

PERVASIP CORP
Form 10-Q
October 20, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended August 31, 2010.

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 0-4465

Pervasip Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

13-2511270

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

75 South Broadway, Suite 400, White Plains, New
York

(Address of principal executive offices)

10601

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area
code:

914-620-1500

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Edgar Filing: PERVASIP CORP - Form 10-Q

Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the latest practicable date: 3,710,059 shares of common stock, par value \$.10 per share, as of September 30, 2010.

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Pervasip Corp. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	Aug. 31, 2010 (Unaudited)	Nov. 30, 2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,002	\$ 35,993
Accounts receivable, net	140,450	184,840
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	47,697	50,393
Total current assets	227,149	271,226
Other assets	105,290	116,143
Total assets	\$ 332,439	\$ 387,369
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity Deficiency		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 13,324,804	\$ 12,377,252
Short-term borrowings	162,000	-
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,707,257	2,273,681
Total current liabilities	16,194,061	14,650,933
Accrued pension obligation	1,296,135	1,266,885
Total liabilities	17,490,196	15,917,818
Stockholders' equity deficiency (Note 13):		
Preferred stock, \$.10 per value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$.10 per value; 150,000,000 shares authorized, 3,570,059 and 2,848,838 shares issued and outstanding in 2010 and 2009	357,006	284,884
Capital in excess of par value	31,762,254	31,126,680
Deficit	(49,277,804)	(46,943,591)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	787	1,578
Total stockholders' equity deficiency	(17,157,757)	(15,530,449)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity deficiency	\$ 332,439	\$ 387,369

See notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements

Pervasip Corp. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended		For the Three Months Ended	
	31-Aug-10	31-Aug-09	31-Aug-10	31-Aug-09
Revenues	\$1,110,974	\$1,697,991	\$372,932	\$539,081
Cost and expenses:				
Costs of services	760,737	1,352,026	237,305	420,182
Selling, general and administrative	1,671,493	1,961,402	497,867	563,242
Depreciation and amortization	-	415,177	-	139,208
Total costs and expenses	2,432,230	3,728,605	735,172	1,122,632
Loss from operations	(1,321,256)	(2,030,614)	(362,240)	(583,551)
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense	(1,023,593)	(1,970,263)	(358,890)	(857,546)
Interest and other income	10,635	2,374	-	268
Change in warrant valuation	-	1,634,463	-	2,272,201
Total other income (expense)	(1,012,958)	(333,426)	(358,890)	1,414,923
Net income (loss)	(2,334,214)	(2,364,040)	(721,130)	831,372
Othe comprehensive income(loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(791)	4,606	(11)	(563)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(2,335,005)	\$(2,359,434)	\$(721,141)	\$830,809
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.75)	\$(0.89)	\$(0.21)	\$0.31
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.75)	\$(0.89)	\$(0.21)	\$0.07
Shares used in per share comptation				
Basic	3,132,577	2,646,822	3,406,276	2,682,158
Diluted	3,132,577	2,646,822	3,406,276	12,206,105

See notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements

Pervasip Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)For the Nine Months Ended
Aug. 31, 2010 Aug. 31, 2009

Net cash used in operating activities:	\$(370,141) \$(1,063,793)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	-	(122,747)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayment of long-term debt	(7,550)	(6,428
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	162,000	-)
Proceeds from exercise of options	77,500	-	129,910
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	141,200	-	-
Inflow from restricted cash	-	-	1,061,598
Net cash provided by financing activities	373,150	-	1,185,080
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	3,009	-	(1,460
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	35,993	-	130,338
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period	\$39,002	-	\$128,878

See notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements

PERVASIP CORP.

Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the nine-month or three-month periods ended August 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended November 30, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009.

Note 2 – Going Concern Matters and Realization of Assets

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, we have sustained substantial losses from operations in recent years and we have negative working capital and a stockholders' equity deficiency. In addition, we are experiencing difficulty in generating sufficient cash flow to meet our obligations and sustain our operations. We have been unable to provide our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Information Officer with the cash compensation levels that we agreed to pay them. If either officer ceases to work for us, we may have to discontinue our operations. We expect our operating losses and cash deficits to continue until we are able to generate sufficient revenues to cover our operating costs. We need to raise additional cash through some combination of borrowings, sales of equity or debt securities or sales of assets to enable us to meet our cash requirements.

We may not be able to raise sufficient additional debt, equity or other cash on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to generate sufficient revenues, achieve certain other business plan objectives or raise additional funds could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial position, including our ability to continue as a going concern, and may require us to significantly reduce, reorganize, discontinue or shut down our operations.

In view of the matters described above, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of our company which, in turn, is dependent upon our ability to meet our financing requirements on a continuing basis, and to succeed in our future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue operating. Management's plans include:

1. Seeking to raise \$100,000 in equity in the near term, and additional equity later in the year. With an equity raise of \$100,000, outstanding warrants to purchase approximately 5.9 million shares of common stock will be retired, and management believes the improved capital structure of our company will help to attract additional capital as new products are launched.

2. Seeking to raise debt or equity to replace our current lender. We have an offer from our lender that its credit committee has approved that would cancel approximately \$10 million in liabilities to our lender and restate the amounts payable to our lender to approximately \$3.3 million. However, such agreement is not yet finalized and it requires that we make a \$500,000 payment at the time the parties to the agreement sign a definitive agreement. We do not currently have the \$500,000 cash payment that would be required to enter into the proposed agreement with our lender and are in discussions with financing sources in an effort to raise such funds. There can be no assurance we will be successful in raising such funds or in restructuring our existing debt to our lenders.

3. Continuing to develop new uses and distribution channels for our voice-over-IP-enabled mobile phone service (“Mobile VoIP”). Our Mobile VoIP product currently runs on several Nokia smart phones. It is also an application that can be downloaded to hundreds of mobile devices, and we estimate more than 100 million of such devices are currently in use by consumers. Our product provides an extra phone number and wireless telephone line that allows the user to make phone calls over the traditional cell phone network or over a WiFi network without using minutes on their existing cell phone carrier. Management plans to increase sales by utilizing established application distribution websites, such as the Nokia Ovi store, Nimbuzz and GetJar, to distribute our product. These sites allow most consumers to download our VoIP application to a Windows Mobile, Apple, Android or Symbian handset. We began using GetJar and the Ovi store in our last fiscal quarter and our mobile VoIP application was available on Nimbuzz beginning in October 2010.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve our business plan objectives or that we will achieve or maintain cash-flow-positive operating results. If we are unable to generate adequate funds from operations or raise additional funds, we may not be able to repay our existing debt, continue to operate our network, respond to competitive pressures or fund our operations. As a result, we may be required to significantly reduce, reorganize, discontinue or shut down our operations. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

Note 3 – Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) – Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Instruments” which, among other things, expands disclosures on recurring fair value measurements, including activity, transfers and reconciliation of asset and liability classes, using Levels 1, 2 and 3 as defined. The guidance also clarifies existing disclosures on levels of disaggregation between such classes and about input and valuation techniques used to measure recurring and nonrecurring Level 2 or Level 3 fair value measurements. The guidance is effective for fiscal interim or annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Adoption of FASB ASC 2010-06 did not have a significant effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 4 - Major Customers

During the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010, one customer accounted for approximately 21% of our revenues. For the three-month period ended August 31, 2010, three customers accounted for approximately 27%, 12% and 10%, respectively, of our revenues. During the nine-month and three-month periods ended August 31, 2009, one customer accounted for approximately 36% and 37%, respectively, of our revenues.

Note 5 - Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic income (loss) per common share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

Approximately 10,460,000 and 17,645,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of our outstanding stock options or warrants were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share for the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, because the effect would be anti-dilutive. Approximately 10,460,000 and 1,521,600 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of our outstanding stock options or warrants were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share for the three-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Note 6 - Risks and Uncertainties

We have created a proprietary Internet Protocol (“IP”) telephony network and have transitioned from being a reseller of traditional wireline telephone services into a voice over IP service provider to take advantage of the network cost savings that are inherent in an IP network and the growth of the mobile IP industry. While the IP telephony business continues to grow, we face strong competition. We have built our IP telephony business with significantly less financial resources than many of our competitors. The survival of our business currently is dependent upon the success of our IP operations. Future results of operations involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Factors that could affect future operating results and cash flows and cause actual results to vary materially from historical results include, but are not limited to:

- The availability of additional funds to successfully pursue our business plan;
- The continued cooperation of our lender, which has deferred non-payment of principal and interest of our debt until October 29, 2010;
- Our ability to retain key personnel, especially our chief executive officer and our chief information officer, who have worked without salary compensation from us over the past 21 months and 11 months, respectively;
 - The cooperation of industry service partners that have signed agreements with us;
- Our ability to market our services to current and new customers and generate customer demand for our products and services in the geographical areas in which we operate;
- The impact of changes the Federal Communications Commission or State Public Service Commissions may make to existing telecommunication laws and regulations, including laws dealing with Internet telephony;
 - The ability to comply with provisions of our financing agreements;
 - The highly competitive nature of our industry;
 - The acceptance of telephone calls over the Internet by mainstream consumers;
 - Our ability to maintain adequate customer care and manage our churn rate;
- Our ability to maintain, attract and integrate internal management, technical information and management information systems;
 - Our ability to manage rapid growth while maintaining adequate controls and procedures;
 - The availability and maintenance of suitable vendor relationships, in a timely manner, at reasonable cost;
 - The decrease in telecommunications prices to consumers; and
 - General economic conditions.

Note 7 - Stock-Based Compensation Plans

We issue stock options to our employees, consultants and outside directors pursuant to stockholder-approved and non-approved stock option programs and record the applicable expense in accordance with the authoritative guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. This expense is a non-cash expense and it derives from the issuance of stock options, stock purchase warrants and restricted stock. (See Note 13). For the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded approximately \$352,000 and \$401,000, respectively, in stock-based compensation expense. For the three-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded approximately \$87,000 and \$145,000, respectively, in stock-based compensation expense. For the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$254,000 and \$263,000, respectively, of the expense related to grants made to consultants. For the three-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$56,000 and \$58,000, respectively, of the stock option expense related to grants to consultants. As of August 31, 2010, there was approximately \$42,000 of unrecognized stock-compensation expense that will be expensed over the next two years. As of August 31, 2010, there was approximately \$182,000 of unrecognized employee stock-compensation expense for previously-granted unvested options that will be recognized over a three-year period.

Note 8 - Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

At August 31, 2010 and November 30, 2009, included in the caption accounts payable and accrued expenses, were liabilities of approximately \$796,000 for items in conjunction with transactions related to the sale of former subsidiaries. We believe the total remaining liability is significantly less, based upon public disclosures made by the entity that purchased our former subsidiaries. However, the purchaser has not confirmed the reduction to us directly and, accordingly, we have not reduced the amount of the liability. One of our former subsidiaries filed for bankruptcy protection on September 23, 2008, and is now in a Chapter 7 liquidation. We believe the bankruptcy filing further decreases our potential liability to the purchaser. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in reducing such potential liabilities and, ultimately, we may have to pay such amounts.

Note 9 - Defined Benefit Plan

We sponsor a defined benefit plan covering a number of former employees. Our funding policy with respect to the defined benefit plan was to contribute annually not less than the minimum required by applicable law and regulation to cover the normal cost and to fund supplemental costs, if any, from the date each supplemental cost was incurred. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributable to service to date, but also for those expected in the future.

We do not expect to make the required quarterly contributions to the defined benefit plan in fiscal 2010 and we have reported to the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation ("PBGC") that we were unable to pay all the required quarterly contributions in fiscal 2009 and that the plan no longer can make monthly pension payments to the plan participants. For the month of October 2010, the PGBC funded the pension payments due to the plan participants.

Note 10 – Principal Financing Arrangements

We have executed nine financing agreements with our principal lender and its affiliates. As of August 31, 2010 and November 30, 2009, the total indebtedness to our lender and its affiliates, including accrued interest was \$13,226,317 and \$12,175,165, respectively. The first financing was repaid in full in connection with the sale of two subsidiaries, and the second, third and fourth financings were amended upon the signing of the fifth financing on May 28, 2008. The fourth financing, in the amount of \$4,000,000, requires that we make principal payments of \$100,000 each month, beginning in October 2009, and a balloon payment of the remaining principal and interest when the note was due on September 30, 2010. The second, third and fifth financings were also due on September 30, 2010, and there were no principal payments required to be made until the notes mature. Interest on the fifth financing is set at 20%. The interest rate on our fourth financing is set at prime plus 2%, subject to a minimum of 9.75% per annum, and was 9.75% per annum at August 31, 2010. Interest on the second and third notes is set at prime plus 2% per annum, or 5.25% per annum at August 31, 2010. In conjunction with the fifth financing, all interest payments for the next twelve months were accrued and added to the principal balances of the notes. Cash interest payments were to begin again on a monthly basis commencing in June 2009, but have been deferred on a month-to-month basis.

On October 15, 2008, we entered into a sixth financing arrangement with our principal lender and an affiliate of the lender (the “October 2008 Financing”). This financing consisted of a note totaling \$500,000 that originally matured on September 28, 2010. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year, and is payable monthly, in arrears, on the first business day of each month through and including the maturity date. Interest accrues at a rate of 15% per annum.

On December 12, 2008, we amended the October 2008 Financing and borrowed an additional \$600,000 from our lender. This financing consisted of amending the \$500,000 note to a \$1,100,000 note that originally matured on September 28, 2010. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year, and is payable monthly, in arrears, on the first business day of each month through and including the maturity date. Interest accrues at a rate of 15% per annum.

On February 18, 2009, we consummated a private placement (the “February 2009 Financing”) pursuant to which we issued to two affiliates of our lender (“Affiliates”), secured term notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$600,000 and common stock purchase warrants that entitle the Affiliates to purchase in the aggregate up to 2,650,000 shares of our common stock.

Proceeds of the February 2009 Financing were deposited in a restricted cash account and were released to us to pay operating expenses upon our request and in the sole discretion of our principal lender, similar to the arrangement we have had with our lender with prior financings. This loan originally matured on September 28, 2010, but has been extended to October 29, 2010. Interest will accrue on the unpaid principal on the notes issued in the February 2009 Financing at a rate equal to twenty percent (20%) per annum calculated on the basis of a 360-day year. Interest accruing at the rate of fifteen percent (15%) per annum will be payable monthly in arrears, on the first business day of each calendar month through and including the maturity date. Interest accruing at the rate of five percent (5%) per annum will be accrued and added to the principal balances of the notes issued in the February 2009 Financing. Principal payments are due and payable on the maturity date. Beginning in May 2009, we have not made cash interest payments on any of the loans, as our primary lender has instead increased our loan payable balances by the interest expense that was not paid in cash.

In connection with the February 2009 Financing, we issued warrants to the Affiliates to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,650,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share. Similar to the first five financings with our lender, which also included warrants to purchase our common stock, we determined, in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, that the warrants issued to our principal lender and the Affiliates in connection with all financings represented derivatives. Accordingly, we recorded the fair value of these derivatives as a debt discount and a liability on our consolidated balance sheet. The discounts were being amortized to interest expense using the "Effective Interest Method" of amortization over the term of the related indebtedness. For the three-months and nine-months ended August 31, 2009, the value of the derivatives decreased by approximately \$2,272,000 and \$1,634,000, with a corresponding credit to other income. At November 30, 2009 and at August 31, 2010, due to a significant drop in the price of our common stock, a lack of trading in our common stock, the uncertainty about our ability to continue in business, our inability to obtain additional financing, our continued losses and financial position and other factors, we determined the fair value of all warrants issued to our lender was zero. Fair value for this purpose is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

As further evidence of the lack of market value of the warrants, on February 11, 2010, we signed a warrant cancellation agreement with our lender pursuant to which our lender agreed to cancel for no monetary consideration all of the existing warrants it holds to purchase in the aggregate 15,905,257 shares of our common stock when we obtain equity financing of \$300,000 from an identified investment group. Under the terms of the agreement, the lender agreed to execute and deliver to us a cancellation of warrant notice upon receipt of satisfactory evidence that we received incremental equity of at least \$50,000. For every \$50,000 in equity that we receive, the lender has agreed to cancel warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2.5 million shares. Upon receipt of \$300,000 in total, all of the warrants in the possession of the lender will be cancelled. As of October 20, 2010 we have raised \$205,200 in equity and our lender has cancelled warrants to purchase 7.5 million shares of our common stock, and is in the process of canceling warrants to purchase 2.5 million shares of our common stock.

On October 6, 2009 and November 6, 2009, we signed demand notes of \$10,000 and \$50,000 (the "Demand Notes"), respectively, with our lender. The stated interest rate of the Demand Notes is the prime rate plus 2%.

We did not make the required principal or interest payments on any of the above notes for substantially the entire year ended November 30, 2009 or for the nine months ended August 31, 2010. Our lender has not demanded repayment, and has deferred all principal and interest payments until October 29, 2010. Since the debt was callable at November 30, 2009, at the option of the lender, amortization of the remaining debt discount of \$4,256,477 was recorded during the year ended November 30, 2009, so that the carrying amount of the debt is equal to the face value of the notes plus accrued interest.

To secure the payment of all obligations to our lender, we entered into a master security agreement that assigns and grants to an agent for the lender a continuing security interest and first lien on all of our assets, including the assets of our subsidiaries.

We remain dependent on our principal lender and its affiliates to continue to defer interest and principal payments and we received written notification that they will defer all interest and principal payments until October 29, 2010, at which point in time the lender anticipates we will have arranged for other financing in conjunction with a debt settlement agreement that we have pending with our lender. We have no assurances that our lender and its affiliates will continue to defer interest and principal or that we can complete the proposed debt settlement agreement.

Note 11 - Income Taxes

At November 30, 2009, we had net operating loss carryforwards for Federal income tax purposes of approximately \$31,300,000 that expire in the years 2010 through 2029. We have provided an allowance for the full value of the related deferred tax asset since it is more likely than not that none of such benefit will be realized.

Note 12 – Related Party Transactions

In connection with use of software development costs, we paid fees to a third-party intellectual property development firm (the “Consultant”) for the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and August 31, 2009, of \$189,000 and \$190,000, respectively. For the three-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and August 31, 2009, we paid fees of \$63,000 and \$63,000, respectively. One of our officers has performed work for the Consultant, including the function of distributing such funds to appropriate vendors. Our officer received fees from the Consultant of \$45,000 during the nine-months period ended August 31, 2010 and 2009. There was no capitalization of software costs in the nine months ended August 31, 2010, while for the nine months ended August 31, 2009 \$117,000 was capitalized. The fees for services for three-month periods ended in August 31, 2010 and August 31, 2009 of \$63,000 and \$63,000, respectively, were deemed to be operating costs.

At August 31, 2010, total unpaid salary and expenses that were owed to our chief executive officer was approximately \$384,000. Additionally, from December 1, 2009 to August 31, 2010, our chief executive officer has provided unsecured short-term demand loans to the Company of \$162,000, at an interest rate of 2% per month, totaling \$14,000 in interest payable at August 31, 2010. Our Chief Executive Officer also participated in a private placement with an investor group to purchase \$109,000 in equity from us. Our lender has included the \$109,000 from our chief executive officer as part of the \$205,200 in equity we have raised since February 11, 2010 in conjunction with the cancellation of its warrants. See Note 10. On May 28, 2010, our chief information officer converted unpaid salary expense of \$70,000 into 70,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 140,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share.

Note 13 – Equity

On February 4, 2010, the Board of Directors authorized a reverse split of our common stock on a one-for-10 basis, whereby we issued to each of our shareholders one share of common stock for every 10 shares of common stock held by such shareholder, as such reverse stock split was a requirement of the investors who are seeking to inject new equity. The reverse split was implemented on September 22, 2010. Shares of common stock issued or outstanding that are noted in this Report are stated after giving retroactive effect to the one-for-10 reverse split.

In December 2009, we issued 25,000 shares of common stock in a private placement to an accredited investor at \$1.00 a share and incurred a finder's fee payable of \$2,500 plus a four-year warrant to purchase 2,500 shares of our common stock at \$1.20 per share.

In December 2009, we issued an aggregate of 12,014 shares of common stock to five employees who elected to accept stock in lieu of cash compensation.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we issued 120,000 shares of common stock in conjunction with the exercise of stock options granted under our 2009 equity incentive plan.

In February 2010, we issued 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to an individual in conjunction with a contractual obligation for investor relations services. The stock was valued at its fair market value of \$1.00 a share, or \$30,000, on the date that services began and was amortized over a three-month period.

In April 2010, we issued 15,000 shares of restricted common stock to a sales and marketing firm in conjunction with a contractual obligation to sell our products. The stock was valued at its fair market value of \$0.95 a share, or \$14,250 on the date that services began and was amortized over a one-month period.

In May 2010, we issued 33,000 shares of common stock to a sales and marketing firm in conjunction with a contractual obligation to sell our products. The stock was valued at its fair market value of \$0.65 a share, or \$21,450, on the date that services began and is being amortized over a one-month period.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we issued 35,000 shares of common stock in conjunction with the exercise of stock options granted under our equity incentive plans.

In May 2010, our chief executive officer purchased 80,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 160,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$80,000.

In May 2010, our chief information officer converted unpaid salary expense of \$70,000 into 70,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 140,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share.

In June 2010 we issued 21,700 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase 43,400 shares of our common stock to a private investor for a purchase price of \$21,700. We also issued 17,500 shares of our common stock to a consultant in conjunction with an option exercise, upon receipt of \$17,500, and 40,000 shares to two consultants to satisfy contractual obligations totaling \$40,000 with the consultants.

In July 2010 we issued 22,007 shares of common stock to settle debts of \$22,007. We also issued 25,000 shares of common stock in conjunction with exercises of stock option grants, and 125,000 shares of common stock for contractual obligations that we valued at \$10,000.

In July and August 2010 we issued a total of 50,000 shares of common stock to three consultants in conjunction with sales and marketing services. 20,000 of such shares were valued at its fair market value of \$0.80 a share, or \$16,000, on the date that services began and is being amortized until October 31, 2010. 30,000 of such shares were valued at a contractual price of \$1.00 a share, or \$30,000, on the date that services began and is being amortized over a three-month period.

Note 14 – Fair Value

The Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Under the Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, we base fair value on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It is our policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements, in accordance with the fair value hierarchy. Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data and, therefore, are based primarily upon management's own estimates, are often calculated based on current pricing policy, the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other such factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows that could significantly affect the results of current or future value.

Warrant Liabilities

At August 31, 2010 and November 30, 2009, management determined that that the value of our outstanding warrant liabilities was \$0. As many of the factors used to determine the fair value are based on unobservable inputs, we accordingly classified the fair value measurement of the warrant liability at Level 3 at August 31, 2010 and November 30, 2009. There was no activity relating to such liabilities for the nine months and three months ended August 31, 2010.

Item 2. Management's Analysis and Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The statements contained in this Report that are not historical facts are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to our financial condition, results of operations and business, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "estimates," "projects," "plans," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," or the negative thereof or other variations thereon, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. Management wishes to caution the reader of the forward-looking statements that such statements, which are contained in this Report, reflect our current beliefs with respect to future events and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, but not limited to, economic, competitive, regulatory, technological, key employee, and general business factors affecting our operations, markets, growth, services, products, licenses and other factors discussed in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that these statements are only estimates or predictions. No assurances can be given regarding the achievement of future results, as actual results may differ materially as a result of risks facing us, and actual events may differ from the assumptions underlying the statements that have been made regarding anticipated events. Factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements include, without limitation those factors set forth under Note 6 – Risks and Uncertainties.

These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results expressed or implied by us in those statements. These risk factors should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that we or persons acting on our behalf may issue. All written and oral forward looking statements made in connection with this Report that are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Given these uncertainties, we caution investors not to unduly rely on our forward-looking statements. We do not undertake any obligation to review or confirm analysts' expectations or estimates or to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Further, the information about our intentions contained in this Report are statements of our intentions as of the date of this Report and are based upon, among other things, the existing regulatory environment, industry conditions, market conditions and prices, the economy in general and our assumptions as of such date. We may change our intentions, at any time and without notice, based upon any changes in such factors, in our assumptions or otherwise.

Overview

The continued growth in both mobile and Voice-over-Internet Protocol ("VoIP") telephone services has resulted in a new mobile VoIP market. Adding VoIP to mobile telephone services allows subscribers to make inexpensive calls from their mobile phones instead of using costly airtime minutes. We enable mobile phone users to access this emerging market in two different manners. First, we have an easy-to-download VoIP application that enables users to make low-cost VoIP calls over our Internet Protocol ("IP") network all over the world. The application can be downloaded to hundreds of handset models, including Symbian, Windows Mobile, iPhone, Maemo, Android and Palm handsets with 3G or GPRS or WiFi coverage. The user needs to have a data plan that allows the handset to surf the Internet. Without the data connection, a VoIP application will not work. Second, we offer for sale certain Nokia smart phones with unlimited data, calling and text messaging to the U.S., Canada and Puerto Rico. In this case, our service is not downloaded over an existing carrier's plan. Instead, our service is loaded directly onto the handset before the handset is shipped to the customer. We sell the VoIP application to consumers who are looking to save money on wireless telephone service but do not want to buy a new mobile phone, or decide they cannot buy one because they are in a contract with a mobile service carrier. We sell certain Nokia smart phones with our unlimited service to consumers who are looking for a new mobile phone and do not need to be concerned with contractual obligations to a service carrier. Unlike many of the larger mobile phone service carriers, we do not require a one or

two-year contract to sign up for our mobile phone service.

Our VoIP technology works efficiently over mobile phone networks. In lieu of routing a call from a mobile phone over the voice side of a mobile phone network, we route the call over the data side of the network, which runs over the Internet. It is a low-cost method of delivering telephone service, especially when the user is making international calls, because we do not have to hand off the call to another carrier to complete the call. Instead, after the call reaches the cell phone tower, it is placed on the Internet, where it travels to our switch and is completed by us. We believe we can attract a significant number of subscribers to our service from the larger and more expensive mobile phone carriers. We refer to our use of the data-side of mobile phone networks as voice-over-IP enabled mobile phone service (“Mobile VoIP”). Our Mobile VoIP service also runs over WiFi networks. The range of WiFi is significantly less than the range of the mobile phone networks, but the WiFi option allows our customers to use their mobile phones in buildings in which they cannot receive a signal from the mobile phone network.

We believe that Mobile VoIP, a wireless telephone service, will demonstrate rapid growth. We also believe that mobile applications will experience significant growth. Market research firm In-Stat (<http://www.in-stat.com>) projects the total number of Mobile VoIP users will reach 288 million by the end of 2013. According to iSuppli Corp., a market research firm, the market for preloaded applications and other software for mobile phones will grow to \$7.7 billion in 2014, up from \$3.3 billion in 2008.

Plan of Operation

Our objective is to build a profitable IP telephone company on a stable and scalable platform with minimal network costs. We want to be known for our high quality of service, robust features and ability to deliver any new product to a wholesale customer or a web store without delay. We believe that to achieve our objective we need to have “cradle to grave” automation of our back-office web and billing systems. We have written our software for maximum automation, flexibility and changeability.

We know from experience in provisioning complex telecom orders that back-office automation is a key factor in keeping overhead costs low. Technology continues to work for 24 hours a day and we believe that the fewer people a company has in the back office, the more efficiently it can run, which should drive down the cost per order.

Our approach to VoIP does not require expensive network equipment to provide telephony services. Instead we rely on our proprietary software and a “server cluster” or “server farm” architecture. Unlike the typical telecom model in which one large expensive machine performs almost every task, we have a server farm comprised of a cluster of Dell servers and Cisco routers, where each machine performs a different task and has from one to three backup machines to ensure that services never go down. By not relying on the equipment and related software of telecom equipment vendors, we are able to control our own destiny and scale without the limitations and delays associated with equipment financing, installation and the integration of new machines and source code updates that equipment vendors impose on VoIP carriers. Our philosophy is that VoIP is an application and should be treated the same way that companies such as Google, Inc. process their data. Consequently, we believe data servers and routers are the appropriate vehicle on which to process our VoIP calls.

Our approach to VoIP makes it possible for us to provide VoIP as an application that a consumer can download over the Internet to his or her smart phone. We believe the U.S. is in the early stages of a smart phone revolution. A smart phone is a mobile telephone offering advanced capabilities, including PC-like functionality. The growth in demand for smart phones with powerful processors, plentiful memory, open operating systems, sizeable video screens, and the ability to tether the smartphone to an even larger PC or television screen, has outpaced the sales growth of mobile phones. We believe the smart phone revolution is a significant component of an even larger mobile Internet revolution, and that we can participate and benefit from the mobile Internet revolution by providing our Mobile VoIP service to smart phone users. We currently provide our Mobile VoIP service on several smart phones, and our downloadable application runs on hundreds of smart phone models. Our high-speed wireless service is not limited to a WiFi-hot spot, but is available on the 3G mobile network or the EDGE network – basically anywhere that a GSM carrier can provide service.

Nine Months Ended August 31, 2010 vs. Nine Months Ended August 31, 2009

Our revenue for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010 decreased by approximately \$587,000, or approximately 35%, to approximately \$1,111,000 as compared to approximately \$1,698,000 reported for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009. The decrease in our revenues resulted from the loss of our largest customer in December 2009.

For the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010, our gross profit amounted to approximately \$350,000, which was an increase of approximately \$4,000 over the gross profit of approximately \$346,000 reported in the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009. Although we had a reduction of revenue due to the loss of our largest customer, we were able to reduce our cost of completing telephone calls to phone numbers that are not on our network and to increase some of the rates we charge to our customers. Consequently, we were able to increase our gross profit percentage from 20% for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009, to 32% for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$290,000, or approximately 15%, to approximately \$1,671,000 for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010 from approximately \$1,961,000 reported in the same prior-year fiscal period. We made significant reductions to our salary and consulting expense for the 2010 period resulting in a savings of approximately \$287,000.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the nine-months ended August 31, 2009 amounted to approximately \$415,000. There was no depreciation and amortization expense recorded for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010 due to the impairment loss that we recorded during the year ended November 30, 2009 and the expensing of all deferred financing costs.

Interest expense decreased by approximately \$946,000, to approximately \$1,024,000 for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010 as compared to approximately \$1,970,000 for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009. We wrote off all debt discounts at November 30, 2009, and therefore our interest expense in 2010 is no longer impacted by the previously-recorded debt discounts.

The mark-to-market adjustment to our warrant liability resulted in warrant income for the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009 of approximately \$1,634,000, which was due to the decrease in the market value of our common stock from November 30, 2008 to August 31 2009. There was no income or expense for mark-to-market adjustment in the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010 because the warrants held by our lender were valued at zero on November 30, 2009 and August 31, 2010.

Three Months Ended August 31, 2010 vs. Three Months Ended August 31, 2009

Our revenue for the three-month period ended August 31, 2010 decreased by approximately \$166,000, or approximately 31%, to approximately \$373,000 as compared to approximately \$539,000 reported for the three-month period ended August 31, 2009. The decrease in our revenues resulted from the loss of our largest customer in December 2009.

For the three-month period ended August 31, 2010, our gross profit amounted to approximately \$136,000, which was an improvement of approximately \$17,000 over the gross profit of approximately \$119,000 reported in the three-month period ended August 31, 2009. As noted above, although we had a reduction of revenue due to the loss of our largest customer, we were able to reduce our cost of completing telephone calls to phone numbers that are not on our network and to increase some of the rates we charge our customers. Consequently, we were able to increase our gross profit percentage from 22% for the three-month period ended August 31, 2009, to 36% for the there-month period ended August 31, 2010.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$65,000, or approximately 12%, to approximately \$498,000 for the three-month period ended August 31, 2010 from approximately \$563,000 reported in the same prior-year fiscal period. Reduction in personnel and consulting costs amounting to approximately \$67,000 accounted for the majority of the decrease.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three-months ended August 31, 2009 was approximately \$139,000. There was no depreciation and amortization expense recorded for the three-month period ended August 31, 2010 due to the impairment loss that we recorded at November 30, 2009 and the expensing of all deferred financing costs at November 30, 2009.

Interest expense decreased by approximately \$499,000 to approximately \$359,000 for the three-months ended August 31, 2010 as compared to approximately \$858,000 for the three-months ended August 31, 2009. As discussed above, in fiscal 2010, our interest expense is no longer impacted by previously-recorded debt discounts.

The mark-to-market adjustment to our warrant liability resulted in warrant income for the three-month period ended August 31, 2009 of approximately \$2,272,000, which was due to the decrease in the market value of our common stock from June 1, 2009 to August 31, 2009. There was no income or expense for mark-to-market adjustment in the three-month period ended August 31, 2010 because the warrants held by our lender were valued at zero on June 1, 2010 and August 31, 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At August 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$39,000 and negative working capital of approximately \$15,967,000.

Net cash used in operating activities aggregated approximately \$370,000 and \$1,064,000 in the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and August 31, 2009, respectively. The principal use of cash in the nine months ended August 31, 2010 was a net loss of approximately \$2,334,000, which included non-cash interest expense of approximately \$1,006,000 and non-cash stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$352,000. The principal uses of cash in the nine months ended August 31, 2010 was a net loss of approximately \$2,364,000, which included a non-cash mark-to-market warrant adjustment charge of approximately \$1,634,000 and amortization of debt discount of approximately \$1,510,000.

Net cash used in investing activities in the nine-month period ended August 31, 2009 aggregated approximately \$123,000 for the purchase of property and equipment. There were no investing activities during the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010.

Net cash provided by financing activities aggregated approximately \$373,000 and \$1,185,000 in the nine-month periods ended August 31, 2010 and August 31, 2009, respectively. In fiscal 2010, cash provided by financing activities resulted in proceeds from short-term borrowings and proceeds from the issuance of stock. In fiscal year 2009, cash provided by financing activities resulted from cash received from a restricted bank account that was funded in connection with financings on October 15, 2008 and February 18, 2009.

For the nine-month period ended August 31, 2010, we had no capital expenditures. We do not expect to make capital expenditures during the last quarter of fiscal year 2010. We expect that our capital expenditures over the next 12 months, if any, will be dependent on our ability to raise additional funds to pay such expenditure and will relate primarily to a continued roll-out of our IP telephony network that will be required to support our Mobile VoIP customer.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of our company as a going concern. However, we have sustained net losses from operations during the last three years, and we have limited liquidity. Management anticipates that we will be dependent, for the near future, on additional capital to fund our operating expenses and anticipated growth and the report of our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended November 30, 2009 expresses doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our operating losses have been funded through the issuance of equity securities and borrowings, including borrowings from our primary lender on nine separate occasions over the past four years. Although we are not yet profitable and we are not generating cash from operations, our lender has indicated verbally that it plans to continue to be cooperative with us, although it cannot provide us with any additional funding. Our lender, up to this point in time, has been deferring payments of interest and principal on a monthly basis and has not accelerated any indebtedness. In order to help us attract additional capital, on September 29, 2010, our lender and its affiliates signed a non-binding term sheet with us and a potential investor that would allow us to purchase all their existing debt over a two-year period for approximately \$3.3 million. We need immediate funding in order to continue our business operations. While we continually look for potential financing sources, in the current

economic environment, the procurement of outside funding is extremely difficult and there can be no assurance that such financing will be available, or, if available, that such financing will be at a price and on other terms that will be acceptable to us. Failure to generate sufficient revenues, raise additional capital, or renegotiate payment terms of our debt would have an adverse impact on our ability to achieve our longer-term business objectives, and would adversely affect our ability to continue operating as a going concern. See Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for additional discussion of our ability to meet our financial obligations and to continue as a going concern.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer/chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer/chief financial officer has concluded that, as of the end of such period, for the reasons set forth below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

(b) Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the third quarter of fiscal 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer/chief financial officer, management has evaluated internal control over financial reporting by the Company using the framework for effective internal control established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2009. During the course of this assessment, management identified material weaknesses relating primarily to:

- Segregation of duties
- recording complex financial transactions, primarily in the analysis and calculation of debt financings and the issuance of warrants and options
 - performance of timely and accurate year-end closing process

We have a lack of staffing within our accounting department, both in terms of the small number of employees performing our financial and accounting functions and their lack of experience to account for complex financial and taxation transactions. This lack of staffing continued throughout fiscal 2009, during the first nine months of fiscal 2010 and as of the date of this report. Management believes the lack of qualified, accounting and financial personnel amounts to a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting and, as a result, at November 30, 2009 and on the date of this Report, our internal control over financial reporting is not effective. We will continue to evaluate the employees involved, the need to engage outside consultants with technical and accounting-related expertise to assist us in accounting for complex financial transactions and the hiring of additional accounting staff. However, we will be unable to remedy this material weakness in our internal controls until we have the financial resources that allow us to hire additional qualified employees.

Our management, including our chief executive officer/chief financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting are or will be capable of preventing or detecting all errors or all fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns may occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk.

PERVASIP CORP.

PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Paul H. Riss, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 (Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
32.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Paul H. Riss, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 (Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Pervasip Corp.

Date: October 20, 2010

By: /s/ Paul H. Riss
Paul H. Riss
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Paul H. Riss, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 (Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
32.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Paul H. Riss, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 (Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)