CAREMARK RX INC Form 10-Q November 09, 2004 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
TERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGI OF 1934
ly period ended September 30, 2004
OR
SITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGI OF 1934
on period from to
Commission file number: 1-14200

CAREMARK RX, INC.

 $(Exact\ Name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ Specified\ in\ its\ Charter)$

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of	63-1151076 (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
21	11 Commerce Street, Suite 800
	Nashville, Tennessee 37201
(Address a	and zip code of principal executive offices)
	(615) 743-6600
(Registran	s telephone number, including area code)
	d all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act ter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject No "
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accele	erated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes x No "
As of October 31, 2004, the registrant had 454,571,038 shof common stock, par value \$.001 per share, issued and o	nares (including 6,071,089 shares held in trust to be utilized in employee benefit plans) utstanding.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

In passing the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act), 15 U.S.C.A. Sections 77z-2 and 78u-5 (Supp. 1996), Congress encouraged public companies to make forward looking statements by creating a safe harbor to protect companies from securities law liability in connection with forward-looking statements. Caremark Rx, Inc. (Caremark Rx) intends to qualify both its written and oral forward-looking statements for protection under the Reform Act and any other similar safe harbor provisions. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the words Company, we, our, and us, whenever used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, refer collectively to Caremark Rx and its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Forward-looking statements—are defined by the Reform Act. Generally, forward-looking statements include expressed expectations of future events and the assumptions on which these expressed expectations are based. All forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain as they are based on various expectations and assumptions concerning future events, and they are subject to numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected. Due to such risks and uncertainties, the investment community is urged not to place undue reliance on our written or oral forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to future operating results over time.

Forward-looking statements are contained in this document, primarily under the caption: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, referred to as MD&A, and in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part I. Moreover, through our senior management, we may from time to time make forward-looking statements about matters described herein or about other matters concerning us.

There are several factors which could adversely affect our operations and financial results, including, but not limited to, the following:

Risks relating to identification of, and competition for, growth and expansion opportunities;

Risks relating to declining reimbursement levels for, or increases in the costs of, products dispensed;

Risks relating to exposure to liabilities in excess of our insurance;

Risks relating to compliance with, or changes in, government regulation and legislation, including, but not limited to, pharmacy licensing requirements and healthcare reform legislation;

Risks relating to adverse developments in any investigation related to the pharmaceutical industry that may be conducted by governmental authorities;

Risks relating to adverse resolution of existing or future lawsuits or investigations;

Risks relating to successful integration of AdvancePCS;

Risks relating to our liquidity and capital requirements; and

Risks relating to our ability to successfully terminate leases and other contractual agreements related to our discontinued operations and the outcome of various legal disputes surrounding the closure or sale of our Physician Practice Management (PPM) business.

More detailed discussions of certain of these risk factors can be found under the captions: Business, Legal Proceedings and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.

i

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

INDEX

		Page
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2004 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2003	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	5
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	27
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	28
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	28
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	29
Signatures		30

1

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	September 30,	December 31,
	2004	2003
Aggping	(Unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 763,546	\$ 815,328
Short-term investments	198,651	Ф 615,526
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$47,974 in 2004 and \$24,746 in 2003	2,046,095	669,680
Inventories	276,194	204,939
Deferred tax asset, net	458,868	240,978
Income taxes receivable	58,487	240,976
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	21,668	15,752
repaid expenses and other current assets	21,000	13,732
Total current assets	3,823,509	1,946,677
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$221,989 in 2004 and \$164,232 in 2003	292,795	159,769
Goodwill	7,002,903	49,171
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$38,890 in 2004 and \$18,928 in 2003	793,602	9,273
Deferred tax asset, net	,,,,,,,	227,426
Other non-current assets	42,619	81,312
Total assets	\$ 11,955,428	\$ 2,473,628
Total assets	Ψ 11,733,420	Ψ 2,+73,020
TALBUT MINERAL AND GITTO CHANGE DE DOLLARY		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:	ф. С14010	Φ 205.262
Accounts payable	\$ 614,018	\$ 385,362
Claims and discounts payable	2,490,126	509,713
Other accrued expenses and liabilities	304,892	158,666
Income taxes payable	4.000	7,820
Current portion of long-term debt	4,000	2,500
Total current liabilities	3,413,036	1,064,061
Long-term debt, net of current portion	595,610	693,125
Deferred tax liability	191,239	
Other long-term liabilities	327,544	75,804
Total liabilities	4,527,429	1,832,990
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value per share; 700,000 shares authorized; issued 472,103 shares in 2004 and		
268,578 shares in 2003	472	269
Additional paid-in capital	8,517,184	1,762,477
recentional part in cupital	0,517,104	1,702,777

Unearned stock-based compensation	(27,152)	
Treasury stock 13,303 shares in 2004 and 1,855 shares in 2003	(366,768)	(28,782)
Shares held in trust 6,125 shares in 2004 and 6,263 shares in 2003	(98,740)	(101,103)
Accumulated deficit	(586,007)	(981,233)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(10,990)	(10,990)
Total stockholders equity	7,427,999	640,638
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 11,955,428	\$ 2,473,628

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these

balance sheets.

2

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended		ths Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,		
	2004	2003	2004	2003	
Net revenue (1)	\$ 7,457,892	\$ 2,256,781	\$ 17,788,277	\$ 6,624,616	
Operating expenses:					
Cost of revenues (1)(2)	7,004,741	2,058,894	16,694,143	6,069,994	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	111,645	47,420	287,501	142,308	
Depreciation	24,735	11,956	61,998	32,555	
Amortization of intangible assets	11,847	12	25,206	47	
Interest expense, net	7,306	10,448	25,714	32,417	
Stock option expense	6,408		15,493		
Integration and other related expenses	5,798		21,236		
·					
Income before provision for income taxes	285,412	128,051	656,986	347,295	
Provision for income taxes	113,593	51,221	261,760	138,918	
Net income	\$ 171,819	\$ 76.830	\$ 395,226	\$ 208,377	
Net income	\$ 171,819	\$ 76,830	\$ 395,226	\$ 208,377	
Average number of common shares outstanding - basic	456,131	259,697	398,113	257,156	
Common stock equivalents - stock options and warrants	8,638	6,848	9,302	6,838	
common stock equivalents stock options and warrants					
Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted	464,769	266,545	407,415	263,994	
Net income per common share - basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.81	
Net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.79	

⁽¹⁾ Includes approximately \$1.3 billion and \$304 million of retail copayments for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, and approximately \$3.2 billion and \$919 million of retail copayments for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of

these statements.

⁽²⁾ Excludes depreciation expense, which is presented separately.

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

Nine Months Ended

	September 30,	
	2004	2003
Cash flows from continuing operations:		
Net income	\$ 395,226	\$ 208,377
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by continuing operations:		
Deferred income taxes	233,887	127,618
Depreciation and amortization	87,204	32,602
Non-cash interest expense	2,392	2,705
Writeoff of deferred financing costs	2,206	
Stock option expense	15,493	
Other non-cash expenses	249	688
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and disposals of businesses	379,145	60,289
Net cash provided by continuing operations	1,115,802	432,279
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures, net	(55,446)	(39,417)
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	(391,933)	(3,441)
Purchases of short-term investments	(198,651)	(25,000)
Partial liquidation of cost-method investment	10,382	(23,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(635,648)	(67,858)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments under credit facilities	(247,625)	(1,875)
Borrowing under new term loan	150,000	(1,073)
Principal payment under AdvancePCS Senior Notes Tender Offer	(206,810)	
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options and retirement of warrants	125,628	63,953
Purchase of treasury stock	(337,986)	(6,111)
Deferred financing costs	(3,857)	(100)
Securities issuance costs	(2,729)	(100)
Securities issuance costs		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(523,379)	55,867
Cash used in discontinued operations	(8,557)	(59,661)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(51,782)	360,627
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	815,328	306,804
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 763,546	\$ 667,431

Non-cash investing activities related to the AdvancePCS Acquisition:		
Fair value of non-cash net assets acquired (based on the Company s preliminary purchase price allocation)	\$ 6,914,853	
Issuance of approximately 191 million shares of common stock	\$ 6,227,720	
Issuance of replacement stock options for the purchase of approximately 14 million shares of common stock, net		
of approximately \$49.9 million allocated to unearned compensation	271,909	
Issuance of replacement warrants for the purchase of approximately 902,000 shares of common stock	15,000	
	\$ 6,514,629	

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of

these statements.

4

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Business and Basis of Presentation

Caremark Rx, a Delaware corporation, is one of the largest pharmaceutical services companies in the United States. The Company s operations are conducted primarily through Caremark Inc. (Caremark), a wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of Caremark Rx, and CaremarkPCS (f/k/a AdvancePCS) (CaremarkPCS or AdvancePCS), a wholly-owned, direct subsidiary of Caremark Rx. Caremark Rx acquired AdvancePCS on March 24, 2004, as further described at Note 4, *Acquisition of AdvancePCS and Integration Plan*, below. The Company s customers are primarily sponsors of health benefit plans (employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, managed care organizations) and individuals located throughout the United States.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Caremark Rx and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial reporting and in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary for a fair presentation of results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for a full year. The condensed consolidated balance sheet of the Company at December 31, 2003, has been derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. These financial statements and footnote disclosures should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2003, which appear in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation. Such reclassifications had no material effect on the Company s previously reported consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 2. Stock Options

The Company accounts for options to purchase its common stock under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (FAS 123). When the Company adopted FAS 123, it elected to continue using the intrinsic value method of expense recognition contained in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25) and related interpretations, instead of the fair value method found in FAS 123, to account for employee stock options granted under its stock-based compensation plans.

The intrinsic value method requires the Company to recognize compensation expense based on the difference in the market price and the exercise price of options at their grant date. The exercise price of option grants under the Company s stock-based compensation plans is equal to or greater than the market price of the underlying stock on the grant date; therefore, no compensation expense, other than compensation expense for the replacement stock options issued in connection with the AdvancePCS Acquisition as further discussed below, has

5

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

been recognized in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in 2004. The Company recognized approximately \$6.4 million and \$15.5 million of stock option expense in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, related to the intrinsic value of unvested stock options issued to AdvancePCS optionees in exchange for their AdvancePCS options upon completion of the Company s acquisition of AdvancePCS. The total intrinsic value of these unvested options at the acquisition date was approximately \$49.9 million, and the Company is expensing the intrinsic value of these options over their vesting periods. The actual amount to be expensed will be reduced for any options that are canceled prior to vesting, and approximately \$7.3 million of the \$49.9 million originally allocated to unearned stock-based compensation was forfeited during the nine months ended September 30, 2004, due to cancellation of the underlying stock options.

FAS 123 requires companies which elected to continue applying the intrinsic value method to disclose pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share as if the Company had recognized compensation expense for employee stock option grants using the fair value method described therein. The pro forma impact of applying this provision, using the Black-Scholes model (multiple-option method) to compute the fair value of stock option grants, on the Company s net income and net income per common share is as follows (dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
As reported:				
Net income	\$ 171.8	\$ 76.8	\$ 395.2	\$ 208.4
Stock-based employee compensation cost (1)	\$ 3.9	\$ 0.1	\$ 9.4	\$ 0.3
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.81
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.79
Pro forma:				
Net income	\$ 169.3	\$ 75.6	\$ 390.8	\$ 203.2
Stock-based employee compensation cost (2)	\$ 6.5	\$ 1.4	\$ 13.9	\$ 5.5
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.79
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.77

Edgar Filing: CAREMARK RX INC - Form 10-Q

Black-Scholes assumptions (3) (weighted average):				
Risk-free interest rate	3.37%	N/A	2.41%	2.01%
Expected volatility	24%	N/A	37%	45%
Expected option lives (years)	4.0	N/A	3.1	3.1

⁽¹⁾ Represents the amount of stock-based employee compensation cost (net of benefit from income taxes) included in the determination of net income during the period.

⁽²⁾ Represents the amount of stock-based employee compensation cost (net of benefit from income taxes) that would have been included in the determination of net income if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards vesting during the period, including the unvested replacement stock options issued to AdvancePCS optionees.

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

(3) Represents Black-Scholes inputs used to value options granted during the period. The amounts reflected for the 2004 periods were significantly influenced by the inputs used to value the unvested component of the replacement stock options issued to AdvancePCS optionees in connection with the AdvancePCS Acquisition (as defined below). The expected volatility and option lives for the options issued in the three months ended September 30, 2004, were significantly impacted by a change in the Company s stock option plans whereby all preexisting plans, under which options became fully vested two years from the grant date, were consolidated into the Company s 2004 Stock Incentive Plan that was approved by the Company s stockholders in March 2004. Options granted under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan become fully vested five years from the date of grant.

The following table summarizes the Company s stock option activity for the three months and the nine months ended September 30, 2004 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended Nine Month	
	September 30, 2004	September 30, 2004
Options outstanding - beginning of period	25,807	18,999
Options granted, excluding AdvancePCS Acquisition	40	4,754
Replacement stock options issued for AdvancePCS Acquisition		14,184
Exercises (1)	(1,101)	(12,143)
Cancellations	(426)	(1,474)
Options outstanding - end of period	24,320	24,320

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 5.4 million of the options exercised in the nine-month period related to exercises by former executives of AdvancePCS.

Note 3. Short-term investments

Amounts reflected in short-term investments on the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2004, consist of commercial paper and other debt instruments with initial maturities greater than three months when purchased and therefore not considered to be cash equivalents. These investments, which are classified as available-for-sale, were purchased as part of the Company s cash management strategy and are carried at historical cost, which approximated fair value at September 30, 2004.

Note 4. Acquisition of AdvancePCS and Integration Plan

Acquisition of AdvancePCS. On March 24, 2004, Caremark Rx acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of AdvancePCS, which, like the Company, is a pharmaceutical services company (the AdvancePCS Acquisition). AdvancePCS had historically focused on a different customer market segment (primarily managed care organizations) than Caremark (primarily employers). The Company s management believes that Caremark Rx and AdvancePCS are complementary companies and that their combination results in an organization with the increased scale, enhanced financial capacity and diversified customer portfolio necessary to increase stockholder value, enhance customer care and increase cost efficiencies.

Under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of September 2, 2003, by and among the Company, Cougar Merger Corporation and AdvancePCS (the Merger Agreement), AdvancePCS stockholders received value equivalent to 2.15 shares of the Company s common stock for each AdvancePCS share based on

7

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

the average closing price of the Company s stock for the five trading days ending March 17, 2004, which was \$32.61 per share. This consideration was paid 90% in the Company s common stock (an aggregate of 190,979,096 shares) and 10% in cash (an aggregate of \$692 million), which was funded with cash on hand. This purchase price calculation valued AdvancePCS primarily on the basis of management s expectations of future earnings and cash flows and resulted in the recognition of goodwill.

The results of operations of AdvancePCS beginning March 24, 2004, are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. The pro forma results of operations of the Company and AdvancePCS, prepared based on the preliminary purchase price allocation for AdvancePCS as described further below and as if the AdvancePCS Acquisition had occurred at the beginning of each period, were as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		ths Ended
September 30, September 30,			ıber 30,
2004	2003	2004	2003
\$ 7,457,892	\$ 6,924,943	\$ 22,398,080	\$ 20,735,737
\$ 175,072	\$ 123,844	\$ 443,193	\$ 334,676
\$ 0.38	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.76
\$ 0.38	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.73
	2004 \$ 7,457,892 \$ 175,072 \$ 0.38	2004 2003 \$ 7,457,892 \$ 6,924,943 \$ 175,072 \$ 123,844 \$ 0.38 \$ 0.28	2004 2003 2004 \$ 7,457,892 \$ 6,924,943 \$ 22,398,080 \$ 175,072 \$ 123,844 \$ 443,193 \$ 0.38 \$ 0.28 \$ 0.97

The pro forma financial information above is not necessarily indicative of what the Company s consolidated results of operations actually would have been if the AdvancePCS Acquisition had been completed at the beginning of each period. In addition, the pro forma financial information above does not attempt to project the Company s future results of operations.

The pro forma revenue amount presented above includes approximately \$1.3 billion of retail copayments for each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, and approximately \$4.2 billion and \$4.0 billion of retail copayments for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 respectively. The pro forma financial information reflects the following pro forma adjustments and assumptions:

- (a) Inter-company revenue related to Caremark s historical participation in AdvancePCS s specialty pharmacy networks was eliminated. This adjustment had no impact on pro forma net income or pro forma earnings per share.
- (b) Additional quarterly amortization expense of approximately \$9 million was added to AdvancePCS s standalone results for periods prior to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. This adjustment represents the elimination of AdvancePCS s historical amortization expense for identifiable intangible assets and recording approximately \$12.1 million of amortization expense per quarter based on the Company s estimates of the values and lives of acquired intangible assets.
- (c) Total stock option expense of \$6.4 million and \$23.7 million was included in the three-month and nine-month periods, respectively, to reflect the accounting treatment of unvested replacement stock options issued to AdvancePCS optionees as discussed in Note 2.

8

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

- (d) Approximately \$5.8 million and \$21.2 million of integration and other related expenses incurred in the three months and the nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, in connection with the AdvancePCS Acquisition were eliminated.
- (e) Approximately \$4 million of quarterly interest expense was eliminated from AdvancePCS s standalone results for periods prior to the AdvancePCS Acquisition to reflect the repurchase of the AdvancePCS 8 1/2% senior notes due 2008 described further below in Note 6, Long-term Debt.
- (f) Incremental shares of common stock were added to the Company s basic and diluted shares outstanding, respectively, in both periods to reflect the issuance of the Company s common stock as 90% of the acquisition consideration and the additional common stock equivalents resulting from issuance of the replacement stock options described in Note 2.

The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the net assets acquired from AdvancePCS. The allocation of the purchase price paid by the Company to the assets and liabilities acquired from AdvancePCS is preliminary and subject to revision based on the outcome of ongoing evaluations of these assets and liabilities. The Company completed the most significant of these evaluations, the finalization of the values and lives of acquired customer relationships and of the fair values of acquired lease obligations, in the three months ended September 30, 2004. The final values and lives of acquired intangible assets, as of the date of the AdvancePCS Acquisition, were as follows:

Asset	Value (thousands)	Weighted Average Life (years)
Customer relationships	\$ 799,000	18.9
Non-compete agreements	10,281	1.8
Technology	1,000	5.0
		
	\$ 810,281	

Integration Plan. The Company is currently in the process of integrating its previously existing business with that of AdvancePCS. The Company expects the major activities under the plan with respect to workforce rationalization to be completed by the end of 2004. Additional integration planning items such as system integration strategies will most likely continue to be evaluated into 2005.

The Company expects to adjust the AdvancePCS purchase price allocation to reflect, as additional liabilities and goodwill, one-time termination and/or relocation benefits or other exit costs to the extent they relate to employees or activities of AdvancePCS prior to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. The Company also expects to record additional expenses for similar costs to the extent that they relate to employees or activities of the Company prior to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. Future amounts recorded for the integration plan are not expected to be material to the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Through September 30, 2004, the Company has incurred obligations to pay approximately \$34.6 million of involuntary termination and related benefits to former AdvancePCS executives and other former employees of AdvancePCS. These amounts are included in the preliminary AdvancePCS purchase price allocation. The Company also incurred liabilities totaling approximately \$3.7 million for involuntary termination benefits payable to its existing employees during the nine months ended September 30, 2004. This amount is included in Integration and other related expenses on the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income. The remainder of the \$21.2 million of Integration and other related expenses for the nine months

9

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

ended September 30, 2004, consists primarily of: (1) a writeoff of approximately \$2.2 million of deferred loan costs for indebtedness retired in conjunction with the closing of the AdvancePCS Acquisition; (2) approximately \$9 million of integration planning activities related to the AdvancePCS Acquisition and (3) approximately \$3.5 million related to retention benefit obligations under the AdvancePCS Retention Plan. A rollforward of liabilities for involuntary termination benefits incurred in connection with the integration plan is as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004
Balance at beginning of period	\$
Involuntary termination benefits charged to:	
AdvancePCS purchase price allocation	34,599
Integration and other related expenses	3,724
	
	38,323
Payments	(36,483)
	
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,840

Note 5. Income Taxes

At December 31, 2003, the Company had a cumulative income tax net operating loss (NOL) carryforward of approximately \$1.4 billion available to reduce future amounts of taxable income. If not utilized to offset future taxable income, including amounts of taxable income generated through September 30, 2004, these NOL carryforwards will expire on various dates through 2021, with over 90% of the total NOL carryforward amount expiring from 2019 to 2021. In addition to these NOL carryforwards, the Company had approximately \$42 million of future additional income tax deductions related to its discontinued operations and a federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforward of approximately \$27 million, which may be used to offset its ordinary federal corporate income taxes in the future. At September 30, 2004, the Company also had a net income tax receivable of approximately \$58 million related primarily to net operating loss carryback claims expected to be filed in December 2004 that were generated by AdvancePCS prior to the date of the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

Note 6. Long-term Debt

The Company s long-term debt at September 30, 2004, and December 31, 2003, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Sep	September 30,		December 31,	
		2004		2003	
Bank Credit Facility:					
Term loan facility (2.75% at September 30, 2004)	\$	148,000	\$		
Revolving facility					
			_		
		148,000			
Previous credit facility:		,			
Term loan facility				245,625	
Revolving facility					
				245,625	
Receivables Facility					
7.375% senior notes due 2006		450,000		450,000	
AdvancePCS 8.5% senior notes due 2008		1,610			
			_		
		599,610		695,625	
Less amounts due within one year:					
Bank Credit Facility - term loan		(4,000)			
Previous credit facility - term loan				(2,500)	
	\$	595,610	\$	693,125	

Bank Credit Facility. On March 24, 2004, the Company entered into a \$550 million unsecured bank credit facility (Bank Credit Facility) with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, which replaced the Company s then-existing credit facility. The Bank Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company s material subsidiaries, including Caremark and CaremarkPCS.

The Bank Credit Facility matures on March 23, 2009, and consists of: (i) a \$150 million term loan facility with scheduled quarterly principal payments of \$1 million which began in June 2004, and (ii) a \$400 million revolving credit facility. At September 30, 2004, the Company had approximately \$386.2 million available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility, exclusive of approximately \$13.8 million reserved under letters of credit.

Borrowings under the Bank Credit Facility currently bear interest at variable rates based on the London Inter-bank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus varying margins. At the Company s option, or upon certain defaults or other events, borrowings under the Bank Credit Facility may instead bear interest based on the prime rate plus varying margins.

The Bank Credit Facility requires the Company to comply with a maximum leverage ratio financial covenant, a minimum interest expense coverage ratio financial covenant and other covenants customarily found in investment-grade debt offerings. The Bank Credit Facility also includes various customary events of default, including cross default provisions and defaults for any material judgment or change in control.

11

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

Receivables-backed Credit Facility. On March 24, 2004, the Company entered into a \$500 million receivables-backed credit facility (Receivables Facility) with Wachovia Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for a group of lenders collectively referred to as the conduits. Under the terms of the Receivables Facility, Caremark Receivables LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Caremark Rx, has agreed to purchase certain accounts receivable from Caremark and CaremarkPCS and to sell a first priority undivided percentage ownership interest, along with a first priority security interest, in these purchased receivables to the conduits. The Receivables Facility expires on March 23, 2005. No amounts were outstanding under the Receivables Facility at September 30, 2004.

AdvancePCS Senior Notes. In conjunction with the acquisition of AdvancePCS, the Company conducted a consent solicitation and tender offer for the AdvancePCS 8 ½% Senior Notes due 2008 (AdvancePCS Senior Notes). The Company successfully tendered for approximately \$186.2 million (face amount) of the AdvancePCS Senior Notes, with \$1.6 million remaining outstanding at September 30, 2004. The aggregate premium paid for the tender offer was approximately \$20.6 million.

Debt Covenant Compliance. The Company was in compliance with all debt covenants at September 30, 2004.

Note 7. Contingencies

As a participant in the healthcare industry, the Company s business operations are subject to complex federal and state laws and regulations and enforcement by federal and state governmental agencies as described on the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed with the SEC on March 9, 2004. The Company is subject to various lawsuits and governmental investigations relating to its continuing PBM operations and its discontinued PPM and contract services operations. Legal actions involving the Company include, without limitation, business disputes, contract disputes, employment disputes and professional liability claims.

In October 2004, Caremark Rx and Caremark were served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois by the Chicago District Council of Carpenters Welfare Fund alleging that Caremark Rx and Caremark each act as a fiduciary as that term is defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA) and that Caremark Rx and Caremark have breached certain purported fiduciary duties under ERISA. In addition, the lawsuit alleges breach of contract and violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud Deceptive Business Practices Act. The lawsuit seeks unspecified monetary damages and restitution.

In July 2004, Caremark Rx and Caremark were served with a purported private class action lawsuit that was filed by Robert Moeckel, on behalf of the John Morrell Employee Benefits Plan, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee alleging that Caremark Rx and Caremark each act as a fiduciary as that term is defined in the ERISA and that Caremark Rx and Caremark have breached certain purported

fiduciary duties under ERISA. This lawsuit, which is similar to the Bickley and Dolan actions described below and other pending litigation filed against other PBM companies, seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. Caremark Rx and Caremark have filed motions seeking the complete dismissal of this action on various grounds. The motions are currently pending before the court.

In July 2004, the Company received Civil Investigative Demands (CIDs) from the Office of the State of Washington Attorney General seeking information, pursuant to consumer protection statutes, relating to the PBM business practices of Caremark Rx, Caremark and AdvancePCS. The companies have received CIDs from 25 states and the District of Columbia. Caremark Rx, Caremark and AdvancePCS intend to fully cooperate with the requests for information and cannot predict the timing, outcome or consequences of the review of such

12

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

information or whether such review could lead to the commencement of any legal proceedings affecting the Company.

In January 2003, a sealed *qui tam* action was filed by relators Michael Fowler and Peppi Fowler, two pharmacists then employed by Caremark, purportedly as private attorneys general acting on behalf of the State of Florida, the State employees—pharmacy benefits plan and plan members. The lawsuit seeks monetary damages and includes allegations relating to certain business practices of Caremark, including alleged violations of the Florida False Claims Act. The State of Florida indicated in July 2003 that it would not intervene in the lawsuit, and the lawsuit was unsealed in November 2003. On March 5, 2004, Caremark filed a lawsuit for damages and attorneys—fees and costs alleging that the Fowlers had unlawfully misappropriated and disclosed to third parties documents containing confidential patient health information in violation of the privacy protections found in various state and federal laws and seeking a court order directing that they return the misappropriated documents to Caremark. Caremark—s complaint was subsequently amended on July 15, 2004 to include allegations that the Fowlers and at least one other member of their family had fraudulently obtained, and unlawfully filled, refilled, and distributed, prescriptions for pharmaceuticals. On June 16, 2004, the State of Florida filed a Motion to Intervene in the *qui tam* action, in which motion the State sought to replace the Fowlers in litigating the lawsuit. On July 26, 2004, the Circuit Court of Leon County, Florida, Second Circuit, denied the State—s Motion to Intervene. Discovery in the *qui tam* lawsuit filed by the Fowlers is continuing.

In October 2003, Caremark Rx was served with a purported class action lawsuit filed by John Lauriello in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama. The lawsuit was filed on behalf of a purported class of persons who were participants in the 1999 settlement of then pending securities class action and derivative lawsuits against Caremark Rx and others. Also named as defendants are several insurance companies that had provided coverage to Caremark Rx up to the time of the settlement. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, to recover approximately \$3.2 billion in compensatory damages plus unspecified punitive damages, pre-judgment interest, costs and attorneys fees from the defendants for their alleged intentional, reckless and/or negligent misrepresentation and suppression of material facts relating to the amount of insurance coverage that was available to pay any settlement or judgment arising out of the claims that were resolved by the 1999 settlement. Alternatively, the lawsuit seeks to re-open the judgment approving the 1999 settlement. After the Court overruled the defendants joint motion to dismiss in July 2004, the defendants amended their answers, which, among other things, denied all of the material allegations of the complaint. The parties have now filed pleadings setting out their respective positions as to how this case should proceed, and anticipate that the court is ruling on those motions will generally address the scope, timing, and need for discovery on both the class issues presented and the substantive claims asserted.

In November 2003, a second class action lawsuit was filed by Frank McArthur in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama arising out of the same 1999 settlement of then pending securities class action and derivative lawsuits against Caremark Rx and others. This lawsuit also was filed on behalf of a purported class of persons who were participants in the 1999 settlement, and named as defendants Caremark Rx, several insurance companies that had provided coverage to Caremark Rx up to the time of the settlement, and a number of lawyers and law firms involved in negotiating and securing the approval of the 1999 settlement. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, to recover approximately \$3.2 billion in compensatory damages plus unspecified punitive damages, pre-judgment interest, costs and attorneys fees from the defendants for their alleged intentional, reckless and/or negligent misrepresentation and suppression of material facts relating to the amount of insurance coverage that was available to pay any settlement or judgment arising out of the claims that were resolved by the 1999 settlement. On December 18, 2003, John Lauriello, the plaintiff in the lawsuit filed in October 2003

13

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

discussed in the paragraph above, filed a motion to intervene and a motion to dismiss, abate or stay this lawsuit on the grounds that it was a duplicative, later-filed, class action complaint. On January 15, 2004, Caremark Rx and the other defendants filed their own motion to abate, dismiss or stay the lawsuit as a later-filed class action that is substantially similar to the previous class action lawsuit filed by Mr. Lauriello. The defendants motion to stay was granted by the court, and the lawsuit was transferred to an Administrative Docket where it will be reviewed every ninety (90) days.

In October 2003, Caremark Rx, Caremark and AdvancePCS were served with a purported class action complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama by North Jackson Pharmacy, Inc. and C&C, Inc. d/b/a Big C Discount Drugs, Inc., two independent pharmacies. The initial complaint alleged purported violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act in three counts. In December 2003, Caremark Rx, Caremark and AdvancePCS filed motions to dismiss the complaint for failure to state any claim upon which relief can be granted. In February 2004, the plaintiffs amended and restated their class action complaint, dropping two counts under Section 1 of the Sherman Act and adding a count under Section 2 of the Sherman Act. The defendants moved to dismiss the amended class action complaint. Following a court hearing, the plaintiffs were granted leave to file a second amended class action complaint to restate their purported antitrust claims against the defendants. The court granted a motion filed by Caremark Rx and Caremark to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois pursuant to the terms of the pharmacy services agreements between Caremark and the plaintiffs. The court also granted a motion filed by AdvancePCS to compel arbitration of any claims between it and the plaintiffs pursuant to the pharmacy services agreements it has with the plaintiffs. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois denied Caremark s motion to dismiss the second amended class action complaint. The plaintiffs are seeking three times actual money damages and injunctive relief enjoining the alleged antitrust violations.

In August 2003, AdvancePCS was served with a purported class action brought by Bellevue Drug Co., Robert Schreiber, Inc., d/b/a Burns Pharmacy and Rehn-Huerbinger Drug Co., d/b/a Parkway Drugs #4, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and the Pharmacy Freedom Fund and the National Community Pharmacists Association filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The plaintiffs allege antitrust violations under Section 1 of the Sherman Act arising from AdvancePCS s establishment of network rates for retail pharmacies. The plaintiffs are attempting to certify a class of all pharmacies that, at any time during the period commencing four years before the filing of the litigation through the present, contracted with AdvancePCS to dispense and sell brand name and generic prescription drugs for any prescription drug benefit plan(s). The plaintiffs seek three times actual money damages and injunctive relief enjoining the alleged antitrust violations. AdvancePCS has moved to compel arbitration of any claims between it and the plaintiffs pursuant to the pharmacy services agreements it has with the plaintiffs. The motion to compel arbitration has been granted. The plaintiffs have moved for reconsideration of the court's decision or to have the decision certified for an immediate appeal. The plaintiffs motion is pending.

In March and April of 2003, AdvancePCS, and subsequently Caremark Rx and Caremark, were served with a complaint by an individual named Robert Irwin. The plaintiff filed the action individually and purportedly as a private attorney general on behalf of the general public of the State of California, the non-ERISA health plans who contract with PBM companies and the individuals who are members of those plans. Other PBM companies are also named as defendants in this lawsuit, which alleges violations of the California unfair competition law. Specifically, the lawsuit challenges alleged business practices of PBMs, including practices relating to pricing, rebates, formulary management, data utilization and accounting and administrative processes. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, restitution and disgorgement of revenues. Discovery is proceeding in this lawsuit.

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

In March 2003, AdvancePCS, Caremark Rx and Caremark were served with a purported representative action filed by American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, a labor union comprised of numerous autonomous local unions and affiliations. Other PBM companies are also named as defendants in this lawsuit. The lawsuit alleges violations of the California unfair competition law. Specifically, the lawsuit challenges alleged business practices of PBMs, including practices relating to rebates, pricing, formulary management and mail order services. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, restitution and disgorgement of revenues. This case has been coordinated with the Irwin case described above before a single judge in Los Angeles County, and discovery is proceeding.

In April 2002, Caremark Rx was served with a purported private class action lawsuit that was filed by Roland Bickley, on behalf of the Georgia Pacific Corporation Life, Health and Accident Plan, in the United States District Court, Central District of California alleging that Caremark Rx and Caremark each act as a fiduciary as that term is defined in ERISA and that Caremark Rx and Caremark have breached certain purported fiduciary duties under ERISA. On August 29, 2002, this case was ordered transferred to the United States District Court, Northern District of Alabama. Caremark Rx was subsequently served on May 29, 2002 with a virtually identical lawsuit, containing the same types of allegations, which was filed by Mary Dolan, on behalf of Wells Fargo Health Plan, and also filed in the United States District Court, Central District of California. On December 12, 2002, this case was also ordered transferred to the United States District Court, Northern District of Alabama. Both of these lawsuits have been amended to name Caremark as a defendant, and Caremark Rx has been dismissed from the second case filed. These lawsuits, which are similar to the Moeckel case described above and the pending litigation filed against AdvancePCS (described below) and other PBM companies, seek unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. Caremark Rx and Caremark, as applicable, have filed motions seeking the complete dismissal of both of these actions on various grounds. The motions are currently pending before the court.

In April 2002, AdvancePCS was served with a purported class action filed by Tommie Glanton in the United States District Court of Arizona brought on behalf of the plaintiff s health plan and a putative class of self-funded health plans. In March 2003, AdvancePCS was served with a complaint filed by Tara Mackner in which the plaintiff, a purported participant in a self-funded health plan customer of AdvancePCS, sought to bring action on behalf of that plan. Each of the lawsuits sought unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. Because the previously filed Glanton case purported to be brought as a class action on behalf of self-funded plans, the court consolidated the Mackner case and the Glanton case. In November 2003, the court dismissed and terminated both the Glanton and Mackner cases on the pleadings, finding that the plaintiffs lacked standing to bring the actions under ERISA. The plaintiffs have appealed the District Court s dismissal of these cases to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The plaintiffs and AdvancePCS have filed their briefs in the appeal, and the United States Department of Labor has filed an amicus brief.

In March 1998, PCS Health Systems, Inc., a subsidiary of PCS Holding Corporation, which was acquired by Advance Paradigm (now known as AdvancePCS) in October 2000, was served with a purported class action lawsuit filed by Ed Mulder in the United States District Court of the District of New Jersey. The lawsuit alleges that PCS Health Systems, Inc. acts as a fiduciary, as that term is defined in ERISA, and has breached certain purported fiduciary duties under ERISA. The plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief and monetary damages in an unspecified amount. The plaintiff purported to represent a nation-wide class consisting of all members of all ERISA plans for which PCS Health Systems, Inc. provided PBM services during the class period. AdvancePCS opposed certification of this class, and in July 2003 the court entered an order certifying a more limited class comprised only of members of those ERISA plans for which PCS Health Systems, Inc. provided services under its contract with a single HMO for a limited time period. Discovery in this lawsuit is proceeding. In October 2004, AdvancePCS filed a motion for summary judgment. The motion is currently pending before the court.

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

In December 2001, AARP and United Healthcare Insurance Co., (United) filed a complaint against AdvancePCS in the United States District Court in Minnesota asserting claims against AdvancePCS for violation of Minnesota s deceptive trade practices act, tortious interference with prospective economic advantage and unjust enrichment. In September 2004, this matter was settled, and the lawsuit has been dismissed.

In November 1999, PCS Health Systems, Inc. received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) requesting that PCS Health Systems, Inc. produce documents in connection with an investigation. The investigation is ongoing and being pursued under the direction of the U.S. Attorney s Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Based on public statements from that office, the investigation appears to involve a review of the practices of PBMs under federal anti-kickback statutes and other laws and regulations. AdvancePCS has provided documents responsive to the subpoena, and the U.S. Attorney s Office has sought information from pharmaceutical manufacturers that have contractual relationships with AdvancePCS. The U.S. Attorney General also issued CIDs seeking to compel the depositions of certain current and former employees of AdvancePCS.

In September 2002, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania entered an order holding in abeyance both AdvancePCS s dispute with the OIG regarding the scope and enforcement of the subpoena for documents and employee e-mail as well as the CIDs, pending the good faith efforts of the parties to reach a mutual resolution of the outstanding discovery issues. Since entry of that order, AdvancePCS has reached agreement with the U.S. Attorney s office regarding the scope of its document requests and facilitated interviews of certain employees of AdvancePCS. The government has continued to request the production of additional documents and interviews, including information relating to the activities of Advance Paradigm prior to the acquisition of PCS Holding Corporation, and the activities of AdvancePCS subsequent to such acquisition, as well as additional information regarding AdvancePCS s arrangements with retail pharmacies and health plans. AdvancePCS continues to cooperate with the OIG, has already produced certain requested materials and intends to continue to work with the OIG to facilitate the production of further documents and arrange the requested interviews.

It is not possible to predict the outcome of this investigation or whether the government will commence any action challenging any of AdvancePCS s programs and practices. We believe that AdvancePCS s programs, including those prior to the PCS Holding Corporation acquisition, are in compliance with the requirements of the anti-kickback and false claims statutes and other applicable laws and regulations. Moreover, other than those actions filed in connection with the enforcement of the subpoena, neither the OIG nor U.S. Attorney has filed any actions or claims against AdvancePCS nor have they indicated their intention to do so. Nevertheless, as a result of this investigation, AdvancePCS could be subject to scrutiny, further investigation or challenge under federal or state anti-kickback and false claims statutes or other laws and regulations which could cause its business, profitability and growth prospects to suffer materially.

In 1993, approximately 3,900 independent and retail chain pharmacies filed a group of antitrust lawsuits and a class action lawsuit against brand name pharmaceutical manufacturers, wholesalers and PBM companies. Caremark was named as a defendant in a number of these lawsuits in 1994, but was not named in the class action. The complaints that named Caremark, which were transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois for pretrial proceedings, charged that certain defendant PBM companies, including Caremark, were favored buyers who knowingly induced or received discriminatory prices from pharmaceutical manufacturers in violation of the Robinson-Patman Act. Each complaint sought unspecified treble damages, declaratory and equitable relief and attorney s fees and expenses. The claims against

Caremark were stayed in

16

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

September 30, 2004

(Unaudited)

1995 and have remained stayed. Numerous settlements among the parties other than Caremark have been reached. The remaining price fixing claims, which were not brought against Caremark and do not involve Caremark, likely will be the next claims to move forward to trial in United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Thereafter, certain of the Robinson-Patman Act claims not involving Caremark likely will proceed to trial if not settled. Caremark cannot anticipate when the stay might be lifted against it and, once lifted, the claims against Caremark would then need to undergo discovery and pretrial proceedings before any trial date could be scheduled. Substantially all of the cases transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois for pretrial proceedings, including most of the cases with claims brought against Caremark, have been recently transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York for further coordinated proceedings.

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims of liability or for damages in the actions that have been made against it; however, there can be no assurance that pending lawsuits or investigations will not have a disruptive effect upon the operations of the business, that they will not consume the time and attention of the Company s senior management, or that their resolution, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the operating results and financial condition of the Company or potentially cause the Company to make changes to its current business practices. It is at least reasonably possible that the Company may have incurred a loss related to one or more of the pending lawsuits or investigations disclosed in this footnote; however, the Company is unable to estimate the range of possible loss which may be ultimately realized upon their resolution. The Company intends to vigorously defend each of its pending lawsuits.

17

CAREMARK RX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

September 30, 2004

The purpose of the following MD&A is to help facilitate an understanding of the significant factors influencing our historical operating results, financial condition and cash flows and also to convey management s expectations of the potential impact of known trends, events or uncertainties that may materially impact future results. This MD&A contains forward-looking statements as described on page i of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our MD&A should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Additionally, the reader is also encouraged to refer to our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and MD&A, including our critical accounting policies, for the year ended December 31, 2003, which appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2004.

Overview

We are one of the largest pharmaceutical services companies in the United States. Our services assist employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, managed care organizations and other sponsors of health benefit plans and individuals throughout the United States in delivering prescription drugs in a cost-effective manner. On March 24, 2004, Caremark Rx acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of AdvancePCS as further described in Note 4, *Acquisition of AdvancePCS and Integration Plan*, to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

Our pharmaceutical services are generally referred to as pharmacy benefit management, or PBM, services and involve the design and administration of programs aimed at reducing the costs and improving the safety, effectiveness and convenience of prescription drug use.

We generate our net revenue primarily from dispensing prescription drugs to participants in accordance with health benefit plans sponsored by our customers. We dispense pharmaceuticals through our seven large, automated mail service pharmacies and our 21 smaller, regional mail service pharmacies. We also maintain a nationwide network comprised of over 57,000 retail pharmacies with which we have contracted to purchase pharmaceuticals for immediate delivery to our customers participants.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

Our future operating results and financial condition are dependent on our ability to market our services profitably, which is, in turn, heavily dependent on our ability to successfully negotiate discounts for pharmaceutical purchases at various points in our supply chain, and to successfully increase market share and manage expense growth relative to revenue growth. Our future operating results and financial condition may be affected by a number of additional factors, including: (i) identification of, and competition for, growth and expansion opportunities; (ii) declining reimbursement levels for, or increases in the costs of, products dispensed; (iii) exposure to liabilities in excess of our insurance; (iv) compliance with, or changes in, government regulation, including pharmacy licensing requirements and healthcare reform legislation; (v) adverse developments in any investigation related to the pharmaceutical industry that may be conducted by governmental authorities; (vi) adverse resolution of existing or future lawsuits or investigations; (vii) our ability to successfully integrate AdvancePCS; (viii) liquidity and capital requirements and (ix) our ability to successfully terminate leases and other contractual agreements related to our discontinued operations and the outcome of various legal disputes surrounding our discontinued PPM business. Changes in one or more of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our future operating results and financial condition.

18

There are various legal matters which, if adversely determined, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. See Note 7, *Contingencies* to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected information about our results of continuing operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003:

					Percent	age
	Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Mont	ths Ended	Increase/(De	ecrease)
	September 30,		September 30,		2004 over 2003	
	2004	2003	2004	2003	Three Months	Nine Months
	(In	millions, except	per share amoun	its)		
Net revenue	\$ 7,457.9	\$ 2,256.8	\$ 17,788.3	\$ 6,624.6	230.5%	168.5%
Operating expenses:						
Cost of revenues (excluding						
depreciation) (1)	7,004.8	2,058.9	16,694.2	6,070.0	240.2%	175.0%
Selling, general and administrative	,	,	,	,		
expenses	111.6	47.4	287.5	142.3	135.4%	102.0%
Depreciation	24.8	12.0	62.0	32.6	106.7%	90.2%
Amortization of intangible assets	11.8		25.2		N/C	N/C
Interest expense, net	7.3	10.4	25.7	32.4	29.8%	20.7%
Stock option expense	6.4		15.5		N/C	N/C
Integration and other related expenses	5.8		21.2		N/C	N/C
	7,172.5	2,128.7	17,131.3	6,277.3	236.9%	172.9%
Income from continuing operations before						
provision for income taxes	285.4	128.1	657.0	347.3	122.8%	89.2%
Provision for income taxes	113.6	51.3	261.8	138.9	121.4%	88.5%
Net income	\$ 171.8	\$ 76.8	\$ 395.2	\$ 208.4	123.7%	89.6%
Net income	φ 171.6	φ /0.6	φ 373.2	φ 200.4	123.770	69.0%
Net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.79	27.6%	22.8%
		, ,,,,,		, ,,,		
Operating Income (2)	\$ 292.7	\$ 138.5	\$ 682.7	\$ 379.7	111.3%	79.8%
Operating Margin	3.92%	6.14%	3.84%	5.73%		
EBITDA (3)	\$ 329.3	\$ 150.5	\$ 769.9	\$ 412.3	118.8%	86.7%
(=)	, 22,13	, 2000		,		33.776

Edgar Filing: CAREMARK RX INC - Form 10-Q

EBITDA Margin	4.42%	6.67%	4.33%	6.22%		
Net cash provided by (used in):						
Continuing operations	\$ 413.9	\$ 164.9	\$ 1,115.8	\$ 432.3	151.0%	158.1%
Investing activities	\$ (223.8)	\$ (41.0)	\$ (635.6)	\$ (67.9)	445.9%	836.1%
Financing activities	\$ (293.5)	\$ 24.1	\$ (523.4)	\$ 55.9	N/C	N/C
Discontinued operations	\$ (6.3)	\$ (6.4)	\$ (8.6)	\$ (59.7)	1.6%	85.6%
Revenues:						
Mail Service	\$ 2,194.5	\$ 1,115.7	\$ 5,686.0	\$ 3,269.1	96.7%	73.9%
Retail (4)	5,202.4	1,126.4	11,945.8	3,314.7	361.9%	260.4%
Other	61.0	14.7	156.5	40.8	315.0%	283.6%
	\$ 7,457.9	\$ 2,256.8	\$ 17,788.3	\$ 6,624.6	230.5%	168.5%
Cost of revenues:						
Drug ingredient cost (4)	\$ 6,803.8	\$ 1,975.2	\$ 16,190.6	\$ 5,819.5	244.5%	178.2%
Pharmacy operating costs and other costs of revenues (1)	201.0	83.7	503.6	250.5	140.1%	101.0%
,						
	\$ 7,004.8	\$ 2,058.9	\$ 16,694.2	\$ 6,070.0	240.2%	175.0%
Pharmacy claims processed:						
Mail	11.8	6.3	30.4	18.3	87.3%	66.1%
Retail	132.6	21.9	300.7	66.3	505.5%	353.5%
	144.4	28.2	331.1	84.6	412.1%	291.4%

- (1) Cost of revenues excludes allocable depreciation of approximately \$21.1 million and \$10.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, and approximately \$52.1 million and \$28.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. These amounts are included in total depreciation for each period.
- (2) Operating Income equals income before provision for income taxes excluding interest expense, net. Operating Income is computed in accordance with SEC rules; however, it is subject to the same limitations as our presentation of EBITDA as described at (3) below.
- (3) We believe that EBITDA, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is a supplemental measurement tool used by analysts and investors to help evaluate a company s overall operating performance, its ability to incur and service debt and its capacity for making capital expenditures. We use EBITDA, in addition to operating income and cash flows from operating activities, to assess our liquidity and performance and believe that it is important for investors to be able to evaluate our company using the same measures used by our management. EBITDA can be reconciled to net cash provided by continuing operations, which we believe to be the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
Net income	\$ 171.8	\$ 76.8	\$ 395.2	\$ 208.4
Depreciation	24.8	12.0	62.0	32.6
Amortization of intangible	11.8		25.2	
Interest expense, net	7.3	10.5	25.7	32.4
Provision for income taxes	113.6	51.2	261.8	138.9
EBITDA	329.3	150.5	769.9	412.3
Cash interest (payments) receipts	1.5	(1.3)	(22.7)	(21.1)
Cash tax (payments) refunds	(4.1)	(3.4)	30.2	(12.8)
Other non-cash expenses	6.4	0.1	17.9	0.7
Other changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions				
and disposals of businesses	80.8	19.0	320.5	53.2
Net cash provided by continuing operations	\$ 413.9	\$ 164.9	\$ 1,115.8	\$ 432.3

EBITDA does not represent funds available for our discretionary use and is not intended to represent or to be used as a substitute for net income or cash flow from operations data as measured under GAAP. The items excluded from EBITDA are significant components of our statement of income and must be considered in performing a comprehensive assessment of our overall financial performance. EBITDA and the associated year-to-year trends should not be considered in isolation. Our calculation of EBITDA may not be consistent with calculations of EBITDA used by other companies.

(4) Includes approximately \$1.3 billion and \$304 million in the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, and approximately \$3.2 billion and \$919 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively, of amounts paid by individual participants in our customers benefit plans directly to the third-party pharmacies in our retail networks (i.e., retail copayments).

Pro Forma Operating Results

The following table sets forth selected pro forma information about our results of continuing operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003. This pro forma information was prepared as if the AdvancePCS Acquisition had been consummated at the beginning of each respective period. Additional information concerning the pro forma presentation appears in Note 4, *Acquisition of AdvancePCS and Integration Plan*, to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

	Pro Forma Three Months Ended September 30,		Pro Forma Nine Months Ended September 30,		Percentage Increase/(Decrease)		
					2004 over 2003		
	2004	2003	2004	2003	Three Months	Nine Months	
	(In ı	nillions, excep	ot per share an	nounts)			
Net revenue	\$ 7,457.9	\$ 6,924.9	\$ 22,398.1	\$ 20,735.8	7.7%	8.0%	
Operating expenses:							
Cost of revenues (excluding							
depreciation)	7,004.8	6,550.5	21,155.1	19,667.4	6.9%	7.6%	
Selling, general and administrative							
expenses	111.6	113.3	348.9	342.9	1.5%	1.7%	
Depreciation	24.7	22.6	72.1	63.5	9.3%	13.5%	
Amortization of intangible assets	12.1	12.1	36.2	36.3	0.0%	0.3%	
Interest expense, net	7.3	14.4	25.8	46.4	49.3%	44.4%	
Stock option expense	6.4	6.4	23.7	23.7	0.0%	0.0%	
	7,166.9	6,719.3	21,661.8	20,180.2	6.7%	7.3%	
Income before provision for income							
taxes	291.0	205.6	736.3	555.6	41.5%	32.5%	
Provision for income taxes	115.9	81.8	293.1	220.9	41.7%	32.7%	
Net income	\$ 175.1	\$ 123.8	\$ 443.2	\$ 334.7	41.4%	32.4%	
- 107 3330 5 333							
Net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.73	40.7%	30.1%	
Pharmacy claims processed:							
Mail	11.8	10.5	34.6	30.8	12.4%	12.3%	
Retail	132.6	129.8	404.2	398.1	2.2%	1.5%	
	102.0	127.0	.01.2				
	144.4	140.3	438.8	428.9	2.9%	2.3%	

Results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2004 compared to the same period in 2003

AdvancePCS Operating Results. The results of operations of AdvancePCS are included in our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The primary factor influencing the comparison of our results of operations from 2003 to 2004 was the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

Net Revenue. Net revenue increased by approximately \$5.2 billion to approximately \$7.5 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2004 from approximately \$2.3 billion in 2003. On a pro forma basis, net revenue increased by approximately \$533 million, or 7.7%, to approximately \$7.5 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2004, from approximately \$6.9 billion in 2003. Pro forma revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2004, were reduced by approximately \$344 million from amounts recorded in the same period in 2003 due to the previously announced renewal of a large contract, and a corresponding change in revenue recognition for this contract from a gross basis to a net basis. This accounting change had no impact on net income. Pro forma revenue growth was reduced by a higher dispensing rate of generic drugs that have lower prices but result in healthcare cost savings for our customers. Excluding the impact of higher generic dispensing

21

rates, pro forma revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2004, would have increased approximately 12% over the pro forma 2003 amount, reflecting drug cost inflation and net new business in 2004.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues increased approximately \$4.9 billion to approximately \$7.0 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2004 from approximately \$2.1 billion in 2003. On a pro forma basis, cost of revenues increased by approximately \$454 million, or 6.9%, to approximately \$7.0 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2004, from approximately \$6.6 billion in 2003. Pro forma cost of revenue growth was favorably impacted by a higher dispensing rate of generic drugs, to 38.4% for mail prescriptions in the three months ended September 30, 2004, from 35.3% during the same period in 2003, and to 49.4% for retail prescriptions in the three months ended September 30, 2004, from 46.4% during the same period in 2003. Generic drugs have lower prices but result in healthcare cost savings for our customers and generally a higher gross profit margin for the company. Pro forma cost of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2004, were reduced by approximately \$344 million from amounts recorded in the same period in 2003 due to the contract change referred to above. The rate of increase in pro forma cost of revenues was also favorably impacted by economies of scale achieved through the combined purchasing efficiency of Caremark and AdvancePCS.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased on an absolute basis in 2004, due primarily to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. On a pro forma basis, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 1.5% on an absolute basis. Selling, general and administrative expenses also decreased as a percentage of net revenue, to 1.50% from 1.64%, primarily reflecting the impact of elimination of duplicative costs subsequent to the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

Depreciation. Depreciation increased in 2004 due primarily to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. Depreciation increased in 2004 on a pro forma basis, due primarily to the amounts and timing of depreciation related to capital expenditures made to increase capacities in our mail service pharmacies.

Amortization of Intangible Assets. The amortization of intangible assets recorded in 2004 was related entirely to the intangible assets acquired from AdvancePCS. The \$11.8 million recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2004, reflects the 2004 quarterly rate of \$12.1 million net of a reduction of approximately \$300,000 related to previous periods in 2004. This reduction arose from adjusting the previously recorded amounts based on the preliminary valuation of the related intangible assets to their final valuations during the period then ended.

Interest Expense, Net. The decrease in net interest expense in 2004 resulted primarily from increased interest income generated by cash on hand and short term investments.

Stock Option Expense. The stock option expense recorded in 2004 relates to the intrinsic value of unvested stock options held by AdvancePCS optionees on the date of the AdvancePCS Acquisition. We issued replacement stock options to these optionees with vesting terms identical to their original stock options. The intrinsic value amount related to the unvested portion of these replacement stock options will be recognized as an expense in future periods. We expect to record approximately \$5 million of expense for these stock options in the remainder of 2004.

Provision for Income Taxes. As a result of the AdvancePCS Acquisition, our provision for income taxes was recorded using a 39.8% effective tax rate on book income in 2004 compared to the 40% effective tax rate on book income in 2003.

Results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared to the same period in 2003

AdvancePCS Operating Results. The results of operations of AdvancePCS for the period March 24, 2004 through September 30, 2004, are included in our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The primary factor influencing the comparison of our results of operations from 2003 to 2004 was the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

22

Net Revenue. Net revenue increased by approximately \$11.2 billion to approximately \$17.8 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from approximately \$6.6 billion in 2003. On a pro forma basis, net revenue increased by approximately \$1.66 billion, or 8%, to approximately \$22.4 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, from approximately \$20.7 billion in 2003. Pro forma revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, were reduced by approximately \$713 million from amounts recorded in the same period in 2003 due to the previously announced renewal of a large contract, and a corresponding change in revenue recognition for this contract from a gross basis to a net basis. This accounting change had no impact on net income. Pro forma revenue growth was also reduced by a higher dispensing rate of generic drugs that have lower prices but result in healthcare cost savings for our customers. Excluding the impact of higher generic dispensing rates, pro forma revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, would have increased approximately 11% over the pro forma 2003 amount, reflecting drug cost inflation and net new business in 2004.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues increased approximately \$10.6 billion to approximately \$16.7 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from approximately \$6.1 billion in 2003. On a pro forma basis, cost of revenues increased by approximately \$1.48 billion, or 7.6%, to approximately \$21.2 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, from approximately \$19.7 billion in 2003. Pro forma cost of revenue growth was favorably impacted by a higher dispensing rate of generic drugs, to 37.4% for mail prescriptions in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, from 35.2% during the same period in 2003, and to 48.5% for retail prescriptions in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, from 46.2% during the same period in 2003. Generic drugs have lower prices but result in healthcare cost savings for our customers and generally a higher gross profit margin for the company. Pro forma cost of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, were reduced by approximately \$713 million from amounts recorded in the same period in 2003 due to the contract change referred to above. The rate of increase in pro forma cost of revenues was also favorably impacted by economies of scale achieved through the combined purchasing efficiency of Caremark and AdvancePCS.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased on an absolute basis in 2004, due primarily to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. On a pro forma basis, selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 1.8% on an absolute basis. However, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of net revenue, to 1.56% from 1.65%, reflecting primarily the impact of the cost reductions achieved to date from the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

Depreciation. Depreciation increased in 2004 due primarily to the AdvancePCS Acquisition. Depreciation increased in 2004 on a pro forma basis due primarily to the amounts and timing of depreciation related to capital expenditures made to increase capacities in our mail service pharmacies.

Amortization of Intangible Assets. The amortization of intangible assets recorded in 2004 was related entirely to the intangible assets acquired from AdvancePCS.

Interest Expense, Net. The decrease in net interest expense in 2004 resulted primarily from increased interest income generated by cash on hand.

Stock Option Expense. The stock option expense recorded in 2004 relates to the intrinsic value of unvested stock options held by AdvancePCS optionees on the date of the AdvancePCS Acquisition. We issued replacement stock options to these optionees with vesting terms identical to their original stock options. The intrinsic value amount related to the unvested portion of these replacement stock options will be recognized as an expense in future periods.

Provision for Income Taxes. As a result of the AdvancePCS Acquisition, our provision for income taxes was recorded using a 39.8% effective tax rate on book income beginning in the second quarter of 2004 compared to the 40% effective tax rate on book income in 2003 and the first

quarter of 2004.

Historical Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. We broadly define liquidity as our ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow to meet our obligations and commitments. In addition, liquidity includes the ability to obtain appropriate financing to meet

23

our business objectives. Therefore, liquidity cannot be considered separately from capital resources that consist of current or potentially available funds for use in achieving business objectives and meeting debt service commitments.

The following tables set forth selected information concerning our liquidity and capital resources and changes therein for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 (in millions):

			Nine M	Ionths	Ended
			Septen	nber 3	0, 2004
Net cash provided by (used in):					
Continuing operations			\$	1	,115.8
Investing activities					(635.6)
Financing activities					(523.4)
Discontinued operations					(8.6)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents for the nine months ended September 30, 2004					(51.8)
Cash and cash equivalents - December 31, 2003					815.3
Cash and cash equivalents - September 30, 2004			\$		763.5
	g .				
	Septen	iber 30,		Decer	nber 31,
	-	1ber 30,			nber 31, 003
Net working capital (1)	-				
Net working capital (1)		004		2	003
Net working capital (1) Long-term debt:		004		2	003
		004		2	003
Long-term debt:	\$	410.5		\$	882.6
Long-term debt:	\$	410.5		\$	882.6
Long-term debt: Fixed-rate debt	\$	410.5		\$ \$	882.6 450.0
Long-term debt: Fixed-rate debt	\$	410.5		\$ \$	882.6 450.0

⁽¹⁾ Working capital equals total current assets minus total current liabilities.

Cash Flows from Continuing Operations. Our performance relative to net cash provided by continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, resulted from factors discussed above related to income from continuing operations coupled with focused management of working capital. In the nine months ended September 30, 2004, our cash flows from continuing operations included a refund of approximately \$55 million of estimated income taxes paid by AdvancePCS prior to the AdvancePCS Acquisition and was also positively impacted by approximately \$75 million of reductions in inventory levels at the mail service pharmacies acquired from AdvancePCS. The net decrease in working capital from December 31, 2003, to September 30, 2004, is due primarily to our use of cash on hand to fund the AdvancePCS Acquisition and related transactions coupled with the acquired working capital deficiency of AdvancePCS and the purchase of treasury stock referred to below.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. Cash flows from investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, include \$391.9 million paid for the AdvancePCS Acquisition (net of cash acquired), \$198.7 million invested in available-for-sale securities and \$55.4 million of capital expenditures, offset by proceeds of approximately \$10.4 million received from the partial liquidation of our investment in a private company that was formerly one of our subsidiaries.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. On March 24, 2004, in conjunction with the AdvancePCS Acquisition, we restructured our indebtedness as follows:

Our then-existing \$550 million credit facility, consisting of a \$250 million term loan facility and a \$300 million revolving credit facility, was retired. We paid off the balance of the term loan facility, approximately \$245.6 million. No amounts were outstanding under the revolving credit facility;

Our then-existing \$125 million Trade Receivables Sales Facility, under which no amounts were outstanding, was terminated;

24

We entered into a new \$550 million bank credit facility, consisting of a \$150 million term loan facility and a \$400 million revolving credit facility. We borrowed \$150 million under the term loan facility on March 24, 2004, and principal payments of \$1.0 million per quarter began in June 2004. No amounts were outstanding under the revolving credit facility (excluding reductions in availability of approximately \$13.8 million for letters of credit) at September 30, 2004; and

We entered into a new \$500 million receivables-backed credit facility, which is similar to our former Trade Receivables Sales Facility but is structured as indebtedness rather than as a sale of the accounts receivable. No amounts were outstanding under the receivables-backed credit facility at September 30, 2004.

We also received net proceeds of approximately \$115.4 million from issuance of common stock under employee benefit plans, including exercises of stock options, and approximately \$10.2 million of proceeds from exercise of a warrant to purchase our common stock. These proceeds were offset by our payments of approximately \$206.8 million to repurchase AdvancePCS &2% Senior Notes, approximately \$338 million to repurchase 11,448,000 shares of our common stock, approximately \$2.7 million of expenses to register and issue the common stock used for the AdvancePCS Acquisition and approximately \$3.9 million of costs associated with the restructuring of indebtedness described above.

Cash Flows from Discontinued Operations. In addition to the amounts paid through September 30, 2004, to service liabilities which arose from our discontinued PPM operations, we have accrued approximately \$29 million of remaining net liabilities related to our discontinued operations. These amounts are estimates, and actual amounts could differ from those recorded.

Credit Facility. We have a \$550 million credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent which consists of a \$400 million revolving credit facility and a \$150 million term loan facility maturing in March 2009.

At September 30, 2004, borrowings under the credit facility bore interest at variable rates based on the London Inter-bank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus varying margins and consisted of outstanding term loans of \$148 million. At September 30, 2004, we had approximately \$386.2 million available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility, exclusive of approximately \$13.8 million reserved under letters of credit.

The credit facility is guaranteed by our material subsidiaries and contains restrictive covenants. The guarantees and covenants applicable to the credit facility are described in further detail in Note 6, Long-term Debt to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Receivables Backed Facility. We have arranged to sell a first priority undivided percentage ownership interest and a first priority security interest in certain of our accounts receivable pursuant to a \$500 million revolving period trade receivables sales facility which is described in further detail in Note 6, Long-term Debt to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. At September 30, 2004, we had sold no interests in our accounts receivable into this facility and retained full availability of the \$500 million committed thereunder.

Outlook

Liquidity and Capital Resources Overview. Currently, our liquidity needs arise primarily from: (i) commitments related to financing obtained through the issuance of long-term debt; (ii) working capital requirements (iii) capital expenditures and (iv) funding discontinued operations

(including the funding of any retained liabilities). Additionally, subject to certain restrictions in our credit facility, we have acquired businesses, and may continue to acquire additional businesses in the future, and could fund any such acquisition

Table of Contents

using cash on hand and short-term investments, availability under our receivables-backed credit facility or our revolving credit facility, or a combination thereof. We believe that our cash flows from operations and amounts available under our receivables-backed and revolving credit facilities are sufficient to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

Stock Repurchase Program. On July 20, 2004, we announced that we had increased to \$750 million the amount authorized for repurchases of our common stock on the open market under our previously announced repurchase program. These repurchases will occur at times and in amounts that management deems appropriate, and we repurchased approximately 11.4 million shares at an aggregate cost of approximately \$338 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Additional details for repurchases under our stock repurchase program appear at Part II Item 2.

Integration and Other Related Expenses. We incurred approximately \$21.2 million of costs, primarily for integration planning, severance/retention, and relocation, in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. We anticipate that we will incur additional costs associated with integration activities that are not expected to be material to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We also recorded integration-related severance benefits in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, totaling approximately \$34.6 million that were capitalized as part of the AdvancePCS Acquisition.

Planned Capital Expenditures. We expect total capital expenditures for the remainder of 2004 to be approximately \$35 million to \$40 million, including capital expenditures associated with the AdvancePCS Acquisition for both recurring capital expenditures necessary to support AdvancePCS s customers and incremental capital expenditures associated with systems integration projects identified as of the date of this filing.

Discontinued Operations. Future cash needed to fund the remaining net liabilities of discontinued operations and estimated exit costs, which were estimated to be approximately \$29 million, in aggregate, at September 30, 2004, will be funded by cash flows from continuing operations and by borrowings under our receivables-backed credit facility or our revolving credit facility. We believe that these sources will be sufficient to fund these payments.

Deferred Income Taxes. At December 31, 2003, we had a cumulative income tax net operating loss (NOL) carryforward of approximately \$1.4 billion available to reduce future amounts of taxable income. If not utilized to offset future taxable income, these NOL carryforwards will expire on various dates through 2021, with over 90% of the total NOL carryforward amount expiring from 2019 to 2021. In addition to these NOL carryforwards, we had approximately \$42 million of future additional income tax deductions related to our discontinued operations. The Company also had a federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforward of approximately \$27 million, which may be used to offset its ordinary federal corporate income taxes in the future. We currently expect to fully utilize the benefit of these NOL and alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards in the second half of 2005. After these carryforwards and are fully utilized, the amounts of cash taxes we pay as a percentage of pretax income will increase significantly.

26

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates related to debt outstanding under our credit facilities. Our earnings and the fair value of our fixed-rate debt are subject to change as a result of movements in market interest rates. At September 30, 2004, we had \$148 million of obligations which were subject to variable rates of interest. A hypothetical increase in interest rates of 1% from the rate at September 30, 2004, would result in an increase in annual interest expense of approximately \$1.5 million, presuming that obligations subject to variable interest rates remained constant. The impact of such a change on the carrying value of long-term debt would not be significant. These amounts are determined based on only the impact of the hypothetical interest rates on our outstanding obligations and do not consider the effects, if any, of the potential changes in the overall level of economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2004, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. During the quarter ended September 30, 2004, there were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

In June 2004, the Staff of the SEC s Office of the Chief Accountant of the Division of Corporation Finance issued a Frequently Asked Questions document (FAQ) relating to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX 404). Question 3 of the FAQ indicates that if a public company completes a material acquisition, the Staff will not object if management excludes the internal controls of the acquired business from the assessment of internal control over financial reporting required under SOX 404 during the fiscal year in which the acquisition took place, provided the registrant includes appropriate disclosure thereof in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for such fiscal year. Based on the guidance provided in the FAQ, we intend to exclude certain internal controls of AdvancePCS from our SOX 404 assessment of Caremark s internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2004.

The AdvancePCS internal controls being excluded will be limited to those surrounding processes that remain exclusively related to the acquired AdvancePCS business through 2004. To the extent that an AdvancePCS process has been integrated with a Caremark process in 2004, the related internal controls will be included in our SOX 404 assessment.

27

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to certain legal proceedings as described in Note 7, Contingencies to its unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and hereby incorporated herein by reference.

In October 2004, Caremark Rx and Caremark were served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois by the Chicago District Council of Carpenters Welfare Fund alleging that Caremark Rx and Caremark each act as a fiduciary, as that term is defined in the ERISA, and that Caremark Rx and Caremark have breached certain purported fiduciary duties under ERISA. In addition, the lawsuit alleges breach of contract and violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud Deceptive Business Practices Act. The lawsuit seeks unspecified monetary damages and restitution.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the three months ended September 30, 2004, the Company repurchased shares of its common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (1)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs Since Inception	of S	ckimate Dollar Value Shares that May t Be Purchased Under clans or Programs (2)
Balance at June 30, 2004			2,904,700		
July 2004	3,838,500	\$ 30.44	6,743,200	\$	570,764,449
August 2004	5,779,900	\$ 28.52	12,523,100	\$	405,945,416
September 2004 (3)	979,700	\$ 29.62	13,502,800	\$	376,924,459
Total	10,598,100	\$ 29.32	13,502,800	\$	376,924,459

⁽¹⁾ Per share amounts include transaction costs. The total average price paid per share in the table above represents the average price paid per share for repurchases initiated during the three months ended September 30, 2004. The average price paid per share for all repurchases made under the program from its inception through September 30, 2004, was \$27.63.

⁽²⁾ On July 1, 2002, the Company announced that it had adopted a plan to purchase up to \$150 million of its common stock on the open market. On July 20, 2004, the Company announced that it had raised the authorized repurchases under this plan to \$750 million.

The Company s stock repurchase plan does not have a set expiration date, and repurchases under the plan will be made at times and in amounts as the Company s management deems appropriate. In October 2004, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 4,354,800 shares (excluding the 200,000 shares described in note 3 below) of its common stock under this plan at an average price per share of approximately \$29.24. As of November 8, 2004, the Company could spend approximately \$250 million for additional share repurchases under this plan.

(3) Includes 200,000 shares for a repurchase transaction initiated on September 30 and settled on October 5.

28

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit

No.	
3.1	Caremark Rx, Inc. Fourth Restated Certificate of Incorporation filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, is hereby incorporated by reference.
3.2	Caremark Rx, Inc. Seventh Amended and Restated Bylaws filed as Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, is hereby incorporated by reference.
10.1	Caremark Rx, Inc. Synergy Achievement Supplemental Bonus Plan.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

29

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Caremark Rx, Inc.

By: /s/ Howard A. McLure

Howard A. McLure

Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 9, 2004

30