SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

Commission file number: 001-13100

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of

56-1871668 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

3100 Smoketree Court, Suite 600, Raleigh, N.C.

(Address of principal executive office)

27604

(Zip Code)

(919) 872-4924

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

1

ĺ

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The Company had 56,063,828 shares of common stock outstanding as of October 19, 2006.

QUARTERLY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	4
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2006	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
	Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	31
	<u>Overview</u>	31
	Results of Operations	34
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	40
	Critical Accounting Policies	45
	Funds From Operations	45
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	48
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	50
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	50
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	50
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	51

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We refer to (1) Highwoods Properties, Inc. as the Company, (2) Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership as the Operating Partnership, (3) the Company s common stock as Common Stock, (4) the Company s preferred stock as Preferred Stock, (5) the Operating Partnership s common partnership interests as Common Units, (6) the Operating Partnership s preferred partnership interests as Preferred Units and (7) in-service properties (excluding apartment units) to which the Company has title and all of the ownership rights as the Wholly Owned Properties.

The information furnished in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) that are, in our opinion, necessary for a fair presentation of the aforementioned financial statements for the interim period.

The aforementioned financial statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Risk Factors included herein and in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Se	eptember 30, 2006	December 3 2005	3 1 ,
Assets:				
Real estate assets, at cost:				
Land	\$	347,426	\$ 341,50)9
Buildings and tenant improvements		2,567,469	2,510,96	58
Development in process		80,943	19,43	34
Land held for development		118,036	134,84	44
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		23,706	22,46	57
		3,137,580	3,029,22	22
Less accumulated depreciation		(606,633)	(561,55	58)
•				
Net real estate assets		2,530,947	2,467,66	64
Real estate and other assets, net, held for sale		46,952	187,77	
Cash and cash equivalents		7,524	1,21	
Restricted cash		2,138	16,22	
Accounts receivable, net		21,759	24,20	
Notes receivable, net		8,125	9,23	
Accrued straight-line rents receivable, net		67,081	60,72	29
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates		61,795	69,24	
Deferred financing and leasing costs, net		65,085	59,37	
Prepaid expenses and other		16,300	13,32	
Total Assets Liabilities, Minority Interest and Stockholders Equity:	\$	2,827,706	\$ 2,908,97	78
Mortgages and notes payable	\$	1,461,105	\$ 1,471,61	16
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	Ψ	141,718	127,45	
Financing obligations		36,098	34,15	
I matering congations		20,070	31,10	
Total Liabilities		1,638,921	1,633,22	25
Minority interest		84,252	94,13	
Stockholders Equity:		,	,	
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized shares; 8 5/8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable				
Preferred Shares (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share), 104,945 shares issued and outstanding at				
September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005		104,945	104,94	45
8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (liquidation preference \$25 per share), 3,700,000				
and 5,700,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively		92,500	142,50	00
Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 authorized shares; 55,635,448 and 54,028,507 shares issued				
and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively		556	54	40
Additional paid-in capital		1,442,759	1,419,68	33
Distributions in excess of net earnings		(534,546)	(479,90	01)
Deferred compensation restricted stock and stock options			(3,93	36)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,681)	(2,21	12)
•				
Total Stockholders Equity		1,104,533	1,181,61	19
Total Liabilities, Minority Interest and Stockholders Equity	\$	2,827,706	\$ 2,908,97	78

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited and in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005		Nine Mon Septem 2006		
Rental and other revenues	\$ 106,29	1 \$	5 100,051	\$ 313,933	\$ 300,970
Operating expenses:					
Rental property and other expenses	40,08		37,005	114,592	105,456
Depreciation and amortization	29,05		27,666	86,565	84,350
Impairment of assets held for use General and administrative	2,60		4,415	2,600	7,587
General and administrative	8,54	-0	7,513	26,298	23,859
Total operating expenses	80,28	88	76,599	230,055	221,252
Interest expense:					
Contractual	23,80	19	24,239	71,855	74,032
Amortization of deferred financing costs	55	7	823	1,883	2,508
Financing obligations	85	60	1,073	3,190	4,118
	25,21	6	26,135	76,928	80,658
Other income/(expense):					
Interest and other income	1,18	9	2,119	4,336	5,453
Loss on debt extinguishment			(323)	(467)	(453)
	1,18	39	1,796	3,869	5,000
Income/(loss) before disposition of property, minority interest and equity in earnings					
of unconsolidated affiliates	1,97	6	(887)	10,819	4,060
Gains on disposition of property	2,97	7	9,693	8,295	11,479
Minority interest	(29		63	(1,272)	398
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	1,34	-2	2,060	5,349	6,964
Income from continuing operations	6,00)3	10,929	23,191	22,901
Discontinued operations:					
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest Net gains and (impairments) on sales of discontinued operations, net of minority interest, including gain from related party transactions of \$4,816 in the nine months ended	31	.1	1,800	1,499	7,693
September 30, 2005	2,59	5	10,142	4,638	24,865
	2,90	16	11,942	6,137	32,558
Net income	8,90	19	22,871	29,328	55,459
Dividends on Preferred Stock	(4,11	3)	(6,699)	(12,950)	(22,125)
Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value			(4,272)	(1,803)	(4,272)
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 4,79	6 \$	5 11,900	\$ 14,575	\$ 29,062
Not in a constant and					
Net income per common share basic: Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.0	M &		¢ 0.16	\$ (0.07)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 0.0		0.22	\$ 0.16 0.11	\$ (0.07) 0.61

Net income	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	54,470	53,768	54,069	53,725
Net income per common share diluted:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.07)
Income from discontinued operations	0.05	0.22	0.11	0.61
Net income	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.54
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	61,457	53,768	60,786	53,725
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.425	\$ 0.425	\$ 1.275	\$ 1.275

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006

(Unaudited and in thousands, except share amounts)

	Number of				Additional			cumulated Other	Distributions In Excess	
	Common Shares	Common Stock	Series A Preferred	Series B Preferred	Paid-In Capital	Deferi Compen-	red Comp	pre- hensive Loss	of Net Earnings	Total
Balance at										
December 31, 2005	54,028,507	\$ 540	\$ 104,945	\$ 142,500	\$ 1,419,683	\$ (3,	936) \$	(2,212)	\$ (479,901)	\$ 1,181,619
Reversal of unvested deferred compensation as a result of the adoption of										
SFAS No. 123(R)					(3,936) 3,	936			
Issuance of Common Stock,										
net	1,464,784	15			30,802					30,817
Conversion of warrants to										
shares	16,407									
Common Stock dividends									(69,220)	(69,220)
Preferred Stock dividends									(12,950)	(12,950)
Adjustment to minority										
interest of unitholders in the										
Operating Partnership					(8,525)				(8,525)
Issuance of restricted stock,										
net	125,750									
Redemption of Preferred Stock				(50,000)	1,803				(1,803)	(50,000)
Amortization of restricted				(30,000)	1,003				(1,003)	(50,000)
stock and stock options		1			2,932					2,933
Other comprehensive		-			_,,,,					2,,555
income								531		531
Net income									29,328	29,328
									,	,
Balance at										
September 30, 2006	55,635,448	\$ 556	\$ 104,945	\$ 92,500	\$ 1,442,759	\$	\$	(1,681)	\$ (534,546)	\$ 1,104,533

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited and in thousands)

	Nine Month Septemb 2006		
Operating activities:	2000	2002	
Net income	\$ 29,328	\$ 55,459	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	87,655	97,336	
Amortization of lease incentives	623	706	
Impairment of assets held for use	2,600	7,587	
Amortization of stock-based compensation	2,933	1,860	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,883	2,508	
Amortization of accumulated other comprehensive loss	531	527	
Loss on debt extinguishments	467	453	
Net (gains) and impairments on disposition of property	(13,340)	(39,039)	
Minority interest	1,828	3,129	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(5,349)	(6,964)	
Change in financing obligations	896	235	
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	5,458	6,431	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(6,338)	(7,965)	
Changes in operating assets and manners	(0,000)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Net cash provided by operating activities	109,175	122,263	
Investing activities:			
	(122 520)	(122, 427)	
Additions to real estate assets and deferred leasing costs	(133,539)	(122,427) 342,946	
Proceeds from disposition of real estate assets Distributions of conital from unconsolidated offiliates	186,894 11,204	1,975	
Distributions of capital from unconsolidated affiliates			
Net repayments in notes receivable	1,107	4,228	
Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates	(100)		
Cash assumed upon consolidation of unconsolidated affiliate	645	100	
Other investing activities	12,478	108	
Net cash provided by investing activities	78,689	226,830	
Financing activities:			
Dividends and distributions paid on Common Stock and Common Units	(75,916)	(76,216)	
Redemption of Preferred Stock	(50,000)	(130,000)	
Dividends paid on Preferred Stock	(12,950)	(22,125)	
Distributions of earnings to minority partner in consolidated affiliate	(420)		
Net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock	28,203	1,645	
Repurchase of Common Units	(15,369)	(10,082)	
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities	498,500	109,000	
Repayments of revolving credit facilities	(392,500)	(121,000)	
Borrowings on mortgages and notes payable		28,281	
Repayments of mortgages and notes payable	(157,247)	(151,793)	
Additions to deferred financing costs and other financing activities	(3,853)	(384)	
Payments on debt extinguishments		(255)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(181,552)	(372,929)	
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	6,312	(23,836)	

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	1,212	24,482
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 7,524	\$ 646
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized (excludes cash distributions to owners of sold properties		
accounted for as financings of \$1,283 and \$2,862 for 2006 and 2005, respectively)	\$ 69,810	\$ 73,174

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Continued

(Unaudited and in thousands)

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

The following table summarizes the net asset acquisitions and dispositions subject to mortgage notes payable and other non-cash transactions:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005	
Assets:	2000	2003
Net real estate assets	\$ 44,512	\$ (23,985)
Restricted cash	(1,865)	
Accounts receivable	102	10
Accrued straight-line rents receivable	962	(434)
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(1,938)	1,553
Deferred financing and leasing costs, net	287	(61)
Prepaid and other		(268)
	\$ 42,060	\$ (23,185)
Liabilities:		
Mortgages and notes payable	40,736	4,019
Accounts payable accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,652)	9,777
Financing obligation	1,048	(30,218)
	\$40,132	\$ (16,422)
Minority Interest and Stockholders Equity	\$ 1,928	\$ (6,763)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2006

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Highwoods Properties, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the Company), is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust (REIT) that operates in the southeastern and midwestern United States. As of September 30, 2006, the Company s wholly owned assets included: 344 in-service office, industrial and retail properties; 96 apartment units; 798 acres of undeveloped land suitable for future development, of which 394 acres are considered core holdings; and an additional 15 properties under development.

The Company conducts substantially all of its activities through, and substantially all of its interests in the properties are held directly or indirectly by, Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership (the Operating Partnership). The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At September 30, 2006, the Company owned all of the preferred partnership interests (Preferred Units) and 91.7% of the common partnership interests (Common Units) in the Operating Partnership. Limited partners (including certain officers and directors of the Company) own the remaining Common Units. Each Common Unit is redeemable for the cash value of one share of the Company is common stock, \$.01 par value (the Common Stock), or, at the Company is option, one share of Common Stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company redeemed 436,038 Common Units from limited partners for approximately \$15.4 million in cash. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as required by the terms of the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, the Operating Partnership issued approximately 1.5 million additional Common Units to the Company simultaneously upon the Company is issuance of a like number of shares of Common Stock in connection with restricted stock awards, purchases under the Company is employee stock purchase plan and the exercise of stock options and warrants. As a result of the foregoing, the percentage of Common Units owned by the Company increased to 91.7% at September 30, 2006 from 90.8% at December 31, 2005. Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership were issued to the Company in connection with the Company is preferred stock offerings in 1997 and 1998 (the Preferred Stock). The net proceeds raised from each of the Preferred Stock issuances were contributed by the Company to the Operating Partnership in exchange for the Preferred Units. The terms of each series of Preferred Units generally parallel the terms of the respective Preferred Stock as to dividends, liquidation and redemp

Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). As more fully described in Note 10, as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (SFAS No. 144), the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2005 and the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 were revised from previously reported amounts to reflect in real estate and other assets held for sale and in discontinued operations the assets and operations for those properties sold or held for sale in the first nine months of 2006 which qualified for discontinued operations.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the Operating Partnership, wholly owned subsidiaries and those subsidiaries in which the Company owns a majority voting interest with the ability to control operations of the subsidiaries and where no substantive participating rights or substantive kick out rights have been granted to the minority interest holders. In accordance with EITF Issue No. 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights, the Company consolidates partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies when the Company controls the major operating and financial policies of the entity through majority ownership or in its capacity as general partner or managing member. In addition, the Company consolidates those entities, if any, where the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity (as defined by FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46(R))). All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

The Company has elected and expects to continue to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal or state income taxes on its net income that it distributes to stockholders. Continued qualification as a REIT depends on the Company is ability to satisfy the dividend distribution tests, stock ownership requirements and various other qualification tests prescribed in the Code. The Company conducts certain business activities through a taxable REIT subsidiary, as permitted under the Code. The taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal and state income taxes on its net taxable income and the Company records provisions for such taxes, to the extent required, based on its income recognized for financial statement purposes, including the effects of temporary differences between such income and the amount recognized for tax purposes. Through September 30, 2006, the taxable REIT subsidiary has not paid income taxes and has cumulative net taxable losses of approximately \$3.1 million. Because the future tax benefit of the cumulative losses is not assured, the approximate \$1.2 million related deferred tax asset has been fully reserved as management does not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be recognized and no tax benefit has been recognized in the accompanying financial statements. The tax benefit of the cumulative losses could be recognized for financial reporting purposes in future periods if the taxable REIT subsidiary generates sufficient taxable income.

The accompanying unaudited financial information, in the opinion of management, contains all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Company has condensed or omitted certain notes and other information from the interim financial statements presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Minority Interest

Minority interest in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements relates primarily to the Common Units in the Operating Partnership and, beginning January 1, 2006 as described below, to the 50.0% interest in a consolidated affiliate, Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC (Markel), that are owned by various individuals and entities other than the Company. As of September 30, 2006, the minority interest in the Operating Partnership consisted of 5.0 million Common Units. Minority interest in the net income/(loss) of the Operating Partnership is computed by applying the weighted average percentage of Common Units not owned by the Company during the period (as a percent of the total number of outstanding Common Units) to the Operating Partnership s net income/(loss) after deducting distributions on Preferred Units. The result is the amount of minority interest expense or income recorded for the period. In addition, when a Common Unitholder redeems a Common Unit for a share of Common Stock or cash, the minority interest is reduced and the Company s share in the Operating Partnership is increased. At the end of each reporting period, the Company determines the amount that represents the minority unitholders—share of the net assets (at book value) of the Operating Partnership and compares this amount to the minority interest balance that resulted from transactions during the period involving minority interest. The Company adjusts the minority interest liability to the computed share of net assets with an offsetting adjustment to the Company s paid-in capital.

In addition, minority interest at September 30, 2006 in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet includes \$2.3 million related to the consolidation of Markel as a result of the Company s adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-5, as described below in Impact of Newly Adopted and Issued Financial Standards.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Minority interest in net income is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		0, September		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Amount shown as minority interest in continuing operations	\$ (292)	\$ 63	\$ (1,272)	\$ 398	
Amount related to loss/(income) from discontinued operations	(30)	(186)	(149)	(832)	
Amount related to gain on sale of discontinued operations	(243)	(1,051)	(407)	(2,695)	
Total minority interest in net income	\$ (565)	\$ (1,174)	\$ (1,828)	\$ (3,129)	

Impact of Newly Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS No. 154). The Statement replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes (APB Opinion No. 20) and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. APB Opinion No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This Statement requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. The Statement is effective for any accounting changes and corrections of errors made on or after January 1, 2006.

In July 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) SOP 78-9-1, Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-5. The EITF states that a general partner is presumed to control a limited partnership and should consolidate the limited partnership unless the limited partners possess substantive kick-out rights or the limited partners possess substantive participating rights. This FSP eliminates the concept of important rights of SOP 78-9 and replaces it with the concepts of kick-out rights and substantive participating rights as defined in Issue 04-5. This FSP is effective after June 29, 2005 for general partners of all new partnerships formed and for existing partnerships for which the partnership agreements are modified. For general partners in all other partnerships, the guidance in this FSP is effective no later than January 1, 2006. The Company consolidated one of its existing joint ventures, Markel, upon the adoption of this FSP in January 2006; the Company treated this as a prospective change of accounting principle as permitted by EITF No. 04-5. This change resulted in the inclusion on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 1, 2006 of approximately \$44 million of real estate assets, net of accumulated depreciation, and other assets, and approximately \$39.3 million in mortgages and notes payable and other liabilities, with the remaining effects to investments in unconsolidated affiliates and to minority interest.

The organizational documents of Markel require the entity to be liquidated through the sale of its assets upon reaching December 31, 2100. As controlling partner, the Company has an obligation to cause this property-owning entity to distribute proceeds of liquidation to the minority interest partner in these partially owned properties only if the net proceeds received by the entity from the sale of its assets warrant a distribution as determined by the agreement. In accordance with the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity (SFAS No. 150), the Company estimates the value of minority interest distributions would have been approximately \$12 million had the entity been liquidated as of September 30, 2006. This estimated settlement value is based on assumed third party consideration realizable by the entity upon a hypothetical disposition of the properties and is net of all other assets and liabilities. The amount of any actual distributions to the minority interest holder in this entity is difficult to predict due to many factors, including the inherent uncertainty of real estate sales. If the entity s underlying assets are worth less than the underlying liabilities on the date of such liquidation, the Company would have no obligation to remit any consideration to the minority interest holder.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in an income tax return. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the effect of FIN 48 on the Company s financial condition and results of operations upon adoption on January 1, 2007.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 becomes effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 157 may have on its financial condition and results of operations.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB No. 108), which addresses how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements. SAB No. 108 requires companies to quantify misstatements using both the balance sheet and income statement approaches and to evaluate whether either approach is material. If, in evaluating misstatements following an approach not previously used by the Company, the effect of initial adoption is determined to be material, SAB No. 108 allows companies to record that effect as a cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. The requirements of this guidance are effective for the Company for the annual financial statements as of December 31, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating what impact, if any, SAB No. 108 may have on its financial statements.

Employee Benefit Plans and Stock-Based Compensation

The Company s officers generally receive annual grants of stock options and restricted stock on March 1 of each year under the Amended and Restated 1994 Stock Option Plan (the Stock Option Plan). Stock options have also been granted to the Company s directors; currently, directors do not receive annual stock option grants. Restricted stock grants are also made annually to directors and certain non-officer employees. As of September 30, 2006, 9.0 million shares of Common Stock were authorized for issuance under the Stock Option Plan. Stock options issued prior to 2005 vest ratably over four years and remain outstanding for 10 years. Stock options issued in 2005 and 2006 continue to vest ratably over a four-year period, but remain outstanding for seven years. The value of all options as of the date of grant is calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The Company elected to follow Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its stock options issued through December 31, 2002. During 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, which provided methods of transition to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. This standard was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2002. The Company elected the prospective method as defined by SFAS No. 148 for options issued on or after January 1, 2003. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which revised SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123(R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements and forfeitures to be estimated at the grant date rather than as they occur. The Company based its estimated forfeiture rate on historical forfeitures of all stock option grants. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Using the Black-Scholes options valuation model, the weighted average fair values of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 were \$4.00 and \$1.89, respectively, per option. The fair values of the options granted in 2006 and 2005 were estimated at the grant dates using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Nine Month Septemb	
	2006	2005
Risk free interest rate (1)	4.63%	4.19%
Common stock dividend yield (2)	5.20%	6.45%
Expected volatility (3)	18.90%	16.30%
Average expected option life (years)	4.75(4)	7.0
Options granted	243,610	652,325

- (1) Represents interest rate on US treasury bonds having the same life as the estimated life of the Company s options.
- (2) The dividend yield is calculated utilizing the dividends paid for the previous one-year period and the Company s stock price on the date of grant.
- (3) Based on historical volatility of the Company s stock over a period relevant to the related stock option grant.
- (4) The average expected option life for the 2006 grant is based on an analysis of historical company data and is based on the contractual term for the 2005 grant.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income available to common stockholders and earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 if a fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003:

	Three M	Months Ended	Nine Mo	onths Ended
	Sept	tember 30, 2005		ember 30, 2005
Net income available for common stockholders - as reported	\$	11,900	\$	29,062
Add: Stock option expense included in reported net income		134(1)		350(1)
Deduct: Total stock option expense determined under fair value recognition				
method for all awards		(186)(1)		(541)(1)
Pro forma net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	11,848	\$	28,871
Basic net income per common share - as reported	\$	0.22	\$	0.54
Basic net income per common share - pro forma	\$	0.22	\$	0.54
Diluted net income per common share - as reported	\$	0.22	\$	0.54
Diluted net income per common share - pro forma	\$	0.22	\$	0.54

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include the effect of dividend equivalent rights.

Dividends on unvested shares of restricted stock are accounted for as compensation expense.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. Investments in Unconsolidated and Other Affiliates

The Company has various joint ventures with unrelated investors and has retained minority equity interests ranging from 12.5% to 50.0% in these joint ventures. The Company generally accounts for its unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. As a result, the assets and liabilities of these joint ventures for which the Company uses the equity method of accounting are not included on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

During the third quarter of 2006, three of the Company s joint ventures made distributions aggregating \$17.0 million as a result of a refinancing of debt related to various properties held by the joint ventures. The Company received 50.0% of such distribution. As a result of these distributions, the Company s investment account in these joint ventures became negative. The new debt is non-recourse; however, the Company and its partner have guaranteed other debt and have contractual obligations to support the joint ventures, which are included in the Guarantees and Other Obligations table in Note 12. Therefore, in accordance with SOP 78-9 Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures, the Company recorded the distributions as a reduction of the investment account and included the resulting negative investment balances of \$6.3 million in Accounts Payable, Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2006.

The Company currently has three consolidated joint ventures. SF-HIW Harborview, LP is accounted for as a financing arrangement pursuant to SFAS No. 66, as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K; The Vinings at University Center, LLC is consolidated pursuant to FIN 46(R) as described further below; and Markel is consolidated beginning January 1, 2006 pursuant to EITF 04-5, as discussed above.

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates as of September 30, 2006 and combined summarized income statements for the Company s unconsolidated joint ventures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

Joint Venture	Location of Properties	Total Rentable Square Feet (000)	Ownership Interest
Board of Trade Investment Company	Kansas City, MO	166	49.0%
Dallas County Partners I, LP	Des Moines, IA	641	50.0%
Dallas County Partners II, LP	Des Moines, IA	272	50.0%
Dallas County Partners III, LP	Des Moines, IA	7	50.0%
Fountain Three	Des Moines, IA	785	50.0%
RRHWoods, LLC	Des Moines, IA	800(1)	50.0%
Kessinger/Hunter, LLC	Kansas City, MO	(2)	26.5%
4600 Madison Associates, LLC	Kansas City, MO	262	12.5%
Plaza Colonnade, LLC	Kansas City, MO	293	50.0%
Highwoods DLF 98/29, LP	Atlanta, GA; Charlotte, NC;		
	Greensboro, NC; Raleigh, NC;		
	Orlando, FL; Baltimore, MD	1,199	22.8%
Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP	Atlanta, GA; Greensboro, NC;		
	Orlando, FL	822	42.9%
Highwoods KC Glenridge Office, LP	Atlanta, GA	185	40.0%
Highwoods KC Glenridge Land, LP	Atlanta, GA		40.0%
HIW-KC Orlando LLC	Orlando, FL	1,273	40.0%
Concourse Center Associates, LLC	Greensboro, NC	118	50.0%
Weston Lakeside, LLC	Raleigh, NC	(3)	50.0%
Total		6,823(4)	

- (1) Includes a 75,000 square foot office building and a 31,000 square foot office building currently under development.
- (2) This joint venture provides property management, leasing and brokerage services and provides certain construction related services to certain Wholly Owned Properties of the Company; therefore, no rentable square feet is provided.
- (3) This joint venture is constructing approximately 332 rental residential units on 22.4 acres of land.
- (4) Total does not include properties held by consolidated joint ventures totaling 618,000 square feet.

13

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. Investments in Unconsolidated and Other Affiliates - Continued

	For	the Three Septem 2006	ıber		Fo	or the Nine I Septen 2006	ber	
Income Statements:								
Revenues	\$	35,960	\$	35,101	\$	103,762	\$	104,147
Expenses:								
Operating expenses		15,920		14,712		44,591		42,788
Depreciation and amortization		7,338		7,450		21,328		22,039
Interest expense and loan cost amortization		8,369		8,752		25,094		25,830
Loss on debt extinguishment		1,448				1,448		
Total expenses		33,075		30,914		92,461		90,657
Net income	\$	2,885	\$	4,187	\$	11,301	\$	13,490
The Company s share of:								
Net income (2)	\$	1,342	\$	2,060	\$	5,349	\$	6,964
Depreciation and amortization (real estate related)	\$	2,790	\$	2,771	\$	8,143	\$	8,039
Interest expense and loan cost amortization	\$	3,507	\$	3,685	\$	10,509	\$	10,834
Loss on debt extinguishment	\$	724	\$		\$	724	\$	

⁽¹⁾ Amounts for 2005 include Markel, which has been consolidated beginning January 1, 2006, as described in Note 1.

For additional information regarding the Company s investments in unconsolidated and other affiliates, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

For information regarding sale transactions that were accounted for as financing arrangements under paragraphs 25 through 29 of SFAS No. 66, see Note 5 herein and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

⁽²⁾ The Company s share of net income differs from its weighted average ownership percentage in the joint ventures net income due to the Company s purchase accounting and other related adjustments.

On December 22, 2004, the Company and Easlan Investment Group, Inc. (Easlan) formed The Vinings at University Center, LLC. The Company contributed 7.8 acres of land at an agreed upon value of \$1.6 million to the joint venture in December 2004 in return for a 50% equity interest and Easlan contributed \$1.1 million, in the form of non-interest bearing promissory notes, for a 50% equity interest in the entity. At September 30, 2006, the Company has consolidated this joint venture under the provisions of FIN 46(R) because Easlan has no at-risk equity and the Company absorbs the majority of the joint venture s expected losses. Accordingly, the Company s balance sheet at September 30, 2006 includes \$11.0 million of building and land and a \$9.7 million construction note payable. On November 1, 2006, the joint venture sold the buildings and land to a third party for gross proceeds of \$14.3 million, paid off the construction note payable and made cash distributions to the partners. The Company received a distribution of \$2.9 million.

4. Asset Dispositions

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company s dispositions consisted of the following:

	For	s Ended	
	March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2006
Operating properties (square feet in thousands)	1,999		292
Land held for development (acres)	60.6	6.6	11.5
Gross sale proceeds on operating properties	\$ 153,900	\$	\$ 22,787
Gross sale proceeds on development land	\$ 5,490	\$ 1,600	\$ 4,200

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

4. Asset Dispositions - Continued

In January 2006, the Company sold office and industrial properties in Atlanta, Georgia, Columbia, South Carolina and Tampa, Florida in a single transaction for gross proceeds of approximately \$141 million. This transaction was classified as held for sale and an impairment loss of \$7.7 million was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005. The properties subject to this sale were classified as discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of 2005.

In March 2006, the Company sold an office property in Raleigh, North Carolina for gross proceeds of approximately \$1.9 million. A gain of approximately \$1.4 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2006. This property was classified as discontinued operations in the first quarter of 2006.

In August 2006, the Company sold five office properties in Raleigh, North Carolina for gross proceeds of approximately \$22.8 million. A gain of approximately \$2.8 million was recorded in the third quarter of 2006. This property was classified as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2006.

In October 2006, the Company sold 94.6 acres of land in Atlanta, Georgia for gross proceeds of approximately \$22.5 million. A gain of approximately \$7.4 million will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2006. The Company also sold a retail property aggregating 105,325 rentable square feet in Kansas City, Missouri for gross proceeds of approximately \$10.5 million. A gain of approximately \$1.5 million will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2006.

Gains, losses and impairments on disposition of properties, net, from dispositions not classified as discontinued operations, consisted of the following:

		nths Ended aber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Gains on disposition of land	\$ 2,103	\$ 4,799	\$ 5,143	\$ 5,990	
Impairments on land		(59)	(74)	(269)	
Gains on disposition of depreciable properties	874	4,953	3,226	5,758	
Total	\$ 2,977	\$ 9,693	\$ 8,295	\$ 11,479	

The above gains on land and depreciable properties include deferred gain recognition from prior sales and adjustments to prior sale transactions.

Net gains on sale and impairments of discontinued operations, net of minority interest, consisted of the following:

		nths Ended aber 30,		nths Ended aber 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Gains on disposition of depreciable properties	\$ 2,838	\$ 11,193	\$ 5,045	\$ 28,257
Impairments on disposition of depreciable properties				(697)
Allocable minority interest	(243)	(1,051)	(407)	(2,695)
Total	\$ 2,595	\$ 10,142	\$ 4,638	\$ 24,865

See Note 10 for information on discontinued operations and impairment of long-lived assets.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

5. MORTGAGES, NOTES PAYABLE AND FINANCING OBLIGATIONS

The Company s consolidated mortgages and notes payable consisted of the following at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Se	ptember 30, 2006	De	ecember 31, 2005
Secured mortgage loans	\$	704,605(1)	\$	721,116
Unsecured loans		756,500		750,500
Total	\$	1,461,105	\$	1,471,616

⁽¹⁾ Amount includes \$38.5 million from the consolidation of Markel, as described in Note 1. As of September 30, 2006, the Company s outstanding mortgages and notes payable were secured by real estate assets with an aggregate undepreciated book value of approximately \$1.2 billion.

Refinancings and Preferred Stock Redemptions in 2005 and 2006

During 2005 and through the third quarter of 2006, the Company paid off \$196.2 million of outstanding loans, excluding any normal debt amortization and the refinancings of the credit facility and bank term loans, which included \$176.2 million of secured debt with a weighted average interest rate of 6.9% and \$20 million of unsecured floating rate debt with an interest rate of 4.9%. Included in the \$176.2 million was \$89.8 million of floating rate secured debt. The Company incurred a \$0.5 million loss on debt extinguishments in 2005 in connection with these loan pay-downs. Approximately \$350 million of real estate assets (based on undepreciated cost basis) became unencumbered after paying off the secured debt. The Company also used some of the proceeds from its disposition activity to redeem, in August 2005 and February 2006, all of the Company s outstanding Series D Preferred Shares and 3,200,000 of its outstanding Series B Preferred Shares, aggregating \$180.0 million plus accrued dividends. These reductions in outstanding debt and Preferred Stock balances were funded primarily from proceeds from property dispositions that closed in 2005 and 2006. In connection with the redemption of Preferred Stock, the excess of the redemption cost over the net carrying amount of the redeemed shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common stockholders. These reductions amounted to \$4.3 million and \$1.8 million for the third quarter of 2005 and first quarter of 2006, respectively.

On May 1, 2006, the Company obtained a new \$350 million, three-year unsecured revolving credit facility from Bank of America, N.A. The Company used \$273 million of proceeds from the new revolving credit facility, together with available cash, to pay off the remaining outstanding balance of \$178 million under its previous revolving credit facility and a \$100 million bank term loan, both of which were terminated. Loss on debt extinguishments of approximately \$0.5 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2006.

On August 8, 2006, the Company s revolving credit facility was amended and restated as part of a syndication with a group of 15 banks. The revolving credit facility was also upsized from \$350 million to \$450 million. The Company s revolving credit facility is initially scheduled to mature on May 1, 2009. Assuming no default exists, the Company has an option to extend the maturity date by one additional year and, at any time prior to May 1, 2008, may request increases in the borrowing availability under the credit facility by up to an additional \$50 million. The interest rate is LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the annual base facility fee is 20 basis points. The revolving credit facility has up to \$167.7 million of additional availability as of October 19, 2006.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

5. Mortgages, Notes Payable and Financing Obligations - Continued

As of the date of this filing, the Operating Partnership has not yet satisfied the requirement under the indenture governing its outstanding notes to file timely SEC reports, but expects to do so as soon as practicable. Under the indenture, the notes may be accelerated if the trustee or 25% of the holders provide written notice of a default and such default remains uncured after 60 days. If the Operating Partnership failed to file its delinquent SEC reports prior to expiration of the 60-day cure period after receipt of any such default notice, the lender under the Company s revolving credit facility would also have the ability to accelerate amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility. To date, neither the trustee nor any holder has sent the Company any such default notice. The Operating Partnership is in compliance with all other covenants under the indenture and is current on all payments required thereunder.

Financing Obligations

The Company s financing obligations consisted of the following at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Sept	tember 30, 2006	Dec	ember 31, 2005
SF-HIW Harborview, LP financing obligation	\$	15,870	\$	14,983
Tax increment financing obligation (1)		19,171		19,171
Capitalized ground lease obligation (2)		1,057		
Total	\$	36,098	\$	34,154

⁽¹⁾ In connection with tax increment financing for construction of a public garage related to an office building constructed by the Company in 2000, the Company is obligated to pay fixed special assessments over a 20-year period. The net present value of these assessments, discounted at 6.9% at the inception of the obligation, is shown as a financing obligation in the balance sheet. The Company also receives special tax revenues and property tax rebates, which are intended, but not guaranteed, to provide funds to pay the special assessments.

⁽²⁾ Represents a capitalized lease obligation to the lessor of land on which the Company is constructing a new building. The Company is obligated to make fixed payments to the lessor through October 2022 and the lease provides for fixed price purchase options in the ninth and tenth years of the lease. The Company intends to exercise the purchase option in order to prevent an economic penalty related to conveying the building to the lessor at the expiration of the lease. The net present value of the fixed rental payments and purchase option through the ninth year was calculated using a discount rate of 7.1%. The assets and liabilities under the capital lease are recorded at the lower of the present value of minimum lease payments or the fair value. The fair value of the land is included in financing obligations on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The liability accretes each month for the difference between the interest rate on the financing obligation and the fixed payments. The accretion will continue until the liability equals the purchase option of the land in the ninth year of the lease.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Options

The following table summarizes information about stock option activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

	Options	Options Outstanding		
	Number of	Weight	ted Average	
	Shares	Exer	cise Price	
Balances at December 31, 2005	5,153,648	\$	24.23	
Options granted	243,610		32.40	
Options forfeited	(18,262)		27.16	
Options cancelled	(32,057)		25.73	
Options exercised	(1,736,780)		23.83	
Balances at September 30, 2006	3,610,159	\$	24.95	

Cash received or receivable at September 30, 2006 from options exercised was \$29.1 million and \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$22.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options outstanding at September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$44.3 million and \$27.7 million, respectively.

The Company generally does not permit the net cash settlement of exercised stock options, but does permit net share settlement for certain qualified exercises.

The portion of stock option expense recorded at September 30, 2006 related to unvested awards granted prior to January 1, 2003 was immaterial.

The following tables set forth additional information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2006.

	Stock C	Stock Options Outstanding Stock C Weighted			ck Options Exercisable		
Exercise Price of Stock Options	Number Outstanding (in 000s)	Weighted Average Remaining Life (years)	Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable (in 000s)	Weighted Average Remaining Life (years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$10.00 to \$15.00	57	3.4	\$ 11.63	57	3.4	\$ 11.63	
\$15.01 to \$20.00	35	3.4	\$ 18.69	35	3.4	\$ 18.69	
\$20.01 to \$25.00	1,507	4.2	\$ 22.41	1,390	4.2	\$ 22.52	
\$25.01 to \$30.00	1,725	5.9	\$ 26.47	1,001	6.0	\$ 26.62	
\$30.01 to \$35.00	285	5.5	\$ 32.60	52	5.6	\$ 33.60	
\$35.01 to \$40.00	1	6.9	\$ 37.60			\$	

Stock Options Exercisable

	Number	Weighted	Aggregate
	of	Average	Intrinsic
	Shares	Exercise	Value
		Price	(in 000s)
September 30, 2005	3,738,710	\$ 26.06	\$ 22,081
September 30, 2006	2,534,621	\$ 24.05	\$ 33,345

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION - Continued

Restricted Stock Grants

The Company generally makes annual grants of time-based restricted stock under its Stock Option Plan to its directors, officers and other employees. Restricted stock issued prior to 2005 generally vests 50.0% three years from the date of grant and the remaining 50.0% five years from date of grant. Shares of time-based restricted stock that were issued in 2005 will vest one-third on the third anniversary, one-third on the fourth anniversary and one-third on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant. Shares of time-based restricted stock that were issued in 2006 will vest 25% on the first, second, third and fourth anniversary dates, respectively. Shares of time-based restricted stock issued to directors generally vest 25% at the end of the first, second, third and fourth anniversary dates, respectively. The value of grants of time-based restricted stock is based on the market value of Common Stock as of the date of grant.

During 2005 and 2006, the Company also issued shares of restricted stock to officers under its Stock Option Plan that will vest if the Company s total shareholder return exceeds the average total returns of a selected group of peer companies over a three-year period. If the Company s total shareholder return does not exceed such average total returns, none of the total return-based restricted stock will vest. The 2006 grants also contain a provision allowing for partial vesting if the annual return in any given year exceeds 9%. The fair values of each such share of total return-based restricted stock were determined by an outside consultant to be approximately 76% and 87% of the market value of a share of Common Stock as of the grant dates for the 2005 and 2006 grants, respectively. The total grant date fair value of these shares of total-return based restricted stock is being amortized to expense on a straight-line method over the three-year period.

During 2005 and 2006, the Company also issued shares of performance-based restricted stock to officers under its Stock Option Plan that will vest pursuant to company-wide performance-based criteria. The performance-based criteria are based on whether or not the Company meets or exceeds four operating and financial goals established under its Strategic Management Plan by the end of 2007 and 2008, respectively. To the extent actual performance equals or exceeds threshold performance goals, the portion of shares of performance-based restricted stock that vest can range from 50% to 100%. If actual performance does not meet such threshold goals, none of the performance-based restricted stock will vest. The fair value of performance-based restricted share grants is based on the market value of Common Stock as of the date of grant and the estimated performance to be achieved at the end of the three-year period. Such fair value is being amortized to expense during the period from grant date to December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively, adjusting for the expected level of vesting that will occur at those dates.

Up to 100% of additional total return-based restricted stock and up to 50% of additional performance-based restricted stock may be issued at the end of the three-year periods if actual performance exceeds certain levels of performance. Such additional shares, if any, would be fully vested when issued. The Company will also accrue and record expense for additional performance-based shares during the three-year period to the extent issuance of the additional shares is expected based on current and projected actual performance. In accordance with GAAP, no expense is recorded for additional shares of total return-based restricted stock that may be issued at the end of the three-year period since that possibility is already reflected in the grant date fair value.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION - Continued

The following table summarizes activity in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 for all time-based restricted stock grants:

September 30, 2006 Weighted Average Number Issuance of Shares Price Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2005 268,409(1) \$ 24.79 Number of restricted shares awarded and issued 72,906 32.50 Restricted shares vested (2) (42,927)24.15 Restricted shares forfeited (15,945)25.50 Restricted shares surrendered for payment of withholding taxes upon vesting (23,275)23.77 Restricted shares outstanding at September 30, 2006 259,168 \$ 27.11

⁽²⁾ The total fair value of restricted shares that vested during each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.9 million. The following table summarizes activity in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 for all performance-based and total return-based restricted stock grants:

	- 1	nths Ended er 30, 2006 Weighted Average
	Number of Shares	Issuance Price
Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2005	62,576	\$ 26.82
Number of restricted shares awarded and issued	52,938	30.62
Restricted shares vested		
Restricted shares forfeited	(4,546)	28.19
Restricted shares surrendered for payment of withholding taxes upon vesting		
Restricted shares outstanding at September 30, 2006	110,968	\$ 28.58

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized approximately \$2.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, there was \$7.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, of total unrecognized stock-based compensation costs, which will be recognized over a weighted average remaining contractual term of 2.5

Nine Months Ended

⁽¹⁾ Amount includes 20,396 shares granted during the blackout period in 2005. These shares were issued in 2006 and are included in the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity at September 30, 2006.

years and 2.9 years, respectively.

Retirement Plan

Effective for 2006, the Company adopted a retirement plan applicable to all employees, including executive officers, who, at the time of retirement, have at least 30 years of continuous qualified service or are at least 55 years old and have at least 10 years of continuous qualified service. Subject to advance retirement notice and execution of a non-compete agreement with the Company, eligible retirees would be entitled to receive a pro rata amount of the annual bonus earned during the year of retirement. Stock options and time-based restricted stock granted to such eligible retiree during his or her employment would be non-forfeitable and become exercisable according to the terms of their original grants. Eligible retirees would also be entitled to receive a pro rata amount of any performance-based and total return-based restricted stock originally granted to such eligible retiree during his or her employment that subsequently vests after the retirement date according to the terms of their original grants. The benefits of this retirement plan apply only to restricted stock and stock option grants beginning in 2006 and will be phased in 25% on March 1, 2006 and 25% on each anniversary thereof. For employees eligible for these benefits as of the date of grant after March 1, 2006, 25% of their grants were fully expensed at the grant date, which increased compensation expense by approximately \$0.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Grants made prior to 2006 are unaffected.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION - Continued

Deferred Compensation

The Company has a deferred compensation plan pursuant to which each executive officer and director can elect to defer a portion of base salary and/or annual bonus (or director fees) for investment in various unrelated mutual funds. Prior to January 1, 2006, executive officers and directors also could elect to defer cash compensation for investment in units of phantom stock. At the end of each calendar quarter, any executive officer and director who deferred compensation into phantom stock was credited with units of phantom stock at a 15.0% discount. Dividends on the phantom units are assumed to be issued in additional units of phantom stock at a 15.0% discount. If an officer that deferred compensation under this plan leaves the Company s employ voluntarily or for cause within two years after the end of the year in which such officer deferred compensation for units of phantom stock, at a minimum, the 15.0% discount and any deemed dividends are forfeited. Over the two-year vesting period, the Company records additional compensation expense equal to the 15.0% discount, the accrued dividends and any changes in the market value of Common Stock from the date of the deferral, which aggregated \$(0.05) million and \$0.07 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$1.2 million and \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 million, respectively. Cash payments from the plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 million and \$0.02 million, respectively.

7. Related Party Transactions

As more fully described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company purchased land in 2005 from GAPI, Inc., an entity owned by a current director, and also sold certain buildings in 2005 to a director who subsequently retired from the Board of Directors on December 31, 2005.

8. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL) at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was \$1.7 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, and consisted of deferred gains and losses from past cash flow hedging instruments which are being recognized as interest expense over the terms of the related debt (see Note 9). The Company expects that the portion of the cumulative loss recorded in AOCL at September 30, 2006 associated with these derivative instruments, which will be recognized as interest expense within the next 12 months, will be approximately \$0.7 million.

The land purchase agreement with GAPI, Inc. described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K included an embedded derivative feature due to the price for the land parcels being determined by the fair value of Common Units, which was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133.

9. Other Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income represents net income plus the changes in certain amounts deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) related to hedging activities not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

	Three Mont Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 8,909	\$ 22,871	\$ 29,328	\$ 55,459
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized derivative gains/(losses) on cash flow hedges				(101)

Amortization of hedging gains and losses included in other comprehensive income	177	176	531	527
Total other comprehensive income	177	176	531	426
Total comprehensive income	\$ 9,086	\$ 23,047	\$ 29,859	\$ 55,885

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

As part of its business strategy, the Company will from time to time selectively dispose of non-core properties and use the net proceeds for investments or other purposes. The table below sets forth the net operating results and net carrying value of those assets classified as discontinued operations. The assets classified as discontinued operations comprise 6.9 million square feet of office and industrial properties and 46 apartment units sold during 2005 and the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 0.2 million square feet of property and 156 apartment units held for sale at September 30, 2006. These long-lived assets relate to disposal activities that were initiated subsequent to the effective date of SFAS No. 144, or that met certain stipulations prescribed by SFAS No. 144. The operations of these assets have been reclassified from the ongoing operations of the Company to discontinued operations, and the Company does not or will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations after the disposal transactions:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2006 2005		September 30, 2006 2005	
Rental and other revenues	\$ 1,558	\$ 8,717	\$ 5,227	\$ 40,375
Operating expenses:				
Rental property and other expenses	647	3,962	1,950	17,078
Depreciation and amortization	221	2,276	1,090	12,986
General and administrative	75	298	75	849
Total operating expenses	943	6,536	3,115	30,913
Interest expense	277	251	482	1,072
Other income	3	56	18	135
Income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and net gains on sale and				
impairment of discontinuedoperations	341	1,986	1,648	8,525
Minority interest in discontinued operations	(30)	(186)	(149)	(832)
·				
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest in the Operating				
Partnership	311	1,800	1,499	7,693
•				
Net gains on sale and impairment of discontinued operations	2,838	11,193	5,045	27,560
Minority interest in discontinued operations	(243)	(1,051)	(407)	(2,695)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-)	())	()	())
Net gains on sale and impairment of discontinuedoperations, net of minority interest in the				
Operating Partnership	2,595	10,142	4,638	24,865
Operating Latinership	4,373	10,142	4,030	24,003
Total discontinual amountinua	¢ 2.006	¢ 11 042	¢ (127	¢ 22 550
Total discontinued operations	\$ 2,906	\$ 11,942	\$ 6,137	\$ 32,558

The net book value of property classified as discontinued operations that was sold during 2005 and the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and was held for sale at September 30, 2006 aggregated \$488.4 million.

Certain other assets were sold during 2005 that did not meet the criteria of SFAS No. 144 to be classified as discontinued operations due to the magnitude of the Company s ongoing management and/or leasing services on behalf of the new owners.

SFAS No. 144 also requires that a long-lived asset classified as held for sale be measured at the lower of the carrying value or fair value less cost to sell. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, there were no properties held for sale which had a carrying value that was greater than fair value less cost to sell; therefore, no impairment loss was recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded impairment losses of \$0.7 million related to three properties sold and \$3.2 million related to two land parcels sold.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS - Continued

SFAS No. 144 also requires that if indicators of impairment exist, the carrying value of a long-lived asset classified as held for use be compared to the sum of its estimated undiscounted future cash flows. If the carrying value is greater than the sum of its undiscounted future cash flows, an impairment loss should be recognized for the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. In each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, a property had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows. Therefore, impairment losses of \$2.6 million and \$4.4 million were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table includes the major classes of assets and liabilities of the properties classified as held for sale as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Sept	September 30, 2006		cember 31, 2005
Land	\$	4,520	\$	28,301
Land held for development		22,706		27,526
Buildings and tenant improvements		22,875		154,411
Development in process				9,266
Accumulated depreciation		(3,572)		(36,244)
Net real estate assets		46,529		183,260
Deferred leasing costs, net		99		2,188
Accrued straight line rents receivable		249		2,294
Prepaid expenses and other		75		28
Total assets	\$	46,952	\$	187,770
		,		,
Tenant security deposits, deferred rents and accrued costs (1)	\$	1,084	\$	1,082
Totality deposits, deterred terms and decreased 500th (1)	Ψ	1,501	Ψ	1,002
Mortgages payable (2)	\$	14,656	\$	14,794

⁽¹⁾ Included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

11. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		ths Ended ber 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Basic income/(loss) per share:					
Numerator:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 6,003	\$ 10,929	\$ 23,191	\$ 22,901	
Preferred Stock dividends	(4,113)	(6,699)	(12,950)	(22,125)	

⁽²⁾ Included in mortgages and notes payable.

Excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value		(4,272)	(1,803)	(4,272)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	1,890	(42)	8,438	(3,496)
Income from discontinued operations	2,906	11,942	6,137	32,558
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 4,796	\$ 11,900	\$ 14,575	\$ 29,062
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares	54,470	53,768	54,069	53,725
Basic earnings per share:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.07)
Income from discontinued operations	0.05	0.22	0.11	0.61
Net income	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

11. Earnings Per Share - Continued

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Mon Septem	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Diluted income/(loss) per share:				
Numerator:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 6,003	\$ 10,929	\$ 23,191	\$ 22,901
Preferred Stock dividends	(4,113)	(6,699)	(12,950)	(22,125)
Excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value		(4,272)	(1,803)	(4,272)
Minority interest in the Operating Partnership	175		826	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	2,065	(42)	9,264	(3,496)
Income from discontinued operations	2,906	11,942	6,137	32,558
Minority interest in discontinued operations	273	11,712	556	32,330
winiority interest in discontinued operations	213		330	
Income from discontinued operations	3,179	11,942	6,693	32,558
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 5,244	\$ 11,900	\$ 15,957	\$ 29,062
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares	54,470	53,768	54.069	53,725
Add:	ĺ	,	,	ĺ
Employee stock options and warrants	1,592	(1	1,335	(1
Common Units	5,171	(1	5,280	(1
Unvested restricted stock	224	(1)	,	(1
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted average shares and				
assumed conversions	61,457	53,768	60,786	53,725
Diluted earnings per share (1):				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.07)
Income from discontinued operations	0.05	0.22	0.11	0.61
Net income	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.54

⁽¹⁾ Pursuant to SFAS No. 128, income/(loss) from continuing operations, after preferred dividends and Preferred Stock redemption charge, is the controlling number in determining whether potential common shares are dilutive or antidilutive. Because such potential common shares would be antidilutive to loss from continuing operations allocable to common stockholders, diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Potential common shares include stock options, warrants, shares issuable upon conversions of Common Units and unvested restricted shares, and would have amounted to approximately 6.7 million shares and 6.6 million shares in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. In addition, potential common shares that would have been antidilutive due to the option or warrant exercise price being less than the average stock price for the periods reported were approximately 0.9 million shares for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 0.08 million shares and 1.7 million shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The amount of shares reported for the three

months ended September 30, 2006 that would have been anti-dilutive due to the option or warrant exercise price being less than the average stock price for the period was immaterial.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalent investments and its restricted cash at financial institutions. The combined account balances at each institution typically exceed FDIC insurance coverage and, as a result, there is a concentration of credit risk related to amounts on deposit in excess of FDIC insurance coverage.

Land Leases

Certain properties in the Company s wholly owned portfolio are subject to land leases expiring through 2082. Rental payments on these leases are adjusted annually based on either the consumer price index (CPI) or on a pre-determined schedule. Land leases subject to increases under a pre-determined schedule are accounted for under the straight-line method.

For four properties, the Company has the option to purchase the leased land during the lease term, three options at the greater of 85.0% of appraised value or approximately \$30,000 per acre, and one option at an initial stated purchase price of \$1.0 million, which increases 2% per year beginning in year five through the ninety-ninth year of the lease.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company s payment obligations for future minimum payments on operating leases (which include scheduled fixed increases, but exclude increases based on CPI) were as follows:

Remainder of 2006	\$	271
2007		1,048
2008		1,064
2009		1,105
2010		1,123
Thereafter	4	46,256
	\$:	50,867

Capital Expenditures

The Company incurs capital expenditures to lease space to its customers, maintain the quality of its existing properties and build new properties. Capital expenditures include tenant improvements, building improvements, new building completion costs and land infrastructure costs. Tenant improvements are the costs required to customize space for the specific needs of first-generation and second-generation customers. Building improvements are recurring capital costs not related to a specific customer to maintain existing buildings. New building completion costs are expenses for the construction of new buildings. Land infrastructure costs are expenses to prepare development land for future development activity that is not specifically related to a single building. Excluding recurring capital expenditures for leasing costs and tenant improvements and for normal building improvements, the Company s expected future capital expenditures for started and/or committed new development projects as of October 19, 2006 are approximately \$280 million, which includes several projects started or committed after December 31, 2005. A significant portion of these future expenditures are currently subject to binding contractual arrangements.

Environmental Matters

Substantially all of the Company s in-service properties have been subjected to Phase I environmental assessments (and, in certain instances, Phase II environmental assessments). Such assessments and/or updates have not revealed, nor is management aware of, any environmental liability that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. Commitments and Contingencies - Continued

Joint Ventures

Most of the Company s joint venture agreements with unaffiliated parties have buy/sell options that may be exercised to acquire the other partner s interest by either the Company or its joint venture partner if certain conditions are met as set forth in the respective joint venture agreement.

Guarantees and Other Obligations

The following is a tabular presentation and related discussion of various guarantees and other obligations as of September 30, 2006:

Type of Guarantee or Other Obligation	Re	corded/	Date Guarantee Expires
Debt	\$		Various through
			11/2015
Indirect Debt (4)	\$	704	8/2006
Indirect Debt (4)	\$	51	12/2009
Rent and tenant improvement (4)	\$		9/2007
Rent (4)	\$	5,169	11/2007
Rent (4)	\$	105	12/2006
Rent and environmental costs (4)	\$	125	Until
			Remediated
Rent (4)	\$	504	6/2008
Indirect Debt (4)	\$	104	6/2014
Indirect Debt (4)	\$	63	11/2009
Rent (4)	\$	443	4/2011
Leasing Costs	\$	356	12/2024
	Indirect Debt (4) Indirect Debt (4) Rent and tenant improvement (4) Rent (4) Rent (4) Rent and environmental costs (4) Rent (4) Indirect Debt (4) Indirect Debt (4) Rent (4)	Type of Guarantee or Other Obligation Debt Indirect Debt (4) Indirect Debt (4) Rent and tenant improvement (4) Rent (4) Rent (4) Rent and environmental costs (4) Rent (4) Stantial S	Debt \$ Indirect Debt (4) \$ 704 Indirect Debt (4) \$ 51 Rent and tenant improvement (4) \$ Rent (4) \$ 5,169 Rent (4) \$ 105 Rent and environmental costs (4) \$ 125 Rent (4) \$ 504 Indirect Debt (4) \$ 104 Indirect Debt (4) \$ 63 Rent (4) \$ 443

- (1) Represents guarantees entered into prior to the January 1, 2003 effective date of FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guaranteer's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45) for initial recognition and measurement.
- (2) Represents guarantees that fall under the initial recognition and measurement requirements of FIN 45.
- (3) Represents guarantees that are excluded from the fair value accounting and disclosure provisions of FIN 45 because the existence of such guarantees prevents sale treatment and/or the recognition of profit from the sale transaction.
- (4) The maximum potential amount of future payments disclosed for these guarantees assumes the Company pays the maximum possible liability under the guaranty with no offsets or reductions. If the space is leased, it assumes the existing tenant defaults at September 30, 2006 and the space remains unleased through the remainder of the guaranty term. If the space is vacant, it assumes the space remains vacant through the expiration of the guaranty. Since it is assumed that no new tenant will occupy the space, lease commissions, if applicable, are excluded.
- (5) As more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, in 2002 the Company granted its partner in SF-HIW Harborview, LP a put option and entered into a master lease arrangement for five years covering vacant space in the building owned by the partnership. The Company also agreed to pay certain tenant improvement costs. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make related to the rent guarantees and tenant improvements was \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2006.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. Commitments and Contingencies - Continued

- (6) The Company has guaranteed certain loans in connection with the Des Moines joint ventures. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could have been required to make under the guarantees was \$14.0 million at September 30, 2006. Of this amount, \$8.6 million arose from housing revenue bonds that require credit enhancements in addition to the real estate mortgages. The bonds bear a floating interest rate, which at September 30, 2006 averaged approximately 3.63%, and mature in 2015. A guarantee of \$5.4 million will expire upon an industrial building becoming 95.0% leased or when the related loan matures. As of September 30, 2006, this building was 94.9% leased. If the joint ventures are unable to repay the outstanding balances under the loans that remain outstanding, the Company will be required, under the terms of the agreements, to repay the outstanding balances. Recourse provisions exist to enable the Company to recover some or all of such payments from the joint ventures—assets and/or the other partners. The joint ventures currently generate sufficient cash flow to cover the debt service required by the loans. On July 31, 2006, \$6.0 million in loans related to four office buildings that had been previously guaranteed by the Company were refinanced with no guarantee.
- (7) In connection with the RRHWoods, LLC joint venture, the Company guaranteed \$3.1 million of debt. The term of the letter of credit and corresponding master lease is four years. The agreement requires the Company to pay under a contingent master lease if the cash flows from the building securing the letter of credit do not cover at least 50% of the minimum debt service. The letter of credit along with the building secure the industrial revenue bonds used to finance the property. These bonds mature in 2015. Recourse provisions exist such that the Company could recover some or all of the payments made under the letter of credit guarantee from the joint venture s assets. The Company recorded a \$0.7 million deferred charge included in other assets and liabilities on its Consolidated Balance Sheet with respect to this guarantee. The Company s maximum potential exposure under this guarantee is \$3.1 million.
- (8) The Plaza Colonnade, LLC joint venture has a \$50 million non-recourse mortgage that bears a fixed interest rate of 5.7%, requires monthly principal and interest payments and matures on January 31, 2017. The Company and its joint venture partner have signed a contingent master lease limited to 30,772 square feet for five years. The Company s maximum exposure under this master lease was \$1.4 million at September 30, 2006. However, the current occupancy level of the building is sufficient to cover all debt service requirements.

On March 30, 2004, the Industrial Development Authority of the City of Kansas City, Missouri issued \$18.5 million in non-recourse bonds to finance public improvements made by the joint venture for the benefit of the Kansas City Missouri Public Library. Since the joint venture leases the land for the office building from the library, the joint venture was obligated to build certain public improvements. The net bond proceeds were \$18.1 million and will be used for project and debt service costs. The joint venture has recorded this obligation on its balance sheet. Cash proceeds from tax increment financing revenue generated by the building and its tenants are expected to be sufficient in the future to pay the required debt service on the bonds.

(9) As more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, in connection with the sale of three office buildings to a third party in 2002 (the Eastshore transaction), the Company agreed to guarantee rent shortfalls and re-tenanting costs for a five-year period of time from the date of sale (through November 2007). The Company s maximum exposure to loss under these agreements as of September 30, 2006 was \$5.2 million. These three buildings are currently leased to a single tenant, Capital One Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Capital One Financial Services, Inc., under leases that expire from May 2006 to March 2010. This transaction had been accounted for as a financing transaction and was recorded as a completed sale transaction in the third quarter of 2005 when the maximum exposure to loss under these guarantees became less than the related deferred gain; gain is being recognized beginning in the third quarter of 2005 as the maximum exposure under the guarantees is reduced.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. Commitments and Contingencies - Continued

- (10) In December 2003, the Company sold 1.9 million square feet of industrial property for \$58.4 million in cash, a \$5.0 million note receivable that bears interest at 12.0% and a \$1.7 million note receivable that bears interest at 8.0%. In addition, the Company agreed to guarantee, over various contingency periods through December 2006, any rent shortfalls on 16.3% of the rentable square feet of the industrial property, which is occupied by two tenants. The total gain as a result of the transaction was \$6.0 million. Because the terms of the notes required only interest payments to be made by the buyer until 2005, in accordance with SFAS No. 66, the entire \$6.0 million gain was deferred and offset against the note receivable on the balance sheet and the cost recovery method was being used for this transaction. On June 30, 2005, the Company agreed to modify the note receivable to reduce the amount due by \$0.3 million. The modified note balance and all accrued interest aggregating \$6.2 million, was paid in full on July 1, 2005. Because the maximum exposure to loss from the rent guarantee at July 1, 2005 was \$0.8 million, that amount of gain was deferred and \$4.3 million of the deferred gain was recognized at that date. As of September 30, 2006, \$0.1 million remains deferred, which represents the Company s contingent liability with respect to the guarantee. Additionally, as part of the sale, the Company agreed to indemnify and hold the buyer harmless with respect to environmental concerns on the property of up to \$0.1 million. As a result, \$0.1 million of the gain was deferred at the time of sale and will remain deferred until the environmental concerns are remediated.
- (11) In the Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP joint venture, a single tenant currently leases an entire building under a lease scheduled to expire on June 30, 2008. The tenant also leases space in other buildings owned by the Company. In conjunction with an overall restructuring of the tenant s leases with the Company and with this joint venture, the Company agreed to certain changes to the lease with the joint venture in September 2003. The modifications included allowing the tenant to vacate the premises on January 1, 2006, reducing the rent obligation by 50% and converting the net lease to a full service lease with the tenant liable for 50% of these costs at that time. In turn, the Company agreed to compensate the joint venture for any economic losses incurred as a result of these lease modifications. As of September 30, 2006, the Company has recorded approximately \$0.5 million in other liabilities and \$0.5 million as a deferred charge in other assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheet to account for the lease guarantee. However, should new tenants occupy the vacated space during the two and a half year guarantee period, the Company s liability under the guarantee would diminish. The Company s maximum potential amount of future payments with regard to this guarantee as of September 30, 2006 is \$0.9 million. No recourse provisions exist to enable the Company to recover any amounts paid to the joint venture under this lease guarantee arrangement.
- RRHWoods, LLC and Dallas County Partners each developed a new office building in Des Moines, Iowa. On June 25, 2004, the joint ventures financed both buildings with a \$7.4 million ten-year loan from a lender. As an inducement to make the loan at a 6.3% long-term rate, the Company and its partner agreed to master lease the vacant space and each guaranteed \$0.8 million of the debt with limited recourse. As leasing improves, the guarantee obligations under the loan agreement diminish. As of September 30, 2006, no master lease payments were necessary. The Company currently has recorded \$0.1 million in other liabilities and \$0.1 million as a deferred charge included in other assets on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet with respect to this guarantee. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company could be required to make based on the current leases in place is approximately \$3.1 million as of September 30, 2006. The likelihood of the Company paying on its \$0.8 million guarantee is remote since the joint venture currently satisfies the minimum debt coverage ratio and should the Company have to pay its portion of the guarantee, it would be entitled to recover the \$0.8 million from other joint venture assets.
- (13) In connection with the formation of HIW-KC Orlando, LLC, the Company agreed to guarantee rent to the joint venture for 3,248 rentable square feet commencing in August 2004 and expiring in April 2011. The Company s maximum potential amount of future payments with regard to the guarantee is \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2006. Additionally, the Company agreed to guarantee the initial leasing costs, originally estimated at \$4.1 million, for approximately 11% of the total square feet of the property owned by the joint venture. The Company has paid approximately \$0.3 million in the first nine months of 2006 and \$0.5 million in the first nine months of 2005 under this guarantee, and approximately \$0.4 million is estimated to remain under the guarantee at September 30, 2006.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. Commitments and Contingencies - Continued

(14) In connection with the RRHWoods, LLC joint venture, the Company and its partner each guaranteed \$2.9 million to a bank. This guarantee expires in November 2009 and can be renewed, at the joint venture s option, through November 2011. The bank provides a letter of credit securing industrial revenue bonds, which mature in November 2015. The joint venture s industrial building secures the bonds. The Company would be required to perform under the guarantee should the joint venture be unable to repay the bonds. The Company has recourse provisions to recover from the joint venture s assets. The property collateralizing the bonds generates sufficient cash flow to cover the debt service required by the bond financing. In addition to the direct guarantee, the Company is committed to a master lease for 50% of the debt service should the cash flow from the property not be able to pay the debt service of the bonds. As a result of this master lease, the Company has recorded approximately \$60,000 in other liabilities and as a deferred charge in other assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2006.

Currently, RRHWoods, LLC is developing a new office building. In October 2006, the joint venture entered into a commitment to finance the development with a \$4.1 million ten-year loan from a lender. As part of the agreement, the Company and its partner agreed to each guarantee \$0.7 million until the building becomes 93.0% leased or when the related loan matures. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will be required to pay on its guarantee should the joint venture be unable to repay the outstanding loan balance. However, the joint venture currently generates sufficient cash flows to cover the debt service required by the loan. Although the Company is still evaluating the impact of the guarantee, the Company does not expect a significant effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

Litigation, Claims and Assessments

The Company is from time to time a party to a variety of legal proceedings, claims and assessments arising in the ordinary course of its business. The Company regularly assesses the liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based on the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that the Company has incurred or will incur a loss and the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated, reserves are recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, a reasonable estimate of liability, if any, cannot be made. Based on the current expected outcome of such matters, none of these proceedings, claims or assessments is expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

In June, August, September and October 2006, the Company received assessments for state excise taxes and related interest amounting to approximately \$4.5 million, related to periods 2002 through 2004, and may receive additional assessments for later periods, which the Company estimates could aggregate an additional approximate \$1.1 million. The Company believes that it is not subject to such taxes and intends to vigorously dispute the assessment. Based on advice of counsel, the Company currently believes that any exposure for such taxes is not probable, and accordingly no provision for such taxes is reflected in the Company s financial statements.

As previously disclosed, the SEC s Division of Enforcement has issued a confidential formal order of investigation in connection with the Company s previous restatement of its financial results. Even though the Company is cooperating fully, it cannot provide any assurances that the SEC s Division of Enforcement will not take any action that would adversely affect the Company.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The sole business of the Company is the acquisition, development and operation of rental real estate properties. The Company operates office, industrial and retail properties and apartment units. There are no material inter-segment transactions.

The Company s chief operating decision maker (CDM) assesses and measures operating results based upon property level net operating income. The operating results for the individual assets within each property type have been aggregated since the CDM evaluates operating results and allocates resources within the various property types.

All operations are within the United States and, at September 30, 2006, no tenant of the Wholly Owned Properties comprised more than 6.8% of the Company s consolidated revenues.

The following table summarizes the rental income, net operating income and assets for each reportable segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			ths Ended iber 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Rental and Other Revenues (1):				
Office segment	\$ 88,263	\$ 83,365	\$ 260,025	\$ 249,944
Industrial segment	7,401	6,967	21,896	20,956
Retail segment	10,322	9,440	31,096	29,246
Apartment segment	305	279	916	824
Total Rental and Other Revenues	\$ 106,291	\$ 100,051	\$ 313,933	\$ 300,970
	+,	+,	+ ,	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Net Operating Income (1):				
Office segment	\$ 53,593	\$ 51,089	\$ 161,580	\$ 158,954
Industrial segment	5,517	5,317	16,670	15,839
Retail segment	6,957	6,509	20,684	20,350
Apartment segment	138	131	407	371
Total Net Operating Income	66,205	63,046	199,341	195,514
Reconciliation to (loss)/income before disposition of property, minority interest and				
equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates:				
Depreciation and amortization	(29,056)	(27,666)	(86,565)	(84,350)
Interest expense	(25,216)	(26,135)	(76,928)	(80,658)
Impairment of assets held for use	(2,600)	(4,415)	(2,600)	(7,587)
General and administrative expense	(8,546)	(7,513)	(26,298)	(23,859)
Interest and other income	1,189	2,119	4,336	5,453
Loss on debt extinguishment		(323)	(467)	(453)
Income/(loss) before disposition of property, minority interest and equity in earnings of				
unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 1,976	\$ (887)	\$ 10,819	\$ 4,060
unconsortation unmates	Ψ 1,570	Ψ (007)	\$ 10,017	Ψ 1,000

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Total Assets (2):		
Office segment	\$ 2,207,681	\$ 2,245,595
Industrial segment	198,159	226,199
Retail segment	257,474	259,544
Apartment segment	23,674	21,121
Corporate and other	140,718	156,519
Total Assets	\$ 2,827,706	\$ 2,908,978

⁽¹⁾ Net of discontinued operations.

⁽²⁾ Real estate and other assets held for sale are included in this table according to the segment type.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the information in this Quarterly Report may contain forward-looking statements. Such statements include, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects under this section. You can identify forward-looking statements by our use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, anticipate, estimate, continue or other similar words. Although we believe that our plans, intentior expectations reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved. When considering such forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the following important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement:



our southeastern and midwestern markets may suffer unexpected declines in economic growth.

This list of risks and uncertainties, however, is not intended to be exhaustive. You should also review the other cautionary statements we make in Business Risk Factors set forth in our 2005 Annual Report.

Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any future events or circumstances or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

OVERVIEW

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity REIT that provides leasing, management, development, construction and other customer-related services for our properties and for third parties. As of September 30, 2006, we owned or had an interest in 414 in-service office, industrial and retail properties, encompassing 34.9 million square feet and 96 apartment units. As of that date, we also wholly owned 798

acres of development land, of which 394 acres are considered core holdings. We are based in Raleigh, North Carolina, and our properties and development land are located in Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia. Additional information about us can be found on our website at www.highwoods.com. Information on our website is not part of this Quarterly Report.

Results of Operations

Although we operate in the industrial, retail and apartment segments, our operating results depend heavily on our office segment. Furthermore, since more than a majority of our office properties are located in Florida, Georgia and North Carolina, economic growth in those states is and will continue to be an important determinative factor in predicting our future operating results. Accordingly, most of the analysis and comments below focus on our office segment properties.

The key components affecting our rental revenue stream are dispositions, acquisitions, new developments placed in service, average occupancy and rental rates. Average occupancy generally increases during times of improving economic growth, as our ability to lease space outpaces vacancies that occur upon the expirations of existing leases. Average occupancy generally declines during times of slower economic growth, when new vacancies tend to outpace our ability to lease space. Asset acquisitions, dispositions and new developments placed in service directly impact our rental revenues and could impact our average occupancy, depending upon the occupancy rate of the properties that are acquired, sold or placed in service. A further indicator of the predictability of future revenues is the expected lease expirations of our portfolio. As a result, in addition to seeking to increase our average occupancy by leasing current vacant space, we also must concentrate our leasing efforts on renewing leases on expiring space. Whether or not our rental revenue tracks average occupancy proportionally depends upon whether rents under new leases signed are higher or lower than the rents under the previous leases.

Our expenses primarily consist of rental property expenses, depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. Rental property expenses are expenses associated with our ownership and operation of rental properties and include variable expenses, such as common area maintenance and utilities, and relatively fixed expenses, such as property taxes and insurance. Some of these variable expenses may be lower when our average occupancy declines. Fixed expenses remain relatively constant regardless of average occupancy. Depreciation and amortization is a non-cash expense associated with the ownership of real property and generally remains relatively consistent each year, unless we buy or sell assets, since we depreciate our properties on a straight-line basis over fixed lives. General and administrative expenses, net of amounts capitalized, consist primarily of management and employee salaries and other personnel costs, corporate and division overhead and long-term incentive compensation. Interest expense depends primarily upon the amount of our borrowings, the weighted average interest rates on our debt and the amount of interest capitalized on development projects.

We record in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates our proportionate share of net income or loss, adjusted for purchase accounting effects, of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

Additionally, SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, requires us to record net income received from properties sold or held for sale that qualify as discontinued operations under SFAS No. 144 separately as income from discontinued operations. As a result, we separately record revenues and expenses from these qualifying properties. As also required by SFAS No. 144, prior period results are reclassified to reflect the operations for such properties in discontinued operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We incur capital expenditures to lease space to our customers and to maintain the quality of our properties to successfully compete against other properties. Tenant improvements are the costs required to customize the space for the specific needs of the customer. Lease commissions are costs incurred to find the customer for the space. Lease incentives are costs paid to or on behalf of tenants to induce them to enter into leases and that do not relate to customizing the space for the tenant specific needs. Building improvements are recurring capital costs not related to a customer to maintain the buildings. As leases expire, we either attempt to relet the space to an existing customer or attract a new customer to occupy the space. Generally, customer renewals require lower leasing capital expenditures than reletting to new customers. However, market conditions such as supply of available space in the market, as well as demand for space, drive not only customer rental rates but also tenant improvement costs. Leasing capital expenditures are amortized over the initial term of the lease and building improvements are depreciated over the appropriate useful life of the assets acquired. Both are included in depreciation and amortization in results of operations.

Because we are a REIT, we are required under the federal tax laws to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains, to our stockholders. We generally use rents received from customers and proceeds from sales of non-core development land to fund our operating expenses, recurring capital expenditures and stockholder dividends. To fund property acquisitions, development activity or building renovations, we may sell other assets and may incur debt from time to time. Our debt generally consists of mortgage debt, unsecured debt securities and borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

Our revolving credit facility and the indenture governing our outstanding long-term unsecured debt securities require us to satisfy various operating and financial covenants and performance ratios. As a result, to ensure that we do not violate the provisions of these debt instruments, we may from time to time be limited in undertaking certain activities that may otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders, such as repurchasing capital stock, acquiring additional assets, increasing the total amount of our debt or increasing stockholder dividends. We review our current and expected operating results, financial condition and planned strategic actions on an ongoing basis for the purpose of monitoring our continued compliance with these covenants and ratios. Any unwaived event of default could result in an acceleration of some or all of our debt, severely restrict our ability to incur additional debt to fund short- and long-term cash needs or result in higher interest expense.

To generate additional capital to fund our growth and other strategic initiatives and to lessen the risks typically associated with owning all of the interests in a property, we may sell or contribute some of our properties to joint ventures. When we create a joint venture with a strategic partner, we usually contribute one or more properties and/or vacant land to a newly formed entity in which we retain an equal or less than a majority interest. In exchange for our interest in the joint venture, we generally receive cash from the partner and retain some or all of the management income relating to the properties in the joint venture. The joint venture itself will frequently borrow money on its own behalf to finance the acquisition of, and/or leverage the return upon, the properties being acquired by the joint venture or to build or acquire additional buildings. Such borrowings are typically on a non-recourse or limited recourse basis. We generally are not liable for the debts of our joint ventures, except to the extent of our equity investment, unless we have directly guaranteed any of that debt. In most cases, we and/or our strategic partners are required to guarantee customary exceptions to non-recourse liability in non-recourse loans.

We have historically also sold additional Common Stock or Preferred Stock or issued Common Units to fund additional growth or to reduce our debt, but we have limited those efforts since 1998 because funds generated from our capital recycling program in recent years have provided sufficient funds to satisfy our liquidity needs. In addition, we have recently used funds from our capital recycling program to redeem Common Units and Preferred Stock for cash.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In accordance with SFAS No. 144 and as described in Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we reclassified the operations and/or gain/(loss) from disposal of certain properties to discontinued operations for all periods presented if the operations and cash flows have been or will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and we will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations after the disposal transaction and the properties were either sold during 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 or were held for sale at September 30, 2006. Accordingly, any properties sold during 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 that did not meet certain conditions as stipulated by SFAS No. 144 were not reclassified to discontinued operations.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The following table sets forth information regarding our unaudited results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		% of			
	2006	í	2005	\$ C	hange	Change
Rental and other revenues	\$ 106	.3	\$ 100.1	\$	6.2	6.2%
Operating expenses:						
Rental property and other expenses	40		37.0		3.1	8.4
Depreciation and amortization	29		27.7		1.3	4.7
Impairment of assets held for use		.6	4.4		(1.8)	(40.9)
General and administrative	8	.5	7.5		1.0	13.3
Total operating expenses	80	.2	76.6		3.6	4.7
Interest expense:						
Contractual	23	.8	24.2		(0.4)	(1.7)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	0	.6	0.8		(0.2)	(25.0)
Financing obligations	0	.8	1.1		(0.3)	(27.3)
	25	.2	26.1		(0.9)	(3.4)
Other income/(expense):						
Interest and other income	1	.1	2.1		(1.0)	(47.6)
Loss on debt extinguishments			(0.3)		0.3	100.0
	1	.1	1.8		(0.7)	(38.9)
Income/(loss) before disposition of property, minority interest and equity in earnings						
of unconsolidated affiliates	2	.0	(0.8)		2.8	350.0
Gains on disposition of property, net	3	.0	9.7		(6.7)	(69.1)
Minority interest	(0	.3)			(0.3)	(100.0)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	1	.3	2.1		(0.8)	(38.1)
Income from continuing operations	6	.0	11.0		(5.0)	(45.5)
Discontinued operations:						
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest		.3	1.8		(1.5)	(83.3)
Net gains on sale and impairments of discontinued operations, net of minority interest	2	.6	10.1		(7.5)	(74.3)
	2	.9	11.9		(9.0)	(75.6)
Net income	8	.9	22.9		(14.0)	(61.1)
Dividends on Preferred Stock		.1)	(6.7)		2.6	38.8
Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value	(1)	(4.3)		4.3	100.0
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 4	.8	\$ 11.9	\$	(7.1)	(59.7)%

Rental and Other Revenues

The increase in rental and other revenues from continuing operations was primarily the result of higher average occupancy in 2006 as compared to 2005, the contribution from developed properties placed in service in the later part of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by an approximate \$0.5 million decrease in lease termination fees from 2005 to 2006 and the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations.

Operating Expenses

Rental and other operating expenses from continuing operations (real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, repairs and maintenance and other property-related expenses) increased \$3.1 million in the third quarter of 2006 compared to the third quarter of 2005, primarily as a result of general inflationary increases in certain operating expenses, such as salaries, benefits, utility costs and real estate taxes, expenses of developed properties placed in service in the second half of 2005 and the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease in operating expenses as a result of the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations.

Rental revenues less rental and other operating expenses increased in 2006 compared to 2005. However, although the Company recovers a portion of operating costs from its tenants, which recoveries are included in rental revenues, operating costs in 2006 increased proportionately more than revenues increased, resulting in a reduction in the percentage of rental revenues less rental and other operating expenses to rental revenues compared to 2005.

The increase in depreciation and amortization is primarily a result of the contribution from developed properties placed in service in the later part of 2005 and the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease related to the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations.

During the third quarter 2006, impairment of \$2.6 million was recorded with respect to an operating property with indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows. During the third quarter of 2005, impairment of \$4.4 million was recorded with respect to an operating property with indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows.

The \$1.0 million increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to higher long-term incentive compensation costs and higher salary and fringe benefit costs from annual employee wage and salary increases and inflationary effects.

Interest Expense

The decrease in contractual interest was primarily due to a decrease in average borrowings from \$1.6 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$1.5 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2006, partially offset by an increase in weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt from 6.6% in the three months ended September 30, 2005 to 7.1% in the three months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, capitalized interest in the three months ended September 30, 2006 was approximately \$0.9 million higher compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005 due to our increased development activity.

The decrease in amortization of deferred financing costs was primarily related to obtaining the new revolving credit facility in May 2006, as discussed further in the Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, resulting in a reduction of amortization of deferred financing costs of approximately \$0.2 million from 2005 to 2006.

Interest from financing obligations decreased from the completed sale of three buildings in Richmond, Virginia (the Eastshore transaction) in the third quarter of 2005 and the elimination of the related financing obligation, which was offset by higher financing obligations in 2006 related to the SF-HIW Harborview LP.

Gains on Disposition of Property; Minority Interest; Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Net gains on dispositions of properties not classified as discontinued operations were \$3.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$9.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Gains are dependent on the specific assets sold, their historical cost basis and other factors, and can vary significantly from period to period.

The increase in minority interest expense of \$0.3 million was primarily due to (1) a corresponding increase in the Operating Partnership s income from continuing operations, after Preferred Unit distributions, and (2) the minority interest expense from the Markel joint venture.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$0.8 million from 2005. The decrease was primarily a result of the change in accounting for the Markel joint venture from equity method to consolidation effective January 1, 2006, as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. This joint venture contributed \$0.2 million to equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates during the third quarter of 2005. In addition, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates was approximately \$0.6 million lower during the third quarter of 2006 due to losses on debt extinguishment for certain joint ventures, which resulted from debt refinancing.

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we classified net income of \$2.9 million and \$11.9 million, net of minority interest, as discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts relate to 6.9 million square feet of office and industrial property and 46 apartment units sold during 2005 and the third quarter of 2006 and 0.2 million square feet of property and 156 apartment units held for sale at September 30, 2006. These amounts include net gains on the sale of these properties of \$2.6 million and \$10.1 million, net of minority interest, in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net Income

We recorded net income in the three months ended September 30, 2006 of \$8.9 million, compared to \$22.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005, resulting from the various factors described above.

Preferred Dividends

Preferred dividends decreased \$2.6 million due to redemptions of \$130 million and \$50 million in the third quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, respectively.

Net Income Available for Common Stockholders

We recorded net income available for common stockholders in the three months ended September 30, 2006 of \$4.8 million, compared to \$11.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005; this decrease is the net result of the various factors described above.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The following table sets forth information regarding our unaudited results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in millions):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		% of	
	2006	2005	\$ Change	Change
Rental and other revenues	\$ 313.9	\$ 300.9	\$ 13.0	4.3%
Operating expenses:				
Rental property and other expenses	114.6	105.4	9.2	8.7
Depreciation and amortization	86.6	84.4	2.2	2.6
Impairment of assets held for use	2.6	7.6	(5.0)	(65.8)
General and administrative	26.2	23.8	2.4	10.1
Total operating expenses	230.0	221.2	8.8	4.0
Interest expense:				
Contractual	71.8	74.0	(2.2)	(3.0)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1.9	2.5	(0.6)	(24.0)
Financing obligations	3.2	4.1	(0.9)	(22.0)
	76.9	80.6	(3.7)	(4.6)
Other income/(expense):			(2117)	(, , ,
Interest and other income	4.3	5.5	(1.2)	(21.8)
Loss on debt extinguishments	(0.5)	(0.5)	, ,	`
	3.8	5.0	(1.2)	(24.0)
Income before disposition of property, minority interest and equity in earnings of			()	(= 110)
unconsolidated affiliates	10.8	4.1	6.7	163.4
Gains on disposition of property, net	8.3	11.5	(3.2)	(27.8)
Minority interest	(1.2)	0.4	(1.6)	(400.0)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	5.3	6.9	(1.6)	(23.2)
			, ,	, ,
Income from continuing operations	23.2	22.9	0.3	1.3
Discontinued operations:	20.2		0.0	1.0
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest	1.5	7.7	(6.2)	(80.5)
Net gains on sale and impairments of discontinued operations, net of minority interest	4.6	24.9	(20.3)	(81.5)
The state of the s			()	(=)
	6.1	32.6	(26.5)	(81.3)
	0.1	32.0	(20.3)	(61.5)
Not in come	20.2		(0(0)	(47.0)
Net income	29.3	55.5	(26.2)	(47.2)
Dividends on Preferred Stock Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over corruing value	(12.9)	(22.1)	9.2	41.6
Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value	(1.8)	(4.3)	2.5	58.1
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 14.6	\$ 29.1	\$ (14.5)	(49.8)%

Rental and Other Revenues

The increase in rental and other revenues from continuing operations was primarily the result of higher average occupancy in 2006 as compared to 2005, the contribution from developed properties placed in service in the later part of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by an approximate \$3.0 million decrease in lease termination fees from 2005 to 2006 and the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations including the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

Operating Expenses

Rental and other operating expenses from continuing operations (real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, repairs and maintenance and other property-related expenses) increased \$9.2 million in the nine months ended September 31, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily as a result of general inflationary increases in certain operating expenses, such as salaries, benefits, utility costs and real estate taxes, expenses of developed properties placed in service in the second half of 2005 and the consolidation of the Markel

joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease in operating expenses as a result of the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations including the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

Rental revenues less rental and other operating expenses increased in 2006 compared to 2005. However, although the Company recovers a portion of operating costs from its tenants, which recoveries are included in rental revenues, operating costs in 2006 increased proportionately more than revenues increased, resulting in a reduction in the percentage of rental revenues less rental and other operating expenses to rental revenues compared to 2005.

The increase in depreciation and amortization is primarily a result of the contribution from developed properties placed in service in the later part of 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease related to the disposition of certain properties that did not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations including the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, one property had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows. Therefore, an impairment loss of \$2.6 million was recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, one land parcel had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows. Therefore, an impairment loss of \$3.2 million was recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, impairment of \$4.4 million was recorded with respect to an additional operating property with indicators of impairment.

The \$2.4 million increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to higher long-term incentive compensation costs, higher phantom stock costs related to deferred compensation, higher salary and fringe benefit costs from annual employee wage and salary increases, inflationary effects on other general and administrative expenses and costs related to the retirement of a certain officer at June 30, 2006.

Interest Expense

The decrease in contractual interest was primarily due to a decrease in average borrowings from \$1.5 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$1.4 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, partially offset by an increase in weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt from 6.7% in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to 7.0% in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, capitalized interest in 2006 was approximately \$1.1 million higher compared to 2005 due to increased development activity and higher average construction and development costs.

The decrease in amortization of deferred financing costs was primarily related to obtaining the new revolving credit facility in May 2006, as discussed further in the Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, resulting in a reduction of amortization of deferred financing costs of approximately \$0.6 million from 2005 to 2006.

The decrease in interest from financing obligations was primarily a result of the completed sale of three buildings in Richmond, Virginia (the Eastshore transaction) in the third quarter of 2005 and the elimination of the related financing obligation. Partly offsetting this decrease was an increase in 2006 related to the SF-HIW Harborview LP.

Gains on Disposition of Property; Minority Interest; Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Net gains on dispositions of properties, net, not classified as discontinued operations were \$8.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$11.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Gains are dependent on the specific assets sold, their historical cost basis and other factors, and can vary significantly from period to period.

The change in minority interest in the continuing operations, after Preferred Unit distributions, was primarily due to (1) a corresponding decrease in the Operating Partnership s income from continuing operations, after Preferred Unit distributions, in 2006 versus a loss in 2005, and (2) the minority interest expense from the Markel joint venture.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$1.6 million from 2005. The Markel joint venture contributed \$0.6 million to equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates during the nine months ended September 30, 2005; the accounting for this joint venture changed from equity method to consolidation effective January 1, 2006, as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, the Plaza Colonnade LLC joint venture provided an additional \$0.6 million in earnings during 2005 due to the application of FAS 67 and the related adjustments for capitalized interest. Furthermore, equity in earnings was \$0.7 million lower during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to losses on debt extinguishment for certain joint ventures, which resulted from debt refinancings, offset by common area maintenance true ups in various joint ventures, which contributed approximately \$0.2 million of additional equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates through the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we classified net income of \$6.1 million and \$32.6 million, net of minority interest, as discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts relate to 6.9 million square feet of office and industrial property and 46 apartment units sold during 2005 and the first nine months of 2006 and 0.2 million square feet of property and 156 apartment units held for sale at September 30, 2006. These amounts include net gains on the sale of these properties of \$4.6 million and \$24.9 million, net of minority interest, in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net Income

We recorded net income in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 of \$29.3 million, compared to \$55.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, resulting from the various factors described above.

Preferred Dividends

Preferred dividends decreased \$9.2 million due to redemptions of \$130 million and \$50 million in the third quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, respectively. The excess of redemption cost over carrying value of \$1.8 million in 2006 relates to the \$50 million Preferred Stock redemption in the first quarter of 2006.

Net Income Available for Common Stockholders

We recorded net income available for common stockholders in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 of \$14.6 million, compared to \$29.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005; this decrease is the net result of the various factors described above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Statement of Cash Flows

As required by GAAP, we report and analyze our cash flows based on operating activities, investing activities and financing activities. The following table sets forth the changes in our cash flows in the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the first nine months of 2005 (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2006	2005	Change	
Cash Provided By Operating Activities	\$ 109,175	\$ 122,263	\$ (13,088)	
Cash Provided By Investing Activities	78,689	226,830	(148,141)	
Cash Used In Financing Activities	(181,552)	(372,929)	191,377	
Total Cash Flows	\$ 6,312	\$ (23,836)	\$ 30,148	

In calculating cash flow from operating activities, GAAP requires us to add depreciation and amortization, which are non-cash expenses, back to net income. As a result, we have historically generated a significant positive amount of cash from operating activities. From period to period, cash flow from operations depends primarily upon changes in our net income, as discussed more fully above under Results of Operations, changes in receivables and payables, and net additions or decreases in our overall portfolio, which affect the amount of depreciation and amortization expense.

Cash provided by or used in investing activities generally relates to capitalized costs incurred for leasing and major building improvements, and our acquisition, development, disposition and joint venture activity. During periods of significant net acquisition and/or development activity, our cash used in such investing activities will generally exceed cash provided by investing activities, which typically consists of cash received upon the sale of properties and distributions of capital from our joint ventures.

Cash used in financing activities generally relates to stockholder dividends, distributions on Common Units, incurrence and repayment of debt and sales, repurchases or redemptions of Common Stock, Common Units and Preferred Stock. As discussed previously, we use a significant amount of our cash to fund stockholder dividends and Common Unit distributions. Whether or not we incur significant new debt during a period depends generally upon the net effect of our acquisition, disposition, development and joint venture activity. We use our revolving credit facility for working capital purposes, which means that during any given period, in order to minimize interest expense associated with balances outstanding under our revolving credit facility, we will likely record significant repayments and borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

The decrease of \$13.1 million in cash provided by operating activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 was primarily the result of lower cash flows from net income adjusted for changes in depreciation and gains and impairments, partially offset by a \$1.6 million increase from net changes in operating assets and liabilities.

The decrease of \$148.1 million in cash provided by investing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 was primarily a result of a \$156.1 million decrease in proceeds from dispositions of real estate assets and an \$11.1 million increase in additions to real estate assets and deferred leasing costs. Partly offsetting these decreases was an increase of \$12.4 million in other investing activities that resulted from a collateral substitution on a certain secured note, pursuant to which the lender returned \$11.8 million in restricted cash and property and an increase of \$9.2 million in distributions of capital from unconsolidated affiliates as a result of a refinancing of debt, as described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The decrease of \$191.4 million in cash used in financing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily a result of a decrease of \$80.0 million in redemptions of Preferred Stock from 2005 to 2006, an \$84.3 million increase in net borrowings on our revolving credit facility and mortgages and notes payable, a decrease of \$9.2 million in preferred dividend payments in connection with the Preferred Stock redemption, and an increase of \$26.6 million in net proceeds form the sale of Common Stock due to the exercise of stock options during the third quarter of 2006, as described in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2006, we continued our capital recycling program of selectively disposing of non-core properties in order to use the net proceeds for investments or other purposes. At September 30, 2006, we had 0.2 million rentable square feet of properties, 156 apartment units and 161.3 acres of land classified as held for sale pursuant to SFAS No. 144 with a carrying value of \$47.0 million.

Capitalization

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Se	ptember 30, 2006	D	ecember 31, 2005
Mortgages and notes payable, at recorded book value	\$	1,461,105	\$	1,471,616
Financing obligations	\$	36,098	\$	34,154
Preferred Stock, at liquidation value	\$	197,445	\$	247,445
Common Stock and Common Units outstanding		60,649		59,479
Per share stock price at period end	\$	37.21	\$	28.45
Market value of Common Stock and Common Units		2,256,749		1,692,178
Total market capitalization with debt	\$	3,951,397	\$	3,445,393

Based on our total market capitalization of approximately \$4.0 billion at September 30, 2006 (at the September 30, 2006 per share stock price of \$37.21 and assuming the redemption for shares of Common Stock of the 5.0 million Common Units not owned by the Company), our mortgages and notes payable represented approximately 37.0% of our total market capitalization.

Mortgages and notes payable at September 30, 2006 consisted of \$704.6 million of secured indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of 6.9% and \$756.5 million of unsecured indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of 6.9%. As of September 30, 2006, our outstanding mortgages and notes payable were secured by real estate assets with an aggregate undepreciated book value of approximately \$1.2 billion.

We do not intend to reserve funds to retire existing secured or unsecured debt upon maturity. For a more complete discussion of our long-term liquidity needs, see Liquidity and Capital Resources - Current and Future Cash Needs.

Contractual Obligations

See our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a table setting forth a summary of our known contractual obligations at December 31, 2005.

Refinancings and Preferred Stock Redemptions in 2005 and 2006

During 2005 and through the third quarter of 2006, we paid off \$196.2 million of outstanding loans, excluding any normal debt amortization and the refinancings of the credit facility and bank term loans, which included \$176.2 million of secured debt with a weighted average interest rate of 6.9% and \$20 million of unsecured floating rate debt with an interest rate of 4.9%. Included in the \$176.2 million was \$89.8 million of floating rate secured debt. Approximately \$350 million of real estate assets (based on undepreciated cost basis) became unencumbered after paying off the secured debt. We also used some of the proceeds from our disposition activity to redeem, in August 2005 and February 2006, all of our outstanding Series D Preferred Shares and 3,200,000 of our outstanding Series B Preferred Shares, aggregating \$180.0 million plus accrued dividends. These reductions in outstanding debt and Preferred Stock balances were funded primarily from proceeds from property dispositions that closed in 2005 and 2006. In connection with the redemption of Preferred Stock, the excess of the redemption cost over the net carrying amount of the redeemed shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common stockholders. These reductions amounted to \$4.3 million and \$1.8 million for the third quarter of 2005 and first quarter of 2006, respectively.

Unsecured Indebtedness

On May 1, 2006, we obtained a new \$350 million, three-year unsecured revolving credit facility from Bank of America, N.A. We used \$273 million of proceeds from the new revolving credit facility, together with available cash, to pay off the remaining outstanding balance of \$178 million under our previous revolving credit facility and the \$100 million bank term loan, both of which were terminated on May 1, 2006. In connection with these payoffs, we wrote off approximately \$0.5 million in unamortized deferred financing costs in the second quarter of 2006 as a loss on debt extinguishment.

On August 8, 2006, our revolving credit facility was amended and restated as part of a syndication with a group of 15 banks. The revolving credit facility was also upsized from \$350 million to \$450 million. Our revolving credit facility is initially scheduled to mature on May 1, 2009. Assuming no default exists, we have an option to extend the maturity date by one additional year and, at any time prior to May 1, 2008, may request increases in the borrowing availability under the credit facility by up to an additional \$50 million. The interest rate is LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the annual base facility fee is 20 basis points.

Our revolving credit facility requires us to comply with customary operating covenants and various financial and operating ratios, which we believe are less stringent and more appropriately reflect our current and future business prospects than the requirements under our previous revolving credit facility. We expect to be in compliance with these provisions of our revolving credit facility for the foreseeable future. However, depending upon our future operating performance and property and financing transactions and general economic conditions, we cannot assure you that no circumstance will arise in the future that would render us unable to comply with any of these covenants.

If any of our lenders ever accelerated outstanding debt due to an event of default, we would not be able to borrow any further amounts under our revolving credit facility, which would adversely affect our ability to fund our operations. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended at maturity or upon acceleration, in addition to our failure to repay our debt, we may not be able to make distributions to stockholders at expected levels or at all. Furthermore, if any refinancing is done at higher interest rates, the increased interest expense would adversely affect our cash flows and ability to make distributions to stockholders. Any such refinancing could also impose tighter financial ratios and other covenants that would restrict our ability to take actions that would otherwise be in our stockholders best interest, such as funding new development activity, making opportunistic acquisitions, repurchasing our securities or paying distributions.

As of the date of this filing, the Operating Partnership has not yet satisfied the requirement under the indenture governing its outstanding notes to file timely SEC reports, but expects to do so as soon as practicable. Under the indenture, the notes may be accelerated if the trustee or 25% of the holders provide written notice of a default and such default remains uncured after 60 days. If the Operating Partnership failed to file its delinquent SEC reports prior to expiration of the 60-day cure period after receipt of any such default notice, the lender under our revolving credit facility would also have the ability to accelerate amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility. To date, neither the trustee nor any holder has sent us any such default notice. The Operating Partnership is in compliance with all other covenants under the indenture and is current on all payments required thereunder.

Current and Future Cash Needs

Rental revenue, together with construction management, maintenance, leasing and management fees, is our principal source of funds to meet our short-term liquidity requirements, which primarily consist of operating expenses, debt service, stockholder dividends, any guarantee obligations and recurring capital expenditures. In addition, we could incur tenant improvement costs and lease commissions related to any releasing of vacant space.

We expect to fund our short-term liquidity needs through a combination of available working capital, property dispositions, cash flows from operations and the following:

the selective disposition of non-core land and other assets;

borrowings under our revolving credit facility (which has up to \$167.7 million of availability as of October 19, 2006,) and under our existing \$50 million secured revolving construction loan (all of which was available at October 19, 2006);

the sale or contribution of some of our Wholly Owned Properties, development projects and development land to strategic joint ventures to be formed with unrelated investors, which would have the net effect of generating additional capital through such sale or contributions;

the issuance of secured debt; and

the issuance of new unsecured debt.

Our long-term liquidity needs generally include the funding of capital expenditures to lease space to our customers, maintain the quality of our existing properties and build new properties. Capital expenditures include tenant improvements, building improvements, new building completion costs and land infrastructure costs. Tenant improvements are the costs required to customize space for the specific needs of first-generation and second-generation customers. Building improvements are recurring capital costs not related to a specific customer to maintain existing buildings. New building completion costs are expenses for the construction of new buildings. Land infrastructure costs are expenses to prepare development land for future development activity that is not specifically related to a single building. Excluding recurring capital expenditures for leasing costs and tenant improvements and for normal building improvements, our expected future capital expenditures for started and/or committed new development projects as of October 19, 2006 are approximately \$280 million. A significant portion of these future expenditures are currently subject to binding contractual arrangements.

Additionally, \$110 million of 7.0% unsecured notes will mature in December 2006 and approximately \$63 million of 8.2% secured debt will mature in February 2007. We expect to repay this debt with proceeds from pending or future disposition activity and the issuance of additional secured or unsecured debt. We also have a significant pool of unencumbered assets that could serve as collateral for additional secured debt. Although we expect to repay or refinance all of this outstanding debt on or prior to their respective maturity dates, no assurances can be given that we will be able to do so on favorable terms or at all.

Our long-term liquidity needs also include the funding of development commitments, selective asset acquisitions and the retirement of mortgage debt, amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and long-term unsecured debt. Our goal is to maintain a conservative and flexible balance sheet. Accordingly, we expect to meet our long-term liquidity needs through a combination of (1) the issuance by the Operating Partnership of additional unsecured debt securities, (2) the issuance of additional equity securities by the Company and the Operating Partnership, (3) borrowings under other secured construction loans that we may enter into and (4) the sources described above with respect to our short-term liquidity. We expect to use such sources to meet our long-term liquidity requirements either through direct payments or repayments of borrowings under our revolving credit facility. As mentioned above, we do not intend to reserve funds to retire existing secured or unsecured indebtedness upon maturity. Instead, we will seek to refinance such debt at maturity or retire such debt through the issuance of equity or debt securities or from proceeds from sales of properties. We may also from time to time retire some of our outstanding Preferred Stock through redemptions, open market acquisitions, in privately-negotiated acquisitions or a combination of the foregoing.

We anticipate that our available cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operating activities, with cash available from borrowings and other sources, will be adequate to meet our capital and liquidity needs in both the short and long term. However, if these sources of funds are insufficient or unavailable, our ability to pay dividends to stockholders and satisfy other cash payments may be adversely affected.

Stockholder Dividends

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. REIT taxable income, the calculation of which is determined by the federal tax laws, does not equal net income under GAAP. We generally expect to use our cash flow from operating activities for dividends to stockholders and for payment of recurring capital expenditures. Future dividends will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors. The following factors will affect our cash flows and, accordingly, influence decisions of the Board of Directors regarding dividends:

debt service requirements after taking into account debt covenants and the repayment and restructuring of certain indebtedness;

scheduled increases in base rents of existing leases;

changes in rents attributable to renewal of existing leases or replacement leases;

changes in occupancy rates at existing properties and execution of leases for newly acquired or developed properties;

operating expenses and capital replacement needs, including tenant improvements and leasing costs; and

sales of properties and non-core land.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have several off balance sheet joint venture and guarantee arrangements. The joint ventures were formed with unrelated investors to generate additional capital to fund property acquisitions, repay outstanding debt or fund other strategic initiatives and to lessen the risks typically associated with owning all of the interests in a property. When we create a joint venture with a strategic partner, we usually contribute one or more properties that we own to a newly formed entity in which we retain an equal or less than majority interest. In exchange for an equal or minority interest in the joint venture, we generally receive cash from the partner and frequently retain the management income relating to the properties in the joint venture. For financial reporting purposes, certain assets we sold have been accounted for as financing arrangements.

As of September 30, 2006, our unconsolidated joint ventures had \$776.4 million of total assets and \$600.8 million of total liabilities as reflected in their financial statements. At September 30, 2006, our weighted average equity interest based on the total assets of these unconsolidated joint ventures was 40.4%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, these unconsolidated joint ventures earned \$11.3 million of total net income of which our share, after appropriate purchase accounting and other adjustments, was \$5.3 million. For additional information about our unconsolidated joint venture activity, see Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of September 30, 2006, our unconsolidated joint ventures had \$569.3 million of outstanding mortgage debt. All of this joint venture debt is non-recourse to us except (1) in the case of customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations and (2) those guarantees and loans described in Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The following table sets forth the scheduled maturities of our share of the outstanding debt of our unconsolidated joint ventures, based on our ownership interests, as of September 30, 2006 (in thousands):

Remainder of 2006	\$ 819
2007	3,830
2008	13,111
2009	8,312
2010	11,036
Thereafter	204,590
	\$241.698

For information regarding our off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2005, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Off Balance Sheet Arrangements in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Financing Arrangements

For information regarding sales transactions that were accounted for as financing arrangements at December 31, 2005, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financing and Profit-Sharing Arrangements in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Interest Rate Hedging Activities

To meet, in part, our long-term liquidity requirements, we borrow funds at a combination of fixed and variable rates. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. Our long-term debt, which consists of secured and unsecured long-term financings and the issuance of unsecured debt securities, typically bears interest at fixed rates although some loans bear interest at variable rates. In addition, we have assumed fixed rate and variable rate debt in connection with acquiring properties. Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, from time to time, we may enter into interest rate hedge contracts such as collars, swaps, caps and treasury lock agreements in order to mitigate our interest rate risk with respect to various debt instruments. We do not hold or issue these derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes. The interest rate on all of our variable rate debt is adjusted at one and three month intervals, subject to settlements under interest rate hedge contracts. We also enter into treasury lock agreements from time to time in order to limit our exposure to an increase in interest rates with respect to future debt offerings. We currently have no outstanding interest rate hedge contracts.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

There were no changes to the critical accounting policies made by management in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, except as set forth in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in section Impact of Newly Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards. For a description of our critical accounting estimates, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Estimates in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

We believe that FFO and FFO per share are beneficial to management and investors and are important indicators of the performance of any equity REIT. Because FFO and FFO per share calculations exclude such factors as depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar conditions based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates), they facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between other REITs. Our management believes that historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by itself. As a result, management believes that the use of FFO and FFO per share, together with the required GAAP presentations, provide a more complete understanding of our performance relative to our competitors and a more informed and appropriate basis on which to make decisions involving operating, financing and investing activities.

FFO and FFO per share as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation of FFO and FFO per share as described below. You should also be aware that FFO and FFO per share are non-GAAP financial measures and therefore do not represent net income or net income per share as defined by GAAP. Net income and net income per share as defined by GAAP are the most relevant measures in determining our operating performance because FFO and FFO per share include adjustments that investors may deem subjective, such as adding back expenses such as depreciation and amortization. Furthermore, FFO per share does not depict the amount that accrues directly to the stockholders benefit. Accordingly, FFO and FFO per share should never be considered as alternatives to net income or net income per share as indicators of our operating performance.

Our calculation of FFO, which we believe is consistent with the calculation of FFO as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) and which appropriately excludes the cost of capital improvements and related capitalized interest, is as follows:

Net income (loss) computed in accordance with GAAP;

Less dividends to holders of Preferred Stock and less excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value;

Plus depreciation and amortization of assets uniquely significant to the real estate industry;

Less gains, or plus losses, from sales of depreciable operating properties (but excluding impairment losses) and excluding items that are classified as extraordinary items under GAAP;

Plus or minus adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures (to reflect funds from operations on the same basis); and

Plus or minus adjustments for depreciation and amortization and gains/(losses) on sales and minority interest related to discontinued operations.

Further, in calculating FFO, we add back minority interest in the income from our Operating Partnership, which we believe is consistent with standard industry practice for REITs that operate through an UPREIT structure. We believe that it is important to present FFO on an as-converted basis since all of the Operating Partnership units are redeemable on a one-for-one basis for shares of our Common Stock.

Other REITs may not define FFO in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do.

FFO and FFO per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are summarized in the following table (\$ in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005				Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005			
	200	Per	200	Per	2000	Per	2000	Per
	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	Amount	Share
Funds from operations:								
Net income	\$ 8,909		\$ 22,871		\$ 29,328		\$ 55,459	
Dividends to preferred stockholders	(4,113)		(6,699)		(12,950)		(22,125)	
Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over								
carrying value			(4,272)		(1,803)		(4,272)	
Net income applicable to common stockholders	4,796	\$ 0.09	11,900	\$ 0.22	14,575	\$ 0.26	29,062	\$ 0.54
Add/(Deduct):								
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	28,295	0.46	27,020	0.44	84,226	1.39	82,323	1.36
(Gain) on disposition of depreciable real estate								
assets	(874)	(0.01)	(4,953)	(0.08)	(3,226)	(0.05)	(5,758)	(0.10)
Minority interest from the Operating Partnership in								
income/(loss) from operations	175		(63)		826		(398)	
Unconsolidated affiliates:								
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	2,790	0.04	2,779	0.05	8,143	0.13	8,047	0.13
Discontinued operations:								
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	221		2,276	0.04	1,090	0.02	12,986	0.22
(Gain) on sale	(2,838)	(0.05)	(11,193)	(0.19)	(5,045)	(0.09)	(28,257)	(0.47)
Minority interest from the Operating Partnership in								
income from discontinued operations	273		1,237		556		3,527	
•								
Funds from operations	\$ 32,838	\$ 0.53	\$ 29,003	\$ 0.48	\$ 101,145	\$ 1.66	\$ 101,532	\$ 1.68
Weighted average shares outstanding (1)	61,457		60,486		60,786		60,358	

⁽¹⁾ Includes assumed conversion of all potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents.

ITEM 3. OUANTITATIVE AND OUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The effects of potential changes in interest rates are discussed below. Our market risk discussion includes forward-looking statements and represents an estimate of possible changes in fair value or future earnings that would occur assuming hypothetical future movements in interest rates. These disclosures are not precise indicators of expected future effects, but only indicators of reasonably possible effects. As a result, actual future results may differ materially from those presented. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of our accounting policies and other information related to these financial instruments.

To meet in part our long-term liquidity requirements, we borrow funds at a combination of fixed and variable rates. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. Our long-term debt, which consists of secured and unsecured long-term financings and the issuance of unsecured debt securities, typically bears interest at fixed rates although some loans bear interest at variable rates. In addition, we have assumed fixed rate and variable rate debt in connection with acquiring properties. Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, from time to time we enter into interest rate hedge contracts such as collars, swaps, caps and treasury lock agreements in order to mitigate our interest rate risk with respect to various debt instruments. We do not hold or issue these derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes. We had no interest rate hedge contracts in effect at September 30, 2006.

As of September 30, 2006, we had \$1,152 million of fixed rate debt outstanding. The estimated aggregate fair market value of this debt at September 30, 2006 was \$1,207 million. If interest rates increase by 100 basis points, the aggregate fair market value of our fixed rate debt as of September 30, 2006 would decrease by \$44.9 million. If interest rates decrease by 100 basis points, the aggregate fair market value of our fixed rate debt as of September 30, 2006 would increase by \$48.4 million.

As of September 30, 2006, we had \$309.4 million of variable rate debt outstanding. If the weighted average interest rate on this variable rate debt is 100 basis points higher or lower during the 12 months ended September 30, 2006, our interest expense would increase or decrease by approximately \$3.1 million.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

GENERAL

The purpose of this section is to discuss the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and recent changes in our internal control over financial reporting. The statements in this section represent the conclusions of Edward J. Fritsch, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Terry L. Stevens, our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

The CEO and CFO evaluations of our controls and procedures include a review of the controls objectives and design, the controls implementation by us and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. We seek to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, is undertaken. Our controls and procedures are also evaluated on an ongoing basis by or through the following:

activities undertaken and reports issued by employees in our internal audit department;

quarterly sub-certifications by representatives from appropriate business and accounting functions to support the CEO and CFO s evaluation of our controls and procedures;

other personnel in our finance and accounting organization;

members of our internal disclosure committee; and

members of the audit committee of our Board of Directors.

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, do not expect that our controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In Item 9A of our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management reported that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2005 due to material weaknesses that existed as of such date in: (1) our real estate asset and lease incentive accounting processes, which in turn could affect the equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates in our Consolidated Financial Statements for those joint ventures for which we are primarily responsible for the preparation of their financial statements; and (2) our journal entry approval and financial statement close processes. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we discovered an additional control deficiency relating to the proper classification of assets held for sale under SFAS No. 144 that existed at September 30, 2006 that could have resulted in a material misstatement of our financial statements had it not been discovered prior to the filing of this Quarterly Report. Although we were not required to, nor did we, undertake the procedures necessary to include a management s report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, management believes that such a control deficiency constitutes a material weakness. Subsequent to the financial close process for the third quarter of 2006, we determined that two separate assets aggregating \$14.5 million, which had been originally recorded in real estate assets, net, on our balance sheet in management s initial draft of our third quarter 2006 financial statements, should have been recorded as assets held for sale. Our internal control over financial reporting did not properly ensure the proper classification of these assets under SFAS No. 144 prior to the completion of our financial statement close process. Prior to the finalization of our

third quarter financial statements and the filing of this Quarterly Report, we determined that such assets should be classified as assets held for sale. Such assets are properly reflected as assets held for sale in the financial statements included as part of this Quarterly Report.

During the third quarter of 2006 and through the date of this filing, we implemented various changes and improvements to our internal control over financial reporting relative to our real estate asset and lease incentive accounting processes and to our journal entry approval and financial statement close process. We eliminated our use of and dependence upon manually prepared spreadsheets in accumulating and consolidating restatement adjustments recorded in connection with our historical financial statements by recording in our general ledger all of the restatement adjustments related to our amended 2003 Annual Report and our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K (including ongoing effects of such adjustments to 2005 balances), which should reduce the likelihood of errors in our future consolidated financial statements by lessening our reliance upon such manually prepared spreadsheets in the financial statement close process. We have implemented improvements to our journal entry review and approval processes and enhanced controls over the recording and deleting of journal entries in our general ledger system which should reduce the likelihood of potential errors in future financial statements. We have also implemented revised approval procedures over signing of construction contracts and change orders to provide reasonable assurance that such matters are approved by management at appropriate levels in the Company.

We are also developing and implementing a Company-wide policy and procedures manual for use by our divisional and accounting staff, intended to reasonably assure consistent and appropriate assessment and application of GAAP. The first phase of this longer-term project has focused on the preparation of formal written policies and procedures with respect to accounting for building and tenant improvements. We have conducted and plan to provide additional training for our accounting staff and employees in our various divisional operating offices to educate our personnel with respect to the accounting adjustments that were made to the historical financial statements in our 2004 Annual Report and in our amended 2003 Annual Report and to the material weaknesses and other control deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that existed as of December 31, 2005. We also engaged a search firm to assist us with the process of hiring a Chief Accounting Officer (new position). During the fourth quarter of 2006, we plan to develop and implement additional procedures to ensure the proper classification of assets held for sale under SFAS No. 144 prior to the completion of our financial statement close process.

Since we have not yet completed and evaluated all of our planned remediation activities nor have been required to undertake an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting since December 31, 2005, no assurances can be given that the material weaknesses described above have been sufficiently remediated as of the date of this filing. Our management is working closely with the audit committee to monitor the ongoing remediation of these material weaknesses.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

SEC rules also require us to maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our annual and periodic reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. As defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As described above, since we have not yet completed or evaluated all of our planned remediation activities relating to the material weaknesses described above, our CEO and CFO do not believe that that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are from time to time a party to a variety of legal proceedings, claims and assessments arising in the ordinary course of our business. We regularly assess the liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based on the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that we have incurred or will incur a loss and the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated, reserves are recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, a reasonable estimate of liability, if any, cannot be made. Based on the current expected outcome of any such matters, none of these proceedings, claims or assessments is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In June, August, September and October 2006, we received assessments for state excise taxes and related interest amounting to approximately \$4.5 million, related to periods 2002 through 2004, and may receive additional assessments for later periods, which we estimate could aggregate an additional approximate \$1.1 million. We believe that we are not subject to such taxes and intend to vigorously dispute the assessment. Based on advice of counsel, we currently believe that any exposure for such taxes is not probable, and accordingly no provision for such taxes is reflected in our financial statements.

As previously disclosed, the SEC s Division of Enforcement has issued a confidential formal order of investigation in connection with the Company s previous restatement of its financial results. Even though the Company is cooperating fully, it cannot provide any assurances that the SEC s Division of Enforcement will not take any action that would adversely affect the Company.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

We issued 5,182 shares of Common Stock on July 18, 2006 upon the exchange of 50,000 warrants to purchase our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$32.50 per share, 2,189 shares of Common Stock on August 21, 2006 upon the exchange of 30,000 warrants to purchase our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$34.13 per share, 3,647 shares of Common Stock on September 12, 2006 upon the exchange of 40,000 warrants to purchase our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$34.13 per share, 1,798 shares of Common Stock on September 26, 2006 upon the exchange of 20,000 warrants to purchase our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$34.13 per share and 1,319 shares of Common Stock on September 28, 2006 upon the exchange of 10,000 warrants to purchase our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$32.50 per share. All of such shares were issued to accredited investors in private transactions pursuant to Sections 3(a)(9) and 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

We issued 1,028 shares of restricted stock on July 10, 2006 and 540 shares of restricted stock on September 1, 2006. We also issued 29,622 shares of Common Stock on August 15, 2006 upon the exercise or exchange of 184,217 outstanding stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$27.78 per share. All of such shares were issued to officers and/or directors in private transactions pursuant to Sections 3(a)(9) and 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Information regarding our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on August 3, 2006 is set forth in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2006, by and among Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership, Highwoods Properties, Inc., the Subsidiaries named therein and the Lenders named therein (filed as part of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 8, 2006)
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

By: /s/ Edward J. Fritsch
Edward J. Fritsch

President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Terry L. Stevens
Terry L. Stevens

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: November 7, 2006