BECTON DICKINSON & CO Form DEF 14A December 15, 2016 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Becton, Dickinson and Company (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)	) <i>I</i>	Amount	Prev	ious	ly I	Paid:	:
-----	------------	--------	------	------	------	-------	---

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

## **Becton, Dickinson and Company**

1 Becton Drive

Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880

www.bd.com

December 15, 2016

#### Dear Fellow Shareholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD) to be held at 1:00 p.m. EST on Tuesday, January 24, 2017 at the Four Seasons Hotel New York, 57 East 57th Street, New York, New York.

The accompanying notice of meeting and proxy statement describe the matters to be acted upon at the meeting. We also will report on matters of interest to BD shareholders.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we encourage you to vote so that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting. You may vote by proxy on the Internet or by telephone, or by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card in the return envelope provided. You may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

Thank you for your continued support of BD.

Sincerely,

Vincent A. Forlenza Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

## NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

# **Becton, Dickinson and Company**

#### 1 Becton Drive

# Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880

December 15, 2016

The 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Becton, Dickinson and Company ( BD ) will be held as follows:

DATE: Tuesday, January 24, 2017

TIME: 1:00 p.m. EST

LOCATION: Four Seasons Hotel New York

57 East 57th Street

New York, New York

PURPOSE: To consider and act upon the following proposals:

- 1. The election as directors of the 13 nominees named in the attached proxy statement for a one-year term;
- 2. The ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm;
- 3. An advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation;
- 4. An advisory vote to approve the frequency of named executive officer compensation advisory votes;
- 5. A shareholder proposal regarding an independent Board Chair, if properly presented at the meeting; and
- 6. Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions specified therein. Shares represented by properly executed proxies that do not provide specific voting instructions will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of BD s Board of Directors set forth in the accompanying proxy statement.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on January 24, 2017. BD s proxy statement and 2016 Annual Report, which includes BD s consolidated financial statements, are available at <a href="https://www.edocumentview.com/BDX">www.edocumentview.com/BDX</a>.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on December 2, 2016 will be entitled to attend and vote at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Gary DeFazio Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary

## YOU CAN VOTE BY PROXY OR SUBMIT VOTING INSTRUCTIONS IN

# ONE OF THREE WAYS:

## 1. **VIA THE INTERNET:**

Visit the website noted on your proxy/voting instruction card.

# 2. BY TELEPHONE:

Use the telephone number noted on your proxy/voting instruction card.

# 3. BY MAIL:

Promptly return your signed and dated proxy/voting instruction card in the enclosed envelope.

# **Table of Contents**

GENERAL INFORMATION	1
Proxy solicitation	1
Shareholders entitled to vote; Attendance at the 2017 Annual Meeting	1
Quorum; Required vote	1
How to vote	2
Revocation of proxies or change of instructions	3
Other matters	3
OWNERSHIP OF BD COMMON STOCK	3
Securities owned by certain beneficial owners	3
Securities owned by directors and management	4
Equity Compensation Plan Information	5
Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance	6
PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	6
Nominees for director	7
BOARD OF DIRECTORS	12
The Board and Committees of the Board	12
Committee membership and function	12
Board, Committee and annual meeting attendance	15
Non-management directors compensation	16
Communication with directors	18
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	19
Corporate Governance Principles	19
Board leadership structure	19
Board s oversight of risk	20
Director nomination process	21
Other significant governance practices	22
Director independence; Policy regarding related person transactions	24
Code of Conduct	25
REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE	26
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	28
COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	42
Fiscal Year 2016 Summary Compensation Table	42
Information Regarding Plan Awards in Fiscal Year 2016	45
Outstanding Equity Awards	47
SAR Exercises and Vesting of Stock Units	49
Other Compensation	50
Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control	54
PROPOSAL 2. RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	58
Report of the Audit Committee	59
PROPOSAL 3. ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION	60
PROPOSAL 4. ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE FREQUENCY OF EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION	
ADVISORY VOTES	60
PROPOSAL 5. A SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING AN INDEPENDENT BOARD CHAIR	60
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS OR DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING	62
RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES	APPENDIX A

#### PROXY STATEMENT

#### 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Tuesday, January 24, 2017

## BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY

#### 1 Becton Drive

Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Proxy solicitation**

These proxy materials are being mailed or otherwise sent to shareholders of Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD) on or about December 15, 2016 in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the BD Board of Directors for BD s 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the 2017 Annual Meeting) to be held at 1:00 p.m. EST on Tuesday, January 24, 2017 at the Four Seasons Hotel New York, 57 East 57 Street, New York, New York.

BD s directors and its officers and other BD associates also may solicit proxies by telephone or otherwise. Brokers and other nominees will be requested to solicit proxies or authorizations from beneficial owners and will be reimbursed for their reasonable expenses. BD has retained MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to assist in soliciting proxies for a fee not to exceed \$25,000 plus expenses. The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by BD.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on January 24, 2017. This proxy statement and BD s 2016 Annual Report to Shareholders are also available at www.edocumentview.com/BDX.

## Shareholders entitled to vote; Attendance at the 2017 Annual Meeting

Shareholders of record at the close of business on December 2, 2016 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting. As of such date, there were 213,232,041 shares of BD common stock outstanding, each entitled to one vote.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee (also known as shares held in street name) and you wish to attend the meeting, you must present proof of ownership as of the record date, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted. BD may request appropriate identification for any person seeking to attend the meeting as a condition of admission.

Quorum; Required vote

The holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting (Proposal 1). If an incumbent director does not receive the requisite vote, he or she must offer to submit his or her resignation, and the Board will decide whether to accept the resignation in accordance with the process described on page 22 of this proxy statement. Approval of each of Proposal 2 (ratification of independent registered public accounting firm), Proposal 3 (advisory vote to approve executive compensation), Proposal 4 (advisory vote on the frequency of executive compensation advisory votes) and Proposal 5 (shareholder proposal) requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting. For Proposal 4, if none of the three frequency choices receives a majority, the Board intends to adopt the choice that receives the plurality of votes cast.

Under New Jersey law, abstentions and shares that brokers do not have the authority to vote in the absence of timely instructions from the beneficial owners will not be counted as votes cast, and, accordingly, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for any of the proposals.

#### How to vote

Shareholders of record may cast their votes at the meeting. In addition, shareholders of record may cast their votes by proxy, and participants in the BD plans described below may submit their voting instructions, by:

using the Internet and voting at the website listed on the enclosed proxy/voting instruction card (the proxy card );

using the telephone number listed on the proxy card; or

signing, completing and returning the proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Votes and voting instructions provided through the Internet and by telephone are authenticated by use of a personal identification number. This procedure allows shareholders to appoint a proxy, and the various plan participants to provide voting instructions, and to confirm that their actions have been properly recorded. Specific instructions to be followed are set forth on the proxy card. If you vote through the Internet or by telephone, you do not need to return your proxy card. In order to be timely processed, voting instructions submitted by participants in BD s Global Share Investment Program (the GSIP) must be received by 12:00 p.m. EST on January 18, 2017, and voting instructions submitted by participants in all other BD plans must be received by 12:00 p.m. EST on January 20, 2017. All proxies submitted by record holders through the Internet or by telephone must be received by 11:00 a.m. EST on January 24, 2017.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you have the right to direct your bank, broker or other nominee on how to vote your shares by using the voting instruction form provided to you by your nominee, or by following their instructions for voting through the Internet or by telephone. In the alternative, you may vote in person at the meeting if you obtain a valid proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee and present it at the meeting.

Shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions specified therein. Shares represented by properly executed proxies that do not specify voting instructions will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors set forth in this proxy statement. If you hold your shares in street name, and you do not provide voting instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee, your nominee will not be permitted to vote your shares in its discretion on Proposals 1, 3, 4 or 5, but may still be permitted to vote your shares in its discretion on Proposal 2.

#### Participants in BD plans

Participants in the BD 401(k) Plan may instruct the BD 401(k) Plan trustee how to vote the shares of BD common stock allocated to their 401(k) accounts. Shares for which no voting instructions have been received by the 401(k) Plan trustee will be voted in the same proportion as those shares for which timely instructions are received.

Participants in BD s Deferred Compensation and Retirement Benefit Restoration Plan (the Restoration Plan ), the 1996 Directors Deferral Plan (the Directors Deferral Plan ), and the GSIP (if so provided under the terms of the local country GSIP plan) may provide voting instructions for all shares of BD common stock allocated to their plan accounts. The trustees of these plans will vote the plan shares for which they do not receive instructions from participants in the same proportion as the plan shares for which they do receive instructions.

Proxies representing shares of BD common stock held of record also will serve as proxies for shares held under the Direct Stock Purchase Plan sponsored and administered by Computershare Trust Company, N.A. and any shares of BD common stock allocated to participants accounts under the plans mentioned above, if the registrations are the same. Separate mailings will be made for shares not held under the same registrations.

## Revocation of proxies or change of instructions

A proxy given by a shareholder of record may be revoked or changed at any time before it is voted by:

sending written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of BD at the address set forth above or delivering such notice at the meeting prior to the voting of the proxy,

delivering a proxy (by one of the methods described above under the heading How to vote ) bearing a later date, or

voting in person by written ballot at the meeting.

Participants in the plans described above may change their voting instructions by delivering new voting instructions by one of the methods described above under the heading How to vote.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you may revoke or change your voting instructions in the manner provided by your bank, broker or other nominee, or you may vote in person at the meeting in the manner described above under the heading. How to vote.

#### Other matters

The Board of Directors is not aware of any matters to be presented at the meeting other than those set forth in the accompanying notice. If any other matters properly come before the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

#### OWNERSHIP OF BD COMMON STOCK

## Securities owned by certain beneficial owners

The following table sets forth as of September 30, 2016, information concerning those persons known to BD to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of BD s outstanding common stock. This information is based on filings made by such persons with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

	Amount and nature of	
Name and address of beneficial owner	beneficial ownership	Percent of class
BlackRock, Inc.		
40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street		
New York, NY 10022	16,906,396(1)	7.9%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.		
100 E. Pratt Street		
Baltimore, MD 21202	16,848,347(2)	7.9%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.		
100 Vanguard Boulevard		
Malvern, PA 19355	14,846,011(3)	7.0%

- (1) The beneficial owner has sole dispositive power with respect to these shares and sole voting power with respect to 14,840,387 shares.
- (2) The beneficial owner has sole dispositive power with respect to these shares, and sole voting power with respect to 4,152,468 shares.

(3) The beneficial owner has sole dispositive power with respect to 14,417,851 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 428,160 shares, and sole voting power with respect to 382,444 shares and shared voting power with respect to 52,183 shares.

3

### Securities owned by directors and management

The following table sets forth as of December 2, 2016 information concerning the beneficial ownership of BD common stock by (i) each director, (ii) the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 42, and (iii) all nominees for director and executive officers as a group. In general, beneficial ownership includes those shares that a director or executive officer has the sole or shared power to vote or dispose of, including shares that may be acquired under outstanding equity compensation awards or otherwise within 60 days. Except as indicated in the footnotes to the table, each person has the sole power to vote and dispose of the shares he or she beneficially owns.

BD has a policy that prohibits directors, officers and employees from pledging BD shares or engaging in transactions that are intended to hedge against the economic risk of owning BD shares. None of BD s directors or executive officers has pledged or hedged against any of the shares listed.

#### **BD COMMON STOCK**

	Amount and	
Name	nature of beneficial ownership(1)	Percentage of class
Basil L. Anderson	29,453	% vi Class
Catherine M. Burzik	4,967	*
Alexandre Conroy	116,221	*
R. Andrew Eckert	368	*
Vincent A. Forlenza	1,291,510	*
Claire M. Fraser	18,599	*
Christopher Jones	16,939	*
William A. Kozy	443,905	*
Marshall O. Larsen	20,450	*
Gary A. Mecklenburg	28,556	*
James F. Orr	35,014	*
Willard J. Overlock, Jr.	57,916	*
Thomas Polen	29,926	*
Claire Pomeroy	3,928	*
Christopher R. Reidy	60,937	*
Rebecca W. Rimel	7,470	*
Bertram L. Scott	36,501	*
Ellen Strahlman	45,317	*
Directors and executive officers as a group (24 persons)	3,045,099	1.4%

- \* Represents less than 1% of the outstanding BD common stock.
- (1) Includes shares held directly, and, with respect to executive officers, indirect interests in BD common stock held under the BD 401(k) Plan, GSIP and the Restoration Plan, and, with respect to the non-management directors, indirect interests in BD common stock held under the Directors Deferral Plan. Additional information on certain of these plans appears on pages 5-6. Includes shares under outstanding stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units that executive officers may acquire within 60 days, as follows: Mr. Forlenza, 1,137,515 shares; Mr. Conroy, 87,404 shares; Mr. Kozy, 365,274 shares; Mr. Polen, 26,663 shares; Mr. Reidy, 60,937 shares; and Dr. Strahlman, 40,501 shares. Also includes, with respect to each non-management director, shares issuable under restricted stock units as follows: Mr. Anderson, 22,866 shares; Ms. Burzik, 4,967 shares; Mr. Eckert, 357 shares; Dr. Fraser, 18,599 shares; Mr. Jones, 11,800 shares; Mr. Larsen, 16,784 shares; Mr. Mecklenburg, 22,232 shares; Mr. Orr, 22,045 shares; Mr. Overlock, 24,359 shares; Dr. Pomeroy, 3,928 shares; Ms. Rimel, 6.835 shares; and Mr. Scott, 22,683 shares.

4

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides certain information as of September 30, 2016 regarding BD s equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	(a)  Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	exercise price remain of future outstanding equity of options, (exclusive warrants		(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plan (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	11,259,074(2)	\$	102.26	10,067,707(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,706,551(4)	*	N/A	0(5)
Total	12,965,625	\$	102.26	10,067,707

- (1) Shares issuable pursuant to outstanding awards of Performance Units and time-vested restricted stock units under the 2004 Plan and BD s Stock Award Plan, as well as shares issuable under the Directors Deferral Plan, the Restoration Plan and the GSIP, are not included in the calculation of weighted-average exercise price, as there is no exercise price for these shares.
- (2) Shares issuable includes (i) 494,649 pre-acquisition CareFusion stock options that were converted to BD awards under the 2004 Plan and 7,026,670 SARs granted under the 2004 Plan, (ii) 1,111,550 Performance Unit awards (assuming maximum payout) and 2,481,300 time-vested units awards granted under the 2004 Plan, and 102,282 pre-acquisition CareFusion time-vested restricted stock unit awards that were converted to BD awards under the 2004 Plan, and (iii) 42,623 shares issuable under restricted stock unit awards granted under the Stock Award Plan. The weighted average remaining term of the outstanding options and SARs is 6.15 years.
- (3) Represents shares available for issuance under the 2004 Plan and includes 4,004,318 shares available for full-value awards, assuming maximum payout of outstanding Performance Units.
- (4) Includes 120,914 shares issuable under the Directors Deferral Plan, 353,800 shares issuable under the Restoration Plan, and 1,231,837 shares issuable under the GSIP.
- (5) Not shown are shares issuable under the Directors Deferral Plan, the Restoration Plan or the GSIP. There are no limits on the number of shares issuable under these plans, and the number of shares that may become issuable will depend on future elections made by plan participants.

**Directors Deferral Plan.** The Directors Deferral Plan allows non-management directors to defer receipt, in an unfunded cash account or a BD common stock account, of all or part of their annual retainer and other cash fees. Directors may also defer receipt of the shares underlying their restricted stock unit awards. The number of shares credited to the BD common stock accounts of participants is adjusted periodically to reflect the payment and reinvestment of dividends on the BD common stock. Participants may elect to have amounts held in a cash account converted into a BD common stock account. The Directors Deferral Plan is not qualified, and participants have an unsecured contractual commitment of BD to pay the amounts due under the Directors Deferral Plan.

**Restoration Plan.** Information regarding the deferral features of the Restoration Plan can be found beginning on page 53 of this proxy statement. In the event a participant elects to have cash compensation

deferred in a BD common stock account, the participant s account is credited with a number of shares based on the prevailing market price of the BD common stock. The cash deferred by the participant is used to purchase the shares of BD common stock on the open market, which are then held in a trust. The number of shares credited to the BD common stock accounts of participants is adjusted periodically to reflect the payment and reinvestment of dividends on the BD common stock.

GSIP. BD maintains the GSIP for its non-U.S. associates in certain jurisdictions outside of the United States. The purpose of the GSIP is to provide non-U.S. associates with a means of saving on a regular and long-term basis and acquiring a beneficial interest in BD common stock. Participants may contribute a portion of their base pay, through payroll deductions, to the GSIP for their account. BD provides matching funds of up to 3% of a participant s base pay through contributions to the participant s plan account. Contributions to the GSIP are used to purchase shares of BD common stock on the open market, which are then held in a trust.

A participant may withdraw the vested portion of the participant s account, although such withdrawals must be in the form of a cash payment if the participant is employed by BD at the time of withdrawal. Following termination of service, withdrawals will be paid in either cash or shares, at the election of the participant.

#### Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires BD s directors and executive officers to file initial reports of their ownership of BD s equity securities and reports of changes in such ownership with the SEC and the NYSE. Directors and executive officers are required by SEC regulations to furnish BD with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file with respect to BD securities. Based solely on a review of copies of such forms and written representations from BD s directors and executive officers, BD believes that, for the period from October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016, all of its directors and executive officers were in compliance with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a), except that the reports required for the annual grant of restricted stock units to our non-management directors were reported one day late.

# Proposal 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Members of our Board are elected to serve a term of one year and until their successors have been elected and qualified. All of the nominees for director have consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve if elected. Each of the nominees is a current member of BD s Board. BD does not know of any reason why any nominee would be unable to serve as director. If any nominee is unable to serve, the shares represented by valid proxies will be voted for the election of such other person as the Board may nominate, or the size of the Board may be reduced.

BD directors have a variety of backgrounds, which reflects the Board s continuing efforts to achieve a diversity of viewpoint, experience, knowledge, ethnicity and gender. As more fully discussed below, director nominees are considered on the basis of a range of criteria, including their business knowledge and background, prominence and reputation in their fields, global business perspective and commitment to strong corporate governance and citizenship. They must also have experience and ability that is relevant to the Board s oversight role with respect to BD s business and affairs. Each nominee s biography includes the particular experience and qualifications that led the Board to conclude that the nominee should serve on the Board.

6

#### NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR

Basil L. Anderson, 71, has been a director since 2004. From 2001 until his retirement in 2006, he served as Vice Chairman of Staples, Inc., a supplier of office products. Prior thereto, he was Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Campbell Soup Company. Mr. Anderson also is a director of Hasbro, Inc. and Moody s Corporation.

Mr. Anderson has an extensive business and financial background as both an operating executive and as a chief financial officer of a major multinational public company. His experience includes strategic, business and financial planning and operations; international operations; and service as a director for public companies in different industries.

Catherine M. Burzik, 66, has been a director since 2013. From 2006 until the sale of the company in 2012, she served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Kinetic Concepts, Inc., a medical device company specializing in the fields of woundcare and regenerative medicine. Prior thereto, she was President of Applied Biosystems and President of Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson company.

Ms. Burzik also is a director of Haemonetics Corporation and General Partner of Targeted Technology Fund II, LP. She was formerly a director of Allscripts Healthcare Solutions, Inc.

Ms. Burzik is a seasoned executive in the healthcare industry, having led major medical device, diagnostic, diagnostic imaging and life sciences businesses. She contributes strong strategic and leadership expertise, and extensive knowledge of the global healthcare field.

R. Andrew Eckert, 55, was appointed to the Board in September 2016. He served as the Chief Executive Officer of Valence Health, Inc., a health care information technology and services company, from August 2015 until the sale of the company in October 2016. Prior thereto, Mr. Eckert served as Chief Executive Officer of TriZetto Corporation, a healthcare IT solutions firm, and Chief Executive Officer of CRC Health Group, a provider of specialized behavioral healthcare services. Mr. Eckert also is the Chairman of Varian Medical Systems.

Mr. Eckert is a leader in the growing field of health care information technology, with extensive experience as an executive officer of several healthcare companies. He has a deep knowledge of operations, strategic planning, product development and marketing, and has valuable corporate governance insight gained from having served as a director of several public companies.

7

Vincent A. Forlenza, 63, has been a director since 2011, and became BD s Chairman in 2012. He was elected BD s Chief Executive Officer in 2011 and has served as its President since 2009. He also served as BD s Chief Operating Officer from July 2010 to October 2011. Mr. Forlenza is Chairman of the Advanced Medical Technology Association (AdvaMed), an international medical technology trade organization. He is a member of the Board of Trustees of The Valley Health System, a member of the Board of Directors of the Quest Autism Foundation, and a member of the Board of Trustees of Lehigh University.

Mr. Forlenza has been with BD for over 35 years in a number of different capacities, including strategic planning, business development, research and development leadership and general management in each of BD s segments and in overseas roles. Mr. Forlenza brings to the Board extensive business and industry experience, and provides the Board with a unique perspective on BD s strategy and operations, particularly in the area of new product development.

Claire M. Fraser, Ph.D, 61, has been a director since 2006. Since 2007, she has been Director of the Institute for Genome Sciences and a Professor of Medicine at the University of Maryland School of Medicine in Baltimore, Maryland. From 1998 to 2007, she served as President and Director of The Institute for Genomic Research, a not-for-profit center dedicated to deciphering and analyzing genomes. Dr. Fraser also serves on the Board of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the Maryland Technology Development Corporation (TEDCO).

Dr. Fraser is a prominent scientist with a strong background in infectious diseases and molecular diagnostics, including the development of novel diagnostics and vaccines. She also brings considerable managerial experience in her field.

Christopher Jones, 61, has been a director since 2010. Mr. Jones retired in 2001 as Chief Executive Officer of JWT Worldwide (previously known as J. Walter Thompson), an international marketing firm. Since 2002, Mr. Jones has been Operating Partner and director at Motion Equity Partners, a pan-European private equity firm. He is a member of the Board of Trustees of The Pew Charitable Trusts, and a member of the Board of Directors of the Albert and Mary Lasker Foundation. He also is a director of the Cello Group, Chairman of Palmer Hargreaves, and a member of the Health Advisory Board of The Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health.

Mr. Jones contributes an important international perspective based on his distinguished career as a marketing leader and head of a global marketing firm. He offers substantial marketing, strategic and managerial expertise derived from his broad range of activities in the field.

Marshall O. Larsen, 68, has been a director since 2007. Mr. Larsen retired in 2012 as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Goodrich Corporation, a supplier of systems and services to the aerospace and defense industry. Mr. Larsen also is a director of Air Lease Corporation, Lowe s Companies, Inc. and United Technologies Corporation.

As a veteran chief executive officer of a public company, Mr. Larsen offers the valuable perspective of an individual with highly-developed executive leadership and financial and strategic management skills in a global manufacturing company. These qualities reflect considerable domestic and international business and financial experience.

Gary A. Mecklenburg, 70, has been a director since 2004. In 2006, he retired as President and Chief Executive Officer of Northwestern Memorial HealthCare, a position he had held since 1986, and he also served as President of Northwestern Memorial Hospital from 1985 to 2002. He is currently an Executive Partner of Waud Capital Partners, L.L.C., a private equity investment firm. Mr. Mecklenburg is also a director of LHP Hospital Group, Inc. and Froedtert Health, Inc.

Mr. Mecklenburg s long tenure in hospital administration affords him a broad perspective on the many facets of the delivery of healthcare and a deep knowledge of healthcare financing and administration. As the former leader of a major teaching hospital, Mr. Mecklenburg possesses strong executive management, financial, strategic and operational knowledge as applied in a healthcare setting.

James F. Orr, 71, has been a director since 2000. From 2000 until his retirement in 2007, he served as Chairman of the Board of Convergys Corporation, a provider of customer management services, and which formerly also provided employee care and outsourced billing services. He also served as Convergys Chief Executive Officer from 1998 until his retirement in 2007. Mr. Orr is a director of Ohio National Financial Services, Inc. and Ohio National Life Insurance Company.

Mr. Orr contributes the important insights of a former chief executive officer of a public company. His background reflects extensive managerial, strategic, operational and financial experience from the perspective of a service industry. He also possesses a depth of understanding of corporate governance and enterprise risk management.

9

Willard J. Overlock, Jr., 70, has been a director since 1999. He retired in 1996 as a partner in Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he served as a member of its Management Committee, and retains the title of Senior Director to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Mr. Overlock is a member of the Board of Directors of Evercore Partners, Inc., a trustee of Rockefeller University, and Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Albert and Mary Lasker Foundation. Mr. Overlock also is a member of the Board of The Cue Ball Group, LLC.

Mr. Overlock has broad financial and investment banking experience based on his senior leadership roles in these areas. He contributes financial and transactional expertise and acumen in mergers and acquisitions and complex financial transactions.

Claire Pomeroy, 61, has been a director since 2014. Since 2013, she has served as the President of the Albert and Mary Lasker Foundation, a private foundation that seeks to improve health by accelerating support for medical research through recognition of research excellence, public education and advocacy. Prior thereto, Dr. Pomeroy served as Dean of the University of California, Davis ( UC Davis ) School of Medicine, and CEO of the UC Davis Health System. Dr. Pomeroy also is a member of the Board of Directors of Expanesthetics, Inc., and of the Sierra Health Foundation. She is a member of the Board of Trustees of the Morehouse School of Medicine and the Board of Directors of the Foundation for Biomedical Research.

Dr. Pomeroy is an expert in infectious diseases, with broad experience in the area of healthcare delivery, health system administration, higher education, medical research and public health. She brings to the Board important perspectives in the areas of patient care services, global health and health policy.

Rebecca W. Rimel, 65, has been a director since 2012. Since 1994, she has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of The Pew Charitable Trusts, a public charity that works to improve public policy and inform the public. Ms. Rimel previously served as Assistant Professor in the Department of Neurosurgery at the University of Virginia Hospital and also as Head Nurse of its medical center emergency department. Ms. Rimel also is a director of BioTelemetry, Inc. and a director/trustee of various Deutsche mutual funds.

Ms. Rimel brings executive leadership and extensive experience in public policy and advocacy, particularly in the area of healthcare. She also offers the perspective of someone with a strong background in the healthcare field.

Bertram L. Scott, 65, has been a director since 2002. Mr. Scott is Senior Vice President of Population Health of Novant Health, an integrated network of physician practices, outpatient centers and hospitals. He previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Affinity Health Plan, and as President, U.S. Commercial of CIGNA Corporation. Prior thereto, Mr. Scott served as Executive Vice President of TIAA-CREF and as President and Chief Executive Officer of TIAA-CREF Life Insurance Company. Mr. Scott is a director of AXA Financial, Inc., Lowe s Companies, Inc. and Tufts Health Plan.

Mr. Scott possesses strong strategic, operational and financial experience from the variety of executive roles in which he has served during his career. He brings experience in corporate governance and business expertise in the insurance and healthcare fields.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.

11

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### The Board and Committees of the Board

BD is governed by a Board of Directors that currently consists of 13 members, 12 of whom have been determined by the Board to be independent. The Board has established four operating committees (the Committees): the Audit Committee; the Compensation and Management Development Committee (the Compensation Committee); the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (the Governance Committee); and the Science, Marketing, Innovation and Technology Committee (the SMIT Committee). These Committees meet regularly. The Board has also established an Executive Committee that meets only as needed.

The Board has adopted written charters for each of the Committees that are posted on BD s website at www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/. Printed copies of these charters, BD s 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and BD s reports and statements filed with or furnished to the SEC may be obtained, without charge, by contacting the Corporate Secretary, Becton, Dickinson and Company, 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880, telephone 201-847-6800.

## Committee membership and function

Set forth below is a summary description of each of the Committees.

#### **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

#### Function

Retains and reviews the qualifications, independence and performance of BD s registered public accounting firm (the independent auditors ).

Reviews BD s public financial disclosures and financial statements, and its accounting principles, policies and practices; the scope and results of the annual audit by the independent auditors; BD s internal audit process; and the effectiveness of BD s internal control over financial reporting.

Reviews BD s guidelines and policies relating to enterprise risk assessment and risk management, and management s plan for risk mitigation or remediation.

Oversees BD s ethics and enterprise compliance programs.

Reviews financial strategies regarding currency, interest rates and use of derivatives, and reviews BD s insurance program.

Functions as a qualified legal compliance committee, if necessary.

Members Bertram L. Scott Chair

Basil L. Anderson

Catherine M. Burzik

Christopher Jones

Willard J. Overlock, Jr.

# Rebecca W. Rimel

The Board has determined that the members of the Audit Committee meet the independence and financial literacy requirements of the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) for audit committee members. The Board also has determined that each of Messrs. Anderson and Scott and Ms. Burzik qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC.

12

#### COMPENSATION AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

#### Function

Reviews BD s compensation and benefits programs, recommends the compensation of BD s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to the independent members of the Board, and approves the compensation of BD s other executive officers.

Approves all employment, severance and change in control agreements with our executive officers.

Serves as the granting and administrative committee for BD s equity compensation plans.

Oversees certain other BD benefit plans.

Reviews leadership development initiatives.

Members Marshall O. Larsen Chair

Basil L. Anderson

Gary A. Mecklenburg

James F. Orr

Bertram L. Scott

The Board has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the NYSE for compensation committee members. Each member also qualifies as an outside director under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and as a non-employee director under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

## Procedure for determining executive compensation

The Compensation Committee oversees the compensation program for the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 42 and for BD s other executive officers. The Compensation Committee recommends compensation actions regarding the CEO to the other independent directors and has the authority to take compensation actions with respect to BD s other executive officers. The Compensation Committee may not delegate these responsibilities to another Committee, an individual director or members of management.

# Role of management

The Compensation Committee s meetings are typically attended by BD s CEO and Chief Human Resources Officer and others who support the Compensation Committee in fulfilling its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee considers management s views relating to compensation matters, including the performance metrics and targets for BD s performance-based compensation. Management also provides information (which is reviewed by our Internal Audit department) to assist the Compensation Committee in determining the extent to which performance targets have been achieved. This includes any recommended adjustments to BD s operating results when assessing BD s performance. The CEO and Chief Human Resources Officer also work with the Compensation Committee chair in establishing meeting agendas.

The Compensation Committee meets in executive session with no members of management present for part of each of its regular meetings. The Compensation Committee also meets in executive session when considering compensation decisions regarding our executive officers.

# Role of the independent consultant

The Compensation Committee is also assisted in fulfilling its responsibilities by its independent consultant, Pay Governance LLC (  $\,$  Pay Governance  $\,$ ). Pay Governance is engaged by, and reports directly to, the

13

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is not aware of any conflict of interest on the part of Pay Governance or any factor that would otherwise impair the independence of Pay Governance relating to the services performed by Pay Governance for the Compensation Committee. During fiscal year 2016, Pay Governance was not engaged to perform any services for BD or BD s management. The Compensation Committee has adopted a policy prohibiting Pay Governance from providing any services to BD or BD s management without the Compensation Committee s prior approval, and has expressed its intention that such approval will be given only in exceptional cases. No other consultant was used by the Compensation Committee with respect to the fiscal year 2016 compensation of BD s executive officers.

Pay Governance reviews all materials prepared for the Compensation Committee by management, prepares additional materials as may be requested by the Compensation Committee, and attends Compensation Committee meetings. In its advisory role, Pay Governance assists the Compensation Committee in the design and implementation of BD s compensation program. This includes assisting the Compensation Committee in selecting the key elements to include in the program, the targeted payments for each element, and the establishment of performance targets.

Pay Governance also provides market comparison data, which is one of the factors considered by the Compensation Committee in making compensation decisions, and makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding the compensation of BD s CEO. Pay Governance also conducts an annual review of the compensation practices of select peer companies. Based on this review, Pay Governance advises the Compensation Committee with respect to the competitiveness of BD s compensation program in comparison to industry practices, and identifies any trends in executive compensation.

#### Setting compensation

At the end of each fiscal year, the independent directors conduct a review of the CEO s performance. At the following Board meeting, the independent directors meet in executive session to set the compensation of the CEO after considering the results of its review, market comparison data and the recommendations of the Compensation Committee. The CEO does not play a role in determining or recommending his own compensation.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the compensation of BD s other executive officers. The CEO, in consultation with the Chief Human Resources Officer, reviews the performance of the other executive officers with the Compensation Committee and makes compensation recommendations for its consideration. The Compensation Committee determines the compensation for these executives, in consultation with Pay Governance, after considering the CEO s recommendations and market comparison data regarding compensation levels for comparable positions at peer companies. All decisions regarding the compensation of BD s other executive officers are made in executive session.

The Board has delegated responsibility for formulating recommendations regarding non-management director compensation to the Governance Committee, which is discussed below.

## SCIENCE, MARKETING, INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

#### Function

Oversees BD s major innovation activities and new product development programs.

Reviews the alignment of BD s research and development, medical and regulatory affairs, and strategic marketing activities to BD s corporate strategy.

Reviews potentially disruptive trends in technology, medical practice or the external environment.

14

Members Claire M. Fraser Chair

Catherine M. Burzik

R. Andrew Eckert

Christopher Jones

Willard J. Overlock, Jr.

Claire Pomeroy

Rebecca W. Rimel

### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND NOMINATING COMMITTEE

#### **Function**

Identifies and recommends candidates for election to the Board.

Reviews the composition, structure and function of the Board and its Committees, as well as the performance and compensation of non-management directors.

Monitors BD s corporate governance and Board practices, and oversees the Board s self-evaluation process.

Oversees BD s policies, practices and procedures impacting BD s image, reputation and corporate responsibility, including, without limitation, communications with BD s key stakeholders, community relations, and public policy and government relations activities.

Members Gary A. Mecklenburg Chair

R. Andrew Eckert

Claire M. Fraser

Marshall O. Larsen

James F. Orr

Claire Pomeroy

The Board has determined that each member of the Governance Committee meets the independence requirements of the NYSE.

As stated above, the Governance Committee reviews the compensation program for the non-management directors and makes recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation. The Governance Committee may not delegate these responsibilities to another Committee, an individual director or members of management. The Governance Committee has retained Pay Governance as an independent consultant for this purpose. Pay Governance s responsibilities include providing market comparison data on non-management director compensation at peer companies, tracking trends in non-management director compensation practices, and advising the Governance Committee regarding the components and levels of non-management director compensation. The Governance Committee is not aware of any conflict of interest on the part of Pay Governance or any other factor that would impair Pay Governance s independence. Executive officers do not play any role in either

recommending or determining non-management director compensation.

# Board, Committee and annual meeting attendance

The Board and its Committees held the following number of meetings during fiscal year 2016:

Board	8
Audit Committee	9
Compensation Committee	5
Governance Committee	8
SMIT Committee	5

15

#### **Table of Contents**

The Executive Committee did not meet during fiscal year 2016. BD s non-management directors met in executive session at each of the Board meetings held during fiscal year 2016.

During fiscal year 2016, all directors attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees on which he or she served.

The Board has adopted a policy pursuant to which directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in the absence of a scheduling conflict or other valid reason. All of the then-serving directors attended BD s 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

## Non-management directors compensation

The Board believes that providing competitive compensation is necessary to attract and retain qualified non-management directors. The key elements of BD s non-management director compensation are a cash retainer, equity compensation, Committee chair fees and Lead Director fees. Of the base compensation paid to the non-management directors (cash retainer and equity), approximately two-thirds is equity-based compensation. See Corporate Governance Other significant governance practices Equity ownership by directors on page 22. Mr. Forlenza does not receive compensation related to his service as a director.

#### Cash retainer

Each non-management director currently receives an annual cash retainer of \$92,000 for services as a director. Directors do not receive meeting attendance fees.

#### Equity award

Each non-management director elected at an Annual Meeting of Shareholders is granted restricted stock units then valued at \$189,000 (using the same methodology used to value awards made to executive officers). Directors newly elected to the Board receive a restricted stock unit grant that is prorated from the effective date of their election to the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The restricted stock units vest and are distributable one year from grant, unless deferred at the election of a director.

## Committee chair/Lead Director fees

An annual fee of \$15,000 is paid to each Committee chair, except that the fee for the Audit Committee chair is \$20,000 in recognition of the Audit Committee s responsibilities. An annual fee of \$30,000 is paid to the Lead Director. No fee is paid to the chair of the Executive Committee.

#### Other arrangements

BD reimburses non-management directors for travel and other business expenses incurred in the performance of their services for BD. Directors may travel on BD aircraft in connection with such activities, and, on limited occasions, spouses of directors have joined them on such flights. Per SEC rules, no compensation is attributed to the directors for these flights in the table below, since the aggregate incremental costs of spousal travel were minimal. Directors are also reimbursed for attending director education courses. BD occasionally invites spouses of directors to Board-related business events, for which they are reimbursed their travel expenses.

Directors are eligible, on the same basis as BD associates, to participate in BD s Matching Gift Program, pursuant to which BD matches contributions made to qualifying nonprofit organizations. The aggregate limit per participant is \$5,000 per calendar year.

The following table sets forth the compensation earned or received by BD s non-management directors during fiscal year 2016.

Fiscal Year 2016 Non-Management Directors Compensation

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash(\$)(1)	Stock awards(\$)(2)	All other (\$)(3)	Total(\$)
Basil L. Anderson	92,000	184,361	(1)(-)	276,361
Henry P. Becton, Jr.(4)	30,667			30,667
Catherine M. Burzik	92,000	184,361	5,000	281,361
Edward F. DeGraan(4)	30,667			30,667
R. Andrew Eckert(5)	1,250	63,193		64,443
Claire M. Fraser	105,333	184,361		289,694
Christopher Jones	92,000	184,361	10,000	286,361
Marshall O. Larsen	133,667	184,361		318,028
Gary A. Mecklenburg	105,333	184,361	5,000	294,694
James F. Orr	92,000	184,361		276,361
Willard J. Overlock, Jr.	92,000	184,361		276,361
Claire Pomeroy	92,000	184,361	5,000	281,361
Rebecca W. Rimel	92,000	184,361		276,361
Bertram L. Scott	110,333	184,361	5,000	299,694

- (1) Reflects (i) the annual cash retainer; (ii) annual Committee chair fees for Dr. Fraser and Messrs. Larsen, Mecklenburg and Scott; and (iii) Lead Director fee for Mr. Larsen.
- (2) The amounts shown in the Stock awards column reflect the grant date fair value under FASB ASC Topic 718 of restricted stock units awarded to non-management directors during the fiscal year. Since the average BD closing stock price for the 30 trading days prior to grant is used to determine the number of units granted rather than the grant date stock price, the amounts shown for the annual grant are slightly lower than the \$189,000 target award value. For a discussion of the assumptions made by us in arriving at the grant date fair value of these awards, see Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements that are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016.

Listed below are the aggregate outstanding restricted stock unit awards held by each non-management director at the end of fiscal year 2016.

	Stock Awards Outstanding at September 30,
Name	2016 (#)
Basil L. Anderson	22,866
Henry P. Becton, Jr.(4)	0
Catherine M. Burzik	4,967
Edward F. DeGraan(4)	0
R. Andrew Eckert(5)	357
Claire M. Fraser	18,599
Christopher Jones	11,800
Marshall O. Larsen	16,784
Gary A. Mecklenburg	22,232
James F. Orr	22,045
Willard J. Overlock, Jr.	24,359
Claire Pomeroy	3,928
Rebecca W. Rimel	6,835
Bertram L. Scott	23,683

- (3) Amounts shown represent matching gifts under BD s Matching Gift Program. Amount shown for Mr. Jones includes matching gifts of \$5,000 in each of calendar year 2015 and calendar year 2016.
- (4) Messrs. Becton and DeGraan retired from the Board on January 26, 2016.
- (5) Mr. Eckert joined the Board on September 26, 2016. Changes to non-management directors compensation

During fiscal year 2016, the Board undertook a review of director compensation, with the assistance of Pay Governance. This review included an analysis of the director compensation practices of certain peer companies, including the forms of equity compensation used, the mix of cash and equity compensation, and total compensation. The peer group used in this analysis was the same as the peer group used for BD s executive compensation analysis and included the following companies: Agilent Technologies, Inc.; Allergan plc.; C.R. Bard, Inc.; Baxter International Inc.; Boston Scientific Corporation; Medtronic plc; PerkinElmer, Inc.; St. Jude Medical, Inc.; Stryker Corporation; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.; and Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc.

Following this review, the Board approved the following changes to the annual retainer and annual equity award, effective at the conclusion of the 2017 Annual Meeting, so as to better align the Board s compensation practices with those of the peer group companies:

The annual cash retainer will be increased to \$97,000 from \$92,000.

The value of the annual restricted stock unit awards will be increased to \$199,000 from \$189,000. No change was made to the Committee chair or Lead Director fees.

# Directors Deferral Plan

Directors may defer receipt of all or part of their annual cash retainer and other cash fees pursuant to the provisions of the Directors Deferral Plan. Directors may also defer receipt of shares issuable to them under their restricted stock unit awards. A general description of the Directors Deferral Plan appears on page 5.

#### Communication with directors

Shareholders or other interested parties wishing to communicate with the Board, the non-management directors or any individual director (including complaints or concerns regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or audit matters) may do so by contacting the Lead Director either:

by mail, addressed to BD Lead Director, P.O. Box 264, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-0264;

by calling the BD Ethics Help Line, an independent toll-free service, at 1-800-821-5452 (callers from outside North America should use AT&T Direct to reach AT&T in the U.S. and then dial the above toll-free number); or

by email to ethics\_office@bd.com.

All communications will be kept confidential and promptly forwarded to the Lead Director, who shall, in turn, forward them promptly to the appropriate director(s). Such items that are unrelated to a director s duties and responsibilities as a Board member may be excluded by our corporate security department, including, without limitation, solicitations and advertisements, junk mail, product-related communications, job referral materials and resumes, surveys, and material that is determined to be illegal or otherwise inappropriate.

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

## **Corporate Governance Principles**

BD s commitment to good corporate governance is embodied in our Corporate Governance Principles (the Principles). The Principles set forth the Board s views and practices regarding a number of governance topics, and the Governance Committee assesses the Principles on an ongoing basis in light of current practices. The Principles are available on BD s website at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate">www.bd.com/investors/corporate</a> governance/. Printed copies of the Principles may be obtained, without charge, by contacting the Corporate Secretary, Becton, Dickinson and Company, 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880, phone 201-847-6800.

## **Board leadership structure**

The Board s goal is to achieve the best board leadership structure for effective oversight and management of BD s affairs. The Board believes that there is no single, generally accepted approach to providing board leadership, and that each possible leadership structure must be considered in the context of the individuals involved and the specific circumstances facing a company. Accordingly, what the Board believes is the right board leadership structure may vary as circumstances warrant.

At the present time, the Board believes that the most effective leadership structure for BD is for our CEO to also serve as Chairman. The Board believes this combined role allows one person to speak for and lead the company and the Board, creates clear lines of authority and accountability, and provides the necessary leadership to execute BD s strategy. Mr. Forlenza s over 35 years of experience at BD and knowledge of our complex businesses, along with his extensive industry expertise, also make him uniquely qualified to lead the Board on the most important issues facing the company.

At the same time, the Principles provide for the appointment of a Lead Director from among the independent directors whenever the Chairman is not independent. The establishment of the Lead Director role allows the non-management directors to provide effective, independent Board leadership and oversight of management. Marshall O. Larsen has served as Lead Director since January 2015.

Under the Principles, the Lead Director:

presides over all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors, and at such other times as the Board deems appropriate,

has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors,

approves Board meeting agendas,

approves Board meeting schedules to ensure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items,

coordinates the evaluation of the performance of the CEO by the non-management directors,

serves as a liaison between the non-management members of the Board and the Chairman, and as a contact person to facilitate communications by BD s employees, shareholders and others with the non-management members of the Board,

approves information provided to the Board, and

if requested by major shareholders, ensures that he or she is available for consultation and direct communication. The Board believes that having an independent Lead Director provides independent oversight of management, including risk oversight, while avoiding the risk of confusion regarding the Board s oversight responsibilities and the day-to-day management of the business. As such, this structure provides independent Board leadership and engagement, while deriving the benefit of having the CEO also serve as Chairman.

#### Board s oversight of risk

BD s management engages in a process referred to as enterprise risk management ( ERM ) to identify, assess, manage and mitigate a broad range of risks across BD s businesses, regions and functions, and to ensure alignment of our risk assessment and mitigation efforts with BD s corporate strategy. The Audit Committee, through the authority delegated to it by the Board of Directors, is primarily responsible for overseeing BD s ERM activities to determine whether the process is functioning effectively and is consistent with BD s business strategy. At least twice a year, senior management reviews the results of its ERM activities with the Audit Committee, including the process used within the organization to identify risks, management s assessment of the significant categories of risk faced by BD (including any changes in such assessment since the last review), and management s plans to mitigate the potential exposures. On at least an annual basis, the significant risks identified through BD s ERM activities and the related mitigation plans are reviewed with the full Board. Particular risks are then often reviewed in-depth with the Audit Committee or the full Board at subsequent meetings.

In addition, the full Board reviews the risks associated with BD s strategic plan and discusses the appropriate levels of risk in light of BD s business objectives. This is done through an annual strategy review process, and from time to time throughout the year as part of the Board s ongoing review of corporate strategy. The full Board also regularly oversees other areas of potential risk, including BD s capital structure, acquisitions and divestitures, and succession planning for BD s CEO and other members of senior management.

The various Committees of the Board are also responsible for monitoring and reporting to the full Board on risks associated with their respective areas of oversight. The Audit Committee, among other things, oversees BD s accounting and financial reporting processes and the integrity of BD s financial statements, BD s processes regarding compliance with laws, and its hedging activities and insurance coverages. The Compensation Committee oversees risks associated with BD s compensation practices and programs, the SMIT Committee reviews risks relating to our innovation and product development activities, and the Governance Committee oversees risks relating to BD s corporate governance practices, including director independence, related person transactions and conflicts of interest. In connection with its oversight responsibilities, each Committee often meets with members of management who are primarily responsible for the management of risk in their respective areas, including, among others, BD s Chief Financial Officer, Chief Human Resources Officer, Chief Medical Officer, General Counsel, and senior regulatory, IT, R&D and compliance officers.

## Risk assessment of compensation programs

With respect to our compensation policies and practices, BD s management has reviewed our policies and practices to determine whether they create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on BD. In connection with this risk assessment, management reviewed the design of BD s compensation and benefits programs (in particular, our performance-based compensation programs) and related policies, potential risks that could be created by the programs, and features of our programs and corporate governance generally that help to mitigate risk. Among the factors considered were the mix of cash and equity compensation, and of fixed and variable compensation, paid to our associates; the balance between short- and long-term objectives in our incentive compensation; the performance targets, mix of performance metrics, vesting periods, threshold performance requirements and funding formulas related to our incentive compensation; the degree to which programs are formulaic or provide discretion to determine payout amounts; caps on payouts; our clawback and share ownership policies; and our general governance structure. Based on this review, we believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on BD.

20

#### **Director nomination process**

## Role of the Governance Committee

The Governance Committee reviews potential director candidates and recommends nominees for director to the full Board for approval. In making its recommendations, the Governance Committee assesses the overall composition of the Board, including diversity, skills, background, experience and prominence in areas of importance to BD. The Board seeks to achieve among its directors a diversity of viewpoint, experience, knowledge, ethnicity and gender that fits the needs of the Board at that particular time.

When considering potential director candidates, the Governance Committee will seek individuals with backgrounds and qualities that, when combined with those of BD s other directors, provide a blend of skills and experience that will further enhance the Board s effectiveness. From time-to-time, the Governance Committee has retained an executive search firm to assist it in its efforts to identify and evaluate potential director candidates.

The Governance Committee believes that any nominee for director must meet the following minimum qualifications:

Candidates should be persons of high integrity who possess independence, forthrightness, inquisitiveness, good judgment and strong analytical skills.

Candidates should demonstrate a commitment to devote the time required for Board duties, including, but not limited to, attendance at meetings.

Candidates should be team-oriented and committed to the interests of all shareholders as opposed to those of any particular constituency.

The Governance Committee assesses the characteristics and performance of incumbent director nominees against the above criteria as well, and, to the extent applicable, considers the impact of any change in the principal occupations of such directors during the last year. To aid in this process, the Governance Committee solicits feedback from all the other directors on the Board. Upon completion of its assessment, the Governance Committee reports its recommendations for nominations to the full Board.

In September 2016, the Board elected R. Andrew Eckert to the Board. Mr. Eckert was identified by a third-party search firm. The role of the search firm is to assist the Governance Committee by identifying a pool of potential director candidates based on the specifications provided by the Governance Committee, and evaluating candidates recommended by other members of the Board or management. The firm reviews the potential candidates with the Governance Committee, performs outreach to candidates selected from the pool to assess interest and availability, conducts reference checks and arranges candidate interviews with members of the Governance Committee and our CEO. Prior to a candidate selection, the candidate will also meet with other members of the Board. The search firm also provides a background check on the candidate before a final recommendation is made to the Board.

# Shareholder recommendations

It is the Governance Committee spolicy to consider referrals of prospective nominees for the Board from other Board members and management, as well as shareholders and other external sources, such as retained executive search firms. The Governance Committee seeks to identify a diverse range of qualified candidates, including, without limitation, women and minority candidates. The Governance Committee utilizes the same criteria for evaluating candidates, irrespective of their source.

To recommend a candidate for consideration, a shareholder should submit a written statement of the qualifications of the proposed nominee, including full name and address, to the Corporate Secretary, Becton Dickinson and Company, 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880.

21

37

#### Proxy access nominations

In September 2016, the Board adopted a proxy access by-law, which permits eligible shareholders to nominate candidates for the BD Board for inclusion in BD s proxy statement and proxy card. Our proxy access by-law provides that a shareholder, or a group of up to 20 shareholders, owning 3% or more of the Company s outstanding capital stock for at least three years, can nominate up to two individuals or 20 percent of the Board, whichever is greater, for election at an annual shareholders meeting, if the shareholder(s) and the nominee(s) meet the relevant requirements in our By-laws.

#### Other significant governance practices

Described below are some of the other significant corporate governance practices that have been instituted by the BD Board.

#### Annual election of directors

BD s directors are elected annually. The Board believes that annual elections of directors reflect a corporate governance best practice, as it provides shareholders the opportunity to express their views on the performance of the entire Board each year.

# Voting for directors

Under our By-Laws, in uncontested elections (where the number of nominees does not exceed the number of directors to be elected), nominees for director must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in order to be elected to the Board of Directors. Any incumbent director who fails to receive the requisite affirmative vote is required to offer to submit his or her resignation to the Board following the shareholder vote. The Governance Committee will consider and recommend to the Board whether to accept the resignation offer. The Board will act on such recommendation and publicly disclose its decision within 90 days following the shareholder vote. This structure allows the Board the opportunity to identify and assess the reasons for the vote, including whether the vote is attributable to dissatisfaction with a director s overall performance or is the result of shareholder views on a particular issue, and enables the Board to avoid undesirable and disruptive governance consequences.

### Board self-evaluation

Each year the Board conducts a self-evaluation of its performance and effectiveness. As part of this process, each director completes an evaluation form on specific aspects of the Board s role, organization and meetings. The collective comments are then presented by the chair of the Governance Committee to the full Board. As part of the evaluation, the Board assesses the progress in the areas targeted for improvement a year earlier, and develops actions to be taken to enhance the Board s effectiveness over the next year. A complete list of the areas covered by the Board evaluation is available on BD s website at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance">www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance</a>. Additionally, each Committee conducts an annual self-evaluation of its performance through a similar process.

# Equity ownership by directors

The Board believes that directors should hold meaningful equity ownership positions in BD. To that end, a significant portion of non-management director compensation is in the form of restricted stock units. The Board believes that these equity interests help to better align the interests of the non-management directors with our shareholders. Under the Board s share ownership guidelines, each non-management director is required to own shares of common stock (which includes restricted stock units) valued at five times the annual cash retainer and must comply with the guidelines within three years of joining the Board. All of our non-management directors have achieved the required share ownership or are within the three-year grace period.

22

#### Shareholder engagement

Our relationship with our shareholders and their views about BD are important to us, and the Board recognizes the value of director engagement with BD s major shareholders. To that end, the Board has established a process by which shareholders can request direct engagement with our non-management directors regarding executive compensation, corporate governance, board and CEO succession, risk management oversight and other matters within the purview of the Board. This process can be found on our website at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/">www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/</a>. The Board may also initiate direct communications with BD shareholders at any time, in its discretion.

#### Annual Report of Charitable Contributions

In furtherance of BD s commitment to good governance and disclosure practices, the Principles require that BD s charitable contributions or pledges in an aggregate amount of \$50,000 or more (not including contributions under BD s Matching Gift Program) to entities with which BD s directors and executive officers, or their families, are affiliated must be approved by the Governance Committee. In addition, BD posts on its website, at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/">www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/</a>, an Annual Report of Charitable Contributions (the Contributions Report ) listing all contributions and pledges made by BD during the preceding fiscal year in an amount of \$10,000 or more to organizations affiliated with any director or executive officer. The Contributions Report includes a discussion of BD s contributions philosophy and the alignment of BD s philanthropic activities with this philosophy.

#### Enterprise compliance

Under the oversight of the Audit Committee, BD s enterprise compliance function seeks to ensure that BD has policies and procedures designed to prevent and detect violations of the many laws, regulations and policies affecting its business, and that BD continuously encourages lawful and ethical conduct. BD s enterprise compliance function supplements the various compliance and ethics functions that are also in place at BD, and seeks to ensure better coordination and effectiveness through program design, prevention, and promotion of an organizational culture of compliance. A committee comprised of members of senior management oversees these activities. Another key element of this program is training. This includes a global on-line compliance training program focused on BD s Code of Conduct, as well as other courses covering various compliance topics such as antitrust, anti-bribery, conflicts of interest, financial integrity, industry marketing codes and information security.

### Political contributions

We prohibit the use of BD corporate funds and assets to support any candidate, political party, ballot measure or referendum campaign, unless an exception is approved by the CEO and the General Counsel. To date, no exceptions have been sought or approved. If an exception is approved, it may only be granted without regard to the personal political affiliations or views of any individual BD associate at any level across the organization.

As permitted under U.S. law, BD operates a political action committee. The BD PAC is a mechanism to enable eligible U.S. associates to voluntarily support candidates for elected office who share BD s perspectives and approaches to public policy issues. BD provides administrative support to the PAC, as permitted under federal law.

In all cases, BD policy prohibits directors and employees from using company resources to promote their personal political views, causes or candidates, and specifies that the company will not directly or indirectly reimburse any personal political contributions or expenses.

BD is a member of numerous trade associations that provide a venue for the medical technology sector to work together to advocate its position on issues that impact our industry. In the U.S., the major associations of

which BD is a member include AdvaMed and AdvaMedDx, the Healthcare Institute of New Jersey and the California Life Sciences Association. We have informed our major U.S. trade associations that they are not permitted to use any BD fees to support any candidate, political party, ballot measure or referendum campaign, unless approved by BD s CEO and General Counsel.

# Director independence; Policy regarding related person transactions

#### Director independence

Under the NYSE rules and our Principles, a director is deemed not to be independent if the director has a direct or indirect material relationship with BD (other than his or her relationship as a director). The Governance Committee annually reviews the independence of all directors and nominees for director and reports its findings to the full Board. To assist in this review, the Board has adopted director independence guidelines ( Independence Guidelines ) that are contained in the Principles. The Independence Guidelines set forth certain categories of relationships (and related dollar thresholds) between BD and directors and their immediate family members, or entities with which they have a relationship, which the Board, in its judgment, has deemed to be either material or immaterial for purposes of assessing a director s independence. In the event that a director has any relationship with BD that is not addressed in the Independence Guidelines, the independent members of the Board review the facts and circumstances to determine whether such relationship is material. The Principles are available on BD s website at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate-governance/">www.bd.com/investors/corporate-governance/</a>. The Independence Guidelines are contained in Principle No. 7.

The Board has determined that the following directors are independent under the NYSE rules and our Independence Guidelines: Basil L. Anderson, Catherine M. Burzik, R. Andrew Eckert, Claire M. Fraser, Christopher Jones, Marshall O. Larsen, Gary A. Mecklenburg, James F. Orr, Willard J. Overlock, Jr., Claire Pomeroy, Rebecca W. Rimel, and Bertram L. Scott. The Board also determined that Henry P. Becton, Jr. and Edward F. DeGraan, each of whom served on the Board until January 2016, were independent under the NYSE rules and the Principles, and that Mr. DeGraan, who served on the Compensation Committee, also met the NYSE independence requirements for compensation committee members. Vincent A. Forlenza is an employee of BD and, therefore, is not independent under the NYSE rules and the Principles.

In determining that each of these directors is independent, the Board reviewed BD s transactions or other dealings with organizations with which a director may have a relationship, such as service by the director as an employee of the organization or as a member of a governing or advisory board of the organization. Based on its review, the Board determined that, in each instance, the nature of the relationship, the degree of the director s involvement with the organization and the amount involved was such that it would not constitute a material relationship or otherwise impair the director s independence.

The types of transactions with director-affiliated organizations considered by the Board consisted of the purchase or sale of products and/or services (in the cases of Anderson, Burzik, Fraser, Jones, Larsen, Mecklenburg, Overlock, Pomeroy and Scott), the licensing of intellectual property rights (in the cases of Fraser and Jones) and charitable contributions (in the case of Jones).

#### Related person transactions

The Board has also established a written policy (the Policy ) requiring Board approval or ratification of transactions involving more than \$120,000 per year in which a director, executive officer or shareholder owning more than 5% of BD s stock (excluding certain passive investors) or their immediate family members has, or will have, a material interest. The Policy is available on BD s website at <a href="https://www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/">www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/</a>. The Policy excludes certain specified transactions, including certain charitable contributions and transactions available to BD associates generally. The Governance Committee is responsible for the review and approval or ratification of transactions subject to the Policy. The Governance Committee will approve or ratify only those

24

#### **Table of Contents**

transactions that it determines in its business judgment are fair and reasonable to BD and in (or not inconsistent with) the best interests of BD and its shareholders, and that do not impact the director s independence. There were no transactions involving BD s executive officers or directors, shareholders, or their immediate families, in 2016 that were subject to the Policy.

#### **Code of Conduct**

BD maintains a Code of Conduct that is applicable to all directors, officers and associates of BD, including its CEO, Chief Financial Officer, principal accounting officer and other senior financial officers. It sets forth BD s policies and expectations on a number of topics, including conflicts of interest, confidentiality, compliance with laws (including insider trading laws), preservation and use of BD s assets, and business ethics. The Code of Conduct also sets forth procedures for the communicating and handling of any potential conflict of interest (or the appearance of any conflict of interest) involving directors or executive officers, and for the confidential communication and handling of issues regarding accounting, internal control and auditing matters.

BD also maintains an Ethics Help Line telephone number (the Help Line ) for BD associates as a means of raising concerns or seeking advice. The Help Line is serviced by an independent contractor and is available to all associates worldwide. Associates using the Help Line may choose to remain anonymous and all inquiries are kept confidential to the extent practicable in connection with the investigation of an inquiry. All Help Line inquiries are forwarded to BD s Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer for investigation. The Audit Committee is informed of any matters reported to the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer, whether through the Help Line or otherwise, involving accounting, internal control or auditing matters, or any fraud involving management or persons who have a significant role in BD s internal controls.

The Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer leads the BD Ethics Office, which administers BD s ethics program. In addition to the Help Line, the ethics program provides for broad communication of BD s Core Values, associate education regarding the Code of Conduct and its requirements, and ethics training sessions.

Any waivers from any provisions of the Code of Conduct for executive officers and directors will be promptly disclosed to shareholders. In addition, certain amendments to the Code of Conduct, as well as any waivers from certain provisions of the Code of Conduct given to BD s CEO, Chief Financial Officer or principal accounting officer, will be posted at the website address set forth below.

The Code of Conduct is available on BD s website at www.bd.com/investors/corporate\_governance/. Printed copies of the Code of Conduct may be obtained, without charge, by contacting the Corporate Secretary, Becton, Dickinson and Company, 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880, phone 201-847-6800.

25

#### REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION

#### AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The primary objective of the BD compensation program is to fully support the strategic business goal of delivering superior long-term shareholder returns through sustained revenue growth, earnings per share growth, return on capital and other metrics. As such, we intend to ensure a high degree of alignment between pay and the long-term value and financial soundness of BD. The Compensation Committee has established the following compensation principles to meet this objective:

#### Aligning the interests of executives and shareholders

Through equity compensation and equity ownership guidelines for executives, we seek to align the interests of executives with those of BD s shareholders. This represents the largest portion of our compensation structure in terms of target value.

### Linking rewards to performance

We maintain a pay-for-performance philosophy based on actual performance as against clear, measurable company performance targets, particularly those metrics that support the creation of long-term shareholder value.

# Delivering superior business and financial results

Performance targets are set to reward executives for achieving short- and long-term results in line with our objective of enhancing long-term shareholder value. In setting short-term goals and in rewarding performance, we will take care to ensure that we do not create incentives to take inappropriate risks.

# Offering a competitive compensation structure

We have established and intend to maintain a competitive structure that supports the recruitment and retention of high-performance executives essential to driving the business results required to execute our strategy and create long-term value for shareholders. This structure is determined, in part, by evaluating peer group data which is provided and analyzed by the Compensation Committee s independent consultant, Pay Governance.

# Maintaining a transparent compensation structure

The Compensation Committee strives to provide absolute transparency to executives, employees and shareholders of all aspects of BD s compensation and benefits structure. This includes disclosure of performance targets, payout formulas, details of other earned benefits and the Compensation Committee s use of discretion in determining award payouts.

# Maintaining Compensation Committee independence

The Compensation Committee is made up exclusively of independent directors and utilizes an independent compensation consultant, Pay Governance, which, by Compensation Committee policy, is prohibited from performing any services for BD or its management without the Compensation Committee s prior approval.

# Retaining prerogative to adjust programs

The Compensation Committee retains the prerogative to change or modify BD s compensation and benefit programs to reflect prevailing economic, market or company financial conditions.

26

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussions, has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in BD s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 and in this proxy statement.

# COMPENSATION AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Marshall O. Larsen Chair

Basil L. Anderson

Gary A. Mecklenburg

James F. Orr

Bertram L. Scott

27

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section discusses our executive compensation program and the compensation actions taken with respect to the persons named in the Summary Compensation Table (who we refer to as the named executive officers ) on page 42. All references in this section to years are references to our fiscal year, which ends on September 30, unless otherwise noted.

Information is included below for William A. Kozy, who retired as BD s Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer in March 2016. Information for Mr. Kozy is required under the rules of the SEC, even though he was not serving as an executive officer of BD at the end of 2016.

In this section, while discussing awards under our Performance Incentive Plan (the PIP), we refer to certain financial measures that do not conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Appendix A to this proxy statement contains reconciliations of these non-GAAP measures to the comparable GAAP financial measures.

#### **Executive Summary**

#### Compensation objectives

Our goal is to provide an executive compensation program that best serves the long-term interests of our shareholders. We believe that attracting and retaining superior talent and rewarding performance is key to delivering long-term shareholder returns, and that a competitive compensation program is critical to that end. Therefore, we strive to provide a competitive compensation package to our executives that ties a significant portion of pay to performance and uses components that align the interests of our executives with those of BD s shareholders.

#### Our compensation practices

The following is a summary of important aspects of our executive compensation program discussed later in this section.

Balanced mix of pay components and incentives. Our compensation program targets a balanced mix of cash and equity compensation, and of annual and long-term incentives. The key elements of our program are salary, annual cash incentives under the PIP and long-term equity compensation consisting of stock appreciation rights (SARs), stock-settled performance-based units (Performance Units), and time-vested units (TVUs).

Significant performance-based compensation tied to business strategy. We emphasize pay-for-performance to align executive compensation with the execution of our business strategy and the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Approximately three-quarters of the total target compensation of our CEO in 2016 was performance-based.

We use performance metrics that are aligned with and support BD s business strategy.

While we emphasize at risk pay tied to performance, we believe our program does not encourage excessive risk taking by management.

Share retention guidelines and policy against pledging/hedging. Our executives are subject to robust share retention and ownership guidelines and are prohibited from pledging BD shares or hedging against the economic risk of their ownership.

*Limited perquisites and no employment agreements.* We offer our named executive officers very limited perquisites, and none of them have employment agreements.

28

*Clawback policy.* We have a compensation recovery policy that gives the Board the authority to recover incentive compensation paid to senior management in the event of a restatement of our financial statements resulting from misconduct.

Change in control agreements. We have double-trigger change in control agreements with our executives to provide continuity of management in the event of an actual or potential change in control of BD. We have adopted a policy of eliminating excise tax gross-ups from future change in control agreements. Equity compensation awards made after January 1, 2015 also have a double trigger accelerated vesting provision.

*Use of independent consultant.* The Compensation Committee uses an independent consultant to assist it in designing our compensation program and making compensation decisions. The independent consultant did not provide any services to BD or BD management in 2016.

# Last year s say-on-pay vote

Approximately 95% of the shares voted at last year s annual meeting were cast in support of BD s advisory vote on named executive officer compensation. The Compensation Committee views the results of this vote as broad general shareholder support for our executive compensation program. Based on our say-on-pay vote and the Compensation Committee s ongoing benchmarking of our compensation policies and practices, the Compensation Committee believes that our compensation program effectively aligns the interests of our named executive officers with those of our shareholders and the long-term goals of BD. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee did not make any significant changes in 2016 as a result of our say-on-pay vote.

#### 2016 operating performance and executive compensation decisions

#### **Operating Performance**

2016 was a significant year in the transformation of BD, as we completed our first full fiscal year with CareFusion Corporation ( CareFusion ) as part of the BD organization. For the year, BD delivered strong financial and operational performance, the highlights of which include:

Our total revenues for the year were solid, and our strong margin expansion and expense controls helped us exceed our internal earnings growth expectations for the year.

Management continued to make substantial progress on the integration of the CareFusion acquisition, and BD achieved over \$170 million in total cost synergies from the acquisition by the end of 2016.

We took steps to optimize our portfolio, including the divestiture of our BD Rx and spine businesses and the establishment of a joint venture for the Respiratory Solutions business, which we completed just after the close of the fiscal year. These actions further enable us to invest in our most important opportunities for future growth.

We continued to execute on our continuous improvement efforts, including our IT and manufacturing strategies, to drive further efficiency throughout the organization.

With regard to innovation, we made significant progress on our product pipeline, with a number of new product launches and significant investment in targeted high growth opportunities.

We maintained our investment in emerging markets, which will continue to be a key driver of BD s growth, and continued progress in introducing CareFusion products into new markets.

Cash flows remained strong, and we continued to reduce the debt associated with the CareFusion acquisition and remain on track to meet the leverage target we set following the acquisition. We also returned \$562 million to our shareholders through dividends, as we increased our dividend for the 44th consecutive year.

29

## Compensation decisions

<u>Salary</u>. Mr. Forlenza, our CEO, received a salary increase during the year from \$1,060,000 to \$1,120,000 in order to keep his salary competitive with the median of peer companies. The other named executive officers received salary increases that were in line with increases at BD generally, except for Mr. Polen, whose salary was adjusted to reflect his expanded role at BD.

<u>PIP awards</u>. For 2016, our strong earnings and cash flow performance, along with revenue results that substantially met the PIP target, resulted in available funding for PIP awards at 115% of target. The PIP award made to Mr. Forlenza was 128% of his target award, and awards ranged from 115% to 146% of target for our other named executive officers, as discussed below. We believe that the 2016 PIP awards appropriately reflect the individual contributions of our executive officers to BD strong financial performance during the year, the successful integration to date of CareFusion, and the progress made on other important initiatives at BD.

*Equity compensation*. Consistent with our past practice, equity compensation represented a significant component of total compensation in 2016. Among the changes in equity compensation awards in 2016 was an increase in Mr. Forlenza s award value to recognize his performance.

# **Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Program**

The objectives of our executive compensation program include:

Aligning the interests of our executives with our shareholders through equity compensation and share retention guidelines.

**Driving superior business and financial results** by setting clear, measurable short- and long-term performance targets that support our strategy and the creation of long-term shareholder value, while at the same time taking care to ensure that our executives are not incentivized to take inappropriate risks.

Maintaining a pay-for-performance philosophy by tying a significant portion of pay to performance against our performance targets.

Offering competitive compensation that helps attract and retain high-performing executives who are essential to executing our strategy and creating long-term value for our shareholders.

In administering the program, the Compensation Committee seeks to provide transparency to BD executives and associates and to our shareholders of all aspects of BD s compensation and benefits structure. This includes disclosure of performance targets and payout formulas, the benefits provided under the program, and the Compensation Committee s use of discretion in determining award payouts.

# The Process for Setting Executive Compensation

# The role of the Compensation Committee, its consultant and management

The Compensation Committee oversees the compensation program for our executive officers. The Compensation Committee recommends compensation actions regarding the CEO for approval by the independent members of the Board, and sets the compensation of the other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee is assisted in fulfilling its responsibilities by its independent consultant, Pay Governance, and BD s senior management. Additional information about our process for setting executive compensation, including the roles of Pay Governance and management, is found on pages 13-14.

In order to maintain the independence of its outside consultant, the Compensation Committee has established a policy that prohibits its consultant from performing any services for BD or BD s management without the Compensation Committee s prior approval. In accordance with this policy, Pay Governance did not perform services for BD or BD management in 2016.

30

#### The use of market comparison data

The Compensation Committee considers a number of factors in structuring our program, determining pay components and making compensation decisions. This includes the compensation practices of select peer companies in the healthcare industry, which we refer to as the Comparison Group. These companies were chosen by the Compensation Committee after considering the recommendations of Pay Governance and management, and were selected because they have significant lines of business that are similar to BD s and are companies that we believe we compete with for executive talent. The Compensation Committee reviews the composition of the Comparison Group at least annually. The companies in the Comparison Group for 2016 are below.

#### **Comparison Group**

Agilent Technologies, Inc. PerkinElmer, Inc.

Allergan plc St. Jude Medical, Inc.

C.R. Bard, Inc. Stryker Corporation

Baxter International Inc.

Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.

Boston Scientific Corporation Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc.

#### Medtronic plc

If the sample size from the Comparison Group is not large enough, data from a secondary peer group may be used. Companies in the secondary peer group vary each year, depending on survey participation, and are selected based on similarities of industry and company size. The Comparison Group data was used for each named executive officer for each component of our compensation program in 2016, and secondary peer group data was also used in setting pay levels for Mr. Conroy, Mr. Polen, and Dr. Strahlman. Due to Mr. Kozy s pending retirement at the time, market data was not considered by the Committee for his position.

# **Comparison Group Data**

	Revenue for th twelve	e		
	months ended September 30,		Market capitalization on	
	2016 (in millions)	Se	ptember 30, 2016 (in millions)	
25th Percentile	\$ 4,961	. \$	19,626	
Median	\$ 7,878	\$	26,006	
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$ 13,507	\$	53,142	
BD	\$ 12,312	\$	38,269	
BD Percentile Rank	73%		65%	

We attempt to set the compensation of the named executive officers at levels that are competitive with the compensation (salary, annual cash incentive and equity compensation) paid to persons holding the same or similar positions at the companies listed above, using available market comparison data regarding these companies as a guide. The Compensation Committee (and the independent directors in the case of our CEO) generally seeks to set the compensation of our executive officers for each of these elements within a competitive range of the median of this group, assuming payout of performance-based compensation at target. The use of market comparison data, however, is just one of the tools used to determine executive compensation, and the Compensation Committee and the independent directors retain the flexibility to set target compensation at levels deemed appropriate for an individual or for a specific element of compensation. Based on the market data provided by Pay Governance, the Compensation Committee believes that the total target compensation set for the named executive officers in 2016 is at or below median competitive levels.

Because each compensation element is reviewed individually, compensation decisions made with respect to one element of compensation generally do not affect decisions made with respect to other elements. It is also for

this reason that no specific formula is used to determine the allocation between cash and equity compensation, although it is the Compensation Committee s intent that equity compensation represent the largest portion of total target compensation. In addition, because an executive s compensation target is set by reference to persons with similar duties at peer companies, we do not establish any fixed relationship between the amount of compensation paid to our CEO and that paid to the other named executive officers.

# The use of tally sheets

The Compensation Committee is from time-to-time provided a tally sheet report prepared by management for each named executive officer. The tally sheet includes, among other things, total annual compensation, the value of unexercised or unvested equity compensation awards, and amounts payable upon termination of employment under various scenarios, including retirement or following a change in control. The Compensation Committee uses tally sheets to provide additional perspective on the value the executives have accumulated from prior equity awards and plan accruals and their retentive value.

# The Key Elements of Our Compensation Program

The key elements of our executive compensation program are summarized in the table below.

Component	Description	Purpose
Base salary	Fixed cash compensation based on performance, scope of responsibilities, experience and competitive pay practices.	Provide a fixed, baseline level of compensation.
PIP	Annual variable cash payment tied to performance during the fiscal year.	Drive business performance on an annual basis.
Long-term equity compensation:		Reward individual contributions to BD s performance.
SARs	Exercisable for shares based on difference between exercise price and BD stock price. SARs vest over four years and have a 10-year term.	Increase executive ownership to align interests with shareholders.
Performance Units TVUs	Performance-based restricted stock units, with payout tied to BD s performance over three-year performance period.	Promote executive retention.
	Restricted stock units that vest in three annual installments following grant.	Drive long-term, sustained business performance.  Reward creation of shareholder value.

32

#### **Our Emphasis on Pay-for-Performance**

## Performance-based compensation

The compensation of our named executive officers is weighted towards performance-based compensation, where the actual amount received varies based on company and individual performance. The charts below show the performance-based portion of 2016 target compensation paid to Mr. Forlenza and the other named executive officers.

#### 2016 Total Target Compensation

Performance-based compensation includes PIP awards, Performance Units and SARs, while Fixed compensation includes salary and TVUs. We consider SARs performance-based compensation because they require stock price appreciation to deliver value to an executive. Actual amounts received (and the percentage of total compensation coming from performance-based compensation) may differ from target amounts based on performance and BD s stock price.

#### How our performance metrics support BD s business strategy

BD remains focused on delivering sustainable growth and shareholder value, while making appropriate investments for the future. BD management operates the business consistent with the following core strategies:

Increasing revenue growth by focusing on our core products, services and solutions that deliver greater benefits to patients, healthcare workers and researchers;

Investing in research and development for platform extensions and innovative new products;

Growing our operations in emerging markets;

Improving operating effectiveness and balance sheet productivity; and

Driving an efficient capital structure and strong shareholder returns.

The Compensation Committee believes it is important that our compensation program reinforce and reward behaviors that support these business objectives. In addition, the Compensation Committee believes executive compensation should be based in part on how BD s performance compares to peer companies facing the same market conditions as BD. These considerations inform the Compensation Committee s selection of the performance measures for BD s performance-based compensation.

#### **Performance Period and Metrics for**

# **Performance-based Compensation**

1 Year	3 years	10 years
PIP Awards	Performance Units	<u>SARS</u>

Adjusted EPS\* Average ROIC Stock price appreciation

Revenues\* Relative TSR

Free cash flow as a percentage of sales\*

\* Adjusted for unbudgeted currency fluctuations.

33

**PIP.** We evaluate corporate performance under the PIP using the following metrics:

**Adjusted EPS.** Adjusted EPS is our GAAP EPS less acquisition-related purchase accounting adjustments and finance, integration, restructuring and transaction costs, primarily relating to our CareFusion acquisition in 2015. We use Adjusted EPS because it is the primary basis on which BD sets performance expectations for the year and earnings is a widely-used measure of overall company performance. The use of Adjusted EPS is consistent with how we report our operating results to the financial community.

**Revenues.** Revenue measures BD s ability to innovate and compete in the global marketplace. This measure focuses management on achieving strong top-line growth, consistent with our business strategy.

*Free cash flow as a percentage of sales.* This metric recognizes the importance of the efficient use of cash to our ability to fund ongoing investments in our business, including product development, innovation and geographic expansion. Free cash flow means cash flow from our operating activities, less capital expenditures and capitalized software.

Together, these three measures provide a balanced set of performance targets that focus on growth, profitability and operating efficiency. Adjusted EPS performance is weighted 50%, and the revenue and free cash flow metrics are each weighted 25%. Because Adjusted EPS is the primary basis on which we set our performance expectations for the year, it is more heavily weighted than the other measures.

When measuring actual performance against the targets, adjustments are made to account for the impact of foreign currency exchange rates in effect during the year, whether favorable or unfavorable to BD, compared to the rates we budgeted when the targets were set. We eliminate this impact of foreign currency translation so that only BD s underlying performance is considered in determining PIP awards.

*Equity compensation*. Equity compensation links executive compensation to BD s performance against three-year performance goals and stock price appreciation. Two metrics are used to measure performance under the Performance Units, each weighted 50%:

Average return on invested capital ( ROIC ). This metric measures profitability and how effectively company assets are being used. This metric requires our executives to effectively manage a number of different aspects of the business, including new product introductions, productivity improvements and geographic expansion.

**Relative total shareholder return ( TSR ).** This metric measures BD s stock performance (assuming reinvestment of dividends) during the performance period against that of a group of 14 companies in the healthcare industry (the TSR Group ). This measure compares BD s performance, as reflected in our stock price over time, to peer companies facing similar business conditions and is directly tied to shareholder returns. The TSR Group is broader than the Comparison Group used for compensation market data in order to reduce the volatility in relative performance that can come from using a relatively smaller number of companies.

#### How performance goals are set

When setting performance targets for the PIP and Performance Units, the Compensation Committee considers BD s business plan and the environment in which BD is operating. The healthcare industry continues to face challenges, and the Compensation Committee seeks to reward what it deems to be superior performance by management in light of current industry conditions and growth trends. The Compensation Committee sets what it believes are reasonably achievable performance targets for BD at the time, in light of the BD operating plans reviewed by the Board, and structures payouts so that they are aligned with BD s performance against those targets.

Table of Contents 56

34

#### Our risk analysis of performance-based compensation

While a significant portion of our executive compensation is performance-based, we do not believe that our program encourages excessive or unnecessary risk-taking. While risk-taking is a necessary part of operating and growing a business, the Compensation Committee focuses on aligning BD s compensation practices with BD s long-term strategy and attempts to avoid short-term rewards for management decisions that could pose long-term risks to BD. This includes:

*Limits on PIP awards*. We do not overweight short-term incentives as a proportion of total pay. PIP awards are also capped at 200% of an executive starget award to protect against disproportionately large short-term incentives, and the Compensation Committee has the discretion to set PIP awards based on any factors it deems appropriate, including whether management has taken unnecessary or excessive risk.

**Share retention and ownership guidelines**. Our share retention and ownership guidelines ensure that our executives have a significant amount of their personal assets tied to the long-term success of BD, and we have a policy prohibiting pledging BD shares or hedging against the economic risk of their ownership.

*Use of long-term equity compensation*. The largest portion of the compensation paid to our named executive officers is long-term equity compensation that vests over a period of years, which encourages our executives to focus on sustaining BD s long-term performance.

*Use of Performance Units*. A significant portion of executive equity compensation consists of Performance Units that have a three-year performance cycle. This focuses management on sustainable long-term performance. We also cap the payout of these awards at 200% of target.

*Use of multiple performance metrics*. We use a number of different performance metrics in our performance-based compensation, with no overlapping metrics among our different compensation components, so that undue weight is not given to any one metric.

#### PIP Awards

The PIP provides our executives an opportunity to receive a cash award for BD s performance for the fiscal year and their contribution to that performance, as part of our pay-for-performance philosophy.

#### Award targets

Target PIP awards for the named executive officers are expressed as a percentage of base salary earned during the year. The Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2016 table on page 45 shows the range of possible awards under the PIP for 2016, based on certain assumptions.

The factors considered when setting actual PIP awards include BD s overall performance against the pre-set performance targets and the resulting available funding (discussed below), the executive s target award and the executive s individual performance. Our CEO s performance is measured against the individual goals for the year established by the independent directors. For our other executive officers, performance is measured against the performance objectives set for the businesses, regions or functions they oversee. In each case, the performance objectives for a named executive officer involve a combination of quantitative and qualitative goals. However, no specific formula or weighting of individual performance objectives is used to determine a named executive officer s PIP award, nor is the achievement of any particular individual performance objective a condition to receiving an award. Instead, the Compensation Committee and the independent directors use their business judgment to determine what it believes is an appropriate PIP award to recognize BD s performance and the executive s contribution to that performance.

35

#### **Funding for awards**

Available funding for PIP awards is determined by a formula. For each measure, the Compensation Committee reviews how BD performed against the target goal set by the Compensation Committee in order to arrive at a performance factor for that measure.

For every 1% of performance above target, funding with respect to that measure is increased 5% above target funding (up to a maximum of 150%), and for every 1% below target, funding decreases 2.5% below target funding.

Performance below 90% of target for revenues or Adjusted EPS results in no funding for that particular measure, while performance below 80% of the free cash flow target results in no funding for that measure.

The performance factors for the three measures are then weighted to arrive at an overall funding factor. Actual awards, as a percentage of a named executive officer starget, may be more or less than the overall funding factor.

When comparing BD s operating results to the performance targets, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust BD s results to account for unbudgeted acquisitions and divestitures during the year, and for other unbudgeted items that are not considered part of our ordinary operations. This ensures that business decisions are made based on what management believes is in the best interests of BD, rather than the possible effects on compensation. It also ensures that our executives are not unfairly penalized by or rewarded for these types of events.

#### **Equity Compensation Awards**

We use a mix of equity compensation vehicles to promote the objectives of our program.

SARs reward executives for the creation of shareholder value over the term of the award.

Performance Units measure BD s performance over a three-year period and are intended to reward sustained long-term financial performance.

**TVUs**, the smallest portion of equity compensation, are used to reduce the volatility in amounts realized from equity compensation that can arise when purely performance-based equity compensation is used.

Because they are equity-based and subject to vesting, each award also serves to align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders and to promote executive retention.

# Award values

The Compensation Committee determines the total grant date dollar value of the equity compensation to be paid to a named executive officer. SAR, Performance Unit and TVU awards are then made to the executive based on their estimated grant date values, with SARs and Performance Units each making up approximately 40% of the total award value, and TVUs the remaining 20%. The values given to equity compensation awards are only estimates and actual amounts realized from these awards may differ from these estimated values.

# Performance Unit payout formula

The performance measures used for the Performance Units are average annual ROIC and relative TSR, each weighted 50%. A payout factor for each measure is calculated to determine a final share payout, which can range anywhere from zero to 200% of target.

36

**ROIC**. The payout factor for ROIC performance is determined by a scale, with threshold performance set at 5% below the ROIC target (resulting in a 50% payout factor), and maximum performance set at 5% above target (resulting in a 200% payout factor). Performance below the threshold level results in a zero payout factor for the ROIC measure.

**Relative TSR**. The payout factor for relative TSR performance is determined by the following table:

BD s Percentile Rank	TSR Factor
385 <sup>th</sup>	200%
75 <sup>th</sup>	165%
50 <sup>th</sup>	100%
$25^{ m th}$	35%
Less than 25 <sup>th</sup>	0

In the event BD has a negative absolute TSR for the performance period, the factor assigned to relative TSR is capped at 100%, regardless of where BD s TSR ranks within the TSR Group. The Compensation Committee believes that in instances where BD has a negative absolute TSR, BD s executives should still be rewarded for superior relative TSR performance, but that it is appropriate that the payout be limited.

Similar to the PIP, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust BD s average ROIC performance for acquisitions and divestitures and other unbudgeted items not considered part of our ordinary operations, and to remove companies from the TSR Group, or adjust the TSR of companies within the TSR Group or of BD, to account for acquisitions or mergers or other significant events, such as a changes in capital structure.

#### 2016 Compensation Actions

Below is a discussion of compensation actions taken in 2016 with respect to the named executive officers.

# Salary adjustments

The base salaries of the named executive officers are reviewed each November, and any adjustments go into effect on January 1 of the following calendar year. Effective January 1, 2016, Mr. Forlenza s salary was increased from \$1,060,000 to \$1,120,000 in order to keep his salary competitive with the median of the Comparison Group. The other named executive officers received salary increases that were in line with increases at BD generally, except that Mr. Polen received an increase of 38% to align his salary more closely to the market given his expanded role in the company, reporting directly to our CEO.

#### PIP awards

The threshold performance, target performance and maximum performance for each metric under the PIP for 2016, along with BD s adjusted performance during the year, are set forth below.

	Range of Performance					Weighted
					Percentage	Performance
	36	TD	24	Adjusted	of Target	Factor
	Minimum	Target	Maximum	Performance	Achieved	(rounded)
Adjusted EPS (50%)	\$ 7.56	\$ 8.40	\$ 9.24	\$ 8.65	102.9%	57%
Revenues (25%) (in millions)	\$ 11,432	\$ 12,702	\$ 13,972	\$ 12,659	99.7%	25%
Free cash flow as % of sales (25%)	11.92%	14.9%	16.39%	15.8%	106.0%	33%
Total						115%

Table of Contents 60

37

The Adjusted EPS target represents an increase of 17.3% over 2015 EPS, as adjusted, while the revenue target represent an increase of 23.5% over 2015 revenues. The increase in these targets over our 2015 results is attributable, in part, to the inclusion of CareFusion for the full 2016 fiscal year, compared to only part of 2015. The free cash flow as a percentage sales target represents a 10% increase over the 2015 target. Our reported EPS for 2016 was \$4.49, our reported revenues were \$12,483, and our reported free cash flow as a percentage of revenues was 14.7%.

In reviewing BD s 2016 performance, the Compensation Committee made adjustments for unbudgeted items, including divestitures, non-cash pension settlement charges and other matters, that occurred during 2016. The Compensation Committee made these adjustments to eliminate items that are not considered part of BD s ordinary operations, so that the PIP funding factor appropriately reflected BD s underlying operating results. These adjustments are consistent with how we reported our operating results to the financial community. Adjustments were also made for the impact of foreign currency fluctuations in excess of what was budgeted when the targets were set, again so that only BD s underlying performance is considered in determining PIP awards. The reconciliations on Appendix A provide additional detail on the adjustments made by the Compensation Committee.

The following table shows the PIP awards granted for 2016. These awards are also set forth in the Summary Compensation Table on page 42 under the heading Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.

	Target	Actual	
	Incentive	Incentive	
Name	Award	Award	
Vincent A. Forlenza	\$ 1,568,000	\$ 2,000,000	
Christopher R. Reidy	642,229	886,300	
Alexandre Conroy	423,379	486,886	
Thomas Polen	560,000	748,600	
Ellen R. Strahlman, M.D.	537,326	617,900	
William A. Kozy	356,268	519,700	

Based on BD s results, the funding factor under the PIP was 115%. Certain named executive officers received awards that, as a percentage of their award target, exceeded the payout factor, as discussed below.

Mr. Forlenza s award reflects his overall leadership in the transformation of the new BD during 2016. The award recognizes Mr. Forlenza for his role in BD s continued strong financial performance, the significant progress made integrating CareFusion into BD, the strengthening of our business portfolio during the year through strategic divestitures, the refining of our business strategy in life sciences, the organizational changes made during the year to enhance innovation and operating effectiveness, and improvements in critical organizational capabilities, such as marketing, R&D and leadership development.

Mr. Reidy s award recognizes his role in the cost synergies realized from the CareFusion integration, which will exceed our original estimates, as well as important leadership provided on other organizational aspects of the integration, including site integration and associate benefits. The award also recognizes Mr. Reidy s leadership in a number of significant efficiency initiatives, including functional transformations in the areas of finance, IT and shared service centers.

Mr. Polen s award reflects his leadership of the Medical segment, which exceeded our performance expectations for the year despite certain adverse macroeconomic factors. The award also recognizes Mr. Polen s efforts in integrating CareFusion s operations into the Medical segment, and his role in driving significant progress during the year on a number of important growth initiatives.

Mr. Kozy s award reflects the critical role he played during the year with respect to the CareFusion integration, particularly in the areas of corporate culture and organizational structure, and ensuring a successful transition of his role following his departure from BD.

Equity compensation awards