

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
February 28, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number 001-35243

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 90-0640593  
(State of or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600 60532  
Lisle, Illinois (zip code)  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (630) 824-1000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

The aggregate market value of Common Stock (based upon the June 28, 2013, closing price of \$14.02 on the New York Stock Exchange) held by non-affiliates was approximately \$977,994,584.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 21, 2014 was 69,724,481.

Selective portions of the SunCoke Energy, Inc. definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2013, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

Table of Contents

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>36</u>

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>125</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>125</u>

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees Services</u>	<u>127</u>

PART IV



Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

SunCoke Energy, Inc. (“SunCoke Energy”, “Company”, “we”, “our” and “us”) is the largest independent producer of high-quality coke in the Americas, as measured by tons of coke produced each year, and has more than 50 years of coke production experience. Coke is a principal raw material in the blast furnace steelmaking process. Coke is generally produced by heating metallurgical coal in a refractory oven, which releases certain volatile components from the coal, thus transforming the coal into coke.

We have designed, developed and built, and own and operate five cokemaking facilities in the United States (“U.S.”) and designed and operate one cokemaking facility in Brazil under licensing and operating agreements on behalf of our customer and have a joint venture interest in the operations of one cokemaking facility in India. The capacity of our five U.S. cokemaking facilities is approximately 4.2 million tons of coke per year. The cokemaking facility that we operate in Brazil has cokemaking capacity of approximately 1.7 million tons of coke per year. We also have a preferred stock investment in the project company that owns the Brazil facility. In March 2013, we formed a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited (“VISA Steel”) in India called VISA SunCoke Limited (“VISA SunCoke”). VISA SunCoke has a cokemaking capacity of 440 thousand tons of coke per year.

Our cokemaking ovens utilize efficient, modern heat recovery technology designed to combust the coal’s volatile components liberated during the cokemaking process and use the resulting heat to create steam or electricity for sale. This differs from by-product cokemaking which seeks to repurpose the coal's liberated volatile components for other uses. We have constructed the only greenfield cokemaking facilities in the U.S. in the last 25 years and are the only North American coke producer that utilizes heat recovery technology in the cokemaking process. We believe that heat recovery technology has several advantages over the alternative by-product cokemaking process, including producing higher quality coke, using waste heat to generate steam or electricity for sale and reducing environmental impact. Our Granite City facility, the first phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 1, and our VISA SunCoke joint venture include steam generation facilities which use hot flue gas from the cokemaking process to produce steam. Pursuant to steam supply and purchase agreements, Granite City and Haverhill facilities' steam is sold to third-parties and VISA SunCoke's steam is sold to VISA Steel. Our Middletown facility and the second phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 2, include cogeneration plants that use the hot flue gas created by the cokemaking process to generate electricity. The electricity is either sold into the regional power market or to AK Steel pursuant to energy sales agreements.

We own and operate coal mining operations in Virginia and West Virginia with more than 111 million tons of proven and probable reserves at December 31, 2013. In 2013, we sold approximately 1.5 million tons of metallurgical coal (including internal sales to our cokemaking operations) and 0.1 million tons of thermal coal.

Our business strategy has evolved to include the expansion of our operations into adjacent business lines within the steel value chain. During 2013, through our master limited partnership, we expanded our operations into coal handling and blending services through two acquisitions. On August 30, 2013, the master limited partnership completed the acquisition of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation (“Lake Terminal”). Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal provides coal handling and blending services to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. On October 1, 2013, the master limited partnership completed the acquisition of Kanawha River Terminals (“KRT”). KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling service provider with collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually through its operations in West Virginia and Kentucky.

Further, we are exploring opportunities for entry into the ferrous segments of the steel value chain, such as iron ore concentration and pelletizing and direct reduced iron production (“DRI”). In 2013, we received a favorable IRS private letter ruling for the concentrating and pelletizing of iron ore, and we will continue to pursue opportunities for entry into the ferrous market in 2014. In iron ore concentrating, various crushing, grinding and enriching processes separate iron-bearing particles from waste material to produce a concentrate of specific iron content. In pelletizing, a thermal treatment process forms iron ore concentrate into pellets which are then used in a blast furnace as part of the integrated steelmaking process. Iron ore pellets allow air to flow between the pellets, resulting in a more efficient blast furnace steelmaking process. The current capacity for both concentrating and pelletizing of iron ore in the U.S. and

Canada is in excess of 230 million tons and we believe acquisitions of existing facilities could potentially provide an attractive avenue for growth.

DRI, an alternative method of ironmaking, has been developed to overcome some of the economic and operating challenges of conventional blast furnaces. DRI is predominantly used as a replacement for steel scrap or pig iron in the electric arc furnace steelmaking process. The capital investment required to build DRI plants is low compared to integrated steel plants and operating costs can be favorable if low cost energy supplies are available. DRI is successfully manufactured in various

1

---

## Table of Contents

parts of the world through either natural gas or coal-based technology. Currently, there is only one DRI operation in the U.S., but we believe demand for additional DRI capacity in the U.S. may grow by approximately 5 million tons, driven in part by the available supply of low cost natural gas as a reducing agent. We have requested a private letter ruling for DRI and will pursue opportunities in the DRI market if we receive a favorable ruling.

Incorporated in Delaware in 2010 and headquartered in Lisle, Illinois, we became a publicly-traded company in 2011 and our stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "SXC." As discussed below, our two-step separation ("Separation") from Sunoco, Inc. ("Sunoco") was completed in 2012.

### Our Separation from Sunoco

On January 17, 2012 (the "Distribution Date"), we became an independent, publicly-traded company following our separation from Sunoco. Our separation from Sunoco occurred in two steps:

- We were formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. On July 18, 2011 (the "Separation Date"), Sunoco contributed the subsidiaries, assets and liabilities that were primarily related to its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us in exchange for shares of our common stock. As of such date, Sunoco owned 100 percent of our common stock. On July 26, 2011, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of 13,340,000 shares of our common stock, or 19.1 percent of our outstanding common stock. Following the IPO, Sunoco continued to own 56,660,000 shares of our common stock, or 80.9 percent of our outstanding common stock.

On the Distribution Date, Sunoco made a pro-rata, tax free distribution (the "Distribution") of the remaining shares of our common stock that it owned in the form of a special stock dividend to Sunoco shareholders. Sunoco shareholders received 0.53046456 of a share of common stock for every share of Sunoco common stock held as of the close of business on January 5, 2012, the record date for the Distribution. After the Distribution, Sunoco ceased to own any shares of our common stock.

### Formation of a Master Limited Partnership

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., a master limited partnership ("the Partnership"), through the sale of 13,500,000 common units of limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of net proceeds (the "Partnership offering"). Upon the closing of the Partnership offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and own a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The remaining 42.1 percent interest in the Partnership is held by public unitholders and is reflected as noncontrolling interest on our Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheet beginning in the first quarter of 2013. The key assets of the Partnership at the time of formation were a 65 percent interest in each of our Haverhill and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery facilities. The Partnership continues to hold this 65 percent interest in these facilities and now also owns the coal blending and handling facilities acquired during 2013. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Partnership was \$24.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We are also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide remarketing efforts to the Partnership upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements, indemnification of certain environmental costs and preferential rights for growth opportunities.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement and the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes") and repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan. For a more detailed discussion see "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

### Business Segments

We report our business results through five segments:

- Domestic Coke consists of our Jewell, Indiana Harbor, Haverhill, Granite City and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery operations located in Vansant, Virginia; East Chicago, Indiana; Franklin Furnace, Ohio; Granite City, Illinois; and Middletown, Ohio, respectively.

- Brazil Coke consists of our operations in Vitória, Brazil, where we operate a cokemaking facility for a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal;

- India Coke consists of our cokemaking joint venture with Visa Steel in Odisha, India.

- Coal Logistics consists of our coal handling and blending service operations in East Chicago, Indiana; Ceredo, West Virginia; Belle, West Virginia; and Catlettsburg, Kentucky.

Coal Mining consists of our metallurgical coal mining activities conducted in Virginia and West Virginia.

2

---



Table of Contents

For additional information regarding our business segments, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Note 25 to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Cokemaking Operations

The following table sets forth information about our cokemaking facilities:

Facility	Location	Customer	Year of Start Up	Contract Expiration	Number of Coke Ovens	Annual Cokemaking Capacity (thousands of tons)	Use of Waste Heat
Owned and Operated:							
Jewell	Vansant, Virginia	ArcelorMittal	1962	2020	142	720	Partially used for thermal coal drying
Indiana Harbor	East Chicago, Indiana	ArcelorMittal	1998	2023	268	1,220	Heat for power generation
Haverhill Phase I	Franklin Furnace, Ohio	ArcelorMittal	2005	2020	100	550	Process steam
Phase II	Franklin Furnace, Ohio	AK Steel	2008	2022	100	550	Power generation
Granite City	Granite City, Illinois	U.S. Steel	2009	2025	120	650	Steam for power generation
Middletown <sup>(1)</sup>	Middletown, Ohio	AK Steel	2011	2032	100	550	Power generation
Total Operated:					830	4,240	
Vitória	Vitória, Brazil	ArcelorMittal	2007	2023	320	1,700	Steam for power generation
					1,150	5,940	
Equity Method Investment:							
VISA SunCoke <sup>(2)</sup>	Odisha, India	Various	2007	NA	88	440	Steam for power generation
Total					1,238	6,380	

(1) Cokemaking capacity represents stated capacity for production of blast furnace coke. Middletown production and sales volumes are based on “run of oven” capacity, which includes both blast furnace coke and small coke. Middletown capacity on a “run of oven” basis is approximately 578 thousand tons per year.

(2) Cokemaking capacity represents 100 percent of VISA SunCoke, our 49 percent joint venture with VISA Steel formed in March 2013.

We are a technological leader in cokemaking. Our advanced heat recovery cokemaking process has numerous advantages over by-product cokemaking, including producing higher quality coke, using waste heat to generate derivative energy for resale and reducing environmental impact. This differs from by-product cokemaking which seeks to repurpose the coal’s liberated volatile components for other uses. We have constructed the only greenfield cokemaking facilities in the U.S. in more than 25 years and are the only North American coke producer that utilizes heat recovery technology in the cokemaking process. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 specifically directed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) to evaluate our heat recovery coke oven technology as a basis for establishing Maximum Achievable Control Technology (“MACT”), standards for new cokemaking facilities. In addition, each of the four cokemaking facilities that we have built since 1990 has either met or exceeded the applicable Best Available Control Technology (“BACT”), or Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (“LAER”) standards, as applicable, set forth by the EPA for cokemaking facilities.

According to CRU, a leading publisher of industry market research, coke demand in the U.S. and Canada was an estimated 18.7 million tons in 2012. Approximately 97 percent of demand, or 18.2 million tons, was for blast furnace steelmaking operations and the remaining 3 percent was for foundry and other non-steelmaking operations. CRU expects annual blast furnace steelmaking coke demand in the U.S. and Canada to grow by 1 million tons, or 5 percent, by 2017 driven by a recovery in steel demand over the same time period.

Our core business model is predicated on providing steelmakers an alternative to investing capital in their own captive coke production facilities. We direct our marketing efforts principally towards steelmaking customers that require coke for use in their blast furnaces. According to CRU, there is approximately 14.4 million tons of captive cokemaking capacity in the U.S. and Canada. The average age of capacity at these captive facilities is 38 years, with 24 percent of capacity coming from facilities over 40 years old. As these cokemaking facilities continue to age, they will require replacement, providing us with

3

---

## Table of Contents

investment opportunities. In addition, we believe that we may have opportunities to acquire steelmakers' captive facilities as well as merchant coke producers' facilities.

Substantially all our coke sales are made pursuant to long-term take-or-pay agreements with ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel, who are three of the largest blast furnace steelmakers in North America. These coke sales agreements have an average remaining term of approximately 10 years and contain pass-through provisions for costs we incur in the cokemaking process, including coal procurement costs, subject to meeting contractual coal-to-coke yields, operating and maintenance expenses, costs related to the transportation of coke to our customers, taxes (other than income taxes) and costs associated with changes in regulation. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, ArcelorMittal, our largest customer, accounted for approximately 51 percent, 54 percent and 64 percent of our sales and other operating revenue, respectively. The decreased percentage of sales to ArcelorMittal in 2012 reflects the commencement of our Middletown operations in October 2011. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, AK Steel accounted for 30 percent, 28 percent and 14 percent, respectively and U.S. Steel accounted for 17 percent, 16 percent and 15 percent of our sales and other operating revenue, respectively.

The take-or-pay provisions in our coke sales agreements require that our customers either take all of our coke production up to a specified tonnage maximum or pay the contract price for any such coke they elect not to accept. To date, our customers have satisfied their obligations under these agreements. With the exception of our Jewell cokemaking facility, where we mine our own coal, all of our current coke sales agreements also provide for the pass-through of actual coal costs on a delivered basis, subject to meeting contractual coal-to-coke yields. The coal cost component of the coke price under the Jewell coke sales agreement reflects a market price for coal based upon third-party coal purchases under our Haverhill contract with ArcelorMittal. These features of our coke sales agreements reduce our exposure to variability in coal price changes and inflationary costs over the remaining terms of these agreements.

Revenues from our Brazilian cokemaking facility are derived from licensing and operating fees based upon the level of production required by our customer and include the full pass-through of the operating costs of the facility. We also receive an annual preferred dividend on our preferred stock investment in the Brazilian project company that owns the facility. In general, the facility must achieve certain minimum production levels for us to receive the preferred dividend. In recent years, we have reduced production at our Brazilian cokemaking facility at the request of our customer. This decrease to production does not impact our ability to receive our preferred dividend.

Our joint venture investment in VISA SunCoke, located in Odisha, India, generates earnings through heat recovery cokemaking and the associated steam generation units. VISA SunCoke's cokemaking process utilizes heat recovery technology developed in China and has an operating capacity of 440 thousand tons. Approximately one-third of its coke production and all of its steam production is sold to VISA Steel with the remainder of the coke production being sold in the spot market.

### Coal Logistics Operations

During 2013, we expanded our operations into the coal logistics market through the acquisitions of KRT and Lake Terminal. Coal is transported from the mine site in numerous ways, including rail, truck, barge or ship. Coal terminals act as intermediaries between coal producers and coal end users by providing transloading, storage and blending services. As a result of these acquisitions, we now own and operate four coal handling terminals with the collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually and store 1.5 million tons. We do not take possession of coal but instead derive our revenue by providing coal handling and blending services to our customers on a per ton basis. Our coal blending and handling services are provided to steel, coke (including some of our domestic cokemaking facilities) and electric utility customers.

### Coal Mining Operations

Our underground metallurgical coal mining operations are located near our Jewell cokemaking facility. Coal mining production was 1.3 million tons in 2013. As of December 31, 2013, including the Harold Keene Coal Companies ("HKCC") and our contract surface mining agreement with Revelation Energy, LLC ("Revelation"), our mining operations consisted of nine active underground mines, one active surface mines and one active highwall mine as well as three preparation plants and three load-out facilities in Russell and Buchanan Counties, Virginia and McDowell County, West Virginia. Our coal mining operations have historically produced coal that possesses highly desirable

coking properties: mid-volatile and low sulfur and ash content. Historically, substantially all of our mined coal has been used internally at our nearby Jewell cokemaking facility or at our other domestic cokemaking facilities. The acquisition of the HKCC Companies has the ability to produce between 250 thousand and 300 thousand tons of coal production annually, with the potential to expand production in the future. HKCC has approximately 20 million tons of proven and probable coal reserves located in Russell and Buchanan Counties in Virginia, contiguous to our existing metallurgical coal mining operations. The operations of our HKCC Companies produce high volatile A and high volatile B metallurgical coals, which can be blended with the mid-volatile coal produced by our existing coal mining operations, and high quality steam coal.

In 2011, we engaged Marshall Miller & Associates, Inc., a leading mining engineering firm, to conduct a comprehensive study to determine our proven and probable reserves for our coal mines. This study determined that we control

Table of Contents

proven and probable coal reserves of approximately 114 million tons as of December 31, 2011. Throughout 2013 and 2012, we mined approximately 3 million tons of coal from these proven and probable reserves and at December 31, 2013 we control proven and probable coal reserves of approximately 111 million tons. Without the addition of more coal reserves, we expect that our current reserves will sustain production levels through 2062.

The majority of our reserves consist of coal seams ranging in thickness from two feet to four and a half feet, with the mining height ranging from three and a half feet to six feet. As a result of these relatively “thin” seams, all of our underground mines are operated via the “room and pillar” method and employ continuous mining equipment. We control a significant portion of our coal reserves through private leases. Substantially all of the leases are “life of mine” agreements that extend our mining rights until all reserves have been recovered. These leases convey mining rights to us in exchange for royalties and/or fixed fee payments.

All of the raw coal produced at our Jewell coal mines is trucked to the central preparation plant. The trucking distance to the preparation plant varies by mine but averages approximately 20 miles. The raw coal is then processed through the 800 ton-per-hour preparation plant before it is shipped to our customers via rail, or transported to our adjacent Jewell cokemaking facility via conveyor. The rail loadout facility can load approximately 5,000 tons of coal per day. Most steelmakers require the blending of multiple metallurgical coals, up to eight or more in some cases, to meet coke quality requirements and avoid overexpansion of the coal blend in their coke ovens. Coal expansion can exert pressure on by-product coke ovens causing wall cracking or catastrophic failures. However, our coal can be used as a single coal blend to make high quality coke. When heated, our coal contracts and therefore does not place pressure on coke battery walls. Our coal also possesses other favorable properties generally preferred by customers. Although sulfur content can vary by seam, the average sulfur content of our coal varies between 0.7 percent and 1.0 percent. The ash content in our coal averages between 5.0 percent and 9.5 percent, and the volatile content of our coal ranges between 22 percent and 25 percent. The metallurgical coal produced from our venture with Revelation, has similar quality characteristics. Most of the high volatile A and high volatile B metallurgical coals of the HKCC Companies can be blended with the mid-volatile coal produced by our existing coal mining operations, sold to other companies for blending purposes or marketed as a premium utility coal.

Revenues from our Coal Mining operations are currently generated largely from sales of coal to our Jewell cokemaking facility for conversion into coke. Some coal is also sold to our other domestic cokemaking facilities. In 2013, 63 percent of the coal we sold was used at our Jewell cokemaking facility and 8 percent was used at our other domestic cokemaking facilities. In 2012, 69 percent of the coal we sold was used at our Jewell cokemaking facility and 8 percent was used at our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Coal sales to third parties have historically been limited, but have increased in recent years as a result of the HKCC acquisition and were 29 percent and 23 percent of coal sold in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Intersegment coal revenues for sales to our Domestic Coke segments are based on prices that third parties, or coke customers of our Domestic Coke segment, have agreed to pay for our coal and approximate the market price for the applicable quality of metallurgical coal. Most of the coal sales to these third parties and facilities are under contracts with one year terms, and, as a result, coal revenues lag the market for spot coal prices.

In June 2011, we entered into a series of coal transactions with Revelation. Under a contract mining agreement, Revelation will mine approximately 1.2 million tons of coal reserves at our Jewell coal mining operations of which 750 thousand tons is included in our current proven and probable reserve estimate as of December 31, 2013. Mining began in the first quarter of 2012, resulting in approximately 270 thousand tons and 180 thousand tons of production in 2013 and 2012, respectively, which was lower than expected as a result of permitting delays for a portion of the reserves. We expect the remaining tons to be mined between 2014 and 2015 and anticipate 60 percent of production to be mid-volatile metallurgical coal and 40 percent to be thermal coal.

Coal market conditions continued to deteriorate throughout 2013 and are expected to remain weak in 2014. We have and will continue to take several actions to reduce costs and increase productivity including idling certain high-cost mines; consolidating our labor force and equipment into more productive, lower cost mines; relocating mine sections in our largest mine and implementing deep cut mining plans as permits are received. Coal mining production was 1.3 million tons in 2013 and we expect production to remain consistent in 2014. In the fourth quarter of 2013, we negotiated coal sale contracts for 2014 and expect average sales prices in our coal mining segment to decrease by

approximately \$15 to \$20 per ton. As a result of these challenges, we expect Adjusted EBITDA losses for our coal mining segment to range from \$20 million to \$30 million in 2014. While we will continue to drive productivity to mitigate the impacts of market factors, we are evaluating our strategic options for this business. We are considering a number of factors including the supply of coal on a cost-effective and reliable basis to our Jewell cokemaking facility, the ability to make the coal business more competitive via potential structures and business combinations, as well as the price and structure of a potential transaction.

## Table of Contents

### Seasonality

Our revenues in our cokemaking business are tied to long-term take-or-pay contracts and as such, are not seasonal. However, our profitability is tied to coal-to-coke yields, which improve in drier weather. Accordingly, the coal-to coke yield component of our profitability tends to be more favorable in the third quarter.

### Raw Materials

Metallurgical coal is the principal raw material for our cokemaking operations. Except for our Jewell cokemaking facility, where we internally supply substantially all of the metallurgical coal from our coal mining operations, most of the metallurgical coal used to produce coke at our domestic cokemaking facilities is purchased from third parties. We believe there is an ample supply of metallurgical coal available in the U.S. and worldwide, and we have been able to supply coal to our domestic cokemaking facilities without any significant disruption in coke production.

Each ton of coke produced at our facilities requires approximately 1.4 tons of metallurgical coal. We purchased 5.1 million tons of metallurgical coal in both 2013 and 2012. Additionally, our Coal Mining segment mined 1.3 million tons and purchased 0.3 million tons, of which 1.1 million tons were used by our Domestic Coke segment and 0.5 million tons were sold to third parties.

Coal from third parties is generally purchased on an annual basis via one-year contracts with costs passed through to our customers in accordance with the applicable coke sales agreements. Occasionally, shortfalls in deliveries by coal suppliers require us to procure supplemental coal volumes. As with typical annual purchases, the cost of these supplemental purchases is also passed through to our customers. Most coal procurement decisions are made through a coal committee structure with customer participation. The customer can generally exercise an overriding vote on most coal procurement decisions.

While we generally pass coal costs through to our coke customers, all of our contracts include some form of coal-to-coke yield standard. To the extent that our actual yields are less than the standard in the contract, we are at risk for the cost of the excess coal used in the cokemaking process. Conversely, to the extent actual yields are higher than contractual standards we are able to realize higher margins.

### Transportation and Freight

For inbound transportation of coal purchases, our facilities that access a single rail provider have long-term transportation agreements, and where necessary, coal-blending agreements that run concurrently with the associated coke sales agreement for the facility. At facilities with multiple transportation options, including rail and barge, we enter into short-term transportation contracts from year to year. For coke sales, the point of delivery varies by agreement and facility. The point of delivery for coke sales to subsidiaries of ArcelorMittal from our Jewell and Haverhill cokemaking facilities is generally designated by the customer and shipments are made by railcar under long-term transportation agreements held by us. All delivery costs are passed through to the customers. Sales to AK Steel from our Haverhill cokemaking facility are made with the customer arranging for transportation. At our Middletown, Indiana Harbor and Granite City cokemaking facilities, coke is delivered primarily by a conveyor belt leading to the customer's blast furnace. External transportation and freight costs are not material to our Coal Mining segment. All transportation and freight costs in our Coal Logistics segment are paid by the customer directly to the transportation provider.

### Research and Development and Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

Our research and development program seeks to develop promising new cokemaking technologies and improve our heat recovery processes. Over the years, this program has produced numerous patents related to our heat recovery coking design and operation, including patents for pollution control systems, oven pushing and charging mechanisms, oven flue gas control mechanisms and various others.

At Indiana Harbor and Vitória, Brazil, where we do not own 100 percent of the entity owning the cokemaking facility, we have licensing agreements in place for the entity's use of our technology. At Indiana Harbor, we receive no payment for the licensing rights. At Vitória, we receive a licensing fee that is payable in conjunction with the operation of the facility. With the issuance two Brazilian patents in the past year, we expect the Brazilian licensing agreement to continue through at least 2022. At VISA SunCoke, our joint venture with VISA Steel in India, our technology is not currently in use, but the parties have agreed to enter a license agreement should our technology be used in the future. Moving forward, and especially in international markets, we may develop projects under similar

structures where we do not own 100 percent of the facility but operate the facility and license our technology in exchange for fees.

In conjunction with the formation of our Partnership, we are party to an omnibus agreement which grants the Partnership a royalty-free license to use the name “SunCoke” and related marks. Additionally, the omnibus agreement grants the Partnership a non-exclusive right to use all of our current and future cokemaking and related technology necessary to their operations.



## Table of Contents

### Competition

#### Cokemaking

The cokemaking business is highly competitive. Most of the world's coke production capacity is owned by blast furnace steel companies utilizing by-product coke oven technology. The international merchant coke market is largely supplied by Chinese, Indian, Colombian and Ukrainian producers among others.

Current production from our domestic cokemaking business and Brazil is largely committed under long-term contracts. As a result, competition mainly affects our ability to obtain new contracts supporting development of additional cokemaking capacity as well as the sale of coke in the spot market, both in the U.S. and internationally. Our India joint venture sells approximately one-third of its coke production and all of its steam production to VISA Steel with the remainder of the coke production being sold in the spot market. The principal competitive factors affecting our cokemaking business include coke quality and price, technology, reliability of supply, proximity to market, access to metallurgical coals and environmental performance. Competitors include by-product coke oven engineering and construction companies, as well as merchant coke producers. Specifically, Chinese and Indian companies have designed and built heat recovery facilities in China, India and Brazil for local steelmakers. Some of these design firms operate only on a local or regional basis while others, such as certain Chinese, German and Italian design companies, operate globally.

There are also technologies being developed or in the process of commercialization that seek to produce carbonaceous substitutes for coke in the blast furnace. We monitor the development of competing technologies, and it is unclear to us at this time whether these technologies will be successful in commercialization. We also monitor competing technologies, such as DRI, which is an alternative method of ironmaking used today in conventional blast furnaces and electric arc furnaces. These technologies compete indirectly with our cokemaking business and directly with our entry into the ferrous market.

We believe we are well-positioned to compete with other coke producers since our proven, industry-leading technology with many proprietary features allows us to construct cokemaking facilities that, when compared to other proven technologies, produce consistently higher quality coke and produce ratable quantities of heat that can be utilized as industrial grade steam or converted into electrical power.

#### Coal Logistics

The coal blending and handling service market is highly competitive in the geographic area of our operations. Our competitors are generally located within 100 miles of our operations on the Ohio, Big Sandy, or Kanawha Rivers or on the CSX or Norfolk Southern rail lines. The principal competitive factors affecting our coal logistics business include proximity to the source of coal as well as the nature and price of our services provided. We believe we are well-positioned to compete with other coal blending and handling terminal service providers. Our largest terminal has state-of-the-art blending capabilities with fully automated and computer controlled blending that blends coal to within two percent accuracy of customer specifications. We also have the ability to provide pad storage and have access to both CSX and Norfolk Southern rail lines as well as the Ohio River system.

#### Coal Mining

During the last several years, the U.S. coal industry has experienced increased consolidation. Many of our competitors in the domestic coal industry have significantly greater financial resources than we do. Intense competition among coal producers may impact our ability to retain or attract customers and adversely affect our future revenues and profitability.

Domestic demand for, and the price of our coal, depends primarily upon metallurgical coal consumption patterns of the domestic steel industry. Metallurgical coal prices are also impacted by global supply and demand factors. The economic stability of the domestic steel industry has a significant effect on the demand for metallurgical coal and the level of competition among metallurgical coal producers. Instability in the domestic steel industry or a reduction in global demand, resulting in a decline in the metallurgical coal market, could materially and adversely affect our future revenues and profitability. The principal competitive factors affecting our coal business include price, coal quality and characteristics, reliability of supply and transportation cost.

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 1,344 employees in the U.S. Approximately 25 percent of our domestic employees, principally at our cokemaking operations, are represented by the United Steelworkers under various contracts. Additionally, approximately 2 percent of our domestic employees are represented by the International Union of Operating Engineers. The labor agreement at our Granite City cokemaking facility expires August 31, 2014. We are currently working on extending the agreement and do not anticipate any work stoppages. As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 233 employees at the cokemaking facility in Vitória, Brazil, all of whom are represented by a union under an agreement that expires on October 31, 2014.

## Table of Contents

### Legal and Regulatory Requirements

The following discussion summarizes the principal legal and regulatory requirements that we believe may significantly affect us.

#### Permitting and Bonding

**Permitting Process for Coal Mining Operations.** The U.S. coal mining permit application process is initiated by collecting baseline data to adequately characterize, assess and model the pre-mine environmental condition of the permit area, including geologic data, soil and rock structures, cultural resources, soils, surface and ground water hydrology, and coal that we intend to mine. We use all of this data to develop a mine and reclamation plan, which incorporates the provisions of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (“SMCRA”), state programs and complementary environmental programs that impact coal mining. The permit application includes the mine and reclamation plan, documents defining ownership and agreements pertaining to coal, minerals, oil and gas, water rights, rights of way and surface land and documents required by the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement’s (“OSM’s”) Applicant Violator System. Once a permit application is submitted to the regulatory agency, it goes through a completeness and technical review before a public notice and comment period. Some SMCRA mine permits take over a year to prepare, depending on the size and complexity of the mine, and often take six months to two years to be issued. Regulatory authorities have considerable discretion in the timing of the permit issuance and the public has the right to comment on and otherwise engage in the permitting process, including through public hearings and intervention in the courts.

**Bonding Requirements for Coal Mining Operations Permits.** Before a SMCRA permit is issued, a mine operator must submit a bond or other form of financial security to guarantee the payment and performance of certain long-term mine closure and reclamation obligations. The costs of these bonds or other forms of financial security have fluctuated in recent years and the market terms of surety bonds generally have become more unfavorable to mine operators. Surety providers are requiring greater amounts of collateral to secure a bond, which has required us to provide increasing quantities of cash to collateralize bonds or other forms of financial security to allow us to continue mining. These changes in the terms of the bonds have been accompanied, at times, by a decrease in the number of companies willing to issue surety bonds. As of December 31, 2013, we have posted an aggregate of approximately \$42.4 million in surety bonds or other forms of financial security for reclamation purposes.

**Permitting Process for Cokemaking Facilities.** The permitting process for our cokemaking facilities is administered by the individual states. However, the main requirements for obtaining environmental construction and operating permits are found in the federal regulations. If all requirements are satisfied, a state or local agency produces an initial draft permit. Generally, the facility is allowed to review and comment on the initial draft. After accepting or rejecting the facility’s comments, the agency typically publishes a notice regarding the issuance of the draft permit in a local newspaper or on the internet and makes the permit and supporting documents available for public review and comment. Generally, a public hearing will be scheduled if the project is considered controversial. The EPA also has the opportunity to comment on the draft permit. The state or local agency responds to comments on the draft permit and may make revisions before a final construction permit is issued. A construction permit allows construction and commencement of operations of the facility and is generally valid for 18 months. Generally, construction must commence during this period, while some states allow this period to be extended in certain situations.

**Air quality.** Facilities that are major emitters of hazardous air pollutants must employ Maximum Available Control Technology (“MACT”) standards. Specific MACT standards apply to door leaks, charging, oven pressure, pushing and quenching. Certain MACT standards for new cokemaking facilities were developed using test data from our Jewell cokemaking facility located in Vansant, Virginia. Under applicable federal air quality regulations, permitting requirements differ, depending upon whether the cokemaking facility will be located in an “attainment” area—i.e., one that meets the national ambient air quality standards (“NAAQS”) for certain pollutants, or in a “non-attainment” area: In an attainment area, the facility must install air pollution control equipment or employ Best Available Control Technology (“BACT”). The facility must demonstrate, using air dispersion modeling, that the area will still meet NAAQS after the facility is constructed. An “additional impacts analysis” must be performed to evaluate the effect of the new facility on air, ground and water pollution.

In a non-attainment area, the facility must install air pollution control equipment or employ procedures that meet Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (“LAER”) standards. LAER standards are the most stringent emission limitation achieved in practice by existing facilities. Unlike the BACT analysis, cost is

## Table of Contents

generally not considered as part of a LAER analysis, and emissions in a non-attainment area must be offset by emission reductions obtained from other sources.

Two new and more stringent NAAQS for ambient nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide went into effect in 2010. In 2012, a new and more stringent NAAQS for fine particulate matter, or PM 2.5, went into effect. These new standards have two impacts on permitting: (1) demonstrating compliance using dispersion modeling from a new facility will be more difficult and (2) additional areas of the country will become non-attainment areas.

In September 2011, the EPA withdrew reconsideration of a new, lower NAAQS for ground level ozone promulgated in March 2008. Based on this decision, under the Clean Air Act, the EPA will be required to review and potentially issue a new NAAQS for ground level ozone. Designation of new non-attainment areas for the revised ozone NAAQS may result in additional federal and state regulatory actions that could impact our operations and the operations of our customers and increase the cost of additions to property, plant and equipment.

The EPA finalized a new rule in 2010 requiring a new facility that is a major source of greenhouse gases (“GHGs”) to install equipment or employ BACT procedures. Currently, there is little information on what may be acceptable as BACT to control GHGs, but the database and additional guidance may be enhanced in the future.

Several states have additional requirements and standards other than those in the federal statutes and regulations. Many states have lists of “air toxics” with emission limitations determined by dispersion modeling. States also often have specific regulations that deal with visible emissions, odors and nuisance. In some cases, the state delegates some or all of these functions to local agencies.

Wastewater and Stormwater. Our heat recovery cokemaking technology does not produce process wastewater as is typically associated with by-product cokemaking. Our cokemaking facilities, in some cases, have wastewater discharge and stormwater permits.

Waste. The primary solid waste product from our heat recovery cokemaking technology is calcium sulfate from the flue gas desulfurization operation, which is generally taken to a solid waste landfill. The material from periodic cleaning of heat recovery steam generators is disposed of as hazardous waste. On the whole, our heat recovery cokemaking process does not generate substantial quantities of hazardous waste.

U.S. Endangered Species Act. The U.S. Endangered Species Act and certain counterpart state regulations are intended to protect species whose populations allow for categorization as either endangered or threatened. With respect to permitting additional cokemaking facilities, protection of endangered or threatened species may have the effect of prohibiting, limiting the extent of or placing permitting conditions on soil removal, road building and other activities in areas containing the associated species. Based on the species that have been identified on our properties and the current application of these laws and regulations, we do not believe that they are likely to have a material adverse effect on our operations.

### Regulation of Operations

Clean Air Act. The Clean Air Act and similar state laws and regulations affect our cokemaking operations, primarily through permitting and/or emissions control requirements relating to particulate matter (“PM”) and sulfur dioxide (“SO<sub>2</sub>”) control. The Clean Air Act air emissions programs that may affect our operations, directly or indirectly, include, but are not limited to: the Acid Rain Program; NAAQS implementation for SO<sub>2</sub>, PM and nitrogen oxides (“NO<sub>x</sub>”); GHG rules; the Clean Air Interstate Rule; MACT emissions limits for hazardous air pollutants; the Regional Haze Program; New Source Performance Standards (“NSPS”); and New Source Review. The Clean Air Act requires, among other things, the regulation of hazardous air pollutants through the development and promulgation of various industry-specific MACT standards. Our cokemaking facilities are subject to two categories of MACT standards. The first category applies to pushing and quenching. The EPA is required to make a risk-based determination for pushing and quenching emissions and determine whether additional emissions reductions are necessary for these processes. The EPA was supposed to do so by 2011, but the EPA has yet to publish or propose any residual risk standards from these operations; therefore, the impact cannot be estimated at this time. The second category of MACT standards applicable to our cokemaking facilities applies to emissions from charging and coke oven doors.

- Clean Water Act of 1972. The Clean Water Act (“CWA”) may affect our operations by requiring water quality standards generally and through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”). Regular monitoring, reporting requirements and performance standards are requirements of NPDES permits that govern



Table of Contents

the discharge of pollutants into water. Discharges must either meet state water quality standards or be authorized through available regulatory processes such as alternate standards or variances. Additionally, through the CWA Section 401 certification program, states have approval authority over federal permits or licenses that might result in a discharge to their waters.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. We may generate wastes, including “solid” wastes and “hazardous” wastes that are subject to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) and comparable state statutes, although certain mining and mineral beneficiation wastes and certain wastes derived from the combustion of coal currently are exempt from regulation as hazardous wastes under RCRA. The EPA has limited the disposal options for certain wastes that are designated as hazardous wastes under RCRA. Furthermore, it is possible that certain wastes generated by our operations that currently are exempt from regulation as hazardous wastes may in the future be designated as hazardous wastes, and therefore be subject to more rigorous and costly management, disposal and clean-up requirements.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), also known as Superfund, and similar state laws, responsibility for the entire cost of clean-up of a contaminated site, as well as natural resource damages, can be imposed upon current or former site owners or operators, or upon any party who released one or more designated “hazardous substances” at the site, regardless of the lawfulness of the original activities that led to the contamination. In the course of our operations we may have generated and may generate wastes that fall within CERCLA’s definition of hazardous substances. We also may be an owner or operator of facilities at which hazardous substances have been released by previous owners or operators. Under CERCLA, we may be responsible for all or part of the costs of cleaning up facilities at which such substances have been released and for natural resource damages. We also must comply with reporting requirements under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act.

Climate Change Legislation and Regulations. Our facilities are presently subject to the GHG reporting rule, which obligates us to report annual emissions of GHGs. EPA has issued a notice of finding and determination that emissions of carbon dioxide and other GHGs present an endangerment to human health and the environment, which allows the EPA to begin regulating emissions of GHGs under existing provisions of the Clean Air Act. However, EPA’s ability to regulate GHGs for stationary sources is being challenged and the case accepted by the U.S. Supreme Court for review. We may also be subject to EPA’s “Tailoring Rule,” where certain modifications to our facilities could subject us to the additional permitting and other obligations under the New Source Review/Prevention of Significant Deterioration (NSR/PSD) and Title V programs of the Clean Air Act based on a facility’s GHG emissions. Numerous other proposals for federal and state legislation have been made relating to GHG emissions, including the 2013 rule regarding new coal-fired power plants. While we do not anticipate new or existing power plant GHG rules or regulations to impact our facilities, the impact of any future GHG-related legislation and regulations on us will depend on a number of factors, including whether GHG sources in multiple sectors of the economy are regulated, the overall GHG emissions cap level, the degree to which GHG offsets are allowed, the allocation of emission allowances to specific sources and the indirect impact of carbon regulation on coal prices. We may not recover the costs related to compliance with regulatory requirements imposed on us from our customers due to limitations in our agreements. The imposition of a carbon tax or similar regulation could materially and adversely affect our revenues.

Mine Improvement and New Emergency Response Act of 2006. The Mine Improvement and New Emergency Response Act of 2006 (the “Miner Act”), has increased significantly the enforcement of safety and health standards and imposed safety and health standards on all aspects of mining operations. There also has been a dramatic increase in the dollar penalties assessed for citations issued.

Use of Explosives. Our limited surface mining operations are subject to numerous regulations relating to blasting activities. Pursuant to these regulations, we incur costs to design and implement blast schedules and to conduct pre-blast surveys and blast monitoring. In addition, the storage of explosives is subject to strict regulatory requirements established by four different federal regulatory agencies.

Reclamation and Remediation

Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977. The SMCRA established comprehensive operational, environmental, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of U.S. surface mining as well as many aspects of deep mining. Where state regulatory agencies have adopted federal mining programs under SMCRA, the state becomes the regulatory authority, and states that operate federally approved state programs may impose standards that are more stringent than the requirements of SMCRA. Permitting under SMCRA generally has become more difficult in recent years, which adversely affects the cost and availability of coal. The Abandoned Mine Land



## Table of Contents

Fund, which is part of SMCRA, assesses a fee on all coal produced in the U.S. From October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2012, the fee was \$0.315 per ton of surface-mined coal and \$0.135 per ton of underground mined coal. From October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2021, the fee has been reduced to \$0.28 per ton of surface-mined coal and \$0.12 per ton of underground mined coal. Our reclamation obligations under applicable environmental laws could be substantial. Under GAAP, we are required to account for the costs related to the closure of mines and the reclamation of the land upon exhaustion of coal reserves. The fair value of an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. At December 31, 2013, we had asset retirement obligation of \$10.6 million related to estimated mine reclamation costs. The amounts recorded are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates, and the assumed credit-adjusted interest rates. Our future operating results would be adversely affected if these accruals were determined to be insufficient. These obligations are unfunded. Further, although specific criteria varies from state to state as to what constitutes an “owner” or “controller” relationship, under SMCRA the responsibility for reclamation or remediation, unabated violations, unpaid civil penalties and unpaid reclamation fees of independent contract mine operators can be imputed to other companies which are deemed, according to the regulations, to have “owned” or “controlled” the contract mine operator. Sanctions are quite severe and can include being denied new permits, permit amendments, permit revisions and revocation or suspension of permits issued since the violation or penalty or fee due date.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), also known as Superfund, and similar state laws, responsibility for the entire cost of clean-up of a contaminated site, as well as natural resource damages, can be imposed upon current or former site owners or operators, or upon any party who released one or more designated “hazardous substances” at the site, regardless of the lawfulness of the original activities that led to the contamination. In the course of our operations we may have generated and may generate wastes that fall within CERCLA’s definition of hazardous substances. We also may be an owner or operator of facilities at which hazardous substances have been released by previous owners or operators. Under CERCLA, we may be responsible for all or part of the costs of cleaning up facilities at which such substances have been released and for natural resource damages. We also must comply with reporting requirements under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act.

### Other Regulatory Requirements

Black Lung Benefits Revenue Act of 1977 and Black Lung Benefits Reform Act of 1977, as amended in 1981. Under these laws, each U.S. coal mine operator must pay federal black lung benefits and medical expenses to claimants who are current and former employees and last worked for the operator after July 1, 1973. Coal mine operators also must make payments to a trust fund for the payment of benefits and medical expenses to claimants who last worked in the coal industry prior to July 1, 1973. The trust fund is funded by an excise tax on U.S. coal production of up to \$1.10 per ton for deep-mined coal and up to \$0.55 per ton for surface-mined coal, neither amount to exceed 4.4 percent of the gross sales price. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”), which was implemented in 2010, amended previous legislation and provides for the automatic extension of awarded lifetime benefits to surviving spouses and changes the legal criteria used to assess and award claims. Our obligation related to black lung benefits is estimated based on various assumptions, including actuarial estimates, discount rates, changes in health care costs and the impact of PPACA.

### Environmental Matters and Compliance

Our failure to comply with the aforementioned requirements may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of clean-up and site restoration costs and liens, the issuance of injunctions to limit or cease operations, the suspension or revocation of permits and other enforcement measures that could have the effect of limiting production from our operations. Please see Note 18 entitled “Commitments and Contingent Liabilities” to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements within this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the Notices of Violation (“NOVs”) issued by the EPA and state regulators for our Haverhill, Granite City, Middletown and Indiana Harbor cokemaking facilities.

Many other legal and administrative proceedings are pending or may be brought against us arising out of our current and past operations, including matters related to commercial and tax disputes, product liability, antitrust, employment claims, natural resource damage claims, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances and general environmental claims. Although the ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some of them could be resolved unfavorably to us. Management of the Company believes that any

Table of Contents

liability which may arise from such matters would not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company at December 31, 2013.

## Available Information

We make available free of charge on our website, [www.suncoke.com](http://www.suncoke.com), all materials that we file electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), including our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to such reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

Our executive officers and their ages as of February 28, 2014 were as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Frederick A. Henderson	55	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Michael J. Thomson	55	President and Chief Operating Officer
Denise R. Cade	51	Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer
Mark E. Newman	50	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Fay West	44	Vice President and Controller

Frederick A. Henderson. Mr. Henderson was elected as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in December 2010. He also served as a Senior Vice President of Sunoco (a petroleum refiner and chemicals manufacturer with interests in logistics) from September 2010 until our initial public offering in July 2011. In addition, Mr. Henderson was appointed Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC, the general partner of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., in July 2012. From February 2010 until September 2010, he was a consultant for General Motors LLC, and from March 2010 until August 2010, he was a consultant for AlixPartners LLC (a business consulting firm). He was President and Chief Executive Officer of General Motors (a global automotive company) from April 2009 until December 2009. He was President and Chief Operating Officer of General Motors from March 2008 until March 2009. He was Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of General Motors from January 2006 until February 2008. Mr. Henderson is a director of Compuware Corp. (a technology performance company), where he serves as chair of its Audit Committee and as a member of its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Henderson also joined the Board of Directors of Marriott International, Inc. (a hospitality services and hotel management company) in 2013 and serves as a member of its Audit Committee. Mr. Henderson is also a trustee of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

Michael J. Thomson. Mr. Thomson was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer, SunCoke Energy, Inc., in December 2010. In addition, Mr. Thomson was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer and named to the Board of Directors of SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC, the general partner of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., in July 2012. From May 2008 until December 2010, he served as President, SunCoke Technology and Development LLC. He was Vice President and Executive Vice President, SunCoke Technology and Development LLC from March 2007 to May 2008 and held the additional position of Chief Operating Officer of SunCoke Technology and Development LLC from January 2008 to May 2008. He also served as a Senior Vice President of Sunoco from May 2008 until our initial public offering in July 2011. He was President of PSEG Fossil LLC, a subsidiary of Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated (a diversified energy group), from August 2003 to February 2007.

Denise R. Cade. Ms. Cade was appointed Senior Vice President and General Counsel of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in March 2011 and was elected its Corporate Secretary in June 2011 and Chief Compliance Officer in July 2011. In addition, Ms. Cade was named Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary and appointed to the Board of Directors of SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC, the general partner of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., in July 2012. Prior to joining SunCoke Energy, Inc., Ms. Cade was with PPG Industries, Inc. (“PPG”) (a coatings and specialty products company) from March 2005 to March 2011. At PPG, she served as Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary from July 2009 until March 2011, as Corporate Counsel, Securities and Finance, from September 2007 until July 2009, and as Chief Mergers and Acquisition Counsel and General Counsel of the glass and fiber glass division from March 2005 until September 2007. Ms. Cade began her legal career in private practice in 1990, specializing in corporate and securities law matters and corporate transactions. She was a partner at Shaw Pittman

LLP in Washington, D.C. before her move to PPG.

Mark E. Newman. Mr. Newman was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in March 2011. In addition, Mr. Newman was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and appointed to the Board of Directors of SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC, the general partner of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., in July 2012. From May 2008 until February 2011, Mr. Newman was Vice President, Remarketing, Ally Financial, Inc. (an automotive financial services company) and managing director of SmartAuction (Ally Financial, Inc.'s online used vehicle auction).

Table of Contents

Mr. Newman was GM North America Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Vice Chairman, GMAC Bank, of GMAC Financial Services LLC (an automotive financial services company) from January 2007 until April 2008. He was GM North America Vice President and CFO of General Motors Corporation (a global automotive company) from February 2006 until December 2006 and was Assistant Treasurer and General Director of General Motors Corporation from August 2002 until January 2006. Mr. Newman was Vice President and CFO of Shanghai General Motors Ltd. from November 1999 until July 2002.

Fay West. Ms. West was appointed Vice President and Controller of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in February 2011. In addition, Ms. West was appointed Vice President and Controller of SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC, the general partner of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., in July 2012. Prior to joining SunCoke Energy, Inc., she was Assistant Controller at United Continental Holdings, Inc. (an airline holding company) from April 2010 to January 2011. She was Vice President, Accounting and Financial Reporting for PepsiAmericas, Inc. (a manufacturer and distributor of beverage products) from December 2006 through March 2010 and Director of Financial Reporting from December 2005 to December 2006. Ms. West worked at GATX Corporation from 1998 to 2005 in various accounting roles, including Vice President and Controller of GATX Rail Company from 2001 to 2005 and Assistant Controller of GATX Corporation from 2000 to 2001.

## Table of Contents

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the following risk factors should be considered in evaluating our business and future prospects. These risk factors represent what we believe to be the known material risk factors with respect to us and our business. Our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition are subject to these risks and uncertainties, any of which could cause actual results to vary materially from recent results or from anticipated future results.

#### Risks Inherent in Our Business and Industry

We are subject to extensive laws and regulations, which may increase our cost of doing business and have an adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

Our operations are subject to increasingly strict regulation by federal, state and local authorities with respect to: discharges of substances into the air and water; emissions of greenhouse gases, or GHG; management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes; cleanup of contaminated sites; protection of groundwater quality and availability; protection of plants and wildlife; reclamation and restoration of properties after completion of mining or drilling; installation of safety equipment in our facilities; control of surface subsidence from underground mining; and protection of employee health and safety. Complying with these requirements, including the terms of our permits, can be costly and time-consuming, and may delay commencement or hinder continuation of operations. In addition, these requirements are complex, change frequently and have become more stringent over time. These requirements may change in the future in a manner that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Failure to comply with these regulations or permits may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of cleanup and site restoration costs and liens, the issuance of injunctions to limit or cease operations, the suspension or revocation of permits and other enforcement measures that could limit or materially increase the cost of our operations. We may not have been, or may not be, at all times, in complete compliance with all of these requirements, and we may incur material costs or liabilities in connection with these requirements, or in connection with remediation at sites we own, or third-party sites where it has been alleged that we have liability, in excess of the amounts we have accrued. For a description of certain environmental laws and matters applicable to us, see “Item 1. Business-Legal and Regulatory Requirements.”

Adverse developments at our cokemaking, coal mining, and/or coal logistics operations, including equipment failures or deterioration of assets, may lead to production curtailments, shutdowns or additional expenditures, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our cokemaking, coal mining and coal logistics operations are subject to significant hazards and risks that include, but are not limited to, equipment malfunction, explosions, fires and the effects of severe weather conditions and extreme temperatures, any of which could result in production and transportation difficulties and disruptions, pollution, personal injury or wrongful death claims and other damage to our properties and the property of others.

Adverse developments at our cokemaking facilities could significantly disrupt our coke, steam and electricity production and our ability to supply coke, steam, and/or electricity to our customers. Adverse developments at our coal mining operations could significantly disrupt our ability to produce and distribute coal. Adverse developments at our coal logistics operations could significantly disrupt our ability to provide coal handling, blending, storage, terminalling, transloading and/or transportation services to our customers. Any sustained disruption at our cokemaking, coal mining and/or coal logistics operations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

There is a risk of mechanical failure of our equipment both in the normal course of operations and following unforeseen events. Our cokemaking, coal mining, and coal logistics operations depend upon critical pieces of equipment that occasionally may be out of service for scheduled upgrades or maintenance or as a result of unanticipated failures. Our facilities are subject to equipment failures and the risk of catastrophic loss due to unanticipated events such as fires, accidents or violent weather conditions or extreme temperatures. As a result, we may experience interruptions in our processing and production capabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In particular, to the extent a disruption leads to our failure to maintain the temperature inside our coke oven batteries, we would not be able to continue operation of such coke ovens, which could adversely affect our ability to meet our customers’ requirements for coke.

Assets and equipment critical to the operations of our cokemaking, coal mining and coal logistics operations also may deteriorate or become depleted materially sooner than we currently estimate. Such deterioration of assets may result in additional maintenance spending or additional capital expenditures. If these assets do not generate the amount of future cash flows that we expect, and we are not able to procure replacement assets in an economically feasible manner, our future results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We are required to perform impairment tests on our assets whenever events or changes in circumstances lead to a reduction of the estimated useful life or estimated future cash flows that would indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable or whenever management's plans change with respect to those assets. If we are required to incur impairment charges in the future, our results of operations in the period taken could be materially and adversely affected.

We may be unable to obtain, maintain or renew permits or leases necessary for our operations, which could materially reduce our production, cash flows or profitability.

Our cokemaking, coal mining, and coal logistics operations require us to obtain a number of permits that impose strict regulations on various environmental and operational matters. These include permits issued by various federal, state and local agencies and regulatory bodies. The permitting rules, and the interpretations of these rules, are complex, change frequently, and are often subject to discretionary interpretations by our regulators, all of which may make compliance more difficult or impractical, and may possibly preclude the continuance of ongoing operations or the development of future cokemaking, coal mining, and/or coal logistics facilities. Non-governmental organizations, environmental groups and individuals have certain statutory rights to engage in the permitting process, and may comment upon, or object to, the requested permits. Such persons also have the right to bring citizen's lawsuits to challenge the issuance of permits, or the validity of environmental impact statements related thereto. If any permits or leases are not issued or renewed in a timely fashion or at all, or if permits issued or renewed are conditioned in a manner that restricts our ability to efficiently and economically conduct our operations, our cash flows or profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

Our businesses are subject to inherent risks, some for which we maintain third-party insurance and some for which we self-insure. We may incur losses and be subject to liability claims that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We maintain insurance policies that provide limited coverage for some, but not all, potential risks and liabilities associated with our business. We may not obtain insurance if we believe the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the risks presented. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain insurance policies can increase substantially, and in some instances, certain insurance may become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. As a result, we may not be able to renew our existing insurance policies or procure other desirable insurance on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In addition, certain environmental and pollution risks generally are not fully insurable. Even where insurance coverage applies, insurers may contest their obligations to make payments. Our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected by losses and liabilities from un-insured or under-insured events, as well as by delays in the payment of insurance proceeds, or the failure by insurers to make payments.

We also may incur costs and liabilities resulting from claims for damages to property or injury to persons arising from our operations. We must compensate employees for work-related injuries. If we do not make adequate provision for our workers' compensation liabilities, or we are pursued for applicable sanctions, costs and liabilities, our operations and our profitability could be adversely affected.

Our operations could be disrupted if our information systems fail, causing increased expenses and loss of sales. Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

Our business is highly dependent on financial, accounting and other data processing systems and other communications and information systems, including our enterprise resource planning tools. We process a large number of transactions on a daily basis and rely upon the proper functioning of computer systems. If a key system was to fail or experience unscheduled downtime for any reason, even if only for a short period, our operations and financial results could be affected adversely. Our systems could be damaged or interrupted by a security breach, terrorist attack, fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure or similar event. We have a disaster recovery plan in place, but this plan may not entirely prevent delays or other complications that could arise from an information systems failure. Our business interruption insurance may not compensate us adequately for losses that may occur. In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data in our data centers and on our networks. Such data includes: intellectual property; our proprietary business information and that of our customers, suppliers



and business partners; and personally identifiable information of our employees. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, and regulatory penalties, disrupt our operations, and damage our reputation, and cause a loss of confidence in our products and services, which could seriously and adversely affect our business.

## Table of Contents

Our operating results have been and may continue to be affected by fluctuations in our costs of production, and, if we cannot pass increases in our costs of production to our customers, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected.

Over the course of the last two to three years, many of the components of our cost of produced coke and coal revenues, including cost of supplies, equipment and labor, have experienced significant price inflation, and such price inflation may continue in the future. Our coal mining operations, for example, require a reliable supply of mining and industrial equipment, replacement parts, fuel and steel-related products, including roof control and lubricants. The supplier base providing such mining materials and equipment has been relatively consistent in recent years, although there continues to be consolidation, resulting in a situation where purchases of certain underground mining equipment are concentrated in single suppliers. The price of such components is also highly volatile. Our profit margins may be reduced and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be adversely affected if the costs of production increase significantly and we cannot pass such increases in our costs of production to our customers. If we fail to maintain satisfactory labor relations, we may be adversely affected. Union represented labor creates an increased risk of work stoppages and higher labor costs.

We rely, at one or more of our facilities, on unionized labor, and there is always the possibility that the employing entity will be unable to reach agreement on terms and conditions of employment or renewal of a collective bargaining agreement. Any labor disputes, work stoppages, or increased labor costs could adversely affect operations, the stability of production and reduce our future revenues, or profitability. It is also possible that, in the future, additional employee groups may choose to be represented by a labor union.

We have obligations for long-term employee plan benefits that may involve expenses that are greater than we have assumed.

We are required to provide various long-term employee benefits to retired employees and current employees who will retire in the future. At December 31, 2013, these obligations included:

pension benefits of \$32.9 million; and

postretirement medical and life insurance of \$38.4 million.

We have estimated these obligations based on actuarial assumptions described in the notes to our financial statements. However, if our assumptions are inaccurate, we could be required to expend materially greater amounts than anticipated. At December 31, 2013, our pension plan was overfunded by 112%, while the post-retirement medical and life insurance obligations are unfunded. If we are required to expend materially greater amounts than anticipated, it could have a material and adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We currently are, and likely will be, subject to litigation, the disposition of which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

The nature of our operations exposes us to possible litigation claims in the future, including disputes relating to our operations and commercial and contractual arrangements. Although we make every effort to avoid litigation, these matters are not totally within our control. We will contest these matters vigorously and have made insurance claims where appropriate, but because of the uncertain nature of litigation and coverage decisions, we cannot predict the outcome of these matters. Litigation is very costly, and the costs associated with prosecuting and defending litigation matters could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and profitability. In addition, our profitability or cash flow in a particular period could be affected by an adverse ruling in any litigation currently pending in the courts or by litigation that may be filed against us in the future. We are also subject to significant environmental and other government regulation, which sometimes results in various administrative proceedings.

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under the senior notes and the credit facilities.

As of December 31, 2013, our total debt was approximately \$689.1 million, excluding \$147.9 million and \$109.3 million of unused commitments under the credit facilities at SunCoke and the Partnership, respectively. Additionally, the credit agreement provides for up to \$75.0 million in uncommitted incremental facilities that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, of which \$30.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

Subject to the limits contained in the credit agreement that governs the credit facilities (which term includes our new revolving credit facility, term loan and incremental facilities), the Indenture that governs the notes and our other debt

instruments, we may be able to incur substantial additional debt from time to time to finance working capital, capital expenditures, investments or acquisitions, or for other purposes. If we do so, the risks related to our high level of debt could intensify. Specifically, our high level of debt could have important consequences, including:

16

---

## Table of Contents

making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to the notes and our other debt;

- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general corporate requirements;
- requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows to be dedicated to debt service payments instead of other purposes, thereby reducing the amount of cash flows available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under the credit facilities, are at variable rates of interest;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in the industry in which we compete;
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage to other, less leveraged competitors; and
- increasing our cost of borrowing.

In addition, the indenture that governs the notes and the credit agreement governing our credit facilities contain restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interest. Our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all our debt.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Borrowings under the credit facilities are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness will increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash flows, including cash available for servicing our indebtedness, will correspondingly decrease. We have entered into and may in the future enter into additional interest rate swaps that involve the exchange of floating for fixed rate interest payments in order to reduce interest rate volatility. However, we may decide not to maintain interest rate swaps with respect to all of our variable rate indebtedness, and any swaps we enter into may not fully mitigate our interest rate risk.

Unfavorable economic conditions in the U. S. and globally, may cause a reduction in the demand for our products, which could adversely affect our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

Sustained volatility and disruption in worldwide capital and credit markets in the U.S. and globally could cause reduced demand for our products. Additionally, unfavorable economic conditions, including the potentially reduced availability of credit, may cause a reduction in the demand for steel products, which, in turn, could adversely affect demand for our products. Such conditions could have an adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

### Risks Related to Our Cokemaking Business

Our cokemaking business is subject to operating risks, some of which are beyond our control, that could result in a material increase in our operating expenses.

Factors beyond our control could disrupt our cokemaking operations, adversely affect our ability to service the needs of our customers, and increase our operating costs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Such factors could include:

- earthquakes, subsidence and unstable ground or other conditions that may cause damage to infrastructure or personnel;
- fire, explosion, or other major incident causing injury to personnel and/or equipment, resulting in all or part of the cokemaking operations at one of our facilities to cease, or be severely curtailed for a period of time;
- processing and plant equipment failures, operating hazards and unexpected maintenance problems affecting our cokemaking operations or our customers; and
- adverse weather and natural disasters, such as severe winds, heavy rains, snow, flooding, extremes of temperature, and other natural events affecting cokemaking operations, transportation, or our customers.

If any of these conditions or events occur, our cokemaking operations may be disrupted, operating costs could increase significantly, and we could incur substantial losses in this business segment. Disruptions in our cokemaking operations could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, or results of operations.



Table of Contents

We are exposed to the credit risk, and certain other risks, of our major customers, and any material nonpayment or nonperformance by our major customers, or the failure of our customers to continue to purchase coke from us at similar prices under similar arrangements, may have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

We are subject to the credit risk of our major customers. Our credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to fully eliminate customer credit risk. If we fail to adequately assess the creditworthiness of existing or future customers or unanticipated deterioration of their creditworthiness, any resulting increase in nonpayment or nonperformance by them could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

We are subject to the risk of loss resulting from nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers, whose operations are concentrated in a single industry, the steel industry. We sell coke to these customers pursuant to long-term take-or-pay agreements that require that our customers either purchase all of our coke production or a specified tonnage maximum greater than our stated capacity, as applicable, or pay the contract price for any such coke they elect not to accept. Our customers experience significant fluctuations in demand for steel products because of economic conditions, consumer demand, raw material and energy costs and decisions by the U.S. federal and state governments to fund or not fund infrastructure projects, such as highways, bridges, schools, energy plants, railroads and transportation facilities. During periods of weak demand for steel, our customers may experience significant reductions in their operations, or substantial declines in the prices of the steel they sell. These and other factors may lead some customers to seek renegotiation or cancellation of their existing long-term coke purchase commitments to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

If a substantial portion of our agreements to supply coke and electricity are modified or terminated, our results of operations may be adversely affected if we are not able to replace such agreements, or if we are not able to enter into new agreements at the same level of profitability.

We sell substantially all of our coke and electricity under long-term agreements. If a substantial portion of these agreements are modified or terminated or if force majeure is exercised, our results of operations may be adversely affected if we are not able to replace such agreements, or if we are not able to enter into new agreements at the same level of profitability. The profitability of our long-term coke and energy sales agreements depends on a variety of factors that vary from agreement to agreement and fluctuate during the agreement term. We may not be able to obtain long-term agreements at favorable prices, compared either to market conditions or to our cost structure. Price changes provided in long-term supply agreements may not reflect actual increases in production costs. As a result, such cost increases may reduce profit margins on our long-term coke and energy sales agreements. In addition, contractual provisions for adjustment or renegotiation of prices and other provisions may increase our exposure to short-term price volatility.

From time to time, we discuss the extension of existing agreements and enter into new long-term agreements for the supply of coke and energy to our customers, but these negotiations may not be successful and these customers may not continue to purchase coke or electricity from us under long-term agreements. If any one or more of these customers were to significantly reduce their purchases of coke or electricity from us, or if we were unable to sell coke or electricity to them on terms as favorable to us as the terms under our current agreements, our cash flows, financial position or results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

Further, because of certain technological design constraints, we do not have the ability to shut down our cokemaking operations if we do not have adequate customer demand. If a customer refuses to take or pay for our coke, we must continuously operate our coke ovens even though we may not be able to sell our coke immediately and may incur significant additional costs for natural gas to maintain the temperature inside our coke oven batteries, which may have a material and adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position or results of operations.

The financial performance of our cokemaking business is substantially dependent upon three customers in the steel industry, and any failure by them to perform under their contracts with us could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Substantially all of our domestic coke sales are currently made under long-term contracts with ArcelorMittal, U.S. Steel and AK Steel. For the year ended December 31, 2013, ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel accounted for approximately 51 percent, 30 percent and 17 percent of our sales and other operating revenue, respectively. We expect

these three customers to continue to account for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. If any one or more of these customers were to significantly reduce its purchases of coke from us, or default on their agreements with us, or fail to renew or terminate its agreements with us, or if we were unable to sell coke to any one or more of these customers on terms as favorable to us as the terms under our current agreements, our cash flows, financial position and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

18

---

## Table of Contents

The coke sales agreement and the energy sales agreement with AK Steel at our Haverhill facility are subject to early termination under certain circumstances and any such termination could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and therefore our ability to distribute cash to unitholders.

The coke sales agreement and the energy sales agreement with AK Steel at Haverhill 2, or the Haverhill AK Steel Contracts, are subject to early termination by AK Steel under certain circumstances and any such termination could have a material adverse effect on our business. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Haverhill AK Steel Contracts accounted for approximately \$197.0 million, or 12 percent, of our total revenues. The Haverhill coke sales agreement with AK Steel expires on January 1, 2022, with two automatic, successive five-year renewal periods. The Haverhill energy sales agreement with AK Steel runs concurrently with the term of the coke sales agreement, including any renewals, and automatically terminates upon the termination of the related coke sales agreement. The coke sales agreement may be terminated by AK Steel at any time on or after January 1, 2014 upon two years prior written notice if AK Steel (i) permanently shuts down iron production operations at its steel plant works in Ashland, Kentucky, or the Ashland Plant; and (ii) has not acquired or begun construction of a new blast furnace in the U.S. to replace, in whole or in part, the Ashland Plant's iron production capacity. If such termination occurs at any time prior to January 1, 2018, AK Steel will be required to pay a significant termination fee.

If AK Steel were to terminate the Haverhill AK Steel Contracts, we may be unable to enter into similar long-term contracts with replacement customers for all or any portion of the coke previously purchased by AK Steel. Similarly, we may be forced to sell some or all of the previously contracted coke in the spot market, which could be at prices lower than we have currently contracted for and could subject us to significant price volatility. If AK Steel elects to terminate the Haverhill AK Steel Contracts, our cash flows, financial position and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We may not be able to successfully implement our international growth strategy and develop, design, construct, start up and operate new, or make investments in existing, cokemaking facilities outside of North America.

A central element of our growth strategy involves the international expansion of our business. We expanded our cokemaking business internationally in 2007 through our development and operation of our customer's cokemaking facility in Vitória, Brazil. In 2013, we further expanded our business internationally by forming a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited ("VISA Steel") in India.

In the event we make additional investments in entities that own and operate existing cokemaking facilities, or form joint ventures or other similar arrangements, we must pay close attention to the organizational formalities and time-consuming procedures for sharing information and making decisions. We would share ownership and management with other parties who may not have the same goals, strategies, priorities, or resources as we do. The benefits from a successful investment in an existing entity or joint venture will be shared among the co-owners, so we will not receive the exclusive benefits from a successful investment. Additionally, if a co-owner changes, our relationship may be materially and adversely affected.

Our ability to expand internationally by entering into additional arrangements in non-U.S. markets and to successfully implement our international growth strategy is subject to a variety of risks, including, but not limited to:

- certain acquisition and investment opportunities may not result in the consummation of a transaction;
- we may not be able to obtain acceptable terms for any required financing for any such acquisition or investment that arises;
- incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of investments or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of our investments;
- failing to successfully and timely integrate the operations or management of any investments in non-U.S. markets and the risk of diverting management's attention from existing operations or other priorities;
- the possibility of negative developments in the demand for steel in non-U.S. markets;
- the difficulty or costs associated with complying with industry guidelines or laws or regulations of non-U.S. markets;
- the possibility that language and other cultural differences may inhibit our development and operations efforts and create internal communication problems among our U.S. and non-U.S. teams, increasing the difficulty of managing multiple, remote locations performing various development and quality assurance projects;
- compliance with non-U.S. laws that may be unfamiliar to our management and employees;



currency risk due to the fact that our revenues and expenses for our international operations may be denominated in different currencies; and  
economic or political instability or legal restrictions could affect our ability to efficiently invest and repatriate our capital from the local country.

Table of Contents

If we are not able to successfully execute our plans for international development and expansion of our cokemaking operations, as a result of unfavorable market conditions in the steel industry or otherwise, our future revenues and profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

We are exposed to specific risks inherent in doing business in countries other than the U.S., which risks could adversely affect our results of operations and profitability.

Our foreign operations expose us to several risks that are beyond our control, including, among other things, political and economic instability within the host country; foreign government regulations that favor or require the awarding of contracts to local competitors; difficulty recruiting and retaining management of our overseas operations; difficulties in collecting accounts receivable and longer collection periods; changing taxation policies; fluctuations in currency exchange rates; revaluations, devaluations and restrictions on repatriation of currency; and import/export quotas and restrictions or other trade barriers.

In India, specifically, iron ore production has declined during the past three years due to mining and export restrictions imposed by the Indian government in order to curb illegal mining and conserve mineral reserves. However, the government did not ensure iron ore availability to many steel mills in India, which were dependent on the banned mines. The resultant iron ore scarcity in the state of Odisha, where our cokemaking facilities are located, severely affected Indian steel makers such as our joint venture partner, VISA Steel, that do not have captive mines. Such regulation has had, and other similar regulation in the future could have, a significant and adverse effect on the profitability of our Indian joint venture.

The Indian steelmaking industry is dependent on imported coking coal, since India has very low reserves of prime coking coal. This has led to a dependence upon expensive imports from countries like Australia. VISA SunCoke Limited, our cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel in India is dependent on coking coal to support its operations. However, logistics issues, such as port congestion in Australia and lack of other good quality options for sourcing coking coal, is a prime cause of concern. If we are unable to secure adequate supplies of coking coal at reasonable prices, the results of operations of our Indian joint venture could be adversely affected.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could significantly and adversely affect results of operations or financial condition.

Our operations outside the U.S. have transactions and balances denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, including the Indian rupee and the Brazilian real, among others. Because our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and are reported in U.S. dollars, we translate revenues, expenses and balance sheet accounts of our foreign operations into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect during or at the end of each reporting period. Currency exchange rates are influenced by local inflation, growth, interest rates, governmental actions and other events and circumstances beyond our control.

Increases or decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar against these other currencies will affect our net operating revenues, operating income and the value of balance sheet items denominated in such foreign currencies.

Our India Coke business segment purchases metallurgical coal to be used in the production of coke. Since these purchases of coal are denominated in U.S. dollars, while the functional currency of this business segment is the Indian rupee, such transactions are subject to foreign currency risk. In addition, unexpected and dramatic fluctuations in currency exchange rates, such as the recent deterioration in value of the Indian rupee, could materially and adversely affect the value of our earnings from our India Coke business segment. Although our India Coke business segment uses derivative financial instruments to hedge currency fluctuations for anticipated purchases of coal used in the production of coke, we cannot assure you that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Indian rupee, or other currencies, would not materially affect our financial results.

Income from operation of the Vitória, Brazil cokemaking facility may be affected by global and regional economic and political factors and the policies and actions of the Brazilian government.

The Vitória cokemaking facility is owned by a project company controlled by a Brazilian affiliate of ArcelorMittal. We earn income from the Vitória, Brazil operations through licensing and operating fees earned at the Brazilian cokemaking facility payable to us under long-term agreements with the project company and an annual preferred dividend from the project company guaranteed by the Brazilian affiliate of ArcelorMittal. These revenues depend on

continuing operations and, in some cases, certain minimum production levels being achieved at the Vitória cokemaking facility. In the past, the Brazilian economy was characterized by frequent and occasionally extensive intervention by the Brazilian government and unstable economic cycles. The Brazilian government has changed in the past, and may change monetary, taxation, credit, tariff and other policies to influence Brazil's economy in the future. If the operations at Vitória cokemaking facility are interrupted or if certain minimum production levels are not achieved, we will not be able to earn the same licensing and operating fees as we are currently earning, which could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

## Table of Contents

The Brazilian licensing agreement for certain of our Brazilian patents used at the Vitoria cokemaking facility may terminate if we are not able to maintain or supplement the patents subject to the licensing agreement, which may have an adverse effect on our future revenues and profitability.

We collect fees in connection with the licensing of certain of our Brazilian patents at the Vitoria cokemaking facility pursuant to a Brazilian licensing agreement, with a term that currently runs through May 2014 when the last patent listed in the agreement expires. In the past year, the Brazilian Patent Office has issued two additional patents which, once added to the license agreement, will extend the term of the agreement through at least 2022. Any amendment to the license agreement requires approval by the Brazilian Patent Office. If the Patent Office does not approve the amendment to add the new patents by the time the current agreement expires, we will not be able to collect licensing fees until we obtain such approval. Additionally, the validity of the patents included in the current agreement is being challenged in Brazil. If the challenge is successful prior to obtaining approval from the Patent Office to add the new patents, we will no longer have any technology licensed under any applicable licensing agreement and will no longer receive any licensing fees. The loss of these licensing fees would adversely affect our results of operations. We recorded licensing fees of \$3.2 million, \$4.4 million, and \$5.2 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The failure to consummate or integrate business relationships or other transactions with respect to existing cokemaking facilities in the U.S. and Canada in a timely and cost-effective manner, and operational challenges associated with operating any such cokemaking facility, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are exploring opportunities to enter into business relationships or other transactions with respect to existing cokemaking facilities in order to opportunistically capture market share in the U.S. and Canada. We believe that such opportunities may arise from time to time, and any such transaction could be significant. Any transaction could involve the payment by us of a substantial amount of cash, the incurrence of a substantial amount of debt or the issuance of a substantial amount of equity. Certain opportunities may not result in the consummation of a transaction. In addition, we may not be able to obtain acceptable terms for the required financing for any such transaction that arises. Our future business relationships or other transactions with respect to existing cokemaking facilities could present a number of risks, including the risk of incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of such operations or assets or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of entering into a transaction with respect to such operations or assets, the risk of failing to successfully and timely integrate the operations or management of any such operations or assets and the risk of diverting management's attention from existing operations or other priorities. If we fail to consummate and integrate any transaction in a timely and cost-effective manner, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, existing cokemaking facilities in the U.S. and Canada typically utilize by-product cokemaking.

By-product cokemaking seeks to recover the coal's volatile components liberated during the cokemaking process and re-purpose these components into by-products for other uses. Our cokemaking ovens utilize heat recovery technology, which is fundamentally different from the by-product method. If we are not able to successfully operate any by-product cokemaking facility that we may enter into a business relationship or other transaction with, as a result of challenges associated with operating a facility utilizing a different technology or otherwise, our future revenues and profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

Excess capacity in the global steel industry, including in China, may weaken demand for steel produced by our U.S. steel industry customers, which, in turn, may reduce demand for our coke.

In some countries, such as China, steelmaking capacity exceeds demand for steel products. Rather than reducing employment by matching production capacity to consumption, steel manufacturers in these countries (often with local government assistance or subsidies in various forms) may export steel at prices that are significantly below their home market prices and that may not reflect their costs of production or capital. The availability of this steel at such prices may negatively affect our steelmaking customers, who may not be able to increase and may have to decrease, the prices that they charge for steel as the supply of steel increases. Our customers may also reduce their steel output in response to this increased supply, which would correspondingly reduce their demand for coke and make it more likely that they may seek to renegotiate their contracts with us or fail to pay for the coke they are required to take under our contracts. As a result, the profitability and financial position of our steelmaking customers may be adversely affected,

which in turn, could adversely affect the certainty of our long-term relationships with those customers, as well as our ability to sell excess capacity in the spot market, and our own results of operations.

Increased exports of coke from producing countries may weaken our customers' demand for coke capacity.

Effective January 1, 2013, China, in response to pressure from the World Trade Organization, or WTO, eliminated its 40% tariff on the export of metallurgical coke. During 2013, this action resulted in significantly reduced prices and increased exports of Chinese coke in the international market. Competition from the increased availability and supply of Chinese coke exerted downward pressure on the pricing of coke sold by VISA SunCoke, our Indian joint venture.

Future increases in exports

Table of Contents

of coke from China and other producing countries, including Ukraine, may reduce our customers' demand for coke capacity, which could depress coke prices and limit our ability to enter into new, or renew existing, commercial arrangements with our customers, as well as our ability to sell excess capacity in the spot market, and could materially and adversely affect our future revenues and profitability.

We face increasing competition both from alternative steelmaking and cokemaking technologies that have the potential to reduce or completely eliminate the use of coke, which may reduce the demand for the coke we produce and which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Historically, coke has been used as a main input in the production of steel in blast furnaces. However, some blast furnace operators have reduced the amount of coke per ton of hot metal through alternative injectants, such as natural gas and pulverized coal, and the use of these coke substitutes could increase in the future, particularly in light of current low natural gas prices. Many steelmakers also are exploring alternatives to blast furnace technology that require less or no use of coke. For example, electric arc furnace technology is a commercially proven process widely used in the U.S. As these alternative processes for production of steel become more widespread, the demand for coke, including the coke we produce, may be significantly reduced, and this reduction could have a material and adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We also face competition from alternative cokemaking technologies, including both by-product and heat recovery technologies. As these technologies improve and as new technologies are developed, competition in the cokemaking industry may intensify.

Certain provisions in our long-term coke agreements may result in economic penalties to us, or may result in termination of our coke sales agreements for failure to meet minimum volume requirements or other required specifications, and certain provisions in these agreements and our energy sales agreements may permit our customers to suspend performance.

All of our coke sales agreements and our steam supply and purchase agreements contain provisions requiring us to supply minimum volumes of our products to our customers. To the extent we do not meet these minimum volumes, we are generally required under the terms of our coke sales agreements to procure replacement supply to our customers at the applicable contract price or potentially be subject to cover damages for any shortfall. If future shortfalls occur, we will work with our customer to identify possible other supply sources while we implement operating improvements at the facility, but we may not be successful in identifying alternative supplies and may be subject to paying the contract price for any shortfall or to cover damages, either of which could adversely affect our future revenues and profitability. Our coke sales agreements also contain provisions requiring us to deliver coke that meets certain quality thresholds. Failure to meet these specifications could result in economic penalties, including price adjustments, the rejection of deliveries or termination of our agreements.

Our coke and energy sales agreements contain force majeure provisions allowing temporary suspension of performance by our customers for the duration of specified events beyond the control of our customers. Declaration of force majeure, coupled with a lengthy suspension of performance under one or more coke or energy sales agreements, may seriously and adversely affect our cash flows, financial position and results of operations.

To the extent we do not meet coal-to-coke yield standards in our coke sales agreements, we are responsible for the cost of the excess coal used in the cokemaking process, which could adversely impact our results of operations and profitability.

Our ability to pass through our coal costs to our customers under our coke sales agreements is generally subject to our ability to meet some form of coal-to-coke yield standard. To the extent that we do not meet the yield standard in the contract, we are responsible for the cost of the excess coal used in the cokemaking process. We may not be able to meet the yield standards at all times, and as a result we may suffer lower margins on our coke sales and our results of operations and profitability could be adversely affected.

Failure to maintain effective quality control systems at our cokemaking facilities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The quality of our coke is critical to the success of our business. For instance, our coke sales agreements contain provisions requiring us to deliver coke that meets certain quality thresholds. If our coke fails to meet such specifications, we could be subject to significant contractual damages or contract terminations, and our sales could be

negatively affected. The quality of our coke depends significantly on the effectiveness of our quality control systems, which, in turn, depends on a number of factors, including the design of our quality control systems, our quality-training program and our ability to ensure that our employees adhere to our quality control policies and guidelines. Any significant failure or deterioration of our quality control systems could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Disruptions to our supply of coal and coal blending services may reduce the amount of coke we produce and deliver and, if we are not able to cover the shortfall in coal supply or obtain replacement blending services from other providers, our results of operations and profitability could be adversely affected.

Most of the metallurgical coal used to produce coke at our cokemaking facilities, other than our Jewell facility, is purchased from third parties under one- to two-year contracts. We cannot assure that there will continue to be an ample supply of metallurgical coal available or that we will be able to supply these facilities without any significant disruption in coke production, as economic, environmental, and other conditions outside of our control may reduce our ability to source sufficient amounts of coal for our forecasted operational needs. The failure of our coal suppliers to meet their supply commitments could materially and adversely impact our results of operations if we are not able to make up the shortfalls resulting from such supply failures through purchases of coal from other sources.

Other than at our Jewell cokemaking facility, we rely on third parties to blend coals that we have purchased into coal blends that we use to produce coke. We have entered into long-term agreements with coal blending service providers that are co-terminous with our coke sales agreements. However, there are limited alternative providers of coal blending services and any disruptions from our current service providers could materially and adversely impact our results of operations. In addition, if our rail transportation agreements are terminated, we may have to pay higher rates to access rail lines or make alternative transportation arrangements.

Limitations on the availability and reliability of transportation, and increases in transportation costs, particularly rail systems, could materially and adversely affect our ability to obtain a supply of coal and deliver coke to our customers. Our ability to obtain coal depends primarily on third-party rail systems and to a lesser extent river barges. If we are unable to obtain rail or other transportation services, or are unable to do so on a cost-effective basis, our results of operations could be adversely affected. Alternative transportation and delivery systems are generally inadequate and not suitable to handle the quantity of our shipments or to ensure timely delivery. The loss of access to rail capacity could create temporary disruption until the access is restored, significantly impairing our ability to receive coal and resulting in materially decreased revenues. Our ability to open new cokemaking facilities may also be affected by the availability and cost of rail or other transportation systems available for servicing these facilities.

Our coke production obligations at our Jewell cokemaking facility and one half of our Haverhill cokemaking facility require us to deliver coke to certain customers via railcar. We have entered into long-term rail transportation agreements to meet these obligations. Disruption of these transportation services because of weather-related problems, mechanical difficulties, train derailments, infrastructure damage, strikes, lock-outs, lack of fuel or maintenance items, fuel costs, transportation delays, accidents, terrorism, domestic catastrophe or other events could temporarily, or over the long-term impair, our ability to produce coke, and therefore, could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Labor disputes with the unionized portion of our workforce could affect us adversely.

As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 1,344 employees in the U.S. Approximately 25 percent of our domestic employees, principally at our cokemaking operations, are represented by the United Steelworkers under various contracts. Additionally, 2 percent of our domestic employees are represented by the International Union of Operating Engineers. The labor agreement at our Granite City cokemaking facility expires August 31, 2014. We are currently working on extending the agreement and do not anticipate any work stoppages. As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 233 employees at the cokemaking facility in Vitória, Brazil, all of whom are represented by a union under an agreement that expires on October 31, 2014. When these agreements expire or terminate, we may not be able to negotiate the agreements on the same or more favorable terms as the current agreements, or at all, and without production interruptions, including labor stoppages. If we are unable to negotiate a new collective bargaining agreement before the expiration date, our operations and our profitability could be adversely affected. A prolonged labor dispute, which could include a work stoppage, could adversely affect our ability to satisfy our customers' orders and, as a result, adversely affect our production and profitability.

**Risks Related to Our Coal Mining Business**

Coal prices are volatile, and a substantial or extended decline in prices could adversely affect our profitability and the value of our coal reserves.



Our profitability and the value of our coal reserves depend upon the prices we receive for our coal. The contract prices we may receive for coal in the future depend upon factors beyond our control, including:

- the domestic and foreign demand and supply for metallurgical coal;
- the quantity and quality of coal available from domestic and foreign competitors;
- the demand for steel, which may lead to price fluctuations in the re-pricing of our metallurgical coal contracts;
- competition within our industry;

Table of Contents

adverse weather, extreme temperatures, climatic or other natural conditions, including natural disasters;  
domestic and foreign economic conditions, including economic slowdowns;  
legislative, regulatory and judicial developments, environmental regulatory changes or changes in energy policy and  
energy conservation measures that would adversely affect the coal industry, such as legislation limiting carbon  
emissions; and  
the proximity, capacity and cost of transportation facilities.

A substantial or extended decline in the prices we receive for our future coal sales could adversely affect our profitability and the value of our coal reserves.

Extensive governmental regulations pertaining to employee health and safety and mandated benefits for retired coal miners impose significant costs on our mining operations, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

The coal mining industry is subject to increasingly strict regulation by federal, state and local authorities with respect to matters such as employee health and safety and mandated benefits for retired coal miners. Compliance with these requirements imposes significant costs on us and can result in reduced productivity. Moreover, the possibility exists that new health and safety legislation and/or regulations and orders may be adopted that may materially and adversely affect our mining operations. We must compensate employees for work-related injuries. If we do not make adequate provisions for our workers' compensation liabilities, it could harm our future operating results. In addition, the erosion through tort liability of the protections we are currently provided by workers' compensation laws could increase our liability for work-related injuries and materially and adversely affect our operating results.

Under federal law, each coal mine operator must secure payment of federal black lung benefits to claimants who are current and former employees and contribute to a trust fund for the payment of benefits and medical expenses to claimants who last worked in the coal industry before January 1, 1970. The trust fund is funded by an excise tax on coal production. If this tax increases, or if we could no longer pass it on to the purchasers of our coal under our coal sales agreements, our operating costs could be increased and our results could be materially and adversely harmed. At December 31, 2013, our liabilities for coal workers' black lung benefits totaled \$32.4 million, which included the estimated impact of PPACA. If new laws or regulations increase the number and award size of claims, it could materially and adversely harm our business. See "Item 1. Business-Legal and Regulatory Requirements-Other Regulatory Requirements."

Federal or state regulatory agencies have the authority to order our mines to be temporarily or permanently closed under certain circumstances, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to meet our customers' demands. Federal or state regulatory agencies have the authority under certain circumstances following significant health and safety incidents, such as fatalities, to order a mine to be temporarily or permanently closed. If this occurred, we may be required to incur capital expenditures to re-open the mine and may incur fines. In the event that these agencies order the closing of our mines, our coal sales contracts generally permit us to issue force majeure notices which suspend our obligations to deliver coal under these contracts. However, our customers may challenge our issuances of force majeure notices. If these challenges are successful, we may have to purchase coal from third-party sources, if it is available, to fulfill these obligations, incur capital expenditures to re-open the mines and/or negotiate settlements with the customers, which may include price reductions, the reduction of commitments or the extension of time for delivery or termination of customers' contracts. Our coal operations also provide substantially all of the coal used at our Jewell cokemaking facility. The inability to deliver the required coal to this facility could significantly impact operations at the facility. Any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Extensive environmental regulations impose significant costs on our mining operations, and future regulations could materially increase those costs, impose new or increased liabilities, limit our ability to produce and sell coal, or require us to change our operations significantly, any one or more of which could materially and adversely affect our financial position and/or results of operations.

Our coal mining operations are subject to increasingly strict regulation by federal, state and local authorities with respect to environmental matters such as:

limitations on land use;

- mine permitting and licensing requirements;
- reclamation and restoration of mining properties after mining is completed;
- management of materials generated by mining operations;
- the storage, treatment and disposal of wastes;

Table of Contents

- remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater, including with respect to past or legacy mining operations;
- air quality standards;
- water pollution;
- protection of human health, plant-life and wildlife, including endangered or threatened species;
- protection of wetlands;
- the discharge of materials into the environment;
- the effects of mining on surface water and groundwater quality and availability; and
- the management of electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls.

The costs, liabilities and requirements associated with the laws and regulations related to these and other environmental matters can be costly and time-consuming, and could delay commencement or continuation of expansion or production operations. We may not have been, or may not be, at all times in compliance with the applicable laws and regulations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of cleanup and site restoration costs and liens, the issuance of injunctions to limit or cease operations, the suspension or revocation of permits and other enforcement measures that could have the effect of limiting production from our operations. We may incur material costs and liabilities resulting from claims for damages to property or injury to persons arising from our operations. If we are pursued for sanctions, costs and liabilities in respect of these matters, our mining operations and, as a result, our profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

New legislation or administrative regulations or new judicial interpretations or administrative enforcement of existing laws and regulations, including proposals related to the protection of the environment that would further regulate and tax the coal industry, also may require us to change operations significantly, or incur increased costs. Such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See “Item 1. Business-Legal and Regulatory Requirements” for further information about the various governmental regulations affecting us.

Our coal mining operations are subject to operating risks, some of which are beyond our control, that could result in a material increase in our operating expenses and a decrease in our production levels.

Factors beyond our control could disrupt our coal mining operations, adversely affect production and shipments and increase our operating costs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Such factors could include:

- poor mining conditions resulting from geological, hydrologic or other conditions that may cause damage to nearby infrastructure or mine personnel;
- variations in the thickness and quality of coal seams, and variations in the amounts of rock and other natural materials overlying the coal being mined;
- a major incident at a mine site that causes all or part of the operations of the mine to cease for some period of time;
- mining, processing and plant equipment failures and unexpected maintenance problems;
- adverse weather, extreme temperatures, and natural disasters, such as heavy rains or snow, flooding and other natural events affecting operations, transportation or customers;
- unexpected or accidental surface subsidence from underground mining;
- accidental mine water discharges, fires, explosions or similar mining accidents; and
- competition and/or conflicts with other natural resource extraction activities and production within our operating areas, such as coalbed methane extraction.

If any of these conditions or events occur, our coal mining operations may be disrupted, we could experience a delay or halt of production or shipments, operating costs could increase significantly, and we could incur substantial losses. In particular, our Jewell cokemaking facility currently obtains essentially all of its metallurgical coal requirements from our existing coal mining operations. Disruptions in our coal mining operations, resulting in decreased production of metallurgical coal, could seriously and adversely affect production at our Jewell cokemaking facility.

## Table of Contents

If transportation for our coal becomes unavailable or uneconomical for our customers, it may impair our ability to sell coal, and our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Transportation costs represent a significant portion of the total cost of coal and the cost of transportation is a critical factor in a customer's purchasing decision. Increases in transportation costs and the lack of sufficient rail and port capacity could lead to reduced coal sales. For example, all of our coal mining operations are substantially dependent on, and only have access to, a single rail provider. A substantial amount of the metallurgical coal produced from our coal mining operations is used in our adjacent Jewell cokemaking facility. However, future disruption of transportation services (due to weather-related problems, infrastructure damage, strikes, lock-outs, lack of fuel or maintenance items, underperformance of port and rail infrastructure, congestion and balancing systems used to manage vessel queuing and demurrage, transportation delays or other reasons) may temporarily impair our ability to supply coal to other customers and adversely affect our results of operations.

We face numerous uncertainties in estimating economically recoverable coal reserves, and inaccuracies in estimates may result in lower than expected revenues, higher than expected costs and decreased profitability.

Our future performance depends on, among other things, the accuracy of our estimates of our proven and probable coal reserves. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and values of economically recoverable coal reserves, including many factors beyond our control. As a result, estimates of economically recoverable coal reserves are by their nature uncertain. We base our estimates of reserves on engineering, economic and geological data assembled, analyzed and reviewed by internal and third-party engineers and consultants. We update our estimates of the quantity and quality of proven and probable coal reserves as needed to reflect production of coal from the reserves, updated geological models and mining recovery data, tonnage contained in newly acquired lease areas and estimated costs of production and sales prices.

There are numerous factors and assumptions that affect economically recoverable reserve estimates, including:

- quality of the coal;
- historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas;
- geological and mining conditions, which may not be fully identified by available exploration data and/or may differ from our experiences in areas where we currently mine;
- the percentage of coal ultimately recoverable;
- the assumed effects of regulation, including the issuance of required permits, taxes, including severance and excise taxes and royalties, and other payments to governmental agencies;
- assumptions concerning the timing for the development of the reserves; and
- assumptions concerning equipment and productivity, future coal prices, operating costs, including costs for critical supplies such as fuel and tires, capital expenditures and development and reclamation costs.

Each of these factors may vary considerably. As a result, estimates of the quantities and qualities of economically recoverable coal attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of reserves based on risk of recovery, estimated cost of production, and estimates of future net cash flows expected from these properties as prepared by different engineers, or by the same engineers at different times, may vary materially due to changes in the foregoing factors and assumptions. Therefore, our estimates may not accurately reflect our actual reserves. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to reserves will likely vary from estimates, and these variances may be material. We engaged Marshall Miller & Associates, Inc., a leading mining engineering firm, to conduct a new and comprehensive study to determine our proven and probable reserves for our coal mines. This study determined that we control proven and probable coal reserves of approximately 114 million tons as of December 31, 2011. Throughout 2013 and 2012, we mined over 3 million tons of coal from our proven and probable reserves and control proven and probable coal reserves of approximately 111 million tons at December 31, 2013. Any inaccuracy in our estimates related to our reserves could result in decreased profitability from lower than expected revenues and/or higher than expected costs.

Our inability to develop coal reserves in an economically feasible manner could materially and adversely affect our business.

Our future success depends upon our ability to continue developing economically recoverable coal reserves. If we fail to develop additional coal reserves, our existing reserves eventually will be depleted. We may not be able to obtain

replacement reserves when we require them. Replacement reserves may not be available or, if available, may not be capable of being mined at costs comparable to those characteristic of the depleting mines. Our ability to develop coal reserves in the future also may be limited by the availability of cash we generate from our operations or available financing, restrictions under our existing or future financing arrangements, the lack of suitable opportunities or the inability to acquire coal properties or leases on commercially reasonable terms. If we are unable to develop replacement reserves, our future production may decrease significantly and this may have a material and adverse impact on our cash flows, financial position and results of operations.

## Table of Contents

Mining in Central Appalachia is more complex and involves more regulatory constraints than mining in other areas of the U.S., which could affect our mining operations and cost structures in these areas.

Our coal mines are located in Virginia and West Virginia, in what is known as the Central Appalachian region. The geological characteristics of Central Appalachian coal reserves, such as coal seam thickness, make them complex and costly to mine. As compared to mines in other regions, permitting, licensing and other environmental and regulatory requirements are more costly and time consuming to satisfy. These factors could materially adversely affect the mining operations and cost structures of coal produced at our mines in Central Appalachia.

A defect in title or the loss of a leasehold interest in certain property could limit our ability to mine our coal reserves or result in significant unanticipated costs.

We conduct a significant part of our coal mining operations on properties that we lease. A title defect or the loss of a lease could adversely affect our ability to mine the associated coal reserves. We may not verify title to our leased properties or associated coal reserves until we have committed to developing those properties or coal reserves. In some cases, the seller or lessor warrants property title. In other cases, separate title confirmation may not be required for leasing reserves where mining has occurred previously. Our right to mine some of our reserves may be adversely affected if defects in title or boundaries exist, or if our leasehold interests are subject to superior property rights of third parties. In order to conduct our mining operations on properties where such defects exist, we may incur unanticipated costs. In addition, some leases require us to produce a minimum quantity of coal and require us to pay minimum production royalties. Our inability to satisfy those requirements may cause the leasehold interest to terminate. In addition, we may not be able to successfully negotiate new leases for properties containing additional reserves, or maintain our leasehold interests in properties where we have not commenced mining operations during the term of the lease.

Disruptions in the quantities of coal produced by our contract mine operators could impair our ability to fill customer orders or increase our operating costs.

We use independent contractors to mine coal at certain of our mining operations. Some of our contract miners may experience adverse geologic mining conditions, operational difficulties, escalated costs, financial difficulties or other factors beyond our control that could affect the availability, pricing and quality of coal produced for us. In addition, market volatility and price increases for coal or freight could result in non-performance by third-party suppliers under existing contracts with us, in order to take advantage of the higher prices in the current market. Disruptions in the quantities of coal produced by independent contractors for us could impair our ability to supply our cokemaking facilities and to fill our customer orders. Our profitability or exposure to loss on transactions or relationships such as these depends upon the reliability of the supply or the ability to substitute, when economical, third-party coal sources, with internal production or coal purchased in the market and other factors. Non-performance by contract miners may adversely affect our ability to fulfill deliveries under our coal supply agreements. If we are unable to fill a customer order, or if we are required to purchase coal from other sources in order to satisfy a customer order, we could lose existing customers and our operating costs could increase.

We require a skilled workforce to run our coal mining business. If we or our contractors cannot hire qualified people to meet replacement or expansion needs, our labor costs may increase and we may not be able to achieve planned results.

Efficient coal mining using modern techniques and equipment requires skilled workers in multiple disciplines, including experienced foremen, electricians, equipment operators, engineers and welders, among others. Our future success depends greatly on our continued ability to attract and retain highly skilled and qualified personnel. We have an aging workforce, and an extended effort to recruit new employees to replace those who retire or a sustained shortage of skilled labor in the areas in which we operate could make it difficult to meet our staffing needs or result in higher labor rates. We also may be forced to hire novice miners, who are required to be accompanied by experienced workers as a safety precaution. These measures could adversely affect our productivity and operating costs. A lack of qualified people also may affect companies that we use to perform certain specialized work. If we or our contractors cannot find enough qualified workers, it may delay completion of projects and increase our costs.

We have reclamation and mine closure obligations. If the assumptions underlying our accruals are inaccurate, we may be required to expend significantly greater amounts than anticipated.

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act established operational, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of surface mining as well as most aspects of deep mining. We accrue for the costs of current mine disturbance and of final mine closure, including the cost of treating mine water discharge where necessary. The amounts recorded are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates, and the assumed credit-adjusted risk-free interest rates. Furthermore, our reclamation and mine-closing liabilities are unfunded. If these accruals are insufficient, or our cash requirements in a particular year are greater than currently anticipated, our future operating results and cash flows could be adversely affected.



## Table of Contents

Our failure to obtain or renew surety bonds on acceptable terms could materially and adversely affect our ability to secure reclamation and coal lease obligations and, therefore, our ability to mine or lease coal.

Our reclamation and mine-closing liabilities are unfunded. Federal and state laws require us to obtain surety bonds to secure performance or payment of certain long-term obligations, such as mine closure or reclamation costs, federal and state workers' compensation costs, coal leases and other obligations. These bonds are typically renewable annually. Surety bond issuers and holders may not continue to renew the bonds or may demand higher fees, additional collateral, including letters of credit or other terms less favorable to us upon those renewals. We are also subject to increases in the amount of surety bonds required by federal and state laws as these laws, or interpretations of these laws, change. Because we are required by state and federal law to have these bonds in place before mining can commence or continue, our failure to maintain (or inability to acquire) these bonds would have a material and adverse impact on us. That failure could result from a variety of factors, including the following: lack of availability, higher expense or unfavorable market terms of new bonds; restrictions on availability of collateral for current and future third-party surety bond issuers under the terms of future indebtedness; our inability to meet certain financial tests with respect to a portion of the post-mining reclamation bonds; and the exercise by third-party surety bond issuers of their right to refuse to renew or issue new bonds.

### Risks Related to Our Coal Logistics Business

The growth and success of our coal logistics business depends upon our ability to find and contract for adequate throughput volumes, and an extended decline in demand for coal could affect the customers for our coal logistics business adversely. As a consequence, the operating results and cash flows of our coal logistics business could be materially and adversely affected.

Our coal logistics operations are conducted through subsidiaries of the Partnership, a publicly traded master limited partnership in which we own the general partner and a significant limited partnership equity interest. The financial results of our Coal Logistics business segment are significantly affected by the demand for both thermal coal and metallurgical coal. An extended decline in our customers' demand for either thermal or metallurgical coals could result in a reduced need for the coal blending, terminalling and transloading services we offer, thus reducing throughput and utilization of our coal logistics assets. Demand for such coals may fluctuate due to factors beyond our control:

The demand for thermal coal can be impacted by changes in the energy consumption pattern of industrial consumers, electricity generators and residential users, as well as weather conditions and extreme temperatures. The amount of thermal coal consumed for electric power generation is affected primarily by the overall demand for electricity, the availability, quality and price of competing fuels for power generation, and governmental regulation. Natural gas-fueled generation has the potential to displace coal-fueled generation, particularly from older, less efficient coal-powered generators. State and federal mandates for increased use of electricity from renewable energy sources, or the retrofitting of existing coal-fired generators with pollution control systems, also could adversely impact the demand for thermal coal. Finally, unusually warm winter weather may reduce the commercial and residential needs for heat and electricity which, in turn, may reduce the demand for thermal coal; and

The demand for metallurgical coal for use in the steel industry may be impacted adversely by economic downturns resulting in decreased demand for steel and an overall decline in steel production. A decline in blast furnace production of steel may reduce the demand for furnace coke, an intermediate product made from metallurgical coal. Decreased demand for metallurgical coal also may result from increased steel industry utilization of processes that do not use, or reduce the need for, furnace coke, such as electric arc furnaces, or blast furnace injection of pulverized coal or natural gas.

Additionally, fluctuations in the market price of coal can greatly affect production rates and investments by third parties in the development of new and existing coal reserves. Mining activity may decrease as spot coal prices decrease. We have no control over the level of mining activity by coal producers, which may be affected by prevailing and projected coal prices, demand for hydrocarbons, the level of coal reserves, geological considerations, governmental regulation and the availability and cost of capital. A material decrease in coal mining production in the areas of operation for our coal logistics business, whether as a result of depressed commodity prices or otherwise, could result in a decline in the volume of coal processed through our coal logistics facilities, which would reduce our revenues and operating income.

Decreased demand for thermal or metallurgical coals, and extended or substantial price declines for coal could adversely affect our operating results for future periods and our ability to generate cash flows necessary to improve productivity and expand operations. The cash flows associated with our coal logistics business may decline unless we are able to secure new volumes of coal by attracting additional customers to these operations. Future growth and profitability of our coal logistics business segment will depend, in part, upon whether we can contract for additional coal volumes at a rate greater than that of any decline in volumes from existing customers. Accordingly, decreased demand for coal, or a decrease in the market

## Table of Contents

price of coal, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations or financial condition of our coal logistics business.

Our failure to obtain or renew surety bonds on acceptable terms could materially and adversely affect our ability to secure our reclamation obligations and, therefore, our ability to operate our coal logistics business.

Federal and state laws require us to obtain surety bonds to secure performance or payment of certain long-term obligations, such as reclamation costs, federal and state workers' compensation costs and other obligations. Surety bond issuers and holders may not continue to renew the bonds or may demand higher fees, additional collateral, including letters of credit, or other terms less favorable to us upon renewals. We are also subject to increases in the amount of surety bonds required by Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act and other federal and state laws as these laws, or interpretations of these laws, change. Because we are required by state and federal law to have these bonds in place before activities at our coal logistics operations can commence or continue, our failure to maintain (or inability to acquire) these bonds would have a material and adverse impact on us. That failure could result from a variety of factors, including: lack of availability, higher expense or unfavorable market terms of new bonds; restrictions on availability of collateral for current and future third-party surety bond issuers under the terms of future indebtedness; our inability to meet certain financial tests with respect to a portion of the reclamation bonds; and the exercise by third-party surety bond issuers of their right to refuse to renew, or to issue, new bonds.

Our coal logistics business is subject to operating risks, some of which are beyond our control, that could result in a material increase in our operating expenses.

Factors beyond our control could disrupt our coal logistics operations, adversely affect our ability to service the needs of our customers, and increase our operating costs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Such factors could include:

- geological, hydrologic, or other conditions that may cause damage to infrastructure or personnel;
- a major incident that causes all or part of the coal logistics operations at a site to cease for a period of time;
- processing and plant equipment failures and unexpected maintenance problems;
- adverse weather and natural disasters, such as heavy rains or snow, flooding, extreme temperatures and other natural events affecting coal logistics operations, transportation, or customers;

If any of these conditions or events occur, our coal logistics operations may be disrupted, operating costs could increase significantly, and we could incur substantial losses in this business segment. Disruptions in our coal logistics operations could seriously and adversely affect our financial condition, or results of operations.

Deterioration in the global economic conditions in any of the industries in which our customers operate, or sustained uncertainty in financial markets, may have adverse impacts on our business and financial condition that we currently cannot predict.

Economic conditions in a number of industries in which our customers operate, such as electric power generation and steel making, substantially deteriorated in recent years and reduced the demand for coal.

- demand for electricity in the U.S. is impacted by industrial production, which if weakened would negatively impact the revenues, margins and profitability of our coal logistics business;

- demand for metallurgical coal depends on steel demand in the U.S. and globally, which if weakened would negatively impact the revenues, margins and profitability of our coal logistics business;

- the tightening of credit or lack of credit availability to our customers could adversely affect our ability to collect our trade receivables; and

- our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to raise capital for our business including for potential acquisitions, or other growth opportunities.

### Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

Your percentage ownership in us may be diluted by future issuances of capital stock or securities or instruments that are convertible into our capital stock, which could reduce your influence over matters on which stockholders vote.

Our Board of Directors has the authority, without action or vote of our stockholders, to issue all or any part of our authorized but unissued shares of common stock, including shares issuable upon the exercise of options, shares that may be issued to satisfy our obligations under our incentive plans, shares of our authorized but unissued preferred stock and securities and instruments that are convertible into our common stock. Issuances of common stock or voting

preferred stock would reduce your influence over matters on which our stockholders vote and, in the case of issuances of preferred stock, likely would result in your interest in us being subject to the prior rights of holders of that preferred stock.

## Table of Contents

We have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock, so you may not receive funds without selling your common stock.

We do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any declaration and payment of future dividends to holders of our common stock are limited by restrictive covenants contained in our debt agreements, and will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on many factors, including our financial condition, earnings, capital requirements, level of indebtedness, statutory and contractual restrictions applying to the payment of dividends and other considerations that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Further, we may not have sufficient surplus under Delaware law to be able to pay any dividends in the future. The absence of sufficient surplus may result from extraordinary cash expenses, actual expenses exceeding contemplated costs, funding of capital expenditures or increases in reserves.

Provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation, our amended and restated by-laws and the Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL") could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could deter or prevent a change in control.

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws contain provisions that are intended to deter coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage prospective acquirers to negotiate with our Board of Directors rather than to attempt a hostile takeover. These provisions include:

- a Board of Directors that is divided into three classes with staggered terms;
- action by written consent of stockholders may only be taken unanimously by holders of all our shares of common stock;
- rules regarding how our stockholders may present proposals or nominate directors for election at stockholder meetings;
- the right of our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval;
- limitations on the right of stockholders to remove directors; and
- limitations on our ability to be acquired.

The DGCL also imposes some restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 15 percent or more of our outstanding common stock.

We believe that these provisions protect our stockholders from coercive or otherwise unfair takeover tactics by requiring potential acquirers to negotiate with our Board of Directors and by providing our Board of Directors with more time to assess any acquisition proposal. These provisions are not intended to make us immune from takeovers. However, these provisions apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders and could delay or prevent an acquisition that our Board of Directors determines is in our best interests and that of our stockholders. Any or all of the foregoing provisions could limit the price that some investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

### Risks Related to Our Separation from Sunoco

We have a limited operating history as a separate public company, and our historical financial information is not necessarily representative of the results that we would have achieved as a separate, publicly-traded company and may not be a reliable indicator of our future results.

Our historical financial information for the periods ended prior to the Separation included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is derived from the consolidated financial statements and accounting records of Sunoco. Accordingly, the historical financial information included here does not necessarily reflect the results of operations, financial position and cash flows that we would have achieved as a separate, publicly-traded company during the periods presented or those that we will achieve in the future primarily as a result of the following factors:

Prior to the Separation, our business was operated by Sunoco as part of its broader corporate organization, rather than as an independent company. Sunoco or one of its affiliates performed various corporate functions for us, including, but not limited to, legal services, treasury, accounting, auditing, risk management, information technology, human resources, corporate affairs, tax administration, certain governance functions (including internal audit and compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) and external reporting. Our historical financial results reflect allocations of corporate expenses from Sunoco for these and similar functions. These allocations are likely less than the comparable expenses we believe we would have incurred had we operated as a separate public company.



## Table of Contents

Previously, our business was integrated with the other businesses of Sunoco. Historically, we have shared economies of scale in costs, employees, vendor relationships and customer relationships. While we entered into transition agreements with Sunoco in connection with the Separation that govern certain commercial and other relationships between us, those transitional arrangements may not fully capture the benefits our businesses have enjoyed as a result of being integrated with the other businesses of Sunoco. The loss of these benefits could have an adverse effect on our cash flows, financial position and results of operations.

Generally, prior to the Separation, our working capital requirements and capital for our general corporate purposes, including acquisitions, research and development and capital expenditures, were satisfied as part of the enterprise-wide cash management policies of Sunoco. In connection with the Separation and the IPO, we obtained financing in the form of our credit facilities and notes. In the future, we may need to obtain additional financing from banks, through public offerings or private placements of debt or equity securities, strategic relationships or other arrangements.

The cost of capital for our business may be higher than Sunoco's cost of capital prior to the Separation. Other significant changes may occur in our cost structure, management, financing and business operations as a result of operating as a public company separate from Sunoco. The adjustments and allocations we have made in preparing our historical Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements may not appropriately reflect our operations during those periods as if we had in fact operated as a stand-alone entity, or what the actual effect of our Separation from Sunoco will be.

If there is a determination that the Distribution is taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes because the facts, assumptions, representations or undertakings underlying the Internal Revenue Service, ("IRS"), private letter ruling or tax opinion are incorrect or for any other reason, then Sunoco and its shareholders could incur significant U.S. federal income tax liabilities and we could incur significant liabilities.

Sunoco has received a private letter ruling from the IRS, substantially to the effect that, among other things, the contribution and the distribution qualify as a transaction that is tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code. In addition, Sunoco has received an opinion of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, counsel to Sunoco, to the effect that the contribution and the distribution will qualify as a transaction that is described in Sections 355 and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code. The ruling and the opinion rely on certain facts, assumptions, representations and undertakings from Sunoco and us regarding the past and future conduct of the companies' respective businesses and other matters. If any of these facts, assumptions, representations or undertakings are incorrect or not otherwise satisfied, Sunoco and its shareholders may not be able to rely on the ruling or the opinion of tax counsel and could be subject to significant tax liabilities. Notwithstanding the private letter ruling and opinion of tax counsel, the IRS could determine on audit that the Separation is taxable if it determines that any of these facts, assumptions, representations or undertakings are not correct or have been violated or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the opinion that are not covered by the private letter ruling, or for other reasons, including as a result of certain significant changes in the stock ownership of Sunoco or us after the Separation. If the Separation is determined to be taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes, Sunoco and its shareholders could incur significant U.S. federal income tax liabilities and we could incur significant liabilities. See Note 9 to the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the sharing of such tax liabilities between Sunoco and us.

### Risks Related to Our Master Limited Partnership

We own a significant equity interest in the Partnership.

We own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and we currently own a 55.9 percent interest in the Partnership. The Partnership holds a 65 percent interest in each of two entities that own our Haverhill and Middletown cokemaking facilities and related assets. The Haverhill and Middletown facilities have a combined 300 cokemaking ovens with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1.7 million tons per year and an average age of four years. The Partnership currently operates at full capacity and expects to sell an aggregate of approximately 1.7 million tons of coke per year to two primary customers: AK Steel and ArcelorMittal. All of the Partnership's coke sales are made pursuant to long-term take-or-pay agreements. Our financial statements include the consolidated results of the Partnership. The Partnership is subject to

operating and regulatory risks which are substantially similar to our own. The occurrence of any of these risks could directly or indirectly affect the Partnership's, as well as our, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows as the Partnership is a consolidated subsidiary. For additional information about the Partnership, see "Cokemaking Operations" and "Formation of a Master Limited Partnership" in Business and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Operating Results (Items 1 and 7).

We are party to an omnibus agreement with the Partnership that exposes us to various risks and uncertainties.

In connection with the initial public offering of the Partnership, we entered into an omnibus agreement with the Partnership. Pursuant to this agreement, we have agreed to grant the Partnership preferential rights to pursue certain growth



Table of Contents

opportunities we identify in the U.S. and Canada and a right of first offer to acquire certain of our cokemaking assets located in the U.S. and Canada for so long as we control the Partnership's general partner. In addition, pursuant to this agreement, we have agreed, for a period of five years from the closing of the initial public offering, to make the Partnership whole, in certain circumstances, to the extent of a customer's failure to satisfy its obligations or to the extent a customer's obligations are reduced. This includes an obligation during this five-year period to indemnify the Partnership in the event that AK Steel fails to fulfill its obligations to purchase or pay for coke under the Haverhill coke sales agreement, subject to certain conditions. Additionally, pursuant to this agreement, we have agreed to indemnify the Partnership for certain environmental remediation projects costs arising prior to the closing of the initial public offering. The agreement further provides that we will fully indemnify the Partnership with respect to any tax liability arising prior to or in connection with the closing of the initial public offering and that we will cure or fully indemnify the Partnership for losses resulting from certain title defects at the properties owned by the Partnership or its subsidiaries. Our obligations and the extent of our exposures that may arise under the omnibus agreement are subject to various contingencies and cannot be estimated with certainty at this time.

The tax treatment of the Partnership depends on its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, as well as not being subject to a material amount of entity level taxation by individual states. If the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") treats the Partnership as a corporation or it becomes subject to a material amount of entity level taxation for state tax purposes, it would substantially reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to its unitholders, including SunCoke Energy.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of SunCoke Energy's investment in the common units of the Partnership depends largely on the Partnership being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. The Partnership has not requested, and does not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this matter. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the ones the Partnership has taken. A successful IRS contest of the federal income tax positions the Partnership takes may impact adversely the market for its common units, and the costs of any IRS contest will reduce the Partnership's cash available for distribution to unitholders, including SunCoke Energy. If the Partnership was treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, it would pay federal income tax at the corporate tax rate, and likely would pay state income tax at varying rates. Distributions to unitholders, including SunCoke Energy, generally would be taxed again as corporate distributions. Treatment of the Partnership as a corporation would result in a material reduction in its anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to unitholders, including SunCoke Energy. Current law may change so as to cause the Partnership to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or to otherwise subject it to a material level of entity level taxation. States are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. If any of these states were to impose a tax on the Partnership, the cash available for distribution to unitholders, including SunCoke Energy, would be reduced.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in the Partnership's common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis. The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including the Partnership, or an investment in its common units, may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. Any modification to the federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively. Moreover, any such modification could make it more difficult or impossible for the Partnership to meet the exception which allows publicly traded partnerships that generate qualifying income to be treated as partnerships (rather than corporations) for U.S. federal income tax purposes, affect or cause us to change our business activities, or affect the tax consequences of an investment in its common units. For example, members of Congress have been considering substantive changes to the definition of qualifying income and the treatment of certain types of income earned from partnerships. While these specific proposals would not appear to affect the treatment of the Partnership as a partnership, we are unable to predict whether any of these changes, or other proposals, will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of SunCoke Energy's investment in the Partnership's common units.

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Properties

We own the following real property:

Approximately 66 acres in Vansant (Buchanan County), Virginia, on which the Jewell cokemaking facility is located, along with an additional approximately 2,550 acres including the offices, warehouse and support buildings for our Jewell coal and coke affiliates located in Buchanan County, Virginia, as well as other general property holdings and unoccupied land in Buchanan County, Virginia and McDowell County, West Virginia. In addition, we own certain mineral rights on approximately 1,650 acres of property in Buchanan, Dickenson and Wise Counties, Virginia.

Approximately 250 acres in Russell County, Virginia owned by the HKCC Companies, which include a warehousing facility, two coal preparation plants and certain coal loadout facilities as well as unoccupied land.

Approximately 400 acres in Franklin Furnace (Scioto County), Ohio, on which the Haverhill cokemaking facility (both the first and second phases) is located.

Approximately 41 acres in Granite City (Madison County), Illinois, adjacent to the U.S. Steel Granite City Works facility, on which the Granite City cokemaking facility is located. Upon the earlier of ceasing production at the facility or the end of 2044, U.S. Steel has the right to repurchase the property, including the facility, at the fair market value of the land. Alternatively, U.S. Steel may require us to demolish and remove the facility and remediate the site to original condition upon exercise of its option to repurchase the land.

Approximately 250 acres in Middletown (Butler County), Ohio near AK Steel's Middletown Works facility, on which the Middletown cokemaking facility is located.

Approximately 180 acres in Ceredo (Wayne County), West Virginia and approximately 36 acres in White Creek (Boyd County), Kentucky on which KRT has two coal terminals and one liquids terminal for its coal blending and handling services along the Ohio and Big Sandy Rivers.

We lease the following real property:

Approximately 88 acres of land located in East Chicago (Lake County), Indiana, on which the Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility is located and the coal handling and blending facilities that service the Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. The leased property is inside ArcelorMittal's Indiana Harbor Works facility and is part of an enterprise zone.

Approximately 22 acres of land located in Buchanan County, Virginia, on which one of our coal preparation plants is located.

Approximately 25 acres in Belle (Kanawha County), West Virginia on which KRT has a coal terminal for its coal blending and handling services along the Kanawha River.

Our former corporate headquarters located in Knoxville, Tennessee, under a ten year lease which commenced in 2007. This space is being marketed to sublease to another tenant for the remainder of the lease term, although we will remain directly liable to the landlord under the original lease.

Our corporate headquarters is located in leased office space in Lisle, Illinois under an 11-year lease that commenced in 2011.

In addition, we lease small parcels of land, mineral rights and coal mining rights for approximately 127 thousand acres of land in Buchanan and Russell Counties, Virginia and McDowell County, West Virginia. Substantially all of the leases are "life of mine" agreements that extend our mining rights until all reserves have been recovered. These leases convey mining rights to us in exchange for payment of certain royalties and/or fixed fees. We use internal land managers and attorneys to perform title reviews on properties prior to obtaining coal leases.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a map depicting the properties and facilities of our coal mining operations.

34

---

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the proven and probable metallurgical coal reserves at our Jewell coal mining operations as of December 31, 2013:

Seam	Total Demonstrated Reserves (millions of tons) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>										
	Reserves			Tons by Assignment		Tons by Mining Type		Tons by Permit Status		Tons by Property Control	
	Total	Proven	Probable	Assigned	Unassigned	Surface	Deep	Permitted	Not Permitted	Owned	Leased
Hagy	0.41	0.25	0.16	0.04	0.37	—	0.41	0.04	0.37	—	0.41
Middle Splashdam	1.58	1.42	0.16	0.27	1.31	—	1.58	0.27	1.31	—	1.58
Upper Banner	0.52	0.41	0.11	—	0.52	—	0.52	—	0.52	—	0.52
Kennedy	2.80	2.32	0.48	0.06	2.74	—	2.80	0.21	2.59	—	2.80
Red Ash	26.67	16.53	10.14	2.99	23.68	—	26.67	7.40	19.27	—	26.67
Jawbone Rider	7.28	4.27	3.01	0.01	7.27	0.01	7.27	0.01	7.27	—	7.28
Jawbone (JB30)	41.03	24.42	16.61	8.62	32.41	0.34	40.69	6.97	34.06	—	41.03
Tiller	11.40	8.14	3.26	8.35	3.05	0.04	11.36	8.35	3.05	—	11.40
Grand Total	91.69	57.76	33.93	20.34	71.35	0.39	91.30	23.25	68.44	—	91.69

(1) All tons are recoverable, reserve tons utilizing appropriate mine recovery, wash recovery at 1.50 float, preparation plant efficiency, and moisture factors.

(2) Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

The table below sets forth a summary of the proven and probable metallurgical coal reserves of the HKCC Companies as of December 31, 2013:

Seam	Total Demonstrated Reserves (millions of tons) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>										
	Reserves			Tons by Assignment		Tons by Mining Type		Tons by Permit Status		Tons by Property Control	
	Total	Proven	Probable	Assigned	Unassigned	Surface	Deep	Permitted	Not Permitted	Owned	Leased
Lower Banner	2.58	1.69	0.89	2.58	—	1.25	1.33	0.74	1.84	0.03	2.55
Kennedy	3.25	2.82	0.43	3.25	—	0.19	3.06	0.55	2.70	0.04	3.21
Red Ash	4.98	4.52	0.46	4.98	—	—	4.98	—	4.98	—	4.98
Jawbone Rider	7.60	6.76	0.84	7.60	—	—	7.60	—	7.60	—	7.60
Jawbone (JB20-30 & JB 10-30)	1.44	1.43	0.01	1.44	—	—	1.44	—	1.44	—	1.44
Grand Total	19.85	17.22	2.63	19.85	—	1.44	18.41	1.29	18.56	0.07	19.78

(1) All tons are recoverable, reserve tons utilizing appropriate mine recovery, wash recovery at 1.50 float, and moisture factors.

(2) Amounts may not add to totals due to rounding.

The table below sets forth the historical amount of coal produced at our coal mining operations:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(thousands of tons)				
Company Operated Mines	783	867	842	878	823
Contractor Operated Mines <sup>(1)</sup>	559	609	522	226	311
Total	1,342	1,476	1,364	1,104	1,134

(1) These amounts include coal production of the HKCC Companies, which we acquired in January 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The EPA has issued notices of violations, or NOVs, to us for our Haverhill, Granite City, Middletown and Indiana Harbor cokemaking facilities. The information regarding these NOVs is presented in Note 18 to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements.

Many other legal and administrative proceedings are pending or may be brought against us arising out of our current and past operations, including matters related to commercial and tax disputes, product liability, antitrust, employment claims, natural resource damage claims, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances and general environmental claims. Although the ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some of them could be resolved unfavorably to us. Our management believes that any liabilities that may arise from such matters would not be material in relation to our business or our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows at December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

The information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters that we are required to report in accordance with Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act is included in Exhibit 95.1 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Market Information

Shares of our common stock, which is traded under the stock trading symbol "SXC", have been trading since July 21, 2011, when our stock was listed on the New York Stock Exchange. As a result, the table below provides data beginning with the third quarter of 2011. Quarterly price ranges of our common stock are based on the high and low prices from intraday trades.

	2013		2012		2011	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$17.47	\$16.05	\$16.00	\$11.01		
Second Quarter	16.41	14.02	15.37	13.10		
Third Quarter	17.14	13.71	17.59	14.04	18.00	10.78
Fourth Quarter	23.16	17.15	17.24	14.26	13.11	9.20

## Holders

As of February 21, 2014, we had a total of 69,724,481 issued and outstanding shares of our common stock and had 15,501 holders of record of our common stock.

## Dividends

Since our formation, we have not paid any dividends on our common stock. We currently have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock. Our payment of dividends in the future, if any, will be determined by our Board of Directors and will depend on business conditions, our financial condition, earnings, liquidity and capital requirements, covenants in our debt agreements and other factors.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total return of a \$100 investment in SunCoke common stock with the cumulative total return of a \$100 investment in the S&P Small Cap 600 and Dow Jones U.S. Steel indices. It covers the period beginning with the date of our initial public offering of July 12, 2011 through December 31, 2013 and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

In selecting the indices for comparison, we considered market capitalization and industry or line-of-business. The S&P Small Cap 600 is a broad equity market index comprised of companies of between \$300 million and \$1.4 billion. SunCoke is a part of this index. The Dow Jones U.S. Iron & Steel index is comprised of both U.S.-based steel and metals manufacturing and coal and iron ore mining companies. While we do not manufacture steel, we do produce coke, an essential ingredient in the blast furnace production of steel. In addition, we have coal mining operations. Accordingly, we believe the Dow Jones U.S. Iron & Steel index is appropriate for comparison purposes.

Share Repurchase Program

On February 16, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase an aggregate amount of up to 3,500,000 shares of our common stock through the end of 2015 from time to time in the open market, through privately negotiated transactions, block transactions or otherwise in order to counter the dilutive impact of exercised stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants. The Company had no repurchases of common stock during the fourth quarter 2013. As of December 31, 2013, there were 2,300,383 shares that could be purchased under the repurchase plan discussed above.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents summary combined and consolidated operating results and other information of SunCoke Energy and should be read in conjunction with Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The historical Combined Financial Statements for periods prior to the Separation Date include the accounts of all operations that comprised the cokemaking and coal mining operations of Sunoco, after elimination of all intercompany balances and transactions within the combined group of companies. The historical Combined Financial Statements also include allocations of certain Sunoco corporate expenses. Our management believes the assumptions and methodologies underlying the allocation of corporate and other expenses were reasonable. However, such expenses should not be considered indicative of the actual level of expense that we would have incurred if we had operated as an independent, publicly-traded company during the periods prior to the IPO or of the costs expected to be incurred in future periods. See Note 7 to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding allocated expenses.

The weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of earnings attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment per common share for periods prior to 2012 includes 70.0 million shares of common stock owned by Sunoco on the Separation Date as a result of its contribution of the assets of its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us and related capitalization.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)				
<b>Operating Results:</b>					
Total revenues	\$1,647.7	\$1,914.1	\$1,538.9	\$1,326.5	\$1,145.0
Operating income	\$111.3	\$173.7	\$67.5	\$174.2	\$211.6
Net income	\$50.1	\$102.5	\$58.9	\$146.3	\$211.2
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$25.0	\$98.8	\$60.6	\$139.2	\$189.6
<b>Earnings attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment per common share:</b>					
Basic	\$0.36	\$1.41	\$0.87	\$1.99	\$2.71
Diluted	\$0.36	\$1.40	\$0.87	\$1.99	\$2.71
<b>Other Information:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$233.6	\$239.2	\$127.5	\$40.1	\$2.7
Total assets	\$2,243.9	\$2,011.0	\$1,941.8	\$1,718.4	\$1,546.7
Total debt	\$689.1	\$723.4	\$726.4	\$—	\$—
SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity / net parent investment	\$557.4	\$539.1	\$525.5	\$369.5	\$742.0



Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements of expected future developments, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This discussion contains forward-looking statements about our business, operations and industry that involve risks and uncertainties, such as statements regarding our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Our future results and financial condition may differ materially from those we currently anticipate as a result of the factors we describe under "Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors."

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to "the Company," "we," "our," "us," or like terms, when used in describing periods prior to July 18, 2011, refer to the cokemaking and coal mining operations of Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to the transfer of these operations to SunCoke Energy, Inc. in connection with the Separation. Such references when used in describing periods after July 18, 2011, refer to SunCoke Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is based on financial data derived from the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and certain other financial data that is prepared using non-GAAP measures. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP components, see "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" at the end of this Item.

Overview

SunCoke Energy, Inc. ("SunCoke Energy", "Company", "we", "our" and "us") is the largest independent producer of high-quality coke in the Americas, as measured by tons of coke produced each year, and has more than 50 years of coke production experience. Coke is a principal raw material in the blast furnace steelmaking process. Coke is generally produced by heating metallurgical coal in a refractory oven, which releases certain volatile components from the coal, thus transforming the coal into coke.

We have designed, developed and built, and own and operate five cokemaking facilities in the United States ("U.S."). Additionally, we have designed and operate one cokemaking facility in Brazil under licensing and operating agreements on behalf of our customer and have a joint venture interest in the operations of one cokemaking facility in India. The capacity of our five U.S. cokemaking facilities is approximately 4.2 million tons of coke per year. The cokemaking facility that we operate in Brazil has cokemaking capacity of approximately 1.7 million tons of coke per year. We also have a preferred stock investment in the project company that owns the Brazil facility. In March 2013, we formed a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited ("VISA Steel") in India called VISA SunCoke Limited ("VISA SunCoke"). VISA SunCoke has a cokemaking capacity of 440 thousand tons of coke per year.

Our cokemaking ovens utilize efficient, modern heat recovery technology designed to combust the coal's volatile components liberated during the cokemaking process and use the resulting heat to create steam or electricity for sale. This differs from by-product cokemaking which seeks to repurpose the coal's liberated volatile components for other uses. We have constructed the only greenfield cokemaking facilities in the U.S. in the last 25 years and are the only North American coke producer that utilizes heat recovery technology in the cokemaking process. We believe that heat recovery technology has several advantages over the alternative by-product cokemaking process, including producing higher quality coke, using waste heat to generate steam or electricity for sale and reducing environmental impact.

Our Granite City facility, the first phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 1, and our VISA SunCoke joint venture include steam generation facilities which use hot flue gas from the cokemaking process to produce steam. Pursuant to steam supply and purchase agreements, Granite City and Haverhill facilities' steam is sold to third-parties and VISA SunCoke's steam is sold to our partner, VISA Steel. Our Middletown facility and the second phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 2, include cogeneration plants that use the hot flue gas created by the cokemaking process to generate electricity. The electricity is either sold into the regional power market or to AK Steel pursuant to energy sales agreements.

We own and operate coal mining operations in Virginia and West Virginia with more than 111 million tons of proven and probable reserves at December 31, 2013. In 2013, we sold approximately 1.5 million tons of metallurgical coal (including internal sales to our cokemaking operations) and 0.1 million tons of thermal coal.

Our business strategy has evolved to include the expansion of our operations into adjacent business lines within the steel value chain. During 2013, through our master limited partnership, we expanded our operations into coal handling and blending services through two acquisitions. On August 30, 2013, our master limited partnership completed the acquisition of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation ("Lake Terminal"). Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal provides coal handling and blending services to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. On October 1, 2013, our master limited partnership completed the acquisition of Kanawha River Terminals ("KRT"). KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal

## Table of Contents

blending and handling service provider with collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually through its operations in West Virginia and Kentucky.

Further, we are exploring opportunities for entry into the ferrous segments of the steel value chain, such as iron ore concentration and pelletizing and direct reduced iron production ("DRI"). Concentrating and pelletizing are processes that prepare iron ore for use in a blast furnace as part of the integrated steelmaking process and result in a more efficient blast furnace steelmaking process. The current capacity for both concentrating and pelletizing of iron ore in the U.S. and Canada is in excess of 230 million tons and we believe acquisitions of existing facilities could potentially provide an attractive avenue for growth. DRI, an alternative method of ironmaking is used today in conventional blast furnaces and electric arc furnaces ("EAF"). The capital investment required to build DRI plants is low compared to integrated steel plants and operating costs can be favorable if low cost energy supplies are available. DRI is successfully manufactured in various parts of the world through either natural gas or coal-based technology.

Currently, there is only one DRI operation in the U.S., but we believe demand for additional DRI capacity in the U.S. may grow by approximately 5 million tons, driven in part by the available supply of low cost natural gas as a reducing agent.

Incorporated in Delaware in 2010 and headquartered in Lisle, Illinois, we became a publicly-traded company in 2011 and our stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "SXC." As discussed below, our separation ("Separation") from Sunoco, Inc. ("Sunoco") was completed in 2012.

### Our Separation from Sunoco

On January 17, 2012 (the "Distribution Date"), we became an independent, publicly-traded company following our separation from Sunoco. Our separation from Sunoco occurred in two steps:

- We were formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. On July 18, 2011 (the "Separation Date"), Sunoco contributed the subsidiaries, assets and liabilities that were primarily related to its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us in exchange for shares of our common stock. As of such date, Sunoco owned 100 percent of our common stock. On July 26, 2011, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of 13,340,000 shares of our common stock, or 19.1 percent of our outstanding common stock. Following the IPO, Sunoco continued to own 56,660,000 shares of our common stock, or 80.9 percent of our outstanding common stock.

On the Distribution Date, Sunoco made a pro-rata, tax free distribution (the "Distribution") of the remaining shares of our common stock that it owned in the form of a special stock dividend to Sunoco shareholders. Sunoco shareholders received 0.53046456 of a share of common stock for every share of Sunoco common stock held as of the close of business on January 5, 2012, the record date for the Distribution. After the Distribution, Sunoco ceased to own any shares of our common stock.

### Formation of a Master Limited Partnership

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., a master limited partnership ("the Partnership"), through the sale of 13,500,000 common units of limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of net proceeds (the "Partnership offering"). Upon the closing of the Partnership offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and own a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The remaining 42.1 percent interest in the Partnership is held by public unitholders and is reflected as noncontrolling interest on our Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheet beginning in the first quarter of 2013. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Partnership was approximately \$24.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The key assets of the Partnership at the time of formation were a 65 percent interest in each of our Haverhill and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery facilities. The Partnership continues to hold this 65 percent interest in these facilities and now also owns the coal blending and handling facilities acquired during 2013. We are also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide remarketing efforts to the Partnership upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements, indemnification of certain environmental costs and preferential rights for growth opportunities.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement and the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes") and repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan. For a more detailed discussion see "Liquidity and Capital Resources."



## Table of Contents

### 2013 Key Financial Results

Total revenues in 2013 decreased 13.9 percent to \$1,647.7 million primarily due to the lower coal prices, resulting in the pass-through of lower coal prices within our Domestic Coke segment as well as an approximately \$49 per ton decrease in coal sales prices in our Coal Mining segment. Lower volumes at our Indiana Harbor facility also reduced revenues. These decreases were partially offset by increased operating expense recovery in our Domestic Coke segment as well as revenues from our new Coal Logistics segment.

Net income attributable to stockholders was \$25.0 million in 2013 compared to \$98.8 million in 2012. The decrease was the result of the overall weakness in the coal mining industry as well as the impact of the refurbishment at Indiana Harbor, which temporarily increased costs and driven down volumes at this facility. Our continued strong operating performance at our other domestic cokemaking facilities partially offset these decreases.

Adjusted EBITDA was \$215.1 million in 2013 compared to \$265.7 million in 2012 due primarily to the factors driving the decrease in revenues and net income discussed above. Adjusted EBITDA from our Coal Mining operations decreased \$52.1 million compared to the prior year. While overall Adjusted EBITDA decreased, Adjusted EBITDA per ton in our Domestic Coke operations remained consistent with the prior year at approximately \$57.

- Cash generated from operating activities was \$151.3 million in 2013 compared to \$206.1 million in 2012. The decrease was driven primarily by the contribution of lower earnings discussed above.

### Our Focus in 2013

For the Company, 2013 was a year of solid execution. Our 2013 strategies and accomplishments were as follows:

- Sustained momentum established at our cokemaking facilities through continued focus on operational excellence, including safety and environmental stewardship, at all facilities

- Completed an initial public offering of a master limited partnership

- Achieved domestic and international growth through acquisitions and investments

- Executed initiatives at Indiana Harbor and initiated the environmental remediation project related to the Haverhill and Granite City consent decree

- Improved productivity and reduced production costs in our coal operations to enhance long-term strategic flexibility

Sustained momentum established at our cokemaking facilities through continued focus on operational excellence, including safety and environmental stewardship, at all facilities.

During 2013, our cokemaking business maintained its momentum, again exceeding 100 percent capacity utilization. Adjusted EBITDA from our cokemaking operations declined \$6.2 million to \$243.2 million in 2013 primarily due to lower performance at our Indiana Harbor facility, which incurred higher costs and produced lower volumes as a result of its ongoing refurbishment efforts. Operating our cokemaking facilities reliably and at low cost, while producing consistently high quality coke, is critical to maintaining the satisfaction of existing customers and our ability to grow with new and existing customers. We have continued to achieve reliable and cost-efficient operation of our facilities through the SunCoke Way, a standardized processes, procedures and management system incorporating best practices. Consistent implementation of the SunCoke Way as well as a better understanding of cokemaking sciences have improved our efficiencies, resulting in better yields and enabling us to achieve the flexibility required to execute opportunistic spot sales of approximately 40 thousand tons to a fourth customer during 2013. We also remained committed to maintaining a safe work environment and ensuring strict compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

- Completed an initial public offering of a master limited partnership

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of the Partnership through the sale of 13,500,000 common units of limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of net proceeds. The Partnership was formed to enhance the value of the Company, potentially help lower our cost of capital and provide greater financial flexibility. See previous discussion in the "Formation of a Master Limited Partnership."

## Table of Contents

Achieved domestic and international growth through acquisitions

### Domestic

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation ("Lakeshore"), now called SunCoke Lake Terminal LLC ("Lake Terminal") for \$28.6 million. Adjusted EBITDA from Lake Terminal subsequent to the acquisition date was approximately \$2.5 million. Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal has and will continue to provide coal handling and blending services to the Company's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. In September 2013, Lake Terminal and Indiana Harbor entered into a new 10-year contract with terms equivalent to those of an arm's-length transaction.

On October 1, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of Kanawha River Terminals LLC ("KRT") for \$84.7 million, utilizing \$44.7 million of available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility. KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling terminal service provider in West Virginia and Kentucky with the collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually. Adjusted EBITDA from KRT subsequent to the acquisition date was approximately \$2.2 million.

Lake Terminal and KRT do not take possession of coal but instead generate revenues by providing coal handling and blending services to their customers on a fee per ton basis. The results of these acquisitions have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Coal Logistics segment since the acquisition dates.

During 2013, we made substantial progress permitting our next potential domestic facility and expect to receive final permits in early 2014. This potential new facility is planned to be constructed in Kentucky and will include 120 ovens and approximately 660 thousand tons of capacity. We expect this new facility to serve multiple customers while also reserving a portion of its capacity for opportunistic spot market coke sales. Our ability to construct a new facility and to enter into new commercial arrangements is dependent upon market conditions in the steel industry. The Partnership has preferential rights to purchase our interest in this potential facility upon the completion of construction at a price sufficient to provide us with a return on our invested capital equal to our weighted average cost of capital plus six percent.

### International

On March 18, 2013, we formed a joint venture with VISA Steel in India. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent. VISA SunCoke sells all of its steam production and approximately one-third of its coke production to VISA Steel, with the remaining coke sold in the spot market. The investment is accounted for under the equity method under which investments are initially recorded at cost. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag. During 2013, VISA SunCoke generated \$0.9 million of Adjusted EBITDA reflecting market conditions as well as trade financing challenges related to securing our coal supply. Our focus in 2014 will be to stabilize the business, increase profitability, and maximize cash flow.

Executed initiatives at Indiana Harbor and initiated the environmental remediation project related to the Haverhill and Granite City consent decree

Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement to provide 1.22 million tons of coke annually to ArcelorMittal. In connection with the renewal of this long-term contract, we identified capital refurbishment projects to preserve the production capacity of the facility. As a result of higher than anticipated costs to refurbish the ovens as well as the incremental cost of managing the refurbishment to minimize disruptions to ongoing operations, we now estimate costs related to the project will be approximately \$100 million, compared to our previous estimate of \$85 million. During 2013 and 2012, we spent \$66 million and \$14 million, respectively, on these capital projects and estimate spending an additional \$20 million in 2014. In addition, we believe the project scope will address items that may be required in connection with the settlement of the Notices of Violations ("NOVs") at our Indiana Harbor facility. See the section entitled "Business - Legal and Regulatory Requirements - Environmental Matters and Compliance." The contract renewal included an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to provide a return on refurbishment capital expenditures. Other key provisions of the extension agreement are substantially similar to the existing agreement, including continuing the pass-through of coal costs and reimbursement of operating and maintenance expenses subject to certain metrics.

We have undertaken capital projects to improve the reliability of the energy recovery systems and enhance environmental performance at our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking facilities in response to NOVs received from the EPA. We anticipate these capital projects will cost approximately \$120 million over the 2012 to 2016 time period, an increase from our previous estimate of \$100 million, for which we have spent \$33 million to date. During 2013, we finalized negotiations with regulators who have lodged a consent decree in federal district court which is undergoing review. We estimate our probable loss to be approximately \$2.2 million. For more information, see the section entitled “Business—Legal and Regulatory Requirements—Environmental Matters and Compliance.”

## Table of Contents

Improved productivity and reduced production costs in our coal operations to enhance long-term strategic flexibility  
During 2013, coal market conditions remained challenging. We continued with our plan to address near-term market weakness and enhance long-term strategic flexibility, reducing costs and increasing productivity by idling certain high-cost mines; consolidating our labor force and equipment into more productive, lower cost mines as well as mines producing higher royalty rates; relocating mine sections in our largest mine and implementing deep cut mining plans as permits are received. As a result, we improved productivity and reduced cash costs per ton by \$19 during the year which partially mitigated the impact of the \$49 per ton decline in price. This decline in coal sales price per ton, partially offset by an increase in volume of 152 thousand tons, resulted in an Adjusted EBITDA loss of \$18.7 million for 2013.

### Our Focus and Outlook for 2014

In 2014, our primary focus will be to:

- Sustain high-level of operating performance in our Domestic Coke operations, continue to drive coal mining efficiencies and stabilize our India joint venture

- Pursue growth opportunities in cokemaking, coal logistics and a potential entry into the ferrous value chain

- Evaluate opportunities to enhance value of our coke and coal assets and assess optimal capital structure

Sustain high-level of operating performance in our Domestic Coke operations, continue to drive coal mining efficiencies and stabilize our India joint venture

Given our strong operating performance in 2013, we expect our cokemaking operations to maintain their positive momentum and produce approximately 4.3 million tons of coke. We expect to achieve Adjusted EBITDA per ton of \$60 to \$65 at our cokemaking operations in 2014.

We expect performance at our Indiana Harbor facility will normalize in the latter half of the year after the completion of the refurbishment project and the anticipated blast furnace outage at ArcelorMittal, both of which we anticipate to occur during the first half of the year. We will also benefit from the 10-year contract renewal, which provides a return on our refurbishment capital. We also expect to renew the Indiana Harbor flue gas supply and processing agreement with Cokenergy, Inc. (“Cokenergy”), which expired on September 30, 2013. Operations have continued under the terms of the previous agreement without disruption, and we expect to renew this agreement in 2014. See further discussion of these operations in Part I.

In 2014, we will continue our work to improve the reliability of the energy recovery systems and enhance environmental performance at our Haverhill cokemaking facilities. We expect to successfully complete the execution of the environmental remediation project at Haverhill 2 during 2014 and Haverhill 1 during 2015.

In our Coal Mining business, we will continue driving mining efficiency gains to help mitigate the coal pricing headwinds. We will continue to focus on reducing costs and increasing productivity in our coal operations by idling certain high-cost mines and utilizing mines with lower royalty rates.

We anticipate continued difficulties at VISA Steel due to iron ore mining restrictions in India, which will limit steel production, and a weak coke pricing environment due to increased Chinese coke imports. Together with our joint venture partner, we will continue to focus on stabilizing coal supply, mitigating foreign currency risk and managing the operations at VISA SunCoke to achieve improved Adjusted EBITDA and positive cash flows, despite these anticipated challenges in 2014. We plan to pursue additional investment opportunities to grow our international footprint in India by utilizing cash flows and reinvesting our earnings once our existing operations have stabilized.

- Pursue growth opportunities in cokemaking, coal logistics and a potential entry into the ferrous value chain

During 2014, we will continue to explore selective opportunities to acquire existing cokemaking assets in the U.S. and Canada. In addition, we expect to finalize the permitting of a potential new coke facility in Kentucky and will seek long-term customer commitments for a majority of the facility’s capacity prior to commencing construction.

We also plan to actively pursue opportunities to expand our coal logistics business, leveraging the management and operations expertise acquired with these businesses. Our coal logistics facilities are operating below capacity, and we will seek to secure additional volumes from existing and new customers to fully utilize these facilities. In addition, we will pursue acquisitions of third-party assets that can expand our footprint in attractive and complementary segments of the coal logistics market.



In 2013, we received a favorable IRS private letter ruling for the concentrating and pelletizing of iron ore, and we will continue to pursue opportunities for entry into the ferrous market in 2014. In iron ore concentrating, various crushing, grinding and enriching processes separate iron-bearing particles from waste material to produce a concentrate of specific iron content. In pelletizing, a thermal treatment process forms iron ore concentrate into pellets which are then used in a blast furnace as part

## Table of Contents

of the integrated steelmaking process. Iron ore pellets allow air to flow between the pellets, resulting in a more efficient blast furnace steelmaking process. The current capacity for both concentrating and pelletizing of iron ore in the U.S. and Canada is in excess of 230 million tons and we believe acquisitions of existing facilities could potentially provide an attractive avenue for growth.

DRI, an alternative method of ironmaking, has been developed to overcome some of the economic and operating challenges of conventional blast furnaces. DRI is predominantly used as a replacement for steel scrap or pig iron in the electric arc furnace steelmaking process. The capital investment required to build DRI plants is low compared to integrated steel plants and operating costs can be favorable if low cost energy supplies are available. DRI is successfully manufactured in various parts of the world through either natural gas or coal-based technology. Currently, there is only one DRI operation in the U.S., but we believe demand for additional DRI capacity in the U.S. may grow by approximately 5 million tons, driven in part by the available supply of low cost natural gas as a reducing agent. We have requested a private letter ruling for DRI and will pursue opportunities in the DRI market if we receive a favorable ruling.

Evaluate opportunities to enhance value of our coke and coal assets and assess optimal capital structure  
Prior to January 18, 2014, we were subject to a series of limitations and restrictions on restructuring activities as a result of our tax free spin-off from Sunoco. With the expiration of these restrictions, we are evaluating the potential dropdown of all our remaining domestic cokemaking assets to the Partnership over time. We are also considering the appropriate capital structure for the Partnership to facilitate its financing of any dropdown transactions as well as the future capital structure of SunCoke. We have engaged key financial advisors and expect to engage our Board of Directors in early 2014 to evaluate these opportunities. We are also evaluating the appropriate use of proceeds at SunCoke, including prioritization of growth capital and return of capital to shareholders.

We expect the coal mining industry to remain challenging in 2014 and while we will continue to drive productivity to mitigate the impacts of market factors, we are evaluating our strategic options for this business. We are considering a number of factors including the supply of coal on a cost-effective and reliable basis to our Jewell cokemaking facility, the ability to make the coal business more competitive via potential structures and business combinations, as well as the price and structure of a potential transaction.

### Items Impacting Comparability

Coal Logistics. On August 30, and October 1, 2013, the Partnership acquired Lake Terminal and KRT, respectively. Prior to the acquisition of Lake Terminal, the entity that owns SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations was a customer of Lakeshore and held the purchase rights to Lakeshore. Concurrent with the closing of the transaction, the Partnership paid \$1.8 million to DTE Energy Company, the third party investor owning a 15 percent interest in the entity that owns Indiana Harbor, in consideration for assigning its share of the Lake Terminal buyout rights to the Partnership. The Partnership recognized this payment in selling, general, and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Income during the period. The results of these newly acquired facilities have been included in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements since the dates of acquisition and are presented in the new Coal Logistics segment. Coal Logistics reported revenues of \$13.6 million, of which \$5.5 million are intercompany revenues, Adjusted EBITDA of \$4.7 million and Adjusted EBITDA per ton of \$1.24 for the year ended December 31, 2013.

India Equity Method Investment. On March 18, 2013, we acquired a 49 percent interest in a joint venture, VISA SunCoke, located in Odisha, India, with VISA Steel. Our 49 percent share of Adjusted EBITDA in 2013 was \$0.9 million and included a negative foreign currency impact of \$1.5 million on imported coal purchases. Adjusted EBITDA was \$3.50 per ton of which the negative foreign currency impact contributed a loss of \$5.84 per ton.

Indiana Harbor Cokemaking Operations. During 2011, in preparation for negotiation of the extension of the Company's existing coke sales agreement, we conducted an engineering study to identify major refurbishment projects necessary to preserve the production capacity of the facility. We began this refurbishment project in July 2012 and spent approximately \$66 million and \$14 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a result of higher than anticipated costs to refurbish the ovens as well as the incremental cost of managing the refurbishment to minimize disruptions to ongoing operations, we are now expected to spend approximately \$100 million in total for this project, an increase from our previous estimate of \$85 million. We have substantially completed the oven refurbishment and

expect the installation of new equipment will be completed in the second half of 2014. Additionally, we revised the estimated useful life of certain assets being replaced as part of the project, which resulted in additional depreciation of \$9.5 million, or \$0.14 per common share, and \$2.2 million, or \$0.03 per common share, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement, which contains an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to recognize the additional capital

Table of Contents

being deployed, which increased Adjusted EBITDA \$3.3 million compared to the prior year. Our customer has also notified us of a potential blast furnace outage in the first half of 2014. Beginning in the second half of 2014, we expect to begin realizing the full benefits of the refurbishment.

In 2011, we clarified the interpretation of certain contract and billing items with our customer. As a result, coal spilled during the coke oven charging process (“pad coal”) could not be subsequently reused for making coke for this customer, unless it is included in the coal blend at zero cost. The Company recorded expense of approximately \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to these contract and billing issues. The Company reached an agreement to settle its contract and billing issues with ArcelorMittal during the fourth quarter of 2012, which favorably impacted revenues by \$4.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded approximately \$3.3 million in lower of cost or market adjustments on existing pad coal inventory, and is currently remarketing pad coal to other customers.

On September 30, 2011, we acquired the 19 percent interest held by an affiliate of GE Capital in the Partnership that owns the Indiana Harbor facility for \$34.0 million. As a result of this transaction, we now hold an 85 percent interest in the Partnership. The remaining 15 percent interest in the Partnership is owned by an affiliate of DTE Energy Company. The change in ownership percentage contributed \$4.7 million and \$0.6 million to Net Income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

AK Steel Middletown Outage. We cooperated with AK Steel on its projected coke needs after a blast furnace outage occurred at their Middletown plant in the second quarter of 2013. Specifically, due to this outage, we agreed to manage production at our Haverhill cokemaking facility to be consistent with annual contract maximums and to temporarily scale back coke production at our Middletown facility to name plate capacity levels in the second half of 2013. In addition, we provided AK Steel extended payment terms on December 2013 coke production, resulting in a shift of \$20.7 million in operating cash flow from 2013 to early 2014. Pursuant to the omnibus agreement, the Company remitted a make-whole payment to the Partnership of \$0.9 million during 2013, which was based on lower production levels at our Middletown cokemaking facility. We recorded this payment as a capital contribution to the Partnership.

Customer Quality Claim. The Company is in discussions with ArcelorMittal to resolve claims by ArcelorMittal that certain shipments of coke did not meet coke quality targets. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company recorded an estimated liability of \$2.5 million for the possible reimbursement of certain freight and handling costs incurred by ArcelorMittal and for the Company’s potential legal fees and costs in connection with this matter.

Middletown Cokemaking Operations. We commenced operations at our Middletown, Ohio cokemaking facility in October 2011 and reached full production in the first quarter of 2012. Total costs of the project were approximately \$410 million. The Middletown cokemaking facility produced 617 thousand tons, 602 thousand tons and 68 thousand tons of coke for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The Middletown cokemaking facility also contributed \$263.1 million, \$289.0 million, and \$28.7 million of revenue and \$78.3 million, \$59.9 million, and (\$0.3) million of Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Middletown revenue and Adjusted EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2013 benefited from increased operating cost recovery of \$6.3 million due to the change from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton based on the expected full recovery of operational and maintenance costs. Unreimbursed costs of \$10.0 million, of which \$4.0 million related to start-up activities in the first quarter of 2012, are included in the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Black Lung Obligations. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”), which was implemented in 2010, amended previous legislation related to coal workers’ black lung obligations. PPACA provides for the automatic extension of awarded lifetime benefits to surviving spouses and changes the legal criteria used to assess and award claims. Our obligation related to black lung benefits is estimated based on various assumptions, including actuarial estimates, discount rates, and changes in health care costs. The changes in discount rates and other assumptions decreased our black lung obligation by approximately \$2.4 million in 2013. The impact of PPACA as well as changes in discount rates and other assumptions, increased our black lung benefit obligation by approximately \$1.8 million and \$6.0 million during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Corporate Separation Transactions. Prior to the Distribution Date, our operating expenses included allocations of certain general and administrative costs from Sunoco for services provided to us by Sunoco. During 2011, we replaced most services provided by Sunoco and developed the internal functions, such as financial reporting, tax, regulatory compliance, legal, corporate governance, treasury, internal audit and investor relations, necessary to fulfill our responsibilities as a stand-alone public company. Allocations from Sunoco were \$0.6 million and \$14.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, we incurred \$7.2 million in

Table of Contents

nonrecurring operating expense related to headquarter relocation costs and costs associated with hiring key senior management personnel during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Loss on Firm Purchase Commitments. During 2011, we estimated that Indiana Harbor would fall short of its 2011 annual minimum coke production requirements by approximately 122 thousand tons. Accordingly, we entered into contracts to procure approximately 133 thousand tons of coke from third parties. However, the coke prices in the purchase agreements exceeded the sales price in our contract with ArcelorMittal. This pricing difference resulted in an estimated loss on firm purchase commitments of \$18.5 million (\$12.2 million attributable to net parent investment and \$6.3 million attributable to noncontrolling interest), all of which was recorded during the first quarter of 2011. In the remainder of 2011, the Company recorded lower of cost or market adjustments of \$1.9 million (\$1.4 million attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc./net parent investment and \$0.5 million attributable to noncontrolling interests) on this purchased coke.

- 

Interest Expense, net. Interest expense, net was \$52.3 million, \$47.8 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The year ended December 31, 2013 was impacted primarily by debt restructuring costs of \$3.7 million. The remaining increase was primarily due to higher interest rates and commitment fees associated with our debt, partially offset by lower outstanding debt balances. The increase in interest expense in 2012 compared to 2011 is primarily due to SunCoke Energy issuing \$730.0 million of debt between July 26, 2011 through December 2012. Partially offsetting this increase was interest income earned on \$289.0 million in notes receivable from The Claymont Investment Company ("Claymont"), a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. In connection with the Separation, Sunoco contributed Claymont to SunCoke Energy. As a result, we no longer earn interest income for these notes, as the balances and related interest are eliminated in our consolidated results.

- 

For more information, see the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Noncontrolling Interest. Income attributable to noncontrolling interest was \$25.1 million and \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase is primarily due to the IPO of the Partnership during the first quarter of 2013. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Partnership was approximately \$24.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was partially offset by decreased performance at Indiana Harbor, which reduced noncontrolling interest by approximately \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth amounts from the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Revenues			
Sales and other operating revenue	\$1,633.5	\$1,902.0	\$1,527.6
Other income, net	14.2	12.1	11.3
Total revenues	1,647.7	1,914.1	1,538.9
Costs and operating expenses			
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	1,348.0	1,577.6	1,305.8
Loss on firm purchase commitments	—	—	18.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	92.4	82.0	88.7
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	96.0	80.8	58.4
Total costs and operating expenses	1,536.4	1,740.4	1,471.4
Operating income	111.3	173.7	67.5
Interest income, net - affiliate	—	—	9.0
Interest cost, net	(52.3	) (47.8	) (10.4
Total financing expense, net	(52.3	) (47.8	) (1.4
Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment	59.0	125.9	66.1
Income tax expense	6.7	23.4	7.2
Loss from equity method investment	2.2	—	—
Net income	50.1	102.5	58.9
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	25.1	3.7	(1.7
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$25.0	\$98.8	\$60.6

Year Ended December 31, 2013 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

**Revenues.** Our total revenues, net of sales discounts, were \$1,647.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$1,914.1 million for the corresponding period of 2012. The decrease was due primarily to the lower coal prices, resulting in the pass-through of lower coal prices within our Domestic Coke segment as well as an approximate \$49 per ton decrease in coal sales prices in our Coal Mining segment. Lower volumes at Indiana Harbor further drove down revenues. These decreases were partially offset by increased operating expense recovery in our Domestic Coke segment as well as revenues from our new Coal Logistics segment.

**Costs and Operating Expenses.** Total operating expenses were \$1,536.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$1,740.4 million for the corresponding period of 2012. The decrease in cost of products sold and operating expenses were driven primarily by reduced coal costs in our Domestic Coke segment. We also reduced coal cash production costs in our Coal Mining segment by approximately \$19 per ton due to the benefit of prior year investments in mine planning, equipment, training, idling of certain mines and cost containment initiatives. These decreases were partially offset by public company costs of the Partnership and acquisition costs. Additionally, depreciation, depletion and amortization expense increased due primarily to increased capital expenditures as well as accelerated depreciation of \$9.5 million, or \$0.14 per common share, recorded in connection with the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility during 2013.

**Financing Expense, Net.** Net financing expense was \$52.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$47.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to debt restructuring costs of \$3.7 million. The remaining increase of \$0.8 million was primarily due to higher interest rates and commitment fees associated with our debt, partially offset by lower outstanding debt balances.

Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate was 11.4 percent and 18.6 percent in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Income tax expense decreased \$16.7 million to \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$23.4 million for the corresponding period of 2012, which was primarily due to lower overall earnings as well as higher earnings attributable to noncontrolling



## Table of Contents

interests resulting from the Partnership offering in January 2013, partially offset by lower nonconventional fuel tax credits due to the expiration of the Haverhill credits.

**Loss from Equity Method Investment.** We recorded a \$2.2 million loss from our equity method investment, which included a negative foreign currency impact of \$1.5 million on imported coal purchases. Depreciation and amortization included in our 49 percent of the equity method investment results was \$2.8 million in 2013.

Performance in the period was affected by several factors including iron ore mining restrictions in India which limited steel production, a weak coke pricing environment due to increased competition from Chinese coke imports and a longer than expected process to secure working capital lines to support our coal procurement requirements. We anticipate market conditions will continue to be challenging in 2014, and our focus remains on stabilizing operations together with our partner.

**Year Ended December 31, 2012 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011**

**Revenues.** Our total revenues, net of sales discounts, were \$1,914.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1,538.9 million for the corresponding period of 2011. Our Middletown facility contributed \$260.3 million to the increase in revenues. The remaining increase was primarily driven by higher sales in our Domestic Coke segments due to the pass-through of higher coal prices and transportation costs. Also contributing to the revenue increase was higher sales in our Coal Mining segment, due primarily to higher coal prices and increased volumes. Sales price discounts provided to our customers in connection with sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits were \$11.2 million and \$12.9 million for 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Costs and Operating Expenses.** Total operating expenses were \$1,740.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1,471.4 million for the corresponding period of 2011. Our Middletown facility contributed \$212.3 million to the increase in operating expenses. The remaining increase in cost of products sold and operating expenses was driven by increased coal and coke volumes and higher coal mining costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") decreased slightly in 2012 due to favorable comparison to the prior year, which included start-up costs related to our Middletown operations and restructuring charges related to the relocation of our corporate headquarters, offset partially by higher legal costs, increased headcount and higher share-based compensation expense. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense increased due to the addition of our Middletown cokemaking facility, higher depreciation at our Coal Mining segment due to prior year capital expenditures and accelerated depreciation taken on certain assets due to a change in their estimated useful lives.

**Financing Expense, Net.** Net financing expense was \$47.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Comparability between periods is impacted by the financing activities as previously discussed.

**Income Taxes.** Our effective tax rate was 18.6 percent and 10.9 percent in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Income tax expense increased \$16.2 million to \$23.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$7.2 million for the corresponding period of 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to higher overall earnings and lower tax credits in 2012 due to the expiration of the Haverhill nonconventional fuel tax credits in July 2012. These increases were partially offset by the loss of the 2010 manufacturer's deduction for federal income tax purposes in 2011. We were not able to utilize this tax benefit in 2011 because we had a federal net operating loss for tax purposes.

### Results of Reportable Business Segments

We report our business results through five segments:

**Domestic Coke** consists of our Jewell, Indiana Harbor, Haverhill, Granite City and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery operations located in Vansant, Virginia; East Chicago, Indiana; Franklin Furnace, Ohio; Granite City, Illinois; and Middletown, Ohio, respectively.

**Brazil Coke** consists of our operations in Vitória, Brazil, where we operate a cokemaking facility for a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal;

**India Coke** consists of our cokemaking joint venture with Visa Steel in Odisha, India.

**Coal Logistics** consists of our coal handling and blending service operations in East Chicago, Indiana; Ceredo, West Virginia; Belle, West Virginia; and Catlettsburg, Kentucky.

**Coal Mining** consists of our metallurgical coal mining activities conducted in Virginia and West Virginia.

Our coke sales agreements in our Domestic Coke segment contain highly similar contract provisions. Specifically, each agreement includes:

- **Take-or-Pay Provisions.** Substantially all of our coke sales at our domestic cokemaking facilities are under take-or-pay contracts that require us to produce the contracted volumes of coke and require the customer to purchase

## Table of Contents

such volumes of coke up to a specified tonnage maximum or pay the contract price for any tonnage they elect not to take. As a result, our ability to produce the contracted coke volume and performance by our customers are key determinants of our profitability. We generally do not have significant spot coke sales since our domestic capacity is consumed by long-term contracts; accordingly, spot prices for coke do not generally affect our revenues.

**Coal Cost Component with Pass-Through Provisions.** The largest cost component of our coke is the cost of purchased coal, including any transportation or handling costs. Under the contracts at our domestic cokemaking facilities, coal costs are a pass-through component of the coke price, provided that we realize certain targeted coal-to-coke yields. When targeted coal-to-coke yields are achieved, the price of coal is not a significant determining factor in the profitability of these facilities, although it does affect our revenue and cost of sales for these facilities in approximately equal amounts. However, to the extent that the actual coal-to-coke yields are less than the contractual standard, we are responsible for the cost of the excess coal used in the cokemaking process. Conversely, to the extent our actual coal-to-coke yields are higher than the contractual standard, we realize gains. As coal prices decline, the benefits associated with favorable coal-to-coke yields also decline. The coal component of the Jewell coke price is fixed annually for each calendar year based on the weighted-average contract price of third-party coal purchases at our Haverhill facility applicable to ArcelorMittal coke sales.

**Operating Cost Component with Pass-Through or Inflation Adjustment Provisions.** Our coke prices include an operating cost component. Operating costs under three of our coke sales agreements are passed through to the respective customers subject to an annually negotiated budget in some cases subject to a cap annually adjusted for inflation, and we share any difference in costs from the budgeted amounts with our customers. Under our other two coke sales agreements, the operating cost component for our coke sales are fixed subject to an annual adjustment based on an inflation index. Accordingly, actual operating costs can have a significant impact on the profitability of all our domestic cokemaking facilities.

**Fixed Fee Component.** Our coke prices also include a per ton fixed fee component for each ton of coke sold to the customer and is determined at the time the coke sales agreement is signed and is effective for the term of each sales agreement. The fixed fee is intended to provide an adequate return on invested capital to SunCoke and may differ based on investment levels, tax benefits and other considerations. The actual return on invested capital at any facility is based on the fixed fee per ton and favorable or unfavorable performance on pass-through cost items.

**Tax Component.** Our coke sales agreements also contain provisions that generally permit the pass-through of all applicable taxes (other than income taxes) related to the production of coke at our facilities.

**Coke Transportation Cost Component.** Where we deliver coke to our customers via rail, our coke sales agreements also contain provisions that permit the pass-through of all applicable transportation costs related to the transportation of coke to our customers.

Our domestic coke facilities have also realized certain federal income tax credits. Specifically, energy policy legislation enacted in August 2005 created nonconventional fuel tax credits for U.S. federal income tax purposes pertaining to a portion of the coke production at our Jewell cokemaking facility, all of the production at our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking facilities. The credits cover a four-year period, effective the later of January 1, 2006 or the date any new facility is placed into service prior to January 1, 2010. The credits attributable to production from the second phase of our Haverhill expired in July 2012 and those attributable to production at our Granite City facility expired in November 2013. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the value of these credits was approximately \$15.55, \$15.29 and \$15.02 per ton of coke produced at facilities eligible to receive credits, respectively.

We have shared a portion of the tax credits with our customers, through discounts to the sales price of coke. Sales price discounts provided to our customers in connection with sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits, totaled \$7.4 million, \$11.2 million and \$12.9 million in the 2013, 2012 and 2011 periods, respectively. As a result of these discounts, our pretax results for these facilities reflect the impact of these sales discounts, while the actual tax benefits are reflected as a reduction of income tax expense. Accordingly, when the tax credits expire, the results of our Domestic Coke segment will increase, but this increase will be more than offset by the increase in our income tax expense.

Revenues from our Brazil segment are derived from licensing and operating fees based upon the level of production from a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal. Our revenues also include the full pass-through of the operating costs of the facility. We also receive an annual preferred dividend on our preferred stock investment in the Brazilian project company that owns the facility. In general, the facility must achieve certain minimum production levels for us to receive the preferred dividend. Recently we have reduced production at our Brazilian cokemaking facility at the request of our customer. These decreases to production do not impact the receipt of our preferred dividend.

Table of Contents

Our India segment earnings are generated by our joint venture equity method investment in the VISA SunCoke cokemaking facility in Odisha, India, which is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units. VISA SunCoke's cokemaking process utilizes heat recovery technology developed in China. VISA SunCoke will sell approximately one-third of its coke production and all of its steam production to VISA Steel with the remainder of the coke production being sold in the spot market.

Coal Logistics revenues are derived from services provided to steel, coke (including some of our domestic cokemaking facilities) and electric utility customers. Services provided to our domestic cokemaking facilities are provided under contract with terms equivalent to those of an arm's-length transaction. We do not take possession of coal but instead act as intermediaries between coal producers and coal end users by providing transloading, storage and blending services to our customers on a per ton basis. Revenues are recognized when services are provided as defined by customer contracts.

Revenues from our Coal Mining segment are generated largely from sales of coal to the Jewell cokemaking facility for conversion into coke. Some coal is also sold to our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Coal sales to third parties have historically been limited, but they have increased as a result of the HKCC acquisition and our contract mining arrangement with Revelation. Intersegment coal revenues for sales to the Domestic Coke segment are based on prices that third parties or coke customers of the Domestic Coke segment have agreed to pay for our coal, which approximate the market price for this quality of metallurgical coal. Most of the coal sales to these third parties and facilities are under contracts with one- to two-year terms, and as a result, coal revenues can lag the market for spot coal prices. Accordingly, the revenues from the Coal Mining segment are most affected by the timing of the execution of coal sales agreements with third parties or the customers of our Domestic Coke segment. Coal production costs are the other critical factor in the financial results of the Coal Mining segment.

Corporate and other expenses that can be identified with a segment have been included as deductions in determining operating results of our business segments, and the remaining expenses have been included in Corporate and Other. Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of operating performance and is used as the primary basis for the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) to evaluate the performance of each of our reportable segments. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for the reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" at the end of this Item.

Table of Contents

## Segment Operating Data

The following table sets forth financial and operating data for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Sales and other operating revenues:			
Domestic Coke	\$1,528.7	\$1,816.8	\$1,445.1
Brazil Coke	35.4	36.9	38.0
Coal Mining	61.3	48.3	44.5
Coal Mining intersegment sales	136.7	203.4	183.6
Coal Logistics	8.1	—	—
Coal Logistics intersegment sales	5.5	—	—
Elimination of intersegment sales	(142.2	) (203.4	) (183.6
Total	\$1,633.5	\$1,902.0	\$1,527.6
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup> :			
Domestic Coke	\$243.2	\$249.4	\$133.8
Brazil Coke	16.1	11.9	13.7
India Coke	0.9	—	—
Coal Mining	(18.7	) 33.4	35.5
Coal Logistics	4.7	—	—
Corporate and Other	(31.1	) (29.0	) (44.2
Total	\$215.1	\$265.7	\$138.8
Coke Operating Data:			
Domestic Coke capacity utilization (%)	101	102	100
Domestic Coke production volumes (thousands of tons) <sup>(2)</sup>	4,269	4,342	3,762
Domestic Coke sales volumes (thousands of tons) <sup>(3)</sup>	4,263	4,345	3,770
Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA per ton <sup>(4)</sup>	\$57.05	\$57.40	\$35.49
Brazilian Coke production—operated facility (thousands of tons)	876	1,209	1,442
Indian Coke sales volumes (thousands of ton) <sup>(5)</sup>	257	—	—
Coal Operating Data <sup>(6)</sup> :			
Coal sales volumes (thousands of tons):			
Internal use	1,164	1,149	1,128
Third parties	488	351	326
Total	1,652	1,500	1,454
Coal production (thousands of tons)	1,342	1,476	1,364
Purchased coal (thousands of tons)	334	42	117
Coal sales price per ton (excludes transportation costs) <sup>(7)</sup>	\$118.05	\$167.23	\$156.52
Coal cash production cost per ton <sup>(8)</sup>	\$125.87	\$144.93	\$132.27
Purchased coal cost per ton <sup>(9)</sup>	\$107.27	\$103.17	\$103.11
Total coal production cost per ton <sup>(10)</sup>	\$139.22	\$152.75	\$137.23
Coal Logistics Operating Data:			
Tons handled (thousands of tons)	3,785	—	—
Coal Logistics Adjusted EBITDA per ton handled <sup>(11)</sup>	\$1.24	\$—	\$—

Table of Contents

- (1) See definition of Adjusted EBITDA and reconciliation to GAAP at the end of this Item.
- (2) Includes Middletown production volumes of 617 thousand tons, 602 thousand tons and 68 thousand tons in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.  
Excludes 22 thousand tons of consigned coke sales in the year ended December 31, 2013 and 73 thousand tons of consigned coke sales in the year ended December 31, 2012. Includes Middletown sales volumes of 617 thousand tons, 597 thousand tons and 68 thousand tons from 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (3) Reflects Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA divided by Domestic Coke sales volumes.
- (4) Represents 100% of VISA SunCoke sales volumes.
- (5) Includes production from Company and contract-operated mines.
- (6) Includes sales to affiliates. The transfer price per ton to our Jewell cokemaking facility was \$114.20, \$179.30 and \$165.00 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.  
Mining and preparation costs, excluding depreciation, depletion and amortization, divided by coal production volume. Prior periods have been restated for a change in allocation methodology which resulted in additional costs being allocated to purchased coal.
- (7) Costs of purchased raw coal divided by purchased coal volume. Prior periods have been restated for a change in allocation methodology which resulted in additional costs being allocated to purchased coal.  
Cost of mining and preparation costs, purchased raw coal costs, and depreciation, depletion and amortization divided by coal sales volume. Depreciation, depletion and amortization per ton were \$14.04, \$11.76 and \$8.89 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (8) Reflects Coal Logistics Adjusted EBITDA divided by Coal Logistics tons handled.

Analysis of Segment Results

Year Ended December 31, 2013 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Domestic Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$288.1 million, or 15.9 percent, to \$1,528.7 million in 2013 compared to \$1,816.8 million in 2012. The decrease was mainly attributable to the pass-through of lower coal prices, which contributed \$265.4 million to the decrease. Volumes at Indiana Harbor decreased 106 thousand tons, due in part to operational inefficiencies caused by the on-going refurbishment project, and adversely impacted revenues by \$49.6 million. Our remaining domestic cokemaking facilities operated at or above 100 percent utilization and sold an additional 24 thousand tons, a portion of which was attributable to a fourth customer, and contributed approximately \$13.6 million to revenues. Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement. The new coke sales agreement contains an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to recognize the additional capital being deployed and resulted in additional revenues of \$3.3 million compared to the prior year. The remaining increase of \$10.0 million was primarily due to increased operating cost recovery, a significant portion of which was related to the change from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton based on the full recovery of expected operation maintenance costs at our Middletown facility.

Adjusted EBITDA

Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$6.2 million, or 2.5 percent, to \$243.2 million in 2013 compared to \$249.4 million in 2012. The refurbishment at our Indiana Harbor facility resulted in lower volumes as well as lower operating expense recovery, which decreased Adjusted EBITDA by \$17.3 million. The renewed Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement discussed above, contributed additional Adjusted EBITDA \$3.3 million compared to the prior year. Continued strong performance at our other domestic cokemaking facilities resulted in higher volumes, which increased Adjusted EBITDA \$2.9 million. Additionally, our other facilities' improved operating expense recovery, which increased Adjusted EBITDA \$7.4 million. The improved operating expense recovery was primarily the result of the change in our recovery mechanism at Middletown from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton which was based on the anticipated full recovery of expected operating costs. Improved coal-to-coke yields and higher energy sales increased Adjusted EBITDA by \$9.8 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Other events impacting results were a customer quality claim that resulted in an estimated \$2.5 million liability recorded in the current year as well as the absence of a favorable billing dispute settlement of \$4.2 million in the prior year. The

remaining decrease of \$8.8 million was primarily related to lower breeze sales in 2013.

53

---



Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$7.4 million, to \$68.1 million in 2013 from \$60.7 million in 2012, primarily due to accelerated depreciation taken in conjunction with the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility. We revised the estimated useful life of certain assets resulting in additional depreciation of \$9.5 million recorded during 2013, or \$0.14 per common share. The prior year period included accelerated depreciation related to the Indiana Harbor refurbishment as well as accelerated depreciation at our Haverhill facility totaling \$4.3 million, or \$0.06 per common share.

**Brazil Coke**

**Sales and Other Operating Revenue**

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$1.5 million, or 4.1 percent, to \$35.4 million in 2013 compared to \$36.9 million in 2012. The decrease is primarily due to the net effect of lower volumes of 333 thousand tons, which decreased operating revenues by approximately \$10.2 million, offset by an increase in price of \$8.7 million, which was driven by a minimum fee arrangement that we have with our customer.

**Adjusted EBITDA**

Adjusted EBITDA in the Brazil Coke segment increased \$4.2 million, or 35.3 percent, to \$16.1 million in 2013 compared to \$11.9 million in 2012. The increase is primarily due to a favorable comparison to the prior year period, which contained a higher allocation of corporate costs of \$2.8 million. The remaining increase is related to the minimum fee arrangement with our customer.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, was insignificant in both periods.

**India Coke**

We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. Our 49 percent share of Adjusted EBITDA in 2013 was \$0.9 million and included a negative foreign currency impact of \$1.5 million on imported coal purchases. Adjusted EBITDA was \$3.50 per ton of which the negative foreign currency impact contributed a loss of \$5.84 per ton. Performance in the period was affected by several factors including iron ore mining restrictions in India which limited steel production, a weak coke pricing environment due to increased competition from Chinese coke imports and a longer than expected process to secure working capital lines to support our coal procurement requirements. We anticipate market conditions will continue to be challenging in 2014, and our focus remains on stabilizing operations together with our partner.

**Coal Mining**

**Sales and Other Operating Revenue**

Total sales and other operating revenue, including intersegment sales, decreased by \$53.7 million, or 21.3 percent, to \$198.0 million in 2013 compared to \$251.7 million in 2012. The decrease in sales and other operating revenue is due to a decrease in average coal sales price per ton of \$49.18 to \$118.05 in 2013 from \$167.23 in 2012, reflecting overall lower coal sales prices.

Sales and other operating revenue is historically generated largely from sales of coal to the Jewell cokemaking facility and our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Intersegment sales decreased \$66.7 million, or 32.8 percent, to \$136.7 million in 2013 compared to \$203.4 million in 2012 due primarily to a decrease in coal sales price per ton of \$59.62 to \$117.36 in 2013 from \$176.98 in 2012.

Third party sales increased \$13.0 million, or 26.9 percent, to \$61.3 million in 2013 from \$48.3 million in 2012. The increase is primarily related to increased overall third party sales volumes of 137 thousand tons, or 39.0 percent offset by decreased sale prices for our hi-volatile and thermal coal. Sale prices decreased \$15.59 per ton to \$119.68 in 2013 compared to \$135.27 per ton in 2012.

**Adjusted EBITDA**

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$52.1 million to a loss of \$18.7 million in 2013 compared to a gain of \$33.4 million in 2012. Adjusted EBITDA decreased for 2013 due primarily to the decline in average coal selling price discussed above. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in tons sold to third parties and lower cash production costs of approximately \$19 per ton, reflecting the progress of our coal action plan initiatives, which include idling mines, reducing staff, upgrading equipment and installing a new cyclone system in our coal preparation plant.

Coal production costs decreased to \$139.22 per ton in 2013 from \$152.75 per ton in 2012 and coal cash production costs decreased to \$125.87 per ton in 2013 from \$144.93 per ton in 2012 as a result of the combined impact of the

above factors partially offset by the absence of a prior year \$4.2 million favorable fair value adjustment on the HKCC contingent consideration. A lower cost of market adjustment and black lung accrual adjustment of \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million,

54

---

## Table of Contents

respectively in 2013 as compared to \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively in 2012 also partially offset the decrease in costs.

Depreciation and depletion expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$5.6 million, to \$23.2 million in 2013 from \$17.6 million in 2012 due primarily to capital expenditures for mining equipment during 2012.

### Coal Logistics

We entered into the coal logistics business with two acquisitions in 2013. Inclusive of intersegment sales, sales and other operating revenue on 3,785 thousand tons of coal handled were \$13.6 million and Adjusted EBITDA was \$4.7 million in 2013.

Depreciation and amortization expense, which was not included in segment profitability was \$1.8 million during 2013.

### Corporate and Other

Corporate expenses increased \$2.1 million, or 7.2 percent, to \$31.1 million in 2013 from \$29.0 million in 2012. The increase in corporate expenses was due to public company costs associated with our master limited partnership and acquisition costs, including the \$1.8 million payment to DTE concurrent with the acquisition of Lake Terminal. These increases in expenses were partially offset by a \$3.3 million favorable black lung accrual adjustment during 2013 as compared to a \$1.1 million unfavorable adjustment during 2012.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, remained reasonably consistent at \$2.5 million in 2013, as compared to \$2.2 million in 2012.

### Analysis of Segment Results

Year Ended December 31, 2012 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

#### Domestic Coke

##### Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue increased \$371.7 million, or 25.7 percent, to \$1,816.8 million in 2012 compared to \$1,445.1 million in 2011. Our Middletown facility commenced operations in the fourth quarter of 2011 and contributed \$260.3 million to the increase in sales for 2012. Excluding Middletown, the increase was mainly attributable to higher pricing driven by the pass-through of higher coal costs, which contributed \$68.1 million of the increase. Approximately \$24.6 million of the increase was related to higher fees for the reimbursement of operating and transportation costs. Coke sales volumes, excluding Middletown, also increased 46 thousand tons, or 1 percent, in 2012 compared to 2011, which contributed \$17.1 million of the increase. Capacity utilization in 2012 was 102 percent, an increase from 100 percent in 2011, which favorably impacted volume and sales at each of our facilities. Decreased sales discounts due to the expiration of federal income tax credits at our Haverhill facility in June 2012 increased revenues approximately \$1.7 million in 2012. Revenues in 2012 also benefited approximately \$4.2 million from the settlement of a billing dispute with ArcelorMittal during the fourth quarter of 2012. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in energy pricing, which lowered sales and other operating revenue by \$4.3 million for 2012.

##### Adjusted EBITDA

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, the intersegment coal price charged to the Domestic Coke segment is reflective of the contract price the facility charges its customers. Prior year periods have been adjusted to reflect this change. Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA increased \$115.6 million, or 86.4 percent, to \$249.4 million for 2012 compared to \$133.8 million in 2011. The contribution of our Middletown facility increased Adjusted EBITDA by \$60.2 million for 2012. The Middletown results included approximately \$10.0 million of unreimbursed costs, \$4.0 million of which is associated with start-up activities in the first quarter of 2012. Excluding Middletown, Adjusted EBITDA increased \$55.4 million.

Increased coal cost recovery of \$38.1 million and increased operating cost recovery of \$8.1 million, which was primarily driven by improved performance at our Indiana Harbor and Granite City facilities, contributed primarily to the increase. Increased volumes contributed an additional \$4.2 million to the increase in Adjusted EBITDA and the settlement of a billing dispute with ArcelorMittal during the fourth quarter of 2012 also contributed an additional \$11.2 million when compared to the prior period. These increases were partially offset by decreases of \$6.2 million primarily related to decreased energy sales due primarily to lower pricing and an increase in selling, general and

administrative expenses due primarily to an increase in legal costs.

Depreciation and amortization expense, which is not included in segment profitability, increased \$17.1 million, to \$60.7 million in 2012, from \$43.6 million in 2011, primarily due to the impact of Middletown operations as well as accelerated depreciation of \$4.3 million, or \$0.06 per common share, related to the Indiana Harbor refurbishment and a change in the estimated lives on certain assets at our Haverhill facility.

Table of Contents

Brazil Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$1.1 million, or 2.9 percent, to \$36.9 million in 2012 compared to \$38.0 million in 2011 due to a decreased in volumes of 233 thousand tons, or 16.2 percent, partially offset by higher pass-through of operating costs. The decrease in volumes was due to a request from our customer and does not impact our ability to receive our preferred dividend.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA in the Brazil Coke segment decreased \$1.8 million, or 13.1 percent, to \$11.9 million in 2012 compared to \$13.7 million in 2011. The decrease is due primarily to higher legal costs, decreased volumes, an unfavorable comparison to prior year due to an operating expense reimbursement in 2011. This was partially offset by increased operating expense recovery.

Depreciation expense, which is not included in segment profitability, was insignificant in both 2012 and 2011.

Coal Mining

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Total sales and other operating revenue, including intersegment sales, increased by \$23.6 million, or 10.3 percent, to \$251.7 million in 2012 compared to \$228.1 million in 2011. The increase in sales and other operating revenue is due to increased coal sales price per ton of \$10.71, or 6.8 percent, to \$167.23 in 2012, from \$156.52 in 2011. Additionally, volume increased 46 thousand tons, or 3.2 percent, for 2012.

Sales and other operating revenue is historically generated largely from sales of coal to the Jewell cokemaking facility and our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, intersegment coal revenues for sales to Domestic Coke are reflective of the contract price the facility charges its customer. Prior year periods have been adjusted to reflect this change. Intersegment sales increased \$19.8 million, or 10.8 percent, to \$203.4 million in 2012 compared to \$183.6 million in 2011 due mainly to an increase in price to \$176.98 per ton in 2012 from \$162.69 per ton in 2011. Internal sales volumes increased 21 thousand tons, or 1.9 percent, in 2012 as compared to 2011, contributing marginally to the increase.

Third party sales in 2012 increased \$3.8 million, or 8.5 percent, to \$48.3 million in 2012 from \$44.5 million in 2011 due primarily to an increase in volume of 25 thousand tons, or 7.7 percent. Pricing for third party sales was essentially flat in 2012 as compared to 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$2.1 million, or 5.9 percent, to \$33.4 million in 2012 from \$35.5 million in 2011. The decrease in Adjusted EBITDA was driven primarily by higher average coal cash production costs per ton caused by increased reject rates early in the year, increased labor costs due to higher wage rates and the implementation of a new bonus program and higher royalty and trucking payments. The remainder of the decrease was primarily related to lower sales of hi-volatile and thermal coal, despite increased overall volumes and selling prices. Coal cash production costs per ton increased over the prior year due to a change in the mix of coal produced, with hi-volatile and thermal coals representing a smaller portion of production in the current year. Because mid-volatile coal is generally more costly to mine as compared to hi-volatile and thermal coal production, our shift toward mid-volatile production in response to weaker hi-volatile and thermal market conditions increased the average cash production cost per ton in the current year. These decreases to Adjusted EBITDA were partially offset by an increase in the favorable fair value adjustment related to our HKCC contingent consideration arrangement of \$2.3 million, from \$1.9 million in 2011 to \$4.2 million in 2012.

The combined impact of these factors resulted in coal production costs increasing to \$152.75 per ton in 2012 from \$137.23 per ton in 2011 and coal cash production costs increasing to \$144.93 per ton in 2012 from \$132.27 per ton in 2011.

Depreciation and depletion expense, which is not included in segment profitability, increased \$4.7 million, to \$17.6 million in 2012 from \$12.9 million in 2011 due primarily to capital expenditures for mining equipment in the prior year.

Corporate and Other

Corporate expenses decreased \$15.2 million, or 34.4 percent, to \$29.0 million in 2012 compared to \$44.2 million in 2011. The decrease in corporate expenses was driven by lower relocation costs of \$7.4 million, increased allocations of corporate costs of \$7.2 million, decreased consulting and outside service cost of \$7.2 million and favorable comparison to the prior year period which included approximately \$3.6 million of start-up costs related to our Middletown operations. These decreases were partially offset by increased costs of \$10.2 million primarily related to share-based compensation expense and increased incentive compensation expense in 2012.

## Table of Contents

Depreciation expense, which is not included in segment profitability, increased \$0.5 million, to \$2.2 million in 2012 from \$1.7 million in 2011. The increase in depreciation is primarily due to increased capital expenditures in the current period, partially offset by accelerated depreciation and asset write-offs resulting from our corporate headquarters relocation in the prior year.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Prior to the Separation Date, our primary source of liquidity was cash from operations and borrowings from Sunoco. Our funding from Sunoco had been through floating-rate borrowings from Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. The agreements between Sunoco and the Company related to these borrowings terminated concurrent with our IPO and all outstanding advances were settled.

Following the Separation Date, our primary sources of liquidity are cash on hand, cash from operations and borrowings under the debt financing arrangements described below. We believe these sources will be sufficient to fund our planned operations, including capital expenditures and stock repurchases.

Concurrent with the IPO, SunCoke Energy entered into a credit agreement dated as of July 26, 2011 ("Credit Agreement") that provides for a seven-year term loan in a principal amount of \$300.0 million (the "Term Loan"), repayable in equal quarterly installments at a rate of 1.00 percent of the original principal amount per year, with the balance payable on the final maturity date. Additionally, the Credit Agreement provides for up to \$75.0 million in uncommitted incremental facility term loans (the "Incremental Facilities") that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. Concurrent with the IPO, SunCoke Energy also issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes (the "Senior Notes") that bear interest at a rate of 7.625 percent per annum and will mature in 2019 with all principal paid at maturity.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we received net proceeds from the sale of common units of \$232.0 million and we repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and amended our Credit Agreement. We have \$99.1 million outstanding under the Term Loan as of December 31, 2013. The term of the Credit Agreement was extended to January 2018 and we incurred debt issuance costs of \$0.7 million related to this transaction. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$45.0 million of capacity under the Incremental Facilities. The Credit Agreement also provides for a five-year \$150.0 million revolving facility ("Revolving Facility") that can be used to finance capital expenditures, acquisitions, working capital needs and for other general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2013, the Revolving Facility had no draws and letters of credit outstanding of \$2.1 million, leaving \$147.9 million available subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement.

In addition, with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes"). The Partnership Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.375 percent per annum and will mature on February 1, 2020. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Partnership may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes prior to February 1, 2016 by paying a "make-whole" premium. The Partnership also may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes on or after February 1, 2016 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Partnership may redeem up to 35 percent of the Partnership Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings. If the Partnership sells certain of its assets or experiences specific kinds of changes in control, subject to certain exceptions, the Partnership must offer to purchase the Partnership Notes. Net proceeds from the issuance of the Partnership Notes were \$146.3 million, which was net of debt issuance costs of \$3.7 million. In conjunction with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership also entered into a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility (the "Partnership Revolving Facility"). The Partnership incurred issuance costs of \$2.2 million in conjunction with entering into this new revolving credit facility. This credit facility was amended on August 28, 2013, increasing the total aggregate commitments from lenders to \$150.0 million and now also providing for up to \$100.0 million uncommitted incremental revolving capacity, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Partnership paid \$0.9 million in fees related to the credit facility amendment. The fees have been included in deferred charges and other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized over the life of the facility. On October 1, 2013 the Partnership borrowed \$40.0 million against the Partnership Revolving Facility for the purchase of KRT. In addition to the \$40.0 million borrowed, the credit facility had letters of credit outstanding of \$0.7 million, leaving \$109.3 million available as of December 31, 2013. Of the total debt issuance costs associated with these facilities, approximately \$0.6 million were paid during

2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Partnership paid three quarterly cash distributions totaling \$37.2 million, of which \$15.6 million was paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. On January 27, 2014, the Partnership declared a quarterly cash distribution totaling \$15.2 million, of which \$6.4 million will be paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. The distribution was paid on February 28, 2014 to unitholders of record on February 14, 2014.

57

---



Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of the net cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$151.3	\$206.1	\$101.3
Net cash used in investing activities	(326.6	) (84.1	) (275.7
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	169.7	(10.3	) 261.8
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$(5.6	) \$111.7	\$87.4

**Cash Provided by Operating Activities**

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$54.8 million to \$151.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. The decrease in operating cash flow was primarily attributable to the impact of lower earnings in the current year.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$104.8 million to \$206.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to the contribution to earnings of our Middletown operations of approximately \$29.4 million and decreases in working capital in 2012 versus 2011. The decrease in working capital was primarily due to a \$32.8 million decrease in coal inventory held in the Other Domestic Coke segment, as well as a \$28.1 million decrease in consigned coke inventory. Coal inventory levels were higher in 2011 due to increased purchases in the third quarter in response to force majeure events experienced by multiple coal suppliers in the first half of 2011. This decrease in inventory was partially offset by decreases in accounts payable due primarily to lower inventory purchases.

**Cash Used in Investing Activities**

Cash used in investing activities increased \$242.5 million to \$326.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2012. The current year period includes expenditures of \$67.7 million for our investment in the Indian joint venture, \$28.6 million for the acquisition of Lake Terminal, and \$84.7 million for the acquisition of KRT. Capital expenditures increased of approximately \$65.0 million over the prior year primarily due to the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility and environmental remediation project expenditures at Haverhill.

Cash used in investing activities decreased \$191.6 million to \$84.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. Cash used in investing activities in 2012 included capital expenditures of \$169.4 million related to the construction of our Middletown facility and \$37.6 million net cash used for the acquisition of the HKCC Companies. In 2012, we spent \$4.8 million of environmental remediation project expenditures as well as \$13.7 million of expansion capital expenditures at Indiana Harbor. In addition, a \$3.5 million payment to complete the HKCC acquisition was made in 2012.

For a more detailed discussion of our capital expenditures, see "Capital Requirements and Expenditures" below.

**Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities**

For the year ended December 31, 2013, net cash provided by financing activities was \$169.7 million compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. During 2013, we received proceeds of \$237.8 million from the issuance of 13,500,000 common units in SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., \$150.0 million from the issuance of the Partnership Notes, \$40.0 million from borrowing against the Partnership Revolving Facility, and \$2.5 million from stock option exercises. These increases were partially offset by the repayment of \$225.0 million of our Term Loan, debt issuance costs of \$6.9 million, the repurchase of shares for \$10.9 million and a cash distribution to noncontrolling interests of \$17.8 million of which \$15.6 million and \$2.2 million related to our noncontrolling interest in the Partnership and Indiana Harbor, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, net cash used in financing activities was \$10.3 million compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$261.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The 2011 period included the issuance of the Notes, Term Loan and Incremental Facilities described in "Liquidity and Capital Resources" above offset by repayments to the Sunoco affiliate and the Company's acquisition of an additional 19 percent ownership interest in the Indiana Harbor Partnership for \$34.0 million. During 2012, we repurchased 603,528 shares for \$9.4 million, repaid debt \$3.3 million and made cash distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$2.3 million, which

were partly offset by proceeds from stock option exercises of \$4.7 million.

58

---

Table of Contents

## Capital Requirements, Expenditures and Investments

## Capital Requirements and Expenditures

Our cokemaking and coal mining operations are capital intensive, requiring significant investment to upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. The level of future capital expenditures will depend on various factors, including market conditions and customer requirements, and may differ from current or anticipated levels. Material changes in capital expenditure levels may impact financial results, including but not limited to the amount of depreciation, interest expense and repair and maintenance expense.

Our capital requirements have consisted, and are expected to consist, primarily of:

- ongoing capital expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, the integrity and safety of our coke ovens, steam generators and coal mines and to comply with environmental regulations;

- environmental remediation project expenditures required to implement design changes to ensure that our existing facilities operate in accordance with existing environmental permits; and

- expansion capital expenditures to acquire and/or construct complementary assets to grow our business and to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase coal production from existing mines and increase coke production from existing facilities, as well as capital expenditures made to enable the renewal of a coke sales agreement and on which we expect to earn a reasonable return.

The following table summarizes ongoing, environmental remediation project and expansion capital expenditures:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Ongoing capital	\$51.5	\$61.2	\$57.3
Environmental remediation project	27.9	4.8	—
Expansion capital <sup>(1)</sup>			
Indiana Harbor	66.2	13.7	—
Middletown	—	—	169.4
Coal Mining	—	0.9	11.4
Total expansion capital	66.2	14.6	180.8
Total	\$145.6	\$80.6	\$238.1

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes the investment in VISA SunCoke and the acquisitions of Lake Terminal, KRT and the HKCC Companies.

Our capital expenditures for 2014 are expected to be approximately \$117 million, which excludes expenditures related to our potential new facility in Kentucky and a potential new coal preparation plant. Included in our capital expenditures for 2014 are approximately \$56 million of ongoing capital expenditures, which are capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of the assets and/or to extend their useful lives. Ongoing capital expenditures also include new equipment that improves the efficiency, reliability or effectiveness of existing assets. Ongoing capital expenditures do not include normal repairs and maintenance expenses, which are expensed as incurred. We anticipate spending approximately \$120 million in environmental remediation projects to enhance the environmental performance at our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking operations, an increase from our previous estimate of \$100 million. We previously spent approximately \$33 million related to these projects and anticipate spending approximately \$41 million in 2014 and approximately \$46 million in the 2015 to 2016 timeframe. A portion of the proceeds from the Partnership offering is being used to fund \$67 million of certain identified environmental remediation projects. In addition, we anticipate spending approximately \$20 million in 2014 to complete the refurbishment of the Indiana Harbor facility.

## Investments

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a cokemaking joint venture, VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel Limited in India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent.

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed the acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lake Terminal for an all cash purchase price of \$28.6 million. On October 1, 2013, the Partnership completed the acquisition of KRT

for \$84.7 million utilizing available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

	Total (Dollars in millions)	Payment Due Dates			
		2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	Thereafter
Total Debt:					
Principal	690.1	\$41.0	\$2.1	\$97.0	\$550.0
Interest	262.1	47.8	93.8	90.8	29.7
Operating leases <sup>(1)</sup>	15.8	4.3	6.0	2.3	3.2
Purchase obligations:					
Coal	476.4	476.4	—	—	—
Transportation and coal handling <sup>(2)</sup>	342.9	42.1	53.2	33.1	214.5
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	17.3	4.1	3.4	2.8	7.0
Total	\$1,804.6	\$615.7	\$158.5	\$226.0	\$804.4

(1) Our operating leases include leases for office space, land, locomotives, office equipment and other property and equipment. Operating leases include all operating leases that have initial noncancelable terms in excess of one year.

(2) Transportation and coal handling services consist primarily of railroad and terminal services attributable to delivery and handling of coal purchases and coke sales. Long-term commitments generally relate to locations for which limited transportation options exist and match the length of the related coke sales agreement.

(3) Primarily represents open purchase orders for materials and supplies.

A purchase obligation is an enforceable and legally binding agreement to purchase goods or services that specifies significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Our principal purchase obligations in the ordinary course of business consist of coal and transportation and distribution services, including railroad services. We also have contractual obligations supporting financing arrangements of third parties, contracts to acquire or construct properties, plants and equipment, and other contractual obligations, primarily related to services and materials. Most of our coal purchase obligations are based on fixed prices. These purchase obligations generally include fixed or minimum volume requirements. Transportation and distribution obligations also typically include required minimum volume commitments. The purchase obligation amounts in the table above are based on the minimum quantities or services to be purchased at estimated prices to be paid based on current market conditions. Accordingly, the actual amounts may vary significantly from the estimates included in the table.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than the arrangements described in Note 18 to the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company has not entered into any transactions, agreements or other contractual arrangements that would result in off-balance sheet liabilities.

## Impact of Inflation

Although the impact of inflation has slowed in recent years, it is still a factor in the U.S. economy and may increase the cost to acquire or replace properties, plants, and equipment and may increase the costs of labor and supplies. To the extent permitted by competition, regulation and existing agreements, we have generally passed along increased costs to our customers in the form of higher fees and we expect to continue this practice.

## Critical Accounting Policies

A summary of our significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 to the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements. Our management believes that the application of these policies on a consistent basis enables us to provide the users of the financial statements with useful and reliable information about our operating results and financial condition. The preparation of our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions consist of: (1) properties, plants and equipment; (2) retirement benefit liabilities; (3) black lung benefit obligations; and (4) deferred income taxes. Although our management bases its estimates on historical experience and various

other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results may differ to some extent from the estimates on which our Combined and Consolidated Financial

60

---

## Table of Contents

Statements have been prepared at any point in time. Despite these inherent limitations, our management believes the “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements provide a meaningful and fair perspective of our financial condition.

### Properties, Plants and Equipment

The cost of plants and equipment is generally depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Useful lives of assets which are depreciated on a straight-line basis are based on historical experience and are adjusted when changes in the expected physical life of the asset, its planned use, technological advances, or other factors show that a different life would be more appropriate. Changes in useful lives that do not result in the impairment of an asset are recognized prospectively. The lease and mineral rights are capitalized and amortized to operations as depletion expense using the units-of-production method.

Normal repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Amounts incurred that extend an asset’s useful life, increase its productivity or add production capacity are capitalized. Direct costs, such as outside labor, materials, internal payroll and benefit costs, incurred during the construction of a new facility are capitalized; indirect costs are not capitalized. Repairs and maintenance costs, which are generally reimbursed as part of the pass-through nature of our contracts, were \$122.5 million, \$100.1 million and \$93.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Long-lived assets, other than those held for sale, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Such events and circumstances include, among other factors: operating losses; unused capacity; market value declines; changes in the expected physical life of an asset; technological developments resulting in obsolescence; changes in demand for our products or in end-use goods manufactured by others utilizing our products as raw materials; changes in our business plans or those of our major customers, suppliers or other business partners; changes in competition and competitive practices; uncertainties associated with the U.S. and world economies; changes in the expected level of capital, operating or environmental remediation project expenditures; and changes in governmental regulations or actions. Additional factors impacting the economic viability of long-lived assets are described under “Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements.”

A long-lived asset, or group of assets, that is not held for sale is considered to be impaired when the undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset are less than its carrying amount. Such estimated future cash flows are highly subjective and are based on numerous assumptions about future operations and market conditions. The impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the impaired asset, or group of assets. It is also difficult to precisely estimate fair market value because quoted market prices for our long-lived assets may not be readily available. Therefore, fair market value is generally based on the present values of estimated future cash flows using discount rates commensurate with the risks associated with the assets being reviewed for impairment. We have had no significant asset impairments during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

### Retirement Benefit Liabilities

We use actuarial assumptions to calculate pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations and related costs. Two critical assumptions, the discount rate and the expected return on plan assets, are important elements of plan expense and liability measurement. Other assumptions involve demographic factors such as expected retirement age, mortality, employee turnover, health care cost trends and rate of compensation increases. We evaluate these assumptions annually and make adjustments in accordance with changes in underlying market conditions, valuation of plan assets, or demographics. Changes in these assumptions may increase or decrease periodic benefit plan expense as well as the carry value of benefit plan assets or obligations.

**Pension Benefit Liabilities.** We have obligations totaling \$32.9 million and plan assets of \$36.9 million in connection with a funded noncontributory defined benefit pension plan. Effective January 1, 2011, benefits under this plan were frozen for all eligible participants. We did not make any contributions to this plan in the year ended December 31, 2013.

The principal assumptions that impact the determination of both expense and benefit obligations for our pension plan is the discount rate and the long-term expected rate of return on plan assets. We determine the discount rates for our

pension obligation on the measurement date by reference to annualized rates earned on high quality fixed income investments and yield-to-maturity analysis specific to each plans' estimated future benefit payments.

The expected rate of return on plan assets is designed to be a long-term assumption. It generally will differ from the actual annual return, which is subject to considerable year-to-year variability. The expected rate of return on plan assets is estimated utilizing a variety of factors including the historical investment return achieved over a long-term period, the targeted allocation of plan assets and expectations concerning future returns in the marketplace for both equity and fixed income securities.



Table of Contents

At the beginning of 2013, the target allocation and strategy was an allocation of 66 percent to equity securities and 34 percent to investment grade fixed income securities. During the second quarter of 2013, the pension plan's investment strategy and target asset allocation for non-cash investments was modified to implement an allocation of 50 percent equity securities and 50 percent investment grade fixed income securities. During the fourth quarter of 2013, the target asset allocation and strategy was again modified to a portfolio of 100 percent investment grade fixed income securities with a weighted average duration approximately equal to the duration of the pension plan's benefit obligation. The objective of this strategy is to minimize the risk of market volatility on the value of our pension plan assets.

**Other Post-Employment Benefit Liabilities.** We have obligations totaling \$38.4 million in connection with postretirement welfare benefit plans that provide health care benefits for substantially all of our current retirees. The postretirement welfare benefit plans are unfunded and have historically been paid by us subject to deductibles and coinsurance that have been the responsibility of retirees. Medical benefits under these plans were also phased out or eliminated for most non-mining employees with less than 10 years of service on January 1, 2011. Our future contributions for these plans will be subject to an annual cap for all those who are eligible for these benefits. The principal assumptions that impact the determination of both expense and benefit obligations for our postretirement health care benefit plan are the discount rate and the health care cost trend rate. However, the impact of the health care trend rate has been greatly mitigated by the cap on our contributions.

We determine the discount rates for our other postretirement welfare benefit obligations on the measurement date by reference to annualized rates earned on high quality fixed income investments and yield-to-maturity analysis specific to each plans' estimated future benefit payments. We developed health care cost trend rate assumptions based on historical cost data and an assessment of likely long-term trends. The Company amended its postretirement benefit plans during the first quarter of 2010. Postretirement medical benefits for its future retirees were phased out or eliminated, effective January 1, 2011, for non-mining employees with less than ten years of service, all new employees and employer costs for all those still eligible for such benefits were capped. Effective January 1, 2013, we made modifications to our postretirement welfare benefit plan to reduce the costs associated with the way we administer retiree health care coverage for certain current and future retirees. We amended our postretirement welfare benefit plan to provide Medicare participants with retiree medical benefits through a private insurance exchange beginning January 1, 2013 using a company-funded subsidy varying based upon participant age at the end of each plan year. The age-based, company-funded subsidy is fixed and does not increase with healthcare cost inflation. Actuarial gains or losses are triggered by changes in assumptions or experience that differ from the original assumptions and, as permitted by existing accounting rules, are not required to be recognized currently in benefit expense. Rather, those gains or losses are deferred as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and amortized into expense over future periods. At December 31, 2013, the accumulated net actuarial loss for defined benefit plan was and postretirement welfare benefit plan was \$10.1 million and \$11.1 million, respectively. We also have unrecognized prior service benefits attributable to our postretirement benefit plans of approximately \$16.5 million at December 31, 2013, which is primarily attributable to the phase down or elimination of retiree medical benefits described above. Most of the benefit of this liability reduction will be amortized into income through 2016. The following table illustrates the sensitivity to a change in certain assumptions for pension and postretirement plans, holding all other assumptions constant:

	Change in Rate	Expense <sup>(2)</sup>	Benefit Obligations <sup>(1)(2)</sup>
	(Dollars in millions)		
Pension benefits:			
Decrease in the discount rate	0.25	% \$—	\$ 0.9
Decrease in the long-term expected rate of return on plan assets	0.25	% \$ 0.1	\$ 0.9
Postretirement welfare benefits:			
Decrease in the discount rate	0.25	% \$—	\$ 0.8
Increase in the annual health care cost trend rates	1.00	% \$—	\$—

(1) Represents both the increase in accumulated benefit obligation and the projected benefit obligation for our defined benefit pension plan and the accumulated postretirement benefit welfare obligations for our postretirement welfare

benefit plans.

- (2) Certain expense and benefit obligation changes are less than \$0.1 million and are not reflected in the table.

See Note 14 for further discussion.

62

---

Table of Contents**Black Lung Benefit Liabilities**

We have obligations related to coal workers' pneumoconiosis, or black lung, benefits to certain of our employees and former employees (and their dependents). Such benefits are provided for under Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 and subsequent amendments, as well as for black lung benefits provided in the states of Virginia, Kentucky and West Virginia pursuant to workers' compensation legislation. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA"), which was implemented in 2010, amended previous legislation related to coal workers' black lung obligations. PPACA provides for the automatic extension of awarded lifetime benefits to surviving spouses and changes the legal criteria used to assess and award claims. We act as a self-insurer for both state and federal black lung benefits and adjust our liability each year based upon actuarial calculations of our expected future payments for these benefits. The Company recognized income of \$0.3 million related to black lung benefits during 2013 and charges against income of \$3.3 million and \$8.7 million during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Our independent actuaries annually calculate the actuarial present value of the estimated black lung liability based on assumptions regarding disability incidence, medical costs, mortality, death benefits, dependents and discount rates.

The discount rate is determined based on a portfolio of high-quality corporate bonds with maturities that are consistent with the estimated duration of our black lung obligations. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the discount rate used to calculate the period end liability was 4.65, 3.80 and 4.50 percent respectively. A 0.25 percent decrease in the discount rate would have increased 2013 coal workers' black lung expense by \$1.0 million.

The estimated liability recognized in our financial statements at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$32.4 million and \$34.8 million, respectively. Changes in actuarial assumptions, including the discount rate and mortality assumptions, decreased our black lung obligation by approximately \$2.4 million at December 31, 2013. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we paid black lung benefits of approximately \$2.1 million. Our obligations with respect to these liabilities are unfunded at December 31, 2013.

**Deferred Income Taxes**

Prior to the Distribution Date, SunCoke Energy and certain subsidiaries of Sunoco were included in the consolidated federal and certain consolidated, combined or unitary state income tax returns filed by Sunoco. However, SunCoke Energy's provision for income taxes and the deferred income tax amounts reflected in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements have been determined on a theoretical separate-return basis. Prior to the Separation Date, any current federal and state income tax amounts were settled with Sunoco under a previous tax sharing arrangement.

Under this previous tax sharing arrangement, net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards generated on a theoretical separate-return basis could be used to offset future taxable income determined on a similar basis. Such benefits were reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets, notwithstanding the fact that such net operating losses and tax credits may actually have been realized on Sunoco's consolidated income tax returns, or may be realized in future Sunoco consolidated income tax returns (for periods through the Distribution Date).

On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a new tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. Under the tax sharing agreement, certain deferred tax assets attributable to net operating losses and credit carry forwards, which had been reflected in SunCoke Energy's balance sheets prior to the Separation Date on a standalone theoretical basis, are no longer realizable by SunCoke Energy. Accordingly, after the Separation Date, current and deferred tax benefits totaling \$229.2 million were eliminated from the Consolidated Balance Sheets with a corresponding reduction in SunCoke Energy's equity accounts, \$85.8 million which were eliminated in the year ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, SunCoke Energy estimates that all tax benefits have been settled under the provisions of the tax sharing agreement. SunCoke Energy will continue to monitor the full utilization of all tax attributes when the respective tax returns are filed and will, consistent with the terms of the tax sharing agreement, record additional adjustments when necessary. Beginning in 2013, any additional adjustments will be recorded through income.

We received federal income tax credits for coke production from our Granite City cokemaking facility and from the second phase of our Haverhill cokemaking facility. These tax credits were earned for each ton of coke produced and sold and expired four years after the initial coke production at the facility. The tax credit eligibility for coke

production from the second phase of the Haverhill facility expired in July 2012 and the tax credit eligibility for coke production from the Granite City facility expired in November 2013. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the value of the credits was approximately \$15.55 per ton, \$15.29 per ton and \$15.02 per ton of coke produced at facilities eligible to receive credits, respectively. We shared with our customers a portion of the value of these credits, when utilized, through sales discounts to their respective coke prices. Sales discounts provided to our customers were \$7.4 million, \$11.2 million and \$12.9 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At December 31, 2012, we had \$13.6 million accrued related to sales discounts that had not yet been shared with our customers.

## Table of Contents

See Note 9 to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

### Arrangements Between Sunoco and SunCoke Energy, Inc.

In connection with the IPO, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into certain agreements that effected the separation of SunCoke Energy's business from Sunoco, provided a framework for its relationship with Sunoco after the separation and provided for the allocation between SunCoke Energy and Sunoco of Sunoco's assets, employees, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to, at and after the Separation.

**Tax Sharing Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. Certain key restrictions of the tax sharing agreement expired on January 17, 2014. Upon and subsequent to the Separation, SunCoke Energy made noncash distributions of \$85.8 million related to the settlement of tax attributes under the tax sharing agreement with Sunoco during 2012. A corresponding reduction was made to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts.

**Transition Services Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a transition services agreement. The services provided under this agreement generally terminated upon completion of the Distribution on January 17, 2012. Any remaining services under this agreement were terminated by the end of 2013.

**Guaranty, Keep Well, and Indemnification Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a guaranty, keep well, and indemnification agreement. Under this agreement, SunCoke Energy: (1) guarantees the performance of certain obligations of its subsidiaries, prior to the date that Sunoco or its affiliates may become obligated to pay or perform such obligations, including the repayment of a loan from Indiana Harbor Coke Company L.P.; (2) indemnifies, defends, and holds Sunoco and its affiliates harmless against all liabilities relating to these obligations; and (3) restricts the assets, debts, liabilities and business activities of one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, so long as certain obligations of such subsidiary remain unpaid or unperformed. In addition, SunCoke Energy released Sunoco from its guaranty of payment of a promissory note owed by one of its subsidiaries to another of its subsidiaries.

### Recent Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires the disclosure of changes to accumulated other comprehensive income to be presented by component on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This ASU also requires the disclosure of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income during the period either on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This standard is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We have elected to provide the required disclosures in a separate note to the financial statements. See Note 20.

### Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to the GAAP results provided in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-K, we have provided a non-GAAP financial measure, Adjusted EBITDA. Reconciliation from GAAP to the non-GAAP measurement is presented below. Our management, as well as certain investors, use this non-GAAP measure to analyze our current and expected future financial performance. This measure is not in accordance with, or a substitute for, GAAP and may be different from, or inconsistent with, non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

**Adjusted EBITDA.** Adjusted EBITDA represents earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization ("EBITDA") adjusted for sales discounts and the interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA reflects sales discounts included as a reduction in sales and other operating revenue. The sales discounts represent the sharing with customers of a portion of nonconventional fuel tax credits, which reduce our income tax expense. However, we believe our Adjusted EBITDA would be inappropriately penalized if these discounts were treated as a reduction of EBITDA since they represent sharing of a tax benefit that is not included in EBITDA. Accordingly, in computing Adjusted EBITDA, we have added back these sales discounts. Our Adjusted EBITDA also includes EBITDA attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent and should not be considered alternatives to net income or operating income

under GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures in other businesses.

Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of the operating performance of the Company's net assets and provides useful information to investors because it highlights trends in our business that may not otherwise be apparent when relying solely on GAAP measures and because it eliminates items that have less bearing on our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a measure of operating performance that is not defined by GAAP, does not represent and should not be considered a substitute for net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Calculations of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to those reported by other companies.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is additional detail as to how we use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of operating performance, as well as a discussion of the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA as an analytical tool.

**Operating Performance.** Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA to assess our combined financial and operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA helps management identify controllable expenses and make decisions designed to help us meet our current financial goals and optimize our financial performance while neutralizing the impact of capital structure on financial results. Accordingly, we believe this metric is helpful to management in identifying trends in our performance, as it measures financial performance based on operational factors that management can impact in the short-term, namely our cost structure and expenses.

**Limitations.** Other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Adjusted EBITDA also has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include that Adjusted EBITDA:

- does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, working capital needs;
- does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest on or principal payments of our debt;
- does not reflect certain other non-cash income and expenses;
- excludes income taxes that may represent a reduction in available cash; and
- includes net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests.

We explain Adjusted EBITDA and reconcile this non-GAAP financial measure to our net income, which is its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Below is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to its closest GAAP measure:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$173.9	\$262.7	\$142.8
Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interest <sup>(1)</sup>	41.2	3.0	(4.0 )
Adjusted EBITDA	215.1	265.7	138.8
Subtract:			
Adjustment to unconsolidated affiliate earnings <sup>(2)</sup>	3.2	—	—
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	96.0	80.8	58.4
Financing expense, net	52.3	47.8	1.4
Income tax expense	6.7	23.4	7.2
Sales discount provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits	6.8	11.2	12.9
Net income	\$50.1	\$102.5	\$58.9

Table of Contents

Below is a reconciliation of 2014 Estimated Adjusted EBITDA to its closest GAAP measure:

	2014	
	Low	High
	(Dollars in millions)	
Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$183	\$203
Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interests <sup>(1)</sup>	47	52
Total Adjusted EBITDA	230	255
Subtract:		
Adjustments to unconsolidated affiliate earnings <sup>(2)</sup>	4	7
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	105	100
Financing expense, net	55	53
Income tax expense	13	24
Sales discount provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits	—	—
Net income	\$53	\$71

(1) Reflects non-controlling interest in Indiana Harbor and the portion of the Partnership owned by public unitholders  
(2) Reflects estimated share of interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization related to VISA SunCoke



Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including, among others, in the sections entitled “Business,” “Risk Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” Such forward-looking statements are based on management’s beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, business strategies, financing plans, competitive position, potential growth opportunities, potential operating performance, the effects of competition and the effects of future legislation or regulations. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words “believe,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” “continue,” “may,” “will,” “should” or the negative of these terms or similar expressions. In particular, statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K concerning future dividend declarations are subject to approval by our Board of Directors and will be based upon circumstances then existing.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We do not have any intention or obligation to update any forward-looking statement (or its associated cautionary language), whether as a result of new information or future events, after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, except as required by applicable law.

The risk factors discussed in “Risk Factors” could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. There also may be other risks that we are unable to predict at this time. Such risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

- changes in levels of production, production capacity, pricing and/or margins for coal and coke;
- variation in availability, quality and supply of metallurgical coal used in the cokemaking process, including as a result of non-performance by our suppliers;
- changes in the marketplace that may affect our coal logistics business, including the supply and demand for thermal and metallurgical coal;
- changes in the marketplace that may affect our cokemaking business, including the supply and demand for our coke products, as well as increased imports of coke from foreign producers;
- competition from alternative steelmaking and other technologies that have the potential to reduce or eliminate the use of coke;
- our dependence on, relationships with, and other conditions affecting, our customers;
- severe financial hardship or bankruptcy of one or more of our major customers, or the occurrence of a customer default or other event affecting our ability to collect payments from our customers;
- volatility and cyclical downturns in the carbon steel industry and other industries in which our customers operate;
- volatility, cyclical downturns and other change in the business climate and market for coal, affecting customers or potential customers for the Partnership's coal logistics business;
- our significant equity interest in the Partnership;
- our ability to enter into new, or renew existing, long-term agreements upon favorable terms for the supply of coke to domestic and/or foreign steel producers;
- the Partnership's ability to enter into new, or renew existing, agreements upon favorable terms for coal logistics services;
- our ability to identify acquisitions, execute them under favorable terms, and integrate them into our existing business operations;
- our ability to consummate investments under favorable terms, including with respect to existing cokemaking facilities, which may utilize by-product technology, and integrate them into our existing businesses and have them perform at anticipated levels;
- our ability to develop, design, permit, construct, start up, or operate new cokemaking facilities in the U.S. or in foreign countries;
- our ability to successfully implement domestic and/or our international growth strategies;



Table of Contents

our ability to realize expected benefits from investments and acquisitions, including our investment in the Indian joint venture;

age of, and changes in the reliability, efficiency and capacity of the various equipment and operating facilities used in our coal mining and/or cokemaking operations, and in the operations of our subsidiaries major customers, business partners and/or suppliers;

changes in the expected operating levels of our assets;

our ability to meet minimum volume requirements, coal-to-coke yield standards and coke quality standards in our coke sales agreements;

- changes in the level of capital expenditures or operating expenses, including any changes in the level of environmental capital, operating or remediation expenditures;

our ability to service our outstanding indebtedness;

our ability to comply with the restrictions imposed by our financing arrangements;

nonperformance or force majeure by, or disputes with, or changes in contract terms with, major customers, suppliers, dealers, distributors or other business partners;

availability of skilled employees for our coal mining, cokemaking, and/or coal logistics operating, and other workplace factors;

effects of railroad, barge, truck and other transportation performance and costs, including any transportation disruptions;

effects of adverse events relating to the operation of our facilities and to the transportation and storage of hazardous materials (including equipment malfunction, explosions, fires, spills, and the effects of severe weather conditions);

our ability to enter into joint ventures and other similar arrangements under favorable terms;

changes in the availability and cost of equity and debt financing;

impact on our liquidity and ability to raise capital as a result of changes in the credit ratings assigned to our indebtedness;

changes in credit terms required by our suppliers;

risks related to labor relations and workplace safety;

- changes in, or new, statutes, regulations, rules, governmental policies and taxes, or their interpretations, including those relating to environmental matters;

the existence of hazardous substances or other environmental contamination on property owned or used by us;

the availability of future permits authorizing the disposition of certain mining waste;

claims of noncompliance with any statutory and regulatory requirements;

changes in the status of, or initiation of new litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings to which we are a party or liability resulting from such litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings;

historical combined and consolidated financial data may not be reliable indicator of future results;

effects resulting from our separation from Sunoco, Inc.;

public company costs;

our indebtedness and certain covenants in our debt documents;

our ability to secure new coal supply agreements or to renew existing coal supply agreements;

our ability to acquire or develop coal reserves in an economically feasible manner;

defects in title or the loss of one or more mineral leasehold interests;

disruptions in the quantities of coal produced by our contract mine operators;

Table of Contents

our ability to obtain and renew mining permits, and the availability and cost of surety bonds needed in our coal mining operations;

receipt of regulatory approvals and compliance with contractual obligations required in connection with our coal mining, cokemaking, and /or coal logistics operations;

changes in product specifications for either the coal or coke that we produce or the coals we blend, store and transport;

changes in insurance markets impacting cost, level and/or types of coverages available, and the financial ability of our insurers to meet their obligations;

changes in accounting rules and/or tax laws or their interpretations, including the method of accounting for inventories, leases and/or pensions;

volatility in foreign currency exchange rates affecting the markets and geographic regions in which we conduct business;

changes in financial markets impacting pension expense and funding requirements;

the accuracy of our estimates of reclamation and other mine closure obligations; and

effects of geologic conditions, weather, natural disasters and other inherent risks beyond our control.

The factors identified above are believed to be important factors, but not necessarily all of the important factors, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by us. Other factors not discussed herein also could have material adverse effects on us. All forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing cautionary statements.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary areas of market risk include changes in: (1) the price of coal, which is the key raw material for our cokemaking business and a product of our coal mining business; (2) interest rates; and (3) foreign currency exchange rates.

In our Coal Mining segment, we expect to sell approximately 1.6 million tons of coal in 2014 (including transfers to our cokemaking operations). Although we have historically had limited third-party sales from our coal mining operations, we generally sell coal pursuant to contracts with terms similar to the terms of the contracts pursuant to which we buy coal from third parties, including pricing. For 2014, approximately 93 percent of our projected sales are committed at established selling prices. Accordingly, increases and decreases in the market price of metallurgical coal can significantly impact our Coal Mining Segment results.

For our Domestic Coke segment, the largest component of the price of our coke is coal cost. However, under the coke sales agreements at all of our Domestic Coke cokemaking facilities, other than Jewell, coal costs are a pass-through component of the coke price, provided that we are able to realize certain targeted coal-to-coke yields. As such, when targeted coal-to-coke yields are achieved, the price of coal is not a significant determining factor in the profitability of these facilities. The coal component of the Jewell coke price is fixed annually for each calendar year based on the weighted-average contract price of third-party coal purchases at our Haverhill facility applicable to ArcelorMittal coke sales. To the extent that contracts for third-party coal purchases at our Haverhill facility convert to pricing mechanisms of less than a year, then the Jewell coke price will be adjusted accordingly during that year.

The provisions of our coke sales agreements require us to meet minimum production levels and generally require us to secure replacement coke supplies at the prevailing contract price if we do not meet contractual minimum volumes.

Because market prices for coke are generally highly correlated to market prices for metallurgical coal, to the extent any of our facilities are unable to produce their contractual minimum volumes we are subject to market risk related to the procurement of replacement supplies.

Other than at our joint venture in VISA SunCoke discussed below, we do not use derivatives to hedge any of our coal purchases or sales. Although we have not previously done so, we may enter into derivative financial instruments from time to time in the future to economically manage our exposure related to these market risks.

Prior to January 24, 2013, we were exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of our borrowing activities and our cash balances. Concurrently with the IPO, SunCoke Energy entered into the Credit Agreement which provides for a seven-year term loan in a principal amount of \$300.0 million. The Credit Agreement also provides for up to \$75.0 million of Incremental Facilities (“Incremental Facilities”) that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. Borrowings under the Term Loan and Incremental Facilities bear interest, at our option, at either (i) base rate plus an applicable margin or (ii) the greater of 1.00 percent or the London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus an applicable margin. Borrowings under the Revolving Facility bear interest at either (i) base rate plus an applicable margin or (ii) at LIBOR plus an applicable margin. Additionally, the Company issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of fixed rate senior notes. After the impact of the related interest rate derivative instruments (described in Note 24 to our Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements), less than one percent of our debt portfolio represented variable rate obligations. For the Term Loan, our variable rate exposure relates to changes in LIBOR, only when LIBOR is greater than 1.00 percent. During 2011, LIBOR was below the 1.00 percent floor that was established in the Credit Agreement. Therefore, the Company’s interest rate on Term Loan borrowings was fixed and as such the Company was not subject to changes in interest rates for Term Loan borrowings. For the Partnership Revolving Facility, the daily average outstanding balance was \$10.4 million, during the year ended December 31, 2013. Assuming a 50 basis point change in LIBOR, interest expense on the Term Loan and the Partnership Revolving Facility would not have changed by a significant amount for the full year 2013. As of December 31, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Facility.

At December 31, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$233.6 million, which accrues interest at various rates.

Assuming a 50 basis point change in the rate of interest associated with our cash and cash equivalents, interest income would have increased by approximately \$1.2 million for the year ended 2013.

Because we operate outside the U.S., we are subject to risk resulting from changes in currency exchange rates.

Currency exchange rates are influenced by a variety of economic factors including local inflation, growth, interest

rates and governmental actions, as well as other factors. Revenues and expenses of our foreign operations are translated at average exchange rates during the period and balance sheet accounts are translated at period-end exchange rates. Balance sheet translation adjustments are excluded from the results of operations and are recorded in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. If the currency exchange rates had changed by 10 percent, we estimate the impact to our net income would have been approximately \$0.8 million.

70

---

Table of Contents

Our India Coke segment purchases coal to be used in the production of coke. Coal, which is purchased in U.S. dollars, is subject to price fluctuations that may create price risk. Coke sales to customers are denominated in Indian rupees. Our ability to recover higher costs through price increases to customers may be limited due to the competitive pricing environment that exists in the market. Further, the purchase of coal at our India Coke segment is subject to foreign currency risk because the purchase of coal is denominated in a currency other than the segment's functional currency. If currency exchange rates change by 10 percent, we estimate that the impact on our annual net income would be approximately \$4 million. Beginning the fourth quarter of 2013, India Coke used derivative financial instruments to hedge currency fluctuations for anticipated purchases of coal used in the production of coke. We have policies governing the derivative instruments that may be used, including a policy not to enter into derivative contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

71

---

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>Combined and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Combined and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>Combined and Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Notes to Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>81</u>

72

---



Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of SunCoke Energy, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related combined and consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of SunCoke Energy, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the combined and consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), SunCoke Energy, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) and our report dated February 28, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 28, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

We have audited SunCoke Energy, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the COSO criteria). SunCoke Energy, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, SunCoke Energy, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of SunCoke Energy, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related combined and consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and our report dated February 28, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 28, 2014

Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts)		
Revenues			
Sales and other operating revenue	\$ 1,633.5	\$ 1,902.0	\$ 1,527.6
Other income, net	14.2	12.1	11.3
Total revenues	1,647.7	1,914.1	1,538.9
Costs and operating expenses			
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	1,348.0	1,577.6	1,305.8
Loss on firm purchase commitments	—	—	18.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	92.4	82.0	88.7
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	96.0	80.8	58.4
Total costs and operating expenses	1,536.4	1,740.4	1,471.4
Operating income	111.3	173.7	67.5
Interest income, net - affiliate	—	—	9.0
Interest cost, net	(52.3	) (47.8	) (10.4
Total financing expense, net	(52.3	) (47.8	) (1.4
Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment	59.0	125.9	66.1
Income tax expense	6.7	23.4	7.2
Loss from equity method investment	2.2	—	—
Net income	50.1	102.5	58.9
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	25.1	3.7	(1.7
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$ 25.0	\$ 98.8	\$ 60.6
Earnings attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment per common share:			
Basic	\$ 0.36	\$ 1.41	\$ 0.87
Diluted	\$ 0.36	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.87
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	69.9	70.0	70.0
Diluted	70.2	70.3	70.0

(See Accompanying Notes)

75

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Combined and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Net income	\$50.1	\$102.5	\$58.9
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$1.3, \$1.2 and \$1.2, respectively)	(1.9	) (1.9	) (2.2
Retirement benefit plans funded status adjustment (net of related tax (expense) benefit of (\$3.8), (\$0.8) and \$4.3, respectively)	5.7	1.6	(6.3
Currency translation adjustment	(10.0	) (1.1	) (1.4
Comprehensive income	43.9	101.1	49.0
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	25.1	3.7	(1.7
Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$18.8	\$97.4	\$50.7

(See Accompanying Notes)

76

Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 233.6	\$ 239.2
Receivables	91.5	70.0
Inventories	135.3	160.1
Income tax receivable	6.6	—
Deferred income taxes	12.6	2.6
Other current assets	2.3	1.5
Total current assets	481.9	473.4
Investment in Brazilian cokemaking operations	41.0	41.0
Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited	56.8	—
Properties, plants and equipment, net	1,544.1	1,396.6
Lease and mineral rights, net	52.8	52.5
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	25.4	9.4
Deferred charges and other assets	41.9	38.1
Total assets	\$ 2,243.9	\$ 2,011.0
Liabilities and Equity		
Accounts payable	154.3	132.9
Accrued liabilities	69.5	91.2
Short-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	41.0	3.3
Interest payable	18.2	15.7
Income taxes payable	—	3.9
Total current liabilities	283.0	247.0
Long-term debt	648.1	720.1
Accrual for black lung benefits	32.4	34.8
Retirement benefit liabilities	34.8	42.5
Deferred income taxes	376.6	361.5
Asset retirement obligations	17.9	13.5
Other deferred credits and liabilities	18.8	16.7
Total liabilities	1,411.6	1,436.1
Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; no issued and outstanding shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 69,636,785 shares and 69,988,728 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	0.7	0.7
Treasury stock, 1,255,355 shares and 603,528 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	(19.9	) (9.4
Additional paid-in capital	446.9	436.9
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(14.1	) (7.9
Retained earnings	143.8	118.8

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity	557.4	539.1
Noncontrolling interests	274.9	35.8
Total equity	832.3	574.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 2,243.9	\$ 2,011.0

(See Accompanying Notes)

77

---

Table of Contents

## SunCoke Energy, Inc.

## Combined and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$50.1	\$102.5	\$58.9
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	96.0	80.8	58.4
Share-based compensation expense	7.6	6.7	2.1
Deferred income tax expense	1.6	34.3	24.0
Payments (in excess of) less than expense for retirement plans	(2.2)	(6.6)	5.8
Loss from equity method investment	2.2	—	—
Loss on firm purchase commitment	—	—	18.5
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities (net of acquisitions):			
Receivables	(18.1)	(3.8)	(18.3)
Inventories	29.2	56.1	(110.1)
Accounts payable	20.0	(49.0)	57.0
Accrued liabilities	(24.7)	15.2	15.7
Interest payable	2.5	(0.2)	15.9
Income taxes payable	(10.2)	(17.4)	(21.3)
Other	(2.7)	(12.5)	(5.3)
Net cash provided by operating activities	151.3	206.1	101.3
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(145.6)	(80.6)	(238.1)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash received	(113.3)	(3.5)	(37.6)
Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited	(67.7)	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(326.6)	(84.1)	(275.7)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common units of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P.	237.8	—	—
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	150.0	—	727.9
Repayment of long-term debt	(225.0)	(3.3)	(1.6)
Debt issuance costs	(6.9)	—	(19.1)
Proceeds from revolving facility	40.0	—	—
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	(17.8)	(2.3)	(1.6)
Repurchase of common stock	(10.9)	(9.4)	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	2.5	4.7	—
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in Indiana Harbor facility	—	—	(34.0)
Net decrease in advances from affiliate	—	—	(412.8)
Repayments of notes payable assumed in acquisition	—	—	(2.3)
Increase in payable to affiliate	—	—	5.3
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	169.7	(10.3)	261.8
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(5.6)	111.7	87.4
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	239.2	127.5	40.1
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$233.6	\$239.2	\$127.5

(See Accompanying Notes)

78

---



Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Combined and Consolidated Statements of Equity

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings	Net Parent Investment	Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. or Parent Equity	Noncontrol Interests	Total Equity
Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount								
(Dollars in millions)											
At December 31, 2010	—	\$—	—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$369.5	\$369.5	\$59.8	\$429.3
Net income (loss) from January 1, 2011 to July 18, 2011	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40.6	40.6	(5.0 )	35.6
Net income from July 19, 2011 to December 31, 2011	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.0	—	20.0	3.3	23.3
Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$1.2 million)	—	—	—	—	—	(1.1 )	—	(1.1 )	(2.2 )	—	(2.2 )
Retirement benefit plans funded status adj. (net of related tax benefit of \$4.3 million)	—	—	—	—	—	(6.3 )	—	—	(6.3 )	—	(6.3 )
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	(2.0 )	—	0.6	(1.4 )	—	(1.4 )
Capital contribution from Sunoco, Inc. in connection with contribution of business	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	156.5	156.5	—	156.5
Noncash distribution to Sunoco under Tax Sharing Agreement	—	—	—	—	(45.3 )	—	—	—	(45.3 )	—	(45.3 )

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Issuance of common stock in exchange for cokemaking and coal mining operations of Sunoco, Inc.	70,000,000	0.7	—	—	562.5	2.9	—	(566.1 )	—	—	—
Share-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	2.1	—	—	—	2.1	—	2.1
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	(0.2 )	—	—	—	(0.2 )	(1.4 )	(1.6 )
Purchase of noncontrolling interests (net of related tax benefit of \$4.1 million)	—	—	—	—	(7.8 )	—	—	—	(7.8 )	(22.3 )	(30.1 )
Share issuances	12,702	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At December 31, 2011	70,012,702	\$0.7	—	\$—	\$511.3	\$ (6.5 )	\$20.0	\$—	\$525.5	\$ 34.4	\$559.9
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	—	98.8	—	98.8	3.7	102.5
Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$1.2 million)	—	—	—	—	—	(1.9 )	—	—	(1.9 )	—	(1.9 )
Retirement benefit plans funded status adjustment (net of related tax expense of \$0.8 million)	—	—	—	—	—	1.6	—	—	1.6	—	1.6
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	(1.1 )	—	—	(1.1 )	—	(1.1 )
Noncash distribution to Sunoco under Tax Sharing Agreement	—	—	—	—	(85.8 )	—	—	—	(85.8 )	—	(85.8 )
Share-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	6.5	—	—	—	6.5	—	6.5
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2.3 )	(2.3 )

Cash distributions  
to noncontrolling  
interests

Share issuances	579,554	—	—	—	4.9	—	—	—	4.9	—	4.9
Shares repurchased	(603,528 )	—	603,528	(9.4 )	—	—	—	—	(9.4 )	—	(9.4 )
At December 31, 2012	69,988,728	\$0.7	603,528	\$(9.4)	\$436.9	\$(7.9 )	\$118.8	\$—	\$539.1	\$35.8	\$574.9

Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Combined and Consolidated Statements of Equity

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings	Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. Equity	Noncontrol Interests	Total Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount						
	(Dollars in millions)									
At December 31, 2012	69,988,728	\$0.7	603,528	\$(9.4 )	\$436.9	\$(7.9 )	\$118.8	\$539.1	\$35.8	\$574.9
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.0	25.0	25.1	50.1
Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$1.3 million)	—	—	—	—	—	(1.9 )	—	(1.9 )	—	(1.9 )
Retirement benefit plans funded status adjustment (net of related tax expense of \$3.8 million)	—	—	—	—	—	5.7	—	5.7	—	5.7
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	(10.0 )	—	(10.0 )	—	(10.0 )
Net proceeds from issuance of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. units	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	231.8	231.8
Share-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	7.6	—	—	7.6	—	7.6
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(17.8 )	(17.8 )
Share issuances	299,884	—	—	—	2.8	—	—	2.8	—	2.8
Shares repurchased	(651,827 )	—	651,827	(10.5 )	(0.4 )	—	—	(10.9 )	—	(10.9 )
At December 31, 2013	69,636,785	\$0.7	1,255,355	\$(19.9 )	\$446.9	\$(14.1 )	\$143.8	\$557.4	\$274.9	\$832.3

(See Accompanying Notes)



Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Notes to Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements

1. General and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business

SunCoke Energy, Inc. (“SunCoke Energy”, “Company”, “we”, “our” and “us”) is the largest independent producer of high-quality coke in the Americas, as measured by tons of coke produced each year, and has more than 50 years of coke production experience. Coke is a principal raw material in the blast furnace steelmaking process. Coke is generally produced by heating metallurgical coal in a refractory oven, which releases certain volatile components from the coal, thus transforming the coal into coke.

We have designed, developed and built, and own and operate five cokemaking facilities in the United States (“U.S.”), designed and operate one cokemaking facility in Brazil under licensing and operating agreements on behalf of our customer and have a joint venture interest in the operations of one cokemaking facility in India. The capacity of our five U.S. cokemaking facilities is approximately 4.2 million tons of coke per year. The cokemaking facility that we operate in Brazil has cokemaking capacity of approximately 1.7 million tons of coke per year. We have a preferred stock investment in the project company that owns the Brazil facility. In March 2013, we formed a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited (“VISA Steel”) in India called VISA SunCoke Limited (“VISA SunCoke”). VISA SunCoke has a cokemaking capacity of 440 thousand tons of coke per year.

Our cokemaking ovens utilize efficient, modern heat recovery technology designed to combust the coal’s volatile components liberated during the cokemaking process and use the resulting heat to create steam or electricity for sale. This differs from by-product cokemaking which seeks to repurpose the coal’s liberated volatile components for other uses. We have constructed the only greenfield cokemaking facilities in the U.S. in the last 25 years and are the only North American coke producer that utilizes heat recovery technology in the cokemaking process. We believe that heat recovery technology has several advantages over the alternative by-product cokemaking process, including producing higher quality coke, using waste heat to generate steam or electricity for sale and reducing environmental impact.

Our Granite City facility, the first phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 1, and our VISA SunCoke joint venture include steam generation facilities which use hot flue gas from the cokemaking process to produce steam. Pursuant to a steam supply and purchase agreements, Granite City and Haverhill sell steam to third-parties and VISA SunCoke sells steam to VISA Steel. Our Middletown facility and the second phase of our Haverhill facility, or Haverhill 2, include cogeneration plants that use the hot flue gas created by the cokemaking process to generate electricity. The electricity is either sold into the regional power market or to AK Steel pursuant to energy sales agreements.

During 2013, through our master limited partnership, we expanded our operations into coal handling and blending services through two acquisitions. See further discussion of our master limited partnership below. On August 30, 2013, the master limited partnership completed its acquisition of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation (“Lake Terminal”). Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal provides coal handling and blending services to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. On October 1, 2013, the master limited partnership acquired Kanawha River Terminals (“KRT”). KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling service provider with collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually through its operations in West Virginia and Kentucky.

We own and operate coal mining operations in Virginia and West Virginia with more than 111 million tons of proven and probable reserves as of December 31, 2013. In 2013, we sold approximately 1.5 million tons of metallurgical coal (including internal sales to our cokemaking operations) and 0.1 million tons of thermal coal.

On January 17, 2012 (the “Distribution Date”), we became an independent, publicly-traded company following our separation from Sunoco, Inc. (“Sunoco”). Our separation from Sunoco occurred in two steps:

We were formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. On July 18, 2011 (the “Separation Date”), Sunoco contributed the subsidiaries, assets and liabilities that were primarily related to its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us in exchange for shares of our common stock. As of such date, Sunoco owned 100 percent of our common stock. On July 26, 2011, we completed an initial public offering (“IPO”) of 13,340,000 shares of our common stock, or 19.1 percent of our outstanding common stock. Following the IPO, Sunoco continued to own 56,660,000 shares of our common stock, or 80.9 percent of our outstanding common stock.

On the Distribution Date, Sunoco made a pro-rata, tax free distribution (the “Distribution”) of the remaining shares of our common stock that it owned in the form of a special stock dividend to Sunoco shareholders. Sunoco shareholders received 0.53046456 of a share of common stock for every share of Sunoco common stock held as

## Table of Contents

of the close of business on January 5, 2012, the record date for the Distribution. After the Distribution, Sunoco ceased to own any shares of our common stock.

Concurrent with the reorganization just prior to the IPO, substantially all related party balances were settled in connection with the issuance of common stock to Sunoco, with the exception of \$575 million, which was repaid on July 26, 2011 in cash with a portion of the proceeds from SunCoke Energy's debt issuance.

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., a master limited partnership ("the Partnership"), through the sale of 13,500,000 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of net proceeds, net of \$24.7 million of offering costs, \$6.0 million of which were paid during 2012 (the "Partnership offering"). Of these net proceeds, \$67.0 million was retained by the Partnership for environmental remediation project expenditures and \$12.4 million for sales discounts related to tax credits owed to our customers. Upon the closing of the Partnership Offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2.0 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and own a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The remaining 42.1 percent interest in the Partnership is held by public unitholders and is reflected in noncontrolling interest on our Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheet beginning with the first quarter of 2013.

We are also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide the Partnership with: (1) remarketing efforts upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements; (2) indemnification of certain environmental costs; and (3) preferential rights for growth opportunities. In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement and the Partnership repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes"). See Note 16.

### Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and its subsidiaries were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("GAAP") and include the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the Company and all subsidiaries where we have a controlling financial interest.

Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The historical Combined Financial Statements for periods prior to the Separation Date include the accounts of all operations that comprised the cokemaking and coal mining operations of Sunoco, after elimination of all intercompany balances and transactions within the combined group of companies. The Consolidated Financial Statements for the period after the Separation Date pertain to the operations of SunCoke Energy.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior period Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

### Currency Translation

The functional currency of the Company's Brazilian operations and India joint venture are the Brazilian real and India rupee, respectively. The Company's foreign operations translate their assets and liabilities into U.S. dollars at the current exchange rates in effect at the end of the fiscal period. The gains or losses that result from this process are shown as cumulative translation adjustments within accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The revenue and expense accounts of foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates that prevailed during the period.

Some transactions of the Company's Brazilian operations and India joint venture are conducted in currencies different from their functional currency. Gains and losses from these foreign currency transactions are included in income as they occur. Our share of equity method losses in India resulting from foreign currency transactions was \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The gains and losses from our Brazilian operations were not material to the results of operations during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.





## Table of Contents

### Revenue Recognition

The Company sells metallurgical coal and coke as well as steam and electricity and also provides coal blending and handling services to third-party customers. The Company also receives fees for operating the cokemaking plant in Brazil and for the licensing of its proprietary technology for use at this facility as well as reimbursement of substantially all of its operating costs. Revenues related to the sale of products are recognized when title passes, while service revenues are recognized when services are provided as defined by customer contracts. Licensing fees, which are determined on a per ton basis, are recognized when coke is produced in accordance with the contract terms. Title passage generally occurs when products are shipped or delivered in accordance with the terms of the respective sales agreements. Revenues are not recognized until sales prices are fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

Substantially all of the coke produced by the Company is sold pursuant to long-term contracts with its customers. The Company evaluates each of its contracts to determine whether the arrangement contains a lease under the applicable accounting standards. If the specific facts and circumstances indicate that it is remote that parties other than the contracted customer will take more than a minor amount of the coke that will be produced by the property, plant and equipment during the term of the coke supply agreement, and the price that the customer is paying for the coke is neither contractually fixed per unit nor equal to the current market price per unit at the time of delivery, then the long-term contract is deemed to contain a lease. The lease component of the price of coke represents the rental payment for the use of the property, plant and equipment, and all such payments are accounted for as contingent rentals as they are only earned by the Company when the coke is delivered and title passes to the customer. The total amount of revenue recognized by the Company for these contingent rentals represents less than 10 percent of combined sales and other operating revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

### Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. These cash equivalents consist principally of time deposits and money market investments.

### Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method, except for the cost of coal inventory in our Coal Mining segment and the Company's materials and supplies inventory, which are determined using the average-cost method.

The Company utilizes the selling prices under its long-term coke supply contracts to record lower of cost or market inventory adjustments.

### Properties, Plants and Equipment

Plants and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. Coke and energy plant, machinery and equipment are depreciated over 25 to 30 years. Coal mining machinery and equipment are depreciated over 7 to 20 years. Coal logistics plant and equipment are depreciated over 15 to 20 years. All depreciation, depletion and amortization is excluded from cost of products sold and operating expenses and presented separately in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income. Gains and losses on the disposal or retirement of fixed assets are reflected in earnings when the assets are sold or retired. Amounts incurred that extend an asset's useful life, increase its productivity or add production capacity are capitalized. Direct costs, such as outside labor, materials, internal payroll and benefits costs, incurred during the construction of a new facility are capitalized; indirect costs are not capitalized. Normal repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

The Company's coal mining operations lease small parcels of land, mineral rights and coal mining rights. Substantially all of the leases are "life of mine" agreements that extend the Company's mining rights until all reserves have been recovered. These leases convey mining rights to the Company in exchange for payment of certain royalties and/or fixed fees. The lease and mineral rights are capitalized and amortized as depletion expense using the units-of-production method. Only proven and probable coal reserves are included in the depletion base.

### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An asset, or group of assets, is considered to be impaired when the

undiscounted estimated net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, or group of assets, are less than its carrying amount. The impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the impaired asset, or group of assets.

## Table of Contents

### Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired, is tested for impairment at least annually during the fourth quarter. There was no impairment of goodwill or other intangibles during the periods presented. All other intangible assets have finite useful lives and are amortized over their useful lives in a manner that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefit of the intangible asset is consumed. See Note 13.

### Investment in Brazilian Cokemaking Operations

SunCoke Energy's investment in preferred shares of the company that owns the cokemaking facility in Vitória, Brazil, that SunCoke Energy operates under licensing and operating agreements, is accounted for at cost. Income received by SunCoke Energy from this investment, which is in the form of a dividend, is contingent upon achieving certain minimum production levels at the facility and payment is guaranteed by the parent company of the plant's owner, which is a lessee of the facility. Accordingly, the Company recognizes income from this investment when certain required production levels have been met and the amount is deemed collectible, typically in the fourth quarter.

### Investment in Indian Cokemaking Operations

SunCoke Energy's joint venture investment with VISA Steel, VISA SunCoke, is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. This joint venture is accounted for as an equity method investment which was initially recorded at cost. We recognize our 49 percent share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag beginning in the second quarter of 2013.

### Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to hedge against the risk of adverse movements in interest rates and foreign currency fluctuations. Our corporate policy prohibits the use of derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes, and we have procedures in place to monitor and control their use. (See Note 24.)

Cash received or paid upon settlement of derivative financial instruments are classified in the same category as the cash flows from items being hedged in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

### Income Taxes

Prior to the Distribution Date, SunCoke Energy and certain subsidiaries of Sunoco were included in the consolidated federal and certain consolidated, combined or unitary state income tax returns filed by Sunoco. However, SunCoke Energy's provision for income taxes and the deferred income tax amounts reflected in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements have been determined on a theoretical separate-return basis. Prior to the Separation Date, any current federal and state income tax amounts were settled with Sunoco under a previous tax sharing arrangement. Under this previous tax sharing arrangement, net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards generated on a theoretical separate-return basis could be used to offset future taxable income determined on a similar basis. Such benefits were reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets, notwithstanding the fact that such net operating losses and tax credits may actually have been realized on Sunoco's consolidated income tax returns.

On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a new tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. Under the tax sharing agreement, certain deferred tax assets attributable to net operating losses and credit carry forwards, which had been reflected in SunCoke Energy's balance sheets prior to the Separation Date on a standalone theoretical basis, are no longer realizable by SunCoke Energy and as such were eliminated from the Consolidated Balance Sheets with a corresponding reduction in SunCoke Energy's equity accounts. We did not retain any of the federal income tax credits or net operating loss carryforwards that the Company had recognized as deferred income tax assets that were generated prior to the Distribution Date. However, the Company retained certain state tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards, which have been recognized as deferred tax assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet and may be used to reduce the Company's future income tax liabilities.

Deferred tax asset and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those differences are projected to be recovered or settled.

The Company recognizes uncertain tax positions in its financial statements when minimum recognition threshold and measurement attributes are met in accordance with current accounting guidance. Unrecognized tax benefits and

accruals for interest and penalties are included in other deferred credits and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company recognizes interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest cost and penalties in income tax expense in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income.

## Table of Contents

The Company has not recorded income taxes on the undistributed earnings of our India joint venture because such earnings are intended to be reinvested indefinitely to finance foreign activities. These additional foreign earnings could be subject to additional tax if remitted, or deemed remitted, as a dividend. At December 31, 2013, our Visa SunCoke joint venture had a cumulative loss on unconsolidated earnings.

### Retirement Benefit Liabilities

The funded status of defined benefit and postretirement benefit plans is fully recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. It is determined by the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, with the benefit obligation represented by the projected benefit obligation for defined benefit plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for postretirement benefit plans. Actuarial gains (losses) and prior service (benefits) costs which have not yet been recognized in net income are recognized as a credit (charge) to accumulated other comprehensive loss. The credit (charge) to accumulated other comprehensive loss, which is reflected net of related tax effects, is subsequently recognized in net income when amortized as a component of defined benefit plans and postretirement benefit plans expense. In addition, the credit (charge) may also be recognized in net income as a result of a plan curtailment or settlement.

### Asset Retirement Obligations

The fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the asset and depreciated over its remaining estimated useful life. The Company's asset retirement obligations primarily relate to costs associated with restoring land to its original state.

### Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of products sold and operating expenses.

### Share-based Compensation

We measure the cost of employee services in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The total cost is reduced by estimated forfeitures over the awards' vesting period and the cost is recognized over the requisite service period. Forfeiture estimates are reviewed on an annual basis.

### Fair Value Measurements

The Company determines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As required, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs (levels 1 and 2) and minimize the use of unobservable inputs (level 3) within the fair value hierarchy included in current accounting guidance. The Company generally applies the "market approach" to determine fair value. This method uses pricing and other information generated by market transactions for identical or comparable assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities are classified within the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level (least observable) input that is significant to the measurement in its entirety.

### Recently Issued Pronouncements

On January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires the disclosure of changes to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to be presented by component on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This ASU also requires the disclosure of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to net income during the period either on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This standard is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We have elected to provide the required disclosures in a separate note to the financial statements. (See Note 20.)

### Labor Concentrations

As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 1,344 employees in the U.S. Approximately 25 percent of our domestic employees, principally at our cokemaking operations, are represented by the United Steelworkers under various contracts. Additionally, approximately 2 percent of our domestic employees are represented by the International Union of Operating Engineers. The labor agreement at our Granite City cokemaking facility expires August 31, 2014. We are currently working on extending the agreement and do not anticipate any work stoppages. As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately 233 employees at the cokemaking facility in Vitória, Brazil, all of

whom are represented by a union under an agreement that expires on October 31, 2014.

85

---

Table of Contents

3. Arrangement Between Sunoco and SunCoke Energy, Inc.

In connection with the IPO, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into certain agreements that effected the separation of SunCoke Energy's business from Sunoco, provided a framework for its relationship with Sunoco after the separation and provided for the allocation between SunCoke Energy and Sunoco of Sunoco's assets, employees, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to, at and after the Separation.

**Tax Sharing Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. Certain key restrictions of the tax sharing agreement expired on January 17, 2014. Upon and subsequent to the Separation, SunCoke Energy made noncash distributions of \$85.8 million and \$143.4 million related to the settlement of tax attributes under the tax sharing agreement with Sunoco during 2012 and 2011, respectively. A corresponding reduction was made to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts. (See Note 9.)

**Transition Services Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a transition services agreement. The services provided under this agreement generally terminated upon completion of the Distribution on January 17, 2012. Any remaining services under this agreement were terminated by the end of 2013.

**Guaranty, Keep Well, and Indemnification Agreement.** On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a guaranty, keep well, and indemnification agreement. Under this agreement, SunCoke Energy: (1) guarantees the performance of certain obligations of its subsidiaries, prior to the date that Sunoco or its affiliates may become obligated to pay or perform such obligations, including the repayment of a loan from Indiana Harbor Coke Company L.P.; (2) indemnifies, defends, and holds Sunoco and its affiliates harmless against all liabilities relating to these obligations; and (3) restricts the assets, debts, liabilities and business activities of one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, so long as certain obligations of such subsidiary remain unpaid or unperformed. In addition, SunCoke Energy released Sunoco from its guaranty of payment of a promissory note owed by one of its subsidiaries to another of its subsidiaries.

4. Equity Method Investment

On March 18, 2013, we completed a transaction to form a joint venture, VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent interest. This investment is accounted for under the equity method under which investments are initially recorded at cost. We recognize our share of GAAP earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we incurred losses of \$2.2 million from the equity method investment in VISA SunCoke.

5. Acquisitions

SunCoke Lake Terminal LLC

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation ("Lakeshore"), now called SunCoke Lake Terminal LLC ("Lake Terminal") for \$28.6 million. Prior to the acquisition, the entity that owns SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations was a customer of Lakeshore and held the purchase rights to Lakeshore. Concurrent with the closing of the transaction, the Partnership paid \$1.8 million to DTE Energy Company, the third party investor owning a 15 percent interest in the entity that owns Indiana Harbor, in consideration for assigning its share of the Lake Terminal buyout rights to the Partnership. The Partnership recognized this payment in selling, general, and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Income during the period.

Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal does not take possession of coal but instead derives its revenue by providing coal handling and blending services to its customers on a per ton basis. Lake Terminal has and will continue to provide coal handling and blending services to SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. In September 2013, Lake Terminal and Indiana Harbor entered into a new 10 year contract with terms equivalent to those of an arm's-length transaction.





Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the consideration paid for Lake Terminal and the fair value of the assets acquired at the acquisition date (dollars in millions):

Consideration:	
Cash	\$28.6
Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	
Plant, property and equipment	25.9
Inventory	2.7
Total	\$28.6

The results of Lake Terminal have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date and are included in the Coal Logistics segment. Inclusive of intersegment sales of \$4.3 million, Lake Terminal had revenues of \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The acquisition of Lake Terminal increased operating income by \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The acquisition of Lake Terminal is not material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements; therefore, pro forma information has not been presented.

#### Kanawha River Terminal LLC

On October 1, 2013, the Partnership acquired Kanawha River Terminals ("KRT") for \$84.7 million, utilizing \$44.7 million of available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility. KRT a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling service provider with collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually through its operations in West Virginia and Kentucky. KRT has and will continue to provide coal handling and blending services to third party customers as well as certain SunCoke cokemaking facilities. This acquisition is part of the Company's strategy to grow through adjacent business lines. Goodwill of \$8.2 million arising from the acquisition is primarily due to the strategic location of KRT's operations. The following table summarizes the consideration paid for KRT and the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date (dollars in millions):

Consideration:	
Cash	\$84.7
Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	
Current assets	\$5.2
Plant, property and equipment	67.2
Intangible assets	7.9
Current liabilities	(3.7)
Other long-term liabilities	(0.1)
Total identifiable net assets assumed	76.5
Goodwill	8.2
Total	\$84.7

The results of KRT have been included in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date and are included in the Coal Logistics segment. Inclusive of intersegment sales of \$1.2 million, KRT had revenues of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The acquisition of KRT increased operating income by \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The acquisition of KRT is not material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements; therefore, pro forma information has not been presented.

#### 6. Noncontrolling Interests

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company purchased an additional 19 percent ownership interest in the partnership that owns the Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility for \$34.0 million. As a result of this transaction, the Company now holds an 85 percent interest in the partnership. The remaining interest in the partnership is owned by an affiliate of DTE Energy Company. DTE Energy is entitled to a noncontrolling interest amounting to 15 percent of the partnership's net income through 2037, at which time the noncontrolling interest percentage declines to 5 percent. The Company accounted for the increase in ownership as an equity transaction, which resulted in a \$22.3 million decrease in noncontrolling interest and a \$7.8 million decrease in additional paid-in capital, net of income taxes. Direct costs of \$0.2 million related to the increase in ownership were also accounted for as part of the equity transaction.



Table of Contents

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of the Partnership through the sale of 13,500,000 common units, representing limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of proceeds, net of \$24.7 million of offering costs, \$6.0 million of which were paid during 2012. Upon the closing of the Partnership offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2.0 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The remaining 42.1 percent interest in the Partnership is held by public unitholders and reflected as a noncontrolling interest in the consolidated financial statements.

## 7. Related Party Transactions

The related party transactions with Sunoco and its affiliates are described below.

## Advances from/to Affiliate

Prior to the Separation Date, Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco, served as a lender and borrower of funds and a clearinghouse for the settlement of receivables and payables for the Company and Sunoco and its affiliates. Amounts due Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) for the settlement of payables included advances to fund capital expenditures. Interest on such payables was based on short-term money market rates. The weighted-average annual interest rate used to determine interest expense was 2.4 percent for 2011 and \$3.5 million of expense is included in interest income, net—affiliate on the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income for 2011. As described in Note 1, on July 26, 2011, proceeds from debt issuances were used to repay \$575 million of the advances from affiliate, and the remaining balance was treated as a contribution from Sunoco and capitalized to net parent investment.

Indiana Harbor had a \$30.0 million revolving credit agreement with Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (the “Indiana Harbor Revolver”), which was terminated in conjunction with the Separation. The interest rates for advances under the Indiana Harbor Revolver were based on the one-month London Inter-Bank Offered Rate, as quoted by Bloomberg, L.P., plus 1 percent (1.26 percent at December 31, 2010). The expense associated with the revolving credit agreement is included in interest income, net—affiliate on the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income.

Interest income on advances to affiliate generated by the investment of idle funds under the clearinghouse activities described above is included in interest income, net—affiliate in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income and totaled \$0.5 million in 2011. Interest paid to affiliates under the above borrowing arrangements is classified as interest income, net—affiliate in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income and totaled \$3.6 million in 2011.

## Receivable/Payable from/to Affiliate

During 2002, in connection with an investment in the partnership by a third-party investor, Indiana Harbor loaned \$200.0 million of excess cash to The Claymont Investment Company (“Claymont”), a then wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. The loan was evidenced by a note with an interest rate of 7.44 percent per annum. Interest income related to the note, which was paid quarterly, is included in interest income, net—affiliate in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income and amounted to \$8.0 million in 2011.

During 2000, in connection with an investment in the partnership by a third-party investor, Jewell loaned \$89.0 million of excess cash to Claymont. The loan was evidenced by a note with an interest rate of 8.24 percent per annum. Interest income related to the note, which was paid annually, is included in interest income, net—affiliate in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income and amounted to \$4.0 million in 2011.

In connection with the Separation, Sunoco contributed Claymont to SunCoke Energy primarily to transfer certain intercompany receivables from and intercompany payables to SunCoke Energy, including the notes payable to Indiana Harbor and Jewell. Accordingly, these notes receivable are now receivables and payables of SunCoke Energy’s subsidiaries and the balances and related interest income are now eliminated in consolidation.

The Company had a non-interest bearing payable to affiliate totaling \$55.8 million at December 31, 2010. This intercompany balance represented the difference between the taxes allocated to the Company by Sunoco under a tax-sharing arrangement and the taxes recognized by the Company on a separate-return basis as reflected in the combined financial statements. In connection with the Separation, the payable to affiliate at the Separation Date was capitalized to net parent investment as discussed in the Net Parent Investment/SunCoke Energy, Inc. Stockholders’ Equity section below.

## Sales to Affiliate

The flue gas produced during the Haverhill cokemaking process is being utilized to generate low-pressure steam, which is sold to the adjacent chemical manufacturing complex formerly owned and operated by Sunoco's chemicals business. In 2011, Sunoco sold this facility to Goradia Capital LLC ("Goradia"). Under this agreement, Goradia has assumed Sunoco's obligations under the agreement. Steam sales to Sunoco's chemicals business totaled \$7.7 million in 2011.

Table of Contents

## Allocated Expenses

Prior to the Separation, the historical Combined Financial Statements included allocations of certain Sunoco corporate expenses. Amounts were allocated from subsidiaries of Sunoco for employee benefit costs of certain executives of the Company as well as for the cost associated with the participation of such executives in Sunoco's principal management incentive plans. Indirect corporate and other expenses attributable to the operations of the Company were also allocated from Sunoco. These corporate and other expenses incurred by Sunoco include costs of centralized corporate functions such as legal, accounting, tax, treasury, engineering, information technology, insurance and other corporate services. The allocation methods for these costs include estimates of the costs and level of support attributable to SunCoke Energy for legal, accounting, tax, treasury and engineering, usage and headcount for information technology and prior years' claims information and historical cost of insured assets for insurance.

SunCoke Energy management believes the assumptions and methodologies underlying the allocation of corporate and other expenses were reasonable. However, such expenses may not be indicative of the actual level of expense that would have been incurred by SunCoke Energy if it had operated as an independent, publicly-traded company during the periods prior to the IPO or of the costs expected to be incurred in the future.

Concurrent with the Separation, SunCoke Energy entered into a transition services agreement with Sunoco. Under this agreement, Sunoco provides certain services, the use of facilities and other assistance on a transitional basis to SunCoke Energy for fees which approximate Sunoco's cost of providing these services.

The above allocations and transition services fee are included in cost of products sold and operating expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income and totaled \$0.6 million and \$7.0 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, and were not material to the financial statements in 2013.

Subsequent to the Distribution, transactions with Sunoco are not considered related party transactions.

## Net Parent Investment/SunCoke Energy, Inc. Stockholders' Equity

Prior to the contribution of the cokemaking and coal mining operations to SunCoke Energy, the net parent investment represented Sunoco's equity investment in the Company and reflected capital contributions and returns of capital, net income attributable to Sunoco's ownership and accumulated other comprehensive loss, which was all attributable to Sunoco's ownership.

In connection with the Separation, Sunoco made a capital contribution to SunCoke Energy under the terms of the separation and distribution agreement which eliminated certain assets and obligations of SunCoke Energy previously reflected in its combined balance sheet. The following summarizes the impact on SunCoke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheet at the Separation Date:

Increase (decrease) in capital contribution (dollars in millions):

Interest receivable from affiliate	\$(4.8	)
Notes receivable from affiliate	(289.0	)
Advances from affiliate	487.3	
Payable to affiliate	61.1	
Deferred income taxes	(98.1	)
Net capital contribution from Sunoco	\$156.5	

In connection with the contribution of assets for shares of SunCoke Energy common stock, the appropriate components of the total net parent investment were capitalized to stockholders' equity.

Upon and subsequent to the Separation, SunCoke Energy made noncash distributions of \$229.2 million related to the settlement of tax attributes under the tax sharing agreement with Sunoco. A corresponding reduction was made to SunCoke Energy's equity account. See Note 9.

## Guarantees and Indemnifications

For a discussion of certain guarantees that Sunoco, Inc. is providing to the current and former third-party investors of the Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations and the former third-party investors of the Jewell cokemaking facility on behalf of the Company, see Note 18.

Table of Contents

## 8. Customer Concentrations

In 2013, the Company sold approximately 4.2 million tons of coke to its three primary customers in the U.S.: ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel. Substantially all of the production from the Jewell and Indiana Harbor facilities and approximately one-half of the production from the Haverhill facility is sold pursuant to long-term contracts with affiliates of ArcelorMittal. The remaining balance of coke sales at the Haverhill facility are primarily sold to AK Steel under long-term contracts. Substantially all coke sales from the Granite City cokemaking facility are made pursuant to a long-term contract with U.S. Steel. All coke sales from the Middletown cokemaking facility, which commenced operations in the fourth quarter of 2011, are made pursuant to a long-term contract with AK Steel. In addition, the licensing and operating fees, as well as preferred dividends pertaining to the Brazilian cokemaking operations, are payable to the Company under long-term contracts with a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal. The Company generally does not require any collateral with respect to its receivables. At December 31, 2013, the Company's receivables balance was primarily due from ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel. As a result, the Company experiences concentrations of credit risk in its receivables with these three customers; these concentrations of credit risk may be affected by changes in economic or other conditions affecting the steel industry. At December 31, 2013, receivables due from ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel were \$34.4 million, \$31.5 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. Also included in receivables at December 31, 2013 is a \$9.5 million preferred dividend from ArcelorMittal Brasil. This preferred dividend is recorded in other income, net on the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income.

Sales to ArcelorMittal as well as licensing and operating fees from ArcelorMittal Brasil, in total, accounted for \$826.7 million, \$1,018.9 million and \$989.1 million, or 51 percent, 54 percent and 64 percent, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of the Company's sales and other operating revenue and are recorded in the Domestic Coke and Brazil Coke segments. Additionally, preferred dividends from ArcelorMittal Brasil of \$9.5 million, \$9.4 million and \$9.3 million, are recorded in other income, net on the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income.

Sales to AK Steel, in total, accounted for \$489.7 million, \$539.4 million and \$215.2 million, or 30 percent, 28 percent and 14 percent, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of the Company's sales and other operating revenue and are recorded in the Other Domestic Coke segment.

Sales to U.S. Steel, in total, accounted for \$276.6 million, \$310.6 million and \$231.4 million or 17 percent, 16 percent and 15 percent, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of the Company's sales and other operating revenue and are recorded in the Other Domestic Coke segment.

## 9. Income Taxes

Prior to the Distribution Date, SunCoke Energy and certain subsidiaries of Sunoco were included in the consolidated federal and certain consolidated, combined or unitary state income tax returns filed by Sunoco. However, SunCoke Energy's provision for income taxes and the deferred income tax amounts reflected in the Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements have been determined on a theoretical separate-return basis. Prior to the Separation Date, any current federal and state income tax amounts were settled with Sunoco under a previous tax sharing arrangement. Under this previous tax sharing arrangement, net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards generated on a theoretical separate-return basis could be used to offset future taxable income determined on a similar basis. Such benefits were reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets, notwithstanding the fact that such net operating losses and tax credits may actually have been realized on Sunoco's consolidated income tax returns, or may be realized in future consolidated income tax returns covering the period through the Distribution Date.

On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a new tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. In general, under the tax sharing agreement:

• With respect to any periods ending at or prior to the Distribution, SunCoke Energy is responsible for any U.S. federal income taxes and any U.S. state or local income taxes reportable on a consolidated, combined or unitary return, in each case, as would be applicable to SunCoke Energy as if it filed tax returns on a standalone basis. With respect to any periods beginning after the Distribution, SunCoke Energy will be responsible for any U.S. federal, state or local

income taxes of it or any of its subsidiaries.

Sunoco is responsible for any income taxes reportable on returns that include only Sunoco and its subsidiaries (excluding SunCoke Energy and its subsidiaries), and SunCoke Energy is responsible for any income taxes filed on returns that include only it and its subsidiaries.

90

---



Table of Contents

Sunoco is responsible for any non-income taxes reportable on returns that include only Sunoco and its subsidiaries (excluding SunCoke Energy and its subsidiaries), and SunCoke Energy is responsible for any non-income taxes filed on returns that include only it and its subsidiaries.

SunCoke Energy is generally not entitled to receive payment from Sunoco in respect of any of SunCoke Energy's tax attributes or tax benefits or any reduction of taxes of Sunoco. Moreover, Sunoco is generally entitled to refunds of income taxes with respect to periods ending at or prior to the Distribution. If SunCoke Energy realizes any refund, credit or other reduction in otherwise required tax payments in any period beginning after the Distribution Date as a result of an audit adjustment resulting in taxes for which Sunoco would otherwise be responsible, then, subject to certain exceptions, SunCoke Energy must pay Sunoco the amount of any such taxes for which Sunoco would otherwise be responsible. Further, if any taxes result to Sunoco as a result of a reduction in SunCoke Energy's tax attributes for a period ending at or prior to the Distribution Date pursuant to an audit adjustment (relative to the amount of such tax attribute reflected on Sunoco's tax return as originally filed), then, subject to certain exceptions, SunCoke Energy is generally responsible to pay Sunoco the amount of any such taxes.

SunCoke Energy has also agreed to certain restrictions that are intended to preserve the tax-free status of the contribution and the Distribution. These covenants include restrictions on SunCoke Energy's issuance or sale of stock or other securities (including securities convertible into our stock but excluding certain compensatory arrangements), and sales of assets outside the ordinary course of business and entering into any other corporate transaction which would cause SunCoke Energy to undergo a 50 percent or greater change in its stock ownership. Certain key restrictions expired on January 18, 2014.

As of December 31, 2013, SunCoke Energy estimates that all tax benefits have been settled under the provisions of the tax sharing agreement. SunCoke Energy will continue to monitor the full utilization of all tax attributes when the respective tax returns are filed and will, consistent with the terms of the tax sharing agreement, record additional adjustments through earnings when necessary.

SunCoke Energy has generally agreed to indemnify Sunoco and its affiliates against any and all tax-related liabilities incurred by them relating to the contribution or the Distribution to the extent caused by an acquisition of SunCoke Energy's stock or assets, or other of its actions. This indemnification applies even if Sunoco has permitted SunCoke Energy to take an action that would otherwise have been prohibited under the tax-related covenants as described above.

Under the tax sharing agreement, it was determined that certain deferred tax assets attributable to net operating losses and credit carry forwards, which had been reflected in SunCoke Energy's balance sheets prior to the Separation Date on a theoretical separate-return basis, are not realizable by SunCoke Energy. Accordingly, current and deferred tax benefits totaling \$229.2 million were eliminated from the Consolidated Balance Sheets with a corresponding reduction to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts, \$85.8 million and \$143.4 million of which were eliminated in the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table sets forth the income tax benefits which were eliminated from SunCoke Energy's income tax balances (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)	
Nonconventional fuel credit carryforward	\$39.9	\$54.2
Gasification investment tax credit carryforward	—	40.7
Federal net operating loss carryback	—	26.9
Federal, state and foreign net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards	45.9	22.0
Other	—	(0.4)
Total	\$85.8	\$143.4

The components of income before income tax expense are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Domestic	\$46.5	\$118.1	\$59.8
Foreign	12.5	7.8	6.3
Total	\$59.0	\$125.9	\$66.1

91

---

Table of Contents

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Income taxes currently payable (receivable):			
U.S. federal	\$2.3	\$(15.7)	\$(16.8)
State	0.1	2.1	(3.2)
Foreign	2.7	2.7	3.2
Total taxes currently payable (receivable)	5.1	(10.9)	(16.8)
Deferred tax (benefit):			
U.S. federal	(6.3)	36.9	20.0
State	7.9	(2.6)	4.0
Total deferred tax	1.6	34.3	24.0
Total	\$6.7	\$23.4	\$7.2

The reconciliation of the income tax expense at the U.S. statutory rate to the income tax expense is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2013			2012			2011		
	(Dollars in millions)								
Income tax expense at 35 percent U.S. statutory rate	\$20.7	35.0	%	\$44.1	35.0	%	\$23.1	35.0	%
Increase (reduction) in income taxes resulting from:									
Income attributable to noncontrolling interests <sup>(1)</sup>	(8.8)	(14.9)	%	(1.3)	(1.0)	%	0.6	0.9	%
Nonconventional fuel credit	(9.5)	(16.0)	%	(16.0)	(12.8)	%	(19.8)	(30.1)	%
State and other income taxes, net of federal income tax effects	3.2	5.4	%	(0.3)	(0.2)	%	(0.8)	(1.2)	%
Percentage depletion	—	—	%	(1.3)	(1.0)	%	(0.2)	(0.3)	%
Return-to-provision adjustments	(1.7)	(2.9)	%	(1.7)	(1.4)	%	(1.2)	(1.8)	%
Change in valuation allowance	2.0	3.4	%	—	—	%	1.3	2.0	%
Impact of tax sharing agreement	0.7	1.2	%	—	—	%	—	—	%
Domestic production activity deduction	—	—	%	(0.8)	(0.6)	%	4.2	6.4	%
Other	0.1	0.2	%	0.7	0.6	%	—	—	%
	\$6.7	11.4	%	\$23.4	18.6	%	\$7.2	10.9	%

(1) No income tax expense is reflected in the Combined and Consolidated Statements of Income for partnership income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Table of Contents

The tax effects of temporary differences that comprise the net deferred income tax liability are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Retirement benefit liabilities	\$13.4	\$18.2
Black lung benefit liabilities	12.5	13.4
Share-based compensation	5.0	—
Federal tax credit carryforward	19.3	8.4
State tax credit carryforward, net of federal income tax effects	8.6	7.5
State net operating loss carryforward, net of federal income tax effects	5.3	2.0
Other liabilities not yet deductible	10.9	12.3
Other	—	6.2
Total deferred tax assets	75.0	68.0
Less valuation allowance	(3.3	) (1.3
Deferred tax asset, net	71.7	66.7
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Properties, plants and equipment	(141.1	) (326.7
Investment in partnerships	(294.6	) (98.9
Total deferred tax liabilities	(435.7	) (425.6
Net deferred tax liability	\$(364.0	) \$(358.9

The net deferred income tax liability was classified in the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Current asset	\$12.6	\$2.6
Noncurrent liability	(376.6	) (361.5
Net deferred tax liability	\$(364.0	) \$(358.9

As of December 31, 2013, we had net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards that generally expire between 2017 and 2032.

Cash payments, including settlements for income taxes as required under the tax sharing arrangement with Sunoco, amounted to \$0.7 million, \$6.3 million and \$7.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Sunoco's consolidated federal income tax returns, which include SunCoke Energy, have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for all years through 2008. Sunoco has entered into an agreement with the IRS to resolve the federal tax examinations for the 2007 and 2008 tax year. However, the 2007 and 2008 tax years remain open due to the carryback of net operating losses from subsequent years. Sunoco's consolidated federal income tax returns, which include the Predecessor and SunCoke's federal income tax returns, are currently under examination for the years 2009 through 2011.

State and foreign income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of three to five years after the filing of the respective returns. The state impact of any amended federal returns remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification of such amendments to the states.

There are no uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2013 or 2012 and there were no interest or penalties recognized during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The Company does not expect that any unrecognized tax benefits pertaining to income tax matters will be required in the next twelve months.

The Company has not recorded income taxes on the undistributed earnings of our India joint venture because such earnings are intended to be reinvested indefinitely to finance foreign activities. These additional foreign earnings could be subject to additional tax if remitted, or deemed remitted, as a dividend. At December 31, 2013, our Visa SunCoke joint venture had a cumulative loss on unconsolidated earnings.



Table of Contents

## 10. Inventories

The Company's inventory consists of metallurgical coal, which is the principal raw material for the Company's cokemaking operations, coke, which is the finished good sold by the Company to its customers, and materials, supplies and other.

These components of inventories were as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Coal	\$84.0	\$108.0
Coke	11.8	11.8
Materials, supplies and other	39.5	32.0
Consigned coke inventory <sup>(1)</sup>	—	8.3
Total inventories	\$135.3	\$160.1

(1) During 2011, the Company estimated that Indiana Harbor would fall short of its 2011 annual minimum coke production requirements by approximately 122 thousand tons. Accordingly, we entered into contracts to procure approximately 133 thousand tons of coke from third parties. The Company then entered into an agreement to sell approximately 95 thousand tons of the purchased coke to a customer on a consignment basis. During 2012, the customer consumed 73 thousand tons of consigned coke and the remaining 22 thousand tons of consigned coke were consumed during the first quarter 2013.

## 11. Properties, Plants, and Equipment, Net

The components of net properties, plants and equipment were as follows:

	December 31, <sup>(1)</sup>	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Coke and energy plant, machinery and equipment	\$1,596.4	\$1,514.8
Coal logistics plant, machinery and equipment	82.6	—
Mining plant, machinery and equipment	224.3	193.9
Land and land improvements	101.0	82.6
Construction-in-progress	58.1	38.4
Other	28.8	23.7
Gross investment, at cost	2,091.2	1,853.4
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(547.1	) (456.8
Total properties, plants and equipment, net	\$1,544.1	\$1,396.6

(1) Includes assets, consisting mainly of coke and energy plant, machinery and equipment, with a gross investment totaling \$1,133.1 million and \$1,049.7 million and accumulated depreciation of \$228.9 million and \$181.7 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which are subject to long-term contracts to sell coke and are deemed to contain operating leases.

## 12. Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company's asset retirement obligations arise primarily from the Federal Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 and similar state statutes, which require that mine property be restored in accordance with specified standards and an approved reclamation plan. The Company also has asset retirement obligations related to certain contractual obligations, including the retirement and removal of long-lived assets from certain properties. We do not have any unrecorded asset retirement obligations.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the asset retirement obligation during each period (dollars in millions):

Balance at January 1, 2012	\$12.5	
Liabilities incurred	0.7	
Liabilities settled	—	
Accretion expense <sup>(1)</sup>	0.8	
Revisions in estimated cash flows	(0.5	)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$13.5	
Liabilities incurred	3.1	
Liabilities settled	(0.2	)
Accretion expense <sup>(1)</sup>	1.0	
Revisions in estimated cash flows	0.5	
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$17.9	

(1) Included in cost of products sold and operating expenses.

## 13. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in goodwill during each period:

	Domestic Coke	Coal Mining	Coal Logistics	Total
	(Dollars in millions)			
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$3.4	\$6.0	\$—	\$9.4
Balance as of December 31, 2012	3.4	6.0	—	9.4
Acquisitions <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	8.2	8.2
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$3.4	\$6.0	\$8.2	\$17.6

(1) Goodwill related to the acquisition of KRT.

The components of definite-lived intangible assets were as follows:

	December 31, 2013			
	Weighted - Average Remaining Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
	(Dollars in millions)			
Customer relationships	11	\$6.7	\$0.1	\$6.6
Trade name	5	1.2	—	1.2
Total		\$7.9	\$0.1	\$7.8

Total amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization was \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Based on the carrying value of definite-lived intangible assets as of December 31, 2013, we estimate amortization expense to be \$0.8 million in each of the next five years.

## 14. Retirement Benefits Plans

## Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Plans

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (“defined benefit plan”), which provides retirement benefits for certain of its employees. The Company also has plans which provide health care and life insurance benefits for many of its retirees (“postretirement benefit plans”). The postretirement benefit plans are unfunded and the costs are borne by the Company.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2011, pension benefits under the Company's defined benefit plan were frozen for all participants in this plan. The Company also amended its postretirement benefit plans during the first quarter of 2010.

Postretirement medical benefits for its future retirees were phased out or eliminated, effective January 1, 2011, for non-mining employees with less than ten years of service, all new employees and employer costs for all those still eligible for such benefits were capped. As a result of these changes to its postretirement benefit plans, the Company's postretirement benefit liability declined \$36.7 million during 2010. Most of the benefit of this liability reduction is being amortized into income through 2016. At December 31, 2011, the Company's pension plan assets were invested in a trust with the assets of other pension plans of Sunoco. These plan assets were separated from the Sunoco trust in January 2012 and were transferred to a newly formed trust established for the Company's plan.

Defined benefit plan expense (benefit) consisted of the following components:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Interest cost on benefit obligations	\$1.3	\$1.5	\$1.5
Expected return on plan assets	(2.4	) (1.8	) (2.4
Amortization of:			
Actuarial losses	1.0	0.9	0.5
Total (benefit) expense	\$(0.1	) \$0.6	\$(0.4

Postretirement benefit plans benefit consisted of the following components:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Service cost	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.3
Interest cost on benefit obligations	1.4	1.8	2.1
Amortization of:			
Actuarial losses	1.5	1.6	1.2
Prior service benefit	(5.7	) (5.6	) (5.6
Total	\$(2.5	) \$(1.9	) \$(2.0

Amortization of actuarial losses for 2014 is estimated at \$0.5 million for the defined benefit plan. Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service benefit for 2014 is estimated to be \$0.9 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, for the postretirement benefit plans.

Defined benefit plan and postretirement benefit plans expense (benefit) is determined using actuarial assumptions as of the beginning of the year or using weighted-average assumptions when curtailments, settlements, and/or other events require a plan remeasurement. The following assumptions were used to determine defined benefit plan and postretirement benefit plans expense (benefit):

	Defined Benefit Plan			Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011	
Discount Rate	3.65	% 4.25	% 5.00	% 3.30	% 3.90	% 4.60	%
Long-term expected rate of return on plan assets	7.10	% 6.25	% 8.25	% —	% —	% —	%

The long-term expected rate of return on plan assets was estimated based on a variety of factors, including the historical investment return achieved over a long-term period, the targeted allocation of plan assets and expectations concerning future returns in the marketplace for both equity and fixed income securities.



Table of Contents

The following amounts were recognized as components of other comprehensive (loss) income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Defined Benefit Plan			Postretirement Benefit Plans		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)					
Reclassifications to earnings of:						
Actuarial loss amortization	\$1.0	\$0.9	\$0.5	\$1.5	\$1.6	\$1.2
Prior service benefit amortization	—	—	—	(5.7	) (5.6	) (5.6
Retirement benefit plan funded status adjustments:						
Actuarial gains (losses)	5.6	(1.9	) (6.0	) 3.9	0.4	(3.9
Prior service benefit	—	—	—	—	3.9	—
	\$6.6	\$(1.0	) \$(5.5	) \$(0.3	) \$0.3	\$(8.3

The following tables set forth the components of the changes in benefit obligations and fair value of plan assets during 2013 and 2012, as well as the funded status at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Defined Benefit Plan		Postretirement Benefit Plans	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)			
Benefit obligations at beginning of year <sup>(1)</sup>	\$37.5	\$35.8	\$43.7	\$49.7
Service cost	—	—	0.3	0.3
Interest cost	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.8
Actuarial (gains) losses	(3.9	) 2.1	(4.0	) (0.7
Plan amendments <sup>(3)</sup>	—	—	—	(3.9
Benefits paid	(2.0	) (1.9	) (3.0	) (3.5
Benefit obligations at end of year <sup>(1)</sup>	\$32.9	\$37.5	\$38.4	\$43.7
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$34.9	\$30.1		
Actual income on plan assets	4.0	2.1		
Employer contribution	—	4.6		
Benefits paid from plan assets	(2.0	) (1.9	)	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$36.9	\$34.9		
Net asset (liability) at end of year <sup>(2)</sup>	\$4.0	\$(2.6	) \$(38.4	) \$(43.7

(1) Represents both the accumulated benefit obligation and the projected benefit obligation for the defined benefit plan and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (“APBO”) for the postretirement benefit plans.

(2) Represents retirement benefit assets (liabilities), including current portion, in the consolidated balance sheets. The current portion of retirement liabilities, which totaled \$3.6 million and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, is classified in accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(3) The Company amended its postretirement benefit plan, effective January 1, 2013, to provide post-65 retiree health benefits via Health Reimbursement Arrangement employer contributions.

The Company was not required and did not contribute to its defined benefit plan during 2013 and does not anticipate making any contributions during 2014.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the cumulative amounts not yet recognized in net income at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Defined Benefit Plan		Postretirement Benefit Plans	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)			
Cumulative amounts not yet recognized in net income:				
Actuarial losses	\$10.1	\$16.7	\$11.1	\$16.5
Prior service benefits	—	—	(16.5	) (22.2
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) (before related tax benefit)	\$10.1	\$16.7	\$(5.4	) \$(5.7

The following table sets forth the plan assets in the funded defined benefit plan measured at fair value, by input level, at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Mutual funds:		
Equity securities:		
Domestic	\$—	\$12.6
International	—	8.2
Fixed income securities:		
Government and Federal-sponsored agency obligations	—	2.7
Corporate and other debt instruments	36.0	10.4
Cash and cash equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>	0.9	1.0
Total	\$36.9	\$34.9

(1) Substantially all of these funds are held in connection with fixed income investment strategies.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not have any Level 2 or Level 3 plan assets.

Investments in equity and fixed income securities that are publicly traded are valued at the closing market prices on the last business day of the year. Other equity and fixed income securities are generally valued using other observable inputs to estimate fair value on the last business day of the year. Investments in mutual funds and collective trust funds are primarily based on the closing market price of the assets held in the funds on the last business day of the year.

At the beginning of 2013, the target asset allocation and strategy was an allocation of 66 percent to equity securities and 34 percent to investment grade fixed income securities. During the second quarter of 2013, the pension plan's investment strategy and target asset allocation for non-cash investments was modified to implement an allocation of 50 percent equity securities and 50 percent to investment grade fixed income securities. During the fourth quarter of 2013, the target asset allocation and strategy was again modified to a portfolio of 100 percent investment grade fixed income securities with a weighted average duration approximately equal to the duration of the pension plan's benefit obligation. The objective of this strategy is to minimize the risk of market volatility on the value of our pension plan assets.

At the beginning of 2012, the target asset allocation and strategy was a 100 percent allocation to a portfolio of investment grade fixed income securities with a weighted average duration approximately equal to the duration of the pension plan's benefit obligation. During the second quarter of 2012, the pension plan's investment strategy and target asset allocation for non-cash investments was modified to implement an allocation of 66 percent to equity securities

and 34 percent to investment grade fixed income securities. The objective of this strategy is to maximize the long-term return on plan assets at a prudent level of risk in order to ensure adequate funding for the Company's pension benefit obligations. Following this change in asset allocation, the plan's expected return on assets for fiscal 2012 increased from 4.25 percent to 6.25 percent. This change resulted in a reduction of defined benefit plan expense of \$0.6 million for fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

The asset allocations attributable to the defined benefit plan at December 31, 2013 and 2012 by asset category, are as follows (in percentages):

Asset category:	December 31,		
	2013	2012	
Equity securities	—	% 66	%
Fixed income securities <sup>(1)</sup>	100	% 34	%
Total	100	% 100	%

(1) Includes cash and cash equivalents which are held to manage duration in connection with fixed income investment strategies.

The expected benefit payments through 2023 for the defined benefit plan and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

Year ending December 31:	Defined	Postretirement
	Benefit Plan	Benefit Plans
	(Dollars in millions)	
2014	\$2.2	\$3.6
2015	2.2	3.6
2016	2.3	3.6
2017	2.3	3.5
2018	2.3	3.3
2019 through 2023	10.8	14.5

The measurement date for the Company's defined benefit plan and postretirement benefit plans is December 31. The following discount rates were used at December 31, 2013 and 2012 to determine benefit obligations for the plans (in percentages):

Discount rate	Defined		Postretirement		
	Benefit Plan		Benefit Plans		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
	4.55	% 3.65	% 4.15	% 3.30	%

The health care cost trend assumption used at December 31, 2013 to compute the APBO for the postretirement benefit plans was an increase of 8.00 percent (8.50 percent at December 31, 2012), which is assumed to decline gradually to 5.00 percent in 2020 and to remain at that level thereafter. A one-percentage point change each year in assumed health care cost trend rates would have an impact of less than \$0.1 million on the total of service and interest cost components of postretirement benefits expense and APBO as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

**Defined Contribution Plans**

The Company has defined contribution plans which provide retirement benefits for certain of its employees. The Company's contributions, which are principally based on the Company's pretax income and the aggregate compensation levels of participating employees and are charged against income as incurred, amounted to \$6.9 million, \$5.9 million and \$4.4 million for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

## 15. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of following:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Accrued sales discounts	\$13.6	\$36.2
Accrued benefits	23.9	21.5
Other taxes payable	11.2	10.9
Other	20.8	22.6
Total	\$69.5	\$91.2

## 16. Debt

Total debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in millions)	
Term loans, bearing interest at variable rates, due 2018, net of original issue discount of \$1.0 million and \$1.7 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively <sup>(1)</sup>	\$99.1	\$323.4
Revolving credit facility, due 2018	40.0	—
7.625 percent senior notes, due 2019 (“Notes”)	400.0	400.0
7.375 percent senior notes, due 2020 (“Partnership Notes”)	150.0	—
Total debt	\$689.1	\$723.4
Less: short-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	41.0	3.3
Total long-term debt	\$648.1	\$720.1

(1) Borrowed under the Company’s Credit Agreement dated as of July 26, 2011 (“Credit Agreement”).

## Credit Facilities

On July 26, 2011, SunCoke Energy entered into a Credit Agreement which provides for a seven-year term loan in a principal amount of \$300.0 million (the “Term Loan”), repayable in equal quarterly installments at a rate of 1.00 percent of the original principal amount per year, with the balance payable on the final maturity date. Additionally, the Credit Agreement provided for up to \$75.0 million of uncommitted incremental facility term loans (“Incremental Facilities”) that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. On December 15, 2011, SunCoke Energy borrowed an additional \$30.0 million Term Loan as part of the Incremental Facilities. Upon issuance, the Term Loan and Incremental Facilities were recorded net of a \$2.1 million debt discount. As of December 31, 2013 there was \$45.0 million of capacity remaining under the Incremental Facilities. SunCoke Energy has \$100.1 million outstanding under the Term Loan and Incremental Facilities as of December 31, 2013. The proceeds from the Term Loan and Incremental Facilities were used to repay certain intercompany indebtedness to Sunoco, to pay related fees and expenses and for general corporate purposes.

The Credit Agreement also provides for a five-year \$150 million revolving facility (the “Revolving Facility”). The proceeds of any loans made under the Revolving Facility can be used to finance capital expenditures, acquisitions, working capital needs and for other general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2013, the Revolving Facility had no draws and letters of credit outstanding of \$2.1 million, leaving \$147.9 million available subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement. Commitment fees are based on the unused portion of the Revolving Facility at a rate of 0.35 percent.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement. In conjunction with the repayment, we incurred a charge of approximately \$2.9 million, which is included in interest expense, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income, representing the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and original issue discount related to the portion of the Term Loan extinguished.



Table of Contents

The amendment to our Credit Agreement, among other things, modified provisions to reflect the Partnership offering including (i) changing the definition of “Consolidated Net Income” to include cash distributions received by the Company or a Restricted Subsidiary from an Unrestricted Subsidiary that is controlled directly or indirectly by the Company when calculating “Consolidated Net Income”, (ii) clarifying that obligations incurred by certain subsidiaries of the Company at or about the timing of the closing of the Partnership offering shall not be included in the definition of “Indebtedness,” and (iii) permitting an allowance for investments in Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC and certain other subsidiaries of the Company. In addition, we also designated Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC as unrestricted subsidiaries. Furthermore, the term of the Credit Agreement was extended to January 2018. We incurred debt issuance costs of \$0.7 million in conjunction with this amendment which will be amortized through January 2018.

Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest, at SunCoke Energy’s option, at either (i) base rate plus an applicable margin or (ii) the greater of 1.00 percent or LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin on the Term Loan is (i) in the case of base rate loans, 2.00 percent per annum and (ii) in the case of LIBOR loans, 3.00 percent per annum. Borrowings under the Revolving Facility bear interest, at SunCoke Energy’s option, at either (i) base rate plus an applicable margin or (ii) LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin on loans made under the Revolving Facility is determined by reference to a consolidated leverage ratio based pricing grid. The weighted-average interest rate for borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement during 2013 was 4.07 percent. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain of the Company’s subsidiaries and secured by liens on substantially all of the Company’s and the guarantors’ assets pursuant to a Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of July 26, 2011, among the Company, the subsidiaries of the Company party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A, as administrative agent.

In conjunction with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership also entered into a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility with a term extending through January 2018. The Partnership incurred issuance costs of \$2.2 million in conjunction with entering into this new revolving credit facility. This credit facility was amended on August 28, 2013, increasing the total aggregate commitments from lenders to \$150.0 million and now also providing for up to \$100.0 million uncommitted incremental revolving capacity, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Partnership paid \$0.9 million in fees related to the credit facility amendment. The fees have been included in deferred charges and other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized over the life of the facility. On October 1, 2013 the Partnership borrowed \$40.0 million against the revolving credit facility for the purchase of KRT. The weighted-average interest rate for borrowings under the revolving credit facility during 2013 was 2.43 percent. In addition to the \$40.0 million borrowing, the credit facility had letters of credit outstanding of \$0.7 million, leaving \$109.3 million available as of December 31, 2013. Commitment fees are based on the unused portion of the Revolver at a rate of 0.40 percent.

The Credit Agreement and the Partnership's revolving credit facility contain certain covenants, restrictions and events of default including, but not limited to, maintaining a maximum consolidated leverage ratio and a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio and limitations on the ability of the Company and certain of the Company’s subsidiaries to (i) incur indebtedness, (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions, (iii) prepay, redeem or repurchase certain debt, (iv) make loans and investments, (v) sell assets, (vi) incur liens, (vii) enter into transactions with affiliates and (viii) consolidate or merge. In addition, under certain circumstances, the Term Loan is subject to mandatory principal prepayments.

Senior Notes

On July 26, 2011, SunCoke Energy issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal of senior notes (the “Notes”) in a private placement. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.625 percent per annum and will mature in 2019 with all principal paid at maturity. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing on February 1, 2012. The proceeds from the Notes were used to repay certain intercompany indebtedness to Sunoco, to pay related fees and expenses and for general corporate purposes.

The Notes were offered in the U.S. to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and outside the U.S. to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act. On January 25, 2012, we completed an exchange offer for the Notes for an equal principal amount of the Notes whose sale is registered

under the Securities Act.

The Notes are the Company's senior unsecured obligations and are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by each of the Company's existing and future subsidiaries that guarantees the Company's credit facilities (collectively, the "Notes Guarantors"). The Notes rank equally in right of payment to all of the Company's existing and future unsecured unsubordinated debt and senior in right of payment to all of the Company's existing and future debt that is by its terms expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Notes. The Notes are subordinated to indebtedness under the Credit Agreement as well as any future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt.

101

---



## Table of Contents

The Company may redeem some or all of the Notes prior to August 1, 2014 by paying a “make-whole” premium. The Company also may redeem some or all of the Notes on or after August 1, 2014 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to August 1, 2014, the Company may redeem up to 35 percent of the Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings.

The Company recorded \$19.1 million of deferred financing fees related to the issuance of the Notes and the facilities under the Credit Agreement.

The Company is obligated to offer to purchase the Notes at a price of (a) 101 percent of their principal amount, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase, upon the occurrence of certain change of control events and (b) 100 percent of their principal amount, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase, with the proceeds from certain asset dispositions. These restrictions and prohibitions are subject to certain qualifications and exceptions set forth in the Indenture, including without limitation, reinvestment rights with respect to the proceeds of asset dispositions.

In addition, with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of Partnership Notes. In conjunction with this transaction, the Partnership incurred debt issuance costs of \$3.7 million, \$0.8 million of which were expensed immediately and were included in interest expense. The Partnership Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.375 percent per annum and will mature on February 1, 2020. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Partnership may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes prior to February 1, 2016 by paying a “make-whole” premium. The Partnership also may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes on or after February 1, 2016 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Partnership may redeem up to 35 percent of the Partnership Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings. If the Partnership sells certain of its assets or experiences specific kinds of changes in control, subject to certain exceptions, the Partnership must offer to purchase the Partnership Notes. Approximately \$0.6 million of the total debt issuance costs associated with the Partnership Notes and the Partnership's revolving credit facility were paid during 2012.

The Indenture and Partnership Notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's and Partnership's ability and the ability of certain of the Company and Partnership's subsidiaries to (i) incur indebtedness, (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions, (iii) prepay, redeem or repurchase certain debt, (iv) make loans and investments, (v) sell assets, (vi) incur liens, (vii) enter into transactions with affiliates and (viii) consolidate or merge. These covenants are subject to a number of exceptions and qualifications set forth in the respective agreements. Debt maturities for each of the next four years is \$1.0 million and \$96 million in the fifth year.

### Interest Rate Swaps

On August 15, 2011, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$125.0 million. See Note 24 for further information on the interest rate swaps.

### 17. Black Lung Benefit Obligations

The Company is responsible for making pneumoconiosis (“black lung”) benefit payments to certain of its employees and former employees and their dependents. Such payments are for claims under Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 and subsequent amendments, as well as for black lung benefits provided in the states of Virginia, Kentucky and West Virginia pursuant to workers' compensation legislation. The Company acts as a self-insurer for both state and federal black lung benefits and adjusts the Company's accrual each year based upon actuarial calculations of the Company's expected future payments for these benefits. Our obligation related to black lung benefits is estimated based on various assumptions, including actuarial estimates, discount rates, and changes in health care costs. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the discount rate used to calculate the period end liability was 4.65, 3.80 and 4.50 percent, respectively. The estimated liability was \$32.4 million and \$34.8 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recognized income of \$0.3 million related to black lung benefits during 2013 and expense of \$3.3 million and \$8.7 million during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

### 18. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Company, as lessee, has noncancelable operating leases for land, office space, equipment and railcars. Total rental expense, net of sublease income, was \$6.4 million, \$4.8 million and \$5.8 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Sublease income is generated from our former corporate headquarters located in Knoxville, Tennessee.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, concurrent with our move to Lisle, Illinois, this space was subleased to another tenant. The sublease to this tenant was terminated during 2013. The Company is currently marketing the space for lease and remains directly liable to the landlord under the original lease.

Table of Contents

The aggregate amount of future minimum annual rentals applicable to noncancelable operating leases is as follows:

	Minimum Rental Payments (Dollars in millions)
Year ending December 31:	
2014	\$4.3
2015	3.3
2016	2.7
2017	1.3
2018	1.0
2019-Thereafter	3.2
Total	\$15.8

The Company is subject to indemnity agreements with current and former third-party investors of Indiana Harbor and Jewell related to certain tax benefits that they earned as limited partners. Based on the partnership's statute of limitations, as well as published filings of the limited partners, the Company believes that tax audits for years 2006 and 2007, relating to tax credits of approximately \$51 million, may be still open for the limited partners and subject to examination. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has not been notified by the limited partners that any items subject to the indemnification are under examination and further believes that the potential for any claims under the indemnity agreements are remote. Sunoco also guarantees SunCoke Energy's performance under the indemnification to the current third party investor of Indiana Harbor and the former investor at Jewell. On September 30, 2011, concurrent with SunCoke Energy's purchase of the 19 percent ownership interest from one of the Indiana Harbor limited partners, Sunoco was released of its guarantee to the former Indiana Harbor partner of SunCoke Energy's performance under this indemnification. SunCoke Energy has assumed this guarantee.

SunCoke is also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide remarketing efforts to the Partnership upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements, indemnification of certain environmental remediation project costs and preferential rights for growth opportunities.

In June 2013, AK Steel experienced a blast furnace outage at their Middletown plant. Due to this outage, we agreed to manage production at our Haverhill cokemaking facility to be consistent with annual contract maximums and to temporarily scale back coke production at our Middletown facility to name plate capacity levels in the second half of 2013. Pursuant to the omnibus agreement, the Company remitted a make-whole payment to the Partnership of \$0.9 million during 2013, which was based on lower production levels at our Middletown cokemaking facility. We recorded this payment as a capital contribution to the Partnership. In addition, the Company provided AK Steel extended payment terms on December 2013 coke production resulting in a shift of \$20.7 million in operating cash flow from 2013 to early 2014.

The EPA and state regulators have issued Notices of Violations ("NOVs") for our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking facilities which stem from alleged violations of our air operating permits for these facilities. We are currently working in a cooperative manner with the EPA, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency to address the allegations, and have lodged a consent decree in federal district court that is undergoing review. Settlement may require payment of a penalty for alleged past violations as well as the capital projects underway to improve the reliability of the energy recovery systems and enhance environmental performance at the Haverhill and Granite City facilities. We anticipate spending approximately \$120 million related to these projects, an increase from our previous estimate of \$100 million, and have spent approximately \$28 million and approximately \$5 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. We anticipate spending approximately \$41 million in 2014 and approximately \$46 million in the 2015 to 2016 timeframe. A portion of the proceeds from the Partnership offering is being used to fund \$67 million of these environmental remediation projects.

The Company has also received NOVs from the EPA related to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. After initial discussions with the EPA and the Indiana Department of Environmental Management ("IDEM"), resolution of the NOVs was postponed by mutual agreement because of ongoing discussions regarding the NOVs at the Haverhill and

Granite City cokemaking facilities. In January 2012, the Company began working in a cooperative manner to address the allegations with the EPA, the IDEM and Cokenergy, Inc., an independent power producer that owns and operates an energy facility, including heat recovery equipment, a flue gas desulfurization system and a power generation plant, that processes hot flue gas from our Indiana Harbor facility to produce steam and electricity and to reduce the sulfur and particulate content of such flue gas. Settlement may require payment of a penalty for alleged past violations as well as undertaking capital projects to enhance reliability and environmental performance. In addition, we conducted an engineering study to identify major maintenance

103

---

Table of Contents

projects necessary to preserve the production capacity of the facility. In accordance with the findings of the study, we originally estimated that we would spend approximately \$50 million. Based on discussions with our customer regarding their requirements for the potential contract renewal term, we now estimate that we could spend as much as \$100 million. We spent \$66 million and \$14 million related to this project in 2013 and 2012, respectively. In September 2013, we reached agreement with our customer for ten year extension of our long-term contract. Key provisions of the extension agreement, which took effect October 1, 2013, are substantially similar to the existing agreement, including continuing the pass-through of coal costs, reimbursement of operating and maintenance expenses subject to certain metrics and a pricing adjustment per ton of coke produced to recognize the approximately \$100 million in new capital being deployed to refurbish and upgrade this facility. We expect to earn a reasonable return on our investment, along with DTE Energy Company, the third party investor owning a 15 percent interest in the partnership (the “Indiana Harbor Partnership”) that owns the Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. In addition, we believe the project scope will address items that may be required in connection with the settlement of the NOV’s at our Indiana Harbor facility. At this time, the Company cannot yet assess any future injunctive relief or potential monetary penalty and any potential future citations. The Company is unable to estimate a range of probable or reasonably possible loss.

The Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency (SWOAQA) also issued an NOV to our Middletown facility on November 19, 2012. We responded to the NOV by providing a carbon injection plan requested by SWOAQA, and the Company has further updated that plan. At present, the Company cannot assess whether there will be a monetary penalty or any future citations, but we do not expect such a penalty or citations to be material to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company at December 31, 2013.

The Company is in discussions with ArcelorMittal to resolve claims by ArcelorMittal that certain shipments of coke did not meet coke quality targets. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company recorded an estimated liability of \$2.5 million for the possible reimbursement of certain freight and handling costs incurred by ArcelorMittal and for the Company’s potential legal fees and costs in connection with this matter.

In November 2013, in order to facilitate coal purchases at the Company's India joint venture, the Company executed an agreement guaranteeing a letter of trade credit for \$8.3 million. Subsequent to the execution of the guarantee, VISA SunCoke obtained independent financing through a consortium of local banks which adequately address the joint venture’s working capital requirements. The probability of any losses to the Company is remote and the fair value of the guarantee is insignificant.

Other legal and administrative proceedings are pending or may be brought against the Company arising out of its current and past operations, including matters related to commercial and tax disputes, product liability, antitrust, employment claims, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances and general environmental claims. Although the ultimate outcome of these claims cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some portion of these claims could be resolved unfavorably to the Company. Management of the Company believes that any liability which may arise from such matters would not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company at December 31, 2013.

#### 19. Restructuring

The relocation of the Company's corporate headquarters from Knoxville, Tennessee to Lisle, Illinois, was completed during the second quarter of 2011 and resulted in a termination of employees eligible for severance benefits upon such termination. The Company recorded restructuring charges of \$1.3 million, \$0.6 million and \$8.0 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These charges consist of employee-related costs, primarily related to relocation and lease terminations and asset write-offs. The 2013 restructuring charge includes a change in estimate related to lease termination costs.

The following table presents aggregate restructuring charges related to the relocation:

	Employee- Related Costs (Dollars in millions)	Asset Write-offs	Lease Terminations	Total
Years Prior to 2011	\$0.1	\$0.3	\$—	\$0.4

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31, 2011	5.4	0.6	2.0	\$8.0
Year Ended December 31, 2012	\$0.5	\$—	\$0.1	\$0.6
Year Ended December 31, 2013	\$0.1	\$—	\$1.2	\$1.3
Charges recorded through December 31, 2013	\$6.1	\$0.9	\$3.3	\$10.3

104

---

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, we do not believe any additional costs related to this restructuring would be material to our financial position in future periods. Employee-related costs and lease terminations are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Asset write-offs are included in depreciation expense.

The following table presents accrued restructuring and related activity as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 related to the relocation:

	Employee- Related Costs	Lease Terminations	Total
	(Dollars in millions)		
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$0.1	\$—	\$0.1
Charges	5.4	2.0	7.4
Cash payments	(4.7	) (0.3	) (5.0
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$0.8	\$1.7	\$2.5
Charges	0.5	0.1	0.6
Cash payments	(0.7	) (0.4	) (1.1
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$0.6	\$1.4	\$2.0
Charges	0.1	1.2	1.3
Cash Payments	(0.6	) (0.9	) (1.5
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$0.1	\$1.7	\$1.8

## 20. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following tables set forth the changes in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss, by component:

	Defined Benefit Plans	Currency Translation Adjustments	Total
	(Dollars in millions)		
At December 31, 2011	\$(6.3	) \$(0.2	) \$(6.5
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	(1.1	) (1.1
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(1.9	) —	(1.9
Retirement benefit plans funded status adjustment	1.6	—	1.6
Net current-period other comprehensive loss	(0.3	) (1.1	) (1.4
At December 31, 2012	(6.6	) (1.3	) (7.9
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	(10.0	) (10.0
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(1.9	) —	(1.9
Retirement benefit plans funded status adjustment	5.7	—	5.7
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	3.8	(10.0	) (6.2
At December 31, 2013	\$(2.8	) \$(11.3	) \$(14.1

Table of Contents

The impact of net income on reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows:

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Amortization of defined benefit plan items to net income:			
Prior service income	\$5.7	\$5.6	\$5.6
Actuarial loss	(2.5	) (2.5	) (1.7
Total before taxes	3.2	3.1	3.9
Income tax expense	(1.3	) (1.2	) (1.2
Total, net of tax	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$2.7

#### 21. Share-Based Compensation

Effective July 13, 2011, SunCoke Energy's Board of Directors approved the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan ("SunCoke LTPEP"). The SunCoke LTPEP provides for the grant of equity-based rewards including stock options and share units, or restricted stock, to the Company's directors, officers, and other employees, advisors, and consultants who are selected by the plan committee for participation in the SunCoke LTPEP. The plan authorizes the issuance of (i) 1,600,000 shares of SunCoke Energy common stock issuable upon the adjustment of Sunoco equity awards in connection with the Distribution and (ii) up to 6,000,000 shares of SunCoke Energy common stock pursuant to new awards under the SunCoke LTPEP.

The Company measures the cost of employee services in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The total cost is reduced for estimated forfeitures over the awards' vesting period and the cost is recognized over the requisite service period. Forfeiture estimates are reviewed on an annual basis at a minimum, or as deemed necessary based on actual forfeitures. Compensation expense is recorded ratably over the service period.

#### Stock Options

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company granted stock options to certain employees to acquire 446,948 shares of common stock. The stock options have a ten-year term and a \$16.55 per share weighted average exercise price, which was equal to the average of the high and low prices of SunCoke Energy common stock on the dates of grant. The stock options become exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant (in each case subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date). All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company granted stock options to certain employees to acquire 486,182 shares of common stock. The stock options have a ten-year term and a \$14.29 per share weighted average exercise price, which was equal to the average of the high and low prices of SunCoke Energy common stock on the dates of grant. The stock options become exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant (in each case subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date). All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company granted stock options to certain employees to acquire 1,533,312 shares of common stock. The stock options have a ten-year term and a \$17.25 per share weighted average exercise price, which was equal to the average of the high and low prices of SunCoke Energy common stock on the dates of grant. A total of 1,348,608 stock options will vest in three equal annual installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the dates of grant (in each case subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date). The Company issued 184,704 stock options that will vest in three equal annual installments beginning September 1, 2013. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.



Table of Contents

The Company calculates the value of each employee stock option, estimated on the date of grant, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The weighted-average fair value of employee stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$6.00, \$5.70 and \$6.93, respectively, using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011		
Risk Free Interest Rate	0.93	% 0.82	% 1.54		%
Expected Term	5 years	5 years	5 years		
Volatility	44	% 45	% 44		%
Dividend Yield	—	% —	% —		%
Weighted-Average Exercise Price	\$16.55	\$14.29	\$17.25		

The Company uses the average implied volatility of the Dow Jones U.S. Steel index coupled with the implied volatility of the S&P 600. Since the Company does not have a direct peer group and only has a limited trading history it believes this approach provides a reasonable implied volatility.

The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve at the date of grant for periods which approximates the expected life of the option. The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company's future expectation of dividend payouts. The expected life of employee options represents the average contractual term adjusted by the average vesting period of each option tranche. The Company estimated a three percent forfeiture rate in calculating fair value in 2013 and a zero forfeiture rate in calculating fair value in 2011 and 2012. This estimated forfeiture rate may be revised in subsequent periods if the actual forfeiture rate differs significantly.

The following table summarizes information with respect to common stock option awards outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and of stock option activity during the fiscal year then ended:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,882,864	\$16.49	8.7	\$0.6
Granted	446,948	\$16.55		
Exercised	(14,813)	) \$15.62		
Forfeited	(27,747)	) \$15.18		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	2,287,252	\$16.52	8.0	\$14.4
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	1,005,675	\$16.81	7.6	\$6.0
Expected to vest at December 31, 2013	1,243,129	\$16.29	8.2	\$8.1

Intrinsic value for stock options is defined as the difference between the current market value of our common stock and the exercise price of the stock options. Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during 2013 was \$0.1 million. No options were exercised during 2012 or 2011.

The Company recognized \$4.6 million, \$2.9 million net of tax, \$3.8 million, \$2.3 million net of tax, and \$1.4 million, \$0.9 million net of tax, in compensation expense during the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to the above stock options. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$4.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 1.4 years.

**Restricted Stock Units**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company issued a total of 293,918 restricted stock units ("RSU") to certain employees for shares of the Company's common stock. The weighted average grant date fair value was \$16.58. The RSUs vest in three annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued a total of 83,082 restricted stock units ("RSU") to certain employees for shares of the Company's common stock. The weighted average grant date fair value was \$14.29.

The RSUs vest in four annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

107

---

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued a total of 288,898 restricted stock units ("RSU") to certain employees for shares of the Company's common stock. The weighted average grant date fair value was \$17.19. A total of 146,545 RSUs vest as follows: (1) 50 percent of each RSU award generally will vest in three equal annual installments, on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant and (2) the remaining 50 percent of each RSU award will vest on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant (in each case subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date). The Company issued 112,941 RSUs that will vest in three equal annual installments beginning on September 1, 2013. The Company also issued 29,412 RSUs that vest one year from the date of grant. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP. The following table summarizes information with respect to RSUs outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and of RSU activity during the fiscal year then ended:

	Number of RSUs	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	298,700	\$ 16.38
Granted	293,918	\$ 16.58
Vested	(78,568	) \$ 16.31
Forfeited	(12,249	) \$ 16.08
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	501,801	\$ 16.44

Total fair value of RSUs vested was \$1.3 million and \$0.8 million during 2013 and 2012, respectively. No RSU's vested during 2011.

The Company recognized \$2.5 million, \$1.6 million net of tax, \$1.5 million, \$0.9 million net of tax, and \$0.7 million, \$0.4 million net of tax, in compensation expense during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to the above RSUs. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$5.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested RSUs. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 2.0 years.

**Performance Share Units**

The Company issued 96,073 performance share units ("PSU") for shares of the Company's common stock during the year ended December 31, 2013 that vest on December 31, 2015. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control and a qualifying termination of employment as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP. The weighted average fair value of the PSUs granted during the year ended December 31, 2013 is \$19.56 and is based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant as well as a Monte Carlo simulation for the portion of the award subject to a market condition.

The number of PSUs ultimately awarded will be adjusted based upon the following metrics: (1) 50 percent of the award will be determined by the Company's three year total shareholder return ("TSR") as compared to the TSR of the companies making up the S&P 600; and (2) 50 percent of the award will be determined by the Company's three year average pre-tax return on capital for the Company's coke business. Each portion of the award may be adjusted between zero and 200 percent of the original units granted.

The following table summarizes information with respect to unearned PSUs outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and of PSU activity during the fiscal year then ended:

	Number of PSUs	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Unearned awards outstanding at December 31, 2012	—	\$ —
Granted	96,073	\$ 19.56
Vested	—	\$ —
Forfeited	—	\$ —
Unearned awards outstanding at December 31, 2013	96,073	\$ 19.56

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 96,073 PSUs outstanding. The Company recognized \$0.5 million, \$0.3 million net of tax, during the year ended December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$1.3 million of

total unrecognized compensation cost related to these nonvested PSUs. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 2.0 years.

108

---

Table of Contents

## Modifications

In connection with the Distribution, certain Sunoco common stock awards and stock options that were held by Sunoco employees, Sunoco directors and SunCoke Energy employees were modified and an anti-dilutive provision was added. In general, all Sunoco stock options held by Sunoco employees and Sunoco directors were converted into both Sunoco and SunCoke Energy stock options. Sunoco stock options held by SunCoke Energy employees were converted to SunCoke Energy stock options. All SunCoke Energy common stock issued as a result of option exercises or the vesting of common stock awards will be issued under the SunCoke LTPEP.

At the Distribution Date, 1,219,842 SunCoke Energy stock options were issued in connection with the conversion of the outstanding Sunoco stock options to Sunoco employees and directors, of which 212,296 were outstanding at December 31, 2013. The converted stock options for Sunoco employees and directors are fully vested and exercisable and any expense associated with the modification of these stock options was recognized by Sunoco. The exercise prices for these stock options range from \$4.77 to \$29.35 per share. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the original grant, and the remaining outstanding options have a weighted average remaining life of 3.3 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0.8 million. During the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, 167,762 and 463,699 options were exercised, respectively, with intrinsic values of \$0.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. In 2013 and 2012, respectively, 361,604 and 14,481 options were cancelled in accordance with the terms of the Sunoco share-based compensation plan.

At the Distribution Date, 295,854 SunCoke Energy stock options were issued in connection with the conversion of the outstanding Sunoco stock options for SunCoke Energy employees, all of which are fully vested with 282,277 outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2013. The exercise prices for these stock options range from \$8.93 to \$22.31 per share. In the first quarter of 2012, SunCoke Energy recorded a \$0.5 million charge in connection with the award modification and the addition of an anti-dilution provision. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the original grant, and the remaining outstanding options have a weighted average remaining contractual term of 4.7 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$2.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2012, 13,577 options were exercised with an intrinsic value of \$0.1 million. No options were exercised during 2013. Compensation expense related to these awards during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

Outstanding Sunoco common stock units held by SunCoke Energy employees were converted into 95,984 SunCoke Energy restricted stock units at the Distribution Date, all of which had vested as of December 31, 2013. Compensation expense related to these awards was calculated based on the grant-date fair value of the original award and the addition of an anti-dilutive provision. Compensation expense related to these awards during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material. Outstanding Sunoco common stock units held by Sunoco employees were not converted into SunCoke Energy awards.

## 22. Earnings per Share

The weighted average number of common shares for the 2011 period includes 70.0 million shares of common stock owned by Sunoco on the Separation Date as a result of its contribution of the assets of its cokemaking and coal mining operations to SunCoke Energy and related capitalization.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the weighted-average number of common shares used to compute basic earnings per share ("EPS") to those used to compute diluted EPS:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Shares in millions)		
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding-basic	69.9	70.0	70.0
Add: effect of dilutive share-based compensation awards	0.3	0.3	—
Weighted-average number of shares-diluted	70.2	70.3	70.0

For the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, diluted earnings per share was calculated to give effect to share-based compensation awards granted using the treasury stock method. In 2013, the potential dilutive effect of 0.2 million stock options was excluded from the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding, as the shares would have been anti-dilutive. In 2012, the potential dilutive effect of 2.5 million stock options and 0.1 million restricted stock units was excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the shares would have been

anti-dilutive. In 2011, the potential dilutive effect of 0.7 million stock options and 0.1 million restricted stock units was excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the shares would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

## 23. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Net cash provided by operating activities reflected cash payments for interest and income taxes as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Interest paid	\$43.2	\$44.0	\$2.8
Income taxes paid	\$15.3	\$6.3	\$7.3

## 24. Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain financial and non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value disclosures are reflected in a three-level hierarchy, maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs.

The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability on the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

• Level 1—inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for an identical asset or liability in an active market.

• Level 2—inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for a similar asset or liability in an active market or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

• Level 3—inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability.

## Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company's cash equivalents, which amounted to \$125.1 million and \$180.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were measured at fair value based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. These inputs are classified as Level 1 within the valuation hierarchy.

## Foreign Currency Hedge

The Company occasionally utilizes foreign exchange derivatives to manage the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and accounts for them under ASC 815—Derivatives and Hedging, which requires all derivatives to be marked to market (fair value). The Company does not purchase or hold any derivatives for trading purposes. On November 26, 2012, the Company entered into agreements to purchase approximately 1.845 billion Indian rupees at a weighted average rate of 56.075 with a settlement date of January 31, 2013. During 2013, the settlement date for these arrangements was extended to March 14, 2013. Additionally, on February 21, 2013, the Company entered into agreements to purchase an additional 1.830 billion Indian rupees at a weighted average rate of 54.810 with a settlement date of March 14, 2013, at which point our Indian joint venture investment was fully hedged. The Company did not elect hedge accounting treatment for this foreign exchange contract and, therefore, the changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other income, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

There were no significant foreign exchange contracts outstanding at December 31, 2013. The fair value of the contract at December 31, 2012 was an asset of approximately \$0.6 million. In estimating the fair value of the foreign exchange contracts, the Company utilized published rates between the U.S. dollar and Indian rupee at December 31, 2012, which are classified as Level 1 within the valuation hierarchy. For the year ended December 31, 2013, a gain of approximately \$0.9 million was recognized in other income related to the cash settlement on March 14, 2013 of the Indian rupee contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the mark to market impact of the foreign exchange contract on other income, net was an increase of approximately \$0.6 million.

## Interest Rate Swap

The Company utilizes interest rate swaps to manage the risk associated with changing interest rates and accounts for them under ASC 815—Derivatives and Hedging, which requires all derivatives to be marked to market (fair value). The Company does not purchase or hold any derivatives for trading purposes. On August 15, 2011, the Company entered

into

110

---



Table of Contents

interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$125.0 million. During 2013, we settled one of the interest rate swaps having a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The impact of this transaction on the financial statements was not material. The remaining agreements expire three years from the forward effective date of October 11, 2011. Under the outstanding interest rate swap agreements, the Company will pay a weighted average fixed rate of 1.3175 percent in exchange for receiving floating rate payments based on the greater of 1.0 percent or three-month LIBOR. The Company did not elect hedge accounting treatment for these interest rate swaps and, therefore, the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements are recorded in interest expense. The counterparties of the interest rate swap agreements are large financial institutions which the Company believes are of high quality creditworthiness. While the Company may be exposed to potential losses due to the credit risk of nonperformance by these counterparties, such losses are not anticipated.

The fair value of the swap agreement at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was a liability of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The mark to market impact of the swap arrangement on interest expense was a decrease of approximately \$0.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 and an increase of \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million in the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In estimating the fair market value of interest rate swaps, the Company utilized a present value technique which discounts future cash flows against the underlying floating rate benchmark. Derivative valuations incorporate credit risk adjustments that are necessary to reflect the probability of default by the counterparty. These inputs are not observable in the market and are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy.

#### Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Contingent consideration related to the HKCC acquisition is measured at fair value and amounted to \$4.5 million and \$4.8 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated fair value is based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market, or Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Key assumptions at December 31, 2012 included (a) a risk-adjusted discount rate range of 1.915 percent to 8.066 percent, which reflects a credit spread adjustment for each period, and (b) production levels of HKCC operations between 91 thousand and 318 thousand tons per year. Key assumptions at December 31, 2013 include (a) a risk-adjusted discount rate range of 1.135 percent to 8.765 percent, which reflects a credit spread adjustment for each period, and (b) production levels of HKCC operations between zero and 318 thousand tons per year. The fair value adjustments to contingent consideration decreased cost of products sold by \$0.3 million, \$4.2 million and \$1.9 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (e.g., when there is evidence of impairment). At December 31, 2013, no material fair value adjustments or fair value measurements were required for these non-financial assets or liabilities.

#### Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities not Measured at Fair Value

At December 31, 2013, the estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated to be \$687.1 million, compared to a carrying amount of \$689.1 million, which was net of original issue discount and mandatory pre-payments made since issuance. The fair value was estimated by management based upon estimates of debt pricing provided by financial institutions and are considered Level 3 inputs.

At December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated to be \$741.5 million, compared to a carrying amount of \$723.4 million net of mandatory pre-payments made since issuance. The fair value was estimated by management based upon estimates of debt pricing provided by financial institutions and are considered Level 3 inputs.

#### 25. Business Segment Information

The Company is an independent owner and operator of five cokemaking facilities in the eastern and midwestern regions of the U.S. The Company is also an operator of a cokemaking facility for a project company in Brazil in which it has a preferred stock investment and is a 49 percent joint venture partner in a cokemaking operation in India. In addition to its cokemaking operations, the Company has metallurgical coal mining operations in the eastern U.S. as well as coal handling and blending operations in the eastern and midwestern regions of the U.S.

The Domestic Coke segment includes the Jewell, Indiana Harbor, Haverhill, Granite City and Middletown cokemaking facilities. Each of these facilities produces coke and all facilities, except Jewell, recover waste heat which is converted to steam or electricity through a similar production process. Coke sales at each of the Company's five domestic cokemaking facilities are made pursuant to long-term take-or-pay agreements with ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel. Each of the coke sales agreements contain pass-through provisions for costs incurred in the cokemaking process, including coal procurement costs (subject to meeting contractual coal-to-coke yields), operating and maintenance expenses, costs related to

Table of Contents

the transportation of coke to the customers, taxes (other than income taxes) and costs associated with changes in regulation, in addition to containing a fixed fee.

Prior to 2011, the results of our Jewell cokemaking facility were not comparable to our other domestic cokemaking facilities due to a difference in pricing for the coal component of coke price. Beginning in January 2011, the coal component of coke price in the Jewell cokemaking sales agreement was changed, and as a result the economic characteristics of Jewell became similar to the Company's other domestic cokemaking facilities. Prior to 2013, the Company elected to continue to report Jewell separately due to the differences in comparability of Jewell's results caused by different coal pricing terms in pre 2011 periods versus post 2011 periods. Beginning in January 2013, Jewell has been reflected within the Domestic Coke segment as there is now consistency in Jewell's contract terms between all periods presented. Prior periods have been restated to reflect this change.

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a cokemaking joint venture called VISA SunCoke with VISA Steel. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We own a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke and account for this investment under the equity method. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. The results of our joint venture are presented below in the India Coke segment.

With the addition of VISA SunCoke, our operations in Brazil are no longer our only foreign coke operations and have been renamed the Brazil Coke segment. The Brazil Coke segment operates a cokemaking facility located in Vitória, Brazil for a project company. The Brazil Coke segment earns income from the Brazilian facility through (1) licensing and operating fees payable to us under long-term contracts with the local project company that will run through 2023, subject, in the case of the licensing agreement, to the issuance prior to 2014 of certain patents in Brazil that have been granted in the U.S. and (2) an annual preferred dividend on our preferred stock investment from the project company guaranteed by the Brazil subsidiary of ArcelorMittal.

The Company's Coal Mining segment conducts coal mining operations near the Company's Jewell cokemaking facility with mines located in Virginia and West Virginia. Currently, a substantial portion of the coal production is sold to the Jewell cokemaking facility for conversion into coke. Some coal is also sold to other cokemaking facilities within the Domestic Coke segment. Intersegment coal revenues for sales to the Domestic Coke segment are reflective of the contract price that the facilities within the Domestic Coke segment charge their customers, which approximate the market prices for this quality of metallurgical coal.

The Coal Logistics segment includes the KRT and Lake Terminal facilities, which were acquired during 2013. These facilities provide coal handling and blending services to certain SunCoke cokemaking facilities as well as third party customers. This business has a collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually. Coal blending and handling results are presented in the Coal Logistics segment below.

Corporate and other expenses that can be identified with a segment have been included in determining segment results. The remainder is included in Corporate and Other. Total financing (expense) income, net, which consists principally of interest income, interest expense and interest capitalized, is also excluded from segment results.

Segment assets are those assets that are utilized within a specific segment.

The following table includes Adjusted EBITDA, which is the measure of segment profit or loss reported to the chief operating decision maker for purposes of allocating resources to the segments and assessing their performance:

	Year Ended December 31, 2013						
	(Dollars in millions)						
	Domestic Coke	Brazil Coke	India Coke	Coal Mining	Coal Logistics	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
Sales and other operating revenue	\$1,528.7	\$35.4	\$—	\$61.3	\$8.1	\$—	\$ 1,633.5
Intersegment sales	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$136.7	\$5.5	\$—	\$ —
Adjusted EBITDA	\$243.2	\$16.1	\$0.9	\$(18.7 )	\$4.7	\$(31.1 )	\$ 215.1
Loss from equity method investment	\$—	\$—	\$2.2	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 2.2
	\$68.1	\$0.4	\$—	\$23.2	\$1.8	\$2.5	\$ 96.0

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Depreciation, depletion and  
amortization

Capital expenditures	\$121.2	\$0.8	\$—	\$20.1	\$0.2	\$3.3	\$145.6
Total segment assets	\$1,528.4	\$59.6	\$57.0	\$182.7	\$119.0	\$297.2	\$2,243.9

112

---

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				
	(Dollars in millions)				
	Domestic Coke	Brazil Coke	Coal Mining	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
Sales and other operating revenue	\$1,816.8	\$36.9	\$48.3	\$—	\$1,902.0
Intersegment sales	\$—	\$—	\$203.4	\$—	\$—
Adjusted EBITDA	\$249.4	\$11.9	\$33.4	\$(29.0)	\$265.7
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$60.7	\$0.3	\$17.6	\$2.2	\$80.8
Capital expenditures	\$42.3	\$1.5	\$34.3	\$2.5	\$80.6
Total segment assets	\$1,496.3	\$60.6	\$196.9	\$257.2	\$2,011.0
	Year Ended December 31, 2011				
	(Dollars in millions)				
	Domestic Coke	Brazil Coke	Coal Mining	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
Sales and other operating revenue	\$1,445.1	\$38.0	\$44.5	\$—	\$1,527.6
Intersegment sales	\$—	\$—	\$183.6	\$—	\$—
Adjusted EBITDA	\$133.8	\$13.7	\$35.5	\$(44.2)	\$138.8
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$43.6	\$0.2	\$12.9	\$1.7	\$58.4
Capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>	\$198.2	\$0.6	\$30.7	\$8.6	\$238.1
Total segment assets	\$1,522.4	\$62.7	\$182.1	\$174.6	\$1,941.8

(1) Domestic Coke capital expenditures includes \$169.4 million attributable to the Middletown facility.

The Company evaluates the performance of its segments based on segment Adjusted EBITDA, which is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (“EBITDA”) adjusted for sales discounts and the interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA reflects sales discounts included as a reduction in sales and other operating revenue. The sales discounts represent the sharing with customers of a portion of nonconventional fuel tax credits, which reduce our income tax expense.

However, we believe our Adjusted EBITDA would be inappropriately penalized if these discounts were treated as a reduction of EBITDA since they represent sharing of a tax benefit that is not included in EBITDA. Accordingly, in computing Adjusted EBITDA, we have added back these sales discounts. Our Adjusted EBITDA also includes EBITDA attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent and should not be considered alternatives to net income or operating income under GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures in other businesses.

Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of the operating performance of the Company's net assets and provides useful information to investors because it highlights trends in our business that may not otherwise be apparent when relying solely on GAAP measures and because it eliminates items that have less bearing on our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a measure of operating performance that is not defined by GAAP, does not represent and should not be considered a substitute for net income as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Calculations of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to those reported by other companies.

Set forth below is additional detail as to how we use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of operating performance, as well as a discussion of the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA as an analytical tool.

Operating Performance. Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA in a number of ways to assess our consolidated financial and operating performance, and we believe this measure is helpful to management in identifying trends in our performance. Adjusted EBITDA helps management identify controllable expenses and make decisions designed to help us meet our current financial goals and optimize our financial performance while neutralizing the impact of capital structure on financial results. Accordingly, we believe this metric measures our financial performance based on operational factors that management can impact in the short-term, namely our cost structure and expenses.

Table of Contents

Limitations. Other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Adjusted EBITDA also has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include that Adjusted EBITDA:

- does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- does not reflect changes in, or cash requirement for, working capital needs;
- does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest on or principal payments of our debt;
- does not reflect certain other non-cash income and expenses;
- excludes income taxes that may represent a reduction in available cash; and includes net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Below is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA (unaudited) to net income, which is its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$173.9	\$262.7	\$142.8
Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interest <sup>(1)</sup>	41.2	3.0	(4.0)
Adjusted EBITDA	215.1	265.7	138.8
Subtract:			
Adjustment to unconsolidated affiliate earnings <sup>(2)</sup>	3.2	—	—
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	96.0	80.8	58.4
Financing expense, net	52.3	47.8	1.4
Income tax expense	6.7	23.4	7.2
Sales discount provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits	6.8	11.2	12.9
Net income	\$50.1	\$102.5	\$58.9

(1) Reflects non-controlling interest in Indiana Harbor and the portion of the Partnership owned by public unitholders

(2) Reflects estimated share of interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization related to VISA SunCoke

The following table sets forth the Company's total sales and other operating revenue by product or service:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in millions)		
Coke sales	\$1,462.9	\$1,750.5	\$1,397.7
Steam and electricity sales	65.6	62.5	47.7
Operating and licensing fees	35.4	36.9	38.0
Metallurgical coal sales	61.0	47.9	44.2
Coal logistics	7.2	—	—
Other	1.4	4.2	—
Total sales and other operating revenue	\$1,633.5	\$1,902.0	\$1,527.6

Table of Contents

## 26. Selected Quarterly Data (unaudited)

	2013				2012			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	(Dollars in millions)							
Sales and other operating revenue	\$451.5	\$403.6	\$389.9	\$388.5	\$480.6	\$460.7	\$480.1	\$480.6 <sup>(1)</sup>
Gross profit <sup>(2)</sup>	\$45.2	\$47.8	\$50.2	\$46.3	\$53.9	\$63.1	\$72.3	\$54.3
Net income	\$6.4	\$12.7	\$12.3	\$18.7	\$16.6	\$24.0	\$32.9	\$29.0
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$2.1	\$5.7	\$6.2	\$11.0	\$16.9	\$22.7	\$31.6	\$27.6
Earnings attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment per share of common stock:								
Basic	\$0.03	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.16	\$0.24	\$0.32	\$0.45	\$0.39
Diluted	\$0.03	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.16	\$0.24	\$0.32	\$0.45	\$0.39

In the fourth quarter of 2011, we recorded approximately a \$7.0 million reduction to revenue related to the (1) resolution of certain contract and billing issues with our customer at our Indiana Harbor facility. The resolution of this matter favorably impacted revenues by approximately \$4.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2012.

(2) Gross profit equals sales and other operating revenue less cost of products sold, operating expenses and depreciation, depletion and amortization.

Table of Contents

27. Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Certain 100 percent owned subsidiaries of the Company serve as guarantors of the obligations under the Credit Agreement and \$400 million Notes (“Guarantor Subsidiaries”). These guarantees are full and unconditional (subject, in the case of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, to customary release provisions as described below) and joint and several. For purposes of the following footnote, SunCoke Energy, Inc. is referred to as “Issuer.” The indenture dated July 26, 2011 among the Company, the guarantors party thereto and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., governs subsidiaries designated as “Guarantor Subsidiaries.” All other consolidated subsidiaries of the Company are collectively referred to as “Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries.” Prior to the Separation Date, the Company was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco. Therefore, there is no parent entity for purposes of this footnote for periods prior to the Separation Date. In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement (see Note 16 for additional information). In conjunction with the amendment, we designated Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC as unrestricted subsidiaries. As such, they are presented as "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries." Prior periods have been restated to reflect this change.

The ability of the Partnership and Indiana Harbor to pay dividends and make loans to the Company is restricted under the partnership agreements of the Partnership and Indiana Harbor, respectively. The credit agreement governing the Partnership's credit facility and the indenture governing the Partnership Notes contain customary provisions which would potentially restrict the Partnership's ability to make distributions or loans to the Company under certain circumstances. For the year ended December 31, 2013, less than 25 percent of net assets were restricted.

The guarantee of a Guarantor Subsidiary will terminate upon:

- a sale or other disposition of the Guarantor Subsidiary or of all or substantially all of its assets;
- a sale of the majority of the Capital Stock of a Guarantor Subsidiary to a third party, after which the Guarantor Subsidiary is no longer a “Restricted Subsidiary” in accordance with the indenture governing the Notes
- the liquidation or dissolution of a Guarantor Subsidiary so long as no “Default” or “Event of Default,” as defined under the indenture governing the Notes, has occurred as a result thereof
- the designation of a Guarantor Subsidiary as an “unrestricted subsidiary” in accordance with the indenture governing the Notes
- the requirements for defeasance or discharge of the indentures governing the Notes having been satisfied.
- the release, other than the discharge through payment by a Guarantor Subsidiary, from its guarantee under the Credit Agreement or other indebtedness that resulted in the obligation of the Guarantor Subsidiary under the indenture governing the Notes

The following supplemental condensed combining and consolidating financial information reflects the Issuer’s separate accounts, the combined accounts of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combined accounts of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combining and consolidating adjustments and eliminations and the Issuer’s combined and consolidated accounts for the dates and periods indicated. For purposes of the following condensed combining and consolidating information, the Issuer’s investments in its subsidiaries and the Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries’ investments in its subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.



Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
 Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income  
 Year Ended December 31, 2013  
 (Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues					
Sales and other operating revenue	\$—	\$541.9	\$1,091.6	\$—	\$1,633.5
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	56.2	88.6	—	(144.8 )	—
Other income, net	—	4.6	9.6	—	14.2
Total revenues	56.2	635.1	1,101.2	(144.8 )	1,647.7
Costs and operating expenses					
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	—	454.9	893.1	—	1,348.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12.1	52.6	27.7	—	92.4
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	44.1	51.9	—	96.0
Total costs and operating expenses	12.1	551.6	972.7	—	1,536.4
Operating income	44.1	83.5	128.5	(144.8 )	111.3
Interest income (expense), net - affiliate	—	7.3	(7.3 )	—	—
Interest (cost) income, net	(37.9 )	0.8	(15.2 )	—	(52.3 )
Total financing (expense) income, net	(37.9 )	8.1	(22.5 )	—	(52.3 )
Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment	6.2	91.6	106.0	(144.8 )	59.0
Income tax (benefit) expense	(18.8 )	27.1	(1.6 )	—	6.7
Loss from equity method investment	—	—	2.2	—	2.2
Net income	25.0	64.5	105.4	(144.8 )	50.1
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	25.1	—	25.1
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$25.0	\$64.5	\$80.3	\$(144.8 )	\$25.0
Comprehensive income	\$18.8	\$68.5	\$95.2	\$(138.6 )	\$43.9
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	25.1	—	25.1
Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$18.8	\$68.5	\$70.1	\$(138.6 )	\$18.8

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income  
Year Ended December 31, 2012  
(Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
<b>Revenues</b>					
Sales and other operating revenue	\$—	\$612.7	\$1,289.3	\$—	\$1,902.0
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	138.9	95.5	—	(234.4 )	—
Other income, net	—	2.6	9.5	—	12.1
Total revenues	138.9	710.8	1,298.8	(234.4 )	1,914.1
<b>Costs and operating expenses</b>					
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	—	467.1	1,110.5	—	1,577.6
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10.6	45.4	26.0	—	82.0
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	38.1	42.7	—	80.8
Total costs and operating expenses	10.6	550.6	1,179.2	—	1,740.4
Operating income	128.3	160.2	119.6	(234.4 )	173.7
Interest income (expense), net - affiliate	—	7.4	(7.4 )	—	—
Interest (cost) income, net	(48.0 )	(0.2 )	0.4 )	—	(47.8 )
Total financing (expense) income, net	(48.0 )	7.2 )	(7.0 )	—	(47.8 )
Income before income tax expense	80.3	167.4	112.6	(234.4 )	125.9
Income tax (benefit) expense	(18.5 )	18.9 )	23.0	—	23.4
Net income	98.8	148.5	89.6	(234.4 )	102.5
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	3.7	—	3.7
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$98.8	\$148.5	\$85.9	\$(234.4 )	\$98.8
Comprehensive income	\$97.4	\$148.2	\$88.5	\$(233.0 )	\$101.1
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	3.7	—	3.7
Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$97.4	\$148.2	\$84.8	\$(233.0 )	\$97.4

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Condensed Combining and Consolidating Statement of Income  
Year Ended December 31, 2011  
(Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
<b>Revenues</b>					
Sales and other operating revenue	\$—	\$558.7	\$968.9	\$—	\$1,527.6
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	75.0	24.1	—	(99.1 )	—
Other income, net	—	1.9	9.4	—	11.3
Total revenues	75.0	584.7	978.3	(99.1 )	1,538.9
<b>Costs and operating expenses</b>					
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	—	433.1	872.7	—	1,305.8
Loss on firm purchase commitments	—	—	18.5	—	18.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2.6	66.9	19.2	—	88.7
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	32.9	25.5	—	58.4
Total costs and operating expenses	2.6	532.9	935.9	—	1,471.4
Operating income	72.4	51.8	42.4	(99.1 )	67.5
Interest income, net - affiliate	—	4.1	4.9	—	9.0
Interest (cost) income, net	(20.6 )	13.2	(3.0 )	—	(10.4 )
Total financing (expense) income, net	(20.6 )	17.3	1.9	—	(1.4 )
Income before income tax expense	51.8	69.1	44.3	(99.1 )	66.1
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8.8 )	(9.4 )	25.4	—	7.2
Net income	60.6	78.5	18.9	(99.1 )	58.9
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(1.7 )	—	(1.7 )
Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. / net parent investment	\$60.6	\$78.5	\$20.6	\$(99.1 )	\$60.6
Comprehensive income	\$50.7	\$70.0	\$17.5	\$(89.2 )	\$49.0
Less: Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(1.7 )	—	(1.7 )
Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc.	\$50.7	\$70.0	\$19.2	\$(89.2 )	\$50.7

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

December 31, 2013

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$184.7	\$48.9	\$—	\$233.6
Receivables	—	53.4	38.1	—	91.5
Inventories	—	44.1	91.2	—	135.3
Income tax receivable	39.9	—	13.4	(46.7 )	6.6
Deferred income taxes	9.4	11.8	0.8	(9.4 )	12.6
Other current assets	—	1.3	1.0	—	2.3
Advances to affiliates	48.2	33.6	—	(81.8 )	—
Interest receivable from affiliate	—	7.3	—	(7.3 )	—
Total current assets	97.5	336.2	193.4	(145.2 )	481.9
Notes receivable from affiliate	—	89.0	300.0	(389.0 )	—
Investment in Brazilian cokemaking operations	—	—	41.0	—	41.0
Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited	—	—	56.8	—	56.8
Properties, plants and equipment, net	—	500.9	1,043.2	—	1,544.1
Lease and mineral rights, net	—	52.8	—	—	52.8
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	—	9.4	16.0	—	25.4
Deferred charges and other assets	11.7	20.5	9.7	—	41.9
Investment in Subsidiaries	963.3	723.8	—	(1,687.1 )	—
Total assets	\$1,072.5	\$1,732.6	\$1,660.1	\$(2,221.3 )	\$2,243.9
Liabilities and Equity					
Advances from affiliate	—	48.2	33.6	(81.8 )	—
Accounts payable	—	48.8	105.5	—	154.3
Current portion of long-term debt	1.0	—	40.0	—	41.0
Accrued liabilities	0.5	52.6	16.4	—	69.5
Interest payable	13.6	—	4.6	—	18.2
Interest payable to affiliate	—	—	7.3	(7.3 )	—
Income taxes payable	—	46.7	—	(46.7 )	—
Total current liabilities	15.1	196.3	207.4	(135.8 )	283.0
Long term-debt	498.4	—	149.7	—	648.1
Payable to affiliate	—	300.0	89.0	(389.0 )	—
Accrual for black lung benefits	—	32.4	—	—	32.4
Retirement benefit liabilities	—	34.8	—	—	34.8
Deferred income taxes	—	383.9	2.1	(9.4 )	376.6
Asset retirement obligations	—	15.5	2.4	—	17.9
Other deferred credits and liabilities	1.6	16.6	0.6	—	18.8
Total liabilities	515.1	979.5	451.2	(534.2 )	1,411.6
Equity					
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000	—	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

shares; no issued and outstanding shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012					
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000					
shares; issued and outstanding 69,636,785 shares and 69,988,728 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	0.7	—	—	—	0.7
Treasury Stock, 1,255,355 shares and 603,528 shares at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	(19.9	) —			(19.9 )
Additional paid-in capital	446.9	354.2	745.6	(1,099.8	) 446.9
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(14.1	) (2.7	) (11.4	) 14.1	(14.1 )
Retained earnings	143.8	401.6	199.8	(601.4	) 143.8
Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity	557.4	753.1	934.0	(1,687.1	) 557.4
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	274.9	—	274.9
Total equity	557.4	753.1	1,208.9	(1,687.1	) 832.3
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,072.5	\$1,732.6	\$1,660.1	\$(2,221.3	) \$2,243.9

120

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

December 31, 2012

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$206.9	\$32.3	\$—	\$239.2
Receivables	—	28.3	41.7	—	70.0
Inventories	—	57.2	102.9	—	160.1
Deferred income taxes	—	2.0	0.6	—	2.6
Income taxes receivable	16.1	—	0.4	(16.5 )	—
Advances from affiliate	65.8	—	70.5	(136.3 )	—
Other current assets	—	1.4	0.1	—	1.5
Total current assets	81.9	295.8	248.5	(152.8 )	473.4
Notes receivable from affiliate	—	89.0	300.0	(389.0 )	—
Investment in Brazilian cokemaking operations	—	—	41.0	—	41.0
Properties, plants and equipment, net	—	508.5	888.1	—	1,396.6
Lease and mineral rights, net	—	52.5	—	—	52.5
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	—	9.4	—	—	9.4
Deferred charges and other assets	23.0	11.8	3.3	—	38.1
Investment in subsidiaries	1,173.4	992.7	—	(2,166.1 )	—
Total assets	\$1,278.3	\$1,959.7	\$1,480.9	\$(2,707.9 )	\$2,011.0
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>					
Advances from affiliate	—	136.3	—	(136.3 )	—
Accounts payable	0.5	49.0	83.4	—	132.9
Current portion of long-term debt	3.3	—	—	—	3.3
Accrued liabilities	0.6	60.7	29.9	—	91.2
Interest payable	15.7	—	—	—	15.7
Income taxes payable	—	20.4	—	(16.5 )	3.9
Total current liabilities	20.1	266.4	113.3	(152.8 )	247.0
Long-term debt	720.1	—	—	—	720.1
Payable to affiliate	—	300.0	89.0	(389.0 )	—
Accrual for black lung benefits	—	34.8	—	—	34.8
Retirement benefit liabilities	—	42.4	0.1	—	42.5
Deferred income taxes	(1.9 )	180.0	183.4	—	361.5
Asset retirement obligations	—	11.3	2.2	—	13.5
Other deferred credits and liabilities	0.9	15.5	0.3	—	16.7
Total liabilities	739.2	850.4	388.3	(541.8 )	1,436.1
<b>Equity</b>					
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; no issued and outstanding shares at December 31, 2012	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000	0.7	—	—	—	0.7

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

shares; issued and outstanding 69,988,728

shares at

December 31, 2012

Treasury stock, 603,528 shares at December 31, 2012	(9.4	)	—	—	—	(9.4	)
Additional paid-in capital	436.9		778.9	938.4	(1,717.3	)	436.9
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(7.9	)	(6.7	)	(1.2	)	7.9
Retained earnings	118.8		337.1	119.6	(456.7	)	118.8
Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity	539.1		1,109.3	1,056.8	(2,166.1	)	539.1
Noncontrolling interests	—		—	35.8	—		35.8
Total equity	539.1		1,109.3	1,092.6	(2,166.1	)	574.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,278.3		\$1,959.7	\$1,480.9	\$(2,707.9	)	\$2,011.0

121

---

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
Year Ended December 31, 2013  
(Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>					
Net income	\$25.0	\$64.5	\$105.4	\$(144.8 )	\$50.1
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	44.1	51.9	—	96.0
Stock compensation expense	7.6	—	—	—	7.6
Deferred income tax expense	—	1.6	—	—	1.6
Payments in excess of expense for retirement plans	—	(2.1 )	(0.1 )	—	(2.2 )
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(56.2 )	(88.6 )	—	144.8	—
Loss from equity method investment	—	—	2.2	—	2.2
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities (net of acquisitions):					
Receivables	—	(24.6 )	6.5	—	(18.1 )
Inventories	—	13.1	16.1	—	29.2
Accounts payable	(0.6 )	(1.0 )	21.6	—	20.0
Accrued liabilities	—	(8.0 )	(16.7 )	—	(24.7 )
Interest payable	(2.1 )	(7.3 )	11.9	—	2.5
Income taxes payable	(23.5 )	26.3	(13.0 )	—	(10.2 )
Other	7.1	(1.5 )	(8.3 )	—	(2.7 )
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(42.7 )	16.5	177.5	—	151.3
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>					
Capital expenditures	—	(33.1 )	(112.5 )	—	(145.6 )
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash received	—	—	(113.3 )	—	(113.3 )
Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited	—	—	(67.7 )	—	(67.7 )
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(33.1 )	(293.5 )	—	(326.6 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>					
Proceeds from issuance of common units of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P.	—	—	237.8	—	237.8
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	—	—	150.0	—	150.0
Repayment of long-term debt	—	—	(225.0 )	—	(225.0 )
Debt issuance cost	(1.6 )	—	(5.3 )	—	(6.9 )
Proceeds from revolving facility	—	—	40.0	—	40.0
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(17.8 )	—	(17.8 )
Repurchase of common stock	(10.9 )	—	—	—	(10.9 )
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	2.5	—	—	—	2.5
Net increase (decrease) in advances from affiliate	52.7	(5.6 )	(47.1 )	—	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	42.7	(5.6 )	132.6	—	169.7
	—	(22.2 )	16.6	—	(5.6 )



Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash  
equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	—	206.9	32.3	—	239.2
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$—	\$184.7	\$48.9	\$—	\$233.6

122

---

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
Year Ended December 31, 2012  
(Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:					
Net income	\$98.8	\$148.5	\$89.6	\$(234.4)	\$102.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	38.1	42.7	—	80.8
Stock compensation expense	6.7	—	—	—	6.7
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(1.0)	(4.0)	39.3	—	34.3
Payments in excess of expense for retirement plans	—	(6.6)	—	—	(6.6)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(138.9)	(95.5)	—	234.4	—
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities (net of acquisitions):					
Receivables	—	(5.9)	2.1	—	(3.8)
Inventories	—	33.8	22.3	—	56.1
Accounts payable	0.5	(35.7)	(13.8)	—	(49.0)
Accrued liabilities	—	17.0	(1.8)	—	15.2
Interest payable	(0.2)	7.3	(7.3)	—	(0.2)
Income taxes payable	(17.2)	(0.9)	0.7	—	(17.4)
Other	(4.9)	(21.6)	14.0	—	(12.5)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(56.2)	74.5	187.8	—	206.1
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Capital expenditures	—	(47.3)	(33.3)	—	(80.6)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash received	—	(3.5)	—	—	(3.5)
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(50.8)	(33.3)	—	(84.1)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Repayment of long-term debt	(3.3)	—	—	—	(3.3)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4.7	—	—	—	4.7
Net increase (decrease) in advances from affiliate	64.2	73.8	(138.0)	—	—
Repurchase of common stock	(9.4)	—	—	—	(9.4)
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(2.3)	—	(2.3)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	56.2	73.8	(140.3)	—	(10.3)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	—	97.5	14.2	—	111.7
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	—	109.4	18.1	—	127.5
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$—	\$206.9	\$32.3	\$—	\$239.2

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.  
Condensed Combining and Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
December 31, 2011  
(Dollars in millions)

	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Combining and Consolidating Adjustments	Total
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>					
Net income	\$60.6	\$78.5	\$18.9	\$(99.1 )	\$58.9
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:					
Loss on firm purchase commitments	—	—	18.5	—	18.5
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	—	32.9	25.5	—	58.4
Stock compensation expense	2.1	—	—	—	2.1
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(2.0 )	8.9	17.1	—	24.0
Payments less than expense for retirement plans	—	5.8	—	—	5.8
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(75.0 )	(24.1 )	—	99.1	—
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities (net of acquisitions):					
Receivables	—	(5.8 )	(12.5 )	—	(18.3 )
Inventories	—	(53.1 )	(57.0 )	—	(110.1 )
Accounts payable	—	60.5	(3.5 )	—	57.0
Accrued liabilities	0.6	8.5	6.6	—	15.7
Interest payable	15.9	—	—	—	15.9
Income taxes payable	(1.8 )	(48.5 )	29.0	—	(21.3 )
Other	(5.4 )	2.7	(2.6 )	—	(5.3 )
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(5.0 )	66.3	40.0	—	101.3
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>					
Capital expenditures	—	(61.2 )	(176.9 )	—	(238.1 )
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash received	—	(37.6 )	—	—	(37.6 )
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(98.8 )	(176.9 )	—	(275.7 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>					
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	727.9	—	—	—	727.9
Debt issuance costs	(19.1 )	—	—	—	(19.1 )
Repayment of long-term debt	(1.6 )	—	—	—	(1.6 )
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in Indiana Harbor facility	—	(34.0 )	—	—	(34.0 )
Net (decrease) increase in advances from affiliate	(702.2 )	170.6	118.8	—	(412.8 )
Repayments of notes payable assumed in acquisition	—	(2.3 )	—	—	(2.3 )
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate	—	7.6	(2.3 )	—	5.3
Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(1.6 )	—	(1.6 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	5.0	141.9	114.9	—	261.8
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	109.4	(22.0 )	—	87.4
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	—	—	40.1	—	40.1

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$—	\$ 109.4	\$ 18.1	\$—	\$ 127.5
--	-----	----------	---------	-----	----------

124

---

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

SunCoke Energy's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer are responsible for evaluating the effectiveness of SunCoke Energy's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that financial information was processed, recorded and reported accurately.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

SunCoke Energy management, including SunCoke Energy's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, are responsible for establishing and maintaining SunCoke Energy's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. However, management would note that a control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. SunCoke Energy's management has adopted the framework set forth in the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission report, Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992 framework), the most commonly used and understood framework for evaluating internal control over financial reporting, as its framework for evaluating the reliability and effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. During 2013, SunCoke Energy conducted an evaluation of its internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, SunCoke Energy management concluded that SunCoke Energy's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by SunCoke Energy in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to SunCoke Energy's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Ernst & Young LLP, SunCoke Energy's independent registered public accounting firm, issued an attestation report on SunCoke Energy's internal controls over financial reporting which is contained in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information called for by this Item 10 required by Item 401 of Regulation S-K relating to Directors and Nominees for election to the Board of Directors is incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled “Proposal 1- Election of Directors” in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 8, 2014 (the “Proxy Statement”).

The information called for by this Item 10 required by Item 405 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” under the heading “Other Information,” in the Proxy Statement.

The information called for by this Item 10 required by Item 406 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled “Code of Business Conduct and Ethics” under the heading “Corporate Governance” in the Proxy Statement.

The information called for by this Item 10 required by Item 407(c)(3) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled “Governance Committee Process for Director Nominations” under the heading “Corporate Governance” in the Proxy Statement.

The information called for by this Item 10 required by Items 407(d)(4) and 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the heading entitled “The Board of Directors and its Committees” and in the section entitled “Audit Committee Report” under the heading entitled “Audit Committee Matters,” in the Proxy Statement.

Information called for by this Item 10 concerning the Company’s executive officers appears in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information called for by this Item 11 required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement appearing under the heading “Executive Compensation,” including the sections entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” “Summary Compensation Table,” “Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table,” “Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table,” “Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table,” “Pension Benefits,” “Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” and “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control,” and the sections of the Proxy Statement appearing under the heading “Directors Compensation.”

The information called for by this Item 11 required by Items 407(e)(4) and 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement appearing under the heading “Executive Compensation,” including the sections entitled “Compensation Committee Report” and “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation.”

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The information called for by this Item 12 required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement appearing under the heading “Beneficial Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers and Persons Owning More Than Five Percent of Common Stock.”

The information called for by this Item 12 required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the section of the Proxy Statement entitled “Equity Compensation Plan Information,” appearing under the heading “Other Information.”

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

The information called for by this Item 13 required by Item 404 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled “Transactions with Related Persons” under the heading “Governance Matters.”

The information called for by this Item 14 required by Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the section of the Proxy Statement entitled “Director Independence,” under the heading “Governance Matters.”

Table of Contents

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees Services

The information called for by this Item 14 required by Item 9(e) of Schedule 14A is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement appearing under the heading “Audit Committee Matters.”

127

---

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements:

The Combined and Consolidated Financial Statements are set forth under Item 8 of this report.

2. Financial Statements Schedules:

These schedules are omitted because the required information is shown elsewhere in this report, is not necessary or is not applicable.

3. Exhibits:

3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 6, 2011, File No. 333-17302)

3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of SunCoke Energy, Inc., effective as of September 19, 2013 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 23, 2013, File No. 001-35243).

4.1 Indenture by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee, dated July 26, 2011 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

4.1.1 Form of 7-5/8 percent Senior Notes due 2019 (included in Exhibit A to the Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.1)

4.2 Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 26, 2011, among SunCoke Energy, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, acting as the representative of the initial purchasers (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

10.1 Credit Agreement, dated as of July 26, 2011, by and among SunCoke Energy, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, the lenders party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Revolving Facility Syndication Agent and Term Loan Documentation Agent, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as Term Loan Syndication Agent, and The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC and KeyBank National Association, as Revolving Facility Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

10.2 Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated as of January 24, 2013, by and among SunCoke Energy, Inc., the several banks and other financial institutions or entities as lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 24, 2013, File No. 001-35243).



- 10.3 Separation and Distribution Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2011, between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Sunoco, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.4 Guaranty, Keep Well, and Indemnification Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2011, between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Sunoco, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.5 Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2011, between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Sunoco, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 33-35243)
- 10.6 Omnibus Agreement, dated January 24, 2013, by and among SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., SunCoke Energy Partners GP LLC and SunCoke Energy, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 24, 2013, File No. 001-35243).
- 10.7 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Senior Executive Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2014 (filed herewith).
- 10.8 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2014 (filed herewith).

Table of Contents

- 10.9 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, amended and restated effective as of February 22, 2013 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit A to the Company's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed on March 28, 2013, File No. 001-35243).
- 10.9.1 Form of Stock Option Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and employees of SunCoke Energy, Inc. or one of its Affiliates (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 22, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.9.2 Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and employees of SunCoke Energy, Inc. or one of its Affiliates (filed herewith)
- 10.9.3 Form of Performance Share Unit Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and employees of SunCoke Energy, Inc. or one of its Affiliates (filed herewith)
- 10.10 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Savings Restoration Plan (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2011, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.10.1 Amendment Number One to the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Savings Restoration Plan, effective as of January 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 2, 2012, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.11 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Special Executive Severance Plan, amended and restated effective as of July 18, 2012 (filed herewith)
- 10.12 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Executive Involuntary Severance Plan, amended and restated effective as of July 18, 2012 (filed herewith)
- 10.13 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors, effective as of June 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 6, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.14 SunCoke Energy, Inc. Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of June 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 6, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.15 Form of Indemnification Agreement, individually entered into between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and each director of the Company (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 filed on November 2, 2012, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.16 Letter Agreement between Mark E. Newman and Sunoco, Inc., dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.17

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Stock Option Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, entered into as of July 21, 2011, by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Frederick A. Henderson (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

10.18 Stock Option Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, entered into as of July 21, 2011, by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Frederick A. Henderson (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

10.19 Amendment to Stock Option Agreements under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement, entered into as of July 18, 2013, applicable to all Stock Option Awards outstanding as of July 18, 2012 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 22, 2013, File No. 001-35243)

10.20 Restricted Share Unit Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, entered into as of July 21, 2011, by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Michael J. Thomson (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)

Table of Contents

10.21	Restricted Share Unit Agreement under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, entered into as of July 21, 2011, by and between SunCoke Energy, Inc. and Frederick A. Henderson (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 3, 2011, File No. 001-35243)
10.22	Amendment to Restricted Share Unit Agreements under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement, entered into as of July 18, 2013, applicable to all Awards of Restricted Share Units outstanding as of July 18, 2012 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 22, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
10.23†	Amended and Restated Coke Supply Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2003, by and between Jewell Coke Company, L.P., ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 6, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.23.1†	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Coke Supply Agreement, dated as of December 5, 2003, by and between Jewell Coke Company, L.P., ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.23.2†	Letter Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2008, between ArcelorMittal USA Inc., Haverhill North Coke Company, Jewell Coke Company, L.P. and ISG Sparrows Point LLC, serving as (1) Amendment No. 2 to the Amended and Restated Coke Supply Agreement, by and between Jewell Coke Company, L.P., ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) and (2) Amendment No. 2 to the Coke Purchase Agreement, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company, ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.23.3†	Amendment No. 3 to Amended and Restated Coke Supply Agreement, dated as of January 26, 2011, by and between Jewell Coke Company, L.P., ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.24†	Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2003, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company, ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 6, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.24.1†	Amendment No. 1 to Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 5, 2003, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company, ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)

- 10.24.2† Amendment No. 3 to Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2008, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company, ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.24.3† Amendment No. 4 to Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 26, 2011, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company, ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc. (f/k/a ISG Cleveland Inc.) and ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor (f/k/a ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.25† Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2009, by and between Haverhill North Coke Company and AK Steel Corporation (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 18, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
- 10.26† Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 19, 1998, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 filed on October 30, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
- 10.26.1† Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 22, 2000, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P., a subsidiary of the Company, and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)

Table of Contents

10.26.2†	Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2001, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 filed on October 30, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
10.26.3†	Supplement to Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2011, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company) (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 filed on October 30, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
10.26.4†	Extension Agreement, dated as of September 5, 2013, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 filed on October 30, 2013, File No. 001-35243)
10.27†	Coke Sale and Feed Water Processing Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2008, by and between Gateway Energy & Coke Company, LLC and U.S. Steel Corporation (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company’s Amendment No. 7 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 20, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.27.1†	Amendment No. 1 to Coke Sale and Feed Water Processing Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2010, by and between Gateway Energy & Coke Company, LLC and U.S. Steel Corporation (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.33 to the Company’s Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on June 3, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
10.28†	Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2009, by and between Middletown Coke Company, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company and AK Steel Corporation (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company’s Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 18, 2011, File No. 333-17302)
12.1	Consolidated Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (filed herewith)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith)
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP (filed herewith)
23.2	Consent of Marshall Miller & Associates, Inc. (filed herewith)
24.1	Powers of Attorney (filed herewith)
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)

32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)

95.1 Mine Safety Disclosure (filed herewith)

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

† Certain portions have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request. Omitted information has been separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

131

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 28<sup>nd</sup> day of February 2014.

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.

By: /s/ Mark E. Newman  
 Mark E. Newman  
 Senior Vice President and  
 Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 28, 2014.

Signature	Title
/s/ Frederick A. Henderson* Frederick A. Henderson	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Mark E. Newman Mark E. Newman	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
/s/ Fay West* Fay West	Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
/s/ Robert J. Darnall* Robert J. Darnall	Director
/s/ Alvin Bledsoe* Alvin Bledsoe	Director
/s/ Peter B. Hamilton* Peter B. Hamilton	Director
/s/ Karen B. Peetz* Karen B. Peetz	Director
/s/ John W. Rowe* John W. Rowe	Director
/s/ James E. Sweetnam* James E. Sweetnam	Director

\* Mark E. Newman, pursuant to powers of attorney duly executed by the above officers and directors of SunCoke Energy, Inc. and filed with the SEC in Washington, D.C., hereby executes this Annual Report on Form 10-K on behalf of each of the persons named above in the capacity set forth opposite his or her name.

/s/ Mark E. Newman  
 Mark E. Newman  
 February 28, 2014



