

COMMERCIAL METALS CO

Form DEF 14A

November 30, 2015

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

- p No fee required.
- .. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

 - 5) Total fee paid:
- .. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- .. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- 1) Amount Previously Paid:

 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - 3) Filing Party:

 - 4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On January 13, 2016

The annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Commercial Metals Company, a Delaware corporation (the Company), will be held in CMC Hall at the Company's corporate headquarters at 6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, 9th Floor, Irving, Texas 75039 on Wednesday, January 13, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., Central Standard Time. If you are planning to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you are required to bring proof of ownership of Commercial Metals Company common stock, as well as a form of government-issued photo identification, in order to be admitted to the meeting. Directions to the Annual Meeting are included at the end of the accompanying proxy statement.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, instead of mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials to each stockholder of record, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders on the Internet. You will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request by following the instructions on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials you received. The Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials includes instructions as to how you may access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials. The Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials also instructs you as to how you may submit your proxy on the Internet. We believe that by furnishing our proxy materials on the Internet, we provide our stockholders with the information our stockholders need while lowering the cost to the Company of delivering the proxy materials.

The Annual Meeting will be held to consider the following matters:

- (1) the election of the four persons named in the accompanying proxy statement to serve as Class III directors until the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected;
- (2) the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016;
- (3) an advisory vote on executive compensation; and
- (4) the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote your shares either by telephone, Internet or mail as described in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials you previously received. Proxies forwarded by or for banks, brokers, trusts or other nominees should be returned as requested by them. The prompt return of proxies will save the expense involved in further communication.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

PAUL K. KIRKPATRICK
Corporate Secretary

Irving, Texas

November 30, 2015

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	1
<u>Proxy Statement Summary</u>	3
<u>Information About the Meeting and Voting</u>	6
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	10
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	12
<u>Proposal 1: Election of Directors</u>	12
<u>Corporate Governance: Board and Committee Matters</u>	19
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	22
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	23
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	38
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation</u>	52
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	54
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions</u>	54
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	55
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	56
<u>Proposal 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	57
<u>Equity Compensation Plans</u>	58
<u>General</u>	59
<u>Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting</u>	59
<u>Other Business</u>	59

Table of Contents

COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 800

Irving, Texas 75039

Telephone (214) 689-4300

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On January 13, 2016

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the Board) of Commercial Metals Company (we or the Company) for use at the annual meeting of our stockholders to be held on Wednesday, January 13, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., Central Standard Time, in CMC Hall at the Company's corporate headquarters at 6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, 9th Floor, Irving, Texas 75039 (the Annual Meeting), and at any and all postponements or adjournments of the Annual Meeting. The approximate date on which this proxy statement and accompanying proxy card are first being made available to stockholders is November 30, 2015.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), instead of mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials to each stockholder of record, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders on the Internet. You will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request a printed copy. Instead, on or about November 30, 2015, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials and annual report on the Internet. The Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials will instruct you as to how you may access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials. The Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials also instructs you as to how you may submit your proxy on the Internet.

Shares represented by each proxy, if properly executed and returned to us prior to the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions in the accompanying proxy card and the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials, will be voted as directed, but if not otherwise specified, will be voted (i) FOR the election of the four directors nominated by our Board and named in this proxy statement, (ii) FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm and (iii) FOR the approval of the advisory resolution on executive compensation. A stockholder executing a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is voted by giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary of the Company, by subsequently executing and delivering a new proxy or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Stockholders of record can simplify their voting and reduce our cost by voting their shares via telephone or the Internet. The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders' identities, to allow stockholders to vote their shares and to confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. If a stockholder's shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, the availability of telephone and Internet voting will depend upon the voting processes of the bank, broker, trust or other nominee. Accordingly, stockholders should follow the voting instructions on the form they receive from their bank, broker, trust or other nominee.

Stockholders who elect to vote via telephone or the Internet may incur telecommunications and Internet access charges and other costs for which they are solely responsible. The telephone and Internet voting facilities for stockholders of record will close at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on the evening before the Annual Meeting. Instructions for voting via telephone or the Internet are contained in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials you received.

Only stockholders of record on November 23, 2015 are entitled to notice of and to attend and/or vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments of the Annual Meeting. A complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available for examination at our principal executive offices located at 6565 North

Table of Contents

MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039 for a period of ten days prior to the Annual Meeting. The list of stockholders will also be available for inspection at the Annual Meeting and may be inspected by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting. Proof of ownership of Commercial Metals Company common stock, as well as a form of government-issued photo identification, must be presented in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, trust, bank or other nominee, you must bring proof of ownership with you to the meeting. A recent account statement, letter or proxy from your broker, trust, bank or other nominee will suffice.

Table of Contents**PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY**

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time and Date	10:00 a.m., January 13, 2016
Place	Commercial Metals Company CMC Hall 6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, 9 th Floor Irving, Texas 75039
Record date	November 23, 2015
Voting	Stockholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock entitles the holder thereof to one vote for each director to be elected and one vote for each of the other matters to be voted on.
Admission	Proof of ownership of our common stock and a form of government-issued photo identification must be presented in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting.

Voting Matters

	Board Vote Recommendation	Page Reference (for more detail)
1. Election of four directors	FOR EACH OF OUR BOARD S DIRECTOR NOMINEES	12
2. Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent registered public accounting firm	FOR	56
3. Advisory vote on executive compensation	FOR	57

Election of Directors

Our Board has nominated four candidates for election to our Board as Class III directors, with a term expiring at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders. A brief description of the director nominees follows. Additional detail on the director nominees can be found beginning on page 13 of this proxy statement. In addition, the name, age, years of service, biographical description and qualifications of each of the Class I and Class II directors continuing in office are provided beginning on page 15 of this proxy statement.

Rhys J. Best, age 69, has served on our Board since 2010. Mr. Best, now retired, is the former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Lone Star Technologies, Inc. Mr. Best currently serves as the non-executive Chairman of Austin Industries, Inc.

Richard B. Kelson, age 69, has served on our Board since 2010. Mr. Kelson is the Chairman, President and CEO of ServCo, LLC.

Rick J. Mills, age 68, has served on our Board since 2012. Mr. Mills, now retired, is the former Corporate Vice President and President of the Components Group of Cummins, Inc.

Charles L. Szews, age 59, has served on our Board since 2014. Mr. Szews is the CEO of Oshkosh Corporation.

Please see pages 13 and 14 of this proxy statement for a complete description of Messrs. Best s, Kelson s, Mills and Szews business experience and qualifications.

Table of Contents**Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

As a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking our stockholders to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016. Set forth below is summary information with respect to Deloitte & Touche LLP's fees for services provided in fiscal years 2015 and 2014.

Type of Fees	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2014
Audit Fees	\$ 4,167,277	\$ 4,335,579
Audit-Related Fees	\$	\$ 70,500
Tax Fees	\$ 275,000	\$
All Other Fees	\$ 5,330	\$ 4,264
Total	\$ 4,447,607	\$ 4,410,343

Executive Compensation Advisory Vote

We are asking stockholders to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement. Our Board recommends a FOR vote because it believes that our compensation policies and practices are reasonable, competitive and highly-focused on pay-for-performance principles, as described in more detail in Proposal 3 beginning on page 57.

Our Company

The Company manufactures, recycles and markets steel and metal products, related materials and services through a network including steel mills commonly referred to as minimills, steel fabrication and processing facilities, construction-related product warehouses, metal recycling facilities and marketing and distribution offices in the United States and in strategic international markets. The CMC Americas Division includes three segments: Americas Recycling, Americas Mills and Americas Fabrication. The CMC International Division includes two segments: International Mill and International Marketing and Distribution. You can view additional information about our Company on our website at www.cmc.com. The information contained on or connected to our website is not part of this proxy statement.

Our Fiscal Year 2015 Performance and Executive Compensation

In fiscal year 2015, the Company continued to improve its financial results despite a challenging environment for the metals industry as a whole. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, net earnings attributable to the Company were \$141.6 million, or \$1.20 per diluted share, on net sales of \$6.0 billion. This compares to net earnings attributable to the Company of \$115.6 million, or \$0.97 per diluted share, on net sales of \$6.8 billion for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2014.

In accordance with our overall compensation philosophy and program, executives are provided with a mix of base salary, short-term incentives, long-term incentives and employee benefits. Our compensation philosophy places a significant portion of the potential compensation for each of the Company's named executive officers (the NEOs) (as listed under Executive Compensation Participants on page 25) at risk, which is described in more detail beginning on page 27.

Compensation Decisions During Fiscal Year 2015

In fiscal year 2015, the following compensation actions were taken:

Four of our NEOs received salary increases of approximately 3% reflecting merit adjustments and one of our NEOs received a salary increase of approximately 7.9% to bring his compensation further in line with market data.

Table of Contents

Based on the achievement of corporate financial, operational and/or business unit goals, the Compensation Committee of the Board certified payouts under the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus (as defined in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis), on average, of 133% of target for the NEOs with company-wide positions and 131% of target for the other NEOs. The fiscal year 2015 payouts under the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus reflected the Company's above-target performance on each of the Company financial, operational and business unit goals.

Two NEOs received discretionary bonuses, representing approximately 1% and 4% of their respective total compensation, in recognition of their significant efforts and contributions during fiscal year 2015.

Performance-based stock units represent 50% of the NEO long-term grant value, with 75% of vesting based on three-year EBITDA and ROIC targets (each as defined on page 32) and 25% vesting based on relative total shareholder return. The remaining long-term grant value was delivered as time-based RSUs (as defined on page 32).

The long-term incentive awards for the three-year performance period ending in 2015 vested at 114% of target for the NEOs other than the CEO and 113% of target for the CEO.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING

Q: Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

A: Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, on November 30, 2015 we mailed a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders of record and beneficial owners. The Notice explains how you may access the proxy materials on the Internet and how you may vote your proxy. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting printed materials included in the Notice. Our Board encourages you to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the Internet.

Q: Why did I receive these materials?

A: These materials were provided to you because our Board is soliciting your proxy to vote at our Annual Meeting, and at any postponements or adjournments of the Annual Meeting. This proxy statement describes the matters on which you, as a stockholder, are entitled to vote. It also provides information that is intended to assist you in making an informed vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement.

Q: Why did my household receive only one copy of the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy materials?

A: In addition to furnishing proxy materials electronically, we take advantage of the SEC's householding rules to reduce the delivery cost of materials. Under such rules, only one Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you have requested paper copies, only one set of proxy materials is delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. If you are a stockholder sharing an address and wish to receive a separate Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials or copy of our proxy materials, you may so request by contacting the Corporate Secretary of Commercial Metals Company at (214) 689-4300 or by mail to 6565 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039. A separate copy will be promptly provided following receipt of your request, and you will receive separate materials in the future. If you currently share an address with another stockholder but are nonetheless receiving separate copies of the materials, you may request delivery of a single copy in the future by contacting the Corporate Secretary at the contact information shown above.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

A: Only stockholders of record on November 23, 2015 are entitled to notice of and to attend and/or vote at the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments of the Annual Meeting. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote for each director to be elected and one vote for each of the other matters to be voted on.

Q: How can I vote my shares?

A: You can vote your shares in one of two ways: either by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting by written ballot. If you choose to vote by proxy, you may vote your shares by telephone or the Internet by following the instructions on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials. You may also vote your shares by requesting a printed copy of our proxy materials and by signing, dating and returning the proxy card that you will then receive. Each of these procedures is explained below. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, our Board recommends that you submit a proxy card in advance by telephone, Internet or mail. In this way, your shares of common stock will be voted as directed by you even if you are unable to attend the Annual Meeting.

Q: May I change my vote?

A: Yes. You may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the Annual Meeting by taking any of the following actions:

by giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary of Commercial Metals Company at 6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039;

Table of Contents

by subsequently executing and delivering a new proxy; or

by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Q: How many shares must be present to conduct the Annual Meeting?

A: We must have a quorum to conduct the Annual Meeting. A quorum is a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting, present in person or by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present. On November 23, 2015, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, there were 116,547,972 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding, not including approximately 12,512,692 treasury shares. There were no shares of our preferred stock outstanding on November 23, 2015.

Q: How do I vote if I cannot attend the Annual Meeting in person?

A: By voting your shares by telephone or via the Internet by following the instructions on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you requested a printed copy of our proxy materials, by signing, dating and returning the proxy card you received, you will enable Joseph Alvarado, Barbara R. Smith and Paul K. Kirkpatrick, each of whom is named on the proxy card as a Proxy Holder, to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting in the manner you indicate. When you vote your shares by proxy, you can specify whether your shares should be voted for or against each of the nominees for director identified in Proposal 1. You can also specify how you want your shares voted with respect to Proposals 2 and 3, which are described elsewhere in this proxy statement.

Management of the Company is not aware of any matters other than those described in this proxy statement that may be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. If you vote by proxy and any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, the proxy holders will have discretion to vote for you on those matters.

Voting by Mail. You can vote by mail by requesting a printed copy of our proxy materials and by signing, dating and returning the proxy card you will then receive in the postage-paid envelope provided.

Voting via the Internet. You can vote your shares via the Internet by following the instructions provided on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials. The Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate your identity and to allow you to vote your shares and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. Voting by Internet authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had submitted a validly executed proxy card.

Voting by Telephone. You can vote your shares by telephone by following the instructions provided on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials. The telephone voting procedures are designed to authenticate your identity and to allow you to vote your shares and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. Voting by telephone authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had submitted a validly executed proxy card.

Q: May I vote in person at the Annual Meeting?

A: Yes, you may vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you attend in person and use a written ballot. However, if your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, you must bring a legal proxy or other proof from that bank, broker, trust or other nominee granting you authority to vote your shares directly at the Annual Meeting. If you vote by proxy and also attend the Annual Meeting, you do not need to vote again at the Annual Meeting unless you wish to change your vote. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we strongly urge you to vote in advance by proxy by telephone, Internet or mail as described in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials you previously received.

Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

A: If your shares are registered in your name with our transfer agent, Broadridge Corporate Issuer Solutions, Inc., you are the stockholder of record of those shares, and this proxy statement and any accompanying documents have been provided directly to you by the Company.

Table of Contents

In contrast, if you purchased your shares through a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, the bank, broker, trust or other nominee will be the stockholder of record of those shares. Generally, when this occurs, the bank, broker, trust or other nominee will automatically put your shares into street name, which means that the bank, broker, trust or other nominee will hold your shares in its name or another nominee's name and not in your name, but will keep records showing you as the real or beneficial owner. If you hold shares beneficially in street name, this proxy statement and any accompanying documents have been forwarded to you by your bank, broker, trust or other holder of record.

Q: What are broker non-votes?

A: A broker non-vote occurs when a bank, broker, trust or other nominee does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner and does not have the discretion to direct the voting of the shares. Broker non-votes are not counted as votes against a proposal or as abstentions, and will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of votes present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to a particular proposal or the number of votes cast on a particular proposal. As described below, banks, brokers, trusts and other nominees will not have discretion to vote on the election of directors or the advisory vote on executive compensation.

Q: Will my shares be voted if I do not provide instructions to my bank, broker, trust or other nominee?

A: If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, the bank, broker, trust or other nominee, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. Under applicable New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules, if you hold your shares through a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, and your bank, broker, trust or other nominee delivers this proxy statement to you, but you do not give instructions to the bank, broker, trust or other nominee, the bank, broker, trust or other nominee does not have the discretion to vote on the election of directors or the advisory vote on executive compensation. **THEREFORE, UNLESS YOU PROVIDE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS TO THE BANK, BROKER, TRUST OR OTHER NOMINEE HOLDING SHARES ON YOUR BEHALF, THE BANK, BROKER, TRUST OR OTHER NOMINEE WILL NOT HAVE DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY TO VOTE YOUR SHARES ON THESE PROPOSALS.** We strongly encourage you to vote your proxy or provide voting instructions to the bank, broker, trust or other nominee so that your vote on these matters will be counted.

Under NYSE rules, if you hold your shares through a bank, broker, trust or other nominee and your bank, broker, trust or other nominee delivers this proxy statement to you, but you do not give instructions to the bank, broker, trust or other nominee, the bank, broker, trust or other nominee will have the discretion to vote on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Q: What are the proposals and what is the required vote for each?

A: *Proposal 1:* Election of Directors. Each director will be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that director's election in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, so the number of shares voted for a nominee must exceed the number of shares voted against such nominee. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as a vote cast either for or against a nominee's election.

Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares having voting power represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt Proposal 2. An abstention on Proposal 2 will have the same effect as a vote against Proposal 2. A broker non-vote will not have any effect on Proposal 2 and will not be counted.

Proposal 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. Proposal 3 is being submitted to enable stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares having

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

voting power represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt Proposal 3. An abstention on Proposal 3 will have the same effect as a vote against Proposal 3. A broker non-vote will not have any effect on Proposal 3

Table of Contents

and will not be counted. Proposal 3 is an advisory vote only, and therefore it will not bind the Company or our Board. However, our Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the voting results, as appropriate, when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

Q: What are the recommendations of our Board?

A: Our Board recommends that you vote:

FOR Proposal 1 the election of the four nominees for director nominated by our Board and named in this proxy statement;

FOR Proposal 2 the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016; and

FOR Proposal 3 the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Q: Who will count the votes?

A: Votes will be counted by one or more independent inspectors of election appointed by the Company for the Annual Meeting.

Q: What happens if the Annual Meeting is adjourned?

A: If we adjourn the Annual Meeting, we will conduct the same business at the adjourned meeting, and our Board can decide to set a new record date for determining stockholders entitled to vote at the adjourned meeting, or decide to only allow the stockholders entitled to vote at the original meeting to vote at the adjourned meeting. According to the Company's third amended and restated bylaws, when a meeting is adjourned to another time or place, notice need not be given of the adjourned meeting if the time and place, if any, and the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such adjourned meeting are announced at the meeting at which the adjournment is taken. However, if the date of any adjourned meeting is more than 30 days after the date for which the meeting was originally scheduled to take place, notice of the place, if any, date, and time of the adjourned meeting and the means of remote communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxyholders may be deemed to be present in person and vote at such adjourned meeting must be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. If after the adjournment a new record date for stockholders entitled to vote is fixed for the adjourned meeting, our Board will fix a new record date for notice of such adjourned meeting and will give notice of the adjourned meeting to each stockholder entitled to vote at such adjourned meeting as of the record date fixed for notice of such adjourned meeting.

Q: Whom can I contact if I have questions?

A: If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting or how to vote your shares, please call the office of our General Counsel at (214) 689-4300.

Q: Where can I find the voting results?

A: We will report the voting results in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Table of Contents

**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS
AND MANAGEMENT**

On the basis of filings with the SEC and other information, we believe that based on 116,547,972 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of November 23, 2015, the following persons beneficially owned more than five percent (5%) of our outstanding common stock:

Name and Address	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc.(1) 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	11,912,582	10.2%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP(2) Palisades West, Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, TX 78746	8,082,925	6.9%
The Vanguard Group(3) 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	7,301,872	6.3%
Allianz Global Investors U.S. Holdings LLC(4) 680 Newport Center Drive, Suite 250 Newport Beach, CA 92660	5,880,460	5.0%

- (1) Based on the information provided pursuant to Amendment No. 6 to the Schedule 13G filed by BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) with the SEC on January 9, 2015 (the Blackrock Schedule 13G). BlackRock reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 11,614,938 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 11,912,582 shares of common stock. The BlackRock Schedule 13G states that various persons have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the common stock and that no one person s interest in the common stock is more than 5% of the total outstanding common shares.
- (2) Based on the information provided pursuant to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 13G filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (DFA) with the SEC on February 5, 2015 (the DFA Schedule 13G). DFA reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 7,965,179 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 8,082,925 shares of common stock. The DFA Schedule 13G states that various funds have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the common stock and that no one fund s interest in the common stock is more than 5% of the total outstanding common shares.
- (3) Based on the information provided pursuant to Amendment No. 2 to the Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group with the SEC on February 11, 2015 (the Vanguard Schedule 13G). The Vanguard Group reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 164,388 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 7,145,324 shares of common stock, and shared dispositive power with respect to 156,488 shares of common stock. The Vanguard Schedule 13G states that no one person s interest in the common stock is more than 5% of the total outstanding common shares.
- (4) Based on the information provided pursuant to Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 13G filed by Allianz Global Investors U.S. Holdings LLC (Allianz) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, NFJ Investment Group LLC (NFJ), with the SEC on February 13, 2015 (the Allianz NFJ Schedule 13G). Allianz reported that it has no voting or dispositive power with respect to shares of common stock. NFJ reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 5,819,660 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,888,460 shares of common

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

stock. The Allianz NFJ Schedule 13G states that various persons have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the common stock and that no one person's interest in the common stock is more than 5% of the total outstanding common shares.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information known to us about the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of November 23, 2015 by each director and nominee for director, our CEO, our Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the other executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table, and all current directors and executive officers as a group based on 116,547,972 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of November 23, 2015. Unless stated otherwise in the notes to the table, each person named below has sole authority to vote and dispose of the shares listed.

Name	Owned Shares of Common Stock	Option Shares of Common Stock(1)	Total Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
Directors and Director Nominees (excluding Mr. Alvarado)				
Vicki L. Avril	12,044(2)		12,044	*
Rhys J. Best	50,027	28,000	78,027	*
Robert L. Guido	54,701	28,000	82,701	*
Richard B. Kelson	40,718(3)	14,000	54,718	*
Anthony A. Massaro	31,876(4)	28,000	59,876	*
Rick J. Mills	66,636(5)		66,636	*
Sarah E. Raiss	43,971(6)	14,000	57,971	*
J. David Smith	58,289	42,000	100,289	*
Charles L. Szews	15,582		15,582	*
Joseph C. Winkler	32,019		32,019	*
Named Executive Officers				
Joseph Alvarado	340,968	113,537	454,505	*
John Elmore	74,570		74,570	*
Terry P. Hatten	19,620(7)		19,620	*
Tracy L. Porter	133,094	19,651	152,745	*
Barbara R. Smith	88,481	18,668	107,149	*
All directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group (19 persons)	1,133,003(8)	314,692	1,447,695	1.2%

* Less than one percent (1%)

(1) Represents shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of November 23, 2015.

(2) Includes 7,373 deferred RSUs that Ms. Avril has elected to have distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service.

(3) Includes 31,380 deferred RSUs that Mr. Kelson has elected to have distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service.

(4) Includes 3,876 deferred RSUs that Mr. Massaro has elected to have distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service.

(5) Includes 52,719 deferred RSUs that Mr. Mills has elected to have distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service.

- (6) Includes 13,354 deferred RSUs that Ms. Raiss has elected to have distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service and 3,602 RSUs vesting on January 13, 2016.
- (7) Includes 9,686 RSUs vesting on December 9, 2015.
- (8) Includes 13,288 RSUs vesting within 60 days of November 23, 2015 and 108,702 deferred RSUs to be distributed not more than 60 days immediately following termination of service.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requires directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than ten percent (10%) of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and any of our other equity securities. Based solely upon our review of the copies of such forms received by us or written representations that no other forms were required from reporting persons, we believe that all such reports were submitted on a timely basis during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our restated certificate of incorporation divides our Board into three classes. The term of office of the Class III directors expires at the Annual Meeting. There are four Class III nominees standing for election at the Annual Meeting. Proxies cannot be voted for the election of more than four persons to our Board at the Annual Meeting. The term of the Class I directors ends at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, and the term of the Class II directors ends at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders. The term of the Class III directors elected at the Annual Meeting ends at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders.

Each nominee named in this proxy statement has consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve if elected. If any nominee is unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted for the person, if any, designated by our Board to replace such nominee. However, the Company has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unavailable. All of the director nominees, as well as the continuing directors, plan to attend this year's Annual Meeting. The following table sets forth information about the nominees and the continuing directors.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR NOMINEES**

Name, Principal	Age	Served as Director Since
Occupation and Other Information <i>Class III Term to Expire in 2016</i> Rhys J. Best Retired Former Chairman, President and CEO of Lone Star Technologies, Inc.; currently serving as non-executive Chairman of Austin Industries, Inc.	69	2010

Business Experience: Mr. Best has been engaged in private investments since June 2007. From 1999 until June 2004, Mr. Best served as Chairman, President and CEO of Lone Star Technologies, Inc., a company engaged in producing and marketing casing, tubing, line pipe and couplings for the oil and gas, industrial, automotive and power generation industries until its acquisition by United States Steel Corporation in June 2007, and from June 2004 to June 2007, Mr. Best served as Chairman and CEO of Lone Star Technologies, Inc.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Best is a director of Trinity Industries, Inc., Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation and MRC Global, Inc. He is the former non-executive Chairman of Crosstex Energy (now EnLink Midstream).

Qualifications: Mr. Best brings to our Board chief executive leadership and business management experience, as well as strong business acumen and financial and strategic planning expertise. His service on the boards of directors of other publicly traded companies provides our Board with a broad perspective and experience in the areas of management, operations and strategy, as well as additional perspective on the Company's operations, including its international operations and steel manufacturing.

Richard B. Kelson Chairman, President and CEO of ServCo, LLC	69	2010
--	----	------

Business Experience: Since July 2009, Mr. Kelson has been the Chairman, President and CEO of ServCo, LLC, a strategic sourcing company. Mr. Kelson was an operating advisor of Pegasus Capital, a private equity investment firm, from September 2006 to March 2010. From 1974 to August 2006, Mr. Kelson served in a variety of capacities at Alcoa, Inc., a producer of primary aluminum, fabricated aluminum and alumina, including Chairman's Counsel from January 2006 to August 2006 and Executive Vice President and CFO from 1997 to December 2005.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Kelson is a director of PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and ANADIGICS, Inc., and he is a former director of Lighting Science Group Corporation and MeadWestvaco Corporation.

Qualifications: Mr. Kelson brings significant financial and business knowledge and leadership experience to our Board. His past service as an operating advisor provides our Board with valuable contributions in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, capital deployment and other major financial decisions. His service as a leader of a global integrated

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

aluminum manufacturer provides additional perspective on the Company's global industrial and manufacturing operations. His service on the boards of directors of other publicly traded companies provides our Board with a broad perspective and experience in the areas of management, operations and strategy.

Rick J. Mills

68

2012

Retired Former Corporate Vice-President and President of Components Group of Cummins, Inc.

Business Experience: Mr. Mills served as the Corporate Vice-President and President of Components Group of Cummins, Inc., a manufacturer of service engines and related technologies, from 2005 to 2008. Mr. Mills spent over 37 years with Cummins, serving in a variety of financial roles before being named Vice President and General Manager of Atlas

Table of Contents

Name, Principal

**Served as
Director
Since**

Occupation and Other Information

Age

Inc., a former Cummins business that manufactured engine components, in 1988. He then served as President of Atlas, Inc. from 1990 to 1993, Vice President of the Pacific Rim and Latin America operations for Cummins Filtration (formerly Fleetguard Inc.) from 1993 to 1996, Corporate Controller of Cummins, Inc. from 1996 to 2000 and Vice-President and Group President of Filtration of Cummins, Inc. from 2000 to 2005.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Mills is a director of Masonite Corporation and Flowserve Corporation. He is a former director of Gerdau Ameristeel and Rohm and Haas Company.

Qualifications: Mr. Mills brings to our Board significant leadership, operational and strategic experience gained in his 37 years at Cummins, Inc. in a variety of financial, managerial and executive positions. Mr. Mills has significant international experience from his leadership roles of the Pacific Rim and Latin America operations at Cummins, Inc., which provides our Board valuable insight into the Company's international operations and strategy. In addition, Mr. Mills' experience as a director of an international manufacturer and an international steel producer and recycler brings valuable corporate leadership and strategy development knowledge to our Board.

Charles L. Szews

59

2014

CEO of Oshkosh Corporation

Business Experience: Since August 2012, Mr. Szews has served as CEO of Oshkosh Corporation, a designer, manufacturer and marketer of specialty vehicles and vehicle bodies. Prior to his current role, Mr. Szews served in various capacities at Oshkosh, including as President and CEO from 2011 to 2012, as President and Chief Operating Officer (COO) from 2007 to 2011 and as Executive Vice President and CFO from 1997 to 2007. Prior to joining Oshkosh, Mr. Szews held a series of executive positions with Fort Howard Corporation for eight years. Prior to Fort Howard Corporation, Mr. Szews was an auditor with Ernst & Young serving in various offices and capacities over a ten-year period.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Szews is a director of Oshkosh Corporation, and he is a former director of Gardner Denver, Inc.

Qualifications: Mr. Szews' extensive financial and audit experience in a variety of positions combined with his operational experience, as well as his substantial M&A experience, are a complementary asset to our Board. In addition, he brings vast experience in manufacturing, technology and international markets that will provide knowledge and insight into our Company's global operations.

Table of Contents**DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE**

Name, Principal	Age	Served as Director Since
Occupation and Other Information Class I Term to Expire in 2017 Vicki L. Avril Retired Former President and CEO of IPSCO Tubulars, Inc.	61	2014

Business Experience: From 2008 to 2013, Ms. Avril served as President and CEO of IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., a manufacturer of tubular products. Ms. Avril served in various capacities at IPSCO Inc. from 2004 to 2007, including Senior Vice President, IPSCO Tubular Operations, Senior Vice President and CFO. She served as Senior Vice President and CFO of Wallace Computer Services, Inc. from 2001 to 2003. Prior thereto, Ms. Avril served in a variety of roles at Inland Steel Industries Inc. from 1976 to 1998 before being named Vice President Finance and CFO in 1998.

Other Board Experience: Ms. Avril is a director of Greif, Inc. and Global Brass and Copper Holdings, Inc.

Qualifications: Ms. Avril brings to our Board extensive management and leadership experience in the metals, distribution and manufacturing industries. This includes vast experience in both the fully integrated and the scrap based electric arc furnace steel industry. Ms. Avril has strong business acumen with substantial expertise in mergers and acquisitions, strategy, restructuring, finance and accounting through her experience as CEO and CFO for various public companies.

Robert L. Guido Retired Former Vice Chair and CEO of Ernst & Young's Assurance and Advisory Practice	69	2007
--	----	------

Business Experience: During Mr. Guido's 38-year career with Ernst & Young, most recently serving as Vice Chair and CEO of Ernst & Young's Assurance and Advisory Practice, he worked with clients in many industries, from privately held companies to some of the firm's largest global companies. In addition to client facing roles, he co-chaired the firm's Global Client Steering Committee, which was comprised of the firm's most senior partners who work with global clients, and served as the Vice-Chair of the audit practice and the Regional Partner in charge of human resources.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Guido is a former director of Bally Technologies, Inc. and served a three-year term on the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's (PCAOB) Standing Advisory Group, which provides the PCAOB with input on its standard-setting process. Mr. Guido currently serves on the Risk Advisory Council of the National Association of Corporate Directors.

Qualifications: Mr. Guido brings to our Board a significant level of financial and accounting expertise, as well as extensive experience in mergers and acquisitions, which he developed throughout his 38-year career at Ernst & Young. His service at Ernst & Young as a senior advisory and engagement partner to numerous global companies provides him

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

with an in-depth understanding of the range of issues facing global companies. Mr. Guido is experienced at engaging senior management and boards in discussions encompassing key business issues such as strategy, financing alternatives, acquisitions, human resources and restructuring matters. He also brings to our Board important knowledge of and experience with the SEC and the PCAOB from his prior dealings with these agencies as a public accountant. Mr. Guido has a valuable background in corporate governance, audit committee best practices and enterprise risk management based on his experiences as a public accountant, guest lecturer and author on enterprise risk management.

Table of Contents

Name, Principal	Age	Served as Director Since
Occupation and Other Information Sarah E. Raiss Retired Former Executive Vice President Corporate Services, TransCanada Corporation	58	2011

Business Experience: Ms. Raiss was employed by TransCanada Corporation, a North American energy infrastructure company, from 1999 to 2011, most recently serving as Executive Vice President, Corporate Services, from 2002 to 2011. Prior to joining TransCanada, Ms. Raiss served in various engineering, operations, strategic planning and marketing positions in the telecommunications industry at Ameritech Corporation and its subsidiary, Michigan Bell.

Other Board Experience: Ms. Raiss is a director of Canadian Oil Sands, Vermillion Energy and Loblaw Companies. She is a former director of MicroPlanet Technologies Corporation, a TSX Venture Exchange Company, at which Ms. Raiss assisted in establishing a governance framework as it became publicly traded, and Shoppers Drug Mart.

Qualifications: Ms. Raiss brings to our Board strong business acumen and business management experience, as well as functional expertise in strategic planning, merger integration, human resources and corporate governance, all gained through her management and board experiences at significant industrial enterprises. Her service as Executive Vice President, Corporate Services of TransCanada Corporation and director of various publicly traded companies provide our Board with additional perspective on corporate strategy and opportunities for current and future operations. In addition, Ms. Raiss has received an Institute of Corporate Directors professional designation and has completed courses at Harvard on Making Boards More Effective and at the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School of Business on Creating Value Through Finance.

J. David Smith Retired Former Chairman, President and CEO, Euramax International, Inc.; currently serving as non-executive Chairman of Nortek, Inc.	66	2004
---	----	------

Business Experience: Mr. Smith served as Chairman, President and CEO of Euramax International, Inc., an international producer of aluminum, steel, vinyl, copper and fiberglass products for construction and transportation markets, from 1996 to 2008. In 2011, Mr. Smith served as Interim CEO of Nortek, Inc., an international producer of building products, HVAC systems, ergonomic furniture systems and security systems. Prior thereto, he served as President of Alumax Fabricated Products, Inc. from 1989 to 1996, and held numerous senior operating roles in its predecessor companies from 1972 to 1989.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Smith serves as non-executive Chairman of Nortek, Inc.

Qualifications: Mr. Smith brings to our Board managerial and operational expertise gained through his broad experience in managing and leading a significant industrial and manufacturing enterprise. His service as the Chairman, President and CEO of Euramax International, Inc. provides our Board with additional international and strategic perspectives. Mr. Smith's interim leadership position at Nortek, as well as his service on Nortek's board, have provided him with valuable management, governance and leadership experience that he brings to our Board. In addition, his service on several boards of international companies provides him with international experience and enables him to

make valuable contributions to our international growth strategies.

Table of Contents

Name, Principal	Age	Served as Director Since
Occupation and Other Information Class II Term to Expire in 2018 Joseph Alvarado Chairman, President and CEO of Commercial Metals Company	63	2011

Business Experience: Mr. Alvarado joined the Company in April 2010 as Executive Vice President and COO. He was named President and COO in April 2011, and in June 2011, he was appointed President and CEO effective September 2011. He was elected to our Board in September 2011 and was appointed as Chairman in January 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Alvarado served as President and COO at Dallas, Texas-based Lone Star Technologies, Inc., a company engaged in producing and marketing casing, tubing, line pipe and couplings for the oil and gas, industrial, automotive and power generation industries, from 2004 through 2007. He held such positions until United States Steel Corporation, a steel manufacturer, named him President of U.S. Steel Tubular Products in June 2007 after completing its acquisition of Lone Star Technologies, Inc. and its related companies, a position he held until March 2009.

Mr. Alvarado began his career in steelmaking at Inland Steel Company in 1976, serving in various capacities until he was promoted to President of the Inland Steel Bar Company in 1995. Subsequently, Mr. Alvarado served as Executive Vice President-Commercial at Birmingham Steel Company from 1997 to 1998. In 1998, Mr. Alvarado joined Ispat North America Inc. as Vice President-Long Products Sales and Marketing, where he served until joining Lone Star Technologies in 2004.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Alvarado is a director of Spectra Energy Corp.

Qualifications: Mr. Alvarado has extensive experience in the metals, trading and manufacturing industries, which provides him with a keen understanding of the Company's industry and customer and consumer dynamics.

Mr. Alvarado's vast experience in the steel industry has provided him with valuable knowledge of accounting, sales, manufacturing, planning and operations, all of which are relevant to his leadership of the Company and his service on our Board. His experience in domestic and global, integrated and minimill, and flat and long products further qualify him to lead the Company and serve on our Board. His service as our President and CEO as well as Chairman of our Board provides our Board with significant perspective on our global operations. His in-depth knowledge of the Company's strategic priorities and operations enable him to provide valuable contributions and facilitate effective communication between management and our Board. His role as President and CEO also enables him to provide important contributions to strengthening the Company's leadership, operations, strategy, growth and long-range plans.

Anthony A. Massaro Retired Former Chairman, President and CEO of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc.	71	1999
--	----	------

Business Experience: Mr. Massaro served as the non-executive Chairman of our Board from January 2012 to January 2013. Prior thereto, Mr. Massaro served as President and CEO of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc., a manufacturer of welding and cutting equipment, from 1996 to January 2005, and as Chairman from May 1997 to October 2005. Prior to becoming CEO of Lincoln Electric, he served as President and COO and also as President of both Lincoln Europe and Lincoln International. Prior to joining Lincoln Electric in 1993, Mr. Massaro served as a Group President of Westinghouse Electric Corporation, which he joined in 1967. Prior to his service as a Group President, he served as Westinghouse's Executive Vice President for the Industrials and Environmental Group and held a series of engineering and management positions in Westinghouse's nuclear, international and automation divisions.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Massaro serves as a director of PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. He is a former director of Thomas Industries and USG Energy.

Table of Contents

Name, Principal

Occupation and Other Information

Qualifications: Mr. Massaro has broad experience in leading a significant industrial enterprise, particularly with respect to international operations and business management. His service as the Chairman, President and CEO of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. provides our Board with strong global business acumen and financial and strategic planning expertise. His strong international background provides our Board with additional perspective on corporate strategy and opportunities for current and future international operations. In addition, his public-company directorship service provides our Board with experience in the areas of management, operations and strategy and provides additional perspective on the Company's operations.

Joseph Winkler

Retired Former Chairman and CEO of Complete Production Services, Inc.

**Served as
Director
Since**
Age

64 2012

Business Experience: Mr. Winkler served as the Chairman and CEO of Complete Production Services, Inc., an oilfield services provider, from March 2007 to February 2012. Prior thereto, Mr. Winkler served as President and CEO of Complete Production Services, Inc. from March 2005 to March 2007. Prior to joining Complete Production Services, Inc., Mr. Winkler was an executive for National Oilwell Varco and several of its predecessor entities from April 1996 to March 2005.

Other Board Experience: Mr. Winkler serves as a director of Hi-Crush Partners LP, Eclipse Resources and TETRA Technologies, Inc. He is a former director of Dresser-Rand (DRC).

Qualifications: Mr. Winkler brings to our Board significant leadership, operational and strategic experience gained from his service as Chairman and CEO of Complete Production Services, Inc. and his executive experience at National Oilwell Varco and its predecessors. His public-company board of directors service provides our Board with valuable corporate leadership, governance and strategy development knowledge.

There is no family relationship between any of the directors, executive officers, or any nominee for director.

Vote Required

Directors are elected by majority vote, and cumulative voting is not permitted.

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the election of the nominees for director named above: Rhys J. Best, Richard B. Kelson, Rick J. Mills and Charles L. Szews.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; BOARD AND COMMITTEE MATTERS

Director Independence. Our Board has determined, after considering all of the relevant facts and circumstances, that Mmes. Avril and Raiss and Messrs. Best, Guido, Kelson, Massaro, Mills, Smith, Szews and Winkler are independent, as independence is defined by the listing standards of the NYSE, because they have no direct or indirect material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us) that would cause the independence requirements of the NYSE listing standards not to be satisfied.

Board Leadership Structure. Mr. Alvarado serves as the Chairman of the Board, President and CEO of the Company. Our Board has concluded that combining the roles of CEO and Chairman of the Board is the most effective leadership structure for the Company at the present time as it promotes unified leadership and direction for the Company, allowing for a single, clear focus for management to execute the Company's strategy and business plans. In coming to this conclusion, the independent directors considered Mr. Alvarado's service to the Company and his leadership during the Company's financial improvement. In addition, his vast experience within the Company's industry affords him a broad and uniquely well-informed perspective on the Company's business, as well as substantial insight into the trends and opportunities that may affect the Company's future. The combination of the Chairman and CEO roles is balanced by the appointment of a Lead Director, as well as the remainder of our Board being comprised of independent directors. As discussed further below, the Lead Director is responsible for providing leadership to our Board when circumstances arise in which the joint role of the Chairman and CEO may be, or may be perceived to be, in conflict. The Lead Director also presides over those Board sessions that are attended only by independent directors. Our Board believes that having a Lead Director as part of its leadership structure promotes greater management accountability and ensures that directors have an independent contact on matters of concern to them.

Lead Director. When considered appropriate, our corporate governance guidelines permit the designation of a Lead Director by the majority vote of independent directors. The independent directors designated Mr. Kelson as Lead Director to serve until the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders. The responsibilities of the Lead Director include (i) convening and presiding over executive sessions attended only by independent and non-employee directors, (ii) communicating to the CEO the substance of discussions held during those sessions to the extent requested by the participants, (iii) serving as a liaison between the Chairman of the Board and our Board's independent directors on sensitive issues, (iv) consulting with the Chairman of the Board on meeting schedules and agendas in order to assure that sufficient time is available for discussion of agenda items, (v) consulting with the Chairman of the Board regarding materials to be sent to our Board, including the format and adequacy of information, (vi) consulting with the Chairman of the Board to assure the effectiveness of our Board meeting process and (vii) presiding at meetings of our Board in the event of the Chairman of the Board's unavailability. The Lead Director is also available to receive direct communications from stockholders through Board approved procedures and may periodically, as directed by our Board, be asked to speak for the Company or perform other responsibilities.

Board Role in Risk Oversight. Management has responsibility for evaluating and managing overall risk to the enterprise. Our Board assesses the enterprise-level risks that face the Company from a strategic point of view and reviews options for risk mitigation presented by management. The responsibility to review and assess such risk exposure includes reviewing regulatory, safety, environmental and financial matters, contingent liabilities, and other risks which may be material to the Company, as well as the activities of management in identifying, assessing and mitigating against business, commercial, regulatory, operational, financial and other risks associated with the Company's products and services. The Chairman, President and CEO periodically reports to our Board on his and management's assessment of risks impacting the Company. The Audit Committee, discussed below, has the responsibility for reviewing the Company's major financial reporting risks or exposures and to assess the steps taken by management to monitor and control such risks and exposures. The Audit Committee's review of these risks and exposures includes, but is not limited to: (i) insurance; (ii) any special-purpose entities, complex financing transactions and related off-balance sheet accounting matters; and (iii) legal matters that may significantly impact the Company's financial statements or risk management. In addition, the Finance Committee provides ongoing guidance and oversight of transactions involving financing, investments and merger and acquisition activity. Both of these committees provide the Compensation Committee with a

Table of Contents

perspective on the relationship between compensation and risk, which the Compensation Committee uses in its evaluation of management compensation in order to ensure management's continued focus on growth in stockholder value without incentivizing undue risk.

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics. Our Board has adopted corporate governance guidelines. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines reflect the principles by which we operate. From time to time, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and our Board review and revise our Corporate Governance Guidelines in response to regulatory requirements and evolving leading practices for similarly situated companies. We have also adopted a Code of Conduct and Business Ethics (the Code of Conduct), which applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. In addition, we have adopted a separate Financial Code of Ethics which is applicable to our CEO, CFO, Corporate Controller, any person who may function as a Chief Accounting Officer or persons performing similar functions. We intend to post any amendments to or waivers from our Financial Code of Ethics and our Code of Conduct on our website to the extent applicable to our CEO, CFO, Corporate Controller, any person who may function as a Chief Accounting Officer or persons performing similar functions. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Code of Conduct, the Financial Code of Ethics and other information are available at our website, www.cmc.com, and such information is available in print to any stockholder, without charge, upon request to Commercial Metals Company, 6565 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by calling (214) 689-4300.

Communications by Stockholders and Other Interested Parties. Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Lead Director or any of the non-employee and independent directors by submitting a letter addressed to their individual attention or to the attention of non-employee directors c/o Corporate Secretary at P.O. Box 1046, Dallas, Texas 75221.

Meetings of Our Board. In fiscal year 2015, the entire Board met six times, all of which were regularly scheduled meetings. All directors attended at least seventy-five percent (75%) or more of the meetings of our Board and of the committees on which they served. We expect all directors and nominees to attend the Annual Meeting. All directors attended the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

Executive Sessions. As required by the NYSE listing standards, non-employee and independent directors regularly schedule executive sessions in which they meet without the presence of employee directors or management. The presiding director at such executive sessions is the Lead Director. In fiscal year 2015, the non-employee directors, which include all members of our Board other than Mr. Alvarado, held six non-employee director sessions in connection with Board meetings and no stand-alone meetings.

Board Committees

We have four standing board committees: Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Finance. Each of these committees is comprised entirely of independent directors. Our Board has adopted charters for each of these committees describing the authority and responsibilities delegated to each committee by our Board. All committee charters are available at our website, www.cmc.com, and available in print to any stockholder, without charge, upon request to Commercial Metals Company, 6565 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by calling (214) 689-4300.

Audit Committee. Our Board has a standing Audit Committee that performs the activities more fully described in the Audit Committee Report on page 55. For the 2015 fiscal year, the members of the Audit Committee were Messrs. Mills (Chairman), Guido, Massaro and Szews and Ms. Avril. In addition, Mr. Harold Adams served on the Audit Committee through January 14, 2015, when he retired from the Board. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, the Audit Committee met five times, which included, when appropriate, executive sessions consisting only of members of the Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee. Our Board has a standing Compensation Committee that is responsible for the matters described in the Compensation Committee's charter, including (i) annually reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO and the other executive officers, (ii) evaluating the performance of the CEO and the other executive officers in light of those goals and objectives and (iii) determining and approving the CEO's compensation based on this evaluation as well as setting the

Table of Contents

compensation of the other executive officers following a review with the CEO of the CEO's evaluation, recommendations and decisions as to the performance and compensation of the other executive officers.

Additional responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are:

- (i) to assist our Board in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to the establishment, administration and monitoring of fair and competitive compensation and benefits programs for our executive officers and other executives;
- (ii) to make recommendations to our Board with respect to incentive compensation plans, equity based plans and other compensation and benefits programs that are subject to Board approval;
- (iii) to administer the Company's incentive compensation, stock option and other equity based plans, including approving option guidelines and grants, making, modifying, substituting or canceling grants, designating participants, interpreting the plans and programs, determining plan and program rules and regulations and imposing limitations, restrictions and conditions upon any award;
- (iv) to review and make recommendations to our Board regarding any employment, severance, change in control or separation agreement, or any deferred compensation arrangement, to be entered into with any executive officer;
- (v) to review and discuss with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) included in the proxy statement and, based on such review and discussion, recommend to our Board that such CD&A be included in the proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- (vi) to prepare the Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in the proxy statement;
- (vii) to conduct a Compensation Committee self-assessment annually; and
- (viii) to review the Compensation Committee's charter annually.

The members of the Compensation Committee for fiscal year 2015 were Ms. Raiss (Chairman) and Messrs. Best, Kelson, Smith and Winkler. The Compensation Committee met six times during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, which included, when appropriate, executive sessions consisting only of members of the Compensation Committee. For a further discussion of the Compensation Committee's role in executive officer compensation, the role of executive officers in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive compensation and the Compensation Committee's engagement and use of independent third-party compensation consultants, please see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our Board has a standing Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee that is responsible for the matters described in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's charter, including (i) identifying and making recommendations as to individuals qualified to be nominated for election to our Board and Board committees, (ii) monitoring developments in corporate governance matters and overseeing compliance with statutes, rules and regulations relating thereto, including reviewing, assessing and making recommendations to our Board with respect to our corporate governance guidelines, (iii) overseeing the annual self-evaluation of the performance of our Board and management, (iv) overseeing and recommending compensation of non-employee directors, (v) other corporate governance matters, (vi) reviewing and overseeing director orientation and continuing education, (vii) overseeing compliance with regulations regarding certain disclosures to stockholders, (viii) conducting a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee self-assessment annually and (ix) annually reviewing the charters of each committee of the Board, including the charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. For the fiscal year 2015, the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee were Messrs. Best (Chairman), Guido and Kelson and Ms. Raiss. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times during the fiscal year ended August 31,

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

2015, which included, when appropriate, executive sessions consisting only of members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Finance Committee. Our Board has established a standing Finance Committee that is responsible for the matters described in the Finance Committee's charter, including reviewing and making recommendations to our

Table of Contents

Board with respect to (i) potential strategic transactions including mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures and other investments and proposed major capital expenditures along with reviewing the performance of the foregoing, (ii) our cash position, capital structure and strategies, financing strategies, debt arrangements and insurance coverage matters, (iii) our dividend policy, stock splits and stock repurchases and debt arrangements, (iv) the issuances, as appropriate, of debt or equity securities and (v) the adequacy of the funding of our funded retirement plans and welfare benefits plans (other than those plans maintained pursuant to a collective agreement that names a joint administrative board as the governing plan fiduciary) in terms of our corporate purposes and objectives. The Finance Committee also conducts annually an evaluation of its own performance and, in light of this, considers changes in the membership, charter or procedures of the committee. During the 2015 fiscal year, the members of the Finance Committee were Messrs. Winkler (Chairman), Massaro, Mills and Smith. In addition, Mr. Adams served on the Finance Committee through January 14, 2015, when he retired from the Board. The Finance Committee met six times during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, which included, when appropriate, executive sessions consisting only of members of the Finance Committee.

Selection of Nominees for Election to Our Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has established a process for identifying and evaluating nominees for directors. Directors should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, and be committed to representing the long-term interests of stockholders. Director candidates must also have an inquisitive and objective perspective, practical wisdom and mature judgment. In addition to considering these qualifications, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider such relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including the current composition of our Board, the evaluations of other prospective nominees, and the need for any required expertise on our Board or one of its committees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also contemplates multiple dynamics that promote and advance diversity among the members of our Board. Although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal diversity policy, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers a number of factors regarding diversity of personal and professional backgrounds (both domestic and international), national origins, specialized skills and acumen, racial and gender diversity, and breadth of experience in industry, manufacturing, financing transactions and business combinations. Dedication of sufficient time, energy and attention to ensure diligent and effective performance of their duties is expected of directors. Directors may not serve on the board of directors of more than four other publicly traded companies. Directors should be committed to serve on our Board for an extended period of time, if elected by stockholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders on the same basis that it evaluates other nominees for director. In order for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to consider persons recommended by stockholders for inclusion as nominees for election to our Board, stockholders should submit the names, biographical data and qualifications of such persons in writing in a timely manner addressed to the attention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and delivered to the Corporate Secretary of Commercial Metals Company at P.O. Box 1046, Dallas, Texas 75221. A stockholder wishing to formally nominate a director for election at a stockholder meeting must comply with the provisions in the Company's third amended and restated bylaws addressing stockholder nominations of directors.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of our Board has reviewed and discussed the following section of this proxy statement entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to our Board that this Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015.

Sarah E. Raiss (Chairman)

Rhys J. Best

Richard B. Kelson

J. David Smith

Joseph C. Winkler

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

In order to compete effectively in the steel and metal products industry, it is critical that we attract and retain leaders who can best position the Company to deliver financial and operational results for the benefit of our stockholders. We believe that we achieve this objective through our executive compensation program, which is administered by the Compensation Committee of our Board (the Committee).

Fiscal Year 2015 Performance. In fiscal year 2015, the Company continued to improve its financial results despite a challenging environment for the metals industry as a whole. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, net earnings attributable to the Company were \$141.6 million, or \$1.20 per diluted share, on net sales of \$6.0 billion. This compares to net earnings attributable to the Company of \$115.6 million, or \$0.97 per diluted share, on net sales of \$6.8 billion for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2014.

Pay-for-Performance Philosophy. The Committee designs compensation programs to align the actions of our NEOs with the long-term interests of our stockholders based on the fundamental philosophy to pay for performance. As illustrated below, for fiscal year 2015 approximately 85% of the targeted annual compensation for the CEO and, on average, 73% of the targeted annual compensation for the other NEOs was variable or at risk and tied to the Company's performance:

Based on our performance during 2015 and, in the case of our long-term incentive awards, during the 2013-2015 performance period, payouts under our Annual Cash Incentive Bonus and long-term incentive awards were as follows:

On average, the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus was paid at 133% of target for NEOs holding Company-wide positions and 131% of target for the other NEOs based on the Company's above-target performance on each of the Company financial, operational and business unit goals; and

The long-term incentive awards for the three-year performance period ending in 2015 vested at 114% of target for the NEOs other than the CEO and 113% of target for the CEO.

Corporate Governance Highlights Regarding Executive Compensation. The Committee, with the assistance of its independent compensation consultant, engages in an ongoing review of the Company's executive compensation program to evaluate whether the program supports the Company's compensation policy and serves the long-term interests of our stockholders. Following are highlights of the Company's corporate governance framework, which the Committee believes reinforces our pay-for-performance philosophy:

Metrics Based on Company and Individual Performance. Bonuses paid under the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus as well as the settlement of performance-based equity awards are determined based on pre-established Company-wide performance goals and, in the case of the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus program for some executives, business-unit performance goals. The Committee includes an additional cash incentive bonus component in the Company's executive compensation program to reward individual performance not reflected in the performance metrics established under the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus and long-term incentive programs.

Table of Contents

Market Review Process Used for Compensation Determinations. The Committee reviews external market data prepared by the Committee's independent compensation consultant when setting market-based compensation levels and considers current leading practices when making compensation decisions.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. To further align the interests of our executives and directors with those of our stockholders and to assure that our executives and directors own meaningful levels of Company stock throughout their tenures with the Company, the Committee established stock ownership guidelines for our executives and directors. The stock ownership guidelines require the non-employee directors and President and CEO to own Company stock equal in value to five times such person's annual cash retainer or base salary, as applicable, and each of our other NEOs to own Company stock equal in value to three times his or her respective base salary.

Executive Employment Continuity Agreements with No Tax Gross-Ups. The Company does not provide for excise tax gross-ups under the Executive Employment Continuity Agreements (EECAs). Under these agreements, if we determine that the payments to an executive under the Company's change in control agreement, combined with any other payments or benefits to which the executive may be entitled, would result in the imposition on the executive of an excise tax, we are required to either (i) reduce such payments to the maximum amount which would not result in the imposition of an excise tax or (ii) make such payments to the executive if, even after the executive's payment of the excise tax, the executive would receive a larger net amount.

Double Trigger Required for Receipt of Cash Severance Payments. The EECAs contain a double trigger in that there must be present both a change in control and a qualifying termination of the executive in order to trigger cash severance payments under these agreements. We believe that these agreements provide a mechanism for eliminating the distraction to the executives that is inherent in change in control events.

Clawback Policy. In fiscal year 2014, the Committee adopted a clawback policy which allows the Committee, to the extent legally permitted, to recover incentive compensation if the payment or award was predicated upon achieving certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of restated financial statements and a lower payment or award would have been made to the executive based upon the restated financial statements.

Compensation Risk. The Company's compensation policies, which are formally reviewed by the Committee with the assistance of its independent compensation consultant, are structured to discourage inappropriate risk-taking by our executives.

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policy. The Company's insider trading policy prohibits the Company's executive officers and directors from pledging the Company's securities or engaging in hedging or short-term or speculative trading of the Company's securities, including, without limitation, short sales or put or call options involving the Company's securities.

Independent Compensation Consultant. The Committee engages Hay Group, Inc. (Hay Group) as its independent compensation consultant.

As noted above, in its compensation review process, the Committee considers whether the Company's executive compensation and benefits program serves the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders. In that respect, as part of its on-going review of the Company's executive compensation program, the Committee considered the approval by more than 96% of the votes cast for the Company's say-on-pay vote at the Company's 2015 annual meeting. Based in part on such vote, the Committee determined that the Company's executive compensation objectives and compensation elements continued to be appropriate and did not make changes to the Company's executive compensation program in response to such say-on-pay vote.

Executive Compensation Participants

The Company's executive compensation program applies to senior executives and senior managers; however, per the SEC executive compensation disclosure rules, this CD&A focuses on the compensation paid or awarded to the five NEOs included in the Fiscal Year 2015 Summary Compensation Table on page 38.

Table of Contents

For fiscal year 2015, the NEOs were:

Joseph Alvarado, Chairman, President and CEO

Barbara Smith, Senior Vice President and CFO

Tracy Porter, Senior Vice President of Commercial Metals Company & President CMC Americas Division

John Elmore, Senior Vice President of Commercial Metals Company & President CMC International Division

Terry Hatten, Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer

Compensation Objectives and Principles

The Committee oversees the compensation and benefit programs of our executives. The Committee is responsible for establishing an executive compensation program that supports the successful recruitment, development and retention of the executive talent and leadership required to achieve our business objectives. The Committee is made up entirely of independent directors, consistent with the current listing standards of the NYSE.

The Committee believes that it is in the best interests of stockholders for us to establish and maintain a competitive executive compensation program. For fiscal year 2015, our base salary philosophy consisted of maintaining competitive base salaries which were targeted at approximately the 50th percentile of competitive market data, as discussed below. A significant portion of potential executive compensation is based upon our financial and operational performance, which we believe aligns executive performance goals with those of our stockholders. We will pay higher compensation when financial goals are exceeded than when such goals are not met, taking into consideration individual ability to influence results and overall economic and market conditions.

The Committee has approved an executive compensation program that it believes:

facilitates the attraction and retention of top-caliber talent;

aligns the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders; and

offers median base salaries and competitive employee benefits coupled with meaningful short- and long-term variable incentives dependent upon achieving financial performance goals.

Within the objectives listed above, the Committee generally believes that it is in the interests of the Company and its stockholders that the variable compensation performance metrics should be:

primarily based on pre-established performance goals;

designed to compensate based upon a combination of individual, business unit and Company performance; and

established and communicated early in the performance period in order to align individual performance with Company goals. In addition, the Committee believes that a portion of our executive compensation program must remain discretionary. Discretionary compensation allows the Committee (i) to evaluate and reward executive performance in areas such as employee development and training, leadership and succession planning, (ii) to perform a qualitative assessment of the business and competitive conditions in which we operate and (iii) to consider issues of internal pay equity and external market review.

Determination of Total Compensation

Independent Compensation Advisor

The Committee engages an independent compensation consultant to assist it in an ongoing review of the Company's executive compensation program. The review includes an analysis of market compensation practices

Table of Contents

and developments, external regulatory requirements, the competitive market for executive talent, the evolving culture and demands of the business, our compensation philosophy and the features of the program and an annual risk assessment of our compensation program.

Since July 2012, the Committee has engaged Hay Group on an annual basis to consult on executive compensation matters. All work performed by the independent compensation consultant with regard to our executive compensation program is tasked and overseen directly by the Committee. At the direction of the Committee, our management provides information and analyses to the Committee. As discussed further below, the Company participates in and purchases various compensation surveys and studies that management and the Committee use to analyze executive compensation. The Committee believes that utilizing information from multiple compensation surveys supports an objective and well-rounded view of executive compensation practices.

Hay Group does not provide any other services to the Company. The Committee has assessed the independence of Hay Group pursuant to the NYSE rules and the Committee concluded that Hay Group's work for the Committee did not raise any conflicts of interest.

Role of Management and CEO in Compensation Decisions

We believe in aligning executive and stockholder interests through an executive compensation program designed with input from management in an ongoing dialogue with the Committee and, as appropriate, the Committee's independent compensation advisor regarding internal, external, cultural, business and motivational challenges and opportunities facing us and our executives. To that end, the executive team analyzes, with assistance from the Committee's compensation advisor, trends and recommends improvements to the compensation programs. Specifically, Mr. Alvarado, the Chairman of the Board, President and CEO, reviewed with the Committee his recommendations (without any recommendation as to his own compensation) regarding base salary adjustments, annual bonus and long-term incentive awards for the NEOs. In addition, during fiscal year 2015, Mr. Hatten, as well as the Company's General Counsel and Corporate Secretary and the Company's Director of Total Rewards, also periodically attended Committee meetings at the invitation of the Committee. While the Committee receives management's input with respect to executive compensation, all decisions regarding compensation for the NEOs are made by the Committee. The Committee also meets regularly in executive session (without the attendance of any member of management).

Competitiveness of Our Compensation Program

Our executive compensation program is designed so that total short- and long-term compensation is competitive with market practices. Market practices, or benchmarks, are based on peer group data and compensation survey data.

Annually, with the assistance of the Hay Group, the Committee reviews the compensation peer group for continued appropriateness, considering the comparability of the peer companies in terms of industry focus, size, scope and complexity of operations. The Committee believes that the compensation peer group strongly reflects the Company's core business segments and operating model.

For fiscal year 2015, the compensation peer group consisted of the following companies, which represented the same peer group that was used to evaluate fiscal year 2014 compensation:

AK Steel Holding Corporation

Alcoa Inc.

Allegheny Technologies Incorporated

Ball Corp.

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.

Crown Holdings Inc.

Harsco Corp.

Meadwestvaco Corp.

Table of Contents

Nucor Corporation

Reliance Steel & Aluminum Co.

Rock-Tenn Co.

Schnitzer Steel Industries, Inc.

Steel Dynamics, Inc.

The Timken Company

United States Steel Corporation

Worthington Industries

After the fiscal year 2015 compensation peer group was formed, Meadwestvaco Corp. and Rock-Tenn Co. formed a new company, WestRock.

As noted above, the Committee also uses compensation survey data in its evaluation of executive pay for the NEOs. Survey data provides insight into positions that may not generally be reported in proxy statements and information about the compensation of executives of non-public companies. To assist the Committee in evaluating fiscal year 2015 compensation levels, the Committee reviewed information from the following surveys: 2014 Equilar Top 25; 2014 US Mercer Executive and 2014 Towers Watson CDB Executive Reports. For purposes of this CD&A, the compensation peer group data and compensation survey data are collectively referred to as Peer Data.

Compensation Mix: Components and Objectives of Short- and Long-Term Compensation

In accordance with our overall compensation philosophy and program, executives are provided with a mix of base salary, short-term incentives, long-term incentives and employee benefits. Our compensation philosophy places a significant portion of the potential compensation for each NEO at risk such that compensation will vary based on performance. Variable compensation is a component of compensation for most of our employees, but a higher proportion of our NEOs' compensation is at risk than that of our general employee population. The following table describes the material elements of compensation and the objectives of each material element:

PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIVES	2015 DECISIONS
ANNUAL COMPENSATION:			
Base Salary	Annual cash compensation.	Retention. Recognition of sustained individual performance. Attract qualified employees.	Four of our NEOs received salary increases of approximately 3% reflecting merit adjustments and one of our NEOs received a salary increase of approximately 7.9% to bring his compensation further in line with market data.
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus	Bonus plan based on achieving pre-established performance goals set by the Committee.	Focus executives on achieving pre-established performance goals, such as return on invested capital or net assets, operating profit, net earnings or working capital	Based on the achievement of corporate financial, operational and/or business unit goals, the Committee certified payouts, on average, of 133% of target for the

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

Bonus payouts for achieving pre-established performance goals may be reduced (but not increased) at the discretion of the Committee.	reduction, overhead reduction and other financial and operational goals and objectives.	NEOs with company-wide positions and 131% of target for the other NEOs.
--	---	---

Table of Contents

PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIVES	2015 DECISIONS
Additional Cash Bonuses	<p>Cash bonuses awarded at the discretion of the Committee.</p> <p>The Committee may consider any circumstances it deems appropriate in paying out additional bonus dollars.</p>	<p>Provide the Committee with flexibility to reward individual performance not reflected in pre-established performance goals under the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus program, including to reward expanded responsibilities or contributions to special Company initiatives.</p> <p>Focus employees on performance.</p> <p>Reviewed annually for individual contributions in context of Company performance, internal pay equity and external market review.</p>	<p>Ms. Smith and Mr. Porter received additional cash bonuses, representing approximately 1% and 4% of their respective total compensation, in recognition of their significant efforts and contributions during fiscal year 2015.</p>
LONG-TERM COMPENSATION:			
Long-Term Incentive Program	<p>A long-term incentive program using a combination of time-vested and performance-based awards.</p>	<p>Focus on long-term Company performance and long-term success.</p> <p>Retention.</p> <p>Align employee and stockholder interests via performance goals and stock ownership.</p>	<p>Performance-based stock units represent 50% of the long-term grant value, with 75% of vesting based on three-year EBITDA and ROIC targets and 25% vesting based on relative total shareholder return. The remaining long-term grant value was delivered as time-based RSUs.</p> <p>The long-term incentive awards for the three-year performance period ending in 2015 vested at 114% of target for the NEOs other than the CEO and 113% of target for the CEO.</p>

Base Salary

We pay an annual base salary to each of our NEOs in order to provide them with a fixed rate of cash compensation that is non-variable during the fiscal year. For fiscal year 2015, our base pay target continued to be the 50th percentile of Peer Data which supports our ability to attract and retain key executive talent. While the Committee generally targets base salary at the 50th percentile of the Peer Data, actual base salary may be above or below the 50th percentile based on the Committee's review of the underlying scope of an NEO's responsibilities, individual performance and experience, tenure in the executive's current position or with the Company, internal pay equity and retention concerns. The Committee strives to maintain base salaries at levels that will attract top talent, while linking a significant portion of an executive's total compensation opportunity to our success.

Table of Contents

The salary adjustments for the NEOs in fiscal year 2015 were based on merit increases and market data. In the case of Mr. Alvarado, his salary adjustment was made to bring his base salary level into closer alignment with the 50th percentile of the Peer Data as his historical salary levels had been below the 50th percentile of the Peer Data.

For fiscal year 2015, the annual base salaries for the Company's NEOs were adjusted as follows:

Mr. Alvarado's base salary increased by 7.9% from \$927,000 to \$1,000,000;

Ms. Smith's base salary increased by 3.0% from \$536,000 to \$552,000;

Mr. Elmore's base salary increased by 2.9% from \$550,000 to \$566,000;

Mr. Porter's base salary increased by 3.7% from \$546,000 to \$566,000; and

Mr. Hatten's base salary increased by 3.2% from \$375,000 to \$387,000.

Annual Cash Incentive Bonus

At the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, our stockholders approved the Commercial Metals Company 2013 Cash Incentive Plan (the "2013 Cash Plan"), the purpose of which is to advance the interests of the Company and our stockholders by:

providing those employees designated by the Committee, which may include NEOs, other senior executives, senior managers and other employees, incentive compensation tied to pre-established and objective performance goals;

identifying and rewarding superior performance;

providing competitive compensation to attract, motivate and retain outstanding employees who achieve superior performance for us; and

fostering accountability and teamwork throughout the Company.

In accordance with the terms of the 2013 Cash Plan, the Committee establishes appropriate performance periods, designates those executives eligible to participate, sets the level of potential awards and determines the financial targets or other performance measures which, if attained, result in payment of awards (the "performance goals"). Management may periodically make recommendations as to these matters, but the Committee makes all decisions with respect to the implementation of the 2013 Cash Plan. In establishing performance goals, the Committee reviews industry and market conditions, the alignment of the goals with our strategy, projected general economic conditions, both our past and forecasted performance levels applicable to those executives with overall Company responsibilities and, with respect to Mr. Porter and Mr. Elmore, each business unit for which they are responsible.

The performance period for the annual bonus (the "Annual Cash Incentive Bonus") is our fiscal year. The fiscal year 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus was designed to focus our executives on short-term return and, in the case of business unit leaders, EBITDA and RONA goals (each as defined below). We believe that these goals in concert help focus the executives on effectively utilizing our assets, maximizing operational efficiencies and seeking profitable growth opportunities. In addition, under the fiscal year 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus program, no bonus pool would be funded for the NEOs in the event the Company failed to achieve or exceed a 1% ROIC performance goal.

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

In fiscal year 2013, the base salary target was increased from the 40th percentile to the 50th percentile of Peer Data. The shift from the 40th percentile to the 50th percentile will be made over time, so for Mr. Alvarado and Mr. Hatten for whom their fiscal year 2015 base salary was below the median of the Peer Data, their bonus potential for fiscal year 2015 was calculated as if they were paid at the 50th percentile of the Peer Data (Incentive Base Pay) (as indicated in the following table) rather than their actual fiscal year 2015 base salary level.

The table below sets forth each NEO s fiscal year 2015 threshold, target and maximum bonus opportunities, expressed as a percentage of Incentive Base Pay.

Table of Contents**2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus Opportunity****Expressed as a Percentage of Incentive Base Pay at Beginning of Fiscal Year 2015**

Name	Incentive Base Pay	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Joseph Alvarado	\$ 1,069,000	50%	120%	240%
Barbara R. Smith	\$ 552,000	37.5%	85%	170%
John Elmore	\$ 566,000	37.5%	85%	170%
Tracy L. Porter	\$ 566,000	37.5%	85%	170%
Terry P. Hatten	\$ 410,000	30%	65%	130%

Ms. Smith's bonus target was increased from 80% to 85% to bring her total cash opportunity better in line with Peer Data and the bonus opportunities granted to the other NEOs. The 2015 performance goals were based on (i) 80% overall Company and business unit financial performance (the Financial Performance Bonus Pool) and (ii) 20% Company-wide operational performance objectives (the Operational Performance Bonus Pool). The Financial Performance Bonus Pool and Operational Performance Bonus Pool are funded based on the extent to which the underlying performance goals are achieved. However, under the terms of the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus plan, the Committee retains the discretion to reduce the payout levels or to determine that no payouts will occur with respect to a participant in the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus plan. For Mr. Alvarado, Ms. Smith and Mr. Hatten, the Financial Performance Bonus Pool funding was based entirely on overall Company FIFO Net Income and ROIC (each as defined below), with each financial performance goal weighted equally to reflect our focus on balancing profit generation with the capital we spend to generate returns. For Messrs. Elmore and Porter, the Financial Performance Bonus Pool funding was based 50% on overall Company FIFO Net Income and ROIC and 50% on their respective business unit RONA and EBITDA, with each performance goal weighted equally. The operational performance objectives for all participants, including the NEOs (except Mr. Elmore), were safety training initiatives, code of conduct training and accounts payable management, with each operational performance goal weighted equally. Mr. Elmore's operational objectives were safety training, code of conduct training, reduction in days of working capital and marketing and distribution ROIC, with each operational performance goal weighted equally. Based on the attainment of the operational performance goals, the Operational Performance Bonus Pool was funded, on average, at 157% of target for Messrs. Alvarado, Porter and Hatten and Ms. Smith, and at 168% of target for Mr. Elmore.

With regard to the financial performance goals, the Committee established annual performance targets that were aligned with the Company's fiscal year 2015 operating plan which focused leadership on optimizing our operating footprint, generating returns more efficiently and executing on several key strategic initiatives intended to better position us to compete in the future. The financial performance goals established by the Committee were intended to be challenging and reflected significant increases as compared to the target goals established for fiscal year 2014. At the time the performance goals were established, the Committee decided to exclude the impact of fluctuations in the Company's effective tax rate and, instead, the performance targets as well as the measurement of performance against those targets was based on the statutory tax rate applicable to the Company.

The following tables set forth the fiscal year 2015 financial performance goals applicable to each NEO. Payouts for performance in between performance levels are determined using straight line interpolation.

Mr. Alvarado's, Ms. Smith's and Mr. Hatten's 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus Performance Goals**Commercial Metals**

Company	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Performance
FIFO Net Income(1)	50%	\$66M	\$102M	\$143M	\$113M
ROIC(2)	50%	4.5%	5.8%	7.3%	6.2%
Overall Achievement					127%

Table of Contents**Mr. Elmore's 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus Performance Goals****Commercial Metals**

Company	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Performance
FIFO Net Income(1)	50%	\$66M	\$102M	\$143M	\$113M
ROIC(2)	50%	4.5%	5.8%	7.3%	6.2%

Business Unit Performance

Goal (International Division)	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Performance
RONA(3)	50%	4.5%	6.8%	9.2%	8.0%
EBITDA(4)	50%	\$89M	\$113M	\$137M	\$114M
Overall Achievement					127%

Mr. Porter's 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus Performance Goals**Commercial Metals**

Company	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Performance
FIFO Net Income(1)	50%	\$66M	\$102M	\$143M	\$113M
ROIC(2)	50%	4.5%	5.8%	7.3%	6.2%

Business Unit Performance

Goal (Americas Division)	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Performance
RONA(3)	50%	13.7%	17.3%	20.7%	17.9%
EBITDA(4)	50%	\$295M	\$351M	\$403M	\$355M
Overall Achievement					120%

- (1) FIFO Net Income means net earnings calculated using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) inventory costing method for all inventories.
- (2) ROIC means FIFO Net Income before interest expense divided by the sum of commercial paper, notes payable, current maturities of long-term debt, debt and stockholders equity as of the beginning of the Company's fiscal year.
- (3) For an applicable business unit, RONA means the percentage obtained by dividing operating profit by the value of the trailing thirteen (13) month average net assets, determined by using the FIFO inventory costing method.
- (4) For an applicable business unit, EBITDA means FIFO Net Income from continuing operations before income taxes, interest (both internal and external), depreciation, amortization expenses and the impairment of depreciable and other intangible assets.
- Based on the Company and business unit financial above-target performance and the attainment of the operational goals, the NEOs holding Company-wide positions received a payout of, on average, 133% of their respective target award opportunities; accordingly, Messrs. Alvarado and Hatten and Ms. Smith received Annual Cash Incentive Bonuses of \$1,706,200, \$354,900, and \$624,500, respectively. Mr. Porter and Mr. Elmore each received a payout of, on average, 131% of their respective target award opportunities. Accordingly, for fiscal year 2015, Messrs. Porter and Elmore received Annual Cash Incentive Bonuses of \$612,600 and \$651,900, respectively. The Annual Cash Incentive Bonus received by each of the NEOs is included in the Non-Equity Performance Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Fiscal Year 2015 Summary Compensation Table.

Additional Cash Bonuses

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

In addition to the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus, the Committee may, in its discretion, approve additional cash awards to employees, including the NEOs (the Additional Cash Bonus). Any Additional Cash Bonus is calculated solely at the discretion of the Committee. At the end of each fiscal year the Committee determines whether any such awards are deemed warranted and, if so, in what amount. Each such cash award is based on the Committee s evaluation of the individual s overall job performance, including (i) progress toward non-financial

Table of Contents

or objective goals, (ii) a qualitative assessment of the business and competitive conditions in which we operate and (iii) issues of internal pay equity and external market review. In the past, as was the case for fiscal year 2015, the Committee has used the Additional Cash Bonus element of the compensation program to reward expanded responsibilities or contributions to special Company initiatives.

After reviewing the Company and individual performance for fiscal year 2015 in conjunction with the input of Hay Group, the Committee determined that it was appropriate to award Additional Cash Bonuses to Mr. Porter and Ms. Smith, as follows:

Contributions and accomplishments considered by the Committee for Mr. Porter included execution on certain governmental relations and political initiatives, metal margin expansion and his role in the development of the Company's plan to build a new minimill in Durant, Oklahoma. To recognize Mr. Porter's contributions, the Committee approved an additional payment in the amount of \$87,400.

Contributions and accomplishments considered by the Committee for Ms. Smith included extensive interactions with the investment community and rating agencies on behalf of the Company and support in the development of the Company's plan to build a new minimill in Durant, Oklahoma. To recognize her performance, the Committee approved an additional payment in the amount of \$25,500.

Long-Term Incentive Program

Through our long-term incentive program, we provide senior executives, including participating NEOs, the opportunity for equity awards contingent on the attainment of multi-year performance goals. Acting in concert, the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus, the Additional Cash Bonus and the long-term incentive programs provide balanced cash incentives and equity incentives that we believe reward executive focus on delivering both financial results and long-term growth. The target long-term incentive awards are designed to achieve, when combined with base salary and the target Annual Cash Incentive Bonus, total compensation at approximately the 50th percentile of Peer Data and, when achieving maximum performance, to reach total compensation at the upper quartile of Peer Data.

Fiscal Year 2015 Fiscal Year 2017 Long-Term Incentive Program

In fiscal year 2015, the Committee approved the 2015-2017 long-term incentive program with the long-term incentive awards to be delivered one-half in the form of time-vested restricted stock units (RSUs) and one-half in the form of performance-vested stock units (PSUs). The fiscal year 2015 RSU awards vest ratably over a three-year period and will be settled in shares of Company stock. The PSU awards vest at the end of a three-year performance period, which began on September 1, 2014 and will end on August 31, 2017 and will be settled in shares of Company stock.

The Committee, with input from management and Hay Group, selected growth in net earnings before interest (including accounts receivable securitization program expenses), taxes, depreciation, amortization and the impairment of depreciable and other intangible assets such as goodwill (EBITDA), return on invested capital (ROIC) and relative total stockholder return (TSR) as the performance measures for the vesting of the PSUs. The Committee, with input from management and Hay Group, selected EBITDA and ROIC as absolute performance measures intended to reflect the Company's profitable growth and selected TSR as a relative measure of the Company's performance against the TSR peer group. The Committee believes that such metrics are aligned with the Company's business plan and stockholders' interests.

The PSU awards are measured over the performance period with 75% of the award vesting based on the achievement of growth in EBITDA over the three-year performance period and positive ROIC. In each year of the performance period, an EBITDA goal is set by the Committee based on the business plan as approved by our Board and performance is measured on a cumulative basis as compared to the target levels set by the Committee for each of the three years in the performance period. If a positive ROIC is not attained, then under the terms of the award agreements, none of the PSU awards subject to the EBITDA metric will vest regardless of the EBITDA performance achieved. The payout formula is intended to encourage strong, focused performance with each performance level representing what the Committee deemed to be stretch, but attainable performance goals.

Table of Contents

given the economic and market conditions at the time the goals were set. The EBITDA target for fiscal year 2015 was \$378.1 million and the Company achieved \$386.6 million. The following table sets forth the vesting percentage and payout levels for the portion of the PSU award that vests based on fiscal year 2015-2017 EBITDA performance.

2015-2017 EBITDA Performance vs. Target

	EBITDA Metric		
	Threshold (70%)	Target (100%)	Maximum (130%)
Percent of PSUs to Vest:	50%	100%	200%

The remaining 25% of the PSU award vests based on the Company's relative TSR performance compared to a peer group of companies. For purposes of measuring TSR performance, the companies listed below represent the TSR peer group. At the time the awards were granted, RTI International Metals, Inc. was also included in the peer group; however, due to its 2015 acquisition by Alcoa, Inc., it has been removed from the TSR peer group.

AK Steel Holding Corp.	Nucor Corp.
Alcoa, Inc.	Owens-Illinois, Inc.
Allegheny Technologies, Inc.	Schnitzer Steel INDS-CL A
Dycom Industries, Inc.	Steel Dynamics, Inc.
Eagle Materials, Inc.	Texas Industries, Inc.
Fluor Corp.	Textron, Inc.
General Cable Corp/DE	Tutor Perini Corp.
Granite Construction, Inc.	United States Steel Corp.
Harsco Corp.	USG Corp.
Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.	Vulcan Materials Co.
Martin Marietta Materials	Weyerhaeuser Co.
McDermott International, Inc.	

The companies included in the 2015-2017 TSR peer group were chosen by the Committee based on recommendations from Hay Group, with management input, because each of the peer group companies was viewed as sharing similar business characteristics, challenges and strategic direction with the Company, and the stock price of the peer group companies over time generally responds to the market in a manner similar to the Company's stock. Our TSR peer group is broader than our compensation peer group listed beginning on page 26 as it is focused more on our comparators for investor capital, and is less bound by the revenue size, which is a driver of pay levels in the compensation peer group. The two peer groups also serve different purposes in our executive pay program. The TSR peer group governs the vesting of our PSU program while the compensation peer group is used for a market review of competitive compensation levels for our NEOs.

The following table sets forth the vesting percentage and payout levels for the portion of the PSU award that vests based on relative TSR performance.

	TSR Metric		
	Threshold >=P30	Target >=P50	Maximum >=P70
Percent of Units to Vest:	50%	100%	200%

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the long-term incentive award opportunities, expressed as a percentage of base salary, under the fiscal year 2015-2017 long-term incentive program.

Fiscal Year 2015 through 2017 Long-Term Incentive Opportunity**Expressed as a Percentage of Base Salary at Beginning of Performance Period**

Name	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Joseph Alvarado	225%	450%	900%
Barbara R. Smith	87.5%	175%	350%
John Elmore	87.5%	175%	350%
Tracy L. Porter	87.5%	175%	350%
Terry P. Hatten	75%	150%	300%

The following table sets forth the aggregate long-term incentive target award, expressed as a percentage of base salary, to be delivered in the form of RSUs and PSUs.

Fiscal Year 2015 through 2017 Long-Term Incentive Awards**Expressed as a Percentage of Base Salary at Beginning of Performance Period**

Name	Threshold		PSUs Target	Maximum
	RSUs	LTI-EBITDA	LTI-EBITDA	LTI-EBITDA
Joseph Alvarado	225%	112.52%	225%	450%
Barbara R. Smith	87.5%	43.8%	87.5%	175%
John Elmore	87.5%	43.8%	87.5%	175%
Tracy L. Porter	87.5%	43.8%	87.5%	175%
Terry P. Hatten	75%	37.5%	75%	150%

Prior Years Outstanding Long-Term Incentive Programs

During fiscal year 2015, the Company had three outstanding long-term incentive programs. For the fiscal year 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance periods, the PSUs will be settled based on the Company's EBITDA, ROIC and TSR performance following the conclusion of the performance period. For the PSUs granted on October 23, 2012 to all of the then-serving NEOs and the February 1, 2013 grant to Mr. Alvarado, the awards were settled based on the Company's achievement of EBITDA, ROIC and TSR performance during the 2013-2015 performance period as follows:

	Performance Metric			
		Cumulative EBITDA	ROIC	TSR Ranking
October 2012 PSUs	Target	\$1.056B	Positive	50 th Percentile
	Actual	\$1.093B	5.5%	54 th Percentile
				Overall Funding: 114%
February 2013 PSUs	Target	\$931M	Positive	50 th Percentile
	Actual	\$950M	5.6%	51 st Percentile
				Overall Funding: 112%

Other Elements of Compensation

As described below, we also provide retirement benefits and health and other welfare benefits to our NEOs.

Table of Contents***Retirement and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Programs***

Retirement Plan: The primary tax qualified long-term compensation retirement plan we have for our employees in the United States is the Commercial Metals Companies Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan). The 401(k) Plan is a defined contribution plan and all Company contributions to the plan are discretionary. The amounts contributed to the 401(k) Plan on behalf of each of the NEOs are listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38.

Benefit Restoration Plan: As a result of limitations mandated by federal tax law and regulations that limit defined contribution plan retirement benefits of more highly compensated employees, the Company provides the Benefit Restoration Plan (BRP), a non-qualified plan for certain executives, including each of the NEOs, designated by the Committee, who are subject to federally mandated benefit limits in the 401(k) Plan. Following each calendar year-end, we credit to the participant s account under the BRP a dollar amount equal to the amount of Company contributions that the participant would have received under the 401(k) Plan but for the limits imposed by law on Company contributions to that plan. A BRP participant may also elect to defer up to fifty percent (50%) of his or her base salary and Annual Cash Incentive Bonus into his or her BRP account. The Committee believes that the BRP is an important element of our long-term compensation program because it helps attract and retain talent in a competitive market. The amounts contributed to the BRP plan on behalf of each of the participating NEOs are listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38.

Perquisites

We provide leased cars and related insurance and maintenance or, alternatively, car allowances to NEOs. In addition, during fiscal year 2015, the Company made annual physicals, certain financial planning services and relocation benefits available to the Company s senior leadership, including all of the NEOs. Please see footnote 4 in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38 for more detailed information. We do not own or provide to the NEOs corporate aircraft, security services, an executive dining room or similar perquisites.

Health and Other Welfare Benefits

Our NEOs, along with all other employees, are eligible to participate in medical, dental, vision, life, accidental death and disability, short and long-term disability, and other employee benefits generally made available to employees. In fiscal year 2015, the Company adopted a new supplemental long-term disability program for executives, including the NEOs, intended to replicate the coverage available to non-executive employees.

Termination, Severance and Change in Control Benefits

As of August 31, 2015, the employment agreements with each of our NEOs (other than Mr. Hatten, who does not have an employment agreement) provide severance benefits upon a qualifying termination of employment. In addition, we have entered into EECAs with each of the NEOs, which provide for enhanced severance benefits in the event of a qualifying termination of employment within two years following a Change in Control (as defined in such agreements). The termination provisions included in the employment agreements and EECAs are further described below in the Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control section. The Committee believes the payments provided for under the employment agreements and EECAs upon a qualifying termination of employment to be reasonable in light of the non-competition obligations imposed upon the NEOs post-termination and in order to ensure that we have the continued attention and dedication of the executives during circumstances that could result in a Change in Control.

In addition to the EECAs, our existing equity incentive plans also provide for accelerated vesting of stock-based awards regardless of whether a termination occurs as a result of a Change in Control, as defined by such plans. Further, the 2013 Cash Plan provides that in the event of a Change in Control, the Committee has discretion to take action to determine the extent to which incentive compensation is considered earned and payable during any performance period, consistent with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the Code).

Table of Contents

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code limits the amount of compensation paid to our CEO and our other three most highly compensated executive officers, other than our CFO, that may be deducted by us for federal income tax purposes in any fiscal year to \$1,000,000. Performance-based compensation that has been approved by our stockholders and otherwise satisfies the performance-based requirements under Section 162(m) of the Code is not subject to the Code's \$1,000,000 deduction limit. While the Committee believes that it is beneficial for compensation paid to our NEOs to be tax deductible under the Code, the Committee also recognizes the need to retain flexibility to make compensation decisions that may not meet the standards of Section 162(m) when necessary to enable the Company to continue to attract, retain, reward and motivate its highly-qualified executives.

Compensation Risk Assessment - NEOs

The Company's compensation policies are structured to discourage inappropriate risk-taking by our executives, with a particular focus on our NEOs. The Compensation Risk Assessment section beginning on page 51 describes the Committee's assessment and belief that our compensation programs do not encourage excessive risk-taking and thus do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Stock Ownership Policy and Policy Regarding Hedging and Pledging of Company Stock

Our Board has implemented stock ownership guidelines for directors, executive officers and certain other senior level employees. Our Board believes that minimum ownership guidelines serve to further align the interests of those covered by the guidelines with our stockholders. Individuals who are hired or promoted into positions covered by the guidelines, or who are elected to serve on our Board, have five years following such date to attain the minimum ownership level applicable to their positions, except that individuals who were not subject to the stock ownership guidelines prior to October 28, 2014 have five years from October 28, 2014 to attain the applicable minimum ownership level. The guidelines require ownership of Company stock with a value of the greater of the current fair market value or the closing price per share on the date on which the shares were acquired, of not less than the following amounts, as determined on October 31st of each year:

Non-employee directors five times the annual cash retainer paid to all non-employee directors for service on our Board (not including any cash retainer paid for service on any Board committees);

President and CEO five times base salary;

Executive Vice Presidents, Senior Vice Presidents, each Company business segment President, the CFO and the General Counsel three times base salary; and

Other executives as may be designated by the Compensation Committee of our Board one times base salary.

Unvested time-vested restricted stock, unvested time-vested RSUs and vested stock options are included when determining the amount of stock ownership, with each share of unvested time-vested restricted stock and each share of unvested time-vested RSUs counting as one share of Company common stock and vested options to purchase shares of Company common stock counting as one share of Company common stock for each share of Company common stock subject to such options. Stock appreciation rights, whether or not vested, unearned performance shares and unvested stock options do not count for purposes of determining compliance with the stock ownership guidelines. In addition, unvested restricted stock and RSUs that are subject to conditions other than time vesting do not count for the purpose of determining stock ownership levels. All persons subject to the guidelines must retain the shares of Company common stock they acquire upon the exercise of any stock options (after payment of the exercise price and taxes) and 50% of the shares of Company common stock issued upon the vesting of any of their restricted stock or RSUs (after payment of taxes) until achievement of the retention levels.

As of November 23, 2015, all directors and NEOs have met or, within the applicable period, are expected to meet the stock ownership guidelines.

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

The Company's insider trading policy prohibits all employees from buying or selling Company stock while aware of material nonpublic information, and prohibits the disclosure of material nonpublic information to

Table of Contents

others who then trade in our securities. The policy is available on our website, www.cmc.com, in the Corporate Governance section. As part of this policy, certain other Company stock related transactions by directors, officers and employees are also prohibited or subject to specific notice and pre-approval requirements. The policy is premised on the belief that even in those circumstances where the proposed transaction may not constitute a violation of law or applicable regulations, it is nonetheless considered inappropriate for any director, officer or other employee of ours to engage in short-term or speculative transactions in our securities which may be viewed as reducing their incentive to improve our performance or inconsistent with the objectives of our stockholders in general. Therefore, it is our policy that directors, officers and other employees may not engage in any transactions involving our securities which constitute short sales, puts, calls or other similar derivative securities. The policy prohibits certain other transactions including hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars, forward sale contracts and arrangements pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan (without adequate assurance of other available assets to satisfy the loan). Prior to entering into such transactions, the policy requires notice to, review of the facts and circumstances by, and the pre-approval of, our General Counsel.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following tables, footnotes and narratives, found on pages 38 through 52, provide information regarding the compensation, benefits and equity holdings in the Company for the NEOs.

FISCAL YEAR 2015 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary		Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Performance Incentive Plan	All Other Compensation	Total
		(\$)	Bonus \$(1)	\$(2)	\$(2)	\$(3)	\$(4)	(\$)
Joseph Alvarado Chairman, President and CEO	2015	\$985,962	\$ 0	\$4,351,882	\$ 0	\$1,706,200	\$203,074	\$7,247,118
	2014	\$926,468		\$4,174,790	\$ 0	\$2,305,000	\$ 77,669	\$7,483,927
	2013	\$876,154	\$ 0	\$4,895,105		\$ 721,600	\$ 92,648	\$6,585,507
			\$ 0		\$ 0			
Barbara Smith Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	\$548,923	\$ 25,500	\$ 934,201	\$ 0	\$ 624,500	\$ 95,606	\$2,228,730
	2014	\$535,685	\$ 3,000	\$1,288,981	\$ 0	\$ 772,000	\$ 45,871	\$2,645,537
	2013	\$519,135	\$ 54,592	\$ 876,590	\$ 0	\$ 295,408	\$ 44,018	\$1,789,743
Tracy Porter Senior Vice President and President CMC Americas Division	2015	\$562,154	\$ 87,400	\$ 957,895	\$ 0	\$ 612,600	\$104,115	\$2,324,164
	2014	\$545,685	\$ 70,000	\$ 956,261	\$ 0	\$ 830,000	\$ 45,060	\$2,447,006
	2013	\$529,423	\$ 17,818	\$ 893,449	\$ 0	\$ 357,182	\$ 62,610	\$1,860,482
John Elmore Senior Vice President and President CMC International Division	2015	\$562,923	\$ 0	\$ 957,895	\$ 0	\$ 651,900	\$102,696	\$2,275,414
	2014	\$550,000		\$ 993,051	\$ 0	\$ 775,000	\$ 48,136	\$2,366,187
	2013	\$550,000	\$ 0	\$ 927,167	\$ 0	\$ 231,728	\$ 52,066	\$1,829,233
			\$ 68,272					
Terry Hatten(5) Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer	2015	\$384,692	\$ 0	\$ 561,404	\$ 0	\$ 354,900	\$ 78,446	\$1,379,442
	2014	\$266,827	\$200,000	\$ 824,914	\$ 0	\$ 422,000	\$ 42,135	\$1,755,876

- (1) Amounts reported in fiscal year 2015 for Ms. Smith and Mr. Porter represent additional cash bonuses paid with respect to fiscal year 2015 performance. Please see the CD&A for further information regarding these bonuses.
- (2) Amounts reported in these columns for fiscal year 2015 represent the grant date fair value of PSUs and RSUs awarded in fiscal year 2015 and calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718). The grant date fair value for PSUs is based on the probable outcome of the vesting conditions as of the grant date. The maximum value of the PSUs for Messrs. Alvarado, Elmore, Porter and Hatten and Ms. Smith, respectively, are as follows: \$4,466,182, \$983,056, \$983,056, \$576,152 and \$958,740. Assumptions used in determining these values can be found in Note 15 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on October 30, 2015.
- (3) Amounts reported in fiscal year 2015 for each NEO represent the 2015 Annual Cash Incentive Bonus earned by each NEO. Please see the CD&A for further information regarding these bonuses.

- (4) For fiscal year 2015, this column includes a contribution of \$16,889 to the 401(k) Plan account of each of our NEOs. This column also includes contributions to the BRP accounts of Mr. Alvarado of \$152,217, Ms. Smith of \$54,606, Mr. Porter of \$61,026, Mr. Elmore of \$55,631 and Mr. Hatten of \$2,488. All NEOs received a car allowance and/or were reimbursed for vehicle payments in fiscal year 2015 and, accordingly, Messrs. Alvarado, Elmore, Porter and Hatten, and Ms. Smith received vehicle-related amounts of \$14,940, \$12,000, \$7,986, \$10,000 and \$10,000, respectively. Under the terms of the Executive Annual Physical Program, all NEOs were eligible to be reimbursed for the cost of an annual physical up to a maximum of \$2,500 for NEOs who are age 49 and younger and \$5,000 for NEOs who are age 50 and older. Due to health care privacy concerns, the actual reimbursements for participants under this program are not shown and instead this column includes the maximum amount eligible for reimbursement based on each NEO's age. This column also includes the premiums paid on behalf of the executive by the Company for supplemental long-term disability coverage in the amounts of \$1,931, \$2,576, \$2,584, \$2,297 and \$2,563 for Messrs. Alvarado, Elmore, Porter and Hatten and Ms. Smith, respectively. Due to the change of the 401(k) plan year in fiscal

Table of Contents

year 2014, Messrs. Alvarado, Elmore and Porter and Ms. Smith received a payment which was intended to make up for matching contributions that could not be made inside the 401(k) plan in the amounts as follows: \$7,229, \$7,066, \$7,095 and \$6,514, respectively. For fiscal year 2015 this column includes a Thanksgiving gift grossed-up for taxes in the amount of \$34 for all NEOs except Mr. Hatten who received \$28 and financial planning services for Messrs. Alvarado, Porter, Elmore and Hatten. This column also includes \$39,410 in relocation related expenses for Mr. Hatten. These relocation expenses were valued on the basis of the aggregate incremental cost to the Company and represent the amount accrued for payment or paid to the service provider or Mr. Hatten, as applicable.

(5) Mr. Hatten was not a NEO prior to fiscal year 2014.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan Based Awards**

The following table and footnotes provide information regarding grants of plan based awards to NEOs in fiscal year 2015.

GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS**IN FISCAL YEAR 2015**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3)	All Other Option Exercise Awards: Number of Securities of Underlying Option (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (4)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Joseph Alvarado	10/27/2014	\$ 534,500	\$ 1,282,800	\$ 2,565,600	69,061	138,122	276,244	138,122		\$ 2,233,091
	10/27/2014									\$ 2,118,791
Barbara R. Smith	10/27/2014	\$ 207,000	\$ 469,200	\$ 938,400	14,825	29,650	59,300	29,650		\$ 479,370
	10/27/2014									\$ 454,831
John Elmore	10/27/2014	\$ 212,250	\$ 481,100	\$ 962,200	15,201	30,402	60,804	30,402		\$ 491,528
	10/27/2014									\$ 466,367
Tracy L. Porter	10/27/2014	\$ 212,250	\$ 481,100	\$ 962,200	15,201	30,402	60,804	30,402		\$ 491,528
	10/27/2014									\$ 466,367
Terry P. Hatten	10/27/2014	\$ 123,000	\$ 266,500	\$ 533,000	8,909	17,818	35,636	17,818		\$ 288,076
	10/27/2014									\$ 273,328

- (1) Represents the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus under the 2013 Cash Incentive Plan. The 2013 Cash Incentive Plan and the terms of these awards are described in the section entitled "Annual Cash Incentive Bonus" on pages 29 through 31.
- (2) Represents PSUs granted under the Company's 2013 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, with 75% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's achievement of financial performance goals relating to ROIC and EBITDA and 25% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's relative TSR performance compared to the TSR peer group. The performance period for the PSUs commenced on September 1, 2014 and will continue through August 31, 2017. The 2015-2017 long-term incentive program is described in further detail in the section entitled "Long-Term Incentive Program" on pages 32 through 34.
- (3) Represents RSUs granted under the Company's 2013 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan that vest ratably over three years from the date of grant, subject to the NEO's continued employment through the applicable vesting date.
- (4) Represents the grant date fair value of PSUs and RSUs awarded in fiscal year 2015 and calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value for the portion of the PSUs that vest based on EBITDA and ROIC performance was based on the probable outcome of the performance-based vesting conditions as of the grant date. The grant date fair value for the portion of the PSUs that vest

based on TSR performance was based on the probable outcome of the market-based vesting condition and the application of a Monte Carlo simulation model. Assumptions used in determining these values can be found in Note 15 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on October 30, 2015.

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan Based Awards Table

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our NEOs, except Mr. Hatten. The initial term of each agreement, other than Mr. Elmore's employment agreement, generally expires on the last day of the fiscal year following the two year anniversary of the effective date of the employment agreement, with automatic one-year renewals thereafter unless terminated by either party. The initial term of Mr. Elmore's agreement expires on the last day of the fiscal year following the one year anniversary of the commencement of his employment, with automatic one-year renewals thereafter unless terminated by either party. The employment agreements set forth a minimum annual base salary and provide that each executive is eligible to earn a bonus under our compensation program but has no guaranteed bonus amount. Each executive is

Table of Contents

also eligible to participate in or receive benefits under any plan or arrangement made generally available to our employees. Please see the narrative and tables included in the Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control section on pages 44 through 51 for a description of the compensation that would be paid to the NEOs in the event of their termination following a Change in Control, as well as other events resulting in termination of employment.

Material terms of the grants of plan based awards are described in pages 29 through 31 where we have discussed the Annual Cash Incentive Bonus and pages 32 through 34 where we have discussed the long-term incentive awards. The fiscal year 2015 long-term incentive awards consisted of time-based RSUs and PSUs, with 75% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's achievement of financial performance goals related to EBITDA and ROIC and 25% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's relative TSR performance compared to the TSR peer group. The percentage of salary and bonus of each of the NEOs as compared to the total compensation in the Summary Compensation Table is as follows: Mr. Alvarado (37%), Ms. Smith (54%), Mr. Elmore (53%), Mr. Porter (54%) and Mr. Hatten (54%).

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table and footnotes provide information regarding unexercised SARs and unvested PSUs and RSUs as of the end of fiscal year 2015. The market value of shares that have not vested was determined by multiplying the closing market price of our stock on August 31, 2015, \$15.70, by the number of shares that have not vested.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS**AT 2015 FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights
	Exercisable (#)	Unexercisable (#)				Of Stock That Have Not Vested	That Have Not Vested	That Have Not Vested
Joseph Alvarado	113,537(1)		\$ 11.60	11/23/2018	21,947(2)	\$ 344,568		
					30,339(3)	\$ 476,322		
					80,195(4)	\$ 1,259,062	240,570(5)	\$ 3,776,949
					138,122(6)	\$ 2,168,515	276,244(7)	\$ 4,337,031
Barbara R. Smith	18,668(1)		\$ 11.60	11/23/2018	10,070(2)	\$ 158,099		
					18,033(4)	\$ 283,118	54,094(5)	\$ 849,276
					21,448(8)	\$ 336,734		
					29,650(6)	\$ 465,505	59,300(7)	\$ 931,010
Tracy L. Porter	19,651(1)		\$ 11.60	11/23/2018	10,264(2)	\$ 161,145		
					18,369(4)	\$ 288,393	55,104(5)	\$ 865,133
					30,402(6)	\$ 477,311	60,804(7)	\$ 954,623
John Elmore					10,651(2)	\$ 167,221		
					19,076(4)	\$ 299,493	57,224(5)	\$ 898,417
					30,402(6)	\$ 477,311	60,804(7)	\$ 954,623
Terry Hatten					19,377(9)	\$ 304,219	38,124(10)	\$ 598,547
					17,818(6)	\$ 279,743	35,636(7)	\$ 559,485

(1) Represents SARs granted on November 23, 2011.

(2) Represents RSUs granted on October 23, 2012, with one-third of the award vesting one year after the date of grant, one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant and the remaining one-third vesting three years after the date of grant.

(3) Represents RSUs granted on February 1, 2013, with one-third of the award vesting one year after the date of grant, one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant and the remaining one-third vesting three years after the date of grant.

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

- (4) Represents RSUs granted on October 22, 2013, with one-third of the award vesting one year after the date of grant, one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant and the remaining one-third vesting three years after the date of grant.

- (5) In accordance with the SEC executive compensation reporting rules, represents the maximum level of PSUs granted on October 22, 2013, with 75% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's achievement of performance goals relating to ROIC and EBITDA and 25% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's TSR performance relative to the TSR peer group, in each case measured over the fiscal year 2014-2016 performance period.

- (6) Represents RSUs granted on October 27, 2014, with one-third of the award vesting one year after the date of grant, one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant and the remaining one-third vesting three years after the date of grant.

- (7) In accordance with the SEC executive compensation reporting rules, represents the maximum level of PSUs granted on October 27, 2014, with 75% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's achievement of performance goals relating to ROIC and EBITDA and 25% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's TSR performance relative to the TSR peer group, in each case measured over the fiscal year 2015-2017 performance period.

Table of Contents

- (8) Represents RSUs granted on April 7, 2014, with one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant, and two-thirds of the award vesting three years after the date of grant.
- (9) Represents RSUs granted on December 9, 2013, with one-third of the award vesting one year after the date of grant, one-third of the award vesting two years after the date of grant and the remaining one-third vesting three years after the date of grant.
- (10) In accordance with the SEC executive compensation reporting rules, represents the maximum level of PSUs granted on December 9, 2013, with 75% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's achievement of performance goals relating to ROIC and EBITDA and 25% of the PSUs vesting based on the Company's TSR performance relative to the TSR peer group, in each case measured over the fiscal year 2014-2016 performance period.

SARs Exercised and Stock Vested

The following table provides information regarding SAR exercises and stock vesting during fiscal year 2015 for the NEOs.

OPTION/SARS EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**IN FISCAL YEAR 2015**

Name	SARs Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
Joseph Alvarado		\$	288,073	\$ 4,601,971
Barbara R. Smith		\$	59,686	\$ 970,602
Tracy L. Porter		\$	61,037	\$ 992,693
John Elmore		\$	76,548	\$ 1,237,431
Terry P. Hatten		\$	9,686	\$ 155,945

- (1) Included in this column are the number of shares and the associated value realized with respect to the vesting of the PSUs granted on October 23, 2012 to each of the then-serving NEOs and on February 1, 2013 to Mr. Alvarado. These PSUs vested on August 31, 2015.

Nonqualified Defined Contributions and Other Deferred Compensation Plans

All of the NEOs have previously been designated by the Committee as being eligible to participate in the BRP. Participants can elect to defer W-2 earnings, including annual bonus awards, up to a maximum of 50% of such earnings. Deferrals are matched up to 4.5%, with the matching contributions vesting after two years of service. Annually, BRP participants must elect, prior to the fiscal year in which the compensation to be credited or deferred to the BRP is earned, the time at which they want distributions from the BRP. Amounts may be deferred for a minimum of one year. Distribution election options include commencement upon retirement either in a lump sum or installments or at a set future date either in lump sum or installments even if employment continues with us. In the event of death or disability, the participant or his or her estate will receive a lump sum payment. The payment of amounts deferred by NEOs after December 31, 2004 and that are to be paid after termination of employment, will be delayed for six months following termination of employment in order to comply with Section 409A of the Code.

Amounts deferred into the BRP by the participant as well as contributions by us are credited with market earnings or losses based on the participant's self-directed investment election and allocation among a group of mutual funds. The mutual funds available in the BRP have investment objectives similar, but not identical to, those funds available to all employees under our tax-qualified plan. There is no above-market or preferential interest rates credited on any compensation deferred in the BRP. Participants may change fund choices on a daily basis to the extent permitted by the funds.

Table of Contents

The following table and footnotes provide information regarding the non-qualified deferred compensation plan during fiscal year 2015 for the NEOs.

FISCAL YEAR 2015 NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Executive s Contribution in Last FY (\$)	Registrant s Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings (Losses) in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FY
Joseph Alvarado	\$ 301,611	\$ 152,217	\$ 39,331		\$ 1,191,889(1)
Barbara R. Smith	\$ 199,534	\$ 54,606	\$ (1,486)		\$ 413,575(2)
Tracy L. Porter	\$ 130,928	\$ 61,026	\$ (14,740)		\$ 1,651,731(3)
John Elmore	\$ 407,664	\$ 55,631	\$ (620)		\$ 586,981(4)
Terry P. Hatten	\$	\$ 2,488	\$		\$ 2,489

- (1) Approximately 59% of the aggregate balance at 2015 fiscal year end results from Mr. Alvarado s voluntary deferrals of compensation to the BRP since his participation began in 2010.
- (2) Approximately 85% of the aggregate balance at 2015 fiscal year end results from Ms. Smith s voluntary deferrals of compensation to the BRP since her participation began in 2013.
- (3) Approximately 43% of the aggregate balance at 2015 fiscal year end results from Mr. Porter s voluntary deferrals of compensation to the BRP since his participation began in 2006.
- (4) Approximately 77% of the aggregate balance at 2015 fiscal year end results from Mr. Elmore s voluntary deferrals of compensation to the BRP since his participation began in 2012.

Potential Payments and Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control

Under our executive compensation program, severance payments and the provision of benefits can be triggered upon termination of an NEO s employment and following a Change in Control. These payments may include payments resulting from the employment agreements and EECAs discussed below.

Employment Agreements

Below is a description of the termination provisions included in each of the employment agreements and EECAs. The NEOs (other than Mr. Hatten who does not have an employment agreement) are also bound under the terms of their employment agreements to certain non-competition provisions during the term of the employment and for 18 months thereafter and certain non-solicitation restrictions for a period of two years after termination of employment.

Alvarado, Smith, Porter and Elmore. If we terminate Mr. Alvarado s, Ms. Smith s, Mr. Porter s or Mr. Elmore s employment for cause under the terms of their respective employment agreements or under the applicable law or if any such executive terminates his or her own employment without good reason, then we have no further payment obligations to him or her, except accrued and unused vacation.

If the employment of any of these executives is terminated due to death or disability, such executive or his or her respective estate shall be entitled to: (i) any applicable life insurance or disability benefits; (ii) a pro-rata share of any applicable bonus as determined by our Board; (iii) payment of any cash incentive due under the 2013 Cash Plan; (iv) vesting of SARs, restricted stock and/or stock options to the extent permitted by the terms of the applicable equity incentive plan and award or grant agreements; and (v) to the extent permitted by the 401(k) Plan and BRP, crediting of any Company contributions attributable to the plan year of the termination and accelerated vesting of any unvested

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

Company contributions to such accounts.

If we terminate Mr. Alvarado's or Mr. Porter's employment without cause, if Mr. Alvarado or Mr. Porter terminates for good reason, or if we do not renew his employment agreement, pursuant to his employment agreement, he shall be entitled to: (i) a lump sum payment equal to 1.5 times his then current annual base salary; (ii) a cash payment in lieu of a bonus equal to the greater of (a) 1.5 times the average annual bonus he received for the five fiscal year period ending with our last completed fiscal year prior to the termination or (b) his annual bonus target as established by our Board for our last completed fiscal year prior to the termination, the foregoing

Table of Contents

when combined with (i) not to exceed two times the executive's then current annual base salary; and (ii) to the extent permitted by the 401(k) Plan and BRP, crediting of any Company contribution attributable to the plan year of the termination and accelerated vesting of any unvested Company contributions to such accounts.

If we terminate Ms. Smith's or Mr. Elmore's employment without cause, if the executive terminates for good reason, or if we do not renew the executive's employment agreement, pursuant to the executive's employment agreement, the executive will be entitled to: (i) an amount equal to two times the executive's then current annual base salary; and (ii) to the extent permitted by the 401(k) Plan and BRP, crediting of any Company contribution attributable to the plan year of the termination and accelerated vesting of any unvested Company contributions to such accounts.

Under Mr. Alvarado's, Ms. Smith's, Mr. Porter's and Mr. Elmore's employment agreements, "cause" is defined as the executive's: (i) theft, embezzlement, fraud, financial impropriety, any other act of dishonesty relating to such executive's employment or any willful violation of Company policies or directives or laws, rule or regulations applicable to the Company; (ii) willful commission of acts that would support the finding of a felony or lesser crime involving fraud, dishonesty, misappropriation or moral turpitude; (iii) failure to perform the duties and obligations under such executive's employment agreement; or (iv) commission of an act in performing such executive's duties amounting to gross negligence or willful misconduct.

Under Mr. Alvarado's and Mr. Porter's employment agreements, "good reason" is defined as our breach of the agreement, a significant reduction in the executive's responsibilities or compensation, or our requiring the executive to work at a location more than 50 miles from our current location. Under Ms. Smith's employment agreement, "good reason" is defined as our breach of the agreement or a significant reduction in the executive's responsibilities or compensation. Under Mr. Elmore's employment agreement, "good reason" is defined as our breach of the agreement.

EECAs. In April 2006, our Board authorized the execution of a form Executive Employment Continuity Agreement (the "EECA") with certain key executives, including each of the then-serving NEOs. Ms. Smith and Mr. Hatten each entered into an EECA upon the commencement of their employment with the Company. The EECA is intended to ensure that we will have the continued attention and dedication of the executive during events that might lead to, and in the event of, a Change in Control of the Company. Should a Change in Control occur, we have agreed to continue to employ each executive for a period of two years thereafter (the "Employment Period"). The EECAs terminate two years after a Change in Control.

During the Employment Period, each executive will continue to receive: (i) an annual base salary equal to at least the executive's base salary before the Change in Control; (ii) cash bonus opportunities equivalent to that available to the executive under our annual and long-term cash incentive plans in effect immediately preceding the Change in Control; and (iii) continued participation in all incentive plans, including equity incentive, savings, deferred compensation, retirement plans, welfare benefit plans and other employee benefits on terms no less favorable than those in effect during the 90-day period immediately preceding the Change in Control.

If the executive's employment is terminated during the Employment Period for other than cause or disability (including Constructive Termination (as defined below)), the EECA requires us to pay certain severance benefits to the executive in a lump sum within 30 days following termination. The severance benefits for Ms. Smith and Messrs. Alvarado, Elmore, Hatten and Porter include an amount equal to unpaid salary, vacation pay and certain other amounts considered to have been earned prior to termination as well as four times the highest annual base salary in effect at any time during the twelve month period prior to the Change in Control. Under the terms of the EECA, the severance paid is determined based on a multiple of salary only and does not include a multiple of salary plus bonus. Company contributions to retirement plans and participation, including that of the executive's eligible dependents, in Company provided welfare plan benefits will be continued for two years following termination. The executive shall become fully vested in all stock incentive awards and all stock options shall remain exercisable for the remainder of their term. The EECA contains a "double trigger" in that there must be present both a Change in Control and a termination of the executive in order to trigger severance payments under these agreements. We believe that this double trigger is a reasonable trigger for severance compensation under the EECAs and that these agreements provide a mechanism for eliminating the distraction to the executives that is inherent in change in control events.

Table of Contents

The EECA does not provide for a tax gross up reimbursement payment by us to the executive for taxes, including excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code, which the employee may owe as a result of receipt of payments under the EECA. The EECA does require us to determine if the payments to an executive under the EECA combined with any other payments or benefits to which the executive may be entitled (in aggregate the Change in Control Payments) would result in the imposition on the executive of the excise tax under Section 4999. We will either reduce the Change in Control Payments to the maximum amount which would not result in imposition of the Section 4999 excise tax or pay the entire Change in Control Payment to the executive if, even after the executive's payment of the Section 4999 excise tax, the executive would receive a larger net amount.

In the event the executive is terminated more than two years following a Change in Control, no severance benefits are provided under the EECA. The EECA provides that the executive not disclose any confidential information relating to us and, for a period of one year following termination of employment, not compete with the business as conducted by the Company within 100 miles of a Company facility nor solicit or hire employees of the Company or knowingly permit (to the extent reasonably within the executive's control) any business or entity that employs the executive or in which the executive has an ownership interest to hire Company employees. If a court rules that the executive has violated these provisions, the rights of the executive under the EECA will terminate.

Plan Awards. In addition to the EECAs, our existing equity incentive plans also provide for accelerated vesting of stock-based awards regardless of whether a termination occurs as a result of a Change in Control, as defined by such plans. Under the Company's long-term incentive program and except as otherwise provided for in an agreement, PSUs vest at target performance levels upon a Change in Control. Further, the 2013 Cash Plan provides that in the event of a Change in Control, the Committee has discretion to take action to determine the extent to which incentive compensation is considered earned and payable during any performance period, consistent with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code, and further consistent with our best interests.

The EECA, cash and equity incentive plans define a Change in Control to be the occurrence of one of the following events: (i) the acquisition of twenty-five percent (25%) or more of our outstanding voting securities; (ii) the replacement of a majority of the members of our Board by directors not approved by the incumbents; (iii) the sale of substantially all of our assets to an entity of which we own less than fifty percent (50%) of the voting securities; or (iv) the merger of the Company resulting in the pre-merger stockholders of the Company not controlling at least fifty percent (50%) of the post-merger voting securities. The EECA defines Constructive Termination as the failure to maintain the executive in the position held by him prior to the Change in Control, a material adverse change in the executive's responsibilities, the failure to pay the amounts due to him under the EECA or requiring the executive to relocate more than 50 miles from his workplace.

In order to describe the payments and benefits that are triggered for each event, we have created the following tables for each NEO estimating the payments and benefits that would be paid under each element of our compensation program assuming that the NEO's employment terminated or the Change in Control occurred on August 31, 2015, the last day of our 2015 fiscal year. In all cases the amounts were valued as of August 31, 2015, based upon, where applicable, a closing share price of \$15.70.

Table of Contents

The amounts in the following tables are calculated as of August 31, 2015 pursuant to SEC rules and are not intended to reflect actual payments that may be made. Actual payments that may be made will be based on the dates and circumstances of the applicable event.

Joseph Alvarado

Executive Benefits and Payments			Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Good Reason		For Cause	CIC With No Termination	CIC Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	Permanent Disability	Death
	Voluntary Resignation	Retirement	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination
Upon Termination Compensation:									
Base Salary(1)	\$	\$	\$ 2,000,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,000,000	\$	\$
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,706,200	\$ 1,706,200	\$ 1,706,200	\$ 1,706,200
Long-term Incentives Restricted Stock/PSUs Unvested and accelerated(2)	\$ 3,498,998	\$ 3,498,998	\$ 3,498,998	\$	\$	\$ 8,305,457	\$ 8,305,457	\$ 3,498,998	\$ 3,498,998
Benefits and Perquisites:									
BRP, 401(k) and Profit Sharing Contributions(3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,220,974	\$	\$
Welfare Continuation Benefit(4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 67,316	\$	\$
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,000,000
Disability Benefits(5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 870,000	\$
Accrued Vacation Pay(6)	\$ 76,923	\$ 76,923	\$ 76,923	\$ 76,923	\$	\$	\$ 76,923	\$ 76,923	\$ 76,923
Total	\$ 3,575,921	\$ 3,575,921	\$ 5,575,921	\$ 76,923	\$ 10,011,657	\$ 16,376,870	\$ 6,152,121	\$ 6,282,121	

- (1) Amounts reported for base salary and bonus are calculated pursuant to Mr. Alvarado's employment agreement and EECA described on pages 44 through 46.
- (2) Pursuant to the terms of the grant agreements, awards granted in fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015 vest pro rata upon death, permanent disability or following retirement or permitted early retirement (subject to consent of the Committee) and vest 100% upon a Change in Control. We have assumed that, except for termination with cause, the Committee would have consented to vesting of awards upon retirement or permitted early retirement.
- (3) Amounts reported represent a calculation of employer contributions to the 401(k) and BRP plans for two years based on the amounts received under the terms of the EECA. Because the employer contributions to the BRP are based on the amounts received under the EECA, including the accelerated vesting of equity awards, the estimated employer contribution to the BRP will fluctuate based on changes in compensation levels as well as changes in the Company's stock price.
- (4) Amounts reported are based on estimated costs for two years based upon fiscal year 2016 premiums and actual fiscal year 2015 coverage.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of permanent disability benefits to be paid in monthly installments until executive is age 65, or for up to 87 months if the executive becomes disabled at age 63.
- (6) As required by state law and our vacation program, we will pay any earned but unused vacation pay after termination of employment for any reason. Amount shown assumes the executive is entitled to the full annual vacation benefit at termination.

Table of Contents

Barbara R. Smith

Executive Benefits**and Payments**

Upon Termination	Voluntary Resignation	Retirement (7)	Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Good Reason Termination	For Cause Termination	CIC With No Termination	CIC Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	Permanent Disability	Death
Termination Compensation:								
Base Salary(1)	\$	\$	\$ 1,104,000	\$	\$	\$ 2,208,000	\$	\$
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
Long-term Incentives Restricted Stock/PSUs Unvested and Accelerated(2)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,133,599	\$ 2,133,599	\$ 859,929	\$ 859,929
Benefits and Perquisites:								
BRP, 401(k) and Profit Sharing Contributions(3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 811,081	\$	\$
Welfare Continuation Benefit(4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 66,779	\$	\$
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,000,000
Disability Benefits(5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,340,000	\$
Accrued Vacation Pay(6)	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462	\$	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462
Total	\$ 42,462	\$ 42,462	\$ 1,146,462	\$ 42,462	\$ 2,783,599	\$ 5,911,921	\$ 3,892,391	\$ 2,552,391

- (1) Amounts reported for base salary are calculated pursuant to Ms. Smith's employment agreement and EECA described on pages 44 through 46. As noted in footnote 7 below, Ms. Smith is not eligible for ordinary retirement.
- (2) Pursuant to the terms of the grant agreements, awards granted in fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015 vest pro rata upon death, permanent disability or following retirement or permitted early retirement (subject to consent of the Committee) and vest 100% upon a Change in Control.
- (3) Amounts reported represent a calculation of employer contributions to the 401(k) and BRP plans for two years based on the amounts received under the terms of the EECA. Because the employer contributions to the BRP are based on the amounts received under the EECA, including the accelerated vesting of equity awards, the estimated employer contribution to the BRP will fluctuate based on changes in compensation levels as well as changes in the Company's stock price.
- (4) Amounts reported are based on estimated costs for two years based upon fiscal year 2016 premiums and actual fiscal year 2015 coverage.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of permanent disability benefits to be paid in monthly installments until executive is age 67.
- (6) As required by state law and our vacation program, we will pay any earned but unused vacation pay after termination of employment for any reason. Amount shown assumes the executive is entitled to the full annual vacation benefit at termination.
- (7) Ms. Smith is not eligible for ordinary retirement based on her length of service with the Company.

Table of Contents

Tracy L. Porter

Executive Benefits and Payments	Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Good Reason				CIC Involuntary or Good Reason			
	Voluntary Resignation	Retirement	Termination	For Cause Termination	CIC With No Termination	Termination	Permanent Disability	Death
Upon Termination Termination Compensation:								
Base Salary(1)	\$	\$	\$ 1,132,000	\$	\$	\$ 2,264,000	\$	\$
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
Long-term Incentives Restricted Stock/PSUs Unvested and Accelerated(2)	\$ 797,765	\$ 797,765	\$ 797,765	\$	\$ 1,836,727	\$ 1,836,727	\$ 797,765	\$ 797,765
Benefits and Perquisites:								
BRP, 401(k) and Profit Sharing Contributions(3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 952,589	\$	\$
Welfare Continuation Benefit(4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 55,369	\$	\$
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,000,000
Disability Benefits(5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,920,000	\$
Accrued Vacation Pay(6)	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538
Total	\$ 841,303	\$ 841,303	\$ 1,973,303	\$ 43,538	\$ 2,536,727	\$ 5,852,223	\$ 3,461,303	\$ 2,541,303

- (1) Amounts reported for base salary and bonus are calculated pursuant to Mr. Porter's employment agreement and EECA described on pages 44 through 46.
- (2) Pursuant to the terms of the grant agreements, awards granted in fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015 vest pro rata upon death, permanent disability or following retirement or permitted early retirement (subject to consent of the Committee) and vest 100% upon a Change in Control. We have assumed that except for termination with cause, the Committee would have consented to vesting of awards upon retirement or permitted early retirement.
- (3) Amounts reported represent a calculation of employer contributions to the 401(k) and BRP plans for two years based on the amounts received under the terms of the EECA. Because the employer contributions to the BRP are based on the amounts received under the EECA, including the accelerated vesting of equity awards, the estimated employer contribution to the BRP will fluctuate based on changes in compensation levels as well as changes in the Company's stock price.
- (4) Amounts reported are based on estimated costs for two years based upon fiscal year 2016 premiums and actual fiscal year 2015 coverage.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of permanent disability benefits to be paid in monthly installments until executive is age 67.
- (6) As required by state law and our vacation program, we will pay any earned but unused vacation pay after termination of employment for any reason. Amount shown assumes the executive is entitled to the full annual vacation benefit at termination.

Table of Contents**John Elmore**

Executive Benefits and Payments			Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Good Reason	For Cause Termination	CIC With No Termination	CIC Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	Permanent Disability	Death
	Voluntary Resignation	Retirement (7)	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination	Termination
Upon Termination Termination Compensation:								
Base Salary(1)	\$	\$	\$ 1,132,000	\$	\$	\$ 2,264,000	\$	\$
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 651,900	\$ 651,900	\$ 651,900	\$ 651,900
Long-term Incentives Restricted Stock/PSUs Unvested and Accelerated(2)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,870,545	\$ 1,870,545	\$ 818,018	\$ 818,018
Benefits and Perquisites:								
BRP, 401(k) and Profit Sharing Contributions(3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 809,395	\$	\$
Welfare Continuation Benefit(4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 71,247	\$	\$
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,000,000
Disability Benefits(5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,100,000	\$
Accrued Vacation Pay(6)	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538
Total	\$ 43,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 1,175,538	\$ 43,538	\$ 2,522,445	\$ 5,710,625	\$ 3,613,456	\$ 2,513,456

- (1) Amounts reported for base salary are calculated pursuant to Mr. Elmore's employment agreement and EECA described on pages 44 through 46. As noted in footnote 7 below, Mr. Elmore is not eligible for ordinary retirement.
- (2) Pursuant to the terms of the grant agreements, awards granted in fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015 vest pro rata upon death, permanent disability or following retirement or permitted early retirement (subject to consent of the Committee) and vest 100% upon a Change in Control.
- (3) Amounts reported represent a calculation of employer contributions to the 401(k) and BRP plans for two years based on the amounts received under the terms of the EECA. Because the employer contributions to the BRP are based on the amounts received under the EECA, including the accelerated vesting of equity awards, the estimated employer contribution to the BRP will fluctuate based on changes in compensation levels as well as changes in the Company's stock price.
- (4) Amounts reported are based on estimated costs for two years based upon fiscal year 2016 premiums and actual fiscal year 2015 coverage.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of permanent disability benefits to be paid in monthly installments until executive is age 67.
- (6) As required by state law and our vacation program, we will pay any earned but unused vacation pay after termination of employment for any reason. Amount shown assumes the executive is entitled to the full annual vacation benefit at termination.
- (7) Mr. Elmore is not eligible for ordinary retirement based on his length of service with the Company.

Table of Contents

Terry P. Hatten

Executive Benefits and Payments	Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Good Reason				CIC With No Termination	CIC Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	Permanent Disability	Death
	Voluntary Resignation	Retirement (7)	For Cause Termination	For Cause Termination				
Upon Termination Termination Compensation:								
Base Salary(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,548,000	\$	\$
Annual Cash Incentive Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 354,900	\$ 354,900	\$ 354,900	\$ 354,900
Long-term Incentives Restricted Stock/PSUs Unvested and Accelerated(2)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,162,978	\$ 1,162,978	\$ 439,959	\$ 439,959
Benefits and Perquisites:								
BRP, 401(k) and Profit Sharing Contributions(3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 467,585	\$	\$
Welfare Continuation Benefit(4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 64,880	\$	\$
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 774,000
Disability Benefits(5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,140,000	\$
Accrued Vacation Pay(6)	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769
Total	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 29,769	\$ 1,547,647	\$ 3,628,112	\$ 4,964,628	\$ 1,598,628

- (1) Amounts reported for base salary are calculated pursuant to Mr. Hatten's EECA described on pages 45 through 46. As noted previously, Mr. Hatten is not subject to an employment agreement that provides for post-termination separation benefits. As noted in footnote 7 below, Mr. Hatten is not eligible for ordinary retirement.
- (2) Pursuant to the terms of the grant agreements, awards granted in fiscal years 2014 and 2015 vest pro rata upon death, permanent disability or following retirement or permitted early retirement (subject to consent of the Committee) and vest 100% upon a Change in Control.
- (3) Amounts reported represent a calculation of employer contributions to the 401(k) and BRP plans for two years based on the amounts received under the terms of the EECA. Because the employer contributions to the BRP are based on the amounts received under the EECA, including the accelerated vesting of equity awards, the estimated employer contribution to the BRP will fluctuate based on changes in compensation levels as well as changes in the Company's stock price.
- (4) Amounts reported are based on estimated costs for two years based upon fiscal year 2016 premiums and actual fiscal year 2015 coverage.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of permanent disability benefits to be paid in monthly installments until executive is age 67.
- (6) As required by state law and our vacation program, we will pay any earned but unused vacation pay after termination of employment for any reason. Amount shown assumes the executive is entitled to the full annual vacation benefit at termination.
- (7) Mr. Hatten is not eligible for ordinary retirement based on his length of service with the Company.

Compensation Risk Assessment Company-wide Compensation Policies and Programs

The Compensation Committee has established and plans to continue to refine Company-wide compensation policies and programs that reward contributions to long-term stockholder value and do not promote unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. In furtherance of this objective, the Committee undertook, during our most recently ended fiscal year, an assessment of our compensation arrangements, including those for our NEOs. In conducting this assessment, the Committee asked Hay Group, its independent compensation consultant to perform, among other

things, a review of our (i) compensation philosophy, (ii) peer group companies, (iii) compensation mix, (iv) cash and equity-based incentive plans, and (v) administrative procedures. The Committee also asked Hay Group to examine our cash and equity-based compensation plans in comparison to market practices.

Table of Contents

The considerations and findings of the assessment by the Committee included:

The Committee believes that the distribution of compensation among our core compensation elements focuses our employees on both the nearer-term and long-term performance of the Company.

Our cash incentive compensation programs include both financial and operational measures intended to be aligned with the Company's short-, medium- and long-term business goals.

Our equity-based incentive awards provide for payouts over a multi-year period so that our NEOs remain focused on our performance beyond the immediate fiscal year.

Our cash and equity-based awards contain a range of performance levels and payouts to discourage executives from taking risky actions to meet a single target with an all-or-nothing result of compensation or no compensation.

Caps on awards to certain executives and limits on maximum award size also limit risk under the Company's incentive plans.

A cap is placed on the number of shares of common stock of the Company that may be awarded to any director in any fiscal year.

Executives and directors are encouraged to hold a meaningful number of shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to our stock ownership policy.

Based upon this assessment, the Committee does not believe that our Company-wide compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The compensation arrangements for non-employee directors are described below. The following table and footnotes outline the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for fiscal year 2015, as well as the outstanding equity awards held by the non-employee directors as of August 31, 2015. As an officer of the Company, Mr. Alvarado did not receive fees for his service on our Board during fiscal year 2015.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE IN FISCAL YEAR 2015

Name	Fees Earned			Total
	or Paid			
	in Cash	Stock Awards	All Other Compensation	
	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)
Harold L. Adams(1)	\$ 36,111	\$	\$	\$ 36,111
Vicki L. Avril(2)	\$ 124,583	\$ 131,919	\$	\$ 256,502
Rhys J. Best	\$ 122,000	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 221,992
Robert L. Guido	\$ 127,639	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 227,631
Richard B. Kelson	\$ 145,000	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 244,992

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

Anthony A. Massaro	\$ 125,000	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 224,992
Rick J. Mills(2)	\$ 132,361	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 232,353
Sarah E. Raiss(2)	\$ 127,500	\$ 94,805	\$	\$ 222,305
J. David Smith	\$ 120,000	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 219,992
Charles L. Szews(2)(5)	\$ 105,417	\$ 116,642	\$	\$ 222,059
Joseph C. Winkler(2)	\$ 119,500	\$ 99,992	\$	\$ 219,492

- (1) Mr. Adams retired as a director at our 2015 annual meeting, but was compensated on a prorated basis for his service as a director for the part of the fiscal year he served (from September 1, 2014 to January 14, 2015). Mr. Adams received total compensation of \$36,111, which included the prorated annual retainer fee, meeting fees and committee fees.

Table of Contents

- (2) Ms. Avril elected to receive \$43,125 of her fiscal year 2015 director fees in the form of common stock purchased at the time the quarterly fees are paid. Mr. Mills elected to receive all his fiscal year 2015 director fees in RSUs. Ms. Raiss elected to receive \$15,938 of her fiscal year 2015 director fees in the form of common stock purchased at the time the quarterly fees are paid. Mr. Szews elected to receive \$86,250 of his fiscal year 2015 director fees in the form of common stock purchased at the time quarterly fees are paid. Mr. Winkler elected to receive \$52,750 of his fiscal year 2015 director fees in the form of common stock purchased at the time the quarterly fees are paid. Ms. Avril's and Mr. Szews' total compensation includes prorated annual retainer fees, meeting fees and committee fees based on their respective Board commencement dates.
- (3) Includes the grant date fair value of equity awards granted in fiscal year 2015 and calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in determining these values can be found in Note 15 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on October 30, 2015. Five of the non-employee directors were granted restricted stock awards (RSAs), one of the non-employee directors was granted RSUs, and four of the non-employee directors elected to defer the equity portion of their annual retainer fee in RSUs to be paid following their termination of service on our Board. One-half of each RSA and RSU equity award vests on January 14, 2016 and one-half vests on January 14, 2017, provided such director is still serving as a director or such director has not had an accelerated vesting event, such as retirement, death, permanent disability or a change in control. Ms. Avril received a prorated RSU with a grant date value of \$31,928 on September 1, 2014 in the amount of 1,928 shares, with 964 shares vesting on September 1, 2015 and 964 shares vesting on September 1, 2016. Mr. Szews received a prorated RSA with a grant date value of \$16,650 on November 1, 2014 for 963 shares, with 481 shares vesting on November 1, 2015 and 482 shares vesting on November 1, 2016. As of August 31, 2015, each individual who served as a non-employee director during fiscal year 2015 had outstanding the following number of deferred RSUs, RSAs, RSUs and SARs:

Director	Deferred Restricted Stock Units	Restricted Stock/ Restricted Stock Units	SARs
Harold L. Adams			28,000
Vicki L. Avril	7,373	1,928	
Rhys J. Best		9,848	28,000
Robert L. Guido		9,848	28,000
Richard B. Kelson	31,380		14,000
Anthony A. Massaro	19,382		28,000
Rick J. Mills	50,255		
Sarah E. Raiss	13,354	9,848	14,000
J. David Smith		9,848	42,000
Charles L. Szews		8,167	
Joseph C. Winkler		9,848	

- (4) Costs of less than \$5,000 per director were incurred by us in connection with certain spouses attending activities related to the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. We incurred costs associated with minor commemorative items, meals, entertainment, sightseeing and similar activities for each director and accompanying guest, if present.
- (5) Mr. Szews commenced service on the Board on October 28, 2014. None of our employees receive additional compensation for serving as a director. For fiscal year 2015, our directors received an annual retainer of \$200,000 (the Annual Retainer), paid in quarterly installments, one-half in cash and one-half in equity. In addition, beginning in January 2015, if more than ten Board meetings are held in a calendar year, directors are entitled to receive Board meeting fees of \$2,000 per additional meeting.

Table of Contents

attended. Additionally, each Audit Committee member receives an annual retainer of \$15,000, and the Chairman receives an annual retainer of \$25,000. Each Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Finance Committee member receives an annual retainer of \$10,000, and the Chairmen receive retainers of \$17,500, \$12,000 and \$12,000, respectively. In addition, beginning in January 2015, if any committee holds more than ten meetings in a calendar year, members of such committee are entitled to receive committee meeting fees of \$2,000 per additional committee meeting attended. The Lead Director receives an annual retainer of \$25,000. The equity portion of the Annual Retainer was fully issued in restricted stock and restricted stock units in the 2015 calendar year. Additionally, any director may elect to be paid the cash portion of the Annual Retainer or committee retainer(s) in common stock. The Company maintains a Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Program under which non-employee directors may defer all or a portion of their compensation until their separation from our Board.

Under the Company's stock ownership guidelines, non-employee directors are required to own Company stock equal in value to five times such person's annual cash retainer, and each non-employee director has five years from joining the board to achieve this.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The members of the Compensation Committee for fiscal year 2015 were Ms. Raiss (Chairman) and Messrs. Best, Kelson, Smith and Winkler. None of the members of the Compensation Committee was at any time during fiscal year 2015, or at any other time, an officer or employee of the Company. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving either as a member of our Compensation Committee or as a member of our Board. There were no relationships requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K or Item 407(e)(4) of Regulation S-K that involved any member of the Compensation Committee during the last fiscal year.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Mr. Bryan Porter, son of our Senior Vice President and President of CMC Americas Division, Tracy Porter, is employed by us as an Area Operations and Sales Manager of Rebar in Florida. In this capacity, he was paid cash compensation, including base salary and annual bonus, of \$208,282 for his services during fiscal year 2015 which is in line with the Company's normal pay policies and practices. He received total taxable compensation of \$207,633 including life insurance, car allowance and the tax value of restricted stock that vested in fiscal year 2015.

Since 1978, we have had a Code of Conduct that applies to all directors, officers and employees (collectively, "Covered Persons"). The Code of Conduct, as amended and effective as of January 1, 2010, can be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.cmc.com. The Code of Conduct prohibits a Covered Person from engaging in transactions in which he or she may have a conflict of interest without first disclosing the potential conflict of interest to his or her supervisor and seeking prior approval. Additionally, we have adopted a written policy regarding review and approval of related party transactions by the Audit Committee (the "Related Person Transactions Policy").

The Company's Related Person Transactions Policy defines a "Related Person Transaction" as any transaction involving an amount in excess of \$120,000 in which the Company is a participant and in which a Related Person (as defined below) has or will have a direct or indirect material interest, including, without limitation, any financial transaction, arrangement or relationship (including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness) or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships. The Related Person Transactions Policy also contains categories of certain transactions that our Board has identified as not constituting Related Person Transactions because such transactions are not deemed to create a direct or indirect material interest for the Related Person.

A "Related Person" is (i) an executive officer or director of the Company or a nominee for director of the Company, (ii) a beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of voting securities of the Company or (iii) an immediate family member of any of the persons identified in clauses (i) or (ii). Immediate family members

Table of Contents

include a person's child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law or sister-in-law of such person, and any individual (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of such person.

Under the Related Person Transactions Policy, each Related Person Transaction must be approved or ratified in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the policy (i) by the Audit Committee of our Board or (ii) if the Audit Committee of our Board determines that the approval or ratification of such Related Person Transaction should be considered by all of the disinterested members of our Board, by such disinterested members of our Board by the vote of a majority thereof. In considering whether to approve or ratify any Related Person Transaction, the Audit Committee or the disinterested members of our Board, as the case may be, shall consider all factors that in their discretion are relevant to the Related Person Transaction.

No director participates in any discussion or approval of a Related Person Transaction for which he or she is a Related Person, except that the director is required to provide all material information concerning the Related Person Transaction to the Audit Committee or disinterested directors reviewing such transaction.

There were no transactions considered to be a Related Person Transaction since the beginning of the Company's 2015 fiscal year through the date of this proxy statement.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Board annually selects the members of the Audit Committee. At the beginning of fiscal year 2015, the members of the Audit Committee were Messrs. Mills (Chairman), Adams, Guido, Massaro and Szews and Ms. Avril. Effective January 14, 2015, Mr. Adams retired from the Board and the Board elected not to fill the vacancy created by his retirement. Our Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is qualified to serve. Our Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee satisfies all applicable financial literacy requirements, and each member is independent as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and as "independence" is defined by the listing standards of the NYSE. Our Board has determined that Ms. Avril and Messrs. Guido, Mills and Szews meet the definition of "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, the Audit Committee met five times.

The Audit Committee's responsibilities are outlined in a charter approved by our Board, which can be found on our website at www.cmc.com under the Corporate Governance section. On an annual basis, the Audit Committee conducts a self-assessment review and also reviews and assesses the adequacy of its charter. The Audit Committee assists our Board in the oversight of our financial reporting process. Management has the primary responsibility for establishing and maintaining adequate internal financial controls, for preparing the financial statements and for the public reporting process. The Audit Committee, among other activities described in its charter, has sole authority for the appointment (subject to stockholder ratification), retention, oversight, termination and replacement of the independent registered public accounting firm, recommends to our Board whether the audited financial statements should be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, reviews quarterly financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, reviews with our internal audit staff and independent registered public accounting firm our controls and procedures and is responsible for approving all audit and engagement fees of the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee meets regularly and separately from management with the internal audit staff, the independent registered public accounting firm, as well as the Chief Financial Officer and the General Counsel.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015 with management and with the independent registered public accounting firm. Those discussions included the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, as amended (Communication with Audit Committees). The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence under such standards and has determined that the services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP are compatible with maintaining its independence. Based on the

Table of Contents

Audit Committee's discussions and review with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board that the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015 be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on October 30, 2015 with the SEC.

Rick J. Mills, Chairman

Vicki L. Avril

Robert L. Guido

Anthony A. Massaro

Charles L. Szews

PROPOSAL 2**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT****REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of our Board has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016, subject to stockholder ratification. Fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP to us for services provided in fiscal years ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014 were:

Type of Fees	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2014
Audit Fees	\$ 4,167,277	\$ 4,335,579
Audit-Related Fees	\$	\$ 70,500
Tax Fees	\$ 275,000	\$
All Other Fees	\$ 5,330	\$ 4,264
Total	\$ 4,447,607	\$ 4,410,343

Audit Fees are fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP for professional services for the audit of our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and for the review of our consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Forms 10-Q, or for services that are normally provided by the accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit-Related Fees are fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit and review of our financial statements. **All Other Fees** are fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP for any services not included in the first three categories. For fiscal years 2015 and 2014, **All Other Fees** consisted of fees billed for use of the Deloitte Technical Library, an online research tool.

The Audit Committee has adopted the following practices regarding the engagement of our independent registered public accounting firm to perform services for us:

For audit services (including statutory audit engagements as required under local country laws), the independent registered public accounting firm shall provide the Audit Committee with an engagement letter outlining the scope and fee budget proposal for the audit services proposed to be performed during the fiscal year. If agreed to by the Audit Committee, this engagement letter and budget for audit services will be formally accepted by the Audit Committee.

For non-audit services, Company management periodically submits to the Audit Committee for pre-approval a list of non-audit services that it recommends the Audit Committee engage the independent registered public accounting firm to provide for the fiscal year. Company management and the independent registered public accounting firm each confirm to the Audit Committee that each non-audit service on the list is permissible under all applicable legal requirements. In addition to the list of planned non-audit services, a budget estimating non-audit service spending for the fiscal year may be provided. The Audit Committee will review and approve, as it considers appropriate, both the list of

permissible non-audit services and the budget for such services. The

Table of Contents

Audit Committee will be informed routinely as to the non-audit services actually provided by the independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to this pre-approval process. All of the services described above were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to these pre-approval processes.

To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Audit Committee may periodically delegate to the Chairman of the Audit Committee the authority to amend or modify the list of approved permissible non-audit services and fees. The Chairman of the Audit Committee will report any action taken in this regard to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting.

The Audit Committee has specifically charged the independent registered public accounting firm with the responsibility of ensuring that all audit and non-audit services provided to us have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The CFO and independent registered public accounting firm are responsible for tracking all of the independent registered public accounting firm's fees against the pre-approved budget for such services and periodically reporting that status to the Audit Committee.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions. Our Board requests that stockholders ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to conduct the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016. In the event that the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to continue to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the fiscal year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change should be made.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares having voting power represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt the proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2016.

The Audit Committee and our Board recommend a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

PROPOSAL 3

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are providing stockholders with an advisory, non-binding vote on the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with SEC rules. This is the fourth year that we are asking stockholders to vote on this type of proposal, which is commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote. At the 2015 annual meeting, the Company's say-on-pay proposal was approved, on an advisory basis, by a majority of shares represented at the annual meeting and having voting power. At the 2012 annual meeting, stockholders were also asked to vote on a proposal seeking their views as to whether the say-on-pay vote should be held every year, every two years or every three years. A majority of stockholders voting on the matter indicated a preference for holding such vote on an annual basis. Accordingly, our Board decided, as previously disclosed, that the advisory vote on executive compensation will be held on an annual basis at least until the next non-binding stockholder vote on the frequency with which the advisory vote on executive compensation should be held.

As disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (which starts on page 23 of this proxy statement), the Company believes that its executive compensation program is reasonable, competitive and strongly focused on pay-for-performance principles. The objectives of the Company's executive compensation program are to:

facilitate the attraction and retention of top-caliber talent;

align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders; and

Table of Contents

offer median base salaries and competitive employee benefits coupled with meaningful short- and long-term variable incentives dependent upon achieving the financial performance goals.

Within the objectives listed above, the Compensation Committee generally believes that it is in the interests of the Company and its stockholders that the variable compensation performance metrics should be:

primarily based on pre-established performance goals;

designed to compensate based upon a combination of individual, business unit and Company performance; and

established and communicated early in the performance period in order to align individual performance with Company goals. This proposal gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on the overall compensation of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. For the reasons discussed above, we are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our NEO compensation by voting FOR the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company, as disclosed in the proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the other compensation tables and accompanying narrative discussion, is approved.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares having voting power represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt the proposal to approve the advisory resolution relating to the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement. The say-on-pay vote is an advisory vote only, and therefore it will not bind the Company or our Board. However, our Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the voting results as appropriate when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of the advisory resolution relating to the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

Information about our equity compensation plans as of August 31, 2015 is as follows:

PLAN CATEGORY	A. NUMBER OF SECURITIES TO BE ISSUED UPON EXERCISE OF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND RIGHTS	B. WEIGHTED-AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE OF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND RIGHTS	C. NUMBER OF SECURITIES REMAINING AVAILABLE FOR FUTURE ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS (EXCLUDING SECURITIES REFLECTED IN COLUMN (A))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	842,217	\$ 13.04	12,744,798
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			

Total	842,217	\$	13.04	12,744,798
-------	---------	----	-------	------------

Table of Contents

GENERAL

The annual report to stockholders for fiscal year 2015 has been mailed to stockholders with this proxy statement or previously, if requested, and is also available to stockholders online at www.proxyvote.com by using the 12 digit control number on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials. The annual report does not form any part of the material for the solicitation of proxies.

We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies on behalf of the Company. Our directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies by mail, telephone, facsimile, personal contact or through online methods. We will reimburse their expenses for doing this. We will also reimburse banks, brokers, trusts and other nominees for their costs in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2017 ANNUAL MEETING

It is currently contemplated that our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders will take place on or about January 11, 2017. Pursuant to regulations of the SEC, in order to be included in our proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting, stockholder proposals must be received at our principal executive offices, 6565 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800, Irving, Texas 75039, Attention: Corporate Secretary, no later than August 2, 2016 and must comply with additional requirements established by the SEC. Pursuant to our third amended and restated bylaws, a stockholder proposal to bring business before the 2017 annual meeting submitted outside of the processes established in Rule 14a-8 promulgated by the SEC or to nominate a person for election to the Board will be considered untimely before September 15, 2016 and untimely after October 15, 2016.

OTHER BUSINESS

Management knows of no other matter that will come before the Annual Meeting. However, if other matters do come before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders will vote in accordance with their best judgment.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

PAUL K. KIRKPATRICK

Corporate Secretary

November 30, 2015

Table of Contents

DIRECTIONS TO COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

JANUARY 13, 2016, 10:00 A.M.

CMC HALL AT THE COMPANY S HEADQUARTERS

6565 NORTH MACARTHUR BOULEVARD, 9TH FLOOR

IRVING, TEXAS 75039

Directions from DFW Airport

Take the North exit out of the airport to Hwy. 114 East towards Dallas. Take the George Bush Exit (TX-161) and turn left onto the service road of the George Bush (TX-161). Once on the service road, continue on the service road to MacArthur Blvd. Turn right on MacArthur Blvd. and continue past Patton Dr. to 6565 N. MacArthur Blvd. The 6565 MacArthur Building is located on the right side of N. MacArthur Blvd.

OR

Take the North exit out of the airport to Hwy. 114 East towards Dallas. Take the MacArthur Blvd. Exit and turn left onto MacArthur Blvd. Continue on N. MacArthur Blvd. through Royal Lane. The 6565 MacArthur Building is located on the West side of N. MacArthur Blvd. Take the first left-hand turn after passing Royal Lane to enter the property.

Directions from Love Field

Take the exit out of Love Field and turn right onto Mockingbird Lane. Stay on Mockingbird to TX-183W towards Fort Worth. Take Hwy. TX-114 West towards Grapevine/DFW Airport North Entry. Take the exit toward Walnut Hill Lane/MacArthur Blvd. Stay straight past the Walnut Hill Lane exit to the N. MacArthur Blvd. exit. Take the N. MacArthur Blvd. ramp and turn right onto N. MacArthur Blvd. Continue on N. MacArthur Blvd. through Royal Lane. The 6565 MacArthur Building is located on the West side of N. MacArthur Blvd. Take the first left-hand turn after passing Royal Lane to enter the property.

Directions from Downtown Dallas

Take I-35E/Stemmons Freeway to TX-114 West towards Grapevine/DFW Airport North Entry. Take the exit toward Walnut Hill Lane/MacArthur Blvd. Stay straight past the Walnut Hill Lane exit to the N. MacArthur Blvd. exit. Take the N. MacArthur Blvd. ramp and turn right onto N. MacArthur Blvd. Continue on N. MacArthur Blvd. through Royal Lane. The 6565 MacArthur Building is located on the West side of N. MacArthur Blvd. Take the first left-hand turn after passing Royal Lane to enter the property.

Table of Contents

COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

C/O BROADRIDGE CORPORATE ISSUER SOLUTIONS

P.O. BOX 1342

BRENTWOOD, NY 11717

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M97832-P70807

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR ALL of the following:

1. Election of Directors

Nominees:

For Against Abstain

Edgar Filing: COMMERCIAL METALS CO - Form DEF 14A

1a. Rhys J. Best
1b. Richard B. Kelson
1c. Rick J. Mills
1d. Charles L. Szews

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

	For	Against	Abstain
2. RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM: Vote to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2016 fiscal year.
3. ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION: Vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

NOTE: The proxyholders are authorized to vote in their discretion upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice, Proxy Statement, Annual Report/Form 10-K and Form of Proxy are available at

www.proxyvote.com.

M97833-P70807

PROXY

COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS JANUARY 13, 2016

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD

The undersigned stockholder(s) of Commercial Metals Company hereby appoint(s) Joseph Alvarado, Barbara R. Smith and Paul K. Kirkpatrick, or any of them, as proxy holders, each with the power to appoint his or her substitute, and hereby authorize(s) them to represent and to vote and act for the undersigned at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Commercial Metals Company (the Annual Meeting) to be held on January 13, 2016 at 10:00 am, Central Standard Time at Commercial Metals Company, CMC Hall, 6565 North MacArthur Boulevard, 9th Floor, Irving, Texas 75039, and any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, according to the number of votes which the undersigned is entitled to cast at the Annual Meeting, hereby revoking any proxies previously executed by the undersigned for the Annual Meeting.

The undersigned instructs such proxy holders or their substitutes to vote as specified on the reverse side on the proposals set forth in the Proxy Statement. **THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN BY THE UNDERSIGNED STOCKHOLDER. IF NO DIRECTION IS MADE, THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR ALL NOMINEES ON PROPOSAL 1, AND FOR PROPOSALS 2 and 3.**

If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the persons named in this proxy will vote in their discretion.

Continued and to be signed on reverse side