REALNETWORKS INC Form DEF 14A September 15, 2015

# UNITED STATES

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

### REALNETWORKS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

 $(Name\ of\ Person(s)\ Filing\ Proxy\ Statement,\ if\ other\ than\ the\ Registrant)$ 

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1) Amount Previously Paid:
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3)	) Filing Party:	
(4)	) Date Filed:	

1501 First Avenue South

Seattle, Washington 98134

September 15, 2015

#### Dear Shareholder:

I cordially invite you to attend the RealNetworks, Inc. 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Annual Meeting will be held at 1:30 p.m., Pacific Time, on Friday, October 16, 2015 on the first floor of our corporate headquarters building at 1501 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98134.

Detailed information as to the business to be transacted and matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting is contained in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. These proxy materials were mailed to you and all of our other stockholders on or about September 15, 2015, contain instructions for voting online or by telephone, and include our fiscal 2014 annual report to shareholders. I encourage you to review these proxy materials before you vote.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please take the time to vote. You may vote over the internet, as well as by telephone, or by mailing a proxy or voting instruction card. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote in person if you wish, even though you have previously submitted your vote.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I would like to express our appreciation for your support of RealNetworks. We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

ROBERT GLASER

Founder, Chairman and

Chief Executive Officer

#### REALNETWORKS, INC.

#### 1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600

#### Seattle, Washington 98134

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

#### TO BE HELD ON

October 16, 2015

#### at 1:30 p.m. Pacific Time

To the Shareholders of RealNetworks, Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of RealNetworks, Inc., a Washington corporation, will be held on Friday, October 16, 2015 at 1:30 p.m., Pacific Time, on the first floor of our corporate headquarters building at 1501 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98134 for the following purposes, each of which is more fully described in the accompanying proxy statement:

- 1. To elect Rob Glaser as a Class 3 director, to serve for a three-year term;
- 2. To approve an advisory vote on executive compensation;
- 3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015; and
- 4. To transact any other business properly presented at the meeting.

You are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting if you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on September 11, 2015. A list of shareholders as of that date will be available at the meeting and for ten days prior to the meeting at our principal executive offices located at 1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600, Seattle, Washington 98134.

We encourage you to join us and participate in the meeting. If you are unable to do so, however, you have the option to vote in one of three ways:

- A. Online at www.envisionreports.com/rnwk, as described in the accompanying proxy statement;
- B. Call the toll-free telephone number shown on your proxy card; or
- C. Mail your signed proxy card as soon as possible in the envelope provided.

If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank, or other holder of record, follow the instructions that you receive from that holder of record in order to properly vote your shares.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

Michael Parham
Senior Vice President, General Counsel
and Corporate Secretary
Seattle, Washington

September 15, 2015

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on October 16, 2015

Our proxy statement is attached. Financial and other information concerning RealNetworks is contained in our annual report to shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, which has also been mailed to you.

The proxy statement and our fiscal 2014 annual report to shareholders are available online at www.edocumentview.com/rnwk.

### REALNETWORKS, INC.

1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600

Seattle, Washington 98134

(206) 674-2700

#### 2015 PROXY STATEMENT

For the Annual Meeting of Shareholders

To Be Held October 16, 2015

#### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT

#### THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

#### What is a proxy?

A proxy is your legal designation of another person to vote the stock you own. The person you designate is your proxy, and you give the proxy authority to vote your shares by submitting the proxy card. We have designated the following persons to serve as proxies for the annual meeting:

Robert Glaser, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board; and

Michael Parham, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary.

#### Why am I receiving these materials?

The Board of Directors of RealNetworks, Inc. is soliciting proxies for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Friday, October 16, 2015 at 1:30 p.m. Pacific Time, and for any adjournment or postponement thereof, for the purposes set forth herein and in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Annual Meeting will be held on the first floor of our corporate headquarters building at 1501 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98134.

As a shareholder, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement. These proxy solicitation materials and RealNetworks Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, including financial statements, were mailed on or about September 15, 2015, to all shareholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

#### What proposals will be voted at the Annual Meeting?

Three proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

The election of one Class 3 director, Rob Glaser, to serve for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and qualified, subject to earlier resignation or removal;

A non-binding, advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014; and

The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.

1

#### What are the recommendations of the board of directors?

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote:

FOR election of the nominated Class 3 director (Proposal 1);

FOR the proposal regarding an advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2); and

FOR ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2015 (Proposal 3).

#### Will there be any other items of business on the agenda?

We do not expect any other items of business because the deadline for shareholder proposals and nominations has already passed. Nonetheless, in case there is an unforeseen need, the accompanying proxy gives discretionary authority to the persons named on the proxy with respect to any other matters that might be brought before the Annual Meeting. Those persons intend to vote that proxy in accordance with their best judgment. If for any reason the nominee is not available as a candidate for director, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate as may be nominated by the board of directors.

#### What constitutes a quorum?

As of the close of business on September 11, 2015, the record date, there were approximately 36,220,000 shares of our common stock outstanding. The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote outstanding on the record date will constitute a quorum. Both abstentions and broker non-votes (which occur when a broker indicates on a proxy card that it is not voting on a matter) are considered as shares present at the Annual Meeting for the purpose of determining a quorum.

#### Who is entitled to vote?

Shareholders holding shares of our common stock at the close of business on the record date may vote at the Annual Meeting. You may vote all shares owned by you as of the record date, including (i) shares held directly in your name as the shareholder of record and (ii) shares held for you as the beneficial owner in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee. Each holder of our common stock is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held as of the record date.

#### What is the difference between holding shares as a shareholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Shareholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. A shareholder of record is also referred to as a registered shareholder.

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. Your broker, bank or nominee is considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee how to vote your shares. On anything other than routine matters (such as a proposal to ratify an independent registered public accounting firm), your broker will not be able to vote your shares unless you give your broker specific voting instructions. As such, you must give your broker voting instructions in order for your vote to be counted on the proposal to elect directors (Proposal 1) and the proposal regarding an advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2). We strongly encourage you to vote.

#### How do I vote?

Shareholders of record can vote by telephone, by the internet or by mail, as described below. If you are a beneficial owner, please refer to your proxy card or the information forwarded by your broker, bank or other holder of record to see what options are available to you.

Registered shareholders may cast their vote using any of the following methods:

- (1) Voting online by following the internet voting instructions at <u>www.envisionreports.com/rnwk</u>;
- (2) Calling 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) and voting by following the instructions provided on the phone line; or
- (3) Signing, dating and promptly mailing your proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope;
- (4) In person at the Annual Meeting. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy or voting instructions by telephone, internet, or mail so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual Meeting.

#### How are votes counted?

In the election of the Class 3 directors, you may vote FOR the nominee or your vote may be WITHHELD with respect to the nominee. With respect to the advisory vote on executive compensation and the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year, you may vote FOR, vote AGAINST or ABSTAIN. If you ABSTAIN, the abstention has no effect on the voting results, although abstentions are considered votes cast for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. If you provide specific instructions, your shares will be voted as you instruct.

If you sign your proxy card with no further instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the board of directors (FOR the Class 3 nominee to the board of directors, FOR the advisory vote on executive compensation, and FOR ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year, and in the discretion of the proxy holders on any other matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting). If you are a beneficial holder and do not return a voting instruction form, your broker, bank or nominee may only vote on the ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

#### What vote is required to approve each item?

In the election of directors (Proposal 1), the candidate for director who receives the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected if a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. In the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2) and the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year (Proposal 3), such proposals will be approved if the number of votes cast in favor of such proposal exceeds the number of votes cast against such proposal and a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting.

#### What are broker non-votes and what effect do they have on the proposals?

Generally, broker non-votes occur when a broker (i) has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner with respect to a particular proposal and (ii) lacks discretionary voting power to vote those shares with respect to that particular proposal. In tabulating the voting results for any particular proposal, shares that constitute broker non-votes are not considered votes cast on that proposal. Broker non-votes and abstentions are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present, but only FOR and AGAINST votes are counted for purposes of determining the votes received in connection with each proposal.

A broker is entitled to vote shares held for a beneficial owner on routine matters, such as the ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year (Proposal 3), without instructions from the beneficial owner of those shares. On the other hand, absent instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, a broker is not entitled to vote shares held for a beneficial owner on certain non-routine matters, such as the uncontested election of our directors (Proposal 1) and the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2). Thus, if you do not give your broker specific voting instructions, your shares may not be voted on these non-routine matters and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval.

#### Can I change or revoke my proxy?

Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before its use by delivering to the Corporate Secretary of RealNetworks at our principal offices as set forth above in the Notice of Annual Meeting a written notice of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

#### Is cumulative voting permitted for the election of directors?

No. Neither our charter nor our bylaws permit cumulative voting at any election of directors.

#### How are proxies solicited?

The costs and expenses of soliciting the proxies accompanying this proxy statement from shareholders will be borne by RealNetworks. Our employees, officers and directors may solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by electronic communication. None of these individuals will receive any additional or special compensation for doing this, but they may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, we may reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation material to the beneficial owners of common stock. Your cooperation in promptly voting your shares and submitting your proxy by telephone, internet or by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card will help to avoid additional expense.

#### Who will serve as inspector of elections?

Our officers are authorized to designate an inspector of elections for the meeting. It is anticipated that the inspector of elections will be a representative from Computershare.

#### When is our fiscal year end?

Our fiscal year ends on December 31. This proxy statement provides information about the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting and additional information about us and our executive officers and directors. Some of the information is provided as of the end of our most recently completed fiscal year and some information is provided as of a more current date.

#### How can shareholders make a proposal for the 2016 annual meeting?

An eligible shareholder who desires to have a qualified proposal considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and form of proxy prepared in connection with our 2016 annual meeting of shareholders must deliver a copy of the proposal to our Corporate Secretary, at our principal offices, not less than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date that this proxy statement was released to our shareholders, or, if the date of our 2016 annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 days from the date of our 2015 annual meeting, then no later than a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. To be eligible to submit a proposal for inclusion in our proxy statement, a shareholder must have continually been a record or beneficial owner of

shares of common stock having a market value of at least \$2,000 (or representing at least 1% of the shares entitled to vote on the proposal), for a period of at least one year prior to submitting the proposal, and the shareholder must continue to hold the shares through the date on which the meeting is held.

A shareholder of record who intends to submit a proposal at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders that is not eligible or not intended for inclusion in our proxy statement must provide us with written notice, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at our principal offices, not less than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date that this proxy statement was released to our shareholders, or, if the date of our 2016 annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 days from the date of our 2015 annual meeting, then no later than a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. The notice must also satisfy certain additional requirements specified in our bylaws, a copy of which will be sent to any shareholder upon written request to our Corporate Secretary.

#### How can shareholders communicate with the Board of Directors?

Shareholders who wish to communicate with our Board of Directors, or with any individual member of the Board, may do so by sending such communication in writing to the attention of our Corporate Secretary at the address of our principal executive offices with a request to forward the same to the intended recipient. Shareholder communications must include confirmation that the sender is our shareholder. All such communications will be reviewed by our Corporate Secretary or Chief Financial Officer in order to create an appropriate record of the communication, to assure director privacy, and to determine whether the communication relates to matters that are appropriate for review by our Board of Directors or by any individual director. Communications will not be forwarded to Board members that (i) are unrelated to our business, (ii) contain improper commercial solicitations, (iii) contain material that is not appropriate for review by the Board of Directors based upon our bylaws and the established practice and procedure of the Board, or (iv) contain other improper or immaterial information.

# I share an address with another shareholder, and we received only one paper copy of the proxy materials. How may I obtain an additional copy of the proxy materials?

If you share an address with another shareholder, each shareholder may not receive a separate copy of our Annual Report, proxy materials or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. Shareholders who do not receive a separate copy of our Annual Report, proxy materials or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, but would like to receive a separate copy or additional copies, may request these materials by calling 1-206-892-6320 or writing to: Investor Relations, RealNetworks, Inc., 1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600, Seattle, Washington 98134.

Shareholders who share an address and receive multiple copies of our Annual Report, proxy materials or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials may also request to receive a single copy by following the instructions above. Current and prospective investors can also access our Form 10-K, proxy statement and other financial information on the Financial Information section of our website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a>.

#### PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Amended and Restated Bylaws provide for a Board of Directors that consists of not less than two and no more than nine members. Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation provide that when the Board of Directors consists of four or more members, the directors will be divided into three classes (each class being as nearly equal in number as possible), with directors serving for staggered, three-year terms. The authorized number of directors is currently set at six, with no current vacancies.

The term of our Class 3 director expires at the Annual Meeting. The board of directors nominee for election by the shareholders is Rob Glaser. If elected, Mr. Glaser will serve as a director until our annual meeting of shareholders in 2018 and until his successor is elected and qualified, subject to earlier resignation or removal. If Mr. Glaser is elected, the board of directors will continue to have six members following the Annual Meeting.

Michael T. Galgon, Dawn G. Lepore and Dominique Trempont are Class 1 directors whose terms expire at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders. Janice Roberts and Michael B. Slade, are Class 2 directors whose terms expire at the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders.

Mr. Glaser has been nominated by the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors, comprised of non-management directors, and recommended to the shareholders by the Board of Directors for election at the Annual Meeting. The accompanying proxy will be voted **FOR** the election of Mr. Glaser to the Board of Directors, except where authority to so vote is withheld. Proxies may not be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named. The nominee has consented to serve as a member of our board if elected. If at the time of the Annual Meeting the nominee is unable or declines to serve as a director, the discretionary authority provided in the enclosed proxy will be exercised to vote for a substitute candidate designated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that the nominee will be unable, or will decline, to serve as a director.

#### **Nominee for Director**

Class 3 Director Nominee

	Biographical Information	Qualifications and Skills Considered by our Board
Dalama Classic	8 1	•
Robert Glaser	Mr. Glaser, founder of RealNetworks, currently serves as our Chief Executive Officer.	Experience with
	He has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of RealNetworks since its inception	technology companies
Age 53	in 1994 and served as Chief Executive Officer of RealNetworks from 1994 through	through service as a
	January 2010 and as interim Chief Executive Officer from July 2012 to July 2014.	founder, investor,
Director	Mr. Glaser has served as a venture partner at Accel Partners, a venture capital firm, since	executive and director
Director	May 2010. Mr. Glaser s professional experience also includes ten years of employment	
	with Microsoft Corporation where he focused on the development of new businesses	
since 1994	related to the convergence of the computer, consumer electronics and media industries.	
	Mr. Glaser holds a B.A. and an M.A. in Economics and a B.S. in Computer Science from	
	Yale University.	Extensive historical
		knowledge of
		RealNetworks and the
		industries in which it
		operates
		operates

#### **Director Independence**

The Board has determined that (i) Mr. Glaser is not independent under the Nasdaq listing standards, and (ii) all directors who are not standing for election at the Annual Meeting, except for Mr. Slade, are independent under the Nasdaq listing standards and the applicable rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC.

Specific Experience.

Management advisory

experience

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE NOMINEE NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1.

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Our business is managed under the direction of a Board of Directors, which has responsibility for establishing broad corporate policies and for the overall performance of RealNetworks. It is not, however, involved in operating details on a day-to-day basis.

#### Identification, Evaluation and Qualification of Director Nominees

In general, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews director candidates identified by Board members and any third-party search firms engaged by the Committee as part of the director nomination process. The Committee will consider candidates presented, and those candidates that the Committee determines meet the criteria for serving will be interviewed and evaluated by members of the Committee, who will review each nominee s qualifications and references and such other information as the Committee may deem relevant. The other directors and the third party search firm, if one is engaged, are also given the opportunity to meet with and interview the candidates. The Committee will then recommend to the full Board the nominees that it has determined best suit the Board s needs. The Board ultimately makes all nominations for directors to be considered and voted upon at our annual meetings of shareholders.

Qualifications required of individuals who are considered as board nominees will vary according to the particular areas of expertise being sought as a complement to our existing board composition at the time of any vacancy. All directors should possess the background, skills, expertise, and commitment necessary to make a significant contribution to RealNetworks. Relevant qualifications for our directors include: (1) exemplary personal and professional ethics and integrity; (2) the ability to engage in objective, fair and forthright deliberations; (3) operating experience at a policy-making level in business(es) relevant to our current and future plans; (4) independent judgment; (5) adequate time and personal commitment to provide guidance and insight to management; (6) a commitment to provide long-term value to our shareholders; (7) sophisticated business skills to enable rigorous and creative analysis of complex issues; and (8) understanding and experience in relevant markets, technology, operations, finance or marketing in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of the Board as determined from time to time.

While we do not have a formal policy or guidelines regarding diversity of membership of our Board of Directors, the Board recognizes the value of having a broad range of skills, expertise, contacts, industry knowledge, and diversity of opinion on our board. Therefore, the Board gives consideration to obtaining a diversity of experience and perspective within the Board and solicits directors—views on a variety of topics, including whether directors as a whole have the appropriate mix of characteristics, attributes, business experience and background to effectively serve as one of our directors.

#### **Shareholder Nominations and Recommendations for Director Candidates**

Shareholder Nominations for Director

Pursuant to our Amended and Restated Bylaws, shareholders who wish to nominate one or more candidates for election as directors at an annual meeting of shareholders must give notice of the proposal to nominate such candidate(s) in writing to our Corporate Secretary not less than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date that our proxy statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year s annual meeting, or, if the date of the annual meeting at which the shareholder proposes to make such nomination is more than 30 days from the first anniversary of the date of the previous year s annual meeting, then the shareholder must give notice in a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. The notice must satisfy certain requirements specified in our Amended and Restated Bylaws, a copy of which will be sent to any shareholder upon written request to our Corporate Secretary. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate shareholder nominees using the same standards it uses to evaluate other nominees.

No shareholder has presented a timely notice of a proposal to nominate a director this year. Accordingly, the only director to be elected at the Annual Meeting is Mr. Glaser. No other nominations are before, or may be brought at, the Annual Meeting.

Shareholder Recommendations for Director

In addition to the general nomination rights of shareholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors will consider Board candidates recommended by qualified shareholders in accordance with a written policy adopted by the Committee. To be a qualified shareholder eligible to recommend a candidate to serve on the Board, a shareholder must have continuously held at least 2% of our outstanding securities for at least 12 months prior to the date of the submission of the recommendation.

A qualified shareholder may recommend a Board candidate for evaluation by the Committee by delivering a written notice to the Committee subject to the requirements set forth below. The notice must be received by the Committee not less than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date that our proxy statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year s annual meeting. If we change the date of our annual meeting by more than 30 days from the date on which the previous year s annual meeting was held, the notice must be received by the Committee no later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which notice of the date of the upcoming annual meeting is publicly disclosed.

Any Board candidate recommended by a shareholder must be independent of the recommending shareholder in all respects (e.g., free of material personal, professional, financial or business relationships from the proposing shareholder), as determined by the Committee or applicable law. Any Board candidate recommended by a shareholder must also qualify as an independent director under applicable Nasdaq rules.

The notice shall also contain or be accompanied by (i) proof of the required stock ownership (including the required holding period) of the proposing shareholder, (ii) a written statement that the qualified shareholder intends to continue to own the required percentage of shares through the date of the annual meeting with respect to which the Board candidate is proposed to be nominated, (iii) the name or names of each shareholder submitting the proposal, the name of the Board candidate, and the written consent of each such shareholder and the Board candidate to be publicly identified, (iv) the recommending shareholder s business address and contact information, and (v) all other information that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with the solicitation of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ).

With respect to the proposed Board candidate, the following information must be provided:

Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder; and

name, age, business and residence addresses;
principal occupation or employment;
number of shares of RealNetworks stock beneficially owned (if any);
a written resume of personal and professional experiences;
a statement from the recommending shareholder in support of the candidate, references for the candidate, and an indication of the candidate s willingness to serve, if elected;
all other information relating to the proposed Board candidate that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other

information, documents or affidavits demonstrating to what extent the proposed Board candidate meets the required minimum criteria established by the Committee, and the desirable qualities or skills, described in our policy regarding director nominations.

The notice must also include a written statement that the recommending shareholder and the proposed Board candidate will make available to the Committee all information reasonably requested in furtherance of the Committee s evaluation as well as the signature of each proposed Board candidate and of each shareholder submitting the recommendation.

filings required to be made in connection with the solicitation of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange

The notice must be delivered in writing, by registered or certified, first-class mail, postage prepaid, to Chair, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, RealNetworks, Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, 1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600, Seattle, Washington 98134.

#### **Board Leadership Structure**

Effective July 28, 2014, Mr. Glaser, the Chairman of our Board, was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer; since July 2012, Mr. Glaser had served as our interim chief executive officer. In maintaining Mr. Glaser s position as Chairman, the Board has recognized the value of leveraging Mr. Glaser s longtime leadership and knowledge of RealNetworks. In appointing Mr. Glaser as Chief Executive Officer, among other things, the Board determined that Mr. Glaser is best positioned to effectively identify and execute on our strategic priorities.

Since October 18, 2012, the date of our 2012 annual meeting, Dominique Trempont has served as our lead independent director. As lead independent director, Mr. Trempont is responsible for presiding over executive sessions of the independent directors, advising as to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information from management necessary for independent directors to effectively and responsibly perform their duties, coordinating the activities of the other independent directors, and acting as principal liaison between independent directors and management.

Our Board believes that its current leadership structure consisting of a joint chairman and chief executive officer and a lead independent director gives the Board flexibility to meet varying business, personnel and organizational needs at this time, and demonstrates its commitment to good corporate governance.

#### Continuing Directors Not Standing for Election This Year

The following individuals are Class 1 directors whose terms continue until 2016:

#### Michael T. Galgon

#### Age 47

#### Director since 2012

Dawn G. Lepore

**Director since 2013** 

Age 61

#### **Biographical Information**

Mr. Galgon currently serves as a co-founder of Pioneer Square Labs, a Seattle-based studio enabling innovation and new venture creation. From April 2010 until its sale to Trulia, Inc. in August 2013, Mr. Galgon served as a director of Market Leader, Inc., a publicly traded company providing online technology and marketing solutions for real estate professionals. Previously, Mr. Galgon served as Chief Advertising Strategist for Microsoft Corporation from August 2007 until January 2009. In 1997, Mr. Galgon co-founded aQuantive, Inc., an internet media company, where he served in various roles, most recently as Chief Strategy Officer, from 1997 until the sale of aQuantive to Microsoft in August 2007. Prior to founding aQuantive, Mr. Galgon served as a full-time volunteer with AmeriCorps VISTA from October 1994 to October 1995 and as an officer in the U.S. Navy from 1990 to 1994. Mr. Galgon currently serves as a director matters of several privately held companies and of Global Partnerships, a Seattle-based nonprofit microfinance organization. Mr. Galgon holds an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School and an A.B. in economics from Duke University.

### Specific Experience, Qualifications and Skills Considered by our Board

Senior executive leadership and business strategy experience

Significant experience, expertise and background with regard to business

Experience through service as a director of public and

private companies

Senior executive leadership and business strategy experience

Executive-level experience with technology companies

Significant experience,

privately held peer-to-peer lending marketplace, from March 2012 to January 2013. She served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of drugstore.com, inc., a leading online provider of health, beauty, vision, and pharmacy solutions, from October 2004 until its sale to Walgreen Co. in June 2011. Prior to joining drugstore.com, Ms. Lepore spent 21 years at the Charles Schwab Corporation and Charles Schwab & Co, Inc., a financial holding company, holding several leadership positions, most notably Vice Chairman of Technology, Active Trader, Operations, Business Strategy, and Administration, and Chief Information Officer. She also served as a member of Schwab s executive committee and as a trustee of SchwabFunds. Ms. Lepore has served on the board of directors of Coupons.com Inc. since February 2012. She previously served on the board of directors of AOL Inc. from October 2012 to its sale to Verizon Communications Inc. in July 2015, The TJX Companies, Inc. from June 2013 to June 2014, eBay Inc. from December 1999 to January 2013, and The New York Times Company from April 2008 to June 2011. Ms. Lepore holds a B.A. degree from Smith

Ms. Lepore served as interim Chief Executive Officer of Prosper Marketplace, Inc., a

expertise and background with regard to business,

College. accounting and financial matters

Experience through service as a director of public and private companies

9

#### **Biographical Information**

#### Specific Experience, Qualifications and Skills Considered by our Board

Senior leadership experience

**Dominique Trempont** 

Age 61

Director since 2010

Mr. Trempont has served as a director of Daily Mail and General Trust plc, a producer of content, information analytics and events for businesses and consumers, since February 2011. Mr. Trempont has also served as a director of Energy Recovery, Inc., a manufacturer of energy efficient recovery devices that enable the economic transformation of sea water into drinkable water and recover the energy contained in industrial flows in oil, gas and fracking applications, since July 2008, where he serves as Chair of the Audit Committee. From 2005 to November 2011, Mr. Trempont served as a director of Finisar Corporation, a company that develops and markets high speed data communication systems and software for networking and storage. From 2006 to April 2010, Mr. Trempont served as a director of 3Com Corporation, a network management company that was acquired by Hewlett Packard in April 2010; he served as Chair of the Audit Committee of 3Com. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Trempont was CEO-in-Residence at Battery Ventures, a venture capital firm. Prior to joining Battery Ventures, Mr. Trempont was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Kanisa, Inc., a cloud service company focused on enterprise self-service applications, from 1999 to 2002. Mr. Trempont was President and CEO of Gemplus Corporation, a smart card company, from 1997 to 1999. Prior to Gemplus, Mr. Trempont served as Chief Financial Officer and head of Operations at NeXT Software. Mr. Trempont began his career at Raychem Corporation, a materials science and engineering company focused on telecommunications, electronics, automotive and other industries. Mr. Trempont currently serves as a director of the privately held companies on 24, Inc. and Trion Worlds, Inc. Mr. Trempont earned an undergraduate degree in Economics from College St. Louis (Belgium), a B.A. with high honors in Business Administration and Software (LSM) from the University of Louvain (Belgium) and a master s degree in Business Administration from INSEAD (France/Singapore).

Management advisory experience

Financial expertise

Executive-level experience with technology companies

Experience through service as a director of public and private companies

The following individuals are Class 2 directors whose terms continue until 2017:

Janice Roberts

Age 59

Director since 2010

#### **Biographical Information**

Ms. Roberts serves as a Partner on the investment team of Benhamou Global Ventures, an early-stage venture capital firm based in Silicon Valley. From 2000 to 2013, Ms. Roberts served as Managing Director of Mayfield Fund where she continued as a venture advisor until 2014. Ms. Roberts current areas of investment interest include enterprise information technology, mobile infrastructure and applications and the Internet of Things. From 1992 to 2000, Ms. Roberts was employed by 3Com Corporation, a networking equipment company that was acquired by Hewlett Packard in April 2010, where she held various executive positions, most recently serving as President of 3Com Ventures, the investment division of 3Com Corporation, and Senior Vice President, Business Development and Global Marketing. Ms. Roberts managed a number of the new business initiatives at 3Com, including its Palm Computing subsidiary. Previously, Ms. Roberts was Managing Director and President of BICC Data Networks Ltd., a networking equipment company that was acquired by 3Com in 1992. Ms. Roberts early career was based in Europe and included various technology-related marketing and general management positions. Ms. Roberts serves on the boards of publicly traded ARM Holdings, plc, a leading semiconductor IP company for mobile and consumer devices, since June 2011 and Zebra Technologies, an industry leader in enterprise asset tracking solutions, barcode and printing technologies, since October 2013. She also serves on the boards of directors of several private companies and the advisory boards of Illuminate Ventures and SALT Branding. Ms. Roberts serves as the President of the board of directors of the Ronald McDonald House at Stanford. She holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree (Honours) from the University of Birmingham in the United Kingdom.

Specific Experience, **Qualifications and Skills** Considered by our Board Senior leadership

experience

Management advisory experience

Executive-level experience with technology companies, including companies focused on mobile and wireless communications technologies

Experience investing in and advising early stage companies

Experience through service as a director of public and private companies

10

#### **Biographical Information**

Mr. Slade is a co-founder of Second Avenue Partners, a provider of management,

strategy and capital for early stage companies, where he has served as a partner since 2000. From 2005 to 2006, Mr. Slade served as a strategic advisor for RealNetworks. From 2002 to May 2007, Mr. Slade served as a director of aQuantive, Inc., a publicly traded digital marketing service and technology company that was acquired by

Microsoft Corporation in May 2007. From 1998 to 2004, Mr. Slade served as a consultant and member of the executive team at Apple Inc. From 1993 to 1998, Mr.

Slade was chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer of Starwave
Corp., a Paul Allen-funded startup that was sold to The Walt Disney Corp. From 1983
to 1992, Mr. Slade held various executive and leadership positions with technology
companies including Microsoft Corporation, Central Point Software, NeXT Computer,

Inc. and Asymetrix Corp. Mr. Slade holds a B.A. in Economics from Colorado College and an M.B.A. from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Considered by our Board Senior executive leadership and business strategy experience

Specific Experience, Qualifications and Skills

Management advisory experience

Executive-level experience with technology companies

Experience through service as a director of public and private companies

#### Meetings of the Board and Committees

The Board meets on a regularly scheduled basis during the year to review significant developments affecting RealNetworks and to act on matters requiring Board approval. It also holds special meetings when an important matter requires Board action between regularly scheduled meetings. The Board of Directors met eight times during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 and took action by unanimous written consent on two occasions. The independent members of the Board of Directors regularly met in executive session without management present. No incumbent member attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors held during the period for which he or she has been a director. No incumbent member attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of any Board committees on which he or she served during the periods that he or she served during the fiscal year.

#### **Committees of the Board**

Age 58

Director

The Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. In addition, the Board has in the past and may in the future create special committees from time to time. Applying the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market and the SEC, the Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are independent. Committee membership of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as of August 31, 2015 was as follows:

Audit Committee
Dominique Trempont (chair)
John E. Cunningham, IV\*
Michael T. Galgon

Compensation Committee
Janice Roberts (chair)
Michael T. Galgon
Dawn G. Lepore
Dominique Trempont

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee John E. Cunningham, IV\* (chair) Janice Roberts

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee provides oversight of our accounting and financial reporting processes and financial statement audits, reviews our internal accounting procedures and consults with and reviews the services provided by our independent auditors. All of the members of our Audit Committee are financially literate pursuant to Nasdaq rules, and our Board has designated Mr. Trempont as an Audit Committee

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Cunningham resigned from the Board of Directors effective following the Board s meeting on September 2, 2015. Ms. Roberts has since replaced Mr. Cunningham on the Audit Committee.

Financial Expert, as defined by the SEC and applicable listing standards. For 2014, Messrs. Trempont, Cunningham and Galgon all served on the Audit Committee for the entire year. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee which can be found on our corporate website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a> under the caption Corporate Governance. The Audit Committee met five times and acted by written consent zero times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee establishes, reviews and recommends to the Board the compensation and benefits to be provided to RealNetworks executive officers and reviews general policy matters relating to employee compensation and benefits. For 2014, Ms. Roberts, Mr. Galgon, Ms. Lepore and Mr. Trempont all served on the Compensation Committee for the entire year. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee which can be found on our corporate website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a> under the caption Corporate Governance. The Compensation Committee met nine times and acted by written consent zero times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain a compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of executive compensation and has the authority to approve the consultant s fees and retention terms. In 2014, the Compensation Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. as its independent compensation consultant to provide analyses and make recommendations concerning non-management director compensation.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee searches for and recommends to the Board potential nominees for Board positions, makes recommendations to the Board regarding size and composition of the Board, and develops and recommends to the Board the governance principles applicable to RealNetworks. For 2014, Mr. Cunningham and Ms. Roberts served on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for the entire year. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee which can be found on our corporate website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a> under the caption Corporate Governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met three times and acted by written consent zero times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

#### **Board Oversight of Risk**

Our management team is responsible for the day-to-day management of risks faced by RealNetworks, while the Board of Directors oversees our risk management, both as a full Board of Directors and through its committees. The Board obtains information and insight on risk management from our senior executives who attend Board meetings and are available to address any questions or concerns raised by the Board on risk management-related and any other matters. The Board also gains information from presentations prepared by senior management on strategic and significant operational matters involving our business. The Board of Directors also periodically reviews and approves our strategic plans and initiatives, including the related expected opportunities and challenges facing the business and the execution of those plans.

The Board of Directors has delegated the oversight of certain risk areas to Board committees that assist the Board in fulfilling its risk oversight responsibility. For example, the Audit Committee has the responsibility to consider and discuss major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control these risks. The Audit Committee also monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, reviews legal matters that could have a significant financial impact on RealNetworks and oversees the performance of our internal audit function. In addition, the Audit Committee monitors our code of ethics and oversees our procedures for handling employee complaints regarding accounting, accounting controls and auditing matters.

The Audit Committee also reviews the annual comprehensive enterprise risk assessment performed by our internal audit department that encompasses a number of significant areas of risk, including strategic, operational, compliance, investment and financial risks. This assessment process is designed to gather data regarding the most important risks that could impact our ability to achieve objectives and execute strategies and entails reviewing

critical policies and strategies as well as monitoring emerging industry trends and issues. The assessment is reviewed by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and presented to the Audit Committee to facilitate discussion of any high risk areas.

The Board of Directors has also delegated to other committees the oversight of risk within their areas of responsibilities and expertise. The Compensation Committee has primary responsibility for the compensation of our chief executive officer and reviews and approves the compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also reviews the relationship of executive compensation to our corporate strategies and business plans and, in connection with these responsibilities, conducts an annual review of our risk assessment of compensation policies and practices for our employees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees the risk associated with our corporate governance policies and practices. Each committee of the Board is responsible for reporting its findings and recommendations, as appropriate, to the full Board of Directors.

#### Policy Regarding Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Shareholders

We have a policy that at least one member of our Board of Directors will attend each annual meeting of shareholders, and all directors are encouraged to attend shareholder meetings. We reimburse directors for reasonable expenses incurred in attending annual meetings of shareholders. Four directors attended our annual meeting of shareholders held on September 17, 2014.

#### **Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is publicly available on our corporate website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a> under the caption Corporate Governance, or can be obtained without charge by written request to our Corporate Secretary at the address of RealNetworks principal executive office. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to or waiver from the application of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, and any other applicable accounting and financial employee, by posting such information on our website at <a href="http://investor.realnetworks.com">http://investor.realnetworks.com</a> under the caption Corporate Governance.

#### VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS

#### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth, as of July 20, 2015 (the table date ), information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock by (a) each person known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our outstanding common stock, (b) each director, (c) our named executive officers for the 2014 fiscal year, and (d) all of our current executive officers and directors as a group. Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 36,166,176 shares outstanding as of the table date. The mailing address for each executive officer and director in the table below is c/o RealNetworks, Inc., 1501 First Avenue South, Suite 600, Seattle, Washington 98134.

V 40 4110	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially	Percentage of Common Stock
Name of Beneficial Owner	Owned(1)	Outstanding
Robert Glaser(2)	13,161,702	36.0%
Ariel Investments, LLC(3)	3,676,982	10.2
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP(4)	2,915,162	8.1
Lloyd I. Miller, III(5)	1,843,333	5.1
John E. Cunningham, IV(6)	55,627	*
Michael T. Galgon(7)	52,005	*
Dawn G. Lepore(8)	41,561	*
Janice Roberts(9)	77,812	*
Michael B. Slade(10)	55,658	*
Dominique Trempont(11)	78,644	*
Atul Bali(12)	100,000	*
Michael Mulica(13)	125,000	*
Max Pellegrini(14)	287,500	*
Tim Wan**		*
All directors and executive officers as a group (12 persons)(15)	14,180,939	37.9%

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 1%.

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with rules of the SEC and includes shares over which the beneficial owner exercises voting or investment power. Shares of common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the table date, and restricted stock units, or RSUs, that will have vested within 60 days of the table date, are deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the options, but are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as otherwise indicated, and subject to community property laws where applicable, RealNetworks believes, based on information provided by such persons, that the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.
- (2) Includes 459,101 shares of common stock owned by the Glaser Progress Foundation, of which Mr. Glaser is trustee. Mr. Glaser disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares. Also includes 368,698 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date.
- (3) Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 9, 2015 by Ariel Investments, LLC. Ariel reported that as of December 31, 2014, it beneficially owned an aggregate of 3,676,982 shares of common stock and that its address is 200 E. Randolph Drive, Suite 2900, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Mr. Wan has departed from RealNetworks as of the table date.

- (4) Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 5, 2015 by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP. Dimensional reported that as of December 31, 2014, it beneficially owned an aggregate of 2,915,162 shares of common stock and that its address is Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78746. Dimensional furnishes investment advice to four investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and serves as investment manager or sub-advisor to certain other commingled funds, group trusts and separate accounts. While Dimensional possesses investment and/or voting power over these shares and therefore may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of such shares, Dimensional disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (5) Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on July 20, 2015 by Lloyd I. Miller, III. Mr. Miller reported that as of such date, he beneficially owned an aggregate of 1,843,333 shares of common stock and that his address is 3300 South Dixie Highway, Suite 1-365, West Palm Beach, Florida 33405. Mr. Miller has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,776,799 of the reported securities and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 66,534 of the reported securities.
- (6) Includes 36,563 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (7) Includes 34,479 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (8) Includes 29,270 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (9) Includes 48,020 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (10) Includes 41,250 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (11) Includes 48,541 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 5,705 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.
- (12) Includes 100,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date.
- (13) Includes 125,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date.
- (14) Includes 287,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date.
- (15) Includes an aggregate of 1,262,919 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of the table date and 34,230 RSUs that are scheduled to vest within 60 days of the table date.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires RealNetworks executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of RealNetworks equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes of ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all such reports they file. Specific due dates have been established by the SEC, and we are required to disclose any failure to file by those dates.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such reports received by us, and on written representations by our executive officers and directors, we believe that during fiscal 2014, all of our executive officers and directors and all of the persons known to us to own more than ten percent of our common stock, complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them.

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During 2014, the Compensation Committee was composed of Ms. Roberts, Mr. Galgon, Ms. Lepore and Mr. Trempont. In 2014, no executive officer of RealNetworks served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that had one or more executive officers serving as a member of RealNetworks Board of Directors or Compensation Committee. In addition, no interlocking relationship existed between any member of our Compensation Committee and any member of the compensation committee of any other company.

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

This compensation discussion and analysis describes the principles underlying our executive compensation program and discusses how those principles affected our policies and decisions regarding the compensation of our named executive officers.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR 2014**

Overview. 2014 was a year of continued building and refinement of the transition plan that was set in motion in August of 2012. Having launched a significant new product in each of our three business units during fiscal 2013, in 2014 management assessed early results of those launches, reflected on our restructuring plan, and continued efforts to build a solid foundation for future growth and profitability. In line with the transition strategy, during 2014 our RealPlayer Cloud product was rolled out globally in February, our Mobile Entertainment business launched LISTEN in the U.S. in April, and our Games group released Slingo Adventure in August. As our teams continued to build and refine these new products, our management team was strengthened with the additions of Atul Bali in April 2014 to lead our Games business and Mike Mulica in June 2014 to head up worldwide sales and business development efforts for our RealPlayer and Mobile Entertainment businesses. In conjunction with the hiring of Mr. Mulica, Max Pellegrini s role was expanded to oversee products and marketing for both RealPlayer and Mobile Entertainment. To further stabilize our management team and support our commitment to the turnaround strategy, our founder and Chairman, Rob Glaser, was named chief executive officer in July 2014 (having served in that role on an interim basis for the prior two years). Throughout this year of building and investing in new products, we continued our efforts to streamline operations and reduce overhead expenses, and we continue to look for opportunities to operate in a more lean and efficient manner. Aligning the compensation of our management team with our overall corporate strategy and growth plans, our go-forward compensation program is substantially performance-based and aims to encourage the performance necessary to drive toward our overall goals for RealNetworks. In general, the compensation amounts to ongoing named executive officers who also were employees in 2013 (referred to as our incumbent named executive officers) were equal to or lower than in 2013, and were generally below the median of similar peer companies. The compensation provided to newly hired executive officers reflected hiring inducement levels that were larger than is expected for the future annual ongoing compensation and was consistent with the peer group market range.

Financial Results. Our financial results for 2014 were reflective of our focus on building new products and driving down costs. Consolidated revenue declined 24% from 2013, as our efforts were diverted away from certain legacy products and toward the development of innovative, new products, and gross profit declined by 30%. Although operating expenses improved over 2013, our net income suffered due to restructuring costs and legacy revenue declines. Adjusted EBITDA fell from a loss of \$23.7 million in 2013 to a loss of \$56.6 million in 2014, primarily as we increased our spending on new product development and diverted our focus from older to newer business lines. As a result of these financial results, annual cash incentives paid to our named executive officers were well below target levels; our CEO was not provided any cash bonus for 2014.

Management Team. After experiencing significant turnover in our management team during the prior three years, there was some year-over-year variation in our standard approach to executive compensation as different incentives have been required for recruitment and retention purposes. Since Mr. Glaser s return to operational leadership in July 2012, he and our Compensation Committee have developed a more consistent compensation program designed to aggressively drive company performance by successfully executing our growth plan, strategic initiatives and restructuring efforts.

Our named executive officers for 2014 include the following executive officers:

Robert Glaser Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Atul Bali President, Games

Michael Mulica President, Worldwide Sales and Business Development

Max Pellegrini President, Products and Marketing

Tim Wan SVP, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (resigned as CFO as of February 4, 2015)

Pay for Performance. Our Compensation Committee supports a pay-for-performance philosophy, with the goal of having a substantial part of our executive compensation program consisting of performance-based compensation. This is reflected in our annual performance-based cash incentive plan, which we also refer to as our MBO plan, which provides eligible executives the opportunity to earn a cash bonus only upon achieving pre-established performance objectives, all of which are weighted toward financial and strategic objectives of our businesses. In 2014, two of our named executive officers participated in the MBO plan, but the two executives hired in 2014, as well as Mr. Glaser who became our long-term CEO in the middle of the year, did not participate in the plan. Further in line with this pay-for-performance philosophy, since 2012, we have relied more on performance-related equity awards, as evidenced by the performance-based stock awards granted to Mr. Glaser in 2012 and 2014 and to Mr. Pellegrini upon his hire in 2013. These awards become eligible to vest only upon achievement of significant financial goals (subject to continued service with us). Accordingly, actual compensation paid to our named executive officers varies with the company s performance in achieving financial and strategic objectives and the executive s individual performance. We believe that our emphasis on pay for performance provides appropriate incentive to our executives to achieve important business objectives of the company and better aligns the interests of our executives with that of our shareholders.

Please note that we define adjusted EBITDA as operating income (loss) including other income (expense) net, but excluding the impact of the following: depreciation and amortization; acquisitions-related intangible asset amortization; stock-based compensation; restructuring and other charges; lease exit and related charges; and extinguishment of liability.

2014 Compensation Highlights.

Highlights relating to our named executive officers, generally:

Of our five named executive officers for 2014, our CEO transitioned from interim to long-term status mid-year, two executives were newly hired during 2014, one took on an expanded role in the middle of 2014.

There were no salary increases to any incumbent named executive officers.

2014 cash bonuses for incumbent name executive officers were between 55% and 100% lower in 2014 than in 2013. Cash bonuses for the two new hires were for recruitment purposes (i.e., sign-on bonuses) and for individual achievement during the portion of the year employed by the company. The CEO was paid no cash bonus relating to 2014 performance.

Total cash compensation (comprised of cash salary and any cash bonuses) for the incumbent named executive officers was 25% - 35% lower in 2014 than 2013. This was slightly below the peer group median in the aggregate, with total cash compensation for 2014 for our CEO 55% below the median of total cash compensation for peer group CEOs.

Excluding our CEO, the two incumbent named executive officers saw year-over-year reductions in total compensation of approximately 70%; in addition, they were between 25% and 78% below the median for their position in the peer group for 2014.

Total compensation for the two executives hired in 2014, including the special inducement equity awards, were within 15% of the annual total compensation 75th percentile of our peer group for their respective positions. (It should be noted that the special inducement grants awarded upon hire in 2014 were higher than any awards expected in years following the year of hire, as is consistent with our standard compensation program.) Although we do not target the 75th percentile for ongoing executive officer incumbents who are not new hires, we view this as a reasonable one-time position for the initial year of hire as both executives were recruited externally.

Highlights relating to our CEO:

In connection with his promotion to CEO from interim CEO, Mr. Glaser was granted a restricted stock unit valued at \$750,000 on the date of grant. This award was viewed by our Compensation Committee as a one-time promotion award outside of our regular annual compensation program and is not expected to be repeated in 2015. This one-time award resulted in an 11% increase in equity grant value from 2013 but, excluding the one-time award, the value of the annual CEO award was 28% lower year over year and is 34% below the median for peer group CEOs.

Of the total value of equity awards granted to our CEO in 2014, including the one-time promotion award in that total, 37% was subject to performance objectives; excluding the one-time promotion award from the total, nearly 80% was subject to performance objectives. Importantly, \$300,000 of our CEO s 2014 total equity was in lieu of cash salary for both 2013 and 2014, thus making a portion of his annual salary more performance based than if his salary had been paid solely in cash. There was no premium added to the option value when replacing the cash salary (i.e., \$150,000 of salary for each of 2013 and 2014 was granted as options in 2014). Note that, going forward, the company expects that the equity portion of the salary will be granted in the compensation year in which it applies.

Our CEO s total direct compensation for 2014 (comprised of salary, bonus and equity awards), even if the one-time promotion award is included, was 1% lower than 2013 and was 10% below the median for peer group CEOs; if the \$750,000 promotion award is excluded, CEO total direct compensation for 2014 was 30% lower than 2013 and was 36% below the median.

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM PHILOSOPHY AND ELEMENTS

The overall objectives of our executive compensation program are to provide compensation at competitive levels in order to recruit and retain talented executives, motivate our executives to achieve our strategic and financial objectives, and provide incentives to help align the interests of our executives with the interests of our shareholders.

Our executive compensation program provides the following three primary elements of compensation:

*Base salary*. Our named executive officers receive base salary so that we can recognize them for their day-to-day contributions and provide competitive pay that encourages retention and recruitment.

Annual performance-based cash bonus. We establish an MBO plan on an annual basis, under which our named executive officers (other than our chief executive officer for 2013 and 2014) each have an opportunity to receive a cash bonus upon achievement of certain performance objectives derived from the internal strategic plan we establish for the company each year. The cash bonuses are intended to motivate our executives to achieve our financial and strategic objectives. Cash bonuses are not guaranteed and bonuses in 2014 were below the target opportunity provided to each eligible named executive officer.

Long-term equity compensation. We provide equity-based compensation to our named executive officers to better align their interests with the interests of our shareholders as well as to motivate our officers to enhance the long-term performance of RealNetworks. Equity awards also are an important retention tool for us because the awards typically vest over a multi-year period. These elements provide incentives to encourage our executives to appropriately balance their focus between our short-term and long-term strategic goals.

We believe that there are multiple, dynamic factors that contribute to the success of our businesses and the individuals that lead those businesses. Moreover, we recognize that our business and the industry in which we operate are constantly evolving and highly competitive in nature. Our approach to executive compensation, therefore, has been to avoid adopting a strict, formulaic structure and to instead allow for a more nuanced and customized system. Under our executive compensation program, we consider the needs of our businesses and our company as a whole; design various elements of compensation to drive our executives and their teams to meet or exceed company goals and objectives; and take into account competitive practices in order to achieve our recruiting and retention needs. Consistent with our desire to maintain competitive practices and achieve our recruiting and retention goals, in addition to our three primary elements of compensation, our executive compensation packages also contain certain severance and change in control arrangements; some targeted, one-time bonuses; and retirement and other generally available benefits. In general, we provide very limited executive perquisites, and we do not provide our executives with tax gross ups or supplemental retirement plans.

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

The Roles of our Board, Compensation Committee and Chief Executive. Our Compensation Committee s purpose is to discharge the Board of Director s responsibilities relating to the compensation of our executive officers and the adoption of policies that govern our compensation and benefit programs, other than with respect to our chief executive officer s compensation. Our Compensation Committee reviews and recommends the chief executive officer s compensation, which is subject to the approval of the Board. The Board is able to make any adjustments that it may determine are appropriate with respect to our chief executive officer s compensation. The Compensation Committee determines all compensation for our other named executive officers. At the invitation of our Compensation Committee, our chief executive officer provides input regarding the performance and appropriate compensation of the other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee gives considerable weight to the chief executive officer s assessment of the other named executive officers because of his direct knowledge of each executive s role, performance and contributions. During 2014, our chief executive officer attended all Compensation Committee meetings at the request of the Committee. However, no executive officer was present for the portion of a Compensation Committee meeting during which his own compensation was discussed or determined.

The Role of the Compensation Consultant. Our Compensation Committee has selected and directly retains the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., an independent executive compensation consulting firm. F.W. Cook does not provide any other services to RealNetworks and works with our management only on matters for which the Compensation Committee is responsible. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of F.W. Cook pursuant to SEC rules and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent F.W. Cook from serving as an independent consultant to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee periodically seeks input from F.W. Cook on a range of external market factors, including evolving compensation trends, appropriate peer companies and market survey data. F.W. Cook also provides general observations on our compensation programs, but it does not determine or recommend the amount or form of compensation for our named executive officers. A representative of F.W. Cook attends Compensation Committee meetings from time to time, when requested by the Compensation Committee.

The Role of Peer Groups, Surveys and Benchmarking. In October 2013, with the oversight of our Compensation Committee, F.W. Cook performed an executive compensation review that included identifying a peer group of companies (the October 2013 Peer Group ) to be used by us for the purpose of comparing our

executive compensation to the market. The 17 peer group companies were publicly traded, U.S.-based internet and software companies, and were selected to reflect our smaller size following recent organizational changes and, in our view, were competitors of ours for purposes of recruiting executive talent. The companies comprising the October 2013 Peer Group are:

Avid Technology, Inc.

Digital River, Inc.

Rosetta Stone Inc.

Blucora, Inc.

Harmonic Inc.

Shutterfly Inc.

Blue Nile, Inc. Limelight Networks, Inc. Take-Two Interactive, Inc.

Constant Contact, Inc.

LogMeIn Inc.

Travelzoo Inc.

Conversant, Inc. (f.k.a. ValueClick, Inc.)

Move Inc.

Vocus, Inc.

Dice Holdings, Inc. OpenTable Inc.

With the oversight of our Compensation Committee, in October 2014 F.W. Cook provided comparisons of RealNetworks executive compensation to the benchmark pay data from the October 2013 market study. The October 2013 Peer Group was adjusted to remove Vocus, Inc. following its recapitalization as a privately held company in June 2014. (Conversant, Inc. was acquired by Alliance Data Systems on December 10, 2014, subsequent to our October 2014 compensation study update.) The compensation arrangements of our executives were analyzed and assessed in relation to the market data, including the compensation for Messrs. Bali and Mulica at the time of their hire and for Mr. Glaser in connection with his appointment as our chief executive officer. Our Compensation Committee deemed these executive arrangements to be appropriate.

We consider multiple data sources for assessing our compensation practices. Although we consider competitive market data regarding compensation in order to achieve our goals to recruit and retain our executives, we do not attempt to maintain a certain target percentile within a peer group, nor do we rely solely on such market data. Our management and the Compensation Committee strive to incorporate flexibility into our compensation programs and the assessment process so that we are able to respond to and adjust for the evolving business environment and the value delivered by our named executive officers. In addition to competitive data, we may take into account a variety of other factors, for example, general market conditions, internal equity, an individual s level of responsibilities, as well as an individual s recent or future expected contributions.

Consideration of Say-on-Pay Vote Results. We provide our shareholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on executive compensation. At our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, which took place in our third fiscal quarter, our shareholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in our 2014 proxy statement by a vote of approximately 85% of the votes cast on the proposal. By the time that this vote was conducted, most of the decisions relating to the 2014 compensation of our executive officers had been made. However, we highly value the input of our shareholders and the Compensation Committee, with input from F.W. Cook, has carefully considered the results of the 2014 say-on-pay vote and has engaged in discussions regarding shareholder outreach efforts. Specifically, during 2014, the Chair of our Compensation Committee and our Lead Independent Director engaged in discussions regarding executive compensation with representatives of certain of our largest shareholders. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the results of the annual say-on-pay vote and specific shareholder input in determining 2015 and future compensation programs for our executive officers.

#### 2014 COMPENSATION

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

Mr. Glaser was named chief executive officer in July 2014, after having served as our chief executive officer from the founding of RealNetworks until January 2010, and then returning as interim chief executive officer in July 2012. When Mr. Glaser accepted the role of interim chief executive officer in July 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged F.W. Cook to assist in the design of a compensation package for Mr. Glaser.

In connection with this engagement, F.W. Cook compiled a peer group, generally in line with that described above, and presented to the Compensation Committee its analysis and recommendations regarding the compensation arrangements for Mr. Glaser, which included base salary, annual performance-based cash bonus, and long-term, performance-based equity compensation. Based upon the F.W. Cook analysis, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the full Board of Directors approved, certain basic compensation arrangements that were in place on an interim basis through the first half of 2014 and then a more complete compensation package as reflected in the offer letter dated July 24, 2014 governing compensation for the second half of 2014.

Mr. Glaser's compensation for approximately the first half of 2014 was consistent for the most part with his 2013 and 2012 compensation arrangements as approved by the Board, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, in late 2012 when he became interim chief executive officer. Specifically, this interim compensation for the first half of 2014 was comprised of an annualized base salary at a rate of \$450,000, which was supplemented with \$150,000 worth of stock options specifically provided in lieu of cash salary (2013 and 2014 salary replacement options). The options are viewed as more performance based than the salary that they replace and more aligned with shareholder interests. In July 2014, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee as advised by F.W. Cook and after considering the company's compensation strategy, internal factors, competitive factors and applicable regulatory requirements, the Board approved a compensation package for Mr. Glaser as non-interim chief executive officer, which included (i) an annualized base salary at a rate of \$450,000, which was supplemented with \$150,000 worth of stock options; (ii) an annual cash incentive bonus opportunity equal to 100% of his annual cash base salary, payable upon the achievement of certain performance objectives set by the Board; (iii) a promotion award of restricted stock units covering \$750,000 worth of shares of our common stock on the date of grant (this promotion award was viewed by the Compensation Committee as similar to a new-hire equity award as Mr. Glaser committed to the full-time CEO position); (iv) severance arrangements as more fully described below; (v) the reimbursement of certain personal legal fees incurred in the negotiation, preparation and execution of his offer letter and severance agreement; (vi) certain facilities-related costs attributable to his personal assistant; and (vii) generally available employee benefits.

The Compensation Committee views Mr. Glaser s 2014 annualized total compensation without the \$750,000 promotion RSU award, since it was outside of our regular compensation program and was intended to induce Mr. Glaser and recognize his transition from interim chief executive officer to full time. Using the Compensation Committee s internal view, which excludes the promotion RSU value, the annualized total compensation value targeted by the Compensation Committee for Mr. Glaser, assuming all bonus goals were achieved, was below the median for peer chief executives in F.W. Cook s October 2014 update analysis, and Mr. Glaser s actual 2014 compensation, after accounting for the fact that no bonus was paid, was 36% below the median of the take-home total compensation for chief executive officers in the October 2013 Peer Group companies. Furthermore, his total compensation was lower by 30% compared to 2013.

If the \$750,000 promotion RSU is included in Mr. Glaser s compensation, which is not how the Compensation Committee viewed 2014 compensation, then his take-home compensation after earning no bonus would have been approximately at the median of other chief executive officers in our peer group and would have been down 1% compared to 2013.

Salary Options. Although Mr. Glaser's intended base salary value was \$600,000, consistent with 2013 and 2012, the Compensation Committee determined that part of Mr. Glaser's base salary would be provided as a stock option because the Compensation Committee believed that a higher proportion of his compensation should be related to the company's performance. The stock option in lieu of salary for 2013 was granted by the Board of Directors on January 17, 2014 and, because it related to the 2013 compensation year, was fully vested at the time of grant and covered 37,025 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$7.73 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The stock option in lieu of salary for 2014 was granted on July 28, 2014 in connection with the Board's approval of his non-interim compensation arrangements. This 2014 salary option covered 39,173 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$7.79 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant, and because these were in lieu of

annual 2014 salary, the salary replacement options vested ratably each month over 2014, with six months of catch-up vesting upon grant and fully vested as of December 31, 2014. The Summary Compensation Table shows both awards were granted in 2014, so two years of salary replacement options for \$150,000 appear as 2014 compensation. The Compensation Committee s view is that \$150,000 is attributable to 2013 and \$150,000 to 2014. These salary replacement options were granted pursuant to the 2005 Plan.

Annual Performance-Based Cash Bonus. Due to the interim nature of Mr. Glaser s role during the first half of 2014, he was not included in the 2014 MBO Plan (discussed below) when it was adopted. Although the offer letter dated July 24, 2014 provides for an opportunity for Mr. Glaser to earn a cash bonus pursuant to the MBO Plan, there were no performance goals set for him by the Board and he was not considered for a bonus pursuant to the 2014 MBO Plan. Moreover, no standalone cash incentive plan was adopted for him and no discretionary cash bonus was paid to him in 2014.

One-Time Promotion Award. In connection with his acceptance of the chief executive officer title in July 2014, Mr. Glaser was awarded a restricted stock unit award valued at \$750,000 on the date of grant. The RSU award, approved by the Board with a grant date of July 28, 2014, comprises 96,277 shares of our common stock and vests in full on the third anniversary of the grant date, subject to Mr. Glaser s continued service to the company through the vesting date. This promotion RSU was to recognize that Mr. Glaser transitioned his role from interim CEO to full-time CEO, rather than hiring an external CEO. The award was viewed as equivalent to a CEO hew-hire inducement award. The RSU award was granted pursuant to the 2005 Plan.

Long-Term Performance-Based Equity Award. On December 29, 2014, the Board, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, granted to Mr. Glaser a long-term performance-based option to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$7.21, the closing price of our common stock on the grant date. The Compensation Committee viewed this as the annual portion of the equity award, with 2013 and 2014 salary replacement options (2 x \$150,000 = \$300,000) and a one-time promotion RSU award for \$750,000 comprising the rest. The 2014 annual performance option is eligible to vest upon the achievement, no later than the third anniversary of the grant date, of an absolute increase in total shareholder return of RealNetworks common stock, using a trailing 90-day average stock price (as adjusted to reflect any dividends), of greater than 50% over the closing price of RealNetworks common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Stock Market on the grant date (the TSR Goal), and if the TSR Goal is achieved and subject to Mr. Glaser's continued employment with us through the date on which the Board certifies achievement of the TSR Goal, then the performance option shall vest at a rate of 1/48th each month following the grant date for a total vesting period of four years, with retroactive vesting upon the achievement of the TSR Goal if applicable, but in each case subject to Mr. Glaser's continued employment with us. The performance option is exercisable for up to seven years from the grant date, unless earlier terminated, and was granted pursuant to the 2005 Plan.

In the event of a change in control, as defined in the severance agreement between the company and Mr. Glaser dated July 24, 2014, while the performance option is outstanding but prior to the achievement of the TSR Goal, if the TSR Goal otherwise would be deemed achieved as measured by substituting for the trailing 90-day average stock price, the fair market value of our common stock immediately prior to the change in control (as adjusted to reflect any dividends), then the TSR Goal will be deemed achieved as of such date.

Even with the promotion RSU award and the salary replacement options, Mr. Glaser s long-term incentive value for 2014 was equivalent to the peer group median. If the promotion award were removed, then his long-term incentive compensation would appear to be 34% below the median. This value, which excludes the one-time promotion award, is how the Compensation Committee viewed the annual pay rate. Further, if the promotion RSU award value were excluded, then Mr. Glaser s long-term incentive value was 28% lower than 2013, while it was 10% higher year-over-year if the promotion award is included. Finally, the Compensation Committee s view, which excludes the 2013 and 2014 salary replacement options as well as the promotion RSU award, is that Mr. Glaser s annual equity was 100% performance-based, since the options require a minimum 50% price increase to be eligible to vest.

2012 Long-Term Performance-Based Equity Award. On December 31, 2012, Mr. Glaser was granted an award of performance-based restricted stock units covering 132,275 shares of our common stock, which were to be earned and vested, if at all, based on the achievement of pre-established adjusted EBITDA goals in fiscal years 2013 and 2014. On January 22, 2015, the Board certified that the performance goals were not achieved and, therefore, this restricted stock units award expired without being earned, and the award was terminated. The Company views forfeiture of a previously granted award for failing to meet the goals to be a performance-driven outcome that is consistent with its desired philosophy.

The following table shows equity awards granted to Mr. Glaser in 2014 and 2013:

	Aı	nual Equity Awa	ard		utside the Ann Award Prograr		
	Performance- based Options	Time-based Options	Total Annual Equity	2013 Salary Options <sup>(1)</sup>	2014 Salary Options <sup>(2)</sup>	CEO Promotion RSUs	Total Equity Awards
2014	\$ 1,096,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,096,000	\$ 149,999	\$ 149,997	\$ 749,998	\$ 2,145,994
2013	\$ 0	\$ 1,938,850	\$ 1,938,850	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,938,850
% Change			-43.5%				+10.7%
% of Peer Median			-47.8%				+2.2%

(1) Granted in January 2014 in lieu of \$150,000 in salary for 2013.

(2) Granted in July 2014 in lieu of \$150,000 in salary for 2014.

*Stock Ownership.* While he serves as our chief executive officer, Mr. Glaser is expected to hold shares of our common stock equal to at least ten times his annual base salary.

Overall CEO Compensation Package. Our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee believe that the 2014 compensation arrangements for Mr. Glaser were appropriate, given that a significant portion of the value of his 2014 compensation is equity-based requiring positive performance in our stock price in order for Mr. Glaser to realize any value. The 2014 compensation package for Mr. Glaser resulted in total direct compensation within 2% of the median for the October 2013 Peer Group if all equity awards are included and 34% below the median if the promotion equity awards are excluded per the Compensation Committee s view of their purpose as an inducement to Mr. Glaser to become full-time CEO.

#### Base Salaries

Base salaries for our named executive officers are determined for each executive based on position, responsibility, experience and competitive market data. Base salaries are adjusted from time to time to recognize various levels of responsibility, promotions, individual performance, market conditions and internal equity issues. Rather than applying a formulaic approach, the Compensation Committee awards base salaries for our named executive officers within the context of our overall merit increase system considering level of responsibility, individual performance, market competitive factors, and the critical role of the executive in our future growth and strategy. With Mr. Glaser s return to operational leadership of Real in July 2012 and the hiring of each of our three president-level executives within the last two years, the base salaries of most of our executives were recently established. Mr. Wan s salary was most recently adjusted upon his promotion to chief financial officer in April 2012. In connection with F.W. Cook s market study update in October 2014, our Compensation Committee determined that the base salaries for Messrs. Glaser and Wan were below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, and the salaries for Messrs. Bali, Mulica and Pellegrini, who were all externally hired during the last two fiscal years, were above the median. No adjustments to salary were made following the review, as each had been set at some point within the prior two calendar years. The following table shows the annualized cash base salaries paid to our named executive officers in 2014, with a comparison to 2013.

	2014 Base	2013 Base	Year-over-
Name	Salary	Salary	year Change
Rob Glaser	\$ 450,000 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 450,000(1)	0.0%
Tim Wan	\$ 285,000	\$ 285,000	0.0%
Atul Bali	\$ 400,000(2)		
Michael Mulica	\$ 400,000 <sup>(3)</sup>		
Max Pellegrini	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000(4)	0.0%

- (1) Mr. Glaser also received a salary replacement option award, as described above.
- (2) Hired in April 2014; amount reflects annualized salary rate (actual 2014 salary paid was \$278,974).
- (3) Hired in June 2014; amount reflects annualized salary rate (actual 2014 salary paid was \$225,897).
- (4) Hired in February 2013; amount reflects annualized salary rate (actual 2013 salary paid was \$344,102). Annual Performance-Based Cash Bonuses

In March 2014, the Compensation Committee established our 2014 MBO Plan, which is our performance-based cash bonus program, in order to motivate and reward an individual sannual contribution to company performance. The MBO Plan is administered pursuant to the 2005 Plan. The MBO Plan pays an annual cash bonus to executives based on the achievement of pre-established financial and strategic objectives consistent with our internal strategic plan for 2014 previously established by the Board in consultation with management. The Compensation Committee determined that implementing an annual measurement period under the 2014 MBO Plan was ideal for aligning the plan s performance measurement period with our financial planning and budgeting period.

Each of our named executive officers was eligible to participate in the 2014 MBO Plan, with Mr. Glaser having a target bonus equal to 100% of his annual cash base salary and each of the other executives having a target bonus equal to 75% of his annual base salary. The Compensation Committee reviewed the targets and deemed them appropriate based on internal equity considerations and the desire to emphasize teamwork to achieve the company s performance objectives. As discussed above, Mr. Glaser did not participate in the 2014 MBO Plan, due to the interim nature of his position for the first half of 2014; Mr. Glaser was not paid any cash bonus for 2014. Although Mr. Wan participated in the 2014 MBO Plan, he did not receive an incentive bonus for 2014 because he departed from the company on March 1, 2015, prior to the payment of bonuses under the 2014 MBO Plan; he did, however, earn a retention bonus in 2015 equal to \$265,000 in consideration for his efforts to ensure a smooth transition of responsibilities to our new chief financial officer.

For Messrs. Bali and Mulica, because they joined RealNetworks in mid-2014, although target bonuses were set for them at the time of hire, performance objectives were not established and neither participated in the plan. For 2014, however, as more fully discussed below, Messrs. Bali and Mulica were paid discretionary cash bonuses determined in a manner consistent with actual earnout under the 2014 MBO Plan and with their partial period of service. Messrs. Bali and Mulica are eligible to participate in the 2015 MBO Plan, which is performance based.

The following table shows the actual bonuses earned by our named executive officers for 2014, with a comparison to 2013:

Name	Act Ince	14 tual ntive nus	20 Sign Bor	-on	20 To Act Bor	tal ual	2013 Total Actual Bonus	Year- over-year Change
Rob Glaser	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 244,492	-100.0%
Tim Wan	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 125,047	-100.0%
Atul Bali	\$ 80	0,000	\$ 225	,000	\$ 305	,000		
Michael Mulica	\$ 100	0,000	\$ 200	,000	\$ 300	,000		
Max Pellegrini	\$ 19	1,000	\$	0	\$ 191	,000	\$ 441,067 <sup>(1)</sup>	-56.7%

#### (1) Includes 2013 sign-on bonus for \$275,000.

The following elements were applicable to our 2014 MBO Plan. Unless otherwise noted, the terms of the 2014 MBO Plan were also used to determine the discretionary cash bonuses paid to Messrs. Bali and Mulica.

Performance Criteria The performance criteria used to determine the annual bonuses for the participating named executive officers were revenue and adjusted EBITDA for either divisional results or corporate results, or both, depending upon whether the executive had divisional responsibility. The Compensation Committee s philosophy is to establish performance goals for executives that reflect our strategy of producing financial results that (a) are in the interests of our company and shareholders, (b) have a degree of difficulty that the Compensation Committee considers to be challenging but achievable with significant effort and skill, and (c) require a high level of financial performance in the context of the present state of our business and the annual budget. Consistent with this strategy, the Compensation Committee established revenue as a performance metric under the 2014 MBO Plan because it was a key element of our 2014 business plan and we consider revenue to be a key driver of our growth and success. The Compensation Committee also established adjusted EBITDA as a performance metric under the 2014 MBO Plan in order to reward our executives for maintaining fiscal responsibility, implementing our cost reduction program, and achieving short-term profitability and therefore, like revenue, aligning the interests of plan participants with those of the company and its shareholders. Performance criteria for our participating named executive officers also included individualized non-financial strategic goals intended to specifically motivate each executive to accomplish specific goals that would drive our growth and strong financial performance. The 2014 cash bonuses for Messrs. Bali and Mulica were calculated based on only the revenue objectives (at 60%, comprised of 40% divisional and 20% corporate) and adjusted EBITDA objectives (at 20%, all divisional), as were applicable to the other executives participating in the 2014 MBO Plan. No individual strategic goals were established or applied to Messrs. Bali and Mulica s bonuses. The following table indicates the 2014 performance goals for each named executive officer, other than Mr. Glaser since he received no 2014 bonus, as well as the weightings of the goals:

Name	Revenue Goals	<b>Adjusted EBITDA Goals</b>	Individual Strategic Goals
Tim Wan (1)	Company Revenue	Company Adjusted	Develop plans regarding operating expense savings
	(weighted at 60%)	EBITDA (weighted at 20%)	and support strategic goals relating to the businesses
			(weighted at 20%)

Name Atul Bali	Revenue Goals Games Division Revenue (2)	Adjusted EBITDA Goals Games Division Adjusted EBITDA (2) (weighted at 20%)	Individual Strategic Goals None
	(weighted at 40%) Company Revenue (weighted at 20%)		
Michael Mulica	Mobile Entertainment & RealPlayer Division Revenue (2) (weighted at 40%)	Mobile Entertainment & RealPlayer Division Adjusted EBITDA (2) (weighted at 20%)	None
	Company Revenue (weighted at 20%)		
Max Pellegrini	Mobile Entertainment & RealPlayer Division Revenue (2) (weighted at 40%) Company Revenue (weighted at 20%)	Mobile Entertainment & RealPlayer Division Adjusted EBITDA (2) (weighted at 20%)	Develop plans regarding operating expense savings; product launch and KPI goals relating to the business (weighted at 20%)