DYNEGY INC /IL/ Form 10-K/A April 11, 2003

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

AMENDMENT NO. 2

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

[_] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-15659

DYNEGY INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Illinois 74-2928353
(State or other (I.R.S. Employer jurisdiction of Identification Number) incorporation or

incorporation or organization)

1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800

Houston, Texas 77002 (Address of principal (Zip Code)

executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 507-6400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of

the Act:
Title of each class: Name of each exchange on

which registered:

Class A common stock, no

par value $$\operatorname{New}$$ York Stock Exchange Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of

the Act:

Title of each class: Name of each exchange on

None which registered:

--

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports

required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of March 4, 2002, computed by reference to the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on such date, was \$7,724,959,720, using the definition of beneficial ownership contained in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and excluding shares held by directors and executive officers.

Number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Class A common stock, no par value per share, 268,881,299 shares outstanding as of March 4, 2002; Class B common stock, no par value per share, 96,891,014 shares outstanding as of March 4, 2002.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE. Part III (items 10, 11, 12 and 13) incorporates portions of the Notice and Proxy Statement for the 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed not later than 120 days after December 31, 2001.

DYNEGY INC. FORM 10-K/A INTRODUCTORY NOTE

Dynegy Inc. is filing this Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A ("Amendment No. 2") to reflect the audited restatement of its 1999-2001 financial statements. These financial statements were originally included in Dynegy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, which was originally filed on March 13, 2002 (the "Original Filing"), and were restated on an unaudited basis in Dynegy's Amendment No. 1 to its Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, which was filed on February 14, 2003 ("Amendment No. 1"). This Amendment No. 2 also includes certain other revisions to the Original Filing. The financial information contained in the Original Filing has been revised to reflect the restatement items described in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. These restatement items include adjustments previously announced by Dynegy in its Current Reports on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2002 and January 31, 2003 and in Amendment No. 1, and further adjustments as described in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. Dynegy's periodic SEC reports, including this Amendment No. 2, remain subject to an ongoing review by the SEC Division of Corporation Finance.

The following Items of the Original Filing are amended by this Amendment No. 2:

Item 1. Business

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Unaffected items have not been repeated in this Amendment No. 2.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 10-K/A, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL FILING. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ THE COMPANY'S EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE MARCH 13, 2002, INCLUDING ITS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002. SEE NOTE 19--SUBSEQUENT EVENTS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

i

DYNEGY INC. FORM 10-K/A TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	
Item 1.	Business
PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
PART IV	
Item 14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K
Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
. ,	
Index to	Consolidated Financial Statements
	ry NoteRestatements
	-1 1000 1000000menes

ii

DYNEGY INC.

PART I

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 10-K/A, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER MARCH 13, 2002 (THE DATE ON WHICH DYNEGY ORIGINALLY FILED ITS 2001 FORM 10-K). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ THE COMPANY'S EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE MARCH 13, 2002, INCLUDING ITS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002. SEE NOTE 19-SUBSEQUENT EVENTS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

DEFINITIONS

As used in this Form 10-K/A, the abbreviations listed below are defined as follows:

Accord	Accord Energy Limited.
	Billions of cubic feet.
Bcf/d	Billions of cubic feet per day.
BGSL	BG Storage Limited.
Btu	British thermal unita measure of the amount of
	heat required to raise the temperature of one pound
	of water one degree Fahrenheit.
Catlin	Catlin Associates, L.L.C.
Chevron	Chevron U.S.A. Inc., now a subsidiary of
	ChevronTexaco.
ChevronTexaco	ChevronTexaco Corporation
CERCLA or Superfund	Comprehensive Environmental Response,
	Compensation and Liability Act.
DEC	Dynegy Europe Communications.
Destec	Destec Energy, Inc.
DGC	Dynegy Global Communications, one of our four
	reportable business segments.
DMG	Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc.
DMS	Dynegy Midstream Services, one of our four
	reportable business segments.
	The U.S. Department of Transportation.
DWR	The California Department of Water Resources.
Dynegy	Dynegy Inc.
Enron	-
	Exempt Wholesale Generators.
Extant	•
	Financial Accounting Standards Board.
	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
	The Federal Power Act.
	The Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act.
Holdings	Dynegy Holdings Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of
	Dynegy Inc.
iaxis	
	Illinois Commerce Commission.
Illinova	Illinova Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of
	Dynegy Inc.
	Black Thunder Investors LLC.
TP	Illinois Power Company, a wholly owned subsidiary
T. 0.0	of Illinova.
1SO	The California Independent System Operator.

1

TMC	The section of the se
	Liquefied natural gas.
	Liquefied petroleum gas.
	Thousands of barrels per day.
	Thousands of cubic feet.
MMBtu	
	Millions of cubic feet per day.
	Manufactured Gas Plant.
MW	
NGA	The Natural Gas Act of 1938, as amended.
NGLs	Natural gas liquids.
NGPA	The Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended.
NGPSA	Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act.
Northern Natural	Northern Natural Gas Company.
OSHA	The Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act.
Project Alpha	A structured natural gas transaction entered into by
	Dynegy in April 2001.
PURPA	The Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978.
PX	The California Power Exchange.
RCRA	The Resource Conversation and Recovery Act.
QFs	"Qualifying facilities" are power generation facilities
	that typically sell power to a single purchaser and
	are generally exempt from FERC ratemaking
	regulation.
RTOs	Regional transmission organizations established by
	the FERC to control electric transmissions facilities
	within a particular region.
T&D	Transmission and Distribution, one of our four
	reportable business segments.
VaR	Value at Risk.
VLGC	Very Large Gas Carriers.
	Wholesale Energy Network, one of our four
	reportable business segments.

Item 1. BUSINESS

THE COMPANY

Dynegy Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, "Dynegy" or the "Company") is one of the world's leading energy merchants. Through our global energy delivery network and marketing, logistics and risk-management capabilities, we provide innovative solutions to customers in North America, the United Kingdom and Continental Europe. Our businesses include power generation and wholesale and direct commercial and industrial marketing of power, natural gas, coal and other similar products. We are also engaged in the transportation, gathering and processing of natural gas and natural gas liquids and the transmission and distribution of electricity and natural gas to retail consumers. Dynegy is also engaged in the telecommunications business through its global long-haul fiber optic and metropolitan network located in cities in the United States and Europe.

Dynegy began operations in 1985 and became incorporated in the State of Illinois in 1999 in connection with the acquisition of Illinova Corporation. The Company's principal executive office is located at 1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800, Houston, Texas 77002, and the telephone number of that office is (713)

507-6400. Dynegy and its affiliates maintain marketing or regional offices in Atlanta, Georgia; Aurora, Colorado; Boston, Massachusetts; Calgary, Canada; Chicago, Illinois; Dallas, Texas; Decatur, Illinois; Elida, Ohio; Hong Kong, China; Hyderabad, India; London, England; Lucerne, Switzerland; Manama, Bahrain; Midland, Texas; Milan, Italy; Montreal, Canada; Oakville, Canada; Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; Omaha, Nebraska; Paris, France; Pleasanton, California; Richmond, England; Tampa, Florida; and Washington, D.C.

2

SEGMENT DISCUSSION

[GRAPHIC DEPICTING SEGMENTS AND SUMMARY INFORMATION]

The Company is a holding company and has four reportable business segments: Wholesale Energy Network ("WEN"), Dynegy Midstream Services ("DMS"), Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") and Dynegy Global Communications ("DGC"). Financial information, including revenues from external customers by similar products or services and by geographic area, net income (loss) and total assets by geographic area are disclosed by segment as set forth in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements.

Wholesale Energy Network Segment

WEN is engaged in the physical generation of electricity, the aggregation of natural gas supplies and the delivery of customer-focused products and services, such as risk-management services, around wholesale natural gas, power, coal and other similar products. This segment is focused on optimizing the Company's and its customers' global portfolio of assets and capacity contracts, as well as direct commercial and industrial sales and retail marketing alliances. The Company provides customer and risk-management activities to wholesale energy consumers in North America, the United Kingdom and Continental Europe and continues to assess local, regional and national markets, regulatory environments and other factors in order to support and direct future investment.

WEN is focused on the "Energy Value Chain," which is predicated on the notion that the economy consumes physical quantities of energy commodities, such as natural gas, electricity and coal and other similar products, and that consumers of energy expect reliability of supply as well as management of price. The logistics needed to move energy commodities from points of production to end-users requires substantial knowledge of energy infrastructure and the ability to access and utilize this infrastructure. The management of price risk requires knowledge of market factors impacting the economic realities of supply and demand. Dynegy's strength is its ability to capitalize on its extensive network of energy assets, contractual arrangements and market knowledge to provide its customers with reliable sources of physical energy, products and services. Dynegy combines physical delivery of energy through its extensive logistics infrastructure with its price risk-management capabilities and market expertise to provide our customers with customized products to meet their services needs.

[GRAPHIC DEPICTING ENERGY VALUE CHAIN]

Dynegy views its gas and power marketing and power generation businesses as an integrated unit. Control of merchant generation, when coupled with the Company's national wholesale gas and power marketing franchise, creates a wide range of value-creation opportunities benefiting both the Company and its customers. Dynegy's wholesale marketing franchise adds value to its generation

assets by providing national market access, market infrastructure and intelligence, risk-management and arbitrage opportunities, fuel management and procurement expertise and transmission expertise for inputs (gas, coal and fuel oil) and outputs (power). Generation capacity, in turn, adds value to the Company's wholesale marketing franchise by providing an outlet/market for gas and coal supplies, a source of reliable power supply and an enhanced ability to structure innovative new products and services for customers.

Natural Gas Purchases. As part of our wholesale energy business, Dynegy purchases natural gas from a wide variety of suppliers. Dynegy also purchases at various index prices and markets substantially all of the natural gas produced or controlled by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. in the United States (except Alaska). In addition, the Company and ChevronTexaco Corp., ("ChevronTexaco") have agreed pursuant to a term sheet dated December 12, 2001 to expand this commercial relationship to include the volumes historically produced by Texaco. On March 1, 2002, a subsidiary of the Company began buying the historical Texaco volumes on an interim basis and is in the late stages of negotiations with ChevronTexaco to finalize a long term commercial agreement to cover

3

these volumes. This relationship provides the Company with a significant, stable supply of natural gas which, when combined with gas supplies available from our network of other supply sources, allows us to effectively manage gas supplies and reduces the risk of short-term supply shortages during periods of peak demand. In 2001, approximately 22 percent of WEN's natural gas purchases were made from Chevron U.S.A. Inc.

The Company's expanded relationship with ChevronTexaco will increase the volume of natural gas we purchase from Chevron U.S.A. Inc. and ChevronTexaco from approximately 2.0 Bcf/d to approximately 3.0 Bcf/d. We also expect to provide supply and service for in excess of 2.0 Bcf/d of natural gas for the former Texaco's facilities and third-party term markets.

Transportation. The Company arranges for transportation of the natural gas it markets from the supplier's receipt point to the purchaser's requested delivery point. The Company generally retains title to this natural gas from the receipt point to the delivery point and obtains pipeline transportation. The Company believes that its understanding of the United States' pipeline network, along with the scale and geographic reach of its gas marketing efforts, are important to the Company's success as a physical supplier of energy. The Company uses a variety of transportation arrangements to move its customers' volumes, including short-term and long-term firm and interruptible agreements with pipelines and brokered firm contracts with its customers.

Natural Gas Sales. The Company sells natural gas under sales agreements that have varying terms and conditions intended to match seasonal and other changes in demand. The Company's wholesale customer base consists primarily of gas and electric utilities and industrial and commercial end-users and marketers of natural gas. For the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company sold an aggregate average of 12.5 Bcf/d of natural gas. As described above, Dynegy expects future average physical natural gas sales to increase significantly as a result of additional supply volumes to be provided by ChevronTexaco.

Natural Gas Storage. Natural gas storage capacity plays an important role in the Company's ability to act as a full-service natural gas marketer by allowing us to manage relatively constant gas supply volumes with uneven demand

levels. Through the use of our storage capabilities, we offer peak delivery services to satisfy winter heating and summer electric-generating demands. Storage inventories also provide performance security or "backup" service to our customers. The Company at various times leases short-term and long-term firm and interruptible storage. Our recent acquisitions of Northern Natural Gas Company ("Northern Natural") and BG Storage Limited should enable us to expand storage services in the U.S. and U.K. markets.

Power. Dynegy markets electricity and power products and services, providing a 24-hour-a-day resource for the sale and purchase of power through access to wholesale markets throughout North America and Europe. The Company helps generation customers manage and optimize their fuel supplies, optimize generation assets and capacity utilization and maximize energy conversion and tolling opportunities. In addition, the Company provides market aggregation and sales assistance and risk-management services and strategies. The Company will at times contract for transmission capacity over regulated transmission lines in order to facilitate regional movements of power. In 2001, Dynegy sold 362 million megawatt-hours of electricity.

At December 31, 2001, Dynegy had interests in 41 power projects in operation, under construction or in development, having gross capacity of 18,833 MW (13,738 MW net) of electricity. Approximately 66 percent of these facilities were solely gas-fired plants, with the remaining facilities fueled by coal, heavy fuel oil or some combination of coal, fuel oil and natural gas. The combined gross capacity of owned facilities in operation at December 31, 2001 approximated 15,856 MW (10,761 MW net) of electricity and 2.7 million pounds per hour of steam available for sale to customers. Approximately 68 percent of the gross capacity (55 percent net) in operation at December 31, 2001 was under long-term power purchase agreements.

Domestically, our power plants are located in California, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Nevada, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas, Virginia and Washington. In addition, in connection with our 2000 acquisition of Illinova Corporation, we acquired a total of seven operating power projects in China, Costa

4

Rica, Honduras, Jamaica, Pakistan and Panama having an aggregate gross capacity of $918~\mathrm{MW}$ ($228~\mathrm{MW}$ net) of electricity.

In addition to ownership and operation of generation facilities, the Company provides services to affiliated ventures in the areas of project development, engineering, regulatory and environmental affairs, operating and maintenance services, business and energy management and fuel supply.

Commercial and Industrial. Deregulation of the gas and power markets is evolving to encourage greater competition and access to markets. As a result, Dynegy is pursuing opportunities to provide energy solutions to regional and national commercial and industrial customers who need customized energy solutions for their natural gas and electricity requirements. Dynegy's services include full requirements energy management, supply delivery or asset management and price risk-management services. Dynegy believes that its energy network and physical logistics capability positions the Company to provide mutually beneficial energy solutions to our customers.

In addition, Dynegy's retail gas and electric strategy is to strengthen key customer relationships by forming regional retail gas and power alliances,

which require less capital investment and fewer financial risks relative to other national retail marketing strategies. The combination of Dynegy's low-cost energy supply with a regional utility's large, installed customer base and local name recognition positions each alliance to capture a significant portion of the local gas and power market when those markets fully open to competition.

Dynegy Midstream Services Segment

General. DMS consists primarily of the Company's North American midstream liquids operations, NGL marketing and global LPG transportation and marketing operations, located principally along the Gulf Coast and in London. North American midstream liquids operations are actively engaged in gathering and processing natural gas and fractionating, storing, terminalling, transporting, distributing and marketing NGLs. This vertically integrated NGL infrastructure permits the Company to generate revenues throughout all facets of the NGL business, from inlet natural gas volumes gathered from producing horizons to distributing and marketing NGLs to end-users throughout the world. This business provides the Company with broad-based, real-time market information that allows the Company to capture capacity, demand and distribution inefficiencies that exist from time to time in the market.

[GRAPHIC DEPICTING LIQUIDS VALUE CHAIN]

DMS attempts to maximize earnings from its vertically integrated core gas gathering, processing and downstream assets. Similar to WEN's convergence strategy, ownership and control of upstream processing and downstream fractionation, storage, terminalling and transportation assets provides opportunities to DMS' NGL distribution and marketing business. DMS' human and capital resources are aligned and focused on capturing growth opportunities in all core areas, including the Gulf of Mexico region and areas outside North America.

The Company is among the industry leaders in substantially all midstream component businesses, ranging from natural gas processing to distributing and marketing NGLs to end-users. Our position as a natural gas processor is expected to grow as the Company is in the final stages of negotiations with ChevronTexaco to

5

expand its relationship to include the historical Texaco volumes. In December 2001, the Company expanded its commercial agreement with ChevronTexaco to purchase the undedicated liquid production associated with the processing of Texaco's natural gas. In January 2002, the Company also purchased Texaco's wholesale propane marketing business and integrated it into Dynegy's existing wholesale business.

Natural Gas Gathering and Processing. The natural gas processing industry is a major oil and gas industry segment, providing the necessary service of refining raw natural gas into marketable pipeline quality natural gas and NGLs. We own interests in 23 gas processing plants, including 13 plants we operate, as well as associated and stand-alone natural gas gathering pipeline systems. These assets are located in key producing areas of Louisiana, New Mexico and Texas. During 2001, we processed on average 1.9 Bcf/d of natural gas and produced on average 84 thousand gross barrels per day of NGLs. We have the right to process substantially all of Chevron U.S.A. Inc.'s processable natural gas in those geographic areas where it is economically feasible for us to do so. We are also in the final stages of negotiations with ChevronTexaco to process the historical Texaco volumes. In 2002, we estimate that approximately

60 percent of the volume processed will be under percentage of proceeds contracts, 29 percent will be under processor economic election contracts (either keep whole or fee based) and the remaining 11 percent will be under keep whole processing arrangements.

Under percentage of proceeds contracts, we sell the natural gas and NGLs, return to the producer their share of the proceeds derived from such sale and keep a portion of the proceeds as our processing fee. If a producer has negotiated the right to take its share of natural gas and NGLs in kind, the producer gives to us a percentage of the natural gas and NGLs as our fee and takes the remaining percentage in kind at the tailgate of the plant. Under processor economic election contracts, the producer has the election to either process on a keep whole basis or pay us a fee for processing the natural gas. This fee could be in the form of a percentage of the natural gas and/or NGLs processed or a cash payment for providing the processing services. Under keep whole processing arrangements, the natural gas is processed to remove the NGLs and other impurities to make the natural gas merchantable. We keep the NGLs and then return to the producer merchantable natural gas containing the same Btu content as was delivered to us prior to processing. We are allowed to keep the NGLs as our fee for processing but must purchase and return to the producer a volume of merchantable natural gas to replace the Btus that were removed through processing so that the producer is kept whole on the Btus it delivered to the plant.

Our natural gas processing services are provided at two types of plants, referred to as field and straddle plants. Field plants aggregate volumes from multiple producing wells into quantities that can be economically processed to extract NGLs and to remove water vapor, solids and other contaminants. Straddle plants are situated on mainline natural gas pipelines and allow operators to extract NGLs from a natural gas stream and replace the equivalent Btus with pipeline quality gas when the market value of NGLs separated from the natural gas stream is higher than the market value of the same unprocessed natural gas.

Fractionation. Liquids removed from natural gas at processing plants are generally in the form of a commingled stream of light liquid hydrocarbons (raw product). The commingled NGLs are separated at fractionation facilities into component products of ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline. Fractionation contracts typically include a base fee per gallon subject to adjustment for certain variable costs, such as energy consumed in operation. The Company has ownership interests in three stand-alone fractionation facilities: two in Mont Belvieu, Texas and one in Lake Charles, Louisiana. We operate the Louisiana facility and one of the Mont Belvieu facilities. During 2001, these facilities fractionated an aggregate average of 226 thousand gross barrels per day.

Transportation Operations. The Company has developed a NGL transportation and logistics infrastructure that is comprised of a wide range of transportation and distribution assets designed to satisfy the various delivery requirements of our distribution and marketing services business. In the United States, the Company owns over 9,700 miles of gas gathering and gas liquids pipelines, primarily in the North Texas, Gulf Coast and Permian Basin regions. We also have access to approximately 2,000 railcars through a services agreement with ChevronTexaco. We own and operate 88 tank trucks and 21 pressurized barges. These assets are

6

deployed to serve our wholesale distribution terminals, Texas Gulf Coast fractionators, underground storage facilities, pipeline injection terminals and many of the nation's crude oil refineries. The Company's large-scale marine

terminals are located in Mississippi, Texas, Florida, Tennessee and Louisiana, offering importers and wholesalers a variety of methods for transporting products to the marketplace. We control over 108 million barrels of underground liquids storage capacity in Texas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, providing customers with the ability to store, buy and sell specification products.

Distribution and Marketing Services. Dynegy's distribution and marketing services include: (1) refinery services, (2) wholesale propane marketing and (3) purchasing mixed NGLs and NGL products from NGL producers and other sources and selling such NGL products to petrochemical manufacturers, refineries and other marketing companies.

In connection with our refinery services operations, we purchase NGL products from refinery customers, such as Chevron U.S.A. Inc, and sell NGL products to various customers. The Company generally earns a margin in these operations by retaining a portion of the resale price or a fixed minimum fee per gallon. Approximately 15 percent of DMS' NGL purchases in 2001 were from Chevron U.S.A. Inc and approximately 12 percent were from another supplier. In 2001, the Company sold an average of 41 thousand barrels per day through this refinery services business.

Wholesale propane marketing operations include the sale of propane and related logistical services to major multi-state retailers, independent retailers and other end users. Our propane supply comes from our refinery services operations and from our other distribution and marketing operations. We generally sell propane at a fixed price based on the current market price established at Mont Belvieu or for the posted price at the time of delivery. In 2001, the Company sold an average of approximately 51 thousand barrels of propane per day.

We market our own NGL production and also purchase NGLs from other NGL producers and marketers for resale. Our distribution and marketing services business sold an average of 366 thousand barrels per day of NGLs in North America in 2001. The Company generally purchases NGL products from producers at a monthly pricing index less applicable fractionation, transportation and marketing fees and resells these products to petrochemical manufacturers, refineries and other marketing companies. In addition to margins that the Company earns from purchasing NGL products from producers pursuant to contract, we also earn a margin by purchasing and selling NGL products in the spot market and in the forward market.

Dynegy also markets LPG worldwide via use of chartered large-hull ships. In 2001, 100 thousand barrels per day of LPG were marketed by this business. These operations acquire and market product from producing areas in the North Sea, West Africa, Algeria and the Arabian Gulf as well as from the U.S. Gulf Coast region. Dynegy plans continued expansion of this international business including developing facilities in producing areas and growing downstream markets. We charter four VLGC (Very Large Gas Carriers) totaling more than 176,000 tons of capacity supporting our worldwide marketing activities. One newly built VLGC is committed to charter and is scheduled for September 2002 delivery.

In total, we sold approximately 557 thousand barrels per day of NGLs to approximately 770 customers (amounts are aggregate totals for marketing, wholesale and global operations). In 2001, approximately 23 percent of our NGL sales were made with ChevronTexaco or one of its affiliates and approximately 11 percent were to another customer.

Transmission & Distribution Segment

Our transmission and distribution segment consists of IP's operations acquired in the Illinova Corporation ("Illinova") acquisition in early 2000. IP

is based in Decatur, Illinois and provides retail electric and natural gas service to residential, commercial and industrial consumers in substantial portions of northern, central and southern Illinois. Electric transmission service also is supplied to numerous utilities, municipalities and power marketing entities.

7

IP supplies retail electric service to an estimated population of 1.4 million. Retail natural gas service is supplied by IP to a population of approximately 1 million people. IP holds franchises in all of the incorporated municipalities that it services. As of January 3, 2002, based on the number of billable meters, IP served over 588,000 active electric customers and over 412,000 active gas customers.

IP has seven underground gas storage fields having a total capacity of approximately 11.6 Bcf and a total deliverability on a peak day of approximately 289,000 Mcf. IP also has contracts with various natural gas pipelines for 5.1 Bcf of underground storage capacity, having total deliverability on a peak day of approximately 93,000 Mcf. Operation of underground storage permits IP to increase deliverability to its customers during peak load periods by extracting gas that was previously put into storage during the off-peak months.

IP owns an interconnected electric transmission system of approximately 2,600 circuit miles and a distribution system that includes approximately 37,000 circuit miles of overhead and underground lines. Additionally, IP owns 755 miles of gas transmission pipe and 7,543 miles of gas distribution pipe. All of these properties are located in Illinois.

Dynegy Global Communications Segment

DGC was established during the fourth quarter of 2000 to pursue and capture opportunities in the communications marketplace through opportunistic asset acquisitions and strategic partnerships. DGC has refined its strategic business model to include voice services, storage and government sales and is focused on controlling costs and capital expenditures until the recovery of the overall communications industry.

Dynegyconnect, L.P., DGC's North American subsidiary, completed one of the first optically switched mesh networks in the world in the fourth quarter of 2001. The network spans more than 16,000 route miles and reaches 45 of the largest cities in the United States.

In May 2001, DGC established its metro strategy with the announcement of a network services agreement with Telseon, Inc. to develop an 18 city all-optical network, capable of producing significant, scalable, high-bandwidth solutions among multiple points of presence ("POPs") in tier 1 metro markets. The metro strategy is complementary to Dynegyconnect's network and will provide DGC with access to approximately 80 POPs in select U.S. metro areas.

Dynegy Europe Communications ("DEC") was formed following the acquisition of iaxis, Limited, a privately held, London-based communications company, in March 2001. As a result of this acquisition, DEC acquired a fiber optic network that now reaches more than 26 cities in 11 countries. The European network is linked to the U.S. via a transatlantic connection between New York and London, providing seamless connectivity to DGC's customers throughout the U.S., the U.K. and Continental Europe.

DGC believes its network and metro strategy have unique cost advantages and

capability compared to its competitors. As a result, as the telecommunications industry rebounds, DGC believes it will be able to compete very effectively.

COMPETITION

Dynegy faces strong competition in the development of new electric generating plants, the acquisition of existing generating facilities and the marketing and transportation of energy commodities. The Company's primary competition is with merchant energy companies as well as entities vying for market share in the deregulating domestic electricity generating and marketing industries. The Company believes its primary competitors in this business consist of approximately 25 companies.

Dynegy's wholesale energy network competes with international, national and regional full-service energy providers, merchants, producers and pipelines for sales based on its ability to aggregate competitively priced

8

supplies from a variety of sources and locations and to utilize efficient transportation. Dynegy believes that technological advances in executing transactions will differentiate the competition in the near term. Operationally, Dynegy believes its ability to remain a low-cost merchant and to effectively combine value-added services, competitively priced supplies and price risk-management services will determine its level of success through its wholesale energy network.

Demand for power may be met by generation capacity based on several competing technologies, such as gas-fired or coal-fired generation and power generating facilities fueled by alternative energy sources including hydro power, synthetic fuels, solar, wind, wood, geothermal, waste heat, solid waste and nuclear sources. The Company's power generation business competes with other non-utility generators, regulated utilities, unregulated subsidiaries of regulated utilities and other energy service companies in the development and operation of energy-producing projects. The trend towards deregulation in the U.S. electric power industry has resulted in a highly competitive market for acquisition or development of domestic power generating facilities. As the nation's regulated utilities seek non-regulated investments and to the extent states continue to move toward retail electric competition, these trends can be expected to continue for the foreseeable future. However, recent events in the marketplace, including the California electricity crisis in late 2000 and the alleged manipulation of electricity prices by Enron and other wholesale electricity merchants, have caused some states to publicly reconsider their approach to deregulation, or to retreat from deregulation altogether.

The Company's NGL marketing businesses face significant and varied competitors, including major integrated oil companies, major pipeline companies and their marketing affiliates and national and local gas gatherers, processors, brokers, marketers and distributors of varying sizes and experience. The principal areas of competition include obtaining gas supplies for gathering and processing operations, obtaining supplies of raw product for fractionation, the marketing of NGLs, crude oil, residue gas, condensate and sulfur, and the transportation and storage of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. Competition typically is based on location and operating efficiency of facilities, reliability of services, delivery capabilities and price.

Competition has become a dominant issue for the electric utility industry in which IP operates. The Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") facilitated development of co-generators and independent power producers. Promotion of competition continued with the enactment of the Energy

Policy Act of 1992, which authorized the FERC to mandate wholesale wheeling of electricity by utilities at the request of certain authorized generating entities and electric service providers. Competition arises not only from co-generation or independent power production, but also from municipalities seeking to extend their service boundaries to include customers being served by utilities. Further competition may be facilitated by the adoption of state utility deregulation legislation, as has occurred in Illinois, or by federal regulatory action. The Company believes its primary competitors in this business consist of approximately 8 companies.

Dynegy's entrance into the communications industry also subjects Dynegy to competition with industry participants having substantial financial resources and significant industry expertise. Dynegy competes with a substantial number of communications companies, many of which have greater resources and/or focus only on one industry or a niche within a single industry.

REGULATION

The Company is subject to regulation by various federal, state, local and foreign agencies, including the regulations described below.

Natural Gas Regulation. The transportation (including storage) and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce is subject to regulation by the FERC under the Natural Gas Act of 1938, as amended ("NGA"), and, to a lesser extent, the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended ("NGPA"). The rates charged by interstate pipelines for interstate transportation and storage services, and the terms and conditions for

9

provision of such services, are regulated by the FERC, which generally also must approve any changes to these rates or terms and conditions prior to their implementation. The FERC also has jurisdiction over, among other things, the construction and operation of pipeline and related facilities used in the transportation and storage of natural gas in interstate commerce, including the extension, expansion, acquisition, disposition, or abandonment of such facilities; maintenance of accounts and records; depreciation and amortization policies; and transactions with and conduct of interstate pipelines relating to affiliates. Venice Gathering System is a regulated interstate pipeline.

Commencing in 1992, the FERC issued Order No. 636 and subsequent orders (collectively, "Order No. 636"), which require interstate pipelines to provide transportation separate, or "unbundled," from the pipelines' sales of gas. Also, Order No. 636 requires pipelines to provide open-access transportation on a basis that is equal for all shippers. The FERC intends for Order No. 636 to foster increased competition within all phases of the natural gas industry. Prior to its acquisition of Venice Gathering System, Order No. 636 did not directly regulate any of Dynegy's activities; however, like other interstate pipelines, Venice Gathering System must comply with FERC's open-access transportation regulations. The implementation of these orders has not had a material adverse effect on Dynegy's results of operations. The courts have largely affirmed the significant features of Order No. 636 and numerous related orders pertaining to the individual pipelines, although certain appeals remain pending and the FERC continues to review and modify its open-access regulations.

In 2000, the FERC issued Order No. 637 and subsequent orders (collectively, "Order No. 637"), which imposed a number of additional reforms designed to enhance competition in natural gas markets. Among other things, Order No. 637 revised the FERC pricing policy by waiving price ceilings for short-term released interstate pipeline transportation capacity for a two-year period, and

effected changes in FERC regulations relating to interstate transportation scheduling procedures, capacity segmentation, pipeline penalties, rights of first refusal and information reporting. Most major aspects of Order No. 637 are pending judicial review. It is uncertain whether and to what extent FERC's market reforms will survive judicial review and, if so, whether the FERC's actions will achieve the goal of further increasing competition in natural gas markets.

The FERC recently proposed to expand its existing rules governing the conduct of interstate pipelines and their marketing affiliates to include all energy affiliates. If adopted, the proposed rule would, among other things, preclude the exchange of transportation related information among an interstate pipeline and any of its energy affiliates. The FERC has stated that one purpose of the proposal is to allow pipeline affiliates and non-affiliates to compete in energy markets on an even basis. It is uncertain whether or when the FERC may adopt the proposed rule, or the extent to which it may affect the cost or other aspects of Dynegy's operations; however, Dynegy does not anticipate that its regulated transmission providers and their energy affiliates will be impacted any differently than other similar industry participants.

Pursuant to the NGPA and the Wellhead Decontrol Act of 1989, most sales of natural gas are no longer subject to price controls. However, the FERC retains jurisdiction over certain sales made by interstate pipelines or their affiliates, such as Dynegy has become with its recent acquisitions. The FERC has authorized such sales to be made at unregulated prices, terms and conditions. While sales of natural gas can be made at market prices, and upon unregulated terms and conditions, there is no assurance that such regulatory treatment will continue indefinitely in the future. Congress or, as to sales remaining subject to its jurisdiction, the FERC, could re-enact price controls or other regulation in the future.

Certain federal and provincial regulatory authorities require parties to transactions involving natural gas exports to hold export or removal permits. The Company's indirect wholly owned Canadian subsidiary, Dynegy Canada Inc., holds various Canadian and U.S. permits for such purposes. In the United Kingdom, the natural gas business is subject to regulation by the Office of Gas Supply.

Gas Processing. Dynegy's gas processing operations could become subject to FERC regulation. The FERC has traditionally maintained that a processing plant used primarily for removal of NGLs for economic

10

purposes is not a facility for transportation or sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce, and therefore, is not subject to jurisdiction under the NGA. However, the FERC considers a processing plant used primarily for purposes related to transportation safety and efficiency to be subject to such regulation. Dynegy believes its gas processing plants are primarily involved in removing NGLs for economic purposes and, therefore, are exempt from FERC jurisdiction. Nevertheless, the FERC has made no specific finding as to Dynegy's gas processing plants. In addition, certain facilities downstream of processing plants are being considered for use in transporting gas between pipelines, which may invoke FERC's jurisdiction. Such jurisdiction likely would apply to the downstream facility as a pipeline, however, and not to the plants themselves.

Liquified Natural Gas (LNG) Terminals. LNG terminals operating in interstate commerce are subject to FERC jurisdiction and regulation of rates, terms and conditions of service such as is described above concerning

interstate natural gas transportation and storage. Dynegy is in the process of securing approvals to construct such a facility in Louisiana.

Gas Gathering. The NGA exempts gas gathering facilities from the jurisdiction of the FERC, while interstate transmission facilities remain subject to FERC jurisdiction, as described above. Dynegy believes its gathering facilities and operations meet the tests used by the FERC to determine nonjurisdictional gathering facility status, although the FERC's articulation and application of such tests have varied over time. Nevertheless, the FERC has made no specific findings as to the exempt status of any of Dynegy's facilities. No assurance can be given that all of Dynegy's gas gathering facilities will remain classified as such and, therefore, remain exempt from FERC regulation. Some states regulate gathering facilities to varying degrees; generally rates are not regulated.

Electricity Marketing Regulation. The Company's electricity marketing operations are regulated by the Federal Power Act ("FPA") and the FERC with respect to rates, terms and conditions of services and various reporting requirements. FERC policies permit trading and marketing entities to market electricity at market-based rates. While FERC has affirmed its desire to move toward competitive markets with market-based pricing, it is reviewing the specifics of implementing this policy. For further discussion, please see "Power Generation Regulation" below.

In December 1999, the FERC issued Order No. 2000, which addressed a number of issues relating to the regional transmission of electricity. In particular, Order No. 2000 provided for regional transmission organizations ("RTOs") to control the transmission facilities within a particular region. After a period of progress toward voluntary creation of RTOs as envisioned by the FERC, activity has slowed due to uncertainty concerning required standards and structures for such entities. Recently, the FERC commenced proceedings designed to result in the adoption of generally standardized market terms and conditions governing interstate transmission and RTO operation, including generic standards and procedures for the interconnection of generation to the transmission grid. The FERC plans to propose new rules respecting these matters this year and has directed electric industry participants to establish a single organization to assist with the development of business practices and protocols that will be needed to implement such standardized terms and conditions. It is uncertain what rules the FERC may adopt as the result of these proceedings. The impact of these RTOs on the Company's electricity marketing operations cannot be predicted. (For further discussion, please see "Illinois Power Company" below.)

Power Generation Regulation. The Company's generation assets include projects that are Exempt Wholesale Generators ("EWGs") or qualifying facilities ("QFs"). One form of EWG is a merchant plant, which operates independently from designated power purchasers and as a result will generate and sell power to market when electricity sales prices exceed the cost of production. A QF typically sells the power it generates to a single power purchaser.

The FPA grants the FERC exclusive ratemaking jurisdiction over wholesale sales of electricity in interstate commerce. The Company's power generation operations also are subject to regulation by the FERC under

11

PURPA with respect to rates, the procurement and provision of certain services and operating standards. Although facilities deemed QFs under PURPA are exempt from ratemaking and other provisions of the FPA, non-QF independent power projects and certain power marketing activities are subject to the FPA and the

FERC's ratemaking jurisdiction, as well as the Public Utilities Holding Company Act of 1935 ("PUHCA") and the Energy Policy Act of 1992. All of the projects owned or operated by Dynegy as QFs are qualifying facilities and, as such, under PURPA are exempt from the ratemaking and other provisions of the FPA. Dynegy's EWGs, which are not QFs, have been granted market-based rate authority and comply with the FPA requirements governing approval of wholesale rates and subsequent transfers of ownership interests in such projects.

In some markets where Dynegy owns generation facilities, specifically California and New York, the FERC has from time to time approved and subsequently extended temporary price caps on wholesale power sales, or other market mitigation measures. Due to concerns over potential short supply and high prices in the summer of 2001, the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("NYISO"), the FERC-approved operator of electric transmission facilities and centralized electric markets in New York, filed an Automated Mitigation Procedure ("AMP") proposal with the FERC. The AMP caps bid prices based on the cost characteristics of generating facilities in New York, such as those owned or operated by the Company. In an order issued on June 28, 2001, the FERC accepted the AMP proposal for the summer of 2001. In a subsequent order issued on November 27, 2001, the FERC extended the AMP through April 30, 2002. The AMP may be further extended in the future.

Price volatility and other market dislocations in the California market have precipitated a number of FERC actions related to the California market, in addition to price caps and market mitigation measures. These include an investigation of gas pipeline marketing affiliate abuse in the region, proceedings regarding whether, and to what extent, price refunds are owed by wholesale electricity suppliers serving the state, and complaints requesting the FERC to reform or void various long-term power sales contracts. Recently, as a prelude to possible initiation of a new complaint proceeding, the FERC began investigating whether any entity has manipulated prices for electricity or natural gas in the West, since January 1, 2000, possibly resulting in unjust and unreasonable prices under long-term power sales contracts entered into since that time. Additional matters in California are discussed below under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—California Market/West Coast Power."

On November 20, 2001, the FERC issued an order that would subject the sales of all entities with market-based rate tariffs to "refunds or other remedies" in the event the seller engages in "anticompetitive behavior or the exercise of market power." The FERC has postponed the effectiveness of this refund condition pending its consideration of comments submitted by interested parties. Dynegy and other similarly-situated generators and power marketers have submitted comments in opposition to the proposed refund condition. It is uncertain how the FERC will act respecting this matter. If the FERC were to establish the broad refund condition proposed, it would increase the risk inherent in electric marketing activities for all wholesale sellers of electricity, including Dynegy. Establishment of the proposed refund condition, together with a finding that Dynegy engaged in any of the specified activities, also could require Dynegy to refund some of the electricity payments it has collected or reduce the amount it is owed for electricity.

State Regulatory Reforms. The Company's domestic gas and power marketing and power generation businesses are subject to various regulations from the states in which they operate. Proposed reforms to these regulations are proceeding in several states, including California, the results of which could affect the Company's operations.

Illinois Power Company. IP is an electric utility as defined in PUHCA. Its direct parent company, Illinova, and Dynegy are holding companies as defined in PUHCA. However, each of Illinova and Dynegy generally are exempt from regulation under section 3(a)(1) of PUHCA. They remain subject to regulation

under PUHCA with respect to the acquisition of certain voting securities of other domestic public utility companies and utility holding companies.

12

IP also is subject to regulation by the FERC under the FPA as to transmission rates, terms and conditions of service, the acquisition and disposition of transmission facilities and other matters. The FERC has declared IP exempt from the NGA and related FERC orders, rules and regulations.

IP is further subject to regulation by the State of Illinois and the Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC"). The Illinois Public Utilities Act was significantly modified in December 1997 by the Electric Service Customer Choice and Rate Relief Law of 1997, or P.A. 90-561, but the ICC still has broad powers of supervision and regulation with respect to rates and charges and various other matters. Under P.A. 90-561, IP must continue to provide bundled retail electric services to all who choose to continue to take service at tariff rates and must provide unbundled electric distribution services to all eligible customers as defined by P.A. 90-561 at rates determined by the ICC.

In January 1998, IP, in conjunction with eight other transmission-owning entities, filed with the FERC for all approvals necessary to create and to implement the Midwest Independent System Operator ("MISO"). IP subsequently withdrew from the MISO to join several transmission owners (the "Alliance Companies") proposing to form the Alliance RTO. As proposed, the Alliance RTO would function as an RTO with National Grid, USA ("National Grid") as the managing member for a period of seven years.

In an order issued on December 20, 2001, the FERC reversed its previous findings, stated that it would not approve the Alliance RTO, and directed the Alliance Companies to explore how their business plan could be accommodated with the MISO or another RTO. Discussions continue with both MISO and another party, but no agreement has been reached. The Alliance Companies, including IP, continue to consider other opportunities for participation in an RTO. Any RTO in which IP ultimately participates will be subject to the outcome of the FERC's proceedings on standardized market terms and conditions.

IP's retail natural gas sales also are regulated by the ICC. Such sales are priced under a purchased gas adjustment mechanism under which IP's gas purchase costs are passed through to its customers if such costs are determined prudent.

Pending Legislation. The U.S. Congress has before it a number of bills that could impact regulations applied to Dynegy and its subsidiaries. These include bills that would repeal PUHCA and portions of PURPA and that would affect FERC's regulatory authority over energy marketing, generation and trading. Recent market events, including the California electricity crisis in late 2000 and the alleged manipulation of electricity prices by wholesale electricity merchants, have prompted questions about the wisdom of PUHCA repeal and whether more stringent regulation may be needed. The outcome of these bills and the effects that they might have cannot be predicted with certainty.

Foreign Regulation. Dynegy Europe Limited acquired BG Storage Limited in the fourth quarter of 2001 and, as a result, now owns and operates the Rough and Hornsea gas storage facilities in the U.K. In connection with that acquisition, Dynegy Europe Limited gave statutory undertakings to the Secretary of State for Trade and Industry in the U.K. under the Fair Trading Act of 1973. The key aspects of these legislatively required undertakings, which relate to the operation of the storage assets acquired and expire on April 30, 2004, are as follows:

- (1) Dynegy Storage Limited, the Dynegy Europe Limited subsidiary that operates the assets, will ensure that the maximum physical capacity of the facilities is made available to the market on non-discriminatory terms and that 20% of the capacity is sold on one-year terms;
- (2) Unless otherwise approved by the regulator, the capacity must be sold in standard bundled units;
- (3) Where there remains any unsold capacity 30 days before the beginning of a storage year (May 1 to April 30), this capacity must be sold by auction under prescribed procedures and standard contracts approved by the regulator (although prior to such time, Dynegy Storage Limited may sell the capacity in its sole discretion); and

13

(4) Dynegy Storage Limited must maintain a robust financial information and systems separation (and separate accounts) of the storage business from other commercial activities.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER MATTERS

General. Dynegy's operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local statutes, rules and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. In addition, development of projects in international markets creates exposure to and obligations under the national, provincial and local laws of each host country, including environmental standards and requirements imposed by these governments. Environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. Many environmental laws require permits from governmental authorities before construction on a project may be commenced or before wastes or other materials may be discharged into the environment. The process for obtaining necessary permits can be lengthy and complex, and can sometimes result in the establishment of permit conditions that make the project or activity for which the permit was sought either unprofitable or otherwise unattractive. Even where permits are not required, compliance with environmental laws and regulations can require significant capital and operating expenditures, and the Company may be required to incur costs to remediate contamination from past releases of wastes into the environment. Failure to comply with these statutes, rules and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and even criminal penalties. Furthermore, the failure to obtain or renew an environmental permit could prevent operation of one or more Dynegy facilities.

In general, the construction and operation of our facilities are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing the siting of energy facilities, the discharge of pollutants and other materials into the environment, the protection of wetlands, endangered species, and other natural resources, the control and abatement of noise and other similar requirements. A variety of permits are typically required before construction of a project commences, and additional permits are typically required for facility operation.

Environmental Expenditures. Dynegy's aggregate expenditures for compliance with laws and regulations related to the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise related to the protection of the environment were approximately \$81 million in 2001, compared to approximately \$121 million in 2000. The 2000 costs exceeded 2001 costs due in large part to expenditures by the Company to bring certain power generation turbines into compliance with new environmental regulations ahead of the dates when such compliance was actually

required. The Company estimates that total environmental expenditures (both capital and operating) in 2002 will be approximately \$103 million. A substantial majority of the Company's environmental expenditures relate to the federal Clean Air Act ("CAA") and comparable state laws and regulations. Management does not expect capital spending on environmental matters to increase materially over the near term; however, changes in environmental regulations or the outcome of litigation could result in additional legal requirements that would necessitate increased spending. Please read "--The Clean Air Act" below for a discussion of the litigation brought by the Environmental Protection Agency against two Dynegy affiliates relating to activities at its Baldwin generating station in Illinois.

The Clean Air Act. The CAA and comparable state laws and regulations relating to air emissions impose responsibilities on owners and operators of sources of air emissions, including requirements to obtain construction and operating permits and annual compliance and reporting obligations. Although the impact of air quality regulations cannot be predicted with certainty, these regulations are expected to become increasingly stringent, particularly for electric power generating facilities. CAA requirements include the following:

. The CAA Amendments of 1990 required a two-phase reduction by electric utilities in emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide by 2000 as part of an overall plan to reduce acid rain in the eastern United States. Installation of control equipment and changes in fuel mix and operating practices have been completed to comply with the emission reduction requirements of the acid rain provision of the CAA Amendment of 1990.

14

- . In October 1998, the EPA issued a final rule on regional ozone control that required 22 eastern states and the District of Columbia to revise their State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to significantly reduce emissions of nitrogen oxide. The compliance deadline for implementation of these emission reductions is May 31, 2004. In January 2000, the EPA finalized another ozone-related rule under Section 126 of the CAA that has similar emission control requirements. Installation of the necessary emission control equipment may involve large technical, design and construction projects that require significant time or expense for completion.
- . Significant reductions in air emissions from Dynegy's facilities could be required if the U.S. Congress adopts legislation requiring additional reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and mercury as outlined in various multi-pollutants proposals. Some of these proposals also include reductions in carbon dioxide and other "greenhouse gases" that allegedly contribute to global warming. The emissions reductions contemplated by these initiatives, if they are enacted, could eventually require significant capital expenditures for new pollution control equipment, but the adoption of emission reduction requirements pursuant to any of the various pending proposals is highly uncertain. Although the impact of possible future environmental requirements cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty, any expenditures that are ultimately required are not anticipated to have a more significant effect on Dynegy's operations or financial condition than on any similarly situated company that generates electricity through the burning of fossil fuels.
- . The EPA and the Department of Justice ("DOJ") filed complaints against IP and DMG, alleging Clean Air Act violations, which are described in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 11. In general, these allegations involve whether repair, maintenance, and replacement projects at the Baldwin facility required permitting under the Clean Air

Act. The EPA has the authority to seek penalties for the alleged violations at the rate of up to \$27,500 per day for each violation. The EPA may also seek to require installation of the "best available control technology" at the Baldwin facility and possibly other Company plants. An adverse ruling could impose liability on Dynegy, as well as increase the costs of ongoing operations to Dynegy. In the opinion of management, although significant capital expenditures could be required, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

Remedial Laws. The Company is also subject to environmental remediation requirements, including provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA" or "Superfund") and the corrective action provisions of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and similar state laws. The Superfund law imposes liability, regardless of fault or the legality of the original conduct, on persons that contributed to the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include the current or previous owner and operator of a facility and companies that disposed, or arranged for the disposal, of the hazardous substance found at a facility. CERCLA also authorizes the EPA and, in some cases, private parties to take actions in response to threats to public health or the environment and to seek recovery for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released and for damages to natural resources from such responsible party. Further, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other affected parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. RCRA applies to facilities that have been used to manage or are managing hazardous waste and which are either still in operation or have recently been closed. RCRA also requires facilities to remedy any releases of hazardous wastes or hazardous waste constituents at waste treatment, storage or disposal facilities. CERCLA or RCRA could impose remedial obligations at a variety of Dynegy facilities, including our electric power generating plants and NGL facilities.

IP previously operated more than two dozen sites at which synthetic gas was manufactured from coal. Operation of these manufactured gas plant ("MGP") sites was generally discontinued in the 1950s when natural gas became available from interstate gas transmission pipelines. Many of these MGP sites were contaminated with residues from the gas manufacturing process and remediation of this historic contamination may be required under CERCLA or RCRA or analogous state laws.

15

Pipeline Safety. In addition to environmental regulatory issues, the design, construction, operation and maintenance of the Company's pipeline facilities are subject to the safety regulations established by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") pursuant to the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act ("NGPSA") and the Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act ("HLPSA"), or by state regulations meeting the requirements of the NGPSA and the HLPSA, or to similar statutes, rules and regulations in Canada or other jurisdictions. In December 2000, DOT adopted new regulations requiring operators of interstate pipelines to develop and follow an integrity management program that provides for continual assessment of the integrity of all pipeline segments that could affect so-called "high consequence" environmental impact areas, through periodic internal inspection, pressure testing, or other equally effective assessment means. An operator's program to comply with the new rule must also provide for periodically evaluating the pipeline segments through comprehensive information analysis, remediating potential problems found

through the required assessment and evaluation, and assuring additional protection for the high consequence segments through preventative and mitigative measures. The requirements of this new DOT rule could increase the costs of pipeline operations.

Health and Safety. The Company's operations are subject to the requirements of the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA") and other comparable federal, state and provincial statutes. The OSHA hazard communication standard, the EPA community right-to-know regulations under Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorized Act and similar state statutes require that information be organized and maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in the Company's operations. Certain of this information must be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. The Company believes it is in substantial compliance, and expects to continue to comply in all material respects, with these rules and regulations.

Other Environmental Issues. Dynegy is also subject to a variety of other environmental laws, such as the Clean Water Act, which regulates facilities that discharge wastewater into the environment. Under the Clean Water Act and analogous state laws, permits are required for the discharge of any pollutant, including heat, into any regulated body of water. Failure to obtain or renew any environmental permit in a timely manner or the loss of a permit due to legal challenge could require a cessation of operations that involve discharges of pollutants or other materials into the environment.

Subject to resolution of the complaints filed by the EPA and the DOJ against IP and Dynegy Midwest Generation, which are described in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 11, management believes that it is in substantial compliance with, and is expected to continue to comply in all material respects with, applicable environmental statutes, regulations, orders and rules. Further, to management's knowledge, other than the previously referenced complaints, there are no existing, pending or threatened actions, suits, investigations, inquiries, proceedings or clean-up obligations by any governmental authority or third party relating to any violations of any environmental laws with respect to the Company's assets or pertaining to any indemnification obligations with respect to properties previously owned or operated by the Company, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations and financial condition.

OPERATIONAL RISKS AND INSURANCE

Dynegy is subject to all risks inherent in the various businesses in which it operates. These risks include, but are not limited to, explosions, fires, terrorist attacks and product spillage, which could result in damage to or destruction of operating assets and other property, or could result in personal injury, loss of life or pollution of the environment, as well as curtailment or suspension of operations at the affected facility. Dynegy maintains general public liability, property and business interruption insurance in amounts that it considers to be adequate for such risks. Such insurance is subject to deductibles that the Company considers reasonable and not excessive. The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured or indemnified against, or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations, could materially and adversely affect Dynegy's operations and financial condition. In addition, the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the changes in the insurance markets attributable to those attacks may make some types of insurance more difficult to obtain. We may be unable to secure the levels

11/th/. No assurance can be given that Dynegy will be able to maintain insurance in the future at rates it considers reasonable.

SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMER

For the year ended December 31, 2001, approximately 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues were derived from transactions with Enron Corp. and its affiliates. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2001, the Company had approximately 3,019 employees at its administrative offices and approximately 3,120 employees at its operating facilities. Approximately 1,880 employees at Company-operated facilities are subject to collective bargaining agreements with one of the following unions: Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical & Workers International Union; International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; Laborers International Union of North America; United Association of Journeymen Plumbers and Gas Fitters; International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers; and Communications, Energy and Paperworkers Union. Management believes that its relations with employees of the Company are satisfactory.

17

DYNEGY INC.

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's Class A common stock, no par value per share (the "Class A common stock"), is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "DYN". The number of stockholders of record of the Class A common stock as of March 4, 2002, based upon records of registered holders maintained by the Company's transfer agent, was 23,408.

The Company's Class B common stock, no par value per share, is neither listed nor traded on any exchange. All of the shares of Class B common stock are owned by Chevron U.S.A. Inc.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Class A common stock for each full quarterly period during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape, and related dividends paid per share during such periods. Such prices and dividends paid are adjusted for a two-for-one stock split on August 22, 2000. Such prices and dividends paid per share prior to February 1, 2000 are adjusted for the 0.69-to-one exchange ratio used in the Illinova acquisition.

Summary of Dynegy's Common Stock Price and Dividend Payments

High	Low	Dividend

2001:

Fourth Quarter. \$46.94 \$20.90 \$0.075 Third Quarter. 48.24 31.27 0.075

Second Quarter. 57.95 42.00 0.075 First Quarter. 53.15 39.25 0.075 2000: Fourth Quarter. \$56.63 \$43.31 \$0.075 Third Quarter. 57.58 34.76 0.075 Second Quarter. 39.94 27.63 0.075 First Quarter. 31.37 17.30 0.022

Dynegy intends to continue to pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per common share, subject to the availability of funds legally available therefor and declaration by the Board of Directors.

In July 2001, the Company established the Dynegy Short-Term Executive Stock Purchase Loan Program. Under this program, the Company may loan eligible employees funds to acquire Class A common stock through market purchases. The related notes have a two-year maturity, bear interest at the greater of 5 percent or the Applicable Federal Rate as of the loan date and are full recourse to the participants. At December 31, 2001, the Company had outstanding approximately \$13 million of loans pursuant to this program.

In December 2001, ten members of the Company's senior management purchased approximately 1.2 million shares of Class A common stock in a private placement transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. These officers received loans from the Company to purchase the common stock at \$19.75 per share, the same price as the net proceeds to the Company in the December 2001 public offering. The officers paid for the shares by entering into promissory notes with the Company for an initial term of 60 days. The maturity date of the notes was extended for an additional 30 days with the approval of the Board of Directors. These loans bear interest at a rate of 3.25 percent and are full recourse to the borrowers. The Company recognized compensation expense in 2001 of approximately \$1.2 million related to the shares purchased by these officers. This amount,

18

which was recorded as "General and Administrative Expense," is derived from the \$1.00 per share discount these officers received based on the initial public offering price of \$20.75 per share.

SHAREHOLDER AGREEMENT

In June 1999, Chevron U.S.A. Inc. ("Chevron"), now a subsidiary of ChevronTexaco Corporation, entered into a shareholder agreement with Dynegy governing certain aspects of their relationship, the material provisions of which are discussed below. The agreement was executed in February 2000 upon closing of the Illinova acquisition and reflected agreements negotiated between Dynegy and Chevron relating to Chevron's significant ownership interest in Dynegy. The agreement amended certain of the rights and obligations previously agreed between Dynegy and Chevron at the time of Chevron's initial investment in Dynegy in 1996. Before the Illinova acquisition, Chevron owned 38,789,876 shares of Dynegy common stock and 7,815,363 shares of Dynegy preferred stock. In connection with the Illinova acquisition, Chevron exchanged its common stock and preferred stock and paid \$200 million in return for an aggregate of 40,521,250 shares of Dynegy's Class B common stock.

The shareholder agreement grants Chevron preemptive rights to acquire shares of Dynegy common stock in proportion to its then-existing interest in the equity value of Dynegy whenever Dynegy issues any equity securities, including securities issued pursuant to employee benefit plans. In addition, Chevron and

its affiliates may acquire up to 40 percent of the total combined voting power of Dynegy's outstanding voting securities without restriction in the shareholder agreement. If Chevron or its affiliates wish to acquire more than 40 percent of the total combined voting power of Dynegy's outstanding voting securities, the shareholder agreement requires Chevron to make an offer to acquire all of the outstanding voting securities of Dynegy for cash or freely tradable securities listed on a national securities exchange. Any offer by Chevron and its affiliates for all of Dynegy's outstanding voting securities would be subject to the auction procedures outlined in the agreement.

Chevron's ownership of Class B common stock entitles it to designate three members of Dynegy's Board of Directors. The shareholder agreement prohibits Chevron from selling or transferring shares of Class B common stock except in the following transactions:

- . in a widely-dispersed public offering;
- an unsolicited sale to a third party, provided that Dynegy or its designee is given the opportunity to purchase the shares proposed to be sold by Chevron; or
- . a solicited sale to an acceptable third party, provided that if Dynegy advises Chevron that the sale to a third party is not acceptable, Dynegy must purchase all of the offered shares for cash at a purchase price equal to 105% of the third party offer.

Upon the sale or transfer to any person other than an affiliate of Chevron, the shares of Class B common stock automatically convert into shares of Class A common stock.

The shareholder agreement further provides that Dynegy may require Chevron and its affiliates to sell all of the shares of Class B common stock under specified circumstances. These rights are triggered if Chevron or its Board designees block—which they are entitled to do under Dynegy's Bylaws—any of the following transactions two times in any 24-month period or three times over any period of time:

- the issuance of new shares of stock where the aggregate consideration to be received exceeds the greater of \$1 billion or one-quarter of Dynegy's total market capitalization;
- any disposition of all or substantially all of Dynegy's liquids business or gas marketing business while substantial agreements between Chevron and Dynegy exist (except for a contribution of such liquids business to an entity in which Dynegy has a majority direct or indirect interest);
- . any merger, consolidation, joint venture, liquidation, dissolution, bankruptcy, acquisition of stock or assets, or issuance of common or preferred stock, any of which would result in payment or receipt of

19

- consideration having a fair market value exceeding the greater of \$1 billion or one-quarter of Dynegy's total market capitalization; or
- any other material transaction or series of related transactions which would result in the payment or receipt of consideration having a fair market value exceeding the greater of \$1 billion or one-quarter of Dynegy's total market capitalization.

However, upon occurrence of one of these triggering events and in lieu of selling Class B common stock, Chevron may elect to retain the shares of Class B common stock but forfeit its right and the right of its Board designees to block the transaction listed above. A block consists of a vote against a proposed transaction by either (a) all of Chevron's representatives on the Board of Directors present at the meeting where the vote is taken (if the transaction would otherwise be approved by the Board of Directors) or (b) any of the Class B common stock held by Chevron and its affiliates if the transaction otherwise would be approved by at least two-thirds of all other shares entitled to vote on the transaction, excluding shares held by management, directors or subsidiaries of Dynegy.

The shareholder agreement also prohibits Dynegy from taking the following actions:

- issuing any shares of Class B common stock to any person other than Chevron and its affiliates;
- . amending any provisions in Dynegy's Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws which, in each case, contain or implement the special rights of holders of Class B common stock, without the consent of the holders of the shares of Class B common stock or the three directors elected by such holders;
- adopting a shareholder rights plan, "poison pill" or similar device that prevents Chevron from exercising its rights to acquire shares of common stock or from disposing of its shares when required by Dynegy; and
- acquiring, owning or operating a nuclear power facility, other than being a passive investor in a publicly-traded company that owns a nuclear facility.

Generally, the provisions of the shareholder agreement terminate on the date Chevron and its affiliates cease to own shares representing at least 15 percent of the outstanding voting power of Dynegy. At such time all of the shares of Class B common stock held by Chevron would convert to shares of Class A common stock.

20

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial information presented below was derived from, and is qualified by reference to, the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company, including the Notes thereto, contained elsewhere herein. The selected financial information should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Earnings (loss) per share ("EPS"), shares outstanding for EPS calculation and cash dividends per common share are adjusted for a two-for-one stock split on August 22, 2000 and, for all periods prior to February 1, 2000, for the 0.69-to-one exchange ratio in the Illinova acquisition.

As discussed in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been restated to correct certain errors and to reflect other adjustments arising since the date of the Original Filing. Please read the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about these restatements. The selected financial data that follows has been adjusted to reflect these restatements.

Dynegy's Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended December 31,									
	2001 2000			1999		1998		199		
					, ∈		pe:	r share	da	ata)
Statement of Operations Data/(1)(4)/:										
Revenues	\$4	12,613	\$2	9,327	\$1	5,410	\$	14,258	\$1	L3,3
General and administrative expenses		547		345		219		186		1
Depreciation and amortization expense		488		393		115		113		1
Asset impairment, abandonment and other charges								10		2
Operating income (loss)		802		787		226		65		(1
Net income (loss)	\$	406	\$	436	\$	137	\$	53	\$	(1
Earnings (loss) per diluted share	\$	1.07	\$	1.27	\$	0.60	\$	0.23	\$	(0.
Shares outstanding for diluted EPS calculation		340		315		230		227		2
Cash dividends per common share	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	0.04	\$	0.04	\$	0.
Cash Flow Data:										
Cash flows from operating activities	\$	550	\$	420	\$	40	\$	251	\$	2
Cash flows from investing activities	((3,828)	(1,539)		(391))	(295)		(5
Cash flows from financing activities		3,450		1,131		399		50		2
Cash dividends or distributions to partners, net		(98)		(112)		(8))	(8)		
Capital expenditures, acquisitions and investments/(3)/								(478)		(1,0

2	1
/.	- 1

		Dece	ember 31	•	
	2001	2000	1999		1997
		(\$ in	millior estated)	ns)	
Balance Sheet Data/(2)(4)/:					
Current assets	\$ 8,956	\$10,827	\$2,658	\$2,117	\$2,019
Current liabilities	8,538	10,286	2,467	2,026	1,753
Property and equipment, net	9,201	7,081	2,090	1,932	1,522
Total assets	25 , 168	22,662	6,451	5,264	4,517
Long-term debt	4,500	3,151	1,372	953	1,002
Transitional funding notes	516	603			
Non-recourse debt			35	94	
Serial preferred securities of a subsidiary	46	46			
Company obligated preferred securities of subsidiary trust	200	300	200	200	200
Series B convertible preferred securities/(5)/	882				
Minority interest/(6)/	1,040	1,022			
Capital leases	44	15			
Total equity	4,937	3,441	1,165	1,073	1,019

- /(1) / The following acquisitions were accounted for in accordance with the
 purchase method of accounting and the results of operations attributable
 to the acquired businesses are included in the Company's financial
 statements and operating statistics beginning on the acquisitions'
 effective date for accounting purposes:
 - . BG Storage Limited ("BGSL") -- December 1, 2001;
 - . iaxis, Limited ("iaxis") -- March 1, 2001;
 - . Extant, Inc. ("Extant") -- October 1, 2000;
 - . Illinova Corporation ("Illinova") -- January 1, 2000; and
 - Destec Energy, Inc. ("Destec") -- July 1, 1997.
- /(2)/ The BGSL, iaxis, Extant, Illinova, and Destec acquisitions were each accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the effective dates of each transaction. See footnote 1 above for respective effective dates.
- /(3)/ Includes the value assigned to the assets acquired in various business and asset acquisitions. Also includes expenditures associated with the leased assets brought onto the Company's balance sheet as a result of the restatement items described in the Explanatory Note to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The 1997 amount is before reduction for value received upon sale of Destec's foreign and non-strategic assets of approximately \$735 million.
- /(4) /As described elsewhere in this report, the financial statements contained herein have been restated to reflect various items. Approximately \$55 million of the restatement items relate to periods prior to 1999, the most significant of which is related to the re-allocation of an \$80 million after-tax charge (previously recognized in the second quarter 2002) associated with the Company's natural gas marketing business. For purposes of this Selected Financial Data table, we have included the entire \$55 million in the balance sheet, statement of operations, cash flow and other financial data for the year ended December 31, 1998. Management does not believe that the failure to allocate this \$55 million charge to periods prior to 1999 is material to the presentation of the Company's financial results, known material trends or contingencies in the Company's business.
- /(5)/ Amount equals the \$1.5 billion in proceeds related to the Series B convertible preferred securities less the \$660 million implied dividend recognized in connection with the beneficial conversion option plus the \$42 million in accretion of the implied dividend through December 31, 2001.
- /(6)/ Includes amounts relating to the Catlin transaction discussed under
 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results
 of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources--Financing Trigger Events"
 below.

22

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy" or the "Company") and the notes thereto included herein. As discussed in the Introductory Note to this Form 10-K/A, the financial information contained in this report has been revised to reflect the restatement items described in the Explanatory Note to

the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. These restatement items include adjustments previously announced by Dynegy in its Current Reports on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2002 and January 31, 2003 and in Amendment No. 1 to its Form 10-K, which was filed on February 14, 2003, as well as further adjustments as described in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. Dynegy's shelf registration statement filed on March 25, 2002 and the documents incorporated by reference therein, including this Form $10-{\rm K/A}$, remain subject to an ongoing review by the SEC Division of Corporation Finance.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 10-K/A, INCLUDING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO, DOES NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER MARCH 13, 2002 (THE DATE ON WHICH DYNEGY FILED ITS 2001 FORM 10-K). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ THE COMPANY'S EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE MARCH 13, 2002, INCLUDING ITS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002. SEE NOTE 19-SUBSEQUENT EVENTS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

Dynegy is one of the world's leading energy merchants. Through its global energy delivery network and marketing, logistics and risk-management capabilities, the Company provides innovative solutions to customers in North America, the United Kingdom and Continental Europe. Dynegy's operations are reported in four segments: Wholesale Energy Network ("WEN"), Dynegy Midstream Services ("DMS"), Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") and Dynegy Global Communications ("DGC"). WEN is engaged in the physical supply of and risk-management activities around wholesale natural gas, power, coal and other similar products. This segment is focused on optimizing the Company's and its customers' global portfolio of energy assets and contracts, as well as direct commercial and industrial sales and retail marketing alliances. DMS consists of the Company's North American midstream gas processing and NGL marketing businesses and worldwide natural gas liquids marketing and transportation operations. Dynegy's T&D segment includes the operations of Illinois Power Company ("IP"), an energy delivery company engaged in the transmission, distribution and sale of electricity and natural gas to customers across a 15,000-square-mile area of Illinois. DGC is engaged in the telecommunications business. DGC has a global long-haul fiber optic and metropolitan network located in cities in the United States and Europe.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's business strategy has historically focused on acquiring, constructing or contracting for a regionally diverse network of energy assets to capture potential synergies existing among these types of assets and Dynegy's marketing businesses. Dynegy relies upon operating cash flow along with borrowings under a combination of commercial paper programs, money market lines of credit, credit facilities and project-specific debt financings, as well as public debt and equity issuances for its liquidity and capital resource requirements.

In December 2001, Dynegy announced a \$1.25 billion capital program to respond to concerns of credit rating agencies and trade counterparties regarding balance sheet strength in the merchant energy sector. In accordance with the plan, Dynegy raised \$744 million in net proceeds by selling approximately 37.9 million shares of common stock, including 10.4 million shares purchased by ChevronTexaco. Net proceeds from the sale of these shares were used to reduce indebtedness under Holdings' revolving credit facility by approximately \$540 million. The remainder of the proceeds were held as cash.

In addition, the Company reduced its original 2002 capital-spending program by over \$500 million to approximately \$1.2 billion. This reduction in capital spending related to funds originally budgeted for capital expenditure opportunities that were anticipated to arise during the year. The Company's primary capital expenditure focus will be the acquisition and/or construction of energy assets that will enable the Company to expand its energy network. Expenditures will include maintenance capital at existing facilities of approximately \$430 million. The Company also anticipates limiting future capital expenditures associated with its communications network.

The Company believes it prudent to continue to increase liquidity and strengthen its balance sheet and, as a result, is assessing additional alternatives, including possible joint ventures or sales of assets and businesses. These steps are designed to continue the Company's capital program. Such steps are also being taken to reduce leverage and to establish revised targets for debt to capitalization and coverage ratios.

In January 2002, the Company completed its acquisition of Northern Natural. Enron Corp. ("Enron") has the option to reacquire Northern Natural through June 30, 2002 for \$1.5 billion plus various adjustments. Dynegy's acquisition of this asset increases the Company's financial leverage. This increased leverage results partially from Northern Natural's \$950 million of indebtedness and partially from the \$1.5 billion of Series B Mandatorily Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") sold to ChevronTexaco, the proceeds of which were used to purchase preferred stock in Northern Natural. The Series B Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable by Dynegy in November 2003. The Company is actively assessing alternatives regarding the Series B Preferred Stock and its capital structure to accommodate Northern Natural in the event Enron does not exercise its repurchase option. See "--Enron/Northern Natural."

Available Credit Capacity

The following table provides the components of the Company's available credit capacity.

Available Credit Capacity as of December 31, 2001

(\$ in millions)	Total	1 21	Dynegy Holdings Inc.	Illinois Power
Outstanding Commercial Paper, Loans and Letters of Credit Unused Borrowing Capacity			\$1,022 618	\$278 22
Total Credit Capacity	\$2,240	\$300 ====	\$1,640 =====	\$300 ====

Dynegy does not have access to the commercial paper markets and has relied on bank credit facilities, operating cash flow, public equity and debt issuances and cash on hand for its short-term liquidity requirements. Sales of common stock in December 2001 and January 2002 generated aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$744 million which were used to pay down approximately \$540 million of indebtedness under Holdings' revolving credit facility; the remainder was invested in cash. In February 2002, Holdings issued \$500 million

of 8.75% senior notes due 2012 and used the net proceeds to pay down approximately \$250 million of indebtedness under Holdings' revolving credit facility; the remainder was invested in cash. The Company's 364-day revolving credit facilities—a \$1.2 billion facility at Holdings, a \$300 million facility at IP, a \$300 million facility at Dynegy and a \$400 million facility at Holdings—mature in May 2002, May 2002, November 2002 and May 2003, respectively. In addition, Northern Natural has a \$450 million 364-day revolving credit facility that matures in November 2002.

As of February 27, 2002, the Company and its subsidiaries had committed credit lines of approximately \$2.7 billion (including the Northern Natural revolving credit facility). As of such date, there were outstanding borrowings of approximately \$1.1 billion and outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$427 million under

24

these credit facilities, leaving approximately \$1.2 billion of available borrowing capacity under these credit facilities. In addition, the Company had cash of approximately \$560 million. As a result, the Company's liquidity position was approximately \$1.7 billion. Management believes this level of liquidity is adequate to allow Dynegy to operate its business, even in the event of a downgrade in credit rating.

Credit Rating Discussion

Credit ratings impact the Company's ability to obtain short— and long—term financing, the cost of such financing and the execution of its commercial strategies in a cost—effective manner. In determining the Company's credit ratings, the rating agencies consider a number of factors. Quantitative factors that appear to be given significant weight include, among other things, earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"); operating cash flow; total debt outstanding; off balance sheet obligations and other commitments; fixed charges such as interest expense, rent or lease payments; payments to preferred stockholders; liquidity needs and availability; and various ratios calculated from these factors. Qualitative factors include, among other things, predictability of cash flows, business strategy, industry position and contingencies. Although these factors are among those considered by the rating agencies, each agency may calculate and weigh each factor differently.

Substantially all of the credit ratings of Dynegy Inc. and its subsidiaries are under review for possible downgrade in part due to uncertainties regarding the litigation by Enron against Dynegy (which is more fully discussed in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements). In addition, the credit rating agencies have refocused their attention on the credit characteristics and credit protection measures of industry participants, and appear to have tightened the standards for a given rating level. Before Dynegy's capital program was announced, Moody's downgraded its ratings of Dynegy and its subsidiaries. The initial phase of the capital program was designed to restore the Moody's ratings and maintain the Fitch and Standard & Poors' ratings. There can be no assurance that these results will be achieved, but the Company believes it is taking action to accomplish these results.

As of February 28, 2002, Dynegy's senior unsecured debt ratings, as assessed by the three major credit rating agencies, were as follows:

Rated Enterprises			
Senior Unsecured Debt Rating:			
Dynegy Holdings Inc./(1)/	BBB+	Baa3	BBB+
Dynegy Inc./(2)/	BBB	Ba1	BBB
<pre>Illinois Power/(3)/</pre>	BBB	Baa3	BBB+
<pre>Illinova Corporation/(4)/</pre>	BBB	Ba1	BBB
Northern Natural/(5)/	CC	В3	CC
<pre>Commercial Paper/Short-Term Rating:</pre>			
Dynegy Holdings Inc	A-2	P - 3	F2
Dynegy Inc	A-2	NP	F2
Illinois Power	A-2	P-3	F2

- /(1)/ Dynegy Holdings Inc. is the primary debt financing entity for the
 enterprise. This entity is a subsidiary of Dynegy Inc. and is a holding
 company that includes substantially all of the operations of the WEN and
 DMS business segments.
- /(2)/ Dynegy Inc. is the parent holding company. This entity generally provides financing to the enterprise through issuance of capital stock.
- /(3)/ Illinois Power is a stand-alone entity from a financial credit perspective. This entity includes the Company's regulated transmission and distribution business in Illinois.
- $/\left(4\right)/$ Illinova Corporation is the holding company for Illinois Power and is not used to raise capital.
- /(5) / Ratings have not changed since Dynegy's acquisition of Northern Natural and reflect Enron's repurchase option.

25

The abbreviations for the investment grade credit ratings assigned by the three major credit rating agencies are set forth below, in order of declining credit:

Standard & Poor's	Moody's	Fitch
AAA	Aaa	AAA
AA+	Aa1	AA+
AA	Aa2	AA
AA-	Aa3	AA-
A+	A1	A+
A	A2	A
A-	A3	A-
BBB+	Baa1	BBB+
BBB	Baa2	BBB
BBB-	Baa3	BBB-

A downgrade in Dynegy's credit ratings to below investment grade would cause a material reduction in the amount of trade credit expected to be extended by Dynegy's counterparties until these ratings could be restored. The Company also anticipates that counterparties would increase their collateral demands relating to its wholesale marketing and risk-management business. Downgrades in Dynegy's credit ratings to below investment grade also would trigger the financing covenants described below under "--Financing Trigger Events." Such a downgrade also could increase the risk that the Company would be unable to refinance debt obligations as they mature and could increase the borrowing

costs incurred by the Company in connection with any such refinancings. The Company's financial flexibility would likewise be reduced as a result of restrictive covenants and other terms that are typically imposed on non-investment grade borrowers.

Financing Trigger Events

Dynegy's debt instruments and other financial obligations include routine provisions, which, if not met, could require early payment, additional collateral support or similar actions. For Dynegy, these trigger events include leverage ratios, insolvency events, defaults on scheduled principal or interest payments, acceleration of other financial obligations and change of control provisions. Dynegy does not have any trigger events tied to specified credit ratings or stock price in its debt instruments and has not executed any transactions that require it to issue equity based on credit rating or other trigger events.

The Company has two non-commercial agreements that have trigger events tied to credit ratings. At December 31, 2001, the amount of cash collateral that the Company could be required to post in the event of a ratings trigger under these two agreements was approximately \$300 million. The Company's investment in Catlin Associates, LLC, described below, accounts for \$270 million of the possible \$300 million in possible cash collateralization and would be triggered only if the senior unsecured debt ratings for Dynegy Holdings were below investment grade with both Standard & Poor's and Moody's. The remaining approximate \$30 million relates to the Company's guarantee of environmental obligations of West Coast Power, a 50 percent owned equity investment. This obligation would be triggered by a downgrade in Dynegy Holdings' credit rating to below investment grade by either Moody's or Standard & Poor's. Dynegy's credit ratings are under review for possible downgrade by Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch. Please see "--Credit Rating Discussion."

In June 2000, Dynegy and Black Thunder Investors LLC ("Investor") invested in Catlin Associates, L.L.C. ("Catlin"), an entity that is consolidated by Dynegy into its financial statements, with the Investor's ownership in Catlin reflected as Minority Interest in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Dynegy invested approximately \$95 million in Catlin and the Investor invested \$850 million. As a result of its investment, the Investor received a preferred interest in Catlin, which has a security interest in the stock of Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. ("DMG"), an entity holding certain of Dynegy's midwest generation assets, including the coal-fired generation units in Illinois. This preferred interest is a passive interest and generally is not entitled to management rights.

26

On or before June 29, 2005, Dynegy is effectively obligated to purchase the Investor's preferred interest for \$850 million unless the Investor agrees to extend or refinance this obligation. Alternatively, Dynegy could liquidate Catlin's assets, including DMG's generating assets, to satisfy this obligation.

In addition to granting Catlin a security interest in the stock of DMG, Dynegy also has agreed to pay to DMG, to the extent that DMG has either distributed or loaned money directly or indirectly to Dynegy, an amount not to exceed \$275 million. This payment obligation builds over time to a maximum of \$275 million in 2005. As of December 31, 2001, Dynegy's obligation to DMG under the agreement was approximately \$35 million. In addition, in the event

projected cash flow is insufficient to cover capital expenditures and certain other fixed charges, Dynegy may be required to make an additional capital contribution of up to \$60 million to Catlin. The \$60 million contingent obligation expires on December 31, 2002.

If Holdings' senior unsecured debt is downgraded below investment grade by both Standard & Poor's and Moody's, Dynegy would be required to post cash collateral in an aggregate amount of \$270 million and, within 30 days, obtain an investment grade rating for the Catlin membership interest held by the Investor by either Standard & Poor's or Moody's or obtain a waiver from the Investor.

If Dynegy were unable to obtain the required rating for the interest held by the Investor or waiver, Dynegy would have the option of purchasing or refinancing the Investor's interest in Catlin. If Dynegy was unable or otherwise elected not to exercise this option, it could ultimately result in an election by the Investor to cause the liquidation of the underlying generation assets in an amount sufficient to redeem the Investor's interest. Given the strategic importance of these generation assets, it is likely that Dynegy would seek to refinance or purchase the Investor's interest under such circumstances.

Trade Credit

The Company's commercial agreements typically include adequate assurance provisions relating to trade credit and some agreements also have credit rating triggers. These trigger events typically would give counterparties the right to suspend or terminate credit if the Company's credit ratings were downgraded to non-investment grade status. Under such circumstances, Dynegy would need to post collateral to continue transacting risk-management business with many of its counterparties under either adequate assurance or specific credit rating trigger clauses. The cost of posting collateral would increase the Company's financing costs and could adversely impact the Company's profitability. If such collateral was not posted, the Company's ability to continue transacting its risk-management business as before the downgrade would be materially adversely affected.

Following the Enron bankruptcy, there has been a general industry-wide contraction in trade credit in the wholesale energy markets. Open or unsecured credit lines generally have been reduced, and counterparties are more stringent in requiring credit support in the form of cash in advance, letters of credit or guarantees as a condition to transacting business above open credit limits. In addition, parties engaged in the wholesale marketing business, including Dynegy, are moving towards the implementation of standardized agreements that allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with a single counterparty. Most commercial agreements typically include "adequate assurance" provisions or specific ratings triggers. These clauses typically give counterparties the right to suspend or terminate credit if the Company's credit ratings fall below investment grade.

Dynegy's wholesale marketing and risk-management business has historically relied upon Holdings' senior unsecured debt investment grade credit rating to satisfy the credit support requirements of many counterparties.

27

Disclosure of Financial Obligations and Contingent Financial Commitments

Dynegy has incurred financial obligations and commitments in the normal course of its operations and financing activities. Financial obligations

include future cash payments required under existing contractual arrangements, such as debt and lease agreements. These obligations may result from both general financing activities as well as from commercial arrangements that are directly supported by related revenue-producing activities. Financial commitments represent contingent obligations, which become payable only if pre-defined events were to occur, such as financial guarantees.

As discussed in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements reflect various restatement items that have become known to management since the date of the Original Filing. Please read the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about these restatements. The tables that follow have been adjusted since the Original Filing to reflect these restatements.

The following table provides a summary of general financial obligations as of December 31, 2001. This table includes cash obligations related to outstanding debt, redeemable preferred stock and similar financing transactions. This table also includes cash obligations for minimum lease payments associated with general corporate services, such as office and equipment leases.

General Financial Obligations as of December 31, 2001

			Paymen	ts Due	e By Pe	riod	
Cash Obligations* (\$ in millions)	Total	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter
Current Portion of Long Term Debt and Transitional Funding Trust Notes/(1)/ Long Term Debt/(1)/			\$ 254				
Transitional Funding Trust Notes/(1)/ Series B Mandatorily Convertible Preferred			86		86	86	172
Securities/(1)/	-		1,500				
Other Mezzanine Preferred Securities/(1)/. Operating Leases/(2)/ Other Long Term Obligations/(3)/	305 15	 48 5	44		42	40	246 88
Total General Financial Obligations	\$7,540	\$511 ====	\$1,889	\$303 ====	\$1,046 =====	\$514 ====	\$3,277 =====

^{*} Cash obligations herein are not discounted and do not include related interest, accretion or dividends.

- . U.S. telecommunications network debt (\$324 million);
- . Commercial paper at Dynegy Holdings and Illinois Power (\$44 million);
- . Revolving credit facilities at Dynegy Holdings (\$600 million in borrowings) and Illinois Power (\$240 million in borrowings);
- . Canadian credit agreements (\$40 million);
- . Dynegy Holdings senior notes (\$1,693 million);
- . ABG Gas Supply debt financing (\$282 million);
- . Lease arrangements relating to the Heard and Riverside generating facilities in Georgia and Kentucky (\$342 million);
- . Illinova Corporation senior and medium-term notes (\$122 million);
- . Illinois Power mortgage bonds and pollution control bonds (\$1,185

^{/(1) /} Total amounts are included in the December 31, 2001 consolidated balance sheet. Debt amounts include borrowings outstanding under the following arrangements:

million); and

. Transitional Funding Trust Notes issued by a special purpose trust and serviced by Illinois Power (\$602 million\$).

28

Please read Note 7--Debt to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The approximate \$900 million increase in Long-Term Debt over the amount originally reported primarily relates to the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply, an entity originally formed in connection with Project Alpha, and the recognition of debt related to generation and telecommunications assets previously accounted for under operating leases.

- /(2) / Includes primarily minimum lease payment obligations associated with office and office equipment leases.
- /(3) / Includes decommissioning costs related to the sale of the Clinton nuclear facility in 1999.

The following table provides a summary of contingent financial commitments as of December 31, 2001. These commitments represent contingent obligations of the Company, which may require a payment of cash upon pre-defined events.

Contingent Financial Commitments as of December 31, 2001

Expiration By Period							
Contingent Obligations* (\$ in millions)	Total	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter
Letters of Credit/(1)/	288	\$453 204 	31	12	13	14	\$ 14 56
Total Contingent Financial Commitments	\$1,032 =====	\$657 ====	\$52 ===	\$81 ===	\$158 ====	\$14 ===	\$70 ===

^{*} Contingent obligations are presented on an undiscounted basis.

The following table provides a summary of commercial financial obligations, which are directly associated with revenue-producing activities. These arrangements provide Dynegy access to assets that provide it with manufacturing and logistical capacity needed to execute its business strategy, which is focused upon the physical delivery of energy products to its customers. These obligations include unconditional purchase obligations associated with generation turbines, minimum lease payments associated with operating leases on

 $^{/\}left(1\right)$ / Amounts include outstanding letters of credit and uncommitted lines.

^{/(2)} / Surety bonds are generally on a rolling twelve-month basis.

^{/(3)/} Amounts include \$214 million of residual value guarantees related to commercial leasing arrangements, further discussed in footnote (1) to the Commercial Financial Obligations table below. Based on the estimated fair value of the underlying assets, the Company does not anticipate funding such amounts. Approximately \$30 million of the balance represents a cash collateralization requirement as described in "Trigger Events" above and is related to West Coast Power. The remaining \$25 million relates to an insurance program also for West Coast Power. These guarantees do not have specific expiration dates, thus they have been included in the "Thereafter" category.

generation assets, long-haul fiber optic network capacity and other assets used in its operations, as well as capacity payments under generation supply tolling arrangements and transportation, transmission and storage arrangements.

Commercial Financial Obligations as of December 31, 2001

	Payments Due By Period						
Cash Obligations* (\$ in millions)	Total	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter
Operating Leases/(1)/	75 2 , 726	60 328 9	5 297 57	4 273 76	3 241 124	3	\$1,404/(5)/ 1,361 24 10
Total Commercial Financial Obligations.	\$5,090	\$509 ====	\$463 ====	\$452 ====	\$450 ====	\$417 ====	\$2,799 =====

29

^{*} Cash obligations are presented on an undiscounted basis.

^{/(1)/} Amounts include the minimum lease payment obligations associated with various lease arrangements. These lease arrangements are as follows:

[.] Four lease arrangements relating to generation facilities located in New York (Central Hudson), Texas (CoGen Lyondell) and Illinois (Tilton); and

[.] Five lease arrangements relating to five VLGCs utilized in the DMS segment.

The Contingent Financial Commitments table above includes residual value guarantees of \$214 million related to two of these lease arrangements (CoGen Lyondell and Tilton). Please read Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of these residual value guarantees. Such leasing arrangements are accounted for as operating leases, thus the related future obligations are not recorded as liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and the depreciation of these assets is not included in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company elected to utilize leasing structures to optimize the capital resource allocation, earnings and tax attributes of the enterprise. Dynegy may ultimately acquire some or all of the leased assets through exercise of purchase options established at lease inception based on the then fair market value, but does not have any plans to do so at this time.

[/](2)/ Amounts include natural gas, coal, systems design, and power purchase agreements.

^{/(3)/} Firm capacity payments include payments aggregating \$2 billion that provide Dynegy with the option to convert natural gas to electricity at third-party owned facilities ("tolling arrangements"). These amounts include tolling payments that are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in "Risk-Management Assets" or "Risk-Management Liabilities" and those that are accounted for on an accrual basis, each as determined by the applicable contractual terms and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Approximately 91 percent of the \$2 billion of aggregate tolling capacity payments are accounted for on an accrual basis. These contracts provide Dynegy access to regional

generation capacity to fulfill physical contract requirements of its customers. These \$2 billion in capacity payments, on a discounted basis, totaled \$1.4 billion. Dynegy previously reported in its Form 10-K that the estimated market value of electricity available for sale under these arrangements as of the date of the Original Filing, discounted for credit, price and market liquidity reserves, exceeded the amount of capacity payments by approximately \$325 million. As a result of Dynegy's correction of its forward power curve methodology effective beginning the third quarter 2001, the estimated market value of electricity available for sale under these arrangements at December 31, 2001, discounted for market liquidity reserves, is approximately \$52 million less than the amount of capacity payments. The other firm capacity payments of approximately \$715 million include fixed obligations associated with transmission, transportation and storage arrangements routinely used in the physical movement and storage of energy consistent with the Company's business strategy.

- /(4)/ Amounts include the Company's obligations as of December 31, 2001 to purchase 14 gas-fired turbines and an information systems service agreement. Commitments under the turbine purchase orders are payable consistent with the delivery schedule. The purchase orders include milestone requirements by the manufacturer and provide the Company with the ability to cancel each discrete purchase order commitment in exchange for a fee, which escalates over time. The amounts herein assume all 14 turbines will be purchased. However, Dynegy can cancel these arrangements at anytime, subject to a termination fee. If Dynegy had terminated the turbine purchase orders at December 31, 2001, the termination fee would have been approximately \$48 million, reducing Dynegy's conditional purchase commitment by \$435 million. Additionally, if Dynegy had terminated the service agreement at December 31, 2001, the termination fee would have been \$9 million, reducing Dynegy's commitment by \$21 million.
- /(5)/ Amounts primarily relate to leases of the Central Hudson generating assets discussed further in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements.

IP has entered into other generating unit specific contracts that stipulate fixed payments for the supply of energy as well as variable payments for the reimbursement of operating costs. The costs associated with these arrangements are included in IP's revenue requirements under its rate-making process.

30

Dynegy has entered into various joint ventures (see Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements) principally for the purpose of sharing risk or to optimize existing commercial relationships. These joint ventures maintain independent capital structures and have financed their operations on a non-recourse basis to Dynegy.

Enron/Northern Natural

On November 9, 2001, Dynegy entered into a merger agreement with Enron. The closing of the merger was conditioned upon the accuracy of representation and warranties, approval of the shareholders of both Dynegy and Enron, the receipt of applicable regulatory approvals, the absence of material adverse changes and other customary conditions.

On November 13, 2001, in connection with the merger agreement, ChevronTexaco

purchased 150,000 shares of Dynegy's Series B Preferred Stock for \$1.5 billion. Dynegy used the \$1.5 billion of proceeds from this issuance to purchase 1,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock in Northern Natural. The Series A Preferred Stock has a 6% cumulative dividend which accrues from the issue date but is not payable until January 31, 2003. In connection with the preferred stock investment in Northern Natural, Dynegy paid \$1 million to acquire an option to purchase all of the equity of Northern Natural's indirect parent company. The exercise price for the option was \$23 million, subject to adjustment based on Northern Natural's indebtedness and working capital.

On November 28, 2001, Dynegy exercised its right to terminate the merger agreement with Enron. The above-mentioned agreements were impacted as follows:

- . Dynegy exercised its option to purchase the indirect parent company of Northern Natural. The closing of the transaction occurred on January 31, 2002. An Enron subsidiary has the option to reacquire Northern Natural through June 30, 2002 for \$1.5 billion plus accrued but unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the option exercise price, subject to adjustment based on Northern Natural's indebtedness and working capital.
- . At January 31, 2002, Northern Natural had approximately \$950 million of debt outstanding. Approximately \$500 million of this debt is in the form of senior unsecured notes and the remaining \$450 million is in the form of a secured line of credit. Dynegy has agreed to commence a tender offer by April 1, 2002 for \$100 million of the senior unsecured notes due May 2005. The significant terms of the Northern Natural debt are as follows (\$ in millions):

Senior Notes, 6.875% due May 2005	\$100
Senior Notes, 6.75% due September 2008	150
Senior Notes, 7.00% due June 2011	250
Borrowing under Revolving Credit Agreement, 4.66% due November 2002	450
Total debt	\$950

- . Management believes, based on an internal analysis of Northern Natural's credit capacity, including a review of other regulated pipelines, that Northern Natural will be able to refinance the \$450 million secured line of credit, and it is Northern Natural's management's intention to do so.
- Each share of Dynegy's Series B Preferred Stock became convertible, at the option of ChevronTexaco, for a period of two years, into shares of Dynegy Class B common stock at the conversion price of \$31.64. This conversion price represents a 5% discount to the Company's stock price on November 7, 2001, the date the conversion price was negotiated. As a result of this event, ChevronTexaco acquired a beneficial conversion option, which will be accreted by Dynegy over the two-year option conversion term as an implied dividend. Based on the implied value of the beneficial conversion option as of November 7, the Company originally recognized a special preferred stock dividend of approximately \$65 million. The Company was recognizing this dividend over the two-year period from the issuance date of the preferred stock to the mandatory redemption date. For purposes of calculating the value of the beneficial conversion option, the Company originally used November 7, 2001 as the commitment

date. The Company has since determined that it should have used November 13, the date ChevronTexaco funded its preferred stock purchase and the preferred securities were issued, as the commitment date. The Company's stock price increased significantly between these two dates after the announcement of the proposed Enron Corp. merger resulting in an implied value of \$660 million rather than the previously disclosed \$65 million. The restated preferred stock dividend amount is calculated based on a two-year amortization of the beneficial conversion option's implied value of approximately \$660 million. Unless ChevronTexaco exercises its conversion right, Dynegy is required to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock for \$1.5 billion two years from the date of issuance. The Series B Preferred Stock is not entitled to a dividend. For further discussion of Dynegy's accounting for the implied dividend, please read "Explanatory Note--Restatements and Absence of Report of Independent Public Accountants" to the accompanying financial statements.

On December 2, 2001, Enron filed for federal bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York. Enron also filed an adversary proceeding in the bankruptcy court against Dynegy Inc. and Dynegy Holdings Inc. seeking damages of \$10 billion for wrongful termination of the merger agreement and the wrongful exercise of its option to take ownership of Northern Natural. (Please refer to Note 11 of the financial statements for further discussion of this dispute).

As a result of Enron's bankruptcy filing, Dynegy recognized in its fourth quarter 2001 financial statements a pre-tax charge related to the Company's net exposure for commercial transactions with Enron. As of December 31, 2001, the Company's net exposure to Enron, inclusive of certain liquidated damages and other amounts relating to the termination of the transactions, was approximately \$84 million and was calculated by setting off approximately \$230 million owed from various Dynegy entities to various Enron entities against approximately \$314 million owed from various Enron entities to various Dynegy entities. The master netting agreement between Dynegy and Enron and the valuation of the commercial transactions covered by the agreement, which valuation is based principally on the parties' assessment of market prices for such period, remain subject to dispute by Enron with respect to which there have been negotiations between the parties. These negotiations have focused on the scope of the transactions covered by the master netting agreement and the parties' valuations of those transactions. If any disputes cannot be resolved by the parties, the agreements call for arbitration. If the setoff rights were modified or disallowed, either by agreement or otherwise, the amount available for Dynegy entities to set off against sums that might be due Enron entities could be reduced materially.

Recent Acquisitions

In the fourth quarter of 2001, Dynegy completed the purchase of BG Storage Limited ("BGSL"), a wholly owned subsidiary of BG Group plc. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, Dynegy paid approximately (Pounds) 421 million (approximately \$595 million at November 28, 2001) for BGSL. The storage assets, which are located in the United Kingdom, consist of 30 gas storage injection wells with five offshore platforms, nine salt caverns, approximately 19 miles of pipelines and an onshore natural gas processing terminal. The acquisition of BGSL established Dynegy's physical asset presence in the United Kingdom. In the first quarter of 2001, Dynegy finalized the acquisition of iaxis, Limited, a London-based communications company.

Also in the first quarter of 2001, Dynegy completed the acquisition of two Central Hudson power generation facilities in New York. The Central Hudson facilities consist of a combination of base load, intermediate and peaking facilities aggregating approximately 1,700 MW. The facilities are located approximately 50 miles north of New York City and were acquired for approximately \$903 million cash, plus inventory and working capital adjustments. The acquisition of these facilities established Dynegy's physical power presence in the region.

In May 2001, subsidiaries of Dynegy completed a sale-leaseback transaction with a third party to provide term financing with respect to the Central Hudson facilities. Under the terms of the sale-leaseback transaction, subsidiaries of Dynegy sold certain plants and equipment and agreed to lease them back for terms expiring within 34 years, exclusive of renewal options. Please read the "Commercial Financial Obligations as of December 31, 2001" table set forth above for additional information.

32

Capital Spending

The 2002 budget of \$1.2 billion includes construction projects in progress, maintenance capital projects, environmental projects, technology infrastructure and software enhancements, contributions to equity investments, leasing transactions and discretionary capital investment funds. The capital budget is subject to revision as opportunities arise or circumstances change. Funds approved to be spent for the aforementioned items by the various segments in 2002 are as follows:

Capital Budget for 2002

Segment or Category (\$ in millions)	Estimated Capital Spending
Wholesale Energy Network Dynegy Midstream Services Transmission and Distribution Dynegy Global Communications Information Technology and Other	\$ 733 75 194 50 144
	\$1 , 196
	======

Included within WEN's capital budget are funds to complete three power plants under construction that are expected to begin commercial operation during the summer of 2002. The natural gas-fired facilities will provide additional generation of approximately 1,500 MW in the aggregate and are located in Kentucky and Michigan. Additionally, construction has also started on an 800 MW natural gas-fired peaking facility in Ohio which is expected to begin operations during the summer of 2003.

Commitments and Contingencies

See Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 11, which is

incorporated herein by reference, for a discussion of the Company's commitments and contingencies.

California Market/West Coast Power

Dynegy and NRG Energy each own 50 percent of West Coast Power, a joint venture owning power generation plants in southern California. Dynegy's net interest in West Coast Power represents approximately 1,400 MW of generating capacity. Dynegy also participates in the California markets independently, as a wholesale marketer of gas and power. Through its interest in West Coast Power, Dynegy has credit exposure to state agencies ("ISO" and "PX"), which primarily relied on receipts from California utilities to pay their bills. West Coast Power also sells directly to the California Department of Water Resources ("DWR") and pursuant to other bilateral agreements. Pursuant to a November 7, 2001 FERC order, the DWR was ordered for the period of January 17, 2001 forward to pay for all power purchased on behalf of the net short loads of PG&E and Southern California Edison. DWR has complied with this order, though there remain a number of disputes. Additionally, on February 25, 2002, the California Public Utilities Commission and the California Electricity Oversight Board filed complaints with the FERC asking that it void or reform power supply contracts between DWR and, among others, West Coast Power. The complaints allege that prices under the contracts exceed just and reasonable prices permitted under the Federal Power Act. While the Company believes the terms of its contracts are just and reasonable and do not reflect alleged market manipulation, it cannot predict how the FERC will respond to these complaints. The Company is vigorously defending against these complaints.

Between the fourth quarter of 2000 and the second quarter of 2001, the power and natural gas markets in California experienced substantial volatility driven by a fundamental imbalance in supply and demand and the retail electricity price caps imposed on the state's two largest utilities. The California market situation had many

33

impacts, the most significant of which include: (a) a Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing by PGE (b) Dynegy's inclusion on PG&E's creditor committee; (c) separate rulings by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the FERC acknowledging that generators in California are not required to sell to noncreditworthy counterparties; (d) the FERC's decision to investigate gas pipeline marketing affiliate abuse in the region; (e) the FERC's imposition of a market mitigation plan for the Western States Coordinating Council; (f) FERC orders directing electricity suppliers to either refund a portion of sales revenue or justify their prices above approved pricing amounts; (g) a failed settlement conference to determine potential refunds; (h) the scheduling and subsequent rescheduling of a FERC hearing to calculate any such refunds; (i) continued debate over the validity and legality of long-term power supply contracts executed by state agencies; and (j) a settlement agreement between Southern California Edison and the California Public Utilities Commission that is designed to allow Southern California Edison to pay its past due debts and return it to creditworthy status. In addition, Dynegy and several of its officers were named in various lawsuits associated with the California situation, which are more fully discussed in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, on March 11, 2002, the California Attorney General filed, on behalf of the People of the State of California, complaints in San Francisco Superior Court against several energy generators, including those owned directly by West Coast Power and indirectly by Dynegy Inc. The complaints allege that since June 1998, these generators sold power in the open market that should have been held in emergency reserve for the State. In the aggregate, the complaints seek more than \$150 million in penalties, restitution

and return profits from the generators. The Company will vigorously defend these claims. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to this action will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

As a result of West Coast Power's previously announced long-term sales arrangement with the DWR, ongoing management of credit risk associated with direct sales to customers in California and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the FERC decisions regarding counterparty choice for generators as well as other factors, management believes that Dynegy's primary exposure relates to the realization of its share of West Coast Power's receivables from the ISO and PX and potential refunds or offsets associated with related transactions. Transactions with the aforementioned counterparties, other than the ISO and PX, are current under the terms of each individual arrangement. At December 31, 2001, Dynegy's portion of the receivables owed to West Coast Power by the ISO and PX approximated \$227 million. Management is continually assessing Dynegy's exposure, as well as its exposure through West Coast Power, relative to its California receivables and establishes reserves for contingent liabilities where the amount of potential loss is determined to be probable and estimable. During 2001, 2000 and 1999, our share of reserves recorded by West Coast Power totalled \$122.5 million, \$24.5 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. Our share of the total reserve at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was \$151.8 million and \$29.3 million, respectively.

ChevronTexaco Commercial Relationship

Dynegy and ChevronTexaco are in the late stages of negotiations to expand existing commercial relationships to include natural gas and domestic mixed NGLs and NGL products produced or controlled by the former Texaco. The expanded term agreements would extend through August 2006. This expanded relationship will increase the volume of natural gas we purchase from ChevronTexaco from approximately 2 Bcf/d to approximately 3 Bcf/d. We also expect to provide supply and service for approximately 2 Bcf/d of natural gas for the former Texaco's facilities and third-party term markets. In addition, DMS' expanded contract with ChevronTexaco is expected to include substantially all of the U.S. NGL production of the former Texaco. Concurrent with the expanded commercial agreements, the two companies are exploring alternative security provisions that would be mutually beneficial. Alternatives could include replacement of existing credit support arrangements with a perfected security interest in a portion of our trade receivables.

Dividend Policy

In 2002, Dynegy intends to pay an annual dividend of \$0.30 per share of common stock, subject to declaration by the Board of Directors of the Company and the availability of funds legally available therefore. The Class B common stock has conversion features and maintains preemptive rights under the Chevron U.S.A. Inc. shareholder agreement (See Item 5. "Shareholder Agreement" for more details).

34

Concentration of Credit Risk

As a result of recent volatility in both the commodity and equity markets, Dynegy has reviewed in-depth its industry credit concentration as well as specific counterparty credit risks. Based on this reassessment, Dynegy continues to believe that credit risk imposed by industry concentration is

largely offset by the diversification and creditworthiness of its customer base. The Company believes that its corporate credit policies are aligned with business risks in support of minimizing enterprise credit risk.

Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 2, which is incorporated herein by reference, for a discussion of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("Statement No. 142"), Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("Statement No. 143") and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("Statement No. 144").

In addition, the Company has interests in joint ventures, equity investments and financing arrangements that existing accounting guidance precludes us from consolidating. In the wake of the Enron bankruptcy, accounting standard setters, including the SEC and the Financial Accounting Standards Board, are evaluating the existing accounting and disclosure rules and requirements. One area that has received a high level of scrutiny is the accounting rules related to consolidations, specifically those that address special purpose entities. Standard setting bodies and regulators are evaluating the consolidation rules to determine whether the existing accounting framework should change. There is a risk that existing standards will change, particularly in light of the events of 2001, and that these changes could result in the consolidation in the Company's financial statements of entities that it does not currently consolidate.

Conclusion

The Company believes it will meet all foreseeable cash requirements, including working capital, capital expenditures and debt service, from operating cash flow, supplemented by borrowings under its various credit facilities and equity or debt issuances, if required.

35

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table reflects certain operating and financial data for the Company's business segments for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. This financial data has been revised to reflect the restatement items described in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. Please read this Explanatory Note for further discussion of these restatement items.

The impact of acquisition and disposition activity during the three-year period reduces the comparability of certain historical financial and volumetric data. This is especially true as it relates to power generation, gas processing and transmission and distribution volumes and to certain financial data associated with the operations purchased in the Illinova acquisition and the financial results reflected in the DGC segment.

For segment reporting purposes, all general and administrative expenses incurred by Dynegy on behalf of its subsidiaries are charged to the applicable subsidiary as incurred. Dynegy allocates indirect general and administrative expenses to its subsidiaries using a two-step formula that considers both payroll expense and the net book value of property, plant and equipment.

Interest expense incurred by Dynegy on behalf of its subsidiaries is allocated based on the subsidiaries' debt to equity relationship. Other income (expense) items incurred by Dynegy on behalf of its subsidiaries are allocated equally among sub-components of the four segments.

DYNEGY'S RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	WEN	DMS	T & D	DGC	Total
2001					
Operating Income (Loss): Wholesale Energy Network:					
Customer and Risk-Management Activities.		\$	\$	\$	\$ 250
Asset Businesses Dynegy Midstream Services:	383				383
Upstream		82			82
Downstream		49			49
Transmission & Distribution			180		180
Communications				(142)	(142)
Operating Income (Loss)	633	131	180	(142)	802
Equity Earnings	178	13		26	217
Other Items, Net	(56)	(3)	2	24	(33)
Net Income (Loss)	\$382	\$ 56	\$ 32	\$ (64)	\$ 406
2000					
Operating Income (Loss): Wholesale Energy Network:					
Customer and Risk-Management Activities.	\$135	\$	\$	\$	\$ 135
Asset Businesses	380				380
Dynegy Midstream Services:		4.4			4.4
Upstream Downstream		4 4 4 0			4 4 4 0
Transmission & Distribution			206		206
Communications				(18)	(18)
Operating Income (Loss)	 515	84	206	(18)	 787
Equity Earnings	181	2.4		(10)	205
Other Items, Net	(13)	(39)	(10)	3	(59)
Net Income (Loss)	, ,	\$ 16	\$ 46	\$ (12)	, ,

36

WEN DMS T & D DGC Total
---- (\$ in Millions)
(Restated)

1999				
Operating Income:				
Wholesale Energy Network:				
Customer and Risk-Management Activities.	\$106	\$	\$ \$	\$106
Asset Businesses	38		 	38
Dynegy Midstream Services:				
Upstream		18	 	18
Downstream		64	 	64
Transmission & Distribution			 	
Communications			 	
Operating Income	144	82	 	226
Equity Earnings	62	18	 	80
Other Items, Net	(13)	(18)	 	(31)
Net Income	\$107	\$ 30	\$ \$	\$137

37

DYNEGY'S OPERATING DATA

	2001	2000	1999
Wholesale Energy Network: Domestic Gas Marketing Volumes (Bcf/d)/(1)/ Canadian Gas Marketing Volumes (Bcf/d)/(2)/ European Gas Marketing Volumes (Bcf/d)/(3)/	3.1 1.3		1.1
Total Gas Marketing Volumes	12.5		9.9
Million Megawatt Hours GeneratedGross Million Megawatt Hours GeneratedNet Total Physical Million Megawatt Hours Sold Coal Marketing Volumes (Millions of Tons) Average Natural Gas PriceHenry Hub (\$/Mmbtu) Average On-Peak Market Power Prices: Cinergy	40.3 34.5 361.8 43.0 \$ 4.26 \$ 35.19 34.87 40.76 121.04	36.8 30.3 137.0 10.4 \$ 3.89 \$ 36.43 39.73 39.96 113.51	21.5 12.8 79.3 \$ 2.29 \$ 51.40 51.96 38.29 31.99
Straddle Plants Total Natural Gas Processing Volumes			
Fractionation Volumes (MBbls/d) Natural Gas Liquids Sold (MBbls/d) Average Commodity Prices: Crude OilCushing (\$/Bbl) Natural Gas Liquids (\$/Gal) Fractionation Spread (\$/MMBtu) Transmission and Distribution:/(4)/ Electric Sales in kWh (Millions):	226.2 557.4 \$ 26.39 0.45	224.3 564.6 \$ 28.97	210.9 537.1 \$ 17.10 0.34

Residential Commercial Industrial Other	5,202 4,377 8,958 373	5,046 4,272 9,271 412	4,949 4,173 8,722 6,897
Total Electric Sales	18,910 =====	19,001 =====	24 , 741
Gas Sales in Therms (Millions):			
Residential	315	337	323
Commercial	136	141	134
Industrial	70	77	71
Transportation of Customer-Owned Gas	264	278	297
Total Gas Delivered	785	833	825 =====

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

38

Three Years Ended December 31, 2001

For the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company realized net income of \$406 million, or \$1.07 per diluted share. This compares with \$436 million, or \$1.27 per diluted share, and \$137 million, or \$0.60 per diluted share, in 2000 and 1999, respectively. Net income and diluted earnings per share include the following items:

	200	1	2000)	1999		
(\$ in millions, except per share data)	Income (Charge)	EPS	Income (Charge)	EPS	Income (Charge)	EPS	
Enron bankruptcy exposure/(1)/	(84)	(0.25)					
Terminated merger related costs/(2)/	(7)	(0.02)					
Illinois Power severance costs/(3)/	(9)	(0.03)					
Cumulative effect of an accounting change/(5)/	2	0.00					
Gain on SaleAccord Energy Limited/(6)/			58	0.18			
Gain on SaleQFs/(7)/			34	0.11			
Loss on SaleCrude Business/(8)/			(11)	(0.03)			
Loss on SaleMid-continent Assets/(9)/			(6)	(0.02)			
<pre>Impairment of a Liquids Asset/(10)/</pre>			(16)	(0.05)			
Illinova Acquisition Costs/(11)/			(10)	(0.03)			
Special Dividend/(4)(12)/		(0.12)		(0.10)			
Gain on SaleQuicktrade Investment/(13)/					6	0.03	

^{/(1) /}Includes immaterial amounts of inter-affiliate gas sales.

^{/(2) /}Represents volumes sold by Dynegy Inc.'s Canadian subsidiary.

^{/(3) /}Represents volumes sold by Dynegy Inc.'s European operations.

^{/(4) /}Volumes for 1999 reflect the operations of IP prior to its acquisition by Dynegy.

- /(1)/ The Company recognized an after-tax charge of \$84 million (\$129 million
 pre-tax) related to its net exposure to Enron Corp. as a result of that
 company's bankruptcy filing. The pre-tax charge is included in "Cost of
 Sales" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations
 ("Statements").
- /(2)/ The Company terminated its proposed merger with Enron Corp. on November 28, 2001. Transaction costs associated with this terminated merger approximated \$7 million after-tax (\$10 million pre-tax). The pre-tax charge is included in "General and administrative expenses" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(3)/ The Company incurred approximately \$9 million of severance costs (\$15
 million pre-tax) related to a restructuring at Illinois Power. The
 pre-tax charge is included in "General and administrative expenses" in
 the accompanying Statements.
- /(4) / The special dividend in 2001 relates to the accretion of the beneficial conversion option in the Series B Preferred Stock held by ChevronTexaco.
- /(5)/ Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended, realizing an after-tax cumulative effect gain of approximately \$2 million.
- /(6)/ The Company sold its 25% participating preferred interest in Accord Energy Limited in the third quarter of 2000. The after-tax gain of approximately \$58 million (\$83 million pre-tax) is included in "Loss (gain) on asset sales" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(7)/ The Company sold interests in certain Qualifying Facilities pursuant to statutory requirements related to the Illinova acquisition. The after-tax gain of approximately \$34 million (\$52 million pre-tax) is included in "Loss (gain) on asset sales" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(8)/ The Company sold its non-strategic domestic crude oil marketing and trade business in the first quarter of 2000. The charge of approximately \$11 million after-tax (\$18.3 million pre-tax) is included in "Loss (gain) on asset sales" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(9)/ The Company sold its Mid-Continent liquids processing assets in the first quarter of 2000. The after-tax charge of approximately \$6 million (\$9 million pre-tax) is included in "Loss (gain) on asset sales" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(10)/ The impairment reserve is associated with a Canadian gas processing asset. The after-tax charge of approximately \$16\$ million (\$25\$ million pre-tax) is included in "Depreciation and amortization expense" in the accompanying Statements.
- /(11)/ Amounts relate to non-capitalizable merger related costs associated with the Illinova acquisition. The after-tax charge of approximately \$10 million (\$15 million pre-tax) is included in "General and administrative expenses" in the accompanying Statements.

Revenues in each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 totaled \$42.6 billion, \$29.3 billion and \$15.4 billion, respectively. Operating cash flows totaled \$550 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared with \$420 million in 2000 and \$40 million in 1999.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company reported operating income of \$802 million, compared with operating income of \$787 million and \$226million for the 2000 and 1999 periods, respectively. The growth in operating margin is partially offset by higher depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses. Increases in depreciation and amortization expense during the three-year period reflect the impact of continued expansion of the Company's depreciable operating and technology asset base. The increased level of general and administrative expenses period-to-period reflects the infrastructure required to support a larger operation. Increased overhead costs are primarily a result of expansion of the Company's operations, primarily in the DGC segment and in Europe. Additionally, variable compensation costs were higher in 2001 as compared with both the 2000 and 1999 periods. Gain (loss) on asset sales principally reflects the pre-tax effect of the gains on the sales of Accord Energy Limited and certain QFs in 2000, partially offset by the losses on the sales of the domestic crude business and the Mid-Continent liquids processing assets. The Company sold its 25 percent participating preferred interest in Accord Energy Limited for an after tax gain of \$58 million (\$83 million pre-tax) and sold interests in certain QFs for an after tax gain of \$34 million (\$52 million pre-tax). The Company received cash proceeds of \$95 million and \$257 million in the Accord and QF transactions, respectively. The carrying values for the Accord investment and the QFs were \$12 million and \$205 million, respectively.

Incremental to Dynegy's consolidated results was the Company's share in the earnings of its unconsolidated affiliates, which contributed approximately \$217 million, \$205 million and \$80 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. West Coast Power contributed approximately \$162 million, \$122 million and \$16 million to such earnings in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Cash distributions received from these investments during each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 approximated \$100 million, \$118 million and \$66 million, respectively.

Interest expense totaled \$270 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared with \$251 million and \$78 million for the comparable 2000 and 1999 periods, respectively. The increase in interest expense in 2001 is due primarily to increased principal, partially offset by lower variable rates than in 2000. The increase in interest expense in 2000 from 1999 is attributable to the increased indebtedness resulting from the acquisition of Illinova, both in terms of Illinova indebtedness assumed in the transaction and principal borrowed to effect the transaction. Additionally, interest rates on the variable rate borrowings were higher in 2000 than in 1999.

Other income and expenses, net, reduced 2001 operating results by \$33 million, 2000 operating results by \$59 million and 1999 operating results by \$31 million. The net amounts for all three years include the financial effects of minority shareholder investments in some of the Company's operations and other income and expense items including interest and dividend income, foreign currency gains and losses, insurance proceeds and other similar items.

The Company reported an income tax provision of \$312 million in 2001, compared to income tax provisions of \$246 million and \$60 million in 2000 and 1999, respectively. These amounts reflect effective rates of 44 percent, 36 percent and 30 percent, respectively. In general, differences between the aforementioned effective rates and the statutory rate of 35 percent result primarily from permanent differences attributable to amortization of goodwill, book-tax basis differences and other liabilities; and the effect of foreign and state income taxes. Income tax payments are not expected to have a material impact on liquidity and capital resources. See Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 8, which is incorporated herein by reference.

40

Wholesale Energy Network

WEN reported segment net income of \$382 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared with net income of \$386 million and \$107 million for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Results of operations during the three-year period were influenced either positively or negatively by:

- New regionally diverse merchant power generating capacity acquired or placed in service in 2001 and 2000;
- . Increased earnings from the equity investment in West Coast Power year-over-year during the three-year period, partially attributable to higher price realization for power purchased from West Coast Power;
- . An increase in customer risk management activities in 2001, as compared to 2000, as a result of a long-term power origination contract that contributed approximately \$35 million of operating income during 2001;
- An increase in European and Canadian marketing operations due to increased customer origination and service demand during the three-year period;
- . Results for 2001 included approximately \$82 million exposure to Enron (net of tax) and an allocation of transaction costs associated with both the terminated proposed merger with Enron and the execution of Project Alpha; and
- . Results for 2000 include gains of approximately \$92 million (net of tax) on the sale of Accord and QF interests, offset by an allocated portion of the Illinova acquisition costs.

The new generating capacity in 2001 included the addition of the Central

Hudson power generating facilities in New York and development projects in Georgia, Kentucky and Louisiana for a total of approximately 2,865 MW, while new capacity in 2000 included the generation assets from the Illinova acquisition and development projects in Illinois, Louisiana and North Carolina for a total of 8,091 MW.

Total electric power produced and sold during 2001 aggregated 361.8 million megawatt hours compared to 137.0 million and 79.3 million megawatt hours during 2000 and 1999, respectively. The 2001 and 2000 volumes reflect the impact of additional generating capacity. Total natural gas volumes sold increased to 12.5 billion cubic feet per day from 10.9 billion cubic feet per day in 2000 and 9.9 billion cubic feet per day in 1999. The 2001 increase in natural gas volumes sold reflects greater market origination, including sales to commercial and industrial customers, sales volumes on Dynegydirect and increased gas marketing in Canada. The 2000 increase in natural gas volumes sold reflects the increased demand by gas-fired generation, expanding European operations and greater volumes sold to Dynegy's retail alliances.

As described in Note 19--Subsequent Events to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company is in the process of exiting third-party risk management aspects of the marketing and trading business. The WEN segment's future results of operations will be affected by this development.

Dynegy Midstream Services

DMS reported net income of approximately \$56 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared with net income of \$16 million and \$30 million in 2000 and 1999, respectively. Results of operations during the three-year period were influenced either positively or negatively by:

- . Higher price realization in 2001, as compared to 2000, resulting from an active forward sales program and contract restructuring activities, despite a depressed pricing environment resulting from larger industry wide inventories;
- . Substantial focus on lowering costs throughout the three-year period;
- . Lower price realization in 2000, as compared to 1999, resulting from an active forward sales program and contract restructuring activities;
- NGL commodity prices in 2000, and the volatility associated therewith, were generally improved over 1999 levels;

41

- Fluctuating world-wide demand for NGLs, particularly in Europe and Asia, enhanced 2000 revenues from global marketing operations;
- Results for 2001 include approximately \$2 million exposure to Enron (net of tax) as a result of that company's bankruptcy filing and an allocation of transaction costs associated with the terminated proposed merger with Enron; and
- . Results for 2000 include losses of approximately \$17 million (net of tax) on sales of the Crude Oil Marketing and Trade business (which was sold in April 2000 and contributed approximately \$9 million after tax in 1999)

and Mid-Continent gas processing assets, an impairment of approximately \$16 million (net of tax) relating to Canadian gas processing assets and an allocation of costs related to the Illinova acquisition.

Average domestic NGL processing volumes totaled 84 MBbls/d in 2001 compared to an average of 97 MBbls/d and 123 MBbls/d in 2000 and 1999, respectively. Lower volumes processed in 2001 reflect the impact of market conditions on straddle plant production during the three-year period and the aforementioned non-strategic asset dispositions. Volumes processed in 2000 were flat with volumes processed in 1999 after adjusting for the effects of the asset sales. NGL market prices during 2001 averaged \$0.45 per gallon compared to \$0.55 per gallon and \$0.34 per gallon in 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Transmission and Distribution

The Transmission and Distribution segment was formed at the beginning of 2000 and reflects the transmission and distribution operations of IP acquired in the Illinova acquisition. The segment had net income of approximately \$32 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to net income of \$46 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Segment results in 2001 include approximately \$9 million of after-tax severance and early retirement charges related to a restructuring at IP, offset by an approximate \$10 million after-tax credit related to IP's exit from a nuclear mutual insurance company. The 2000 results include an approximate \$2 million after-tax merger cost charge related to the Illinova acquisition. In addition to the severance and early retirement charges, operating income decreased in 2001 as a result of decreased industrial electricity revenues resulting from increased competition and an economic downturn, offset by weather-driven sales from electric residential and commercial customers. Additionally, operating expenses were slightly higher due to fees paid in connection with an independent system operator and bad debt expense, offset by lower general and administrative expenses.

Dynegy Global Communications

This segment was formed at the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2000 and had 64 million and 12 million net losses for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, resulting from start-up investments associated with the expansion of the Company's global communications business, which has operations in the U.S. and Europe.

42

Operating Cash Flow

The following table is a condensed version of the operating section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Reported amounts reflect the restatement items further described in the Explanatory Note to the Condensed Financial Statements, including the reclassification of approximately \$290 million of previously disclosed 2001 operating cash flow to financing cash flow as a result of restatements relating to Project Alpha.

Dynegy's Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows

	200)1	2000		19	99
	(\$	 5 in	mi	llio	ns)	
Operating Cash Flow: Net Income Net Non-Cash Items Included in Net Income				436 284	. –	37
Operating Cash Flow Before Changes in Working Capital Changes in Working Capital	•)70 520)		720 300)		65 25)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 5	550	\$ ==	420 ===	\$	40

Increases in operating cash flow in 2001 primarily reflect higher non-cash add-backs such as depreciation and amortization, reserve for doubtful accounts and risk management activities. The depreciation and amortization is higher due to a larger asset base. The reserve for doubtful accounts includes the Enron exposure write-off of approximately \$78 million on a pre-tax basis. Changes in working capital had a negative impact on operating cash flow in 2001 due primarily to the timing of cash inflows and outflows related to trade accounts and certain other deposits.

Operating cash flow results in 2000 primarily reflected higher net income compared to 1999. Higher net income is offset by increased investments in inventory and the negative impact on operating cash flows due primarily to the timing of cash inflows and outflows related to trade accounts.

Operating cash flow in 1999 primarily reflected increased non-cash earnings from marketing operations.

Changes in other working capital accounts, which include prepayments, other current assets and accrued liabilities, reflect expenditures or recognition of liabilities for insurance costs, certain deposits, salaries, taxes other than on income, certain deferred revenue accounts and other similar items. Fluctuations in these accounts, period-to-period, reflect changes in the timing of payments or recognition of liabilities and are not directly impacted by seasonal factors.

Capital Expenditures and Investing Activities

Funds used in 2001 investing activities totaled \$3.8 billion. Included in the capital expenditures in 2001 is the purchase of the Central Hudson power generation facilities for \$903 million. Additional capital expenditures of approximately \$1.7 billion principally related to the construction of power generation assets, improvements of existing facilities related to the T&D segment and investments associated with technology infrastructure. Also during 2001, Dynegy invested \$1.5 billion related to its purchase of Northern Natural Series A Preferred Stock. Business acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2001 included acquisition costs related to the purchase of BGSL of approximately \$595 million and acquisition costs incurred in 2001 related to iaxis, Limited. Proceeds from asset sales in 2001 included the sale of the Central Hudson facilities in May 2001 for \$920 million pursuant to a leveraged lease transaction, in addition to proceeds from the disposal of non-strategic Canadian assets and investments. Other investing activities in 2001 primarily include investments relating to a generation and a telecommunications lease arrangement.

In 2000, capital expenditures of approximately \$1.1 billion primarily related to construction of power generation assets, improvements of existing facilities related to the T&D segment and investments associated with technology infrastructure. Also during 2000, Dynegy made investments in unconsolidated affiliates of approximately \$142 million, while the \$1.2 billion in business acquisitions in 2000 related primarily to the Illinova and Extant Inc. acquisitions. Proceeds from asset sales in 2000 of \$876 million relate to sales of QFs and liquids assets.

During the year ended December 31, 1999, the Company invested a net \$437 million principally in power generation assets, including a power generation partnership, and additional expenditures related to capital improvements at existing facilities and capital investment associated with technology infrastructure improvements. Also during 1999, the Company sold certain DMS assets, an investment held by WEN and a 50 percent interest in a power generation partnership, netting proceeds of \$81 million.

Financing Activities

Net cash inflows associated with financing activities in 2001 totaled approximately \$3.5 billion.

Dynegy sold approximately 29.8 million shares of common stock during 2001. The offerings included approximately 27.5 million shares of Class A common stock sold to the public in December 2001. The Company also sold approximately 1.2 million shares of Class B common stock to ChevronTexaco in private transactions pursuant to the exercise of ChevronTexaco's preemptive rights. Total net proceeds to Dynegy from these sales and proceeds from options and 401(k) plan sales approximated \$604 million. This amount is net of underwriting commissions and expenses of approximately \$32 million.

Proceeds of \$1.5 billion relate to the sale of 150,000 shares of Series B Mandatorily Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock to ChevronTexaco, concurrent with Dynegy's purchase of Northern Natural Series A Preferred Stock.

Additional 2001 proceeds of \$496 million, net of issuance costs, resulted from the issuance of \$500 million of 6.875 percent Senior Notes due April 1, 2011. Such proceeds were used to repay credit facility borrowings obtained to finance the purchase of the Central Hudson generation facilities, while the acquisition of BGSL was financed primarily with borrowings under revolving credit agreements.

In April 2001, Dynegy entered into a structured natural gas transaction referred to as Project Alpha. The cash inflow of \$282 million associated with the borrowings entered into by ABG Gas Supply is presented as a financing activity in Dynegy's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for 2001.

Also during 2001, IP received proceeds of \$187 million from the issuance of variable rate pollution control bonds. Contemporaneously, the Company retired and repaid pollution control bonds with a higher rate. During 2001, IP also redeemed \$100 million of Trust Originated Preferred Securities issued by Illinois Power Financing I. The redemption was financed with \$85 million from cash on hand and \$15 million in commercial paper.

Also during 2001, proceeds from lease arrangements of approximately \$340

million were used in the construction of two generation facilities and the ${\tt U.S.}$ fiber optic network.

During 2001, the Company repurchased approximately 1.7 million shares of its outstanding Class A common stock pursuant to its stock repurchase plan at a cost of \$68 million.

Dividends and other distributions paid were \$98 million, \$112 million and \$8 million in the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The 2000 amount includes a non-recurring dividend payment of approximately \$31.8 million related to the Illinova acquisition.

44

Financing activities in 2000 resulted in cash inflows of \$1,131 million. Approximately \$1.2 billion was raised through sales of common equity, \$200 million of which includes purchases of Class B common stock by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. The proceeds of the equity sales, in addition to dispositions of non-strategic assets, proceeds from minority interest contribution and operational cash inflows, were used as long-term financing for the purchase of Illinova. The Company also issued \$300 million of 8.125 percent Senior Notes due March 5, 2005. Further, IP redeemed \$93 million of tax advantaged Monthly Income Preferred Securities. Also during 2000, proceeds from lease arrangements of approximately \$250 million were used in the construction of two generation facilities and the U.S. fiber optic network. Other financing, net in 2000 relates primarily to the \$850 million received from a third-party investor for a minority interest in Catlin LLC, which is consolidated by Dynegy. (See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for more information.)

In December 2001, Dynegy announced an approximately \$1.25 billion capital program designed to strengthen its balance sheet and restore market confidence. In accordance with the plan, Dynegy reduced the original 2002 capital-spending program by approximately \$500 million and raised \$744 million through sales of common stock. The equity offering provided net proceeds of approximately \$539 million in December 2001 resulting from the sale of 27.5 million shares of Class A common stock to the public and proceeds of approximately \$205 million, resulting from the sale of approximately 10.4 million shares of Class B common stock to ChevronTexaco in January 2002. Concurrent with the December Class A common stock sale, members of the Company's senior management purchased approximately 1.2 million shares of Class A common stock in a private placement transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The officers paid \$19.75 per share for the stock, the same price as the net proceeds to the Company in the public offering. The officers paid for the shares by entering into promissory notes with the Company for an initial term of 60 days. The maturity date of the notes was extended for an additional 30 days with the approval of the Board of Directors. The loans bear interest at 3.25 percent and are full recourse to the borrowers. Such loans are accounted for as "Subscriptions Receivable" within Stockholders' Equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2001. The Company recognized compensation expense in 2001 of approximately \$1.2 million, which was recorded as "General and Administrative Expense."

SEASONALITY

Dynegy's revenues and operating margin are subject to fluctuations during the year, primarily due to the impact seasonal factors have on sales volumes and the prices of natural gas, electricity and NGLs. Natural gas sales volumes and operating margin have historically been higher in the winter months than in

the summer months, reflecting increased demand due to greater heating requirements and, typically, higher natural gas prices. Conversely, power marketing operations and electricity generating facilities have higher volatility and demand, respectively, in the summer cooling months, while the transmission and distribution business has higher seasonal gas sales in the winter and higher seasonal electricity sales in the summer. These trends may change over time as demand for natural gas increases in the summer months as a result of increased gas-fired electricity generation. DMS businesses are also subject to seasonal factors; however, such factors typically have a greater impact on sales prices than on sales volumes.

FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE OPERATING RESULTS

Dynegy's results of operations in 2002 and beyond may be significantly affected by the following factors:

- changes in demand for the Company's power generation, pipeline, NGL or other facilities caused by general economic or industry conditions, commodity pricing or competition;
- . the volatility and level of prices for energy commodities in North America and Europe;
- the timing and pace of energy deregulation in North America and Europe and the effect of the Enron bankruptcy on the pace of deregulation;
- the addition of the historical Texaco U.S. gas and liquids volumes to the Company's commercial relationship with ChevronTexaco;

45

- . the acquisition of Northern Natural and possible repurchase of Northern Natural by Enron;
- the effect of market uncertainties and the Enron litigation on Dynegy's credit ratings, costs of borrowing and trade credit; and
- . the execution by DGC of its business plans in the telecommunications market and the resulting impact such execution may have on the realizability of Dynegy's investment in that business segment.

The Company's results also will be significantly affected by its ability to execute the business plan and strategy described elsewhere herein, including the 2002 capital plan, and the ability to manage risk throughout the enterprise. Additional information regarding our risk management and governance activities follows. References are also made to the section "Uncertainty of Forward Looking Statements and Information" for additional factors which might impact future operating results.

CORPORATE RISK GOVERNANCE

Dynegy's operations and periodic returns are impacted by a myriad of factors, some of which may, and some of which may not, be mitigated by risk management methods. These risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price, interest rate and foreign exchange rate fluctuations, weather patterns, counterparty risk, management estimations, strategic investment decisions, changes in competition, operational risks, environmental risks and changes in regulation.

The effective management of risk is critical to Dynegy's success. Dynegy's

Board of Directors has approved a Risk Policy Statement (referred to herein as the "Dynegy Risk Policy Statement") that identifies business risks confronting the enterprise, establishes controls and procedures relating to these risks, and assigns responsibility for executing the Board's directives. As a complement to the active management of business risks, Dynegy incorporates a multi-level control environment that is consistent and aligned with the Dynegy Risk Policy Statement. The Company's comprehensive risk management process monitors, evaluates and manages the principal risks assumed in conducting Dynegy's operations consistent with the Company's corporate strategies and objectives.

Governance Committees Structure

Dynegy seeks to monitor and control its exposure to risk through a variety of separate but complementary accounting, financial, credit, operational and legal reporting systems. Structurally, Dynegy has formed a series of committees at both the Board and executive leadership levels responsible for establishing limits, for monitoring adherence to these limits and for general oversight of the Company's risk management process. Each level of committee has increasingly greater policy-setting responsibility migrating from a monitoring and managing role to the role of establishing enterprise-wide risk management policy. These committees, which are described below, meet regularly and consist of Board members and senior members of both the Company's revenue-producing units and departments that are independent of revenue-producing units.

Governance Committees Structure

[GRAPHIC DEPICTING COMMITTEE STRUCTURE]

46

Board of Directors. Ultimate responsibility for ensuring that risks are appropriately identified and managed lies with the Board of Directors. The Board's focus is on enterprise risk, which includes market, credit, interest rate, currency, operational, legal and reputational exposures. All risk management and control functions ultimately report to the Board. The Board is solely responsible for approving and amending Dynegy's Risk Policy Statement. This responsibility has been delegated to the Audit Committee.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is a sub-committee of Dynegy's Board. A key responsibility of this committee is the periodic review of the control structure governing the enterprise's internal control and risk management activities, including limit structures, risk procedures and oversight matrices. The Audit Committee governs internal control and risk-management activities through the Executive Risk Committee and the Corporate Compliance and Internal Audit Function.

Audit and Compliance Committee. The Vice President of Corporate Compliance and Internal Audit is the Company's Business Ethics and Chief Audit Executive, who reports directly to the Audit Committee. This position is responsible for the planning and execution of internal financial and operational audits regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of accounting and financial and operational controls. This individual works with the Chairman of the Audit Committee in determining the scope of this committee's function.

Executive Risk Committee. The Executive Risk Committee sets limits, capital allocation and return targets for enterprise risk, including investment, commodity and financial risks. Dynegy's Chief Executive Officer, Chief

Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk Officer comprise this committee. This committee reports to the Audit Committee.

Risk Committee. The Risk Committee is focused on the assessment of financial risks inherent in the enterprise and the establishment of policies and limits around these risks. Activities performed by this committee include review of:

- . the activities of existing businesses;
- . new businesses and products;
- . divisional market risk limits;
- . business unit market risk limits; and
- . currency and interest rate risk limits.

Dynegy's risk limit structure involves five independent limits, which include: (a) Value at Risk; (b) equity change limits; (c) stop-loss limits; (d) cash outlay limits; and (e) option limits related to time decay and volatility factors. These independent limits are applied to each of the Company's commodity, interest rate and currency portfolios on a segment and business unit basis. The business unit managers further allocate business unit risk limits to individuals or desks responsible for executing the Company's strategies. This process results in a risk control structure, aggregating risk limits throughout the organization, culminating in an enterprise-wide risk tolerance assessment. This committee reports to the Executive Risk Committee.

Finance Committee. The Finance Committee is also a sub-committee of Dynegy's Board. This committee also functions pursuant to a charter that governs its responsibilities. The Finance Committee is responsible for oversight of the Company's capital, liquidity and funding needs as well as reviewing capital allocation and target return criteria.

Risk and Environment Committee. The Risk and Environment Committee provides oversight of the Company's ongoing development and operational risk policies, framework and methodologies including policies related to the environment and occupational health and safety. The committee also determines insurance coverage and the risk retention policy related thereto. The committee monitors the effectiveness of this framework. This committee is a sub-committee of Dynegy's Board.

47

Credit Policy Committee. The Credit Policy Committee establishes and reviews broad credit policies and parameters for the enterprise. This committee reports to the Finance Committee.

Risk Limits and Governance

The Board has appointed a Chief Risk Officer who reports to the Audit Committee. The Chief Risk Officer heads an enterprise-wide risk control department. This department is independent of any revenue-producing unit of the organization and conducts its activities independent of any active management of risk exposures confronting the enterprise. The enterprise-wide risk department is charged with assuring adherence to Dynegy's Risk Policy Statement, the independent validation of valuation methodologies employed by the enterprise as well as assuring compliance with approved risk strategies. Risk limits are monitored on a daily basis by this department, and the results

of this monitoring activity is reviewed regularly by the appropriate risk committees. Limit violations are reported to the appropriate Board and management committees and business unit managers pursuant to a hierarchical reporting matrix defined in the Dynegy Risk Policy Statement. Resolution of each limit violation is consistent with protocol detailed in such statement.

The Chief Risk Officer ensures quality assurance of the Risk Policy Statement by maintaining the Dynegy Risk Management and Control Policy Manual that governs all business activity and the risk exposure therein. The Dynegy Risk Management and Control Policy Manual is a comprehensive manual advising employees of known and potential risks, processes and procedures and knowledge of all policy-related matters of risk.

Accounting Methodology

Dynegy's Controller Department is responsible for the development and application of accounting policy and control procedures for the organization's financial and operational accounting functions. This department conducts its activities independent of any active management of risk exposures confronting the enterprise, is independent of revenue-producing units and reports to the Chief Financial Officer.

The Company has identified three critical accounting policies that require a significant amount of judgment and are considered to be the most important to the portrayal of Dynegy's financial position and results of operations. These policies include the accounting for long-lived assets, the evaluation of counterparty credit and other similar risks and revenue recognition. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the process surrounding the evaluation of counterparty credit and other similar risks. For disclosure on the Company's accounting for long-lived assets and revenue recognition, refer to Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. The following narrative provides additional discussion of the Company's revenue recognition policy. The bankruptcy of Enron has placed substantial focus on accounting principles and the application of these principles. The focus has been around the transparency of disclosures relating primarily to liquidity and capital resource matters, the valuation of energy trading activities, transactions with related parties and the use of special purpose entities. As a result, accounting guidance is expected to continue to evolve and the impact of potential future revisions in accounting principles will be addressed by the Company when, and if, they occur.

Dynegy utilizes two comprehensive accounting models in reporting its consolidated financial position and results of operations as required by generally accepted accounting principles—an accrual model and a fair value model. Dynegy determines the appropriate model for its various operations based on guidance provided in numerous accounting standards and positions adopted by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company has applied these accounting policies on a consistent basis during the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, except for the adoption of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133 ("FAS No. 133"), which was effective January 1, 2001. The implementation of FAS No. 133 was not material to the Company's results of operations or financial position.

The accrual model is used to account for substantially all of the operations conducted in Dynegy's DMS, T&D and DGC segments as well as all physically operated assets owned by the WEN segment. These businesses

48

these physical assets in various manufacturing and delivery processes. These processes include the generation of electricity, the separation of natural gas liquids into their component parts from a stream of natural gas, the transportation or transmission of commodities through pipelines or over transmission lines and the delivery of data and voice bits over communication networks. End sales from these businesses result in physical delivery of commodities to Dynegy's wholesale, commercial and industrial and retail customers.

The fair value model is used to account for forward physical and financial transactions in the WEN, DMS and DGC segments, which meet criteria defined by the FASB or the Emerging Issues Task Force. The criteria are complex but generally require these contracts to be related to future periods and contain fixed price and volume components and have terms that require or permit net settlement of the contract in cash or its equivalent. The FASB determined that the fair value model is the most appropriate method for accounting for these types of contracts. In part, this conclusion is based on the cash settlement provisions in these agreements, as well as the volatility in commodity prices, interest rates and, if applicable, foreign exchange rates, which impact the valuation of these contracts. Since these transactions may be settled in cash, the value of the assets and liabilities associated with these transactions is reported at estimated settlement value based on current prices and rates as of each balance sheet date. Under applicable accounting standards, failure to present these transactions at other than estimated present settlement value based on current prices and rates would result in an inaccurate portrayal of the assets and obligations of an enterprise.

In addition, the Company routinely enters into financial instrument contracts to hedge purchase and sale commitments, fuel requirements and inventories in its natural gas, NGLs, electricity and coal businesses in order to minimize the risk of changes in market prices in these commodities. Dynegy will also execute financial instrument transactions to hedge exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. These transactions are accounted for as either cash flow hedges, fair value hedges or foreign currency hedges in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

49

The following chart provides detail on the accounting principle applications used by the organization:

Application of Comprehensive Accounting Methodologies

	Physical Asse	Physical Asset Businesses				
Accounting Model	Accrual	Hedge	Accrual			
Businesses Impacted	. Physical Generation . (WEN) Owned Storage Capacity (WEN, DMS, T&D) Gas Processing (DMS) Fractionation (DMS) Transportation & Transmission	. Physical Generation (WEN) Gas Processing (DMS)	Current Supply and Market Activities (Spot Market Transactions) (WEN, DMS)			

Regulated Businesses (T&D) Network Capacity (DGC)

Transactions Impacted

- and Sales . Fair Value Hedges Market Activities
 Equity Earnings . Foreign Currency (Spot Market from Investment in Hedges Transactions) Physical Assets

. Normal Purchases . Cash Flow Hedges . Current Supply and

- Revenue Recognition . Revenue and . Recognized When . Revenue and Expense is . Item Hedged is Expense is Recognized When Title Passes or Ineffectiveness of Service is Performed Toward National Performance Nation Immediately

and Payables
Investment in
Unconsolidated

Affiliates

- Balance Sheet Effects . Trade Receivables . Unrealized Gains and Trade Receivables and Payables . Losses in Risk and Payables Management Accounts
 - . Effective Portion of Unrealized Gains and Losses in Other Comprehensive Income

Cash Flow Effects . Disclosed in Net . Periodic Settlements . Disclosed in Net Income and Working Disclosed in Net Income and Working Capital Accounts Income and Working Capital Accounts Capital Accounts

50

ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT, VALUATION AND MONITORING

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to commodity price variability related to its natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, electricity and coal businesses. In addition, fuel requirements at its power generation, gas processing and fractionation facilities represent additional commodity price risks to the Company. In order

to manage these commodity price risks, Dynegy routinely utilizes various fixed-price forward purchase and sales contracts, futures and option contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange and swaps and options traded in the over-the-counter financial markets to:

- . Manage and hedge its fixed-price purchase and sales commitments;
- Provide fixed-price commitments as a service to its customers and suppliers;
- . Reduce its exposure to the volatility of cash market prices;
- . Protect its investment in storage inventories; and
- . Hedge fuel requirements.

The potential for changes in the market value of Dynegy's commodity, interest rate and currency portfolios is referred to as "market risk." A description of each market risk category is set forth below:

- Commodity price risks result from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities in commodities, such as electricity, natural gas, coal, NGLs, crude oil and other similar products;
- Interest rate risks primarily result from exposures to changes in the level, slope and curvature of the yield curve and the volatility of interest rates; and
- Currency rate risks result from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities in currency rates.

Dynegy seeks to manage these market risks through diversification, controlling position sizes and executing hedging strategies. The ability to manage an exposure may, however, be limited by adverse changes in market liquidity or other factors.

Valuation Criteria and Management Estimates

As discussed previously, Dynegy utilizes a fair value accounting model for some aspects of its operations as required by generally accepted accounting principles. The net gains or losses resulting from the revaluation of these contracts during the period is recognized in the Company's results of operations. For financial reporting purposes, assets and liabilities associated with these transactions are reflected on the Company's balance sheet as risk management assets and liabilities, classified as short- or long-term pursuant to each contract's individual tenor. Net unrealized gains and losses from these contracts are classified as revenue in the accompanying statement of operations. Transactions that have been realized and settled are reflected gross in revenues and cost of sales.

The following table provides an assessment of the factors impacting the change in net value of the risk management asset and liability accounts during the year ended December 31, 2001 (\$ in millions).

Fair value of portfolio at January 1, 2001	\$ 398
Gains (losses) recognized through the income statement in the period, net/(1)/	647
Cash received related to contracts settled during the period, net	(201)
Changes in fair value as a result of a change in valuation technique/ $(2)/$	(149)
Other changes in fair value, net/(3)/	240

51

- /(1)/ This amount includes approximately \$300 million which represents management's estimate of the initial value of new contracts entered into in 2001.
- /(2) /Dynegy corrected its modeling methodology effective the third quarter 2001. This amount represents the difference in the value of the portfolio based on the previous methodology and the value as recorded based on the new methodology. This corrected curve methodology was applied beginning in the third quarter 2001, as this was the period in which the Company began to enter into longer-term power transactions in the United States. See description of the corrected methodology below.
- /(3) / Consists primarily of the effect of terminating the Company's commercial positions with Enron.

The net risk management asset of \$935 million is the aggregate of the following line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheet: Current Assets-Assets from risk-management activities, Other Assets-Assets from risk-management activities, Current Liabilities-Liabilities from risk-management activities and Other Liabilities-Liabilities from risk-management activities. The increase in the net value of the risk management asset and liability accounts at December 31, 2001 from the previously reported amount of \$712 million is primarily due to the following:

- . a \$340 million increase due to the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply, an entity formed in connection with Project Alpha. This \$340 million increase reflects the recognition of ABG Gas Supply's net risk-management assets on Dynegy's Consolidated Balance Sheet; and
- . a \$40 million reclassification of inventory.

These items are offset by a \$149 million reduction resulting from the correction to the forward power curves.

Dynegy estimates the fair value of its marketing portfolio using a liquidation value approach assuming that the ability to transact business in the market remains at historical levels. The estimated fair value of the portfolio is computed by multiplying all existing positions in the portfolio by estimated prices, reduced by a LIBOR-based time value of money adjustment and deduction of reserves for credit, price and market liquidity risks.

A key aspect of Dynegy's operations and business strategy is its ability to provide customers with competitively priced bundled products and services that address customer specific energy and risk management needs. Many of these customized products and services are not exchange traded. In addition, the availability of reliable market quotations in certain regions and for certain commodities is limited as a result of liquidity and other factors. As a result,

Dynegy uses a combination of market quotations, derivatives of market quotations and proprietary models to periodically value its portfolio as required by generally accepted accounting principles. Market quotations are validated against broker quotes, regulated exchanges or third-party information. Derivatives of market quotations use validated market quotes, such as actively traded natural gas or power prices, as key inputs in determining market valuations.

In certain markets or for certain products, market quotes or derivatives of market quotes are not available or are not considered appropriate valuation techniques as a result of the newness of markets or products, a lack of liquidity in such markets or products or other factors. However, under generally accepted accounting principles, estimating the value of these types of contracts is required. Consequently, prior to the third quarter 2001, Dynegy used models principally derived from market research to estimate forward price curves for valuing positions in these markets. Dynegy models generate pricing estimates primarily for regional power markets in the United States and Europe. Price curves are derived by incorporating a number of factors, including broker quotes, near-term market indicators and a proprietary model based on a required rate of return on investment in new generation facilities. Dynegy believes that new generation needs in the United States and Europe primarily will be met through the construction of new gas-fired generation. Power prices, over the long term, will thus reflect the cost of building new gas fired generation, the cost of natural gas fuel and a cost of capital return on new construction investment. While Dynegy believes its pricing model is based on reasonable and sound assumptions, the application of forecasted pricing curves to contractual commitments may result in realized cash return on these commitments that vary significantly, either positively or negatively, from the estimated values.

52

The Company has reviewed the applicability of the methodology utilized to estimate forward power curves based on the increasing term length of contractual arrangements within its U.S. power portfolio. Based on this assessment, the Company corrected its methodology utilized to estimate forward U.S. power curves effective for the third quarter 2001. The corrected power curve methodology incorporates forward energy prices derived from broker quotes and values from executed transactions to develop mathematical forward price curves for periods where broker quotes and transaction data cannot be obtained. Please read "Explanatory Note--Restatements" to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of this correction in methodology. The Company continues to apply its previous modeling methodology to its European power portfolio because of the lack of liquidity in that market.

Risk-Management Asset and Liability Disclosures

The following chart depicts the mark-to-market value and cash flow components of the Company's net risk management assets and liabilities at December 31, 2001:

Net Risk-Management Asset and Liability Disclosures

(\$ in Millions)							
Mark-to-Market/(2)/	\$ 901	\$576	\$113	\$ 70	\$21	\$30	\$ 91
Cash Flow	1,304	646	154	112	53	58	281

- /(1)/ The table reflects the fair value of Dynegy's risk-management asset position after deduction of time value, credit, price and other reserves necessary to determine fair value. The cash flow value reflects anticipated undiscounted cash inflows and outflows by contract based on tenor of individual contract position and have not been adjusted for counterparty credit or other reserves. These amounts exclude the fair value and cash flows associated with certain derivative instruments designated as hedges, which are included in other comprehensive income (a component of Stockholders' Equity).
- /(2)/ The increase in the net value of the risk management assets and liabilities at December 31, 2001 from the previously reported amount of \$678 million is primarily due to a \$340 million increase as a result of the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply and a \$40 million reclassification of inventory. These items are offset by a \$149 million reduction resulting from the correction to the forward power curve.

The following table provides an assessment of net contract values by year based on the Company's valuation methodology described above. Approximately 97 percent of Dynegy's net risk-management asset value at December 31, 2001 was determined by market quotations or validation against industry posted prices.

Net Fair Value of Marketing Portfolio/(4)/

	Total	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter
(\$ in Millions)							
Market Quotations/(1)/	\$663 	\$576 	\$ 50 	\$ 23 	\$(21) 	\$(8) 	\$43
Other External Sources/(2)/	\$213	\$	\$ 75	\$ 60	\$ 47	\$35 	\$ (4)
Prices Based on Models/(3)/	\$ 25	\$	\$(12)	\$(13)	\$ (5)	\$ 3	\$52

^{/(1)/} Prices obtained from actively traded, liquid markets.

^{/(2)/} Mid-term prices validated against industry posted prices.

^{/(3)/} See previous discussion of the Company's use of long-term models.

^{/(4) /}The increase in the net fair value of the marketing portfolio at December 31, 2001 from the previously reported amount of \$678\$ million is primarily due to a \$340 million increase as a result of the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply and a \$40 million reclassification of inventory. These items are offset by a \$149 million reduction resulting from the correction to the forward power curve.

Value at Risk ("VaR")

In addition to applying business judgment, senior management uses a number of quantitative tools to manage the Company's exposure to market risk. These tools include:

- . Risk limits based on a summary measure of market risk exposure, referred to as VaR; and
- . Stress and scenario analyses performed daily that measure the potential effects of various market events, including substantial swings in volatility factors, absolute commodity price changes and the impact of interest rate movements.

The modeling of the risk characteristics of Dynegy's marketing portfolio involves a number of assumptions and approximations. Dynegy estimates VaR using a JP Morgan RiskMetrics(TM) approach assuming a one-day holding period. Inputs for the VaR calculation are prices, positions, instrument valuations and the variance-covariance matrix. While management believes that these assumptions and approximations are reasonable, there is no uniform industry methodology for estimating VaR, and different assumptions and/or approximations could produce materially different VaR estimates.

Dynegy uses historical data to estimate the Company's VaR and, to better reflect current asset and liability volatilities, these historical data are weighted to give greater importance to more recent observations. Given its reliance on historical data, VaR is effective in estimating risk exposures in markets in which there are not sudden fundamental changes or shifts in market conditions. An inherent limitation of VaR is that past changes in market risk factors, even when weighted toward more recent observations, may not produce accurate predictions of future market risk. VaR should be evaluated in light of this and the methodology's other limitations.

VaR represents the potential loss in value of Dynegy's enterprise-wide marketing portfolio due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon within a specified confidence level. For the VaR numbers reported below, a one-day time horizon and a 95% confidence level were used. This means that there is a one in 20 statistical chance that the daily portfolio value will fall below the expected maximum potential reduction in portfolio value at least as large as the reported VaR. Thus, a change in portfolio value greater than the expected change in portfolio value on a single trading day would be anticipated to occur, on average, about once a month. Gains or losses on a single day can exceed reported VaR by significant amounts. Gains or losses can also accumulate over a longer time horizon such as a number of consecutive trading days.

In addition, Dynegy has provided its VaR using a one-day time horizon and a 99% confidence level. The purpose of this disclosure is to provide an indication of earnings volatility using a higher confidence level. Under this presentation, there is one in one hundred chance that the daily portfolio value will fall below the expected maximum potential reduction in portfolio value at least as large as the reported VaR. The Company has also disclosed an average VaR for the year and a two-year comparison of daily and average VaR in order to provide context around the one-day amounts.

The following table sets forth the aggregate daily VaR of Dynegy's marketing portfolio:

Daily and Average VaR for Marketing Portfolio

	At December 31,		
(\$ in millions)	2001	2000	
(\$ 111 1011110115)			
One Day VaR95% Confidence Level	\$17	\$10	
One Day VaR99% Confidence Level	\$24	\$14	
Average VaR for Past Twelve Months95% Confidence Level (1)	\$12	\$11	

(1) Amounts have not been updated for the restatement items discussed in the Explanatory Note--Restatements to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements as such amounts cannot be retroactively recalculated.

54

The following table provides a rolling daily and average VaR for the Company's marketing portfolio over the past two years:

[GRAPHIC DEPICTING DAILY AND AVERAGE VAR]

As the graph above illustrates, the growth in one day VaR from 2000 to 2001 is representative of the Company's growth in business and higher market volatility in the 2001 period.

Credit Risk

Credit risk represents the loss that the Company would incur if a counterparty fails to perform under its contractual obligations. To reduce the Company's credit exposure, the Company seeks to enter into netting agreements with counterparties that permit Dynegy to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties. Dynegy attempts to further reduce credit risk with certain counterparties by entering into agreements that enable the Company to obtain collateral or to terminate or reset the terms of transactions after specified time periods or upon the occurrence of credit-related events. The Company may, at times, use credit derivatives or other structures and techniques to provide for third-party guarantees of the Company's counterparty's obligations.

Dynegy's industry typically operates under negotiated credit lines for physical delivery contracts. Dynegy's Credit Department, based on guidelines set by Dynegy's Credit Policy Committee, establishes Dynegy's counterparty credit limits. For collateralized transactions, the Company also evaluates potential exposure over a shorter collection period and gives effect to the value of collateral received. The Company further seeks to measure credit exposure through the use of scenario analyses and other quantitative tools. Dynegy's credit management systems monitor current and potential credit exposure to individual counterparties and on an aggregate basis to counterparties and their affiliates.

The following table displays the value of Dynegy's marketing portfolio, inclusive of hedging activities, at December 31, 2001:

	Grade Credit Quality	Below Investment Grade Credit Quality or Unrated	
		in millions)	
Utilities and power generators Financial institutions Oil and gas producers Commercial and industrial companies Other	\$ 474 (106) 129 400 52	\$ (26) 1 124 95 6	(105) 253
Value of portfolio before reserves.	\$ 949	\$200 ====	1,149
Credit and market reserves			(248)
Other			901
Net risk-management assets			\$ 935 =====

The net risk management asset of \$935 million is the aggregate of the following line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheet: Current Assets--Assets from risk-management activities, Other Assets--Assets from risk-management activities, Current Liabilities--Liabilities from risk-management activities and Other Liabilities--Liabilities from risk-management activities. The increase in the net risk-management assets at

55

December 31, 2001 from the previously reported amount of \$712 million is primarily due to a \$340 million increase as a result of the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply and a \$40 million reclassification of inventory. These items are offset by a \$149 million reduction resulting from the adjustments to the forward power curves.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk results from variable rate debt obligations and from providing risk-management services to customers, since changing interest rates impact the discounted value of future cash flows used to value risk-management assets and liabilities. Management continually monitors its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and may execute swaps or other financial instruments to hedge and mitigate this exposure.

Marketing portfolio. The following table sets forth the daily and average VaR associated with the interest rate component of the marketing portfolio. Dynegy seeks to manage its interest rate exposure through application of various hedging strategies. Hedging instruments executed to mitigate such interest rate exposure in the marketing portfolio are included in the VaR as of December 31, 2001 reflected in the table below.

Daily and Average VaR on Interest Component of Marketing Portfolio

	At December 31,
	(\$ in millions)
2001 One Day VaR95% Confidence Level	\$0.1
Average VaR for Past Twelve Months95% Confidence Level (1)	\$1.6 ====

(1) Amounts have not been updated for the restatement items discussed in the Explanatory Note to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements as such amounts cannot be retroactively recalculated.

Variable Rate Financial Obligations. Based on sensitivity analysis as of December 31, 2001, it is estimated that one percentage point interest rate movement in the average market interest rates (either higher or (lower)) in 2002 would decrease (increase) income before taxes by approximately \$35 million. This amount was determined based on hypothetical interest rate movement on the Company's variable rate financial obligations as of December 31, 2001. Since December 31, 2001, the Company has entered into approximately \$2.0 billion of interest rate swaps and increased the ratio of fixed interest obligations to total financial obligations through the acquisition of Northern Natural and the sale of \$500 million of fixed rate debt in February 2002. Considering these events, the sensitivity at December 31, 2001 would have been reduced from \$35 million to \$19 million.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Foreign currency risk arises from the Company's investments in affiliates and subsidiaries owned and operated in foreign countries. Such risk is also a result of risk management transactions with customers in countries outside the U.S. Management continually monitors its exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. When possible, contracts are denominated in or indexed to the U.S. dollar, or such risk may be hedged through debt denominated in the foreign currency or through financial contracts.

At December 31, 2001, the Company's primary foreign currency exchange rate exposures were the United Kingdom Pound, Canadian Dollar, European Euro and Norwegian Kroner. Dynegy seeks to manage its foreign currency exchange rate exposure through application of various hedging strategies.

56

The following table sets forth the daily and average Foreign Currency ${\tt Exchange\ VaR:}$

Daily and Average Foreign Currency Exchange VaR

At December 31, 2001 -----(\$ in millions)

One Day	VaR-	959	cont	fidence	Level			\$0.6
Average	VaR	for	Past	Twelve	Months95%	Confidence	Level	\$1.1
								====

Derivative Contracts

The absolute notional contract amounts associated with the Company's commodity risk-management, interest rate and foreign currency exchange contracts were as follows:

Absolute Notional Contract Amounts

	December 31,		
		2000	
Natural Gas (Trillion Cubic Feet)			
Natural Gas Liquids (Million Barrels)		5 6.410	19.902
Crude Oil (Million Barrels)	18.	 5 17.3	
Fair Value Hedge Interest Rate Swaps (in Millions of U.S. Dollars) Fixed Interest Rate Received on Swaps (Percent)	5.28	4	0.210
Cash Flow Hedge Interest Rate Swaps (in millions of U.S. Dollars). Fixed Interest Rate Paid on Swaps (Percent) Interest Rate Risk-Management Contract	4.39	7	\$ - \$
Fixed Interest Rate Paid (Percent)	6.15	1	·
Fixed Interest Rate Received (Percent)	4.37	0	 \$ 86
Average U.K. Pound Sterling Contract Rate (in U.S. Dollars) Euro Dollars (in millions of U.S. Dollars)	\$1.423	3 \$ 1.4658	\$1.6191
Average Euro Dollar Contract Rate (in U.S. Dollars)	\$ 1,39	5 \$ 738	\$ 289

Legal Risks

Derivative transactions may also involve the legal risk that they are not authorized or appropriate for a counterparty, that documentation has not been properly executed or that executed agreements may not be enforceable against the counterparty. The Company attempts to minimize these risks by employing the use of standard contracts, where applicable, or by routinely obtaining advice of counsel on the enforceability of agreements as well as on the authority of a counterparty to effect the derivative transaction.

Operational Risks

Dynegy is subject to all risks inherent in the various businesses in which it operates. These risks include, but are not limited to, explosions, fires, terrorist attacks and product spillage, any or all of which could result in

damage to or destruction of operating assets and other property or personal injury, loss of life or pollution of the environment, as well as curtailment or suspension of operations at the affected facility. Dynegy's Risk and Environment Committee establishes metrics and assesses compliance with corporate risk guidelines. Dynegy maintains general public liability, property and business interruption insurance in amounts that it considers to be adequate for such risks. Such insurance is subject to deductibles that the Company considers reasonable and not excessive. The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured or indemnified against or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations could materially and adversely affect Dynegy's results of operations and financial condition. Events impacting the economics of the insurance industry have brought into question companies' ability to obtain insurance coverage at reasonable rates. Dynegy will continue to assess the various coverage alternatives and may decide in the future to increase its reliance on self-insurance.

In addition to these commercial operational risks, the Company faces reputational damage and financial loss as a result of inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems. A systems failure or failure to enter a transaction properly into the records may result in an inability to settle transactions in a timely manner or in a breach of the contract. To minimize these risks, the Company has developed policies and controls with respect to data entry and processing of transactions, clearance and settlement of transactions and the detection and prevention of employee error or improper or fraudulent activity.

Conclusion

Management believes the Company has effective procedures for evaluating and managing the market, credit and other risks to which it is exposed.

Nonetheless, the effectiveness of these policies and procedures for managing risk exposure can never be completely predicted or fully assured. For example, unexpectedly large or rapid movements or disruptions in one or more markets or other unforeseen developments can have a material adverse effect on results of operations and financial condition. The consequences of these developments can include decreases in the liquidity of trading positions, higher earnings volatility, increased credit exposure to customers and counterparties and increased general systemic risk.

Uncertainty of Forward-Looking Statements and Information

This annual report includes statements reflecting assumptions, expectations, projections, intentions or beliefs about future events that are intended as "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "forecast," "may," "will," "should," "expect" and other words of similar meaning. In particular, these include, but are not limited to, statements relating to the following:

- . Projected operating or financial results;
- . Expectations regarding capital expenditures, dividends and other matters;
- Pending or recent acquisitions such as the Northern Natural and BG Storage Limited acquisitions, including the anticipated closing date, expected cost savings or synergies and the accretive or dilutive impact of an acquisition on earnings;

- Expectations regarding transaction volume and liquidity in wholesale energy markets in the North America and Europe;
- . The Company's beliefs and assumptions relating to trade credit in the wholesale energy market and its liquidity position, including its ability to meet its obligations in the event of a downgrade in its credit ratings;
- . The Company's ability to execute additional capital enhancing transactions such as asset sales, joint ventures or financings to enhance its liquidity position;

58

- The Company's ability to refinance its bank credit facilities in the ordinary course of business and to satisfy debt service and other obligations as they become due;
- . Beliefs or assumptions about the outlook for deregulation of retail and wholesale energy markets in North America and Europe and anticipated business developments in such markets;
- . The Company's ability to effectively compete for market share with industry participants;
- Beliefs about the outcome of legal and administrative proceedings, including matters involving Enron, the California power market and environmental matters;
- . The Company's expectations relating to the completion of the three-year re-audit;
- . The expected commencement date for commercial operations for new power plants; and
- . Anticipated developments with respect to demand for broadband services and applications and the Company's strategic plans in connection therewith.

Any or all of Dynegy's forward-looking statements may turn out to be wrong. They can be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties, including the following:

- . The timing and extent of changes in commodity prices for energy, particularly natural gas, electricity and NGLs, or communications products or services;
- . The timing and extent of deregulation of energy markets in North America and Europe and the rules and regulations adopted on a transitional basis in such markets;
- The condition of the capital markets generally, which will be affected by interest rates, foreign currency fluctuations and general economic conditions, and Dynegy's financial condition, including Dynegy Holdings' ability to maintain its investment grade credit ratings;
- Developments in the California power markets, including, but not limited to, governmental intervention, deterioration in the financial condition of our counterparties, default on receivables due and adverse results in current or future litigation;

- The effectiveness of Dynegy's risk-management policies and procedures and the ability of Dynegy's counterparties to satisfy their financial commitments;
- . The liquidity and competitiveness of wholesale trading markets for energy commodities, including the impact of electronic or online trading in these markets;
- . The direct or indirect effects on our business resulting from the financial difficulties of Enron, or other competitors of Dynegy, including, but not limited to, their effects on liquidity in the trading and power industry, and its effects on the capital markets views of the energy or trading industry and our ability to access the capital markets on the same favorable terms as in the past;
- Operational factors affecting the start up or ongoing commercial operations of Dynegy's power generation or midstream natural gas facilities, including catastrophic weather related damage, unscheduled outages or repairs, unanticipated changes in fuel costs or availability or the availability of fuel emission credits, the unavailability of gas transportation, the unavailability of electric transmission service or workforce issues;
- . The cost of borrowing, availability of trade credit and other factors affecting Dynegy's financing activities;
- The direct or indirect effects on our business of a lowering of our credit rating (or actions we may take in response to changing credit ratings criteria), including, increased collateral requirements to execute our business plan, demands for increased collateral by our counterparties, refusal by our counterparties to enter into transactions with us and our inability to obtain credit or capital in amounts or on terms favorable to us;

59

- Uncertainties regarding the development of, and competition within, the market for broadband services in North America and Europe, including risks relating to competing technologies and standards, regulation, capital costs and the timing and amount of customer demand for high bandwidth applications;
- Cost and other effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations and claims, including matters involving Enron and environmental liabilities that may not be covered by indemnity or insurance;
- . Other North American or European regulatory or legislative developments that affect the demand for energy generally, increase the environmental compliance cost for Dynegy's power generation or midstream gas facilities or impose liabilities on the owners of such facilities; and
- . General political conditions, including any extended period of war or conflict involving North America or Europe.

Many of these factors will be important in determining Dynegy's actual future results. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed. Dynegy's actual future results may vary materially from those expressed or

implied in any forward-looking statements.

All of Dynegy's forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements and any other cautionary statements that may accompany such forward-looking statements. In addition, Dynegy disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report.

Item 7A. OUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk are set forth in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" herein.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and financial statement schedule of the Company are set forth at pages F-1 through F-61 inclusive, found at the end of this report, and are incorporated herein by reference.

60

DYNEGY INC.

PART IV

Item 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON 8-K

- (a) The following documents, which have been filed by the Company with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are by this reference incorporated in and made a part of this report:
 - 1. Financial Statements—Consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries are incorporated under Item 8. of this Form 10-K/A.
 - 2. Financial Statement Schedules--Financial Statement Schedules are incorporated under Item 8. of this Form 10-K/A.
 - 3. Exhibits—The following instruments and documents are included as exhibits to this Form 10-K/A. All management contracts or compensation plans or arrangements set forth in such list are marked with a ++.

Exhibit Number

Description

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference Appendix A to the Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1 filed with the SEC on April 25, 2001).
- 3.2 Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Series B Mandatorily Convertible Redeemabl Preferred Stock of Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to t Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1999 of Dynegy Inc., No. 1-11156).

- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of December 11, 1995, by and among NGC Corporation, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and the First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee (incorporat reference to exhibits to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 of NGC Corporation, Reg No. 33-97368).
- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 31, 1996, by and among NGC Corporation, Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, supplementing and amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period September 30, 1996 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.3 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 11, 1996, by and among NGC Corporation Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, supplementing and amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period September 30, 1996 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.4 Subordinated Debenture Indenture between NGC Corporation and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Debenture Trustee, dated as of May 28, 1997 (incorporated by reference to E to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 1997 of NG Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.5 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust among NGC Corporation, Wilmington Trust Company as Property Trustee and Delaware Trustee, and the Administrative Trustees named therein as of May 28, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 1997 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156)

61

DYNEGY INC.

PART IV

Exhibit Number

Description

- 4.6 Series A Capital Securities Guarantee executed by NGC Corporation and The First National Chicago, as Guarantee Trustee, dated as of May 28, 1997 (incorporated by reference to E to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 1997 of NG Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.7 Common Securities Guarantee of NGC Corporation dated as of May 28, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period June 30, 1997 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.8 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1997, among NGC Corporation, NGC Corporation Capital Trust I, Lehman Brothers, Salomon Brothers Inc. and Smith Barney In (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Period Ended June 30, 1997 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.9 Fourth Supplemental Indenture among NGC Corporation, Destec Energy, Inc. and The First Na Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, dated as of June 30, 1997, supplementing and amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 1997 of NGC

Corporation, File No. 1-11156).

- 4.10 Fifth Supplemental Indenture among NGC Corporation, The Subsidiary Guarantors named there and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, dated as of September 30, 1997, supplementing and amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended D 31, 1997 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.11 Sixth Supplemental Indenture among NGC Corporation, The Subsidiary Guarantors named there and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, dated as of January 5, 1998, supple and amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to 4.19 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1997 of N Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.12 Seventh Supplemental Indenture among NGC Corporation, The Subsidiary Guarantors named the and The First National Bank of Chicago, as Trustee, dated as of February 20, 1998, supplemental amending the Indenture dated as of December 11, 1995 (incorporated by reference to 4.20 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1997 of National Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
- 4.13 Indenture, dated as of September 26, 1996, restated as of March 23, 1998, and amended and as of March 14, 2001, between Dynegy Holdings Inc. and Bank One Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to the Annual Report 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2000 of Dynegy Holdings Inc., File No. 0-29
 - There have not been filed or incorporated as exhibits to this Form 10-K other debt instructed defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Dynegy and its subsidiaries, none of relates to authorized indebtedness that exceeds 10% of the consolidated assets of Dyneg subsidiaries. Dynegy hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument not previous the SEC upon request.
- 10.1 Dynegy Inc. Amended and Restated 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exh. 10.3 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1998 of D. Inc., File No. 1-11156).++

62

DYNEGY INC.

PART IV

Exhibit Number

Description

- 10.2 Dynegy Inc. 1998 U.K. Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1998 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 11156).++

- 10.6 Dynegy Inc. 2001 Non-Executive Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 333-76080).++
- 10.7 Extant, Inc. Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the R Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 333-47422).++
- 10.8 Employment Agreement, effective February 1, 2000, between Charles L. Watson and Dynegy In (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fi Ended December 31, 1999 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-11156).++
- 10.9 Employment Agreement, effective February 1, 2000, between Stephen W. Bergstrom and Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for Year Ended December 31, 1999 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-11156).++
- 10.10 Employment Agreement, effective February 1, 2000, between Robert D. Doty, Jr. and Dynegy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fi Ended December 31, 2000 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659).++
- 10.11 Employment Agreement, effective February 1, 2000, between Kenneth E. Randolph and Dynegy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the F Ended December 31, 1999 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-11156).++
- 10.12 Employment Agreement, effective as of February 1, 2000, between R. Blake Young and Dynegy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the F Ended December 31, 2000 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659).++
- 10.13 Employment Agreement, effective February 1, 2000, between Matthew K. Schatzman and Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fo Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2000 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659).++
- 10.14 Dynegy Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Certain Directors (incorporated by reference t 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2000 Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659).++
- 10.15 Dynegy Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2002 (incor by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 383-76570).++
- 10.16 Dynegy Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan Trust Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10. Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 333-76570).++

63

DYNEGY INC.

PART IV

Exhibit
Number Description

- 10.17 Dynegy Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 333-76080).++
- 10.18 Dynegy Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan Trust Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhi to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Dynegy Inc., Registration No. 333-76080).+
- + 10.19 Dynegy Inc. Short-Term Executive Stock Purchase Loan Program.++
 - 10.20 Lease Agreement entered into on June 12, 1996 between Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Metropolitan Tower Realty Company, Inc., as landlord, and NGC Corporation, as tenant (incorporated by reference to exhibits to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Mid Combination Corp., Registration No. 333-09419).
 - 10.21 First Amendment to Lease Agreement entered into on June 12, 1996 between Metropolitan Lif Insurance Company and Metropolitan Tower Realty Company, Inc., as landlord, and NGC Corporation, as tenant (incorporated by reference to exhibits to the Registration State Form S-4 of Midstream Combination Corp., Registration No. 333-09419).
 - 10.22 Contribution and Assumption Agreement, dated as of August 31, 1996, among Chevron U.S.A. Chevron Pipe Line Company, Chevron Chemical Company and Midstream Combination Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Period Ended September 30, 1996 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
 - 10.23 Master Alliance Agreement, dated as of September 1, 1996, among Chevron U.S.A. Inc., Chev Chemical Company, Chevron Pipe Line Company, and other Chevron U.S.A. Inc. affiliates, Corporation, Natural Gas Clearinghouse, Warren Petroleum Company, Limited Partnership, Electric Clearinghouse, Inc. and other NGC Corporation affiliates (incorporated by refe Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended Septem 1996 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
 - *10.24 Natural Gas Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of August 30, 1996, between Chevron U.S Inc. and Natural Gas Clearinghouse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Qu Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 1996 of NGC Corporation File No. 1-11156).
 - *10.25 Master Natural Gas Liquids Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 1, 1996, between War Petroleum Company, Limited Partnership and Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by referen Exhibit 10.8 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended Septem 1996 of NGC Corporation, File No. 1-11156).
 - 10.26 Shareholder Agreement of Energy Convergence Holding Company with Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy No. 1-11156, dated June 14, 1999).
 - 10.27 Dynegy Inc. Severance Pay Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Annual Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1998 of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-11156)
 - 10.28 Registration Rights Agreement Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-11156, dated June 14, 1999).
 - 10.29 First Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by ref to Exhibit 10.9 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dat November 9, 2001).

64

DYNEGY INC.

Exhibit
Number Description

- 10.30 Subscription Agreement dated as of November 9, 2001 by and among Enron Corp., Northern National Gas Company and Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.31 Option Agreement dated as of November 9, 2001 by and among CGNN Holding Company, Inc., MCTJ Holding Co. LLC, Enron Corp., Dynegy Holdings Inc. and, solely for the provisions Section 5.1 thereof, Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Curr on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.32 Amendment to Option Agreement among CGNN Holding Company, Inc., MCTJ Holding Co. LLC, Enron Corp., Dynegy Holdings Inc. and Dynegy Inc. dated as of November 19 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy File No. 1-15659, dated November 19, 2001).
- 10.33 Purchase Option Agreement dated as of November 9, 2001 by and among CGNN Holding Company, Inc., MCTJ Holding Co. LLC, Northern Natural Gas Company, Enron Corp., Dynegy Holdings Inc. and Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.34 Amendment to Purchase Option Agreement among CGNN Holding Company, Inc., MCTJ Holding Co. LLC, Northern Natural Gas Company, Enron Corp., Dynegy Holdings Inc. and Dynegy Inc. dated as of November 19, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 19, 2001).
- 10.35 Series B Preferred Stock Subscription Agreement dated as of November 9, 2001 by and betwee ChevronTexaco Corp. and Dynegy Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Control Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.36 Certificate of Designations of Series A Preferred Stock of Northern National Gas Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.37 Certificate of Correction of Certificate of Designations of Series A Preferred Stock of N National Gas Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Current Report of 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated November 9, 2001).
- 10.38 Settlement Agreement among Dynegy Inc., Dynegy Holdings Inc., CGNN Holding Company, Inc. and MCTJ Holding Co. LLC dated as of January 3, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhi 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated January 2002).
- 10.39 Agreement among Dynegy Inc., Dynegy Holdings Inc., Enron Corp. and Enron Transportation Services Co. dated as of January 3, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Report on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc., File No. 1-15659, dated January 3, 2002).
- + 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- + +23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- **99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Ac
- **99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Acres 200.

⁺ Previously filed.

⁺⁺ Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

^{*} Exhibit omits certain information, which the Company has filed separately with the SEC pursuant to a confidential treatment request pursuant to Rule 406 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

^{**} Furnished herewith.

65

- (b) Reports on Form 8-K of Dynegy Inc. for the fourth quarter of 2001.
- 1. During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2001. Items 5 and 7 were reported and no financial statements were filed.
- 2. During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2001. Items 5 and 7 were reported and no financial statements were filed.
- 3. During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 28, 2001. Items 5, 7 and 9 were reported and no financial statements were filed.
- 4. During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 2, 2001. Items 5, 7 and 9 were reported and no financial statements were filed.
- 5. During the quarter ended December 31, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 19, 2001. Items 5, 7 and 9 were reported and no financial statements were filed.

66

DYNEGY INC. SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DYNEGY INC.

Date: April 11, 2003 By:

/s/ NICK J. CARUSO

Nick J. Caruso, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

67

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

- I, Bruce A. Williamson, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Amendment No. 2 to the 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K of Dynegy Inc. ("Amendment No. 2");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this Amendment No. 2 does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period

covered by this Amendment No. 2; and

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this Amendment No. 2, fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Dynegy Inc. as of, and for, the periods presented in this Amendment No. 2.

/s/ Bruce A. Williamson

Date: April 11, 2003

Bruce A. Williamson

Bruce A. Williamson

President and Chief Executive Officer

68

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

- I, Nick J. Caruso, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Amendment No. 2 to the 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K of Dynegy Inc. ("Amendment No. 2");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this Amendment No. 2 does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Amendment No. 2; and
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this Amendment No. 2, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Dynegy Inc. as of, and for, the periods presented in this Amendment No. 2.

/s/ Nick J. Caruso

Date: April 11, 2003

Nick J. Caruso

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

69

DYNEGY INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Financial Statements (Restated)
Report of Independent Accountants
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Financial Statement Schedule (Restated)
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Dynegy Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dynegy Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and this financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and this financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 11, the Company is the subject of substantial litigation. The Company's ongoing liquidity, financial position and operating results may be adversely impacted by the nature, timing and amount of the resolution of such litigation. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments, beyond existing accruals applicable under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," that might result from the ultimate resolution of such matters.

See Note 9 for a discussion of the Company's plan with respect to the Series B Preferred Stock held by ChevronTexaco and its mandatory redemption date of November 13, 2003.

As discussed in the Explanatory Note--Restatements, the consolidated financial statements, which were originally audited by other independent accountants who have ceased operations, include restatements of the Company's previously filed consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. As disclosed in the Explanatory Note--Restatements, the Company has reduced its retained earnings at December 31, 1998 from amounts previously reported on by other independent accountants in the amount of \$55 million.

As discussed in Note 3, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" as of January 1, 2001.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Houston, Texas

April 9, 2003

F-2

DYNEGY INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(RESTATED)

ASSETS
Current Assets
Cash and cash equivalents
Restricted cash
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$113 million and \$69 million,
respectively
Accounts receivable, affiliates
Inventories
Prepayments and other assets
riepayments and other assets
Total Current Assets
Property, Plant and Equipment
Less: accumulated depreciation
Other Assets
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates
Investment in Northern Natural Gas Company
Assets from risk-management activities
Intangible assets, net of amortization
Other assets
Total Assets
TIADITITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS FORTEN
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
Current Liabilities
Current Liabilities Accounts payable
Current Liabilities Accounts payable
Current Liabilities Accounts payable
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other Liabilities from risk-management activities
Current Liabilities Accounts payable
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other Liabilities from risk-management activities
Current Liabilities Accounts payable
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes Total Current Liabilities Long-Term Debt Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes Liabilities from risk-management activities.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes. Total Current Liabilities. Long-Term Debt. Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Deferred income taxes.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes Total Current Liabilities Long-Term Debt Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes Liabilities from risk-management activities.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes. Total Current Liabilities. Long-Term Debt Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Deferred income taxes. Other long-term liabilities.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes. Total Current Liabilities. Long-Term Debt. Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Deferred income taxes.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable Accounts payable, affiliates Accrued liabilities and other. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes. Total Current Liabilities. Long-Term Debt Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes. Liabilities from risk-management activities. Deferred income taxes. Other long-term liabilities.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable. Accounts payable, affiliates. Accrued liabilities and other Liabilities from risk-management activities. Current portion of long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes. Total Current Liabilities. Long-Term Debt. Other Liabilities Transitional funding trust notes. Liabilities from risk-management activities Deferred income taxes. Other long-term liabilities.

Series B Convertible Preferred Securities (Note 9)
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)
Stockholders' Equity
Class A common stock, no par value, 900,000,000 and 300,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively; 269,984,456 and 237,390,802 shares issued and outstanding at December 31,
31, 2001 and 2000, respectively
Class B common stock, no par value, 360,000,000 and 120,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively; 86,499,914 and 85,330,544 shares issued and outstanding at December
2001 and 2000, respectively
Additional paid-in capital (Note 9)
Subscriptions receivable (Note 13)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax
Retained earnings
Less: treasury stock, at cost: 1,766,800 and 70,000 shares at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respect
Total Stockholders' Equity
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

DYNEGY INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(RESTATED)

	Year En	ded Decem	ber 31,
	2001	2000	1999
	except	s, e data)	
Revenues Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation shown below) Depreciation and amortization expense Loss (gain) on asset sales General and administrative expenses	40,812 488 (36)	\$29,327 27,934 393 (132) 345	\$15,410 14,900 115 (50) 219
Operating income. Earnings of unconsolidated affiliates Other income	802 217 80 (270) (13)	787 205 52 (251) (30)	226 80 12 (78) (15)

Minority interest expense	(78) (22)	(52) (29)	
Income before income taxes	716	682 246	197 60
Income from operations	404	436	137
NET INCOME	\$	\$ 436	\$ 137
Net income Less: preferred stock dividends	\$ 406	\$ 436 35	\$ 137
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$	\$ 401	\$ 137
Net Income Per Share: Basic earnings per share	1.12		
Diluted earnings per share	1.07		
Basic shares outstanding	326		
Diluted shares outstanding		315	230

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-4

DYNEGY INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(RESTATED)

	Ye	ar End	ed De	cemb	er 3	1,
	2001		2000		19	199
		 (in	mill	ions)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	406	\$	436	\$ 1	37
Items not affecting cash flows from operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization, impairment and abandonment		486		362		93

Earnings from unconsolidated investments, net of cash distributions. Risk-management activities	(117) (17) 242 (36) 55 51	(87) (145) 170 (132) 45 71 (5,060)	(14) (174) 57 (50) 16
Inventories	24	(129)	(20)
Prepayments and other assets	(183)	93	51
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(2,011)	4,870	404
Other, net	28	(74)	(32)
Net cash provided by operating activities	550	420	40
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Capital expenditures	(2 , 551)	(1,071)	(437)
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(1 , 533)	(142)	(84)
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(603)	(1,202)	
Proceeds from asset sales	1,078	876 	81
Other investing, net	(219)		49
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,828)	(1,539)	(391)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	1,537	570	469
Repayments of long-term borrowings	(504)	(359)	(44)
Net cash flow from commercial paper and money market lines of credit	599	(906)	(42)
Increase in restricted cash	(1)	(27)	
Proceeds from sale of capital stock, options and warrants	604	1,216	22
Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock	1,500		
Purchase of treasury stock	(68) (100)	(3) (93)	
Dividends and other distributions, net	(100)	(112)	(8)
Other financing, net	(19)	845	2.
Other linahering, het			
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,450	1,131	399
Effect of exchange rates on cash	(23)	(29)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	149	(17)	48
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	59	76 	28
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 208	\$ 59	\$ 76

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-5

DYNEGY INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(RESTATED)

		ed Stock			Dalla Ta		Data la d	Tr	
		Amount	Shares			Other	Retained Earnings	Shar	
					(in mill				
December 31, 1998	5	\$ 75	211	\$ 1	\$ 935	\$	\$ 79	(2	
Net income							137		
Total comprehensive income			-		0.0				
Options exercised			5		22				
Dividends and other distributions							(8)		
401(k) plan and profit sharing stock			1		10				
Options granted					6				
December 31, 1999	5	\$ 75	217	\$ 1	\$ 973	\$	\$ 208	(2	
Net income							436		
tax						(15)			
Total comprehensive income									
Illinova acquisition	1	(75)	59	1,817	(973)			2	
Common Stock issued			23	858					
Preferred Stock conversion	(6)		12						
Extant acquisition			2	67					
Options exercised			9	157					
Dividends and other distributions							(112)		
401(k) plan and profit sharing stock			1	12					
Options granted					15				
Treasury stock									
readary become									
December 31, 2000 Comprehensive income:		\$	323	\$2,912	\$ 15	\$(15)	\$ 532		
Net income							406		
tax						(12)			
Total comprehensive income									
Common Stock issued			31	605					
Subscriptions receivable Implied dividend on Series B Preferred				(38)					
Stock					660				
Options exercised			3	57					
Dividends and other distributions							(140)		
401(k) plan and profit sharing stock				13					
Options granted					13				
Treasury stock								2	
D			257				^ 700		
December 31, 2001		\$ ====	357	\$3,549	\$ 688	\$ (27)	\$ 798	2	
	==	====	===		=====		=====	==	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-6

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(RESTATED)

EXPLANATORY NOTE--RESTATEMENTS

This Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A includes restatements of the Company's consolidated financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These restated financial statements contain corrections to the Company's 2001, 2000 and 1999 financial statements previously filed with Dynegy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Dynegy's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2001, which was filed on February 14, 2003. The restatements relate to the following items:

- . the Project Alpha structured natural gas transaction,
- a balance sheet reconciliation project relating principally to the Company's natural gas marketing business,
- . corrections to the Company's previous hedge accounting for certain contracts resulting in the Company accounting for these contracts pursuant to the mark-to-market method under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended ("Statement No. 133"); in addition, the Company determined that it had incorrectly accounted for certain derivative transactions prior to the adoption of Statement No. 133,
- . the valuation used in the Company's 2000 acquisition of Extant, Inc.,
- the restatement of the Company's forward power curve methodology to reflect forward power and market prices more closely,
- the recognition of additional assets, accrued liabilities and debt associated with certain lease arrangements, as well as depreciation and amortization expense for the related assets,
- . a correction to the measurement date relating to the implied dividend the Company previously recorded related to the in-the-money beneficial conversion option in the \$1.5 billion in Series B preferred stock issued

to ChevronTexaco in November 2001,

- . the recognition of an other-than-temporary decline in value of a technology investment in the third quarter of 2001 rather than the second quarter of 2002,
- . corrections to our previous accounting for income taxes, and
- . other adjustments that arose during the re-audit of the Company's 1999-2001 financial statements.

Quarterly information in this Explanatory Note is unaudited. Specifically, the restatements are as follows:

Project Alpha. Dynegy entered into the Project Alpha structured natural gas transaction in April 2001. As described in a Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 25, 2002 (the "Alpha Form 8-K"), Dynegy restated the cash flow associated with the related gas supply contract as a financing activity in its Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for 2001. The effect of this restatement was to reclassify approximately \$290 million of previously disclosed 2001 operating cash flow to financing cash flow. Following the disclosure in the Alpha Form 8-K and in connection with a further review of Project Alpha, Arthur Andersen LLP ("Andersen") informed the Company that it could no longer support its tax opinion relating to the transaction. Andersen's change in position was based in part on its conclusion that the reclassification of cash flow from operations to cash flow from financing lessened the factual basis for the opinion. Dynegy's financial statement recognition of the tax benefit in 2001 was based principally on the Company's assessment of the relevant issues, as corroborated by Andersen's tax

F-7

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

opinion. After the withdrawal of Andersen's tax opinion, management concluded that sufficient support to include the income tax benefit for financial statement presentation purposes no longer existed, the effect of which was a reversal of approximately \$79 million (\$0.23 per diluted share) of tax benefit previously recognized by the Company during the 2001 period. Andersen further advised the Company that its audit opinion relating to 2001 should no longer be relied upon as a result of the pending restatements relating to Project Alpha and such audit opinion has been withdrawn. Dynegy subsequently concluded that

its 2001 restated consolidated financial statements would include the consolidation of ABG Gas Supply, LLC ("ABG"), one of the entities formed in connection with the transaction. The consolidation of ABG is included herein based on compilations of financial information received from an agent of ABG's equity holders. The most significant impact of this consolidation is to increase Dynegy's consolidated indebtedness by approximately \$280 million and to increase net risk management assets by \$340 million, in each case at December 31, 2001. This \$340 million increase reflects the recognition of ABG's net risk-management assets on Dynegy's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The table below reflects the quarterly and year-to-date impact of the Project Alpha restatements on net income as originally reported:

	Three	Three	Three		Three		Six			Twelve	
	Months	Months	Months		Months		Months	Nine Months		Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended		Ended		Ended	Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31	June 30	September	30	December	31
	(\$ in millions)										
2001	\$	\$(27)	\$(36)		\$(16)		\$(27)	\$(63)		\$(79)	

Balance Sheet Reconciliation Project. Dynegy originally recognized an after-tax charge of approximately \$80 million (\$124 million pre-tax) in the second guarter 2002 related to a balance sheet reconciliation project undertaken by the Company at the beginning of 2002. The charge related principally to the Company's natural gas marketing business and was associated with the process of reconciling accrued to actual results. Accrual accounting for natural gas marketing involves the estimation of gas volumes bought, sold, transported and stored, as well as the subsequent reconciliation of estimated to actual volumes. The Company has restated its financial statements to allocate this \$80 million charge from the second quarter 2002 back to the periods in which the transactions giving rise to the charge originally occurred. The table below reflects the impact on net income of this restatement. The table below reflects revisions to the table originally included in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2002. The effect of these revisions is to re-allocate the charge among the periods presented.

						Six			Twelve
	Three	Three	Three		Three	Month	ns		Months
	Months	Months	Months		Months	Ended	d Nine Month	ns	Ended
	Ended	Ended	Ended		Ended	June	e Ended		December
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31 30	September	30	31
_									
				(\$	in millic	ons)			
1998 and prior									\$(33)
1999	\$(12)	\$ 3	\$ 4		\$ 9	\$(9)	\$(5)		4
2000	(7)	3	1		(35)	(4)	(3)		(38)
2001	22	(18)	(4)		(26)	4			(26)

F-8

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The restatement decreased diluted earnings per share by \$0.08, decreased diluted earnings per share by \$0.12 and increased diluted earnings per share by \$0.02 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Corrected Hedge Accounting. The Company adopted Statement No. 133 effective January 1, 2001 and reflected certain contracts as cash flow hedges upon such adoption. Management has subsequently determined that following the initial adoption of Statement No. 133, the documentation of compliance requirements under the standard, particularly as it relates to documentation and the periodic assessment of hedge effectiveness, was inadequate to support the accounting method previously applied. In addition, the Company determined that it had incorrectly accounted for certain derivative transactions prior to the adoption of Statement No. 133. The resulting restatement reflects the accounting for these contracts on a mark-to-market basis rather than on the deferred basis previously employed. The correction in the accounting method for these contracts increased previously reported 1999 net income by \$2 million (\$0.01 per diluted share), decreased previously reported 2000 net income by approximately \$19 million (\$0.06 per diluted share) and increased previously reported 2001 net income by approximately \$45 million (\$0.13 per diluted share). This correction had no impact on previously reported cash flows from operations in any period.

The table below reflects the impact of this restatement on net income as originally reported:

	Three	Three	Three		Three		Six			Twelve	
	Months	Months	Months		Months		Months	Nine Month	ıs	Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended		Ended		Ended	Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31	June 30	September	30	December	31
	(\$ in millions)										
1999	\$	\$	\$		\$ 2		\$	\$		\$ 2	
2000	19	14	(14)		(38)		33	19		(19)	
2001	15	(12)	5		37		3	8		45	

Valuation of Extant, Inc. Purchase. On September 29, 2000, Dynegy completed the acquisition of Extant, Inc., a privately held entity engaged in the communications business. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase. In 2000, the Company incorrectly valued the shares of Class A common stock it issued as consideration for the acquisition at \$49.59 per share, rather than \$36.59 per share, which amount represented the average share price during the five days surrounding the announcement of the acquisition. The \$49.59 per share originally utilized in the valuation was incorrectly based on the average closing price of Dynegy's Class A common stock during the 30 days prior to the closing date, which was consistent with the valuation provisions in the merger agreement. As a result, the purchase price allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the purchase was overstated by approximately \$23 million in 2000. This error resulted in an overstatement of the amortization of goodwill acquired in the transaction during 2001 and 2000. The resulting restatement reflects an increase in net income in 2001 and 2000 by approximately \$1 million and approximately \$300,000, respectively. Additionally, as a result of this error, the Company overstated by \$22 million the impairment of goodwill recorded in 2002 associated with the Company's January 1, 2002 adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets."

Restated Forward Power Curve Methodology. The Company values substantially all of its natural gas marketing, power marketing and portions of its natural gas liquids marketing operations under a mark-to-market accounting methodology. The estimated fair value of the marketing and trading portfolio is computed by multiplying all existing positions in the portfolio by estimated prices, reduced by a LIBOR-based time value of

F-9

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

money adjustment and deduction of reserves for credit, price and market liquidity risks. Dynegy uses a combination of market quotes, derivatives of market quotes and proprietary models to periodically value this portfolio as required by generally accepted accounting principles. Market quotes are used for near-term transactions, where such quotes are generally available; derivatives of market quotes are used for mid-term transactions, where broker quotes are only marginally available; and proprietary models are used for long-term transactions, where broker quotes or other objective pricing indicators typically are not available. Beginning in the third quarter 2001, the Company began to enter into longer-term power transactions in the United States with respect to which no broker quotes or other market data was available; consequently, the Company applied a proprietary model to estimate forward prices and, in turn, the fair market value of these longer-term power transactions.

During January 2003, in connection with the re-audit of the Company's 1999-2001 financial statements and an assessment of various accounting policies, the Company reconsidered the model-based methodology used to value the portions of its power marketing and trading portfolio for which broker quotes were not available. Under the Company's prior methodology, forward curves used to calculate the value of its long-term U.S. power contracts were derived from a proprietary model based on a required rate of return on investments in new generation facilities. This methodology had been confirmed by the Company's former independent auditors prior to the withdrawal of their audit opinion for unrelated matters. The primary disadvantage of this methodology is that, in certain circumstances, it may not reflect true market prices in future years. After reconsidering the appropriateness of this methodology in light of changing industry circumstances in late January 2003 the Company determined that, beginning with the third quarter 2001, its prior forward power curve methodology failed to appropriately reflect the value of its long-term power contracts.

Upon making this determination, the Company corrected the forward power curve methodology it used to estimate the fair market value of its U.S. power marketing and trading portfolio. This corrected methodology incorporates forward energy prices derived from broker quotes and values from executed transactions to estimate forward price curves for periods where broker quotes and transaction data cannot be obtained. Further, the Company determined that in order to adequately reflect its results, it was appropriate to restate its prior period financial statements, beginning with the third quarter 2001, to reflect the corrected methodology.

This correction in the methodology resulted in a \$94 million (\$0.28 per diluted share) reduction to previously reported 2001 net income.

The table below reflects the impact of this restatement on net income as originally reported:

	Three	Three	Three		Three		Six			Twelve	
	Months	Months	Months		Months		Months	Nine Months		Months	
	Ended	Ended	ded Ended		Ended	Ended		Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31	June 30	September	30	December	31
	(\$ in millions)										
2001	\$	\$	\$ (25)		\$(69)		\$	\$(25)		\$ (94)	

F - 10

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)
(RESTATED)

Restated Lease Accounting. The Company previously accounted for seven generation lease arrangements and one communications lease arrangement as operating leases. Its previous accounting treatment of these lease arrangements, which was confirmed by the Company's former independent auditors prior to the withdrawal of their audit opinion for unrelated matters, reflected its belief that these arrangements satisfied the applicable generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requirements so as to justify their treatment as operating leases. However, these requirements are very technical and subject to a high degree of interpretation. During the course of the re-audit of the Company's financial statements for 1999-2001, the Company analyzed its accounting for these arrangements and considered a variety of factors, including interpretations of the applicable GAAP requirements. Upon completion of this analysis and discussions with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, in January 2003, the Company determined it was necessary to correct its accounting for these lease arrangements to recognize on its balance sheet the related assets as of the inception of six of these arrangements. Although the Company previously amended the agreements relating to six generation lease arrangements so as to require them to be treated as capital leases in the second quarter 2002, the restatement of the accounting originally applied to these arrangement results in the recognition of the related assets as of an earlier date. Consequently, the Company's previously reported net income for 2001 is reduced by approximately \$9 million (\$0.03 per diluted share), reflecting the recognition of depreciation and amortization expenses associated with the related assets. In addition, balance sheet amounts have been adjusted for this change as follows:

	Dece	ember 3	1
	2001	2000	1999
	(\$ in	million	ns)
Restricted cash	\$ 17	\$ 14	\$
Property, plant and equipment	1,094	374	72
Accrued liabilities and other	(445)	(65)	
Long-term debt	(666)	(323)	(72)

Please read Note 7--Debt for further discussion.

The table below reflects the impact of this restatement on net income as originally reported:

Three	Three	Three	Three		Six			Twelve	
Months	Months	Months	Months		Months	Nine Month	ıs	Months	
Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended		Ended	Ended		Ended	
March 31	June 30	September	30 December	31	June 3	0 September	30	December	31

(\$ in millions)

2001 \$-- \$-- \$(2) \$(7) \$-- \$(2) \$(9)

Change in Implied Preferred Dividends. In November 2001, the Company issued \$1.5 billion in Series B preferred stock to ChevronTexaco. This preferred stock is convertible by ChevronTexaco into shares of Dynegy's Class B common stock at a conversion price of \$31.64. This conversion price represents an approximate 5% discount to the Company's stock price on November 7, 2001, the date the conversion price was negotiated. Based on the implied value of this beneficial conversion option as of November 7, Dynegy recognized a \$65 million preferred stock dividend to be amortized over the two-year period leading up to the mandatory redemption date for the shares. During the course of the three-year re-audit, we analyzed our accounting for the beneficial conversion option and determined it necessary to correct the commitment date used for valuing this beneficial conversion option to November 13, 2001, the date ChevronTexaco funded and

F - 11

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

consummated its preferred stock purchase and the preferred securities were issued. The Company's stock price increased significantly between November 7 and November 13 after the announcement of the proposed Enron Corp. merger. As a result of the increase in the implied value of ChevronTexaco's beneficial conversion option, the restated preferred stock dividend amount is calculated based on a two-year amortization of the beneficial conversion option's implied value of approximately \$660 million--an increase of approximately \$595 million over the \$65 million originally reported. Net income available to common stockholders as originally reported for 2001 is accordingly reduced by approximately \$39 million (\$0.11 per diluted share) as a result of this commitment date change.

The table below reflects the impact of this restatement on net income available to common stockholders as originally reported. The adjustment had no impact on net income or cash flows.

	Three	Three	Three	Th	ree	Six				Twelve	
	Months	Months	Months	Mon	ths	Months		Nine Months		Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	En	ded	Ende	d	Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30 Decem	ber 31	June 3	30	September	30	December	31
				(\$ in	millio	ns)					
2001	\$	\$	\$	\$ (39)	\$		\$		\$(39)	

Valuation of Technology Investment. We acquired the common stock of a technology investment in the second quarter 2000. In the second quarter 2002, after several quarters of declines in the market price of the investment, we determined that the decline in value was other-than-temporary. As such, we recognized a \$12 million after-tax charge (\$0.04 per diluted share) during the second quarter 2002. Upon further review, we determined that we incorrectly delayed recognition of the charge associated with this investment, as the decline in value through September 30, 2001 met the "other-than-temporary" threshold. Therefore, we have restated the financial statements to record the impairment in the third quarter 2001.

The table below reflects the impact of this restatement on net income as originally reported:

	Three	Three	Three		Three		Six	Nine		Twelve	
	Months	Months	Months		Months		Months	Months		Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended		Ended		Ended	Ended Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31	June 30	September	30	December	31
					(\$ in mil:	lior	ns)				
2001	\$	\$	\$(12)		\$		\$	\$(12)		\$(12)	

Correction for Income Taxes. During the course of the re-audit of our 1999-2001 financial statements, we determined that we made errors in accounting for certain tax matters. These errors related to book-tax basis differences that were reflected as permanent differences as opposed to temporary differences, the failure to record differences between the amounts recognized as income tax provision and the amounts actually reflected in the applicable income tax returns, adjustments related to book and tax-basis balance sheet reconciliations and changes in estimates of tax contingencies. We have restated our financial statements to correct these errors, resulting in additional deferred tax expense as further detailed below.

F-12

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

The table below reflects the impact of these restatements on net income as

originally reported:

	Three	Three	Three		Three Six				Twelve		
	Months	Months	Months Months		Months		Months	Nine Month	ıs	Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended			Ended	Ended		Ended	
	March 31	June 30	September	30	December	31	June 30	September	30	December	31
1000										* (4.0)	
1998 and prior										\$(19)	
1999	\$(2)	\$	\$		\$		\$(2)	\$ (2)		(2)	
2000	(7)				(5)		(7)	(7)		(12)	
2001	(5)	(3)	(3)		(26)		(8)	(11)		(37)	

Other Adjustments Arising During the Re-Audit. The Company has restated its 1999-2001 financial statements to correct various errors in our historical financial statements that we discovered during the course of the re-audit. These restatements, which principally relate to the timing on which various transactions were recorded in the ordinary course of business, result in increases (reductions) to previously reported 1999, 2000 and 2001 net income of approximately \$(19) million (\$(0.09) per diluted share), \$4 million (\$0.01 per diluted share) and \$(30) million (\$(0.09) per diluted share), respectively. The \$19 million reduction to previously reported 1999 net income primarily related to the following items impacting the WEN and DMS segments: a purchase accounting adjustment relating to a prior acquisition, and adjustments impacting the timing on which certain items were previously recognized relating to inventory valuations, intercompany balances and the power marketing business.

The table below reflects the impact of these restatements on net income as originally reported:

	Three Months Ended March 31	Three Months Ended June 30	Three Months Ended September	Three Months Ended 30 December	Ended	Nine Months Ended September 30	Twelve Months Ended December 31
				(\$ in mill	ions)		
1998 and prior 1999		\$ (9)	\$ (9)	\$(16)	\$ 6	\$ (3)	\$ (3) (19)
2000	•	3 (47)	(13) 29	5 4	12 (63)	(1) (34)	4 (30)

Adjustments to Previously Announced Restatements. Since the filing of its Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-K on February 14, 2003, the Company has determined that additional adjustments to its 1999, 2000 and 2001 financial statements are required. These adjustments are due primarily to corrections in accounting for

income taxes and further changes to two previously announced restatement items--further adjustments related to Statement No. 133 and further adjustments arising during the re-audit. The net effect of these further restatement items is to reduce 2001 net income as previously reported by approximately \$15 million, reduce 2000 net income as previously reported by \$58 million and increase 1999 net income as previously reported by \$19 million.

F-13

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

The following table reconciles selected financial data as reported in various filings:

		Adjustment and Reclassification(2)		Adjustment	
		(\$ in millions,			
Selected Balance Sheet Data December 31, 2001					
Current Assets	\$ 9,507	\$ 437	\$ 9,944		
Total Assets	24,874	841	25,715		
Current Liabilities	8,555	637	9,192		
Total Liabilities	17,396	1,016	18,412		
Stockholders' Equity	4,719	(185)	4,534		
December 31, 2000					
Current Assets	\$10,150	\$ (33)	\$10,117		
Total Assets	21,406	(56)	21,350		
Current Liabilities	9,379	56	9,435		
Total Liabilities		22	16,466		
Stockholders' Equity	•	(78)	3,520		
Results of Operations	,	, ,	., .		
Year ended December 31, 2001					
Net Income (Loss) Net Income (Loss)	\$ 648	\$ (109)	\$ 539	\$ (107)	\$ 432
Applicable to Common					
Stockholders Earnings (Losses) Per	645	(109)	536	(145)	391
Diluted Share Year ended December 31, 2000	\$ 1.90	\$(0.32)	\$ 1.58	\$(0.43)	\$1.15
Net Income (Loss) Net Income (Loss) Applicable to Common	\$ 501	\$ (17)	\$ 484		
Stockholders Earnings (Losses) Per	466	(17)	449		
Diluted Share Year ended December 31, 1999	\$ 1.48	\$(0.05)	\$ 1.43		

Net Income (Loss) Net Income (Loss)	\$ 152	\$ (4)	\$ 148
Applicable to Common			
Stockholders	152	(4)	148
Earnings (Losses) Per			
Diluted Share	\$ 0.66	\$(0.02)	\$ 0.64
Selected Cash Flow Data			
Year ended December 31, 2001			
Operating Cash Flow	\$ 811	\$ (291)	\$ 520
Investing Cash Flow	(3,413)		(3,413)
Financing Cash Flow	2,734	291	3 , 025
Year ended December 31, 2000			
Operating Cash Flow	\$ 438	\$	\$ 438
Investing Cash Flow	(1,304)		(1,304)
Financing Cash Flow	907		907
Year ended December 31, 1999			
Operating Cash Flow	\$ 9	\$	\$ 9
Investing Cash Flow	(319)		(319)
Financing Cash Flow	327		327

	Adjustment and Reclassification(3)	_
Selected Balance Sheet Data		
December 31, 2001		
Current Assets	\$(1,028)	\$ 8,956
Total Assets	(1,133)	25 , 168
Current Liabilities	(1,068)	8,538
Total Liabilities	(1,056)	18,063
Stockholders' Equity	(77)	4,937
December 31, 2000		
Current Assets	\$ 746	\$10,827
Total Assets	978	22,662
Current Liabilities	805	10,286
Total Liabilities	1,034	17,853
Stockholders' Equity	(56)	3,441
Results of Operations		
Year ended December 31, 2001		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (15)	\$ 406
Net Income (Loss)		
Applicable to Common		0.64
Stockholders	(15)	364
Earnings (Losses) Per		
Diluted Share	\$ (0.04)	\$ 1.07
Year ended December 31, 2000		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (58)	\$ 436
Net Income (Loss)		
Applicable to Common	(50)	4.0.1
Stockholders	(58)	401
Earnings (Losses) Per	A (0.10)	A 1 07
Diluted Share	\$ (0.19)	\$ 1.27
Year ended December 31, 1999	^ 10	ć 127
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 19	\$ 137
Net Income (Loss)		

Applicable to Common		
Stockholders	19	137
Earnings (Losses) Per		
Diluted Share	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.60
Selected Cash Flow Data		
Year ended December 31, 2001		
Operating Cash Flow	\$ 15	\$ 550
Investing Cash Flow	8	(3,828)
Financing Cash Flow	2	3,450
Year ended December 31, 2000		
Operating Cash Flow	\$ 10	\$ 420
Investing Cash Flow	19	(1,539)
Financing Cash Flow	(13)	1,131
Year ended December 31, 1999		
Operating Cash Flow	\$	\$ 40
Investing Cash Flow		(391)
Financing Cash Flow		399

- (1) The Form 8-K filed January 31, 2003 only included 2001 results of operations data. As a result, the only adjustments associated with such Form 8-K relate to 2001 results of operations.
- (2) This column includes increases to the December 31, 2001 balances of current assets, total assets, current liabilities and total liabilities of \$223 million, \$301 million, \$314 million and \$313 million, respectively and a decrease to stockholders' equity of \$12 million related to reclassifications to the December 31, 2001 balance sheet to conform to December 31, 2002 balance sheet classifications.
- (3) This column includes increases (decreases) to the operating cash flow, investing cash flow and financing cash flow data of \$15 million, \$8 million and \$2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2001 and \$30 million, \$(1) million and \$(13) million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2000 to conform to cash flow classifications for the year ended December 31, 2002.

F - 14

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE NOTES THERETO DO NOT REFLECT EVENTS OCCURRING AFTER MARCH 13, 2002 (THE DATE ON WHICH DYNEGY FILED ITS 2001 FORM 10-K). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE READ THE COMPANY'S EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS FILED SINCE MARCH 13, 2002, INCLUDING ITS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002. SEE NOTE 19--SUBSEQUENT EVENTS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

Note 1--ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY

Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy" or the "Company") is one of the world's leading energy merchants. Through its global energy delivery network and marketing, logistics and risk-management capabilities, the Company provides innovative solutions to customers in North America, the United Kingdom and Continental Europe. The Company's businesses include power generation and wholesale and direct commercial and industrial marketing of power, natural gas, coal and other similar products. The Company is also engaged in the transportation, gathering and processing of natural gas and natural gas liquids ("NGLs") and the transmission and distribution of electricity and natural gas to retail consumers. Dynegy also is engaged in the telecommunications business through its global long-haul fiber optic and metropolitan network located in cities in the United States and Europe.

Note 2--ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of Dynegy conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The more significant of such accounting policies are described below. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to develop estimates and make assumptions that affect reported financial position and results of operations and that impact the nature and extent of disclosure, if any, of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries after elimination of intercompany accounts and transactions. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-period amounts to conform with current-period financial statement classifications.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of all demand deposits and funds invested in short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Restricted Cash. Restricted cash represents funds received in excess of disbursements made in connection with the lease arrangements relating to the generation facilities and the U.S. fiber optic network. Please read Note 7--Debt for further discussion.

Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates. Investments in affiliates in which the Company has a significant ownership interest, generally 20 percent to 50 percent, are accounted for by the equity method. Any excess of the Company's investment in these entities over its equity in the underlying net assets of the affiliates is amortized over the estimated economic service lives of the underlying assets. Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("Statement No. 142"). Statement No. 142 discontinues the amortization of goodwill associated with equity investments. Other investments less than 20 percent owned with readily determinable fair value are considered available-for-sale and are recorded at quoted market value or at the lower of cost or net realizable value, if there is no readily determinable fair value. For securities with a readily determinable fair value, the change in the

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

unrealized gain or loss, net of deferred income tax, is recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income in the consolidated statement of stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses on investment transactions are determined on the specific-identification basis.

Concentration of Credit Risk. Dynegy provides multiple energy commodity solutions principally to customers in the electric and gas distribution industries and to entities engaged in industrial and petrochemical businesses. These industry concentrations have the potential to impact the Company's overall exposure to credit risk, either positively or negatively, in that the customer base may be similarly affected by changes in economic, industry, weather or other conditions. Receivables generally are not collateralized; however, Dynegy believes the credit risk posed by industry concentration is largely offset by the diversification and creditworthiness of the Company's customer base.

Inventories. Inventories consisted primarily of natural gas in storage of \$50 million and \$89 million, NGLs of \$49 million and \$129 million and coal of \$62 million and \$24 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and crude oil of \$19 million at December 31, 2001. Such inventory is valued at the lower of weighted average cost or at market. Materials and supplies inventory of \$76 million and \$38 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, is carried at the lower of cost or market using the specific-identification method.

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment, which consists principally of gas gathering, processing, fractionation, terminaling and storage facilities, natural gas transmission lines, pipelines, power generating facilities and communications equipment, is recorded at cost. Expenditures for major replacements, renewals, and major maintenance are capitalized. The Company considers major maintenance to be expenditures incurred on a cyclical basis in order to maintain and prolong the efficient operation of its assets. Expenditures for repairs and minor renewals to maintain facilities in operating condition are expensed. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated economic service lives of the assets, ranging from three to 40 years. Composite depreciation rates are applied to functional groups of property having similar economic characteristics. The approximate depreciation rates are as follows:

Asset Group	Rai	nge	of	Years	Annual	Per	rcentage
Gathering and Processing							
Systems	10	to	25	years	4.0%	to	10.0%
Power Facilities	27	to	35	years	2.9%	to	3.7%
Transportation							
Equipment	10	to	25	years	4.0%	to	10.0%
Telecommunications							
Equipment	7 t	to 2	20 2	years	8.3%	to	14.3%
Buildings and							
Improvements	10	to	40	years	2.5%	to	10.0%
Office and Miscellaneous							

Equipment 3 to 35 years 2.9% to 33.3% Storage Assets 14 to 30 years 3.3% to 7.1%

Gains and losses are not recognized for retirements of property, plant and equipment subject to composite depreciation rates ("composite rate") until the asset group subject to the composite rate is retired. Gains and losses on the sale of individual assets are reflected in Loss (gain) on asset sales in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Through the end of the period, the Company has reviewed the carrying value of its long-lived assets

F - 16

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

in accordance with provisions of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of" ("Statement No. 121"). In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("Statement No. 144"). Statement No. 144 addresses the accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes Statement No. 121 and APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The Company's adoption of Statement No. 144 on January 1, 2002 did not have any impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Environmental Costs and Other Contingencies. Environmental costs relating to operations are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate, depending on whether such costs provide future economic benefit. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessment indicates that remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Measurement of liabilities is based on currently enacted laws and regulations, existing technology and site-specific costs. Such liabilities may be recognized on a discounted basis if the amount and timing of anticipated expenditures for a site are fixed or reliably determinable; otherwise, such liabilities are recognized on an undiscounted basis. Environmental liabilities in connection with assets that are sold or closed are recognized upon such sale or closure, to the extent they are probable, can be estimated and have not previously been reserved. In assessing environmental liabilities, no offset is made for potential insurance recoveries. Recognition of any joint and several liability is based upon the Company's best estimate of its final pro rata share of such liability.

Liabilities for other contingencies are recognized upon identification of an exposure, which when fully analyzed indicates that it is both probable that an asset has been impaired or that a liability has been incurred and that such loss amount can be reasonably estimated. Costs to remedy such contingencies or other exposures are charged to a reserve, if one exists, or otherwise to current-period operations. When a range of probable loss exists, the Company accrues the lesser end of the range.

During 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("Statement No. 143").

Statement No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred with the associated asset retirement costs being capitalized as a part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The Company is evaluating the future financial effects of adopting Statement No. 143 and expects to adopt the standard effective January 1, 2003.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Intangible assets, principally goodwill, have been amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of 25 to 40 years. However, during 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 142 which discontinues goodwill amortization over its estimated useful life; rather, goodwill will be subject to at least an annual fair-value based impairment test. The Company is analyzing any impact the adoption of Statement No. 142 effective January 1, 2002 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition. Dynegy utilizes two comprehensive accounting models in reporting its consolidated financial position and results of operations as required by generally accepted accounting principles — an accrual model and a fair value model. Dynegy determines the appropriateness of application of one comprehensive accounting model over the other in accounting for its varied operations based on guidance provided in numerous accounting standards and positions adopted by the FASB or the Securities and Exchange Commission.

F - 17

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

The accrual model is used to account for substantially all of the operations conducted in the Dynegy Midstream Services ("DMS"), Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") and Dynegy Global Communications ("DGC") segments as well as all physically operated assets owned by the Wholesale Energy Network ("WEN") segment. Ownership and operation of physical assets characterize these businesses. Dynegy uses these physical assets in various manufacturing and delivery processes. These processes include the generation of electricity, the separation of natural gas liquids into their component parts from a stream of natural gas, the transportation or transmission of commodities through pipelines or over transmission lines and the delivery of data and voice bits over communication networks. End sales from these businesses result in physical delivery of commodities or services to Dynegy wholesale, commercial and industrial and retail customers.

Revenues for product sales and gas processing and marketing services are recognized when title passes to the customer or when the service is performed. Fractionation and transportation revenues are recognized based on volumes received in accordance with contractual terms. Revenues derived from power generation are recognized upon output, product delivery or satisfaction of specific targets, all as specified by contractual terms. The Company's transmission and distribution revenues are recognized when services are provided to customers. Fees derived from engineering and construction contracts and development and other activities received from joint ventures in which Dynegy holds an equity interest are deferred to the extent of Dynegy's ownership interest and amortized on a straight-line basis over appropriate periods, which vary according to the nature of the service provided and the ventures' operations. Shipping and handling costs are included in revenue when

billed to customers in conjunction with the sale of products.

Revenues derived from communications activities are recognized in the month the service is provided. Amounts billed in advance of providing services are recorded as unearned revenue until the period such services are either provided or expire unused. Revenue related to installation of service and sale of customer equipment is recognized when equipment is delivered and installation is completed.

The fair value model is used to account for certain forward physical and financial transactions in the WEN, DMS and DGC segments, which meet criteria defined by the FASB or the Emerging Issues Task Force. These criteria require these contracts to be related to future periods and contain fixed price and volume components and must have terms that require or permit net settlement of the contract in cash or its equivalent. As these transactions may be settled in cash, the value of the assets and liabilities associated with these transactions is reported at estimated settlement value based on current prices and rates as of each balance sheet date. The net gains or losses resulting from the revaluation of these contracts during the period are recognized in the Company's result of operations. Assets and liabilities associated with these transactions are reflected on the Company's balance sheet as risk management assets and liabilities, classified as short-term or long-term pursuant to each contract's individual tenor. Net unrealized gains and losses from these contracts are classified as revenue in the accompanying statements of operations. Transactions that have been realized and settled are reflected gross in revenues and cost of sales.

The Company routinely enters into financial instrument contracts to hedge purchase and sale commitments, fuel requirements and inventories in its NGLs, electricity and coal businesses in order to minimize the risk of changes in market prices in these commodities. Dynegy also monitors its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates and may execute swaps, forward-exchange contracts or other financial instruments to manage these exposures. Gains and losses from hedging transactions are recognized in income in the periods for which the underlying commodity, interest rate or foreign currency transaction impacts earnings. If the underlying being hedged by the commodity, interest rate or foreign currency transaction is disposed of or otherwise terminated, the gain or loss associated with such contract is no longer deferred and is recognized in the period the underlying contract is eliminated.

F-18

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Income Taxes. The Company files a consolidated United States federal income tax return and, for financial reporting purposes, provides income taxes for the difference in the tax and financial reporting bases of its assets and liabilities in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes."

Earnings Per Share. Basic earnings per share represents the amount of earnings for the period available to each share of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share represents the amount of earnings for the period available to each share of common stock outstanding during the

period plus each share that would have been outstanding assuming the issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consisted of approximately 10 million common shares subject to stock options and preferred stock convertible into approximately 4 million common shares. For 2000 and 1999, dilutive potential common shares consisted of stock options to purchase approximately 13 million and 17 million common shares, respectively. Common shares outstanding and the resulting computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for all periods prior to December 31, 1999 have been restated to give effect to the 0.69 fixed exchange ratio contained in the terms of the Illinova acquisition. Also, all common shares outstanding, price per share, dividends per share and earnings per share amounts relating to transactions or periods prior to August 22, 2000 have been restated for the two-for-one stock split effected by means of a stock dividend distributed on August 22, 2000.

Foreign Currency Translations. For subsidiaries whose functional currency is not the U.S. Dollar, assets and liabilities are translated at year-end rates of exchange and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates prevailing for each month. Translation adjustments for the asset and liability accounts are included as a separate component of other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Currency transaction gains and losses are recorded in income.

Employee Stock Options. The Company applies the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25") and related interpretations in accounting for its stock compensation plans. Accordingly, compensation expense is not recognized for employee stock options unless the options were granted at an exercise price lower than the market value on the grant date. The Company has granted below-market options in the past and continues to recognize compensation expense over the applicable vesting periods. Stock options are no longer issued at less than market price. Additionally, in 2001, a charge of \$1 million recognized in "General and Administrative Expenses" was incurred due to the extension of the exercise period of various stock options and the acceleration of vesting for various other stock options.

Regulatory Assets. Financial Accounting Standards No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation" ("Statement No. 71") allows companies whose service obligations and prices are regulated to maintain balance sheet assets representing costs they expect to recover through inclusion in future rates. Illinois Power Company ("IP"), the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, records regulatory assets in accordance with Statement No. 71. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. The significant components of regulatory assets at December 31, 2001 and 2000 were approximately \$336 million and \$385 million, respectively, and are included in other long-term assets.

F-19

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Note 3--COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS, RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Dynegy's operations and periodic returns are impacted by a myriad of risk factors, some of which may, and some of which may not, be mitigated by risk management methods. These risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price, interest rate and foreign exchange rate fluctuations, weather patterns, counterparty risk, management estimations, strategic investment decisions, changes in competition, operational risks, environmental risks and changes in regulation.

The potential for changes in the market value of Dynegy's commodity, interest rate and currency portfolios is referred to as "market risk". A description of each market risk is set forth below:

- . Commodity price risks result from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of commodities, such as electricity, natural gas, crude oil and other similar products;
- . Interest rate risks primarily result from exposures to changes in the level, slope and curvature of the yield curve and the volatility of interest rates; and
- Currency rate risks result from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of currency rates.

Dynegy seeks to manage these market risks through diversification, controlling position sizes and executing hedging strategies. The ability to manage an exposure may, however, be limited by adverse changes in market liquidity or other factors. Dynegy cannot guarantee the ultimate effectiveness of its risk management activities.

Dynegy generally attempts to balance its fixed-price physical and financial purchase and sales commitments in terms of contract volumes, and the timing of performance and delivery obligations. However, the Company may, at times, have a bias in the market within guidelines established by management and Dynegy's Board of Directors, resulting from the management of its portfolio. In addition, as a result of marketplace illiquidity and other factors, the Company may, at times, be unable to hedge its portfolio fully for certain market risks.

The financial performance and cash flow derived from certain merchant generating capacity (e.g., peaking facilities) are impacted annually, either favorably or unfavorably, by changes in and the relationship between the cost of the commodity fueling the facilities and electricity prices, which in turn influences the volume of electricity generated by these assets.

Operating results associated with natural gas gathering, processing and fractionation activities are sensitive to changes in NGL prices and the availability of inlet volumes. In addition, similar to peaking electricity generating facilities, straddle processing plants are impacted by changes in, and the relationship between, natural gas and NGL prices, which in turn influence the volumes of gas processed at these facilities. The impact from changes in NGL prices on upstream operations results principally from the nature of contractual terms under which natural gas is processed and products are sold. The availability of inlet volumes directly affects the utilization and profitability of this segment's businesses. Commodity price volatility may also affect operating margins derived from the Company's NGL marketing operations.

Operating results in the transmission and distribution business may be impacted by commodity price fluctuations resulting from purchases of electricity used in supplying service to its customers. IP has contracted for volumes from various suppliers under contracts having various terms. Certain of these contracts do not obligate the supplier to provide replacement power to IP in the event of a curtailment or shutdown of operating facilities. If the

commodity volumes supplied from these agreements are inadequate to cover $\ensuremath{\text{IP's}}$ native load, the

F - 20

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Company will be required to purchase its supply needs in open-market purchases at prevailing market prices. Such purchases would expose IP to commodity price risk. Price risk associated with the gas marketing operations of IP is mitigated through contractual terms applicable to the business, as allowed by the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC).

Dynegy's commercial groups manage, on a portfolio basis, the resulting market risks inherent in commercial transactions, subject to parameters established by the Dynegy Board of Directors. Market risks are monitored by a risk control group that operates independently from the commercial units to ensure compliance with Dynegy's risk-management policies. Risk measurement is also practiced daily against the Dynegy portfolios with Value at Risk, stress testing and scenario analysis on the commercial portfolios.

Quantitative and Qualitative Market Risk Disclosures. In addition to applying business judgment, senior management uses a number of quantitative tools to manage Dynegy's exposure to market risk. These tools include:

- . Risk limits based on a summary measure of market risk exposure, referred to as VaR; and
- . Stress and scenario analyses as performed daily that measure the potential effects of various market events, including substantial swings in volatility factors, absolute commodity price changes and the impact of interest rate movements.

VaR represents the potential loss in value of Dynegy's enterprise-wide marketing portfolio due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level.

The modeling of the risk characteristics of Dynegy's marketing portfolio involves a number of assumptions and approximations. Dynegy estimates VaR using a JP Morgan RiskMetrics(TM) approach assuming a one-day holding period and a 95 percent confidence level. While management believes that these assumptions and approximations are reasonable, there is no uniform industry methodology for estimating VaR, and different assumptions and/or approximations could produce materially different VaR estimates.

Dynegy uses historical data to estimate the Company's VaR and, to better reflect current asset and liability volatilities, these historical data are weighted to give greater importance to more recent observations. Given its reliance on historical data, VaR is most effective in estimating risk exposures in markets in which there are not sudden fundamental changes or shifts in market conditions. An inherent limitation of VaR is that past changes in market risk factors, even when weighted toward more recent observations, may not produce accurate predictions of future market risk. VaR should be evaluated in light of this and the methodology's other limitations.

Credit and Market Reserves. In connection with the market valuation of its

energy commodity contracts, the Company maintains certain reserves for a number of risks associated with these future commitments. Among others, these include reserves for credit risks based on the financial condition of counterparties, reserves for price and product location ("basis") differentials and consideration of the time value of money for long-term contracts. Counterparties in its marketing portfolio consist principally of financial institutions, major energy companies and local distribution companies. The creditworthiness of these counterparties may impact overall exposure to credit risk, either positively or negatively. However, with regard to its counterparties Dynegy maintains credit policies that management believes minimize overall credit risk. Determination of the credit quality of its counterparties is based upon a number of factors, including credit ratings, financial condition, project economics and collateral requirements. When applicable, the Company employs standardized agreements that allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with a single counterparty.

F-21

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued) (RESTATED)

Based on these policies, its current exposures and its credit reserves, Dynegy does not anticipate a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations as a result of counterparty nonperformance. As a result of Enron Corp.'s ("Enron") bankruptcy, the Company reserved an after-tax amount of \$84 million in the fourth quarter of 2001 related to the Company's net exposure for commercial transactions with the bankrupt enterprise. The global netting agreement between Dynegy and Enron as well as the valuation of these commercial transactions covered by the agreement are subject to approval from the bankruptcy court.

The following table displays the value of Dynegy's marketing transactions at December 31, 2001:

	Grade Credit	Below Investment Grade Credit Quality or Unrated	Total
	(\$	in millions)	
Utilities and power generators Financial institutions Oil and gas producers Commercial and industrial companies Other	\$ 474	\$ (26)	\$ 448
	(106)	1	(105)
	129	124	253
	400	95	495
	52	6	58
Value of portfolio before reserves.	\$ 949	\$200	\$1,149
	=====	====	

Credit and market reserves	(248)
	901
Other	34
Net risk-management assets	\$ 935
	======

The net risk management asset of \$935 million is the aggregate of the following line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheet: Current Assets--Assets from risk-management activities, Other Assets--Assets from risk-management activities, Current Liabilities--Liabilities from risk-management activities and Other Liabilities--Liabilities from risk-management activities. The increase in the net risk management assets at December 31, 2001 from the previously reported amount of \$712 million is primarily due to a \$340 million increase from the consolidation of ABG, one of the entities formed in connection with Project Alpha, and a \$40 million reclassification of inventory. These items are offset by a \$149 million reduction resulting from the adjustment to the forward power curve.

At December 31, 2001, the term of Dynegy's marketing portfolio extends to 2016 and the average remaining life of an individual transaction was five months.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued, and subsequently amended, Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("Statement No. 133"), which became effective January 1, 2001. Provisions in Statement No. 133, as amended, affect the accounting and disclosure of certain contractual arrangements and operations of the Company. Under Statement No. 133, as amended, all derivative instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at their fair values and changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings, unless the derivatives which are not a part of the Company's marketing activities qualify and are designated as hedges of future cash flows, fair values, net investments or qualify and are designated as normal purchases and sales. For

F-22

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

derivatives treated as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recorded in other comprehensive income until the related hedged items impact earnings. Any ineffective portion of a hedge is reported in earnings immediately. For derivatives treated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and changes in the fair value of the related asset or liability are recorded in current period earnings. For derivatives treated as hedges of net investment in foreign operations, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recorded in the cumulative translation adjustment. Derivatives treated as normal purchases or sales are recorded and recognized in income using accrual accounting.

The Company recorded the impact of the adoption of Statement No. 133, as amended, as a cumulative effect adjustment in the Company's consolidated results on January 1, 2001. The amounts recorded, which are immaterial to net income and the Company's financial position, are as follows (in millions):

	Net	Other Comprehensive
	Income	Income
Adjustment to fair value of derivatives	\$ 3	\$105
<pre>Income tax effects</pre>	(1)	(44)
Total	\$ 2 ===	\$ 61 ====

Changes in stockholders' equity related to derivatives for the year ended December 31, 2001 were as follows, net of taxes (in millions):

Transition adjustment as of January 1, 2001	\$ 61
Current period increase in fair value	4
Reclassifications to earnings, net	(57)
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$ 8
	====

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax is included in Stockholders' Equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows (in millions):

Statement No. 133, Net	\$ 8
Currency Translation Adjustment	(28)
Unrealized Loss on Available-for-Sale Securities, Net	(7)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax, at December 31, 2001	\$(27)
	====

Additional disclosures required by Statement No. 133, as amended, are provided in the following paragraphs.

From time to time, the Company may enter into various financial derivative instruments which qualify as cash flow hedges. Instruments related to its energy convergence and midstream liquids businesses are entered into for purposes of hedging forward fuel requirements for certain power generation and fractionation facilities, locking in future margin in the domestic midstream liquids business and hedging price risk in the global liquids business. Interest rate swaps are used to convert the floating interest-rate component of

certain obligations to fixed rates.

F-23

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The Company determined that its documentation and assessment of hedge effectiveness related to certain contracts entered into to hedge fuel requirements and power sales commitments was incomplete. As a result, the accounting for these contracts has been restated herein. Otherwise, during the year ended December 31, 2001, there was no material ineffectiveness from changes in fair value of hedge positions, and no amounts were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness related to the hedge of future cash flows. Additionally, no amounts were reclassified to earnings in connection with forecasted transactions that were no longer considered probable of occurring.

The balance in other comprehensive income at December 31, 2001 is expected to be reclassified to future earnings, contemporaneously with the related purchases of fuel, sales of electricity or liquids, payments of interest and recognition of operating lease expense, as applicable to each type of hedge. Of this amount, approximately \$4 million of income, net of taxes, is estimated to be reclassified into earnings over the year ending December 31, 2002. The actual amounts that will be reclassified to earnings over the next year and beyond could vary materially from this estimated amount as a result of changes in market conditions.

The Company also enters into derivative instruments which qualify as fair-value hedges. The Company used interest rate-swaps to convert a portion of its nonprepayable fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, there was no ineffectiveness from changes in fair value of hedge positions, and no amounts were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. Additionally, no amounts were recognized in relation to firm commitments that no longer qualified as fair-value hedge items.

The Company has investments in foreign subsidiaries, and the net assets of these subsidiaries are exposed to currency exchange-rate volatility. The Company uses derivative financial instruments, including foreign exchange forward contracts and cross currency interest rate swaps, to hedge this exposure. For the year ended December 31, 2001, approximately \$29 million of net losses related to these contracts were included in the cumulative translation adjustment. This amount neutralizes the cumulative translation gains of the underlying net investments in foreign subsidiaries for the period the derivative financial instruments were outstanding.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments is made in accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments." The estimated fair-value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and selected valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. The use of different market assumptions or valuation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair-value amounts.

F - 24

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

The carrying values of current financial assets and liabilities approximate fair values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's other financial instruments were:

	December 31,			
		01		
		Fair	Carrying Amount	
(\$ in millions)				
Dynegy Inc.				
Series B Convertible Preferred Securities/(1)/	\$1,500	\$1,418	\$	\$
Foreign Currency Risk-Management Contracts	(11)	(11)	2	
Dynegy Holdings Inc.				
Commercial Paper	6	6	115	
Revolving Credit Facilities	600	600		
Canadian Credit Agreement	40	40	59	
Senior Notes, 6.75% through 8.125%, due 2002 through 2026	1,693	1,488	1,200	1,
ABG Gas Supply		267		
Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary Trust	200	159	200	
Fair Value Hedge Interest Rate Swap	(7)	(7)		
Cash Flow Hedge Interest Rate Swap	1	1		
Interest Rate Risk-Management Contracts	6	6		
Commodity Risk-Management Contracts	293	293	924	
Generation Facility Debt	342	342	261	
Illinova Corporation				
Senior Notes, 7.125%, due 2004	102	100	103	
Medium Term Notes, 6.15% through 6.46%, due 2001 through				
2002	20	20	50	
Serial Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary	46	39	46	
Illinois Power Company				
Commercial Paper	38	38	148	
Revolving Credit Facilities	240	240		
Mortgage Bonds, 6% through 7.5%, due 2002 through 2025	674	649	674	
Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.4%7.4%, due				
2024 through 2028	175	183	175	
Adjustable Rate Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, due				
2032	150	150	150	
Floating Rate Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, due				
2017 through 2028	186	186	186	
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.26% through 5.65%, due 2001				
through 2008	602	607	689	
Trust Originated Preferred Securities			100	
Dynegy Global Communications				
Investment in Warrants	37	37	14	

U.S. Network Debt	324	324	62
-------------------	-----	-----	----

/(1) /Carrying value represents \$882 million included in Series B Convertible
Preferred Securities, \$660 million in additional paid-in capital and \$(42)
million in retained earnings in the consolidated balance sheet.

F-25

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The financial statement carrying amounts of the Company's credit agreement and variable-rate debt obligations were assumed to approximate fair value. The fair values of the Company's other long-term indebtedness, including the Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary Trust, were based on quoted market prices by financial institutions that actively trade these debt securities. The fair value of the Series B Convertible Preferred Securities was based on discounted cash flows. The fair value of interest rate, foreign currency and commodity risk-management contracts were based upon the estimated consideration that would be received to terminate those contracts in a gain position and the estimated cost that would be incurred to terminate those contracts in a loss position. The investment in warrants is recorded at fair value estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation methodology.

The absolute notional contract amounts associated with the derivative instruments designated as hedges were as follows:

	December 31,
	2001
Fair Value Hedge Interest Rate Swaps (In millions of U.S. Dollars)	\$ 206
Fixed Interest Rate Received on Swaps (Percent)	
Cash Flow Hedge Interest Rate Swaps (In millions of U.S. Dollars)	\$ 100
Fixed Interest Rate Paid on Swaps (Percent)	4.397
U.K. Pound Sterling Net Investment Hedges (In millions of U.S. Dollars)	\$ 906
Average U.K. Pound Sterling Contract Rate (U.S. Dollars)	\$1.4233

In 2001, approximately 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues and 12% of consolidated costs of sales were derived from transactions with Enron. Based on the terms of these transactions, Dynegy can fulfill these needs through other counterparties.

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued) (RESTATED)

Note 4--CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Detail of supplemental disclosures of cash flow and non-cash investing and financing information was:

		Year Ended December 31,		
		2000		
	(\$ in	millions)		
Interest paid (net of amount capitalized)	\$ 248	\$ 238	\$80	
Taxes paid (net of refunds)	===== \$ 79 =====	\$ 40 ======	=== \$ 2 ===	
Detail of businesses acquired:/(1)/				
Current assets and other		\$ 648 7 , 509	\$ 	
Liabilities assumed, including deferred taxes		(4,782) (239)		
Subordinated capital assumed		, ,		
Cash balance acquired	(14)	(50)		
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	\$ 603	\$ 1,202	\$	
	=====	======	===	

^{/(1)/} The businesses acquired included: 2001--BG Storage Limited ("BGSL"), a wholly owned subsidiary of BG Group plc, and iaxis, Limited ("iaxis") and 2000--Extant, Inc. ("Extant") and Illinova Corporation ("Illinova"). See Note 14 for more information regarding these acquisitions.

Note 5--PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Investments in property, plant and equipment consisted of:

	Decemb	er 31,
	2001	2000
	(\$ in mi	llions)
Wholesale Energy Network: Generation assets	\$ 4,757	\$3 , 766
Storage facilities (U.K.)	795	
Dynegy Midstream Services:		
Natural gas processing	1,000	934
Fractionation	200	198

Liquids marketing	204	268
Natural gas gathering and transmission.	225	193
Crude oil		9
Transmission and Distribution	1,993	1,906
Dynegy Global Communications	544	171
IT Systems and Other	417	285
	10,135	7,730
Less: accumulated depreciation	(934)	(649)
	\$ 9,201	\$7,081

F - 27

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Interest capitalized related to costs of projects in process of development totaled \$20 million, \$31 million and \$17 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. In 2000, a \$25 million impairment reserve was recorded related to Canadian gas processing assets.

As a result of the changes in accounting for six generation lease arrangements and one communications lease arrangement, the Company recognized additional generation assets of \$787 million and additional Dynegy Global Communications assets of \$307 million. For further discussion of these adjustments, see the Explanatory Note.

Note 6--INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES AND NORTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY

Investments in affiliates that are not controlled by the Company but where the Company has significant influence over operations are accounted for by the equity method. The Company's share of net income from these affiliates is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. The Company's principal equity method investments consist of entities that operate generation assets and natural gas liquids assets. These equity investments totaled \$830 million and \$727 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The Company entered into these ventures principally for the purpose of sharing risk and leveraging existing commercial relationships. These ventures maintain independent capital structures and have financed their operations on a non-recourse basis to the Company. The Company holds investments in three joint ventures in which Chevron U.S.A. or its affiliates are investors. For additional information about these investments, please read "Note 16--Related Party Transactions."

Generation Assets. Investments include ownership interests in seven joint ventures that own fossil fuel electric generation facilities in diverse geographic regions. The Company's ownership is generally 50 percent in the majority of these ventures. The Company's net investment of \$684 million at December 31, 2001 represents approximately 2,400 MW of net generating capacity.

At December 31, 2001, the Company's investment exceeded its equity in the underlying assets by approximately \$143 million. Dynegy's most significant investment is comprised of its interest in West Coast Power, LLC ("West Coast Power"), a 50 percent owned venture with NRG, which totaled approximately \$330 million at December 31, 2001 and generated equity earnings of \$162 million in 2001.

Midstream Investments. Investments include ownership interests in three ventures that operate natural gas liquids processing, extraction, fractionation and storage facilities in the Gulf Coast region as well as an interstate NGL pipeline. The Company's ownership interest in these ventures ranges from 23 percent to 39 percent. At December 31, 2001, the Company's investment exceeded its equity in the underlying net assets by approximately \$43 million.

Summarized aggregate financial information for these investments and Dynegy's equity share thereof was:

December	31
DECEIMET	\cup \perp ι

		2001	2	000/(1)/	1999/(1)/				
(¢ : 11:)	Total	Equity Share	Total	Equity Share	Total	Equity Share			
(\$ in millions)									
Current assets	\$1,208	\$ 513	\$1,029	\$ 374	\$ 525	\$ 184			
Non-current assets	2,800	1,179	2,934	1,233	2,787	1,193			
Current liabilities	791	339	734	276	847	374			
Non-current liabilities	1,056	392	1,363	520	1,183	487			
Revenues	4,000	1,540	3,988	1,568	1,339	526			
Operating margin	920	352	857	324	443	174			
Net income	541	218	481	196	135	58			

F-28

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

/(1) / The financial data for 2000 and 1999 are exclusive of amounts

its negotiations with Accord. Dynegy's share of Accord earnings for each of the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 totaled \$9 million and \$21 million, respectively. The Company sold its investment in Accord in the third quarter of 2000.

Other Investments. In addition to these equity investments, the Company holds interests in companies for which it does not have significant influence over the operations. These investments are accounted for by the cost method.

attributable to the Company's investment in Accord Energy Limited ("Accord") as data was unavailable for these periods. For competitive reasons, Accord was unwilling to provide the Company with detailed financial information concerning its operations. The Company contractually agreed not to require the production of such information in its negotiations with Accord. Dynegy's share of Accord earnings for each

Such investments totaled \$91 million and \$55 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The Company also owns securities that have a readily determinable fair market value and are considered available-for-sale. The market value of these investments at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was estimated to be \$23 million and \$17 million, respectively.

In November 2001, Dynegy acquired 1,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") in Northern Natural Gas Company ("Northern Natural") for \$1.5 billion. The Series A Preferred Stock is entitled to cumulative dividends, as and if declared by the board of directors of Northern Natural, at a rate of 6%, payable annually beginning on January 31, 2003. Dividends of \$13 million are reflected in "Other Income" on the 2001 Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Series A Preferred Stock is redeemable at the option of Northern Natural under specified circumstances at a redemption price equal to the liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends and other items.

Concurrent with the investment in Northern Natural, Dynegy entered into an option agreement with a subsidiary of Enron that indirectly owned the common stock of Northern Natural, under which a Dynegy subsidiary had the option to purchase all of the equity of that Enron subsidiary. In connection with Dynegy's termination of a merger agreement with Enron, it gave notice of its intention to exercise its option to purchase such equity. The exercise price for the option was \$23 million subject to adjustment based on Northern Natural's indebtedness and for the amount of working capital at closing. Subsequent litigation relating to the option was settled by the parties on January 3, 2002 and the closing of the option exercise occurred on January 31, 2002. At January 31, 2002, Northern Natural had approximately \$950 million of debt outstanding. Approximately \$500 million of this debt is in the form of senior unsecured notes with maturities ranging from 2005 to 2011. The remaining \$450 million is in the form of a secured line of credit due November 2002. Dynegy has agreed to commence a tender offer by April 1, 2002 for \$100 million of the senior unsecured notes due in 2005. An Enron subsidiary has the option to reacquire Northern Natural through June 30, 2002 for \$1.5 billion plus accrued and unpaid dividends on the Northern Natural Series A Preferred Stock and the option exercise price, subject to adjustments based on Northern Natural's indebtedness and working capital.

F-29

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Note 7--DEBT

Long-term debt and transitional funding trust notes outstanding consisted of the following at December 31:

2001 2000 ----- (\$ in millions)

Long-Term Debt:

Dynegy Global Communications U.S. Network Debt	\$ 324	\$ 62
Dynegy Holdings Inc.		
Commercial Paper	6	115
Revolving Credit Facilities	600	
Canadian Credit Agreements	40	59
Senior Notes, 6.875% due 2002	200	200
Senior Notes, 6.75% due 2005	150	150
Senior Notes, 8.125% due 2005	300	300
Senior Notes, 7.45% due 2006	200	200
Senior Notes, 6.875% due 2011	493	
Senior Debentures, 7.125% due 2018	175	175
Senior Debentures, 7.625% due 2026	175	175
ABG Gas Supply	282	
Generation Facility Debt	342	261
Illinova Corporation		
Senior Notes, 7.125%, due 2004	102	103
Medium Term Notes, 6.15% due 2001		30
Medium Term Notes, 6.46% due 2002	20	20
Illinois Power Company		
Commercial Paper	38	148
Revolving Credit Facilities	240	
Mortgage Bonds, 6.25% due 2002	96	96
Mortgage Bonds, 6.5% due 2003	101	101
Mortgage Bonds, 6% due 2003	90	90
Mortgage Bonds, 6.75% due 2005	71	71
Mortgage Bonds, 7.5% due 2009	250	250
Mortgage Bonds, 7.5% due 2025	66	66
Floating Rate Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, due 2017	75	75
Floating Rate Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, due 2028	111	111
Adjustable Rate Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, due 2032	150	150
Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5.4%-7.4%, due 2024 through 2028.	175	175
	4,872	3,183
Less: Amounts due within one year	372	32
Total Long-Term Debt	\$4,500	

F-30

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

	2001	2000
	(\$ in m	illions)
Transitional Funding Trust Notes:		
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.26% due 2001	\$	\$ 38
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.31% due 2002	31	80
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.34% due through 2003.	85	85
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.38% due through 2005.	174	174

	====	====
Total Transitional Funding Trust Notes	\$516	\$603
Less: Amounts due within one year	86	86
	\$602	\$689
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.65% due through 2008.	138	138
Transitional Funding Trust Notes, 5.54% due through 2007.	174	174

Aggregate maturities of the principal amounts of all long-term indebtedness are: 2002-\$458 million; 2003-\$340 million; 2004-\$255 million; 2005-\$1,004 million; 2006-\$474 million and beyond \$2,943 million.

Commercial Paper, Money Market Lines of Credit and Extendible Floating Rate Loans. The Company utilizes commercial paper proceeds and borrowings under uncommitted money market lines of credit for general corporate purposes, including short-term working capital requirements. The commercial paper programs for Dynegy, Dynegy Holdings Inc. ("Holdings") and IP are limited to and fully supported by committed credit agreements. Weighted average interest rates on amounts outstanding under the commercial paper program for Holdings were 3.2% and 8.1% at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on amounts outstanding under IP's commercial paper program were 3.3% and 8.0% at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The Company classifies outstanding commercial paper and borrowings under money market lines of credit as long-term debt to the extent of availability under committed credit facilities, as management's intent is to maintain these obligations for longer than one year, subject to an overall reduction in corporate debt levels.

Credit Agreements: The following table displays certain terms of the Company's revolving credit agreements as of December 31, 2001:

	Unused					Eurodollar			
	Total	Capacity	Capacity	Maturity Date	Term	Margin	Facility Fee		
				(\$ in mil	lions)				
Dynegy	\$	300	\$300	11/02/02	Multi-year	0.450%	0.150%		
Holdings	\$	400	\$ 18	05/27/03	Multi-year	0.200%	0.100%		
		1,200	600	05/01/02	364-day	0.400%	0.100%		
		40		11/20/02	1-year	0.600%	0.250%		
IP	\$	300	\$ 22	05/20/02	364-day	0.625%	0.125%		

The Dynegy, Holdings and IP credit agreements provide funding for working capital, capital expenditures and general corporate purposes, including support for lines of credit and commercial paper programs. Generally, borrowings under the credit agreements bear interest at a Eurodollar rate plus a margin that is determined based on designated unsecured debt ratings. Financial covenants in the credit agreements are limited to a debt-to-capitalization test. Letters of credit under the credit agreements aggregated \$377 million at December 31, 2001.

ABG Gas Supply Credit Agreement. On April 10, 2001, ABG Gas Supply ("ABG") entered into a credit agreement with a consortium of lenders in order to provide financing associated with Project Alpha (the "ABG

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Credit Agreement"). Advances under the agreement allowed ABG to purchase NYMEX natural gas contracts with the underlying physical gas supply to be sold to Dynegy Marketing and Trade under an existing natural gas purchase and sales agreement. The ABG Credit Agreement requires ABG to repay the advances in monthly installments commencing February 2002 through December 2004 from the funds received from Dynegy Marketing and Trade under the natural gas purchases and sales agreement. The advances bear interest at a Eurodollar rate plus a margin as defined in the agreement. Advances of \$282 million were outstanding under this agreement at December 31, 2001.

Long-Term Debt. The Company has a series of notes, debentures, new mortgage bonds, pollution control bonds and transitional funding trust notes having maturities that extend through 2032. The transitional funding trust notes are non-recourse to the Company. Certain of these securities are redeemable at the Company's option, in whole or in part, from time-to-time, at formula-based redemption prices as defined in the applicable indenture.

Certain of Dynegy's debt instruments contain routine provisions which, if not met, could require early payment, additional collateral support or similar actions. For Dynegy, these events include leverage ratios, bankruptcy or insolvency, defaults on principal or interest payments and change of control provisions. These instruments generally provide for a cure period should any of these events occur.

In February 2002, Dynegy Holdings issued \$500 million of 8.75% Senior Notes due 2012. Interest will be paid on a semi-annual basis beginning in August 2002. These notes can be redeemed prior to 2012, in whole or in part, at a price equal to the redemption price included in the related prospectus supplement.

U.S. Network and Generation Facility Debt. The Company has executed lease arrangements for the purpose of constructing two generation facilities located in Georgia and Kentucky and its U.S. fiber optic network. These arrangements require variable-rate interest only payments and include an option to purchase the related assets at maturity of the facility for a balloon payment equal to the principal balance on the financing.

Other Obligations. The Company previously accounted for four fully collateralized generation lease arrangements as operating leases. As a result of the three-year re-audit, the Company has determined it necessary to recognize on its balance sheet the related assets as of the inception of these arrangements, resulting in an increase in Property, Plant and Equipment of \$65 million and \$445 million at December 31, 2000 and 2001, respectively.

In addition, an adjustment has been made to record a construction agency liability of the Company related to the increase in Property, Plant and Equipment. The construction agency liability is included in Accrued Liabilities and Other in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, resulting in an increase of \$65 million and \$445 million at December 31, 2000 and 2001, respectively. The construction agency liability was secured by a note receivable owed to the Company, which is included in Prepaids & Other Assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and there is no cash exposure to the Company associated with this liability. This adjustment has no impact on

equity, net income or cash flows for any period.

F - 32

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Note 8--INCOME TAXES

The Company is subject to U.S. federal, foreign and state income taxes on its operations. The following amounts have been adjusted to reflect the tax impact of the restatement items discussed in the Explanatory Note to these Consolidated Financial Statements. Components of income tax expense (benefit) were:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2001	2000	1999	
	(\$ in	milli	ons)	
Current tax expense (benefit): Domestic	\$ 59 11		\$ 3	
Domestic		196 (26)		
Income tax provision:	\$312 ====	\$246 ====	\$60 ===	

Components of income before income taxes were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31		
	2001	2000	1999
	(\$ in	mill	ions)
<pre>Income (loss) before income taxes: Domestic</pre>			
	\$716 ====	\$682 ====	\$197

F-33

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Deferred income taxes are provided for the temporary differences between the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities and their reported financial statement amounts. Significant components of deferred tax liabilities and assets were:

	December 31,			1,
	2	 001	2	
				ions)
Deferred tax assets: NOL carryforwards		138		228
Miscellaneous book/tax recognition differences.				
Total deferred tax assets		841		772
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Investments		767		130
Depreciation and other property differences	1	,510	1	,747
Miscellaneous book/tax recognition differences.		132		135
Total deferred tax liabilities	2	, 409	2	, 012
Net deferred tax liability	\$1	,568 ====	\$1 ==	,240 ====

Realization of the aggregate deferred tax asset is dependent on the Company's ability to generate taxable earnings in the future and regular tax in excess of tentative minimum tax. There was no valuation allowance established at December 31, 2001 or 2000, as management believes the aggregate deferred asset is more likely than not to be fully realized in the future based on management's estimates of future net income and related taxes.

Income tax provisions for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, were equivalent to effective rates of 44 percent, 36 percent and 30 percent, respectively. Differences between taxes computed at the U.S. federal statutory

rate and the Company's reported income tax provision were:

	Year	Ended 31,	December
	2001	2000	1999
	(\$ in	milli	ions)
Expected tax at U.S. statutory rate State taxes	17 8	13 3	3
Income tax provision	\$312 ====	\$246 ====	\$ 60 ====

At December 31, 2001, the Company had approximately \$340 million of regular tax net operating loss carryforwards, \$138 million of AMT credit carryforwards and \$730 million of AMT net operating loss carryforwards. The net operating loss carryforwards expire from 2009 through 2020. The AMT credit carryforwards do not expire. Certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code place an annual limitation on the

F-34

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Company's ability to utilize tax carryforwards existing as of the date of a 1995 and a 2000 business acquisition. Management believes such carryforwards will be fully realized prior to expiration.

The Company plans to reinvest earnings of foreign subsidiaries and no U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes were provided on these earning in 1999, 2000 or 2001. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of unrecognized U.S. deferred taxes or foreign withholding taxes, if any, that might be payable on the actual or deemed remittance of such earnings. As of December 31, 2001, the Company has no material undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries.

Note 9--PREFERRED SECURITIES

In May 1997, NGC Corporation Capital Trust I ("Trust") issued, in a private transaction, \$200 million aggregate liquidation amount of 8.316% Subordinated Capital Income Securities ("Trust Securities") representing preferred undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the Trust. The Trust invested the proceeds from the issuance of the Trust Securities in an equivalent amount of

8.316% Subordinated Debentures ("Subordinated Debentures") of the Company. The sole assets of the Trust are the Subordinated Debentures. The Trust Securities are subject to mandatory redemption in whole but not in part on June 1, 2027, upon payment of the Subordinated Debentures at maturity, or in whole but not in part at any time, contemporaneously with the optional prepayment of the Subordinated Debentures, as allowed by the associated indenture. The Subordinated Debentures are redeemable, at the option of the Company, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at formula-based redemption prices, as defined in the indenture. The Subordinated Debentures represent unsecured obligations of the Company and rank subordinate and junior in right of payment to all Senior Indebtedness to the extent and in the manner set forth in the associated indenture. The Company has irrevocably and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, payment for the benefit of the holders of the Trust Securities the obligations of the Trust to the extent the Trust has funds legally available for distribution to the holders of the Trust Securities, as described in the indenture. The Company may defer payment of interest on the subordinated debentures as described in the indenture.

Illinois Power Financing Inc. ("IPFI") is a statutory business trust in which IP serves as sponsor. In 1996, IPFI issued \$100 million aggregate liquidation amount of 8% Trust Originated Preferred Securities ("TOPrS") in a private transaction. The TOPrS were to mature on January 31, 2045 and could be redeemed at IP's option, in whole or in part, from time to time on or after January 31, 2001. On September 30, 2001, IP redeemed all \$100 million of the TOPrS. The redemption was financed with \$85 million cash and \$15 million in commercial paper.

Serial Preferred Securities of a Subsidiary of approximately \$46 million consists of six series of preferred stock issued by IP, with interest rates ranging from 4.08% to 7.75%. Certain series are redeemable at the option of IP, in whole or in part. On February 25, 2002, Illinova commenced a tender offer relating to the shares of IP's preferred stock. Concurrently, IP commenced a solicitation of consents from its preferred stockholders to amend IP's Restated Articles of Incorporation to eliminate a provision restricting IP's ability to incur unsecured debt. The completion of the tender offer and consent solicitation is conditioned on, among other things, the approval of the proposed amendment by holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of preferred stock, voting together as one class.

On November 13, 2001, ChevronTexaco purchased 150,000 shares of Dynegy's Series B Mandatorily Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") for \$1.5 billion. The proceeds from this issuance were used to finance Dynegy's investment in Northern Natural, which is discussed in detail in Note 6.

F - 35

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Each share of Dynegy's Series B Preferred Stock is convertible, at the option of ChevronTexaco, for a period of two years into shares of Dynegy Class B common stock at the conversion price of \$31.64.

The Company originally recognized a special preferred stock dividend of approximately \$65 million based on the intrinsic value of the two-year

beneficial conversion option granted to ChevronTexaco because the conversion price was an approximate 5% discount to the market price for the Company's stock on November 7, 2001, the date the conversion price was negotiated. The Company was recognizing this dividend over the two-year period from the issuance date of the preferred stock to the mandatory redemption date. For purposes of calculating the value of the beneficial conversion option, the Company originally used its stock price on November 7, 2001, the date the preferred stock conversion price was negotiated. The Company has since determined that it should have used November 13, 2001, the date ChevronTexaco funded its preferred stock purchase and the preferred securities were issued, as the commitment date. The Company's stock price increased significantly between November 7 and November 13, primarily as a result of the announcement during the period of the proposed Enron Corp. merger. Because of this increase, and the resulting increase in the implied value of ChevronTexaco's beneficial conversion option, the restated preferred stock dividend amount is calculated based on a two-year amortization of the beneficial conversion option's implied value of approximately \$660 million, an increase of approximately \$595 million over the \$65 million originally reported. Unless ChevronTexaco exercises its conversion right, Dynegy is required to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock for \$1.5 billion two years from the date of issuance. Our Board of Directors will evaluate this redemption obligation prior to November 13, 2003. Please read Note 19--Subsequent Events for discussion of events affecting Dynegy since the date on which Dynegy originally filed its 2001 Form 10-K. Based on Dynegy's substantial debt obligations, liquidity position, limitations under applicable state law and limitations in its restructured credit facility in respect of redemptions of equity securities, Dynegy does not currently expect to redeem the preferred shares in November 2003. The Series B Preferred Stock does not have a cash dividend.

Note 10--MINORITY INTEREST

In June 2000, Dynegy and Black Thunder Investors LLC ("Investor") invested in Catlin Associates, L.L.C. ("Catlin"), an entity that is consolidated by Dynegy, with the Investor's ownership in Catlin reflected as Minority interest on the consolidated balance sheet. Dynegy invested approximately \$95 million in Catlin and the Investor contributed \$850 million. As a result of its investment, the Investor received a preferred interest in Catlin, which holds indirect economic interests in midwest generation assets, including the coal-fired generation units in Illinois. This preferred interest is a passive interest and generally is not entitled to management rights.

On or before June 29, 2005, Dynegy is effectively obligated to purchase the Investor's preferred interest for \$850 million unless the Investor agrees to extend or refinance this obligation. Alternatively, Dynegy could liquidate Catlin's assets, including DMG's generating assets, to satisfy this obligation.

In addition to granting Catlin a security interest in the stock of DMG, Dynegy also has agreed to pay to DMG, to the extent that DMG has either distributed or loaned money directly or indirectly to Dynegy, an amount not to exceed \$275 million. This payment obligation builds over time to a maximum of \$275 million in 2005. As of December 31, 2001, Dynegy's obligation to DMG under the agreement was approximately \$35 million. In addition, in the event projected cash flow is insufficient to cover capital expenditures and certain other fixed charges, Dynegy may be required to make an additional capital contribution of up to \$60 million to Catlin. The \$60 million contingent obligation expires on December 31, 2002.

F - 36

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

If Dynegy Holdings' senior unsecured debt is downgraded below investment grade by both Standard & Poor's and Moody's, Dynegy would be required to post cash collateral in an aggregate amount of \$270 million and, within 30 days, obtain an investment grade rating for the Catlin membership interest held by the Investor by either Standard & Poor's or Moody's or obtain a waiver from the Investor.

If Dynegy were unable to obtain the required rating for the interest held by the Investor or waiver, Dynegy would have the option of purchasing or refinancing the Investor's interest in Catlin. If Dynegy was unable or otherwise elected not to exercise this option, it could ultimately result in an election by the Investor to cause the liquidation of the underlying generation assets in an amount sufficient to redeem the Investor's interest. Given the strategic importance of these generation assets, it is likely that Dynegy would seek to refinance or purchase the Investor's interest under such circumstances.

Minority interest on the consolidated balance sheet also includes third-party investments in certain other consolidated entities, principally relating to Dynegy Midstream Services ("DMS") operations and Dynegy Global Communications ("DGC"). The net pre-tax results attributed to minority interest holders in consolidated entities are classified in minority interest expense in the accompanying statements of operations.

Note 11--COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Set forth below is a description of our material legal proceedings. In addition to the matters described below, Dynegy is a party to legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these ordinary course matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Dynegy records reserves for estimated losses from contingencies when information available indicates that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies." For environmental matters, Dynegy records liabilities when environmental assessment indicates that remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

With respect to several of the items listed below, Dynegy has determined that a loss is not probable or that any such loss, to the extent probable, is not reasonably estimable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Dynegy's management has assessed the matters described below based on currently available information and made an informed judgment concerning the likely outcome of such matters, giving due consideration to the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought and the probability of success. Management's judgment may, as a result of facts arising prior to resolution of these matters or other

factors, prove inaccurate and investors should be aware that such judgment is made subject to the known uncertainty of litigation.

Legal Proceedings. On August 3, 1998, Modesto Irrigation District ("MID") filed a lawsuit against PG&E and Destec Energy, Inc. ("Destec") in federal court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco division. The lawsuit alleges violation of federal and state antitrust laws and breach of contract against Destec. The allegations are related to a power sale and purchase arrangement in the city of Pittsburg, California. While MID's pleadings indicate that it cannot measure its damages with specificity, it has indicated that the actual damages sought from PG&E and Destec may exceed \$25 million. MID also seeks a trebling of any portion of damages related to its antitrust claims. By order dated February 2, 1999, the federal District Court dismissed MID's state and federal antitrust claims against PG&E and Destec; however, the Court granted MID leave of thirty days to amend its complaint to state an antitrust cause of action. On March 3, 1999, MID filed an amended complaint recasting its federal and state antitrust claims against PG&E and Destec and Pestec and restated its breach of

F - 37

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

contract claim against Destec. PG&E and Destec filed motions to dismiss MID's revised federal and state antitrust claims and a hearing on the motions to dismiss was held in July 1999. On August 20, 1999, the District Court again dismissed MID's antitrust claims against PG&E and Destec, this time without leave to amend the complaint. As a result of the dismissal of the antitrust claims, the District Court also dismissed the pendant state law claims. MID has appealed the District Court's dismissal of its suit to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal. Oral arguments before the Ninth Circuit occurred on March 15, 2001. The Ninth Circuit has yet to deliver its decision on the case. Although PG&E filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding on April 6, 2001, the automatic stay applicable in the proceeding will be lifted to permit the Ninth Circuit to decide the pending appeal.

Following dismissal of its federal court suit, MID filed suit in California state court asserting breach of contract and tortious interference with prospective economic relations claims against Destec and tortious interference with contract and interference with prospective economic relations claims against PG&E. Motions to dismiss MID's state court claims were heard by the state court and by order dated April 6, 2000, MID was directed to amend its complaint. MID filed its amended complaint on April 20, 2000, including Dynegy as a defendant. Dynegy filed a motion to dismiss MID's amended complaint against Dynegy, and the Court partially granted Dynegy's motion to dismiss while also granting MID leave to amend its complaint. Before MID filed its amended complaint, MID agreed with PG&E and Dynegy to execute a tolling agreement on all claims and to dismiss the state court case until the federal appeal is decided. After executing the tolling agreement, on October 23, 2000, MID filed in the state court a Request for Dismissal, which the court granted on October 25, 2000. Dynegy believes that it has meritorious defenses to MID's claims and intends to vigorously defend against them. However, if the plaintiff were to successfully prosecute its claims, Dynegy could be required to fund a judgment in excess of \$25 million.

On November 3, 1999, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") issued a Notice of Violation ("NOV") against Illinois Power Company ("IP") and, with the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), filed a complaint against IP in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, No. 99C833. Subsequently, the DOJ and EPA amended the NOV and complaint to include Illinova Power Marketing, Inc. (now known as Dynegy Midwest Generation Inc. ("DMG")) (IP and DMG collectively the "Defendants"). Similar notices and lawsuits have been filed against a number of other utilities. Both the NOV and complaint allege violations of the Clean Air Act (the "Act") and regulations thereunder. More specifically, both allege, based on the same events, that certain equipment repairs, replacements and maintenance activities at the Defendants' three Baldwin Station generating units constituted "major modifications" under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") and/or the New Source Performance Standards regulations. When such activities occur and they are not otherwise exempt the Act and related regulations generally require that generating facilities meet more stringent emissions standards, which may entail the installation of potentially costly pollution control equipment. The DOJ amended its complaint to assert the claims found in the NOV. The Defendants filed an answer denying all claims and asserting various specific defenses. By order dated October 19, 2001, a trial date of February 11, 2003 has been set. The initial trial is limited to determining whether a violation occurred.

The regulations under the Act provide certain exemptions from the applicability of these provisions particularly an exemption for routine repair, replacement or maintenance. The Company has analyzed each of the activities covered by the EPA's allegations and believes each activity represents prudent practice regularly performed throughout the utility industry as necessary to maintain the operational efficiency and safety of equipment. As such, the Company believes that each of these activities is covered by the exemption for routine repair, replacement and maintenance and that the EPA is changing, or attempting to change, through enforcement actions, the intent and meaning of its regulations. The Company also believes that, even if some of the activities in question were found not to qualify for routine exemptions, the Act and regulations also require that the

F-38

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

activities cause certain levels of increases in emissions and there were no such increases either in annual emissions or in the maximum hourly emissions achievable at any of the units caused by any of the activities. The regulations include exemptions for increased hours of operations or production rate and the PSD regulations exclude increases in emissions resulting from demand growth. None of the Defendants' other facilities are covered in the complaint and NOV, but the EPA has officially requested information concerning activities at the Defendants' Vermilion, Wood River, Hennepin and Danskammer Plants. It is possible that the EPA will eventually commence enforcement actions against those plants as well. The asset(s) subject to the complaint are part of the consolidated assets of Dynegy.

The EPA has the authority to seek penalties for the alleged violations in question at the rate of up to \$27,500 per day for each violation. The EPA may

also seek to require installation of the "best available control technology" (or the equivalent) at the Baldwin Station, and possibly at the Vermilion, Wood River, Hennepin and Danskammer Plants if the EPA successfully prosecutes enforcement actions against those plants. The Company has recorded a reserve for penalties that could be imposed if the EPA were to successfully prosecute its claims based on its assessment of the probability and estimability thereof.

The National Energy Policy Report issued in May 2001 by the National Energy Policy Development Group recommended that the EPA Administrator examine the new source review regulations, including the PSD regulations, and report to the President within 90 days on the impact of new source review on investment in new utility and refinery generation capacity, energy efficiency and environmental protection. The report also recommended that the Attorney General review existing enforcement actions regarding new source review to ensure that the enforcement actions are consistent with the Clean Air Act and its regulations. The EPA Administrator announced in August 2001 that the review would be completed in September 2001. The events of September 11, 2001 have resulted in further delay of the EPA review, which remains ongoing. The Department of Justice issued its report concerning the existing enforcement actions on January 15, 2002. The report concluded that EPA has a reasonable legal basis for proceeding with the cases.

Tampa Electric Company ("TECO") and the government agreed to a Consent Decree to resolve the similar case brought against TECO and that Consent Decree was entered by the court hearing that case in 2000. Two other utilities, Virginia Power and Cinergy, reached agreements in principle with the United States in 2000 concerning their possible liability for similar alleged violations, but these agreements have still not been finalized. Additionally, PSEG Fossil LLC entered into a consent decree in January 2002 to resolve similar claims. Generally, the TECO Consent Decree and the settlements and agreements in principle would require the utilities to pay civil fines; fund various environmental projects; reduce nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, particulate matter and mercury emissions through the installation of pollution control devices over periods extending through 2012 to 2013; and forfeit certain emission allowances.

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses against these claims and will vigorously defend against them. However, Dynegy may be required to incur significant capital expenditures in connection with the installation of pollution control devices and related remedial actions. To the extent the Company is required to incur material capital expenditures to resolve this matter, the Company's financial position and results of operations could be material adversely affected.

The following six class action lawsuits have been filed against various Dynegy entities, including Dynegy Inc. and Dynegy Power Marketing Inc.:

- 1. Gordon v. Reliant Energy Inc., et al. was filed on November 27, 2000 in San Diego Superior court.
- 2. Hendricks v. Dynegy Power Marketing Inc., et al. was filed on November 29, 2000 in a San Diego Superior Court.

F-39

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

- 3. People of the State of California v. Dynegy Power Marketing Inc., et al. was filed on January 19, 2001 in San Francisco Superior Court.
- 4. Pier 23 Restaurant v. PG&E Energy Trading, et al. was filed on January 24, 2001 in San Francisco Superior Court.
- 5. Sweetwater Authority et al. v. Dynegy Inc., et al. was filed on January 16, 2001 in San Diego Superior Court.
- 6. Bustamante v. Dynegy Inc., et al. was filed on May 2, 2001 in Los Angeles Superior court. The suit was filed on behalf of California taxpayers by Lieutenant Governor Cruz Bustamante and Assembly Woman Barbara Matthews, both acting in their capacity as taxpayers and not in their capacity as elected officials.

The six class action lawsuits are based on the events occurring in the California power market during the summer of 2000. The complaints allege violations of California's Business and Professions Code, Unfair Trade Practices Act and various other statutes. Specifically, the named plaintiffs allege that the defendants, including the owners of in-state generation and various power marketers, conspired to manipulate the California wholesale power market to the detriment of California consumers. Included among the acts forming the basis of the plaintiffs' claims are the alleged improper sharing of generation outage data, improper withholding of generation capacity and the manipulation of power market bid practices. The plaintiffs seek unspecified treble damages. The Bustamante suit includes claims against various Dynegy entities, including Dynegy Inc. and Dynegy Marketing and Trade, as well as against three corporate officers individually. The allegations in this suit are similar to those in the other five suits, with the exception that the Bustamante suit includes a claim of unfair business practices based on "price gouging" during an emergency declared by Governor Gray Davis.

The six lawsuits are at preliminary stages. Defendants in the six lawsuits have yet to file answers. The plaintiffs filed motions to remand five of the cases to state court. In respect to the sixth case, the Bustamante suit, the parties agreed that, based on a judge's decision to remand the other five lawsuits, the case should go back to state court. All six lawsuits will be consolidated before a single California state court judge.

After the actions were remanded, the parties agreed that they should be coordinated. On December 12, 2001, the California Judicial Council resolved a dispute among the parties as to the county in which the actions should be coordinated and assigned the Coordination Proceedings (Nos. 4204 & 4205) to the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego. On December 20, 2001, the presiding judge of the San Diego Superior Court designated Judge Sammartino as the Coordination Trial Judge for the Coordination Proceedings. On January 17, 2002, Judge Sammartino set a preliminary trial conference for March 4, 2002 to, among other things, set schedules for: (a) determining legal issues that might expedite disposition of the Coordination Proceedings; (b) establishing a discovery schedule; and (c) resolving matters pertinent to the class action issue.

The defendants in the six lawsuits have formed various joint defense groups in an effort to coordinate the defense of the claims and to share certain costs of defense. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these

claims and intends to vigorously defend against them. Dynegy is unable to estimate the range of possible loss that could be incurred with respect to these lawsuits. However, an adverse result in any of these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

On March 11, 2002, the California Attorney General filed, on behalf of the People of the State of California, complaints in San Francisco Superior Court against several energy generators, including those owned directly by West Coast Power and indirectly by Dynegy Inc. The complaints allege that since June 1998, these generators

F - 40

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

sold power in the open market that should have been held in emergency reserve for the State. The complaint against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries seeks unspecified amounts of restitution and return profits as well as civil penalties of \$2,500 for each alleged violation. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these claims and intends to vigorously defend against them. Dynegy is unable to estimate the range of possible loss that could be incurred with respect to these lawsuits. However, an adverse result in any of these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In response to the filing of a number of complaints challenging the level of wholesale prices, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") initiated a staff investigation and issued an order on December 15, 2000 implementing a series of wholesale market reforms and made subject to refund all spot market sales through the California Independent System Operator (the "ISO") and the California Power Exchange (the "PX") markets beginning October 2, 2000. FERC also included an interim price review procedure for prices above a \$150/MW hour "breakpoint" on sales to the ISO and PX. The order does not prohibit sales above the "breakpoint," but the seller was subject to weekly reporting and monitoring requirements. In an order issued March 9, 2001, FERC determined that only sales during so-called "Stage 3" emergency hours would be subject to refund beginning January 1, 2001 though sales between October 2, 2000 and December 31, 2000 remained subject to refund. Various parties sought rehearing of this market mitigation measure and, as explained below, the FERC ruled on the matter in an order issued on July 25, 2001.

On April 26, 2001, the FERC revised its market mitigation plan, effective May 29, 2001, to cover all emergency hours. The mitigated price was to be in effect only during reserve deficiency hours. Suppliers charging prices above the mitigated price during those hours could file to justify those prices.

On June 19, 2001, the FERC again revised its market mitigation plan, effective June 20, 2001. Pursuant to this plan, the FERC is mitigating prices charged in all hours throughout the Western Systems Coordinating Council based on the mitigated price in the ISO markets. During reserve deficiency hours, the mitigated price is set pursuant to an average index for gas times the heat rate of the last unit dispatched by the ISO during a "Stage 1" emergency, plus a 10

percent adder for credit risk. Nitrogen oxide charges, start-up costs and additional fuel costs will be collected through an ISO uplift charge. During non-reserve deficiency hours, the market clearing price is capped at 85 percent of the mitigated price. The Company has filed for rehearing and clarification of the order. The FERC also ordered all parties to participate in a 15-day settlement conference to determine refunds, which proved unsuccessful. Pursuant to that order, the settlement judge has issued a refund recommendation to the FERC, stating that refunds from all market participants since October 2000 probably total between several hundred million dollars and a billion dollars. It should be noted that the April 26 and June 19, 2001 orders apply only to sales made on a daily basis, that is, within 24 hours of delivery. The vast majority of power sold by West Coast Power LLC, a 50% equity investee of Dynegy, is committed to long-term contracts exempt from these orders.

On July 25, 2001, as modified on December 31, 2001, the FERC initiated refund hearing procedures related to California wholesale spot market sales that occurred between October 2, 2000 and June 20, 2001. Dynegy Power Marketing (as Scheduling Coordinator for West Coast Power LLC) is subject to possible refunds. The July 25/th/ order supercedes prior refund orders issued by the FERC that cover this period. In the July 25/th/ order, the FERC developed a methodology to redetermine allegedly competitive market outcomes during each hour of this period. An administrative law judge has been appointed to determine: (1) the mitigated price for power during each hour of the refund period; (2) the amount of refunds owed by each supplier according to the FERC's methodology; and (3) the amount owed to each supplier (with separate quantities due from each entity) by the ISO, the investor-owned utilities and the State of California. Any refunds owed would then be offset against

F - 41

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

amounts not paid. Management does not expect the administrative law judge to issue his findings before August 2002. Dynegy is actively participating in these proceedings and is appealing these and related orders.

On December 19, 2001, FERC issued an order that in part focused on the FERC-established price mitigation plan, which includes a formula prescribed by FERC to determine maximum rates for wholesale power transactions in spot markets in the Western Systems Coordinating Council between June 21, 2001 and September 30, 2002. In this order, the FERC made some changes in its mitigation plan. Certain of these changes were put in place retroactively and might have the effect of reducing the applicable maximum rate in past periods. Dynegy Power Marketing and West Coast Power have sought rehearing or clarification of this decision, pointing out that various other aspects of the December 19 order, coupled with other recent FERC orders, indicate that the FERC did not intend to modify past prices under this mitigation plan. The Company cannot predict how the FERC will ultimately resolve this matter.

On February 13, 2002, the FERC initiated an investigation of possible manipulation of natural gas and power prices in the western United States during the period from January 2001 through the present. Dynegy has been active in these markets during the relevant period and, as a result, expects that its pricing policies will be investigated. Management believes that much of what would be investigated has already been examined pursuant to other investigations by government entities and in private litigation. To date, there

has been no finding of market manipulation by Dynegy in these markets and management does not believe that any factual basis to support such a finding exists.

In addition to the FERC investigation discussed above, several state and other federal regulatory investigations and complaints have commenced in connection with the wholesale electricity prices in California and other neighboring Western states to determine the causes of the high prices and potentially to recommend remedial action. In California, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Electricity Oversight Board, the California Bureau of State Audits, the California Office of the Attorney General and several California state legislative committees all have separate ongoing investigations into the high prices and their causes. With the exception of a report by the California Bureau of State Audits, none of these investigations has been completed and no findings have been made in connection with any of them. The California state audit report concluded that the primary causes of the market disruptions in California were fundamental flaws in the structure of the power market. Additionally, on February 25, 2002, the California Public Utilities Commission and the California Electricity Oversight Board filed complaints with the FERC asking that it void or reform power supply contracts between DWR and, among others, West Coast Power. The complaints allege that prices under the contracts exceed just and reasonable prices permitted under the Federal Power Act. While the Company believes the terms of its contracts are just and reasonable and do not reflect alleged market manipulation, it cannot predict how the FERC will respond to these complaints.

Management is continually assessing Dynegy's exposure, as well as its exposure through West Coast Power, relative to its California receivables and establishes reserves for contingent liabilities where the amount of potential loss is determined to be probable and estimable. During 2001, 2000 and 1999, our share of reserves recorded by West Coast Power totalled \$134.3 million, \$17.5 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. Our share of the total reserve at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was \$151.8 million and \$17.5 million, respectively.

On December 2, 2001, Enron Corp. and Enron Transportation Services Co. (collectively, "Enron") filed suit against Dynegy and Holdings in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, Adversary Proceeding No. 01-03626 (AJG). Enron claims that Dynegy materially breached the Merger Agreement dated November 9, 2001 between Enron and Dynegy and related entities by wrongfully terminating

F-42

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

that Agreement on November 28, 2001. Enron also claims that Holdings wrongfully exercised its option to take ownership of Northern Natural under an Option Agreement dated November 9, 2001. Enron seeks damages in excess of \$10 billion and declaratory relief against Dynegy for breach of the Merger Agreement. Enron also seeks unspecified damages against Dynegy and Holdings for breach of the Option Agreement. Dynegy filed an answer on February 4, 2002, denying all material allegations. Dynegy subsequently filed a motion to transfer venue in the proceeding to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Houston division). Discovery has not yet commenced.

On December 20, 2001, Dynegy and Holdings were sued by Ann C. Pearl and Joel Getzler in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Cause No. 01 CV 11652. Plaintiffs filed the lawsuit as a purported class action on behalf of all persons or entities who owned common stock of Enron Corp. as of November 28, 2001. Plaintiffs allege that they are intended third party beneficiaries of the Merger Agreement dated November 9, 2001 between Enron and Dynegy and related entities. Plaintiffs claim that Dynegy materially breached the Merger Agreement by, inter alia, wrongfully terminating that Agreement. Plaintiffs also claim that Dynegy breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiffs seek an award of damages and other relief. On February 4, 2002, Dynegy filed a notice of motion to dismiss or transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Houston Division). Discovery has not yet commenced.

On January 3, 2002, Dynegy and Holdings were sued by Bernard D. Shapiro and Peter Strub in the 129th Judicial District Court for Harris County, Texas, Cause No. 2002-00080. Plaintiffs filed the lawsuit as a purported class action on behalf of all persons or entities who owned common stock of Enron Corp. as of November 28, 2001. Plaintiffs allege that they are intended third party beneficiaries of the Merger Agreement dated November 9, 2001 between Enron and Dynegy and related entities. Plaintiffs claim that Dynegy materially breached the Merger Agreement by, inter alia, wrongfully terminating that Agreement. Plaintiffs also claim that Dynegy breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiffs seek an award of damages and other relief. Dynegy filed an answer on February 4, 2002, denying all allegations. Discovery has not yet commenced.

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the allegations in Enron's adversary proceeding and the other cases arising out of the terminated merger and will vigorously defend against these claims. Given the magnitude of Enron's claims, however, an adverse result in these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Purchase Obligations. In conducting its operations, the Company routinely enters into long-term commodity purchase and sale commitments, as well as agreements that commit future cash flow to the lease or acquisition of assets used in its businesses. These commitments are typically associated with commodity supply arrangements, capital projects, reservation charges associated with firm transmission, transportation, storage and leases for office space, equipment, plant sites, ships, power generation assets and long-haul fiber optic and metropolitan networks. The following describes the more significant commitments outstanding at December 31, 2001.

The Company has \$75 million of unconditional purchase obligations related to the purchase of gas, coal, systems design and power purchase agreements. The Company also routinely enters into supply and market contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity, some of which contain fixed capacity payments. Such obligations are generally payable on a ratable basis, the terms of which extend through November 2014. In return for such fixed capacity payments, Dynegy receives volumes of electricity at agreed prices, which it then may re-market. These fixed capacity payments totaled approximately \$2 billion at December 31, 2001. The capacity

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

payments for contracts that are accounted for on an accrual basis, which are not already recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, exceed the market value of electricity available for sale under these contracts by \$27 million. The market value of electricity available for sale under these contracts has not been reduced for credit or price reserves.

Dynegy has other firm capacity payments related to storage and transportation of natural gas and transmission of electricity. Such arrangements are routinely used in the physical movement and storage of energy consistent with the Company's business strategy. The total of such obligations was \$715 million as of December 31, 2001, with \$305 million of the \$715 million due after 2006.

The Company is engaged in a continual capital asset expansion program consistent with its business plan and growth strategies. The emphasis of this program is on the acquisition or construction of strategically located power generation assets. Consistent with this strategy and as a result of the long lead time required by industry manufacturers, the Company has executed or is negotiating purchase orders to acquire at least 11 gas-fired turbines, representing a capital commitment of approximately \$370 million. Commitments under these purchase orders are generally payable consistent with the delivery schedule. Approximately 95% are scheduled to be delivered by the end of 2006. The purchase orders include milestone requirements by the manufacturer and provide Dynegy with the ability to cancel each discrete purchase order commitment in exchange for a fee, which escalates over time. At December 31, 2001, the fee would have been approximately \$12 million.

The Company also has an information systems service agreement under which it is obligated to pay \$6 million a year through 2006.

Advance Agreement. In 1997, Dynegy received cash from a gas purchaser as an advance payment for future natural gas deliveries over a ten-year period ("Advance Agreement"). As a condition of the Advance Agreement, Dynegy entered into a natural gas swap with a third party under which Dynegy became a fixed-price payer on identical volumes to those to be delivered under the Advance Agreement at prices based on current market rates. The cash receipt is included as deferred revenue in "Other Long-Term Liabilities" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and is ratably reduced as gas is delivered to the purchaser under the terms of the Advance Agreement. The balance at December 31, 2001 approximated \$65 million. The Advance Agreement contains certain non-performance penalties that impact both parties and as a condition precedent, Dynegy purchased a surety bond in support of its obligations under the Advance Agreement.

Other Minimum Commitments. During 2001 and prior years, the Company entered into lease arrangements associated with natural gas-fired generating facilities, natural gas liquids transportation assets and certain fiber optic and telecommunications-related assets, some of which are accounted for as operating leases and others as capital leases. Under the terms of certain of these arrangements, the Company provided residual value guarantees associated with the leased assets. Pursuant to these guarantees, the Company has the option to acquire at the end of the lease term the leased assets for a purchase price determined at lease inception and estimated to represent fair market value at the end of the lease term. If the Company does not choose to purchase the leased asset, it must perform under the terms of the residual value

guarantee. At lease inception, the Company as lessee, guaranteed to the owner of the leased asset that the leased asset would maintain a value equal to at least 80-85% (depending on the particular lease contract) of its originally estimated fair value. In each of these arrangements, the Company will receive any sales proceeds that the owner of the leased asset receives in excess of the originally estimated fair value. If the value of the asset is less than 80-85% of the fair market value at lease termination, the Company is obligated to pay the owner the difference.

F - 44

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The Company also has a commitment to pay decommissioning costs of approximately \$5 million a year for the years 2002 to 2004 related to the sale of the Clinton nuclear facility in 1999. This sale occurred prior to Dynegy's acquisition of Illinova in 2000; thus, Dynegy was not involved with the sale. However, Dynegy assumed this decommissioning obligation in connection with its acquisition of Illinova.

Minimum commitments in connection with office space, equipment, plant sites and other leased assets at December 31, 2001, were as follows: 2002 - \$160 million; 2003-\$148 million; 2004-\$211 million, 2005-\$268 million; 2006-\$118 million and beyond-\$1.5 billion.

Rental payments made under the terms of these arrangements totaled--\$132 million in 2001, \$75 million in 2000 and \$31 million in 1999.

Guarantees. The Company has \$288 million of surety bonds as of December 31, 2001 in which Dynegy indemnifies the respective surety bond companies. Approximately \$204 million of these contingent financial commitments expire in 2002, however, these bonds are generally renewed on a rolling twelve-month basis.

As mentioned above, the Company has residual value guarantees related to certain leases. At December 31, 2001, the residual value guarantees totaled approximately \$214 million. Additionally, the Company has made certain guarantees related to West Coast Power for approximately \$56 million. Approximately \$31 million of this \$56 million has a cash collateralization requirement if the Company's debt is downgraded to below investment grade. Additionally, the Company has posted a \$4 million letter of credit for West Coast Power that expires in 2002.

Other guarantees at December 31, 2001 include additional letters of credit and uncommitted lines of \$470 million and parental guarantees of debt of approximately \$3 million in connection with its power generation projects.

Note 12--REGULATORY ISSUES

The Company is subject to regulation by various federal, state, local and foreign agencies, including extensive rules and regulations governing transportation, transmission and sale of energy commodities as well as the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Compliance with these regulations requires general and administrative, capital and operating expenditures including those related

to monitoring, pollution control equipment, emission fees and permitting at various operating facilities and remediation obligations. In addition, the U.S. Congress has before it a number of bills that could impact regulations or impose new regulations applicable to Dynegy and its subsidiaries. The Company cannot predict the outcome of these bills or other regulatory developments or the effects that they might have on its business.

Note 13--CAPITAL STOCK

At December 31, 2001, the Company had authorized capital stock consisting of 900,000,000 shares of Class A Common stock, 360,000,000 shares of Class B Common stock and 70,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

Preferred Stock. The Company's preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series, the shares of each series to have such designations and powers, preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions thereof as described in the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.

Pursuant to the terms of the Illinova acquisition, Dynegy established a series of preferred stock, designated as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, which was issued to British Gas Atlantic ("BG") and NOVA

F - 45

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Corporation ("NOVA") in accordance with the exchange ratios provided in the acquisition documents. On the effective date of the acquisition, BG and NOVA held an aggregate 6.7 million shares of this Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. All of these shares were converted into shares of Class A common stock in the second quarter of 2000. In addition 8,000,000 shares of preferred stock, previously designated as Dynegy Series A Participating Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred"), were converted to shares of Class B common stock on a 0.69-for-one exchange ratio.

Common Stock. At December 31, 2001, there were 356,484,370 shares of Class A and B common stock issued in the aggregate and 1,766,800 shares were held in treasury. During 2001, Dynegy paid quarterly cash dividends on its common stock of \$0.075 per share, or \$0.30 per share on an annual basis.

Pursuant to the terms of the Illinova acquisition, Dynegy split its common shares into two classes, Class A and Class B. All of the Class B common stock is owned by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. ("Chevron"). Generally, holders of Class A and Class B common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the shareholders. Holders of Class A common stock may cumulate votes in connection with the election of directors. The election of directors and all other matters will be by a majority of shares represented and entitled to vote, except as otherwise provided by law. Holders of Class B common stock vote together with holders of Class A common stock as a single class on every matter acted upon by the shareholders except for the following matters:

the holders of Class B common stock vote as a separate class for the election of three directors of Dynegy, while the holders of Class A common stock vote as a separate class for the remaining eleven directors;

- any amendment to the special corporate governance rights of Class B common stock must be approved by a majority of the directors elected by holders of Class B common stock and a majority of all Dynegy directors or by a 66 2/3 percent of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock voting as a separate class, and the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Class A and Class B common stock, voting together as a single class; and
- . any amendment to the provision of the Amended and Restated Articles of incorporation addressing the voting rights of holders of Class A and Class B common stock requires the approval of 66 2/3 percent of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock voting as a separate class, and the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Class A and Class B common stock, voting together as a single class.

Subject to the preferences of preferred stock, holders of Class A and Class B common stock have equal ratable rights to dividends, when and if dividends are declared by the board of directors. Holders of Class A and Class B common stock are entitled to share ratably, as a single class, in all of the assets of Dynegy available for distribution to holders of shares of common stock upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of Dynegy, after payment of Dynegy's liabilities and any amounts to holders of preferred stock.

A share of Class B common stock automatically converts into a share of Class A common stock upon the transfer to any person other than an affiliate of Chevron. Additionally, each share of Class B common stock automatically converts into a share of Class A common stock if the holders of all Class B common stock cease to own collectively 15 percent of the outstanding common stock of Dynegy. Conversely, any shares of Class A common stock acquired by Chevron or its affiliates will automatically convert into shares of Class B common stock, so long as Chevron and its affiliates continue to own 15 percent or more of the outstanding voting power of Dynegy.

Holders of Class A and Class B common stock generally are not entitled to preemptive rights, subscription rights, or redemption rights, except that Chevron is entitled to preemptive rights under the shareholder

F-46

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

agreement. The rights and preferences of holders of Class A common stock are subject to the rights of any series of preferred stock Dynegy may issue.

In December 2001, 27.5 million shares of Class A common stock were sold through a public offering which resulted in proceeds of approximately \$539 million, net of underwriting commission and expenses of approximately \$32 million. Concurrent with the public offering, members of the Company's senior management purchased approximately 1.2 million shares of Class A common stock from the Company in a private placement. The officers paid \$19.75 per share for the stock, the same price as the net proceeds to the Company in the public offering, yielding net proceeds of \$25 million. The officers paid for the shares by entering into promissory notes with the Company for an initial term of 60 days. The maturity date of the notes was extended for an additional 30 days with the approval of the Board of Directors. The loans bear interest at 3.25 percent and are full recourse to the borrowers. Such loans are accounted

for as "Subscriptions Receivable" within Stockholders' Equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2001. The net proceeds from these equity sales were used to reduce indebtedness under Dynegy Holdings' revolving credit facility by approximately \$539 million and the remainder of the proceeds were invested in cash.

In January 2002, Chevron purchased approximately 10.4 million shares of Class B common stock in a private transaction, pursuant to the exercise of its pre-emptive rights under the shareholder agreement. The proceeds from this sale were approximately \$205 million.

In July 2001, Dynegy established the Dynegy Inc. Short-Term Executive Stock Purchase Loan Program pursuant to which eligible employees were loaned funds to acquire Class A common stock through market purchases. Outstanding loans bear interest at the greater of five percent or the applicable federal rate as of the loan date, are full recourse to the participants and mature on December 19, 2004. At December 31, 2001, approximately \$13 million, which included accrued and unpaid interest, was owed to the Company under this program for 375,800 shares of Class A common stock. The loans are accounted for as Subscriptions Receivable within Stockholders' Equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Earlier in 2001, approximately 1.2 million shares of Class B common stock were sold to Chevron in a private transaction, pursuant to the exercise of its pre-emptive rights under the shareholder agreement. The proceeds from this transaction were approximately \$41 million.

During 2000, Dynegy sold approximately 22.6 million shares of common stock. The offerings included approximately 18.4 million shares of Class A common stock sold to the public and approximately 4.2 million shares of Class B common stock sold to Chevron. Total net proceeds to Dynegy from these sales approximated \$858 million, net of underwriting commissions and expenses of approximately \$10 million. Additionally, Chevron purchased \$200 million of Class B common stock concurrent with the acquisition of Illinova.

Concurrent with the acquisition of Illinova, BG and NOVA were issued preferred shares which were convertible into Class A common stock. In the second quarter of 2000, a non-recurring special dividend payment of \$32\$ million was made to BG and NOVA prior to the conversion of their preferred shares to Class A common stock.

F - 47

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Common stock activity (in millions) for the three years ended December 31, 2001 was as follows:

Common Stock Class A Common Stock Class B Common Stock

----Shares Amount Shares Amount Shares Amount

December 31, 1998	211	\$ 1		\$		\$
Options exercised	5					
401(k) plan and profit sharing	1					
December 31, 1999	217	1				
Illinova acquisition	(217)	(1)	195	1,168	81	650
Common stock issued			19	748	4	110
Preferred Stock conversion			12			
Extant acquisition			2	67		
Options exercised			9	157		
401(k) plan and profit sharing			1	12		
December 31, 2000			238	2,152	85	\$760
Common stock issued			30	564	1	41
Subscriptions receivable				(38)		
Options exercised			3	59		
401(k) plan and profit sharing				11		
December 31, 2001		\$	271	\$2,748	86	\$801
	====	===	===	======	==	====

The December 31, 2001 Class A Common Stock balance is net of \$38 million in subscriptions receivable described above (see Note 16 for further discussion). Such amount is included on the face of the Consolidated Balance Sheet and classified as a separate line item.

F-48

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Stock Options. Each option granted is valued at an option price, which ranges from \$1.47 per share to \$57.95 per share at date of grant. The difference, if any, between the option price and the fair market value of each option on the date of grant is recorded as compensation expense over the respective vesting period. Most options granted at prices below fair market do not become exercisable until the fifth anniversary date of the grant, at which time they become fully exercisable. Options granted at market value vest and become exercisable ratably over a three-year period. Compensation expense related to options granted totaled \$12.7 million, \$15.4 million and \$6.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Total options outstanding and exercisable for 2001, 2000 and 1999 were (options in thousands) as follows:

Year Ended December 31,

	2001		2000			1999		
Options	Option	Price	Options	Option	Price	Options	Option	Price

Outstanding at beginning of

period	21,531	\$ 1.47-\$57.02	24,022	\$ 1.47-16.62	25,777	\$1.47-\$15.67
Granted	8,357	\$27.80-\$57.95	7,197	\$10.44-57.02	4,203	\$1.47-\$16.62
Exercised	(2,543)	\$ 1.47-\$39.67	(8,592)	\$ 1.47-22.21	(4,658)	\$1.47-\$13.68
Cancelled or expired	(586)	\$ 1.47-\$56.50	(1,096)	\$ 1.47-57.02	(1,232)	\$1.47-\$13.77
Other, contingent share issuance		\$		\$	(/	\$1.47-\$ 4.10
Outstanding at end of period	26 , 759	\$ 1.47-\$57.95	•	\$ 1.47-57.02	•	\$1.47-\$16.62
Exercisable at end of period	12,550	\$ 1.47-\$57.02	12 , 779	\$1.47-\$23.83	9,983	\$1.47-\$15.67
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the						
period at market		\$ 24.45		\$ 14.40		\$ 7.76
		========				=========
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the						
period at below market		\$		\$ 24.10		\$ 9.65

Options outstanding as of December 31, 2001 (shares in thousands) are summarized below:

		Options Exercis			
-	Outstanding at	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average	Number of Options Exercisable at	Weig
\$1.47\$5.80	7 , 969	4.2	\$ 2.80	4,822	
\$5.81\$11.59	2,516	6.6	\$ 9.95	2,516	
\$11.60\$17.39	6,481	7.3	\$15.72	4,653	
\$17.40\$23.18	79	7.4	\$21.83	30	
\$23.19\$28.98	860	7.9	\$24.02	253	
\$28.99\$34.77	2,549	9.7	\$34.32	8	
\$34.78\$40.57	224	8.9	\$37.78	74	
\$40.58\$46.36	588	8.7	\$43.38	124	
\$46.37\$52.16	5,236	9.1	\$47.25	29	
\$52.17\$57.95	257	8.7	\$55.88	41	
	26 , 759			12,550	
	=====			=====	

F - 49

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Pursuant to terms of the Illinova acquisition, certain vesting requirements on outstanding options were accelerated and the option shares and strike prices were subject to the exchange ratios described in the acquisition documents. Additionally, Dynegy instituted new option plans on the effective date of the

acquisition.

The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in 2001, 2000 and 1999: dividend per year of \$0.30 per share per annum for 2001 and 2000 and a historical dividend of \$0.04 per share for 1999; expected volatility of 46.4 percent, 42.1 percent and 40.3 percent, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 4.29 percent, 6.10 percent and 6.42 percent, respectively; and an expected life of ten years for all periods. As stated previously, the Company accounts for its stock option plan in accordance with APB No. 25. Had compensation cost been determined on a fair value basis consistent with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Company's net income and per share amounts would have approximated the following pro forma amounts for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

	Years Ended December 31,						
	2001		2000		1999		
	Net Income	Diluted EPS	Net Income	Diluted EPS	Net Income	Diluted EPS	
_	(\$ in millions, except per share data)						
Pro forma amounts	\$348 ====	\$0.90 ====	\$420 ====	\$1.22 ====	\$128 ====	\$0.56 ====	

Note 14--BUSINESS COMBINATIONS AND OTHER ACQUISITIONS

In the first quarter of 2001, Dynegy completed the acquisition of Central Hudson power generation facilities in New York. The Central Hudson facilities consist of a combination of base load, intermediate and peaking facilities aggregating 1,700 MW. The facilities are located approximately 50 miles north of New York City and were acquired for approximately \$903 million cash, plus inventory and certain working capital adjustments. The acquisition of these facilities established Dynegy's physical presence in the region. In May 2001, subsidiaries of Dynegy completed a sale-leaseback transaction to provide the term financing with respect to the Central Hudson facilities. Under the terms of the sale-leaseback transaction, subsidiaries of Dynegy sold certain plants and equipment and agreed to lease it back for terms expiring within 34 years, exclusive of renewal options.

In the fourth quarter of 2001, Dynegy completed the purchase of BGSL. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, Dynegy paid approximately (Pounds) 421 million (approximately \$595 million at November 28, 2001) for BGSL and its existing assets. BGSL's results of operations are included in Dynegy's consolidated statement of operations beginning December 1, 2001. A condensed balance sheet as of the acquisition date is as follows (in millions):

Current assets	. \$ 57
Property, plant, and equipment	792
Goodwill	. 9
Total assets acquired	. 858

Current liabilities Long-term liabilities	56 207
Total liabilities assumed	263
Net assets acquired	\$595
	====

F - 50

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

The assets, which are located in the United Kingdom, consist of 30 gas storage injection wells with five offshore platforms, nine salt caverns, approximately 19 miles of pipelines and an onshore natural gas processing terminal. The acquisition of BGSL established Dynegy's physical presence in the United Kingdom. Also in the first quarter of 2001, Dynegy finalized the acquisition of iaxis, a London-based communications company.

Dynegy completed its acquisition of Illinova on February 1, 2000. The merger of Dynegy and Illinova involved the creation of a new holding company, now known as Dynegy Inc. Dynegy accounted for the acquisition as a purchase of Illinova with an effective date of January 1, 2000. This accounting treatment was based on various factors present in the merger, including the majority ownership (and voting control) of Dynegy's shareholders following the merger, the role of Dynegy's management following the merger (including the service of C.L. Watson as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer) and the influence of Chevron because of the size of its ownership interest and its rights under the shareholder agreement, articles of incorporation and bylaws.

In the combination, Dynegy shareholders, other than Chevron, NOVA and BG, elected to exchange each former Dynegy share for 0.69 of a share of Dynegy Class A Common stock, based on a fixed exchange ratio, or elected to receive \$8.25 per share in cash consideration, subject to proration. NOVA and BG elected cash and thereby reduced their respective ownership in Dynegy as part of this combination. Additionally, instead of receiving Dynegy Class A common stock in exchange for their respective shares of former Dynegy common stock, NOVA and the parent of BG each received a combination of cash, subject to proration, and shares of Dynegy Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. Chevron received 0.69 of a share of Dynegy Class B common stock in exchange for each share of former Dynegy common stock and Series A Participating Preferred Stock it owned. Additionally, as part of the combination, Chevron purchased \$200 million of additional Dynegy Class B common stock. Each share of Illinova common stock was converted into one share of Dynegy Class A common stock.

Approximately 60 percent of the consideration received by existing Dynegy shareholders was in the form of Dynegy stock and 40 percent was cash. In the aggregate, the cash portion of the consideration approximated \$1.1 billion. Dynegy financed the cash component of the acquisition initially with borrowings under a debt facility and the issuance of \$200 million of Class B common stock to Chevron. On a long-term basis, Dynegy financed the acquisition of Illinova through a combination of sales of common equity, the disposition of certain non-strategic assets, the refinancing of Illinova's unregulated generation assets and cash flow derived from its operations.

The results of operations of the acquired Illinova assets are consolidated with Dynegy's operations beginning January 1, 2000. The following table reflects certain unaudited pro forma information for the period presented as if the Illinova acquisition had taken place on January 1, 1999 (in millions, except per share data). Unaudited pro forma results for the year ended December 31, 1999 include non-recurring after-tax gains of \$41.8 million, or \$0.15 per diluted share (restated for two-for-one stock split effected by means of a stock dividend distributed on August 22, 2000).

Year Ended
December 31, 1999

Pro forma revenues....... \$17,635
Pro forma net income...... 203
Pro forma earnings per share \$ 0.63

F-51

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

On September 29, 2000, Dynegy completed the acquisition of Extant, Inc. ("Extant"), a privately held communications solutions company providing centralized clearinghouse services, OSS (Operations Support System) integration and network expansion capabilities to communications service providers. Dynegy's net investment consisted of \$92 million in cash and 1.8 million shares of Class A common stock. Following the transaction, Dynegy established Dynegy Global Communications, a new segment that, in addition to pursuing other communications opportunities, owns 80 percent of a limited partnership called Dynegy Connect, L.P. which conducts many of the activities previously conducted by Extant.

Consideration paid for these recent acquisitions of businesses was as follows (in millions):

	BGSL	iaxis	Extant	Illinova
Cash Purchase of Stock			\$ 91 76	\$1,111 1,817 4,674
Subordinated capital assumed	203			239
Total Consideration	\$858	\$123	\$242	\$7 , 841
	====	====	====	=====

In both the Illinova and Extant acquisitions, the Company issued stock as a component of the total consideration paid. The value of this consideration for the Extant acquisition was determined based on the average of the Company's quoted market price for the five trading days surrounding the announcement date, which was August 2, 2000 ("average stock price"). This period includes the announcement date and the two trading days just prior to and after the announcement date. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of Extant common stock was converted into Dynegy Class A common stock at the agreed upon exchange ratio of \$7.50 divided by the average stock price. Each share of Extant preferred stock was exchanged for \$7.50 in cash.

The value of the stock consideration for the Illinova acquisition was based on a fixed exchange ratio whereby each share of Illinova common stock was converted to one share of Dynegy Class A common stock and each Dynegy shareholder other than BG, NOVA and Chevron was converted into the right to receive 0.69 shares of Dynegy Class A common stock. (See above for a discussion of the exchange provisions that impacted these shareholders.) These fixed exchange ratios were set prior to the circulation of the prospectus in September 1999. This method of valuing the stock consideration was used since management did not believe market quotes were a reliable indicator of fair value. The reasons for this belief included, but were not limited to, marketplace takeover rumors, Dynegy's stock being thinly traded prior to the announcement and the merger being premised on leveraging an unregulated generation capacity position in a deregulating environment. The cash component of the consideration was deemed to be a more reliable indicator of fair value, thus an implied value for the stock consideration was calculated by dividing the \$16.50 per share (not adjusted herein for the two-for-one stock split which occurred on August 22, 2000) cash price by the 0.69 stock exchange ratio.

Note 15--EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION, SAVINGS AND PENSION PLANS

Corporate Incentive Plan. Dynegy maintains a discretionary incentive plan to provide employees competitive and meaningful rewards for reaching corporate and individual objectives. Specific awards are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors ("Compensation Committee").

F-52

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

401(k) Savings Plan. The Company established the Dynegy Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan ("Dynegy Plan"), which meets the requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, and is a defined contribution plan subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). The Plan and related trust fund are established and maintained for the exclusive benefit of participating employees in the United States and certain expatriates. Similar plans are available to other employees resident in foreign countries and are subject to the laws of each country. All employees of certain entities are eligible to participate in the Plan. Employee pre-tax contributions to the Plan are matched 100%, up to a maximum of five percent of base pay, subject to IRS limitations. Vesting in Company contributions is based on years of service. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to employee accounts, subject to the Company's performance. Matching contributions

to the Plan and discretionary contributions are made in Dynegy common stock. The Company discontinued the additional 5% profit sharing contribution to active employee accounts in 2001. However, active employees who normally would have received the profit sharing contribution under the Dynegy Plan began participating in the pension plan in 2001 (see below).

Certain eligible employees participate in the Illinois Power Company Incentive Savings Plan and Illinois Power Company Incentive Savings Plan for Employees Covered Under A Collective Bargaining Agreement ("IP Plans"), which meet the requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code and are defined contribution plans subject to the provisions of ERISA. The Company matches 50% of employee contributions to the IP Plans, up to a maximum of six percent of compensation, subject to IRS limitations. Employees are immediately 100% vested in Company contributions. Matching contributions to the Plan are made in Dynegy common stock.

Certain eligible employees participate in the Dynegy Northeast Generation, Inc. Savings Incentive Plan ("Northeast Plan"), which meets the requirements of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code and is a defined contribution plan subject to the provisions of ERISA. The Company matches either 24% or 50% of employee contributions to the Northeast Plan. The Company guaranteed match is subject to a maximum of six or eight percent of base pay, subject to IRS limitations. Employees are immediately 100% vested in Company contributions. Matching contributions to the Northeast Plan are made in cash.

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, Dynegy recognized aggregate costs related to these employee compensation plans of \$27 million, \$35 million and \$25 million, respectively.

F-53

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits. The Company has various defined benefit pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans. All domestic employees participate in the pension plans, but only some of the Company's domestic employees participate in the other post-retirement benefit plans. The Company added a cash balance feature effective for 2001 and thereafter with respect to employees who would have otherwise received a profit sharing contribution under the Dynegy Plan for 2001 and thereafter (the contribution credit under such cash balance feature shall generally be 6% of base pay). The following tables contain information about these plans on a combined basis:

	_	Pension Benefits								Benefits
	2001	2000	2001	2000						
	((\$ in m	nillion	 s)						
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of the year										
Business combination		424 10	5 2	97 2						

Interest cost. Plan amendments. Actuarial (gain) loss. Special termination benefits. Benefits paid.		33 (3) (25)	8 31 (6)	7 (1) (5)
Projected benefit obligation, end of the year	\$524 ====	\$448	\$140 ====	\$100 ====
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of the year. Business combination	\$627 16 (30) 	\$ 10 579 63 (25)	\$ 83 (8) 9 1 (6)	\$ 80 (4) 12 1 (6)
Fair value of plan assets, end of the year		\$627 ====	\$ 79 ====	\$ 83 ====
Funded status Unrecognized prior service costs Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	8	\$179 (17)	\$ (61) 55	\$(17) 10
Net amount recognized	\$169 ====	\$162 ====	\$ (6) ====	\$ (7) ====
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets consist of: Prepaid benefit cost		\$167 (5)	\$ (6)	\$ (7)
Net amount recognized	\$169 ====		\$ (6) ====	\$ (7) ====

F - 54

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

		sion fits	Other Bene	efits
	2001	2000	2001	2000
		(\$ in	millions)	
Weighted Average Assumptions:				
Discount rate at December 31	7.50%	7.99%	7.50%	8.00%
Expected return on plan assets as of January 1.	9.47%	9.47%	9.50%	9.50%
Rate of compensation increase	4.48%	4.48%	4.50%	4.50%
Medical trendinitial trend			10.09%	6.70%
Medical trendultimate trend			5.48%	5.50%
Medical trendyear of ultimate trend			2009/2015	2005

The changes in the projected benefit obligation and in plan assets attributable to business combination in 2000 are the result of the acquisition

of Illinova. The changes in the projected benefit obligations and in plan assets attributable to business combination in 2001 are the result of the Central Hudson acquisition. Special termination benefits of approximately \$9 million in 2001 reflect the additional expense of the early retirement window related to the Illinois Power Company Retirement Income Plan for Salaried Employees.

The components of net periodic benefit cost were:

		on Ben			r Bene	efits
	2001	2000	1999	2001	2000	1999
		(\$	in mi	llion	s)	
Service cost benefits earned during period Interest cost on projected benefit obligation Expected return on plan assets Amortization of unrecognized actuarial gain	34 (57)	\$ 10 33 (53) (1)	1 (1)	8 (7)	7 (7)	
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	9	\$(11) 				
Total net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ (4) ====	\$(11) ====	\$(1) ===	\$ 4 ===	\$ 2 ===	\$ ===

Impact of a 1% increase/decrease in medical trend:

	Increase	Decrease
	(\$ in m	illions)
Aggregate impact on service cost and interest cost Impact on accumulated post-	\$ 2	\$ (1)
retirement benefit obligation	\$14	\$(13)

F-55

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)
(RESTATED)

Note 16--RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In addition to related party transactions described elsewhere herein, the following are additional items requiring disclosure.

Transactions with Chevron U.S.A. Inc. result from purchases and sales of

natural gas, NGLs and crude oil between subsidiaries of Dynegy and Chevron U.S.A. Inc. affiliates. Management believes that these transactions are executed at prevailing market rates. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company recognized in its statement of operations aggregate sales to this significant shareholder of \$1.3 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. In the same years, purchases from this shareholder were \$3.6 billion, \$3.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively.

The Company also holds investments in three joint ventures in which Chevron U.S.A. or its affiliates are also investors. These investments include a 22.9% ownership interest in Venice Energy Services Company, L.L.C., which holds a pipeline gathering system, processing plant, fractionator and an underground NGL storage facility in Louisiana; a 39.2% ownership interest in West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership, which holds a regulated NGL transportation pipeline that runs from West Texas to Mont Belvieu, Texas; and a 50% ownership interest in Nevada Cogeneration Associates #2, a power generation facility. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company's portion of the net income from the Venice Energy, West Texas and Nevada Cogeneration joint ventures was approximately \$4.6 million, \$5.5 million and \$3.8 million, respectively; approximately \$9.6 million, \$5.6 million and \$2.2 million, respectively; and approximately \$7.0 million, \$5.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

The Company also purchases and sells natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and power and, in some instances, earns management fees from certain entities in which it has equity investments. Revenues recognized from these transactions in 2001, 2000 and 1999 were \$2.0 billion, \$827 million and \$377 million, respectively. Expenses recognized were \$385 million, \$217 million and \$125 million, respectively. Revenues relate to the supply of fuel for use at generation facilities, primarily West Coast Power, and the supply of natural gas sold by retail affiliates. Expenses primarily represent the purchase of natural gas liquids that are subsequently sold in the Company's marketing operations.

Also during 2001, the Company earned approximately \$8 million of interest income related to cash lent to West Coast Power. The loan was created as a result of natural gas fuel costs owed from West Coast Power to a subsidiary of Dynegy. As of December 31, 2001, West Coast Power had repaid in full all amounts owed to Dynegy. Dynegy has guaranteed \$31 million of estimated environmental obligations as well as \$25 million associated with an insurance program for West Coast Power.

In July 2001, the Company established the Dynegy Short-Term Executive Stock Purchase Loan Program. Under this program, the Company may loan eligible employees funds to acquire Class A common stock through market purchases in return for a two-year note. The notes bear interest at the greater of 5 percent or the Applicable Federal Rate as of the loan date and are full recourse to each payee. At December 31, 2001, the Company had issued approximately \$13 million of loans pursuant to this program.

Concurrent with the December 2001 Class A common stock sale, ten members of the Company's senior management purchased approximately 1.2 million shares of Class A common stock in a private placement transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The officers paid \$19.75 per share for the stock, the same price as the net proceeds to the Company in the public offering. The officers paid for the shares by entering into promissory notes with the Company for an initial term of 60 days. The maturity date of the notes was extended for an additional 30 days with the approval of the Board of Directors. The loans bear interest at

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

3.25 percent and are full recourse to the borrowers. Such loans are accounted for as "Subscriptions Receivable" within Stockholders' Equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2001. The Company recognized compensation expense in 2001 of approximately \$1.2 million, which was recorded as "General and Administrative Expense", related to the shares purchased by the officer group.

Note 17--SEGMENT INFORMATION

Dynegy's operations are reported in four segments: Wholesale Energy Network ("WEN"), Dynegy Midstream Services ("DMS"), Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") and Dynegy Global Communications ("DGC"). WEN is engaged in a broad array of businesses, including physical supply of and risk-management activities around wholesale natural gas, power, coal, and other similar products. This segment is focused on optimizing the Company's and its customers' global portfolio of energy assets and contracts, as well as direct commercial and industrial sales and retail marketing alliances. DMS consists of the Company's North American midstream liquids processing and marketing businesses and worldwide NGLs marketing and transportation operations. Dynegy's T&D segment includes the operations of IP, an energy-delivery company engaged in the transmission, distribution and sale of electricity and natural gas to customers across a 15,000-square-mile area of Illinois. DGC is engaged in pursuing and capturing opportunities in the converging energy and communications marketplace. DGC has a global long-haul fiber optic network and metropolitan network in cities in the United States and Europe. Dynegy accounts for intercompany transactions at prevailing market rates. Operating segment information for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 is presented below. See Explanatory Note for a discussion of the restatements made to the financial information included herein.

Dynegy's Segment Data for the Year Ended December 31, 2001

	WEN	DMS	T&D	DGC	Elimination	Total
(\$ in millions)						
Unaffiliated revenues:						
Domestic	\$26,191	\$4,799	\$1 , 593	\$ 17	\$	\$32,600
Canadian	4,622	1,463				6,085
European & Other	3,918			10		3,928
	34,731	6,262	1,593	27		42,613
Intersegment revenues						ļ
Domestic	585	237	25		(847)	
Total revenues	35 , 316	6,499	1,618	27	(847)	42,613
Depreciation and amortization	(201)	(84)	(173)	(30)		(488)
Operating income (loss)	633	131	180	(142)		802
Interest expense	(94)	(53)	(114)	(9)		(270)
Other income (expense)	(56)	(3)	2	24		(33)
Earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	178	13		26		217
<pre>Income tax (provision) benefit</pre>	(281)	(32)	(36)	37		(312)

Cumulative effect of a change in accounting					
principle	2				 2
Net income (loss)	\$ 382	\$ 56	\$ 32	\$ (64)	\$ \$ 406
Identifiable assets:					
Domestic	\$14,197	\$2,308	\$4,500	\$ 816	\$ \$21,821
Canadian	773	130			 903
European & Other	2,196			248	 2,444
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	1,348	422	568	107	 2,445
Capital expenditures and investments in					
unconsolidated affiliates	(2,496)	(391)	(701)	(496)	 (4,084)

F-57

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Dynegy's Segment Data for the Year Ended December 31, 2000

	WEN	DMS	T&D	DGC	Elimir	nation	Тс	tal
			(\$ in 1	millio	ns)			
Unaffiliated revenues:								
Domestic	\$17,813	\$5 , 123	\$1,581	\$ 2	\$		\$24	,519
Canadian	•	•					3	5,540
European	1,268						1	,268
		6 , 347					29	,327
Intersegment revenues								
Domestic		249				019)		
Total revenues	22,140	6 , 596	1,608	2		019)	29	,327
Depreciation and amortization	(129)	(105)	(156)	(3)				(393)
Operating income (loss)	515	84	206	(18)				787
Interest expense	(89)	(30)	(129)	(3)				(251)
Other income (expense)	(13)	(39)	(10)	3				(59)
Earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	181							205
<pre>Income tax (provision) benefit</pre>		(23)						(246)
Net income (loss)	\$ 386	\$ 16	\$ 46	\$(12)	\$		\$	436
Identifiable assets:								
Domestic		\$2,104			\$,896
Canadian	750	299					1	,049
European		174		73				717 799
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	625	174						199
Capital expenditures and investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(878)	(114)	(158)	(63)			(1	,213)

F-58

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

Dynegy's Segment Data for the Year Ended December 31, 1999

	WEN	DMS			Elimination	Total
		(lions)	
Unaffiliated revenues:						
Domestic	\$ 8,097	\$4,884	\$	\$	\$	\$12,981
Canadian						1,453
European	976					976
	10,516					15,410
Intersegment revenues						
Domestic	131	240			(371)	
Total revenues	10,647	5 , 134			(371)	15,410
Depreciation and amortization	(21)	(94)				(115)
Operating income	, ,	82				226
Interest expense	(36)	(42)				(78)
Other income (expense)	(13)					(31)
Earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	62	18				80
Income tax (provision) benefit	(50)	(10)				(60)
Net income	\$ 107	\$ 30	\$	\$	\$	\$ 137
Identifiable assets:	<u> </u>	A0 F4F	<u> </u>	<u>^</u>		÷ = 040
Domestic	. ,	. ,			·	\$ 5,942
Canadian	266	68				334
European						175
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	458	169				627
Capital expenditures and investments in						
unconsolidated affiliates	(429)	(92)				(521)

Note 18--QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of the Company's unaudited quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

	Quarter	Ended	
March 2001	June 2001	September 2001	December 2001

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

Revenues	\$13,245	\$11 , 898	\$8 , 578	\$8 , 892
Operating income	311	122	380	(11)
<pre>Income before income taxes</pre>	242	86	385	3
Net income	155	39	238	(26)
Net income (loss) per diluted share	0.46	0.12	0.71	(0.21)

	Quarter Ended							
	March 2000	June 2000	September 2000	December 2000				
	(\$ in m	illions,	except per	share data)				
Revenues Operating income	\$5,373 234	\$5,742 187	\$8,339 276	\$9 , 873				
Income before income taxes	148	172	311	51				
Net income	83	111	209	33				
Net income per diluted share	0.27	0.25	0.65	.0.10				

F-59

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

(RESTATED)

These amounts have been restated from amounts previously reported. For further discussion of the restatements, see the Explanatory Note.

A synopsis of the aggregate financial impact of these restatements on the amounts originally reported in the 2001 Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2002 is as follows (\$ in millions):

RESTATED SELECTED BALANCE SHEET DATA BY QUARTER

			2001				2000		
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	September 3		
			(\$ in millions)						
Current Assets									
As Reported	\$ 9,043	\$10,741	\$10 , 562	\$ 9,507	\$ 3,488	\$ 5 , 779	\$ 6,138		
Restatement Effect	725	123	280	(551)	67	312	218		
As Restated	\$ 9,768	\$10,864	\$10,842	\$ 8,956	\$ 3,555	\$ 6,091	\$ 6,356		
	======	======	======	======	======	======	======		

Total Assets							
As Reported	\$21 , 695	\$22,824	\$23 , 856	\$24,874	\$13 , 042	\$15 , 724	\$16 , 777
Restatement Effect	•		1,281	294		578	649
	200 070						
As Restated	\$22 , 979		\$25 , 137	\$25 , 168	\$13 , 185	•	\$17 , 426
Current Liabilities	======	======	======	======	======	======	
As Reported	\$ 8.149	\$ 9.469	\$ 9,956	\$ 8,555	\$ 3,496	\$ 5,346	\$ 5,414
Restatement Effect	•	•	750	(17)	•	344	340
As Restated	\$ 8,983	\$10,032	\$10,706	\$ 8,538	\$ 3,640	\$ 5,690	\$ 5,754
	======	======	======	======	======	======	======
Total Liabilities							
As Reported	\$16,514	\$17 , 345	\$18 , 393	\$17 , 396	\$10 , 395	\$11,864	\$12 , 555
Restatement Effect	1,411	1,057	1,520	667	95		727
As Restated	\$17 , 925	\$18,402	\$19 , 913	\$18 , 063		\$12 , 471	\$13 , 282
a	======	======	======	======	======	======	======
Stockholders' Equity							
As Reported			\$ 4,114	\$ 4,719		\$ 2,577	\$ 2,906
Restatement Effect	(131)	(244)	(284)	218	(56)	(34)	(83)
As Restated	\$ 3,679	\$ 3.689	\$ 3,830	\$ 4,937			\$ 2,823
	======		======	======	======	, , ,	======

F-60

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

RESTATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY QUARTER

	Thre Mont Ende March	ths ed	Mo E	hree nths nded ne 30	M E	hree onths nded ember 30	M	Three onths Ended ember 31	Mo E	Six onths Inded one 30		Mor End	ine nths ded mber 3
		(\$	in	mill	ions,	except	for	earnings	(1	.oss)	per	di.	luted
2001													
Results of Operations:													
Net Income (Loss):													
As Reported	\$ 13	39	\$	146	\$	286	\$	77	\$	285		\$	571
Restatement Effect	-	16		(107)		(48)		(103)		(91)			(139)
As Restated	\$ 1	55	\$	39	\$	238	\$	(26)	\$	194		\$	432
		==	==		=		=		==			===	
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders:													
As Reported	\$ 13	39	\$	146	\$	286	\$	74	\$	285		\$	571

Restatement Effect	16	(107)	(48)	(142)	(91)	(139)
As Restated	\$ 155	\$ 39	\$ 238	\$ (68)	\$ 194	\$ 432
Earnings (Loss) Per Diluted Share: As Reported Restatement Effect	\$0.41	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.69
	0.05	(0.31)	(0.14)	(0.42)	(0.27)	(0.41)
As Restated	\$0.46	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.71 =====	\$(0.21) =====	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.28
2000 Results of Operations: Net Income: As Reported	\$ 69	\$ 91	\$ 235	\$ 106	\$ 160	\$ 395
Restatement Effect	14	20	(26)	(73)	34	8
As Restated	\$ 83	\$ 111	\$ 209	\$ 33	\$ 194	\$ 403
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders:						
As Reported	\$ 66	\$ 59	\$ 235	\$ 106	\$ 125	\$ 360
	14	20	(26)	(73)	34	8
As Restated	\$ 80	\$ 79	\$ 209	\$ 33	\$ 159	\$ 368
	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Earnings Per Diluted Share: As Reported Restatement Effect	\$0.23	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.17
	0.04	0.06	(0.08)	(0.22)	0.12	0.02
As Restated	\$0.27 =====	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.53	\$ 1.19
1999 Results of Operations: Net Income: As Reported	\$ 28	\$ 28	\$ 51	\$ 45	\$ 56	\$ 107
Restatement Effect	1	(6)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(10)
As Restated	\$ 29	\$ 22	\$ 46	\$ 40	\$ 51	\$ 97
	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders:						
As Reported	\$ 28	\$ 28	\$ 51	\$ 45	\$ 56	\$ 107
	1	(6)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(10)
As Restated	\$ 29	\$ 22	\$ 46	\$ 40	\$ 51	\$ 97
	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Earnings Per Diluted Share: As Reported	\$0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.46
	0.01	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)
As Restated	\$0.13	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.42
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

[&]quot;As Reported" earnings / (loss) per diluted share prior to August 22, 2000 periods are adjusted to reflect the August 2000 two-for-one stock split and the ..69 exchange ratio in the February 2000 Illinova merger.

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued) (RESTATED)

RESTATED SELECTED CASH FLOW DATA BY QUARTER

	Three Months Ended March 31		Nine Months Ended September 30						
	(\$ in millions)								
2001									
Operating Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$ 267	\$ 364	\$ 640	\$ 811					
Restatement Effect	9	5	(179)	(261)					
As Restated	\$ 276	\$ 369	\$ 461	\$ 550					
		=====	======	======					
Investing Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$(1,166)	\$(541)	\$ (803)	\$(3,413)					
Restatement Effect	(127)	(354)	(605)	(415)					
As Restated	\$(1 293)	 \$(895)	\$(1,408)	\$ (3,828)					
As Nestated	======	=====	ψ(1 , 400)	======					
Financing Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$ 1,056	\$ 607	\$ 314	\$ 2,734					
Restatement Effect	132	364	798	716					
As Restated	•	\$ 971	\$ 1,112	\$ 3,450					
		=====	======	======					
2000									
Operating Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$ 142	\$ 349	\$ 374	\$ 438					
Restatement Effect	(20)	(20)	(20)	(18)					
As Restated	\$ 122	\$ 329	\$ 354	\$ 420					
		=====	======	======					
Investing Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$ (679)	\$(814)	\$ (967)	\$(1,304)					
Restatement Effect	(8)	(70)	(120)	(235)					
As Restated	\$ (687)	\$ (884)	\$ (1,087)	\$(1,539)					
	======	=====	======	======					
Financing Cash Flows:									
As Reported	\$ 494	\$ 498	\$ 645	\$ 907					
Restatement Effect	28	90	140	224					
As Restated	\$ 522	\$ 588	\$ 785	\$ 1,131					
1000	======	=====	======	======					
1999									

Operating Cash Flows:

As Reported	\$ (11)	\$ 118	\$ 96 (3)	\$ 9
Restatement Effect	(1)			31
As Restated	\$ (12)	\$ 118	\$ 93	\$ 40
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Investing Cash Flows: As Reported Restatement Effect.	\$ (124)		\$ (384) (39)	\$ (319) (72)
As Restated	\$ (123)	\$ (237)	\$ (423)	\$ (391)
	=====	=====	======	======
Financing Cash Flows: As Reported Restatement Effect	\$ 119 	\$ 118 	\$ 288	\$ 327 72
As Restated	\$ 119	\$ 118	\$ 327	\$ 399
	======	====	======	=====

F-62

DYNEGY INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

(RESTATED)

Note 19--SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has experienced a number of material developments since the filing of its 2001 Form 10-K on March 13, 2002. These developments include, among others, the following:

- the Company's previously announced exit from third-party risk management aspects of the marketing and trading business, which business accounted for approximately 84%, 74% and 66% of the Company's revenues and approximately 26%, 33% and 34% of the Company's pre-tax earnings for the years 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively;
- the Company's previously announced organizational restructuring plan and the related reductions in force affecting more than 1,000 employees;
- reductions in the Company's credit ratings to low non-investment grade levels and the resulting increase in collateralization requirements and financing costs and limitations on financial flexibility; and
- . significant changes in senior management of the Company, including a new Chief Executive Officer and a new Chief Financial Officer.

The Company also adopted the net presentation provisions of EITF 02-3 in the third quarter 2002, which resulted in a 79 percent, 71 percent and 68 percent reduction in revenues in each of 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. For further description of these events, including Arthur Andersen advising the Company that its 2001 audit opinion should not be relied upon and that such audit opinion was withdrawn, please read the Company's Exchange Act reports filed

subsequent to the filing of the 2001 Form 10-K. This report does not reflect events occurring after the original filing of the 2001 Form 10-K except to reflect the restatement items described above and certain other matters. As a result of these adverse developments, the results of operations and cash flows covered by the unaudited restated financial statements in this report should not be considered indicative of the Company's future results of operations or cash flows.

For further discussion of the events that have affected the Company since March 13, 2002, please read its Exchange Act reports filed since such date, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, which discussion is incorporated herein by this reference.

F-63

DYNEGY INC. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(RESTATED)

Schedule II

Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999

	Beginning	Charged to Costs and	to Other		Balance a
	of Period	Expenses	Accounts	Deductions	End of Per
2001					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 69	\$ 92	\$(2)	\$ (46)	113
Allowance for risk management assets/(1)(2)/ 2000	146	102			248
Allowance for doubtful accounts	24	52		(7)	69
Allowance for risk management assets/(1)(2)/1999	38	108			146
Allowance for doubtful accounts	24	3		(3)	24
Allowance for risk management assets/(1)(2)/	6	32			38

^{/(1)/} Changes in price and credit reserves related to risk management activities are offset in the net mark-to-market income accounts reported in revenues.

^{/(2) /}Amounts associated with model reserves, which are offset in the net mark-to-market income accounts reported in revenue, have been adjusted to reflect the correction in methodology discussed in Enterprise Risk Management, Valuation and Monitoring--Valuation Criteria and Management Estimates included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

F-64