Vulcan Materials CO Form 10-Q November 05, 2013 UNITED STATES

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-33841

VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey20-8579133(State or other jurisdiction of<br/>incorporation)(I.R.S. Employer Identification<br/>No.)

1200 Urban Center Drive,35242Birmingham, Alabama(zip code)(Address of principal executiveoffices)

(205) 298-3000 (Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x Noo

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class Shares outstanding Class at September 30, 2013 Common Stock, \$1 Par Value 129,989,477

## VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY

## FORM 10-Q

## QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Contents

			Page
PARTI	FINANC Item 1.	IAL INFORMATION <u>Financial Statements</u>	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	2
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	3
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	4
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial	
		Condition and Results of Operations	23
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About	
		Market Risk	40
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	40
PART II	OTHER	INFORMATION	
		Legal Proceedings	41
		Risk Factors	41
	Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	41

Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>

1

42

Signatures

43

## part I financial information

ITEM 1

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Unaudited, except for December 31 in thousands Assets	September 30 2013		December 31 2012		Sep 201	tember 30 2	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	245,813	\$	275,478	\$	243,126	
Accounts and notes receivable				,		,	
Accounts and notes receivable, gross	450	,642	303	5,178	403,520		
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(5,4	12)	(6,1	198)	(6,1	06)	
Accounts and notes receivable, net	445	,230	296	5,980	397	,414	
Inventories							
Finished products	255	,047	262	2,886	263	,893	
Raw materials	29,4	80	27,	758	28,	221	
Products in process	6,38	35	5,9	63	6,2	09	
Operating supplies and other	37,2	267	38,	415	38,655		
Inventories	328	,179	335,022		336,978		
Current deferred income taxes	39,3	326	40,696		45,353		
Prepaid expenses	31,8	354	21,713		26,384		
Assets held for sale	10,5	59	15,	083	0		
Total current assets	1,10	0,961	984,972		1,049,255		
Investments and long-term receivables	43,2	275	42,081		42,2	226	
Property, plant & equipment							
Property, plant & equipment, cost	6,79	92,470	6,6	66,617	6,6	90,448	
Reserve for depreciation, depletion & amortization	(3,5	78,010)	(3,5	507,432)	(3,4	177,496)	
Property, plant & equipment, net	3,21	4,460	3,1	59,185	3,2	12,952	
Goodwill	3,08	31,521	3,0	86,716	3,0	86,716	
Other intangible assets, net	697	,655	692	2,532	693	,308	
Other noncurrent assets	172	,184	161	,113	141	,459	
Total assets	\$	8,310,056	\$	8,126,599	\$	8,225,916	
Liabilities							
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	163	\$	150,602	\$	285,153	
Trade payables and accruals	154	,451	113	3,337	133,209		
Other current liabilities	204	,029	171	,671	213	,735	

Liabilities of assets held for sale Total current liabilities	0 358,643 2,522,280	801 436,411 2,526 401	0 632,097 2,527,450
Long-term debt	2,523,389	2,526,401	2,527,450
Noncurrent deferred income taxes	673,135	657,367	680,880
Deferred revenue	225,863	73,583	0
Other noncurrent liabilities	666,115	671,775	618,292
Total liabilities	4,447,145	4,365,537	4,458,719
Other commitments and contingencies (Note 8)			
Equity			
Common stock, \$1 par value, Authorized 480,000 shares,			
Issued 129,989, 129,721 and 129,596 shares, respectively	129,989	129,721	129,596
Capital in excess of par value	2,598,744	2,580,209	2,567,859
Retained earnings	1,288,054	1,276,649	1,274,465
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(153,876)	(225,517)	(204,723)
Total equity	3,862,911	3,761,062	3,767,197
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 8,310,056	\$ 8,126,599	\$ 8,225,916
The accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated F statements.	inancial Statemen	ts are an integral p	art of these

## VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
Unaudited			Sept	tember 30			Sep	tember 30
in thousands, except per share data	2013		2012	2	2013	5	201	2
Net sales	\$	775,183	\$	687,616	\$ 1	,975,814	\$	1,836,357
Delivery revenues	38,38	5	41,2	245	114,	114,649		,522
Total revenues	813,5	68	728	,861	2,09	0,463	1,9	58,879
Cost of goods sold	616,2	00	560	,693	1,66	6,281	1,58	81,537
Delivery costs	38,38	5	41,2	245	114,	649	122	,522
Cost of revenues	654,5	85	601	,938	1,78	0,930	1,70	04,059
Gross profit	158,9	83	126	,923	309,	533	254	,820
Selling, administrative and general expenses	65,85	4	65,4	41	195,	411	192	,267
Gain on sale of property, plant & equipment								
and businesses, net	9,350		2,00	)9	36,8	69	21,0	587
Restructuring charges	0		(3,0	56)	(1,50	)9)	(9,0	)18)
Exchange offer costs	0		(1,2	06)	0		(43	,331)
Other operating expense, net	(2,712	2)	(3,3		(12,9	907)		542)
Operating earnings	99,76		55,8	-	136,		29,2	
Other nonoperating income, net	2,310	1	1,806		4,968		4,196	
Interest expense, net	49,13		53,043		152,757		158,997	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	2		,		,			
before income taxes	52,94	3	4,629		(11,214)		(12)	5,552)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	10,79		(10,992)		(21,874)		(67,138)	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	42,15		15,621		10,660			,414)
Earnings (loss) on discontinued operations,	, -		10,021		- )		(	, ,
net of tax	(787)		(1,361)		4,640		2,338	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	41,363	\$	14,260	\$	15,300	\$	(56,076)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	·	,		)		- )		()
Reclassification adjustment for cash flow								
hedges	679		975		2,36	8	2,80	58
Adjustment for funded status of pension					<i>y</i>		<i>y</i> -	
plans	0		0		60,2	99	0	
Amortization of pension and postretirement					,			
benefit								
plans actuarial loss and prior service cost	2.111		3,08	34	8,97	4	9,2	52
Other comprehensive income	2,790		4,05		71,6		12,	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	44,153	\$	18,319	\$	86,941	\$	(43,956)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	Ŧ	,	Ŧ		+		Ŧ	(10,200)
Continuing operations	\$	0.32	\$	0.12	\$	0.08	\$	(0.45)
Discontinued operations	0.00		(0.0		0.04		0.02	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	0.32	(0.0 \$	0.11	\$	0.12	\$	(0.43)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	+	0.02	Ŧ	0.11	4	0.12	4	(0)
Continuing operations	\$	0.32	\$	0.12	\$	0.08	\$	(0.45)
continuing operations	Ψ	0.02	Ŷ	0.12	Ŷ	0.00	Ψ	(0.10)

Discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.01)	)	0.04	4	0.02	2
Net earnings (loss)	\$	0.31	\$	0.11	\$	0.12	\$	(0.43)
Weighted-average common shares								
outstanding								
Basic	130,26	66	129,7	53	130	,234	129	,674
Assuming dilution	131,32	20	130,2	15	131	,368	129	,674
Cash dividends per share of common stock	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.03	\$	0.03
Depreciation, depletion, accretion and								
amortization	\$	78,320	\$	84,108	\$	230,877	\$	253,391
Effective tax rate from continuing operations	20.4%		NMF		195	.1%	53.5	5%
The accompanying Notes to the Condensed C	onsolid	lated Final	ncial Sta	atements ar	e an i	ntegral part o	of thes	e
statements.								

#### VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine	Months En	ded	
Unaudited			Sep	tember 30
in thousands	2013		201	2
Operating Activities				
Net earnings (loss)	\$	15,300	\$	(56,076)
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities				
Depreciation, depletion, accretion and amortization	230,8	377	253	,391
Net gain on sale of property, plant & equipment and businesses	(48,5	97)	(31,	,886)
Proceeds from sale of future production, net of transactions costs (Note 16)	153,0	)95	0	
Contributions to pension plans	(3,53	5)	(3,3	579)
Share-based compensation	16,78	39	9,36	52
Deferred tax provision	(25,8	62)	(66,	,194)
Changes in assets and liabilities before initial effects of business acquisitions				
and dispositions	(78,9	47)	(9,8	886)
Other, net	892		(1,5	573)
Net cash provided by operating activities	260,0	)12	93,7	759
Investing Activities				
Purchases of property, plant & equipment	(117,	310)	(49,	,418)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment	14,97	74	28,9	930
Proceeds from sale of businesses, net of transaction costs	51,60	)4	10,6	590
Payment for businesses acquired, net of acquired cash	(89,9	51)	0	
Other, net	2		963	
Net cash used for investing activities	(140,	681)	(8,8	335)
Financing Activities				
Proceeds from line of credit	156,0	000	0	
Payment of current maturities of long-term debt & line of credit	(306,	493)	(120	0)
Dividends paid	(3,89	0)	(3,8	885)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4,491	l	6,16	57
Other, net	896		201	
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(148,	,996)	2,36	53
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(29,6	65)	87,2	287
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	275,4	178	155	,839
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	245,813	\$	243,126
The accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are	e an int	egral part o	of the	statements.

notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Note 1: summary of significant accounting policies

#### NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Vulcan Materials Company (the "Company," "Vulcan," "we," "our"), a New Jersey corporation, is the nation's largest producer of construction aggregates, primarily crushed stone, sand and gravel; a major producer of asphalt mix and ready-mixed concrete, and a leading producer of cement in Florida.

#### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared in compliance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and thus do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. Our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 was derived from the audited financial statement at that date. In the opinion of our management, the statements reflect all adjustments, including those of a normal recurring nature, necessary to present fairly the results of the reported interim periods. Operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2013. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Due to the 2005 sale of our Chemicals business as presented in Note 2, the operating results of the Chemicals business are presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain items previously reported in specific financial statement captions have been reclassified to conform with the 2013 presentation.

**RESTRUCTURING CHARGES** 

In 2012, our Board approved a Profit Enhancement Plan that further leveraged our streamlined management structure and substantially completed ERP and Shared Services platforms to achieve cost reductions and other earnings enhancements. During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, we incurred \$1,509,000 and \$9,018,000, respectively, of costs (primarily project design, outside advisory and severance) related to the implementation of this plan. We do not anticipate any future material charges related to this Profit Enhancement Plan.

### EXCHANGE OFFER COSTS

In December 2011, Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. (Martin Marietta) commenced an unsolicited exchange offer for all outstanding shares of our common stock and indicated its intention to nominate a slate of directors to our Board. After careful consideration, including a thorough review of the offer with its financial and legal advisors, our Board unanimously determined that Martin Marietta's offer was inadequate, substantially undervalued Vulcan, was not in the best interests of Vulcan and its shareholders and had substantial risk.

In May 2012, the Delaware Chancery Court ruled and the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed that Martin Marietta had breached two confidentiality agreements between the companies, and enjoined Martin Marietta through September 15, 2012 from pursuing its exchange offer for our shares, prosecuting its proxy contest, or otherwise taking steps to acquire control of our shares or assets and from any further violations of the two confidentiality agreements between the parties. As a result of the court ruling, Martin Marietta withdrew its exchange offer and its board nominees.

In response to Martin Marietta's actions, we have incurred legal, professional and other costs of \$45,607,000 to date, of which \$43,331,000 was incurred during the first nine months of 2012. As of September 30, 2013, \$43,107,000 of the incurred costs was paid. We do not anticipate any future material charges related to this exchange offer.

#### EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS)

We report two earnings per share numbers: basic and diluted. These are computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic EPS) or weighted-average common shares outstanding assuming dilution (diluted EPS), as set forth below:

	Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Month	s Ended	
		September 30		September 30	
in thousands	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Weighted-average common shares					
outstanding	130,266	129,753	130,234	129,674	
Dilutive effect of					
Stock options/SOSARs	405	120	449	0	
Other stock compensation plans	649	342	685	0	
Weighted-average common shares					
outstanding, assuming dilution	131,320	130,215	131,368	129,674	

All dilutive common stock equivalents are reflected in our earnings per share calculations. Antidilutive common stock equivalents are not included in our earnings per share calculations. In periods of loss, shares that otherwise would have been included in our diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding computation are excluded. These excluded shares are as follows: nine months ended September 30, 2012 - 471,000.

The number of antidilutive common stock equivalents for which the exercise price exceeds the weighted-average market price is as follows:

	Three M	Ionths Ended	Nine Mo	nths Ended
		September 30		September 30
in thousands	2013	2012	2013	2012
Antidilutive common stock equivalents	2,899	5,046	2,899	5,046

In 2005, we sold substantially all the assets of our Chemicals business to Basic Chemicals, a subsidiary of Occidental Chemical Corporation. In addition to the initial cash proceeds, Basic Chemicals was required to make payments under two earn-out agreements subject to certain conditions. During 2007, we received the final payment under the ECU (electrochemical unit) earn-out, bringing cumulative cash receipts to its \$150,000,000 cap.

Proceeds under the second earn-out agreement were based on the performance of the hydrochlorocarbon product HCC-240fa (commonly referred to as 5CP) from the closing of the transaction through December 31, 2012 (5CP earn-out). The primary determinant of the value for this earn-out is the level of growth in 5CP sales volume.

In March 2013, we received a payment of \$13,031,000 under the 5CP earn-out related to performance during 2012, the final year of the earn-out agreement. During 2012, we received \$11,336,000 in the first quarter and \$33,000 in the third quarter under the 5CP earn-out related to the year ended December 31, 2011. Through September 30, 2013, we have received a total of \$79,391,000 under the 5CP earn-out, a total of \$46,290,000 in excess of the receivable recorded on the date of disposition.

We were liable for a cash transaction bonus payable annually (2009 - 2013) to certain former key Chemicals employees based on the prior year's 5CP earn-out results. Payments for the transaction bonus were \$1,303,000 during the first nine months of 2013 and \$1,137,000 during the first nine months of 2012.

The financial results of the Chemicals business are classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for all periods presented. There were no net sales or revenues from discontinued operations for the periods presented. Results from discontinued operations are as follows:

	Three Months Ended					Nine Months Ended			
in thousands	2012	,	Sept 201	tember 30	201	2	Sep 201	tember 30	
in thousands	2013	)	201.	L	201	3	201	Ζ	
Discontinued Operations	*						*		
Pretax loss	\$	(1,302)	\$	(2,283)	\$	(4,063)	\$	(6,360)	
Gain on disposal, net of transaction bonus	0		30		11,7	728	10,2	232	
Income tax (provision) benefit	515		892		(3,0	25)	(1,5	34)	
Earnings (loss) on discontinued operations,									
net of income taxes	\$	(787)	\$	(1,361)	\$	4,640	\$	2,338	

The pretax losses from discontinued operations noted above were due primarily to general and product liability costs, including legal defense costs, and environmental remediation costs associated with our former Chemicals business.

#### Note 3: Income Taxes

Our estimated annual effective tax rate (EAETR) is based on full year expectations of pretax book earnings, statutory tax rates, permanent differences such as percentage depletion and tax planning alternatives available in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. For interim financial reporting, except in circumstances as described in the following paragraph, we calculate our quarterly income tax provision in accordance with the EAETR. Each quarter, we update our EAETR based on our revised full year expectation of pretax book earnings and calculate the income tax provision or benefit so that the year-to-date income tax provision reflects the EAETR. Significant judgment is required in determining our EAETR.

When expected pretax book earnings or loss for the full year is at or near breakeven, the EAETR can distort the income tax provision for an interim period due to the size and nature of our permanent differences. In these circumstances, we calculate the interim income tax provision or benefit using the year-to-date effective tax rate. This method results in an income tax provision or benefit based solely on the year-to-date pretax book earnings or loss as adjusted for permanent differences on a pro rata basis. In the third quarter of 2013, income taxes were calculated based on the year-to-date effective tax rate. In the third quarter of 2012, income taxes were calculated based on the EAETR.

We recorded an income tax provision from continuing operations of \$10,793,000 in the third quarter of 2013 compared to an income tax benefit from continuing operations of \$10,992,000 in the third quarter of 2012. After applying the statutory rate to the pretax earnings, the decrease in the income tax benefit (to a provision) mainly relates to the different methodologies used to calculate income taxes in the two periods.

We recorded income tax benefits from continuing operations of \$21,874,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to income tax benefits of \$67,138,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. After applying the statutory rate to the pretax losses, the decrease in our income tax benefit mainly relates to the different methodologies used to calculate income taxes in the two periods.

We recognize an income tax benefit associated with an uncertain tax position when, in our judgment, it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination by a taxing authority. For a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, we initially and subsequently measure the income tax benefit as the largest amount that we judge to have a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority. Our liability associated with unrecognized tax benefits is adjusted periodically due to changing circumstances, such as the progress of tax audits, case law developments and new or emerging legislation. Such adjustments are recognized entirely in the period in which they are identified. Our income tax provision includes the net impact of changes in the liability for unrecognized benefits and subsequent adjustments as we consider appropriate.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets represent items to be used as a tax deduction or credit in future tax returns. Realization of the deferred tax assets ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in either the carryback or carryforward period.

Each quarter we analyze the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be realized. A valuation allowance is recorded if, based on the weight of all available positive and negative evidence, it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion, or all, of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. A summary of our deferred tax assets is included in Note 9 "Income Taxes" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

On an annual basis, we perform a complete analysis of all forms of positive and negative evidence based on year end results. During each interim period, we update our annual analysis for significant changes to the positive and negative evidence.

Based on our third quarter 2013 analysis, we believe it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of all our deferred tax assets with the exception of the state net operating loss carryforwards for which a valuation allowance was previously recorded. For 2013, we project these state net operating loss carryforwards (and the associated valuation allowance) to increase by \$7,567,000. This change in the valuation allowance is reflected as a component of our income tax provision.

In the future, if we determine that realization is more likely than not for deferred tax assets with a valuation allowance, the related valuation allowance will be reduced and we will record a benefit to earnings. Conversely, if we determine that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to realize a portion of our deferred tax assets, we will increase the valuation allowance and record a charge to earnings.

Note 4: deferred revenue

In two separate transactions during the third quarter of 2013 and the fourth quarter of 2012, we sold a percentage of the future production from aggregates reserves at certain owned and leased quarries. These sales were structured as volumetric production payments (VPP) for which we received net cash proceeds of \$153,095,000 and \$73,644,000 for the 2013 and 2012 transactions, respectively. These proceeds were recorded as deferred revenue and are amortized on a unit-of-sales basis to revenue over the terms of the VPPs.

The impact to our net sales and gross margin related to the 2012 VPP (the 2013 VPP closed on September 30, 2013) is outlined as follows:

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
			Septeml	ber 30			Septem	ber 30
in thousands	2013		2012		201	3	2012	
Revenue amortized from deferred revenue	\$	300	\$	0	\$	876	\$	0
Purchaser's proceeds from sale of production	(1,014	)	0		(2,9	11)	0	
Decrease to net sales and gross margin	\$	(714)	\$	0	\$	(2,035)	\$	0

Based on projected aggregates sales from the specified quarries, we anticipate recognizing a range of \$4,000,000 to \$5,000,000 of deferred revenue during the 12-month period ending September 30, 2014.

The common key terms of both VPP transactions are:

- § the purchaser has a nonoperating interest in reserves entitling them to a percentage of future production
- § there is no minimum annual or cumulative production or sales volume, nor any minimum sales price required
- § the purchaser has the right to take its percentage of future production in physical product, or receive the cash proceeds from the sale of its percentage of future production under the terms of a separate marketing agreement
- § the purchaser's percentage of future production is conveyed free and clear of future costs of production and sales
- § we retain full operational and marketing control of the specified quarries
- § we retain fee simple interest in the land as well as any residual values that may be realized upon the conclusion of mining

The key terms specific to the 2013 VPP transaction are:

- § terminates at the earlier to occur of September 30, 2051 or the sale of 250.8 million tons of aggregates from the specified quarries subject to the VPP; based on historical and projected volumes from the specified quarries, it is expected that 250.8 million tons will be sold prior to September 30, 2051
- § the purchaser's percentage of the maximum 250.8 million tons of future production is estimated, based on current sales volume projection, to be 11.5% (approximately 29 million tons); the actual percentage may vary

The key terms specific to the 2012 VPP transaction are:

- § terminates at the earlier to occur of December 31, 2052 or the sale of 143.2 million tons of aggregates from the specified quarries subject to the VPP; based on historical and projected volumes from the specified quarries, it is expected that 143.2 million tons will be sold prior to December 31, 2052
- § the purchaser's percentage of the maximum 143.2 million tons of future production is estimated, based on current sales volume projection, to be 10.5% (approximately 15 million tons); the actual percentage may vary

Note 5: Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels as described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2: Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data

Level 3: Inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement

Our assets subject to fair value measurement on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Leve	el 1				
	Sept	ember 30	Dece	ember 31	Sep	tember 30
in thousands	2013	3	2012	2	201	2
Fair Value Recurring						
Rabbi Trust						
Mutual funds	\$	14,371	\$	13,349	\$	13,144
Equities	11,6	88	9,84	3	8,42	27
Total	\$	26,059	\$	23,192	\$	21,571

	Leve Septe	l 2 ember 30	Dec	ember 31	September 30		
in thousands	2013		2012		2012		
Fair Value Recurring							
Rabbi Trust							
Common/collective trust funds	\$	1,365	\$	2,265	\$	2,229	
Total	\$	1,365	\$	2,265	\$	2,229	

We have established two Rabbi Trusts for the purpose of providing a level of security for the employee nonqualified retirement and deferred compensation plans and for the directors' nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The fair values of these investments are estimated using a market approach. The Level 1 investments include mutual funds and equity securities for which quoted prices in active markets are available. Level 2 investments are stated at estimated fair value based on the underlying investments in those funds (short-term, highly liquid assets in commercial paper, short-term bonds and certificates of deposit).

The carrying values of our cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, current maturities of long-term debt, short-term borrowings, trade payables and accruals, and other current liabilities approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Additional disclosures for derivative instruments and interest-bearing debt are presented in Notes 6 and 7, respectively.

Assets that were subject to fair value measurement on a nonrecurring basis are summarized below:

	As of December 31, 2012						
			Impairment				
in thousands	Leve	el 3	Cha	Charges			
Fair Value Nonrecurring							
Assets held for sale (Note 16)	\$	10,559	\$	1,738			
Totals	\$	10,559	\$	1,738			

9

The fair values of the assets classified as held for sale were estimated based on the negotiated transaction values. The impairment charges represent the difference between the carrying value and the fair value, less costs to sell, of the assets.

Note 6: Derivative Instruments

During the normal course of operations, we are exposed to market risks including fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity pricing. From time to time, and consistent with our risk management policies, we use derivative instruments to hedge against these market risks. We do not utilize derivative instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

The accounting for gains and losses that result from changes in the fair value of derivative instruments depends on whether the derivatives have been designated and qualify as hedging instruments and the type of hedging relationship. The interest rate swap agreements described below were designated as either cash flow hedges or fair value hedges. The changes in fair value of our interest rate swap cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and are reclassified into interest expense in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. The changes in fair value of our interest rate swap fair value hedges are recorded as interest expense consistent with the change in the fair value of the hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged.

#### CASH FLOW HEDGES

We have used interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges to minimize the variability in cash flows of liabilities or forecasted transactions caused by fluctuations in interest rates. During 2007, we entered into fifteen forward starting interest rate swap agreements for a total stated amount of \$1,500,000,000. Upon the 2007 and 2008 issuances of the related fixed-rate debt, we terminated and settled these forward starting swaps for cash payments of \$89,777,000. Amounts in AOCI are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt. This amortization was reflected in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income as follows:

		Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Months Ended		
	Location on	September	30	September	30	
in thousands	Statement	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Cash Flow Hedges						

Edgar Filing:	Vulcan Materials CO - Form 10-Q
---------------	---------------------------------

Loss reclassified from AOCI	Interest				
(effective portion)	expense	\$ (1,127)	\$ (1,615)	\$ (3,928)	\$ (4,755)

For the 12-month period ending September 30, 2014, we estimate that \$4,734,000 of the pretax loss in AOCI will be reclassified to earnings.

#### FAIR VALUE HEDGES

We have used interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges to minimize exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed-rate debt that results from fluctuations in the benchmark interest rates for such debt. In June 2011, we issued \$500,000,000 of 6.50% fixed-rate notes due in 2016. Concurrently, we entered into interest rate swap agreements in the stated amount of \$500,000,000. Under these agreements, we paid 6-month LIBOR plus a spread of 4.05% and received a fixed interest rate of 6.50%. Additionally, in June 2011, we entered into interest rate swap agreements on our \$150,000,000 of 10.125% fixed-rate notes due in 2015. Under these agreements, we paid 6-month LIBOR plus a spread of 8.03% and received a fixed interest rate of 10.125%. In August 2011, we terminated and settled these interest rate swap agreements for \$25,382,000 of cash proceeds. The \$23,387,000 forward component of the settlement (cash proceeds less \$1,995,000 of accrued interest) was added to the carrying value of the related debt and is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining lives of the related debt using the effective interest method. This amortization was reflected in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
in thousands	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Deferred Gain on Settlement Amortized to earnings as a reduction to interest								
expense	\$	1,093	\$	1,021	\$	3,223	\$	3,014

Note 7: Debt

Debt is summarized as follows:

in thousands Long-term Debt	ng-term bt		December 2012	· 31	September 30 2012			
5.60% notes due 2012 <sup>1</sup>	\$	0	\$	0	\$	134,548		
6.30% notes								
due 2013 <sup>2</sup> 10.125%	0		140,413		140	,398		
notes due 2015 <sup>3</sup>	152,110		152,718		152	,911		
6.50% notes	132,110		132,710		132	,911		
due 2016 <sup>4</sup>	512,505		515,060		515	,887		
6.40% notes								
due 2017 <sup>5</sup>	349,902		349,888		349	,883		
7.00% notes due 2018 <sup>6</sup>	399,761		399,731		300	,721		
10.375%	577,701		577,751		577	,721		
notes due								
2018 7	248,799		248,676		248	,637		
7.50% notes								
due 2021 <sup>8</sup>	600,000		600,000		600	,000		
7.15% notes	220 550		220 552		220	551		
due 2037 <sup>9</sup> Medium-tern	239,559		239,553		239	,551		
notes	6,000		16,000		16,0	000		
Industrial	0,000		10,000		10,0	000		
revenue								
bonds	14,000		14,000		14,0	000		
Other notes	916		964		1,00			
Total								
long-term								
debt								
including								
current	ф <u>а</u> гаа г	50	ф <b>о (</b> 77 о	0.2	ф <b>с</b>	010 (02		
maturities	\$ 2,523,5 163	52	\$ 2,677,0 150,602	03		,812,603 ,153		
	~-				_00	,		

Less current maturities Total long-term debt \$ 2,523,389 \$ 2,526,401 \$ 2,527,450 Estimated fair value of long-term debt \$ 2,795,661 \$ 2,766,835 \$ 2,796,358

- 1 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2012 \$9 thousand.
- 2 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: December 31, 2012 \$30 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$46 thousand.
- 3 Includes an increase for the unamortized portion of the deferred gain realized upon the August 2011 settlement of interest rate swaps, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$2,315 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$2,983 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$3,195 thousand. Additionally, includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$206 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$265 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$284 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 9.59%.
- 4 Includes an increase for the unamortized portion of the deferred gain realized upon the August 2011 settlement of interest rate swaps, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$12,505 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$15,060 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$15,887 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 6.02%.
- 5 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$98 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$112 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$117 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 7.41%.
- 6 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$239 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$269 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$279 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 7.87%.
- 7 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$1,201 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$1,324 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$1,363 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 10.62%.
- 8 The effective interest rate for these notes is 7.75%.
- 9 Includes decreases for unamortized discounts, as follows: September 30, 2013 \$629 thousand, December 31, 2012 \$635 thousand and September 30, 2012 \$637 thousand. The effective interest rate for these notes is 8.05%.

Our long-term debt is presented in the table above net of unamortized discounts from par and unamortized deferred gains realized upon settlement of interest rate swaps. Discounts and deferred gains are being amortized using the effective interest method over the respective terms of the notes.

The estimated fair value of long-term debt presented in the table above was determined by averaging the asking price quotes for the notes. The fair value estimates were based on Level 2 information (as defined in Note 5) available to us as of the respective balance sheet dates. Although we are not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been revalued since those dates.

Scheduled debt payments during the first nine months of 2013 included \$10,000,000 in January to retire the 8.70% medium-term note and \$140,444,000 in June to retire the 6.30% notes. Scheduled debt payments during 2012

included \$134,557,000 in November to retire the 5.60% notes.

11

In December 2011, we entered into a \$600,000,000 bank line of credit expiring on December 15, 2016. In March 2013, we proactively amended this line of credit to reduce its capacity to \$500,000,000 and extend its term to March 12, 2018. The line of credit is secured by certain domestic accounts receivable and inventory. Borrowing capacity fluctuates with the level of eligible accounts receivable and inventory and may be less than \$500,000,000 at any point in time. As of September 30, 2013, our available borrowing capacity was \$380,289,000 (net of the \$55,032,000 backing for standby letters of credit).

Borrowings under the line of credit bear interest at a rate determined at the time of borrowing equal to the lower of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.50% to 2.00% based on the level of utilization, or an alternative rate derived from the lender's prime rate. Borrowings on our line of credit are classified as short-term due to our intent to repay within twelve months. As of September 30, 2013, the applicable margin for LIBOR based borrowing was 1.75%.

Note 8: Commitments and Contingencies

#### LETTERS OF CREDIT

We provide, in the normal course of business, certain third party beneficiaries standby letters of credit to support our obligations to pay or perform according to the requirements of an underlying agreement. Such letters of credit typically have an initial term of one year, typically renew automatically, and can only be modified or cancelled with the approval of the beneficiary. All of our letters of credit are issued by banks that participate in our \$500,000,000 line of credit, and reduce the borrowing capacity thereunder. We pay a fee for all letters of credit equal to the LIBOR margin (ranges from 1.50% to 2.00%) applicable to borrowings under the line of credit, plus 0.125%. Our standby letters of credit as of September 30, 2013 are summarized by purpose in the table below:

in thousands		
Standby Letters of Credit		
Risk management insurance	\$	34,478
Industrial revenue bond	14,2	30
Reclamation/restoration requirements	6,324	4
Total	\$	55,032

#### LITIGATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are a defendant in various lawsuits in the ordinary course of business. It is not possible to determine with precision the outcome, or the amount of liability, if any, under these lawsuits, especially where the cases involve possible jury trials with as yet undetermined jury panels.

In addition to these lawsuits in which we are involved in the ordinary course of business, certain other material legal proceedings are more specifically described below. At this time, we cannot determine the likelihood or reasonably estimate a range of loss pertaining to these matters.

Perchloroethylene cases

We are a defendant in cases involving perchloroethylene (perc), which was a product manufactured by our former Chemicals business. Perc is a cleaning solvent used in dry cleaning and other industrial applications. Vulcan is vigorously defending these cases:

- § Suffolk County Water Authority On July 29, 2010, we were served in an action styled Suffolk County Water Authority v. The Dow Chemical Company, et al., in the Supreme Court for Suffolk County, State of New York. The complaint alleges that the plaintiff "owns and/or operates drinking water systems and supplies drinking water to thousands of residents and businesses, in Suffolk County, New York." The complaint alleges that perc and its breakdown products "have been and are contaminating and damaging Plaintiff's drinking water supply wells." The plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive damages. The trial court ruled that any detectable amount of perc in a well constitutes a legal injury. We are appealing this and other rulings of the trial court. Discovery is ongoing. At this time, plaintiffs have not established that our perc was used at any specific dry cleaner or that we are liable for any alleged contamination.
- § R.R. Street Indemnity Street, a former distributor of perc manufactured by us, alleges that we owe Street, and its insurer (National Union), a defense and indemnity in several litigation matters in which Street was named as a defendant. National Union alleges that we are obligated to contribute to National Union's share of defense fees, costs and any indemnity payments made on Street's behalf. We have had discussions with Street about the nature and extent of indemnity obligations, if any, and to date there has been no resolution of these issues.

12

lower passaic river matter

- § NJDEP LITIGATION In 2009, Vulcan and over 300 other parties were named as third-party defendants in New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., a case originally brought by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) in the New Jersey Superior Court. Vulcan was brought into the suit due to alleged discharges to the lower Passaic River (River) from the former Chemicals Division Newark Plant. Vulcan owned and operated this site as a chloralkali plant from 1961-1974. In 1974, we sold the plant, although we continued to operate the plant for one additional year. This suit by the NJDEP seeks recovery of past and future clean-up costs, as well as unspecified economic damages, punitive damages, penalties and a variety of other forms of relief. All defendants, with the exception of Occidental Chemical Corporation, have reached a tentative settlement agreement with the plaintiffs. Vulcan's settlement amount is immaterial and has been fully accrued. Final approval of the settlement is pending.
- § Lower Passaic River Study Area (Superfund Site) Vulcan and approximately 70 other companies are parties to a May 2007 Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to perform a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) of the lower 17 miles of the River. Separately, the EPA issued a draft Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) that evaluated early action remedial alternatives for a portion of the River. The EPA has given a range of estimated costs for these alternatives between \$0.9 billion and \$3.5 billion, although estimates of the cost and timing of future environmental remediation requirements are inherently imprecise and subject to revision. The EPA has not released the final FFS. As an interim step related to the 2007 AOC, Vulcan and 69 other companies voluntarily entered into an Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent on June 18, 2012 with the EPA for remediation actions focused at River Mile 10.9 of the River. Our estimated costs related to this focused remediation action, based on an interim allocation, are immaterial and have been accrued. On June 25, 2012, the EPA issued a Unilateral Administrative Order for Removal Response Activities to Occidental Chemical Corporation ordering Occidental to participate and cooperate in this remediation action at River Mile 10.9.

At this time, we cannot reasonably estimate our liability related to this matter because the RI/FS is ongoing; the ultimate remedial approach and associated cost has not been determined; and the parties that will participate in funding the remediation and their respective allocations are not yet known.

It is not possible to predict with certainty the ultimate outcome of these and other legal proceedings in which we are involved, and a number of factors, including developments in ongoing discovery or adverse rulings, or the verdict of a particular jury, could cause actual losses to differ materially from accrued costs. No liability was recorded for claims and litigation for which a loss was determined to be only reasonably possible or for which a loss could not be reasonably estimated. Legal costs incurred in defense of lawsuits are expensed as incurred. In addition, losses on certain claims and litigation described above may be subject to limitations on a per occurrence basis by excess insurance, as described in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Note 9: Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset retirement obligations (AROs) are legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets resulting from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the underlying assets.

Recognition of a liability for an ARO is required in the period in which it is incurred at its estimated fair value. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the underlying asset and depreciated over the estimated useful life of the asset. The liability is accreted through charges to operating expenses. If the ARO is settled for other than the carrying amount of the liability, we recognize a gain or loss on settlement.

We record all AROs for which we have legal obligations for land reclamation at estimated fair value. Essentially all these AROs relate to our underlying land parcels, including both owned properties and mineral leases. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, we recognized ARO operating costs related to accretion of the liabilities and depreciation of the assets as follows:

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
		September 30					September 30	
in thousands	2013		2012		201	3	201	2
ARO Operating Costs								
Accretion	\$	2,908	\$	1,973	\$	7,731	\$	5,990
Depreciation	886		1,110		2,495		4,837	
Total	\$	3,794	\$	3,083	\$	10,226	\$	10,827

ARO operating costs are reported in cost of goods sold. AROs are reported within other noncurrent liabilities in our accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Reconciliations of the carrying amounts of our AROs are as follows:

in thousands	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Asset Retirement Obligations					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 222,851	\$ 150,413	\$ 150,072	\$ 153,979	
Liabilities incurred	3,524	82	69,111	127	
Liabilities settled	(2,328)	(822)	(8,839)	(2,241)	
Accretion expense	2,908	1,973	7,731	5,990	
Revisions up (down), net	6,606	(2,923)	15,486	(9,132)	
Balance at end of period	\$ 233,561	\$ 148,723	\$ 233,561	\$ 148,723	

The ARO liabilities incurred during 2013 relate primarily to reclamation activities required under a new development agreement and a new conditional use permit at an aggregates facility on owned property in Southern California. Upward revisions to our ARO liability during 2013 are largely attributable to an adjacent aggregates facility on owned property. The reclamation requirements at this property will result in the restoration and development of mined property into a 90 acre tract suitable for commercial and retail development. The estimated cost to fill and compact the property increased and the estimated settlement date decreased resulting in an upward revision to the ARO.

Downward revisions to our ARO liability during 2012 relate primarily to extensions in the estimated settlement dates at numerous sites.

Note 10: Benefit Plans

We sponsor three funded, noncontributory defined benefit pension plans. These plans cover substantially all employees hired prior to July 15, 2007, other than those covered by union-administered plans. Benefits for the Salaried Plan are generally based on salaries or wages and years of service; the Construction Materials Hourly Plan and the Chemicals Hourly Plan provide benefits equal to a flat dollar amount for each year of service. In addition to these qualified plans, we sponsor three unfunded, nonqualified pension plans. Effective July 15, 2007, we amended our defined benefit pension plans to no longer accept new participants. In May 2013, we announced that future accruals for salaried pension participants will cease effective December 31, 2013. This change included a special transition provision which will allow salaries or wages through December 31, 2015 to be considered in the participants' benefit calculations. The announcement resulted in a curtailment and remeasurement of the salaried and nonqualified pension plans as of May 31, 2013 that will reduce our 2013 pension expense by approximately \$7,600,000 (net of the one-time curtailment loss noted below) of which \$800,000 relates to discontinued operations. See Note 11 for the impact of this remeasurement to our pension plan funded status.

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension benefit cost:

Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended			1	
		Sept	tember 30			Sep	otember 30
2013	3	2012	2	201	3	201	12
\$	4,958	\$	5,587	\$	16,852	\$	16,762
10,1	79	10,7	'98	30,	816	32,	395
(11,9	926)	(12,	195)	(35	,500)	(36	,585)
0		0		855	5	0	
79		69		259	)	206	5
4,26	4	4,88	32	16,	259	14,	645
\$	7,554	\$	9,141	\$	29,541	\$	27,423
\$	4,343	\$	4,951	\$	17,373	\$	14,851
	2013 \$ 10,1 (11,9 0 79 4,26 \$	2013 \$ 4,958 10,179 (11,926) 0 79 4,264 \$ 7,554	Sep   2013 2013   \$ 4,958 \$   10,179 10,7   (11,926) (12,   0 0   79 69   4,264 4,88   \$ 7,554 \$	September 30   2013 2012   \$ 4,958 \$ 5,587   10,179 10,798   (11,926) (12,195)   0 0   79 69   4,264 4,882   \$ 7,554 \$ 9,141	September 30 2013 2012 2013   \$ 4,958 \$ 5,587 \$   10,179 10,798 30,   (11,926) (12,195) (35   0 0 855   79 69 259   4,264 4,882 16,   \$ 7,554 \$ 9,141 \$	September 30 2013 2012 2013   \$ 4,958 \$ 5,587 \$ 16,852   10,179 10,798 30,816   (11,926) (12,195) (35,500)   0 0 855   79 69 259   4,264 4,882 16,259   \$ 7,554 \$ 9,141 \$ 29,541	September 30 September 30   2013 2012 2013 2013   \$ 4,958 \$ 5,587 \$ 16,852 \$   10,179 10,798 30,816 32,   (11,926) (12,195) (35,500) (36   0 0 855 0   79 69 259 206   4,264 4,882 16,259 14,   \$ 7,554 \$ 9,141 \$ 29,541 \$

In addition to pension benefits, we provide certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for some retired employees. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we amended our postretirement healthcare plan to cap our portion of the medical coverage cost at the 2015 level. Effective July 15, 2007, we amended our salaried postretirement healthcare coverage to increase the eligibility age for early retirement coverage to age 62, unless certain grandfathering provisions were met. Substantially all our salaried employees and where applicable, hourly employees may become eligible for these benefits if they reach a qualifying age and meet certain service requirements. Generally, Company-provided healthcare benefits terminate when covered individuals become eligible for Medicare benefits, become eligible for other group insurance coverage or reach age 65, whichever occurs first.

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost:

OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended					
			Sept	ember 30			Sep	tember 30
in thousands	2013		2012	2	201	3	201	2
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost								
Service cost	\$	708	\$	1,166	\$	2,123	\$	3,499
Interest cost	815		1,56	3	2,44	45	4,68	37
Amortization of prior service credit	(1,215	5)	(169	)	(3,6	47)	(500	5)
Amortization of actuarial loss	343		287		1,02	29	862	
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$	651	\$	2,847	\$	1,950	\$	8,542
Pretax reclassification from AOCI included in								
net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$	(872)	\$	118	\$	(2,618)	\$	356

The reclassifications from AOCI noted in the tables above are related to curtailment losses, amortization of prior service costs or credits and actuarial losses as shown in Note 11.

Prior contributions, along with the existing funding credits, are sufficient to cover required contributions to the qualified plans through 2013.

15

Note 11: other Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income comprises two subsets: net earnings and other comprehensive income (OCI). The components of other comprehensive income are presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, net of applicable taxes.

Amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of tax, are as follows:

	September 30		December 31		September 30	
in thousands	2013	3	2012	2	201	2
AOCI						
Cash flow						
hedges	\$	(25,802)	\$	(28,170)	\$	(29,118)
Pension and						
postretirement						
benefit plans	(128	3,074)	(197	7,347)	(17:	5,605)
Total	\$	(153,876)	\$	(225,517)	\$	(204,723)

Changes in AOCI, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are as follows:

in thousands	Cash Hedg	n Flow ges	Po	nsion and stretirement nefit Plans	То	tal
AOCI Balance as of						
Bullinee us of						
December 31,						
2012	\$	(28,170)	\$	(197,347)	\$	(225,517)
Other						
comprehensive						
income (loss)						
before						
reclassifications						
1	0		60	,299	60,	299
	2,36	8	8,9	074	11,	342

Amounts reclassified from AOCI	1					
Net current						
period OCI						
changes	2,36	8	69,	273	71,	641
Balance as of						
September 30,						
2013	\$	(25,802)	\$	(128,074)	\$	(153,876)
changes Balance as of September 30,						

1 Remeasurement of the pension plan funded status resulting from the plan change as described in Note 10.

Amounts reclassified from AOCI to earnings, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30				
in thousands Reclassification	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges Losses								
Interest expense Benefit from	\$	1,127	\$	1,615	\$	3,928	\$	4,755
income taxes	(448)		(640)		(1,56	0)	(1,88	7)
Total	\$	679	\$	975	\$	2,368	\$	2,868
Amortization of								
Pension and								
Postretirement Plan Actuarial								
Loss and Prior								
Service Cost <sup>1</sup>								
Cost of goods								
sold	\$	2,827	\$	4,092	\$	11,837	\$	12,066
Selling,								
administrative								
and general					• • • •			
expenses	644		977		2,918	5	3,141	
Benefit from	(1.200	\ \	(1.005	<b>`</b>	(5.70	1)	(5.05	<b>~</b> )
income taxes	(1,360		(1,985	·	(5,78		(5,95	·
Total	\$ ¢	2,111	\$	3,084	\$ ¢	8,974	\$	9,252
Total	\$	2,790	\$	4,059	\$	11,342	\$	12,120
reclassifications from AOCI to								

## earnings

1 See Note 10 for a breakdown of the reclassifications among the curtailment loss and amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost.

16

#### Note 12: Equity

Changes in total equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are summarized below:

	Total
in thousands	Equity
Balance at	
December 31,	
2012	\$ 3,761,062
Net earnings	15,300
Common stock	
issued	
Share-based	
compensation	
plans	1,115
Share-based	
compensation	
expense	16,789
Excess tax	
benefits from	
share-based	
compensation	896
Cash dividends	
on common	
stock (\$0.03	
per share)	(3,890)
Other	
comprehensive	
income	71,641
Other	(2)
Balance at	
September 30,	
2013	\$ 3,862,911

There were no shares held in treasury as of September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2013, 3,411,416 shares may be repurchased under the current purchase authorization of our Board of Directors.

Note 13: Segment Reporting

We have four operating (and reportable) segments organized around our principal product lines: aggregates, concrete, asphalt mix and cement. The vast majority of our activities are domestic. We sell a relatively small amount of construction aggregates outside the United States. Intersegment sales are made at local market prices for the particular grade and quality of product utilized in the production of ready-mixed concrete and asphalt mix. Management reviews earnings from the product line reporting segments principally at the gross profit level.

#### segment financial disclosure

Three	Three Months Ended September 30			onths Ended September 30
in millions 2013		2012	2013	2012
Total				
Revenues				
Aggregates				
Segment revenues \$	561.2			