

AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/
Form 20-F
June 30, 2009
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 30, 2009

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 20-F

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

Commission file number for securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: 0-32245

Commission file number for securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: 1-16269

AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V.

(exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

America Mobile

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

(translation of registrant's name into English)

United Mexican States

(jurisdiction of incorporation)

Lago Alberto 366, Colonia Anáhuac, 11320 México, D.F., México

(address of principal executive offices)

Daniela Lecuona Torras, Telephone: (5255) 2581-4449, E-mail: daniela.lecuona@americamovil.com,

Facsimile: (5255) 2581-4422, Lago Alberto 366, Colonia Anáhuac, Edificio Telcel I, Segundo Piso, 11320,

México, D.F., México

(name, telephone, e-mail and/or facsimile number and address of company contact person)

Title of each class:

Name of each exchange on which registered:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

American Depositary Shares, each representing 20 L Shares, without
par value
L Shares, without par value

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange
(for listing purposes only)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

American Depositary Shares, each representing 20 A Shares, without par value

A Shares, without par value

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

The number of outstanding shares of each of the registrant's classes of capital or common stock as of December 31, 2008:

11,712 million
480 million
21,058 million

AA Shares
A Shares
L Shares

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by checkmark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this Chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board Other
If other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Items 1-2. | Not Applicable. | |
| Item 3. | <u>Key Information</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Exchange Rates</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 7 |
| Item 4. | <u>Information on the Company</u> | 17 |
| | <u>General</u> | 17 |
| | <u>Mexican Operations</u> | 22 |
| | <u>Non-Mexican Operations</u> | 39 |
| | <u>Other Investments</u> | 56 |
| | <u>Capital Expenditures</u> | 57 |
| Item 5. | <u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u> | 57 |
| Item 6. | <u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u> | 79 |
| | <u>Management</u> | 79 |
| | <u>Employees</u> | 87 |
| Item 7. | <u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u> | 88 |
| | <u>Major Shareholders</u> | 88 |
| | <u>Related Party Transactions</u> | 90 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Information</u> | 93 |
| | <u>Dividends</u> | 93 |
| | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 94 |
| Item 9. | <u>The Offer and Listing</u> | 101 |
| | <u>Trading Markets</u> | 101 |
| | <u>Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange</u> | 103 |
| Item 10. | <u>Additional Information</u> | 103 |
| | <u>Bylaws</u> | 103 |
| | <u>Certain Contracts</u> | 109 |
| | <u>Exchange Controls</u> | 109 |
| | <u>Taxation</u> | 109 |
| | <u>Documents on Display</u> | 114 |
| Item 11. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 114 |
| | <u>Exchange Rate and Interest Rate Risks</u> | 114 |
| | <u>Sensitivity Analysis Disclosures</u> | 114 |
| Item 12. | <u>Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u> | 115 |
| Item 13. | <u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u> | 115 |
| Item 14. | <u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u> | 115 |
| Item 15. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 115 |
| Item 16A. | <u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u> | 117 |
| Item 16B. | <u>Code of Ethics</u> | 117 |
| Item 16C. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 118 |
| Item 16E. | <u>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u> | 119 |
| Item 16G. | <u>Corporate Governance</u> | 120 |
| Item 17. | <u>Not Applicable</u> | 124 |
| Item 18. | <u>Financial Statements</u> | 124 |
| Item 19. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 124 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 3. Key Information

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

This annual report includes our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards (*Normas de Información Financiera Mexicanas* or Mexican FRS) and are presented in Mexican pesos. The financial statements of our non-Mexican subsidiaries have been adjusted to conform to Mexican FRS and translated to Mexican pesos. See Note 2(a)(ii) to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Mexican FRS differs in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. Note 22 to the audited consolidated financial statements provides a description of the principal differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, as they relate to us, a reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of net income and total stockholders' equity and cash flow statements for the years ended 2006 and 2007 under U.S. GAAP.

Under Mexican FRS, our financial statements for periods ending prior to January 1, 2008 recognized the effects of inflation on financial information. Inflation accounting under Mexican FRS had extensive effects on the presentation of our financial statements through 2007. See Inflation Accounting under Item 5 and Note 2(z.2) to our audited consolidated financial statements.

For years prior to 2008, the effect of inflation accounting under Mexican FRS has not been reversed in the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of net income and total stockholders' equity, except with respect to the methodology for restatement of imported telephone plant. See Note 22 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Beginning with the year ended December 31, 2012, Mexican issuers with securities listed on a Mexican securities exchange will be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Issuers may voluntarily report using IFRS before the change in the reporting standards becomes mandatory. We plan to begin reporting financial statements in IFRS for 2012 at the latest.

On July 19, 2005, we effected a three-for-one stock split. Unless otherwise noted, all share and per share data in this annual report have been adjusted to reflect the stock split for all periods presented.

On December 13, 2006, our shareholders approved the merger of América Telecom, S.A.B. de C.V., or Amtel, our then controlling shareholder, and its subsidiary Corporativo Empresarial de Comunicaciones, S.A. de C.V., or Corporativo, with us. As a result of the merger, we assumed assets and liabilities based on Amtel's unaudited financial statements as of October 31, 2006. In accordance with Mexican FRS, the merger with Amtel has been accounted for on a historical basis similar to a pooling of interest basis and we have adjusted our financial information and selected financial information presented in this annual report to include the consolidated assets, liabilities and results of operations of Amtel for periods presented up to December 31, 2006. See Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

References herein to U.S.\$ are to U.S. dollars. References herein to pesos, P. or Ps. are to Mexican pesos.

This annual report contains translations of various peso amounts into U.S. dollars at specified rates solely for your convenience. You should not construe these translations as representations by us that the nominal peso or constant peso amounts actually represent the U.S. dollar amounts or could be converted into U.S. dollars at the

Table of Contents

rate indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, we have translated U.S. dollar amounts from constant pesos at the exchange rate of Ps. 13.5383 to U.S.\$1.00, which was the rate reported by Banco de México for December 31, 2008, as published in the Official Gazette of the Federation (*Diario Oficial de la Federación*, or *Official Gazette*).

The selected financial and operating information set forth below has been derived in part from our audited consolidated financial statements, which have been reported on by Mancera S.C., a Member Practice of Ernst & Young Global, an independent registered public accounting firm. The selected financial and operating information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our audited consolidated financial statements.

| | 2004 ⁽¹²⁾ | As of and for the year ended December 31, ⁽¹⁾ | | | 2008 | 2008 |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|
| | | 2005 ⁽¹²⁾ | 2006 ⁽¹²⁾ | 2007 ⁽¹²⁾⁽¹³⁾ | | (millions of U.S. dollars) ⁽²⁾ |
| | | (2008 in millions of pesos, previous years in millions of constant pesos as of December 31, 2007) ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Income Statement Data: | | | | | | |
| <i>Mexican FRS</i> | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | Ps. 150,306 | Ps. 196,638 | Ps. 243,005 | Ps. 311,580 | Ps. 345,655 | U.S.\$ 25,532 |
| Operating costs and expenses | 124,457 | 159,928 | 181,971 | 226,386 | 250,109 | 18,475 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 20,742 | 22,955 | 27,884 | 40,406 | 41,767 | 3,085 |
| Operating income | 25,849 | 36,710 | 61,034 | 85,194 | 95,546 | 7,058 |
| Comprehensive financing (income) cost | (2,158) | 2,790 | 28 | 387 | 13,865 | 1,024 |
| Net income | 18,595 | 33,053 | 44,422 | 58,587 | 59,486 | 4,393 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Basic ⁽³⁾ | 0.50 | 0.92 | 1.25 | 1.67 | 1.74 | 0.13 |
| Diluted ⁽³⁾ | 0.50 | 0.92 | 1.25 | 1.67 | 1.74 | 0.13 |
| Dividends declared per share ⁽⁴⁾ | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 1.20 | 0.26 | 0.02 |
| Dividends paid per share ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.03 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 1.20 | 0.26 | 0.02 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding (millions) ⁽⁶⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Basic | 36,848 | 35,766 | 35,459 | 35,149 | 34,220 | |
| Diluted | 36,860 | 35,766 | 35,459 | 35,149 | 34,220 | |
| <i>U.S. GAAP</i> | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues ⁽⁷⁾ | Ps. 141,325 | Ps. 183,417 | Ps. 231,509 | Ps. 299,335 | Ps. 330,712 | U.S.\$ 24,428 |
| Operating costs and expenses | 116,229 | 149,415 | 172,170 | 220,294 | 237,737 | 17,560 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 20,358 | 25,037 | 30,020 | 46,698 | 43,961 | 3,247 |
| Operating income | 25,096 | 34,002 | 59,339 | 79,041 | 92,975 | 6,868 |
| Comprehensive financing (income) cost | (2,666) | (140) | (1,084) | (267) | 19,629 | 1,450 |
| Net income | 18,762 | 33,028 | 40,639 | 55,419 | 54,162 | 4,001 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | | |
| Basic ⁽³⁾ | 0.51 | 0.92 | 1.15 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 0.12 |
| Diluted ⁽³⁾ | 0.51 | 0.92 | 1.15 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 0.12 |

(see footnotes on following page)

Table of Contents

| | 2004 ⁽¹²⁾ | As of and for the year ended December 31, ⁽¹⁾ | | | | 2008 | 2008 |
|---|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------------|
| | | 2005 ⁽¹²⁾ | 2006 ⁽¹²⁾ | 2007 ⁽¹²⁾⁽¹³⁾ | | | |
| | (2008 in millions of pesos, previous years in millions | | | | | | (millions of |
| | of constant pesos as of December 31, 2007) ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | U.S. dollars) ⁽²⁾ |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | | | |
| <i>Mexican FRS</i> | | | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | Ps. 94,719 | Ps. 120,734 | Ps. 143,090 | Ps. 167,084 | Ps. 209,897 | U.S.\$ | 15,504 |
| Total assets | 217,245 | 249,171 | 328,325 | 349,121 | 435,455 | | 32,164 |
| Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt | 12,828 | 22,176 | 26,214 | 19,953 | 26,731 | | 1,974 |
| Long-term debt | 76,741 | 68,346 | 89,038 | 84,799 | 116,755 | | 8,624 |
| Total stockholders' equity ⁽⁸⁾ | 65,797 | 77,909 | 113,747 | 126,858 | 144,925 | | 10,704 |
| Capital stock | 36,580 | 36,565 | 36,555 | 36,552 | 36,532 | | 2,698 |
| Number of outstanding shares (millions) ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁹⁾ | | | | | | | |
| AA Shares | 10,941 | 10,915 | 10,859 | 11,712 | 11,712 | | |
| A Shares | 795 | 761 | 571 | 547 | 480 | | |
| L Shares | 24,263 | 23,967 | 23,872 | 22,638 | 21,058 | | |
| <i>U.S. GAAP</i> | | | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | Ps. 106,098 | Ps. 136,871 | Ps. 156,449 | Ps. 177,424 | Ps. 212,264 | U.S.\$ | 15,679 |
| Total assets | 232,354 | 268,479 | 349,564 | 363,075 | 443,544 | | 32,762 |
| Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt | 12,828 | 22,176 | 26,213 | 19,953 | 26,731 | | 1,974 |
| Long-term debt | 76,741 | 68,346 | 89,037 | 84,799 | 116,755 | | 8,624 |
| Non-controlling interest | 1,850 | 1,103 | 684 | 634 | 656 | | 48 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 74,249 | 92,256 | 124,909 | 137,026 | 151,239 | | 11,171 |
| Capital stock | 37,043 | 37,026 | 37,017 | 37,014 | 36,994 | | 2,733 |
| Subscriber Data: | | | | | | | |
| Number of subscribers (in thousands) | 61,107 | 93,329 | 124,776 | 157,287 | 186,568 | | |
| Subscriber growth | 39.80% | 52.70% | 33.70% | 23.20% | 18.60% | | |
| Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges: | | | | | | | |
| Mexican FRS ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 5.6 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 7.6 | | |
| U.S. GAAP ⁽¹¹⁾ | 5.5 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 7.5 | | |

- (1) In accordance with Mexican FRS, the merger with Amtel has been accounted for on a historical basis similar to a pooling of interest basis and we have adjusted our financial information and selected financial information presented in this annual report to include the consolidated assets, liabilities and results of operations of Amtel for periods presented up to December 31, 2006. See Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Except per share, share capital and subscriber data.
- (3) We have not included earnings or dividends on a per ADS basis. Each L Share ADS represents 20 L Shares and each A Share ADS represents 20 A Shares.
- (4) Nominal amounts. Figures provided represent the annual dividend declared at the general shareholders' meeting and for 2005 and 2007 include special dividends of Ps. 0.30 per share and Ps. 1.0 per share, respectively.
- (5) Nominal amounts. For more information on dividends paid per share translated into U.S. dollars, see Financial Information Dividends under Item 8. Amount in U.S. dollars translated at the exchange rate on each of the respective payment dates.

Table of Contents

- (6) All share figures have been adjusted retroactively to reflect a reduction in L Shares as a result of our merger with Amtel. See Notes 3 and 18 to our audited consolidated financial statements. The increase in AA Shares between 2006 and 2007 was due to the exchange of shares of Amtel for our shares in connection with our merger with Amtel. Subject to certain restrictions, the shareholders of Amtel were free to elect to receive L Shares or AA Shares.
- (7) The differences between our Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP operating revenues include the reclassification of (1) the application of EITF 01-9, Accounting Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer, which we have applied to all periods presented in this table and which resulted in a reclassification of certain commissions paid to distributors from commercial, administrative and general expenses under Mexican FRS to reductions in operating revenues under U.S. GAAP, and (2) the application in 2004 of EITF 00-21, Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables, which addresses certain aspects of accounting for sales that involved multiple revenue generating products and/or services sold under a single contractual agreement. See Note 22 to our audited consolidated financial statements.
- (8) Includes non-controlling interest.
- (9) As of year-end.
- (10) Earnings, for this purpose, consist of earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, plus fixed charges and depreciation of capitalized interest and minus interest capitalized during the period. Through December 31, 2006, for Mexican FRS purposes, employee profit-sharing is considered an income tax and earnings are calculated before the provision for employee profit-sharing. Fixed charges, for this purpose, consist of interest expense plus interest capitalized during the period. Fixed charges do not take into account gain or loss from monetary position or exchange gain or loss attributable to our indebtedness.
- (11) Earnings, for this purpose, consist of earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, plus fixed charges and depreciation of capitalized interest and minus interest capitalized during the period. Under U.S. GAAP, employee profit-sharing is considered an operating expense and earnings are calculated after the provision for employee profit-sharing. Fixed charges, for this purpose, consist of interest expense plus interest capitalized during the period. Fixed charges do not take into account gain or loss from monetary position or exchange gain or loss attributable to our indebtedness.
- (12) Note 1z.2 to our audited consolidated financial statements describes new accounting pronouncements under Mexican FRS that came into force in 2008. The pronouncements that became effective on January 1, 2008, were fully implemented in the financial statements included in this annual report. These new accounting pronouncements were applied on a prospective basis. As a result, the financial statements of prior years, which are presented for comparative purposes, have not been modified and may not be comparable to our financial statements for 2008.
- (13) Beginning in 2007, we capitalize interest under Mexican FRS.

Table of Contents**EXCHANGE RATES**

Mexico has a free market for foreign exchange, and the Mexican government allows the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar. There can be no assurance that the Mexican government will maintain its current policies with regard to the peso or that the peso will not depreciate or appreciate significantly in the future.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low, average and period-end noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers in pesos published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, expressed in pesos per U.S. dollar. The rates have not been restated in constant currency units and therefore represent nominal historical figures.

| Period | High | Low | Average(1) | Period End |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2004 | 11.6350 | 10.8050 | 11.3095 | 11.1540 |
| 2005 | 11.4110 | 10.4135 | 10.8680 | 10.6275 |
| 2006 | 11.4600 | 10.4315 | 10.9023 | 10.7995 |
| 2007 | 11.2692 | 10.6670 | 10.9253 | 10.9169 |
| 2008 | 13.9350 | 9.9166 | 11.2124 | 13.8320 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| January | 14.3330 | 13.3300 | | |
| February | 15.0880 | 14.1340 | | |
| March | 15.4060 | 14.0240 | | |
| April | 13.8882 | 13.0470 | | |
| May | 13.8230 | 12.8845 | | |

(1) Average of month-end rates.

On June 19, 2009, the noon buying rate was Ps. 13.3380 to U.S.\$1.00.

We will pay any cash dividends in pesos, and exchange rate fluctuations will affect the U.S. dollar amounts received by holders of American Depositary Shares, or ADSs, on conversion by the depositary of cash dividends on the shares represented by such ADSs. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the peso and the U.S. dollar affect the U.S. dollar equivalent of the peso price of our shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange (*Bolsa Mexicana de Valores, S.A.B. de C.V.*, or the Mexican Stock Exchange) and, as a result, can also affect the market price of the ADSs.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. We may from time to time make forward-looking statements in our periodic reports to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in our annual report to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in press releases and other written materials, and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of such forward-looking statements include:

projections of operating revenues, net income (loss), net income (loss) per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items or ratios;

statements of our plans, objectives or goals, including those relating to acquisitions, competition, regulation and rates;

statements about our future economic performance or that of Mexico or other countries in which we operate;

competitive developments in the telecommunications sector in each of the markets where we currently operate;

other factors or trends affecting the telecommunications industry generally and our financial condition in particular; and

statements of assumptions underlying the foregoing statements.

We use words such as believe, anticipate, plan, expect, intend, target, estimate, project, predict, forecast, guideline, should, or similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements, but they are not the only way we identify such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. We caution you that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors, some of which are discussed under Risk Factors, include economic and political conditions and government policies in Mexico, Brazil or elsewhere, inflation rates, exchange rates, regulatory developments, technological improvements, customer demand and competition. We caution you that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake any obligation to update such statements in light of new information or future developments.

You should evaluate any statements made by us in light of these important factors.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Risks Relating to Our Businesses

Competition in the wireless industry is intense and could adversely affect the revenues and profitability of our business

Our wireless businesses face substantial competition from other wireless providers. We also face competition from fixed-line telephone companies and, increasingly, other service providers such as cable, paging, trunking and Internet companies because of the trend towards convergence of telecommunication services.

Competition in our markets has intensified in recent periods, and we expect that it will continue to intensify in the future as a result of the entry of new competitors, the development of new technologies, products and services, and the auction of additional spectrum. We also expect the current consolidation trend in the wireless industry to continue, as companies respond to the need for cost reduction and additional spectrum. This trend may result in larger competitors with greater financial, technical, promotional and other resources to compete with our businesses. Telefónica, S.A. (*Telefónica Móviles*), which has important operations in Mexico and Brazil, as well as other of our markets, consolidated its position as our largest regional competitor through several acquisitions.

Among other things, our competitors could:

provide increased handset subsidies;

offer higher commissions to retailers;

provide free airtime or other services (such as Internet access);

expand their networks faster; or

develop and deploy improved wireless technologies faster.

Competition can result in increases in advertising and promotional spending and reductions in prices for services and handsets. In addition, portability requirements, which enable customers to switch wireless providers without changing their wireless numbers, have been introduced in some of our markets, including Mexico and Brazil, and may be introduced in other markets in the near future.

These developments may lead to smaller operating margins, greater choices for customers, possible consumer confusion and increasing movement of customers among competitors, which may make it difficult for us to retain customers or add new customers. The cost of adding new customers may also continue to increase, reducing profitability even if customer growth continues.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend on our coverage, the quality of our network and service, our rates, customer service, marketing and our ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors affecting the telecommunications industry, including new services and technologies, changes in consumer preferences, demographic trends, economic conditions and discount pricing strategies by competitors. If we are unable to respond to competition and compensate for declining prices by adding new customers, increasing usage and offering new services, our revenues and profitability could decline.

Changes in government regulation could hurt our businesses

Our businesses are subject to extensive government regulation and can be adversely affected by changes in law, regulation or regulatory policy. The licensing, construction, operation, sale, resale and interconnection arrangements of wireless telecommunications systems in Latin America and elsewhere are regulated to varying

Table of Contents

degrees by government or regulatory authorities. Any of these authorities having jurisdiction over our businesses could adopt or change regulations or take other actions that could adversely affect our operations. In particular, the regulation of prices operators may charge for their services could have a material adverse effect on us by reducing our profit margins.

These risks are significant in all of the markets in which we operate. See Mexican Operations Regulation and Non-Mexican Operations Regulation under Item 4. The risks in our largest markets, for example, include the following.

In Mexico, the business of Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V., or Telcel, is subject to extensive government regulation, principally by the Mexican Ministry of Communications and Transportation (*Secretaría de Comunicaciones y Transportes*, or SCT), the Federal Telecommunications Commission (*Comisión Federal de Telecomunicaciones*, or Cofetel), the Federal Antitrust Commission (*Comisión Federal de Competencia*, or Cofeco) and the Federal Consumer Bureau (*Procuraduría Federal del Consumidor*, or Profeco), and may be adversely affected by changes in law or by actions of Mexican regulatory authorities. In particular, there has been extensive controversy and dispute in Mexico concerning the interconnection fees payable by local and long-distance operators to mobile operators. If these disputes are resolved against us, the consequences for our business could be material.

In Brazil, our business is regulated principally by the Brazilian National Telecommunications Agency (*Agência Nacional de Telecomunicações*, or Anatel) and may be adversely affected by its actions or changes in its regulations. In particular, Anatel has defined a series of cost-based methods, including the fully allocated cost methodology, for determining interconnection fees charged by operators belonging to an economic group with significant market power. Anatel has not published all of the applicable regulations, but the implementation of the cost-based methodology is expected to take effect in 2010. It is uncertain how Anatel will define the criteria for determining whether an operator belongs to an economic group with significant market power for purposes of this new regulation. When these methods are ultimately implemented and if we are deemed to be an economic group with significant market power, the revenues and results of operations of our Brazilian operations may be affected.

In Colombia, the Colombian Ministry of Communications (Ministerio de Comunicaciones, or Ministry of Communications) and the Colombian Telecommunications Regulation Commission (Comisión de Regulación de Telecomunicaciones, or CRT) are responsible for regulating and overseeing the telecommunications sector, including cellular operations. In March 2009, the CRT issued a series of resolutions stating that Comunicación Celular, S.A. (Comcel), our Colombian subsidiary, has a dominant position in Colombia's market for outgoing mobile services. Under Colombian law, a market participant is considered to have a dominant position in a specified market if the regulators determine that it has the capacity to control the conditions in that market. The CRT made its determination based on Comcel's traffic, revenues and subscriber base. The resolutions also included regulations that would require Comcel to charge rates (excluding access fees) for mobile-to-mobile calls outside the Comcel network (off net) that are no higher than the fees charged for mobile-to-mobile calls within the Comcel network (on net). CRT would monitor the rates by reviewing Comcel's average revenue per minute on a quarterly basis. The resolutions did not present a timetable for implementation of the regulations. In April 2009, Comcel filed a request for review of the resolutions (*recurso de reposición*) with the CRT. See Legal Proceedings Comcel Dominant Position under Item 8.

In addition, changes in political administrations could lead to the adoption of policies concerning competition and taxation of communications services that may be detrimental to our operations throughout Latin America. These restrictions, which may take the form of preferences for local over foreign ownership of communications licenses and assets, or for government over private ownership, may make it impossible for us to continue to develop our businesses. These restrictions could result in our incurring losses of revenues and require capital investments all of which could materially adversely affect our businesses and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Dominant carrier regulations could hurt our business by limiting our ability to pursue competitive and profitable strategies

Cofetel is authorized to impose specific requirements as to rates, service quality and information on any wireless operator that is determined by Cofeco to have substantial market power in a specific market. In two investigations, Cofeco has issued preliminary reports (*dictámenes preliminares*) concluding that Telcel has substantial market power in specified markets. We cannot predict what regulatory steps Cofetel might take if these determinations become final. We believe that if dominant carrier regulations are imposed on our business in the future, they will likely reduce our flexibility to adopt competitive market policies and impose specific tariff requirements or other special regulations on us, such as additional requirements regarding disclosure of information or quality of service. Any such new regulation could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Cofeco is also conducting four investigations into whether Telcel has engaged in monopolistic practices. Adverse determinations against Telcel in any of the ongoing investigations could also result in material fines, penalties or restrictions on our operations.

We will, in the future, have to acquire additional radio spectrum capacity in order to expand our customer base and maintain the quality of our services

Licensed radio spectrum is essential to our growth and the quality of our services, particularly for GSM and UMTS services and increased deployment of 3G networks to offer value-added services. We can increase the density of our network, thus reducing our need for additional spectrum by building more cell and switch sites, but such measures are costly and would be subject to local restrictions and approvals, and they will not fully meet our needs.

In 2005, we acquired the right to use 10 megahertz in the 1900 megahertz spectrum in each of Mexico's nine regions, through a public auction. We also bid and won the auction for an additional 10 megahertz of capacity in three principal regions, but were subsequently prohibited from acquiring this additional spectrum based on restrictions imposed by Cofeco. We expect that government will conduct auctions for additional spectrum capacity during the second half of 2009 or 2010. We cannot assure that we will be allowed to participate in any new auctions for additional spectrum capacity in Mexico.

Participation in spectrum auctions requires prior governmental authorization (including prior approval from Cofeco).

Our concessions and licenses are for fixed terms, and conditions may be imposed on their renewal

Our concessions and licenses have specified terms, ranging typically from 10 to 30 years, and are generally subject to renewal upon payment of a fee, but renewal is not assured. The loss of, or failure to renew, any one concession could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Our ability to renew concessions and the terms of renewal are subject to a number of factors beyond our control, including the prevalent regulatory and political environment at the time of renewal. Fees are typically established at the time of renewal. As a condition for renewal, we may be required to agree to new and stricter terms and service requirements. For example, in order to renew our concession to provide services in Ecuador, we were required to pay U.S.\$289 million in August 2008. If our concessions are not renewed, we are required to transfer the assets covered by the concession to the government, generally for fair market value, although certain jurisdictions provide for other valuation methodologies. In Mexico, we have important concessions expiring in 2010 and 2011, and the SCT may impose additional conditions in connection with the renewal of a concession. See Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

In Mexico, the Mexican Federal Telecommunications Law (*Ley Federal de Telecomunicaciones*, or the Telecommunications Law) also gives certain rights to the Mexican government, including the right to revoke

Table of Contents

the concessions pursuant to an expropriation or to take over the management of Telcel's networks, facilities and personnel in cases of imminent danger to national security, internal peace or the national economy, natural disasters and public unrest.

We continue to look for investment opportunities, and any future acquisitions and related financings could have a material effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition

We continue to look for other investment opportunities in telecommunication companies primarily in Latin America and the Caribbean, including in markets where we are already present, and we often have several possible acquisitions under consideration. For example, we may pursue further market consolidation opportunities in Argentina and Brazil depending on their terms and conditions. Any future acquisitions and related financings could have a material effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, but we cannot give any assurances that we will complete any of them. In addition, we may incur in significant costs and expenses as we integrate these companies in our systems, controls and networks.

We may be unsuccessful in addressing the challenges and risks presented by our investments in countries outside Mexico

We have invested in a growing number of telecommunications businesses outside our historical activity of providing wireless telecommunications services in Mexico, and we plan to continue to do so in the rest of Latin America and the Caribbean. Whereas Mexico accounted for 63.0% of our total wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2002 and 71% of our consolidated revenues during 2002, it accounted for 30.9% of our total wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008 and 39.1% of our consolidated revenues during 2008. During that period, Brazil, as a result of rapid subscriber growth and the acquisitions of BSE S.A. and BCP S.A. (now Claro S.A.), increased its share of our total wireless subscribers from 16.3% as of December 31, 2002 to 21.2% as of December 31, 2008, and it accounted for 20.3% of our consolidated revenues during 2008. These investments outside Mexico may involve risks to which we have not previously been exposed. Some of the investments are in countries that may present different or greater risks, including from competition, than Mexico. We cannot assure you that these investments will be successful.

We are subject to significant litigation

Some of our subsidiaries are subject to significant litigation, which if determined adversely to our interests may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects. In Mexico, for example, there are pending administrative investigations into whether Telcel has substantial market power and whether it has engaged in monopolistic practices, and there are legal proceedings regarding rates for interconnection with other operators. In Brazil, there are pending regulatory proceedings regarding the calculation of inflation-related adjustments due under our concessions with Anatel. In Colombia, there are pending administrative proceedings against Comcel regarding alleged anti-competitive behavior. Our significant litigation is described in "Legal Proceedings" under Item 8.

A system failure could cause delays or interruptions of service, which could cause us to lose customers and revenues

We will need to continue to provide our subscribers with reliable service over our network. Some of the risks to our network and infrastructure include the following:

physical damage to access lines;

power surges or outages;

limitations on the use of our radiobases;

software defects;

Table of Contents

natural disasters; and

disruptions beyond our control.

Disruptions may cause interruptions in service or reduced capacity for customers, either of which could cause us to lose subscribers and incur additional expenses.

If our current churn rate increases, our business could be negatively affected

The cost of acquiring a new subscriber is much higher than the cost of maintaining an existing subscriber. Accordingly, subscriber deactivations, or churn, could have a material negative impact on our operating income, even if we are able to obtain one new subscriber for each lost subscriber. Because a substantial majority of our subscribers are prepaid, we do not have long-term contracts with those subscribers. Our weighted monthly average churn rate on a consolidated basis for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2007 was 2.9% and for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2008 was 2.8%. If we experience an increase in our churn rate, our ability to achieve revenue growth could be materially impaired. In addition, a decline in general economic conditions could lead to an increase in churn, particularly among our prepaid subscribers.

We depend on key suppliers and vendors to provide equipment that we need to operate our business

We depend upon various key suppliers and vendors, including Apple, Nokia, Sony-Ericsson, Huawei, Motorola, LG and Samsung, to provide us with handsets and network equipment, which we need to operate our business. If these suppliers or vendors fail to provide equipment or service to us on a timely basis, we could experience disruptions, which could have an adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations. In addition, we might be unable to satisfy the requirements contained on our concessions.

Our ability to pay dividends and repay debt depends on our subsidiaries' ability to transfer income and dividends to us

We are a holding company with no significant assets other than the shares of our subsidiaries and our holdings of cash and marketable securities. Our ability to pay dividends and repay debt depends on the continued transfer to us of dividends and other income from our subsidiaries. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other transfers to us may be limited by various regulatory, contractual and legal constraints that affect our subsidiaries.

Risks Relating to the Wireless Industry Generally

Changes in the wireless industry could affect our future financial performance

The wireless communications industry is experiencing significant changes as new technologies are developed that offer subscribers an array of choices for their communications needs. These changes include, among others, regulatory changes, evolving industry standards, ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of digital technology, shorter development cycles for new products, and changes in end-user needs and preferences. In Mexico and in the other countries in which we conduct business, there is uncertainty as to the pace and extent of growth in subscriber demand, and as to the extent to which prices for airtime and line rental may continue to decline. If we are unable to meet future advances in competing technologies on a timely basis or at an acceptable cost, we could lose subscribers to our competitors. In general, the development of new services in our industry requires us to anticipate and respond to the varied and continually changing demands of our subscribers. We may not be able to accurately predict technological trends or the success of new services in the market. In addition, there could be legal or regulatory restraints to our introduction of new services. If these services fail to gain acceptance in the marketplace, or if costs associated with implementation and completion of the introduction of these services materially increase, our ability to retain and attract subscribers could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

There are three existing digital technologies for wireless communications, none of which is compatible with the others. In the past, Telcel and certain of our international businesses used time division multiple access (TDMA) technology for their digital networks, while certain of our other international businesses used code division multiple access (CDMA) as their digital wireless technology. We have introduced global system for mobile communications (GSM) technology in all of our markets (excluding TracFone Wireless, Inc.). Also, Telcel and all of our international businesses (excluding TracFone Wireless, Inc.) launched new networks using the UMTS and HSDPA third generation technology between 2007 and 2009. We expect to complete the deployment of the third generation technology in the following years. If future wireless technologies that gain widespread acceptance are not compatible with the technologies we use, we may be required to make capital expenditures in excess of our current forecasts in order to upgrade and replace our technology and infrastructure.

The intellectual property rights utilized by us, our suppliers or service providers may infringe on intellectual property rights owned by others

Some of our products and services use intellectual property that we own or license from others. We also provide content services we receive from content distributors, such as ring tones, text games, video games, wallpapers or screensavers, and outsource services to service providers, including billing and customer care functions, that incorporate or utilize intellectual property. We and some of our suppliers, content distributors and service providers have received, and may receive in the future, assertions and claims from third parties that the products or software utilized by us or our suppliers, content distributors and service providers infringe on the patents or other intellectual property rights of these third parties. These claims could require us or an infringing supplier, content distributor or service provider to cease engaging in certain activities, including selling, offering and providing the relevant products and services. Such claims and assertions also could subject us to costly litigation and significant liabilities for damages or royalty payments, or require us to cease certain activities or to cease selling certain products and services.

We may incur significant losses from wireless fraud and from our failure to successfully manage collections

Our wireless businesses incur losses and costs associated with the unauthorized use of these wireless networks, particularly their analog cellular networks. These costs include administrative and capital costs associated with detecting, monitoring and reducing the incidence of fraud. Fraud also affects interconnection costs, capacity costs, administrative costs and payments to other carriers for unbillable fraudulent roaming. Although we seek to combat this problem through the deployment of anti-fraud technologies and other measures, we cannot assure you that these efforts will be effective or that fraud will not result in material costs for us in the future.

Cloning, which is one form of wireless fraud, involves the use of scanners and other electronic devices to obtain illegally telephone numbers and electronic serial numbers during cellular transmission. Stolen telephone and serial number combinations can be programmed into a cellular phone and used to obtain improper access to cellular networks. Roaming fraud occurs when a phone programmed with a number stolen from one of our subscribers is used to place fraudulent calls from another carrier's market, resulting in a roaming fee charged to us that cannot be collected from the subscriber.

Concerns about health risks relating to the use of wireless handsets and base stations may adversely affect our business

Portable communications devices have been alleged to pose health risks, including cancer, due to radio frequency emissions from these devices. Lawsuits have been filed in the United States against certain participants in the wireless industry alleging various adverse health consequences as a result of wireless phone usage, and our businesses may be subject to similar litigation in the future. Research and studies are ongoing, and there can be no assurance that further research and studies will not demonstrate a link between radio frequency emissions and health concerns. Any negative findings in these studies could adversely affect the use of wireless handsets and, as a result, our future financial performance.

Table of Contents

Developments in the telecommunications sector have resulted, and may in the future result, in substantial write-downs of the carrying value of certain of our assets

We review on an annual basis, or more frequently where the circumstances require, the value of each of our assets and subsidiaries, to assess whether those carrying values can be supported by the future cash flows expected to be derived from such assets. Whenever we consider that due to changes in the economic, regulatory, business or political environment, our goodwill, intangible assets or fixed assets may be impaired, we consider the necessity of performing certain valuation tests, which may result in impairment charges. The recognition of impairments of tangible, intangible and financial assets results in a non-cash charge on the income statement, which could adversely affect our results of operations. For example, during 2007 and 2008, we recorded charges in respect of certain analog, TDMA and CDMA equipment in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia and Ecuador following our decision to discontinue using the equipment.

Risks Relating to Our Controlling Shareholders, Capital Structure and Transactions with Affiliates

Members of one family may be deemed to control us

According to reports of beneficial ownership of our shares filed with the SEC, Carlos Slim Helú, together with his sons and daughters (together, the Slim Family), including his son and chairman of our board of directors, Patrick Slim Domit, may be deemed to control us. The Slim Family may be able to elect a majority of the members of our board of directors and to determine the outcome of other actions requiring a vote of our shareholders, except in very limited cases that require a vote of the holders of L Shares. We cannot assure you that the Slim Family will not take actions that are inconsistent with your interests.

We have significant transactions with affiliates

We engage in transactions with Teléfonos de México, S.A.B. de C.V., or Telmex, Telmex Internacional, S.A.B. de C.V., or Telmex Internacional, and certain of their subsidiaries and with certain subsidiaries of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. and Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A.B. de C.V., all of which are affiliates of América Móvil. Many of these transactions occur in the ordinary course of business and, in the case of transactions with Telmex, are subject to applicable telecommunications regulations in Mexico. Transactions with affiliates may create the potential for conflicts of interest.

We also make investments together with affiliated companies, sell our investments to related parties and buy investments from related parties. We may pursue joint investments in the telecommunications industry with Telmex and Telmex Internacional. For more information about our transactions with affiliates see Related Party Transactions under Item 7.

Our bylaws restrict transfers of shares in some circumstances

Our bylaws provide that any acquisition or transfer of more than 10% of our capital stock by any person or group of persons acting together requires the approval of our Board of Directors. If you acquire or transfer more than 10% of our capital stock, you will not be able to do so without the approval of our Board of Directors.

The protections afforded to minority shareholders in Mexico are different from those in the United States

Under Mexican law, the protections afforded to minority shareholders are different from those in the United States. In particular, the law concerning fiduciary duties of directors is not as fully developed as in other jurisdictions, there is no procedure for class actions, and there are different procedural requirements for bringing shareholder lawsuits. As a result, in practice it may be more difficult for minority shareholders of América Móvil to enforce their rights against us or our directors or controlling shareholder than it would be for shareholders of a company incorporated in another jurisdiction, such as the United States.

Table of Contents

Holders of L Shares and L Share ADSs have limited voting rights, and holders of ADSs may vote only through the depositary

Our bylaws provide that holders of L Shares are not permitted to vote except on such limited matters as, among others, the transformation or merger of América Móvil or the cancellation of registration of the L Shares with the National Securities Registry (*Registro Nacional de Valores*) maintained by the Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission (*Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores*, or *CNBV*) or any stock exchange on which they are listed. If you hold L Shares or L Share ADSs, you will not be able to vote on most matters, including the declaration of dividends, that are subject to a shareholder vote in accordance with our bylaws.

Holders of ADSs are not entitled to attend shareholders meetings, and they may only vote through the depositary

Under our bylaws, a shareholder is required to deposit its shares with a custodian in order to attend a shareholders meeting. A holder of ADSs will not be able to meet this requirement, and accordingly is not entitled to attend shareholders meetings. A holder of ADSs is entitled to instruct the depositary as to how to vote the shares represented by ADSs, in accordance with procedures provided for in the deposit agreements, but a holder of ADSs will not be able to vote its shares directly at a shareholders meeting or to appoint a proxy to do so.

Mexican law and our bylaws restrict the ability of non-Mexican shareholders to invoke the protection of their governments with respect to their rights as shareholders

As required by Mexican law, our bylaws provide that non-Mexican shareholders shall be considered as Mexicans in respect of their ownership interests in América Móvil and shall be deemed to have agreed not to invoke the protection of their governments in certain circumstances. Under this provision, a non-Mexican shareholder is deemed to have agreed not to invoke the protection of his own government by asking such government to interpose a diplomatic claim against the Mexican government with respect to the shareholder's rights as a shareholder, but is not deemed to have waived any other rights it may have, including any rights under the U.S. securities laws, with respect to its investment in América Móvil. If you invoke such governmental protection in violation of this provision, your shares could be forfeited to the Mexican government.

Our bylaws may only be enforced in Mexico

Our bylaws provide that legal actions relating to the execution, interpretation or performance of the bylaws may be brought only in Mexican courts. As a result, it may be difficult for non-Mexican shareholders to enforce their shareholder rights pursuant to the bylaws.

It may be difficult to enforce civil liabilities against us or our directors, officers and controlling persons

América Móvil is a *sociedad anónima bursátil de capital variable* organized under the laws of Mexico, with its principal place of business (*domicilio social*) in Mexico City, and most of our directors, officers and controlling persons reside outside the United States. In addition, all or a substantial portion of our assets and their assets are located outside of the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States on such persons or to enforce judgments against them, including in any action based on civil liabilities under the U.S. federal securities laws. There is doubt as to the enforceability against such persons in Mexico, whether in original actions or in actions to enforce judgments of U.S. courts, of liabilities based solely on the U.S. federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

You may not be entitled to participate in future preemptive rights offerings

Under Mexican law, if we issue new shares for cash as part of certain capital increases, we must grant our shareholders the right to purchase a sufficient number of shares to maintain their existing ownership percentage in América Móvil. Rights to purchase shares in these circumstances are known as preemptive rights. Our shareholders do not have preemptive rights in certain circumstances such as mergers, convertible debentures, public offers and placement of repurchased shares. We may not legally be permitted to allow holders of ADSs or holders of L Shares or A Shares in the United States to exercise any preemptive rights in any future capital increase unless we file a registration statement with the SEC, with respect to that future issuance of shares. At the time of any future capital increase, we will evaluate the costs and potential liabilities associated with filing a registration statement with the SEC and any other factors that we consider important to determine whether we will file such a registration statement.

We cannot assure you that we will file a registration statement with the SEC to allow holders of ADSs or U.S. holders of L Shares or A Shares to participate in a preemptive rights offering. As a result, the equity interest of such holders in América Móvil may be diluted proportionately. In addition, under current Mexican law, it is not practicable for the depositary to sell preemptive rights and distribute the proceeds from such sales to ADS holders.

Risks Relating to Developments in Mexico and Other Countries

Latin American and Caribbean economic, political and social conditions may adversely affect our business

Our financial performance may be significantly affected by general economic, political and social conditions in the markets where we operate, particularly Mexico, Brazil and Central America. Many countries in Latin America and the Caribbean, including Mexico, Brazil and Argentina have suffered significant economic, political and social crises in the past, and these events may occur again in the future. Many of these countries, including Ecuador, El Salvador and Panama, recently held elections and others including Honduras, Uruguay and Chile will hold presidential elections in 2009. We cannot predict whether changes in administrations will result in changes in governmental policy and whether such changes will affect our business. Instability in the region has been caused by many different factors, including:

significant governmental influence over local economies;

substantial fluctuations in economic growth;

high levels of inflation;

changes in currency values;

exchange controls or restrictions on expatriation of earnings;

high domestic interest rates;

wage and price controls;

changes in governmental economic or tax policies;

imposition of trade barriers;

unexpected changes in regulation; and

overall political, social and economic instability.

Adverse economic, political and social conditions in Latin America may inhibit demand for wireless services and create uncertainty regarding our operating environment, which could have a material adverse effect on our company.

Table of Contents

Our business may be especially affected by conditions in Mexico and Brazil, our two principal markets. Mexico has experienced a prolonged period of slow growth since 2001, primarily as a result of the downturn in the U.S. economy. According to preliminary data, during 2008, Mexico's gross domestic product, or GDP, decreased by 1.6% in real terms. In 2007, GDP grew by 4.7%. Mexico has also experienced high levels of inflation and high domestic interest rates. The annual rate of inflation, as measured by changes in the National Consumer Price Index as published by the Banco de México, was 6.5% for 2008.

Brazil has also experienced slow economic growth over the past several years. Brazil's GDP grew by an estimated 1.2% in real terms in 2008, compared to a growth rate of 5.4% in 2007. Brazil has in the past experienced extremely high rates of inflation, with annual rates of inflation during the last years reaching as high as 2,489% in 1993 and 929% in 1994, as measured by the Brazilian National Consumer Price Index. More recently, Brazil's rates of inflation were 3.1% in 2006, 4.5% in 2007, and 6.4% in 2008. Inflation, governmental measures to combat inflation and public speculation about possible future actions have in the past had significant negative effects on the Brazilian economy.

Our business may be affected by political developments in Latin America and the Caribbean. We cannot predict whether these recent events will affect our business or our ability to renew our licenses and concessions, to maintain or increase our market share or profitability or will have an impact on future strategic acquisition efforts.

Depreciation or fluctuation of the currencies in which we conduct operations relative to the U.S. dollar could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations

We are affected by fluctuations in the value of the currencies in which we conduct operations compared to the U.S. dollar, in which a substantial portion of our indebtedness is denominated. Changes in the value of the various currencies in which we conduct operations against the Mexican peso, which we use as our reporting currency in our financial statements, and against the U.S. dollar may result in exchange losses or gains on our net U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness and accounts payable. In 2007 and 2006, changes in currency exchange rates led us to report foreign exchange gains of Ps. 2,463 million and Ps. 2,321 million, respectively. In 2008, we reported foreign exchange losses of Ps. 13,686 million. In addition, currency fluctuations between the Mexican peso and the currencies of our non-Mexican subsidiaries affect our results as reported in Mexican pesos. Currency fluctuations are expected to continue to affect our financial income and expense.

Major devaluation or depreciation of any such currencies may also result in disruption of the international foreign exchange markets and may limit our ability to transfer or to convert such currencies into U.S. dollars and other currencies for the purpose of making timely payments of interest and principal on our indebtedness. The Mexican government does not currently restrict, and for many years has not restricted, the right or ability of Mexican or foreign persons or entities to convert pesos into U.S. dollars or to transfer other currencies out of Mexico. The government could, however, institute restrictive exchange rate policies in the future. Also, the Brazilian government may impose temporary restrictions on the conversion of Brazilian reals into foreign currencies and on the remittance to foreign investors of proceeds from investments in Brazil. Brazilian law permits the government to impose these restrictions whenever there is a serious imbalance in Brazil's balance of payments or a reason to foresee a serious imbalance.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Information on the Company

GENERAL

We are the largest provider of wireless communications services in Latin America based on subscribers. As of December 31, 2008, we had 182.7 million wireless subscribers in 17 countries, compared to 153.4 million at year-end 2007. Because our focus is on Latin America, a substantial majority of our wireless subscribers are prepaid customers. We also had an aggregate of approximately 3.8 million fixed lines in Central America and the Caribbean as of December 31, 2008, making us the largest fixed-line operator in Central America and the Caribbean based on the number of subscribers.

Our principal operations are:

Mexico. Through Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V., which operates under the name Telcel, we provide mobile telecommunications service in all nine regions in Mexico. As of December 31, 2008, we had 56.4 million subscribers in Mexico. We are the largest provider of mobile telecommunications services in Mexico.

Brazil. With approximately 38.7 million subscribers as of December 31, 2008, we are one of the three largest providers of wireless telecommunications services in Brazil based on the number of subscribers. We operate in Brazil through our subsidiaries, Claro S.A. and Americel S.A., or Americel, under the unified brand name Claro. Our network covers the main cities in Brazil (including São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro).

Southern Cone. We provide wireless services in Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay and Chile. As of December 31, 2008, we had 19.6 million subscribers in the Southern Cone region. We operate under the Claro brand in the region.

Colombia. We provide wireless services in Colombia under the Comcel brand. As of December 31, 2008, we had 27.4 million wireless subscribers and were the largest wireless provider in Colombia.

Andean Region. We provide wireless services in Peru and Ecuador. As of December 31, 2008, we had 15.5 million subscribers in the Andean region. We operate under the Porta brand in Ecuador and under the Claro brand in Peru.

Central America. We provide fixed-line and wireless services in Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama. Our Central American subsidiaries provide wireless services under the Claro brand. As of December 31, 2008, our subsidiaries had 9.2 million wireless subscribers and over 2.2 million fixed-line subscribers in Central America. We began providing wireless services in Panama in March 2009.

United States. Our U.S. subsidiary, TracFone Wireless Inc., or Tracfone, is engaged in the sale and distribution of prepaid wireless services and wireless phones throughout the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. It had approximately 11.2 million subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

Caribbean. Compañía Dominicana de Teléfonos, C. por A., or Codetel, is the largest telecommunications service provider in the Dominican Republic with 3.9 million wireless subscribers, 0.8 million fixed-line subscribers and 0.2 million broadband subscribers as of December 31, 2008. We provide fixed-line and broadband services in the Dominican Republic under the Codetel brand and wireless services under the Claro brand.

Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc., or TELPRI, through its subsidiaries, is the largest telecommunications service provider in Puerto Rico with approximately 0.8 million fixed-line subscribers and 0.7 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008. We provide fixed-line and broadband services in Puerto Rico under the PRT brand and wireless services under the Claro brand.

Table of Contents

Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited, or Oceanic, provides wireless and value added services throughout Jamaica, with 0.2 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. is a *sociedad anónima bursátil de capital variable* organized under the laws of Mexico with its principal executive offices at Lago Alberto 366, Edificio Telcel I, Colonia Anáhuac, Delegación Miguel Hidalgo, 11320, México D.F., México. Our telephone number at this location is (5255) 2581-4449.

Our Markets, Networks and Technology

We operate pursuant to concessions, licenses or authorizations to provide wireless telecommunications services in each of the countries in which we operate. We seek to provide a full range of wireless telecommunications services in each of our markets. Our networks are consistently optimized to try to ensure maximum coverage and high quality service. In 2008, we invested Ps. 57,134 million in capital expenditures on our property, plant and equipment. We also seek to expand market share by exploring strategic acquisition opportunities in Latin America and the Caribbean.

We use a number of mobile technologies in the markets in which we operate. All of our markets (excluding Tracfone) have global system for mobile communication (GSM) networks for voice and digital transmissions. Through these networks, we provide many of the voice and data services supported by GSM technology, such as short message service (SMS), circuit switch data (CSD), high speed circuit switch data (HSCSD), packet switch data through general packet radio services (GPRS) and enhanced data rates for GSM evolution (EDGE).

During 2007, we began deploying networks based on universal mobile telecommunications systems (UMTS) in certain of our principal markets, including Mexico, Brazil and Colombia. UMTS is a system based on the third generation (3G) of mobile phone standards and technology that allows the transmission of large volumes of data at high speeds. 3G technologies enable network operators to offer users a wider range of more advanced services while achieving greater network capacity through improved spectral efficiency. Services include wide-area wireless phone telephony, video calls and broadband data, all in a mobile environment. We are deploying these networks using the high-speed downlink packet access protocol (HSDPA), which is a mobile telephony communications protocol that allows networks based on UMTS to have higher data transfer speeds and capacity. Our HSDPA deployment supports down-link speeds of 3.6 megabits per second. Our 3G networks use the same technology that is generally used throughout Europe to provide 3G services. We elected to deploy the UMTS/HSDPA networks principally because:

New market opportunities. It allows us to enter new markets and provide new services, such as the wireless broadband market, which we believe has significant growth potential throughout Latin America and the Caribbean.

Handset compatibility. UMTS handsets are compatible with our GSM networks, so a customer can use one handset to connect to our UMTS and GSM networks. This allows us to focus the deployment of our new networks in areas of high demand for 3G services, principally large urban areas.

Spectral compatibility. With our new networks, we can generally offer 3G services using our existing licenses in the 850 megahertz spectrum, which is being increasingly underutilized by our remaining TDMA customers. In addition to being efficient from a cost perspective, deployment in the 850 megahertz spectrum is more efficient and provides better coverage than deployment in the 1900 megahertz spectrum.

Increased voice capacity. Our new networks give us approximately 5.7 times more capacity to provide voice services than our existing GSM network using our current spectrum (considering a 5 megahertz fully-used spectrum).

Cost effective deployment. Unlike some of the 3G networks being deployed in the United States, UMTS networks use some of the same basic network plant and equipment as GSM networks. This has permitted us to achieve a quicker and more cost effective deployment of 3G services, than would have been possible using other technologies.

Table of Contents

We are the first operator to deploy these new technologies in most of our markets. We launched 3G services based on these new technologies in Brazil during the second half of 2007 and in Mexico and Colombia during the first quarter of 2008. As of the date of this annual report, we are offering 3G services in 17 countries in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Our principal markets of operations are Mexico and Brazil, the two largest economies in Latin America. We are the largest provider of wireless communication services in Mexico and one of the three largest in Brazil, based on the number of subscribers at December 31, 2008. In contrast to U.S. practices, both of these markets operate under a form of calling party pays billing system, under which subscribers only pay for outgoing calls. During 2008, our Mexican operations represented 39.1% of our operating revenues and our Brazilian operations represented 20.3% of our operating revenues.

In many of our markets, the regulatory environment has become increasingly more open and flexible over the past decade. These changes have increased competition as markets have become more open to new entrants. In Mexico, these changes have exposed us to competition from domestic competitors and from international operators. In other markets, these changes have allowed us an opportunity to enter as a competitor and capture market share from local providers. However, we operate in many markets that have had and may continue to have volatile economic and political environments, and significant political changes may lead to changes in regulatory environments that can adversely affect our interests and prospects.

Our Strategy

We intend to capitalize on our position as the leader in wireless telecommunications in Latin America to continue to expand our subscriber base, both by development of our existing businesses and selected strategic acquisitions in the region. We seek to become a leader in each of our markets by providing better coverage and services and benefiting from economies of scale. We closely monitor our costs and expenses, and we will continue to explore alternatives to further improve our operating margins.

Operating Information

We count our wireless subscribers by the number of lines activated. We continue to count post-paid subscribers for the length of their contracts. We disconnect, or churn, our postpaid subscribers at the moment they voluntarily discontinue their service or following a prescribed period of time after they become delinquent. We disconnect our prepaid subscribers after a period of four months after they discontinue to use our service, so long as they have not activated a calling card or have received traffic. We calculate our subscriber market share by dividing our own subscriber figures into the total market subscriber figures periodically reported by the regulatory authorities in the markets in which we operate. We understand that these regulatory authorities compile total market subscriber figures based on subscriber figures provided to them by market participants, and we do not independently verify these figures.

Throughout this annual report, we make reference to certain operating data, such as average revenues per subscriber (also referred to as ARPU^s), average minutes of use per subscriber (also referred to as average MOU^s per subscriber) and churn rate, that are not included in our financial statements. We calculate ARPUs for a given period by dividing service revenues for such period by the average number of subscribers for such period. The figure includes both prepaid and postpaid customers. We calculate churn rate as the total number of customer deactivations for a period divided by total subscribers at the beginning of such period.

We provide this operating data because it is regularly reviewed by management and because management believes it is useful in evaluating our performance from period to period. We believe that presenting information about ARPUs and MOUs is useful in assessing the usage and acceptance of our products and services, and that presenting churn rate is useful in assessing our ability to retain subscribers. This additional operating information may not be uniformly defined by our competitors. Accordingly, this additional operating information may not be comparable with similarly titled measures and disclosures by other companies.

Table of Contents

History

We were established in September 2000 in a spin-off from Telmex, a leading provider of local and long-distance telephone services in Mexico. The spin-off was implemented using a procedure under the Mexican General Corporations Law (*Ley General de Sociedades Mercantiles*) called *escisión*. The shares of our company were delivered to Telmex shareholders on February 7, 2001.

Our wireless business in Mexico is conducted through our wholly-owned subsidiary Telcel, which traces its history to the establishment in 1956 of Publicidad Turística, S.A., an affiliate of Telmex that published telephone directories. In 1981, the SCT granted Publicidad Turística, S.A. a concession for the installation and operation of a wireless telephone system in Mexico City. In 1984, Publicidad Turística, S.A. changed its corporate name to Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V., and in 1989, the company began operating under the trademark Telcel.

Between 1988 and 1990, Telcel expanded its cellular network on the 850 megahertz (Band B) frequency spectrum to cover the Mexico City metropolitan area and the cities of Cuernavaca, Guadalajara, Monterrey, Tijuana and Toluca, and in 1990, Telcel began offering cellular services in all nine geographic regions of Mexico. Telcel launched a PCS system in Mexico City in 1999 and currently offers the service in all nine geographic regions of Mexico. In October 2002, Telcel launched its GSM network. Since December 2002, Telcel has been authorized to provide long-distance services.

In 1999, we began acquiring our international subsidiaries and investing in our Brazilian operations and our other international affiliates. We made significant acquisitions in Latin America and the Caribbean during the past 10 years, and our non-Mexican operations have generally experienced higher subscriber growth rates in recent periods than our Mexican operations. As a result, as of December 31, 2008, approximately 69.1% of our wireless subscribers were located outside Mexico.

In December 2006, our shareholders approved the merger of Amtel, our then controlling shareholder, and its subsidiary, Corporativo, with us. As a result of the merger, we assumed Amtel's net indebtedness, which we refinanced in January 2007. The merger also increased the amount we may use under applicable Mexican tax rules (*cuenta de utilidad fiscal neta*, or CUFIN) to repurchase shares or pay dividends without incurring additional taxes.

See Mexican Operations, Non-Mexican Operations and Other Investments under this Item 4.

Table of Contents**Major Subsidiaries**

The table below sets forth our principal subsidiaries, our percentage ownership in each such entity and the main activity of such entity as of the date of this annual report.

| Name of Company | Jurisdiction | Ownership Interest⁽¹⁾ | Main Activity |
|---|---------------------|---|----------------------|
| Sercotel, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | Holding company |
| AMX Argentina, S.A. | Argentina | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Americel S.A. | Brazil | 99.3 | Wireless |
| Claro S.A. | Brazil | 99.4 | Wireless |
| Claro Chile S.A. | Chile | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Comunicación Celular S.A. (COMCEL) | Colombia | 99.4 | Wireless |
| TracFone Wireless, Inc. | Delaware | 98.2 | Wireless |
| Consortio Ecuatoriano de Telecomunicaciones, S.A. (CONECEL). | Ecuador | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Compañía de Telecomunicaciones de El Salvador (CTE), S.A. de C.V. | El Salvador | 95.8 | Fixed-line |
| CTE Telecom Personal, S.A. de C.V. | El Salvador | 95.8 | Wireless |
| Telecomunicaciones de Guatemala, S.A. | Guatemala | 99.2 | Fixed-line/Wireless |
| Servicios de Comunicaciones de Honduras, S.A. de C.V. | Honduras | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited ⁽²⁾ | Jamaica | 99.4 | Wireless |
| Empresa Nicaragüense de Telecomunicaciones, S.A. (ENITEL) | Nicaragua | 99.5 | Fixed-line/Wireless |
| Cablenet, S.A. | Nicaragua | 100.0 | Cable TV |
| Estaciones Terrenas de Satélite, S.A. (Estesa) | Nicaragua | 100.0 | Cable TV |
| Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Claro Panamá, S.A. | Panama | 100.0 | Wireless |
| AMX Paraguay, S.A. | Paraguay | 100.0 | Wireless |
| América Móvil Perú, S.A.C. | Peru | 100.0 | Wireless |
| Puerto Rico Telephone Company, Inc. | Puerto Rico | 100.0 | Fixed-line/Wireless |
| PRT Larga Distancia, Inc. | Puerto Rico | 100.0 | Fixed-line/Wireless |
| AM Wireless Uruguay, S.A. | Uruguay | 100.0 | Wireless |
| AMX Tenedora, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0 | Holding company |
| Compañía Dominicana de Teléfonos, C. por A. (CODETEL) | Dominican Republic | 100.0 | Fixed-line/Wireless |

(1) Percentage of equity owned by América Móvil directly or indirectly through subsidiaries or affiliates.

(2) On November 28, 2008, Sercotel sold 100% of its shares in AMX Santa Lucía Inc. (parent company of Oceanic) to Comcel. As a result, our ownership interest in Oceanic decreased from 100% to 99.4%.

Table of Contents**MEXICAN OPERATIONS**

Our subsidiary Telcel is the leading provider of wireless communications services in Mexico. As of December 31, 2008, our cellular network covered approximately 50.8% of the geographical area of Mexico, including all major cities, and 91% of Mexico's population. Telcel holds concessions to operate a wireless network in all nine geographic regions in Mexico using both the 850 megahertz and 1900 megahertz radio spectrums. As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately 56.4 million cellular subscribers and, according to Cofetel, as of December 2008, an approximately 74.9% share of the Mexican wireless market. Approximately 92.7% of our cellular subscribers as of December 31, 2008 were prepaid customers.

In 2008, our Mexican operations had revenues of Ps. 135,278 million (U.S.\$9,992 million), representing 39.1% of our consolidated revenues for such period. As of December 31, 2008, our Mexican operations accounted for approximately 30.9% of our total wireless subscribers, as compared to 32.6% at December 31, 2007.

The following table sets forth information on our Mexican operations' financial results, subscriber base, coverage and related matters at the dates and for the periods indicated:

| | 2004 | 2005 | December 31, 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|--|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | (peso amounts prior to 2008 in constant Mexican pesos as of December 31, 2007) | | | | |
| Operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 78,999 | Ps. 96,710 | Ps. 113,295 | Ps. 127,027 | Ps. 135,278 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber during preceding 12 months ⁽¹⁾ | Ps. 216 | Ps. 208 | Ps. 196 | Ps. 188 | Ps. 174 |
| Operating income (millions) | Ps. 28,205 | Ps. 36,837 | Ps. 49,814 | Ps. 59,257 | Ps. 61,983 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) | 28,851 | 35,914 | 43,190 | 50,011 | 56,371 |
| Subscriber growth during preceding 12 months | 23.1% | 24.5% | 20.3% | 15.8% | 12.7% |
| Company penetration ⁽²⁾ | 27.3% | 34.8% | 41.4% | 47.5% | 53.1% |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber during preceding 12 months | 99 | 103 | 113 | 143 | 174 |
| Churn rate ⁽³⁾ | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Employees | 9,354 | 11,129 | 12,370 | 14,360 | 16,526 |

(1) Average for the year of the amount obtained each month by dividing service revenues by the average number of customers during such month. The figure includes both prepaid and postpaid customers.

(2) Number of cellular lines in service divided by the population of Mexico based on the latest census data available.

(3) Total number of customer deactivations for the period divided by total subscribers at the beginning of such period.

Our Mexican business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the SCT, Cofetel, Cofeco and Profeco. The SCT is part of the executive branch of the Mexican federal government, and Cofetel is an independent agency of the SCT. Cofeco and Profeco are independent agencies of the Ministry of Economy (*Secretaría de Economía*). Regulation and oversight are governed by the General Communications Law (*Ley de Vías Generales de Comunicación*, or the General Communications Law), the Telecommunications Law, the telecommunications regulations adopted under both the General Communications Law and the Telecommunications Law, the Federal Antitrust Law (*Ley Federal de Competencia Económica* or Federal Antitrust Law), the Federal Customer Protection Law (*Ley Federal de Protección al Consumidor*) and the concessions and license agreements granted by the SCT. See Regulation.

Unlike the United States, Mexico uses the calling party pays system for cellular calls, under which subscribers only pay for outgoing calls, unless subscribers are outside their local area. See Regulation Calling Party Pays.

Table of Contents

Services and Products

We offer services and products in Mexico directly through Telcel or through Telcel's subsidiaries and affiliates in Mexico.

Voice services

We offer voice services under a variety of rate plans to meet the needs of different user segments. The rate plans are either postpaid, where the customer is billed monthly for the previous month, or prepaid, where the customer pays in advance for a specified volume of use over a specified period.

Telcel's postpaid plans include the following charges:

monthly charges, which usually include a number of minutes of use and short text messages that are included in the monthly service charge;

usage charges, for usage in excess of the specified number of minutes or short text messages included in the monthly charge; and

additional charges, including charges for data services, voicemail and general information.

Certain plans include the cost of national roaming and long-distance in the price per minute so that all calls within Mexico cost the same amount per minute. Some postpaid plans are designed for high and moderate usage subscribers, who are typically willing to pay higher monthly fees in exchange for larger blocks of minutes that are included in the monthly service charge, services such as voicemail, call forwarding, call waiting, caller ID and three-way calling, and lower per minute airtime charges under a single contract. To satisfy the more limited needs of low-usage postpaid subscribers, Telcel also offers plans which provide a moderately priced, fixed monthly charge coupled with a high per minute airtime charge and relatively few included minutes. As part of postpaid plans, Telcel typically offers additional digital services such as voicemail, call forwarding, call waiting, caller ID and three-way calling, which are all included in the monthly fee. In addition, Telcel offers its postpaid customers the flexibility to manage their additional usage costs by contracting additional minutes of use under the prepaid system. Telcel also offers customized services to its corporate clients. Postpaid customers may terminate plans at any time, except customers that receive a handset as part of subscribing to a plan, which must remain with the plan for at least between one year and 18 months, depending on the cost of the handset.

Rates for postpaid plans have not increased since April 1999 and are expected to remain stable as long as the Mexican economic environment remains stable. In recent periods, we have offered postpaid plans that include effective price-per-minute reductions. In addition, Telcel offers discounts that reduce the effective rates paid by its customers for calls to fixed lines or other Telcel wireless customers.

We also offer several prepaid plans, none of which includes activation or monthly charges. Prepaid customers purchase a prepaid card for a specific amount of airtime and also receive additional services such as voicemail and caller ID, although these services are less comprehensive than those available under postpaid plans.

Prepaid customers typically generate lower levels of cellular usage and are often unwilling to make a fixed financial commitment or do not have the credit profile to purchase postpaid plans. Prepaid plans serve the needs of distinct consumer segments such as the youth market, families, customers with variable income who otherwise would not be able to obtain service due to their credit profile, and customers who prefer to pay in cash. Prepaid customers also include parents who wish to control costs for their children.

Basic rates for prepaid plans have remained unchanged since 2002. However, we offer effective price-per-minute seasonal discounts and effective discounts under certain friends and family and other loyalty programs. Beginning in 2006, we offer a preferential rate to customers who have remained active for a period of

Table of Contents

at least one year with respect to certain outgoing calls. Our prepaid subscribers may choose to be billed per minute (rounding each call to the next full minute), per second (paying a fixed rate for the first minute and another fixed rate for additional seconds), and beginning on April 2007, per call (consisting of a fixed rate for any call lasting up to 20 minutes). Prepaid subscribers may also choose to combine per minute and per call billing (calls lasting up to two minutes are billed on a per minute basis, while calls lasting longer than two minutes are billed on a per call basis for each additional 20 minute block).

National long distance rates for prepaid plans decreased in August 2006 from Ps. 2.61 per minute to Ps. 2.25 per minute and are expected to continue to decrease.

We believe the prepaid market represents a large and growing under-penetrated market in Mexico. Compared to the average postpaid plan, prepaid plans involve higher average per minute airtime charges, lower customer acquisition costs and billing expenses, and low credit or payment risk. However, prepaid customers on average have substantially lower minutes of use than postpaid customers and do not pay monthly fees and, as a result, generate substantially lower average monthly revenues per customer.

Data services

Short Message Services (SMS)

In January 2002, we began to offer two-way SMS to our customers as part of its value-added services. Since the launch of two-way SMS, Telcel has experienced significant growth in traffic. Through arrangements with other mobile operators, Telcel began to offer to its customers the ability to send and receive short messages to and from users of networks of other carriers throughout Mexico in the fourth quarter of 2003. Since December 2004, postpaid and prepaid customers may send and receive short messages to and from users of networks in the United States and more recently to and from 34 other countries. In 2007, Telcel began to offer to its customers the ability to send and receive short messages to and from users of Nextel México, the largest trunking carrier in Mexico.

Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS)

As an enhanced version of SMS, MMS allows customers the capability to send, in a single message, multiple color images, sounds and different size text to another mobile phone or e-mail account. Telcel began to offer MMS through GSM technology to postpaid and prepaid customers in March 2003. Beginning in 2007, our customers can also send and receive multimedia messages to and from users of networks of other Mexican carriers.

Premium SMS, Premium MMS and Content Community

In April 2002, we became the first Mexican operator to offer premium information services through our SMS capabilities, including weather reports, financial quotes and entertainment news. Other content services include personalized ring tones, text and video games, wallpapers, screensavers, themes, video clips and interactive forums.

Starting in June 2006, we were the first Mexican operator to offer to our postpaid customers premium information services through our MMS capabilities, including news and weather reports, horoscopes and soccer score alerts and match results.

To further enhance its content offerings with well-known brands, Telcel has built a Content Community through agreements and special alliances with nationally and internationally renowned entertainment companies. These agreements and special alliances allow Telcel to offer premium content services, through the *Ideas Telcel* portal.

Table of Contents

Ideas Telcel

Through the *Ideas Telcel* portal, Telcel offers its customers mobile entertainment services, including SMS, MMS, e-mail, news and personalized downloads, such as ring tones and screensavers.

In May 2006, Telcel launched TV content services which allow certain customers to access news, cartoon, documentary and sports channels through their handsets. Telcel provides these services through an agreement with a content distributor. Telcel's TV content services, are available for EDGE users with compatible handsets, and since 2007 are also available to our 3G users.

Internet

Wireless application protocol, or WAP, is a global standard designed to make Internet services available to mobile telephone users. At present, Telcel offers WAP including e-mail, data and information services and electronic commerce transactions. WAP allows a micro browser in a mobile phone to link into a gateway service in Telcel's network enabling users to scroll through different pages of information of third parties on the Internet. Telcel's WAP gateway enables its prepaid and postpaid users to access e-mail, banking, and a variety of reservation and other types of electronic commerce services.

As part of our third generation services, we offer internet access through smart phones and computers.

Data transmission

We offer the following data transmission services through our GSM network: high speed circuit switch data (HSCSD), packet switch data through general packet radio services (GPRS), enhanced data rates for GSM evolution (EDGE), universal mobile telecommunications systems (UMTS) and high speed downlink packet access (HSDPA).

GPRS is a non-voice value added service that allows information to be sent and received across a mobile telephone network. GPRS radio resources are used only when users are actually sending or receiving data. Rather than dedicating a radio channel to a mobile data user for a fixed period of time, the available radio resource can be concurrently shared between several users. This efficient use of scarce radio resources means that large numbers of GPRS users can share the same bandwidth and be served from a single cell. The number of users supported depends on the application being used and how much data is being transferred. Because of the spectrum efficiency of GPRS, there is less need to build in idle capacity that is only used during peak hours. GPRS therefore lets Telcel maximize the use of its network resources.

EDGE is a standardized set of improvements to the GSM radio interface. EDGE and GPRS traffic can function on any GPRS network, provided the carrier implements certain upgrades, which include certain modifications, installations and upgrades to base stations. The implementation of EDGE effectively triples the rate of gross data transmission offered by GPRS.

Because GPRS and EDGE transmit information through data channels rather than voice channels, they facilitate faster connections than previous technologies, such as CSD and HSCSD. No dial-up modem connection is necessary. The speed of GPRS and EDGE is an important feature for time critical applications, and Telcel's GPRS and EDGE services are able to accommodate corporate applications such as:

Transmission of Still Images Still images such as photographs, pictures, postcards, greeting cards and presentations and static web pages can be sent and received over the mobile network as they are across fixed telephone networks. GPRS and EDGE permit users to post images from a digital camera connected to a GPRS or EDGE radio device directly to an Internet site, allowing near real-time desktop publishing.

Transmission of Moving Images The capacity of GPRS and EDGE to facilitate transmission of moving images has market applications such as monitoring parking lots or building sites for intruders and sending images of patients from ambulances to hospitals.

Table of Contents

Web Browsing GPRS and EDGE permit more rapid web browsing and enhanced access to web images.

Document Sharing GPRS and EDGE facilitate document sharing and remote collaboration, permitting people in different locations to work on the same document at the same time.

Job Dispatch GPRS and EDGE can be used to communicate assignments from office-based staff to mobile field staff. These job dispatch applications can then be combined with vehicle positioning applications to allow the nearest available suitable personnel to be deployed to serve a customer.

Push E-mail and Remote LAN Access GPRS and EDGE facilitate the extension of push e-mail systems beyond an employee's office PC. Remote LAN applications provide an employee with remote access to desk-top applications, such as intranet, push e-mail and database applications.

Internet E-mail Internet e-mail users can receive SMS message notifications on their mobile phones of incoming e-mail at their e-mail server.

Vehicle Positioning Vehicle positioning applications integrate satellite positioning systems with nonvoice mobile services. These applications can be used to deliver services including remote vehicle diagnostics and ad-hoc stolen vehicle tracking.

File Transfer Users can download large files from the mobile network, such as presentation documents, appliance manuals or software applications.

Home Automation Home automation applications will permit customers to monitor home security from outside of the office and perform other functions, such as operating certain home appliances.

Telcel's UMTS third generation network and the high-speed downlink packet access protocol (HSDPA) are described under Third generation technologies under this Item 4.

Push-to-Talk Services

In 2004, we began to offer push-to-talk services (two-way half duplex voice service) over our GSM network. Postpaid customers may use push-to-talk over cellular, or POC, to communicate with other Telcel customers that subscribe to this service across Mexico at no cost in addition to the fixed monthly charge. POC is geared mainly towards potential customers in the business environments.

Oficina Móvil Telcel

Oficina Móvil allows our customers to access e-mail and personal information management tools, such as calendars and address books, through their handsets. Through strategic alliances with renowned companies such as BlackBerry and Windows Mobile, Telcel customers can manage multiple e-mail accounts and review and edit e-mail attachments. Telcel also offers data coverage in Mexico and other countries where we have roaming agreements.

E-Commerce

Telcel offers services that focus on e-commerce, such as services that allow customers to recharge air time remotely, share airtime with other prepaid customers and perform banking activities from their mobile phones.

Products

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Telcel offers a variety of products as complements to its wireless service, including handsets and accessories such as chargers, headsets, belt clips and batteries. As part of its prepaid service offering, Telcel provides new customers with an *Amigo Kit*, which includes airtime, a handset, a charger and other accessories at a discounted price. For prepaid customers that own GSM handsets, Telcel also offers an *Amigo Chip*, which includes airtime and the chip for the handset. New postpaid customers also receive a handset, a charger and other accessories complimentary or at a discounted price, if they enter into a long-term contract with Telcel.

Table of Contents

Most of the handsets that Telcel currently offers are GSM dual-band, which can switch between the 850 and 1900 megahertz radio spectrums. In addition, Telcel offers tri-band and four-band handsets, which can also operate in the 900 megahertz radio spectrum and/or the 1800 megahertz radio spectrum. Telcel no longer offers analog handsets. Beginning in 2007, Telcel offers 3G handsets, which operate on our 3G network and GSM network, depending on the customer's location.

Interconnection

Telcel earns interconnection revenues from calls to any of its subscribers that originate with another service provider. Telcel charges the service provider from whose network the call originates an interconnection charge for the time Telcel's network is used in connection with the call. Telcel must pay interconnection fees in respect of calls made by its subscribers to customers of other service providers (mobile and fixed). See Regulation Interconnection under this Item 4.

There has been extensive controversy, and legal and administrative proceedings, concerning the terms of interconnection in Mexico. See Regulation Interconnection under this Item 4.

Roaming

Telcel offers international roaming services to its subscribers through the networks of cellular service providers with which Telcel has entered into international roaming agreements. Telcel also provides roaming services in Mexico to customers of Telcel's international roaming partners. Subscribers are able to roam outside Mexico by paying international roaming fees. Telcel has entered into approximately 421 agreements covering GSM networks around the world. As of the date of this annual report, Telcel had commercially launched roaming GSM services covering 169 countries and GPRS services covering 123 countries for postpaid subscribers. Telcel's customers can roam in 3G networks covering 36 countries. Telcel's 3G network also provides inbound roaming to customers of its international roaming partners.

Telcel offers international roaming services (voice, SMS and data) under a variety of rates including special rates to subscribers roaming in the U.S. border, the U.S., Canada and other markets.

GPRS roaming features provide push-to-talk and push e-mail service customers with unlimited usage within certain zones on daily or monthly bases.

Telcel offers its prepaid customers GSM, GPRS and 3G services in the U.S., Canada and Brazil, including prepaid roaming top-up services and the ability to check their balance.

Roaming payments between Telcel and its international roaming partners are channeled through Cibernet Plc, which functions as a central international clearing house that collects and redistributes roaming fees from and to the participating providers.

Marketing

We develop customer and brand awareness through our marketing and promotion efforts and high-quality customer care. We build upon the strength of our well-recognized brand name to increase consumer awareness and customer loyalty, employing continuous advertising efforts through print, radio, television, sponsorship of sports events and other outdoor advertising campaigns. In addition, Telcel employs concentrated advertising efforts to promote specific products and services such as the *Amigo Kit* and related products, certain GSM postpaid plans and certain value-added services. In October 2003, Telcel launched *Círculo Azul*, a loyalty rewards program that offers postpaid customers points that can be redeemed for handsets and other goods or services provided by third parties.

Table of Contents

In 2008, our marketing efforts focused significantly on the promotion of Telcel's launch and development of 3G services in Mexico.

Telcel targets groups of customers who share common characteristics or have common needs. Telcel then assembles a packet of services that meets the particular needs of that targeted group through one of its various pricing plans.

Sales and Distribution

Telcel markets its wireless services primarily through exclusive distributors located throughout Mexico. In the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 72% of Telcel's sales of handsets were generated by cellular distributors (including retail chains), with approximately 24% from sales in company-owned stores, and approximately 4% from direct sales to corporate accounts.

As of December 31, 2008, Telcel had relationships with a network of over 1,293 exclusive distributors, who sell Telcel's services and products through approximately 45,024 points of sale and receive commissions. Telcel operates permanent training and evaluation programs for distributors to help maintain the level of service quality.

Telcel's company-owned retail stores offer one-stop shopping for a variety of cellular services and products. Walk-in customers can subscribe for postpaid plans, purchase prepaid cards and purchase handsets and accessories. Company-owned stores also serve as points of customer service, technical support and payment centers. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel owned and operated 246 customer sales and service centers throughout the nine regions of Mexico and will continue to open new service centers as necessary in order to offer its products directly to subscribers in more effective ways.

Telcel also distributes prepaid cards and handsets, the latter as part of the *Amigo Kit* consisting of handsets and free airtime ranging from 25 to 250 minutes, through distributors that include Telmex, Sears, Sanborns and its network of retail outlets. Telmex purchases the Telcel prepaid cards and handsets on the same or similar commercial terms offered to other cellular distributors. We estimate that, as of December 31, 2008, prepaid cards are available through approximately 130,000 points of sale in Mexico.

We sell prepaid airtime principally through the sale of cards. We also offer customers the option of buying airtime through other means.

To service the needs of its large corporate and other high-usage customers, Telcel has a dedicated corporate sales group.

Billing and Collection

We bill our postpaid customers through monthly invoices, which detail itemized charges such as usage, services such as voicemail, call forwarding, call waiting, caller ID and three-way calling, and long-distance and roaming charges, in addition to applicable taxes. Customers may pay their bills through pre-authorized debit or credit charges, in person at banks (including through banks' Internet websites), at Telcel retail stores and other designated retail stores, and through Telcel's Internet website.

If a postpaid customer's payment is overdue, service may be suspended until full payment for all outstanding charges is received. If the subscriber's payment is more than 60 days past due, service may be discontinued. Accounts that are more than 90 days past due are considered doubtful accounts.

A prepaid customer who purchases a prepaid card has 30 or 60 days from the date of activation of the card to use the airtime. After 30 or 60 days, the customer can no longer use that airtime for outgoing calls unless the customer activates a new card. After 180 days, unless the customer has activated a new card, the service is discontinued and the balance on the card, if any, is recognized as revenue.

Table of Contents

Customer Service

Telcel places a high priority on providing its customers with quality customer care and support. Approximately 38.5% of Telcel's employees are dedicated to customer service. Customers may call a toll-free telephone number or go to one of the customer sales and service centers located throughout the nine regions for inquiries regarding their service or plan options. In addition, using Telcel's website, subscribers may learn about the various offered rate plans, products and promotions, as well as subscribe for additional services and pay bills on line.

Wireless Network

Telcel's wireless networks use digital technologies. Telcel uses time division multiple access (TDMA) digital technology in the 850 megahertz frequency spectrum. TDMA is a digital technology that divides radio spectrum into assigned time slots to transmit signals. In October 2002, Telcel launched a new network using global system for mobile communications (GSM) digital technology in the 1900 megahertz frequency spectrum. GSM is a digital standard used in Europe, North America and elsewhere. Because it is so widely used, it provides higher quality and faster availability of new products and services and a wider variety of suppliers than TDMA technology. In addition, GSM provides access to a better developed path toward third generation wireless technologies. In 2006, Telcel started deploying the GSM, GPRS and EDGE technologies in the 850 megahertz frequency spectrum. In February 2008, Telcel launched a UMTS third generation network in Mexico in the 850 megahertz frequency spectrum.

TDMA network

Telcel has a nationwide TDMA network. TDMA permits the use of advanced dual-band handsets that allow for roaming across analog and digital systems and across 850 megahertz and 1900 megahertz spectrums. TDMA digital technology also allows for enhanced services and features, such as SMS, extended battery life, added call security and improved voice quality.

GSM network

Telcel has built and installed a GSM network in the 1900 megahertz frequency spectrum in all nine regions in Mexico, which began commercial operation in October 2002. Telcel continues with the expansion of its GSM network, using the 850 megahertz spectrum since 2006. The GSM network allows Telcel to augment its digital capacity and progress in its evolution toward the third generation of wireless technology. GSM technology supports a wide range of voice and data services, including SMS, MMS, CSD, high-speed CSD, GPRS and EDGE, and is currently the most widely used and tested wireless system in the world. GSM technology, which is used in all nine regions, is expected to yield global economies of scale in developing network equipment and handsets, as well as seamless global roaming capabilities.

Currently, Telcel's GSM network offers service in all nine regions in Mexico. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel's GSM subscriber base accounted for approximately 92% of Telcel's total subscribers.

Telcel upgraded the GSM/GPRS network with EDGE technology. It has implemented EDGE technology in more than 181,111 localities, including all the major cities in Mexico. EDGE can be deployed in existing spectrum with minimum changes in hardware. As customers upgrade their equipment to EDGE, Telcel expects that all the applications developed and deployed today will be able to operate at significantly higher speeds and in more places.

Third generation technologies

Third generation (3G) technologies provide high-speed wireless packet data access and all Internet-related services that can be offered through broadband with the advantage of mobility. Any successful third generation strategy must allow the wireless provider to achieve a pervasive footprint quickly and cost effectively and on a global scale through international roaming capacities.

Table of Contents

Telcel is deploying a UMTS third generation network in Mexico using the existing 850 megahertz spectrum and began offering 3G services in February 2008. 3G technologies enable network operators to offer users a wider range of more advanced services while achieving greater network capacity through improved spectral efficiency. Services include wide-area wireless phone telephony, video calls and broadband data, all in a mobile environment. Telcel is deploying its UMTS network using the high-speed downlink packet access protocol (HSDPA), which is a mobile telephony communications protocol that allows networks based on UMTS to have higher data transfer speeds and capacity. Telcel's HSDPA deployment supports down-link speeds of 3.6 megabits per second. Our 3G networks use the same technology that is generally used throughout Europe to provide 3G services. We elected to deploy the UMTS/HSDPA networks principally because:

New market opportunities. It allows us to enter new markets and provide new services, such as the wireless broadband market, which we believe has significant growth potential throughout Latin America and the Caribbean.

Handset compatibility. UMTS handsets are compatible with our GSM networks, so a customer can use one handset to connect to our UMTS and GSM networks. This allows us to focus the deployment of our new networks in areas of high demand for 3G services, principally large urban areas.

Spectral compatibility. With our new networks, we can generally offer 3G services using our existing licenses in the 850 megahertz spectrum, which is being increasingly underutilized by our remaining TDMA customers. In addition to being efficient from a cost perspective, deployment in the 850 megahertz spectrum is more efficient and provides better coverage than deployment in the 1900 megahertz spectrum.

Increased voice capacity. Our new networks give us approximately 5.7 times more capacity to provide voice services than our existing GSM network using our current spectrum (considering a 5 megahertz fully-used spectrum).

Cost effective deployment. Unlike some of the 3G networks being deployed in the United States, UMTS networks use some of the same basic network plant and equipment as GSM networks. This has permitted us to achieve a quicker and more cost effective deployment of 3G services, than would have been possible using other technologies.

Telcel is the first operator to deploy UMTS/HSDPA technologies in Mexico. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel's UMTS/HSDPA network covered 40,549 localities, including all of Mexico's principal cities. Telcel plans to continue expanding its 3G coverage in Mexico throughout 2009. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel's UMTS/HSDPA subscriber base accounted for approximately 2% of Telcel's total subscribers.

Spectrum

Telcel currently holds concessions in each of the nine regions of Mexico in both the 850 megahertz and 1900 megahertz radio spectrums and has a functioning nationwide network. Three other companies also hold concessions for nationwide service using the 1900 megahertz spectrum.

Telcel currently holds 28.4 megahertz of capacity in the 1900 megahertz spectrum in each of Mexico's nine regions. It acquired 10 megahertz (Band D) of this capacity in 1998 and 10 megahertz (Band F) in 2005, in each case through public auctions. Telcel acquired 8.4 megahertz (Band A) as a result of the assignment of capacity from Unefon, S.A. de C.V. during 2005. This assignment was approved by Cofeco and the SCT and no consideration in addition to the U.S.\$267.7 million (Ps. 3,309 million) paid to Unefon in 2003 for the service agreement was paid for the assignment.

Fixed wireless

Fixed wireless technology provides wireline quality voice telephony available over cellular networks. Voice channels are delivered over the existing telephone wiring within the residence or small business premises, allowing customers to utilize their existing telephones.

Table of Contents

Telcel provides public fixed wireless services in rural, semi-urban and urban regions in Mexico.

Property

Telcel's wireless network includes transport and computer equipment, as well as exchange and transmission equipment consisting primarily of switches (which set up and route telephone calls either to the number called or to the next switch along the path, and which may also record information for billing and control purposes), cellular base stations (radio transmitters or receivers that maintain communications with the cellular telephones within given geographical areas or "cells"), microcells (small cells covered by low-power base stations), and local links and repeaters (equipment for radio or fiberoptic transmission between network elements). Telcel owns all of its network routing and switching equipment. Telcel owns certain properties for commercial and administrative offices, the installation of some of its equipment, and 190 customer sales and service centers, while it leases other locations. Telcel operates certain equipment on Telmex property under a co-location agreement. See "Related Party Transactions" under Item 7.

Telcel currently relies on Ericsson for the supply of more than 61% (measured in terms of cost) of its switch and cell site equipment. Telcel purchases handsets and other customer equipment primarily from the major vendors, including Nokia, Sony-Ericsson, Apple, Motorola, LG and Samsung.

Competition

Telcel faces competition from other mobile providers using the 850 megahertz spectrum and from providers with PCS licenses that have developed and continue to develop wireless service on the 1900 megahertz spectrum. Telcel's principal competitors in Mexico are Grupo Iusacell, S.A. de C.V. and Telefónica Móviles. We also compete with Nextel in certain segments. According to Cofetel, Telcel's share of the Mexican cellular market was approximately 74.9% as of December 31, 2008.

The effects of competition on Telcel depend, in part, on the business strategies of its competitors and the general economic and business climate in Mexico, including demand growth, interest rates, inflation and exchange rates. The effects could include loss of market share and pressure to reduce rates. Telcel believes that its strategies to meet competition will continue to help limit its loss of market share and that any loss of market share will be partly offset by increasing demand.

Regulation

The following is a summary of certain provisions of the General Communications Law, the Telecommunications Law and the telecommunications regulations applicable to Telcel and of the various concessions held by Telcel.

General

The General Communications Law, the Telecommunications Law and the telecommunications regulations provide the general legal framework for the regulation of telecommunications services in Mexico. The Telecommunications Law replaced certain provisions of the General Communications Law and established that only those provisions of the General Communications Law not opposed to the Telecommunications Law would remain in effect. Other regulations implementing particular provisions of the Telecommunications Law have been adopted or are pending. The main objectives of the Telecommunications Law are to promote the efficient development of the telecommunications industry, to encourage fair competition in the provision of quality, low-priced services and to assure satisfactory breadth of coverage of the Mexican population.

Under the Telecommunications Law, an operator of public telecommunications networks, such as Telcel, must operate under a concession granted by the SCT. Such a concession may only be granted to a Mexican citizen or corporation and may not be transferred or assigned without the approval of the SCT. A concession to

Table of Contents

provide services which utilize electro-magnetic frequencies, such as cellular telecommunications services, may have a term of up to twenty years and may be extended for additional terms of equal duration.

The Telecommunications Law requires public telecommunications concessionaires to establish open network architecture which permits interconnection and interoperability. Operators of private networks that do not use electro-magnetic frequencies or provide services to the public are not required to obtain a concession, permit or registration.

Regulatory oversight

The SCT, through Cofetel, is the government agency principally responsible for regulating telecommunications services. The SCT's approval is required for any change in Telcel's bylaws. It also has broad powers to monitor Telcel's compliance with the concessions, and it can require Telcel to supply it with such technical, administrative and financial information as it may request. Telcel is required to publish its annual network expansion program and must advise the SCT of the progress of its expansion and modernization program on a quarterly basis.

Cofetel is an independent agency within the SCT, with five commissioners appointed by the President of Mexico, one of whom is appointed as chairman. Cofetel's mandate is to regulate the Mexican telecommunications sector. Many of the powers and obligations of SCT under the Telecommunications Law and the telecommunications regulations have been delegated to Cofetel.

The Telecommunications Law gives certain rights to the Mexican government in its relations with concessionaires, including the right to take over the management of an operator's networks, facilities and personnel in cases of imminent danger to national security, internal peace or the national economy, natural disasters and public unrest. The Telecommunications Law also provides that at the expiration of Telcel's concessions, the Mexican government has a right of first refusal to acquire Telcel's assets used directly in the exploitation of frequency bands. See

Termination of the Concessions under this Item 4.

In addition, we are subject to regulation from Profeco under the Federal Consumer Protection Law. This law regulates publicity, the quality of services and information required to be provided to consumers and provides a mechanism to address consumer complaints. Profeco has the authority to impose fines, which can be significant.

Competition Investigations

The Telecommunications Law authorizes SCT to impose specific requirements as to rates, quality of service and information on any wireless operator that is determined by Cofeco to have substantial power in a specific market according to the Federal Antitrust Law. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Law, SCT has the power to adopt specific regulations on rates, quality of service, disclosure of information or other special regulations.

Cofeco is conducting investigations into market power and monopolistic practices in the telecommunications sector. Depending on the resolution of these investigations, they may result in new regulations applicable to Telcel. See Legal Proceedings Mexico Cofeco under Item 8.

Number Portability

Cofetel rules for the portability of fixed-line and mobile telephone numbers took effect in June 2008. One of the objectives of these rules is to increase competition among operators. The portability rules allow customers to change providers without contacting their current provider, so we may lose customers without having the opportunity to influence their decision. The rules also require that we bear the costs of changes in our network and any other investments necessary to implement portability, without being able to charge other operators. To date, the portability rules have not materially affected our subscriber base.

Table of Contents**Rates**

The Telecommunications Law provides that concessionaires may freely determine the rates for telecommunications services, including interconnection. Mobile rates are not subject to a price cap or any other form of price regulation. However, Telcel and other mobile carriers operating in Mexico are required to register with Cofetel their rates for mobile service prior to implementing such rates. Cofetel is authorized to impose specific rate requirements on any operator that is determined to have substantial market power under the Federal Antitrust Law. Although no such determination has been made with respect to the wireless market in Mexico or any other market that could affect our business, there can be no assurance that such a determination will not be made in the future.

Concessions General

Telcel operates under several different concessions covering particular frequencies and regions. The following table summarizes Telcel's concessions.

| Regions in Mexico | | Band A (1900 MHz) | | | Band B (850 MHz) | | |
|-------------------|------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cel | PCS | Concession Date | Termination Date | Fee Structure | Concession Date | Termination Date | Fee Structure |
| I | I | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Aug. 1991 | Aug. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| II | II | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Aug. 1991 | Aug. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| III | III | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Aug. 1991 | Aug. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| IV | IV | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Aug. 1990 | Aug. 2010 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| VIII | V | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Aug. 1990 | Aug. 2010 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| V | VI | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Oct. 1991 | Oct. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| VI | VII | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Oct. 1991 | Oct. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| VII | VIII | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Oct. 1991 | Oct. 2011 | Semi-annual fees ⁽¹⁾ |
| IX | IX | Sept. 1999 | Sept. 2019 | Upfront fee | Oct. 2000 | Oct. 2015 | Upfront fee |

(1) The semi-annual fees, or *aprovechamientos*, are determined as a percentage of gross revenues corresponding to the concession. Amounts payable vary depending on the relevant region.

Regions in

| Mexico | | Band D (1900 MHz) | | | Band F (1900 MHz) | | |
|--------|------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Cel | PCS | Concession Date | Termination Date | Fee Structure | Concession Date | Termination Date | Fee Structure |
| I | I | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| II | II | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| III | III | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| IV | IV | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| VIII | V | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| V | VI | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| VI | VII | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| VII | VIII | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |
| IX | IX | Oct. 1998 | Oct. 2018 | Upfront fee | April 2005 | April 2025 | Annual fees ⁽²⁾ |

(2) The annual fees, or *derechos*, are for the use and exploitation of radio spectrum bands. Amounts payable are set forth by the *Ley Federal de Derechos* and vary depending on the relevant region and radio spectrum band.

In addition to the cellular and PCS concessions detailed in the tables above, in December 2002, the SCT granted Telcel a concession to install and operate a telecommunications network to provide national and international long distance services, as well as data transmission services.

The concession was granted for an initial term of 15 years, and it is subject to extension for an additional 15-year period. The concession limited

Table of Contents

Telcel to provide these services only to its wireless subscribers until December 2005. In 2006, Telcel completed the build out of its long distance network which allows Telcel to carry all the national long distance traffic originated from Telcel's customers to other customers. Also, since May 2007, Telcel opened its interconnection with the local network of Telmex in Mexico City and with the long distance network of AT&T.

Concessions Fees

There are two different types of fees that we may be required to pay in connection with our concessions.

Aprovechamientos. Some concessions require Telcel to pay semi-annual fees (*aprovechamientos*) equal to a percentage of gross revenues derived from the concession services. The percentage ranges between 5% and 10%. These fees apply to the 850 megahertz (Band B) concessions, except for Region 9 which covers Mexico City and the states of Mexico, Morelos and Hidalgo. For the Region 9 Band B concession, these fees were eliminated pursuant to a court decision, against an increase of Ps. 2,149.5 million in the aggregate consideration payable for the concession (from Ps. 116.4 million to Ps. 2,265.9 million). Telcel paid Ps. 150.9 million in cash and credited Ps. 1,998.5 million of fees it had previously paid. Fees of this type do not apply to the 1900 megahertz (Band D) concessions, which Telcel purchased for a fixed amount in 1998, and the 1900 megahertz (Band A) concessions, which Telcel acquired from Unefon.

Derechos. Owners of concessions granted or renewed on or after January 1, 2003 are required to pay annual fees (*derechos*) for the use and exploitation of radio spectrum bands. The amounts payable are set forth by the *Ley Federal de Derechos* and vary depending on the relevant region and radio spectrum band. These annual fees apply to all spectrum bands, including those that are already subject to the payment of fees (*aprovechamientos*) based on gross revenues. Currently, we are not required to pay these fees in respect of our Bands A, B and D concessions since they were awarded prior to 2003, but we are required to pay them in respect of additional 10 megahertz of capacity in the 1900 megahertz spectrum (Band F) acquired in 2005.

Telcel challenged the validity of these annual fees, on the grounds that they violate the Mexican Constitution and certain provisions of the Telecommunications Law. In January 2009, one chamber (*sala*) of the Mexican Supreme Court denied our request for an injunction, but that decision was subject to rehearing because another chamber (*sala*) issued a contrary ruling in a case brought by another operator challenging the same law. In April 2009, the Mexican Supreme Court definitively denied Telcel's request for an injunction. Telcel has paid the annual fees notwithstanding its legal challenges.

Concessions Expansion, modernization and service quality requirements

Telcel's concessions impose a number of requirements for expansion and modernization of its network. The concessions establish certain minimum network capacities that Telcel must achieve, to extend service coverage to a targeted percentage of population. Telcel is in compliance with these requirements.

The concessions also set forth extensive requirements for the quality and continuity of Telcel's service, including, in some cases, maximum rates of incomplete and dropped calls and connection time. In May 2003, Cofetel issued the Fundamental Technical Plan for Quality of Local Mobile Services Networks, applicable to all operators, including Telcel, which imposes additional service quality requirements. We are in compliance with the service quality requirements of our concessions and the Technical Plan.

Concessions Competition

The telecommunications regulations and the concessions contain various provisions designed to introduce competition in the provision of communications services. In general, the SCT is authorized to grant concessions to other parties for the provision of any of the services provided by Telcel under the concessions.

Table of Contents

Concessions Renewal

The eight Band B concessions covering regions other than the Mexico City area were granted for initial terms of twenty years that will expire in 2010 or 2011. Our ability to renew the eight Band B concessions in the coming years will be subject to a number of factors beyond our control. Among other possible factors, renewal of the eight concessions will be conditioned on our compliance with the terms and conditions of the relevant concessions during their terms, payment of a renewal fee and our acceptance of terms and conditions which may differ from those applicable under the existing concessions.

The Band B concession covering the Mexico City area (Region 9) was renewed effective October 2000 for a term of fifteen years that will expire in October 2015. The Band D concessions will expire in 2018, the Band A concessions in 2019 and the Band F concessions in 2025. All of these concessions are subject to renewal for additional 20-year terms.

Concessions Termination

The General Communications Law, the Telecommunications Law and the concessions include various provisions under which the concessions may be terminated before their scheduled expiration dates. Under the Telecommunications Law, the SCT may cause early termination of any of the concessions in certain cases, including:

failure to exercise rights under a concession during the 180 days after that concession is granted;

failure to expand telephone services at the rate specified in the concession;

interruption of all or a material part of the services provided by Telcel;

acts by Telcel with the effect of impeding the operations of other concessionaires;

refusing interconnection arrangements with other concessionaires;

change of jurisdiction by Telcel;

transfer, assignment of, or grant of liens to, Telcel's concessions or any asset used to provide service without SCT's approval;

failure to pay certain government fees;

violation of the prohibition against ownership of shares of Telcel by foreign states;

any material modification of the nature of Telcel's services without prior SCT's approval; and

breach of certain other obligations under the General Communications Law.

In addition, the concessions provide for early termination by the SCT following administrative proceedings in the event of:

a material and continuing violation of any of the conditions set forth in the concessions;

material failure to meet any of the service expansion requirements under the concessions;

material failure to meet any of the requirements under the concession for improvement in the quality of service;

engagement in any telecommunications business not authorized under the concession and requiring prior approval of the SCT;

following notice and a cure period, failure without just cause to allow other concessionaires to interconnect their networks to Telcel's network; or

bankruptcy of Telcel.

Table of Contents

The General Communications Law and all but one of Telcel's 850 megahertz concessions provide that in the event of early termination of Telcel's mobile concessions, all assets that are the subject of such concession would revert to the Mexican government without compensation to Telcel. In the event of early termination of any of Telcel's PCS concessions, the Mexican government would have the option to purchase the equipment, installations and other assets used directly for the exploitation of the frequencies which are the subject of such concession. The latter regime also applies to one of Telcel's 850 megahertz concessions.

Calling Party Pays

In Mexico, calls to and from our mobile subscribers are subject to the calling party pays system, under which subscribers only pay for outgoing calls. Subscribers have the option of retaining the mobile party pays system. The calling party pays system has applied to local calls since 1999 and to long-distance calls since November 2006. Mobile operators do not charge airtime fees to customers receiving calls, except for roaming fees applicable when subscribers receive calls outside their local areas. Two long-distance carriers, Axtel and Avantel, have challenged the validity of the long distance calling party pays system through judicial proceedings and are operating under a court order obtained in those proceedings that temporarily suspends the application to them of the calling party pays system.

Interconnection fees

Under the calling party pays system, when the customer of one operator (local or long-distance) places a call to a customer of another operator, the first operator pays the second a fee, which is referred to as an interconnection fee.

Under Mexican law, interconnection fees are negotiated between operators. For some periods, we have been unable to reach agreement on fees with some operators, and operators that are unable to agree have sought the intervention of Cofetel to establish interconnection fees. Our interconnection agreements with each operator require us to offer that operator the best rates we offer to other similar operators, and as a result if a single operator obtains a more favorable rate through a final, non-appealable resolution or decision from Cofetel, SCT or the courts, we may be required to offer that rate to other operators even though we have previously agreed with them on rates.

There has been extensive controversy in Mexico concerning the interconnection fees payable by fixed-line operators to mobile operators on fixed-to-mobile calls for periods beginning with 2005. The principal stages in the controversy, as it relates to interconnection with Telcel, are summarized below.

December 2004 Agreement. In December 2004, most Mexican telecommunications operators agreed on interconnection fees for the years 2005 through 2007. The agreement provided for annual reductions of 10% and contemplated that the reductions would be reflected in the tariffs charged by fixed operators to their customers.

August 2006 Cofetel Resolution. Axtel, S.A.B. de C.V., or Axtel, Avantel, S. de R.L. de C.V., or Avantel, and Servicios Alestra, S.A. de C.V., or Alestra did not become party to the December 2004 agreement. Instead, they began proceedings with Cofetel to establish the interconnection fees for local traffic under calling party pays between them and Telcel. In August 2006, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing local interconnection fees between these operators and Telcel for the years 2005 through 2010. These rates were lower than the fees Telcel had agreed with the other operators in December 2004. In addition, Cofetel ruled that starting in 2007, interconnection fees would be based on the total number of seconds for the month rounded to the next minute, rather than by rounding each call to the next minute, as had been the practice. In order to mitigate the effects of this change on Telcel, Cofetel authorized Telcel to collect a surcharge of 25% in 2007, 18% in 2008 and 10% in 2009 over the interconnection fees billed to Axtel, Avantel and Alestra. Telcel challenged this resolution.

Table of Contents

2006 Agreements. In the fourth quarter of 2006, in connection with the implementation of the calling party pays system for long-distance, most operators other than Axtel and Avantel agreed on local and long-distance interconnection fees for the years 2007 through 2010. These agreements contemplated continued reductions in fees.

December 2007 Judicial Decision and January 2008 Cofetel Resolution. Telcel began judicial proceedings challenging Cofetel's August 2006 resolutions on interconnection rates between Axtel, Avantel, Alestra and Telcel. In December 2007, the court invalidated Cofetel's August 2006 Axtel resolution in its entirety and directed Cofetel to issue a new resolution covering only the periods from 2005 through 2007. In January 2008, as directed by the court, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing interconnection rates between Telcel and Axtel for the periods from 2005 through 2007 on the same terms as Cofetel's August 2006 resolution. Telcel challenged this resolution as to the rates applicable for 2005 through 2007 and the courts have not yet resolved this challenge.

2008 Proceedings Involving Axtel. In December 2007 and March 2008 Axtel initiated proceedings with Cofetel to establish interconnection rates for the years from 2008 through 2011. In May 2008, prior to Cofetel issuing a resolution, Axtel obtained a court order against Cofetel to prevent Cofetel from issuing a resolution on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel. It also filed with the SCT an administrative review proceeding (*recurso de revisión*) against the alleged failure to act (*negativa ficta*) of Cofetel that permits the SCT to review the alleged decision of Cofetel. Axtel contended that Cofetel, by failing to issue a resolution on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel for interconnection fees for 2008 through 2011, had refused to act, and asked SCT to review that refusal. In July 2008, Telcel obtained a court order preventing SCT from ruling on Axtel's challenge to Cofetel's supposed refusal to act on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel. In September 2008, however, SCT issued a resolution establishing interconnection fees for 2008 through 2011. These fees are less than half of the fees we previously agreed with the other operators. We challenged the resolution and obtained a court order suspending the effects of the resolution.

April 2009 Cofetel Resolution. In April 2009, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing the interconnection rates applicable between Avantel (a subsidiary of Axtel) and Telcel for long distance traffic under the calling party pays system. The resolution provides for the same tariffs resolved in the August 2006 Cofetel Resolutions, but is applicable to long distance traffic under the calling party pays system. We challenged this resolution and the court has not yet resolved the challenge.

We expect that interconnection fees for fixed-to-mobile calls will continue to be the subject of litigation and administrative proceedings and the resulting uncertainty. We cannot predict when or how these matters will be resolved, and the competitive and financial effects of any resolution could be complex and difficult to predict. Although the matters in dispute primarily concern one operator, Axtel (and its subsidiary Avantel), if those matters are resolved adversely to us through a final, non-appealable resolution or decision from Cofetel, SCT or the courts, the impact could be material because Telcel would be required to offer to the other operators any more favorable fees Telcel is required to provide to Axtel. This could materially reduce Telcel's interconnection revenues in future periods. Also, depending on how the disputes are resolved, there could be contractual claims among the parties for reimbursement of amounts already paid or payment of amounts left unpaid between Telcel and Axtel with respect to certain time periods between 2005 and 2009.

February 2009 Interconnection Plan

In February 2009, Cofetel published a Fundamental Technical Plan of Interconnection and Inter-operability (*Plan Técnico Fundamental de Interconexión e Interoperabilidad*, or the Plan). The Plan addresses the technical, economic and legal conditions of interconnection. With respect to interconnection fees, the Plan establishes a process for developing an economic model over a relatively brief period and then applying the economic model to determine fees, which could override the existing fee agreements among operators. The Plan also contemplates asymmetrical and discriminatory treatment for operators with the largest number of access points, including specific technical and legal requirements and different economic, technical and legal conditions from other operators.

Table of Contents

We cannot predict the competitive and financial effects that might result from implementation of the Plan. They could be substantially different from the potential effects of the regulatory steps described above with respect to fixed-to-mobile interconnection. It is also difficult to anticipate the timetable for implementation of the Plan.

Telcel has challenged the Plan in the Mexican courts. In April 2009, Telcel obtained a court order suspending the effects of the Plan as they relate to Telcel pending resolution of its judicial challenge.

Consolidation of Local Service Areas

In March 2007, Cofetel issued a resolution to eliminate 70 local service areas and in September 2008, it issued a resolution to eliminate an additional 125 local service areas, reducing the total number of local service areas from 397 to 202 in a process that began in November 2008 and will be completed in 2010. If implemented as issued, the resolution would have the effect of requiring mobile operators to reassign the local area code numbers for a significant number of their subscribers. We are currently in discussions with Cofetel for a technical solution to the reassignment of local area codes as a result of the consolidation. As of the date of this annual report, we have not reached an agreement with Cofetel regarding this matter. We do not expect the consolidation of local service areas to have a significant negative impact on our results of operations.

Table of Contents**NON-MEXICAN OPERATIONS**

We have subsidiaries or businesses in the telecommunications sector in Brazil, the Southern Cone region (Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay and Chile), Colombia, the Andean region (Ecuador and Peru), Central America (El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama), the United States and the Caribbean (the Dominican Republic, Jamaica and Puerto Rico). Our principal subsidiaries outside Mexico are described below. The revenues of our subsidiaries other than Telcel represented 60.9% of our consolidated revenues for 2008, as compared to 59.2% of our consolidated revenues for 2007.

In addition, we expect to have opportunities to invest in other telecommunications companies outside Mexico, especially in Latin America and the Caribbean, because we believe that the telecommunications sector will continue to be characterized by growth, technological change and consolidation. We may take advantage of these opportunities through direct investments or other strategic alliances.

The following table sets forth financial and operating information for certain of our non-Mexican operations for the periods indicated. Lines in service are presented as of year-end. For some segments or periods, information may not be comparable to prior periods because it includes the results of operations of acquired companies as from the date of consolidation in our financial statements. See Note 20 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| | (peso amounts prior to 2008 in constant Mexican pesos as of December 31, 2007, except lines in service, minutes of use and churn) | | |
| BRAZIL | | | |
| Consolidated operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 41,049 | Ps. 58,305 | Ps. 70,484 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 136 | 160 | 155 |
| Operating (loss) income (millions) | (4,316) | 608 | 1,584 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) at year end | 23,881 | 30,228 | 38,731 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 70 | 77 | 93 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 2.8% | 2.5% | 2.7% |
| SOUTHERN CONE⁽³⁾ | | | |
| Operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 20,603 | Ps. 27,237 | Ps. 30,541 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 131 | 126 | 120 |
| Operating income (millions) | 1,355 | 2,691 | 5,702 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) at year end | 13,247 | 17,290 | 19,591 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 134 | 127 | 130 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 1.9% | 2.5% | 2.6% |
| COLOMBIA | | | |
| Combined operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 22,252 | Ps. 29,614 | Ps. 32,622 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 93 | 105 | 100 |
| Operating income (millions) | 4,766 | 7,616 | 10,955 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) at year end | 19,521 | 22,335 | 27,390 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 110 | 122 | 157 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 1.7% | 2.3% | 2.4% |
| ANDEAN REGION⁽⁴⁾ | | | |
| Combined operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 12,866 | Ps. 16,210 | Ps. 20,218 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 115 | 101 | 98 |
| Operating income (millions) | 2,794 | 3,725 | 5,284 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) at year end | 9,026 | 12,390 | 15,482 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 51 | 55 | 70 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 3.0% | 3.0% | 2.4% |

Table of Contents

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---|------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| | (peso amounts prior to 2008 in constant Mexican pesos as of December 31, 2007, except lines in service, minutes of use and churn) | | |
| CENTRAL AMERICA⁽⁵⁾ | | | |
| Combined operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 17,116 | Ps. 16,918 | 16,051 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 120 | 94 | 72 |
| Operating income (millions) | 5,106 | 4,698 | 3,029 |
| Lines in service (thousands) at year end | 7,972 | 10,285 | 11,320 |
| Wireless | 5,875 | 8,157 | 9,158 |
| Fixed | 2,097 | 2,128 | 2,162 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 149 | 138 | 116 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 0.8% | 1.6% | 2.0% |
| UNITED STATES | | | |
| Operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 14,748 | Ps. 15,604 | Ps. 16,545 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 150 | 134 | 122 |
| Operating income (millions) | 759 | 1,503 | 943 |
| Cellular lines in service (thousands) at year end | 7,897 | 9,514 | 11,192 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 66 | 72 | 76 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 5.3% | 4.6% | 3.8% |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC⁽⁶⁾ | | | |
| Operating revenues (millions) | Ps. 986 | Ps. 10,990 | Ps. 11,241 |
| Average monthly revenues per subscriber ⁽¹⁾ | 144 | 142 | 120 |
| Operating income (millions) | 282 | 3,946 | 3,373 |
| Lines in service (thousands) at year end | 2,874 | 3,430 | 4,649 |
| Cellular | 2,140 | 2,682 | 3,877 |
| Fixed | 734 | 748 | 772 |
| Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber | 122 | 104 | 97 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | 5.4% | 4.3% | 4.2% |
| CARIBBEAN⁽⁷⁾ | | | |
| Operating revenues (millions) | Ps. | Ps. 9,780 | Ps. 12,884 |
| Operating income (millions) | | 1,332 | 1,612 |
| Lines in service (thousands) at year end | | 1,734 | 1,764 |
| Cellular | | 814 | 932 |
| Fixed | | 920 | 832 |
| Churn rate ⁽²⁾ | | 3.0% | 1.9% |

- (1) Average for the year of the amount obtained each month by dividing service revenues by the average number of customers during such month. The figure includes both prepaid and postpaid customers.
- (2) Total number of customer deactivations for a period divided by total subscribers at the beginning of such period.
- (3) Includes our operations in Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay.
- (4) Includes our operations in Ecuador and Peru.
- (5) Includes our operations in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama. For our operations in Central America, average monthly revenues per subscriber, average monthly minutes of use per subscriber and churn rate are presented only with respect to our wireless services in these countries and do not take into consideration our fixed-line services. We began consolidating the results of Panama in March 2009.
- (6) We began consolidating the results of Codetel in December 2006. Average monthly revenues per subscriber, average monthly minutes of use per subscriber and churn rate are presented only with respect to our wireless services in this country and do not take into consideration our fixed-line services. ARPU and MOU are calculated using only periods after consolidation.
- (7) Includes our operations in Puerto Rico and Jamaica. We began consolidating the results of Puerto Rico in April 2007 and of Jamaica in December 2007.

Table of Contents

We own, directly or indirectly, a substantial majority of the telephone plant of our non-Mexican operations.

Brazil (Claro Participações)

General

Claro Participações S.A. or Claro Participações, through its subsidiaries is one of the three largest providers of wireless telecommunication services in Brazil, with an estimated nationwide market share in Brazil at December 31, 2008, of approximately 25.7%. Brazil is the largest market in Latin America in terms of wireless customers.

Claro Participações provides services in Brazil under a unified brand name and it offers a variety of rate plans to its postpaid customers and offers prepaid services in all of its markets. At December 31, 2008, Claro Participações served approximately 38.7% million subscribers compared to 30.2 million subscribers at December 31, 2007 and our licensed area covered approximately 192 million licensed points of presence. At December 31, 2008, approximately 79.5% of Claro Participações subscribers were prepaid customers.

Claro Participações owns and operates cellular networks using GSM, CDMA and TDMA digital technology. We operate in Brazil under the PCS (*Serviço Móvel Pessoal*) regime. Claro Participações launched its GSM network in 2003 in certain major urban areas, and as of December 31, 2008, the GSM network covered more than 2,794 cities and was used by 97.35% of Claro Participações wireless subscribers. We continue rolling out the GSM network with the goal of providing similar coverage as the Band A incumbent providers in the major markets.

In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2007, we began offering 3G services in Brazil under our new UMTS/HSDPA network. We continue the rollout of UMTS/HSDPA technologies in Brazil and by December 31, 2008, our UMTS/HSDPA network covered 286 towns, where approximately 51.32% of Brazil's population resides. We were the first operator to offer 3G services in Brazil. In order to rollout 3G services in Brazil, during 2008, we acquired 20 megahertz of additional spectrum in each of five regions and 30 megahertz of additional spectrum in each of six regions.

We have built our operations in Brazil through a number of transactions commencing in 2000 and ending with the acquisitions of operators in the metropolitan area of São Paulo and in the states of Ceará, Piauí, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba, Pernambuco and Alagoas during 2003 and the acquisition of a license in the Minas Gerais region in 2005. In April 2005, Stemar, a company owned by Claro, was awarded a license to operate wireless services in the Minas Gerais region. In September 2007, Claro acquired licenses to operate wireless services in the cities of Londrina and Tamarana (Paraná) and the north region (Amazonas, Pará, Maranhão, Roraima and Amapá) in Brazil. At December 31, 2008, our two principal operating subsidiaries, Claro S.A. and Americel, had approximately 9,460 employees. We own all of our network equipment in Brazil.

We operate in Brazil through two principal operating subsidiaries, Claro and Americel. Claro is licensed to operate in the metropolitan area of São Paulo and in the states of Rio de Janeiro, Espírito Santo, São Paulo, Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina, Paraná, Ceará, Piauí, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba, Pernambuco, Alagoas, Bahia, Sergipe, Minas Gerais, Amazonas, Pará, Maranhão, Roraima and Amapá, and Americel in seven states in the central-west and northern regions of Brazil.

Through a number of holding companies we own more than 99.97% of the share capital of Claro S.A. and 99.38% of the share capital of Americel. BNDESPar (the private equity arm of BNDES, the Brazilian development bank) holds approximately 0.03% and 0.62% of the share capital of Claro and Americel, respectively.

Table of Contents

Sales and Distribution

Claro Participações markets its wireless services primarily through retail chains (approximately 7,367 points of sale) and exclusive distributors (dealers) (approximately 3,225 points of sale) located throughout the regions where it operates in Brazil. In the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 57% of Claro Participações sales of handsets were generated by retail chains, 27% by exclusive distributors (dealers) and approximately 6% from sales in company-owned stores, of which there are approximately 166. Claro Participações also sells and distributes its products and services over the Internet.

Claro Participações has implemented permanent training and evaluation programs for dealers to help maintain the level of service quality.

Claro Participações company-owned retail stores offer one-stop shopping for a variety of cellular services and products. Walk-in customers can subscribe for postpaid plans, prepaid plans, purchase prepaid cards and purchase handsets. Claro Participações stores serve as customer sales and service centers and Claro Participações expects to continue to open new service centers as necessary in order to offer its products directly to subscribers in more effective ways.

Claro Participações has a corporate sales group to service the needs of its large corporate and other high-usage customers.

Billing and Collection

Claro Participações bills its postpaid customers through monthly invoices, which detail itemized charges such as usage, services such as voicemail, and long-distance and roaming charges, in addition to applicable taxes. Customers may pay their bills with a credit card, through online banking, or in person at the post office or outlets of federal lottery houses (*Casas Lotéricas*).

If a postpaid customer's payment is overdue, service may be suspended until the payment for outstanding charges is received. If the subscriber's payment is more than 60 days past due, service may be discontinued. Accounts that are more than 180 days past due are categorized as doubtful accounts together with all other accounts related to the same client.

A prepaid customer who purchases a card has between 90 and 180 days from the date of activation of the card to use the airtime. After such time, the customer can no longer use that airtime for outgoing calls unless the customer activates a new card. Sixty days after the card expires, unless the customer activates a new card, the balance on the card, if any, is recognized as revenue.

Competition

Although the number of competitors has decreased primarily as a result of consolidation, competition in the Brazilian wireless industry is substantial and varies by region. In addition to us, there are four other groups in Brazil with significant nationwide coverage. The largest is Vivo, a joint venture between Telefónica Móviles and Portugal Telecom. The joint venture or one of its partners owns interests in some of the wireless companies that were created upon the breakup of Telebrás. The others are Telecom Italia Mobile (TIM), Oi and Brasil Telecom. Other regional competitors are CTBC and Sercomtel. We also face competition from Nextel, a joint venture between Motorola and Nextel Communications, Inc., for trunking services to the corporate segment in urban areas.

Since we began providing 3G services in 2008, we also face competition in the broadband internet market from mobile companies that also offer 3G services as well as from other telecommunications companies and cable television operators, which were already providing broadband internet access services through asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL) or cable technology.

Table of Contents

Regulatory environment

Under the General Telecommunications Law (*Lei Geral de Telecomunicações*) an independent regulatory agency, Anatel, regulates the telecommunications industry. Anatel has the authority to grant concessions and licenses for all telecommunications services, except for broadcasting services.

Beginning in 1997, there have been two cellular service providers in all markets in Brazil, one operating in subfrequency Band A and another in subfrequency Band B. Since 1999, the entire Brazilian telecommunications sector has been open to competition.

In September 2000, Anatel published guidelines for the implementation of PCS (*Serviço Móvel Pessoal*) operations in Brazil. Under the guidelines, Brazil is divided into three regions for PCS operation within the 1800 megahertz frequency, as opposed to ten regions for the cellular service providers. All concessionaires in Brazil have migrated to the PCS regime. Upon migration to PCS, the Band A and Band B cellular providers have the right to apply for long distance services licenses and are no longer subject to cellular regulations that restricted them from operating in more than two regions per Band. Regulations require that migrating companies adopt PCS service plans and provide for the establishment of charges for the use of one operator's network by another. During 2003, our operating companies in Brazil exchanged their original concessions for 15-year PCS authorizations. The 15-year period started from the time the original concessions were granted, generally in 1997 or 1998. The operating companies may extend the term of the license for an additional 15 years, upon the payment of a fee.

The September 2000 guidelines also established rules regarding the selection of up to three additional wireless providers per region, corresponding to Bands C, D and E. Beginning in February 2001, Anatel initiated a series of auctions through which it sold rights to D-Band and E-Band licenses. After canceling the auction of new licenses under the C-Band, Anatel implemented procedures in May 2002 for the sale of C-Band bandwidth in installments not to exceed 50 megahertz per existing service provider, through which each of Claro Participações' principal operating companies acquired bandwidth.

In December 2007, Anatel auctioned the remaining spectrum of Bands A, B, C, D, and E to existing service providers as extension blocks. In this auction, we acquired spectrum to initiate operations in the northern region of Brazil (Amazonas, Pará, Maranhão, Amapá and Roraima) and in the cities of Londrina and Tamarana.

As part of Anatel's plan to auction 3G licenses (in the 2100 megahertz spectrum), Anatel issued a resolution in 2006 increasing the maximum overall spectrum permitted per operator from 50 megahertz to 80 megahertz. In December 2007, Anatel auctioned new 3G bands (Bands F, G, I and J in the 2100 megahertz spectrum) dividing Brazil into 11 regions. In that auction, we acquired 20 megahertz of additional spectrum in each of five regions and 30 megahertz of additional spectrum in each of six regions. Anatel imposed certain coverage obligations related to the purchase of additional spectrum to be fully-implemented during an eight-year period. One of the more relevant obligations require the four main operators in Brazil to provide mobile services in every city where there is no current provider by 2010. Claro S.A. is responsible for 25% or 45% of the relevant cities identified by Anatel.

In Brazil, rates for telecommunications services are regulated by Anatel. In general, PCS licensees are authorized to increase basic plan rates only for inflation (less a factor determined by Anatel based on the productivity of each operator during the year) and on an annual basis. However, operators are allowed to create non-basic plans (known as alternative plans) and modify them, without prior Anatel approval. Discounts from existing service plans, both basic and non-basic, are allowed without Anatel approval.

Currently, operators determine interconnection fees by agreement, subject to Anatel intervention only in case of disputes. On February 2005, Anatel commenced an arbitration proceeding against all mobile and fixed line operators in Brazil regarding the inflation adjustment applied by operators on the interconnection fees. The

Table of Contents

operators agreed on an interim price adjustment of 4.5% on mobile interconnection fees. In 2006, the arbitration panel requested that the operators hire a consulting firm to analyze and recommend a resolution to the arbitration. Because operators could not agree on a single firm, two firms were hired and each presented a report to Anatel. While Anatel has not reached a decision regarding any of the proceedings, the initial decision of Anatel's arbitration commission recommended that Anatel confirm the 4.5% interim adjustment. During 2007 and 2008, we entered into agreements with all mobile and some fixed operators in Brazil establishing interconnection fees. Based on the auction rules for 3G licenses published in 2007, we believe that Anatel may establish regulations by October 2009 that would impose uniform interconnection fees for all bands of megahertz spectrum in Brazil. However, there is uncertainty as to whether Anatel will in fact establish the regulations.

In 2005, Anatel defined a series of cost-based methods, including the fully allocated cost methodology, for determining interconnection fees charged by operators belonging to an economic group with significant market power. Anatel has not published all of the applicable regulations, but the implementation of the cost-based methodology is expected to take effect in 2010. It is uncertain how Anatel will define the criteria for determining whether an operator belongs to an economic group with significant market power for purposes of this new regulation. However, given the size of our operations in Brazil, it is likely that we would be deemed to belong to an economic group with significant market power. In 2008, mobile operators in Brazil began to provide Anatel with annual operating data (initially, for 2006 and 2007), which is intended to support Anatel's future cost-based methods for determining interconnection fees. When these methods are ultimately implemented and if we are deemed to be an economic group with significant market power, the revenues and results of operations of our Brazilian operations may be affected.

In July 2006, Brazilian regulators adopted a change in the interconnections regime relating to the methodology required for the recognition of interconnection fees. Under the new methodology (full bill), we recognize interconnection revenues (and costs) on a gross basis, rather than on a net basis as required by the prior system (bill and keep).

In March 2007, Anatel issued a resolution establishing the portability of fixed and mobile numbers. Through this resolution, customers have the option of retaining the fixed and mobile numbers if and when they change service providers within each state of Brazil. The implementation process of this resolution took two years, with national roll out that began in August 2008 in certain cities and ended in March 2009. Anatel has nominated an independent entity, supported by mobile and fixed operators, to manage portability solicitations and has determined, through an administrative ruling, the maximum one-time fee to be charged to a customer for the election to retain its number.

In 2007, Anatel issued a revision of the PCS rules which revision came into effect in February 2008. Under the revised PCS rules, service providers are required to implement customer service centers in all regions of Brazil with more than 100,000 inhabitants, charge only for delivered SMS messages and reimburse unused prepaid credits. Other main changes to the PCS rule include the regulation of the duration of contracts with a given operator (maximum of 12 months per contract) and the right of customers to change service plans with the same operator without penalties.

In 2008, Anatel approved the General Regulatory Plan (or PGR) which identifies significant issues in the telecom industry and sets forth a timetable for future regulations. The PGR included Anatel's plans within the next two years to regulate MVNO (Mobile Virtual Network Operators) practices, expand broadband services to rural and low-income areas and implement rules related to fixed incumbents infrastructure usage.

Anatel has also shown intention to organize several auctions in 2009 for new bands in the 2.5GHz (2,500 to 2,690 MHz) and 3.5GHz frequencies (3,400 to 3,600 MHz) as well as the remaining spectrum available in the 2,100, 1,900, 1,800, 900 and 850 MHz frequencies.

Table of Contents

Southern Cone

Argentina (AMX Argentina)

AMX Argentina Holdings S.A. (previously named CTI Holdings) provides nationwide PCS wireless service in Argentina under the Claro brand name, through its wholly-owned subsidiary AMX Argentina, S.A., or AMX Argentina. During the first quarter of 2008, we re-branded our services in Argentina to Claro, from CTI Móvil. We own a 100% interest in AMX Argentina, which we acquired through a series of transactions in 2003 and 2004. Since the acquisition, AMX Argentina's subscriber base has grown significantly, from 1.3 million in October 2003 to 15.4 million at December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2008, AMX Argentina had approximately a 35.2% share of the Argentine wireless market. Approximately 74% of AMX Argentina's subscribers at December 31, 2008 resided in the interior of Argentina and the balance in the greater Buenos Aires region.

AMX Argentina began providing services in the interior of Argentina in 1994 and in Greater Buenos Aires in 2000. AMX Argentina offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 87.9% of AMX Argentina's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, AMX Argentina offers long distance and value added services.

AMX Argentina's cellular network uses GSM technology and covers approximately 100% of Argentina's population. During the fourth quarter of 2007, AMX Argentina launched third generation technology networks (UMTS/HSDPA) in certain urban areas of Argentina. During 2008, we expanded the 3G coverage to the largest cities and capitals of the provinces. At December 31, 2008, AMX Argentina had 2,143 employees.

AMX Argentina's principal competitors are: Telecom Personal, a subsidiary of Telecom Argentina, the principal telecom operator in Argentina, which is controlled by Telecom Italia, and Movistar, a subsidiary of Telefónica Móviles.

AMX Argentina holds licenses covering the entire Argentine territory. These licenses contain coverage, reporting and service requirements, but do not have a fixed expiration date. The Communications Ministry (*Secretaría de Comunicaciones de la Nación*) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Argentina. It is authorized to foreclose and sell the shares of a licensee in case of specified breaches of the terms of a license.

Chile (Claro Chile)

In August 2005, we began providing wireless services in Chile through Claro Chile S.A., or Claro Chile.

Claro Chile provides nationwide wireless service in Chile under the Claro brand name. We own a 100% interest in Claro Chile, which we acquired in August 2005 from Endesa Participadas, S.A. We began including the results of Claro Chile in our audited consolidated financial statements in September 2005. Claro Chile had approximately 3.0 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2008, Claro Chile had approximately a 18.9% share of the Chilean wireless market and was the third largest wireless operator in Chile measured by the number of subscribers.

Claro Chile was granted one of three nationwide PCS licenses in 1997. In 1998, it began providing services in Chile under the Chilesat PCS brand, which was changed in 1999 to Smartcom and in 2006 to Claro. Claro Chile offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 87.3% of Claro Chile's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, Claro Chile offers long distance and value added services.

Table of Contents

Claro Chile's cellular network uses GSM technology and CDMA technology. In 2007, we launched a third generation UMTS network which covered 77.95% of the Chilean population by year-end 2008. Claro Chile deployed a nationwide GSM and UMTS network in 2008 that covers all urban zones in Chile. By the end of 2008, the GSM network covered 99.09% of the population. Claro Chile was the first operator to offer 3G services in Chile, during the fourth quarter of 2007. At December 31, 2008, Claro Chile had 1,405 employees.

Claro Chile's principal competitors are Entel PCS and Movistar, a subsidiary of Telefónica Móviles.

Claro Chile holds a concession covering the entire Chilean territory. The concession was awarded in June 1997 and covers a 30-year period. The concession contains coverage, reporting and service requirements. The Chilean Transportation and Communications Ministry (*Ministerio de Transporte y Telecomunicaciones*) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Chile. It is authorized to foreclose and sell the shares of a concessionaire in case of specified breaches of the terms of the concession.

In May 2006, Claro Chile acquired from Telefónica Móviles a concession for the use of 25 megahertz within the 850 megahertz frequency, which permits Claro Chile to increase the wireless services it provides. The term of this concession is for a 25-year period for the Metropolitan area and Region V and for an indefinite period for the rest of Chile.

Paraguay (AMX Paraguay)

In July 2005, we began providing wireless services in Paraguay through AMX Paraguay, S.A., or AMX Paraguay.

AMX Paraguay provides nationwide wireless service in Paraguay under the Claro brand. During the first quarter of 2008, we re-branded our services in Paraguay to Claro, from CTI Móvil. We own 100% interest in AMX Paraguay, which we acquired in July 2005 from Hutchison Telecom. We began including the results of AMX Paraguay in our audited consolidated financial statements in August 2005. AMX Paraguay had approximately 0.4 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2008, AMX Paraguay had approximately 8.2% share of the Paraguayan wireless market and was the third largest wireless operator in Paraguay measured by the number of subscribers.

AMX Paraguay offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 71.3% of AMX Paraguay's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, AMX Paraguay offers value added services.

AMX Paraguay's cellular network uses GSM technology and covers approximately 100% of Paraguay's population. AMX Paraguay is expanding its nationwide GSM network in Paraguay. AMX Paraguay began offering 3G services in Paraguay, using a new UMTS/HSDPA network, during the fourth quarter of 2007 and continued the expansion of the 3G network during 2008. At December 31, 2008, AMX Paraguay had 72 employees.

AMX Paraguay's principal competitors are: Telecel (Milicom International), Nucleo, a subsidiary of Personal, the wireless operator of Telecom Argentina, and Hola Paraguay (KDDI Corporation).

AMX Paraguay holds a PCS 1900 spectrum license and a data transmission license covering Paraguay's three most populated cities, Asunción, Encarnación and Ciudad del Este. The licenses were granted in December 2003 and October 2004, respectively, and each covers a 5-year period. The licenses are renewable, subject to regulatory approval, and contain coverage, reporting and service requirements. In July 2008, AMX Paraguay renewed the PCS 1900 license, with nationwide coverage for a 5-year period. The National Telecommunications Commission of Paraguay (*Comisión Nacional de Telecomunicaciones de Paraguay*) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Paraguay. It is authorized to cancel licenses in case of specified breaches of the terms of a license.

Table of Contents

AM Wireless Uruguay

In June 2004, we acquired a 20-year license to operate three broad-band PCS frequencies in Uruguay at a cost U.S.\$18.1 million (Ps. 173 million). We began providing wireless services in Uruguay in December 2004, through AM Wireless Uruguay, S.A., or AM Wireless Uruguay. AM Wireless Uruguay uses GSM technology to provide service to its customer base. AM Wireless Uruguay began offering 3G services in Uruguay, using a new UMTS/HSDPA network, during the fourth quarter of 2007, and continued the expansion of the 3G network in 2008. As of December 31, 2008, AM Wireless Uruguay had approximately 0.8 million wireless subscribers. AM Wireless Uruguay had 183 employees at December 31, 2008.

During the first quarter of 2008, we re-branded our services in Uruguay to Claro, from CTI Móvil.

As of December 31, 2008, AM Wireless Uruguay had approximately a 22.7% share of the Uruguayan wireless market. AM Wireless Uruguay offers basic cellular services through a variety of rate plans and prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 82% of total subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

AM Wireless Uruguay's principal competitors are: Movistar, a subsidiary of Telefónica Móviles and Ancel, a company controlled by the Uruguayan government.

The Regulatory Unit of Communications Services (*Unidad Reguladora de Servicios de Comunicaciones*) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Uruguay.

Colombia (Comcel)

Comunicación Celular S.A., or Comcel, provides wireless telecommunications services in the eastern, western and Caribbean regions of Colombia. We have operated in the eastern and western regions of Colombia since 2002 and in the Caribbean region since February 2003. We own approximately 99.4% of the share capital of Comcel.

At December 31, 2008, Comcel had approximately 27.4 million subscribers, compared to 22.3 million subscribers at December 31, 2007, and believed it had a 67.4% share of the wireless market. During the first quarter of 2008, Comcel began offering 3G services in Colombia under a new UMTS/HSDPA network. Comcel was the first operator to offer 3G services in Colombia. Comcel's UMTS/HSDPA network currently covers 599 urban sites in Colombia, which account for approximately 63.5% of the country's population.

Comcel offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid service. Prepaid customers represented 86.7% of Comcel's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. Purchasers of Comcel's Amigo kit for prepaid service receive a cellular phone together with airtime included, enabling the customer to activate wireless service without contracts, monthly fees or credit checks. Comcel markets its services through independent local distributors and a direct sales force. In addition, Comcel and its distributors have arrangements with various supermarkets for the distribution of all of Comcel's basic services and products as well as the provision of technical service and assistance. The Amigo prepaid card is available in more than 63,704 locations nationwide and there are more than 232,648 virtual points of sale. Comcel's strategy is to continue to expand its customer base through the build-out of its network.

At December 31, 2008, Comcel had 3,681 employees.

In each of the three regions of Colombia, we compete with Telefónica Móviles and Colombia Móvil, a consortium acquired by Millicom in 2006. Colombia Móvil started nationwide commercial operations in November 2003. Comcel also competes with traditional fixed-line telephone service operators. In addition, Comcel faces competition from alternative wireless services, including mobile radio and paging services, rural wireless operators and trunking services. These competing wireless services are widely used in Colombia as a substitute for fixed-line services.

Table of Contents

The Ministry of Communications and the CRT are responsible for regulating and overseeing the telecommunications sector, including cellular operations. The Ministry of Communications, which granted the cellular concessions in 1994, supervises and audits the performances of the concessionaires' legal and contractual obligations. The activities of Comcel are also supervised by the Colombian Superintendency of Industry and Commerce (*Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio* or *SIC*), which enforces antitrust regulations, promotes free competition in the marketplace and protects consumer rights.

In March 2009, the CRT issued a series of resolutions stating that Comcel has a dominant position in Colombia's market for outgoing mobile services. Under Colombian law, a market participant is considered to have a dominant position in a specified market if the regulators determine that it has the capacity to control the conditions in that market. The CRT made its determination based on Comcel's traffic, revenues and subscriber base. The resolutions also included regulations that would require Comcel to charge rates (excluding access fees) for mobile-to-mobile calls outside the Comcel network (off net) that are no higher than the fees charged for mobile-to-mobile calls within the Comcel network (on net). CRT would monitor the rates by reviewing Comcel's average revenue per minute on a quarterly basis. The resolutions did not present a timetable for implementation of the regulations. In April 2009, Comcel filed a request for review of the resolutions (*recurso de reposición*) with the CRT. See Legal Proceedings Comcel Dominant Position under Item 8.

Comcel holds ten-year concessions, acquired in 1994, to provide wireless telecommunications services in the eastern, western and Caribbean regions of Colombia. Under the terms of the concessions, Comcel is required to make quarterly royalty payments to the Ministry of Communications based on its revenues. Under the terms of an agreement entered into in March 2004, the Ministry of Communications has agreed to renew Comcel's concessions through 2014.

Andean Region

Ecuador (Conecel)

Consorcio Ecuatoriano de Telecomunicaciones, S.A., or Conecel, is a wireless telecommunications operator in Ecuador. We own 100% of the share capital of Conecel.

At December 31, 2008, Conecel had approximately 8.3 million subscribers, compared to approximately 7 million at December 31, 2007, representing a 70.7% share of the Ecuadorian wireless market. Prepaid customers represented 88.7% of Conecel's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

Conecel owns and operates a cellular network that uses a GSM technology. In November 2007, it launched a new UMTS/HSDPA network. The GSM network provides nationwide coverage and the UMTS network covers the three largest cities (Quito, Guayaquil and Cuenca), which together account for approximately 35% of Ecuador's population.

At December 31, 2008, Conecel had 1,879 employees.

Conecel's principal competitor is Telefónica Móviles (Otecel Móviles), which following the purchase of the Bell South properties in Ecuador in 2004 offers wireless local, national and international long-distance and public telephone services in Ecuador.

Conecel is subject to regulation from:

the National Telecommunications Counsel (*Consejo Nacional de Telecomunicaciones*, or Conatel), which is responsible for policy-making in the telecommunications area;

the National Telecommunications Secretariat (*Secretaría Nacional de Telecomunicaciones*, or Senatel), which is responsible for executing Conatel's resolutions; and

Table of Contents

the Telecommunications Agency (*Superintendencia de Telecomunicaciones* or Suptel), which monitors the use of authorized frequencies and compliance with concession provisions.

In 2006, Conecel obtained a concession to operate 10 megahertz on the 1900 megahertz (Sub Band E-E) radio spectrum. This included a concession for PCS services granted in August 2008 that expires in August 2023, and concessions for data transmission and Internet services granted in May 2002 that expire in May 2017. In August 2008, Conecel made an initial payment of U.S.\$289 million (Ps. 3,140 million) upon the renewal of the concession for PCS services. In addition, Conecel committed to make annual payments equal to 3.93% of its operating revenues for each year during the term of the concession. These annual payments include a contribution to a government fund for the provision of telecommunications services to the poor. The new PCS concession allows us to provide 3G services and contains stricter quality of service requirements regarding issues such as number of successful call completions, average delivery time of SMS services, area coverage and service.

Peru (América Móvil Perú)

In August 2005, we began providing wireless services in Peru through América Móvil Perú, S.A.C., or América Móvil Perú.

América Móvil Perú provides nationwide wireless service in Peru under the Claro brand. We own a 100 % interest in América Móvil Perú, which we acquired in August 2005 from TIM International N.V., a member of the Telecom Italia group, for a purchase price of 407 million (330 million after net debt adjustments) (Ps. 4,762 million). We began including the results of América Móvil Perú in our consolidated financial statements in September 2005. América Móvil Perú had approximately 7.2 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2008, América Móvil Perú had approximately 38.9% share of the Peruvian wireless market and was the second largest wireless operator in Peru measured by the number of subscribers.

América Móvil Perú began providing services in certain regions of Peru in 2001. América Móvil Perú offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 88.9% of América Móvil Perú s total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, América Móvil Perú offers long distance and value added services.

América Móvil Perú s cellular network uses GSM technology and covers approximately 83.76% of Peru s population. América Móvil Perú has the largest GSM coverage among all mobile operators in Perú. América Móvil Perú was the first operator to offer 3G services. During the second quarter of 2008, América Móvil Perú began offering 3G services under a new UMTS/HSDPA network using 850 Mhz band B and Segment B (total 25 Mhz). América Móvil Perú s UMTS/HSDPA network currently covers the country s six largest cities (Lima, Arequipa, Cuzco, Trujillo, Chiclayo and Piura). At December 31, 2008, América Móvil Perú had 1,701 employees.

América Móvil Perú s principal competitor is Movistar Perú, a subsidiary of Telefónica Móviles.

América Móvil Perú holds concessions to provide mobile, PCS, fixed, long-distance and value added services covering all departments in Perú. The concessions were awarded by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (*Ministerio de Transportación y Comunicaciones*) in May 2000, March 2001 and December 2002, respectively, and each covers a 20-year period . The concessions contain coverage, reporting and service requirements. The Supervising Entity of Private Investment in Telecommunications of Peru (*Organismo Supervisor de Inversión Privada en Telecomunicaciones del Perú*) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Peru. The Ministry of Transportation and Communications (*Ministerio de Transportación y Comunicaciones*) is authorized to cancel the concessions in case of specified breaches of the terms of a concession.

Table of Contents

According to Peruvian regulations, mobile number portability should be implemented by January 2010.

Central America

El Salvador (CTE)

Compañía de Telecomunicaciones de El Salvador, S.A., or CTE, and its subsidiaries provide fixed, mobile and other telecommunications services in El Salvador. In October 2003, we acquired a 51% interest in CTE from France Telecom and certain other investors. In December 2004, we acquired an additional 41.54% interest in CTE from the government of El Salvador. As a result of the two transactions and a number of public market transactions in El Salvador, we had a 95.8% interest in CTE at December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2008, CTE had approximately 0.8 million fixed-line subscribers and a market share of approximately 81.8%.

CTE's wireless business is operated by its wholly-owned subsidiary CTE Telecom Personal S.A. de C.V. under the brand name Claro. The Claro cellular network uses GSM digital technology and covers approximately 95.3% of the Salvadorean population. At December 31, 2008, Claro had approximately 1.8 million wireless subscribers, which we estimate represents a market share of approximately 23.7%. Claro offers both prepaid and postpaid plans.

CTE offers a variety of services through its fixed-line and wireless networks, including Internet access, data transmission and satellite television, and also sells handsets and related products. CTE also operates a telephone directory business in El Salvador and offers fixed-line services in Guatemala. CTE markets and distributes its services and products directly to customers and also employs a network of independent distributors for services and products other than basic telephony, such as prepaid calling cards and handsets. At December 31, 2008, CTE and its subsidiaries had approximately 2,509 employees.

CTE is the principal provider of fixed-line services in El Salvador. CTE's principal competitor in the wireless sector is Telemovil, an affiliate of Millicom International, with a market share of approximately 42%. CTE also competes with Telefónica de El Salvador, an affiliate of Telefónica Móviles, Digicel, which is owned by a consortium of international investors and Intelfon.

CTE's business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the Salvadorean Energy and Telecommunications Agency (*Superintendencia General de Electricidad y Telecomunicaciones*). CTE holds a concession from the Salvadorean government to operate its nationwide fixed-line network and Claro holds a nationwide PCS 1900 concession to operate its cellular network.

Guatemala (Telgua)

Telecomunicaciones de Guatemala, S.A., or Telgua, is a fixed-line telecommunications operator in Guatemala that was privatized in November 1998. Telgua also provides wireless, Internet, cable television, paging, data transmission and other services in Guatemala. We own approximately 99.3% of the stock of Telgua.

At December 31, 2008, and December 31, 2007 Telgua had approximately 1.1 million fixed-line subscribers, a market share of approximately 92.2%.

Telgua's wireless business is operated under the brand name Claro. Claro's cellular network uses CDMA digital technology and overlaid GSM technology. Telgua's network covers approximately 83% of its population. At December 31, 2008, Claro had approximately 3.9 million wireless subscribers, representing a market share of approximately 34.7%.

Table of Contents

Telgua offers a variety of services through its fixed-line and wireless networks, including Internet access, data transmission, cable television, two-way communication systems used mainly for group communication, and dispatch applications, or trunking, and also sells handsets and related products. Telgua markets and distributes its services and products directly to customers and also employs a network of independent distributors for services and products other than basic fixed-line telephony, such as prepaid calling cards and handsets.

Telgua continues to be the principal provider of fixed-line services and is the second largest mobile operator in Guatemala. Telgua's competitors in the wireless sector are Millicom (Tigo), who are the market leaders with 45% market share, and Telefónica Móviles (Movistar).

At December 31, 2008, Telgua had 2,643 employees.

Telgua's business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the Guatemalan Telecommunications Agency (*Superintendencia de Telecomunicaciones*) under the General Telecommunications Law (*Ley General de Telecomunicaciones*). As of May 2006, Telgua's business is subject to regulation under certain dispositions of the free trade agreement among the Dominican Republic, Central American countries, including Guatemala, and the United States. Telgua holds a license from the Guatemalan government to operate its nationwide fixed-line network and numerous licenses to operate its cellular network on different frequencies and in different regions.

Nicaragua (ENITEL and ESTESA)

In December 2003, the Nicaraguan Government accepted our bid to acquire a 49% interest in Empresa Nicaragüense de Telecomunicaciones, S.A., or ENITEL, for a purchase price of U.S.\$49.6 million (Ps. 646 million). We consummated this acquisition in January 2004. ENITEL provides fixed, mobile and other telecommunications services in Nicaragua. In August 2004, we acquired an additional 50.03% interest in ENITEL from Megatel LLC and certain other investors for a price of U.S.\$128 million (Ps. 1,666 million).

At December 31, 2008, ENITEL had approximately 2.1 million wireless subscribers, which we estimate represents approximately 69.6% of the wireless market in Nicaragua, and approximately 0.3 million fixed-line subscribers, which represents 100% of the fixed-line market in Nicaragua.

ENITEL's wireless network uses GSM digital technology and covers approximately 74% of the Nicaraguan population and is operated under the brand name Claro. ENITEL is also a major provider of fixed-line services in Nicaragua. ENITEL offers a variety of services through its fixed-line and wireless networks, including Internet access and data transmission, and also sells handsets and related products. ENITEL markets and distributes its services and products directly to customers and also employs a network of independent distributors for services and products other than basic telephony, such as prepaid calling cards and handsets.

At December 31, 2008, ENITEL had 2,073 employees.

The principal competitor of ENITEL in the Nicaraguan wireless sector is Telefónica Móviles (Movistar), which has a market share of approximately 30%.

ENITEL's business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the Nicaraguan Telecommunications Agency (*Instituto Nicaragüense de Telecomunicaciones y Correos*) under the General Telecommunications and Postal Services Law (*Ley General de Telecomunicaciones y Servicios Postales*).

In August 2008, we acquired a 100% interest in Estesa Holding Corp., or ESTESA, a provider of cable television, broadband residential and corporate data services in Nicaragua.

Table of Contents

Honduras (Sercom Honduras)

As part of the same transaction in which we agreed to purchase the additional 50.03% of ENITEL, we agreed to acquire all of the shares of Megatel de Honduras, S.A. de C.V., now called Servicios de Comunicaciones de Honduras, S.A. de C.V., or Sercom Honduras, which provides wireless and other telecommunications services in Honduras under the brand name Claro. The acquisition of Megatel de Honduras, S.A. de C.V. closed in June 2004.

At December 31, 2008, Sercom Honduras had approximately 1.4 million wireless subscribers, representing approximately 25% of the wireless market in Honduras. The Company uses GSM technology to provide service to its customer base. At December 31, 2008, Sercom Honduras had approximately 644 employees.

The principal competitor of Sercom Honduras in the Honduran wireless sector is Celtel, an affiliate of Millicom International, which has a market share of approximately 69%. Digicel, an additional competitor, entered the Honduras wireless market in October 2008.

Sercom Honduras business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the Honduran Telecommunications Agency (*Comisión Nacional de Telecomunicaciones*, or CONATEL) under the Telecommunications Law (*Ley Marco del Sector de Telecomunicaciones*).

Panama

In May 2008, Claro Panamá, S.A., or Claro Panamá, our Panamanian subsidiary, obtained a license for the provision of mobile voice, data and video services in Panama. The license grants the right for use of 30 megahertz in the 1900 megahertz band for a 20-year period. We paid U.S.\$86 million (Ps. 894 million) for the license. In March 2009, Claro Panamá began providing wireless services in Panama.

On March 31, 2009, Claro Panamá had approximately 3,302 wireless subscribers. Claro Panamá uses GSM technology to provide service to its customer base. At March 31, 2009, Claro Panamá had approximately 111 employees.

Teléfono Móviles (Movistar) and Cable & Wireless currently provide wireless services in Panama, and Digicel acquired a license to provide services in May 2008.

Claro Panamá's business is subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight by the National Authority of Public Services (*Autoridad Nacional de Servicios Públicos*).

United States (TracFone)

TracFone Wireless, Inc., or TracFone, is engaged in the sale and distribution of prepaid wireless service and wireless phones throughout the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. We own 98.2% of the capital stock of TracFone. We first acquired a controlling interest in TracFone in February 1999.

TracFone currently offers its prepaid wireless service and wireless handsets throughout the United States using an extensive distribution network. TracFone prepaid service is marketed and sold under the TracFone, Net10 and SafeLink wireless brands. At December 31, 2008, TracFone had approximately 11.2 million subscribers, including all three brands, all of which are prepaid subscribers, and is the largest operator in the U.S. prepaid cellular market. TracFone's subscriber base increased by approximately 17.6% in 2008.

TracFone does not own any wireless telecommunications facilities or hold any wireless licenses. Instead, it purchases airtime through agreements with approximately 12 wireless service providers and resells airtime to customers. Through these agreements, TracFone has a nationwide network covering virtually all areas in which

Table of Contents

wireless services are available. Customer usage is controlled using patented, proprietary software installed in each phone TracFone sells, and TracFone provides customer service and manages customers as though it were a network-based carrier. TracFone has entered into agreements with Nokia, Motorola, LG, Samsung and Kyocera to enable them to include TracFone's software in various handsets they produce. TracFone's business model does not require any significant recurring capital expenditures. TracFone sells handsets through a variety of U.S. retail stores and sells its prepaid airtime through a large number of independent retailers throughout the United States.

As of December 31, 2008, TracFone had 594 employees.

TracFone competes with the major U.S. wireless operators and other mobile virtual network operators. As the prepaid market has grown in the U.S., many of these entities have increased their focus on prepaid wireless services and can be expected to continue to do so in the future. TracFone is subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, and to certain U.S. telecommunications laws and regulations. TracFone is not required to procure wireless licenses to carry out its business.

Caribbean

Dominican Republic (Codetel)

Compañía Dominicana de Teléfonos, C. por A., or Codetel, provides fixed-line and wireless services in the Dominican Republic.

Codetel provides nationwide fixed-line and wireless services in the Dominican Republic under the Codetel and Claro brands, respectively. We own 100% interest in Codetel, which we acquired on December 1, 2006 from Verizon Communications, Inc. for a purchase price of U.S.\$2.42 billion (Ps. 27,557 million) (U.S.\$2.02 billion (Ps. 23,121 million) before net cash adjustments). We began including the results of Codetel in our audited consolidated financial statements in December 2006.

Codetel had approximately 3.9 million wireless subscribers and 0.8 million fixed line subscribers as of December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2008, Codetel had approximately 56.3% share of the Dominican fixed line market and was the largest fixed line operator in the Dominican Republic measured by the number of subscribers. Codetel had a 80.5% share of the wireless market and was the largest wireless operator in the Dominican Republic at December 31, 2008.

Codetel began providing services in the Dominican Republic in 1930. It offers a variety of services through its fixed-line and wireless networks, including Internet access and data transmission, and also sells handsets and related products. It also offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Prepaid customers represented 88.5% of Codetel's total wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, Codetel offers long distance and value added services.

Since May 1991, Codetel uses CDMA technology to provide wireless services to approximately 95% of the Dominican Republic's population. Since May 2007, Codetel has also used a GSM technology network that covers approximately 97% of the Dominican Republic's population. Codetel has a 3G network in place covering the most important cities and providing more than 1,400 kilometers of road coverage. At December 31, 2008, Codetel had 3,109 employees.

Codetel's principal wireless competitor is France Telecom (Orange). Tricom is Codetel's principal fixed-line competitor.

Codetel holds concessions to provide telecommunication services covering the whole territory of the Dominican Republic. The first concession was awarded by the Dominican government in 1930. The latest concession was awarded by the Dominican government in 1995 for a 20-year period retroactive as of April 1990,

Table of Contents

with automatic 20-year renewals. The concessions do not contain coverage, reporting or service requirements. The Dominican Institute of Telecommunications (*Instituto Dominicano de las Telecomunicaciones* or Indotel) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in the Dominican Republic. Indotel is authorized to cancel the concessions in case of specified breaches of the terms of a concession.

Jamaica (Oceanic)

Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited, or Oceanic, provides wireless and value-added services in Jamaica.

Oceanic provides nationwide wireless services in Jamaica under the Claro brand. We own 99.4% interest in Oceanic, which we acquired on November 5, 2007 for a purchase price of U.S.\$75.1 million (Ps. 800 million) (U.S.\$73.6 million (Ps. 796 million) before net cash adjustments). We began including the results of Oceanic in our audited consolidated financial statements in December 2007.

Oceanic had approximately 0.2 million wireless subscribers as of December 31, 2008, all of which were prepaid customers. At December 31, 2008, Oceanic had approximately 9.5% share of the Jamaican wireless market.

Oceanic began providing services in Jamaica in November 2001. It offers a variety of services through its wireless networks, including web access, and also sells handsets and related products. In addition, Oceanic offers long distance and value added services, such as SMS, web access and Push to Talk.

Oceanic's cellular network uses CDMA technology and covers approximately 62% of Jamaica's population. We are currently deploying GSM and UMTS networks, which are expected to cover at least 85% of the Jamaican population by the end of 2008. At December 31, 2008, Oceanic had 198 employees.

Oceanic's principal competitors are Digicel and Cable & Wireless Jamaica, rebranded as LIME.

Oceanic holds concessions to provide wireless services covering all of Jamaica. The concessions contain coverage, reporting and service requirements. The Office of Utilities Regulator (OUR) is in charge of supervising the telecommunications industry in Jamaica. The OUR is authorized to cancel the concessions in case of specified breaches of the terms of a concession.

Puerto Rico (TELPRI)

In April 2007, we began providing fixed-line and wireless services in Puerto Rico through Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc. or TELPRI.

On March 30, 2007, we acquired control of 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of TELPRI for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$1.89 billion (Ps. 20,946 million) (U.S.\$2.21 billion (Ps. 25,050 million) before net debt adjustments). We acquired 62.01% of TELPRI's share capital from Verizon Communications, Inc., 28% from the Puerto Rico Telephone Authority, 12.99% from Popular Inc. and 7% from an employee stock ownership plan. TELPRI provides island-wide fixed-line services under the Puerto Rico Telephone (PRT) brand and wireless services under the Claro brand.

TELPRI began providing services in Puerto Rico in 1914 and is the leading full service telecommunications provider on the island offering fixed and mobile services, long distance (local, USA, international), broadband and value-added services island-wide through an advanced 100% digital infrastructure. The Claro brand offers video, voice and data services and has both post and prepaid rate plans.

At December 31, 2008, TELPRI had approximately 833,000 fixed-line subscribers and 686,000 wireless subscribers. On the fixed line side, TELPRI has an estimated 88% share of the residential market making it the

Table of Contents

largest fixed line operator on the island. At December 31, 2008, TELPRI's Claro had approximately 29.7% share of the Puerto Rican wireless market. Postpaid customers represented 72.6% of total subscriber base as of December 31, 2008.

TELPRI is a major provider of fixed-line services in Puerto Rico. TELPRI also offers basic cellular service through a variety of rate plans and also offers prepaid services. Postpaid customers represented 72.6% of TELPRI's total subscribers as of December 31, 2008. In addition, TELPRI offers long distance and value added services.

TELPRI deployed a GSM network with UMTS/HSDPA capabilities in late 2007 and is currently migrating its wireless customers on the previous CDMA network. The wireless market on the island is highly competitive with AT&T, Centennial, Sprint, T-Mobile and Open Mobile as TELPRI's competitors. AT&T is the largest wireless operator in Puerto Rico, with TELPRI in a close second position. In the fixed-line business Puerto Rico Telephone's principal competitors are Centennial Wireline, Worldnet Communications and other competitive local exchange carriers that resell PRT's services.

TELPRI holds concessions to provide PCS and long-distance services on the island. The concessions contain coverage, reporting and service requirements. The FCC and the Telecommunications Regulatory Board of Puerto Rico oversee and regulate the telecommunications industry in Puerto Rico. The FCC has the authority to cancel the concessions in case of specified breaches of the terms.

At December 31, 2008, TELPRI had 4,223 employees.

Table of Contents

OTHER INVESTMENTS

Our principal investments in affiliates other than our subsidiaries are described below. Financial information provided for these affiliates has been prepared in accordance with Mexican FRS and restated in constant pesos as of December 31, 2008. We can give no assurance as to the extent, timing or cost of future international investments, and such investments may involve risks to which we have not previously been exposed.

Telvista

We own a 45.0% interest in Telvista, a Delaware corporation that operates call centers in the United States.

Table of Contents**CAPITAL EXPENDITURES**

The following table sets forth our consolidated capital expenditures (in nominal amounts) for each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008. The table below includes capital expenditures in property, plant and equipment. We have also dedicated resources to acquire new companies and licenses and increase our interest in some of our subsidiaries, which in 2008, 2007 and 2006 amounted to Ps. 13,737 million, Ps. 26,045 million and Ps. 24,165 million, respectively. See Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Requirements under Item 5.

| | Year ended December 31, ⁽¹⁾ | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 ⁽²⁾ |
| | (millions of nominal pesos) | | |
| Transmission and switching equipment | Ps. 30,316 | Ps. 32,100 | Ps. 50,278 |
| Other | 3,368 | 2,522 | 6,856 |
| Total capital expenditures | Ps. 33,684 | Ps. 34,622 | Ps. 57,134 |

(1) Figures reflect amounts accrued for each period.

(2) As of December 31, 2008, we had not disbursed Ps. 30,190 million of our capital investments in 2008, of which Ps. 29,159 million will be disbursed in 2009 and Ps. 1,031 million will be disbursed in 2010.

Our capital expenditures during 2008 related primarily to expanding the capacity of our GSM networks, expanding our third generation UMTS/HSDPA network coverage throughout our principal markets in Latin America, acquiring or renewing licenses principally in Brazil, Ecuador, Puerto Rico and Panama. We have budgeted capital expenditures of approximately U.S.\$3 billion for the year ending December 31, 2009, but this budgeted amount could change as we re-evaluate our expenditure needs during the year or as a result of any acquisitions. We expect that our capital expenditures during 2009 will primarily relate to the expansion and upgrading of our cellular infrastructure. We expect to spend approximately 19.8% of our budgeted capital expenditures in Mexico and the United States, 53.3% in South America, 13.4% in Central America and 13.5% in the Caribbean.

We expect to finance our capital expenditures for 2009 with funds generated from operations and, depending on market conditions and our other capital requirements, new debt financings.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in this annual report. Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Mexican FRS, which differs in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. Note 22 to the audited consolidated financial statements provides a description of the principal differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, as they relate to us, a reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of income and total stockholders' equity, a description of how operating income under U.S. GAAP was determined and cash flow statements for the years ended 2006 and 2007 under U.S. GAAP.

Under Mexican FRS, our financial statements for periods ending prior to January 1, 2008 recognized the effects of inflation on financial information. Inflation accounting under Mexican FRS had extensive effects on the presentation of our financial statements through 2007. See Inflation Accounting below and Note 2(f) to our audited consolidated financial statements.

The following discussion analyzes certain operating data, such as ARPUs, MOUs and churn rate, that is not included in our financial statements. We calculate ARPUs for a given period by dividing service revenues for such period by the average number of subscribers for such period. The figure includes both prepaid and postpaid customers. We calculate churn rate as the total number of customer deactivations for a period divided by total subscribers at the beginning of such period.

Table of Contents

We provide this operating data because it is regularly reviewed by management and because management believes it is useful in evaluating our performance from period to period. We believe that presenting information about ARPUs and MOUs is useful in assessing the usage and acceptance of our products and services, and that presenting churn rate is useful in assessing our ability to retain subscribers. This additional operating information may not be comparable with similarly titled measures and disclosures by other companies.

We count our wireless subscribers by the number of lines activated. We continue to count postpaid subscribers for the length of their contracts. We disconnect, or churn, our postpaid subscribers at the moment they voluntarily discontinue their service or following a prescribed period of time after they become delinquent. We disconnect our prepaid subscribers after a period of four months after they discontinue using our service, so long as they have not activated a calling card or received traffic. We calculate our subscriber market share by comparing our own subscriber figures with the total market subscriber figures periodically reported by the regulatory authorities in the markets in which we operate. We understand that these regulatory authorities compile total market subscriber figures based on subscriber figures provided to them by market participants, and we do not independently verify these figures.

Inflation Accounting

Through the end of 2007, Mexican FRS required us to recognize certain effects of inflation in our financial statements. They also required us to present financial statements from prior periods in constant pesos as of the end of the most recent period presented. We present financial information for 2008 in nominal pesos and financial information for 2007 and 2006 in constant pesos as of December 2007.

Cessation of inflation accounting under Mexican FRS

Mexican FRS changed beginning on January 1, 2008, and the inflation accounting methods summarized below no longer apply, except where the economic environment qualifies as inflationary for purposes of Mexican FRS. The environment is inflationary if the cumulative inflation rate equals or exceeds an aggregate of 26% over three years (equivalent to an average of 8% in each year). Based on current forecasts, we do not expect the Mexican economic environment to qualify as inflationary in 2009, but that could change depending on actual economic performance.

As a result, we present financial statements without inflation accounting beginning in 2008. We have not adjusted the financial statements for prior periods to give retrospective effect to the cessation of inflation accounting. In this respect, our financial statements for 2008 are not comparable to those for prior periods. In comparing our results for 2008 to results for prior periods, the most important effects of the cessation of inflation accounting, and of related changes in other accounting standards, are as follows:

We no longer recognize monetary gain and loss attributable to the effects of inflation on our monetary assets and liabilities.

We no longer adjust the carrying values of nonmonetary assets for inflation and currency variations.

We no longer re-express results of prior periods. Financial information for dates and periods prior to 2008 continues to be expressed in constant pesos as of December 31, 2007.

We express results in nominal pesos rather than constant pesos.

Effects of inflation accounting on U.S. GAAP reconciliation for periods prior to 2008

The effect of inflation accounting under Mexican FRS in 2007 and 2006 has not been reversed in the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of net income and total stockholders' equity, except with respect to the methodology for restatement of imported telephone plant. See Note 22 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Changes in Mexican FRS

Note 1z.2 to our audited consolidated financial statements discusses new accounting pronouncements under Mexican FRS that came into force in 2008 and that will come into force in 2009. The pronouncements that became effective on January 1, 2008, were fully implemented in the financial statements included in this annual report. In 2009, other pronouncements might affect certain aspects of our financial statements. The 2008 accounting pronouncements were applied on a prospective basis and prior years' financial statements have not been adjusted. As a result, our financial statements for 2008 may not be comparable to our financial statements of prior years.

Transition to IFRS

Beginning with the year ended December 31, 2012, Mexican issuers with securities listed on a Mexican securities exchange will be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Issuers may voluntarily report using IFRS before the change in the reporting standards becomes mandatory. We plan to begin presenting financial statements in accordance with IFRS for 2012 at the latest.

Overview

Trends in Operating Results

We have experienced significant growth in our operating revenues (10.9% in 2008, 28.2% in 2007 and 23.6% in 2006) and operating income (12.2% in 2008, 39.6% in 2007 and 66.3% in 2006) in recent years. Besides acquisitions, the principal factors affecting our operating revenues and operating income relate to growth in subscribers and traffic. Traffic can grow as a result of increased usage by existing customers or as a result of subscriber growth or both. In addition, currency fluctuations between the Mexican peso and the currencies of our non-Mexican subsidiaries affect our results of operations as reported in Mexican pesos.

We have generally experienced both increased usage and subscriber growth in recent periods. Due principally to competitive pressures, we generally have not increased prices in recent periods. In many of our markets, we have introduced promotions and discount packages that tend to result in higher MOUs and lower ARPUs. In addition, interconnection rates have been reduced in many of our markets. During 2008, for example, interconnection rates in Mexico and Colombia declined by 11% and 50%, respectively, as compared to 2007 levels. We expect the trend of declining prices to slow in 2009, but we also expect pressure on ARPUs as a result of the economic crisis. Traffic increases may not continue to fully offset further price or rate declines, which may adversely affect our revenues and operating income.

At December 31, 2008, we had approximately 182.7 million wireless subscribers, as compared to 153.4 million at December 31, 2007, a 19.1% increase. During 2007, we experienced a 28.6 million or 23.0% increase in wireless subscribers. During 2006, we experienced a 31.4 million or 33.7% increase in wireless subscribers. Subscriber growth during 2008, 2007 and 2006 was substantially attributable to organic growth rather than acquisitions of new companies. We experienced subscriber growth in every segment, with the largest amounts attributable to Brazil (8.5 million net new subscribers or 29% of total net new subscribers), Colombia (5.5 million net new subscribers or 17% of total net new subscribers) and Mexico (6.3 million net new subscribers or 22% of total net new subscribers). The rate of organic growth in subscribers in 2009 may be adversely affected by the economic crisis.

We believe that many of the markets we serve provide opportunities for continued growth, and as subscribers and traffic increase, we generally expect to report higher revenue and operating income (before depreciation and amortization) as a result of economies of scale. These effects can be partly or wholly offset, however, by the effects of competition on prices and on subscriber acquisition costs. Our operating margins, particularly in certain geographic segments, have tended to decline during periods of accelerated subscriber growth because of the costs of acquiring new subscribers, which include subsidies for equipment purchases and

Table of Contents

activation commissions. As our subscriber base grows and new subscribers represent a lower fraction of our subscriber base, our operating margins have generally improved, although we cannot give assurances that this improvement will continue.

We have launched and are actively promoting 3G and value-added services in all of our markets. The introduction of 3G services in our markets contributed to an increase of 31.1% and 24.05% in data revenues in 2007 and 2008, respectively. Data revenues accounted for 13.5% of service revenues in 2008, as compared to 12.4% in 2007. We expect that data revenues as a percentage of our service revenues will continue to increase as 3G services are more widely adopted.

The market and competitive conditions are independent in the different markets in which we operate, and they are sometimes subject to rapid change.

Effects of Recent Acquisitions

During the last three years, we made significant acquisitions. The consolidation of these companies affects the comparability of our recent results. We accounted for all of these acquisitions using the purchase method, and the results of each acquired company were consolidated in our financial statements as from the month following the consummation of its acquisition. Our audited consolidated financial statements reflect the consolidation of these companies as follows:

Codetel (from December 2006);

TELPRI (from April 2007);

Oceanic (from December 2007); and

ESTESA (from September 2008).

Our only significant new acquisition in 2008 was ESTESA, a cable television and internet services provider in Nicaragua. On August 7, 2008, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of ESTESA Holding Corp. for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$47.8 million (Ps. 485 million) before net debt and U.S.\$58.8 million (Ps. 597 million) including net debt.

Geographic Segments

We have operations in eighteen countries, which are grouped for financial reporting purposes in nine geographic segments. Segment information is presented in Note 20 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. Mexico is our largest single geographic market, accounting for 39.1% of our total operating revenues in 2008 and 30.9% of our total wireless subscribers at December 31, 2008. The percentage of our total operating revenues represented by Mexico decreased in 2006 and 2007, as a result of acquisitions outside Mexico and faster organic revenue growth outside Mexico, but this trend was offset in 2008 by the appreciation of the Mexican peso against the currencies of some of the other currencies in which we operate. We expect that our non-Mexican operations will continue to grow faster than Mexico, though exchange rate variations may affect the comparison in any given year.

Brazil is our second most important market in terms of revenues and subscribers, accounting for 20.4% of our total operating revenues in 2008 and 21.2% of our total wireless subscribers at December 31, 2008. We have made significant investments in Brazil in recent periods, through acquisitions and expansions of our networks, and the importance of our Brazilian operations has increased significantly with respect to our overall results.

Our Colombian operations have experienced accelerated subscriber growth in recent years, and as a result Colombia has become our third largest market in terms of revenues (9.5% in 2008) and subscribers (15.0% in 2008).

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the percentage of our revenues and total wireless subscribers represented by each of our operating segments for the periods indicated.

| | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| | % Revenues | % Subscribers ⁽¹⁾ | % Revenues | % Subscribers ⁽¹⁾ | % Revenues | % Subscribers ⁽¹⁾ |
| Mexico | 46.6 | 34.6 | 40.8 | 32.6 | 39.1 | 30.9 |
| Brazil | 16.9 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 19.7 | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| Southern Cone ⁽²⁾ | 8.5 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 10.7 |
| Colombia | 9.2 | 15.6 | 9.5 | 14.6 | 9.5 | 15 |
| Andean Region ⁽³⁾ | 5.3 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 8.5 |
| Central America ⁽⁴⁾ | 7.0 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5 |
| United States | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6.1 |
| Dominican Republic | 0.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| Caribbean ⁽⁵⁾ | | | 3.2 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

(1) As of December 31.

(2) Includes our operations in Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay.

(3) Includes our operations in Ecuador and Peru.

(4) Includes our operations in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama. We began operations in Panama in 2008.

(5) Includes our operations in Puerto Rico and Jamaica.

Our subsidiaries report significantly different operating margins. In 2008, Mexico, Colombia and the Dominican Republic reported operating margins higher than our consolidated operating margin, while the other segments reported lower operating margins.

The factors that drive financial performance can differ for our operations in different countries, depending on the subscriber acquisition costs, competitive situation, regulatory environment (including fees and revenue-based payments related to our concessions), economic factors, interconnection rates, capital expenditures requirements, debt profile and many other factors. Accordingly, our results of operations in each period reflect a combination of different effects in the different countries.

Effects of Economic Conditions and Exchange Rates

Our results of operations are affected by economic conditions in Mexico, Brazil, Colombia and the other countries in which we operate. The current recessionary environment in every country in which we operate may also impact our results of operations. In periods of slow economic growth, demand for telecommunications services tends to be adversely affected.

Effects of Exchange Rates

Our results of operations are also affected by changes in currency exchange rates. As discussed above, currency variations between the Mexican peso and the currencies of our non-Mexican subsidiaries, especially the Brazilian real and the Colombian peso, affect our results of operations as reported in Mexican pesos.

Changes in the value of the various operating currencies of our subsidiaries against the U.S. dollar also result in exchange losses or gains on our net U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness and accounts payable. Appreciation of these currencies against the U.S. dollar generally results in foreign exchange gains, while depreciation of these currencies against the U.S. dollar generally results in foreign exchange losses. We recorded foreign exchange losses of Ps. 13,686 million in 2008. We recorded foreign exchange gains of Ps. 2,463 million

Table of Contents

in 2007 and Ps. 2,321 million in 2006. Changes in exchange rates also affect the fair value of derivative instruments that we use to manage our currency risk exposures. We recognized Ps. 7,497 million in fair value gains on derivatives in 2008, partly offsetting our foreign exchange losses.

Effects of Regulation

We operate in a regulated industry. Although currently we are free to set end prices to our wireless customers, our results of operations and financial condition have been and will continue to be affected by regulatory actions and changes. In recent periods, for example, regulators have imposed or promoted decreases to interconnection rates, and we expect further decreases in interconnection rates in Mexico and Colombia. Lower interconnection revenues have often been offset by increased traffic resulting from lower effective prices to customers, but this may change.

In addition, some jurisdictions may impose specific regulations on wireless carriers that are deemed dominant. Although we are not currently subject to any regulations or restrictions as a result of our market position, we are one of the subjects in ongoing general market investigations in Mexico to ascertain whether one or more cellular operators have substantial market power in one or more sectors of the telecommunications industry. In March 2009, the Colombian telecommunications regulatory agency, CRT, found Comcel to have a dominant position in Colombia's market for outgoing mobile services and imposed specific regulations on our fees. Comcel is challenging these resolutions in administrative proceedings. Adverse determinations in any of these proceedings could result in specific dominant carrier regulations with respect to tariffs, quality of service and information. See [Legal Proceedings Telcel Cofeco](#) under Item 8 and [Information of the Company Mexican Operations Regulation Interconnection Fees](#), [February 2009 Interconnection Plan](#) and [Non-Mexican Operations Colombia](#) under Item 4.

Composition of Operating Revenues

Most of our operating revenues (86.3% in 2008) are comprised of service revenues. Of our service revenues, the largest portion (33.3% in 2008) is from airtime charges for outgoing calls. We also derive a significant portion of our revenues from interconnection charges billed to other service providers for calls completed on our network. The primary driver of usage charges (airtime and interconnection charges) is traffic, which, in turn, is driven by the number of customers and by their average usage. Postpaid customers generally have an allotment of airtime each month for which they are not required to pay usage charges. Service revenues also include (1) monthly subscription charges paid by postpaid customers, (2) long-distance charges and (3) charges for value-added and other services, such as roaming, call forwarding, call waiting, call blocking and short text messaging.

Revenues from sales of prepaid services are deferred and recognized as airtime is used or when it expires, and are included under usage charges. Monthly basic rent under post-paid is billed in arrears based on the plan and package rates approved and correspond to services rendered, except in Mexico and Colombia, where basic monthly rent is billed one month in advance. Revenues are recognized at the time services are provided. Billed revenues for the service not yet rendered are recognized as deferred revenues.

We also have sales revenues from selling handsets and other equipment. Most of our new subscribers purchase a handset, and although we also sell new handsets to existing customers, changes in sales revenues are driven primarily by the number of new customers. The pricing of handsets is not geared primarily to making a profit from handset sales, because it also takes account of the service revenues that are expected to result when the handset is used.

Seasonality of our Business

Our business has been subject to a certain degree of seasonality, characterized by a higher number of new clients during the fourth quarter of each year. We believe this is driven by the Christmas shopping season.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Results of Operations

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues increased by 10.9% in 2008. The Ps. 34,075 million total increase was attributable to increases in service revenues (Ps. 31,814 million) and in equipment revenues (Ps. 2,261 million). We experienced subscriber growth in all of our markets for wireless services.

Service revenues increased by 11.9% in 2008. The total increase of Ps. 31,813 million in service revenues reflects principally increased traffic and subscriber growth (Ps. 28,122 million), as our wireless subscriber base increased by 19.1%. The balance of the increase in service revenues reflects increases due to exchange rate variation (Ps. 7,021 million) and to the effect of consolidating Puerto Rico for the full year (Ps. 3,039 million), offset in part by the effect of inflation accounting on 2007 revenues (Ps. 6,370 million). This was partly offset by lower ARPUs attributable principally to promotions and discount packages, lower interconnection rates in some markets and a growing proportion of prepaid subscribers, who generate less revenue per line than postpaid subscribers.

Equipment revenues accounted for Ps. 2,261 million, or 5.0%, of the Ps. 34,075 million increase in operating revenues in 2008. This primarily reflects subscriber growth. Equipment revenues as a percentage of total revenues decreased from 14.5% in 2007 to 13.7% in 2008.

In 2007, our operating revenues increased by Ps. 68,575 million, or 28.2%, compared to 2006. This increase reflected principally subscriber growth and increased traffic. In addition, the increase in revenues during 2007 reflected the consolidation of our operations in the Dominican Republic and Puerto Rico in late 2006 and early 2007, respectively (which accounted for, about Ps. 18,999 million (or 7.8%) of the increase) and the appreciation of many of our operating currencies, particularly the Colombian peso and the Brazilian real, against the Mexican peso (which accounted for about Ps. 1,855 million (or 0.8%) of the increase). Absent effects from acquisitions and exchange rate changes, our operating revenues during 2007 would have increased by 19.6%.

Operating Costs and Expenses

Cost of services and equipment Cost of services and equipment represented 42.2% of operating revenues in 2008, 42.5% of operating revenues in 2007 and 46.7% of operating revenues in 2006. Cost of services and equipment increased by 10.3% in 2008 and 16.7% in 2007. The stability in cost of services and equipment relative to revenues in 2008 compared to 2007 reflects efficiencies, principally in infrastructure costs and equipment costs, and reductions in interconnection rates and long distance charges in some of our markets, which were partially offset by increases in revenue-based payments for our concessions due to higher revenues and by costs incurred in renewing some of our concessions.

Cost of equipment was Ps. 75,117 million in 2008 and Ps. 69,980 million in 2007 and primarily represents the cost of handsets sold to subscribers. Equipment costs increased by 7.3% in 2008 and by 8.1% in 2007. Our cost of equipment exceeded our equipment revenues by 36.7% during 2008 and 35.3% during 2007, since we subsidize the cost of equipment for new subscribers.

Cost of services increased by 13.6% in 2008, to Ps. 8,514 million. This increase in cost of services was greater than the growth in service revenues, which increased by 11.9% in 2008. Cost of services increased faster than service revenues primarily due to increases in revenue-based concession payments in Mexico, the fee for renewal of our concession in Ecuador, infrastructure costs, employee salary increases and infrastructure maintenance costs. Cost of services increased by 28.0% in 2007 compared to 2006, while service revenues increased by 31.4% during the same period.

Commercial, administrative and general Commercial, administrative and general expenses represented 18.0% of operating revenues in 2008, 17.2% of operating revenues in 2007 and 16.7% of operating revenues in 2006. On an absolute basis, commercial administrative and general expenses increased by 16.3% in 2008 and

Table of Contents

31.9% in 2007. The increase in commercial, administrative and general expenses in 2008 principally reflects higher advertising expenses as a result of the launch of our 3G services in many of our markets, higher commissions paid to our distributors, establishment of new customer service centers, payments related to our concessions in Mexico and Ecuador and an increase in our uncollectible accounts resulting from a change to more conservative collection policies than in prior years.

Telcel, like other Mexican companies, is required by law to pay to its employees, in addition to their agreed compensation and benefits, profit sharing in an aggregate amount equal to 10% of Telcel's taxable income. Conecel, our Ecuadorian subsidiary, is also required to pay employee profit sharing, at a rate of 15% of Conecel's taxable income. We recognize these amounts under commercial, administrative and general costs.

Depreciation and amortization Depreciation and amortization increased by 3.4% in 2008 and by 44.9% in 2007. As a percentage of revenues, depreciation and amortization decreased from 13.0% in 2007 to 12.0% in 2008. The increases in depreciation and amortization in 2008 and 2007 reflect the substantial investments made in our networks, particularly in connection with the launch and expansion of GSM services in many of our markets. In 2007, we also experienced an increase in depreciation and amortization as a result of the shortening of useful lives and faster depreciation of TDMA and GSM equipment in certain markets, particularly in Colombia and Brazil, due to expected migration of customers to third generation technologies. This change resulted in an increase in our depreciation expense for 2007 of Ps. 5,796 million.

Operating Income

Operating income increased by 12.2% in 2008 and 39.6% in 2007. All of our segments reported operating income in 2008. Operating margin (operating income as a percentage of operating revenues) was 27.6% in 2008, 27.3% in 2007 and 25.1% in 2006. Improvements in our operating margin reflect principally the increase in service revenues.

Financing (Income) Cost

Under Mexican FRS, financing cost reflects interest income, interest expense, foreign exchange gain or loss and other financing costs. Through 2007 it also included gain or loss attributable to the effects of inflation on monetary assets and liabilities.

We had financing cost of Ps. (13,865) million in 2008, as compared to financing cost of Ps. (387) million in 2007 and Ps. (28) million in 2006. The increase in financing cost in 2008 reflects principally (a) foreign exchange losses of Ps. 13,686 million due principally to the depreciation of the Mexican peso against the U.S. dollar, (b) net other financing income of Ps. 6,358 million, primarily due to fair value gains on currency derivatives and (c) no monetary gains or losses, due to the cessation of inflation accounting under Mexican FRS, as compared to a monetary gain of Ps. 5,038 million in 2007.

The increase in financing cost in 2007 reflects principally an increase in net other financing costs of Ps. 1,744 million, which more than offset an increase in net monetary gain of Ps. 1,190 million. The increase in net other financing costs includes losses on the value in our investment in U.S. Commercial Corp., which amounted to Ps. 1,363 million 2007 and Ps. 1,375 million in 2006. (In December 2007, we donated our 19.7% interest in U.S. Commercial Corp. to Fundación Carso, S.A. de C.V. See *Related Party Transactions* *Transactions with Other Affiliates* under Item 7.)

For 2008 and 2007, changes in the components of financing cost were as follows:

Net interest expense increased by 38.0% in 2008 and decreased by 1.1% in 2007. The increase in 2008 was primarily attributable to increased net debt resulting from increased capital expenditures. The slight decrease in 2007 was primarily attributable to a decrease in interest expense because of a change in Mexican FRS that required the capitalization of interest and other financing costs relating to debt incurred to finance capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

We had a net foreign exchange loss of Ps. 13,686 million in 2008, compared to a gain of Ps. 2,463 million in 2007, and a gain of Ps. 2,321 million in 2006. The foreign exchange loss in 2008 was primarily attributable to the depreciation of the Mexican peso against the U.S. dollar and was partly offset by gains on currency derivatives described below. The foreign exchange gain in 2007 was primarily attributable to appreciation of the Mexican peso against the U.S. dollar and of the Brazilian real and the Colombian peso against the Mexican peso and the U.S. dollar.

In 2008, following the cessation of inflation accounting under Mexican FRS, we did not record monetary gains or losses. See Inflation Accounting in this Item 5. In 2007, we reported a Ps. 5,038 million net monetary gain, as compared to Ps. 3,848 million in 2006. The increase in 2007 was primarily related to higher inflation in many of our markets, as well as an increase in our average net indebtedness.

We reported a net other financing gain of Ps. 6,358 million in 2008, compared to a cost of Ps. 3,153 million in 2007 and Ps. 1,409 million in 2006. Net other financing costs include fair value gains and losses of financial instruments, commissions, fair-value gains and losses on investments, and gains and losses on the sale of investments. In 2008, our net financing gains were principally attributable to a net fair value gain on our currency derivatives of Ps. 7,497 million. In 2007, our net financing costs were principally attributable to the write-off of our investment in U.S. Commercial Corp. and fair value losses on our derivative instruments.

We capitalized financing cost of Ps. 7,054 million in 2008 and Ps. 1,158 million in 2007, in each case related to our plant, property and equipment.

Income Tax

Our effective rates of provisions for corporate income tax as a percentage of pretax income were 25%, 27.7% and 27.7% for 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. Our effective rate in 2008 includes the reversal of the valuation allowance corresponding to tax losses. The statutory rate of Mexican corporate income tax was 28% in 2008, 28% in 2007 and 29% in 2006.

In 2008, Mexico introduced a new flat rate business tax (Impuesto Empresarial a Tasa Única or IETU). IETU is calculated by reference to the income derived from the transfer of goods, the lease of assets and the rendering of services. The rate for 2008 and 2009 is 16.5% and 17%, respectively. Thereafter, the rate will be 17.5%.

Other Income (Expense), Net

In 2008, we recorded net other expense of Ps. 2,327 million, compared to net other expense of Ps. 3,713 million in 2007, and net other income of Ps. 484 million in 2006. The expense in 2008 reflects principally an impairment of goodwill in Honduras and the accrual for interest and penalties for certain tax contingencies in Brazil. The expense in 2007 reflects principally our decision to discontinue the use of certain TDMA equipment in Colombia and Ecuador.

Equity in Results of Affiliates

Our proportionate share of the results of equity-method affiliates resulted net gains of Ps. 109 million in 2008, net gains of Ps. 58 million in 2007 and Ps. 38 million in 2006. The net gains in 2008 and 2007 reflect principally our share of the net gains reported by Telvista.

Net Income

We had net income of Ps. 59,486 million in 2008, Ps. 58,588 million in 2007 and Ps. 44,422 million in 2006. The increase in net income in 2008 principally reflected our increase in operating income, which was partially offset by an increase in our exchange losses. The increase in net income in 2007 principally reflected our increased operating income, which was partially offset by an increase in our income tax expense. The increase in net income in 2006 reflects principally the increase in operating income.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations by Geographic Segment**

We discuss below the operating results of our subsidiaries that provide telecommunication services in our principal markets. All amounts discussed below are presented in accordance with Mexican FRS. Note 2(a)(ii) to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this annual report describes how we translate the financial statements of our non-Mexican subsidiaries. Exchange rate changes between the Mexican peso and those currencies affect our reported results in Mexican pesos and the comparability of reported results between periods.

The following table sets forth the exchange rate used to translate the results of our significant non-Mexican operations, as expressed in Mexican pesos per foreign currency unit, and the change from the rate used in the prior year.

| | Mexican pesos per foreign currency unit | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| | 2006 | % Change | 2007 | % Change | 2008 | % Change |
| Guatemalan quetzal | 1.4324 | 1.6% | 1.4239 | (0.6)% | 1.7398 | 22.2% |
| U.S. dollar ⁽¹⁾ | 10.881 | 1.5 | 10.8662 | (0.1) | 13.5383 | 24.6 |
| Brazilian real | 5.0893 | 11.2 | 6.1345 | 20.5 | 5.7930 | (5.6) |
| Colombian peso | 0.0049 | 4.2 | 0.0054 | 10.2 | 0.006 | 11.1 |
| Argentine peso | 3.5535 | 0.5 | 3.4506 | (2.9) | 3.9207 | 13.6 |
| Dominican peso | 0.322 | | 0.316 | (1.9) | 0.382 | 20.8 |

(1) The U.S. dollar is the sole monetary instrument and unit of account and the main currency for transaction purposes in Ecuador and Puerto Rico.

Note 20 to our audited consolidated financial statements includes certain financial information of our operations by country. Except as discussed below, the following discussion is based on the segment data included in that note.

The following table sets forth the number of subscribers and the rate of subscriber growth by geographic segment during the last three years.

| | Number of subscribers (in thousands) as of December 31, ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | % Change | 2007 | % Change | 2008 | % Change |
| Wireless | | | | | | |
| Mexico | 43,190 | 20.3% | 50,011 | 15.8% | 56,371 | 12.7 |
| Brazil | 23,881 | 28.0 | 30,228 | 26.6 | 38,731 | 28.1 |
| Southern Cone ⁽²⁾ | 13,247 | 49.7 | 17,290 | 30.5 | 19,591 | 13.3 |
| Colombia | 19,521 | 41.7 | 22,335 | 14.4 | 27,390 | 22.6 |
| Andean Region ⁽³⁾ | 9,026 | 49.2 | 12,391 | 37.3 | 15,482 | 25.0 |
| Central America ⁽⁴⁾ | 5,875 | 48.9 | 8,157 | 38.8 | 9,158 | 12.3 |
| Dominican Republic ⁽⁵⁾ | 2,140 | | 2,682 | 25.3 | 3,877 | 44.6 |
| Caribbean ⁽⁶⁾ | | | 814 | | 932 | 14.5 |
| United States | 7,897 | 28.7 | 9,514 | 20.5 | 11,192 | 17.6 |
| Total wireless | 124,777 | 33.7 | 153,422 | 23.0 | 182,724 | 19.1 |
| Fixed | | | | | | |
| Central America ⁽⁷⁾ | 2,097 | 5.1 | 2,197 | 4.8 | 2,242 | 2.0 |
| Dominican Republic ⁽⁵⁾ | 734 | | 748 | 1.9 | 772 | 3.1 |
| Caribbean ⁽⁶⁾ | | | 920 | | 833 | (9.5) |
| Total Fixed | 2,831 | 41.8 | 3,866 | 36.5 | 3,846 | (0.5) |
| Total Lines | 127,608 | 33.9 | 157,287 | 23.3 | 186,570 | 18.6 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes total subscribers of all consolidated subsidiaries in which we hold an economic interest.
- (2) Includes Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay.
- (3) Includes Ecuador and Peru .
- (4) Includes El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua.
- (5) We began consolidating our Dominican operations in 2006.
- (6) Includes Puerto Rico and Jamaica. We began consolidating our Puerto Rican and Jamaican operations in 2007.
- (7) Includes El Salvador, Guatemala and Nicaragua.

The table below sets forth the operating revenues and operating income represented by each of our operating segments for the periods indicated.

| | (2008 in millions of pesos, previous years in millions of constant pesos as of December 31, 2007) | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| | Operating Revenues | Operating Income | Operating Revenues | Operating Income | Operating Revenues | Operating Income |
| Mexico ⁽¹⁾ | Ps. 123,716 | Ps. 50,195 | Ps. 144,895 | Ps. 59,160 | Ps. 166,582 | Ps. 60,911 |
| Brazil | 41,048 | (4,315) | 58,304 | 607 | 70,484 | 1,584 |
| Southern Cone ⁽²⁾ | 20,602 | 1,355 | 27,236 | 2,690 | 30,541 | 5,701 |
| Colombia | 22,252 | 4,766 | 29,614 | 7,616 | 32,621 | 10,955 |
| Andean Region ⁽³⁾ | 12,865 | 2,794 | 16,210 | 3,724 | 20,217 | 5,284 |
| Central America ⁽⁴⁾ | 17,115 | 5,105 | 16,917 | 4,697 | 16,051 | 3,029 |
| United States | 14,747 | 758 | 15,603 | 1,503 | 16,545 | 943 |
| Dominican Republic | 986 | 282 | 10,990 | 3,945 | 11,240 | 3,373 |
| Caribbean ⁽⁵⁾ | | | 9,779 | 1,331 | 12,883 | 1,611 |

- (1) Includes our operations in Mexico and our corporate operations and assets.
- (2) Includes our operations in Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay.
- (3) Includes our operations in Ecuador and Peru.
- (4) Includes our operations in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua.
- (5) Includes our operations in Puerto Rico and Jamaica.

Mexico

Operating revenues in Mexico increased by 6.5% in 2008 and by 12.1% in 2007, benefiting from subscriber growth and increases in traffic. Service revenues increased by 6.3% in 2008 and by 12.9% in 2007, reflecting growth in revenues from value-added services, airtime and monthly rent, partially offset by a decrease in interconnection revenues due to lower interconnection fees which were not compensated by volume. Equipment revenues in Mexico in 2008 increased by 7.4% in 2008 and by 8.9% in 2007, principally due to lower handset acquisition costs partially offset by subsidies of 3G handsets for new subscribers. The number of subscribers in Mexico increased by 12.7% in 2008 and by 15.8% in 2007.

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 21.7% in 2008 and by 26.5% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by 7.5% in 2008 and by 5.0% in 2007. If revenues for 2007 had been expressed in nominal pesos, ARPUs would have decreased by 5.4% in 2008. During both years, we lowered the price of some of our services through new commercial plans and promotions, which contributed to the increase in subscribers and MOUs but had a negative impact on ARPUs. In addition, in 2007 and 2008, our ARPUs were negatively affected by lower interconnection rates and an increase in the share of our total traffic represented by data services, such as SMS messaging and other 3G services, which on average generate lower revenues per minute of use than voice services. Reductions in interconnection tariffs also resulted in lower interconnection revenues. The churn rate for our Mexican operations was 3.3% in 2008, 3.4% in 2007 and 3.2% in 2006.

Table of Contents

Operating income increased by 4.6% in 2008 and by 19% in 2007. Our operating margin was 45.8% in 2008 and 46.6% in 2007. The decrease in our operating margin in 2008 is due principally to an increase in our uncollectible accounts and employee profit sharing. While operating revenues increased by 6.5% in 2008, our operating costs and expenses increased by 10.2% in 2008. In 2007, we were able to increase our subscriber base and traffic without significantly increasing (on a proportional basis) our subscriber acquisition and publicity costs. Finally, depreciation and amortization expenses of our Mexican operations decreased as a percentage of its operating revenues, from 6.3% in 2007 to 6.2% in 2008.

For Mexico, the financial information set forth in Note 20 to our audited financial statements includes revenues and costs from group corporate activities, such as licensing fees and group overhead expenses. The discussion above refers to our operating results in Mexico and excludes the results of our group corporate activities.

Brazil

Operating revenues in Brazil increased by 20.9% in 2008 and 42.0% in 2007. The increase in 2008 was primarily attributable to increased traffic and subscriber growth and data revenues. The number of our subscribers in Brazil increased by 8.5 million subscribers in 2008, to approximately 38.7 million subscribers as of December 31, 2008. The 6.0% appreciation of the Brazilian real against the Mexican peso in 2008 also contributed to the increase in operating revenues, as 6.9% of the 20.9% increase in operating revenues was due to the currency effect. The increase in operating revenue in 2007 was primarily attributable to increased traffic and subscriber growth. The 13% appreciation of the Brazilian real against the Mexican peso in 2007 also contributed to the increase in operating revenues in 2007, as more than one-fourth of the increase (12.7% out of 42.0%) was due to currency effects. The number of subscribers increased by 6.3 million subscribers in 2007, to approximately 30.2 million subscribers.

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 20.7% in 2008 and by 2.6% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by 3.1% in 2008 and increased by 17.6% in 2007. Calculated in nominal Brazilian reais, ARPUs decreased by 1.0% in 2008. The increase in average MOUs during 2008 and 2007 as well as the decrease in ARPUs during 2008 reflects the impact on traffic of our lowering of prices through new commercial plans and promotions for our 3G services. The increase in ARPUs during 2007 was primarily attributable to increased traffic and the effect of the appreciation of the Brazilian real against the Mexican peso. Our churn rate was 2.7% in 2008 and 2.0% in 2007.

Operating income increased by 161% in 2008. The increase in 2008 is due primarily to the effect in 2007 of higher depreciation expense resulting from shortening the useful lives of certain TDMA assets. We reported operating income in 2007 after an operating loss in 2006, reflecting primarily improvement in our cost management, in particular with respect to interconnection and collection costs and improvement in the efficiency of our billing efforts. Operating income in 2007 also reflected an increase in depreciation expense of Ps. 2,740 million attributable to shortening the useful lives of certain TDMA assets. Operating margin (2.24% in 2008 and 1.04% in 2007) continues to be affected by a high level of depreciation and amortization expenses relative to revenues due to the significant expenses incurred to deploy networks. Depreciation and amortization expenses represented 21.4% of our operating revenues in 2008 and 23.9% in 2007.

Southern Cone Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay

Our operating revenues in Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay increased by 12.1% in 2008 and 32.2% in 2007. The increase in 2007 and 2008 was attributable primarily to subscriber growth. The number of subscribers increased by 2.3 million subscribers in 2008, to approximately 19.6 million subscribers at year-end. Since 2007, our postpaid subscriber base has grown at a faster rate than our prepaid subscriber base. The currency effects between the Argentine peso and the Mexican peso did not have a significant effect on our operating revenues in 2008.

Table of Contents

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 2.3% in 2008 and decreased by 5.2% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by 4.7% in 2008 and by 3.8% in 2007. Expressed in nominal local currencies, ARPUs increased by 3.6% in 2008. The increase in MOUs in 2008 principally reflected a decrease in prices due to promotions and airtime subsidies including free calls to friends and family. The decline in MOUs and ARPUs in 2007 principally reflected subscriber growth. We also experienced a slight decrease in our churn rate, from 2.5% in 2007 to 2.0% in 2008.

Operating income increased by 112% in 2008. This reflected principally both a significant increase in our operating revenues and a reduction in the commissions payable to our distributors.

Colombia

Operating revenues increased by 10.2% in 2008 and by 33.1% in 2007. The increase in operating revenues in 2008 and 2007 was attributable principally to subscriber growth, increased traffic, the appreciation of the Colombian peso against the Mexican peso and increased revenue from long distance charges. The Colombian peso appreciated 11.9% against the Mexican peso in 2008, and currency appreciation accounted for approximately 6.5% of the increase in revenues during 2008. Also, we began providing long distance services in Colombia in 2008. These factors more than offset a decrease in interconnection tariffs of 50% in Colombia beginning in December 2007. In 2008, the number of subscribers in Colombia increased by 22.6%, to approximately 27.4 million at year-end. In 2007, the number of subscribers in Colombia increased by 14.4%.

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 28.7% in 2008 and by 10.9% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by 4.7% in 2008 and increased by 12.9% in 2007. Calculated in nominal Colombian pesos, ARPUs decreased by 9.0% in 2008. The increase on average MOUs per subscriber in 2008 reflected primarily the reduction in prices for our voice and data services. The decrease in ARPUs during 2008 reflected principally the lowering of our prices for voice and data services through promotions and lower rates. The increase in ARPUs in 2007 reflected principally the appreciation of the Colombian peso against both the U.S. dollar and the Mexican peso. A substantial majority of our subscriber growth in 2008 was attributable to an increase in prepaid customers, which generate on average less minutes of use and revenues than postpaid customers. Our churn rate increased from 2.3% in 2007 to 2.4% in 2008.

Our operating income increased by 43.8% in 2008 and by 59.8% in 2007. Our operating margin was 33.6% in 2008 and 25.7% in 2007. The increase in operating margin during 2008 resulted principally from a reduction in subscriber acquisition costs and the effect in 2007 of higher depreciation expense resulting from shortening the useful lives of certain GSM assets. In Colombia, we generate more traffic to other operators than we receive from them. Operating income in 2007 reflects an increase in depreciation expense of Ps. 3,296 million as a result of the shortening of useful lives and faster depreciation of GSM equipment in certain markets due to the expected migration of customers to 3G technologies.

Andean Region Ecuador and Peru

Operating revenues in Ecuador and Peru increased by 24.7% in 2008 and by 26.0% in 2007. The increase in operating revenues in 2008 and 2007 was attributable principally to subscriber growth and increased traffic. Currency effects contributed to 1.5% of the growth in operating revenues in 2008. In 2008, the number of subscribers increased by 24.9% to approximately 15.5 million at year-end. In 2007, the number of subscribers increased by 37.3%.

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 27.3% in 2008 and 7.8% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by approximately 3.0% in 2008 and decreased by approximately 12.2% in 2007. The decline in ARPUs during 2008 and 2007 reflected principally subscriber growth and a reduction in our rates per minute. Our churn rate decreased from 2.8% in 2007 to 2.4% in 2008.

Table of Contents

Operating income increased by 41.8% in 2008 and by 33.3% in 2007. Our operating margin was 26.1% in 2008 and 23.0% in 2007. The increase in operating margin during 2008 resulted from an increase in revenues, partially offset by a Ps. 136 million income-based payment related to our concession in Ecuador. The increase in operating margin during 2007 resulted principally from a combination of factors, including lower expenses and lower handset acquisition costs.

Central America El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama

Operating revenues in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama decreased by 5.1% in 2008 and by 1.2% in 2007. The decrease reflected principally a decrease in nearly all sources of operating revenue as a result of a decrease in our share of the market. In 2008, the number of wireless subscribers in Central America increased by 12.3%, to 9.1 million at year-end. The number of fixed line subscribers increased by 2.0%, to approximately 2.2 million at year-end. In 2008, wireless services accounted for approximately 52.5% of our operating revenues, and fixed-line and other services for approximately 47.5%, as compared to 50.5% and 49.5%, respectively, in 2007. In March 2009, we began operations and reporting revenues in Panama.

Average MOUs decreased by a 13.4% in 2008 and by 7.3% in 2007. ARPUs decreased by 23.5% in 2008 and by 18.5% in 2007. These declines primarily reflected increased competition for wireless customers in the region.

Operating income decreased by 35.5% in 2008 and by 8.0% in 2007. Operating margin was 18.9% in 2008 and 27.7% in 2007. The decrease in operating income and margin in 2008 reflected principally increased network maintenance costs and acquisition costs related to triple-play. The decrease in operating income and margin in 2007 reflected principally lower revenues and increased subscriber acquisition costs, particularly in equipment subsidies and advertising costs.

United States

Operating revenues in the United States increased by 6.0% in 2008 and 5.8% in 2007. The increase in operating revenues in 2008 and 2007 was attributable principally to subscriber growth and increased traffic. In 2008, the number of TracFone subscribers increased by 17.6% to approximately 11.2 million as of December 31, 2008, and in 2007, the number of TracFone subscribers increased by 20.5%, to approximately 9.5 million as of December 31, 2007.

Average MOUs per subscriber increased by 5.6% in 2008 and increased by 9.1% in 2007. ARPUs declined by approximately 9.0% in 2008 and 10.7% in 2007. The decline in ARPUs in 2008 and 2007 was primarily attributable to the increasing portion of TracFone's traffic that is comprised of digital traffic, which results in lower revenues per minute than analog traffic. The churn rate decreased from 4.6% in 2007 to 3.8% in 2008.

Operating income was Ps. 943 million in 2008 and Ps. 1,503 million in 2007. TracFone's operating margin decreased from 9.6% in 2007 to 5.6% in 2008. The decrease in operating margin principally reflected increased commercial expenses.

Dominican Republic

Operating revenues in the Dominican Republic increased by 2.3% in 2008. The increase reflected principally subscriber growth in the wireless market and improved service promotions. In 2008, the number of wireless subscribers in the Dominican Republic increased by 14.5%. The number of fixed line subscribers increased by 3.1%. In 2008, wireless services accounted for approximately 43.8% of our operating revenues, and fixed-line and other services for approximately 56.2%, as compared to 38.7% and 61.3%, respectively, in 2007.

Average MOUs decreased by a 6.7% in 2008. ARPUs decreased by a 15.5% in 2008. These declines primarily reflected promotions and airtime subsidies and a growing proportion of prepaid subscribers, who generate less revenue per line than postpaid subscribers.

Table of Contents

Operating income decreased by 14.5% in 2008. Operating margin was 30.0% in 2008 and 35.9% in 2007. The decrease in operating income and margin in 2008 reflected principally the growing proportion of our prepaid subscribers, which resulted in increased subscriber acquisition costs, equipment subsidies and customer service expenses.

We began consolidating the results of our operations in the Dominican Republic in December 2006.

Puerto Rico and Jamaica

Operating revenues in Puerto Rico were Ps. 12,737 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, of which Ps. 4,207 million (32.9%) corresponded to wireless services and Ps. 8,561 million (67.1%) corresponded to fixed line services. From April through December 2007, operating revenues in Puerto Rico were Ps. 9,766 million, of which Ps. 3,091 million (31.6%) corresponded to wireless services and Ps. 6,675 million (68.4%) corresponded to fixed line services. During 2008, operating income in Puerto Rico was Ps. 1,846 million, representing a 14.5% margin, as compared to operating income of Ps. 1,347 million in 2007, representing a 13.8% operating margin.

Operating revenues in Jamaica were Ps. 147 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. During 2008, operating loss in Jamaica was Ps. 234 million. During December 2007, operating revenues in Jamaica were Ps. 14 million and operating loss was Ps. 16 million.

We began consolidating the results of our Puerto Rican operations in April 2007. We purchased Oceanic in November 2007, and we started consolidating our Jamaican operations in December 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Principal Uses of Cash

We generate substantial resources from our operations. On a consolidated basis, operating activities provided Ps. 87,464 million in 2008 and Ps. 100,580 million in 2007. Our cash and cash equivalents amounted to Ps. 22,092 million at December 31, 2008, compared to Ps. 11,972 million as of December 31, 2007. We believe that our working capital is sufficient for our present requirements. We use the cash that we generate from our operations primarily for the following purposes:

We must make substantial capital expenditures to continue expanding and improving our networks in each country in which we operate. In 2008 and 2007, we invested approximately Ps. 57,134 million and Ps. 34,622 million respectively, in plant, property and equipment. As of December 31, 2008, we had not disbursed Ps. 30,190 million of our investments in 2008, of which Ps. 29,159 million will be disbursed in 2009 and Ps. 1,031 million will be disbursed in 2010. We have budgeted capital expenditures for 2009 to be approximately U.S.\$ 3 billion (Ps. 40,500 million). See *Capital Expenditures* under Item 4.

During 2008, we spent approximately Ps. 13,737 million to acquire or renew licenses, principally Ps. 8,830 million to acquire additional spectrum in Brazil, Ps. 3,001 million to renew our concession in Ecuador and Ps. 896 million to acquire a license in Panama. The amount we spend on acquisitions and licenses varies significantly from year to year, depending on acquisition opportunities, concession renewal schedules and needs for more spectrum.

We must pay interest on our indebtedness and repay principal when due. As of December 31, 2008, we had Ps. 26,731 million of principal due in 2009, but in February 2009 we paid U.S.\$1,350 million of our U.S.\$2,000 million revolving facility and we have almost no additional maturities for indebtedness denominated in foreign currencies for 2009 and 2010.

If we have resources after meeting our obligations and capital expenditure requirements, we may pay dividends, or repurchase our own shares from time to time. We paid Ps. 8,815 million in dividends in 2008 and Ps. 41,298 million in dividends in 2007, and our shareholders have approved the payment of

Table of Contents

a Ps. 0.30 dividend per share in 2009. Dividends for 2007 included an extraordinary dividend of Ps. 1.0 per share paid in November 2007, for a total of Ps. 35,023 million. We also spent (including commissions and value-added taxes) Ps. 41,633 million repurchasing our own shares in the open market in 2008 and Ps. 12,717 million in 2007. Our shareholders have authorized additional repurchases, and whether we do so will depend on considerations including market price and our other capital requirements. We have made additional repurchases in 2009.

The following table summarizes certain contractual liabilities as of December 31, 2008. Our purchase obligations and approximately 59.8% of our debt described below are denominated in U.S. dollars. The table does not include accounts payable or pension liabilities, and amounts set forth in the table do not include interest and do not give effect to hedging transactions.

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years (in millions) | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| Contractual obligations as of December 31, 2008: | | | | | |
| Equipment leases | Ps. 1,478 | Ps. 764 | Ps. 714 | | |
| Real estate leases | 17,655 | 3,887 | 6,518 | Ps. 5,078 | Ps. 2,172 |
| Short-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 26,731 | 26,731 | | | |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 116,755 | | 36,591 | 13,970 | 66,194 |
| Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾ | 32,440 | 29,329 | 1,371 | 340 | 1,400 |
| Total | Ps. 195,059 | Ps. 60,711 | Ps. 45,194 | Ps. 19,388 | Ps. 69,766 |

(1) Excludes interest payments, as they are set at floating rates.

(2) See discussion below.

Other than the amounts described in the table above, we had no other outstanding material purchase commitments as of December 31, 2008. We enter into a number of supply, advertising and other contracts in the ordinary course of business, but we do not believe that any of those contracts are material to our liquidity.

Under many of our concessions and licenses, we are required to make annual royalty payments in order to continue using such concessions and licenses. These payments are typically calculated as a percentage of gross revenues generated under such concessions and licenses. In the case of the 1900 megahertz spectrum (Band F) concessions in Mexico, however, we are required to pay Ps. 255 million (subject to adjustment for inflation) annually for 20 years in respect of the 10 megahertz acquired during 2005.

We could have opportunities in the future to invest in other telecommunications companies outside Mexico, primarily in Latin America and the Caribbean, because we believe the telecommunications sector in Latin America will continue to undergo consolidation. For example, we may pursue further market consolidation opportunities in Brazil and Argentina depending on their terms and conditions. We can give no assurance as to the extent, timing or cost of such investments. We may also pursue opportunities in other areas in the world. Some of the assets that we acquire may require significant funding for capital expenditures. See the discussion included earlier in this Item 5 under *Overview Effects of Recent Acquisitions* for more information about these transactions.

Borrowings

In addition to funds generated from operations, we have used borrowings to fund acquisitions and capital expenditures and refinance debt. We have relied on a combination of equipment financings, borrowings from international banks and borrowings in the Mexican and international capital markets. Beginning in the second

Table of Contents

half of 2008, with the difficult circumstances in the credit markets, we arranged several equipment financing facilities to further improve our liquidity position. As of the date of this annual report, we have an aggregate of U.S.\$2,170 million in committed undrawn equipment financing facilities from three different sources.

As of December 31, 2008, our total consolidated indebtedness was Ps. 143,486 million, compared to Ps. 104,752 million as of December 31, 2007. Our net debt (total debt minus cash and cash equivalents) at December 31, 2008 increased by 30.8% compared to December 31, 2007. This increase reflects, among other things the significant depreciation of the Mexican peso at the end of 2008.

Without taking into account the effects of derivative instruments that we use to manage our interest rate and currency risk liabilities, approximately 73.6% of our indebtedness at December 31, 2008 was denominated in currencies other than Mexican pesos (approximately 59.8% in U.S. dollars and 13.8% in other currencies, principally in Colombian and Chilean pesos and euros), and approximately 41.1% of our consolidated debt obligations bore interest at floating rates. Of our total debt at December 31, 2008, Ps. 26,731 million (or 18.6%) was classified as short-term.

Our ability to access the international debt capital markets on the terms described below has been helped by the credit rating given to our debt. As of the date of this annual report, our dollar-denominated senior notes are rated A3 by Moody's Investors Service, BBB+(positive outlook) by Standard and Poor's Rating Group and A- by Fitch Ratings. Adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances may, however, cause our ratings to be downgraded. The weighted average cost of all our third-party debt at December 31, 2008 (excluding commissions and reimbursement of certain lenders for Mexican taxes withheld) was approximately 5.7%.

Our major categories of indebtedness at December 31, 2008 are as follows:

U.S. dollar-denominated senior notes. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately U.S.\$ 3.7 billion (Ps.50,517 million) outstanding under several series of U.S. dollar-denominated senior notes issued in the international capital markets between 2004 and 2008:

U.S.\$498 million (Ps. 6,742 million) senior notes due 2009, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 4.125%;

U.S.\$795 million (Ps. 10,763 million) senior notes due 2014, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.500%;

U.S.\$500 million (Ps. 6,769 million) senior notes due 2015, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.750%;

U.S.\$590 million (Ps. 7,989 million) senior notes due 2017, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.625%;

U.S.\$991 million (Ps. 13,421 million) senior notes due 2035, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.375%; and

U.S.\$369 million (Ps. 4,999 million) senior notes due 2037, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.125%.

Mexican- peso denominated senior notes. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately Ps. 13,000 million outstanding under two series of peso-denominated senior notes sold in the international and Mexican capital markets: on October 5, 2005, we issued Ps. 5,000 million in principal amount of 9.0% senior notes due January 2016 and on December 18, 2006 we issued Ps. 8,000 million in principal amount of 8.46% senior notes due 2036. These notes are denominated in Mexican pesos, but all amounts in respect of the notes are payable in U.S. dollars, unless a holder of notes elects to receive payment in Mexican pesos in accordance with certain specified procedures.

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Mexican peso-denominated domestic senior notes (certificados bursátiles). At December 31, 2008, we had Ps. 12,650 million in domestic senior notes that were sold in the Mexican capital markets. These domestic senior notes were issued by us between 2002 and 2008, and have varying maturities, ranging

Table of Contents

from 2009 through 2018. Some bear interest at fixed rates, and others at variable rates based on Cetes (a rate based on the cost of Mexican treasuries) or TIEE (a Mexican interbank rate). Recent issuances of domestic senior notes include:

On April 11, 2007 we issued Ps. 500 million in 5-year floating domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a discount of 6 basis points below TIEE, and mature on April 5, 2012.

On November 1, 2007 we issued Ps. 2,500 million in 3-year floating domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a discount of 10 basis points below TIEE, and mature on October 28, 2010.

On November 1, 2007 we issued Ps. 2,000 million in 10-year fixed rate domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 8.39% per annum, and mature on October 19, 2017.

On March 7, 2008 we issued Ps. 2,500 million in 10-year fixed rate domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 8.11% per annum, and mature on February 22, 2018.

On September 12, 2008 we issued Ps. 3,000 million in 5-year floating domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a spread of 55 basis points over CETES, and mature on September 6, 2013.

On September 12, 2008 we issued Ps. 2,100 million in 5-year UDI denominated equivalent fixed rate domestic senior notes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 4.10% per annum and mature on September 6, 2013.

Mexican peso-denominated commercial paper. At December 31, 2008, we had Ps. 5,500 million in commercial paper that was sold in the Mexican capital markets. These notes bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 8.18% to 8.53%. Maturities of the commercial paper notes range from 28 days to one year. We are not required to reissue the notes upon their maturity.

Bank loans. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately Ps. 43,996 million outstanding under a number of bank facilities bearing interest principally at variable rates based on TIEE or LIBOR. Of that amount, Ps. 27,077 million were obtained under a U.S.\$2 billion revolving syndicated facility that matures in April 2011. Loans under the facility bear interest at LIBOR plus a spread. The syndicated facility limits our ability to incur secured debt, to effect a merger as a result of which the surviving entity would not be América Móvil or Telcel, to sell substantially all of our assets or to sell control of Telcel. The facility does not allow us to impose any restrictions on the ability of Telcel to pay dividends or make distributions to us. In addition, the bank facilities require us to maintain a consolidated ratio of debt to EBITDA not greater than 4.0 to 1.0 and a consolidated ratio of EBITDA to interest expense not less than 2.5 to 1.0.

Equipment financing facilities with support from export development agencies. We have a number of equipment financing facilities, under which export development agencies provide support for financing to purchase exports from their respective countries. These facilities are medium- to long- term, with periodic amortization and interest at a spread over LIBOR/EURIBOR. They are extended to us or to operating subsidiaries, with the guarantee of Telcel. The aggregate amount outstanding under equipment financing facilities at December 31, 2008 was U.S.\$ 607 million (Ps. 8,214 million).

Sale and leasebacks. In 2004 and 2005, Conecel entered into sale and leaseback transactions with respect to a portion of its telephone plant. At December 31, 2008, lease payment obligations under the contract amounted to U.S.\$21.8 million (Ps. 294 million). Payments are due on a monthly basis through 2009 and bear interest at LIBOR plus a spread. Our Nicaraguan and Honduran subsidiaries have also entered into sale and lease back transactions with respect to portions of their telephone plants.

Colombian peso-denominated notes. In 2004, Comcel issued Colombian peso-denominated notes that were sold in the Colombian capital markets in three different series. These notes bear interest at a variable rate based on the Colombian consumer price index rate (IPC) plus a spread, and mature in 2010 and 2013. These notes are guaranteed by América Móvil. In 2006, Comcel issued Colombian

Table of Contents

peso-denominated notes that were sold in the Colombian capital markets. These notes bear interest at a 7.59% fixed rate, and mature in 2016. These notes are not guaranteed by América Móvil. At December 31, 2008, the aggregate principal amount outstanding under these notes was Ps. 5,431 million.

All of the public securities issued by América Móvil in international and Mexican capital markets and amounts due under our syndicated loan facility and export credit facilities are guaranteed by Telcel.

At December 31, 2008, Telcel had, on an unconsolidated basis, unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of approximately Ps. 123,620 million (U.S.\$9,131 million), excluding debt owed to us or our other subsidiaries. This amount represents outstanding obligations of Telcel under guarantees of parent company and subsidiary indebtedness. In addition, at December 31, 2008, our operating subsidiaries other than Telcel had indebtedness of Ps. 16,460 million (U.S.\$1,216 million).

Risk Management

We regularly assess our interest rate and currency exchange exposures in order to determine how to manage the risk associated with these exposures. In Mexico, we have indebtedness denominated in currencies, principally the U.S. dollar, other than the currency of the operating environment. We use derivative instruments to adjust the resulting exchange rate exposures. We do not use derivatives to hedge the exchange rate exposures that arise from having operations in different countries. We also use derivative instruments from time to time to adjust our exposure to variable interest rates or to reduce our costs of financing. Our practices vary from time to time depending on our judgment of the level of risk, expectations as to exchange or interest rate movements and the costs of using derivative instruments. We may stop using derivative instruments or modify our practices at any time. As of December 31, 2008, after taking into account derivative transactions, approximately 26% of our total debt was denominated in U.S. dollars and approximately 42% was subject to floating rates.

As of December 31, 2008, we had the following derivatives positions, with an aggregate fair value of Ps. 3,125 million:

U.S. dollar-Mexican peso cross currency swaps in respect of U.S.\$850 million of our total U.S. dollar-denominated debt. Under these swaps, we have replaced our obligation to make payment in U.S. dollars with an obligation to make payment in Mexican pesos.

U.S. dollar-Mexican peso forwards for a total of U.S.\$2,700 million to hedge our exposure to our U.S. dollar denominated debt.

A Mexican peso-U.S. dollar cross currency swap in respect of Ps.1,000 million of our total Mexican peso variable rate debt. Under this swap we replaced our obligation to make payment in Mexican pesos at a variable rate with an obligation to make payment in U.S. dollars at a variable rate.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2008, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements that require disclosure under applicable SEC regulations.

U.S. GAAP Reconciliation

We had net income under U.S. GAAP of Ps. 54,162 million in 2008, Ps. 55,419 million in 2007 and Ps. 40,639 million in 2006. Compared to Mexican FRS, net income under U.S. GAAP was approximately 9.1% lower in 2008, 5.6% lower in 2007 and 8.7% lower in 2006.

Table of Contents

There are several differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP that affect our net income and stockholders' equity. The most significant differences in their effect on 2008 net income related to the following:

The restatement of the carrying value of property, plant and equipment to reflect the effects of inflation up to December 31, 2007. Under Mexican FRS, in order to reflect the effects of inflation on our imported telephone plant and equipment, we restate its value based on the rate of inflation in the respective country of origin and the prevailing exchange rate at the balance sheet date. The use of this method, which is known as the specific indexation method, is not permitted under U.S. GAAP, and as a result, for purposes of U.S. GAAP, we restate nonmonetary assets based on the Mexican National Consumer Price Index as of December 31, 2007.

The depreciation of previously capitalized interest under U.S. GAAP. Through 2006, we expensed net financing costs on assets under construction under Mexican FRS, whereas for U.S. GAAP purposes, these costs were capitalized in property plant and equipment and depreciated over the lives of the related assets. This difference has resulted in significant reconciliation adjustments between our Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP results. Starting in 2007, Mexican FRS provides for the capitalization of net financing costs on assets under construction, similar to U.S. GAAP. The remaining difference between the two accounting principles is that under Mexican FRS all financing costs (including monetary gains directly associated with borrowings in local currency and foreign currency exchange gains (or losses) in the case of borrowings in foreign currency) can be capitalized, whereas U.S. GAAP permits capitalization of net interest expense in the case of foreign currency denominated debt. In 2008, the reconciliation item corresponds to the reversal of foreign exchange losses related to borrowings denominated in foreign currencies that were capitalized under Mexican FRS, as well as depreciation effects of this difference in prior years.

The presentation of non-controlling interests.

For a discussion of the principal differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, see Note 22 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Use of Estimates in Certain Accounting Policies

In preparing our financial statements, we make estimates concerning a variety of matters. Some of these matters are highly uncertain, and our estimates involve judgments we make based on the information available to us. In the discussion below, we have identified several of these matters for which our financial presentation would be materially affected if either (1) we used different estimates that we could reasonably have used or (2) in the future we change our estimates in response to changes that are reasonably likely to occur.

The discussion addresses only those estimates that we consider most important based on the degree of uncertainty and the likelihood of a material impact if we used a different estimate. There are many other areas in which we use estimates about uncertain matters, but the reasonably likely effect of changed or different estimates is not material to our financial presentation.

Purchase accounting purchase price allocation

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, we made a number of acquisitions applying the acquisition method of accounting. Accounting for the acquisition of a business under the purchase method requires the allocation of the purchase price to the various assets and liabilities of the acquired business. For most assets and liabilities, purchase price allocation is accomplished by recording the asset or liability at its estimated fair value. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving properties, plants and equipment and identifiable intangible assets, such as our licenses and trademarks. We use all available information to make these fair value determinations, including the retention of appraisers to determine the fair value of property and equipment, trademarks and an examination of the market value of licenses with similar characteristics to determine the fair value of licenses.

Table of Contents

Estimated useful lives of plant, property and equipment

We estimate the useful lives of particular classes of plant, property and equipment in order to determine the amount of depreciation expense to be recorded in each period. Depreciation expense is a significant element of our costs and expenses, amounting in 2008 to Ps. 32,677 million, or 13% of our operating costs and expenses. See Note 8 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

We currently depreciate most of our telephone plant and equipment based on an estimated useful life determined upon the expected particular conditions of operations and maintenance in each of the countries in which we operate. The estimates are based on our historical experience with similar assets, anticipated technological changes and other factors, taking into account the practices of other telecommunications companies. We review estimated useful lives each year to determine whether they should be changed, and at times, we have changed them for particular classes of assets. We may shorten the estimated useful life of an asset class in response to technological changes, changes in the market or other developments. This results in increased depreciation expense. For example, during 2007, we shortened the useful life of TDMA and GSM equipment, particularly in Colombia and Brazil, to reflect the expected migration of customers to newer technologies. This change resulted in an increase in our depreciation expense for 2007 of Ps. 5,796 million. See Note 8 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Impairment

When there are indications of impairment in the value of long-lived assets, the recoverable value of the related assets is estimated. The recoverable value of the related assets is defined as the higher of the asset's net selling price or its value in use, which is computed based on discounted cash flows, and in the case of goodwill, as its value in perpetuity. When the net carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable value, the difference is recognized as an impairment loss. In 2008, we recognized an impairment in the value of goodwill of Ps. 537 million, an impairment in the value of our plants, property and equipment of Ps. 113 million and an impairment in the value of our licenses of Ps. 99 million.

Deferred Taxes

We are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves the jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction estimation of actual current tax exposure and the assessment of temporary differences resulting from the differing treatment of certain items, such as accruals and amortization, for tax and financial reporting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheets. We must assess in the course of our tax planning procedures the fiscal year of the reversal of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and if there will be future taxable profits in those periods. We reverse in the current year deferred tax assets and liabilities for timing differences that have been recorded if we anticipate that the future reversal will take place in a tax-loss year. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provisions for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities. The analysis is based on estimates of taxable income in the jurisdictions in which the group operates and the period over which the deferred tax assets and liabilities will be recoverable or settled. If actual results differ from these estimates, or we adjust these estimates in future periods, our financial position and results of operations may be materially affected.

We record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to an amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. In assessing the need for the valuation allowance, we considered future taxable income and ongoing tax planning strategies. In the event that our estimates of projected future taxable income and benefits from tax planning strategies are lowered, or changes in current tax regulations are enacted that would impose restrictions on the timing or extent of our ability to utilize the tax benefits of net operating loss carry-forwards in the future, an adjustment to the recorded amount of net deferred tax assets would be made, with a related charge to income.

Table of Contents

Accruals

Accruals are recorded when, at the end of the period, we have a present obligation as a result of past events, whose settlement requires an outflow of resources that is considered probable and can be measured reliably. This obligation may be legal or constructive, arising from, but not limited to, regulation, contracts, common practice or public commitments, which have created a valid expectation for third parties that we will assume certain responsibilities. The amount recorded is the best estimation performed by our management in respect of the expenditure that will be required to settle the obligations, considering all the information available at the date of our financial statements, including the opinion of external experts, such as legal advisors or consultants. Accruals are adjusted to account for changes in circumstances for ongoing matters and the establishment of additional accruals for new matters.

If we are unable to reliably measure the obligation, no accrual is recorded and information is then presented in the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Because of the inherent uncertainties in this estimation, actual expenditures may be different from the originally estimated amount recognized.

Labor Obligations

We recognize liabilities on our balance sheet and expenses in our income statement to reflect our obligations related to our post-retirement seniority premiums, pension and retirement plans in the countries in which we operate and offer defined contribution and benefit pension plans. The amounts we recognize are determined on an actuarial basis that involves many estimates and accounts for post-retirement and termination benefits in accordance with Mexican FRS.

We use estimates in four specific areas that have a significant effect on these amounts: (a) the rate of return we assume our pension plan will achieve on its investments, (b) the rate of increase in salaries that we assume we will observe in future years, (c) the discount rates that we use to calculate the present value of our future obligations and (d) the expected rate of inflation. The assumptions we have applied are identified in Note 12 (Mexican FRS) and Note 22 (U.S. GAAP) to our audited consolidated financial statements. These estimates are determined based on actuarial studies performed by independent experts using the projected unit-credit method. The latest actuarial computation was prepared as of November 2008. We review the estimates each year, and if we change them, our reported expense for pension costs may increase or decrease according to market conditions.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the failure of our customers, distributors and cellular operators to make required payments. We base these estimates on the individual conditions of each of the markets in which we operate that may impact the collectibility of accounts. In particular, in making these estimates we take into account (i) with respect to accounts with customers, the number of days since the calls were made, (ii) with respect to accounts with distributors, the number of days invoices are overdue and (iii) with respect to accounts with cellular operators, both the number of days since the calls were made and any disputes with respect to such calls. The amount of loss, if any, that we actually experience with respect to these accounts may differ from the amount of the allowance maintained in connection with them.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

MANAGEMENT

Directors

Our Board of Directors has broad authority to manage our company. The Board of Directors is supported by our committees, especially by our Audit Committee, which is comprised by independent members and oversees our corporate practices. In particular, the Board of Directors must approve prior opinion of the competent committee, among others:

our non-ordinary course transactions with related parties;

the use and disposition of the company's assets;

certain material transactions such as (a) transactions not in the ordinary course of business, (b) transactions representing an investment greater than 5% of the company's assets on a consolidated basis and (c) transactions involving guarantees or the incurrence of financial obligations for more than 5% of the company's assets on a consolidated basis;

executive and director compensation;

appoint and discharge our chief executive officer; and

waivers for board members, executives and other persons with influence on the company, to benefit from business opportunities pertaining to the company. The company must publicly disclose any case in which the resolution of the board differs from the opinion of the committee regarding any of these matters.

Additionally, in the event that a person or group of persons intend to acquire an amount of shares equal or exceeding 10% of our voting stock, our Board of Directors' authorization is required. In the event that our Board of Directors rejects the relevant authorization, it shall appoint a substitute acquirer.

Our bylaws provide for the Board of Directors to consist of between five and 21 directors and allow for the appointment of an equal number of alternate directors. Directors need not be shareholders. A majority of our directors and a majority of the alternate directors must be Mexican citizens and elected by Mexican shareholders. A majority of the holders of the AA Shares and A Shares voting together elect a majority of the directors and alternate directors, provided that any holder or group of holders of at least 10% of the total AA Shares and A Shares is entitled to name one director and an alternate director. Two directors and two alternate directors, if any, are elected by a majority vote of the holders of L Shares. Each alternate director may attend meetings of the Board of Directors and vote in the absence of a corresponding director. Directors and alternate directors are elected or ratified at each annual general meeting of shareholders and each annual ordinary special meeting of holders of L Shares, and each serves until a successor is elected and takes office. In accordance with the Mexican Securities Market Law (*Ley del Mercado de Valores*, or the Mexican Securities Market Law), shareholders are required to make a determination as to the independence of our directors, though the CNBV may challenge this determination. Pursuant to our bylaws and the Mexican Securities Market Law, at least 25% of our directors must be independent. In order to have a quorum for a meeting of the Board of Directors, a majority of those present must be Mexican nationals.

All of the current members of the Board of Directors, the Executive Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Investments Committees, as well as the Corporate Secretary and the Corporate Pro-Secretary, were elected or ratified at the annual general shareholders' meeting held on April 20, 2009, with nine directors elected by the AA Shares and A Shares voting together and two directors elected by the L Shares. No alternate directors were appointed.

Table of Contents

Our bylaws provide that the members of the Board of Directors are appointed for terms of one year. Pursuant to Mexican law, members of the Board continue in their positions after the expiration of their terms for up to an additional 30 day period if new members are not appointed. Furthermore, in certain circumstances provided under the Mexican Securities Law, the Board of Directors may appoint temporary directors who then may be ratified or substituted by the shareholders' meetings. The names and positions of the members of the Board elected and ratified at the annual general shareholders' meeting held on April 20, 2009, their year of birth, and information concerning their committee membership and principal business activities outside América Móvil are as follows:

Directors elected by holders of Series AA and Series A Shares:

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Patrick Slim Domit Chairman and Member of the Executive Committee and Investments Committee | Born: First elected: Term expires: Other directorships: | 1969 2004 2010 Director of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V., Impulsora del Desarrollo y el Empleo en América Latina, S.A.B. de C.V. and Alternate Director of Carso Global Telecom, S.A.B. de C.V. and Telmex |
| | Business experience: | Chief Executive Officer of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. and Vice President of Commercial Markets of Telmex |
| Daniel Hajj Aboumrad Director and Member of the Executive Committee and Investments Committee | Born: First elected: Term expires: Principal occupation: Other directorships: | 1966 2000 2010 Chief Executive Officer of América Móvil Director of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V., and Alternate Director of Carso Global Telecom S.A.B. de C.V. |
| | Business experience: | Chief Executive Officer of Hulera Euzkadi, S.A. de C.V. |
| Jaime Chico Pardo ⁽¹⁾ Director | Born: First elected: Term expires: Principal occupation: Other directorships: | 1950 2000 2010 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Carso Global Telecom, S.A.B. de C.V. Co-Chairman of the Board of Directors of Impulsora del Desarrollo y el Empleo en América Latina, S.A.B. de C.V. and Telmex. Director of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. and AT&T, Inc. |
| | Business experience: | Chief Executive Officer of Telmex and Grupo Condumex, President of Corporación Industrial Llantera (Euzkadi General Tire de Mexico) |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|--|
| Alejandro Soberón Kuri Director, Chairman of the Audit Committee and Member of the Investments Committee | Born: 1960 First elected: 2000 Term expires: 2010 Principal occupation: Chief Executive Officer of Corporación Interamericana de Entretenimiento, S.A.B. de C.V. Other directorships: Chairman of Corporación Interamericana de Entretenimiento, S.A.B. de C.V. |
| Carlos Bremer Gutiérrez Director and Member of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Investments Committee | Born: 1960 First elected: 2004 Term expires: 2010 Other directorships: Director of Grupo Financiero Value, S.A. de C.V. Business experience: Chief Operating Officer of Abaco Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V. |
| Rayford Wilkins Director and Member of the Executive Committee and Investments Committee | Born: 1951 First elected: 2005 Term expires: 2009 Principal occupation: Group President AT&T, Inc. Business experience: Various positions in the wireless industry at SBC Group |
| Mike Viola Director | Born: 1954 First elected: 2009 Term expires: 2010 Principal occupation: Senior Vice president Corporate Finance AT&T, Inc. Other directorships: Director of Telmex |
| Ernesto Vega Velasco Director and Member of the Audit Committee | Born: 1937 First elected: 2007 Term expires: 2010 Principal occupation: In retirement. Member of the board of directors and audit, planning and finance and evaluation and compensation committees of certain companies Other directorships: Chairman of the Borad of Directors of Wal-Mart de México, S.A.B. de C.V. and Director of Kuo, S.A.B. de C.V., Dine, S.A.B. de C.V. and Grupo Aeroportuario del Pacífico, S.A.B. de C.V., and Alternate Director of Industrias Peñoles, S.A.B. de C.V. Business experience: Since 1971, various positions in the Desc group, where he was eventually appointed Corporate Vice-president |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Santiago Cosío Pando Director | Born: 1973 First elected: 2008 Term expires: 2010 Principal occupation: President of Grup Pando, S.A. de C.V. Business experience: Various positions in Grupo Pando, S.A. de C.V., Positions in San Luis Corporación, Grupo Condumex, Sanborns, Sears, Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A.B. de C.V. and Actinver |
|----------------------------------|--|

Directors elected by holders of Series L Shares:

| | |
|--|---|
| Pablo Roberto González Guajardo Director and Member of the Compensation Committee and Investments Committee | Born: 1967 First elected: 2007 Term expires: 2010 Principal occupation: Chief Executive Officer of Kimberly Clark de Mexico, S.A.B. de C.V. Other directorships: Member of the Board of Directors of Corporación Scribe, S.A.P.I. de C.V. and Alternate Director of Kimberly Clark de Mexico, S.A.B. de C.V. Business experience: Various positions in the Kimberly Clark Corporation and Kimberly Clark de México, S.A.B. de C.V. |
|--|---|

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| David Ibarra Muñoz Director | Born: 1930 First elected: 2000 Term expires: 2010 Other directorships: Director of Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A.B. de C.V. and Impulsora del Desarrollo y el Empleo en América Latina, S.A.B. de C.V. Alternate Director of Grupo Carso, S.A.B. de C.V. Business experience: Chief Executive Officer of Nacional Financiera, S.N.C., served in the Mexican Ministry of Finance and Public Credit (Secretaría de Hacienda y Crédito Público) |
|--------------------------------|--|

(1) On June 10, 2009, Jaime Chico Pardo resigned from the Board of Directors. Alejandro Cantú Jiménez, our General Counsel, serves as Corporate Secretary and Rafael Robles Miaja as Corporate Pro-Secretary.

Daniel Hajj Aboumrad is the son-in-law of Carlos Slim Helú and brother-in-law of Patrick Slim Domit. Patrick Slim Domit is the son of Carlos Slim Helú.

Executive Committee

Our bylaws provide that the Executive Committee may generally exercise the powers of the Board of Directors, with certain exceptions. In addition, the Board of Directors is required to consult the Executive Committee before deciding on certain matters set forth in the bylaws, and the Executive Committee must provide

Table of Contents

its views within ten calendar days following a request from the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer or the Chairman of the Board of Directors. If the Executive Committee is unable to make a recommendation within ten calendar days or if a majority of the Board of Directors or any other corporate body duly acting within its mandate determines in good faith that action cannot be deferred until the Executive Committee makes a recommendation, the Board of Directors is authorized to act without such recommendation. The Executive Committee may not delegate its powers to special delegates or attorneys-in-fact.

The Executive Committee is elected from among the directors and alternate directors by a majority vote of the holders of common shares (AA Shares and A Shares). The Executive Committee is currently comprised of three members. The majority of its members must be Mexican citizens and elected by Mexican shareholders. Two members of the Executive Committee are named by our Mexican controlling shareholders and one member by AT&T, Inc. (formerly SBC International, Inc.). See Major Shareholders under Item 7. The current members of the Executive Committee are Messrs. Patrick Slim Domit and Daniel Hajj Aboumrada, named by the Mexican controlling shareholders, and Mr. Rayford Wilkins, named by AT&T.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Alejandro Soberón Kuri, chairman, Ernesto Vega Velasco and Carlos Bremer Gutiérrez. The mandate of the Audit Committee is to assist our Board of Directors in overseeing our operations, establish and monitor procedures and controls in order to ensure that the financial information we distribute is useful, appropriate and reliable and accurately reflects our financial position. In particular, the Audit Committee is required to, among other things:

provide opinions to the Board of Directors on certain matters as provided by the Mexican Securities Market Law;

call shareholders meetings and recommend inclusion of matters it deems appropriate on the agenda;

informing the Board of Directors of our internal controls and their adequacy;

select our auditors, review the scope and terms of their engagement, and determine their compensation;

monitor the performance of our auditors and re-evaluate the terms of their engagement;

recommend procedures for preparing financial statements and internal controls;

monitor internal controls and accounting for specified types of matters;

propose procedures for the preparation of financial statements for internal use that are consistent with the published financial statements;

assist the Board of Directors in preparing reports provided by the Mexican Securities Market Law;

discuss with the auditors the annual financial statements and the accounting principles being applied in the annual and the interim financial statements and based on such discussions, recommend their approval to the Board of Directors;

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

resolve disagreements between our management and auditors relating to our financial statements;

request the opinion of independent experts, when deemed appropriate or when required by law;

approve services to be provided by our auditors, or establish policies and procedures for the pre-approval of services by our auditors;

obtain from our auditors an audit report that includes a discussion of critical accounting policies used by the Company, any alternative treatments within generally accepted accounting principles for material items that have been discussed by management with our auditor, and any other written communications between our auditors and management;

report to the Board of Directors on its activities;

Table of Contents

develop procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters, including for the confidential submission of concerns regarding such matters by employees;

evaluation of performance of the external auditors;

review and discussion of the financial statements of the company and advising the board of directors of the committee's recommendations for approval of such financial statements;

the receipt and analysis of recommendations and observations to its functions from shareholders, members of the board of directors and senior management, and the authority to act upon such recommendations and observations; and

perform any other functions the Board of Directors may delegate to the Audit Committee.

In addition, pursuant to our bylaws, the Audit Committee is in charge of our corporate governance functions under the Mexican securities laws and is required to submit an annual report to the Board of Directors with respect to our corporate and audit practices. The Audit Committee shall request opinions of our executive officers for purposes of preparing the annual report. The Board of Directors must seek the opinion of the Audit Committee regarding any transaction with a related party that is outside the ordinary course of our business as defined under the Mexican Securities Market Law. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent, as independence is determined by our shareholders pursuant to the Mexican Securities Market Law and as defined under Rule 10A-3 of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

External Auditor

Our external auditor is Mancera, S.C., a Member Practice of Ernst & Young Global, an independent registered public accounting firm. Pursuant to our bylaws, the external auditor is required to issue a report in connection with our financial statements. The external auditor may be called to participate in meetings of our Board of Directors and may be in attendance at our shareholders' meetings. However, the external auditor shall have no vote and shall not engage in any discussion which may affect his independent status or may create a conflict of interest. The external auditor is responsible for the preparation of the audit report (*dictamen*) of our financial statements. The auditing firm is selected by the Board of Directors based on the opinion of the Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Pablo Roberto González Guajardo and Carlos Bremer Gutiérrez. The mandate of the Compensation Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in evaluating and compensating our senior executives. In particular, the Compensation Committee is required to:

recommend to the Board of Directors procedures for the selection and succession of our chief executive officer and our principal executives;

propose criteria for evaluating executive performance;

analyze the proposals of the chief executive officer concerning the structure and amount of compensation for our senior executive and raise them with the Board of Directors;

review new executive compensation programs and the operations of existing programs;

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

establish contracting practices to avoid excessive payments to executives;

assist the Board of Directors in developing appropriate personnel policies;

participate with the Board of Directors in developing a plan for employees to invest in our L Shares and review the implementation of such plan;

Table of Contents

report to the Board of Directors on its activities; and

perform any other functions the Board of Directors may delegate to the Compensation Committee.

Investments Committee

The Investments Committee consists of Messrs. Patrick Slim Domit, Daniel Hajj Aboumrad, Pablo Roberto Gonzalez Guajardo, Alejandro Soberón Kuri, Carlos Bremer Gutiérrez and Rayford Wilkins. The mandate of the Investments Committee is to analyze, approve and execute the terms and conditions of proposed investments and acquisitions. The Investments Committee has authority to review, approve and execute any and all actions required to consummate proposed investments and acquisitions.

Senior Management

The names, responsibilities and prior business experience of our senior officers are as follows:

| | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Daniel Hajj Aboumrad Chief Executive Officer | Appointed: Business experience: | 2000 Director of Telmex's Mexican subsidiaries, Chief Executive Officer of Compañía Hulera Euzkadi, S.A. de C.V. |
| Carlos José García Moreno Elizondo Chief Financial Officer | Appointed: Business experience: | 2001 General Director of Public Credit at the Secretaría de Hacienda y Crédito Público, Managing Director of UBS Warburg, Associate Director of financing at Petróleos Mexicanos (Pemex) |
| Carlos Cárdenas Blásquez Latin American Operations | Appointed: Business experience: | 2000 Various positions at Telmex, including Operating Manager for the paging service company Buscatel, S.A. de C.V. and Vice-President of operations for Telmex USA, Manager at Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A.B. de C.V. |
| Alejandro Cantú Jiménez General Counsel Chief Executive Officer | Appointed: Business experience: | 2001 Mijares, Angoitia, Cortés y Fuentes, S.C. |

Under our bylaws, the chief executive officer is entrusted with the performance, conduct and execution of our day-to-day business activities. The chief executive officer is responsible for recommending our internal control and internal audit guidelines and presenting business strategies for the approval of the Board of Directors. The chief executive officer is also required to present an annual report to the Board of Directors discussing, among other things:

the operations of the Company in the relevant year, as well as the policies followed and, if applicable, the principal pending projects;

the financial condition of the Company;

the recent results of the Company; and

the changes in the Company's financial condition.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Directors and Senior Management

The aggregate compensation paid to our directors (including compensation paid to members of our Audit Committee) and senior management in 2008 was approximately Ps. 3.4 million and Ps. 31.2 million, respectively. We do not provide pension, retirement or similar benefits to our directors in their capacity as directors. Our executive officers are eligible for retirement and severance benefits required by Mexican law on the same terms as all other employees, and we do not separately set aside, accrue or determine the amount of our costs that is attributable to executive officers.

Share Ownership

According to beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC on March 17, 2009, Carlos Slim Helú holds 433 million of our AA Shares and 396 million of our L Shares directly, and his son and chairman of our Board of Directors, Patrick Slim Domit, holds 444 million of our AA Shares and 516 million of our L Shares directly. In addition, according to beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC, Carlos Slim Helú, together with his sons and daughters, including Patrick Slim Domit, may be deemed to control us through their beneficial ownership held by a trust and another entity and their direct ownership of shares. See [Major Shareholders](#) under Item 7 and [Bylaws-Share Capital](#) under Item 8.

Except as described above, according to the ownership reports of shares or other securities or rights in our shares prepared by our directors and members of senior management and provided to us, none of our directors or executive officers is the beneficial owner of more than 1% of any class of our capital stock. Directors and members of senior management are requested to provide ownership information of Company shares or other securities or rights in our shares on a yearly basis.

Table of Contents**EMPLOYEES**

The following table sets forth the number of employees and a breakdown of employees by main category of activity and geographic location as of the end of each year in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008:

| | December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Number of employees | 39,876 | 49,091 | 52,879 |
| Category of activity: | | | |
| Wireless | 31,047 | 36,389 | 41,365 |
| Fixed | 8,829 | 12,702 | 11,514 |
| Geographic location: | | | |
| Mexico | 12,370 | 14,360 | 16,526 |
| South America | 16,115 | 18,496 | 20,360 |
| Central America | 7,573 | 7,874 | 7,869 |
| Caribbean | 3,287 | 7,823 | 7,530 |
| United States | 531 | 538 | 594 |

As of December 31, 2008, the Progressive Union of Communication and Transport Workers of the Mexican Republic (*Sindicato Progresista de Trabajadores de Comunicación y Transporte de la República Mexicana*) represented approximately 87% of the employees of Telcel. All management positions at Telcel are held by non-union employees. Salaries and certain benefits are renegotiated every year. In March 2009, Telcel and the union agreed to a 4.5% nominal increase in basic wages.

Under our labor agreements and Mexican labor law, we are obligated to pay seniority premiums to retiring employees and pension and death benefits to retired employees. Retirees will be entitled to receive pension increases whenever salary increases are granted to current employees.

Some of our foreign subsidiaries, including our Brazilian subsidiaries, Telgua, ENITEL, CTE, Claro Chile, AMX Argentina and TELPRI, also have active employee unions.

We believe that we have good current relations with our workforce.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions****MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth our capital structure as of April 30, 2009:

| Series | Number of Shares (millions) | Percent of Capital | Percent of Voting Shares(*) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| L Shares (no par value) | 20,709 | 63.0 | |
| AA Shares (no par value) | 11,712 | 35.6 | 96.2 |
| A Shares (no par value) | 464 | 1.4 | 3.8 |
| Total | 32,885 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

(*) Except on limited matters for which L Shares have voting rights.

According to reports of beneficial ownership of our shares filed with the SEC on March 17, 2009, Carlos Slim Helú, together with his sons and daughters (together, the Slim Family), may be deemed to control us through their beneficial ownership held by a trust and another entity and their direct ownership of shares.

Our former controlling shareholder, Amtel, and AT&T Inc., as successors of Carso Global Telecom and SBC International, Inc., respectively, were parties to an agreement relating to their ownership of AA Shares. Among other things, the agreement subjects certain transfers of AA Shares by either party to a right of first offer in favor of the other party, although the right of first offer does not apply to the conversion of AA Shares to L Shares, as permitted by our bylaws, or the subsequent transfer of L Shares. The agreement also provides for the composition of the Board of Directors and the Executive Committee and for each party to enter into a Management Services Agreement with us. According to reports of beneficial ownership of our shares filed with the SEC on May 4, 2007 the Slim Family and a Mexican trust that holds AA Shares for the benefit of the Slim Family (the Control Trust) expect to enter into amendments of the agreement with AT&T pursuant to which the Slim Family and the Control Trust will act as successor to Amtel (except that we do not expect to enter into a Management Services Agreement with the Control Trust or the Slim Family). According to reports of beneficial ownership filed with the SEC, the Slim Family may be deemed to control us through their beneficial ownership of shares held by the Control Trust and Inmobiliaria Carso and their direct ownership of shares. See Directors and Executive Committee under Item 6 and Related Party Transactions under this item 7.

The following table identifies each owner of more than 5% of any series of our shares as of April 30, 2009. Except as described in the table below and the accompanying notes, we are not aware of any holder of more than 5% of any series of our shares. Figures below do not include the total number of L Shares that would be held by each shareholder upon conversion of the maximum number of AA Shares or A Shares, as provided for under our bylaws. See Bylaws Share Capital under Item 10.

| Shareholder | AA Shares | | A Shares | | L Shares | | Percent of Voting Shares(*) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Shares Owned (millions) | Percent of Class | Shares Owned (millions) | Percent of Class | Shares Owned (millions) | Percent of Class | |
| Control Trust ⁽¹⁾ | 5,446 | 46.5 | | | | | 44.7 |
| AT&T Inc. ⁽²⁾ | 2,869 | 24.5 | | | | | 23.4 |
| Inmobiliaria Carso ⁽³⁾ | 696 | 5.9 | | | | | 5.7 |

(*) Except on limited matters for which L Shares have voting rights. Percentage figures for each shareholder are based on the number of shares outstanding as of the date of its most recently filed beneficial ownership report.

(1) Based on beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC on March 17, 2009, the Control Trust is a Mexican trust which directly holds AA Shares for the benefit of the members of the Slim Family. Members of the Slim Family, including

Table of Contents

Carlos Slim Helú, directly own an aggregate of 1,779,218,535 AA Shares and 2,602,886,695 L Shares representing 15.19% and 12.49%, respectively, of each series and 14.59% of the total voting shares. According to such reports, none of these members of the Slim Family individually directly own more than 5% of any of our shares. According to reports of beneficial ownership of shares filed with the SEC on March 17, 2009 the Slim Family may be deemed to control us through their beneficial ownership of shares held by the Control Trust and Inmobiliaria Carso and their direct ownership of shares. Percentage figures are based on the number of shares outstanding as of the date of the most recently filed beneficial ownership report.

- (2) Based on beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC on June 20, 2008. In accordance with Mexican law and our bylaws, AT&T holds its AA Shares through a Mexican trust. See Bylaws Limitations on Share Ownership under Item 10. Percentage figures are based on the number of shares outstanding as of the date of the most recently filed beneficial ownership report.
- (3) Inmobiliaria Carso, S.A. de C.V. is a *sociedad anónima de capital variable* organized under the laws of Mexico. Inmobiliaria Carso is a real estate holding company. The Slim Family beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, a majority of the outstanding voting equity securities of Inmobiliaria Carso. The Slim Family may be deemed to control us through their beneficial ownership held by the Control Trust and Inmobiliaria Carso and their direct ownership of shares. Percentage figures are based on the number of shares outstanding as of the date of the most recently filed beneficial ownership report.

As of April 30, 2009, 58.7% of the outstanding L Shares were represented by L Share ADSs, each representing the right to receive 20 L Shares, and 1.69% of the L Share ADSs were held by 11,626 registered holders with addresses in the United States. As of such date, 32.1% of the A Shares were held in the form of A Share ADSs, each representing the right to receive 20 A Shares, and 20.5% of the A Share ADSs were held by 5,452 registered holders with addresses in the United States. Each A Share may be exchanged at the option of the holder for one L Share.

We may repurchase our shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange from time to time up to a specified maximum aggregate value authorized by the holders of AA Shares and A Shares. As of December 31, 2008, we had been authorized by our shareholders to repurchase shares with an aggregate value of up to Ps. 105,000 million, and to date during 2009 we have been authorized to repurchase an additional Ps. 20,000 million. As of April 30, 2009, we had repurchased 8,448 million L Shares and 31 million A Shares, with an aggregate value of approximately Ps. 96,870 million (not including applicable commissions and taxes).

Table of Contents

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Transactions with Telmex, Telmex Internacional and subsidiaries

We have, and expect to continue to have, a variety of contractual relationships with Telmex, Telmex Internacional and their subsidiaries, including some of their international subsidiaries.

According to beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC, Telmex and Telmex Internacional may be deemed to be under common control with us. Telmex is a nationwide provider of fixed-line telephony in Mexico. Telmex Internacional offers voice, data and Internet services in Brazil, Chile, Argentina, Peru, Colombia and Ecuador; pay cable and satellite television in some of these countries; and print and Internet-based yellow pages directories in Mexico, the United States, Argentina and Peru.

Continuing Commercial Relationships

Because both we, on the one hand, and Telmex or Telemex Internacional, on the other hand, provide telecommunications services in some of the same geographical markets, we have extensive operational relationships. These relationships include interconnection between their respective networks; use of facilities, particularly for the co-location of equipment on premises owned by Telmex; use of their private circuits; the provision of long distance services to our customers; and use by each of the services provided by the other. These relationships are subject to a variety of different agreements, and the most significant of these relationships are between Telcel and Telmex in Mexico and between our Brazilian subsidiaries and Embratel, a subsidiary of Telmex Internacional that provides fixed-line telecommunication services, in Brazil. Many of them are also subject to specific regulations governing telecommunications services. The terms of these agreements are generally similar to those on which each company does business with unaffiliated parties.

These operational relationships are material to our financial performance. In 2008, Ps. 19,372 million of our total operating revenues were attributable to interconnection with Telmex and its subsidiaries, primarily representing payments under the calling party pays system arising from fixed-to-mobile calls. We had Ps. 704 million in accounts receivable from Telmex and certain of its subsidiaries, and accounts payable of Ps. 499 million to Embratel at December 31, 2008. Also in 2008, Ps. 7,049 million of our cost of services was attributable to payments to Telmex and its subsidiaries, primarily representing interconnection payments for long-distance calls carried by Telmex or its subsidiaries and use of facilities under leases and collocation agreements with Telmex or its subsidiaries.

Other Commercial Relationships

In 2006, Telmex Perú, a subsidiary of Telmex Internacional, and América Móvil Perú, entered into a turnkey fiber optic network construction agreement in order to jointly build a fiber optic network along the coast of Peru of 2,823 kilometers for approximately U.S.\$43 million. The construction was awarded through a private bidding process to our affiliates Carso Infraestructura y Construcción, S.A. de C.V. (CICSA) and Grupo Condomex, S.A. de C.V. We expect the project to conclude before the end of the second quarter of 2009. As of April 30, 2009, 90% of the value of the agreement has been paid by the parties.

In 2005, Telmex Argentina, a subsidiary of Telmex Internacional, and AMX Argentina agreed to jointly install a network of fiber optic trunk lines in Argentina of approximately 1,943 kilometers in length. The project was completed in 2008 at an approximate cost of U.S.\$24 million.

In 2008, AMX Argentina began the construction of approximately 3,100 kilometers of fiber optic transmission lines in southern Argentina. The construction work and cable are valued at U.S.\$38.5. Once the work is finalized, we expect that AMX Argentina will enter into a 30-year license for use agreement with Telmex Argentina.

Table of Contents

In 2007, Conecel began building a fiber optic network in Ecuador of approximately 1,200 kilometers. The project will be completed in different phases. The project entails the construction of the network by CICSA pursuant to an agreement between CICSA and Conecel. The total value of the agreement amounts to U.S.\$19 million. As of April 30, 2009, Conecel has paid CICSA fees amounting to U.S.\$12 million. We expect the project to conclude by the end of 2009.

In November 2005, Embratel entered into an agreement with Claro Participações to provide backbone network capacity to our operating subsidiaries in Brazil for a period of 20 years. Pursuant to this agreement our subsidiaries in Brazil are required to pay Embratel a monthly fee that ranges between R\$4.0 million and R\$6.0 million, depending on the capacity provided under the agreement.

In the ordinary course of business, our subsidiaries in Brazil lease real property from Embratel. The aggregate amount of consideration paid for these leases is approximately R\$14.3 million on an annual basis. We may, from time to time, lease additional real estate from Embratel. In addition, Embratel leases real property from our subsidiaries in Brazil. The aggregate amount of annual payments received by our subsidiaries under the leases is R\$19.6 million.

Our subsidiary, Claro Chile and Telmex Chile, a subsidiary of Telmex International, entered into an agreement for the provision of capacity and infrastructure by Telmex Chile for a period of 20 years. Pursuant to the agreement, Claro Chile pays a monthly disbursement of U.S.\$17.5 million (Ps. 190.0 million). The amount recorded in the results of operations as of December 31, 2008 for this agreement was U.S.\$ 218 million.

Telmex distributes Telcel handsets and prepaid cards on commercial terms, and Embratel provides call center services to the operating subsidiaries of Claro Participações.

The terms of these agreements are generally similar to those on which each company does business with unaffiliated parties.

Other Transactions

From time to time, we make investments together with affiliated companies and sell or buy investments to or from affiliated companies. We have pursued joint investments in the telecommunications industry with Telmex.

Transactions with Other Affiliates

We have an agreement to receive consulting services from AT&T. During 2009, we will pay U.S.\$7.5 million to AT&T in compensation for its services. In 2008, we paid U.S.\$7.5 million to AT&T in compensation for its services. During each of 2007 and 2006, we paid U.S.\$7.5 million, and \$1 million, respectively, to AT&T for its services. We have agreements with AT&T International that provide for AT&T International completing our international calls to the United States and for our completing AT&T International's calls from the United States.

Prior to our merger with AMTEL, we had a management services agreement with AMTEL, pursuant to which we paid AMTEL U.S.\$28.5 million in 2006.

Telcel purchases materials or services from a variety of companies that, according to beneficial ownership reports filed with the SEC, are under common control with us. These services include insurance and banking services provided by Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A.B. de C.V. and its subsidiaries. In addition, we sell products in Mexico through the Sanborns and Sears store chains. Telcel purchases these materials and services on terms no less favorable than it could obtain from unaffiliated parties, and would have access to other sources if our affiliates ceased to provide them on competitive terms.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, we donated our 19.7% interest in U.S. Commercial Corp., S.A.B. de C.V., a Mexican holding company that delisted its shares from the Mexican Stock Exchange earlier in 2007 and the parent company of CompUSA, Inc., to Fundación Carso, S.A. de C.V., a charitable organization organized by members of the Slim Family. Subsequently, U.S. Commercial Corp. sold 100% of the shares of CompUSA, Inc. to an unaffiliated third party. The Slim Family, our controlling shareholder, also controls U.S. Commercial Corp. In 2007, we wrote-off the remaining value of our investment in U.S. Commercial Corp. (Ps. 1,363 million).

Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this annual report provides additional information about our related party transactions.

Table of Contents**Item 8. Financial Information**

See Item 18 Financial Statements and pages F-1 through F-82.

DIVIDENDS

We have paid cash dividends on our shares each year since 2001. The table below sets forth the nominal amount of dividends paid per share in each year indicated, in pesos and translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate on each of the respective payment dates.

| Year ended December 31, | Pesos per Share | | Dollars per Share | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------|
| 2008 | Ps. | 0.26 | U.S.\$ | 0.0192 |
| 2007 | | 1.20 | | 0.1104 |
| 2006 | | 0.10 | | 0.0091 |

The declaration, amount and payment of dividends by América Móvil is determined by majority vote of the holders of AA Shares and A Shares, generally on the recommendation of the Board of Directors, and depends on our results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by the holders of AA Shares and A Shares. In April 2006, our shareholders authorized a dividend of Ps. 0.10 per share, which was paid in a single installment in July 2006. In April 2007, our shareholders authorized a dividend of Ps. 0.20 per share, which was paid in a single installment in July 2007. In October 2007, our shareholders approved an extraordinary dividend of Ps. 1.0 per share, which was paid in a single installment in November 2007. In April 2008, our shareholders authorized a dividend of Ps. 0.26 per share, which was paid in a single installment in July 2008. In April 2009, our shareholders authorized a dividend of Ps. 0.30 per share, payable in a single installment in July 2009. These dividends were or will be paid on each series of our shares.

Our bylaws provide that holders of AA Shares, A Shares and L Shares participate on a per-share basis in dividend payments and other distributions, subject to certain preferential dividend rights of holders of L Shares. See [Bylaws Dividend Rights](#) and [Bylaws Preferential Rights of L Shares](#) under Item 10.

Table of Contents

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In each of the countries in which we conduct operations, we are party to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. These proceedings include tax, labor, antitrust, contractual matters and administrative and judicial proceedings concerning regulatory matters such as interconnection and tariffs. See Note 16 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this annual report.

Our concessions are generally subject to early termination for violations of their terms, including certain service, quality, coverage standards and certain interconnection obligations. We are also party to a number of proceedings regarding our compliance with administrative rules and regulations and concession standards. As of the date of this annual report, we believe that none of these proceedings is likely to result in the revocation of any of our material concessions.

Below is a summary of the most significant legal proceedings in which we are currently involved.

América Móvil

NatTel

The plaintiff, NatTel, LLC (*NatTel*) sued us and others in a Connecticut state court in the United States based on an August 2007 transaction in which we purchased shares of Oceanic from ODC St. Lucia, a subsidiary of Oceanic Digital Communications, Ltd. (*ODC*), in which NatTel is a minority shareholder. Under the agreement governing the transaction, the parties placed approximately U.S.\$15 million (approximately Ps. 203.1 million) in escrow with the Bank of New York, and the remaining purchase payments paid certain inter-company debt owed by Oceanic to the majority shareholders in ODC SAC Capital Associates, LLC and SAC Capital Advisors (collectively, *SAC*).

In the Connecticut action, NatTel alleges that the entire transaction was intended to deprive NatTel of its fair share of the sales proceeds, and structured so that SAC received the entire proceeds of the sale. NatTel seeks, among other claims, an order that it receive the amount placed in escrow. On February 7, 2008, we filed a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficient service. The motion principally argues that we do not have sufficient contacts with Connecticut to support the state court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over us. We believe we have several other meritorious defenses to NatTel's claims.

Concurrently with the Connecticut action, NatTel also initiated an adversary proceeding in connection with its bankruptcy case in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut against many of the parties in the Connecticut action, including us. The adversary proceeding contains the same allegations as the Connecticut action.

After the filing of the adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court, defendants, excluding us, filed a motion in the District Court for the District of Connecticut to withdraw the reference of the adversary proceeding, and send those proceedings to the District Court judge who had previously decided a related case against NatTel.

In April 2008, the parties to the Connecticut action agreed to stay the action pending a decision by the District Court on the motion to withdraw. As of the date of this annual report, the District Court has yet to decide on the motion to withdraw. Accordingly, the Connecticut action remains stayed.

We have not made provisions in our financial statements for this potential liability.

Cempresa

In May or June of 2008, plaintiffs Centro Empresarial Cempresa, S.A. and Conecel Holding Limited, filed a suit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York against numerous defendants including us and certain of our

Table of Contents

affiliates, subsidiaries and two members of our Board of Directors, asserting breach of contract, fraud, fraudulent inducement, unjust enrichment and a claim for accounting. The plaintiffs sold a majority of their shares in our Ecuadorian subsidiary Conecel, to a subsidiary of Telmex in 2000. Telmex's holdings in Conecel were included in our spin-off from Telmex in 2000 and remain held by one of our subsidiaries. The plaintiffs kept a minority of the shares of Conecel.

The plaintiffs assert that one of their exit strategies with respect to the minority shares was a right to negotiate for an exchange of those shares for ours. The plaintiffs contend in the lawsuit that the defendants wrongfully deprived them of a share exchange, and they seek the alleged value of our shares they claim they would have received, which the plaintiffs assert amounts to over U.S.\$900 million (approximately Ps. 12,186 million). Plaintiffs also seek punitive damages. The plaintiffs additionally assert that the defendants purposefully misrepresented the value of the plaintiffs' minority shares to try to prevent a share exchange. In 2003, the plaintiffs voluntarily sold their minority shares to the defendants, executing comprehensive releases as part of the transactions.

The defendants filed a motion to dismiss asserting numerous defenses, including statute of limitations, release, lack of damages, lack of personal jurisdiction over certain defendants, and the inability to add to a contract cause of action the fraud causes of action. In December 2008, the trial court denied the motion to dismiss and the defendants appealed. The appellate court stayed the case in the trial court. The appeal is fully briefed and oral argument took place in April 2009.

The defendants believe they have numerous meritorious defenses to the plaintiffs' claims. In addition to the defenses contained in the motion to dismiss that are issues on appeal, the defendants do not believe that our spin-off from Telmex triggered the share exchange provision. Moreover, the defendants argue that a plain reading of the provision relating to the potential exchange of shares provides no right to a share exchange, but instead only a right to a good faith negotiation for a period of 20 days, for a potential share exchange.

We have not made provisions in our financial statements for this potential liability.

Telcel

Cofeco Substantial market power investigations

The Mexican competition commission Cofeco is conducting two substantial market power investigations into certain competitive conditions in the mobile telecommunications market. The first of these, which commenced in December 2007, is a Cofeco-initiated investigation into whether one or more cellular operators have substantial market power in the market for termination (interconnection) of calls made as part of the local, national and international calling party pays system. Cofeco has issued a preliminary report (*dictamen preliminar*) finding that each operator, including Telcel, has substantial market power in the market for interconnection to its own network.

The second Cofeco investigation is into whether Telcel has substantial market power in the nationwide market for local voice and data services. This investigation commenced in April 2008, and was initiated by an alleged Telcel subscriber, who in reality is an employee and agent for Telefónica Móviles Mexican subsidiary, one of our main competitors. In this investigation, Cofeco has issued a preliminary report (*dictamen preliminar*) finding that Telcel has substantial market power.

Interested parties have the opportunity to submit information for Cofeco's review before it issues a final report. Telcel has provided extensive information to Cofeco in both investigations, and we cannot predict when Cofeco will issue final reports or whether it will modify its preliminary findings. Depending on the final reports, we and other operators will be entitled to seek administrative review (*recurso administrativo de reconsideración*) and may also bring judicial challenges.

Table of Contents

Under the Federal Antitrust Law and the Mexican Federal Telecommunications Law, if Cofeco makes a final finding of substantial market power concerning an operator, Cofetel can impose on that operator specific regulations with respect to tariffs, quality of service and information. We cannot predict what regulatory steps might be taken in response to determinations by Cofeco.

Cofeco Monopolistic practices investigations

Cofeco is currently conducting four separate administrative proceedings against Telcel for alleged monopolistic practices. The first two concern alleged actions by certain distributors of Telcel in relation to the purchase and sale of cellular phones to third parties. The third proceeding involves certain exclusivity agreements with various content providers. In each of these investigations, Cofeco has determined that Telcel engaged in anti-competitive behavior, and it has imposed fines totaling Ps. 6.7 million in the aggregate and ordered that the alleged behaviors terminate immediately. Telcel has challenged Cofeco's determinations and fines in the courts and no final ruling has been issued. The fourth proceeding concerning alleged practices in the interconnection market is still in the investigation stage and remains pending.

Adverse determinations against Telcel in any of these proceedings could result in material fines, penalties or restrictions on our operations. We have not made provisions in our financial statements for these potential liabilities since at the time our most recent financial statements were published, the amount of the possible contingency could not be reasonably estimated.

Interconnection Fees

There has been extensive controversy in Mexico concerning the interconnection fees payable by fixed-line operators to mobile operators on fixed-to-mobile calls for periods beginning 2005. The history and current status of these proceedings is discussed under Information of the Company Mexican Operations Regulation Interconnection Fees under Item 4.

February 2009 Interconnection Plan

In February 2009, Cofetel published a Fundamental Technical Plan of Interconnection and Inter-operability (*Plan Técnico Fundamental de Interconexión e Interoperabilidad*). A description of the Plan and our legal challenges to the Plan is included under Information of the Company Mexican Operations Regulation February 2009 Interconnection Plan under Item 4.

Short Message Services (SMS)

Under the terms of its concessions for the 850 megahertz spectrum, Telcel must pay to the Mexican federal government a royalty based on gross revenues from concessioned services. The royalty is levied at rates that vary from region to region and average approximately 6%.

Telcel believes that short message services are value-added services, which are not concessioned services, and that revenues from short message services should not be subject to this royalty.

In related proceedings, Cofetel has ruled that short text messages are subject to the interconnection regulatory regime and that such services do not constitute value-added services. Telcel is currently disputing these issues in an administrative proceeding, but has made provisions in its financial statements for this potential liability. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel had provisioned Ps. 455.3 million.

Trademarks Tax Assessments

On March 3, 2006, the Mexican Tax Administration Service (*Servicio de Administración Tributaria*, or SAT) notified Telcel of an assessment of Ps. 281.7 million (Ps. 155.8 million plus adjustments, fines and late

Table of Contents

fees) as a result of a tax deduction made by Telcel in 2003 of Ps. 1,267.7 million in connection with royalty payments made to another of our subsidiaries for the use of certain trademarks. In June 2007, the SAT notified us of an additional assessment of Ps.541.5 million (Ps.258.5 million plus adjustments, fines and late fees) as a result of a tax deduction made by us in 2003 in connection with the royalty payments. We and Telcel believe that these deductions were made in accordance with applicable law and have challenged the validity of these assessments.

In December 2007, the SAT notified Telcel of a new assessment of Ps.453.6 million (Ps.243.6 million plus adjustments, fines and late fees) in connection with a deduction of advertising expenses made by Telcel in 2004 in the amount of Ps.1,678.6 million. The SAT is challenging the validity of this deduction, alleging that the deduction is unfounded because Telcel is already paying a royalty for the use of the trademarks. Telcel believes that the SAT's argument is unfounded and has challenged the assessment in court.

Based on these assessments, we expect that the SAT will challenge deductions made during 2005, 2006 and 2007 for royalty payments and/or expenses associated with the trademarks. We have not made specific provisions in our financial statements for these potential liabilities.

Comcel

Voice over IP

In March 2000, the SIC issued Resolution No. 4954, requiring Comcel to pay a fine of approximately U.S.\$100 thousand (approximately Ps.1.3 million) for alleged anti-competitive behavior. In addition to this administrative fine, the SIC ordered Comcel to pay damages to other long distance operators. The long distance operators estimated their damages to be U.S.\$70 million (approximately Ps. 947.8 million). Comcel requested an administrative review of the damages decision, which was denied in June 2000. Comcel appealed, and the appeal was rejected in November 2000. Comcel resubmitted the appeal in February 2001. Comcel also filed a special action in court challenging the denial of the administrative review.

Following a series of court proceedings, a Colombian appeals court in June 2002 ordered that Comcel's February 2001 appeal be granted and that the administrative decision against Comcel be reviewed. After additional proceedings, the Constitutional Court revoked the June 2002 decision and ordered the continuance of the procedure for the determination of damages to the other operators.

In January 2008, SIC determined that Comcel was required to pay the long distance operators approximately U.S.\$1.8 million (approximately Ps. 24.4 million) (which represents a reduction of approximately 95% of the original amount claimed by the long distance operators). In February 2008, Comcel appealed the SIC's resolution on the grounds that Comcel had not caused any damage nor it incurred in any liability. In June 2009, Comcel lost its appeal and was ordered to pay U.S.\$1.8 million, as determined by the SIC.

We have made provisions in our financial statements with respect to this liability.

Distributors

In February 2007, Comcel was notified of an arbitration proceeding initiated against it by Tecnoquímicas, S.A., which was a distributor of prepaid cards of Comcel until July 2006. In the proceeding, the distributor alleges breach of contract and commercial liability on the part of Comcel. Claimant seeks to recover approximately U.S.\$35 million (approximately Ps. 473.9 million) from Comcel. We have made provisions in our financial statements with respect to these potential liabilities.

Dominant position

In March 2009, the CRT issued a series of resolutions stating that Comcel has a dominant position in Colombia's market for outgoing mobile services. Under Colombian law, a market participant is considered to

Table of Contents

have a dominant position in a specified market if the regulators determine that it has the capacity to control the conditions in that market. The CRT made its determination based on Comcel's traffic, revenues and subscriber base. The resolutions also included regulations that would require Comcel to charge rates (excluding access fees) for mobile-to-mobile calls outside the Comcel network (off net) that are no higher than the fees charged for mobile-to-mobile calls within the Comcel network (on net). CRT would monitor the rates by reviewing Comcel's average revenue per minute on a quarterly basis. The resolutions did not present a timetable for implementation of the regulations. In April 2009, Comcel filed a request for review of the resolutions (*recurso de reposición*) with the CRT. We expect that the CRT will respond to our request in the third quarter of 2009. We cannot predict how these matters will be resolved. However, if the regulations are implemented in their current form, we do not expect them to have a material impact on our business and results of operations in Colombia.

Brazil (Claro Participações)***Anatel Inflation-Related Adjustments***

Anatel has challenged each of Tess, S.A., or Tess, and ATL-Telecom Leste, S.A., or ATL, regarding the calculation of inflation-related adjustments due under these companies' concession agreements with Anatel. 40% of the concession price under each of these agreements was due upon execution and 60% was due in three equal annual installments (subject to inflation-related adjustments and interest) beginning in 1999. Both companies have made these concession payments, but Anatel has rejected the companies' calculation of the inflation-related adjustments and requested payment of the alleged shortfalls.

The companies have filed declaratory and consignment actions in Brazilian courts seeking resolution of the disputes. The court of first instance ruled against ATL's filing for declaratory action in October 2001 and ATL's filing for consignment action in September 2002. Subsequently, ATL filed appeals which are pending. The court of first instance ruled against Tess' filing for consignment action in June 2003 and against Tess' filing for declaratory action in February 2009. Subsequently, Tess filed an appeal which is pending. In December 2008, Anatel charged Tess approximately U.S.\$160 million (approximately Ps. 2,166.4 million). Tess filed an appeal and consequently payment has been suspended until the final ruling is issued. ATL was not charged yet of any payment.

The aggregate contested amounts are approximately U.S.\$240 million (including potential penalties and interest) (approximately Ps. 3,249.6 million). Both ATL and Tess subsequently merged into our Brazilian subsidiaries.

We have made specific provisions in our financial statements for these potential liabilities.

BNDESPar

Prior to the acquisition of Telet and Americhel by Telecom Americas, BNDESPar, a subsidiary of BNDES, the Brazilian development bank, had entered into investment and other shareholder agreements with Americhel, Telet and certain of their significant shareholders. Under these agreements, BNDESPar had the right, among others, to participate in the sale of shares of Telet and Americhel in the event of certain transfers of control, for so long as BNDESPar held 5% of the share of capital in those companies. In October 2003, Telecom Americas increased the capital of each of Telet and Americhel and BNDESPar's ownership fell below 5% from approximately 20% in each, as it elected not to exercise its preemptive rights. Subsequently, BNDESPar sent official notices to Telet and Americhel reserving its rights under the agreements with respect to certain past transfers of shares. In November 2004, BNDESPar filed a lawsuit with the competent court of Rio de Janeiro claiming that BNDESPar is entitled to sell its shares in Telet and Americhel to Telecom Americas for approximately U.S.\$164 million (approximately Ps.2,220 million). We do not believe that BNDESPar has valid grounds for its claims against Telecom Americas. We cannot provide assurance, however, that Telecom Americas will ultimately prevail in this dispute. We have not made specific provisions in our financial statements for this potential liability.

Table of Contents

Lune Patent Case

A Brazilian company claims that wireless operators in Brazil have infringed its patent over certain caller ID technology. The plaintiff first brought a patent infringement case in a state court in Brasília against Americhel and later brought cases, as part of two separate proceedings, against other 23 defendants. Although the Company believes that the patent does not cover the technology that is used by Americhel to provide caller ID services, Americhel lost the case at the trial level and on first appeal. After the judgment against Americhel was rendered, a federal court in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, rendered a preliminary injunction decision suspending the effects of the patent, in an action filed by a supplier of caller ID technology. This injunction was later upheld on appeal, and the proceeding for judicial review on the merits of the validity of the patent is in its initial stages.

Americhel filed three special appeals against the decision of the state court in Brasília, seeking review at the Superior Court of Justice (which is the highest court in Brazil to decide on questions of federal law) and Supreme Court (the highest court in Brazil to decide on questions of constitutional law). The Court of Appeals has determined that two of Americhel's special appeals will be heard by the Superior Court of Justice. Americhel's request for a special appeal before the Supreme Court was denied. Americhel filed a motion requesting the reversal of this decision which is still pending.

The cases against the other operators are currently suspended as a result of the preliminary injunction suspending the effects of the patent. The plaintiff has brought these cases to the same state trial court that heard the case against Americhel, but the defendants have requested that the cases be remitted to another court on jurisdictional grounds. The Americhel judgment does not bind other state courts or federal courts of Brazil. The Company does not expect that there will be a resolution of these other cases within this year.

In the case against Americhel, the plaintiff has requested the court to initiate the necessary proceedings for the execution of judgment. The court has estimated that the award for damages could amount to as much as approximately U.S.\$270 million (approximately Ps.3,655.8 million). In September 2006, the Higher Court of Justice of Brazil unanimously ruled to stay the trial, due to the injunction suspending the validity of the patent in question. The Company expects that the trial will remain stayed as long as the patent remains suspended. Furthermore, Americhel benefits from a limited contractual indemnity from its equipment suppliers (Nortel Networks) in respect of trademark infringement. The process remains suspended by the Superior Court of Justice. The Company has not made specific provisions in its financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Tax Assessments against Americhel

In December 2005, the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service (*Secretaria da Receita Federal do Brasil* or the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service) issued three tax assessments against Americhel in respect of withholding income taxes and PIS and COFINS taxes (contributions levied on gross revenue) for 2000 through 2005. The total amount of the tax assessments is of approximately R\$224.2 million (approximately Ps.1,298.1 million), including R\$88.8 million (approximately Ps. 514.2 million) of taxes and contributions plus fines and interest. The Company has challenged these assessments, and its challenge is pending before the Conselho de Contribuintes (Brazilian Taxpayers Council) in Brasilia. The Company did not make any specific provisions in its financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Tax Assessments against ATL

In March 2006, the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service issued two tax assessments against ATL (which subsequently merged into our Brazilian subsidiaries) in respect of certain tax credits claimed by ATL and derived from non-cumulative contributions levied on gross income (PIS and COFINS). Under the Brazilian tax legislation, the calculation and payment of PIS and COFINS has two different regimes, the cumulative and non-cumulative regimes. The applicability of a regime depends on the nature of the company and its business sector. The cumulative regime applies to revenues derived from the provision of telecommunications services, while the sale of handsets is taxed under the non-cumulative regime. The non-cumulative regime is based on the

Table of Contents

value-added concept and allows the taxpayer to claim tax credits corresponding to preceding transactions. ATL (as well as other of the Company's Brazilian subsidiaries) offsets the tax credit derived from the non-cumulative regime for the sale of handsets (the balance between the purchase and the sale of handsets), against contributions owed under the cumulative regime. The Brazilian Federal Revenue Service is arguing that tax credits derived from the non-cumulative regime may not be used to offset contributions owed under the cumulative regime. The total amount of the tax assessments is approximately R\$54.9 million (approximately Ps.317.9 million), including R\$24.1 million (approximately Ps.139.5 million) of taxes and contributions plus R\$30.8 million (approximately Ps.178.3 million) of fines and interest. We have challenged these assessments, and the challenge is pending before the Brazilian Taxpayers Council.

We did not make any specific provisions in our financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Conecel

Tax Assessments

During 2008, Conecel filed administrative proceedings before the Ecuadorian Revenue Services (*Servicio de Rentas Internas de Ecuador*, or the SRI) challenging U.S.\$127 million (approximately Ps. 1,719.6 million) of certain tax assessments notified by the SRI amounting to U.S.\$138 million (not including interest and penalties) (approximately Ps. 1,868.5 million) which related to special consumption (ICE), value-added, income and withholding taxes for the years 2003 to 2006. In March 2008, Conecel paid to the SRI U.S.\$14.3 million (approximately Ps. 193.6 million) in respect of the aforesaid tax assessments.

In December 2008, the SRI notified Conecel of a resolution that denied the challenges filed by Conecel against the tax assessments. As a result of the foregoing, on January 15, 2009, Conecel filed a lawsuit before the Tax Court in Guayaquil (*Tribunal Fiscal Distrital de Guayaquil*) challenging the tax assessments, attaching a bank guarantee of U.S.\$12.7 (approximately Ps. 172 million), which represented 10% of the contested amount.

Table of Contents**Item 9. The Offer and Listing****TRADING MARKETS**

Our shares and ADSs are listed or quoted on the following markets:

| | |
|--------------|--|
| L Shares | Mexican Stock Exchange Mexico City |
| | Mercado de Valores Latinoamericanos en Euros |
| | (LATIBEX) Madrid |
| L Share ADSs | New York Stock Exchange New York |
| | FWB Frankfurter Wertpapierbörse Frankfurt |
| A Shares | Mexican Stock Exchange Mexico City |
| A Share ADSs | NASDAQ National Market New York |

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the reported high and low sales prices for the L Shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange and the reported high and low sales prices for the L Share ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. Prices for all periods have been adjusted to reflect the three-for-one stock split effected in July 2005, but have not been restated in constant currency units.

| | Mexican Stock Exchange | | NYSE | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--------------|
| | High (pesos per L Share) | Low | High (U.S. dollars per L Share ADS) | Low |
| Annual highs and lows | | | | |
| 2004 | Ps. 9.54 | Ps. 5.09 | U.S.\$ 16.66 | U.S.\$ 8.99 |
| 2005 | 16.15 | 8.65 | 29.54 | 15.21 |
| 2006 | 24.13 | 15.21 | 44.40 | 27.00 |
| 2007 | 36.09 | 22.85 | 66.93 | 40.89 |
| 2008 | 35.09 | 16.29 | 66.75 | 23.63 |
| Quarterly highs and lows | | | | |
| 2007: | | | | |
| First quarter | Ps. 25.70 | Ps. 22.85 | U.S.\$ 47.11 | U.S.\$ 40.89 |
| Second quarter | 33.64 | 26.59 | 62.62 | 48.32 |
| Third quarter | 34.56 | 28.96 | 64.25 | 52.07 |
| Fourth quarter | 36.09 | 30.58 | 66.93 | 55.85 |
| 2008: | | | | |
| First quarter | Ps. 34.35 | Ps. 26.66 | U.S.\$ 64.10 | U.S.\$ 52.70 |
| Second quarter | 35.09 | 26.89 | 66.75 | 52.25 |
| Third quarter | 27.26 | 23.45 | 53.23 | 43.01 |
| Fourth quarter | 25.54 | 16.29 | 46.71 | 23.63 |
| Monthly highs and lows | | | | |
| 2008: | | | | |
| December | Ps. 22.10 | Ps. 18.99 | U.S.\$ 33.80 | U.S.\$ 28.10 |
| 2009: | | | | |
| January | Ps. 22.90 | Ps. 19.01 | U.S.\$ 34.12 | U.S.\$ 27.10 |
| February | 22.35 | 19.05 | 31.44 | 25.30 |
| March | 21.79 | 18.32 | 30.31 | 23.66 |
| April | 23.11 | 19.51 | 33.88 | 27.88 |
| May | 25.78 | 23.36 | 38.69 | 34.44 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Source: Bloomberg, June 2009. Information may differ from information presented in prior years.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the reported high and low sales prices for the A Shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange and the high and low bid prices for A Share ADSs published by NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., or NASDAQ. Bid prices published by NASDAQ for the A Share ADSs are inter-dealer quotations and may not reflect actual transactions. Prices for all periods have been adjusted to reflect the three-for-one stock split effected in July 2005, but have not been restated in constant currency units.

| | Mexican Stock Exchange | | NASDAQ | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--------------|
| | High (pesos per A Share) | Low | High (U.S. dollars per A Share ADS) | Low |
| Annual highs and lows | | | | |
| 2004 | Ps. 9.49 | Ps. 5.00 | U.S.\$ 16.53 | U.S.\$ 8.89 |
| 2005 | 16.16 | 8.74 | 29.48 | 15.09 |
| 2006 | 24.09 | 15.15 | 44.38 | 26.80 |
| 2007 | 35.94 | 22.81 | 66.95 | 40.88 |
| 2008 | 35.50 | 16.00 | 66.40 | 24.03 |
| Quarterly highs and lows | | | | |
| 2007: | | | | |
| First quarter | Ps. 25.74 | Ps. 22.81 | U.S.\$ 46.95 | U.S.\$ 40.88 |
| Second quarter | 33.61 | 26.52 | 62.47 | 48.30 |
| Third quarter | 34.68 | 29.58 | 64.16 | 51.57 |
| Fourth quarter | 35.94 | 30.80 | 66.95 | 55.71 |
| 2008: | | | | |
| First quarter | Ps. 34.70 | Ps. 26.80 | U.S.\$ 64.00 | U.S.\$ 52.31 |
| Second quarter | 35.50 | 27.00 | 66.40 | 52.15 |
| Third quarter | 27.23 | 24.10 | 53.17 | 43.03 |
| Fourth quarter | 25.35 | 16.00 | 46.50 | 24.03 |
| Monthly highs and lows | | | | |
| 2008: | | | | |
| December | Ps. 22.21 | Ps. 19.41 | U.S.\$ 33.80 | U.S.\$ 27.86 |
| 2009: | | | | |
| January | Ps. 22.47 | Ps. 18.64 | U.S.\$ 34.84 | U.S.\$ 27.39 |
| February | 21.90 | 19.00 | 31.22 | 25.19 |
| March | 21.50 | 18.40 | 30.36 | 23.44 |
| April | 23.00 | 19.00 | 33.73 | 27.89 |
| May | 25.70 | 23.30 | 39.00 | 34.37 |

Source: Bloomberg, June 2009. Information may differ from information presented in prior years.

Table of Contents

TRADING ON THE MEXICAN STOCK EXCHANGE

The Mexican Stock Exchange, located in Mexico City, is the only stock exchange in Mexico. Founded in 1907, it is organized as a corporation and operates under a concession from the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit (*Secretaría de Hacienda y Crédito Público*, or SHCP). Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange takes place principally through automated systems between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Mexico City time, each business day. The Mexican Stock Exchange operates a system of automatic suspension of trading in shares of a particular issuer as a means of controlling excessive price volatility, but under current regulations this system does not apply to securities such as the A Shares or the L Shares that are directly or indirectly (for example, through ADSs) quoted on a stock exchange (including for these purposes NASDAQ) outside Mexico.

Settlement is effected three business days after a share transaction on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Deferred settlement, even by mutual agreement, is not permitted without the approval of the CNBV. Most securities traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange, including ours, are on deposit with S.D. Indeval Institución para el Depósito de Valores, S.A. de C.V., a privately owned securities depository that acts as a clearinghouse for Mexican Stock Exchange transactions.

Item 10. Additional Information

BYLAWS

Set forth below is a brief summary of certain significant provisions of our bylaws and Mexican law. This description does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to our bylaws, which have been filed as an exhibit to this annual report. For a description of the provisions of our bylaws relating to our Board of Directors, Executive and Audit Committees and External Auditor, see Item 6 Directors, Senior Management and Employees.

Organization and Register

América Móvil is a *sociedad anónima bursátil de capital variable* organized in Mexico under the Mexican General Corporations Law and the Mexican Securities Market Law. It was registered in the Public Registry of Commerce of Mexico City on October 13, 2000 under the number 263,770.

Share Capital

Our capital stock comprises AA Shares, without par value, A Shares, without par value and L Shares, without par value. All of the outstanding shares are fully paid and non-assessable.

AA Shares and A Shares have full voting rights. Holders of L Shares may vote only in limited circumstances as described under Voting Rights under this Item 10. The rights of holders of all series of capital stock are identical except for the voting rights and the limitations on non-Mexican ownership of AA Shares. The AA Shares, which must always represent at least 51% of the combined AA Shares and A Shares, may be owned only by holders that qualify as Mexican investors as defined in the Foreign Investment Law (*Ley de Inversión Extranjera*) and our bylaws. See Limitations on Share Ownership under this Item 10.

Each AA Share or A Share may be exchanged at the option of the holder for one L Share, provided that the AA Shares may never represent less than 20% of our outstanding capital stock or less than 51% of our combined AA Shares and A Shares.

Table of Contents

Voting Rights

Each AA Share and A Share entitles the holder thereof to one vote at any meeting of our shareholders. Each L Share entitles the holder to one vote at any meeting at which holders of L Shares are entitled to vote. Holders of L Shares are entitled to vote only to elect two members of the Board of Directors and the corresponding alternate directors and on the following matters:

the transformation of América Móvil from one type of company to another;

any merger of América Móvil;

the extension of our corporate life;

our voluntary dissolution;

a change in our corporate purpose;

transaction that represent 20% or more of the Company's consolidated assets;

a change in our state of incorporation;

removal of our shares from listing on the Mexican Stock Exchange or any foreign stock exchange; and

any action that would prejudice the rights of holders of L Shares.

A resolution on any of the specified matters requires the affirmative vote of both a majority of all outstanding shares and a majority of the AA Shares and the A Shares voting together.

Under Mexican law, holders of shares of any series are also entitled to vote as a class on any action that would prejudice the rights of holders of shares of such series, and a holder of shares of such series would be entitled to judicial relief against any such action taken without such a vote. There are no other procedures for determining whether a proposed shareholder action requires a class vote, and Mexican law does not provide extensive guidance on the criteria to be applied in making such a determination.

Shareholders Meetings

General shareholders meetings may be ordinary meetings or extraordinary meetings. Extraordinary general meetings are those called to consider certain matters specified in Article 182 of the Mexican General Corporations Law, including, principally, amendments of the bylaws, liquidation, merger and transformation from one type of company to another, as well as to consider the removal of our shares from listing on the Mexican Stock Exchange or any foreign stock exchange. General meetings called to consider all other matters are ordinary meetings. The two directors elected by the holders of L Shares are elected at a special meeting of holders of L Shares. All other matters on which holders of L Shares are entitled to vote would be considered at an extraordinary general meeting.

A special meeting of the holders of L Shares must be held each year for the election or ratification of directors. An ordinary general meeting of the holders of AA Shares and A Shares must be held each year to consider the approval of the financial statements for the preceding fiscal year, to elect or ratify directors and to determine the allocation of the profits of the preceding year. An ordinary general shareholder meeting of all

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

shareholders, including holders of L Shares, must be held to consider the approval of all transactions that represent 20% or more of our consolidated assets within the corresponding immediately preceding quarter of any fiscal year.

The quorum for an ordinary general meeting of the AA Shares and A Shares is 50% of such shares, and action may be taken by a majority of the shares present. If a quorum is not available, a second meeting may be called at which action may be taken by a majority of the AA Shares and A Shares present, regardless of the number of such shares. Special meetings of holders of L Shares are governed by the same rules applicable to ordinary general meetings of holders of AA Shares and A Shares. The quorum for an extraordinary general

Table of Contents

meeting at which holders of L Shares may not vote is 75% of the AA Shares and A Shares, and the quorum for an extraordinary general meeting at which holders of L Shares are entitled to vote is 75% of the outstanding capital stock. If a quorum is not available in either case, a second meeting may be called and action may be taken, provided a majority of the shares entitled to vote is present. Whether on first or second call, actions at an extraordinary general meeting may be taken by a majority vote of the AA Shares and A Shares outstanding and, on matters which holders of L Shares are entitled to vote, a majority vote of all the capital stock.

Holders of 20% of our outstanding capital stock may have any shareholder action set aside by filing a complaint with a court of law within 15 days after the close of the meeting at which such action was taken and showing that the challenged action violates Mexican law or our bylaws. In addition, any holder of our capital stock may bring an action at any time within five years challenging any shareholder action. Relief under these provisions is only available to holders:

who were entitled to vote on, or whose rights as shareholders were adversely affected by, the challenged shareholder action; and

whose shares were not represented when the action was taken or, if represented, were voted against it.

Shareholders' meetings may be called by the Board of Directors, its chairman, its corporate secretary, the President of the Audit Committee or a court. The Chairman of the Board of Directors or the President of the Audit Committee may be required to call a meeting of shareholders by the holders or 10% of the outstanding capital stock. Notice of meetings must be published in the Official Gazette or a newspaper of general circulation in Mexico City at least 15 days prior to the meeting.

In order to attend a meeting, shareholders are required to deposit their shares at the office of our corporate secretary with a Mexican or foreign banking institution or with a Mexican exchange broker. The depositary for the L Share ADSs and the A Share ADSs does not satisfy this requirement, so ADS holders are not entitled to attend shareholder meetings. However, ADS holders may still vote through the depositary.

Dividend Rights

At the annual ordinary general meeting of holders of AA Shares and A Shares, the Board of Directors submits our financial statements for the previous fiscal year, together with a report thereon by the Board, to the holders of AA Shares and A Shares for approval. The holders of AA Shares and A Shares, once they have approved the financial statements, determine the allocation of our net profits for the preceding year. They are required by law to allocate 5% of such net profits to a legal reserve, which is not thereafter available for distribution except as a stock dividend, until the amount of the legal reserve equals 20% of our capital stock. The remainder of net profits is available for distribution.

All shares outstanding at the time a dividend or other distribution is declared are entitled to participate in such dividend or other distribution, subject to certain preferential rights of the L Shares. See "Preferential Rights of L Shares" under this Item 10.

Preferential Rights of L Shares

Holders of L Shares are entitled to receive a cumulative preferred annual dividend of 0.00042 pesos per share before any dividends are payable in respect of any other class of América Móvil capital stock. If we pay dividends with respect to any fiscal year in addition to the L Share preferred dividend, such dividends must be allocated:

first, to the payment of dividends with respect to the A Share and AA Shares, in an equal amount per share, up to the amount of the L Share preferred dividend, and

second, to the payment of dividends with respect to all classes of América Móvil shares such that the dividend per share is equal.

Table of Contents

Upon our liquidation, holders of L Shares will be entitled to a liquidation preference equal to:

accrued but unpaid L Share preferred dividends, plus

0.00833 pesos per share (representing the capital attributable to such shares as set forth in our bylaws) before any distribution is made in respect of our other capital stock in accordance with Article 113 of the Mexican General Corporations Law.

Following payment in full of any such amount, holders of AA Shares and A Shares are entitled to receive, if available, an amount per share equal to the liquidation preference paid per L Share. Following payment in full of the foregoing amounts, all shareholders share equally, on a per share basis, in any remaining amounts payable in respect of our capital stock.

Limitation on Capital Increases

Our bylaws require that any capital increase be represented by new shares of each series in proportion to the number of shares of each series outstanding.

Preemptive Rights

In the event of a capital increase, except in certain circumstances such as mergers, convertible debentures, public offers and placement of repurchased shares, a holder of existing shares of a given series has a preferential right to subscribe for a sufficient number of shares of the same series to maintain the holder's existing proportionate holdings of shares of that series. Preemptive rights must be exercised within the next 15 calendar days following the publication of notice of the capital increase in the Official Gazette and a newspaper of general circulation in Mexico City. Under Mexican law, preemptive rights cannot be traded separately from the corresponding shares that give rise to such rights. As a result, there is no trading market for the rights in connection with a capital increase. Holders of ADSs may exercise preemptive rights only through the depository. We are not required to take steps that may be necessary to make this possible.

Limitations on Share Ownership

Our bylaws provide that at least 20% of our capital stock must consist of AA Shares. Our bylaws also provide that A Shares and L Shares together cannot represent more than 80% of our capital stock. AA Shares can only be held or acquired by:

Mexican citizens;

Mexican corporations whose capital stock is held completely by Mexican citizens;

Mexican corporations in which at least 51% of their capital stock may only be held or acquired by (i) Mexican citizens or (ii) Mexican corporations;

Mexican credit and insurance companies;

Mexican investment companies operating under the Investment Companies Law (*Ley de Sociedades de Inversión*) and Mexican institutional investors as defined in the Mexican Securities Market Law; and

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Trusts expressly permitted to acquire AA Shares in accordance with Mexican law and in which (i) the majority of the trustee s rights are held by Mexican citizens, corporations whose capital stock is held by Mexican citizens in its majority, and Mexican credit, insurance and investment companies, or (ii) the AA Shares controlled by the trust represent a minority of the outstanding AA Shares and are voted in the same manner as the majority of the outstanding AA Shares.

If foreign governments or states acquire our AA Shares, such shares would immediately be rendered without effect or value.

Table of Contents

Non-Mexican investors cannot hold AA Shares except through trusts that effectively neutralize their votes. AT&T, Inc., one of our shareholders, holds its AA Shares through a trust that has been approved by relevant authorities in Mexico for this purpose.

Restrictions on Certain Transactions

Our bylaws provide that any transfer of more than 10% of the combined A Shares and AA Shares, effected in one or more transactions by any person or group of persons acting in concert, requires prior approval by our Board of Directors. If the Board of Directors denies such approval, however, Mexican law and our bylaws require it to designate an alternate transferee, who must pay market price for the shares as quoted on the Mexican Stock Exchange.

Further, the ordinary shareholders meeting shall approve all transactions that represent 20% or more of our consolidated assets within the corresponding immediately preceding quarter of any fiscal year.

Restrictions on Deregistration in Mexico

Our shares are registered with the National Securities Registry maintained by the CNBV, as required under the Mexican Securities Market Law and regulations issued by the CNBV.

If we wish to cancel our registration, or if it is cancelled by the CNBV, we are required to conduct a public offer to purchase all the outstanding shares prior to such cancellation. Such offer shall be addressed exclusively to those persons other than the members of the controlling group of shareholders, who were shareholders or holders of other securities representing such shares (i) as of the date set forth by the CNBV, if the registration is cancelled by resolution thereof, or (ii) as of the date of the resolution adopted by the general extraordinary shareholders meeting, if the registration is cancelled voluntarily.

Our bylaws provide that if, after the public offer is concluded, there are still outstanding shares held by the general public, América Móvil will be required to create a trust for a period of six months, into which we will be required to contribute funds in an amount sufficient to purchase, at the same price as the offer price, the number of outstanding shares held by the general public that did not consent to the offer.

Unless the CNBV authorizes otherwise, upon the prior approval of the Board of Directors which must take into account the opinion of the audit committee, the offer price will be the higher of: (i) the average of the closing price during the previous 30 days on which the shares may have been quoted, or (ii) the book value of the shares in accordance with the most recent quarterly report submitted to the CNBV and to the Mexican Stock Exchange.

The voluntary cancellation of the registration shall be subject to (i) the prior authorization of the CNBV, and (ii) the authorization of not less than 95% of the outstanding capital stock in a general extraordinary shareholders meeting.

Tender Offer Rules

Our bylaws provide that any purchasers or group of purchasers that obtain or increase a significant participation (*i.e.*, 30% or more) in the capital stock of the company, without conducting a previous public offer in accordance with the applicable rules issued by the CNBV, would not have the right to exercise the corporate rights of their shares, and that the company will not register such shares in the share registry book.

Other Provisions

Variable capital. We are permitted to issue shares constituting fixed capital and shares constituting variable capital. All of our outstanding shares of capital stock constitute fixed capital. The issuance of variable-capital shares, unlike the issuance of fixed-capital shares, does not require an amendment of the bylaws, although it does require a majority vote of the AA Shares and the A Shares.

Table of Contents

Forfeiture of shares. As required by Mexican law, our bylaws provide that any alien who at the time of incorporation or at any time thereafter acquires an interest or participation in the capital of the corporation shall be considered, by virtue thereof, as Mexican in respect thereof and shall be deemed to have agreed not to invoke the protection of his own government, under penalty, in case of breach of such agreement, of forfeiture to the nation of such interest or participation. Under this provision a non-Mexican shareholder is deemed to have agreed not to invoke the protection of his own government by asking such government to interpose a diplomatic claim against the Mexican government with respect to the shareholder's rights as a shareholder, but is not deemed to have waived any other rights it may have, including any rights under the U.S. securities laws, with respect to its investment in América Móvil. If the shareholder invokes such governmental protection in violation of this agreement, its shares could be forfeited to the Mexican government. Mexican law requires that such a provision be included in the bylaws of all Mexican corporations unless such bylaws prohibit ownership of shares by non-Mexican persons.

Exclusive jurisdiction. Our bylaws provide that legal actions relating to the execution, interpretation or performance of the bylaws shall be brought only in Mexican courts.

Duration. América Móvil's existence under the bylaws continues indefinitely.

Purchase of our own shares. According to the bylaws, we may repurchase our shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange at any time at the then prevailing market price. Any such repurchase must conform to guidelines established by the Board of Directors, and the amount available to repurchase shares must be approved by the general ordinary shareholders meeting. The economic and voting rights corresponding to repurchased shares may not be exercised during the period in which we own such shares, and such shares are not deemed to be outstanding for purposes of calculating any quorum or vote at any shareholders' meeting during such period.

Conflict of interest. A shareholder that votes on a business transaction in which its interest conflicts with América Móvil's may be liable for damages, but only if the transaction would not have been approved without its vote.

Appraisal rights. Whenever the shareholders approve a change of corporate purposes, change of nationality of the corporation or transformation from one type of company to another, any shareholder entitled to vote on such change that has voted against it may withdraw from América Móvil and receive the book value attributable to its shares, provided it exercises its right within 15 days following the adjournment of the meeting at which the change was approved.

Rights of Shareholders

The protections afforded to minority shareholders under Mexican law are different from those in the United States and many other jurisdictions. The substantive law concerning fiduciary duties of directors has not been the subject of extensive judicial interpretation in Mexico, unlike many states in the United States where duties of care and loyalty elaborated by judicial decisions help to shape the rights of minority shareholders. Mexican civil procedure does not contemplate class actions, which permit shareholders in U.S. courts to bring actions on behalf of other shareholders. Shareholders cannot challenge corporate action taken at a shareholders' meeting unless they meet certain procedural requirements, as described above under Shareholders' Meetings.

As a result of these factors, in practice it may be more difficult for our minority shareholders to enforce rights against us or our directors or controlling shareholders than it would be for shareholders of a U.S. company.

In addition, under the U.S. securities laws, as a foreign private issuer we are exempt from certain rules that apply to domestic U.S. issuers with equity securities registered under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the proxy solicitation rules and the rules requiring disclosure of share ownership by directors, officers and certain shareholders. We are also exempt from the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE and

Table of Contents

NASDAQ, except that since July 2005 we are subject to the requirements concerning audit committees and independent directors adopted pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For a comparison of our corporate governance policies and the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE and NASDAQ, see [Corporate Governance](#) under this Item 10.

Enforceability of Civil Liabilities

América Móvil is organized under the laws of Mexico, and most of our directors, officers and controlling persons reside outside the United States. In addition, all or a substantial portion of our assets and their assets are located in Mexico. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States on such persons. It may also be difficult to enforce against them, either inside or outside the United States, judgments obtained against them in U.S. courts, or to enforce in U.S. courts judgments obtained against them in courts in jurisdictions outside the United States, in any action based on civil liabilities under the U.S. federal securities laws. There is doubt as to the enforceability against such persons in Mexico, whether in original actions or in actions to enforce judgments of U.S. courts, of liabilities based solely on the U.S. federal securities laws.

CERTAIN CONTRACTS

Telcel holds concessions granted by the SCT with respect to its licenses in each of the nine regions in Mexico. See [Mexican Operations Regulation](#) under Item 4. A number of our subsidiaries and affiliates also hold concessions granted by regulatory authorities in the countries in which they operate. See [Mexican Operations](#), [Non-Mexican Operations](#), [Telecom Americas](#) and [Other Investments](#) under Item 4.

Our agreements with related parties are described in [Related Party Transactions](#) under Item 7.

EXCHANGE CONTROLS

Mexico has had a free market for foreign exchange since 1991, and the government has allowed the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar since December 1994. There can be no assurance that the government will maintain its current foreign exchange policies. See [Exchange Rates](#) under Item 3.

TAXATION

The following summary contains a description of certain Mexican federal and U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of L Shares, A Shares, L Share ADSs or A Share ADSs, but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase, hold or sell shares or ADSs.

The Convention for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion and the Protocols thereto between the United States and Mexico entered into force on January 1, 1994 and has been amended by an additional protocol that entered into force on July 3, 2003 (together, the [Tax Treaty](#)). The United States and Mexico have also entered into an agreement concerning the exchange of information with respect to tax matters.

This discussion does not constitute, and should not be considered as, legal or tax advice to holders. The discussion is for general information purposes only and is based upon the federal tax laws of Mexico (including the Mexican Income Tax Law and the Mexican Federal Tax Code) and the United States as in effect on the date of this annual report (including the [Tax Treaty](#)), which are subject to change, and such changes may have retroactive effect. Holders of shares or ADSs should consult their own tax advisers as to the Mexican, U.S. or other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of shares or ADSs, including, in particular, the effect of any foreign, state or local tax laws.

Table of Contents

Mexican Tax Considerations

The following is a general summary of the principal consequences under the Mexican Income Tax Law (*Ley del Impuesto sobre la Renta*, or the Mexican Income Tax Law) and rules and regulations thereunder, as currently in effect, of an investment in shares or ADSs by a holder that is not a resident of Mexico and that will not hold shares or ADSs or a beneficial interest therein in connection with the conduct of a trade or business through a permanent establishment in Mexico (a nonresident holder).

For purposes of Mexican taxation, the definition of residence is highly technical and residence arises in several situations. Generally, an individual is a resident of Mexico if he or she has established his or her home or center of vital interests in Mexico, and a corporation is considered a resident if it has its place of effective management in Mexico. However, any determination of residence should take into account the particular situation of each person or legal entity.

If a legal entity or an individual is deemed to have a permanent establishment in Mexico for Mexican tax purposes, all income attributable to that permanent establishment will be subject to Mexican income taxes, in accordance with applicable tax laws.

This summary does not address all of the Mexican tax consequences that may be applicable to specific holders of the shares (including a holder that controls the Company, an investor that holds 10% or more of the shares or holders that constitute a group of persons for purposes of Mexican law). It also does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all the Mexican tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase, own or dispose of the shares. In particular, this summary does not describe any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, locality, municipality or taxing jurisdiction other than certain federal laws of Mexico.

Tax Treaties

Provisions of the Tax Treaty that may affect the taxation of certain U.S. holders (as defined below) are summarized below.

The Mexican Income Tax Law has established procedural requirements for a nonresident holder disposing of his shares to be entitled to the benefits under any of the tax treaties to which Mexico is a party. These procedural requirements include among others the obligation to (i) prove tax treaty residence, (ii) present tax calculations made by authorized certified public accountants, and (iii) appoint representatives in Mexico for taxation purposes.

Payment of Dividends

Dividends, either in cash or in kind, paid with respect to the L Shares, A Shares, L Share ADSs or A Share ADSs will not be subject to Mexican withholding tax.

Taxation of Dispositions

Under current Mexican law and regulations, there is no basis for the Mexican tax authorities to impose taxes on income realized by a nonresident holder from a disposition of shares or ADSs which are registered in the Mexican Stock Exchange, provided that (i) the transaction is carried out through (a) the Mexican Stock Exchange, (b) other securities exchanges or markets approved by the SHCP, or (c) other securities exchanges or markets with ample securities trading that are located in countries with which Mexico has entered into an income tax treaty, such as the NYSE, the *FWB Frankfurter Wertpapierbörse*, NASDAQ and the *Mercado de Valores Latinoamericanos en Euros* (LATIBEX), and (ii) certain other requirements are met, including that the acquisition was made pursuant to a non-restricted open market offer. Sales or other dispositions of shares or ADSs carried out in other circumstances generally are subject to Mexican tax, except to the extent that a nonresident holder is eligible for benefits under an income tax treaty to which Mexico is a party.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Tax Treaty, gains realized by a U.S. resident which is eligible to receive benefits pursuant to the Tax Treaty from the sale or other disposition of shares, even if the sale or disposition is not carried out under the circumstances described in the preceding paragraphs, will not be subject to Mexican income tax, provided that the gains are not attributable to a permanent establishment or a fixed base in Mexico, and further provided that such U.S. holder owned less than 25% of the shares representing our capital stock (including ADSs), directly or indirectly, during the 12-month period preceding such disposition. U.S. residents should consult their own tax advisors as to their possible eligibility under the treaty.

Gains realized by other nonresident holders that are eligible to receive benefits pursuant to other income tax treaties to which Mexico is a party may be exempt from Mexican income tax in whole or in part. Non-U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors as to their possible eligibility under such treaties.

If a corporation is a resident in a tax haven (as defined by the Mexican Income Tax Law), the applicable rate will be 40% on the gross income obtained.

Other Mexican Taxes

Under certain circumstances, a nonresident holder will not be liable for estate, inheritance or similar taxes with respect to its holdings of shares or ADSs; provided, however, that gratuitous transfers of shares may in certain circumstances result in imposition of a Mexican tax upon the recipient. There are no Mexican stamp, issue registration or similar taxes payable by a nonresident holder with respect to shares or ADSs.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a summary of certain U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. holders (as defined below) of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of shares or ADSs. The summary does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership or disposition of shares or ADSs. The summary applies only to U.S. holders that will hold their shares or ADSs as capital assets and does not apply to special classes of U.S. holders such as dealers in securities or currencies, holders with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, holders of 10% or more of our voting shares (whether held directly or through ADSs or both), tax-exempt organizations, financial institutions, holders liable for the alternative minimum tax, securities traders electing to account for their investment in their shares or ADSs on a mark-to-market basis, and persons holding their shares or ADSs in a hedging transaction or as part of a straddle or conversion transaction.

For purposes of this discussion, a U.S. holder is a holder of shares or ADSs that is:

a citizen or resident of the United States of America,

a corporation organized under the laws of the United States of America or any state thereof, or

otherwise subject to U.S. federal income taxation on a net income basis with respect to the shares or ADSs.

Each U.S. holder should consult such holder's own tax advisor concerning the overall tax consequences to it of the ownership or disposition of shares or ADSs that may arise under foreign, state and local laws.

Treatment of ADSs

In general, a U.S. holder of ADSs will be treated as the owner of the shares represented by those ADSs for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Deposits or withdrawals of shares by U.S. holders in exchange for ADSs will not result in the realization of gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes. U.S. holders that withdraw any shares should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of any foreign currency gain or loss on any pesos received in respect of such shares.

Table of Contents***Taxation of Distributions***

In this discussion, we use the term *dividends* to mean distributions paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits with respect to shares or ADSs. In general, the gross amount of any dividends will be includible in the gross income of a U.S. holder as ordinary income on the day on which the dividends are received by the U.S. holder, in the case of shares, or by the depositary, in the case of ADSs. Dividends will be paid in pesos and will be includible in the income of a U.S. holder in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date that they are received by the U.S. holder, in the case of shares, or by the depositary, in the case of ADSs (regardless of whether such pesos are in fact converted into U.S. dollars on such date). If such dividends are converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividends. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of foreign currency gain or loss, if any, on any pesos received by a U.S. holder or depositary that are converted into U.S. dollars on a date subsequent to receipt. Dividends paid by us will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction allowed to corporations under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the *Code*).

Subject to certain exceptions for short-term and hedged positions, the U.S. dollar amount of dividends received by an individual prior to January 1, 2011 with respect to the ADSs will be subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15% if the dividends are *qualified dividends*. Dividends paid on the ADSs will be treated as *qualified dividends* if (i) the ADSs are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States and (ii) we were not, in the year prior to the year in which the dividend was paid, and are not, in the year in which the dividend is paid a passive foreign investment company (*PFIC*). The ADSs are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and will qualify as readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States so long as they are so listed. Based on our audited consolidated financial statements and relevant market and shareholder data, we believe that we were not treated as a *PFIC* for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to the 2007 or 2008 taxable year. In addition, based on our audited consolidated financial statements and our current expectations regarding the value and nature of our assets, the sources and nature of our income, and relevant market and shareholder data, we do not anticipate becoming a *PFIC* for our 2009 taxable year. Based on existing guidance, it is not entirely clear whether dividends received with respect to the shares will be treated as *qualified dividends*, because the shares are not themselves listed on a U.S. exchange. In addition, the U.S. Treasury has announced its intention to promulgate rules pursuant to which holders of ADSs or common stock and intermediaries through whom such securities are held will be permitted to rely on certifications from issuers to establish that dividends are treated as *qualified dividends*. Because such procedures have not yet been issued, it is not clear whether we will be able to comply with them. Holders of ADSs and common shares should consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability of the reduced dividend tax rate in the light of their own particular circumstances.

Distributions of additional shares or ADSs to U.S. holders with respect to their shares or ADSs that are made as part of a pro rata distribution to all of our shareholders generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax.

Taxation of Dispositions

A U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss on the sale or other disposition of the shares or ADSs in an amount equal to the difference between the U.S. holder's basis in such shares or ADSs (in U.S. dollars) and the amount realized on the disposition (in U.S. dollars, determined at the spot rate on the date of disposition if the amount realized is denominated in a foreign currency). Gain or loss recognized by a U.S. holder on such sale or other disposition generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of disposition, the shares or ADSs have been held for more than one year. Long-term capital gain recognized by a U.S. holder that is an individual is taxed at reduced rates of tax. The deduction of a capital loss is subject to limitations for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Gain, if any, realized by a U.S. holder on the sale or other disposition of the shares or ADSs generally will be treated as U.S. source income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Consequently, if a Mexican withholding tax is imposed on the sale or disposition of the shares, a U.S. holder that does not receive significant foreign source income from other sources may not be able to derive effective U.S. foreign tax credit benefits in respect of these Mexican taxes. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the foreign tax credit rules to their investment in, and disposition of, the shares.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Dividends on, and proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, the shares or ADSs paid to a U.S. holder generally may be subject to the information reporting requirements of the Code and may be subject to backup withholding unless the holder:

establishes that it is a corporation or other exempt holder, or

provides an accurate taxpayer identification number on a properly completed Internal Revenue Service Form W-9 and certifies that no loss of exemption from backup withholding has occurred.

The amount of any backup withholding from a payment to a holder will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle such holder to a refund, provided that certain required information is furnished to the Service.

U.S. Tax Consequences for Non-U.S. holders

Distributions. A holder of shares or ADSs that is, with respect to the United States, a foreign corporation or a non-resident alien individual (a non-U.S. holder) generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on dividends received on shares or ADSs, unless such income is effectively connected with the conduct by the holder of a U.S. trade or business.

Dispositions. A non-U.S. holder of shares or ADSs will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on gain realized on the sale of shares or ADSs, unless:

such gain is effectively connected with the conduct by the holder of a U.S. trade or business, or

in the case of gain realized by an individual holder, the holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale and certain other conditions are met.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding. Although non-U.S. holders generally are exempt from backup withholding, a non-U.S. holder may be required to comply with certification and identification procedures in order to establish its exemption from information reporting and backup withholding.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

We file reports, including annual reports on Form 20-F, and other information with the SEC pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC that apply to foreign private issuers. You may read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at its public reference rooms in Washington, D.C. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. As a foreign private issuer, we have been required to make filings with the SEC by electronic means since November 2002. Any filings we make electronically will be available to the public over the Internet at the SEC's web site at <http://www.sec.gov> and at our website at <http://www.americamovil.com>. (This URL is intended to be an inactive textual reference only. It is not intended to be an active hyperlink to our website. The information on our website, which might be accessible through a hyperlink resulting from this URL, is not and shall not be deemed to be incorporated into this annual report.)

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
EXCHANGE RATE AND INTEREST RATE RISKS

We are exposed to market risk principally from changes in interest rates and currency exchange rates. Interest rate risk exists principally with respect to our net financial liabilities bearing interest at floating rates. Interest rate risk also exists with respect to the fair value of fixed-rate financial assets and liabilities. Exchange rate risk exists with respect to our financial assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than Mexican pesos, principally on our U.S. dollar denominated debt. We are also subject to exchange rate risks with respect to our investments outside Mexico.

At December 31, 2008, we had approximately Ps. 13,942 million (as compared to Ps. 7,373 million as of December 31, 2007) in financial assets denominated in currencies other than Mexican pesos, principally consisting of cash, short-term investments and investments in financial instruments, and approximately Ps. 105,675 million (as compared to Ps. 72,568 million as of December 31, 2007) in financial liabilities denominated in currencies other than Mexican pesos, consisting of debt. Approximately 81.2% of our non-peso indebtedness as of December 31, 2008 was denominated in U.S. dollars. As of December 31, 2008, we had Ps. 58,887 million of debt that bore interest at floating rates.

We regularly assess our interest rate and currency exchange exposures and determine whether to adjust our position. We may use derivative instruments as an economic hedge to adjust our exposures. Our hedging practices vary from time to time depending on our judgment of the level of risk, expectations as to interest or exchange rate movements and the costs of using derivative instruments. See Risk Management under Item 5. We have also used derivative instruments from time to time to seek to reduce our costs of financing. We may stop using derivative instruments or modify our practices at any time.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS DISCLOSURES

The potential increase in net debt and corresponding foreign exchange loss, taking account our hedging transactions, that would have resulted as a December 31, 2008 from a hypothetical, instantaneous 10% depreciation of all of our operating currencies against the U.S. dollar, would have been approximately Ps. 7,020 million. Such depreciation would have also resulted in additional interest expense of approximately Ps. 313 million per annum, reflecting the increased costs of servicing foreign currency indebtedness.

A hypothetical, immediate increase of 100 basis points in the interest rates applicable to our floating rate financial liabilities at December 31, 2008 would have resulted in additional interest expense of approximately Ps. 559 million per year, assuming no change in the principal amount of such indebtedness.

Table of Contents

The above sensitivity analyses are based on the assumption of unfavorable movements in exchange or interest rates applicable to each homogeneous category of financial assets and liabilities. A homogeneous category is defined according to the currency in which financial assets and liabilities are denominated and assumes the same exchange rate or interest rate movement with each homogeneous category. As a result, exchange rate risk and interest rate risk sensitivity analysis may overstate the impact of exchange rate or interest rate fluctuations for such financial instruments, as consistently unfavorable movements of all exchange rates or interest rates are unlikely.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Disclosure controls and procedures.* We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2008. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon our evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and forms, and that it is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) *Management's annual report on internal controls over financial reporting.*

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other personnel, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards, including the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP in accordance with Item 18 of Form 20-F. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with Mexican FRS, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008.

Our management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 excludes, in accordance with applicable guidance provided by the SEC, an assessment of the internal control over financial reporting of Estesa, which we acquired in August 2008. Estesa represented 0.06% and 0.05% of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2008 and 0.04% and 0.04% of revenues and net income, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Mancera, S.C., a Member Practice of Ernst & Young Global, an independent registered public accounting firm, our independent auditor, issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting on June 23, 2009.

(c) Attestation Report of the registered public accounting firm.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.

We have audited América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards, including the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP in accordance with Item 18 of Form 20F. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards, including the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP in accordance with Item 18 of Form 20F, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Estesa Holding Corporation, a subsidiary in Nicaragua, acquired in August 2008, which is included in the 2008 consolidated financial statements of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V., and constituted 0.06% and 0.05% of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2008 and 0.04% and 0.04% of revenues and net income, respectively for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V., also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Estesa Holding Corporation, a subsidiary in Nicaragua.

In our opinion, América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V., maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America), the consolidated balance sheets of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of income and changes in stockholder's equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, and the statements of changes in financial position for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and the cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2008 of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V., and our report dated June 23, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Mancera, S.C.

A Member Practice of

Ernst & Young Global

/s/ Agustin Aguilar Laurents

Agustin Aguilar Laurents

Mexico City, Mexico

June 23, 2009

(d) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has determined that Carlos Bremer Gutiérrez qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, and Mr. Bremer Gutiérrez is independent, within the meaning of this Item 16A.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics, as defined in Item 16B of Form 20-F under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our code of ethics applies, among others, to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and comptroller, and persons performing similar functions. Our code of ethics is available on our web site at www.americamovil.com. If we amend any provisions of our code of ethics that apply to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, comptroller and persons performing similar functions, or if we grant any waiver of such provisions, we will disclose such amendment or waiver on our web site at the same address.

Table of Contents**Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services
Audit and Non-Audit Fees**

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us and our subsidiaries by our independent auditors, Mancera, during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008:

| | Year ended December 31, 2007 2008 (2007 figures in thousands of constant pesos as of December 31, 2007) | |
|--------------------|--|------------|
| Audit fees | Ps. 55,224 | Ps. 56,544 |
| Audit-related fees | 5,819 | 13,641 |
| Tax fees | 19,269 | 16,673 |
| Other fees | 6,439 | |
| Total fees | Ps. 86,751 | Ps. 86,858 |

Audit fees in the above table are the aggregate fees billed by Mancera and its affiliates in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, statutory and regulatory audits.

Audit-related fees in the above table are the aggregate fees billed by Mancera and its affiliates for the review of reports on our operations submitted to Cofetel, attestation services that are not required by statute or regulation and, in 2008, the IFRS adoption process which has not been completed.

Tax fees in the above table are fees billed by Mancera and its affiliates for tax compliance services, tax planning services and tax advice services.

Other fees in 2007 in the above table are fees billed by Mancera and its affiliates primarily related to assistance in connection with information technology services.

Audit Committee Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has not established pre-approval policies and procedures for the engagement of our independent auditors for services. Our audit committee expressly approves on a case-by-case basis any engagement of our independent auditors for audit and non-audit services provided to us or our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.**

The following table sets out certain information concerning purchases of our L Shares by us and affiliated purchasers in 2008:

| Period | Total Number of L Shares Purchased(1) | Average Price Paid per L Share | Total Number of L Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2) | Approximate Peso Value of L Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(3) |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| January 2008 | 82,500,000 | Ps. 30.78 | 66,600,000 | Ps. 185,170,833 |
| February 2008 | 76,432,700 | 32.63 | 76,432,700 | 12,689,091,638 |
| March 2008 | 50,250,100 | 32.18 | 50,250,100 | 11,072,091,439 |
| April 2008 | 71,981,452 | 32.64 | 70,481,452 | 48,767,335,343 |
| May 2008 | 77,950,000 | 30.02 | 77,950,000 | 46,427,258,589 |
| June 2008 | 117,500,000 | 28.20 | 107,500,000 | 43,389,748,590 |
| July 2008 | 279,349,900 | 25.25 | 258,489,900 | 36,855,088,083 |
| August 2008 | 235,900,000 | 25.45 | 227,900,000 | 31,045,078,518 |
| September 2008 | 230,906,032 | 25.15 | 218,865,551 | 25,534,389,096 |
| October 2008 | 413,374,700 | 20.07 | 302,400,000 | 19,368,610,606 |
| November 2008 | 113,202,900 | 20.14 | 113,202,900 | 17,084,325,191 |
| December 2008 | 76,155,800 | 20.62 | 76,155,800 | 15,512,941,929 |
| Total/Average | 1,825,503,584 | 25.02 | 1,646,228,403 | |

- (1) We do not repurchase our L Shares other than through the share repurchase program.
- (2) We periodically repurchase our L Shares on the open market using funds authorized by our shareholders specifically for the repurchase of L Shares and A Shares by us at our discretion. In the annual ordinary shareholders meeting held on April 20, 2009, our shareholders authorized an aggregate Ps. 20,000 million increase in our reserve for the repurchase of additional L Shares and A Shares, thereby increasing our reserve to Ps. 125,000 million.
- (3) This is the approximate peso amount (in millions of nominal pesos) available at the end of the period for purchases of both L Shares and A Shares pursuant to our share repurchase program. These are nominal figures and have not been restated for inflation.

The following table sets out certain information concerning purchases of our A Shares by us and affiliated purchasers in 2008:

| Period | Total Number of A Shares Purchased(1) | Average Price Paid per A Share | Total Number of A Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2) | Approximate Peso Value of A Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(3) |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| January 2008 | 2,300 | Ps. 26.80 | 2,300 | Ps. 185,170,833 |
| February 2008 | 67,300 | 32.90 | 67,300 | 12,689,091,638 |
| March 2008 | | | | 11,072,091,439 |
| April 2008 | | | | 48,767,335,343 |
| May 2008 | | | | 46,427,258,589 |
| June 2008 | 13,800 | 29.00 | 13,800 | 43,389,748,590 |
| July 2008 | 59,000 | 26.00 | 59,000 | 36,855,088,083 |
| August 2008 | 267,100 | 24.59 | 267,100 | 31,045,078,518 |
| September 2008 | 138,800 | 24.59 | 138,800 | 25,534,389,096 |
| October 2008 | | | | 19,368,610,606 |
| November 2008 | 197,100 | 20.17 | 197,100 | 17,084,325,191 |
| December 2008 | 64,000 | 21.76 | 64,000 | 15,512,941,929 |
| Total/Average | 809,400 | 24.16 | 809,400 | |

Table of Contents

- (1) We do not repurchase our A Shares other than through the share repurchase program.
- (2) We periodically repurchase our A Shares on the open market using funds authorized by our shareholders specifically for the repurchase of L Shares and A Shares by us at our discretion. In the annual ordinary shareholders meeting held on April 20, 2009, our shareholders authorized an aggregate Ps. 20,000 million increase in our reserve for the repurchase of additional L Shares and A Shares, thereby increasing our reserve to Ps. 125,000 million.
- (3) This is the approximate peso amount (in millions of nominal pesos) available at the end of the period for purchases of both L Shares and A Shares pursuant to our share repurchase program. These are nominal figures and have not been restated for inflation.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance.

Pursuant to Section 303A.11 of the Listed Company Manual of the New York Stock Exchange, and Rule 5615(a)(3) of the NASDAQ, Marketplace Rules, we are required to provide a summary of the significant ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those required for U.S. companies under the NYSE and NASDAQ listing standards. Our corporate governance practices are governed by our bylaws, the Mexican Securities Market Law and the regulations issued by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission. We also comply with the Mexican Code of Best Corporate Practices (*Código de Mejores Prácticas Corporativas*), which was created in January 2001 by a group of Mexican business leaders and was endorsed by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and the Mexican Stock Exchange.

The table below discloses the significant differences between our corporate governance practices and the NYSE and NASDAQ standards.

NYSE Standards

Director Independence. *Majority of board of directors must be independent. Controlled companies, which would include our company if we were a U.S. issuer, are exempt from this requirement. A controlled company is one in which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company, rather than the public. §303A.01*

Executive Sessions. *Non-management directors must meet regularly in executive sessions without management. Independent directors should meet alone in an executive session at least once a year. §303A.03*

NASDAQ Standards

Director Independence. *Majority of board of directors must be independent and directors deemed independent must be identified in a listed company's proxy statement (or annual report on Form 10-K or 20-F if the issuer does not file a proxy statement). Controlled companies, which would include our company if we were a U.S. issuer, are exempt from this requirement. A controlled company is one in which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company, rather than the public. Rules 5605(b)(1), 5615(c)(1) & (c)(2).*

Executive Sessions. *Independent directors must meet regularly in executive sessions at which only independent directors are present. Rule 5605(b)(2)*

Our Corporate Governance Practices

Director Independence. Pursuant to the Mexican Securities Market Law, our shareholders are required to appoint a board of directors of no more than 21 members, 25% of whom must be independent. Certain persons are *per se* non-independent, including insiders, control persons, major suppliers and any relatives of such persons. In accordance with the Mexican Securities Market Law, our shareholders meeting is required to make a determination as to the independence of our directors, though such determination may be challenged by the CNBV. There is no exemption from the independence requirement for controlled companies.

Executive Sessions. Our non-management directors have not held executive sessions without management in the past, and they are not required to do so.

Table of Contents

NYSE Standards

Nominating/Corporate Governance

Committee. *Nominating/corporate governance committee of independent directors is required. The committee must have a charter specifying the purpose, duties and evaluation procedures of the committee. Controlled companies are exempt from these requirements. §303A.04*

NASDAQ Standards

Nominating Committee. *Director nominees must be selected, or recommended for the board's selection, either by a nominating committee comprised solely of independent directors or by a majority of independent directors. Each listed company also must certify that it has adopted a formal charter or board resolution addressing the nominations process. Controlled companies are exempt from this requirement. Rules 5605(e)(1)(A) & 5615(c)(2)*

Our Corporate Governance Practices

Nominating Committee. We currently do not have a nominating committee or a corporate governance committee. We are not required to have a nominating committee. However, Mexican law requires us to have one or more committees that oversee certain corporate practices, including appointment of directors and executives. Under the Mexican Securities Market Law, committees overseeing certain corporate practices must be composed of independent directors. However, in the case of controlled companies, such as ours, only a majority of the committee members must be independent. Under the Mexican Securities Market Law, certain corporate governance functions must be delegated to one or more committees. Under our bylaws, the Audit Committee performs our corporate governance functions. *See Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Audit Committee.*

As a controlled company, we would be exempt from this requirement if we were a U.S. issuer.

Compensation Committee. *Compensation committee of independent directors is required, which must evaluate and approve executive officer compensation. The committee must have a charter specifying the purpose, duties and evaluation procedures of the committee.*

Controlled companies are exempt from this requirement. §303A.05

Compensation Committee. *CEO compensation must be determined, or recommended to the board for determination, either by a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors or a majority of the independent directors and the CEO may not be present during voting or deliberations. Compensation of all other executive officers must be determined in the same manner, except that the CEO, and any other executive officers, may be present.*

Controlled companies are exempt from this requirement. Rules 5605(e)(1)(B) & 5615(c)(2)

Compensation Committee. As recommended by the Mexican Code of Best Corporate Practices, we have a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors under the Mexican Securities Market Law.

The compensation committee makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding executive compensation.

As a controlled company, we would be exempt from this requirement if we were a U.S. issuer.

Table of Contents

NYSE Standards

Audit Committee. *Audit committee satisfying the independence and other requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and the more stringent requirements under the NYSE standards is required. §§303A.06, 303A.07*

Equity Compensation Plans. *Equity compensation plans require shareholder approval, subject to limited exemptions. §§303A.08 & 312.03*

Shareholder Approval for Issuance of Securities. *Issuances of securities (1) that will result in a change of control of the issuer, (2) that are to a related party or someone closely related to a related party, (3) that have voting power equal to at least 20% of the outstanding common stock voting power before such issuance or (4) that will increase the number of shares of common stock by at least 20% of the number of outstanding shares before such issuance, require shareholder approval. §§312.03(b)-(d)*

NASDAQ Standards

Audit Committee. *Audit committee satisfying the independence and other requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and the more stringent requirements under the NASDAQ standards is required. Rule 5605(c)(1)*

Equity Compensation Plans. *Equity compensation plans require shareholder approval, subject to limited exemptions. Rule 5635(c).*

Shareholder Approval for Issuance of Securities. *Issuances of securities (1) that will result in a change of control of the issuer, (2) in connection with certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company or (3) in connection with certain transactions other than public offerings, require shareholder approval. Rules 5635(a)(2), (b) & (d)(1-2).*

Our Corporate Governance Practices

Audit Committee. We have an audit committee of three members. Each member of the audit committee is independent, as independence is defined under the Mexican Securities Market Law, and also meets the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our audit committee operates primarily pursuant to (1) a written charter adopted by our board of directors, which assigns to the committee responsibility over those matters required by Rule 10A-3 (2) our bylaws and (3) Mexican law. For a more detailed description of the duties of our audit committee, see *Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees-Audit Committee.*

Equity Compensation Plans. Shareholder approval is expressly required under Mexican law for the adoption and amendment of an equity-compensation plan. Such plans must provide for similar treatment of executives in comparable positions.

Shareholder Approval for Issuance of Securities. Mexican law requires us to obtain shareholder approval of the issuance of equity securities. Under certain circumstances, treasury stock, however, may be issued by the board of directors without shareholder approval.

Table of Contents

NYSE Standards

Code of Business Conduct and

Ethics. Corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct and ethics are required, with disclosure of any waiver for directors or executive officers. The code must contain compliance standards and procedures that will facilitate the effective operation of the code. §303A.10

Conflicts of Interest. Determination of how to review and oversee related party transactions is left to the listed company. The audit committee or comparable body, however, could be considered the forum for such review and oversight. §307.00. Certain issuances of common stock to a related party require shareholder approval. §312.03(b)

Solicitation of Proxies. Solicitation of proxies and provision of proxy materials are required for all meetings of shareholders. Copies of such proxy solicitations are to be provided to NYSE. §§402.00 & 402.04

NASDAQ Standards

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct and ethics are required, with disclosure of any waiver and the reasons for such waiver for directors or executive officers. The code must include an enforcement mechanism. Rule 5610.

Conflicts of Interest. Appropriate review of all related party transactions for potential conflict of interest situations and approval by an audit committee or another independent body of the board of directors of such transactions is required. Rule 5630(a-b).

Solicitation of Proxies. Solicitation of proxies and provision of proxy materials are required for all meetings of shareholders. Copies of such proxy solicitations are to be provided to NASDAQ. Rule 5620(b).

Our Corporate Governance Practices

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. We have adopted a code of ethics, which has been accepted by all of our directors and executive officers and other personnel. A copy of our code of ethics is available on our website www.americamovil.com.

Conflicts of Interest. In accordance with Mexican law, an independent audit committee must provide an opinion to the board of directors regarding any transaction with a related party that is outside of the ordinary course of business, which must be approved by the board of directors. Pursuant to the Mexican Securities Market Law, our board of directors will establish certain guidelines regarding related party transactions that do not require specific board approval.

Solicitation of Proxies. We are not required to solicit proxies from our shareholders. In accordance with Mexican law and our bylaws, we inform shareholders of all meetings by public notice, which states the requirements for admission to the meeting. Under the deposit agreement relating to our ADSs, holders of our ADSs receive notices of shareholders meetings and, where applicable, instructions on how to instruct the depository to vote at the meeting. Under the deposit agreement relating to our ADS, we may direct the voting of any ADS as to which no voting instructions are received by the depository, except with respect to any matter where substantial opposition exists or that materially and adversely affects the rights of holders.

Table of Contents

NYSE Standards

NASDAQ Standards

Our Corporate Governance Practices

Peer Review. *A listed company must be audited by an independent public accounting firm that is registered as a public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Rule 5250(c)(3)*

Peer Review. Under Mexican law, we must be audited by an independent public accountant that has received a quality control review as defined by the CNBV.

Mancera, S.C., a Member Practice of Ernst & Young Global, a public registered firm, our independent auditor, is registered as a public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

Item 17. Not Applicable

Item 18. Financial Statements

See pages F-1 through F-82, incorporated herein by reference.

Item 19. Exhibits

Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, we have filed certain agreements as exhibits to this annual report on Form 20-F. These agreements may contain representations and warranties by the parties. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other party or parties to such agreements and (i) may be intended not as statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties to such agreements if those statements turn out to be inaccurate, (ii) may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to such other party or parties and that either have been reflected in the company's filings or are not required to be disclosed in those filings, (iii) may apply materiality standards different from what may be viewed as material to investors, and (iv) were made only as of the date of such agreements or such other date(s) as may be specified in such agreements and are subject to more recent developments. Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe our actual state of affairs at the date hereof.

Documents filed as exhibits to this annual report:

- 1.1 Amended and restated bylaws (*estatutos sociales*) of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V., dated as of February 23, 2007 (together with an English translation).
- 2.1 L Share Deposit Agreement (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-6, File No. 333-126165, filed on June 28, 2005).
- 2.2 A Share Deposit Agreement (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-6, File No. 333-126155, filed on June 27, 2005).
- 3.1 Shareholders Agreement dated December 20, 2000 between América Telecom, S.A. de C.V. (as successor to Carso Global Telecom, S.A. de C.V.) and SBC International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the report of beneficial ownership of our shares filed on Schedule 13D on May 16, 2001).
- 4.1 Management Services Agreement dated February 27, 2002 between SBC International Management Services, Inc. and Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.4 to our annual report on Form 20-F, File No. 001-16269, filed on June 30, 2004).

Table of Contents

- 4.2 Fourth Amendment dated January 31, 2005 to Management Services Agreement dated February 27, 2002 between SBC International Management Services, Inc., and América Móvil, S.A. de C.V. (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.8 to our annual report on Form 20-F, File No. 001-16269, filed on June 30, 2005).
- 4.3 Fifth Amendment dated December 31, 2005 to Management Services Agreement dated February 27, 2002 between SBC International Management Services, Inc., and América Móvil, S.A. de C.V. (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.6 to our annual report on Form 20-F, File No. 001-16269, filed on June 30, 2006).
- 4.4 Sixth Amendment dated February 1, 2007 to Management Services Agreement dated February 27, 2002 between SBC International Management Services, Inc., and América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.4 to our annual report on Form 20-F, File No. 001-16269, filed on June 30, 2006).
- 4.5 Operating and Administrative Services Agreement dated January 2, 2006 between América Telecom, S.A de C.V. and América Móvil, S.A. de C.V. (together with an English translation). (incorporated by reference to our annual report on Form 20-F, File No. 001-16269, filed on June 30, 2006).
- 4.6 Indenture dated March 9, 2004 among América Móvil, S.A. de C.V., Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-4, File No. 333-117673, filed on July 26, 2004).
- 4.7 Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated December 14, 2004 among América Móvil, S.A. de C.V., Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 6-K, File No. 00-16269, filed on February 16, 2005).
- 4.8 Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated September 29, 2006 among América Móvil, S.A. de C.V., Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-3ASR, File No.333-137695, filed on September 29, 2006).
- 7.1 Calculation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- 8.1 List of certain subsidiaries of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.
- 12.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 13.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 15.1 Consent of Mancera, S.C.
- 15.2 Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP.

Omitted from the exhibits filed with this annual report are certain instruments and agreements with respect to long-term debt of América Móvil, none of which authorizes securities in a total amount that exceeds 10% of the total assets of América Móvil. We hereby agree to furnish to the SEC copies of any such omitted instruments or agreements as the Commission requests.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V.

By: /s/ CARLOS JOSÉ GARCÍA MORENO ELIZONDO
Name: **Carlos José García Moreno Elizondo**
Title: **Chief Financial Officer**

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO CANTÚ JIMÉNEZ
Name: **Alejandro Cantú Jiménez**
Title: **General Counsel**

Dated: June 30, 2009

Table of Contents

INDEX TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Audited consolidated financial statements of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. | |
| <u>Report of Mancera, S.C.</u> | F-1 |
| <u>Report of BDO Seidman, LLP</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2008 and 2007</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Financial Position for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of income and changes in stockholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, and the consolidated statements of changes in financial position for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of TracFone Wireless, Inc., a consolidated subsidiary, whose assets account for approximately 1% of the consolidated totals at December 31, 2007 and 2008 and whose operating revenues account for approximately 6%, 5% and 5% of the consolidated totals for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for TracFone Wireless, Inc., is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation (including the Company's conversion of the financial statements of TracFone Wireless, Inc. to Mexican Financial Reporting Standards). We believe that our audits and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, and consolidated changes in their financial position for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and their consolidated cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards, which differ in certain respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (see Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements).

As discussed in Note 2.1) and 2.2) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Mexican Financial Reporting Standards D-6, *Capitalization of the Comprehensive Result of Financing* in 2007, and B-10, *Effects of Inflation*, B-15, *Foreign Currency Translation*, D-4, *Taxes on Profits* and B-2, *Statements of Cash Flows* in 2008.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America), América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated June 23, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Mancera, S.C.

Ernst & Young Global

A member practice of

Ernst & Young Global

/s/ C.P.C. AGUSTÍN AGUILAR LAURENTS
C.P.C. Agustín Aguilar Laurents

Mexico City

June 23, 2009

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

TracFone Wireless, Inc.

Miami, Florida

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of TracFone Wireless, Inc. as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the related statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Our audits include consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TracFone Wireless, Inc. at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ BDO SEIDMAN, LLP

June 23, 2009

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(In thousands of Mexican pesos)

| | At December 31, | | Millions of U.S. dollars 2008 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 | |
| Assets | | | |
| Current assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | Ps. 11,972,119 | Ps. 22,092,139 | USD 1,632 |
| Investments in financial instruments (Note 4) | 49,931 | | |
| Accounts receivable, net (Note 5) | 44,756,338 | 52,770,676 | 3,898 |
| Derivative financial instruments (Note 11) | | 3,125,214 | 231 |
| Related parties (Note 17) | 1,334,610 | 1,052,796 | 78 |
| Inventories, net (Note 6) | 21,060,030 | 31,805,142 | 2,349 |
| Other current assets, net (Note 7) | 1,506,424 | 2,639,912 | 195 |
| Total current assets | 80,679,452 | 113,485,879 | 8,383 |
| Plant, property and equipment, net (Note 8) | 167,083,906 | 209,896,820 | 15,504 |
| Licenses, net (Note 9) | 36,564,304 | 43,098,985 | 3,183 |
| Trademarks, net (Note 9) | 5,601,154 | 5,010,539 | 370 |
| Goodwill, net (Note 9) | 44,724,872 | 44,696,281 | 3,301 |
| Investments in affiliates and others (Note 10) | 589,615 | 789,612 | 58 |
| Deferred taxes (Note 19) | 3,395,396 | 9,296,367 | 687 |
| Other non-current assets, net (Note 7) | 10,482,616 | 9,180,987 | 678 |
| Total assets | Ps. 349,121,315 | Ps. 435,455,470 | USD 32,164 |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt (Note 14) | Ps. 19,952,907 | Ps. 26,731,355 | USD 1,974 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 13) | 72,891,964 | 90,867,401 | 6,712 |
| Taxes payable | 9,250,714 | 14,612,465 | 1,079 |
| Derivative financial instruments (Note 11) | 316,594 | | |
| Related parties (Note 17) | 1,249,775 | 922,254 | 68 |
| Deferred revenues | 12,396,421 | 14,662,631 | 1,083 |
| Total current liabilities | 116,058,375 | 147,796,106 | 10,916 |
| Long-term liabilities: | | | |
| Long-term debt (Note 14) | 84,799,487 | 116,755,093 | 8,624 |
| Deferred taxes (Note 19) | 12,496,344 | 14,621,075 | 1,080 |
| Employee benefits (Note 12) | 8,909,339 | 11,358,647 | 840 |
| Total liabilities | 222,263,545 | 290,530,921 | 21,460 |
| Shareholders equity (Note 18): | | | |
| Capital stock | 36,552,039 | 36,532,481 | 2,698 |
| Retained earnings: | | | |
| From prior years | 35,085,723 | 29,261,187 | 2,161 |
| Current year | 58,587,511 | 59,485,502 | 4,394 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | 93,673,234 | 88,746,689 | 6,555 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income items | (4,001,203) | 18,988,897 | 1,403 |
| Total majority shareholders' equity | 126,224,070 | 144,268,067 | 10,656 |
| Non-controlling interest | 633,700 | 656,482 | 48 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 126,857,770 | 144,924,549 | 10,704 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | Ps. 349,121,315 | Ps. 435,455,470 | USD 32,164 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income**

(In thousands of Mexican pesos, except for earnings per share and weighted-average number of shares outstanding)

| | For the year ended December 31, | | | (Millions of U.S. dollars except for earnings per share) |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | |
| Services: | | | | |
| Air time | Ps. 74,385,210 | Ps. 87,522,245 | Ps. 99,258,566 | USD 7,332 |
| Interconnection | 40,700,953 | 58,554,255 | 60,371,865 | 4,459 |
| Monthly rent | 40,683,385 | 59,551,717 | 66,805,611 | 4,935 |
| Long-distance | 16,465,433 | 20,348,067 | 20,624,128 | 1,523 |
| Value added services and other services | 30,495,814 | 40,359,659 | 51,089,479 | 3,774 |
| Sales of handsets and accessories | 40,274,070 | 45,243,819 | 47,505,259 | 3,509 |
| | 243,004,865 | 311,579,762 | 345,654,908 | 25,532 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales and services | 113,444,561 | 132,373,998 | 146,025,037 | 10,786 |
| Commercial, administrative and general expenses | 40,642,325 | 53,605,408 | 62,316,415 | 4,603 |
| Depreciation and amortization (Notes 8 and 9) (includes Ps. 20,266,970, Ps. 29,389,162 and Ps. 30,047,363 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively, not included in cost of sales and services) | 27,883,799 | 40,406,018 | 41,767,309 | 3,084 |
| | 181,970,685 | 226,385,424 | 250,108,761 | 18,474 |
| Operating income | 61,034,180 | 85,194,338 | 95,546,147 | 7,057 |
| Other income (expenses), net | 483,671 | (3,712,874) | (2,326,959) | (172) |
| Comprehensive result of financing: | | | | |
| Interest income | 4,669,081 | 2,960,265 | 2,414,390 | 178 |
| Interest expense | (9,457,545) | (7,696,967) | (8,950,562) | (661) |
| Exchange gain (loss), net | 2,321,238 | 2,463,442 | (13,686,423) | (1,011) |
| Monetary gain, net (Note 2 f) | 3,848,095 | 5,038,406 | | |
| Other financing (cost) income, net | (1,408,889) | (3,152,631) | 6,357,722 | 470 |
| | (28,020) | (387,485) | (13,864,873) | (1,024) |
| Equity interest in net income of affiliates | 37,778 | 57,621 | 109,416 | 8 |
| Income before taxes on profits | 61,527,609 | 81,151,600 | 79,463,731 | 5,869 |
| Taxes on profits (Note 19) | 17,018,144 | 22,454,267 | 19,888,337 | 1,469 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|-------------------|-----|---------------|
| Net income | \$ | 44,509,465 | \$ | 58,697,333 | \$ | 59,575,394 | USD | 4,400 |
| Distribution of the net income: | | | | | | | | |
| Majority interest | Ps. | 44,421,948 | Ps. | 58,587,511 | Ps. | 59,485,502 | USD | 4,393 |
| Non-controlling interest | | 87,517 | | 109,822 | | 89,892 | | (7) |
| Net income | \$ | 44,509,465 | \$ | 58,697,333 | \$ | 59,575,394 | USD | 4,400 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in millions) | | | | | | | | |
| | | 35,459 | | 35,149 | | 34,220 | | 34,220 |
| Earnings per share | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 1.67 | \$ | 1.74 | USD | 0.13 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity****For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008**

(In thousands of Mexican pesos)

| | Capital stock | Legal reserve | Retained earnings Unappropriated | Retained earnings Total | Other accumulated comprehensive income items | Majority shareholders equity | Non-controlling interest | Comprehensive income | Total shareholders equity |
|--|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2005 (Note 18) | 36,565,022 | 482,925 | 56,672,624 | 57,155,549 | (16,915,206) | 76,805,365 | 1,103,566 | | 77,908,931 |
| Non-controlling interest related to current year acquisitions | | | (1,426,031) | (1,426,031) | | (1,426,031) | (435,454) | | (1,861,485) |
| Dividends declared at Ps. 0.06 per share (historical) | | | (2,289,219) | (2,289,219) | | (2,289,219) | | | (2,289,219) |
| Repurchase of shares | (9,624) | | (7,789,304) | (7,789,304) | | (7,798,928) | | | (7,798,928) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for the period | | | 44,421,948 | 44,421,948 | | 44,421,948 | 87,517 | Ps. 44,509,465 | 44,509,465 |
| Other comprehensive income items: | | | | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 1,334,009 | 1,334,009 | (60,703) | 1,273,306 | 1,273,306 |
| Result from holding non-monetary assets, net of deferred taxes | | | | | 1,591,201 | 1,591,201 | (10,789) | 1,580,412 | 1,580,412 |
| Current-year valuation effect of swaps | | | | | 53,138 | 53,138 | | 53,138 | 53,138 |
| Current-year valuation effect of available-for-sale securities | | | | | 371,183 | 371,183 | | 371,183 | 371,183 |
| Comprehensive income for the year | | | | | | | | Ps. 47,787,504 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 (Note 18) | 36,555,398 | 482,925 | 89,590,018 | 90,072,943 | (13,565,675) | 113,062,666 | 684,137 | | 113,746,803 |
| Non-controlling interest related to current year acquisitions | | | (6,604) | (6,604) | | (6,604) | (13,187) | | (19,791) |
| | | | (42,127,537) | (42,127,537) | | (42,127,537) | | | (42,127,537) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Dividends declared at Ps. 0.20 and Ps. 1 per share (historical) | | | | | | | | | |
| Repurchase of shares | (3,359) | (12,853,079) | (12,853,079) | | (12,856,438) | | | | (12,856,438) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for the period | | 58,587,511 | 58,587,511 | | 58,587,511 | 109,822 | Ps. 58,697,333 | | 58,697,333 |
| Other comprehensive income items: | | | | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 10,143,715 | 10,143,715 | (141,953) | 10,001,762 | 10,001,762 |
| Result from holding non-monetary assets, net of deferred taxes | | | | | (579,243) | (579,243) | (5,119) | (584,362) | (584,362) |
| Comprehensive income for the year | | | | | | | | Ps. 68,114,733 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 (Note 18) | Ps. 36,552,039 | Ps. 482,925 | Ps. 93,190,309 | Ps. 93,673,234 | Ps. (4,001,203) | Ps. 126,224,070 | Ps. 633,700 | | Ps. 126,857,770 |
| Effect of adopting Mexican FRS B-10, net of deferred taxes | | | (13,771,039) | (13,771,039) | 13,771,039 | | | | |
| Dividends declared at Ps. 0.26 per share (historical) | | | (8,904,997) | (8,904,997) | | (8,904,997) | | | (8,904,997) |
| Repurchase of shares | (19,558) | (41,736,011) | (41,736,011) | | (41,755,569) | | | | (41,755,569) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for the period | | 59,485,502 | 59,485,502 | | 59,485,502 | 89,892 | Ps. 59,575,394 | | 59,575,394 |
| Other comprehensive income items: | | | | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 9,219,061 | 9,219,061 | (67,110) | 9,151,951 | 9,151,951 |
| Comprehensive income for the year | | | | | | | | Ps. 68,727,345 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 (Note 18) | Ps. 36,532,481 | Ps. 482,925 | Ps. 88,263,764 | Ps. 88,746,689 | Ps. 18,988,897 | Ps. 144,268,067 | Ps. 656,482 | | Ps. 144,924,549 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Financial Position**

(In thousands of Mexican pesos)

| | For the years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 44,509,465 | Ps. 58,697,333 |
| Add (deduct) items not requiring the use of resources: | | |
| Depreciation | 19,774,627 | 31,162,660 |
| Amortization | 6,578,796 | 7,670,961 |
| Amortization of loss on sale and leaseback | 1,530,376 | 1,572,397 |
| Deferred income tax and deferred employee profit sharing | 50,090 | 4,659,365 |
| Other income, net | (1,327,293) | |
| Loss on marketable securities | 1,375,713 | 1,384,418 |
| Equity interest in net income of affiliates | (37,778) | (57,621) |
| Net cost of labor obligations | 3,323 | 456,095 |
| | 72,457,319 | 105,545,608 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Decrease (increase) in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (8,919,954) | (4,265,886) |
| Inventories | (6,086,062) | (896,364) |
| Other assets | (3,431,146) | (943,209) |
| (Decrease) increase in: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 4,145,927 | 10,136,210 |
| Related parties | 1,294,328 | (991,389) |
| Financial instruments | (944,318) | (740,769) |
| Deferred revenues and credits | 2,191,527 | 36,809 |
| Taxes payable | 15,904,307 | (8,800,706) |
| Marketable securities | (1,524,506) | 1,499,381 |
| Resources provided by operating activities | 75,087,422 | 100,579,685 |
| Financing activities | | |
| New loans | 66,796,720 | 33,287,331 |
| Repayment of loans | (38,975,741) | (46,008,892) |
| Effect of exchange rate differences and variances in debt expressed in constant pesos | (3,091,374) | (4,161,387) |
| Decrease in capital stock and retained earnings due to purchase of Company's own shares | (7,798,928) | (12,856,438) |
| Dividend declared | (2,289,219) | (42,127,537) |
| Resources provided by (used in) financing activities | 14,641,458 | (71,866,923) |
| Investing activities | | |
| Investment in plant, property and equipment | (47,457,471) | (38,854,801) |
| Investment in subsidiaries and affiliates | (15,002,133) | 42,130 |
| Instruments available for sale | | (789,100) |
| Minority interest | (506,945) | (160,259) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | 4,249,986 | (19,464,035) |
| Investments in trademarks | (214,203) | 26,811 |
| Investment in licenses | (636,033) | (499,145) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Resources used in investing activities | (59,566,799) | (59,698,399) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 30,162,081 | (30,985,637) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 12,795,675 | 42,957,756 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | Ps. 42,957,756 | Ps. 11,972,119 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**

(In thousands of Mexican pesos)

| | For the year ended December 31, 2008 | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | 2008 | (millions of U.S. dollars) |
| Operating activities | | |
| Income before taxes on profits | Ps. 79,463,731 | USD 5,869 |
| Items not requiring the use of cash: | | |
| Depreciation | 32,677,429 | 2,413 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 7,471,679 | 552 |
| Amortization of loss on sale and leaseback | 1,618,201 | 119 |
| Impairment in the value of long-lived assets | 739,853 | 54 |
| Equity interest in net income of affiliates | (109,416) | (8) |
| Loss on sale of fixed assets | 141,278 | 10 |
| Net period cost of labor obligations | 734,636 | 54 |
| Exchange loss, net | 11,979,839 | 885 |
| Accrued interest receivable | (2,241,926) | (166) |
| Accrued interest payable | 8,950,562 | 661 |
| Other financing expenses, net | (2,605,594) | (192) |
| Cost of sale of financial instruments | (46,014) | (3) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Financial instruments | 65,800 | 5 |
| Accounts receivable | (5,299,903) | (391) |
| Interest collected | 2,241,926 | 166 |
| Prepaid expenses | (888,241) | (66) |
| Related parties | (14,719) | (1) |
| Inventories | (9,361,512) | (691) |
| Other assets | (143,908) | (11) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (13,888,254) | (1,026) |
| Taxes paid | (21,702,646) | (1,603) |
| Employee profit sharing paid | (672,457) | (50) |
| Financial instruments | (2,156,946) | (159) |
| Deferred revenues | 1,001,969 | 74 |
| Labor obligations | (491,359) | (36) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | 87,464,008 | 6,459 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Acquisition of plant, property and equipment | (26,943,957) | (1,990) |
| Acquisition of licenses | (13,736,502) | (1,015) |
| Fixed asset sales | 75,538 | 6 |
| Business acquisitions, net of cash | (479,090) | (35) |
| Net cash flows used in investing activities | (41,084,011) | (3,034) |
| Net cash flows before financing activities | 46,379,997 | 3,425 |
| Financing activities | | |
| Loans obtained | 61,810,010 | 4,565 |
| Repayment of loans | (41,487,985) | (3,064) |
| Interests paid | (8,105,142) | (598) |
| Repurchase of shares | (41,632,608) | (3,075) |
| Payment of dividends | (8,815,570) | (651) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Net cash flows used in financing activities | (38,231,295) | (2,823) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 8,148,702 | 602 |
| Adjustment to cash flow for exchange rate differences | 1,971,318 | 146 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 11,972,119 | 884 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | Ps. 22,092,139 | USD 1,632 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands of Mexican pesos,

and thousands of U.S. dollars, except when indicated otherwise)

1. Description of Business

América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or América Móvil) provides wireless and fixed communications services in Latin America. América Móvil obtains its revenues primarily from telecommunications services, including the sale of airtime (including interconnection under the calling party pays program), monthly rent, long-distance charges, and other services (including roaming, value added services and other service charges), as well as the proceeds from the sale of cellular phones and accessories.

América Móvil has authorization, licenses, permits and concessions (hereinafter collectively referred to as licenses) to build, install, operate and use both public and private telecommunications networks and provide telecommunication services (mostly mobile and fixed-line telephony) in the countries in which the Company has presence (except for in the U.S.). These licenses will expire on various dates between the years 2009 and 2046.

Such licenses require the payment to the respective governments of a share in sales determined as a percentage of revenues from services under concession. The percentage is set as either a fixed rate or in some cases based on the size of the infrastructure in operation (except for Guatemala and El Salvador).

Merger

At an extraordinary meeting held on December 13, 2006, the stockholders agreed to merge América Telecom, S.A.B. de C.V. (AMTEL) and Corporativo Empresarial, S.A. de C.V. (Corporativo) into América Móvil (see Note 3 for additional information).

Before the merger, AMTEL held a 66.66% equity interest in América Móvil 's voting shares and 40.74% of the Company 's total shares.

Table of Contents**Equity investments in subsidiaries and affiliated companies**

At December 31, 2007 and 2008, América Móvil's equity interest in its principal subsidiaries and affiliated companies is as follows:

| Company | Country | % equity interest at December 31 | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | 2007 | 2008 |
| Subsidiaries: | | | |
| AMX Tenedora, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMOV Canadá, S.A. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Compañía Dominicana de Teléfonos, C. por A. (Codetel) | Dominican Republic | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Sercotel, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. and subsidiaries (Telcel) | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc.(1) | Puerto Rico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Puerto Rico Telephone Company, Inc. | Puerto Rico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| PRT Larga Distancia, Inc. | Puerto Rico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Servicios de Comunicaciones de Honduras, S.A. de C.V. (Sercom Honduras) | Honduras | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMX USA Holding, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| TracFone Wireless, Inc. (Delaware) | U.S.A. | 98.2% | 98.2% |
| AM Telecom Américas, S.A de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Claro Telecom Participacoes, S.A. | Brazil | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Americel, S.A. | Brazil | 99.3% | 99.3% |
| Claro, S.A. (formerly BCP, S.A.) | Brazil | 99.9% | 99.9% |
| América Central Tel, S.A. de C.V. (ACT) | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Telecomunicaciones de Guatemala, S.A. (Telgua) | Guatemala | 99.2% | 99.2% |
| Empresa Nicaragüense de Telecomunicaciones, S.A. (Enitel) | Nicaragua | 99.5% | 99.5% |
| Estesa Holding Corp.(1) | Panama | | 100.0% |
| Cablenet, S.A.(1) | Nicaragua | | 100.0% |
| Estaciones Terrenas de Satélite, S.A. (Estesa)(1) | Nicaragua | | 100.0% |
| AMX El Salvador, S.A de C.V. | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Compañía de Telecomunicaciones de El Salvador, S.A. de C.V. (CTE) | El Salvador | 95.8% | 95.8% |
| CTE Telecom Personal, S.A. de C.V. (Personal) | El Salvador | 95.8% | 95.8% |
| Cablenet, S.A. (Cablenet) | Guatemala | 95.8% | 95.8% |
| Telecomoda, S.A. de C.V. (Telecomoda) | El Salvador | 95.8% | 95.8% |
| Telecom Publicar Directorios, S.A. de C.V. (Publicom) | El Salvador | 48.8% | 48.8% |
| Comunicación Celular, S.A. (Comcel) | Colombia | 99.4% | 99.4% |
| AMX Santa Lucía, Inc.(1)(2) | Santa Lucía | 100.0% | 99.4% |
| Oceanic Digital Jamaica, Ltd.(1)(2) | Jamaica | 100.0% | 99.4% |
| Consortio Ecuatoriano de Telecomunicaciones, S.A. (Conecel) | Ecuador | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMX Argentina Holdings, S.A. | Argentina | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMX Argentina, S.A.(3) | Argentina | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMX Wellington Gardens, S.A. de C.V.(3) | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Widcombe, S.A. de C.V.(3) | Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AMX Paraguay, S.A.(3) | Paraguay | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| AM Wireless Uruguay, S.A. | Uruguay | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Claro Chile, S.A. | Chile | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| América Móvil Perú, S.A.C. | Peru | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Claro Panamá, S.A. | Panama | | 100.0% |
| Affiliated companies: | | | |
| Grupo Telvista, S.A. de C.V. | Mexico | 45.0% | 45.0% |

1. Companies acquired in 2007 and 2008 (see Note 10).

2. On November 28, 2008, Sercotel, S.A. de C.V. sold 100% of its shares in AMX Santa Lucía, Inc. to Comunicación Celular, S.A. As a result, the Company's equity interest in AMX Santa Lucía, Inc. and Oceanic Digital Jamaica, Ltd. decreased from 100% to 99.4% in both companies.

3.

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

On December 29, 2008, Sercotel, S.A. de C.V. sold 100% of its shares in Wellington Gardens, S.A. de C.V. and Widcombe, S.A. de C.V. to AMX Argentina, S.A. As a result, AMX Argentina, S.A. now indirectly holds 100% of the shares of AMX Paraguay, S.A.

F-9

Table of Contents

The subsidiaries mentioned above provide mobile telephony services. In addition to mobile telephony services, Telgua, CTE, Enitel, Estesa, Dominicana and Puerto Rico provide, among other telecommunication services, fixed-line telephone services.

TracFone resells cellular airtime on a prepaid basis through retailers to customers who use telephones equipped with TracFone software. TracFone does not own a cellular infrastructure but purchases airtime from mobile carriers throughout the United States.

On April 20, 2009, América Móvil's General Director, Legal Director and Finance Director authorized the issuance of the accompanying consolidated Mexican Financial Reporting Standards (Mexican FRS) financial statements and these notes at December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. The accompanying consolidated financial statements which consist of those Mexican FRs consolidated financial statements and notes, as supplemented by the accompanying US GAAP disclosures presented in Notes 22 and 23, were authorized for issuance herein by América Móvil's Chief Executive Officer, General Counsel and Chief Financial Officer on June 23, 2009.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices

The principal accounting policies and practices followed by the Company in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements, in conformity with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards, are described below:

a) Consolidation and basis of translation of financial statements of foreign subsidiaries

i) Consolidation and equity method

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of América Móvil, S.A.B. de C.V. and those of its subsidiaries. The financial statements of the subsidiaries have been prepared for the same accounting period and follow the same accounting principals as those of the Company. All the companies operate in the telecommunications sector or provide services to companies operating in this sector.

All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. Non-controlling interest refers to certain foreign subsidiaries in which the Company does not hold 100% of the shares.

Equity investments in affiliated companies over which the Company exercises significant influence are accounted for using the equity method, which basically consists of recognizing América Móvil's proportional share in the net income or loss and the shareholders' equity of the investee.

The results of operations of the subsidiaries and affiliates were included in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of the month following their acquisition.

ii) Basis of translation of financial statements of foreign subsidiaries

The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries and affiliates, which in the aggregate account for approximately 54%, 59% and 61% of the Company's total operating revenues for 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively, and approximately 75% of the Company's total assets at December 31, 2007 and 2008, are either consolidated or accounted for based on the equity method, as the case may be, after their financial statements have first been adjusted to conform to Mexican Financial Reporting Standards in the corresponding functional currency and have then been translated to the reporting currency.

Table of Contents

The financial statements of the subsidiaries and affiliates located abroad were translated into Mexican pesos, as follows:

As mention in Note 2 z2), the Company adopted the new B-15 in 2008 and as of 2008, the financial statements as reported by the foreign subsidiaries and affiliates are converted to conform to Mexican Financial Reporting Standards in the local currency, and subsequently translated into the reporting currency. Since none of the Company's subsidiaries or affiliates operates in an inflationary environment, the financial statements prepared under Mexican Financial Reporting Standards reported by the subsidiaries and affiliates abroad in the local currency are translated as follows:

- i) all monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at year-end;
- ii) all non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at year-end;
- iii) shareholders' equity accounts are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at the time capital contributions were made and earnings were generated;
- iv) revenues, costs and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate;
- v) translation differences are recorded in shareholders' equity in the line item *Effect of translation of foreign entities* under *Other accumulated comprehensive income items*; and
- vi) the statement of cash flows was translated using the weighted average exchange rate and the difference is presented in the statement of cash flows under the caption *Adjustment to cash flow for exchange rate differences*.

Through December 31, 2007, the financial statements as reported by the foreign subsidiaries were converted to conform to Mexican Financial Reporting Standards in the local currency, and subsequently restated to constant monetary values based on the inflation rate of the country in which the subsidiary operates.

Once the financial information of foreign subsidiaries and affiliates was expressed in each country's currency in constant monetary values as of December 31, 2007, the financial statements were translated into Mexican pesos as follows:

- i) all assets and liabilities are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at year-end;
- ii) shareholders' equity accounts are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at the time capital contributions were made and earnings were generated;
- iii) income statement amounts are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at the end of the year being reported on;
- iv) exchange rate variances and effect of intercompany monetary items are recorded in the consolidated statements of income; and
- v) translation differences are recorded in shareholders' equity in the line item *Effect of translation of foreign entities* under *Other accumulated comprehensive income items*.

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

Translation differences resulting from the conversion process were recognized in shareholders' equity, in the caption *Effect of translation of foreign entities*, within *Other comprehensive income items*. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, the gain on translation was Ps. 1,273,306, Ps. 10,001,762 and Ps. 12,044,547, respectively.

The statement of changes in financial position for the year ended December 31, 2007 was prepared based on the financial statements expressed in constant Mexican pesos. The source and application of resources represent the differences between beginning and ending financial statement balances in constant Mexican pesos. Monetary and foreign exchange gains and losses are not considered as items not requiring the use of resources in the statement of changes in financial position.

F-11

Table of Contents

b) Revenue recognition

Revenues are recognized at the time services are provided and when the probability of their collection is reasonably assured. Mobile telecommunications services are provided either under prepaid (calling cards), or post payment (agreement) plans, or both. In all cases, airtime revenues are recognized as a customer uses the airtime or when the card expires in the case of prepayments or for unused airtime.

Monthly basic rent under post-paid plans is billed in arrears based on the plan and package rates approved and correspond to services rendered, except in Mexico and Colombia, where basic monthly rent is billed one month in advance. Revenues are recognized at the time services are provided. Billed revenues for the service not yet rendered are recognized as deferred revenues.

Revenues from interconnections services, which consist of calls of other carriers that enter the Company's cellular network (incoming interconnections services), are recognized at the time the service is provided. Such services are billed based on rates previously agreed with the other carriers.

Long-distance charges refer to airtime used in receiving from or making calls to regions or coverage areas outside of the area where the customer service is activated. The related revenues are recognized at the time the service is provided.

Roaming charges represent airtime charged to customers for making or receiving calls outside their coverage areas or abroad. The related revenues are recognized at the time the service is provided based on the rates agreed upon with other domestic and international carriers.

Value added services and other services include voice services and data transmission services (such as two-way and written messages, call information, ring tones, emergency services, among others). Revenues from such services are recognized at the time they are provided or when the services are downloaded.

Sales of handsets and accessories, which for the most part are made to authorized distributors, are recorded as revenue when a) the products are delivered and accepted by the distributor, b) distributors do not have return right and c) probability of collection is reasonably assured.

Discounts granted on the sale of cellular equipment to wholesalers, retailers and department store chains are recognized as reductions in the price of the phone.

Telgua, ENITEL, CTE, Dominicana and Puerto Rico's revenues from telephone line installation fees (net of related costs) are deferred and recognized over the estimated average life of the customer.

The Company usually does not charge activation fees for its mobile telephony services; however, in certain regions, depending on the particular market, certain activation fees are charged. The Company recognizes revenues from these fees when billed. These revenues are not deferred because they are not significant to the Company's financial statements.

c) Cost of cellular telephone equipment

The cost related to cellular telephone equipment is recognized in the statements of income at the time the corresponding income is recognized. Shipping and handling costs for wireless handsets sold to distributors are classified as costs of sales.

Cellular equipment and accessories inventories are valued at their cost of acquisition using the average-cost method.

Table of Contents

d) Network interconnection costs, long distance costs and rent paid for use of infrastructure

These costs represent the costs of outgoing calls from the Company's cellular networks to other carriers' network, the costs of link-ups between fixed and cellular networks, long-distance charges and rent paid for use of infrastructure (links, ports and measured usage), as well as the message exchange between operators, all of which are recognized as costs at the time the service is received.

e) Commissions paid to distributors

Commissions paid with respect to the activation of postpaid customers are recognized as expenses at the time the new customer is activated in the system and may begin using the Company's network.

Loyalty and sales volumes commissions are accrued on a monthly basis based on statistical information regarding customer retention, sales volume and the number of acquired customers by each distributor. Loyalty commissions are paid to distributors for customers that remain so for a specified period of time, and sales volume commissions are paid at the time the distributor reaches certain ranges of activated customers.

f) Recognition of the effects of inflation

Mexican FRS B-10, *Effects of Inflation*, which became effective on January 1, 2008, requires that once it has been confirmed that the economic environment in which the Company operates has changed from inflationary to non-inflationary as of the beginning of the period, the Company should cease to recognize the effects of inflation. The Company currently operates in a non-inflationary economic environment because the cumulative inflation rate in Mexico over the past three years was 11.56%.

However, even though the economic environment in 2007 qualified as being non-inflationary, Mexican accounting Bulletin B-10, *Accounting Recognition of the Effects of Inflation on Financial Information*, was still effective. Accordingly, the financial statements for the year ended December 2006 and 2007 are presented in Mexican pesos with purchasing power at December 31, 2007, while the 2008 financial statements are expressed in nominal pesos, except for those non-monetary items that include inflation effects at December 31, 2007 and current pesos in 2008 due to the additions made during the year.

Capital stock and retained earnings were restated for inflation through December 31, 2007 based on the Mexican National Consumer Price Index (NCPI).

Through December 31, 2007, the deficit from restatement of shareholders' equity consists of the accumulated monetary position gain at the time the provisions of Bulletin B-10 were first applied, which was Ps. 19,327, and of the result from holding non-monetary assets, which represents the difference between restatement by the specific indexation method and restatement based on the NCPI. At December 31, 2007, the Ps. 14,562,294 balance of this item is included in shareholders' equity under the *Accumulated other comprehensive income items* caption. In conformity with Mexican FRS B-10, since it was unpractical to identify the result from holding non-monetary assets with the items giving rise to them, the cumulative result from holding non-monetary assets, together with the initial effect from the adoption of Bulletin B-10 net of Ps. 771,928 of deferred taxes, was reclassified to retained earnings.

The net monetary position gain shown in the 2006 and 2007 income statement represents the effect of inflation on monetary assets and liabilities and is included as part of the caption *Comprehensive result of financing*.

g) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist basically of bank deposits and highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than 90 days. Such investments are stated at acquisition cost plus accrued interest, similar to market value.

Table of Contents

h) Investments in financial instruments

Investments in financial instruments have been classified either as marketable securities or available-for-sale. All investments are represented by equity securities and are recognized at market value. Changes in the fair value of instruments classified as trading securities are recognized in results of operations. Changes in the market value of instruments classified as available-for-sale are included in shareholders' equity until they are sold.

Should there be objective and lasting evidence of impairment in the value of either its instruments available-for-sale or held to maturity, the Company determines the amount of the related loss and recognizes such loss as part of the comprehensive financing income (cost). For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, since the loss on fair value of securities available-for-sale was other than temporary, the Company included an impairment loss of Ps. 1,375,713 and Ps. 1,362,900, respectively, in the statements of income. There were no impairment losses in 2008.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, there were no transfers between categories of financial assets.

i) Allowance for doubtful accounts

The Company recognizes periodically in its results of operations an allowance for doubtful accounts for its portfolios of postpaid customers, distributors and network operators (basically for interconnection fees). The allowance is based primarily on past write-off experience and on the aging of accounts receivable balances, as well as management's estimates as to when dispute proceedings with operators will be resolved.

Collection policies and procedures vary by the type of credit extended, the payment history of customers and the age of the unpaid calls.

The risk of uncollectibility from intercompany receivables is evaluated annually based on an examination of each related party's financial situation and the markets in which they operate.

j) Inventories

Cellular equipment inventories are initially recognized at historical acquisition cost, as valued using the average-cost method and through December 31, 2007, inventories were restated to constant Mexican pesos (considering inventory turnover). The stated value of inventories is not in excess of net realizable value.

k) Business acquisitions and goodwill

Business and entity acquisitions are recorded using the purchase method. The acquisition of non-controlling interest is considered a transaction between entities under common control and any difference between the purchase price and the book value of net assets acquired is recognized as an equity transaction.

Goodwill represents the difference between the acquisition price and the fair value of the net assets acquired at acquisition date.

Goodwill is recorded initially at acquisition cost and up to December 31, 2007 was restated using adjustment factors derived from the NCPI. Goodwill is no longer amortized, but rather is subject to annual impairment valuations at the end of each year, or during the year if there are indications of impairment.

Impairment losses are recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its recovery value. The Company determines the recovery value of goodwill based on its perpetuity value, which is computed by

Table of Contents

dividing the average excess in the value in use of the cash generating unit where the intangible is identified, by the average of the appropriate discount rates used in the projection of the present value of cash flows from the cash generating unit.

For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, there were no impairment losses recognized by the Company.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized a loss of Ps. 527,770 from impairment in the value of goodwill. Such loss was included in the statement of income as part of the caption Other income (expenses), net. This impairment was originated for its subsidiary in Honduras.

l) Telephone plant, property and equipment

As of 2008, purchases of plant, property and equipment are recorded at acquisition cost. Through December 31, 2007, plant, property and equipment and construction in progress acquired abroad were restated based on the rate of inflation of the respective country of origin and the prevailing exchange rate at the balance sheet date (specific indexation factors), while plant, property and equipment of domestic origin were restated based on the NCPI.

Depreciation is computed on restated values using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets, starting the month after the assets are put to use.

Annual depreciation rates are as follows:

| | |
|---|------------|
| Telephone plant | 10% to 33% |
| System-performance monitoring equipment included in telephone plant | 33% |
| Buildings | 3% |
| Other assets | 10% to 25% |

As of January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of Mexican FRS D-6, *Capitalization of the Comprehensive Result of Financing*, establishing that entities must capitalize comprehensive financing cost (CFC), which corresponds to net interest expense, exchange differences, the monetary position result and other financial costs related to the acquisition of the telephone plant.

The Comprehensive result of financing incurred during the building and installation period are capitalized and were restated up to December 31, 2007 using the NCPI. Through December 31, 2006, the Company did not capitalize the CRF. The net effect of the capitalization of CRF in 2007 was an increase in net income in 2007 of Ps. 1,158,576 (Ps. 834,175 net of taxes).

The value of plant, property and equipment is reviewed on an annual basis. When the recovery value of an asset, which is the greater of its selling price and value in use (the present value of future cash flows), is lower than its net carrying value, the difference is recognized as an impairment loss.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company wrote-off the remaining carrying value of its telephone plant that utilizes TDMA technology in Colombia and Ecuador. This write-down was made after considering both technological obsolescence of TDMA in those specific geographies and also other economic and operational considerations. The write-off amounted to Ps. 2,735,000 and has been included as a component of the caption *Other expenses, net* in the accompanying 2007 consolidated statement of income. The Company also began to accelerate TDMA depreciation in Brazil (see Note 8).

At December 31, 2008, the Company recognized a loss of Ps. 113,422 from impairment in the value of the telephone plant shown in the consolidated balance sheet. Such loss was included in the statement of operations as part of the caption *Other income, net*.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2006 there were no impairment losses recognized by the Company.

m) Licenses and trademarks

As of 2008, the Company records licenses at acquisition cost. Through December 31, 2007, licenses were restated based on the rate of inflation of each country.

Licenses to operate wireless telecommunications networks are accounted for at cost or at fair value at acquisition date. Licenses are being amortized using the straight-line method over periods ranging from 15 to 40 years, which correspond to the usage period of each license.

Trademarks are recorded at their values in use at the date acquired, considering the report of independent appraisers, and are amortized using the straight-line method over a ten-year period.

The carrying values of intangible assets with defined useful lives are reviewed annually and whenever there are indications of impairment in such values. When the recovery value of an asset, which is the greater of its selling price and value in use (the present value of future cash flows), is lower than its net carrying amount, the difference is recognized as an impairment loss.

Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, including those that are not yet available for use and intangibles with defined useful lives whose amortization period exceeds 20 years from the date they were available for use, are tested for impairment at the end of each year.

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, the Company recorded no loss from impairment in its intangible assets.

At December 31, 2008, the Company recognized a loss of Ps. 98,661 from impairment in the value of licenses shown in the consolidated balance sheet. Such loss was included in the statement of income as part of the caption *Other income (expenses), net*. This impairment was originated for its subsidiary in Honduras.

n) Leases

Sale and leaseback

The Company entered into sales and leaseback agreements that meet the conditions for consideration as financial leases. Such agreements give rise to losses derived from the difference between the asset's sale price and its value in books that result in the recognition of deferred charges that are being amortized based on the remaining useful life of the related assets at the time of sale.

Operating leases

Rent paid under operating leases is recognized in results of operations as it accrues.

Financial leases

Lease arrangements are recognized as capital leases if (i) the ownership of the leased asset is transferred to the lessee upon termination of the lease; (ii) the agreement includes an option to purchase the asset at a reduced price; (iii) the term of the lease is basically the same as the remaining useful life of the leased asset; or (iv) the present value of minimum lease payments is basically the same as the market value of the leased asset, net of any benefit or scrap value.

Table of Contents

o) Exchange differences

Transactions in foreign currency are recorded at the prevailing exchange rate at the time of the related transactions. Foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Exchange differences determined from such date to the time foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities are settled or translated at the balance sheet date are charged or credited to operations, except for those arising on foreign currency denominated loans for the construction of fixed assets, as such costs are capitalized as comprehensive financing costs during the construction stage.

See Note 15 for the Company's consolidated foreign currency position at the end of each year and the exchange rates used to translate foreign currency denominated balances.

p) Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

Liabilities are recognized whenever (i) the Company has current obligations (legal or assumed) resulting from past events, (ii) when it is probable the obligation will most likely give rise to a future cash disbursement for its settlement and (iii) the amount of the obligation can be reasonably estimated.

When the effect of the time value of money is material, the amount of the reserve is determined as the present value of the expected disbursements to settle the obligation. The discount rate applied is determined on a pre-tax basis and reflects current market conditions at the balance sheet date and, where appropriate, the risks specific to the liability. Where discounting is used, an increase in the reserve is recognized as financial expense.

Reserves for contingent liabilities are recognized only when it is probable they will give rise to a future cash disbursement for their settlement. Also, contingencies are only recognized when they will generate a loss.

q) Employee benefits

In Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A. de C.V. and in Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, S.A., there are defined benefit pension plans. These plans require the valuation and recognition of the accumulated effects of retirement and post-retirement labor obligations. Such effects are determined based on actuarial studies using the projected unit-credit method.

In Ecuador, the Company has an individual capitalization pension plan, whereby it purchases a single-premium deferred annuity from an insurance company, for which the Company only makes a yearly premium payment. In accordance with Mexican Bulletin D-3, this plan falls under the category of a defined contribution plan and thus, only the net period cost of the plan must be disclosed.

Seniority premiums are paid to personnel as required by Mexican labor law. Also under Mexican labor law, the Company is liable for certain benefits accruing to workers who leave or are dismissed in certain circumstances.

The Company recognizes annually the cost for pension benefits, seniority premiums and termination payments based on independent actuarial computations applying the projected unit-credit method, using real rates (financial hypotheses net of inflation). The latest actuarial computation date was prepared as of November 2008.

In conformity with the labor laws of the rest of the countries in which the Company operates, there are no statutory defined benefit plans or compulsory defined contribution structures for companies. However, the foreign subsidiaries make contributions to national pension, social security and severance plans in accordance with the percentages and rates established by the applicable laws.

Such contributions are made to bodies designated by each government and are recorded in results of operations as direct labor benefits as they are incurred or when the contribution is made.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Mexican FRS D-3, *Employee Benefits*, which replaced Mexican accounting Bulletin D-3, *Labor Obligations*. The adoption of Mexican FRS D-3, did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

The Company recognizes a reserve for the costs of paid absences, such as vacation time, based on the accrual method.

r) Employee profit sharing

Current-year employee profit sharing is presented under the caption *Commercial, administrative and general expenses* in the income statement.

Beginning January 1, 2008, in connection with the adoption of Mexican FRS D-3, *Employee Benefits*, the Company recognized deferred employee profit sharing using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred profit sharing is computed by applying the 10% rate to all differences between the book and tax values of virtually all assets and liabilities. Amounts were not significant.

Through December 31, 2007, deferred employee profit sharing was determined only on temporary differences in the reconciliation of current year net income to taxable income for employee profit sharing purposes, provided there was no indication that the related liability or asset would not be realized in the future.

s) Taxes on profits

Current year taxes on profits are shown as a short-term liability, net of prepayments made during the year.

Beginning January 1, 2008, deferred taxes on profits are recognized using the asset and liability method established in Mexican FRS D-4, *Taxes on Profits*. Under this method, deferred taxes on profits are recognized on virtually all differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, including effects of translation, applying the enacted income tax rate or the flat-rate business tax rate, as the case may be, effective as of the balance sheet date, or the enacted rate at the balance sheet date that will be in effect when the temporary differences giving rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be recovered or settled.

Through December 31, 2007, the method mentioned in the preceding paragraph was applied only to temporary differences between the values of all assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes.

The Company periodically evaluates the possibility of recovering deferred tax assets and if necessary, creates a valuation allowance for those assets that are not more likely than not to be realized.

Effective January 1, 2008, asset tax paid in excess of income tax is treated as a tax credit, while through December 31, 2007, asset tax was recorded as part of deferred income tax. In both cases, an evaluation of its future realization is performed.

t) Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, advertising expenses aggregated Ps. 5,408,146, Ps. 7,175,663 and Ps. 8,520,506, respectively.

u) Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income consists of the net income for the year plus the following items that are reflected directly in shareholders' equity: the effect of translation of financial statements of foreign entities, amounts relating to non-controlling interest, the effect of current year deferred taxes, and other items different from net income.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2007 and 2008, an analysis of accumulated other comprehensive income items is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Net monetary position gain upon adoption of B-10 | Ps. 19,327 | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | 9,769,836 | 21,814,383 |
| Result from holding non-monetary assets | (14,562,294) | |
| Deferred taxes | 771,928 | (2,825,486) |
| | Ps. (4,001,203) | Ps. 18,988,897 |

v) Earnings per share

The Company determined earnings per share by dividing net majority income by the average weighted number of shares issued and outstanding during the period. In determining the average weighted number of shares issued and outstanding, shares acquired by repurchase have been excluded.

As a result of the merger mentioned in Note 3, all information relative to shares and earnings per share has been retroactively adjusted to recognize the net cancellation of shares mentioned in the note.

w) Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards requires the use of estimates and assumptions. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

x) Concentration of risk

The Company's principal financial instruments consist of bank loans, derivative financial instruments, financial leases and accounts payable. The Company has other financial assets, such as accounts receivable and short-term deposits, that are directly related to its business.

The main risks associated with the Company's financial instruments are cash flow risk, liquidity risk, market risk and credit risk. The Company performs sensitivity analyses to measure potential losses in its operating results based on a theoretical increase of 100 basis points in interest rates and a 10 % change in exchange rates. The Board of Directors approves the risk management policies that are proposed by the Company's management.

Credit risk represents the potential loss from the failure of counterparties to completely comply with its contractual obligations. The Company is also exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in interest rates and exchange rates. To reduce the risks related to fluctuations in exchange rates, the Company uses derivative financial instruments.

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, and debt and derivative financial instruments. The Company's policy is designed to not restrict its exposure to any one financial institution; therefore, the Company's financial instruments are maintained in different financial institutions located in different geographical areas.

The credit risk in accounts receivable is diversified because the Company has a broad customer base that is geographically dispersed. The Company continuously evaluates the credit conditions of its customers and does not require collateral to guarantee collection of its accounts receivable. In the event the collection of accounts receivable deteriorates significantly, the Company's results of operations could be adversely affected.

A portion of excess cash is invested in time deposits in financial institutions with strong credit ratings.

Table of Contents

The Company operates internationally and is therefore exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in exchange rates.

The Company relies on several key suppliers. Approximately 34%, 21% and 32% of the Company's aggregate interconnection expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively, represented services rendered by one supplier; approximately 63%, 75%, and 70%, respectively, of the aggregate cost of cellular telephone equipment for such periods represented purchases from three suppliers; and approximately 81%, 58% and 54%, respectively, of telephone plant purchases were made from two suppliers. If any of these suppliers fails to provide the Company with services or equipment on a timely and cost effective basis, the Company's business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

y) Derivative financial instruments

The Company is exposed to interest rate and foreign currency risks, which are mitigated through a controlled risk management program that includes the use of derivative financial instruments. In order to reduce the risks due to exchange rate fluctuations, the Company utilizes swaps and forwards to fix exchange rates to the liabilities being hedged; however, since the Company has not formally documented the hedging relationship, it does not apply hedge accounting rules to its derivative financial instruments.

Derivative financial instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at their fair values, which are obtained from the financial institutions with which the Company has entered into the related agreements. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in results of operations.

z) Statement of income presentation

Costs and expenses in the Company's income statement are presented on a combined basis between their nature and function, which allows operating income levels to be shown, since such classification allows the captions to be compared with other companies in the Telecommunications industry.

The Operating income caption is shown in the income statement since it is an important indicator used for evaluating the Company's operating results. Operating income consists of ordinary revenues and operating costs and expenses and thus excludes other income (expenses), net. This presentation is comparable to the one used in the financial statements at and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007.

z.1) Operating segments

Segment information is prepared based on information used by the Company in its decision-making processes based on the geographical areas in which América Móvil operates.

z.2) New accounting pronouncements in force as of 2008

Mexican FRS B-2, *Statement of Cash Flows*

Mexican FRS B-2 replaced Mexican accounting Bulletin B-12, *Statement of Changes in Financial Position*. Accordingly, the statement of cash flows substituted the statement of changes in financial position. The main differences between both statements lie in the fact that the statement of cash flows shows the entity's cash inflows and outflows during the period, while the statement of changes in financial position shows the changes in the entity's financial structure. Also, the statement of cash flows presents first income before taxes on profits, followed by cash flows from operating activities, then cash flows from investing activities and finally cash flows from financing activities.

The statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2008 was prepared using the indirect method. The statement of cash flows is not comparable to the statement of changes in financial position for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Table of Contents**Mexican FRS B-10, *Effects of Inflation***

Mexican FRS B-10 superceded Mexican accounting Bulletin B-10, *Accounting Recognition for the Effects of Inflation on Financial Information*. Mexican FRS B-10 defines the two economic environments that will be the basis on which to determine whether or not entities must recognize the effects of inflation on their financial information: i) inflationary, when cumulative inflation in the preceding three fiscal years is equal to or greater than 26%; and ii) non-inflationary, when cumulative inflation for the preceding three fiscal years is less than the aforementioned 26%. This standard requires that the effects of inflation on financial information must be recognized only when entities operate in an inflationary environment. Mexican FRS B-10 abolishes the use of the specific-indexation method for the valuation of imported fixed assets and the replacement-cost method for the valuation of inventories. Consequently, the result from holding non-monetary assets included in the excess (deficit) from the restatement of shareholders' equity must be identified with the assets giving rise to them. When it is not practical to identify such assets, the cumulative result from holding non-monetary assets, together with the initial effect from the adoption of Bulletin B-10 is reclassified to retained earnings.

The effect of the reclassification of the result from holding non-monetary assets as a result of the adoption of this new standard is disclosed in Note 2 paragraph f.

Mexican FRS B-15, *Foreign Currency Translation*

Mexican FRS B-15 replaced the previous Mexican accounting Bulletin B-15, *Transactions in Foreign Currency and Translation of Financial Statements of Foreign Operations*, incorporating the concepts of recording currency, functional currency and reporting currency, and eliminates the concepts of integrated foreign operations and foreign entity established in Mexican accounting Bulletin B-15. This standard also establishes new procedures for translating financial information of a company's foreign operations from its recording currency to its functional currency, and from the functional currency to the reporting currency.

The application of Mexican FRS B-15 is prospective; therefore, the financial statements from prior years have not been modified. The effect of adopting Mexican FRS B-15 was not significant.

Mexican FRS D-3, *Employee Benefits*

Mexican FRS D-3, *Employee Benefits*, replaced Mexican accounting Bulletin D-3, *Labor Obligations*. The most significant changes contained in Mexican FRS D-3 are as follows: i) shorter periods for the amortization of unamortized items, with the option to credit or charge actuarial gains or losses directly to results of operations, as they are incurred; ii) elimination of the recognition of the additional minimum pension liability and resulting recognition of an other intangible asset and comprehensive income item.

The scope of this standard includes the accounting treatment of employee profit sharing and requires the use of the asset and liability method in the computation of deferred taxes on profits to determine the deferred employee profit sharing asset or liability, as well as its effect on results of operations. This standard also establishes that the initial effect of recognizing deferred employee profit sharing is to be presented as an adjustment to retained earnings, unless it is related to other comprehensive income items not yet reclassified to income. Current-year and deferred employee profit sharing are recognized as an ordinary expense in the statement of income. The effect of adopting Mexican FRS D-3 was not a significant effect in the Company's results of operations.

Mexican FRS D-4, *Taxes on Profits*

This standard replaced Mexican accounting Bulletin D-4, *Accounting for Income Tax, Asset Tax and Employee Profit Sharing*, and eliminates the concept of permanent differences, since the asset and liability method established in this FRS requires the recognition of deferred taxes on all differences in balance sheet accounts for financial and tax reporting purposes, regardless of whether they are permanent or temporary. Under

Table of Contents

this standard, the cumulative effect of adopting the previous Mexican accounting Bulletin D-4 is to be reclassified to retained earnings unless it is identified with other comprehensive income items in shareholders' equity not yet reclassified to income.

The adoption of Mexican FRS D-4 resulted in the recognition of deferred taxes on the foreign currency translation effect for the years ended December 31, 2008, in the amount of Ps. 2,825,486, which was included as part of other accumulated comprehensive income items in stockholders' equity.

Following is a discussion of the new accounting pronouncements that became effective on January 1, 2009, and that could affect the Company's accounting policies:

Mexican FRS B-7, *Business Combinations*

In December 2008, the CINIF issued Mexican FRS B-7, which came into force for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2009. Mexican FRS B-7 replaced Mexican accounting Bulletin B-7, *Business Combinations*.

Both Mexican FRS B-7 and Mexican accounting Bulletin B-7 require the application of the purchase method for the recognition of business combinations. However, unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin B-7, this standard: (i) requires that the total net assets acquired and consideration paid to be valued at fair value; and (ii) requires that all of the costs incurred in a business combination be recognized in the operating results of the acquiring entity. As a result of this change, it was clarified that goodwill must be determined for both the controlling (majority) interest and the non-controlling (minority) interest, the latter of which must be valued at fair value.

In certain cases, this standard allows transactions between entities under common control to be treated as business combinations, unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin B-7, which required such transactions, without exceptions, to be stated at book value. Regarding business combinations carried out in stages, this standard also clarifies that the values recognized in the balance sheet of the buyer for its investment, net of any depreciation, amortization or impairment adjustments, are to be considered as part of the consideration paid (and not at their fair value), when determining goodwill at the time control is acquired over the investee.

Mexican FRS B-7 also establishes standards for the recognition of reacquired intangible assets, contingent liabilities, contingent consideration and termination payment assets resulting from business combinations.

Finally, Mexican FRS B-7 also clarifies that the recognition of push-down adjustments to the financial statements of the acquired entity is not applicable in Mexico and provides no transitory guidance in this regard.

The Company has not yet quantified the impact that the adoption of this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Mexican FRS B-8, *Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements*

In November 2008, the CINIF issued Mexican FRS B-8, which is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2009. Mexican FRS B-8 replaces Mexican accounting Bulletin B-8, *Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements and the Valuation of Long-Term Equity Investments*. Mexican FRS B-8 establishes the overall guidelines for preparing and presenting consolidated or combined financial statements, and transfers the guidance related to accounting for long-term equity investments to Mexican FRS C-7.

The most important amendments, changes or additions to this standard are as follows:

a) Unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin B-8, Mexican FRS B-8 does not require sub-holding companies to present consolidated financial statements under certain circumstances. In such cases, the investments in subsidiaries of these intermediary holding companies are accounted for using the equity method.

Table of Contents

b) this standard establishes that to determine the existence of control, the Company must consider any potential voting rights held that might be exercised or converted, regardless of management's actual intention and financial capacity to exercise such rights.

c) Also, unlike Bulletin B-8, this standard requires the financial statements of the consolidating entities to be prepared under the same Mexican FRS, eliminating the possibility to consolidate those entities that do not issue financial statements under Mexican FRS due to an obligation to present their financial statements under specific accounting rules.

d) This standard includes guidelines for the accounting treatment of special purpose entities. Mexican FRS B-8 establishes that specific purpose entities over which the company exercises control must be consolidated, irrespective of their business purpose or line of business.

e) Mexican FRS B-8 establishes that changes in equity interest that do not cause loss of control must be recognized as transactions between shareholders; therefore, any difference between the book value of the equity investment sold or acquired and the value of the consideration paid must be recognized in shareholders' equity.

f) As in Mexican FRS B-7, this standard establishes that the recognition of push-down adjustments must not be recognized in the financial statements of the subsidiary.

The Company has not yet quantified the impact that the adoption of this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Mexican FRS C-7, Investments in Affiliates and Other Permanent Investments

In November 2008, the CINIF issued Mexican FRS C-7, which becomes effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2009. The purpose of this standard is to establish guidelines for the accounting recognition of investments in associated companies, as well as for the recognition of any other permanent investments through which the reporting entity does not have control or joint control or exercise significant influence.

The most important amendments, changes or additions to this standard are as follows:

a) Unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin B-8, this standard establishes that there is significant influence when an entity holds 10% or more of the voting shares in an entity that is listed on a stock exchange, or when it holds 25% or more of the voting shares in an entity not listed on a stock exchange. Mexican FRS C-7 also provides the guidelines for determining the existence of significant influence in the case of special purpose entities (SPE).

b) In identifying the existence of significant influence, both Mexican FRS B-8 and this standard require consideration of any potential voting rights held by the entity that might be exercised or converted, regardless of management's actual intention and financial capacity to exercise such rights.

Investments in associated companies or an equity interest in a SPE over which the reporting entity exercises significant influence must be initially recognized at fair value and subsequently by applying the equity method. To apply the equity method, unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin B-8, the financial statements of the affiliated company must be prepared in conformity with Mexican Financial Reporting Standards.

c) This standard also establishes the guidelines for the recognition of losses incurred by affiliated companies, since Mexican accounting Bulletin B-8 did not address this issue.

d) Lastly, this standard establishes that the investment in the affiliated company must be tested for impairment when indications of impairment exists and modifies Mexican accounting Bulletin C-15, *Impairment in the Value of Long-lived Assets*, by establishing that the impairment of investments in affiliated companies must be presented as part of the caption *Equity income of unconsolidated subsidiaries and affiliates*.

The Company has not yet quantified the impact that the adoption of this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Mexican FRS C-8, Intangible Assets**

Mexican FRS C-8 will replace Mexican accounting Bulletin C-8, *Intangible Assets* and will become effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2009.

Unlike Mexican accounting Bulletin C-8, this standard establishes that separability is not the only condition necessary to determine that an intangible asset is identifiable. Mexican FRS C-8 also provides additional guidance on the accounting recognition of intangible assets acquired through exchange transactions and eliminates the presumption that the useful life of an intangible asset could not exceed twenty years. Furthermore, the standard adds the requirement of an accelerated amortization period as a condition for impairment and modifies the definition of pre-operating costs.

Finally, Mexican FRS C-8 establishes the accounting treatment for disposals of intangible assets resulting from sale, abandonment or exchange.

The Company has not yet quantified the impact that the adoption of this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

zz.1) Reclassifications

Certain captions shown in the 2006 and 2007 financial statements as originally issued have been reclassified for uniformity of presentation with the 2008 financial statements.

| | As originally issued 2007 | Reclassification | As reclassified 2007 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | | |
| Other current assets, net(1) | 3,153,785 | (1,647,361) | 1,506,424 |
| Other non-current assets, net(1) | 8,835,255 | 1,647,361 | 10,482,616 |
| Statement of income | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization(2) | 40,818,281 | (412,263) | 40,406,018 |
| Commercial, administrative and general expenses(2) | 53,193,145 | 412,263 | 53,605,408 |
| Depreciation and amortization(3) | 28,148,569 | (264,770) | 27,883,799 |
| Commercial, administrative and general expenses(3) | 40,377,555 | 264,770 | 40,642,325 |

(1) Reclassification of leaseback sale

(2) Reclassification of amortization of prepaid expenses 2007

(3) Reclassification of amortization of prepaid expenses 2006

zz.2) Convenience translation

U.S. dollar amounts as of December 31, 2008 shown in the financial statements have been included solely for the convenience of the reader and are translated from Mexican pesos as of December 31, 2008, divided by an exchange rate of Ps. 13.54 per dollar, which is the December 31, 2008 exchange rate. Such translations should not be construed as a representation that the peso amounts have been or could be converted into U.S. dollars at this or any other rate.

3. Merger

At an extraordinary meeting held on December 13, 2006, the shareholders agreed to merge América Telecom, S.A.B. de C.V. (AMTEL) and Corporativo Empresarial, S.A. de C.V. (Corporativo) (disappearing companies) into América Móvil (surviving company).

Prior to the merger, the principal business strategy of AMTEL and Corporativo was to hold equity interest in América Móvil.

Table of Contents

Derived from the merger, (i) the shareholders of AMTEL received 4.07128 shares of América Móvil for each of their shares (prior to the merger, AMTEL held 14,630,000,000 shares of América Móvil); (ii) América Móvil cancelled, on a net basis, 603,143,698 Series L shares and issued 14,026,856,302 shares of the corresponding series.

The shareholders of AMTEL, at their request and subject to not exceeding the limits corresponding to each series of shares and to the holding restrictions contained in América Móvil's bylaws, received shares of América Móvil on a proportional basis (see Note 18).

4. Investments in Financial Instruments

An analysis of the Company's investments in financial instruments at December 31, 2007 is as follows:

| Shares | 2007 | |
|--------|------------|------------|
| | Cost | Fair value |
| | Ps. 52,372 | Ps. 49,931 |

a) At December 31, 2007, the net unrealized loss on for-trading securities was Ps. 2,441. The net realized gain was Ps. 15,214, Ps. 29,604 and Ps. 19,786 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. All such valuation results are recognized in results of operations.

b) As a result of the loss in the market value of the securities of the issuer U.S. Commercial (USCO), in 2006 and 2007, the Company recorded an other than temporary loss of Ps. 1,375,713 and Ps. 1,362,900, respectively, in its results of operations under the other financing costs, net caption. In December 2007, USCO's shares were transferred to the Carso Foundation (a related party) as a charitable donation.

5. Accounts Receivable

a) An analysis of accounts receivable is as follows:

| | December 31 | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Subscribers | Ps. 22,724,331 | Ps. 24,815,416 |
| Distributors | 11,341,424 | 10,233,726 |
| Cellular operators for interconnections and other connections including interconnection under the Calling Party Pays program | 7,961,177 | 9,713,659 |
| Recoverable taxes | 6,656,109 | 9,967,980 |
| Sundry debtors | 2,117,730 | 3,465,030 |
| | 50,800,771 | 58,195,811 |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts of customers and distributors | (6,044,433) | (5,425,135) |
| Net | Ps. 44,756,338 | Ps. 52,770,676 |

b) An analysis of activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Balance at the beginning of the period | Ps. (4,042,952) | Ps. (4,324,981) | Ps. (6,044,433) |
| Increase through charge to expenses | (2,908,572) | (4,642,250) | (5,676,033) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Applications to the allowance | 2,961,258 | 3,192,832 | 6,950,478 |
| Effect of inflation | (156,325) | | |
| Effect of translation | (178,390) | (270,034) | (655,147) |
| Balance at the end of the period | Ps. (4,324,981) | Ps. (6,044,433) | Ps. (5,425,135) |

F-25

Table of Contents

c) In 2006, Enitel sold, without recourse, its fully reserved bad debt portfolio to unrelated parties for Ps. 1,749.

In December 2008, Amx Argentina (subsidiary) also sold, without recourse, its bad debt portfolio to unrelated parties for Ps. 1,800.

6. Inventories

An analysis of inventories at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | December 31 | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Cellular telephones, accessories, cards and others | Ps. 22,193,767 | Ps. 33,035,047 |
| Less: | | |
| Reserve for obsolete and slow-moving inventories | (1,133,737) | (1,229,905) |
| Total | Ps. 21,060,030 | Ps. 31,805,142 |

7. Other Assets

a) An analysis of this caption at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | December 31 | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Current assets: | | |
| Prepaid expenses (including advertising, insurance and maintenance) | 1,345,239 | 2,353,677 |
| Other | 161,185 | 286,235 |
| | Ps. 1,506,424 | Ps. 2,639,912 |
| Non-current assets: | | |
| Recoverable taxes | Ps. 1,189,069 | Ps. 1,082,370 |
| Sale and leaseback of the telephone plant | 6,512,669 | 5,706,564 |
| Advances to related parties for use of fiber optic | 1,029,529 | 748,701 |
| Prepaid expenses | 1,751,349 | 1,643,352 |
| Total | Ps. 10,482,616 | Ps. 9,180,987 |

From January 2003 to December 2007, the Company sold part of its telephone plant to unrelated parties for Ps. 6,793,053 million and then again leased the plant under financial leaseback agreements. Such assets are being amortized based on the remaining useful lives of the assets at the time of sale.

During 2008, the Company sold part of its telephone plant to unrelated parties for Ps. 1,082,538 and then again leased the plant under financial leaseback agreements. Such assets are being amortized based on the remaining useful lives of the assets at the time of sale.

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 was Ps. 2,132,326, Ps. 2,706,612 and Ps. 1,618,201, respectively.

Table of Contents**8. Plant, Property and Equipment**

a) An analysis of plant, property and equipment at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Telephone plant and equipment | Ps. 259,846,353 | Ps. 320,141,371 |
| Land and buildings | 16,218,421 | 21,148,304 |
| Other assets | 31,130,595 | 39,713,303 |
| | 307,195,369 | 381,002,978 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (161,588,087) | (204,323,681) |
| Net | 145,607,282 | 176,679,297 |
| Construction in progress and advances to equipment suppliers | 19,740,478 | 30,361,241 |
| Inventories, primarily for use in the construction of the telephone plant | 1,736,146 | 2,856,282 |
| Total | Ps. 167,083,906 | Ps. 209,896,820 |

b) At December 31, 2007 and 2008, plant, property and equipment include the following assets held under capital leases:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Assets under capital leases | Ps. 6,793,053 | Ps. 3,046,236 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (3,136,116) | (1,254,925) |
| | Ps. 3,656,937 | Ps. 1,791,311 |

c) Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 was Ps. 19,774,627, Ps. 31,162,660 and Ps. 32,677,429, respectively.

d) Given the speed in which important breakthroughs and changes in telecommunications equipment technology arise, the Company reevaluates periodically the estimated useful life of its telephone plant and adjusts the plant's remaining useful life accordingly. In 2007, the Company increased the depreciation rate of TDMA technology and certain other assets primarily in Brazil and Colombia. This change in estimate was made to better reflect the technological advances of telecommunications equipment and other operational decisions. The effect of the depreciation rate increase was a Ps. 5,796 million increase in depreciation for 2007. In 2008 the Company did not change the estimated useful life of its telephone plant.

e) The most relevant information used in determining the capitalizable comprehensive financing cost is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Amounts invested during the year in the acquisition of qualifying assets | Ps. 21,103,165 | Ps. 30,700,024 |
| Capitalized comprehensive financing cost | 1,158,576 | 7,053,951 |
| Capitalization rate | 5.8% | 23% |

This amount is amortized over a period of seven years, which is the estimated useful life of the plant.

f) An analysis of the comprehensive financing cost to capitalized accounts for the year is as follows:

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | December 31 | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Total comprehensive financing cost accrued | Ps. (1,546,061) | Ps. (20,918,824) |
| Capitalized amount | 1,158,576 | 7,053,951 |
| Total comprehensive financing cost | Ps. (387,485) | Ps. (13,864,873) |

F-27

Table of Contents**9. Intangible Assets**

An analysis of intangible assets at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | December 31, 2007 | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | Balance at beginning of year | Acquisitions | Amortization expense | Effect of translation of foreign subsidiaries, net | Balance at end of year |
| Licenses | Ps. 35,798,989 | Ps. 2,089,815 | | | Ps. 37,888,804 |
| Effect of translation | 16,491,301 | | | Ps. 5,468,598 | 21,959,899 |
| Accumulated amortization | (17,585,259) | | Ps. (5,699,140) | | (23,284,399) |
| Net | Ps. 34,705,031 | Ps. 2,089,815 | Ps. (5,699,140) | Ps. 5,468,598 | Ps. 36,564,304 |
| Trademarks | Ps. 10,419,154 | Ps. 397,597 | | | Ps. 10,816,751 |
| Effect of translation | (716,031) | | | Ps. (92,814) | (808,845) |
| Accumulated amortization | (3,569,146) | | Ps. (837,606) | | (4,406,752) |
| Net | Ps. 6,133,977 | Ps. 397,597 | Ps. (837,606) | Ps. (92,814) | Ps. 5,601,154 |
| Goodwill | Ps. 30,292,697 | Ps. 17,649,528 | | | Ps. 47,942,225 |
| Effect of translation | 2,179,498 | | | Ps. 366,925 | 2,546,423 |
| Accumulated amortization | (5,763,776) | | | | (5,763,776) |
| Net | Ps. 26,708,419 | Ps. 17,649,528 | | Ps. 366,925 | Ps. 44,724,872 |
| | December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| | Balance at beginning of year | Acquisitions | Amortization expense | Effect of translation of foreign subsidiaries, net | Balance at end of year |
| Licenses | Ps. 37,888,804 | Ps. 13,736,514 | | | Ps. 51,625,318 |
| Effect of translation | 21,959,899 | | | Ps. (528,923) | 21,430,976 |
| Accumulated amortization | (23,284,399) | | Ps. (6,574,249) | | (29,858,648) |
| Impairment of the year | | | (98,661) | | (98,661) |
| Net | Ps. 36,564,304 | Ps. 13,736,514 | Ps. (6,672,910) | Ps. (528,923) | Ps. 43,098,985 |
| Trademarks | Ps. 10,816,751 | | | | Ps. 10,816,751 |
| Effect of translation | (808,845) | | | Ps. 306,815 | (502,030) |
| Accumulated amortization | (4,406,752) | | Ps. (897,430) | | (5,304,182) |
| Net | Ps. 5,601,154 | | Ps. (897,430) | Ps. 306,815 | Ps. 5,010,539 |
| Goodwill | Ps. 47,942,225 | Ps. 452,302 | | | Ps. 48,394,527 |
| Effect of translation | 2,546,423 | | | Ps. 46,877 | 2,593,300 |
| Accumulated amortization | (5,763,776) | | | | (5,763,776) |
| Impairment of the year | | | Ps. (527,770) | | (527,770) |
| Net | Ps. 44,724,872 | Ps. 452,302 | Ps. (527,770) | Ps. 46,877 | Ps. 44,696,281 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

a) A description of the principal changes in the caption licenses at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

For the year ended December 31, 2008:

In May 2008, the Company announced that Conecel had been notified by the *Consejo Nacional de Telecomunicaciones de Ecuador* that it had accepted Conecel's proposal regarding the terms and payments for

F-28

Table of Contents

the new license. Conecel made an initial fixed payment of USD 289 million (Ps. 4,003,439) to the Ecuadorian government for the new 15-year concession and will subsequently make variable payments determined at 3.93% of the gross concession income of each year will be expensed as incurred.

On May 7, 2008, the Company was awarded the bid to provide mobile voice services as well as data and video transmission services (PCS) in Panama. The license obtained by its subsidiary in Panama, Claro Panamá, S.A., grants the right to use and exploit 30 MHz in the 1900 MHz band over a period of 20 years. The amount paid by the Company for such license was USD 86 million (Ps. 895,626).

The Company acquired a license to operate 20 MHz of additional spectrum in five regions in Brazil and 30 MHz of additional spectrum in six regions in such country. The amount paid by the Company in April 2008 for these new rights aggregated Ps. 8,830,124 (approximately 1.4 billion Brazilian reais).

For the year ended December 31, 2007:

In March 2007, the Company acquired the shares of Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc. (see Note 10.I).

In September 2007, the Company was ceded the rights to exploit the 1,895 and 1,970 MHz radio spectrum frequency F sub-bands to operate and provide cellular telephony services in El Salvador. The amount paid by the Company for such licenses was Ps. 177,269.

In September 2007, the Company acquired a B band license for frequencies between 835 and 894 MHz to operate and provide cellular telephony services in Peru. The amount paid by the Company for such licenses was Ps. 251,965.

b) License amortization and trademark amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was Ps. 5,072,797 and Ps. 904,049, respectively.

c) As a result of certain acquisitions, in 2006 and 2007, the Company also acquired customer-related intangible assets for Ps. 840,671 and Ps. 685,679, respectively. These intangible assets are amortized based on their estimated useful lives, which are 5 years.

10. Investments in Affiliates and Others

An analysis of this caption at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|--------------------|
| Investments in: | | |
| Associates (Grupo Telvista, S.A. de C.V.) | Ps. 527,524 | Ps. 721,044 |
| Other investments | 62,091 | 68,568 |
| Total | Ps. 589,615 | Ps. 789,612 |

I. Investments in subsidiaries

During 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company made a number of investments in subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The Company considered appraisals from independent experts to determine the fair value of the net assets acquired. An analysis of the acquisition price of the net assets acquired per company based on fair values at the acquisition date is as follows:

- 2008 Acquisitions

| | Entesa Holding |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Current assets | Ps.44,224 |
| Fixed assets | 147,627 |
| Other assets | 1,612 |
| Less: | |
| Total liabilities | 160,559 |
| | |
| Fair value of net assets acquired | 32,904 |
| % of equity acquired | 100% |
| | |
| Net assets acquired | 32,904 |
| Amount paid | 485,206 |
| | |
| Goodwill generated | Ps.452,302 |

a) In August 2008, the Company acquired 100% of the shares of Entesa Holding Corp., a cable television and broadband platform service provider for homes and businesses in Nicaragua. The amount paid for the acquisition was Ps. 485,206 (USD 47,841). The Company plans to use this acquisition to strengthen its position in the telecommunications market in Central America.

- 2007 Acquisitions

| | Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc. | Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Current assets | Ps.6,611,161 | Ps. 160,850 | Ps. 6,772,011 |
| Fixed assets | 12,086,219 | 420,641 | 12,506,860 |
| Licenses | 1,318,675 | 271,995 | 1,590,670 |
| Trademarks | 397,597 | | 397,597 |
| Customer lists and relationships | 840,671 | | 840,671 |
| Other assets | 1,861,055 | | 1,861,055 |
| Less: | | | |
| Total liabilities | 19,697,347 | 174,530 | 19,871,877 |
| | | | |
| Fair value of net assets acquired | 3,418,031 | 678,956 | 4,096,987 |
| % of equity acquired | 100% | 100% | |
| | | | |
| Net assets acquired | 3,418,031 | 678,956 | 4,096,987 |
| Amount paid | 20,946,236 | 800,279 | 21,746,515 |
| | | | |
| Goodwill generated | Ps. 17,528,205 | Ps. 121,323 | Ps. 17,649,528 |

a) Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico

As a result of its expansion in Latin America, on March 30, 2007, the Company announced the acquisition of 100% of the shares of Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc. The shares were acquired from Verizon Communications, the government of Puerto Rico, Banco Popular and the employees of such company, who

Table of Contents

before the sale respectively held 52%, 28%, 13% and 7% of the total shares at such date. The Company paid Ps. 20,946,236 (USD 1,890 million after net debt assumed, which was approximately Ps. 4,104,288 or USD 370,830).

At the time of acquisition, the Company assumed a liability for the new subsidiary's retirement and post-retirement labor obligations of approximately Ps. 10,216,851 (USD 934,650).

Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico provides telecommunication services, including fixed-line and cellular services in Puerto Rico. Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico's results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements as of April 2007. The Company expects the acquisition of Puerto Rico to contribute to the strategy of becoming the leading wireless communication provider in Latin America

b) Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited

In November 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of 100% of the shares of Oceanic Digital Jamaica, LTD. Oceanic Digital Jamaica provides mobile telephone and value added services in the Republic of Jamaica. The amount paid for the acquisition was Ps. 800,279 (USD 73,648) before net cash of Ps. 15,548 (USD 1,431). Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited's results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements as of December 2007. The Company expects the acquisition of Oceanic Digital Jamaica Limited to contribute to its the strategy of becoming the leading wireless communication provider in Latin America.

- 2006 Acquisitions

a) On December 1, 2006, the Company acquired 100% of the shares of Compañía Dominicana Teléfonos C. por A. from Verizon Communications, Inc., for which the Company paid Ps. 27,557,056 (USD 2,415,000) (USD 2.06 billion before net cash adjustments). Compañía Dominicana provides telecommunication services, including fixed-line and cellular services in the Dominican Republic. The Company expects the acquisition of Dominicana to contribute to the strategy of becoming the leading wireless communication provider in Latin America.

| | Compañía Dominicana de Teléfonos C. por A. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Current assets | Ps. 6,650,937 |
| Fixed assets | 8,965,886 |
| Trademarks | 214,203 |
| Customer lists | 685,679 |
| Other assets | 296,328 |
| Less: | |
| Total liabilities | 2,813,513 |
| Fair value of net assets acquired | Ps. 13,999,520 |
| % of equity acquired | 100% |
| Net assets acquired | 13,999,520 |
| Amount paid | 27,557,056 |
| Goodwill generated | Ps. 13,557,536 |

- Other acquisitions

a) In 2006 and 2007, the Company paid Ps. 11,247 and Ps. 53,184, respectively, to acquire minority interests in Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua and Colombia. The book value of such shares was Ps. 10,590 and Ps. 46,580, respectively, and the difference between the book value and price paid is reflected in shareholders

Table of Contents

equity. As a result of these acquisitions, the Company's equity interest increased from 99.1% to 99.2% in Guatemala; from 99.3% to 99.5% in Nicaragua; and from 99.2% to 99.4% in Colombia.

b) On October 31, 2006, the Company exercised its option to acquire 1.1% of Telecom América's capital stock from a non-controlling shareholder, thus increasing its shareholding from 98.9% to 100%. The Company paid Ps. 1,916,630 (USD 172.5 million) for the equity interest that had a book value of Ps. 491,256.

- General

The Company is not obligated to make any further payments or provide any form of additional or contingent consideration related to these acquisitions, other than those already disclosed.

- Unaudited pro forma financial data

The following consolidated pro forma financial data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 have not been audited and are based on the Company's historical financial statements, adjusted to give effect to (i) the series of acquisitions mentioned in the preceding paragraphs; and (ii) certain accounting adjustments related to the amortization of licenses and adjustments related to depreciation of fixed assets of the acquired companies.

The pro forma adjustments assume that the acquisitions were made at the beginning of the year of acquisition and the immediately preceding year and are based upon available information and other assumptions that management considers reasonable. The pro forma financial information data does not purport to represent what the effect on the Company's consolidated operations would have been had the transactions in fact occurred at the beginning of each year, nor are they intended to predict the Company's results of operations.

| | Unaudited pro forma consolidated for the years ended December 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 |
| Operating revenues | Ps. 266,221,150 | Ps. 315,134,489 |
| Income from continued operations | 49,007,976 | 58,786,349 |
| Net income | 49,007,976 | 58,786,349 |
| Earnings per share (in Mexican pesos) | 1.38 | 1.67 |

| | Unaudited pro forma consolidated for the years ended December 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Operating revenues | Ps. 315,415,110 | Ps. 345,849,287 |
| Income from continued operations | 58,809,925 | 60,115,083 |
| Net income | 58,809,925 | 60,115,083 |
| Earnings per share (in Mexican pesos) | 1.67 | 1.76 |

11. Derivative Financial Instruments

To protect itself against future increases in interest rates for the servicing of its long-term debt of Ps. 116,755,093, the Company has entered into interest-rate swap contracts in over-the-counter transactions carried out with the same financial institutions from which the Company has obtained unsecured loans. The weighted average interest rate of the swaps is 8.07%. The swap floating rate is the three-month London Interbank Offer Rate (LIBOR) and the swaps come due every three months, coinciding with the payments of interest.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2007 and 2008, the financial instruments contracted by the Company are as follows:

| Instrument | Amounts in thousands | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| | Notional Amount | Fair value | Notional amount | Fair value |
| Interest-rate swaps in Mexican pesos | Ps. 2,000,000 | Ps. 79,403 | | |
| Cross currency swaps | USD 1,110,000 | (245,223) | USD 610,000 | Ps. (483,916) |
| Interest-rate swaps and cross-currency swaps | USD 350,000 | (133,683) | USD 350,000 | 2,371,725 |
| Forwards dollar-peso | USD 1,650,000 | (17,091) | USD 2,700,000 | 1,237,405 |
| Total | | Ps. (316,594) | | Ps. 3,125,214 |

With respect to the aforementioned financial instruments, the valuation gain for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 aggregated Ps. 504,771, Ps. 23,851 and 7,497,200, respectively, and was included in the statement of income as part of comprehensive result of financing in the *Other financing cost (income), net* caption.

12. Employee Benefits

The Company's obligations for post-retirement seniority premiums, pension and retirement plans, and medical services in the countries in which it operates and that have benefit and defined contribution plans are as follows:

a) Puerto Rico**Pension plan**

In accordance with the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act issued in 1974, all full-time employees are entitled to a pension plan and the contributions to such plan are deductible for income tax purposes.

This pension plan is comprised of two types of payment:

- a) Life-long or retirement pension to which employees are entitled to when they have reached a certain number of years of service and that is computed by applying certain percentages to the number of years of service and based on the employee's salary of the last three years.
- b) The payment of an amount that ranges from 9 to 12 months of the employee's current salary. The number of months (9 to 12) also depends on the years of service.

Table of Contents

The benefit costs and obligations, together with the status of the funds and costs related to these post-retirement pension plans at December 31, 2008 and 2007, are as follows:

| | 2007 | | 2008 | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Pensions and sum of benefits | Post-retirement benefits | Pensions and sum of benefits | Post-retirement benefits |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | | | Ps. 15,243,410 | Ps. 7,144,994 |
| Effect from acquired entities | Ps. 15,199,076 | Ps. 7,122,088 | | |
| Labor cost | 184,291 | 57,113 | 309,201 | 88,392 |
| Finance cost on projected benefit obligation | 670,336 | 322,672 | 1,121,865 | 590,121 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | | 84,343 | (253,748) | 735,942 |
| Other amended plans | | (164,536) | | 31,964 |
| Payments from trust fund | (810,294) | (276,686) | (1,264,234) | (422,138) |
| Effect of translation | | | 3,748,496 | 1,757,020 |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year | 15,243,409 | 7,144,994 | Ps. 18,904,990 | Ps. 9,926,295 |
| Changes in plan assets: | | | | |
| Established fund at beginning of year | | | Ps. 13,526,767 | |
| Effect of business acquisition | Ps. 12,514,255 | Ps. | | |
| Actual return on plan assets | 781,595 | | (807,465) | |
| Employer contributions | 1,041,210 | 276,686 | 69,221 | Ps. 422,138 |
| Payments from trust fund | (810,293) | (276,686) | (1,264,234) | (422,138) |
| Effect of translation | | | 3,435,142 | |
| Established fund at end of year | Ps. 13,526,767 | Ps. | Ps. 14,959,431 | Ps. |
| Unfunded labor obligation | Ps. (1,716,642) | Ps. (7,144,994) | \$ (3,945,559) | \$ (9,926,295) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | | | 1,933,608 | 644,843 |
| Accrued labor obligation | Ps. (1,716,642) | Ps. (7,144,994) | (2,011,951) | (9,281,452) |

Net period cost

The net period cost at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is comprised of the following elements:

| | 2007 | | 2008 | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Pensions and sum of benefits | Post-retirement benefits | Pensions and sum of benefits | Post-retirement benefits |
| Labor cost | Ps. 184,291 | Ps. 57,113 | Ps. 309,201 | \$ 88,392 |
| Finance cost on projected benefit obligation | 670,336 | 322,672 | 1,121,865 | 590,121 |
| Projected return on plan assets | (779,532) | (9,334) | (1,382,477) | (15,136) |
| | Ps. 75,095 | Ps. 370,451 | Ps. 48,589 | \$ 663,377 |
| Projected benefit obligation | Ps. 15,243,410 | Ps. 7,144,994 | Ps. 18,904,990 | Ps. 9,926,295 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 13,953,983 | 7,144,994 | 17,542,843 | 9,926,295 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 13,526,767 | | 14,959,943 | |

Table of Contents**Actuarial assumption**

The average rates used to determine the net period cost are as follows:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Long-term rate of return | 8.5% | 8.5% |
| Rate of future salary increases | 4.00% | 4.00% |

The average rates and other actuarial assumptions used in determining post-retirement obligations for medical services and others are as follows:

| | Post-retirement benefits | |
|---|--------------------------|------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Cost percentage of increase in health care for the following year | 8.5% | 7.0% |
| Cost percentage due to death | 5% | 5.0% |
| Year to which this level will be maintained | 2010 | 2010 |

The average rates and other actuarial assumptions used to determine the net period cost of post-retirement obligations are as follows:

| | Post-retirement benefits | |
|---|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Cost percentage of increase in health care for the following year | 8.5% | 7.0% |
| Cost percentage due to death | 5.00% | 5.00% |
| Year to which this level will be maintained | 2010 | 2010 |

Plan assets

The Company invests its plan assets at the following percentages:

| | Post-retirement benefits | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Capital instruments | 61% | 2% |
| Debt instruments | 35% | 35% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4% | 63% |
| | 100% | 100% |

Cash flows

During 2007 and 2008, the Company contributed approximately Ps. 1,041,210 (USD 95,787) and Ps. 69,221 (USD 5,112), respectively, to the pension plan fund and Ps. 276,686 (USD 25,454) and Ps. 422,138 (USD 31,180), respectively, to the post-retirement obligations fund. In accordance with current regulations, during 2009, the Company expects to contribute approximately Ps. 1,258,155 to the pension plan fund and Ps. 502,447 to the post-retirement obligations fund.

Table of Contents**Estimated future payments**

An analysis of the payments for labor obligations the Company expects to make in succeeding years is as follows:

| | Pensions and sum of benefits | Post-retirement benefits |
|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2009 | Ps. 1,258,155 | Ps. 502,447 |
| 2010 | 1,242,464 | 529,483 |
| 2011 | 1,228,993 | 554,962 |
| 2012 | 1,211,177 | 576,055 |
| 2013-2017 | 6,488,406 | 3,228,357 |

An analysis of future payments for medicines is as follows:

| | Post-retirement benefits |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 2009 | 23,841 |
| 2010 | 27,022 |
| 2011 | 30,664 |
| 2012 | 35,159 |
| 2013-2017 | 241,429 |

b) For Mexico and Ecuador, the net period cost in 2006, 2007 and 2008 is Ps. 3,323, Ps. 3,819 and Ps. 15,493, respectively, for Mexico and Ps. 6,730 (in 2007) and Ps. 7,177 (in 2008) for Ecuador. The balance of labor obligations at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is Ps. 17,652 and Ps. 19,101, respectively, for Mexico and Ps. 30,051 and Ps. 46,143, respectively, for Ecuador.

c) In Mexico and Ecuador the Company is legally required to pay employee profit sharing, in addition to the compensation and benefits to which employees are contractually entitled. The statutory employee profit sharing rate in 2006, 2007 and 2008 was 10% of taxable income in Mexico and 15% in Ecuador.

The total amount charged to results of operations for employee profit sharing in 2006, 2007 and 2008 is Ps. 342,637, Ps. 758,957 and Ps. 1,104,461, respectively.

Starting in 2006, employee profit sharing paid to employees is deductible under certain circumstances for income tax purposes in Mexico. For Ecuador, employee profit sharing is deductible from current year income tax. In Mexico, this deduction aggregated Ps. 293,847 in 2006 and Ps. 85,476 in 2007.

13. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

a) An analysis of accounts payable and accrued liabilities is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Suppliers | Ps. 51,512,873 | Ps. 64,086,196 |
| Sundry creditors | 5,900,047 | 8,763,642 |
| Interest payable | 2,115,082 | 2,330,624 |
| Accrued expenses and other provisions | 11,967,926 | 13,685,577 |
| Guarantee deposits | 541,345 | 1,057,244 |
| Dividend pending payment | 854,691 | 944,118 |

Total

Ps. 72,891,964

Ps. 90,867,401

F-36

Table of Contents

b) At December 31, 2007 and 2008, an analysis of Accrued expenses and other provisions is as follows:

| | Balance at December 31, 2006 | Effect of translation | Effect of inflation | Increase for the year | Payments | Reversals | Balance at December 31, 2007 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| Direct employee benefits payable | Ps. 575,273 | Ps. 11,331 | Ps. 20,793 | Ps. 919,528 | Ps. (397,370) | Ps. (105,235) | Ps. 1,024,320 |
| Office expenses | 830,110 | 55,078 | 30,004 | 27,166 | (362,679) | (3,119) | 576,560 |
| Professional fees | 79,006 | (1,483) | 2,856 | 338,354 | (300,527) | (254) | 117,952 |
| Retirement of assets | 1,134,366 | 52,470 | 41,001 | 649,224 | (338,406) | (218,860) | 1,319,795 |
| Points and loyalty program | 500,084 | 1,983 | 18,075 | 699,115 | (350,619) | | 868,638 |
| Contingencies | 5,209,555 | 791,676 | 188,297 | 1,888,846 | (76,976) | (783,546) | 7,217,852 |
| Other provisions | 187,361 | 3,855 | 6,772 | 2,129,770 | (1,484,949) | | 842,809 |
| | Ps. 8,515,755 | Ps. 914,910 | Ps. 307,798 | Ps. 6,652,003 | Ps. (3,311,526) | Ps. (1,111,014) | Ps. 11,967,926 |

| | Balance at December 31, 2007 | Effect of translation | Increase for the year | Payments | Reversals | Balance at December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Direct employee benefits payable | Ps. 1,024,320 | Ps. 66,982 | Ps. 1,004,203 | Ps. (598,851) | Ps. (54,201) | Ps. 1,442,453 |
| Office expenses | 576,560 | 748 | 127,184 | (52,162) | (4,133) | 648,197 |
| Professional fees | 117,952 | 26,337 | 460,273 | (353,726) | | 250,836 |
| Retirement of assets | 1,319,795 | 70,210 | 390,767 | (3,455) | | 1,777,317 |
| Points and loyalty program | 868,638 | 36,449 | 604,260 | (763,883) | | 745,464 |
| Contingencies | 7,217,852 | (115,340) | 551,959 | (551,869) | | 7,102,602 |
| Value added services | 68,658 | | 535,420 | | | 604,078 |
| Other provisions | 774,151 | 180,767 | 183,245 | (23,533) | | 1,114,630 |
| | Ps. 11,967,926 | Ps. 266,153 | Ps. 3,857,311 | Ps. (2,347,479) | Ps. (58,334) | Ps. 13,685,577 |

Table of Contents**14. Debt**

The Company's short- and long-term debt consists of the following:

| Currency | Item | 2007 Rate | Maturity from 2008 to | Total 2007 | Rate | 2008 Maturity from 2009 to | Total 2008 |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>U.S. dollars</i> | | | | | | | |
| | Export Credit Agencies ECA credits (fixed rate) | 2.71% - 3.2% | 2010 | Ps. 705,654 | 2.71% - 3.2% | 2010 | Ps. 527,508 |
| | ECA credits (floating rate) | | | | L + 0.75% | 2015 | Ps. 2,707,660 |
| | Syndicated loans | L + 0.25% | 2011 | 8,149,650 | L + 0.25% | 2011 | 27,076,600 |
| | Fixed-rate senior notes | 4.125% - 6.375% | 2037 | 41,215,497 | 4.125% - 6.375% | 2037 | 50,517,558 |
| | Floating-rate senior notes | L(1) + 0.1% | 2008 | 5,433,100 | | | |
| | Lines of credit | L + 0.15 - L + 2.5% | 2009 | 3,429,362 | L + 0.15 - L + 2.5% | 2013 | 4,397,017 |
| | Leases | 5.40% - 8.75% & L + 1.53% - 2.90% | 2012 | 1,022,195 | 7.95% - 8.75% & L + 2.9% - 3.16% | 2012 | 587,772 |
| | Subtotal dollars | | | 59,955,458 | | | 85,814,115 |
| <i>Euros</i> | | | | | | | |
| | ECA credits (floating rate) | | | | E(4) + 0.70% | 2016 | 4,979,233 |
| | Subtotal euros | | | | | | 4,979,233 |
| <i>Mexican pesos</i> | | | | | | | |
| | Lines of credit | 8.07% | 2008 | 2,500,000 | TIE + 0.24% | | 4,500,000 |
| | Fixed-rate notes | 8.39% - 10.45% | 2036 | 15,400,000 | 8.11% - 10.45% | 2036 | 20,060,964 |
| | Floating-rate notes | Sundry | 2012 | 7,750,000 | Sundry | 2013 | 7,750,000 |
| | Commercial paper | 7.04% - 7.88% | 2008 | 3,000,000 | 8.18% - 8.53% | 2009 | 5,500,000 |
| | Leases | TIE(2) + .15% | 2008 | 3,534,000 | | | |
| | Subtotal Mexican pesos | | | 32,184,000 | | | 37,810,964 |
| <i>Brazilian reais</i> | | | | | | | |
| | Lines of credit | 10.5% to 11.9% | 2014 | 1,452,482 | 9.25% | 2014 | 1,155,040 |
| | Subtotal Brazilian reais | | | 1,452,482 | | | 1,155,040 |
| <i>Colombian pesos</i> | | | | | | | |
| | Bonds | CPI(3) + 6.8% - 7.50% and 7.59% | 2016 | 4,853,968 | CPI + 6.8% - 7.50% and 7.59% | 2016 | 5,430,792 |
| | Subtotal Colombian pesos | | | 4,853,968 | | | 5,430,792 |
| <i>Other currencies</i> | | | | | | | |
| | Bonds | 6.41% | 2012 | 453,212 | 6.41% | 2012 | 538,602 |
| | Leases | | | | 6.45% | 2011 | 890,254 |
| | Lines of credit | 5.60% - 10.0% | 2012 | 5,853,274 | Sundry | 2012 | 6,867,448 |
| | Subtotal other currencies | | | 6,306,486 | | | 8,296,304 |
| | Total debt | | | 104,752,394 | | | 143,486,448 |
| | Less: Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt | | | 19,952,907 | | | 26,731,355 |

Long-term debt

Ps. 84,799,487

Ps. 116,755,093

- (1) L = LIBOR or London Interbank Offer Rate
- (2) TIE = Mexican Weighted Interbank Rate
- (3) CPI = Consumer price index
- (4) E = Euribor

F-38

Table of Contents

Except for the fixed-rate senior notes, interest rates on the Company's debts are subject to variances in international and local rates. The Company's weighted average cost of borrowed funds at December 31, 2008 was approximately 5.70% (6.78% in 2007).

Such rate does not include interest, commissions or the reimbursements for Mexican tax withholdings (typically 4.9% of the interest payment) that the Company must make to international lenders. In general, fees on financing transactions add ten basis points to financing costs.

An analysis of the Company's short-term debt at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| Debt | 2007 | 2008 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Domestic senior notes | Ps. 8,433,100 | Ps. 8,142,073 |
| Credit line drawdowns | 4,032,553 | 10,200,547 |
| Commercial paper | 3,000,000 | 5,500,000 |
| Other loans | 3,664,004 | 270,997 |
| Total | Ps. 19,129,657 | Ps. 24,113,617 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Weighted average interest rate | 7.16% | 6.18% |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|

An analysis of maturities of the Company's long-term debt is as follows:

| Year | Amount |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2010 | Ps. 8,179,494 |
| 2011 | 28,411,810 |
| 2012 | 6,653,287 |
| 2013 | 7,316,779 |
| 2014 | 11,716,370 |
| 2010 and thereafter | 54,477,353 |
| Total | Ps. 116,755,093 |

Senior Notes At December 31, 2008, the Company had senior notes issued in U.S. dollars for USD 3,793 million (Ps. 51,319 million) maturing from 2009 to 2037. The Company also had senior notes issued in Mexican pesos for Ps. 13,000 million maturing in 2016 and 2036. During 2008 América Móvil did not issue any new senior notes in international markets.

All senior notes issued by the Company are guaranteed by Telcel.

Lines of credit granted or guaranteed by export credit agencies The Company has medium- and long-term financing programs for the purchase of equipment, whereby certain agencies provide financial support to purchase export equipment from their respective countries. The debt issued under these plans at December 31, 2008 is Ps. 8,214,000.

Domestic senior notes At December 31, 2008, the domestic senior note debt aggregates Ps. 14,811 million. In general, these notes bear a fixed or floating interest rate established as a percentage of either the Mexican weighted interbank interest rate (TIEE).

In addition to the above, the Company has two commercial paper programs authorized by the National Banking and Securities Commission (NBSC) for a total amount of Ps. 20,000 million, of which Ps. 4,500,000 had been issued at December 31, 2008.

General

At December 31, 2008, the Company had a number of bank facilities for approximately Ps. 43,996 million (USD 3,250 million) bearing interest at the LIBOR plus a spread. Under all of the facilities, América Móvil and Telcel are the guarantors.

Table of Contents

The Company is subject to financial and operating covenants under the loan agreements. In some cases, these covenants limit America Móvil or the guarantor's ability to: pledge assets, carry out certain types of mergers, sell off all or substantially all of its assets and sell control over Telcel.

The covenants do not restrict the ability of the subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions to the Company. The most restrictive financial covenants require the Company to maintain a consolidated ratio of debt to EBITDA not greater than 4 to 1 and a consolidated ratio of EBITDA to interest expense of no less than 2.5 to 1 (based on the terms of the loan agreements). For some of its loans, Telcel is subject to financial covenants similar to those applicable to América Móvil.

A number of the financing instruments are subject to either acceleration or repurchase at the holder's option if there is a change in the Company's control. At December 31, 2008, the Company has complied with all of the above-mentioned requirements.

At December 31, 2007 and 2008, approximately 74% and 87%, respectively, of the total outstanding consolidated debt is guaranteed by Telcel.

15. Foreign Currency Position and Transactions

At December 31, 2007 and 2008, América Móvil had the following foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities:

| | Foreign currency in millions at December 31 | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|----------------|--|
| | 2007 | | 2008 | |
| | Amount | Exchange rate- Mexican peso per currency | Amount | Exchange rate- Mexican peso per currency |
| Assets | | | | |
| U.S. dollars | 2,743 | 10.87 | 4,138 | 13.54 |
| Quetzal (Guatemala) | 1,719 | 1.42 | 1,145 | 1.74 |
| Brazilian reais | 2,747 | 6.13 | 3,158 | 5.79 |
| Colombian peso | 909,031 | 0.005 | 941,758 | 0.006 |
| Argentinean peso | 1,092 | 3.45 | 1,331 | 3.92 |
| Uruguayan peso | 912 | 0.504 | 1,126 | 0.556 |
| Cordoba (Nicaragua) | 1,425 | 0.575 | 1,144 | 0.682 |
| Lempira (Honduras) | 400 | 0.571 | 751 | 0.712 |
| Chilean peso | 91,007 | 0.02 | 128,447 | 0.02 |
| Peruvian sol | 318 | 3.6 | 415 | 4.3 |
| Guarani (Paraguay) | 162,092 | 0.002 | 203,435 | 0.003 |
| Dominican peso | 32,175 | 0.316 | 32,291 | 0.382 |
| Jamaican dollars | 243 | 0.152 | 4,285 | 0.169 |
| Euro | | | 119,742 | 18.91 |
| Swiss franc | 95 | 9.59 | 106 | 12.67 |

Table of Contents

| | Foreign currency in millions at December 31 | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2007 | Exchange rate- Mexican peso per currency | 2008 | Exchange rate- Mexican peso per currency |
| | Amount | | Amount | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| U.S. dollars | (9,197) | 10.87 | (11,955) | 13.54 |
| Quetzal (Guatemala) | (2,720) | 1.42 | (2,760) | 1.74 |
| Brazilian reais | (4,966) | 6.13 | (5,642) | 5.79 |
| Colombian peso | (1,586,363) | 0.005 | (1,839,490) | 0.006 |
| Argentinean peso | (2,131) | 3.45 | (2,753) | 3.92 |
| Uruguayan peso | (510) | 0.504 | (1,164) | 0.556 |
| Cordoba (Nicaragua) | (2,026) | 0.575 | (2,066) | 0.682 |
| Lempira (Honduras) | (1,955) | 0.571 | (2,578) | 0.712 |
| Chilean peso | (322,795) | 0.02 | (328,378) | 0.02 |
| Peruvian sol | (643) | 3.63 | (1,253) | 4.3 |
| Guarani (Paraguay) | (247,585) | 0.002 | (330,894) | 0.003 |
| Dominican peso | (11,770) | 0.316 | (13,435) | 0.382 |
| Jamaican dollars | (426) | 0.152 | (10,908) | 0.169 |
| Euro | | | (263,766) | 18.91 |

At June 23, 2009, the date of issuance of these financial statements, the exchange rates were as follows:

| Foreign currency | Exchange rate- Mexican peso per currency |
|---------------------|--|
| U.S. dollars | 13.32 |
| Quetzal (Guatemala) | 1.63 |
| Brazilian reais | 6.65 |
| Colombian peso | 0.0063 |
| Argentinean peso | 3.51 |
| Uruguayan peso | 0.56 |
| Cordoba (Nicaragua) | 0.65 |
| Lempira (Honduras) | 0.70 |
| Chilean peso | 0.02 |
| Peruvian sol | 4.40 |
| Guarani (Paraguay) | 0.0027 |
| Dominican peso | 0.36 |
| Euro | 18.75 |
| Swiss franc | 12.49 |
| Jamaican dollars | 0.14 |

In the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company had the following transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Currencies other than the U.S. dollar were translated to U.S. dollars using the average exchange rate for the years:

| | U.S. dollars (thousands) | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Net revenues | 11,622,733 | 17,131,480 | 19,036,746 |
| Operating costs and expenses | 10,549,571 | 14,576,815 | 16,023,340 |
| Interest income | 253,616 | 375,254 | 470,033 |
| Interest expense | 435,937 | 608,093 | 711,218 |
| Other income (expenses), net | (31,348) | (465,427) | 258,211 |

Table of Contents**16. Contingencies and Commitments**

a) As of December 31, 2008, the Company has entered into various leases (as a lessee) with related and third parties for the buildings in which its offices are located, as well as with owners of property where the Company has installed radio bases. The leases generally run from one to fourteen years.

Provided below is an analysis of minimum rent payments due in the next five years. In some cases, amounts are subject to an annual increase based on the NCPI.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had the following commitments under non-cancelable leases:

| Year ended December 31, | Capital lease | Operating lease |
|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| 2009 | Ps. 782,305 | Ps. 3,886,631 |
| 2010 | 516,408 | 3,417,128 |
| 2011 | 234,246 | 3,100,975 |
| 2012 | 4,860 | 2,568,843 |
| 2013 | | 2,508,953 |
| 2014 and thereafter | | 2,172,412 |
| Total | 1,537,819 | Ps. 17,654,942 |
| Less interest | (59,793) | |
| Present value of minimum net rental payments | 1,478,026 | |
| Less current portion | (763,559) | |
| Long-term obligations | Ps. 714,467 | |

Rent charged to expenses in 2006, 2007 and 2008 aggregated Ps. 4,092,642, Ps. 5,052,082 and Ps. 6,325,739, respectively.

b) Commitments

At December 31, 2008, some of the Company's subsidiaries had commitments to acquire equipment for their GSM and 3G networks for up to approximately USD 277 million (approximately Ps. 3,750 million). The estimated completion period for projects in progress ranges from 3 to 6 months, depending on the type of project and the equipment supplier, as well as the type of asset.

c) Contingencies**América Móvil***NatTel*

The plaintiff, NatTel, LLC (NatTel) sued the Company and others in a Connecticut state court in the United States based on an August 2007 transaction where the Company purchased shares of Oceanic Digital Jamaica, Ltd. (ODJ) from ODC St. Lucia, a subsidiary of Oceanic Digital Communications, Ltd. (ODC), in which NatTel is a minority shareholder. Under the agreement governing the transaction, the parties placed approximately US\$15 million (approximately Ps.203.1 million) in escrow with the Bank of New York, and the remaining purchase payments paid certain inter-company debt owed by Oceanic to the majority shareholders in ODC SAC Capital Associates, LLC and SAC Capital Advisors (collectively, SAC).

In the Connecticut action (State Suit), NatTel alleges that the entire transaction was intended to deprive NatTel of its fair share of the sales proceeds, and structured so that SAC received the entire proceeds of the sale. NatTel seeks, *inter alia*, an order that it receives approximately US\$15 million placed in escrow. On February 7, 2008, the Company filed a motion to dismiss for (i) lack of personal jurisdiction; and (ii) insufficient service. The motion principally argues that the Company does not have sufficient contacts with Connecticut to support the

Table of Contents

state court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over it. The Company believes it has several other meritorious defenses to NatTel's claims.

Concurrently with the State Suit, NatTel also initiated an adversary proceeding in connection with its bankruptcy case in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (Bankruptcy Court), against many of the parties in the State Suit, including the Company. The adversary proceeding contains the same allegations as the State Suit.

After the filing of the adversary proceeding in Bankruptcy Court, defendants, excluding the Company, filed a motion in the District Court for the District of Connecticut (District Court) to withdraw the reference (Motion to Withdraw) of the adversary proceeding, and send those proceedings to the District Court judge who had previously decided a related case against NatTel.

In April 2008, the parties to the State Suit agreed to stay the State Suit pending a decision by the District Court on the Motion to Withdraw. As of the date of the financial statements enclosed herein, the District Court has yet to decide on the Motion to Withdraw. Accordingly, the State Suit remains stayed.

Other than the previous payment of the USD 15 million into escrow, the Company has not made any further provisions in the accompanying financial statement.

Cempresa

In May or June of 2008, plaintiffs Centro Empresarial Cempresa, S.A. and Conecel Holding Limited (collectively, Plaintiffs), filed a suit in the Supreme Court of the State of New York against numerous defendants including the Company, certain of its affiliates, subsidiaries and two members of its Board of Directors (collectively, Defendants), asserting breach of contract, fraud, fraudulent inducement, unjust enrichment and a claim for accounting. Plaintiffs sold a majority of their shares in our Ecuadorian subsidiary, Consorcio Ecuatoriano de Telecomunicaciones, S.A. Conecel (Conecel), to a subsidiary of Teléfonos de México, S.A.B. de C.V. (Telmex) in 2000. Telmex's holdings in Conecel were included in the Company's spin-off from Telmex in 2000 and remain held by one of its subsidiaries. Plaintiffs kept a minority of the shares of Conecel.

Plaintiffs assert that one of their exit strategies with respect to the minority shares was a right to negotiate for an exchange of those shares of the Company. Plaintiffs contend in the lawsuit that Defendants wrongfully deprive them of a share exchange and they seek the alleged value of the Company's shares they claim they would have received, which Plaintiffs assert amount to over US\$900 million (approximately Ps.12,186 million). Plaintiffs also seek punitive damages. Plaintiffs additionally assert that Defendants purposefully misrepresented the value of Plaintiffs' minority shares to try to prevent a share exchange. In 2003, Plaintiffs voluntarily sold their minority shares to Defendants, executing comprehensive releases as part of the transactions.

Defendants filed a motion to dismiss asserting numerous defenses, including statute of limitations, release, lack of damages, personal jurisdiction for certain defendants, and the inability to add to a contract cause of action the fraud causes of action. In December 2008, the trial court denied the motion to dismiss and Defendants appealed. The appellate court stayed the case in the trial court. The appeal is fully briefed and oral argument was held in April 2009.

Defendants believe they have numerous meritorious defenses to Plaintiffs' claims. In addition to the defenses contained in the motion to dismiss that are issues on appeal, Defendants do not believe that the Company's spin-off from Telmex triggered the share exchange provision. Moreover, Defendants argue that a plain reading of the provision relating to the potential exchange of shares provides no right to a share exchange, but instead only a right to a good faith negotiation for a period of 20 days, for a potential share exchange.

The Company has not made provisions in the accompanying financial statements for this potential liability.

Table of Contents

Telcel

Cofeco Substantial market power investigations

The Mexican Competition Commission (*Comisión Federal de Competencia* or *Cofeco*) is conducting two substantial market power investigations into certain competitive conditions in the mobile telecommunications market. The first of these, which commenced in December 2007, is a Cofeco initiated investigation into whether one or more cellular operators have substantial market power in the market for termination (interconnection) of calls made as part of the local, national and international calling party pays system. Cofeco has issued a preliminary report (*dictamen preliminar*) finding that each operator, including Radiomóvil Dipsa, S.A de C.V. (Telcel), has substantial market power in the market for interconnection to its own network.

The second Cofeco investigation, which commenced in April 2008 was initiated by an alleged Telcel subscriber -who ended up being subscribed to another mobile operator- and is into whether Telcel has substantial market power in the nationwide market for local voice and data services. In this investigation, Cofeco has issued a preliminary report (*dictamen preliminar*) finding that Telcel has substantial market power.

Interested parties have the opportunity to submit information for Cofeco's review before it issues a final report. Telcel has provided extensive information to Cofeco in both investigations, and the Company cannot predict when Cofeco will issue final reports or whether it will modify its preliminary findings. Depending on the final reports, Telcel and other operators will be entitled to seek administrative review (*recurso administrativo de reconsideración*) and may also bring judicial challenges.

Under the Antitrust Law (*Ley Federal de Competencia Económica*) and the Telecommunications Law (*Ley Federal de Telecomunicaciones*), if Cofeco makes a final finding of substantial market power concerning an operator, the Mexican Federal Communications Commission (*Comisión Federal de Telecomunicaciones* or *Cofetel*) can impose on that operator specific regulations with respect to tariffs, quality of service and information. The Company cannot predict what regulatory steps might be taken in response to determinations by Cofeco.

Cofeco Monopolistic practices investigations

Cofeco currently conducts four separate administrative proceedings against Telcel for alleged monopolistic practices. The first two concern alleged actions by certain distributors of Telcel in relation to the purchase and sale of cellular phones to third parties. The third proceeding concerns certain exclusivity agreements with some content providers. In each of these investigations, Cofeco has determined that Telcel engaged in anti-competitive behavior, and it has imposed fines totaling Ps. 6.7 million in the aggregate and ordered that the alleged behaviors terminate immediately. Telcel has challenged Cofeco's determinations and fines in the courts and no final ruling has been issued. The fourth investigation concerns alleged practices in the interconnection market and is currently in investigation stages, thus still pending.

Adverse determinations against Telcel in any of these proceedings could result in material fines, penalties or restrictions on our operations. Telcel has not made provisions in its financial statements for these potential liabilities since at the time Telcel's most recent financial statements were published, the amount of the possible contingency could not be reasonably estimated.

Interconnection Fees

There has been extensive controversy in Mexico concerning the interconnection fees payable by fixed-line operators to mobile operators on fixed-to-mobile calls for periods beginning with 2005. The principal stages in the controversy, as it relates to interconnection with Telcel, are summarized below.

December 2004 Agreement. In December 2004, most Mexican telecommunications operators agreed on interconnection fees for the years 2005 through 2007. The agreement provided for annual reductions

Table of Contents

of 10% and contemplated that the reductions would be reflected in the tariffs charged by fixed operators to their customers.

August 2006 Cofetel Resolution. Axtel, Avantel and Alestra (related party) did not become party to the December 2004 agreement. Instead, they began proceedings with Cofetel to establish the interconnection fees for local traffic under calling party pays between them and Telcel. In August 2006, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing local interconnection fees between these operators and Telcel for the years 2005 through 2010. These rates were lower than the fees Telcel had agreed with the other operators in December 2004. In addition, Cofetel ruled that starting in 2007, interconnection fees would be based on the total number of seconds for the month rounded to the next minute, rather than by rounding each call to the next minute, as had been the practice. In order to mitigate the effects of this change on Telcel, Cofetel authorized Telcel to collect a surcharge of 25% in 2007, 18% in 2008 and 10% in 2009 over the interconnection fees billed to Axtel. Telcel challenged this resolution.

2006 Agreements. In the fourth quarter of 2006, in connection with the implementation of the calling party pays system for long-distance, most operators other than Axtel and Avantel agreed on local and long-distance interconnection fees for the years 2007 through 2010. These agreements contemplated continued reductions in fees.

December 2007 Judicial Decision and January 2008 Cofetel Resolution. Telcel began judicial proceedings challenging Cofetel's August 2006 resolution on interconnection rates between Axtel and Telcel. In December 2007, the court invalidated Cofetel's August 2006 resolution in its entirety and directed Cofetel to issue a new resolution covering solely the periods from 2005 through 2007. In January 2008, as directed by the court, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing interconnection rates between Telcel and Axtel for the periods from 2005 through 2007 on the same terms as Cofetel's August 2006 resolution. Telcel challenged this resolution as to the rates applicable for the aforementioned period and such challenge has not been resolved.

2008 Proceedings Involving Axtel. In December 2007 and March 2008, Axtel initiated proceedings with Cofetel to establish interconnection rates for the years from 2008 through 2011. In May 2008, prior to Cofetel issuing a resolution, Axtel obtained a court order against Cofetel to prevent Cofetel from issuing a resolution on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel. It also filed an administrative review proceeding (*recurso de revision*), against the alleged negative to act (*negativa ficta*) of Cofetel with the Mexican Ministry of Communications and Transportation (*Secretaría de Comunicaciones y Transportes* or SCT), that permits SCT to review the alleged decision by Cofetel. Axtel contended that Cofetel, by failing to issue a resolution on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel for interconnection fees for 2008 through 2011, had refused to act, and asked SCT to review that refusal. In July 2008, Telcel obtained a court order preventing SCT from ruling on Axtel's challenge to Cofetel's supposed refusal to act on interconnection between Axtel and Telcel. In September 2008, however, breaching said court order, SCT issued a resolution establishing interconnection fees for 2008 through 2011. These fees are less than half of the fees Telcel previously agreed with the other operators. Telcel challenged said resolution and obtained a court order suspending the effects of it.

April 2009 Cofetel Resolutions. In April 2009, Cofetel issued a resolution establishing the interconnection rates applicable between Avantel (a subsidiary of Axtel) and Telcel for long distance traffic under the calling party pays system. Since it has recently been notified, Telcel is currently reviewing the resolution and evaluating whether to present judicial or administrative challenges.

The Company considers that interconnection fees for fixed-to-mobile calls will continue, for a while, to be the subject of litigation and administrative proceedings and the resulting uncertainty. We cannot predict when or how these matters will be resolved, and the competitive and financial effects of any resolution could be complex and difficult to predict. Although the matters in dispute primarily concern one operator, Axtel (and its subsidiary Avantel), if those matters are resolved adversely to us through a final, non-appealable resolution or decision from Cofetel, SCT or the courts, the impact could be material because Telcel would be required to offer to the other

Table of Contents

operators any more favorable fees it is required to provide to Axtel. This could materially reduce Telcel's interconnection revenues in future periods. Also, depending on how the disputes are resolved, there could be contractual claims among the parties for reimbursement or payment, as the case maybe, of amounts paid or left unpaid between Telcel and Axtel in respect to certain time periods from 2005 to 2009.

February 2009 Interconnection Plan

In February 2009, Cofetel published a Fundamental Technical Plan of Interconnection and Inter-operability (*Plan Técnico Fundamental de Interconexión e Interoperabilidad* or *Plan*). The Plan addresses technical, economical and legal conditions of interconnection. With respect to interconnection fees, the Plan establishes a process for developing an economic model over a relatively brief period and then applying the economic model to determine fees, which, it is suggested, could override the existing fee agreements among operators. The Plan also contemplates asymmetrical and discriminatory treatment for operators with the largest number of access points, including specific technical and legal requirements and different economic, technical and legal conditions from other operators.

At this time, Telcel cannot predict the effects that might result from the implementation of the Plan. They could be substantially different from the potential effects of the regulatory steps described above with respect to fixed-to-mobile interconnection. It is also difficult to anticipate the timetable for implementation of the Plan.

Telcel has challenged the Plan in the Mexican courts. In April 2009, Telcel obtained a court order suspending the effects of the Plan as they relate to Telcel pending resolution of its judicial challenge.

Short Message Services (SMS)

Under the terms of its concessions for the 800 megahertz spectrum, Telcel must pay to the Mexican federal government a royalty based on gross revenues from concessioned services. The royalty is levied at rates that vary from region to region and average approximately 6%.

Telcel believes that short message services are value-added services, which are not concessioned services, and that revenues from short message services should not be subject to this royalty.

In related proceedings, Cofetel has ruled that short text messages are subject to the interconnection regulatory regime and that such services do not constitute value-added services. Telcel is currently disputing these issues in an administrative proceeding, but has made provisions in its financial statements for this potential liability. As of December 31, 2008, Telcel had provisioned Ps. 455.3 million.

Trademarks Tax Assessments

On March 3, 2006, the Mexican Tax Administration Service (*Servicio de Administración Tributaria*, or *SAT*) notified Telcel of an assessment of Ps. 281.7 million (Ps. 155.8 million plus adjustments, fines and late fees) as a result of a tax deduction made by Telcel in 2003 of Ps. 1,267.7 million in connection with royalty payments made to another of our subsidiaries for the use of certain trademarks. In June 2007, the SAT notified the Company of an additional assessment of Ps. 541.5 million (Ps. 258.5 million plus adjustments, fines and late fees) as a result of a tax deduction made by us in 2003 in connection with the aforementioned royalty payments. The Company and Telcel believe that these deductions were made in accordance with applicable law and have challenged the validity of these assessments.

In December 2007, the SAT notified Telcel of a new assessment of Ps. 453.6 million (Ps. 243.6 million plus adjustments, fines and late fees) in connection with a deduction of advertising expenses made by Telcel in 2004 in the amount of Ps. 1,678.6 million. The SAT is challenging the validity of this deduction, alleging that the deduction is unfounded because Telcel is already paying a royalty for the use of the trademarks. Telcel believes that the SAT's argument is unfounded and has challenged the assessment in court.

Table of Contents

Based on these assessments, the Company expects that the SAT will challenge deductions made during 2005, 2006 and 2007 for royalty payments and/or expenses associated with the trademarks. Telcel has not made specific provisions in its financial statements for these potential liabilities.

Comcel

Voice over IP

In March 2000, the Colombian Industry and Commerce Superintendence (*Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio* or SIC) issued Resolution No. 4954, requiring Comunicación Celular, S.A. (Comcel) to pay a fine of approximately US\$100 thousand (approximately Ps. 1.3 million) for alleged anti-competitive behavior. In addition to this administrative fine, the SIC ordered Comcel to pay damages to other long distance operators. The long distance operators estimated their damages to be US\$70 million (approximately Ps. 947.8 million). Comcel requested an administrative review of the damages decision, which was denied in June 2000. Comcel appealed, and the appeal was rejected in November 2000. Comcel resubmitted the appeal in February 2001. Comcel also filed a special action in court challenging the denial of the administrative review.

Following a series of court proceedings, a Colombian appeals court in June 2002 ordered that Comcel's February 2001 appeal be granted and that the administrative decision against Comcel be reviewed. After additional proceedings, the Constitutional Court revoked the June 2002 decision and ordered the continuance of the procedure for the determination of damages to the other operators.

In January 2008, SIC determined that Comcel was required to pay the long distance operators approximately US\$1.8 million (approximately Ps. 24.4 million, which represents a reduction of approximately 95% of the original amount claimed by the long distance operators). In February 2008, Comcel appealed the SIC's resolution on the grounds that Comcel had not caused any damage nor it incurred in any liability.

Comcel has made provisions in its financial statements with respect to this potential liability. Comcel expects to continue pursuing all available legal actions after a decision on damages is rendered. Comcel does not expect any resolution to be issued until the end of 2009.

Distributors

In February 2007, Comcel was notified of an arbitration proceeding initiated against it by Tecnoquímicas, S.A., which was a distributor of prepaid cards of Comcel until July 2006. In the proceeding, the distributor alleges breach of contract and commercial liability on the part of Comcel. Claimant seeks to recover approximately U.S.\$35 million (approximately Ps. 473.9 million) from Comcel. Comcel has made provisions in its financial statements with respect to this potential liability. In November 2007, Comcel was also notified of an arbitration proceeding initiated against it by Colcell, Ltda., which was a distributor of Comcel until July 2007. The proceeding relates to Comcel's decision to reduce the commissions paid to distributors. In the proceedings, the distributors allege: (i) abuse of dominant position of Comcel; (ii) the existence of a commercial agency relationship between Comcel and the distributor; and (iii) breach of contract and commercial liability on the part of Comcel. Claimant seeks to recover approximately U.S.\$6 million (approximately Ps. 81.2 million) from Comcel.

Dominant position

In March 2009, the Colombian Telecommunications Regulatory Commission (Comisión de *Regulación de Telecomunicaciones de Colombia* or CRT) issued a series of resolutions stating that Comcel has a dominant position in Colombia's market for outgoing mobile services. Under Colombian law, a market participant is considered to have a dominant position in a specified market if the regulators determine that it has the capacity to control the conditions in that market. The CRT made its determination based on Comcel's traffic, revenues and

Table of Contents

subscriber base. The resolutions also included regulations that would require Comcel to charge rates (excluding access fees) for mobile-to-mobile calls outside the Comcel network (off net) that are no higher than the fees charged for mobile-to-mobile calls within the Comcel network (on net). CRT would monitor the rates by reviewing Comcel's average revenue per minute on a quarterly basis. The resolutions did not present a timetable for implementation of the regulations. In April 2009, Comcel filed a request for review of the resolutions (*recurso de reposición*) with the Colombian Ministry of Communications. Comcel expects that the Colombian Ministry of Communications will respond to its request in May 2009. The Company cannot predict how these matters will be resolved. However, if the regulations are implemented in their current form, the Company does not expect them to have a material impact on its business and results of operations in Colombia.

Brazil*Anatel Inflation-Related Adjustments*

The Brazilian Federal Communications Commission (*Agência Nacional de Telecomunicações* or ANATEL) has challenged each of Tess, S.A., or Tess , and ATL-Telecom Leste, S.A., or ATL , regarding the calculation of inflation-related adjustments due under these companies' concession agreements with ANATEL. Forty percent of the concession price under each of these agreements was due upon execution and 60% was due in three equal annual installments (subject to inflation-related adjustments and interest) beginning in 1999. Both companies have made these concession payments, but ANATEL has rejected the companies' calculation of the inflation-related adjustments and requested payment of the alleged shortfalls.

The companies have filed declaratory and consignment actions in Brazilian courts seeking resolution of the disputes. The court of first instance ruled against ATL's filing for declaratory action in October 2001 and ATL's filing for consignment action in September 2002. Subsequently, ATL filed appeals which are pending. The court of first instance ruled against Tess' filing for consignment action in June 2003 and against Tess' filing for declaratory action in February 2009. Tess filed an appeal which is pending. In December 2008, ANATEL charged Tess approximately US\$160 million (approximately Ps. 2,166.4 million). Tess filed an appeal and consequently payment has been suspended until the final ruling is issued. ATL was not charged yet of any payment.

The aggregate contested amounts are approximately US\$240 million (approximately Ps. 3,249.6 million) (including potential penalties and interest). On December 31, 2005, both ATL and Tess were merged into BCP, S.A. (BCP). In April 2008, BCP changed its name to Claro S.A. (Claro Brasil).

Claro Brasil has made specific provisions in its financial statements for these potential liabilities.

BNDESPar

Prior to the acquisition of Telet, S.A. (Telet) and Americel, S.A. (Americel) by Telecom Americas Limited (Telecom Americas), BNDESPar, a subsidiary of BNDES, the Brazilian development bank, had entered into investment and other shareholder agreements with Americel, Telet and certain of their significant shareholders. Under these agreements, BNDESPar had the right, among others, to participate in the sale of shares of Telet and Americel in the event of certain transfers of control, for so long as BNDESPar held 5% of the share of capital in those companies. In October 2003, Telecom Americas increased the capital of each of Telet and Americel and BNDESPar's ownership fell below 5% from approximately 20% in each, as it elected not to exercise its preemptive rights. Subsequently, BNDESPar sent official notices to Telet and Americel reserving its rights under the agreements with respect to certain past transfers of shares. In November 2004, BNDESPar filed a lawsuit with the competent court of Rio de Janeiro claiming that BNDESPar is entitled to sell its shares in Telet and Americel to Telecom Americas for approximately US\$164 million (approximately Ps. 2,220 million). The Company does not believe that BNDESPar has valid grounds for its claims against Telecom Americas. The Company cannot provide assurance, however, that Telecom Americas will ultimately prevail in this dispute. Claro Brasil has not made specific provisions in its financial statements for this potential liability.

Table of Contents

Lune Patent Case

A Brazilian company claims that wireless operators in Brazil have infringed its patent over certain caller ID technology. The plaintiff first brought a patent infringement case in a state court in Brasília, Federal Capital of Brazil, against Americel and later brought cases, as part of two separate proceedings, against other 23 defendants. Although the Company believes that the patent does not cover the technology that is used by Americel to provide caller ID services, Americel lost the case at the trial level and on first appeal. After the judgment against Americel was rendered, a federal court in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, rendered a preliminary injunction decision suspending the effects of the patent, in an action filed by a supplier of caller ID technology. This injunction was later upheld on appeal, and the proceeding for judicial review on the merits of the validity of the patent is in its initial stages.

Americel filed three special appeals against the decision of the state court in Brasília, seeking review at the Superior Court of Justice (which is the highest court in Brazil to decide on questions of federal law) and the Supreme Court (the highest court in Brazil to decide on questions of constitutional law). The Court of Appeals has determined that two of Americel's special appeals will be heard by the Superior Court of Justice. Americel's request for a special appeal before the Supreme Court was denied. Americel filed a motion requesting the reversal of this decision which is still pending.

The cases against the other operators are currently suspended as a result of the preliminary injunction suspending the effects of the patent. The plaintiff has brought these cases to the same state trial court that heard the case against Americel, but the defendants have requested that the cases be remitted to another court on jurisdictional grounds. The Americel judgment does not bind other state courts or federal courts of Brazil. The Company does not expect that there will be a resolution of these other cases within this year.

In the case against Americel, the plaintiff has requested the court to initiate the necessary proceedings for the execution of judgment. The court has estimated that the award for damages could amount to as much as approximately US\$270 million (approximately Ps. 3,655.8 million). In September 2006, the Higher Court of Justice of Brazil unanimously ruled to stay the trial, due to the injunction suspending the validity of the patent in question. The Company expects that the trial will remain stayed as long as the patent remains suspended. Furthermore, Americel benefits from a limited contractual indemnity from its equipment suppliers (Nortel Networks) in respect of trademark infringement. The process remains suspended by the Superior Court of Justice. Americel has not made specific provisions in its financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Tax Assessments against Americel

In December 2005, the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service (*Secretaria da Receita Federal do Brasil*) issued three tax assessments against Americel in respect of withholding income taxes and PIS and COFINS taxes (contributions levied on gross revenue) for 2000 through 2005. The total amount of the tax assessments is of approximately R\$224.2 million (approximately Ps. 1,298.1 million), including R\$88.8 million (approximately Ps. 514.2 million) of taxes and contributions plus fines and interest. Americel has challenged these assessments, and its challenge is pending before the Brazilian Taxpayers Council (*Conselho de Contribuintes*) in Brasília. Americel did not make any specific provisions in its financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Tax Assessments against ATL

In March 2006, the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service issued two tax assessments against ATL in respect of certain tax credits claimed by ATL and derived from non-cumulative contributions levied on gross income (PIS and COFINS). Under the Brazilian tax legislation, the calculation and payment of PIS and COFINS has two different regimes, the cumulative and non-cumulative regimes. The applicability of a regime depends on the nature of the company and its business sector. The cumulative regime applies to revenues derived from the provision of telecommunications services, while the sale of handsets is taxed under the non-cumulative regime. The non-cumulative regime is based on the value-added concept and allows the taxpayer to claim tax credits

Table of Contents

corresponding to preceding transactions. ATL (as well as other of the Company's Brazilian subsidiaries) offsets the tax credit derived from the non-cumulative regime for the sale of handsets (the balance between the purchase and the sale of handsets), against contributions owed under the cumulative regime. The Brazilian Federal Revenue Service is arguing that tax credits derived from the non-cumulative regime may not be used to offset contributions owed under the cumulative regime. The total amount of the tax assessments is approximately R\$54.9 million (approximately Ps. 317.9 million), including R\$24.1 million (approximately Ps. 139.5 million) of taxes and contributions plus R\$30.8 million (approximately Ps. 178.3 million) of fines and interest. Claro Brasil has challenged these assessments, and the challenge is pending before the Brazilian Taxpayers Council.

On December 31, 2005, ATL was merged into BCP. In April 2008, BCP changed its name to Claro Brasil.

Claro Brasil did not make any specific provisions in its financial statements to cover these potential liabilities.

Conecel*Tax Assessments*

During 2008, Conecel filed administrative proceedings before the Ecuadorian Revenue Services (*Servicio de Rentas Internas de Ecuador*, or the SRI), challenging US\$127 million (approximately Ps. 1,719.6 million) of certain tax assessments notified by the SRI amounting to U.S.\$138 million, not including interest and penalties, (approximately Ps. 1,868.5) which related to special consumption (ICE), value-added, income and withholding taxes for the years 2003 to 2006. In March 2008, Conecel paid to the SRI U.S.\$14.3 million (approximately Ps. 193.6 million) in respect of the aforesaid tax assessments.

In December 2008, the SRI notified Conecel of a resolution that denied the challenges filed by Conecel against the tax assessments. As a result of the foregoing, on January 15, 2009, Conecel filed a lawsuit before a Tax Court in Guayaquil (*Tribunal Fiscal Distrital de Guayaquil*) challenging the tax assessments, attaching a bank guarantee of US\$12.7 (approximately Ps. 172 million), which represented 10% of the contested amount.

17. Related Parties

a) An analysis of balances due from/to related parties at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is provided below. All the companies are considered affiliates since América Móvil's primary shareholders are also either directly or indirectly the controlling shareholders of the related parties.

| | December 31 | |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Due from: | | |
| Teléfonos de México, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries | Ps. 449,053 | Ps. 704,038 |
| Telmex Internacional, S.A.B. de C.V. | | 20,004 |
| Teléfonos del Noroeste S.A. de C.V. | 554,665 | 34,709 |
| Sanborns Hermanos, S.A. de C.V. | 133,207 | 100,214 |
| Sears Roebuck, S.A. de C.V. | 119,590 | 33,845 |
| Other | 78,095 | 159,986 |
| Total | Ps. 1,334,610 | 1,052,796 |
| Due to: | | |
| Fianzas Guardiania Inbursa, S.A. de C.V. | 59,596 | 77,232 |
| Seguros Inbursa, S.A. de C.V. | 64,511 | 75,686 |
| Embratel Participacoes, S.A. | 1,074,597 | 499,303 |
| Other | 51,071 | 270,033 |
| Total | Ps. 1,249,775 | 922,254 |

Table of Contents

b) América Móvil receives services from several subsidiaries of Grupo Carso, S.A. de C.V.; Grupo Financiero Inbursa, S.A. de C.V. (Inbursa); Teléfonos de México, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries (Telmex), and Telmex Internacional, S.A.B. de C.V. and subsidiaries (Telmex Internacional). The Company's transactions with Telmex include, among others, the interconnection of their respective networks and the use of the related party's facilities, specifically the co-location of switchboard equipment in the facilities owned by Telmex. The Company's transactions with Inbursa include insurance and bank services, among others.

c) América Móvil has entered into an agreement with AT&T (Major shareholder and related party) to receive consultancy services. In 2007 and 2008, the Company paid USD 7.5 million (Ps. 81,500 million and Ps. 101,500 million, respectively) for services received.

In 2006, the Company paid USD 28.5 million (Ps. 322,000) to AMTEL (the company that had the agreement with América Móvil prior to the merger) and USD 1 million (Ps. 11,200) to AT&T for services received.

d) For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company conducted the following transactions with related parties (mainly with Telmex and Telmex Internacional):

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Calling Party Pays interconnection fees and others | Ps. 13,909,498 | Ps. 19,702,718 | Ps. 19,372,722 |
| Costs: | | | |
| Payments for long-distance, circuits and others | 6,541,648 | 6,891,049 | 7,049,264 |
| Commercial, administrative and general expenses: | | | |
| Advertising | 811,248 | 1,229,726 | 1,384,790 |
| Others, net | 766,314 | 896,249 | 1,202,526 |
| Interest expense, net | (380,787) | | (161,798) |

e) Telcel has entered into various leasing and co-location agreements with a subsidiary of Telmex. Under these agreements, Telcel pays monthly fees for the use of Telmex's antenna and repeater space and has the right to install its interconnection equipment.

f) Claro Chile and Telmex Chile entered into an agreement for the provision of capacity, whereby the latter agrees to provide the former with capacity and infrastructure use over the following 20 years. The amount recorded in results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 for this agreement was USD 218 (Ps. 2,368) USD 222 million (Ps. 2,412,000) and USD 218 million (Ps. 2,951,000), respectively.

g) In 2005, Telmex Argentina, a subsidiary of Telmex Internacional, and AMX Argentina (formerly, CTI Móvil) agreed to jointly install a network of fiber optic trunk lines in Argentina approximately 1,943 kilometers in length. The project was completed in 2008 at an approximate cost of Ps. 260,785 (USD 24 million).

In 2008, AMX Argentina began the construction of approximately 3,100 Km of fiber optic transmission lines in southern Argentina. The approximated total cost of this project will be Ps. 523,534 (USD 38.5 million), once the project is finished. Once the work is finalized, AMX Argentina plans to enter into a 30-year license for use agreement with Telmex Argentina (subsidiary of Telmex Internacional).

h) Claro Telecom (through its operating subsidiaries) and Embratel, a subsidiary of Telmex Internacional, both provide telecommunications services in certain regions of Brazil; consequently, they have significant operating relationships between themselves, mainly the interconnection of their respective networks and the provision of long-distance services by Embratel.

i) In November 2005, Embratel entered into an agreement with Claro Telecom Participacoes to provide trunk line capacity to the operating subsidiaries in Brazil for a period of 20 years. Through this contract, the

Table of Contents

subsidiaries in Brazil are obligated to pay monthly fees to Embratel of between R. 4.0 million and R. 6.0 million (approximately Ps. 24.5 million and Ps. 36.8 million, respectively), depending on the number of months that have passed as of the signing of the agreement (fixed capacity in the agreement of 84,608 Gbps).

j) In the normal course of operations, the Company's subsidiaries in Brazil have entered into lease agreements with Embratel. The total annual rent under such lease agreements is approximately R. 1.97 million (approximately Ps. 114.12 million). As well, Embratel leases properties from América Móvil's subsidiaries in Brazil. The total annual rent received by the subsidiaries is R. 19.6 million (approximately Ps. 113.54 million). The net amount of rent between the Brazilian subsidiaries is included in the caption Cost of sales and services in the statement of income.

k) On December 26, 2006, CICS Perú S.A., Telmex Peru, S.A. and América Móvil Perú, SAC entered into a turnkey fiber optic network construction contract for approximately USD 43 million. Since December 2006, América Móvil Perú, SAC. has made payments equal to 50% of the value of six sections of the network in use of USD 20.9 million.

l) An analysis of employee benefits granted to the Company's key managers or relevant directors is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| Short- and long-term direct benefits | Ps. 19,991 | Ps. 30,302 | Ps.34,300 |

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007, and 2008, the Company made no termination payments.

m) As mentioned in Note 4, in December 2007 USCO's shares were donated to Fundación Carso (related party).

18. Shareholders Equity Shares

a) Before the merger described in Note 3, the Company's capital stock consisted of a fixed amount of Ps. 402,900 (historical), represented by 48,348,005,796 shares (including treasury shares for re-subscription in terms of the Securities Trading Act and the general provisions issued by the National Securities and Bonding Commission [NSBC]), divided into: (i) 11,420,301,030 common registered Series AA shares, with no par value; (ii) 979,846,541 common registered Series A shares, with no par value and; (iii) 35,947,858,245 registered Series L shares, with no par value and limited voting rights. All of the above-mentioned shares were fully subscribed and paid in.

b) After the merger, the Company's capital stock consisted of a fixed amount of Ps. 397,873 (historical), represented by 47,744,862,098 shares (including treasury shares for re-subscription in terms of the Securities Trading Act and the general provisions issued by the NSBC), divided into: (i) 11,717,316,330 common registered Series AA shares; (ii) 599,818,479 common registered Series A shares; (iii) 35,427,727,289 registered Series L shares. All of the above-mentioned shares were fully subscribed and paid in.

c) The Company's capital stock at December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 was represented by 35,907,021,650 shares (10,859,838,050 of Series AA, 571,620,157 of Series A and 24,475,563,443 of Series L) for 2006, 34,897,833,852 shares (11,712,316,330 of Series AA, 547,508,654 of Series A and 22,638,008,877 of Series L) for 2007 and 33,250,796,049 shares (11,712,316,330 of Series AA, 480,036,244 of Series A and 21,058,443,475 of Series L) for 2008 (includes the retroactive effect of the split and the effect of the merger). These shares represented the Company's fixed minimum capital at such dates.

Table of Contents

d) At December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company had treasury shares for re-subscription in terms of the Securities Trading Act and the general provisions issued by the NSBC as follows: 12,440,984,146 shares (12,409,455,342 Series L and 31,528,804 Series A) for 2006, 12,847,028,246 shares (12,814,643,242 Series L and 32,385,004 Series A) for 2007 and 14,494,066,049 shares (14,460,871,645 Series L and 33,194,404 Series A) for 2008.

e) Holders of Series AA and Series A shares have full voting rights. Holders of Series L shares are entitled to vote only to elect two members of the Board of Directors and the corresponding alternate directors and on the following matters: extension of the term of América Móvil, its voluntary dissolution, a change in its corporate purpose or nationality, transformation of América Móvil from one type of company to another and mergers, as well as the cancellation of the registration of the shares issued by the Company in the National Registry of Securities and Intermediaries and in other foreign stock exchanges, with the exception of valuation systems or other markets not organized as stock exchanges.

The Company's bylaws contain restrictions and limitations related to the subscription and acquisition of Series AA shares by foreign investors.

f) In conformity with the Company's bylaws, Series AA shares must represent at all times no less than 20% and no more than 51% of the Company's capital stock and also must represent at all times no less than 51% of the combined number of common registered shares (with full voting rights represented by Series AA and Series A shares).

Series AA shares may only be subscribed or acquired by Mexican individuals, Mexican corporations and trusts expressly authorized to do so in conformity with the applicable legislation in force. Common A shares, which may be freely subscribed, must represent no more than 19.6% of the Company's capital stock and no more than 49% of its common shares. Common registered shares (with full voting rights represented by Series AA and Series A shares) may not exceed 51% of the Company's capital stock. Lastly, the combined number of Series L shares, which have limited voting rights and may be freely subscribed, and Series A shares may not exceed 80% of the Company's capital stock. For purposes of determining these restrictions, the percentages mentioned above refer only to the number of shares outstanding.

Dividends

g) On April 26, 2006, the Company's stockholders declared a cash dividend of \$ 0.10 peso per Series AA , A and L share, for a total distribution of Ps. 2,289,219, payable in full on July 26, 2006 against coupon No. 21 of the titles that represent the Company's capital stock.

On April 27, 2007, the Company's stockholders declared a cash dividend of \$ 0.20 peso per Series AA , A and L share, for a total distribution of Ps. 7,240,625, payable in full on July 27, 2007 against coupon No. 22 of the titles that represent the Company's capital stock.

On October 29, 2007, the Company's stockholders declared a cash dividend of \$ 1.00 peso per Series AA , A and L share, for a total distribution of Ps. 35,414,993, payable in full on November 6, 2007 against coupon No. 23 of the titles that represent the Company's capital stock.

On April 29, 2008, the Company's stockholders approved payment of a cash dividend of \$ 0.26 peso per Series AA , A and L share, for a total distribution of Ps. 8,904,997, payable in full on July 25, 2008 against coupon No. 24 of the titles that represent the Company's capital stock.

All the information has been adjusted to give effect to the merger described in Note 3; consequently, the information above may not necessarily coincide with the information shown in the Company's legal records of the dates on which the stockholders' meetings were held.

Table of Contents

The aforementioned dividends were paid from the Net tax profit account (CUFIN).

Repurchase of shares

h) During the three-year period ended December 31, 2008, the Company has repurchased shares, as shown below. The amount of the repurchase price in excess of the capital stock represented by the shares was charged to retained earnings:

| Year | No. of shares in millions | | Amount in thousands of Mexican pesos | | Historical amount in thousands of Mexican pesos ¹ | |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|
| | Series L | Series A | Series L | Series A | Series L | Series A |
| 2006 | 338 | 0.5 | \$ 7,788,888 | \$ 10,040 | \$ 7,262,485 | \$ 9,382 |
| 2007 | 405 | 0.8 | \$ 12,829,295 | \$ 27,143 | \$ 12,617,400 | \$ 26,915 |
| 2008 | 1,646 | 0.8 | \$ 41,736,011 | \$ 19,558 | \$ 41,736,011 | \$ 19,558 |

i) In conformity with Article 20 of the Mexican Corporations Act, at least 5% of the Company's net income of the year must be appropriated to increase the legal reserve. This practice must be continued each year until the legal reserve reaches at least 20% of capital stock.

19. Income Tax, Flat Rate Business Tax and Asset Tax**a) Mexico**

1) Effective January 2002, the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit authorized América Móvil to consolidate its tax results with those of its Mexican subsidiaries, except for: Global Central América S.A. de C.V., Widcombe, S. A. de C. V., AMX Wellington Gardens, S. A. de C.V., AMX Santa Lucia INC, AMOV Ecuador, S.A., AMOV Colombia, S.A. and AMOV Canada Limited.

2) As of 2007, the 1.25% asset tax rate is payable on the average value of most assets net of certain liabilities. Through December 31, 2006, asset tax was payable based on 1.8% of the average value of most assets net of certain liabilities. Asset tax for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 was Ps. 701,224 and Ps. 1,854,082, respectively. Such amounts were remitted through the crediting of income tax paid in both years.

Asset tax for the year ended December 31, 2006 was determined on a consolidated basis for Mexican subsidiaries and offset against income tax in the tax consolidation.

The Flat-Rate Business Tax (FRBT) Law was published in the *Official Gazette* on October 1, 2007. This Law became effective as of January 1, 2008 and abolished the Asset Tax Law. The FRBT Law establishes a procedure for determining asset tax payable through December 2007, which can be recovered as of 2008.

3) Corporate income tax rate

a) The corporate income tax rate for 2006 was 29%. For 2007 and 2008, the income tax rate is 28% and will continue to be so, provided no change in the rate is legislated.

4) At December 31, 2008, the balance of the Company's Restated contributed capital account (CUCA) and Net tax profit account (CUFIN) (including the effects of the merger) aggregated Ps. 82,565,373 and Ps. 47,387,572, respectively.

As a result of the merger of América Telecom (disappearing company) into América Móvil (surviving company), the Company's CUCA balance decreased by Ps. 21,768,825 and its CUFIN balance increased by Ps. 12,064,275.

Table of Contents

5) An analysis of income tax charged to results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| In Mexico: | | | |
| Current year income tax | Ps. 13,445,810 | Ps. 11,096,983 | Ps. 16,358,514 |
| Deferred income tax | (887,063) | 5,250,377 | (361,855) |
| Deferred FRBT | | 117,237 | |
| Asset tax | 701,224 | 1,080,303 | |
| Abroad: | | | |
| Current year income tax | 2,821,020 | 5,617,616 | 8,594,349 |
| Deferred income tax | 937,153 | (708,249) | (4,702,671) |
| Total | Ps. 17,018,144 | Ps. 22,454,267 | Ps. 19,888,337 |

6) Tax credits

The 2007 income tax of Mexican subsidiaries includes a tax credit of Ps. 565,309 resulting from the recovery of asset tax paid in prior years.

7) A reconciliation of the statutory corporate income tax rate to the effective tax rate recognized by the Company for financial reporting purposes is as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Statutory income tax rate in Mexico | 29.0% | 28.0% | 28.0% |
| Effect of non-taxable, non-deductible items: | | | |
| Tax inflation effect | (0.3) | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| Asset tax | 0.5 | (0.4) | |
| Tax benefit from tax consolidation | | (1.0) | |
| Tax benefit derived from carryforward of tax losses | (0.5) | (1.8) | |
| Operations of subsidiaries abroad | (1.5) | (0.9) | (3.2) |
| Effect of merger | 0.7 | | |
| Other | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Effective tax rate on Mexican operations | 30.1 | 24.9 | 28.3 |
| Revenues and costs of foreign subsidiaries(a) | (2.4) | 2.7 | (3.3) |
| Effective income tax rate before effect derived from the recognition of deferred FRBT | 27.7% | 27.6% | 25.0% |
| Deferred FRBT | | 0.1 | |
| Effective income tax rate | 27.7% | 27.7% | 25.0% |

(a) In 2008 includes Ps. 4.8 billion of reversal of valuation allowance on tax loss carryforwards and approximately Ps. 2 billion of the difference with enacted rates in México.

Table of Contents

8) An analysis of the effects of temporary differences on net deferred tax assets and liabilities is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| <i>Deferred tax assets</i> | | |
| Liability provisions | Ps. (2,645,603) | (1,697,482) |
| Other | (676,260) | (557,010) |
| Deferred income | (1,492,015) | (1,719,045) |
| Tax losses | (17,145,849) | (1,970,334) |
| | (21,959,727) | (5,943,871) |
| <i>Deferred tax liabilities</i> | | |
| Fixed assets | 4,433,668 | 5,468,840 |
| Sale and leaseback | 971,445 | 1,668,061 |
| Inventories | 888,698 | 540,716 |
| Licenses | 759,370 | 346,387 |
| Deferred effects of tax consolidation in Mexican subsidiaries | 4,101,855 | 4,101,855 |
| Futures agreements with affiliates | 3,181,000 | 1,893,720 |
| Royalty advances | 1,030,000 | 1,630,000 |
| Other | 647,459 | 3,418,061 |
| | 16,013,495 | 19,067,640 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | 18,325,339 | 1,497,306 |
| Deferred FRBT | 117,237 | |
| Total deferred taxes | Ps. 12,496,344 | 14,621,075 |

9) The deferred tax asset of Ps. 3,395,396 shown in the balance sheet at December 31, 2007 refers to TracFone, Enitel and Puerto Rico and deferred tax asset of Ps. 9,296,366 shown in the balance sheet at December 31, 2008 refers to TracFone, Enitel, Brazil and Puerto Rico.

An analysis of the effect of temporary differences giving rise to the net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| <i>Deferred tax assets</i> | | |
| Accrued liabilities | Ps. (1,020,968) | (3,630,658) |
| Fixed assets | (1,330,956) | (771,771) |
| Other | (806,011) | (1,386,356) |
| Deferred revenues | | (46,308) |
| Tax losses and other assets | (299,224) | (15,265,167) |
| | (3,457,159) | (21,100,260) |
| <i>Deferred tax liabilities</i> | | |
| Sale and leaseback | | 41,424 |
| Licenses | | 110,803 |
| Other | | 18,779 |
| | | 171,006 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | 61,763 | 11,632,887 |
| Total deferred tax asset, net | Ps. (3,395,396) | (9,296,367) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

The Company decreased the valuation allowance from 2007 to 2008 in view of the positive tax results in Brazil over last three years and the probability of its generating taxable income in upcoming years.

F-56

Table of Contents

10) The Flat-Rate Business Tax (FRBT) Law was published on October 1, 2007. This Law became effective as of January 1, 2008 and abolished the Asset Tax Law.

Current-year FRBT is computed by applying the 17.5% rate (16.5% for 2008 and 17% for 2009) to income determined on the basis of cash flows, net of authorized credits.

FRBT credits result mainly from the negative FRBT base to be amortized, salary and social security contribution credits, and credits arising from the deduction of certain assets, such as inventories and fixed assets, during the transition period as of the date on which the FRBT became effective.

FRBT shall be payable only to the extent it exceeds income tax for the same period. To determine FRBT payable, income tax paid in a given period is first subtracted from the FRBT of the same period.

When the FRBT base is negative because deductions exceed taxable income, there is no FRBT payable. The amount of the negative base multiplied by the FRBT rate results in a FRBT credit, which may be applied against income tax for the same year or, if applicable, against FRBT payable in the next ten years.

On the basis of the financial projections for the next four years results, the Company considers that most of its subsidiaries will essentially pay income tax, since the Mexican subsidiaries have historically generated income tax and as a result of the immediate deduction of fixed assets acquired for FRBT purposes, income tax in most of the Mexican subsidiaries will be greater than FRBT.

The deferred FRBT shown in 2007 basically corresponds to the effect of inventories of a subsidiary that historically had asset tax payable.

b) Subsidiaries abroad

1) Net income

The foreign subsidiaries determine their income tax based on the individual results of each subsidiary and in conformity with the specific tax regime of each country. An analysis of the aggregate pretax income and aggregate tax provisions of these subsidiaries in 2006, 2007 and 2008 is as follows:

| Item | 2006 | December 31, 2007 | 2008 |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Aggregate pretax income | Ps. 13,793,495 | Ps. 22,894,721 | Ps. 21,354,353 |
| Aggregate tax provisions, including deferred taxes | 3,758,173 | 4,909,367 | 3,891,678 |

At December 31, 2008, América Móvil's foreign subsidiaries had available tax loss carryforwards as follows:

| Country | Available tax loss carryforward in millions of pesos at December 31, 2008 | Future tax benefit |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| Brazil | Ps. 44,861,311 | Ps. 15,252,848 |
| USA | 35,200 | 12,320 |
| Chile | 9,713,349 | 1,651,269 |
| Peru | 1,014,971 | 304,491 |
| Nicaragua | 48,578 | 14,573 |
| Total | Ps. 55,673,409 | Ps. 17,235,501 |

Table of Contents

The rules for tax loss carryforwards in the different countries in which the Company operates are as follows:

- i) In Brazil there is no limit on the carryforward of tax losses; however, the carryforward in each year may not exceed 30% of the tax base for such year, so that in the year on which taxable income is generated, the effective tax rate is 25% rather than the 34% corporate rate.
- ii) In Chile, the carryforward of tax losses has no expiration. The corporate tax rate is 17%; therefore, at the time tax losses are incurred taxpayers may enjoy a maximum 17% benefit of the amount of the loss.
- iii) In the U.S. and specifically in the State of Florida, which is where the Company resides, tax losses may be carried forward in the succeeding 20 years. The Company expects to utilize its carry forward tax losses in 2009.
- iv) In Peru, the Company entered into a tax stabilization agreement, which establishes among other points, that the Company's tax losses must be utilized in the following four years, commencing in 2006.

20. Segments

América Móvil operates primarily in one operating segment (cellular services); however, as mentioned in Note 1 above, the Company has international telecommunications operations in Mexico, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Ecuador, El Salvador, Brazil, Argentina, Colombia, United States, Honduras, Chile, Peru, Paraguay, Uruguay, the Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, Jamaica, and Panama. The accounting policies for the segments are the same as those described in Note 2.

Table of Contents

Company management analyzes the financial and operating information by geographical segment. However, the information for significant countries, whose revenues aggregates more than 10% of consolidated revenues and more than 10% of consolidated assets, is presented separately.

| | Mexico(1) | Brazil | Southern Cone(2) | Colombia | Andean(3) | Central America(4) | U.S.A.(5) | Caribbean(6) | Dominican Republic | Eliminations | Consolidated total |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| December 31, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | 123,716,280 | 41,048,901 | 20,602,621 | 22,252,805 | 12,865,551 | 17,115,907 | 14,747,812 | | 986,218 | (10,331,230) | 243,004,865 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,406,560 | 9,842,334 | 2,016,063 | 3,066,968 | 1,656,420 | 3,548,013 | 247,530 | | 99,911 | | 27,883,799 |
| Operating income | 50,195,453 | (4,315,683) | 1,355,055 | 4,766,373 | 2,794,049 | 5,105,572 | 758,790 | | 282,029 | 92,542 | 61,034,180 |
| Interest expense | 8,865,921 | 807,356 | 696,225 | 483,854 | 178,946 | 186,239 | 1,681 | | | (1,762,677) | 9,457,545 |
| Segment assets | 518,011,543 | 79,329,224 | 27,480,423 | 33,059,868 | 17,667,288 | 35,337,595 | 6,573,031 | | 17,286,439 | (406,420,167) | 328,325,244 |
| Plant, property and equipment, net | 33,968,508 | 35,885,393 | 16,065,975 | 20,379,915 | 8,438,546 | 18,750,751 | 522,686 | | 9,078,181 | | 143,089,959 |
| Goodwill, net | | | 608,190 | 3,327,615 | 3,587,549 | 4,990,918 | 781,201 | | 13,412,946 | | 26,708,419 |
| Trademarks, net | | 2,765,771 | 1,040,011 | 1,337,500 | 264 | 776,228 | | | 214,203 | | 6,133,977 |
| Licenses, net | 5,486,695 | 22,482,800 | 1,711,088 | 2,886,105 | 1,255,176 | 883,167 | | | | | 34,705,031 |
| December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | 144,895,069 | 58,304,614 | 27,236,872 | 29,614,027 | 16,210,004 | 16,917,573 | 15,603,705 | 9,779,538 | 10,990,058 | (17,971,698) | 311,579,762 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,661,902 | 13,970,397 | 2,664,336 | 6,841,611 | 1,930,027 | 3,837,280 | 282,504 | 1,982,504 | 1,235,457 | | 40,406,018 |
| Operating income | 59,160,330 | 607,980 | 2,690,863 | 7,616,334 | 3,724,817 | 4,697,885 | 1,503,392 | 1,331,978 | 3,945,926 | (85,167) | 85,194,338 |
| Interest expense | 6,804,449 | 1,012,354 | 728,647 | 575,174 | 208,798 | 185,594 | | 212,407 | 64 | (2,030,520) | 7,696,967 |
| Segment assets | 571,661,701 | 95,359,385 | 32,281,803 | 40,697,444 | 21,629,821 | 34,747,392 | 6,710,313 | 20,095,070 | 33,059,612 | (507,121,226) | 349,121,315 |
| Plant, property and equipment, net | 32,390,036 | 42,547,172 | 19,112,976 | 20,474,373 | 9,549,744 | 20,512,204 | 571,199 | 12,660,352 | 9,265,850 | | 167,083,906 |
| Goodwill, net | | | 588,636 | 3,715,153 | 3,474,354 | 5,006,284 | 781,201 | 17,649,531 | 13,509,713 | | 44,724,872 |
| Trademarks, net | | 2,209,526 | 978,550 | 1,124,645 | 195 | 671,561 | | 328,495 | 288,182 | | 5,601,154 |
| Licenses, net | 4,989,973 | 23,284,334 | 1,686,476 | 2,455,911 | 1,437,380 | 1,118,672 | | 1,591,558 | | | 36,564,304 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | 166,582,112 | 70,484,150 | 30,541,276 | 32,621,989 | 20,217,826 | 16,051,352 | 16,545,768 | 12,883,853 | 11,240,768 | (31,514,186) | 345,654,908 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,164,283 | 15,101,006 | 3,043,500 | 4,223,926 | 1,862,316 | 4,216,982 | 312,134 | 2,490,675 | 1,352,487 | | 41,767,309 |
| Operating income | 60,911,024 | 1,584,203 | 5,701,590 | 10,955,186 | 5,284,123 | 3,029,184 | 943,099 | 1,611,954 | 3,373,114 | 2,152,670 | 95,546,147 |
| Interest expense | 8,880,448 | 1,125,054 | 533,162 | 599,818 | 289,439 | 340,366 | 179 | 113,273 | 52 | (2,931,229) | 8,950,562 |
| Segment assets | 729,196,475 | 104,288,579 | 42,051,725 | 53,014,276 | 35,066,903 | 49,132,087 | 9,993,465 | 27,838,108 | 39,816,155 | (654,942,303) | 435,455,470 |
| Plant, property and equipment, net | 40,100,016 | 47,003,912 | 23,942,465 | 23,591,639 | 13,075,185 | 30,050,944 | 684,644 | 17,871,323 | 13,576,692 | | 209,896,820 |
| Goodwill, net | | | 575,985 | 4,156,145 | 3,843,755 | 4,657,139 | 781,201 | 17,614,553 | 13,067,503 | | 44,696,281 |
| Trademarks, net | | 1,753,208 | 847,843 | 960,133 | 141 | 697,252 | | 400,742 | 351,220 | | 5,010,539 |
| Licenses, net | 4,496,065 | 24,987,341 | 1,617,912 | 2,313,178 | 5,431,289 | 2,354,183 | | 1,899,017 | | | 43,098,985 |

(1) Mexico includes Telcel and corporate operations and assets

(2) Southern Cone includes Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay

(3) Andean includes Ecuador and Peru.

(4) Central America includes Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama

(5) Excludes Puerto Rico

(6) Caribbean includes Puerto Rico and Jamaica

Table of Contents

21. Subsequent Events

On March 17, 2009, the board of directors resolved to submit a proposal for consideration at the annual shareholders meeting that was held on or before April 30, 2009. The proposal was for the distribution of a cash dividend to be paid in a single payment from the Net tax profit account (CUFIN) at \$ 0.30 pesos (thirty Mexican cents) per each Series AA, A, and L share (including the preferential dividend for Series L shares). The proposal also included a recommendation to increase the authorized amount available for the repurchase of the Company's own shares by Ps. 20,000,000, in conformity with Article 56 of the Securities Trading Act.

On April 17, 2009, AMX issued a bond in the Chilean market for UF 4,000,000 (Ps. 1,963 million equivalent) with a yield of 3.31% and a maturity of 5 years.

On April 28, 2009, América Móvil subscribed with the China Development Bank (CDB) a loan agreement in the amount of US\$1 billion. The loan has a 10-year tenor, which the Company intends to utilize to cover the acquisition of network equipment for the modernization and enlargement of América Móvil's infrastructure in various Latin American countries.

22. Differences between Mexican and U.S. GAAP

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican FRS, which differ in certain respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

As previously mentioned under Note 2, effective January 1, 2008, the Company ceased to recognize the effects of inflation on its financial statements as required by Mexican Financial Reporting Standard B-10. However, as required by such new standard, the financial statement amounts that were previously reported remained unchanged, and the inflation adjustments previously recognized have been maintained in their corresponding caption. This new standard requires that the restated amounts of non-monetary assets as reported at December 31, 2007 become the carrying amounts for those assets effective January 1, 2008. The carrying amounts will also affect net income in future periods. For example, depreciation expense after the adoption of B-10 will be based on carrying amounts of fixed assets that include inflation adjustments recorded prior to the adoption of B-10.

The Mexican and US GAAP amounts included in this Note, as they relate to the year ended December 31, 2008, are presented in the carrying amounts as required by MFRS B-10, the effects of inflation that were recorded prior to 2008 have not been included in the reconciliations to US GAAP.

The Mexican and U.S. GAAP amounts, included throughout Note 22 for the years ended December 31 2007 and 2006, are presented in constant Mexican pesos as of December 31, 2007. The 2006 amounts were restated by using the 1.0376 Mexican inflation factor. The reconciliation to U.S. GAAP for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 does not include the reversal of the adjustments to the financial statements for the effects of inflation required under Mexican FRS (Bulletin B-10), except for the methodology for restatement of imported telephone plant, because the application of Bulletin B-10 represented a comprehensive measure of the effects of price level changes in the Mexican economy as permitted by the SEC.

The principal differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, as they relate to the Company, are described below together with an explanation, where appropriate, of the method used to determine the adjustments that affect operating income, net income, total stockholders equity and cash flows provided by operating, investing and financing activities.

Income Statement Information:

Cost of sales as shown in the income statement, includes cost of sales of telephone equipment and other in the amount of Ps. 65,748,724, Ps. 69,979,814 and Ps. 75,116,949, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**Cash Flow Information:**

As described in Note 2, the company adopted Mexican FRS B-2 Statement of cash flows on January 1, 2008. The cash flow statement as prepared under MFRS for the year ended December 31, 2008, complies with IAS 7, Cash Flow Statements, as issued by the IASB, and thus, no reconciliation is presented for 2008.

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company presents consolidated statements of changes in financial position, in its primary financial statements as described in Note 2. The changes in the consolidated financial statement balances included in this statement constitute resources provided by and used in operating, financing and investing activities stated in constant pesos (including monetary and foreign exchange gains and losses).

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows, does not provide guidance with respect to inflation adjusted financial statements. In accordance with Mexican FRS, the changes in current and long-term debt due to restatement in constant pesos, including the effect of exchange differences, are presented in the statement of changes in financial position in the financing activities section. The Company has adopted the guidance issued by the AICPA SEC Regulations Committee's International Practices Task Force in its meeting held on November 24, 1998, encouraging foreign registrants that file price level adjusted financial statements to provide cash flow statements that show separately the effects of inflation on cash flows.

In previous years, if the monetary gain and the exchange gain or loss related to the debt were treated as components of operating activities, summarized consolidated statements of cash flows derived from information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP would be as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | Ps. 40,638,699 | Ps. 55,419,072 |
| Labor cost | 3,323 | 456,095 |
| Depreciation | 22,043,519 | 34,659,674 |
| Amortization | 6,445,647 | 7,543,563 |
| Amortization of loss of sale and lease back | 1,530,376 | 1,572,397 |
| Deferred taxes | 1,558,563 | 4,579,073 |
| Monetary effect | (3,985,177) | (5,181,140) |
| Equity in results of associates and non-controlling interest | 49,739 | 52,201 |
| Loss on sale of fixed assets | | |
| Effect of exchange rate differences on debt | (432,050) | (4,319) |
| Other than temporary loss on marketable securities | 1,375,713 | 1,384,418 |
| Trading securities | (1,014,038) | 1,499,381 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities | 4,666,670 | (6,465,306) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 72,880,984 | 95,515,109 |
| Financing activities: | | |
| New loans | 66,796,721 | 33,287,331 |
| Repayment of loans | (38,975,741) | (46,008,892) |
| Purchase of Company's own shares | (7,798,928) | (12,856,438) |
| Cash dividends paid | (2,801,282) | (41,785,556) |
| Cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 17,220,770 | (67,363,555) |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Investment in plant property and equipment | (48,117,033) | (38,090,643) |
| Investment in trademarks | (214,203) | 26,811 |
| Investment in licenses | (621,308) | (454,005) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | 4,249,986 | (19,464,035) |
| Investment in securities available-for-sale | | (789,100) |
| Investment in subsidiaries and affiliated companies | (15,002,133) | 42,130 |
| Non-controlling interest | (506,945) | (160,257) |
| Cash used in investing activities | (60,211,636) | (58,889,099) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Effect of inflation accounting | 271,963 | (248,092) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | 30,162,081 | (30,985,637) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 12,795,675 | 42,957,756 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | Ps. 42,957,756 | Ps. 11,972,119 |

F-61

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities reflect cash payments for interest, income tax and employee profit sharing as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 |
| Interest paid, net of capitalized interest | Ps. 4,185,444 | Ps. 4,873,123 |
| Income tax paid | 1,722,717 | 25,225,647 |
| Employee profit paid | 230,218 | 232,402 |

Cash flows from purchase of trading securities during 2006 was of Ps. 1,014,038. Cash flows from sales of trading securities during 2006, 2007 and 2008 were Ps. 0, Ps. 1,499,382 and Ps. 65,800, respectively. Cash flows from purchases of available-for-sale securities during 2006 and 2007 were Ps. 0 and Ps. 789,100, respectively.

Noncash transactions on PP&E as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were Ps. 3,917,160 Ps. 0 and Ps. 30,190,341, respectively.

Capitalized Interest:

Under U.S. GAAP, interest on borrowings in foreign currencies or comprehensive cost of financing incurred during the construction period must be considered as an additional cost of constructed assets to be capitalized in plant, property and equipment and depreciated over the lives of the related assets. The amount of the capitalized interest for U.S. GAAP purposes was determined by applying the weighted average rate of interest of financing.

Under Mexican FRS in force through December 31, 2006, the Company did not capitalize the comprehensive cost of financing in its Mexican FRS financial statements. Starting January 1, 2007, the Company commenced capitalizing comprehensive cost of financing on assets under construction (whose construction started on or after January 1 2007), which include interest expense, monetary gains directly associated with borrowings (when operating in an inflationary environment) and, in the case of borrowings denominated in foreign currency, foreign currency exchange gains (losses).

The reconciling item for 2006 shows the capitalization of interest as required under U.S. GAAP, whereas the reconciling item for 2007 only includes the reversal of the monetary gain and foreign exchange results capitalized under Mexican FRS, related to borrowings denominated in foreign currencies. In 2008, the reconciling item corresponds to the reversal of foreign exchange loss capitalized under Mexican FRS, related to borrowings denominated in foreign currencies, as well as de depreciation effect of these differences in prior years.

Valuation of Plant, Property and Equipment:

Through December 31, 2007, for Mexican FRS purposes, plant, property and equipment of non-Mexican origin were restated based on the rate of inflation in the respective country of origin at the prevailing exchange rate at the balance sheet date (specific-indexation method), while plant, property and equipment of domestic origin were restated based on the NCPI.

The alternate restatement method allowed up to December 31, 2007 by Bulletin B-10, which was the one adopted in 1997 by the Company, is not acceptable for U.S. GAAP purposes; consequently, the difference between this method and the restatement of inventories and plant, property and equipment based on the NCPI was taken to the U.S. GAAP reconciliations subsequently presented.

As a result of this adjustment, plant, property and equipment and stockholders' equity increased by Ps. 7,591,953 in 2007 and Ps.4,923,749 in 2008 and depreciation expense increased by Ps. 1,922,245, Ps.3,072,871 and Ps. 2,668,181, in 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Deferred Income Tax and Deferred Employee Profit Sharing:

As mentioned in Note 2, beginning January 1, 2008, deferred taxes on profits are recognized using the asset and liability method established in Mexican FRS D-4, *Taxes on Profits*. Under this method, deferred tax consequences are recognized on virtually all differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, including effects of translation, applying the enacted income tax rate or the flat-rate business tax rate, as the case may be, effective as of the balance sheet date, or the enacted rate at the balance sheet date that will be in effect when the temporary differences giving rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be recovered or settled.

Through December 31, 2007, the method mentioned in the preceding paragraph was applied only to temporary differences between the values of all assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes.

The Company is required to pay employee profit sharing in accordance with Mexican labor law. Deferred employee profit sharing under U.S. GAAP has been determined following the guidelines of SFAS No. 109.

Under Mexican FRS, deferred profit sharing is computed by applying the 10% rate to all differences between the values of virtually all assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes, which is basically in conformity with SFAS 109.

Under MFRS B-15, entities must recognize deferred tax consequences attributable to the effect of translation of foreign entities following the guidelines of MFRS D-4. However under US GAAP, deferred tax consequences on unremitted foreign earnings that are considered to be permanently invested are not recognized. Therefore, the Company has eliminated the deferred tax consequences on the effect of translation of its foreign operations in the reconciliation of stockholders' equity between Mexican FRS and US GAAP. In the event that Company repatriated these earnings, incremental taxes may be incurred. The Company has determined that it is not practicable to determine the amount of these incremental taxes.

For US GAAP purposes, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN48) as of January 1, 2007. With the adoption of FIN 48 the Company establishes reserves to remove some or all of the tax benefit of any of its tax positions at the time we determine that it becomes uncertain based upon one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is not more likely than not to be sustained, (2) the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained, but for a lesser amount, or (3) the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained, but not in the financial period in which the tax position was originally taken.

For purposes of the evaluating whether or not a tax position is uncertain, (1) we presume the tax position will be examined by the relevant taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information, (2) the technical merits of a tax position are derived from authorities such as legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, ruling and case law and their applicability to the fact and circumstances of the tax position, and (3) each tax position is evaluated without consideration of the possibility of offset or aggregation with other tax positions taken.

A number of years may elapse before a particular uncertain tax position is audited and finally resolved or when a tax assessment is raised. The number of years subject to tax assessments varies depending on the tax jurisdiction and is generally five years for the countries in which the Company principally operates. The tax benefit that has been previously reserved because of the failure to meet the more likely than not recognition threshold would be recognized in our income tax expense in the first period when the uncertainty disappears under any one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained, (2) the tax position, amount, and/or timing is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation, or (3) the statute of limitations for the relevant taxing authority to examine and challenge the tax position has expired.

Table of Contents

The adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements and did not result in a cumulative adjustment to retained earnings at adoption, because all uncertain tax positions not more likely than not to be sustained were reserved in prior years. In 2007 the Company recognized a liability in the amount of Ps. 73,730 in accrued liabilities (including interest and monetary correction of Ps. 23,836 and Ps. 761, respectively). During years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, the Company only recorded additional interest of Ps. 23,836 and Ps. 11,942. The Company will continue to recognize interest and penalties on liabilities recorded for unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense.

The deferred tax adjustment included in the net income and stockholders' equity reconciliations, also includes the effect of deferred taxes on all U.S. GAAP adjustments reflected in the reconciliation between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP.

The following table summarizes the significant components of deferred tax liabilities under U.S. GAAP (after giving effect to the differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP as they relate to the Company as described in this reconciliation footnote), at December 31, 2007 and 2008:

| | Income Tax | 2007 Employee Profit Sharing | Deferred Taxes | Income Tax | 2008 Employee Profit Sharing | Deferred Taxes |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | | | | | |
| Tax loss carry forwards | Ps. 17,145,849 | | Ps. 17,145,849 | Ps. 1,970,334 | | Ps. 1,970,334 |
| Accrued liabilities | 2,645,603 | Ps. 502,631 | 3,148,234 | 1,697,482 | Ps. 467,713 | 2,165,195 |
| Deferred revenues | 1,638,151 | 351,710 | 1,989,861 | 1,865,181 | 539,933 | 2,405,114 |
| Other | 676,260 | 50,217 | 726,477 | 557,010 | 102,793 | 659,803 |
| Valuation allowance | (18,325,339) | | (18,325,339) | (1,497,306) | | (1,497,306) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 3,780,524 | 904,558 | 4,685,082 | 4,592,701 | 1,110,439 | 5,703,140 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | | | | | |
| Fixed assets | (7,021,798) | (496,354) | (7,518,152) | (5,885,319) | (1,151,479) | (7,036,798) |
| Inventories | (888,698) | | (888,698) | (540,716) | | (540,716) |
| Sale and lease back | (971,445) | (364,171) | (1,335,616) | (1,668,061) | (248,899) | (1,916,960) |
| Licenses | (1,036,660) | 45,648 | (991,012) | (587,970) | 67,932 | (520,038) |
| Deferred effects of tax consolidation in Mexican subsidiaries | (4,101,855) | | (4,101,855) | (4,101,855) | | (4,101,855) |
| Royalty advances | | | | (1,630,000) | | (1,630,000) |
| Forward contracts with affiliates | (3,181,000) | | (3,181,000) | (1,893,720) | | (1,893,720) |
| Deferred FRBT | (117,237) | | (117,237) | | | |
| Other | (1,677,458) | | (1,677,458) | (592,575) | (153) | (592,728) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (18,996,151) | (814,877) | (19,811,028) | (16,900,216) | (1,332,599) | (18,232,815) |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | Ps. (15,215,627) | Ps. 89,681 | Ps. (15,125,946) | Ps. (12,307,515) | Ps. (222,160) | Ps. (12,529,675) |

Table of Contents

An analysis of the effect of temporary differences giving rise to the net deferred tax asset under U.S. GAAP (after giving effect to the differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP as they relate to the Company as described in this reconciliation footnote), at December 31, 2008:

| | 2008 Deferred Taxes |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>Deferred tax assets</i> | |
| Accrued liabilities | Ps. (3,630,658) |
| Other | (1,386,356) |
| Pensions | (1,244,964) |
| Deferred revenues | (46,308) |
| Fixed assets | (771,771) |
| Tax losses and other assets | (15,265,167) |
| | (22,336,224) |
| <i>Deferred tax liabilities</i> | |
| Sale and leaseback | 41,424 |
| Licenses | 110,803 |
| Other | 18,779 |
| | 171,006 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | 11,632,887 |
| Total deferred tax asset, net | Ps. (10,532,331) |

In accordance with Mexican FRS purposes, deferred tax liabilities of Ps. 12,496,344 and Ps. 14,621,075 were recognized at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively; and deferred taxes of Ps. 3,395,396 and Ps. 9,296,367 were recognized at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The deferred tax assets recognized under MFRS in 2007 are the same as those recognized for US GAAP purposes.

Undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries amounted to approximately Ps. 12,154 million and Ps. 8,999 million at December 31, 2007 and 2008. Those earnings are considered to be indefinitely reinvested; accordingly, no provision for income taxes has been provided thereon. Upon repatriation of those earnings, in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject in some cases to both Mexican income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to the various foreign countries.

Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred income tax liability is not practicable due to the complexities associated with its hypothetical calculation; however, unrecognized foreign tax credit carryforwards would be available to reduce some portion of the liability.

Employee benefit obligation:

SFAS 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements no. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R) requires (1) recognition on the balance sheet of an asset for a defined benefit plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, (2) measurement of a defined benefit plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and (3) recognition of the changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as a component of other comprehensive income in the year the changes occur.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the statement of changes in stockholders' equity included an increase of Ps. 2,578,451 (Ps. 1,333,487, net of deferred taxes). The components of the plan funded status that is reflected in the consolidated statement of financial position as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 are as follows:

| | 2007 | 2008 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Defined benefit obligation | Ps. 22,388,403 | Ps. 28,831,285 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 13,526,767 | 14,959,431 |
| Under funded status | Ps. 8,861,636 | Ps. 13,871,854 |

Amounts recognized in other accumulated comprehensive income for US GAAP purposes consist of the following:

| | 2008 |
|---|----------------------|
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | Ps. 1,933,608 |
| Unrecognized post-retirement actuarial loss | 644,843 |
| Total | Ps. 2,578,451 |

Through December 31, 2007, for MFRS reporting purposes, labor obligations were accounted for under rules similar to SFAS 87, 88 and 112 prior to its amendment by SFAS 158, effective December 31, 2006, which requires companies to recognize changes in the funded status (benefit obligation less fair value of plan assets) in the year in which the changes occur through other comprehensive income to the extent those changes are not included in the net periodic cost. Under Mexican FRS, such items are being amortized over the estimated average remaining working lifetime of the Company's employees.

Transactions between entities under common control and acquisition of non-controlling interest

Mexican FRS requires any difference between the acquisition cost and the carrying value of net assets acquired on transactions between entities under common control be recognized in stockholders' equity as a capital transaction. For U.S. GAAP purposes transactions between entities under common control are also recognized as a capital transaction but are recorded at the lower of net book value or fair value.

Also Mexican FRS, requires that acquisitions of non controlling interests be treated as an equity transaction and any difference between the acquisition cost and the carrying value of the net assets acquired be recognized in equity, whereas under U.S. GAAP, the acquisition of non-controlling interest is treated as a business combination, and any difference between the acquisition cost and the fair value of the net assets acquired is treated as goodwill.

As of December 31, 2007 and 2008, the reconciliation of stockholders' equity included a Ps.929,459, adjustment each for 2007 and 2008 respectively related to acquisition of non-controlling interests.

Net loss on sale to affiliates

In 2002, the Company recognized in its equity in results of affiliates a gain (América Móvil's interest) derived from the sale of assets by CompUSA to Grupo Telvista. Since both entities are affiliates of América Móvil, this gain was considered a transaction between entities under common control; therefore, this gain was considered as additional paid in capital for US GAAP purposes.

Excess of contributed company over cost

In 2002 the remaining percentage of América Móvil's interest in ATL was contributed to Telecom Américas. Under Mexican FRS, the excess value of ATL was considered as a gain and included under the

Table of Contents

caption other income, net. For US GAAP purposes since this is considered as a step acquisition, this excess was considered as negative goodwill and netted with the positive goodwill of the other ATL shares acquired in previous years.

Goodwill

Beginning January 1, 2005, due to the adoption of Mexican Bulletin B-7, goodwill is no longer amortized, but rather is subject to periodic impairment valuations.

For US GAAP purposes, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* in 2002. Up to December 31, 2004, the Company recognized and accumulated benefit of Ps. 4,483,032, for the non amortization of goodwill, under US GAAP.

Impairment

When performing impairment tests of goodwill, the computation methodologies under Mexican FRS are different than US GAAP. Under Mexican FRS, the Company is allowed to evaluate the carrying value of goodwill and other long-lived assets in the aggregate, recording any potential impairment loss first to goodwill and then to the remaining long-lived assets evaluated. Under Mexican FRS, an impairment loss is recognized whenever the carrying value of these assets exceeds their recoverable value, which is the greater of its net selling price (if it can be readily obtained) and its value in use. These values can be determined through valuation techniques or, in a more practical manner, through a perpetuity value.

Under US GAAP, separate impairments analyses are performed for both, goodwill, indefinite lived intangible assets, and other long-lived assets. SFAS 142 requires a two-step process to identify and quantify the amount of impairment loss to be recognized for goodwill. The first step requires the comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit against its carrying value. The fair value of a reporting unit is the amount at which the unit as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. If fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, a second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment based on cash-flows.

Differences in both, the aggregation of amounts within the impairment tests and also the differing valuation techniques can at times create different results with respect to impairment under Mexican FRS as compared to US GAAP. In the Company's 2008 Mexican FRS consolidated financial statements; it recognized Ps 527,770 of impairment related to goodwill of its Honduran operation. It also recorded of Ps. 212,083 of impairment related to intangible assets and property and equipment. Under US GAAP, the fair value of the reporting unit was greater than its carrying value, and thus no impairment was recorded. Therefore, such amounts have been reversed in the US GAAP reconciliations included elsewhere in this note.

Licenses

During 2006, and derived from definitive ruling by the Federal Tax and Administrative Court in favor of one of the Company's subsidiary, in which established that:

- i) The consideration paid by Telcel to the Federal Government in the amount of Ps. 116,422 as initial payment and additional payments of Ps. 1,998,539 for the annual share of gross revenues derived from the 15-year extension (expiring in October 2015) to the concession originally granted by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation (SCT) in October 2000, was unjustified, since such considerations were determined based on a law that was no longer in force.
- ii) Telcel should in fact have made a one-time payment for the granting of the concession, in conformity with the law applicable at that time. On April 11, 2006, the SCT ordered a one-time modification to the amount of the consideration from Ps. 116,422 to Ps. 2,265,931, for the extension and modification of the concession granted.

Table of Contents

Thus, under Mexican FRS, the Company adjusted the value of the license to reflect the current status of the asset by recognizing the value of the concession granted by the Federal Government and its corresponding amortization through April 2006, based on the new value assigned by the SCT, increasing the net investment on such license by Ps. 1,354,070 (Ps. 2,265,931 in investment less Ps. 838,151 in accumulated amortization less cancellation of original net value of license for Ps. 73,710). The increase in the value of the license of Ps. 2,149,509 was settled with a cash payment of Ps. 150,970 and compensating of the additional payments for the annual share of gross revenues in the amount of Ps. 1,998,539, which resulted a net credit to results of operations of Ps. 1,203,100 included in other income, based on the adjusted investment value of the license.

Amortization expense for the remaining eight months period ended December 31, 2006, amounted to Ps. 103,465. The total effect of the mentioned entries represented a net credit to the income statement for Mexican FRS purposes of Ps. 1,099,635.

For U.S. GAAP, the Company would only capitalize the amount paid in cash, as the compensation of the additional payments was made with the same entity and did not generate any cash flows.

Furthermore, the amortization expense is not adjusted retrospectively; rather, the new net value of the license under U.S. GAAP, in the amount of Ps. 224,680 is amortized over the remaining term of the license. The U.S. GAAP reconciliations show the reversal of adjustments made under Mexican FRS, in the amount of Ps. 1,099,635, (credit of Ps. 1,203,100 less amortization expenses of Ps. 103,465) and amortization expense to be recognized under U.S. GAAP in the amount of Ps. 18,086 for 2006 and a decrease in the amortization expense for 2007 and 2008 in the amount of Ps. 127,399 and Ps. 127,526, respectively.

Non-controlling Interest:

In conformity with MFRS, the share of net income corresponding to non-controlling interests is not deducted to arrive to the Company's net income, and it is also presented as a component of stockholders' equity in our balance sheet, immediately after the caption total majority stockholders' equity. For U.S. GAAP purposes, non-controlling interest is excluded from the Company's net income and from stockholders' equity and included as a mezzanine item in the balance sheet. As a result of the above, for US GAAP purposes the Company reclassified non-controlling interest from stockholders' equity, decreasing its total stockholders' equity by Ps. 633,700 and Ps. 656,482 at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. In addition, non-controlling interest as reported in the income statement under Mexican FRS in the statement of operations of Ps. (87,517), Ps.(109,822) and Ps. (89,892) in 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively have been excluded from net income in the income statement reconciliation.

Other accumulated comprehensive income (loss)

The cumulative effects of the surplus are as follows:

| Concept | 2007 | 2008 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Restatement of stockholders' equity | Ps. 825,749 | |
| Deferred taxes on the difference between indexed cost and replacement cost | 3,380,202 | |
| Actuarial loss, net of deferred taxes | | (1,333,486) |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | 8,656,455 | 20,701,000 |
| | Ps. 12,862,406 | Ps. 19,367,514 |

Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer

EITF 01-9, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (EITF 01-9) addresses the income statement characterization of consideration given by a vendor to a customer. EITF 01-9 is relevant to the Company particularly in respect to accounting for commissions paid to distributors with respect to the activation

Table of Contents

of postpaid plans, the commissions that are paid to distributors only if a distributor completes a specified cumulative level of revenue transactions and commissions paid to distributors when a customer remains with the Company for a specified time period.

Application of EITF 01-9 represented a reclassification for US GAAP purposes of commissions paid to distributors from commercial and administrative expenses to reductions in operating revenues of Ps. 11,496,108, Ps.12,245,020 and Ps. 14,942,712 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables

EITF 00-21 Accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables addresses certain aspects of accounting for sales that involve multiple revenue generating products and/or services sold under a single contractual agreement. For America Móvil, this rule became effective for sales agreements entered into beginning January 1, 2004.

Effects of Inflation Accounting on the U.S. GAAP Adjustments:

To determine the net effect on the consolidated financial statements of recognizing the adjustments described above, it is necessary to recognize the effects of applying the Mexican FRS inflation accounting provisions (described in Note 2) to such adjustments. These effects are taken into consideration in the preparation of U.S. GAAP reconciliations of net income, operating income and equity.

Effective January 1, 2008, and as a result of adopting Standard B-10, *Effects of inflation*, the Company ceased recognizing the effects of inflation in its financial statements and considered the restated amounts of all non-monetary items as its carrying basis as of January 1, 2008. The Company has not reconciled the inflation adjustments still included in its non-monetary items, including depreciation, with one exception for the adjustments in the valuation of property plant and equipment previously mentioned in this note.

EITF 06-3

In June 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) ratified the consensus on EITF Issued No. 06-3 (EITF 06-3), *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That is, Gross versus Net Presentation)*. EITF 06-3 concluded that the presentation of taxes assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer, such as sales, use, value-added and certain excise taxes is an accounting policy decision that should be disclosed in company's financial statements. In addition, companies that record such taxes on a gross basis should disclose the amounts of those taxes in interim and annual financial statements for each period for which an income statement is presented if those amounts are significant. EITF 06-3 became effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company continued with its accounting policy of net presentation; therefore, the adoption of EITF 06-3 did not have any impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Fair value measurement (FASB Statement 157):

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 was effective for us on January 1, 2008 for all financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in our consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). For all other nonfinancial assets and liabilities, this statement is effective for us on January 1, 2009 as it relates to our financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in its consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually).

Table of Contents

The following table summarize the effects under SFAS 157 as of December 31,2008:

| | Fair Value Measurement | | | Total |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Derivative assets | | 3,125,214 | | 3,125,214 |
| Total | | 3,125,214 | | 3,125,214 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Debt | 72,982,560 | 61,337,806 | | 134,320,366 |

Valuation Methods:

Derivatives: The fair value of derivative financial instruments is based on quoted market prices supported by confirmations from the financial institutions with which the Company has entered into the related agreements. The Company's policy is to verify such fair values against valuations provided by an independent valuation agent contracted by the Company. This valuation agent applying technical models uses the present value of expected cash flows based on observable market interest rate curves (Cross currency MID, IRS discount and Libor) commensurate with the term of each instrument. For currency derivatives, the Company's approach is to use forward contract employing market observable inputs, such as spot currency rates and time value. Since the Company primarily uses observable inputs in its valuation of its derivative assets, they are considered Level 2.

Debt: The fair value of total debt, excluding capital leases, is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on current borrowing rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities and the market value for the senior notes at December 31, 2008. Since the Company primarily uses observable inputs in its valuation of its debt, they are considered Level 2 and the Company uses quoted prices in active markets in its valuation of the senior notes, they are considered Level 1.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107 (SFAS 107), *Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments*, under U.S. GAAP it is necessary to provide information about the fair value of certain financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The carrying amounts of cash and short-term investments, accounts receivable and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair values due to the short maturity of these instruments.

The fair value of total debt, excluding capital leases, is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on current borrowing rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities and the market value for the senior notes at December 31, 2008 and 2007. As of December 31, 2008, the carrying value of total debt is Ps. 143,486,448 (Ps. 104,752,394 at December 31, 2007) and the fair value is Ps. 134,320,366 (Ps. 100,757,845 at December 31, 2007).

Table of Contents**Summary**

Net income, operating income and total stockholders' equity, adjusted to take into account the significant differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Net income before non-controlling interest as reported under Mexican FRS | Ps. 44,509,465 | Ps. 58,697,333 | Ps. 59,575,394 |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | | |
| Capitalized interest of net financing cost | 974,757 | (21,851) | (5,764,559) |
| Depreciation of capitalized interest | (346,648) | (424,143) | 346,528 |
| Deferred income tax on U.S. GAAP adjustments | (1,948,894) | (57,859) | 2,272,321 |
| Difference between the restatement of depreciation expense base on specific indexation factors and on the basis of the NCPI | (1,922,245) | (3,072,871) | (2,668,181) |
| Deferred employee profit sharing on U.S. GAAP adjustments | 440,421 | 138,151 | (376,804) |
| Increase in value on licenses | (1,099,635) | | |
| Effects of inflation accounting on U.S. GAAP adjustments | 137,081 | 142,735 | |
| Reversal of the impairment recognized under MFRS | | | 739,853 |
| Non-controlling interest | (87,517) | (109,822) | (89,892) |
| Gain on license, net | (18,086) | 127,399 | 127,526 |
| Total U.S. GAAP adjustments, net | (3,870,766) | (3,278,261) | (5,413,208) |
| Net income under U.S. GAAP | Ps. 40,638,699 | Ps. 55,419,072 | Ps. 54,162,186 |
| Weighted average of common shares outstanding as of December 31, | 35,459 | 35,149 | 34,220 |
| Earnings per share under U.S. GAAP (in pesos) | Ps. 1.15 | Ps. 1.58 | Ps. 1.58 |

Table of Contents

After giving effect to the foregoing adjustments for depreciation of capitalized interest, the difference between the restatement of depreciation expense based on specific indexation factors and on the basis of the NCPI, difference amortization of licenses, and deferred employee profit sharing operating income under U.S. GAAP totaled Ps. 59,338,859, Ps. 79,040,764 and Ps. 92,975,218 in 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

| | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Total stockholders' equity under Mexican FRS | Ps. 126,857,770 | Ps. 144,924,549 |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | |
| Capitalized interest or net financing cost | 4,630,554 | (1,134,005) |
| Accumulated depreciation of capitalized interest or net financing cost | (1,882,584) | (1,536,056) |
| Deferred income tax from U.S GAAP | (3,789,952) | (770,541) |
| Deferred employee profit sharing from US GAAP | 17,014 | (359,790) |
| Deferred taxes on the difference between the indexed cost and replacement cost valuation of fixed assets | 1,143,336 | 396,246 |
| Difference between the restatement of fixed assets based on Specific indexation factors and on the basis of the NCPI | 7,591,929 | 4,923,748 |
| Actuarial loss | | (2,578,451) |
| Deferred taxes on actuarial loss | | 1,244,964 |
| Non-amortization of goodwill | 4,483,032 | 4,483,032 |
| Application of additional negative goodwill of ATL to Goodwill | (755,143) | (755,143) |
| EITF 00-21 | (521,915) | (521,915) |
| Net gain on sale to affiliate | (53,329) | (53,329) |
| Reversal of net gain on licenses | (1,099,635) | (1,099,635) |
| Amortization expense of licenses | 109,313 | 236,839 |
| Cancellation of the impairment registered under MFRS | | 739,853 |
| Deferred taxes for effect translation of foreign entities | | 2,825,486 |
| Non-controlling interest | (633,700) | (656,483) |
| Acquisition non-controlling interest | 929,459 | 929,459 |
| Total U.S. GAAP adjustments, net | 10,168,379 | 6,314,279 |
| Total stockholders' equity under U.S. GAAP | Ps. 137,026,149 | Ps. 151,238,828 |

U.S. GAAP adjustments for 2007 in the reconciliation of stockholders' equity are net of effects of inflation on monetary items.

Recent accounting pronouncements**FAS 160**

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160,

Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51 (FAS 160). FAS 160 requires noncontrolling interests held by parties other than the parent in subsidiaries to be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statements of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent's equity. FAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. At December 31, 2008, the Company had Ps. 656,482 of noncontrolling interest under Mexican FRS that has been treated as a difference between Mexican FRS and US GAAP.

FAS 141 (R)

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (FAS 141 (R)). FAS 141 (R) is a revision of FAS 141 and requires that costs incurred

Table of Contents

to effect the acquisition (i.e., acquisition-related cost) be recognized separately from the acquisition. In addition, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business combinations (FAS 141), restructuring costs that the acquirer expected but was not obligated to incur, which included changes to benefit plans, were recognized as if they were a liability assumed at the acquisition date. FAS 141 (R) requires the acquirer to recognized those costs separately from the business combination. FAS 141 (R) is effective for the Company in 2009, and its impact will vary with each acquisition.

FAS 161

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (FAS 161). FAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivatives and hedging activities to improve the transparency of financial reporting. It is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. FAS 161 is expected to increase annual disclosures but will not have an impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

FSP FAS 142-3

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets (FSP FAS 142-3). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that FSP FAS 142-3 will have in its accounting for intangible assets.

FSP 157-3

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Assets When the Market of that Asset is not Active (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 provides an example that clarifies and reiterates certain provisions of the existing fair value standard, including basing fair value on orderly transactions and usage of management and broker inputs. FSP 157-3 is effective immediately but is not expected to have a material impact on financial position or results of operations of the Company.

EITF 08-6

In November 2008, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF 08-6, Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations. EITF 08-6 provides guidance on the application of the equity method. It states equity-method investments should be recognized using a cost accumulation model. Also, it requires that equity method investments as a whole be assessed for other-than-temporary impairment in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18. EITF 08-6 is effective on a prospective basis for transactions in an investee's shares occurring or impairments recognized in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. This EITF is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

EITF 08-7

In November 2008, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF 08-7 Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets. EITF 08-7 provides that intangible assets that an acquirer intends to use as defensive assets, intangible assets acquired in a business combination or an asset acquisition that an entity does not intend to actively use but does intend to prevent others from using, are a separate unit of account from the existing intangible assets of the acquirer. It also states that a defensive intangible asset should be amortized over the period that fair value of the defensive intangible asset diminishes. EITF 08-7 is effective on a prospective basis for transactions occurring in

Table of Contents

fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. This EITF is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

FSP FAS 132(R)-1

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets* (FSP FAS 132(R)-1). FSP FAS 132(R)-1 amends FASB Statement No. 132(R), *Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefit* (FAS132(R)). This FASB Staff Position replaces the requirement to disclose the percentage of fair value of total plan assets with a requirement to disclose the fair value of each major asset category. It also amends FASB Statement 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157), to clarify that defined benefits pension or other postretirement plan assets not subject to FAS 157's disclosure requirements. FSO FAS 132(R)-1 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 2009. This FSP will significantly increase the amount of disclosures for plan assets in the Company's 2009 Annual Report and its 2009 Financial Statements.

FAS 166

FASB Statement No. 166 *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (FAS 166) provides for the removal of the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from FAS 140 and removes the exception from applying FIN 46R, to qualifying special-purpose entities. It also clarifies that one objective of FAS 140 is to determine whether a transferor and all of the entities included in the transferor's financial statements being presented have surrendered control over transferred financial assets. FAS 166 modifies the financial-components approach used in FAS 140 and limits the circumstances in which a financial asset, or portion of a financial asset, should be derecognized when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset to an entity that is not consolidated with the transferor in the financial statements being presented and/or when the transferor has continuing involvement with the transferred financial asset. FAS 166 also defines the term *participating interest* to establish specific conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale. FAS 166 requires that a transferor recognize and initially measure at fair value all assets obtained (including a transferor's beneficial interest) and liabilities incurred as a result of a transfer of financial assets accounted for as a sale. Enhanced disclosures are also required by FAS 166. FAS 166 must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. This statement must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

FAS 167

The FASB's objective in issuing FAS 167 *Amendments to FIN 46R* is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. The Board undertook this project to address (1) the effects on certain provisions of FIN 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, as a result of the elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept in FAS 166, and (2) constituent concerns about the application of certain key provisions of FIN 46R, including those in which the accounting and disclosures under FIN 46R do not always provide timely and useful information about an enterprise's involvement in a variable interest. This Statement retains the scope of FIN 46R with the addition of entities previously considered qualifying special-purpose entities, as the concept of these entities was eliminated in FAS 166. FAS 167 shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMÉRICA MÓVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V. Y SUBSIDIARIAS**

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

Under U.S. GAAP for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008

(Thousands of pesos)

| | Retained earnings | | | | Other accumulated comprehensive income | Comprehensive income | Total |
|---|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|----------------|
| | Capital Stock | Legal reserve | Unappropriated | Total | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2005 | Ps. 37,026,973 | Ps. 487,667 | Ps. 55,663,454 | Ps. 56,151,121 | Ps. (922,770) | | Ps. 92,255,324 |
| Cash purchase of Company's own shares | (9,624) | | (7,789,304) | (7,789,304) | | | (7,798,928) |
| Dividends paid | | | (2,289,219) | (2,289,219) | | | (2,289,219) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income for the year | | | 40,638,699 | 40,638,699 | | Ps. 40,638,699 | 40,638,699 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 1,334,009 | 1,334,009 | 1,334,009 |
| Current year valuation of swaps | | | | | 53,138 | 53,138 | 53,138 |
| Current year valuation of available-for-sale | | | | | 371,183 | 371,183 | 371,183 |
| Deferred taxes allocate to equity, net of effect of inflation | | | | | 344,427 | 344,427 | 344,427 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | Ps. 42,741,456 | |
| Balances at December 31, 2006 | 37,017,349 | 487,667 | 86,223,630 | 86,711,297 | 1,179,987 | | 124,908,633 |
| Cash purchase of Company's own shares | (3,359) | | (12,853,079) | (12,853,079) | | | (12,856,438) |
| Dividends paid | | | (42,127,537) | (42,127,537) | | | (42,127,537) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income for the year | | | 55,419,072 | 55,419,072 | | Ps. 55,419,072 | 55,419,072 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 10,143,715 | 10,143,715 | 10,143,715 |
| Deferred taxes allocate to equity, net of effect of inflation | | | | | 1,538,704 | 1,538,704 | 1,538,704 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICA MOVIL SAB DE CV/ - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | Ps. 67,101,491 |
| Balances at December 31, 2007 | 37,013,990 | 487,667 | 86,662,086 | 87,149,753 | 12,862,406 | 137,026,149 |
| Effect of adoption of B-10 | | | 4,205,951 | 4,205,951 | (4,205,951) | |
| Cash purchase of Company's own shares | (19,558) | | (41,736,011) | (41,736,011) | | (41,755,569) |
| Dividends paid | | | (8,904,997) | (8,904,997) | | (8,904,997) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income for the year | | | 54,162,186 | 54,162,186 | | Ps. 54,162,186 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Effect of translation of foreign entities | | | | | 12,044,545 | 12,044,545 |
| Actuarial loss, net of deferred taxes | | | | | (1,333,486) | (1,333,386) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | Ps. 64,873,345 |
| Balances at December 31, 2008 | Ps. 36,994,432 | Ps. 487,667 | Ps. 94,389,215 | Ps. 94,876,882 | Ps. 19,367,514 | Ps. 151,238,828 |

F-75

Table of Contents**23.- Supplemental Guarantor Information**

As mentioned in Note 14, the Company has issued senior notes in the United States. These notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Telcel.

Consolidating Condensed Financial Information

The following consolidating information presents condensed consolidating balance sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 and condensed consolidating statements of income and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 of the Company and Telcel (The wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary). These statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican FRS with the exception that the subsidiaries are accounted for as investments under the equity method rather than being consolidated. The guarantees of the Guarantor are full and unconditional. The significant differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP as they affect the Guarantor are set out below.

The Company s consolidating condensed financial information for the (i) Company; (ii) its wholly-owned subsidiary Telcel (on stand alone basis), which is a wholly and unconditional guarantor under the Senior Notes; (iii) the combined non-guarantor subsidiaries; iv) eliminations and v) the Company s consolidated financial statements are as follows:

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries <i>December 31, 2007</i> | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | Ps. 600,142 | Ps. 474,790 | Ps. 10,897,187 | | Ps. 11,972,119 |
| Short-term investments | | 49,931 | | | 49,931 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 14,364,119 | 13,414,437 | 29,818,695 | Ps. (12,840,913) | 44,756,338 |
| Related parties | 42,807 | 3,870,165 | 64,196,526 | (66,774,888) | 1,334,610 |
| Inventories, net | | 8,566,720 | 13,243,697 | (750,387) | 21,060,030 |
| Other current assets | | 261,456 | 1,244,968 | | 1,506,424 |
| Plant property and equipment, net | | 18,839,728 | 148,244,178 | | 167,083,906 |
| Investments in associates and others | 248,285,135 | 42,023,434 | 38,907,355 | (328,626,309) | 589,615 |
| Intangible assets, net | 213,052 | 8,999,187 | 91,556,103 | | 100,768,342 |
| Total assets | 263,505,255 | 96,499,848 | 398,108,709 | (408,992,497) | 349,121,315 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Short-term debt | 13,933,100 | 2,508,000 | 3,511,807 | | 19,952,907 |
| Current liabilities | 45,016,440 | 52,396,256 | 77,394,952 | (78,702,180) | 96,105,468 |
| Long-term debt | 69,515,147 | | 15,284,340 | | 84,799,487 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 8,816,498 | 3,277,852 | 9,565,602 | (254,269) | 21,405,683 |
| Total liabilities | 137,281,185 | 58,182,108 | 105,756,701 | (78,956,449) | 222,263,545 |
| Total majority stockholders equity | 126,224,070 | 38,317,740 | 291,058,956 | (329,376,696) | 126,224,070 |
| Non-controlling interest | | | 1,293,052 | (659,352) | 633,700 |
| Total stockholder s equity under Mexican FRS | 126,224,070 | 38,317,740 | 292,352,008 | (330,036,048) | 126,857,770 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | Ps. 263,505,255 | Ps. 96,499,848 | Ps. 398,108,709 | Ps. (408,992,497) | Ps. 349,121,315 |

Table of Contents

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries <i>December 31, 2008</i> | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | Ps. 2,818,138 | Ps. 68,061 | Ps. 19,205,940 | | Ps. 22,092,139 |
| Short-term investments | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 15,835,097 | 10,837,824 | 29,222,969 | | 55,895,890 |
| Related parties | 2,671,532 | 43,788,755 | 144,711,429 | (190,118,920) | 1,052,796 |
| Inventories, net | | 13,213,184 | 24,079,922 | (5,487,964) | 31,805,142 |
| Other current assets | | 374,967 | 2,264,945 | | 2,639,912 |
| Plant property and equipment, net | | 18,574,776 | 191,322,044 | | 209,896,820 |
| Investments in associates and others | 312,276,188 | 37,617,832 | 36,084,169 | (385,188,577) | 789,612 |
| Intangible assets, net | 365,236 | 6,934,203 | 104,004,275 | (20,555) | 111,283,159 |
| Total assets | 333,966,191 | 131,409,602 | 550,895,693 | (580,816,016) | 435,455,470 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Short-term debt | 19,663,882 | | 7,067,473 | | 26,731,355 |
| Current liabilities | 56,657,539 | 95,720,683 | 158,218,380 | (189,531,851) | 121,064,751 |
| Long-term debt | 103,428,129 | | 13,326,964 | | 116,755,093 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 9,948,574 | 394,362 | 16,223,855 | (587,069) | 25,979,722 |
| Total liabilities | 189,698,124 | 96,115,045 | 194,836,672 | (190,118,920) | 290,530,921 |
| Total majority stockholders equity | 144,268,067 | 35,294,557 | 355,062,516 | (390,357,073) | 144,268,067 |
| Non-controlling interest | | | 996,505 | (340,023) | 656,482 |
| Total stockholders equity under Mexican FRS | 144,268,067 | 35,294,557 | 356,059,021 | (390,697,096) | 144,924,549 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | Ps. 333,966,191 | Ps. 131,409,602 | Ps. 550,895,693 | Ps. (580,816,016) | Ps. 435,455,470 |

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries <i>For the year ended December 31, 2006</i> | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|----------------|---|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| Condensed consolidating statements of operations: | | | | | |
| Total revenues | Ps. 332,766 | Ps. 89,679,762 | Ps. 239,848,904 | Ps. (86,856,567) | Ps. 243,004,865 |
| Total cost and expenses operating | 96,672 | 92,952,977 | 167,820,780 | (78,899,744) | 181,970,685 |
| Operating income (loss) | 236,094 | (3,273,215) | 72,028,124 | (7,956,823) | 61,034,180 |
| Interest income (expense), net | (4,744,861) | 115,726 | (159,329) | | (4,788,464) |
| Exchange (loss) gain, net | (1,025,571) | 66,754 | 3,280,055 | | 2,321,238 |
| Monetary effect, net | 2,469,699 | 1,125,259 | 253,137 | | 3,848,095 |
| Other financing cost, net | (364,922) | | (1,043,967) | | (1,408,889) |
| Other income (expense), net | 224,740 | 1,368,970 | (1,110,039) | | 483,671 |
| Taxes on profits | (663,722) | 418,529 | 17,263,337 | | 17,018,144 |
| Equity interest in net (loss) income of associates | 46,963,048 | 13,723,988 | 12,746,730 | (73,395,988) | 37,778 |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 44,421,949 | Ps. 12,708,953 | 68,731,374 | (81,352,811) | 44,509,465 |
| Non-controlling interest net income (loss) | | | Ps. (85,403) | Ps. (2,114) | Ps. (87,517) |

Table of Contents

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|---|----------------|---|---|------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>For the year ended December 31, 2007</i> | | | | | |
| Total revenues | | Ps. 99,606,447 | Ps. 287,132,153 | Ps. (75,158,838) | Ps. 311,579,762 |
| Total cost and expenses operating | Ps. 88,923 | 98,230,816 | 203,540,502 | (75,474,817) | 226,385,424 |
| Operating (loss) income (loss) | (88,923) | 1,375,631 | 83,591,651 | 315,979 | 85,194,338 |
| Interest (expense) income, net | (6,028,566) | (1,190,976) | 2,482,840 | | (4,736,702) |
| Exchange (loss) gain, net | (276,885) | 287,693 | 2,452,634 | | 2,463,442 |
| Monetary effect, net | 3,733,528 | 908,022 | 396,856 | | 5,038,406 |
| Other financing cost, net | (328,013) | | (2,816,154) | (8,464) | (3,152,631) |
| Other income (expense), net | 16,512 | (399,206) | (3,330,180) | | (3,712,874) |
| Taxes on profits | 2,131,098 | 690,032 | 19,633,137 | | 22,454,267 |
| Equity interest in net (loss) income of associates | 63,690,955 | 12,343,520 | 12,692,273 | (88,669,127) | 57,621 |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 58,587,510 | Ps. 12,634,652 | 75,836,783 | (88,361,612) | 58,697,333 |
| Minority net income | | | | | |
| Non-controlling interest | | | Ps. (99,487) | Ps. (10,335) | Ps. (109,822) |

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|----------------|---|---|------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>For the year ended December 31, 2008</i> | | | | | |
| Condensed consolidating statements of operations: | | | | | |
| Total revenues | | Ps. 114,269,248 | Ps. 312,037,256 | Ps. (80,651,596) | Ps. 345,654,908 |
| Total cost and expenses operating | 241,634 | 99,473,200 | 230,926,164 | (80,532,237) | 250,108,761 |
| Operating income (loss) | (241,634) | 14,796,048 | 81,111,092 | (119,359) | 95,546,147 |
| Interest income (expense), net | (7,983,819) | (1,529,055) | 2,976,702 | | (6,536,172) |
| Exchange (loss) gain, net | (20,675,528) | (5,231,987) | 12,221,092 | | (13,686,423) |
| Other financing cost, net | 1,327,253 | | 5,030,469 | | 6,357,722 |
| Other income (expense), net | 1,662,073 | (348,726) | (1,979,541) | (1,660,765) | (2,326,959) |
| Taxes on profits | 5,452,360 | 2,050,761 | 12,385,216 | | 19,888,337 |
| Equity interest in net (loss) income of associates | 90,849,517 | 4,598,414 | 10,343,350 | (105,681,865) | 109,416 |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 59,485,502 | Ps. 10,233,933 | 97,317,948 | (107,461,989) | 59,575,394 |
| Non-controlling interest net income (loss) | | | Ps. (93,169) | Ps. 3,277 | Ps. (89,892) |

Table of Contents**Condensed consolidating Statements in Financial Position:**

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|----------------|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>At December 31, 2006</i> | | | | | |
| Operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 44,421,948 | Ps. 12,708,952 | Ps. 68,731,376 | Ps. (81,352,811) | Ps. 44,509,465 |
| Non-cash items | (46,963,048) | (10,161,393) | 11,676,306 | 73,395,989 | 27,947,854 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | 86,970,676 | 3,646,753 | (91,634,656) | 4,058,865 | 2,630,103 |
| Resources (used in) provided by operating activities | 84,429,576 | 6,194,312 | (11,638,510) | (3,897,957) | 75,087,422 |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Bank loans, net | 20,915,537 | (618,155) | 5,326,315 | (894,092) | 24,729,605 |
| Dividends declared | (2,289,219) | (17,114,973) | | 17,114,973 | (2,289,219) |
| Decrease in capital stock and retained earnings due to purchases of Company's own shares | (7,798,928) | 2,682,975 | | (2,682,975) | (7,798,928) |
| Resources (used in) provided by financing activities | 10,827,390 | (15,050,153) | 5,326,315 | 13,537,906 | 14,641,458 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | |
| Investment in telephone plant | | (4,127,859) | (43,329,612) | | (47,457,471) |
| Investment in subsidiaries and associates | (79,740,815) | 15,272,701 | 59,493,078 | (10,534,042) | (15,509,078) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | 4,249,986 | | 4,249,986 |
| Investment in trademarks | | | (214,203) | | (214,203) |
| Investment in licenses | | (150,970) | (485,063) | | (636,033) |
| Resources provided by (used in) investing activities | (79,740,815) | 10,993,872 | 19,714,186 | (10,534,042) | (59,566,799) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | 15,516,151 | 2,138,031 | 13,401,991 | (894,092) | 30,162,081 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at Beginning of the Period | 622,295 | 640,828 | 11,532,552 | | 12,795,675 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | Ps. 16,138,446 | Ps. 2,778,859 | Ps. 24,934,543 | Ps. (894,092) | Ps. 42,957,756 |

Table of Contents

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries <i>At December 31, 2007</i> | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|----------------|---|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net income before non-controlling interest | Ps. 58,587,510 | Ps. 12,634,652 | Ps. 75,836,783 | Ps. (88,361,612) | Ps. 58,697,333 |
| Non-cash items | (58,775,022) | (6,432,623) | 23,386,792 | 88,669,128 | 46,848,275 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | 17,550,628 | 3,879,664 | (27,172,072) | 750,385 | (4,965,923) |
| Resources (used in) provided by operating activities | 17,363,116 | 10,081,693 | 72,076,975 | 1,057,901 | 100,579,685 |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Bank loans, net | (18,078,839) | (94,050) | 1,289,941 | | (16,882,948) |
| Dividends declared | (42,127,537) | (15,805,085) | (40,152,560) | 55,957,645 | (42,127,537) |
| Decrease in capital stock and retained earnings due to purchases of Company's own shares | (12,856,438) | 12,496,523 | | (12,496,523) | (12,856,438) |
| Resources (used in) provided by financing activities | (73,062,814) | (3,402,612) | (38,862,619) | 43,461,122 | (71,866,923) |
| Investing activities: | | | | | |
| Investment in telephone plant | | (2,899,298) | (35,955,503) | | (38,854,801) |
| Investment in subsidiaries and associates | 40,161,394 | (6,083,852) | 9,429,260 | (43,624,931) | (118,129) |
| Investment in securities available-for-sale | | | (789,100) | | (789,100) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | (19,464,035) | | (19,464,035) |
| Investment in trademarks | | | 26,811 | | 26,811 |
| Investment in licenses | | | (499,145) | | (499,145) |
| Resources provided by (used in) investing activities | 40,161,394 | (8,983,150) | (47,251,712) | (43,624,931) | (59,698,399) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (15,538,304) | (2,304,069) | (14,037,356) | 894,092 | (30,985,637) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at Beginning of the Period | 16,138,446 | 2,778,859 | 24,934,543 | Ps. (894,092) | 42,957,756 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | Ps. 600,142 | Ps. 474,790 | Ps. 10,897,187 | | Ps. 11,972,119 |

Table of Contents**Condensed consolidated statements of cash flow:**

| | Parent | Wholly-owned Guarantor Subsidiary | Combined non-guarantor Subsidiaries <i>At December 31, 2008</i> | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|--|----------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net income before income taxes | Ps. 64,937,861 | Ps. 12,284,695 | Ps. 109,703,164 | Ps. (107,461,989) | Ps. 79,463,731 |
| Non-cash items | (85,864,452) | 4,005,441 | 35,487,672 | 105,681,866 | 59,310,527 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | | |
| Resources (used in) provided by operating activities | 3,306,588 | (5,098,457) | (21,442,967) | 2,114,586 | (21,120,250) |
| | (17,620,003) | 11,191,679 | 123,747,869 | 334,463 | 117,654,008 |
| Investing activities: | | | | | |
| Investment in telephone plant | | (4,602,427) | (52,531,530) | | (57,133,957) |
| Investment in licenses | | | (13,736,502) | | (13,736,502) |
| Dividends gain | 35,700,000 | 10,000,000 | 14,000,000 | (59,700,000) | |
| Investment in subsidiaries and affiliated companies | | (255,319) | | 255,319 | |
| Revenue on sale of fixed assets | | 1,250 | 74,288 | | 75,538 |
| Acquired companies, cash net | | | (479,090) | | (479,090) |
| Resources (used in) provided by Investing activities | 35,700,000 | 5,143,504 | (52,672,834) | (59,444,681) | (71,274,011) |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Bank loans, net | 40,476,978 | (2,508,000) | (17,646,953) | | 20,322,025 |
| Interest Paid | (5,890,802) | (233,912) | (1,980,428) | | (8,105,142) |
| Repurchase and others | (41,632,608) | | | | (41,632,608) |
| Dividends paid | (8,815,570) | (14,000,000) | (45,110,218) | 59,110,218 | (8,815,570) |
| Resources provided by (used in) financing activities | (15,862,002) | (16,741,912) | (64,737,599) | 59,110,218 | (38,231,295) |
| Effect of gain on changes in local currency | | | 1,971,318 | | 1,971,318 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | 2,217,995 | (406,729) | 8,308,754 | | 10,120,020 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at Beginning of the Period | 600,142 | 474,790 | 10,897,187 | | 11,972,119 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | Ps. 2,818,137 | Ps. 68,061 | Ps. 19,205,941 | | Ps. 22,092,139 |

Guarantor US GAAP reconciliation of net income and stockholder's equity

As discussed at the beginning of Note 22, the following reconciliation to US GAAP does not include the reversal of the adjustments to the financial statements for the effects of inflation required under Mexican FRS (Bulletin B-10).

Summary

The principal differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, as they relate to the consolidated financial statements of the Company, are those of the Guarantor, and are basically the same as those described in Note 22, except for the adjustments applied directly to the sub-holdings companies as the non amortization of goodwill, non-controlling interest, gains or losses on sales to affiliates and the reversal of the impairment recognized under Mexican FRS.

Table of Contents

Net income and total stockholders' equity, adjusted to take into account the material differences between Mexican FRS and U.S. GAAP, are as follows:

| | 2006 | Year ended December 31, 2007 | 2008 |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Net income before non-controlling interest as reported under Mexican FRS | Ps. 12,708,953 | Ps. 12,634,652 | Ps. 10,233,934 |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | | |
| Capitalized interest of net financing cost, net | (156,093) | (144,559) | (128,107) |
| Deferred income tax and employee profit Sharing adjustments | 981,757 | 387,873 | (234,376) |
| Difference between the restatement of depreciation expense based on specific indexation factors and on the basis of the NCPI | (350,958) | (344,699) | (609,187) |
| Other items, net | (174,429) | 38,765 | |
| Effects of inflation accounting on U.S. GAAP adjustments | 7,663 | 16,896 | |
| Increase in value on licenses | (1,099,635) | | 127,525 |
| Effects of U.S. GAAP adjustments on subsidiaries, net | (49,707) | (107,145) | 129,627 |
| Total U.S. GAAP adjustments, net | (841,402) | (152,869) | (714,518) |
| Net income under U.S. GAAP | Ps. 11,867,551 | Ps. 12,481,783 | Ps. 9,519,416 |

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 |
| Total stockholders' equity under Mexican FRS | Ps. 38,317,740 | Ps. 35,294,558 |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | |
| Capitalized interest or net financing cost, net | 277,707 | 149,600 |
| Deferred income tax and employee profit sharing adjustments | (82,242) | (316,618) |
| Difference between the restatement of fixed assets based on Specific indexation factors and on the basis of the NCPI | 1,918,782 | 1,309,595 |
| EITF 00-21 | 437,739) | (437,739) |
| Reversal of net gain on licenses | (990,322) | (862,797) |
| Effect of U.S. GAAP adjustments on subsidiaries, net | 285,589 | (630,235) |
| Total U.S. GAAP adjustments, net | 971,775 | (788,194) |
| Total stockholders' equity under U.S. GAAP | Ps. 39,289,515 | Ps. 34,506,364 |