NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD. Form 10-K April 15, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007

Commission File No.: 001-13581

Noble International, Ltd.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of incorporation) 38-3139487 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.) 48098

840 W. Long Lake Rd., Suite 601

Edgar Filing: NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD. - Form 10-K

Troy, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (248) 519-0700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Common Stock, \$.00067 par value NASDAQ Global Select Market Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No $\ddot{}$

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained to the best of registrant sknowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer " Accelerated Filer x Non-Accelerated Filer " Smaller Reporting Company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the shares of common stock, \$.00067 par value (Common Stock) held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2007 was approximately \$245.7 million based upon the closing price for the Common Stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on such date.

The number of shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding as of March 11, 2008 was 23,601,224.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Report) incorporates by reference information (to the extent specific sections are referred to herein) from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting (the 2008 Proxy Statement).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<u>Part I</u>		3
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	9
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	15
Item 2.	Properties	15
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	15
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	15
<u>Part II</u>		16
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	16
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	18
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	30
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	78
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	78
Item 9B.	Other Information	81
<u>Part III</u>		82
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	82
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	82
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	82
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	82
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	82
Part IV		83
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	83
	* * *	

The matters discussed in this Annual Report (Report) contain certain forward-looking statements. For this purpose, any statements contained in this Report that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, words such as may, expect, believe, anticipate, estimate, or continue, the negative or other variations thereof, or comparable terminology, are in to identify forward-looking statements. These statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially depending on a variety of factors, including continued market demand for the types of products and services produced and sold by the Company, change in worldwide economic and political conditions and associated impact on interest and foreign exchange rates, the level of sales by original equipment manufacturers of vehicles for which the Company supplies parts, the successful integration of companies acquired by the Company, and changes in consumer debt levels.

PART I

Item 1. Business General

Noble International, Ltd. (we, us, our, Noble or the Company), through its subsidiaries, is a full-service^s *pCavitlenyofu2b Body Solutions*[®] primarily to the automotive industry. We utilize laser-welding, roll-forming, and other technologies to produce flat, tubular, shaped and enclosed formed structures used by original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) or their suppliers in automobile applications including doors, fenders, body side panels, pillars, bumpers, door beams, load floors, windshield headers, door tracks, door frames, and glass channels.

We operate twenty-four production facilities worldwide, including two joint ventures in China and one in India. Thirteen of our facilities are located in North America and the remaining eleven facilities are located primarily in Western Europe. Our executive offices are located at 840 W. Long Lake Rd., Suite 601, Troy, MI 48098, tel. (248) 519-0700. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol NOBL and our website is *www.nobleintl.com*. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Except as otherwise stated in these reports, the information contained on our website or available by hyperlink from our website is not incorporated into this Report or other documents we file with, or furnish to, the SEC.

We were incorporated on October 3, 1993 in the State of Michigan. On June 29, 1999, we reincorporated in the State of Delaware. Since our formation in 1993, we have completed numerous significant acquisitions and divestitures. As used in this Report, each of the terms we, us, our, Noble and the Company refers to Noble International, Ltd. and its subsidiaries and their combined operations after consummation of all such acquisitions and divestitures. Our fiscal year is the calendar year. Any reference to a fiscal year in this Report should be understood to mean the period from January 1 to December 31 of that year.

RECENT ACQUISITIONS

Tailored Laser-Welded Blank Business of Arcelor S.A.

In August 2007, we completed the purchase of Arcelor s Tailored Laser-Welded Blank operations (the Arcelor Transaction). Arcelor S.A. (Arcelor), a Luxembourg corporation, is a member of the ArcelorMittal group, the world s largest steel company. The ArcelorMittal group, with 330,000 employees in more than 60 countries, has an industrial presence in 27 countries across Europe, the Americas, Asia and Africa and is a steel provider to numerous industrial sectors such as automotive, construction, household appliances and packaging.

The total value of the Arcelor Transaction was approximately \$300 million, with Arcelor receiving 9.375 million shares of our common stock with the balance in the form of cash, assumption of certain obligations and a subordinated note. As part of the Arcelor Transaction, we acquired eight production facilities, including one facility in the United States, plus interests in two joint ventures in Asia (the Arcelor Business .) The remaining facilities are located in Belgium, France, Germany, Spain, Slovakia and the United Kingdom.

The Arcelor Transaction provides us with considerable customer and geographic diversification. Our post-transaction customer concentration improved dramatically, with the largest customer only accounting for approximately 18% of our total net sales. Products manufactured outside of North America will account for approximately 53% of our total net sales. The acquisition also provides us with important new customers including Renault and Peugeot, as well as the European operations of Ford, General Motors and Volkswagen.

We entered into a three year transition services agreement and a five year steel supply and services agreement with ArcelorMittal to support our European operations. We also have access to ArcelorMittal s automotive-related research and development efforts, and we intend to work together to develop new products and applications for the automotive industry.

Post-Closing Developments Relating to the Arcelor Transaction

On March 19, 2008, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement) with ArcelorMittal pursuant to which ArcelorMittal agreed to provide subordinated debt financing to us in the form of a convertible subordinated note with a principal amount of \$50 million. The convertible subordinated note was issued on March 20, 2008, bears interest at the rate of 6% per annum and matures on March 20, 2013. The conversion price is subject to reset and adjustment. For more information on the terms of the convertible note, see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data; Note 2 Earnings (Loss) Per Share and Note 20 Subsequent Events.

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, we have agreed: (a) at our next annual meeting of stockholders, to submit for approval a proposal to allow the issuance of the shares upon conversion in accordance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(i), to use our best efforts to solicit our stockholders approval of such issuance and to cause our board of directors to recommend to the stockholders that they approve such proposal; (b) to avail ourselves of the controlled company exemption regarding corporate governance requirements under the NASDAQ listing requirements at any time that ArcelorMittal s beneficial ownership (including shares held by ArcelorMittal s affiliates) exceeds 50% of the outstanding shares of our common stock; and (c) promptly following (i) the closing under the Securities Purchase Agreement and (ii) the designation by ArcelorMittal of nominees to serve on our board of directors in accordance with the standstill and stockholder agreement described above, as amended by the Agreement and Waiver (as hereinafter defined).

In connection with the closing under the Securities Purchase Agreement, we entered into an agreement and waiver with ArcelorMittal and our former chairman of the board, Mr. Robert Skandalaris (the Agreement and Waiver), which waives the applicability to ArcelorMittal of the standstill provisions and other provisions of the standstill and stockholders agreement. We also entered into the first amendment to the registration rights agreement with ArcelorMittal and Mr. Skandalaris, which amended the registration rights agreement to provide that the convertible note and the shares issuable upon its conversion are included as registrable securities that ArcelorMittal may require us to register.

Pullman Industries, Inc.

In October 2006, we completed the acquisition of all outstanding common stock of Pullman Industries, Inc. (Pullman) for approximately \$122.1 million, including cash of \$90.7 million, the assumption of long-term debt of \$22.0 million, and contingent consideration of approximately \$14.0 million offset by cash acquired of \$4.6 million. The contingent consideration is payable upon our receipt of amounts owed by certain customers, subject to any rights of offset available to us, and has been recorded as Contingent consideration in our financial statements. For additional information on this liability, see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 8 Acquisitions.

Pullman operated four facilities in the United States and two facilities in Mexico. Pullman s product line consisted primarily of structural, impact and trim roll-formed components for automotive and furniture applications. Pullman s expertise as an enabling technology allows us to create more advanced tubular, shaped and enclosed formed structures to meet the future demands of the automotive industry. Combining roll-forming and laser-welding allows us to create more complex, finished impact and structural products, improving safety in more parts of the vehicle. This combination is particularly important as the need to produce safer and lighter vehicles is a focus of the industry. Both laser-welding and roll-forming offer similar advantages over costly,

traditional stamping methods, including more efficient processing, better material utilization and lower total cost. The combination significantly reinforces our 21st Century Auto Body Solutions[®] strategy.

Operations in Silao, Mexico

In January 2005, we completed the acquisition of the assets of Oxford Automotive Inc. s steel processing facility in Silao, Mexico for \$5.7 million in cash and the assumption of \$1.1 million in operating liabilities. The facility supplies component blanks on a toll processing basis to the Mexican automotive industry. In the fourth quarter 2005, we entered into an agreement with Sumitomo Corporation and its affiliates (Sumitomo) to sell a 49% interest in the Silao facility for consideration of approximately \$5.5 million in cash and assumption of a portion of the entity s debt. We continue to consolidate results of operations from the Silao facility in our financial statements.

For additional information on the above acquisitions, see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 8 Acquisitions.

INDUSTRY

The process of laser-welding involves the concentration of a beam of light, producing energy densities of 16 to 20 million watts per square inch at the point where two metal pieces are to be joined. Laser-welding allows rapid weld speeds with low heat input, thus minimizing topical distortion of the metal and resulting in ductile and formable welds that have mechanical properties comparable to, or in some cases superior to, the metal being welded. Laser welds provide improved performance as well as visual aesthetics and allow significant automation of the welding process.

The integration of the Pullman roll-forming and *P-Tech*[®] hot forming technology with our laser- welding technology further enhances our 21^{st} *Century Auto Body Solutions*[®] strategy. Roll-forming is a continuous, rapid, low-scrap forming method that improves upon the production cost and tooling burden of traditional metal stamping. Parts are formed into final shape by progressively passing through sets of roller dies. As an additional competitive advantage, this forming method can be used with ultra high strength steels that cannot be stamped, furthering our ability to supply parts for advancing vehicle structures. Roll-formed components are applied to the vehicle in the trim, base structure, and impact system areas. With our *P-Tech*[®] hot forming technology, low-cost, heat treatable steel is formed into highly complex and efficient engineered structures, and then heat treated to form the strongest of steels: martensite. This unique combination of formability and strength enables our engineers to provide impact structure to the automakers with aluminum-like strength to weight performance while maintaining the cost advantages of steel. Combined with roll-forming, the proprietary *P-Tech*[®] hot forming technology places us at the forefront of impact structure and energy management design and production. Both roll-forming and *P-Tech*[®] add up to 15 unique product applications to our portfolio, but more importantly, they complement most of the existing product applications by adding additional cost, weight and strength efficiencies.

The combination of laser-welding, roll-forming and *P-Tech*[®] technologies offers significant advantages over other welding and forming technologies, including cost, weight and safety benefits. We have developed a technology and production process that we believe permits us to produce products more quickly and with higher quality and tolerance levels than our competitors. The Ultra Light Steel Auto Body Consortium, a worldwide industry association of steel producers, commissioned a study which concluded that laser-welded blanks and tubes will play a significant role in car manufacturing in the next decade as the automotive industry is further challenged to produce lighter cars for better fuel economy with enhanced safety features and lower manufacturing costs. The study identified 19 potential applications for laser-welded tubular structures.

We market our products to automakers by promoting the integrated benefits of laser-welding and roll-formed products. We have quantified the benefits of incorporating our products into customer vehicles, which may include lower total cost, lower vehicle weight, improved crash performance and reductions in steel usage.

Our sales force actively markets our products to automaker engineers as well as to management to drive penetration of our products into more vehicles.

Our customers include automotive original equipment manufacturers (OEM) such as General Motors, Chrysler, Ford, Honda, Volkswagen, Nissan, Renault and Peugeot, as well as other companies that are suppliers to OEMs (Tier I suppliers). We, as a Tier I and a supplier to Tier I companies (Tier II) provide prototype, design, engineering, laser welded blanks and tubes, roll-formed products and other automotive component services.

Our manufacturing facilities in Warren, Michigan; South Haven, Michigan (2 facilities); Butler, Indiana; Shelbyville, Kentucky; Brantford, Canada; Queretaro, Mexico and Puebla, Mexico have been awarded ISO 14001 and TS16949 certifications. Our facility in Silao, Mexico has been awarded TS16949 certification. All of our European manufacturing facilities are certified by ISO/TS 16949, with the exception of our facility in Slovakia, which is in the process of obtaining the certification. In addition, our facilities in Warren, Michigan; Shelbyville, Kentucky; and Butler, Indiana have been awarded Q1 certification from Ford.

DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

The development of new automobile models or the redesign of existing models generally begins two to five years prior to the marketing of such models to the public. Our engineering staff typically work with the OEM and Tier I engineers of our customers early in the development phase to design specific automotive body components for the new or redesigned models. We also provide other value-added services, such as prototyping, to our customers.

Our engineering and research staff design and integrate proprietary laser-welding and roll-formed systems using the latest design techniques. These systems are for our exclusive use and are not marketed or sold to third parties. Continued strategic investment in process technology is essential for us to remain competitive in the markets we serve, and we plan to continue to make research and development expenditures. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we expensed \$0.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, on research and development.

Noble Advanced Technologies (NAT) is an organization within Noble that is completely dedicated to product and process research and development activities. The NAT product application engineers enter early into the automaker s design process to gain better access to component sales. Several new processes are under development to enhance market competitiveness and scope for the auto structures. Research spans laser-welding, roll-forming, and metallurgical transformation processes and includes supporting design and simulation techniques. NAT is responsible for our intellectual property development and protection.

In conjunction with the Arcelor Transaction, we entered into a five-year steel supply and services agreement with Arcelor Auto, a subsidiary of ArcelorMittal. As part of this agreement, Arcelor Auto provides research and development services to our European operations. All research and development plans will be jointly agreed to by Arcelor Auto and us. Arcelor Auto will pay approximately the first 2.0 million of research and development cost each year, and we will pay any cost in excess of 2.0 million. Arcelor Auto will grant us a license to use the intellectual property that is developed on the same terms as provided in the intellectual property licensing agreement.

MARKETING

Our sales and engineering staff are located in direct proximity to our major customers. Typically, OEMs and Tier I suppliers conduct a competitive bid process to select suppliers for the parts that are to be included in end products. Our direct sales force, marketing and technical personnel work closely with OEM and Tier I engineers to satisfy their specific requirements. In addition, our technical personnel spend a significant amount of time assisting OEM and Tier I engineers in product planning and integration of our products in future automotive models.

In addition, the five-year steel supply and services agreement with Arcelor Auto stipulates that certain marketing, technical support, sales, credit risk, invoicing, collections, and consulting services be provided by Arcelor Auto to our European operations.

RAW MATERIALS

The raw materials required for our products include rolled steel, coated steel, and gases including helium, carbon dioxide and argon. We obtain our raw materials and purchased parts from a variety of suppliers. We do not believe that we are dependent upon any one of our suppliers, despite concentration of purchasing of certain materials from a few sources, as other suppliers of the same or similar materials are readily available. We typically purchase our raw materials on a purchase order basis as needed and have generally been able to obtain adequate supplies of raw materials for our operations.

In North America, the majority of the steel used in our operations is purchased through OEMs steel buying programs. Under these programs we purchase the steel from specific suppliers at the steel price the customer negotiated with the steel suppliers. We take ownership and the attendant risks of ownership of the steel, and the price at which the steel is purchased has historically been fixed for the duration of each program. However, certain OEM s have made mid-program changes to steel prices. Furthermore, a portion of the automotive operations involves the toll processing of materials supplied by another Tier I supplier, typically a steel manufacturer or processor. Under these toll processing arrangements, we charge a specified fee for operations performed without acquiring ownership of the steel.

Under the five-year steel supply and services agreement, Arcelor Auto supplies all flat-rolled carbon steel products needed by our European production facilities. Arcelor Auto has agreed to provide us with the most favorable pricing contemporaneously provided by Arcelor Auto, with respect to similar volumes and on the same terms and conditions, to any of our European welded-blanks competitors.

PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

We own numerous patents and patents pending and certain trademarks related to our products and methods of manufacturing. It is our belief that the loss of any single patent or group of patents would not have a material adverse effect on our business. We also have proprietary technology and equipment that constitute trade secrets, which we have chosen not to register to avoid public disclosure thereof. We rely upon patent and trademark law, trade secret protection and confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, customers and third parties to protect our proprietary rights.

SEASONALITY

Our operations are largely dependent upon the automotive industry, which is highly cyclical and is dependent upon consumer spending. In addition, the automotive component supply industry is somewhat seasonal. Increased net sales and operating profit are generally experienced during the second calendar quarter as a result of the automotive industry s spring selling season, the peak sales and production period of the year. Net sales and operating profit generally decrease during July and December of each year as a result of changeovers in production lines for new model years as well as scheduled OEM plant shutdowns for vacations and holidays. For more information on this topic, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; Our business could be adversely affected by the cyclicality and seasonality of the automotive industry.

CUSTOMERS

Automotive industry customers account for substantially all of our consolidated net sales. Certain customers accounted for significant percentages (greater than 10%) of our consolidated net sales as follows:

	Year	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005		
Chrysler	26%	40%	37%		
General Motors	25%	24%	21%		
Ford Motor Company	15%	20%	28%		

For more information on the concentrated nature of our significant customers, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; The loss of any significant customer or the failure of any significant customer to pay amounts due to us could have a material adverse effect on our business.

COMPETITION

The automotive component supply and tooling component industries are highly competitive. Our primary competitors across various markets are TWB Company, Shiloh Industries, Powerlasers, Shape Corporation, Magna International, Global Automotive Systems, Flex-N-Gate, Thyssen Krupp Steel, Voest Alpine, Gestamp and Saltzgitter, among others. In addition, some OEMs produce some of the products we provide in-house. Competition is based on many factors, including engineering, product design, process capability, quality, cost, delivery and responsiveness. We believe that our performance record places us in a strong competitive position although there can be no assurance that we can continue to compete successfully against existing or future competitors in each of the markets in which we compete. For more information on this topic, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; Our business faces substantial competition.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are subject to environmental laws and regulations concerning emissions to the air, discharges to waterways, and generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials. We are also subject to other federal and state laws and regulations regarding health and safety matters. We believe that we are currently in compliance with applicable environmental and health and safety laws and regulations. For more information on this topic, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; We are subject to the requirements of federal, state, local and foreign environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we employed approximately 3,000 associates including approximately 2,300 production employees and 700 managerial, engineering, research and administrative personnel. Approximately 1,300 associates are employed in the United States. For additional information about our employees, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; Our business could be adversely affected by labor interruptions.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Prior to the closing of the Arcelor Transaction on August 31, 2007, we had historically classified our operations into one industry segment operating in the automotive industry. As a result of the Arcelor Transaction and subsequent reorganization of our business, we identified the following two operating segments as of December 31, 2007, which are based upon geographical areas (region of production):

North America, which includes our operations in the United States, Canada and Mexico

Europe and Rest of World, which includes our operations in Europe, Australia and Asia Both segments perform laser-welding and metal processing activities for the automotive industry.

International operations are subject to certain additional risks inherent in conducting business outside the United States such as changes in currency exchange rates, price and currency exchange controls, import restrictions, nationalization, expropriation and other governmental action.

For additional information about segments and geographic areas, see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 18 Segment Information.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following factors are important and should be considered carefully in connection with any evaluation of our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, the following factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those reflected in any forward-looking statements.

Our business is subject to all of the risks associated with substantial leverage, including that available cash may not be adequate to make required payments, and we may not comply with our credit facilities. To finance our operations, including costs related to various acquisitions, we have incurred indebtedness. Our credit facilities are subject to customary financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on debt, consolidations, mergers, sales of assets, and bank approval on certain acquisitions. Our credit facilities are also secured by the equity interests of our subsidiaries and substantially all of our assets. Our ability to satisfy outstanding debt obligations from cash flow is dependent upon our future performance and is subject to financial, business and other factors, many of which may be beyond our control. In the event that we do not have sufficient cash resources to satisfy our repayment obligations, we would be in default under the agreements pursuant to which such obligations were incurred, which would have a material adverse effect on our business. To the extent that we are required to use cash resources to satisfy interest payments to the holders of outstanding debt obligations, we will have fewer resources available for other purposes. We may increase our leverage in the future, whether as a result of operational or financial performance, acquisition or otherwise.

We are subject to certain financial and other restrictive covenants pursuant to our credit facilities. We may not be able to meet all the covenants under each of our credit agreements and, if we do not meet a covenant obligation, we may be subject to a notice of default under such agreement. The credit agreements governing our credit facilities contain a number of restrictive covenants, the violation of which could result in a notice of default under such agreements. When we have not been able to meet our covenant obligations, we have been able to obtain waivers of such covenant requirements or amendments to the credit agreements. In the future, however, we may not be able to obtain a waiver or consent in the event of a default. We can give no assurance that we will be able to amend or obtain a permanent waiver of the covenants in the event that we are unable to meet the requirements of such covenants. If we are unable to amend or obtain a permanent waiver from compliance with the covenants by the date stated in such agreement, the lenders could exercise their remedies against us, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

As of December 31, 2007, we were not in compliance with all of our covenants under the European Credit Facility (as hereinafter defined), but we subsequently received a waiver from the syndicate of commercial banks with respect to any defaults based on such non-compliance. This waiver is effective until May 2, 2008, at which date we would be in default unless the covenants are formally amended. This waiver is contingent upon us making a 20.0 million prepayment on the European Term Loan (as hereinafter defined). Such prepayment amount shall be funded by the proceeds of subordinated debt provided to us by ArcelorMittal or a mutually agreed upon alternative solution. We are in discussions with the lenders under the European Credit Facility regarding an amendment or permanent waiver to avoid potential future covenant defaults.

If we do not make our periodic filings with the SEC in a timely manner, our stock may be delisted. On April 3, 2008, we received a letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market (Nasdaq) notifying us that Nasdaq did not receive our Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007 as required by applicable Nasdaq marketplace rules, and that, accordingly, subject to our right to request an appeal, trading of our common stock will be suspended at the opening of business on April 14, 2008 and our securities will be removed from listing and registration on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. Subsequent to our receipt of this letter, we filed an appeal with Nasdaq, which has resulted in a stay of the trading suspension and the delisting of our common stock, pending the outcome of the appeal. If the outcome of the appeal is not resolved in our favor, and if the trading of our common stock is suspended for a material amount of time and/or if we are delisted, an investor would find it

difficult to dispose of, or to obtain quotations as to the price of, our common stock. Delisting of our common stock could also result in lower prices per share of our common stock than would otherwise prevail, which might also materially affect our ability to raise capital and, thus, affect our business.

Our business could be adversely affected by the cyclicality and seasonality of the automotive industry. The automotive industry is highly cyclical and dependent on consumer spending. Economic factors adversely affecting automotive production and consumer spending could adversely impact our business. In addition, the automotive component supply industry is somewhat seasonal. Our need for continued significant expenditures for capital equipment purchases, equipment development and ongoing manufacturing improvement and support, among other factors, makes it difficult for us to reduce operating expenses in a particular period if our net sales forecasts for such period are not met because a substantial component of our operating expenses are fixed. Generally, net sales and operating profit increase during the second calendar quarter of each year as a result of the automotive industry s spring selling season, which is the peak sales and production period of the year. Net sales and operating profit generally decrease during July and December of each year as a result of changeovers in production lines for new model years as well as scheduled OEM plant shutdowns for vacations and holidays.

Our historical results of operations have generally not reflected typical cyclical or seasonal fluctuations in net sales and operating profit. The acquisitions and divestitures completed by us and new product sales growth have resulted in a growth trend through successive periods, which has masked the effect of typical seasonal fluctuations. We may not continue our historical growth trend, return to profitability, or conform to industry norms for seasonality in future periods.

The loss of any significant customer or the failure of any significant customer to pay amounts due to us could have a material adverse effect on our business. Sales to the automotive industry accounted for substantially all of our net sales in 2007. In addition, our automotive sales are highly concentrated among a few major OEMs and Tier I suppliers. As is typical in the automotive supply industry, we generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers. Our customers provide annual estimates of their requirements; however, sales are made on a short-term purchase order basis. There is substantial and continuing pressure from the major OEMs and Tier I suppliers to reduce costs, including the cost of products purchased from outside suppliers. If in the future we are unable to generate sufficient production cost savings to offset price reductions, our operating profit could be adversely affected.

Acquisitions could materially and adversely affect our financial performance. The automotive component supply industry is undergoing consolidation as OEMs seek to reduce both their costs and their supplier base. Future acquisitions may be made to enable us to expand into new geographic markets, add new customers, provide new products, expand manufacturing and service capabilities and increase automotive model market penetration with existing customers. We may not be successful in identifying appropriate acquisition candidates or in combining operations with such candidates if they are identified. It should be noted that any acquisitions could involve the dilutive issuance of equity securities or the incurrence of debt. In addition, acquisitions involve numerous other risks, including difficulties in assimilation of the acquired company s operations following consummation of the acquisition, the diversion of management s attention from other business concerns, risks of producing products we have limited experience with, the potential loss of key customers of the acquired company, and the inability of pre-acquisition due diligence to identify all possible issues that may arise with respect to products of the acquired company. Our ability to successfully integrate the operations acquired in the Arcelor Transaction involves numerous risks, including designing and implementing internal controls over financial reporting at those locations. We may not be able to ensure that all such internal controls over financial reporting are operating effectively.

We may be unable to remediate our material weaknesses in audit committee composition and sufficiency of accounting personnel at our roll-forming business headquarters location. This failure and any failure in the future to achieve and maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting and otherwise comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could have a material adverse effect on our business. Such noncompliance could result in perceptions of our business among customers, suppliers, lenders, investors, securities analysts and others being adversely affected. We may not be able to

complete our remediation plans designed to address the identified material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting and continue to attract additional qualified accountants to assist in completing such plans and maintaining compliance programs. The failure to successfully complete our remediation plans could adversely affect our business. For additional information see Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Our business may be adversely affected by the failure to obtain business on new and redesigned model introductions. Our automotive product lines are subject to change as our customers, including both OEMs and Tier I suppliers, introduce new or redesigned products. We compete for new business both at the beginning of the development phase of new vehicle models, which generally begins two to five years prior to the marketing of such models to the public, and upon the redesign of existing models. Our net sales would be adversely affected if we fail to obtain business on new models, fail to retain or increase business on redesigned existing models, if our customers do not successfully introduce new products incorporating our products, or if market demand for these new products does not develop as anticipated.

Our business faces substantial competition. Markets for all of our products are extremely competitive. We compete based upon a variety of factors, including engineering, product design, process capability, quality, cost, delivery and responsiveness. In addition, with respect to certain of our products, we face competition from divisions of our OEM customers. Our business may be adversely affected by competition, and we may not be able to maintain our profitability if the competitive environment changes.

We are dependent upon the continuous improvement of our production technologies. Our ability to continue to meet customer demands within our automotive operations with respect to performance, cost, quality and service will depend, in part, upon our ability to remain technologically competitive with our production processes. New automotive products are increasingly complex, require increased welding precision, use of various materials and have to be run at higher production speeds and with lower scrap ratios to reduce costs. The investment of significant additional capital or other resources may be required to meet this continuing challenge. If we are unable to improve our production technologies, we may lose business and our business could be adversely affected.

Our up-front dedication of design and engineering resources may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flow or results of operations. Within the automotive industry, OEMs and Tier I suppliers require their suppliers to provide design and engineering input during the product development process. The direct costs of design and engineering are generally borne by our customers. However, we bear the indirect cost associated with the allocation of design and engineering resources to such product development projects. Despite our up-front dedication of design and engineering resources, our customers are under no obligation to order the subject components or systems from us following their development. In addition, when we deem it strategically advisable, we may also bear the direct up-front design and engineering costs as well.

We are subject to U.S. and global economic risks and uncertainties. Demand in the automotive industry is significantly dependent on the U.S. and the global economies, and our business and profitability are exposed to current and future uncertainties. Demand in the automotive industry fluctuates in response to overall economic conditions and is particularly sensitive to changes in interest rate levels, consumer confidence and fuel costs. The threat or act of terrorism and war, higher energy costs, the housing and mortgage crisis, and other recent developments have adversely affected consumer confidence throughout the U.S. and much of the world, and exacerbated the uncertainty in our markets. The future impact resulting from changes in economic conditions is difficult to predict. Our results of operations would be harmed by any sustained weakness in demand or continued downturn in the economy.

Our net sales are impacted by automotive retail inventory levels and production schedules. In recent years, OEM customers have significantly reduced their production and inventory levels due to the uncertain economic environment. It is extremely difficult to predict future production rates and inventory levels for such OEM customers. Any additional decline in production rates or inventory levels would adversely affect our operating results.

We are under pressure from our customers to reduce prices. We and other suppliers to the automotive markets face continued price reduction pressure from our customers. The U.S.-based OEMs, in particular, have experienced significant market share erosion to non-U.S.-based OEMs over the past few years, thereby putting pressure on their profitability. The U.S.-based OEMs respond by pressuring their suppliers, including us, to reduce the prices of products sold. To the extent this trend continues, the price reduction pressures experienced will be ongoing. While we constantly strive to sustain and improve our margins through a variety of efforts, we may not be able to maintain or improve our operating results in the face of such price reduction pressures.

Our business could be adversely affected by labor interruptions. Within the automotive supply industry, substantially all of the hourly employees of the OEMs and many Tier I suppliers are represented by labor unions and work pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. The failure of any of our significant customers to reach agreement with a labor union on a timely basis, resulting in either a work stoppage or strike, could have a material adverse effect on our business. We have collective bargaining agreements with several unions, including: the United Auto Workers, the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, the Confederation of Mexican Workers and the Confederation of Workers & Farm Laborers in Mexico. In addition, the majority of our European employees are members of industrial trade union organizations and confederations within their respective countries. Although no facility has been subject to a strike, lockout or other major work stoppage, any such incident would have a material adverse effect on our operating profit.

We may incur losses as a result of product liability exposure. As a supplier to the automotive market, we face an inherent business risk of exposure to product liability claims if the failure of one of our products results in personal injury or death. Material product liability losses may occur in the future. In addition, if any of our products prove to be defective, we may be required to participate in a recall involving such products. We maintain insurance against product liability claims, but there can be no assurance that such coverage may be adequate or may continue to be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. A successful claim brought against us in excess of available insurance coverage or a requirement to participate in any product recall could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to the requirements of federal, state, local and foreign environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations. Although we have made and will continue to make expenditures to comply with environmental and health and safety requirements, these requirements are constantly evolving, and it is impossible to predict whether compliance with these laws and regulations may have a material adverse effect on us in the future. If a release of hazardous substances occurs on or from our properties or from any of our disposals at offsite disposal locations, or if contamination is discovered at any of our current or former properties, we may be held liable, and the amount of such liability could be material.

Our ability to operate efficiently may be impaired if we lose key personnel. Our operations and the integration of our new European operations are dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified employees in the areas of finance, accounting, engineering, operations and management, and are greatly influenced by the efforts and abilities of our executive officers. We have employment agreements with several executive officers. We do not maintain key-person life insurance coverage on our executives.

Certain key personnel have the opportunity to return to ArcelorMittal for up to two years after the closing of the Arcelor Transaction. If a significant number of these individuals exercise this right, our ability to operate our European business could be significantly impaired. The operation of our new European business and the integration of this business with other operations depends significantly upon our ability to attract and retain qualified employees in the areas of finance, accounting, engineering, operations and management. Each key employee of our European business, as well as approximately 29 other employees, will have the opportunity to return to ArcelorMittal following the closing of the Arcelor Transaction for up to two years. ArcelorMittal has agreed not to solicit our employees, but we cannot prevent these 34 employees from returning to ArcelorMittal. If a significant number of these executives elect to return to ArcelorMittal, our ability to operate the European business and to integrate its operations with our other operations could be significantly impaired. We will be dependent on management in the various countries where the European facilities are located for their

customer contacts and their knowledge and experience, including their knowledge about local regulations and business customs. Loss of these executives could adversely affect our revenues in these countries.

Our European business is dependent upon ArcelorMittal for various support functions including contract manufacturing, invoicing, collections, payroll, and other human resources functions. In addition, we are dependent upon ArcelorMittal for information technology support for up to four years after the closing of the Arcelor Transaction. The operations of our European business could be disrupted if there are problems in the provision of these support services. Because our European business has not been operated as a stand-alone business, we have entered into a number of agreements with ArcelorMittal providing for ArcelorMittal to provide support services to the European business for up to three years after closing of the Arcelor Transaction and four years for certain information technology products and services. ArcelorMittal provides payroll, human resources, administration, information technology, purchasing and other support services. We believe that these arrangements will facilitate the integration of the European business by allowing us to transition these various functions away from ArcelorMittal over a period of time. Currently, we do not have the personnel or other resources to perform all of these functions internally. If ArcelorMittal experiences problems in providing these support services or materially defaults under these agreements, we would be required to make alternative arrangements. Our net sales could be adversely affected, and our expenses could increase if we are required to assume these support functions earlier than projected.

We are exposed to risks related to our reliance on our business relationship with ArcelorMittal. ArcelorMittal has granted us a royalty-free, perpetual exclusive license to certain patents and intellectual property used in our European business. The exclusivity of this license will lapse, and the license will continue on a non-exclusive basis, upon the latter of: (1) the fifth anniversary of the closing of the Arcelor Transaction; and (2) the date ArcelorMittal and its affiliates own fewer than 4,687,500 shares of our common stock. Both the limited time of exclusivity and any sale of ArcelorMittal s shares are outside of our control. The loss of this exclusivity could result in competitors obtaining licenses from ArcelorMittal that could enable them to compete more effectively with us and result in a reduction in our net sales.

Under a steel supply and services agreement, ArcelorMittal provides us, among other things, marketing, sales, after sales, credit risk, invoicing, collection and consulting services. We have only a small sales force in Europe. Under this agreement, Arcelor Auto serves as Noble s sales representative and will promote the interests of our European business along with the interests of Arcelor Auto. If ArcelorMittal materially defaults on this agreement or otherwise fails to successfully sell our products, our net sales may be adversely affected.

We are heavily dependent upon ArcelorMittal meeting our quantity and quality demands with regard to flat-rolled carbon steel in Europe. If ArcelorMittal s production of steel should be disrupted or should ArcelorMittal s production processes generate greater than usual defective products, we could face difficulties in delivering our products on time, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

A change of control cannot occur unless ArcelorMittal transfers a significant portion of its shares of our common stock to third parties. As of the date of this Report, ArcelorMittal owns approximately 49.95% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, which gives ArcelorMittal substantial voting control to accept or reject a change in control proposal. The increase in ownership by ArcelorMittal is attributable to the fact that ArcelorMittal and our former chairman of the board and current director, Mr. Robert Skandalaris, executed and closed a sale option exercise agreement dated March 12, 2008 (Exercise Agreement), pursuant to which ArcelorMittal purchased 2,439,055 shares of our common stock held by Mr. Skandalaris. Moreover, as part of the Exercise Agreement, ArcelorMittal also has an option to purchase Mr. Skandalaris remaining 41,902 shares of our common stock. Unless ArcelorMittal transfers a significant portion of our common stock owned by it, it is not possible to effect a change of control without ArcelorMittal sparticipation or approval, even if a change of control, such as a business combination at a premium to the trading price of our common stock, is in the best interests of our other stockholders. In addition, if ArcelorMittal were to convert the entire principal amount outstanding under the \$50 million convertible subordinated note, ArcelorMittal would acquire up to an additional 19.9% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

The significant blocks of our common stock owned by ArcelorMittal could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. ArcelorMittal has registration rights pursuant to which they may require us to register their shares of our common stock for resale under the Securities Act of 1933. See Item 1. Business: Post-Closing Developments Related to the Arcelor Transaction and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 20 Subsequent Events. Sales from time to time under the registration statements that we must file under the registration rights agreement could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Moreover, the mere possibility of these sales could create an overhang that could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Certain provisions of our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws may inhibit changes in control not approved by the board of directors. These anti-takeover provisions include: (i) a prohibition on stockholder action through written consents; (ii) a requirement that special meetings of stockholders be called only by the board of directors; (iii) advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations and; (iv) limitations on the ability of stockholders to amend, alter or repeal the Bylaws. We are also afforded the protections of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which could have similar effects.

The inability to effectively manage risks associated with international operations could adversely affect our business. We operate international production facilities in Canada, Mexico, Europe, and Australia and joint ventures in China and India. Our business strategy may include the further continued expansion of international operations. As we expand our international operations, we will increasingly be subject to the risks associated with such operations, including: (i) fluctuations in currency exchange rates; (ii) compliance with local laws and other regulatory requirements; (iii) restrictions on the repatriation of funds; (iv) inflationary conditions; (v) political and economic instability; (vi) war or other hostilities; (vii) overlap of tax structures; and (viii) expropriation or nationalization of assets. The realization of any one or more of these risks could adversely affect our results of operations.

We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common stock will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Approximately 49.95% of the shares of common stock currently issued and outstanding are restricted securities as that term is defined under Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Rule 144) or control securities (as that term is used in Rule 144), and may not be sold unless they are registered or unless an exemption from registration, such as the exemption provided by Rule 144, is available. All of these restricted or control securities are currently eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144, subject in most cases to the volume and manner of sale limitations prescribed by Rule 144.

Trading price volatility may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. The trading price of our common stock could be subject to significant fluctuations in response to, among other factors, variations in operating results, developments in the automotive industry, general economic conditions, fluctuations in interest rates and changes in securities analysts recommendations regarding our securities.

The failure of SET Enterprises, Inc. (**SET**) **could have a material adverse affect on our financial condition.** We currently hold \$10.0 million face value of SET preferred stock and 4% of the issued and outstanding shares of SET common stock. The carrying values of our investments in SET s preferred stock and common stock are zero as of December 31, 2007. In addition, we provide a \$3.0 million guarantee of SET s senior debt. This guarantee is reduced \$0.5 million on a quarterly basis beginning in the first quarter 2008 if SET is in compliance with its debt covenants. The failure of SET s business could materially adversely affect our financial condition, our relationship with SET provides minority content in product sold to certain OEMs. These OEMs use a supplier s percentage of minority content as a consideration when awarding new business. To the extent that we could not replace minority content provided by SET with content from another minority business enterprise, the failure of SET could affect the future award of new business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

Item 2. Properties

We operate production facilities worldwide which are used for multiple purposes and range in size from 23,000 square feet to 524,000 square feet, with an aggregate of 2.7 million square feet. Our corporate headquarters are located in Troy, Michigan. None of our facilities, with the exception of the former Pullman headquarters that we are currently seeking to sublease, are materially underutilized. We believe that all of our property and equipment, owned or leased, is in good, working condition, is well maintained and provides sufficient capacity to meet our current and expected manufacturing and distribution needs.

The following table presents the locations of our facilities and the operating segments that use such facilities:

Property Location	
Corporate Headquarters, Troy, Michigan	Leased
Former Pullman Headquarters, Troy, Michigan	Leased
North America Segment	
Brantford, Ontario Canada	Leased
Butler, Indiana	Leased
Holt, Michigan	Owned
Queretaro, Mexico	Owned
Puebla, Mexico	Leased
Shelbyville, Kentucky	Leased
Silao, Mexico	Owned
South Haven, Michigan (East)	Leased
South Haven, Michigan (West)	Leased
Spring Lake, Michigan	Owned
Stow, Ohio	Leased
Tonawanda, New York	Leased
Warren, Michigan	Leased
Europe and Rest of World Segment	
Adelaide, Australia	Leased
Birmingham, United Kingdom	Leased
Bremen, Germany	Owned
Genk, Belgium	Leased
Gent, Belgium	Owned
European Headquarters, Merelbeke, Belgium	Leased
Lorraine, France	Leased
European Sales Office, St. Denis, France	Leased
Senica, Slovakia	Leased
Zaragoza, Spain	Owned

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to several routine litigation proceedings incidental to our business, none of which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, business or operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol NOBL. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing prices, as adjusted for the three-for-two stock split effective on February 3, 2006, for our common stock for each period indicated:

	Price R Commo	0
	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2007		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 21.74	\$ 14.64
Third Quarter	22.60	17.70
Second Quarter	20.52	16.29
First Quarter	20.12	16.67
Year Ended December 31, 2006		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 20.37	\$ 12.56
Third Quarter	15.61	12.16
Second Quarter	16.80	13.31
First Quarter	17.62	12.74
h 11 2008 there means a manual state 51 means the later and a manual		1.

As of March 11, 2008, there were approximately 51 record holders and approximately 1,463 beneficial owners of our common stock.

Dividends

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we paid dividends on a quarterly basis in the annual aggregate amount of \$6.0 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. In May 2006, our Board of Directors approved a resolution to increase the quarterly cash dividend to \$0.08 per share. There are no restrictions that currently limit our ability to pay, or that we believe are likely to limit the future payment of, ordinary dividends to our equity holders. On a periodic basis, we will reassess our dividend policy depending upon our financial condition and liquidity needs.

Performance Graph

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings with the SEC that might incorporate future filings or this Report, the following performance graph and accompanying data shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any such filings. In addition, such information shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed with the SEC.

The following graph demonstrates the cumulative total return, on an indexed basis, to the holders of our common stock in comparison with the Russell 2000 Index and the Dow Jones Auto Part Index (DJAPI). We selected the DJAPI because the companies included therein are engaged in either the manufacturing of motor vehicles or related parts and accessories.

The graph assumes \$10,000 invested on December 31, 2002 in our common stock, in the Russell 2000 Index and the DJAPI. The historical performance shown on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

Total Shareholder Return

	12/31/2002	12/31/2003	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007
Noble	\$ 10,000	\$ 30,336	\$ 27,500	\$ 28,613	\$ 42,122	\$ 34,265
Russell 2000	\$ 10,000	\$ 14,537	\$ 17,008	\$ 17,573	\$ 20,561	\$ 19,996
DJAPI	\$ 10,000	\$ 13,948	\$ 14,411	\$ 11,899	\$ 12,496	\$ 14,129
		DI				

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

For information regarding our equity compensation plans see Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

For information regarding the sale of unregistered shares of our securities, see Item 1. Business and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data; Note 2 Earnings (Loss) Per Share ; and Note 20 Subsequent Events.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years in the period ended December 31, 2007 is derived from our audited financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein or in prior filings. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
		(in thousand	ls, except per	share data)	
Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Net sales	\$ 872,096	\$441,372	\$ 363,820	\$ 332,611	\$ 183,759
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(6,860)	7,779	5,093	15,351	9,135
(Loss) income per common share from continuing operations	(0.40)	0.55	0.37	1.05	0.78
Consolidated Balance Sheets					
Total assets	803,691	387,148	209,319	182,478	142,983
Total debt	291,701	143,679	41,280	38,625	52,999
Dividends declared and paid per share	0.32	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.21
Non-GAAP Financial Measures					

This information is not and should not be viewed as a substitute for financial measures determined under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). Other companies may calculate these non-GAAP financial measures differently. The metric of earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) adjusted for other non-cash items (Adjusted EBITDA) is not presented as, and should not be considered, an alternative measure of operating results or cash flows from operating (as determined in accordance with GAAP), but is presented because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company is operating performance. While commonly used, however, EBITDA is not identically calculated by companies presenting EBITDA and is, therefore, not necessarily an accurate means of comparison, and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures disclosed by our competitors. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is useful to both management and investors in their analysis of our operating performance. Furthermore, we use Adjusted EBITDA for planning and forecasting in future periods. The reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to (loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest and equity loss is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2007	2006	2005 (in thousands)	2004	2003		
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes, minority			(III tilousanus)				
interest and equity loss	\$ (7,196)	\$ 12,775	\$ 10,645	\$ 21,669	\$ 13,807		
Depreciation expense	27,312	11,781	10,063	9,366	6,987		
Amortization expense	3,346	660	255	338	200		
Net interest expense	15,971	4,498	2,234	3,196	1,823		
Stock compensation expense	607	333	367	228	427		
Net loss (gain) on derivative instruments	3,047	600		(2,458)			
Loss on extinguishment of debt	3,285						
Impairment (recovery) charges		(1,000)	10,140	129			
Adjusted EBITDA	\$46,372	\$ 29,647	\$ 33,704	\$ 32,468	\$ 23,244		

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and the other information included in this Report. See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

<u>General</u>

We are a full-service provider of 21st Century Auto Body Solutions[®] primarily to the automotive industry. Our fiscal year is the calendar year. Customers include OEMs, such as General Motors, Chrysler, Ford, Honda, Volkswagen, Nissan, Renault and Peugeot, as well as other OEMs and companies which are suppliers to OEMs. We, as a Tier I and Tier II supplier, provide prototype, design, engineering, laser welded blanks and tubes, roll-formed products and other automotive component services.

Results of Operations

Fiscal 2007 vs. Fiscal 2006

Net Sales. Net sales increased \$430.7 million, or 97.6%, to \$872.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$441.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This \$430.7 million increase in net sales was driven primarily by the Pullman acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2006 and the Arcelor Business acquisition in the third quarter of 2007. Incremental 2007 net sales from the Pullman and Arcelor Business acquisitions were \$218.3 million and \$190.3 million, respectively. The remaining \$22.1 million increase in 2007 net sales was driven primarily by \$25.0 million of increased steel pass-through pricing for several laser-welding programs. The \$2.9 million decrease in non-steel net sales was driven by lower North American light vehicle production (1.4% decline) and lower sales at our Shelbyville, KY facility driven by the discontinuation of the Saturn Ion vehicle by General Motors and the transfer of production of the Saturn Vue vehicle by General Motors to another supplier in Mexico. This decrease in net sales was offset by new programs including the Ford Edge program in our Stow, OH and Tonawanda, NY facilities, the full year volume impact of the GM Holden Commodore program in our Australia facility and the launch of the Dodge Grand Caravan program in our Stow, OH facility.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased \$411.7 million, or 102.2%, to \$814.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$402.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This \$411.7 million increase in cost of sales was driven primarily by the Pullman acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2006 and the Arcelor Business acquisition in the third quarter of 2007. Incremental 2007 cost of sales from the Pullman and Arcelor Business acquisitions were \$208.2 million and \$178.8 million, respectively. The remaining \$24.7 million increase in 2007 cost of sales was driven primarily by an increase in the cost of steel due to the increased steel pass-through pricing for several laser-welding programs. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 93.4% in fiscal 2007 from 91.3% in 2006. This increase in cost of sales as a percentage of sales in 2007 was driven primarily by approximately \$13.0 million in costs pursuant to the launch of several new roll-forming programs including the Buick Enclave program in our South Haven (East), MI facility.

Gross Margin. Gross margin increased \$19.0 million, or 49.4%, to \$57.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$38.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This \$19.0 million increase in gross margin was driven primarily by the Pullman acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2006 and the Arcelor Business acquisition in the third quarter of 2007. Incremental 2007 gross margin from the Pullman and Arcelor Business acquisitions was \$10.2 million and \$11.5 million, respectively. The remaining \$2.7 million decline in gross margin was driven primarily by the \$2.9 million decrease in non-steel net sales from 2006 to 2007. As a percentage of net sales, gross margin declined to 6.6% in fiscal 2007 compared to 8.7% in 2006.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased \$22.2 million, or 100.7%, to \$44.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This \$22.2 million increase in SG&A was driven primarily by the

Pullman acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2006 and the Arcelor Business acquisition in the third quarter of 2007. Incremental 2007 SG&A from the Pullman and Arcelor Business acquisitions were \$9.7 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. The remaining \$3.3 million increase in SG&A was driven primarily by additional headcount to support our growth (\$2.2 million), incremental audit, tax and legal fees to support our larger organization (\$0.8 million), and fees associated with the bank covenant waiver process for our U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility (\$0.3 million). As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased to 5.1% in fiscal 2007 from 5.0% in fiscal 2006.

Operating Profit. As a result of the foregoing factors, operating profit decreased \$3.2 million, or 19.9%, to \$13.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$16.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. As a percentage of sales, operating profit decreased to 1.5% in fiscal year 2007 from 3.7% in fiscal year 2006.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased \$0.8 million to \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Interest income in 2006 was driven by cash invested in the first three quarters of 2006. In the fourth quarter of 2006, this cash was used to consummate the Pullman acquisition. Interest income in 2007 primarily relates to cash invested by our non-U.S. facilities.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$10.6 million to \$16.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The higher interest expense was primarily driven by incremental interest costs related to additional debt incurred pursuant to the Pullman acquisition (\$9.2 million) and the Arcelor Business acquisition (\$3.0 million) offset by lower amortization of fees and a debt discount in 2007 on the convertible subordinated notes (\$1.6 million).

Net Loss on Derivative Instruments. During 2007, we entered into two derivative transactions which were contingent upon the Arcelor Business acquisition. We determined that while our contingent derivative instruments provided significant economic hedges, they did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, we recorded a net loss on these derivative instruments of \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. In October 2006, pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, (SFAS 133) and based upon provisions included in the \$32.5 million convertible subordinated notes, we bifurcated a conversion option and established the fair value of an embedded derivative separate from the debt instrument and recorded it as a derivative liability. At issuance, the initial fair value of the embedded derivative liability was \$5.6 million, which was recorded as a discount to the convertible subordinated notes. This derivative liability was adjusted for changes in fair value from the date of the amendment in October 2006 to December 31, 2006, and we recognized a net loss on derivative instruments of \$0.6 million.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. We recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 related to an amendment of our \$32.5 million convertible subordinated notes.

Impairment Recovery (Charges). Impairment recovery (charges) in 2006 include the reversal of a \$1.0 million credit reserve on the Logistics Notes established in 2005 due to the full collection of amounts owed in 2006.

Other, Net. Other income increased \$1.5 million to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Other income for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily included dividend income and management fees from SET (\$2.8 million), commission income from our joint venture in Shanghai, China (\$0.3 million) offset by foreign currency losses (\$1.1 million). Other income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was comprised primarily of a \$0.5 million gain from the reversal of a potential liability favorably resolved at our Mexican operations.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Income tax benefit was \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Income tax expense was \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The effective tax rate implicit in the

tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 47.4% which is higher than the statutory rate of 35.0%. This 47.4% rate reflects the tax benefits of several items including the impact of foreign taxes at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rates, the tax treatment of dividends received from SET, research and development tax credits, and the tax treatment of the loss recorded on the extinguishment of debt. These income tax benefits were offset, in part, by a change in our tax treatment of our planned repatriation of earnings from our Brantford, Ontario facility and certain non-deductible items. The effective tax rate for 2006 was 30.2%. The 2006 effective rate was lower than the statutory rate of 35.0% due primarily to the release of a contingency related to a previously uncertain tax issue, prior year provision to return adjustments, and an increase in the expected research and development tax credits and foreign tax credits to become available in 2006 offset by the impact of the non-deductibility of the amortization of the debt discount.

(*Loss*) *Income before Minority Interest and Equity Loss*. As a result of the foregoing factors, the (loss) income before minority interest and equity loss increased \$12.7 million to \$(3.8) million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from income of \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Minority Interest, Net of Tax. Minority interest decreased \$0.1 million to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. For each year the minority interest represents our partner s 49% share of the net earnings from our facility in Silao, Mexico.

Equity Loss, Net of Tax. Equity loss increased \$2.0 million to \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The \$2.0 million increase is a result of recognizing our pro-rata share of SET s losses.

Fiscal 2006 vs. Fiscal 2005

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$77.6 million, or 21.3%, to \$441.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$363.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase was driven primarily by the acquisition of Pullman (\$44.3 million), the launch of new facilities in Australia (\$10.0 million) and Stow, OH (\$18.4 million) and the launch of laser-welding in Silao, Mexico (\$8.0 million).

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by \$76.9 million, or 23.6%, to \$402.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$326.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in cost of sales was primarily attributable to increased production volumes related to the increased sales in 2006 compared to 2005 and reflects a \$1.2 million payment to a customer related to pricing givebacks and the signing of a long-term supply agreement. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 91.3% in fiscal 2006 from 89.6% in 2005. This increase as a percentage of sales is attributable to costs incurred in 2006 for the launch of facilities in Australia and Stow, OH as well as laser-welding in Silao, Mexico. In addition, costs related to new product launches at facilities acquired with Pullman in the fourth quarter 2006 increased cost of sales as a percentage of net sales in 2006.

Gross Margin. Gross margin increased \$0.6 million, or 1.7%, to \$38.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$37.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a percentage of sales, gross margin declined to 8.7% in fiscal 2006 compared to 10.4% in 2005. This decline is attributable to a \$1.2 million payment to a customer related to pricing givebacks and the signing of a long-term supply agreement and costs related to the launch of facilities in Australia and Stow, OH as well as laser-welding in Silao, Mexico. In addition, costs related to new product launches at facilities acquired with Pullman in the fourth quarter 2006 decreased gross margin as a percentage of net sales in 2006.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. SG&A increased by \$6.1 million, or 38.0%, to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$16.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased from 4.4% in fiscal 2005 to 5.0% in 2006. The \$6.1 million increase in SG&A was driven primarily by costs related to facilities acquired with the Pullman acquisition (\$3.0 million),

severance payments incurred related to management changes (\$0.7 million), additional management incentive compensation (\$0.6 million), the hiring of personnel to support growth initiatives (\$0.8 million), increased research and development costs (\$0.5 million), increased legal costs (\$0.3 million) and additional bad debt expense (\$0.2 million).

Operating Profit. As a result of the foregoing factors, operating profit decreased \$5.5 million, or 25.0%, to \$16.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a percentage of sales, operating profit decreased to 3.7% in fiscal 2006 from 6.0% in fiscal 2005.

Interest Income. Interest income increased \$0.6 million in fiscal 2006 to \$1.2 million from \$0.6 million in fiscal 2005. The increase in 2006 was due to higher cash balances in 2006 prior to the Pullman acquisition compared to 2005 as well as a higher interest rate environment.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$2.8 million to \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The higher interest expense was primarily related to additional debt incurred pursuant to the Pullman acquisition (\$1.9 million additional interest expense) including \$20.6 million of debt at our Mexican facilities (\$0.4 million additional interest expense). In addition we had increased interest expenses related to the amendment of the convertible subordinated notes including \$0.3 million from the acceleration of debt discount and \$0.2 million from the write-off of deferred financing costs.

Net Loss on Derivative Instruments. In October 2006, pursuant to SFAS 133, and based upon provisions included in the convertible subordinated notes, we bifurcated a conversion option and established the fair value of an embedded derivative separate from the debt instrument and recorded it as a derivative liability. At issuance, the estimated initial fair value of embedded derivative liability was \$5.6 million, which was recorded as a discount to the convertible subordinated notes. This derivative liability was adjusted for changes in fair value from the date of the amendment in October 2006 to December 31, 2006 and we incurred a loss of \$0.6 million.

Impairment Recovery (Charges). Impairment recovery (charges) in 2006 include the reversal of a \$1.0 million credit reserve on the Logistics Notes established in 2005 due to the full collection of amounts owed. We recognized impairment charges of \$10.1 million in fiscal 2005 including a \$7.9 million impairment charge related to our investments in SET and a \$2.1 million impairment charge related to two non-core assets including real estate held for sale (\$1.1 million) and the Logistics Notes (\$1.0 million), both of which relate to businesses that we previously sold. In addition, our investment in a private company in the automotive business was written off entirely in 2005 for \$0.2 million.

Other, net. Other income decreased \$0.7 million from \$1.2 million in fiscal 2005 to \$0.5 million in fiscal 2006. Other income in 2006 is comprised primarily of a \$0.5 million gain from the reversal of a potential liability favorably resolved at our Mexican operations. Other income in 2005 is comprised primarily of dividend income of \$0.6 million and the recovery of previously written-off assets of \$0.7 million offset by a loss on foreign currency transactions of \$0.1 million.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Income tax expense decreased \$1.7 million to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$5.6 million in 2005. The effective tax rate for 2006 was 30%. The 2006 effective rate is lower than the statutory rate of 35% due primarily to the release of a contingency related to a previously uncertain tax issue, prior year provision to return adjustments, and an increase in the expected research and development tax credits and foreign tax credits to become available in 2006 offset by the impact of the non-deductibility of the amortization of the debt discount. In addition, the statutory rate in certain foreign countries is less than the statutory rate of 35% in the U.S. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2005 was 53%. The effective rate is driven by the non-deductibility of impairment charges of \$8.1 million (of the total \$10.1 million in impairment charges). After earnings before income taxes are adjusted for these impairment charges, the effective rate is 30%. This adjusted effective rate is less than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the release of a contingency related to a previously uncertain tax issue which was resolved in 2005 and prior year provision to return adjustments offset by the impact of state taxes and the non-deductibility of the amortization of debt discount.

(*Loss*) *Income before Minority Interest and Equity Loss*. As a result of the foregoing factors, income before minority interest and equity loss increased \$3.9 million, or 76.3%, to \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Minority Interest. We sold 49% of our interest in our Silao, Mexico facility in November 2005. The (\$1.1) million minority interest in 2006 represents our partner s 49% share of the net earnings from the Silao facility in 2006. The minority interest of \$0.03 million in 2005 represents our partner s 49% share of the net loss from our Silao facility in November and December 2005. The net loss is attributable to launch costs related to the establishment of laser-welding operations in the facility.

Equity Loss, Net of Tax. In October 2006, we began to account for our investment in SET common stock under the equity method of accounting and recognized \$0.05 million for our pro-rata share of SET s losses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash requirements have historically been satisfied through a combination of cash flow from operations, equity issuances and debt financings. Working capital needs and capital equipment requirements have increased as a result of our growth and are expected to continue to increase as a result of anticipated growth. Anticipated increases in required working capital and capital equipment expenditures are expected to be met primarily from cash flow from operations, equity issuances and debt financing. As of December 31, 2007, we had net working capital of \$34.1 million.

Cash Flows

Fiscal 2007 vs. Fiscal 2006

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$22.8 million to \$32.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This \$22.8 million increase was driven by \$6.3 million additional cash provided by our net (loss) income adjusted for non-cash items and by \$16.5 million additional cash provided by changes in net working capital. The increase in cash provided by our net (loss) income adjusted for non-cash items was driven by our growth activities in 2007 including the Pullman and Arcelor Business acquisitions. The increase in cash provided by changes in net working capital in 2007 was driven by the collection of net value-added tax receivable at facilities acquired pursuant to the Arcelor Business acquisition.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$35.1 million to \$132.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$97.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Net cash used in investing activities for 2007 included \$109.4 million for the Arcelor Business acquisition, \$21.6 million for the purchase of property, plant and equipment primarily to support the launch of new roll-forming programs and \$1.3 million for cash invested in our WISCO Noble (Wuhan) Laser Welding Technology Co., Ltd. joint venture in China. Net cash used in investing activities for 2006 included cash outflows of \$86.1 million for the Pullman acquisition, \$11.2 million for the purchase of property, plant and equipment, \$2.0 million for our investment in SET preferred stock offset by the receipt of \$2.1 million from notes receivable pursuant to the sale of our former Logistics business.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$26.8 million to \$99.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$72.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2007 was driven primarily by \$106.5 million borrowed pursuant to our European Term Loan to fund the Arcelor Business acquisition offset by cash outflows of \$6.0 million for dividends on our common stock and \$1.5 million for financing fees related to the financing of the Arcelor Business acquisition. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2006 included borrowings on our U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility of \$87.2 million used to fund the Pullman acquisition offset by cash outflows of \$7.5 million for a payment on our convertible subordinated notes, \$4.3 million for dividends on our common stock and \$2.3 million for financing of the Pullman acquisition.

Fiscal 2006 vs. Fiscal 2005

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$8.1 million to \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$17.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. This \$8.1 million decrease was driven by \$2.2 million less cash provided by our net (loss) income adjusted for non-cash items and by \$5.9 million additional cash used for changes in net working capital. The \$2.2 million decrease in cash provided by our net (loss) income adjusted for non-cash items was driven by operating losses pursuant to our Pullman acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2006. The increase in cash used for changes in net working capital was driven by additional cash needed to support increases in net sales and related production activities.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$86.7 million to \$97.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Net cash used in investing activities for 2006 included cash outflows of \$86.1 million for the Pullman acquisition, \$11.2 million for the purchase of property, plant and equipment, \$2.0 million for our investment in SET preferred stock offset by the receipt of \$2.1 million from notes receivable pursuant to the sale of our former Logistics business. Net cash used in investing activities for 2005 included cash outflows of \$15.1 million for the purchase of property, plant and equipment primarily to support the launch of our Stow, OH and Australia facilities offset by the receipt of \$4.3 million for the sale of property, plant and equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$75.6 million to \$72.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to cash used in financing activities of \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2006 included borrowings on our U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility of \$87.2 million used to fund the Pullman acquisition offset by a \$7.5 million payment on our convertible subordinated notes, \$4.3 million for dividends paid on our common stock and \$2.3 million for financing fees related to the financing of the Pullman acquisition. The \$2.9 million cash used in financing activities in 2005 was driven by \$3.7 million for dividends paid on our common stock offset by \$0.8 million cash received for the issuance of common stock primarily related to the exercise of stock options.

Primary Credit Facilities

We maintain a \$70.0 million term loan and a \$40.0 million revolving credit facility through a syndicate of commercial banks (collectively the U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility). The U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility was entered into in October 2006 pursuant to the acquisition of Pullman. In November 2007, the revolving credit facility commitment was expanded to \$50.0 million. The U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility matures in October 2011 and is secured by the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries in the U.S. and Canada. The revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base formula based upon eligible accounts receivable and inventory in our U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries. The revolving credit facility allows for the issuance of up to \$20.0 million in letters of credit.

The U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility accrues interest at either a margin to the prime rate or LIBOR, at our option. At December 31, 2007, the interest rate on the term loan and revolving credit facility was 8.73% and 8.16%, respectively. At December 31, 2006, the interest rate on the term loan and revolving credit facility was 7.84% and 8.11%, respectively. The prime rate and LIBOR margins on the U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility will increase or decrease in future periods depending upon our total debt to EBITDA ratio.

The U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility is subject to customary financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on debt, consolidations, mergers, and sales of assets, and bank approval on acquisitions over \$15.0 million. During 2007, we and the syndicate of commercial banks agreed to amend certain covenants for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2007. In addition, as of September 30, 2007, we received a waiver from the syndicate of commercial banks with respect to any covenant defaults as of that date. On February 15, 2008, we entered into a limited waiver letter with respect to our compliance with certain financial covenants as of December 31, 2007. On March 20, 2008, we entered into a sixth amendment with the syndicate of commercial

banks to, among other things, permit us to incur \$50.0 million of subordinated debt as part of a convertible debt financing with ArcelorMittal, revise the levels of certain financial covenants and ratios and reduce the amount of scheduled principal payments. See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data; Note 11 Long Term Debt for additional information regarding the modifications to our U.S. and Canadian Credit Facility.

On March 19, 2008, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with ArcelorMittal pursuant to which ArcelorMittal agreed to provide subordinated debt financing to us in the form of a convertible subordinated note with a principal amount of \$50.0 million. The convertible subordinated note was issued on March 20, 2008, bears interest at the rate of 6% per annum and matures on March 20, 2013. The conversion price is subject to reset and adjustment. The proceeds from the issuance of the convertible subordinated note were used as follows: \$10.0 million to pay down the U.S. and Canadian revolving credit facility and \$40.0 million to pay down the U.S. and Canadian term loan.

On August 31, 2007, we entered into a 78.0 million term loan (European Term Loan) and a 40.0 million revolving credit facility (European Revolver) (collectively the European Credit Facility) with a commercial bank for the cash portion of the purchase price for the Arcelor Business and to provide working capital for the Arcelor Business after closing. The European Credit Facility is secured by the assets of Noble European Holdings B.V. and its subsidiaries. The European Term Loan is repayable in ten equal, semiannual installments, plus interest, over five years. The European Credit Facility bears interest at a floating rate equal to the Euro Interbank Offered Rate (EURIBOR) plus an initial margin of 1.5%. The margin will fluctuate annually from a minimum of 1.0% to a maximum of 1.8%, depending on, among other things, the ratio of Noble B.V. s total consolidated debt (excluding subordinated debt) at year end to EBITDA for the year then ended. At December 31, 2007, the interest rate on the European Term Loan and European Revolver was 5.98% and 5.83%, respectively.

The European Credit Facility is subject to customary financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, a prohibition on the payment of dividends by Noble B.V. and limitations on acquisitions and business combinations by Noble B.V., a total debt to EBITDA ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. As of December 31, 2007, we were not in compliance with all of our covenants under the European Credit Facility, but we have subsequently received a waiver from the syndicate of commercial banks with respect to any defaults based on such non-compliance. This waiver is effective until May 2, 2008, at which date we would be in default unless the covenants are formally amended. This waiver is contingent upon us making a 20.0 million prepayment on the European Term Loan (as hereinafter defined). Such prepayment amount shall be funded by the proceeds of subordinated debt provided to us by ArcelorMittal or a mutually agreed upon alternative solution. We are in discussions with the lenders under the European Credit Facility regarding an amendment or permanent waiver to avoid potential future covenant defaults.

The liquidity provided by our existing and anticipated credit facilities, combined with cash flow from operations is expected to be sufficient to meet currently anticipated working capital and capital expenditure needs and for existing debt service for at least 12 months. There can be no assurance, however, that such funds will not be expended prior thereto due to changes in economic conditions or other unforeseen circumstances, requiring us to obtain additional financing prior to the end of such twelve-month period. In addition, as part of our business strategy we continue to evaluate, and may pursue, future growth through opportunistic acquisitions of assets or companies which may involve the expenditure of significant funds. Depending upon the nature, size, and timing of future acquisitions, we may be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing. There can be no assurance, however, that additional financing will be available, when and if needed, on acceptable terms or at all.

For information regarding our ability to comply with our covenants, see Item 1A. Risk Factors; We are subject to certain financial and other restrictive covenants pursuant to our credit facility documentation. For more information regarding our various credit facilities, see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data; Note 11 Long Term Debt.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off balance sheet financing consists primarily of operating leases for equipment and property. These leases have terms ranging from a month-to-month basis to thirteen years. We incurred lease expense of \$11.8 million, \$5.6 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, we guaranteed \$3.0 million of SET s senior debt. Pursuant to guidance in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others,* and our valuation of the guarantee, we do not carry a liability for this guarantee at December 31, 2007.

As illustrated in the contractual obligations table, we have approximately \$4.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits that have been recorded as liabilities in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). We are uncertain as to if or when such amounts may be settled. Settlement of such amounts could require the use of working capital.

Contractual Obligations

From 2008 through 2012 and thereafter we will make contractual minimum lease payments as well as short and long-term debt payments. The following table summarizes the payments due on our contractual obligations:

		Payments due by Period (in thousands)					
			Les	ss Than 1			Over 5
	Total	Other		Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	Years
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 291,701	\$	\$	49,795	\$ 82,648	\$ 157,927	\$ 1,331
Operating lease obligations	56,001			9,195	21,116	10,875	14,815
Interest payments	41,095			13,083	22,970	5,042	
FIN 48 obligations	4,837	4,837					
Purchase obligations	3,151			3,151			
Total	\$ 396,785	\$ 4,837	\$	75,224	\$ 126,734	\$ 173,844	\$ 16,146

Interest payments on our variable rate debt are based on the rates in effect as of December 31, 2007. Purchase obligations primarily include commitments for capital expenditures. We have not included information on our recurring purchases of materials used in our manufacturing operations. These amounts are generally consistent from year to year, closely reflect our levels of production and are not long-term in nature (less than three months).

The FIN 48 obligations reflected in the table above represent \$4.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits that have been recorded as liabilities in accordance with FIN 48, and we are uncertain as to if or when such amounts may be settled. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, not included in the table above we have also recorded a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$1.1 million.

We expect capital expenditures to be approximately \$35.0 million during 2008.

Critical Accounting Policies

A summary of our significant accounting policies can be found in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 1 Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation, and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Certain of our accounting policies require management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. A summary of our critical accounting policies is listed below.

Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets

We operate locations globally which are subject to various U.S. and foreign tax regulations. The nature of our tax provisions and the evaluation of our ability to use all recognized deferred tax assets are complex. In assessing the ability to realize such deferred tax assets, we review the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, the projections of taxable income in future periods and the effectiveness of various tax planning

strategies in making assessments. The consideration of these matters requires significant management judgment in determining deferred tax asset valuation allowances. While we believe that the appropriate valuations of deferred tax assets have been made, unforeseen changes in tax legislation, regulatory activities, operating results, financing strategies, organization structure and other related matters may result in material changes in our deferred tax asset valuation allowances.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets

We periodically review the recoverability of our long-lived assets such as goodwill, intangible assets and property, plant and equipment. Our assessment of recoverability includes estimating several factors, such as anticipated future cash flows generated from these assets, which are typically based upon internal budget information and independent forecasts of future automotive volumes. The determination of appropriate estimates requires considerable management judgment. Unexpected changes in the automotive industry, our operating results, and other related matters may impact our assessment.

Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing the interest expense of floating rate indebtedness and by increasing the cost of labor, fuel, equipment and raw materials. We do not believe that inflation has had any material effect on our business over the past three years.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 161) which requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. We do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 161 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment to ARB No. 51* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest (minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. SFAS 160 also requires that changes in a parent s ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation to be accounted for as equity transactions if the parent retains its controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. Otherwise, transactions that result in deconsolidation of a subsidiary are recognized as gains or losses. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 160 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R) *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)) which retains the fundamental requirements in Statement 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (which Statement 141 called the purchase method) be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. SFAS 141(R) requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date, with limited exceptions. SFAS 141(R) retains the guidance in Statement 141 for identifying and recognizing intangible assets separately from goodwill and applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period

beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 141(R) and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective of SFAS 159 is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS 159 is effective for us on January 1, 2008, and currently we do not intend to elect to re-measure any of our existing financial assets or financial liabilities under the provisions of SFAS 159.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurement* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1, *Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13 which amends SFAS 157 to exclude FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases, (SFAS 13) and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or Measurements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or Measurement under Statement 13 which amends SFAS 157 to exclude FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases, (SFAS 13) and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under SFAS 13. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 157-2 <i>Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (FSP 157-2) which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The provisions of SFAS 157 will be applied prospectively, and we do not believe it will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk

We are exposed to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations. International revenues from our foreign subsidiaries were approximately 46% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our primary foreign currency exposures are the Canadian Dollar, Mexican Peso, Euro, UK Sterling and Australian Dollar. In general, where possible, we manage our exposures to foreign currency assets, liabilities and earnings primarily by funding certain foreign currency denominated assets with liabilities in the same currency and matching revenues with expenses in the same currency, as such, certain exposures are naturally offset. However, as of December 31, 2007, our Mexico operations, whose functional currency is the Mexican Peso, had net U.S. Dollar liability exposure of approximately \$18.1 million. Based upon this exposure, for every one percent increase (decrease) in the value of the Mexican Peso versus the U.S. Dollar, we would recognize a foreign currency transaction gain (loss) of approximately \$0.2 million. We recognized a foreign exchange transaction loss (gain) of \$1.1 million and \$(0.1) million for the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our financial results are affected by changes in U.S. and foreign interest rates due primarily to our various credit facilities containing variable interest rates when we borrow under these credit facilities. The balance of our variable interest rate debt as of December 31, 2007 was \$217.1 million.

During 2007, we entered into two interest rate swap transactions, which effectively fixed the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt. Based upon this exposure and including the effects of the interest rate

swaps, for every annualized one percent increase (decrease) in the U.S. and foreign interest rates, we would recognize an additional annualized interest expense (benefit) of \$1.4 million.

We invest any excess cash balances in overnight and other short-term investments that may be impacted by changes in interest rates. We do not hold any other financial instruments that are subject to market risk (interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk).

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Noble International, Ltd.

Troy, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Noble International, Ltd., and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Form 10-K Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Noble International, Ltd. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated April 14, 2008 expressed an adverse opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Detroit, Michigan

April 14, 2008

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31 2007 2006				
	2007				2005
Net sales	872,096		1,372		63,820
Cost of sales	\$ 814,687	40	02,941	3	26,017
Gross margin	57,409	3	38,431		37,803
Selling, general and administrative expenses	44,326	2	22,090		16,005
Operating profit	13,083	1	6,341		21,798
Interest income	368		1,186		634
Interest expense	(16,339)	((5,684)		(2,868)
Net loss on derivative instruments	(3,047)		(600)		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(3,285)				
Impairment recovery (charges)			1,000	(10,140)
Other, net	2,024		532		1,221
(Loss) income before income taxes, minority interest and equity loss Income tax (benefit) expense	(7,196) (3,412)		2,775 3,857		10,645 5,586
(Loss) income before minority interest and equity loss	(3,784)		8,918		5,059
Minority interest, net of tax	(1,001)		(1,089)		34
Equity loss, net of tax	(2,075)	,	(50)		51
Net (loss) income	\$ (6,860)	\$	7,779	\$	5,093
Basic (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.40)	\$	0.55	\$	0.37
Diluted (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.40)	\$	0.55	\$	0.36
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	17,283		4,071		13,947
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	17,283	1	4,109		14,045

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

	Decem 2007	ber 31, 2006
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,332	\$ 6,587
Accounts receivable, trade, net	160,664	98,742
Inventories, net	81,500	31,260
Unbilled customer tooling, net	8,825	21,575
Prepaid expenses	3,804	3,075
Deferred income taxes	3,781	1,881
Income taxes receivable	5,842	
Value added tax receivable	11,117	510
Other current assets	12,625	2,484
Total Current Assets	291,490	166,114
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	264,163	109,648
Other Assets:	, ,	,
Goodwill	155,100	75,753
Other intangible assets, net	78,330	30,678
Other assets, net	14,608	4,955
Total Other Assets	248,038	111,386
Total Assets	\$ 803,691	\$ 387,148
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 152,868	\$ 95,560
Accrued liabilities	38,956	21,297
Current maturities of long-term debt	49,795	21,926
Contingent consideration	14,746	14,000
Income taxes payable	1,021	4,255
Total Current Liabilities	257,386	157,038
Long-Term Liabilities:		,
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	205,690	88,480
Convertible subordinated notes	36,216	33,273
Deferred income taxes	35,605	15,783
Other liabilities	10,018	668
Total Long-Term Liabilities	287,529	138,204
Minority Interest	5,641	4,640
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 19)		
Stockholders Equity		
	16	9

Edgar Filing: NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD. - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$0.00067 par value, 50 million shares authorized, 23,599,224 and 14,093,818 outstanding in 2007 and 2006, respectively		
Additional paid-in capital	222,057	55,737
Retained earnings	16,109	29,006
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	14,953	2,514
Total Stockholders Equity	253,135	87,266
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 803,691	\$ 387,148

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Year 1 2007	Ended December 2006	per 31, 2005	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net (loss) income	\$ (6,860)	\$ 7,779	\$ 5,093	
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operations:				
Minority interest	1,001	1,089	(34)	
Equity loss	2,075	50		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	3,285			
Net loss on derivative instruments	(31)	600		
Amortization of financing fees included in interest expense	783	1,987	1,399	
Depreciation and amortization	30,658	12,443	10,318	
Deferred income taxes	(568)	1,255	(420)	
Impairment (recovery) charge		(1,000)	10,140	
Share-based compensation expense	607	333	367	
(Gain) loss on sale of property, plant and equipment	(13)	62	(39)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and foreign exchange:				
Accounts receivable	(15,651)	13,059	(24,465)	
Inventories	6,558	(1,292)	(1,135)	
Prepaid and other assets	18,451	(1,808)	1,176	
Accounts payable	3,456	(22,045)	15,261	
Income taxes payable or receivable	281	(1,520)	(1,695)	
Accrued liabilities	(11,789)	(1,546)	1,459	
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation arrangements	(187)	(156)	1,105	
	(107)	(150)		
Nat and married by amounting activities	22.056	0.200	17 425	
Net cash provided by operating activities	32,056	9,290	17,425	
Cash flows from investing activities:	(100,440)	(96.071)	(5 (79)	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	(109,449)	(86,071)	(5,678)	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(21,593)	(11,173)	(15,062)	
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	224	135	4,309	
Investment in joint ventures	(1,250)	(2.000)		
Purchase of SET preferred stock		(2,000)		
Proceeds from notes receivable on sale of discontinued operations		2,083	590	
Net proceeds from sale of minority interest in joint venture			5,529	
Other	13			
Net cash used in investing activities	(132,055)	(97,026)	(10,312)	
Cash flows from financing activities:	(- ,,	((- /- /	
Net borrowings (payments) on revolving credit facilities	29,663	17,160	(15)	
Borrowings on term loans	106,455	70,000	(-)	
Repayments of borrowings under term loans	(26,565)	,		
Repayments under other debt agreements	(4,452)	(8,178)		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,814	259	840	
Dividends paid on common stock	(6,037)	(4,324)	(3,726)	
Financing fees	(1,539)	(2,341)	(3,720)	
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation arrangements	187	156		
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation alrangements	107	150		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	99,526	72,732	(2,901)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(2,782)	(387)	215	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,255)	(15,391)	4,427	

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6,587	21,978	17,551
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,332	\$ 6,587	\$ 21,978

Edgar Filing: NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD. - Form 10-K

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

	(Common S	tock				
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid In Capital	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation Other Adjustments	Total	Total Shareholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2004	13,937	\$9	\$ 53,782	\$ 24,184	\$ 1,608 \$	\$ 1,608	\$ 79,583
Net income				5,093			5,093
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net					708	708	708
Total comprehensive income Share-based compensation, net of shares issued Dividends on common stock	75		1,206	(3,726)			5,801 1,206 (3,726)
Balance at December 31, 2005	14,012	9	54,988	25,551	2,316	2,316	82,864
Net income				7,779			7,779
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net					198	198	198
Total comprehensive income Share-based compensation, net of shares issued	82		749				7,977 749