

RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC

Form 6-K

August 03, 2005

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

**Form 6-K
REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-16 OR 15D-16 UNDER
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarter ended June 30, 2005

Commission File Number: 001-13425

Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated

6500 River Road

Richmond, BC, Canada

V6X 4G5

(604) 273 7564

(Address of principal executive offices)

indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports
under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F

Form 20-F Form 40-F

indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper
as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper
as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

indicate by check mark whether by furnishing information contained in this Form,
the registrant is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to
Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Yes No

If Yes is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in
connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82-_____

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include all information and footnotes required by Canadian or United States generally accepted accounting principles for a complete set of annual financial statements. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (which consist only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the relevant periods have been made. Results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year or any other period. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the summary of accounting policies and the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 40-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, a copy of which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These policies have been applied on a consistent basis.

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Auction revenues | \$ 65,692 | \$ 55,996 | \$ 114,270 | \$ 93,666 |
| Direct expenses | 8,592 | 7,853 | 14,076 | 12,400 |
| | 57,100 | 48,143 | 100,194 | 81,266 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,464 | 3,045 | 6,863 | 6,007 |
| General and administrative | 21,901 | 20,420 | 44,457 | 39,837 |
| | 25,365 | 23,465 | 51,320 | 45,844 |
| Earnings from operations | 31,735 | 24,678 | 48,874 | 35,422 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest expense | (662) | (793) | (1,341) | (1,753) |
| Gain on disposition of capital assets | 1,054 | 56 | 6,502 | 104 |
| Other | 39 | 219 | 237 | 282 |
| | 431 | (518) | 5,398 | (1,367) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 32,166 | 24,160 | 54,272 | 34,055 |
| Income tax expense (recovery): | | | | |
| Current | 11,571 | 8,709 | 19,916 | 11,770 |
| Future | (539) | 287 | (453) | 531 |
| | 11,032 | 8,996 | 19,463 | 12,301 |
| Net Earnings | \$ 21,134 | \$ 15,164 | \$ 34,809 | \$ 21,754 |
| Net earnings per share (in accordance with Canadian and United States GAAP) (note 5): | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 1.01 | \$ 0.64 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 0.63 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

| | June 30, 2005 | December 31, 2004 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 232,221 | \$ 132,632 |
| Accounts receivable | 80,629 | 19,281 |
| Inventory | 2,928 | 13,091 |
| Advances against auction contracts | 3,002 | 968 |
| Funds committed for debt repayment (note 4) | 3,714 | 1,857 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 4,191 | 2,323 |
| Other assets | 667 | 654 |
| Future income tax asset | 386 | 496 |
| | 327,738 | 171,302 |
| Capital assets (note 3) | 232,175 | 226,624 |
| Funds committed for debt repayment (note 4) | 1,393 | 5,108 |
| Other assets | 1,539 | 1,876 |
| Goodwill | 37,892 | 37,499 |
| | \$ 600,737 | \$ 442,409 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Auction proceeds payable | \$ 177,890 | \$ 47,581 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 42,329 | 45,334 |
| Income taxes payable | 16,070 | 6,383 |
| Current portion of long-term debt (note 4) | 39,973 | 35,133 |
| | 276,262 | 134,431 |
| Long-term debt (note 4) | 4,552 | 10,792 |
| Other liabilities | 490 | 1,563 |
| Future income tax liability | 5,955 | 6,359 |
| Shareholders equity: | | |
| Share capital (note 5) | 79,417 | 76,445 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 8,224 | 7,859 |
| Retained earnings | 210,695 | 183,438 |

Edgar Filing: RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC - Form 6-K

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 15,142 | 21,522 |
| | 313,478 | 289,264 |
| Commitments and contingencies (note 6) | | |
| | \$ 600,737 | \$ 442,409 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 4 -

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(Unaudited)

| | Share Capital | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment | Total Shareholders Equity |
|--|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | \$ 76,445 | \$ 7,859 | \$ 183,438 | \$ 21,522 | \$ 289,264 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,502 | (222) | | | 1,280 |
| Stock compensation tax adjustment | | 126 | | | 126 |
| Stock compensation expense | | 418 | | | 418 |
| Net earnings | | | 13,675 | | 13,675 |
| Cash dividends paid | | | (3,771) | | (3,771) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | (2,202) | (2,202) |
| Balance, March 31, 2005 | 77,947 | 8,181 | 193,342 | 19,320 | 298,790 |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,470 | (398) | | | 1,072 |
| Stock compensation tax adjustment | | 95 | | | 95 |
| Stock compensation expense | | 346 | | | 346 |
| Net earnings | | | 21,134 | | 21,134 |
| Cash dividends paid | | | (3,781) | | (3,781) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | (4,178) | (4,178) |
| Balance, June 30, 2005 | \$ 79,417 | \$ 8,224 | \$ 210,695 | \$ 15,142 | \$ 313,478 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended June | | Six months ended June | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 30, | 30, | 30, | 30, |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash provided by (used in): | | | | |
| Operating activities: | | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 21,134 | \$ 15,164 | \$ 34,809 | \$ 21,754 |
| Items not involving cash: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,464 | 3,045 | 6,863 | 6,007 |
| Stock compensation expense | 346 | 353 | 764 | 749 |
| Future income taxes | (539) | 225 | (453) | 400 |
| Net gain on disposition of capital assets | (1,054) | (56) | (6,502) | (104) |
| Changes in non-cash working capital: | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 27,703 | 3,567 | (61,348) | (43,112) |
| Inventory | 5,612 | (5,743) | 10,163 | (1,910) |
| Advances against auction contracts | 470 | 1,583 | (2,034) | (1,884) |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | (861) | (222) | (1,868) | 506 |
| Income taxes payable | 4,569 | 2,036 | 9,908 | 1,529 |
| Auction proceeds payable | 1,355 | 19,155 | 130,309 | 104,837 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 3,972 | 2,723 | (4,684) | (3,872) |
| Other | 1,154 | 818 | 2,450 | 1,143 |
| | 67,325 | 42,648 | 118,377 | 86,043 |
| Investing activities: | | | | |
| Acquisition of business | | (7) | | (1,171) |
| Capital asset additions | (14,839) | (5,969) | (19,150) | (9,560) |
| Proceeds on disposition of capital assets | 2,221 | 585 | 8,758 | 915 |
| Increase in other assets | 516 | 3 | 324 | 2 |
| | (12,102) | (5,388) | (10,068) | (9,814) |
| Financing activities: | | | | |
| Issuance of share capital | 1,072 | 597 | 2,352 | 2,869 |
| Dividends on common shares | (3,781) | (2,563) | (7,552) | (5,112) |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 3,750 | | 3,750 | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (3,808) | (6,153) | (4,867) | (6,781) |
| Increase in other liabilities | | 6 | 23 | 28 |
| Increase in funds committed for debt repayment | 2,350 | (3,250) | 1,858 | (3,715) |
| | (417) | (11,363) | (4,436) | (12,711) |
| Effect of foreign currency rates on cash and cash equivalents | (2,601) | (1,035) | (4,284) | (1,731) |

Edgar Filing: RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 52,205 | 24,862 | 99,589 | 61,787 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 180,016 | 155,934 | 132,632 | 119,009 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 232,221 | \$ 180,796 | \$ 232,221 | \$ 180,796 |

Supplemental information:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Interest paid | \$ 265 | \$ 677 | \$ 887 | \$ 1,536 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 7,305 | \$ 6,624 | \$ 10,056 | \$ 10,904 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 6 -

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

1. Significant accounting policies:

(a) Basis of presentation:

These unaudited consolidated financial statements present the financial position, results of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows of Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated (the Company) and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) applicable to interim financial information and are based on accounting principles and practices consistent with those used in the preparation of the annual consolidated financial statements. These consolidated financial statements are not materially different from those that would be presented in accordance with United States GAAP. The interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the December 31, 2004 audited consolidated financial statements.

(b) Revenue recognition:

Auction revenues are comprised mostly of auction commissions, which are earned by the Company acting as an agent for consignors of equipment, but also include net profits on the sale of inventory, incidental interest income, Internet and proxy purchase fees, and handling fees on the sale of certain lots. All revenue is recognized when the auction sale is complete and the Company has determined that the auction proceeds are collectible.

Auction commissions represent the percentage earned by the Company on the gross proceeds from equipment sold at auction. The majority of auction commissions is earned as a pre-negotiated fixed rate of the gross selling price. Other commissions are earned when the Company guarantees a certain level of proceeds to a consignor. This type of commission includes a pre-negotiated percentage of the guaranteed gross proceeds plus a percentage of proceeds in excess of the guaranteed amount. If actual auction proceeds are less than the guaranteed amount, commission is reduced; if proceeds are sufficiently lower, the Company can incur a loss on the sale. Losses, if any, resulting from guarantee contracts are recorded in the period in which the relevant auction is completed. If a loss relating to a guarantee contract to be sold after a period end is known at the financial statement reporting date, the loss is accrued in the financial statements for that period. The Company's exposure from these guarantee contracts fluctuates over time (see note 6).

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

1. Significant accounting policies (continued):

(b) Revenue recognition (continued):

Auction revenues also include net profit on the sale of inventory items. In some cases, incidental to its regular commission business, the Company temporarily acquires title to items for a short time prior to a particular auction sale. The auction revenue recorded is the net gain or loss on the sale of the items.

(c) Comparative figures:

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

2. Seasonality of operations:

The Company's operations are both seasonal and event driven. Auction revenues tend to be highest during the second and fourth calendar quarters. The Company generally conducts more auctions during these quarters than during the first and third calendar quarters. Mid-December through mid-February and July through August are traditionally less active periods.

In addition, the Company's revenue is dependent upon the timing of such events as fleet upgrades and realignments, contractor retirements, and the completion of major projects, among other things. These events are not predictable and are usually unrelated to fiscal quarters, making quarter-to-quarter comparability difficult.

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

3. Capital assets:

| June 30, 2005 | Cost | Accumulated depreciation | Net book value |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Buildings | \$ 119,458 | \$ 19,840 | \$ 99,618 |
| Land and improvements | 112,298 | 4,224 | 108,074 |
| Land and buildings under development | 3,705 | | 3,705 |
| Automotive equipment | 11,718 | 4,130 | 7,588 |
| Yard equipment | 9,884 | 5,061 | 4,823 |
| Office equipment | 6,360 | 3,888 | 2,472 |
| Computer equipment | 5,494 | 3,010 | 2,484 |
| Computer software | 11,170 | 9,937 | 1,233 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3,284 | 1,106 | 2,178 |
| | \$ 283,371 | \$ 51,196 | \$ 232,175 |
| December 31, 2004 | Cost | Accumulated depreciation | Net book value |
| Buildings | \$ 113,742 | \$ 18,588 | \$ 95,154 |
| Land and improvements | 100,154 | 4,125 | 96,029 |
| Land and buildings under development | 13,538 | | 13,538 |
| Automotive equipment | 11,389 | 4,272 | 7,117 |
| Yard equipment | 9,540 | 4,685 | 4,855 |
| Office equipment | 6,169 | 3,799 | 2,370 |
| Computer equipment | 5,784 | 2,940 | 2,844 |
| Computer software | 11,114 | 8,766 | 2,348 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3,321 | 952 | 2,369 |
| | \$ 274,751 | \$ 48,127 | \$ 226,624 |

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company capitalized interest of \$63,000 (six months ended June 30, 2004 \$68,000) to the cost of land and buildings under development.

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

4. Long-term debt:

| | June 30, 2005 | December 31, 2004 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Term loans, unsecured, bearing interest between 5.95% and 7.15%, due in minimum annual installments of \$2.9 million (\$0.75 million towards principal, \$1.4 million towards a sinking fund), with final payments occurring in 2005 and 2006. | \$ 12,250 | \$ 17,000 |
| Revolving loans, denominated in Canadian dollars, unsecured, bearing interest at the Canadian Prime Rate plus 0.25%, due in monthly installments of interest only, with the full amount of the principal due in 2005. | 12,245 | 12,505 |
| Revolving loans, unsecured, bearing interest between 3.955% and 3.965%, due in monthly installments of interest only, with the full amount of the principal due in 2005. | 19,250 | 15,500 |
| Term loan, denominated in Australian dollars, secured by deeds of trust on specific property, bearing interest between the Australian prime rate and 6.5%, due in quarterly installments of AUD75,000, plus interest, with final payment occurring in 2008. | 780 | 920 |
| Current portion | 44,525 (39,973) | 45,925 (35,133) |
| Non-current portion | \$ 4,552 | \$ 10,792 |

The Company expects to refinance certain of its long-term debt in 2005. If this occurs, the funds committed for debt repayment recorded in current assets on the balance sheet, in excess of any amounts used to repay debt, will become cash and cash equivalents and available for general purposes.

Subsequent to June 30, 2005, the Company was in the process of replacing the revolving loans denominated in Canadian dollars with a five-year non-revolving term loan also denominated in Canadian dollars.

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

5. Share capital:

(a) Shares issued:

Common shares issued and outstanding
are as follows:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Issued and outstanding, December 31, 2004 | 34,262,300 |
| Issued for cash, pursuant to stock options exercised | 125,000 |
| Issued and outstanding, June 30, 2005 | 34,387,300 |

The Company's common shares were split on a two-for-one basis on May 4, 2004. All share, per share and stock option information in the consolidated financial statements gives effect to the stock split on a retroactive basis.

(b) Stock option plan:

Stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2005 is as follows:

| | Common Shares Under Option | | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2004 | 808,998 | \$ | 18.38 |
| Granted | 201,800 | | 32.41 |
| Exercised | (125,000) | | 18.81 |
| Expired | (9,900) | | 32.41 |
| Outstanding, June 30, 2005 | 875,898 | \$ | 21.39 |
| Exercisable, June 30, 2005 | 673,998 | \$ | 18.34 |

The options outstanding at June 30, 2005 expire on dates ranging to February 23, 2015.

The following is a summary of stock options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2005:

| Options Outstanding | Options Exercisable |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Weighted Average | Weighted Average |

Edgar Filing: RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC - Form 6-K

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Remaining Life (years) | Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Exercise Price |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| \$11.675 - \$13.050 | 188,700 | 6.1 | \$ 12.33 | 180,700 | \$ 12.33 |
| \$13.344 - \$15.525 | 254,198 | 6.7 | 14.97 | 254,198 | 14.97 |
| \$26.460 - \$32.410 | 433,000 | 9.1 | 29.10 | 239,100 | 26.46 |
| | 875,898 | | | 673,998 | |

-11-

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)
(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)**5. Share capital (continued):**

(c) Stock-based compensation:

The Company uses the fair value based method to account for employee stock-based compensation awards. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, the Company recognized compensation cost of \$764,000 (2004 \$749,000) in respect of options granted in 2005 and 2004 under its stock option plan.

For the purposes described above, the fair value of the stock option grants was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Risk free interest rate | 3.7% | 3.0% |
| Dividend yield | 1.39% | 1.15% |
| Expected lives | 5 years | 5 years |
| Volatility | 20.0% | 19.6% |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the period ended June 30, 2005 was \$6.83 per option (2004 \$5.34). The fair value method requires that this amount be amortized over the relevant vesting periods of the underlying options.

-12-

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

5. Share capital (continued):

(d) Net earnings per share:

The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

| | Three months ended June 30, 2005 | | | Six months ended June 30, 2005 | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | Net earnings | Shares | Per share amount | Net earnings | Shares | Per share amount |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 21,134 | 34,361,681 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 34,809 | 34,324,601 | \$ 1.01 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | | | |
| Stock options | | 326,529 | (0.01) | | 315,719 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 21,134 | 34,688,210 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 34,809 | 34,640,320 | \$ 1.00 |
| | | | | | | |
| | Three months ended June 30, 2004 | | | Six months ended June 30, 2004 | | |
| | Net earnings | Shares | Per share amount | Net earnings | Shares | Per share amount |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 15,164 | 34,159,753 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 21,754 | 34,092,046 | \$ 0.64 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | | | |
| Stock options | | 333,504 | | | 357,597 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 15,164 | 34,493,257 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 21,754 | 34,449,643 | \$ 0.63 |

6. Commitments and contingencies:

The Company is subject to legal and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. The Company does not believe that the results of these claims will have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In the normal course of its business, the Company will in certain situations guarantee to a consignor a minimum level of proceeds in connection with the sale at auction of that consignor's equipment. At June 30, 2005 the

Edgar Filing: RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC - Form 6-K

Company had outstanding guarantees under contract totaling \$7,148,000 (undiscounted and before estimated proceeds from sale at auction) for industrial equipment to be sold prior to September 14, 2005 (December 31, 2004 \$6,202,000). The Company also had guarantees under contract totaling \$13,946,000 relating to agricultural auctions to be held prior to October 25, 2005 (December 31, 2004 \$14,726,000). The Company has not recorded a liability with respect to these guarantee contracts.

- 13 -

RITCHIE BROS. AUCTIONEERS INCORPORATED

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

(Tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Information as at June 30, 2005 and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is unaudited)

7. United States generally accepted accounting principles:

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in Canada which differ, in certain respects, from accounting practices generally accepted in the United States and from requirements promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. However, for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, net earnings in accordance with Canadian GAAP were not significantly different from net earnings had they been presented in accordance with United States GAAP.

US GAAP requires the preparation of a statement of comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during the period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The statement of comprehensive income reconciles the reported net earnings to the comprehensive income amount as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 | June 30, 2004 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Net earnings in accordance with Canadian and United States GAAP | \$34,809 | \$21,754 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (6,380) | (3,333) |
| Comprehensive income in accordance with United States GAAP | \$28,429 | \$18,421 |

Accumulated other comprehensive income, which under US GAAP is presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity, is comprised of the following:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustment: | | |
| Balance, December 31 | \$21,522 | \$12,727 |
| Change during the period | (6,380) | (3,333) |
| Balance, June 30 | \$15,142 | \$ 9,394 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

The following discussion summarizes significant factors affecting the consolidated operating results and financial condition of Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated (Ritchie Bros., the Company, we or us) for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 compared to the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2004. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, and with the disclosures below regarding forward-looking statements and risk factors. You should also consider our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2004, which are included in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 40-F.

The date of this discussion is as of July 27, 2005. Additional information relating to our company, including our Annual Information Form, is available by accessing the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com. None of the information on the SEDAR website is incorporated by reference into this document by this or any other reference.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada, or Canadian GAAP. There are no material measurement differences between those financial statements and the financial position and results of operations that would be reported under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. Amounts discussed below are based on our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP and are presented in United States dollars. Unless indicated otherwise, all dollar amounts discussed below in tables and related footnotes are expressed in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts.

Ritchie Bros. is the world's largest auctioneer of industrial equipment. Our world headquarters are located in Richmond, British Columbia, Canada, and at June 30, 2005 we operated from approximately 110 locations, including 30 auction sites, in 25 countries around the world. We sell, through unreserved public auctions, a broad range of industrial assets, including equipment used in the construction, transportation, mining, forestry, petroleum, material handling, marine and agricultural industries.

We operate mainly in the auction segment of the global industrial equipment marketplace. Our primary target markets within the global industrial equipment market are the used truck and equipment sectors, which are large and fragmented. The world market for used trucks and equipment continues to grow, primarily as a result of the increasing, cumulative supply of these assets, which is driven by the ongoing production of new trucks and equipment. Analysts estimate that approximately \$100 billion of the type of assets we sell changes hands each year; we estimate that our share of this market is less than 2%.

In recent periods, an average of approximately 75% of the buyers at our auctions have been end users of equipment (retail buyers), such as contractors, with the remainder being primarily truck and equipment dealers and brokers (wholesale buyers). Consignors to our auctions represent a broad mix of equipment owners, the majority being end users of equipment. Consignment volume at our auctions is affected by a number of factors, including regular fleet upgrades and reconfigurations, financial pressure, retirements, and inventory reductions, as well as by the timing of the completion of major construction and other projects.

We compete directly for potential purchasers of industrial assets with other auction companies. Our indirect competitors include truck and equipment manufacturers, distributors and dealers that sell new or used industrial assets, and equipment rental companies. When sourcing equipment to sell at our auctions, we compete with other auction companies, truck and equipment dealers and brokers, and equipment owners that have traditionally disposed of equipment through private sales. Private sales between owners of industrial assets are still the dominant type of transaction in the used truck and equipment markets.

We believe that we have several key strengths that will enable us to continue to attract increasing numbers of consignors and bidders to our auctions. Our principal strengths are our reputation for conducting only unreserved auctions and our highly publicized commitment to fair dealing. Other important strengths include our size, the international scope of our operations, our extensive network of auction sites, our marketing skills, our internet tools and our in-depth experience in the marketplace.

Strict adherence to the unreserved auction process is one of our founding principles and, we believe, one of our most significant competitive advantages. When we say unreserved we mean that there are no minimum prices for anything sold at a Ritchie Bros. auction each item sells to the highest bidder on sale day, regardless of the price. In addition, consignors (or their agents) are not allowed to bid on or buy back or in any way influence the selling price of their equipment. We have maintained our commitment to the unreserved auction process since our first industrial auction in 1963.

We attract a broad base of bidders from around the world to our auctions. Our worldwide marketing efforts help to attract bidders, and they are willing to travel long distances because of our reputation for conducting fair auctions. These multinational bidding audiences provide a global marketplace that allows our auctions to transcend local market conditions. Evidence of this is the fact that in recent periods, an average of over 50% of the trucks and equipment sold at our auctions has left the region of the sale.

We believe that our ability to consistently draw significant numbers of local and international bidders to our auctions, most of whom are end users of industrial assets rather than resellers, is appealing to sellers of used trucks and equipment and helps us to attract consigned equipment to our auctions. Higher consignment volumes attract more bidders, which in turn attract greater consignments, and so on. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, we had over 113,000 bidder registrations at our industrial auctions, compared to about 105,000 in the first half of 2004. We received more than 14,000 industrial asset consignments in the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, compared to approximately 12,000 in the equivalent period in 2004. A consignment is typically comprised of multiple lots. One of our primary goals is to continue to grow our gross auction sales, which is the total proceeds from all items sold at our auctions. Our strategies for accomplishing this objective include, among others, continued development of markets and regions in which we already operate and expansion into new and emerging markets and regions. We intend to continue to look for ways to capitalize on our competitive advantages outlined above. Where there is an opportunity for us to bring some or all of these factors into play and assist an owner in realizing the best possible return on the sale of his assets, we will pursue that opportunity.

We are also using the internet to increase our level of service and to extend further the geographic reach of our auctions and the multinational character of our bidding audiences. Approximately 20% of the bidders at our auctions in recent quarters participated over the internet. In addition, we continue to develop and upgrade our technical and physical infrastructure, including adding and upgrading auction sites, as well as our recruiting and training programs, in order to improve the productivity of our sales force and to enhance the service we provide to our customers. During the first six months of 2005, we conducted 78 unreserved industrial auctions at locations in North America, Europe, the Middle East, Australia and Asia. We also held 73 smaller unreserved agricultural auctions in the first half of 2005. Approximately 61% of our auction revenues was earned from operations in the United States (first half of 2004 65%), 20% was earned in Canada (first half of 2004 19%) and the remaining 19% was earned from operations in countries other than the United States and Canada (primarily Europe, the Middle East and Australia) (first half of 2004 16%). We had 653 full-time employees at June 30, 2005, including 207 sales representatives.

We are a public company and our common shares are listed under the symbol RBA on the New York Stock Exchange and the Toronto Stock Exchange (the TSX). At July 27, 2005 we had 34,392,800 common shares issued and outstanding and stock options outstanding to purchase a total of 870,398 common shares. On May 4, 2004, our issued and outstanding common shares were split on a two-for-one basis. All share and per share amounts in this document reflect the stock split on a retroactive basis.

Sources of Revenue and Revenue Recognition

An important indicator of our operating performance is gross auction sales. Gross auction sales is not a measure of revenue and is not presented in our consolidated financial statements; however, we believe that gross auction sales provides an important comparative assessment of our relative operating performance between periods. Auction revenues, which are reported as the top line of our Statement of Operations, and certain other Statement of Operations line items, are best understood by considering their relationship to gross auction sales.

Auction revenues are comprised of auction commissions earned from consignors through straight commission and guarantee contracts, net profits on the sale of inventory items, incidental interest income, handling fees on the sale of certain lots, and the fees applicable to purchases made through our internet and proxy bidding systems. All revenue is recognized when the auction sale is complete and we have determined that the auction proceeds are collectible.

Straight commissions are our most common type of auction revenues and are generated by us when we act as agent for consignors and earn a pre-negotiated, fixed commission rate on the gross sales price of the consigned equipment at auction. In recent periods, this type of sale has generally represented approximately 75% of our gross auction sales volume on an annual basis.

In certain other cases, we guarantee minimum sales proceeds to the consignor and earn a commission based on the actual results of the auction, including a pre-negotiated percentage of any sales proceeds in excess of the guaranteed amount. If the actual auction proceeds are less than the guaranteed amount, our commission is reduced and, if proceeds are sufficiently lower, we can incur a loss on the sale. We factor in a higher rate of commission on these sales to compensate for the increased risk we assume.

Our exposure from guarantee contracts fluctuates over time, but industrial auction guarantees are generally outstanding for less than 45 days. Agricultural auction guarantees are generally outstanding for a longer period of time, because many of the contracts are signed in the fall of one year for auctions to be held in the spring of the next year. The combined exposure at any time from all outstanding guarantees is usually less than \$30 million. Losses, if any, resulting from guarantee contracts are recorded in the period in which the relevant auction is completed, unless the loss is incurred after the period end but before the financial reporting date, in which case the loss is accrued in the financial statements for the period end. In recent periods, guarantee contracts have generally represented approximately 15% of gross auction sales on an annual basis.

Auction revenues also include the net profit or loss on the sale of inventory in cases where we acquire ownership of equipment for a short time prior to an auction sale. When purchased, this equipment is assigned to a specific auction and sold at that auction in the same manner as consigned equipment. During the period that we retain ownership, the cost of the equipment is recorded as inventory on our balance sheet. The net gain or loss on the sale is recorded as auction revenues. In recent periods, sales of inventory have generally represented approximately 10% of gross auction sales on an annual basis.

The choice by consignors between straight commission, guarantee, or outright purchase arrangements depends on many factors, including the consignor's risk tolerance and sale objectives. As a result, the mix of contracts in a particular quarter or year is not necessarily indicative of our future performance. The composition of our auction revenues and our auction revenue rate (i.e. auction revenues as a percentage of gross auction sales) depend on the mix and performance of contracts entered into with consignors in any particular period and fluctuate from period to period. Our auction revenue rate performance is presented below.

Prior to 2002, our long-term expected average auction revenue rate was approximately 8.80%. With the introduction of a handling fee in 2002 and proxy and internet purchase fees in 2003, our long-term expected average auction revenue rate increased to approximately 9.30%. At the end of the second quarter of 2003, we determined that we were achieving a sustainably higher average auction revenue rate and we increased our long-term expected average auction revenue rate to 9.50%. At the end of 2003 we increased our expected average auction revenue rate to be in the range of 9.50% to 10.00%, and we reaffirmed this expectation at the end of 2004. We achieved an auction revenue rate of 10.03% for the first half of 2005 and we continue to expect that our average annual auction revenue rate for 2005 will be in the range of 9.50% to 10.00%.

The largest contributor to the variability in our auction revenue rate is the performance of our underwritten business (i.e. our guarantee and inventory contracts). In a period when our underwritten business performs better than average, our auction revenue rate typically exceeds the expected average rate. Conversely, if our underwritten business performs below average, our auction revenue rate will typically be below the expected average rate. This variability can be more pronounced in the first and third calendar quarters because of the seasonality of our business (see below). Our gross auction sales and auction revenues are influenced by the seasonal nature of the auction business, which is determined mainly by the seasonal nature of the construction and natural resources industries. Our gross auction sales and auction revenues tend to increase during the second and fourth calendar quarters, during which time we generally conduct more business than in the first and third calendar quarters.

Our gross auction sales and auction revenues are also affected on a period-to-period basis by the timing of major auctions. In newer markets where we are developing operations, the number and size of auctions and, as a result, the level of gross auction sales and auction revenues, are likely to vary more dramatically from period-to-period than in our established markets where the number, size and frequency of our auctions are more consistent. In addition, economies of scale are achieved as our operations in a region evolve from conducting intermittent auctions, establishing a regional auction unit, and ultimately to developing a permanent auction site. Economies of scale are also achieved when our auctions increase in size, as has occurred in recent quarters.

Because of these seasonal and period-to-period variations, we believe that our gross auction sales and auction revenues are best compared on an annual basis, rather than on a quarterly basis.

Developments in 2005

Highlights of the first half of 2005 included:

We held the largest auction in our history, at our permanent auction site in Orlando, Florida, with gross auction sales of \$79 million.

We broke regional auction sales records in Denver, Colorado; Chicago, Illinois; and Atlanta, Georgia. We also held our largest-ever Canadian auction, at our permanent auction site in Edmonton, Alberta.

We held our first auction at our new permanent auction site in Sacramento, California.

We purchased approximately 125 acres of land in Houston, Texas for consideration of \$8.5 million on which we intend to construct a new permanent auction site, which will replace our existing facility in Houston.

We entered into a three-year lease for a property in Livorno, Italy, which became a new regional auction unit.

We commenced construction on a new permanent auction site in Nashville, Tennessee.

We appointed our first sales representative in Poland.

We completed the sale of property that was not being used in our operations in Fort Worth, Texas, for proceeds of \$6.0 million and a gain of \$5.5 million, and in Prince George, British Columbia, for proceeds of \$1.3 million and a gain of \$0.9 million.

We continued to progress with our strategic initiative, which we call Mission 2007, or M07, with the goal of developing more efficient, consistent and scalable business processes to support our growth objectives.

Roger Rummel, a Senior Vice-President and long-time member of our management team, retired effective March 31, 2005.

Our Board of Directors appointed David D. Nicholson to the position of Senior Vice-President, South Central and South America Divisions, and Guylain Turgeon to the position of Senior Vice-President and Managing Director, European Operations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates since our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as at and for the year ended December 31, 2004, which is included in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 40-F.

Overall Performance

We finished the first half of 2005 with the largest quarterly and half-year gross auction sales performance in our history. During the first six months of 2005 we achieved auction revenues of \$114.3 million and net earnings of \$34.8 million, or \$1.00 per diluted common share. Net earnings for the period would have been \$30.7 million, or \$0.89 per diluted share, excluding total after-tax gains of \$4.1 million (\$6.4 million before tax) on the sale of excess properties in Texas and British Columbia. This performance compares to auction

revenues of \$93.7 million and net earnings of \$21.8 million, or \$0.63 per diluted common share, for the first half of 2004. Earnings increased primarily as a result of higher gross auction sales in 2005, offset in part by higher operating costs and income tax expense. We ended the second quarter of 2005 with working capital of \$51.5 million, compared to \$36.9 million at the end of 2004.

Results of Operations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2004

We conduct operations around the world in a number of different currencies, but our reporting currency is the United States dollar. In the first half of 2005, approximately 35% of our revenues and approximately 40% of our operating costs were denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar, which is consistent with the rates we expect to experience on a full year basis, and is roughly consistent with the relative proportions in recent periods. The proportion of revenues denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar in a given period will differ from the annual proportion depending on the size and location of auctions held during the period.

The main currencies other than the United States dollar in which our revenues and operating costs are denominated are the Canadian dollar and the Euro. In recent periods there have been significant fluctuations in the value of these currencies relative to the United States dollar. These fluctuations affect our reported auction revenues and operating expenses when non-United States dollar amounts are converted into United States dollars for financial statement reporting purposes. However, we expect that any effect on reported auction revenues and operating expenses in our annual consolidated financial statements will largely offset, making the impact of currency fluctuations on our annual net earnings essentially neutral.

United States Dollar Exchange Rate Comparison

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | % Change in U.S. \$ | 2004 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Average value of one U.S. dollar: | | | |
| Canadian dollar | \$ 1.2355 | -7.7% | \$ 1.3387 |
| Euro | 0.7789 | -4.4% | 0.8148 |
| <i>Auction Revenues</i> | | | |

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Auction revenues | \$ 114,270 | \$ 93,666 | 22% |
| Gross auction sales | \$ 1,138,971 | \$ 932,418 | 22% |
| Auction revenue rate | 10.03% | 10.05% | |

The increase in auction revenues in the first half of 2005 compared to the equivalent period in the prior year was primarily attributable to higher gross auction sales. Gross auction sales in the first half of 2005 increased in the United States, Canada and Europe compared to the six months ended June 30, 2004. Our agricultural division generated gross auction sales of \$52.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, which compares to \$29.3 million in the first half of 2004. Our guarantee and inventory contracts represented 23% of our total gross auction sales in the first six months of 2005, which is in a similar range to the levels experienced in prior periods.

Our auction revenue rate of 10.03% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was not significantly higher than our expected range of 9.50% to 10.00%, and consistent with the rate we experienced in the comparable period in 2004. We expect that our full year average auction revenue rate for 2005 will be within the range of 9.50% to 10.00%. Past experience has shown that our auction revenue rate is difficult to estimate precisely, and therefore, the actual auction revenue rate in the future may be above or below this range.

A small change in our auction revenue rate can have a material impact on our auction revenues and therefore, our net earnings. For example, a 10 basis point (0.1%) increase or decrease in our auction revenue rate in the first half of 2005 would have impacted auction revenues by approximately \$1.1 million, of which approximately \$0.7 million or \$0.02 per share would have flowed through to net earnings in our statement of operations, assuming no other changes. This factor is important to consider when evaluating our current and past performance, as well as when judging future prospects.

Direct Expenses

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Direct expenses | \$14,076 | \$12,400 | 14% |
| Direct expenses as a percentage of gross auction sales | 1.24% | 1.33% | |

Direct expenses consist of costs incurred directly as a result of an auction being held. Direct expenses include the costs of hiring personnel to assist in conducting the auction, advertising specifically related to the auction, travel costs for employees to attend and work at the auction, security hired to safeguard equipment at the auction site and rental expenses for temporary auction sites. At each quarter end, we make estimates of direct expenses incurred with respect to auctions completed near the end of the period. In the subsequent quarter, we revise these estimates, to the extent necessary, to reflect actual costs incurred.

Direct expenses as a percentage of gross auction sales, or the direct expense rate, fluctuate based on the size and location of auctions held each period. As the average size of auctions increases, the direct expense rate generally decreases. Moreover, auctions held at permanent auction sites tend to have lower direct expense rates than auctions held at offsite locations mainly as a result of the economies of scale and other efficiencies typically achieved at permanent auction sites. Although our direct expense rate in the first half of 2005 was lower than our expected rate of 1.30% of gross auction sales, mainly as a result of several large auctions held during the period, we continue to expect that our annual direct expense rate for 2005 will be in the range of 1.30%. The average industrial auction in the first six months of 2005 had gross auction sales of \$14.1 million, compared to \$12.5 million in the first half of 2004 and \$12.0 million for the full year in 2004.

Depreciation Expense

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Depreciation expense | \$6,863 | \$6,007 | 14% |

Depreciation is calculated on either a straight line or a declining balance basis on capital assets employed in our business, including buildings, computer hardware and software, automobiles and yard equipment. Depreciation expense increased primarily as a result of the depreciation of new auction facilities constructed over the past few years and charges related to capitalized software development costs and computer infrastructure upgrades.

General and Administrative Expenses

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| General and administrative expenses | \$44,457 | \$39,837 | 12% |

General and administrative expenses, or G&A, include costs such as labour (salaries, wages, performance bonuses and benefits), non-auction related travel, information technology, repairs and maintenance, telecommunications and utilities, advertising, insurance, professional fees and lease and rental expenses. The increase in G&A is attributable to a number of factors, including currency fluctuations and costs incurred to grow our business (such as labour, advertising and marketing, and travel costs). For example, our headcount increased 6% to 653 employees at June 30, 2005 from 616 at June 30, 2004. Future levels of G&A will continue to be affected by the expansion of infrastructure and workforce necessary to support our growth plans, as well as other factors including fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Interest Expense

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|

| | | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|------|
| Interest expense | \$1,341 | \$1,753 | -24% |
|------------------|---------|---------|------|

Interest expense is comprised mainly of interest and bank charges paid on long term and revolving debt and operating credit lines. Interest expense decreased in the first half of 2005 because of lower average debt balances and lower average interest rates applicable to that debt.

Gain on Disposition of Capital Assets

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Gain on disposition of capital assets | \$6,502 | \$104 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------|--|

The gain on disposition of capital assets in the first half of 2005 includes a \$5.5 million gain recorded on the sale of excess land in Fort Worth, Texas, and a gain of \$0.9 million recorded on the sale of property in Prince George, British Columbia. Neither of these properties was being used in our operations.

Income Taxes

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|

| | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| Income taxes | \$19,463 | \$12,301 | 58% |
| Effective income tax rate | 35.9% | 36.1% | |

The effective tax rate for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005 was consistent with the rate we experienced in the first half of 2004.

Net Earnings

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|

Edgar Filing: RITCHIE BROS AUCTIONEERS INC - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----|
| Net earnings | | \$34,809 | \$21,754 | 60% |
| Net earnings per share | basic | 1.01 | 0.64 | 58% |
| Net earnings per share | diluted | 1.00 | 0.63 | 59% |

- 22 -

Excluding the impact of total gains of \$6.4 million (\$4.1 million after tax) recorded on the sale of excess properties, our net earnings for the first six months of 2005 would have been \$30.7 million, or \$0.90 and \$0.89 per basic and diluted share respectively. Earnings increased in the first half of 2005 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2004 mainly as a result of higher gross auction sales, partially offset by increased income tax and G&A expenses. Currency fluctuations did not have a material net effect on earnings for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 or 2004.

Quarter Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2004

United States Dollar Exchange Rate Comparison

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | % Change in U.S. \$ | 2004 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Average value of one U.S. dollar: | | | |
| Canadian dollar | \$ 1.2439 | -8.5% | \$ 1.3595 |
| Euro | 0.7945 | -4.3% | 0.8302 |
| <i>Auction Revenues</i> | | | |

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Auction revenues | \$ 65,692 | \$ 55,996 | 17% |
| Gross auction sales | \$682,711 | \$553,776 | 23% |
| Auction revenue rate | 9.62% | 10.11% | |

The increase in auction revenues in the second quarter of 2005 compared to the equivalent period in 2004 was primarily attributable to higher gross auction sales, partially offset by a lower auction revenue rate applicable to those sales. Gross auction sales in the second quarter of 2005 increased in the United States and Canada compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2004. Our agricultural division generated gross auction sales of \$48.5 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2004, compared to \$27.9 million in the second quarter of 2004. The decrease in the auction revenue rate in the second quarter of 2005 compared to the equivalent period in the prior year is attributable mainly to the performance of our guarantee and inventory contracts compared to the three months ended June 30, 2004. This underwritten business represented 23% of our total gross auction sales in the second quarter of 2005, which is in a similar range to the levels experienced in prior periods.

Direct Expenses

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Direct expenses | \$8,592 | \$7,853 | 9% |
| Direct expenses as a percentage of gross auction sales | 1.26% | 1.42% | |

The direct expense rate in the second quarter of 2005 was lower than in the equivalent period in 2004 and lower than the expected rate for the quarter because of the larger average size of our auctions in 2005. As stated above, as the

average size of our auctions increases, the direct expense rate generally decreases.

- 23 -

Depreciation Expense

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Depreciation expense | \$3,464 | \$3,045 | 14% |
| Depreciation expense increased primarily as a result of the depreciation of new auction facilities constructed in recent periods and charges related to capitalized software development costs and computer infrastructure upgrades. | | | |

General and Administrative Expenses

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| General and administrative expenses | \$21,901 | \$20,420 | 7% |
| The increase in general and administrative expenses was consistent with the growth in our business and also reflected the effect of currency fluctuations. As a result, we experienced increases in costs such as labour, advertising and travel expenses. | | | |

Gain on Disposition of Capital Assets

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Gain on disposition of capital assets | \$1,054 | \$56 | |
| The gain on disposition of capital assets recorded in the second quarter of 2005 related mainly to the gain on the sale of excess property in Prince George, British Columbia, that was no longer being used in our operations. | | | |

Income Taxes

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Income taxes | \$11,032 | \$8,996 | 23% |
| Effective income tax rate | 34.3% | 37.2% | |

Income taxes have been computed based on rates of tax that apply in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we earn our income. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 was lower than the rate we experienced in the second quarter of 2004 as a result of differences in earnings within the various tax jurisdictions in which we earn our income. Income tax rates in future periods will fluctuate depending upon the impact of unusual items and the level of earnings in the different tax jurisdictions in which we earn our income.

Net Earnings

| Three months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Net earnings | \$21,134 | \$15,164 | 39% |
| Net earnings per share basic | 0.62 | 0.44 | 41% |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|------|------|-----|
| Net earnings per share | diluted | 0.61 | 0.44 | 39% |
|------------------------|---------|------|------|-----|

Our net earnings for the second quarter of 2005 would have been \$20.4 million, or \$0.59 per basic and diluted share if we excluded the impact of the \$0.9 million gain (\$0.8 million after tax) recorded on the sale of excess property.

Earnings increased in the second quarter of 2005 compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2004 mainly as a result of higher gross auction sales, partially offset by a lower auction revenue rate and higher income tax and G&A expenses.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following tables present our unaudited consolidated quarterly results of operations for each of our last eight quarters. This data has been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements, which were prepared on the same basis as the annual audited consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, include all normal recurring adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of such information. These unaudited quarterly results should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003.

| | Q2 2005 | Q1 2005 | Q4 2004 | Q3 2004 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Gross auction sales ⁽¹⁾ | \$682,711 | \$456,260 | \$549,796 | \$307,188 |
| Auction revenues | \$ 65,692 | \$ 48,578 | \$ 57,142 | \$ 31,449 |
| Net earnings | 21,134 ⁽²⁾ | 13,675 ⁽³⁾ | 11,335 ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,810 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Net earnings per share basic | \$ 0.62 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 0.40 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 0.34 ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Net earnings per share diluted | 0.61 ⁽²⁾ | 0.40 ⁽³⁾ | 0.33 ⁽⁴⁾ | 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| | Q2 2004 | Q1 2004 | Q4 2003 | Q3 2003 |
| Gross auction sales ⁽¹⁾ | \$553,776 | \$378,642 | \$477,107 | \$277,832 |
| Auction revenues | \$ 55,996 | \$ 37,670 | \$ 47,719 | \$ 29,785 |
| Net earnings | 15,164 | 6,590 | 12,417 | 2,721 |
| Net earnings per share basic | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.08 |
| Net earnings per share diluted | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.08 |

(1) Gross auction sales represents the total proceeds from all items sold at our auctions. Gross auction sales is not a measure of revenue and is not presented in our consolidated financial statements. See

further
discussion
above under
Sources of
Revenue and
Revenue
Recognition.

- (2) Net earnings in the second quarter of 2005 include a gain of \$938 recorded on the sale of excess property (\$769 after tax). Excluding this gain, net earnings would have been \$20,365, or \$0.59 per share basic and diluted.
- (3) Net earnings in the first quarter of 2005 include a gain of \$5,493 recorded on the sale of redundant property (\$3,296 after tax). Excluding this gain, net earnings would have been \$10,379, or \$0.30 per share basic and diluted.
- (4) Net earnings in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 include income taxes of \$888 and \$1,218, respectively,

recorded in connection with realized foreign exchange gains at the subsidiary level on certain term debt that came due in the second half of 2004. Excluding this charge, which we do not expect to recur in future periods, net earnings would have been \$2,698, or \$0.08 per share basic and diluted, for the third quarter and \$12,553, or \$0.37 per basic share and \$0.36 per diluted share, for the fourth quarter.

- 25 -

Liquidity and Capital Resources

| | June 30, 2005 | December 31, 2004 | % Change |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Working capital | \$51,476 | \$ 36,871 | 40% |

Our cash position can fluctuate significantly from period to period, largely as a result of differences between the timing, size and number of auctions, the timing of the receipt of auction sale proceeds from buyers, and the timing of the payment of net amounts due to consignors. We usually collect auction proceeds from buyers within seven days of an auction and generally pay out auction proceeds to consignors approximately 21 days following an auction. If auctions are conducted near a period end, we may hold cash in respect of those auctions that will not be paid to consignors until after the period end. Accordingly, we believe that working capital, including cash, is a more meaningful measure of our liquidity than cash alone. Working capital at June 30, 2005 includes (as a liability) the current portion of our long-term debt. As certain of these current amounts are refinanced with long-term facilities, our reported working capital will increase. In our opinion, our working capital balance at June 30, 2005 exceeds the amount we need to satisfy our present operating requirements.

| Six months ended June 30, | 2005 | 2004 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|

Cash provided by (used in):

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| Operating activities | \$ 118,377 | \$ 86,043 | 38% |
| Investing activities | (10,068) | (9,814) | -3% |
| Financing activities | (4,436) | (12,711) | 65% |

Capital asset additions were \$14.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and \$19.2 million for the first half of 2005. This compared to additions of \$6.0 million and \$9.6 million in the equivalent respective quarters of 2004. Our capital expenditures in 2005 related primarily to construction of our new permanent auction sites in Sacramento, California and Nashville, Tennessee, and to the acquisition of approximately 125-acres of land in Houston, Texas for cash consideration of \$8.5 million. We intend to build a new permanent auction site on this land, to replace our existing permanent auction site in Houston. Exchange rate changes relating to capital assets held in currencies other than the United States dollar resulted in a further decrease of \$4.5 million in the capital assets reported on our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2005, compared to a \$3.1 million decrease in the first half of 2004.

We intend to add additional or replace existing permanent auction sites in selected locations around the world as appropriate opportunities arise, though actual expenditure levels in the future will depend on our ability to identify, acquire and develop suitable sites. We expect to add or replace at least one or two auction sites per year. We expect that total capital expenditures, including maintenance capital expenditures, will be in the range of \$25 million for 2005, although we may exceed this range if we are able to accelerate the expansion of auction sites. In the next two or three years, we may increase our annual capital expenditures to as much as \$50 million or more as we take steps to accelerate the expansion of our network of auction sites. We will provide additional capital expenditure guidance in future periods as we formalize our expansion plans. Additional expenditures may also be required to achieve our Mission 2007 strategic initiatives, depending on the scope of our required system improvements. Future capital expenditures will be funded primarily from working capital or draws on available credit facilities.

We paid regular cash dividends of \$0.11 per share during each of the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and March 31, 2005. The total dividend payments were approximately \$3.8 million per quarter.

Our debt and available credit facilities at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2005 | December 31, 2004 | % Change |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Long-term debt (including current portion of long-term debt) | \$ 44,525 | \$ 45,925 | -3% |
| Credit facilities total available: | \$ 149,214 | \$ 159,923 | |
| Credit facilities total unused: | \$ 104,689 | \$ 113,998 | |

We have established credit facilities with financial institutions in the United States, Canada, The Netherlands, and Australia. We had no floating rate debt at June 30, 2005. However, certain of our debt at June 30, 2005 consisted of revolving loans drawn on credit facilities, most of which were recorded in current liabilities in our financial statements. We expect to renegotiate some of these revolving loans in 2005 when they come due, and they are subject to short-term interest rates until their due dates. At June 30, 2005, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants applicable to our long-term debt.

Subsequent to June 30, 2005 we were in the process of replacing a \$12.2 million revolving loan that came due with a non-revolving term loan with a maturity date in five years.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

We are exposed to currency fluctuations and exchange rate risk on all operations conducted in currencies other than the United States dollar, which is our reporting currency. We cannot accurately predict the future effects of foreign currency fluctuations on our financial condition or results of operations. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, approximately 35% of our revenues were earned in currencies other than the United States dollar and approximately 40% of our operating costs were denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar. We expect that the effect of foreign currency fluctuations on our net earnings in 2005 will be essentially neutral. We have not hedged against foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with our operations denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar.

During the six-months ended June 30, 2005 we recorded a decrease in our foreign currency translation adjustment balance of \$6.4 million, compared to a \$3.3 million decrease in the equivalent period in 2004. Our foreign currency translation adjustment arises from the translation of our net assets denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar into our reporting currency. Decreases in this balance arose primarily from the strengthening of non-United States currencies against the United States dollar.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements are based on current expectations and estimates about our business, and include, among others, statements relating to:

the performance of our business;

the growth of our operations;

the expansion of the geographic markets and the market segments in which we conduct auctions, including the world market for used trucks and equipment;

increases in the number of consignors and bidders participating in our auctions;

the average size of our auctions;

our key strengths;

our ability to consistently draw significant numbers of local and international bidders to our auctions;

the anticipated improvement, acquisition and development by us of auction sites;

our gross auction sales, auction revenues and auction revenue rates, including expected auction revenue rates and the sustainability of those rates, and the seasonality of gross auction sales and auction revenues;

our direct expense rates and depreciation expenses;

the effect on our general and administrative expenses of expanded infrastructure and workforce and growth of our business;

the sufficiency of our working capital balance to meet our financial needs;

our future capital expenditures;

our income tax rates in future periods;

the proportion of our revenues and operating costs denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and the effect of currency exchange fluctuations on our results of operations;

our Mission 2007 strategic initiative, including its effect on our results of operations and capital expenditures; and

the renegotiation and extension of existing indebtedness.

In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as anticipate, believe, could, continue, estimate, expect, intend, may, might, ongoing, plan, potential, predict, project, should, will, these terms, and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. While we have not described all potential risks related to our business and owning our common shares, the important factors listed under Risk Factors are among those that may affect our performance and could cause our actual financial and operational results to differ significantly from our predictions. We do not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statements, even if our predictions have been affected by new information, future events or other developments. You should consider our forward-looking statements in light of the following risk factors and other relevant factors.

Risk Factors

Our business is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, and our past performance is no guarantee of future performance. Some of the more important risks we face are outlined below and should be considered by holders of our common shares. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks and uncertainties we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial

condition would suffer.

- 28 -

Our guarantee and outright purchase contracts and advances to consignors may result in us incurring losses. Approximately 75% of our business is conducted on a straight commission basis. In certain situations we will either offer to:

guarantee a minimum level of sale proceeds to the consignor, regardless of the ultimate selling price of the consignment at the auction; or

purchase the equipment outright from the consignor for sale in a particular auction.

If auction proceeds are less than the guaranteed amount, our commission will be reduced or, if sufficiently lower, we will incur a loss. If auction proceeds are less than the purchase price we paid for equipment that we take into inventory temporarily, we will incur a loss. Because all of our auctions are unreserved, there is no way for us to protect against these types of losses by bidding on or acquiring any of the items at the auction. In recent periods, guarantee and inventory contracts have generally represented approximately 25% of our annual gross auction sales.

Occasionally we advance to consignors a portion of the estimated auction proceeds prior to the auction. We generally make these advances only after taking possession of the assets to be auctioned and upon receipt of a security interest in the assets to secure the obligation. If we were unable to auction the assets or if auction proceeds were less than amounts advanced, we could incur a loss.

Our guarantees of clear title on the assets sold at our auctions may result in us incurring losses.

Where title registries are commercially available, we guarantee that each item purchased at our auctions is free of liens and other encumbrances, up to the purchase price paid by the buyer. While we exert considerable effort ensuring that all liens have been identified and, if necessary, discharged prior to the auction, we occasionally do not properly identify or discharge liens and have had to make payments to the relevant lienholders or purchasers. We will incur a loss if we are unable to recover sufficient funds from the consignors to offset these payments; aggregate losses from these payments could be material.

We may have difficulties sustaining and managing our growth.

One of the main elements of our strategy is to continue to grow our business, primarily by increasing earnings from operations in markets in which we already operate and by expanding into new geographic markets and into market segments in which we have not had a significant presence in the past. We may not be successful in growing our business or in managing this growth. For us to be successful in growing our business, we need to accomplish a number of objectives, including:

recruiting and retaining suitable sales personnel;

identifying and developing new geographic markets and market segments;

identifying and acquiring, on terms favorable to us, suitable land on which to build new auction facilities and, potentially, businesses that might be appropriate acquisition targets;

successfully managing expansion;

obtaining necessary financing;

receiving necessary authorizations and approvals from governments for proposed development or expansion;

successfully integrating new facilities and acquired businesses into our existing operations;

achieving acceptance of the auction process in general by potential consignors, bidders and buyers;

establishing and maintaining favorable relationships with consignors, bidders and buyers in new markets and market segments, and maintaining these relationships in our existing markets;

capitalizing on changes in the supply of and demand for industrial assets, in our existing and in new markets; and

designing and implementing business processes that are able to support profitable growth.

We may need to hire additional employees to manage any growth that we achieve. In addition, growth may increase the geographic scope of our operations and increase demands on both our operating and financial systems. These factors will increase our operating complexity and the level of responsibility of existing and new management personnel. It may be difficult for us to attract and retain qualified managers and employees, and our existing operating and financial systems and controls may not be adequate to support our growth. We may not be able to improve our systems and controls as a result of increased costs, technological challenges, or lack of qualified employees. Our past results and growth may not be indicative of our future prospects or our ability to expand into new markets, many of which may have different competitive conditions and demographic characteristics than our existing markets.

Our business would be harmed if there were decreases in the supply of, demand for, or market values of industrial assets, primarily used industrial equipment.

Our auction revenues could be reduced if there was significant erosion in the supply of, demand for, or market values of used trucks and equipment, which would impact our financial condition and results of operations. We have no control over any of the factors that affect the supply of, and demand for, used trucks and equipment, and the circumstances that cause market values for used trucks and equipment to fluctuate are beyond our control. In addition, price competition and availability of new trucks and equipment directly affect the supply of, demand for, and market value of used trucks and equipment.

Legal and other claims may result in us incurring losses.

We are subject to legal and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. While the results of these claims have not historically had a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations, we may not be able to defend ourselves adequately against these claims in the future and we may incur a loss. Aggregate losses from these claims could be material.

Our operating results are subject to quarterly variations.

Historically, our revenues and operating results have fluctuated from quarter to quarter. We expect to continue to experience these fluctuations as a result of the following factors, among others:

the size, timing and frequency of our auctions;

the seasonal nature of the auction business in general, with peak activity typically occurring in the second and fourth calendar quarters, mainly as a result of the seasonal nature of the construction and natural resources industries;

the performance of our underwritten business (guarantee and outright purchase contracts);

general economic conditions in our markets; and

the timing of acquisitions and development of auction facilities and related costs.

In addition, we usually incur substantial costs when entering new markets, and the profitability of operations at new locations is uncertain as a result of the increased variability in the number and size of auctions at new sites. These and other factors may cause our future results to fall short of investor expectations or not to compare favorably to our past results.

We are exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations and political and economic instability as a result of our substantial international operations, which could harm our results of operations.

We conduct business on a global basis and intend to continue to expand our presence in international markets. Fluctuating currency exchange rates, acts of terrorism or war, and changing social, economic and political conditions and regulations may affect in a negative manner our business in international markets and our related operating results. Currency exchange rate fluctuations between the different countries in which we conduct our operations impact the purchasing power of buyers, the motivation of consignors, asset values and asset flows between various countries, including those in which we do not have operations. These factors and other global economic conditions may harm our business and our operating results.

Although we report our financial results in United States dollars, a significant portion of our auction revenues are generated at auctions held outside the United States, mostly in currencies other than the United States dollar. Currency exchange rate changes against the United States dollar, particularly for the Canadian dollar and the Euro, could affect our presented results in our financial statements and cause our earnings to fluctuate.

Our revenues and profitability could be reduced as a result of competition in our core markets.

The used truck and equipment sectors of the global industrial equipment market, and the auction segment of those markets, are highly fragmented. We compete directly for potential purchasers of industrial equipment with other auction companies. Our indirect competitors include equipment manufacturers, distributors and dealers that sell new or used equipment, and equipment rental companies. When sourcing equipment to sell at our auctions, we compete with other auction companies, equipment dealers and brokers, and equipment owners that have traditionally disposed of equipment in private sales.

Our direct competitors are primarily regional auction companies. Some of our indirect competitors have significantly greater financial and marketing resources and name recognition than we do. New competitors with greater financial and other resources may enter the industrial equipment auction market in the future. Additionally, existing or future competitors may succeed in entering and establishing successful operations in new geographic markets prior to our entry into those markets. They may also compete against us through internet-based services. If existing or future competitors seek to gain or retain market share by reducing commission rates, we may also be required to reduce commission rates, which may reduce our revenue and harm our operating results and financial condition.

We depend on the services of a number of key personnel, and our business could be harmed if we lost one or more of them. We also have a new CEO effective November 1, 2004.

The future growth and performance of our business will depend to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of our executive officers and senior managers. Our business could be harmed if we lost the services of one or more of these individuals. We do not maintain key man insurance on the lives of any of our executive officers. Our future success largely depends on our ability to attract, develop and retain skilled employees in all areas of our business. Peter J. Blake, our former Senior Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer, became CEO effective November 1, 2004, replacing David E. Ritchie, one of the founders of our company. Although Mr. Blake has been employed by Ritchie Bros. for over 14 years, he does not have the same depth of experience as Mr. Ritchie and has not previously been in a CEO role.

Our results may not improve on a long term basis as a result of our internet-related initiatives, which are also subject to technological obsolescence and potential service interruptions; in addition, we may not be able to compete with technologies implemented by our competitors.

We have invested significant resources in the development of our internet platform, including our *rbauctionBid-Live* internet bidding service. However, our internet technologies may not result in any material long-term improvement in our results of operations or financial condition and may require further

significant investment to help avoid obsolescence. We may also not be able to continue to adapt our business to internet commerce and we may not be able to compete effectively against internet auction services offered by our competitors.

The success of our rbauctionBid-Live service and other services that we offer over the internet, including equipment-searching capabilities and historical price information, will continue to depend largely on further development and maintenance of our infrastructure and the internet in general. Our ability to offer online services depends on the performance of the internet, as well as some of our internal hardware and software systems. Viruses , worms and other similar programs, which have in the past caused periodic outages and other internet access delays, may in the future interfere with the performance of the internet and some of our internal systems. These outages and delays could reduce the level of service we are able to offer over the internet. We could lose customers and our reputation could be harmed if we were unable to provide services over the internet at an acceptable level of performance or reliability.

The availability and performance of our internal technology infrastructure is critical to our business.

The satisfactory performance, reliability and availability of our website, processing systems and network infrastructure are important to our reputation and our business. We will need to continue to expand and upgrade our technology, transaction processing systems and network infrastructure both to meet increased usage of our rbauctionBid-Live service and other services offered on our website and to implement new features and functions. Our business and results of operations could be harmed if we were unable to expand and upgrade in a timely manner our systems and infrastructure to accommodate any increases in the use of our internet services, or if we were to lose access to or the functionality of our internet systems for any reasons.

We use both internally developed and licensed systems for transaction processing and accounting, including billings and collections processing. We may need to improve these systems in order to accommodate growth in our business. Any inability to upgrade our technology, transaction processing systems or network infrastructure to accommodate increased transaction volumes could harm our business and interfere with our ability to expand our business.

We do not currently have a formal disaster recovery plan. If we were subject to a serious security breach or a threat to business continuity, it could materially damage our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is subject to risks relating to our ability to safeguard the security and privacy of our customers confidential information.

We maintain proprietary databases containing confidential personal information regarding our customers and the results of our auctions, and we must safeguard the security and privacy of this information. Despite our efforts to protect this information, we face the risk of inadvertent disclosure of this sensitive information or an intentional breach of our security measures.

Security breaches could damage our reputation and expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and possible liability. We may be required to make significant expenditures to protect against security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by any breaches. Our insurance policies may not be adequate to reimburse us for losses caused by security breaches.

Our operations are subject to substantial environmental and other regulations, which may significantly increase our expenses or limit our operations and ability to expand.

A variety of federal, provincial, state and local laws, rules and regulations apply to our business. These relate to, among other things, the auction business, imports and exports of equipment, worker safety, privacy of customer information, and the use, storage, discharge and disposal of environmentally sensitive materials. Failure to comply with applicable laws, rules and regulations could result in substantial liability

to us, suspension or cessation of some or all of our operations, restrictions on our ability to expand at present locations or into new locations, requirements for the acquisition of additional equipment or other significant expenses or restrictions.

The development or expansion of auction sites depends upon receipt of required licenses, permits and other governmental authorizations. Our inability to obtain these required items could harm our business. Additionally, changes or concessions required by regulatory authorities could result in significant delays in, or prevent completion of, this development or expansion.

Under some laws regulating the use, storage, discharge and disposal of environmentally sensitive materials, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances located on or in, or emanating from, the real estate, and related costs of investigation and property damage. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. Environmental contamination may exist at our owned or leased auction sites from prior activities at these locations or from neighboring properties. In addition, auction sites that we acquire or lease in the future may be contaminated, and future use of or conditions on any of our properties or sites could result in contamination. The costs related to environmental contamination of any of the properties we own or lease could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

There are restrictions in the United States and Europe that may affect the ability of equipment owners to transport certain equipment between specified jurisdictions. One example of these restrictions is environmental certification requirements in the United States, which prevent non-certified equipment from being entered into commerce in the United States. If these restrictions were to materially inhibit the ability of customers to ship equipment to or from our auction sites, they could reduce our gross auction sales and harm our business.

International bidders and consignors could be deterred from participating in our auctions if governmental bodies impose additional export or import regulations or additional duties, taxes or other charges on exports or imports. Reduced participation by international bidders and consignors could reduce our gross auction sales and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our insurance may be insufficient to cover losses that may occur as a result of our operations.

We maintain property and general liability insurance. This insurance may not remain available to us at commercially reasonable rates, and the amount of our coverage may not be adequate to cover all liability that we may incur. Our auctions generally involve the operation of large equipment close to a large number of people, and an accident could damage our facilities or injure auction attendees. Any major accident could harm our reputation and our business. In addition, if we were held liable for amounts exceeding the limits of our insurance coverage or for claims outside the scope of our coverage, the resulting costs could harm our results of operations and financial condition.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated
(Registrant)

Date: August 2, 2005

By: */s/ Robert S. Armstrong*

Robert S. Armstrong,
Corporate Secretary

- 34 -