

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC

Form 10-Q

August 09, 2012

Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2012.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM                      TO                      .

Commission File Number 0-18592

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Utah

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

87-0447695

(I.R.S. Identification No.)

1600 West Merit Parkway, South Jordan, UT, 84095

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, including Zip Code)

(801) 253-1600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Edgar Filing: MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock

42,128,593

Title or class

Number of Shares

Outstanding at August 7, 2012

---

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>PART II.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>23</u>
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>24</u>

---

Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011

(In thousands)

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$9,918	\$10,128
Trade receivables — net of allowance for uncollectible accounts — 2012 — \$742 and 2011 — \$464	47,808	40,550
Employee receivables	177	154
Other receivables	2,613	1,750
Inventories	71,002	69,911
Prepaid expenses	4,077	3,775
Prepaid income taxes	912	883
Deferred income tax assets	3,700	3,704
Income tax refund receivable	906	2,797
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>141,113</b>	<b>133,652</b>
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:</b>		
Land and land improvements	16,905	16,288
Buildings	79,916	59,905
Manufacturing equipment	106,401	103,629
Furniture and fixtures	24,225	22,559
Leasehold improvements	12,856	12,659
Construction-in-progress	54,649	47,534
<b>Total property and equipment</b>	<b>294,952</b>	<b>262,574</b>
Less accumulated depreciation	(89,630)	(83,434)
<b>Property and equipment — net</b>	<b>205,322</b>	<b>179,140</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
<b>Intangible assets:</b>		
Developed technology — net of accumulated amortization — 2012 — \$6,392 and 2011 — \$4,759	49,614	35,415
Other — net of accumulated amortization — 2012 — \$12,116 and 2011 — \$10,215	23,564	21,254
Goodwill	65,574	61,144
Deferred income tax assets	5,365	5,366
Marketable securities	920	2,798
Other assets	8,684	8,248

Total other assets	153,721	134,225
TOTAL	\$500,156	\$447,017
See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.		(Continued)

1

---

Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011  
(In thousands)

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Trade payables	\$24,042	\$22,727
Other payables	6,500	—
Accrued expenses	21,044	20,197
Advances from employees	248	225
Income taxes payable	769	646
 Total current liabilities	 52,603	 43,795
 <b>LONG-TERM DEBT</b>	 56,620	 30,737
 <b>DEFERRED INCOME TAX LIABILITIES</b>	 2,108	 2,112
 <b>LIABILITIES RELATED TO UNRECOGNIZED TAX BENEFITS</b>	 3,322	 3,489
 <b>DEFERRED COMPENSATION PAYABLE</b>	 5,017	 4,585
 <b>DEFERRED CREDITS</b>	 1,870	 1,984
 <b>OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS</b>	 8,064	 3,226
 Total liabilities	 129,604	 89,928
 <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred stock — 5,000 shares authorized as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011; no shares issued	—	—
Common stock — no par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 42,082 and 42,008 shares issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	167,877	166,231
Retained earnings	202,551	190,708
Accumulated other comprehensive income	124	150
 Total stockholders' equity	 370,552	 357,089
 <b>TOTAL</b>	 \$500,156	 \$447,017
 See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.		 (Concluded)

Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
(In thousands, except per common share amounts - unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
NET SALES	\$100,532	\$91,249	\$196,150	\$177,880
COST OF SALES	53,508	48,765	104,956	95,611
GROSS PROFIT	47,024	42,484	91,194	82,269
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Selling, general, and administrative	30,211	26,175	59,758	50,766
Research and development	6,591	5,462	13,032	10,446
Acquired in-process research and development	2,000	—	2,175	—
Total operating expenses	38,802	31,637	74,965	61,212
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	8,222	10,847	16,229	21,057
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	71	14	119	16
Interest expense	(112)	(311)	(224)	(736)
Other income	633	68	607	79
Other income (expense) — net	592	(229)	502	(641)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	8,814	10,618	16,731	20,416
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	2,719	3,746	4,888	6,905
NET INCOME	\$6,095	\$6,872	\$11,843	\$13,511
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:				
Basic	\$0.14	\$0.19	\$0.28	\$0.37
Diluted	\$0.14	\$0.18	\$0.28	\$0.37
AVERAGE COMMON SHARES:				
Basic	42,048	36,804	42,028	36,199
Diluted	42,469	37,677	42,457	36,966

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.





Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
 FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
 (In thousands - unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$6,095	\$6,872	\$11,843	\$13,511
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized gain on marketable securities:				
Unrealized holding gain arising during the period, net of tax	177	—	340	—
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax	(418 )	—	(418 )	—
Interest rate swap, net of tax	—	(749 )	—	(557 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	(62 )	70	52	391
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(303 )	(679 )	(26 )	(166 )
Total comprehensive income	\$5,792	\$6,193	\$11,817	\$13,345

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
(In thousands - unaudited)

	2012	2011	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	\$11,843	\$13,511	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	10,323	9,472	
(Gain) loss on sales and/or abandonment of property and equipment	(6	) 4	
Write-off of patents	10	17	
Acquired in-process research and development	2,175	—	
Amortization of deferred credits	(114	) (54	)
Realized gain on sale of marketable securities	(648	) —	
Deferred income taxes	20	106	
Changes in fair value of contingent consideration liability related to acquisitions	460	—	
Tax benefit attributable to appreciation of common stock options exercised	(166	) (2,854	)
Stock-based compensation expense	1,025	646	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:			
Trade receivables	(7,387	) (4,138	)
Employee receivables	(23	) (39	)
Other receivables	(594	) (115	)
Inventories	(1,091	) (2,126	)
Prepaid expenses	(309	) (1,836	)
Prepaid income taxes	(29	) (8	)
Income tax refund receivable	281	(178	)
Other assets	(437	) (391	)
Trade payables	3,582	(2,817	)
Accrued expenses	365	318	
Advances from employees	35	(125	)
Income taxes payable	1,966	5,297	
Liabilities related to unrecognized tax benefits	(167	) —	
Deferred compensation payable	432	410	
Other long-term obligations	16	416	
<b>Total adjustments</b>	<b>9,719</b>	<b>2,005</b>	
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>21,562</b>	<b>15,516</b>	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Capital expenditures for:			
Property and equipment	(34,746	) (23,551	)
Patents and trademarks	(813	) (1,351	)
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	2,105	—	
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	9	—	
Cash paid in acquisitions	(14,770	) (1,500	)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(48,215</b>	<b>) (26,402</b>	<b>)</b>

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

(Continued)

Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
(In thousands - unaudited)

	2012	2011
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	\$536	\$94,798
Borrowings under long-term debt	94,801	18,598
Payments on long-term debt	(68,919)	) (45,135 )
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	166	2,854
Contingent payments related to acquisitions	(12)	) —
Payment of taxes related to an exchange of common stock	(80)	) (819 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	26,492	70,296
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATES ON CASH</b>	(49)	) (136 )
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	(210)	) 59,274
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:</b>		
Beginning of period	10,128	3,735
End of period	\$9,918	\$63,009
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION —</b>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest (net of capitalized interest of \$170 and \$240, respectively)	\$233	\$665
Income taxes	\$2,903	\$2,060
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Property and equipment purchases in accounts payable	\$6,763	\$5,432
Acquisition purchases in other payables, accrued expenses and other long-term obligations	\$11,500	\$4,000
Merit common stock surrendered (25 and 103 shares, respectively) in exchange for exercise of stock options	\$340	\$1,732
Equity offering cost in accrued expenses	\$—	\$127
See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.		(Concluded)



Table of Contents

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation. The interim consolidated financial statements of Merit Medical Systems, Inc. ("Merit," "we" or "us") for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are not audited. Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with the requirements for unaudited interim periods, and consequently, do not include all disclosures required to be made in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position as of June 30, 2012, and our results of operations and cash flows for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The results of operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full-year period. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

2. Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventories at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Finished goods	\$38,722	\$38,095
Work-in-process	9,592	6,047
Raw materials	22,688	25,769
Total	\$71,002	\$69,911

3. Stock-based Compensation. Stock-based compensation expense before income tax expense for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cost of goods sold	\$59	\$38	\$141	\$89
Research and development	35	16	67	29
Selling, general, and administrative	376	257	817	528
Stock-based compensation expense before taxes	\$470	\$311	\$1,025	\$646

The excess income tax benefit created from the exercises of stock options was approximately \$162,000 and \$166,000 for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.8 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options, net of expected forfeitures, was approximately \$5.4 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.7 years.

During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we granted 120,000 stock awards. During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, there were no stock awards. We use the Black-Scholes methodology to value the stock-based compensation expense for options. In applying the Black-Scholes methodology to our outstanding option grants, we used the following assumptions:

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Risk-free interest rate	0.95%	—
Expected option life	6 years	—
Expected dividend yield	—	—
Expected price volatility	42.01%	—

7

---

Table of Contents

The average risk-free interest rate is determined using the U.S. Treasury rate in effect as of the date of grant, based on the expected term of the stock option. We determine the expected term of the stock options using the historical exercise behavior of employees. The expected price volatility was determined using a weighted average of daily historical volatility of our stock price over the corresponding expected option life and implied volatility based on recent trends of the daily historical volatility. For options with a vesting period, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period, which corresponds to the vesting period.

4. Earnings Per Common Share (EPS). The computation of weighted average shares outstanding and the basic and diluted earnings per common share for the following periods consisted of the following (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months			Six Months		
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount
Period ended June 30, 2012:						
Basic EPS	\$6,095	42,048	\$0.14	\$11,843	42,028	\$0.28
Effect of dilutive stock options and warrants		421			429	
Diluted EPS	\$6,095	42,469	\$0.14	\$11,843	42,457	\$0.28
Stock options excluded from the calculation of common stock equivalents as the impact was anti-dilutive		1,658			1,641	
Period ended June 30, 2011:						
Basic EPS	\$6,872	36,804	\$0.19	\$13,511	36,199	\$0.37
Effect of dilutive stock options and warrants		873			767	
Diluted EPS	\$6,872	37,677	\$0.18	\$13,511	36,966	\$0.37
Stock options excluded from the calculation of common stock equivalents as the impact was anti-dilutive		—			722	

5. Acquisitions. On January 31, 2012, we consummated the transactions contemplated by an Asset Purchase Agreement with Ostial Solutions, LLC ("Ostial"), a Michigan limited liability company, to purchase substantially all of the assets of Ostial. The primary asset of Ostial is the patented Ostial PRO® Stent Positioning System, which is designed to facilitate precise stent implantation in coronary and renal aorto-ostial lesions. We accounted for this acquisition as a business combination. We made an initial payment of \$10.0 million to Ostial in January 2012 and are obligated to pay an additional \$6.5 million within six months of closing, which has been included in other payables in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012. In addition, we are obligated to make contingent purchase price payments of up to \$13.5 million based on a percentage of future related product sales. The acquisition-date fair value of this contingent liability of \$5.0 million has been included as part of the purchase consideration and was determined using a discounted cash flow model based upon the expected timing and amount of these future contingent payments. Acquisition-related costs during the three and six-months ended June 30, 2012, which are included in selling, general, and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, were not material. The results of operations related to this acquisition for the period subsequent to the acquisition date are included in our cardiovascular segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. During



the three and six-months ended June 30, 2012, sales subsequent to the acquisition date related to the acquisition were not material. The total purchase price of \$21.5 million, which includes cash paid and the accrued purchase price described above, was preliminarily allocated as follows (in thousands):

8

---

Table of Contents

Assets Acquired	
Intangibles	
Developed technology	\$ 16,200
Customer lists	700
Trademark	150
Non-compete agreements	20
Goodwill	4,430
Total assets acquired	\$ 21,500

With respect to the Ostial assets, we intend to amortize developed technology over 15 years, customer lists on an accelerated basis over eight years, and non-compete agreements over five years. While U.S. trademarks can be renewed indefinitely, we currently estimate that we will generate cash flow from the acquired trademarks for a period of 15 years from the acquisition date. The total weighted-average amortization period for these acquired intangible assets is 14.7 years.

The following table summarizes our unaudited consolidated results of operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, as well as unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations as though the Ostial acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2011 (in thousands, except per common share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	As Reported	Pro Forma	As Reported	Pro Forma
Net sales	\$91,249	\$91,325	\$177,880	\$178,009
Net income	6,872	6,532	13,511	12,804
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$0.19	\$0.18	\$0.37	\$0.35
Diluted	\$0.18	\$0.17	\$0.37	\$0.35

Proforma consolidated financial results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 have not been included in our consolidated financial results because we believe their effects would not be material. The unaudited pro forma information set forth above is for informational purposes only and should not be considered indicative of actual results that would have been achieved if Ostial had been acquired at the beginning of 2011, or results that may be obtained in any future period.

On January 5, 2012, we entered into a Marketing and Distribution Agreement with Scion Cardio-Vascular, Inc. ("Scion"), a Florida corporation, wherein we purchased the exclusive, worldwide right to distribute the Clo-S<sup>®</sup> P.A.D.<sup>™</sup> for \$2.5 million. We made an initial payment of \$1.5 million to Scion in January 2012. We made an additional payment of \$1.0 million in May 2012 upon reaching a milestone set forth in the purchase agreement. The purchase price was allocated to a distribution agreement for \$2.5 million, which we intend to amortize over 12 years. As a result of entering into this agreement, we terminated several exclusive Scion sales distributor agreements where we already had previously established direct sales relationships. In connection with the termination of these agreements, we agreed to purchase customer lists from the terminated distributors. The total purchase price of the customer lists was approximately \$95,000 and was allocated to other intangible assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012. We intend to amortize the customer lists on an accelerated basis over five years.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, we purchased four patents for the development of future products. A total charge of approximately \$2.2 million related to these patents has been recorded to acquired in-process research and development in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, since technological feasibility of the underlying research and development projects had not yet been reached

and such technology had no future alternative use.

On September 2, 2011, we entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Ash Access Technology, Inc. (“Ash Access”), an Indiana corporation, and AAT Catheter Technologies, LLC (“AAT”), an Indiana limited liability company (collectively “Ash”), to purchase intellectual property rights with respect to various dialysis catheters. We made an initial payment of \$5.0 million to Ash in September 2011. We are obligated to pay an additional \$1.0 million upon reaching a certain milestone set forth in the purchase agreement and future royalties based on a percentage of related product sales. We accounted for this acquisition as a business combination. The acquisition-date fair value of these contingent liabilities of approximately \$1.3 million has been included as part of the purchase consideration. Acquisition-related costs during the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in selling, general and

Table of Contents

administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, were not material. The purchase price was preliminarily allocated as follows (in thousands):

Assets Acquired	
Property and equipment	\$73
Intangibles	
Developed technology	3,200
Customer lists	300
Goodwill	2,697
Total assets acquired	\$6,270

With respect to the assets we acquired from Ash, we intend to amortize developed technology over 15 years and customer lists on an accelerated basis over two years. The total weighted-average amortization period for these acquired intangible assets is nine years. The assets and liabilities related to this acquisition are included in our cardiovascular segment.

Pro forma consolidated financial results for the Ash acquisition discussed above have not been included in our consolidated financial results because we believe their effects would not be material.

The goodwill arising from the acquisitions discussed above consists largely of the synergies and economies of scale we hope to achieve from combining the acquired assets and operations with our historical operations (see Note 12). The goodwill recognized from these acquisitions is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes.

6. Segment Reporting. We report our operations in two operating segments: cardiovascular and endoscopy. Our cardiovascular segment consists of cardiology and radiology medical device products which assist in diagnosing and treating coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease and other non-vascular diseases and includes our embolotherapeutic products. Our endoscopy segment consists of gastroenterology and pulmonary medical device products which assist in the palliative treatment of expanding esophageal, tracheobronchial and biliary strictures caused by malignant tumors. We evaluate the performance of our operating segments based on operating income (loss). Financial information relating to our reportable operating segments and reconciliations to the consolidated totals is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues				
Cardiovascular	\$96,560	\$87,940	\$188,230	\$171,867
Endoscopy	3,972	3,309	7,920	6,013
Total revenues	100,532	91,249	196,150	177,880
Operating income (loss)				
Cardiovascular	8,499	11,763	16,836	22,951
Endoscopy	(277)	(916)	(607)	(1,894)
Total operating income	\$8,222	\$10,847	\$16,229	\$21,057

7. Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued authoritative guidance related to testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance provides that entities may first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. If the qualitative assessment results in a more than 50% likely result that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than the

carrying amount, then the entity must continue to apply the two-step impairment test. If the entity concludes the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, then neither of the two steps in the goodwill impairment test is required. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. This guidance specifies that an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other

Table of Contents

comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This guidance does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. It also does not change the presentation of related tax effects, before related tax effects, or the portrayal or calculation of earnings per share. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements as it amended only the presentation of comprehensive income.

In May 2011, the FASB issued amendments to authoritative guidance related to fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. The new guidance changes some fair value measurement principles and enhances disclosure requirements related to activities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

8. **Income Taxes.** Our overall effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was 30.8% compared to 35.3% for the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our effective tax rate was 29.2%, compared to 33.8% for the corresponding period of 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, was primarily related to the fact that our foreign operations (primarily our Irish operations), which are taxed at a lower rate than our U.S. operations, made up a greater portion of our consolidated pre-tax income during the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, compared to the corresponding periods of 2011.

9. **Long-Term Debt.** On September 10, 2010, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with the lenders who are or may become party thereto (collectively, the "Lenders") and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), as administrative agent for the Lenders. Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Lenders have agreed to make revolving credit loans up to an aggregate amount of \$125 million. Wells Fargo has also agreed to make swingline loans from time to time through the maturity date of September 10, 2015 in amounts equal to the difference between the amounts actually loaned by the Lenders and the aggregate credit commitment.

On September 10, 2015, all principal, interest and other amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement are payable in full. At any time prior to the maturity date, we may repay any amounts owing under all revolving credit loans and all swingline loans in whole or in part, without premium or penalty.

Revolving credit loans made under the Credit Agreement bear interest, at our election, at either (i) the base rate (described below) plus 0.25%, (ii) the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") Market Index Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.25%, or (iii) the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.25%. Swingline loans bear interest at the LIBOR Market Index Rate plus 1.25%. Interest on each loan featuring the base rate or the LIBOR Market Index Rate is due and payable on the last business day of each calendar month; interest on each loan featuring the LIBOR Rate is due and payable on the last day of each interest period selected by us when selecting the LIBOR Rate as the benchmark for interest calculation. For purposes of the Credit Agreement, the base rate means the highest of (i) the prime rate (as announced by Wells Fargo), (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, and (iii) LIBOR for an interest period of one month plus 1.00%.

The Credit Agreement contains covenants, representations and warranties and other terms, that are customary for revolving credit facilities of this nature. In this regard, the Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a leverage ratio, an earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") ratio, a minimum adjusted consolidated net income, and limits the amount of annual capital expenditures we can incur. Additionally, the Credit Agreement

contains various negative covenants with which we must comply, including, but not limited to, a prohibition on the payment of dividends and limitations respecting: the incurrence of indebtedness, the creation of liens on our property, mergers or similar combinations or liquidations, asset dispositions, investments in subsidiaries, and other provisions customary in similar types of agreements. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all financial debt covenants set forth in the Credit Agreement.

As of June 30, 2012, we had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$56.6 million under the Credit Agreement, with available borrowings of approximately \$68.4 million, based on the leverage ratio in the terms of the Credit Agreement. Our interest rate as of June 30, 2012 was a fixed rate of 1.49% on \$53.0 million, a fixed rate of 1.50% on \$3.0 million and a variable floating rate of 1.72% on approximately \$0.6 million.

10. Foreign Currency Forward Contracts. On May 31, 2012, we forecasted a net exposure for June 30, 2012 (representing the difference between Euro and GBP-denominated receivables and Euro-denominated payables) of approximately 1,163,000 Euros and 351,000 GBPs. In order to partially offset such risks at May 31, 2012, we entered into a 30-day forward contract for the Euro and GBP with a notional amount of approximately 1,163,000 Euros and notional amount of 351,000 GBPs. We enter into similar

Table of Contents

transactions at various times during the year to partially offset exchange rate risks we bear throughout the year. These contracts are marked to market at each month-end. The effect on our consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 of all forward contracts, and the fair value of our open positions as of June 30, 2012, were not material.

11. Fair Value Measurements. Our financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisted of the following (in thousands):

Description	Total Fair Value at June 30, 2012	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets - Marketable securities	\$920	\$920	\$—	\$ —
Liabilities - Contingent consideration liability	6,738	—	—	6,738

  

Description	Total Fair Value at December 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets - Marketable securities	\$2,798	\$2,798	\$—	\$ —
Liabilities - Contingent consideration liability	1,290	—	—	1,290

Our marketable securities, which consist entirely of available-for-sale equity securities, are valued using market prices in active markets. Level 1 instrument valuations are obtained from real-time quotes for transactions in active exchange markets involving identical assets.

Certain of our business combinations involve the payment of future contingent consideration based on either a percentage of future related product sales or upon attaining a specified future revenue milestone. See Note 5 for further information regarding these acquisitions. The fair value of the contingent consideration is re-measured at the estimated fair value at each reporting period with the change in fair value recognized within selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. We measure the initial liability and re-measure the liability on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs as defined under authoritative guidance for fair value measurements. Changes in the fair value of our contingent liability during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Beginning balance	6,319	\$ 1,290
Contingent consideration liability recorded as the result of acquisitions (see Note 5)	—	5,000
Fair value adjustments recorded during the period	431	460



Contingent payments made	(12	) (12	)
Ending balance	\$6,738	\$6,738	

The recurring Level 3 measurement of our contingent consideration liability includes the following significant unobservable inputs (amount in thousands):

Contingent consideration liability	Fair value at June 30, 2012	Valuation technique	Unobservable inputs	Range
Revenue-based payments	\$6,738	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount rate	10% - 14.5%
			Probability of milestone payment	90%
			Projected year of payments	2012-2028

The contingent consideration liability is re-measured to fair value each reporting period using projected revenues, discount rates, probability of payment for milestone payments, and projected payment dates. Projected contingent payment amounts are discounted back to the current period using a discounted cash flow model. Projected revenues are based on our most recent internal operational

Table of Contents

budgets and long-range strategic plans. Increases (decreases) in discount rates and the time to payment may result in lower (higher) fair value measurements. A decrease in the probability of any milestone payment may result in lower fair value measurements. An increase (decrease) in either the discount rate or the time to payment, in isolation, may result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Our determination of the fair value of the contingent consideration liability could change in future periods based upon our ongoing evaluation of these significant unobservable inputs. We intend to record any such change in fair value to selling, general, and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of income. As of June 30, 2012, approximately \$6.1 million was reflected in other long-term obligations and \$626,000 was reflected in accrued expenses in our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2011, the entire balance was reflected in other long-term obligations in our consolidated balance sheet. The cash paid to settle the contingent consideration liability recognized at fair value as of the acquisition date (including measurement-period adjustments) has been reflected as a cash outflow from financing activities in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

During both the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we had losses of approximately \$10,000, compared to losses of approximately \$3,000 and \$17,000 for the corresponding three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively, related to the measurement of non-financial assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and trade payables approximates fair value because of the immediate, short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying amount of long-term debt approximates fair value, as determined by borrowing rates estimated to be available to us for debt with similar terms and conditions. The fair value of assets and liabilities whose carrying value approximates fair value is determined using Level 2 inputs, with the exception of cash and cash equivalents (Level 1).

12. Goodwill and Intangible Assets. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	2012
Goodwill balance at January 1	\$61,144
Additions as the result of acquisitions (see Note 5)	4,430
Goodwill balance at June 30	\$65,574

Other intangible assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Patents	\$7,258	\$(1,863)	) \$5,395
Distribution agreement	4,926	(1,291)	) 3,635
License agreements	1,983	(520)	) 1,463
Trademark	5,854	(1,172)	) 4,682
Covenant not to compete	335	(130)	) 205
Customer lists	15,057	(6,873)	) 8,184
Royalty agreements	267	(267)	) —
Total	\$35,680	\$(12,116)	) \$23,564



Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011		Net Carrying Amount
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	
Patents	\$6,455	\$(1,704)	) \$4,751
Distribution agreement	2,426	(900)	) 1,526
License agreements	1,983	(436)	) 1,547
Trademark	5,746	(1,014)	) 4,732
Covenant not to compete	315	(108)	) 207
Customer lists	14,277	(5,786)	) 8,491
Royalty agreements	267	(267)	) —
Total	\$31,469	\$(10,215)	) \$21,254

Aggregate amortization expense was approximately \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and approximately \$1.5 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for intangible assets for the next five years consisted of the following as of June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31	
Remaining 2012	\$3,932
2013	7,029
2014	6,558
2015	6,275
2016	6,254

### 13. Commitments and Contingencies.

**Litigation.** In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in litigation and claims which management believes will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Intellectual property rights, particularly patents, play a significant role in product development and help differentiate competitors in the medical device market. Competing companies may file infringement lawsuits in attempts to bolster their intellectual property portfolios or enhance their financial standing. Intellectual property litigation is time consuming, costly and unpredictable. Monetary judgments, remedies or restitution are often not determined until the conclusion of trial court proceedings, which can be modified on appeal. Accordingly, the outcomes of pending litigation are difficult to predict or quantify. A third party has asserted that certain of our product offerings infringe its patents. We believe we have well-recognized defenses and intend to vigorously defend our position. While the pending litigation is in its preliminary stages and it is not possible to assess damages or predict an outcome at this time, an adverse outcome could limit our ability to sell certain products or reduce our operating margin on the sale of those products and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. We are self-insured with respect to claims of intellectual property infringement.

**FDA Warning Letter.** On February 1, 2012, Merit Medical Ireland Ltd., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries (“Merit Ireland”), received a warning letter (the “Warning Letter”) from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) alleging that a modification to the hydrophilic coating process for our Merit Laureate® Hydrophilic Guidewire (the “Guidewire”) constitutes a significant change that could significantly affect the Guidewire safety or effectiveness. In the

Warning Letter, the FDA claimed that we do not have an approved application for premarket approval of the Guidewire in effect pursuant to Section 515(a) of the U.S. Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (the "Act") or an approved application for an investigational device exemption under Section 520(g) of the Act. The FDA also claims in the Warning Letter that the Guidewire is misbranded under Section 502(o) of the Act because we did not notify the FDA of our intent to introduce the modified Guidewire into commercial distribution, as required by Section 510(k) of the Act.

We have submitted a formal response to the FDA and have ceased all Guidewire shipments into, within the United States. Such

14

---

Table of Contents

shipments represented less than one percent of our worldwide revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011. We have also filed, as requested by the FDA, a Section 510(k) application (also known as a "Premarket Notification"), received FDA comments with respect to that application, and have responded to such comments. There can be no assurance that the FDA will accept our responses and approve the actions we have taken with respect to the Guidewire or permit us to manufacture, sell, market or distribute the Guidewire as currently offered and packaged. Even though we have timely responded to the FDA, there can be no assurances regarding the length of time or cost it will take us to resolve these issues to our satisfaction and to the satisfaction of the FDA.

14. Correction of Statement of Cash Flows. Subsequent to the issuance of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we determined that certain balances within the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were misstated due to the amount of the change in property and equipment purchases in accounts payable for the six months ended June 30, 2011 used to determine the capital expenditures for property and equipment and the adjustment to trade payables necessary to arrive at net cash provided by operating activities having been inaccurately calculated. As a result, the affected line items under cash flows from operating activities and cash flows from investing activities of the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011, have been restated as follows (in thousands):

	As Previously Reported	As Corrected
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Trade payables	\$(4,726 )	\$(2,817 )
Total adjustments	96	2,005
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,607	15,516
Capital expenditures for property and equipment	(21,642 )	(23,551 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,493 )	(26,402 )

The restatement impacted only line items within our consolidated statement of cash flows, and did not result in any change in the beginning and ending balances of cash and cash equivalents from the amounts previously reported. The restated line items do not have any impact on the consolidated balance sheets or statements of income for any period. We do not consider the foregoing corrections to be material.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Report includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). All statements in this Report, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements for purposes of these provisions, including any projections of earnings, revenues or other financial items, any statements of the plans and objectives of management for future operations, any statements concerning proposed new products or services, any statements regarding the integration, development or commercialization of the business or assets acquired from other parties, any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance, and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. All forward-looking statements included in this Report are made as of the date hereof and are based on information available to us as of such date. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as “may,” “will,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “believes,” “estimates,” “potential,” or “continue,” or the negative thereof or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, there can be no assurance that any such expectation or any forward-looking statement will prove to be correct. Our actual results will vary, and may vary materially, from those projected or assumed in the forward-looking statements. Our financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties, including risks relating to product recalls and product liability claims; potential restrictions on our liquidity or our ability to operate our business by our current debt agreements; possible infringement of our technology or the assertion that our technology infringes the rights of other parties; the potential imposition of fines, penalties, or other adverse consequences if our employees or agents violate the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or other laws or regulations; expenditures relating to research, development, testing and regulatory approval or clearance of our products and the risk that such products may not be developed successfully or approved for commercial use; greater governmental scrutiny and regulation of the medical device industry; reforms to the 510(k) process administered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”); laws targeting fraud and abuse in the healthcare industry; potential for significant adverse changes in, or our failure to comply with, governing regulations; increases in the price of commodity components; negative changes in economic and industry conditions in the United States and other countries; termination or interruption of relationships with our suppliers, or failure of such suppliers to perform; our potential inability to successfully manage growth through acquisitions, including the inability to commercialize technology acquired through recent, proposed or future acquisitions; fluctuations in Euro and GBP exchange rates; our need to generate sufficient cash flow to fund our debt obligations, capital expenditures, and ongoing operations; concentration of our revenues among a few products and procedures; development of new products and technology that could render our existing products obsolete; market acceptance of new products; volatility in the market price of our common stock; modification or limitation of governmental or private insurance reimbursement policies; changes in health care markets related to health care reform initiatives; failure to comply with applicable environmental laws; changes in key personnel; work stoppage or transportation risks; uncertainties associated with potential healthcare policy changes which may have a material adverse effect on Merit; introduction of products in a timely fashion; price and product competition; availability of labor and materials; cost increases; fluctuations in and obsolescence of inventory; and other factors referred to in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and other materials filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All subsequent forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Actual results will differ, and may differ materially, from anticipated results. Financial estimates are subject to change and are not intended to be relied upon as predictions of future operating results, and we assume no obligation to update or disclose revisions to those estimates. Additional factors that may have a direct bearing on our operating results are discussed in Part I, Item 1A “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## OVERVIEW

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operation should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related condensed notes thereto, which are included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

We design, develop, manufacture and market single-use medical products for interventional and diagnostic procedures. For financial reporting purposes, we report our operations in two operating segments: cardiovascular and endoscopy. Our cardiovascular segment consists of cardiology and radiology devices which assist in diagnosing and treating coronary arterial disease, peripheral vascular disease and other non-vascular diseases and includes our embolotherapeutic products. Our endoscopy segment consists of gastroenterology and pulmonology medical devices which assist in the palliative treatment of expanding esophageal, tracheobronchial and biliary strictures caused by malignant tumors.



Table of Contents

For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we reported record revenues of \$100.5 million, up 10% from the three months ended June 30, 2011 of \$91.2 million. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were a record \$196.1 million, compared to \$177.9 million for the first six months of 2011, a gain of 10%.

Gross profit was 46.8% and 46.5% of sales for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 46.6% and 46.2% of sales for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The improvement in gross profit for both periods was primarily due to increased direct sales in China and lower manufacturing costs in our Irish facility as a result of a decrease in the foreign exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Euro.

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$6.1 million, or \$.14 per share, compared to \$6.9 million, or \$0.18 per share, for the corresponding period of 2011. Net income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$11.8 million, or \$0.28 per share, compared to \$13.5 million, or \$0.37 per share, for the corresponding period of 2011. The decrease in net income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 was primarily attributable to an increase in selling costs (related primarily to our engagement of additional sales representatives in U.S. and international markets), as well as increases in marketing and research and development expenses. There was also significant non-recurring expenses for acquired in-process research and development of \$2.0 million and \$2.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we continued to experience growth in our European direct and dealer markets, as well as our technology companies, such as Merit Sensors and Merit Coatings, and our OEM channels. The international sales investments we made over the last several years in China, Russia and Europe continue to pay off as a large portion of our sales increases are being derived from these markets. Our international sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012 represented 37% of our total sales, compared to 34% of our total sales for the corresponding period of 2011. This international growth has been important to our financial results, as we have experienced slower sales growth in the U.S. market. We anticipate that we will make further investments in China, India, Brazil, Russia, certain Middle Eastern countries, and the Balkan countries, as well as countries located in the Pacific Rim.

Our endoscopy segment made significant progress in reducing its operating loss to approximately \$607,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the operating loss of approximately \$1.9 million for the corresponding period of 2011. This reduction in operating loss was largely driven by a sales increase of 31.7% in our endoscopy segment for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, and an improvement in gross margins. During the first quarter of 2012, we launched our new EndoMAXX™ fully-covered esophageal stent, which aided our sales growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. We plan to engage a new contract stent manufacturer by the end of 2012. If we are successful with this initiative, we expect to generate improved gross profits for this operating segment, which would help move us toward profitability in the future.

Our product pipeline is full with new cardiology, radiology and endoscopy products in the queue. We recently received 510(k) clearance from the FDA for two new products: the ConcierGE® guide catheter and the Resolve® biliary drainage catheter. We believe these products broaden our overall product offering.

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain operational data as a percentage of sales for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
June 30,		June 30,	
2012	2011	2012	2011

Edgar Filing: MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Net sales	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gross profit	46.8	46.6	46.5	46.2
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	30.1	28.7	30.5	28.5
Research and development expenses	6.6	6.0	6.6	5.9
Acquired in-process research and development	2.0	—	1.1	—
Income from operations	8.2	11.9	8.3	11.8
Other income (expense) - net	0.6	(0.3)	0.3	(0.4)
Income before income tax expense	8.8	11.6	8.5	11.5
Net income	6.1	7.5	6.0	7.6

17

---

Table of Contents

Sales. Sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased by 10%, or approximately \$9.3 million, compared to the corresponding period of 2011. Sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased by 10%, or approximately \$18.3 million, compared to the corresponding period of 2011. Listed below are our sales by business segment for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	% Change	June 30, 2012	2011	% Change	June 30, 2012	2011
Cardiovascular						
Stand-alone devices	10%	\$29,495	\$26,737	15%	\$58,341	\$50,798
Custom kits and procedure trays	4%	24,224	23,349	2%	47,044	45,931
Inflation devices	5%	18,385	17,504	1%	34,858	34,398
Catheters	20%	16,017	13,370	22%	31,730	26,108
Embolization devices	21%	8,439	6,980	11%	16,257	14,631
Total	10%	96,560	87,940	10%	188,230	171,866
Endoscopy						
Endoscopy devices	20%	3,972	3,309	32%	7,920	6,014
Total	10%	\$100,532	\$91,249	10%	\$196,150	\$177,880

Our cardiovascular sales growth of 10% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 10% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, was primarily due to sales of Basix inflation device, catheters (particularly our Prelude® sheath product line, diagnostic catheters, aspiration catheter product line and micro catheter product line), stand-alone devices (particularly our hemostasis valves, guidewires and newly-acquired Scion Clo-Sur<sup>PLUS</sup> P.A.D.<sup>TM</sup>), and BioSphere embolization devices.

Our endoscopy sales growth of 20% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 32% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, primarily related to an increase in sales of our Aero® Tracheobronchial stent and the release of our EndoMAXX<sup>TM</sup> fully covered esophageal stent.

Gross Profit. Gross profit was 46.8% and 46.5% of sales for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 46.6% and 46.2% of sales for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011. The improvement in gross profit for both periods was primarily due to increased direct sales in China and lower manufacturing costs in our Irish facility as a result of a decrease in the foreign exchange rate between the US dollar and the Euro. Increased sales of embolization devices and stents are also contributing to the increase in gross margins.

Operating Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 30.1% of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared with 28.7% of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 30.5% of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with 28.5% of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increases were due primarily to the hiring of additional sales and marketing representatives, both domestically and internationally, the development of programs to improve distribution and increase market share for new and existing products and a fair value contingent consideration expense related to recent acquisitions.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased to 6.6% of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared with 6.0% of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Research and development expenses increased to 6.6% of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 5.9% of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increases were primarily due to headcount additions for the HiQuality

study and new hires in our research and development group.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a charge of approximately \$2.2 million for acquired in-process research and development related to the purchase of four patents for the development of future products, primarily a new cross-support catheter and an exclusive license for certain nanotechnology.

18

---

Table of Contents

Operating Income (Loss). The following table sets forth our operating income or loss by business segment for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June, 30		June, 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Operating Income (Loss)				
Cardiovascular	\$8,499	\$11,763	\$16,836	\$22,951
Endoscopy	(277)	(916)	(607)	(1,894)
Total operating income	\$8,222	\$10,847	\$16,229	\$21,057

Cardiovascular Operating Income. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we reported income from operations of approximately \$8.5 million from our cardiovascular business segment, compared to income from operations of approximately \$11.8 million for the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we reported income from operations of approximately \$16.8 million from our cardiovascular business segment, compared to income from operations of approximately \$23.0 million for the corresponding period of 2011. When compared to the prior year periods, the operating income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 was unfavorably affected by higher selling, general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses.

Endoscopy Operating Loss. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we reported a loss from operations of approximately \$277,000 from our endoscopy business segment, compared to a loss from operations of approximately \$916,000 for the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we reported a loss from operations of approximately \$607,000 from our endoscopy business segment, compared to a loss from operations of approximately \$1.9 million for the corresponding period of 2011. The decrease in operating loss for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, was favorably affected by higher sales and gross margins, lower research and development expenses and was negatively affected by higher selling, general and administrative expenses as we added some additional sales representatives to this segment.

Other Income (Expense) - Net. Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$592,000, compared to other expense of approximately (\$229,000) for the corresponding period in 2011. Other income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$502,000, compared to other expense of approximately (\$641,000) for the corresponding period in 2011. The net increase in other income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 was the result of a gain on sale of marketable securities of approximately \$648,000 and a reduction in interest expense resulting from lower long-term average debt balances during 2012.

Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was 30.8%, compared to 35.3% for the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our effective tax rate was 29.2%, compared to 33.8% for the comparable period of 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, was primarily related to the fact that our foreign operations (primarily our Irish operations), which are taxed at a lower rate than our U.S. operations, made up a greater portion of our consolidated pre-tax income in 2012.

Net Income. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we reported net income of approximately \$6.1 million, compared to net income of approximately \$6.9 million for the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we reported net income of approximately \$11.8 million, compared to net income of approximately \$13.5 million for the corresponding period of 2011. The decrease in net income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 was primarily attributable to an increase in selling costs (related primarily to our engagement of

additional sales representatives in U.S. and international markets), as well as increases in marketing and research and development expenses.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our working capital as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$88.5 million and \$89.9 million, respectively. The decrease in working capital during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily the result of an increase in other payables of \$6.5 million related to a future milestone payment due in connection with our acquisition of the assets of Ostial Solutions, LLC (“Ostial”) in January 2012, offset by an increase in trade receivables from record sales. As of June 30, 2012, we had a current ratio of 2.7 to 1.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$9.9 million and \$10.1 million respectively, of which approximately \$9.2 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, were held by foreign subsidiaries. For each of our foreign subsidiaries, we make an assertion as to whether the earnings are intended to be repatriated to the United States or held by the foreign subsidiary for permanent reinvestment. The cash held by our foreign subsidiaries for permanent reinvestment is used to fund the operating activities of our foreign subsidiaries and for further investment in foreign operations. We have accrued a deferred tax liability on our consolidated financial statements for the portion of our foreign earnings that are available to be repatriated to the United States.

In addition, cash held by our subsidiary in China is subject to local laws and regulations that require government approval for the transfer of such funds to entities located outside of China. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$8.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, held by our subsidiary in China.

On September 10, 2010, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with the lenders who are or may become party thereto (collectively, the "Lenders") and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), as administrative agent for the Lenders. Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Lenders have agreed to make revolving credit loans up to an aggregate amount of \$125 million. Wells Fargo has also agreed to make swingline loans from time to time through the maturity date of September 10, 2015 in amounts equal to the difference between the amounts actually loaned by the Lenders and the aggregate credit commitment. The Credit Agreement contains covenants, representations and warranties and other terms, that are customary for revolving credit facilities of this nature. In this regard, the Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a leverage ratio, an EBITDA ratio, a minimum adjusted consolidated net income, and limits the amount of annual capital expenditures we can incur. Additionally, the Credit Agreement contains various negative covenants with which we must comply, including, but not limited to, a prohibition on the payment of dividends and limitations respecting: the incurrence of indebtedness, the creation of liens on our property, mergers or similar combinations or liquidations, asset dispositions, investments in subsidiaries, and other provisions customary in similar types of agreements. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all financial covenants set forth in the Credit Agreement

As of June 30, 2012, we had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$56.6 million under the Credit Agreement, with available borrowings of approximately \$68.4 million, based on the leverage ratio in the terms of the Credit Agreement. Our interest rate as of June 30, 2012 was a fixed rate of 1.49% on \$53.0 million, a fixed rate of 1.50% on \$3.0 million and a variable floating rate of 1.72% on approximately \$0.6 million.

Capital expenditures for property and equipment were approximately \$34.7 million and \$23.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of those capital expenditures, we spent approximately \$19.9 million and \$17.3 million, respectively, for the construction of buildings and a parking lot as discussed below. We anticipate that we will spend approximately \$58 million in 2012 for property and equipment, of which approximately \$34 million will be spent on building construction.

Historically, we have incurred significant expenses in connection with new facilities, production automation, product development and the introduction of new products. Over the last three years, we spent a substantial amount of cash in connection with our acquisition of certain assets and product lines (including \$12.5 million to acquire the assets of Ostial and to enter into a marketing and distribution agreement with Scion Cardio-Vascular, Inc. during the six months ended June 30, 2012; \$10.3 million to acquire the assets of Ash Access Technology, Inc., and AAT Catheter Technologies, LLC, among other transactions, during 2011; approximately \$86.0 million (net of acquired cash) to acquire BioSphere Medical, Inc. in September 2010; and \$46.2 million to acquire the assets of Alveolus and Hatch, among other transactions, during 2009). We are in the process of constructing three new production facilities in South Jordan, Utah and Pearland, Texas. During 2011, we also finished construction of a parking terrace in South Jordan,

Utah. In May of 2012, we completed our 80,000 square-foot manufacturing facility in Galway, Ireland. The total anticipated cost of these construction projects is approximately \$88 million. As of June 30, 2012, we had incurred total costs of approximately \$63.5 million with respect to those construction projects. In the event we pursue and complete significant transactions or acquisitions in the future, additional funds will likely be required to meet our strategic needs, which may require us to raise additional funds in the debt or equity markets. We currently believe that our existing cash balances, anticipated future cash flows from operations, sales of equity, and existing lines of credit and committed debt financing will be adequate to fund our current and currently planned future operations for the next twelve months and the foreseeable future.



Table of Contents

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Critical Accounting Policies

The SEC has requested that all registrants address their most critical accounting policies. The SEC has indicated that a “critical accounting policy” is one which is both important to the representation of the registrant’s financial condition and results and requires management’s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We base our estimates on past experience and on various other assumptions our management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results will differ, and may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Additionally, changes in accounting estimates could occur in the future from period to period. Our management has discussed the development and selection of our most critical financial estimates with the audit committee of our Board of Directors. The following paragraphs identify our most critical accounting policies:

**Inventory Obsolescence.** Our management reviews on a quarterly basis inventory quantities on hand for unmarketable and/or slow-moving products that may expire prior to being sold. This review includes quantities on hand for both raw materials and finished goods. Based on this review, we provide adjustments for any slow-moving finished good products or raw materials that we believe will expire prior to being sold or used to produce a finished good and any products that are unmarketable. This review of inventory quantities for unmarketable and/or slow moving products is based on forecasted product demand prior to expiration lives.

Forecasted unit demand is derived from our historical experience of product sales and production raw material usage. If market conditions become less favorable than those projected by our management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded obsolescence expense of approximately \$1.5 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, and wrote off approximately \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Based on this historical trend, we believe that our inventory balances as of June 30, 2012 have been accurately adjusted for any unmarketable and/or slow moving products that may expire prior to being sold.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.** A majority of our receivables are with hospitals which, over our history, have demonstrated favorable collection rates. Therefore, we have experienced relatively minimal bad debts from hospital customers. In limited circumstances, we have written off bad debts as the result of the termination of our business relationships with foreign distributors. The most significant write-offs over our history have come from U.S. custom procedure tray manufacturers who bundle our products in surgical trays.

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts relating to estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The allowance is based upon historical experience and a review of individual customer balances. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

**Stock-Based Compensation.** We measure stock-based compensation cost at the grant date based on the value of the award and recognize the cost as an expense over the term of the vesting period. Judgment is required in estimating the fair value of share-based awards granted and their expected forfeiture rate. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted.

**Income Taxes.** Under our accounting policies, we initially recognize a tax position in our financial statements when it becomes more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax positions that has a greater than 50%

likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authorities assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. Although we believe our provisions for unrecognized tax positions are reasonable, we can make no assurance that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different from that which we have reflected in our income tax provisions and accruals. The tax law is subject to varied interpretations, and we have taken positions related to certain matters where the law is subject to interpretation. Such differences could have a material impact on our income tax provisions and operating results in the period(s) in which we make such determination.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets Impairment and Contingent Consideration. We test our goodwill balances for impairment as of July 1 of each year, or whenever impairment indicators arise. We utilize several reporting units in evaluating goodwill for impairment. We assess the estimated fair value of reporting units based on discounted future cash flows. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, an impairment charge is recognized in an amount equal to the excess

## Table of Contents

of the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill over implied fair value of that goodwill. This analysis requires significant judgments, including estimation of future cash flows and the length of time they will occur, which is based on internal forecasts, and a determination of a discount rate based on our weighted average cost of capital. During our annual test of goodwill balances in 2011, which was completed during the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the fair value of each reporting unit with goodwill exceeded the carrying amount by at least 40%.

We evaluate the recoverability of intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. This analysis requires similar significant judgments as those discussed above regarding goodwill, except that undiscounted cash flows are compared to the carrying amount of intangible assets to determine if impairment exists. All of our intangible assets are subject to amortization.

Contingent consideration is an obligation by the buyer to transfer additional assets or equity interests to the former owner upon reaching certain milestone payments. We have entered into asset purchase agreements which will require us to pay additional purchase consideration upon reaching certain revenue-based milestones and/or future royalties based on a percentage of related product sales. In connection with a business combination, any contingent consideration is recorded on the acquisition date based upon the consideration expected to be transferred in the future. We utilize a probability-weighted discounted cash flow method in valuing the contingent consideration. We re-measure this liability each quarter and record changes in the estimated fair value through operating expense in our consolidated statements of income. Significant increases or decreases could result in the estimated fair value of our contingent consideration liability, as the result of changes in the timing and amount of revenue estimates, as well as changes in the discount rate or periods.

### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal market risk relates to changes in the value of the Euro and Great Britain Pound ("GBP") relative to the value of the U.S. Dollar. We also have a limited market risk relating to the Chinese Yuan, Hong Kong Dollar and the Swedish and Danish Kroner. Our consolidated financial statements are denominated in, and our principal currency is, the U.S. Dollar. For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, a portion of our revenues (approximately \$12.4 million, representing approximately 12.3% of our aggregate revenues), was attributable to sales that were denominated in foreign currencies. All other international sales were denominated in U.S. Dollars. Certain of our expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 were also denominated in foreign currencies, which partially offset risks associated with fluctuations of exchange rates between foreign currencies on the one hand, and the U.S. Dollar on the other hand. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, fluctuations in the exchange rate between our foreign currencies against the U.S. Dollar resulted in a decrease in our gross revenues of approximately \$1.0 million, or 1%, and an increase of .30% in gross profit, as result of our decrease in Irish manufacturing operation costs which are denominated in Euros.

On May 31, 2012, we forecasted a net exposure for June 30, 2012 (representing the difference between Euro and GBP-denominated receivables and Euro-denominated payables) of approximately 1,163,000 Euros and 351,000 GBPs. In order to partially offset such risks at May 31, 2012, we entered into a 30-day forward contract for the Euro and GBP with a notional amount of approximately 1,163,000 Euros and notional amount of 351,000 GBPs. We enter into similar transactions at various times during the year to partially offset exchange rate risks we bear throughout the year. These contracts are marked to market at each month-end. The effect on our consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 of all forward contracts, and the fair value of our open positions as of June 30, 2012, were not material.

As discussed in Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements, as of June 30, 2012, we had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$56.6 million under the Credit Agreement. Accordingly, our earnings and after-tax cash flow are affected by changes in interest rates. Assuming the current level of borrowings remained the same, it is estimated that our interest expense and income before income taxes would change by approximately \$567,000 annually for each one

percentage point change in the average interest rate under these borrowings.

In the event of an adverse change in interest rates, our management would likely take actions to mitigate our exposure. However, due to the uncertainty of the actions that would be taken and their possible effects, additional analysis is not possible at this time. Further, such analysis would not consider the effects of the change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the

22

---

## Table of Contents

"Exchange Act"), as of June 30, 2012. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed at a reasonable assurance level and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business we are involved in litigation and claims which management believes will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no material developments in any pending legal proceedings previously reported. Please see the discussion of legal proceedings set forth in Note 13 "Commitments and Contingencies" in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
-------------	-------------

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following financial information from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Merit Medical Systems, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language):  
(i) Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

23

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.  
REGISTRANT

Date: August 9, 2012

/s/ FRED P. LAMPROPOULOS  
FRED P. LAMPROPOULOS  
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Date: August 9, 2012

/s/ KENT W. STANGER  
KENT W. STANGER  
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER