K2 INC Form 10-Q May 10, 2006

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

Commission File No. 1-4290

K2 INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

95-2077125 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5818 El Camino Real

Carlsbad, California 92008
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant s telephone number, including area code (760) 494-1000

Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report:

Not applicable

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one).

Large Accelerated Filer "

Accelerated Filer x

Non-Accelerated Filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

At May 3, 2006 there were 47,048,702 shares of the registrant s Common Stock (\$1.00 par value) outstanding.

PART - 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(Thousands, except per share figures)

	Three Mor Marc 2006	nths Ended ch 31, 2005
Net sales	\$ 348,071	\$ 318,291
Cost of products sold	235,516	215,472
Gross profit	112,555	102,819
Selling expenses	61,875	58,715
General and administrative expenses	38,073	34,093
Operating income	12,607	10,011
Interest expense	7,833	7,253
Other income, net	(752)	(721)
Income before income taxes	5,526	3,479
Provision for income taxes	1,884	1,155
Net income	\$ 3,642	\$ 2,324
Basic earnings per share:		
Net income	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05
Diluted earnings per share:		
Net income	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05
Basic shares outstanding	46,719	46,177
	•	•
Diluted shares outstanding	47,397	47,502
C C	,5,7	,=

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2006 (unaudited) (Thousands, ex	December 31, 2005
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,400	\$ 11,797
Accounts receivable, less allowances for doubtful accounts of \$16,226 (2006) and \$15,922 (2005)	311,837	380,442
Inventories, net	358,686	359,028
Deferred income taxes	7,726	5,044
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	21,705	21,905
Total current assets	716,354	778,216
Property, plant and equipment	298,436	295,202
Less allowance for depreciation and amortization	157,605	151,147
	140,831	144,055
Other Assets		
Goodwill	107,284	107,027
Tradenames	117,001	117,001
Other intangible assets, net	19,034	19,988
Other	23,878	24,289
Total Assets	\$ 1,124,382	\$ 1,190,576
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Bank loans	\$ 15,491	\$ 24,296
Accounts payable	77,238	93,470
Income taxes payable	33,925	31,946
Accrued payroll and related	36,348	40,555
Other accruals	78,609	85,256
Current portion of long-term debt	33,113	33,265
Total current liabilities	274,724	308,788
Long-term pension liabilities	26,758	26,758
Long-term debt	245,113	280,717
Deferred income taxes	18,139	21,286
Convertible subordinated debentures	99,120	99,003
Shareholders Equity		
Preferred Stock, \$1 par value, authorized 12,500,000 shares, none issued		
Common Stock, \$1 par value, authorized 110,000,000 shares in 2006 and 2005, issued shares - 47,739,987 in		
2006 and 47,663,227 in 2005	47,740	47,663
Additional paid-in capital	504,461	503,624
Retained deficit	(61,361)	(65,003)
Treasury shares at cost, 763,140 shares in 2006 and 2005	(9,360)	(9,360)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20,952)	(22,900)
	-	
Total Shareholders Equity	460,528	454,024
	. 50,020	,021
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,124,382	\$ 1,190,576
Total Entermites and Shareholders Equity	Ψ 1,127,302	Ψ 1,170,570

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements

Edgar Filing: K2 INC - Form 10-Q

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006 (The	2005 ousands)
Operating Activities		
Net Income	\$ 3,642	\$ 2,324
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	8,602	8,406
Non-cash stock compensation charges	515	125
Deferred taxes	(5,829)	341
Changes in current assets and current liabilities	45,292	5,732
Net cash provided by operating activities	52,222	16,928
	,	,
Investing Activities		
Property, plant and equipment expenditures	(5,658)	
Disposals of property, plant and equipment	2,757	222
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired	(262)	
Other items, net	(507)	2,038
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,670)	(4,649)
Financing Activities		
Borrowings under long-term debt	275,692	294,000
Payments of long-term debt	(311,331)	(269,864)
Net decrease in short-term bank loans	(8,805)	(22,022)
Exercise of stock options	398	140
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(44,046)	2,254
Effects of foreign exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	97	(298)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	4,603	14,235
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,797	25,633
At 00g	11,777	20,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 16,400	\$ 39,868

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2006

NOTE 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006.

The consolidated condensed balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

K2 Inc. (K2) reports its financial statements using a 52/53 week year with a 13 week quarter ending on the closest Sunday to the end of March, June, September and December. Fiscal year 2006 includes 53 weeks versus 52 weeks in 2005 fiscal year, and the first quarter 2006 includes 14 weeks versus 13 weeks in the first quarter 2005. For purposes of the consolidated financial statements, the end of each quarter is stated as of March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, respectively.

The interim financial statements should be read in connection with the financial statements in K2 Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 155, Accounting for Hybrid Financial Instruments. SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities-a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125. SFAS No. 155 permits the fair value re-measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation and clarifies that both interest-only and principal-only strips are not subject to the provision of SFAS 133. Further, SFAS No. 155 establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding versus those that are embedded derivatives. Other provisions relate to matters of concentration of credit risk and application of certain provisions to special purpose entities. The effective date for the provisions of SFAS No. 155 is for those instruments acquired or issued after

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

the beginning of our fiscal year 2007. K2 believes that SFAS No. 155 should not have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3 Stock Based Compensation

Stock Option Activity

Under K2 s 2005, 2004, 1999 and 1994 Stock Option Plans (2005 Plan, 2004 Plan, 1999 Plan and 1994 Plan, respectively), options may be granted to eligible directors and key employees of K2 and its subsidiaries at not less than 100% of the market value of the shares on the dates of grant. The 2005 Plan and 2004 Plan also permit for the granting of restricted shares, restricted stock units and other stock-based and performance awards. The 2005, 2004, 1999 and 1994 Plans permit the granting of options for terms not to exceed ten years from date of grant. The options are exercisable on such terms as may be established at the dates of grant and generally vest over three years.

Options granted, exercised and forfeited under the 2005 Plan, 2004 Plan, 1999 Plan and 1994 Plan and options assumed from acquisitions (collectively, the Plans) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at December 31, 2005	4,237,332	\$	11.60	6.76	\$
Granted	5,000		10.08		
Exercised	(49,820)		7.99		
Expired	(39,046)		14.92		
Options outstanding at March 31, 2006	4,153,466	\$	11.61	6.57	\$

Intrinsic value is defined as the difference between the relevant current market value of the common stock and the grant price for options with exercise prices less than the market values on such dates. Cash received from stock options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$0.4 million and the actual tax benefit realized from these exercises was zero.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 3 Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

Options are granted at an exercise price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant. Information regarding stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2006 is as follows:

		Options Outstanding Weighted			Options Exercisable		
Price Range	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$2.39 - \$4.06	22,826	\$ 3.13	5.21 years	\$	22,826	\$ 3.13	\$
\$4.07 - \$5.84	142,208	5.11	5.36 years		142,208	5.11	
\$5.85 - \$7.25	434,959	7.12	4.00 years		434,959	7.12	
\$7.26 - \$8.76	834,208	7.69	5.73 years		834,208	7.69	
\$8.77 - \$13.25	1,313,684	12.35	7.82 years		1,296,184	12.36	
\$13.26 - \$14.51	1,114,856	13.71	8.03 years		1,114,856	13.71	
\$14.52 - \$19.47	114,992	17.26	3.77 years		114,992	17.26	
\$19.48 - \$26.50	173,183	24.73	1.30 years		173,183	24.73	
\$26.51 - \$65.00	2,550	49.07	.54 years		2,550	49.07	
Total	4.153.466	\$ 11.61	6.57 years	\$	4.135.966	\$ 11.61	\$

Adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004)

On January 1, 2006, K2 adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), which requires K2 to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award the requisite service period. No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the requisite service. The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments is estimated using option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the use of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and the option for pro forma disclosure in accordance with SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 3 Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

SFAS No. 123R permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods. First, a modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for (a) all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date. Or second, a modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits companies to restate prior periods based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123R or purposes of proforma disclosures, either for (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption. K2 adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified-prospective method and therefore prior periods are not restated.

SFAS No. 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a cash flow from financing activities, rather than a cash flow from operating activities as required under previous guidance. This requirement may reduce operating cash flows and increases net financing cash flows in periods after adoption.

The Company recognized the following share-based compensation expense for its stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2006. (Thousands, except per share data):

General and administrative expenses	\$ 275
Income tax benefit	(100)
Share-based compensation expense, net of taxes	\$ 175
Net share-based compensation expense, per common share:	
Basic	\$ 0.00
Diluted	\$ 0.00

As of March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.79 years.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, K2 measured compensation cost for its employee stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations and provided pro forma net income and pro forma net income per share disclosures for employee stock option grants made as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. Compensation cost for stock options issued to employees was recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeded the exercise price.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 3 Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income after taxes and net income per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value-based method as defined in SFAS No. 123 to stock-based compensation during the three months ended March 31, 2005. (Thousands, except per share data, percentage data and expected life).

Net income as reported	\$ 2,324
Add: Total stock-based compensation expense included in net income, net of taxes	83
Less: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards,	
net of taxes	(745)
Net income, adjusted	\$ 1,662
Earnings per share:	
Basic - as reported	\$ 0.05
Basic - pro forma	\$ 0.04
Diluted - as reported	\$ 0.05
Diluted - pro forma	\$ 0.03

On May 17, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of K2 approved the acceleration of vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options previously awarded to employees and officers under the K2 stock option plans. An option was considered out-of-the-money if the stated exercise price was greater than \$11.94 per share, the closing price of K2 s common stock on May 17, 2005, which was the last trading day before approval of the acceleration. Outstanding unvested options that had an exercise price equal to or less than \$11.94 on May 17, 2005, will continue to vest under the terms of the original option agreements. As a result of this action, options to purchase approximately 2.1 million shares of K2 s common stock that would otherwise have vested over the next three years became fully vested. The options have a range of exercise prices of \$12.51 to \$14.30 and a weighted average exercise price of \$13.14. Options held by non-employee directors were not affected. In addition, the Compensation Committee imposed a holding period that will require that all affected executive officers of the Company not sell shares acquired through the exercise of an accelerated option (other than shares needed to cover the exercise price and satisfying withholding taxes) prior to the earlier of the date on which exercise would have been permitted under the options original vesting terms or, if earlier, the executive officer s last day of employment.

The decision to accelerate the vesting of these options was made to reduce future compensation expense that was and is expected to be recorded in conjunction with SFAS No. 123R.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 3 Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

Determining Fair Value

Valuation and Amortization Method. K2 has elected to use the Black-Scholes option valuation model (single option approach) to calculate the fair value of employee stock option grants. For options with graded vesting, the option grant is treated as a single award and compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the entire award, ensuring that compensation cost is at least equal to the cumulative amount of vesting at the end of each reporting period.

Expected Term. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the option is expected to be outstanding. K2 estimates the expected term of the option grants based on historical exercise patterns that are believed to be representative of future behavior as well as other various factors. When and if applicable K2 uses separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior for valuation purposes.

Expected Volatility. K2 estimates its volatility using its historical share price performance over the expected life of the options, which management believes is materially indicative of expectations about expected future volatility.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. K2 uses risk-free interest rates in the Black-Scholes option valuation model that are based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options.

Dividend Rate. K2 does not issue dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Therefore, K2 uses an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

Forfeitures. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent reporting periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. K2 uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and records share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to the date of adoption of SFAS No. 123R, K2 accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

The following weighted average assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months En	nded March 31,
	2006	2005
Risk free interest rate	4.29%	2.55%
Expected life of options	5 years	5 years
Expected volatility	45.7%	44.2%
Expected dividend yield		

Nonvested Shares

A summary of the status of the Company s nonvested shares issued under the plans for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was as follows:

			eighted age Grant
	Shares	Date I	air Value
Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2005	192,000	\$	13.23

Edgar Filing: K2 INC - Form 10-Q

Vested	(26,668)	13.35
Nonvested shares outstanding at March 31, 2006	165,332 \$	13.21

As of March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$1.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.24 years. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in compensation cost related to nonvested shares.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 4 Inventories

The components of inventories consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(Thou	sands)
Finished goods	\$ 256,988	\$ 266,340
Work in process	18,266	18,796
Raw materials	83,432	73,892
	\$ 358,686	\$ 359,028

NOTE 5 Acquisitions

During the 2005 second quarter, K2 completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Hodgman, Inc., a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of hunting and fishing waders, and the stock of JRC Products Limited, a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of fishing tackle products. The purchase price for these assets was paid in cash. The results of the operations of these two companies were included in the consolidated financial statements of K2 beginning with the date of the applicable acquisition.

Those two transactions completed during the 2005 second quarter were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, and accordingly the purchased assets and liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair values at the date of the acquisition. The combined purchase price allocation for the two acquisitions resulted in an excess of the purchase price over net tangible assets acquired of approximately \$7.0 million.

The excess amounts of the two transactions were allocated to intangible assets with finite and indefinite lives including: customer relationships and patents of \$0.1 million with an average life of 2.0 years; tradenames with an indefinite life not subject to amortization of \$2.9 million; and goodwill not subject to amortization of \$4.0 million.

At March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$3.5 million of cash and 29,175 shares of K2 common stock held in escrow or due for payment relating to certain acquisitions. The cash and shares will be released from escrow during 2006 through 2008 subject to final agreement between K2 and the selling parties. The cash and shares in escrow as well as future cash payments due have been reflected in the purchase price of the related acquisitions. Shares held in escrow are reflected in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the periods presented.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 5 Acquisitions (Continued)

Pursuant to the acquisitions made by K2 during 2005, 2004 and 2003, K2 approved restructuring and exit plans related to the closure of certain facilities of the acquired companies. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, K2 established reserves for employee severance, employee relocation costs and lease termination costs totaling approximately \$0.6 million, \$11.0 million and \$5.1 million, during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. These reserves were recognized as assumed liabilities of the acquired companies. The reserves established were not individually significant to any of K2 s acquisitions during 2005, 2004 or 2003.

The following table summarizes the activity in 2005 and the first three months of 2006:

	Employee Severance	Employee Relocation	Subtotal (Thousands	Lease Termination Costs	Total
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 6,990	\$ 368	\$ 7,358	\$ 5,197	\$ 12,555
Reserves established in conjunction with acquisitions	205		205	422	627
Adjustments to reserve estimates (reflected as an adjustment of the cost of					
the acquired companies)	(2,644)		(2,644)	(1,582)	(4,226)
Utilized in 2005:	(3,205)	(125)	(3,330)	(1,906)	(5,236)
Balance at December 31, 2005	1,346	243	1,589	2,131	3,720
Utilized in 2006:	(778)	(12)	(790)	(620)	(1,410)
Balance at March 31, 2006	\$ 568	\$ 231	\$ 799	\$ 1,511	\$ 2,310

K2 believes that the remaining reserves for restructuring are adequate to complete its restructuring and exit plans.

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 6 Intangible Assets and Goodwill

The components of intangible assets and goodwill consisted of the following:

	Weighted Average Useful Life		Acc	ch 31, 200 umulated ortization	l Net	Book alue (Thous			ccumulated	r 31, 2005 IImpairment n Charge (a)	Net Book Value
Intangibles subject to amortization:											
Patents	7.9 years			5,256		1,035	. ,		. ,	\$	\$ 11,435
Customer contracts/relationships	8.2 years	8,215		2,972		5,243	8,215		2,689		5,526
Licensing agreements	7.4 years	2,795		1,479		1,316	2,795		1,357		1,438
Trademarks	7.1 years	955		317		638	955		279		676
Non-compete agreements	4.1 years	1,572		770		802	1,574	1	661		913
Order backlog and other	0.2 years						1,560)	1,560		
Intangibles not subject to amortization: (by segment)		29,828		10,794	1	9,034	31,263	3	11,275		19,988
Tradename											
Marine and Outdoor		3,252				3,252	3,252	2			3,252
Action Sports		48,292			4	8,292	55,259			6,967	48,292
Team Sports		43,857				3,857	65,718			21,861	43,857
Apparel and Footwear		21,600			2	21,600	21,600)			21,600
Goodwill											
Marine and Outdoor		27,216			2	7,216	26,959)			26,959
Action Sports							101,104	1		101,104	
Team Sports							123,222	2		123,222	
Apparel and Footwear		80,068			8	30,068	80,068	3			80,068
		224,285			22	24,285	477,182	2		253,154	224,028
Total intangibles and goodwill		\$ 254,113	\$	10,794	\$ 24	3,319	\$ 508,445	5 \$	\$ 11,275	\$ 253,154	\$ 244,016

⁽a) Non-cash intangible impairment charges as a result of annual testing in accordance with SFAS No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS No. 142).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 6 Intangible Assets and Goodwill (Continued)

The table below shows the activity in intangibles subject to and not subject to amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

	December 31, 2005 Net Book							Mar	ech 31, 2006
	Value	Purchase Pr Allocations		Other Activity (b) (Thousands)		(b) Amortization			let Book Value
Intangibles subject to amortization:									
Patents	\$ 11,435	\$		\$ 127		\$	(527)	\$	11,035
Customer contracts/relationships	5,526						(283)		5,243
Licensing agreements	1,438						(122)		1,316
Trademarks	676						(38)		638
Non-compete agreements	913			(2)		(109)		802
	19,988			125			(1,079)		19,034
Intangibles not subject to amortization: (by									
segment)									
Tradename									
Marine and Outdoor	3,252								3,252
Action Sports	48,292								48,292
Team Sports	43,857								43,857
Apparel and Footwear	21,600								21,600
Goodwill									
Marine and Outdoor	26,959	25	52	5					27,216
Apparel and Footwear	80,068								80,068
	224,028	25	52	5					224,285
Total intangibles and goodwill	\$ 244,016	\$ 25	52	\$ 130		\$	(1,079)	\$	243,319

Amortization expense for intangibles subject to amortization was approximately \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Amortization expense of purchased intangible assets subject to amortization is estimated to be approximately \$3.5 million during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, \$3.3 million during 2007, \$3.2 million during 2008, \$2.0 million during 2009 and \$1.4 million during 2010.

⁽a) Amounts in this column represent the allocation of purchase price to intangibles in accordance with SFAS No. 141 *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141) and adjustments to the preliminary purchase price allocations.

⁽b) Amounts in this column represent either additions to intangibles not related to purchased intangibles or a reduction in the reserves established upon acquisition in accordance with SFAS No. 141.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 7 Warranties

K2 records the estimated cost of product warranties at the time sales are recognized. K2 estimates warranty obligation by reference to historical product warranty return rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting the product. Should actual product warranty return rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from the historical rates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

The following activity related to product warranty liabilities:

	Three Mon March	
	2006	2005
	(Thous	ands)
Beginning Balance	\$ 10,446	\$ 9,691
Charged to costs and expenses	2,154	2,226
Amounts charged to reserve	(3,511)	(2,593)
Ending Balance	\$ 9,089	\$ 9,324

NOTE 8 Borrowings and Other Financial Instruments

At March 31, 2006, K2 s principal long-term borrowing facility was a five-year, \$250 million revolving Credit Facility (Facility) expiring on July 1, 2009 with several banks and other financial institutions. The Facility is expandable to \$350 million subject to certain conditions. The Facility has a \$100 million limit for the issuance of letters of credit. Borrowings under the Facility are secured by all of K2 s assets in the United States, Canada and England. Actual borrowing availability under the Facility is based on K2 s trade receivable and inventory levels in the United States, Canada and England, subject to eligibility criteria and defined advance rates. Borrowings under the Facility are subject to an interest rate grid, and as of March 31, 2006 bear a rate equal to the prime rate, or a LIBOR interest rate plus 1.375%, and the Facility had an unused commitment fee of 0.375% per year which was reduced to 0.25% under the restated and amended credit facility discussed below. The Facility includes various covenants, including requirements that K2 maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio if the unused availability drops below \$37.5 million, as well as limiting annual capital expenditures, indebtedness, dividends and certain investment activities.

On February 21, 2006, K2 amended and restated its Facility, which extends the expiration date to February 21, 2011. Additionally, the amended and restated Facility provides reduced pricing on borrowings and fees on unused commitments and provides more favorable covenants, including, among others, those relating to financial reporting, sale or disposition of assets, incurrence of other indebtedness, permitted investments and restricted payments or dividends.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 8 Borrowings and Other Financial Instruments (Continued)

At March 31, 2006, borrowings of \$60 million were outstanding under the Facility bearing an average interest rate of 6.12%. At March 31, 2006, there were also letters of credit outstanding under the Facility of \$9 million (consisting of \$8.2 million of standby letters of credit and \$0.8 million of trade letters of credit expiring over the next 12 months). K2 has classified \$30 million of seasonal borrowings outstanding under the Facility at March 31, 2006 as current. Pursuant to the terms of the Facility, an additional \$179.9 million was available for borrowing at March 31, 2006.

At March 31, 2006, K2 had \$25 million of 7.25% convertible subordinated debentures (7.25% Debentures) due March 2010. The 7.25% Debentures are convertible into 2,097,315 shares of K2 common stock at a conversion price of \$11.92 per share. Pursuant to the agreement for these debentures, the note holders received warrants to purchase 243,260 and 524,329 additional shares of K2 s common stock at exercise prices of \$13.143 and \$11.92 per share, respectively, exercisable within the three and five year period ended February 14, 2006 and February 14, 2008, respectively (the Warrants). The Warrants that were exercisable within the three year period ended February 14, 2006 expired unexercised. K2 assigned a total fair market value of \$2.3 million to the Warrants. At March 31, 2006, the aggregate unamortized fair market value of \$0.9 million is reflected as a reduction of the face amount of the 7.25% Debentures on K2 s balance sheet which is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method through the exercise periods, thereby increasing the carrying value of the debentures.

At March 31, 2006, K2 had \$75 million of 5.00% convertible senior debentures (5% Debentures) due June 2010. The 5% Debentures are convertible into 5,706,458 shares of K2 common stock at a conversion price of \$13.143 per share. The debentures are redeemable by K2 in whole or in part at K2 s option on or after June 15, 2008 at a redemption price of 101.429% beginning on June 15, 2008 and ending on June 14, 2009, and at 100.714% beginning on June 15, 2009 and ending on June 14, 2010.

At March 31, 2006, K2 also had \$200 million of 7.375% senior, unsecured notes (Senior Notes) due July 1, 2014. The Senior Notes are redeemable by K2 in whole or in part at K2 soption at any time prior to July 1, 2009 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest plus a make-whole premium as defined in the indenture. Thereafter, K2 may redeem all or a portion of the notes at the redemption prices set forth in the indenture. The Senior Notes include various incurrence covenants, including limitations on indebtedness, restricted payments and sales of assets.

At March 31, 2006, K2 also had \$33.7 million outstanding under various foreign lending arrangements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 9 Pension Plans

Domestic plan

K2 sponsors a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan that covers approximately 750 of its domestic employees. Benefits are generally based on years of service and the employee s highest average compensation for five consecutive years during the years of credited service. Benefit formulas for prior service vary for different divisions. Contributions are intended to provide for benefits attributable to service to date and service expected to be provided in the future. K2 funds this plan in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

Effective August 31, 2004, the K2 Pension Plan was amended to freeze the accrual of future benefits for almost all of the employees. This resulted in active participants no longer accruing benefits under the plan. Participants will remain eligible to receive benefits they have earned under the plan through August 31, 2004 when they retire. New employees will not be eligible to accrue any benefit under the plan. Only a small group of about 20 employees subject to a collective bargaining agreement will continue to accrue a benefit until September 16, 2006.

K2 also has a pension plan which covered certain employees of the Simplex Building Products division which K2 sold in 2000 (the Simplex UAW Pension Plan). This plan was merged with the K2 Pension Plan as of December 31, 2005.

The components of domestic net periodic pension cost consisted of the following:

	Domes			
		nths Ended ch 31,		
	2006	2005		
	(Thou	sands)		
Service cost	\$ 38	\$ 25		
Interest cost	1,007	1,010		
Expected return on assets	(981)	(1,034)		
Actuarial loss	215	162		
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 279	\$ 163		

Domestic Plan

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 9 Pension Plans (Continued)

The increase in the net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to March 31, 2005 is due to lower than expected asset returns during 2005; a change in expected return on assets for 2006 from 8.25% to 7.75%; lower expected contributions in 2006 compared to 2005, and a change in discount rate from 5.75% to 5.67%. These increases were partially offset by a decrease due to demographic and miscellaneous changes. K2 estimates a required cash contribution of approximately \$61,000 to the plan in 2006. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, K2 made no contributions to the domestic plan.

Foreign plans

In addition to the plans discussed above, K2 also had five smaller defined benefit plans in the United Kingdom and in Germany (foreign plans). Four of the foreign plans are in Germany and are attributable to the acquisitions of Völkl and Marker on July 7, 2004. K2 recorded pension expense for the plans in Germany beginning with the date of the acquisitions.

	Foreign Pe	nsion Plans
	Three Months E	Ended March 31,
	2006	2005
	(Thou	isands)
Net periodic benefit cost		
Service cost	\$ 101	\$ 77
Interest cost	201	216
Expected return on plan assets	(132)	(117)
Amortization of net loss	6	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 176	\$ 176

Pension expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 for the foreign plans remained fairly consistent with the three months ended March 31, 2005. K2 estimates a required cash contribution of approximately \$1.2 million to the foreign plans in 2006. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, K2 made contributions totaling approximately \$0.2 million to the foreign plans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 10 Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

	Currency Translation Adjustments	N	dditional Iinimum ion Liability (Thousa	Fir Inst	rivative nancial ruments	Total
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ (9,182)	\$	(13,470)	\$	(248)	\$ (22,900)
Currency translation adjustment	1,686					1,686
Reclassification adjustment for amounts recognized in cost of sales					166	166
Change in fair value of derivatives, net of \$7 in taxes					96	96
Balance at March 31, 2006	\$ (7,496)	\$	(13,470)	\$	14	\$ (20,952)

Total comprehensive income (loss) was \$5.6 million and (\$2.3) million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total comprehensive income includes the net change in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the period.

Adjustments resulting from translating foreign functional currency financial statements into U.S. dollars are included in the foreign currency translation adjustment in the above table. The currency translation gain for the three months ended March 31, 2006 is the result of the weakening of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies during the period, mainly the Euro.

NOTE 11 Earnings Per Share Data

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is determined by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilutive effects of stock options, restricted stock, shares held in escrow and warrants, using the treasury stock method, and of the convertible debentures using the if converted method. The table below provides a reconciliation from basic shares to fully diluted shares for the respective periods.

Options to purchase 4,153,466 and 3,517,041 shares of K2 common stock were outstanding at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At March 31, 2006, there were also 165,332 unvested and 26,668 vested restricted stock awards outstanding and 29,175 shares held in escrow relating to certain acquisitions. At March 31, 2006 and 2005, shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the \$100 million of convertible debentures totaling 7,803,775 and warrants to purchase 524,329 and 767,589, respectively, of shares of common stock were outstanding.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 11 Earnings Per Share Data (Continued)

For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, approximately 2,552,000 and 435,000 stock options, respectively, were excluded since their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, approximately 476,975 warrants were also excluded as their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, 94,821 and 612,466 shares of K2 common stock held in escrow, respectively, were included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

The table below outlines the determination of the number of diluted shares of common stock used in the calculation of diluted EPS as well as the calculation of diluted EPS for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
		2006		2005	
	(Thou	ısands, except	per shar	e amounts)	
Determination of diluted number of shares:					
Average common shares outstanding		46,719		46,177	
Assumed conversion of dilutive stock options, restricted stock awards, warrants and					
shares in escrow		678		1,325	
Diluted average common shares outstanding		47,397		47,502	
Calculation of diluted earnings per share:					
Net income	\$	3,642	\$	2,324	
Diluted earnings per share (a)	\$	0.08	\$	0.05	

(a) Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by diluted average common shares outstanding for the respective period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 12 Segment Information

Under SFAS No. 131 Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information K2 classifies its business into the following four segments based on similar product types and distribution channels: Marine and Outdoor, Team Sports, Action Sports and Apparel and Footwear.

The Marine and Outdoor segment includes *Shakespeare* fishing tackle and monofilament products as well as *Stearns* outdoor products. The Team Sports segment includes baseball and softball products, K2 Licensed Products and recently added paintball products. The Action Sports segment includes skis, snowboards, snowshoes and in-line skates. The Apparel and Footwear segment includes *Marmot* and *Ex Officio* products as well as skateboard shoes and related apparel. During 2005, the paintball business declined significantly. In order to improve efficiency, K2 reorganized the paintball business to operate more in line with how the components of the Team Sports segment operates with increased emphasis on the mass merchant and large sporting goods retailer distribution. Upon completion of the reorganization in the first quarter of 2006, K2 has adjusted its segment reporting to include paintball products in the Team Sports segment versus the Actions Sports segment where it was previously reported. The segment information for the 2005 period has been restated to reflect this reclassification.

The segment information presented below is for the three months ended March 31:

	Net Sales to	Unaffiliate							
	Customers		Intersegment Sales			Operating Pr		rofit (Loss)	
	2006 2005		2006	2005	2	2006	2	2005	
		(Millions)							
Marine and Outdoor	\$ 123.1	\$ 112.4	\$ 40.5	\$ 33.2	\$	16.1	\$	16.0	
Team Sports	132.5	108.2				12.8		8.1	
Action Sports	56.1	64.8	0.9	2.7		(10.9)		(11.6)	
Apparel and Footwear	36.4	32.9	1.5	0.8		(1.7)		0.9	
Total segment data	\$ 348.1	\$ 318.3	\$ 42.9	\$ 36.7		16.3		13.4	
-									
Corporate expenses, net						(3.0)		(2.7)	
Interest expense						(7.8)		(7.2)	
Income before provision for income taxes					\$	5.5	\$	3.5	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 13 Contingencies

K2 has not experienced any substantial difficulty in obtaining raw materials, parts or finished goods inventory for its sporting goods or other recreational products and industrial business. Many components and finished products, however, are manufactured or assembled abroad (particularly in the People s Republic of China) and therefore could be subject to interruption as a result of local unrest, currency exchange fluctuations, increased tariffs, trade difficulties natural disasters and other factors. A single supplier manufactures major portions of K2 s in-line skates. K2 believes alternate sources for these products could be found, although at potentially increased costs.

K2 currently is a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. While management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or prospects, litigation and related matters are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages or, in cases for which injunctive relief is sought, an injunction prohibiting K2 from selling one or more products. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the business, financial position or results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs or future periods. K2 maintains product liability, general liability and excess liability insurance coverage. However, no assurances can be given that such insurance will continue to be available at an acceptable cost to K2 or that such coverage will be sufficient to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurers will not successfully disclaim coverage as to a pending or future claim.

Environmental

K2 is one of several named potentially responsible parties (PRP) in three Environmental Protection Agency matters involving discharge of hazardous materials at old waste sites in South Carolina and Michigan. Although environmental laws technically impose joint and several liability upon each PRP at each site, the extent of K2 s required financial contribution to the cleanup of these sites is expected to be limited based upon the number and financial strength of the other named PRP's and the volume and types of waste involved which might be attributable to K2.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 13 Contingencies (Continued)

Environmental and related remediation costs are difficult to quantify for a number of reasons including the number of parties involved, the difficulty in determining the extent of the contamination, the length of time remediation may require, the complexity of environmental regulation and the continuing advancement of remediation technology. K2 accrues for liabilities of this nature when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, K2 had recorded an estimated liability of approximately \$800,000 for environmental liabilities. The estimates are based on K2 s share of the costs to remediate as provided by the PRP s consultants and in connection with a consent decree entered into in November 2004. The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, however, and taking into consideration the recorded reserves, management does not believe these matters will have a material adverse effect on K2 s business, financial position, results of operations or prospects.

EIFS Litigation and Claims

From 1988 through 2000, K2, through a former division, manufactured and sold an exterior wall covering product for application by contractors on commercial and residential buildings, referred to as exterior insulated finish systems (EIFS). In June 2000, K2 sold the assets of this division to Tyco International (US) Inc. and affiliates, including any liabilities for EIFS manufactured and installed after the sale date. K2 has not been in this building products business since June 2000. Since 1995, K2 has been a party to over 500 claims or lawsuits with a majority of the claims originating from the southeastern United States, with other claims and lawsuits from over 20 states. As of March 31, 2006, K2 continues to be a defendant or co-defendant in approximately 70 single family residential EIFS cases, the majority of which are pending in Alabama and Texas. K2 is also defending EIFS lawsuits involving commercial structures, townhouses, and condominiums. The vast majority of K2's EIFS lawsuits seek monetary relief for water intrusion related property damages, although some claims in certain lawsuits allege personal injuries from exposure to mold.

To date, all litigation costs and settlements related to the EIFS claims and lawsuits against K2 have been paid by insurers, with the exception of immaterial deductibles and one partial payment by K2, for which adequate reserves were made at the time of the sale of the EIFS business, although such insurance carriers have issued reservation of rights letters in respect of certain claims and lawsuits. Although K2 s experience with respect to EIFS claims is still evolving and it is possible that future claims and payments may vary from management's current expectations, K2 believes that its third party insurance will be adequate to cover the anticipated costs of all remaining EIFS litigation.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 13 Contingencies (Continued)

In September 2000, 98 home owners filed suit in the district court Montgomery County, Texas against the builder of the homes, Life Forms Homes, Inc., the EIFS applicator, Fresh Coat, Inc., the EIFS distributor, Griesenbeck Architectural Products, and K2. The allegations included claims of misrepresentation, common law indemnity and violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act (DTPA). In this litigation, Life Forms, Fresh Coat, Inc., and Griesenbeck Architectural Products, Inc. filed cross-claims against K2 under the same theories.

K2 timely tendered this case to its insurance carrier, which originally defended this lawsuit under a reservation of rights letter. In April 2004, K2 and its insurer negotiated an agreement which resulted in its insurer providing full indemnity up to applicable policy limits for all claims arising out of this litigation. In exchange for the indemnity, K2 s insurer assumed full control over the litigation and settlement negotiations. The claims by the 98 home owners were eventually settled by K2 s insurer. On November 4, 2005, the related claims against K2 by Life Forms, Fresh Coat, and Griesenbeck were tried and resulted in a jury verdict of approximately \$42 million, of which \$6.8 million was for knowingly and intentionally violating the DTPA. K2 s insurer has advised that it plans to appeal this verdict. Based on the agreement with its insurer to indemnify K2 on all claims as well as adequate insurance coverage and management s assessments of K2 s arguments that may be made on behalf of K2 on appeal, K2 does not believe this verdict will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

While, to date, none of these EIFS proceedings have required that K2 incur substantial costs, there can be no guarantee of insurance coverage. Current and future EIFS proceedings could result in substantial costs to K2. Although K2 carries what it believes is adequate general, product and excess liability insurance, K2 cannot assure that its insurance coverage will be adequate for all future payments, that the insured amounts will cover all future claims in excess of deductibles or that all amounts will be covered by insurance in respect of all judgments.

Intellectual Property

In January 2004, Rawlings was sued by a licensee in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maine in connection with a license agreement pursuant to which the licensee was granted an exclusive license to use certain Rawlings trademarks for the manufacture and sale of team and personal sporting-equipment bags—this lawsuit was later transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. In February 2004, Rawlings gave the licensee notice that it was terminating the license agreement and sued the licensee in the Missouri District Court, in which Rawlings alleged, among other things, that the licensee breached the license agreement by failing to use its—best efforts—. This license agreement was in place prior to the March 26, 2003 acquisition of Rawlings by K2 Inc. Accordingly, as a pre-acquisition contingency, K2 established a \$3.0 million liability as part of its purchase price allocation of Rawlings and added \$0.5 million to the liability in the fourth quarter 2004 through expense to the income statement.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 13 Contingencies (Continued)

On April 29, 2005, a jury awarded the licensee (1) \$4.1 million for a claim of lost profits for the next ten years on sales of equipment bags, plus the value of inventory of such bags (the 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict), (2) \$2.1 million for lost profits of equipment bags beginning ten years from the date of the breach of the agreement through forever (the Speculative Profits Verdict) and (3) \$2.5 million for K2 s alleged tortious interference with the licensee s business expectations (the Tortious Interference Verdict) between Rawlings and the licensee. The Missouri District Court ruled that the licensee was not permitted to bring certain claims to the jury. Following trial, the licensee was awarded approximately \$0.5 million in attorney s fees and costs pursuant to a motion. Following the verdict, K2 established in the second quarter of 2005 an additional \$1.2 million in liabilities for a total of approximately \$4.7 million in liabilities related to this litigation, including estimated legal fees and costs of licensee s attorneys.

On May 19, 2005, K2 and Rawlings, as the case may be, have filed a motion for new trial with respect to the 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict and a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict with respect to the Speculative Profits Verdict and the Tortious Interference Verdict. On July 27, 2005, the Missouri District (1) denied Rawlings motion for a new trial in respect of the \$4.1 million 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict, (2) granted Rawlings motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict with respect to the \$2.1 million Speculative Profits Verdict and (3) denied K2 s motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict for the \$2.5 million Tortious Interference Verdict. Accordingly, there is currently a judgment against K2 and Rawlings for approximately \$6.8 million, including post judgment interest.

K2 and Rawlings, as the case may be, intend to vigorously prosecute an appeal. K2 believes, in part based on advice and estimates from outside counsel as follows: that certain portions of the Missouri District Court s decision are not supported by facts or law; that there are meritorious arguments to be raised during the appeals process because of, among other things, a lack of evidence to support certain aspects of the verdict; and that K2 s aggregate exposure including attorney s fees and costs of license is approximately \$4.7 million. In connection with its appeal, K2 was required by Missouri law to post bond in the amount of approximately \$6.8 million. In the event that K2 and Rawlings are unsuccessful in their appeal and the amount of the judgment, including the fees and costs of attorneys for the licensee, is greater than \$4.7 million, or the outcome of a total liability greater than \$4.7 million becomes probable and estimable, K2 will be required to record an expense in the period in which the matter is finalized. However, this expense could be higher if the appeals court rules in favor of the licensee for certain claims on which it is expected that licensee will appeal. The appeal process is expected to be completed in the range of one to two years.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 13 Contingencies (Continued)

In connection with K2 s acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Miken Composites, LLC, a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of composite softball bats and softball-related products and accessories in the fourth quarter 2004, K2 assumed the post-acquisition damages, if any, relating to a patent lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. In this patent lawsuit, *Miken Composites*, *L.L.C. v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co.*, Miken commenced an action in April 2002 seeking a declaration that a line of softball bats manufactured by Miken does not infringe a particular patent owned by Wilson. In response, Wilson counterclaimed for patent infringement seeking compensatory damages and a permanent injunction against Miken as the manufacturer and distributor of the allegedly infringing bats.

In July 2004, the Minnesota Court issued an order interpreting certain of Wilson's claims concerning its patent. Based on this ruling, Miken moved for summary judgment. On March 17, 2005, before any ruling on the summary judgment motion, the Minnesota Court entered an order to stay the patent case pending resolution of a related appeal in federal court of *Wilson Sporting Goods Co. v. Hillerich & Bradsby Co.* This case involves the same Wilson patent as in *Miken Composites, L.L.C. v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co.*

The outcome of this matter will depend primarily upon contested facts, and cannot be accurately predicted. Although each of K2 and Miken believes that Miken has meritorious defenses to Wilson's counterclaims, in the event that Miken is unsuccessful in the declaratory judgment and counterclaim actions, it is expected that Wilson would seek to pursue K2 for alleged acts of infringement arising after the acquisition of Miken s assets by K2. It is further expected, that Wilson would seek the same remedies against K2 that it is currently seeking against Miken, namely compensatory damages and an injunction against the manufacture and sale of allegedly infringing bats. In such event, K2 would, among other things, be required to record an expense in the period when the loss resulting from the resolution of the matter is probable and estimable. The litigation process for this case, including any appeals, is estimated to be in the range of two to three years.

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information

Obligations to pay principal and interest on K2 s Senior Notes are guaranteed by K2 s existing and future wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. Separate financial statements of the guarantors are not provided, as subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned by K2 and guarantees are full, unconditional, and joint and several. The non-guarantor subsidiaries are K2 s consolidated non-U.S. subsidiaries. Supplemental condensed consolidating financial information of the K2 s guarantors and non-guarantors is presented below.

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information (Continued)

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2006							
	K2 Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminating Entries	Consolidated K2 Inc.				
Net sales	\$	\$ 291,236	\$ 99,696	\$ (42,861)	\$ 348,071				
Cost of products sold		199,174	77,965	(41,623)	235,516				
Gross profit		92,062	21,731	(1,238)	112,555				
Selling expenses		47,197	14,678		61,875				
General and administrative expenses	8,548	23,174	6,351		38,073				
•									
Operating income (loss)	(8,548)	21,691	702	(1,238)	12,607				
Income in consolidated subsidiaries	19,243			(19,243)					
Other expense (income), net	(9)	(428)	(315)		(752)				
Interest expense	7,062	56	715		7,833				
·									
Income (loss) before income taxes	3,642	22,063	302	(20,481)	5,526				
Income taxes		1,004	880		1,884				
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,642	\$ 21,059	\$ (578)	\$ (20,481)	\$ 3,642				

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2005								
		Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminating	Consolidated					
	K2 Inc.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	K2 Inc.					
Net sales	\$	\$ 248,852	\$ 106,062	\$ (36,623)	\$ 318,291					
Cost of products sold		169,289	81,383	(35,200)	215,472					
Gross profit		79,563	24,679	(1,423)	102,819					
Selling expenses		41,836	16,879		58,715					
General and administrative expenses	6,960	20,233	6,900		34,093					
Operating income (loss)	(6,960)	17,494	900	(1,423)	10,011					
Income in consolidated subsidiaries	15,224			(15,224)						
Other expense (income), net	(806)	201	(116)		(721)					
Interest expense	6,746	153	354		7,253					
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,324	17,140	662	(16,647)	3,479					
Income taxes		689	466		1,155					
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,324	\$ 16,451	\$ 196	\$ (16,647)	\$ 2,324					

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	K2 Inc.	Guarantor Subsidiaries		March 31, 2 n-guarantor absidiaries	2006 Eliminating Entries	Consolidated K2 Inc.
Assets						
Current Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,989	\$ 1,619	\$	12,792	\$	\$ 16,400
Accounts receivable, net	23,078	241,494		117,501	(70,236)	311,837
Inventories, net		249,306		109,380		358,686
Deferred income taxes	6,527			1,199		7,726
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	546	2,390		18,769		21,705
Total current assets	32,140	494,809		259,641	(70,236)	716,354
Property, plant and equipment	10,428	161,804		126,204		298,436
Less allowance for depreciation and amortization	1,470	104,592		51,543		157,605
	8,958	57,212		74,661		140,831
Advances to affiliates	84,176	373,869		65,800	(523,845)	2 10,02 2
Investment in subsidiaries	843,119	ĺ		,	(843,119)	
Intangible assets, net	227,688	10,206		5,425		243,319
Other	18,843	2,926		2,109		23,878
Total Assets	\$ 1,214,924	\$ 939,022	\$	407,636	\$ (1,437,200)	\$ 1,124,382
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity						
Current Liabilities						
Bank loans	\$	\$	\$	15,491	\$	\$ 15,491
Accounts payable	1,901	89,423		56,150	(70,236)	77,238
Accrued liabilities	53,127	52,057		43,698		148,882
Current portion of long-term debt	30,000			3,113		33,113
Total current liabilities	85,028	141,480		118,452	(70,236)	274,724
Long-term pension liabilities	20,381			6,377		26,758
Long-term debt	230,000			15,113		245,113
Deferred income taxes	18,139					18,139
Advances from affiliates	301,728	102,504		119,613	(523,845)	
Convertible subordinated debentures	99,120					99,120
Interdivisional equity		695,038		148,081	(843,119)	
Shareholders Equity	460,528					460,528
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,214,924	\$ 939,022	\$	407,636	\$ (1,437,200)	\$ 1,124,382

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

				As					
			G	uarantor	Non-guarantor		Eliminating	Co	nsolidated
	K2	Inc.	Su	bsidiaries	Subsidiaries		ries Entries		K2 Inc.
Assets									
Current Assets									
Cash and cash equivalents		-	\$	1,594	\$,	\$	\$	11,797
Accounts receivable, net	4	13,700		251,483		158,596	(73,337)		380,442
Inventories, net				259,257		99,771			359,028
Deferred income taxes		4,083				961			5,044
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		3,481		4,699		13,725			21,905
Total current assets	5	53,839		517,033		280,681	(73,337)		778,216
Property, plant and equipment	1	3,294		159,807		122,101			295,202
Less allowance for depreciation and amortization		1,478		101,820		47,849			151,147
	1	1,816		57,987		74,252			144,055
Advances to affiliates		1,002		380,406		73,432	(454,840)		,
Investment in subsidiaries		1,857		,		,	(841,857)		
Intangible assets, net		28,581		10,265		5,170			244,016
Other		8,731		3,458		2,100			24,289
		ĺ		,		,			,
Total Assets	\$ 1.15	55,826	\$	969,149	\$	435,635	\$ (1,370,034)	\$	1,190,576
	+ -,	-,	_	,	-	,	+ (=,=,=,==,)	_	-,,-,-
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity									
Current Liabilities									
Bank loans	\$		\$		\$	24,296	\$	\$	24,296
Accounts payable		3,911	Ψ	85,758	Ψ	47,138	(73,337)	Ψ	93,470
Accrued liabilities		8,525		55,620		43,612	(10,001)		157,757
Current portion of long-term debt		30,000		(1,830)		5,095			33,265
current portion of long term door		,0,000		(1,000)		0,000			00,200
Total current liabilities	12	22,436		139,548		120,141	(73,337)		308,788
Long-term pension liabilities		20,381		139,340		6,377	(13,331)		26,758
Long-term debt		55,286				15,431			280,717
Deferred income taxes		21,286				13,431			21,286
Advances from affiliates		73,410		165,289		116,141	(454,840)		21,200
Convertible subordinated debentures		99,003		103,209		110,141	(434,040)		99,003
Interdivisional equity	7	79,003		664 212		177 545	(9/1 957)		99,003
• •	15	54,024		664,312		177,545	(841,857)		454,024
Shareholders equity	43	v + ,∪∠4							434,024
Total Liabilities and Charahalders Equity	\$ 1,15	5 926	Ф	969,149	¢	435,635	¢ (1.270.024)	Ф	1,190,576
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,13	5,820	\$	909,149	\$	455,055	\$ (1,370,034)	Ф	1,190,570

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	K2 Inc.		Three M Guarantor Subsidiaries		Ionths Ended March Non-guarantor Subsidiaries		a 31, 2006 Eliminating Entries		Consolidated K2 Inc.	
Operating Activities	Ф	2.642	ф	21.050	Ф	(570)	ф (20 4	101\	¢.	2.642
Net income (loss)	\$	3,642	\$	21,059	\$	(578)	\$ (20,4	181)	\$	3,642
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash										
provided by (used in) operating activities:		1.720		3,503		2 260				9 602
Depreciation and amortization		1,730 515		3,303		3,369				8,602 515
Non-cash stock compensation charges						(228)				
Deferred taxes		(5,591)		22.251		(238)				(5,829)
Changes in current assets and current liabilities		(13,851)		22,351		36,792				45,292
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		(13,555)		46,913		39,345	(20,4	81)		52,222
Investing Activities										
Property, plant & equipment expenditures		(29)		(2,768)		(2,861)				(5,658)
Disposals of property, plant & equipment		2,649		(94)		202				2,757
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired						(262)				(262)
Other items, net		1,355		12,222		(33,303)	19,2	219		(507)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		3,975		9,360		(36,224)	19,2	219		(3,670)
Financing Activities		-,		- ,		(==,===1)	,-			(0,0.0)
Borrowings under long-term debt	2	275,692								275,692
Payments under long-term debt		310,978)				(353)				(311,331)
Net decrease in short-term bank loans	(.	310,570)				(8,805)				(8,805)
Proceeds received from exercise of stock options		398				(0,000)				398
Trocceds received from exercise of stock options		370								370
Net cash used in financing activities		(24 000)				(0.159)				(44.046)
		(34,888)				(9,158)	1.0	160		(44,046)
(Increase) decrease in investment in subsidiaries		(1,262)		(56.249)		11 104	1,2	202		
Advances (to) from affiliates		45,144		(56,248)		11,104				
Effects of foreign exchange rates on cash and cash										
equivalents						97				97
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(586)		25		5,164				4,603
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		2,575		1,594		7,628				11,797
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	1,989	\$	1,619	\$	12,792	\$		\$	16,400

$NOTES\ TO\ CONSOLIDATED\ CONDENSED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS\ (Continued)$

March 31, 2006

NOTE 14 Supplemental Guarantor Information (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

On anythra Auticitia	K2 Inc.		Three M Guarantor Subsidiaries		Ionths Ended March Non-guarantor Subsidiaries		n 31, 2005 Eliminating Entries		Consolidated K2 Inc.	
Operating Activities Net income (loss)	\$	2,324	\$	16,451	\$	196	¢ (16,647)	\$	2,324
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash	Ф	2,324	Ф	10,431	ф	190	\$ (10,047)	Ф	2,324
provided by operating activities:										
Depreciation and amortization		2,488		3,471		2,447				8,406
Non-cash stock compensation charges		125		5,471		2,447				125
Deferred taxes		(16,880)		8,779		8,442				341
Changes in current assets and current liabilities		25,836		(45,133)		25,029				5,732
Changes in current assets and current natimities		23,030		(43,133)		23,02)				3,132
NI-4 l i l- l l (l i) ti tiiti		12 002		(16 422)		26 114	(16 (47)		16 020
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		13,893		(16,432)		36,114	(16,647)		16,928
Investing Activities		(461)		(2.410)		(2.996)				(6.757)
Property, plant & equipment expenditures		(461) 87		(3,410)		(2,886)				(6,757)
Disposals of property, plant & equipment				11		124				222
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired		(152)		(0.550		(110)	(10.0(1)		(152)
Other items, net		(18,138)		68,559		(119)	(48,264)		2,038
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		(18,664)		65,160		(2,881)	(48,264)		(4,649)
Financing Activities	_									
Borrowings under long-term debt		294,000								294,000
Payments under long-term debt	(2	266,074)		(1,958)		(1,832)				(269,864)
Net increase (decrease) in short-term bank loans				41		(22,063)				(22,022)
Proceeds received from exercise of stock options		140								140
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		28,066		(1,917)		(23,895)				2,254
(Increase) decrease in investment in subsidiaries		(12,419)		(52,491)		(1)		64,911		
Advances (to) from affiliates		(9,679)		3,713		5,966				
Effects of foreign exchange rates on cash and cash										
equivalents						(298)				(298)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		1,197		(1,967)		15,005				14,235
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		3,167		5,098		17,368				25,633
				,		,				,
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	4,364	\$	3,131	\$	32,373	\$		\$	39,868

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

March 31, 2006

NOTE 15 Related Party Transactions

In October 2003, K2 entered into a Reimbursement Agreement with its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Heckmann, for the reimbursement of expenses incurred by Mr. Heckmann in the operation of his private plane when used for K2 business. The Reimbursement Agreement is effective for expenses incurred by Mr. Heckmann for K2 business purposes since September 3, 2003. On July 6, 2004 the agreement was amended changing certain terms and conditions. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, K2 paid a total of approximately \$86,000 and \$456,000, respectively, pursuant to these agreements related to expenses incurred by Mr. Heckmann and other executive officers of K2.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Certain statements in Management s Discussion and Analysis are forward-looking as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on current expectations that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from expectations as of the date of this filing because of the factors discussed below under the *Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure* section and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

K2 is a premier, branded consumer products company with a portfolio of leading brands including *Shakespeare*, *Pflueger*, *Stearns*, *Sospenders* and *Hodgman* in the Marine and Outdoor segment; *Rawlings*, *Worth*, *Miken* and *Brass Eagle* in the Team Sports segment; *K2*, *Völkl*, *Marker* and *Ride* and in the Action Sports segment; and *Adio*, *Marmot* and *Ex Officio* in the Apparel and Footwear segment. K2 s diversified mix of products is used primarily in team and individual sports activities such as fishing, water sports activities, baseball, softball, alpine skiing, snowboarding and in-line skating.

Matters Affecting Comparability

Operating Segments. Under SFAS No. 131 K2 classifies its business into the following four segments based on similar product types, distribution channels and management s perspective in evaluating K2 s various lines of business: Marine and Outdoor, Team Sports, Action Sports and Apparel and Footwear. The Marine and Outdoor segment includes Shakespeare fishing tackle and monofilament products as well as Stearns outdoor products. The Team Sports segment includes baseball and softball products, K2 Licensed Products and paintball products. The Action Sports segment includes skis, bindings, snowboards, snowshoes and in-line skates. The Apparel and Footwear segment includes skateboard shoes and technical apparel and equipment and outdoor and adventure travel apparel. In order to improve efficiency, K2 reorganized the paintball business to operate more in line with how the components of the Team Sports segment operates with increased emphasis on the mass merchant and large sporting goods retailer distribution. Upon completion of the reorganization in the first quarter of 2006, K2 has adjusted its segment reporting to include paintball products in the Team sports segment versus the Actions Sports segment where it was previously reported. All periods presented have been recasted to reflect these changes in the segments.

K2 reports its financial statements using a 52/53 week year with a 13 week quarter ending on the closest Sunday to the end of March, June, September and December. Fiscal year 2006 includes 53 weeks versus 52 weeks in 2005 fiscal year, and the first quarter 2006 includes 14 weeks versus 13 weeks in the first quarter 2005. For purposes of the consolidated financial statements, the end of each quarter is stated as of March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, respectively.

The Marine and Outdoor segment represented \$123.1 million, or 35.4%, of K2 s 2006 three months consolidated net sales; the Team Sports segment had net sales of \$132.5 million, or 38.1% of 2006 three months consolidated net sales; the Action Sports segment had net sales of \$56.1 million, or 16.1% of 2006 three months consolidated net sales; and the Apparel and Footwear segment had net sales of \$36.4 million, or 10.4% of 2006 three months consolidated net sales.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain ratios and relationships calculated from the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the quarter ended March 31:

			Increase/Decrease		
(In millions, except per share data)	2006	2005	\$	%	
Net sales	\$ 348.1	\$ 318.3	\$ 29.8	9.4%	
Gross profit	112.6	102.8	9.8	9.5%	
Operating income	12.6	10.0	2.6	25.9%	
Net income	3.6	2.3	1.3	56.7%	
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.03	57.1%	
Expressed as a percentage of net sales:					
Gross margin (a)	32.3%	32.3%			
Selling, general and administrative expense	28.7%	29.2%			
Operating margin (b)	3.6%	3.1%			

- (a) Gross Margin is defined as gross profit divided by net sales as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (b) Operating Margin is defined as operating income divided by net sales as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. **Acquisitions**

During the 2005 second quarter, K2 completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Hodgman, Inc., a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of hunting and fishing waders, and JRC Products Limited, a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of fishing tackle products. The purchase price for these assets was paid in cash. The results of the operations of these two companies were included in the consolidated financial statements of K2 beginning with the date of the acquisition.

Downsizing and Restructuring Activities

Pursuant to acquisitions made by K2 during 2005, 2004 and 2003, K2 approved restructuring and exit plans related to the closure of certain facilities of the acquired companies. In accordance with EITF 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, K2 established reserves for employee severance, employee relocation costs and lease termination costs totaling approximately \$0.6 million, \$11.0 million and \$5.1 million during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. These reserves were recognized as assumed liabilities of the acquired companies. The reserves established were not individually significant to any of K2 s acquisitions during 2005, 2004 or 2003.

Comparative First Quarter Results of Operations

Net sales of K2 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 increased to \$348.1 million as compared with \$318.3 million in the year-earlier period. Net income for the first quarter of 2006 also increased to \$3.6 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share, as compared to \$2.3 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share, in the first quarter of 2005.

Net Sales. In the Marine and Outdoor segment, net sales increased to \$123.1 million in the 2006 first quarter as compared with \$112.4 million in the prior year s first quarter. The overall improvement in net sales during 2006 resulted from increased sales of Stearns products of \$10.2 million, including sales of Hodgman wader products, which was acquired in the second quarter of 2005, and increased sales of Shakespeare fishing tackle products. The increase in sales of Shakespeare fishing tackle products reflected growth in the sales of Pflueger reels, kits and combos and military antennas. Increased sales of Stearns outdoor products resulted from increase sales of ski vests, inflatable floatation devices and international sales of immersion suits.

Net sales of the Team Sports segment improved to \$132.5 million for the 2006 first quarter as compared to \$108.2 million in the prior year s first quarter. The improvement was driven primarily by increased sales of *Rawlings, Worth* and *Miken* baseball and softball products of \$11.4 million, *Rawlings* apparel product lines of \$6.5 million, K2 Licensed Products of \$1.4 million, and paintball products of \$2.4 million.

In the Action Sports segment, net sales were \$56.1 million in the 2006 first quarter as compared to \$64.8 million in the prior year s first quarter. The overall sales decline was primarily due to lower sales of in-line skates of \$3.1 million, bikes of \$3.2 million and ski, snowboard and binding products of \$1.2 million. In September 2005 K2 discontinued selling bikes and entered into a license agreement with a third party to manufacture and distribute bikes under the K2 brand.

Net sales of the Apparel and Footwear segment increased to \$36.4 million in the 2006 first quarter as compared to \$32.9 million in the prior year s first quarter. The increase in net sales is due to higher sales of Earth Products skateboard shoes and apparel of \$1.8 million, a \$0.7 million increase in *Marmot* products and a \$0.9 million increase in *Ex Officio* products.

K2 s international operations (operating locations outside of the United States) represented \$71.6 million, or 20.6% of K2 s consolidated net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to \$75.6 million, or 23.8% of K2 s consolidated net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease in net sales from international operations was due to the lower sales of in-line skates and *Völkl* and *Marker* products.

Gross profit. Gross profits for the first quarter of 2006 increased 9.5% to \$112.6 million, or 32.3% of net sales, as compared with \$102.8 million, or 32.3% of net sales, in the year ago quarter. The improvement in gross profit dollars for the quarter was attributable to the increase in first quarter sales volume.

Costs and Expenses. Selling expenses for the 2006 first quarter were \$61.9 million, or 17.8% of net sales, as compared with \$58.7 million, or 18.4% of net sales, in the prior year s first quarter. General and administrative expenses for the 2006 first quarter were \$38.1 million, or 10.9% of net sales, as compared with \$34.1 million, or 10.7% of net sales, in the prior year s first quarter. The increase in selling expenses in dollars was attributable to the increase in sales volume for the first quarter as compared to the prior year. The increase in general and administrative expenses in dollars for the 2006 first quarter was primarily attributable to higher sales volume during the first quarter. As a percentage of net sales, selling expenses decreased due to decreased selling expense in the Team Sports segment offset by increased selling expenses in the Action Sports and Apparel and Footwear segments.

Operating Income. Operating income for the 2006 first quarter increased to \$12.6 million, or 3.6% of net sales, as compared to operating income of \$10.0 million, or 3.1% of net sales, a year ago. The increase in operating income was due to higher sales volume leading to increased gross profit and lower selling expenses as a percentage of sales as discussed above in the 2006 first quarter as compared to the prior year partially offset by \$0.3 million of stock option expense under SFAS No. 123R in the first quarter 2006 and increases in general and administrative expenses.

K2 s international operations (operating locations outside of the United States) had an operating loss of \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared with \$0.2 million of operating income in the year ago quarter. The decrease in operating income from international operations was largely attributable to the decrease in net sales from international operations due to the lower sales of in-line skates and *Völkl* and *Marker* products.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$7.8 million in the 2006 first quarter as compared to \$7.3 million in the year-earlier period. The increase in interest expense for 2006 was primarily attributable to higher average borrowing levels and higher interest rates during the 2006 first quarter as compared to 2005. Borrowings at the end of the 2006 first quarter were lower than the end of the 2005 first quarter, but on average, the borrowings were higher in the 2006 first quarter due to a decrease in working capital that did not occur until at the end of the 2006 first quarter.

Income Taxes. During the 2006 first quarter, the effective income tax rate was 34.1% as compared to 33.2% during the 2005 first quarter. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily attributable to an estimated greater percentage of income being taxed in relatively higher tax jurisdictions during 2006 than during 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow Activity

K2 s operating activities provided \$52.2 million of cash in the current year s three months as compared to \$16.9 million in the 2005 three months. The increase in cash from operations during 2006 was primarily attributable to the decrease in inventory of \$0.8 million in 2006 compared to an increase in inventory of \$21.3 million in 2005, a further decline of accounts receivable of \$11.5 million and a smaller decrease in accounts payable of \$9.1 million offset by an increase in deferred taxes of \$5.8 million in 2006 compared to a decrease in deferred taxes of \$0.3 million in 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.7 million in the current year s three months, as compared to \$4.6 million of cash used in investing activities in the prior year. The decrease in cash used in investing activities during 2006 was mainly attributable to \$1.1 million less cash used in the purchase of property, plant and equipment and a \$2.5 million increase in cash provided by the disposals of property, plant and equipment in the 2006 three months as compared to 2005. There were no material commitments for capital expenditures at March 31, 2006.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$44.0 million in the current year s three months as compared with \$2.3 million of cash provided by financing activities in the prior year. The increase in cash used in financing activities during the 2006 was due to the repayment of debt.

Capital Structure and Resources

K2 s principal long-term borrowing facility is a \$250 million revolving credit facility (Facility), secured by all of K2 s assets in the United States, Canada and England. Total availability under the Facility is determined by a borrowing formula based on eligible trade receivables and inventory and defined advance rates. The Facility is expandable to \$350 million, subject to certain conditions, and has a \$100 million limit for the issuance of letters of credit. On February 21, 2006, K2 amended and restated its Facility, which extends the expiration date to February 21, 2011. Additionally, the amended and restated Facility provides reduced pricing on borrowings and fees on unused commitments and provides more favorable covenants, including, among others, those relating to financial reporting, sale or disposition of assets, incurrence of other indebtedness, permitted investments and restricted payments or dividends.

At March 31, 2006, there were \$60 million of borrowings outstanding under the Facility, \$9 million of outstanding letter of credit issuances (consisting of \$8.2 million of standby letters of credit and \$0.8 million of trade letters of credit which expire over the next 12 months) and \$179.9 million of available borrowing capacity. At March 31, 2006, K2 also had outstanding \$25 million of 7.25% convertible subordinated debentures due March 2010, \$75 million of 5.00% convertible senior debentures due June 2010 and \$200 million of 7.375% senior notes due July 2014. At March 31, 2006, K2 had \$33.7 million outstanding under various foreign lending arrangements.

K2 believes that the credit available under the Facility, together with cash flow from operations, will be sufficient for K2 s business needs through March 31, 2007. K2 s ability to arrange debt financing from other sources, should such additional financing become necessary, could be limited by the fact that substantially all of K2 s assets in the United States, Canada and England are subject to security interests pursuant to the Facility. In addition, K2 s \$200 million senior notes and \$25 million convertible subordinated debentures place limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness by K2.

Long-term Financial Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments

The following summarizes the outstanding borrowings and long-term contractual obligations of K2 at March 31, 2006 and the effects such obligations are expected to have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods.

					After
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years (Thousands)	4-5 years	5 years
Long-term debt (1)	\$ 378,226	\$ 3,114	\$ 5,762	\$ 101,463	\$ 267,887
Operating leases (2)	70,101	15,564	21,565	14,104	18,868
Licensing arrangements (3)	8,005	3,252	3,428	575	750
Endorsement and sponsorship arrangements (4)	5,197	3,353	1,720	124	
Pension contributions (5)	1,280	1,280			
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 462,809	\$ 26,563	\$ 32,475	\$ 116,266	\$ 287,505

(1) Includes principal payments contractually outstanding under K2 s lending arrangements. See Note 8 to Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, for additional information on K2 s long-term debt obligations.

- (2) In the ordinary course of business, K2 enters into operating leases for the use of buildings, machinery and equipment. These amounts represent the contractual minimum payments due under these agreements.
- (3) In the ordinary course of business, K2 enters into licensing arrangements whereby future minimum payments are due. These amounts represent the contractual minimum payments due under these agreements.
- (4) In the ordinary course of business, K2 enters into endorsement and sponsorship contracts with athletes whereby future minimum payments are due. These amounts represent the contractual minimum payments due under these agreements.
- (5) These amounts include estimated contributions for K2 s pension plans. See Note 9 to Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, for additional information on K2 s pension plans.

In addition to the amounts listed in the above table, K2 also has interest payment and fee obligations related to the long term debt as follows at March 31, 2006 (see also Note 8 to Notes of Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements):

Outstanding borrowings of \$60 million under its \$250 million secured bank revolving credit line due February 21, 2011 with interest payments due at LIBOR plus 1.375% or at the prime rate and a commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion.

\$75 million convertible debentures, due June 15, 2010 with semi-annual interest payable at 5.00%.

\$25 million convertible subordinated debentures, due March 3, 2010 with quarterly interest payable at 7.25%.

\$200 million senior notes, due July 1, 2014 with semi-annual interest payable at 7.375%.

Outstanding long term debt of \$33.7 million under various foreign lending arrangements. Interest rates on these borrowings range from approximately 1% to 7.25%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

K2 did not enter into any off-balance sheet arrangements during 2006 or 2005, nor did K2 have any off-balance sheet arrangements outstanding at March 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

Critical Accounting Policies

K2 s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon K2 s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires K2 to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities.

Discussed below are several significant accounting policies, which require the use of judgments and estimates that may materially affect the consolidated financial statements.

The estimates described below are reviewed from time to time and are subject to change if the circumstances so indicate. The effect of any such change is reflected in results of operations for the

period in which the change is made. Establishment of the reserves affecting inventories and the allowance for doubtful accounts are among the most important.

Adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004)

On January 1, 2006, K2 adopted SFAS No. 123R which requires K2 to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award the requisite service period. No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the requisite service. The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments is estimated using option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the use of APB Opinion No. 25 and the option for pro forma disclosure in accordance with SFAS No. 123.

SFAS No. 123R permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of the two following methods: (1) a modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date; and (2) a modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits companies to restate prior periods based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures, either (a) for all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption. K2 adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified-prospective method and therefore prior periods are not restated.

SFAS No. 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than an operating cash flow as required under previous guidance. This requirement may reduce operating cash flows and increases net financing cash flows in periods after adoption.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, K2 measured compensation cost for its employee stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations and provided pro forma net income and pro forma net income per share disclosures for employee stock option grants made as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. Compensation cost for stock options issued to employees was recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeded the exercise price.

On May 17, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of K2 approved the acceleration of vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options previously awarded to employees and officers under the K2 stock option plans. An option was considered out-of-the-money if the stated exercise price was greater than \$11.94 per share, the closing price of K2 s common stock on May 17, 2005, which was the last trading day before approval of the acceleration. Outstanding unvested options that had an exercise price equal to or less than \$11.94 on May 17, 2005, will continue to vest under the terms of the original option agreements. As a result

of this action, options to purchase approximately 2.1 million shares of K2 s common stock that would otherwise have vested over the next three years became fully vested. The options have a range of exercise prices of \$12.51 to \$14.30 and a weighted average exercise price of \$13.14. Options held by non-employee directors were not affected. In addition, the Compensation Committee imposed a holding period that will require that all affected executive officers of the Company not sell shares acquired through the exercise of an accelerated option (other than shares needed to cover the exercise price and satisfying withholding taxes) prior to the earlier of the date on which exercise would have been permitted under the options original vesting terms or, if earlier, the executive officer s last day of employment.

The decision to accelerate the vesting of these options was made to reduce future compensation expense that is expected to be recorded in conjunction with K2 s adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

Determining Fair Value under SFAS No. 123R

Valuation and Amortization Method. K2 has elected to use the Black-Scholes option valuation model (single option approach) to calculate the fair value of employee stock option grants. For options with graded vesting, the option grant is treated as a single award and compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the entire award, ensuring that compensation cost is at least equal to the cumulative amount of vesting at the end of each reporting period.

Expected Term. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the option is expected to be outstanding. K2 estimates the expected term of the option grants based on historical exercise patterns that are believed to be representative of future behavior as well as other various factors. When and if applicable K2 uses separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior for valuation purposes.

Expected Volatility. K2 estimates its volatility using its historical share price performance over the expected life of the options, which management believes is materially indicative of expectations about expected future volatility.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. K2 uses risk-free interest rates in the Black-Scholes option valuation model that are based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options.

Dividend Rate. K2 does not issue dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Therefore, K2 uses an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

Forfeitures. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent reporting periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. K2 uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to the date of adoption of SFAS No. 123R, K2 accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

Revenue Recognition

K2 recognizes revenue from product sales when title passes and the risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the customer, based on the terms of sale. Title passes generally upon shipment or upon receipt by the customer depending on the country of the sale and the agreement with the customer. In some instances, products are shipped directly from K2 suppliers to K2 customers and revenue is recognized when the product is delivered to and accepted by the customer or a representative of the customer. K2 revenues may fluctuate in cases when our customers delay accepting shipment of product for periods up to several weeks. Reserves for estimated returns are established based upon historical return rates and recorded as reductions of sales.

Warranty

K2 records the estimated cost of product warranties at the time sales are recognized. K2 estimates warranty obligation by reference to historical product warranty return rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting the product. Should actual product warranty return rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from the historical rates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Accounts Receivable and Allowances

Accounts receivable are the result of K2 s worldwide sales activities. Although K2 s credit risk is spread across a large number of customers within a wide geographic area, periodic concentrations within a specific industry occur due to the seasonality of its businesses and with certain customers as the result of K2 s acquisition activities. K2 generally does not require collateral but performs periodic credit evaluations to manage its credit risk.

K2 evaluates the collectibility of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances where there is knowledge of a specific customer s inability to meet its financial obligations, a specific reserve is recorded against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount that is reasonably believed to be collected. For all other customers, reserves are established based on historical bad debts, customer payment patterns and current economic conditions. The establishment of these reserves requires the use of judgment and assumptions regarding the potential for losses on receivable balances. If the financial condition of K2 s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required resulting in an additional charge to expenses when made.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is substantially determined by the first-in, first-out method, including material, labor and factory overhead. K2 records adjustments to its inventory for estimated obsolescence or diminution in market value equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value, based on market conditions from time to time. These adjustments are estimates, which could vary significantly, either favorably or unfavorably, from actual experience if future economic conditions, levels of consumer demand, customer inventory levels or competitive conditions differ from expectations.

Long-Lived and Finite Lived Intangible Assets

Purchased intangible assets with finite lives are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated economic lives of the assets, ranging from one to eleven years.

Long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment and purchased intangible assets with finite lives, are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. K2 assesses the fair value of the assets based on the future cash flow the assets are expected to generate and recognizes an impairment loss when estimated undiscounted future cash flow expected to result from the use of the asset plus net proceeds expected from disposition of the asset (if any) are less than the carrying value of the asset. When an impairment is identified, K2 reduces the carrying amount of the asset to its estimated fair value based on a discounted cash flow approach or, when available and appropriate, comparable market values.

K2 determined there was no material impairment of long-lived assets as of March 31, 2006. However, future indicators or impairment tests of intangible assets with finite lives could result in a charge to earnings. K2 will continue to evaluate intangible assets on an annual basis or whenever events and changes in circumstances indicate that there may be a potential impairment.

K2 has evaluated the remaining useful lives of its finite-lived purchased intangible assets to determine if any adjustments to the useful lives were necessary or if any of these assets had indefinite lives and were therefore not subject to amortization. K2 determined that no adjustments to the useful lives of its finite-lived purchased intangible assets were necessary. The finite-lived purchased intangible assets consist of patents, customer contracts and customer lists, licensing agreements, trademarks and non-compete arrangements which have weighted average useful lives of approximately 8 years, 8 years, 7 years, 7 years and 4 years, respectively.

Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are measured for impairment at least annually, or when events indicate that a likely impairment exists. The impairment tests for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are assessed for impairment using fair value measurement techniques.

For indefinite-lived assets other than goodwill, the impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. In valuing its indefinite-lived intangible assets, K2 uses the royalty savings method. Under this method, the value of the asset is a function of the projected revenues attributable to the products utilizing the asset, the royalty rate that would hypothetically be charged by a licensor of the asset to a licensee and an appropriate discount rate to reflect the inherent risk of the projected cash flows.

Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test is used to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a K2 reporting unit with the net book value (or carrying amount), including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit is goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit is goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination, accordingly the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit.

The fair value of K2 s reporting units are determined using a combination of the income approach and the market approach. Under the income approach, the fair value of a reporting unit is calculated based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The present value of estimated future cash flows uses K2 s estimates of revenue for the reporting units, driven by assumed market growth rates and assumed market segment share, and estimated costs as well as appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates that K2 uses to manage the underlying businesses. Under the market approach, fair value is estimated based on market multiples of earnings for comparable companies and similar transactions. The weighting that K2 applies to each of the income and market approaches is based on the data available and specific facts and circumstances surrounding each reporting unit.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit under the first step of the goodwill impairment test and determining the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of a reporting unit under the second step of the goodwill impairment test is judgmental in nature and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and also the extent of such charge. K2 s estimates of fair value utilized in goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible asset tests may be based upon a number of factors, including assumptions about the projected future cash flows, discount rate, growth rate, determination of market comparables, weighting of valuation methods, technological change, economic conditions, or changes to K2 s business operations. Such changes may result in impairment charges recorded in future periods.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded using the liability method. K2 estimates actual current tax exposure together with temporary differences that result from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. In accordance with SFAS No. 109, net deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In evaluating the realization of its deferred tax assets, K2 considers all available positive and negative evidence, including past operating results, the existence of cumulative losses in the most recent fiscal years

and forecasts of future taxable income. In forecasting future taxable income, K2 considers (i) taxable income in prior carryback years, (ii) future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, (iii) tax planning strategies, and (iv) future taxable income, exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryovers. These forecasts require significant judgment and assumptions to estimate future taxable income and are based on the plans and estimates that K2 uses to manage the underlying business. K2 has established a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets in each jurisdiction where it cannot conclude that it is more likely than not that such assets will be realized. In the event that actual results differ from the forecasts or we adjust the forecast or assumptions in the future, the resultant change in the valuation allowance could have a significant impact on future income tax expense.

K2 is subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. In the ordinary course of our business there are calculations and transactions, including transfer pricing, where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. In addition, changes in tax laws and regulations as well as adverse judicial rulings could adversely affect the income tax provision. K2 believes that it has adequately provided for income tax issues not yet resolved with federal, state, and foreign tax authorities. However, if these provided amounts prove to be more than what is necessary, the reversal of the reserves would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period in which we determine that provision for the liabilities is no longer necessary. If an ultimate tax assessment exceeds our estimate of tax liabilities, an additional charge to expense would result.

Pensions

K2 sponsors a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan that covers approximately 750 of its domestic employees. Benefits are generally based on years of service and the employee s highest average compensation for five consecutive years during the years of credited service. Benefit formulas for prior service vary for different divisions. Contributions are intended to provide for benefits attributable to service to date and service expected to be provided in the future. K2 funds this plan in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

K2 also has a pension plan which covered certain employees of the Simplex Building Products division which K2 sold in 2000 (the Simplex UAW Pension Plan). This plan was merged with the K2 Pension Plan as of December 31, 2005.

In addition to the plans discussed above, K2 also had five smaller defined benefit plans in the United Kingdom and in Germany (foreign plans).

Pension costs and liabilities are actuarially calculated. These calculations are based on assumptions related to the discount rate, projected compensation increases and expected return on assets. The discount rate assumption is based on current market interest rates of long-term bonds as of December 31, 2005. There is no salary growth assumption on the domestic plan for the future due to the freezing of the plan on August 31, 2004, whereby no additional benefits will accrue. Long-term return on plan assets is determined based on historical portfolio results and management s future expectations for returns for each asset class, as well as the target asset allocation of the pension portfolio. K2 evaluates the assumptions used on a periodic basis and makes adjustments as necessary.

For 2006, domestic plan pension expense is estimated to be approximately \$1.1 million, an increase of \$0.4 million from the 2005 year. This increase in expense is attributable to the following: a \$0.3 million increase in expense due to worse than expected asset returns during 2005; a \$0.2 million increase in expense due to a change in expected return on asset for 2006 from 8.25% to 7.75%; \$0.2 million increase for lower expected contributions in 2006; \$0.1 million increase due to a change in discount rate from 5.75% to 5.67%, all of which are expected to be offset by a \$0.4 million decrease due to demographic and miscellaneous changes. K2 estimates a required cash contribution of approximately \$0.1 million to the plans in 2006. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 K2 made no contributions to the domestic plan.

For 2006, pension expense related to the foreign plans is estimated to be approximately \$0.7 million, which is consistent with the 2005 year. K2 estimates a required cash contribution of approximately \$1.2 million to the foreign plans in 2006. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, K2 made contributions totaling approximately \$0.2 million to the foreign plans.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency for most foreign operations is the local currency. The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries have been translated into U.S. dollars. Asset and liability accounts have been translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense accounts have been translated using the average exchange rate for the period. The gains and losses associated with the translation of the financial statements resulting from the changes in exchange rates from period to period have been reported in the accumulated other comprehensive income or loss account in shareholders—equity. To the extent assets and liabilities of the foreign operations are realized or the foreign operations pay back intercompany debt, amounts previously reported in the accumulated other comprehensive income or loss account would be included in net income or loss in the period in which the transaction occurs. Transaction gains or losses, other than those related to intercompany accounts and investments deemed to be of a long-term nature, are included in net income or loss in the period in which they occur.

Other Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, K2 is involved in legal proceedings regarding contractual and employment relationships, product liability claims, environmental matters, intellectual property rights, and a variety of other matters. K2 records contingent liabilities resulting from claims when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. Estimating probable losses requires analysis of multiple factors, in some cases including judgments about the potential actions of third party claimants and courts. Therefore, actual losses in any future period are inherently uncertain. Currently, K2 does not believe that any of its pending legal proceedings or claims will have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations. However, if actual or estimated probable future losses exceed K2 s recorded liability for such claims, additional charges would be recorded as an expense during the period in which the actual loss or change in estimate occurred.

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 2, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause the results of K2 Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries (K2) to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including any projections of net sales, gross margin, expenses, earnings or losses from operations, synergies or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning developments, performance or industry rankings relating to products; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. The risks, uncertainties and assumptions referred to above include to successfully execute its acquisition plans and growth strategy, integration of acquired businesses, weather conditions, consumer spending, continued success of manufacturing in the People's Republic of China, global economic conditions, product demand, financial market performance, foreign currency fluctuations and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items described from time to time in K2 s periodic reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), including K2 s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. K2 cautions that the foregoing list of important factors is not exclusive, any forward-looking statements included in this report are made as of the date of filing of this report with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and K2 assumes no obligation and does not intend to update thes

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

K2 is exposed to a variety of risks. Two of these risks are foreign currency fluctuations and changes in interest rates that affect interest expense.

Foreign Currency

K2 is exposed to gains and losses resulting from the effect that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates can have on the reported results in the consolidated financial statements due to the translation of the operating results and financial position of K2 s international subsidiaries. K2 manages its exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on certain firm purchase commitments and anticipated, but not yet committed purchases, by entering into some foreign currency forward contracts. K2 s risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on the cost of products sold over annual time horizons. Foreign currency exchange rate movements also affect K2 s competitive position, as exchange rate changes may affect business practices and/or pricing strategies of non-U.S. based competitors and may affect the profitability and pricing strategies of K2 as well. K2 s foreign currency risk policies entail entering into foreign currency derivative instruments only to manage risk of currency fluctuations over a given period of time, not for speculative investments. At March 31, 2006, K2 had foreign exchange contracts with maturities of within one year to exchange various foreign currencies to dollars in the aggregate amount of \$40.8 million.

A majority of K2 s products are either manufactured in K2 s China manufacturing facility or sourced from Chinese suppliers, which requires the use of Yuan as the form of payment for labor, raw materials, supplies, overhead, transportation and facilities costs. In July 2005, the Chinese government announced that it would let the Yuan's value float relative to other currencies within a narrow band and increased the Yuan s value versus the U.S. Dollar by two percent. Should the Yuan continue to strengthen against the U.S. dollar, this could have a negative impact on K2 s future results of operations in the event K2 is unable to pass on the impact of the rising costs to its customers.

Considering both the anticipated cash flows from firm purchase commitments and anticipated purchases for the next quarter and the foreign currency derivative instruments in place at quarter end, a hypothetical 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies would not materially adversely affect expected second quarter 2006 earnings or cash flows. This analysis is dependent on actual purchases during the next quarter occurring within 90% of budgeted forecasts. The effect of the hypothetical change in exchange rates ignores the effect this movement may have on other variables including competitive risk. If it were possible to quantify this competitive impact, the results could well be different than the sensitivity effects shown above. In addition, it is unlikely currencies would uniformly strengthen or weaken relative to the U.S. dollar. In reality, some currencies may weaken while others may strengthen. Moreover, any negative effect of a weakening U.S. dollar in terms of increase materials costs would likely be partially offset by a positive impact on revenues due to K2 s sales internationally and the conversion of those international sales to U.S. dollars.

Interest Rates

K2 is also exposed to interest rate risk in connection with its borrowings under the revolving bank credit facility and term loan which bear interest at floating rates based on London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or the prime rate plus an applicable borrowing margin. K2 is also exposed to inherent rate risk in connection with its foreign credit lines. For the \$100 million of convertible debentures, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for variable rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

As of March 31, 2006, K2 had \$300 million in principal amount of fixed rate debt represented by the convertible debentures and senior notes and \$93.7 million of variable rate debt represented by borrowings under the revolving credit facilities and foreign credit lines. Based on the balance outstanding under the variable rate facilities as of March 31, 2006, an immediate change of one percentage point in the applicable interest rate would have caused an increase or decrease in interest expense of approximately \$0.9 million on an annual basis. At March 31, 2006, up to \$179.9 million of variable rate borrowings were available under K2 s \$250 million revolving bank credit facility. K2 may use derivative financial instruments, where appropriate, to manage its interest rate risks. However, as a matter of policy, K2 does not enter into derivative or other financial investments for trading or speculative purposes. As of March 31, 2006, K2 had no such derivative financial instruments outstanding.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

K2 maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act.

As required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) of the Exchange Act, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of K2 s management, including K2 s Chief Executive Officer (K2 s principal executive officer), Chief Financial Officer (K2 s principal financial officer) and Chief Operating Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of K2 s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer have concluded that K2 s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the Evaluation Date.

In addition, based on the evaluation required by Rules 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act, there have been no changes to K2 s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the last fiscal quarter, that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, K2 s internal control over financial reporting.

While product innovation is a highly important factor and many of K2 s innovations have been patented, K2 does not believe the loss of any one patent would have a material effect on its business, financial position, results of operations or prospects. Certain of its brand names, such as *Rawlings, Miken, Worth, Shakespeare, Ugly Stik, Pflueger, Stearns, Sospenders, Hodgman, Mad Dog, K2, Völkl, Marker, Madshus, Marmot, Ex Officio, Ride, Morrow, Liquid, 5150, Tubbs, Atlas, Little Bear, Brass Eagle, Viewloader, JT, Worr Games and Adio are believed by K2 to be well-recognized by consumers and therefore important in the sales of these products. Rawlings®, Worth®, Miken®, deBeer®, Gait , Ten , Hilfon Shakespeare®, Pflueger®, Ugly Stik®, All Star , Brass Eagle, Viewloader®, Autococker®, Stearns®, Sospenders®, Mad Dog®, Hodgman®, K2®, Völkl®, Marker®, Madshus®, Ride®, Morrow®, 5150®, Liquid®, Marmot®, Ex Officio®, Planet Earth®, Adio®, Holden , Tubb®, Atlas®, Little Bear®, JT® and Worr Games® are protected trademarks or registered trademarks of K2 or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries worldwide. Buzz Off® is a registered trademark owned by Buzz Off Insect Shield, LLC.*

Registered and other trademarks and trade names of K2 s products are italicized in this Form 10-Q.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

K2 currently is a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. While management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or prospects, litigation and related matters are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages or, in cases for which injunctive relief is sought, an injunction prohibiting K2 from selling one or more products. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the business, financial position, results of operations or prospects for the period in which the ruling occurs or future periods. K2 maintains product liability, general liability and excess liability insurance coverage. No assurances can be given such that insurance will continue to be available at an acceptable cost to K2 or that such coverage will be sufficient to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurers will not successfully disclaim coverage as to a pending or future claim.

Environmental

K2 is one of several named potentially responsible parties (PRP) in three Environmental Protection Agency matters involving discharge of hazardous materials at old waste sites in South Carolina and Michigan. Although environmental laws technically impose joint and several liability upon each PRP at each site, the extent of K2 s required financial contribution to the cleanup of these sites is expected to be limited based upon the number and financial strength of the other named PRP's and the volume and types of waste involved which might be attributable to K2.

Environmental and related remediation costs are difficult to quantify for a number of reasons including the number of parties involved, the difficulty in determining the extent of the contamination, the length of time remediation may require, the complexity of environmental regulation and the continuing advancement of remediation technology. K2 accrues for liabilities of this nature when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, K2 had recorded an estimated liability of approximately \$800,000 for environmental liabilities. The estimates are based on K2 s share of the costs to remediate as provided by the PRP s consultants and in connection with a consent decree entered into in November 2004. The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, however, and taking into consideration the recorded reserves, management does not believe these matters will have a material adverse effect on K2 s business, financial position, its results of operations or prospects going forward.

EIFS Litigation and Claims

From 1988 through 2000, K2, through a former division, manufactured and sold an exterior wall covering product for application by contractors on commercial and residential buildings, referred to as exterior insulated finish systems (EIFS). In June 2000, K2 sold the assets of this division to Tyco International (US) Inc. and affiliates, including any liabilities for EIFS manufactured and installed after the sale date. K2 has not been in this building products business since June 2000.

Since 1995, K2 has been a party to over 500 claims or lawsuits with a majority of the claims originating from the southeastern United States, with other claims and lawsuits from over 20 states. As of March 31, 2006, K2 continues to be a defendant or co-defendant in approximately 70 single family residential EIFS cases, the majority of which are pending in Alabama and Texas. K2 is also defending EIFS lawsuits involving commercial structures, townhouses, and condominiums. The vast majority of K2 s EIFS lawsuits seek monetary relief for water intrusion related property damages, although some claims in certain lawsuits allege personal injuries from exposure to mold.

To date, all litigation costs and settlements related to the EIFS claims and lawsuits against K2 have been paid by insurers, with the exception of immaterial deductibles and one partial payment by K2, for which adequate reserves were made at the time of the sale of the EIFS business, although such insurance carriers have issued reservation of rights letters in respect of certain claims and lawsuits. Although K2 s experience with respect to EIFS claims is still evolving and it is possible that future claims and payments may vary from management's current expectations, K2 believes that its third party insurance will be adequate to cover the anticipated costs of all remaining EIFS litigation.

In September 2000, 98 home owners filed suit in the district court Montgomery County, Texas against the builder of the homes, Life Forms Homes, Inc., the EIFS applicator, Fresh Coat, Inc., the EIFS distributor, Griesenbeck Architectural Products, and K2. The allegations included claims of misrepresentation, common law indemnity and violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act (DTPA). In this litigation, Life Forms, Fresh Coat, Inc., and Griesenbeck Architectural Products, Inc. filed cross-claims against K2 under the same theories.

K2 timely tendered this case to its insurance carrier, which originally defended this lawsuit under a reservation of rights letter. In April 2004, K2 and its insurer negotiated an agreement which resulted in its insurer providing full indemnity up to applicable policy limits for all claims arising out of this litigation. In exchange for the indemnity, K2 s insurer assumed full control over the litigation and settlement negotiations. The claims by the 98 home owners were eventually settled by K2 s insurer. On November 4, 2005, the related claims against K2 by Life Forms, Fresh Coat, and Griesenbeck were tried and resulted in a jury verdict of approximately \$42 million, of which \$6.8 million was for knowingly and intentionally violating the DTPA. K2 s insurer has advised that it plans to appeal this verdict. Based on the agreement with its insurer to indemnify K2 on all claims as well as adequate insurance coverage and management s assessment of K2 s arguments that may be made on behalf of K2 on appeal, K2 does not believe this verdict will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

While, to date, none of these EIFS proceedings have required that K2 incur substantial costs, there can be no guarantee of insurance coverage. Current and future EIFS proceedings could result in substantial costs to K2. Although K2 carries what it believes is adequate general, product and excess liability insurance, K2 cannot assure that its insurance coverage will be adequate for all future payments, that the insured amounts will cover all future claims in excess of deductibles or that all amounts will be covered by insurance in respect of all judgments.

Intellectual Property

In January 2004, Rawlings was sued by a licensee in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maine in connection with a license agreement pursuant to which the licensee was granted an exclusive license to use certain Rawlings trademarks for the manufacture and sale of team and personal sporting-equipment bags—this lawsuit was later transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. In February 2004, Rawlings gave the licensee notice that it was terminating the license agreement and sued the licensee in the Missouri District Court, in which Rawlings alleged, among other things, that the licensee breached the license agreement by failing to use its—best efforts—. This license agreement was in place prior to the March 26, 2003 acquisition of Rawlings by K2 Inc. Accordingly, as a pre-acquisition contingency, K2 established a \$3.0 million liability as part of its purchase price allocation of Rawlings and added \$0.5 million to the liability in the fourth quarter 2004 through expense to the income statement.

On April 29, 2005, a jury awarded the licensee (1) \$4.1 million for a claim of lost profits for the next ten years on sales of equipment bags, plus the value of inventory of such bags (the 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict), (2) \$2.1 million for lost profits of equipment bags beginning ten years from the date of the breach of the agreement through forever (the Speculative Profits Verdict) and (3) \$2.5 million for K2 s alleged tortious interference with the licensee s business expectations (the Tortious Interference Verdict) between Rawlings and the licensee. The Missouri District Court ruled that the licensee was not permitted to bring certain claims to the jury. Following trial, the licensee was awarded approximately \$0.5 million in attorney s fees and costs pursuant to a motion. Following the verdict, K2 established in the second quarter of 2005 an additional \$1.2 million in liabilities for a total of approximately \$4.7 million in liabilities related to this litigation, including estimated legal fees and costs of licensee s attorneys.

On May 19, 2005, K2 and Rawlings, as the case may be, have filed a motion for new trial with respect to the 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict and a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict with respect to the Speculative Profits Verdict and the Tortious Interference Verdict. On July 27, 2005, the Missouri District (1) denied Rawlings motion for a new trial in respect of the \$4.1 million 10-Year Lost Profits Verdict, (2) granted Rawlings motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict with respect to the \$2.1 million Speculative Profits Verdict and (3) denied K2 s motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict for the \$2.5 million Tortious Interference Verdict. Accordingly, there is currently a judgment against K2 and Rawlings for approximately \$6.8 million, including post judgment interest.

K2 and Rawlings, as the case may be, intend to vigorously prosecute an appeal. K2 believes, in part based on advice and estimates from outside counsel as follows: that certain portions of the Missouri District Court s decision are not supported by facts or law; that there are meritorious arguments to be raised during the appeals process because of, among other things, a lack of evidence to support certain aspects of the verdict; and that K2 s aggregate exposure including attorney s fees and costs of license is approximately \$4.7 million. In connection with its appeal, K2 was required by Missouri law to post bond in the amount of approximately \$6.8 million. In the event that K2 and Rawlings are unsuccessful in their appeal and the amount of the judgment, including the fees and costs of attorneys for the licensee, is greater than \$4.7 million, or the outcome of a total liability greater than \$4.7 million becomes probable and estimable, K2 will be required to record an expense in the period in which the matter

is finalized. However, this expense could be higher if the appeals court rules in favor of the licensee for certain claims on which it is expected that licensee will appeal. The appeal process is expected to be completed in the range of one to two years.

In connection with K2 s acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Miken Composites, LLC, a business engaged in the design, selling and distribution of composite softball bats and softball-related products and accessories in the fourth quarter 2004, K2 assumed the post-acquisition damages, if any, relating to a patent lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. In this patent lawsuit, *Miken Composites*, *L.L.C. v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co.*, Miken commenced an action in April 2002 seeking a declaration that a line of softball bats manufactured by Miken does not infringe a particular patent owned by Wilson. In response, Wilson counterclaimed for patent infringement, seeking compensatory damages and a permanent injunction against Miken as the manufacturer and distributor of the allegedly infringing bats.

In July 2004, the Minnesota Court issued an order interpreting certain of Wilson's claims concerning its patent. Based on this ruling, Miken moved for summary judgment. On March 17, 2005, before any ruling on the summary judgment motion, the Minnesota Court entered an order to stay the patent case pending resolution of a related appeal in federal court of *Wilson Sporting Goods Co. v. Hillerich & Bradsby Co.* This case involves the same Wilson patent as in *Miken Composites, L.L.C. v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co.*

The outcome of this matter will depend primarily upon contested facts, and cannot be accurately predicted. Although each of K2 and Miken believes that Miken has meritorious defenses to Wilson's counterclaims, in the event that Miken is unsuccessful in the declaratory judgment and counterclaim actions, it is expected that Wilson would seek the same remedies against K2 that it is currently seeking against Miken, namely compensatory damages and an injunction against the manufacture and sale of allegedly infringing bats. In such an event, K2 would, among other things, be required to record an expense in the period when the loss resulting from the resolution of the matter is probable and estimable. The litigation process for this case, including any appeals, is estimated to be in the range of two to three years.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed under Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, which Item 1A is hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2006, among K2, certain of its subsidiaries parties thereto, the financial institutions named therein, as lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent, and the other parties named therein, filed on February 24, 2006 as exhibit 10.1 to K2 s Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.3 Certification of the Chief Operating Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

K2 INC.

(registrant)

Date: May 10, 2006 /s/ THOMAS R. HILLEBRANDT

Thomas R. Hillebrandt

Corporate Controller

(Chief Accounting Officer)

54