

ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC
Form DEF 14A
May 03, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only** (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which this transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

5215 WEST LAUREL STREET

TAMPA, FLORIDA 33607

(813) 876-1776

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD JUNE 18, 2012

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC.:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Odyssey Marine Exploration, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the Company), will be held at the Wyndham Hotel Tampa, 700 North Westshore Boulevard, Tampa, Florida, 33609, on Monday, June 18, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Time, and at any and all adjournments thereof, for the purpose of considering and acting upon the following matters:

1. to elect six directors of the Corporation to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified;
2. to hold a non-binding advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation;
3. to ratify the appointment of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. as our independent registered public accounting firm;

4. to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof. Only holders of record of the Company's common stock and Series G preferred stock at the close of business on April 20, 2012, will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof. The holders of the Series G preferred stock will be entitled to vote on an as-converted basis. The proxies are being solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company.

Whether or not you expect to attend the annual meeting of stockholders in person, we urge you to vote as soon as possible. As an alternative to voting at the annual meeting in person, you may vote via the Internet, by telephone or, if you receive a paper proxy card in the mail, by mailing the completed proxy card in the postage-paid envelope enclosed with it. For detailed information on how you can vote, refer to the section entitled *How do I vote?* in the Proxy Statement. The giving of a proxy will not affect your right to vote in person if you attend the meeting. You may change your proxy vote automatically by voting in person at the annual meeting.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

GREGORY P. STEMME
Chief Executive Officer and Board Member
May 3, 2012

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.

In accordance with rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to use the Internet as our primary means of furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders. Therefore, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. Instead, we

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

will send these stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials with instructions for accessing the proxy materials and voting by use of the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also informs stockholders how to get paper copies of our proxy materials if they wish to do so. We believe this method of proxy distribution will make the proxy distribution process more efficient, less costly, and will contribute to the conservation of natural resources. If you previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, these materials will continue to be sent via e-mail unless you change your election.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PROXY STATEMENT</u>	1
<u>ABOUT THE MEETING</u>	1
<i><u>Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?</u></i>	1
<i><u>How many shares must be present to establish a quorum?</u></i>	1
<i><u>How do I vote?</u></i>	1
<i><u>Can I change my vote after submitting a Proxy?</u></i>	2
<i><u>Information about attending the Annual Meeting.</u></i>	3
<i><u>What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?</u></i>	3
<i><u>Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?</u></i>	3
<u>Proposal 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	4
<u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY</u>	6
<u>CODE OF ETHICS</u>	8
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	8
<i><u>Board of Directors and Executive Officers</u></i>	8
<i><u>Board Leadership Structure</u></i>	8
<i><u>Chairman/Chief Executive Officer</u></i>	8
<i><u>Executive Sessions</u></i>	9
<i><u>Annual Board Self-Assessments</u></i>	9
<i><u>Board Diversity</u></i>	9
<i><u>Service on Other Boards of Directors</u></i>	9
<i><u>Independence of Board Committee Members</u></i>	9
<i><u>Risk Oversight</u></i>	10
<i><u>Committees of the Board</u></i>	10
<i><u>Governance and Nominating Committee</u></i>	10
<i><u>Audit Committee</u></i>	11
<i><u>Compensation Committee</u></i>	12
<i><u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u></i>	12
<i><u>Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors</u></i>	12
<i><u>Report of the Audit Committee</u></i>	12
<i><u>Report of the Compensation Committee</u></i>	14
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	14
<i><u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u></i>	14
<i><u>Compensation Tables</u></i>	22
<u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u>	22
<u>2011 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS</u>	23
<u>2011 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END</u>	24
<u>2011 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED</u>	26
<u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL</u>	26
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	26
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	28
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	30
<u>SECURITIES RESERVED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS</u>	31
<u>Proposal 2 - ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	32
<u>Proposal 3 - RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC</u>	
<u>ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	33
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL POLICY</u>	34
<u>DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	34

Table of Contents

ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC.

5215 WEST LAUREL STREET

TAMPA, FLORIDA 33607

(813) 876-1776

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD JUNE 18, 2012

ABOUT THE MEETING

This Proxy Statement is being made available to stockholders beginning May 3, 2012.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

All voting rights are vested in the holders of our common stock and our Series G preferred stock. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote on all matters to be voted upon at the meeting. Each share of Series G preferred stock is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock into which it could be converted. Each share of Series G preferred stock is convertible into 140,000 shares of common stock. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 20, 2012, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting or any adjournment thereof. On April 20, 2012, a total of 73,373,909 shares are eligible to vote, consisting of 73,233,909 shares of common stock and 140,000 shares on an as-converted basis. Cumulative voting in the election of directors is not permitted, which means that each stockholder may vote no more than the number of shares he or she owns for a single candidate.

How many shares must be present to establish a quorum?

A majority of the shares eligible to vote represented in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum at the meeting. Shares represented by a properly signed and returned proxy will be treated as present at the annual meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, without regard to whether the proxy is marked as casting a vote. Likewise, stock represented by broker non-votes will be treated as present for purposes of determining a quorum. Broker non-votes are proxies with respect to shares held in record name by brokers or nominees, as to which instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power under applicable national securities exchange rules or the instrument under which it serves to vote such shares on that matter. Your broker will not have discretion to vote on non-routine matters absent direction from you, including the election of directors and the advisory vote to approve our named executive officer compensation. If you hold your shares through a broker, your broker is permitted to vote your shares on routine matters, which includes the ratification of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, even if the broker does not receive instructions from you.

How do I vote?

Stockholders of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

As an alternative to voting in person at the annual meeting, stockholders whose shares are registered in their own names may vote without attending the annual meeting by telephone, over the Internet, or by mail as described below:

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 17, 2012. Have your proxy card in hand with the 12 Digit Control Number available when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

Table of Contents

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717.

VOTE BY TELEPHONE

You can transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 17, 2012, by telephone following the instructions on your Proxy Card.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our Company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

Beneficial Owners: Shares Held in Street Name

If your shares are registered in the name of a bank or brokerage firm (your record holder), you will receive a notice regarding availability of proxy materials that will tell you how to access our proxy materials and provide voting instructions to your broker over the Internet. It will also tell you how to request a paper or e-mail copy of our proxy materials.

Note to Beneficial Owners: Under applicable laws, a bank, broker or nominee has the discretion to vote on routine matters, which includes the ratification of the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm. SEC rule changes no longer permit a bank, broker or nominee to vote on behalf of beneficial owners with respect to non-routine matters such as the uncontested elections of directors and the advisory vote to approve our named executive officer compensation. If you hold your shares in street name and do not provide voting instructions to your broker, your shares will not be voted on any proposals on which your broker does not have discretionary authority to vote. If you hold shares through a bank or brokerage firm and wish to be able to vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a *legal proxy* from your brokerage firm, bank or other holder of record and present it to the Inspector of Elections with your ballot. Stockholders who have elected to receive the proxy materials electronically will receive an e-mail on or about May 8, 2012, with information on how to access stockholder information and instructions for voting.

TO REQUEST PAPER COPIES OF PROXY MATERIALS: If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is no charge to you for requesting or receiving a copy. Please make your request for a copy on or before June 4, 2012, to facilitate timely delivery. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request: (1) BY INTERNET: www.proxyvote.com; (2) BY TELEPHONE: 1-800-579-1639; (3) BY E-MAIL: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com. **NOTE: Include the 12 Digit Control Number located on the Notice in the subject line of your e-mail.**

Can I change my vote after submitting a Proxy?

You may revoke or change a previously delivered proxy at any time before the annual meeting by delivering another proxy with a later date, by voting again via the Internet or by telephone, or by delivering written notice of revocation of your proxy to Odyssey's corporate secretary at our principal executive offices before the beginning of the annual meeting. You may also revoke your proxy by attending the annual meeting and voting in person, although attendance at the annual meeting will not, in and of itself, revoke a valid proxy that was previously delivered. If you hold shares through a bank or brokerage firm, you must contact that bank or brokerage firm to revoke any prior voting instructions. You may also vote in person at the annual meeting if you obtain a *legal proxy* as described above.

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Regardless of how your shares are held and whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to vote by proxy to ensure that your vote is counted. Please note that you may still attend the annual meeting and vote in person even if you have already voted by proxy.

Table of Contents

Information about attending the Annual Meeting.

If you plan to attend the meeting, please bring the following:

1. Proper identification containing recent photograph such as a driver's license or passport. We may inspect your bags or packages, and we may require you to check them, and, in some cases, we may not permit you to enter the meeting with them. Video and audio recording devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting.
2. *Acceptable Proof of Ownership* if your shares are held in *Street Name*.

Street Name means your shares are held of record by brokers, banks or other nominees.

Acceptable Proof of Ownership is (a) a letter from your broker stating that you owned Odyssey Marine Exploration, Inc. stock on the record date (April 20, 2012) or (b) an account statement showing that you owned Odyssey Marine Exploration, Inc. stock on the record date.

What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?

Proposal No. 1 (*election of directors*) is a non-routine matter. The election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote for the election of directors. This means that the nominees receiving the most votes from those eligible to vote will be elected. You may vote **FOR** all of the nominees or your vote may be **WITHHELD** with respect to one or more of the nominees. Accordingly, votes withheld as to the election of directors will not affect the election of the candidates receiving the plurality of votes.

Proposal No. 2 (*non-binding advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation* **Say-on-Pay**) is a non-routine matter. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the stockholders' shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote is required. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board or the Company; however, the Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

Proposal No. 3 (*ratification of independent registered public accounting firm*) is a routine matter. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the stockholders' shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote is required. Broker discretionary voting is allowed.

Proposals No. 1 and 2 are non-routine matters, and, absent instructions from you, the bank, broker or other nominee may **not** vote your shares at all and your shares will be considered broker non-votes, which will have no effect on the outcome of the proposal. Proposal No. 3 is a routine matter, and your bank, broker or other nominee may vote your shares at its discretion.

Other business as may properly come before the meeting or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof. An affirmative vote of the majority of the shares represented and entitled to vote at the meeting, assuming a quorum is present, is necessary for the approval of other business. For other business as may properly come before the meeting or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof, broker non-votes are not included in the vote totals. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy-holders will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional business properly presented for a vote at the meeting. We are not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the meeting.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the Inspector of Elections and will be subsequently published by us by the filing of a Form 8-K with the SEC within four business days of the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents**Proposal 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors currently consists of six members. The Board of Directors recommends the election as directors of the six nominees listed below, to hold office until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier death, resignation or removal. Each of the six current members of the present Board of Directors has been nominated for re-election. The persons named as Proxies in the form of Proxy will vote the shares represented by all valid returned proxies in accordance with the specifications of the stockholders returning such proxies. If at the time of the meeting any of the nominees named below should be unable to serve, which event is not expected to occur, the discretionary authority provided in the Proxy will be exercised to vote for such substitute nominee or nominees, if any, as shall be designated by the Board of Directors. **Please note that brokers may not vote on the election of directors in the absence of specific client instruction. Those who hold shares in a brokerage account are encouraged to provide voting instructions to their broker.**

The following table sets forth the name and age of each nominee for director, indicating all positions and offices with the Company presently held, the period during which each person has served as a director, any additional directorships with public companies, and the key characteristics of each member that are critical to effective board membership.

Name	Age	Positions and Offices Held	Other Directorships
		and Term as a Director	of Public Companies
Bradford B. Baker	52	Chairman of the Board since January 2012; Director since January 2008	Dobi Medical International, Inc.: 2003-2007

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes that Mr. Baker, as past chief executive officer of a public company, has extensive experience as a senior executive with emphasis in management, operations and finance. His financial expertise and extensive not-for-profit board experience qualifies him as our audit committee financial expert. Prior to 2003, Mr. Baker served three public companies as a director and as chairman on both Audit and Compensation Committees. He received a presidential appointment, and through his work at the White House, he developed an extensive understanding of government processes and international relations. Mr. Baker's executive leadership roles, board experience and government background provide the Board with insight into best practices of public companies and well-qualifies him as the Chairman of the Board.

Max H. Cohen	46	Director since March 2011	None
---------------------	----	---------------------------	------

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes Mr. Cohen as an innovative business leader with over 20 years of experience as an entrepreneur. He brings a wide range of multi-sector experience, executive management skills, organization development, international relations and corporate strategy to the Board. His abilities to develop, manage and grow diverse companies provide an entrepreneurial insight and skill set to the Board.

Mark D. Gordon	51	President and Chief Operating Officer; Director since January 2008	None
-----------------------	----	---	------

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes that Mr. Gordon's innovative entrepreneurship and strategic planning skills gained in former CEO and president positions provide cutting-edge solutions to drive business growth and turn visionary strategies into success. He is experienced in trouble shooting with the talent to develop creative solutions to business challenges including capital raising and investor relations and is skilled in communications forging lasting alliances across industry and organizational levels. His management, strategic planning, business development and investor communications activities allow him to understand the complexities of our business and bring a unique direction to the Board's strategic discussions.

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Positions and Offices Held and Term as a Director	Other Directorships of Public Companies
------	-----	--	--

David J. Saul	72	Director since October 2001	None
----------------------	----	-----------------------------	------

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes that Dr. Saul’s distinguished career as Bermuda’s Premier and Minister of Finance brings to the Board and the Company valuable and extensive international board and leadership experience. His past senior posts with Fidelity Investments and his ongoing director involvement with Fidelity’s main international board contribute a wealth of knowledge and insight regarding government, politics, business, investments, strategy and finance. The Board of Directors and management benefit from the knowledge and experience acquired by Dr. Saul during his long and successful business career.

Jon D. Sawyer	66	Director since November 2009	None
----------------------	----	------------------------------	------

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes that Mr. Sawyer’s expertise in securities law, including past experience with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and extensive knowledge of the management of public companies on various issues such as financing, corporate governance, disclosure issues, executive compensation reporting, and mergers and acquisitions, provides the Board valuable insights regarding governance, government processes and law. His background and knowledge are valuable assets to the Board and the Company that give him further insight into chairing the Compensation Committee.

Gregory P. Stemm	54	Chief Executive Officer; Director since May 1994	None
-------------------------	----	---	------

Key Qualifications: The Board recognizes that Mr. Stemm has extensive leadership and management experience as Chief Executive Officer as well as from his past positions in the Company and on the Board. This experience has provided him with an in-depth knowledge of the Company’s business, operations, strategy and management team, as well as his historical perspective on the Company’s business. Distinguished as a pioneer in deep-ocean shipwreck and ocean exploration with extensive experience in all phases of shipwreck search and recovery, he plays an important role in the development of tools and technology for exploration as well as setting private sector standards for underwater cultural heritage resource management. He is a published author of many papers and articles on shipwrecks and underwater exploration and is directly connected to the discovery of hundreds of shipwrecks throughout the world. In addition, his vast experience with international communities and governments relating to issues and opportunities facing our Company are important in the management and planning of the Company’s projects throughout the world. We believe that Mr. Stemm brings an extraordinary depth of knowledge and a distinctive expertise to the Board of Directors.

There are no family relationships between any of the directors or the executive officers of the Company.

THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS IS A NON-ROUTINE MATTER, SO YOUR BROKER MAY NOT VOTE

YOUR SHARES ON THIS PROPOSAL WITHOUT RECEIVING INSTRUCTIONS FROM YOU.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE **FOR** THE NOMINEES NAMED ABOVE.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The following sets forth biographical information as to the business experience of each executive officer and director of the Company for at least the last five years.

Gregory P. Stemm has served as Chief Executive Officer since January 2008 and served as Chairman from 2008 to 2010. In his present capacity, Mr. Stemm is responsible for strategic planning and general execution of our business plan. He previously served as Co-Chairman from 2006 to 2008 and as a Director and Executive Vice President since May 1994. During that time he was responsible for research and operations on all shipwreck projects. Mr. Stemm has extensive experience in managing shipwreck exploration operations since entering the field in 1986, including deep-ocean search and robotic archaeological excavation on a number of projects. A panelist at the 1998 Law of the Sea Institute, Mr. Stemm was appointed for four consecutive terms to the United States delegation to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) expert meeting to negotiate the Draft Convention for the Protection of Underwater Cultural Heritage. He was selected as a Fellow of the Explorers Club, and was the founder and past-president of the Professional Shipwreck Explorers Association (ProSEA). Mr. Stemm served as a founding director (1986-93) and international president (1992-93) of YEO (Young Entrepreneurs Organization) and was also a founding member of the World Entrepreneurs Organization, where he served on the International Board of Directors (1997-98).

Mark D. Gordon has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer since October 2007 and as a Director since January 2008. He was named Executive Vice President of Sales in January 2007, in which capacity he was responsible for the Attraction, Business Development and Retail Merchandising operations for the Company. He joined the Company in June 2005 as Director of Business Development. Prior to joining Odyssey, Mr. Gordon started, owned, and managed four different entrepreneurial ventures from 1987 to 2003, including Synergy Networks, which he founded in 1993 and served as Chief Executive Officer until September of 2003, when the company was sold to the Rockefeller Group. He continued to serve as President of Rockefeller Group Technology Services Mid Atlantic (RGTSMA), a member of Rockefeller Group International, until December 2004. Mr. Gordon received a B.S. degree in Business Administration in 1982 and an MBA degree in 1983 from the American University. As a shipwreck diver with the National Diving Center in Washington, D.C., he has conducted hundreds of dives and explorations on shipwrecks and was the first person to discover and dive on the wreck of the S.S. *Proteus*, a ship that had been missing since the early 1900s.

Bradford B. Baker re-joined the Company's Board of Directors in January 2008. Mr. Baker has served as the Chairman of the Board since January 2012 and Chairman of the Audit Committee since 2010. He formerly served as the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee in 2009. He previously served on our Board of Directors from February 1997 to December 2000. Mr. Baker currently serves as Chief Executive Officer of Nexus Biometrics, Inc., a leading fingerprint biometric company he founded in 2004. He is also President of Bramar Developers, Inc., a real estate development company that he founded in 1998. He was appointed a White House Fellow by President Ronald Reagan in 1988, was past Secretary of the Resolution Trust Corporation Oversight Board (RTC), and served as Executive Director of the Florida Housing Finance Corporation. He previously held senior executive positions with Comcast Cable and Sterling Financial, Inc., and served as a Director and as Chairman of the Audit Committee of Dobi Medical International, Inc. from 2003 through 2007. He holds a B.S. degree in Business Administration from Nova University.

Max H. Cohen joined the Board of Directors in March 2011 and was named Chairman of the Governance & Nominating Committee in June 2011. An entrepreneur, Mr. Cohen founded and has been Managing Director of AceMax Capital Enterprises LLC since 1996. Since 1992 he has founded, operated, built and sold companies across several industries including web-based technologies, online financial services, product development, wine production, apparel and merchandise, international distribution and real estate development. Mr. Cohen is well connected to the community as past President of Entrepreneur's Organization Arizona Chapter and a former member of the International Board of Directors. He was also an original member of the EO-Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation Advisory Committee, and he sits on other charitable organization committees, as well. Mr. Cohen is a graduate of the University of Alberta, Canada.

Dr. David J. Saul, who is retired, has served as a member of the Company's Board of Directors since October 2001. Dr. Saul was Bermuda's Minister of Finance from 1989 to 1995, and Premier of Bermuda from 1995

Table of Contents

to 1997. In addition to his public service background, Dr. Saul held two senior posts with Fidelity Investments, from 1984 through 1995, as the President of Fidelity Bermuda and Executive Vice President of Fidelity International. He retired from the firm in 1999 but remains a Director of Fidelity's main international Board, and a Director of some 40 other Fidelity companies around the world - including the U.K., Bermuda, Jersey, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Cayman Islands, Luxembourg and Taiwan. Dr. Saul's professional activities include two stints as a Director of the Bermuda Monetary Authority (Bermuda's Central Bank), and until 2010 as a Director of Lombard Odier Darier Trust Ltd. (Bermuda), a subsidiary of the Swiss Bank, and until 2010 as a Director of the London Steam Ship Owners' Mutual Insurance Association (Bermuda) Ltd., at which time he retired from these two boards. A keen oceanographer with a passion for shipwrecks and the sea, he was a founding Trustee of the Bermuda Underwater Exploration Institute and a founding Director of the Professional Shipwreck Explorers Association.

Jon D. Sawyer joined the Board of Directors in November 2009, and has served as chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee from November 2009 until June 2011 and the Compensation Committee since March 2011. He is a practicing securities attorney with the firm of Jin, Schauer & Saad, LLC in Denver, Colorado, where he has worked since March 2009. He started his securities law career working for the Denver Regional Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission as a trial attorney for three years from 1976 to 1979. He worked the next 27 years practicing securities law in private practice, and during this time he served as securities counsel for Odyssey from 1997 to 2006. He was a partner with the Denver law firm of Krys Boyle, P.C. from November 1996 until June 2007. From June 2007 until March 2009 he was a co-owner and worked full time in various capacities including President and general counsel for Professional Recovery Systems, LLC, a privately held financial services firm engaged in the business of purchasing, selling and collecting portfolios of consumer charged-off debt.

Laura L. Barton (age 50) was appointed Vice President of Communications in November 2007. Ms. Barton directs marketing and corporate communications activities and media content development for the Company. Previously, Ms. Barton served as Director of Corporate Communications and Marketing for Odyssey since July 2003. From June 1994 to July 2003, she was President of LLB Communications, a marketing and communications consulting company that served a variety of broadcast networks, stations and distributors, and Odyssey. Prior to founding LLB Communications, Ms. Barton served in various marketing, promotions, publicity and creative services positions in local and network television since 1983. Ms. Barton received a B.A. degree in Mass Communication from the University of South Florida.

Michael J. Holmes (age 62) has served as Chief Financial Officer since May 2004. He joined Odyssey as Controller in March 2004. Mr. Holmes has served in a variety of subsidiary financial management positions with Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc., including Vice President Finance, Sea World Orlando from February 1998 to May 2003; Vice President Finance, Busch Gardens Tampa Bay; Corporate Controller, Metal Container Corp. in St. Louis; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Exploration Cruise Lines in Seattle, Washington; and Director Internal Audit Services for Anheuser-Busch in St. Louis. Mr. Holmes received his undergraduate degree from the University of Missouri and his MBA degree from Crummer Graduate School of Business at Rollins College in Orlando. Mr. Holmes has also served as an adjunct professor of Accounting at the Rosen School of Hospitality Management, University of Central Florida in Orlando from August 2003 to March 2004. He has been very active in community leadership positions, including past board membership on the Orlando Regional Chamber of Commerce, Crummer Graduate School of Business Alumni Board, the ETC of Central Florida (International Drive Transportation Group) and Junior Achievement of Tampa Bay. He is a graduate of Leadership Tampa and is currently on the Board of the Tampa Chapter of FEI (Financial Executives International).

Melinda J. MacConnel (age 47) was appointed Vice President and General Counsel in 2008. She joined Odyssey in March 2006 as a Legal Consultant and became Odyssey's General Counsel in January 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. MacConnel practiced law as a Litigation Consultant, providing counsel to attorneys in all areas of law. She has also served as a professor of legal research and writing and has worked as a congressional assistant for the United States House of Representatives, in Washington, D.C. Since joining Odyssey, Ms. MacConnel has been successful in negotiating several key agreements and contracts for the Company, as well as overseeing all admiralty proceedings and managing a team of international legal consultants. Ms. MacConnel graduated cum laude from St. Mary's College, Notre Dame in 1986 with bachelor's degrees in International Government, French and Theology. In 1990, Ms. MacConnel received her J.D. degree from the University of Florida College of Law. She is a member of the Florida Bar and the Hillsborough County Bar Association, and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

Table of Contents

David A. Morris (age 61) has served as Secretary of the Company since August 1997, and previously held the combined position of Secretary-Treasurer from 1997 to 2010. Mr. Morris graduated with a B.S. degree in Engineering from Michigan State University in 1974. In his capacity with the Company, Mr. Morris coordinates administrative business activities, assists with financial reporting and participates in overall corporate planning and governance.

Jay A. Nudi (age 48) has served as Treasurer since June 2010 and Principal Accounting Officer of the Company since January 2006. Mr. Nudi has been with the Company since May 2005 as Corporate Controller and has over 20 years of accounting and management experience. Mr. Nudi is a certified public accountant. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Nudi served as Controller for The Axis Group in Atlanta where he began in 2003. The Axis Group provides logistic solutions and services to the automotive industry. From 2001 to 2003, he served as a consultant to various companies on specific value-added tasks. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Nudi was Director of Financial Reporting for OneSource, Inc., a leading provider of facilities management. From 1997 to 2000, he served as Corporate Controller for Acsys, Inc., a national recruiting firm that was publicly held until it was acquired in 2000. Mr. Nudi received a B.S. degree in Accounting from Pennsylvania State University in 1985.

CODE OF ETHICS

The Company has adopted a *Code of Ethics* that applies to, among others, its principal executive, financial and accounting officers, and other persons, if any, performing similar functions. Our *Code of Ethics* can be obtained from the Company, without charge, by written request to the Chief Financial Officer at the Company's address and is posted on the Company's Internet web site (<http://shipwreck.net/corporategovernance.php>).

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors and Executive Officers

The Board of Directors held ten scheduled meetings and four executive sessions of independent directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Each director attended at least 90% of the aggregate number of meetings held by the Board of Directors, its committees and its private sessions during the time each such Director was a member of the Board or of any committee of the Board.

Directors standing for election are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All of the six directors standing for election at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended the meeting.

Executive officers are chosen by the Board of Directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders of the Company, which currently is scheduled for June 18, 2012. There are no known arrangements or understandings between any director or executive officer and any other person pursuant to which any of the above-named executive officers or directors was selected as an officer or director of the Company. No event occurred during the past ten years which is material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of any director or person nominated to be director or executive officer of the Company.

Board Leadership Structure

Chairman/Chief Executive Officer

Our Board does not have a policy regarding whether the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer should be separate because our Board believes it is in the best interests of our Company to retain the flexibility to have a separate Chairman and Chief Executive Officer or, if circumstances dictate, to combine the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

Our current leadership structure is comprised of an independent director serving as Chairman of the Board (Bradford Baker), a Company employee serving as Chief Executive Officer (Greg Stemm) and strong, active

Table of Contents

independent directors serving on our Board committees. We believe that this structure is appropriate for the Company because it allows one person, our CEO, to concentrate on the day-to-day operations of the Company and to speak for and lead the Company, while providing for effective oversight by an independent Chairman and Board. The Chairman is responsible for the strategic operations of the Board and sets the agenda for and presides over Board meetings. For a company like Odyssey that is focused on shipwreck exploration and archaeological recovery operations on deep-ocean shipwrecks throughout the world, we believe our CEO is in the best position to lead our management team and to respond to the current pressures and needs of a company at the stage of growth and development of Odyssey. Our Chairman, on the other hand, is in the best position to focus the Board's attention on the broader issues of corporate governance. We believe that splitting the roles of Chairman and CEO minimizes any potential conflicts that may result from combining the roles of CEO and Chairman, and maximizes the effectiveness of our management and governance processes to the benefit of our stockholders.

Executive Sessions

Our independent directors on the Board of Directors meet regularly in executive session without employee-directors or other executive officers present. The Chairman of the Board presides at these meetings. In 2011, the independent directors met four times.

Annual Board Self-Assessments

To ensure that our Board of Directors and its committees are performing effectively and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, the Board performs an annual assessment of itself and its committees. The self-evaluation was completed in January 2012, and the assessments were very helpful in evaluating the overall effectiveness of the Board and the role and contribution to the function of the Board by each Director. The assessment indicated the Board and its committees function with a high level of competence and dedication and there were no performance issues raised with respect to individual directors or committees.

Board Diversity

The Company understands and appreciates that a Board of Directors consisting of individuals with diverse profiles, experiences, skills, and attributes contributes positively to corporate governance and enhancing stockholder value. While the Company has no express diversity policy in the identification of nominees for director, this is implicit in the Charter and Guidelines of the Governance and Nominating Committee.

Service on Other Boards of Directors

Our Board of Directors believes that each director of the Company should be allowed to sit on the board of not more than two, public for-profit companies, without the prior approval of the Board of Directors. It is the position of the Board that approval of a director to sit on more than two boards simultaneously while sitting on Odyssey's Board will be limited to special circumstances, provided that the arrangement will not interfere with the director carrying out the duties to the Board of the Company. None of our Directors currently sit on the board of more than two public companies.

Independence of Board Committee Members

The Company has four directors, Bradford B. Baker, Max H. Cohen, David J. Saul and Jon D. Sawyer, who are independent directors as defined in Section 5605 of the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. The Board of Directors affirmatively determined on March 7, 2012, that each of the four independent directors continues to meet the standards for independence established by NASDAQ.

Table of Contents**Risk Oversight**

Risk assessment and oversight is a key function of the Company's Board of Directors. In plenary meetings of the Board, risk assessment and oversight issues are a frequent issue of discussion and action. Because of its significance, the task of risk assessment and oversight is operationally shared by the Audit Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee. Because of the small size of the Company's Board and its current operating practices, there is no separate Board committee for compliance or risk oversight.

In 2011, the Company completed an enterprise risk management assessment and shared the results with the Board of Directors and the executive committee. The survey results prioritized the risk elements in terms of their likelihood of occurrence and the potential impact on the organization. The risk assessment survey concluded that the Company's incentive compensation plans *are not* structured toward performance activities which would encourage risk-oriented activities by officers and key employees.

Committees of the Board

The standing committees of our Board of Directors are the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee. The table below provides current membership for each of these committees.

	Audit	Compensation	Governance and
Director	Committee	Committee	Nominating Committee
Bradford B. Baker (*) (I)	X (C)	X	X
Max H. Cohen (I)	X	X	X (C)
David J. Saul (I)	X	X	X
Jon D. Sawyer (I)	X	X(C)	X
Number of meetings in 2011	8	3	4

Indicates: (C) *Chairperson* (I) *Independent Director* (X) *Member* (*) *Audit Committee Financial Expert*

Governance and Nominating Committee

The Company initially established a Governance Committee in May 2004. The *Governance and Nominating Charter and Guidelines* was amended and restated on March 7, 2008, and was further amended by the Board on March 4, 2010, to comply with new requirements mandated by the Securities and Exchange Commission effective as of February 28, 2010. A copy of the *Governance and Nominating Committee Charter and Guidelines* is available on our web site at <http://shipwreck.net/corporategovernance.php>.

The Governance and Nominating Committee presently consists of Max H. Cohen, Chairman, Bradford B. Baker, Jon D. Sawyer and David J. Saul. The purpose of the committee is to provide assistance to the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility with respect to oversight of the appropriate and effective governance of the Company including (i) identification and recommendation of qualified candidates for election to its Board of Directors and its committees; (ii) development and recommendation of appropriate corporate governance guidelines for the Company; (iii) recommendation of appropriate policies and procedures to ensure the effective functioning of the Board of Directors; (iv) recommendations regarding the appointment of corporate officers and the adoption of appropriate processes to ensure management succession and development plans for the principal officers of the Company and its key subsidiaries; and (v) recommendations regarding proposals submitted by stockholders of the Company. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the committee held four meetings.

The nomination process for incumbent members of the Board consists of an annual review by the committee in which the committee reviews each member's (i) ability and willingness to continue service on the Board; (ii) past performance as a member of the Board; and (iii) continued Board eligibility and independence. In the event that a director vacancy arises, the committee shall seek and identify a qualified director nominee to be recommended to the Board for either appointment by the Board to serve the remainder of the term of the director position that is vacant or election at the stockholders' annual meeting. A director nominee shall meet the director qualifications as determined by the Board from time to time, including that the director nominee possesses personal and professional integrity, has good business judgment, relevant experience and skills and will be an effective

Table of Contents

director in conjunction with the full Board in collectively serving the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders. The committee uses a *Director Nomination Form* and *Corporate Director Questionnaire* to assess the background and qualification of prospective candidates.

A candidate may be nominated for appointment or election to the Board by the committee or by a stockholder who has continuously held for at least one year by the date it submits the proposal at least \$2,000 market value or one percent, whichever is less, of the company's shares. Stockholders who wish to recommend persons to the committee for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders should submit a letter addressed to the Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee no later than January 3, 2013, that sets forth the name, age, and address of the person recommended for nomination; the principal occupation or employment of the person recommended for nomination; a statement that the person is willing to be nominated and will serve if elected; and a statement as to why the stockholder believes that the person should be considered for nomination for election to the Board of Directors and how the person meets the criteria to be considered by the committee described above.

In addition to fulfilling its responsibility with respect to oversight of the appropriate and effective governance of the Company, the committee also participated with management in a Risk Assessment project to assess major risk areas of our business, and to formulate response guidelines in the event of a crisis.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee presently consists of Bradford B. Baker, Chairman, Max H. Cohen, David J. Saul, and Jon D. Sawyer, who are independent directors (as defined in Section 5605 of the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market and also meet the independence standards of SEC Rule 10a-3(b)(1)). Mr. Baker serves as the Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to stockholders concerning the Company's financial reporting and internal controls. It also facilitates open communication between the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors, Odyssey's independent registered public accounting firm and management. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the audit process and evaluating and retaining the independent registered public accounting firm. The independent registered public accounting firm meets with the Audit Committee to review and discuss various matters pertaining to the audit, Odyssey's financial statements, the report of the independent registered public accounting firm on the results, scope and terms of their work, and their recommendations concerning the financial practices, controls, procedures and policies employed by Odyssey. The Audit Committee is charged with the treatment of complaints for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of Odyssey of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, a copy of which is available on the Company's web site at <http://shipwreck.net/corporategovernance.php>. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Audit Committee held four regular meetings and four private sessions with auditors. The report of the Audit Committee is included in this Proxy Statement.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Baker is an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K, by virtue of the fact that, among other things, he was past Audit Committee Chair for Dobi Medical International, Inc., past Chief Executive Officer of a public company, authored a general ledger accounting software package, past Secretary of the Resolution Trust Corporation Oversight Board (RTC) and attended numerous audit-related training seminars, and in that capacity has acquired the relevant experience and expertise and has the attributes set forth in the applicable rules in order to constitute him as an audit committee financial expert.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee

The Company has a standing Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee presently consists of Jon D. Sawyer, Chairman, Bradford B. Baker, Max H. Cohen and David J. Saul, who are independent directors (as defined in Section 5605 of the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market). The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee, a copy of which is available on the Company's web site at <http://shipwreck.net/corporategovernance.php>. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board compensation plans, policies and benefit programs for employees including stock options, distribution of stock in any form, incentive awards and termination agreements. The Committee reviews the compensation arrangements for our executive officers and directors and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, this Committee held three meetings. The Compensation Committee's report on 2011 executive compensation is included in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There were no interlocks or other relationships among our executive officers and directors that are required to be disclosed under applicable executive compensation disclosure requirements.

Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders wishing to contact the Board of Directors or specified members or committees of the Board should send correspondence to the Corporate Secretary, Odyssey Marine Exploration, Inc., 5215 West Laurel Street, Tampa, Florida 33607. All communications so received from stockholders of the Company will be forwarded to the members of the Board of Directors, or to a specific Board member or committee if so designated by the stockholder. A stockholder who wishes to communicate with a specific Board member or committee should send instructions asking that the material be forwarded to the director or to the appropriate committee chairman. All stockholders are also encouraged to communicate directly with both executive officers and directors regarding issues affecting the Company at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE SHALL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE SOLICITING
MATERIAL OR TO BE FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
OR INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN ANY DOCUMENT SO FILED.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists in the oversight of the quality and integrity of accounting, auditing and reporting practices on behalf of the Board of Directors. The role of the Audit Committee includes overseeing the work of our internal auditing function and internal control over financial reporting, internal audit processes and compliance review with significant applicable legal, ethical and regulatory requirements. Although the full Board of Odyssey has the ultimate authority for effective corporate governance, including the oversight of corporate management, the Audit Committee's role also includes inquiring about significant risks, reviewing risk management, and assessing the steps management has taken to mitigate or control these risks.

The Company has a standing Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors annually reviews the NASDAQ Rule 5605 listing standards for director independence and requirements that apply to directors serving on audit committees, and has determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the standards for independence. In addition to satisfying the Independent Director requirements under Rules 5605, audit committee members must meet criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Act. The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Baker (Chairman), Cohen, Saul and Sawyer. The Audit Committee is required to include at least one financial expert; Mr. Baker has been certified as the Committee's financial expert.

Management is considered responsible for the preparation, presentation, and integrity of Odyssey's consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal controls over financial reporting, and disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards, applicable laws and regulations. Management is responsible for objectively reviewing and evaluating the adequacy,

Table of Contents

effectiveness and quality of Odyssey's system of internal controls. The Audit Committee, in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, reviewed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the audited financial statements and the footnotes thereto in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The Committee discussed with management and the outside auditors, qualitative aspects of financial reporting in the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements to the stockholders. In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement, approval, compensation, and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the scope of their work.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Audit Committee fulfilled its duties and responsibilities as outlined in its Charter. The Audit Committee held eight meetings: four private executive meetings with the independent registered public accounting firm without management and four regular Audit Committee meetings in which all aspects of its oversight role were discussed. The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plan of the audit. The Audit Committee met with the independent registered public accounting firm to discuss the results of their audit, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

The Company's outside independent registered public accounting firm, Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A., is responsible for performing an independent audit of Odyssey's financial statements in accordance with standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) and expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company's financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles accepted in the United States (GAAP). The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed by the Audit Committee with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm under Statement on Auditing Standards 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has expressed the opinion that the Company's audited financial statements conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The independent registered public accounting firm has full and free access to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining the independence of Odyssey's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee Chairman discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm their independence from management and the Company, and received from them the written disclosures and the letter concerning the independent registered public accounting firm's independence required by PCAOB Rule 3526 and the federal securities laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Committee takes seriously its role as corporate watchdog. The Audit Committee has a formal policy to receive complaints from employees regarding internal controls or financial reporting matters. This Whistleblower process is communicated to both employees and consultants and is monitored by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has spent considerable time reviewing and monitoring controls regarding the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and the UK Bribery Act 2010.

The Audit Committee has a written charter outlining its duties, responsibilities, and practices it follows. The charter, which was last amended February 2005, was reviewed in 2011 and is available on the Company's web site at <http://shipwreck.net/corporategovernance.php>. The review provided several non-material changes to the Audit Committee Checklist as a result of new regulations and risk analysis best practices.

The members of our Audit Committee are not professional accountants or auditors, and their functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee serves a Board-level oversight role in which it provides advice, counsel, and direction to management and to the auditors on the basis of the information it receives, discussions with management and the auditors, and the experience of the Audit Committee's members in business, financial and accounting matters. The Audit Committee has the authority to engage its own outside advisers, including experts in particular areas of accounting or legal counsel, as it determines appropriate, apart from counsel or advisers hired by management in order to assist in its performance and duties.

Table of Contents

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board and the Board has approved that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee has ultimate authority and responsibility for selecting, compensating, evaluating, and, when appropriate, replacing Odyssey's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also selected Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A., to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012, and is presenting the selection to the stockholders for ratification. The Audit Committee and Board of Directors are recommending that shareholders ratify this selection at the Annual Meeting.

Members of the Audit Committee

Bradford B. Baker, Chairman
Max H. Cohen

David J. Saul
Jon D. Sawyer

Report of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of SEC Regulation S-K. Based upon our review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Members of the Compensation Committee

Bradford B. Baker
Max H. Cohen

David J. Saul
Jon D. Sawyer, Chairman

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2011 Highlights and 2012 Outlook

This year the Odyssey team continued to accomplish impressive feats in marine exploration. We are fortunate to have a world-class team of deep-ocean experts who contribute every day to our mission. The work completed in 2011 has set the stage for the recovery of multiple shipwreck operations in 2012 including the Gairsoppa, Mantola and Victory projects. We are also looking forward to continuing and building new relationships with governments and commercial companies, as well as exploring new opportunities that we believe will benefit Odyssey and our stockholders.

Executive Summary

The Compensation Committee believes that executive compensation should be linked with the Company's performance and aligned with the interests of the Company's stockholders. In addition, executive compensation is designed to allow the Company to recruit, retain and motivate employees who play a significant role in the organization's current and future success.

One of the Committee's goals is to focus management on the Company's long-term performance. The Committee believes that long-term equity awards are effective tools for aligning management and stockholder interests in order to increase overall stockholder value. In addition, the named executive officers are often asked to implement long-term initiatives for the Company that, by definition, takes more than one fiscal year to accomplish. Stability and continuity among the named executive officers aids the Company in its implementation of such long-term initiatives. However, a portion of the named executive officers' annual compensation is also linked to the short-term success of the Company in order to motivate executives to achieve Company objectives and to attract and retain talented executives.

Table of Contents

Oversight of Executive Compensation Plan

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors oversees our executive compensation program. This includes compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and all other officers named in the Summary Compensation Table. Our Compensation Committee is made up of independent, non-management members of our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing, assessing and initially approving all elements of compensation for our named executive officers. Compensation recommendations from the Compensation Committee are submitted to the full Board of Directors for approval.

Throughout this proxy statement, the individuals who served as the Company's CEO and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) during 2011, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table, are referred to as the named executive officers or NEOs. The Company has not entered into employment agreements with any of the named executive officers.

Role of the Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The CEO assesses the performance of the NEOs. He then recommends to the Compensation Committee a base salary, performance-based incentives and long-term equity awards at levels for each NEO, including himself, based upon that assessment. The CFO supports the CEO in providing appropriate analyses, peer group reviews and coordination with any outside consultants which may be retained to review the executive compensation program.

General Executive Compensation Philosophy

We have adopted a compensation program designed to attract, motivate and retain key executive employees, to drive business success and to create long-term stockholder value. We compensate our executive officers with base salary, annual incentive compensation and long-term equity compensation planned to be competitive in the marketplace and to align our executive officers with the financial interest of our stockholders. We have designed our compensation program to balance short-term and long-term financial objectives, to encourage building stockholder value and to reward individual and company performance. We target base salary at levels to attract and retain qualified individuals, to encourage long-term commitments and to discourage turnover of key personnel. Annual incentive compensation is paid to reward executives for Company and personal achievement. The long-term equity component of compensation is designed to encourage our executives to think like stockholders by taking a long-term interest in the financial success of the Company and to encourage retention through vesting provisions of long-term incentives. We also target annual incentive awards and long-term equity awards to be a greater part of the compensation package. Since our Company is still operating at a loss, we take into account our ability to pay as a factor when determining base or incentive compensation that is to be paid in cash. The Compensation Committee considers the use of cash and the current financial conditions of the Company when approving cash-based compensation. In certain cases it may be necessary to pay annual incentive award compensation in equity depending upon the Company's current situation. The 2011 annual incentive awards were paid approximately 50% in cash and 50% in company stock for all officers including NEOs in March 2012.

General Stock Option or Restricted Stock Award Philosophy

Stock options and/or restricted stock are awarded to strengthen the relationship between the long-term value of our stock and potential financial incentives to our executive officers. Stock options only become valuable if the recipient continues to be employed by us and if the value of our common stock rises to a level above the option exercise price established by the Compensation Committee on the grant date of the award. Stock options or restricted stock can vest based on time or time plus performance; however, we do not use market related targets in any stated performance criteria. While our Compensation Committee can set option prices as low as the fair market value on the date of grant, we have many times in the past awarded options at a premium over fair market value as an incentive to our executive officers to strive for long-term improvement in the financial condition of our Company.

Table of Contents

When stock options and/or restricted stock are awarded to officers as part of our long-term incentive compensation plan, the awards are to be granted as close to January 1st of each year as is reasonably practical. The awards are made as early as practical in the year so that the time associated with any performance criteria is maximized. This practice avoids market timing of the grant of options around expected news releases. For 2012, awards were granted on January 3, 2012.

Setting Executive Compensation and Market Compensation Assessment

2011 Market Assessment

For benchmarking the 2011 executive compensation program, we used the same peer group of companies that we used for 2010. This peer group included 22 companies originally obtained in 2007 from the Bloomberg database of AMEX and NASDAQ companies with \$125 - \$175 million in market capitalization and under \$10 million in revenue. We have previously evaluated another peer group company group which had market capitalization between \$150 - \$300 million for the biomedical industry and under \$10 million of revenues. We previously decided to maintain consistency and be more conservative by using the original peer group data, even though the results for the alternative peer group had higher annual base compensation. During 2009, we acquired access to the Equilar executive compensation database. Equilar's award-winning product suite is the gold standard for benchmarking and tracking executive compensation, board compensation, equity grants and award policies and compensation practices. Equilar products and custom research services enable us to accurately compare pay packages across our own peer group as well as thousands of public companies using SEC and exclusive survey data. For 2011, we utilized 22 peer group companies which are listed as follows:

Altair Nanotechnologies Inc.	Grubb & Ellis Realty Advisors Inc.
Anadys Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Hemispherx BioPharma Inc.
Aastrom Biosciences Inc.	Hollis-Eden Pharmaceuticals Inc.
Arrowhead Research Corp.	General Moly, Inc.
AVI BioPharma Inc.	InSite Vision Inc.
Cytori Therapeutics Inc.	Interleukin Genetics Inc.
CytRx Corp.	Microvision Inc.
Depomed Inc.	Oxigene Inc.
Discovery Laboratories Inc.	Repros Therapeutics Inc.
Dune Energy Inc.	Telkonet Inc.
Emisphere Technologies Inc.	Threshold Pharmaceuticals Inc.

The characteristics of the above peer group include high market capitalization (peer group average \$87 million), low revenue (peer group average \$11 million) and negative earnings per share. These characteristics are indicative of companies with long-term growth prospects and market value potential. It was our opinion this peer group could continue to be utilized to benchmark executive compensation for Odyssey in 2011. While benchmarking may not always be appropriate as a stand-alone tool for setting compensation due to the aspects of our business and objectives that may be unique to us, we generally believe that gathering this type of information and providing it to the Compensation Committee is an important part of our executive compensation decision-making process. While the peer group companies were utilized to benchmark base salaries, they were not used for other compensation elements such as annual and long-term incentives.

Components and Results of the 2011 Compensation Plan

Base salary

Base salary is intended to provide our executive officers with a level of assured cash compensation that is reasonably competitive in the marketplace. It is based on the individual's qualifications and experience with the Company, past performance taking into account all relevant criteria, value to the Company, the Company's ability to pay and relevant competitive market data. Because of our history of operating losses, we believe base salary cash compensation should be below the midpoint of the range (i.e., 50% salary range penetration) and annual performance-based incentives and long-term incentives should be at the higher end of the recommended ranges. The base salary ranges for the CEO and CFO were established based upon the competitive and benchmarking data from the peer group whereby the average peer group base salary is aligned to the midpoint of the executive officer

Table of Contents

salary range. These NEO positions were the most common throughout our peer group analysis. This does not mean that the NEO's base salary will be in the midpoint range (as the following tables will illustrate), but the peer group analysis is used as a basis for establishing salary ranges or salary bands for each position.

Results for 2011. Compensation ranges were established to coincide with the results of the peer group review compensation analysis for the CEO and CFO. Average base salary for the peer group companies was utilized in establishing the midpoint of the executive officer salary range. The executive compensation program for 2011 utilized a similar process as in prior years to determine target ranges for executive compensation. The analysis resulted in an increase to the midpoint of the salary range of 2% for the CEO and no increase in the midpoint for the CFO. The Corporate Secretary was reduced by 2% since the Treasurer responsibilities were transferred to the Principal Accounting Officer.

The following identifies the 2011 and 2010 50th percentile (midpoint) of the salary range for each NEO as of March 2011:

Name	Position	Base Salary 50th Percentile 2011	Base Salary 50th Percentile 2010
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	\$ 425,000	\$ 417,000
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	\$ 278,000	\$ 278,000
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	\$ 278,000	\$ 278,000
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	\$ 185,000	\$ 189,000
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	\$ 155,000	\$ 150,000

Actual base salaries for the NEOs continued to remain well below the 50th percentile or midpoint of our peer group companies with base salary penetrations ranging from 27% to 39% of the base salary schedule of ranges as follows:

Name	Position	Base Salary March 2011*	2011 Salary Range Penetration*
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	\$ 387,000	34%
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	\$ 250,000	34%
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	\$ 238,000	27%
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	\$ 175,000	39%
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	\$ 141,000	34%
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	\$ 141,000	27%

* Base salary increases for 2011 were deferred until March due to economic conditions. The salary range penetration represents where the NEO base salary is relative to the four quartiles with the midpoint representing the 50th percentile.

Annual Incentive Compensation and Targets

Annual incentive compensation is intended to provide a component of total cash compensation that represents an award for meeting corporate key objectives or for achievement of individual strategic objectives. Annual incentive compensation is expressed as target amounts that can be earned as a percentage of base salary. The amount of these targets is based on the individual's qualifications and experience with the Company, past performance of duties, value to the Company, and the Company's ability to pay. For NEOs, except the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer, the annual incentive targets are weighted 75% toward the ability to meet key performance indicators of the Company and 25% toward attainment of individual strategic objectives. For the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer, the annual incentive targets are weighted 50% toward company key performance indicators and 50% individual strategic objectives. An executive's individual strategic objectives are defined based upon the contribution such executive's role and expertise can bring on achieving the Company's overall strategic objectives. The individual strategic objectives are reviewed by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

Attainment of Company key performance indicators, which comprise 75% of the annual incentive awards for all NEOs, except the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer which is 50%, are based upon four separate categories which include revenue, recovered cargo, earnings per share and overall cash flow. Within each category, several performance threshold targets were established whereby ranges of target incentives could be achieved as noted below. Target incentives for revenue and recovered cargo key performance indicators ranged from 0% to 60% for each category. Target incentives for earnings per share (EPS) and cash flow ranged from 0% to 40% for each category. In order to achieve the upper range percentages of target incentives, significant stretch performance levels would need to be achieved. For example in 2011, to achieve 60% of target incentive, revenue would need to be greater than \$30 million or recovered cargo would need to be greater than \$50 million; to achieve 40% of target incentive, earnings per share would need to be positive or cash flow from operations only would need to be greater than budget. While the sum of the various key performance indicator categories could be over 100%, the intent was that NEOs could achieve at or near target incentives by achieving stretch performance levels in only several categories or above average levels for all four categories.

The following table illustrates the key performance indicators for 2011:

Revenue (up to 60%)	
1) < \$10 million	0%
2) \$10 - \$20 million	1% - 10%
3) \$20 - \$25 million	11% - 25%
4) \$25 - \$30 million	26% - 40%
5) >\$30 million	41% - 60%
Cash Flow (CF) (up to 40%)	
1) < \$5.5 million net positive CF	0%
2) > \$5.5 million net positive CF	1% -20%
3) > -\$3.1 million (operations only)	21% - 40%
Recovered Cargo * (up to 60%)	
1) No Increase	0%
2) < \$10 million	1% - 10%
3) \$10 - \$25 million	11% - 25%
4) \$25 - \$50 million	26% - 40%
5) > \$50 million	41% - 60%
EPS (up to 40%)	
1) > -\$.26 EPS	0%
2) < -\$.26 EPS and >-.12 EPS	1% -10%
3) < -\$.12 EPS to breakeven	11% - 25%
4) positive EPS	25% - 40%

* The value of recovered cargo increases will be determined by the Board of Directors based upon their reasonable assessment of the fair value of all items recovered throughout the year.

Although it is intended that the Compensation Committee will follow the incentive award guidelines, the Committee has the discretion to increase or decrease the amounts based upon extenuating or unforeseen circumstances, or to deny annual incentive awards whether or not performance targets are achieved, as it deems appropriate. The attainment of individual strategic objectives is evaluated by the Compensation Committee. The overall annual incentive award is determined following a review of the performance of each NEO relative to the individual strategic objectives and the attainment of the Company's key performance indicators. There is no maximum amount established and the incentive awards are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. However, the Compensation Committee has consistently followed the annual incentive compensation results that are based upon the actual key performance indicators which are part of the executive compensation plan.

The Compensation Committee evaluates the Company's performance with the assistance of the CFO and evaluates the individual performance for all officers based upon input provided by the NEOs and the CEO. Based upon review of these factors, the Compensation Committee is provided with recommendations and determines the annual incentive amounts.

Incentive Awards for 2011. The Board of Directors, as recommended by the Compensation Committee, decided officers would receive annual incentive compensation for 2011. For 2011, all NEOs, except the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer,

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

qualified for 38% of the target amount, which was comprised of 13% for achieving company key performance indicators and 25% for individual strategic objectives. The Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer qualified for 59% of the target amount whereby 9% was for achieving company key performance indicators and 50% for achieving individual strategic objectives. The key performance criteria achieved in 2011 was overall revenue which was allotted a 6% performance weight because actual revenue was within the range of \$10 - \$20 million per category 2

Table of Contents

under revenue performance indicators (\$15.7 million). Also, earnings per share (EPS) was allocated a 3% performance weight because actual EPS was within category 2 under EPS performance factors (\$.23 per share loss after adjusting the impact of the non-cash derivative accounting and unconsolidated entity losses). Cash Flow was allotted an 8% performance weight having scored within category 2 of the Cash Flow performance factors (positive \$7.7 million). No performance weighting was allocated to Recovered Cargo. The total of the performance factor weighting was 17%, but since it represented only 75% for certain NEOs, except the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer, the overall target annual incentive percentage earned for company performance criteria was 13%. For the Vice President & General Counsel and Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer the overall target annual incentive percentage earned for company performance was 9%.

The following table identifies the target award as a percentage of base salary for each NEO in accordance with the executive compensation plan, the weighting between Company and individual performance, and the actual incentive awarded based upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. While normally the incentive awards are intended to be paid in cash, the Compensation Committee recommended that all awards be paid partially in stock.

Name	Position	Target Award as % Salary	Company / Individual Performance Weighting	Target Incentive Award Per Plan	2011 Actual Incentive Award	Incentive Awarded as % Salary
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	70% - 100%	75%/25%	\$ 310,000	\$ 120,834	31%
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	50% - 70%	75%/25%	\$ 150,000	\$ 58,468	23%
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	50% - 70%	75%/25%	\$ 143,000	\$ 55,740	23%
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	50% - 70%	75%/25%	\$ 105,000	\$ 40,927	23%
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	25% - 50%	50%/50%	\$ 57,000	\$ 40,469	29%
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	25% - 50%	50%/50%	\$ 57,000	\$ 34,583	25%

The number of shares was determined by dividing the cash award by the closing price of the company stock on March 6, 2012. The following table shows the amounts paid:

	Cash Award \$	Stock Award (shares)
Gregory P. Stemm, CEO	\$ 58,513	20,039
Mark D. Gordon, President/COO	\$ 28,313	9,696
Michael J. Holmes, CFO	\$ 26,991	9,244
David A. Morris, Corporate Secretary	\$ 19,819	6,787
Melinda J. MacConnel, VP & General Counsel	\$ 19,598	6,711
Jay A. Nudi, PAO/Treasurer	\$ 16,815	5,759

Long Term Incentive Targets and Awards

Long-Term Incentive Awards for 2011. Long-term incentive targets are intended to provide an equity component of total compensation in the form of stock options or restricted stock that vest based on time, performance or both. The value of these targets is set by the Compensation Committee based on the individual's qualifications and experience with the Company, past performance of duties and value to the Company. The Board of Directors, as recommended by the Compensation Committee on March 7, 2011, approved grants of stock options with five-year term and three-year service vesting, and an exercise price of \$2.74 per share, and restricted stock awards with two-year service vesting, to the following NEOs:

Table of Contents

Name	Position	2011 Long-Term Incentive Awards	
		Stock Options	Restricted Stock
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	191,776	85,021
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	76,645	33,979
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	75,000	33,250
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	55,592	24,646
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	31,720	14,063
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	31,720	14,063

The long-term incentive stock option awards are valued based upon the Black-Scholes valuation model on the date of grant. The restricted stock awards are valued based upon the stock price on the date of grant. Annual incentive targets and long-term equity targets for 2011 remained at the same percentage of base salary as in 2010. Company key performance criteria did not change in substance from 2010; however, criteria quantitative ranges were adjusted based upon the 2011 budget.

The following table includes the target and actual long-term incentive award expressed as a percentage range of base salary that was awarded on March 7, 2011.

Name	Position	Target	Actual
		Long-Term Incentive Award as % of Base Salary	Long-Term Incentive Award as % of Base Salary
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	125% - 150%	131%
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	75% - 100%	81%
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	75% - 100%	83%
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	75% - 100%	84%
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	50% - 75%	60%
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	50% - 75%	60%

The Role of the Stockholder Advisory Vote

At our annual meeting in 2011, our stockholders cast an advisory vote of 98% in favor of the compensation of our named executive officers and 90% in favor of the company holding an annual advisory vote on our executive officer compensation. In consideration of these advisory votes, our Board determined that we will hold an annual advisory vote to approve our named executive officers compensation, and our Compensation Committee took the 2011 advisory vote of our stockholders into account as a factor in maintaining the current structure of our executive compensation program.

At our annual meeting in 2012, our stockholders will again be provided the opportunity to cast an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers. This vote is set forth in Proposal 2 in this proxy statement. Although this say-on-pay stockholder vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee, as it did last year, will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions regarding our named executive officers.

Summary of 2012 Executive Compensation Program

Because our 2011 executive compensation plan was overwhelmingly approved by shareholders at our annual meeting in 2011, we did not make any material changes to the plan. The executive compensation program for 2012 utilized a similar process and the same peer group as in 2011 to determine target ranges for executive compensation. The analysis resulted in changes to the 2011 compensation salary ranges. The Compensation Committee approved the following base salary increases, effective February 1, 2012, for each NEO:

Name	Position	Base Salary (effective 2-1-12)		
		2012	% Change from 2011	2012 Range Penetration

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	\$ 407,000	5.2%	31%
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	\$ 275,000	10.0%	32%
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	\$ 250,000	5.0%	30%
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	\$ 182,000	4.0%	41%
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	\$ 155,000	9.9%	25%
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	\$ 148,000	5.0%	31%

Table of Contents

Base salary increases were slightly higher for the President and COO due to his exceptional performance and additional responsibilities regarding Odyssey's investor relations, strategic planning and government affairs efforts. Vice President & General Counsel base salary was higher based upon a peer group analysis and overall performance criteria. All NEOs were very effective in achieving their individual strategic objectives.

The Board of Directors, as recommended by the Compensation Committee on January 3, 2012, approved grants of stock options with five-year term and three-year service vesting, and an exercise price of \$2.73 per share, and restricted stock awards with two-year service vesting, to the following NEOs:

Name	Position	2012 Long-Term Incentive Awards	
		Stock Options	Restricted Stock
Gregory P. Stemm	Chief Executive Officer	228,543	105,931
Mark D. Gordon	President and Chief Operating Officer	98,425	45,620
Michael J. Holmes	Chief Financial Officer	93,701	43,431
David A. Morris	Corporate Secretary	68,898	31,934
Melinda J. MacConnel	Vice President & General Counsel	41,634	19,297
Jay A. Nudi	Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer	41,634	19,297

The long-term incentive stock option awards are valued based upon the Black-Scholes valuation model on the date of grant. The restricted stock awards are valued based upon the stock price on the date of grant. Company key performance criteria did not change in substance for 2012 except for recovered cargo; however, criteria quantitative ranges were adjusted based upon the 2011 actual results and the 2012 budget. Recovered cargo was taken out of the annual incentive plan and made a discretionary bonus element of the 2012 plan. Also, the annual and long-term incentives were increased for the President/COO and CFO in order to better align between the other officers and the CEO. The annual incentive target was increased from a range of 50% to 60% for 2011 to 60% to 80% in 2012 while the long-term incentives were increased as a percentage of base salary from a range of 75% to 100% in 2011 to 100% to 125% in 2012 for both positions. The Vice President & General Counsel's annual incentive was changed from 50% company key performance indicators and 50% individual strategic objectives to 75% company performance and 25% individual objectives. Also, the annual and long-term incentives were increased for the Vice President & General Counsel to better align with the responsibilities of the position. The annual incentive target was increased from a range of 25% to 50% for 2011 to 50% to 70% in 2012 while the long-term incentives were increased as a percentage of base salary from a range of 50% to 75% in 2011 to 75% to 100% in 2012. The Principal Accounting Officer/Treasurer annual incentive percentage targets and long-term incentive percentage ranges of base salary were not changed.

Retirement Plans and All Other Compensation

We do not have any deferred compensation or retirement plan at this time. During 2011, we did not pay perquisites exceeding \$10,000 in the aggregate to our Chief Executive Officer or other NEOs. Our officers participated in non-discriminatory life and health insurance plans as did all other employees. The Company does not currently have employment agreements in effect with any of its executive officers.

Table of Contents**Compensation Tables****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation paid to or earned by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the other NEOs for services rendered to the Company and its subsidiaries for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Expense related to Annual Incentive Awards that were paid after the fiscal year ending date are included in compensation expense for each year.

Name and Principal Position (1)	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (2)	Option Awards (\$ (3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (4)	All Other Compensation (\$ (5)	Total (\$)
Gregory P. Stemm, Chief Executive Officer	2011	385,667	225,306	281,911	120,834	690	1,014,408
	2010	371,000	250,515		125,851	1,219	748,585
	2009	350,000		587,840	188,900	1,102	1,127,842
Michael J. Holmes, Chief Financial Officer	2011	237,167	88,113	110,250	55,740	1,463	492,733
	2010	228,000	97,929		58,054	1,023	385,006
	2009	215,000		369,480	89,025	878	674,383
Mark D. Gordon, President and Chief Operating Officer	2011	248,583	90,044	112,668	58,468	536	510,229
	2010	233,000	97,929		59,322	1,034	391,285
	2009	215,000		285,230	89,025	878	590,133
David A. Morris, Secretary	2011	174,500	65,312	81,720	40,927	974	363,433
	2010	169,000	72,877		42,798	869	285,544
	2009	160,000		178,690	65,113	732	404,535
Melinda MacConnel, Vice-President and General Counsel	2011	140,500	37,267	46,628	40,469	160	265,024
	2010	135,000	39,041		32,393	777	207,211
	2009	120,000		100,200	55,340	627	301,167
Jay A. Nudi, Treasurer and Principal Accounting Officer	2011	140,500	37,267	46,628	34,583	160	259,138
	2010	135,000	40,668		32,393	779	208,840
	2009	125,000		149,960	57,550	640	333,150

- (1) The offices held by each named executive officer are as of December 31, 2011.
- (2) The amounts reported reflect the fair value of restricted stock awards, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification topic 718 - Stock Compensation (ASC 718), awarded under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. For each restricted stock award, fair value is calculated using the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant. These amounts reflect the accounting expense for these awards and do not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the NEOs, which may be higher or lower based on a number of factors including stock price fluctuations and applicable vesting.
- (3) The amounts reported are the fair value of option awards as determined using a Black-Scholes valuation model in accordance with ASC 718, disregarding any estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The assumptions used to calculate the value of option awards are detailed in Note R under the caption "Stock-Based Compensation" to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. These amounts reflect the accounting expense for these awards and do not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the NEOs, which may be higher or lower based on a number of factors including stock price fluctuations and applicable vesting.
- (4) For 2011, the amount for each NEO was partially paid in shares of common stock issued from the Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan at the fair market value on the date of the award as detailed in the 2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. For 2010, the amount was similarly paid in a combination of cash and stock issued.

Table of Contents

at the fair market value from the Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. For 2009, the net after tax of the compensation amounts were paid in shares of common stock at the fair market value on the date of the award from the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan.

- (5) The amounts shown reflect amounts for life insurance premiums paid by the Company on behalf of each NEO for the fiscal years 2009, 2010 and 2011.

2011 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table sets forth the actual number of stock and option awards granted to the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and the grant date fair value of these awards.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: No. of Shares of Stock or Units (#) (1)	All Other Option Awards: No. of Securities Underlying Options (#) (2)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Gregory P. Stemm		270,900	310,000(3)	387,000				
RSA	3/7/11				85,021		225,306	
Options	3/7/11					191,776	2.74	
Michael J. Holmes		119,000	143,000(4)	166,600				
RSA	3/7/11				33,250		88,113	
Options	3/7/11					75,000	2.74	
Mark D. Gordon		125,000	150,000(5)	175,000				
RSA	3/7/11				33,979		90,044	
Options	3/7/11					76,645	2.74	
David A. Morris		87,500	105,000(6)	122,500				
RSA	3/7/11				24,646		65,312	
Options	3/7/11					55,592	2.74	
Melinda J. MacConnel		35,250	57,000(7)	70,500				
RSA	3/7/11				14,063		37,267	
Options	3/7/11					31,720	2.74	
Jay A. Nudi		35,250	57,000(8)	70,500				
RSA	3/7/11				14,063		37,267	
Options	3/7/11					31,720	2.74	

- (1) Stock awards granted on March 7, 2011, to our NEOs provide long-term incentive compensation as reflected in the 2011 Summary Compensation Table. The awards, granted from the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, vest equally over a two-year period on December 26, 2011 and 2012.
- (2) Stock options granted on March 7, 2011, to our NEOs provide long-term incentive compensation as reflected in the 2011 Summary Compensation Table. The awards, granted from the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, vest equally over a three-year period on December 26, 2011, 2012 and 2013.
- (3) Paid \$120,834 including 20,039 shares of stock valued at \$62,321 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.
- (4) Paid \$55,740 including 9,244 shares of stock valued at \$28,749 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.
- (5) Paid \$58,468 including 9,696 shares of stock valued at \$30,155 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.
- (6) Paid \$40,927 including 6,787 shares of stock valued at \$21,108 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.
- (7) Paid \$40,469 including 6,711 shares of stock valued at \$20,871 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.
- (8) Paid \$34,583 including 5,579 shares of stock valued at \$17,910 on March 7, 2012 in full payment of this award.

Table of Contents

The Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table provide details of cash and non-cash compensation reportable for our named executive officers. The tables include the base salaries paid to our NEOs and compensation cost calculated under ASC 718 attributed to the award or grant of the long-term component of executive compensation during the year. The below table indicates the ratios of base salary, compensation cost calculated under ASC 718, and all other compensation to total compensation.

Name	Salary as a Percentage of Total Compensation	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation as a Percentage of Total Compensation	Fair Value of Equity Awards as a Percentage of Total Compensation	All Other Compensation as a Percentage of Total Compensation
Gregory P. Stemm	38 %	12 %	50 %	*
Michael J. Holmes	48 %	11 %	40 %	*
Mark D. Gordon	49 %	11 %	40 %	*
David A. Morris	48 %	11 %	40 %	*
Melinda MacConnel	53 %	15 %	32 %	*
Jay A. Nudi	54 %	13 %	32 %	*

* = less than 1%

All of our NEOs' salary and life insurance premiums were paid in cash. The fair value of equity awards for each NEO is the aggregate fair value of stock awards or options determined as of the date of grant. These amounts reflect the aggregate accounting fair value on the date of grant and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by the named executive.

2011 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END

The following table shows the number of common shares covered by outstanding stock option awards that are exercisable and unexercisable, and the number of common shares covered by unvested restricted stock awards for each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2011.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
Gregory P. Stemm	250,000		3.50	2/5/2012(3)	42,511	116,480
	352,000		3.51	12/31/2013(4)		
	63,925	127,851	2.74	12/26/2015(5)		
Michael J. Holmes	144,000		3.51	12/31/2013(6)	16,625	45,553
	100,000		3.50	3/10/2014(7)		
	25,000	50,000	2.74	12/26/2015(8)		
Mark D. Gordon	100,000		5.00	12/31/2013(9)	16,990	46,553
	144,000		3.51	12/31/2013(10)		
	25,000		4.00	5/27/2014(11)		
	25,548	51,097	2.74	12/26/2015(12)		

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

David A. Morris	107,000		3.51	12/31/2013(13)	12,323	33,765
	18,531	37,061	2.74	12/26/2015(14)		

Table of Contents

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
		Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
Melinda J. MacConnel	100,000			5.00	12/31/2012(15)	7,032	19,268
	60,000			3.51	12/31/2013(16)		
	10,573	21,147		2.74	12/26/2015(17)		
Jay A. Nudi	63,000			3.51	12/31/2013(18)	7,032	19,268
	25,000			4.00	5/27/2014(19)		
	10,573	21,147		2.74	12/26/2015(20)		

- (1) For each stock award in this column, the remaining unvested shares reported will vest on December 26, 2012.
- (2) The market value of the restricted stock awards that have not vested is calculated by multiplying the number of shares represented by the stock awards by the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2011, which was \$2.74.
- (3) This option vested as to 62,500 shares on each of December 31, 2007 through 2010.
- (4) This option vested as to 117,333 shares on December 31, 2009 and 2010 and 117,334 shares on December 31, 2011.
- (5) This option vests as to 63,925 shares on each December 26, 2011 and 2012 and 63,926 shares on December 26, 2013.
- (6) This option vested as to 48,000 shares on each of December 31, 2009 through 2011.
- (7) This option vested as to 100,000 shares on March 10, 2009.
- (8) This option vests as to 25,000 shares on each December 26, 2011, 2012 and 2013.
- (9) This option vests as to 20,000 shares on each of December 31, 2007 through 2011.
- (10) This option vested as to 48,000 shares on each of December 31, 2009 through 2011.
- (11) This option vested as to 25,000 shares on May 27, 2009.
- (12) This option vests as to 25,548 shares on each of December 26, 2011 and 2012 and 25,549 shares on December 26, 2013.
- (13) This option vested as to 35,667 shares on December 31, 2009 and 2010 and 35,666 shares on December 31, 2011.
- (14) This option vests as to 18,531 shares on each of December 26, 2011 and 2012 and 18,530 shares on December 26, 2013.
- (15) This option vested as to 20,000 shares on each of December 31, 2007 through 2011.
- (16) This option vested as to 20,000 shares on each of December 31, 2009 through 2011.
- (17) This option vests as to 10,573 shares on each of December 26, 2011 and 2012 and 10,574 shares on December 26, 2013.
- (18) This option vested as to 21,000 shares on each of December 31, 2009 through 2011.
- (19) This option vested as to 25,000 shares on May 27, 2009.
- (20) This option vests as to 10,573 shares on each of December 26, 2011 and 2012 and 10,574 shares on December 26, 2013.

Table of Contents**2011 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding options exercised and stock awards vested during 2011 for the named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Gregory P. Stemm			128,894	326,102
Michael J. Holmes			50,393	127,494
Mark D. Gordon			50,757	128,415
David A. Morris			37,453	94,756
Melinda J. MacConnel			20,493	51,847
Jay A. Nudi			21,054	53,267

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

None of our named executive officers has an employment contract or agreement, whether written or unwritten, that provides for payments at, following, or in connection with a change-in-control of the Company or termination of employment. Under our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee has the discretion, but not the obligation, to accelerate the vesting or to compensate holders of otherwise unvested stock incentives in the event of a change-in-control. Only options or restricted stock awards granted during 2006 and later are subject to potential acceleration of vesting under a change-in-control and only if these incentives are not assumed by the entity taking control.

At December 31, 2011, our closing stock price was \$2.74 per share, which is equal to or below the per share option exercise price of all of the stock options issued to our named executive officers that could have become immediately exercisable due to a change-in-control if the Compensation Committee acted to accelerate the vesting. Since all of the options were out of the money, none would have been exercisable, and no expense would have been recognized from accelerating the vesting of stock options due to a change-in-control at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, there were unvested restricted stock awards having a value of \$280,886 that could have been purchased by the Company in cash or in stock in the event of a change-in-control at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The number and value of such restricted stock awards is shown in the 2011 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END table. The amounts shown are the cost that would have been recognized by the Company in the event of a change-in-control on December 31, 2011, if the Compensation Committee approved compensating each officer for the outstanding restricted stock awards shown.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the compensation paid to directors for 2011. The Compensation Committee used the same peer group as was used for executive officers to benchmark total compensation for directors.

Table of Contents**2011 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Name	Fees Earned or				Total (\$)
	Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	
Bradford B. Baker	74,000	27,649			101,649
Max H. Cohen	57,500	16,814			74,314
Dr. David J. Saul	22,000	61,919(2)			83,919
Jon D. Sawyer	69,750	23,912			93,662

- (1) Each independent director received a stock award as the equity component of annual compensation for 2011. The amounts shown are the fair value of the awards as determined in accordance with ASC 718. The number of shares of stock awarded to each was based on each director's particular duties such as Committee Chairmen in the case of Mr. Baker, Mr. Cohen and Mr. Sawyer. The stock awards were granted from the Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan on January 3, 2012, as follows:

Non-Employee Director	Stock Awards #	Fair value \$
Mr. Baker	10,128	27,649
Mr. Cohen	6,159	16,814
Dr. Saul	8,029	21,919
Mr. Sawyer	8,759	23,912

In addition, stock awards were awarded to Dr. Saul as detailed in notes (2) below.

- (2) Dr. Saul elected to receive restricted stock in lieu of cash for the full amount of his annual retainer for 2011. The number of shares awarded was determined by dividing the amount otherwise due in cash on the first day of each quarter by the price of our common stock on that date. He received 12,463 shares of common stock for \$40,000 worth of services. The stock was restricted until the end of the service period.

At December 31, 2011, the directors had the following stock options outstanding:

Non-Employee Director	Options	Exercise Price	Termination Date
Mr. Baker	16,000	\$ 3.51	12/31/2013
Dr. Saul	13,500	\$ 3.51	12/31/2013

Compensation of Directors

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our outside directors were compensated according to the following structure:

Each outside director received \$40,000 annually as a retainer. Additional annual retainers were paid as follows for chairmanship duties:

Board Chairman	\$ 12,000
----------------	-----------

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Audit Committee Chairman	\$ 10,000
Compensation Committee Chairman	\$ 5,000
Governance and Nominating Committee Chairman	\$ 5,000

Each director has the option to receive cash or common stock for the amount of retainers. Retainers are paid quarterly in advance unless stock is awarded. When stock is awarded, the number of shares is calculated by dividing the dollar amount otherwise due in cash by the fair market value of the stock on the first day of each quarter when cash payments are due. The stock is restricted until the service period ends.

Table of Contents

In addition, outside directors received \$1,000 per meeting attended on behalf of the Board of Directors including full board meetings, and audit committee, governance committee and compensation committee meetings.

Meetings attended telephonically earned compensation of \$500 for attendance.

Committee chairmen received an additional \$1,000 per meeting over which they presided.

A discretionary equity compensation payment was awarded to each independent director for 2011 in the form of a stock award on January 3, 2012, under the Company's Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan.

We do not pay amounts that would be classified as perquisites or other compensation to our directors, and there are no existing or potential change-of-control, retirement or legacy obligations.

Directors were reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the Board of Directors or committees.

Directors will be compensated for 2012 under a similar plan as for 2011. The following table provides an estimate of director compensation for 2012.

ESTIMATED DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2012

	Chairman of Board and Audit Chair	Compensation Chair	Governance Chair	Committee Member
Total retainer	\$ 62,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 40,000
Estimated fees (1)	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000
Estimated equity value (2)	\$ 34,750	\$ 24,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 20,250
Estimated total compensation	\$ 118,750	\$ 91,000	\$ 91,000	\$ 82,250

(1) Assumes the following meetings per year:

Board	6	Governance	3
Audit	8	Telephonic	2
Compensation	4		

(2) Assumes payout of target equity retainer of 33-61% of total cash compensation.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of April 15, 2012, the beneficial ownership of each person known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of five percent or more of our common stock, each named executive officer and director individually and all executive officers and directors of the Company as a group.

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, based on factors including voting and investment power with respect to shares. Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of April 15, 2012. Shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock, or the exercise of stock options or warrants currently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days after April 15, 2012, are deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding such shares, options or warrants, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership for any other persons.

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percentage of Class
Gregory P. Stemm, CEO and Director	2,646,093(2)	3.6
Dr. David J. Saul, Director	937,732(3)	1.3
Michael J. Holmes, CFO	568,971(4)	*
David A. Morris, Secretary	543,803(5)	*
Mark D. Gordon, President and Director	448,609(6)	*
Laura L. Barton, VP of Communications	292,866(7)	*
Melinda J. MacConnel, VP and General Counsel	242,284(8)	*
Jon D. Sawyer, Director	183,439(9)	*
Jay A. Nudi, Treasurer and Principal Accounting Officer	175,668(10)	*
Bradford B. Baker, Director	102,624(11)	*
Max H. Cohen, Director	6,159	*
All Officers and Directors as a group (11 persons)	6,148,248	8.2%
GLG Partners Limited	5,076,421(12)	6.7%
1 Curzon Street		
London W1J 5HB		
Black Rock, Inc.	4,248,913(13)	5.8%
40 East 52 nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		

* Represents less than 1% beneficial ownership.

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, the nature of beneficial ownership consists of sole voting and investment power.
- (2) Consists of 1,107,609 shares held jointly by Mr. Stemm and his wife; 1,122,559 shares held by Adanic Capital, Ltd., a limited partnership for which Mr. Stemm serves as general partner; and 415,925 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options. Mr. Stemm has pledged 500,000 shares of common stock as collateral for a personal loan.
- (3) Consists of 834,232 shares held jointly by Dr. Saul and his wife; 13,500 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options and 90,000 shares underlying currently exercisable warrants held by Dr. Saul.
- (4) Consists of 164,971 shares and 269,000 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mr. Holmes; and 75,000 shares and 60,000 shares underlying currently exercisable warrants held by Holmes Investments, LLC, for which Mr. Holmes serves as the Operating Manager.
- (5) Consists of 418,272 shares held jointly by Mr. Morris and his wife and 125,531 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mr. Morris.
- (6) Includes 294,548 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mr. Gordon.
- (7) Consists of 148,960 shares held jointly by Mrs. Barton and her husband and 143,906 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mrs. Barton.
- (8) Includes 170,573 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mrs. MacConnel.
- (9) Consists of 57,982 shares held jointly by Mr. Sawyer and his wife and 125,457 shares held by Jon D. Sawyer Limited Partnership of which Mr. Sawyer serves as the general partner.
- (10) Includes 98,573 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mr. Nudi.

Table of Contents

- (11) Consists of 20,000 shares and 9,000 shares underlying currently exercisable warrants held by the Bristol Edward Rudolph Revocable Trust, a trust of which Mr. Baker is the sole trustee; and 57,624 shares and 16,000 shares underlying currently exercisable stock options held by Mr. Baker.
- (12) Based upon Schedule 13G/A filed by GLG Partners LP and related entities on February 14, 2012. Includes 2,870,021 shares of common stock, 2,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants, and 206,400 shares issuable upon the conversion of Series D Preferred Stock beneficially owned by GLG Partners LP. Conversion of the Warrants and the Series D Preferred Stock are subject to a 9.9% beneficial ownership blocker provision. However, the securities enumerated in the table and this footnote are the full number of shares of common stock that would be issuable upon full exercise or conversion of such reported securities without giving effect to such blocker. GLG Partners LP is the Investment Manager and a beneficial owner of the shares held by GLG North American Opportunity Fund and certain other funds and managed accounts to which GLG Partners LP serves as investment manager (collectively the GLG Funds). GLG Partners LP may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of all shares owned by the GLG Funds. The Investment Manager exercises its investment authority directly or indirectly through various entities, including, without limitation, GLG, Inc. GLG Partners, Ltd. is the General Partner to the investment manager. GLG Partners, Ltd. may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of all shares owned by the GLG Funds. Each of the Investment Manager, the General Partner and GLG, Inc. hereby disclaims any beneficial ownership of any such Shares, except for their pecuniary interest therein.
- (13) Based upon Schedule 13G filed by Black Rock, Inc. on February 9, 2012.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based solely on a review of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and Form 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and certain written representations, no persons who were either a director, executive officer or beneficial owner of more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock, failed to file on a timely basis reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Policy on Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Parties

On March 6, 2007, our Board of Directors adopted the following policy governing transactions with related parties.

Transactions involving related parties present a risk to us of being improperly valued or of exposing us to conflicts of interest. To reduce the potential for these risks the Board has adopted this policy which must be followed in connection with all related party transactions involving us or our subsidiaries when the dollar amount of the transaction or a series of similar related transactions exceeds or is expected to exceed \$120,000 during a fiscal year. All completed or proposed related party transactions are to be reported to the Company's Disclosure Committee no later than the end of the current quarter and the Committee will present the transactions or proposed transactions to the Board of Directors for review, ratification or approval.

Related party transactions may be entered into or continued only if approved as follows:

If the related party transaction is in the normal course of our business and is (a) entered into on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available for unrelated third parties, or (b) is fair to us in taking into account the totality of the relationships between the parties involved including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us, then the CEO or CFO may approve the transaction, provided the approving individual is not a party to the transaction. Such transactions will then be presented to the disinterested members of the Board of Directors for ratification.

Any other related party transaction may only be approved by a majority of the disinterested Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Prior to this, related party transactions were reviewed by the Board of Directors as these transactions were contemplated or occurred.

SECURITIES RESERVED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table sets forth information about the Company's common stock that was available for issuance under all of the Company's existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (\$)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance (#)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	3,508,950	3.54	2,993,639
Equity compensation plan not approved by security holders			

(1) Includes the issuance of stock in connection with the following stockholder approved plans:

(a) 100,000 stock options under the 1997 Stock Option Plan (this plan expired August 17, 2007).

(b) 3,299,405 stock options and 109,545 stock awards under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan.

Each outstanding stock option and stock award is settleable in stock on a one-for-one basis. The weighted average exercise price excluding the 109,545 restricted stock awards, which are issuable for no consideration when vested, is \$3.65. The shares available for issuance under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan are available for Incentive Stock Options, Non-Qualified Stock Options, Restricted Stock Awards, Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights.

Table of Contents

Proposal 2 - ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Background

Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) requires the Company to seek a non-binding advisory vote from its stockholders to approve the compensation of its named executive officers (Say-on-Pay vote) as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis (CD&A) and accompanying compensation tables and the related narrative disclosure in this Proxy Statement. Because the required vote is advisory, the result of the vote is not binding upon the Board of Directors.

We believe that executive compensation should be linked with the Company s performance and aligned with the interests of the Company s stockholders. In addition, executive compensation is designed to allow the Company to recruit, retain and motivate employees who play a significant role in the organization s current and future success.

As a focus on the Company s long-term performance, we believe that long-term equity awards are effective tools for aligning management and stockholder interests in order to increase overall stockholder value. In addition, the executive officers are often asked to implement long-term initiatives for the Company that, by definition, takes more than one fiscal year to accomplish. Stability and continuity among the executive officers aids the Company in its implementation of such long-term initiatives. However, a portion of the executive officers annual compensation is also linked to the short-term success of the Company in order to motivate and reward executives to achieve Company objectives and to attract and retain talented executives.

Proposal

At last year s annual meeting, the Board of Directors recommended stockholders approve holding a say-on-pay vote every year. Our stockholders supported that recommendation. Accordingly, we will hold a say-on-pay vote annually until the 2017 annual meeting when stockholders will be asked to vote again on how frequently we should hold the say-on-pay Vote.

The Company is presenting this proposal, which gives you as a stockholder the opportunity to express your view on our executive compensation by voting for or against the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and other narrative executive compensation disclosures contained in the Company s 2012 Proxy Statement, is hereby **APPROVED**.

Position of Board of Directors

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

BY VOTING **FOR** THE ABOVE PROPOSAL.

As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors believes that the executive compensation for the year ended December 31, 2011, is reasonable and appropriate, is justified by the performance of the Company and is the result of a carefully considered approach.

Effect of Vote

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors; however, we value stockholders opinions, and we will consider the outcome of the Say-on-Pay vote when determining future executive compensation arrangements.

Table of Contents**Proposal 3 - RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee has selected the independent registered public accounting firm of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. to audit the financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012. Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2011. Although the Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, termination and oversight of the independent registered public accounting firm, we are requesting, as a matter of good corporate governance, that the stockholders ratify the appointment of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. as our independent registered public accounting firm. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A., the appointment of auditors will be reconsidered by the Board of Directors.

It is expected that representatives of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. will be present at the meeting and will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, as well as to respond to appropriate questions from our stockholders.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE **FOR** RATIFICATION OF THE

APPOINTMENT OF FERLITA, WALSH & GONZALEZ, P.A. AS THE COMPANY'S

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2012.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S FEES

The following table presents aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, and fees billed for other services rendered by them during those periods.

	2011	2010
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 169,926	\$ 108,725
Audit-Related Fees (2)	\$ 26,903	\$
Tax Fees	\$	\$
All Other Fees	\$	\$
Total	\$ 196,829	\$ 108,725

- (1) *Audit Fees* - Fees for professional services performed by Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-Q filings, and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) *Audit-Related Fees* - Fees billed to the Company for services that are not reported under Audit Fees, which include audit work performed on capital raise costs for 2011.

Independence of Principal Accountant and Other Audit Committee Considerations

The Audit Committee reviews at least annually the independent auditors' qualifications, performance and independence including that of the lead partner. On January 6, 2012, our Audit Committee received written confirmation from Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. that the firm is independent of the Company in compliance with PCAOB Rule 3526 and within the meaning of the federal securities laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL POLICY

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm may not be engaged to provide non-audit services that are prohibited by law or regulation to be provided by it, nor may the Company's principal accountant be engaged to provide any other non-audit service unless it is determined that the engagement of the principal accountant provides a business benefit resulting from its inherent knowledge of the Company while not impairing its independence. The Audit Committee must pre-approve the engagement of the Company's principal accountant to provide both audit and permissible non-audit services. No non-audit services were provided by the independent registered public accounting firm during the past two fiscal years.

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Stockholder proposals intended to be presented pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received at the offices of the Company, 5215 West Laurel Street, Tampa, Florida 33607, on or before January 3, 2013, in order to be considered for inclusion in the Company's 2013 proxy statement for that meeting.

GREGORY P. STEMME
Chief Executive Officer and Board Member
May 3, 2012

Table of Contents

ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC.
5215 WEST LAUREL STREET
TAMPA, FL 33607

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on June 17, 2012. Have your proxy card in hand with the 12 Digit Control Number available when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on June 17, 2012. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

**KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
 DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY**

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:	For	Withhold	For All Except	To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.
	All	All	..	
1. Election of Directors	
Nominees				

Edgar Filing: ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION INC - Form DEF 14A

01 Bradford B. Baker 02 Max H. Cohen 03 Mark D. Gordon 04 David J. Saul 05 Jon D. Sawyer
 06 Gregory P. Stemm

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

For Against Abstain

2	Non-binding advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.	"	"	"
3	Ratification of the Appointment of Ferlita, Walsh & Gonzalez, P.A. as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.	"	"	"

NOTE: To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN ~~BOX~~]

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

MEETING LOCATION:

Wyndham Hotel - Tampa

Princess Palm Room

700 North Westshore Boulevard

Tampa, FL 33609

DIRECTIONS:

One block North of I-275

at the corner of Westshore Boulevard & Cypress Street

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Annual Report on Form 10-K, Notice & Proxy Statement, Stockholder Letter is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.

ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

June 18, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. EDT

This Proxy is Solicited on Behalf of the Board of Directors

The stockholder(s) hereby appoint(s) Gregory P. Stemm and Bradford B. Baker or either of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of Common Stock of ODYSSEY MARINE EXPLORATION, INC. that the stockholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholder(s) to be held at 9:30 a.m., EDT on June 18, 2012, at the Wyndham Hotel-Tampa, Princess Palm Room, 700 North Westshore Boulevard, Tampa, Florida 33609, and any adjournment thereof, and to vote in accordance with their discretion on such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN. IF NO SUCH DIRECTION IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDATIONS.

Continued and to be signed on reverse side