

MID PENN BANCORP INC
Form 424B4
October 11, 2017
Table of Contents

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4)
File Number 333-220020

Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus

MERGER PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

Dear Shareholder:

On March 29, 2017, Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank, and The Scottsdale Bank & Trust Company, or Scottsdale, entered into a merger agreement under which Scottsdale will merge with and into Mid Penn Bank, with Mid Penn Bank remaining as the surviving entity. Before we complete the merger, the shareholders of Mid Penn and Scottsdale must approve and adopt the merger agreement.

Mid Penn shareholders will vote to adopt the merger agreement and on the other matters described below at a special meeting of shareholders to be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on November 17, 2017 at the Halifax Area Ambulance and Rescue Association, Inc., 31 Bunker Hill Road, Halifax, Pennsylvania 17032. Scottsdale shareholders will vote to adopt the merger agreement and on the other matters described below at a special meeting of shareholders to be held at 2:00 p.m., local time, on November 17, 2017 at the Pleasant Valley Country Club, 440 Pleasant Valley Road, Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425.

If the merger is completed, Scottsdale shareholders will have the right to receive for each share of Scottsdale common stock they own, at their election, (i) \$1,166 in cash or (ii) a ratio of a share (the Exchange Ratio) of Mid Penn common stock determined by dividing (y) \$1,166 by (z) the 10 trading day per share volume-weighted average price of the Mid Penn common stock on the date that is five business days prior to the closing of the merger (the Average Price), provided that in no event may the exchange ratio be less than 38.88 or greater than 44.86, respectively. Scottsdale shareholders may also elect to receive a combination of cash and Mid Penn common stock. All such elections are subject to adjustment pursuant to the allocation and proration provisions described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus whereby at least 90% of the shares of Scottsdale common stock outstanding as of the effective time of the merger (approximately 45,647 shares) will be exchanged for shares of Mid Penn common stock and up to 10% of the outstanding shares (approximately 5,071 shares) of Scottsdale common stock will be exchanged for cash (however, the percentage could be adjusted down to 85% in the event that shareholders perfecting their dissenters rights reaches 15% of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock), as further described in the attached joint proxy statement/prospectus. Cash will be paid in lieu of any fractional shares. If the merger is completed Mid Penn will issue between 1,774,724 and 2,275,209 shares of common stock and pay up to approximately \$5,913,719 in cash in exchange for the outstanding shares of common stock of Scottsdale upon consummation of the merger, or an aggregate of approximately \$59,137,188 in cash and stock. However, Mid Penn may adjust the merger consideration described above if Scottsdale exercises its right to terminate the merger if both (a) average of the daily closing sale prices of a share of Mid Penn common stock as reported on Nasdaq for the 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding the later of (1) the date on which all regulatory approvals, and waivers, if applicable, necessary for consummation of the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement have been received or (2) the

date of the meeting of Scottsdale shareholders is less than \$19.50, and (b) the decrease in the price of Mid Penn common stock is more than 20% greater than the decrease in the SNL Small Cap Bank & Thrift Index during the same period.

Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, at least 90% of the total number of outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock will be converted into Mid Penn common stock, and the remaining outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock will be converted into cash. As a result, if more Scottsdale shareholders make valid elections to receive cash than is available as merger consideration under the merger agreement, those Scottsdale shareholders electing cash may have such over-subscribed consideration proportionately reduced and substituted with Mid Penn common stock. The material federal income tax consequences of the merger to Scottsdale shareholders will depend on whether cash, Mid Penn common stock, or a combination of cash and Mid Penn common stock is received in exchange for shares of Scottsdale common stock and are discussed in *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger*, beginning on page 86.

The common stock of Mid Penn trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol **MPB** and the common stock of Scottsdale trades on the OTC Pink Markets under the symbol **SDLJ**. On March 28, 2017, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$27.25 per share. Based upon this price equaling the Average Price with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, the exchange ratio would be 42.79. On September 29, 2017, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$29.40 per share, which would result in an exchange ratio of 39.92. If the Average Price of Mid Penn's common stock is between \$25.99 and \$29.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for Mid Penn common stock worth \$1,166 based on the Average Price. If the Average Price for Mid Penn's common stock is below \$25.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock, valued at less than \$1,166 based on the Average Price. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger, including from the Average Price; therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock.

The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that the merger is advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn and the Mid Penn board of directors unanimously recommends that the Mid Penn shareholders vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement and **FOR** the approval of the other proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The Scottsdale board of directors has determined that the merger is advisable and in the best interests of Scottsdale and the Scottsdale board of directors unanimously recommends that the Scottsdale shareholders vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement and **FOR** the approval of the other proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

You should read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes hereto and the documents incorporated by reference herein, carefully because it contains important information about the merger and the related transactions. **In particular, you should read carefully the information under the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 33.** You can also obtain information about Mid Penn from documents that it has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

/s/ Rory G. Ritrievi
Rory G. Ritrievi
President and Chief Executive Officer

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

/s/ Donald F. Kiefer
Donald F. Kiefer
President and Chief Executive
Officer
The Scottsdale Bank & Trust
Company

The shares of Mid Penn common stock to be issued to Scottdale shareholders in the merger are not deposits or savings accounts or other obligations of any bank or savings association, and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved the merger described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger, or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus is October 4, 2017, and it is first being mailed or otherwise delivered to shareholders on or about October 11, 2017.

Table of Contents

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

349 UNION STREET

MILLERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17061

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2017

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF MID PENN BANCORP, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of shareholders of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, will be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on November 17, 2017, at the Halifax Area Ambulance and Rescue Association, Inc., 31 Bunker Hill Road, Halifax, Pennsylvania 17032, to consider and vote on:

1. adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 29, 2017, by and among Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn, and The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, or Scottdale, which provides for, among other things, the merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank; and
2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to approve the merger agreement.

These items are described in more detail in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus and its annexes. You should read these documents in their entirety before voting. We have fixed October 3, 2017 as the record date for determining those Mid Penn shareholders entitled to vote at the special meeting. Accordingly, only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Your board of directors has unanimously determined that the proposed merger is advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn and unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Your board of directors also recommends that you vote **FOR** proposal 2 listed above. In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, each director and executive officer of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock that you own. We cannot complete the merger unless Mid Penn's shareholders approve the merger agreement.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, Mid Penn requests that you complete, sign, date and return, as promptly as possible, the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope or submit your proxy by telephone or Internet prior to the special meeting to ensure that your shares of Mid Penn common stock will be represented at the special meeting. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares. **If you fail to submit a proxy or to attend the special meeting and vote in person or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, your shares of Mid Penn common stock will not be counted and will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the merger agreement.**

We urge you to vote as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

/s/ Cindy L. Wetzel

Cindy L. Wetzel

Corporate Secretary

Millersburg, Pennsylvania

October 11, 2017

Table of Contents

THE SCOTTDALE BANK & TRUST COMPANY

150 PITTSBURGH STREET

SCOTTDALE, PENNSYLVANIA 15683

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2017

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF SCOTTDALE BANK & TRUST COMPANY:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of shareholders of The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, or Scottdale, will be held at 2:00 p.m., local time, on November 17, 2017, at the Pleasant Valley Country Club, 440 Pleasant Valley Road, Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425, to consider and vote on:

1. adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 29, 2017, by and among Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn, and Scottdale, which provides for, among other things, the merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank;
2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to approve the merger agreement.

All of these items, including the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the merger, are described in more detail in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus and its appendices. You should read these documents in their entirety before voting. We have fixed September 29, 2017 as the record date for determining those Scottdale shareholders entitled to vote at the special meeting. Accordingly, only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Your board of directors has unanimously determined that the proposed merger is advisable and in the best interests of Scottdale and unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Your board of directors also recommends that you vote **FOR** proposal 2 listed above. In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, each director and executive officer of Scottdale has agreed to vote all shares of Scottdale common stock owned by him in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

We urge you to vote as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of Scottdale common stock that you own. We cannot complete the merger unless Scottdale's shareholders approve the merger agreement.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, Scottdale requests that you complete, sign, date and return, as promptly as possible, the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope prior to the special meeting to ensure that your shares of Scottdale common stock will be represented at the special meeting. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares. **If you fail to submit a proxy or to attend the special meeting and vote in person or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, as applicable, your shares of Scottdale common stock will not be counted and will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the merger agreement.**

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

/s/ Robert B. Ferguson

Robert B. Ferguson

Corporate Secretary

Scottdale, Pennsylvania

October 11, 2017

Table of Contents

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Mid Penn from other documents that Mid Penn has filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, which we refer to as the SEC, and that are contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This information is available for you to review at the SEC's public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549, and through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

You may request copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and any of the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus or other information concerning Mid Penn, without charge, by telephone or written request directed to:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Investor Relations

(717) 692-7105

You may also request a copy of this proxy statement/prospectus and any of the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus or other information concerning Scottdale, without charge, by telephone or written request directed to:

The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company

150 Pittsburgh Street

Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683

Attention: Corporate Secretary

(724) 887-8330

To obtain timely delivery of these documents, you must request the information no later than November 8, 2017 in order to receive them before Mid Penn's special meeting of shareholders and no later than November 8, 2017 in order to receive them before Scottdale's special meeting of shareholders.

The joint proxy statement/prospectus is also available on Mid Penn's website at www.midpennbank.com. The information on Mid Penn's website is not part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. References to Mid Penn's and Scottdale's websites in this joint proxy statement/prospectus are intended to serve as textual references only.

ABOUT THIS JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This document, which forms part of a registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC by Mid Penn (File No. 333-220020, constitutes a prospectus of Mid Penn under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer

to as the Securities Act, with respect to the shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of Mid Penn, which we refer to as Mid Penn common stock, to be issued pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 29, 2017, by and among Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank and Scottsdale, which we refer to as the merger agreement. This document also constitutes a proxy statement of Mid Penn and Scottsdale under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act. It also constitutes a notice of meeting with respect to the special meetings, at which each of Mid Penn and Scottsdale shareholders will be asked to vote to approve the merger agreement. Mid Penn has supplied all information contained or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Mid Penn, and Scottsdale has supplied all information contained or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Scottsdale.

Table of Contents

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Mid Penn and Scottdale have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different from that contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated October 4, 2017, and you should not assume that the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than such date. Further, you should not assume that the information incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date of the incorporated document. Neither the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Scottdale shareholders nor the issuance by Mid Penn of shares of its common stock pursuant to the merger agreement will create any implication to the contrary.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS</u>	1
<u>Questions about the Merger</u>	1
<u>Questions about the Mid Penn Special Meeting</u>	4
<u>Questions about the Scottdale Special Meeting</u>	7
<u>SUMMARY</u>	11
<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN</u>	21
<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE</u>	23
<u>UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	24
<u>COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)</u>	31
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	33
<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	40
<u>THE MERGER</u>	41
<u>Background of the Merger</u>	41
<u>Scottdale's Reasons for the Merger</u>	43
<u>Recommendation of Scottdale's Board of Directors</u>	45
<u>Opinion of Scottdale's Financial Advisor</u>	45
<u>Mid Penn's Reasons for the Merger</u>	56
<u>Recommendation of Mid Penn's Board of Directors</u>	57
<u>Opinion of Mid Penn's Financial Advisor</u>	57
<u>Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger</u>	69
<u>Scottdale Shareholders Have Dissenters' Rights in the Merger</u>	69
<u>Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger</u>	71
<u>Investigation by Scottdale's Special Committee</u>	71
<u>Interests of Scottdale's Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger</u>	72
<u>Indemnification</u>	72
<u>Compensation of the Scottdale Board of Directors</u>	72
<u>THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	73
<u>Terms of the Merger</u>	73
<u>Closing and Effective Time of the Merger</u>	73
<u>Consideration to Be Received in the Merger</u>	73
<u>Cash Election</u>	74
<u>Stock Election</u>	74
<u>Non-Election Shares</u>	75
<u>Proration Procedures</u>	75
<u>Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates</u>	76
<u>Dividends and Distributions</u>	76
<u>Representations and Warranties</u>	76
<u>Covenants and Agreements</u>	77
<u>Shareholder Meetings</u>	81
<u>Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers</u>	81
<u>Expenses and Fees</u>	83
<u>Indemnification and Insurance</u>	83
<u>Conditions to Complete the Merger</u>	84

<u>Termination of the Merger Agreement</u>	84
<u>Termination Fee</u>	85
<u>Expense Reimbursement Fee</u>	85
<u>Amendment, Waiver and Extension of the Merger Agreement</u>	86
<u>ACCOUNTING TREATMENT</u>	86
<u>MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER</u>	86

Table of Contents

<u>THE MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING</u>	90
<u>MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1</u>	
<u>APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	92
<u>MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2</u>	
<u>AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS</u>	92
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT MID PENN BANCORP, INC.</u>	94
<u>Business</u>	94
<u>Competition</u>	96
<u>Supervision and Regulation</u>	96
<u>Properties</u>	105
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	105
<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	105
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mid Penn</u>	105
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	143
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management of MidPenn</u>	145
<u>Directors and Executive Officers of Mid Penn</u>	146
<u>Committees and Meetings of the Mid Penn board of directors</u>	148
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	151
<u>Executive Officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank</u>	151
<u>INFORMATION REGARDING DIRECTORS</u>	152
<u>Compensation of the Mid Penn Board of Directors</u>	156
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	157
<u>Introduction</u>	157
<u>Compensation Objectives and Design</u>	157
<u>Management's Role in Determining Compensation</u>	158
<u>Compensation Consultant's Role in Determining Compensation</u>	158
<u>Results of Shareholder Say on Pay Vote in Determining Compensation</u>	158
<u>Components of Compensation</u>	158
<u>Base Salary</u>	158
<u>Cash Bonus Plan</u>	159
<u>2014 Restricted Stock Plan</u>	159
<u>Benefit Plans Available for All Employees</u>	159
<u>Group Insurance Plans</u>	160
<u>Retirement Plan</u>	160
<u>Employee Stock Purchase Plan</u>	160
<u>Company Vehicle and Other Perquisites</u>	160
<u>Accounting and Tax Treatments</u>	160
<u>Material Differences in Named Executive Officers' Compensation</u>	160
<u>Employment and Change in Control Agreements</u>	161
<u>Change in Control Agreements</u>	161
<u>Employment Agreement with Mr. Ritrievi</u>	161
<u>Letter Agreement with Mr. Peduzzi</u>	162
<u>Risk Analysis of Compensation Practices and Policies</u>	163
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	163
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	164
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	164
<u>Retirement Plans</u>	165
<u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL</u>	167

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
THE SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING

169
170

iv

Table of Contents

<u>SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1</u>	
<u>ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	172
<u>SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2</u>	
<u>AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS</u>	172
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE SCOTTDALE BANK & TRUST COMPANY</u>	173
<u>Business</u>	173
<u>Information about Scottdale Designees to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Board of Directors</u>	174
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Holders of Scottdale</u>	174
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	176
<u>Transactions with Certain Related Persons</u>	176
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Scottdale</u>	177
<u>COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS</u>	197
<u>MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION</u>	203
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	205
<u>EXPERTS</u>	205
<u>INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE</u>	205
Annex A <u>Agreement and Plan of Merger</u>	A-1
Annex B <u>Opinion of Ambassador Financial Group, Inc.</u>	B-1
Annex C <u>Opinion of Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P.</u>	C-1
Annex D <u>Pennsylvania Statutory Provisions Relating to Dissenter's Rights</u>	D-1

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS

The following questions and answers briefly address some commonly asked questions about the merger (as defined below) and the shareholder meetings. They may not include all the information that is important to the shareholders of Mid Penn and Scottdale. Shareholders of Mid Penn and Scottdale should each read carefully this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes and other documents referred to in this document.

Questions about the Merger

Q: What is the merger?

A: Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank and Scottdale have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 29, 2017, which is referred to as the merger agreement. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to, and is incorporated by reference in, this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The merger agreement contains the terms and conditions of the proposed business combination of Mid Penn Bank and Scottdale. Under the merger agreement, Scottdale will merge with and into Mid Penn Bank, with Mid Penn Bank remaining as the surviving entity. We refer to this transaction as the merger.

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: This document constitutes both a joint proxy statement of Mid Penn and Scottdale and a prospectus of Mid Penn. It is a joint proxy statement because the boards of directors of both companies are soliciting proxies from their respective shareholders. It is a prospectus because Mid Penn will issue shares of its common stock in exchange for shares of Scottdale common stock in the merger.

Mid Penn is sending these materials to its shareholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of Mid Penn common stock with respect to the proposed merger and the other matters to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting described in *The Mid Penn Special Meeting*, beginning on page 90.

Scottdale is sending these materials to its shareholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of Scottdale common stock with respect to the proposed merger and the other matters to be considered at the Scottdale special meeting described in *The Scottdale Special Meeting*, beginning on page 170.

Information about these meetings, the merger and the other business to be considered at the meetings is contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The merger cannot be completed unless shareholders of Mid Penn and Scottdale each approve the merger.

Q: Why is Mid Penn proposing the merger?

A: The Mid Penn board of directors, in unanimously determining that the merger is in the best interests of Mid Penn and its shareholders, considered a number of key factors that are described under the heading *The*

Merger Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger, beginning on page 56.

Q: Why is Scottdale proposing the merger?

A: The Scottdale board of directors, in unanimously determining that the merger is in the best interests of Scottdale and its shareholders, considered a number of key factors that are described under the heading *The Merger Scottdale s Reasons for the Merger*, beginning on page 43.

Q: What will Scottdale shareholders receive in the merger, and how will this affect holders of Mid Penn common stock?

A: Upon completion of the merger, Scottdale shareholders will be entitled to elect to receive, for each share of Scottdale common stock, subject to the election and adjustment procedures described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, (i) \$1,166 in cash or (ii) a ratio of a share (the exchange ratio) of Mid Penn common

Table of Contents

stock determined by dividing (y) \$1,166 by (z) the 10 trading day per share volume-weighted average price for Mid Penn common stock ending on the date that is five business days prior to the closing of the merger. At the closing of the merger, up to 10% of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock will be converted into the right to receive cash and the remaining outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock will be converted into the right to receive Mid Penn common stock. Because of the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock being issued in the merger, the percentage ownership interest in Mid Penn represented by the existing shares of Mid Penn common stock will be diluted. Mid Penn shareholders will not receive any merger consideration and will continue to own their existing shares of Mid Penn common stock after the merger.

Q: What equity stake will Scottsdale shareholders hold in Mid Penn immediately following the merger?

A: Following completion of the merger, assuming Scottsdale shareholders elect to convert 10% of the total outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock into cash and 90% of the total outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock into Mid Penn stock, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate 69.9% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale shareholders will own approximately 30.1% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Q: What is the value of the per share merger consideration?

A: On March 28, 2017, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$27.25 per share. Based on this price equaling the Average Price with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, the exchange ratio would be 42.79. On September 29, 2017, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$29.40 per share, which would result in an exchange ratio of 39.92. If the Average Price of Mid Penn's common stock is between \$25.99 and \$29.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for Mid Penn common stock worth \$1,166 based on the Average Price. If the Average Price for Mid Penn's common stock is below \$25.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock, valued at less than \$1,166 based on the Average Price. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger, including from the Average Price; therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock.

The following table shows (i) hypothetical Average Prices of Mid Penn common stock with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, (ii) the corresponding exchange ratio, (iii) the equivalent value of the merger consideration per share of Scottsdale common stock, calculated by multiplying the applicable Average Price by an exchange ratio of Mid Penn common stock that Scottsdale shareholders would receive in the merger for each share of Scottsdale common stock, and (iv) the per share cash consideration.

Historical	Exchange	Stock	Cash
Average	Ratio	Consideration	Consideration
Price of		Equivalent	Per Share
		Value	Value

Mid Penn

Common Stock

\$32.00	38.88	\$1,244.16	\$1,166.00
\$31.00	38.88	\$1,205.28	\$1,166.00
\$30.00	38.87	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$29.00	40.21	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$28.00	41.64	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$27.00	43.19	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$26.00	44.85	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$25.00	44.86	\$1,121.50	\$1,166.00
\$24.00	44.86	\$1,076.64	\$1,166.00

The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger and may be different from the hypothetical Average Price used in the above scenarios for calculating an exchange ratio. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Table of Contents

Q: If I am a Scottdale shareholder, when must I elect the type of merger consideration that I prefer to receive?

A: If you are a Scottdale shareholder and wish to elect the type of merger consideration you receive in the merger, you should carefully review and follow the instructions set forth in the election form, which is being separately mailed to Scottdale shareholders concurrently with or immediately following the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You will need to sign, date and complete the election form and transmittal materials and return them to the exchange agent, ComputerShare, at the address given in the materials. The election deadline will be the date that is no later than five business days prior to the closing date for the merger. Because of the way the election and proration procedures work, even if you submit a properly completed and signed election form, it is still possible that you may not receive exactly the type of merger consideration you have elected. If you do not submit a properly completed and signed election form to the exchange agent by the election deadline, you will have no control over the type of merger consideration you may receive. If you hold shares in street name, you will have to follow your broker's instructions to make an election.

Q: If I am a Scottdale shareholder, am I guaranteed to receive the type of merger consideration that I elect?

A: No. If Scottdale shareholders elect to convert more than 10% of the total outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock into cash, then the exchange agent will follow the proration procedures outlined under the heading *The Merger Agreement Consideration to be Received in the Merger* and *The Merger Agreement Proration Procedures* to ensure that at least 90% of the aggregate merger consideration is paid in shares of Mid Penn common stock and the remaining consideration is paid in cash. We have been advised that Lawrence Keister & Company, which holds 25,804 shares of Scottdale common stock, will elect to convert more than 90% of their holdings of Scottdale common stock into shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Q: What happens if I am eligible to receive a fraction of a share of Mid Penn common stock as part of the stock merger consideration?

A: If the aggregate number of shares of Mid Penn common stock that you are entitled to receive as part of the stock merger consideration includes a fraction of a share of Mid Penn common stock, you will receive cash in lieu of that fractional share. See the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Stock Election* beginning on page 74 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Who will be the directors and executive officers of the combined company following the merger?

A: Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will continue in office. Additionally, Mid Penn will appoint Donald F. Kiefer, President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Scottdale, or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate, to the board of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank effective upon closing of the Merger.

Q: When do you expect to complete the merger?

A: Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions described under the section entitled, *The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger* beginning on page 84 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including receipt of shareholder approvals at the respective special meetings of Mid Penn and Scottsdale, and receipt of regulatory approvals, we currently expect to complete the merger by the fourth quarter of 2017. It is possible, however, that factors outside of either company's control could result in us completing the merger at a later time or not completing it at all.

Q: What are the federal income tax consequences of the merger?

A: The merger has been structured to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which is referred to as the Internal Revenue Code. It is a condition to the completion of the merger that each of Mid Penn and Scottsdale receive a written opinion

Table of Contents

from their respective legal counsel to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The federal tax consequences of the merger to shareholders of Scottsdale will depend primarily on whether they exchange their Scottsdale common stock solely for Mid Penn common stock, solely for cash or for a combination of Mid Penn common stock and cash. Scottsdale shareholders who exchange their shares solely for Mid Penn common stock should not recognize gain or loss except with respect to the cash they receive in lieu of a fractional share. Scottsdale shareholders who exchange their shares solely for cash should recognize gain or loss on the exchange. Scottsdale shareholders who exchange their shares for a combination of Mid Penn common stock and cash should recognize gain, but not any loss, on the exchange. The actual federal income tax consequences to Scottsdale shareholders of electing to receive cash, Mid Penn common stock or a combination of cash and stock will not be ascertainable at the time Scottsdale shareholders make their election because it will not be known at that time how, or to what extent, the allocation and proration procedures will apply.

This tax treatment may not apply to all Scottsdale shareholders. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to Scottsdale shareholders can be complicated. Scottsdale shareholders should consult their own tax advisor for a full understanding of the merger's tax consequences that are particular to each shareholder. For further discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger, see *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger*, beginning on page 86.

Questions about the Mid Penn Special Meeting

Q: What am I being asked to vote on at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: You are being asked to consider and vote on:

1. adoption of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus; and
2. adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

Q: How does the Mid Penn board of directors recommend that I vote my shares?

A: The Mid Penn board of directors recommends that the Mid Penn shareholders vote their shares as follows:

FOR adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR an adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote 320,789 shares of Mid Penn common stock, or 7.6% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and executive officers of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of

Mid Penn common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, please submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the Mid Penn special meeting. Please follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or on the voting instruction form provided by the record holder if your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: Mid Penn shareholders of record as of the close of business on October 3, 2017, which is referred to as the Mid Penn record date.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: Each outstanding share of Mid Penn common stock is entitled to one vote.

Table of Contents

Q: How do I vote my Mid Penn shares?

A: You may vote your Mid Penn shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card, by internet or by voting in person at the Mid Penn special meeting.

Voting by Proxy. You may vote your Mid Penn shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a properly executed and dated proxy, but do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included on your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call (888) 497-9677 and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the Mid Penn special meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting.

Q: Why is my vote important?

A: Because the merger cannot be completed without the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Mid Penn special meeting, every shareholder's vote is important.

Q: If my shares of Mid Penn common stock are held in street name by my broker, will my broker automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No. Your broker **CANNOT** vote your shares on any proposal at the Mid Penn special meeting without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares, following the directions your broker provides to you.

Q: What if I fail to instruct my broker?

A: If you do not provide your broker with instructions, your broker generally will not be permitted to vote your shares on the merger proposal or any other proposal (a so-called "broker non-vote") at the Mid Penn special

meeting. For determining the number of votes cast with respect to the merger proposal, only those votes cast for or against the proposal are counted. Any broker non-votes submitted by brokers or nominees in connection with the special meeting, will not be counted as votes for or against for determining the number of votes cast, but will be treated as present for quorum purposes.

Q: What constitutes a quorum for the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: As of the Mid Penn record date, 4,240,754 shares of Mid Penn common stock were issued and outstanding, each of which will be entitled to one vote at the meeting. Under Mid Penn's bylaws, the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. If you vote by proxy, your shares will be included for determining the presence of a quorum. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are also included for determining the presence of a quorum.

Table of Contents

Q: Assuming the presence of a quorum, what is the vote required to approve the matters to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, at the Mid Penn special meeting is required to approve the proposals to approve and adopt the merger agreement and to adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, and any other matter that may properly come before the special meeting. Therefore, abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of the proposal to approve the merger or the adjournment proposal.

Q: Do I have appraisal or dissenters rights?

A: No. Under Pennsylvania law, holders of Mid Penn common stock will not be entitled to exercise any appraisal rights in connection with the merger.

Q: Can I attend the Mid Penn special meeting and vote my shares in person?

A: Yes. All shareholders, including shareholders of record and those who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Holders of record of Mid Penn common stock can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without proper proof of share ownership and without proper photo identification.

Q: Can I change my vote?

A: Yes. You may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date (if you submitted your proxy by Internet or by telephone, you can vote again by Internet or telephone), (2) delivering a written revocation letter to Mid Penn's Corporate Secretary, or (3) attending the special meeting in person and voting by ballot at the special meeting. Mid Penn's Corporate Secretary's mailing address is Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Any shareholder entitled to vote in person at the special meeting may vote in person regardless of whether a proxy has been previously given, and such vote will revoke any previous proxy, but the mere presence of a shareholder at the special meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy. A shareholder may change his or her vote up and until the time that votes are counted but not thereafter.

Q: How will proxies be solicited and who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: Mid Penn has engaged [Georgeson LLC] (Georgeson) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the Mid Penn special meeting of shareholders. Mid Penn has agreed to pay Georgeson approximately \$6,500, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify Georgeson against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

Mid Penn will bear the cost of preparing and assembling these proxy materials for the Mid Penn special meeting. The cost of printing and mailing these proxy materials will be shared equally between Mid Penn and Scottsdale. The solicitation of proxies or votes for the Mid Penn special meeting may also be made in person, by telephone, or by electronic communication by Mid Penn's directors, officers, and employees, none of whom will receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. In addition, Mid Penn may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners.

Table of Contents

Q: Can additional proposals be presented at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: No. Other than the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, no additional matters can be presented for a vote at the special meeting.

Q: Are there risks that I should consider in deciding whether to vote to approve the merger agreement?

A: Yes. You should consider the risk factors set out in the section entitled *Risk Factors* beginning on page 33 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What if I hold stock of both Mid Penn and Scottdale?

A: If you hold shares of both Mid Penn and Scottdale, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote as a Mid Penn shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting will not constitute a vote as a Scottdale shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Scottdale special meeting, and vice versa. Therefore, please sign, date and return all proxy cards that you receive, whether from Mid Penn or Scottdale, or submit separate proxies as both a Mid Penn shareholder and a Scottdale shareholder as instructed.

Q: Whom should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: If you are a Mid Penn shareholder and have any questions about the merger, need assistance in submitting your proxy or voting your shares of Mid Penn common stock, or if you need additional copies of this document or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact Georgeson, the proxy solicitor for Mid Penn at (888) 497-9677. You may also contact:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (717) 692-7105

Questions about the Scottdale Special Meeting

Q: What am I being asked to vote on at the Scottdale special meeting?

A: You are being asked to consider and vote on:

1. adoption of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus; and
2. adjournment of the Scottsdale special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

Q: How does the Scottsdale board of directors recommend that I vote my shares?

A: The Scottsdale board of directors recommends that the Scottsdale shareholders vote their shares as follows:

FOR adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR an adjournment of the Scottsdale special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Scottsdale and their affiliates had the right to vote 28,913 shares of Scottsdale common stock, or 57% of the outstanding Scottsdale common stock entitled to be voted at the Scottsdale special meeting. Each of the directors and executive officers of Scottsdale has agreed to vote all shares of Scottsdale common stock owned by him in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, please submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the Scottsdale special

Table of Contents

meeting. Please follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or on the voting instruction form provided by the record holder if your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Scottsdale special meeting?

A: Scottsdale shareholders of record as of the close of business on September 29, 2017, which is referred to as the Scottsdale record date, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Scottsdale special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: Each outstanding share of Scottsdale common stock is entitled to one vote.

Q: How do I vote my Scottsdale shares?

A: You may vote your Scottsdale shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card or by voting in person at the Scottsdale special meeting.

Voting by Proxy. You may vote your Scottsdale shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a properly executed and dated proxy, but do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting in Person. If you attend the Scottsdale special meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the Scottsdale special meeting.

Q: Why is my vote important?

A: Because the merger cannot be completed without the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock, every shareholder's vote is important.

Q: If my shares of Scottsdale common stock are held in street name by my broker, will my broker automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No. Your broker **CANNOT** vote your shares on any proposal at the Scottsdale special meeting without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares, following the directions

your broker provides to you. Please check the voting form used by your broker.

Q: What if I fail to instruct my broker?

A: If you do not provide your broker with instructions, your broker generally will not be permitted to vote your shares on the merger proposal or any other proposal (a so-called broker non-vote) at the Scottsdale special meeting. Because the affirmative vote of two-thirds of outstanding Scottsdale shares is necessary to approve the merger, any broker non-votes submitted by brokers or nominees in connection with the special meeting will in effect be a vote against the merger.

Q: What constitutes a quorum for the Scottsdale special meeting?

A: As of the Scottsdale record date, 50,718 shares of Scottsdale common stock were issued and outstanding, each of which will be entitled to one vote at the meeting. Under Scottdale s bylaws, the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. If you vote by proxy, your shares will be included for determining the presence of a quorum. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are also included for determining the presence of a quorum.

Table of Contents

Q: Assuming the presence of a quorum, what is the vote required to approve the matters to be considered at the Scottsdale special meeting?

A: The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock is required to approve the merger agreement. Because the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the holders of outstanding shares of Scottsdale is required to approve the merger agreement, abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to the merger agreement will effectively act as no votes on such proposal.

Q: Do any of Scottdale's directors or executive officers have interests in the merger that may differ from those of Scottsdale shareholders?

A: Scottdale's directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Scottsdale shareholders generally. The members of Scottdale's board of directors were aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending that Scottsdale shareholders approve the merger agreement. For a description of these interests, refer to the section entitled *Interests of Scottdale's Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 72 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Do I have appraisal or dissenters' rights?

A: Yes. Under Pennsylvania law, Scottsdale shareholders have the right to dissent from the merger agreement and the merger and to receive a payment in cash for the fair value of their shares of Scottsdale common stock as determined by an appraisal process. This value may be more or less than the value you would receive in the merger if you do not dissent. If you dissent, you will receive a cash payment for the value of your shares that will be fully taxable to you. To perfect your dissenters' rights, you must follow precisely the required statutory procedures. See *Scottsdale Shareholders Have Dissenters' Rights in the Merger* beginning on page 69.

Q: Can I attend the Scottsdale special meeting and vote my shares in person?

A: Yes. All shareholders, including shareholders of record and those who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Holders of record of Scottsdale common stock can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without proper proof of share ownership and without proper photo identification.

Q: Can I change my vote?

A: Yes. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to Scottdale's Corporate Secretary, or (3) attending the special meeting in person and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The mailing address for Scottdale's Corporate Secretary is The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, 150 Pittsburgh Street, Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683, Attention: Robert B. Ferguson.

Any shareholder entitled to vote in person at the special meeting may vote in person regardless of whether a proxy has been previously given, and such vote will revoke any previous proxy, but the mere presence of a shareholder at the special meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy. A shareholder may change his or her vote up and until the time that votes are counted but not thereafter.

Table of Contents

Q: How will proxies be solicited and who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the Scottsdale special meeting?

A: Scottsdale will bear the cost of preparing and assembling these proxy materials for the Scottsdale special meeting. The cost of printing and mailing these proxy materials will be shared equally between Mid Penn and Scottsdale. The solicitation of proxies or votes for the Scottsdale special meeting may also be made in person, by telephone, or by electronic communication by Scottsdale's directors, officers, and employees, none of whom will receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. In addition, Scottsdale may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners.

Q: Can additional proposals be presented at the Scottsdale special meeting?

A: No. Other than the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, no additional matters can be presented for a vote at the special meeting.

Q: Are there risks that I should consider in deciding whether to vote to approve the merger agreement?

A: Yes. You should consider the risk factors set out in the section entitled *Risk Factors* beginning on page 33 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What if I hold stock of both Mid Penn and Scottsdale?

A: If you hold shares of both Mid Penn and Scottsdale, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote as a Scottsdale shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Scottsdale special meeting will not constitute a vote as a Mid Penn shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting, and vice versa. Therefore, please sign, date and return all proxy cards that you receive, whether from Mid Penn or Scottsdale, or submit separate proxies as both a Mid Penn shareholder and a Scottsdale shareholder as instructed.

Q: Should I send in my Scottsdale stock certificates now?

A: **No, please do NOT return your stock certificate(s) with your proxy.** You will be provided separately an election form and instructions regarding the surrender of your stock certificates. You should then, prior to the election deadline, send your Scottsdale stock certificates to the exchange agent, together with your completed and signed election form.

Q: Whom should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: If you are a Scottdale shareholder and have any questions about the merger, need assistance in submitting your proxy or voting your shares of Scottdale common stock, or if you need additional copies of this document or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact:

The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company

150 Pittsburgh Street

Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Telephone: (724) 887-8330

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

*This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. We urge you to carefully read the entire joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other documents to which we refer in order to fully understand the merger and the related transactions. See **Where You Can Find More Information**. Each item in this summary refers to the page of this joint proxy statement/prospectus on which that subject is discussed in more detail.*

Information about the Parties

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

Mid Penn is a Pennsylvania business corporation and bank holding company with its headquarters in Millersburg, Pennsylvania. At June 30, 2017, Mid Penn had total consolidated assets of \$1.1 billion. Mid Penn is the parent company of Mid Penn Bank, serving the community since 1868, which operates twenty-two retail banking offices across six counties in Pennsylvania. Mid Penn common stock is traded on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB.

The principal executive offices of Mid Penn are located at Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061 and its telephone number is (717) 692-2133.

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Scottdale is a Pennsylvania bank and trust company with its headquarters in Scottdale, Pennsylvania. At June 30, 2017, Scottdale had total consolidated assets of \$259.2 million. Scottdale common stock is traded on the OTC Pink Market under the symbol SDLJ.

The principal executive offices of Scottdale are located at 150 Pittsburgh Street, Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683, and its telephone number is (724) 887-8330.

The Merger and the Merger Agreement (page 73)

The terms and conditions of the merger are contained in the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and incorporated by reference herein. Please carefully read the merger agreement as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, Scottdale will merge with and into Mid Penn Bank with Mid Penn Bank as the surviving corporation.

Mid Penn Will Hold Its Special Meeting on November 17, 2017 (page 90)

The Mid Penn special meeting will be held on November 17, 2017 at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Halifax Area Ambulance and Rescue Association, Inc., 31 Bunker Hill Road, Halifax, Pennsylvania 17032. At the special meeting, Mid Penn shareholders will be asked to:

1. adopt the merger agreement; and

2. approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement.

Record Date. Only holders of record of Mid Penn common stock at the close of business on October 3, 2017 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each share of Mid Penn common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the Mid Penn record date, there were 4,240,754 shares of Mid Penn common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Table of Contents

Required Vote. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the votes cast at the special meeting is required to approve the merger agreement and the proposal to adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies. The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock is necessary to constitute a quorum in order to transact business at the special meeting.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote 320,789 shares of Mid Penn common stock, or 7.6% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and executive officers of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Scottdale Will Hold Its Special Meeting on November 17, 2017 (page 170)

The Scottdale special meeting will be held on November 17, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., local time, at the Pleasant Valley Country Club, 440 Pleasant Valley Road, Connellsville, Pennsylvania, 15425. At the special meeting, Scottdale shareholders will be asked to:

1. adopt the merger agreement; and
2. approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement.

Record Date. Only holders of record of Scottdale common stock at the close of business on September 29, 2017 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each share of Scottdale common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the Scottdale record date, there were 50,718 shares of Scottdale common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Required Vote. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock is required to approve the merger agreement. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of a majority of votes cast is required to approve the proposal to adjourn the Scottdale special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Scottdale and their affiliates had the right to vote 28,913 shares of Scottdale common stock, or 57% of the outstanding Scottdale common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and the executive officers of Scottdale has agreed to vote all shares of Scottdale common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Scottdale Shareholders Will Receive Shares of Mid Penn Common Stock and/or Cash in the Merger Depending on Their Election and Any Proration (page 73).

Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding share of Scottdale common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, will be converted into the right to receive either (i) \$1,166 in cash or (ii) a ratio of a share of Mid Penn common stock determined by dividing (y) \$1,166 by (z) the 10 trading day per share volume-weighted average price for Mid Penn common stock ending on the date that is five business days prior to the closing of the merger provided that in no event may the exchange ratio be less than 38.88 or greater than 44.86, respectively, which we refer to as the stock consideration. Scottdale shareholders may elect to receive all cash, all

stock or cash for some of their shares and stock for the remainder of the shares they own. The total number of shares of Scottsdale common stock that will be converted into the cash consideration is up to 10% of the total number of shares of Scottsdale stock outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger, and the remaining shares of Scottsdale common stock will be converted into the stock consideration. As a result, if the aggregate number of shares with respect to which a valid cash election has been made exceeds these limits, shareholders who elected cash consideration that has been oversubscribed will receive a mixture of both cash and stock consideration in accordance with the proration procedures set forth in the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

The value of the cash consideration is fixed at \$1,166. On March 28, 2017, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$27.25 per share. Based upon this price equaling the Average Price with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, the exchange ratio would be 42.79. On September 29, 2017, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$29.40 per share, which would result in an exchange ratio of 39.92. If the Average Price of Mid Penn's common stock is between \$25.99 and \$29.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for Mid Penn common stock worth \$1,166 based on the Average Price. If the Average Price for Mid Penn's common stock is below \$25.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock, valued at less than \$1,166 based on the Average Price. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger, including from the Average Price; therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale common stock. The value of the stock consideration will fluctuate as the market price of Mid Penn common stock fluctuates before the completion of the merger. The market price of Mid Penn common stock at closing will not be known at the time of the Scottsdale special meeting and may be more or less than the current price of Mid Penn common stock or the price of Mid Penn common stock at the time of the Scottsdale special meeting or at the time an election is made.

The following table shows (i) hypothetical Average Prices of Mid Penn common stock with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, (ii) the corresponding exchange ratio, (iii) the equivalent value of the merger consideration per share of Scottsdale common stock, calculated by multiplying the applicable Average Price by an exchange ratio of Mid Penn common stock that Scottsdale shareholders would receive in the merger for each share of Scottsdale common stock, and (iv) the per share cash consideration.

Historical			
Average			
Price of			
Mid Penn		Stock	Cash
Common Stock	Exchange	Consideration	Consideration
	Ratio	Equivalent	Per Share
		Value	Value
\$32.00	38.88	\$1,244.16	\$1,166.00
\$31.00	38.88	\$1,205.28	\$1,166.00
\$30.00	38.87	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$29.00	40.21	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$28.00	41.64	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$27.00	43.19	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$26.00	44.85	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$25.00	44.86	\$1,121.50	\$1,166.00
\$24.00	44.86	\$1,076.64	\$1,166.00

The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger and may be different from the hypothetical Average Price used in the above scenarios for calculating an exchange ratio. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares of Mid Penn common stock.

In Order To Make a Valid Election, Scottsdale Shareholders Must Properly Complete and Deliver the Election Form that Will Be Sent Separately (page 74)

Scottsdale shareholders will receive separately an election form, including transmittal materials, with instructions for making cash and stock elections. Scottsdale shareholders must properly complete and deliver to the exchange agent an election form along with their stock certificates (or a properly completed notice of guaranteed delivery). The election form will also include delivery instructions with respect to any shares they may hold in book-entry form. Scottsdale shareholders should NOT send their stock certificates with their proxy card.

Table of Contents**Expected Material United States Federal Income Tax Treatment as a Result of the Merger (page 86)**

The merger is structured to be treated as a reorganization for United States federal income tax purposes. Each of Mid Penn and Scottdale has conditioned the consummation of the merger on its receipt of a legal opinion that this will be the case. The federal tax consequences of the merger to shareholders of Scottdale will depend primarily on whether they exchange their Scottdale common stock solely for Mid Penn common stock, solely for cash or for a combination of Mid Penn common stock and cash. Scottdale shareholders who exchange their shares solely for Mid Penn common stock should not recognize gain or loss except with respect to the cash they receive instead of a fractional share. Scottdale shareholders who exchange their shares solely for cash should recognize gain or loss on the exchange. Scottdale shareholders who exchange their shares for a combination of Mid Penn common stock and cash should recognize gain, but not any loss, on the exchange. The actual federal income tax consequences to Scottdale shareholders of electing to receive cash, Mid Penn common stock or a combination of cash and stock will not be ascertainable at the time Scottdale shareholders make their election because it will not be known at that time how, or to what extent, the allocation and proration procedures will apply.

This tax treatment may not apply to all Scottdale shareholders. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to Scottdale shareholders can be complicated. Scottdale shareholders should consult their own tax advisor for a full understanding of the merger's tax consequences that are particular to each shareholder.

Exceptions to these conclusions or other considerations may apply, some of which are discussed beginning on page 86. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to a Scottdale shareholder can be complicated. For further information, please refer to *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger* on page 86. **Scottdale shareholders should also consult their own tax advisors for a full understanding of the federal income tax and other tax consequences of the merger as they apply specifically to them.**

Accounting Treatment of the Merger (page 86)

The merger will be treated as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting with Mid Penn treated as the acquiror under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or US GAAP.

Market Prices and Share Information (page 203)

Mid Penn common stock is quoted on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB. Scottdale common stock is quoted on the OTC Pink Market under the symbol SDLJ.

The following table shows the closing sale prices of Mid Penn common stock and Scottdale common stock as reported on the respective markets on March 28, 2017, the last trading day before announcement of the merger, and on September 29, 2017, the last practicable trading day prior to mailing this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The table also presents the equivalent value of the merger consideration per share of Scottdale common stock on March 28, 2017, and September 29, 2017, calculated by multiplying the closing sale prices of Mid Penn common stock on those dates by an exchange ratio of Mid Penn common stock that Scottdale shareholders would receive in the merger for each share of Scottdale common stock if the closing of the merger would occur on such date.

Mid Penn Common Stock	Scottdale Common Stock	Exchange Ratio	Equivalent Per Share	Equivalent Per Share
-----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

				Value: All Stock Consideration	Value: All Cash Consideration
March 28, 2017	\$ 27.25	\$ 460	40.48	\$ 1,103.08	\$ 1,166
At September 29, 2017	\$ 29.40	\$ 1,170	39.92	\$ 1,173.64	\$ 1,166

The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger, including from the Average Price. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares.

Table of Contents

Following completion of the merger, assuming Scottsdale shareholders elect to convert 10% of the total outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock into cash and 90% of the total outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock into Mid Penn stock, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate 69.9% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and Scottsdale shareholders will own approximately 30.1% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock. Scottsdale shareholders' ownership in Mid Penn would be proportionately greater if holders of more than 90% of Scottsdale's shares elected shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Opinion of Scottsdale's Financial Advisor (page 45)

At the March 29, 2017 meeting at which the Scottsdale board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, Scottsdale's financial advisor, Ambassador Financial Group, Inc., or Ambassador, delivered its oral opinion to Scottsdale's board of directors, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of March 29, 2017, subject to the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations described in Ambassador's opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to Scottsdale shareholders.

The full text of Ambassador's opinion is attached as Annex B to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Ambassador in rendering its opinion.

Scottsdale shareholders should read the opinion and the summary description of Ambassador's opinion contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully in their entirety.

Ambassador's opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion of Ambassador does not reflect any developments that may have occurred or may occur after the date of its opinion and prior to the completion of the merger. Scottsdale does not expect that it will request an updated opinion from Ambassador. The opinion was directed to Scottsdale's board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to Scottsdale shareholders. Ambassador's opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any Scottsdale shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the Scottsdale merger proposal. Ambassador's opinion does not address the underlying business decision of Scottsdale to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for Scottsdale or the effect of any other transaction in which Scottsdale might engage. Ambassador did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by Scottsdale's officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholder of Scottsdale.

For further information, see *The Merger Opinion of Scottsdale's Financial Advisor*.

Opinion of Mid Penn's Financial Advisor (page 57)

At the March 29, 2017 meeting at which the Mid Penn board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, Mid Penn's financial advisor, Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., or Sandler, delivered its oral opinion to Mid Penn's board of directors, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of March 29, 2017, subject to the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations described in Sandler's opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn.

The full text of Sandler's opinion is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Sandler in rendering its opinion.

Table of Contents

Mid Penn shareholders should read the opinion and the summary description of Sandler's opinion contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully in their entirety.

Sandler's opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion of Sandler does not reflect any developments that may have occurred or may occur after the date of its opinion and prior to the completion of the merger. Mid Penn does not expect that it will request an updated opinion from Sandler. The opinion was directed to Mid Penn's board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to Mid Penn. Sandler's opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any Mid Penn shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the Mid Penn merger proposal. Sandler's opinion does not address the underlying business decision of Mid Penn to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for Mid Penn or the effect of any other transaction in which Mid Penn might engage. Sandler did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by Mid Penn's officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholder of Mid Penn.

For further information, see *The Merger Opinion of Mid Penn's Financial Advisor*.

Board of Directors and Executive Officers of Mid Penn after the Merger (page 69)

Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn will continue in office. Additionally, Mid Penn will appoint Donald F. Kiefer or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate to serve on the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank.

The Mid Penn Board of Directors Recommends That Mid Penn Shareholders Vote FOR Approval and Adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (page 92)

The Mid Penn board of directors believes that the merger is in the best interests of Mid Penn and its shareholders and has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement. The Mid Penn board of directors recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR approval and adoption of the agreement and plan of merger. The Mid Penn board also recommends that its shareholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

The Scottsdale Board of Directors Recommends That Scottsdale Shareholders Vote FOR Approval and Adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (page 172)

The Scottsdale board of directors believes that the merger is in the best interests of Scottsdale and its shareholders and has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement. The Scottsdale board of directors recommends that Scottsdale shareholders vote FOR approval and adoption of the agreement and plan of merger. The Scottsdale board also recommends that its shareholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

Scottsdale's Directors and Executive Officers Have Financial Interests in the Merger that May Differ from the Interests of Scottsdale Shareholders (page 72)

In addition to their interests as Scottsdale shareholders, the directors and certain executive officers of Scottsdale have interests in the merger that are different from or in addition to interests of other Scottsdale shareholders. For purposes

of the relevant Scottsdale agreements and plans, the completion of the merger will constitute a change in control. These additional interests may create potential conflicts of interest and cause some of these persons to

Table of Contents

view the proposed transaction differently than a Scottsdale shareholder may view it. The financial interests of Scottsdale's directors and executive officers in the merger include the following:

the appointment, effective at the closing of the merger, of Donald F. Kiefer or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate to the board of directors of Mid Penn Mid Penn Bank and the payment of compensation to such individual in accordance with the policies of Mid Penn, which currently consists of the following payments to each of its non-employee directors: an annual retainer of \$18,000, a fee of \$500 for each board meeting attended, and between \$500 and \$300 for each committee meeting attended, depending on the committee;

the continued indemnification of current directors and executive officers of Scottsdale and its subsidiaries pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement;

the retention of certain executive officers of Scottsdale, and payment of compensation to such executive officers, pursuant to settlement agreements between Mid Penn and each of them that will become effective at the closing of the merger; and

certain of Scottsdale's named executive officers will be entitled to severance, change-in-control or other benefits and payments upon the closing of the merger.

Scottsdale's board of directors was aware of these interests and took them into account in its decision to approve the agreement and plan of merger. For information concerning these interests, please see the discussion on page 72 under the caption *The Merger Interests of Scottsdale's Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger*. For more information concerning the closing conditions of the merger, please see the discussion on page 77 under the caption *The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements*.

Scottsdale Shareholders' Dissenters' Rights to Appraisal Rights

Shareholders of Scottsdale will have appraisal or dissenters' rights in connection with the merger. See *Scottsdale Shareholders Have Dissenters' Rights in the Merger* beginning on page 69.

The Rights of Scottsdale Shareholders Will Change After the Merger (page 197)

The rights of Scottsdale shareholders will change as a result of the merger due to differences in Mid Penn's and Scottsdale's governing documents. The rights of Scottsdale's shareholders are governed by Scottsdale's articles of incorporation and bylaws. Upon completion of the merger, Scottsdale shareholders will be governed under Pennsylvania law and Mid Penn's articles of incorporation and bylaws. A description of shareholder rights under each of the Mid Penn and Scottsdale governing documents, and the material differences between them, is included in the section entitled *Comparison of Shareholders' Rights* found on page 197.

Conditions That Must Be Satisfied or Waived for the Merger to Occur (page 84)

Currently, we expect to complete the merger by the fourth quarter of 2017. In addition to the approval of the merger proposal by the requisite vote of Mid Penn and Scottsdale shareholders and the receipt of all required regulatory

approvals and expiration or termination of all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof, each as described herein, each party's obligation to complete the merger is also subject to the satisfaction or waiver (to the extent permitted under applicable law) of certain other conditions, including the effectiveness of the registration statement containing this joint proxy statement/prospectus, approval of the listing on the Nasdaq of the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger, the absence of any applicable law or order prohibiting the merger, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the other party under the merger agreement (subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement), the performance by the other party of its respective obligations under the merger agreement in all material respects, delivery of officer certificates by the other party certifying satisfaction of the two preceding conditions and each of Mid Penn's and Scottsdale's receipt of a tax opinion to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

Table of Contents

Neither Scottdale nor Mid Penn can be certain when, or if, the conditions to the merger will be satisfied or waived, or that the merger will be completed.

No Solicitation of Other Offers (page 81)

Scottdale has agreed that it will not, and Scottdale will cause its subsidiaries and each of their respective officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents, and affiliates not to, between the date of the merger agreement and the closing of the merger, directly or indirectly:

initiate, solicit, induce or knowingly encourage, or take any action to facilitate the making of, any inquiry, offer or proposal that constitutes, relates or could reasonably be expected to lead to an alternative acquisition proposal;

recommend or endorse an alternative acquisition transaction;

participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding an alternative acquisition proposal, or furnish or afford access to information or data to any person;

release anyone from, waive any provisions of, or fail to enforce any confidentiality agreement or standstill agreement to which Scottdale is a party; or

enter into any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent with respect to any alternative acquisition proposal or approve or resolve to approve any alternative acquisition proposal or any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent relating to an alternative acquisition proposal.

The merger agreement does not, however, prohibit Scottdale from furnishing information or access to a third party who has made an alternative acquisition proposal and participating in discussions and negotiating with such person prior to the receipt of shareholder approval if specified conditions are met. Among those conditions is a good faith determination by Scottdale's board of directors that the acquisition proposal constitutes or that could reasonably be expected to lead to a proposal that is more favorable, from a financial point of view, to Scottdale and its shareholders than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and is reasonably capable of being completed on its stated terms, taking into account all financial, regulatory, legal and other aspects of the proposal.

For further discussion of the restrictions on solicitation of acquisition proposals from third parties, see *The Merger Agreement Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers* beginning on page 81.

Termination of the Merger Agreement (page 84)

We may mutually agree to terminate the merger agreement before completing the merger, even after Scottdale or Mid Penn shareholder approval. In addition, either of us may decide to terminate the merger agreement, if (i) a court or governmental entity issues a final order that is not appealable prohibiting the merger, (ii) a bank regulator which must grant a regulatory approval as a condition to the merger denies such approval of the merger and such denial has become final and is not appealable, (iii) the shareholders of Mid Penn or Scottdale fail to approve the merger at their

respective special meetings, or (iv) the other party breaches the merger agreement in a way that would entitle the party seeking to terminate the agreement not to consummate the merger, subject to the right of the breaching party to cure the breach within 30 days following written notice. Either of us may terminate the merger agreement if the merger has not been completed by March 31, 2018, unless the reason the merger has not been completed by that date is a breach of the merger agreement by the company seeking to terminate the merger agreement.

Mid Penn may terminate the merger agreement if the Scottsdale board of directors, in connection with the receipt of an alternative acquisition proposal, (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) has otherwise made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal.

Table of Contents

Scottdale may terminate the merger agreement if Scottdale receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal. Scottdale may also terminate the merger agreement within five days of the later of (i) the date on which all regulatory approvals, and waivers, if applicable, necessary for consummation of the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement have been received or (ii) the date of the meeting of Scottdale shareholders (the Determination Date), if Scottdale's board determines that each of the following have occurred:

the average of the daily closing sale prices of a share of Mid Penn common stock as reported on Nasdaq for the 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding the Determination Date (the Determination Date Market Value) is less than \$19.50; and

the decrease in the price of Mid Penn common stock is more than 20% greater than the decrease in the SNL Small Cap Bank & Thrift Index during the same period.

However, if Scottdale chooses to exercise this termination right, Mid Penn has the option, within five business days of receipt of notice from Scottdale, to adjust the merger consideration and prevent termination under this provision.

Termination Fee (page 85)

Scottdale will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$2,365,500 if the merger agreement is terminated:

by Mid Penn because Scottdale has received an alternative acquisition proposal, and Scottdale (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) has otherwise made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal; or

by Scottdale, if Scottdale receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

Expense Reimbursement Fee (page 85)

Scottdale will pay Mid Penn an expense reimbursement fee equal to the lesser of (i) the amount of Mid Penn's actual and documented out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement or (ii) \$500,000 if the merger is terminated by Mid Penn as a result of the failure of the shareholders of Scottdale to approve the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and, prior to the Scottdale shareholders' meeting, any person shall have proposed or publicly announced an acquisition proposal for Scottdale.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger (page 71)

Completion of the merger and the bank merger are subject to the receipt of all approvals required to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities (the PDB).

Notifications and/or applications requesting approval may also be submitted to various other federal and state regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations. Mid Penn and Scottdale have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain all required regulatory approvals. Mid Penn, Scottdale and Lawrence Keister & Company have filed applications and notifications to obtain these regulatory approvals.

Table of Contents

Although we currently believe that we should be able to obtain all required regulatory approvals in a timely manner, we cannot be certain when or if we will obtain them or, if obtained, whether they will contain terms, conditions or restrictions not currently contemplated that will be detrimental to Mid Penn after the completion of the merger or will contain a materially burdensome regulatory condition. The regulatory approvals to which completion of the merger is subject are described in more detail in the section entitled *The Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger*, beginning on page 71.

Investigation by Scottsdale's Special Committee (page 71)

Shortly after the parties entered into the merger agreement, an employee and board member at Scottsdale presented information to management of Scottsdale and Mid Penn regarding a stock trade in the last quarter of 2016 by an individual who was alleged to have access to material inside information. This was relayed to the full Scottsdale board of director which then took action by forming a committee, comprised solely of outside directors, which we refer to as the special committee, to investigate the allegations. The special committee was authorized to, and did, retain independent counsel, the Washington D.C. office of Murphy & McGonigle, which we refer to as M&M, to assist in the investigation. M&M had no prior relationship with Scottsdale.

The special committee communicated to Scottsdale and Mid Penn that two shares, acquired from a retiring Scottsdale employee (not the trade that formed the basis of the original investigation), appeared to have been transacted in violation of applicable federal securities laws. As to that trade, the special committee report identified multiple mitigating circumstances including that this transaction was consistent with ordinary course of conduct for stock purchases from retiring employees and the purchaser, on its own volition, immediately after announcement of the merger, remitted additional cash to the seller to increase the amount paid to equal the stock value identified in the merger agreement.

This matter has been reported to the independent auditors of both Scottsdale and Mid Penn and has been reported to the SEC by Scottsdale. The special committee's investigation is described in more detail in the section entitled *The Merger Investigation by Scottsdale's Special Committee*, beginning on page 71.

Risk Factors (page 33)

You should consider all the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus in deciding how to vote for the proposals presented in the joint proxy statement/prospectus. In particular, you should consider the factors described under Risk Factors.

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN**

The following table provides historical consolidated summary financial data for Mid Penn. The data for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are derived from Mid Penn's audited financial statements as of or for the periods then ended. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim period. Mid Penn's management prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as it prepared Mid Penn's audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of Mid Penn's management, this information reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data for those dates.

	Six Months Ended			Year Ended December 31,			
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
INCOME:							
Total Interest Income	\$ 21,438	\$ 19,556	\$ 40,212	\$ 36,490	\$ 30,627	\$ 28,983	\$ 30,366
Total Interest Expense	2,853	2,608	5,367	4,607	4,427	5,057	7,125
Net Interest Income	18,585	16,948	34,845	31,883	26,200	23,926	23,241
Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	225	735	1,870	1,065	1,617	1,685	1,036
Noninterest Income	2,798	2,630	5,924	4,113	3,284	3,290	3,683
Noninterest Expense	15,360	13,903	28,818	26,759	20,704	19,391	19,693
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	5,798	4,940	10,081	8,172	7,163	6,140	6,195
Provision for Income Taxes	1,459	1,113	2,277	1,644	1,462	1,201	1,244
Net Income	4,339	3,827	7,804	6,528	5,701	4,939	4,951
Series A Preferred Stock Dividends and Discount Accretion						14	514
Series B Preferred Stock Dividends and Redemption Premium				473	350	309	
Series C Preferred Stock Dividends				17			
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	4,339	3,827	7,804	6,038	5,351	4,616	4,437
COMMON STOCK DATA PER SHARE:							
Earnings Per Common Share (Basic)	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.27
Earnings Per Common Share (Fully Diluted)	1.02	0.91	1.85	1.47	1.53	1.32	1.27
	0.36	0.34	0.68	0.44	0.45	0.25	0.25

Cash Dividends Declared							
Cash Dividends Paid	0.36	0.34	0.58	0.44	0.45	0.25	0.25
Book Value Per Common Share							
	17.86	17.61	16.65	16.58	15.48	13.71	13.57
Tangible Book Value Per Common Share ^(a)							
	16.82	16.54	15.59	15.49	15.13	13.35	13.19
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC):							
	4,234,525	4,227,362	4,229,284	4,106,548	3,495,705	3,491,653	3,486,543
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (DILUTED):							
	4,234,525	4,227,362	4,229,284	4,106,548	3,495,705	3,491,653	3,486,543
BALANCE SHEET DATA:							
Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value							
	\$ 111,353	\$ 167,342	\$ 133,625	\$ 135,721	\$ 141,634	\$ 122,803	\$ 154,295
Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost							
	71,096						
Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest							
	862,307	769,153	813,924	736,513	571,533	546,462	484,220
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses							
	7,713	6,912	7,183	6,168	6,716	6,317	5,509
Total Assets	1,111,876	1,012,884	1,032,599	931,638	755,657	713,125	705,200
Total Deposits	987,468	893,440	935,373	777,043	637,922	608,130	625,461
Short-term Borrowings	21,468			31,596	578	23,833	
Long-term Debt	13,467	30,194	13,581	40,305	52,961	23,145	22,510
Subordinated Debt	7,419	7,409	7,414	7,414			
Shareholders Equity	75,636	74,474	70,467	70,068	59,130	52,916	52,220

Table of Contents

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Six Months Ended		Year Ended December 31,				
	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
RATIOS:							
Return on Average Assets (annualized)	0.81%	0.82%	0.78%	0.74%	0.78%	0.71%	0.69%
Return on Average Shareholders Equity (annualized)	11.95%	10.45%	10.71%	9.16%	9.95%	9.37%	8.78%
Cash Dividend Payout Ratio	35.29%	37.36%	31.43%	29.93%	29.41%	18.94%	19.69%
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest	0.89%	0.90%	0.88%	0.83%	1.18%	1.16%	1.14%
Average Shareholders' Equity to Average Assets	6.77%	7.58%	7.28%	8.06%	7.80%	7.56%	7.98%

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE**

The following table provides historical consolidated summary financial data for Scottsdale. The data for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are derived from Scottsdale's audited financial statements as of or for the periods then ended. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim period. Scottsdale's management prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as it prepared Scottsdale's audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of Scottsdale's management, this information reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data for those dates.

	Six Months Ended		Year Ended December 31,				
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
INCOME:							
Total Interest Income	\$ 3,274	\$ 3,366	\$ 6,739	\$ 6,589	\$ 7,003	\$ 7,438	\$ 7,750
Total Interest Expense	253	258	520	689	862	860	1,188
Net Interest Income	3,021	3,108	6,219	5,900	6,141	6,578	6,562
Provision (Credit) for Loan and Lease Losses			(200)				
Noninterest Income	273	190	487	549	631	458	485
Noninterest Expense	2,726	2,710	6,253	5,544	4,956	5,458	4,844
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	568	588	653	905	1,816	1,578	2,203
Provision (Credit) for Income Taxes	37	(40)	103	29	(46)	94	88
Net Income	531	628	550	876	1,862	1,484	2,115
COMMON STOCK DATA PER SHARE:							
Earnings Per Common Share (Basic)	\$ 10.47	\$ 12.38	\$ 10.84	\$ 19.39	\$ 36.71	\$ 29.25	\$ 41.71
Earnings Per Common Share (Fully Diluted)	10.47	12.38	10.84	19.39	36.71	29.25	41.71
Cash Dividends Declared			30.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	15.00
Cash Dividends Paid			30.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	15.00
Book Value Per Common Share	911.79	922.45	892.84	902.28	900.25	883.39	839.09
Tangible Book Value Per Common Share	911.79	922.45	892.84	902.28	900.25	883.39	839.09
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC):							
	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718
	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718	50,718

**AVERAGE SHARES
OUTSTANDING
(FULLY DILUTED):**
**BALANCE SHEET
DATA:**

Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value	\$ 79,309	\$ 73,819	\$ 77,282	\$ 66,859	\$ 55,226	\$ 54,643	\$ 50,221
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost	92,697	101,951	100,558	101,683	115,260	121,291	107,546
--	--------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest	64,320	63,011	63,379	59,210	59,794	61,341	67,000
---	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	542	757	553	756	755	756	754
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Total Assets	259,078	261,324	263,476	262,234	259,992	257,892	257,075
--------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Total Deposits	210,899	212,813	215,985	214,390	212,196	212,373	211,668
----------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

**Short-term
Borrowings**
Long-term Debt
Subordinated Debt

Shareholders Equity	46,244	46,785	45,283	45,762	45,659	44,804	42,557
---------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

RATIOS:

Return on Average Assets (annualized)	0.41%	0.48%	0.21%	0.33%	0.72%	0.58%	0.86%
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Return on Average Shareholders Equity (annualized)	2.32%	2.72%	1.19%	1.88%	4.06%	3.40%	4.96%
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Cash Dividend Payout Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	276.75%	46.42%	24.52%	27.35%	35.96%
-------------------------------	-------	-------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest	0.84%	1.20%	0.87%	1.28%	1.26%	1.23%	1.13%
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Average Shareholders Equity to Average Assets	17.46%	17.64%	17.51%	17.78%	17.68%	16.98%	17.25%
---	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared using the acquisition method of accounting, giving effect to the merger of Mid Penn with Scottdale. The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information is based upon the assumption that the total number of shares of Scottdale common stock outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger will be 50,718 and utilizes the exchange ratio of 44.86 for 90% of Scottdale's outstanding shares and cash of \$5.9 million for 10% of Scottdale's outstanding shares, which will result in 2,047,679 shares of Mid Penn common stock being issued in the transaction.

The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements as of and for the periods ended June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 combine the historical consolidated financial statements of Mid Penn and Scottdale. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements give effect to the proposed merger as if the merger occurred on June 30, 2017 with respect to the consolidated balance sheet, and at the beginning of the applicable period, for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and for the year ended December 31, 2016, with respect to the consolidated income statement.

The notes to the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements describe the pro forma amounts and adjustments presented below. **THIS PRO FORMA DATA IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF THE OPERATING RESULTS THAT MID PENN WOULD HAVE ACHIEVED HAD IT COMPLETED THE MERGER AS OF THE BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD PRESENTED AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF FUTURE OPERATIONS.**

Certain reclassifications have been made to Scottdale historical financial information in order to conform to Mid Penn's presentation of financial information.

The actual value of Mid Penn's common stock to be recorded as consideration in the merger will be based on the closing price of Mid Penn's common stock at the time of the merger completion date. The proposed merger is targeted for completion by the fourth quarter of 2017. There can be no assurance that the merger will be completed as anticipated. For purposes of the pro forma financial information, the fair value of Mid Penn common stock to be issued in connection with the merger of Scottdale was based on Mid Penn's closing stock price of \$27.25 on March 28, 2017.

The pro forma financial information includes estimated adjustments, including adjustments to record assets and liabilities of Scottdale at their respective fair values and represents the pro forma estimates by Mid Penn based on available fair value information as of the dates of the merger agreement. In some cases, where noted, more recent information has been used to support estimated adjustments in the pro forma financial information.

We anticipate that the merger will provide the combined company with financial benefits that include reduced operating expenses. The unaudited pro forma combined condensed consolidated financial data, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of the combined company under one set of assumptions, does not reflect the benefits of expected cost savings or opportunities to earn additional revenue and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. It also does not necessarily reflect what the historical results of the combined company would have been had our companies been combined during these periods.

The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information presented below is based on, and should be read together with, the historical financial information that Mid Penn and Scottdale have included in or incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as of and for the indicated periods.

Table of Contents**Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2017****Unaudited (in thousands, except share and per share data)**

	Mid Penn	Scottdale	Unadjusted Combined	Pro Forma Adjustments		Pro Forma Combined
ASSETS						
Cash and due from banks	\$ 25,246	\$ 10,218	\$ 35,464	\$ (5,914)	A	\$ 29,550
Interest-bearing balances with other financial institutions	2,813		2,813			2,813
Federal funds sold	1,120	7,232	8,352			8,352
Total cash and cash equivalents	29,179	17,450	46,629	(5,914)		40,715
Interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions		2,750	2,750			2,750
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	111,353	79,309	190,662	92,951	B	283,613
Investment securities held to maturity, at amortized cost	71,096	92,697	163,793	(92,697)	B	71,096
Loans held for sale	2,369		2,369			2,369
Loans and leases, net of unearned interest	862,307	64,320	926,627	(1,286)	C	925,341
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,713)	(542)	(8,255)	542	D	(7,713)
Net loans and leases	854,594	63,778	918,372	(744)		917,628
Cash surrender value of life insurance	12,911		12,911			12,911
Bank premises and equipment, net	11,190	1,254	12,444	150	E	12,594
Restricted investment in bank stocks	3,985	97	4,082			4,082
Foreclosed assets held for sale		385	385	(289)	F	96
Deferred income taxes	3,396	(134)	3,262	2,326	G	5,588
Goodwill	3,918		3,918	11,189	H	15,107
Core deposit and other intangibles, net	486		486	696	I	1,182
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	7,399	1,492	8,891			8,891
Total Assets	\$ 1,111,876	\$ 259,078	\$ 1,370,954	\$ 7,668		\$ 1,378,622
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						
Deposits:						
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 140,837	\$ 45,357	\$ 186,194	\$		\$ 186,194

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Interest-bearing demand	339,057	19,197	358,254			358,254
Money market	240,107	9,996	250,103			250,103
Savings	63,232	130,852	194,084			194,084
Time	204,235	5,497	209,732	67	J	209,799
Total Deposits	987,468	210,899	1,198,367	67		1,198,434
Short-term borrowings	21,468		21,468			21,468
Long-term debt	13,467		13,467			13,467
Subordinated debt	7,419		7,419			7,419
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	6,418	1,935	8,353	622	K	8,975
Total Liabilities	1,036,240	212,834	1,249,074	689		1,249,763
Shareholders Equity:						
Common stock	4,235	135	4,370	1,913	L	6,283
Treasury stock		(1,770)	(1,770)	1,770	L	
Additional paid-in capital	40,775	1,200	41,975	49,975	L	91,950
Retained earnings	31,637	44,661	76,298	(44,661)	L	31,637
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,011)	2,018	1,007	(2,018)	L	(1,011)
Total Shareholders Equity	75,636	46,244	121,880	6,979		128,859
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,111,876	\$ 259,078	\$ 1,370,954	\$ 7,668		\$ 1,378,622
Per Share Data:						
Common shares outstanding	4,235,237	50,718		1,996,961		6,282,916 A, L
Book value per common share	\$ 17.86	\$ 911.79				\$ 20.51
Tangible book value per common share:						
Total equity	\$ 75,636	\$ 46,244				\$ 128,859
Less: goodwill and intangibles	4,404					16,289
Total tangible equity	\$ 71,232	\$ 46,244				\$ 112,570
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 16.82	\$ 911.79				\$ 17.92

Table of Contents**Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Statements of Income****For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2016****Unaudited (in thousands, except per share data)**

	Mid Penn	Scottdale	Combined	Pro Forma Adjustments		Pro Forma Combined
INTEREST INCOME						
Interest & fees on loans and leases	\$ 36,402	\$ 3,271	\$ 39,673	\$ 90	C	39,763
Interest on interest-bearing balances	12	62	74			74
Interest and dividends on investment securities:						
U.S. Treasury, government agency, and other taxable securities	1,650	1,391	3,041			3,041
State and political subdivision obligations, tax-exempt	2,066	1,973	4,039			4,039
Interest on federal funds sold	82	42	124			124
Total Interest Income	40,212	6,739	46,951	90		47,041
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Interest on deposits	4,514	520	5,034	34	J	5,068
Interest on short-term borrowings	15		15			15
Interest on long-term and subordinated debt	838		838			838
Total Interest Expense	5,367	520	5,887	34		5,921
Net Interest Income	34,845	6,219	41,064	56		41,120
(PROVISION) CREDIT FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	(1,870)	200	1,670			(1,670)
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	32,975	6,419	39,394	56		39,450
NONINTEREST INCOME						
Income from fiduciary activities	481	9	490			490
Service charges on deposits	684	327	1,011			1,011
Net gain on sales of investment securities	1,046	84	1,130			1,130
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	264		264			264
Mortgage banking income	922		922			922
ATM debit card interchange income	844	13	857			857
Merchant services income	317		317			317

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Net gain on sales of SBA loans	470		470		470
Other income	896	54	950		950
Total Noninterest Income	5,924	487	6,411		6,411
NONINTEREST EXPENSE					
Salaries and employee benefits	15,564	3,590	19,154		19,154
Occupancy expense, net	2,064	319	2,383		2,383
Equipment expense	1,689	98	1,787		1,787
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	648	36	684		684
FDIC Assessment	688	117	805		805
Legal and professional fees	711	154	865		865
Marketing and advertising expense	500	54	554		554
Software licensing and data processing	1,380	101	1,481		1,481
Telephone expense	548	26	574		574
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed assets	217	782	999		999
Intangible amortization	126		126	126	H, I 252
Merger and acquisition expense				1,253	M 1,253
Other expenses	4,683	976	5,659		5,659
Total Noninterest Expense	28,818	6,253	35,071	1,379	36,450
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES					
	10,081	653	10,734	(1,323)	9,411
Provision for income taxes	2,277	103	2,380	(450)	N 1,930
NET INCOME	\$ 7,804	\$ 550	\$ 8,354	\$ (873)	7,481
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	4,229,284	50,718		1,996,961	6,276,963 A, L
Diluted	4,229,284	50,718		1,996,961	6,276,963
Earnings per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.85	\$ 10.84			\$ 1.19
Diluted	\$ 1.85	\$ 10.84			\$ 1.19

Table of Contents**Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Statements of Income****For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017****Unaudited (in thousands, except per share data)**

	Mid Penn	Scottsdale	Combined	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
INTEREST INCOME					
Interest & fees on loans and leases	\$ 19,651	\$ 1,645	\$ 21,296	\$ 45	C 21,341
Interest on interest-bearing balances	7	28	35		35
Interest and dividends on investment securities:					
U.S. Treasury, government agency, and other taxable securities	1,126	774	1,911		1,911
State and political subdivision obligations, tax-exempt	580	785	1,354		1,354
Interest on federal funds sold	74	42	116		116
Total Interest Income	21,438	3,274	24,712	45	24,757
INTEREST EXPENSE					
Interest on deposits	2,481	253	2,734	17	J 2,751
Interest on short-term borrowings	13		13		13
Interest on long-term debt	359		359		359
Total Interest Expense	2,853	253	3,106	17	3,123
Net Interest Income	18,585	3,021	21,606	28	21,634
PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	225		225		225
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	18,360	3,021	21,381	28	21,409
NONINTEREST INCOME					
Income from fiduciary activities	396	2	398		398
Service charges on deposits	379	120	499		499
Net gain on sales of investment securities	20	86	106		106
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	131		131		131
Mortgage banking income	416		416		416
ATM debit card interchange income	456	7	463		463
Merchant services income	166		166		166
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	441		441		441

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Other income	393	58	451		451
Total Noninterest Income	2,798	273	3,071		3,071
NONINTEREST EXPENSE					
Salaries and employee benefits	8,389	1,836	10,225		10,225
Occupancy expense, net	1,241	166	1,407		1,407
Equipment expense	751	46	797		797
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	330	26	356		356
FDIC Assessment	388	39	427		427
Legal and professional fees	366	64	430		430
Marketing and advertising expense	238	25	263		263
Software licensing and data processing	699	67	766		766
Telephone expense	259	13	272		272
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed assets	88		88		88
Intangible amortization	53		53	63 H, I	116
Merger and acquisition expense	224	201	425	1,253 M	1,678
Other expenses	2,334	243	2,577		2,577
Total Noninterest Expense	15,360	2,726	18,086	1,316	19,402
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES					
Provision for income taxes	1,459	37	1,496	(1,288) (438) N	5,078 1,058
NET INCOME	\$ 4,339	\$ 531	\$ 4,870	\$ (850)	4,020
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	4,234,525	50,718		1,996,961	6,282,916 A, L
Diluted	4,234,525	50,718		1,996,961	6,282,916
Earnings per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.02	\$ 10.47			\$ 0.64
Diluted	\$ 1.02	\$ 10.47			\$ 0.64

Table of Contents**Explanatory Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Financial Statements**

A. Under Article 3.1 of the Definitive Merger Agreement, the shareholders of the 50,718 outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock will receive merger consideration valued at \$1,166 per share for each share of Scottdale common stock, subject to an aggregate proration of no less than 90% of Mid Penn common stock, and no more than 10% cash. The actual final allocation of the merger consideration to be paid in Mid Penn common stock and cash is subject to the cumulative election of the Scottdale shareholders and the applicability of other relevant provisions of Article 3.1 of the Definitive Merger Agreement.

The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements assume that the Scottdale shareholders will collectively elect to receive the 10% maximum cash consideration as provided by the Definitive Merger Agreement, with the remaining 90% of acquisition consideration to be paid in MPB common shares, as follows:

Projected Allocation of Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration Between Cash and Mid Penn Common Stock

Total Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration:	
Scottdale Common Shares Outstanding	50,718
Acquisition Consideration Value Per Scottdale Common Share	x \$ 1,166
Total Purchase Price Consideration: \$ 59,137,188	
Projected Cash Consideration Maximum of 10 Percent of Total Acquisition Consideration per the Definitive Merger Agreement, Article 3.1.(d):	\$ 5,913,719
Projected Acquisition Consideration to be Fulfilled with Issuance of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Common Shares (90% of Total Acquisition Consideration):	\$ 53,223,469*

* 90% of the acquisition consideration being paid in Mid Penn common stock would equate to the exchange of 45,646 Scottdale common shares for Mid Penn common stock. The maximum exchange rate as defined by the Definitive Merger Agreement Article 3.1.(e) is 44.86 Mid Penn shares for each share of Scottdale common stock (the actual final exchange rate will be dependent upon the price of the Mid Penn stock closer to the actual merger date). The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information projects the issuance of 2,047,679 Mid Penn common shares (45,646 Scottdale shares multiplied by the 44.86 maximum exchange rate) to fulfill the assumed 90% stock consideration.

B. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet reflects that, at the time of merger, Scottdale's entire held-to-maturity investment portfolio will be designated as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value versus amortized cost. As of June 30, 2017, the amortized cost of this held-to-maturity portfolio was \$92,697,000 and the estimated fair value was \$92,951,000, which is consistent with the amortized cost and fair value for the portfolio as reported by Scottdale in its quarterly financial filing to bank regulatory agencies. The estimated fair value of the held-to-maturity securities was determined consistent with the Level II pricing inputs used to value Scottdale's available-for-sale securities, including matrix pricing, which is a method used to value debt securities

without relying exclusively on quoted prices for specific securities, but rather, by relying on the securities relationship similar benchmark-quoted securities.

- C. The estimated adjustments to Scottsdale loans to reflect acquisition fair value include nonaccretable specific credit discounts totaling \$383,000 on certain nonaccrual, past-due, and other loans with higher credit risk. Additionally, a combined amount of estimated general credit marks and interest rate marks on the remainder

Table of Contents

of the loan portfolio were estimated at \$903,000 based upon a preliminary evaluation of the general credit risk and interest rate risk profile of the loan portfolio, which includes a high volume of real estate collateralized credits, and over \$12 million of outstanding credits as of June 30, 2017, extending beyond fifteen years to maturity. The earnings impact of the accretible general and interest rate adjustments are projected for the unaudited pro forma statement of income to be recognized over approximately 10 years, using an amortization method based upon the expected life of the loans, is projected to increase pre-tax interest income by \$90,000 in the first year post-merger.

- D. This unaudited pro forma adjustment reflects the reversal of the Scottsdale allowance for loan losses of \$542,000 in accordance with the GAAP method of acquisition accounting requiring the recording of acquired loans at fair value.
- E. Scottsdale's five bank properties, including land and buildings as improved, have a net book value (depreciated cost) of just over \$1,000,000 as of June 30, 2017. The fair value of the Scottsdale real estate will be determined by certified appraisals performed closer to the actual merger date. However, based upon an interim evaluation of the location and condition of the land and facilities, and considering the continued income-generating capability of all the properties, the preliminary estimate of the aggregate fair value of the premises and land for these unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements was established at \$1,150,000 resulting in a preliminary value adjustment of \$150,000.
- F. The foreclosed assets held for sale of Scottsdale as of June 30, 2017, include two real estate properties with a recorded value of \$385,000 with no near-term prospects for sale. One property accounts for approximately \$360,000 of the total, and this property has been held for over five years. The estimated fair value of the foreclosed real estate will be determined by certified appraisals performed closer to the actual merger date. Given the likelihood for additional holding costs, and expectations that discounts to the carrying value would be probable in order to facilitate the sale of the real estate, an estimated fair value discount of \$289,000 will be assumed for the unaudited combined consolidated financial statements.
- G. The unaudited pro forma adjustment to the combined-entity net deferred tax asset includes the following components:

Scottsdale's net deferred tax liability position, prior to the merger, includes a \$1,878,000 valuation allowance representing a 100% reduction against two deferred tax asset components related to (i) a net operating loss (NOL) carryover of \$1,075,000 that will begin to expire in 2030, and (ii) charitable contributions of \$803,000 made by Scottsdale in prior years that will expire if not used in 2017 and the coming tax years. The valuation allowance was recorded based upon Scottsdale management's uncertainty about Scottsdale's ability, as a standalone company, to generate sufficient federal taxable income to realize these expiring deferred tax benefits. Based upon Mid Penn's level of reported earnings for the first six months of 2017, and the projected earnings of Mid Penn and Scottsdale combined post-merger, Mid Penn believes it is probable that the combined entity will have sufficient federal taxable income to fully realize these deferred tax benefits.

Certain acquisition accounting adjustments are projected to have a net deferred tax asset impact of \$448,000 using an assumed tax rate of 34%.

- H. For purposes of this unaudited pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2017, Goodwill of \$11,189,000 is projected to result from the Mid Penn acquisition of Scottsdale; however, the eventual amount actually recorded as Goodwill will be determined as part of the final acquisition accounting as of the merger date, and the Goodwill amount may be subject to change based on operations subsequent to June 30, 2017, as additional information becomes available and as additional analyses are performed. The Goodwill will not be amortized, but will be measured annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances require. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated statement of income projects no impairment in the first year post merger. The following reflects the unaudited pro forma calculation of

Table of Contents

Goodwill based upon the estimated fair value adjustments to the Scottsdale assets to be acquired and liabilities to be assumed:

Goodwill Resulting from Merger

(Dollars in Thousands)

Purchase Price Consideration: 50,718 Scottsdale Common Shares x \$1,166	
Merger Consideration Per Share*	\$ 59,137
Net Assets Acquired:	
Scottsdale Stockholders Equity	\$ 46,244
Core Deposit Intangible	696
<i>Adjustments to Scottsdale Assets acquired to reflect fair value:</i>	
Transfer held-to-maturity investments to available-for-sale	254
Loans general credit and interest rate marks	(903)
Loans specific credit marks	(383)
Allowance for loan losses	542
Premises land and buildings	150
Other real estate owned	(289)
Reversal of deferred tax asset valuation allowance	1,878
Deferred tax impact of fair value adjustments	448
<i>Adjustments to Scottsdale Liabilities assumed to reflect fair value:</i>	
Time Deposits	(67)
Other Liabilities	(622)
	47,948
Goodwill resulting from merger	\$ 11,189

* Per the Definitive Merger Agreement, no less than 90% of the consideration shall be paid in Mid Penn common stock and no more than 10% of the consideration shall be paid in cash.

- I. The unaudited consolidated combined consolidated balance sheet projects a core deposit intangible asset (premium) of \$696,000 reflecting the long-term stability and low decay rate of Scottdale's high volume of core deposits. The unaudited combined consolidated statement of income projects the core deposit intangible to be amortized over a ten-year period using a sum of the year's digits basis, resulting in \$126,000 of intangible amortization in the first year post merger.
- J. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements reflect an adjustment of \$67,000 to reflect the fair value adjustment for time deposit liabilities based on current interest rates for similar-maturing certificates of deposit as of June 30, 2017 (based upon national rates per the FDIC). The adjustment will be recognized using an amortization method based upon the estimated two-year average maturity of the Scottsdale time deposits.

- K. The unaudited consolidated combined consolidated balance sheet include adjustments for liabilities assumed relating to (i) contingent liabilities for contractual commitments of \$522,000 related to termination provisions of Scottdale's core processing, internet banking, and debit card processing service agreements; and (ii) establishment of a \$100,000 reserve for potential liabilities assumed by Mid Penn for historical Scottdale activities that occurred prior to the merger date but were not covered through third-party insurance policies (Scottdale was self-insured for errors, omissions, directors and officers insurance coverage, and had no related potential liability/claim reserves accrued).

- L. The unaudited pro form adjustments to the common stock and additional paid-in-capital accounts, and to the number of common shares outstanding, reflect the impact of projected acquisition consideration of

Table of Contents

\$53,223,000 to be paid in Mid Penn common stock (through the issuance of 2,047,679 \$1.00 par value common shares), as the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information assumes that the Scottsdale shareholders will collectively elect to receive the 10% maximum cash consideration (as provided by the Definitive Merger Agreement), with the remaining 90% of consideration to be distributed in MPB common shares. As further discussed in note (1) above, the 90% of the acquisition consideration being paid in Mid Penn common stock would equate to the exchange of 45,646 Scottsdale common shares for Mid Penn common stock. The maximum exchange rate as defined by the Definitive Merger Agreement Article 3.1.(e) is 44.86 Mid Penn shares for each share of Scottsdale common stock (the actual final exchange rate will be dependent upon the price of the Mid Penn stock closer to the actual merger date). Therefore, the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information projects the issuance of 2,047,679 Mid Penn common shares (45,646 Scottsdale shares multiplied by the 44.86 maximum exchange rate) to fulfill the assumed 90% stock consideration. The adjustments to retained earnings, treasury stock, and accumulated other comprehensive income reflect the elimination of the respective balances in the Scottsdale equity accounts.

- M. The unaudited consolidated combined statement of income reflects accruals for \$1,253,000 of merger-related expenses relating to (i) \$453,000 of projected severance and retention bonus benefits to be accrued for and paid to certain Scottsdale employees expected to be displaced as of, or shortly after, the effective date of the merger; (ii) \$510,000 for Scottsdale's investment banking fees not yet paid and contingent upon the successful closing of the merger; (iii) \$175,000 for Mid Penn's investment banking fees not yet paid and contingent upon the successful closing of the merger; and (iv) \$115,000 for Mid Penn's core processing, storage and imaging, internet banking, and debit card processing service amendments related to the expansion of existing systems and service agreement for the merger-related conversion and integration of Scottsdale customer and account data.
- N. The unaudited pro forma income tax expense adjustment assumes a tax rate of 34% related to merger-related expense and fair value adjustments on pre-tax amounts in the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated statement of income.

COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth certain historical Mid Penn and Scottsdale per share data giving effect to the merger (which we refer to as pro forma information). In presenting the comparative pro forma information for the time periods shown, we assumed that we had been merged on the date or at the beginning of the period indicated.

Mid Penn anticipates that the combined company will derive financial benefits from the merger that include reduced operating expenses and the opportunity to earn more revenue. The pro forma combined information, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of Mid Penn following the merger under one set of assumptions, does not reflect these benefits and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. The pro forma combined information also does not necessarily reflect what the historical results of Mid Penn would have been had our companies been combined during this period.

This data should be read together with Mid Penn's and Scottsdale's historical financial statements and notes thereto, included elsewhere in or incorporated by reference in this document. Please see *Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Mid Penn* beginning on page 21, *Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Scottsdale* beginning on page 23 and *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page i. **The per share data is not necessarily indicative of the operating results that Mid Penn would have achieved had it completed the merger as of the beginning of the periods presented and should not be considered as representative of future operations.**

Table of Contents

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2016	As of and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017
<i>(In dollars)</i>		
Comparative Per Share Data:		
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share:		
Mid Penn historical	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.02
Scottdale historical	10.84	10.47
Pro forma combined ^{1, 2}	1.19	0.64
Equivalent pro forma for one share of Scottdale common stock ³	53.38	28.71
Book value per common share:		
Mid Penn historical	\$ 16.65	\$ 17.86
Scottdale historical	892.84	911.79
Pro forma combined ^{1, 2}	18.43	20.51
Equivalent pro forma for one share of Scottdale common stock ³	826.89	920.09
Tangible book value per common share:		
Mid Penn historical	\$ 15.59	\$ 16.82
Scottdale historical	892.84	911.79
Pro forma combined ^{1, 2}	17.72	17.92
Equivalent pro forma for one share of Scottdale common stock ³	794.85	803.89
Cash dividends declared per share:		
Mid Penn historical	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.36
Scottdale historical	30.00	
Pro forma combined ^{1, 2}	0.70	0.24
Equivalent pro forma for one share of Scottdale common stock ³	31.43	10.89

- (1) The pro forma combined basic earnings and diluted earnings of Mid Penn's common stock is based on the pro forma combined net income per common share for Mid Penn and Scottdale divided by the pro forma common shares or diluted common shares of the combined entity, assuming 90% of the outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at an exchange ratio of 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of Scottdale common stock in accordance with the merger agreement. The pro forma information includes adjustments related to the estimated fair value of assets and liabilities and is subject to adjustment as additional information becomes available and as additional analysis is performed. The pro forma information does not include anticipated cost savings or revenue enhancements.
- (2) The pro forma combined book value of Mid Penn's common stock is based on pro forma combined common shareholders' equity of Mid Penn and Scottdale divided by total pro forma common shares of the combined entities, assuming 90% of the outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at an exchange ratio of 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of Scottdale

common stock in accordance with the merger agreement. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated information does not include anticipated cost savings or revenue enhancements.

- (3) The pro forma equivalent per share amount is calculated by multiplying the pro forma combined per share amount by an assumed exchange ratio of 44.86, assuming 90% of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at an exchange ratio of 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of Scottsdale common stock in accordance with the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

In considering whether to vote in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, you should consider all of the information included in this document and its annexes and all of the information we have incorporated by reference and the risk factors identified by Mid Penn with respect to its operations included in its filings with the SEC, including in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. See *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference*. In addition, you should consider the following risk factors.

Because the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock will fluctuate, Scottdale shareholders cannot be sure of the value of the merger consideration they may receive.

Upon completion of the merger, each share of Scottdale common stock will be converted into the right to receive merger consideration consisting of shares of Mid Penn common stock and/or cash pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, subject to the requirement that at least 90% of the outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock be exchanged for shares of Mid Penn common stock. The sale prices for shares of Mid Penn common stock may vary from the sale prices of Mid Penn common stock on the date we announced the merger, on the date this joint proxy statement/prospectus was mailed to Scottdale shareholders and on the date of the special meeting of the Scottdale shareholders and from the Average Price. Any change in the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock prior to closing the merger may affect the value of the merger consideration that Scottdale shareholders will receive upon completion of the merger. Scottdale is not permitted to resolicit the vote of Scottdale shareholders solely because of changes in the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors, including general market and economic conditions, changes in our respective businesses, operations and prospects and regulatory considerations. Many of these factors are beyond our control. You should obtain current market quotations for shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Scottdale shareholders may receive a form of consideration different from what they elect.

The consideration to be received by Scottdale shareholders in the merger is subject to the requirement that at least 90% of the shares of Scottdale common stock is exchanged for Mid Penn common stock and up to 10% is exchanged for cash. The merger agreement contains proration and allocation procedures to achieve this desired result. If you elect all cash and the available cash is oversubscribed, then you will receive a portion of the merger consideration in Mid Penn common stock.

Mid Penn may be unable to successfully integrate Scottdale's operations and retain Scottdale's employees.

The merger involves the integration of two companies that have previously operated independently. The difficulties of combining the operations of the two companies include, among other things: integrating personnel with diverse business backgrounds; combining different corporate cultures; and retaining key employees.

The process of integrating operations could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of the business and the loss of key personnel. The integration of the two companies will require the experience and expertise of certain key employees of Scottdale who are expected to be retained by Mid Penn. Mid Penn may not be successful in retaining these employees for the time period necessary to successfully integrate Scottdale's operations with those of Mid Penn. The diversion of management's attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the merger and the integration of the two companies' operations could have an adverse effect on the business and results of operations of Mid Penn following the merger.

Additionally, Mid Penn may not be able to successfully achieve the level of cost savings and other synergies that it expects, and may not be able to capitalize upon the existing customer relationships of Scottsdale to the extent anticipated, or it may take longer, or be more difficult or expensive than expected to achieve these goals. This could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn's business, results of operation and stock price.

Table of Contents***Scottdale shareholders who make elections may be unable to sell their shares in the market pending the completion of the merger.***

Scottdale shareholders may elect to receive cash, stock or mixed consideration in the merger by completing an election form that will be sent under separate cover. Making an election will require that shareholders turn in their Scottdale stock certificates. This means that during the time between when the election is made and the date the merger is completed, Scottdale shareholders will be unable to sell their Scottdale common stock. If the merger is unexpectedly delayed, this period could extend for a significant period of time. Scottdale shareholders can shorten the period during which they cannot sell their shares by delivering their election shortly before the election deadline. However, elections received after the election deadline will not be accepted or honored.

The market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock after the merger may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the shares of Scottdale.

The businesses of Mid Penn and Scottdale differ and, accordingly, the results of operations of the combined company and the market price of the combined company's shares of common stock may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the independent results of operations of Mid Penn. For a discussion of the businesses of Mid Penn and Scottdale, see the documents incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and referred to under *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference* on page 205.

Scottdale shareholders will have a reduced ownership percentage and voting interest after the merger and will exercise less influence over management.

Scottdale's shareholders currently have the right to vote in the election of the board of directors of Scottdale and on certain other matters affecting Scottdale. When the merger occurs, each Scottdale shareholder that receives shares of Mid Penn common stock will become a shareholder of Mid Penn with a percentage ownership of the combined organization that is much smaller than the shareholder's current percentage ownership of Scottdale. Following completion of the merger, assuming Scottdale shareholders elect to convert 10% of the total outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock into cash and 90% of the total outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock into Mid Penn stock, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate 69.9% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and Scottdale shareholders will own approximately 30.1% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock. If the Scottdale shareholders elect to convert greater than 90% of their shares into stock, their percentage ownership would be proportionately higher, so that if 100% of the Scottdale shares were converted into stock the Scottdale shareholders would own approximately 32.3% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Because of this, Scottdale's shareholders will have less influence on the management and policies of Mid Penn than they now have on the management and policies of Scottdale.

Disclosures made to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and other regulatory agencies may result in additional inquiry or proceedings, reputational damage and other negative consequences which could adversely affect the financial condition and future operating results of Mid Penn and Scottdale.

Shortly after the parties entered into the merger agreement, an employee and board member at Scottdale presented information to management of Scottdale and Mid Penn regarding a stock trade in the last quarter of 2016 by an individual who was alleged to have access to material inside information. This was relayed to the full Scottdale board of director which then took action by forming the special committee to investigate the allegations. The special committee was authorized to, and did, retain independent counsel, M&M, to assist in the investigation. M&M had no prior relationship with Scottdale.

During the course of the investigation, M&M reviewed written materials and records, electronic communications and conducted interviews with Scottdale employees, officers and directors. M&M also reviewed two trades in addition to the one described above. M&M then presented its findings to the special committee and worked with the special committee in preparing its report to the full Scottdale board of directors. Of the trades that were reviewed, the special committee communicated to Scottdale and Mid Penn that two shares, acquired from a

Table of Contents

retiring Scottsdale employee (not the trade that formed the basis of the original investigation), appeared to have been transacted in violation of applicable federal securities laws. As to that trade, the special committee report identified multiple mitigating circumstances including that this transaction was consistent with ordinary course of conduct for stock purchases from retiring employees and the purchaser, on its own volition, immediately after announcement of the merger, remitted additional cash to the seller to increase the amount paid to equal the stock value identified in the merger agreement.

This matter has been provided to the independent auditors of both Scottsdale and Mid Penn and has been reported to the SEC by Scottsdale.

The SEC or any federal bank regulatory agencies may conduct their own inquiry into the matters investigated by the special committee and reported by Scottsdale. An investigation by the SEC or any federal bank regulatory agencies could lead to the institution of civil or administrative proceedings against Scottsdale or, as successor by merger, Mid Penn as well as against individuals currently or previously associated with Scottsdale. Any such proceedings or threatened proceedings might result in the imposition of monetary fines or other sanctions against the named parties. Resulting sanctions could include remedial measures that might prove costly or disruptive to the business of Scottsdale and Mid Penn. The pendency of any investigation by the SEC or any federal bank regulatory agencies and any resulting litigation or sanctions could harm our reputation, leading to a loss of existing and potential customers, or other developments which could adversely affect the financial condition and future operating results of Scottsdale and Mid Penn. In addition, management time and resources will be diverted to address the investigation and any related litigation, and Scottsdale and Mid Penn may incur significant legal and other expenses in defense of the investigation and any related litigation.

Future issuances of Mid Penn equity securities could dilute shareholder ownership and voting interest.

Mid Penn's articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of up to 10 million shares of common stock, which is the maximum number of shares Mid Penn may have issued and outstanding at any one time. Mid Penn's ability to issue additional shares is reduced by the number of shares that are currently outstanding and already reserved for future issuances. Any future issuance of equity securities by Mid Penn may result in dilution in the percentage ownership and voting interest of Mid Penn shareholders. Also, any securities Mid Penn sells in the future may be valued differently and the issuance of equity securities for future services, acquisitions or other corporate actions may have the effect of diluting the value of shares held by Mid Penn shareholders.

The merger agreement limits Scottsdale's ability to pursue alternatives to the merger.

The merger agreement contains no shop provisions that, subject to specified exceptions, limit Scottsdale's ability to discuss, facilitate or commit to competing third-party proposals to acquire all or a significant part of Scottsdale. In addition, a termination fee is payable by Scottsdale under certain circumstances, generally involving the decision to pursue an alternative transaction. These provisions might discourage a potential competing acquiror that might have an interest in acquiring all or a significant part of Scottsdale from considering or proposing that acquisition, even if it were prepared to pay consideration with a higher per share value than that proposed in the merger, or might result in a potential competing acquiror proposing to pay a lower per share price to acquire Scottsdale than it might otherwise have proposed to pay, if the merger with Mid Penn had not been announced.

Scottsdale shareholders have dissenters' rights in the merger.

Dissenters' rights are statutory rights that, if applicable under law, enable shareholders to dissent from an extraordinary transaction, such as a merger, and to demand that the corporation pay the fair value for their shares as determined by a

court in a judicial proceeding instead of receiving the merger consideration offered to shareholders in connection with the extraordinary transaction.

Table of Contents

The merger is subject to the receipt of consents and approvals from governmental and regulatory entities that may impose conditions that could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn.

Before the merger may be completed, various waivers, approvals or consents must be obtained from the FRB, the FDIC and the PDB. These governmental entities may impose conditions on the completion of the merger or require changes to the terms of the merger. Such conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying completion of the merger or imposing additional costs on, or limiting the revenues of, Mid Penn following the merger, any of which might have an adverse effect on Mid Penn following the merger. In addition, neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale is obligated to complete the merger if the regulatory approvals received in connection with the completion of the merger include any condition or restriction that either of the boards of directors of Mid Penn or Scottdale reasonably determines would materially and adversely affect the business, operations, financial condition, property or assets of Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank, or Scottdale or would materially impair the value of Scottdale to Mid Penn or of Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank to Scottdale.

Scottdale's directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Scottdale shareholders.

Scottdale's directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Scottdale shareholders. For example, Donald F. Kiefer, or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate, will serve on the board of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank after the merger and will receive compensation for his services as a director. In addition, certain officers or employees have entered into settlement agreements pursuant to which they will receive certain payments at the effective time of the merger. For information concerning these interests, please see the discussion under the caption *The Merger Interests of Scottdale's Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* on page 72.

The shares of Mid Penn common stock to be received by Scottdale shareholders as a result of the merger will have different rights from the shares of Scottdale common stock.

Upon completion of the merger, Scottdale shareholders who receive the stock consideration will become Mid Penn shareholders. Their rights as shareholders will be governed by Pennsylvania corporate law and the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Mid Penn. The rights associated with Scottdale common stock are governed by the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Scottdale and are different from the rights associated with Mid Penn common stock. See the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus titled *Comparison of Shareholders Rights* beginning on page 197 for a discussion of the different rights associated with Mid Penn common stock.

Termination of the merger agreement could negatively affect Scottdale.

If the merger agreement is terminated, there may be various consequences, including the fact that Scottdale's businesses may have been adversely impacted by the failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger.

If the merger agreement is terminated and Scottdale's board of directors seeks another merger or business combination, Scottdale shareholders cannot be certain that Scottdale will be able to find a party willing to offer equivalent or more attractive consideration than the consideration Mid Penn has agreed to provide in the merger.

If the merger agreement is terminated and a different business combination is pursued, Scottdale may be required to pay a termination fee of \$2,365,500 to Mid Penn under certain circumstances. See *The Merger Agreement Termination Fee* beginning on page 85.

Table of Contents

The fairness opinions obtained by Scottdale and Mid Penn from their respective financial advisors will not reflect changes in circumstances subsequent to the date that such opinions were rendered.

Scottdale has obtained a fairness opinion dated as of March 29, 2017, from its financial advisor, Ambassador. Mid Penn has obtained a fairness opinion dated as of March 29, 2017, from its financial advisor, Sandler. Neither Scottdale nor Mid Penn has obtained, and neither will obtain, an updated opinion as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus from their respective financial advisor. Changes in the operations and prospects of Mid Penn or Scottdale, general market and economic conditions and other factors that may be beyond the control of Mid Penn and Scottdale may alter the value of Mid Penn or Scottdale or the price of shares of Mid Penn common stock or Scottdale common stock by the time the merger is completed. The opinions do not speak to the time the merger will be completed or to any other date other than the date of such opinions. As a result, the opinions will not address the fairness of the merger consideration, from a financial point of view, at the time the merger is completed. For a description of the opinion that Scottdale received from Ambassador, please see *The Merger Opinion of Scottdale's Financial Advisor* beginning on page 45 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. For a description of the opinion that Mid Penn received from Sandler, please see *The Merger Opinion of Mid Penn's Financial Advisor* beginning on page 57 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The merger agreement may be terminated in accordance with its terms and the merger may not be completed.

The merger agreement is subject to a number of conditions that must be fulfilled in order to complete the merger. Those conditions include, among others: approval of the merger agreement by Mid Penn and Scottdale shareholders, regulatory approvals, absence of orders prohibiting the completion of the merger, effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part, approval of the shares of Mid Penn common stock to be issued to Scottdale shareholders for listing on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, the continued accuracy of the representations and warranties by both parties, the performance by both parties of their covenants and agreements, and the receipt by both parties of legal opinions from their respective tax counsels. See *The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement* beginning on page 84 for a more complete discussion of the circumstances under which the merger agreement could be terminated. The conditions to closing of the merger may not be fulfilled and the merger may not be completed.

We may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The success of the merger will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining the businesses of Mid Penn and Scottdale. However, to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, which include increased Mid Penn lending limits and access to stable core deposits, we must successfully combine the businesses of Mid Penn and Scottdale. If we are not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

Mid Penn and Scottdale have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger. Integration efforts between the two companies will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn or Scottdale during the transition period.

Another expected benefit from the merger is an expected increase in the revenues of the combined company from anticipated sales of Mid Penn's greater variety of financial products, and from increased lending out of Mid Penn's

substantially larger capital base, to Scottsdale's existing customers and to new customers in Scottsdale's market area who may be attracted by the combined company's enhanced offerings. An inability to

Table of Contents

successfully market Mid Penn's products to Scottdale's customer base could cause the earnings of the combined company to be less than anticipated.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively affect the market price of Mid Penn's and Scottdale's common stock.

If the merger is not completed for any reason, Mid Penn and Scottdale will be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

the market price of Scottdale common stock may decline to the extent that the current market prices of its common stock already reflect a market assumption that the merger will be completed;

costs relating to the merger, such as legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and, in specified circumstances, additional reimbursement and termination fees, must be paid even if the merger is not completed; and

the diversion of management's attention from the day-to-day business operations and the potential disruption to each company's employees and business relationships during the period before the completion of the merger may make it difficult to regain financial and market positions if the merger does not occur.

Unanticipated costs relating to the merger could reduce Mid Penn's future earnings per share.

Mid Penn and Scottdale believe that they have reasonably estimated the likely incremental costs of the combined operations of Mid Penn and Scottdale following the merger. However, it is possible that unexpected transaction costs such as taxes, fees or professional expenses or unexpected future operating expenses such as unanticipated costs to integrate the two businesses, increased personnel costs or increased taxes, as well as other types of unanticipated adverse developments, including negative changes in the value of Scottdale's loan portfolio, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition of Mid Penn following the merger. In addition, if actual costs are materially different than expected costs, the merger could have a significant dilutive effect on Mid Penn's earnings per share.

Scottdale will be subject to business uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the merger is pending.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on Scottdale and consequently on Mid Penn. These uncertainties may impair Scottdale's ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is consummated, and could cause customers and others that deal with Scottdale to seek to change existing business relationships with Scottdale. Retention of certain employees may be challenging while the merger is pending, as certain employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with Mid Penn. If key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with Mid Penn, Mid Penn's business following the merger could be harmed. In addition, the merger agreement restricts Scottdale from taking certain actions until the merger occurs without the consent of Mid Penn. These restrictions may prevent Scottdale from pursuing attractive business opportunities that may arise prior to the completion of the merger. Please see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements* beginning on page 77 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for a description of the restrictive covenants to which Scottdale is subject under the merger agreement.

If the merger is not completed, Scottdale and Mid Penn will have incurred substantial expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

Scottdale and Mid Penn have both incurred substantial expenses in connection with the merger. The completion of the merger depends on the satisfaction of specified conditions and the receipt of regulatory approvals and the approval of Mid Penn's and Scottdale's shareholders. Scottdale and Mid Penn cannot guarantee that these

Table of Contents

conditions will be met. If the merger is not completed, these expenses could have an adverse impact on the financial condition and results of operations on a stand-alone basis for both Scottsdale and Mid Penn.

The federal income tax consequences of the merger for Scottsdale stockholders will be dependent upon the merger consideration received.

The federal income tax consequences of the merger to you will depend upon the merger consideration you receive. In general, if you exchange your shares of Scottsdale common stock solely for cash, you will recognize gain or loss for federal income tax purposes in an amount equal to the difference between the cash you receive and your adjusted tax basis in your Scottsdale common stock. If you receive solely Mid Penn common stock in exchange for your Scottsdale common stock, you generally will not recognize any gain or loss for federal income tax purposes. However, you generally will have to recognize gain or loss in connection with cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Mid Penn common stock. If you receive a combination of cash and Mid Penn common stock in the transaction, you generally will not recognize loss but will recognize gain, if any, to the extent of any cash received. For a more detailed discussion of the federal income tax consequences of the transaction to you, see, *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger* on page 86.

Litigation relating to the merger could require us to incur significant costs and suffer management distraction, as well as delay and/or enjoin the merger.

Neither Scottsdale nor Mid Penn is currently able to predict the outcome of any suit arising out of or relating to the proposed transaction that may be filed in the future. If any letters or complaints are filed, absent allegations that are material, Scottsdale and Mid Penn will not necessarily announce such additional filings.

Scottsdale and Mid Penn could be subject to additional demands or litigation related to the merger, whether or not the merger is consummated. Such actions may create additional uncertainty relating to the merger, and responding to such demands and defending such actions may be costly and distracting to management. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcomes of the demand or any subsequent litigation, neither Scottsdale nor Mid Penn believes that the resolution of such demands or any subsequent litigation will have a material adverse effect on its respective financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains or incorporates by reference a number of forward-looking statements, including statements about the financial conditions, results of operations, earnings outlook and prospects of Mid Penn, Scottsdale and the potential combined company and may include statements for periods following the completion of the merger. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as plan, believe, expect, anticipate, intend, outlook, estimate, forecast, project and other similar words and expressions.

The forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. The ability of either Mid Penn or Scottsdale to predict results or the actual effects of its plans and strategies, or those of the combined company, is subject to inherent uncertainty. Factors that may cause actual results or earnings to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include those beginning on page 33 under *Risk Factors*, as well as, among others, the following:

those discussed and identified in public filings with the SEC and bank regulatory agencies made by Mid Penn and Scottsdale;

completion of the merger is dependent on, among other things, receipt of shareholder and regulatory approvals, the timing of which cannot be predicted with precision and which may not be received at all;

the merger may be more expensive to complete than anticipated, including as a result of unexpected factors or events;

higher than expected increases in Mid Penn's or Scottsdale's loan losses or in the level of nonperforming loans;

a continued weakness or unexpected decline in the U.S. economy, in particular in Pennsylvania;

a continued or unexpected decline in real estate values within Mid Penn's and Scottsdale's market areas;

unanticipated reduction in Mid Penn's or Scottdale's respective deposit bases or funding sources;

government intervention in the U.S. financial system and the effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate risk policies of the FRB;

legislative and regulatory actions could subject Mid Penn to additional regulatory oversight which may result in increased compliance costs and/or require Mid Penn to change its business model;

the integration of Scottdale's business and operations with those of Mid Penn may take longer than anticipated, may be more costly than anticipated and may have unanticipated adverse results relating to Scottdale's or Mid Penn's existing businesses; and

the anticipated cost savings and other synergies of the merger may take longer to be realized or may not be achieved in their entirety, and attrition in key client, partner and other relationships relating to the merger may be greater than expected.

Because forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these statements, which speak only as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the date of any document incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements concerning the merger or other matters addressed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and attributable to Mid Penn or Scottdale or any person acting on their behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, Mid Penn and Scottdale undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Table of Contents**THE MERGER*****Background of the Merger***

The Scottsdale board has periodically reviewed the competitive environment in its market area as well as merger and acquisition activity in the financial services industry in general and in Pennsylvania in particular. The Scottsdale board of directors and management have also been aware in recent years of changes in the financial services industry and the regulatory environment as well as the competitive challenges facing a financial institution such as Scottsdale. These challenges have included increasing government regulations, increasing expense burdens and commitments for technology and training, and increasing competition in the delivery of financial products and services combined with increased customer expectations for the availability of sophisticated financial products and services from financial institutions. The most concerning of these factors has been the significant regulatory burden for smaller community banks.

Beginning in April 2016, Donald F. Kiefer, Scottsdale's President and Chief Executive Officer, Lawrence J. Kiefer, Scottsdale's Treasurer, and Lynn Kiefer Andras, Scottsdale's head of human resources, had discussions with representatives of multiple investment banking firms about the process of reviewing strategic alternatives. Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras are siblings and collectively, directly and indirectly, control a majority of the shares of Scottsdale's common stock. Mr. D. Kiefer and Ms. Andras also serve as members of the Scottsdale board of directors. The strategic alternatives considered included, among other things, continuing on-going operations as an independent institution, obtaining additional financing, acquiring other depository institutions and entering into a merger or acquisition transaction with a similarly sized or larger institution.

Between June 3, 2016 and November 14, 2016, Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras held a number of conversations and meetings with representatives of Ambassador, an investment banking firm, to discuss Scottsdale's markets, customers and strategic plans. On October 17, 2016, Scottsdale engaged Ambassador to serve as its financial advisor. On November 15, 2016, Scottsdale's board of directors met to discuss the banking environment and the strategic alternatives discussed above, including the universe of potential acquirors. Representatives of Ambassador and Scottsdale's outside counsel, Tucker Arensberg, were present. The Scottsdale board of directors instructed Ambassador to contact potential buyers and to commence a process to determine interest in a potential combination with Scottsdale.

Between November 17, 2016 and December 22, 2016, Ambassador contacted twenty-four potential acquirors, with seventeen of them entering into nondisclosure agreements with Scottsdale. Simultaneously, between November 29, 2016 and December 7, 2016, representatives of Ambassador and Scottsdale's management had in-person visits with ten potential acquirors, including Mid Penn's management on December 5, 2016. Between December 7, 2016 and December 22, 2016, representatives of Ambassador had conference calls or made inquiries with the other seven potential acquirors who had entered into nondisclosure agreements.

Scottsdale also had meetings with four potential acquirors that requested in-person meetings. On December 14, 2016, Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras met with Rory G. Ritrievi, Mid Penn's President and Chief Executive Officer, Michael D. Peduzzi, Mid Penn's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and Justin T. Webb, Mid Penn's Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer, to discuss a potential business combination. Discussions centered around business models, culture and philosophies and potential deal structures and preliminary pricing. Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras had similar meetings with representatives of Bank C on December 14, 2016, representatives of Bank D on December 16, 2016 and representatives of Bank B on December 19, 2016.

On December 30, 2016, representatives of Sandler, an investment banking firm, sent Mid Penn's management its first analysis of a potential combination between Mid Penn and Scottsdale.

On January 4, 2017, Ambassador circulated bid instructions pursuant to which interested parties could send non-binding letters of intent. On January 12, 2017, Mid Penn's management discussed the terms of a potential

Table of Contents

letter of intent with representatives of Sandler. On January 16, 2017, the executive committee of the Mid Penn board of directors met to review the potential opportunity to combine with Scottdale and the terms of the letter of intent. Mid Penn's management and representatives of Sandler were present. The executive committee of the Mid Penn board of directors instructed its management to submit a letter of intent valuing Scottdale between \$54 million and \$61 million. On January 17, 2017, Mid Penn submitted its initial letter of intent.

On January 24, 2017, the Scottdale board of directors met with management and representatives of Ambassador to review the five letters of intent received. Following a review and extensive discussion, the Scottdale board of directors instructed management to continue discussions with Mid Penn and Bank B. Bank B and Mid Penn were asked to submit revised non-binding letters of intent. Representatives of Ambassador notified the other three participants that they were no longer in the process.

On February 9, 2017 and February 10, 2017, Bank B conducted due diligence on Scottdale. On February 15, 2017, Mid Penn's management conducted due diligence on Scottdale at an off-site location.

On February 22, 2017, the Mid Penn board of directors met with its management and representatives of Sandler to review a potential acquisition of Scottdale, including the terms of a revised letter of intent.

On February 23, 2017, Scottdale's management and representatives of Ambassador visited Bank B to meet with its management to discuss a potential transaction. Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras and their brother, Bruce Kiefer, who is a Scottdale shareholder and sibling of Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras, and a representative of Ambassador visited Mid Penn on February 27, 2017 to meet with its management and some members of the Mid Penn board of directors to discuss a potential transaction.

On February 28, 2017, Mid Penn and Bank B submitted revised letters of intent. On March 3, 2017, the Scottdale board of directors met with management and representatives of Ambassador to review the both revised letters of intent. Following a review and extensive discussion, the Scottdale board of directors instructed management to continue discussions with Mid Penn. Representatives of Ambassador notified Bank B that it was no longer in the process. On March 10, 2017, Scottdale and Mid Penn entered into an exclusivity agreement.

On March 13, 2017, Mid Penn's legal counsel, Stevens & Lee, circulated an initial draft of the merger agreement to Scottdale. From the initial delivery of a draft of the merger agreement on March 13, 2017 through March 29, 2017, Stevens & Lee, as counsel to Mid Penn, and Tucker Arensberg, as counsel to Scottdale, exchanged multiple drafts of the merger agreement. Throughout the course of negotiations, Stevens & Lee and Tucker Arensberg each had several conversations with management of their respective clients regarding the developments and progress of the negotiations and received input from management regarding the issues emerging from such negotiations.

On March 17, 2017, Scottdale's management conducted on-site reverse due diligence on Mid Penn. Representatives of Ambassador were present.

On March 22, 2017, the Mid Penn board of directors met with management to review the status of the transaction, including the present terms of the merger and the merger agreement. Representatives of Sandler and Stevens & Lee were present.

On March 29, 2017, the Scottdale board of directors held a special meeting to review the merger proposal as set forth in the definitive merger agreement and related documents negotiated by Scottdale and Mid Penn and their respective advisors. The Scottdale board received a presentation regarding the results of due diligence conducted on Mid Penn from Scottdale's management. At the meeting, Ambassador reviewed with Scottdale's board of directors the financial

aspects of the proposed merger and rendered to the board an oral opinion (which was confirmed by a written opinion, dated March 29, 2017) to the effect that, as of such date and subject to the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered, and qualifications and limitations on the review

Table of Contents

undertaken by Ambassador as set forth in its written opinion, the merger consideration to be received by the holders of Scottsdale common stock in the merger was fair to such holders from a financial point of view. After careful and deliberate consideration of the presentations as well as the interests of Scottsdale's shareholders, customers, employees and communities served by Scottsdale, Scottsdale's board of directors unanimously (i) determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby were advisable and fair to and in the best interests of Scottsdale, (ii) approved and adopted the merger agreement and approved the merger and the other transactions contemplated thereby and (iii) subject to the board's fiduciary duties, recommended the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby by Scottsdale's shareholders.

Also on March 29, 2017, the Mid Penn board of directors held a special meeting to review the final draft of the merger agreement. Stevens & Lee reviewed the provisions of the merger agreement in detail with the board of directors. Sandler reviewed its financial analyses of the merger and delivered an oral opinion, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of that date and subject to assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn. After careful consideration of these presentations and further discussion, the Mid Penn board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and agreed to recommend that Mid Penn's shareholders adopt and approve the merger agreement and the merger.

After the market closed on March 29, 2017, the parties exchanged signature pages for the merger agreement and voting agreements, and Scottsdale and Mid Penn issued a joint press release announcing the execution of the merger agreement.

Scottsdale's Reasons for the Merger

In reaching its conclusion to approve the merger and the merger agreement and recommend that Scottsdale's shareholders vote **FOR** adoption of the merger agreement, Scottsdale's board of directors, at its meeting held on March 29, 2017, considered the merger agreement and determined it to be fair to, advisable and in the best interests of Scottsdale, its shareholders and its other constituencies. Scottsdale's board of directors unanimously voted in favor of the merger agreement and the transactions it contemplates. In evaluating the merger, Scottsdale's board of directors consulted with management, as well as Scottsdale's legal and financial advisors, and considered a number of factors, including:

a review of Scottsdale's current business, operations, earnings, financial condition and prospects and of Mid Penn's current business, operations, earnings, financial condition and prospects, taking into account its familiarity with Mid Penn, its management and the results of Scottsdale's due diligence review of Mid Penn;

knowledge of the current environment in the financial services industry, including economic conditions, the continuing consolidation, increasing operating costs resulting from federal and state regulatory initiatives and compliance mandates, increasing competition from larger regional institutions and current financial market conditions and the likely effects of these factors on Scottsdale's potential growth, productivity and strategic options;

the terms and conditions of the merger, including both the amount and nature of the consideration proposed to be paid in connection with the merger and Scottsdale's board's assessment of the likelihood that the merger

would be completed in a timely manner;

the fact that Scottdale shareholders will receive a significant premium;

the fact that Scottdale would continue to operate as a separate banking division of Mid Penn Bank for at least 3 years following completion of the merger;

the financial presentation of Ambassador, including its opinion, dated March 29, 2017, as more fully described below under the caption Opinion of Scottdale's Financial Advisor;

Table of Contents

the fact that Scottdale's stock is not very liquid and that Mid Penn's stock trades on The Nasdaq Global Select Market which provides greater liquidity;

the fact that the merger consideration, in the form of shares of Mid Penn common stock, will be tax-free to Scottdale shareholders;

the current Mid Penn cash dividend rate;

expansion of the Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank boards or directors to include Donald F. Kiefer or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate;

the fact that the merger is not expected to result in significant employment loss for current Scottdale employees;

the fact that no Scottdale branch closings are anticipated;

the opportunity to offer Scottdale's customers additional products and services, especially those related to loans and technology;

the increased ability to cope with cybersecurity demands;

the potential cost saving opportunities; and

the positive anticipated impact of the merger on Scottdale's employees and the surrounding community. Scottdale's board of directors also considered a variety of risks and other potentially negative factors concerning the merger, including, without limitation, the following factors:

the risk that potential benefits of the merger, including possible synergies, might not be realized;

the possibility that the consummation of the merger may be delayed, or not occur;

the incurrence of substantial expenses related to the merger, including transaction expenses and integration costs;

the time commitment of management to effectuate the merger; and

the other potential risks described under the heading "Risk Factors" in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the board of directors of Scottsdale is not intended to be exhaustive, but includes the material factors considered by the board of directors of Scottsdale. In reaching its decision to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the board of directors of Scottsdale did not quantify or assign any relative weights to the factors considered, and individual directors may have given different weights to different factors. The board of directors of Scottsdale considered all these factors as a whole, including discussions with, and questioning of Scottsdale's management and Scottsdale's independent financial and legal advisors, and overall considered the factors to be favorable to, and to support, its determination.

Scottsdale shareholders should be aware that Scottsdale's directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of other Scottsdale shareholders. The board of directors of Scottsdale was aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating and negotiating the merger agreement, and in recommending that the Merger Proposal be approved by the shareholders of Scottsdale. See *Scottsdale's Directors and Executive Officers Have Financial Interests in the Merger*.

This summary of the reasoning of the Board of Directors of Scottsdale and other information presented in this section is forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed under the heading "Forward-Looking Statements."

Table of Contents

Recommendation of Scottsdale s Board of Directors

Scottsdale s board of directors believes that the terms of the transaction are in the best interests of Scottsdale and its shareholders and has unanimously approved the merger agreement. **Accordingly, Scottsdale s board of directors unanimously recommends that Scottsdale s shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement and FOR an adjournment of the Scottsdale special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.**

Opinion of Scottsdale s Financial Advisor

Scottsdale retained Ambassador to act as Scottsdale s financial advisor in connection with the possible business combination of Scottsdale with another party. Ambassador is a nationally recognized investment banking firm whose principal business specialty is financial institutions. In the ordinary course of its investment banking business, Ambassador is regularly engaged in the valuation of financial institutions and their securities in connection with mergers, acquisitions and other corporate transactions.

On March 29, 2017, Ambassador delivered its opinion to Scottsdale s board of directors to the effect that, as of such date and based upon and subject to various considerations set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration to be received by the holders of Scottsdale common stock in the merger was fair to such holders from a financial point of view. Ambassador s opinion was approved by Ambassador s Fairness Opinion Committee. Ambassador has consented to the inclusion of its opinion in this proxy statement/prospectus.

The full text of Ambassador s written opinion to Scottsdale, which sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached as *Annex B* to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference in its entirety into this proxy statement/prospectus. Holders of Scottsdale common stock are encouraged to read the opinion carefully in its entirety. The following summary of Ambassador s opinion is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion.

Ambassador s opinion to Scottsdale s board of directors was rendered for the benefit of Scottsdale s board (in its capacity as such) in connection with its evaluation of the merger. Ambassador s opinion is not intended and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act with respect to the merger or any matter relating thereto. Ambassador s opinion does not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other transaction or business strategy in which Scottsdale might engage or the merits of the underlying decision by Scottsdale to engage in the merger.

No limitations were imposed by Scottsdale on the scope of Ambassador s investigation or on the procedures followed by Ambassador in rendering its opinion.

In rendering the opinion, Ambassador:

Reviewed the merger agreement dated March 29, 2017;

Reviewed Scottsdale s audited financial statements as of or for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014;

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Reviewed Mid Penn's Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, including the financial statements contained therein;

Reviewed Scottdale's and Mid Penn Bank's respective quarterly call reports for December 31, 2016, September 30, 2016, June 30, 2016, and March 31, 2016;

Reviewed other publicly available information regarding Scottdale and Mid Penn;

Table of Contents

Reviewed certain non-public information provided to Ambassador by or on behalf of Scottdale and Mid Penn, regarding Scottdale and Mid Penn (including financial projections and forecasts for Scottdale and Mid Penn provided to Ambassador by the respective managements of Scottdale and Mid Penn) and projected cost savings anticipated by the management of Mid Penn to be realized from the merger;

Reviewed recently reported stock prices and trading activity of Mid Penn common stock and Scottdale common stock;

Discussed the past and current operations, financial condition and future prospects of Scottdale and Mid Penn with senior executives of Scottdale and Mid Penn, respectively;

Reviewed and analyzed certain publicly available financial and stock market data of banking companies that Ambassador selected as relevant to Ambassador's analysis of Scottdale and Mid Penn;

Reviewed and analyzed certain publicly available financial data of transactions that Ambassador selected as relevant to its analysis of Scottdale;

Considered Mid Penn's financial and capital position and certain potential pro forma financial effects of the merger on Mid Penn;

Considered the results of the process conducted by or on behalf of Scottdale, with Ambassador's assistance, to solicit indications of interest from third parties with respect to a possible sale of Scottdale;

Conducted other analyses and reviewed other information Ambassador considered necessary or appropriate; and

Incorporated Ambassador's assessment of the overall economic environment and market conditions, as well as Ambassador's experience in mergers and acquisitions, bank stock valuations and other transactions.

In rendering Ambassador's opinion, Ambassador also relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy, reasonableness and completeness of the information provided to Ambassador by or on behalf of Scottdale and Mid Penn and publicly available information used in Ambassador's analyses. Ambassador did not assume any responsibility for the accuracy, reasonableness and completeness of any of the foregoing materials provided to Ambassador and publicly available information or for the independent verification thereof. With respect to the financial projections and forecasts for Scottdale and Mid Penn reviewed by Ambassador and other non-public information related to projected cost savings referred to above, Ambassador assumed, with Scottdale's consent, that they have been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the respective managements of Scottdale and Mid Penn, as the case may be, as to the future financial performance of Scottdale and Mid Penn and such cost savings and that the financial results reflected in such projections and forecasts as well as such cost savings would be realized in the amounts and at the times projected. Ambassador assumed no responsibility for and expressed no view as to any of the foregoing financial projections and forecasts, other

non-public information reviewed by Ambassador or the assumptions on which they were based.

Ambassador is not an expert in the evaluation of deposit accounts or loans, mortgages or similar portfolios or allowances for losses with respect thereto and Ambassador was not requested to, and Ambassador did not, conduct a review of individual credit files or loans, mortgages or similar portfolios. Ambassador assumed no responsibility for and expressed no view as to the adequacy or sufficiency of allowances for losses or other matters with respect thereto and Ambassador assumed that each of Scottsdale and Mid Penn had, and the pro forma combined company would have, appropriate reserves to cover any such losses. Ambassador did not conduct any independent valuation or appraisal of any of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of Scottsdale, Mid Penn or any other party, and Ambassador was not furnished with any such valuation or appraisal.

Table of Contents

Ambassador's opinion was based on conditions as they existed and the information Ambassador received, as of the date of Ambassador's opinion. Ambassador does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion. Ambassador expressed no opinion as to the actual value of Mid Penn common stock when issued in the merger or the prices at which Scottsdale common stock or Mid Penn common stock might trade at any time.

In rendering its opinion, Ambassador assumed, with Scottsdale's consent, that the merger and related transactions would be consummated on the terms described in the merger agreement, without any waiver or modification of any material terms or conditions. Ambassador also assumed, with Scottsdale's consent, that, in the course of obtaining the necessary governmental, regulatory and other third party approvals, consents and releases for the merger, including with respect to any divestiture or other requirements, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition would be imposed that would have an adverse effect on Scottsdale, Mid Penn or the merger (including the contemplated benefits thereof). Ambassador also assumed, with Scottsdale's consent, that the final merger agreement would not differ from the draft reviewed by Ambassador in any respect material to Ambassador's analyses or opinion. Ambassador further assumed, with Scottsdale's consent, that the merger would qualify for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Ambassador expressed no view or opinion as to any terms or other aspects (other than the merger consideration to the extent expressly specified in Ambassador's opinion) of the merger or any related transaction. Ambassador expressed no opinion with respect to the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to any of the officers, directors, or employees of any party to the merger, or any class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration or otherwise.

In performing its analyses, Ambassador made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, which are beyond the control of Ambassador, Scottsdale and Mid Penn. Any estimates contained in the analyses performed by Ambassador are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Additionally, estimates of the value of businesses or securities do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which such businesses or securities might actually be sold. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty. In addition, Ambassador's opinion was among several factors taken into consideration by the Scottsdale's board in making its determination to approve the merger agreement and the merger. Consequently, the analyses described below should not be viewed as determinative of the decision of the Scottsdale board with respect to the fairness of the merger consideration. The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger were determined through negotiation between Scottsdale and Mid Penn and the decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of Scottsdale's board.

Selected Implied Transaction Ratios for the Merger

Based on Scottsdale's financial information as of December 31, 2016, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 or for the three months ended December 31, 2016 (annualized) or the closing price of Scottsdale common stock on March 27, 2017, Ambassador calculated the following transaction ratios using an implied per share value of the merger consideration of \$1,166, or an implied transaction value of approximately \$59.1 million:

Transaction value / tangible book value	130%
Transaction value / last twelve months earnings	107.6x
Transaction value / last three months earnings annualized	28.1x
Core deposit premium ¹	6.4%
Market premium to average closing price ²	153.5%

- (1) Calculated as follows: $(\text{implied transaction value} - \text{tangible equity}) / \text{core deposits}$; where core deposits are defined as: total deposits, less time deposit accounts with balances over \$100,000, foreign deposits, and unclassified deposits.

Table of Contents

- (2) Calculated as follows: (implied per share value of the merger consideration / average closing price) / average closing price; where the average closing price is defined as the 20 trading day average closing price as of March 27, 2017.

The following is a summary of the material financial analysis presented by Ambassador to Scottsdale's board in connection with rendering its opinion. This summary is not a complete description of the analyses and procedures performed by Ambassador in the course of arriving at its opinion. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex analytical process involving various determinations as to appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Ambassador did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. Accordingly, Ambassador believes that its analyses and the summary of its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on the information presented below in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion. No company, transaction or business used in Ambassador's analyses for comparative purposes is identical to Scottsdale, Mid Penn or the proposed merger and an evaluation of the results of those analyses is not entirely mathematical.

Comparable Institutions Analysis of Scottsdale

Ambassador performed a comparable institutions analysis by comparing the financial and stock performance of Scottsdale with those of the following nine selected publicly traded institutions that were headquartered in Pennsylvania, Ohio or West Virginia, were not mutual institutions or merger or acquisition targets and had assets between \$200 million and \$500 million, average one-year daily trading volume greater than 200, nonperforming assets to assets ratios less than 2%, and returns on equity less than 7%:

Description of Comparable Institutions

Institution	Assets ³		City, State	Ticker	Exchange
	In Millions				
Central Federal Corporation	\$	436	Worthington, OH	CFBK	NASDAQ
Commercial National Financial Corporation		366	Latrobe, PA	CNAF	OTCQX
Consumers Bancorp, Inc.		441	Minerva, OH	CBKM	OTC Pink
First West Virginia Bancorp, Inc.		335	Wheeling, WV	FWVB	OTCQX
MNB Corporation		373	Bangor, PA	MNBC	OTC Pink
Northumberland Bancorp		498	Northumberland, PA	NUBC	OTC Pink
Potomac Bancshares, Inc.		383	Charles Town, WV	PTBS	OTC Pink
Wayne Savings Bancshares, Inc.		455	Wooster, OH	WAYN	NASDAQ
WVS Financial Corp.		340	Pittsburgh, PA	WVFC	NASDAQ

- (3) Financial data as of December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30, 2016.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Table of Contents

Ambassador compared the financial performance of Scottdale and the selected institutions as indicated in the following table:

Financial Performance of Scottdale and Comparable Institutions⁴

	Assets In Millions	Loans / Deposits	Tangible Equity/ Tang. Assets	Tangible Comm. Eq./ Tang. Assets	NPAs/ Assets	Return on Average Assets	Return on Average Equity ⁵
High	\$ 498	100%	15.00%	15.00%	1.88%	1.09%	6.62%
75 th Percentile	448	91	9.82	9.83	.99	.58	5.96
Median	383	68	9.42	9.42	.79	.49	5.46
25 th Percentile	353	59	8.66	8.29	.24	.44	4.33
Low	335	35	7.93	6.40	.07	.40	3.95
Scottdale	\$ 263	29%	17.28%	17.28%	.31%	.21%	1.23%

(4) Financial data is as of December 31, 2016, or the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30 2016, or the twelve months ended September 30, 2016.

(5) Commercial National Financial Corporation's earnings were adjusted to remove impact of large one-time securities gains realized in the first half of 2016.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Ambassador then compared the stock performance of Scottdale and the selected institutions as indicated in the following table:

Stock Performance of Scottdale and Comparable Institutions⁶

	Earnings per Share		Stock Price/ Tang. Book Per Share	Assets Per Share	Dividend Yield	Shares Traded Daily
	LTM	MRQ				
High	42.8X	59.6X	128%	16.2%	5.01%	36,565
75 th Percentile	22.4	45.6	121	11.1	3.38	1,948
Median	20.2	17.8	112	9.7	2.36	1,448
25 th Percentile	16.0	15.4	90	8.2	1.80	489
Low	14.7	13.1	82	7.2	0.00	283
Scottdale	42.4X	11.1X	51%	8.9%	1.96% ⁷	1

(6) Financial data as of December 31, 2016, or for the applicable period ended December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30, 2016, or the applicable period ended September 30, 2016. Market data is as of March 27, 2017.

(7) Assumes a normalized dividend per share for Scottdale per guidance from Scottdale.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Table of Contents

Ambassador also reviewed the historical stock price performance of Scottdale relative to the selected institutions and selected stock indices, as indicated in the following tables:

Scottdale Historical Stock Performance**Relative to Comparable Institutions and Selected Indices**

One-Year Stock Performance

Date	Scottdale (SDLJ)	Comparable Institutions	S&P 500	NASDAQ Bank Index
March 27, 2017	104%	113%	115%	136%
March 24, 2016 ⁸	100	100	100	100

Three-Year Stock Performance

Date	Scottdale (SDLJ)	Comparable Institutions	S&P 500	NASDAQ Bank Index
March 27, 2017	101%	106%	127%	138%
March 27, 2014	100	100	100	100

(8) March 27, 2016 was a Sunday. Most recent trade date prior to the 27th was used instead.

Discounted Dividend Analysis of Scottdale with Cost Savings

Ambassador performed a discounted dividend analysis including cost savings to estimate a range for the implied equity value of Scottdale common stock to an acquirer. In this analysis, Ambassador assumed discount rates of 10%, 12% and 14% and calculated terminal values of 90% and 110% estimated tangible book value at the end of seven years. Ambassador used financial projections and forecasts for Scottdale provided to Ambassador by the management of Scottdale and projected cost savings anticipated by the management of Mid Penn to be realized from the merger. This analysis indicated an implied present value reference range of Scottdale common stock of \$441 per share to \$675 per share, which are 11.1X and 17.0X, respectively, of Scottdale's 2016 adjusted earnings per share and 49% and 75%, respectively, of Scottdale's tangible book value as of December 31, 2016.

Comparable Transactions Analysis of Scottdale

Ambassador performed a comparable transaction analysis by reviewing the following information for purposes of comparison with selected implied transaction ratios for the merger:

Publicly available acquisition metrics of 415 selected transactions in the United States that were announced from January 1, 2014 through March 27, 2017 with announced deal values in excess of \$10 million, excluding mergers of equals (Nationwide M&A Pricing).

Publicly available acquisition metrics of 13 selected transactions in which the selling bank was based in Pennsylvania that were announced from January 1, 2012 through March 27, 2017 with seller assets between \$100 million to \$400 million (Pennsylvania Transactions).

Publicly available acquisition metrics of 9 selected transactions in the United States that were announced from January 1, 2012 through March 27, 2017 with announced deal values in excess of \$10 million, seller's tangible equity to tangible assets over 12.0% and seller's loans to assets under 40.0% (Nationwide Comparable Transactions).

- (9) Adjusted to exclude a one-time loss on sale of bank owned property and a one-time reversal of provision and inclusive of a 30% cost savings assumption as anticipated by Mid Penn's management team. A marginal tax rate of 34% was assumed when making these adjustments.

Table of Contents

The results of the analysis for Nationwide M&A Pricing are set forth in the following table:

Nationwide M&A Pricing¹⁰

Year	Number of Deals	Median Price/ Last 12 Months Earnings	Median Price/ Tangible Common Book (%)
<u>Highest 3rd by Announced Price-to-Tangible Book</u>			
2017 ¹¹	9	26.1X	224%
2016	38	20.3	179
2015	47	23.7	181
2014	45	18.6	194
<u>Middle 3rd by Announced Price-to-Tangible Book</u>			
2017 ¹¹	9	19.9X	162%
2016	39	20.0	141
2015	46	22.8	143
2014	44	24.1	144
<u>Lowest 3rd by Announced Price-to-Tangible Book</u>			
2017 ¹¹	9	21.2X	126%
2016	39	18.2	116
2015	46	23.4	118
2014	44	24.2	110
Mid Penn / Scottdale		NM	130%

(10) Median pricing data of the selected transactions in the sub-group indicated are shown.

(11) Through March 27, 2017.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Table of Contents

The results of the analysis for the Pennsylvania Transactions are set forth in the following table with primary focus on the median values:

Pennsylvania Transactions

Acquirer/Seller	Deal Value (in mill.)	Deal Value/ Last 12 Months Earnings	Deal Value/ Common Tangible Book
Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania / Valley Green Bank	\$ 78	15.3X	234%
Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. / Luzerne National Bank Corporation	45	20.3	165
DNB Financial Corporation / East River Bank	49	22.1	161
NexTier Incorporated / Eureka Financial Corporation	35	22.7	151
Citizens Financial Services, Inc. / First National Bank of Fredericksburg	22	NM	144
S&T Bancorp, Inc. / Gateway Bank of Pennsylvania	22	34.2	140
ESSA Bancorp, Inc. / Eagle National Bancorp, Inc.	25	NM	112
Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. / Phoenix Bancorp, Inc.	15	26.6	110
CB Financial Services, Inc. / FedFirst Financial Corporation	55	23.8	109
First Priority Financial Corp. / Affinity Bancorp, Inc.	13	NM	105
Prudential Bancorp, Inc. / Polonia Bancorp, Inc.	38	NM	101
Riverview Financial Corporation / Union Bancorp, Inc.	12	NM	94
ESSA Bancorp, Inc. / Franklin Security Bancorp, Inc.	16	30.5	87
Median	\$ 25	23.3X	112%
Mid Penn / Scottsdale	\$ 59	NM	130%

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

The results of the analysis for the Nationwide Comparable Transactions are set forth in the following table with primary focus on the median values:

Nationwide Comparable Transactions

Acquirer/Seller	Deal Value (in mill.)	Deal Value/ Last 12 Months	Deal Value/ Common Tangible
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

		Earnings	Book
Adams Community Bank/ Lenox National Bank	\$ 14	NM	171%
Cascade Bancorp/ Home Federal Bancorp, Inc.	266	NM	158
ESB Bancorp MHC/ Citizens National Bancorp, Inc.	51	20.2X	126
Pacific Premier Bancorp, Inc./ First Associations Bank	54	17.7	118
Pontiac Bancorp, Inc./ Bluestem Financial Corp.	16	29.8	113
Bay Bancorp, Inc./ Hopkins Bancorp, Inc.	23	NM	107
S.Y. Bancorp, Inc./ Bancorp, Inc.	20	34.1	106
First Capital, Inc./ Peoples Bancorp Inc. of Bullitt County	30	17.1	103
Bank of the Ozarks, Inc./ Genala Banc, Inc.	27	16.7	95
Median		19.0X	113%
Mid Penn / Scottdale	\$ 59	NM	130%

Table of Contents**Comparable Institutions Analysis of Mid Penn**

Ambassador performed a comparable institutions analysis by comparing the financial and stock performance of Mid Penn with those of the following ten selected publicly traded institutions that were headquartered in Pennsylvania, were not mutual institutions, thrifts or merger or acquisition targets, and had assets between \$750 million and \$1.75 billion, average one-year daily trading volume greater than 500, nonperforming assets to assets ratios less than 2%, and returns on average equity greater than 8%:

Overview of Mid Penn and Comparable Institutions

Institution	Assets ¹² In Millions	City, State	Ticker	Exchange
ACNB Corporation	\$ 1,206	Gettysburg, PA	ACNB	NASDAQ
Citizens & Northern Corporation	1,242	Wellsboro, PA	CZNC	NASDAQ
Citizens Financial Services, Inc.	1,223	Mansfield, PA	CZFS	OTC Pink
Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.	1,612	York, PA	CVLY	NASDAQ
DNB Financial Corporation	1,071	Downingtown, PA	DNBF	NASDAQ
Embassy Bancorp, Inc.	889	Bethlehem, PA	EMYB	OTCQX
Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.	793	Dunmore, PA	FDDB	OTC Pink
First Keystone Corporation	984	Berwick, PA	FKYS	OTC Pink
Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.	1,349	Williamsport, PA	PWOD	NASDAQ
QNB Corp.	1,063	Quakertown, PA	QNBC	OTC Pink

(12) Financial data as of December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30, 2016.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Ambassador compared the financial performance of Mid Penn and the selected institutions as indicated in the following table:

Financial Performance of Mid Penn and Comparable Institutions¹³

	Assets In Millions	Tangible Equity/ Tang. Assets	Tangible Comm. Eq./ Tang. Assets	NPAs/ Assets	Return on Average Assets	Return on Average Equity ¹⁴
High	\$ 1,612	14.15%	14.15%	1.58%	1.28%	10.24%
75 th Percentile	1,269	9.66	9.66	1.39	1.03	9.90
Median	1,139	9.18	9.18	1.33	.93	9.31
25 th Percentile	961	8.35	8.35	.93	.86	8.45
Low	793	7.51	7.51	.59	.59	8.23
Mid Penn	\$ 1,033	6.43%	6.43%	.56%	.78%	10.71%

(13) Financial data is as of December 31, 2016, or the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30 2016, or the twelve months ended September 30, 2016.

(14) For DNB, since its 2016 earnings include one-time merger related expenses, the fourth quarter 2016 annualized return on average equity of 9.86% was used.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Table of Contents

Ambassador then compared the stock performance of Mid Penn and the selected institutions as indicated in the following table:

Stock Performance of Mid Penn and Comparable Institutions¹⁵

	Earnings per Share		Stock Price/ Tang. Book	Assets	Dividend	Shares
	LTM	MRQ	Per Share	Per Share	Yield	Traded Daily
High	21.8X	17.5X	181%	22.4%	4.52%	24,450
75th Percentile	17.0	16.5	172	14.8	4.26	13,888
Median	15.6	14.3	158	14.0	3.09	3,672
25th Percentile	14.3	13.4	142	12.8	1.79	832
Low	13.4	12.7	128	11.7	0.83	616
Mid Penn	14.8X	13.9X	175%	11.2%	1.90%	2,459

(15) Financial data as of December 31, 2016, or for the applicable period ended December 31, 2016, or if unavailable, September 30, 2016, or the applicable period ended September 30, 2016. Market data is as of March 27, 2017. Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

Ambassador also reviewed the historical stock price performance of Mid Penn relative to the selected institutions and selected stock indices, as indicated in the following tables:

Mid Penn Historical Stock Performance**Relative to Comparable Institutions and Selected Indices****One-Year Stock Performance**

Date	Mid Penn	Comparable	S&P	NASDAQ
	(MPB)	Institutions	500	Bank Index
March 27, 2017	183%	116%	115%	136%
March 24, 2016 ¹⁶	100	100	100	100

Three-Year Stock Performance

Date	Mid Penn	Comparable	S&P	NASDAQ
	(MPB)	Institutions	500	Bank Index

March 27, 2017	188%	107%	127%	138%
March 27, 2014	100	100	100	100

(16) March 27, 2016 was a Sunday. Most recent trade date prior to the 27th was used instead.

Discounted Dividend Analysis of Mid Penn

Ambassador performed a discounted dividend analysis to estimate a range for the implied equity value of Mid Penn common stock. In this analysis, Ambassador assumed discount rates of 10%, 12% and 14% and calculated terminal values of 14X and 16X estimated earnings per share at the end of seven years. Ambassador used financial projections and forecasts for Mid Penn provided to Ambassador by the management of Mid Penn. This analysis indicated an implied present value range of Mid Penn common stock of \$20.24 per share to \$28.81 per share, which are 11.0X and 15.6X, respectively, of Mid Penn's 2016 earnings per share and 130% and 184%, respectively, of Mid Penn's tangible book value per share as of December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents**Contribution Analysis**

Ambassador analyzed the relative contribution of Mid Penn and Scottdale to various pro forma balance sheet items and net income of the combined entity. This analysis excludes the impact of purchase accounting marks and one-time merger costs. The results of Ambassador's analysis are set forth in the following table:

Mid Penn and Scottdale**Contribution Analysis¹⁷**

	Percentage Contribution	
	Mid Penn	Scottdale
Balance Sheet		
Assets	79.7%	20.3%
Gross loans held for investment	92.8	7.2
Deposits	81.2	18.8
Tangible common equity	59.2	40.8
Income statement		
Net income ¹⁸	89.3%	10.7%
Pro Forma Ownership¹⁹		
Assuming 90% stock consideration	68.5%	31.5%
Assuming 100% stock consideration	66.1	33.9

(17) Absent accounting marks. Balance sheet data is as of December 31, 2016. Income statement data is for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016.

(18) Earnings are based on 2016 full year earnings adjusted to remove the one-time loss on sale of bank owned property and a one-time negative provision expense, using a 34% effective tax rate.

(19) Shares to be issued in merger based on Mid Penn's closing stock price on March 27, 2017.

Financial Impact Analysis on Mid Penn

Ambassador also conducted a financial impact analysis assuming that the merger will close at the end of the third quarter of 2017. Ambassador used historical financial data as of December 31, 2016, or for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, estimated standalone earnings for Mid Penn and Scottdale, as provided by Mid Penn, and projected cost savings anticipated by the management of Mid Penn to be realized from the merger. Ambassador also incorporated other pro forma assumptions as provided by Mid Penn. The analysis indicated that the merger could be accretive to tangible book value per share as of September 30, 2017 and could be dilutive to earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, annualized. Furthermore, Ambassador's analysis indicated that, pro forma for the merger, Mid Penn's tangible equity to tangible assets ratio as of September 30, 2017 could be higher. All of the results of Ambassador's financial impact analysis may vary materially from the actual results achieved by Mid Penn.

Other Disclosures

Ambassador, as part of its financial advisory business, is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and valuations for corporate and other purposes. Scottdale selected Ambassador to act as a financial advisor and to provide a fairness opinion based on Ambassador's experience,

including in connection with mergers and acquisitions of commercial banks and bank holding companies.

Scottsdale has agreed to pay Ambassador a fee for its services totaling approximately \$640,000, a portion of which fee became payable upon presentation of Ambassador's opinion and approximately \$510,000 of Ambassador's fee is contingent upon the closing of the merger. In addition, portions of Ambassador's fee became payable upon the signing of Ambassador's engagement agreement, upon approval by Scottsdale of a

Table of Contents

confidential information memorandum, upon the execution of an exclusivity agreement between Scottsdale and Mid Penn, and a portion of Ambassador's fee is payable upon the mailing of Scottsdale's proxy statement to its shareholders regarding the merger. Scottsdale has also agreed to reimburse Ambassador's out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with its engagement and to indemnify Ambassador against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of its obligations under the engagement letter.

Over the two years preceding the date of Ambassador's opinion, Ambassador did not provide investment banking or other consulting services to Scottsdale or Mid Penn for which Ambassador received compensation from Scottsdale or Mid Penn. In the future, Ambassador may pursue the opportunities to provide investment banking and other consulting services to Scottsdale and Mid Penn, but none have been discussed or contemplated.

Ambassador is an approved broker-dealer for Mid Penn Bank and periodically purchases securities from, and sells securities to, Mid Penn Bank.

Ambassador's fairness committee approved the issuance of its opinion letter dated March 29, 2017.

Mid Penn's Reasons for the Merger

The board of directors and senior management of Mid Penn periodically review and evaluate the economic and regulatory environments in which Mid Penn and its affiliated companies operate. Part of this review in recent years has included an acknowledgement of the effects of additional oversight and regulation on revenues, expenses and capital requirements for financial institutions, particularly community banks, as a result of the passage in 2010 of the Dodd-Frank Act and other factors, and consideration of competitive factors. The board of directors and senior management generally believe that greater size and scale can help a community-oriented financial institution address the costs of anticipated additional regulation as well as provide additional revenue opportunities and provide a platform to compete more effectively with larger financial institutions. In light of these observations, Mid Penn has elected to pursue a controlled growth strategy, which may include both organic growth and the targeted acquisition of other financial institutions with strong performance characteristics in Mid Penn's market area or in contiguous market areas.

Mid Penn entered into the merger agreement to further implement this strategy, as well as to provide additional opportunities for revenue growth. Mid Penn's board of directors reviewed and discussed the transaction with senior management, as well as its financial and legal advisors, in unanimously determining that the merger was advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn. In reaching its determination, the Mid Penn board of directors considered a number of factors, including:

the board's understanding of the business operations, management, financial condition, asset quality, product offerings, and prospects of Scottsdale based on, among other things, presentations by management and Mid Penn's financial advisor;

the board's concurrence with management that the merger provides Mid Penn with increased scale and stronger market concentration in markets that Mid Penn believes will be receptive to its services, strengthens Mid Penn's retail banking network, and provides a broader platform to sell non-banking services;

the board's view that Scottdale's product offerings and business mix are compatible with those of Mid Penn and provide Mid Penn with opportunities to accelerate loan growth and to build upon the market share of secondary market loan generations, as well as opportunities to expand Mid Penn's insurance, wealth management, and mortgage banking activities;

the results of the due diligence examination of Scottdale and its business operations, including asset quality and composition of its investment portfolio, undertaken by management with the assistance of Mid Penn's financial advisor;

Table of Contents

the board's assessment of the compatibility of the respective employee and business cultures of Mid Penn and Scottdale;

the board's view that the combined company will have the potential for a stronger competitive position in a market place where relatively greater size and scale may become increasingly more important factors for financial performance and success;

the board's view that the combined company will increase shareholder value and enhance shareholder returns;

the financial information and analyses presented by Mid Penn's financial advisor, Sandler, and the opinion of Sandler delivered to Mid Penn's board of directors to the effect that, as of March 29, 2017, and based on and subject to the various factors, limitations, considerations, qualifications and assumptions set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn; and

the review by the board of directors with, Stevens & Lee, its legal advisor, of the structure of the merger and the financial and other terms of the merger agreement.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by Mid Penn's board of directors is not exhaustive, but includes the material factors considered by the board. In view of the wide variety of factors considered by the board of directors of Mid Penn in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, the board of directors did not consider it practical to, and did not attempt to, quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors that it considered in reaching its decision. Mid Penn's board of directors evaluated the factors described above, including asking questions of Mid Penn's legal and financial advisors. In considering the factors described above, individual members of Mid Penn's board of directors may have given different weights to different factors. The board of directors relied on the experience and expertise of its legal advisors regarding the structure of the merger and the terms of the merger agreement and on the experience and expertise of its financial advisors for quantitative analysis of the financial terms of the merger. It should also be noted that this explanation of the reasoning of Mid Penn's board of directors and certain other information presented in this section are forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed under the heading *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* on page 40.

Recommendation of Mid Penn's Board of Directors

Mid Penn's board of directors believes that the terms of the transaction are in the best interests of Mid Penn and its shareholders and has unanimously approved the merger agreement. **Accordingly, Mid Penn's board of directors unanimously recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement and FOR an adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary to solicit additional proxies.**

Opinion of Mid Penn's Financial Advisor

By letter dated March 13, 2017, Mid Penn retained Sandler O'Neill to render a fairness opinion to the Mid Penn board of directors in connection with Mid Penn's consideration of a possible business combination with Scottdale. Sandler O'Neill is a nationally recognized investment banking firm whose principal business specialty is financial institutions. In the ordinary course of its investment banking business, Sandler O'Neill is regularly engaged in the valuation of

financial institutions and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and other corporate transactions.

Sandler O'Neill rendered a fairness opinion in connection with the proposed merger and participated in certain of the negotiations leading to the execution of the merger agreement. At the March 29, 2017 meeting at which Mid Penn's board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, Sandler O'Neill delivered to the Mid Penn board of directors its oral opinion, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of such date, the merger consideration provided for in the merger agreement was fair to Mid Penn from a financial point of view.

Table of Contents

The full text of Sandler O'Neill's opinion is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Sandler O'Neill in rendering its opinion. The description of the opinion set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion. Mid Penn common stockholders are urged to read the entire opinion carefully in connection with their consideration of the Mid Penn merger proposal.

Sandler O'Neill's opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion was directed to Mid Penn's board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to Mid Penn. Sandler O'Neill's opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any Mid Penn stockholder as to how such Mid Penn stockholder should vote at any meeting of stockholders called to consider and vote upon the Mid Penn merger proposal. It does not address the underlying business decision of Mid Penn to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for Mid Penn or the effect of any other transaction in which Mid Penn might engage. Sandler O'Neill did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by any Mid Penn or Scottdale officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other stockholders. Sandler O'Neill's opinion was approved by Sandler O'Neill's fairness opinion committee.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Sandler O'Neill reviewed and considered, among other things:

a draft of the merger agreement, dated March 27, 2017;

certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank that Sandler O'Neill deemed relevant;

certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of Scottdale that Sandler O'Neill deemed relevant;

internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn;

financial projections for Scottdale for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn;

the pro forma financial impact of the Merger on Mid Penn based on certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments, cost savings and certain balance sheet restructuring transactions, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn;

the publicly reported historical price and trading activity for Mid Penn common stock, including a comparison of certain stock market information for Mid Penn common stock and certain stock indices, as well as similar publicly available information for certain other similar companies, the securities of which are publicly traded;

a comparison of certain financial information for Mid Penn and Scottdale with similar institutions for which information is publicly available;

the financial terms of certain recent business combinations in the bank and thrift industry (on a regional and nationwide basis), to the extent publicly available;

the current market environment generally and the banking environment in particular; and

such other information, financial studies, analyses and investigations and financial, economic and market criteria as Sandler O'Neill considered relevant.

Sandler O'Neill also discussed with certain members of the senior management of Mid Penn the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of Mid Penn and held similar discussions with certain members of the senior management of Scottdale regarding the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of Scottdale.

Table of Contents

In performing its review, Sandler O'Neill relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial and other information that was available to and reviewed by Sandler O'Neill from public sources, that was provided to Sandler O'Neill by Mid Penn or Scottdale, or their respective representatives, or that was otherwise reviewed by Sandler O'Neill and Sandler O'Neill assumed such accuracy and completeness for purposes of rendering its opinion without any independent verification or investigation. Sandler O'Neill further relied on the assurances of the respective managements of Mid Penn and Scottdale that they were not aware of any facts or circumstances that would have made any of such information inaccurate or misleading. Sandler O'Neill was not asked to and did not undertake an independent verification of any of such information and Sandler O'Neill did not assume any responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness thereof. Sandler O'Neill did not make an independent evaluation or perform an appraisal of the specific assets, the collateral securing assets or the liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of Mid Penn or Scottdale, or any of their respective subsidiaries, nor was Sandler O'Neill furnished with any such evaluations or appraisals. Sandler O'Neill rendered no opinion or evaluation on the collectability of any assets or the future performance of any loans of Mid Penn or Scottdale, or any of their respective subsidiaries. Sandler O'Neill did not make an independent evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses of Mid Penn or Scottdale, or the combined entity after the merger, and Sandler O'Neill did not review any individual credit files relating to Mid Penn or Scottdale. Sandler O'Neill assumed, with Mid Penn's consent, that the respective allowances for loan losses for both Mid Penn and Scottdale were adequate to cover such losses and will be adequate on a pro forma basis for the combined entity.

In preparing its analyses, Sandler O'Neill used internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn, as well as financial projections for Scottdale for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. Sandler O'Neill also received and used in its pro forma analyses certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments, cost savings and certain balance sheet restructuring transactions, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. With respect to the foregoing information, the senior management of Mid Penn confirmed to Sandler O'Neill that such information reflected the best currently available projections, estimates and judgments of the senior management of Mid Penn and Sandler O'Neill assumed that the financial results reflected in such information would be achieved. Sandler O'Neill expressed no opinion as to such projections, estimates or judgments, or the assumptions on which they were based. Sandler O'Neill also assumed that there had been no material change in Mid Penn's or Scottdale's (or any of their respective subsidiaries') assets, financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects since the date of the most recent financial statements made available to it. Sandler O'Neill assumed in all respects material to its analysis that Mid Penn and Scottdale would remain as going concerns for all periods relevant to its analyses.

Sandler O'Neill also assumed, with Mid Penn's consent, that (i) each of the parties to the merger agreement would comply in all material respects with all material terms and conditions of the merger agreement and all related agreements, that all of the representations and warranties contained in such agreements were true and correct in all material respects, that each of the parties to such agreements would perform in all material respects all of the covenants and other obligations required to be performed by such party under such agreements and that the conditions precedent in such agreements were not and would not be waived, (ii) in the course of obtaining the necessary regulatory or third party approvals, consents and releases with respect to the merger, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition would be imposed that would have an adverse effect on Mid Penn, Scottdale or the merger or any related transaction, (iii) the merger and any related transactions would be consummated in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement without any waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement thereof and in compliance with all applicable laws and other requirements, and (iv) the merger would qualify as a tax-free reorganization for federal income tax purposes. Finally, with Mid Penn's consent, Sandler O'Neill relied upon the advice that Mid Penn received from its legal, accounting and tax advisors as to all legal, accounting and tax matters relating to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

Sandler O'Neill's opinion was necessarily based on financial, economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to it as of, the date thereof. Events occurring after the date thereof could materially affect Sandler O'Neill's opinion. Sandler O'Neill has not undertaken to update, revise, reaffirm or withdraw its opinion or otherwise comment upon events occurring after the date thereof. Sandler O'Neill expressed no opinion as to the trading values of Mid Penn common stock or Scottsdale common stock at any time or what the value of Mid Penn common stock would be once it was actually received by the holders of Scottsdale common stock.

In performing its analyses, Sandler O'Neill made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, which were beyond the control of Sandler O'Neill, Mid Penn and Scottsdale. Any estimates contained in the analyses performed by Sandler O'Neill were not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which could be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Additionally, estimates of the values of businesses or securities did not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which such businesses or securities could actually be sold. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates were inherently subject to substantial uncertainty. In addition, Sandler O'Neill's opinion was among several factors taken into consideration by the Mid Penn board of directors in making its determination to approve the merger agreement and the merger. Consequently, the analyses described below should not be viewed as determinative of the decision of the Mid Penn board of directors with respect to the fairness of the merger or the merger consideration. The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger were determined through negotiation between Mid Penn and Scottsdale and the decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of the Mid Penn board of directors.

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses presented by Sandler O'Neill to the Mid Penn board of directors in connection with its opinion. The summary is not a complete description of the financial analyses underlying the opinion or the presentation made by Sandler O'Neill to the Mid Penn board of directors, but summarizes the material analyses performed and presented in connection with such opinion. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. **In order to fully understand the financial analyses, these tables must be read together with the accompanying text.** The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex analytic process involving various determinations as to appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Sandler O'Neill did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. Accordingly, Sandler O'Neill believes that its analyses and the summary of its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on the information presented below in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion.

Summary of Proposed Merger Consideration and Implied Transaction Metrics

Sandler O'Neill reviewed the financial terms of the proposed merger. Subject to the provisions of the merger agreement, at the Effective Time, each share of Scottsdale common stock, except for certain shares as defined in the merger agreement, issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time shall be converted at the election of the holder thereof into: (a) \$1,166.00 in cash; or (b) a certain number of shares of Mid Penn common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, equal to the Exchange Ratio. Based upon Mid Penn's March 24, 2017 closing stock price of \$26.35, an implied exchange ratio of 44.25x, and 50,718 shares of Scottsdale common stock outstanding, Sandler O'Neill calculated an implied transaction value per share of \$1,166 and an aggregate implied transaction value of approximately \$59.1 million.

Table of Contents

Sandler O'Neill calculated the following implied transaction metrics:

Transaction Price / Last Twelve Months (LTM) Ended December 31, 2016 Earnings Per Share	NM
Transaction Price /Scottdale December 31, 2016 Tangible Book Value Per Share	130%
Transaction Price / Scottdale December 31, 2016 Adjusted Tangible Book Value Per Share ¹	125%
Transaction Price / Scottdale December 31, 2016 Normalized Tangible Book Value Per Share ²	158%
Tangible Book Premium / Core Deposits ³	6.4%
Adjusted Tangible Book Premium / Core Deposits ³	5.5%

- (1) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$1.9 million, or \$37.02 per share, reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset
- (2) Normalized tangible book value multiple assumes reduction in purchase price by capital in excess of 9% of tangible assets
- (3) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000)

Stock Trading History

Sandler O'Neill reviewed the historical publicly reported trading prices of Mid Penn stock common stock for the one-year period ended March 24, 2017 and for the three-year period ended March 24, 2017. Sandler O'Neill then compared the relationship between the movements in the price of Mid Penn common stock to movements in its peer group (as described below) as well as certain stock indices.

Mid Penn's One-Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Value March 24, 2016	Ending Value March 24, 2017
Mid Penn	100%	176.8%
Mid Penn Peer Group	100%	138.9%
NASDAQ Bank Index	100%	136.7%
S&P 500 Index	100%	115.1%

Mid Penn's Three-Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Value March 24, 2014	Ending Value March 24, 2017
Mid Penn	100%	181.8%
Mid Penn Peer Group	100%	134.1%

NASDAQ Bank Index	100%	134.8%
S&P 500 Index	100%	126.2%

Mid Penn Comparable Company Analysis

Using publicly available information, Sandler O'Neill compared selected financial information for Mid Penn with a group of financial institutions selected by Sandler O'Neill. The Mid Penn peer group consisted of banks and thrifts headquartered in Pennsylvania with total assets between \$750 million and \$3 billion, whose securities

Table of Contents

are publicly traded on the NYSE or NASDAQ Stock Market (the Mid Penn Peer Group). The Mid Penn Peer Group included the following companies:

ACNB Corporation	ESSA Bancorp, Inc.
AmeriServ Financial, Inc.	Malvern Bancorp, Inc.
CB Financial Services, Inc.	Norwood Financial Corp.
Citizens & Northern Corporation	Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.
CNB Financial Corporation	Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.
Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.	Peoples Financial Services Corp.
DNB Financial Corporation	Republic First Bancorp, Inc.

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for Mid Penn with the corresponding data for the Mid Penn Peer Group as of or for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, with pricing data as of March 24, 2017. The table below sets forth the data for Mid Penn and the median, mean, high and low data for the Mid Penn Peer Group:

	Mid Penn	Mid Penn Peer Group Median	Mid Penn Peer Group Mean	Mid Penn Peer Group High	Mid Penn Peer Group Low
Total Assets (\$ in millions)	1,033	1,295	1,440	2,574	846
Loans / Deposits (%)	87.0	93.3	89.9	103.4	57.5
Non-Performing Assets ¹ / Total assets (%)	0.56	0.95	0.94	1.80	0.14
Tangible Common Equity/Tangible Assets (%)	6.43	9.44	9.44	14.15	6.72
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	6.80	9.75	10.15	14.27	7.85
Total RBC Ratio	11.00	14.09	15.08	23.60	12.48
CRE / Total RBC Ratio (%)	328.3	197.2	202.9	337.4	76.8
LTM Return on Average Assets (%)	0.78	0.87	0.79	1.49	0.20
LTM Return on Average Equity (%)	10.71	8.00	7.36	13.33	2.30
LTM Net Interest Margin (%)	3.82	3.44	3.40	3.89	2.63
LTM Efficiency Ratio (%)	68.1	65.1	69.1	85.9	58.0
Price/Tangible Book Value (%)	169	155	155	215	84
Price/LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	14.2	17.1	19.0	31.0	11.7
Current Dividend Yield (%)	2.0	2.6	2.3	4.6	0.0
LTM Dividend Ratio (%)	36.8	45.5	42.4	80.0	0.0
Market Value (\$ in millions)	112	174	212	452	70

Note: Regulatory data as of December 31, 2016 used if SEC data not available

(1) Nonperforming assets defined as nonaccrual loans and leases, renegotiated loans and leases and real estate owned

Table of Contents**Scottdale Comparable Company Analysis**

Using publicly available information, Sandler O'Neill compared selected financial information for Scottdale with a group of financial institutions selected by Sandler O'Neill (the Scottdale Peer Group). The Scottdale Peer Group consisted of banks and thrifts headquartered in Pennsylvania with total assets between \$125 million and \$400 million whose securities are publicly traded. The Scottdale Peer Group included the following companies:

Apollo Bancorp, Inc.	Mauch Chunk Trust Financial Corp.
Bucks County Bank	Mercersburg Financial Corporation
Clarion County Community Bank	MNB Corporation
Commercial National Financial Corporation	Muncy Bank Financial, Inc.
Community Bankers Corporation	Neffs Bancorp, Inc.
Enterprise Financial Services Group, Inc	Peoples Limited
First Resource Bank	Quaint Oak Bancorp, Inc.
Fleetwood Bank Corporation	Susquehanna Community Financial, Inc.
GNB Financial Services, Inc.	Turbotville National Bancorp, Inc.
HV Bancorp, Inc.	UNB Corporation
JTNB Bancorp, Inc.	Woodlands Financial Services Company
Landmark Bancorp, Inc.	WVS Financial Corp.
Mars National Bancorp, Inc.	York Traditions Bank

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for Scottdale with the corresponding data for the Scottdale Peer Group as of or for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, with pricing data as of March 24, 2017. The table below sets forth the data for Scottdale and the median, mean, high and low data for the Scottdale Peer Group:

	Scottdale	Scottdale Peer Group Median	Scottdale Peer Group Mean	Scottdale Peer Group High	Scottdale Peer Group Low
Total Assets (\$ in millions)	263	295	282	397	133
Loans / Deposits (%)	29.3	76.5	78.2	122.9	44.8
Non-Performing Assets ¹ / Total assets (%)	0.31	0.80	1.09	7.11	0.00
Tangible Common Equity/Tangible Assets ³ (%)	17.28	9.26	9.94	17.45	5.41
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	16.58	9.41	10.10	18.39	6.60
Total RBC Ratio	31.34	14.50	16.85	35.84	11.51
CRE / Total RBC Ratio (%)	4.0	87.6	127.3	435.4	7.5
LTM Return on Average Assets (%)	0.21	0.67	0.72	1.41	0.19
LTM Return on Average Equity (%)	1.23	7.46	6.93	10.99	1.84
LTM Net Interest Margin (%)	2.40	3.36	3.32	4.54	1.69
LTM Efficiency Ratio (%)	82.5	75.5	73.2	97.0	43.3
Price/Tangible Book Value (%)	51	98	110	236	64
Price/LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	42.4	14.7	15.9	34.3	11.5
Current Dividend Yield (%)	NA	2.4	2.4	5.0	0.0
LTM Dividend Ratio (%)	NA	33.2	34.6	73.8	0.0

Market Value (\$ in millions)	23	27	30	73	8
-------------------------------	----	----	----	----	---

Note: Regulatory data as of December 31, 2016 used if SEC data not available

(1) Nonperforming assets defined as nonaccrual loans and leases, renegotiated loans and leases and real estate owned

Table of Contents***Selected Transactions Analysis***

Sandler O'Neill reviewed two groups of recent merger and acquisition transactions consisting of a national group as well as a regional group. The national group consisted of nationwide bank and thrift transactions with announced deal values, target total assets between \$100 million and \$500 million, target tangible common equity to tangible assets greater than 12%, announced between January 1, 2016 and March 24, 2017 (the Nationwide Precedent Transactions). The regional group consisted of bank and thrift transactions with targets headquartered in Pennsylvania, New York, Ohio, West Virginia or Maryland, with target total assets between \$100 million and \$500 million announced between January 1, 2016 and March 24, 2017 (the Regional Precedent Transactions).

The Nationwide Precedent Transactions group was composed of the following transactions:

Acquiror:

United Bancshares Inc.
 Investar Holding Corp.
 Hope Bancorp, Inc.
 T Acquisition Inc.
 Equity Bancshares Inc.
 Suncrest Bank
 Monona Bankshares Inc.
 Middlefield Banc Corp.
 River Holding Co.
 Citco Community Bancshares Inc.
 OakStar Bancshares Inc.
 Wintrust Financial Corp.
 Pinnacle Financial Corp.
 Byline Bancorp Inc.
 Prudential Bancorp Inc.
 Cascade Bancorp
 Private Investor
 NASB Financial Inc.
 Wintrust Financial Corp.
 Beneficial State Foundation

Target:

Benchmark Bancorp Inc.
 Citizens Bancshares Inc.
 U & I Financial Corp.
 T Bancshares Inc.
 Prairie State Bancshares Inc.
 Security First Bank
 MCB Bankshares Inc.
 Liberty Bank NA
 Sparta Union Bancshares Inc.
 American Trust Bank of East TN
 Bancshares of Urbana Inc.
 First Community Financial Corp.
 Independence Bank of Georgia
 Ridgestone Financial Services Inc.
 Polonia Bancorp, Inc.
 Prime Pacific Financial Services
 Eastern International Bank
 Lexington B&L Financial Corp.
 Generations Bancorp Inc.
 Pan American Bank

Using the latest publicly available information prior to the announcement of the relevant transaction, Sandler O'Neill reviewed the following transaction metrics: transaction price to last-twelve-months earnings per share, transaction price to tangible book value per share, and core deposit premium. Sandler O'Neill compared the indicated transaction metrics for the merger to the median, mean, high and low metrics of the Nationwide Precedent Transactions group.

	Mid Penn/ Scottsdale	Median Nationwide Precedent Transactions	Mean Nationwide Precedent Transactions	High Nationwide Precedent Transactions	Low Nationwide Precedent Transactions
Price/ LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	NM	15.8	19.7	57.2	5.2
	130/125 ¹	128	129	181	99

Price/ Tangible Book Value Per
Share (%)

Core Deposit Premium (%)	6.4 ² /5.5 ^{1,2}	6.4	7.9	21.0	0.8
--------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----

- (1) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$1.9 million, or \$37.02 per share, reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset
- (2) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000)

Table of Contents

The Regional Precedent Transactions group was composed of the following transactions:

Acquiror:

United Bancshares Inc.
 Kinderhook Bank Corp.
 Old Line Bancshares Inc.
 First Merchants Corp.
 ACNB Corp.
 United Community Financial Corp.
 Standard Financial Corp.
 First Defiance Financial
 Middlefield Banc Corp.
 Prudential Bancorp Inc.
 Summit Financial Group Inc.
 DNB Financial Corp.
 Norwood Financial Corp.
 Ohio Valley Banc Corp.

Target:

Benchmark Bancorp Inc.
 Patriot Federal Bank
 DCB Bancshares Inc.
 Arlington Bank
 New Windsor Bancorp Inc.
 Ohio Legacy Corp
 Allegheny Valley Bancorp Inc.
 Commercial Bancshares Inc.
 Liberty Bank NA
 Polonia Bancorp, Inc.
 First Century Bankshares Inc.
 East River Bank
 Delaware Bancshares Inc.
 Milton Bancorp Inc.

Using the latest publicly available information prior to the announcement of the relevant transaction, Sandler O'Neill reviewed the following transaction metrics: transaction price to last-twelve-months earnings per share, transaction price to tangible book value per share, and core deposit premium. Sandler O'Neill compared the indicated transaction metrics for the merger to the median, mean, high and low metrics of the Regional Precedent Transactions group.

	Mid Penn/ Scottsdale	Median Regional Precedent Transactions	Mean Regional Precedent Transactions	High Regional Precedent Transactions	Low Regional Precedent Transactions
Price/ LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	NM	19.1	20.3	37.2	5.2
Price/ Tangible Book Value Per Share (%)	130/125 ¹	141	145	216	101
Core Deposit Premium (%)	6.4 ² /5.5 ^{1,2}	6.4	7.4	19.9	0.7

(1) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$1.9 million, or \$37.02 per share, reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset

(2) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000)

Net Present Value Analyses

Sandler O'Neill performed an analysis that estimated the net present value per share of Mid Penn's common stock, assuming Mid Penn performed in accordance with internal financial projections for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. To approximate the terminal value of Mid Penn common stock at December 31, 2020, Sandler O'Neill applied price to 2020 earnings multiples ranging from 12.0x to 22.0x and multiples of December 31, 2020 tangible book value ranging from 110% to 210%. The terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 10.0% to 15.0%, which were chosen to reflect different assumptions regarding required rates of return of holders or prospective

buyers of Mid Penn common stock. As illustrated in the following tables, the analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Mid Penn common stock of \$19.99 to \$41.44 when applying multiples of earnings and \$15.78 to \$33.47 when applying multiples of tangible book value.

Table of Contents**Earnings Per Share Multiples**

Discount

Rate	12.0x	14.0x	16.0x	18.0x	20.0x	22.0x
10.0%	\$23.71	\$27.26	\$30.80	\$34.35	\$37.90	\$41.44
11.0%	\$22.90	\$26.32	\$29.74	\$33.16	\$36.58	\$40.00
12.0%	\$22.12	\$25.42	\$28.72	\$32.02	\$35.32	\$38.62
13.0%	\$21.38	\$24.56	\$27.75	\$30.93	\$34.12	\$37.30
14.0%	\$20.67	\$23.74	\$26.82	\$29.89	\$32.97	\$36.04
15.0%	\$19.99	\$22.96	\$25.92	\$28.89	\$31.86	\$34.83

Tangible Book Value Multiples

Discount

Rate	110%	130%	150%	170%	190%	210%
10.0%	\$18.69	\$21.64	\$24.60	\$27.56	\$30.51	\$33.47
11.0%	\$18.05	\$20.91	\$23.76	\$26.61	\$29.46	\$32.31
12.0%	\$17.45	\$20.20	\$22.95	\$25.70	\$28.45	\$31.20
13.0%	\$16.87	\$19.52	\$22.18	\$24.83	\$27.49	\$30.14
14.0%	\$16.31	\$18.88	\$21.44	\$24.00	\$26.57	\$29.13
15.0%	\$15.78	\$18.26	\$20.73	\$23.21	\$25.68	\$28.16

Sandler O'Neill also considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler O'Neill performed a similar analysis, assuming Mid Penn's net income varied from 20% above projections to 20% below projections. This analysis resulted in the following range of per share values for Mid Penn common stock, applying the price to 2020 earnings multiples range of 12.0x to 22.0x referred to above and a discount rate of 12.69%.

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Annual

Estimate

Variance	12.0x	14.0x	16.0x	18.0x	20.0x	22.0x
(20.0%)	\$17.74	\$20.32	\$22.89	\$25.47	\$28.05	\$30.62
(15.0%)	\$18.71	\$21.44	\$24.18	\$26.92	\$29.66	\$32.39
(10.0%)	\$19.67	\$22.57	\$25.47	\$28.37	\$31.27	\$34.16
(5.0%)	\$20.64	\$23.70	\$26.76	\$29.82	\$32.88	\$35.94
0.0%	\$21.61	\$24.83	\$28.05	\$31.27	\$34.49	\$37.71
5.0%	\$22.57	\$25.95	\$29.33	\$32.72	\$36.10	\$39.48

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

10.0%	\$23.54	\$27.08	\$30.62	\$34.16	\$37.71	\$41.25
15.0%	\$24.50	\$28.21	\$31.91	\$35.61	\$39.32	\$43.02
20.0%	\$25.47	\$29.33	\$33.20	\$37.06	\$40.93	\$44.79

Sandler O Neill also performed two analyses that estimated the net present value per share of Scottsdale common stock under various circumstances. The first analysis assumed Scottsdale performed in accordance with financial projections for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. (Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis). Sandler O Neill used the same assumptions as the Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis for the second net present value analysis, but also included assumptions related to after-tax cost savings and certain balance sheet restructuring transactions, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn (Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis).

Table of Contents

For both the Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis and Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis, to approximate the terminal value of Scottsdale common stock at December 31, 2020, Sandler O'Neill applied price to 2020 earnings multiples ranging from 11.0x to 21.0x and multiples of December 31, 2020 tangible book value ranging from 80% to 180%. The terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 11.0% to 21.0%, which were chosen to reflect different assumptions regarding required rates of return of holders or prospective buyers of Scottsdale common stock. As illustrated in the following tables, the Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Scottsdale common stock of \$552.60 to \$695.06 when applying multiples of earnings and the Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Scottsdale common stock of \$1,003.46 to \$1,686.73 when applying multiples of earnings. In addition, as illustrated in the following tables, the Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Scottsdale common stock of \$673.46 to \$1,054.29 when applying multiples of tangible book value and the Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Scottsdale common stock of \$767.57 to \$1,298.25 when applying multiples of tangible book value.

Earnings Per Share Multiples (Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Discount

Rate	11.0x	13.0x	15.0x	17.0x	19.0x	21.0x
11.0%	\$572.61	\$597.10	\$621.59	\$646.08	\$670.57	\$695.06
12.0%	\$567.28	\$590.91	\$614.53	\$638.16	\$661.79	\$685.41
13.0%	\$562.17	\$584.97	\$607.78	\$630.58	\$653.38	\$676.18
14.0%	\$557.28	\$579.30	\$601.31	\$623.32	\$645.33	\$667.34
15.0%	\$552.60	\$573.85	\$595.11	\$616.37	\$637.62	\$658.88

Earnings Per Share Multiples (Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis)

Discount

Rate	11.0x	13.0x	15.0x	17.0x	19.0x	21.0x
11.0%	\$1,092.05	\$1,210.99	\$1,329.92	\$1,448.86	\$1,567.79	\$1,686.73
12.0%	\$1,068.42	\$1,183.16	\$1,297.90	\$1,412.65	\$1,527.39	\$1,642.13
13.0%	\$1,045.81	\$1,156.54	\$1,267.28	\$1,378.01	\$1,488.75	\$1,599.48
14.0%	\$1,024.17	\$1,131.07	\$1,237.97	\$1,344.87	\$1,451.77	\$1,558.67
15.0%	\$1,003.46	\$1,106.69	\$1,209.92	\$1,313.15	\$1,416.38	\$1,519.61

Tangible Book Value Multiples (Scottsdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Discount

Rate	80%	100%	120%	140%	160%	180%
11.0%	\$711.86	\$780.34	\$848.83	\$917.31	\$985.80	\$1,054.29
12.0%	\$701.62	\$767.69	\$833.76	\$899.84	\$965.91	\$1,031.98
13.0%	\$691.82	\$755.58	\$819.35	\$883.11	\$946.88	\$1,010.64

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

14.0%	\$682.44	\$744.00	\$805.55	\$867.11	\$928.67	\$990.22
15.0%	\$673.46	\$732.90	\$792.35	\$851.79	\$911.23	\$970.67

Tangible Book Value Multiples (Scottsdale Adjusted NPV Analysis)

Discount

Rate	80%	100%	120%	140%	160%	180%
11.0%	\$820.29	\$915.88	\$1,011.47	\$1,107.07	\$1,202.66	\$1,298.25
12.0%	\$806.23	\$898.45	\$990.68	\$1,082.90	\$1,175.13	\$1,267.35
13.0%	\$792.77	\$881.78	\$970.78	\$1,059.78	\$1,148.79	\$1,237.79
14.0%	\$779.90	\$865.82	\$951.74	\$1,037.66	\$1,123.58	\$1,209.51
15.0%	\$767.57	\$850.54	\$933.51	\$1,016.49	\$1,099.46	\$1,182.43

Table of Contents

Sandler O'Neill also considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler O'Neill performed a similar analysis assuming Scottsdale's net income varied from 20% above projections to 20% below projections for both the Scottdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis and Scottdale Adjusted NPV Analysis. This analysis resulted in the following range of per share values for Scottdale common stock, applying the price to 2020 earnings multiples range of 11.0x to 21.0x referred to above and a discount rate of 12.69%.

Earnings Per Share Multiples (Scottdale Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Annual						
Estimate						
Variance	11.0x	13.0x	15.0x	17.0x	19.0x	21.0x
(20.0%)	\$538.37	\$556.82	\$575.26	\$593.70	\$612.14	\$630.59
(15.0%)	\$544.71	\$564.31	\$583.90	\$603.50	\$623.10	\$642.69
(10.0%)	\$551.05	\$571.80	\$592.55	\$613.30	\$634.05	\$654.79
(5.0%)	\$557.39	\$579.29	\$601.19	\$623.10	\$645.00	\$666.90
0.0%	\$563.73	\$586.79	\$609.84	\$632.89	\$655.95	\$679.00
5.0%	\$570.07	\$594.28	\$618.48	\$642.69	\$666.90	\$691.10
10.0%	\$576.41	\$601.77	\$627.13	\$652.49	\$677.85	\$703.21
15.0%	\$582.75	\$609.26	\$635.78	\$662.29	\$688.80	\$715.31
20.0%	\$589.09	\$616.76	\$644.42	\$672.09	\$699.75	\$727.41

Earnings Per Share Multiples (Scottdale Adjusted NPV Analysis)

Annual						
Estimate						
Variance	11.0x	13.0x	15.0x	17.0x	19.0x	21.0x
(20.0%)	\$929.55	\$1,019.12	\$1,108.69	\$1,198.26	\$1,287.82	\$1,377.39
(15.0%)	\$960.34	\$1,055.51	\$1,150.67	\$1,245.84	\$1,341.00	\$1,436.17
(10.0%)	\$991.13	\$1,091.90	\$1,192.66	\$1,293.42	\$1,394.18	\$1,494.95
(5.0%)	\$1,021.92	\$1,128.28	\$1,234.64	\$1,341.00	\$1,447.36	\$1,553.73
0.0%	\$1,052.71	\$1,164.67	\$1,276.63	\$1,388.59	\$1,500.55	\$1,612.50
5.0%	\$1,083.50	\$1,201.06	\$1,318.61	\$1,436.17	\$1,553.73	\$1,671.28
10.0%	\$1,114.29	\$1,237.44	\$1,360.60	\$1,483.75	\$1,606.91	\$1,730.06
15.0%	\$1,145.08	\$1,273.83	\$1,402.58	\$1,531.33	\$1,660.09	\$1,788.84
20.0%	\$1,175.86	\$1,310.22	\$1,444.57	\$1,578.92	\$1,713.27	\$1,847.62

In connection with its analyses, Sandler O'Neill considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how the present value analyses would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions. Sandler O'Neill noted that the net present value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology, but the results of such methodology are highly dependent upon the numerous assumptions that must be made, and the results thereof are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results.

Pro Forma Merger Analysis

Sandler O'Neill analyzed certain potential pro forma effects of the merger on Mid Penn assuming the merger closes at the end of the third calendar quarter of 2017. Sandler O'Neill utilized the following information and assumptions: (i) internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn, (ii) financial projections for Scottdale for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2020, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn, and (iii) certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments, cost

Table of Contents

savings and certain balance sheet restructuring transactions, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. The analysis indicated that the merger could be dilutive to Mid Penn's earnings per share (excluding one-time transaction costs and expenses) in the years ending December 31, 2018, December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2020, and accretive to Mid Penn's estimated tangible book value per share at close.

In connection with this analysis, Sandler O'Neill considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how the analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions and noted that the actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Sandler O'Neill's Relationship

Sandler O'Neill has acted as Mid Penn's financial advisor in connection with the merger and will receive a fee for its services in an amount equal to \$350,000, a significant portion of which is contingent upon the closing of the merger. Sandler O'Neill received a \$100,000 fee upon rendering its fairness opinion to the Mid Penn board of directors, which opinion fee will be credited in full towards the portion of the transaction fee which will become payable to Sandler O'Neill on the day of closing of the merger. Mid Penn has also agreed to indemnify Sandler O'Neill against certain claims and liabilities arising out of Sandler O'Neill's engagement and to reimburse Sandler O'Neill for certain of its out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with Sandler O'Neill's engagement.

Sandler O'Neill did not provide any other investment banking services to Mid Penn in the two years preceding the date of its opinion nor did Sandler O'Neill provide any investment banking services to Scottdale in the two years preceding the date of Sandler O'Neill's opinion. In the ordinary course of Sandler O'Neill's business as a broker-dealer, Sandler O'Neill may purchase securities from and sell securities to Mid Penn, Scottdale and their respective affiliates. Sandler O'Neill may also actively trade the equity and debt securities of Mid Penn, Scottdale or their respective affiliates for its own account and for the accounts of its customers.

Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger

Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn will continue to operate in their existing capacity at that time. Additionally, Mid Penn will appoint Donald F. Kiefer, or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate, to serve on the Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank boards of directors.

Scottdale Shareholders Have Dissenters' Rights in the Merger

Dissenters' rights are statutory rights that enable shareholders who object to extraordinary transactions, such as mergers, to demand that the corporation pay such shareholders the fair value of their shares as determined by a court in a judicial proceeding instead of receiving the consideration offered to shareholders in connection with the extraordinary transaction. Dissenters' rights are not available in all circumstances and exceptions to those rights are set forth in the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law (PBCL). The term "fair value" in this instance means the value of a share of Scottdale's common stock immediately before the day of the merger, taking into account all relevant factors, but excluding any appreciation or depreciation in anticipation of the merger.

Scottdale shareholders are entitled to dissenters' rights in connection with the merger.

Set forth below is a summary of the terms under the PBCL, describing the steps that must be taken in order to exercise dissenting shareholder rights. Any Scottdale shareholder considering exercising the shareholder's right to dissent from the proposed action and receive the fair value of his shares should read both this summary and the full text of the law, which is attached hereto as Annex D. Written notices or demands that are required concerning the exercise of

dissenters' rights should be sent to The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, 150 Pittsburgh Street, Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683, Attention: Corporate Secretary or at such other address as may be indicated in subsequent instructions related to the exercise of such rights.

Table of Contents

Any Scottsdale shareholder who wishes to dissent and exercise rights to an appraisal must:

file a written notice of intention to demand payment of the fair value of his or her shares (if the merger is completed), prior to the vote of shareholders on the merger at the special meeting;

make no change in the shareholder's beneficial ownership of stock from the date of the written notice through the day of the merger; and

not vote his or her stock for approval of the merger.

Voting in favor of the merger constitutes a waiver of dissenters' rights of appraisal. Further, neither a proxy marked against approval of the merger nor a vote at the special meeting against approval of the merger satisfies the necessary written notice of intention to dissent. A separate written notice must be filed with Scottsdale prior to the vote of shareholders on the merger, as described above.

If the merger is approved by the required vote of shareholders, Scottsdale will mail a notice to all dissenters who gave due notice of intention to demand payment of fair value and who did not vote for approval of the plan of merger. The notice will state where and when the dissenting shareholder must deliver a written demand for payment and where certificates for stock should be deposited in order to obtain payment. The notice will include a form for demanding payment and a copy of the law. The time set for receipt of the demand for payment and deposit of stock certificates will be not less than 30 days from the date of mailing of the notice.

Any dissenting Scottsdale shareholder who wishes to exercise appraisal rights must take each step in the indicated order and in strict compliance with the statute to preserve dissenters' rights. Any such shareholder who fails to follow the steps will lose his or her right to dissent and will, instead, receive the merger consideration.

Promptly after the merger, Mid Penn will send dissenters, who have timely filed the demand for payment and deposited their stock certificates, the amount that Mid Penn estimates to be the fair value of the stock. The remittance or notice will be accompanied by:

a closing balance sheet and statement of income of Scottsdale for a fiscal year ending not more than 16 months before the date of remittance or notice together with the latest available interim financial statements;

a statement of Mid Penn's estimate of the fair value of Scottsdale common stock; and

a notice of the right of the dissenter to demand supplemental payment, accompanied by a copy of the law.

If a dissenting shareholder believes that the amount stated or remitted by Mid Penn is less than the fair value of the stock, the dissenter may send an estimate of the fair value of the stock to Mid Penn. If Mid Penn remits payment of the estimated value of a dissenter's stock and the dissenter does not file his or her own estimate within 30 days after Mid Penn mailed its remittance, the dissenter will be entitled to no more than the amount remitted by Mid Penn.

If any demands for payment remain unsettled within 60 days after the latest to occur of: (1) the merger, (2) the timely receipt of any shareholder demands for payment, or (3) the timely receipt of any estimates by dissenters of the fair value, then, Mid Penn may file an application, in the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County, requesting that the court determine the fair value of the stock. If this happens, all dissenters, no matter where they reside, whose demands have not been settled, shall be made parties to the proceeding. In addition, a copy of the application will be delivered to each dissenter.

If Mid Penn fails to file the application, then any dissenter, on behalf of all dissenters who have made a demand and who have not settled their claim may file an application in the name of Scottdale at any time within the 30-day period after the expiration of the 60-day period and request that the Dauphin County Court determine the

Table of Contents

fair value of the shares. The fair value determined by the court may, but need not, equal the dissenters' estimates of fair value. If no dissenter files an application, then each dissenter entitled to do so shall be paid Mid Penn's estimate of the fair value of the common stock and no more, and may bring an action to recover any amount not previously remitted, plus interest at a rate the court finds fair and equitable.

The costs and expenses of any valuation proceedings in court, including the reasonable compensation and expenses of any appraiser appointed by the court to recommend a decision on the issue of fair value, will be determined by the court and assessed against Scottdale except that any part of the costs and expenses may be apportioned and assessed by the court against all or any of the dissenters who are parties and whose action in demanding supplemental payment the court finds to be arbitrary, vexatious or in bad faith. In addition, dissenting shareholders generally will be responsible for their own costs and expenses, including, without limitation, the fees and expenses of their own legal counsel and experts.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger

The merger is subject to the approval of the PDB under the Pennsylvania Banking Code (the "Banking Code") and the FDIC under the federal Bank Merger Act. The merger is subject to the approval of the FRB under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHC Act").

Lawrence Keister & Company must submit an application to the FRB for its acquisition of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock pursuant to the merger under the BHC Act. The FRB will consider a number of factors. Applicable regulations require publication of notice of the application and an opportunity for the public to comment on the application in writing and to request a hearing.

In reviewing an application for approval of a merger, the PDB and the FDIC will consider, among other things, whether the plan of merger adequately protects the interests of the depositors, other creditors and shareholders, and whether the bank merger would be consistent with adequate and sound banking practices and in the public interest on the basis of the financial history and condition of the banks involved, their future prospects, the character of their management, the potential effect of the bank merger on competition, and the convenience and needs of the areas primarily to be served by the resulting institution.

The bank merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank is also subject to approval by the FDIC under the federal Bank Merger Act and by the PDB under the Banking Code. In general, the factors considered by the FDIC and the PDB to approve the bank merger are similar to the factors described above relating to the merger.

The merger will not proceed in the absence of regulatory approvals. Although neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale knows of any reason why regulatory approval would not be obtained in a timely manner, neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale can be certain when such approvals will be obtained or if they will be obtained.

The parties are not aware of any other governmental approvals or actions that may be required to consummate the merger. If any other approval or action is required, it is contemplated that such approval or action would be sought. There can be no assurance, however, that any additional approvals or actions will be obtained.

Investigation by Scottdale's Special Committee

Shortly after the parties entered into the merger agreement, an employee and board member at Scottdale presented information to management of Scottdale and Mid Penn regarding a stock trade in the last quarter of 2016 by an individual who was alleged to have access to material inside information. This was relayed to the full Scottdale board

of directors which then took action by forming the special committee to investigate the allegations. The special committee was authorized to, and did, retain independent counsel, M&M, to assist in the investigation. M&M had no prior relationship with Scottsdale.

During the course of the investigation, M&M reviewed written materials and records, electronic communications and conducted interviews with Scottsdale employees, officers and directors. M&M also reviewed two trades in

Table of Contents

addition to the one described above. M&M then presented its findings to the special committee and worked with the special committee in preparing its report to the full Scottsdale board of directors. Of the trades that were reviewed, the special committee communicated to Scottsdale and Mid Penn that, two shares, acquired from a retiring Scottsdale employee (not the trade that formed the basis of the original investigation), appeared to have been transacted in violation of applicable federal securities laws. As to that trade, the special committee report identified multiple mitigating circumstances including that this transaction was consistent with ordinary course of conduct for stock purchases from retiring employees and the purchaser, on its own volition, immediately after announcement of the merger, remitted additional cash to the seller to increase the amount paid to equal the stock value identified in the merger agreement.

Information on this matter has been provided to the independent auditors of both Scottsdale and Mid Penn, and Scottsdale has reported the matter to the SEC.

INTERESTS OF SCOTTDALE S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER

In considering the recommendation of the Scottsdale board of directors that you vote to approve the merger agreement, Scottsdale shareholders should be aware that Scottdale s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Scottdale shareholders generally. The Scottsdale board was aware of and considered those interests, among other matters, in reaching its decisions to (1) approve and adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and (2) resolve to recommend the approval of the merger agreement to Scottdale shareholders. Scottdale s shareholders should take these interests into account in deciding whether to vote FOR the proposal to approve the merger agreement. These interests are described in more detail below, and certain of them are quantified in the narrative below and the table below.

Indemnification

The merger agreement provides that for a period of six years after the effective time, Mid Penn will indemnify and hold harmless all present and former directors, officers and employees of Scottdale and its subsidiaries against all costs and liabilities arising out of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, or employee of Scottdale or any of its subsidiaries and pertaining to matters, actions, or omissions existing or occurring at or prior to the effective time, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, and will also advance expenses to such persons to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law; however, if required, such person provides an undertaking to repay such advances if it is ultimately determined that such person is not entitled to indemnification.

Subject to certain limitations, the merger agreement also requires Mid Penn to maintain, for a period of six years after the completion of the merger, Scottdale s existing directors and officers liability insurance policy, or policies with a substantially comparable insurer of at least the same coverage and amounts and containing terms and conditions that are no less advantageous to the insured, with respect to claims arising from facts or events that occurred at or prior to the completion of the merger. For additional information see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Indemnification and Insurance* beginning on page 83 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Compensation of the Scottdale Board of Directors

Scottdale board members currently receive a per meeting fee of \$1,200. The total amount of Scottdale director fees for the previous three years is listed below:

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

2014	\$ 53,875
2015	54,900
2016	60,725
2017 (through March 2017)	17,200

Table of Contents

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following describes certain aspects of the merger, including material provisions of the merger agreement. The following description of the merger agreement is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the merger agreement, which is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A and is incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. We urge you to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety, as it is the legal document governing this merger.

Terms of the Merger

Each of the Scottdale board of directors and the Mid Penn board of directors has unanimously adopted the agreement and plan of merger, which provides for the merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank will be the surviving entity in the merger. Each share of Mid Penn common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to completion of the merger will remain issued and outstanding as one share of common stock of Mid Penn. Each share of Scottdale common stock issued and outstanding at the effective time of the merger (with the exception of Company-Owned Stock, as defined below) will be converted into shares of Mid Penn common stock or cash, as described below. See *Consideration to Be Received in the Merger*. Company-Owned Stock means shares of Scottdale stock held in treasury by Scottdale or any of its subsidiaries or any shares of Scottdale stock held by Mid Penn or any of its subsidiaries, other than in a fiduciary or agency capacity or as a result of debts previously contracted. Each share of Scottdale common stock held as Company-Owned Stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will be canceled and retired and no consideration will be issued in exchange for Company-Owned Stock. Mid Penn does not own any shares of common stock of Scottdale.

The Mid Penn articles of incorporation will be the articles of incorporation, and the Mid Penn bylaws will be the bylaws of the combined entity after completion of the merger. The merger agreement provides that Mid Penn may change the method of effecting the merger if and to the extent it deems such change to be necessary, appropriate, or desirable. No such change will alter the amount or kind of merger consideration to be provided under the merger agreement, adversely affect the tax treatment of the merger as a reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, or materially impede or delay completion of the merger.

Closing and Effective Time of the Merger

The merger will become effective as set forth in the articles of merger to be filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It currently is anticipated that the effective time of the merger will occur by the fourth quarter of 2017, but we cannot guarantee when or if the merger will be completed.

Consideration to Be Received in the Merger

As a result of the merger each Scottdale shareholder will have the right, with respect to each share of Scottdale common stock held (excluding Company-Owned Stock), to elect to receive either 38.88 to 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock or \$1,166.00 in cash.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, at least 90% of the total number of shares of Scottdale common stock outstanding at the effective time of the merger (excluding Company-Owned Stock) will be converted into stock consideration, and the remaining outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock (excluding Company-Owned Stock) will be converted into cash consideration. To the extent necessary to satisfy these relative proration types of consideration, certain allocation and proration procedures, described below in *Proration Procedures*, will be used.

The value of the cash consideration is fixed at \$1,166.00. However, the implied value of the stock consideration will fluctuate as the market price of Mid Penn common stock fluctuates before the completion of the merger. This price will not be known at the time of the Scottsdale special meeting and may be more or less than the

Table of Contents

current price of Mid Penn common stock or the price of Mid Penn common stock at the time of the Scottsdale special meeting or at the time an election is made or from the Average Price, and the implied value of the stock consideration may be more or less than the value of the cash consideration at the completion of the merger.

Mid Penn will initially make available and mail the election form to Scottsdale shareholders under separate cover concurrently with or immediately after the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The election deadline will be the date that is five business days prior to the closing date for the merger. Scottsdale shareholders must return their properly completed and signed election form to the exchange agent prior to the election deadline. If you are a Scottsdale shareholder and you do not return your election form by the election deadline or improperly complete or do not sign your election form, you will receive cash, shares of Mid Penn common stock or a mixture of cash and shares of Mid Penn common stock based on what is available after giving effect to the valid elections made by other stockholders pursuant to the proration adjustment described below.

If you are a Scottsdale shareholder, you may specify different elections with respect to different shares held by you. For example, if you have 100 shares, you could make a cash election with respect to 50 shares and a stock election with respect to the other 50 shares.

Cash Election

The merger agreement provides that each Scottsdale shareholder who makes a valid cash election will have the right to receive, in exchange for each share of Scottsdale common stock held by such holder, cash equal to \$1,166.00 without interest, which is referred to as the cash consideration. Because the maximum percentage of the total number of shares of Scottsdale common stock to be converted into cash is 10%, however, a Scottsdale shareholder who makes a cash election may receive a mix of cash and stock.

Stock Election

The merger agreement provides that each Scottsdale shareholder who makes a valid stock election will have the right to receive, in exchange for each share of Scottsdale common stock held, 38.88 to 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock, which is referred to as the stock consideration. Because the minimum percentage of the total number of shares of Scottsdale common stock to be converted into Mid Penn common stock is 90%, a Scottsdale shareholder who makes a stock election will receive stock.

If the Average Price of Mid Penn's common stock is between \$25.99 and \$29.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for Mid Penn common stock worth \$1,166 based on the Average Price. If the Average Price for Mid Penn's common stock is below \$25.99 then each share of Scottsdale common stock will be entitled to be exchanged for 44.86 shares of Mid Penn common stock, valued at less than \$1,166 based on the Average Price. *The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger, including from the Average Price. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares.*

No fractional shares of Mid Penn common stock will be issued to any holder of Scottsdale common stock upon completion of the merger. For each fractional share that would otherwise be issued, Mid Penn will pay cash in an amount equal to the fraction multiplied by the closing price for a share of Mid Penn common stock as reported on Nasdaq for the trading day immediately preceding the closing date. No interest will be paid or accrued on cash payable to holders in lieu of fractional shares.

Table of Contents

The following table shows (i) hypothetical Average Prices of Mid Penn common stock with respect to the stock consideration, upon completion of the merger, (ii) the corresponding exchange ratio, (iii) the equivalent value of the merger consideration per share of Scottsdale common stock, calculated by multiplying the applicable Average Price by an exchange ratio of Mid Penn common stock that Scottsdale shareholders would receive in the merger for each share of Scottsdale common stock, and (iv) the per share cash consideration.

Historical Average Price of Mid Penn Common Stock	Exchange Ratio	Stock Consideration Equivalent Value	Cash Consideration Per Share Value
\$32.00	38.88	\$1,244.16	\$1,166.00
\$31.00	38.88	\$1,205.28	\$1,166.00
\$30.00	38.87	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$29.00	40.21	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$28.00	41.64	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$27.00	43.19	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$26.00	44.85	\$1,166.00	\$1,166.00
\$25.00	44.86	\$1,121.50	\$1,166.00
\$24.00	44.86	\$1,076.64	\$1,166.00

The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger and may be different from the hypothetical Average Price used in the above scenarios for calculating an exchange ratio. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Non-Election Shares

If you are a Scottsdale shareholder and you do not make an election to receive cash or Mid Penn common stock in the merger, your elections are not received by the exchange agent by the election deadline, your forms of election are improperly completed and/or are not signed, you will be deemed to not have made an election. Shareholders not making an election will receive merger consideration depending on, and after giving effect to, the number of elections that have been made by other Scottsdale shareholders using the proration adjustment described below.

Proration Procedures

It is unlikely that elections will be made in the exact proportions provided for in the merger agreement. As a result, the merger agreement describes procedures to be followed if Scottsdale shareholders in the aggregate elect to receive less of the Mid Penn common stock than Mid Penn has agreed to issue.

If Scottsdale shareholders elect to receive fewer shares of Mid Penn common stock than Mid Penn has agreed to issue in the merger, then all Scottsdale shareholders who have elected to receive Mid Penn common stock will receive Mid Penn common stock and those shareholders who elected to receive cash or who have made no election will be treated in the following manner:

If the number of shares held by Scottsdale shareholders who have made no election is sufficient to make up the shortfall in the number of Mid Penn shares that Mid Penn is required to issue, then all Scottsdale shareholders who elected cash will receive cash, and those shareholders who made no election will receive both cash and Mid Penn common stock in such proportion as is necessary to make up the shortfall.

If the number of shares held by Scottsdale shareholders who have made no election is insufficient to make up the shortfall, then all Scottsdale shareholders who made no election will receive Mid Penn common stock and those Scottsdale shareholders who elected to receive cash will receive cash and Mid Penn common stock in such proportion as is necessary to make up the shortfall.

Table of Contents

Neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale is making any recommendation as to whether Scottdale shareholders should elect to receive Mid Penn common stock, only cash or a combination of both types of consideration. Neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale is making any recommendation as to whether Scottdale shareholders should elect to receive a specific ratio of cash or Mid Penn common stock. Each Scottdale shareholder must make his or her own decision with respect to election to receive Mid Penn common stock, cash or a combination thereof for his or her shares of Scottdale stock. In addition, because the tax consequences of receiving cash will differ from the tax consequences of receiving Mid Penn common stock, each shareholder should carefully read the discussion included below under *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger* (page 86) and consult their personal tax advisor.

Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates

The conversion of Scottdale common stock into the right to receive the merger consideration will occur automatically at the effective time of the merger. As soon as reasonably practicable after completion of the merger but in any event within five business days, the exchange agent will mail to each Scottdale shareholder who has not submitted an election form, a letter of transmittal with instructions on how to exchange certificates representing shares of Scottdale common stock for the merger consideration, to be received in the merger pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement. You will be required to submit your certificates before you will receive your merger consideration. If a certificate for Scottdale common stock has been lost, stolen or destroyed, the exchange agent will issue the consideration properly payable under the merger agreement upon receipt of appropriate evidence as to that loss, theft or destruction, appropriate evidence as to the ownership of that certificate by the claimant, and appropriate and customary indemnification. Computershare will be the exchange agent in the merger and will receive forms of election, exchange certificates for the merger consideration and perform other duties as explained in the merger agreement.

Dividends and Distributions

Until Scottdale common stock certificates are surrendered for exchange, any dividends or other distributions declared after the effective time of the merger with respect to Mid Penn common stock into which shares of Scottdale common stock may have been converted will accrue but will not be paid. Mid Penn will pay to former Scottdale shareholders any unpaid dividends or other distributions, without interest, only after they have surrendered their Scottdale stock certificates.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, prior to the effective time of the merger, Scottdale and its subsidiaries may not declare or pay any dividend or distribution on its capital stock, except for the payment of an annual dividend subject to certain restrictions based upon Scottdale performance. In addition, Scottdale must consult with Mid Penn regarding the record dates and the payment dates relating to any dividends in respect of Scottdale common stock.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains customary representations and warranties of Scottdale and Mid Penn relating to their respective businesses. The representations must be true and correct in accordance with the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement, as of the date of the merger agreement and at the effective date of the merger as though made at and as of such time (except that representations and warranties that by their terms speak as of the date of the merger agreement or some other date must be true and correct as of such date). The representations and warranties in the merger agreement do not survive the effective time of the merger.

Each of Mid Penn and Scottdale has made representations and warranties to the other regarding, among other things:

corporate matters, including due organization and qualification;

capitalization;

authority relative to execution and delivery of the merger agreement and the absence of breach or violations of organizational documents or other obligations as a result of the merger;

required governmental filings and consents;

Table of Contents

the timely filing of reports with governmental entities;

financial statements and the absence of undisclosed liabilities;

tax matters;

the absence of circumstances and events reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the business of Scottdale and Mid Penn;

properties;

insurance coverage;

legal proceedings, and the absence of investigations by regulatory agencies;

compliance with applicable laws;

employee matters, including employee benefit plans;

environmental matters;

brokers, finders and financial advisors;

loan related matters;

related party transactions;

the vote required to approve the merger;

securities registration obligations;

intellectual property;

risk management instruments;

absence of fiduciary or trust accounts; and

the receipt of the respective financial advisor's fairness opinion.

Mid Penn also has made representations and warranties to Scottdale regarding the preparation and filing of the reports filed by Mid Penn with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Scottdale has also made representations and warranties to Mid Penn regarding credit card accounts, material contracts, real estate leases, and other certain types of contracts, labor matters and anti-takeover laws.

The representations and warranties described above and included in the merger agreement were made by Mid Penn and Scottdale to each other. These representations and warranties were made as of specific dates, may be subject to important qualifications and limitations agreed to by Mid Penn and Scottdale in connection with negotiating the terms of the merger agreement (including by reference to information contained in disclosure schedules delivered by the parties under the merger agreement), and may have been included in the merger agreement for the purpose of allocating risk between Mid Penn and Scottdale rather than to establish matters as facts. The merger agreement is described herein, and included as Annex A, only to provide you with information regarding its terms and conditions, and not to provide any other factual information regarding Scottdale, Mid Penn or their respective businesses. Accordingly, the representations and warranties and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read only in conjunction with the information provided elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference* on page 205.

Covenants and Agreements

Each of Scottdale and Mid Penn has undertaken customary covenants that place restrictions on it and its subsidiaries until the effective time of the merger. In general, each of Mid Penn and Scottdale has agreed to operate its respective business in the usual, regular and ordinary course of business, use commercially reasonable efforts to preserve intact its business organization and assets and maintain its rights and franchises, and

Table of Contents

voluntarily take no action that would materially and adversely affect the ability to obtain any regulatory approvals required for the merger or materially affect its ability to perform its covenants under the merger agreement.

In addition, Scottdale has agreed that, with certain exceptions and except with Mid Penn's prior written consent (which, with certain exceptions, is not to be unreasonably withheld), that Scottdale will not, and will not permit any of its subsidiaries to, among other things, undertake the following extraordinary actions:

change or waive any provision of its articles of incorporation, charter or bylaws, except as required by law, or appoint any new directors to its board of directors, except to fill any vacancy in accordance with its bylaws;

change the number of authorized or issued shares of its capital stock, issue any shares of capital stock, or issue or grant any right or agreement of any character relating to its authorized or issued capital stock or any securities convertible into shares of such stock, make any grant or award under any option or benefit plan, or split, combine or reclassify any shares of capital stock, or declare, set aside or pay any dividend or other distribution in respect of capital stock, or redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of capital stock;

enter into, amend in any material respect or terminate any material contract or agreement (including without limitation any settlement agreement with respect to litigation) except in the ordinary course of business or as required by law;

make application for the opening or closing of any, or open or close any, branch or automated banking facility;

except as set forth in the merger agreement, take specified actions relating to director and employee compensation, benefits, hiring and promotions;

except as otherwise expressly permitted under the merger agreement, enter into or, except as may be required by law, materially modify any pension, retirement, stock option, stock purchase, stock appreciation right, stock grant, savings, profit sharing, deferred compensation, supplemental retirement, consulting, bonus, group insurance or other employee benefit, incentive or welfare contract, plan or arrangement, or any trust agreement related thereto, in respect of any of its directors, officers or employees; or make any contributions to any defined contribution plan not in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

merge or consolidate it or any of its subsidiaries with any other corporation; sell or lease all or any substantial portion of its assets or businesses or that of any of its subsidiaries; make any acquisition of all or any substantial portion of the business or assets of any other party other than in connection with foreclosures, settlements in lieu of foreclosure, troubled loan or debt restructuring, or the collection of any loan or credit arrangement between it or any of its subsidiaries, and any other party; enter into a purchase and assumption

transaction with respect to deposits and liabilities; voluntarily revoke or surrender of its certificate of authority to maintain, or file an application for the relocation of, any existing branch office, or file an application for a certificate of authority to establish a new branch office;

sell or otherwise dispose of its capital stock or that of any of its subsidiaries or sell or otherwise dispose of any of its assets or those of any of its subsidiaries other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice; except for transactions with the Federal Home Loan Bank or the Federal Reserve Bank subject any of its assets or those of any of its subsidiaries to a lien, pledge, security interest or other encumbrance (other than in connection with deposits, repurchase agreements, bankers acceptances, treasury tax and loan accounts established in the ordinary course of business and transactions in federal funds and the satisfaction of legal requirements in the exercise of trust powers) other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

voluntarily take any action that would result in any of its representations and warranties becoming untrue in any material respect or any of the conditions set forth in the merger agreement not being satisfied, except in each case as may be required by applicable law or any regulatory authority;

Table of Contents

change any method, practice or principle of accounting, except as may be required from time to time by US GAAP or any regulatory authority responsible for regulating it or its respective banking subsidiary;

waive, release, grant or transfer any material rights of value or modify or change in any material respect any existing material agreement or indebtedness with an annual value of \$1,000,000 or greater to which it or any of its subsidiaries is a party;

purchase any securities, including equity or debt securities, except in accordance with past practice pursuant to policies approved by the Scottsdale board of directors in effect prior to the date of the merger agreement;

issue or sell any equity or debt securities;

make any new loan or other credit facility commitment (including without limitation, lines of credit and letters of credit), except in accordance with past practice pursuant to policies approved by its board of directors in effect prior to the date of the merger agreement;

enter into, renew, extend or modify any other transaction (other than a deposit transaction) with any affiliate;

enter into any futures contract, option, interest rate caps, interest rate floors, interest rate exchange agreement or other agreement or take any other action for purposes of hedging the exposure of its interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to changes in market rates of interest;

except for the execution of the merger agreement, and actions taken or that will be taken in accordance with the merger agreement and performance thereunder, take any action that would give rise to a right of payment to any individual under any employment agreement;

enter into any new line of business;

make any material change in policies in existence on March 29, 2017 with regard to (i) underwriting, the extension of credit, or the establishment of reserves with respect to the possible loss thereon or the charge-off of losses incurred thereon, (ii) investments, (iii) asset/liability management, (iv) deposit pricing or gathering, or (v) other material banking policies except as may be required by changes in applicable law or regulations or by a regulatory authority;

except for the execution of the merger agreement, and the transactions contemplated therein, take any action that would give rise to an acceleration of the right to payment to any individual under any of its employee plans;

make any capital expenditures in excess of \$25,000 individually or \$100,000 in the aggregate, other than pursuant to binding commitments existing on March 29, 2017 and other than expenditures necessary to maintain existing assets in good repair;

purchase or otherwise acquire any assets or incur any liabilities other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practices and policies;

undertake, renew, extend or enter into any lease, contract or other commitment for its account, other than in the normal course of providing credit to customers as part of its banking business, involving a payment by it or any subsidiary of more than \$25,000 annually, containing any financial commitment extending beyond 24 months from March 29, 2017 or involving any of its affiliates;

pay, discharge, settle or compromise any claim, action, litigation, arbitration or proceeding, other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice that involves solely money damages in the amount not in excess of \$25,000 individually or \$60,000 in the aggregate, and that does not create negative precedent and provided that it may not charge-off through settlement, compromise or discharge more than \$50,000 of the outstanding principal balance of any loan that is 90 or more days contractually past due without first discussing the decision with Mid Penn;

foreclose upon or take a deed or title to any commercial real estate without first conducting a Phase I environmental assessment of the property or foreclose upon any commercial real estate if such environmental assessment indicates the presence of certain environmental materials;

Table of Contents

purchase or sell any mortgage loan servicing rights other than in the ordinary course of business;

issue any broadly distributed communication to employees relating to post-closing employment, benefit or compensation information without the prior consent of Mid Penn or issue any broadly distributed communication to customers regarding the merger without the prior approval of Mid Penn, except as required by law or for communications in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice that do not relate to the merger;

agree or commit to do any of the actions prohibited by the preceding points.

Each of Mid Penn and Scottdale has agreed to additional covenants which include, among other things, commitments to provide certain financial and regulatory information upon request and maintain insurance in reasonable amounts.

Mid Penn has further agreed that Mid Penn will:

appoint Donald F. Kiefer or a mutually agreed upon qualified replacement candidate to the Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank boards of directors;

provide employees of Scottdale and its subsidiaries who remain employed after the effective time with base compensation that is, in the aggregate, no less favorable than provided by Scottdale and its subsidiaries on the date of the merger agreement and employee benefits that are provided by Mid Penn to similarly situated employees;

for determining eligibility and vesting for certain Mid Penn employee benefit plans (and not for benefit accrual purposes), provide credit for meeting eligibility and vesting requirements in such plans for service as an employee of Scottdale or any predecessor of Scottdale;

pay severance benefits to any employee of Scottdale whose employment is terminated within 12 months of the closing of the merger, other than for circumstances constituting cause and who is not party to an agreement that provides for specific severance payments, in accordance with the Scottdale Employee Severance Compensation Plan;

honor the terms of all Scottdale employment and change in control agreements;

for a period of six years after the merger, to indemnify, defend and hold harmless all current and former officers, directors and employees of Scottdale against all claims that arise out of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer or employee of Scottdale or its subsidiaries and that relate to any matter of fact existing at or prior to the merger, to the fullest extent as would have been permitted by Scottdale under Pennsylvania law and under Scottdale's articles of incorporation and bylaws;

maintain, for six years following the merger, Scottsdale's current and former directors' and officers' liability insurance policies covering the officers and directors of Scottsdale with respect to matters occurring at or prior to the merger;

establish a retention bonus pool up to \$50,000 based upon recommendations by Scottsdale (other than employees of Scottsdale who are subject to employment contracts or other contracts providing for severance or other payments upon termination of employment or upon change of control of Scottsdale) to help retain the services of such employees until the date of termination of their employment;

reserve a sufficient number of shares of its common stock and maintain sufficient liquid accounts or borrowing capacity to fulfill its obligations in connection with the merger;

obtain approval for listing of the shares of its common stock on Nasdaq;

refrain from amending its articles of incorporation or bylaws or similar governing documents of any of the Mid Penn Subsidiaries in a manner that would materially and adversely affect the economic benefits of the merger to the holders of Scottsdale common stock or that would materially impede Mid Penn's ability to consummate the merger;

prior to the effective time of the merger, enter into an employment agreement with Lawrence J Kiefer;

Table of Contents

for three (3) years immediately thereafter, Mid Penn will operate Scottdale as a separate division of Mid Penn Bank under the name Scottdale Bank & Trust, a Division of Mid Penn Bank (the Scottdale Bank Division). Upon Closing or promptly thereafter, Mid Penn Bank shall form an advisory board and invite all members of the board of directors of Scottdale to join such advisory board; and

for at least four years following the merger, Mid Penn will maintain the \$75,000 annual donation to the Marilyn K. Kiefer Foundation for so long as such foundation exists and donates at levels (as a percentage of its fundraising), and to those types of charities, consistent with the three years prior to the date the merger.

The parties subsequently agreed to reduce the level of annual donation to \$25,000.

The merger agreement also contains mutual covenants relating to the preparation of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the regulatory applications and the holding of the special meetings of Mid Penn shareholders and Scottdale shareholders, respectively, access to information of Scottdale and public announcements with respect to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Scottdale and Mid Penn have also agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to take all actions needed to obtain necessary governmental and third-party consents and to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Shareholder Meetings

Each of Mid Penn and Scottdale has agreed to hold a meeting of its respective shareholders as soon as is promptly practicable to obtain shareholder adoption of the merger agreement. Each of Mid Penn's and Scottdale's boards of directors has agreed to recommend that its shareholders vote in favor of the merger agreement.

Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers

Scottdale has agreed that it, its officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents or affiliates will not, directly or indirectly:

initiate, solicit, induce or knowingly encourage, or take any action to facilitate the making of, any inquiry, offer or proposal that constitutes, relates or could reasonably be expected to lead to an alternative acquisition proposal;

recommend or endorse an alternative acquisition transaction;

participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding, or furnish or afford access to information or data to any person that may relate to an alternative acquisition proposal;

release anyone from, waive any provisions of, or fail to enforce any confidentiality agreement or standstill agreement to which Scottdale is a party; or

enter into any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent with respect to any alternative acquisition proposal or approve or resolve to approve any alternative acquisition proposal or any agreement, agreement

in principle or letter of intent relating to an alternative acquisition proposal.

Acquisition proposal means any inquiry, offer or proposal as to any of the following (other than the merger between Mid Penn and Scottsdale) involving Scottsdale:

any transaction or series of transactions involving any merger, consolidation, recapitalization, share exchange, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving Scottsdale;

any transaction pursuant to which any third party or group acquires or would acquire (whether through sale, lease or other disposition), directly or indirectly, any assets of Scottsdale representing, in the aggregate, fifteen percent or more of the assets of Scottsdale on a consolidated basis;

any issuance, sale or other disposition of (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase or securities convertible into, such securities) representing ten percent or more of the votes attached to the outstanding securities of Scottsdale;

Table of Contents

any tender offer or exchange offer that, if consummated, would result in any third party or group beneficially owning ten percent or more of any class of equity securities of Scottdale; or

any transaction that is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions, or any combination of the foregoing.

Scottdale may, however, participate in discussions with, and may furnish information to, a third party in connection with a bona fide unsolicited acquisition proposal if, and only if:

Scottdale has received a bona fide unsolicited written acquisition proposal that did not result from a breach of the merger agreement;

Scottdale's board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with its outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor, that the acquisition proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal (as defined below);

Scottdale has provided Mid Penn with at least one business day's prior notice of its determination that the acquisition proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal; and

prior to furnishing or providing access to any information or data with respect to Scottdale or otherwise relating to an acquisition proposal, Scottdale receives from the third party making the proposal a confidentiality agreement on terms no less favorable to Mid Penn than the terms of the existing confidentiality agreement between Scottdale and Mid Penn and provides a copy of the same to Mid Penn.

Scottdale has also agreed to promptly provide to Mid Penn any non-public information about Scottdale that it provides to the third party making the proposal, to the extent such information was not previously provided to Mid Penn.

The term "superior proposal," as defined under the merger agreement, means any bona fide, unsolicited written acquisition proposal made by a person other than Mid Penn, which the Scottdale board of directors determines in its good faith judgment, after considering the advice of its outside legal counsel and financial advisor:

would, if consummated, result in the acquisition of all, but not less than all, of the issued and outstanding shares of Scottdale common stock or all, or substantially all, of the assets of Scottdale on a consolidated basis;

would result in a transaction that involves consideration to the holders of Scottdale common stock that is more favorable, from a financial point of view, than the consideration to be paid to such holders by Mid Penn under the merger agreement, considering, among other things, the nature of the consideration being offered and any material regulatory approvals or other risks associated with the timing of the proposed transaction beyond or in addition to those specifically contemplated hereby, and which proposal is not conditioned upon obtaining additional financing and is, in light of the other terms of such proposal, more

favorable to Scottsdale than the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

is reasonably likely to be completed on the terms proposed, taking into account all legal, financial, regulatory and other aspects of the proposal.

In addition, Scottsdale has agreed that it will not:

withdraw, qualify or modify, or propose to withdraw, qualify or modify, in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, its recommendation to its shareholders to approve the merger agreement or make any statement, filing or release, in connection with the Scottsdale special meeting of shareholders or otherwise, inconsistent with its recommendation to its shareholders to approve the merger agreement (it being understood that taking a neutral position or no position with respect to an acquisition proposal other than the Mid Penn merger shall be considered an adverse modification of its recommendation to its shareholders), except to the extent otherwise permitted and described below;

Table of Contents

approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal other than with respect to the Mid Penn merger; or

enter into (or cause Scottdale to enter into) any letter of intent or other agreement relating to an acquisition proposal other than with respect to the Mid Penn merger or requiring Scottdale to fail to consummate the merger.

Up until the time of the Scottdale shareholder meeting, however, Scottdale may withdraw, qualify or modify in a manner adverse to Mid Penn its recommendation to Scottdale shareholders to approve the merger agreement, or take any of the other actions listed above in this paragraph with respect to another acquisition proposal if but only if:

the Scottdale board of directors has reasonably determined in good faith, after consultation with and having considered the advice of its outside legal counsel and financial advisor that the failure to take such actions would be inconsistent with the board's fiduciary duties to Scottdale's shareholders under applicable law;

it has provided at least three business days' notice to Mid Penn that a bona fide unsolicited proposal constitutes a superior proposal; and

after taking into account any adjusted, modified or amended terms as may have been committed to by Mid Penn in writing, the Scottdale board of directors has again in good faith determined that the other acquisition proposal constitutes a superior proposal.

Expenses and Fees

In general, each of Mid Penn and Scottdale will be responsible for all expenses incurred by it in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, except that Mid Penn and Scottdale shall split the costs of printing and mailing the joint proxy statement/prospectus for their respective special meetings.

Indemnification and Insurance

The merger agreement requires Mid Penn to indemnify Scottdale's and its subsidiaries' current and former directors, officers and employees to the fullest extent as would have been permitted under Pennsylvania law and the Scottdale articles of incorporation or the Scottdale bylaws or similar governing documents. The merger agreement provides that in the event of any threatened or actual claim, action, suit, proceeding or investigation in which any person who is or has been a director, officer or employee of Scottdale or is threatened to be made party based in whole or in part on, or arising in whole or in part out of the fact that he or she is or was a director, officer or employee of Scottdale or any of its subsidiaries or predecessors and pertaining to any matter of fact arising, existing or occurring at or before the effective time of the merger (including the merger and the merger agreement), Mid Penn will defend against and respond thereto.

Mid Penn has agreed to indemnify and hold harmless each such indemnified party against any losses, claims, damages, liabilities, costs, expenses (including reasonable attorney's fees), judgments, and amounts paid in settlement in connection with any such threatened or actual claim, action, suit proceeding or investigation. The merger agreement also requires that Mid Penn provide advancement of expenses to, all past and present officers, directors and employees

of Scottdale and its subsidiaries in their capacities as such against all such losses, claims, damages, costs, expenses, liabilities, judgments or amounts paid in settlement to the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws and Scottdale's articles of incorporation and bylaws.

The merger agreement provides that Mid Penn will maintain for a period of six years after completion of the merger Scottdale's current directors' and officers' liability insurance policies, or policies of at least the same coverage and amount and containing terms and conditions that are not less advantageous than the current policy, with respect to acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

Conditions to Complete the Merger

Completion of the merger is subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions, none of which may be waived, including:

the adoption of the merger agreement by the Mid Penn and Scottsdale shareholders;

the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part with respect to the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger under the Securities Act and the absence of any stop order or proceedings initiated or threatened by the SEC for that purpose;

the receipt by each of Mid Penn and Scottsdale of a legal opinion with respect to certain United States federal income tax consequences of the merger;

the receipt and effectiveness of all required governmental and other approvals, authorizations and consents on terms and conditions that would not have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn or Scottsdale, and the expiration of all related waiting periods required to complete the merger;

the absence of any law, statute, regulation, judgment, decree, injunction or other order in effect by any court or other governmental entity that prohibits completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

the approval for listing on Nasdaq of the shares of Mid Penn common stock issuable in the merger.

Each of Mid Penn's and Scottsdale's obligations to complete the merger is also separately subject to the satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions including:

the absence of a material adverse effect on the other party;

the truth and correctness of the representations and warranties of each other party in the merger agreement, subject generally to the materiality standard provided in the merger agreement, and the performance by each other party in all material respects of their obligations under the merger agreement and the receipt by each party of certificates from the other party to that effect; and

Mid Penn having delivered the merger consideration to the exchange agent.

We cannot provide assurance as to when or if all of the conditions to the merger can or will be satisfied or waived by the appropriate party. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, we have no reason to believe that any of these conditions will not be satisfied.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement can be terminated at any time prior to completion by mutual consent or by either party in the following circumstances:

if there is a breach by the other party that would cause the failure of the closing conditions, unless the breach is capable of being, and is, cured within 30 days of notice of the breach and the terminating party is not itself in material breach;

if the merger has not been completed by March 31, 2018, unless the failure to complete the merger by that date was due to the terminating party's material breach of a representation, warranty, covenant or other agreement under the merger agreement;

if any of the required regulatory approvals are denied (and the denial is final and non-appealable);

if any court of competent jurisdiction or governmental authority issues an order, decree, ruling or takes any other action restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the merger (and such order, decree, ruling or action is final and non-appealable); or

if the shareholders of either Mid Penn or Scottsdale fail to adopt the merger agreement at their respective special meetings.

Table of Contents

In addition, Mid Penn's board of directors may terminate the merger agreement if the Scottsdale board of directors receives a superior proposal and enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to such proposal, withdraws its recommendation of the merger agreement, fails to make such a recommendation or modifies or qualifies its recommendation, in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or has otherwise made a determination to accept such proposal.

Further, Scottsdale's board of directors may terminate the merger agreement if Scottsdale has received a superior proposal and has made a determination to accept such proposal.

Scottsdale may also terminate the merger agreement within five days after the later of (i) the date on which all regulatory approvals, and waivers, if applicable, necessary for consummation of the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement have been received or (ii) the date of the meeting of Scottsdale shareholders (the *Determination Date*), if Scottsdale's board determines that each of the following have occurred:

the average of the daily closing sale prices of a share of Mid Penn common stock as reported on Nasdaq for the 20 consecutive trading days immediately preceding the *Determination Date* (the *Determination Date Market Value*) is less than 80% of the closing sale price of Mid Penn common stock on the last trading date before the date of the merger agreement; and

the decrease in the price of Mid Penn common stock is 20% greater than the decrease in the SNL Small Cap Bank & Thrift Index during the same period.

However, if Scottsdale chooses to exercise this termination right, Mid Penn has the option, within five business days of receipt of notice from Scottsdale, to adjust the merger consideration and prevent termination under this provision.

Effect of Termination. If the merger agreement is terminated, it will become void, and there will be no liability on the part of Mid Penn or Scottsdale, except that both Mid Penn and Scottsdale will remain liable for any willful breach of the merger agreement and designated provisions of the merger agreement, including the payment of fees and expenses, and the confidential treatment of information and publicity restrictions, will survive the termination.

Termination Fee

Scottsdale will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$2,365,500 in the event that the merger agreement is terminated:

by Mid Penn because Scottsdale has received an alternative acquisition proposal, and Scottsdale (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) delivers a written notice to Mid Penn of its determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal; or

by Scottsdale, if Scottsdale receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal.

Expense Reimbursement Fee

Scottdale will pay Mid Penn an expense reimbursement fee equal to the lesser of (i) the amount of Mid Penn's actual and documented out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement, or (ii) \$500,000 if the merger is terminated by Mid Penn as a result of the failure of the shareholders of Scottdale to approve the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and, prior to the Scottdale shareholders' meeting, any person shall have proposed or publicly announced an acquisition proposal for Scottdale.

Table of Contents

Amendment, Waiver and Extension of the Merger Agreement

Subject to applicable law, the parties may amend the merger agreement by written agreement between Scottdale and Mid Penn executed in the same manner as the merger agreement.

At any time prior to the completion of the merger, each of the parties, by action taken or authorized by their respective board of directors, to the extent legally allowed, may:

extend the time for the performance of any of the obligations or other acts of the other party;

waive any inaccuracies in the representations and warranties of the other party; or

waive compliance by the other party with any of the other agreements or conditions contained in the merger agreement.

However, after any approval of the transactions contemplated by the agreement and plan of merger by the shareholders of Scottdale and Mid Penn, there may not be, without further approval of such shareholders, any amendment which reduces the amount or value or changes the form of consideration to be delivered to Scottdale shareholders.

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

Mid Penn will account for the merger using the acquisition method under US GAAP. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities, including executory contracts and other commitments, of Scottdale will be recorded, as of completion of the merger, at their respective fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired will be recorded as goodwill to the extent not allocated to core deposit or other intangibles. Goodwill resulting from the merger will not be amortized but will be reviewed for impairment at least annually. Core deposits and other intangibles with finite useful lives recorded in connection with the merger will be amortized. If the net assets acquired exceed the purchase price, the resulting difference will be recorded as a bargain purchase gain.

Financial statements and reported results of operations of Mid Penn issued after completion of the merger will not be restated retroactively to reflect the historical financial position or results of operations of Scottdale.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER

The following discussion addresses the material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to a Scottdale shareholder who holds shares of Scottdale common stock as a capital asset. This discussion is based upon the Internal Revenue Code, Treasury regulations promulgated under the Internal Revenue Code, judicial authorities, published positions of the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) and other applicable authorities, all as in effect on the date of this discussion and all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect) and to differing interpretations. It is also based upon representations and facts provided by each of Mid Penn and Scottdale to Stevens & Lee, P.C. and Tucker Arensberg P.C. Future legislative, judicial, or administrative changes or interpretations which may or may not be retroactive, or the failure of any such facts or representations to be true, accurate and complete, may affect the statements and conclusions described in this discussion.

This discussion is not intended to be a complete description of all of the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. Further, this discussion does not address all aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be relevant to Scottsdale shareholders in light of their particular circumstances and does not address aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be applicable to Scottsdale shareholders subject to special treatment under the Internal Revenue Code (including but not limited to banks, financial institutions, trusts, estates, persons who hold shares of Scottsdale common stock in an individual retirement account (IRA), 401(k) plans or similar tax-favored accounts, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, dealers or brokers in securities or foreign currencies, traders in securities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting,

Table of Contents

persons holding Scottsdale common stock through a pass-through entity, Scottsdale shareholders who hold their shares of Scottsdale common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, conversion transaction or constructive sale transaction, Scottsdale shareholders who acquired their shares of Scottsdale common stock pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation, persons that hold options or warrants to acquire Scottsdale common stock, persons whose functional currency for United States federal income tax purposes is not the United States dollar, persons who are United States expatriates and holders who are not United States persons, within the meaning of Section 7701(a)(30) of the Internal Revenue Code). In addition, the discussion does not address any aspect of state, local or foreign taxation. No assurance can be given that the IRS would not assert, or that a court would not sustain a position contrary to any of the tax aspects set forth below.

No ruling has been or will be requested from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the tax consequences of the merger. Moreover, the opinions of Stevens & Lee and Tucker Arensberg described in this discussion is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service, and the opinions would not prevent the Internal Revenue Service from challenging the United States federal income tax treatment of the merger. Because of the complexities of the tax laws in general, and the complexities of the tax consequences associated with the receipt of cash in the merger in particular, holders of Scottsdale common stock are encouraged to consult their tax advisors with respect to the particular United States federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of the merger. This section is not intended to be tax advice to any shareholder.

Tax Opinion of Stevens & Lee and Tucker Arensberg

The closing of the merger is conditioned, in part, upon the receipt by Mid Penn of the opinion of Stevens & Lee P.C., and the receipt by Scottsdale of the opinion of Tucker Arensberg, P.C., each dated as of the effective date of the merger, substantially to the effect that, on the basis of facts, representations and assumptions set forth or referred to in the opinion (including factual representations contained in certificates of officers of Mid Penn and Scottsdale) which are consistent with the state of facts existing as of the effective date of the merger, the merger will be treated for United States federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. The tax opinions to be delivered in connection with the merger represent each counsel's best legal judgment; however, such opinions are not binding on the IRS or the courts, and neither Mid Penn nor Scottsdale intends to request a ruling from the IRS with respect to the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. Consequently, no assurance can be given that the IRS will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of those set forth below. In addition, if any of the facts, representations or assumptions upon which such opinions are based is inconsistent with the actual facts, the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger could be adversely affected.

Assuming that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, the discussion below sets forth the opinion of Stevens and Lee, P.C. as to the material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to Scottsdale shareholders:

holders of Scottsdale common stock who receive Mid Penn common stock in the merger in exchange for all their shares of Scottsdale common stock will not recognize any gain or loss with respect to shares of Mid Penn common stock received (except with respect to cash received instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock);

holders of Scottsdale common stock who receive only cash in the merger in exchange for all of their shares of Scottsdale common stock will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of Scottsdale common stock exchanged therefor;

each holder of Scottsdale common stock who receives Mid Penn common stock and cash (other than in lieu of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock) in the merger in exchange for the holder's shares of Scottsdale common stock will recognize the gain, if any, realized by the holder, in an amount not in excess of the amount of cash received (other than cash received instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock), but will not recognize any loss on the exchange; and

Table of Contents

holders of Scottsdale common stock who receive cash instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the cash received and the portion of the basis of the holders' shares of Scottsdale common stock allocable to that fractional share interest

Character of Gain Where Scottdale Common Stock is Exchanged in the Merger Solely for Cash

If, pursuant to the merger, all of the shares of Scottsdale common stock actually owned by a shareholder are exchanged solely for cash, the shareholder generally will recognize capital gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of Scottsdale common stock exchanged therefor. This gain or loss will generally be long-term capital gain or loss if the shareholder's holding period with respect to the shares of Scottsdale common stock exchanged is more than one year as of the effective date of the merger. If, however, any such shareholder actually or constructively (through the constructive ownership rules of the Internal Revenue Code) owns shares of Mid Penn stock immediately after the merger, part or all of the cash received may be treated as dividend income if the exchange has the effect of a distribution of a dividend with respect to the shareholder. The application of the law to a shareholder described in the previous sentence is particularly complex; accordingly, any such shareholder should consult his or her tax advisor.

Character of Gain Where Scottdale Common Stock is Exchanged in the Merger for Mid Penn Common Stock and Cash

For purposes of calculating gain in this transaction, if a shareholder receives Mid Penn common stock and cash (other than cash received instead of a fractional interest in Mid Penn common stock), gain or loss must be calculated by the shareholder separately for each identifiable block of shares exchanged, and is equal to the sum of the amount of cash and the fair market value of Mid Penn common stock received with respect to that block of shares minus the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in that block of shares. In addition, a loss realized on one block of shares may not be used to offset a gain realized on another block of shares.

As noted above, in the case of a Scottsdale shareholder that exchanges his or her shares of Scottsdale common stock for a combination of Mid Penn common stock and cash pursuant to the merger, such shareholder will recognize the gain, if any, realized by such shareholder in the exchange but not in excess of the amount of cash received. In general, the determination of whether any gain recognized in the exchange should be treated as capital gain or has the effect of a distribution of a dividend depends on whether, and to what extent, the exchange reduces the shareholder's deemed percentage stock ownership of Mid Penn. For purposes of this determination, the shareholder is treated as if he or she first exchanged all of his or her shares of Scottsdale common stock solely for Mid Penn common stock and then Mid Penn immediately redeemed (in a deemed redemption) a portion of such Mid Penn common stock in exchange for the cash the shareholder actually received. The gain recognized in the exchange will be treated as capital gain if the deemed redemption (i) is substantially disproportionate with respect to the shareholder or (ii) is not essentially equivalent to a dividend.

The deemed redemption should generally be substantially disproportionate with respect to a shareholder if the percentage of the outstanding stock of Mid Penn the shareholder owns, actually and constructively, immediately after the deemed redemption is less than 80% of the percentage of the outstanding stock of Mid Penn the shareholder is deemed to own, actually and constructively, immediately before the deemed redemption, and the shareholder owns less than 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of Mid Penn stock immediately after the redemption.

Whether the deemed redemption is not essentially equivalent to a dividend with respect to a shareholder will depend on the shareholder's particular circumstances. In order for the deemed redemption to be not essentially equivalent to a dividend, the deemed redemption must result in a meaningful reduction in the shareholder's actual and constructive percentage stock ownership of Mid Penn. In general, that determination requires a comparison of the percentage of the

outstanding stock of Mid Penn the shareholder is deemed to own, actually

Table of Contents

and constructively, immediately before the deemed redemption and the percentage of the outstanding stock of Mid Penn the shareholder actually and constructively owns immediately after the deemed redemption. The Internal Revenue Service has ruled that a minority shareholder (i.e., a shareholder whose relative stock interest is minimal in relation to the number of shares outstanding and who exercises no control with respect to corporate affairs) generally is treated as having a meaningful reduction in interest if a cash payment results in at least a relatively minor reduction in the shareholder's actual and constructive percentage ownership.

Tax Basis and Holding Period

The aggregate tax basis of the Mid Penn common stock received by a Scottsdale shareholder in the merger (including fractional shares deemed received and redeemed as described below) will be the same in the aggregate as the tax basis of the shares of Scottsdale common stock surrendered by such shareholder for the Mid Penn common stock, decreased by the amount of any cash received (other than cash received instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock) by the shareholder and increased by the amount of income or gain recognized by the shareholder in the exchange (which does not include gain recognized in respect of fractional shares deemed received and redeemed (as described below)).

Each Scottsdale shareholder's holding period in any shares of Mid Penn common stock received in the merger (including any fractional shares deemed received and redeemed as described below) will, in each instance, include the period during which the shares of Scottsdale common stock surrendered in exchange therefor were held, provided that those shares of Scottsdale common stock were held as capital assets on the effective date of the merger.

Cash Received in Lieu of a Fractional Share Interest

Cash received by a Scottsdale shareholder in lieu of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock will be treated as though the fractional share had been received and then redeemed for cash, and in general gain or loss will be recognized, measured by the difference between the amount of cash received and the portion of the basis of the shares of Scottsdale common stock allocable to such fractional interest. Such gain or loss generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if the holding period for such shares of Scottsdale common stock was more than one year as of the effective date of the merger. If, however, the receipt of cash instead of a fractional share of Mid Penn common stock has the effect of the distribution of a dividend with respect to a shareholder, part or all of the cash received may be treated as a dividend.

Mid Penn and Scottdale

Mid Penn and Scottdale will each be a party to the reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, no gain or loss will be recognized by Mid Penn or Scottdale as a result of the merger (except for amounts resulting from any required change in accounting methods and any deferred income, deferred gain or deferred loss to be taken into account under the relevant consolidated return regulations).

Backup Withholding

Backup withholding at a 28% rate will generally apply to merger consideration that includes cash if the exchanging Scottsdale shareholder fails to properly certify that it is not subject to backup withholding, generally on Internal Revenue Service Form W-9. Certain holders, including, among others, United States corporations, are not subject to backup withholding, but they may still need to furnish a Form W-9 or otherwise establish an exemption. Any amounts withheld from payments to a Scottsdale shareholder under the backup withholding rules are not additional taxes and will be allowed as a refund or credit against the shareholder's United States federal income tax liability, provided that

the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Medicare Tax

In addition to income taxes, any individual shareholder with adjusted gross income (including certain foreign income that is exempt from U.S. taxes) in excess of \$250,000 for a married couple filing a joint return (in excess of \$200,000 for individuals filing as single) will be subject to the 3.8% Medicare tax on all or part of the income recognized by such individual as a result of the merger.

Table of Contents

Tax matters are very complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger to each holder of Scottsdale common stock will depend on the facts of that shareholder's particular situation. The discussion set forth above does not address all United States federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to a particular holder of Scottsdale common stock and may not be applicable to holders in special situations. Holders of Scottsdale common stock are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific tax consequences of the merger. Further, such discussion does not address tax consequences that may arise with respect to Mid Penn by reason of any actions taken or events occurring subsequent to the merger.

THE MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to Mid Penn shareholders by Mid Penn's board of directors in connection with the solicitation of proxies from the Mid Penn shareholders for use at the special meeting of Mid Penn shareholders and any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting will be held on November 17, 2017 at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Halifax Area Ambulance and Rescue Association, Inc., 31 Bunker Hill Road, Halifax, Pennsylvania 17032, subject to any adjournments or postponements.

Matters to be Considered

At the special meeting, Mid Penn shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

1. adoption of the merger agreement as described in detail under the heading *The Merger* beginning on page 41; and
2. approval of a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

The close of business on October 3, 2017 has been fixed by Mid Penn's board of directors as the record date for the determination of those holders of Mid Penn common stock who are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting.

At the close of business on the record date there were 4,240,754 shares of Mid Penn common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Quorum and Required Vote

Each holder of record of shares of Mid Penn common stock as of the Mid Penn record date is entitled to cast one vote per share at the special meeting on each proposal. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. The affirmative vote at the Mid Penn special meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the votes cast is required to approve the merger agreement and the proposal to

adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

How Shares Will Be Voted at the Special Meeting

All shares of Mid Penn common stock represented by properly executed proxies received before or at the special meeting, and not properly revoked, will be voted as specified in the proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and FOR the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Table of Contents

If you hold shares of Mid Penn common stock in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee holder, the nominee holder may only vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give specific instructions to your nominee holder as to how you want your shares voted, your nominee will indicate that it does not have authority to vote on the proposal, which will result in what is called a broker non-vote. Broker non-votes will be counted for determining whether there is a quorum present at the special meeting, but they will not be deemed to have been voted on any of the proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcomes of any of the proposals. If any other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, the proxies named in the proxy card will have discretion to vote the shares represented by duly executed proxies in their sole discretion.

How to Vote Your Shares

Mid Penn shareholders may vote in person at the special meeting or by one of the following methods:

Voting by Mail. You may vote by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call (888) 497-9677 and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot which will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting. Should you have any questions on the procedure for voting your shares, please contact the Mid Penn Corporate Secretary at (717) 692-7105.

How to Change Your Vote

If you are a registered shareholder, you may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to the Secretary of Mid Penn, or (3) attending the special meeting in person and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The Mid Penn Secretary's mailing address is 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy instructions by informing the holder of record in accordance with that entity's procedures.

Solicitation of Proxies

Mid Penn has engaged Georgeson LLC (Georgeson) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the Mid Penn special meeting of shareholders. Mid Penn has agreed to pay Georgeson approximately \$6,500, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify Georgeson against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

Mid Penn will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, Mid Penn will request that banks, brokers and other record holders send proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of Mid Penn common stock and secure their voting instructions. Mid Penn will reimburse the record holders for

Table of Contents

their reasonable expenses in taking those actions. If necessary, Mid Penn may use several of its regular employees, who will not be specially compensated, to solicit proxies from Mid Penn shareholders, either personally or by telephone, facsimile, letter or other electronic means.

Mid Penn and Scottdale will share equally the expenses incurred in connection with the copying, printing and distribution of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for their special meetings.

Mid Penn Affiliate Letter Agreements

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote 320,789 shares of Mid Penn common stock, or 7.6% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and executive officers of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Attending the Meeting

All holders of Mid Penn common stock, including shareholders of record and shareholders who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Shareholders of record can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without both proper proof of share ownership and proper photo identification.

MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1

APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT

Mid Penn is asking its shareholders to approve and adopt the merger agreement. For a detailed discussion of the merger, including the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, see *The Merger*, beginning on page 41. As discussed in detail in the sections entitled *The Merger*, *Mid Penn's Reasons for the Merger*, and

Recommendation of Mid Penn's Board of Directors, beginning on pages 56 and 57, respectively, after careful consideration, the Mid Penn board of directors determined that the terms of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it are in the best interests of Mid Penn and the board unanimously approved the merger agreement. ***Accordingly, Mid Penn's board of directors unanimously recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement.***

MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2

AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS

General

If, at the Mid Penn special meeting, the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock, present in person or by proxy, is insufficient to constitute a quorum or the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock voting in favor is insufficient to adopt the merger agreement, Mid Penn management intends to adjourn the special meeting in order to

enable the Mid Penn board of directors more time to solicit additional proxies. In that event, Mid Penn will ask its shareholders to vote only upon the adjournment proposal and not the proposal relating to adoption of the merger agreement.

In this proposal, Mid Penn is asking you to grant discretionary authority to the holder of any proxy solicited by the Mid Penn board of directors so that such holder can vote in favor of the proposal to adjourn the special meeting to solicit additional proxies. If the shareholders of Mid Penn approve the adjournment proposal,

Table of Contents

Mid Penn could adjourn the special meeting, and any adjourned session of the special meeting, and use the additional time to solicit additional proxies, including the solicitation of proxies from shareholders who have previously voted.

Generally, if the special meeting is adjourned, no notice of the adjourned meeting is required to be given to shareholders, other than an announcement at the special meeting of the place, date and time to which the meeting is adjourned.

Recommendation of the Mid Penn Board of Directors

The Mid Penn board of directors recommends a vote **FOR** the proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting of shareholders to allow time for the further solicitation of proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT MID PENN BANCORP, INC.*****Business******Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.***

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. is a one-bank holding company, incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in August 1991. Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to herein as Mid Penn or the Corporation. On December 31, 1991, Mid Penn acquired, as part of the holding company formation, all of the outstanding common stock of Mid Penn Bank (the Bank), and Mid Penn Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of Mid Penn. Mid Penn's primary business is to supervise and coordinate the business of its subsidiaries and to provide them with capital and resources.

Mid Penn's consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist almost entirely of that of Mid Penn Bank, which is managed as a single business segment. At December 31, 2016, Mid Penn had total consolidated assets of \$1,032,599,000 with total deposits of \$935,373,000 and total shareholders' equity of \$70,467,000.

As of December 31, 2016, Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. did not own or lease any properties. Mid Penn Bank owns or leases the banking offices as identified in Part I, Item 2.

All Mid Penn employees are employed by Mid Penn Bank. At December 31, 2016, Mid Penn Bank had 234 full-time and 23 part-time employees. Mid Penn Bank and its employees are not subject to a collective bargaining agreement, and Mid Penn Bank believes it enjoys good relations with its personnel.

Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn Bank that provided a wide range of personal and commercial insurance products. Due to the lack of activity within this subsidiary, the decision was made to exit this line of business, effective March 1, 2016.

Mid Penn Bank

Mid Penn Bank was organized in 1868 under a predecessor name, Millersburg Bank, and became a state chartered bank in 1931, obtaining trust powers in 1935, at which time its name was changed to Millersburg Trust Company. In 1971, Millersburg Trust Company adopted the name Mid Penn Bank. On March 1, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. (Phoenix) by Mid Penn, Phoenix's wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Miners Bank, was merged with and into Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank was the surviving charter, and Miners Bank's four branches in Schuylkill and Luzerne Counties, Pennsylvania operate as Miners Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) supervise Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn's and Mid Penn Bank's legal headquarters are located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061. Mid Penn Bank presently has 21 full service retail banking properties located in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland, and Schuylkill Counties, Pennsylvania.

Mid Penn's primary business consists of attracting deposits and loans from its network of community banking offices operated by Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank engages in full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services, including, but not limited to, mortgage and home equity loans, secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, lines of credit, construction financing, farm loans, community development and local government loans and various types of time and demand deposits. Deposits of Mid Penn Bank are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund (the DIF) of the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. In addition, Mid Penn Bank provides a full range of trust and retail investment services. Mid Penn Bank also

offers other services such as online banking, telephone banking, cash management services, automated teller services and safe deposit boxes.

Table of Contents
Business Strategy

Mid Penn Bank's services are provided to small and middle-market businesses, consumers, nonprofit organizations, municipalities, and real estate investors through 21 full service retail banking properties, one loan production office, and a corporate administration office. Mid Penn's primary markets currently, and historically, have lower unemployment than the U.S. as a whole, due in part to a diversified manufacturing and services base and the presence of state government offices, which help shield the markets from national trends. At December 31, 2016, the unadjusted unemployment rate for the Harrisburg/Carlisle and Lancaster areas, two of Mid Penn's primary markets, were 3.9% and 3.7%, respectively, versus the seasonally adjusted national unemployment rate of 4.7%. The unadjusted unemployment rate for Mid Penn's other market, in the Scranton/Wilkes-Barre/Hazleton area, was 5.5% at December 31, 2016.

Mid Penn Bank seeks to develop long-term customer relationships, maintain high quality service, and provide quick responses to customer needs. Mid Penn believes that an emphasis on local relationship building and its conservative approach to lending are important factors in the success and growth of Mid Penn.

Mid Penn Bank seeks credit opportunities of sound quality within its target market. Creditworthy customers exhibit positive historical repayment trends, stable cash flows and secondary sources of repayment from tangible collateral. Mid Penn Bank extends credit for the purpose of obtaining and continuing long-term relationships. Lenders are provided with detailed underwriting policies for all types of credit risks accepted by Mid Penn Bank, and must obtain appropriate approvals for credit extensions. Mid Penn Bank also maintains strict documentation requirements and extensive credit quality assurance practices in order to identify credit portfolio weaknesses as early as possible so any exposures that are discovered might be mitigated or potential losses reduced.

Lending Activities

Mid Penn Bank offers a variety of loan products to its customers, including loans secured by real estate and commercial and consumer loans. Mid Penn Bank's primary lending objectives are as follows:

to establish a diversified loan portfolio; and

to provide a satisfactory return to Mid Penn's shareholders by properly pricing loans to include the cost of funds, administrative costs, bad debts, local economic conditions, competition, customer relationships, the term of the loan, credit risk, collateral quality and a reasonable profit margin.

Credit risk is managed through portfolio diversification, underwriting policies and procedures, and loan monitoring practices. Mid Penn Bank generally secures its loans with real estate with such collateral values dependent and subject to change based on real estate market conditions within its market area. As of December 31, 2016, Mid Penn Bank's highest concentration of credit is in commercial real estate. Most of Mid Penn Bank's business activity with customers is located in Central Pennsylvania, specifically in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland, and Schuylkill Counties.

Investment Activities

Mid Penn's securities portfolio is used to provide both liquidity and a secondary source of interest earning through investments in higher-yielding assets than overnight funding alternatives, while maintaining asset quality. Mid Penn

does not have any significant non-governmental concentrations within its investment securities portfolio.

Mid Penn's entire portfolio of investment securities as of December 31, 2016, was considered available for sale. As such, the investments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Mid Penn's investments include US Treasury, agency and municipal securities that derive fair values relative to investments of the same type with similar maturity dates. As the interest rate environment changes, Mid Penn's fair value of existing securities will

Table of Contents

change. This difference between the amortized cost and fair value, or unrealized loss, amounted to \$4,423,000 as of December 31, 2016. A majority of the investments are high quality United States and municipal securities that, if held to maturity, are expected to result in no loss to Mid Penn Bank.

For additional information with respect to Mid Penn's business activities, see Part II, Item 7 of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Sources of Funds

Mid Penn Bank primarily uses retail deposits and, to a lesser extent, wholesale borrowings to finance lending and investment activities. Borrowing sources include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (the FHLB) and overnight borrowings from Mid Penn Bank's correspondent banks. All borrowings, except for lines of credit with Mid Penn Bank's correspondent banks, require collateral in the form of loans or securities. Collateral levels, therefore, limit borrowings and the available lines of credit extended by Mid Penn Bank's creditors. As a result, generating and retaining retail deposits remain critical to the future funding and growth of the business. Deposit growth within the banking industry has been subject to strong competition from a variety of financial services companies. This competition may require financial institutions to adjust their product offerings and pricing to maintain and grow deposits.

Competition

The banking business is highly competitive, and the profitability of Mid Penn depends principally upon Mid Penn Bank's ability to compete in its market area. Mid Penn Bank actively competes with other financial services companies for deposit, loan, and trust business. Competitors include other commercial banks, credit unions, savings banks, savings and loan associations, insurance companies, securities brokerage firms, finance companies, mutual funds, and service alternatives via the Internet. Financial institutions compete primarily on the quality of services rendered, interest rates on loans and deposits, service charges, the convenience of banking facilities, location and hours of operation and, in the case of loans to larger commercial borrowers, relative lending limits.

Many competitors are larger than Mid Penn Bank and have significantly greater financial resources, personnel and locations from which to conduct business. In addition, Mid Penn Bank is subject to banking regulations while certain competitors may not be. For more information, see the Supervision and Regulation section below.

Mid Penn has been able to compete effectively with other financial institutions by emphasizing customer service. Mid Penn's customer service model is based on convenient hours, efficient and friendly employees, local decision making, and quality products. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB), which broke down many barriers between the banking, securities and insurance industries, has significantly affected the competitive environment in which Mid Penn operates.

The flow of cash into mutual funds, much of which is made through tax deferred investment vehicles such as 401(k) plans, have historically been a popular savings vehicle for investors. The recent economic conditions and persistent lower interest rates have impacted the returns on many investments and impacted the manner in which investors distribute their funds across investment alternatives. The safety of traditional bank products has remained an attractive option during periods of market volatility. Mid Penn's ability to attract funds in the future will be impacted by the public's appetite for the safety of insured or local investments versus the returns offered by alternative choices as part of their personal investment mix.

Supervision and Regulation

General

Bank holding companies and banks are extensively regulated under both Federal and state laws. The regulation and supervision of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank are focused on the protection of depositors, the DIF, and the

Table of Contents

monetary system, and not Mid Penn or its shareholders. Enforcement actions may include the imposition of a conservator or receiver, cease-and-desist orders and written agreements, the termination of insurance on deposits, the imposition of civil money penalties, and removal and prohibition orders. If a banking regulator takes any enforcement action, the value of an equity investment in Mid Penn could be substantially reduced or eliminated. As of December 31, 2016, Mid Penn Bank was not subject to any supervisory enforcement actions.

Federal and state banking laws contain numerous provisions affecting various aspects of the business and operations of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve), and Mid Penn Bank is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the FDIC. The descriptions below of, and references to, applicable statutes and regulations are not intended to be complete lists or reflective of all applicable provisions or their effects on Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank. They are summaries only and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

Holding Company Regulation

Mid Penn is a registered bank holding company subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Reserve. As such, it is subject to Mid Penn Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHCA) and many of the Federal Reserve 's regulations promulgated thereunder. The Federal Reserve has broad enforcement powers over bank holding companies, including the power to impose substantial fines and civil penalties.

The BHCA requires Mid Penn to file an annual report with the Federal Reserve regarding the holding company and its subsidiary bank. The Federal Reserve Board also makes examinations of the holding company. Mid Penn Bank is not a member of the Federal Reserve System; however, the Federal Reserve possesses cease-and-desist powers over bank holding companies and their subsidiaries where their actions would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or violation of law. The Federal Reserve Board also makes policy that guides the declaration and distribution of dividends by bank holding companies.

The BHCA restricts a bank holding company 's ability to acquire control of additional banks. In addition, the BHCA restricts the activities in which bank holding companies may engage directly or through non-bank subsidiaries.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act

Under GLB, bank holding companies, such as Mid Penn, that meet certain management, capital, and Community Reinvestment Act standards, are permitted to become financial holding companies. No prior regulatory approval will be required for a financial holding company to acquire a company, other than a bank or savings association, engaged in activities permitted under GLB. Activities cited by GLB as being financial in nature include:

securities underwriting, dealing and market making;

sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;

insurance underwriting and agency;

merchant banking activities; and

activities that the Federal Reserve has determined to be closely related to banking.

In addition to permitting financial services providers to enter into new lines of business, the law allows firms the freedom to streamline existing operations and to potentially reduce costs. The Act may increase both opportunity as well as competition.

A bank holding company may become a financial holding company if each of its subsidiary banks is well capitalized under the FDIC Improvement Act's prompt corrective action provisions, is well managed and has at

Table of Contents

least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act. The required filing is a declaration that the bank holding company wishes to become a financial holding company and meets all applicable requirements. Many community banks are less able to devote the capital and management resources needed to facilitate broad expansion of financial services including insurance and brokerage services. Mid Penn has not elected to become a financial holding company at this time.

Bank Regulation

Mid Penn Bank, a Pennsylvania-chartered institution, is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the FDIC. The deposits of Mid Penn Bank are insured by the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. The FDIC assesses deposit insurance premiums, the amount of which depends in part on both the asset size and the condition of Mid Penn Bank. Moreover, the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance of Mid Penn Bank under certain circumstances. The federal and state banking regulatory agencies have broad enforcement powers over depository institutions under their jurisdiction, including the power to terminate deposit insurance, to impose fines and other civil and criminal penalties, and to appoint a conservator or receiver if any of a number of conditions is met. In addition, Mid Penn Bank is subject to a variety of local, state and federal laws that affect its operations.

Banking regulations include, but are not limited to, permissible types and amounts of loans, investments and other activities, capital adequacy, branching, interest rates on loans, compensation standards, payment of dividends, various bank account and bank service disclosures, and the safety and soundness of banking practices.

Capital Requirements

Under risk-based capital requirements for bank holding companies, Mid Penn is required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance-sheet activities, such as standby letters of credit) of eight percent. Through December 31, 2016, at least half of the total capital is to be composed of common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill (Tier 1 Capital). The remainder may consist of subordinated debt, non-qualifying preferred stock and a limited amount of the loan loss allowance (Tier 2 Capital). Combined, the Tier 1 Capital and Tier 2 Capital comprise regulatory Total Capital .

In addition, the Federal Reserve has established minimum leverage ratio requirements for bank holding companies. These requirements provide for a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 Capital to adjusted average quarterly assets (leverage ratio) equal to 3% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria, including having the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies will generally be required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4-5%. The requirements also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Furthermore, the requirements indicate that the Federal Reserve will continue to consider a Tangible Tier 1 Leverage Ratio (deducting all intangibles) in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activity. The Federal Reserve has not advised Mid Penn of any specific minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio requirement.

Mid Penn Bank is subject to similar capital requirements adopted by the FDIC. The FDIC has not advised Mid Penn Bank of any specific minimum leverage ratios.

The capital ratios of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank are described in Note 18 to Mid Penn s Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included herein.

Banking regulators continue to indicate their desire to further refine capital requirements applicable to banking organizations, including those discussed in the Regulatory Capital Changes section below. Changes to capital requirements could materially affect the profitability of Mid Penn or the fair value of Mid Penn stock.

Table of Contents**Prompt Corrective Action**

In addition to the required minimum capital levels described above, federal law establishes a system of prompt corrective actions which federal banking agencies are required to take, and certain actions which they have discretion to take, based upon the capital category into which a federally regulated depository institution falls. Regulations set forth detailed procedures and criteria for implementing prompt corrective action in the case of any institution, which is not adequately capitalized. Under the rules, an institution will be deemed to be adequately capitalized if it exceeds the minimum federal regulatory capital requirements. However, it will be deemed undercapitalized if it fails to meet the minimum capital requirements, significantly undercapitalized if it has a Total Risk-Based Capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital ratio that is less than 3.0%, or a leverage ratio that is less than 3.0%, and critically undercapitalized if the institution has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2.0%.

The prompt corrective action rules require an undercapitalized institution to file a written capital restoration plan, along with a performance guaranty by its holding company or a third party. In addition, an undercapitalized institution becomes subject to certain automatic restrictions including a prohibition on payment of dividends, a limitation on asset growth and expansion, in certain cases, a limitation on the payment of bonuses or raises to senior executive officers, and a prohibition on the payment of certain management fees to any controlling person. Institutions that are classified as undercapitalized are also subject to certain additional supervisory actions, including increased reporting burdens and regulatory monitoring, a limitation on the institution's ability to make acquisitions, open new branch offices, or engage in new lines of business, obligations to raise additional capital, restrictions on transactions with affiliates, and restrictions on interest rates paid by the institution on deposits. In certain cases, bank regulatory agencies may require replacement of senior executive officers or directors, or sale of the institution to a willing purchaser. If an institution is deemed critically undercapitalized and continues in that category for four quarters, the statute requires, with certain narrowly limited exceptions, that the institution be placed in receivership.

Regulatory Capital Changes

In July 2013, the federal banking agencies issued final rules to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act). The phase-in period for community banking organizations began January 1, 2015. The final rules called for the following minimum capital requirements (which include the impact of the capital conservation buffer effective January 1, 2016):

	Effective January 1,	
	2016	2017
Common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	5.125%	5.75%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	6.625%	7.25%
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	8.625%	9.25%
Leverage ratio	4.0%	4.0%

The final rules established a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets applicable to all banking organizations. If a banking organization fails to hold capital above the minimum capital ratios and the capital conservation buffer, it could be subject to certain restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonus payments. The phase-in period for the capital conservation and countercyclical capital buffers for all banking organizations began on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level. Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to common equity Tier 1 capital began on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a three-year period (beginning at 40% on January 1, 2015, 60% on January 1, 2016 and an additional 20% per year thereafter).

The final rules allow community banks to make a one-time election not to include the additional components of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in regulatory capital and instead use the existing treatment

Table of Contents

under the general risk-based capital rules that excludes most AOCI components from regulatory capital. Mid Penn made the election not to include the additional components of AOCI in regulatory capital.

The final rules permanently grandfather non-qualifying capital instruments (such as trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock) issued before May 19, 2010 for inclusion in the Tier 1 Capital of banking organizations with total consolidated assets less than \$15 billion as of December 31, 2009 and banking organizations that were mutual holding companies as of May 19, 2010.

Consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act, the new rules replace the ratings-based approach to securitization exposures, which is based on external credit ratings, with the simplified supervisory formula approach in order to determine the appropriate risk weights for these exposures. Alternatively, banking organizations may use the existing gross-ups approach to assign securitization exposures to a risk weight category or choose to assign such exposures a 1,250% risk weight.

Under the new rules, mortgage servicing assets (MSAs) and certain deferred tax assets (DTAs) are subject to stricter limitations than those applicable under the current general risk-based capital rule. The new rules also increase the risk weights for past-due loans, certain risk weights and credit conversion factors.

Mid Penn has implemented these changes in determining and reporting the regulatory ratios of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, and has concluded that the new rules did not have a material negative effect on Mid Penn's financial condition.

Safety and Soundness Standards

The federal banking regulatory agencies have adopted a set of guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards for depository institutions such as Mid Penn Bank. The guidelines establish general standards relating to management practices, internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, liquidity, capital, earnings, compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If the institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails to implement an accepted plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions be taken, including restricting asset growth, restricting interest rates paid on deposits, and requiring an increase in the institution's ratio of tangible equity to assets.

Payment of Dividends and Other Restrictions

Mid Penn is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiary, Mid Penn Bank. There are various legal and regulatory limitations on the extent to which Mid Penn Bank can, among other things, finance, or otherwise supply funds to, Mid Penn. Specifically, dividends from Mid Penn Bank are the principal source of Mid Penn's cash funds, and there are certain legal restrictions under Pennsylvania law and Pennsylvania banking regulations on the payment of dividends by state-chartered banks. The relevant regulatory agencies also have authority to prohibit Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank from engaging in what, in the opinion of such regulatory body, constitutes an unsafe or unsound banking practice. The payment of dividends could, depending upon the financial condition of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, be deemed to constitute such an unsafe or unsound practice. Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank were not subject to

any such dividend prohibitions as of December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents**Deposit Insurance**

The FDIC insures deposits of Mid Penn Bank through the DIF. The FDIC maintains the DIF by assessing depository institutions an insurance premium. The amount each institution is assessed is based upon a variety of factors that include the level of assets and tangible equity, as well as the degree of risk the institution poses to the insurance fund. The FDIC insures deposits up to \$250,000. Mid Penn Bank pays an insurance premium into the DIF based on a regulatory defined assessment calculation. The FDIC uses a risk-based premium system that assesses higher rates on those institutions that pose greater risks to the DIF. The FDIC places each institution in one of four risk categories using a two-step process based first on capital ratios (the capital group assignment) and then on other relevant information (the supervisory group assignment). Subsequently, the rate for each institution within a risk category may be adjusted depending upon different factors that either enhance or reduce the risk the institution poses to the DIF, including the unsecured debt, secured liabilities and brokered deposits related to each institution. Finally, certain risk multipliers may be applied to the adjusted assessment.

Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act, the assessment base that the FDIC uses to calculate assessment premiums is a bank's average assets minus average tangible equity. As the asset base of the banking industry is larger than the deposit base, the range of assessment rates is a low of 2.5 basis points and a high of 45 basis points, per \$100 of assets.

The FDIC is required under the Dodd-Frank Act to establish assessment rates that will allow the DIF to achieve a reserve ratio of 1.35% of Insurance Fund insured deposits by September 2020. In addition, the FDIC has established a designated reserve ratio of 2.0%, a target ratio that, until it is achieved, will not likely result in the FDIC reducing assessment rates. In attempting to achieve the mandated 1.35% ratio, the FDIC is required to implement assessment formulas that charge banks over \$10 billion in asset size more than banks under that size. These new formulas did not affect Mid Penn Bank as it was less than \$10 billion in total assets size. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC is authorized to make reimbursements from the insurance fund to banks if the reserve ratio exceeds 1.50%, but the FDIC has adopted the designated reserve ratio of 2.0% and has announced that any reimbursements from the fund are indefinitely suspended.

Consumer Protection Laws

A number of laws govern the relationship between Mid Penn Bank and its customers. For example, the Community Reinvestment Act is designed to encourage lending by banks to persons in low and moderate income areas. The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act attempt to minimize lending decisions based on impermissible criteria, such as race or gender. The Truth-in-Lending Act and the Truth-in-Savings Act require banks to provide certain disclosure of relevant terms related to loans and savings accounts, respectively. Anti-tying restrictions (which prohibit conditioning the availability or terms of credit on the purchase of another banking product) further restrict Mid Penn Bank's relationships with its customers. Mid Penn Bank maintains a comprehensive compliance management program to promote its compliance with these and other applicable consumer protection laws and regulations.

Privacy Laws

The federal banking regulators have issued a number of regulations governing the privacy of consumer financial and customer information. The regulations limit the disclosure by financial institutions, such as Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, of nonpublic personal information about individuals who obtain financial products or services for personal, family, or household purposes. Subject to certain exceptions allowed by law, the regulations cover information sharing between financial institutions and nonaffiliated third parties. More specifically, the regulations require

financial institutions to:

provide initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal financial information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;

Table of Contents

provide annual notices of their privacy policies to their current customers;

provide a reasonable method for consumers to opt out of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

Affiliate Transactions

Transactions between Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and its affiliates are governed by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. An affiliate of a bank or savings institution is any company or entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the bank or savings institution. Generally, a subsidiary of a depository institution that is not also a depository institution is not treated as an affiliate of the bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B. Sections 23A and 23B are intended to protect insured depository institutions from suffering losses arising from transactions with non-insured affiliates, by limiting the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in covered transactions with any one affiliate and with all affiliates of the bank in the aggregate, and requiring that such transactions be on terms that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

The USA Patriot Act

The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA Patriot Act) broadened the application of anti-money laundering regulations to apply to additional types of financial institutions, such as broker-dealers, and strengthened the ability of the U.S. government to detect and prosecute international money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The principal provisions of Title III of the USA Patriot Act require that regulated financial institutions, including state-chartered banks:

establish an anti-money laundering program that includes training and audit components;

comply with regulations regarding the verification of the identity of any person seeking to open an account;

take additional required precautions with non-U.S. owned accounts; and

perform certain verification and certification of money laundering risk for their foreign correspondent banking relationships.

The USA Patriot Act also expanded the conditions under which funds in a U.S. interbank account may be subject to forfeiture and increased the penalties for violation of anti-money laundering regulations. Failure of a financial institution to comply with the USA Patriot Act's requirements could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution. Mid Penn Bank has adopted policies, procedures and controls to address compliance with the requirements of the USA Patriot Act under the existing regulations and will continue to revise and update its policies, procedures and controls to reflect changes required by the USA Patriot Act and implementing regulations.

Anti-Money Laundering and Anti-Terrorism Financing

Under Title III of the USA Patriot Act, also known as the International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorism Financing Act of 2001, all financial institutions, including Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, are required in general to identify their customers, adopt formal and comprehensive anti-money laundering programs, scrutinize or

prohibit altogether certain transactions of special concern, and be prepared to respond to inquiries from U.S. law enforcement agencies concerning their customers and their transactions. Additional information-sharing among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement authorities is encouraged for financial institutions that comply with this provision and the authorization of the Secretary of the Treasury to adopt rules to further encourage cooperation and information-sharing. The effectiveness of a financial institution in combating money-laundering activities is a factor to be considered in any application submitted by the financial institution under the Bank Merger Act, which applies to Mid Penn Bank.

Table of Contents

JOBS Act

In 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the JOBS Act) became law. The JOBS Act is aimed at facilitating capital raising by smaller companies, banks, and bank holding companies by implementing the following changes:

raising the threshold requiring registration under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) for banks and bank holdings companies from 500 to 2,000 holders of record;

raising the threshold for triggering deregistration under the Exchange Act for banks and bank holding companies from 300 to 1,200 holders of record;

raising the limit for Regulation A offerings from \$5 million to \$50 million per year and exempting some Regulation A offerings from state blue sky laws;

permitting advertising and general solicitation in Rule 506 and Rule 144A offerings;

allowing private companies to use crowdfunding to raise up to \$1 million in any 12-month period, subject to certain conditions; and

creating a new category of issuer, called an Emerging Growth Company, for companies with less than \$1 billion in annual gross revenue, which will benefit from certain changes that reduce the cost and burden of carrying out an equity IPO and complying with public company reporting obligations for up to five years.

Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Act, which became law in July 2010, significantly changed regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. Dodd-Frank created a Financial Services Oversight Council to identify emerging systemic risks and improve interagency cooperation, and centralized responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which is responsible for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws. Dodd-Frank also permanently raised the current standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000, established strengthened capital standards for banks, disallowed certain trust preferred securities from qualifying as Tier 1 Capital (subject to certain grandfather provisions for existing trust preferred securities), established new minimum mortgage underwriting standards, granted the Federal Reserve the power to regulate debit card interchange fees, and implemented corporate governance changes.

Effects of Government Policy and Potential Changes in Regulation

Changes in regulations applicable to Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank, or shifts in monetary or other government policies, could have a material effect on our business. Mid Penn's and Mid Penn Bank's business is also affected by the state of the financial services industry in general. As a result of legal and industry changes, management believes that the industry will continue to experience an increased rate of change as the financial services industry strives for greater

product offerings, market share and economies of scale.

From time to time, legislation is enacted that has the effect of increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial institutions. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of banks, bank holding companies and other financial institutions are frequently made in Congress, and before various bank regulatory agencies. Mid Penn cannot predict the likelihood of any major changes or the impact such changes might have on Mid Penn and/or Mid Penn Bank. Various congressional bills and other proposals have proposed a sweeping overhaul of the banking system, including provisions for: limitations on deposit insurance coverage; changing the timing and method financial institutions use to pay for deposit insurance; expanding the power of banks by removing the restrictions on bank underwriting activities; and changing the regulation of bank derivatives activities; and allowing commercial enterprises to own banks. As a consequence of the extensive

Table of Contents

regulation of commercial banking activities in the United States, Mid Penn Bank's business is particularly susceptible to being affected by federal legislation and regulations that may increase the costs of doing business. Whether any legislation will be enacted or additional regulations will be adopted, and how they might impact Mid Penn, cannot be determined at this time.

Mid Penn's earnings are, and will be affected by, domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have had, and will likely continue to have, an impact on the operating results of commercial banks because of the Federal Reserve's power to implement national monetary policy to, among other things, promote employment, control inflation or combat recession. The Federal Reserve has a major impact on the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States government securities and through its regulation of, among other things, the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirements against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature and impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Environmental Laws

Management does not anticipate that compliance with environmental laws and regulations will have any material effect on Mid Penn's capital, expenditures, earnings, or competitive position. However, environmentally related hazards have become a source of high risk and liability for some financial institutions.

Additionally, the Pennsylvania Economic Development Agency, Fiduciary and Lender Environmental Liability Protection Act provides, among other things, protection to lenders from environmental liability and remediation costs under the environmental laws for releases and contamination caused by others. A lender who engages in activities involved in the routine practices of commercial lending, including, but not limited to, the providing of financial services, holding of security interests, workout practices, foreclosure or the recovery of funds from the sale of property shall not be liable under the environmental acts or common law equivalents to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources or to any other person by virtue of the fact that the lender engages in such commercial lending practice. A lender, however, will be liable if it, its employees or agents, directly cause an immediate release or directly exacerbate a release of regulated substance on or from the property, or known and willfully compelled the borrower to commit an action which caused such release or violate an environmental act. The Pennsylvania Economic Development Agency, Fiduciary and Lender Environmental Liability Protection Act does not limit federal liability which still exists under certain circumstances.

Corporate Governance

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations adopted by the SEC and NASDAQ address the following issues: corporate governance, auditor independence and accounting standards, executive compensation, insider loans, whistleblower protection, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. Mid Penn has established policies, procedures, and systems designed to promote compliance with these regulations.

Available Information

Mid Penn's common stock is registered under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is traded on NASDAQ under the trading symbol MPB. Mid Penn is subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act, and, accordingly, files reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. The reports, proxy statements and other information filed with the SEC are available for inspection and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549.

You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Mid Penn is an electronic filer with the SEC. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC's Internet site address is www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Mid Penn's headquarters are located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061, and its telephone number is 1-866-642-7736. Mid Penn's website is midpennbank.com. Mid Penn makes available through its website, free of charge, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably possible after filing with the SEC. Mid Penn has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all employees. This document is also available on Mid Penn's website. The information included on our website is not considered a part of this document.

Properties

Mid Penn Bank owns a building in Millersburg, Pennsylvania, located at 349 Union Street, which serves as its headquarters and the executive and administrative offices of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank also owns one building in Halifax, Pennsylvania that serves as an operational support facility. In addition, Mid Penn Bank leases two buildings in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania that serve as additional administrative and operational support offices. Administrative space is also leased in Pottsville, Lancaster, and Chambersburg, Pennsylvania. Mid Penn Bank's retail office network is comprised of 21 full service locations and two ATM only sites at December 31, 2016. Eleven retail banking locations are located in Dauphin County, five in Schuylkill County, three in Cumberland County, two in Lancaster County, one in Northumberland County, and one in Luzerne County. As of December 31, 2016, retail banking facilities at sixteen locations were owned, while seven were leased. On January 20, 2017, Mid Penn Bank consummated the sale of three retail banking properties held for sale. Two of the properties are being leased back from the buyer, while the operations of the third location will be moved to a nearby leased facility. All real estate owned is free and clear of encumbrances. Mid Penn's operating leases expire at various dates through the year 2035 and generally include options to renew.

Legal Proceedings

Mid Penn is not aware of any litigation that would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of Mid Penn. Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank have no proceedings pending other than ordinary routine litigation occurring in the normal course of business. In addition, management does not know of any material proceedings contemplated by governmental authorities against Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank or any of its properties.

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mid Penn

Critical Accounting Estimates

Mid Penn's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and conform to general practices within the banking industry for smaller reporting public companies. Application of these principles involves significant judgments and estimates by management that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. The judgments and estimates that we used are based on historical experiences and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and estimates that we have made, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the reported results of our operations.

Management of Mid Penn considers the accounting judgments relating to the allowance for loan and lease losses, the evaluation of Mid Penn's investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment, the valuation of Mid Penn's goodwill for impairment, and the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective and complex judgments.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of probable incurred credit losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan and lease portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated balance sheet. Throughout the remainder of this report, the terms "loan" or "loans" refers to both loans and leases.

Valuations for the investment portfolio are determined using quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, investment valuation is based on pricing models, quotes for similar investment securities, and observable values based upon yield curves and spreads. In addition to valuation, management must assess whether there are any declines in value below the carrying value of the investments that should be considered other than temporary or otherwise require an adjustment in carrying value and recognition of the loss in the consolidated statement of income.

Goodwill recorded in connection with acquisitions is tested annually for impairment. If certain events occur which indicate goodwill might be impaired between annual tests, goodwill must be tested when such events occur. In making this assessment, Mid Penn considers a number of factors including operating results, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows, current market data, stock price, etc. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and Mid Penn's judgment in applying them to the analysis of goodwill impairment. Changes in economic and operating conditions could result in goodwill impairment in future periods.

Valuations of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations are measured at fair value as of the acquisition date. In many cases, determining the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed requires Mid Penn to estimate the timing and amount of cash flows expected to result from these assets and liabilities and to discount these cash flows at appropriate rates of interest, which require the utilization of significant estimates and judgment in accounting for the acquisition.

Financial Summary

The comparability of the results of operations for the years ended 2016 and 2015, in general, have been impacted by the acquisition of Phoenix. The reported results for the year ended December 31, 2015 included only ten months of operating results related to the Phoenix acquisition, which closed on March 1, 2015, versus 2016 including twelve months of Phoenix-related results. The consolidated earnings of Mid Penn are derived primarily from the operations of its wholly owned subsidiary, Mid Penn Bank.

2016 versus 2015

Mid Penn's net income available to common shareholders (earnings) of \$7,804,000 for the year 2016 reflects an increase of \$1,766,000 or 29 percent, over earnings of \$6,038,000 for the year 2015. This represents earnings in 2016 of \$1.85 per common share, basic and diluted, compared to \$1.47 per common share basic and diluted in 2015.

Total assets of Mid Penn grew \$100,961,000 or 11 percent, in 2016 to close the year at \$1,032,599,000 compared to total assets of \$931,638,000 at the end of 2015. This increase was impacted by strong growth in the loan portfolio, as well as in deposits, which led to an increase in Federal Funds Sold. For the year ended December 31, 2016, total deposits increased \$158,330,000 or 20 percent to \$935,373,000 while the loan portfolio increased \$77,411,000 or 11 percent, to \$813,924,000 at December 31, 2016. Over the last twelve months, all deposit categories increased, mainly due to both strong retail branch deposit growth and cash management sales efforts. Mid Penn was in a Federal

Funds Sold position of \$30,477,000 at December 31, 2016, while it was in a short-term borrowing position of \$31,596,000 at December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2016, long-term debt decreased by \$26,724,000 or 66 percent, to \$13,581,000 by the end of the year. Mid Penn was able to take advantage of the growth in deposits as a lower cost funding source. The growth in deposits also allowed Mid Penn the opportunity to prepay \$16,500,000 in long-term FHLB Advances in 2016.

Mid Penn's return on average shareholders' equity, (ROE), a widely recognized performance indicator in the financial industry, was 10.71% in 2016 and 9.16% in 2015. Return on average assets (ROA), another performance indicator, was 0.78% in 2016 and 0.74% in 2015.

Net interest margin was 3.82% in 2016 versus 4.03% in 2015. Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased to \$36,470,000 in 2016 from \$33,806,000 in 2015. Included in net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$167,000 in income from the successful resolution of two legacy Phoenix loans acquired with credit deterioration and \$558,000 in income for the year ended December 31, 2015 from the successful resolution of six legacy Phoenix loans acquired with credit deterioration. The income was the result of recognizing the remaining accretable and nonaccretable discounts on these loans. Further discussion of net interest margin can be found in the Net Interest Income section below.

Total nonperforming assets decreased \$303,000 from \$6,062,000 at the end of 2015 to \$5,759,000 at the end of 2016. The decline of \$961,000 in foreclosed real estate held for sale from \$1,185,000 at December 31, 2015 to \$224,000 at December 31, 2016 was the leading source of improvement in nonperforming assets. Further discussion of these components can be found in the Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses section below.

Net charge-offs decreased to \$855,000 in 2016 from \$1,613,000 during 2015. Gross charge-offs decreased \$677,000 from \$1,784,000 in 2015 to \$1,107,000 in 2016. Mid Penn increased the provision for loan and lease losses from \$1,065,000 in 2015 to \$1,870,000 in 2016. The increase in the loan loss provision for 2016 compared to 2015 was largely driven by the growth in the loan portfolio, as well as an increase in specific allocations on impaired loans. Further discussion of these issues can be found in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses section below.

Mid Penn's Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets) of \$70,431,000 or 9.1%, and Total Capital (to risk weighted assets) of \$85,148,000 or 11.0%, at December 31, 2016, are above the regulatory requirements. Tier 1 Capital consists primarily of Mid Penn's shareholders' equity. Total Capital also includes Mid Penn's qualifying subordinated debt and the allowance for loan and lease losses, within permitted limits. Risk-weighted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

2015 versus 2014

Mid Penn's earnings of \$6,038,000 for the year 2015 reflects an increase of \$687,000 or 13 percent, over earnings of \$5,351,000 for the year 2014. This represents earnings in 2015 of \$1.47 per common share compared to \$1.53 per common share in 2014.

Total assets of Mid Penn grew \$176,067,000 or 23 percent, in 2015 to close the year at \$931,724,000 compared to \$755,657,000 at the end of 2014. This increase was impacted by the inclusion of Phoenix's assets on Mid Penn's balance sheet, as well as growth in the loan portfolio, which increased \$164,980,000 or 29 percent, to \$736,513,000. Loans attributable to Phoenix included in the growth of the loan portfolio were \$91,655,000.

Total deposits increased \$139,121,000 or 22 percent, from \$637,922,000 at the end of 2014 to \$777,043,000 at December 31, 2015. Over the last twelve months, all deposit categories increased, mainly due to the inclusion of Phoenix's deposits, but also due to strong cash management and retail efforts. Long-term debt decreased by

\$12,656,000 or 24 percent, to \$40,305,000 by the end of 2015. Mid Penn increased its short-term borrowing position by \$31,018,000 to \$31,596,000 at the end of 2015 as a low-cost funding source to fund the increased loan demand and to replace the long-term debt that matured in 2015.

Table of Contents

Mid Penn's ROE, a widely recognized performance indicator in the financial industry, was 9.16% in 2015 and 9.95% in 2014. ROA, another performance indicator, was 0.74% in 2015 and 0.78% in 2014.

Performance in 2015 was influenced by the March 1, 2015 acquisition of Phoenix, which resulted in increased earning assets and shareholders' equity, as well as an improved cost of funds. Mid Penn also had improvement in nonperforming loans and consistent management of controllable expenses throughout 2015.

Net interest margin improved to 4.03% in 2015 from 3.99% in 2014. This improvement was driven by a decrease in the rate on supporting liabilities to 0.64% in 2015 from 0.71% in 2014, which allowed the average interest spread to increase to 3.94% from 3.91% in 2014. Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased to \$33,806,000 in 2015 from \$27,968,000 in 2014. Included in the net interest income increase for the year ended December 31, 2015 is \$558,000 in income from the successful resolution of six legacy Phoenix loans acquired with credit deterioration. The income was the result of recognizing the remaining accretable and nonaccretable discounts on these loans. Further discussion of net interest margin can be found in the Net Interest Income section below.

Total nonperforming assets decreased \$5,445,000 from \$11,507,000 in 2014 to \$6,062,000 at the end of 2015. Decreasing nonaccrual loans were the leading source of improvement in nonperforming assets. Two nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans to unrelated borrowers that totaled \$4,680,000 at December 31, 2014, were resolved in 2015, further aiding in the reduction in nonperforming assets. Further discussion of these components can be found in the Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses section.

Net charge-offs increased to \$1,613,000 in 2015 from \$1,218,000 during 2014. Gross charge-offs increased \$456,000 from \$1,328,000 in 2014 to \$1,784,000 in 2015 mainly due to the impact of two large charge-offs to unrelated borrowers in 2015 totaling \$1,461,000. Mid Penn decreased the provision for loan and lease losses from \$1,617,000 in 2014 to \$1,065,000 in 2015. This was largely driven by decreasing balances of nonperforming assets within the portfolio. Further discussion of these issues can be found in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses section below.

Mid Penn's Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets) of \$64,089,000 or 9.1%, and Total Capital (to risk weighted assets) of \$77,852,000, or 11.0%, at December 31, 2015, are above the regulatory requirements. Tier 1 Capital consists primarily of Mid Penn's shareholders' equity. Total Capital also includes Mid Penn's qualifying subordinated debt and the allowance for loan and lease losses, within permitted limits. Risk-weighted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, Mid Penn's primary source of earnings, represents the difference between interest income received on loans, investments, and overnight funds, and interest expense paid on deposits and short- and long-term borrowings. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and changes in average balances (volume) in the various interest-sensitive assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

**TABLE 1: AVERAGE BALANCES, EFFECTIVE INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL AND
INTEREST YIELDS**

Income and Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis for Years Ended

(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates
ASSETS:									
Interest Bearing Balances	\$ 2,559	\$ 12	0.47%	\$ 6,377	\$ 44	0.69%	\$ 6,839	\$ 41	0.60%
Investment Securities									
Taxable	79,277	1,515	1.91%	67,382	1,604	2.38%	62,214	1,501	2.41%
Tax-Exempt	80,451	3,130 (a)	3.89%	69,996	3,031 (a)	4.33%	74,508	3,303 (a)	4.43%
Total Securities	159,728			137,378			136,722		
Federal Funds Sold	16,848	82	0.49%	535	2	0.37%	30		0.00%
Loans and Leases, Net	772,877	36,963 (b)	4.78%	689,870	33,483 (b)	4.85%	554,970	27,427 (b)	4.94%
Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks	2,751	135	4.91%	3,751	249	6.64%	3,063	123	4.02%
Total Earning Assets	954,763	41,837	4.38%	837,911	38,413	4.58%	701,624	32,395	4.62%
Cash and Due from Banks	12,791			13,263			8,460		
Other Assets	33,898			32,754			24,152		
Total Assets	1,001,452			883,928			734,236		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:									
Interest-bearing Demand Money	293,745	1,009	0.34%	\$ 238,141	804	0.34%	\$ 216,656	777	0.36%
Market Savings	235,561	1,315	0.56%	208,693	1,122	0.54%	201,281	1,088	0.54%
Time	59,615	34	0.06%	52,895	31	0.06%	30,953	16	0.05%
	172,657	2,156	1.25%	154,335	1,932	1.25%	127,071	1,971	1.55%

Total Interest-bearing Deposits									
	761,578	4,514		654,064	3,889		575,961	3,852	
Short-term Borrowings									
	2,370	15	0.63%	13,184	47	0.36%	14,813	55	0.37%
Long-term Debt									
	28,474	442	1.55%	50,642	648	1.28%	30,889	520	1.68%
Subordinated Debt									
	7,431	396	5.33%	625	23	3.68%			NA
Total Interest-bearing Liabilities									
	799,853	5,367	0.67%	718,515	4,607	0.64%	621,663	4,427	0.71%
Noninterest-bearing Demand									
	120,244			87,474			49,814		
Other Liabilities									
	8,462			6,691			5,491		
Shareholders Equity									
	72,893			71,248			57,268		
Total Liabilities & Shareholders Equity									
	\$ 1,001,452			\$ 883,928			\$ 734,236		
Net Interest Income									
	\$ 36,470			\$ 33,806			\$ 27,968		
Total Yield on Earning Assets									
			4.38%			4.58%			4.62%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities									
			0.67%			0.64%			0.71%
Average Interest Spread									
			3.71%			3.94%			3.91%
Net Interest Margin									
			3.82%			4.03%			3.99%

(a) includes tax equivalent adjustments on tax-free municipal securities of \$1,064,000, \$1,031,000, and \$1,123,000 for the years 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively

(b) includes tax equivalent adjustments on tax-free municipal loans of \$561,000, \$643,000, and \$522,000 for the years 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively

Table of Contents

Interest and average rates in Table 1 above are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis, using an effective tax rate of 34%. For purposes of calculating loan yields, average loan balances include nonaccrual loans.

Loan fees of \$1,097,000, \$666,000, and \$749,000 are included with loan interest income in Table 1 above for the years 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.

TABLE 2: VOLUME ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME

<i>(Dollars in thousands on a Taxable Equivalent Basis)</i>	2016 Compared to 2015			2015 Compared to 2014		
	Increase (Decrease)			Increase (Decrease)		
	Due to Change In			Due to Change In		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
INTEREST INCOME:	\$ (26)	\$ (6)	\$ (32)	\$ (3)	\$ 6	\$ 3
Interest Bearing Balances						
Investment Securities:						
Taxable	283	(372)	(89)	125	(22)	103
Tax-Exempt	453	(354)	99	(200)	(72)	(272)
Total Investment Securities	736	(726)	10	(75)	(94)	(169)
Federal Funds Sold	61	19	80		2	2
Loans and Leases, Net	4,029	(549)	3,480	6,667	(611)	6,056
Restricted Investment Bank Stocks	(66)	(48)	(114)	28	98	126
Total Interest Income	4,734	(1,310)	3,424	6,617	(599)	6,018
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Interest Bearing Deposits:						
NOW	188	17	205	77	(50)	27
Money Market	145	48	193	41	(7)	34
Savings		3	3		15	15
Time	229	(5)	224	422	(461)	(39)
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	562	63	625	540	(503)	37
Short-term Borrowings	(39)	7	(32)	(6)	(2)	(8)
Long-Term Debt	(284)	78	(206)	333	(205)	128
Subordinated Debt	250	123	373		23	23
Total Interest Expense	489	271	760	867	(687)	180
NET INTEREST INCOME	\$ 4,245	\$ (1,581)	\$ 2,664	\$ 5,750	\$ 88	\$ 5,838

The effect of changing volume and rate has been allocated entirely to the rate column. Tax-exempt income is shown on a tax equivalent basis assuming a federal income tax rate of 34%.

During 2016, taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$2,664,000 or 8 percent compared to 2015. During 2015, taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$5,838,000 or 21 percent compared to 2014, with much of this

increase attributable to the impact of the Phoenix acquisition. The average balances, effective interest differential, and interest yields for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 and the components of net interest income, are presented in Table 1. A comparative presentation of the changes in net interest income for 2016 compared to 2015, and 2015 compared to 2014, is provided in Table 2. This analysis indicates the changes in interest income and interest expense caused by the volume and rate components of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

The yield on earning assets decreased to 4.38% in 2016 from 4.58% in 2015. The yield on earning assets for 2014 was 4.62%. The decline in the yield on earning assets in 2016 was softened by the increase in both

Table of Contents

investment and loan volume. The increased volume helped offset the impact of the decline in the average rate. The average rate on loans decreased from 4.85% in 2015 to 4.78% in 2016. The average rates on investment securities also declined from 2015 to 2016. Taxable investments decreased from 2.38% to 1.91% and tax-exempt investments decreased from 4.33% to 3.89%. This decline in investment yields was generally the result of matured, called, and sold bond proceeds being reinvested at lower market rates. The average prime rate for 2016 was 3.50%, while it was 3.25% in both 2015 and 2014.

Interest expense increased by \$760,000 or 16 percent, in 2016 as compared to 2015. For the year ending December 31, 2015, interest expense increased \$180,000 or 4 percent, compared to 2014. The cost of interest bearing liabilities increased to 0.67% in 2016 from 0.64% in 2015. The cost of interest bearing liabilities for 2014 was 0.71%. While the continued low interest rate environment, along with Mid Penn's ability to replace higher-cost time deposits with lower-cost demand deposits, aided in maintaining a low cost of interest bearing liabilities in 2016, the increase in the volume of interest bearing liabilities of \$81,338,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016, including a full year of the subordinated debt interest expense, led to the overall increase in interest expense.

Included in the net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$167,000 in income from the successful resolution of two legacy Phoenix loans acquired with credit deterioration. Similarly, \$558,000 in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2015 was realized from the successful resolution of six legacy Phoenix loans acquired with credit deterioration. The income was the result of recognizing the remaining accretable and nonaccretable discounts on these loans.

Net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, was 3.82% in 2016 compared to 4.03% in 2015 and 3.99% in 2014. The interest rate impact of earning assets and funding sources due to changes in interest rates can be reasonably estimated at current interest rate levels, however, the options selected by customers. The future mix of the loan, investment, and deposit products in Mid Penn Bank's portfolios may significantly change the estimates used in the simulation models. In addition, our net interest income may be impacted by further interest rate actions of the Federal Reserve. Management continues to monitor the net interest margin closely.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses is the expense necessary to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level adequate to absorb management's estimate of probable losses in the loan and lease portfolio. Mid Penn's provision for loan and lease losses is based upon management's monthly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans and leases, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan and lease growth, evaluate actual and potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets we serve.

Mid Penn has maintained the allowance for loan and lease losses in accordance with Mid Penn's assessment process, which took into consideration the risk characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio and shifting collateral values from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the provision for loan and lease losses was \$1,870,000 compared to \$1,065,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans was 0.88% at December 31, 2016, compared to 0.83% at December 31, 2015 and 1.18% at December 31, 2014. This ratio for December 31, 2015 and 2016 was impacted by the inclusion of the Phoenix loan portfolio in the calculation, coupled with the elimination of Phoenix's allowance for loan and lease losses in conformity with GAAP purchase accounting treatment.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, Mid Penn had net charge-offs of \$855,000 compared to net charge-offs of \$1,613,000 during the year ended December 31, 2015. Loans charged off during 2016 were comprised of one

commercial and industrial loan for \$820,000 and ten commercial real estate loans among eight relationships totaling \$216,000. In addition, there were charge-offs for three consumer loans to unrelated borrowers totaling

Table of Contents

\$25,000, one home equity loan for \$25,000, and three residential real estate loans among two relationships totaling \$4,000. The remaining \$17,000 was comprised of deposit account charge-offs. These charge-offs were offset by total recoveries of \$252,000 realized during 2016.

Mid Penn may need to make future adjustments to the allowance and the provision for loan and lease losses if economic conditions or loan credit quality differs substantially from the assumptions used in making Mid Penn's evaluation of the level of the allowance for loan losses as compared to the balance of outstanding loans.

Several factors contributed to the increase in the loan loss provision expense in 2016 compared to 2015. The first element was the increase in specific allocations on criticized and classified assets during 2016. While these categories of loans declined \$2,299,000 or 14 percent from \$16,286,000 at the end 2015 to \$13,987,000 at December 31, 2016, the specific allocations required on this pool increased \$355,000 from \$503,000 at December 31, 2015 to \$858,000 at the end of 2016. The second element was an increase in both the special mention and substandard historical loss factors. These elements of the allowance calculation increased to 4.5% and 4.8% at December 31, 2016 from 2.2% and 4.2% at the end of 2015. The increased loss factors were the result of less favorable loss history during the look-back period. Finally, the overall growth in the loan portfolio of \$76,692,000 or 10 percent, drove increased levels of both quantitative and qualitative allocations of \$47,000 and \$578,000, respectively during 2016.

A summary of charge-offs and recoveries of loans and leases are presented in Table 3.

TABLE 3: ANALYSIS OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 6,168	\$ 6,716	\$ 6,317	\$ 5,509	\$ 6,772
Loans and leases charged off:					
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	216	1,569	1,057	936	499
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	820	130	62	183	834
Real estate residential	4	35	133	167	195
Consumer	67	50	76	187	860
Total loans and leases charged off	1,107	1,784	1,328	1,473	2,388
Recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off:					
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	211	75	13	286	15
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	4	12	13	193	31
Real estate residential	26	44	20	23	
Consumer	11	40	64	92	43
Total loans and leases recovered	252	171	110	596	89
Net charge-offs	855	1,613	1,218	877	2,299
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,870	1,065	1,617	1,685	1,036
Balance, end of year	\$ 7,183	\$ 6,168	\$ 6,716	\$ 6,317	\$ 5,509

Table of Contents

	Years ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Ratio of net charge-offs during the year to average loans and leases outstanding during the year, net of unearned discount	0.11%	0.23%	0.22%	0.17%	0.48%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases at December 31	0.88%	0.83%	1.18%	1.16%	1.14%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of non-performing assets at December 31	124.73%	101.75%	58.36%	49.84%	42.05%

*Noninterest Income*2016 versus 2015

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, noninterest income (excluding net securities gains of \$1,046,000) increased \$1,090,000 or 29 percent, versus the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 (excluding net security gains of \$325,000). Items of particular note are detailed below.

During 2016, Mid Penn took advantage of increased market values on securities to reposition some of its investment portfolio, including selling a large volume of longer-term and rate-sensitive CMOs, as well as certain municipal bonds and agency notes. Mid Penn realized \$1,046,000 in net securities gains during 2016 as a result of these investment management activities. In comparison, during 2015, Mid Penn realized \$325,000 from net gains on sales of securities.

Mortgage banking income more than doubled to \$922,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, as compared to \$456,000 for the same period in 2015. Increased residential real estate financing activity throughout Mid Penn's footprint, favorably low mortgage market interest rates, and the addition of seasoned loan originators collectively contributed to the increased revenue from this business line.

Mid Penn also experienced increased origination and sales activity in Small Business Administration (SBA) loans, resulting in gains of \$470,000 from related loan sale gains during the twelve months of 2016, an increase of \$218,000 or 87 percent compared to SBA loan sales gains of \$252,000 for the same period in 2015. More qualified borrowers continue to take advantage of Mid Penn's Preferred Lender status with the SBA.

Mid Penn also recognized \$317,000 in merchant services income during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$82,000 or 35 percent compared to \$235,000 of merchant services income for the same period in 2015. This increase reflects the efforts of the commercial and retail sales team to continue enrolling new participating merchants throughout Mid Penn's markets.

Other noninterest income increased \$217,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2015. Included in 2016 other income was \$86,000 from the gain on the sale of insurance policies upon the dissolution of Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC, a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn Bank, effective March 1, 2016. The decision was made to liquidate the subsidiary due to the lack of consistent profitability and growth.

2015 versus 2014

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, noninterest income, excluding securities gains of \$325,000 increased \$682,000 or 22 percent, versus the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, excluding security gains of \$168,000. The twelve months ended December 31, 2015 was positively impacted by the addition of Phoenix to the income stream. Items of particular note are detailed below.

Table of Contents

Income from fiduciary activities for 2015 was \$466,000, an \$86,000 or 16 percent decrease from \$552,000 in 2014. This is primarily attributable to a change in the commission structure on the sale of third-party mutual funds and annuities to Mid Penn Bank's retail and commercial customers. Assets under management in the areas of Trust and Wealth Management decreased from \$46,859,000 at the end of 2014 to \$42,891,000 at the end of 2015 and are not a component of Mid Penn's consolidated balance sheets.

Mid Penn recognized gains on sale of investment securities in 2015 of \$325,000 as a result of efforts to better align the portfolio for a rising interest rate environment, up \$157,000 from the \$168,000 recorded in 2014.

Earnings on bank-owned life insurance increased \$68,000 or 34 percent, to \$269,000 during 2015 over earnings of \$201,000 in 2014. This increase was from the addition of a pool of employee split-dollar policies acquired in the Phoenix acquisition.

Mortgage banking activity increased \$143,000 or 46 percent to \$456,000 during 2014 from \$313,000 in 2014. Improved real estate activity throughout Mid Penn's footprint and favorable interest rate conditions have contributed to increasing revenue from this business line.

Mid Penn has experienced significant activity in SBA loans during 2015 as more qualified borrowers have taken advantage of Mid Penn's Preferred Lender status with the SBA. During 2015, this business activity generated \$252,000 in fee income, an increase of \$133,000 or 112 percent versus the twelve months ended December 31, 2014.

An increase in Letter of Credit fees of \$40,000 in 2015 aided in the increase in other income of \$140,000 or 27 percent from 2014.

TABLE 4: NONINTEREST INCOME

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Income from fiduciary activities	\$ 481	\$ 466	\$ 552
Service charges on deposits	684	690	584
Net gain on sales of investment securities	1,046	325	168
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	264	269	201
Mortgage banking income	922	456	313
ATM debit card interchange income	844	741	544
Merchant services income	317	235	254
Gain on sales of SBA loans	470	252	119
Other income	896	679	549
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 5,924	\$ 4,113	\$ 3,284

*Noninterest Expense*2016 versus 2015

During the year ended December 31, 2016, noninterest expenses totaled \$28,818,000, an increase of \$2,059,000 or 8 percent compared to \$26,759,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Salaries and employee benefit expenses increased \$1,521,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 versus the same period in 2015. The increase primarily was attributable to franchise expansion, including (i) the addition of employees from the March 1, 2015 Phoenix acquisition, (ii) staff added to serve in Mid Penn's branch in the Mechanicsburg, PA market, which opened in June 2015, and (iii) an increase in lending personnel, credit support staff, and executive management in alignment with Mid Penn's core banking growth.

Table of Contents

In connection with the acquisition of Phoenix, Mid Penn incurred \$762,000 of nonrecurring merger-related expenses during 2015, while no merger-related expenses were incurred in 2016.

Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense increased \$240,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 versus the same period in 2015 due to the Phoenix acquisition and the resultant increase in the capital base used to determine the annual shares tax.

Occupancy and Equipment expenses increased \$329,000 during 2016 versus 2015. The increase is primarily attributable to both added facilities from the Phoenix acquisition, newer offices in Mechanicsburg, PA and Lancaster County, PA, and increased depreciation expense on information technology related enhancements.

Mid Penn benefited from lower loan collection costs in 2016, which are reported in other expenses. These loan collection costs were \$306,000 during 2015, but decreased \$128,000 or 42 percent to \$178,000 during 2016 as the pool of nonperforming credits continued to decrease.

Included in other expenses in 2016 was a \$142,000 impairment charge recorded on an asset held for sale and \$17,000 in prepayment penalties on long-term FHLB Advances, while there were no impairment charges or prepayment penalties recorded in 2015.

2015 versus 2014

Noninterest expenses increased \$6,065,000 or 29 percent, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, versus the same period in 2014. Both periods were impacted by the addition of Phoenix to the expense stream or related merger expenses. Items of particular note are detailed below.

Salaries and employee benefits increased during the year ended December 31, 2015 by \$3,164,000 or 29 percent, versus 2014. The increase was driven by the addition of the Phoenix employees to Mid Penn's employee pool, an increase in staffing levels due to Mid Penn's entry into the Lancaster County and Mechanicsburg markets, and an increase in lending personnel and support staff to augment the expanding reach of Mid Penn.

Occupancy expense increased \$634,000 to \$1,947,000 in 2015. In addition to the occupancy expenses from the three leased and three owned properties acquired in the Phoenix transaction, this increase was impacted by the inclusion of rent for the new Corporate Administration offices on North Front Street in Harrisburg, and the new Elizabethtown and Simpson Ferry Road branch offices.

Legal and professional fees increased \$72,000 or 14 percent, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. This was due to the increase in consultant fees incurred for cyber penetration testing of Mid Penn's computer network, implementation of Mid Penn's mobile banking app, routine legal fees generated through the normal conduct of business, and the periodic examination of potential merger and acquisition opportunities as they became available.

Marketing and advertising expense increased \$225,000 in 2015 to \$533,000 from \$308,000 in 2014. This increase is primarily the result of rebranding efforts in the Phoenix footprint to bring Mid Penn's style of community banking to the new region.

Equipment, Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax, software licensing, telephone, and other expenses all saw increases related to the inclusion of Phoenix's normal operating expenses to Mid Penn's expense stream year-to-date.

Merger and acquisition expenses in connection with the acquisition of Phoenix increased \$189,000 to \$762,000 in 2015 versus \$573,000 in 2014. All expenses in connection with the merger have been recognized.

Table of Contents

TABLE 5: NONINTEREST EXPENSE

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 15,564	\$ 14,043	\$ 10,879
Occupancy expense, net	2,064	1,947	1,313
Equipment expense	1,689	1,477	1,205
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	648	408	365
FDIC Assessment	688	613	542
Legal and professional fees	711	588	516
Marketing and advertising expense	500	533	308
Software licensing	1,380	1,472	965
Telephone expense	548	569	467
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed assets	217	111	204
Intangible amortization	126	114	63
Merger and acquisition expense		762	573
ATM debit card processing expense	440	334	310
Internet banking expense	528	429	319
Director fees and benefits expense	340	363	377
Loan collection costs	178	306	288
Meals, travel, and lodging expense	428	399	273
Data processing	341	346	251
Insurance	178	186	141
OREO expense	248	255	172
Investor services	85	96	72
Other expenses	1,917	1,408	1,101
Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 28,818	\$ 26,759	\$ 20,704

Investments

Mid Penn's investment portfolio is utilized primarily to provide liquidity and collateral, while also being managed to provide additional interest income within reasonable risk parameters.

Mid Penn's entire portfolio of investment securities at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were considered available for sale. As such, the investments are recorded at fair value. The investments are valued at a market price relative to investments of the same type with similar maturity dates. As the interest rate environment of these securities changes, the value of securities changes accordingly.

At December 31, 2016, the unrealized loss on investment securities resulted in a decrease in shareholders' equity of \$2,919,000 (unrealized loss on securities of \$4,423,000 less deferred income tax benefit of \$1,504,000). At December 31, 2015, the unrealized gain on investment securities resulted in an increase in shareholders' equity of \$1,565,000 (unrealized gain on securities of \$2,371,000 less deferred income taxes of \$806,000). Mid Penn does not have any significant concentrations of non-governmental securities within its investment portfolio. Table 6 provides a summary of our available-for-sale investment securities.

Table of Contents

TABLE 6: FAIR VALUE OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	\$ 47,012	\$ 26,990	\$ 27,066
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	25,619	38,804	33,776
State and political subdivision obligations	58,838	66,617	79,171
Corporate debt securities	1,000	2,070	1,060
Equity securities	1,156	1,240	561
	\$ 133,625	\$ 135,721	\$ 141,634

Maturity and yield information relating to debt securities is shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7: INVESTMENT MATURITY AND YIELD

(Dollars in thousands)

As of December 31, 2016	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years thru Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	\$	\$ 13,742	\$ 30,834	\$ 2,436	\$ 47,012
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	741	5,165	17,596	2,117	25,619
State and political subdivision obligations	1,305	4,729	33,061	19,743	58,838
Corporate debt securities		1,000			1,000
Equity securities		100		1,056	1,156
	\$ 2,046	\$ 24,736	\$ 81,491	\$ 25,352	\$ 133,625

Weighted Average Yields	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years thru Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies		1.83%	2.00%	2.64%	1.98%
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	4.22%	2.79%	2.14%	3.30%	2.43%
State and political subdivision obligations	5.22%	3.40%	4.35%	3.21%	3.91%
Corporate debt securities		4.75%			4.75%
Equity securities		2.03%		4.14%	3.96%
	4.86%	2.25%	2.98%	3.03%	2.88%

Loans

At December 31, 2016, loans and leases increased \$77,411,000 to \$813,924,000 or 11 percent, from December 31, 2015. The main driver of Mid Penn's loan growth was in the commercial loan area, specifically in commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. Mid Penn has expanded its commercial lending scope over the past few years, including adding two agricultural lenders in 2016, and has a complete team of professional lenders who focus their efforts on developing and maintaining complete business relationships.

At December 31, 2016, loans, net of unearned income, represented 83 percent of earning assets, compared to 84 percent on December 31, 2015, and 79 percent on December 31, 2014.

Mid Penn Bank's loan portfolio is diversified among individuals and small and medium-sized businesses generally located within Mid Penn Bank's primary market area of Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland, and Schuylkill Counties. Commercial real estate, construction, and land development loans are collateralized mainly by mortgages on the income-producing real estate or land involved. Commercial, industrial,

Table of Contents

and agricultural loans are primarily made to business entities and may be secured by business assets, including commercial real estate, or may be unsecured. Residential real estate loans are secured by liens on the residential property. Consumer loans include installment loans, lines of credit and home equity loans. Mid Penn Bank has no significant concentration of credit to any one borrower. Mid Penn Bank's highest concentration of credit by loan type is in commercial real estate financings.

A distribution of Mid Penn Bank's loan portfolio according to major loan classification is shown in Table 8.

TABLE 8: LOAN PORTFOLIO

	2016		2015		December 31, 2014		2013		2012	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	\$ 397,547	48.8	\$ 355,339	48.1	\$ 289,378	50.6	\$ 274,279	50.2	\$ 255,231	52.7
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	171,985	21.1	160,988	21.8	120,326	21.0	107,492	19.7	79,228	16.3
Real estate residential	240,418	29.5	216,269	29.6	159,004	27.8	160,294	29.3	143,243	29.6
Consumer	4,132	0.6	4,204	0.5	3,018	0.6	4,646	0.8	6,770	1.4
Total Loans	814,082	100.0	736,800	100.0	571,726	100.0	546,711	100.0	484,472	100.0
Unearned income	(158)		(287)		(193)		(249)		(252)	
Loans net of unearned discount	813,924		736,513		571,533		546,462		484,220	
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,183)		(6,168)		(6,716)		(6,317)		(5,509)	
Net loans	\$ 806,741		\$ 730,345		\$ 564,817		\$ 540,145		\$ 478,711	

Mid Penn's maturity and rate sensitivity information related to the loan portfolio is reflected in Table 9.

TABLE 9: LOAN MATURITY AND INTEREST SENSITIVITY

As of December 31, 2016

Total

	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years	
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	\$ 34,478	\$ 30,512	\$ 332,557	\$ 397,547
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	5,096	50,656	116,233	171,985
Real estate residential mortgages	4,976	24,215	211,227	240,418
Consumer	105	1,064	2,805	3,974
	\$ 44,655	\$ 106,447	\$ 662,822	\$ 813,924

Rate Sensitivity

Predetermined rate	\$ 21,637	\$ 49,692	\$ 123,959	\$ 195,288
Floating or adjustable rate	23,019	56,756	538,861	618,636
	\$ 44,656	\$ 106,448	\$ 662,820	813,924

Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Other than as described herein, Mid Penn does not believe there are any significant credit-related trends, events or uncertainties relating to its loan portfolio that are reasonably expected to have a material impact on future

Table of Contents

results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources. Further, based on known information, Mid Penn believes that the effects of current and past economic conditions and other unfavorable business conditions may influence certain borrowers' abilities to comply with their repayment terms. Mid Penn continues to monitor the financial strength of these borrowers constantly and does not engage in practices which may be used to artificially shield certain borrowers from the negative economic or business cycle effects that may compromise their ability to repay. Mid Penn does not normally structure construction loans with interest reserve components, or perform commercial real estate or other type of loan workouts whereby an existing loan was restructured into multiple new loans. Also, Mid Penn does not extend loans at maturity solely due to the existence of guarantees, without recognizing the credit as impaired. While the existence of a guarantee may be a mitigating factor in determining the proper level of allowance once impairment has been identified, the guarantee does not affect the impairment analysis.

TABLE 10: NONPERFORMING ASSETS

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Nonperforming Assets:					
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 4,658	\$ 4,418	\$ 8,907	\$ 10,877	\$ 11,831
Accruing troubled debt restructured loans	877	459	2,035	833	426
Total nonperforming loans	5,535	4,877	10,942	11,710	12,257
Foreclosed real estate	224	1,185	565	965	843
Total nonperforming assets	5,759	6,062	11,507	12,675	13,100
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	59	55			
	\$ 5,818	\$ 6,117	\$ 11,507	\$ 12,675	\$ 13,100
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans outstanding	0.68%	0.66%	1.91%	2.14%	2.53%
Nonperforming assets as a % of total loans outstanding and other real estate	0.71%	0.82%	2.01%	2.32%	2.71%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	129.78%	126.46%	61.37%	53.94%	44.95%

Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans prior to charging down or charging off the loan. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact and is not treated as a restructured credit. During 2016, nonperforming loans increased \$658,000 from \$4,877,000 at December 31, 2015, to \$5,535,000 at December 31, 2016 while foreclosed real estate declined \$961,000 to \$224,000 at December 31, 2016. The increase in nonperforming loans was offset by the decline in foreclosed real estate, causing total nonperforming assets to decrease by \$303,000 to \$5,759,000 at December 31, 2016 from \$6,062,000 at December 31, 2015. Increased accruing troubled debt restructured loans were the leading source of the increase in nonperforming assets. The remaining improvement has primarily been the result of well-structured workout plans.

Mid Penn's troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2016 totaled \$3,515,000 of which \$877,000 were accruing loans in compliance with the terms of the modification. \$2,638,000 of the troubled debt restructured loans are included in nonaccrual loans. As a result of the evaluation, a specific allocation, and subsequently, charge-offs

have been taken as appropriate. Further discussion of troubled debt restructured loans can be found in Note 8 to Mid Penn's Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in Item 8. As of December 31, 2016, there were no defaulted troubled debt restructured loans as all troubled debt restructured loans were current with respect to their associated forbearance agreements.

Mid Penn entered into forbearance agreements on all loans currently classified as troubled debt restructures, and these agreements have resulted in additional principal repayment. The terms of these forbearance agreements

Table of Contents

vary and may include reductions in principal payments, reductions in interest rates, and/or repayment of the loan as collateral is sold.

The following table provides additional analysis of partially charged off loans:

TABLE 11: PARTIALLY CHARGED OFF LOANS

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Period ending total loans outstanding (net of unearned income)	\$ 813,924	\$ 736,513
Allowance for loan and lease losses	7,183	6,168
Total Nonperforming loans	5,535	4,877
Nonperforming and impaired loans with partial charge-offs	1,604	1,869
Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total loans	0.20%	0.25%
Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total nonperforming loans	28.97%	38.32%
Coverage ratio net of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	182.71%	205.05%
Ratio of total allowance to total loans less nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	0.88%	0.84%

Mid Penn has not experienced any additional charge-offs on loans for which a partial charge-off had originally been taken.

Mid Penn considers a commercial loan or commercial real estate loan to be impaired when it becomes 90 days or more past due and not in the process of collection. This methodology assumes the borrower cannot or will not continue to make additional payments. At that time, the loan would likely be considered collateral dependent as the discounted cash flow (DCF) method would indicate no operating income is available for evaluating the collateral position; therefore, most impaired loans are deemed to be collateral dependent.

Mid Penn evaluates loans for charge-off on a monthly basis. Policies that govern the recommendation for charge-off are unique to the type of loan being considered. Commercial loans rated as nonaccrual or lower will first have a collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. Once the collateral evaluation has been completed, a specific allocation of allowance is made based upon the results of the evaluation. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In the event the loan is unsecured, the loan would have been charged-off at the recognition of impairment. Commercial real estate loans rated as impaired will also have an initial collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. An updated real estate valuation is ordered and the collateral evaluation is modified to reflect any variation in value. A specific allocation of allowance is made for any anticipated collateral shortfall. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). The process of charge-off for residential mortgage loans begins upon a loan becoming delinquent for 90 days and not in the process of collection. The existing appraisal is reviewed and a lien search is obtained to determine lien position and any instances of intervening liens. A new appraisal of the property will be ordered if deemed necessary by management and a collateral

evaluation is completed. The loan will then be charged down to the value indicated in the evaluation. Consumer loans are recommended for charge-off after reaching delinquency of 90 days and the loan is not in the process of collection. The collateral shortfall of the consumer loan is recommended for charge-off at this point.

As noted above, Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not

Table of Contents

restructured). In addition, Mid Penn takes a preemptive step when any commercial loan or commercial real estate loan becomes classified under its internal classification system. A preliminary collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans is prepared using the existing collateral information in the loan file. This process allows Mid Penn to review both the credit and documentation files to determine the status of the information needed to make a collateral evaluation. This collateral evaluation is preliminary but allows Mid Penn to determine if any potential collateral shortfalls exist.

Larger groups of small-balance loans, such as residential mortgages and consumer installment loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, individual consumer and residential loans are not separately identified for impairment disclosures unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as substandard nonaccrual to be impaired, and most of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, most of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate as soon as practically possible of the credit being classified as substandard non-accrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however no allowance recommendation will be made until such time as Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation. The Asset Recovery department employs an electronic tracking system to monitor the receipt of and need for updated appraisals. To date, there have been no material time lapses noted with the above processes.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to provide a fair estimate of value based on auction or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary.

For impaired loans with no valuation allowance required, Mid Penn's practice of obtaining independent third party market valuations on the subject property as soon as practically possible of being placed on nonaccrual status sometimes indicates that the loan to value ratio is sufficient to obviate the need for a specific allocation in spite of significant deterioration in real estate values in Mid Penn's primary market area. These circumstances are determined on a case by case analysis of the impaired loans.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 12 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

Mid Penn had \$6,453,000 in loans deemed impaired at December 31, 2016. Excluding \$1,231,000 in loans acquired with credit deterioration from the Phoenix acquisition, Mid Penn had several loan relationships deemed impaired with an aggregate carrying balance of \$5,222,000. This pool of loans was further broken down into a group of loans with an aggregate carrying balance of \$2,915,000 for which specific allocations totaling \$858,000 were included within the loan loss reserve for these loans. The remaining \$2,307,000 of loans required no specific allocation within the loan loss reserve. Of the \$5,222,000 of impaired loan relationships, excluding the loans acquired with credit deterioration from the Phoenix acquisition, \$3,246,000 were commercial real estate relationships, \$916,000 were residential relationships, \$860,000 were commercial real estate-construction relationships, \$140,000 were home equity

relationships, and \$60,000 were commercial and industrial relationships. There were specific loan loss reserve allocations of \$711,000 against the commercial real estate

Table of Contents

relationships, \$72,000 against commercial real estate-construction, \$68,000 against residential mortgage, \$6,000 against the commercial and industrial relationships, and \$1,000 against home equity relationships. Management currently believes that the specific reserves are adequate to cover probable future losses related to these relationships.

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established in the form of a provision expense for loan and lease losses and is reduced by loan charge-offs net of recoveries. In conjunction with an internal loan review function that operates independently of the lending function, management monitors the loan portfolio to identify risk on a monthly basis so that an appropriate allowance is maintained. Based on an evaluation of the loan portfolio, management presents a monthly review of the allowance for loan and lease losses to the Mid Penn board of directors, indicating any changes in the allowance since the last review. In making the evaluation, management considers the results of recent regulatory examinations, which typically include a review of the allowance for loan and lease losses an integral part of the examination process. As part of the examination process, federal or state regulatory agencies may require Mid Penn to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management.

In establishing the allowance, management evaluates on a quantitative basis individual classified loans and nonaccrual loans, and determines an aggregate reserve for those loans based on that review. In addition, an allowance for the remainder of the loan and lease portfolio is determined based on historical loss experience within certain components of the portfolio. These allocations may be modified if current conditions indicate that loan and lease losses may differ from historical experience.

In addition, a portion of the allowance is established for losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio which have not been identified by the quantitative processes described above. This determination inherently involves a higher degree of subjectivity, and considers risk factors that may not have yet manifested themselves in historical loss experience. These factors include:

changes in international, national, regional, and local economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the condition of various market segments;

changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of nonaccrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans;

changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;

changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;

changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses;

changes in the quality of the institution's loan review system;

changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans;

the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the institution's existing portfolio; and

the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.

While the allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level believed to be adequate by management to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio, determination of the allowance is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Changes in these estimates may impact the provisions charged to expense in future periods.

Table of Contents

Management believes, based on information currently available, that the allowance for loan and lease losses of \$7,183,000 as of December 31, 2016 is adequate to cover specifically identifiable loan losses, as well as estimated losses inherent in our portfolio for which certain losses are probable but not specifically identifiable.

The allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses among the major classifications is shown in Table 12 as of December 31 of each of the past five years.

TABLE 12: ALLOCATION OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

	December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	\$ 4,467	\$ 3,705	\$ 3,958	\$ 4,015	\$ 3,122
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	1,581	1,394	1,395	1,187	1,299
Real estate residential	541	534	450	581	635
Consumer	382	329	688	513	444
Unallocated	212	206	225	21	9
	\$ 7,183	\$ 6,168	\$ 6,716	\$ 6,317	\$ 5,509

The growth in the loan portfolio during 2016, as well as an increase in specific allocations on impaired loans, resulted in a larger allowance in 2016. See also the discussion in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses section.

The allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2016 was \$7,183,000 or 0.88%, of total loans (less unearned discount) as compared to \$6,168,000 or 0.83%, at December 31, 2015, and \$6,716,000 or 1.18%, at December 31, 2014. This ratio at December 31, 2015 and 2016 was impacted in 2015 by the inclusion of the Phoenix loan portfolio in the calculation, coupled with the elimination of Phoenix's allowance for loan and lease losses in conformity with GAAP purchase accounting treatment.

Deposits and Other Funding Sources

Mid Penn's primary source of funds are deposits. Total deposits at December 31, 2016 increased by \$158,330,000 or 20 percent, over December 31, 2015, which increased by \$139,121,000 or 22 percent, over December 31, 2014. During 2016, all deposit categories increased, mainly due to both strong cash management and retail growth. Average balances and average interest rates applicable to the major classifications of deposits for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 are presented in Table 13.

Average short-term borrowings for 2016 were \$2,370,000 compared to \$13,184,000 in 2015. These short-term borrowings consisted of federal funds purchased. As of December 31, 2016, Mid Penn had no short-term borrowings outstanding.

At December 31, 2016, Mid Penn Bank had \$13,567,000 in brokered time deposits, an increase of \$2,399,000 or 21 percent, over December 31, 2015, which increased by \$6,706,000 or 150.3%, over the same period in 2014. The increase in brokered deposits in 2015 was mainly due to the brokered certificates of deposits Mid Penn acquired from Phoenix, which totaled \$6,221,000 at December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

TABLE 13: DEPOSITS BY MAJOR CLASSIFICATION

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2016		2015		2014	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Noninterest-bearing demand deposit	\$ 120,244	0.00%	\$ 87,474	0.00%	\$ 49,814	0.00%
Interest-bearing demand deposits	293,745	0.34%	238,141	0.34%	216,656	0.36%
Money market	235,561	0.56%	208,693	0.54%	201,281	0.54%
Savings	59,615	0.06%	52,895	0.06%	30,953	0.05%
Time	172,657	1.25%	154,335	1.25%	127,071	1.55%
	\$ 881,822	0.51%	741,538	0.53%	625,775	0.62%

The maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more is reflected in Table 14.

TABLE 14: MATURITY OF TIME DEPOSITS \$100,000 OR MORE

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Three months or less	\$ 16,083	\$ 8,986	\$ 4,506
Over three months to twelve months	28,254	17,807	21,308
Over twelve months	41,822	37,233	22,604
	\$ 86,159	64,026	48,418

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity, or capital, is evaluated in relation to total assets and the risk associated with those assets. The detailed computation of Mid Penn's regulatory capital ratios can be found in Note 18 of Item 8, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The greater a corporation's capital resources, the more likely it is to meet its cash obligations and absorb unforeseen losses. Too much capital, however, indicates that not enough of the corporation's earnings have been invested in the continued growth of the business or paid to shareholders. The buildup makes it difficult for a corporation to offer a competitive return on the shareholders' capital going forward. For these reasons capital adequacy has been, and will continue to be, of paramount importance.

Shareholders' equity increased in 2016 by \$399,000 or 1 percent, following an increase in 2015 of \$10,938,000 or 18 percent, and an increase in 2014 of \$6,214,000 or 12 percent. Capital was positively impacted in 2016 by the net income available to common shareholders of \$7,804,000 but this increase was offset by the other comprehensive loss of \$4,665,000 and dividend payments of \$2,875,000. The primary source of Mid Penn's other comprehensive loss in 2016 was the change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments held primarily to support public deposit pledging requirements. These unrealized losses are not other-than-temporary-impairments, but relate to the price changes of securities from significant yield curve increases which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2016. In 2015 capital was positively impacted by the issuance of 723,851 shares of common stock valued at \$11,292,000 as merger

consideration in the Phoenix acquisition, as well as an increase in retained earnings from the normal operations of Mid Penn. Mid Penn also used the \$7,500,000 in net proceeds from the issuance and sale of its subordinated notes to redeem all of its Series B Preferred Stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$5,123,000 and Series C Preferred Stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$1,754,000. These redemptions negatively impacted shareholders' equity in 2015. Capital was positively impacted in 2014 by the net income available to common shareholders of \$5,701,000 and other comprehensive income of \$2,385,000.

Mid Penn's current intent for dividend payout is to provide quarterly cash returns to shareholders and earnings retention at a level sufficient to finance future growth. For additional information, see Part II Item 5 Market

Table of Contents

for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities Dividends . The dividends paid and declared on common shares totaled \$0.58 and \$0.68, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2016. Dividends paid and declared totaled \$0.44 for the year ended December 31, 2015 and \$0.45 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The dividend payout ratio, which represents the percentage of annual net income returned to shareholders in the form of cash dividends, was 31.43% for 2016 and 29.93% for 2015.

Mid Penn maintained regulatory capital levels, leverage ratios, and risk-based capital ratios as of December 31, 2016, and 2015, as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Actual		Capital Adequacy Minimum Capital Required		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2016						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 70,431	6.8%	\$ 41,595	4.0%	\$ N/A	N/A
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,431	9.1%	34,807	4.5%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,431	9.1%	46,409	6.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	85,148	11.0%	61,879	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2016						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 77,026	7.4%	\$ 41,568	4.0%	\$ 51,960	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	77,026	10.0%	34,781	4.5%	50,239	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	77,026	10.0%	46,374	6.0%	61,832	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	84,329	10.9%	61,832	8.0%	77,291	10.0%
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2015						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	64,089	7.3%	\$ 35,098	4.0%	\$ N/A	N/A
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	64,089	9.1%	31,731	4.5%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	64,089	9.1%	42,308	6.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	77,852	11.0%	56,410	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2015						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 70,351	7.8%	\$ 36,245	4.0%	\$ 45,306	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,351	10.0%	31,698	4.5%	45,786	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,351	10.0%	42,264	6.0%	56,352	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	76,614	10.9%	56,352	8.0%	70,440	10.0%
<i>Series B Preferred Stock</i>						

Between September 26, 2012 and January 3, 2013, Mid Penn issued, via a private placement, 5,000 shares of its 7% Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Non-Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B (Series B Preferred Stock), resulting in total gross proceeds of \$5,000,000. On December 9, 2015, Mid Penn, using a portion of the proceeds from the offering of the subordinated notes described below, redeemed all of its issued and outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock at a price equal to \$1,024.67 per share, which is equal to \$1,020 per share plus an amount equal to declared but unpaid dividends on December 9, 2015, for a total redemption price of \$5,123,000.

Table of Contents*Small Business Lending Fund Preferred Shares*

On March 1, 2015, Mid Penn assumed all of the issued and outstanding shares of Phoenix with respect to 1,750 shares of Phoenix's preferred stock issued to the Treasury in connection with the Small Business Lending Fund (SBLF) and issued 1,750 shares of SBLF Preferred Shares, having a \$1,000 liquidation preference per share, to the Treasury. The SBLF Preferred Shares qualified as Tier 1 Capital and had terms and conditions identical to those shares of preferred stock issued by Phoenix to the Treasury. Mid Penn paid noncumulative dividends quarterly on January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1. The dividend rate was 1.00% per annum for payment dates up to its redemption. On December 15, 2015, Mid Penn, using a portion of the proceeds from the offering of subordinated notes described below, redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its SBLF Preferred Shares held by the Treasury for an aggregate redemption price of \$1,754,000 including accrued but unpaid dividends.

Subordinated Debt

On November 9, 2015, Mid Penn entered into agreements with investors to purchase \$7,500,000 aggregate principal amount of its Subordinated Notes (the Notes) due 2025. The Notes are treated as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The offering closed in December 2015.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 5.15% per year for the first five years and then float at the Wall Street Journal's Prime Rate plus 0.50%, provided that the interest rate applicable to the outstanding principal balance will at no times be less than 4.0%. Interest is paid quarterly in arrears on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning on January 1, 2016. The Notes will mature on December 9, 2025 and are redeemable in whole or in part, without premium or penalty, at any time on or after December 9, 2020, and prior to December 9, 2025. Additionally, Mid Penn may redeem the Notes in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, upon at least 30 days' notice if: (i) a change or prospective change in law occurs that could prevent Mid Penn from deducting interest payable on the Notes for U.S. federal income tax purposes; (ii) an event occurs that precludes the Notes from being recognized as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes; or (iii) Mid Penn becomes required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, in each case at 100% of the principal amount of the subordinated notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to but excluding the date of redemption.

Holders of the Notes may not accelerate the maturity of the Notes, except upon Mid Penn's or Mid Penn Bank's, its principal banking subsidiary's, bankruptcy, insolvency, liquidation, receivership, or similar event.

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for 2016 was \$2,277,000 compared to \$1,644,000 in 2015 and \$1,462,000 in 2014. The effective tax rate was 23% in 2016 and 20% in both 2015 and 2014. The increased effective tax rate was the result of higher pre-tax earnings and a larger amount in the higher incremental tax bracket.

Liquidity

Mid Penn's asset-liability management policy addresses the management of Mid Penn's liquidity position and its ability to raise sufficient funds to meet deposit withdrawals, fund loan growth and meet other operational needs. Mid Penn utilizes its investments as a source of liquidity, along with deposit growth and increases in borrowings. (See Deposits and Other Funding Sources which appears earlier in this discussion.) Liquidity from investments is provided primarily through investment calls and sales, and from investments and interest-bearing balances with maturities of one year or less.

Mid Penn Bank has short-term borrowing capacity from the FHLB for overnight borrowings up to Mid Penn Bank's unused borrowing capacity of \$404,244,000 at December 31, 2016, upon satisfaction of any stock

Table of Contents

purchase requirements of the FHLB. This line is collateralized by certain qualifying loans and investment securities of Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank also has unused lines of credit with correspondent banks amounting to \$15,000,000 at December 31, 2016.

Major sources of cash in 2016 came from the \$111,390,000 proceeds from the sales of investments securities and the increase in deposits of \$158,330,000.

Major uses of cash in 2016 were the purchases of investment securities of \$142,861,000 and the increase in loans of \$77,795,000.

Major sources of cash in 2015 came from the \$37,142,000 proceeds from the sales of investments securities, the increase in short-term borrowings of \$31,018,000 and the increase in deposits of \$15,883,000, which excludes the deposits assumed in the Phoenix acquisition.

Major uses of cash in 2015 were the increase in loans of \$60,043,000, excluding the loans acquired in the Phoenix acquisition, the purchases of investment securities of \$35,858,000 and long-term debt repayments of \$16,226,000.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Table 15 represents Mid Penn's on-and-off balance sheet aggregate contractual obligations to make future payments as of December 31, 2016.

TABLE 15: AGGREGATE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

(Dollars in thousands)

	Financial Statements Note Reference	Total	Payments Due by Period			
			One Year or Less	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five Years
Operating lease obligations	9	\$ 10,393	\$ 1,121	\$ 2,231	\$ 1,375	\$ 5,666
Certificates of deposit	10	182,595	81,753	63,901	36,203	738
Long-term debt	12	13,581	1,016	10,000		2,565
Subordinated debt	13	7,500	386	773	773	5,568
Payments under benefit plans	15	1,396	167	317	292	620
Executive compensation payments	16	397	48	101	101	147
		\$ 205,469	\$ 83,370	\$ 75,092	\$ 37,369	\$ 9,638

We are not aware of any other commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on Mid Penn's liquidity or capital resources.

Effects of Inflation

A bank's asset and liability structure is substantially different from that of an industrial company in that virtually all assets and liabilities of a bank are monetary in nature. Management believes the impact of inflation on its financial results depends principally upon Mid Penn's ability to manage the balance sheet sensitivity to changes in interest rates

and, by such reaction, mitigate the inflationary impact on performance. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or at the same magnitude as the prices of other goods and services. As discussed previously, Management seeks to manage the relationship between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Information shown elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus will assist in the understanding of how Mid Penn is positioned to react to changing interest rates and inflationary trends. In particular, the summary of net liabilities, as well as the composition of loans, investments and deposits should be considered.

Table of Contents*Off-Balance Sheet Items*

Mid Penn makes contractual commitments to extend credit and extends lines of credit, which are subject to Mid Penn's credit approval and monitoring procedures.

As of December 31, 2016, commitments to extend credit amounted to \$201,749,000 compared to \$157,338,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Mid Penn also issues standby letters of credit to its customers. The risk associated with standby letters of credit is essentially the same as the credit risk involved in loan extensions to customers. Standby letters of credit decreased to \$14,000,000 at December 31, 2016, from \$15,805,000 at December 31, 2015.

Results of Operations for the Quarters Ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016**Overview**

Net income was \$2,345,000 or \$0.55 per common share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2017, compared to net income of \$2,022,000 or \$0.48 per common share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2016. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, net income was \$4,339,000 or \$1.02 per common share, versus \$3,827,000 or \$0.91 per common share, for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Net income as (i) a percent of average assets (return on average assets, or ROA) and (ii) shareholders' equity (return on average equity, or ROE) were as follows (calculated and reported on an annualized basis):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Return on average assets	0.86%	0.82%	0.82%	0.80%
Return on average equity	12.74%	11.28%	12.05%	10.75%

Net Interest Income/Funding Sources

Net interest income, Mid Penn's primary source of revenue, is the amount by which interest income on loans and investments exceeds interest incurred on deposits and borrowings. The amount of net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and changes in the volume and mix of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities. Net interest income and corresponding yields are presented in the analysis below on a taxable-equivalent basis. Income from tax-exempt assets, primarily loans to or securities issued by state and local governments, is adjusted by an amount equivalent to the federal income taxes which would have been paid if the income received on these assets was taxable at the statutory rate of 34%.

Table of Contents

The following table includes average balances, amounts, and rates of interest income and expense, interest rate spread, and net interest margin for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Average Balances, Income and Interest Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis					
	For the Three Months Ended					
	June 30, 2017			June 30, 2016		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates
ASSETS:						
Interest Bearing Balances	\$ 2,663	\$ 5	0.75%	\$ 1,067	\$ 2	0.75%
Investment Securities:						
Taxable	122,661	604	1.98%	81,829	353	1.74%
Tax-Exempt	50,052	401(a)	3.21%	82,576	829(a)	4.04%
Total Securities	172,713	1,005	2.33%	164,405	1,182	2.89%
Federal Funds Sold	8,766	23	1.05%	14,207	15	0.42%
Loans and Leases, Net	848,980	10,060(b)	4.75%	753,734	9,053(b)	4.83%
Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks	2,702	33	4.90%	2,851	36	5.08%
Total Earning Assets	1,035,824	11,126	4.31%	936,264	10,288	4.42%
Cash and Due from Banks	21,728			12,296		
Other Assets	33,831			39,248		
Total Assets	\$ 1,091,383			\$ 987,808		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Interest-bearing Demand	\$ 335,556	294	0.35%	\$ 286,879	241	0.34%
Money Market	249,928	357	0.57%	232,455	321	0.56%
Savings	63,372	10	0.06%	60,554	9	0.06%
Time	193,688	616	1.28%	169,047	521	1.24%
Total Interest-bearing Deposits	842,544	1,277	0.61%	748,935	1,092	0.59%
Short-term Borrowings	4,472	13	1.17%	1,405	12	3.44%
Long-term Debt	13,496	80	2.38%	35,607	123	1.39%
Subordinated Debt	7,417	99	5.35%	7,430	99	5.36%
Total Interest-bearing Liabilities	867,929	1,469	0.68%	793,377	1,326	0.67%
Noninterest-bearing Demand	138,423			113,249		
Other Liabilities	11,188			6,380		
Shareholders Equity	73,843			74,802		
	\$ 1,091,383			\$ 987,808		

Total Liabilities & Shareholders
Equity

Net Interest Income	\$ 9,657	\$ 8,962
Total Yield on Earning Assets	4.31%	4.42%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities	0.68%	0.67%
Average Interest Spread	3.63%	3.75%
Net Interest Margin	3.74%	3.85%

- (a) Includes tax-equivalent adjustments on interest from tax-free municipal securities of \$136,000 and \$282,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

- (b) Includes tax equivalent adjustments on interest from tax-free municipal loans of \$111,000 and \$147,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Average Balances, Income and Interest Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis					
	For the Six Months Ended					
	June 30, 2017			June 30, 2016		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates
ASSETS:						
Interest Bearing Balances	\$ 2,462	\$ 7	0.57%	\$ 1,109	\$ 9	1.63%
Investment Securities:						
Taxable	109,670	1,070	1.97%	80,053	723	1.82%
Tax-Exempt	52,511	879(a)	3.38%	75,592	1,533(a)	4.08%
Total Securities	162,181	1,949	2.42%	155,645	2,256	2.91%
Federal Funds Sold	15,976	74	0.93%	8,073	18	0.45%
Loans and Leases, Net	836,702	19,885(b)	4.79%	748,494	18,001(b)	4.84%
Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks	2,518	56	4.48%	3,017	82	5.47%
Total Earning Assets	1,019,839	21,971	4.34%	916,338	20,366	4.47%
Cash and Due from Banks	18,647			12,081		
Other Assets	34,268			38,023		
Total Assets	\$ 1,072,754			\$ 966,442		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Interest-bearing Demand	\$ 329,617	570	0.35%	\$ 275,697	468	0.34%
Money Market	250,396	705	0.57%	225,450	623	0.56%
Savings	62,455	18	0.06%	59,084	17	0.06%
Time	188,988	1,188	1.27%	166,313	1,023	1.24%
Total Interest-bearing Deposits	831,456	2,481	0.60%	726,544	2,131	0.59%
Short-term Borrowings	2,256	13	1.16%	4,767	25	1.05%
Long-term Debt	13,525	161	2.40%	37,943	254	1.35%
Subordinated Debt	7,416	198	5.38%	7,452	198	5.34%
Total Interest-bearing Liabilities	854,653	2,853	0.67%	769,254	2,608	0.68%
Noninterest-bearing Demand	134,000			109,482		
Other Liabilities	11,492			14,486		
Shareholders Equity	72,609			73,220		
Total Liabilities & Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,072,754			\$ 966,442		

Net Interest Income	\$ 19,118	\$ 17,758
Total Yield on Earning Assets	4.34%	4.47%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities	0.67%	0.68%
Average Interest Spread	3.67%	3.79%
Net Interest Margin	3.78%	3.90%

- (a) Includes tax equivalent adjustments on interest from tax-free municipal securities of \$299,000 and \$520,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
- (b) Includes tax equivalent adjustments on interest from tax-free municipal loans of \$234,000 and \$289,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

Taxable-equivalent net interest income was \$9,657,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017, an increase of \$695,000 or 8 percent compared to the three months ended June 30, 2016. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, taxable-equivalent net interest income increased \$1,360,000 or 8 percent to \$19,118,000 from \$17,758,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2016. Net interest income in the first half of 2017 was positively impacted by core loan growth funded by lower-cost deposits, as well as the recognition of \$279,000 of loan income from the successful workout of a loan relationship that included a previous charge-off in 2010.

For the three months ended June 30, 2017, Mid Penn's tax-equivalent net interest margin was 3.74% compared to 3.85% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, Mid Penn's tax-equivalent net interest margin was 3.78% versus 3.90% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in the net interest margin year over year was primarily attributed to the lower yield earned on the investment portfolio. For the first six months of 2017, the overall investment portfolio yield was 2.42%, compared to an investment portfolio yield of 2.91% for the same period in 2016. The reduction was attributed to Mid Penn establishing, in the first half of 2017, a \$71,096,000 held-to-maturity investment portfolio comprised primarily of lower-risk and lower-yielding U.S. Treasury notes and U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities. The held-to-maturity portfolio was established to support Mid Penn Bank's growth in public fund deposit pledging requirements.

Although the effective interest rate impact on earning assets and funding sources can be reasonably estimated at current interest rate levels, the options selected by customers, and the future mix of the loan, investment, and deposit products in Mid Penn Bank's portfolios, may significantly change the estimates used in Mid Penn's asset and liability management and related interest rate risk simulation models. In addition, our net interest income may be impacted by further interest rate actions of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses is the expense necessary to maintain the allowance at a level adequate to absorb management's estimate of probable losses in the loan and lease portfolio. Mid Penn's provision for loan and lease losses is based upon management's monthly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans and leases, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan and lease growth, evaluate potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets Mid Penn serves.

Mid Penn has maintained the allowance in accordance with Mid Penn's assessment process, which takes into consideration, among other relevant factors, the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, the growth in the loan portfolio during the first six months of 2017, and shifting collateral values from December 31, 2016 to June 30, 2017.

Based upon its analysis of loan and lease loss allowance adequacy, management recorded a \$100,000 loan loss provision for the three months ended June 30, 2017, compared to a provision of \$395,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, the provision for loan and lease losses was \$225,000 compared to \$735,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The amount of the loan loss provision for the first quarter of 2017 was less than the same period in 2016 as Mid Penn had net loan recoveries of \$305,000 in the first six months of 2017 which substantially increased the allowance for loan loss balance. The allowance as a percentage of total loans was 0.89% at June 30, 2017, compared to 0.88% at December 31, 2016. For further discussion of factors affecting the provision for loan and lease losses please see *Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses* in the Financial Condition section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Noninterest Income

During the three months ended June 30, 2017, noninterest income was \$1,362,000 reflecting a decrease of \$36,000 or 3 percent compared to noninterest income of \$1,398,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, noninterest income totaled \$2,798,000, an increase of \$168,000 or 6 percent, compared to noninterest income of \$2,630,000 for the same period in 2016.

The following components of noninterest income showed significant changes:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	\$ Variance	% Variance
Income from fiduciary activities	\$ 200	\$ 139	\$ 61	44%
Service charges on deposits	174	158	16	10%
Net gain on sales of investment securities	12	213	(201)	-94%
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	157	75	82	109%
Other income	204	208	(4)	-2%

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	\$ Variance	% Variance
Income from fiduciary activities	\$ 396	\$ 245	\$ 151	62%
Service charges on deposits	379	313	66	21%
Net gain on sales of investment securities	20	213	(193)	-91%
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	441	265	176	66%
Other income	393	466	(73)	-16%

Income from fiduciary activities was \$396,000 for the first six months of 2017, an increase of \$151,000 or 61 percent compared to fiduciary income of \$245,000 during the same period in 2016. These additional revenues were attributed to wealth management assets under management significantly increasing over the past twelve months as a result of successful business development efforts by Mid Penn's expanded team of trust and retail investment officers.

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, service charges on deposits were \$379,000, an increase of \$66,000 or 21 percent, compared to service charges of \$313,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2016. This increase was driven by an increase in the volume of transactional deposit accounts, and by an increase in overdraft charges collected.

Net gains on sales of securities was \$20,000 for the first six months of 2017, a decrease of \$193,000 or 91 percent compared to net gains on sales of securities of \$213,000 during the same period ended June 30, 2016. During the second quarter of 2016, Mid Penn took advantage of favorable market conditions and increased fair values on several securities to reposition some of its investment portfolio, including selling a large volume of longer-term and rate-sensitive CMOs, as well as certain municipal bonds and agency notes. The securities sales in the first half of 2017 also involved strategic portfolio management, but were fewer in both volume and net gain impact compared to securities sales in the first half of 2016.

Mid Penn experienced increased origination and sales activity in Small Business Administration (SBA) loans, resulting in gains of \$441,000 from related loan sales during the first six months of 2017. The gains on SBA loan sales for the first half of 2017 reflected an increase of \$176,000 or 66 percent compared to SBA loan sales gains of \$265,000 for the first six months of 2016. More qualified small business borrowers continue to take advantage of Mid Penn's Preferred Lender status with the SBA.

Other noninterest income declined \$73,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease was attributed to Mid Penn realizing an \$86,000 from the gain on the sale of insurance

policies during the first half of 2016. The sale of the insurance policies occurred upon the dissolution of Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC, a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn Bank.

Table of Contents**Noninterest Expense**

During the three months ended June 30, 2017, noninterest expenses totaled \$7,558,000, an increase of \$637,000 or 9 percent compared to noninterest expenses of \$6,921,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. Noninterest expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 totaled \$15,360,000, an increase of \$1,457,000 or 10 percent compared to noninterest expenses of \$13,903,000 for the first six months of 2016.

The changes were primarily a result of the following components of noninterest expense which had notable variances when comparing results for periods ending in 2017 versus the similar periods in 2016:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	\$ Variance	% Variance
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 4,159	\$ 3,723	\$ 436	12%
Occupancy expense, net	593	499	94	19%
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	160	206	(46)	-22%
FDIC Assessment	194	147	47	32%
Other expenses	1,215	1,074	141	13%

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2017	2016	\$ Variance	% Variance
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 8,389	\$ 7,446	\$ 943	13%
Occupancy expense, net	1,241	1,046	195	19%
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	330	409	(79)	-19%
FDIC Assessment	388	300	88	29%
Other expenses	2,334	2,095	239	11%

Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$943,000 during the first six months of 2017 versus the same period in 2016, with the increase attributable to (i) the addition of lending personnel, credit support staff, and executive management in alignment with Mid Penn's core banking growth; (ii) added retail staff for the Oregon Pike, New Holland, and Orwigsburg offices opened after June of 2016, and (iii) increased healthcare costs from Mid Penn's self-funded medical plan during the first half of 2017.

Occupancy expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 increased \$195,000 or 19 percent compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to the facility operating costs associated with Mid Penn opening three new branch offices and loan production offices in Lancaster and Franklin Counties in Pennsylvania, during the past twelve months.

Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense decreased \$79,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2017 versus the same period in 2016, due to tax credits generated from Mid Penn's increased level of Pennsylvania tax-credit-eligible donations to support education and economic development throughout the markets it serves.

Mid Penn's FDIC assessment increased by \$88,000 or 29 percent from \$300,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2016, to \$388,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2017, due to Mid Penn's growing deposits and assets, which increased the base amount used to determine the FDIC insurance assessment.

Other expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 increased \$141,000 or 13 percent compared to the same period in 2016. Other expenses increased by \$239,000 or 11 percent from \$2,095,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2016 to \$2,334,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017. The change is primarily attributable to franchise expansion and an increase in the number of operating locations and the overall number of Company personnel, resulting in increased non-compensation employee expenses including travel, business meals, and other employee management expenses. Also contributing to the increase in other expenses was an increase in the amount and volume of charitable donations across the extended Company footprint, with many of these donations generating tax credits which, depending upon the credit, reduced either Pennsylvania bank shares tax or federal income tax liabilities.

Table of Contents**Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes was \$769,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$593,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was 24.7% compared to 22.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$1,459,000 compared to \$1,113,000 during the same period in 2016. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was 25.2% compared to 22.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. In addition to the increased pre-tax income in 2017, contributing to the increase in the effective tax rates for 2017, a portion of Mid Penn's merger-related expenses of \$224,000 are nondeductible for federal income tax purposes.

Generally, Mid Penn's effective tax rate is below the statutory rate due to earnings on tax-exempt loans, investments, and BOLI, as well as the impact of tax credits. The realization of Mid Penn's deferred tax assets is dependent on future earnings. Mid Penn currently anticipates that future earnings will be adequate to fully realize the currently recorded deferred tax assets.

Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017**Overview**

Mid Penn's total assets were \$1,111,876,000 as of June 30, 2017, an increase of \$79,277,000 or 8 percent compared to total assets of \$1,032,599,000 as of December 31, 2016. In the first six months of 2017, Mid Penn realized favorable loan growth, primarily in commercial relationships, of \$47,853,000 or 6 percent since December 31, 2016. This asset and loan growth was substantially funded by an increase in deposits of \$52,095,000 or over 5 percent since year-end 2016.

Loans

Total portfolio loans (excluding loans held for sale) at June 30, 2017 were \$862,307,000 compared to \$813,924,000 at December 31, 2016, an increase of \$48,383,000 or 6 percent (or an annualized loan growth rate of 12 percent). The main driver of Mid Penn's loan growth continues to be commercial loans, including both commercial and industrial financing, and commercial real estate credits as noted in the table below.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
Commercial and industrial	\$ 181,368	21.0%	\$ 172,518	21.2%
Commercial real estate	482,141	55.9%	446,524	54.9%
Commercial real estate construction	53,305	6.2%	54,376	6.7%
Lease financing	307	0.0%	425	0.1%
Residential mortgage	102,637	11.9%	99,457	12.2%
Home equity	38,671	4.5%	37,608	4.6%
Consumer	3,878	0.5%	3,016	0.3%
	\$ 862,307	100.0%	\$ 813,924	100.0%

Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

For the first six months ended June 30, 2017, Mid Penn had net recoveries of \$305,000 compared to net recoveries of \$9,000 during the same period of 2016. The primary reason for this favorable net recoveries amount was that, during the first half of 2017, Mid Penn recovered \$318,000 of principal, as well as collected \$279,000 in interest income from the successful workout of a commercial real estate relationship that was partially charged-off in 2010.

Table of Contents

Loans charged off during the first six months of 2017 totaled \$76,000 and included two residential mortgage loans from one relationship for \$18,000, one commercial and industrial loan for \$12,000, one commercial real estate loan for \$30,000 and \$16,000 in deposit account charge-offs. Mid Penn may need to make future adjustments to the allowance and the provision for loan and lease losses if economic conditions or loan credit quality differs substantially from the assumptions used in making Mid Penn's evaluation of the level of the allowance for loan losses as compared to the balance of outstanding loans.

Changes in the allowance for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are summarized as follows:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2017	2016
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 7,183	\$ 6,168
Loans charged off during period	(76)	(185)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	381	194
Net recoveries	305	9
Provision for loan and lease losses	225	735
Balance, end of period	\$ 7,713	\$ 6,912
Ratio of net loans (recovered)/charged off to average loans outstanding, annualized	-0.07%	0.00%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans at end of period	0.89%	0.90%

Other than as described herein, Mid Penn does not believe there are any trends or events at this time that are reasonably expected to have a material impact on future results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources. Further, based on known information, Mid Penn believes that the effects of current and past economic conditions and other unfavorable business conditions may influence certain borrowers' abilities to comply with their repayment terms. Mid Penn continues to monitor closely the financial strength of these borrowers. Mid Penn does not engage in practices which may be used to artificially shield certain borrowers from the negative economic or business cycle effects that may compromise their ability to repay. Mid Penn does not normally structure construction loans with interest reserve components. Mid Penn has not in the past performed any commercial real estate or other type of loan workouts whereby an existing loan was restructured into multiple new loans. Also, Mid Penn does not extend loans at maturity solely due to the existence of guarantees, without recognizing the credit as impaired. While the existence of a guarantee may be a mitigating factor in determining the proper level of allowance once impairment has been identified, the guarantee does not affect the impairment analysis.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the change in nonperforming asset categories as of June 30, 2017, December 31, 2016, and June 30, 2016.

(Dollars in thousands)

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	June 30, 2016
Nonperforming Assets:			
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 5,218	\$ 4,658	\$ 3,995
Accruing troubled debt restructured loans	557	877	932
Total nonperforming loans	5,775	5,535	4,927
Foreclosed real estate		224	540
Other repossessed property			1
Total non-performing assets	5,775	5,759	5,468
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	57	59	73
Total risk elements	\$ 5,832	\$ 5,818	\$ 5,541
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans outstanding	0.67%	0.68%	0.64%
Nonperforming assets as a % of total loans outstanding and other real estate	0.67%	0.71%	0.71%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	133.56%	129.78%	140.28%

In the table above, troubled debt restructured loans that are no longer accruing interest are included in nonaccrual loans.

Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans prior to charging down or charging off the loan. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact and is not treated as a restructured credit. The following table provides additional analysis of partially charged-off loans.

(Dollars in thousands)

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Period ending total loans outstanding	\$ 862,307	\$ 813,924
Allowance for loan and lease losses	7,713	7,183
Total Nonperforming loans	5,775	5,535
Nonperforming and impaired loans with partial charge-offs	1,223	1,604
	0.14%	0.20%

Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total loans		
Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total nonperforming loans	21.18%	28.97%
Coverage ratio net of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	169.44%	182.71%
Ratio of total allowance to total loans less nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	0.90%	0.88%

Mid Penn considers a commercial loan or commercial real estate loan to be impaired when it becomes 90 days or more past due and not well-secured or otherwise not probable for collection. This methodology assumes the borrower cannot or will not continue to make additional payments. At that time the loan would be considered

Table of Contents

collateral dependent as the discounted cash flow method indicates no operating income is available for evaluating the collateral position; therefore, most impaired loans are deemed to be collateral dependent.

Mid Penn evaluates loans for charge-off on a monthly basis. Policies that govern the recommendation for charge-off are unique to the type of loan being considered. Commercial loans rated as nonaccrual or lower will first have a collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. Once the collateral evaluation has been completed, a specific allocation of allowance is made based upon the results of the evaluation. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In the event the loan is unsecured, the loan would have been charged-off at the recognition of impairment. Commercial real estate loans rated as impaired will also have an initial collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. An updated real estate valuation is ordered and the collateral evaluation is modified to reflect any variation in value. A specific allocation of allowance is made for any anticipated collateral shortfall. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). The process of charge-off for residential mortgage loans begins upon a loan becoming delinquent for 90 days and not in the process of collection. The existing appraisal is reviewed and a lien search is obtained to determine lien position and any instances of intervening liens. A new appraisal of the property will be ordered if deemed necessary by management and a collateral evaluation is completed. The loan will then be charged down to the value indicated in the evaluation. Consumer loans are recommended for charge-off after reaching delinquency of 90 days and the loan is not well-secured or otherwise not probable for collection. The collateral shortfall of the consumer loan is recommended for charge-off at this point.

As noted above, Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans. The balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In addition, Mid Penn takes a preemptive step when any commercial loan or commercial real estate loan becomes classified under its internal classification system. A preliminary collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans is prepared using the existing collateral information in the loan file. This process allows Mid Penn to review both the credit and documentation files to determine the status of the information needed to make a collateral evaluation. This collateral evaluation is preliminary but allows Mid Penn to determine if any potential collateral shortfalls exist.

Larger groups of small-balance loans, such as residential mortgages and consumer installment loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, individual consumer and residential loans are not separately identified for impairment disclosures unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as substandard nonaccrual to be impaired, and most of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, most of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate as soon as practically possible of the credit being classified as substandard nonaccrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however no allowance recommendation will be made until such time Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation. The Asset Recovery department employs an electronic tracking system to monitor the receipt of and need for updated appraisals. To date, there have been no material time lapses noted with the above processes.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to

provide a fair estimate of value based on auction or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary.

Table of Contents

For impaired loans with no valuation allowance required, Mid Penn's practice of obtaining independent third party market valuations on the subject property as soon as practically possible of being placed on nonaccrual status sometimes indicates that the loan to value ratio is sufficient to obviate the need for a specific allocation in spite of significant deterioration in real estate values in Mid Penn's primary market area. These circumstances are determined on a case by case analysis of the impaired loans.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 12 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

Mid Penn had \$6,414,000 loans deemed impaired at June 30, 2017. Excluding \$897,000 in loans acquired with credit deterioration from the Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. (Phoenix) acquisition, Mid Penn had several loan relationships deemed impaired with an aggregate carrying balance of \$5,517,000. This pool of loans was further broken down into a group of loans with an aggregate carrying balance of \$3,057,000 for which specific allocations totaling \$1,029,000 were included within the loan loss reserve for these loans. The remaining \$2,460,000 of loans required no specific allocation within the loan loss reserve. Of the \$5,517,000 of impaired loan relationships, excluding the loans acquired with credit deterioration from the Phoenix acquisition, \$3,651,000 were commercial real estate relationships, \$968,000 were residential relationships, \$571,000 were commercial real estate construction relationships, and \$327,000 were home equity relationships. There were specific loan loss reserve allocations of \$893,000 against the commercial real estate relationships, \$66,000 against the residential real estate relationships, and \$70,000 against the commercial real estate construction relationships. Management currently believes that the specific reserves are adequate to cover probable future losses related to these relationships.

The allowance is a reserve established in the form of a provision expense for loan and lease losses and is reduced by loan charge-offs net of recoveries. In conjunction with an internal loan review function that operates independently of the lending function, management monitors the loan portfolio to identify risk on a monthly basis so that an appropriate allowance is maintained. Based on an evaluation of the loan portfolio, management presents a monthly review of the allowance to the Mid Penn board of directors, indicating any changes in the allowance since the last review. In making the evaluation, management considers the results of recent regulatory examinations, which typically include a review of the allowance an integral part of the examination process. As part of the examination process, federal or state regulatory agencies may require Mid Penn to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management.

In establishing the allowance, management evaluates on a quantitative basis individual classified loans and nonaccrual loans, and determines an aggregate reserve for those loans based on that review. In addition, an allowance for the remainder of the loan and lease portfolio is determined based on historical loss experience within certain components of the portfolio. These allocations may be modified if current conditions indicate that loan and lease losses may differ from historical experience.

In addition, a portion of the allowance is established for losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio which have not been identified by the quantitative processes described above. This determination inherently involves a higher degree of subjectivity, and considers risk factors that may not have yet manifested themselves in historical loss experience. These factors include:

changes in international, national, regional, and local economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the condition of various market segments;

changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of nonaccrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans;

Table of Contents

changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;

changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;

changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses;

changes in the quality of the institution's loan review system;

changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans;

the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the institution's existing portfolio; and

the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.

While the allowance is maintained at a level believed to be adequate by management to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio, determination of the allowance is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The unallocated component of the allowance for loan and lease losses covers several considerations that are not specifically measurable through either the specific and general components. For example, we believe that we could face increasing credit risks and uncertainties, not yet reflected in recent historical losses or qualitative factor assessments, associated with unpredictable changes in economic growth or business conditions in our markets or for certain industries in which we have commercial loan borrowers, or unanticipated stresses to the values of real estate held as collateral. Any or all of these additional issues can adversely affect our borrowers' ability to timely repay their loans. Additionally, we have experienced continued strong commercial loan growth, including growth in newer markets where we have less of a loss history. Also, the unallocated component allocation recognizes the inherent imprecision in our allowance for loan and lease loss methodology, or any alternative methodology, for estimating specific and general loan losses, including the unpredictable timing and amounts of charge-offs, the fact that historical loss averages don't necessarily correlate to future loss trends, and unexpected changes to specific-credit or general portfolio future cash flows and collateral values which could negatively impact unimpaired portfolio loss factors. Changes from these various other uncertainties and considerations may impact the provisions charged to expense in future periods.

Management believes, based on information currently available, that the allowance of \$7,713,000 is adequate as of June 30, 2017 to cover specifically identifiable loan losses, as well as estimated losses inherent in our portfolio for which certain losses are probable but not specifically identifiable.

Liquidity

Mid Penn's objective is to maintain adequate liquidity to meet funding needs at a reasonable cost and to provide contingency plans to meet unanticipated funding needs or a loss of funding sources, while minimizing interest rate risk. Adequate liquidity provides resources for credit needs of borrowers, for depositor withdrawals, and for funding corporate operations. Sources of liquidity are as follows:

a growing core deposit base;

proceeds from the sale or maturity of investment securities;

proceeds from interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions;

payments received on loans and mortgage-backed securities;

overnight correspondent bank borrowings on various credit lines; and

borrowing capacity available from the FHLB, the Federal Reserve Discount Window, and other lines of credit currently available to Mid Penn.

Table of Contents

The major sources of cash received in the first six months of 2017 came from the \$52,095,000 net increase in deposits and \$37,667,000 in proceeds from the sales of available-for-sale investment securities.

Major uses of cash in the first six months of 2017 were \$86,511,000 for investment purchases and \$48,078,000 for funding the increase in net loans and leases.

Major sources of cash received in the first six months of 2016 came from the \$116,397,000 net increase in deposits and the \$38,501,000 in proceeds from the sale of investment securities.

Major uses of cash in the first six months of 2016 were \$84,352,000 for investment purchases, \$41,707,000 for the repayment of short- and long-term borrowings, and \$30,054,000 for funding the increase in net loans and leases.

Mid Penn believes its core deposits are generally stable even in periods of changing interest rates. Liquidity is measured and monitored daily, allowing management to better understand and react to balance sheet trends. These measurements indicate that liquidity generally remains stable and exceeds our minimum defined levels of adequacy. Other than the trends of continued competitive pressures and volatile interest rates, there are no known demands, commitments, events, or uncertainties that will result in, or that are reasonably likely to result in, liquidity increasing or decreasing in any material way.

On a quarterly basis, a comprehensive liquidity analysis is reviewed by the Asset Liability Committee and Board of Directors. The analysis provides a summary of the current liquidity measurements, projections, and future liquidity positions given various levels of liquidity stress. Management also maintains a detailed Contingency Funding Plan designed to respond to an overall decline in the financial condition of the banking industry or a problem specific to Mid Penn.

Subordinated Debt

On November 9, 2015, Mid Penn entered into agreements with investors to purchase \$7,500,000 aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2025. The Notes are treated as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The offering closed in December 2015.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 5.15% per year for the first five years and then float at the Wall Street Journal's Prime Rate plus 0.50%, provided that the interest rate applicable to the outstanding principal balance will at no time be less than 4.0%. Interest is paid quarterly in arrears on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning on January 1, 2016. The Notes will mature on December 9, 2025 and are redeemable in whole or in part, without premium or penalty, at any time on or after December 9, 2020, and prior to December 9, 2025. Additionally, Mid Penn may redeem the Notes in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, upon at least 30 days' notice if: (i) a change or prospective change in law occurs that could prevent Mid Penn from deducting interest payable on the Notes for U.S. federal income tax purposes; (ii) an event occurs that precludes the Notes from being recognized as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes; or (iii) Mid Penn becomes required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, in each case at 100% of the principal amount of the subordinated notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to but excluding the date of redemption. The debt issuance costs associated with the Notes were \$81,000 at June 30, 2017 and \$86,000 at December 31, 2016.

Holders of the Notes may not accelerate the maturity of the Notes, except upon Mid Penn's or Mid Penn Bank's, its principal banking subsidiary's, bankruptcy, insolvency, liquidation, receivership, or similar event.

Regulatory Capital Changes

In July 2013, the federal banking agencies issued final rules to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The phase-in period for community banking organizations began

Table of Contents

January 1, 2015. The final rules implemented higher minimum capital requirements, added a new common equity Tier 1 capital requirement, and established criteria that instruments must meet to be considered common equity Tier 1 capital, additional Tier 1 capital or Tier 2 capital. Under the new rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity Tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements, which amount must be greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets at January 1, 2019. The phase-in period for the capital conservation and countercyclical capital buffers for all banking organizations began on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625%. A summary of the payout restrictions based on the capital conservation buffer is as follows:

Capital Conservation Buffer	Maximum Payout
(as a % of risk-weighted assets)	(as a % of eligible retained income)
> 2.5%	No payout limitation applies
£2.5% and >1.875%	60%
£1.875% and >1.25%	40%
£1.25% and >0.625%	20%
£0.625%	0%

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to common equity Tier 1 capital began on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a three-year period. The final rules called for the following minimum capital requirements to be considered well-capitalized (which include the impact of the capital conservation buffer that was effective January 1, 2016):

	As of January 1,			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
Minimum common equity Tier 1 capital ratio	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
Common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer	0.625%	1.25%	1.875%	2.5%
Minimum common equity Tier 1 capital ratio plus capital conservation buffer	5.125%	5.75%	6.375%	7.0%
Phase-in of most deductions from common equity Tier 1 capital	60%	80%	100%	100%
Minimum Tier 1 capital ratio	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Minimum Tier 1 capital ratio plus capital conservation buffer	6.625%	7.25%	7.875%	8.5%
Minimum total capital ratio	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Minimum total capital ratio plus capital conservation buffer	8.625%	9.25%	9.875%	10.5%

The final rules allowed community banks to make a one-time election not to include the additional components of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in regulatory capital and instead use the existing treatment under the general risk-based capital rules that excludes most AOCI components from regulatory capital. Mid Penn made the election not to include the additional components of AOCI in regulatory capital.

The final rules permanently grandfathered non-qualifying capital instruments (such as trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock) issued before May 19, 2010 for inclusion in the Tier 1 capital of banking organizations with total consolidated assets less than \$15 billion as of December 31, 2009 and banking organizations that were mutual holding companies as of May 19, 2010.

Consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act, the new rules replaced the ratings-based approach to securitization exposures, which is based on external credit ratings, with the simplified supervisory formula approach in order to determine the appropriate risk weights for these exposures. Alternatively, banking organizations may use the existing gross-ups approach to assign securitization exposures to a risk weight category or choose to assign such exposures a 1,250% risk weight.

Under the new rules, mortgage servicing assets (MSAs) and certain deferred tax assets (DTAs) are subject to stricter limitations than those applicable under the current general risk-based capital rule. The new rules also increase the risk weights for past-due loans, certain risk weights and credit conversion factors.

Table of Contents

Mid Penn has implemented these changes in determining and reporting the regulatory ratios of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, and has concluded that the new rules did not have a material negative effect on Mid Penn's financial condition.

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity, or capital, is evaluated in relation to total assets and the risk associated with those assets. The greater a corporation's capital resources, the more likely it is to meet its cash obligations and absorb unforeseen losses. Too much capital, however, indicates that not enough of the corporation's earnings have been invested in the continued growth of the business or paid to shareholders. An excess capital position may make it difficult for a corporation to offer a competitive return on the shareholders' capital going forward. For these reasons capital adequacy and capital management have been, and will continue to be, of paramount importance.

Shareholders' equity increased by \$5,169,000 or 7 percent, from \$70,467,000 at December 31, 2016 to \$75,636,000 at June 30, 2017. The increase was attributed to both retained earnings, and from an increase in other comprehensive income during the first six months of 2017 primarily from the unrealized appreciation (on an after-tax basis) of the available-for-sale investment portfolio since December 31, 2016.

Banks are evaluated for capital adequacy based on the ratio of capital to risk-weighted assets and total assets. The minimum capital to risk-adjusted assets requirements, including the capital conservation buffers, which became effective for Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank on January 1, 2016 are illustrated below. At June 30, 2017, regulatory capital ratios for both Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank met the definition of a well-capitalized institution under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, and exceeded the minimum capital requirements under Basel III.

Table of Contents

Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank maintained the following regulatory capital levels, leverage ratios, and risk-based capital ratios as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Actual:		Capital Adequacy Minimum Capital Required:		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions:	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Corporation						
As of June 30, 2017:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 73,842	6.8%	\$ 43,662	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	73,842	8.7%	48,610	5.75%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	73,842	8.7%	61,291	7.25%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	89,102	10.5%	78,199	9.25%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of June 30, 2017:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 80,345	7.4%	\$ 43,635	4.0%	\$ 54,544	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	80,345	9.5%	48,573	5.75%	54,908	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	80,345	9.5%	61,244	7.25%	67,580	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	88,185	10.4%	78,139	9.25%	84,475	10.0%
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2016:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 70,431	6.8%	\$ 41,595	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,431	9.1%	34,807	4.5%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	70,431	9.1%	46,409	6.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	85,148	11.0%	61,879	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2016:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 77,026	7.4%	\$ 41,568	4.0%	\$ 51,960	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	77,026	10.0%	34,781	4.5%	50,239	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	77,026	10.0%	46,374	6.0%	61,832	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	84,329	10.9%	61,832	8.0%	77,291	10.0%

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a financial institution, Mid Penn's primary source of market risk is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the exposure to fluctuations in Mid Penn's future earnings (earnings at risk) resulting from changes in interest rates. This exposure or sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of Mid Penn's portfolio of assets and liabilities. Each asset and liability reprices either at maturity or during the life of the instrument. Interest rate sensitivity is measured as the difference between the volume of assets and liabilities that are subject to repricing in a future period of time.

The principal purpose of asset-liability management is to maximize current and future net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate risk while satisfying liquidity and capital requirements. Net interest income is increased by increasing the net interest margin and by volume growth. Thus the goal of interest rate risk management is to maintain a balance between risk and reward such that net interest income is maximized while risk is maintained at an acceptable level.

Mid Penn utilizes an asset-liability management model to measure the impact of interest rate movements on its interest rate sensitivity position. Mid Penn's management also reviews the traditional maturity gap analysis regularly. Mid Penn does not always attempt to achieve an exact match between interest sensitive assets and

Table of Contents

liabilities because it believes that an actively managed amount of interest rate risk is inherent and appropriate in the management of Mid Penn's profitability.

Modeling techniques and simulation analysis involve assumptions and estimates that inherently cannot be measured with complete precision. Key assumptions in the analyses include maturity and repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities, prepayments on amortizing assets, non-maturing deposit sensitivity, and loan and deposit pricing. These assumptions are inherently uncertain due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. However, the analyses are useful in quantifying risk and provide a relative gauge of Mid Penn's interest rate risk position over time.

Management reviews interest rate risk on a quarterly basis. This analysis includes earnings scenarios whereby interest rates are increased and decreased by 100, 200, and 300 basis points. These scenarios, detailed in Table 16, indicate that Mid Penn would experience enhanced net interest income over a one-year time frame due to upward interest rate changes, while a reduction in interest rates would result in a decline in net interest income over a one-year time frame; however, actual results could vary significantly from the calculations prepared by Management. At December 31, 2016, all interest rate risk levels according to the model were within the tolerance limits of the Mid Penn board of directors-approved policy.

TABLE 16: EFFECT OF HYPOTHETICAL CHANGES IN INTEREST RATES

Change in Basis Points	December 31, 2016		Change in Basis Points	December 31, 2015	
	% Change in Net Interest Income	Policy Risk Limit		% Change in Net Interest Income	Policy Risk Limit
300	11.19%	³ -20%	300	5.77%	³ -20%
200	7.35%	³ -15%	200	3.64%	³ -15%
100	3.50%	³ -10%	100	1.55%	³ -10%
0			0		
(100)	-4.75%	³ -10%	(100)	-2.19%	³ -10%
(200)	-10.79%	³ -15%	(200)	-7.09%	³ -15%
(300)	-16.63%	³ -20%	(300)	-12.21%	³ -20%

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management of MidPenn**

The following table sets forth, as of March 1, 2017, information regarding the number of shares and percentage of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn's common stock beneficially owned by (i) each director, executive officer, and by all directors and executive officers as a group, and (ii) each person who is known to Mid Penn to own beneficially more than 5% of Mid Penn common stock. Unless otherwise indicated in a footnote, none of the shares of Mid Penn common stock listed have been pledged as security.

Name of Beneficial Owner⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Robert A. Abel	5,547 ⁽²⁾	*
Steven T. Boyer	3,615 ⁽³⁾	*
Matthew G. DeSoto	10,279 ⁽⁴⁾	*
Robert C. Grubic	37,816	*
Gregory M. Kerwin	28,698 ⁽⁵⁾	*
Robert E. Klinger	4,369 ⁽⁶⁾	*
Robert J. Moisey	14,552 ⁽⁷⁾	*
Theodore W. Mowery	10,563	*
John E. Noone	20,300 ⁽⁸⁾	*
Noble C. Quandel, Jr.	120,447 ⁽⁹⁾	2.8%
Rory G. Ritrievi	13,152 ⁽¹⁰⁾	*
William A. Specht, III	36,750 ⁽¹¹⁾	*
Michael D. Peduzzi	4,000 ⁽¹²⁾	*
Scott W. Micklewright	4,121 ⁽¹³⁾	*
Justin T. Webb	1,951 ⁽¹⁴⁾	*
Kelly K. Neiderer	2,597 ⁽¹⁵⁾	*
Joseph L. Paese	2,032 ⁽¹⁶⁾	*
Edward P. Williams	1,249 ⁽¹⁷⁾	*
All Officers and Directors as a Group (18 persons)	332,038 ⁽¹⁸⁾	7.6%

* Does not exceed 1% of the class based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 1, 2017.

- (1) Except as noted below, each of the identified beneficial owners, including the officers, directors and nominees for director, has sole investment and voting power as to all the shares beneficially owned with the exception of those held jointly by certain officers, directors and nominees for director with their spouses or directly by their spouses or other relatives.
- (2) Shares held jointly by Mr. Abel and his spouse in a Trust.
- (3) Shares held jointly by Mr. Boyer and his spouse.
- (4) Includes 78 shares held by Mr. DeSoto as a 50% owner in a partnership account, for which he has sole voting power.
- (5) Shares held jointly by Mr. Kerwin and his spouse.
- (6) Shares held jointly by Mr. Klinger and his spouse.

- (7) Includes 7,668 shares held jointly by Mr. Moisey and his spouse and 2,393 shares held by Mr. Moisey's spouse.
- (8) Shares held by Mr. Noone in a Trust.
- (9) Includes 53,532 shares held by Mr. Quandt's spouse.
- (10) Includes 3,834 shares held jointly by Mr. Ritrievi and his spouse and excludes 2,500 shares of restricted stock.
- (11) Includes 3,405 shares held jointly by Mr. Specht and his spouse. Mr. Specht is trustee of a family trust of which 15,783 shares are held for the benefit of Janet E. Specht and 15,427 shares are held for the benefit of William A. Specht, Jr.

Table of Contents

- (12) Excludes 1,000 shares of restricted stock.
 (13) Shares held jointly by Mr. Micklewright and his spouse and excludes 1,075 shares of restricted stock.
 (14) Includes 701 shares held jointly by Mr. Webb and his spouse and excludes 1,075 shares of restricted stock.
 (15) Shares held jointly by Ms. Neiderer and her spouse and excludes 1,075 shares of restricted stock.
 (16) Excludes 1,500 shares of restricted stock.
 (17) Excludes 470 shares of restricted stock.
 (18) Excludes 8,695 shares of restricted stock.

Directors and Executive Officers of Mid Penn***Governance***

The Mid Penn board of directors believes that the purpose of corporate governance is to ensure that it maximizes shareholder value in a manner consistent with legal requirements and the highest standards of integrity. The Mid Penn board of directors has adopted and adheres to corporate governance practices, which the Mid Penn board of directors and senior management believe promote this purpose, are sound and represent best practices. These governance practices, Pennsylvania law (the state of incorporation), the rules and listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) regulations, as well as best practices suggested by recognized governance authorities are continually reviewed.

Currently, the Mid Penn board of directors has twelve members. Under the NASDAQ standards for independence, the following directors meet the standards for independence: Robert A. Abel, Steven T. Boyer, Matthew G. DeSoto, Robert C. Grubic, Gregory M. Kerwin, Robert E. Klinger, Robert J. Moisey, Theodore W. Mowery, John E. Noone, Noble C. Quandel, Jr. and William A. Specht, III. This constitutes more than a majority of the Mid Penn board of directors. Only independent directors serve on the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.

In determining the directors' independence, the Mid Penn board of directors considered loan transactions between Mid Penn Bank and the directors, their family members and businesses with whom they are associated, as well as any contributions made to non-profit organizations with whom they are associated.

The table below includes a description of other categories or types of transactions, relationships or arrangements considered by the Mid Penn board of directors (in addition to those listed above) in reaching its determination that the directors are independent.

Name	Independent	Other Transactions/Relationships/Arrangements
Mr. Abel	Yes	None
Mr. Boyer	Yes	None
Mr. DeSoto	Yes	Company donation to charitable fundraiser coordinated by Director
Mr. Grubic	Yes	Leasehold arrangement; Environmental assessments
Mr. Kerwin	Yes	Legal services
Mr. Klinger	Yes	Supplies; Company donation to charitable fundraiser coordinated by Director
Mr. Moisey	Yes	None
Mr. Mowery	Yes	Insurance commissions
Mr. Noone	Yes	Leasehold arrangements
Mr. Quandel	Yes	Leasehold arrangements; Bank facility renovations

Mr. Specht	Yes	None
------------	-----	------

In each case, the Mid Penn board of directors determined that none of the transactions above impaired the independence of the director. For more information, please refer to Certain Relationships and Related Transactions on page 25.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Mid Penn board of directors and the Mid Penn Bank board of directors each held thirteen meetings. In addition, Mid Penn's independent board members held one independent board meeting during 2016.

Board Leadership Structure

The Mid Penn board of directors is led by a non-executive Chairman selected by the Mid Penn board of directors from time to time. The Chairman of the Board organizes Mid Penn board of directors activities to enable the Mid Penn board of directors to effectively provide guidance to and oversight and accountability of management. To fulfill that role, the Chairman, among other things: creates and maintains an effective working relationship with the Chief Executive Officer and other members of management and with other members of the Mid Penn board of directors; provides the Chief Executive Officer ongoing direction as to Mid Penn board of directors needs, interests and opinions; and assures that the Mid Penn board of directors agenda is appropriately directed to the matters of greatest importance to Mid Penn. In carrying out his responsibilities, the Chairman preserves the distinction between management and oversight, maintaining the responsibility of management to develop corporate strategy and the responsibility of the Mid Penn board of directors to review and express its views on corporate strategy. The functions of the Chairman include:

presiding over all meetings of the Mid Penn board of directors and shareholders, including regular executive sessions of non-management directors of the Mid Penn board of directors;

establishing the annual agenda of the Mid Penn board of directors and the agendas of each meeting in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer;

advising committee chairs, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, on meeting schedules, agenda and information needs for the committees of the Mid Penn board of directors;

defining the subject matter, quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information between management and the Mid Penn board of directors and overseeing the distribution of that information;

coordinating periodic review of management's strategic plan for Mid Penn;

leading the Mid Penn board of directors review of the succession plan for the Chief Executive Officer and other key members of senior management;

serving as Acting Chief Executive Officer in concert with the Mid Penn board of directors or appointing an interim Chief Executive Officer until a successor is selected in the event there is a separation with the existing Chief Executive Officer;

coordinating the annual performance review of the Chief Executive Officer and other key members of senior management;

consulting with committee chairs about the retention of advisors and experts;

acting as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chief Executive Officer on sensitive issues;

working with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to develop and maintain the agreed-on definitions of the role of the Mid Penn board of directors and the organization, processes and governance guidelines necessary to carry it out;

after consulting with other members of the Mid Penn board of directors and the Chief Executive Officer, making recommendations to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as to the membership of various Mid Penn board of directors committees and committee chairs;

working with management on effective communication with shareholders, including being available for consultation and direct communication upon the reasonable request of major shareholders;

encouraging active participation by each member of the Mid Penn board of directors; and

performing such other duties and services as the Mid Penn board of directors may require.

Table of Contents***Risk Oversight by Mid Penn board of directors***

The Mid Penn board of directors oversees all business, property and affairs of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. The Chairman and Mid Penn's officers keep the members of the Mid Penn board of directors informed of Mid Penn's business through meetings and by providing reports and other materials to Mid Penn board of directors members.

In addition to the general oversight of Corporation and Bank business, the Mid Penn board of directors also reviews a comprehensive quarterly Risk Management Report, prepared by Mid Penn Bank's Chief Risk Officer, which includes metrics and trends on ten major risk areas, including cybersecurity, asset quality, interest rate risk, capital adequacy and liquidity.

Code of Ethics

The Mid Penn board of directors and the Mid Penn Bank board of directors have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to its directors, officers and employees. The Code of Ethics encourages individuals to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the Code of Ethics. The Code of Ethics is available under the *Corporate Information* section of the *Investors* page of Mid Penn Bank's website at www.midpennbank.com.

Shareholder Communications

Any shareholder who wishes to communicate with the Mid Penn board of directors may send correspondence to Rory G. Ritrievi, President and Chief Executive Officer, Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061. Written communications received from shareholders are shared with the full Mid Penn board of directors or appropriate committee as warranted. The Mid Penn board of directors has a complaint procedure for communicating accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters. Complaints generated are directed to a separate mailing address, which is under the control of the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Please refer to the *Corporate Information* section of the *Investors* page of Mid Penn's website at www.midpennbank.com for complete details.

A shareholder who intends to nominate an individual for election to the Mid Penn board of directors (other than a candidate proposed by the Mid Penn board of directors) must notify the Secretary of Mid Penn in compliance with the requirements of Article 10, Section 10.1 of Mid Penn's By-laws. Any shareholder who intends to nominate a candidate for election to the Mid Penn board of directors must notify the Secretary of Mid Penn in writing not less than the earlier of (1) 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the initial proxy materials or of a notice of the meeting by Mid Penn in connection with the immediately preceding Annual Meeting of Shareholders (which would be December 7, 2017 for the 2018 annual meeting), or (2) the deadline for submitting shareholder proposals for inclusion in a proxy statement and form of proxy as calculated under Rule 14a-8(e) promulgated by the SEC (which is also December 7, 2017 for the 2018 annual meeting).

Committees and Meetings of the Mid Penn board of directors

During 2016, the Mid Penn board of directors maintained four standing committees: Executive, Audit and Compensation Committees, which jointly serve Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which serves only Mid Penn. Only independent directors serve on the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Current committee membership is displayed in the following table.

Table of ContentsCOMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP TABLE

	<i>Nominating and Corporate Governance (Corporation only)</i>	<i>Executive (Joint)</i>	<i>Audit (Joint)</i>	<i>Compensation (Joint)</i>	<i>Compliance (Bank only)</i>	<i>Loan (Bank only)</i>	<i>Trust (Bank only)</i>	<i>Real Estate (Bank only)</i>
Robert A. Abel			X	X				
Steven T. Boyer					X		X	
Matthew G. DeSoto			X	X		X		
Robert C. Grubic	X	X			X	X		X
Gregory M. Kerwin	X	X			X		X	X
Robert E. Klinger			X				X	
Robert J. Moisey	X		X				X	
Theodore W. Mowery	X				X	X		
John E. Noone	X					X		
Noble C. Quandel, Jr.					X	X		X
Rory G. Ritrievi		X			X	X	X	X
William A. Specht, III		X	X	X		X	X	X
Meetings Held in 2016	1	0	4	4	4	11	4	4

Each of the directors attended at least 75% of the total number of Board meetings and committee meetings for Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank during their tenure on the Mid Penn board of directors in 2016.

The function of each of Mid Penn's committees is described below.

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE. This committee identifies individuals qualified to become members of the Mid Penn board of directors; evaluates and recommends to the Mid Penn board of directors, nominees for each election of directors; and monitors and makes recommendations to the Mid Penn board of directors on other matters of policies and practices relating to corporate governance. All members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are independent (as independence is currently defined by NASDAQ listing standards). Robert C. Grubic serves as Chairman of this committee.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has a charter which is available under the *Corporate Information* section of the *Investors* page of Mid Penn Bank's website at www.midpennbank.com. Candidates for director must be qualified in terms of education, professional experience, business contacts and special skills. Other less tangible, but equally important qualifications include general representation from the markets served, enthusiasm, maturity, reputation, compatibility with other members of the Mid Penn board of directors, diplomacy and independent judgment. In addition, candidates should have a vested interest in Mid Penn through ownership of Corporation stock. Board candidates, upon their appointment, are required to own 500 shares with the understanding that they accumulate a minimum of 2,500 shares in total by the end of their second year on the Mid Penn board of directors. The Mid Penn board of directors recognizes that its members have various abilities to acquire shares beyond the minimum threshold depending on their personal circumstances and may, in special circumstances, extend the two-year period for accumulating 2,500 shares to a longer period of time as determined by the Mid Penn board of directors. Members of the Mid Penn board of directors are encouraged to continue to accumulate shares over time to the extent possible considering their personal circumstances.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to the diversity of Mid Penn's Board members. However, the committee believes it is essential that Board members represent diverse view points and experiences. In considering candidates for the Mid Penn board of directors, the committee considers the entirety of each candidate's credentials in the contexts of these standards.

Table of Contents

When developing a list of potential nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may take into consideration names provided by shareholders, directors or executive management. Information is gathered concerning the potential Board member's location of business and residence, shares owned, profession or business, and deposit and loan relationship with Mid Penn Bank. Personal information about the individual is also gathered to determine if he/she meets the criteria listed in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Policy and Charter. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee screens this information to narrow its search of final candidates to be interviewed. Upon completion of the interviews, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes a final recommendation to the full Board for appointment. All potential candidates are screened by the same process regardless of whether they are recommended by a shareholder or by another party.

EXECUTIVE. This committee may exercise the authority of the Mid Penn board of directors in the intervals between the meetings of the Mid Penn board of directors so far as may be permitted by law. Robert C. Grubic serves as Chairman of this committee.

AUDIT. This committee oversees audit coverage, selects the independent registered public accounting firm, reviews the annual and quarterly financial statements of Mid Penn and auditor's reports, and monitors with management and the auditor the system of internal controls and its accounting and reporting practices. All members of the Audit Committee are non-employee directors and are independent (as independence is currently defined by NASDAQ listing standards). The Audit Committee has a charter which is available under the *Corporate Information* section of the *Investors* page of Mid Penn Bank's website at www.midpennbank.com. Matthew G. DeSoto serves as Chairman of this committee, and Robert A. Abel and John E. Noone serve as the Audit Committee Financial Experts.

COMPENSATION. This committee assures that senior executives are compensated effectively in a manner consistent with Mid Penn Bank's compensation strategy, internal equity considerations, competitive practice and the requirements of the appropriate regulatory bodies. This committee also reviews salary adjustments, compensation and benefits programs for all employees and makes recommendations to the Mid Penn board of directors. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent (as independence is currently defined by NASDAQ listing standards). The Compensation Committee has a charter which is available under the *Corporate Information* section of the *Investors* page of Mid Penn Bank's website at www.midpennbank.com. Theodore W. Mowery serves as Chairman of this committee.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS***Executive Officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank*

The following table sets forth, as of the date of this proxy statement, selected information about Mid Penn's and Mid Penn Bank's executive officers, each of whom is elected by the Mid Penn board of directors and each of whom holds office at the Mid Penn board of directors's discretion.

Principal Occupation for the Past Five Years and Position Held with

Name	Age	Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Rory G. Ritrievi	53	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank since 2009.
Michael D. Peduzzi	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank since March 2016. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. and PeoplesBank, York, PA from March 2014 to March 2016, and as Principal of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. from July 2011 to February 2014.
Scott W. Micklewright	34	Executive Vice President of Mid Penn Bank since February 2013 and Chief Lending Officer of Mid Penn Bank since June 2010. He served as Senior Vice President from June 2010 to February 2013.
Justin T. Webb	34	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of Mid Penn Bank since July 2014. He served as Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Mid Penn Bank from July 2012 to July 2014. He was previously employed by Bank of America and served as Vice President Credit Risk Manager for the Commercial Real Estate Bank from January 2010 to July 2012.
Kelly K. Neiderer	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Retail Officer of Mid Penn Bank since March 2014. She previously served as Senior Vice President and Senior Banking Officer of Mid Penn Bank from January 2013 to March 2014. From July 2008 through September 2012, she held various positions with PNC Bank, most recently as a Senior Banking Advisor in the Wealth Management group.
Joseph L. Paese	57	Executive Vice President and Director of Trust and Wealth Management of Mid Penn Bank since April 2016. He previously served as Managing Executive of First National Investment Advisors (RIA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of First National Trust Company (Pittsburgh/Hermitage, PA).

Table of Contents**INFORMATION REGARDING DIRECTORS*****Class A Directors (to serve until 2020)***

Robert C. Grubic, age 66, has been a director since 2006. In 1989, Mr. Grubic became President and Chief Executive Officer of Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc., a consulting engineering firm based in Harrisburg, PA. He has been employed by the firm and its predecessor firm since 1973. Mr. Grubic has a Bachelor of Civil Engineering Degree from Villanova University and a Master of Administration Degree from The Pennsylvania State University. He serves on numerous civic and community boards and groups in the greater Harrisburg area. Mr. Grubic has overall management responsibility of the 240 person engineering firm of Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc., including oversight of all financial, administrative, human resources and technical components of the firm. Due to his many years of experience in managing a successful engineering firm, the Mid Penn board of directors feels Mr. Grubic's vast knowledge of business operations is invaluable and qualifies him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors. Mr. Grubic was appointed Chairman of the Board of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank in 2012, after previously serving as Vice Chairman. Mr. Grubic also serves as Chairman of the Executive and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.

Robert J. Moisey, age 68, became a director on March 1, 2015, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 27, 2014, by and between Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. Mr. Moisey joined the Miners Bank Board in 2011 and the Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. Board in 2012. He and his wife own The Laurels Senior Living, Inc., a family-owned and operated 100 bed personal care home in Hazleton, PA, of which Mr. Moisey is President/Administrator. Mr. Moisey has a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Biology from Kutztown University and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Education from Kings College. He is also a Certified Personal Care Home Administrator. Mr. Moisey previously owned and operated cable television companies, area radio stations, real estate management companies, video and appliance stores, and served on various bank Boards, including Greater Pennsylvania Savings & Loan, Pennsylvania National Bank and Legacy Bank. Mr. Moisey currently serves on the Boards of Helping Hands Society, Hazleton Animal Shelter, Can Do Executive Committee, Can Do Foundation and is a member of Elks, Valley Kiwanis, Knights of Columbus and Valley Country Club. He is past chairman of the Board of Can Do Inc., past co-chair of the United Way Campaign, past Board member of St. Joseph's Hospital, Great Valley Tech Alliance, Bishop Hafey High School, Penns Northeast and Maylath Valley Health Systems. He received Outstanding Citizen awards from The American Cancer Society, Helping Hands, Tri Area Beverage Association, Lutheran Welfare Services, Hospice and numerous cable television and broadcasting awards. He received the Boy Scouts Distinguished Citizens Award in 2011 and the Junior Achievement Business Hall of Fame Laureate in 2012. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Moisey's vast knowledge of business operations and his community involvement qualify him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors as well as on the Audit and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Mr. Moisey also serves as Chairman of Mid Penn Bank's Luzerne County Business Development Advisory Board.

John E. Noone, age 58, has been a director since 2012. Mr. Noone is President of Shamrock Investments, LLC, a Central Pennsylvania firm investing in commercial real estate and private business equity. He began his career in Accounting and became a Pennsylvania Certified Public Accountant before entering banking and corporate finance. As a banker, Mr. Noone managed a \$300 million Commercial Banking Division of Pennsylvania National Bank as Vice President and Regional Commercial Banking Manager in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. He became an entrepreneur with the acquisition of McCann School of Business in 1993, which after significant growth, was sold in late 2002. In 2003, Mr. Noone was a co-founder of Higher Education Solutions, LLC and began developing privatized student apartments for colleges and universities. The apartment portfolio of HES was sold in late 2007, and Mr. Noone formed Shamrock Investments, LLC. Mr. Noone earned a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business with a concentration in Accounting from Marywood University. He also completed the Central Pennsylvania School of

Commercial Banking at Bucknell University and the Advanced Commercial Lending School at the University of Virginia. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Noone previously served on the Board of the Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing

Table of Contents

Authority, Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry, Pennsylvania Association of Private School Administrators and various other civic and community organizations. He is a current member of the Operational Committee of the Pennsylvania Community Development Bank and the Board of Governors at the West Shore Country Club, where he serves as President. Due to his extensive business experience and his accounting and banking background, the Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Noone adds value to Mid Penn and is qualified to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors and as a Financial Expert on the Audit Committee. Mr. Noone also serves on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Noble C. Quandel, Jr., age 70, became a director on March 1, 2015, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 27, 2014, by and between Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. Mr. Quandel joined the Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. Board in 2004, where he served most recently as Vice Chairman. Mr. Quandel is Chairman and CEO of Quandel Enterprises, Inc. in Harrisburg, PA, a company that provides construction, construction management, engineering and development services in the Mid-Atlantic and Mid-West regions. Mr. Quandel is a graduate of Bloomsburg University. Upon graduation, he continued working in the company business with his parents and assumed the position of President and Chief Executive Officer from his father in 1976. Under his management, the Company has grown to operate twelve offices in a geographical area which encompasses the Mid-Atlantic and Mid-West regions. Mr. Quandel currently serves as Chair of the Board of Directors of the Northeastern Pennsylvania Alliance (NEPA) and serves on the Board of Directors of Schuylkill Economic Development Corporation, Keystone Contractors Association, Minersville Area Community Improvement Committee and Trustee of the Schuylkill YMCA. He has served as Past Chairman of the Easter Seals of Central Pennsylvania's inaugural Capital Campaign and has been active with Hawk Mountain Council of Boy Scouts of America for over 25 years. He has served as Chairman of the Hawk Mountain Council's Angel Dinner and is currently a member of their Executive Advisory Board. Mr. Quandel has been a guest lecturer at Bucknell University and The Pennsylvania State University. He is a past member of the Board of Directors of Pennsylvania National Bank and is also Past Chairman of the Board of Directors of Keystone Contractors Association and Schuylkill Economic Development Corporation. He is a past member of the Board of Directors of Capital Region Economic Development Corporation and Schuylkill Chamber of Commerce. In 2002, Mr. Quandel was the recipient of the Torch of Liberty Award from the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, which celebrates families with a tradition of community service who have passed the torch of good deeds, leadership and philanthropy to the next generation. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Quandel's vast knowledge of business operations and his community involvement qualify him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors and on the Compensation Committee. Mr. Quandel also serves as Chairman of Mid Penn Bank's Schuylkill County Business Development Advisory Board.

Class B Directors (to serve until 2018)

Steven T. Boyer, age 54, has been a director since 2011. Mr. Boyer is President of the Cutting Tool Divisions of Alvord-Polk, Inc. in Millersburg, PA. He is a College of Business graduate from The Pennsylvania State University. Mr. Boyer is a member of the National Aerospace Standards 897 & 898 Technical Committees, a Director on the Board of the United States Cutting Tool Institute and a member of Gideons International. He formerly served as a Board member of the Maroon & Gold Foundation, President of the Millersburg Area Chamber of Commerce, a member of the Consumer Advisory Board of Capital Blue Cross, a School Board Director of the Millersburg Area School District, Assistant and Head Coach of the Millersburg High School varsity football team and was a member and President of the Millersburg Lions Club. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Boyer's vast business experience and community involvement qualify him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors and on the Compensation Committee, adding value to Mid Penn.

Gregory M. Kerwin, age 66, has been a director since 1999. Prior to that time, he served as a Director and Solicitor for Miners Bank of Lykens for eleven years. Mr. Kerwin is the senior partner in the firm of Kerwin & Kerwin, LLP,

Attorneys at Law in Elizabethville, PA. The focus of his firm is on real estate, estates and trusts, commercial and municipal law. Currently, the firm represents nine municipal governments and authorities in Dauphin and Schuylkill Counties. Over the past 41 years, Mr. Kerwin and his firm have handled thousands of

Table of Contents

real estate transactions, both residential and commercial. Mr. Kerwin's experience and contacts in the community have made him keenly aware of local banking needs. As a result of his association with these organizations, Mr. Kerwin is keenly aware of the strengths, assets and needs of Mid Penn Bank's local communities. Mr. Kerwin earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political Science from The Pennsylvania State University and a Juris Doctor from the Dickinson School of Law in Carlisle, PA. Because of his legal expertise, his knowledge in managing his law firm and his knowledge of Mid Penn Bank's local communities, the Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Kerwin adds value to Mid Penn and is qualified to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors, as well as on the Executive and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.

Robert E. Klinger, age 60, has been a director since 2012. Mr. Klinger is President of Klinger Lumber Company, Inc., a family-owned business in Elizabethville, PA. As President, Mr. Klinger is involved in all aspects of the business including sales, marketing, inventory management, accounts receivable, accounts payable, human resources, safety programs and financial report analysis. He holds a degree in Marketing from Shippensburg University. From 1979 to 1991, he served as General Manager of a family-owned farming operation in Stanfield, AZ. Mr. Klinger is a member of the Elizabethville Community Volunteer Association and the Executive Board of the Elizabethville Area Bicentennial Committee. He previously served as Treasurer of the Northern Dauphin Revitalization Project. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Klinger's extensive knowledge of business operations and his service to the community qualify him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors as well as on the Audit Committee.

Rory G. Ritrievi, age 53, has been a director since 2009. On February 25, 2009, Mr. Ritrievi joined Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank as President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Ritrievi has worked in the financial services industry for more than 30 years. He previously served as Senior Executive Vice President/Market President and Chief Lending Officer of Commerce Bank/Harrisburg, where he managed all aspects of the customer experience including the retail network, lending, marketing and public relations. As Chief Lending Officer, Mr. Ritrievi was responsible for loan production, credit quality and credit administration. Mr. Ritrievi holds a Juris Doctor from Widener University School of Law and a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Economics from the University of Pittsburgh. He is a licensed, but non-practicing attorney in the state of Pennsylvania. He is currently serving on the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia's Community Depository Institution Advisory Council and the Advisory Board for Widener Law Commonwealth's Business Advising Certificate Program, and previously served on the Board of Directors of the Pennsylvania Association of Community Bankers. Because of his education and leadership skills and his extensive knowledge and experience in many phases of banking, the Mid Penn board of directors concluded that Mr. Ritrievi is the right person to lead Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and that these attributes qualify him to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and also as a member of both Boards. He also serves on the Executive Committee. Mr. Ritrievi has been a valuable addition to Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank.

Class C Directors (to serve until 2019)

Robert A. Abel, age 59, has been a director since 2011. Mr. Abel, a Certified Public Accountant and Certified Specialist in Estate Planning, is a Principal, Shareholder and a member of the Board of Directors of Brown Schultz Sheridan & Fritz, in Camp Hill, PA. This regional CPA firm with two offices provides tax, audit and consulting services. Mr. Abel has written many articles and participated in seminars on the topics of estate planning, wealth transfer and financial planning for the closely held corporation. He received a Bachelor of Science Degree from Shippensburg University, from which he graduated Summa Cum Laude. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the National Association of Estate Planners & Councils. He served as past Chairman of the Harrisburg YMCA and assisted in founding a non-profit organization. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Abel's vast knowledge in the accounting industry qualifies him to serve as a member of the Mid Penn board of directors, as Financial Expert of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee, adding great value to Mid Penn.

Table of Contents

Matthew G. DeSoto, age 40, has been a director since 2008. In 2013, Mr. DeSoto became President and Chief Executive Officer of MI Windows and Doors, Inc. in Gratz, PA, where he previously served as Chief Operating Officer. MI Windows and Doors is a nationwide fabricator of window and patio door products for residential projects. Mr. DeSoto serves on the Four Diamonds Advisory Board, the Board of the MI Foundation, and is an active member of the Young Presidents Organization. Mr. DeSoto attended The Pennsylvania State University obtaining a Bachelor of Science Degree in Marketing. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that as President and Chief Executive Officer of MI Windows and Doors, Inc., Mr. DeSoto's knowledge in all aspects of business operations is an asset to Mid Penn and qualifies him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors. Mr. DeSoto serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and is a member of the Compensation Committee.

Theodore W. Mowery, age 59, has been a director since 2003. Mr. Mowery is a partner with Gunn Mowery, LLC in Camp Hill, PA. He is a licensed agent in life, health, property and casualty insurance and holds Securities Series 6 and 63 licenses. Mr. Mowery is a founding partner of Gunn Mowery LLC, who currently employs 70 people and manages over \$150 million in insurance premiums. He is a founding partner in Gaughen Insurance, Inc. and is also a partner in Gunn Mowery Properties, LLC, a real estate holding company. Mr. Mowery serves as a member of the Estate Planning Council of Central Pennsylvania. He formerly served as President of the Camp Hill School Board, President of the Mental Health Association of Cumberland, Dauphin and Perry Counties and Board member of the Lion Foundation and the West Shore Country Club. Mr. Mowery has a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Gettysburg College. Due to his vast knowledge of the insurance services industry and his experience in managing all aspects of his company, the Mid Penn board of directors has determined that Mr. Mowery adds value to Mid Penn and is qualified to serve as a member of the Mid Penn board of directors, as well as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

William A. Specht, III, age 55, has been a director since 2006. Mr. Specht is President and Chief Executive Officer of Seal Glove Manufacturing, Inc. and Ark Safety. As President and Chief Executive Officer of his business affiliations, Mr. Specht is knowledgeable in all aspects of business operations. He also serves on the Boards of Directors of both companies. Mr. Specht was appointed by the Dauphin County Commissioners to serve on the Dauphin County Planning Commission and currently serves as Chairman. Mr. Specht previously served as a Board member of MANTEC, a non-profit corporation, a member of the Consumer Advisory Board of Capital Blue Cross and as a School Board Director of the Millersburg Area School District. Mr. Specht is an active member of the Millersburg community and has served and continues to serve in various capacities with various organizations. The Mid Penn board of directors has determined Mr. Specht's knowledge and management of his business affiliations add value to Mid Penn and qualify him to serve on the Mid Penn board of directors. In 2012, Mr. Specht was appointed Vice Chairman of the Board of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Mr. Specht also serves on the Audit, Compensation and Executive Committees.

Table of Contents***Compensation of the Mid Penn Board of Directors***

Mid Penn Bank participates in the American Bankers Association Compensation & Benefits Survey, which includes a survey of director fees and benefits. Mid Penn Bank also periodically conducts a survey of director fees, committee fees and other director compensation of banks that are similar in size and in similar markets to Mid Penn Bank. The Compensation Committee reviews these surveys and recommends to the full Board any changes to the compensation of Board members for the upcoming year. The Mid Penn board of directors has final approval of the compensation of its directors. Board members, with the exception of the Chairman and Vice Chairman, received an annual Board fee of \$18,000 for the year 2016. The Chairman and Vice Chairman received an annual fee of 1.75 times and 1.50 times the annual Board fee, respectively. Independent Board Meeting fees and committee meeting fees are paid per meeting attended at the following rates:

Independent Board Meetings	\$ 500
Audit Committee Meetings	\$ 500
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Meetings	\$ 400
Executive Committee Meetings	\$ 400
Compensation Committee Meetings	\$ 400
Compliance Committee Meetings (Bank only committee)	\$ 300
Loan Committee Meetings (Bank only committee)	\$ 300
Trust Committee Meetings (Bank only committee)	\$ 300
Real Estate Committee Meetings (Bank only committee)	\$ 300

Mr. Noone serves on Mid Penn Bank's Asset/Liability Committee as a Board representative and receives \$250 for attending meetings, of which there were five held in 2016. Mr. Ritrievi did not receive an annual fee for serving on the Mid Penn board of directors nor did he receive any fees for attending committee meetings. In 2016, the Mid Penn board of directors was paid \$289,150 in the aggregate, for all Board fees and fees for committee meetings attended. Additionally, Messrs. Moisey and Quandel were paid \$950 and \$250, respectively, for attending Mid Penn Bank Business Development Advisory Board Meetings.

Mid Penn Bank maintains a deferred fee plan for directors, which enables a director to annually elect to defer payment of his fees until he terminates service on Mid Penn Bank's Board. For 2016, the maximum amount each director could defer was \$18,000. Participating directors may elect to receive either a lump sum or equal monthly installments in an amount equal to his deferral account (plus interest) upon retirement, early termination, disability, change in control or a hardship. If a director dies before distribution of his deferral account commences, his designated beneficiary is entitled to receive the amounts in his deferral account or the projected account balance at the time Mid Penn Bank adopted the deferred fee plan (limited by the amount of net coverage purchased by Mid Penn Bank), whichever is greater. For 2016, Messrs. Abel, Grubic, Klinger, Mowery and Noone each deferred \$18,000.

In May 1995, Mid Penn Bank adopted the Mid Penn Bank Director Retirement Plan, which we refer to as the Director Retirement Plan. Under the Director Retirement Plan, Bank directors who terminate their service on the Mid Penn board of directors other than for cause with at least five years of service are eligible to receive a retirement benefit. The five-year service requirement is waived if a director's service is terminated for disability or within 90 days of a change in control. The annual benefit is determined by multiplying the base retirement amount for the director's position (\$611.86 for the Chairman, \$305.93 for all other directors, which reflect the inflationary adjusted rates for 2016) by the number of full years the member served. The plan contains an annual inflationary adjustment provision. Benefits are paid in cash on a quarterly basis and continue for 60 quarterly payments or the director's death, if earlier.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the total compensation that non-employee directors earned for service as directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank for the year ended December 31, 2016.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)⁽¹⁾	Total (\$)
Robert A. Abel	22,100	3,161	25,261
Steven T. Boyer	22,500	2,897	25,397
Matthew G. DeSoto	25,100	2,214	27,314
Robert C. Grubic	38,100	7,231	45,331
Gregory M. Kerwin	22,500	3,669	26,169
Robert E. Klinger	21,700	3,244	24,944
Vincent J. Land ⁽²⁾	8,100		8,100
Robert J. Moisey	22,150 ⁽³⁾		22,150
Theodore W. Mowery	24,700	3,172	27,872
John E. Noone	25,150	3,121	28,271
Noble C. Quandel, Jr.	21,450		21,450
William A. Specht, III	36,800	2,968	39,768

(1) Amounts reflect the present value of the amount accrued to the directors in 2016 for the Mid Penn Bank Director Retirement Plan.

(2) Mr. Land retired from the Mid Penn board of directors at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

(3) Includes \$950 and \$250 in fees paid to Messrs. Moisey and Quandel, respectively, for attending Mid Penn Bank's Business Development Advisory Board Meetings.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS***Introduction***

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the key elements of our compensation program for the following named executive officers:

Rory G. Ritrievi, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank

Michael D. Peduzzi, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank

Scott W. Micklewright, Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of Mid Penn Bank

Justin T. Webb, Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of Mid Penn Bank

Kelly K. Neiderer, Executive Vice President and Chief Retail Officer of Mid Penn Bank

Edward P. Williams, Former Interim Principal Financial Officer of Mid Penn

The Mid Penn board of directors has delegated the task of administering Mid Penn Bank's compensation program to the Compensation Committee, the primary responsibility of which is to assure that the executive officers of Mid Penn and its subsidiaries are compensated effectively in a manner consistent with the stated compensation strategy of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, competitive practice and the requirements of the appropriate regulatory bodies.

Compensation Objectives and Design

Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank seek to serve its shareholders and customers. To better accomplish this, our compensation objectives include offering a fair and competitive compensation package to attract and retain

Table of Contents

competent, dedicated and ambitious managers whose efforts will enhance the products and services of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, resulting in higher profitability, increased dividends to Mid Penn's shareholders and appreciation in market value of Mid Penn's common stock. The main elements of compensation for 2016 for the named executive officers were base salary, bonus, restricted stock awards and retirement and insurance benefits.

Management's Role in Determining Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers the views and recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer in making compensation decisions affecting executive officers who report to him. The Chief Executive Officer's role in recommending compensation and compensation programs is to develop and recommend appropriate performance measures and targets for each individual, to report on the respective individual's performance, to provide data and background material to enable the Compensation Committee to assess the labor market and to make specific recommendations on each named executive officer's respective salary. The Chief Executive Officer does not recommend his own salary and is not present during the Compensation Committee's or Board's discussions or decisions regarding his specific compensation.

Compensation Consultant's Role in Determining Compensation

In 2016, the Compensation Committee hired the independent consulting firm, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, which specializes in executive and board compensation. Meridian provided relevant peer data analysis and advice for consideration by the Committee in evaluating compensation for the named executive officers. The Committee also obtained an industry standard survey of compensation practices for additional consideration.

Results of Shareholder Say on Pay Vote in Determining Compensation

The Compensation Committee reviewed the shareholder response to the non-binding, advisory Say on Pay Vote in the Proxy Statement dated March 28, 2016. Since the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held in 2016 occurred after the increase in base salary was awarded in 2016, the Compensation Committee did not have the opportunity to consider the shareholders' overwhelming approval of executive compensation as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the tabular disclosure when reviewing whether to significantly change Mid Penn's compensation policies and practices.

The Compensation Committee did consider the overwhelming approval of executive compensation as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the tabular disclosure from the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held in 2015, and considering that the compensation and compensation programs did not change significantly, the Compensation Committee continued with its current approach of compensating executives in 2016. The Compensation Committee will consider the results of the meeting held in 2016 in awarding compensation in 2017.

Components of Compensation

Base Salary

Base salary levels are set to attract and retain executives who can further Mid Penn's and Mid Penn Bank's strategic objectives. Base salary is the most significant component of the named executive officers' compensation, and the Compensation Committee reviews it every year to determine whether the salary for each respective named executive officer is at the appropriate level. As mentioned above, the Chief Executive Officer reviews each named executive officer's performance, other than his own, in making specific salary recommendations to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee reviews the Chief Executive Officer's performance in making a specific salary recommendation to the Mid Penn board of directors. The Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee consider the named executive officer's general management performance; policy, procedure, and regulatory compliance; public relations; strategic objectives management; length of service with Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank; and the named executive officer's position in making its determination of the appropriate level of base salary.

Cash Bonus Plan

The Compensation Committee established an incentive compensation plan pursuant to which our named executive officers are eligible to receive a cash bonus for the achievement of corporate performance goals and individual performance goals. In order to receive a payment under the plan, a participant has to be employed prior to September 30th of the previous year and on the date of payout, and both the corporate and individual performance goals, which include actions that can only be taken by a named executive officer between January and March of the year of the payout, must be achieved. With respect to the corporate performance goals for bonuses paid in 2016, we based incentive award opportunities on an annual company-wide budgeted net income available to common shareholders target of \$6,036,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. No specific bonus amount was set at that time, but the maximum amount payable under this plan for bonuses paid in 2016 was capped at \$360,000. The Compensation Committee believes it set the performance measures for bonuses paid in 2016 such that the performance goals were aggressive and, therefore, were reasonably difficult to attain. Based upon publicly reported results for the year ended December 31, 2015, we achieved net income available to common shareholders of \$6,038,000, after taking into account an accrual for the payout under this plan.

The bonus payouts ranged between 1.6% and 9.4% of such executive's base salary amounts and are tied to both company and individual performance. In 2016, we paid a \$35,000 cash bonus to Mr. Ritrievi, a \$15,000 cash bonus to each of Messrs. Micklewright and Webb and Ms. Neiderer; and a \$2,000 cash bonus to Mr. Williams.

2014 Restricted Stock Plan

On May 6, 2014, the shareholders approved the Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. 2014 Restricted Stock Plan. Restricted stock is common stock granted to an employee or director that does not vest until specific conditions are met. Typical conditions include performance goals for the individual or Mid Penn or the individual being required to remain employed with Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank for a specific number of years. Once the conditions are met, then the stock vests and the employee obtains the shares without restrictions. The Mid Penn board of directors believes that restricted stock awards can serve as an important element in attracting and retaining employees and directors who the Mid Penn board of directors expects to contribute to Mid Penn's growth and success. By compensating employees and directors with shares of Corporation stock, the employees and directors have additional incentive to ensure that Mid Penn is successful. Based on the recommendations of the Compensation Committee, the Mid Penn board of directors determines to whom specifically it grants restricted stock. The number of shares of common stock which may be issued under the Restricted Stock Plan may not exceed 100,000 shares. The Compensation Committee may suspend or terminate the Restricted Stock Plan at any time and may amend the Restricted Stock Plan at any time provided that no such amendment may be made without shareholder approval if such approval is required by law, agreement or the rules of any exchange upon which the shares of common stock are listed. In addition, no such amendment, suspension or termination may impair the rights of participants in respect of then outstanding awards awarded under the Restricted Stock Plan without their consent.

Benefit Plans Available for All Employees

Benefit plans are not tied to Bank or individual performance. The cost of providing such plans to all eligible employees and executive officers is not taken into account when determining specific salaries of the named executive officers and is seen as a cost of doing business.

Table of Contents

Group Insurance Plans

The named executive officers participate in benefit plans that are generally available to all employees. These plans include group life insurance, group disability, health insurance and dental and vision benefits. Such plans are standard in the industry and in the geographic area for all industries, as well as necessary to compete for talented employees at all levels of Mid Penn Bank. Employees that waive medical coverage receive \$40 per bi-weekly payroll. Under the group long-term disability plan, Mr. Ritrievi is unable to get the same percentage of coverage as other employees due to the maximum benefit provided for in the plan. In order to afford Mr. Ritrievi the same long-term disability coverage as other employees, a separate supplemental individual policy was purchased with the premium (and Mr. Ritrievi's associated income tax liability) reimbursed by Mid Penn Bank.

Retirement Plan

In an effort to encourage its employees, including the named executive officers, to save for retirement, Mid Penn Bank has established a tax-qualified 401(k) retirement plan for employees. Mid Penn Bank believes that it is important to assist employees in saving for retirement and believes that by providing a mechanism to save for retirement, Mid Penn Bank is providing the named executive officers with incentive to continue in the employ of Mid Penn Bank.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In 2010, the Mid Penn board of directors approved an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Plan) effective January 1, 2011, to provide eligible employees of Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank, including the named executive officers, with an opportunity to purchase shares of common stock of Mid Penn at fair market value through payroll deductions. The Plan provides participants with a convenient method to acquire an interest in the long-term performance and success of Mid Penn, thereby providing them an incentive to ensure the success of Mid Penn.

Company Vehicle and Other Perquisites

The President/Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer, the Executive Vice President/Chief Lending Officer, and the Executive Vice President/Chief Risk Officer are provided a company vehicle. The Executive Vice President/Chief Retail Officer receives a car allowance. Provision of a company vehicle or for a car allowance is standard in the financial services industry as executive officers frequently meet clients and business associates offsite.

Mid Penn provides a limited number of other perquisites, including club memberships, to key executives that Mid Penn believes are customary for such executives and are reasonable for both conducting business and for retaining key executives. These perquisites are a relatively insignificant portion of the respective executive's compensation.

Accounting and Tax Treatments

There were no decisions or changes made to compensation or benefit plans as a result of accounting and/or tax treatments.

Material Differences in Named Executive Officers' Compensation

The differential between salary levels for each of the named executives is primarily driven by their respective positions with consideration also given to the experience and time in their respective positions.

Table of Contents***Employment and Change in Control Agreements******Change in Control Agreements***

On November 1, 2016, Mid Penn entered into change in control agreements (each, an Agreement), with certain of its executive officers, including, Mr. Ritrievi, Mr. Peduzzi, Mr. Micklewright, Mr. Webb, and Ms. Neiderer. Each Agreement has a fixed three-year term commencing on November 1, 2016 and ending on November 1, 2019, with automatic annual one-year renewals of such Agreement thereafter, absent notice of non-renewal from either party.

In the event an executive's employment is terminated on or within 12 months after a change in control during the term of the Agreement either by Mid Penn, other than for death or disability or for a reason other than cause (as defined in the Agreement), or by the executive after the occurrence of certain specified events of good reason described below, Mid Penn will pay the executive, other than Mr. Ritrievi, a lump-sum cash payment equal to two times the executive's highest annual base salary in effect during the 12 months preceding the executive's termination of employment. For Mr. Ritrievi, he would receive a multiple of three. In addition, the executive and the executive's beneficiaries will remain eligible to participate, on the same terms and conditions as apply from time to time to Mid Penn's executive management team, medical, vision and dental programs for two years (three years for Mr. Ritrievi), or a cash payment equal to the estimated after-tax cost to obtain such benefits, or substantially similar benefits, within thirty days following the executive's termination. Payments under the Agreement would be reduced to the extent necessary to avoid an excess parachute payment under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. In the event that the executive becomes entitled to and receives benefits under the Agreement after a change in control, the executive will be subject to a six month non-solicitation covenant. In the event that the executive voluntarily terminates employment during the term of the Agreement prior to a change in control, the executive will be subject to non-compete and non-solicitation covenants for six months.

The specified events of good reason permitting an executive to terminate employment following a change in control and receive payments or benefits under the Agreement include: (i) a diminution in executive's authority, title, duties or responsibilities following a change in control from the authority, title, duties or responsibilities existing as of a change in control; (ii) requirement that the executive perform a substantial portion of his or her duties at a location more than 50 miles from executive's principal executive office on the date of the Agreement; or (iii) a diminution in executive's base salary and other compensation and benefits existing as of a change in control.

If, within ninety days after a termination of executive's employment that entitles such executive to payments under the Agreement, Mid Penn's Board becomes aware of facts that, if known during the executive's employment, it reasonably believes would have justified termination of such executive's employment for cause (as defined in the Agreement), Mid Penn may refrain from paying any unpaid amounts due under the Agreement or require the executive to promptly, but in no event less than ninety days after notice to such executive of such determination by Mid Penn's Board, repay any amounts previously paid or the value of any benefits previously received under the Agreement.

Employment Agreement with Mr. Ritrievi

On November 3, 2016, Mr. Ritrievi entered into an employment agreement with Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, which will be for a period of three years from November 3, 2016 and will automatically renew for a total of three years on each anniversary of November 3, 2016. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Ritrievi will serve as the Chief Executive Officer and President of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, reporting to their respective Boards of Directors. During the term of the employment agreement, Mr. Ritrievi will serve on Mid Penn Bank's Board and will be re-nominated for election to Mid Penn's Board, with Mid Penn's Board recommending such election to its shareholders, for any annual meeting of shareholders at which the Class of directors in which Mr. Ritrievi is serving will be elected.

Mr. Ritrievi s service as Director of Mid Penn, Mid Penn Bank and any affiliate or subsidiary will immediately terminate upon the termination of his employment for any reason.

Table of Contents

Under his employment agreement, Mr. Ritrievi is entitled to, among other things: (i) an annual base salary of no less than \$360,488, (ii) participate in any of Mid Penn's short-term performance plans generally made available to executives of Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank, (iii) such stock based incentives as may be granted from time to time by Mid Penn's Board under Mid Penn's stock based incentive plans and as are consistent with Mr. Ritrievi's responsibilities and performance, (iv) participate in a supplemental executive retirement plan under the terms and conditions as determined by Mid Penn Bank's Board, (v) participate in or receive the benefits of any employee benefit plan currently in effect at Mid Penn Bank, subject to the eligibility and terms of each such plan, (vi) certain other perquisites related to paid annual vacation, personal and sick days, and use of a Corporation automobile in accordance with the automobile policy as established from time to time by Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank. In the event that Mr. Ritrievi becomes entitled to and receives benefits under the Agreement after a change in control, he will be subject to a 12-month non-solicitation covenant. In the event that Mr. Ritrievi voluntarily terminates employment during the term of the Agreement prior to a change in control, he will be subject to non-compete and non-solicitation covenants for 12 months.

The employment agreement and Mr. Ritrievi's employment may be terminated for cause (as defined in the employment agreement) by written notice from Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank. If the employment agreement is terminated for cause, Mr. Ritrievi's rights under the employment agreement terminate as of the effective date of termination. The employment agreement also terminates without further payments to Mr. Ritrievi as of the termination date, in the event of his voluntary termination of employment or death. In the case of his disability (as defined in the employment agreement), Mr. Ritrievi will become eligible for employer-provided short-term and/or long-term disability benefits, or worker's compensation benefits, in which case Mid Penn Bank's obligation to pay Mr. Ritrievi his annual base salary will be reduced by such benefits. Under certain other types of disabilities set forth in the employment agreement, the employment agreement will terminate six months following a determination related to such disability by Mid Penn's Board.

In the event that Mr. Ritrievi's employment is either involuntarily terminated other than for cause, death or disability, he will continue to receive his base salary in effect on the date of termination for a period equal to the greater of the remaining employment term or six months and participate in Mid Penn Bank's life, disability, medical/health insurance and other benefits substantially similar to those which Mr. Ritrievi was receiving during the year prior to the date of termination for the same period described above immediately following the date of termination, or a cash payment equal to the estimated after-tax cost to obtain such benefits, or substantially similar benefits. Additionally, if Mr. Ritrievi's employment is involuntarily terminated other than for cause, death or disability, he may elect, with respect to the automobile made available to him, to, as applicable, purchase such vehicle, assume the lease or return the vehicle to Mid Penn Bank without any further liability.

The employment agreement provides that, in the event that any amounts or benefits payable to Mr. Ritrievi under the employment agreement as a result of his termination of employment, when added to other amounts or benefits which may become payable to him by Mid Penn, would be subject to an excise tax, the amounts and benefits payable under the employment agreement will be reduced to such extent as may be necessary to avoid such excise tax.

Letter Agreement with Mr. Peduzzi

On March 12, 2016, Mr. Peduzzi entered into a letter agreement with Mid Penn Bank, in which the parties agreed that he will serve as Mid Penn's and Mid Penn Bank's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Under his letter agreement, Mr. Peduzzi is entitled to, among other things: (i) an annual base salary of \$205,000; (ii) 1,000 shares of Mid Penn's common stock; (iii) a \$40,000 sign-on bonus, a portion of which is subject to repayment in the event Mr. Peduzzi voluntarily terminates his employment with us or is terminated for cause prior to the fourth anniversary of his employment commencement date; (iv) generally participate in or receive the benefits of the

employee benefit plan currently in effect at Mid Penn Bank; and (v) certain other perquisites related to paid time off, a country club membership, and use of a Corporation automobile in accordance with the automobile policy, as established from time to time by Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank.

Table of Contents

Risk Analysis of Compensation Practices and Policies

Mid Penn Bank reviewed its compensation policies and practices for all employees and determined that they do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Mid Penn has no Compensation Committee interlocks. Messrs. Mowery, Abel, Boyer, DeSoto, Quandel and Specht constitute all of the directors who served on the Compensation Committee at any time during 2016. Each of them is an independent outside director. None of them is a current or former officer or employee of Mid Penn. During 2016, Mid Penn Bank engaged in customary banking transactions and had outstanding loans to certain of its directors, executive officers, members of the immediate families of certain directors and executive officers and their associates. These loans were made in the ordinary course of business and were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not related to the lender. In the opinion of management, these loans do not involve more than normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features. Rory G. Ritrievi, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn, attended Compensation Committee meetings only when and to the extent requested by the Committee. Mr. Ritrievi did not participate in determining his own compensation.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following discussion provides details of the various components of executive compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the total compensation awarded or earned for services in all capacities to Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank for the years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, for the named executive officers (for the year ended December 31, 2016 for Mr. Peduzzi).

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE 2016

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation (\$)	Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Rory G. Ritrievi	2016	371,986		24,825		35,000	2,864	24,921	459,596
	2015	348,938		16,300		30,000	2,812	17,463	415,513
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	339,212		7,935			2,739	18,594	368,480
Michael D. Peduzzi	2016	161,635	40,000	16,550				653	218,838
Executive VP and Chief Financial Officer									
Scott W. Micklewright	2016	196,369		8,275		15,000		10,502	230,146
	2015	180,022		8,150		13,000		14,143	215,315
Executive VP and Chief Lending Officer of Mid Penn Bank	2014	173,234		6,348				7,533	187,115
Justin T. Webb	2016	190,540		8,275		15,000		15,334	229,149
	2015	170,853		8,150		13,000		14,822	206,825
Executive VP and Chief Risk Officer of Mid Penn Bank	2014	157,607		6,348				7,893	171,848
Kelly K. Neiderer	2016	173,927		8,275		15,000		19,668	216,870
	2015	159,448		8,150		13,000		18,867	199,465
Executive VP and Chief Retail Officer of Mid Penn Bank	2014	143,250		6,348				4,158	153,756
Edward P. Williams	2016	123,275				2,000		5,339	130,614
	2015	115,376		6,520		4,000		5,018	130,914
	2014	113,354		5,396				4,930	123,680

Former Interim Principal
Financial Officer of
Mid Penn⁽⁵⁾

- (1) With the exception of Mr. Peduzzi, all salaries paid to the Executive Officers listed were based on 27 pay periods in 2016. (In most years, including 2015 and 2014, there were 26 pay periods.) Mr. Peduzzi was hired on March 21, 2016; therefore, his salary reflects his partial year of employment with us.
- (2) Represents a signing bonus that we awarded to Mr. Peduzzi in connection with his commencement of employment with us pursuant to the terms of his letter agreement, which we describe under Employment and Change in Control Agreements.
- (3) We calculated these amounts using the provisions of ASC Topic 718. Amounts represent the full grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards granted on August 27, 2014, July 24, 2015, and July 25, 2016. Generally, the shares of restricted stock granted vest 25% on each anniversary of the date of grant (each, a vesting tranche), but only if such named executive officer (i) remains continuously employed through the applicable anniversary date and (ii) during each vesting tranche, purchases and holds an equal number of shares. We include the assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts in the footnotes to our audited financial statements included in our respective Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2015, and 2016.
- (4) Includes the amounts on the following table that we paid to or on behalf of the named executive officers.

Table of Contents

(5) Mr. Williams served as our Interim Principal Financial Officer through March 20, 2016, after which time he became our Vice President, Controller and Senior Accounting Manager.

	Year	Ritrievi	Peduzzi	Micklewright	Webb	Neiderer	Williams
401(k) Match	2016	\$ 10,600		\$ 7,855	\$ 7,665	\$ 6,622	\$ 4,931
	2015	\$ 10,600		\$ 7,422	\$ 7,097	\$ 6,315	\$ 4,615
	2014	\$ 10,400		\$ 6,929	\$ 6,304	\$ 3,659	\$ 4,534
Life Insurance	2016	\$ 783	\$ 357	\$ 659	\$ 640	\$ 584	\$ 408
	2015	\$ 783		\$ 623	\$ 587	\$ 552	\$ 403
	2014	\$ 783		\$ 604	\$ 549	\$ 499	\$ 396
Personal Use of Company Vehicle	2016	\$ 1,895	\$ 296	\$ 1,988	\$ 2,714		
	2015	\$ 1,825		\$ 560	\$ 560		
	2014	\$ 2,325					
Car Allowance	2016					\$ 12,462	
	2015			\$ 5,538	\$ 5,538	\$ 12,000	
	2014						
Disability Premium Reimbursement	2016	\$ 4,016					
	2015	\$ 3,850					
	2014	\$ 4,581					
Medical Waiver(*)	2016				\$ 1,080		
	2015				\$ 1,040		
	2014				\$ 1,040		
Tax Reimbursements	2016	\$ 443					
	2015	\$ 405					
	2014	\$ 505					
Country Club Memberships	2016	\$ 7,184			\$ 3,235		
Total	2016	\$ 24,921	\$ 653	\$ 10,502	\$ 15,334	\$ 19,668	\$ 5,339
	2015	\$ 17,463		\$ 14,143	\$ 14,822	\$ 18,867	\$ 5,018
	2014	\$ 18,594		\$ 7,533	\$ 7,893	\$ 4,158	\$ 4,930

(*) The medical waiver payment of \$1,040 for 2014 for Mr. Webb was inadvertently omitted from the Summary Compensation Table in the 2014 proxy statement.

Retirement Plans

Mid Penn does not maintain a defined benefit pension plan for its employees. Mr. Ritrievi, however, participates in the Director Retirement Plan in connection with his service on the Mid Penn board of directors. We describe the Director Retirement Plan under the caption Compensation of the Mid Penn Board of Directors.

Mid Penn Bank also maintains the Mid Penn Bank Retirement Plan, which is a defined contribution plan and covers all eligible Bank employees, including the named executive officers. The Retirement Plan also has a 401(k) provision for all eligible Bank employees. Eligible employees are entitled to receive a share of Mid Penn Bank's discretionary profit sharing contribution to the plan for a year if they are Bank employees on December 31st of that year. For 2016, the Mid Penn board of directors approved a 401(k) safe harbor matching contribution rate of 100% on the first 3% of the employee deferral contributions plus 50% on the next 2% of the employee deferral contributions, up to a maximum Bank contribution of 4%. The Mid Penn board of directors may adjust its matching rate on an annual basis at its discretion.

The Mid Penn board of directors makes discretionary contributions to the Retirement Plan based on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. Mid Penn did not make a discretionary profit-sharing contribution for 2016. Distribution under the plan can be made to participating employees upon retirement, either normal or early retirement as defined in the plan, at death or disability of the participating employee or upon severing employment if either partially or fully vested. The Retirement Plan's discretionary contributions and non-safe harbor contributions are subject to vesting at the rate of 20% per year after the first two years of service with 100% vesting after six full years of service. The 401(k) safe harbor matching contributions are 100% vested when made. Participants are always 100% vested in their own 401(k) contributions. As of December 31, 2016,

Table of Contents

each of the named executive officers was 100% vested in their discretionary accounts, except for Mr. Webb and Ms. Neiderer, who were each 60% vested in their discretionary accounts, and Mr. Peduzzi, who was 0% vested.

The following table sets forth information concerning outstanding equity awards held by each named executive officer as of December 31, 2016.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2016 Year End

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested (\$)
Rory G. Ritrievi	2,500	59,575
Michael D. Peduzzi	1,000	23,830
Scott W. Micklewright	1,075	25,617
Justin T. Webb	1,075	25,617
Kelly K. Neiderer	1,075	25,617
Edward P. Williams	470	11,200

On July 25, 2016, we awarded restricted stock to each of our named executive officers, with the exception of Mr. Williams, under the Restricted Stock Plan, which we describe above under the caption 2014 Restricted Stock Plan. Each named executive officer's shares vest 25% on each anniversary of the date of grant (each, a vesting tranche), but only if the named executive officer (i) remains continuously employed through the applicable anniversary date and (ii) during each vesting tranche, purchases and holds an equal number of shares. In general, a participant will forfeit shares then unvested upon his or her termination of employment for any reason. Upon a participant's death, the participant will vest in unvested shares with respect to the vesting tranche in the year of the participant's death as if the participant remained employed and purchased and held the requisite number of shares for such vesting tranche.

The following table sets forth the number of shares acquired upon the vesting of stock awards by our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested 2016

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of shares acquired on	Value realized on vesting (\$)

	vesting	
	(#)	
Rory G. Ritrievi	375	6,464
Michael D. Peduzzi	0	0
Scott W. Micklewright	225	3,936
Justin T. Webb	225	3,936
Kelly K. Neiderer	225	3,936
Edward P. Williams	185	3,242

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information concerning plans that provide for payments or other benefits at, following, or in connection with, retirement for each of our named executive officers.

Pension Benefits 2016

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Rory G. Ritrievi	Director Retirement Plan	7	\$ 21,055	\$ 0

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

Each of the named executive officers would be entitled to certain contractual benefits if their employment terminates under certain circumstances preceding or following a change in control. The agreements are described under the caption Employment and Change in Control Agreements included in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. We calculated the potential post-employment payments due to each of these named executive officers assuming each named executive officer terminated employment or a change in control occurred on December 31, 2016. Actual amounts payable can only be determined at the time of such executive's termination.

		Before Change in Control				After Change in Control	
		Termination for Disability	Termination on account of Death	Involuntary Termination without Cause	Voluntary Termination for Good Reason	Involuntary Termination without Cause	Voluntary Termination for Good Reason
Rory G. Ritrievi	Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 179,777.00	\$ 0	\$ 1,008,243	\$ 1,008,243	\$ 1,081,464	\$ 1,081,464
	Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾	\$ 11,089	\$ 0	\$ 66,853	\$ 66,853	\$ 65,798	\$ 65,798
	Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$ 0	\$ 17,873	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 59,575	\$ 59,575
	Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	(\$ 143,060)	(\$ 143,060)
	Total	\$ 190,866	\$ 17,873	\$ 1,075,096	\$ 1,075,096	\$ 1,063,777	\$ 1,063,777

Michael D. Peduzzi	Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 410,000	\$ 410,000
		\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 33,330	\$ 33,330

Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾									
Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$	0	\$ 5,958	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 23,830	\$ 23,830
Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total	\$	0	\$ 5,958	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 467,160	\$ 467,160

Scott W. Micklewright

Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 380,599	\$ 380,599
Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 42,464	\$ 42,464
Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$	0	\$ 8,341	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 25,617	\$ 25,617
Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total	\$	0	\$ 8,341	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 448,680	\$ 448,680

Table of Contents

		Before Change in Control			After Change in Control		
		Termination for Disability	Termination on account of Death	Termination without Cause	Termination for Good Reason	Termination without Cause	Termination for Good Reason
Justin T. Webb	Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 369,301	\$ 369,301
	Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$ 0	\$ 8,341	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 25,617	\$ 25,617
	Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Total	\$ 0	\$ 8,341	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 394,919	\$ 394,919
Kelly K. Neiderer	Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 337,102	\$ 337,102
	Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 30,680	\$ 30,680
	Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$ 0	\$ 8,341	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 25,617	\$ 25,617
	Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Total	\$ 0	\$ 8,341	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 393,400	\$ 393,400
Edward P. Williams	Severance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Welfare continuation ⁽²⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Value of accelerated restricted stock	\$ 0	\$ 4,409	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 11,200	\$ 11,200
	Potential reduction in payout due to operation of Code Section 280G	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 11,200	\$ 11,200

(1)

For severance and welfare continuation payment calculation, and time and form of such payments, see
Employment and Change in Control Agreements.

(2) Assumes no increase in the cost of welfare benefits.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Mid Penn Bank is party to a lease agreement with an entity that is an affiliate of Mr. Noone, a member of Mid Penn s Board, in connection with a retail branch property located in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, which has a remaining initial term of 18 years. Following our acquisition of Phoenix Bancorp, Inc., Mid Penn Bank assumed a lease agreement with the same entity in connection with a retail branch property located in Frackville, Pennsylvania, which has a remaining initial term of 20 years. The aggregate lease payments to such entity, of which Mr. Noone is a 49% limited partner, totaled approximately \$167,000 during 2016. In determining director independence, the Mid Penn board of directors considered these lease arrangements and concluded they do not impact the independence of Mr. Noone.

Neither Mid Penn nor Mid Penn Bank have entered into any other material transactions, proposed or consummated, with any other director or executive officer of Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank, or any associate of the foregoing persons. From time to time, Mid Penn Bank has engaged in and intends to continue to engage in banking and financial transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors and officers and their associates on comparable terms with similar interest rates as those prevailing from time to time for other customers.

Related party transactions greater than \$50,000 must be approved by the Mid Penn board of directors prior to any commitment by Mid Penn Bank to any such transaction. Directors do not participate in the discussions and are not present for voting on their own related party transactions. All of the material terms, conditions and purpose of the transaction shall be described in writing and provided to the Mid Penn board of directors, together with the written request for approval of any such related party transaction. The transaction should be reviewed and approved by the appropriate senior officer before being submitted to the Mid Penn board of directors for approval. Related party transactions for ongoing or continuing services can be reviewed and pre-approved within reasonable parameters by the Mid Penn board of directors on an as-needed basis. If the terms, pricing or conditions change so as to go outside the specified parameters cited in the request, the transactions shall be resubmitted for review and approval after the fact.

Mid Penn Bank has made loans to Mid Penn s and Mid Penn Bank s officers and directors and their immediate families and companies in which they had an ownership interest of 10% or more. Loans to such persons were made in the ordinary course of business, were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the lender and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Table of Contents

THE SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to Scottsdale shareholders by Scottsdale's board of directors in connection with the solicitation of proxies from Scottsdale shareholders for use at the special meeting of Scottsdale shareholders and any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting will be held on November 17, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., local time, at the Pleasant Valley Country Club, 440 Pleasant Valley Road, Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425, subject to any adjournments or postponements.

Matters to be Considered

At the special meeting, Scottsdale shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

1. adoption of the merger agreement as described in detail under the heading *The Merger* beginning on page 41; and
2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

The close of business on September 29, 2017 has been fixed by Scottsdale's board of directors as the record date for the determination of those holders of Scottsdale common stock who are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting.

At the close of business on the record date there were 50,718 shares of Scottsdale common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Quorum and Required Vote

Each holder of record of shares of Scottsdale common stock as of the Scottsdale record date is entitled to cast one vote per share at the special meeting on each proposal. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. The affirmative vote at the Scottsdale special meeting, in person or by proxy, of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Scottsdale common stock is required to approve the merger agreement. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of a majority of votes cast at the Scottsdale special meeting is required to approve the proposal to adjourn the Scottsdale special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

How Shares Will Be Voted at the Special Meeting

All shares of Scottsdale common stock represented by properly executed proxies received before or at the special meeting, and not properly revoked, will be voted as specified in the proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement and **FOR** the adjournment or

postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

If you hold shares of Scottsdale common stock in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee holder, the nominee holder may only vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give specific instructions to your nominee holder as to how you want your shares voted, your nominee will indicate that it does not have authority to vote on the proposal, which will result in what is called a broker non-vote. Broker non-votes will be counted for determining whether there is a quorum present at the special meeting, but they will

Table of Contents

not be deemed to have been voted on any of the proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to the merger agreement will effectively act as no votes on such proposal, but will not affect the outcomes of the other proposals.

If any other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, the proxies named in the proxy card will have discretion to vote the shares represented by duly executed proxies in their sole discretion.

How to Vote Your Shares

Scottdale shareholders may vote in person at the special meeting or by one of the following methods:

Voting by Mail. You may vote by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting in Person. If you attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting. Should you have any questions on the procedure for voting your shares, please contact Scottdale's Corporate Secretary, Robert B. Ferguson, telephone (724) 887-8330.

How to Change Your Vote

If you are a registered shareholder, you may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to the Corporate Secretary of Scottdale, or (3) attending the special meeting in person and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The Scottdale Secretary's mailing address is 150 Pittsburgh Street, Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy instructions by informing the holder of record in accordance with that entity's procedures.

In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, Scottdale will request that banks, brokers and other record holders send proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of Scottdale common stock and secure their voting instructions. Scottdale will reimburse the record holders for their reasonable expenses in taking those actions. If necessary, Scottdale may use several of its regular employees, who will not be specially compensated, to solicit proxies from Scottdale shareholders, either personally or by telephone, facsimile, letter or other electronic means. Mid Penn and Scottdale will share equally the expenses incurred in connection with the copying, printing and distribution of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for their special meetings.

Scottdale Affiliate Letter Agreements

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Scottdale and their affiliates had the right to vote 28,913 shares of Scottdale common stock, or 57% of the outstanding Scottdale common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and the executive officers of Scottdale has agreed to vote all shares of Scottdale common stock owned by him in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Attending the Meeting

All holders of Scottsdale common stock, including shareholders of record and shareholders who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting.

Table of Contents

Shareholders of record can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without both proper proof of share ownership and proper photo identification.

SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1

ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT

Scottdale is asking its shareholders to adopt the merger agreement. For a detailed discussion of the merger, including the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, see *The Merger*, beginning on page 41. As discussed in detail in the sections entitled *The Merger*, *Scottdale's Reasons for the Merger*, and *Recommendation of Scottdale's Board of Directors*, beginning on pages 43 and 45, respectively, after careful consideration, the Scottdale board of directors determined that the terms of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it are in the best interests of Scottdale's shareholders and the board unanimously approved the merger agreement. **Accordingly, Scottdale's board of directors unanimously recommends that Scottdale shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement.**

SCOTTDALE SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2

AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS

General

If, at the Scottdale special meeting, the number of shares of Scottdale common stock, present in person or by proxy, is insufficient to constitute a quorum or the number of shares of Scottdale common stock voting in favor is insufficient to adopt the merger agreement, Scottdale management intends to adjourn the special meeting in order to enable the Scottdale board of directors more time to solicit additional proxies. In that event, Scottdale will ask its shareholders to vote only upon the adjournment proposal and not the proposal relating to adoption of the merger agreement.

In this proposal, Scottdale is asking you to grant discretionary authority to the holder of any proxy solicited by the Scottdale board of directors so that such holder can vote in favor of the proposal to adjourn the special meeting to solicit additional proxies. If the shareholders of Scottdale approve the adjournment proposal, Scottdale could adjourn the special meeting, and any adjourned session of the special meeting, and use the additional time to solicit additional proxies, including the solicitation of proxies from shareholders who have previously voted.

Generally, if the special meeting is adjourned, no notice of the adjourned meeting is required to be given to shareholders, other than an announcement at the special meeting of the place, date and time to which the meeting is adjourned.

Recommendation of the Scottdale Board of Directors

The Scottdale board of directors recommends a vote FOR the proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting of shareholders to allow time for the further solicitation of proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT THE SCOTTDALE BANK & TRUST COMPANY*****Business******The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company***

Scottdale is a Pennsylvania bank and trust company with its headquarters in Scottdale, Pennsylvania. At June 30, 2017, Scottdale had total consolidated assets of \$259.2 million. Scottdale common stock is traded on the OTC Pink Market under the symbol SDLJ.

The principal executive offices of Scottdale are located at 150 Pittsburgh Street, Scottdale, Pennsylvania 15683, and its telephone number is (724) 887-8330.

Competition

Scottdale's core service area consists primarily of Fayette and Westmoreland Counties, Pennsylvania. Within this service area, the banking business is highly competitive. Scottdale competes with local banks, as well as numerous regionally based commercial banks, most of which have assets, capital and lending limits far larger than those of Scottdale. Scottdale also competes with savings banks, savings and loan associations, money market funds, insurance companies, stock brokerage firms, regulated small loan companies, and credit unions. The industry competes primarily in the area of interest rates, products offered, customer service and convenience.

Many of Scottdale's competitors enjoy several advantages over it, including larger asset and capital bases, the ability to finance wide-ranging advertising campaigns and to allocate their investment assets to areas of highest yield and demand.

Properties

The following summarizes Scottdale's properties, owned or leased, as of June 30, 2014.

Location	Owned or Leased
Scottdale Branch and Office, 150 Pittsburgh St., Scottdale PA 15683	Owned
Connellsville Branch, 125 S. Arch St., Connellsville PA 15425	Owned
Countryside Branch, 103 Bessemer Road, Mt. Pleasant PA 15666	Owned
Vanderbilt Branch, 192 Main St., Vanderbilt, PA 15486	Owned
Mt. Pleasant Branch, 632 W. Main St., Mt. Pleasant PA 15666	Owned

Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, to which Scottdale, or any of its subsidiaries, is a party or of which any of their respective property is subject.

Information about Scottdale Designees to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Board of Directors

The following provides information, as of June 30, 2017, about Donald F. Kiefer, who is a current director of Scottdale and who is anticipated to be appointed to the board of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank upon

consummation of the merger. The information presented includes information Mr. Kiefer has given us about his age, all positions he holds, and his principal occupation for the past five years. The following also includes certain individual qualifications and skills that contribute to the board's effectiveness as a whole. Mr. Kiefer is not a director of any other publicly-held company.

Donald F. Kiefer, age 62, has been employed by Scottdale since December 1981. He served as Trust Officer for the bank from 1981 till September 1984. He was elected president of Scottdale in September 1984 and has held

Table of Contents

that position ever since. He was elected to the Scottsdale board of directors in 1984 and has served as chairman since 2003. Mr. Kiefer earned a Bachelor's degree in Economics from Allegheny College, Meadville, Pa. and a Masters in Business Administration from Rochester Institute of Technology (RIT), Rochester, NY. Upon graduation from RIT in 1979, Mr. Kiefer was employed by The Community Savings Bank in Rochester, NY as a staff auditor. Mr. Kiefer is president of The Scottsdale Cemetery Association and has been on the board of directors since 1985. He is a member of the Kiwanis and a past member of Rotary, Scottsdale Chamber of Commerce and other community and civic organizations. Mr. Kiefer is not considered independent of Scottsdale as determined in accordance with the independence standards of the NASDAQ stock market.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Holders of Scottsdale

Beneficial ownership of Scottsdale common stock was determined by referring to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13d-3, which provides that a person should be credited with the ownership of any stock held, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares:

Voting power, which includes power to vote or to direct the voting of the stock;

Investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of the stock; or

The right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days after June 30, 2017.

Table of Contents*Beneficial Ownership of Principal Holders*

The following table shows, to the best of Scottsdale's knowledge, those persons or entities, who owned of record or beneficially, on June 30, 2017, more than 5% of Scottsdale's outstanding common stock.

Name and Address	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Donald F. Kiefer ⁽¹⁾		
156 Montgomery Road		
Scottsdale, Pennsylvania 15683	26,829	52.9%
Lawrence J. Kiefer ⁽²⁾		
305 Dogwood Drive		
Smithfield, Pennsylvania 15478	26,704	52.7%
Marilyn K. Andras ⁽³⁾		
244 Eastlake Road		
Acme, Pennsylvania 15610	26,697	52.6%
Lawrence Keister & Company		
150 Pittsburgh Street		
Scottsdale, Pennsylvania 15683	25,804	50.9%
Scott Lindstrom		
4517 N. 53rd Street		
Phoenix, Arizona 85008	7,000	13.8%
Karen L. Kiefer ⁽⁴⁾		
1005 Loucks Avenue		
Scottsdale, Pennsylvania 15683	5,005.37	9.9%
Bruce A. Kiefer ⁽⁵⁾	4,998.37	9.9%
944 East Walnut Avenue		

Palmyra, Pennsylvania 17078

Kimberly K. Best⁽⁶⁾

3408 Burgh Lane

Edgewater, Maryland 21037

4,998.37

9.9%

- (1) Includes 25,804 shares held by Lawrence Keister & Company, of which each of Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras are the managing partners.
- (2) Includes 25,804 shares held by Lawrence Keister & Company, of which each of Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras are the managing partners.
- (3) Includes 25,804 shares held by Lawrence Keister & Company, of which each of Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras are the managing partners.
- (4) Includes 4,110 shares that the shareholder may withdraw from Lawrence Keister & Co. and 895 shares owned individually.
- (5) Includes 4,100 shares that the shareholder may withdraw from Lawrence Keister & Co. and 888 shares owned individually.
- (6) Includes 4,100 shares that the shareholder may withdraw from Lawrence Keister & Co. and 888 shares owned individually.

Table of Contents*Beneficial Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors*

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2017, and from information supplied by the respective persons, the amount and the percentage of Scottsdale common stock beneficially owned by each director, nominee, and executive officer and all officers and directors of Scottsdale as a group.

Name of Individual or Identity of Group	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Marilyn Kiefer Andras ⁽¹⁾	26,697	52.6%
John Coleman	50	
Robert B. Ferguson	200	
Donald F. Kiefer ⁽¹⁾	26,829	52.9%
Lawrence J. Kiefer ⁽¹⁾	26,704	52.7%
David W. Powell	31	
Albert Saloom	10	
All officers, directors and nominees as a group (7 persons)	28,913	57.0%

(1) Includes 25,804 shares held by Lawrence Keister & Company, of which each of Messrs. D. Kiefer and L. Kiefer and Ms. Andras are all of the managing partners.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the compensation paid during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 by Scottsdale to Donald F. Kiefer, current director and Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Scottsdale. Mr. Kiefer is expected to be appointed to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank upon consummation of the merger.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE 2016

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) ⁽²⁾	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
Donald F. Kiefer	2016	200,600					189,476	5,125	395,201
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2015	195,900					81,579	4,500	281,979

- (1) Includes fees paid as a director of \$60,725 during 2016 and \$54,900 during 2015.
- (2) Relates to Scottdale's defined benefit pension plan.
- (3) Includes 25% match of 401(k) contributions. Mr. Kiefer participates in benefit plans that are offered to all full time employees of Scottdale, which do not discriminate in favor of executive officers or directors.

Transactions with Certain Related Persons

Some of Scottdale's directors and executive officers, members of their immediate families and the companies with which they are associated were Scottdale customers and had banking transactions with Scottdale in the ordinary course of business during 2016 and 2015. All loans and commitments to lend were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other non-affiliated customers. In Scottdale's management's opinion, the loans and commitments did not involve more than a normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Table of Contents***Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Scottdale*****Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The financial statements for The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company (Scottdale) are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and conform to general practices within the banking industry. In preparing the financial statements, management of Scottdale made certain estimates and assumptions that affected the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, at the balance sheet date, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Therefore, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management of Scottdale considers material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for losses on loans, defined benefit pension liability, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of deferred tax assets, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on Scottdale's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, since it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant change, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans.

Scottdale maintains a defined benefit pension plan, and has recorded a related liability, covering eligible employees. Scottdale's policy is to fund pension benefits at least annually based upon actuarial calculations of the present value of future benefits as performed by Scottdale's third party administrator. The defined benefit pension plan's assets are primarily invested in corporate equity securities, the valuations of which are subject to market fluctuations.

The fair value of financial instruments uses the three-level hierarchical disclosure framework defined by GAAP. The hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. For certain assets and liabilities defined as Level I, quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date. For assets and liabilities defined as Level II, pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, with such inputs being directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. For assets and liabilities defined as Level III, valuations are derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

Scottdale uses an asset and liability approach for the accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities, and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax amounts are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, and the respective tax bases, are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Valuations for investment securities are determined using quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, securities are valued based on pricing models, quotes for similar investment securities, and other observable valuation inputs, including those based upon yield curves and spreads. Securities are evaluated on a periodic basis to determine if any unrealized declines in value are deemed to be other than temporary. For debt securities, where the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the security's amortized cost

basis, management determines whether an unrealized loss in value is other than temporary by considering the magnitude and duration of the unrealized decline in value, the reasons underlying the decline, management's intent to sell the security, and whether or not it is more likely than not that

Table of Contents

management would be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of the security's amortized cost basis.

Valuation of real estate acquired by foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure, which are reported on the balance sheet as Other Real Estate Owned (OREO), are recorded at estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the respective real estate property.

Overview

Scottdale is a full-service commercial banking institution, supervised by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities, providing a variety of financial products and services to individuals and corporate customers through its five offices located in Southwestern Pennsylvania. Scottdale's primary deposit products are noninterest and interest-bearing checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit. Its primary lending products are single-family and multi-family residential loans. Lawrence Keister & Co., a Pennsylvania general partnership and related party, owned 50.9% of Scottdale's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

As of December 31, 2016, Scottdale had total assets of \$263,476,000 reflecting an increase of \$1,242,000 or less than one percent compared to total assets of \$262,234,000 as of December 31, 2015. Investment securities were the largest asset class at each year end, totaling \$180,590,000 at December 31, 2016, and \$170,792,000 at December 31, 2015. Total loans were \$63,379,000 as of December 31, 2016, and \$59,210,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Scottdale's total deposits were \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016, reflecting an increase of \$1,595,000 or less than one percent compared to total deposits of \$214,390,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Total stockholder's equity was \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016, compared to \$45,762,000 as of December 31, 2015. The year-over-year net decrease in stockholders' equity resulted from total dividends of \$1,522,000 paid during 2016 exceeding the combined amount of 2016 net income of \$550,000 and 2016 other comprehensive income of \$493,000.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2016 versus Year Ended December 31, 2015

Scottdale's net income (earnings) of \$550,000 for the year 2016 reflects a decrease of \$326,000 or 37 percent compared to earnings of \$876,000 for the year 2015. These results represent earnings per share, basic and diluted, of \$10.84 in 2016 and \$19.39 in 2015. The primary component contributing to the decreased earnings was the net realized loss on the sale of OREO of \$782,000 in 2016 compared to a net realized gain on the sale of OREO of \$6,000 in 2015. Somewhat offsetting the impact of this OREO loss, Scottdale recorded a credit for loan losses of \$200,000 in 2016, when no loss or credit provision was recorded to the allowance in 2015.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is Scottdale's primary source of revenue, and it represents the combined interest income received on loans, investments, and overnight funds, less the interest expense paid on deposits. Scottdale had no borrowings, and paid no interest expense on any borrowings, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and changes in average balances (volume) in the various interest-sensitive assets and liabilities.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, Scottsdale's net interest income was \$6,219,000 reflecting an increase of \$319,000 or 5 percent compared to net interest income of \$5,900,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The primary sources for the year-over-year increase were (i) a \$111,000 increase in interest income on investments

Table of Contents

and federal funds sold in 2016 compared to 2015 due primarily to higher volumes of these asset classes, and (ii) deposit interest expense decreasing by \$169,000 for 2016 versus 2015, due primarily to management implementing a reduction of interest rates on savings accounts, which is Scottsdale's largest deposit segment.

As reflected in the following table, Scottsdale's taxable-equivalent net interest margin was 2.92% in 2016 versus 2.88% in 2015.

	Average Balances and Average Interest Rates					
	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Rates
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						
ASSETS:						
Interest-Bearing Balances	\$ 6,859	\$ 62	0.90%	\$ 21,241	\$ 45	0.21%
Investment Securities	170,117	4,381	2.58%	162,614	4,434	2.73%
Federal Funds Sold	8,390	42	0.50%	1,013	3	0.30%
Loans and Leases, Net	62,314	3,271	5.26%	59,053	3,232	5.47%
Total Earning Assets	247,680	7,756	3.13%	243,921	7,714	3.16%
Cash, Due From Banks, and Other Assets	15,328			17,620		
Total Assets	\$ 263,008			\$ 261,541		
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Interest-Bearing Deposits	\$ 19,088	\$ 19	0.10%	\$ 17,958	\$ 18	0.10%
Savings and Money Market Deposits	146,770	363	0.25%	149,364	541	0.36%
Time Deposits	5,932	138	2.33%	5,959	130	2.18%
Borrowings	0	0		0	0	
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	171,790	520	0.30%	173,281	689	0.40%
Noninterest-bearing Demand	42,879			39,611		
Other Liabilities	2,290			2,141		
Shareholders' Equity	46,049			46,508		
Total Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity	\$ 263,008			\$ 261,541		
Net Interest Income (Taxable Equivalent)		\$ 7,236			\$ 7,025	
Total Yield on Earning Assets			3.13%			3.16%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities			0.30%			0.40%
Average Interest Spread			2.83%			2.76%
Net Interest Margin			2.92%			2.88%

Interest and average rates in the table above are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis, using an effective tax rate of 34%.

The taxable equivalent yield on average interest-earning assets was 3.13% in 2016 versus 3.16% in 2015, with the slight decline reflecting lower rates earned on both investments and loans, despite an increase in the volume (average balance) of both of these asset classes. The decline in taxable-equivalent average investment yields, from 2.73% in 2015 to 2.58% in 2016, was generally the result of the proceeds from matured and called bonds being reinvested at

lower yields given the continuing relatively lower rates for fixed income investments of ten years or less to maturity (Scottsdale did not purchase any investment security with over 10 years to maturity). The slight decrease in average loan yields, from 5.47% in 2015 to 5.26% in 2016, reflects the impact of competition and market loan rates on new production being slightly lower than both (i) the rates on maturing loans, and (ii) the average rate on the loans held in the portfolio that were originated prior to 2016.

The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.30% in 2016 versus 0.40% in 2015. Interest expense decreased by \$1,491,000 or almost 9 percent in 2016 as compared to 2015, and all interest expense was related to

Table of Contents

deposits, as Scottdale had no wholesale borrowings at any point in the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2015. The decreased interest expense, and the overall lower average cost of funds, was primarily attributable to a reduction in savings account rates implemented during 2016 (savings accounts comprise the majority of Scottdale's core deposit base). The continued low interest rate environment for deposits in Scottdale's market aided in maintaining the low cost of interest bearing liabilities and savings accounts throughout the two-year period ending December 31, 2016. Additionally, Scottdale was able to increase the overall average balance of noninterest-bearing accounts for the year ended 2016, as compared to the year ended 2015.

Provision (Credit) for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against income. Provisions for loan losses are necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate to absorb losses inherent in or as determined by management to be probable in the loan portfolio. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance, and the need, if any, for adjustments to the allowance balance, is based on Scottdale's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors.

Scottdale's allowance for loan losses consists of amounts applicable to the following loan portfolio segments: (i) residential real estate; (ii) commercial real estate; (iii) commercial; and (iv) installment. Additionally, an unallocated component of the allowance is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses, and reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment, and for the unallocated component, for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, included the provision for loan losses, were as follows:

Allowance for Loan Losses By Portfolio Segment

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Installment	Unallocated	Allowance Total
Beginning Allowance, Jan 1, 2016	\$ 232	\$ 240	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$ 251	\$ 756
Credit	6	33	5	2	(246)	(200)
Charge-offs				(10)		(10)
Recoveries				7		7
Ending Allowance, Dec. 31, 2016	\$ 238	\$ 273	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ 5	\$ 553

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Installment	Unallocated	Allowance Total
Beginning Allowance, Jan 1, 2015	\$ 220	\$ 262	\$ 14	\$ 22	\$ 237	\$ 755
Provision	12	(22)	1	(5)	14	
Charge-offs						
Recoveries				1		1

Ending Allowance, Dec. 31, 2015	\$ 232	\$ 240	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$ 251	\$ 756
---------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans was 0.87% as of December 31, 2016, as compared to 1.28% as of December 31, 2015. The decrease in this allowance coverage ratio was primarily a result of Scottdale management reducing the unallocated component of the allowance by \$246,000 during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents*Noninterest Income*

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, Scottdale realized noninterest income from the following sources:

Noninterest Income*(Dollars in thousands)*

	Years ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	Variance	
Service fees on deposit accounts	\$ 341	\$ 290	\$ 51	+18%
Gains on investment securities	84	197	(113)	-57%
Other income	62	62		
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 487	\$ 549	\$ (62)	-11%

Noninterest income totaled \$487,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$62,000 or 11 percent compared to noninterest income of \$549,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The primary source of the year-over-year variance was a significant reduction of certain nonrecurring gains realized on investment securities. There were no sales of investment securities during the years ended December 31, 2016 or 2015. Scottdale recorded gross securities gains of \$84,000 and \$197,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, with such gains resulting from investment calls and proceeds received on securities for which Scottdale, in previous years, had recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges.

Service fees on deposit accounts for the year ended December 31, 2016 were \$341,000 reflecting an increase of \$51,000 or 18 percent compared to service fees on deposits of \$290,000 for the 2015 year. The increased service fees reflected both an increase in the number and average balances of demand deposit accounts, which are the primary sources of deposit fee activity. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Scottdale had approximately 8 percent higher average balances of combined demand deposit balances compared to the year ended December 31, 2015. The primary types of service fees contributing to the increase included overdraft and non-sufficient fund charges, returned item fees, and service charges on demand deposit accounts.

Noninterest Expense

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, Scottdale incurred noninterest expenses in the following categories:

Noninterest Expense*(Dollars in thousands)*

	Years ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	Variance	
Salaries	\$ 2,821	\$ 2,805	\$ 16	*
Pension and other employee benefits	769	705	64	+9%
Occupancy and equipment	417	464	(47)	-10%
Pennsylvania bank shares tax	36	42	(6)	-14%
Charitable contributions	494	493	1	*
FDIC assessment	117	141	(24)	-17%

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Professional fees	155	222	(67)	-30%
Computer services	101	118	(17)	-14%
Loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	782	(6)	776	**
Other expenses	562	560	2	*
Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 6,254	\$ 5,544	\$ 710	

* Variance is less than 1%

** Variance exceeds 100%

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2016, noninterest expenses totaled \$6,254,000, an increase of \$710,000 or 13 percent compared to noninterest expenses of \$5,544,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The difference was attributable primarily to a \$782,000 loss on the sale of other real estate owned in 2016.

Compensation expense (salaries) increased by \$16,000 or less than one percent during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 versus the same period in 2015, due to both the general stability of the employee base, and minor levels of implemented compensation rate increases. Employee benefit costs increased due primarily to a \$43,000 increase in pension costs for 2016 as compared to 2015. Pension expense was determined based upon an actuarial valuation of Scottsdale's defined benefit retirement plan. The actuarial valuation reflected that the increase to projected benefit obligations for the year ending December 31, 2016, exceeded the actual growth in the fair value of plan assets for the same period. Scottsdale made employer contributions to the defined benefit plan of \$450,000 in both 2015 and 2016.

Occupancy and Equipment expenses were \$47,000 less in 2016 versus 2015, despite Scottsdale still fully operating five branch offices, as the facility operating costs, including repairs and maintenance, and equipment costs were lower during 2016, while Scottsdale incurred no major capital expenditures during the year.

Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense decreased by 14% during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 versus the same period in 2015, due to the level of Pennsylvania tax credits generated from qualifying charitable contributions made by Scottsdale including significant levels of donations to educational institutions in the Scottsdale markets.

Professional fees, which primarily include audit expenses and legal expenses, were lower in 2016 compared to 2015 due to a reduction in the usage of both outside counsel and other third-party professional services.

Other expenses, comprised primarily of advertising, supplies, postage, courier fees, and other miscellaneous expenses, was relatively unchanged during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 versus the same period of 2015.

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for 2016 was \$103,000 compared to \$29,000 in 2015. The effective tax rate was 16% in 2016 and 3% in 2015, with the variance in the effective tax rate primarily affected by the level of tax-free income and any applicable tax credits in the respective years.

Financial Condition as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015

Scottsdale had total assets of \$263,476,000 as of December 31, 2016, reflecting an increase of \$1,242,000 or less than one percent compared to total assets of \$262,234,000 as of December 31, 2015. The increase in total assets was generally consistent with the increase in total deposits of \$1,595,000 or less than one percent, for the year ending December 31, 2016. Scottsdale's total deposits were \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016, compared to total deposits of \$214,390,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Though the overall level of total assets increased modestly, there were notable increases in earning assets. Investment securities were \$180,590,000 as of December 31 2016, reflecting an increase of \$9,798,000 or 6 percent compared to total investments of \$170,792,000 as of December 31, 2015. Total loans were \$63,379,000 as of December 31, 2016, an increase of \$4,169,000 or 7 percent compared to total loans of \$59,210,000 as of December 31, 2015. The combined increases in investments and loans of \$13,967,000 for the year ending December 31, 2016, were substantially funded by a reduction of cash and cash equivalents during the year of \$10,586,000, and funds from the

sale of a large other real estate owned holding during 2016.

Total stockholder s equity was \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016, reflecting a decrease of \$479,000 or 1 percent compared to total equity of \$45,762,000 as of December 31, 2015. The year-over-year net decrease in stockholders equity resulted from total dividends of \$1,522,000 paid during 2016 exceeding 2016 net income of \$550,000 and 2016 other comprehensive income of \$493,000.

Table of Contents*Investments*

Scottdale's investment portfolio is comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, corporate debt securities, and equity securities. As the largest asset class on Scottdale's balance sheet, investments are utilized, with loans, as a significant source of interest income through yields on the securities earning a reasonable interest rate spread above the cost of Scottdale's deposits. As a large portion of the investment portfolio is not pledged, the unencumbered securities are available for funding loan growth and supporting other liquidity needs.

Scottdale's investments in obligations of states and political subdivisions (commonly referred to as municipal investments) were classified as held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, as Scottdale management has the positive intent and ability to hold these debt securities until the investment's contractual maturity date, if not otherwise called earlier if the respective security's call rights are exercised. The following table summarizes the aggregate value of the held-to-maturity investment portfolio.

Summary of Held-To-Maturity Investment Securities**(At Amortized Cost)**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of December 31,	
	2016	2015
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 100,558	\$ 101,683

The fair value of the held-to-maturity securities was \$100,019 and \$102,457, respectively, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The actual maturities of the held-to-maturity securities may differ from contractual securities because the underlying issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. The held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2016 are scheduled to mature, based upon contractual maturity, as follows:

Maturity Schedule of**Held-To-Maturity Investment Securities****As of December 31, 2016**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 15,753	\$ 15,790
Due after one year through five years	71,878	71,636
Due after five years through ten years	12,927	12,593
Total:	\$ 100,558	\$ 100,019

Scottsdale's investment securities classified as available for sale are recorded at fair value as follows:

Summary of Available-For-Sale Investment Securities

(At Fair Value)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of December 31,	
	2016	2015
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 2,999	\$ 2,986
U.S. government agency securities	10,249	14,286
Corporate debt securities	57,346	44,212
Equity securities	6,688	5,375
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 77,282	\$ 66,859

The amortized cost of the available-for-sale securities was \$72,003 and \$62,657, respectively, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2016, available-for-sale portfolio had a net unrealized gain on investment securities of \$5,279,000 which included an unrealized gain of \$5,758,000 on the equity securities, partially offset by a net unrealized loss of \$479,000 on the available-for-sale debt securities. At December 31, 2015, the net unrealized gain on the available-for-sale investment portfolio was \$4,202,000 which included an unrealized gain on the equity securities of \$4,445,000, partially offset by a net unrealized loss of \$243,000 on the available-for-sale debt securities.

The actual maturities of the available-for-sale debt securities may differ from contractual securities because the underlying issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. The available-for-sale debt securities as of December 31, 2016 are scheduled to mature, based upon contractual maturity, as follows:

Maturity Schedule of Available-For-Sale Debt Securities As of December 31, 2016

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 6,031	\$ 6,045
Due after one year through five years	50,839	50,654
Due after five years through ten years	14,203	13,895
Total:	\$ 71,073	\$ 70,594

Loans

As of December 31, 2016, total loans outstanding (before the allowance for loan losses) were \$63,379,000, an increase of \$4,169,000 or 7 percent compared to total loans of \$59,210,00 as of December 31, 2015. The main segment of Scottdale's loan growth during 2016 was the commercial real estate portfolio, which increased by \$3,163,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Scottdale's loan portfolio is diversified among individuals, small-to-medium size business customers, and nonprofit and governmental organizations generally located within the Company's primary market area of Southwestern Pennsylvania. The majority of loans are secured by either residential or commercial real estate, with smaller portfolio segments of commercial and industrial loans, and consumer installment loans. The following table reflects the distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio according to major loan classifications as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Summary of Loans Outstanding (Before the Allowance for Loan Losses)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of December 31,	
	2016	2015
Residential real estate	\$ 31,641	\$ 30,955
Commercial real estate	27,151	23,988
Commercial and industrial	3,015	2,409
Installment	1,572	1,858
Total loans outstanding	\$ 63,379	\$ 59,210

Table of Contents

The actual maturities of loans may differ from the loan agreements and original amortization schedules because borrowers have the right to prepay loans with or without prepayment penalties. The total loans outstanding as of December 31, 2016 are scheduled to mature, based upon original contractual maturity, as follows:

Maturity Schedule of Loans As of December 31, 2016

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of Dec. 31, 2016
Due in one year or less	\$ 5,151
Due after one year through five years	9,996
Due after five years through fifteen years	31,527
Due after fifteen years	16,705
Total:	\$ 63,379

Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan Losses

Scottdale's management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$553,000 as of December 31, 2016 is adequate to cover specifically identified and probable loan losses, and estimated losses inherent in the portfolio for which losses are probable but not specifically identifiable. The recorded allowance for loan loss, and supporting methodology and management judgments, considers the significant credit analyses, credit-related historical performance and trends, and other significant factors, both quantitative and qualitative, relating to its loan portfolio that are reasonably expected to have a material impact on current operating results and future potential loan losses. Additionally, management recognizes that changes to both local and national economic conditions, and other unfavorable circumstances related to borrowers' specific risk profiles, personal or business earnings, and collateral values or other sources of repayment, may influence some borrowers' abilities to comply with their repayment terms.

The allowance for loan losses consists of specific and general components related to individual credits and homogeneous pools of loans for the following loan portfolio segments: (i) residential real estate; (ii) commercial real estate; (iii) commercial; and (iv) installment. The specific component relates to loans that are solely evaluated based upon the delinquency, and assessed a specific reserve by management. For specifically-evaluated loans that are also classified by management as impaired, an allowance is established when the carrying amount of the impaired loan exceeds the collateral value (for collateral-dependent loans) or discounted cash flows. The general component covers loans that are not delinquent or otherwise impaired, and is based upon Scottdale's historical loan loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. In addition to the specific and general components related to specific loans or portfolio segments, an unallocated component of the allowance is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses, and to reflect the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

As of December 31, 2016, the allowance for loan losses was \$553,000 or 0.87 percent of total loans, compared to an allowance of \$756,000 or 1.28 percent of total loans as of December 31, 2015. The reduction in the allowance was not the result of net charge-offs, as Scottdale had only \$3,000 of net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses and related allowance-to-loans coverage ratio was primarily a result of Scottdale management reducing the allowance by \$200,000 through a credit for loan losses during 2016, including a \$246,000 reduction in the unallocated component of the allowance.

Scottsdale considers a loan impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable the borrower will be unable to make scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in assessing whether or not a loan is impaired include

Table of Contents

delinquency status, collateral value and adequacy, and the likelihood of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. As of December 31, 2016, Scottdale had total impaired loans of \$373,000 including \$294,000 of impaired commercial real estate loans, and \$79,000 of impaired commercial and industrial loans. No residential real estate or installment loans were classified by management to be impaired as of December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, Scottdale had total impaired loans of \$646,000, all of which was commercial real estate loans. No residential real estate, commercial and industrial, or installment loans were classified as impaired as of December 31, 2015.

Consistent with accounting and regulatory guidance, Scottdale recognizes a troubled debt restructuring, or TDR, when (i) a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, and (ii) the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the Borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not otherwise be considered. Scottdale did not have any loans classified as TDRs as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, nor did it grant any TDRs during 2016 or 2015.

Deposits and Other Funding Sources

Scottdale's primary source of funds is deposits. The Company had no wholesale borrowings, either short-term or long-term, for the two year period ended December 31, 2016. Total deposits were \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016, reflecting an increase of \$1,595,000 or less than one percent compared to total deposits of \$214,390,000 as of December 31, 2015. Though total deposit levels were relatively stable when comparing balances between December 31, 2016 and 2015, non-interest bearing and interest-bearing demand deposit accounts increased, while savings and money market accounts, and time deposits, decreased during 2016.

Summary of Deposits by Classification

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of December 31,	
	2016	2015
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 44,310	\$ 41,448
Interest-bearing demand deposits	20,542	18,040
Savings and money market deposits	145,280	148,918
Time deposits	5,853	5,984
Total deposits	\$ 215,985	\$ 214,390

The average cost of interest-bearing deposits was 0.30% in 2016 versus 0.40% in 2015. The overall lower average cost of funds was primarily due to a 0.25% reduction in rates, implemented beginning in July 2016 for the largest deposit category, savings accounts.

The scheduled maturities of time deposits outstanding as of December 31, 2016 are \$2,974,000 in 2017, \$1,235,000 in 2018, and \$1,644,000 in 2019.

Table of Contents*Capital*

Scottdale's total GAAP stockholder's equity, or capital, was \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016, compared to \$45,762,000 as of December 31, 2015. The year-over-year net decrease in stockholders' equity resulted from total dividends paid during 2016 exceeding the combined amount of 2016 earnings and other comprehensive income. For regulatory and risk management purposes, capital is evaluated in relation to total assets and the risk associated with those assets. Scottdale maintained regulatory capital levels above the well-capitalized requirements as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Regulatory Capital Adequacy					
	Actual		Minimum Capital Required		To Be Well-Capitalized	
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2016						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 43,695	16.6%	\$ 10,540	4.0%	\$ 13,175	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	43,695	29.2%	6,726	4.5%	9,715	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	43,695	29.2%	8,968	6.0%	11,957	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	46,839	31.3%	11,957	8.0%	14,946	10.0%
As of December 31, 2015						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 44,667	17.1%	\$ 10,436	4.0%	\$ 13,045	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	44,667	33.5%	6,002	4.5%	8,670	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	44,667	33.5%	8,003	6.0%	10,671	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	47,386	35.5%	10,671	8.0%	13,338	10.0%
Overview June 30, 2017						

Scottdale is a full-service commercial banking institution, supervised by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities, providing a variety of financial products and services to individuals and corporate customers through its five offices located in Southwestern Pennsylvania. Scottdale's primary deposit products are noninterest and interest-bearing checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit. Its primary lending products are single-family and multi-family residential loans. Lawrence Keister & Co., a Pennsylvania general partnership and related party, owned 50.9% of Scottdale's outstanding common stock as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

As of June 30, 2017, Scottdale had total assets of \$259,078,000 reflecting a decrease of \$4,398,000 or two percent compared to total assets of \$263,476,000 as of December 31, 2016. Investment securities were the largest asset class at each period end, totaling \$174,756,000 at June 30, 2017, and \$180,590,000 at December 31, 2016. The decline in total investments through the first six months of 2017 was a result of securities maturing or being called, and the resulting funds were used to support the net deposit reduction for the first half of 2017. Total loans were \$64,320,000 as of June 30, 2017, reflecting a modest increase of just over one percent compared to total loans of \$63,379,000 as of December 31, 2016.

Scottdale's total deposits were \$210,899,000 as of June 30, 2017, compared to total deposits of \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016, reflecting a decrease for the first six months of 2017 of \$5,086,000 or two percent.

Total stockholder's equity was \$46,244,000 as of June 30, 2017, compared to \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016. The year-over-year net increase in stockholders' equity resulted from net income for the first six months of 2017 of \$531,000 and other comprehensive income of \$430,000 relating to the net unrealized appreciation, on an after-tax basis, in the fair value of the available-for-sale investment portfolio holdings as of June 30, 2017, when compared to December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 versus the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016**

Scottsdale's net income (earnings) of \$531,000 for the first six months of 2017 reflects a decrease of \$97,000 or 15 percent compared to earnings of \$628,000 for the same period in 2016. These results represent earnings per share, basic and diluted, of \$10.47 for the first six months of 2017, and \$12.38 for the first six months of 2016. The primary component contributing to the decreased earnings was a \$133,000 reduction in interest income on tax-exempt securities, reflecting both a decrease in the average balance of these investments, and an overall reduction in the yield on investments from 2.62 percent for the first half of 2016 down to 2.32% for the first half of 2017. The yields on new investments were generally lower than the higher rates of maturing securities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is Scottsdale's primary source of revenue, and it represents the combined interest income received on loans, investments, and overnight funds, less the interest expense paid on deposits. Scottsdale had no borrowings, and paid no interest expense on any borrowings, as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2017, as well as the six months ended June 30, 2016, and the full year ended December 31, 2016. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and changes in average balances (volume) in the various interest-sensitive assets and liabilities.

As reflected in the following table, Scottsdale's taxable-equivalent net interest margin was 2.78% for the six months ended June 30, 2017 versus 2.93% for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

	Average Balances and Average Interest Rates					
	June 30, 2017			June 30, 2016		
	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Average Interest	Average Rates
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						
ASSETS:						
Interest-Bearing Balances	\$ 2,750	\$ 28	2.04%	\$ 11,041	\$ 34	0.62%
Investment Securities	170,408	1,963	2.32%	169,267	2,205	2.62%
Federal Funds Sold	9,251	42	0.91%	5,387	14	0.52%
Loans and Leases, Net	65,037	1,645	5.06%	61,071	1,606	5.26%
Total Earning Assets	247,446	3,678	2.98%	246,766	3,859	3.14%
Cash, Due From Banks, and Other Assets	14,626			15,092		
Total Assets	\$ 262,072			\$ 261,858		
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Interest-Bearing Deposits	\$ 20,236	\$ 10	0.10%	\$ 18,389	\$ 9	0.10%
Savings and Money Market Deposits	142,465	175	0.25%	147,835	181	0.24%
Time Deposits	5,680	68	2.39%	5,977	68	2.28%
Borrowings	0	0		0	0	
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	168,381	253	0.30%	172,201	258	0.30%
Noninterest-bearing Demand	45,610			41,788		
Other Liabilities	2,326			1,684		

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Shareholders Equity	45,755	46,185
Total Liabilities & Stockholders Equity	\$ 262,072	\$ 261,858
Net Interest Income (Taxable Equivalent)	\$ 3,425	\$ 3,601
Total Yield on Earning Assets	2.98%	3.14%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities	0.30%	0.30%
Average Interest Spread	2.68%	2.84%
Net Interest Margin	2.78%	2.93%

Interest and average rates in the table above are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis, using an effective tax rate of 34%.

Table of Contents

The taxable equivalent yield on average interest-earning assets was 2.98% for the first six months of 2017 versus 3.14% in the first half of 2016, with the decline reflecting lower rates earned on both investments and loans. The decline in taxable-equivalent average investment yields, from 2.62% in the first half of 2016 to 2.32% in the first half of 2017, was generally the result of the proceeds from matured and called bonds being reinvested at lower yields given the continuing relatively lower rates for fixed income investments of ten years or less to maturity (Scottdale did not purchase any investment security with over 10 years to maturity). The slight decrease in average loan yields, from 5.26% in the first half of 2016 to 5.06% in the first half of 2017, reflects the impact of competition and market loan rates on new production being slightly lower than both (i) the rates on maturing loans, and (ii) the average rate on the loans held in the portfolio that were originated prior to 2017.

The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was consistently 0.30% in both the first six months of 2016 and 2017. The continued low interest rate environment for deposits in Scottdale's market aided in maintaining the low cost of interest bearing liabilities and savings accounts throughout the 18-month period ending June 30, 2017. Additionally, Scottdale was able to increase the average balance of noninterest-bearing accounts by nearly \$4 million for the first six months of 2017, as compared to the same period in 2016.

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, Scottdale's net interest income was \$3,021,000 reflecting a decrease of \$87,000 or 2 percent compared to net interest income of \$3,108,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The primary sources for the year-over-year decrease were (i) a \$133,000 reduction in interest income on tax-exempt securities, reflecting both a decrease in the average balance of these investments, and an overall reduction in the yield on investments from 2.62 percent for the first half of 2016 down to 2.32% for the first half of 2017, partially offset by (ii) an increase of \$28,000 in interest income earned on federal funds sold, resulting from recent FOMC increases to the overnight fed funds rate.

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against income. Provisions for loan losses are necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate to absorb losses inherent in or as determined by management to be probable in the loan portfolio. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance, and the need, if any, for adjustments to the allowance balance, is based on Scottdale's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. Scottdale's allowance for loan losses consists of amounts applicable to the following loan portfolio segments: (i) residential real estate; (ii) commercial real estate; (iii) commercial; and (iv) installment. Additionally, an unallocated component of the allowance is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses, and reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Table of Contents

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment, and for the unallocated component, for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, including the provision for loan losses, were as follows:

Allowance for Loan Losses By Portfolio Segment

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Commercial		Commercial	Installment	Unallocated	Allowance Total
	Residential Real Estate	Real Estate				
Beginning Allowance, Jan 1, 2017	\$ 238	\$ 273	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ 5	\$ 553
Provision	(4)	(30)		36	(2)	
Charge-offs		(11)				(11)

Recoveries

Ending Allowance, June 30, 2017	\$ 234	\$ 232	\$ 20	\$ 53	\$ 3	\$ 542
---------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	-------	------	--------

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Commercial		Commercial	Installment	Unallocated	Allowance Total
	Residential Real Estate	Real Estate				
Beginning Allowance, Jan 1, 2016	\$ 232	\$ 240	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$ 251	\$ 756
Provision						
Charge-offs						
Recoveries				1		1
Ending Allowance, June 30, 2016	\$ 232	\$ 240	\$ 15	\$ 19	\$ 251	\$ 757

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans was 0.84% as of June 30, 2017, as compared to 0.87% as of December 31, 2016.

Noninterest Income

During the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, Scottdale realized noninterest income from the following sources:

Noninterest Income

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	For the six months ended			
	June 30,		Variance	
2017	2016			
Service fees on deposit accounts	\$ 127	129	(2)	-2%
Gains on investment securities	86	17	69	*
Other	60	44	16	36%

Total Noninterest Income	273	190	83	44%
--------------------------	-----	-----	----	-----

* Variance exceeds 100%

Noninterest income totaled \$273,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017, an increase of \$83,000 or 44 percent compared to noninterest income of \$190,000 for the same period of 2016. The primary source of the year-over-year variance was an increase in gains realized on investment securities. There were no sales of investment securities during the six months ended June 30, 2017 or 2016. Scottdale recorded gross securities gains of \$86,000 and \$17,000 for the periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, with such gains resulting from investment calls and proceeds received on securities for which Scottdale, in previous years, had recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges.

Table of Contents

Other income for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$60,000 reflecting an increase of \$16,000 or 36 percent compared to other income of \$44,000 for the same period in 2016. The increase in other income was a result of increased income in several categories including safe deposit box rental fee income, trust commissions, and other miscellaneous income.

Noninterest Expense

During the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, Scottdale incurred noninterest expenses in the following categories:

Noninterest Expense

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	For the six months ended			
	2017	2016	Variance	
Salaries	\$ 1,481	\$ 1,429	\$ 52	4%
Pension and other employee benefits	355	357	(2)	**
Occupancy and equipment	227	246	(19)	-8%
Pennsylvania bank shares tax	26	16	10	63%
Charitable contributions	29	215	(186)	-87%
FDIC assessment	39	75	(36)	-48%
Professional fees	64	46	18	39%
Computer services	67	63	4	6%
Merger and acquisition expense	201		201	n/a
Other	237	263	(26)	-10%
Total Noninterest Expense	2,726	2,710	16	**

** Variance is less than 1%

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, noninterest expenses totaled \$2,726,000, an increase of \$16,000 or 16 percent compared to noninterest expenses of \$2,710,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Compensation expense (salaries) increased by \$52,000 or 4 percent during the six months ended June 30, 2017 versus the same period in 2016, due to modest levels of implemented compensation rate increases and the hiring of seasonal employees.

Occupancy and equipment expenses were \$19,000 less for the first six months of 2017 versus 2016, despite Scottdale still fully operating five branch offices, as the facility operating costs, including repairs and maintenance, and equipment costs were lower during 2017, while Scottdale incurred no major capital expenditures during the year.

Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense increased by 63 percent during the six months ended June 30, 2017 versus the same period in 2016, due to the lower levels of Pennsylvania tax credits as a result of less qualifying charitable contributions made by Scottdale during the six months ended June 30, 2017 as compared to June 30, 2016.

Professional fees, which primarily include audit expenses and legal expenses, were higher in 2017 compared to 2016 due to an increase in the usage of both outside counsel and other third-party professional services.

Merger and acquisition expenses of \$201,000 were recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2017 to facilitate the announced merger of Scottsdale and Mid Penn Bank. Similar merger and acquisition expenses were not recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

Other expenses, comprised primarily of advertising, supplies, postage, courier fees, and other miscellaneous expenses, decreased \$26,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2017 as compared to the same period in 2016. The change was primarily due to decreased postage and courier fees.

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$37,000 compared to a federal income tax benefit of \$40,000 for the same period in 2016. Federal tax expense can vary from period to period due to the amount of tax-free income and any applicable tax credits in the respective periods.

Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016

Scottdale had total assets of \$259,078,000 as of June 30, 2017, reflecting a decrease of \$4,398,000 or two percent compared to total assets of \$263,476,000 as of December 31, 2016. The decrease in total assets was primarily a reduction in held-to-maturity securities as a result of maturing municipal investments. From a funding perspective, total deposits decreased by \$5,086,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017, due to a reduction in savings and money market deposits primarily attributable to a reduction in the deposit relationship of one school district. Scottdale's total deposits were \$210,899,000 as of June 30, 2017, compared to total deposits of \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016.

Total loans were \$64,320,000 as of June 30, 2017, a modest increase of \$941,000 or just over 1 percent compared to total loans of \$63,379,000 as of December 31, 2016.

Total stockholder's equity was \$46,244,000 as of June 30, 2017, reflecting an increase of \$961,000 or two percent compared to total equity of \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016. The year-over-year net decrease in stockholders equity resulted from net income of \$531,000 for the first six months of 2017, and other comprehensive income of \$430,000 for the same period.

Investments

Scottdale's investment portfolio is comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, corporate debt securities, and equity securities. As the largest asset class on Scottdale's balance sheet, investments are utilized, with loans, as a significant source of interest income through yields on the securities earning a reasonable interest rate spread above the cost of Scottdale's deposits. As a large portion of the investment portfolio is not pledged, the unencumbered securities are available for funding loan growth and supporting other liquidity needs.

Scottdale's investments in obligations of states and political subdivisions (commonly referred to as municipal investments) were classified as held-to-maturity securities as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, as Scottdale management has the positive intent and ability to hold these debt securities until the investment's contractual maturity date, if not otherwise called earlier if the respective security's call rights are exercised. The following table summarizes the aggregate value of the held-to-maturity investment portfolio.

Summary of Held-To-Maturity Investment Securities**(At Amortized Cost)**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 92,697	\$ 100,558

The fair value of the held-to-maturity securities was \$92,951 and \$100,019, respectively, as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

The actual maturities of the held-to-maturity securities may differ from contractual securities because the underlying issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The held-to-maturity securities as of June 30, 2017 are scheduled to mature, based upon contractual maturity, as follows:

**Maturity Schedule of
Held-To-Maturity Investment Securities**

As of June 30, 2017

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 20,824	\$ 20,961
Due after one year through five years	68,574	68,826
Due after five years through ten years	3,299	3,164
Total:	\$ 92,697	\$ 92,951

Scottsdale's investment securities classified as available for sale are recorded at fair value as follows:

Summary of Available-For-Sale Investment Securities

(At Fair Value)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 2,994	\$ 2,999
U.S. government agency securities	10,237	10,249
Corporate debt securities	59,163	57,346
Equity securities	6,915	6,688
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 79,309	\$ 77,282

The amortized cost of the available-for-sale securities was \$73,379 and \$72,003, respectively, as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

At June 30, 2017, the available-for-sale portfolio had a net unrealized gain on investment securities of \$5,930,000 which included an unrealized gain of \$5,985,000 on the equity securities, partially offset by a net unrealized loss of \$55,000 on the available-for-sale debt securities. At December 31, 2016, the net unrealized gain on the available-for-sale investment portfolio was \$5,279,000 which included an unrealized gain on the equity securities of \$5,758,000, partially offset by a net unrealized loss of \$479,000 on the available-for-sale debt securities.

Table of Contents

The actual maturities of the available-for-sale debt securities may differ from contractual securities because the underlying issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. The available-for-sale debt securities as of December 31, 2016 are scheduled to mature, based upon contractual maturity, as follows:

**Maturity Schedule of
Available-For-Sale Debt Securities
As of June 30, 2017**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 9,273	\$ 9,271
Due after one year through five years	62,322	62,060
Due after five years through ten years	1,784	7,978
Total:	\$ 73,379	\$ 79,309

Loans

As of June 30, 2017, total loans outstanding (before the allowance for loan losses) were \$64,320,000, an increase of \$941,000 or over 1 percent compared to total loans of \$63,379,00 as of December 31, 2016. The main segment of Scottdale's loan growth for the first six months of 2017 was consumer installment loans, which grew by \$4,255,000 which more than offset a decrease of \$2,637,000 in the commercial real estate portfolio for the same period.

Scottdale's loan portfolio is diversified among individuals, small-to-medium size business customers, and nonprofit and governmental organizations generally located within the Company's primary market area of Southwestern Pennsylvania. The majority of loans are secured by either residential or commercial real estate, with smaller portfolio segments of commercial and industrial loans, and consumer installment loans.

The following table reflects the distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio according to major loan classifications as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

**Summary of Loans Outstanding
(Before the Allowance for Loan Losses)**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016
Residential real estate	\$ 31,332	\$ 31,641
Commercial real estate	24,514	27,151
Commercial and industrial	2,647	3,015
Installment	5,827	1,572

Total loans outstanding	\$ 64,320	\$ 63,379
-------------------------	-----------	-----------

Table of Contents

The actual maturities of loans may differ from the loan agreements and original amortization schedules because borrowers have the right to prepay loans with or without prepayment penalties. The total loans outstanding as of June 30, 2017 are scheduled to mature, based upon original contractual maturity, as follows:

Maturity Schedule of Loans**June 30, 2017**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of June 30, 2017
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,089
Due after one year through five years	11,528
Due after five years through fifteen years	28,740
Due after fifteen years	20,963
Total:	\$ 64,320

Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan Losses

Scottsdale's management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$542,000 as of June 30, 2017 is adequate to cover specifically identified and probable loan losses, and estimated losses inherent in the portfolio for which losses are probable but not specifically identifiable. The recorded allowance for loan loss, and supporting methodology and management judgments, considers the significant credit analyses, credit-related historical performance and trends, and other significant factors, both quantitative and qualitative, relating to its loan portfolio that are reasonably expected to have a material impact on current operating results and future potential loan losses. Additionally, management recognizes that changes to both local and national economic conditions, and other unfavorable circumstances related to borrowers' specific risk profiles, personal or business earnings, and collateral values or other sources of repayment, may influence some borrowers' abilities to comply with their repayment terms.

The allowance for loan losses consists of specific and general components related to individual credits and homogeneous pools of loans for the following loan portfolio segments: (i) residential real estate; (ii) commercial real estate; (iii) commercial; and (iv) installment. The specific component relates to loans that are solely evaluated based upon the delinquency, and assessed a specific reserve by management. For specifically-evaluated loans that are also classified by management as impaired, an allowance is established when the carrying amount of the impaired loan exceeds the collateral value (for collateral-dependent loans) or discounted cash flows. The general component covers loans that are not delinquent or otherwise impaired, and is based upon Scottsdale's historical loan loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. In addition to the specific and general components related to specific loans or portfolio segments, an unallocated component of the allowance is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses, and to reflect the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

As of June 30, 2017, the allowance for loan losses was \$542,000 or 0.84 percent of total loans, compared to an allowance of \$553,000 or 0.87 percent of total loans as of December 31, 2016.

Scottsdale considers a loan impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable the borrower will be unable to make scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the

loan agreement. Factors considered by management in assessing whether or not a loan is impaired include delinquency status, collateral value and adequacy, and the likelihood of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. As of June 30, 2017, Scottsdale had total impaired loans of \$223,000 which was entirely comprised of impaired commercial real estate loans. No commercial and industrial, residential real estate or installment loans were classified by management to be impaired as of June 30, 2017. As of December 31,

Table of Contents

2016, Scottdale had total impaired loans of \$373,000, including \$294,000 of impaired commercial real estate loans, and \$79,000 of impaired commercial and industrial loans. No residential real estate or installment loans were classified as impaired as of December 31, 2016.

Consistent with accounting and regulatory guidance, Scottdale recognizes a troubled debt restructuring, or TDR, when (i) a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, and (ii) the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the Borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not otherwise be considered. Scottdale did not have any loans classified as TDRs as of June 30, 2017, December 31, 2016 or June 30, 2016, nor did it grant any TDRs during the first six months of 2017 or 2016, or the twelve months ended December 31, 2016.

Deposits and Other Funding Sources

Scottdale's primary source of funds is deposits. The Company had no wholesale borrowings, either short-term or long-term, for the 18-month period ended June 30, 2017. Total deposits were \$210,899,000 as of June 30, 2017, reflecting a decrease of \$5,086,000 or over two percent compared to total deposits of \$215,985,000 as of December 31, 2016. When comparing balances between June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the primary deposit type contributing to the overall decline was savings and money market accounts, which decreased during the first six months of 2017 due primarily to a reduction in one larger school district deposit relationship.

Summary of Deposits by Classification

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	As of	
	6/30/2017	12/31/2016
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 45,357	\$ 44,310
Interest-bearing demand deposits	19,197	20,542
Savings and money market deposits	140,848	145,280
Time deposits	5,497	5,853
Total deposits	\$ 210,899	\$ 215,985

The average cost of interest-bearing deposits was 0.30% for both the first six months of 2017, and the twelve months ended December 31, 2016.

The scheduled maturities of time deposits outstanding as of June 30, 2017 are \$2,371,000 for the twelve months ending June 30, 2018 and \$3,126,000 for the period from July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019.

Table of Contents*Capital*

Scottdale's total GAAP stockholder's equity, or capital, was \$46,244,000 as of June 30, 2017, compared to \$45,283,000 as of December 31, 2016. The year-over-year net increase in stockholders' equity resulted from earnings through the first six months of 2017 of \$531,000 and other comprehensive income of \$430,000 relating to the net unrealized appreciation, on an after-tax basis, in the fair value of the available-for-sale investment portfolio holdings. For regulatory and risk management purposes, capital is evaluated in relation to total assets and the risk associated with those assets. Scottdale maintained regulatory capital levels above the well-capitalized requirements as of June 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Regulatory Capital Adequacy					
	Actual		Minimum Capital Required		To Be Well-Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2017						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 44,226	16.9%	\$ 10,454	4.0%	\$ 13,067	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	44,226	30.1%	6,612	4.5%	9,551	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	44,226	30.1%	8,816	6.0%	11,755	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	47,471	32.3%	11,755	8.0%	14,693	10.0%
As of December 31, 2016						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	\$ 43,695	16.6%	\$ 10,540	4.0%	\$ 13,175	5.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	43,695	29.2%	6,726	4.5%	9,715	6.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	43,695	29.2%	8,968	6.0%	11,957	8.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	46,839	31.3%	11,957	8.0%	14,946	10.0%

COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS

The rights of Scottdale shareholders are governed by Pennsylvania law, including the Pennsylvania Banking Code of 1965, which we refer to as the Banking Code, and Scottdale's articles of incorporation and bylaws. The rights of Mid Penn shareholders are governed by Pennsylvania law, including the PBCL, and Mid Penn's articles of incorporation and bylaws.

Upon consummation of the merger, Scottdale shareholders will become Mid Penn shareholders. Consequently, after the merger, the rights of such shareholders will be governed by the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Mid Penn and Pennsylvania law.

A comparison of the rights of Scottdale and Mid Penn shareholders follows. This summary is not intended to be a complete statement of all of such differences or a complete description of the specific provisions referred to therein, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to Pennsylvania law and the respective articles of incorporation and bylaws of Scottdale and Mid Penn.

Authorized Capital

Mid Penn. Mid Penn is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value of \$1.00 per share, and 5,000 shares of 7% Non-Cumulative, Non-Voting, Non-Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, par value \$1.00, which we refer to as the Mid Penn Series B preferred stock.

Scottsdale. Scottsdale is authorized to issue 200,000 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share.

Table of Contents

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Mid Penn. Mid Penn's bylaws provide that an annual meeting will be held on such date, at such time, and at such place, as may be set by the board of directors.

Scottdale. Scottdale's bylaws provide that an annual meeting will be held at such place within the Commonwealth and at such time as the board of directors shall fix.

Special Meeting of Shareholders

Mid Penn. Special meetings of the Mid Penn shareholders can be called by Mid Penn's board of directors, the Chairman of the Board, the President, a majority of the board of directors, or a majority of the members of the executive committee of the board of directors.

Scottdale. Special meetings of the Scottdale shareholders can be called by the President, the Board of Directors, or at the request of holders of not less than one-fifth of all the shares entitled to vote at the particular meeting.

Cumulative Voting

Mid Penn. Mid Penn's articles of incorporation prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors.

Scottdale. Scottdale's bylaws permit cumulative voting in the election of directors.

Shareholder Nomination of Directors

Mid Penn. Mid Penn's bylaws provide that nominations for the election of directors may be made by the board of directors or by any shareholder entitled to vote for the election of directors. Nominations made by the shareholders entitled to vote for the election of directors will be made by notice in writing, delivered or mailed to the Corporate Secretary not less than the earlier of 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the initial proxy materials or of a notice of the meeting by Mid Penn in connection with the immediately preceding annual meeting of shareholder, or the deadline for submitting shareholder proposals for inclusion in a proxy statement and form of proxy as calculated under Rule 14a-8(e), promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act or any successor provision thereto.

Scottdale. Scottdale's bylaws do not have a provision for shareholder nominations of directors.

Number of Directors

Mid Penn. The number of Mid Penn directors will be not less than five, nor more than twenty-five as Mid Penn's board of directors may determine from time-to-time. Mid Penn's board of directors currently has ten directors.

Scottdale. The number of Scottdale directors will be not less than five nor more than twenty-five in number who shall hold office for one year or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The shareholders shall, at each meeting for the election of directors, determine how many directors shall be elected at the meeting to serve during the ensuing year. The number of directors may be increased or diminished by the shareholders at any regular meeting, or at any special meeting called for the purpose.

Director Qualifications

Mid Penn. Each Mid Penn director must be a Mid Penn shareholder and will own in his/her own right the number of shares (if any) required by law in order to qualify as such director. Mid Penn maintains a mandatory

Table of Contents

retirement policy. Upon reaching the age of 70, a Mid Penn director will hold office until the following annual meeting of shareholders at which time such director shall retire from the Mid Penn board of directors. In extraordinary circumstances, the Mid Penn board of directors may grant a director, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver from this mandatory retirement policy. In connection with the consummation of the merger, the Mid Penn board of directors will waive this policy with respect to the appointment of Mr. Land to the Mid Penn board of directors until the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders of Mid Penn.

Scottdale. Directors need not be shareholders of Scottdale.

Classes of Directors and Election of Directors

Mid Penn. The Mid Penn board of directors is divided into three classes, with each class being elected annually for a three-year term. Class A has four directors, Class B has four directors, and Class C has three directors.

Scottdale. The Scottdale board of directors has only one class, with each director being elected annually for a one-year term.

Filling Vacancies on the Board of Directors

Mid Penn. Mid Penn's bylaws provide that vacancies in the board of directors, including vacancies resulting from an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by the remaining members of the board, even though less than a quorum. Each person so elected to fill a vacancy in the board of directors will become a member of the same class of directors in which the vacancy existed. Each director so elected will be a director until the class to which he/she was appointed stands for election and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

Scottdale. Scottdale's bylaws provide that vacancies in the board of directors, including vacancies resulting from an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by appointment by the remaining members of the board. Each director so elected will be a director until the next meeting of shareholders at which directors are elected and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

Special Meetings of the Board of Directors

Mid Penn. Special meetings of Mid Penn's board of directors may be held whenever called by the Chairman of the Board, the President or at the request of three or more members of the board of directors. Notice of the time and place of every special meeting may be either verbal or in writing, and will be given to each member of the board of directors at least twenty-four hours before the time of such meeting excepting the organization meeting following the election of directors.

Scottdale. Special meeting of Scottdale's board of directors may be called at any time by the President and shall be called at the request of any three directors. Notice of the special meeting shall be given to each member of the board of directors by the Secretary at least one day before the date of such meeting.

Anti-Takeover Provisions and Other Shareholder Protections

Mid Penn. Under Mid Penn's articles of incorporation, any merger, consolidation, liquidation or dissolution of Mid Penn or any action that would result in the sale or other disposition of all of substantially all of the assets of Mid Penn must be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of common stock, or the holders of at least 66-2/3% of the outstanding shares of common stock provided that the

transaction has received prior approval of at least 80% of all members of the board of directors. Additionally, the board of directors may oppose a tender or other offer for the corporation's securities, whether the offer is in cash or in the securities of a corporation or otherwise. The board may, but is not legally obligated to, consider any

Table of Contents

relevant, germane or pertinent issue; by way of illustration, but not to be considered any limitation on the power of the board of directors to oppose a tender or other offer for Mid Penn's securities. The board of directors may consider whether the offer price is acceptable based on the historical and present operating results or financial condition of the corporation; whether a more favorable price could be obtained for Mid Penn's securities in the future; the social and economic effects of the offer on Mid Penn and any of its subsidiaries, employees, depositors, loan and other customers, creditors, and shareholders; the reputation and business practice of the offeror and its management and affiliates as they would affect the shareholders, employees, depositors and customers of Mid Penn and its subsidiaries and the future value of Mid Penn's stock; the value of the securities which the offeror is offering in exchange for Mid Penn's securities; the business and financial conditions and earnings prospects of the offeror, including, but not limited to, debt service and other existing or likely financial obligations of the offeror, and the possible effect of such conditions upon Mid Penn and any of its subsidiaries and the other elements of the communities in which Mid Penn and its subsidiaries are located; and any antitrust or other legal and regulatory issues that are raised by the offer.

Scottdale. Under the Banking Code, any merger, or consolidation, must be approved by the board of directors and the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two thirds of the shareholders entitled to vote.

Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Mid Penn. Under Mid Penn's bylaws, to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by applicable law, Mid Penn shall indemnify any director, officer, employee, or agent of Mid Penn who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative, or is or was serving at the request of Mid Penn as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, against expenses, amounts paid in settlement, judgments, and fines actually and reasonably incurred by those persons in connection with any action suit or proceeding. No indemnification shall be made in any case where the act or failure to act giving rise to the claim for indemnification is determined by a court to have constituted willful misconduct or recklessness. The provision does not affect a director's responsibility or liability under any criminal statute or liability for payment of taxes.

Scottdale. Under Scottdale's bylaws, to the fullest extent permitted by the Pennsylvania Directors' Liability Act effective January 27, 1987, Scottdale shall indemnify each director, subject to certain limits imposed by applicable law, and no director of Scottdale shall be personally liable for monetary damages or fees of any kind for any action or any failure to take any action; provided that such exculpation from personal liability is limited by applicable Pennsylvania law, so that it does not apply if a director breached or failed to perform his fiduciary duties and such breach or failure constitutes self dealing, willful misconduct or recklessness.

Pennsylvania Anti-Takeover Provisions

Under the PBCL, certain anti-takeover provisions apply to Pennsylvania registered corporations (e.g., publicly traded companies) including those relating to (i) control share acquisitions, (ii) disgorgement of profits by certain controlling persons, (iii) business combination transactions with interested shareholders and (iv) the rights of shareholders to demand fair value for their stock following a control transaction. Pennsylvania law allows registered corporations to opt-out of any of these anti-takeover provisions. Mid Penn is a registered corporation under the PBCL. Scottdale is not a registered corporation and is therefore not subject to these anti-takeover provisions. A general summary of the applicable anti-takeover provisions is set forth below.

Control Share Acquisitions. Pennsylvania law limits control share acquisitions relating to the act of acquiring for the first time voting power over voting shares (other than shares owned since January 1, 1988 and any additional shares distributed with respect to such shares) equal to at least 20%, 33-1/3% and 50% of the voting power of the

corporation. Once a control share acquisition has occurred, then all shares in excess of the triggering threshold, plus shares purchased at any time with the intention of acquiring such voting power and

Table of Contents

shares purchased within 180 days of the date the triggering threshold was exceeded, are considered control shares. Control shares cannot vote either until their voting rights have been restored by two separate votes of the shareholders, described below, at a meeting or until they have been transferred to a person who does not thereby also become the holder of control shares.

The holder of control shares may wait until the next annual or special meeting after the acquisition took place to submit the request for the restoration of voting rights to the shareholders, or the acquiring person may accelerate the process by agreeing to underwrite the cost of a special meeting of shareholders for that purpose. In either case, the acquiring person is required to furnish for distribution to the shareholders an information statement containing a detailed disclosure concerning the acquiring person, its intentions with respect to ownership of securities of the corporation and other matters. As an alternative, a person proposing to make a control share acquisition may request prospective approval by the shareholders of the exercise of the voting rights of the shares proposed to be acquired. Two shareholders' votes are required to approve the restoration of voting rights: (i) the approval of an absolute majority of all voting power must be obtained, and all voting shares are entitled to participate in this vote; and (ii) the approval of an absolute majority of all disinterested shareholders must be obtained.

For a period of 24 months after the later of (i) a control share acquisition by an acquiring person who does not properly request consideration of voting rights, or (ii) the denial of such a request or lapse of voting rights, the corporation may redeem all the control shares at the average public market sales price of the shares on the date notice of the call for redemption is given by the corporation.

This limitation under Pennsylvania law regarding control share acquisitions applies to Scottsdale, but Mid Penn has opted out of these Pennsylvania law provisions pursuant to its articles of incorporation.

Disgorgement of Profits by Certain Controlling Persons. Pennsylvania law regarding disgorgement of profits by certain controlling persons applies in the event that (i) any person or group publicly discloses that the person or group may acquire control of the corporation, or (ii) a person or group acquires (or publicly discloses an intent to acquire) 20% or more of the voting power of the corporation and, in either case, sells shares within 18 months thereafter. Any profits from sales of equity securities of the corporation received by the person or group during such 18-month period will belong to the corporation if the securities that were sold were acquired during the 18-month period or within 24 months prior thereto.

This limitation under Pennsylvania law regarding disgorgement by certain controlling persons applies to Scottsdale, but Mid Penn has opted out of these Pennsylvania law provisions pursuant to its articles of incorporation.

Business Combination Transactions with Interested Shareholders. Pennsylvania law prohibits certain business combinations with certain interested shareholders, persons who acquire the direct or indirect beneficial ownership of shares entitled to cast at least 20% of the votes entitled to be cast for the election of directors. A corporation subject to this provision may not effect mergers or certain other business combinations with the interested shareholder for a period of five years, unless:

the business combination or the acquisition of stock by means of which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder is approved by the corporation's board of directors prior to such stock acquisition;

the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of all the outstanding common shares of the corporation; or

the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all shares entitled to vote, excluding votes of shares held by the interested shareholders, and at the time of such vote, the interested shareholder is the beneficial owner of at least 80% of the voting shares of the

Table of Contents

corporation. This exception applies only if the value of the consideration to be paid by the interested shareholder in connection with the business combination satisfies certain fair price requirements. After the five-year restricted period, an interested shareholder of the corporation may engage in a business combination with the corporation if (i) the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares other than those beneficially owned by the interested shareholder and its affiliates, or (ii) the merger is approved at a shareholders meeting and certain fair price requirements are met.

Rights of Shareholders to Demand Fair Value for Stock Following a Control Transaction. Pennsylvania law regarding the ability of shareholders to dispose of their stock following a control transaction provides, generally, that a person or group that acquires more than 20% of the voting power to elect directors of the corporation is a controlling person and must give prompt notice to each shareholder of record. The other shareholders are then entitled to demand that the controlling person pay them the fair value of their shares under specified procedures. Fair value may not be less than the highest price paid per share by the controlling person at any time during the 90-day period ending on and including the date on which the controlling person became such, plus any increment representing any value, such as a control premium, that is not reflected in such price.

The provisions set forth in this section *Anti-Takeover Provisions* apply to Mid Penn only to the extent provided above, but they do not apply to Scottdale, since Scottdale is generally controlled by the Banking Code rather than the PBCL and Scottdale has opted out, in its bylaws, of Section 1610 of the Banking Code, which mirrors some of the restrictions set forth above.

Voting Rights*Amendment of Articles of Incorporation.*

Pennsylvania law provides that shareholders of a registered corporation, such as Mid Penn, are not entitled by statute to propose amendments to the articles of incorporation. By contrast, under Pennsylvania law, an amendment to the articles of incorporation for an unregistered corporation, such as Scottdale, can only be proposed (1) by adoption by the board of directors of a resolution setting forth the proposed amendment; or (2) unless otherwise provided in the articles, by petition of shareholders entitled to cast at least 10% of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast on the proposed amendment. The shareholder petition must set forth the proposed amendment, be directed to the board of directors and filed with the secretary of the corporation.

Except where the approval of the shareholders is unnecessary, the board of directors will then direct that the proposed amendment be submitted to a vote of the shareholders entitled to vote on the proposed amendment. An amendment proposed pursuant to paragraph (2) above is required to be submitted to a vote either at the next annual meeting held not earlier than 120 days after the amendment is proposed or at a special meeting of the shareholders called for that purpose by the shareholders.

Under Pennsylvania law, an amendment to the articles of incorporation requires the approval of the board of directors and, except in limited cases where a greater vote may be required, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by all shareholders within each class or series of shares if such class or series is entitled to vote on the matter as a class.

Mid Penn. Mid Penn shareholders are not entitled by statute to propose amendments to the articles of incorporation. Mid Penn's articles of incorporation may be amended as provided under Pennsylvania law, with the following exception: any amendment to Articles 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 require the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80 % of the outstanding shares of common stock, or the holders of 66-2/3% of the Mid Penn common stock, provided that

such amendment has received the prior approval of at least 80% of all the members of the board of directors.

Scottdale. The Banking Code of 1965 provides that an amendment to the articles of incorporation requires the approval of the board of directors and, of the shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes

Table of Contents

which all shareholders are entitled to cast thereon and, if any class is entitled to vote thereon as a class, of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of such class.

Amendment of Bylaws.

Mid Penn. Mid Penn's bylaws may be amended or repealed, in whole or in part, by the holders of 80% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock at any regular or special meeting duly convened after notice to the shareholders of that purpose, or by a majority vote of the members of the board of directors at any regular or special meeting.

Scottdale. Scottdale's bylaws may be altered, amended, added to or repealed by vote of a majority of the board of directors at any regular meeting of the board, or at any special meeting of Scottdale called for that purpose, except they shall not make or alter any amendment fixing their qualifications, classification or term of office. Any action by the board of directors is subject, however, to the general right of the shareholders to change such action.

MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION

Mid Penn common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB. Scottdale's common stock is also listed on the OTC Pink Market under the symbol SDLJ. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of shares of Mid Penn's and Scottdale's common stock, Mid Penn's quarterly cash dividends declared per share and Scottdale's annual cash dividends declared per share for the periods indicated.

	Mid Penn Common Stock			Scottdale Common Stock		
	High	Low	Dividend	High	Low	Dividend
2014						
First Quarter	\$ 14.93	\$ 13.30	\$ 0.05	\$ 449	\$ 420	
Second Quarter	15.90	14.00	0.10	449	435	
Third Quarter	16.13	15.10	0.10	473	435	
Fourth Quarter	15.95	15.06	0.20	475	457	\$ 9.00
2015						
First Quarter	16.09	15.35	0.10	465	465	
Second Quarter	16.50	15.24	0.10	475	457	
Third Quarter	16.94	15.32	0.12	500	474	
Fourth Quarter	17.00	15.60	0.12	500	475	9.00
2016						
First Quarter	16.99	14.70	0.22	475	441	
Second Quarter	16.23	14.88	0.12	470	441	
Third Quarter	19.49	15.72	0.12	499	458	
Fourth Quarter	24.00	18.50	0.22	462	452	30.00
2017						
First Quarter	28.95	23.15	0.13	1,100	453	
Second Quarter	27.95	25.10	0.13	1,107	1,095	
Third Quarter	29.70	25.71	0.13	1,180	1,071.50	

On March 28, 2017, the last full trading day before the public announcement of the merger agreement, the closing price of shares of Mid Penn common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Stock Market was \$27.25 and the closing price of Scottdale common stock was \$460.00. On September 29, 2017, the last practicable trading day before the date of

this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of shares of Mid Penn common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Stock Market was \$29.40 and the closing price of shares of Scottdale common stock as reported on the OTC Pink Market was \$1,180.

Table of Contents

Both Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank are subject to various general regulatory policies relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums.

Scottdale shareholders are advised to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock. The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate between the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and the completion of the merger and from the Average Price. No assurance can be given concerning the market price of Mid Penn common stock before or after the effective date of the merger.

As of the record date, there were 50,718 shares of Scottdale common stock outstanding, which were held by 106 holders of record. As of the record date, there were 4,240,754 shares of Mid Penn common stock outstanding, which were held by 1,173 holders of record.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in connection with the merger will be passed upon for Mid Penn by Stevens & Lee, P.C. Certain U.S. federal income tax consequences relating to the merger will also be passed upon for Mid Penn by Stevens & Lee, P.C. and for Scottdale by Tucker Arensberg, P.C.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of Mid Penn and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2016 included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the related registration statement have been so included in reliance upon the report of BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, appearing elsewhere herein and in the related registration statement, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of Scottdale and subsidiary as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016 included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the related registration statement have been so included in reliance upon the report of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C., independent certified public accountants, as stated in their report appearing herein.

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

Mid Penn has filed with the SEC a registration statement under the Securities Act that registers the distribution to Scottdale shareholders of the shares of Mid Penn common stock to be issued in connection with the merger. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part of that registration statement and constitutes a prospectus of Mid Penn and a proxy statement of Mid Penn for its special meeting and a proxy statement of Scottdale for its special meeting. The registration statement, including the attached exhibits, contains additional relevant information about Mid Penn common stock. The rules and regulations of the SEC allow us to omit certain information included in the registration statement from this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

You may read and copy this information at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Information on any Mid Penn or Scottdale website is not part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, and you should not rely on that information in deciding whether to approve any of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Any statement contained in a document incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to the extent that a statement contained herein or in any subsequently filed document which is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein modifies or supersedes such statement. Any such statement so modified or superseded shall not be deemed, except as so modified or superseded, to constitute a part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Mid Penn has supplied all information contained or incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Mid Penn, and Scottdale has supplied all information relating to Scottdale.

Documents incorporated by reference are available from Mid Penn without charge, excluding any exhibits to those documents unless the exhibit is specifically incorporated by reference as an exhibit in this joint proxy

Table of Contents

statement/prospectus. You can obtain documents incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus by requesting them in writing or by telephone from Mid Penn at the following addresses:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (717) 692-7105

Mid Penn shareholders requesting documents should do so by November 8, 2017 to receive them before the special meeting. Mid Penn shareholders will not be charged for any of these documents that they request. If you request any incorporated documents, Mid Penn will mail them to you by first class mail, or another equally prompt means after it receives your request.

Neither Mid Penn nor Scottdale has authorized anyone to give any information or make any representation about the merger or our companies that is different from, or in addition to, that contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or in any of the materials that have been incorporated in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Therefore, if anyone does give you information of this sort, you should not rely on it. If you are in a jurisdiction where offers to exchange or sell, or solicitations of offers to exchange or purchase, the securities offered by this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the solicitation of proxies is unlawful, or if you are a person to whom it is unlawful to direct these types of activities, then the offer presented in this joint proxy statement/prospectus does not extend to you. The information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus speaks only as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus unless the information specifically indicates that another date applies.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains a description of the representations and warranties that each of Mid Penn and Scottdale made to the other in the merger agreement. Representations and warranties made by Mid Penn and Scottdale are also set forth in contracts and other documents that are attached or filed as exhibits to this joint proxy statement/prospectus or are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. These representations and warranties were made as of specific dates, may be subject to important qualifications and limitations agreed to between the parties in connection with negotiating the terms of the agreement, and may have been included in the agreement for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties rather than to establish matters as facts. These materials are included or incorporated by reference only to provide you with information regarding the terms and conditions of the agreements, and not to provide any other factual information regarding Mid Penn or its business. Accordingly, the representations and warranties and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read only in conjunction with the other information provided elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page
Financial Statements of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.	
Audited Financial Statements:	
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-9
Unaudited Financial Statements:	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016</u>	F-62
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-63
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-64
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-65
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-66
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-67
Financial Statements of The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company	
Audited Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Auditors</u>	F-102
<u>Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-103
<u>Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-104
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-105
<u>Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-106
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	F-107
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-108
Unaudited Financial Statements:	
<u>Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016</u>	F-130
<u>Consolidated Income Statement for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-131
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-132
<u>Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-133
<u>Statement of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2017, and June 30, 2016</u>	F-134
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-135

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

Millersburg, Pennsylvania

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries, (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Corporation is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

March 23, 2017

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 13,493	\$ 12,329
Interest-bearing balances with other financial institutions	2,003	955
Federal funds sold	30,477	
Total cash and cash equivalents	45,973	13,284
Interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions		4,317
Investment securities available for sale	133,625	135,721
Loans held for sale	1,959	2,678
Loans and leases, net of unearned interest	813,924	736,513
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,183)	(6,168)
Net loans and leases	806,741	730,345
Bank premises and equipment, net	11,074	13,993
Bank premises and equipment held for sale	1,894	
Cash surrender value of life insurance	12,780	12,516
Restricted investment in bank stocks	2,443	4,266
Foreclosed assets held for sale	224	1,185
Accrued interest receivable	3,928	3,813
Deferred income taxes	4,286	1,821
Goodwill	3,918	3,918
Core deposit and other intangibles, net	539	665
Other assets	3,215	3,116
Total Assets	\$ 1,032,599	\$ 931,638
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 122,811	\$ 103,721
Interest-bearing demand	317,533	247,356
Money Market	252,271	208,386
Savings	60,163	56,731
Time	182,595	160,849
Total Deposits	935,373	777,043
Short-term borrowings		31,596
Long-term debt	13,581	40,305
Subordinated debt	7,414	7,414
Accrued interest payable	515	390
Other liabilities	5,249	4,822

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Total Liabilities	962,132	861,570
Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1.00; authorized 10,000,000 shares; 4,233,297 shares and 4,226,717 shares issued at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	4,233	4,227
Additional paid-in capital	40,688	40,559
Retained earnings	28,399	23,470
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,853)	1,812
Total Shareholders' Equity	70,467	70,068
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 1,032,599	\$ 931,638

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Income**

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
INTEREST INCOME			
Interest and fees on loans and leases	\$ 36,402	\$ 32,840	\$ 26,905
Interest on interest-bearing balances	12	44	41
Interest and dividends on investment securities:			
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	1,346	1,222	1,346
State and political subdivision obligations, tax-exempt	2,066	2,000	2,180
Other securities	304	382	155
Interest on federal funds sold	82	2	
Total Interest Income	40,212	36,490	30,627
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Interest on deposits	4,514	3,889	3,852
Interest on short-term borrowings	15	47	55
Interest on long-term and subordinated debt	838	671	520
Total Interest Expense	5,367	4,607	4,427
Net Interest Income	34,845	31,883	26,200
PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	1,870	1,065	1,617
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	32,975	30,818	24,583
NONINTEREST INCOME			
Income from fiduciary activities	481	466	552
Service charges on deposits	684	690	584
Net gain on sales of investment securities	1,046	325	168
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	264	269	201
Mortgage banking income	922	456	313
ATM debit card interchange income	844	741	544
Merchant services income	317	235	254
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	470	252	119
Other income	896	679	549
Total Noninterest Income	5,924	4,113	3,284
NONINTEREST EXPENSE			
Salaries and employee benefits	15,564	14,043	10,879
Occupancy expense, net	2,064	1,947	1,313
Equipment expense	1,689	1,477	1,205
Pennsylvania Bank Shares Tax expense	648	408	365
FDIC Assessment	688	613	542
Legal and professional fees	711	588	516

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Marketing and advertising expense	500	533	308
Software licensing	1,380	1,472	965
Telephone expense	548	569	467
Loss on sale or write-down of foreclosed assets	217	111	204
Intangible amortization	126	114	63
Merger and acquisition expense		762	573
Other expenses	4,683	4,122	3,304
Total Noninterest Expense	28,818	26,759	20,704
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	10,081	8,172	7,163
Provision for income taxes	2,277	1,644	1,462
NET INCOME	7,804	6,528	5,701
Series B preferred stock dividends and redemption premium		473	350
Series C preferred stock dividends		17	
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 7,804	\$ 6,038	\$ 5,351
PER COMMON SHARE DATA:			
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.53
Cash Dividends Declared	0.68	0.44	0.45

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 7,804	\$ 6,528	\$ 5,701
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of (\$1,954), \$80, and \$1,280, respectively	(3,794)	154	2,482
Reclassification adjustment for net gain on sales of available-for-sale securities included in net income, net of income taxes of (\$356), (\$110), and (\$57), respectively (a)	(690)	(215)	(111)
Change in defined benefit plans, net of income taxes of (\$93), \$185, and \$7, respectively (b)	(181)	360	14
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(4,665)	299	2,385
Total comprehensive income	\$ 3,139	\$ 6,827	\$ 8,086

(a) Amounts are included in net gain on sales of investment securities on the Consolidated Statements of Income as a separate component within total noninterest income

(b) Amounts are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost and are included in salaries and employee benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Income as a separate element within total noninterest expense

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity**

	Preferred	Common	Additional	Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Stock	Stock	Paid-in	Earnings	Other	Shareholders'
			Capital		(Loss)	Equity
					Income	
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share data)</i>						
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,494	\$ 29,853	\$ 15,441	\$ (872)	\$ 52,916
Net income				5,701		5,701
Total other comprehensive income, net of taxes					2,385	2,385
Common stock dividends				(1,575)		(1,575)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan (3,432 shares)		4	49			53
Series B preferred stock dividends				(350)		(350)
Balance, December 31, 2014	5,000	3,498	29,902	19,217	1,513	59,130
Net income				6,528		6,528
Total other comprehensive income, net of taxes					299	299
Common stock dividends				(1,785)		(1,785)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan (4,162 shares)		4	62			66
Series B preferred stock dividends				(373)		(373)
Series B preferred stock redemption	(5,000)					(5,000)
Series B preferred stock redemption premium				(100)		(100)
Series C preferred stock in connection with Phoenix acquisition	1,750					1,750
Series C preferred stock dividends				(17)		(17)
Series C preferred stock redemption	(1,750)					(1,750)
Common stock issued to Phoenix shareholders (723,851 shares)		724	10,568			11,292
Restricted stock activity (875 shares)		1	27			28
Balance, December 31, 2015		4,227	40,559	23,470	1,812	70,068
Net income				7,804		7,804
Total other comprehensive loss, net of taxes					(4,665)	(4,665)
Common stock dividends				(2,875)		(2,875)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan (4,465 shares)		4	78			82
Restricted stock activity (2,115 shares)		2	51			53
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 0	\$ 4,233	\$ 40,688	\$ 28,399	\$ (2,853)	\$ 70,467

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Operating Activities:			
Net Income	\$ 7,804	\$ 6,528	\$ 5,701
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,870	1,065	1,617
Depreciation	1,658	1,485	1,235
Amortization of intangibles	126	100	62
Net amortization of security premiums	11,709	4,251	1,250
Gain on sales of investment securities	(1,046)	(325)	(168)
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	(264)	(269)	(201)
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(42,888)	(26,083)	(16,775)
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans originated for sale	43,810	26,539	17,088
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	(922)	(456)	(313)
SBA loans originated for sale	(5,872)	(3,484)	(1,168)
Proceeds from sales of SBA loans originated for sale	6,342	3,736	1,287
Gain on sale of SBA loans	(470)	(252)	(119)
Loss on write-down/disposal of property, plant, and equipment	142		18
Loss on sale / write-down of foreclosed assets	217	111	204
Restricted stock compensation expense	53	27	
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(336)	997	(112)
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(115)	(367)	(354)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(99)	333	(547)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	125	9	(44)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	427	(771)	9
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	22,271	13,174	8,670
Investing Activities:			
Net decrease in interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions	4,317	1,455	1,741
Proceeds from the maturity of investment securities	16,110	11,940	13,585
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities	111,390	37,142	13,729
Purchases of investment securities	(142,861)	(35,858)	(43,633)
Net cash received from acquisition		8,095	
Redemptions (purchases) of restricted investment in bank stocks	1,823	(576)	(212)
Net increase in loans and leases	(77,795)	(60,043)	(27,170)
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(775)	(1,461)	(1,009)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	992	403	1,077
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(86,799)	(38,903)	(41,892)
Financing Activities:			
Net increase in deposits	158,330	15,883	29,792

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowings	(31,596)	31,018	(23,255)
Series B preferred stock dividends and redemption premium paid		(473)	(350)
Series B preferred stock redemption		(5,000)	
Series C preferred stock dividends paid		(17)	
Series C preferred stock redemption		(1,750)	
Common stock dividends paid	(2,875)	(1,785)	(1,575)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	82	66	53
Long-term debt repayment	(26,724)	(16,226)	(184)
Proceeds from long-term debt borrowings			30,000
Deferred financing fees paid for subordinated debt issuance		(85)	
Subordinated debt issuance		7,500	
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	97,217	29,131	34,481
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	32,689	3,402	1,259
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	13,284	9,882	8,623
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 45,973	\$ 13,284	\$ 9,882

F-7

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	\$ 5,242	\$ 4,566	\$ 4,471
Income taxes paid	\$ 1,700	\$ 1,130	\$ 1,520
Supplemental Noncash Disclosures:			
Loan transfers to foreclosed assets held for sale	\$ 248	\$ 1,135	\$ 881
Asset transfers to bank premises and equipment held for sale	\$ 1,894	\$	\$
Common stock issued to Phoenix shareholders	\$	\$ 11,292	\$
Assets, Liabilities, and Equity in Connection with Merger:			

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Assets Acquired:	
Securities	\$ 11,331
Loans	110,363
Restricted stock	509
Property and equipment	1,792
Deferred income taxes	503
Accrued interest receivable	388
Core deposit and other intangible assets	578
Bank-owned life insurance	3,673
Other assets	624
	\$ 129,761
Liabilities Assumed:	
Deposits	\$ 123,238
Accrued interest payable	32
Long-term debt	3,570
Other liabilities	876
	\$ 127,716
Equity Acquired:	
Preferred stock	\$ 1,750

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(1) Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary Mid Penn Bank (the Bank), and the Bank's former wholly-owned subsidiary Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC, which was closed, effective March 1, 2016 (collectively, Mid Penn). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Each of Mid Penn's lines of business are part of the same reporting segment, community banking, whose operating results are regularly reviewed and managed by a centralized executive management group. As a result, Mid Penn has only one reportable segment for financial reporting purposes.

The comparability of the results of operations for the year ended 2016 compared to 2015 and 2014, in general, have been impacted by the acquisition of Phoenix as further described in Note 4. For comparative purposes, the 2015 and 2014 balances have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income.

(2) Nature of Business

The Bank engages in a full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services, including, but not limited to, mortgage and home equity loans, secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, lines of credit, construction financing, farm loans, community development loans, loans to non-profit entities and local government loans and various types of time and demand deposits, including but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, clubs, money market deposit accounts, certificates of deposit, and IRAs. In addition, the Bank provides a full range of trust services through its Trust Department. Deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to the extent provided by law.

The financial services are provided to individuals, partnerships, non-profit organizations, and corporations through its 21 retail banking offices located in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland, and Schuylkill Counties.

A decision was made to close Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC, effective March 1, 2016 due to a lack of activity within the subsidiary. Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC was an immaterial subsidiary of the Bank, and was immaterial to Mid Penn's consolidated results.

(3) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Mid Penn conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and to general practice within the financial industry. The following is a description of the more significant accounting policies.

(a) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the assessment of other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, the valuation of the goodwill for impairment, and the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations.

(b) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, balances due from banks, and federal funds sold, all of which mature within ninety days.

Table of Contents

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(c) Interest-bearing Time Deposits with Other Financial Institutions

Interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions consist of certificates of deposits in other financial institutions with maturities within one year.

(d) Investment Securities

Available-for-sale securities include debt and equity securities. Debt and equity securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported, net of deferred income taxes, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within shareholders' equity. Premiums and discounts on debt securities are amortized as an adjustment to interest income using the interest method. Realized gains and losses on sales of investment securities are computed on the basis of specific identification of the cost of each security. Net gains on sales of investment securities were \$1,046,000 in 2016, \$325,000 in 2015, and \$168,000 in 2014. Mid Penn had no held-to-maturity securities in 2016 and 2015.

(e) Loans Held for Sale

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are included in loans held for sale and are carried at fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are included in the Consolidated Statements of Income in mortgage banking income. Mortgage banking income was \$922,000 in 2016, \$456,000 in 2015, and \$313,000 in 2014.

(f) Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of an allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Residential loans held for sale are carried at fair value and are included in loans held for sale on the balance sheet. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the yield (interest income) of the related loans. These amounts are generally being amortized over the contractual life of the loan. Premiums and discounts on purchased loans are amortized as adjustments to interest income using the effective yield method.

The loan portfolio is segmented into commercial and consumer loans. Commercial loans consist of the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial real estate-construction and lease financing. Consumer loans consist of the following classes: residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans.

For all classes of loans, the accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days or more past due or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is currently performing. A loan may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest is credited to income. Interest received on nonaccrual loans, including impaired loans, generally is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management's judgment as to the collectability of principal.

Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time (generally six months) and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt. The past due status of all classes of loans receivable is determined based on contractual due dates for loan payments.

Commercial and industrial

Mid Penn originates commercial and industrial loans. Most of the Bank's commercial and industrial loans have been extended to finance local and regional businesses and include short-term loans to

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

finance machinery and equipment purchases, inventory, and accounts receivable. Commercial loans also involve the extension of revolving credit for a combination of equipment acquisitions and working capital in expanding companies.

The maximum term for loans extended on machinery and equipment is based on the projected useful life of such machinery and equipment. Generally, the maximum term on non-mortgage lines of credit is one year. The loan-to-value ratio on such loans and lines of credit generally may not exceed 80% of the value of the collateral securing the loan. The Bank's commercial business lending policy includes credit file documentation and analysis of the borrower's character, capacity to repay the loan, the adequacy of the borrower's capital and collateral as well as an evaluation of conditions affecting the borrower. Analysis of the borrower's past, present, and future cash flows is also an important aspect of the Bank's current credit analysis. Nonetheless, such loans are believed to carry higher credit risk than other extensions of credit.

Commercial and industrial loans typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself, which, in turn, is likely to be dependent upon the general economic environment. Mid Penn's commercial and industrial loans are usually, but not always, secured by business assets and personal guarantees. However, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise, and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business.

Commercial real estate and commercial real estate construction

Commercial real estate and commercial real estate construction loans generally present a higher level of risk than loans secured by one to four family residences. This greater risk is due to several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effect of general economic conditions on income producing properties, and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. In addition, the repayment of loans secured by commercial real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate project. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired.

Residential mortgage

Mid Penn offers a wide array of residential mortgage loans for both permanent structures and those under construction. The Bank's residential mortgage originations are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market and surrounding areas. Residential mortgage loans have terms up to a maximum of 30 years and with loan to value ratios up to 100% of the lesser of the appraised value of the security property or the contract price. Private mortgage insurance is generally required in an amount sufficient to reduce the Bank's exposure to at or below the 85% loan to value level. Residential mortgage loans generally do not include prepayment penalties.

In underwriting residential mortgage loans, the Bank evaluates both the borrower's ability to make monthly payments and the value of the property securing the loan. Most properties securing real estate loans made by Mid Penn are appraised by independent fee appraisers. The Bank generally requires borrowers to obtain title insurance and fire and property insurance (including flood insurance, if necessary) in an amount not less than the amount of the loan. Real estate loans originated by the Bank generally contain a due on sale clause allowing the Bank to declare the unpaid principal balance due and payable upon the sale of the security property.

The Bank underwrites residential mortgage loans to the standards established by the secondary mortgage market, i.e., Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Pennsylvania Housing Finance

F-11

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Agency standards, with the intention of selling the majority of residential mortgages originated into the secondary market. In the event that the facts and circumstances surrounding a residential mortgage application do not meet all underwriting conditions of the secondary mortgage market, the Bank will evaluate the failed conditions and evaluate the potential risk of holding the residential mortgage in the Bank's portfolio rather than rejecting the loan request. In the event that the loan is held in the Bank's portfolio, the interest rate on the residential mortgage typically would be increased to compensate for the added portfolio risk.

Consumer, including home equity

Mid Penn offers a variety of secured consumer loans, including home equity, automobile, and deposit secured loans. In addition, the Bank offers other secured and unsecured consumer loans. Most consumer loans are originated in Mid Penn's primary market and surrounding areas.

The largest component of Mid Penn's consumer loan portfolio consists of fixed rate home equity loans and variable rate home equity lines of credit. Substantially all home equity loans and lines of credit are secured by second mortgages on principal residences. The Bank will lend amounts, which, together with all prior liens, typically may be up to 85% of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Home equity term loans may have maximum terms up to 20 years while home equity lines of credit generally have maximum terms of five years.

Consumer loan terms vary according to the type and value of collateral, length of contract and creditworthiness of the borrower. The underwriting standards employed by the Bank for consumer loans include an application, a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts, and an assessment of ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is a primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the collateral, if any, in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Consumer loans may entail greater credit risk than do residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles or recreational equipment. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on such loans.

Junior liens inherently have more credit risk by virtue of the fact that another financial institution may have a higher security position in the case of foreclosure liquidation of collateral to extinguish the debt. Generally, foreclosure actions could become more prevalent if the real estate market weakens and property values deteriorate.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments represents management's estimate of losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet and was \$120,000 at December 31, 2016 and \$94,500 at

December 31, 2015. The allowance for loan and lease losses is increased by the provision for loan and lease losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against

F-12

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

the allowance for loan and lease losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the principal balance of loans are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Non-residential consumer loans are generally charged off no later than 120 days past due on a contractual basis, earlier in the event of bankruptcy, or if there is an amount deemed uncollectible. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a monthly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on Mid Penn's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the DCF, collateral value, or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include changes in economic conditions, fluctuations in loan quality measures, changes in collateral values, changes in the experience of the lending staff and loan review systems, changes in lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards, changes in the mix and volume of loans originated, the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing loan portfolio, and shifting industry or portfolio concentrations.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

Mid Penn generally considers a commercial loan (consisting of commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial real estate-construction, and lease financing loan classes) to be impaired when it becomes 90 days or more past due and not in the process of collection or sooner when it is probable that Mid Penn will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest due. This methodology assumes the borrower cannot or will not continue to make additional payments. At that time the loan would generally be considered collateral dependent as the DCF method would generally indicate no operating income available for evaluating the collateral position; therefore, most impaired loans are deemed to be collateral dependent.

In addition, Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as nonaccrual, included in the substandard rating, to be impaired, and most of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, most of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

Mid Penn evaluates loans for charge-off on a monthly basis. Policies that govern the recommendation for charge-off are unique to the type of loan being considered. Commercial loans rated as substandard nonaccrual or lower will first have a collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

on impaired loans. Once the collateral evaluation has been completed, a specific allocation of allowance is made based upon the results of the evaluation. The remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In the event the loan is unsecured, the loan would have been charged-off at the recognition of impairment. Commercial real estate loans rated as impaired will also have an initial collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. An updated real estate valuation is ordered and the collateral evaluation is modified to reflect any variations in value. A specific allocation of allowance is made for any anticipated collateral shortfall. The remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). The process of charge-off for residential mortgage loans begins upon a loan becoming delinquent for 90 days and not in the process of collection. The existing appraisal is reviewed and a lien search is obtained to determine lien position and any instances of intervening liens. A new appraisal of the property will be ordered if deemed necessary by management and a collateral evaluation is completed. The loan will then be charged down to the value indicated in the evaluation. Consumer loans (including home equity loans and other consumer loans) are recommended for charge-off after reaching delinquency of 90 days and the loan is not in the process of collection. The collateral shortfall of the consumer loan is recommended for charge-off at this point.

As noted above, Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for commercial loans and commercial real estate loans. The remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In addition, Mid Penn takes a preemptive step when any commercial loan becomes classified under its internal classification system. A preliminary collateral evaluation, in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans, is prepared using the existing collateral information in the loan file. This process allows Mid Penn to review both the credit and documentation files to determine the status of the information needed to make a collateral evaluation. This collateral evaluation is preliminary but allows Mid Penn to determine if any potential collateral shortfalls exist.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate as soon as practically possible of the credit being classified as substandard nonaccrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however, no allowance recommendation will be made until such time Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation. The Asset Recovery department employs an electronic tracking system to monitor the receipt of and need for updated appraisals. To date, there have been no material time lapses noted with the above processes.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to provide a fair estimate of value based on auction sales or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary.

For impaired loans with no valuation allowance required, Mid Penn's practice of obtaining independent third party market valuations on the subject property as soon as practically possible of the credit being placed on nonaccrual status sometimes indicates that the loan to value ratio is sufficient to obviate the need for a specific allocation in spite of significant deterioration in real estate values in Mid Penn's primary market area. These circumstances are determined on a case by case analysis of the impaired loans.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or

F-14

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 12 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, Mid Penn does not separately identify individual residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a troubled debt restructuring agreement.

Loans whose terms are modified are classified as troubled debt restructurings if the borrowers have been granted concessions and it is deemed that those borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring generally involve a temporary reduction in interest rate or an extension of a loan's stated maturity date. Nonaccrual troubled debt restructurings are restored to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six consecutive months after modification. Loans classified as troubled debt restructurings are designated as impaired.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors, and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful, and loss. Loans criticized as special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Any loans not classified as noted above are rated pass.

In addition, Federal and State regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan and lease losses and may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management's comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Acquired Loans

Loans that Mid Penn acquires in connection with business combinations are recorded at fair value with no carryover of the existing related allowance for loan losses. Fair value of the loans involves estimating the amount and timing of principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected on the loans and discounting those cash flows at a market rate of interest.

The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable discount and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the nonaccretable discount. These loans are accounted for

F-15

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

under the Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. The nonaccretable discount includes estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will require Mid Penn to evaluate the need for an additional allowance for credit losses. Subsequent improvement in expected cash flows will result in the reversal of a corresponding amount of the nonaccretable discount which Mid Penn will then reclassify as accretable discount that will be recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan.

Loans acquired through business combinations that do meet the specific criteria of ASC 310-30 are individually evaluated each period to analyze expected cash flows. To the extent that the expected cash flows of a loan have decreased due to credit deterioration, Mid Penn establishes an allowance.

Loans acquired through business combinations that do not meet the specific criteria of ASC 310-30 are accounted for under ASC 310-20. These loans are initially recorded at fair value, and include credit and interest rate marks associated with acquisition accounting adjustments. Purchase premiums or discounts are subsequently amortized as an adjustment to yield over the estimated contractual lives of the loans. There is no allowance for loan losses established at the acquisition date for acquired performing loans. An allowance for loan losses is recorded for any credit deterioration in these loans subsequent to acquisition.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for impaired or nonaccrual of interest prior to the acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent if Mid Penn expects to fully collect the new carrying value (i.e. fair value) of the loans. As such, Mid Penn may no longer consider the loan to be nonaccrual or nonperforming and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable discount. In addition, charge-offs on such loans would be first applied to the nonaccretable difference portion of the fair value adjustment.

(g) Bank Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Buildings, furniture, fixtures, equipment, land improvements, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Building assets are depreciated using an estimated useful life of five to fifty years. Furniture, fixtures, and equipment are depreciated using an estimated useful life of three to ten years. Land improvements are depreciated over an estimated useful life of ten to twenty years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated using an estimated useful life that is the lesser of the remaining life of the lease or ten to thirty years. Maintenance and normal repairs are charged to expense when incurred, while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on disposals are reflected in current operations. Bank premises and equipment designated as held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value.

(h) Restricted Investments in Bank Stocks

Restricted investments in bank stocks represent required investments in the common stock of correspondent banks. As a member of the FHLB and Atlantic Community Bankers Bank (ACBB), the Bank is required to own restricted stock investments in these correspondent banks, which is carried at cost. The total amount of these restricted stock investments was \$2,443,000 December 31, 2016 and \$4,266,000 at December 31, 2015. This reduction was attributed

to the decline in Mid Penn's FHLB long term advances and its shift from a short-term borrowing to a short-term selling position in 2016. Total dividends received in 2016 and 2015 totaled \$135,000 and \$250,000, respectively.

(i) Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale

Foreclosed assets held for sale consist primarily of real estate acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure in settlement of debt, and are recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of transfer,

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

establishing a new cost basis. Any valuation adjustments required at the date of transfer are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to acquisition, foreclosed assets are carried at fair value less costs of disposal, based upon periodic evaluations that consider changes in market conditions and development and disposal costs. Operating results from assets acquired in satisfaction of debt, including rental income less operating costs and gains or losses on the sale of, or the periodic evaluation of foreclosed assets, are recorded in noninterest expense. As of December 31, 2016, Mid Penn had \$57,000 of residential real estate held in other real estate owned. There was \$426,000 in loans for which formal foreclosure proceedings were in process at December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, Mid Penn had \$358,000 of residential real estate held in other real estate owned. There were no loans for which formal foreclosure proceedings were in process at December 31, 2015.

(j) Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights are recognized as assets upon the sale of a mortgage loan. A portion of the cost of the loan is allocated to the servicing right based upon relative fair value. The fair value of servicing rights is based on the present value of estimated future cash flows of mortgages sold, stratified by rate and maturity date. Assumptions that are incorporated in the valuation of servicing rights include assumptions about prepayment speeds on mortgages and the cost to service loans. Servicing rights are reported in core deposit and other intangibles in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are amortized over the estimated period of future servicing income to be received on the underlying mortgage loans. The carrying amount of mortgage servicing rights was \$144,000 and \$174,000 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Amortization expense is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income in other income and was \$30,000, \$24,000, and \$36,000 for the years 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. Servicing rights are evaluated for impairment based upon estimated fair value as compared to unamortized book value.

(k) Investment in Limited Partnership

Mid Penn is a limited partner in a partnership that provides low-income housing in Enola, Pennsylvania. The carrying value of Mid Penn's investment in the limited partnership was \$321,000 at December 31, 2016 and \$365,000 at December 31, 2015, net of amortization, using the straight-line method and is reported in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Mid Penn's maximum exposure to loss is limited to the carrying value of its investment. The partnership received \$46,000 in low-income housing tax credits during 2016, 2015 and 2014.

(l) Income Taxes

Mid Penn accounts for income taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*.

Current income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. Mid Penn determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes

in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of the evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Mid Penn accounts for uncertain tax positions if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more-likely-than-

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

not means a likelihood of more than 50%; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment.

Mid Penn recognizes interest and penalties on income taxes, if any, as a component of income tax expense.

(m) Core Deposit Intangible

Core deposit intangible is a measure of the value of consumer demand and savings deposits acquired in business combinations accounted for as purchases. The core deposit intangible is being amortized over a ten-year period using a sum of the year's digits basis. The core deposit intangible is subject to impairment testing whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate such testing.

(n) Goodwill

Goodwill is the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired in connection with past business acquisitions, including the 2015 Phoenix acquisition. If certain events occur, which indicate goodwill might be impaired between annual tests, goodwill must be tested when such events occur. In making this assessment, Mid Penn considers a number of factors including operating results, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows, current market data, stock price, etc. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and Mid Penn's judgment in applying them to the analysis of goodwill impairment. Changes in economic and operating conditions could result in goodwill impairment in future periods. Mid Penn did not identify any impairment on its outstanding goodwill from its most recent testing, which was performed as of December 31, 2016 using a qualitative analysis. In addition, Mid Penn did not identify any impairment in 2015 or 2014 using a qualitative and quantitative analysis, respectively, in accordance with ASC 350.

(o) Bank Owned Life Insurance

Mid Penn is the owner and beneficiary of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) policies on current and former directors, as well as select Miners Bank employees, which were acquired through the Phoenix acquisition. The earnings from the BOLI policies are an asset that can be liquidated, if necessary, with associated tax costs. However, Mid Penn intends to hold these policies and, accordingly, Mid Penn has not provided deferred income taxes on the earnings from the increase in cash surrender value.

Mid Penn is also party to certain Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements, and in accordance with GAAP, has accrued a liability related to the postretirement benefits covered by an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement, and a liability for the future death benefit.

(p) Marketing and Advertising Costs

Marketing and advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

(q) Postretirement Benefit Plans

Mid Penn follows the guidance in ASC Topic 715, *Compensation-Retirement Benefits*, related to postretirement benefit plans. This guidance requires additional disclosures about defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement defined benefit plans.

F-18

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(r) Other Benefit Plan**

A funded contributory defined-contribution plan is maintained for substantially all employees. The cost of the Mid Penn defined contribution plan is charged to current operating expenses and is funded annually.

(s) Trust Assets and Income

Assets held by the Bank in a fiduciary or agency capacity for customers of the Trust Department are not included in the consolidated financial statements since such items are not assets of the Bank. Trust income is recognized on the cash basis, which is not materially different than if it were reported on the accrual basis.

(t) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes changes in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale arising during the period and reclassification adjustments for realized gains and losses on securities available for sale included in net income. Mid Penn has an unfunded noncontributory defined benefit Plan for directors and other postretirement benefit Plans covering full-time employees. These Plans utilize assumptions and methods to calculate the fair value of Plan assets and recognizing the overfunded and underfunded status of the Plans on its consolidated balance sheet. Gains and losses, prior service costs and credits are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, until they are amortized, or immediately upon curtailment.

(u) Restricted Stock

Mid Penn provides members of Senior Management who have a responsibility for its growth with additional incentives by allowing them to acquire an ownership interest in Mid Penn through the Restricted Stock Plan. The restricted stock is non-voting and non-participating until the granted shares vest. Once the shares vest, the recipient would have full voting rights and be entitled to any common stock dividends.

(v) Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each of the years presented. The following data show the amounts used in computing basic earnings per share.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2016	2015	2014
Net Income	\$ 7,804	\$ 6,528	\$ 5,701
Less:			
Dividends on Series B preferred stock		373	350

Redemption premium on Series B preferred stock				100		
Dividends on Series C preferred stock				17		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	7,804	\$	6,038	\$	5,351
Weighted average common shares outstanding		4,229,284		4,106,548		3,495,705
Basic earnings per common share	\$	1.85	\$	1.47	\$	1.53

Mid Penn had no dilutive instruments outstanding during the periods ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****(4) Mergers and Acquisitions**

On March 1, 2015, Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. (Phoenix) merged with, and into, Mid Penn, with Mid Penn continuing as the surviving entity. Simultaneously with the consummation of the foregoing merger, Miners Bank (Miners), a Pennsylvania-state chartered bank and wholly-owned subsidiary of Phoenix, merged with and into the Bank.

As part of this transaction, Phoenix shareholders received either 3.167 shares of the Company's common stock or \$51.60 in cash in exchange for each share of Phoenix common stock. Holders of contingent rights issued by Phoenix received approximately 0.414 shares of the Company's common stock as settlement of such rights. As a result, the Company issued 723,851 shares of common stock with an acquisition date fair value of approximately \$11,292,000, based on the closing stock price of the Company's common stock on February 27, 2015 of \$15.60, and cash of \$2,949,000. Including an insignificant amount of cash paid in lieu of fractional shares, the fair value of total consideration paid was \$14,241,000.

Additionally, as part of this transaction, on March 1, 2015, Mid Penn assumed all of the liabilities and obligations of Phoenix with respect to 1,750 shares of Phoenix's preferred stock issued to the United States Treasury (Treasury) in connection with the Small Business Lending Fund and issued 1,750 shares of the Company's Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C that had a \$1,000 liquidation preference per share (the SBLF Preferred Shares), to the Treasury. The SBLF Preferred Shares qualified as Tier 1 Capital and had terms and conditions identical to those shares of preferred stock issued by Phoenix to the Treasury.

The assets and liabilities of Miners and Phoenix were recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at their estimated fair value as of March 1, 2015, and their results of operations have been included in the consolidated income statement since that date.

Included in the purchase price was goodwill and a core deposit intangible of \$2,902,000 and \$578,000, respectively. The core deposit intangible will be amortized over a ten-year period using a sum of the year's digits basis. The goodwill is not taxable and will not be amortized, but will be measured annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances require. Core deposit intangible amortization expense projected for the next five years beginning in 2017 is estimated to be \$86,000, \$75,000, \$65,000, \$54,000, and \$44,000 per year, respectively, and \$70,000 in total for years after 2021.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The allocation of the purchase price is as follows:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	
Assets acquired:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,044
Investment securities	11,331
Loans	110,363
Goodwill	2,902
Core deposit and other intangibles	578
Other assets	7,489
Total assets acquired	143,707
Liabilities assumed:	
Deposits	123,238
FHLB borrowings	3,570
Other liabilities	908
Total liabilities assumed	127,716
Equity acquired:	
Preferred stock	1,750
Total equity acquired and liabilities assumed	129,466
Consideration paid	\$ 14,241
Cash paid	\$ 2,949
Fair value of common stock issued, including replacement equity awards	11,292

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities and equity assumed.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	
Total purchase price	\$ 14,241
Net assets acquired:	
Cash and cash equivalents	11,044
Investment securities	11,331
Restricted stock	509
Loans	110,363
Bank owned life insurance	3,673
Premises and equipment	1,792
Deferred income taxes	503

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form 424B4

Accrued interest receivable	388
Core deposit and other intangibles	578
Other assets	624
Deposits	(123,238)
FHLB borrowings	(3,570)
Accrued interest payable	(32)
Other liabilities	(876)
Preferred stock	(1,750)
	11,339
Goodwill	\$ 2,902

F-21

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The fair value of the financial assets acquired included loans receivable with a gross amortized cost basis of \$112,816,000. The table below illustrates the fair value adjustments made to the amortized cost basis in order to present a fair value of the loans acquired.

(Dollars in thousands)

Gross amortized cost basis at March 1, 2015	\$ 112,816
Market rate adjustment	270
Credit fair value adjustment on pools of homogeneous loans	(1,461)
Credit fair value adjustment on impaired loans	(1,262)
Fair value of purchased loans at March 1, 2015	\$ 110,363

The market rate adjustment represents the movement in market interest rates, irrespective of credit adjustments, compared to the stated rates of the acquired loans. The credit adjustment made on pools of homogeneous loans represents the changes in credit quality of the underlying borrowers from the loan inception to the acquisition date. The credit adjustment on impaired loans is derived in accordance with ASC 310-30 and represents the portion of the loan balance that has been deemed uncollectible based on our expectations of future cash flows for each respective loan.

The information about the acquired Phoenix loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 as of March 1, 2015 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Contractually required principal and interest at acquisition	\$ 3,548
Contractual cash flows not expected to be collected (nonaccretable discount)	(804)
Expected cash flows at acquisition	2,744
Interest component of expected cash flows (accretable discount)	(458)
Fair value of acquired loans	\$ 2,286

The following table presents unaudited pro forma information as if the merger between Mid Penn and Phoenix had been completed on January 1, 2014. The pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have occurred had Mid Penn merged with Phoenix at the beginning of 2014. Supplemental pro forma earnings for 2015 were adjusted to exclude \$762,000 of merger related costs incurred for the year ended December 31, 2015; the results for the year ended December 31, 2014 were adjusted to include these charges. The pro forma financial information does not include the impact of possible business model changes, nor does it consider any potential impacts of current market conditions or revenues, expense efficiencies, or other factors. The pro forma data is intended for informational purposes and is not indicative of the future results of operations.

	(Unaudited)	
	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	2015	2014
Net interest income after loan loss provision	\$ 31,454	\$ 29,745
Noninterest income	4,152	4,131
Noninterest expense	27,817	26,846
Net income available to common shareholders	5,811	5,259
Net income per common share	1.38	1.25

F-22

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The amount of total revenue, consisting of interest income plus noninterest income specifically related to Phoenix for the period beginning March 1, 2015, included in the consolidated statements of income of Mid Penn for the year ended December 31, 2015, was \$4,244,000. The net income specifically related to Phoenix for the period beginning March 1, 2015, included in the consolidated statements of income of Mid Penn for the year ended December 31, 2015, was \$747,000.

(5) Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of taxes, are as follows:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>		Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Securities	Defined Benefit Plan Liability	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income
Balance	December 31, 2016	\$ (2,919)	\$ 66	\$ (2,853)
Balance	December 31, 2015	\$ 1,565	\$ 247	\$ 1,812

(6) Restrictions on Cash and Due from Bank Accounts

The Bank is required to maintain reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. There was no required reserve balance at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 because the Bank had sufficient vault cash available.

(7) Investment Securities

Securities to be held for indefinite periods, but not intended to be held to maturity, are classified as available for sale and carried at fair value. Securities held for indefinite periods include securities that management intends to use as part of its asset and liability management strategy and that may be sold in response to liquidity needs, changes in interest rates, resultant prepayment risk, and other factors related to interest rate and resultant prepayment risk changes.

Realized gains and losses on dispositions are based on the net proceeds and the adjusted book value of the securities sold, using the specific identification method. Unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale are based on the difference between book value and fair value of each security. These gains and losses are credited or charged to other comprehensive income (loss), whereas realized gains and losses flow through Mid Penn's consolidated statements of income.

ASC Topic 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities*, clarifies the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities,

management must assess whether (a) it has the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. These steps are done before assessing whether the entity will recover the cost basis of the investment.

In instances when a determination is made that other-than-temporary impairment exists but the investor does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, this guidance changes the presentation and amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in the income statement. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

In assessing potential other-than-temporary impairment for equity securities, consideration is given to management's intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery of unrealized losses. Mid Penn had no other-than-temporary impaired debt or equity securities in 2016, 2015, and 2014.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, amortized cost, fair value, and unrealized gains and losses on investment securities are as follows:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2016				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	\$ 48,520	\$ 34	\$ 1,542	\$ 47,012
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	26,181	17	579	25,619
State and political subdivision obligations	61,079	91	2,332	58,838
Corporate debt securities	1,000			1,000
Equity securities	1,268		112	1,156
	\$ 138,048	\$ 142	\$ 4,565	\$ 133,625

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2015				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	\$ 26,316	\$ 729	\$ 55	\$ 26,990
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	38,983	49	228	38,804
State and political subdivision obligations	64,780	1,914	77	66,617
Corporate debt securities	2,000	80	10	2,070
Equity securities	1,271	2	33	1,240
	\$ 133,350	\$ 2,774	\$ 403	\$ 135,721

Estimated fair values of debt securities are based on quoted market prices, where applicable. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments, adjusted for differences between the quoted instruments and the instruments being valued.

Investment securities having a fair value of \$131,469,000 at December 31, 2016, and \$130,298,000 at December 31, 2015, were pledged primarily to secure public deposits.

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table presents gross unrealized losses and fair value of investments aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> December 31, 2016	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More			Total			
	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available for sale securities:									
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	23	\$ 43,698	\$ 1,542	0	\$	\$	23	\$ 43,698	\$ 1,542
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	18	24,321	579	0			18	24,321	579
State and political subdivision obligations	108	50,582	2,332	0			108	50,582	2,332
Equity securities	0			2	1,056	112	2	1,056	112
Total temporarily impaired available for sale securities	149	\$ 118,601	\$ 4,453	2	\$ 1,056	\$ 112	151	\$ 119,657	\$ 4,565

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> December 31, 2015	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More			Total			
	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available for sale securities:									
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	6	\$ 6,259	\$ 43	2	\$ 1,383	\$ 12	8	\$ 7,642	\$ 55
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	13	12,759	124	11	6,282	104	24	19,041	228
State and political subdivision obligations	9	4,041	32	3	1,631	45	12	5,672	77
Corporate debt securities	1	990	10	0			1	990	10
Equity securities	0			2	615	33	2	615	33
Total temporarily impaired available for sale securities	29	\$ 24,049	\$ 209	18	\$ 9,911	\$ 194	47	\$ 33,960	\$ 403

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis; and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer. In addition, for debt securities, Mid Penn considers (a) whether management has the intent to sell the security, (b) it is

more likely than not that management will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery, and (c) whether management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis. For equity securities, management considers the intent and ability to hold securities until recovery of unrealized losses.

The majority of the investment portfolio is comprised of mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies and state and political subdivision obligations. For the investment securities with an unrealized loss, Mid Penn has concluded, based on its analysis, that the unrealized losses in the investments are primarily caused by the movement of interest rates, and the contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the par value of the investment.

At December 31, 2016, Mid Penn had 149 debt securities and 2 equity securities with unrealized losses totaling \$4,565,000 that depreciated 3.82% from their collective amortized cost basis. At December 31,

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

2016, the majority of the unrealized losses on securities in an unrealized loss position were attributed to state and political subdivision obligations and U.S. Treasury and government agencies. At December 31, 2015, Mid Penn had 45 debt securities and 2 equity securities with unrealized losses totaling \$403,000 that depreciated 1.19% from their amortized cost basis. At December 31, 2015, the majority of the unrealized losses on securities in an unrealized loss position were attributed to mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies. Because Mid Penn does not intend to sell these investments and it is not likely it will be required to sell these investments before a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, Mid Penn does not consider the securities with unrealized losses to be other-than-temporarily impaired as losses relate to changes in interest rates and not erosion of credit quality.

During 2016, Mid Penn realized gross gains on the sales of securities available for sale of \$1,927,000 on 102 debt securities. Mid Penn also realized \$881,000 in gross losses on the sales of 56 debt securities available for sale during 2016. Mid Penn realized gross gains of \$325,000 and \$168,000 on sales of securities available for sale during 2015 and 2014, respectively, while no gross losses were realized in 2015 and 2014.

The table below is the maturity distribution of investment securities at amortized cost and fair value at December 31, 2016.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2016	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	\$ 1,293	\$ 1,305
Due after 1 year but within 5 years	19,656	19,471
Due after 5 years but within 10 years	66,084	63,895
Due after 10 years	23,566	22,179
	110,599	106,850
Mortgage-backed securities	26,181	25,619
Equity securities	1,268	1,156
	\$ 138,048	\$ 133,625

(8) Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The classes of the loan portfolio, summarized by the aggregate pass rating, net of deferred fees and costs of \$196,000 and \$178,000 as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard, and doubtful within Mid Penn's internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, are noted below:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
-------------------------------	-------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------

December 31, 2016

Commercial and industrial	\$ 170,780	\$ 937	\$ 801	\$ 172,518
Commercial real estate	437,592	1,683	7,249	446,524
Commercial real estate construction	52,888	202	1,286	54,376
Lease financing	425			425
Residential mortgage	97,994	107	1,356	99,457
Home equity	37,242	142	224	37,608
Consumer	3,016			3,016
	\$ 799,937	\$ 3,071	\$ 10,916	\$ 813,924

F-26

Table of Contents**MID PENN BANCORP, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands)*

December 31, 2015	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 158,302	\$ 1,289	\$ 670	\$	\$ 160,261
Commercial real estate	359,859	2,088	7,517		369,464
Commercial real estate construction	65,665	2,403			68,068
Lease financing	727				727
Residential mortgage	101,507	475	1,361		100,665
Home equity	32,928	261	222		33,411
Consumer	3,917				3,917
	\$ 722,905	\$ 6,516	\$ 9,770	\$	\$ 736,513
		&nbs			