

APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC
Form DEF 14A
September 11, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

b No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

1 APPLIED PLAZA

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115

(216) 426-4000

www.applied.com

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Tuesday, October 27, 2015

10:00 a.m. Eastern Time

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to invite you to the 2015 annual meeting of the shareholders of Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc. The meeting will be at our headquarters, 1 Applied Plaza, East 36th Street and Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, 44115 on Tuesday, October 27, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time. The meeting will be held for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors;
 2. To approve, through a nonbinding advisory vote, the compensation of Applied's named executive officers as disclosed in the attached proxy statement;
 3. To approve the 2015 Long-Term Performance Plan; and
 4. To ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.
- Shareholders of record at the close of business on August 28, 2015, are entitled to vote at the meeting. The transfer books will not be closed. A list of shareholders as of the record date will be available for examination at the meeting.

The attached proxy statement describes the business of the meeting and provides information about our corporate governance.

Fred D. Bauer

Vice President-General Counsel

& Secretary

September 11, 2015

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT! WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE PROMPTLY VOTE BY TELEPHONE, VIA THE INTERNET, OR BY EXECUTING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE PROVIDED. VOTING EARLY WILL HELP AVOID ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION COSTS.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Shareholder Meeting to be Held on October 27, 2015.

The Proxy Statement and 2015 Annual Report to Shareholders are available at

www.applied.com/proxy

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

CONTENTS

<u>Introduction and Voting Information</u>	2
<u>Item 1 Election of Directors</u>	4
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance Documents</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>Director Attendance at Meetings</u>	8
<u>Meetings of Non-Management Directors</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	8
<u>Committees</u>	8
<u>Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	9
<u>Communications with Board of Directors</u>	10
<u>Director Nominations</u>	10
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	10
<u>Director Compensation</u>	11
<u>Compensation Review</u>	11
<u>Components of Compensation Program</u>	11
<u>Stock Ownership Guideline</u>	12
<u>Director Compensation Table</u>	12
<u>Holdings of Major Shareholders, Officers, and Directors</u>	13
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	14
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	14
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	26
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	28
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table</u>	29
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u>	30
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	30
<u>Pension Plans</u>	31
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	33
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	41
<u>Item 2 Advisory (Nonbinding) Vote to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	41
<u>Item 3 Approval of 2015 Long-Term Performance Plan</u>	43
<u>Item 4 Ratification of Auditors</u>	47
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	48
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	48
<u>Shareholder Proposals and Nominee Submissions for 2016 Annual Meeting</u>	48
<u>Householding Information</u>	48
<u>Other Matters</u>	49
<u>Appendix 2015 Long-Term Performance Plan</u>	A-1

Table of Contents

2

INTRODUCTION AND VOTING INFORMATION

In this statement, we, our, us, and Applied refer to Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc., an Ohio corporation. Our common stock, without par value, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange with the ticker symbol AIT.

What is the proxy statement's purpose?

The proxy statement summarizes information you need to vote at our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on Tuesday, October 27, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time, at our headquarters, and any adjournment of that meeting. We are sending the proxy statement to you because Applied's Board of Directors is soliciting your proxy to vote your shares at the meeting. The proxy statement and accompanying proxy card are being sent to record date shareholders on or about September 11, 2015.

On what matters are shareholders voting?

1. To elect three directors;
2. To approve, through a nonbinding advisory vote, the compensation of Applied's named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement;
3. To approve the 2015 Long-Term Performance Plan; and
4. To ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

Who may vote and what constitutes a quorum at the meeting?

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on August 28, 2015, may vote. As of that date, there were 39,626,869 outstanding shares of Applied common stock, without par value. The holders of a majority of those shares will constitute a quorum. A quorum is necessary for valid action to be taken at the meeting.

We have no class or series of shares outstanding other than our common stock.

How many votes do I have?

Each shareholder is entitled to one vote per share.

How do I vote?

The answer depends on whether you hold shares directly in your name, or through a broker, trustee, or other nominee, such as a bank.

Shareholder of record. If your shares are registered in your name with our registrar, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are the shareholder of record and these proxy materials have been sent directly to you. You may vote in person at the meeting. You may also grant us your proxy to vote your shares by telephone, via the Internet, or by mailing your signed proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. The card provides voting instructions.

Beneficial owner. If your shares are held in a brokerage account, by a trustee, or by another nominee, then that other person is considered the shareholder of record. We sent these proxy materials to that person, and they have been forwarded to you with a voting instructions card. As the shares' beneficial owner, you may direct your broker, trustee, or other nominee how to vote, and you are also invited to attend the meeting. Please refer to the information your broker, trustee, or other nominee provided to see what voting options are available to you.

Beneficial owner of shares held in Applied's Retirement Savings Plan. If you own shares in this company plan, you may provide the plan's trustee with instructions on how to vote your shares by telephone, via the Internet, or by mailing in your signed voting instructions card.

Votes submitted by telephone or online for shares held in the Retirement Savings Plan must be received by Thursday, October 22, 2015; votes by telephone or online for other shares must be received by Monday, October 26, 2015.

If you attend the meeting and vote in person, a ballot will be available when you arrive. If, however, your shares are held in the name of your broker, trustee, or other nominee, you must bring a valid proxy from that party giving you the right to vote the shares.

Table of Contents

What if I don't indicate my voting choices?

If Applied receives your proxy in time to use at the meeting, your shares will be voted according to your instructions. If you have not indicated otherwise on the proxy, your shares will be voted as the Board of Directors recommends on the matters identified above. In addition, the proxies will vote your shares according to their judgment on other matters properly brought before the meeting.

What effect do abstentions and broker non-votes have?

Brokers holding shares for beneficial owners must vote the shares according to the owners' instructions. If instructions are not received, then brokers may vote the shares at their discretion, except if New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules preclude brokers from exercising discretion relative to a specific type of proposal - this results in a broker non-vote.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will affect voting at the meeting as follows:

Item 1. Broker non-votes will not impact the vote's outcome because, pursuant to Ohio law, the properly nominated candidates receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected.

Item 2. Approval of the company's executive compensation requires that more votes be cast for than against the proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome.

Item 3. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting is required to approve Item 3. In determining votes cast on the item, abstentions and broker non-votes will not count as votes cast and, accordingly, will not affect the outcome.

Item 4. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting is required to approve Item 4. In determining votes cast on the item, abstentions will not count as votes cast and, accordingly, will not affect the outcome. Brokers have discretionary authority to vote on Item 4, so there will be no broker non-votes on that item.

What happens if a director candidate receives less than a majority of the votes cast?

Applied has a majority voting policy applicable to uncontested director elections. If a nominee receives a greater number of votes withheld than votes for his or her election, then promptly following certification of the shareholder vote the nominee shall submit, in writing, to

the Board's Chairman, his or her resignation as a director. The Chairman shall promptly communicate the submission to the Board's Corporate Governance Committee. Notwithstanding the resignation, the Corporate Governance Committee may recommend to the Board that the nominee be asked to serve as a director for his or her term of election and under such arrangements as are approved by the committee. If the committee fails to make such a recommendation within 30 days following certification of the shareholder vote, or if the committee earlier determines to accept the resignation, the director's resignation shall be effective as of that date. If the Corporate Governance Committee recommends the director be asked to serve his or her term notwithstanding the majority withheld vote, the Board shall act promptly (and in any event, within 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote) on the recommendation.

Additional information about the policy is included in Applied's Board of Directors Governance Principles and Practices, available via hyperlink from the investor relations area of Applied's website at www.applied.com.

What does it mean if I receive multiple sets of proxy materials?

Receiving multiple sets usually means your shares are held in different names or different accounts. Please respond to all of the proxy solicitation requests to ensure your shares are voted.

May I revoke my proxy?

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the meeting by notifying Applied's Secretary in writing, voting a second time by telephone or via the Internet, returning a later-dated proxy card, or voting in person. Your presence at the meeting will not by itself revoke the proxy.

Who pays the costs of soliciting proxies?

Applied pays these costs. We will also pay the standard charges and expenses of brokers or other nominees for forwarding these materials to, and obtaining proxies from, beneficial owners. Directors, officers, and other employees, acting on our behalf, may solicit proxies. We have also retained Morrow & Co., LLC, at an estimated fee of \$7,500 plus expenses, to aid in soliciting proxies from brokers and institutional holders. In addition to using the mail, proxies may be solicited personally and by telephone, facsimile, or other electronic means.

Who counts the votes?

Computershare Trust Company, N.A., will be the inspector of election and tabulate votes.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Applied's Code of Regulations divides our Board into three classes. The directors in each class are elected for three-year terms so that the term of one class expires at each annual meeting. At the 2015 annual meeting, the shareholders will elect directors for a three-year term expiring in 2018 or until their successors have been elected and qualified. Pursuant to Ohio law, the properly nominated candidates receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected.

The Corporate Governance Committee recommended, and the Board nominated, three incumbents for election as directors: Peter A. Dorsman, Vincent K. Petrella, and Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton. They were most recently elected at the 2012 annual meeting and their terms expire this year. The Board renominated them following the Corporate Governance Committee's review and evaluation of their performance. J. Michael Moore, a member of the same class, will retire at the annual meeting. The Board currently intends to reduce its size to nine directors following his retirement. Directors serving for terms expiring in 2016 and 2017 will continue in office.

The proxies named on the proxy card accompanying the materials sent to shareholders of record intend to vote for the three nominees unless authority is withheld. If a nominee becomes unavailable to serve, the proxies will have authority to vote for any other person or persons who may be properly nominated and/or to reduce the number of directors. We are not aware of an existing circumstance that would cause a nominee to be unavailable to serve.

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the director nominees.

Below is background information about the nominees and the continuing directors. Unless otherwise stated, the individuals have held the positions indicated for at least the last five years. We also include a summary of reasons our Board concluded that the respective director or nominee should serve as a director, in light of our business and governance structure. The summaries are not comprehensive, but describe the primary experiences, attributes, and skills that the Board believes qualify the individuals to continue as directors. In addition to the qualifications referred to below, we believe each individual has a reputation for integrity, honesty, and high ethical standards, and has demonstrated strong business judgment.

Nominees for Election as Directors with Terms Expiring in 2018

Peter A. Dorsman

Director since 2002, member of Corporate Governance and Executive Organization & Compensation Committees

Business Experience. Mr. Dorsman, age 60, retired from NCR Corporation (NYSE: NCR) in April 2014. NCR is a global technology company providing assisted and self-service solutions and comprehensive support services that address the needs of retail, financial, hospitality, technology, and telecommunication organizations throughout the world. As Executive Vice President, Services since July 2012, Mr. Dorsman led NCR Services, a leading global provider of outsourced and managed service offerings. He was also responsible for customer experience, continuous improvement, and quality throughout NCR, serving as Chief Quality Officer during this period. He served as NCR's Executive Vice President, Industry Solutions Group and Global Operations from November 2011 to July 2012, and, before then, Senior Vice President, Global Operations.

Qualifications. Mr. Dorsman has broad experience in marketing, sales, strategy, and operations. At NCR, a \$6 billion dollar company, he led 11,000 service professionals serving customers in over 90 countries. He also led NCR's efforts to provide consistent, world-class service delivery, products, and solutions. With his diverse background and expertise, he contributes insights about many aspects of our business operations and initiatives.

Table of Contents

Vincent K. Petrella

Director since 2012, member of Audit and Executive Committees

Business Experience. Mr. Petrella, age 55, is Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. (NASDAQ: LECO). Lincoln Electric engages in the design, manufacture, and sale of welding, cutting, and brazing products worldwide.

Qualifications. As one of Lincoln Electric's top executives, Mr. Petrella has helped lead the company's global expansion over the last decade. His leadership and operating experience, and his knowledge of industrial distribution in North America and abroad, make him a key contributor to discussions about Applied's strategy. In addition, Mr. Petrella's finance and accounting background (before joining Lincoln Electric he was a Certified Public Accountant with an international public accounting firm) and his service as Chief Financial Officer for a multi-billion dollar public company make him a valued member of the Board and chairman of the Audit Committee.

Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton

Director since 1994, member of Audit and Corporate Governance Committees

Business Experience. Dr. Thornton, age 68, retired in June 2013 after serving as President of Cuyahoga Community College, the largest multi-campus community college in Ohio, for more than 20 years. Upon her retirement, Cuyahoga Community College honored her with the title of President Emeritus.

Other Directorships in Previous 5 Years. Barnes & Noble Education, Inc. (NYSE: BNED; since 2015); FirstEnergy Corp. (NYSE: FE; since 2015), RPM, Inc. (NYSE: RPM), American Greetings Corporation (formerly NYSE: AM; until 2013)

Qualifications. Dr. Thornton is a preeminent educator with significant experience in career training. Our workforce is our most important resource, and her background and skills help the Board monitor Applied's efforts to maximize our associates' potential. Having served as Cuyahoga Community College's longtime President, overseeing a budget of over \$330 million, she also contributes broad general management skills to Applied's Board. In addition, Dr. Thornton has extensive service as a director of other NYSE-listed companies, including participation on numerous key board committees.

Continuing Directors with Terms Expiring in 2016

L. Thomas Hiltz

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Director since 1981, member of Corporate Governance and Executive Committees

Business Experience. Mr. Hiltz, age 69, is an attorney in Covington, Kentucky and is one of five trustees of the H.C.S. Foundation, a charitable trust which has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 500,000 shares (as of June 30, 2015) of Applied stock.

Qualifications. Mr. Hiltz's background as a practicing lawyer and fiduciary includes diverse experience with business transactions, including mergers and acquisitions, and board governance. In addition to service as a director of Great American Financial Resources, Inc., a public company prior to its acquisition by American Financial Group, Inc., he has served as a director of numerous private companies, some with significant minority shareholder bases, and led those boards in overseeing large corporate transactions. Mr. Hiltz also is the Board's longest-serving member, contributing to its deliberations an institutional memory stretching back several generations of executive teams.

Table of Contents

Edith Kelly-Green

Director since 2002, member of Audit and Corporate Governance Committees

Business Experience. Until retiring in 2003, Ms. Kelly-Green, age 62, was Vice President and Chief Sourcing Officer of FedEx Express, the world's largest express transportation company and a subsidiary of FedEx Corporation (NYSE: FDX).

Qualifications. Ms. Kelly-Green has significant procurement and logistics experience from her service with FedEx Express, where she was successful in designing and enhancing the company's extensive internal supply chain processes. Because Applied is a distributor, the processes of buying, inventorying, and transporting products are critical to our business. In addition, her career began in the field of accounting as a Certified Public Accountant with an international public accounting firm and she served as Vice President-Internal Audit with FedEx Corporation. Ms. Kelly-Green's skills and background in these areas make her well-suited for our company and Board.

Dan P. Komnenovich

Director since 2012, member of Audit and Corporate Governance Committees

Business Experience. Mr. Komnenovich, age 63, was President and Chief Executive Officer of Aviall, Inc. from 2010 until his retirement in August 2013. Aviall, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Boeing Company (NYSE: BA), is one of the world's largest providers of new aviation parts and related aftermarket operations. It also provides maintenance for aviation batteries, wheels, and brakes, as well as hose assembly, kitting, and paint-mixing services, and offers a complete set of supply chain and logistics services, including order processing, stocking and fulfillment, automated inventory management, and reverse logistics to OEMs and customers. From 2000 until 2010, he was Aviall's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer.

Qualifications. Mr. Komnenovich led a global multi-billion dollar distribution company which grew significantly during his service as a senior executive. He brings to our Board extensive experience with distribution sales, marketing, operations, supply chain management, and logistics. Earlier in his career, Mr. Komnenovich was a Certified Public Accountant and served in finance and accounting roles with various companies.

Continuing Directors with Terms Expiring in 2017

John F. Meier

Director since 2005, member of Executive Organization & Compensation Committee

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Business Experience. Until his retirement in 2011, Mr. Meier, age 67, was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Libbey Inc. (NYSE Amex: LBY), a leading supplier of glass tableware products in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico, in addition to supplying to other key international markets. From December 2011 through October 2014 he served as Applied's Board Chairman.

Other Directorships in Previous 5 Years. Cooper Tire & Rubber Company (NYSE: CTB), Libbey Inc. (until 2011)

Qualifications. Mr. Meier served as Libbey's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for 18 years, leading the company through significant business acquisitions and international expansion. He brings to the Board broad general management and marketing experience, including considerable experience working with distributors in markets throughout the world. He also contributes knowledge and skills acquired through service on other public company boards.

Table of Contents

Neil A. Schrimsher

Director since 2011, member of Executive Committee

Business Experience. Mr. Schrimsher, age 51, joined Applied as our Chief Executive Officer in October 2011 and was also elected President in August 2013. From 2010 to August 2011, Mr. Schrimsher was Executive Vice President of Cooper Industries plc (formerly NYSE: CBE), a global electrical products manufacturer, where he led Cooper's Electrical Products Group and headed numerous domestic and international growth initiatives. He was President of Cooper Lighting, Inc. throughout the period from 2006 to December 2010.

Other Directorship in Previous 5 Years. Patterson Companies, Inc. (NASDAQ: PDCO; since 2014)

Qualifications. Mr. Schrimsher is the only Applied executive to serve on the Board. Since joining Applied, he has acquired a deep understanding of the company's businesses, markets, and competitive landscape. From his prior employment, Mr. Schrimsher has brought to Applied and its Board broad leadership experience, including management of worldwide operations, distribution management, strategic planning and analysis, manufacturing, engineering, supply chain management, and sourcing.

Peter C. Wallace

Director since 2005, Board Chairman since October 2014, member of Executive Organization & Compensation and Executive Committees

Business Experience. Mr. Wallace, age 61, was elected Chief Executive Officer of Gardner Denver, Inc. in June 2014. Gardner Denver is a privately owned worldwide manufacturer of highly engineered products, including compressors, liquid ring pumps, and blowers for various industrial, medical, environmental, transportation, and process applications, pumps used in the petroleum and industrial market segments, and other fluid transfer equipment. Prior to joining Gardner Denver, Mr. Wallace was President and Chief Executive Officer, and a director, of Robbins & Myers, Inc. (formerly NYSE: RBN), from 2004 until it was acquired in February 2013 by National Oilwell Varco, Inc. Robbins & Myers was a leading designer, manufacturer, and marketer of highly engineered, application-critical equipment and systems for energy, chemical, pharmaceutical, and industrial markets worldwide.

Other Directorships in Previous 5 Years. Rogers Corporation (NYSE: ROG), Robbins & Myers, Inc. (until 2013), Parker Drilling Company (NYSE: PKD; from 2013 to 2014)

Qualifications. Mr. Wallace has a wide and varied background as a senior executive in global industrial equipment manufacturing. He brings to the Board the perspective of someone familiar with all facets of worldwide business operations, including the experience of leading a NYSE-listed company. Mr. Wallace's career includes positions with

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

global responsibilities for equipment manufacturers with product lines that Applied (and others) represented as a distributor in the fluid power and power transmission component fields. In those roles, he developed significant knowledge about Applied's industry, including the dynamics of the relationships between industrial product manufacturers and their distributors. These experiences and knowledge, along with his service on other NYSE-listed company boards, enhance Mr. Wallace's contributions and value to our Board.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Documents

Applied's Internet address is www.applied.com. The following corporate governance documents are available free of charge via hyperlink from the website's investor relations area:

Code of Business Ethics,

Board of Directors Governance Principles and Practices,

Director Independence Standards, and

Charters for the Audit, Corporate Governance, and Executive Organization & Compensation Committees of our Board.

Director Independence

Under the NYSE corporate governance listing standards, a majority of Applied's directors must satisfy the NYSE criteria for independence. In addition to having to satisfy stated minimum requirements, no director qualifies under the standards unless the Board affirmatively determines the director has no material relationship with Applied. In assessing a relationship's materiality, the Board has adopted categorical standards, which may be found via hyperlink from our website's investor relations area.

The Board has determined that all directors other than Mr. Schrimsher, our President & Chief Executive Officer, meet these independence standards.

Director Attendance at Meetings

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the Board held five meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board and the committees on which he or she served.

Applied expects directors to attend the annual meeting of shareholders, just as they are expected to attend Board meetings. All the directors attended last year's annual meeting.

Meetings of Non-Management Directors

At the Board's regular meetings, the non-management directors meet in executive sessions without management. Mr. Wallace, the Board's independent Chairman, calls and presides at the sessions. On the independent directors' behalf, the Chairman provides feedback to management from the sessions, collaborates with management in developing Board meeting schedules and agendas, and performs other duties as determined by the Board or the Corporate Governance Committee.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board periodically evaluates its leadership structure under circumstances existing at the time. In fiscal 2012, the Board concluded it would be in the best interests of Applied and its shareholders to separate the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and to have an independent director serve as Chairman. Mr. Wallace currently serves as Chairman.

The Board believes its current leadership structure best serves the Board's oversight of management, the Board's carrying out of its responsibilities on the shareholders' behalf, and Applied's overall corporate governance. The Board also believes the separation of the roles allows the Chief Executive Officer to focus his efforts on operating and managing the company.

Committees

The Board's Audit, Corporate Governance, and Executive Organization & Compensation Committees are composed solely of independent directors, as defined in NYSE listing standards and Applied's categorical standards, and, in the case of the Audit Committee, under federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

The committee members' names and number of meetings held in fiscal 2015 follow:

Corporate Governance Committee		
Audit Committee	(4 meetings)	Executive Organization & Compensation Committee
(5 meetings)	L. Thomas Hiltz, Chair	(5 meetings)
Vincent K. Petrella, Chair	Peter A. Dorsman	Peter C. Wallace, Chair
Edith Kelly-Green	Edith Kelly-Green	Peter A. Dorsman
Dan P. Komnenovich	Dan P. Komnenovich	John F. Meier
Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton	Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton	J. Michael Moore

We describe the committees below. Their charters, posted via hyperlink from the investor relations area of Applied's website, contain more detailed descriptions. The Board also has a standing Executive Committee which, during intervals between Board meetings and subject to the Board's control and direction, possesses and may exercise the Board's powers. The Executive Committee, whose members include the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer, and the committee chairs, did not meet in fiscal 2015.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility with respect to the integrity of Applied's accounting, auditing, and reporting processes. The committee appoints, determines the compensation of, evaluates, and oversees the work of the independent auditor, reviews the auditor's independence, and approves non-audit work to be performed by the auditor. The committee also reviews, with management and the auditor, annual and quarterly financial statements, the scope of the independent and internal audit programs, audit results, and the adequacy of Applied's internal accounting and financial controls.

The Board has determined that each Audit Committee member is independent for purposes of section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that Mr. Petrella, Ms. Kelly-Green, and Mr. Komnenovich are audit committee financial experts, as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation S-K.

The Audit Committee's report is on page 48 of this proxy statement.

Corporate Governance Committee. The Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board by reviewing and evaluating potential director nominees, Board and CEO performance, Board governance, director compensation, compliance with laws, public policy matters, and other issues. The committee also administers long-term incentive awards to directors under the 2011 Long-Term Performance Plan.

Executive Organization & Compensation Committee. The Executive Organization & Compensation Committee monitors and oversees Applied's management succession planning and leadership development processes, nominates candidates for the slate of officers to be elected by the Board, and reviews, evaluates, and approves executive officers' compensation and benefits. The committee also administers incentive awards to executives under the 2011 Long-Term Performance Plan, including the annual Management Incentive Plan. Pay Governance LLC serves as the committee's independent executive compensation consultant.

In approving executive officers' compensation and benefits, the committee bases its decisions on a number of considerations, including the following: the committee's own reasoned judgment; peer group and market survey information; recommendations provided by the independent consultant; and recommendations from Applied's Chief Executive Officer as to the other executive officers' compensation and benefits.

For more information on the committee, please read, beginning on page 14, the Compensation Discussion and Analysis portion of this proxy statement.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Risk is inherent in every enterprise, and Applied faces many risks of varying size and intensity. While management is responsible for day-to-day management of those risks, the Board, as a whole and through its committees, oversees and monitors risk management. In this role, the Board is responsible for determining that the risk management processes designed and implemented by management are adequate and functioning as designed.

The Board believes that robust communication with management is essential for risk management oversight. Senior management attends quarterly Board meetings and responds to directors' questions or concerns about risk management and

Table of Contents

other matters. At these meetings, management regularly presents to the Board on strategic matters involving our operations, and the directors and management engage in dialogue about the company's strategies, challenges, risks, and opportunities. The non-management directors also meet regularly in executive session without management to discuss a variety of topics, including risk.

While the Board is ultimately responsible for risk oversight, the committees assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibility in the areas described below, with each committee chair presenting reports to the Board regarding the committee's deliberations and actions.

The Audit Committee assists with respect to risk management in the areas of financial reporting, internal controls, and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Executive Organization & Compensation Committee assists with respect to management of risks related to executive succession and arising from our executive compensation policies and programs.

The Corporate Governance Committee assists with respect to management of risks associated with Board organization and membership, and other corporate governance matters, as well as company culture and ethical compliance.

We have assessed the risks arising from Applied's compensation policies and practices for employees, including the executive officers. The findings were reviewed with the Executive Organization & Compensation Committee. Based on the assessment, we believe our compensation policies and practices do not encourage excessive risk-taking and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Applied.

Communications with Board of Directors

Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate with a director by writing to that individual c/o Applied's Secretary at 1 Applied Plaza, Cleveland, Ohio 44115. In addition, they may contact the non-management directors or key Board committees by e-mail, anonymously if desired, through a form located in the investor relations area of Applied's website at www.applied.com. The Board has instructed Applied's Secretary to review these communications and to exercise judgment not to forward correspondence such as routine business inquiries and complaints, business solicitations, and frivolous communications.

Director Nominations

In identifying and evaluating director candidates, the Corporate Governance Committee first considers Applied's developing needs and desired characteristics of a new director, as determined from time to time by the committee. The committee then considers various candidate attributes, including the following: business, strategic, and financial skills; independence, integrity, and time availability; diversity of gender, race, and other personal characteristics; and overall experience in the context of the Board's needs. From time to time, the committee engages a professional search firm, to which it pays a fee, to assist in identifying and evaluating potential nominees.

The committee will also consider qualified director candidates recommended by shareholders. Shareholders can submit recommendations by writing to Applied's Secretary at 1 Applied Plaza, Cleveland, Ohio 44115. For consideration by the committee in the annual director nominating process, shareholders must submit recommendations at least 120 days prior to the anniversary of the date on which our proxy statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. Shareholders must include appropriate detail regarding the shareholder's identity and the candidate's business, professional, and educational background, diversity considerations, and independence. The committee does not intend to evaluate candidates proposed by shareholders differently than other candidates.

Transactions with Related Persons

Applied's Code of Business Ethics expresses the principle that situations presenting a conflict of interest must be avoided. In furtherance of this principle, the Board has adopted a written policy, administered by the Corporate Governance Committee, for the review and approval, or ratification, of transactions with related persons.

The related party transaction policy applies to a proposed transaction in which Applied is a participant, the aggregate amount involved exceeds \$50,000 in a fiscal year, and a director, executive officer or significant shareholder, or an immediate family member of such a person, has a direct or indirect material interest. The policy provides that the Corporate

Table of Contents

Governance Committee will consider, among other things, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than those provided to unaffiliated third parties under similar circumstances, and the extent of the related person's interest. No director may participate in discussion or approval of a transaction for which he or she is a related person.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Only non-employee directors receive compensation for service as directors. Mr. Schrimsher, our President & Chief Executive Officer, does not receive additional compensation for serving as a director.

Compensation Review

The Corporate Governance Committee reviews director compensation annually. The committee seeks to provide competitive compensation to assist with director retention and recruitment. If the committee believes a change is warranted to remain competitive considering the size and nature of our business, then the committee makes a recommendation to the Board of Directors.

The committee bases its recommendations on a number of considerations including market survey data, advice from outside experts, and the committee's own reasoned judgment. In general, the committee targets median director compensation levels for comparably sized companies in similar industries, considering also the time commitments required of directors. A majority of the directors must approve a change.

Management assists the committee by preparing and presenting analyses at its request, but does not play a role in determining or recommending the amount or form of director compensation.

Components of Compensation Program

In 2015, after considering the recommendations of the Corporate Governance Committee, the Board updated the director compensation program, largely eliminating meeting fees and raising retainers. The changes took effect January 1, 2015.

The program's primary components follow:

Quarterly Retainers.

Position	Quarterly Retainer (\$)
Each Non-Employee Director	20,000
Board Chairman	Additional 7,500
Audit Committee Chair	Additional 3,750
Corporate Governance Committee Chair	Additional 1,875
Executive Org. & Comp. Committee Chair	Additional 2,500

Meeting Fees. Directors are not paid meeting fees for the first 17 meetings, including actions taken by unanimous written consent, attended each calendar year. For each meeting attended beyond the 17, directors earn (i) \$1,500 for the first Board or committee meeting attended per day, and \$500 for each additional meeting attended on the same day, up to a maximum of \$2,500 per day, and (ii) \$500 for an action taken by unanimous written consent. Directors may be similarly compensated if they attend other meetings or telephone conferences at the request of the Chairman or a committee chair.

Long-Term Incentives. Annually, the Corporate Governance Committee considers long-term incentive awards to directors. In 2015, the committee awarded each director 3,114 stock options and 927 restricted shares under the 2011 Long-Term Performance Plan. The stock options' exercise price is the closing market price for Applied stock on the grant date. Options are exercisable immediately and expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date. Restricted shares vest one year after the grant date, subject to conditions as to forfeiture and acceleration of vesting.

Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Pursuant to this plan, a director could defer payment of future retainer and meeting fees. Deferred compensation was deemed invested, at a director's option, in Applied stock and/or a money market fund, which earns interest at the prevailing market rate. Applied transferred the amount deferred, in either cash or treasury shares (depending on the option chosen), to a grantor trust.

Table of Contents

Effective with calendar 2015, no new deferrals are accepted. For calendar 2014, however, two directors deferred all or a portion of their retainer and meeting fees and elected to invest the funds in Applied stock.

In general, distribution of a plan account commences in the manner lump sum or up to 10 annual installments and at the time designated in the director's election form. The plan prohibits accelerating distributions.

Other Benefits. Applied reimburses directors for travel expenses for attending meetings, as well as for attending director education seminars and conferences. The directors also participate in our travel accident insurance plan. Contributory health care coverage, through a third-party plan, is available to directors who joined the Board before 2011; no directors currently participate.

Stock Ownership Guideline

Applied expects each non-employee director to own, within five years after joining the Board, Applied shares valued at a minimum of five times the annual retainer fees, or \$400,000. Directors may hold the shares directly or indirectly, including shares deemed invested in the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, but not including unexercised stock options. At June 30, 2015, each director, except two who joined the Board in 2012, owned shares valued in excess of the \$400,000 guideline.

Director Compensation Fiscal Year 2015

The following table shows information about non-employee director compensation in 2015.

Name	Fees Earned	Stock Awards	Option Awards	All Other	Total
	or Paid in Cash			Compensation	
	(\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$) (2)	(\$)	(\$)
Thomas A. Commes (3)	33,000	0	0	0	33,000
Peter A. Dorsman	73,000	37,683	37,312	0	147,995
L. Thomas Hiltz	80,500	37,683	37,312	0	155,495
Edith Kelly-Green	73,000	37,683	37,312	0	147,995
Dan P. Komnenovich	73,000	37,683	37,312	0	147,995
John F. Meier	88,000	37,683	37,312	0	162,995
J. Michael Moore	73,500	37,683	37,312	0	148,495
Vincent K. Petrella	83,750	37,683	37,312	0	158,745
Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton	70,500	37,683	37,312	0	145,495
Peter C. Wallace	97,250	37,683	37,312	0	172,245

- (1) At June 30, 2015, each current non-employee director held 927 restricted shares. These shares will vest in January 2016. Applied pays dividends on restricted stock at the same rate paid to all shareholders and the directors hold voting rights for the shares. The amounts in the table represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the 2015 awards computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718).
- (2) At June 30, 2015, the current directors held the corresponding numbers of stock options: Mr. Dorsman 22,431; Mr. Hiltz 33,223; Ms. Kelly-Green 33,223; Mr. Komnenovich 9,510; Mr. Meier 33,223; Mr. Moore 23,994; Mr. Petrella 9,510; Dr. Thornton 33,223; and Mr. Wallace 25,213. In 2015, the Corporate Governance Committee awarded 3,114 stock options to each non-employee director. The amounts in the table represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the 2015 awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (3) Mr. Commes retired from the Board in October 2014.

Table of Contents**HOLDINGS OF MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS, OFFICERS, AND DIRECTORS**

The following table shows beneficial ownership of Applied common stock, at June 30, 2015, by (i) persons believed by us to own beneficially more than 5% of Applied's outstanding shares, based on our review of SEC filings, (ii) all directors and nominees, (iii) the named executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26, and (iv) all directors, nominees, and executive officers (at June 30, 2015) as a group. Mr. Will separated from service with Applied in February 2015.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned on June 30, 2015 (1)	Percent of Class (%) (2)
Royce & Associates, LLC	4,395,966 (3)	11.0
745 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10151		
BlackRock, Inc.	3,549,729 (4)	8.9
55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022		
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	2,709,296 (5)	6.8
P.O. Box 2600, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania 19482-2600		
Neuberger Berman Group LLC	2,421,472 (6)	6.1
605 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10158		
Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan		
c/o Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,	2,080,812 (7)	5.2
901 Marquette Avenue, Suite 500, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		
Todd A. Barlett	56,884 (8)	
Fred D. Bauer	131,726	
Peter A. Dorsman	60,520	
Mark O. Eisele	236,948	
L. Thomas Hiltz	571,503 (9)	1.4
Edith Kelly-Green	67,230	
Dan P. Komnenovich	18,616	
Kurt W. Loring	7,529	
John F. Meier	60,170	
J. Michael Moore	86,500	
Vincent K. Petrella	16,127	
Neil A. Schrimsher	181,003	
Dr. Jerry Sue Thornton	90,229	
Peter C. Wallace	56,246	
Carl E. Will	0	
All Directors, Nominees, and Executive Officers as a Group (15 Individuals)	1,683,410 (10)	4.2

- (1) We determined beneficial ownership in accordance with SEC rules; however, the holders may disclaim beneficial ownership. Except as otherwise indicated, the beneficial owner has sole voting and dispositive power over the shares. The directors and named executive officers' totals include shares that could be acquired within 60 days after June 30, 2015, by exercising vested stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs): Mr. Barlett 33,275; Mr. Bauer 57,425; Mr. Dorsman 22,431; Mr. Eisele 82,025; Mr. Hiltz 33,223; Ms. Kelly-Green 33,223; Mr. Komnenovich 9,510; Mr. Loring 7,475; Mr. Meier 33,223; Mr. Moore 23,994; Mr. Petrella 9,510; Mr. Schrimsher 133,375; Dr. Thornton 33,223; and Mr. Wallace 25,213; and Mr. Will 0. The totals also include shares held in nonqualified deferred compensation plan accounts for which the beneficial owner has voting, but not dispositive power: Mr. Barlett 10,513; Mr. Dorsman 34,711; Mr. Eisele 7,380; Ms. Kelly-Green 4,395; Mr. Meier 9,271; Mr. Moore 27,910; Dr. Thornton 8,082; and Mr. Wallace 15,599. Each non-employee director's total also includes 927 restricted shares, for which the director has voting but not dispositive power. The executive officers' totals do not include unvested restricted stock unit holdings.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Does not show percent of class if less than 1%.
- (3) Royce & Associates, LLC, reported its ownership, including shares beneficially owned by affiliated entities, in a Form 13F filed with the SEC on August 10, 2015.
- (4) BlackRock, Inc. reported its ownership, including shares beneficially owned by affiliated entities, in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 22, 2015, indicating it had sole voting power for 3,451,202 shares and no voting power for the remaining shares.

Table of Contents

- (5) The Vanguard Group, Inc. reported its ownership, including shares beneficially owned by affiliated entities, in a Form 13F filed with the SEC on August 13, 2015, indicating it had sole voting and dispositive power for 3,100 shares, sole voting and shared dispositive power for 50,603 shares, no voting but sole dispositive power for 2,654,393 shares, and shared voting and shared dispositive power for 1,200 shares.
- (6) Neuberger Berman Group LLC reported its ownership, including shares beneficially owned by affiliated entities, in a Form 13F filed with the SEC on July 31, 2015, indicating it had sole voting and shared dispositive power for 2,401,760 shares, and no voting but shared dispositive power for 19,712 shares.
- (7) The trustee of the Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan, a tax-qualified defined contribution plan with an Internal Revenue Code section 401(k) feature, holds shares for the benefit of plan participants. Participants may vote shares allocated to their accounts and also vote on a pro rata basis, as named fiduciaries, plan shares for which no voting instructions are received.
- (8) Includes 100 shares owned by Mr. Barlett's wife, who has sole voting and dispositive power.
- (9) Includes 500,000 shares held by the H.C.S. Foundation, a charitable trust of which Mr. Hiltz is one of five trustees, with sole voting and dispositive power. Pursuant to a Schedule 13D filed by the H.C.S. Foundation in 1989, the trustees, including Mr. Hiltz, disclaimed beneficial ownership.
- (10) Includes 550,225 shares that could be acquired by the individuals within 60 days after June 30, 2015, by exercising vested stock options and SARs. In determining ownership percentage, these stock option and SAR shares are added to both the denominator and the numerator. Also includes 32,068 shares held by Applied's Retirement Savings Plan for the executive officers' benefit; these shares are included too in the figure shown for the plan's holdings.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis section provides details about the compensation program for Applied's executive officers. It describes the company's compensation philosophy and objectives, roles and responsibilities in making compensation decisions, the components of compensation, and the reasons for compensation adjustments, incentive payments, and long-term incentive grants made in fiscal year 2015.

It focuses in particular on 2015 compensation arrangements for the following executive officers (the named executive officers):

Neil A. Schrimsher, President & Chief Executive Officer

Mark O. Eisele, Vice President-Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer

Fred D. Bauer, Vice President-General Counsel & Secretary

Todd A. Barlett, Vice President-Acquisitions and Global Business Development

Kurt W. Loring, Vice President-Chief Human Resources Officer (joined Applied in July 2014)

Carl E. Will, former Chief Commercial Officer (separated from service with Applied in February 2015)

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the additional tables and narrative disclosure that follow it.

Unless otherwise noted, references to years in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement mean Applied's fiscal years ending on June 30.

Highlights of Compensation-Related Developments

The Board's Executive Organization & Compensation Committee (the Committee) seeks to align overall compensation with performance in order to maximize Applied's long-term shareholder return. With this objective, in 2015, focusing on the primary pay elements, the Committee took the following actions:

Base salary and annual incentive pay. After considering competitive market data and subjective factors, the Committee made routine annual adjustments to the executive officers' base salaries and annual incentive target values.

Long-term incentives. Emphasizing performance in Applied's incentive plans, the Committee awarded approximately half of each executive officer's targeted long-term incentive value in performance shares, tied to key company performance metrics. Stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) and restricted stock units (RSUs) each represented about one-quarter of the targeted long-term incentive value. Accordingly, all long-term incentive awards were equity-based.

Table of Contents

Goal-setting is critical to aligning incentive pay with performance, and Applied's 2015 incentive payouts reflect the Committee's discipline in this regard. Most goals set by the Committee at the beginning of the fiscal year, based on management's business plan, were higher than the results for 2014. However, with challenges presented in the second half of the year by decelerating industrial market demand, declines in oil and gas markets, and foreign exchange headwinds, company operating performance fell short of 2015 goals, as shown below:

	2015 Actual	2015 Goal	2014
Sales	\$2.75 billion		\$2.46 billion
Net Income	\$115.5 million	\$124.9 million	\$112.8 million
Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA)	\$228.6 million	\$246.7 million	\$194.2 million
Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$154.5 million	\$157.7 million	\$110.1 million
After-Tax Return on Assets (ROA)	7.9%	8.6%	10.2%

As a result, and despite improved performance versus 2014 by most measures, the executive officers earned annual incentive pay at 79.5% of individual target values. In addition, shares banked for 2015 under each of the performance share programs were below target values.

We believe that our compensation decisions, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, reflect a balanced and responsible pay approach. We also value shareholder opinion and, in performing its duties, the Committee considers the outcome of the annual advisory vote to approve the named executive officers' compensation. This vote is intended to provide an overall assessment of our executive compensation program rather than to focus on specific compensation items. We are pleased to have earned the shareholders' affirmation in 2014, with greater than 98% of the shares cast voting in favor. With this strong support, the Committee maintained the pay program's general structure for 2015.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

As with our overall business, Applied's primary goal in compensating our executive officers is maximizing long-term shareholder return. In pursuing this goal, we seek to design and to maintain a program that will accomplish the following:

- Attract and retain qualified and motivated executives by providing compensation that, at target performance, is competitive with our industry peers,

- Incent executives to achieve goals, and to take appropriate risks, consistent with Applied's business strategies, and

- Reward executives for results they influence that contribute to long-term shareholder value.

Applied is an industrial distributor in a mature market, with many companies offering the same or substantially similar products and services. In this environment, attracting and retaining talented key employees is critical to success. For this reason, while we aim to design the executive compensation program to support the successful execution of our strategy, we also examine our program's competitiveness with other distributors' programs. In addition, we consider trends and practices outside the industry to understand best practices and their potential implications for Applied.

Applied believes it is important for executives to focus on both short-term and long-term performance to maximize shareholder return. Accordingly, we provide annual and long-term incentive plans designed to align executives' interests with shareholders'.

Roles and Responsibilities

Executive Organization & Compensation Committee. The Committee is composed of independent directors and is responsible for the executive compensation program's design and implementation. The Committee's duties include the following:

- Setting compensation components and levels for the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers,

- Overseeing Applied's executive compensation and benefit plans, including approving incentive awards, and

- Approving incentive plan goals that use performance metrics and evaluating performance to determine whether goals have been achieved.

The Committee routinely receives tally sheets displaying updated data with respect to material components of each executive's compensation and benefits, and share retention analyses. These help the Committee make decisions with respect to each component in the context of total

compensation.

Table of Contents

Independent Compensation Consultant. Pay Governance LLC serves as the Committee's independent compensation consultant, assisting the Committee in the following:

- Establishing the executive compensation program's components,
- Analyzing the program's competitiveness, and
- Setting executive officers' annual target compensation levels, overall and by pay component.

Pay Governance is engaged by and reports directly to the Committee. The firm's representative directly interacts with the Committee chair between meetings and participates in meetings and performs assignments as requested. He also communicates with management to obtain information for completing assignments for the Committee. The firm submits its invoices to the Committee chair for approval and payment by Applied.

Except for a high-level director compensation review project for the Board's Corporate Governance Committee, Pay Governance performed no other work for Applied during the year and received no other compensation from Applied outside its engagement by the Committee. The Committee concluded, following a review of existing facts and circumstances, including factors specified in the NYSE's listing standards, that Pay Governance and its representative are independent from Applied's management and directors.

Management. While the Committee is responsible for the program's design and implementation, management assists the Committee in several ways.

Key executives attend portions of Committee meetings at its invitation. They prepare and present analyses at the Committee's request, and regularly report on Applied's performance. Our Chief Executive Officer also reports on the other executive officers' individual performance and offers recommendations regarding their pay. The Committee sets the executive officers' pay in executive session without management present.

Management assists the Committee's consultant by providing compensation data and other input and helping the consultant understand Applied's organizational structure, business plans, goals, and performance, and the competitive landscape. Management does not have its own executive compensation consultant.

Executive Compensation Program Overview

Structure. The compensation program for executive officers includes the following components:

- Base salary,
- Annual incentives,
- Long-term incentives,
- Qualified, nonqualified, and welfare plan benefits, and
- Change in control and termination benefits.

Base salary, annual incentives, and long-term incentives are the primary components. The Committee sets base salaries to be competitive with market medians for similar positions in peer distribution companies. Annual incentive pay rewards the achievement of annual earnings and cash flow goals, and incorporates an assessment of individual performance. Longer-term financial goals (including EBITDA and ROA), stock price appreciation, and executive retention are promoted through long-term incentive awards including performance shares, SARs, and RSUs. Target annual and long-term incentives aim to reflect market median practices of peers in order to deliver total target compensation in line with the medians of distribution peers. Actual incentive pay depends in large part on how Applied performs relative to its target performance goals and how its stock price performs in response. As a result, actual compensation from annual and long-term incentives can vary significantly based on the company's financial and stock price performance.

Applied's compensation practices reflect a pay-for-performance philosophy. A majority of the named executive officers' compensation is at risk and tied to company-wide performance. Moreover, incentive pay generally makes up a greater share of the overall opportunity for executives in more senior positions.

Applied also believes programs leading to equity ownership help align executives' interests with shareholders'. However, the long-term incentive program is structured to avoid excessive dilution, with annual share utilization targeted below 1% of shares outstanding – in fact, below 0.75% in

each of the last three fiscal years. The Committee periodically reviews share utilization in relation to market practices.

Table of Contents

The Committee generally determines each executive officer’s base salary, annual incentive target compensation (expressed as a percentage of base salary), and long-term incentive target compensation independently from the other primary components of compensation. Nevertheless, the Committee also reviews data regarding total target cash compensation (salary plus annual incentive target compensation) and total target compensation (salary plus annual incentive target compensation plus long-term incentive target compensation) and considers the information contextually, with the company’s pay philosophy and desired pay position, when evaluating each component.

The result is a mix among base salary, annual incentive target compensation, and long-term incentive target compensation, as well as between cash and equity-based incentives, that is competitive with market practices.

The charts below show the percentage allocation (rounded) of opportunities provided in 2015 to Mr. Schrimsher and the other named executive officers in the forms of base salary, annual incentive target opportunity, and long-term incentive target opportunity (awarded in equity-based instruments).

Mr. Schrimsher, our Chief Executive Officer, earns a higher salary than the other officers, but his overall compensation is more heavily weighted toward incentive pay, particularly long-term incentives. This distinction is appropriate considering his responsibility and influence over Applied’s performance and is typical among companies in the peer group described below.

Competitive Pay Review in 2015. To help evaluate Applied’s executive compensation, the Committee created a peer group of distribution companies, primarily industrial distributors, believing this group reflects the company’s principal market for senior executives. Distributor comparisons provide the Committee insight into executive pay and benefits at companies in similar market environments.

With assistance from Pay Governance, the Committee selected 15 companies with calendar year 2013 sales ranging from \$990 million to \$7.5 billion, and median sales of \$2.6 billion, compared with Applied’s sales of \$2.5 billion. Each peer group company disclosed compensation for top officers in SEC filings. Management did not participate in selecting the companies.

The companies were the same as those in the previous year’s peer group, except for Metals USA Holdings Corp., which had been acquired and whose executive pay data was no longer publicly available.

The 2015 peer group (the Peer Group) included the following companies:

2015 Peer Group

AAR Corp.	Fastenal Company	Olympic Steel, Inc.
A. M. Castle & Co.	H&E Equipment Services, Inc.	Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.
Airgas, Inc.	Kaman Corporation	ScanSource, Inc.
Anixter International Inc.	LKQ Corporation	WESCO International, Inc.
DXP Enterprises, Inc.	MSC Industrial Direct Co., Inc.	Watsco, Inc.

After the Peer Group was selected, Pay Governance prepared a compensation review and assessment, analyzing the competitiveness of Applied’s Chief Executive Officer’s target compensation relative to comparable Peer Group data. Pay Governance did not analyze Peer Group data for other officer positions in 2015 (although it did so in 2014), but reported on broader compensation trends in the market to assist the Committee in evaluating target pay levels.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

The study identified Peer Group pay for each position at the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentile levels. The 50th percentile is referred to here as the market median and represents Applied's target pay objective.

Beyond the Peer Group data, Pay Governance presented other CEO pay data from broad multi-industry surveys, produced by several leading compensation consulting firms. The Committee requested this supplemental data as a secondary resource to help confirm the reliability of the Peer Group data.

Table of Contents

Pay Governance analyzed CEO base salary, annual incentive target compensation, total cash target compensation (base salary plus annual incentive target compensation), long-term incentive target compensation, and total direct target compensation (total cash target compensation plus long-term incentive target compensation).

Using Pay Governance's study, the Committee evaluated each primary compensation component. In most years, including 2015, the Committee seeks to compensate executives near the market median if Applied's performance targets are met. Sustained performance below target levels should result in realized total compensation below market medians, and performance that exceeds target levels should result in realized total compensation above market medians.

However, market medians and the ranges around them only represent beginning reference points; the Committee also uses its subjective judgment to adjust targeted compensation to reflect factors such as individual performance and skills, long-term potential, tenure in the position, internal equity, retention considerations, and the position's importance in Applied's organization.

Components of Compensation

Base Salary. The Committee observes a general policy that base salaries for executive officers who have been in their positions for at least three years and are meeting performance expectations should be near the market median for comparable positions. As with all pay components, however, the Committee, using its subjective judgment, sets salaries higher or lower to reward individual performance and skills and other considerations such as those mentioned above.

In 2015, after considering the Peer Group data for the CEO position, executive pay trends in the broader market, and the more subjective factors referenced above, the Committee adjusted upward the base salaries for the named executive officers by 3-5%. The Committee's actions maintained the officers' competitive pay positions and reflected a discipline of managing base salaries within the framework of Applied's pay philosophy and competitive data.

Annual Incentives. With the annual Management Incentive Plan, the Committee seeks to reward the executive officers, in cash, for achieving fiscal year goals. In general, the Committee seeks to pay total cash compensation near the market median when Applied meets its performance goals, and to pay substantially above (or below) the median when Applied substantially exceeds (or falls short of) its goals.

At the beginning of the fiscal year, after the Board reviews Applied's annual business plan as prepared and presented by management, the Committee develops objective performance goals and targets for the year's Management Incentive Plan. The Committee considers the market outlook and the business plan, along with the available opportunities and attendant risks.

For the 2015 plan, consistent with recent years, the Committee adopted goals tied to the following performance measures, which it considers to be key indicators of shareholder value creation:

Net income – bottom-line profitability; and

Cash provided by operating activities – a cash-based measure of company performance.

The Committee sets goals it believes are attainable, but that require executives to perform at a consistently high level to achieve target award values. As illustrated in the table below, target and maximum incentive objectives for 2015 represented significant improvements over 2014 results:

Net Income	Under \$106.17	\$106.17	\$124.90	\$156.13
(weighted 75%)	million	million	million	million
% of Prorated Portion of Target Award	0%	50%	100%	200%
% Change Compared with 2014 Result		(5.9)%	10.7%	38.4%
Cash Provided by Operating Activities	Under \$134.05	\$134.05	\$157.70	\$197.13
(weighted 25%)	million	million	million	million

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

% of Prorated Portion of Target Award	0%	50%	100%	200%
% Change Compared with 2014 Result		21.7%	43.2%	79.0%

As shown above, 2015 payouts could have ranged from 0% to 200% of the executive officers' target award values. The Committee established this range, consistent with prior years, after considering Pay Governance's guidance as to market practices. Payouts for each performance measure were to be prorated on a straight-line basis for results falling between the

Table of Contents

threshold 50%, 100%, and maximum 200% payout levels. In addition, except for Mr. Schrimsher, individual payouts were further subject to positive or negative adjustment, up to 20%, based on the Committee's subjective evaluation of individual performance.

The Committee assigns an annual incentive target, expressed as a percentage of salary, to each executive officer. The Committee assigned target percentages for 2015 to approximate market practices, as shown in Pay Governance's review. The named executive officers' target percentages remained the same as in 2014, except that Mr. Barlett's was increased by five percentage points. The 2015 targets for the named executive officers eligible for a payout at year-end follow:

Name	Base Salary (\$)	Incentive Target (%)	Target Award Value (\$)
N. Schrimsher	820,000	100	820,000
M. Eisele	451,500	65	293,475
F. Bauer	388,000	53	205,640
T. Barlett	336,000	55	184,800
K. Loring	310,000	55	170,500

As a result of Applied's 2015 performance, the Management Incentive Plan payout formula was as follows:

Goal	2015 Achievement	Compared with 2014	Payout as % of Prorated Portion of Target Award
Net Income (weighted 75%)	\$115.48 million	2.4%	74.9%
Cash Provided by Operating Activities (weighted 25%)	\$154.54 million	40.4%	93.3%
			Overall Payout as % of
			Target Award: 79.5%

Management Incentive Plan payouts in 2014 and 2013, as a percentage of the target awards, were 57.8% and 77.5%, respectively. Payouts for the named executive officers are shown in the Summary Compensation Table's Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column, at page 26.

Long-Term Incentives. The Committee makes long-term incentive awards to the executive officers annually under the 2011 Long-Term Performance Plan. The plan seeks to reward executives for achieving long-term goals and authorizes incentive awards in a variety of forms. The Committee typically makes awards near the beginning of the year, after reviewing the previous fiscal year's financial results.

As with the other primary compensation components, the Committee sets the awards' value after reviewing the independent consultant's target compensation study. In most years, the Committee seeks to provide awards with a targeted value near the market median for equivalent positions, with variation to reward individual performance and skills, as well as to reflect factors such as long-term potential, responsibility, tenure in the position, internal equity, retention considerations, and the position's importance in Applied's organization.

The Committee uses long-term incentive awards for purposes of motivation, alignment with long-term company goals, and executive retention. The Committee intends to pay total long-term compensation near the market median when Applied meets its goals and above when Applied exceeds its goals. If goals are not met, then long-term compensation should fall below the market median.

Pay Governance's 2015 study indicated Mr. Schrimsher's annual long-term incentive target value was well below competitive norms. With this background, and after considering the more subjective factors referenced above, the Committee increased his long-term incentive target value by approximately 15% and approved modest adjustments (3-5%) for the other officers.

Emphasizing performance, the Committee awarded the executives' long-term incentive target value approximately one-half in three-year stock-settled performance shares, one-quarter in SARs, and one-quarter in RSUs. The Committee believes this combination appropriately balances the vehicles' distinct purposes. The awards also reflect the Committee's subjective judgment that long-term incentive earnings should be paid in shares.

In determining numbers of performance shares to be targeted and SARs and RSUs to be awarded, the Committee values Applied's shares based on data provided by Pay Governance. In order to reduce the impact of short-term stock price

Table of Contents

volatility, the valuation methodology uses the average closing share price for 90 days prior to the grant date. The Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 28 shows the threshold, target, and maximum payouts for the performance shares, as well as the number of SARs and RSUs awarded to the named executive officers.

The following paragraphs describe the three types of long-term incentive awards made in 2015, as well as performance for the year under outstanding performance share programs:

Stock Appreciation Rights.

The value of these awards depends on Applied's stock price growth; until Applied performs in a manner that is recognized by the stock market and create gains for all shareholders, SARs have no value to executives. The base stock price is the market closing price on the grant date. SARs have a ten-year term and vest 25% on each of the first through fourth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to continuous employment with Applied, thereby promoting executive retention. In addition, unvested SARs vest on an executive officer's retirement.

The Committee intends for SARs to align the interests of management and shareholders in achieving long-term growth in the value of Applied's stock by using a form of award the value of which is determined primarily by long-term stock price appreciation. The four-year vesting period, ten-year term, and stock-settled nature of the SARs are consistent with this purpose. Moreover, SARs are less dilutive than stock options, further protecting shareholder interests.

Restricted Stock Units.

RSUs are grants valued in shares of Applied stock, but shares are not issued to executives until the grants vest on the third anniversary of the award date, assuming continued employment with Applied. The Committee believes cliff vesting for restricted stock is more demanding than typical market practice, but appropriate considering the nature of the award. The RSUs do vest, albeit pro rata, if an executive retires during the three-year term. Applied pays dividend equivalents on RSUs on a current basis, which rewards management for total returns delivered to shareholders.

The Committee considers RSUs to be a good tool for retaining executives. Because their value will increase or decrease over the three-year vesting period along with Applied's stock, RSUs also promote efforts to maximize long-term shareholder return.

2015-2017 Performance Shares.

Performance shares provide incentives to achieve goals over a three-year period. At the beginning of a period, the Committee sets a target number of shares of Applied stock to be paid to each executive at the end of the period, assuming continued employment. The actual payout earned is then calculated, relative to the target, based on Applied's achievement of objective performance goals. If an executive retires during the three years, the performance shares vest on a pro rata basis, tied to the period worked and actual performance during that period.

As a new three-year period begins, the Committee reviews the business plan and market outlook for the period. Then, after also considering the independent consultant's guidance as to market practices, the Committee determines performance measures and goal ranges at which payouts can be earned for each year of the three-year period. The Committee sets goals it believes are attainable without inappropriate risk-taking, but that still require executives to perform on a sustained basis at a consistently high level to achieve the targeted payout.

Payouts can range from 0% to 200% of the target number of shares. The target payout is 100% of the target number assigned to the executive. The Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 28 shows the threshold, target, and maximum payouts for performance shares awarded to the named executive officers in 2015.

Because the payout is measured in shares, the award's value depends on both the company's operating performance and its stock price, motivating executives throughout the performance period with regard to both.

For the 2015-2017 performance shares, consistent with prior years, the Committee set separate goals for each year of the period, with 75% of an award tied to Applied's EBITDA and 25% to ROA. EBITDA is calculated from our financial statements by starting with operating income, as shown in the statements of consolidated income, and adding the following items from the statements of consolidated cash flows: depreciation

and amortization of property, amortization of intangibles, amortization of stock option and appreciation rights, and goodwill or intangibles

Table of Contents

impairment (if any). ROA is calculated by dividing annual net income by average monthly assets for the year. ROA improvements can be achieved by, among other things, increasing sales, margin, and inventory turnover, all of which are important objectives for industrial distributors.

The Committee considered these metrics to be appropriate measures of management's impact on the company's operating performance and efficiency over a three-year period. The metrics also balanced the Management Incentive Plan's emphasis on bottom-line results and cash flow.

Each participant's targeted number of shares for the three-year period is divided into one-third for each year. Shares awarded for achievement during a particular year are then banked for distribution at the end of the three-year term and do not affect the banking of shares for the other years.

The goals for the first year of the performance period, 2015, are shown below. The Committee set an ROA target lower than the previous year's result after considering the impact of significant recent business acquisitions on the asset base. With the greater weighting on EBITDA, though, the performance shares still emphasize continuous improvement.

EBITDA	\$197.36 \$246.70 \$308.38			
(weighted 75%)	Under \$197.36			
	million	million	million	million
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015	0%	50%	100%	200%
% Change Compared with 2014 Result		1.6%	27.1%	58.8%

ROA	Under			
(weighted 25%)	6.9%	6.9%	8.6%	10.8%
	0%	50%	100%	200%
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015	0%	50%	100%	200%
% Change Compared with 2014 Result		(33.4)%	(15.7)%	5.9%

As shown above, banked awards could range from 0% to 200% of the executive officers' target share award values. The Committee established this range after considering Pay Governance's guidance as to market practices. Awards for each performance measure were to be prorated on a straight-line proportional basis for results falling between the threshold 50%, 100%, and maximum 200% payout levels.

In 2015, as a result of achieving incentive goals, the participants banked awards, to be distributed in shares of Applied stock following the end of 2017, as follow:

	Banked Award as % of	
2015 Goal	Achievement	Target Performance Shares for 2015
EBITDA (weighted 75%)	\$228.6 million	81.7%
ROA (weighted 25%)	7.9%	79.4%
	Overall: 81.1%	

2014-2016 Performance Shares (2015 performance).

As noted above, the Committee sets separate goals for each year of a three-year performance share program. So, while 2015 was the first year of the 2015-2017 performance period, it was also the second year of the 2014-2016 period and the third year of the 2013-2015 period. For the 2014-2016 program, the 2015 goals, adopted in August 2013, follow:

EBITDA	Under \$195.20 \$195.20 \$244.00 \$305.00			
(weighted 75%)	million	million	million	million
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015	0%	50%	100%	200%

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

ROA	Under			
(weighted 25%)	9.7%	9.7%	12.1%	15.1%
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015	0%	50%	100%	200%

Table of Contents

In 2015, as a result of achieving incentive goals, the participants banked awards, to be distributed in shares of Applied stock following the end of 2016, as follow:

	2015 Goal	Achievement	Banked Award as % of Target Performance Shares for 2015
EBITDA (weighted 75%)		\$228.6 million	84.2%
ROA (weighted 25%)		7.9%	0%
			Overall: 63.2%

The award for the program's first year, 2014, as a percentage of target performance shares, was 64.2%.

2013-2015 Performance Shares (2015 performance).

The goals for the final year of the 2013-2015 program, adopted in August 2012, follow:

	EBITDA	\$229.28	\$286.60	\$358.25
	(weighted 75%)	Under \$229.28 million	million	million
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015		0%	50%	100%
	ROA	Under		
	(weighted 25%)	11.8%	11.8%	14.8%
% of Prorated Portion of Target Share Award for 2015		0%	50%	100%
				18.5%
				200%

With 2015 performance falling short of threshold goals, the participants did not bank awards for 2015. The awards for the first two years of the program, as a percentage of target performance shares, were 0% in 2014 and 79.1% in 2013.

Qualified, Nonqualified, and Welfare Plan Benefits. Through the plans described below, we seek to provide benefits comparable to those available at Peer Group and other similarly sized companies. The Committee, with its independent consultant's assistance, reviews executive-level benefits periodically and compares them with market survey information, considering executives' positions and years of service.

Qualified savings plan.

Applied maintains a defined contribution plan with a section 401(k) feature (the Retirement Savings Plan, or RSP) for eligible U.S. employees, including the named executive officers.

Key Executive Restoration Plan and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

The Committee believes that providing competitive supplemental retirement benefits is important for executive recruitment and retention. Statutory limits exist, however, on the value of benefits executives can receive under the company's qualified savings plan.

Accordingly, in 2012 the Committee adopted the Key Executive Restoration Plan (the KERP), an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan. To participate in the KERP, an executive must be designated by the Committee or the Board. Applied credits a bookkeeping account for each participant with an amount equal to (i) 6.25% (unless the Committee or the Board specifies a different percentage) of the participant's base salary and annual actual cash incentive pay for the calendar year, minus (ii) the amount of company contributions credited to the participant under the RSP. Account balances are deemed invested in mutual funds selected by the participant from those available in the KERP.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

To be eligible for KERP account credits, participants must be employed on the last day of a year or have retired, died, or become disabled during the year. Unless otherwise provided by the Committee or the Board, credits to a participant's account vest based on years of service with Applied, 25% per year. In addition, a participant will be 100% vested in the event of attainment of age 65, death, disability, or certain separations from service within one year after a change in control (as defined in the KERP).

The Committee has designated each named executive officer as a KERP participant. The Committee set the account credit percentage for Mr. Schrimsher at 10% and provided he would vest in 50% of his account after three years of service, 75% after four years, and 100% after five years.

Table of Contents

Applied also maintains plans that permit highly compensated U.S. employees to defer receiving portions of base salary and cash incentive awards and to accumulate nonqualified savings. Applied does not contribute to these plans and participants are not provided above-market or guaranteed returns. We describe the plans, along with the KERP, more fully in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation, at page 30.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Benefits Plan.

Applied maintains the Supplemental Executive Retirement Benefits Plan (the SERP), a nonqualified defined benefit plan that was frozen in 2012, for executive officers that were previously designated as participants by the Committee.

Normal SERP retirement benefits are payable upon separation from service after attainment of age 65 to participants with at least five years credited service as an executive officer. Reduced benefits are available to participants who separate from service with at least 10 years credited service with Applied, five as an executive officer.

Messrs. Barlett, Bauer, and Eisele participated in the SERP and, subject to the vesting criteria described above, have historical benefits accrued, which are described in Pension Plans, beginning at page 31.

Welfare plans.

Applied maintains a health care plan as well as life and disability insurance plans for full-time U.S. employees. Executive officers may also participate in executive life and disability insurance programs.

Applied provides retiree health care coverage, through third-party plans, to executive officers who retire after reaching age 55, with participants paying the contributions that active employees pay for Applied's plan. When the retiree attains age 65, the program becomes a Medicare supplement. Individuals first elected as executive officers after 2012 are not eligible for this program.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits. Applied does not believe that providing perquisites such as company automobiles or allowances, financial planning and tax services, or country clubs is warranted to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. Accordingly, the company does not offer these or similar perquisites and personal benefits.

Applied provides executive officers five weeks annual vacation per calendar year; other employees get five weeks when they achieve 25 years of service. Unused vacation time is forfeited at the end of each calendar year.

Change in Control and Termination Benefits. Upon his hire, Applied and Mr. Schrimsher entered into a CEO-level severance agreement providing termination benefits as described in Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control, on page 33. Applied does not have employment contracts with the other named executive officers, nor does it have an executive severance policy. The Committee retains discretion to determine severance benefits, if any, to be offered to the other named executive officers if the company terminates their employment, other than in the circumstance of a change in control.

The company's only change in control agreements are with its executive officers. These arrangements are designed to retain executives and to promote management continuity if an actual or threatened change in control occurs. The Board approved the agreements primarily because it believes that the executives' continued attention and dedication to their duties under the adverse circumstances attendant to a change or potential change in control are ultimately in the best interests of Applied and its shareholders.

The agreements provide severance benefits if an executive's employment is terminated by the officer for Good Reason or by Applied Without Cause (each as defined in the agreements), if the termination occurs within two years (three years under older agreements entered into with Messrs. Barlett, Bauer, and Eisele) after a change in control. The executive, in turn, must not compete with Applied for three years following termination (one year for Messrs. Barlett, Bauer, and Eisele). Change in control agreements entered into subsequent to 2011, including those with Messrs. Schrimsher and Loring, do not include a gross-up for excise taxes. We describe the agreements more fully on pages 34-35 of this proxy statement.

Table of Contents**Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Committee believes executives should accumulate meaningful equity stakes in Applied to align their economic interests with shareholders interests, thereby promoting the objective of increasing shareholder value. Accordingly, we have adopted stock ownership guidelines, requiring that executive officers not dispose of stock unless their owned shares market value equals or exceeds the following annual base salary multiples immediately after the disposition:

Position	Stock Ownership Guideline
Chief Executive Officer	5x base salary
Other Executive Officers	3x base salary

Owned shares, per the guidelines, include those owned outright, those owned beneficially in Applied's Retirement Savings Plan and other deferred compensation plans, and RSUs, but do not include SARs or performance shares.

The guidelines are not mandatory in the sense that they do not require an executive immediately to acquire shares if his or her ownership is below the applicable guideline.

Until the guideline is achieved, executives are required to retain net shares received as a result of exercising SARs or the vesting of RSUs or performance shares. Net shares are those shares that remain after shares are sold or netted to pay withholding taxes.

At June 30, 2015, the value of the holdings (determined as described above) of the then-employed named executive officers and their individual guidelines were as follow:

Name	Value of Holdings of Applied Stock (\$)	Stock Ownership Guideline (\$)
N. Schrimsher (hired in October 2011)	2,978,825	4,100,000
M. Eisele	6,471,792	1,354,500
F. Bauer	3,187,900	1,164,000
T. Barlett	1,134,347	1,008,000
K. Loring (hired in July 2014)	244,006	930,000

The Committee monitors compliance with the guidelines, interprets the guidelines, and must approve exceptions. The Committee also periodically reviews the guidelines and compares them with market data reported by the independent consultant and others.

Consistent with the objectives of the stock ownership guidelines, the company prohibits its insiders from engaging in:

- Short sales of Applied's stock;
- Market transactions in puts, calls, warrants, or other derivative securities based on Applied stock; and
- Certain hedging or monetization transactions, such as prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, and exchange funds.

Clawback Provisions

Because incentive awards are intended to motivate executives to act in Applied's best interests, the Committee includes provisions in award terms to claw back compensation under certain circumstances:

The Committee may terminate or rescind an award and, if applicable, require an executive to repay cash or shares (and dividends, distributions, and dividend equivalents paid thereon) issued pursuant to the award within the previous 12 months (and proceeds thereof), if the Committee determines that, during the executive's employment with Applied or during the period ending 12 months following separation from service, the executive competed with Applied or in certain other circumstances engaged in acts inimical to Applied's interests.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

The Committee may require an executive to repay cash or shares (and dividends, distributions, and dividend equivalents paid thereon) issued pursuant to an award within the previous 36 months (and proceeds thereof) if (i) Applied restates its historical consolidated financial statements and (ii) the Committee determines that (x) the restatement is a result of the executive's, or another executive officer's, willful misconduct that is unethical or illegal, and (y) the executive's earnings pursuant to the award were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or materially inaccurate performance metrics that were invalidated by the restatement.

Table of Contents

Tax Deductibility and Regulatory Considerations

Internal Revenue Code (the Code) section 162(m) limits the amount of compensation a publicly held corporation may deduct as a business expense for federal income tax purposes. That limit, which applies to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (but excluding the chief financial officer), is \$1 million per individual per year, subject to certain exceptions. The law provides an exception for performance-based compensation.

In general, the Committee seeks to preserve the tax deductibility of compensation without compromising the Committee's flexibility in designing an effective, competitive compensation program. Applied has intended for awards under most executive incentive programs—Management Incentive Plan awards (for the Chief Executive Officer), performance share payouts, and income from the SARs exercises—to qualify as performance-based compensation.

In making long-term incentive grants, the Committee considers executive retention to be one of the key objectives. Accordingly, the Committee uses RSUs as one of three award vehicles, although RSUs represent only about one-quarter of the target long-term incentive value. RSUs do not qualify as performance-based compensation, but the Committee believes that drawback is outweighed by their beneficial impact on executive retention.

Conclusion

The Committee reviews all components of Applied's executive compensation program. When making a decision regarding any component of an executive officer's compensation, the Committee takes into consideration the other components.

The Committee believes that the executive officers' compensation is appropriate and that the program's components are consistent with market standards. The program takes into account Applied's performance compared to the Peer Group, and appropriately aligns executive compensation with Applied's annual and long-term financial results and to long-term financial return to shareholders. The Committee believes the foregoing philosophy is consistent with Applied's culture and objectives and will continue to serve as a reasonable basis for administering Applied's total compensation program for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table Fiscal Years 2015, 2014, and 2013**

The following table summarizes information, for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014, and 2013, regarding the compensation of Applied's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, the three other most highly compensated executive officers at June 30, 2015, plus one other person, Mr. Will, who was one of our most highly compensated executive officers but separated from service in February 2015. Mr. Will joined Applied in July 2013. Mr. Loring joined Applied in July 2014.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$ (1))	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (2))	Change in Pension Value and	All Other Compensation (\$ (4))	Total (\$)
						Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$ (3))		
Neil A. Schrimsher President & Chief Executive Officer	2015	820,000	1,465,692	356,636	651,572	0	154,802	3,448,702
	2014	795,000	1,353,644	391,153	459,272	0	150,780	3,149,849
Mark O. Eisele Vice President Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer	2015	451,500	395,739	96,054	233,195	393,682	45,692	1,615,862
	2013	770,000	1,190,847	440,729	596,519	0	242,148	3,240,243
	2014	438,000						