

INNOSPEC INC.
Form DEF 14A
March 17, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

INNOSPEC INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

AND

PROXY STATEMENT

2017

March 17, 2017

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

It is with great pleasure that we invite you to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 10, 2017 at 10.00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time at the offices of Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP, 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Floor, New York, NY 10019.

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 10, 2017:

We are continuing to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to stockholders via the internet. This electronic process gives you fast, convenient access to materials, reduces impact on the environment and reduces our printing and mailing costs. As you have received a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials ("Notice") by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request one. If you would still like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials which are included in the Notice.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, your vote on matters to be acted upon at the meeting, is important to us. We hope that you will vote by telephone or via the internet by following the instructions on your Notice or proxy card. Alternatively, if you have requested written proxy materials, you may vote by signing, dating and returning your proxy card. If you are a holder of record and you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your voting choices, your proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations as set out in the Proxy Statement.

If you are a beneficial holder of our stock (i.e. with shares held in "street name"), we urge you to give voting instructions to your broker so that your vote can be counted. This is important because brokers are not able to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of stock.

If you have any questions concerning the meeting, please contact Mr. David E. Williams, Innospec's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at 303-792-5554 or david.e.williams@innospecinc.com.

Thank you for your continued support. We look forward to seeing those of you who will be able to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Sincerely,

Patrick S. Williams

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

INNOSPEC INC.

8310 South Valley Highway, Suite 350

Englewood, CO 80112

NOTICE OF 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date and time	Wednesday, May 10, 2017, 10.00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time
Place	At the offices of Smith, Gambrell & Russell LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 21 st Floor New York NY 10019 U.S.
Proposals	Proposal 1 Re-election of two class I directors Proposal 2 Advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation Proposal 3 Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation Proposal 4 Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm.
Record Date	March 13, 2017
To obtain Proxy Materials	Internet www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record) www.proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Telephone 1-866-641-4276 (for stockholders of record) 1-800-579-1639 (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Email investorvote@computershare.com with Proxy Materials Innospec Inc. in the subject line (for stockholders of record) sendmaterial@proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name)
Voting Methods	Internet www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record) www.proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Telephone Use the toll-free number shown on the proxy card Written ballot Complete and return a proxy card (if you received a paper copy) In person Attend and vote at the meeting

Stockholders may also transact any other business properly brought before the meeting. At this time, the Board of Directors knows of no other proposals or matters to be presented.

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

On behalf of the Board of Directors:

David E. Williams

Vice President, General Counsel,

Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary

March 17, 2017

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING</u>	1
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	8
<u>PROPOSAL 1: RE-ELECTION OF TWO CLASS I DIRECTORS</u>	13
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	14
<u>PROPOSAL 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	17
<u>PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	18
<u>PROPOSAL 4: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	19
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	20
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	22
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	37
<u>COMPENSATION TABLES</u>	38
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS</u>	55
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS APPROVAL POLICY</u>	55
<u>INFORMATION REGARDING THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	55
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	56
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	58
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	60
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	61
<u>SOLICITATION AND EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION</u>	61
<u>ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS</u>	61
<u>STOCKHOLDERS' PROPOSALS FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	62
<u>PROXY CARD</u>	

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING

Why did you send me the Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials?

We sent you the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) because the Board of Directors of Innospec Inc. (Innospec or the Corporation) is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held on Wednesday, May 10, 2017 at 10.00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, at the offices of Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP, 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Floor, New York, NY 10019.

The Proxy Statement summarizes the information you need to vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You do not need to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person to vote your stock. You may simply vote by telephone, over the internet, or, if you have requested written proxy materials, by completing, signing and returning the accompanying proxy card.

Innospec intends to commence distribution of the Notice to stockholders on or about March 23, 2017.

What proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Stockholders are being asked to consider and vote on four proposals at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The following is a summary of the proposals and the voting recommendations of the Board:

SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

Proposal	How the Board Recommends You Vote
1 Re-election of two class I directors	FOR
2 Advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation	EVERY 1 YEAR
3 Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation	FOR
4 Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm	FOR

Are proxy materials available on the internet?

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 10, 2017.

Yes. Our Proxy Statement, including proxy card, for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and our 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at <http://www.envisionreports.com/iosp> for stockholders of record and <http://www.proxyvote.com> for beneficial holders.

Table of Contents

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

March 13, 2017 is the record date for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If you owned Innospec Common Stock at the close of business on March 13, 2017, you are entitled to vote. On this record date, we had 24,552,988 of our Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Our Common Stock is our only class of voting stock.

How many votes do I have?

You have one vote for each share of Common Stock that you owned at the close of business on March 13, 2017. Your Notice indicates the number of shares of Common Stock you are entitled to vote.

What is the difference between holding stock as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Although many stockholders are the record holders of their stock, others hold their stock beneficially, which means it is held through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in the stockholder's own name. As summarized below, there are some differences between stock held of record and that owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record

If your shares of Common Stock are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record and the Notice is being sent to you directly at your address of record. As the stockholder of record you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Innospec or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You may vote by telephone or via the internet as described below under the heading *Information about the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?*

Beneficial Owner

If your Common Stock is held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of stock held in street name and our proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your stock and are also invited to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may only vote these shares in person at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders if you follow the instructions described below under the heading *Information about the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - How do I vote in person at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?* Your broker or nominee has provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing your broker or nominee as to how to vote your stock. You may also vote by telephone or via the internet by following your broker or other nominee's directions as described below under the heading *Information about the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?*

How do I vote by proxy if I am a stockholder of record?

If you are a stockholder of record and you properly fill in your proxy card and it is received by us in time to vote, or you vote by internet or telephone, your proxy (i.e. one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your stock as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card (including electronic signature in the case of internet or telephonic voting), but do not make specific choices, your proxy will vote your stock as recommended by the Board as follows:

🌑 **FOR** the re-election of two class I directors;

Table of Contents

🌑: **Every 1YR** for the approval, on an advisory basis, of the frequency for conducting the advisory vote on executive compensation;

🌑: **FOR** the approval, on an advisory basis, of executive compensation;

🌑: **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm.

If any other matter is presented at the meeting, your vote will be cast in accordance with the best judgment of the individuals named on your proxy card. As of the date of printing this Proxy Statement, we know of no such other matters that need to be acted on at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder?

If you are a beneficial owner of stock, your broker will communicate with you directly and ask you how you want your stock to be voted. If you give the broker voting instructions, the broker will vote your stock as you direct. If you do not give the broker voting instructions, one of two things can happen, depending on the type of proposal in question. Brokers have discretionary power to vote your stock with respect to routine matters, but they do not have discretionary power to vote your stock on non-routine matters. Brokers holding stock beneficially owned by their clients do not have the ability to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of the stock because these are considered non-routine matters. **It is therefore important that you provide voting instructions to your broker if your shares of Common Stock are held beneficially through a broker so that your vote with respect to directors and executive compensation, and any other matter treated as non-routine, is counted.**

May I vote by telephone or via the internet?

Yes, you may vote by telephone or via the internet. We encourage you to do so because your vote will be tabulated faster than if you mailed it. Please note the following depending on whether you are a stockholder of record or a beneficial owner whose shares are held by a bank or broker in street name.

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote electronically through the internet at www.envisionreports.com/iosp or by telephone Toll Free 1-800-652-8683 within U.S.A., U.S. Territories and Canada.

If you are a beneficial owner and hold your stock in street name, you may vote electronically through the internet at www.proxyvote.com and you should contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

Whether or not you plan to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, we urge you to vote. Doing so by returning the proxy card or voting by telephone or via the internet will not affect your ultimate right to attend and vote in person.

Proxies submitted by the internet or telephone must be received by 1.00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 10, 2017.

May I revoke my proxy?

Yes. If you change your mind after you vote, you may revoke your proxy by following any of the procedures described below. To revoke your proxy:

🌑: Send in another signed proxy with a later date or resubmit your vote by telephone or the internet;

Table of Contents

🌑 Send a letter revoking your proxy to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S.; or

🌑 Attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and vote in person.

If you wish to revoke your proxy, you must do so sufficiently in advance to permit the necessary examination and tabulation of the subsequent proxy or revocation before the vote is taken.

How do I vote in person at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may attend the meeting and vote your shares in person. If you choose to do so, please bring your Notice, or proxy card showing your control number and proof of identification.

If you are the beneficial owner of stock held in street name, you may vote your shares in person only if you obtain a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. To do so, you must bring to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders proof of identification, an account statement or letter from the broker, bank or other nominee indicating that you are the owner of the stock and a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. The account statement or letter must show that you were the beneficial owner of the stock on March 13, 2017.

Even if you plan to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, Innospec recommends that you vote your stock in advance by internet or telephone, or by returning the accompanying proxy card, as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

What votes need to be present to hold the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

To have a quorum for our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote need to be present in person or by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes are treated as present and entitled to vote and are counted in the quorum.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

For Proposal 1, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by holders of all stock entitled to vote on such proposal at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is required (meaning that the nominees for Innospec Director who receive the highest number of shares voted for their election are elected). While directors are elected by a plurality vote, we have a majority vote director resignation policy, as described below.

As Proposals 2 and 3 are advisory votes, there is no specified vote requirement for approval. However, with respect to Proposal 2, Innospec will consider that stockholders have selected, on an advisory basis, whichever frequency receives the highest number of votes cast (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal. And, with respect to Proposal 3, Innospec will consider that the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal reflects the advice of the stockholders.

Table of Contents

For Proposal 4, the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is required.

Proposal		Vote Required	Broker Discretionary Voting Allowed
Proposal 1	Re-election of two class I directors	Plurality of votes of shares present	No
Proposal 2	Advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation	As an advisory vote, there is no specified requirement for approval. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved on an advisory basis the frequency of the vote on executive compensation that receives the highest number of votes cast in person by proxy.	No
Proposal 3	Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation	As an advisory vote, there is no specified requirement for approval. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy.	No
Proposal 4	Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm	Majority of stock present in person or by proxy	Yes

What is our Majority Vote Director Resignation Policy?

According to the procedure set forth in the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director (including incumbent directors) who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for such election must tender his or her resignation promptly to the Board of Directors following certification of the stockholder vote. Upon receipt of the resignation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept it. The Board of Directors will act on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation within 120 days following certification of the stockholder vote. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors may consider such factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a Director's resignation. Thereafter, the Board will promptly disclose its decision whether to accept the Director's resignation offer (and the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC. This resignation policy does not apply to contested director elections.

How are votes counted?

In the election of Innospec Directors, your vote may be cast FOR each of the nominees or your vote may be WITHHELD with respect to one or more of the nominees. If you withhold authority to vote with respect to one or more nominees for Innospec Director, your vote will have no effect on the election of such nominees.

Table of Contents

Your vote may be cast 1 YEAR , 2 YEARS , 3 YEARS or ABSTAIN for the advisory approval of the frequency of the approval of executive compensation and FOR , AGAINST or ABSTAIN for the advisory approval of executive compensation and for the ratification of the appointment of Innospec's independent registered public accounting firm.

If you sign (including electronic confirmations in the case of internet or telephone voting) your proxy card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board. If you sign (including electronic confirmation in the case of internet or telephone voting) your broker voting instruction card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in the broker's discretion only with respect to routine matters but will not be voted with respect to non-routine matters. As described in *How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder?* election of directors and executive compensation are considered non-routine matters. We will appoint one or more inspectors of election to count votes cast in person or by proxy.

What is the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions?

A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding stock for a beneficial owner does not or cannot vote on a particular proposal because the broker does not have discretionary voting power for that particular proposal and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Common Stock owned by stockholders electing to abstain from voting with respect to any proposal will be counted towards the presence of a quorum. Common stock beneficially owned and voted by the beneficiary through a broker will be counted towards the presence of a quorum, even if there are broker non-votes with respect to some proposals, as long as the broker votes on at least one non-routine proposal.

Abstentions and instructions to withhold votes with respect to any nominee for director election (which uses a plurality standard) will result in those nominees receiving fewer votes but will not count as votes against the nominee. Broker non-votes will not be considered present and entitled to vote with respect to elections of directors and therefore will have no direct effect on the outcome of election of directors. Abstentions will be treated as present and entitled to vote with respect to Proposals 2, 3 and 4 and, therefore, will have the effect of votes against these proposals. Broker non-votes will have no direct effect on the outcome of these proposals.

What happens if the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is adjourned or postponed?

Your proxy will still be effective and will be voted at the rescheduled 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

Where can I find the voting results?

Final voting results will be disclosed in a Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC, within four business days after the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available. You can find the Form 8-K on our website at www.innospecinc.com.

Will Innospec's independent accountants attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

A representative of KPMG Audit Plc, our independent accountants, will attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They will also be available to answer questions at the meeting.

Table of Contents

Do Directors attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that Directors are expected to attend our annual meetings of stockholders and any special meeting of stockholders called by Innospec to consider extraordinary business transactions. Unless they are unable to do so as a result of special circumstances, Directors are encouraged to attend all other special meetings of stockholders called by Innospec. All of our Directors then in office attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders that was held on May 4, 2016.

Can a stockholder or interested person communicate directly with our Board? If so, how?

Any stockholder and other interested person who may desire to contact the Chairman or any of the Directors of Innospec may do so via the following e-mail address: *contact.board@innospecinc.com*, or by writing to them at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. The Corporate Secretary or the Assistant General Counsel will review communications received electronically and forward them to the addressee of the communication. The Corporate Secretary will review the communications received by mail or courier and forward to the appropriate addressee.

Whom should I call if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, voting or directions to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, please contact Mr. David E. Williams, Innospec's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, at 303-792-5554 or at *david.e.williams@innospecinc.com*.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Principles

Our Board of Directors believes that adherence to sound corporate governance policies and practices is important in ensuring that the Corporation is governed and managed with the highest standards of responsibility, ethics and integrity and in the best interests of the stockholders. We have adopted a set of Corporate Governance Principles intended to reflect a set of core values that provide the foundation for our governance and management systems and our interactions with others. The Board of Directors believes that corporate governance is an evolving process and periodically reviews and updates the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A current copy of those principles can be found on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance, or by writing to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S.

Corporation's Leadership Structure

The Board believes that the roles of Chairman of the Board (a non-executive position) and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) should remain separate so as to enable the Board to provide effective guidance to management and promote oversight and accountability of management. This separation preserves the distinction between the management and oversight functions, maintaining the responsibility of management to help develop corporate strategy and the responsibility of the Board to review and provide input on corporate strategy. To fulfil the role, the Chairman of the Board, among other things: creates and maintains an effective working relationship between the Board and the Corporation's management; provides the CEO with on-going direction as to current Board needs, interests, views and expectations; and ensures that the Board agenda is appropriately directed to the matters of greatest importance to the Corporation.

The duties of the non-executive Chairman of the Board include:

- 🌑 presiding over all meetings of the Board;
- 🌑 preparing the agenda for Board meetings in consultation with the CEO, CFO and other members of the Board;
- 🌑 calling and presiding over meetings of the independent Directors;
- 🌑 co-ordinating periodic review of management's strategic plan for the Corporation;
- 🌑 after consulting with other Board members and the CEO, making recommendations to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as to the membership of various Board Committees and Committee Chairs;
- 🌑 managing the Board's process for Director self-assessment and evaluation of the Board;
- 🌑 presiding over all meetings of stockholders;
- 🌑 encouraging active participation by each member of the Board; and
- 🌑 performing such other duties and services as the Board may require.

The Board's Role in Risk Management

The Board's role in risk oversight and management is consistent with the Corporation's leadership structure, with the CEO and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing the Corporation's risk exposure, and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with these efforts. Risk management is an integral part of Board and committee deliberations throughout the year. As a part of its general oversight function, the Board monitors and evaluates how management operates the Corporation. When making any decisions and approving strategies the Board considers, among other things, the risks and exposure the Corporation faces, including operational and regulatory risks, their relative

Table of Contents

magnitude and management’s plan for mitigating these risks. The Audit Committee considers risk issues associated with the Corporation’s overall financial reporting, disclosure process and financial compliance. In addition to its regularly scheduled meetings, the Audit Committee meets with the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the Head of Business Assurance and the independent registered public accounting firm in executive sessions at least quarterly. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee discusses legal and compliance risks and issues at its regularly scheduled meetings and meets with the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary during such meetings, including with respect to promoting compliance with anti-corruption and other important applicable laws. The Audit Committee and the Board annually review an assessment of the primary operational and regulatory risks facing the Corporation, their relative magnitude and management’s plan for mitigating these risks. In addition, the Board discusses risks related to the Corporation’s business strategy at periodic strategic planning meetings and at other meetings as appropriate.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors, after considering broadly all relevant facts and circumstances of which it is aware, including those matters set forth under Information about the Executive Officers’ Family Relationships and under Certain Other Transactions and Relationships , has determined that all of its non-executive members are independent within the meaning of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2) applicable on the date of this Proxy Statement.

We have also adopted standards for director independence that can be found on our web site www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Board has determined that each member of the Board, who served during 2016 and/or who currently serves, except for Mr. P. Williams, meets the independence standards described above. Mr. P. Williams is not treated as independent because, as President and CEO, he is an employee of the Corporation.

Executive Sessions of Independent and Non-Management Directors

Executive sessions of independent and non-management Directors are led by the Chairman. An executive session is held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and other sessions may be called by the Chairman at his discretion or at the request of the Board. There were four executive sessions of non-management Directors during the fiscal year 2016.

The Board will continue to monitor the standards for director independence established under applicable law or NASDAQ listing requirements and will ensure that the Corporation’s Corporate Governance Principles continue to be consistent with those standards.

Board Committees

The Board maintains the following committees to assist it in discharging its oversight responsibilities. The current membership of each committee is indicated under Board Committee Membership below:

	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	Member		Chair of Committee
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore		Member	Member
Mr. David F. Landless	Chair of Committee		
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield		Member	
Mr. Robert I. Paller			Member
Mr. Joachim Roeser	Member	Chair of Committee	

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written Audit Committee Charter, and is responsible for monitoring and overseeing the Corporation's internal controls and financial reporting process, the independent audit of the Corporation's consolidated financial statements by the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG Audit Plc, and the other responsibilities detailed in its Charter, including assisting the Board with its oversight of legal and regulatory compliance requirements. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website under Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Audit Committee members are Mr. Landless, Mr. Aldous and Mr. Roeser. Mr. Landless was appointed Chairman of the Audit Committee on May 4, 2016, when Mr. Hale, the previous Chairman, retired from the Board. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Audit Committee on February 15, 2005. Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Audit Committee on May 9, 2012.

Each of the members of the Audit Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ's Marketplace Rules.

All Audit Committee members possess the required level of financial literacy and are independent for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Landless and Aldous qualify as Audit Committee Financial Experts, as such term is defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K, and meet the standard for financial expertise as required by NASDAQ. The Board made this determination based on Mr. Landless' qualification as a chartered management accountant and his experience as Group Finance Director of Bodycote plc and before that Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited and his direct experience as a Non-Executive Director and Audit Committee Chair of Luxfer Holdings plc and Mr. Aldous' qualification as a chartered accountant and his previous role as a partner and Chief Executive Officer of Robson Rhodes LLP, Chartered Accountants and partner of Grant Thornton LLP, Chartered Accountants.

The Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Corporation's Business Assurance group also reports directly to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee meets with management and the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm prior to the filing of the CEO and CFO's certifications with the SEC to receive information concerning, among other things, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls.

Any stockholder or employee may submit at any time a good faith complaint regarding any questionable accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters concerning the Corporation without fear of dismissal or retaliation of any kind. Employees are encouraged to report their concerns and complaints to the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary or to the Audit Committee. Confidential, anonymous reports may be made by writing to: Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. The Audit Committee has adopted a Complaint Monitoring Procedure Policy to enable confidential and anonymous reporting to the Audit Committee. All complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be retained in accordance with the Corporation's document retention policy.

The Corporation limits the number of Audit Committees of SEC reporting companies on which its Audit Committee members may serve to three or less.

The Audit Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee operates under a written Compensation Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website under "Corporate Governance" at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee members are Mr. Roeser, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Padfield. The Compensation Committee reviews management compensation programs, recommends compensation terms and agreements for senior Executive Officers to the Board for Board approval, reviews changes in compensation for senior Executive Officers and Non-Executive Directors ("NEDs") and administers the Corporation's stock option plans. Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Compensation Committee on July 28, 2008, and became its Chairman on October 1, 2009. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Committee on June 1, 2010. Mr. Padfield became a member of the Committee upon his appointment on December 1, 2012.

Each of the Compensation Committee members meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Compensation Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2016 no Compensation Committee members were officers or employees of the Corporation, were former officers of the Corporation or were engaged in transactions with a related person that would be required to be disclosed by relevant SEC rules.

In addition, during 2016 none of the Corporation's Executive Officers served as directors or board committee members of other entities where any executive officers served as a Director of the Corporation or as a member of any of the Corporation's Board Committees.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to identify individuals qualified to become Board members consistent with criteria approved by the Board, recommend to the Board the persons to be nominated by the Board for election as Directors at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, develop and recommend to the Board a set of corporate governance principles and oversee the evaluation of the Board and management. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors the work of Legal and Compliance in ensuring observance of those principles.

The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Mr. Aldous, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Paller. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on July 28, 2008, as its Chairman. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee effective May 9, 2012. Mr. Paller originally served on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee between November 16, 2009 and April 26, 2013 and was subsequently re-appointed on February 17, 2015.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also plays an advisory role to the Board in helping shape the corporate governance policy of the Corporation which extends to involvement in promoting legal compliance by the Corporation, including through meetings and reviews with the Corporation's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary with respect to anti-corruption and other important laws that are applicable to the Corporation and its business, and providing an assessment of that review to the Board.

Table of Contents

Each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee operates under a written Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A current copy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers each person's judgment, experience, independence, understanding of our business or other related industries and such factors as the committee determines relative in light of the needs of the Board of Directors and the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board and whether vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers potential candidates for Director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. The Board has not built diversity into the nominating process as a specific category required for potential Director candidates. The recruitment specification for new Directors concentrates on candidates who are seasoned executive officers, with significant relevant experience, both at board level and within industry.

These candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and may be considered at any time during the year. The nominees for election at this year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders were recommended for nomination by NEDs of the Corporation.

The policy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder nominations for election to the Board as described in the Corporate Governance Guidelines which may be found on the Corporation's website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance. In order for any candidate to be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and if nominated, included in the Proxy Statement, such recommendation should be received no later than the deadline for submission of stockholder proposals. See Stockholders' Proposals for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Recommendations should be sent to the Corporate Secretary and should specify the nominee's name, qualification for Board membership and any other information required by the Corporation's Bylaws. All properly submitted stockholder proposals for Director Nominees received by the Corporate Secretary will be submitted to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for review and consideration. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider stockholder recommendations for Director candidates, but the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has no obligation to recommend such candidates.

Meetings and Attendance

In 2016, the full Board met seven times (including three times by conference call), the Audit Committee met eight times (including four times by conference call), the Compensation Committee met four times, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times. Directors are expected to attend all Board Meetings and meetings of Committees on which they serve. Directors are expected to attend all meetings of stockholders. All of the Directors attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. During the year ended December 31, 2016 each of the Directors attended, in person or by teleconference, all the meetings of the Board and meetings of Committees of the Board on which he served that were held while he was a member.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a Code of Ethics, violations of which may be reported to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Corporate Secretary. This Code of Ethics is intended to promote, among other things, honest and ethical conduct, full and accurate reporting and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

Copies of Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Committee Charters

Any stockholder who requires a copy of the Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines or any of the Board Committee charters may obtain one by writing to Mr. David E. Williams, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112, U.S. These documents can also be accessed via the Corporation's website at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance. The Corporation intends to disclose on this section of its website any amendments to, or waivers from, its Code of Ethics that are required to be publicly disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC or NASDAQ.

PROPOSAL 1 RE-ELECTION OF TWO CLASS I DIRECTORS

(Item 1 on the Proxy Card)

The first proposal to be voted on at the meeting is the re-election of two class I directors. The directors re-elected at this meeting will serve until the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board has nominated Mr. Hugh G.C. Aldous and Mr. Joachim Roeser, current class I directors, whose terms expire at the upcoming Annual Meeting of Stockholders, for re-election to the Board.

The Bylaws of the Corporation provide that the number of directors shall be not less than three nor more than twelve members, the exact number of which shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, and that the Board shall be divided into three classes, designated class I, class II and class III. Each class shall consist, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of Directors constituting the entire Board of Directors.

The Board recommends a vote FOR all nominees

If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the Board will either select a substitute nominee or reduce the size of the Board. If you have submitted a proxy and a substitute nominee is selected, your stock will be voted for the election of the substitute nominee.

The Board has no reason to believe that any nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve if elected.

According to the Bylaws, the above-named nominees will be elected to the Board on a plurality of the votes of the shares present (in person or by proxy) at the meeting and entitled to vote. However, as described above in more detail, the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines requires that any nominee, who receives more withheld votes than for votes, must submit a resignation which is subject to acceptance or rejection by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Biographical information about the nominees is included under INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS below.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following is biographical and other information about our current Directors, including the nominees for election at the 2017 annual meeting.

Class I Directors

Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous

Age: 72

Director since January 11, 2005

Committees: Nominating and Corporate Governance (Chair), Audit

Mr. Aldous currently serves as Chairman of SPL Guernsey ICC Limited, the umbrella company for a number of cells in Guernsey, all of which he also chairs (appointed December 2009). Mr. Aldous is also a Non-Executive Director of two London listed public companies; Elderstreet Venture Capital Trust plc (appointed March 2007) and Polar Capital Holdings plc, a parent company that controls an asset management business that includes two SEC registered entities (appointed July 2007). Mr. Aldous was a member of the U.K. Competition Commission from 1998 to 2001 and was appointed a U.K. Government Inspector of Companies several times between 1987 and 2003. He has authored several reports on corporate governance issues, has served as the audit committee chairperson for several public companies and currently chairs the audit committee of one public company and serves as a member of the audit committee of another (none of which are SEC listed companies). From 2007 - 2015 Mr. Aldous was Chairman of Capita Sinclair Henderson Limited, a company servicing the fund management industry and a subsidiary of the London listed Capita Group plc. From 2007 to 2010 Mr. Aldous was Executive Chairman of Melorio plc, a London quoted company in the training industry, which was sold to Pearson plc in July 2010. Mr. Aldous was for thirty two years a partner in RSM Robson Rhodes (Chartered Accountants) of which he was CEO from 1987 to 1997 and Grant Thornton UK LLP.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Aldous has a wealth of experience in financial reporting, in the financial services industry and in corporate governance generally which provides the Board with an executive and leadership perspective on the management, operations and financial reporting and accounting oversight of a public listed company. As an Inspector of Companies for the U.K. Government he spent many years investigating matters of corporate governance. He also has a great deal of experience of listed companies, particularly in the U.K.

Mr. Joachim Roeser

Age: 63

Director since January 1, 2008

Committees: Compensation (Chair), Audit

Mr. Roeser was the Chief Executive Officer of the Amber Chemical Group, a global specialty silicone producer, owned by Caledonia Investments plc. for eight years, until March 2014. In July 2014 he became a Senior Adviser of Beyond Capital Partners GmbH, a German private equity firm, focussing on mid-size and family companies. He has been a Senior Adviser of Trumont International, a mergers and acquisition advisory firm

Table of Contents

for the chemicals industry and allied sectors since July 2011. In May 2015 he was appointed a Non-Executive Director of Centroflora-CMS, a global active pharmaceutical ingredients manufacturer/distributor. He was Non-Executive Chairman of Fluidata Ltd, a business internet service provider company, from January 2007 until March 2015.

Mr. Roeser is a German national and has lived and worked in Belgium, France and Germany, as well as in the U.K. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Luzenac, a Rio Tinto subsidiary and the world's leading talc mining producer, for five years from May 2001 to April 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Roeser was European President of Ferro Corporation from April 1998 to December 2000. He started his career over thirty five years ago in the emulsifier and starch industry before joining ARCO Chemical in 1983, where he held a number of senior management positions, ultimately serving as Global Business Director, Styrene for two years. Mr. Roeser earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wuppertal.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Roeser has held senior positions within the chemicals industry for over thirty five years and brings a wealth of knowledge and expertise in this area as well as a global perspective due to his experience working across Europe, Asia, Australia as well as the U.S.

Class II Directors

Mr. Milton C. Blackmore

Age: 69

Director since June 1, 2010; Chairman since May 9, 2012

Committees: Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance

Mr. Blackmore serves as Non-Executive Chairman of the Corporation. Mr. Blackmore was most recently the Senior Vice President, Marketing and Product Supply for Sinclair Oil Corporation, one of the largest independent oil companies in the U.S., and served on their Board of Directors until his retirement in 2009, having previously held a number of senior marketing roles within the company. He was also Chairman of Sinclair Marketing Inc., the company's convenience store business. Before joining Sinclair in 1995, Mr. Blackmore was with Kerr-McGee Refining Corporation for twenty six years, progressing through a variety of accounting, marketing and general management positions, ultimately serving as General Manager, Branded Marketing for three years. Mr. Blackmore has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Panhandle State University in Oklahoma.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Blackmore has an in-depth knowledge of the chemical industry, particularly the oil sector and has held several senior positions during his career. He brings industry knowledge and marketing expertise to the Corporation.

Mr. Robert I. Paller

Age: 82

Director since November 1, 2009

Committees: Nominating and Corporate Governance (from February 17, 2015)

Mr. Paller has served on the board of numerous private companies and non-profit corporations for over forty years. He is currently a member of the Council of National Trustees for the National Jewish Medical and Research Center in Denver, Colorado. An attorney by profession, Mr. Paller has been a partner with Smith,

Table of Contents

Gambrell & Russell LLP since 1965 specializing in corporate law, particularly mergers and acquisitions and is currently Of Counsel to the firm. Mr. Paller has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of North Carolina and an LLB degree from Emory University.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Paller has a wealth of directorship experience, having served on various boards for over forty years. He also has many years of legal experience which will assist the board in their deliberations on many topics and is a valuable resource to the Corporation which operates in a highly regulated industry.

Class III Directors

Mr. David F. Landless

Age: 57

Director since January 1, 2016

Committees: Audit Committee (Chair with effect from May 4, 2016)

Mr. Landless served as the Group Finance Director for Bodycote plc, a U.K. listed company, which provides thermal processing services globally for a wide range of industries including aerospace, automotive, oil and gas and construction, from March 1999 to December 2016. He is a Non-Executive Director for Luxfer Holdings plc, a NYSE listed global materials technology company, and was appointed to this role in March 2013 and for Renold plc, a London Stock Exchange listed company that operates globally in the manufacture and supply of industrial chain and machinery transmission systems. Mr. Landless' early career includes fourteen years with Courtaulds plc, where he held a number of finance roles, ultimately serving as the Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Landless is a Chartered Management Accountant and has a Bachelor of Science degree in Management Sciences from the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology in the U.K.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Landless brings significant financial expertise and knowledge of financial reporting with his wealth of experience as a Finance Director during his career to date. Mr. Landless also has substantial experience in the chemicals, paint and engineering sectors.

Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield

Age: 61

Director since December 1, 2012

Committees: Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance (until February 17, 2015)

Mr. Padfield is currently a principal and Executive Vice President of Blackline Partners LLC, a closely held private equity and midstream logistics and terminal development company. He also serves on the Board of CAP Technologies, a private U.S. company that has developed and markets a ground breaking technology for cleaning and coating wire, rebar and plate steel. Prior to forming Blackline Partners, Mr. Padfield was a founding partner and Vice President for eleven years at U.S. Development Group LLC, an industry leading biofuel and crude oil terminal development company. Mr. Padfield's early career includes eighteen years at Shell Oil Company where he held a number of roles in marketing, engineering and product supply, ultimately serving as the Business Development and Acquisitions Manager for their terminal and pipeline business. Mr. Padfield has a degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Missouri.

Table of Contents

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Padfield has almost thirty years experience in the oil and gas logistics industry and his wealth of knowledge in this sector is a valuable resource to the Corporation.

Mr. Patrick S. Williams

Age: 52

Director since April 2, 2009

No Board Committees

Mr. P. Williams has served as Director, President and CEO of the Corporation since his appointment to this position on April 2, 2009. Prior to holding this position, Mr. P. Williams was Executive Vice President and President, Fuel Specialties of the Corporation from 2005 to 2009 and in addition assumed responsibility for the global Performance Chemicals business in 2008. He held a number of senior management and sales leadership positions in Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, latterly acting as the Chief Executive Officer of this business from 2004 to 2009. Before joining the predecessor company of Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, Starreon Corporation, in 1993, Mr. P. Williams established a number of businesses and currently holds equity positions in a small exploration and oil production company and a real estate business.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

As the only management representative on the Board, Mr. P. Williams provides an insider's perspective in board discussions about the business and strategic direction of the Corporation. Mr. P. Williams has particular experience in the Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals businesses and brings a wealth of knowledge to the Corporation.

PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(Item 2 on the Proxy Card)

Section 14A of the Exchange Act enables our stockholders to indicate how frequently we should seek an advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers (NEOs), as disclosed pursuant to the SEC's compensation disclosure rules, such as Proposal No. 3 of this proxy statement.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are therefore offering to our stockholders a non-binding, advisory vote on the frequency of executive compensation approval. By voting on this Proposal 2, stockholders may indicate whether they would prefer an advisory vote on NEO compensation once every one, two, or three years.

The advisory vote by the stockholders on frequency is distinct from the advisory vote on the compensation of our NEOs. This proposal deals with the issue of how frequently an advisory vote on compensation should be presented to our stockholders and, in this regard, we are soliciting your advice on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation of our Named Executive Officers be submitted to shareholders for an advisory vote:

- 1) every year;

Table of Contents

2) every two years; or

3) every three years.

You may vote for one of these three alternatives or you may abstain from making a choice.

After careful consideration of this Proposal, the Board has determined that an advisory vote on executive compensation that occurs every year is the most appropriate for Innospec and therefore the Board recommends that you vote for a one year interval for the advisory vote on executive compensation.

In formulating its recommendation, the Board considered that an annual advisory vote on executive compensation would allow our stockholders to provide us with their direct input on our compensation philosophy, policies and practices as disclosed in the Proxy Statement and would be the most effective timescale for the Corporation to understand and respond to stockholder feedback.

We understand that our stockholders may have different views as to what is the best approach for the Corporation, and we look forward to hearing from our stockholders on this Proposal. As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Corporation. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved the frequency of the vote on executive compensation on an advisory basis that receives the highest number of votes cast in person or by proxy. The Board values the opinions that stockholders express through their votes and will consider the outcome of the vote when deciding how frequently the Corporation will conduct its advisory vote on executive compensation, although the Board may decide that it is in the best interests of our stockholders and Innospec to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation on a different frequency than the option that receives the most votes from our stockholders.

The Board recommends a vote for the option of EVERY YEAR as the frequency with which its stockholders are provided an advisory vote on executive compensation as described in this Proxy Statement. Note: Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors regarding Proposal No. 2.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(Item 3 on the Proxy Card)

Section 14A of the Exchange Act enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our Executive Officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table which appears later in this Proxy Statement (Named Executive Officers or NEOs) as disclosed in this Proxy Statement including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Tables and related material, in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC. In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are offering to our stockholders a non-binding, advisory vote on 2016 compensation for the Named Executive Officers, including the compensation of our CEO.

The Corporation's goal for its executive compensation program is to attract, motivate and retain a talented, highly qualified team of executives who will provide leadership for the Corporation's success in the competitive global markets the Corporation operates in. The Corporation seeks to accomplish this goal in a way that is aligned with the long-term interests of the Corporation's stockholders. The Corporation believes that its executive compensation program is strongly aligned with the long-term interests of its stockholders as it is competitive with the market, includes both short and long-term awards and is performance based, providing a strong link between executive compensation and the performance of the Corporation.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee continually reviews the compensation programs for our NEOs to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current market practices. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 22 of this Proxy Statement describes the Corporation's executive compensation program in more detail.

We believe that our executive compensation programs are structured in the best manner possible to support the Corporation and our business objectives. We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our NEO compensation as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our NEOs' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we will ask our stockholders to vote **FOR** the following resolution at the Annual General Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Corporation's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related material disclosed in this Proxy Statement is hereby **APPROVED**.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Corporation. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy. The Compensation Committee values the opinions that stockholders express through their votes and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

The Board recommends a vote **FOR the approval of our 2016 Named Executive Officer Compensation as described in this Proxy Statement.** Note: Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors regarding Proposal No. 3.

PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(Item 4 on the Proxy Card)

The Audit Committee has appointed the accounting firm KPMG Audit Plc to serve as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the 2017 fiscal year to examine the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017 and to perform other appropriate accounting services.

KPMG Audit Plc also served as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011 fiscal years.

A representative of KPMG Audit Plc is expected to be present at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to respond to questions and to make a statement if such representative desires to do so.

Although current law, rules, and regulations, as well as the charter of the Audit Committee, require the Audit Committee to engage, retain, and supervise the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, the Board considers the selection of such firm to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the selection of KPMG Audit Plc for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. In the event that our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, it will be considered a recommendation.

Table of Contents

to the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee to consider the selection of a different firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may in its discretion select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

See also Audit Committee Report, Principal Accountant Fees and Services, and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures below for additional information.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of KPMG Audit Plc as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Dr. Philip J. Boon

Age: 57

Executive Officer since June 1, 2009

Dr. Boon was appointed as Chief Operating Officer effective November 2015. In this role, Dr. Boon has direct responsibility for the global Fuel Specialties business as well as an overseeing role with our global Personal Care and Oilfield Specialties businesses and has a key role in the strategic development of Innospec. Prior to this, Dr. Boon was the Executive Vice President, Business Operations from June 2009 and was responsible for all our businesses in Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA). Dr. Boon joined the company in 1997 and has held various senior management positions covering most operational aspects of the business. He has over 25 years international experience in the specialty chemicals industry and previously held positions with Ciba Geigy and FMC in the USA and Europe. He has a PhD in Chemistry from Leicester University.

Mr. Ian P. Cleminson

Age: 51

Executive Officer since July 3, 2006

Mr. Cleminson serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) to the Corporation, having joined it in February 2002. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Cleminson was Financial Controller for the Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals business units within the Corporation. He joined the Corporation from BASF plc where, between 1999 and 2002, he served as Financial Controller of their Superabsorbants division. Previously, he worked as an accountant in private practice since 1989.

Dr. Catherine Hessner

Age: 58

Executive Officer since August 12, 2003

Dr. Hessner serves as Senior Vice President, Human Resources (SVP, HR) of the Corporation, having joined it in March 2003. Prior to joining the Corporation, she served as European Human Resources Director for Nova Chemicals, a U.S. commodity chemicals company. From 1995 to 1999, Dr. Hessner served as European HR Director, based in the U.K., for Anheuser-Busch, the U.S. brewing Corporation and, prior to that, spent nine years with various divisions of Mars Incorporated in a variety of human resources and general business roles.

Table of Contents

Dr. Ian M. McRobbie

Age: 68

Executive Officer since May 7, 2002

Dr. McRobbie serves as Senior Vice President, Research and Technology, having joined the Corporation in January 2002. Between 1989 and 2002 he was Technical Director of A H Marks and Company Limited, a privately owned U.K. chemical company operating in agrochemical and specialty chemical markets. Prior to this, he worked in senior research and manufacturing roles for Seal Sands Chemical Co. Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Hexcel Corporation based in California) and BTP plc (now part of Clariant).

Mr. Brian R. Watt

Age: 58

Executive Officer since January 1, 2010

Mr. Watt was appointed as Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs on January 1, 2010. Mr. Watt has significant experience in the chemicals industry. He has held commercial positions in Shell, ICI, Avecia and Astra Zeneca. Mr. Watt joined the Corporation as Mergers and Acquisitions Manager in 2001 and latterly he held positions in both the Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties business units before taking up his current role.

Mr. David E. Williams

Age: 64

Executive Officer since September 17, 2009

Mr. D. Williams was appointed as Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer in September 2009. In December 2011, Mr. D. Williams was also appointed as Corporate Secretary. Before joining the Corporation, Mr. D. Williams worked as an attorney with MarkWest Energy Partners, LP, in Denver where he was responsible for commercial and regulatory legal matters. He has over thirty years' experience as an attorney, covering a broad range of legal matters. Mr. D. Williams has a law degree from the University of Louisville, Brandeis School of Law, and an undergraduate degree in Management from Park University.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the persons referred to in the sections INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OR INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS above.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to explain the executive compensation philosophy and programs and describes the material elements of compensation for 2016 for the individuals listed in the Summary Compensation Table as our NEOs. The tables following the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contain specific information on the compensation awarded to or earned by the NEOs in 2016.

At the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Corporation conducted a non-binding advisory vote on its executive compensation. At that meeting, approximately 99% of the stock present and entitled to vote on the proposal voted to Approve executive compensation. The Compensation Committee takes the outcome of the vote into consideration when reviewing its executive compensation programs and as the advisory vote approved the compensation, no changes or modifications to the executive compensation programs or to the compensation of any of the NEOs have been made as a result of the advisory vote, although the Compensation Committee has made certain modifications and refinements as part of its regular review process, as discussed herein.

Compensation Philosophy and Overall Objectives

The compensation philosophy of the Corporation is to link executive compensation to continuous improvement in corporate performance and increases in stockholder value, while at the same time to allow the Corporation to attract and retain the executive talent required to successfully manage our business. The overall compensation program is designed to motivate our employees to achieve business objectives and maximize their long-term commitment to our success. The Corporation aims to achieve this by providing compensation elements that align Executive Officers' interests with stockholder value and achievement of our long-term strategies within the framework of our overall principles of good corporate governance.

The goals of the Corporation's executive compensation programs are to:

🌑 Establish pay levels that are necessary to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers in light of the overall competitiveness of the market for high quality executive talent and the Corporation's unique business profile;

🌑 Recognize superior individual performance, new responsibilities and new positions within the Corporation;

🌑 Balance short-term and long-term compensation to complement the Corporation's annual and long-term business objectives and strategy and to encourage executive performance in the fulfillment of those objectives and strategy;

🌑 Provide variable compensation opportunities linked to the Corporation's performance;

🌑 Encourage ownership of the Corporation's stock by Executive Officers;

🌑 Align Executive Officer compensation with the interests of stockholders; and

🌑 Reward achievement of exceptional performance.

Table of Contents

The Corporation regularly reviews its executive compensation programs to ensure that each component is competitive, and provides a balance between fixed elements of pay and performance related elements. No element of compensation is driven by tax, accounting or regulatory considerations. Further information on each of the key components of compensation is given below.

Role of the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the Corporation's compensation programs and practices for NEOs and other key Executive Officers and Directors. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves compensation for our Executive Officers, including salary, incentive programs, stock-based awards and compensation, retirement plans, perquisites and supplemental benefits, employment agreements, severance arrangements, change in control arrangements and other executive compensation matters. Advice to the Compensation Committee is provided by Mr. Dion Read, an independent compensation consultant, who has significant experience in executive compensation, having worked for Hay Group and Watson Wyatt (now Willis Towers Watson) in this area. Mr. Read was retained by the Compensation Committee and meets with the Committee at least annually and provides advice at other times as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. Any other work undertaken by Mr. Read for the Corporation must be approved by the Compensation Committee. In 2016, Mr. Read did not perform any additional work for the Corporation. The Compensation Committee has conducted an assessment of the independence of Mr. Read and has determined that he does not have any conflict of interest.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves compensation for our Non-Employee Directors (NEDs) annually, including retainers, fees, stock-based awards and other compensation and expense items. This review is discussed under the Director Compensation section of this Proxy Statement.

The processes and procedures for the Compensation Committee oversight of compensation programs are discussed in the Corporate Governance section of this Proxy Statement.

Role of the Chief Executive Officer and Other Executive Officers

The CEO attends Compensation Committee meetings by invitation only and does not attend Compensation Committee meetings when his compensation is being determined. Each year, the CEO, at the request of the Compensation Committee, provides his assessment of the performance of the other Executive Officers, including their achievement of individual objectives and contribution to the overall business performance. He then recommends adjustments to base salary, if appropriate.

The Compensation Committee then reviews all elements of compensation for the Executive Officers, taking into account the recommendations of the CEO, as well as market data and information from the SVP, HR. The Compensation Committee also reviews all elements of compensation for the CEO and evaluates the CEO's performance in light of those goals, taking into account the Chairman of the Committee's review and assessment of the performance of the CEO, overall business performance and results, competitive market data and other relevant information provided by the SVP, HR. The Compensation Committee reviews, discusses and determines the CEO's compensation package without him being present. The Compensation Committee makes decisions relating to the compensation of the Executive Officers, including the CEO, which it recommends to the full Board of Directors for approval.

The SVP, HR assists the Compensation Committee, serving as the Compensation Committee's Secretary and provides information on compensation as requested by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**Competitive Market**

The Compensation Committee reviews nationally recognized compensation survey data provided by Willis Towers Watson to compare the Corporation's compensation practice with the external market. In 2016, for the Executive Officers based in the U.S., Willis Towers Watson U.S. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. These are standard Willis Towers Watson data sets and were not customized prior to use. In addition, the Compensation Committee also uses a Chemical Industry Peer Group as an additional reference point for the CEO compensation. The companies included in the peer group were selected by the Compensation Committee based on a number of factors, including company size, products and level of global operations. Compensation data for these companies is collected from their proxy statements and analyzed by the Compensation Committee. The peer group of twelve companies for 2016 was:

Albemarle	Cambrex	Stepan
American Vanguard	Chemtura	Polyone
NewMarket	Ferro	
Ashland	HB Fuller	
Cabot	Innophos	

In 2016, for U.K. based Executive Officers, Willis Towers Watson U.K. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. All executive jobs are assessed and graded by Mr. Read, in his capacity as the compensation consultant using the Willis Towers Watson Global Grading methodology. Job sizes are then matched into the data to ensure that comparisons are made at the appropriate level. Following the acquisition of the European Differentiated Surfactants (EDS) business from Huntsman Corporation (Huntsman) in the last quarter of 2016, the Compensation Committee asked Mr. Read to conduct a full assessment of the executive job sizes to ensure they reflected the significant increase in the size and complexity of the business. As a result, a number of roles were re-graded and the new grades will be applied from 2017.

References to market data in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, unless otherwise noted, are to these foregoing sources.

Elements of Compensation

The material elements of compensation for the Corporation's NEOs are:

🌑 base salary;

🌑 short-term incentive;

🌑 long-term incentive; and

🌑 other benefits (including retirement and supplemental plans, severance, change-of-control and employment agreements and perquisites).

These elements are explained and discussed in separate sections below. The Compensation Committee seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between fixed and variable compensation elements in line with our policy to link a significant proportion of compensation to performance. For Executive Officers, the target is that at least 50% of total compensation should be delivered through variable compensation comprising a mix of long and short-term incentives and cash and non-cash compensation. The Compensation Committee has formally reviewed the allocation of compensation between the different elements using market knowledge and input from its advisors and is satisfied that the balance is appropriate and generally in line with market practice. In 2016 over 50% of overall compensation for the CEO and other NEOs was delivered through variable compensation.

Table of Contents

Annual Cash Compensation

Base Salary

A base salary is provided to our Executive Officers. The level of base salary is reviewed on an annual basis and is adjusted, if appropriate, to recognize the scope and complexity of a role, market data and individual performance. The Compensation Committee targets base salary at the median (50th percentile) of the survey group but considers other factors including individual experience and expertise, overall performance, internal pay equity and contribution to the Corporation. We believe that this methodology enables us to remain competitive as an employer in our markets without incurring unnecessary costs. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, the Compensation Committee views Mr. P. Williams as key to the Corporation's continued success, given his unique skills and experience and therefore determined that it was appropriate to benchmark his position between the median and upper quartile of the relevant market.

2016 Salary Increases

The survey data for 2016 indicated base salaries were generally in line with the market apart from in a small number of cases. As a result the 2016 base salary level increases for the NEOs were on average 2.3%, except as provided below. Mr. P. Williams' base salary was increased by 4.0% to \$960,000 which was within 10% of the average base salary for CEOs in the peer group of companies and broadly in line with the upper quartile of the U.S. survey group.

Following the 2016 increases, base salaries for the NEOs were all within a 15% range of the market median data, which, based on the advice of their compensation advisor, the Compensation Committee believes is an appropriate salary range, given the experience of the NEOs.

Annual Incentives

The Corporation's Management Incentive Compensation Plan (MICP) is a short-term incentive plan which provides for cash payments which are driven by annual performance. Payments are based on achievement against pre-determined financial goals set by the Board each year. Targets are set for corporate performance and business unit performance (where appropriate) and for personal performance against objectives. All payments under the MICP are subject to an overall corporate performance threshold of 90% of the agreed target for the year: if this is not achieved, no payments under the MICP are made to any individual, regardless of personal and business unit performance.

Further, where an individual's payment under the MICP includes a financial measure for a business unit, the business unit must also achieve a minimum of 90% of the target or the individual will not receive any MICP bonus for that year for that element, irrespective of overall corporate and personal performance.

The MICP incentive payment opportunity and split between corporate and personal objectives are shown in the following table:

	Proportion of MICP bonus at target split:		Target MICP Bonus as % of salary	Maximum MICP Bonus as % of salary
	Corporate/ Business Performance	Personal objectives		
CEO	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	75%	172.5%
Senior Executive Officers	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	50%	115%

The Compensation Committee reviews the allocation between business and personal performance each year to ensure it is appropriate.

Table of Contents

The performance measures are established by the Compensation Committee and reviewed by them each year to ensure they remain appropriate and focus on delivery of high performance while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. In 2016, the Compensation Committee determined that the appropriate measures were corporate operating income before restructuring, which is a measure of earnings, and corporate free cash flow, which is seen as a measure of working capital management. The metrics are set at the start of the year and approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income before restructuring is operating income adjusted to exclude certain one-time/nonrecurring restructuring costs such as severance payments from the calculations, because they are not reflective of our underlying operations for the particular period in which they are recorded and, therefore, mask our underlying operating trends. Corporate free cash flow represents corporate operating cash flow after capital expenditure and before the cash effect of restructuring. These one-time/nonrecurring items are approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income before restructuring and corporate free cash flow are non-GAAP measures. In addition, the Compensation Committee determines whether the performance measures for any NEO should also include operating income and operating cash flow for the relevant individual businesses, based on the NEO's specific role and responsibilities. This determination is made at the start of the year. These measures were chosen as they are designed to align the NEOs with the balanced objectives of increasing earnings and improving cash flow through working capital management, which the Compensation Committee believes are key to the success of the Corporation. Personal objectives are specific to the particular business unit(s) or function within which the Executive Officer operates. In addition to the personal element shown above, if an individual's overall performance assessment for the year is below satisfactory then no MICP bonus is paid at all.

The levels of MICP target bonus are reviewed periodically and are targeted at the median level against the market. The target and maximum bonus percentages for the CEO are within 20% of the average levels for CEOs in the peer group of companies and the median levels in the U.S. survey group, which the Compensation Committee believes to be appropriate. The Compensation Committee also determined that based on the survey data it was appropriate to increase the target bonus percentage for the Senior Executive Officers. As a result, the target bonus percentage for the Senior Executive Officers was increased to 50% of base salary and the maximum bonus percentage increased to 115% with effect from January 1, 2016.

Maximum incentive payments under the MICP are awarded when the Corporation or, where relevant, an individual business unit exceeds its target performance measures by 30%.

No awards are made under the MICP scheme until the annual business results have been audited by the independent registered public accounting firm and approved by both the Audit Committee of the Board and the full Board.

In 2016, for all NEOs, MICP incentive payments were based on achievement of targets set for corporate operating income and consolidated operating cash flow. In the case of Dr. Boon, in addition to corporate targets, a proportion of his MICP incentive payment was based on achievement of targets set for operating cash flow for the global Fuel Specialties business unit and the achievement of targets set for the operating income for the regional Fuel Specialties businesses, with a proportion based on the operating income for both the global Performance Chemicals business unit and the global Oilfield Services business unit.

The consolidated financial performance targets set for annual MICP incentive payments purposes and the actual level achieved for the Corporation as a whole in 2016 were as follows:

Financial Performance Measure	Target Set for Annual MICP Bonus Purposes	Actual Achieved for MICP Bonus Purposes	Achievement as % of Target
Corporate Operating Income (before restructuring)	\$87.362 million	\$109.070 million	125%
Corporate Free Cash Flow	\$42.633 million	\$90.078 million	211%

Table of Contents

As a result MICP bonus levels for that part of the overall MICP incentive payment based on consolidated operating income were paid at 225% of the target MICP bonus levels and at 250% of the target level for that part of the overall MICP bonus based on consolidated operating cash flow.

In 2016, the Fuel Specialties regional businesses achieved 106%, 91% and 117% of the targets set for operating income. As a result, in the case of Dr. Boon, MICP bonus levels for those parts of his overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the relevant regional Fuel Specialties businesses unit were paid at 130%, 55% and 185% of the target levels, respectively. The Performance Chemicals business unit achieved 135% of the target set for operating income, and the Oilfield Services business achieved less than the threshold level of 90% of the target set for operating income. As a result, MICP bonus levels for those parts of Dr. Boon's overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the Performance Chemicals business unit and the Oilfield Services business unit were paid at 250% and 0% of the target levels, respectively.

In assessing the individual performance on personal objectives for each NEO, the Compensation Committee uses the following process.

Annual personal objectives for each NEO are established by the Compensation Committee at the start of the financial year. These objectives are also designed to focus on delivery of high performance and take into account the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the scoring mechanism for the personal objectives to ensure it rewards performance appropriately. Each objective is weighted to give a maximum potential score in total of 50. A good performance on the personal objectives is defined as achieving an overall score at the end of the year of 36 to 40 and earns the target level for the 20% based on personal objectives. Achievement of the maximum score would represent exceptional performance against the personal objectives and increases the 20% of the overall target MICP bonus based on personal objectives by a factor of 50%. The relationship between score on personal objectives and the amount of MICP bonus earned for personal performance is shown below:

Marks out of 50	% of the 20% of MICP bonus based on personal objectives earned
46 - 50	150
41 - 45	125
36 - 40	100
31 - 35	50
26 - 30	25
25 or less	0

At the end of the year, as part of the annual performance review process, the performance against each objective is reviewed and marked against the weighting set at the start of the year giving a total score out of 50. In the case of the CEO, this assessment is done by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the resultant score and assessment for each objective is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee as a whole prior to review and approval by the full Board. In the case of the other NEOs, the assessment is done by the CEO, who reviews the objectives and proposes a mark for each objective against the weighting set at the start of the year giving a total score out of 50. This, together with the underlying rationale, is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee prior to review and approval by the full Board.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the incentive payments made under the MICP for 2016 performance for each of the NEOs, including selected relevant information about their performances:

Executive	Annual Incentive MICP Target MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	Assessment of Personal Objectives	Achieved MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	MICP Incentive Award
Mr. Patrick S. Williams				
President and Chief Executive Officer	75%	47	161.25%	\$ 1,548,000
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson				
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	50%	46	107.50%	\$ 335,126
Dr. Philip J. Boon				
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	50%	46	86.44%	\$ 294,954
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie				
Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	50%	46	107.50%	\$ 263,180
Mr. Brian R. Watt				
Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs	50%	47	107.50%	\$ 267,858

The scoring for the personal objectives includes consideration of the following factors:

Mr. P. Williams led the development and implementation of a growth strategy, including identification of strategic acquisition targets, regional expansion and development of new products and market segments. In particular, Mr. P. Williams led the successful acquisition of the EDS business from Huntsman, which was the largest acquisition in the Corporation's history. He has also driven the development and implementation of the strategy for the integrated Oilfield Specialties business. In addition, Mr. P. Williams has continued to lead the focus on robust succession plans for the key leadership roles in the Corporation. The Corporation has also exceeded the target set for safety across the Corporation, with the lost time accident rate across the whole Corporation less than that of the industry average in the year. Based on his personal performance and the overall strong results of the Corporation in a difficult economic and competitive environment, the Board approved the Compensation Committee's recommendations for Mr. P. Williams to be awarded a bonus of \$1,548,000.

Mr. Cleminson has led the financial due diligence and integration and structuring for the EDS acquisition. In addition, he has led the development and enhancement of our banking relationships to ensure bank support was in place for the EDS acquisition. He has also played an important role in the continued development of our organization wide computer system and had a key role in the continued development and management of our Compliance program.

Dr. Boon delivered good business results in operating income for the Fuel Specialties business in difficult market conditions. In addition, he has led the work on strategic options for a key product range and has driven the work to support the expansion of the Corporation into new regions. He has also been instrumental in driving the strategy for the Oilfield Services business outside of the U.S. in difficult market conditions. He has also led the identification and delivery of a number of significant new commercial opportunities in very competitive markets.

Dr. McRobbie has continued to lead the development and commercialization of new products in both Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties. He has also continued to drive the development of the new product strategy for the Oilfield Specialties businesses, including the identification of key Research &

Table of Contents

Technology projects. In addition, he has driven the work to increase the manufacturing capacity for a key product in Performance Chemicals. He also led the development of the research strategy for a key project working with an important commercial partner and has implemented a number of significant organization changes to support the succession planning strategy.

Mr. Watt has led the work to review and revise the strategy for the Corporation. In addition, he has taken a lead role in the acquisition of the EDS business from Huntsman across all functions and has led the work on the development of a robust integration strategy. He has also continued to develop the Corporation's Investor Relations strategy and, together with Dr. Boon, has driven the development of the strategy for the Oilfield Services business outside of North America.

A provision exists which allows for potential claw-back of bonuses already paid if, at some point in the future, it is identified that the audited annual financial results need to be materially restated.

Co-Investment Plan

To support the alignment of stockholder and Executive Officer interests, the Co-Investment Plan was introduced by the Corporation in 2004 and reviewed by the Compensation Committee in 2011. The Co-Investment Plan expired in May 2014 and, after careful consideration, the Compensation Committee determined that, given the level of stock holding of each of the Executive Officers, it was not necessary to implement a new Co-Investment Plan at this time. Accordingly, there were no Co-Investment Plan participants in 2015 or 2016. Under the terms of the Co-Investment Plan an Executive Officer, who was required to participate in the plan for any year, was allowed to invest a portion of the MICP annual incentive payment (paid in accordance with the targets above) to acquire stock in the Corporation and receive an award of matching stock as described below. If the participating Executive Officer received an MICP incentive payment for exceeding his targets, then he had to use at least one-third of the MICP incentive payment which is in excess of the MICP target payment to purchase stock, which was matched as follows:

Bonus payment	Compulsory amount	Voluntary amount	Match
Up to MICP target bonus level	None	Maximum 50% of payment	1 matching share for every 2 shares purchased
Above MICP target bonus level	One third of any MICP bonus payment above the target level	Up to maximum of 100% of any MICP bonus payment above the target bonus level	1 matching share for every share purchased

Participants in the Co-Investment Plan must generally remain employed for three years and continue to hold the stock purchased under this Co-Investment Plan in order to receive the matching stock. In 2013, Mr. B Watt was the only NEO who chose to participate in the Co-Investment Plan and as a result, and having satisfied the requirements above, he received the matching stock relating to his 2013 participation in 2016. The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to permit release of matching stock in certain circumstances. In the event that the Corporation undergoes a change of control, restrictions on the matching stock will lapse and stock will be released.

Long-Term Incentives

The Compensation Committee believes that equity based long-term incentive awards are an important element of the overall compensation for the Corporation's Executive Officers. They are designed to give a focus on achievement of long-term performance goals that help create long-term value for stockholders, act

Table of Contents

as long-term retention incentives for executives and, through the ownership of common stock of the Corporation, encourage long-term strategic decision making that is aligned with the interests of stockholders.

Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Corporation operates two on-going equity-based incentive plans in which the NEOs participate, the CSOP and the PRSOP, both of which provide for options exercisable for common stock as well as stock equivalent units (SEUs) which are payable in cash, based on stock price. The terms and features of the CSOP and PRSOP are described below.

Company Stock Option Plan (CSOP)

Under the CSOP, options are granted at market value and become exercisable after three years, with all options vesting at the end of the three-year period. All options have a ten-year term. Options are granted within twenty days after the public announcement of the Corporation s annual financial results or similar information. Except in certain circumstances, participants must remain in employment with the Corporation in order to be able to exercise their options. The exceptions to this include death, injury, ill-health or disability, redundancy and the transfer of the part of the business within which the option holder works. In these cases, under the rules of the CSOP, options vest and the holder has a twelve month period within which to exercise the options.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the CSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Performance Related Stock Option Plan (PRSOP)

Under the PRSOP, options are granted at no cost and become exercisable normally after three years, provided that specified performance criteria are achieved. All options have a ten-year term. However, if an option is granted to a participant who is, or would otherwise be, subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the Plan. The performance criteria that are set are designed to be stretch targets which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value, while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The performance criteria are regularly reviewed to ensure that they remain relevant and stretching. The criteria for awards made in 2016 are based on relative performance of total stockholder return versus the Russell 2000 index, measured over a three-year period starting with the financial year of the date of grant, the growth in sales revenue for the Corporation, excluding the Octane Additives business and the growth in earnings per share, excluding the Octane Additives business. The Compensation Committee determined that, given the expected continued decline in the Octane Additives business, these measures would provide the appropriate focus on the continued growth of the Corporation together with delivering shareholder value. The following levels of growth must be achieved before awards vest:

Relative performance of Total Shareholder Return (TSR) vs Russell 2000 index	Proportion of the 35% allocated to TSR vesting
100%	100%
95%	80%
90%	60%
85%	40%
Less than 85%	0 (nil)

Table of Contents

Growth in Revenue excluding Octane Additives in 2018 vs 2016 budget	Proportion of 30% allocated to growth in Revenue vesting
Total growth vs 2016 budget 8%	100%
Total growth vs 2016 budget 6%	60%
Total growth vs 2016 budget 4%	20%
Less than 4%	0 (nil)

Growth in Earnings per Share (EPS) excluding Octane Additives in 2018 vs 2016 budget	Proportion of 35% allocated to growth in EPS vesting
Total growth vs 2016 budget 3%	100%
Total growth vs 2016 budget 2%	60%
Total growth vs 2016 budget 1%	20%
Less than 1%	0 (nil)

Awards vest on a straight line basis between each threshold. For example, a total growth in EPS of 2.5% vs the 2016 budget would result in 80% of the options vesting.

The grants are issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This is usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Compensation Committee determines the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used is typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period, awards will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the PRSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Stock Equivalent Units (SEUs)

Equity based awards, payable in cash, are made in the form of SEUs. SEUs are granted separately under either or both of the CSOP and PRSOP. The SEUs may be exercised separately from options that have been granted under the corresponding plan and such SEU exercise has no impact on those options. Two types of SEU awards have been made under these plans.

1. SEUs granted at market value under the CSOP. These can be exercised after three years and expire ten years after grant.
2. SEUs granted at no cost under the PRSOP. These can be exercised after three years, provided that specific performance criteria are met, and expire ten years after grant. However, if SEUs are granted to a participant who is or would otherwise be subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the PRSOP. The performance criteria are set at the time of grant by the Compensation Committee and are designed to be stretch targets which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value. The criteria for awards made in 2016 were the same criteria as were used for the PRSOP stock option awards and are disclosed under the section on PRSOP.

Table of Contents

The performance criteria set in any one year are normally used for both SEU awards and any stock option awards under the PRSOP.

The value of the SEU once vested will be equal to the closing stock price of the Corporation on the date it is cashed. The grants of SEUs are issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This is usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Committee determines the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used is typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period, the SEUs will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

The SEUs together with the options granted under the CSOP and PRSOP are intended to deliver an overall long-term incentive award in line with the grant policy as detailed below.

Grant Policy

In setting the policy for these plans, the Compensation Committee considers market median practice in both the U.S. and the U.K., given the number of executives who are based in the U.K. The grant policy provides for target amounts as follows:

	Grants of CSOPs and SEUs at market price as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary
Chief Executive Officer	30%	90%
Executive Officers	20%	55%

The Compensation Committee determines the actual levels of grant utilizing the following matrices taking account of personal performance where:

Rating 1	=	Outstanding performance	-	150% of policy is granted
Rating 2	=	Exceeding expectations	-	125% of policy is granted
Rating 3	=	Good performance	-	100% of policy is granted
Rating 4 or 5	=	Below Expectations	-	No grant is made

The personal rating impacts the amount of actual grant awarded as follows:

Chief Executive Officer			Executive Officers		
Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary	Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary
1	45	135	1	30	82.5
2	37.5	112.5	2	25	68.75
3	30	90	3	20	55
4	0	0	4	0	0
5	0	0	5	0	0

Table of Contents

The performance of the Executive Officers, other than the CEO, is assessed by the CEO and the Compensation Committee. The CEO recommends a rating to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews these and separately assesses the performance of the CEO and makes a final recommendation on performance ratings for all Executive Officers to the full Board for approval. This provides for a rigorous performance-related grant policy, in addition to the performance elements of the grants themselves.

In 2016, Mr. P. Williams was rated as 1 for his 2015 performance and as such was eligible for long-term incentive awards at 150% of the policy levels for this role. In the case of the other NEOs, based on the assessment of their individual performance, as approved by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Watt was eligible for awards at 150% of the policy level and Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie were eligible for awards at 125% of the policy level.

As previously disclosed, from 2012, 75% of the awards due under the policy will be made in the form of option grants under the CSOP and PRSOP plans, with the remaining 25% made in the form of SEUs. The Compensation Committee have also determined that in order to help manage option utilization rates and burn rates, the level of option grants in any one year should be restricted to a burn rate of no more than 1% of the Corporation's stock outstanding with the balance of long-term incentives provided for under the policy bridged using SEUs. In 2016, the level of option grants under the policy was less than 1% of the Corporation's stock outstanding.

Exceptional Stock Option Awards

The Compensation Committee also has the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the stated policy to reflect extraordinary corporate performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the standard policy levels and annual grant process for retention or recruitment purposes. In 2016, no such awards were made.

Additional Exceptional Long-Term Incentive Plan

Following the three acquisitions in 2012 and 2013, which represent a significant step in the development of the Corporation, the Compensation Committee recommended an additional long-term incentive plan designed to focus key executives on delivering a return on the investment on the acquisitions, by their successful integration and on the sustained growth of the larger business. The new plan was approved by the Board in November 2013. The plan covered a three-year period that commenced in January 2014 and ended on December 31, 2016. Under this plan, a cash incentive award became payable to eligible participants based on achievement of specified performance measures. The performance measures and weightings were:

🌑 20% weighting on the relative performance of the Corporation's share price versus the Russell 2000 Index (Index).

🌑 40% weighting on the achievement of a stretch Earnings per Share (EPS) target for 2016, excluding the Octane Additives business, which would deliver an 80% increase in EPS, excluding the Octane Additives business versus the 2013 achieved level.

🌑 40% weighting on delivery of the earnings before tax in the acquisition business plans for the three acquisitions.

Table of Contents

The following levels of each performance measures had to be achieved before awards could vest:

Average Share Price in Q4 2016 Vs. Russell 2000 (base/start point-average share price 1 Jan 2013 to 30 June 2013)	% of potential pay-out for average share price measure
10% out performance of Russell 2000	100%
5% out performance of Russell 2000	75%
Performance in line with Russell 2000	50%
Performance below Russell 2000	0

% of Stretch EPS Target, excluding TEL for 2016	% of potential pay-out for EPS measure
100%	100%
95%	75%
90%	50%
Below 90%	0

% of combined target EBIT for the three acquisitions achieved in 2016	% of potential pay-out for acquisition measure
110%	100%
100%	75%
90%	50%
Below 90%	0

The maximum aggregate amount payable under the plan during the three-year life of the plan was \$15 million. The amount was set at a level which would be an incentive for participants and, by incorporating relative share price and EPS as measures, would deliver value to shareholders. Participants had to be still in employment with the Corporation at the end of the period in the same or similar role and must have achieved a minimum of a 3 (Good Performer) performance rating in each year of the plan in order to be eligible to receive any payment under this plan. In exceptional circumstances, the Compensation Committee, at its absolute discretion, could have awarded some or all of any potential payment to a participant who leaves the Corporation prior to the end of the performance period if they leave due to injury, disability, ill-health, retirement or at the request of the Corporation, other than for cause, but it did not do so. Eligibility for participation in the plan was at the discretion of the Compensation Committee subject to approval by the Board. Mr. P. Williams, Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon, Dr. McRobbie and Mr. Watt were all eligible to participate in the Plan.

Table of Contents

There are six levels of participation for Key Executives and the maximum incentive award for each participant at each level was as follows:

Level	Maximum Incentive Award Payable	Total number of participants at each level
Level 1	\$4,800,000	1
Level 2	\$1,700,000	2
Level 3	\$1,300,000	1
Level 4	\$750,000	4
Level 5	\$700,000	2
Level 6	\$550,000	2

In the case of the NEOs, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. P. Williams was eligible to participate in the Plan at Level 1, Dr. Boon was eligible to participate in the plan at Level 2, Mr. Cleminson at Level 3 and Mr. Watt and Dr. McRobbie at Level 4. Based on the actual achievement of the performance measures versus the targets set, 60% of the maximum incentive award was earned, giving a total pay-out of \$7,980,000. This is less than 60% of the maximum potential award of \$15 million due to the resignation of one of the participants, prior to the end of the plan, who as a result, did not receive any pay-out under the plan. The amount due under the plan to Mr. P. Williams and the other NEOs is disclosed in the Non Equity Incentive Compensation Column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Additional Exceptional Incentive Payment

Following the acquisition of the EDS business from Huntsman and in recognition of the significant improvement in shareholder value, an additional discretionary incentive cash payment for a small number of executives was recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. The total amount awarded was \$1,020,000. Mr. P. Williams and the other NEOs were awarded an additional payment as part of this and the amount awarded to each is disclosed in the Bonus Column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align stockholder and Executive Officer interests, the Corporation has adopted a minimum stockholding requirement for the Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee reviewed this policy in 2014 and benchmarked it against their stated comparator group. As a result, the policy was revised to increase the stock holding requirements for the Executive Officers. With effect from January 1, 2015 the CEO is required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of four times his base salary and all other Executive Officers are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their base salary. Only stock which is registered in the Executive Officer's name or held beneficially in street name on behalf of such Executive Officer are taken into account for these purposes. Unvested equity awards are not taken into account. At the end of 2016, the stockholding of the CEO equated to 10.4 times his year end salary using the average stock price during 2016 of \$53.41. The stockholding of each of the other NEOs was also greater than 200% of their year-end salary using the same average stock price for 2016. With effect from January 1, 2015 the Compensation Committee determined that there should also be a similar minimum stockholding requirement for the NEDs. From January 1, 2015, all NEDs are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their annual retainer. At the end of 2016, the stockholding for all the NEDs, except Mr. L. Padfield and Mr. D. Landless, was also greater than 200% of the annual retainer. The new levels of stockholding for NEDs and Executive Officers must be reached within five years of appointment or the introduction of this policy, whichever is later, and Mr. L. Padfield and Mr. D. Landless therefore have three and four more years respectively to reach the required level.

Table of Contents

Other Benefits and Perquisites

These are provided as appropriate and are set by reference to median market practice. They generally consist of pension arrangements, company car or car allowance, life, disability and medical cover. There are no non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Full details are set out in the table All Other Compensation , following the Summary Compensation Table .

Post-termination Compensation

Post-termination arrangements vary depending on the nature of the termination event and are designed to be in accordance with U.S. and U.K. market norms, depending on where the executive is based. Full details are set out in the footnotes to the Post Employment Payments table.

Employment Agreements

Each of the NEOs has a rolling twelve month employment agreement with the Corporation. Under these agreements, the Corporation can terminate the agreement by giving one year s notice to the NEO. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he can terminate the agreement by giving the Corporation one year s notice, while the other NEOs are required to give the Corporation six months notice if they wish to terminate the agreement. The employment agreement for each of the NEOs also includes a Change of Control clause. This specifies that, in the event of a change in control of the Corporation, if the Corporation terminates the NEO within twelve months of the change of control, or if the NEO terminates his employment within twelve months for good cause, the NEO will be entitled to a compensation payment. If the Corporation terminates the employment of the NEO during this period, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation defined as base salary, bonus at target and any car allowance from the date of notice of termination. If the NEO terminates his employment, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation, defined as above, from the date of the change of control. In addition, under the rules of the stock option plans, all options would vest on the change of control. The NEOs are treated in the same way as other employees who hold options under the plans. Change of control is deemed to have occurred if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Corporation; there is a consolidation or merger and the Corporation is not the surviving Corporation; the stockholders of the Corporation approve plans or proposals for a liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation or, if following a cash offer or merger, the members of the Board cease to constitute a majority of the Board. In addition, under their employment agreement, each of the NEOs, including the CEO and the CFO, is subject to a twelve month non-solicitation period, with respect to customers and employees, and a twelve month non-compete period, from the date their employment with the Corporation ends.

Indemnification Agreements

The Corporation has entered into indemnification agreements with each of the directors and NEOs in furtherance of the indemnification provisions contained in the Corporation s Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, which indemnify the directors and officers of the Corporation to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by law. The indemnification agreements provide for indemnification arising out of specified indemnifiable events, such as events relating to the fact that the indemnitee is or was a director or officer or agent of the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation or is or was a director, officer member, manager, trustee or agent of another entity at the request of the Corporation, including any action or inaction by the Indemnitee in such a capacity. The indemnification agreements provide for advancement of expenses prior to final adjudication of the claim. To the extent that indemnification is unavailable, the agreements provide for contribution. The indemnification agreements set forth procedures relating to indemnification claims. The agreements also provide for maintenance of directors and officers liability insurance.

Table of Contents

U.S. Tax Matters

Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 162(m) generally limits the deductibility of compensation paid to our Executive Officers to \$1,000,000 during any fiscal year unless such compensation is performance-based under Section 162(m). Generally, the Corporation intends to structure its compensation arrangements in a manner that would comply with Section 162(m). However, the Compensation Committee considers many factors when designing its compensation arrangements in addition to the deductibility of the compensation and the Compensation Committee maintains the flexibility to grant awards or to pay amounts pursuant to the Corporation's compensation arrangements that are not deductible if they believe it is in the best interest of the Corporation and its stockholders.

In addition, IRC Section 409A imposes restrictions on nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The deferred compensation plans maintained by the Corporation are structured to either be exempt from the requirements of IRC Section 409A or, if not exempt, to satisfy the requirements of IRC Section 409A, and the Corporation has reviewed and, where appropriate, has amended each of its deferred compensation plans to meet the requirements of IRC Section 409A.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Corporation's compensation process. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities are more fully described in its charter, which is accessible on Innospec's website at www.innospecinc.com/corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on that review and those discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation's 2017 Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise the Compensation Committee.

No portion of this Compensation Committee Report shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act) or the Exchange Act, through any general statement incorporating by reference in its entirety the Proxy Statement in which this report appears, except to the extent that the Corporation specifically incorporates this report or a portion of it by reference. In addition, this report shall not be deemed to be filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

JOACHIM ROESER, Chair

MILTON C. BLACKMORE

LAWRENCE J. PADFIELD

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION TABLES****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name & Principal Position		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non Equity Incentive Compensation	Change in Pension fund value and other deferred benefits	All Other Compensation	Total
(1)	Year	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams President and Chief Executive	2016	977,692	243,881		1,091,109	4,428,000		95,862	6,836,544
	2015	938,678			1,069,072	1,444,561		94,667	3,546,978
Officer	2014	910,340			933,513	1,186,521		90,602	3,120,976
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson Executive Vice President and	2016	309,988	113,134		193,011	1,115,126		85,527	1,816,786
	2015	299,692			243,273	251,803		83,422	878,190
Chief Financial Officer	2014	290,492			233,847	240,727		88,420	853,486
Dr. Philip J. Boon Executive Vice President and	2016	341,227	131,791		218,685	1,314,954	374,763	94,930	2,476,350
	2015	285,918			1,205,972	217,982	-7,294	83,383	1,785,961
Chief Operating Officer	2014	266,173			212,983	191,187	122,532	83,677	876,552
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie Senior Vice President,	2016	243,822	53,731		153,045	713,180	155,283	69,961	1,389,022
	2015	237,684			193,091	199,673	5,698	68,698	704,844
Research and Technology	2014	230,580			185,629	191,075	115,458	85,877	808,619
Mr. Brian R. Watt VP Strategic Planning and	2016	247,766	63,731		185,123	717,858		72,340	1,286,818
	2015	239,015			191,867	201,261		72,393	704,536
Regulatory Affairs	2014	228,899		12,852	183,514	189,830		75,969	691,064

Footnotes to Summary Compensation Table :

- Mr. P. Williams is paid in U.S. dollars. All the other NEOs above are paid in GB Pounds Sterling. For the purposes of the Summary Compensation Table, a GB Pound Sterling to U.S. Dollar exchange rate of 1.3649 is used for 2014, 2015 and 2016, being the average exchange rate for 2016.
- As noted in the Compensation Disclosure and Analysis, in 2016 the Corporation awarded one time/non-recurring additional exceptional bonus awards to a number of employees following the successful acquisition of the EDS business from Huntsman and in recognition of the significant improvement in shareholder value. As a result, each of the Named Executive Officers were awarded an additional discretionary bonus, the amounts of which are detailed under Bonus in the summary compensation table above.
-

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

The value of the stock awards listed above represents the matching awards made under the Co-Investment Plan. There were no participants in this Plan in either 2015 or 2016. The value of these awards is determined using the number of stock awarded and the grant date fair value for each stock award made in the year. The grant date fair values on Corporation stock awards are calculated using the Black-Scholes model, with reference to the underlying stock price, stock award exercise price, volatility of the Corporation's stock price, risk free rate and expected dividend yield. For further information on the assumptions underlying these grant date fair values refer to Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

4. The value of the option awards for all Executive Officers listed above includes the grant date fair value of options awarded under the CSOP and PRSOP and the grant date fair value of any SEUs awarded in lieu of stock option awards in line with the stated grant policy. In the case of Dr. McRobbie, 100% of the award was in the form of SEUs. The value of the option awards and SEUs are determined using the number of options and SEUs awarded and the grant date fair value for each option or SEU award made in the year. The grant date fair values on Corporation stock options are calculated using the Black-Scholes model, with reference to the underlying stock price, option exercise price, volatility of the Corporation's stock price, risk free rate and expected dividend yield. For options with additional characteristics, such as vesting criteria linked to stock market indices or stock price performance, a Monte Carlo simulation is used to model the range of potential outcomes. For further information on the assumptions underlying these grant date fair values refer to Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. For each Executive Officer, the value of the SEUs included in the total amount disclosed for 2016 under option awards is detailed below:

Name	Value of SEUs included under option awards	Proportion of value of SEUs relating to market price SEUs	Proportion of value of SEUs relating to zero price SEUs
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	\$ 272,780	7.8%	92.2%
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	\$ 48,237	8.5%	91.5%
Dr. Philip J. Boon	\$ 54,676	8.5%	91.5%
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	\$ 153,045	8.5%	91.5%
Mr. Brian R. Watt	\$ 46,278	8.5%	91.5%

5. The Non-Equity Incentive Compensation for all Executive Officers listed above relates to incentive compensation earned for the stated year under the MICP and the Additional Exceptional Long-Term Incentive Plan (2014 LTIP). For each Executive Officer, the separate amounts earned under the MICP and the 2014 LTIP are detailed below:

Name	Incentive Compensation earned under the MICP	Incentive Compensation earned under the 2014 LTIP
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	\$ 1,548,000	\$ 2,880,000
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	\$ 335,126	\$ 780,000
Dr. Philip J. Boon	\$ 294,954	\$ 1,020,000
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	\$ 263,180	\$ 450,000
Mr. Brian R. Watt	\$ 267,858	\$ 450,000

6. Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie were members of a defined benefit (final salary) pension plan, the Innospec Limited Defined Benefit Pension Plan (the Pension Plan) until March 31, 2010 when the Pension Plan closed to future service accrual. Under the Pension Plan, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie have the right to receive a pension on retirement of 1/57 of their pensionable salary for each year of service. In the case of Dr. Boon, the amount of his annual salary which is defined as pensionable under this Pension Plan was capped and at the time the Pension Plan closed, this cap was set at \$181,941. Salary in excess of this is not pensionable. Dr. McRobbie was not subject to the cap on pensionable salary as he joined the Pension Plan prior to the introduction of the cap. As a result, Dr. McRobbie's pensionable salary was his full base salary at the time the Pension Plan closed. The values stated under the change in pension value and other deferred benefits relate to the increase in the qualified pensions in the Pension Plan only. There are no non-qualified pension benefits for the NEOs.

Table of Contents

7. The amounts reflected under All Other Compensation for 2016 are identified in the All Other Compensation table below. In general, any perquisites provided for any of the NEOs are generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees in that business unit. Other than where specified below, where any perquisites and personal benefits are provided to a NEO which are not generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees in that business unit, their total value for any NEO was less than \$10,000 in the year ended December 31, 2016.

ALL OTHER COMPENSATION

		Car Allowance	Leased Car Costs	Pension Allowance	Pension Contribution	Healthcare	Insurances	Other	Total
		(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams President and Chief Executive Officer	2016	0	0	0	62,522	24,100	1,315	7,926	95,862
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2016	18,630	0	52,900	9,096	2,138	2,763	0	85,527
Dr. Philip J. Boon Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2016	18,630	0	68,245	0	2,138	2,941	2,976	94,930
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	2016	18,630	0	48,758	0	1,710	863	0	69,961
Mr. Brian R. Watt Vice President, Strategic Planning & Regulatory Affairs	2016	0	17,709	40,456	9,096	2,138	2,941	0	72,340

Footnotes to All Other Compensation table:

- (1) Executive Officers based in the U.K. are entitled to a leased company car or an allowance in lieu of a car. The allowance is set at £13,650 (\$18,630) per annum. Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie all elected to receive the allowance in 2016. Mr. Watt elected to take a leased car in 2016 and the value of the lease and associated costs is shown under Lease Car Costs in the All Other Compensation table.
- (2) For U.K. based Executive Officers, where pensionable salary is subject to a cap, Executive Officers receive a salary supplement of 20% in lieu of pension for any salary above the pensions cap. Any supplement paid is taxable. For 2016, the pensions cap was set at \$181,941. From May 2013, Dr. McRobbie did not participate in any pension plan due to U.K. Government limits on pension provision, and as a result received a salary supplement of 20% of his base salary in lieu of any pension provision. Dr. Boon, Mr. Cleminson and Mr. Watt also did not participate in any pension plan for the same reason from April 2014, in the case of Dr. Boon and from April 2016 in the case of Mr. Cleminson and Mr. Watt. As a result they also received a salary supplement of 20% of their base salary in lieu of any pension provision from the date they ceased to participate in any pension plan. The amount paid to individuals is detailed under Pension Allowance in the All Other Compensation table.
- (3) The Corporation also provides a number of defined contribution pension plans for employees. The amount paid into these plans in 2016 for the NEOs is detailed above under Pension Contribution in the All Other Compensation table. In the case of Dr. McRobbie and Dr. Boon, no contributions were paid into a pension plan in 2016, due to limits on pension provision set by the U.K. Government.

Table of Contents

- (4) The NEOs are eligible for healthcare insurance and life and disability insurance through programs which are available to substantively the majority of salaried employees in the relevant business unit. The cost of these insurances is detailed under Healthcare and Insurances, respectively, in the All Other Compensation table.
- (5) Mr. P. Williams and Dr. Boon receive payments of \$6,958 and \$2,976, respectively, relating to 50% of the cost of a country/golf club membership. Mr. P. Williams is also provided with home internet service to allow him to work from home to the value of \$968.

Employment Agreements

Each of the NEOs has a rolling twelve-month employment agreement with the Corporation. Under these agreements, the Corporation can terminate the agreement by giving one year's notice to the NEO. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he can terminate the agreement by giving the Corporation one year's notice, while the other NEOs are required to give the Corporation six months' notice if they wish to terminate the agreement. The employment agreement for each of the NEOs also includes a Change of Control clause which is described in more detail in the narrative following the Post Employment Payments table.

In addition, under the employment agreement, Mr. P. Williams is entitled to a target bonus under the MICP of 75% of his base salary, with a potential maximum MICP bonus of 172.5%. All other NEOs have a MICP target bonus of 50% with a potential maximum of 115% of base salary. Each NEO is also entitled to participate in long-term incentive plans which have been described in more detail, including grant policy for different NEOs, in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, above.

Each NEO is also able to participate in the pension arrangements relevant for the business unit and country where they are based. In the case of Mr. P. Williams, he participates in a Defined Contribution plan in line with other U.S. based employees and details of the amount paid into the plan are provided in the Summary Compensation Table. As noted in the Summary Compensation Table, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie were able to participate in the Innospec Limited Defined Benefit Pension Plan until its closure to future service accrual on March 31, 2010 and this is described more fully in the narrative following the Pension Benefit table on page 48. Mr. Watt participated in a defined contribution plan in line with other U.K. based employees. Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie also participated in this scheme from April 2010 and details of the amounts paid into this plan are provided in the All Other Compensation table.

The employment agreements for each NEO also provide medical insurance and life and disability insurance through programs which are available to the majority of salaried employees in the relevant part of the business unit. The costs of these insurances are provided in the footnotes to the All Other Compensation table.

In addition, under their employment agreement, each of the NEOs, including the CEO and the CFO, is subject to a twelve month non-solicitation period, with respect to customers and employees, and a twelve month non-compete period, from the date their employment with the Corporation ends.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN FISCAL 2016**

Name and Principal Position	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Plan Awards			All other Stock Awards: No . of Securities, Shares of stock or units	All other Options Awards: No . of Securities underlying options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum				
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)				
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	(1) 02/22/16	-	-	-	203,633	452,517	754,195	-	-	-	-
President and Chief Executive Officer	(2) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,347	44.18	21,381
	(3) 02/22/16	-	-	-	67,878	150,839	251,398	-	-	-	-
	(4) -	324,000	720,000	1,656,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	(5) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,040	44.18	64,134
	(1) 02/22/16	-	-	-	35,771	79,490	132,484	-	-	-	-
	(2) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450	44.18	4,100
	(3) 02/22/16	-	-	-	11,917	26,483	44,138	-	-	-	-
	(4) -	70,142	155,872	358,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dr. Philip J. Boon	(5) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,349	44.18	12,289
	(1) 02/22/16	-	-	-	40,524	90,053	150,089	-	-	-	-
	(2) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	510	44.18	4,646
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	(3) 02/22/16	-	-	-	13,508	30,018	50,030	-	-	-	-
	(4) -	76,776	170,613	392,411	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(5) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,528	44.18	13,920
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	(1) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,426	44.18	12,991
	(3) 02/22/16	-	-	-	37,815	84,033	140,055	-	-	-	-
	(4) -	55,084	122,409	281,540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mr. Brian R. Watt	(1) 02/22/16	-	-	-	34,305	76,234	127,056	-	-	-	-
	(2) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	431	44.18	3,926
	(3) 02/22/16	-	-	-	35,152	25,411	42,352	-	-	-	-
	(4) -	56,063	124,585	286,546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Planning and Regulatory Affairs	(5) 02/22/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,294	44.18	11,788

Footnotes to Grants of Plan-Based Awards table:

- (1) Options issued under the PRSOP
- (2) SEUs issued under the CSOP
- (3) SEUs issued under the PRSOP
- (4) Estimated pay-outs under the MICP
- (5) Options issued under the CSOP

🌑: Details of the grant policy and performance criteria for the awards made in 2016 are covered earlier in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

🌑 The Grants of Plan-Based Awards table details awards made under the following plans:

The CSOP. Options are granted at market value and become exercisable normally after three years, with all options vesting at the end of this period. Cash based awards are also made in the form of SEUs which can be cashed after three years, assuming the NEO remains employed by the Corporation. The SEU awards are detailed under All Other Option Awards in the rows labelled (2) in the table. On cashing the SEU award, the NEO receives a payment for each SEU equal to the market stock price of the Corporation at that time less the market stock price at time of grant. The CSOP awards are detailed under All Other Option Awards in the rows labelled (5). The grant date fair value for the option awards and SEUs under the CSOP has been determined using the fair value of the Corporation's stock on the date of grant.

The PRSOP. Options are granted at zero cost, i.e. with an exercise price of zero and become exercisable normally after three years, provided that specified performance criteria are achieved as set by the

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee. All options have a ten-year term and once options vest, the recipient has the right to exercise them at any time prior to their expiration date. Under the Plan, cash based awards are also made in the form of SEUs. SEUs are also granted at zero cost and become exercisable normally after three years, subject to achievement of performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee. All SEUs have a ten-year term and once the SEUs vest, the recipient has the right to exercise them at any time prior to their expiration date. However, if option awards or SEUs under the PRSOP are granted to a participant who is or would otherwise be subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the PRSOP. The SEU awards are detailed under Estimated Future Pay-outs under Equity Incentive Plan Awards in the row under the grant date labelled (3) in the table above and the PRSOP option awards are also detailed under Estimated Future pay-outs under Equity Plan Awards in the row labelled (1). In 2016, the relative weighting and performance criteria for both options and SEUs were set as:

🌑: 35% weighting on the relative performance of the Corporation's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) versus the Russell 2000 Index (Index). The threshold level is set at 85% of the Index performance over three years, in which case 40% of the options and SEUs will vest. The target level is set at 90% of the Index performance, in which case 60% of the options and SEUs will vest and the maximum level is set at 100% of the Index performance, in which case all the granted options and SEUs will vest.

🌑: 30% weighting on the compound increase per annum in sales revenue, excluding the Octane Additives business. The threshold level is set at a total growth of 4% versus the 2016 budget figure, in which case 20% of the options and SEUs will vest. The target level is set at a total growth of 6% versus the 2016 budget figure, in which case 60% of the options and SEUs will vest and the maximum level is set at a total growth of 8% versus the 2016 budget figure, in which case all the granted options and SEUs will vest.

🌑: 35% weighting on the compound increase per annum in earnings per share (EPS), excluding the Octane Additives business. The threshold level is set at a total growth of 1% versus the 2016 budget figure, in which case 20% of the options and SEUs will vest. The target level is set at a total growth of 2% versus the 2016 budget figure, in which case 60% of the options and SEUs will vest and the maximum level is set at a total growth of 3% versus the 2016 budget figure in which case all the granted options and SEUs will vest.

The estimated future pay-outs for the PRSOP options and SEU awards have been valued using the grant date fair value for the awards.

MICP. Payment under the MICP is based on achievement of pre-determined financial goals and personal objectives set by the Board each year. The threshold level is set at 90% achievement of the financial goals and the target payment is earned for 100% achievement of the financial goals. The maximum payment is earned for 130% achievement of the financial goals. The potential awards for 2016 are detailed in the table in the row under the grant date heading labelled (4). As this is an annual non-equity incentive plan, there is no grant date for this award.

Table of Contents

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END 2016

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Equity Incentive Plans Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Units or Shares, That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Units or Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not
				(\$)			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams	(2)	(9)	2,078		46.03				
President and Chief Executive Officer	(2)	(10)	2,268		43.95				
	(2)	(11)	2,347		44.18				
	(3)	(9)	6,232		46.03				
	(3)	(10)	6,805		43.95				
	(3)	(11)	7,040		44.18				
	(4)	(9)		4,986	0.00				
	(4)	(10)		4,900	0.00				
	(4)	(11)		5,350	0.00				
	(5)	(9)		14,958	0.00				
	(5)	(10)		14,698	0.00				
	(5)	(11)		16,051	0.00				
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson	(2)	(9)	561		46.03				
Executive Vice President	(2)	(10)	558		43.95				
	(2)	(11)	450		44.18				
and Chief Financial Officer	(3)	(9)	1,684		46.03				
	(3)	(10)	1,677		43.95				
	(3)	(11)	1,349		44.18				
	(4)	(9)		1,235	0.00				
	(4)	(10)		1,107	0.00				
	(4)	(11)		939	0.00				
	(5)	(9)		3,704	0.00				
	(5)	(10)		3,319	0.00				
	(5)	(11)		2,820	0.00				
	(6)	(12)	881		33.93				
Dr. Philip J. Boon	(2)	(8)	507		41.31				
Executive Vice President	(2)	(9)	511		46.03				
and Chief Operating Officer	(2)	(10)	427		43.95				
	(2)	(11)	510		44.18				
	(3)	(9)	1,534		46.03				

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

	(3)	(10)		1,282		43.95	02/23/2025
	(3)	(11)		1,528		44.18	02/22/2026
	(4)	(8)	1,395			0.00	02/20/2023
	(4)	(9)			1,125	0.00	02/14/2024
	(4)	(10)			846	0.00	02/23/2025
	(4)	(11)			1,065	0.00	02/22/2026
	(5)	(9)			3,374	0.00	02/14/2024
	(5)	(10)			2,537	0.00	02/23/2025
	(5)	(13)			6,000	0.00	11/01/2025
	(5)	(14)			6,000	0.00	11/01/2025
	(5)	(15)			8,000	0.00	11/01/2025
	(5)	(11)			3,194	0.00	02/22/2026
	(6)	(12)		881		33.93	05/15/2018
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie	(2)	(8)	1,768			41.31	02/20/2023
Senior Vice President,	(2)	(9)		1,782		46.03	02/14/2024
	(2)	(10)		1,774		43.95	02/23/2025
Research and Technology	(2)	(11)		1,426		44.18	02/23/2026
	(4)	(8)	4,861			0.00	02/20/2023
	(4)	(9)			3,921	0.00	02/14/2024
	(4)	(10)			3,513	0.00	02/23/2025
	(4)	(11)			2,981	0.00	02/22/2026
	(6)	(12)		881		33.93	05/15/2018

Table of Contents

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Equity Incentive Plans Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
				(\$)			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Brian R. Watt	(1)	(7)		0.00	05/10/2024	315	21,578		
Vice President, Strategic	(2)	(8)	429	41.31	02/20/2023				
	(2)	(9)		46.03	02/14/2024				
Planning and Regulatory	(2)	(10)		43.95	02/23/2025				
	(2)	(11)		44.18	02/22/2026				
Affairs	(3)	(8)	1,286	41.31	02/20/2023				
	(3)	(9)		46.03	02/14/2024				
	(3)	(10)		43.95	02/23/2025				
	(3)	(11)		44.18	02/22/2026				
	(4)	(9)		969	0.00	02/14/2024			
	(4)	(10)		873	0.00	02/23/2025			
	(4)	(11)		901	0.00	02/22/2026			
	(5)	(8)	3,537		0.00	02/20/2023			
	(5)	(9)		2,907	0.00	02/14/2024			
	(5)	(10)		2,618	0.00	02/23/2025			
	(5)	(11)		2,704	0.00	02/22/2026			
	(6)	(12)	881	33.93	05/15/2018				

Footnotes to Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2016 table:

- (1) Award of matching stock issued under the Co-Investment Plan. These are treated as Stock Awards and detailed under the column Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested
- (2) SEUs issued under the CSOP. These are detailed in the columns headed Number of Securities Underlying Un-Exercised Options
- (3) Options issued under the CSOP. These are detailed in the columns headed Number of Securities Underlying Un-Exercised Options
- (4) SEUs issued under the PRSOP. SEUs under the PRSOP which are not exercisable are detailed in the column headed Equity Incentive Plans Awards and those which are exercisable are detailed in the column headed Number of Securities Underlying Un-Exercised Options Exercisable
- (5) Options issued under the PRSOP. Option awards under the PRSOP which are not exercisable are detailed in the column headed Equity Incentive Plan Awards and those which are exercisable are detailed in the column headed Number of Securities Underlying Un-Exercised Options Exercisable
- (6) Options issued under the ShareSave plan. These are detailed in the columns headed Number of Securities Underlying Un-Exercised Options
- (7) Matching shares vested and transferred to the NEO on February 21, 2017
- (8) Options and SEUs vested on February 20, 2016
- (9) Options and SEUs vested on February 14, 2017
- (10) Options and SEUs have vesting date of February 23, 2018
- (11) Options and SEUs have vesting date of February 21, 2019
- (12) Options have vesting date of November 15, 2017
- (13) Options have vesting date of November 1, 2018
- (14) Options have vesting date of November 1, 2019
- (15) Options have vesting date of November 1, 2020

Table of Contents

With respect to non-vested or unearned performance based stock options and SEUs, the number of shares reported in the table is based on the performance achieved for each performance goals in the previous fiscal year (2016), except where performance was below the threshold level, in which case the number of shares and SEUs reported is based on the threshold level, as detailed below:

🌑: For those options and SEUs which expire in February 2025, 2% increase in TSR, and 3% increase in EPS, excluding Octane Additives per annum; increases in gross revenue, excluding Octane Additives were less than the threshold level.

🌑: For those options and SEUs which expire in February 2026, relative performance in line with the Russell 2000 and 3% increase in EPS, excluding Octane Additives per annum; increases in gross revenue, excluding Octane Additives were less than the threshold level.

In the case of the options and SEUs which expire in February 2024, the number of shares reported is based on achieving the maximum performance of 2% increase in TSR per annum and relative performance of 100% of the Russell Index, the maximum performance of 3% increase in EPS, excluding Octane Additives per annum and not achieving the threshold level of 1% growth in gross revenue, excluding Octane Additives, per annum as these options and SEUs vest in February 2017 and this is the expected outcome.

The number of shares reported for Dr. Boon in the case of those which vest in November 2018, November 2019 and November 2020 is based on the full achievement of the performance measures as this is the expected outcome.

The market value of any shares which have not vested is calculated using the year end stock price of \$68.50, as an indication.

Table of Contents**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED DURING FISCAL 2016**

The following table provides information for the NEOs on exercises of stock option and cash based awards (SEUs) and matching stock which transferred to the NEOs during the fiscal year 2016, including the number of shares or SEUs acquired on exercise or transfer and the value realized.

Name and Principal Position		Option Awards		Stock Awards	
		Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams President and Chief Executive Officer	(1)	6,658	124,771	-	-
	(2)	19,975	873,307	-	-
	(3)	2,220	39,383	-	-
	(4)	6,659	290,066	-	-
	(5)	684	19,501	-	-
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	(1)	1,119	2,798	-	-
	(1)	559	1,347	-	-
	(2)	4,615	202,829	-	-
	(3)	560	1,260	-	-
	(4)	1,539	67,039	-	-
Dr. Philip J. Boon Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	(1)	1,521	24,853	-	-
	(2)	4,183	241,150	-	-
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	(1)	1,654	64,820	-	-
	(1)	815	37,523	-	-
	(1)	2,682	149,897	-	-
	(1)	1,515	55,616	-	-
	(2)	10,257	679,731	-	-
	(3)	2,637	101,762	-	-
	(3)	505	18,251	-	-
Mr. Brian R. Watt Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs	(4)	1,179	52,348	-	-
	(6)	-	-	445	19,389

Footnotes to the Option Exercises and Stock Vested during Fiscal 2016 table:

- (1) Options exercised which were issued under the CSOP
- (2) Options exercised which were issued under the PRSOP
- (3) SEUs exercised which were issued under the CSOP
- (4) SEUs exercised which were issued under the PRSOP
- (5) Options exercised which were issued under the ShareSave Plan
- (6) Transfer of awards of matching stock issued under the Co-Investment Plan

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

The aggregate dollar amount realized on exercise of option awards, SEUs and matching shares was computed by calculating the closing price of all underlying common stock on the date of exercise or transfer, less the exercise price of the option, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the options or SEUs exercised or stock transferred.

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFIT**

Name and Principal Position	Plan Name	Number of years credited service at March 31, 2010	Present Value of Accumulated Benefits	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
			\$	\$
Dr. I. McRobbie Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	Innospec Limited Pension Plan	10.25	1,142,151	49,675
Dr. P. Boon Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	Innospec Limited Pension Plan	16.688	1,623,678	0

Footnotes to Pension Benefit table:

🌑: The Corporation operated the Innospec Limited Pension Plan (Pension Plan) for relevant employees based in the U.K. The Pension Plan was available to all employees in the U.K., but closed to future service accrual for all members on March 31, 2010. Dr. McRobbie and Dr. Boon, were members of this Pension Plan on that date. The Corporation does not participate in any other defined benefit pension arrangements in respect of any of the NEOs. The Defined Benefit Pension table therefore covers the Pension Plan only.

🌑: The number of years of credited service is based on service to March 31, 2010, when the Pension Plan closed to future service accrual.

🌑: The Pension Plan provides a pension on retirement of 1/57 of pensionable salary for each year of service. The amount of annual salary which is defined as pensionable under the Pension Plan is capped and at the time the plan closed, this cap was set at \$181,941. In the case of Dr. Boon, salary in excess of this was not pensionable. Dr. McRobbie was also a member of this Pension Plan but is not subject to the cap on pensionable salary as he joined the Pension Plan prior to the introduction of the cap. As a result, Dr. McRobbie s pensionable salary was his full base salary.

🌑: Pensionable salary under the Pension Plan is defined as base salary only, up to the pensions cap where relevant. Any bonus payments, incentive payments or supplementary payments are not treated as pensionable.

🌑: Under the rules of the Pension Plan, normal retirement age is 65 although members can retire at 60 without an actuarial reduction. Retirement between the ages of 55 and 60 is permitted, but the pension payable is reduced by an amount determined by the actuarial advisors to the Trustees of the Pension Plan. If a member of the Pension Plan is made redundant by the Corporation and is already aged 50 or over, then, under the rules of the Pension Plan,

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

they are able to take their pension immediately without any actuarial reduction. If, however, a member was under 50 at the time of severance, they would be entitled to unreduced pension benefits from age 55. From April 2010, the minimum age from which pension benefits can be paid increased to 55 (with the exception of certain members protected under U.K. pension legislation). Dr. Boon and Dr. McRobbie are classed as protected members and are therefore unaffected by the change in April 2010. Any benefit paid would be in the normal form payable by the Pension Plan, namely a monthly pension with an option to surrender part of this pension for a tax free lump sum, in line with U.K. tax regulations.

Table of Contents

🌑 If an individual chooses to transfer benefits into the Pension Plan from another plan, they will be provided with a service credit in lieu of the transferred in benefits. The amount of service credit given is calculated by the actuaries on behalf of the Trustees of the Pension Plan and is designed to be cost neutral to the Pension Plan. The right to transfer is subject to the approval of the Trustees of the Pension Plan, who have determined that with effect from April 1, 2010, no further transfers in will be accepted following the closure of the Pension Plan to future service accrual.

🌑 Dr. Boon joined the Pension Plan on June 21, 1997 and received a service credit of 4.021 years in lieu of transferred in benefits. This is included in the figure for total credited service and equates to an additional present value accumulated benefit of \$391,228 which is included in the figure for the Present Value of Accumulated Benefit in the table. Dr. McRobbie joined the Pension Plan on January 1, 2002 and received a service credit of 2.000 years in lieu of transferred in benefits from another plan. This is included in the total credited service in the table and equates to \$222,859 of additional present value accumulated benefit which is included in the total Present Value of Accumulated Benefit in the table above.

🌑 The Corporation does not provide any non-qualified deferred compensation programs.

🌑 The present value of accumulated benefits as at December 31, 2016 has been calculated using the following principal assumptions:

Discount rate	2.48% per annum
Post retirement pension increases	2.25% per annum based on CPI on pensions in excess of the Guaranteed Minimum Pension (GMP). GMP is assumed to increase in line with statutory requirements.
Pre-retirement decrements	Individuals are assumed to remain in service and retire at the earliest age at which they can take their full pension benefits unreduced in normal health and circumstances, except for Dr. McRobbie who has already started to take his pension benefits from the Pension Plan.
Post retirement mortality	Self-Administered Pension Schemes (SAPS) Series 2 All Pensioners (Amounts) tables with a multiplier of 98% for males and 96% for females. The calculations also include allowance for improvements to mortality rates in the future in line with CMI 2014 core projection from 2007 onwards with a long term trend rate of 1.5% per annum.
Commutation	At retirement, individuals are assumed to commute 20% of their benefits in exchange for a cash lump sum based on the current factors in force, except for Dr. McRobbie who chose not to commute any of his benefits at the time he started to take them from the Pension Plan.

Table of Contents**POST EMPLOYMENT PAYMENTS**

The following table quantifies the potential payments upon termination or change of control that any of our NEOs would receive assuming that the relevant termination event had occurred on December 31, 2016. The potential payments relating to vested and unvested Stock options include payments relating to SEUs as well as options.

Name and Principal Position	Benefit	Retirement	Termination without cause	Termination in event of Change of Control	Death in Service
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mr. Patrick S. Williams President and Chief Executive Officer	Cash Severance - Salary and benefits	0	960,000	1,920,000	0
	Cash Severance - Bonus	0	720,000	1,440,000	0
	Vested Stock options	0	0	0	0
	Unvested Stock options	637,760	637,760	5,711,966	5,711,966
	Life Assurance	0	0	0	1,200,000
	Total	637,760	2,317,760	9,071,966	6,911,966
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Cash Severance - Salary and benefits	0	298,594	597,188	0
	Cash Severance - Bonus	0	140,878	281,755	0
	Vested Stock options	0	0	0	0
	Unvested Stock options	179,626	179,626	1,256,720	1,256,720
	Life Assurance	0	0	0	1,690,533
	Total	179,626	619,098	2,135,663	2,947,253
Dr. Philip J. Boon Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	Cash Severance - Salary and benefits	0	325,241	650,482	0
	Cash Severance - Bonus	0	154,201	308,402	0
	Vested Stock options	13,785	13,785	109,343	109,343
	Unvested Stock options	137,471	137,471	2,562,440	2,562,440
	Life Assurance	0	0	0	1,850,414
	Total	151,256	630,698	3,630,667	4,522,197
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	Cash Severance - Salary and benefits	0	238,106	476,212	0
	Cash Severance - Bonus	0	110,634	221,267	0
	Vested Stock options	48,072	48,072	381,050	381,050
	Unvested Stock options	417,387	148,730	1,003,336	1,003,336
	Life Assurance	0	0	0	1,327,602
	Total	465,459	545,542	2,081,865	2,711,988
Mr. Brian R. Watt Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs	Cash Severance - Salary and benefits	0	225,201	450,402	0
	Cash Severance - Bonus	0	112,601	225,201	0
	Vested Stock options	46,631	46,631	369,677	369,677
	Unvested Stock options	124,826	124,826	1,133,488	1,133,488
	Life Assurance	0	0	0	1,351,206
	Total	171,457	509,259	2,178,768	2,854,371

Footnotes to Post Employment Payments table:

🌑: In the case of resignation or dismissal for cause, none of the NEOs would be entitled to any post employment payments from the Corporation.

🌑: The NEOs are treated in line with all other employees in the event of retirement or change of control in terms of payments relating to stock options and SEUs. In the case of retirement, under the rules of the CSOP, any CSOP options or SEUs granted will vest and become exercisable; whilst under the rules of the

Table of Contents

PR SOP, options or SEUs which have not vested will lapse. The value of any stock options and SEUs which will become exercisable under each scenario, using the 2016 year end stock price of \$68.50, is included in the table above, as an indication.

🌑: The employment agreement for each NEO includes a change in control clause. This specifies that, in the event of a change in control of the Corporation, if the Corporation terminates the Executive Officer within twelve months of the change of control, or if the Executive Officer terminates his employment within twelve months for good cause, the Executive Officer will be entitled to a compensation payment. If the Corporation terminates the employment of the Executive Officer during this period, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation defined as base salary, bonus at target and any car allowance from the date of notice of termination. If the Executive Officer terminates his employment, the payment is calculated as twenty four months compensation, defined as above, from the date of the change of control. In addition, under the rules of the stock option plans, all options would vest on the change of control. The NEOs are treated in the same way as other employees who hold options under the plans. Change of control is deemed to have occurred if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Corporation; there is a consolidation or merger and the Corporation is not the surviving Corporation; the stockholders of the Corporation approve plans or proposals for a liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation or, if following a cash offer or merger, the members of the Board cease to constitute a majority of the Board. The amounts detailed in the Post Employment Payments table include the compensation payments and the value of any stock options which will become exercisable in these scenarios, using the 2016 year end stock price of \$68.50, as an indication.

🌑: NEOs based in the U.K. are provided with life assurance cover at six times their base salary if they die in service. In the case of the Executive Officers based in the U.S., the death in service cover is 1.25 times base salary. The amount of these potential payments for each NEO is included in the table above, as an indication.

🌑: If the Corporation terminates the employment of an Executive Officer without cause, the Executive Officer would normally be eligible for a severance payment to cover loss of salary and other direct compensation for the duration of the notice period specified in their employment agreement. All the NEOs have a twelve month notice period. In addition, in line with the rules of the share option plans, any CSOP options would vest and the Executive Officer would have twelve months from the date of termination to exercise these and any vested options under any of the share plans. With regards to the options, the NEOs are treated the same way as other employees who hold options under the plans. The amounts detailed in the post-employment payments table include the severance payments and the value of any share options which will become exercisable, using the 2016 year end stock price of \$68.50.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation****DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2016**

Name	Fees	Option Awards	Total
	Earned or Paid in Cash		
	\$	\$	\$
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	103,000	65,000	168,000
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore	160,000	65,000	225,000
Mr. Martin M. Hale *	53,000	65,000	118,000
Mr. David F. Landless	100,500	65,000	165,500
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield	90,000	65,000	155,000
Mr. Robert I. Paller	90,000	65,000	155,000
Mr. Joachim Roeser	105,000	65,000	170,000

(*) Mr. Hale was a Director for part of the year only. He retired upon the expiration of his term following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 4, 2016.

The director's compensation is a flat annual fee based on the following arrangement:

🌑: An annual retainer of \$160,000, paid quarterly, to the Chairman of the Board;

🌑: An annual retainer of \$90,000, paid quarterly, for all other NEDs;

🌑: An additional annual retainer of \$10,000, paid quarterly, for the Chairman of the Compensation Committee;

🌑: An additional annual retainer of \$16,000, paid quarterly, for the Chairman of the Audit Committee;

🌑: An additional annual retainer of \$8,000, paid quarterly, for the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

🌑: An additional annual retainer of \$5,000, paid quarterly, to the members of the Audit Committee;

🌑: No additional daily fees for attendance at Board or Committee meetings or calls, except as provided below; and

🌑: NEDs may receive an additional daily fee of \$2,000 for additional days provided at the specific request of the CEO.

In addition to the compensation arrangements described above:

🌑: Each NED is entitled to reimbursement for any reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with travel to and from, and attendance at, meetings of the Board of Directors or its Committees and related activities.

Table of Contents

- 🌑 Each NED also receives an annual grant of stock options under the Non-Executive Directors Stock Option Plan (NEDSOP) in February equal to \$65,000, based on the closing stock price for Corporation stock on the date prior to grant (30% of such options are granted at zero cost). Options are granted either at market value or at zero exercise price and in either case become exercisable normally after three years, with all options vesting at the end of this period. All options have a ten-year term. However, if an option is granted to a participant who is, or would otherwise be, subject to Section 409A of the Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the Plan.
- 🌑 The values of the option awards for the Directors included in the Director Compensation table is determined using the number of options awarded and the grant date fair value for each option award made in the year. The grant date fair values on the Corporation's stock options are calculated using the Black-Scholes model, with reference to the underlying stock price, option exercise price, volatility of the Corporation's stock price, risk free rate and expected dividend yield. For options with additional characteristics, such as vesting criteria linked to stock market indices or stock price performance, a Monte Carlo simulation is used to model the range of potential outcomes. For further information on the assumptions underlying these grant date fair values refer to Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

🌑 The number of unexercised options outstanding as of December 31, 2016 for each NED is detailed below:

Name	Number of Options	Grant Price \$	Date of Grant
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	441	0.00	02/22/16
	1,030	44.18	02/22/16
	444	0.00	02/23/15
	1,035	43.95	02/23/15
	977	46.03	02/14/14
	1,089	41.31	02/20/13
	1,522	29.56	02/23/12
	1,660	27.11	02/22/11
	4,335	10.38	02/17/10
	3,398	4.62	03/24/09
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore	441	0.00	02/22/16
	1,030	44.18	02/22/16
	444	0.00	02/23/15
	1,035	43.95	02/23/15
	977	46.03	02/14/14
Mr. Martin M. Hale	441	0.00	02/22/16
	1,030	44.18	02/22/16
	444	0.00	02/23/15
	1,035	43.95	02/23/15
	977	46.03	02/14/14
	1,089	41.31	02/20/13
	1,522	29.56	02/22/12
	1,660	27.11	02/22/11
	4,335	10.38	02/17/10
	3,398	4.62	03/24/09
Mr. David F. Landless	2,224	20.23	02/20/08
	1,218	27.09	02/21/07
	1,000	0.00	05/05/16
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield	404	0.00	05/05/16
	942	48.28	05/05/16
	441	0.00	02/22/16
Mr. Robert I. Paller	1,030	44.18	02/22/16
	444	0.00	02/23/15
	1,035	43.95	02/23/15
	977	46.03	02/14/14
	1,089	41.31	02/20/13
	1,522	29.56	02/23/12
	1,660	27.11	02/22/11
Mr. Joachim Roeser	3,673	12.25	05/24/10
	441	0.00	02/22/16
	1,030	44.18	02/22/16
	444	0.00	02/23/15
	1,035	43.95	02/23/15
	977	46.03	02/14/14
	1,089	41.31	02/20/13
	1,522	29.56	02/23/12
1,660	27.11	02/22/11	
2,038	22.08	05/07/08	

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plans**

The following table summarizes information, as of December 31, 2016 relating to current equity compensation plans of the Corporation approved by security holders pursuant to which grants of options, restricted stock, restricted stock units or other rights to acquire stock have been granted from time to time under the CSOP, PRSOP, NEDSOP and Co-Investment Plan. The Corporation does not have any equity compensation plans which have not been approved by security holders. Additional information about the CSOP, PRSOP and Co-Investment Plan can be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the proxy statement and information about the NEDSOP can be found in the Director Compensation section of the proxy statement.

Plan Category	No. of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	338,919	\$ 11.568	547,976
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	338,919	\$ 11.568	547,976

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS

The Corporation has retained and continues to retain Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP, a law firm with which Mr. Paller is Of Counsel. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 the Corporation paid Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP, \$638,446.00 in fees for services provided during the period.

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS APPROVAL POLICY

Pursuant to the Corporation's Code of Ethics Policy, all senior officers must disclose to the Board of Directors any material transaction or relationship that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interests. The Code of Ethics Policy also states that no employee may seek to obtain special treatment from the Corporation for family members, friends or for businesses in which family members or friends have an interest. During the year ended December 31, 2016 the Corporation has not made any charitable contributions to any charity on which any Director serves as an Executive Officer.

INFORMATION REGARDING THE CORPORATION S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The independent registered public accounting firm of the Corporation, selected by the Audit Committee for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 is KPMG Audit Plc.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Board has adopted a written Audit Committee Charter.

As part of fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee:

1. held meetings with the Corporation's Business Assurance function and the independent registered public accounting firm, both in the presence of management and privately to discuss the overall scope and plans for the respective audits, the results of the audits, the evaluations of the Corporation's internal controls and the overall quality of the Corporation's final reports;
2. reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2016 with management and the independent registered public accounting firm;
3. discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standards 1301 Communications with Audit Committees, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; and
4. received the written disclosure and the letter from KPMG Audit Plc required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Rule 3526 regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning Independence, and discussed that firm's independence with representatives of the firm. The Audit Committee has also considered whether KPMG Audit Plc's provision of non-audit services to the Corporation is compatible with its independence.

Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, that the Corporation's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 filed with the SEC.

No portion of this Audit Committee Report shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act, through any general statement incorporating by reference in its entirety the Proxy Statement in which this report appears, except to the extent that the Corporation specifically incorporates this report or a portion of it by reference. In addition, this report shall not be deemed to be filed under either the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

The foregoing report has been approved by all members of the Audit Committee.

DAVID F. LANDLESS, Chair

HUGH G. C. ALDOUS

JOACHIM ROESER

Table of Contents***Principal Accountant Fees and Services***

The Board of Directors are seeking ratification of KPMG Audit Plc's appointment at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in respect of the 2017 fiscal year as described in Proposal 4 in this Proxy Statement.

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for the Corporation by KPMG Audit Plc and other global KPMG member firms for the fiscal years 2016 and 2015 were:

Fee type	Fiscal 2016 \$ 000	Fiscal 2015 \$ 000
Audit	1,369	1,428
Audit Related	-	-
Tax	-	-
Other	-	-
Total	1,369	1,428

Note 1: The aggregate fees included in *Audit* fees are fees billed for the fiscal years for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation, statutory and subsidiary audits, and review of documents filed with SEC. The aggregate fees included in each of the other categories are fees billed in the fiscal years.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permitted non-audit services provided by the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to the Audit Committee Chairman, provided all such delegated pre-approval decisions are reported to the Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. General pre-approval of certain audit, audit related and tax services, which are detailed as to type of service, is granted by the Audit Committee at each quarterly meeting. The Audit Committee subsequently reviews fees that are paid for such pre-approved services. Specific pre-approval is required for all other services that are requested of our independent registered public accounting firm. These requests are reviewed quarterly, and the status of all such requests and services is reviewed with the Audit Committee.

In fiscal years 2016 and 2015, \$nil and \$nil, respectively, were paid to the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for which the *de minimis* exception was used. The Audit Committee reviewed and approved the audit and non-audit services rendered by the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm to the Corporation during the fiscal year 2016 and concluded such services were compatible with maintaining their independence.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP**

The table Beneficial Owners at fiscal year-end 2016 sets out certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Corporation's Common Stock as of December 31, 2016 by holders of more than 5% of the Corporation's outstanding Common Stock. The table Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers sets out information with regard to the Directors of the Corporation, our Named Executive Officers, and all current Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation as a group. As of December 31, 2016 excluding treasury stock, there were 24,071,158 shares of Common Stock outstanding. According to the rules adopted by the SEC, a person is the beneficial owner of securities if he or she has or shares the power to vote them or to direct their investment or has the right to acquire beneficial ownership of such securities within sixty days through the exercise of an option, warrant, right of conversion of a security or otherwise. The percentage of the Corporation's Common Stock beneficially owned by a person assumes that the person has exercised all options and converted all convertible securities that the person holds which are exercisable or convertible within sixty days of the date as of which such information is provided in the applicable table. To the knowledge of the Corporation, each stockholder has sole voting and investment power with respect to the stock indicated as beneficially owned, unless otherwise indicated in a footnote. Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of each person is the Corporation's corporate address.

BENEFICIAL OWNERS AT FISCAL YEAR END 2016

(INFORMATION AS REPORTED IN SCHEDULE 13G AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016)

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner		Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York NY 10022	(1)	2,774,052	11.60%
FMR LLC 245 Summer Street Boston MA 02210	(2)	2,739,320	11.422%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern Pennsylvania PA 19355	(3)	1,982,465	8.26%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin TX 78746	(4)	1,325,355	5.53%
Huber Capital Management LLC 2321 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 3245 El Segundo California CA 90245	(5)	1,261,751	5.26%

Based on a review of filings with the SEC, the Corporation is unaware of other holders of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Innospec Inc. Common Stock.

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Notes:

- (1) According to a Schedule 13G dated January 10, 2017 BlackRock, Inc., has sole voting power over 2,707,970 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,774,052 shares.

Table of Contents

- (2) According to a Schedule 13G/A dated February 14, 2017 filed jointly by FMR LLC. (FMR) and Abigail P. Johnson (Ms. Johnson), neither FMR LLC nor Abigail P. Johnson has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the various investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act (Fidelity Funds) advised by Fidelity Management & Research Company (FMR Co), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, which power resides with the Fidelity Funds Boards of Trustees. Fidelity Management & Research Company carries out the voting of the shares under written guidelines established by the Fidelity Funds Board of Trustees.

- (3) According to a Schedule 13G/A dated February 9, 2017 The Vanguard Group have sole voting power over 28,342 shares, sole dispositive power over 1,951,778 shares, shared voting power over 3,600 shares, shared dispositive power over 30,687 shares and beneficially held shares of 1,951,778 shares.

- (4) According to a Schedule 13G dated February 9, 2017 filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP, it has sole voting power over 1,257,147 shares and sole dispositive power over 1,325,355 shares. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP, an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, furnishes investment advice to four investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and serves as investment manager or sub-adviser to certain other commingled funds, group trusts and separate accounts (such investment companies, trusts and accounts, collectively referred to as the Funds). In certain cases, subsidiaries of Dimensional Fund Advisors LP may act as an adviser or sub-adviser to certain Funds. In its role as investment adviser, sub-adviser and/or manager, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP or its subsidiaries (collectively, Dimensional) may possess voting and/or investment power over the securities of the Issuer that are owned by the Funds, and may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares of the Issuer held by the Funds. However, all securities reported in this schedule are owned by the Funds. Dimensional disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities.

- (5) According to a Schedule 13G/A dated February 13, 2017 Huber Capital Management LLC, has sole voting power over 446,188 shares and sole dispositive power over 1,261,751 shares.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS OF JANUARY 31, 2017**

The following table sets out the amount of the Corporation's common stock beneficially owned by each of the Directors, the CEO, the CFO and the other NEOs of the Corporation:

Name		Shares Owned Directly or Indirectly	Shares Underlying Options Exercisable within 60 days	Total	Percent of Class
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	(1)	29,786	12,981	42,767	*
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore	(2)	6,558	977	7,535	*
Dr. Philip J. Boon		32,627	7,020	39,647	*
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson		27,997	0	27,997	*
Mr. David F. Landless		0	0	0	*
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie		40,889	0	40,889	*
Mr. Robert I. Paller		7,681	8,921	16,602	*
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield		2,019	2,085	4,104	*
Mr. Joachim Roeser		4,722	7,286	12,008	*
Mr. Brian R. Watt		32,089	5,270	37,359	*
Mr. Patrick S. Williams		186,242	24,929	211,171	*
Directors and Executive Officers as a group (13 persons)	(3)	413,363	71,753	485,116	2.02

Footnotes to Stock Ownership table:

- (*) Less than 1%
- (1) In the case of Mr. Aldous this figure includes 15,380 shares held by Union Pension Trustees
- (2) In the case of Mr. Blackmore this figure includes 1,000 held by The Milton & Janet Blackmore Trust
- (3) Includes the above named directors and officers as well as Dr. Catherine Hessner and Mr. David Williams

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Corporation's Directors and Officers, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of the Corporation's Common Stock and other equity securities, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of the Corporation's Common Stock or other equity securities with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Corporation with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely upon a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Corporation, or written representations that no Form 5 filings were required, the Corporation believes that each of its Officers, Directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the Common Stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them during fiscal 2016.

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, management is not aware of any matters to be presented at the meeting other than the matters specifically stated in the Notice of Meeting and discussed in this Proxy Statement. If any other matter or matters are properly brought before the meeting, the persons named in the enclosed Proxy Form have discretionary authority to vote the proxy on each such matter in accordance with their judgement.

SOLICITATION AND EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION

The solicitation of proxies will be made initially through the internet and by e-mail. The Corporation's Directors, Executive Officers and employees may also solicit proxies in person or by telephone without additional compensation. In addition, proxies may be solicited by certain banking institutions, brokerage firms, custodians, trustees, nominees and fiduciaries that will mail material to or otherwise communicate with the beneficial owners of shares of the Corporation's Common Stock. All expenses of solicitation of proxies will be paid by the Corporation.

ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS

A copy of the Corporation's 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 is now available to Stockholders via the internet at www.envisionreports.com/iosp. Stockholders who require a printed copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K may obtain one by writing or calling Investor Relations, Innospec Inc., Innospec Manufacturing Park, Oil Sites Road, Ellesmere Port, Cheshire, CH65 4EY, England, telephone +44-151-355-3611, or by e-mail to investor@innospecinc.com.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDERS PROPOSALS FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The Corporation anticipates holding its 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 9, 2018.

Under the regulations of the SEC, any stockholder wishing to make a proposal to be acted upon at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and have it included in our proxy materials must present such proposals to the Secretary of the Corporation not later than November 23, 2017.

Stockholder proposals or Director nominations not included in a proxy statement for an annual meeting must comply with the advance notice procedures and information requirements set out in the Bylaws of the Corporation in order to be properly brought before that Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Under the Corporation's Bylaws, any stockholder desiring to make a proposal to be acted upon at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must present such proposals to the Corporate Secretary not before February 9, 2018 or not later than March 11, 2018.

By order of the Board of Directors

David E. Williams

Vice President, General Counsel,

Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary

March 17, 2017

PLEASE VOTE VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS ON YOUR NOTICE OR PROXY CARD OR ALTERNATIVELY, IF YOU HAVE REQUESTED WRITTEN MATERIALS SIGN, DATE AND RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD IN THE RETURN ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Table of Contents

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED
BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 10, 2017.

Vote by Internet

Go to
www.envisionreports.com/iosp

Or scan the QR code with your
smartphone

Follow the steps outlined on the
secure website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

q

A Proposals The Board recommends a vote FOR all nominees and FOR Proposals 3 and 4, and every 1 YR for Proposal 2.

1. Re-election of Directors: For Withhold For Withhold +
01 - Hugh G.C. Aldous 02 - Joachim Roeser

1 Yr 2 Yr 3 Yr Abstain

2. Frequency of Say on Pay
- An advisory vote on the frequency of the vote on the approval of executive compensation

For Against Abstain

For Against Abstain

3. Say on Pay - An advisory vote on the approval of executive compensation.

4. Proposal to ratify the Company's independent public accounting firm for 2017.

5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

B Non-Voting Items

Change of Address Please print your new address below.
your comments below.

Comments Please print
Meeting Attendance

Mark the box to
the right if you
plan to attend the
Annual Meeting.

C Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**

Please sign exactly as name(s) appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, corporate officer, trustee, guardian, or custodian, please give full title.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print
date below.

Signature 1 Please keep signature
within the box.

Signature 2 Please keep signature
within the box.

/ /

02ILHB

Table of Contents

2017 Annual Meeting Admission Ticket

2017 Annual Meeting of

Innospec Inc. Stockholders

Wednesday, May 10, 2017, 10:00 a.m. Local Time

Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP

1301 Avenue of the Americas,

21st Floor

New York, NY 10019

Upon arrival, please present this admission ticket and photo identification at the registration desk.

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.q

Proxy Innospec Inc.

Notice of 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Proxy Solicited by Board of Directors for Annual Meeting May 10, 2017

Patrick S. Williams and Ian P. Cleminson, or any of them, each with the power of substitution, are hereby authorized to represent and vote the shares of the undersigned, with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Innospec Inc. to be held on May 10, 2017 or at any postponement or adjournment thereof. **Shares represented by this proxy will be voted by the stockholder. If no such directions are indicated, the Proxies will have authority to vote FOR all nominees and FOR Proposals 2, 3 and 4. In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.** (Items to be voted appear on reverse side.)