fresh seafood and most fresh produce items for long periods of time. Consequently, such commodities can be subject to unforeseen supply and cost fluctuations.

A general shortage in the availability of qualified restaurant managers and hourly workers in certain geographic areas in which we operate has caused increases in the costs of recruiting and compensating such employees. Many of our restaurant employees are paid hourly rates subject to the federal, state or local minimum wage requirements. Numerous state and local governments have their own minimum wage requirements that are generally greater than the federal minimum wage and are subject to annual increases based on changes in their local consumer price indices. Additionally, certain operating and other costs, including health benefits in compliance with the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, taxes, insurance, federal and state exemption rules, and regulatory requirements relating to employees and other outside services, continue to increase with the general level of inflation and may also be subject to other cost and supply fluctuations outside of our control.

While we have been able to partially offset inflation and other changes in the costs of key operating resources by gradually increasing prices of our menu items or adjusting our menu mix, coupled with more efficient purchasing practices, productivity improvements and greater economies of scale, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to do so in the future. From time to time, competitive conditions will limit our menu pricing flexibility. In addition, macroeconomic conditions that impact consumer discretionary spending for food away from home could make additional menu price increases imprudent. There can be no assurance that all of our future cost increases can be offset by higher menu prices or that higher menu prices will be accepted by our restaurant customers without any resulting changes in their visit frequencies or purchasing patterns. Many of the leases for our restaurants provide for contingent rent obligations based on a percentage of sales. As a result, rent expense will absorb a proportionate share of any menu price increases in our restaurants. There can be no assurance that we

will continue to generate increases in comparable restaurant sales in amounts sufficient to offset inflationary or other cost pressures.

SEASONALITY AND ADVERSE WEATHER

Our business is impacted by weather and other seasonal factors that typically impact other restaurant operations. Holidays (and shifts in the holiday calendar) and severe weather including hurricanes, tornados, thunderstorms and similar conditions may impact restaurant sales volumes in some of the markets where we operate. Many of our restaurants are located in or near shopping centers and malls that typically experience seasonal fluctuations in sales. Quarterly results have been and will continue to be significantly impacted by the timing of new restaurant openings and their associated restaurant opening expenses. As a result of these and other factors, our financial results for any given quarter may not be indicative of the results that may be achieved for a full fiscal year.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies require the greatest amount of subjective or complex judgments by management and are important to portraying our financial condition and results of operations. Judgments or uncertainties regarding the application of these policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. We consider the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

Property and Equipment

We record all property and equipment at cost. Property and equipment accounting requires us to estimate the useful lives of the assets for depreciation purposes and to select depreciation methods. We believe the useful lives reflect the actual economic life of the underlying assets. We have elected to use the straight-line method of depreciation over the estimated useful life of an asset or the lease term of the respective lease, whichever is shorter, for leasehold improvements. Renewals and betterments that materially extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized while maintenance and repair costs are charged to operating expense as incurred. Judgment is often required in the decision to distinguish between an asset which qualifies for capitalization versus an expenditure which is for maintenance and repairs. Internal costs associated with the acquisition, development and construction of our restaurants are capitalized and allocated to the projects which they relate. When property and equipment are sold or otherwise disposed of, the asset account and related accumulated depreciation and amortization accounts are relieved, and any gain or loss is included in earnings. Additionally, any interest capitalized for new restaurant construction would be included in "Property and equipment, net" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess the potential impairment of our long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. The assets are generally reviewed for impairment in total as well as on a restaurant by restaurant basis. Factors considered include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance by the restaurant relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and significant negative industry or economic trends. The recoverability is assessed in most cases by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. This assessment process requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding future restaurant cash flows and estimated useful lives, which are subject to a significant degree of judgment. If these assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges for these assets or for the entire restaurant.

Self-Insurance Liability

We retain large deductibles or self-insured retentions for a portion of our general liability insurance and our employee workers' compensation programs. We maintain coverage with a third party insurer to limit our total exposure for these programs. The accrued liability associated with these programs is based on our estimate of the ultimate costs within our retention amount to settle known claims as well as claims incurred but not yet reported to us ("IBNR claims") as of the balance sheet date. Our estimated liability is based on information provided by a third party actuary, combined with our judgments regarding a number of assumptions and factors, including the frequency and severity of claims, our claims development history, case jurisdiction, related legislation, and our claims settlement practice. Significant judgment is required to estimate IBNR claims as parties have yet to assert such claims. If actual claims trends, including the severity or frequency of claims, differ from our estimates, our financial results could be significantly impacted.

Income Taxes

We utilize the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes are recognized based on the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The provision for income taxes represents the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We provide for income taxes based on our expected federal and state tax liabilities. Our estimates include, but are not limited to, effective state and local income tax rates, allowable tax credits for items such as FICA taxes paid on reported tip income and estimates related to depreciation expense allowable for tax purposes. We usually file our income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. All tax returns are subject to audit by federal and state governments for years after the returns are filed, and could be subject to differing interpretations of the tax laws.

We recognize the impact of a tax position in our financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained through an audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are included in income tax expense.

Leases

We lease the majority of our restaurant locations. We account for our leases in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require that our leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The term used for this evaluation includes renewal option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the renewal option can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such option would result in an economic penalty. All of our restaurant leases are classified as operating leases. We disburse cash for leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures and equipment to build out and equip our leased premises. Tenant improvement allowance incentives may be available to partially offset the cost of developing and opening the related restaurants, pursuant to agreed-upon terms in our leases. Tenant improvement allowances can take the form of cash payments upon the opening of the related restaurants, full or partial credits against minimum or percentage rents otherwise payable by us or a combination thereof. All tenant improvement allowances received by us are recorded as a deferred lease incentive and amortized over the term of the lease. The related cash received from the landlord is reflected as "Landlord contribution for tenant improvements" within operating activities of our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The lease term used for straight-line rent expense is calculated from the date we obtain possession of the leased premises through the lease termination date (including any options that can be reasonably assured where failure to exercise such option would result in an economic penalty). We expense rent from possession date through restaurant open date as preopening expense. Once a restaurant opens for business, we record straight-line rent over the lease term plus contingent rent to the extent it exceeded the minimum rent obligation per the lease agreement.

There is potential for variability in the rent holiday period, which begins on the possession date and ends on the restaurant open date, during which no cash rent payments are typically due under the terms of the lease. Factors that may affect the length of the rent holiday period generally pertain to construction related delays. Extension of the rent holiday period due to delays in restaurant opening will result in greater preopening rent expense recognized during the rent holiday period and lesser occupancy expense during the rest of the lease term (post-opening).

We record total rent payable during the lease term, including rent escalations in which the amount of future rent is certain or can be reasonably calculated on the straight-line basis over the term of the lease (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises), and record the difference between the minimum rents paid and

the straight-line rent as deferred rent. Certain leases contain provisions that require additional rent payments based upon restaurant sales volume (“contingent rent”). Contingent rent is accrued each period as the liabilities are incurred, in addition to the straight-line rent expense noted above. This results in some variability in occupancy expense as a percentage of revenues over the term of the lease in restaurants where we pay contingent rent.

Management makes judgments regarding the probable term for each restaurant property lease, which can impact the classification and accounting for a lease as capital or operating, the rent holiday and/or escalations in payments that are taken into consideration when calculating straight-line rent and the term over which leasehold improvements for each restaurant are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance, which requires a lessee to recognize most leases on the balance sheet to give investors, lenders, and other financial statement users a more comprehensive view of a company’s long-term financial obligations as well as the assets it owns versus leases. Currently, all of our restaurant and our restaurant support center leases are accounted for as operating leases, and therefore are not recorded within our balance sheet. This guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. We expect this adoption will result in a material increase in the assets and

liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, but will likely have an insignificant impact on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Stock-Based Compensation

Under our shareholder approved stock-based compensation plan, we have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, and restricted stock units that generally vest over three to five years and expire ten years from the date of grant. We have also granted performance-based restricted stock units under our shareholder approved stock-based compensation plan that generally vests after three years based on achievement of certain performance targets. Stock-based compensation is measured in accordance with U.S. GAAP based on the estimated fair value of the awards granted. In valuing stock options, we are required to make certain assumptions and judgments regarding the grant date fair value, which we value utilizing the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. These judgments include expected volatility, risk free interest rate, expected option life, dividend yield and vesting percentage. These estimations and judgments are determined by us using many different variables that, in many cases, are outside of our control. The changes in these variables or trends, including stock price volatility and risk free interest rate, may significantly impact the grant date fair value resulting in a significant impact to our financial results.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

The following table summarizes our future estimated cash payments under existing contractual obligations as of January 1, 2019, including estimated cash payments due by period (in thousands).

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
Contractual Obligations:					
Operating leases (1)	\$602,337	\$50,373	\$100,979	\$96,357	\$354,628
Purchase obligations (2)	17,649	11,541	4,076	2,032	—
Total	\$619,986	\$61,914	\$105,055	\$98,389	\$354,628
Other Obligations:					
Long-term debt	\$95,000	\$—	\$95,000	\$—	\$—
Interest (3)	10,291	3,558	6,733	—	—
Standby letters of credit	14,266	14,266	—	—	—
Total	\$119,557	\$17,824	\$101,733	\$—	\$—

(1) For more detailed description of our operating leases, refer to Note 6 in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Amounts represent non-cancelable commitments for the purchase of goods and other services.

(3) We have assumed \$95.0 million remains outstanding under our Credit Facility until the maturity date of November 18, 2021, using the interest rate in effect on January 1, 2019, which was approximately 3.77%.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The following discussion of market risks contains “forward-looking” statements. Actual results may differ materially from the following discussion based on general conditions in the financial and commodity markets.

Interest Rate Risk

We have a \$250 million unsecured Credit Facility of which \$95.0 million is currently outstanding that carries interest at a floating rate. We utilize the Credit Facility principally for letters of credit that are required to support our self-insurance programs, to fund a portion of our announced stock repurchase and dividend programs and for working capital and construction requirements, as needed. We are exposed to interest rate risk through fluctuations in interest rates on our obligations under the Credit Facility. Based on our current outstanding balance, a hypothetical 1% change in the interest rates under our Credit Facility would have an approximate \$0.7 million annual impact on our net income.

Food and Commodity Price Risks

We purchase food and other commodities for use in our operations based upon market prices established with our suppliers. Many of the commodities purchased by us can be subject to volatility due to market supply and demand factors outside of our

control, whether contracted for or not. Costs can also fluctuate due to government regulation. To manage this risk in part, we attempt to enter into fixed-price purchase commitments, with terms typically up to one year, for some of our commodity requirements. However, it may not be possible for us to enter into fixed-price contracts for certain commodities or we may choose not to enter into fixed-price contracts for certain commodities. We believe that substantially all of our food and supplies are available from several sources, which helps to diversify our overall commodity cost risk. We also believe that we have some flexibility and ability to increase certain menu prices, or vary certain menu items offered or promoted, in response to food commodity price increases. Some of our commodity purchase arrangements may contain contractual features that limit the price paid by establishing certain price floors or caps. We do not use financial instruments to hedge commodity prices, since our purchase arrangements with suppliers, to the extent that we can enter into such arrangements, help control the ultimate cost that we pay.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See the Consolidated Financial Statements and other data attached hereto beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of January 1, 2019, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2019, based on the framework in

Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (“COSO”). Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 1, 2019.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm has independently assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and its report is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of BJ's Restaurants, Inc.

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited BJ's Restaurants, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2019, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, BJ's Restaurants, Inc. (the Company) maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2019, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets of BJ's Restaurants, Inc. as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2019 and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements") of the Company and our report dated February 25, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California

February 25, 2019

48

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of control effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We have adopted a Code of Business Ethics and a Code of Business Conduct to promote honest and ethical conduct of our business, professional and personal relationships. The Code of Business Ethics covers all executives, including our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. The Code of Business Conduct is applicable to all directors, executives and other employees. A copy of our Code of Integrity, Ethics and Conduct is available on our website <http://investors.bjsrestaurants.com> under Corporate Governance. We intend to post any amendments to or waivers from our Code of Business Ethics and Code of Business Conduct at this website location.

Information with respect to our executive officers is included in Part I, Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the information contained in the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the year ended January 1, 2019.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained in the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the year ended January 1, 2019.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained in the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the year ended January 1, 2019.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained in the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the year ended January 1, 2019.

See Part II, Item 5 – “Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities – *Stock-Based Compensation Plan Information*” for certain information regarding our equity compensation plans.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained in the Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of the year ended January 1, 2019.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following documents are contained in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018

Consolidated Statements of Income for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

(3)EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number Description

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 2, 2018.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.1 to the Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2007.
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Form 10-K for the year ended January 2, 2005.
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated June 8, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2010.
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB 2A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 22, 1996 (File No. 3335182 LA) (as amended, the "Registration Statement").
- 10.1* Form of Indemnification Agreement with Officers and Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 2, 2018.
- 10.2* BJ's Restaurants, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2015).
- 10.3* Form of Employee Stock Option Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q filed on October 31, 2006.
- 10.4* Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Form 8-K filed July 1, 2005.
- 10.5* Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2006.
- 10.6* Form of Non-Employee Director Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2006.
- 10.7* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (non-GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q filed on November 6, 2007.
- 10.8* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Notice (non-GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q filed on November 6, 2007.
- 10.9*

Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2012 BJ's GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.

- 10.10* Form of Equity Award Certificate (2012 BJ's GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.
- 10.11* Form of Stock Option Agreement (2012 BJ's GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.
- 10.12* Form of Option Grant Notice (2012 BJ's GSSOP) for employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.
- 10.13* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for non-employee directors under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.
- 10.14* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Certificate for non-employee directors under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2013.
- 10.15* Employment Agreement, dated June 12, 2003, between the Company and Gregory S. Lynds, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Form 10-K filed on or about March 14, 2008.
- 10.16* [Reserved]

Exhibit

Number	Description
10.17*	<u>Employment Agreement, dated September 6, 2005, between the Company and Gregory S. Levin, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 10-Q filed on November 3, 2005.</u>
10.18*	<u>Employment Agreement, effective March 1, 2011, between the Company and Kendra D. Miller, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Form 10-K filed on March 9, 2011.</u>
10.19*	<u>Employment Agreement dated October 28, 2012, between the Company and Gregory A. Trojan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on October 29, 2012.</u>
10.20*	<u>Employment Agreement dated January 28, 2013, between the Company and Brian S. Krakower, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed on May 6, 2013.</u>
10.21*	<u>Consulting Agreement, dated February 1, 2013, between the Company and Gerald W. Deitchle, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed on May 6, 2013.</u>
10.22*	<u>Employment Agreement effective July 9, 2014, between the Company and Kevin E. Mayer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed on November 3, 2014.</u>
10.23*	<u>Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated August 8, 2017, between the Company and Gregory A. Trojan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8K filed on August 8, 2017.</u>
10.24	[Reserved]
10.25	[Reserved]
10.26	<u>Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated November 18, 2016, between the Company and Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 18, 2016).</u>
10.27*	<u>BJ's Restaurants, Inc. 2011 Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 22, 2016.</u>
10.28*	<u>Form of Performance Stock Unit Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed on May 6, 2014.</u>
21	<u>List of Significant Subsidiaries.</u>
23.1	<u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u>
31	<u>Section 302 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.</u>
32	<u>Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.</u>
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document - the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document.

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

*Management contracts or compensation plans or arrangements in which directors or executive officers are eligible to participate.

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

Not applicable.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.
By: /s/ Gregory A. Trojan
Gregory A. Trojan

February 25, 2019 Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Capacity	Date
By: /s/ GREGORY A. TROJAN Gregory A. Trojan	Chief Executive Officer and Director	February 25, 2019
	(Principal Executive Officer)	
By: /s/ GREGORY S. LEVIN Gregory S. Levin	President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ PETER A. BASSI Peter A. Bassi	Lead Independent Director	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ LARRY D. BOUTS Larry D. Bouts	Director	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ JAMES A. DAL POZZO James A. Dal Pozzo	Director	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ GERALD W. DEITCHLE Gerald W. Deitchle	Chairman of the Board and Director	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ NOAH A. ELBOGEN Noah A. Elbogen	Director	February 25, 2019
By: /s/ LEA ANNE S. OTTINGER Lea Anne S. Ottinger	Director	February 25, 2019

By: /s/ JANET M.
SHERLOCK

Janet M. Sherlock Director

February 25,
2019

By: /s/ PATRICK D.
WALSH

Patrick D. Walsh Director

February 25,
2019

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F 1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018</u>	F 2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019</u>	F 3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019</u>	F 4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Three Fiscal Years in the Period Ended January 1, 2019</u>	F 5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F 6

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of BJ's Restaurants, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BJ's Restaurants, Inc. (the Company) as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2019, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2019, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2019, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 25, 2019, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Adoption of Topic 606

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method for recognizing revenue as a result of the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) effective January 3, 2018.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2001.

Irvine, California

February 25, 2019

F-1

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 29,224	\$ 24,335
Accounts and other receivables, net	31,190	13,865
Inventories, net	10,133	10,514
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,940	11,615
Total current assets	78,487	60,329
Property and equipment, net	582,754	589,844
Goodwill	4,673	4,673
Other assets, net	29,193	28,704
Total assets	\$ 695,107	\$ 683,550
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable (1)	\$ 36,505	\$ 25,275
Accrued expenses	113,920	97,266
Total current liabilities	150,425	122,541
Deferred income taxes, net	15,977	20,286
Deferred rent	35,088	32,487
Deferred lease incentives	54,264	52,843
Long-term debt	95,000	163,500
Other liabilities	35,132	33,164
Total liabilities	385,886	424,821
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		

Shareholders' equity:

Preferred stock, 5,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, no par value, 125,000 shares authorized and 21,058 and 20,485 shares issued and outstanding as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, respectively		
Capital surplus	64,342	68,904
Retained earnings	244,879	189,825
Total shareholders' equity	309,221	258,729
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 695,107	\$ 683,550

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(1) Included in accounts payable for fiscal years 2018 and 2017 is \$6,110 and \$6,537, respectively, of related party trade payables. See Note 12 for further information.

F-2

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Revenues	\$1,116,948	\$1,031,782	\$993,052
Restaurant operating costs (excluding depreciation and amortization):			
Cost of sales (1)	281,953	268,707	251,460
Labor and benefits	400,745	371,220	345,370
Occupancy and operating (1)	239,446	219,863	204,583
General and administrative	60,449	55,447	55,406
Depreciation and amortization	70,439	68,665	64,275
Restaurant opening	2,298	3,873	6,977
Loss on disposal and impairment of assets	4,048	4,775	2,971
Natural disaster and related	—	905	—
Severance and legal settlements	—	423	369
Total costs and expenses	1,059,378	993,878	931,411
Income from operations	57,570	37,904	61,641
Other expense, net:			
Interest expense, net	(4,838)	(4,501)	(1,730)
Other (expense) income, net	(735)	1,987	1,180
Total other expense, net	(5,573)	(2,514)	(550)
Income before income taxes	51,997	35,390	61,091
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,187	(9,390)	15,534
Net income	\$50,810	\$44,780	\$45,557
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$2.42	\$2.10	\$1.91
Diluted	\$2.35	\$2.06	\$1.88
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:			
Basic	20,958	21,374	23,824
Diluted	21,584	21,772	24,233

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(1) Related party costs included in cost of sales are \$85,788, \$83,554 and \$81,789 for fiscal years 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Related party costs included in occupancy and operating are \$9,697, \$9,247 and \$8,880 for

fiscal years 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. See Note 12 for further information.
F-3

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Capital	Retained	
	Shares	Amount	Surplus	Earnings	Total
Balance, December 29, 2015	24,672	\$7,367	\$63,290	\$245,826	\$316,483
Exercise of stock options	88	2,931	(805)	—	2,126
Issuance of restricted stock units	53	2,002	(2,325)	—	(323)
Repurchase of common stock	(2,481)	(12,300)	—	(82,686)	(94,986)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	5,707	—	5,707
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	—	—	333	—	333
Net income	—	—	—	45,557	45,557
Balance, January 3, 2017	22,332	—	66,200	208,697	274,897
Exercise of stock options	57	1,886	(504)	—	1,382
Issuance of restricted stock units	79	3,714	(4,036)	—	(322)
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(1,983)	(5,600)	—	(61,322)	(66,922)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	7,244	—	7,244
Dividends paid or payable, \$0.11 per share	—	—	—	(2,330)	(2,330)
Net income	—	—	—	44,780	44,780
Balance, January 2, 2018	20,485	—	68,904	189,825	258,729
Exercise of stock options	876	36,520	(10,380)	—	26,140
Issuance of restricted stock units	102	2,383	(2,766)	—	(383)
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(405)	(38,903)	—	18,572	(20,331)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	8,584	—	8,584
Cumulative effect of adopting revenue recognition standard	—	—	—	(4,598)	(4,598)
Dividends paid or payable, \$0.45 per share	—	—	—	(9,730)	(9,730)
Net income	—	—	—	50,810	50,810
Balance, January 1, 2019	21,058	\$—	\$64,342	\$244,879	\$309,221

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$50,810	\$44,780	\$45,557
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	70,439	68,665	64,275
Deferred income taxes	(2,812)	(16,486)	7,073
Stock-based compensation expense	8,256	6,946	5,527
Loss on disposal and impairment of assets	4,048	4,775	2,971
Natural disaster and related	—	194	—
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and other receivables, net	(15,815)	(210)	9,904
Landlord contribution for tenant improvements	(752)	1,565	762
Inventories, net	381	(607)	(1,014)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,060	(718)	(5,065)
Other assets, net	(1,688)	(4,022)	(5,257)
Accounts payable	4,746	(1,261)	542
Accrued expenses	10,320	2,652	10,692
Deferred rent	2,601	2,063	2,797
Deferred lease incentives	1,421	(1,276)	282
Other liabilities	(2,098)	(24)	(687)
Net cash provided by operating activities	132,917	107,036	138,359
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(60,964)	(70,736)	(109,363)
Proceeds from sale of assets	5,501	17,905	4,511
Net cash used in investing activities	(55,463)	(52,831)	(104,852)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings on line of credit	1,175,000	2,145,100	1,179,800
Payments on line of credit	(1,243,500)	(2,129,600)	(1,132,300)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	—	—	333
Taxes paid on vested stock units under employee plans	(383)	(322)	(323)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	26,140	1,382	2,126
Cash dividends paid	(9,491)	(2,269)	—
Repurchases of common stock	(20,331)	(66,922)	(94,986)
Net cash used in financing activities	(72,565)	(52,631)	(45,350)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,889	1,574	(11,843)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	24,335	22,761	34,604

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$29,224	\$24,335	\$22,761
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$9,597	\$5,163	\$6,803
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$4,158	\$4,245	\$1,351
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Property and equipment acquired and included in accounts payable	\$10,360	\$3,876	\$8,485
Stock-based compensation capitalized	\$328	\$298	\$180

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

BJ's Restaurants, Inc. (referred to herein as the "Company," "BJ's," "we," "us" and "our") was incorporated in California on October 1, 1991, to assume the management of five "BJ's Chicago Pizzeria" restaurants and to develop additional BJ's restaurants. As of January 1, 2019, we owned and operated 202 restaurants located in 27 states. During fiscal 2018, we opened five new restaurants. Several of our locations, in addition to our two brewpub locations in Texas, brew our signature, proprietary craft BJ's beer. All of our other restaurants receive their BJ's beer either from one of our restaurant brewing operations, our Texas brewpubs and/or independent third party brewers using our proprietary recipes.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BJ's Restaurants, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial statements presented herein include all material adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the period.

The consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). The Company had no components of other comprehensive income (loss) during any of the years presented, as such; a consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss) is not presented.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions for the reporting period and as of the financial statement date. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Our fiscal year consists of 52 or 53 weeks and ends on the Tuesday closest to December 31 for financial reporting purposes. Fiscal year 2018 and 2017 ended on January 1, 2019, and January 2, 2018, respectively, and consisted of 52 weeks of operations, fiscal year 2016 ended on January 3, 2017 and consisted of 53 weeks of operations.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior year's balance sheet amounts have been made to conform to the current year's format.

Segment Disclosure

The FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic No. 280, *Segment Reporting*, establishes standards for disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. We currently operate in one operating segment: casual dining company-owned restaurants. Additionally, we operate in one geographic area: the United States of America.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). This guidance requires the recognition of most leases on the balance sheet to give investors, lenders, and other financial statement users a more comprehensive view of a company's long-term financial obligations as well as the assets it owns versus leases. ASU 2016-02 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt ASU 2016-02 during the first quarter of fiscal 2019. Currently, all of our restaurant and our restaurant support center leases are accounted for as operating leases, and therefore are not recorded within our balance sheets. We expect this adoption will result in a material increase in the assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, but will likely have an insignificant impact on our Consolidated Statements of Income or Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. In preparation for the adoption of the guidance, we are implementing controls and key system changes to enable the preparation of financial information.

The adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have a significant impact on our consolidated balance sheet as we will record material assets and obligations primarily related to our restaurant and corporate office operating leases and we will recognize the cumulative effect of our total deferred sales-leaseback gains as an adjustment to retained earnings. We expect to record operating lease liabilities of approximately \$455 to \$480 million, based on the present value of the remaining minimum rental

payments using discount rates as of the effective date. We expect to record corresponding right-of-use assets of approximately \$355 to \$380 million, based upon the operating lease liabilities adjusted for prepaid and deferred rent, unamortized initial direct costs, liabilities associated with lease termination costs and impairment of right-of-use assets recognized in retained earnings as of January 2, 2019. We also expect to recognize approximately \$28.7 million as a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for deferred sale-leaseback gains recorded as of January 2, 2018. As a result of losing the deferred gain amortization related to our sales-leaseback gains, we will recognize higher rent expense and lower income from operations by approximately \$1.7 million in fiscal 2019.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-15, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other – Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40). This update aligns the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract with the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal-use software. ASU 2018-15 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt ASU 2018-15 the first quarter of fiscal 2020. We are currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, an amendment to ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“Topic 606”). ASU 2014-09 provides a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services and expands related disclosure requirements. ASU 2016-10 clarified ASU 2014-09 to address the potential for diversity in practice at the adoption. The standard also requires gift card breakage to be recognized as revenue in proportion to the pattern of gift card redemptions exercised by our customers. ASUs 2016-10 and 2014-09 were effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and were permitted to be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative adjustment to opening retained earnings as of the date of adoption (modified retrospective approach).

We adopted ASU 2016-10 on January 3, 2018, and elected the modified retrospective adoption method. As a result, we recorded a net cumulative adjustment of \$4.6 million to opening retained earnings. We now allocate loyalty member transaction amounts between the goods delivered and the future goods that will be delivered, on a relative standalone selling price basis. For fiscal 2018, approximately \$0.9 million of net revenues have been deferred until those loyalty points are redeemed in the future and approximately \$1.4 million of gift card breakage has been recorded as revenues.

Under the previous standard, we estimated the cost of the loyalty reward based on the equivalent cost of the food and beverage earned and recorded this cost as a marketing expense included in “Occupancy and operating” on our Consolidated Statements of Income. Additionally, under the previous standard we recorded gift card breakage as other income included within “Other (expense) income, net” on our Consolidated Statements of Income. ASU 2016-10 does not impact the calculation of our comparable restaurant sales or how we calculate gift card breakage.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments and money market funds with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair market

value.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which subject us to a concentration of credit risk principally consist of cash and cash equivalents and receivables. We currently maintain our day-to-day operating cash balances with a major financial institution. At times, our operating cash balances may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limit.

Inventories

Inventories are comprised primarily of food and beverage products and are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or net realizable value.

F-7

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset or the lease term, including reasonably assured renewal periods or exercised options, of the respective lease, whichever is shorter. Renewals and betterments that materially extend the life of an asset are capitalized while maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. When property and equipment are sold or otherwise disposed of, the asset accounts and related accumulated depreciation or amortization accounts are relieved, and any gain or loss is included in earnings.

Depreciation and amortization are recorded using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Furniture and fixtures	10 years
Equipment	5-10 years
Brewing equipment	10-20 years
Building improvements	the shorter of 20 years or the remaining lease term
Leasehold improvements	the shorter of the useful life or the lease term, including reasonably assured renewal periods

Goodwill

We perform impairment testing annually, during the fourth quarter, and more frequently if factors and circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. When evaluating goodwill for impairment, we first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of our reporting unit is less than its carrying value. We currently have one reporting unit, which is casual dining company-owned restaurants in the United States of America. If it is concluded that the fair value of our reporting unit is less than the goodwill carrying value, we estimate the fair value of the reporting unit and compare it to the carrying value of the reporting unit, including goodwill. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is greater than the estimated fair value, an impairment charge is recorded for the difference between the implied fair value of goodwill and its carrying amount. To calculate the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is first allocated to all of the other assets and liabilities of that unit based on their relative fair values. The excess of the reporting unit's fair value over the amount assigned to its other assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. This adjusted carrying value becomes the new goodwill accounting basis value. We did not record any impairment to goodwill during fiscal 2018, 2017 or 2016.

Long-Lived Assets

We assess the potential impairment of our long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets are generally reviewed for impairment on a restaurant by restaurant basis. Factors considered include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance by the restaurant relative to expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business, and significant negative industry or economic trends. The recoverability is assessed by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount is greater than the anticipated undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recorded as the difference between the carrying amount and the assets estimated fair value. We did not incur an impairment expense in fiscal 2018, 2017 or 2016.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from food and beverage sales at restaurants are recognized when payment is tendered at the point of sale. Amounts paid with a credit card are recorded in accounts and other receivables until payment is collected from the credit card processor. We sell gift cards which do not have an expiration date and we do not deduct non-usage fees from outstanding gift card balances. Gift card sales are recorded as a liability and recognized as revenues upon redemption in our restaurants. Deferred gift card revenue, included in “Accrued expenses” on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$17.2 million and \$15.0 million as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, respectively.

Estimated gift card breakage is recorded as “Revenues” on our Consolidated Statements of Income and recognized in proportion to our historical redemption pattern. The estimated gift card breakage is based on when the likelihood of redemption becomes remote, which has typically been 24 months after the original gift card issuance date.

Our “BJ’s Premier Rewards” customer loyalty program enables participants to earn points for qualifying purchases that can be redeemed for food and beverages in the future. We allocate the transaction price between the goods delivered and the future

F-8

goods that will be delivered, on a relative standalone selling price basis, and defer the revenues allocated to the points, less expected expirations, until such points are redeemed.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales is comprised of food and beverage costs, including the cost to produce and distribute our proprietary craft beer, soda and ciders. The components of cost of sales are variable and typically fluctuate directly with sales volumes, but may be impacted by changes in commodity prices or promotional activities.

Sales Taxes

Revenues are presented net of sales tax collected. The obligations to the appropriate tax authorities are included in other accrued expenses until the taxes are remitted to the appropriate taxing authorities.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense for fiscal 2018, 2017, and 2016 was approximately \$24.5 million, \$21.0 million and \$18.9 million, respectively. Advertising costs are primarily included in “Occupancy and operating” expenses on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Income Taxes

We utilize the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes are recognized based on the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The provision for income taxes represents the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We provide for income taxes based on our expected federal and state tax liabilities. Our estimates include, but are not limited to, effective federal, state and local income tax rates, allowable tax credits for items such as FICA taxes paid on reported tip income and estimates related to depreciation expense allowable for tax purposes. We usually file our income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. All tax returns are subject to audit by federal and state governments for years after the returns are filed, and could be subject to differing interpretations of the tax laws.

We recognize the impact of a tax position in our financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained through an audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are included in “Income tax expense (benefit)” on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Restaurant Opening Expense

Restaurant payroll, supplies, training, other start-up costs and rent expense incurred prior to the opening of a new restaurant are expensed as incurred.

Leases

We lease the majority of our restaurant locations. We account for our leases in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require that our leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The lease term used for this evaluation includes renewal option periods when the exercise of the renewal option can be

reasonably assured and failure to exercise the option would result in an economic penalty. All of our restaurant leases are classified as operating leases.

Tenant improvement allowance incentives may be available to partially offset the cost of developing and opening our restaurants, pursuant to agreed-upon terms in our leases. Tenant improvement allowances can take the form of cash payments upon the opening of the related restaurants, full or partial credits against minimum or percentage rents otherwise payable by us or a combination thereof. All tenant improvement allowances received by us are recorded as a deferred lease incentive and amortized over the term of the lease. The related cash received from the landlord is reflected as "Landlord contribution for tenant improvements" within the "Cash flow from operating activities" section of our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The lease term used for straight-line rent expense is calculated from the date we obtain possession of the leased premises through the lease termination date. We expense rent from possession date through the restaurant opening date as restaurant

F-9

opening expense within our statement of operations. Once a restaurant opens for business, we record straight-line rent over the probable lease term plus contingent rent to the extent it exceeds the minimum rent obligation per the lease agreement.

Cash rent payments are not typically due under the terms of our leases during the rent holiday period, which begins on the possession date and ends on the restaurant opening date. Factors that may affect the length of the rent holiday period include construction related delays. Extension of the rent holiday period due to delays in a restaurant opening will result in greater preopening rent expense recognized during the rent holiday period and lesser occupancy expense during the remainder of the lease term (post-opening).

For leases that contain rent escalations in which the amount of future rent can be reasonably calculated, we record the total rent payable under the lease on a straight-line basis over the probable term (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises). Differences between rent payments and the straight-line rent expense are recorded as deferred rent. Certain leases contain provisions that require additional rent payments based upon a restaurant's sales volume ("contingent rent"). Contingent rent is accrued each period based on the actual sales, in addition to the straight-line rent expense noted above. This results in some variability in occupancy expense over the term of the lease in restaurants where we pay contingent rent.

Management makes judgments regarding the probable term for each restaurant property lease and applies these selected terms consistently to each lease. These judgments can impact the classification and accounting for a lease as capital or operating, the calculation of straight-line rent, and the term over which leasehold improvements are amortized. These judgments produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different lease terms were used.

Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if in-the-money stock options issued by us to sell common stock at set prices were exercised and if restrictions on restricted stock units issued by us were to lapse (collectively, equity awards) using the treasury stock method.

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted net income per share, including the number of dilutive equity awards that were included in the dilutive net income per share computation (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Numerator:			
Net income for basic and diluted net income per share	\$50,810	\$44,780	\$45,557
Denominator:			
Weighted-average shares outstanding - basic	20,958	21,374	23,824
Dilutive effect of equity awards	626	398	409
Weighted-average shares outstanding - diluted	21,584	21,772	24,233

At January 1, 2019, January 2, 2018, and January 3, 2017, there were approximately 0.03 million, 0.6 million, and 0.3 million shares of common stock equivalents, respectively, that have been excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share because they are anti-dilutive.

Stock Based Compensation

Under our shareholder approved stock-based compensation plans, we have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, and restricted stock units (“RSUs”), including performance and time-based restricted stock units, that generally vest over three to five years. Incentive and non-qualified stock options expire ten years from the date of grant. Stock-based compensation is recorded in accordance with U.S. GAAP based on the underlying estimated fair value of the awards granted. In valuing stock options, we are required to make certain assumptions and judgments regarding the inputs to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. These inputs include expected volatility, risk free interest rate, expected option life, dividend yield and expected vesting percentage. These estimations and judgments involve many different variables that, in many cases, are outside of our control. Changes in these variables may significantly impact the compensation cost recognized for these grants resulting in a significant impact to our financial results. The tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized (excess tax benefits) are classified as “Cash flows from financing activities” within our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and “Income tax expense (benefit)” within the Consolidated Statements of Income for the period realized.

F-10

2. Revenue Recognition

The liability related to our gift card and loyalty program, included in “Accrued expenses”, on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is as follows (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Gift card liability	\$17,201	\$14,955
Deferred loyalty revenue (post-adoption of ASU 2016-10)	\$10,066	\$—
Estimated future loyalty costs (pre-adoption of ASU 2016-10)	\$—	\$3,080

Revenues recognized on our Consolidated Statements of Income for the redemption of gift cards and loyalty rewards deferred at the beginning of each respective fiscal year is as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Revenue recognized from gift card liability	\$9,868	\$7,346	\$5,510 (1)
Revenue recognized from customer loyalty program	\$7,326	\$—	\$— (2)

(1) Prior to the adoption of ASU 2016-10, gift card breakage was recorded as other income and included within “Other (expense) income, net” on our Consolidated Statements of Income and therefore not recognized as revenue.

(2) Prior to the adoption of ASU 2016-10, loyalty rewards were recorded as marketing expense and included in “Occupancy and operating” on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

3. Accounts and Other Receivables

Accounts and other receivables consisted of the following (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Credit cards	\$18,171	\$5,723
Third party gift card sales	3,969	3,669
Tenant improvement allowances	3,704	2,952
Income taxes	4,487	145
Other	859	1,376
	\$31,190	\$13,865

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
--	--------------------	--------------------

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Land	\$8,412	\$5,701
Building improvements	367,020	362,986
Leasehold improvements	271,021	256,415
Furniture and fixtures	141,887	136,771
Equipment	298,025	283,265
	1,086,365	1,045,138
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(523,635)	(464,661)
	562,730	580,477
Construction in progress	20,024	9,367
Property and equipment, net	\$582,754	\$589,844

F-11

5. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Payroll related	\$32,249	\$24,861
Workers' compensation	20,929	19,026
Deferred revenue from gift cards	17,201	14,955
Deferred loyalty revenue (post-adoption of ASU 2016-10)	10,066	—
Sales taxes	6,858	7,117
Other taxes	4,872	7,232
Deferred lease incentives - current	5,181	4,595
Other current rent related	2,470	2,469
Utilities	2,089	2,177
Customer loyalty program (pre-adoption of ASU 2016-10)	—	3,080
Merchant cards	1,724	1,643
Other	10,281	10,111
	\$113,920	\$97,266

6. Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

We lease our restaurant and office facilities under non-cancelable operating leases with terms ranging from approximately 10 to 20 years and renewal options ranging from 5 to 20 years. Rent expense for fiscal 2018, 2017, and 2016 was \$47.6 million, \$44.7 million, and \$42.8 million, respectively.

We have certain operating leases that contain fixed rent escalation clauses or rent escalation clauses in which the amount of the future rent can be calculated. Total rent due for these leases is expensed on a straight-line basis over each respective lease term, resulting in deferred rent of approximately \$35.1 million and \$32.5 million at January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, respectively. The deferred rent will be amortized to rent expense over each respective lease term.

A number of our leases require us to pay contingent rent based on a percentage of sales above a specified minimum. Total contingent rent included within rent expense for fiscal 2018, 2017, and 2016 was approximately \$3.6 million, \$3.1 million, and \$3.8 million, respectively.

Future minimum annual rent payments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

2019	\$50,373
2020	50,723
2021	50,256
2022	49,186

2023	47,171
Thereafter	354,628
	\$602,337

Legal Proceedings

We are subject to lawsuits, administrative proceedings and demands that arise in the ordinary course of our business and which typically involve claims from customers, employees and others related to operational, employment, real estate and intellectual property issues common to the foodservice industry. A number of these claims may exist at any given time. We are self-insured for a portion of our general liability and our employee workers' compensation requirements. We maintain coverage with a third party insurer to limit our total exposure. We believe that most of our customer claims will be covered by our general liability insurance, subject to coverage limits and the portion of such claims that are self-insured. Punitive damages awards and employee unfair practice claims, however, are not covered by our general liability insurance. To date, we have not been ordered to pay punitive damages with respect to any claims, but there can be no assurance that punitive damages will not be

F-12

awarded with respect to any future claims. We could be affected by adverse publicity resulting from allegations in lawsuits, claims and proceedings, regardless of whether these allegations are valid or whether we are ultimately determined to be liable. We currently believe that the final disposition of these types of lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. It is possible, however, that our future results of operations for a particular quarter or fiscal year could be impacted by changes in circumstances relating to lawsuits, proceedings or claims.

Letters of Credit

We have irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding, as required under our workers' compensation insurance arrangements, of \$14.3 million as of January 1, 2019. Our standby letters of credit automatically renew each October 31 for one year unless 30 days' notice, prior to such renewal date, is given by the financial institution that provides the letters. The standby letters of credit issued under our Credit Facility reduce the amount available for borrowing.

7. Long-Term Debt

Line of Credit

Our Credit Facility, which matures on November 18, 2021, provides us with revolving loan commitments totaling \$250 million, of which \$50 million may be used for the issuance of letters of credit. Availability under the Credit Facility is reduced by outstanding letters of credit, which are used to support our self-insurance programs. Our obligations under the Credit Facility are unsecured. As of January 1, 2019, there were borrowings of \$95.0 million and letters of credit totaling approximately \$14.3 million outstanding under the Credit Facility. Available borrowings under the Credit Facility were \$140.7 million as of January 1, 2019. The Credit Facility bears interest at our choice of LIBOR plus a percentage not to exceed 1.75%, or at a rate ranging from Bank of America's prime rate to 0.75% above Bank of America's prime rate, based on our level of lease and debt obligations as compared to EBITDA plus lease expenses. The weighted average interest rate during fiscal 2018 was approximately 3.3%.

The Credit Facility contains provisions requiring us to maintain compliance with certain covenants, including a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio and a Lease Adjusted Leverage Ratio. At January 1, 2019, we were in compliance with these covenants.

Interest expense and commitment fees under the Credit Facility were approximately \$4.8 million, \$4.5 million and \$1.7 million, for fiscal 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. We also capitalized approximately \$0.1 million of interest expense related to new restaurant construction during fiscal 2018 and 2017.

8. Shareholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue 5.0 million shares of one or more series of preferred stock and we are authorized to determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions to be granted to, or imposed upon, any such series, including the voting rights, redemption provisions (including sinking fund provisions), dividend rights, dividend rates, liquidation rates, liquidation preferences, conversion rights and the description and number of shares constituting any wholly unissued series of preferred stock. No shares of preferred stock were issued or outstanding at January 1, 2019 or January 2, 2018. We currently have no plans to issue shares of preferred stock.

Common Stock

Shareholders are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held of record. Pursuant to the requirements of California law, shareholders are entitled to accumulate votes in connection with the election of directors. Shareholders of our outstanding common stock are entitled to receive dividends if and when declared by the Board of Directors.

Cash Dividends

During each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2018, our Board of Directors authorized and declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.11 per share of common stock. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2018, our Board of Directors authorized and declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.12 per share of common stock. While we intend to pay quarterly cash dividends, any future decisions to pay, increase or decrease cash dividends will be reviewed quarterly and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion. Debt instruments that we enter into in the future may contain, covenants that place limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay.

F-13

Stock Repurchases

During fiscal 2018, we repurchased and retired approximately 0.4 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$50.18 per share for a total of \$20.3 million, which is recorded as a reduction in common stock, with any excess charged to retained earnings. As of January 1, 2019, we have approximately \$22.2 million remaining under the current \$400 million share repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors.

9. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) for the last three fiscal years consists of the following (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Current:			
Federal	\$2,031	\$4,631	\$6,034
State	1,968	2,465	2,427
	3,999	7,096	8,461
Deferred:			
Federal	(3,142)	(15,727)	6,869
State	330	(759)	204
	(2,812)	(16,486)	7,073
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$1,187	\$(9,390)	\$15,534

Income tax expense (benefit) for the last three fiscal years differs from the amount that would result from applying the federal statutory rate as follows:

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Income tax at statutory rates	21.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	3.3	3.5	3.3
Permanent differences	(5.1)	(0.4)	—
Income tax credits	(16.0)	(18.7)	(10.6)
Prior year tax credit true-up	(0.8)	(1.1)	(1.3)
Change in statutory rate	—	(44.4)	—
Other, net	(0.1)	(0.4)	(1.0)
	2.3 %	(26.5)%	25.4 %

The components of the deferred income tax asset (liability) consist of the following (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Deferred income tax asset:		
Gift cards	\$1,542	\$2,047
Accrued expenses	11,434	8,936
Other	1,554	1,639
Deferred revenues	7,736	7,039
Stock-based compensation	3,740	4,767
Deferred rent	8,616	7,977
Income tax credits	7,990	3,266
Net operating losses	1,334	1,196
Other	1,860	1,714
State tax	434	472
Subtotal current deferred income tax asset	46,240	39,053
Valuation allowance	(248)	(161)
Total current deferred income tax asset	45,992	38,892
Deferred income tax liability:		
Property and equipment	(56,551)	(53,964)
Intangible assets	(1,458)	(1,400)
Smallwares	(3,960)	(3,814)
Total non-current deferred income tax liability	(61,969)	(59,178)
Net deferred income tax liability	\$(15,977)	\$(20,286)

At January 1, 2019, we had federal and California income tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$8.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, consisting primarily of the credit for FICA taxes paid on reported employee tip income and California enterprise zone credits. The FICA tax credits will begin to expire in 2037 and the California enterprise zone credits will begin to expire in 2023.

As of January 1, 2019, and January 2, 2018, we have recorded a valuation allowance against certain state net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, net of the federal benefit which are not more likely than not to be realized prior to expiration. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, we had accrued \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, for interest and penalties with respect to uncertain tax positions.

As of January 1, 2019, our accrual for unrecognized tax benefits was approximately \$1.5 million, of which approximately \$1.1 million, if reversed would impact our effective tax rate. We anticipate no change in our liability for unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve-month period.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year		
2018	2017	2016

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

Gross unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$1,516	\$1,245	\$2,998
Increases for tax positions taken in prior years	69	110	126
Decreases for tax positions taken in prior years	(49)	(4)	(2,037)
Increases for tax positions taken in the current year	87	200	188
Lapse in statute of limitations	(91)	(35)	(30)
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at end of year	\$1,532	\$1,516	\$1,245

Our uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination by tax agencies. As of January 1, 2019, the earliest tax year still subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service is 2015. The earliest year still subject to examination by a significant state or local taxing jurisdiction is 2014.

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (“TCJA”) was signed into law substantially amending the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The TCJA made significant changes to the taxation of corporations such as the reduction of the highest

F-15

corporate marginal tax rate from 35% to 21%, additional limitations on certain deductions for executive compensation, introducing an additional capital investment deduction, modifying rules for the deduction of interest expense, and modifying the rules regarding the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards. As of January 1, 2019, our accounting for the tax effects of the TCJA is complete. As a result of the change in tax law, we recognized a \$15.7 million tax benefit in fiscal 2017 related to the re-measurement of our net deferred tax obligations to the new federal tax rate. Other than the lower federal tax rate on income in fiscal 2018, there were no other material impacts to our fiscal 2018 provision for income taxes arising from the TCJA. We will continue to monitor and assess guidance issued by federal and state tax authorities and its impact on our provision for income taxes. Such guidance, when issued, may potentially impact prior year tax expense.

10. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

Our current shareholder approved stock-based compensation plan is the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended from time to time, “the Plan”). Under the Plan, we may issue shares of our common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. We have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, and performance and time-based restricted stock units. Stock options and stock appreciation rights, if any, are charged against the Plan share reserve on the basis of one share for each share granted. Other types of grants, including RSUs, are currently charged against the Plan share reserve on the basis of 1.5 shares for each share granted. The Plan also contains other limits on the terms of incentive grants such as limits on the number that can be granted to an employee during any fiscal year. All options granted under the Plan expire within 10 years of their date of grant.

Under the Plan, we issue non-qualified stock options as well as time-based and performance-based RSUs to vice presidents and above. We issue time-based RSUs and/or non-qualified stock options to other support employees. We also issue RSUs, and previously issued non-qualified stock options, in connection with the BJ’s Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program (the “GSSOP”). The GSSOP is a long-term equity incentive program for our restaurant general managers, executive kitchen managers, directors of operations and directors of kitchen operations. GSSOP grants are dependent on the length of each participant’s service with us and position. All GSSOP participants are required to remain in good standing during their vesting period.

The Plan permits our Board of Directors to set the vesting terms and exercise period for awards at their discretion. Stock options and time-based RSUs vest ratably over three or five years for non-GSSOP participants and either cliff vest at five years or cliff vest at 33% on the third anniversary and 67% on the fifth anniversary for GSSOP participants. Performance-based RSUs generally cliff vest on the third anniversary of the grant date in an amount from 0% to 150% of the grant quantity, dependent on the level of performance target achievement.

The following table presents the stock-based compensation recognized within our consolidated financial statements (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Labor and benefits	\$2,253	\$1,877	\$1,786
General and administrative	\$6,003	\$5,069	\$3,741
Capitalized (1)	\$328	\$298	\$180

(1) Capitalized stock-based compensation relates to our restaurant development personnel and is included in “Property and equipment, net” on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Stock Options

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the grant date using the Black Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Fiscal Year		
	2018	2017	2016
Expected volatility	33.6 %	34.7 %	35.8 %
Risk free interest rate	2.32 %	1.87 %	1.51 %
Expected option life	5 years	5 years	5 years
Dividend yield	1.50 %	1.60 %	0.00 %
Fair value of options granted	\$10.77	\$12.02	\$14.08

F-16

The exercise price of our stock options under our stock-based compensation plan is required to equal or exceed the fair value of our common stock at market close on the option grant date or the most recent trading day when grants take place on market holidays. The following table presents stock option activity:

	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
	Shares	Average Exercise Price	Shares	Average Exercise Price	
	(in thousands)	(in thousands)	(in thousands)	(in thousands)	
Outstanding at December 29, 2015	1,224	\$ 30.59	729	\$ 25.41	4.9
Granted	146	\$ 41.78			
Exercised	(88)	\$ 24.03			
Forfeited	(55)	\$ 40.56			
Outstanding at January 3, 2017	1,227	\$ 31.95	802	\$ 27.73	4.5
Granted	173	\$ 36.08			
Exercised	(57)	\$ 24.07			
Forfeited	(32)	\$ 38.83			
Outstanding at January 2, 2018	1,311	\$ 32.68	926	\$ 30.02	4.0
Granted	177	\$ 37.77			
Exercised	(876)	\$ 29.85			
Forfeited	(24)	\$ 39.43			
Outstanding at January 1, 2019	588	\$ 38.14	189	\$ 37.41	5.0

Information relating to significant option groups outstanding as of January 1, 2019, is as follows (shares in thousands):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$18.86 – \$29.88	67	3.99	48	\$ 26.68
\$30.05 – \$35.78	54	4.91	38	\$ 34.26
\$35.95 – \$35.95	114	8.04	17	\$ 35.95
\$37.03 – \$37.58	8	5.58	6	\$ 37.33
\$37.70 – \$37.70	168	9.04	—	\$ —
\$38.24 – \$42.41	69	7.08	24	\$ 42.01
\$42.94 – \$45.37	20	4.94	13	\$ 45.04
\$47.04 – \$47.04	72	6.04	34	\$ 47.04

Edgar Filing: LASERSIGHT INC /DE - Form 10QSB

\$48.64 – \$52.47	9	5.35	\$ 50.04	7	\$ 50.03
\$52.98 – \$52.98	7	6.16	\$ 52.98	2	\$ 52.98
\$18.86 – \$52.98	588	7.02	\$ 38.14	189	\$ 37.41

As of January 1, 2019, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options was \$2.4 million, which is generally expected to be recognized over the next five years.

Time-Based Restricted Stock Units

F-17

The following table presents time-based restricted stock unit activity:

	Shares	Weighted Average
	(in thousands)	Fair Value
Outstanding at December 29, 2015	429	\$ 39.63
Granted	155	\$ 40.82
Vested or released	(63)) \$ 39.47
Forfeited	(61)) \$ 42.12
Outstanding at January 3, 2017	460	\$ 39.75
Granted	200	\$ 35.72
Vested or released	(89)) \$ 42.34
Forfeited	(71)) \$ 39.51
Outstanding at January 2, 2018	500	\$ 37.72
Granted	154	\$ 47.85
Vested or released	(102)) \$ 35.66
Forfeited	(58)) \$ 40.70
Outstanding at January 1, 2019	494	\$ 40.99

The fair value of our time-based RSUs is equal to the fair value of our common stock at market close on the date of grant or the most recent trading day when grants take place on market holidays. The fair value of each time-based RSU is expensed over the vesting period (e.g., three or five years). As of January 1, 2019, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested RSUs was approximately \$9.5 million, which is generally expected to be recognized over the next five years.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

The following table presents performance-based restricted stock unit activity:

	Shares	Weighted Average
	(in thousands)	Fair Value
Outstanding at December 29, 2015	29	\$ 32.49
Granted	32	\$ 42.41
Vested or released	—	\$ —
Forfeited	(7)) \$ 36.37
Outstanding at January 3, 2017	54	\$ 37.87
Granted	40	\$ 35.95
Vested or released	—	\$ —
Forfeited	(26)) \$ 39.12
Outstanding at January 2, 2018	68	\$ 38.68
Granted	38	\$ 37.70

Vested or released	—	\$ —
Forfeited	(1) \$ 38.66
Outstanding at January 1, 2019	105	\$ 38.32

The fair value of our performance-based RSUs is equal to the fair value of our common stock at market close on the date of grant or the most recent trading day when grants take place on market holidays. The fair value of each performance-based RSU is expensed based on management’s current estimate of the level that the performance goal will be achieved. As of January 1, 2019, based on the target level of performance, the total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested performance-based RSUs was approximately \$1.3 million, which is generally expected to be recognized over the next three years.

11. Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a voluntary, contributory 401(k) plan for eligible employees. Employees may elect to contribute up to the IRS maximum for the plan year. Additionally, eligible participants may also elect catch-up contributions as provided for by the

IRS. Our executive officers and other highly compensated employees are not eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan. Employee contributions are matched by us at a rate of 33% for the first 6% of deferred earnings. We contributed approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.6 million, and \$0.5 million in fiscal 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

We also maintain a non-qualified deferred compensation plan (the “DCP”) for our executive officers and other highly compensated employees, as defined in the DCP, who are otherwise ineligible for participation in our 401(k) plan. The DCP allows participating employees to defer the receipt of a portion of their base compensation and up to 100% of their eligible bonuses. Additionally, the DCP allows for a voluntary company match as determined by our compensation committee. During fiscal 2018, there were no Company contributions made or accrued. We pay for related administrative costs, which were not significant during fiscal 2018. Employee deferrals are deposited into a rabbi trust and the funds are generally invested in individual variable life insurance contracts owned by us that are specifically designed to informally fund savings plans of this nature. Our investment in variable life insurance contracts, reflected in “Other assets, net” on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$7.2 million and \$7.8 million as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, respectively. Our obligation to participating employees, included in “Other liabilities” on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$7.3 million and \$7.5 million as of January 1, 2019 and January 2, 2018, respectively. All income and expenses related to the rabbi trust are reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

12. Related Party Transactions

James Dal Pozzo, the Chairman of the Board of the Jacmar Companies (“Jacmar”), is a member of our Board of Directors. Jacmar, through its affiliation with Distribution Market Advantage (“DMA”), a consortium of large, regional food distributors located throughout the United States, is currently our largest distributor of food, beverage, paper products and supplies. In 2006, we began using DMA to deliver the majority of our food products to our restaurants. In July 2017, after conducting a market evaluation, we entered into a new five-year agreement with DMA. The new agreement expires in June 2022.

Jacmar services our restaurants in California and Nevada, while other DMA distributors service our restaurants in all other states. Under the terms of our agreement with DMA, Jacmar is required to sell products to us at the same prices as the other DMA distributors. Jacmar does not provide us with any produce, liquor, wine or beer products, all of which are provided by other third party vendors and are included in “Cost of sales” on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The cost of food, beverage, paper products and supplies provided by Jacmar included within “Cost of sales” and “Occupancy and operating” expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year		2017		2016	
	2018					
Cost of sales:						
Third party suppliers	\$ 196,165	69.6 %	\$ 185,153	68.9 %	\$ 169,671	67.5 %
Jacmar	85,788	30.4	83,554	31.1	81,789	32.5
Cost of sales	\$ 281,953	100.0%	\$ 268,707	100.0%	\$ 251,460	100.0%
Occupancy and operating:						
Third party suppliers	\$ 229,749	96.0 %	\$ 210,616	95.8 %	\$ 195,703	95.7 %
Jacmar	9,697	4.0	9,247	4.2	8,880	4.3
Occupancy and operating	\$ 239,446	100.0%	\$ 219,863	100.0%	\$ 204,583	100.0%

The amounts included in trade payables related to Jacmar consisted of the following (in thousands):

	January 1, 2019	January 2, 2018
Third party suppliers	\$30,395	\$18,738
Jacmar	6,110	6,537
Total Accounts Payable	\$36,505	\$25,275

F-19

13. Selected Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Our summarized unaudited consolidated quarterly financial data is the following (in thousands, except per share data):

	April 3, 2018	July 3, 2018	October 2, 2018	January 1, 2019
Total revenues	\$278,523	\$287,634	\$270,268	\$280,523
Income from operations	\$16,373	\$19,120	\$7,890	\$14,187
Net income	\$14,664	\$16,945	\$8,516	\$10,685
Basic net income per share (1)	\$0.71	\$0.81	\$0.40	\$0.50
Diluted net income per share (1)	\$0.70	\$0.79	\$0.39	\$0.49
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$0.12

	April 4, 2017	July 4, 2017	October 3, 2017	January 2, 2018
Total revenues	\$257,816	\$265,817	\$247,009	\$261,140
Income from operations	\$12,949	\$12,389	\$1,593	\$10,973
Net income	\$9,266	\$9,639	\$2,389	\$23,486
Basic net income per share (1)	\$0.42	\$0.45	\$0.11	\$1.14
Diluted net income per share (1)	\$0.42	\$0.44	\$0.11	\$1.12
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$0.11

(1) Basic and diluted net income per share calculations for each quarter is based on the weighted average diluted shares outstanding for that quarter and may not sum to the full year total amount as presented on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

14. Subsequent Event

On February 19, 2019, our Board of Directors authorized and declared a cash dividend of \$0.12 per share of common stock payable on March 26, 2019, to shareholders of record at the close of business on March 12, 2019. While we intend to pay regular quarterly cash dividends in future periods, any decisions to pay or to increase or decrease cash dividends will be reviewed quarterly and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion.