INNOSPEC INC. Form DEF 14A March 18, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

" Preliminary Proxy Statement

x Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional MaterialsSoliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

" Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

INNOSPEC INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

(5) Total fee paid:

" Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

" Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

AND

PROXY STATEMENT

2016

March 18, 2016

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

It is with great pleasure that we invite you to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 4, 2016 at 10.00 a.m. Central Daylight Time at Hyatt Market Street, The Woodlands, 9595 Six Pines Drive, Suite 1100, Houston, Texas, 77380.

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2016:

We are continuing to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to stockholders via the internet. This electronic process gives you fast, convenient access to materials, reduces impact on the environment and reduces our printing and mailing costs. As you have received a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request one. If you would still like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials which are included in the Notice.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, your vote on matters to be acted upon at the meeting, is important to us. We hope that you will vote by telephone or via the internet by following the instructions on your Notice or proxy card. Alternatively, if you have requested written proxy materials, you may vote by signing, dating and returning your proxy card. If you are a holder of record and you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your voting choices, your proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations as set out in the Proxy Statement.

If you are a beneficial holder of our stock (i.e. with shares held in street name), we urge you to give voting instructions to your broker so that your vote can be counted. This is important because brokers are not able to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of stock.

If you have any questions concerning the meeting, please contact Mr. David E. Williams, Innospec s Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at 303-792-5554 or david.e.williams@innospecinc.com.

Thank you for your continued support. We look forward to seeing those of you who will be able to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Sincerely,

Patrick S. Williams

President and Chief Executive Officer

INNOSPEC INC.

8310 South Valley Highway, Suite 350

Englewood, CO 80112

NOTICE OF 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date and time	Wednesday, May 4, 2016, 10.00 a.m. Central Daylight Time		
Place	Hyatt Market Street,		
	The Woodlands,		
	9595 Six Pines Drive,		
	Suite 1100,		
	Houston,		
	Texas,		
	77380		
	USA		
Proposals	Proposal 1 Proposal 2 Proposal 3 Proposal 4	Re-election of two class III directors Election of one class III director Advisory approval of the Corporation s executive compensation Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm.	
Record Date	March 10, 2016		
To obtain Proxy Materials	Internet	www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record)	
	Telephone Email	<i>www.edocumentview.com/iosp</i> (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) 1-866-641-4276 (for stockholders of record) <i>investorvote@computershare.com</i> with Proxy Materials Innospec Inc. in the subject line	
Voting Methods	Internet	www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record)	
	Telephone Written ballot In person	<i>www.proxyvote.com</i> (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Use the toll-free number shown on the proxy card Complete and return a proxy card (if you received a paper copy) Attend and vote at the meeting	

Stockholders may also transact any other business properly brought before the meeting. At this time, the Board of Directors knows of no other proposals or matters to be presented.

On behalf of the Board of Directors:

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

David E. Williams

Vice President, General Counsel,

Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary

March 18, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING	1
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	8
PROPOSAL 1: RE-ELECTION OF TWO CLASS III DIRECTORS	14
PROPOSAL 2: ELECTION OF ONE CLASS III DIRECTOR	14
INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	15
PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	18
PROPOSAL 4: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM	19
INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	20
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	22
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	37
COMPENSATION TABLES	38
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS	55
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS APPROVAL POLICY	55
INFORMATION REGARDING THE CORPORATION S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	55
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	56
INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP	57
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	60
OTHER MATTERS	61
SOLICITATION AND EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION	61
ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS	61
STOCKHOLDERS PROPOSALS FOR THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	62
PROXY CARD	

PROXY STATEMENT

INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING

Why did you send me the Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials?

We sent you the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) because the Board of Directors of Innospec Inc. (Innospec or the Corporation) is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held on Wednesday, May 4, 2016 at 10.00 a.m. Central Daylight Time, at Hyatt Market Street, The Woodlands, 9595 Six Pines Drive, Suite 1100, Houston, Texas, 77380.

The Proxy Statement summarizes the information you need to vote at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You do not need to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person to vote your stock. You may simply vote by telephone, over the internet, or, if you have requested written proxy materials, by completing, signing and returning the accompanying proxy card.

Innospec intends to commence distribution of the Notice to stockholders on or about March 18, 2016.

What proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Stockholders are being asked to consider and vote on four proposals at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The following is a summary of the proposals and the voting recommendations of the Board:

SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

Proposal		How the Board Recommends You Vote
1	Re-election of two class III directors	FOR
2	Election of one class III director	FOR
3	Advisory approval of the Corporation s executive compensation	FOR
4	Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm	FOR
Are proxy materia	ils available on the internet?	

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2016.

Yes. Our Proxy Statement, including proxy card, for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at *http://www.envisionreports.com/iosp* for stockholders of record and http://www.proxyvote.com for beneficial holders.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

March 10, 2016 is the record date for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If you owned Innospec Common Stock at the close of business on March 10, 2016, you are entitled to vote. On this record date, we had 24,509,277 of our Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Our Common Stock is our only class of voting stock.

How many votes do I have?

You have one vote for each share of Common Stock that you owned at the close of business on March 10, 2016. Your Notice indicates the number of shares of Common Stock you are entitled to vote.

What is the difference between holding stock as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Although many stockholders are the record holders of their stock, others hold their stock beneficially, which means it is held through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in the stockholder s own name. As summarized below, there are some differences between stock held of record and that owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record

If your shares of Common Stock are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record and the Notice is being sent to you directly at your address of record. As the stockholder of record you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Innospec or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You may vote by telephone or via the internet as described below under the heading Information about the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?

Beneficial Owner

If your Common Stock is held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of stock held in street name and our proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your stock and are also invited to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may only vote these shares in person at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders if you follow the instructions described below under the heading Information about the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - How do I vote in person at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders? Your broker or nominee has provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing your broker or nominee as to how to vote your stock. You may also vote by telephone or via the internet by following your broker or other nominee s directions as described below under the heading Information about the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?

How do I vote by proxy if I am a stockholder of record?

If you are a stockholder of record and you properly fill in your proxy card and it is received by us in time to vote, or you vote by internet or telephone, your proxy (i.e. one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your stock as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card (including electronic signature in the case of internet or telephonic voting) but do not make specific choices, your proxy will vote your stock as recommended by the Board as follows:

- ¹ **FOR** the re-election of two class III directors;
- ¹ **FOR** the election of one class III director;
- ¹ **FOR** the approval, on an advisory basis, of executive compensation;
- FOR the ratification of the appointment of the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm.

If any other matter is presented at the meeting, your vote will be cast in accordance with the best judgment of the individuals named on your proxy card. As of the date of printing this Proxy Statement, we know of no such other matters that need to be acted on at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder?

If you are a beneficial owner of stock, your broker will communicate with you directly and ask you how you want your stock to be voted. If you give the broker voting instructions, the broker will vote your stock as you direct. If you do not give the broker voting instructions, one of two things can happen, depending on the type of proposal in question. Brokers have discretionary power to vote your stock with respect to routine matters, but they do not have discretionary power to vote your stock on non-routine matters. Brokers holding stock beneficially owned by their clients do not have the ability to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of the stock because these are considered non-routine matters. It is therefore important that you provide voting instructions to your broker if your shares of Common Stock are held beneficially through a broker so that your vote with respect to directors and executive compensation, and any other matter treated as non-routine , is counted.

May I vote by telephone or via the internet?

Yes, you may vote by telephone or via the internet. We encourage you to do so because your vote will be tabulated faster than if you mailed it. Please note the following depending on whether you are a stockholder of record or a beneficial owner whose shares are held by a bank or broker in street name.

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote electronically through the internet at *www.envisionreports.com/iosp* or by telephone Toll Free 1-800-652-8683 within U.S.A., U.S. Territories and Canada.

If you are a beneficial owner and hold your stock in street name, you may vote electronically through the internet at *www.proxyvote.com* and you should contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

Whether or not you plan to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, we urge you to vote. Doing so by returning the proxy card or voting by telephone or via the internet will not affect your ultimate right to attend and vote in person.

Proxies submitted by the internet or telephone must be received by 1.00 a.m. Central Time on May 4, 2016.

May I revoke my proxy?

Yes. If you change your mind after you vote, you may revoke your proxy by following any of the procedures described below. To revoke your proxy:

¹ Send in another signed proxy with a later date or resubmit your vote by telephone or the internet;

¹ Send a letter revoking your proxy to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S.; or

¹ Attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and vote in person.

If you wish to revoke your proxy, you must do so sufficiently in advance to permit the necessary examination and tabulation of the subsequent proxy or revocation before the vote is taken.

How do I vote in person at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may attend the meeting and vote your shares in person. If you choose to do so, please bring your Notice, or proxy card showing your control number and proof of identification.

If you are the beneficial owner of stock held in street name, you may vote your shares in person only if you obtain a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. To do so, you must bring to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders proof of identification, an account statement or letter from the broker, bank or other nominee indicating that you are the owner of the stock and a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. The account statement or letter must show that you were the beneficial owner of the stock on March 10, 2016.

Even if you plan to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, Innospec recommends that you vote your stock in advance by internet or telephone, or by returning the accompanying proxy card, as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

What votes need to be present to hold the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

To have a quorum for our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote need to be present in person or by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes are treated as present and entitled to vote and are counted in the quorum.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

For Proposals 1 and 2, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by holders of all stock entitled to vote on such proposal at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is required. While directors are elected by a plurality vote, we have a majority vote director resignation policy, as described below.

As Proposal 3 is an advisory vote, there is no specified vote requirement for approval. However, Innospec will consider that the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal reflects the advice of the stockholders.

For Proposal 4, the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is required.

Proposal		Vote Required	Broker Discretionary Voting Allowed
Proposal 1	Re-election of two class III directors	Plurality of votes of shares present	No
Proposal 2	Election of one class III director	Plurality of votes of shares present	No
Proposal 3	Advisory approval of the Corporation s executive compensation	As an advisory vote, no specified requirement for approval. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy.	No
Proposal 4	Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm	Majority of stock present in person or by proxy	Yes

What is our Majority Vote Director Resignation Policy?

According to the procedure set forth in the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director (including incumbent directors) who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for such election must tender his or her resignation promptly to the Board of Directors following certification of the stockholder vote. Upon receipt of the resignation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept it. The Board of Directors will act on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors may consider such factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a Director's resignation. Thereafter, the Board will promptly disclose its decision whether to accept the Director's resignation offer (and the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC. This resignation policy does not apply to contested director elections.

How are votes counted?

In the election of Innospec Directors, your vote may be cast FOR each of the nominees or your vote may be WITHHELD with respect to one or more of the nominees.

Your vote may be cast FOR, AGAINST or ABSTAIN for the advisory approval of executive compensation and for the ratification of the appointment of Innospec s independent registered public accounting firm.

If you sign (including electronic confirmations in the case of internet or telephone voting) your proxy card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board. If you sign (including electronic confirmation in the case of internet or telephone voting) your broker voting instruction card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in the broker s discretion

only with respect to routine matters but will not be voted with respect to non-routine matters. As described in How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder? election of directors and executive compensation are considered non-routine matters. We will appoint one or more inspectors of election to count votes cast in person or by proxy.

What is the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions?

A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding stock for a beneficial owner does not or cannot vote on a particular proposal because the broker does not have discretionary voting power for that particular proposal and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Common Stock owned by stockholders electing to abstain from voting with respect to any proposal will be counted towards the presence of a quorum. Common stock beneficially owned and voted by the beneficiary through a broker will be counted towards the presence of a quorum, even if there are broker non-votes with respect to some proposals, as long as the broker votes on at least one non-routine proposal.

Abstentions and instructions to withhold votes with respect to any nominee for director election (which uses a plurality standard) will result in those nominees receiving fewer votes but will not count as votes against the nominee. Broker non-votes will not be considered present and entitled to vote with respect to elections of directors and therefore will have no direct effect on the outcome of election of directors. Abstentions will be treated as present and entitled to vote with respect to Proposals 3 and 4 and, therefore, will have the effect of votes against these proposals. Broker non-votes will have no direct effect on the outcome of these proposals.

What happens if the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is adjourned or postponed?

Your proxy will still be effective and will be voted at the rescheduled 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

Where can I find the voting results?

Final voting results will be disclosed in a Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC, within four business days after the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available. You can find the Form 8-K on our website at *www.innospecinc.com*.

Will Innospec s independent accountants attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

A representative of KPMG Audit Plc, our independent accountants, will attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They will also be available to answer questions at the meeting.

Do Directors attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that Directors are expected to attend our annual meetings of stockholders and any special meeting of stockholders called by Innospec to consider extraordinary business transactions. Unless they are unable to do so as a result of special circumstances, Directors are encouraged to attend all other special meetings of stockholders called by Innospec. All of our Directors then in office attended the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders that was held on May 6, 2015.

Can a stockholder or interested person communicate directly with our Board? If so, how?

Any stockholder and other interested person who may desire to contact the Chairman or any of the Directors of Innospec may do so via the following e-mail address: *contact.board@innospecinc.com*, or by writing to them at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. The Corporate Secretary or the Assistant General Counsel will review communications received electronically and forward them to the addressee of the communication. The Corporate Secretary will review the communications received by mail or courier and forward to the appropriate addressee.

Whom should I call if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, voting or directions to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, please contact Mr. David E. Williams, Innospec s Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, at 303-792-5554 or at *david.e.williams@innospecinc.com*.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Principles

Our Board of Directors believes that adherence to sound corporate governance policies and practices is important in ensuring that the Corporation is governed and managed with the highest standards of responsibility, ethics and integrity and in the best interests of the stockholders. We have adopted a set of Corporate Governance Principles intended to reflect a set of core values that provide the foundation for our governance and management systems and our interactions with others. The Board of Directors believes that corporate governance is an evolving process and periodically reviews and updates the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A current copy of those principles can be found on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at *www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance*, or by writing to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and

www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance, or by writing to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S.

Corporation s Leadership Structure

The Board believes that the roles of Chairman of the Board (a non-executive position) and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) should remain separate so as to enable the Board to provide effective guidance to management and promote oversight and accountability of management. This separation preserves the distinction between the management and oversight functions, maintaining the responsibility of management to help develop corporate strategy and the responsibility of the Board to review and provide input on corporate strategy. To fulfil the role, the Chairman of the Board, among other things: creates and maintains an effective working relationship between the Board and the Corporation s management; provides the CEO with on-going direction as to current Board needs, interests, views and expectations; and ensures that the Board agenda is appropriately directed to the matters of greatest importance to the Corporation.

The duties of the non-executive Chairman of the Board include:

- ¹ presiding over all meetings of the Board;
- ¹ preparing the agenda for Board meetings with the Corporate Secretary and in consultation with the CEO and other members of the Board;
- ¹ calling and presiding over meetings of the independent Directors;
- ¹ co-ordinating periodic review of management s strategic plan for the Corporation;
- ¹ after consulting with other Board members and the CEO, making recommendations to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as to the membership of various Board Committees and Committee Chairs;
- ¹ managing the Board s process for Director self-assessment and evaluation of the Board;
- 1 presiding over all meetings of stockholders;
- ¹ encouraging active participation by each member of the Board; and
- ¹ performing such other duties and services as the Board may require.

The Board s Role in Risk Management

The Board s role in risk oversight and management is consistent with the Corporation s leadership structure, with the CEO and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing the Corporation s risk exposure, and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with these efforts. Risk management is an integral part of Board and committee deliberations throughout the year. As a part of its general oversight function, the Board monitors and evaluates how management operates the Corporation. When making any decisions and approving strategies the Board considers, among other things, the risks and exposure the Corporation faces, including operational and regulatory risks, their relative

magnitude and management s plan for mitigating these risks. The Audit Committee considers risk issues associated with the Corporation s overall financial reporting, disclosure process and financial compliance. In addition to its regularly scheduled meetings, the Audit Committee meets with the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the Head of Business Assurance and the independent registered public accounting firm in executive sessions at least quarterly. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee discusses legal and compliance risks and issues at its regularly scheduled meetings and meets with the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary during such meetings, including with respect to promoting compliance with anti-corruption and other important applicable laws. The Audit Committee and the Board annually review an assessment of the primary operational and regulatory risks facing the Corporation, their relative magnitude and management s plan for mitigating these risks. In addition, the Board discusses risks related to the Corporation s business strategy at periodic strategic planning meetings and at other meetings as appropriate.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors, after considering broadly all relevant facts and circumstances of which it is aware, including those matters set forth under Information about the Executive Officers Family Relationships and under Certain Other Transactions and Relationships , has determined that all of its non-executive members are independent within the meaning of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2) applicable on the date of this Proxy Statement.

We have also adopted standards for director independence that can be found on our web site www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Board has determined that each member of the Board, who served during 2015 and/or who currently serves, except for Mr. P. Williams, meets the independence standards described above. Mr. P. Williams is not treated as independent because, as President and CEO, he is an employee of the Corporation.

Executive Sessions of Independent and Non-Management Directors

Executive sessions of independent and non-management Directors are led by the Chairman. An executive session is held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and other sessions may be called by the Chairman at his discretion or at the request of the Board. There were four executive sessions of non-management Directors during the fiscal year 2015.

The Board will continue to monitor the standards for director independence established under applicable law or NASDAQ listing requirements and will ensure that the Corporation s Corporate Governance Principles continue to be consistent with those standards.

Board Committees

The Board maintains the following committees to assist it in discharging its oversight responsibilities. The current membership of each committee is indicated under Board Committee Membership below:

	Audit	Compensation	Nominating & Corporate Governance
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	Member	_	Chair of Committee
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore		Member	Member
Mr. David F. Landless Mr. Martin M. Hale	Member since January 1, 2016 and expected to be Chair with effect from 2016 Annual Meeting, if elected as a director at the 2016 Annual Meeting Chair of Committee until his term		
Mr. Martin M. naie	expires following the 2016 Annual Meeting		
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield		Member	Member until February 17, 2015
Mr. Robert I. Paller			Member. Re-appointed on February 17 2015
Mr. Joachim Roeser	Member	Chair of Committee	

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written Audit Committee Charter, and is responsible for monitoring and overseeing the Corporation s internal controls and financial reporting process, the independent audit of the Corporation s consolidated financial statements by the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG Audit Plc, and the other responsibilities detailed in its Charter, including assisting the Board with its oversight of legal and regulatory compliance requirements. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website under Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Audit Committee members are Mr. Hale (until his term as a director expires following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders), Mr. Aldous, Mr. Roeser and Mr. Landless. Mr. Hale was appointed Chairman of the Audit Committee on February 20, 2002. He will be succeeded to this position by Mr. Landless following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, provided Mr. Landless is elected as a director by stockholders. Mr. Landless became a member of the Audit Committee with effect from January 1, 2016. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Audit Committee on February 15, 2005. Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Audit Committee on May 9, 2012.

Each of the members of the Audit Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ s Marketplace Rules.

All Audit Committee members possess the required level of financial literacy and are independent for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Hale, Aldous and Landless qualify as Audit Committee Financial Experts , as such term is defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K, and meet the standard for financial expertise as required by NASDAQ. The Board made this determination based on Mr. Hale s forty

plus years of experience as a securities analyst and portfolio manager with emphasis on balance sheet study and his direct experience having previously served on the Audit Committee of the Great Lakes Chemical Corporation (now Chemtura Corporation) for over twenty years (including five years as its chairman); Mr. Aldous qualification as a chartered accountant and his previous role as a partner and Chief Executive Officer of Robson Rhodes LLP, Chartered Accountants and partner of Grant Thornton LLP, Chartered Accountants and Mr. Landless qualification as a chartered management accountant and his experience as Group Finance Director of Bodycote plc and before that Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited. He also has direct experience as a Non-Executive Director and Audit Committee Chair of Luxfer Holdings plc.

The Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Corporation s Business Assurance group also reports directly to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee meets with management and the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm prior to the filing of the CEO and CFO s certifications with the SEC to receive information concerning, among other things, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls.

Any stockholder or employee may submit at any time a good faith complaint regarding any questionable accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters concerning the Corporation without fear of dismissal or retaliation of any kind. Employees are encouraged to report their concerns and complaints to the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary or to the Audit Committee. Confidential, anonymous reports may be made by writing to: Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. The Audit Committee has adopted a Complaint Monitoring Procedure Policy to enable confidential and anonymous reporting to the Audit Committee. All complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be retained in accordance with the Corporation s document retention policy.

The Corporation limits the number of Audit Committees of SEC reporting companies on which its Audit Committee members may serve to three or less.

The Audit Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee operates under a written Compensation Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website under Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee members are Mr. Roeser, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Padfield. The Compensation Committee reviews management compensation programs, recommends compensation terms and agreements for senior Executive Officers to the Board for Board approval, reviews changes in compensation for senior Executive Officers and Non-Executive Directors (NEDs) and administers the Corporation s stock option plans. Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Compensation Committee on July 28, 2008, and became its Chairman on October 1, 2009. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Committee on June 1, 2010. Mr. Padfield became a member of the Committee upon his appointment on December 1, 2012.

Each of the Compensation Committee members meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Compensation Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2015 no Compensation Committee members were officers or employees of the Corporation, were former officers of the Corporation or were engaged in transactions with a related person that would be required to be disclosed by relevant SEC rules.

In addition, during 2015 none of the Corporation s Executive Officers served as directors or board committee members of other entities where any executive officers served as a Director of the Corporation or as a member of any of the Corporation s Board Committees.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to identify individuals qualified to become Board members consistent with criteria approved by the Board, recommend to the Board the persons to be nominated by the Board for election as Directors at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, develop and recommend to the Board a set of corporate governance principles and oversee the evaluation of the Board and management. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors the work of Legal and Compliance in ensuring observance of those principles.

The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Mr. Aldous, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Paller. Mr. Padfield also served for a time in place of Mr. Paller. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on July 28, 2008, as its Chairman. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee effective May 9, 2012. Mr. Padfield was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on that date, having previously been appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on November 16, 2009. Mr. Paller was re-appointed on February 17, 2015 and Mr. Padfield stepped down on the same date.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also plays an advisory role to the Board in helping shape the corporate governance policy of the Corporation which extends to involvement in promoting legal compliance by the Corporation, including through meetings and reviews with the Corporation s Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary with respect to anti-corruption and other important laws that are applicable to the Corporation and its business, and providing an assessment of that review to the Board.

Each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee operates under a written Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A current copy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers each person s judgement, experience, independence, understanding of our business or other related industries and such factors as the committee determines relative in light of the needs of the Board of Directors and the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board and whether vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders

or other persons. The Board has not built diversity into the nominating process as a specific category required for potential Director candidates. The recruitment specification for new Directors concentrates on candidates who are seasoned executive officers, with significant relevant experience, both at board level and within industry.

These candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and may be considered at any time during the year. The nominees for election at this year s Annual Meeting of Stockholders were recommended for nomination by NEDs of the Corporation.

The policy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder nominations for election to the Board as described in the Corporate Governance Guidelines which may be found on the Corporation's website under the heading Corporate Governance at: *www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance*. In order for any candidate to be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and if nominated, included in the Proxy Statement, such recommendation should be received no later than the deadline for submission of stockholder proposals. See Stockholders Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders . Recommendations should be sent to the Corporate Governance Committee sname, qualification for Board membership and any other information required by the Corporation's Bylaws. All properly submitted stockholder proposals for Director Nominees received by the Corporate Governance Committee for review and consideration. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider stockholder recommendations for Director candidates, but the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has no obligation to recommend such candidates.

Meetings and Attendance

In 2015, the full Board met four times, the Audit Committee met nine times (including five times by conference call), the Compensation Committee met four times, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times. Directors are expected to attend all Board Meetings and meetings of Committees on which they serve. Directors are expected to attend all meetings of stockholders. All of the Directors attended the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. During the year ended December 31, 2015 each of the Directors attended, in person or by teleconference, at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and meetings of Committees of the Board on which he served that were held while he was a member.

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a Code of Ethics, violations of which may be reported to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Corporate Secretary. This Code of Ethics is intended to promote, among other things, honest and ethical conduct, full and accurate reporting and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: *www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance*.

Copies of Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Committee Charters

Any stockholder who requires a copy of the Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines or any of the Board Committee charters may obtain one by writing to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. These documents can also be accessed via the Corporation s website at: *www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance*. The Corporation intends to disclose on this section of its website any amendments to, or waivers from, its Code of Ethics that are required to be publicly disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC or NASDAQ.

PROPOSAL 1 RE-ELECTION OF TWO CLASS III DIRECTORS

(Item 1 on the Proxy Card)

The first proposal to be voted on at the meeting is the re-election of two class III directors. The directors re-elected at this meeting will serve until the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board has nominated Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield and Mr. Patrick S. Williams, current class III directors, whose terms expire at the upcoming Annual Meeting of Stockholders, for re-election to the Board. Mr. Martin M. Hale, currently a class III director will retire from the Board at the expiration of his term at the end of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is not standing for re-election.

The Bylaws of the Corporation provide that the number of directors shall be not less than three nor more than twelve members, the exact number of which shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, and that the Board shall be divided into three classes, designated class I, class II and class III. Each class shall consist, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of Directors constituting the entire Board of Directors.

The Board recommends a vote FOR all nominees

If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the Board will either select a substitute nominee or reduce the size of the Board. If you have submitted a proxy and a substitute nominee is selected, your stock will be voted for the election of the substitute nominee.

The Board has no reason to believe that any nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve if elected.

According to the Bylaws, the above-named nominees will be elected to the Board on a plurality of the votes of the shares present (in person or by proxy) at the meeting and entitled to vote. However, as described above in more detail, the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines requires that any nominee, who receives more withheld votes than for votes, must submit a resignation which is subject to acceptance or rejection by the Board of Directors.

Biographical information about the nominees is included under INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS below.

PROPOSAL 2 ELECTION OF ONE CLASS III DIRECTOR

(Item 2 on the Proxy Card)

The second proposal to be voted on at the meeting is the election of a director. The Board has nominated Mr. David F. Landless to be elected to the Board.

The Bylaws of the Corporation provide that the number of Directors shall be not less than three nor more than twelve members, the exact number of which shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, and that the Board shall be divided into three classes, designated class I, class II and class III. Each class shall consist, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of Directors constituting the entire Board of Directors.

Mr. Landless was appointed to the Board on January 1, 2016. By a resolution of the Board dated December 18, 2015 the number of Board members was temporarily increased from seven members to eight to accommodate the appointment of Mr. Landless. The number of Board members will revert to seven again upon the expiration of Mr. Hale s term as a director following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Mr. Landless has been nominated for election as a class III Director to the Board of Directors to serve until the Corporation s 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the nominee

If the nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the Board will either select a substitute nominee or reduce the size of the Board. If you have submitted a proxy and a substitute nominee is selected, your stock will be voted for the election of the substitute nominee.

The Board has no reason to believe that the nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve if elected.

According to the Bylaws, the above-named nominee will be elected to the Board on a plurality of the votes of the shares present (in person or by proxy) at the meeting and entitled to vote.

Biographical information about the nominee is included under INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS below.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following is biographical and other information about our current Directors, including the nominees for election at the 2016 annual meeting.

Class I Directors

Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous

Age: 71

Director since January 11, 2005

Committees: Nominating and Corporate Governance (Chair), Audit

Mr. Aldous currently serves as Chairman of SPL Guernsey ICC Limited, the umbrella company for a number of cells in Guernsey, all of which he also chairs (appointed December 2009). Mr. Aldous is also a Non-Executive Director of two London listed public companies; Elderstreet Venture Capital Trust plc (appointed March 2007) and Polar Capital Holdings plc, a parent company that controls an asset management business that includes two SEC registered entities (appointed July 2007). Mr. Aldous was a member of the U.K. Competition Commission from 1998 to 2001 and was appointed a U.K. Government Inspector of Companies several times between 1987 and 2003. He has authored several reports on corporate governance issues, has served as the audit committee chairperson for several public companies and currently chairs the audit committees of one public company and serves as a member of the audit committee of another (none of which are SEC listed companies). From 2007 - 2015 Mr. Aldous was Chairman of Capita Sinclair Henderson Limited, a company servicing the fund management industry and a subsidiary of the London listed Capita Group plc. From 2007 to 2010 Mr. Aldous was for thirty two years a partner in RSM Robson Rhodes (Chartered Accountants) of which he was CEO from 1987 to 1997 and Grant Thornton UK LLP.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Aldous has a wealth of experience in financial reporting, in the financial services industry and in corporate governance generally which provides the Board with an executive and leadership perspective on the management, operations and financial reporting and accounting oversight of a public listed company. As an Inspector of Companies for the UK Government he spent many years investigating matters of corporate governance. He also has a great deal of experience of listed companies, particularly in the U.K.

Mr. Joachim Roeser

Age: 62

Director since January 1, 2008

Committees: Compensation (Chair), Audit

Mr. Roeser was the Chief Executive Officer of the Amber Chemical Group, a global specialty silicone producer, owned by Caledonia Investments plc. for eight years, until March 2014. In July 2014 he became a Senior Adviser of Beyond Capital Partners GmbH, a German private equity firm, focussing on mid-size and family companies. He has been a Senior Adviser of Trumont International, a mergers and acquisition advisory firm for the chemicals industry and allied sectors since July 2011. In May 2015 he was appointed a Non-Executive Director of Centroflora-CMS, a global active pharmaceutical ingredients manufacturer/distributor. He was Non-Executive Chairman of Fluidata Ltd, a business internet service provider company, from January 2007 until March 2015.

Mr. Roeser is a German national and has lived and worked in Belgium, France and Germany, as well as in the U.K. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Luzenac, a Rio Tinto subsidiary and the world s leading talc mining producer, for five years from May 2001 to April 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Roeser was European President of Ferro Corporation from April 1998 to December 2000. He started his career over thirty five years ago in the emulsifier and starch industry before joining ARCO Chemical in 1983, where he held a number of senior management positions, ultimately serving as Global Business Director, Styrene for two years. Mr. Roeser earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wuppertal.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Roeser has held senior positions within the chemicals industry for over thirty five years and brings a wealth of knowledge and expertise in this area as well as a global perspective due to his experience working across Europe, Asia, Australia as well as the U.S.

Class II Directors

Mr. Milton C. Blackmore

Age: 68

Director since June 1, 2010; Chairman since May 9, 2012

Committees: Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance

Mr. Blackmore serves as Non-Executive Chairman of the Corporation. Mr. Blackmore was most recently the Senior Vice President, Marketing and Product Supply for Sinclair Oil Corporation, one of the largest independent oil companies in the U.S., and served on their Board of Directors until his retirement in 2009, having previously held a number of senior marketing roles within the company. He was also Chairman of Sinclair Marketing Inc., the company s convenience store business. Before joining Sinclair in 1995, Mr. Blackmore was with Kerr-McGee Refining Corporation for twenty six years, progressing through a variety of accounting, marketing and general management positions, ultimately serving as General Manager, Branded Marketing for three years. Mr. Blackmore has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Panhandle State University in Oklahoma.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Blackmore has an in-depth knowledge of the chemical industry, particularly the oil sector and has held several senior positions during his career. He brings industry knowledge and marketing expertise to the Corporation.

Mr. Robert I. Paller

Age: 81

Director since November 1, 2009

Committees: Nominating and Corporate Governance (from February 17, 2015)

Mr. Paller has served on the board of numerous private companies and non-profit corporations for over forty years. He is currently a member of the Council of National Trustees for the National Jewish Medical and Research Center in Denver, Colorado. An attorney by profession, Mr. Paller has been a partner with Smith, Gambrell & Russell LLP since 1965 specializing in corporate law, particularly mergers and acquisitions and is currently Of Counsel to the firm. Mr. Paller has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of North Carolina and an LLB degree from Emory University.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Paller has a wealth of directorship experience, having served on various boards for over forty years. He also has many years of legal experience which will assist the board in their deliberations on many topics and is a valuable resource to the Corporation which operates in a highly regulated industry.

Class III Directors

Mr. David F. Landless

Age: 56

Director since January 1, 2016

Committees: Audit Committee (Chair with effect from May 4, 2016)

Mr. Landless currently serves as the Group Finance Director for Bodycote plc, a U.K. listed company, which provides thermal processing services globally for a wide range of industries including aerospace, automotive, oil and gas and construction, and has held this position since March 1999. He is also a Non-Executive Director for Luxfer Holdings plc, a NYSE listed global materials technology company, and was appointed to this role in March 2013. Mr. Landless early career includes fourteen years with Courtaulds plc, where he held a number of finance roles, ultimately serving as the Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Landless is a Chartered Management Accountant and has a Bachelor of Science degree in Management Sciences from the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology in the U.K.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Landless brings significant financial expertise and knowledge of financial reporting with his wealth of experience as a Finance Director during his career to date. Mr. Landless also has substantial experience in the chemicals, paint and engineering sectors.

Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield

Age: 60

Director since December 1, 2012

Committees: Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance (until February 17, 2015)

Mr. Padfield is currently a principal and Executive Vice President of Blackline Partners LLC, a closely held private equity and midstream logistics and terminal development company. He also serves on the Board of CAP Technologies, a private U.S. company that has developed and markets a ground breaking technology for cleaning and coating wire, rebar and plate steel. Prior to forming Blackline Partners, Mr. Padfield was a founding partner and Vice President for eleven years at U.S. Development Group LLC, an industry leading

biofuel and crude oil terminal development company. Mr. Padfield s early career includes eighteen years at Shell Oil Company where he held a number of roles in marketing, engineering and product supply, ultimately serving as the Business Development and Acquisitions Manager for their terminal and pipeline business. Mr. Padfield has a degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Missouri.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Padfield has almost thirty years experience in the oil and gas logistics industry and his wealth of knowledge in this sector is a valuable resource to the Corporation.

Mr. Patrick S. Williams

Age: 51

Director since April 2, 2009

No Board Committees

Mr. P. Williams has served as Director, President and CEO of the Corporation since his appointment to this position on April 2, 2009. Prior to holding this position, Mr. P. Williams was Executive Vice President and President, Fuel Specialties of the Corporation from 2005 to 2009 and in addition assumed responsibility for the global Performance Chemicals business in 2008. He held a number of senior management and sales leadership positions in Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, latterly acting as the Chief Executive Officer of this business from 2004 to 2009. Before joining the predecessor company of Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, Starreon Corporation, in 1993, Mr. P. Williams established a number of businesses and currently holds equity positions in a small exploration and oil production company and a real estate business.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

As the only management representative on the Board, Mr. P. Williams provides an insider s perspective in board discussions about the business and strategic direction of the Corporation. Mr. P. Williams has particular experience in the Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals businesses and brings a wealth of knowledge to the Corporation.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(Item 3 on the Proxy Card)

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our Executive Officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table which appears later in this Proxy Statement (Named Executive Officers) or NEOS) as disclosed in this Proxy Statement including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Tables and related material, in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC. In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act, we are offering to our stockholders a non-binding, advisory vote on 2015 compensation for the Named Executive Officers, including the compensation of our CEO.

The Corporation s goal for its executive compensation program is to attract, motivate and retain a talented, highly qualified team of executives who will provide leadership for the Corporation s success in the competitive global markets the Corporation operates in. The Corporation seeks to accomplish this goal in a way that is aligned with the long-term interests of the Corporation s stockholders. The Corporation believes that its executive compensation program is strongly aligned with the long-term interests of its stockholders as it is competitive with the market, includes both short and long-term awards and is performance based, providing a strong link between executive compensation and the performance of the Corporation.

The Compensation Committee continually reviews the compensation programs for our NEOs to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders interests and current market practices. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 22 of this Proxy Statement describes the Corporation s executive compensation program in more detail.

We believe that our executive compensation programs are structured in the best manner possible to support the Corporation and our business objectives. We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our NEO compensation as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure. This proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our NEOs compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we will ask our stockholders to vote FOR the following resolution at the Annual General Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Corporation s Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related material disclosed in this Proxy Statement is hereby APPROVED.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Corporation. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy. The Compensation Committee values the opinions that stockholders express through their votes and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of our 2015 Named Executive Officer Compensation as described in this Proxy Statement. Note: Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors regarding Proposal No. 3.

PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(Item 4 on the Proxy Card)

The Audit Committee has appointed the accounting firm KPMG Audit Plc to serve as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the 2016 fiscal year to examine the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016 and to perform other appropriate accounting services.

KPMG Audit Plc also served as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011 fiscal years.

A representative of KPMG Audit Plc is expected to be present at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to respond to questions and to make a statement if such representative desires to do so.

Although current law, rules, and regulations, as well as the charter of the Audit Committee, require the Audit Committee to engage, retain, and supervise the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm, the Board considers the selection of such firm to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the selection of KPMG Audit Plc for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. In the event that our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, it will be considered a recommendation

to the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee to consider the selection of a different firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may in its discretion select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of KPMG Audit Plc as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Dr. Philip J. Boon

Age: 56

Executive Officer since June 1, 2009

Dr. Boon was appointed as Chief Operating Officer effective November 2015. In this role, Dr. Boon has direct responsibility for the global Fuel Specialties business as well as an overseeing role with our global Personal Care and Oilfield Specialties businesses and has a key role in the strategic development of Innospec. Prior to this, Dr. Boon was the Executive Vice President, Business Operations from June 2009 and was responsible for all our businesses in Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA). Dr. Boon joined the company in 1997 and has held various senior management positions covering most operational aspects of the business. He has over 25 years international experience in the specialty chemicals industry and previously held positions with Ciba Geigy and FMC in the USA and Europe. He has a PhD in Chemistry from Leicester University.

Mr. Ian P. Cleminson

Age: 50

Executive Officer since July 3, 2006

Mr. Cleminson serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) to the Corporation, having joined it in February 2002. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Cleminson was Financial Controller for the Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals business units within the Corporation. He joined the Corporation from BASF plc where, between 1999 and 2002, he served as Financial Controller of their Superabsorbants division. Previously, he worked as an accountant in private practice since 1989.

Dr. Catherine Hessner

Age: 57

Executive Officer since August 12, 2003

Dr. Hessner serves as Senior Vice President, Human Resources (SVP, HR) of the Corporation, having joined it in March 2003. Prior to joining the Corporation, she served as European Human Resources Director for Nova Chemicals, a U.S. commodity chemicals company. From 1995 to 1999, Dr. Hessner served as European HR Director, based in the U.K., for Anheuser-Busch, the U.S. brewing Corporation and, prior to that, spent nine years with various divisions of Mars Incorporated in a variety of human resources and general business roles.

Mr. Patrick J. McDuff

Age: 51

Executive Officer from May 6, 2015 to December 2015

Mr. McDuff served as Executive Vice President and President, Fuel Specialties, Americas until December 2015, having previously communicated his intention to resign from the Company, effective as of March 5, 2016. Prior to this he was the President, Fuel Specialties, Americas, having held this role since 2010. Mr. McDuff

Table of Contents

joined the company in 1994 and has held a number of senior commercial roles in the Fuel Specialties business, including Director of Sales and Vice President, Sales. He has over 25 years experience in the chemicals industry and previously held positions with Texaco and Betz Process Chemicals. Mr. McDuff has a degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Tennessee and was appointed Executive Vice President in May 2015.

Dr. Ian M. McRobbie

Age: 67

Executive Officer since May 7, 2002

Dr. McRobbie serves as Senior Vice President, Research and Technology, having joined the Corporation in January 2002. Between 1989 and 2002 he was Technical Director of A H Marks and Company Limited, a privately owned U.K. chemical company operating in agrochemical and specialty chemical markets. Prior to this, he worked in senior research and manufacturing roles for Seal Sands Chemical Co. Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Hexcel Corporation based in California) and BTP plc (now part of Clariant).

Mr. Brian R. Watt

Age: 57

Executive Officer since January 1, 2010

Mr. Watt was appointed as Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs on January 1, 2010. Mr. Watt has significant experience in the chemicals industry. He has held commercial positions in Shell, ICI, Avecia and Astra Zeneca. Mr. Watt joined the Corporation as Mergers and Acquisitions Manager in 2001 and latterly he held positions in both the Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties business units before taking up his current role.

Mr. David E. Williams

Age: 63

Executive Officer since September 17, 2009

Mr. D. Williams was appointed as Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer in September 2009. In December 2011, Mr. D. Williams was also appointed as Corporate Secretary. Before joining the Corporation, Mr. D. Williams worked as an attorney with MarkWest Energy Partners, LP, in Denver where he was responsible for various legal matters. He also served as the firm s FERC Compliance Officer. He has over twenty five years experience as an attorney, covering a broad range of legal matters. Mr. D. Williams has a law degree from the University of Louisville and an undergraduate degree in Management from Park University.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the persons referred to in the sections INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OR INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS above.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to explain the executive compensation philosophy and programs and describes the material elements of compensation for 2015 for the individuals listed in the Summary Compensation Table as our NEOs. The tables following the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contain specific information on the compensation awarded to or earned by the NEOs in 2015.

At the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Corporation conducted a non-binding advisory vote on its executive compensation. At that meeting, approximately 99% of the stock present and entitled to vote on the proposal voted to Approve executive compensation. The Compensation Committee takes the outcome of the vote into consideration when reviewing its executive compensation programs and as the advisory vote approved the compensation, no changes or modifications to the executive compensation programs or to the compensation of any of the NEOs have been made as a result of the advisory vote, although the Compensation Committee has made certain modifications and refinements as part of its regular review process, as discussed herein.

Compensation Philosophy and Overall Objectives

The compensation philosophy of the Corporation is to link executive compensation to continuous improvement in corporate performance and increases in stockholder value, while at the same time to allow the Corporation to attract and retain the executive talent required to successfully manage our business. The overall compensation program is designed to motivate our employees to achieve business objectives and maximize their long-term commitment to our success. The Corporation aims to achieve this by providing compensation elements that align Executive Officers interests with stockholder value and achievement of our long-term strategies within the framework of our overall principles of good corporate governance.

The goals of the Corporation s executive compensation programs are to:

- ¹ Establish pay levels that are necessary to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers in light of the overall competitiveness of the market for high quality executive talent and the Corporation s unique business profile;
- ¹ Recognize superior individual performance, new responsibilities and new positions within the Corporation;
- ¹ Balance short-term and long-term compensation to complement the Corporation s annual and long-term business objectives and strategy and to encourage executive performance in the fulfillment of those objectives and strategy;
- ¹ Provide variable compensation opportunities linked to the Corporation s performance;
- ¹ Encourage ownership of the Corporation s stock by Executive Officers;
- ¹ Align Executive Officer compensation with the interests of stockholders; and
- ¹ Reward achievement of exceptional performance.

Table of Contents

The Corporation regularly reviews its executive compensation programs to ensure that each component is competitive, and provides a balance between fixed elements of pay and performance related elements. No element of compensation is driven by tax, accounting or regulatory considerations. Further information on each of the key components of compensation is given below.

Role of the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the Corporation s compensation programs and practices for NEOs and other key Executive Officers and Directors. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves compensation for our Executive Officers, including salary, incentive programs, stock-based awards and compensation, retirement plans, perquisites and supplemental benefits, employment agreements, severance arrangements, change in control arrangements and other executive compensation matters. Advice to the Compensation Committee is provided by Mr. Dion Read, an independent compensation consultant, who has significant experience in executive compensation, having worked for Hay Group and Watson Wyatt (now Willis Towers Watson) in this area. Mr. Read was retained by the Compensation Committee and meets with the Committee at least annually and provides advice at other times as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. Any other work undertaken by Mr. Read for the Corporation must be approved by the Compensation Committee. In 2015, Mr. Read did not perform any additional work for the Corporation. The Compensation Committee has conducted an assessment of the independence of Mr. Read and has determined that he does not have any conflict of interest.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves compensation for our Non-Employee Directors (NEDs) annually, including retainers, fees, stock-based awards and other compensation and expense items. This review is discussed under the Director Compensation section of this Proxy Statement.

The processes and procedures for the Compensation Committee oversight of compensation programs are discussed in the Corporate Governance section of this Proxy Statement.

Role of the Chief Executive Officer and Other Executive Officers

The CEO attends Compensation Committee meetings by invitation only and does not attend Compensation Committee meetings when his compensation is being determined. Each year, the CEO, at the request of the Compensation Committee, provides his assessment of the performance of the other Executive Officers, including their achievement of individual objectives and contribution to the overall business performance. He then recommends adjustments to base salary, if appropriate.

The Compensation Committee then reviews all elements of compensation for the Executive Officers, taking into account the recommendations of the CEO, as well as market data and information from the Senior Vice President, Human Resources (SVP, HR). The Compensation Committee also reviews all elements of compensation for the CEO and evaluates the CEO s performance in light of those goals, taking into account the Chairman of the Committee s review and assessment of the performance of the CEO, overall business performance and results, competitive market data and other relevant information provided by the SVP, HR. The Compensation Committee reviews, discusses and determines the CEO s compensation package without him being present. Decisions relating to the compensation of the Executive Officers, including the CEO, are made by the Compensation Committee and are recommended for approval by the Compensation Committee to the full Board of Directors.

The SVP, HR assists the Compensation Committee, serving as the Compensation Committee s Secretary and provides information on compensation as requested by the Compensation Committee.

Competitive Market

The Compensation Committee reviews nationally recognized compensation survey data provided by Willis Towers Watson to compare the Corporation s compensation practice with the external market. In 2015, for the Executive Officers based in the U.S., Willis Towers Watson U.S. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. These are standard Willis Towers Watson data sets and were not customized prior to use. In addition, the Compensation Committee also uses a Chemical Industry Peer Group as an additional reference point for the CEO compensation. The companies included in the peer group were selected by the Compensation Committee based on a number of factors, including company size, products and level of global operations. Compensation data for these companies is collected from their proxy statements and analyzed by the Compensation Committee. The peer group of twelve companies for 2015 was:

Albemarle	Cambrex	Stepan
American Vanguard	Chemtura	Polyone
NewMarket	Ferro	
Ashland	HB Fuller	
Cabot	Innophos	

In 2015, for U.K. based Executive Officers, Willis Towers Watson U.K. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. All executive jobs are assessed and graded by Mr. Read, in his capacity as the compensation consultant using the Willis Towers Watson Global Grading methodology. Job sizes are then matched into the data to ensure that comparisons are made at the appropriate level.

References to market data in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, unless otherwise noted, are to these foregoing sources.

Elements of Compensation

The material elements of compensation for the Corporation s NEOs are:

- ¹ base salary;
- ¹ short-term incentive;
- ¹ long-term incentive; and

¹ other benefits (including retirement and supplemental plans, severance, change-of-control and employment agreements and perquisites).

These elements are explained and discussed in separate sections below. The Compensation Committee seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between fixed and variable compensation elements in line with our policy to link a significant proportion of compensation to performance. For Executive Officers the target is that at least 50% of total compensation should be delivered through variable compensation comprising a mix of long and short-term incentives and cash and non-cash compensation. The Compensation Committee has formally reviewed the allocation of compensation between the different elements using market knowledge and input from its advisors and is satisfied that the balance is appropriate and generally in line with market practice. In 2015 over 50% of overall compensation for the CEO and other NEOs was delivered through variable compensation.

Annual Cash Compensation

Base Salary

A base salary is provided to our Executive Officers. The level of base salary is reviewed on an annual basis and is adjusted, if appropriate, to recognize the scope and complexity of a role, market data and individual

performance. The Compensation Committee target base salary at the median (50th percentile) of the survey group but consider other factors including individual experience and expertise, overall performance, internal pay equity and contribution to the Corporation. We believe that this methodology enables us to remain competitive as an employer in our markets without incurring unnecessary costs. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, the Compensation Committee views Mr. P. Williams as key to the Corporation s continued success, given his unique skills and experience and therefore determined that it was appropriate to benchmark his position between the median and upper quartile of the relevant market.

2015 Salary Increases

The survey data for 2015 indicated base salaries were generally in line with the market apart from in a small number of cases. As a result the 2015 base salary level increases for the NEOs were on average 3.4%, except as provided below. Mr. P. Williams base salary was increased by 4.0% to \$921,570 which was within 10% of the average base salary for CEOs in the peer group of companies and between the median and the upper quartile of the U.S. survey group. In addition, following his promotion to Executive Vice President in May 2015, Mr. P McDuff was awarded an increase of 17.9% to his base salary.

Following the 2015 increases, base salaries for the NEOs were all within a 15% range of the market median data, which, based on the advice of their compensation advisor, the Compensation Committee believes is an appropriate salary range, given the experience of the NEOs.

Annual Incentives

The Corporation s Management Incentive Compensation Plan (MICP) is a short-term incentive plan which provides for cash payments which are driven by annual performance. Payments are based on achievement against pre-determined financial goals set by the Board each year. Targets are set for corporate performance and business unit performance (where appropriate) and for personal performance against objectives. All payments under the MICP are subject to an overall corporate performance threshold of 90% of the agreed target for the year: if this is not achieved, no payments under the MICP are made to any individual, regardless of personal and business unit performance.

Further, where an individual s payment under the MICP includes a financial measure for a business unit, the business unit must also achieve a minimum of 90% of the target or the individual will not receive any MICP bonus for that year, irrespective of overall corporate and personal performance.

The MICP incentive payment opportunity and split between corporate and personal objectives are shown in the following table:

	Proportion of MICP bonus	at target split:		
	Corporate/	Personal		
			Target MICP	
	Business	objectives		Maximum MICP
			Bonus as % of	Bonus as % of
	Performance		salary	salary
CEO	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	75%	172.5%
Senior Executive Officers	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	40%	92%
The Compensation Committee reviews the allocat	on between business and persona	l performance each year to ensure	it is appropriate.	

The performance measures are established by the Compensation Committee and reviewed by them each year to ensure they remain appropriate and focus on delivery of high performance while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. In 2015, the Compensation Committee determined

that the

appropriate measures were corporate operating income before restructuring, which is a measure of earnings, and corporate free cash flow, which is seen as a measure of working capital management. The metrics are set at the start of the year and approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income before restructuring is operating income adjusted to exclude certain one-time/nonrecurring restructuring costs such as severance payments from the calculations, because they are not reflective of our underlying operations for the particular period in which they are recorded and, therefore, mask our underlying operating trends. Corporate free cash flow represents corporate operating cash flow after capital expenditure and before the cash effect of restructuring. These one-time/nonrecurring items are approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income and operating cash flow for the individual businesses. These measures were chosen as they are designed to align the NEOs with the balanced objectives of increasing earnings and improving cash flow through working capital management, which the Executive Officer operates. In addition to the personal element shown above, if an individual s overall performance assessment for the year is below satisfactory then no MICP bonus is paid at all.

The levels of MICP target bonus are kept under review and are targeted at the median level against the market. As part of their review for 2014, the Compensation Committee noted that the target and maximum bonus percentages for the CEO were below the median levels in the U.S. survey group and below the average target and maximum levels for CEOs in the peer group of companies as detailed above. As a result, the target bonus percentage for the CEO was increased from 65% to 75% of base salary and the maximum bonus percentage increased to 172.5% from 149.5% of base salary, with effect from January 1 2015. Following these changes, the target and maximum bonus percentages for the CEO are within 20% of the average levels for CEOs in the peer group of companies and the median levels in the U.S. survey group, which the Compensation Committee believes to be appropriate. The Compensation Committee also determined that based on the survey data it was appropriate to increase the target bonus percentage for the Senior Executive Officers. As a result, the target bonus percentage for the Senior Executive Officers will increase to 50% of base salary and the maximum bonus percentage will increase to 115% with effect from January 1, 2016.

Maximum incentive payments under the MICP are awarded when the Corporation or, where relevant, an individual business unit exceeds its target performance measures by 30%.

No awards are made under the MICP scheme until the annual business results have been audited by the independent registered public accounting firm and approved by both the Audit Committee of the Board and the full Board.

In 2015, for all NEOs, MICP incentive payments were based on achievement of targets set for corporate operating income and consolidated operating cash flow. In the case of Dr. Boon, in addition to corporate targets, a proportion of his MICP incentive payment was based on achievement of targets set for operating income and operating cash flow for the Fuel Specialties business unit and for operating income for the Performance Chemicals business unit, with a proportion based on achievement of targets set for operating income of both the regional Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals businesses.

The consolidated financial performance targets set for annual MICP incentive payments purposes and the actual level achieved for the Corporation as a whole in 2015 were as follows:

	Target Set for Annual	Actual Achieved for	Achievement as
Financial Performance Measure	MICP Bonus Purposes	MICP Bonus Purposes	% of Target
Corporate Operating Income (before restructuring)	\$96.095 million	\$118.026 million	123%
Corporate Free Cash Flow	\$30.703 million	\$94.807 million	309%

As a result MICP bonus levels for that part of the overall MICP incentive payment based on consolidated operating income were paid at 215% of the target MICP bonus levels and at 250% of the target level for that part of the overall MICP bonus based on consolidated operating cash flow.

In 2015 the Fuel Specialties business unit achieved 100% of the target set for operating income, with the regional business achieving 116% of the target set for operating income. As a result, in the case of Dr. Boon, MICP bonus levels for those parts of his overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the Fuel Specialties business unit and the relevant regional business were paid at 100% and 180% of the target levels, respectively. The Performance Chemicals business unit achieved 103% of the target set for operating income, with the regional business achieving 97% of the target set for operating income. As a result, MICP bonus levels for those parts of Dr. Boon s overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the Performance Chemicals business unit and the regional business were paid at 115% and 85% of the target levels, respectively.

In assessing the individual performance on personal objectives for each NEO, the Compensation Committee uses the following process.

Annual personal objectives for each NEO are agreed with the Compensation Committee at the start of the financial year. These objectives are also designed to focus on delivery of high performance and take into account the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the scoring mechanism for the personal objectives to ensure it rewards performance appropriately. Each objective is weighted to give a maximum potential score in total of 50. A good performance on the personal objectives is defined as achieving an overall score at the end of the year of 36 to 40 and earns the target level for the 20% based on personal objectives. Achievement of the maximum score would represent exceptional performance against the personal objectives and increases the 20% of the overall target MICP bonus based on personal objectives by a factor of 50%. The relationship between score on personal objectives and the amount of MICP bonus earned for personal performance is shown below:

Marks out of 50	% of the 20% of MICP bonus
	based on personal
	objectives earned
46 - 50	150
41 - 45	125
36 - 40	100
31 - 35	50
26 - 30	25
25 or less	0

At the end of the year, as part of the annual performance review process, the performance against each objective is reviewed and marked against the weighting set at the start of the year giving a total score out of 50. In the case of the CEO, this assessment is done by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the resultant score and assessment for each objective is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee as a whole prior to review and approval by the full Board. In the case of the other NEOs, the assessment is done by the CEO, who reviews the objectives and proposes a mark for each objective against the weighting set at the start of the year giving a total score out of 50. This, together with the underlying rationale, is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee prior to review and approval by the full Board.

The following table summarizes the incentive payments made under the MICP for 2015 performance for each of the NEOs, including selected relevant information about their performances:

Annual Incentive MICP							
Executive	Target MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	Assessment of Personal Objectives	Achieved MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	MICP Incentive Award			
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	•	U U	·				
President and Chief Executive Officer Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	75%	48	156.75%	\$ 1,444,561			
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Dr. Philip J. Boon	40%	46	83.6%	\$ 282,723			
Chief Operating Officer Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	40%	46	75.86%	\$ 244,749			
Senior Vice President, Research and Technology Mr. Brian R. Watt	40%	46	83.6%	\$ 224,192			

Affairs 40% 47 83.6% \$ 225,974 Mr. Patrick McDuff, former Executive Vice President, became an executive officer in May 2015. Although he is an NEO for this proxy statement, he is not included in the table above because after he gave notice of his intention to resign from his employment with the Corporation, the Corporation removed Mr. McDuff as an officer on December 23, 2015. In line with his employment agreement, Mr. McDuff s employment terminated on March 5, 2016 and he therefore qualified for a bonus payment under the MICP bonus plan for 2015 of \$234,600, based on his performance and the relevant business performance.

The scoring for the personal objectives includes consideration of the following factors:

Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory

Mr. P. Williams led the development and implementation of a growth strategy, including regional expansion and development of new products and market segments. In particular, Mr. P. Williams led the integration of Independence Oilfield Chemicals and has driven the development and implementation of the strategy for the Oilfield Specialties businesses. In addition, Mr. P. Williams has led the development of robust succession plans for the key leadership roles in the Corporation. Under his leadership and guidance, the focus on new product development has continued resulting in a strong pipeline of new products in both the Fuel Specialties and the Performance Chemicals businesses. The Corporation has also exceeded the target set for safety across the Corporation, with the lost time accident rate across the whole Corporation less than that of the industry average in the year. Based on his personal performance and the strong results of the Corporation in a difficult economic and competitive environment, the Board approved the Compensation Committee s recommendations for Mr. P. Williams to be awarded a bonus of \$1,444,561.

Mr. Cleminson has led the financial work on the disposal of the Fragrances business in 2015 and on the financial integration and structuring of the acquisition made in 2014. In addition, he led the successful refinancing of the Corporation on improved terms and has played an important role in the development and implementation of a new organization wide computer system. He has also had a key role in the continued development and management of our Compliance program.

Dr. Boon delivered strong business results in operating income for the Fuel Specialties business unit in EMEA in difficult market conditions. In addition, he has led the work on strategic options for a key product range and has driven the implementation of the strategy for the Oilfield Specialties business outside of the U.S. He

has also led the identification and delivery of a number of significant new commercial opportunities in very competitive markets. Dr. Boon also assumed additional responsibility in the year following his appointment as Chief Operating Officer for the Corporation in November 2015.

Dr. McRobbie has continued to lead the development and commercialization of new products in both Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties. He has also led the development of the new product strategy for the Oilfield Specialities businesses, including the identification of key Research & Technology projects. In addition, he has driven the development of a manufacturing strategy for the Oilfield Specialities business, including moving manufacture of key processes and products to alternative sites. He also led the development of the research strategy for a key project working with a key commercial partner.

Mr. Watt has led the development of the strategy for the Oilfield Specialties businesses and took a lead role in the insurance renewal process for the Corporation, which provided improved cost effective coverage. In addition, he continued to develop the Corporation s Investor Relations strategy and has driven the review and development of the risk management strategy for the Corporation.

A provision exists which allows for potential claw-back of bonuses already paid if, at some point in the future, it is identified that the audited annual financial results need to be materially restated.

Co-Investment Plan

To support the alignment of stockholder and Executive Officer interests, the Co-Investment Plan was introduced by the Corporation in 2004 and reviewed by the Compensation Committee in 2011. As part of this review, the Compensation Committee determined that, with effect from 2012, the Committee would decide which Executive Officers were required to participate in the Co-Investment Plan each year, taking into account, amongst other things, whether an Executive Officer satisfies the Corporation s stock holding requirements. The Co Investment Plan expired in May 2014 and, after careful consideration, the Compensation Committee determined that, given the level of stock holding of each of the Executive Officers, it was not necessary to implement a new Co-Investment Plan at this time.

Under the terms of the Co-Investment Plan an Executive Officer, who was required to participate in the plan for any year, was allowed to invest a portion of the MICP annual incentive payment (paid in accordance with the targets above) to acquire stock in the Corporation and receive an award of matching stock as described below. If the participating Executive Officer received an MICP incentive payment for exceeding his targets, then he had to use at least one-third of the MICP incentive payment which is in excess of the MICP target payment to purchase stock, which was matched as follows:

Bonus payment	Compulsory amount	Voluntary amount	Match
Up to MICP target bonus level	None	Maximum 50% of payment	1 matching share for every 2 shares purchased
Above MICP target bonus level	One third of any MICP bonus payment above the target level	Up to maximum of 100% of any MICP bonus payment above the target bonus level	1 matching share for every share purchased

Participants in the Co-Investment Plan must generally remain employed for three years and continue to hold the stock purchased under this Co-Investment Plan in order to receive the matching stock. The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to permit release of matching stock in certain circumstances. In the event that the Corporation undergoes a change of control, restrictions on the matching stock will lapse and stock will be released.

Long-Term Incentives

The Compensation Committee believes that equity based long-term incentive awards are an important element of the overall compensation for the Corporation s Executive Officers. They are designed to give a focus on achievement of long-term performance goals that help create long-term value for stockholders, act as long-term retention incentives for executives and, through the ownership of common stock of the Corporation, encourage long-term strategic decision making that is aligned with the interests of stockholders.

Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Corporation operates two on-going equity-based incentive plans in which the NEOs participate, the CSOP and the PRSOP, both of which provide for options exercisable for common stock as well as stock equivalent units (SEUs) which are payable in cash based on stock price. The terms and features of the CSOP and PRSOP are described below.

Company Stock Option Plan (CSOP)

Under the CSOP, options are granted at market value and become exercisable after three years, with all options vesting at the end of the three-year period. All options have a ten-year term. Options are granted within twenty days after the public announcement of the Corporation s annual financial results or similar information. Except in certain circumstances participants must remain in employment with the Corporation in order to be able to exercise their options. The exceptions to this include death, injury, ill-health or disability, redundancy and the transfer of the part of the business within which the option holder works. In these cases, under the rules of the CSOP, options vest and the holder has a twelve month period within which to exercise the options.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the CSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Performance Related Stock Option Plan (PRSOP)

Under the PRSOP, options are granted at no cost and become exercisable normally after three years, provided that specified performance criteria are achieved. All options have a ten-year term. However, if an option is granted to a participant who is, or would otherwise be, subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the Plan. The performance criteria that are set are designed to be stretch targets which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value, while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The performance criteria are regularly reviewed to ensure that they remain relevant and stretching. The criteria for awards made in 2015 are based on total stockholder return measured over a three-year period starting with the financial year of the date of grant, the growth in sales revenue for the Corporation, excluding the Octane Additives business and the growth in earnings per share excluding the Octane Additives business. The Compensation Committee determined that, given the acquisitions completed in 2013 and the expected continued decline in the Octane Additives business, these measures would provide the appropriate focus on the continued growth of the Corporation together with delivering shareholder value. The following levels of growth must be achieved before awards vest:

Compound Growth in Total Shareholder	
Return (TSR) per annum	vesting
2%	100%
1%	85%
0%	70%
Less than 0%	0 (nil)

Proportion of the 30% allocated to TSR

Compound Growth in Revenue excluding	Proportion of 35% allocated
Octane Additives per annum	to growth in Revenue vesting
3%	100%
2%	60%
1%	20%
Less than 1%	0 (nil)

Compound Growth in Earnings per Share (EPS) excluding Octane Additives per annum	Proportion of 35% allocated to growth in EPS vesting
3%	100%
2%	60%
1%	20%
Less than 1%	0 (nil)

Awards vest on a straight line basis between each threshold. For example, a compound growth in TSR of 1.5% per annum would result in 92.5% of the options vesting.

The grants are issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This is usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Compensation Committee determines the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used is typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period awards will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the PRSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Stock Equivalent Units (SEUs)

Equity based awards, payable in cash, are made in the form of SEUs. SEUs are granted separately under either or both of the CSOP and PRSOP. The SEUs may be exercised separately from options that have been granted under the corresponding plan and such SEU exercise has no impact on those options. Two types of SEU awards have been made under these plans.

- 1. SEUs granted at market value under the CSOP. These can be exercised after three years and expire ten years after grant.
- 2. SEUs granted at no cost under the PRSOP. These can be exercised after three years, provided that specific performance criteria are met, and expire ten years after grant. However, if SEUs are granted to a participant who is or would otherwise be subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the PRSOP. The performance criteria are set at the time of grant by the Compensation Committee and are designed to be stretch targets which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value. The criteria for awards made in 2015 were the same criteria as were used for the PRSOP stock option awards and are disclosed under the section on PRSOP.

The performance criteria set in any one year are normally used for both SEU awards and any stock option awards under the PRSOP.

The value of the SEU once vested will be equal to the closing stock price of the Corporation on the date it is cashed. The grants of SEUs are issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This is usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Committee determines the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used is typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period, the SEUs will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

The SEUs together with the options granted under the CSOP and PRSOP are intended to deliver an overall long-term incentive award in line with the grant policy as detailed below.

Grant Policy

In setting the policy for these plans, the Compensation Committee considers market median practice in both the U.S. and the U.K., given the number of executives who are based in the U.K. The grant policy provides for target amounts as follows:

	Grants of CSOPs and SEUs at	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base
	market price as % of base salary	salary
Chief Executive Officer	30%	90%
Executive Officers	20%	55%
The Compensation Committee determin	es the actual levels of grant utilizing the following matrice	s taking account of personal performance where:

Rating 1	=	Outstanding performance	-	150% of policy is granted
Rating 2	=	Exceeding expectations	-	125% of policy is granted
Rating 3	=	Good performance	-	100% of policy is granted
Rating 4 or 5	=	Below Expectations	-	No grant is made
				•

The personal rating impacts the amount of actual grant awarded as follows:

C	hief Executive Officer		I	Executive Officers	
Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary	Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary
1	45	135	1	30	82.5
2	37.5	112.5	2	25	68.75
3	30	90	3	20	55
4	0	0	4	0	0
5	0	0	5	0	0

The performance of the Executive Officers, other than the CEO, is assessed by the CEO and the Compensation Committee. The CEO recommends a rating to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews these and separately assesses the performance of the CEO and makes a final recommendation on performance ratings to the full Board for approval. This provides for a rigorous performance-related grant policy, in addition to the performance elements of the grants themselves.

In 2015, Mr. P. Williams was rated as 1 for his 2014 performance and as such was eligible for long-term incentive awards at 150% of the policy levels for this role. In the case of the other NEOs, based on the assessment of their individual performance, as approved by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Cleminson Mr. Watt and Dr. McRobbie were eligible for awards at 150% of the policy level and Dr. Boon was eligible for awards at 125% of the policy level.

As previously disclosed, from 2012, 75% of the awards due under the policy will be made in the form of option grants under the CSOP and PRSOP plans, with the remaining 25% made in the form of SEUs. The Compensation Committee have also determined that in order to help manage option utilization rates and burn rates, the level of option grants in any one year should be restricted to a burn rate of no more than 1% of the Corporation s stock outstanding with the balance of long-term incentives provided for under the policy bridged using SEU s. In 2015, the level of option grants under the policy was less than 1% of the Corporation s stock outstanding.

Exceptional Stock Option Awards

The Compensation Committee also has the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the stated policy to reflect extraordinary corporate performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the standard policy levels and annual grant process for retention or recruitment purposes. In 2015, the Compensation Committee recommended that as part of his overall compensation package on his promotion and appointment to Chief Operating Officer, Dr. Boon should be awarded options under the PRSOP plan in order to further align his interests to those of shareholders and to drive the long term performance of the businesses. This was approved by the Board and Dr. Boon was awarded 20,000 options at zero exercise price under the PRSOP plan, 6,000 of which will vest after three years, 6,000 of which will vest after four years and 8,000 of which will vest after five years, subject in all cases to him still being employed by the Corporation and not under notice of termination of employment on the vesting date and assessed as achieving a good performance in each year from grant date to vesting date.

Additional Exceptional Long-Term Incentive Plan

Following the three acquisitions in 2012 and 2013, which represent a significant step in the development of the Corporation, the Compensation Committee recommended an additional long-term incentive plan designed to focus key executives on delivering a return on the investment on the acquisitions, by their successful integration and on the sustained growth of the larger business. The new plan was approved by the Board in November 2013. The plan covers a three-year period commencing in January 2014 and ending on December 31, 2016. Under this plan, a cash incentive award will be payable to eligible participants based on achievement of specified performance measures. The performance measures and weightings are:

¹ 40% weighting on delivery of the earnings before tax in the acquisition business plans for the three acquisitions.

¹ 20% weighting on the relative performance of the Corporation s share price versus the Russell 2000 Index (Index)

¹ 40% weighting on the achievement of a stretch Earnings per Share (EPS) target for 2016, excluding the Octane Additives business, which would deliver an 80% increase in EPS, excluding the Octane Additives business versus the 2013 achieved level

The following levels of each performance measures must be achieved before awards vest:

Average Share Price in Q4 2016 Vs. Russell 2000 (base/start point- average share price 1 Jan 2013 to 30 June 2013)	% of potential pay-out for average share price measure
10% out performance of Russell 2000	100%
5% out performance of Russell 2000	75%
Performance in line with Russell 2000	50%
Performance below Russell 2000	0

% of Stretch EPS Target,

	% of potential pay-out for EPS
excluding TEL for 2016	measure
100%	100%
95%	75%
90%	50%
Below 90%	0

% of combined target EBIT for the three

% of potential pay-out for acquisition measure

acquisitions achieved in 2016	
110%	100%
100%	75%
90%	50%
Below 90%	0

The maximum aggregate amount payable under the plan during the three-year life of the plan is \$15 million. The amount was set at a level which would be an incentive for participants and, by incorporating relative share price and EPS as measures, would deliver value to shareholders. Participants have to be still in employment with the Corporation at the end of the period in the same or similar role and have achieved a minimum of a 3 (Good Performer) performance rating in each year of the plan in order to be eligible to receive any payment under this plan. In exceptional circumstances, the Compensation Committee can, at their absolute discretion, award some or all of any potential payment to a participant who leaves the Corporation prior to the end of the period if they leave due to injury, disability, ill-health, retirement or at the request of the Corporation, other than for cause. Eligibility for participation in the plan is at the discretion of the Compensation Committee subject to approval by the Board. Mr. P. Williams, Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon, Dr. McRobbie and Mr. Watt are all eligible to participate in the Plan.

There are six levels of participation for Key Executives and the maximum incentive award for each participant at each level is as follows:

Total number of participants at Level **Maximum Incentive Award Payable** each level Level 1 \$4,800,000 Level 2 \$1,700,000 2 Level 3 \$1,300,000 1 Level 4 \$750,000 4 Level 5 \$700,000 2 Level 6 \$550,000 2

In the case of the NEOs, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. P. Williams was eligible to participate in the Plan at Level 1, Dr. Boon was eligible to participate in the plan at Level 2, Mr. Cleminson at Level 3 and Mr. Watt and Dr. McRobbie at Level 4. Based on the performance of the different measures since the plan was introduced in January 2014, a payment of \$2,250,000 would be due under this plan. The absolute amount of any payment will however not be determined until January 2017 at which time payment may be zero if each of the performance measures does not achieve the threshold levels above.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, the Plan will mature and participants will receive the maximum incentive award payable as detailed above.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align stockholder and Executive Officer interests, the Corporation has adopted a minimum stockholding requirement for the Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee reviewed this policy in 2014 and benchmarked it against their stated comparator group. As a result, the policy was revised to increase the stock holding requirements for the Executive Officers. With effect from January 1, 2015 the CEO is required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of four times his base salary and all other Executive Officers are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their base salary. Only stock which is registered in the Executive Officer s name or held beneficially in street name on behalf of such Executive Officer are taken into account for these purposes. Unvested equity awards are not taken into account. At the end of 2015, the stockholding of the CEO equated to 9.60 times his year end salary using the average stock price during 2015 of \$47.25. The stockholding of the other NEOs was also greater than 200% of their year-end salary using the same average stock price for 2015. With effect from January 1, 2015 the Compensation Committee determined that there should also be a similar minimum stockholding requirement for the NEDs. From January 1, 2015 all NEDs are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their annual retainer. The new levels of stockholding for NEDs and Executive Officers must be reached within five years of appointment or the introduction of this policy, whichever is later.

Other Benefits and Perquisites

These are provided as appropriate and are set by reference to median market practice. They generally consist of pension arrangements, company car or car allowance, life, disability and medical cover. There are no non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Full details are set out in the table All Other Compensation , following the Summary Compensation Table .

Post-termination Compensation

Post-termination arrangements vary depending on the nature of the termination event and are designed to be in accordance with U.S. and U.K. market norms, depending on where the executive is based. Full details are

set out in the footnotes to the Post Employment Payments table. In addition, following Mr. McDuff s resignation from his position with the Corporation, in February 2016 the Corporation entered into a Settlement Agreement with Mr. McDuff regarding certain restrictive covenants contained in Mr. McDuff s Executive Service Agreement. Under the Settlement Agreement, Mr. McDuff agreed to extend the restrictive covenants for an additional six months to September 2017, in return for an immediate payment of \$165,000 and an additional payment of \$330,000 in September 2017, subject to him complying with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Employment Agreements

Each of the NEOs has a rolling twelve month employment agreement with the Corporation. Under these agreements, the Corporation can terminate the agreement by giving one year s notice to the NEO. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he can terminate the agreement by giving the Corporation one year s notice, while the other NEOs are required to give the Corporation six months notice if they wish to terminate the agreement. The employment agreement for each of the NEOs also includes a Change of Control clause. This specifies that, in the event of a change in control of the Corporation, if the Corporation terminates the NEO within twelve months of the change of control, or if the NEO terminates his employment within twelve months for good cause, the NEO will be entitled to a compensation payment. If the Corporation terminates the employment of the NEO during this period, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation, defined as above, from the date of notice of termination. If the NEO terminates his employment, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation, defined as above, from the date of the change of control. In addition, under the rules of the stock option plans, all options would vest on the change of control. The NEOs are treated in the same way as other employees who hold options under the plans. Change of control is deemed to have occurred if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Corporation refere is a consolidation or merger and the Corporation is not the surviving Corporation; the stockholders of the Corporation approve plans or proposals for a liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation or, if following a cash offer or merger, the members of the Board cease to constitute a majority of the Board. In addition, under their employment agreement, each of the NEOs, including the CEO and the CFO, is subject to a twelve month non-solicitation period, with respect to customers and employees, and a twelve month non-compete pe

Indemnification Agreements

The Corporation has entered into indemnification agreements with each of the directors and NEOs in furtherance of the indemnification provisions contained in the Corporation s Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, which indemnify the directors and officers of the Corporation to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by law. The indemnification agreements provide for indemnification arising out of specified indemnifiable events, such as events relating to the fact that the indemnitee is or was a director or officer or agent of the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation or is or was a director, officer member, manager, trustee or agent of another entity at the request of the Corporation, including any action or inaction by the Indemnification is unavailable, the agreements provide for contribution. The indemnification agreements set forth procedures relating to indemnification claims. The agreements also provide for maintenance of directors and officers liability insurance.

U.S. Tax Matters

Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 162(m) generally limits the deductibility of compensation paid to our Executive Officers to \$1,000,000 during any fiscal year unless such compensation is performance-based under Section 162(m). Generally, the Corporation intends to structure its compensation arrangements in a manner that would comply with Section 162(m). However, the Compensation Committee considers many factors when designing its compensation arrangements in addition to the deductibility of the compensation

and the Compensation Committee maintains the flexibility to grant awards or to pay amounts pursuant to the Corporation s compensation arrangements that are not deductible if they believe it is in the best interest of the Corporation and its stockholders.

In addition, IRC Section 409A imposes restrictions on nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The deferred compensation plans maintained by the Corporation are structured to either be exempt from the requirements of IRC Section 409A or, if not exempt, to satisfy the requirements of IRC Section 409A, and the Corporation has reviewed and, where appropriate, has amended each of its deferred compensation plans to meet the requirements of IRC Section 409A.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Corporation s compensation process. The Compensation Committee s responsibilities are more fully described in its charter, which is accessible on Innospec s website at *www.innospecinc.com/corporate-governance*.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on that review and those discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation s 2016 Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise the Compensation Committee.

No portion of this Compensation Committee Report shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act) or the Exchange Act, through any general statement incorporating by reference in its entirety the Proxy Statement in which this report appears, except to the extent that the Corporation specifically incorporates this report or a portion of it by reference. In addition, this report shall not be deemed to be filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

JOACHIM ROESER, Chair

MILTON C. BLACKMORE

LAWRENCE J. PADFIELD

COMPENSATION TABLES

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non Equity Incentive Compensation	Change in Pension fund value and other deferred benefits	All Other Compensation	Total
(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	2015	938,678			1,069,072	1,444,561		94,667	3,546,978
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	910,340			933,513	1,186,521		90,602	3,120,976
	2013	865,672			1,038,197	988,975		90,038	2,982,882
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	2015	336,492			243,273	282,723		93,666	956,154
Executive Vice President and	2014	326,162			233,847	270,287		99,279	929,575
Chief Financial Officer	2013	315,137			242,999	226,917		96,510	881,563
Dr. Philip J. Boon	2015	321,027			1,205,972	244,749	(8,189)	93,622	1,857,181
Chief Operating Officer	2014	298,857			212,983	214,663	137,578	93,952	958,033
	2013	286,785			220,245	183,918	81,472	91,078	863,498
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	2015	266,870			193,091	224,192	6,398	77,135	767,686
Senior Vice President,	2014	258,893			185,629	214,537	129,635	96,422	885,116
Research and Technology	2013	249,943			214,902	180,113	86,714	63,085	794,757
Mr. Brian R. Watt	2015	268,365			191,867	225,974		81,282	767,488
Vice President, Strategic	2014	257,006		12,852	183,514	213,140		85,299	751,811
Planning and Regulatory Affairs	2013	246,369		18,120	186,321	178,079		80,406	709,295
Mr. Patrick McDuff (7)	2015	348,443			217,434	393,860		95,444	1,055,181
Former Executive Vice President and									
President, Fuel Specialties Americas									

Footnotes to Summary Compensation Table :

- Mr. P. Williams and Mr. P. McDuff are paid in U.S. dollars (USD). All the other NEOs above are paid in pounds sterling. For the purposes of the Summary Compensation Table, a GB Pound Sterling to U.S. Dollar exchange rate of 1.5325 is used for 2013, 2014 and 2015, being the average exchange rate for 2015.
- 2. The value of the stock awards listed above represents the matching awards made under the Co-Investment Plan. In 2015, there were no participants in this Plan. The value of these awards is determined using the number of stock awarded and the grant date fair value for each stock award made in the year. The grant date fair values on Corporation stock awards are calculated using the Black-Scholes model, with reference to the underlying stock price, stock award exercise price, volatility of the Corporation s stock price, risk free rate and expected dividend yield. For further information on the assumptions underlying these grant date fair values refer to Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

year ended December 31, 2015.

3. The value of the option awards for all Executive Officers listed above includes the grant date fair value of options awarded under the CSOP and PRSOP and the grant date fair value of any SEUs awarded in lieu of

stock option awards in line with the stated grant policy. In the case of Dr. McRobbie, 100% of the award was in the form of SEUs. The value of the option awards and SEUs are determined using the number of options and SEUs awarded and the grant date fair value for each option or SEU award made in the year. The grant date fair values on Corporation stock options are calculated using the Black-Scholes model, with reference to the underlying stock price, option exercise price, volatility of the Corporation s stock price, risk free rate and expected dividend yield. For options with additional characteristics, such as vesting criteria linked to stock market indices or stock price performance, a Monte Carlo simulation is used to model the range of potential outcomes. For further information on the assumptions underlying these grant date fair values refer to Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. For each Executive Officer, the value of the SEUs included in the total amount disclosed for 2015 under option awards is detailed below:

Name	und	SEUs included er option wards	Proportion of value of SEUs relating to market price SEUs	Proportion of value of SEUs relating to zero price SEUs		
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	\$	267,274	8.4%	91.6%		
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	\$	60,820	9.1%	90.9%		
Dr. Philip J. Boon	\$	46,500	9.1%	90.9%		
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	\$	193,091	9.1%	90.9%		
Mr. Brian R. Watt	\$	47,969	9.1%	90.9%		
Mr. Patrick McDuff	\$	54,382	9.1%	90.9%		

- 4. The Non-Equity Incentive Compensation for all Executive Officers listed above relates to incentive compensation earned for the stated year under the MICP.
- 5. Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie were members of a defined benefit (final salary) pension plan, the Innospec Limited Defined Benefit Pension Plan (the Pension Plan) until March 31, 2010 when the Pension Plan closed to future service accrual. Under the Pension Plan, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie have the right to receive a pension on retirement of 1/57 of their pensionable salary for each year of service. In the case of Dr. Boon, the amount of his annual salary which is defined as pensionable under this Pension Plan was capped and at the time the Pension Plan closed, this cap was set at \$189,417. Salary in excess of this is not pensionable. Dr. McRobbie was not subject to the cap on pensionable salary as he joined the Pension Plan prior to the introduction of the cap. As a result, Dr. McRobbie s pensionable salary was his full base salary at the time the Pension Plan closed. The values stated under the change in pension value and other deferred benefits relate to the increase in the qualified pensions in the Pension Plan only. There are no non-qualified pension benefits for the NEOs.
- 6. The amounts reflected under All Other Compensation for 2015 are identified in the All Other Compensation table below. In general, any perquisites provided for any of the NEOs are generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees in that business unit. Other than where specified below, where any perquisites and personal benefits are provided to a NEO which are not generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees in that business unit, their total value for any NEO was less than \$10,000 in the year ended December 31, 2015.
- 7. Mr. McDuff was not an NEO in 2014 or 2013. Therefore, consistent with SEC guidance, his compensation for 2014 and 2013 is not included in the Summary Compensation Table.

ALL OTHER COMPENSATION

Name and Principal Position		Car Allowance	Lease Car Costs	Pension Allowance	Pension Contribution	Healthcare	Insurances	Other	Total
		(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams									
President and Chief	2015	0	0	0	62,050	20,370	504	11,743	94,667
Executive Officer									
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson									
Executive Vice President and	2015	20,918	0	26,442	40,856	2,369	3,081	0	93,666
Chief Financial Officer									
Dr. Philip J. Boon									
Chief Operating Officer	2015	20,918	0	64,205	0	2,369	2,907	3,223	93,622
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie									
Senior Vice President,	2015	20,918	0	53,374	0	1,895	948	0	77,135
Research and Technology									
Mr. Brian R. Watt									
Vice President, Strategic	2015	0	22,588	12,816	40,856	2,369	2,653	0	81,282
Planning and Regulatory Affairs									
Mr. Patrick McDuff									
Former Executive Vice President and	2015	11,400	0	0	63,170	20,370	504	0	95,444
President, Fuel Specialties Americas									

Footnotes to All Other Compensation table:

- (1) Executive Officers based in the U.K. are entitled to a leased company car or an allowance in lieu of a car. The allowance is set at £13,650 (\$20,918) per annum. Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie all elected to receive the allowance in 2015. Mr. Watt elected to take a lease car in 2015 and the value of the lease and associated costs is shown under Lease Car Costs in the All Other Compensation table.
- (2) For U.K. based Executive Officers, where pensionable salary is subject to a cap, Executive Officers receive a salary supplement of 20% in lieu of pension for any salary above the pensions cap. Any supplement paid is taxable. For 2015, the pensions cap was set at \$204,282. From May 2013, Dr. McRobbie did not participate in any pension plan due to U.K. government limits on pension provision, and as a result received a salary supplement of 20% of his base salary in lieu of any pension provision. Dr. Boon also did not participate in any pension plan for the same reason from April 2014 and as a result also received a salary supplement of 20% of his base salary in lieu of any pension provision. The amount paid to individuals is detailed under Pension Allowance in the All Other Compensation table.
- (3) The Corporation also provides a number of defined contribution pension plans for employees. The amount paid into these plans in 2015 for the NEOs is detailed above under Pension Contribution in the All Other Compensation table. In the case of Dr. McRobbie and Dr. Boon, no contributions were paid into a pension plan in 2015, due to limits on pension provision set by the U.K. government
- (4) The NEOs are eligible for healthcare insurance and life and disability insurance through programs which are available to substantively the majority of salaried employees in the relevant business unit. The cost of these insurances is detailed under Healthcare and Insurances, respectively, in the All Other

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Compensation table.

(5) Mr. P. Williams and Dr. Boon receive payments of \$10,775 and \$3,223, respectively, relating to 50% of the cost of a country/golf club membership. Mr. P. Williams is also provided with home internet service to allow him to work from home to the value of \$968.

Employment Agreements

Each of the NEOs has a rolling twelve month employment agreement with the Corporation. Under these agreements, the Corporation can terminate the agreement by giving one year s notice to the NEO. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he can terminate the agreement by giving the Corporation one year s notice, while the other NEOs are required to give the Corporation six months notice if they wish to terminate the agreement. The employment agreement for each of the NEOs also includes a Change of Control clause which is described in more detail in the narrative following the Post Employment Payments table.

In addition, under the employment agreement, Mr. P. Williams is entitled to a target bonus under the MICP of 75% of his base salary, with a potential maximum MICP bonus of 172.5%. All other NEOs have a MICP target bonus of 40% with a potential maximum of 92% of base salary. As previously disclosed, this target bonus for the other NEOs will be increased to 50% with a potential maximum of 115% of base salary with effect from January 1, 2016. Each NEO is also entitled to participate in long-term incentive plans which have been described in more detail, including grant policy for different NEOs, in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, above.

Each NEO is also able to participate in the pension arrangements relevant for the business unit and country where they are based. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he participates in a Defined Contribution plan in line with other U.S. based employees and details of the amount paid into the plan are provided in the Summary Compensation Table . As noted in the Summary Compensation Table , Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie were able to participate in the Innospec Limited Defined Benefit Pension Plan until its closure to future service accrual on March 31, 2010 and this is described more fully in the narrative following the Pension Benefit table on page 48. Mr. Watt participates in a defined contribution plan in line with other U.K. based employees. Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie also participated in this scheme from April 2010 and details of the amounts paid into this plan are provided in the All Other Compensation table.

The employment agreements for each NEO also provide medical insurance and life and disability insurance through programs which are available to the majority of salaried employees in the relevant part of the business unit. The costs of these insurances are provided in the footnotes to the All Other Compensation table.

In addition, under their employment agreement, each of the NEOs, including the CEO and the CFO, is subject to a twelve month non-solicitation period, with respect to customers and employees, and a twelve month non-compete period, from the date their employment with the Corporation ends.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN FISCAL 2015

Name and Principal Position	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Threshold Target Maximum		Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Plan Awards			All other Stock Awards: No. of Securities, Shares of stock or units	All other Options Awards: No. of Securities underlying options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards	
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)			(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	(1) 02/23/15	(Ψ) -	(Φ) -	- -	257,074	495,785	734,496	-	-	- -	(ψ) -
President and Chief											
Executive Officer	(2) 02/23/15 (3) 02/23/15 (4) - (5) 02/23/15	311,029	- 691,177 -	- 1,589,708 -	85,695 - -	- 165,270 - -	244,844	- - -	2,268 - - 6,805	43.95	22,431
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	(1) 02/23/15	-	_	-	58,054	111,961	165,868	-	-	-	-
Executive Vice President and	(1) 1212012					,,					
Chief Financial Officer	(2) 02/23/15 (3) 02/23/15 (4) - (5) 02/23/15	- 60,873	- 135,274	311,131	19,355 -	37,328	- 55,301 -	- - -	558 - 1,677	43.95	5,519 - - 16,586
Dr. Philip J. Boon	$\begin{array}{c} (3) & 02/23/13 \\ (1) & 02/23/15 \end{array}$	-	-	-	44,378	85,586	126,794	-	-	-	-
Chief Operating Officer	 (2) 02/23/15 (3) 02/23/15 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	427	43.95	4,223