

CHENIERE ENERGY INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 04, 2006  
Table of Contents

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

\_\_\_\_\_  
**FORM 10-Q**  
\_\_\_\_\_

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

OR

**.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 001-16383

\_\_\_\_\_  
**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC.**

(Exact name as specified in its charter)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-4352386

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3100

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

**Houston, Texas**

**(Address of principal executive offices)**

**77002**

**(Zip Code)**

**(713) 659-1361**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of July 31, 2006, there were 54,981,865 shares of Cheniere Energy, Inc. Common Stock, \$.003 par value, issued and outstanding.

---

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC.**

**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Part I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statement of Operations</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	51
Item 4. <u>Disclosure Controls and Procedures</u>	52
<b>Part II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	52
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	53
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	54

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

## CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(in thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005 (as adjusted)
<u>ASSETS</u>		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 657,608	\$ 692,592
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	136,860	160,885
Restricted certificate of deposit	688	676
Advances to EPC contractor		8,087
Accounts receivable	5,386	2,912
Derivative assets	11,618	5,468
Prepaid expenses	3,613	843
Total current assets	815,773	871,463
NON-CURRENT RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	13,744	16,500
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	469,686	280,106
DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS, NET	40,288	43,008
INVESTMENT IN LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		
GOODWILL	76,844	76,844
LONG-TERM DERIVATIVE ASSETS	29,891	1,837
INTANGIBLE ASSETS	1,680	93
OTHER	1,890	296
Total assets	\$ 1,449,796	\$ 1,290,147
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 149	\$ 778
Accrued liabilities	53,541	54,544
Current portion of long-term debt	6,000	6,000
Total current liabilities	59,690	61,322
LONG-TERM DEBT	1,063,500	917,500
DEFERRED REVENUE	41,000	41,000
LONG-TERM DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES		1,682
LONG-TERM ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION	59	102
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	166	164

## Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.003 par value Authorized: 120,000,000 shares at both June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005		
Issued and outstanding: 54,935,191 shares at June 30, 2006 and 54,521,131 shares at December 31, 2005		
Additional paid-in-capital	378,130	375,551
Deferred compensation		(9,684)
Accumulated deficit	(120,718)	(101,288)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	27,969	3,798
Total stockholders' equity	285,547	268,541
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,449,796	\$ 1,290,147

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
<b>Revenues</b>				
Oil and gas sales	\$ 413	\$ 689	\$ 835	\$ 1,425
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>1,425</b>
<b>Operating costs and expenses</b>				
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	(4,506)	5,350	3,807	10,775
Exploration costs	590	560	1,428	1,102
Oil and gas production costs	55	34	105	89
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	579	249	1,185	453
General and administrative expenses	12,444	5,600	25,625	10,590
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>9,162</b>	<b>11,793</b>	<b>32,150</b>	<b>23,009</b>
<b>Loss from operations</b>	<b>(8,749)</b>	<b>(11,104)</b>	<b>(31,315)</b>	<b>(21,584)</b>
Equity in net loss of limited partnership		(127)		(971)
Derivative gain (loss)	162	(642)	923	(667)
Interest expense	(11,096)		(22,234)	
Interest income	10,335	1,755	19,879	3,573
Other income	108	426	284	426
<b>Loss before income taxes and minority interest</b>	<b>(9,240)</b>	<b>(9,692)</b>	<b>(32,463)</b>	<b>(19,223)</b>
Income tax benefit	5,621		13,033	
<b>Loss before minority interest</b>	<b>(3,619)</b>	<b>(9,692)</b>	<b>(19,430)</b>	<b>(19,223)</b>
Minority interest				97
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (3,619)</b>	<b>\$ (9,692)</b>	<b>\$ (19,430)</b>	<b>\$ (19,126)</b>
<b>Net loss per common share basic and diluted</b>	<b>\$ (0.07)</b>	<b>\$ (0.18)</b>	<b>\$ (0.36)</b>	<b>\$ (0.36)</b>
<b>Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic and diluted</b>	<b>54,369</b>	<b>53,757</b>	<b>54,293</b>	<b>53,063</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional		Accumulated		Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Other Comprehensive Income	
Balance December 31, 2005 (as adjusted)	54,521	\$ 164		\$	\$ 375,551	\$ (9,684)	\$ (101,288)	\$ 3,798	\$ 268,541
Issuances of stock	285	2			1,716				1,718
Issuances of restricted stock	153								
Reversal of deferred compensation					(9,684)	9,684			
Stock-based compensation					11,479				11,479
Purchase of treasury stock			(24)	(932)					(932)
Retirement of treasury stock	(24)		24	932	(932)				
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Interest rate swaps								24,205	24,205
Foreign currency translation								(34)	(34)
Net loss							(19,430)		(19,430)
Balance June 30, 2006	54,935	\$ 166		\$	\$ 378,130	\$	\$ (120,718)	\$ 27,969	\$ 285,547

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>June 30, 2005 (as adjusted)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (19,430)	\$ (19,126)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,185	453
Impairment of unproved properties	323	578
Dry hole expense	540	
Amortization of debt issuance cost	1,835	
Non-cash compensation	10,896	1,671
Deferred tax benefit	(13,033)	
Equity in net loss of limited partnership		971
Minority interest		(97)
Non-cash derivative (gain) loss	(580)	667
Other	(10)	(8)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(644)	390
Prepaid expenses	(2,770)	(860)
Deferred revenue		15,000
Regulatory assets	(12,343)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,114)	(190)
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(35,145)</b>	<b>(551)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
LNG terminal and pipeline construction-in-progress	(160,475)	(92,085)
Advance to EPC contractor		(24,260)
Purchases of fixed assets	(4,050)	(1,899)
Investment in limited partnership		(1,592)
Oil and gas property additions, net of sales	(2,576)	(799)
Use of (investment in) restricted cash	26,782	(136)
Other	(3,052)	(520)
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(143,371)</b>	<b>(121,291)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Repayment of Term Loan	(3,000)	
Purchase of treasury shares	(932)	
Debt issuance costs	(3,252)	(16,840)
Sale of common stock	1,716	2,009
Borrowing under Sabine Pass Credit Facility	149,000	
Other		47



## Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	143,532	(14,784)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(34,984)	(136,626)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING OF PERIOD	692,592	308,443
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS END OF PERIOD	\$ 657,608	\$ 171,817
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Interest expense (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 28,176	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**NOTE 1 Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Cheniere Energy, Inc. have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ( GAAP ) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation, have been included. As used herein, the terms Cheniere, we, our and us refer to Cheniere Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform prior period amounts to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net loss or stockholders' equity. As discussed below, we changed our method of accounting for investments in oil and gas properties from the full cost method to the successful efforts method of accounting, and as a result, the change in accounting method required that all prior period financial statements be adjusted to reflect the results and balances that would have been reported had we been following the successful efforts method of accounting from inception.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2006. All references to issued and outstanding shares, weighted average shares, and per share amounts in the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to reflect our two-for-one stock split that occurred on April 22, 2005.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

*New Accounting Pronouncements*

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - An Amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140* ( SFAS No. 155 ). SFAS No. 155 provides entities with relief from having to separately determine the fair value of an embedded derivative that would otherwise be required to be bifurcated from its host contract in accordance with SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 155 allows an entity to make an irrevocable election to measure such a hybrid financial instrument at fair value in its entirety, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. We believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 155 will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets - An Amendment to FASB Statement No. 140*. Once effective, SFAS No. 156 will require entities to recognize a servicing asset or liability each time they undertake an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations. This statement also requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and permits a choice of either the amortization or fair value measurement method for subsequent measurement. The effective date of this statement is for annual periods beginning after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year provided the entity has not issued any financial statements for that year. We do not plan to adopt SFAS No. 156 early, and do not believe that it will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* ( FIN 48 ). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. It prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This new standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The provisions of FIN 48 are to be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of this standard. Only tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date may be recognized or continue to be recognized upon adoption of FIN 48. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 should be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings (or other appropriate components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position) for that fiscal year. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted as long as the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period of adoption. We believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

*Change in Method of Accounting for Investments in Oil and Gas Properties*

Effective January 1, 2006, we converted from the full cost method to the successful efforts method of accounting for our investments in oil and gas properties. While our primary focus is the development of our liquefied natural gas ( LNG ) related businesses, we have continued to be involved, to a limited extent, in oil and gas exploration and development activities in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. We believe that, in light of our current level of exploration and development activities, the successful efforts method of accounting provides a better matching of expenses to the period in which oil and gas production is realized. As a result, we believe that the change in accounting method at that time was appropriate. The change in accounting method constituted a Change in Accounting Principle, requiring that all prior period financial statements be adjusted to reflect the results and balances that would have been reported had we been following the successful efforts method of accounting from our inception. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting method as of December 31, 2004 and 2005 was to reduce the balance of our net investment in oil and gas properties and retained earnings at those dates by \$18,237,000 and \$17,977,000, respectively. The change in accounting method resulted in a decrease in the net loss of \$145,000 and an increase in the net loss of \$73,000, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, and had no significant impact on earnings per share (basic and diluted) for these respective periods (see Note 14 Adjustment to Financial Statements Successful Efforts ). The change in method of accounting has no impact on cash or working capital.

*Successful Efforts Method of Accounting*

We have elected to follow the successful efforts method of accounting for our oil and gas properties. Under this method, production costs, geological and geophysical costs (including the cost of seismic data), delay rentals, costs of unsuccessful exploratory wells, and internal costs directly related to our exploration and development activities are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of property acquisitions, successful exploratory wells, development costs, and support equipment and facilities are initially capitalized when incurred. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we review proved oil and gas properties and other long-lived assets for impairment when events and circumstances indicate a decline in the recoverability of the carrying value of such properties, such as a downward revision of the reserve estimates or commodity prices. We estimate the future cash flows expected in connection with the properties and compare such future cash

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

flows to the carrying amount of the properties to determine if the carrying amount is recoverable. When the carrying amounts of the properties exceed their estimated undiscounted future cash flows, the carrying amount of the properties is written down to their estimated fair value. The factors used to determine fair value include, but are not limited to, estimates of proved reserves, future commodity prices, timing of future production, future capital expenditures and a risk-adjusted discount rate. Individually significant unproved properties are also periodically assessed for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of impairment by providing an impairment allowance. Depreciation, depletion and amortization of proved oil and gas properties is determined on a field-by-field basis using the unit-of-production method over the life of the remaining proved reserves.

*Application of SFAS No. 71 to Regulated Operations*

During the second quarter of 2006, we determined that certain of our natural gas pipelines to be constructed have met the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 71, *Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation* ( SFAS No. 71 ), that would require us to capitalize certain costs that have previously been expensed.

SFAS No. 71 requires rate-regulated subsidiaries to account for, and report, assets and liabilities consistent with the economic effect of the way in which regulators establish rates, if the rates established are designed to recover the costs of providing the regulated service and if the competitive environment makes it probable that such rates can be charged and collected. In the second quarter of 2006, we determined that our pipeline subsidiaries have met these criteria, and therefore, we have capitalized as a regulatory asset certain pipeline development costs that were previously expensed in accordance with our capitalization policy.

Our application of SFAS No. 71 is based on the current regulatory environment, our current projected tariff rates, and our ability to collect those rates. Future regulatory developments and rate cases could impact this accounting. Although discounting of our maximum tariff rates may occur, we believe the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 71, for its application, are met and the use of regulatory accounting under SFAS No. 71 best reflects the results of future operations in the economic environment in which we will operate. Regulatory accounting requires us to record assets and liabilities that result from the rate-making process that would not be recorded under GAAP for non-regulated entities. We will continue to evaluate the application of regulatory accounting principles based on on-going changes in the regulatory and economic environment.

*Capitalized Exploratory Well Costs*

In April 2005, the FASB issued a Financial Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 19-1, *Accounting for Suspended Well Costs*, which amends FSP No. FAS 19, *Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies* ( FSP No. FAS 19-1 ). Under the provisions of FSP No. FAS 19-1, exploratory well costs continue to be capitalized after the completion of drilling when (i) the well has found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify completion as a producing well and (ii) the enterprise is making sufficient progress assessing the reserves and the economic and operating viability of the project. If either condition is not met, or if an enterprise obtains information that raises substantial doubt about the economic or operational viability of the project, the exploratory well would be assumed to be impaired, and its costs, net of any salvage value, would be charged to expense. FSP No. FAS 19-1 provides several indicators that can assist an entity in demonstrating that sufficient progress is being made when assessing the reserves and economic viability of the project.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

At June 30, 2006, our suspended well costs for wells on which drilling was completed more than one year ago were \$162,000 relating to a single well. There were no suspended well costs charged to expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

**NOTE 2 Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment is comprised of LNG terminal and natural gas pipeline construction-in-progress expenditures, LNG site and related costs, investments in oil and gas properties, and fixed assets, as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005 (as adjusted)
<b>LNG TERMINAL COSTS</b>		
LNG terminal construction-in-progress	\$ 440,639	\$ 271,142
LNG site and related costs, net	1,116	1,249
Total LNG terminal costs	441,755	272,391
<b>NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COSTS</b>		
Pipeline construction-in-progress	14,930	
Total natural gas pipeline costs	14,930	
<b>OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES, successful efforts method</b>		
Proved	2,371	97
Unproved	878	1,600
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(98)	(57)
Total oil and gas properties, net	3,151	1,640
<b>FIXED ASSETS</b>		
Computers and office equipment	3,938	3,611
Furniture and fixtures	1,302	1,145
Computer software	4,974	1,640
Leasehold improvements	2,143	1,757
Other	111	26
Accumulated depreciation	(2,618)	(2,104)
Total fixed assets, net	9,850	6,075
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net</b>	<b>\$ 469,686</b>	<b>\$ 280,106</b>

Our developing natural gas pipeline business is subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in accordance with the Natural Gas Act of 1938 and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, and we have determined that our pipelines to be constructed have met the criteria found in SFAS No. 71. Accordingly, we have applied the provisions of SFAS No. 71 to the affected pipeline subsidiaries in the

## Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

second quarter of 2006.

As of June 30, 2006, pipeline construction-in-progress includes a \$12,343,000 regulatory asset. This regulatory asset represents costs that were previously expensed as pipeline development expenses before meeting the criteria in SFAS No. 71, as we were in the development stage of our pipeline business. In the second quarter of 2006, we determined that these costs met the capitalization criteria set forth in SFAS No. 71, and therefore, we recognized these costs as pipeline construction-in-progress and recorded a corresponding decrease to LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses on our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

Natural gas pipeline costs also include amounts capitalized as an Allowance for Funds Used During Construction ( AFUDC ). The rates used in the calculation of AFUDC are determined in accordance with guidelines established by the FERC. AFUDC represents the cost of debt and equity funds used to finance our natural gas pipeline additions during construction. AFUDC is capitalized as a part of the cost of our natural gas pipelines. Under regulatory rate practices, we generally are permitted to recover AFUDC, and a fair return thereon, through our rate base after our natural gas pipelines are placed in service.

**NOTE 3 Investment in Limited Partnership**

We account for our 30% limited partnership investment in Freeport LNG Development, L.P. ( Freeport LNG ) using the equity method of accounting.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, our equity share of the net losses of the limited partnership was \$2,343,000 and \$5,519,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, the basis of our investment in Freeport LNG was zero, and as a result, we did not record our share of the losses of the partnership for these periods because we did not guarantee any obligations and have not committed additional financial support to Freeport LNG at this time.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had cumulative suspended losses of \$9,486,000 and \$3,968,000, respectively, related to our investment in Freeport LNG.

The financial position of Freeport LNG at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and the results of Freeport LNG s operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Current assets	\$ 344,810	\$ 380,615
Construction-in-progress	412,707	246,351
Fixed assets, net, and other assets	9,354	9,309
 Total assets	 \$ 766,871	 \$ 636,275
Current liabilities	\$ 57,416	\$ 53,533
Notes payable	740,874	595,766
Deferred revenue and other deferred credits	5,748	5,748
Partners deficit	(37,167)	(18,772)
 Total liabilities and partners deficit	 \$ 766,871	 \$ 636,275

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (7,810)	\$ (4,009)	\$ (18,395)	\$ (6,821)
Net loss	(7,810)	(4,009)	(18,395)	(6,821)
Cheniere's equity in net loss from limited partnership (1)(2)	\$ (2,343)	\$ (1,203)	\$ (5,519)	\$ (2,046)

- (1) As discussed above, we did not record the \$2,343,000 and \$5,519,000 losses in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 because our investment basis was zero.
- (2) Our recorded equity in net loss was limited to \$127,000 and \$971,000, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 in our Consolidated Statement of Operations, as our investment basis had been reduced to zero, resulting in a suspended loss of \$1,075,000 at June 30, 2005.

**NOTE 4 Derivative Instruments***Interest Rate Derivative Instruments*

In connection with the closing of a credit agreement (the Sabine Pass Credit Facility) in February 2005, Sabine Pass LNG, L.P., a wholly-owned limited partnership (Sabine Pass LNG), entered into swap agreements (the Sabine Swaps) with HSBC Bank, USA and Société Générale. Under the terms of the Sabine Swaps, Sabine Pass LNG is able to hedge against rising interest rates, to a certain extent, with respect to its drawings under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, up to a maximum amount of \$700,000,000. The Sabine Swaps have the effect of fixing the LIBOR component of the interest rate payable under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility with respect to hedged drawings under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility up to a maximum of \$700,000,000, at 4.49% from July 25, 2005 through March 25, 2009 and at 4.98%, from March 26, 2009 through March 25, 2012. The final termination date of the Sabine Swaps is March 25, 2012.

In connection with the closing of a credit agreement (the Term Loan) on August 31, 2005, Cheniere LNG Holdings, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary (Cheniere LNG Holdings), entered into interest rate swap agreements with Credit Suisse (the Term Loan Swaps) to hedge against rising interest rates. Under the terms of the Term Loan Swaps, Cheniere LNG Holdings hedged an initial notional amount of \$600,000,000. The notional amount declines in accordance with anticipated principal payments under the Term Loan. The Term Loan Swaps have the effect of fixing the LIBOR rate component of the interest rate payable under the Term Loan at 3.75% from August 31, 2005 to September 27, 2007, at 3.98% from September 28, 2007 to September 27, 2008 and at 5.98% from September 28, 2008 to September 30, 2010. The final termination date of the Term Loan Swaps is September 30, 2010.

*Accounting for Hedges*

SFAS No. 133, as amended and interpreted by other related accounting literature, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments. Under SFAS No. 133, we are required to record derivatives on our balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at their fair value, unless exempted from derivative treatment under the normal purchase and normal sale exception. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met. These criteria require that the derivative is determined to be effective as a hedge and that it is formally documented and designated as a hedge.



**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

These criteria require that the derivative is determined to be effective as a hedge and that it is formally documented and designated as a hedge.

We have determined that the Sabine Swaps and the Term Loan Swaps (collectively, the Swaps) qualify as cash flow hedges within the meaning of SFAS No. 133 and have designated them as such. At their inception, we determined the hedging relationship of the Swaps and the underlying debt to be highly effective. We will continue to assess the hedge effectiveness of the Swaps on a quarterly basis in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133.

SFAS No. 133 provides that the effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument be reported as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI) and be reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. In our case, the impact on earnings is a reduction of \$1,973,000 and \$2,683,000, respectively, in interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, if any, must be recognized currently in earnings. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we have recognized net derivative gains of \$162,000 and \$923,000, respectively, into earnings. If the forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring, the associated gain or loss recorded in OCI is recognized currently in earnings.

*Summary of Derivative Values*

The following table reflects the amounts that are recorded as assets and liabilities at June 30, 2006 for our derivative instruments (in thousands):

Current derivative assets	\$ 11,618
Derivative receivables (1)	3,088
Long-term derivative assets	29,891
 Total derivative assets	 44,597
 Current derivative liabilities	
Derivative payables	
Long-term derivative liabilities	
 Total derivative liabilities	
 Net derivative assets	 \$ 44,597

(1) Included in accounts receivable on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Below is a reconciliation of our net derivative liabilities to our accumulated OCI at June 30, 2006 (in thousands):

Net derivative asset	\$ 44,597
Effective non-cash items	(156)
Ineffective non-cash items	(1,359)
 Accumulated OCI before income tax	 43,082

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Income taxes on OCI	(15,079)
Accumulated OCI after income tax	\$ 28,003

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

The maximum length of time over which we have hedged our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions is seven years under the Swaps. As of June 30, 2006, \$15,034,000 of accumulated net deferred gains on the Swaps, currently included in OCI, are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months, assuming no change in the LIBOR forward curves at June 30, 2006. The actual amounts that will be reclassified will likely vary based on the probability that interest rates will, in fact, change. Therefore, management is unable to predict what the actual reclassification from OCI to earnings (positive or negative) will be for the next twelve months.

**NOTE 5 Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
LNG terminal construction costs	\$ 41,150	\$ 39,728
Accrued interest expense and related fees	6,427	4,937
Debt issuance costs	190	3,083
Payroll		2,460
LNG terminal and pipeline development expenses	1,767	1,534
Professional and legal services	751	1,043
Pipeline construction costs	353	
Fixed assets	1,476	
Other accrued liabilities	1,427	1,759
Accrued liabilities	\$ 53,541	\$ 54,544

**NOTE 6 Long-Term Debt**

As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, our long-term debt was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Sabine Pass Credit Facility	\$ 149,000	\$
Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes	325,000	325,000
Term Loan	595,500	598,500
	1,069,500	923,500
Less: Current portion Term Loan	(6,000)	(6,000)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,063,500	\$ 917,500

*Sabine Pass Credit Facility*

## Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

In February 2005, Sabine Pass LNG entered into the \$822,000,000 Sabine Pass Credit Facility with an initial syndicate of 47 financial institutions. Société Générale serves as the administrative agent and HSBC Bank, USA serves as collateral agent. The Sabine Pass Credit Facility will be used to fund a substantial majority of the costs of constructing and placing into operation Phase 1 of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. Unless Sabine Pass LNG decides to terminate availability earlier, the Sabine Pass Credit Facility will be available until no later than April 1, 2009, after which time any unutilized portion of the Sabine Pass Credit Facility will be permanently canceled. Before Sabine Pass LNG could make an initial borrowing under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, it was required to provide evidence that it had received equity contributions in an amount sufficient to fund \$233,715,000 of the project costs. As of

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

December 31, 2005, the \$233,715,000 in equity contributions had been funded. At December 31, 2005, there were no borrowings outstanding; however, as of June 30, 2006, \$149,000,000 had been drawn under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility.

Borrowings under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin varies from 1.25% to 1.625% during the term of the Sabine Pass Credit Facility. The Sabine Pass Credit Facility provides for a commitment fee of 0.50% per annum on the daily committed, undrawn portion of the facility. Annual administrative fees must also be paid to the administrative and collateral agents. The principal of loans made under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility must be repaid in semi-annual installments commencing six months after the later of (i) the date that substantial completion of the project occurs under the engineering, procurement and construction agreement ( EPC ) and (ii) the commercial start date under the Total LNG USA, Inc. ( Total ) Terminal Use Agreement ( TUA ). Sabine Pass LNG may specify an earlier date to commence repayment upon satisfaction of certain conditions. In any event, payments under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility must commence no later than October 1, 2009, and all obligations under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility mature and must be fully repaid by February 25, 2015.

The Sabine Pass Credit Facility contains customary conditions precedent to any borrowings, as well as customary affirmative and negative covenants. We were in compliance, in all material respects, with these covenants at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Sabine Pass LNG has obtained, and may in the future seek, consents, waivers and amendments to the Sabine Pass Credit Facility documents. The obligations of Sabine Pass LNG under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility are secured by all of Sabine Pass LNG's personal property, including the TUAs with Total and Chevron USA, Inc. ( Chevron ) and the partnership interests in Sabine Pass LNG.

During the construction period, all interest costs, including amortization of related debt issuance costs and commitment fees, will be capitalized as part of the total cost of Phase 1 of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, \$10,304,000 and \$5,323,000, respectively, in commitment fees, interest costs, impact of interest rate swaps and amortization of debt issuance costs had been capitalized and included in LNG terminal construction-in-progress.

*Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes*

In July 2005, we consummated a private offering of \$325,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 2.25% Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes (the Notes ) due August 1, 2012 to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act ). The Notes are convertible into our common stock pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the Notes at an initial conversion rate of 28.2326 per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equal to a conversion price of approximately \$35.42 per share. We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or before August 1, 2012, for cash equal to 100% of the principal plus any accrued and unpaid interest if in the previous ten trading days the volume-weighted average price of our common stock exceeds \$53.13, subject to adjustment, for at least five consecutive trading days. In the event of such a redemption, we will make an additional payment equal to the present value of all remaining scheduled interest payments through August 1, 2012, discounted at the U.S. Treasury rate plus 50 basis points. The indenture governing the Notes contains customary reporting requirements.

Concurrent with the issuance of the Notes, we also entered into hedge transactions in the form of an issuer call spread (consisting of a purchase and a sale of call options on our common stock) with an affiliate of the initial purchaser of the Notes, having a term of two years, and a net cost to us of

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

\$75,703,000. These hedge transactions are expected to offset potential dilution from conversion of the Notes up to a market price of \$70.00 per share. The net cost of the hedge transactions is recorded as a reduction to Additional Paid-in-Capital on our Consolidated Balance Sheet in accordance with the guidance of the Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*. Net proceeds from the offering were \$239,786,000, after deducting the cost of the hedge transactions, the underwriting discount and related fees. As of June 30, 2006, no holders had elected to convert their Notes. Total interest expense recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$2,174,000 and \$4,328,000, respectively, before interest capitalization of \$241,000 and \$482,000, respectively.

*Term Loan*

In August 2005, Cheniere LNG Holdings entered into the \$600,000,000 Term Loan with Credit Suisse. The Term Loan has an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a 2.75% margin and matures on August 30, 2012. In connection with the closing, Cheniere LNG Holdings entered into the Term Loan Swaps with Credit Suisse to hedge the LIBOR interest rate component of the Term Loan. The blended rate of the Term Loan Swaps on the Term Loan results in an annual fixed interest rate of 7.25% (including the 2.75% margin) for the first five years (see Note 4 Derivative Instruments ). On December 30, 2005, Cheniere LNG Holdings made the first required quarterly principal payment of \$1,500,000. Quarterly principal payments of \$1,500,000 are required through June 30, 2012, and a final principal payment of \$559,500,000 is required on August 30, 2012. A portion of the loan proceeds is controlled by Credit Suisse and is restricted as to its use.

At June 30, 2006, principal repayments on the Term Loan of \$6,000,000 were due within the next 12 months and are classified on the balance sheet as a current liability. Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$12,143,000 and \$23,281,000, respectively, before interest capitalization of \$1,113,000 and \$2,212,000, respectively, and gains from the Term Loan Swaps of \$1,864,000 and \$2,550,000, respectively. The Term Loan contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. Cheniere LNG Holdings was in compliance with these covenants, in all material respects, at June 30, 2006. The obligations of Cheniere LNG Holdings are secured by its 100% equity interest in Sabine Pass LNG and its 30% limited partner equity interest in Freeport LNG.

**NOTE 7 Financial Instruments**

The estimated fair value of financial instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged currently between willing parties. The carrying amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. We use available marketing data and valuation methodologies to estimate the fair value of debt. This disclosure is presented in accordance with SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, and does not impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

Long-Term Debt (in thousands):

	<b>June 30, 2006</b>	
	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
Term Loan due 2012 (1)	\$ 589,500	\$ 589,500
2.25% Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes due 2012 (2)	325,000	393,656
Sabine Pass Credit Facility (3)	149,000	149,000
	\$ 1,063,500	\$ 1,132,156

- (1) The Term Loan bears interest based on a floating rate; therefore, the estimated fair value is deemed to equal the carrying amount of these notes.
- (2) The fair value of the Notes is based on the closing bid price as of June 30, 2006.
- (3) The Sabine Pass Credit Facility bears interest based on a floating rate; therefore, the estimated fair value is deemed to equal the carrying amount of these notes.

**NOTE 8 Income Taxes**

From our inception, we have reported annual net operating losses for both financial reporting purposes and for federal and state income tax reporting purposes. Accordingly, we are not presently a taxpayer and have not recorded a net liability for federal or state income taxes in any of the periods included in the accompanying financial statements. Our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 includes deferred income tax benefits of \$5,621,000 and \$13,033,000, respectively. The deferred income tax benefit recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 has been provided for in accordance with the guidance in paragraph 140 of SFAS No. 109 and EITF *Abstract*, Topic D-32, which, in certain circumstances, requires items reported in pre-tax accumulated OCI to be considered in the determination of the amount of tax benefit when a net operating loss occurs. In our situation, the specific circumstance relates to pre-tax accumulated OCI of \$43,082,000 recorded as of June 30, 2006 primarily related to our interest rate swaps (see Note 4 – Derivative Instruments for additional discussion). The deferred tax benefit included in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, represents the portion of the change in our tax asset valuation account that is allocable to the deferred income tax on items reported in accumulated OCI in our June 30, 2006 Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity.

Income tax benefit included in our reported net loss consists of the following (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Current federal income tax expense	\$	\$	\$	\$
Deferred federal income tax benefit	5,621		13,033	
	\$ 5,621	\$	\$ 13,033	\$

## Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

In May 2006, the State of Texas enacted a new business tax that is imposed on our gross revenues to replace the State's current franchise tax regime. The new legislation's effective date is January 1, 2008, which means that our first Texas Margins Tax ( TMT ) return will not become due until May 15, 2008 and will be based on our 2007 operations. Although the new TMT is imposed on an entity's gross revenue rather than on its net income, certain aspects of the tax make it similar to an income tax. In accordance with the guidance provided in SFAS No. 109, we have properly considered and will continue to account for the impact of the newly-enacted legislation in the determination of our reported state income tax liability.



**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)****NOTE 9 Net Income (Loss) Per Share**

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. The computation of diluted net income (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock that are dilutive to net income were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that would then share in our earnings.

The following table reconciles basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands except for loss per share):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	54,369	53,757	54,293	53,063
Dilutive common stock options (1)				
Dilutive common stock warrants (1)				
Dilutive Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes (1)				
Diluted	54,369	53,757	54,293	53,063
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.36)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.36)

(1) Dilutive shares were not included in the calculation, as we had a net loss for the periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

**NOTE 10 Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The following table is a reconciliation of our net income (loss) to our comprehensive loss for the periods shown (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
Net loss	\$ (3,619)	\$ (9,692)	\$ (19,430)	\$ (19,126)
Other comprehensive income (loss) items:				
Cash flow hedges, net of tax	10,437	(20,499)	24,205	(15,493)
Foreign currency translation	(34)		(34)	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 6,784	\$ (30,191)	\$ 4,741	\$ (34,619)



**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

**NOTE 11 Related Party Transactions**

From time to time, officers and employees may charter aircraft for company business travel. We entered into a letter agreement, or charter letter, with an unrelated third-party entity, Western Airways, Inc. ( Western ), that specified the terms under which it would provide for charter of a Challenger 600 aircraft. One of the Challenger 600 aircraft which could be provided by Western for such services was owned by Bramblebush, L.L.C. (the LLC ). The LLC is owned and/or controlled by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Charif Souki. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics prohibits potential conflicts of interest. Upon the recommendation of our Audit Committee, which determined that the terms of the charter letter were fair and in our best interest, our Board of Directors unanimously approved the terms of the charter letter in May 2005 and granted an exception under our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in order to permit us to charter the Challenger 600 aircraft. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we incurred zero and \$111,000, respectively, related to the charter of the Challenger 600 aircraft owned by the LLC.

**NOTE 12 Commitments and Contingencies**

In April 2006, Corpus Christi LNG, LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary ( Corpus Christi LNG ), entered into an engineering, procurement and construction services agreement for preliminary work with La Quinta LNG Partners, LP ( La Quinta ). La Quinta is a limited partnership whose general partners are Zachry Construction Corporation and AMEC E&C Services, Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, La Quinta will provide Corpus Christi LNG with certain preliminary design, engineering, procurement, pipeline dismantlement, removal and construction, road construction and site preparation work on a reimbursable basis in connection with the Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminal. Payments anticipated to be made by Corpus Christi LNG to La Quinta for work performed under the agreement are not expected to exceed \$50,000,000.

In April 2006, Cheniere LNG Marketing, Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary ( Cheniere Marketing ), entered into a 10-year Gas Purchase and Sale Agreement with PPM Energy, Inc. ( PPM ), a subsidiary of Scottish Power PLC. Upon completion of certain of our facilities, the agreement provides Cheniere Marketing with the ability to sell to PPM up to 600,000 MMBtus of natural gas per day at a Henry Hub-related market index price, and requires Cheniere Marketing to allocate to PPM a portion of the LNG that it procures under certain planned long-term LNG supply agreements.

In April 2006, Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P., our wholly-owned subsidiary ( CCTP ), entered into a purchase order with ILVA S.p.A. ( ILVA ) for the purchase of approximately 15 miles of 42-inch pipe at an aggregate cost of approximately \$16,000,000. An initial payment of \$500,000 was made to ILVA in May 2006. Additional progress payments will be due on a periodic basis after specified production measures have been achieved. CCTP has the right to terminate the purchase order for its convenience, subject to making specified cancellation payments that begin at \$500,000 and increase, depending on the achievement of specified production measures, to 100% of the value after pipe forming but prior to shipment.

**NOTE 13 Business Segment Information**

We have four operating segments: LNG receiving terminal, natural gas pipeline, LNG and natural gas marketing, and oil and gas exploration and development. These segments reflect lines of business for which separate financial information is produced internally and are subject to evaluation by our chief operating decision makers in deciding how to allocate resources.

Our LNG receiving terminal segment is in various stages of developing three, 100% owned, LNG receiving terminal projects along the U.S. Gulf Coast at the following locations: Sabine Pass LNG in western Cameron Parish, Louisiana on the Sabine Pass Channel; Corpus Christi LNG near Corpus Christi, Texas; and Creole Trail LNG at the mouth of the Calcasieu Channel in central Cameron Parish, Louisiana. In addition, we own a 30% limited partner interest in a fourth project, Freeport LNG, located on Quintana Island near Freeport, Texas.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

Our natural gas pipeline segment is in various stages of developing three, 100% owned, natural gas pipelines in connection with our three LNG receiving terminals to provide access to North American natural gas markets. Development efforts to date have focused primarily on feasibility analysis and on advancing our pipeline projects through the regulatory review and authorization process.

Our LNG and natural gas marketing segment is in an early stage of development. To optimize the utilization of our LNG receiving terminal capacity, we intend to purchase LNG from foreign suppliers, arrange transportation of LNG to our network of LNG receiving terminals, arrange the transportation of revaporized natural gas through our pipelines and other interconnected pipelines and sell natural gas to buyers in the North American market. In addition, we also expect to enter into domestic natural gas purchase and sale transactions as part of our marketing activities.

Our oil and gas exploration and development segment explores for oil and natural gas using a regional database of approximately 7,000 square miles of regional 3D seismic data. Exploration efforts are focused on the shallow waters of the Gulf of Mexico offshore of Louisiana and Texas and consist primarily of active interpretation of our seismic data and generation of prospects, through participation in the drilling of wells, and through farm-out arrangements and back-in interests (a reversionary interest in oil and gas leases reserved by us) whereby the capital costs of such activities are borne primarily by industry partners. This segment participates in drilling and production operations with industry partners on the prospects that we generate.

The following table summarizes revenues, net income (loss) and total assets for each of our operating segments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
<b>Revenues:</b>				
LNG receiving terminal	\$	\$	\$	\$
Natural gas pipeline				
LNG and natural gas marketing				
Oil and gas exploration and development	413	689	835	1,425
Total	413	689	835	1,425
Corporate and other (1)				
Total consolidated	\$ 413	\$ 689	\$ 835	\$ 1,425
<b>Net income (loss):</b>				
LNG receiving terminal	\$ (15,152)	\$ (3,689)	\$ (30,872)	\$ (8,293)
Natural gas pipeline (2)	10,480	(3,736)	8,812	(6,031)
LNG and natural gas marketing	(1,604)		(2,839)	
Oil and gas exploration and development	(1,193)	104	(2,378)	(112)
Total	(7,469)	(7,321)	(27,277)	(14,436)
Corporate and other (1)	3,850	(2,371)	7,847	(4,690)
Total consolidated	\$ (3,619)	\$ (9,692)	\$ (19,430)	\$ (19,126)



**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
		<b>(as adjusted)</b>
<b>Total assets:</b>		
LNG receiving terminal	\$ 962,652	\$ 783,837
Natural gas pipeline	17,188	
LNG and natural gas marketing	1,487	
Oil and gas exploration and development	3,610	2,328
Total	984,937	786,165
Corporate and other (1)	464,859	503,982
Total consolidated	\$ 1,449,796	\$ 1,290,147

(1) Includes corporate activities and certain intercompany eliminations.

(2) Natural gas pipeline income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, includes the impact of the regulatory asset recorded in the second quarter of 2006 as prescribed by SFAS No. 71. Not including the impact of the recognition of this regulatory asset, natural gas pipeline income would have been a net loss of \$2,206,000 and \$3,874,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

**NOTE 14 Adjustment to Financial Statements Successful Efforts**

As a result of our election to change our method of accounting for investments in oil and gas properties as discussed in Note 1 Basis of Presentation, adjustments have been made to the financial statements of prior periods as required by SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. The effects of the change as it relates to financial data for the periods presented are displayed below (in thousands, except per share data):

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)****Statement of Operations****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		
	<b>As Computed Under Full Cost</b>	<b>June 30, 2006 As Reported Under Successful Efforts</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
Revenues	\$ 413	\$ 413	\$
Operating costs and expenses:			
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	(4,506)	(4,506)	
Exploration costs		590	590
Oil and gas production costs	55	55	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,119	579	(540)
Ceiling test write-down	7,228		(7,228)
General and administrative expenses	12,444	12,444	
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>16,340</b>	<b>9,162</b>	<b>(7,178)</b>
Loss from operations	(15,927)	(8,749)	7,178
Non-operating loss	(491)	(491)	
Loss before income taxes	(16,418)	(9,240)	7,178
Income tax benefit	5,621	5,621	
Net loss	\$ (10,797)	\$ (3,619)	\$ 7,178
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.13

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		
	<b>As Originally Reported</b>	<b>June 30, 2005 As Reported Under Successful Efforts</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
Revenues	\$ 689	\$ 689	\$
Operating costs and expenses:			
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	5,350	5,350	
Exploration costs		560	560
Oil and gas production costs	34	34	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	528	249	(279)
Ceiling test write-down			
General and administrative expenses	5,600	5,600	

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating costs and expenses	11,512	11,793	281
Loss from operations	(10,823)	(11,104)	(281)
Non-operating income	986	1,412	426
Loss before income taxes	(9,837)	(9,692)	145
Income tax provision			
Net loss	\$ (9,837)	\$ (9,692)	\$ 145
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.18)	\$



**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)****Statement of Operations****(Unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended		
	As Computed Under Full Cost	June 30, 2006 As Reported Under Successful Efforts	Effect of Change
Revenues	\$ 835	\$ 835	\$
Operating costs and expenses:			
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	3,807	3,807	
Exploration costs		1,428	1,428
Oil and gas production costs	105	105	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,965	1,185	(780)
Ceiling test write-down	12,822		(12,822)
General and administrative expenses	25,625	25,625	
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>44,324</b>	<b>32,150</b>	<b>(12,174)</b>
Loss from operations	(43,489)	(31,315)	12,174
Non-operating loss	(1,324)	(1,148)	176
Loss before income taxes	(44,813)	(32,463)	12,350
Income tax benefit	13,033	13,033	
Net loss	\$ (31,780)	\$ (19,430)	\$ 12,350
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.59)	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.23

	Six Months Ended		
	As Originally Reported	June 30, 2005 As Reported Under Successful Efforts	Effect of Change
Revenues	\$ 1,425	\$ 1,425	\$
Operating costs and expenses:			
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	10,775	10,775	
Exploration costs		1,102	1,102
Oil and gas production costs	89	89	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,055	453	(602)
Ceiling test write-down			
General and administrative expenses	10,590	10,590	

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating costs and expenses	22,509	23,009	500
Loss from operations	(21,084)	(21,584)	(500)
Non-operating income and minority interest	2,031	2,458	427
Loss before income taxes	(19,053)	(19,126)	(73)
Income tax provision			
Net loss	\$ (19,053)	\$ (19,126)	\$ (73)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.36)	\$

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)****Balance Sheet****(Unaudited)**

	<b>As Computed Under Full Cost</b>	<b>June 30, 2006 As Reported Under Successful Efforts</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
Current assets	\$ 815,773	\$ 815,773	\$
Oil and gas properties, net	8,776	3,151	(5,625)
Other property, plant and equipment, net	466,535	466,535	
Total property, plant and equipment, net	475,311	469,686	(5,625)
Other non-current assets	164,337	164,337	
Total assets	\$ 1,455,421	\$ 1,449,796	\$ (5,625)
Current liabilities	\$ 59,690	\$ 59,690	\$
Non-current liabilities	1,104,559	1,104,559	
Common stock	166	166	
Additional paid-in capital	378,130	378,130	
Accumulated deficit	(115,093)	(120,718)	(5,625)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	27,969	27,969	
Total stockholders' equity	291,172	285,547	(5,625)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,455,421	\$ 1,449,796	\$ (5,625)

	<b>As Originally Reported</b>	<b>December 31, 2005 As Adjusted</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
Current assets	\$ 871,463	\$ 871,463	\$
Oil and gas properties, net	19,617	1,640	(17,977)
Other property, plant and equipment, net	278,466	278,466	
Total property, plant and equipment, net	298,083	280,106	(17,977)
Other non-current assets	138,578	138,578	
Total assets	\$ 1,308,124	\$ 1,290,147	\$ (17,977)
Current liabilities	\$ 61,322	\$ 61,322	\$
Non-current liabilities	960,284	960,284	

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock	164	164	
Additional paid-in capital	375,551	375,551	
Deferred compensation	(9,684)	(9,684)	
Accumulated deficit	(83,311)	(101,288)	(17,977)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,798	3,798	
Total stockholders' equity	286,518	268,541	(17,977)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,308,124	\$ 1,290,147	\$ (17,977)

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)****Statement of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>		
	<b>As Computed Under Full Cost</b>	<b>June 30, 2006 As Reported Under Successful Efforts</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (31,780)	\$ (19,430)	\$ 12,350
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,965	1,185	(780)
Ceiling test write-down	12,822		(12,822)
Impairment of unproved properties		323	323
Exploration dry holes		540	540
Other adjustments	(892)	(892)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(16,871)	(16,871)	
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(34,756)</b>	<b>(35,145)</b>	<b>(389)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Oil and gas property additions, net of sales	(2,965)	(2,576)	389
Other cash flows from other investing activities	(140,795)	(140,795)	
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(143,760)</b>	<b>(143,371)</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>143,532</b>	<b>143,532</b>	
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(34,984)</b>	<b>(34,984)</b>	
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>692,592</b>	<b>692,592</b>	
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 657,608</b>	<b>\$ 657,608</b>	<b>\$</b>

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>		
	<b>As Originally Reported</b>	<b>June 30, 2005 As Reported Under Successful Efforts</b>	<b>Effect of Change</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (19,053)	\$ (19,126)	\$ (73)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,055	453	(602)

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Impairment of unproved properties		578	578
Other adjustments	3,204	3,204	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	14,340	14,340	
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(454)</b>	<b>(551)</b>	<b>(97)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Oil and gas property additions, net of sales	(896)	(799)	97
Other cash flows from other investing activities	(120,492)	(120,492)	
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(121,388)</b>	<b>(121,291)</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(14,784)</b>	<b>(14,784)</b>	
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(136,626)</b>	<b>(136,626)</b>	
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>308,443</b>	<b>308,443</b>	
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 171,817</b>	<b>\$ 171,817</b>	<b>\$</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

**NOTE 15 Share-Based Compensation**

We have granted options to purchase common stock to employees, consultants and outside directors under the Cheniere Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Option Plan ( 1997 Plan ) and the Cheniere Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Incentive Plan ( 2003 Plan ). Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for grants made under the 1997 Plan and 2003 Plan using the intrinsic value method under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related interpretations, and applied SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure*, for disclosure purposes only. Under APB Opinion No. 25, stock-based compensation cost related to stock options was not recognized in net income since the options granted under those plans had exercise prices greater than or equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, which revised SFAS No. 123 and superseded APB No. 25. SFAS No. 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at the date of grant. The calculated fair value is recognized as expense (net of any capitalization) over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures, using the straight-line method under SFAS No. 123R. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures, including types of awards, employee class and historical experience. The statement was adopted using the modified prospective method of application, which requires compensation expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all unvested stock options beginning in the quarter of adoption. No adjustments to prior periods have been made as a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R. Under this transition method, compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, and not previously amortized through the pro forma disclosures required by SFAS No. 123, will be recognized in our financial statements over their remaining service period. The cost was based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. As allowed by SFAS No. 123, compensation cost associated with forfeited options was reversed for disclosure purposes in the period of forfeiture. As required by SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense recognized in future periods for share-based compensation granted prior to adoption of the standard will be adjusted for the effects of estimated forfeitures.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the total stock-based compensation expense recognized in our net loss was \$10,896,000 and \$1,694,000, respectively. The impact of adopting SFAS No. 123R on the first six months of our 2006 Consolidated Statement of Operations was an increase in expenses of \$8,720,000, with a corresponding increase in our loss from operations, loss before income taxes and minority interest, and net loss resulting from the first-time recognition of compensation expense associated with employee stock options. The impact on our basic and diluted net loss per common share was an increase in per share net loss of \$0.16. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the total stock-based compensation cost capitalized as part of the cost of capital assets was \$584,000 and \$84,000, respectively.

The total unrecognized compensation cost at June 30, 2006 relating to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the 1997 Plan and 2003 Plan, before any capitalization, was \$72,953,000. That cost is expected to be recognized over six years, with a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

The adoption of SFAS No. 123R has no effect on net cash flow. Had we been a taxpayer, we would have recognized cash flow resulting from tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost as a financing cash flow. We received total proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$1,717,000 and \$1,509,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table illustrates the pro forma net income and earnings per share that would have resulted in the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 from recognizing compensation expense associated with accounting for employee stock-based awards under the provisions of SFAS No. 123. The reported and pro forma net income and earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are provided for comparative purposes only, as stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the financial statements under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R (in thousands, except per share data).

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
Net loss as reported	\$ (3,619)	\$ (9,692)	\$ (19,430)	\$ (19,126)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation included in net loss (1)	5,296	822	10,896	1,694
Deduct:				
Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related income tax (1)(2)	(5,296)	(4,609)	(10,896)	(6,887)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (3,619)	\$ (13,479)	\$ (19,430)	\$ (24,319)
Net loss per share				
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.36)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.46)

(1) Three and six months conformed to 2006 presentation.

(2) Fair value of stock options computed using Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model and the value of non-vested stock based on intrinsic value in accordance with SFAS No. 123R and SFAS No. 123.

*Stock Options*

During the first six months of 2006, we issued options to purchase 474,720 shares of our common stock under the 2003 Plan. This included options to purchase 129,720 shares, granted to new employees as hiring incentives, having an exercise price equal to the stock price on the date of grant, graded vesting over four years, and a 10-year contractual life; an option to purchase 300,000 shares granted to our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer having an exercise price of \$90.00, graded vesting over three years beginning in March 2010, and a 10-year contractual life; a fully vested option to purchase 25,000 shares granted to one of our directors having an exercise price equal to the stock price on the date of grant and a 10-year contractual life; and an option to purchase 20,000 shares having an exercise price equal to the stock price on the date of grant, graded vesting over two years, and a five-year contractual life granted to a consultant in exchange for services. These options are being accounted for in accordance with the guidance in SFAS No. 123R, with the exception of the consultant grant, which is being accounted for in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance for equity instruments granted to a non-employee.





**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)**

We estimate the fair value stock options under SFAS No. 123R at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model, which is consistent with the valuation technique we previously utilized to value options for the footnote disclosures required under SFAS No. 123. The following table provides the weighted average assumptions used in the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model to value options granted in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected term (estimated period of time outstanding) of options granted in 2006 is based on the simplified method of estimating expected term for plain vanilla options allowed by Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, and varies based on the vesting period and contractual term of the option. Prior to 2006, the expected term was based on our historical experience and estimate of future behavior of employees. Expected volatility for options granted in 2006 is based on an equally weighted average of the implied volatility of exchange traded options on our common stock expiring more than one year from the measurement date, and historical volatility of our common stock for a period equal to the option's expected life. Prior to 2006, estimated volatility was based solely on the historical volatility of our common stock for a period equal to the option's expected life. We have not declared dividends on our common stock.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005 (as adjusted)	2006	2005 (as adjusted)
Risk-free rate		3.6-4.1%	4.3-5.2%	3.6-4.4%
Expected life (in years)		6.8	6.9	6.8
Expected volatility range		80-84%	52-69%	80-101%
Weighted average volatility		88%	66%	97%
Expected dividends		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The table below provides a summary of option activity under the combined plans as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six months then ended:

	Options (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	5,125	\$ 28.66		
Granted	475	71.43		
Exercised	(292)	37.74		
Forfeited or Expired				
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	5,308	33.69	7.7	\$ 48,706
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	1,053	\$ 11.36	4.5	\$ 27,093

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$23.55 and \$20.24, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$9,014,000 and \$17,056,000, respectively.



**Table of Contents****CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(Unaudited)***Stock and Non-Vested Stock*

We have granted stock and non-vested stock to employees and outside directors under the 2003 Plan. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for grants of non-vested stock using the intrinsic value method under the recognition and measurement principles of APB No. 25 and recognized the computed value of the non-vested stock in stockholders' equity as an increase in additional paid-in-capital and a corresponding reduction in stockholders' equity attributable to deferred compensation. The balance in deferred compensation was amortized ratably over the vesting period to non-cash compensation expense (before any capitalization) with a corresponding decrease in the deferred compensation balance.

Under SFAS No. 123R, grants of non-vested stock continue to be accounted for on an intrinsic value basis. No recognition of deferred compensation is made in stockholders' equity. Instead, the amortization of the calculated value of non-vested stock grants is accounted for as a charge to non-cash compensation and an increase in additional paid-in-capital over the requisite service period. With the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we offset the remaining unamortized deferred compensation balance (\$9,684,000 at December 31, 2005) in stockholders' equity against additional paid-in-capital. Amortization of the remaining unamortized balance will continue under SFAS No. 123R as described above.

In January 2006, 78,671 shares having three-year graded vesting were issued to certain of our executive officers. In the six months ended June 30, 2006, a total of 100,780 shares of non-vested stock having four-year graded vesting were issued to new employees.

The table below provides a summary of the status of our non-vested shares under the 2003 Plan as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six months then ended (in thousands except for per share information):

	Non-Vested Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share
Non-vested at January 1, 2006	550	\$ 21.06
Granted (1)	179	39.63
Vested	(221)	22.59
Forfeited		
Non-vested at June 30, 2006	508	\$ 26.93

(1) Includes an award of 25,000 non-vested shares granted under the French Addendum to the 2003 Plan, which were not issued and outstanding at June 30, 2006.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of non-vested stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$39.63 and zero, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1,710,000 and \$1,716,000, respectively.

*Share-Based Plan Descriptions and Information*

Our 1997 Plan provides for the issuance of stock options to purchase up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock, all of which have been granted. Non-qualified stock options were granted to employees, contract service providers and outside directors. Option terms for the remaining unexercised options are five years with vesting that generally occurs on a graded basis over three years.



**Table of Contents**

**CHENIERE ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

**(Unaudited)**

Awards providing for the issuance of up to an aggregate of 11,000,000 shares of our common stock may be made under our 2003 Plan. These awards may be in the form of non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, purchased stock, restricted (non-vested) stock, bonus (unrestricted) stock, stock appreciation rights, phantom stock, and other stock-based performance awards deemed by the Compensation Committee to be consistent with the purposes of the 2003 Plan. To date, the only awards made by the Compensation Committee have been in the form of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and bonus stock. Beginning in 2005, stock options granted to employees as hiring incentives have been granted at the money with 10-year terms and graded vesting over four years. Prior to that time, stock options granted as hiring incentives were granted at the money with five-year terms and graded vesting over three years. Retention grants made to employees provide for exercise prices at or in excess of the stock price on the grant date, 10-year terms, and graded vesting over three years, which commences on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. Restricted stock that has been granted as a hiring incentive vests over four years on a graded basis, while restricted stock granted from a bonus pool vests over three years. Shares issued under the 2003 Plan are generally newly issued shares.

**NOTE 16 Subsequent Events**

In July 2006, Sabine Pass LNG closed a \$1.5 billion Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Société Générale, HSBC Bank, USA and other lenders named therein that will mature on July 1, 2015 ( Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility ). The Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility amends and restates Sabine Pass LNG 's \$822,000,000 Sabine Pass Credit Facility due February 2015, and will be available for draws to pay project costs incurred during construction of Sabine Pass LNG 's receiving terminal.

In connection with the closing of the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility, Sabine Pass LNG entered into additional interest rate swap agreements with HSBC Bank USA and Société Générale. The new swap agreements, along with similar agreements entered into in connection with the closing of the original Sabine Pass Credit Facility in February 2005, have the combined effect of fixing the LIBOR component of the interest rate payable on borrowings up to a maximum of \$1.25 billion at a blended rate of 5.26% from July 25, 2006 through July 1, 2015.

In July 2006, Sabine Pass LNG entered into contracts with Bechtel Corporation, Zachry Construction Corporation and Diamond LNG LLC (a subsidiary of Mitsubishi Heavy Industries Ltd.) and Remedial Construction Services, L.P. in connection with our 1.4 billion cubic feet per day expansion at our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This quarterly report contains certain statements that are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included herein or incorporated herein by reference are forward-looking statements. Included among forward-looking statements are, among other things:

statements that we expect to commence or complete construction or commence operation of each of our proposed liquefied natural gas ( LNG ) receiving terminals or our proposed pipelines, or any expansions or extensions thereof, by certain dates, or at all;

statements that we expect to receive Draft Environmental Impact Statements or Final Environmental Impact Statements from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ( FERC ) by certain dates, or at all, or that we expect to receive an order from the FERC authorizing us to construct and operate proposed LNG receiving terminals or proposed pipelines by certain dates, or at all;

statements regarding future levels of domestic or foreign natural gas production or consumption or future levels of LNG imports into North America or sales of natural gas in North America, regardless of the source of such information, or the transportation or other infrastructure or prices related to natural gas, LNG or other hydrocarbon products;

statements regarding any financing transactions or arrangements, or ability to enter into such transactions, whether on the part of Cheniere or at the project level, including financing arrangements for which we may have received commitment letters;

statements relating to the construction of our proposed LNG receiving terminals and our proposed pipelines, including statements concerning the engagement of any engineering, procurement and construction ( EPC ) contractor, or any engineering, procurement, construction and maintenance ( EPCM ) contractor, and the anticipated terms and provisions of any agreement with an EPC or EPCM contractor, and anticipated costs related thereto;

statements regarding any terminal use agreement ( TUA ) or other agreement to be entered into or performed substantially in the future, including any cash distributions and revenues anticipated to be received and the anticipated timing thereof, and statements regarding the amounts of total regasification capacity that is, or may become subject to, TUAs or other contracts;

statements that our proposed LNG receiving terminals and pipelines, when completed, will have certain characteristics, including amounts of regasification and storage capacities, a number of storage tanks and docks, pipeline deliverability and the number of pipeline interconnections, if any;

statements regarding possible expansions of the currently projected size of any of our proposed LNG receiving terminals;

---

**Table of Contents**

statements regarding our business strategy, our business plans or any other plans, forecasts or objectives, any or all of which are subject to change;

statements regarding any Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) or other governmental or regulatory inquiry or investigation;

statements regarding anticipated legislative, governmental, regulatory, administrative or other public body actions, requirements, permits or decisions;

statements regarding our anticipated LNG supply and natural gas marketing activities; and

any other statements that relate to non-historical or future information.

These forward-looking statements are often identified by the use of terms and phrases such as achieve, anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, forecast, plan, project, propose, strategy and similar terms and phrases. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they do involve assumptions, risks and uncertainties, and these expectations may prove to be incorrect. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this quarterly report.

Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those discussed under Risk Factors in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these risk factors. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this quarterly report. Other than as required under the securities laws, we assume no obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements or provide reasons why actual results may differ.

**BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS**

**General**

We are engaged primarily in the business of developing and constructing, and then owning and operating, a network of three, 100% owned, onshore LNG receiving terminals, and related natural gas pipelines, along the Gulf Coast of the United States. We are also in the early stages of developing a business to market LNG and natural gas. To a limited extent, we are also engaged in oil and natural gas exploration and development activities in the Gulf of Mexico. We operate four business segments: LNG receiving terminal, natural gas pipeline, LNG and natural gas marketing, and oil and gas exploration and development.

**LNG Receiving Terminal**

We have focused our development efforts on three, 100% owned, LNG receiving terminal projects at the following locations: Sabine Pass LNG in western Cameron Parish, Louisiana on the Sabine Pass Channel; Corpus Christi LNG near Corpus Christi, Texas; and Creole Trail LNG at the mouth of the Calcasieu Channel in central Cameron Parish, Louisiana. In addition, we own a 30% limited partner interest in a fourth project, Freeport LNG, located on Quintana Island near Freeport, Texas. Our three terminals have an aggregate designed regasification capacity of approximately 9.9 Bcf/d, subject to further expansion. We have entered into long-term TUAs with Total and Chevron USA for an aggregate of 2.0 Bcf/d of the available regasification capacity, and we have reserved 2.5 Bcf/d for use by Cheniere LNG Marketing, Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary ( Cheniere Marketing ).



---

## **Table of Contents**

Construction of Phase 1 of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal commenced in March 2005, and we anticipate commencing operations at the terminal in 2008. In July 2006, we received authorization to construct Phase 2 of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal from the FERC and issued a notice to proceed to our EPC and other contractors to begin construction. Preliminary work, including certain design and engineering work and site preparation work associated with construction of the Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminal, commenced during the second quarter of 2006, and we anticipate commencing operations at the receiving terminal in 2010. In June 2006, the FERC granted authorization under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act, to site, construct and operate the Creole Trail LNG receiving terminal. The construction of the Creole Trail LNG receiving terminal is anticipated to commence in 2007, and we anticipate commencing operations at the receiving terminal in 2011.

### **Natural Gas Pipeline**

We anticipate developing and constructing natural gas pipelines from each of our three LNG receiving terminals to provide access to North American natural gas markets.

In February 2006, Cheniere Sabine Pass Pipeline L.P., our wholly-owned subsidiary ( Sabine Pass Pipeline L.P. ), entered into an EPC pipeline contract with Willbros Engineers, Inc. ( Willbros ) for the engineering, procurement, construction and construction management of our proposed Sabine Pass pipeline, a 16-mile, 42-inch diameter natural gas pipeline designed to transport 2.6 Bcf/d of natural gas from our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal, running easterly along a corridor that will allow for interconnection points with existing interstate and intrastate natural gas pipelines near Johnson Bayou, Louisiana. Subject to FERC approval of the implementation plan for construction of this pipeline, we anticipate beginning construction in early 2007 and anticipate commencing operations of the pipeline in the fourth quarter of 2007. For more information on this transaction, please refer to the discussion under the caption Liquidity and Capital Resources Natural Gas Pipelines Sabine Pass Pipeline.

In June 2006, the FERC issued an order authorizing our subsidiary, Cheniere Creole Trail Pipeline, L.P. ( CCTP ), to construct a proposed 117-mile, dual 42-inch diameter pipeline, designed to transport 3.3 Bcf/d of natural gas from our proposed Creole Trail LNG receiving terminal, running north/northeasterly along a corridor through six Louisiana parishes and terminating near Rayne, Louisiana.

Cheniere Marketing has requested that CCTP seek approval from the FERC to authorize construction of an approximately 18-mile, 42-inch diameter pipeline interconnection between the Sabine Pass pipeline and the Creole Trail pipeline systems. Among other things, this would allow Cheniere Marketing to make deliveries of natural gas from the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal to delivery points on the Creole Trail pipeline system.

Cheniere Marketing is currently the sole holder of the entire capacity on both the Creole Trail pipeline and the Sabine Pass pipeline. Cheniere Marketing has entered into a 10-year gas purchase and sale agreement with PPM Energy, Inc., a subsidiary of Scottish Power PLC, pursuant to which Cheniere Marketing will make supplies of regasified LNG from the Sabine Pass LNG terminal available to PPM at delivery points on the Creole Trail pipeline.

### **LNG and Natural Gas Marketing**

Our LNG and natural gas marketing business is in its early stages of development. We intend to utilize a portion of our planned LNG receiving terminal regasification capacity through Cheniere Marketing, which currently has 1.5 Bcf/d and 1.0 Bcf/d of regasification capacity reserved at the Sabine Pass LNG and Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminals, respectively. To optimize the utilization of this capacity, we intend to purchase LNG from foreign suppliers, arrange transportation of LNG to our

## **Table of Contents**

network of LNG receiving terminals, arrange the transportation of revaporized natural gas through our pipelines and other interconnected pipelines and sell natural gas to buyers in the North American market. In addition, we expect to enter into domestic natural gas purchase and sale transactions as part of our marketing activities.

### **Oil and Gas Exploration and Development**

Although our focus is primarily on the development of LNG-related businesses, we continue to be involved to a limited extent in oil and gas exploration, development and exploitation, and in exploitation of our existing 3D seismic database through prospect generation. We have historically focused on evaluating and generating drilling prospects using a regional and integrated approach with a large seismic database as a platform. From time to time, we will invest in drilling a share of these prospects and may pursue opportunities in other geographic locations as well.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

We are primarily engaged in LNG-related business activities. Our three LNG receiving terminal projects, as well as our related proposed natural gas pipelines, will require significant amounts of capital and are subject to risks and delays in completion. In addition, our marketing business will need a substantial amount of capital for hiring employees, satisfying creditworthiness requirements of contracts and developing the systems necessary to implement our business strategy. Even if successfully completed and implemented, our LNG-related business activities are not expected to begin to operate and generate significant cash flows before 2008. As a result, our business success will depend to a significant extent upon our ability to obtain the funding necessary to construct our three LNG receiving terminals and related pipelines, to bring them into operation on a commercially viable basis and to finance the costs of staffing, operating and expanding our company during that process.

We currently estimate that the cost of completing our three LNG receiving terminals will be approximately \$3 billion, before financing costs. In addition, we expect that capital expenditures of approximately \$800 million to \$1 billion will be required to construct our three related natural gas pipelines.

As of June 30, 2006, we had working capital of \$756.1 million. While we believe that we have adequate financial resources available to us through 2006, we must augment our existing sources of cash with significant additional funds in order to carry out our long-term business plan. We currently expect that our capital requirements will be financed in part through cash on hand, issuances of project-level debt, equity or a combination of the two and in part with net proceeds of debt or equity securities issued by Cheniere or other Cheniere borrowings.

### **LNG Receiving Terminals**

#### ***Sabine Pass LNG***

We currently estimate that the cost of constructing Phase 1 of the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal will be approximately \$900 million to \$950 million, before financing costs. The Phase 2 expansion of the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal, including the construction of two tanks and related facilities, is estimated to cost approximately \$500 million to \$550 million, before financing costs. Funding for Phase 1 and Phase 2 is described below.

#### ***Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility***

In February 2005, Sabine Pass LNG entered into the \$822.0 million Sabine Pass Credit Facility with a syndicate of financial institutions. This original credit facility was subsequently amended and

## **Table of Contents**

restated on July 21, 2006. On such date, Sabine Pass LNG entered into a First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Société Générale (the Agent), HSBC Bank USA, National Association (the Collateral Agent) and the lenders named therein (the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility). The Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility increased the amount of loans available to Sabine Pass LNG from \$822.0 million under the original credit facility to \$1.5 billion to finance Phase 1 and Phase 2 construction of the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal.

Principal must be repaid in semi-annual installments commencing upon the earlier of six months following the term conversion date (as defined in the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility) or such earlier date as may be specified by Sabine Pass LNG upon satisfaction of certain conditions on or before October 1, 2009. Scheduled amortization during the repayment period will be based upon a 19-year mortgage style semi-annual amortization profile with a balloon payment due on the final maturity date, July 1, 2015.

Borrowings under the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin varies from 0.875% to 1.125% during the term of the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility. Interest is calculated on the unpaid principal amount outstanding and is payable semi-annually in arrears. A commitment fee of 0.50% per annum on the daily, undrawn portion of the lenders' commitments is required. Administrative fees must also be paid annually to the Agent and the Collateral Agent.

The Collateral Agent holds all funds and other investments of Sabine Pass LNG in certain collateral accounts in the name of Sabine Pass LNG but under the exclusive control of the Collateral Agent.

In connection with the closing of the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility, Sabine Pass LNG entered into additional interest rate swap agreements with HSBC Bank USA and Société Générale. The new swap agreements, along with similar agreements entered into in connection with the closing of the original Sabine Pass Credit Facility in February 2005, have the combined effect of fixing the LIBOR component of the interest rate payable on borrowings up to a maximum of \$1.25 billion at a blended rate of 5.26% from July 25, 2006 through July 1, 2015.

### *Phase 1 EPC Agreement*

In December 2004, Sabine Pass LNG entered into a lump-sum turnkey EPC agreement with Bechtel Corporation (Bechtel) for the construction of Phase 1 of the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. Under the EPC agreement, Bechtel agreed to provide Sabine Pass LNG with services for the engineering, procurement and construction of the receiving terminal. Except for certain third-party work specified in the EPC agreement, the work to be performed by Bechtel includes all of the work required to achieve substantial completion and final completion of Phase 1 of the LNG receiving terminal in accordance with the requirements of the EPC agreement. This lump-sum turnkey EPC agreement for Phase 1 remains in effect.

Sabine Pass LNG agreed to pay to Bechtel a contract price of \$646.9 million plus certain reimbursable costs for the work performed under the EPC agreement. This contract price is subject to adjustment for changes in certain commodity prices, contingencies, change orders and other items. As of July 30, 2006, change orders for \$86.2 million were approved, thereby increasing the total contract price to \$733.1 million. We anticipate additional change orders intended to mitigate ongoing effects of the 2005 hurricanes that would increase the contract price under the Phase 1 EPC agreement with Bechtel up to approximately \$34 million.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Phase 2 Construction Agreements*

On July 21, 2006, Sabine Pass LNG entered into three construction agreements in connection with the Phase 2 expansion of the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal as follows:

Sabine Pass LNG and Bechtel have entered into an EPCM Agreement for Phase 2 pursuant to which Bechtel will provide design and engineering services for Phase 2 of the LNG receiving terminal, except for such portions to be designed by other contractors and suppliers of equipment, materials and services that contract directly with Sabine Pass LNG; construction management services to manage the construction of the LNG receiving terminal; and performance of a portion of the construction. Under the terms of the EPCM Agreement, Bechtel will be paid on a cost reimbursable basis, plus a fixed fee in the amount of \$18.5 million. A discretionary bonus may be paid to Bechtel at Sabine Pass LNG's sole discretion upon completion of Phase 2.

An EPC LNG Tank Contract, (the Tank Contract) was entered into by Sabine Pass LNG with Zachry Construction Corporation (Zachry) and Diamond LNG LLC (Diamond) and collectively with Zachry, the Tank Contractor). The Tank Contractor will furnish all plant, labor, materials, tools, supplies, equipment, transportation, supervision, technical, professional and other services, and perform all operations necessary and required to satisfactorily engineer, procure and construct two Phase 2 tanks. In addition, Sabine Pass LNG has the option (to be elected on or before March 31, 2007) for the Tank Contractor to engineer, procure and construct a third tank at Phase 2, with the cost and completion date thereof to be agreed upon if such option is elected. The Tank Contract provides for compensating the Tank Contractor for a lump-sum, fixed price in the amount of approximately \$139.1 million (the Contract Price). The Contract Price is subject to adjustment based on fluctuations in the cost of labor and certain materials for the Phase 2 tanks, including the steel used in the Phase 2 tanks, and change orders.

An EPC LNG Unit Rate Soil Contract has been entered into with Remedial Construction Services, L.P. (the Soil Contractor). The Soil Contractor is required to furnish all plant, labor, materials, tools, supplies, equipment, transportation, supervision, technical, professional and other services, and perform all operations necessary and required to satisfactorily conduct soil remediation and improvement on the Phase 2 site. Upon issuing a final notice to proceed, Sabine Pass LNG will be required to pay the Soil Contractor an initial payment of approximately \$2.9 million. The Soil Contract price is based on unit rates (the Unit Prices). Payments under the Soil Contract will be made based on quantities of work performed at Unit Prices.

### *Customer TUAs*

Total has paid Sabine Pass LNG nonrefundable advance capacity reservation fees of \$20.0 million in the aggregate in connection with the reservation under a 20-year TUA of approximately 1.0 Bcf/d of LNG regasification capacity at the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. These capacity reservation fee payments will be amortized over a 10-year period as a reduction of Total's regasification capacity fee under the TUA.

Chevron USA has paid Sabine Pass LNG nonrefundable advance capacity reservation fees of \$20.0 million in the aggregate in connection with the reservation under a 20-year TUA of approximately 1.0 Bcf/d of LNG regasification capacity at the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. These capacity reservation fee payments will be amortized over a 10-year period as a reduction of Chevron USA's regasification capacity tariff under the TUA.

Cheniere Marketing has entered into a TUA with Sabine Pass LNG for 1.5 Bcf/d of regasification capacity at our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal, which capacity will be reduced to 600 MMcf/d in the event that both the Total TUA and the Chevron TUA commence prior to completion of Phase 2 of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Corpus Christi LNG***

We currently estimate that the cost of constructing the Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminal will be approximately \$650 million to \$750 million, before financing costs. This estimate is based in part on our negotiations with a major international EPC contractor. Our cost estimate is subject to change due to such items as cost overruns, change orders, changes in commodity prices (particularly steel) and escalating labor costs.

#### *Site Preparation*

In April 2006, Corpus Christi LNG entered into an engineering, procurement and construction services agreement for preliminary work with La Quinta LNG Partners, L.P. ( La Quinta ). La Quinta is a limited partnership whose general partners are Zachry and AMEC E&C Services, Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, La Quinta will provide Corpus Christi LNG with certain preliminary design, engineering, procurement, pipeline dismantlement, removal and construction, road construction and site preparation work on a reimbursable basis in connection with the Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminal. Payments anticipated to be made by Corpus Christi LNG to La Quinta for work performed under the agreement are not expected to exceed \$50 million.

#### *Funding*

We currently expect to fund the amounts payable under the La Quinta EPC agreement from existing cash balances. The remainder of the project cost is expected to be funded through project financing similar to that used for our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal, existing cash, proceeds from debt or equity offerings, or a combination thereof. If these types of financing are not available, we will be required to seek alternative sources of financing, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all.

#### *Customers*

Cheniere Marketing has entered into a TUA with Corpus Christi LNG for 1.0 Bcf/d of regasification capacity at the Corpus Christi LNG receiving terminal.

### ***Creole Trail LNG***

We currently estimate that the cost of constructing the Creole Trail LNG receiving terminal will be approximately \$850 million to \$950 million, before financing costs. Our cost estimate is preliminary and subject to change. We currently expect to fund the costs of the Creole Trail LNG terminal project using financing similar to that used for our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal, proceeds from future debt or equity offerings, existing cash or a combination thereof. If these types of financing are not available, we will be required to seek alternative sources of financing, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all.

### ***Other LNG Interests***

We have a 30% limited partner interest in Freeport LNG. Under the limited partnership agreement of Freeport LNG, development expenses of the Freeport LNG Development, L.P. ( Freeport LNG ) Freeport LNG project and other Freeport LNG cash needs generally are to be funded out of Freeport LNG's own cash flows, borrowings or other sources, and, up to a pre-agreed total amount, with capital contributions by the limited partners. In July 2004, Freeport LNG entered into a credit agreement with ConocoPhillips to provide a substantial majority of the debt financing. We received capital calls, and

## **Table of Contents**

made capital contributions, in the amount of approximately \$2.1 million in 2005. In December 2005, Freeport LNG announced that it had closed a \$383.0 million private placement of notes, which will be used to fund the remaining portion of the initial phase of the project, a portion of the cost of expanding the LNG receiving terminal and the development of 7.5 Bcf of underground salt cavern gas storage. As a result of such financing being obtained, we do not anticipate that any capital calls will be made upon the limited partners of Freeport LNG in the foreseeable future.

Although no capital calls are currently outstanding, and we do not anticipate any in the foreseeable future, additional capital calls may be made upon us and the other limited partners in Freeport LNG. In the event of each such future capital call, we will have the option either to contribute the requested capital or to decline to contribute. If we decline to contribute, the other limited partners could elect to make our contribution and receive back twice the amount contributed on our behalf, without interest, before any Freeport LNG cash flows are otherwise distributed to us. We currently expect to evaluate Freeport LNG capital calls on a case-by-case basis and to fund additional capital contributions that we elect to make using cash on hand and funds raised through the issuance of Cheniere equity or debt securities or other Cheniere borrowings.

## **Natural Gas Pipelines**

We estimate that approximately \$800 million to \$1 billion of total capital expenditures will be required to construct our three natural gas pipelines. We currently expect to fund the costs of our three pipeline projects from our existing cash balances, project financing, proceeds from future debt or equity offerings, or a combination thereof. If these types of financing are not available, we will be required to seek alternative sources of financing, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all.

In February 2006, Sabine Pass Pipeline L.P. entered into an EPC pipeline contract with Willbros. Under the EPC pipeline contract, Willbros will provide Sabine Pass Pipeline L.P. with services for the management, engineering, material procurement, construction and construction management of the Sabine Pass pipeline. Sabine Pass Pipeline L.P. entered into the EPC pipeline contract sufficiently in advance of commencement of physical construction of the pipeline in order to perform detailed engineering and procure materials. This EPC pipeline contract, among other things, provides for a guaranteed maximum price of approximately \$67.7 million, subject to adjustment under certain circumstances, as provided in the contract. We estimate that the total cost to construct the Sabine Pass pipeline, including certain work not included in the EPC pipeline contract, such as interconnection with third-party pipelines, will be approximately \$90 million. Our total cost estimate is preliminary and subject to change due to such items as cost overruns, change orders, changes in commodity prices (particularly steel) and escalation of labor costs. Construction contracts have not been entered into for the Corpus Christi or Creole Trail pipeline.

**Table of Contents**

**LNG and Natural Gas Marketing**

We are in the early stages of developing our LNG and natural gas marketing business. We will need to spend funds to develop our marketing business, including capital required to satisfy any creditworthiness requirements under contracts. These costs are expected to be incurred to develop the systems necessary to implement our business strategy and to hire additional employees to conduct our natural gas marketing activities. We expect to fund these expenses with available cash balances.

In April 2006, Cheniere Marketing entered into a 10-year Gas Purchase and Sale Agreement with PPM. Upon completion of certain of our facilities, the agreement provides Cheniere Marketing the ability to sell to PPM up to 600,000 MMBtus of natural gas per day at a Henry Hub-related market index price, and requires Cheniere Marketing to allocate to PPM a portion of the LNG that it procures under certain planned long-term LNG supply agreements.

---

**Table of Contents****Other Capital Resources*****Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes***

In July 2005, we consummated a private offering of \$325.0 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes due August 1, 2012 to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 2.25% per year. The Notes are convertible into our common stock pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the Notes at an initial conversion rate of 28.2326 per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equal to a conversion price of approximately \$35.42 per share. We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or before August 1, 2012, for cash equal to 100% of the principal plus any accrued and unpaid interest if in the previous 10 trading days the volume-weighted average price of our common stock exceeds \$53.13, subject to adjustment, for at least five consecutive trading days. In the event of such a redemption, we will make an additional payment equal to the present value of all remaining scheduled interest payments through August 1, 2012, discounted at the U.S. Treasury rate plus 50 basis points. The indenture governing the Notes contains customary reporting requirements.

Concurrently with the issuance of the Notes, we also entered into hedge transactions in the form of an issuer call spread (consisting of a purchase and a sale of call options on our common stock) with an affiliate of the initial purchaser of the Notes, having a term of two years and a net cost to us of \$75.7 million. These hedge transactions are expected to offset potential dilution from conversion of the Notes up to a market price of \$70.00 per share. The net cost of the hedge transactions will be recorded as a reduction to Additional Paid-in-Capital on our Consolidated Balance Sheet in accordance with the guidance of EITF Issue 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*. Net proceeds from the offering were \$239.8 million, after deducting the cost of the hedge transactions, the underwriting discount and related fees. As of June 30, 2006, no holders had elected to convert their Notes.

***Term Loan***

In August 2005, Cheniere LNG Holdings entered into a \$600.0 million Term Loan with Credit Suisse. The Term Loan has an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a 2.75% margin and terminates on August 30, 2012. In connection with the closing, Cheniere LNG Holdings entered into swap agreements with Credit Suisse (the Term Loan Swaps), to hedge the LIBOR interest rate component of the Term Loan. The blended rate of the swap agreements on the Term Loan results in an annual fixed interest rate of 7.25% (including the 2.75% margin) for the first five years (see Note 4 Derivative Instruments to our Consolidated Financial Statements). On December 30, 2005, Cheniere LNG Holdings made the first required quarterly principal payment of \$1.5 million. Quarterly principal payments of \$1.5 million are required through June 30, 2012, and a final principal payment of \$559.5 million is required on August 30, 2012. The Term Loan contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. The obligations of Cheniere LNG Holdings are secured by its 100% equity interest in Sabine Pass LNG and its 30% limited partner equity interest in Freeport LNG.

Under the provisions of the Term Loan, Cheniere LNG Holdings was required to fund from the loan proceeds a total of \$216.2 million into two collateral accounts. These funds are restricted and to be disbursed only for the payment of interest and principal due under the Term Loan, reimbursement of certain expenses, and funding of additional capital contributions to Sabine Pass LNG as required under the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility. Because these accounts are controlled by Credit Suisse, the collateral agent, our cash and cash equivalent undisbursed balance of \$150.0 million held in these accounts as of June 30, 2006 is classified as restricted on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Of this amount, \$13.5 million is classified as non-current due to the timing of certain required debt amortization payments.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Short-Term Liquidity Needs**

We anticipate funding our more immediate liquidity requirements, including expenditures related to the construction of our LNG receiving terminals and pipelines, the growth of our marketing business and our oil and gas exploration, development and exploitation activities, through a combination of any or all of the following:

cash balances;

drawings under the Amended Sabine Pass Credit Facility;

issuances of Cheniere debt and equity securities, including issuances of common stock pursuant to exercises by the holders of existing options;

LNG receiving terminal capacity reservation fees; and

collection of receivables.

**Historical Cash Flows**

Net cash used in operating activities increased to \$35.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$0.6 million in the same period of 2005. This \$34.5 million increase was primarily due to continued development of our LNG receiving terminals and related pipelines and increased costs to support such activities.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$143.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$121.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2005. During the first six months of 2006, we invested \$160.5 million relating to our LNG receiving terminal and pipeline construction activities compared to \$92.1 million in the comparable period of 2005. We also invested \$4.1 million and \$2.6 million in fixed assets and oil and gas drilling activities, respectively, in the first six months of 2006. These investment activities were partially offset by a \$26.8 million use of our restricted cash investments during the first six months of 2006 related to funding of our terminal construction activities discussed above and to make payments of interest and principal relating to our Term Loan. During the first six months of 2005, we advanced \$24.3 million (net of \$8.1 million credited against invoices and transferred to construction-in-progress) to Bechtel related to the construction of our Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal. We also invested \$92.1 million in construction-in-progress costs related to the LNG receiving terminal. The remaining cash used in investing activities for the first six months of 2005 primarily related to transfers to the Sabine Pass LNG restricted cash collateral accounts under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, purchase of fixed assets, advances to Freeport LNG and oil and gas property additions.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the first six months of 2006 was \$143.5 million compared to \$14.8 million used in financing activities in the same period of 2005. During the first six months of 2006, we received proceeds from borrowings under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility totaling \$149.0 million and \$1.7 million received from the issuance of common stock related to stock option exercises. These proceeds were partially offset by \$3.0 million in Term Loan principal payments, \$3.0 million in debt issuance costs related to the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, which became due when the first borrowing was made under the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, and \$0.3 million in debt issuance costs relating to the refinancing of this facility during the second quarter of 2006. In addition, we paid federal withholding taxes of \$0.9 million in exchange for 24,300 shares of our common stock, which vested in February 2006 and related to stock previously awarded to an executive officer. During the first six months of 2005, we incurred \$16.8 million in debt issuance costs primarily related to the Sabine Pass Credit Facility, partially offset by \$2.0 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants.

**Table of Contents**

Due to the factors described above, our cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$657.6 million as of June 30, 2006 compared to \$692.6 million at December 31, 2005, and our working capital decreased to \$756.1 million as of June 30, 2006 compared to \$810.1 million at December 31, 2005.

**Issuances of Common Stock**

During the first six months of 2006, a total of 264,068 shares of our common stock were issued pursuant to the exercise of stock options, resulting in net cash proceeds of \$1.7 million. In addition, 20,633 shares of common stock were issued in satisfaction of cashless exercise of options to purchase 27,800 shares of common stock.

In January 2006, 78,671 shares were issued to executive officers in the form of non-vested (restricted) stock awards related to our performance in 2005. During the first six months of 2006, we issued 100,780 shares of non-vested restricted stock to new employees.

We paid federal payroll withholding taxes of \$0.9 million in exchange for 24,300 shares of our common stock, which vested in February 2006, related to stock previously awarded to an executive officer. These shares were initially recorded as treasury shares, at cost, but were retired in June 2006.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of June 30, 2006, we had no off-balance sheet debt or other such unrecorded obligations, and we have not guaranteed the debt of any other party.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Three Months Ended June 30, 2006****vs. Three Months Ended June 30, 2005****Consolidated Results**

	Three Months Ended					Consolidated
	LNG Receiving Terminal	Natural Gas Pipeline	LNG & Natural Gas Marketing	Oil & Gas Exploration & Development	Corporate & Other	
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$ 413	\$	\$ 413
Operating costs and expenses						
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	5,746	(10,252)				(4,506)
Exploration costs				590		590
Oil and gas production costs				55		55
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	34		7		538	579
General and administrative expenses	1,917	10	1,597	961	7,959	12,444
Total operating costs and expenses	7,697	(10,242)	1,604	1,606	8,497	9,162
Income (loss) from operations	(7,697)	10,242	(1,604)	(1,193)	(8,497)	(8,749)
Derivative gain (loss)	162					162
Interest expense	(9,588)	130			(1,638)	(11,096)
Interest income	1,971				8,364	10,335
Other income		108				108
Income tax benefit					5,621	5,621
Net income (loss)	\$ (15,152)	\$ 10,480	\$ (1,604)	\$ (1,193)	\$ 3,850	\$ (3,619)

	Three Months Ended					Consolidated
	LNG Receiving Terminal	Natural Gas Pipeline	LNG & Natural Gas Marketing	Oil & Gas Exploration & Development	Corporate & Other	
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$ 689	\$	\$ 689
Operating costs and expenses						
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	1,536	3,814				5,350
Exploration costs				560		560
Oil and gas production costs				34		34
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	8			6	235	249
General and administrative expenses	1,416	(78)		411	3,851	5,600
Total operating costs and expenses	2,960	3,736		1,011	4,086	11,793
Loss from operations	(2,960)	(3,736)		(322)	(4,086)	(11,104)

Edgar Filing: CHENIERE ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Equity in net loss of limited partnership	(127)				(127)
Derivative gain (loss)	(642)				(642)
Interest income	40			1,715	1,755
Other income				426	426
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,689)	\$ (3,736)	\$	\$ 104	\$ (2,371) \$ (9,692)

**Table of Contents**

Financial results for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$3.6 million, or \$0.07 per share (basic and diluted), compared to a net loss of \$9.7 million, or \$0.18 per share (basic and diluted), for the second quarter of 2005.

The major factors contributing to our net loss of \$3.6 million during the second quarter of 2006 were charges for general and administrative ( G&A ) expenses of \$12.4 million and interest expense of \$11.1 million, partially offset by interest income of \$10.3 million, an income tax benefit of \$5.6 million and a \$4.5 million credit in LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses. The credit in LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses arises from our application of SFAS No. 71 in the second quarter of 2006, whereby \$12.3 million of natural gas pipeline development costs previously charged to expense were capitalized as a regulatory asset (see Note 2 Property, Plant and Equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Our net loss for the second quarter of 2006 excluding the \$12.3 million expense recapture was \$15.9 million, or \$0.30 per share (basic and diluted). The major factors contributing to our net loss of \$9.7 million during the second quarter of 2005 were LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses of \$5.4 million, G&A expenses of \$5.6 million and interest income of \$1.8 million.

As of January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, which requires that all share-based payments to employees be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value at the date of grant. As a result, we recorded \$4.2 million of non-cash compensation expense related to stock options in the second quarter of 2006.

***LNG Receiving Terminal Segment***

Financial results for our LNG receiving terminal segment for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$15.2 million, compared to a net loss of \$3.7 million for the second quarter of 2005.

LNG development expenses were 280% higher in the second quarter of 2006 (\$5.7 million) than in the second quarter of 2005 (\$1.5 million). Our development expenses primarily included professional fees associated with front-end engineering and design work, obtaining orders from the FERC authorizing construction of our facilities and other required permitting for our planned LNG receiving terminals. Other expenses directly related to the development of our LNG receiving terminals include the expenses of our employees directly involved in the LNG development activities. The \$4.2 million increase in development expenses from the second quarter of 2005 to the second quarter of 2006 was primarily a result of an increase in employee-related costs of \$1.3 million (including an increase of \$0.8 million of non-cash compensation primarily resulting from stock option expense), engineering, legal and other technical costs of \$1.9 million, and Sabine Pass LNG site rental expenses of \$0.4 million. The increase in employee-related costs was due to our increase in the average number of employees engaged in LNG terminal development activities from 28 in the second quarter of 2005 to 54 in the second quarter of 2006. The increase in engineering, legal and other technical costs was due to front-end engineering and design work related to our Creole Trail LNG receiving terminal and Sabine Pass expansion.

G&A expenses were 36% higher in the second quarter of 2006 (\$1.9 million) than in the second quarter of 2005 (\$1.4 million). Our G&A expenses primarily related to an allocation of corporate overhead as prescribed by a contractual management service agreement between two wholly-owned subsidiaries, evaluation of software required for Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal operations, and Hurricane Rita relief efforts. The \$0.5 million increase in the second quarter of 2006 as compared to the same period in the previous year was primarily due to additional software evaluation costs and Hurricane Rita relief efforts.

The increase in interest income and interest expense of \$1.9 million and \$9.6 million, respectively, from the second quarter of 2005 to the same period in 2006 was due to the borrowings from the Sabine Pass Credit Facility beginning in the first quarter of 2006 and the Term Loan in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in interest income was due to investment income on the proceeds from the Term Loan.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Natural Gas Pipeline Segment***

Financial results for our natural gas pipeline segment for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net income of \$10.5 million, compared to a net loss of \$3.7 million for the second quarter of 2005.

Natural gas pipeline development expenses decreased \$14.1 million in the second quarter of 2006 to a negative \$10.3 million compared to a positive \$3.8 million in the second quarter of 2005. Historically, our natural gas pipeline development expenses primarily included professional fees associated with front-end engineering and design work, obtaining orders from the FERC authorizing construction of our pipelines and other required permitting for our planned natural gas pipelines. During the second quarter of 2006, however, we recognized regulatory assets, as prescribed by SFAS No. 71 (see Note 2 Property, Plant and Equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), that had previously been expensed as pipeline development expenses. The impact of recording these regulatory assets reduced pipeline development expense in the second quarter of 2006 by \$12.3 million. Natural gas pipeline development expenses for the second quarter of 2006, excluding the impact of recording regulatory assets, would have been \$2.0 million. Not including the impact of the recognition of regulatory assets in the second quarter of 2006, there was a decrease in natural gas pipeline development expenses of \$1.8 million between periods. The decrease was primarily related to front-end engineering and design work, much of which was completed in 2005 for the Creole Trail pipeline.

### ***LNG and Natural Gas Marketing Segment***

Financial results for our LNG and natural gas marketing segment for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$1.6 million, compared to zero for the second quarter of 2005, as we had not begun development of this segment at that time. G&A expenses incurred in the second quarter of 2006 were primarily related to employee costs and legal and consulting fees.

### ***Oil and Gas Exploration and Development Segment***

Financial results for our oil and gas exploration and development segment for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$1.2 million, compared to net income of \$0.1 million for the second quarter of 2005. The decrease in net income was a result of lower production volumes and an increase in G&A expenses as a result of non-cash share-based compensation primarily resulting from stock option expense.

### ***Corporate and Other***

Financial results for corporate and other activities for the second quarter of 2006 reflect a net income of \$3.9 million, compared to a net loss of \$2.4 million for the second quarter of 2005.

G&A expense increased \$4.1 million, or 105%, to \$8.0 million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$3.9 million in the second quarter of 2005. The increase in G&A expense primarily resulted from our expansion of our business (including increases in our corporate staff from an average of 44 employees in the second quarter of 2005 to an average of 90 employees in the second quarter of 2006). Included in G&A expense is an increase in non-cash compensation of \$2.9 million primarily resulting from stock option expense. Corporate employee-related costs for the second quarter of 2006 and 2005 included non-cash compensation of \$3.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Interest income was \$6.7 million greater in the second quarter of 2006 (\$8.4 million) than in the second quarter of 2005 (\$1.7 million). The increase in interest income was due to the increase in cash balances and average interest rates from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

**Table of Contents**

A tax benefit of \$5.6 million was recognized in the second quarter of 2006 relating to the portion of the change in our tax asset valuation account that is allocable to the deferred income tax on items reported in accumulated OCI primarily related to derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and EITF *Abstract*, Topic D-32.

Interest expense was \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to zero in the second quarter of 2005. The increase in interest expense related to borrowings subsequent to June 30, 2005.

**Six Months Ended June 30, 2006****vs. Six Months Ended June 30, 2005**

	Six Months Ended					Consolidated
	LNG Receiving Terminal	Natural Gas Pipeline	LNG & Natural Gas Marketing	Oil & Gas Exploration & Development	Corporate & Other	
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$ 835	\$	\$ 835
Operating costs and expenses						
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	12,391	(8,584)				3,807
Exploration costs				1,428		1,428
Oil and gas production costs				105		105
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	63		7	59	1,056	1,185
General and administrative expenses	4,084	10	2,832	1,797	16,902	25,625
Total operating costs and expenses	16,538	(8,574)	2,839	3,389	17,958	32,150
Income (loss) from operations	(16,538)	8,574	(2,839)	(2,554)	(17,958)	(31,315)
Derivative gain (loss)	923					923
Interest expense	(19,109)	130			(3,255)	(22,234)
Interest income	3,852				16,027	19,879
Other income		108		176		284
Income tax benefit					13,033	13,033
Net income (loss)	\$ (30,872)	\$ 8,812	\$ (2,839)	\$ (2,378)	\$ 7,847	\$ (19,430)

**Table of Contents**

	Six Months Ended					
	June 30, 2005					
	LNG Receiving Terminal	Natural Gas Pipeline	LNG & Natural Gas Marketing	Oil & Gas Exploration & Development	Corporate & Other	Consolidated
Revenue	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,425	\$	\$ 1,425
Operating costs and expenses						
LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses	4,744	6,031				10,775
Exploration costs				1,102		1,102
Oil and gas production costs				89		89
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	10			31	412	453
General and administrative expenses	2,004			741	7,845	10,590
Total operating costs and expenses	6,758	6,031		1,963	8,257	23,009
Loss from operations	(6,758)	(6,031)		(538)	(8,257)	(21,584)
Equity in net loss of limited partnership	(971)					(971)
Derivative gain (loss)	(667)					(667)
Interest income	103			426	3,470	3,573
Other					97	523
Net loss	\$ (8,293)	\$ (6,031)	\$	\$ (112)	\$ (4,690)	\$ (19,126)

Financial results for the six months ended June 30, 2006 reflect a net loss of \$19.4 million, or \$0.36 per share (basic and diluted), compared to a net loss of \$19.1 million, or \$0.36 per share (basic and diluted), for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

The major factors contributing to our net loss of \$19.4 million during the first six months of 2006 were G&A expenses of \$25.6 million, interest expense of \$22.2 million and LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses of \$3.8 million, partially offset by interest income of \$19.9 million and an income tax benefit of \$13.0 million. Included in the \$3.8 million of LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses is a credit of \$12.3 million. This credit represents the amount of pipeline development expenses previously charged to expense that constitute a regulatory asset as a result of our application of SFAS No. 71 in the second quarter of 2006 (see Note 2 Property, Plant and Equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Our net loss for the first six months of 2006 excluding the \$12.3 million credit was \$31.7 million, or \$0.59 per share (basic and diluted). The major factors contributing to our net loss of \$19.1 million during the first six months of 2005 were LNG receiving terminal and pipeline development expenses of \$10.8 million and G&A expenses of \$10.6 million, offset by interest income of \$3.6 million.

As a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, on January 1, 2006, we recorded \$8.7 million of non-cash compensation expense related to stock options in the first six months of 2006.

**LNG Receiving Terminal Segment**

Financial results for our LNG receiving terminal segment for the first six months of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$30.9 million, compared to a net loss of \$8.3 million for the first six months of 2005.

LNG development expenses were 164% higher in the first six months of 2006 (\$12.4 million) than in the first six months of 2005 (\$4.7 million). Our development expenses primarily include costs of



**Table of Contents**

front-end engineering and design work, obtaining orders from the FERC authorizing construction of our facilities and other required permitting for our planned LNG receiving terminals. Other expenses directly related to the development of our LNG receiving terminals, include expenses of our LNG employees directly involved in the development activities. The \$7.7 million increase in development expenses for the first six months of 2006 compared to the first six months of 2005 primarily resulted from an increase in employee-related costs of \$3.3 million (including an increase of \$1.9 million of non-cash compensation primarily resulting from stock option expense) and engineering, legal and other technical costs of \$3.9 million. The increase in employee-related costs was due to our increase in the average number of employees from 24 in the first six months of 2005 to 50 in the first six months of 2006. This increase in employees resulted from the continued increase and development of our LNG receiving terminal business. The increase in engineering, legal and other technical costs was due to the increased front-end engineering and design work related to our Corpus Christi and Creole Trail LNG receiving terminals and Sabine Pass expansion.

G&A expenses were 105% higher in the first six months of 2006 (\$4.1 million) than in the first six months of 2005 (\$2.0 million). Our G&A expenses primarily related to an allocation of corporate overhead as prescribed by a contractual management service agreement between two wholly-owned subsidiaries, software evaluation costs and costs associated with Hurricane Rita relief. The \$2.1 million increase between periods was primarily due to an increase in software evaluation costs relating to Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal operations, Hurricane Rita relief efforts and an additional two months of contractual overhead related to the Sabine Pass LNG receiving terminal.

Interest income and interest expense increased \$3.7 million and \$19.1 million, respectively, from the first six months of 2005 compared to the first six months of 2006. The increase in interest expense was due to the borrowings from the Sabine Pass Credit Facility beginning in the first quarter of 2006 and the Term Loan beginning in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in interest income was due to investment income on the proceeds from the Term Loan.

Derivative gain was \$1.6 million greater in the first six months of 2006 (gain of \$0.9 million) than in the first six months of 2005 (loss of \$0.7 million). The increase in derivative gain attributable to the ineffective portion of our interest rate swap resulted from increased interest rates, which caused the value of our interest rate swaps to increase.

***Natural Gas Pipeline Segment***

Financial results for our natural gas pipeline segment for the first six months of 2006 reflect a net income of \$8.8 million, compared to a net loss of \$6.0 million for the first six months of 2005.

Natural gas pipeline development expenses decreased \$14.6 million in the first six months of 2006 to a negative \$8.6 million compared to a positive \$6.0 million in the first six months of 2005. Historically, our natural gas pipeline development expenses primarily included professional fees associated with front-end engineering and design work, obtaining orders from the FERC authorizing construction of our facilities and other required permitting for our planned natural gas pipelines. During the first six months of 2006, however, we recognized regulatory assets, as prescribed by SFAS No. 71 (see Note 1 Basis of Presentation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), that had previously been expensed as pipeline development expenses. The impact of recording these regulatory assets reduced pipeline development expenses in the first six months of 2006 by \$12.3 million. Natural gas pipeline development expenses for the first six months of 2006, excluding the impact of recording regulatory assets, would have been \$3.7 million. Not including the impact of the recognition of regulatory assets in the first six months of 2006, there was a decrease in natural gas pipeline development expenses of \$2.3 million between periods. The decrease was primarily related to front-end engineering and design work, much of which was completed in 2005, for the Creole Trail pipeline.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***LNG and Natural Gas Marketing Segment***

Financial results for our LNG and natural gas marketing segment for the first six months of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$2.8 million, compared to zero for the first six months of 2005, as we had not begun development of this segment at that time. G&A expenses incurred in the first six months of 2006 were primarily related to employee costs and legal and consulting fees.

### ***Oil and Gas Exploration and Development Segment***

Financial results for our oil and gas exploration and development segment for the first six months of 2006 reflect a net loss of \$2.4 million, compared to a net loss of \$0.1 million for the first six months of 2005. The decrease in net income was a result of lower production volumes and an increase in G&A expenses as a result of non-cash stock based compensation primarily resulting from stock option expense.

### ***Corporate and Other***

Financial results for our corporate and other activities for the first six months of 2006 reflect net income of \$7.8 million, compared to a net loss of \$4.7 million for the first six months of 2005.

G&A expenses increased \$9.1 million, or 117%, to \$16.9 million in the first six months of 2006 compared to \$7.8 million in the first six months of 2005. The increase in G&A expenses primarily resulted from the expansion of our business (including increases in corporate staffing from an average of 44 employees in the first six months of 2005 to an average of 80 employees in the first six months of 2006). Included in G&A expenses is an increase in non-cash compensation of \$5.5 million primarily resulting from stock option expense. Corporate employee-related costs for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 included non-cash compensation of \$6.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Interest income was \$12.5 million greater in the first six months of 2006 (\$16.0 million) than in the first six months of 2005 (\$3.5 million). The increase in interest income was due to the increase in cash balances and average interest rates between periods.

A tax benefit of \$13.0 million was recognized in the first six months of 2006 relating to the portion of the change in our tax asset valuation account that is allocable to the deferred income tax on items reported in accumulated OCI on derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and EITF *Abstract*, Topic D-32.

Interest expenses was \$3.3 million greater in the first six months of 2006 (\$3.3 million) than in the first six months of 2005 (zero). The increase in interest expense was due to the increase in our debt balance from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

## **OTHER MATTERS**

### **Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies**

The selection and application of accounting policies is an important process that has developed as our business activities have evolved and as the accounting rules have developed. Accounting rules generally do not involve a selection among alternatives but involve an implementation and interpretation of existing rules, and the use of judgment, to the specific set of circumstances existing in our business. We make every effort to comply properly with all applicable rules on or before their adoption, and we believe that the proper implementation and consistent application of the accounting rules are critical. However, not all situations are specifically addressed in the accounting literature. In these cases, we must use our best judgment to adopt a policy for accounting for these situations. We accomplish this by analogizing to similar situations and the accounting guidance governing them.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Accounting for LNG Activities***

Generally, we begin capitalizing the costs of our LNG receiving terminals and related pipelines once the individual project meets the following criteria: (i) regulatory approval has been received, (ii) financing for the project is available and (iii) management has committed to commence construction. Prior to meeting these criteria, most of the costs associated with a project are expensed as incurred. These costs primarily include professional fees associated with front-end engineering and design work, costs of securing necessary regulatory approvals, and other preliminary investigation and development activities related to our LNG receiving terminals and related pipelines.

Generally, costs that are capitalized prior to a project meeting the criteria otherwise necessary for capitalization include: land costs, costs of lease options and the cost of certain permits, which are capitalized as intangible LNG assets. The costs of lease options are amortized over the life of the lease once it is obtained. If no lease is obtained, the costs are expensed. Site rental costs and related amortization of capitalized options have been capitalized during the construction period through the end of 2005. Beginning in 2006, such costs have been expensed as required by FSP 13-1.

During the construction periods of our LNG receiving terminals, we capitalize interest and other related debt costs in accordance with SFAS No. 34, *Capitalization of Interest Cost*, as amended by SFAS No. 58, *Capitalization of Interest Cost in Financial Statements That Include Investments Accounted for by the Equity Method (an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 34)*. Upon commencement of operations, capitalized interest, as a component of the total cost, will be amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset.

### ***Regulated Operations***

Our developing natural gas pipeline business is subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC in accordance with the Natural Gas Act of 1938 and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, and we have determined that certain of our pipeline systems to be constructed have met the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 71. Accordingly, we have applied the provisions of SFAS No. 71 to the affected pipeline subsidiaries beginning in the second quarter of 2006.

Our application of SFAS No. 71 is based on the current regulatory environment, our current projected tariff rates, and our ability to collect those rates. Future regulatory developments and rate cases could impact this accounting. Although discounting of our maximum tariff rates may occur, we believe the standards required by SFAS No. 71 for its application are met and the use of regulatory accounting under SFAS No. 71 best reflects the results of future operations in the economic environment in which we will operate. Regulatory accounting requires us to record assets and liabilities that result from the rate-making process that would not be recorded under GAAP for non-regulated entities. We will continue to evaluate the application of regulatory accounting principles based on on-going changes in the regulatory and economic environment. Items that may influence our assessment are:

inability to recover cost increases due to rate caps and rate case moratoriums;

inability to recover capitalized costs, including an adequate return on those costs through the rate-making process and FERC proceedings;

excess capacity;

increased competition and discounting in the markets we serve; and

impacts of ongoing regulatory initiatives in the natural gas industry.

## **Table of Contents**

Natural gas pipeline costs include amounts capitalized as an Allowance for Funds Used During Construction ( AFUDC ). The rates used in the calculation of AFUDC are determined in accordance with guidelines established by the FERC. AFUDC represents the cost of debt and equity funds used to finance our natural gas pipeline additions during construction. AFUDC is capitalized as a part of the cost of our natural gas pipelines. Under regulatory rate practices, we generally are permitted to recover AFUDC, and a fair return thereon, through our rate base after our natural gas pipelines are placed in service.

### ***Revenue Recognition***

LNG receiving terminal capacity reservation fees are recognized as revenue over the term of the respective TUAs. Advance capacity reservation fees are deferred initially.

### ***Change in Method of Accounting for Investments in Oil and Gas Properties***

Effective January 1, 2006, we converted from the full cost method to the successful efforts method of accounting for our investments in oil and gas properties. While our primary focus is the development of our LNG-related businesses, we have continued to be involved, to a limited extent, in oil and gas exploration and development activities in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. We believe that, in light of our current level of exploration and development activities, the successful efforts method of accounting provides a better matching of expenses to the period in which oil and gas production is realized. As a result, we believe that the change in accounting method at this time is appropriate. The change in accounting method constitutes a Change in Accounting Principle, requiring that all prior period financial statements be adjusted to reflect the results and balances that would have been reported had we been following the successful efforts method of accounting from our inception. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting method as of December 31, 2004 and 2005 was to reduce the balance of our net investment in oil and gas properties and retained earnings at those dates by \$18.2 million and \$18.0 million, respectively. The change in accounting method resulted in a decrease in the net loss of \$145,000 and an increase in the net loss of \$73,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, and had no impact on earnings per share (basic and diluted) for these respective periods (see Note 14 Adjustment to Financial Statements Successful Efforts to our Consolidated Financial Statements). The change in method of accounting has no impact on cash or working capital.

### ***Cash Flow Hedges***

As defined in SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, cash flow hedge transactions hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows (i.e., in our case, the variability of floating interest rate exposure). In the case of cash flow hedges, the hedged item (the underlying risk) is generally unrecognized (i.e., not recorded on the balance sheet prior to settlement), and any changes in the fair value, therefore, will not be recorded within earnings. Conceptually, if a cash flow hedge is effective, this means that a variable, such as a movement in interest rates, has been effectively fixed so that any fluctuations will have no net result on either cash flows or earnings. Therefore, if the changes in fair value of the hedged item are not recorded in earnings, then the changes in fair value of the hedging instrument (the derivative) must also be excluded from the income statement or else a one-sided net impact on earnings will be reported, despite the fact that the establishment of the effective hedge results in no net economic impact. To prevent such a scenario from occurring, SFAS No. 133 requires that the fair value of a derivative instrument designated as a cash flow hedge be recorded as an asset or liability on the balance sheet, but with the offset reported as part of OCI, to the extent that the hedge is effective. Any ineffective portion will be reflected in earnings.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Goodwill***

Goodwill is accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. We perform an annual goodwill impairment review in the fourth quarter of each year, although we may perform a goodwill impairment review more frequently whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

### ***Share-Based Compensation Expense***

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method, and therefore have not restated prior periods' results. Under this method, we recognize compensation expense for all share-based payments granted after January 1, 2006 and prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123R using the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, we recognize stock-based compensation net of an estimated forfeiture rate and only recognize compensation cost for those shares expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we accounted for share-based payments under APB No. 25 and accordingly, did not recognize compensation expense for options granted that had an exercise price greater than or equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the share-based payment awards and stock price volatility. We believe that implied volatility, calculated based on traded options of our common stock, combined with historical volatility is an appropriate indicator of expected volatility and future stock price trends. Therefore, expected volatility for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 was based on a combination of implied and historical volatilities. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards represent our best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management judgment. As a result, if factors change and we use different assumptions, our stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If our actual forfeiture rate is materially different from our estimate, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what we have recorded in the current period. See Note 15 *Share-Based Compensation* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion on share-based compensation.

## **NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*. SFAS No. 155 provides entities with relief from having to separately determine the fair value of an embedded derivative that would otherwise be required to be bifurcated from its host contract in accordance with SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 155 allows an entity to make an irrevocable election to measure such a hybrid financial instrument at fair value in its entirety, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. We believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 155 will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets - An Amendment to FASB Statement No. 140*. Once effective, SFAS No. 156 will require entities to recognize a servicing asset or liability each time they undertake an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations. This statement also requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and permits a choice of

## **Table of Contents**

either the amortization or fair value measurement method for subsequent measurement. The effective date of this statement is for annual periods beginning after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year provided the entity has not issued any financial statements for that year. We do not plan to adopt SFAS No. 156 early, and we are currently assessing the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This new FASB standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted as long as the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period of adoption. The provisions of FIN 48 are to be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of this standard. Only tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date may be recognized or continue to be recognized upon adoption of FIN 48. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 should be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings (or other appropriate components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position) for that fiscal year. We believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The development of our LNG receiving terminal business is based upon the foundational premise that prices of natural gas in the U.S. will be sustained at levels of \$3.00 per Mcf or more. Should the price of natural gas in the U.S. decline to sustained levels below \$3.00 per Mcf, our ability to develop and operate LNG receiving terminals could be materially adversely affected.

We produce and sell natural gas, crude oil and condensate. As a result, our financial results can be affected as these commodity prices fluctuate widely in response to changing market forces. We have not entered into any derivative transactions related to our oil and gas producing activities.

We have cash investments that we manage based on internal investment guidelines that emphasize liquidity and preservation of capital. Such cash investments are stated at historical cost, which approximates fair market value on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

### **Interest Rates**

We are exposed to changes in interest rates, primarily as a result of our debt obligations. The fair value of our fixed rate debt is affected by changes in market rates. We utilize interest rate swap agreements to mitigate exposure to rising interest rates. We do not use interest rate swap agreements for speculative or trading purposes.

At June 30, 2006, we had approximately \$1.1 billion of debt outstanding. Of this amount, our \$325 million of Notes bore a fixed interest rate of 2.25%. The Term Loan and Sabine Pass Credit Facility, totaling \$595 million and \$149 million, respectively, bear interest at floating rates; however, we entered into interest rate swaps with respect to these loan amounts (see Note 4 - Derivative Instruments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the fair market values of our existing interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2006 (in thousands):

**Variable to Fixed Swaps**

<b>Maturity Date</b>	<b>Weighted Average Notional Principal Amount</b>	<b>Fixed Interest Rate (Pay)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Interest Rate</b>	<b>Fair Market Value (1)</b>
March through December 2006	\$ 973,517	3.75% - 4.49%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	\$ 5,002
January through December 2007	1,135,432	3.75% - 4.49%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	15,800
January through December 2008	1,276,168	3.98% - 5.98%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	12,200
January through December 2009	1,275,948	4.49% - 5.98%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	1,071
January through December 2010	1,017,093	4.98% - 5.98%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	2,485
January through December 2011	662,442	4.98%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	3,344
January through December 2012	650,100	4.98%	US \$ LIBOR BBA	1,607
				\$ 41,509

(1) The fair market value is based upon a marked-to-market calculation utilizing an extrapolation of third-party mid-market LIBOR rate quotes at June 30, 2006.

**Item 4. Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed by us under the Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

During the most recent fiscal quarter, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We may in the future be involved as a party to various legal proceedings, which are incidental to the ordinary course of business. We regularly analyze current information and, as necessary, provide accruals for probable liabilities on the eventual disposition of these matters. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, as of June 30, 2006, there were no threatened or pending legal matters that would have a material impact on our Consolidated Results of Operations, financial position or cash flows.

As previously disclosed, we received a letter dated December 17, 2004 advising us of a nonpublic, informal inquiry being conducted by the SEC. On August 9, 2005, the SEC informed us that it had issued a formal order and commenced a nonpublic factual investigation of actions and communications by Cheniere, its current or former directors, officers and employees and other persons in connection with our agreements and negotiations with Chevron USA, the Company's December 2004 public offering of common stock, and trading in our securities. The scope, focus and subject matter of the SEC investigation may change from time to time, and we may be unaware of matters under consideration by the SEC. We have cooperated fully with the SEC informal inquiry and intend to continue cooperating fully with the SEC in its investigation.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

We held an annual meeting of its stockholders on May 23, 2006. The following individuals were elected to the Board of Directors: Nuno Brandolini and Paul J. Hoenmans. In addition to the election of directors, the following matters were submitted to a vote and approved by stockholders: an amendment to our 2003 Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2003 Plan from 8,000,000 shares to 11,000,000 shares; and the ratification of the appointment of UHY Mann Frankfort Stein & Lipp CPAs, LLP as independent accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006. There were 54,768,837 shares of common stock outstanding and eligible to vote as of the record date of March 27, 2006. The following table summarizes the results of the voting:

**ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

<b>Director</b>	<b>Number of Votes For</b>	<b>Number of Votes Withheld</b>
Nuno Brandolini	45,148,225	1,535,132
Paul J. Hoenmans	45,146,735	1,536,662

**ITEM 2: APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2003 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN**

				<b>Number of</b>	
<b>Number of</b>	<b>Percent of</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>Percent of</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Percent of</b>
<b>Votes For</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Votes Against</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Abstained</b>	<b>Votes</b>
22,905,037	78.35%	6,167,658	21.10%	161,244	0.55%

**ITEM 3: RATIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S APPOINTMENT OF UHY MANN FRANKFORT STEIN & LIPP CPAs, LLP**

				<b>Number of</b>		
		<b>Percent of</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>Percent of</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Percent of</b>
<b>Number of Votes For</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Votes Against</b>	<b>Votes</b>	<b>Abstained</b>	<b>Votes</b>	
46,336,294	99.26%	187,202	0.40%	159,861	0.34%	



---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Each of the following exhibits is filed herewith:

- 10.1 First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated July 21, 2006, among Sabine Pass LNG, L.C., Société Générale, HSBC Bank USA, National Association and the lenders named therein
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Collateral Agency Agreement, dated July 21, 2006, among Sabine Pass LNG, L.P., Société Générale and HSBC Bank USA, National Association
- 10.3 Amendment Agreement, dated July 21, 2006, between HSBC Bank USA, National Association and Sabine Pass LNG, L.P.
- 10.4 Amendment Agreement, dated July 21, 2006, between Société Générale, New York Branch and Sabine Pass LNG, L.P.
- 10.5 Confirmations, dated July 21, 2006, effective October 25, 2006 and March 25, 2009, between HSBC Bank USA, National Association and Sabine Pass LNG, L.P.
- 10.6 Confirmations, dated July 21, 2006, effective October 25, 2006 and March 25, 2009, between Société Générale, New York and Sabine Pass LNG, L.P.
- 10.7 Agreement for Engineering, Procurement, Construction and Management of Construction Services for the Sabine Phase 2 Receiving, Storage and Regasification Terminal Expansion, dated July 21, 2006, between Sabine Pass LNG, L.P. and Bechtel Corporation
- 10.8 Engineer, Procure and Construct (EPC) LNG Tank Contract, dated July 21, 2006, between Sabine Pass LNG, L.P., Zachry Construction Corporation and Diamond LNG LLC
- 10.9 Engineer, Procure and Construct (EPC) LNG Unit Rate Soil Contract, dated July 21, 2006, between Sabine Pass LNG, L.P. and Remedial Construction Services, L.P.
- 10.10 Change Orders 30, 32 and 33 to Lump Sum Turnkey Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement dated December 18, 2004, between Sabine Pass LNG, L.P. and Bechtel Corporation
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act
- 32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CHENIERE ENERGY, INC.

/s/ Craig K. Townsend  
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

(on behalf of the registrant and as principal  
accounting officer)

Date: August 4, 2006