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Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1: Financial Statements

## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2010 (unaudited) | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,917 | 16,418 |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed and related securities (amortized cost \$37,326 and \$51,840) | 39,152 | 53,559 |
| Other marketable securities (amortized cost \$108,794 and \$105,723) | 108,676 | 106,043 |
|  | 147,828 | 159,602 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,405 | 2,965 |
| Loans receivable, net | 699,877 | 799,256 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,807 | 4,024 |
| Real estate, net | 18,559 | 16,257 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | 7,806 | 7,286 |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 1,517 | 1,315 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 9,718 | 10,766 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,967 | 6,762 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 0 | 11,590 |
| Total assets | \$ 907,401 | 1,036,241 |

## Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

| Deposits | $\$$ | 686,012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and Federal Reserve borrowings | 134,000 | 196,011 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,038 | 132,500 |
| Customer escrows | 1,470 | 1,108 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 4,725 | 4,257 |
| Total liabilities | 827,245 | 936,303 |
| Commitments and contingencies Stockholders equity: |  |  |
| Serial preferred stock (\$.01 par value): |  |  |
| authorized 500,000 shares; issued shares 26,000 | 24,141 | 23,785 |
| Common stock (\$.01 par value): |  | 91 |
| authorized 11,000,000; issued shares 9,128,662 | 56,455 | 91 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 66,094 | 58,576 |
| Retained earnings, subject to certain restrictions | 1,030 | 86,115 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,230 |  |


| Unearned employee stock ownership plan shares | $(3,432)$ | $(3,577)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Treasury stock, at cost $4,818,263$ and $4,883,378$ shares | $(64,223)$ | $(66,282)$ |
| Total stockholders equity | 80,156 | 99,938 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | $\$$ | 907,401 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)

 (unaudited)|  | Three Months Ended <br> September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income: | $\$ 11,023$ | 12,928 | 34,243 | 39,764 |
| Loans receivable |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: | 430 | 649 | 1,444 | 2,177 |
| Mortgage-backed and related | 473 | 693 | 1,636 | 2,475 |
| Other marketable | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Cash equivalents | 35 | 55 | 109 | 50 |
| Other |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | 11,963 | 14,325 | 37,436 | 44,467 |

Interest expense:

| Deposits | 2,668 | 4,172 | 9,127 | 13,876 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and Federal Reserve <br> borrowings | 1,521 | 1,563 | 4,585 | 4,732 |
| Total interest expense | 4,189 | 5,735 | 13,712 | 18,608 |
| Net interest income | 7,774 | 8,590 | 23,724 | 25,859 |
| Provision for loan losses | 11,946 | 3,381 | 22,839 | 23,254 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses | $(4,172)$ | 5,209 | 885 | 2,605 |

Non-interest income:

| Fees and service charges | 972 | 1,034 | 2,734 | 3,071 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mortgage servicing fees | 264 | 262 | 806 | 770 |
| Securities gains, net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Gains on sales of loans | 551 | 493 | 1,332 | 1,858 |
| Other | 105 | 94 | 375 | 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | 1,892 | 1,883 | 5,247 | 6,002 |


| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Compensation and benefits | 3,356 | 3,180 | 10,216 | 10,313 |
| (Gain) loss on real estate owned | 384 | $(357)$ | $(344)$ | 3,812 |
| Occupancy | 1,055 | 970 | 3,121 | 3,071 |
| Deposit insurance | 458 | 372 | 1,494 | 1,528 |
| Data processing | 292 | 298 | 866 | 888 |
| Other | 1,445 | 1,573 | 3,984 | 5,455 |


| Total non-interest expense | 6,990 | 6,036 | 19,337 | 25,067 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) | $(9,270)$ | 1,056 | $(13,205)$ | $(16,460)$ |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 97 | 175 | 5,841 | $(5,515)$ |
| Net income (loss) | $(9,367)$ | 881 | $(19,046)$ | $(10,945)$ |
| Preferred stock dividends and discount | 447 | 438 | 1,335 | 1,306 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | $\$(9,814)$ | 443 | $(20,381)$ | $(12,251)$ |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | $\$(2.60)$ | 0.12 | $(5.43)$ | $(3.32)$ |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | $\$(2.60)$ | 0.12 | $(5.43)$ | $(3.32)$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Loss For the Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Preferred Common |  | Additional | Unearned Employee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Accumulated Stock Other Ownership |  |  | Treasury Stock | Total <br> Stock- <br> Holders <br> Equity |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Paid-in | Retained | Comprehensiv |  |  | e Plan |
|  | Stock | Stock | Capital | Earnings | Income |  |  | Shares |
| Balance, December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | \$23,785 | 91 | 58,576 | 86,115 | 1,230 | $(3,577)$ | $(66,282)$ | 99,938 |
| Net loss |  |  |  | $(19,046)$ |  |  |  | $(19,046)$ |
| Other comprehensive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loss, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized losses on securities available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for sale |  |  |  |  | (200) |  |  | (200) |
| Total comprehensive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(19,246)$ |
| Preferred stock | 356 |  | (356) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Stock compensation tax benefits |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| Unearned compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| restricted stock awards |  |  | $(2,237)$ |  |  |  | 2,237 | 0 |
| Restricted stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards |  |  | 280 |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| Preferred stock dividends paid |  |  |  | (975) |  |  |  | (975) |
| Earned employee stock ownership plan shares |  |  | (33) |  |  | 145 |  | 112 |
| Balance, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2010 | \$24,141 | 91 | 56,455 | 66,094 | 1,030 | $(3,432)$ | $(64,223)$ | 80,156 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net loss | \$ $(19,046)$ | $(10,945)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 22,839 | 23,254 |
| Provision for real estate losses | 370 | 5,216 |
| Depreciation | 1,255 | 1,404 |
| Amortization of premiums, net | 460 | 320 |
| Amortization of deferred loan fees | (256) | (878) |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights, net | 338 | 431 |
| Capitalized mortgage servicing rights | (540) | (969) |
| Deferred income tax | 11,721 |  |
| Securities gains, net | 0 | (5) |
| Gain on sales of real estate | (714) | $(1,404)$ |
| Gain on sales of loans | $(1,332)$ | $(1,858)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 64,585 | 103,293 |
| Disbursements on loans held for sale | $(60,653)$ | $(100,904)$ |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards | 280 | 269 |
| Amortization of unearned ESOP shares | 145 | 145 |
| Earned employee stock ownership shares priced below original cost | (33) | (43) |
| Stock option compensation | 47 | 20 |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable | 217 | 1,616 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable | $(1,070)$ | $(4,607)$ |
| Increase in other assets | 2,727 | 63 |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 472 | 1,469 |
| Other, net | 18 | 96 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 21,830 | 15,983 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 0 | 2,141 |
| Principal collected on securities available for sale | 14,527 | 17,272 |
| Proceeds collected on maturities of securities available for sale | 100,000 | 60,000 |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | $(103,190)$ | $(40,352)$ |
| Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | $(1,736)$ | 0 |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | 1,216 | 0 |
| Proceeds from sales of real estate | 13,792 | 7,281 |
| Net decrease in loans receivable | 58,067 | 42,316 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (222) | (582) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 82,454 | 88,076 |

Cash flows from financing activities:

| Decrease in deposits | $(110,353)$ | $(99,447)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends to preferred stockholders | (975) | (838) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 38,500 | 1,094,000 |
| Repayment of borrowings | $(37,000)$ | $(1,094,000)$ |
| Increase in customer escrows | 43 | 966 |
| Net cash used by financing activities | $(109,785)$ | $(99,319)$ |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | $(5,501)$ | 4,740 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 16,418 | 15,729 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 10,917 | 20,469 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures: |  |  |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 14,781 | 23,215 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 39 | 33 |
| Supplemental noncash flow disclosures: |  |  |
| Transfer of loans to real estate | 15,751 | 16,066 |
| Loans transferred to loans held for sale | 2,977 | 1,234 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. |  |  |
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HMN FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES<br>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements<br>(unaudited)<br>September 30, 2010 and 2009

## (1) HMN Financial, Inc.

HMN Financial, Inc. (HMN or the Company) is a stock savings bank holding company that owns 100 percent of Home Federal Savings Bank (the Bank). The Bank has a community banking philosophy and operates retail banking and loan production offices in Minnesota and Iowa. The Bank has one wholly owned subsidiary, Osterud Insurance Agency, Inc. (OIA), which offers financial planning products and services. HMN has another wholly owned subsidiary, Security Finance Corporation (SFC) which acts as an intermediary for the Bank in completing certain real estate transactions.
The consolidated financial statements included herein are for HMN, SFC, the Bank and the Bank s wholly owned subsidiary, OIA. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

## (2) Basis of Preparation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and therefore, do not include all disclosures necessary for a complete presentation of the consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of income (loss), consolidated statement of stockholders equity and comprehensive loss and consolidated statements of cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. However, all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the interim financial statements have been included. The consolidated statement of income (loss) for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 is not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire year.
Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.

## (3) New Accounting Standards

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820), Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires new investment fair market disclosures in order to increase the transparency in the financial reporting of investments. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this ASU in 2010 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.
In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which requires significant new disclosures about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables. The requirements are intended to enhance transparency regarding credit losses and the credit quality of loan and lease receivables. Under this statement, allowance for credit losses and fair value are to be disclosed by portfolio segment, while credit quality information, impaired financing receivables and nonaccrual status are to be presented by class of financing receivable. Disclosure of the nature and extent, the financial impact and segment information of troubled debt restructurings will also be required. The disclosures are to be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio s risk and performance. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods after December 15, 2010 and the related disclosures will be included in the Company s notes to the consolidated financial statements beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010.
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## (4) Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company has commitments outstanding to extend credit to future borrowers that have not closed prior to the end of the quarter. The Company intends to sell these commitments, which are referred to as its mortgage pipeline. As commitments to originate loans enter the mortgage pipeline, the Company generally enters into commitments to sell the mortgage pipeline into the secondary market on a firm commitment or best efforts basis. The commitments to originate, purchase or sell loans on a firm commitment basis are derivatives. As a result of marking to market the mortgage pipeline and the related firm commitments to sell for the period ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an increase in other assets of $\$ 16,916$, an increase in other liabilities of $\$ 17,223$ and a gain included in the gains on sales of loans of \$307.
The current commitments to sell loans held for sale are derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting. As a result, these derivatives are marked to market and the related loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or market. The Company recorded an increase in the mark-to-market adjustment for loans held for sale of \$50,232, a decrease in other assets of $\$ 50,232$, an increase in other liabilities of $\$ 13,591$ and a loss included in the gain on sales of loans of \$13,591.

## (5) Fair Value Measurements

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (ASC 820), which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities using a hierarchy system consisting of three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:
Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets that the Company has the ability to access.
Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which significant assumptions are observable in the market.
Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market and are used only to the extent that observable inputs are not available. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.
The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities of the Company for which fair values are determined on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Carrying value at September 30, 2010

| (Dollars in thousands) | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Securities available for sale | $\$ 147,828$ | 3,265 | 144,563 | 0 |
| Mortgage loan commitments | 36 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| Total | $\$ 147,864$ | 3,265 | 144,599 | 0 |


| (Dollars in thousands) | Lotal |  |  |  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale | $\$ 159,602$ | 6,222 | 153,380 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage loan commitments | $(53)$ | 0 | $(53)$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 159,549$ | 6,222 | 153,327 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

There were no transfers between Levels 1, 2, or 3 during the three or nine month periods ended September 30, 2010.
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The Company may also be required, from time to time, to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of the lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.
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For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the third quarter of 2010 that were still held at September 30, 2010, the following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment and the carrying value of the related individual assets or portfolios at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

|  |  |  | rrying valu | Septen | 30,2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Nine months |
|  |  |  |  |  | Three months | ended |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | September |
|  |  |  |  |  | ended | 30, |
|  |  |  |  |  | September |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 30, | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2010 | Total gains |
|  |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Total | 1 | Level 2 | 3 | Total losses | (losses) |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 3,405 | 0 | 3,405 | 0 | (36) | 64 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,517 | 0 | 1,517 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans ${ }^{1)}$ | 63,006 | 0 | 63,006 | 0 | $(3,473)$ | $(11,060)$ |
| Real estate, net ${ }^{(2)}$ | 18,559 | 0 | 18,559 | 0 | (394) | (394) |
| Total | \$ 86,487 | 0 | 86,487 | 0 | $(3,903)$ | $(11,390)$ |

Carrying value at December 31, 2009
Year ended
December
31,
2009

|  | Level |  |  |  | Level |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans held for sale | $\$ 2,965$ |  | Level 2 | 3 | Total losses |  |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,315 | 0 | 2,965 | 0 | $(50)$ |  |
| Loans $^{(1)}$ | 61,127 | 0 | 1,315 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Real estate, net ${ }^{(2)}$ | 16,257 | 0 | 16,257 | 0 | $(6,493)$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 81,664$ |  | 0 | 81,664 | 0 | $(3,873)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | $(10,416)$ |  |

(1) Represents the carrying value and related specific reserves on loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised value of the collateral.

The carrying value of loans fully
charged-off is
zero.
(2) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed real estate and other collateral owned that were measured at fair value subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets.
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## (6) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Generally accepted accounting principles require interim reporting period disclosure about the fair value of financial instruments, including assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. The fair value estimates are made based upon relevant market information, if available, and upon the characteristics of the financial instruments themselves. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based upon judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. The fair value of the loan portfolio does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The estimated fair value of the Company s financial instruments as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are shown below.

September 30, 2010

(Dollars in thousands) | Carrying |
| :---: |
| amount |

Financial assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Securities available for sale
\$ 10,917 147,828 3,405 699,877
7,806
3,807
Financial liabilities:
Deposits
Federal Home Loan Bank advances
Accrued interest payable
Off-balance sheet financial instruments:
Commitments to extend credit 36
Commitments to sell loans
(Dollars in thousands)
Financial assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Securities available for sale
Loans held for sale
Loans receivable, net
Federal Home Loan Bank stock
Accrued interest receivable
Financial liabilities:
Deposits
Federal Home Loan Bank advances
Accrued interest payable
Off-balance sheet financial instruments:
Commitments to extend credit
103
(53)

7,286
4,024

Commitments to sell loans

Estimated fair value

10,917
147,828
3,405
691,121
7,806
3,807

688,784
142,389
1,038
36
(49)

105,584
14,327
December 31, 2009
Estimated fair value
\$ 16,418 16,418
159,602 159,602
2,965 2,965
799,256 799,849
7,286
4,024
796,011 796,011
132,500 141,791
2,108 2,108
103
133,343
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## (7) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events from nonowner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is the total of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), which for the Company is comprised of unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale. The components of other comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects were as follows:

|  | For the three months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Before tax |  | Net of tax | Before tax | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \text { Tax } \\ & \text { effect } \end{aligned}$ | Net of tax |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | \$ (243) | (97) | (146) | 50 | 20 | 30 |
| Less reclassification of net gains included in net loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | (243) | (97) | (146) | 50 | 20 | 30 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (243) | (97) | (146) | 50 | 20 | 30 |


|  | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Before tax | Tax effect | Net of tax | Before tax | Tax effect | Net of tax |

Securities available for sale:
Net unrealized losses arising during the period
\$ (331)
(131)
(200)
(697)
(318)
(379)

Less reclassification of net gains included in net loss
$0 \quad 0$
0
(200)
(702)
(320)
(200)
(702)
(320)
(382)

## (8) Securities Available For Sale

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value for the securities available for sale portfolio, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

September 30, 2010
Less than twelve months
Twelve months or more
Total

|  | Edgar | r Filing: | N FINA | AL INC - | Form |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | \# of <br> Investments | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | \# of <br> Investments | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Other marketable securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | 0 | \$ 0 | 0 | 1 | \$ 175 | (525) | \$ 175 | (525) |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than twelve months |  |  | Twelve month |  | or more | Total |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | \# of <br> Investments | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | \# of Investments | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLMC | 1 \$ | \$ 1,248 | (159) | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,248 | (159) |
| Other marketable securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | 6 | 30,000 | (36) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | (36) |
| Corporate preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 175 | (525) | 175 | (525) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | 7 \$ | \$ 31,248 | (195) | 1 | \$ 175 | (525) | \$ 31,423 | (720) |

We review our investment portfolio on a quarterly basis for indications of impairment. This review includes analyzing the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been lower than the cost, the market liquidity for the investment, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer, and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the temporary loss.
The unrealized losses reported for corporate preferred stock at September 30, 2010 related to a single trust preferred security that was issued by the holding company of a small community bank. Typical of most trust preferred issuances, the issuer has the ability to defer interest payments for up to five years with interest payable on the deferred balance. In October 2009, the issuer elected to defer its scheduled interest payments as allowed by the terms of the security agreement. The issuer s subsidiary bank has incurred operating losses due to increased provisions for loan losses but still meets the regulatory requirements to be considered well capitalized based on its most recent regulatory filing. In addition, the owners of the issuing bank appear to have the ability to make additional capital contributions, if needed, to enhance the bank s capital position. Based on a review of the issuer, it was determined that the trust preferred security was not other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2010. The Company does not intend to sell the preferred stock and has the intent and ability to hold it for a period of time sufficient to recover the temporary loss. Management believes that the Company will receive all principal and interest payments contractually due on the security and that the decrease in the market value is primarily due to a lack of liquidity in the market for trust preferred securities and the deferral of interest by the issuer. Management will continue to monitor the credit risk of the issuer and may be required to recognize other-than-temporary impairment charges on this security in future periods.
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A summary of securities available for sale at September 30, 2010 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text { Gross } \\ & \text { unrealized } & \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized }\end{array}\right]$

## September 30, 2010:

Mortgage-backed securities:

| 20,645 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FHLMC | $\$$ | 19,696 | 949 | 0 | 15,242 |
| FNMA |  | 14,432 | 810 | 0 |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  | 0 | 2,871 |  |
| FHLMC | 2,815 | 56 | 0 | 394 |  |
| FNMA | 383 | 11 |  | 0 | 39,152 |

Other marketable securities:

| U.S. Government agency obligations | 108,094 | 407 | 0 | 108,501 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporate preferred stock | 700 | 0 | $(525)$ | 175 |
|  |  | 108,794 | 407 | $(525)$ |

The following table indicates amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale at September 30, 2010 based upon contractual maturity adjusted for scheduled repayments of principal and projected prepayments of principal based upon current economic conditions and interest rates.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Amortized }\end{array}$ | Fair |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Cost |  |$]$ Value

The allocation of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations in the table above is based upon the anticipated future cash flow of the securities using estimated mortgage prepayment speeds.

## (9) Investment in Mortgage Servicing Rights

A summary of mortgage servicing activity is as follows:

| Nine Months | Twelve Months | Nine Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ended | ended | ended |
| September 30, | December 31, | September 30, |
| 2010 | 2009 | 2009 |


| Mortgage servicing rights: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period |  | 1,315 | 728 | 728 |
| Originations | 540 | 1,143 | 969 |  |
| Amortization |  | $(338)$ | $(556)$ | $(431)$ |
| Balance, end of period | $\$$ | 1,517 | 1,315 | 1,266 |
| Fair value of mortgage servicing rights | $\$$ | 1,905 | 2,339 | 2,413 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |
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All of the loans being serviced are single family loans serviced for the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) under the mortgage-backed security program or the individual loan sale program. The following is a summary of the risk characteristics of the loans being serviced at September 30, 2010.

|  | Loan | Weighted | Weighted |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal | Average <br> Interest | Average <br> Remaining | Number of |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Balance | Rate | Term | Loans |
| Original term 30 year fixed rate | $\$ 228,018$ | $5.33 \%$ | 300 | 1,957 |
| Original term 15 year fixed rate | 100,740 | $4.81 \%$ | 120 | 1,538 |
| Adjustable rate | 723 | $3.14 \%$ | 269 | 9 |

The gross carrying amount of mortgage servicing rights and the associated accumulated amortization at September 30, 2010 is presented in the table below. Amortization expense for mortgage servicing rights was $\$ 338,000$ and $\$ 431,000$ respectively, for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

|  | Gross <br> Carrying <br> Amount | Accumulated | Amortization <br> Intartized <br> Assets |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\$$ | 4,275 | $(2,758)$ | 1,517 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | $\$$ | 4,275 | $(2,758)$ | 1,517 |

The following table indicates the estimated future amortization expense for mortgage servicing rights:

|  | Mortgage <br> Servicing |
| :--- | :---: |
| Rights |  |

Projections of amortization are based on existing asset balances and the existing interest rate environment as of September 30, 2010. The Company s actual experiences may be significantly different depending upon changes in mortgage interest rates and other market conditions.

## (10) Income (loss) per Share

The following table reconciles the weighted average shares outstanding and the loss available to common shareholders used for basic and diluted loss per share:

|  | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, | 200 | 2010 | 2009 |
| September 30, |  |  |  |

Net dilutive effect of:

| Restricted stock awards | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding adjusted <br> for effect of dilutive securities |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,776 | 3,785 | 3,757 | 3,688 |
| Income (loss) available to common shareholders | $\$(9,814)$ | 443 | $(20,381)$ | $(12,251)$ |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | $\$(2.60)$ | 0.12 | $(5.43)$ | $(3.32)$ |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | $\$(2.60)$ | 0.12 | $(5.43)$ | $(3.32)$ |
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For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, there were 172,857 and 55,166 common share equivalents outstanding, respectively, that are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share as they are anti-dilutive because the Company had a net loss for the period. For the three month period ended September 30, 2010, 92,670 common share equivalents were not included in the calculation as they were anti-dilutive.

## (11) Regulatory Capital and Regulatory Oversight

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank $s$ assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.
Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Tier I or Core capital and Risk-based capital (as defined in the regulations) to total assets (as defined). Management believes, as of September 30, 2010, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.
Management believes that based upon the Bank s capital calculations at September 30, 2010 and other conditions consistent with the Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions of the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) regulations, the Bank would be categorized as well capitalized.
On September 30, 2010, the Bank s tangible assets and adjusted total assets were $\$ 905.4$ million and its risk-weighted assets were $\$ 718.3$ million. The following table presents the Bank s capital amounts and ratios at September 30, 2010 for actual capital, required capital and excess capital, including ratios in order to qualify as being well capitalized under the Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions.

| (Dollars in thousands) <br> Bank stockholder s equity | Actual |  | Required to be <br> Adequately Capitalized |  | Excess Capital Percent of |  | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions Percent of <br> Amount Assets ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$78,096 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less: <br> Net unrealized gains on certain securities available for sale | $(2,020)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tier I or core capital Tier I capital to adjusted total assets Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets Plus:

| $8.40 \%$ | $\$ 36,218$ | $4.00 \%$ | $\$ 39,858$ | $4.40 \%$ | $\$ 45,272$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10.59 \%$ | $\$ 28,730$ | $4.00 \%$ | $\$ 47,346$ | $6.59 \%$ | $\$ 43,095$ | $6.00 \%$ |

Allowable allowance
for loan losses

| Risk-based capital | $\$ 85,054$ | $\$ 57,460$ | $\$ 27,594$ | 71,825 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Risk-based capital to
risk-weighted assets
11.84\%
8.00\%
$3.84 \%$
10.00\%
(1) Based upon the

Bank s adjusted
total assets for the purpose of the tangible and core capital ratios and risk-weighted assets for the purpose of the risk-based capital ratio.
The Bank has an informal written agreement with the OTS that became effective December 9, 2009 which primarily relates to the Bank sfinancial performance and credit quality issues. In accordance with the agreement, the Bank has submitted a three year business and capital plan and the OTS has notified the Bank that it does not object to the plan. The Bank is to operate within the parameters of the business and capital plan and is required to monitor and submit periodic reports on its compliance with the plan. The Bank s operating results for the first nine months of 2010 varied from the plan primarily in that the provision for loan losses recognized and the deferred tax valuation allowance amounts exceeded the amounts included in the business and capital plan. The
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agreement also requires the Bank to develop plans and take actions to address non-performing assets and watch-list credits. As of September 30, 2010, non-performing assets were greater than the amounts reflected in the Bank splan previously submitted to the OTS. Management believes that as of September 30, 2010 the Bank is in compliance with the requirements of its agreement with the OTS.
HMN also has entered into an informal written agreement with the OTS that became effective December 9, 2009. In accordance with the agreement, the Company submitted a three year capital plan to the OTS. The OTS may make comments upon, and require revisions to, the capital plan. The Company is to operate within the parameters of the final capital plan and is required to monitor and submit periodic reports on its compliance with the plan. The Company s operating results for the first nine months of 2010 varied from the plan primarily in that the provision for loan losses recognized and the deferred tax valuation allowance amounts exceeded the amount included in the capital plan. Under the agreement, without the consent of the OTS, the Company may not incur or issue any debt, guarantee the debt of any entity, declare or pay any cash dividends or repurchase any of the Company s capital stock. Management believes that as of September 30, 2010 that the Company is in compliance with the requirements of its agreement with the OTS.

## (12) Income Taxes

At September 30, 2010, the Company established a valuation allowance of $\$ 12.2$ million offsetting the full amount of the net deferred tax assets as of that date. This determination was based primarily upon the existence of a three year cumulative loss. The three year cumulative loss position is primarily attributable to significant provisions for loan losses incurred during the three years ending September 30, 2010. Although the Company s current financial forecasts indicate that taxable income will be generated in the future, those forecasts were not considered sufficient positive evidence to overcome the observable negative evidence associated with the three year cumulative loss position determined at September 30, 2010. The creation of the valuation allowance, although it increased tax expense and similarly reduced tangible book value, does not have an effect on the Company s cash flows, and may be recoverable in subsequent periods if the Company realizes certain sustained future taxable income. The $\$ 12.2$ million increase in income tax expense as a result of the deferred tax valuation allowance that was recorded in the first nine months of 2010 was partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million tax benefit recorded as a result of a favorable Minnesota Supreme Court tax ruling during the second quarter of 2010, which reversed the unfavorable tax court ruling from 2009.

## (13) Commitments and Contingencies

The Bank issued standby letters of credit which guarantee the performance of customers to third parties. The standby letters of credit issued and available at September 30, 2010 were approximately $\$ 2.7$ million, expire over the next two years, and are collateralized primarily with commercial real estate mortgages. Since the conditions under which the Bank is required to fund the standby letters of credit may not materialize, the cash requirements are expected to be less than the total outstanding commitments.

## (14) Business Segments

The Bank has been identified as a reportable operating segment in accordance with the provisions of ASC 280. SFC and HMN did not meet the quantitative thresholds for determining reportable segments and therefore are included in the Other category.
The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on the segment s net income, return on average assets and equity. Each corporation is managed separately with its own officers and board of directors, some of whom may overlap between the corporations.
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The following table sets forth certain information about the reconciliation of reported profit or loss and assets for each of the Company s reportable segments.

| Home Federal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  | ings Bank | Other | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
| At or for the nine months ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income external customers | \$ | 37,436 | 0 | 0 | 37,436 |
| Non-interest income external customers |  | 5,270 | 0 | 0 | 5,270 |
| Loss on limited partnerships |  | (23) | 0 | 0 | (23) |
| Intersegment interest income |  | 0 | 3 | (3) | 0 |
| Intersegment non-interest income |  | 131 | $(18,258)$ | 18,127 | 0 |
| Interest expense |  | 13,715 | 0 | (3) | 13,712 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other non-interest expense |  | 18,503 | 627 | (131) | 18,999 |
| Income tax expense |  | 5,669 | 172 | 0 | 5,841 |
| Net loss |  | $(18,251)$ | $(19,053)$ | 18,258 | $(19,046)$ |
| Total assets |  | 907,103 | 80,692 | $(80,394)$ | 907,401 |

At or for the nine months ended
September 30, 2009:
Interest income external customer
Non-interest income external customers
Loss on limited partnerships
Intersegment interest income
Intersegment non-interest income
Interest expense
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights,
net
Other non-interest expense
Income tax benefit
Net loss
Total assets

At or for the quarter ended
September 30, 2010:

| Interest income external customers | $\$$ | 11,963 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest income external customers | 1,900 | 0 | 0 | 11,963 |
| Loss on limited partnerships | $(8)$ | 0 | 0 | $(8)$ |
| Intersegment interest income | 0 | 1 | $(1)$ | 0 |
| Intersegment non-interest income | 44 | $(9,147)$ | 9,103 | 0 |
| Interest expense | 4,190 | 0 | $(1)$ | 4,189 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights, |  |  |  | 119 |
| net | 119 | 0 | 0 | 6,871 |
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| Income tax expense |  | 96 | 1 | 0 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net loss |  | $(9,144)$ | $(9,370)$ | 9,147 | $(9,367)$ |
| Total assets |  | 907,103 | 80,692 | $(80,394)$ | 907,401 |
| At or for the quarter ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income external customers | \$ | 14,325 | 0 | 0 | 14,325 |
| Non-interest income external customers |  | 1,891 | 0 | 0 | 1,891 |
| Loss on limited partnerships |  | (8) | 0 | 0 | (8) |
| Intersegment interest income |  | 0 | 4 | (4) | 0 |
| Intersegment non-interest income |  | 43 | 1,033 | $(1,076)$ | 0 |
| Interest expense |  | 5,739 | 0 | (4) | 5,735 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights, net |  | 121 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| Other non-interest expense |  | 5,785 | 174 | (44) | 5,915 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 194 | (19) | 0 | 175 |
| Net income |  | 1,031 | 882 | $(1,032)$ | 881 |
| Total assets |  | ,031,646 | 101,072 | $(100,001)$ | 1,032,717 |

## Item 2:

## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Forward-looking Information

This quarterly report and other reports filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are often identified by such forward-looking terminology as expect, intent, look, believe, anticipate, estimate, project, forecast, seek, may, will, would, could, should, trend, target, and goal or variations of such terms and include, but are not limited to, those relating to the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, the adequacy of available liquidity to the Bank, the future outlook for the Company, whether the Company will receive all principal and interest payments contractually due on trust preferred securities and whether the Company continues to hold such securities, future expectations regarding dividends, renewals of certificates of deposit and the ability of the Bank to replace deposits that do not renew, the amount of deposits that will be withdrawn from checking and money market accounts and how the withdrawn deposits will be replaced, the projected changes in net interest income based on rate shocks, the range that interest rates may fluctuate over the next twelve months, the net market risk of interest rate shocks and the Company s compliance with regulatory standards. A number of factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company s assumptions and expectations. These include, but are not limited to, the adequacy and marketability of real estate securing loans to borrowers; possible legislative and regulatory changes, including those related to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act; compliance of the Company and the Bank with the informal agreements with the OTS, including operating in accordance with a capital plan; adverse economic, business and competitive developments such as shrinking interest margins; reduced collateral values; deposit outflows; reduced demand for financial services and loan products; changes in accounting policies and guidelines, or monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government or tax laws; international economic developments, changes in credit or other risks posed by the Company s loan and investment portfolios; technological, computer-related or operational difficulties; adverse changes in securities markets and the availability of, and terms associated with obtaining, additional capital if and when needed; results of litigation; or other significant uncertainties. Additional factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company s assumptions and expectations include those set forth in the Company s most recent filing on Form 10-K and this Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking statements are qualified by, and should be considered in conjunction with, such cautionary statements. For additional discussion of the risks and uncertainties applicable to the Company, see the Risk Factors section of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and Part II, Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## General

The earnings of the Company are primarily dependent on the Bank s net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments, and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) borrowings. The difference between the average rate of interest earned on assets and the average rate paid on liabilities is the interest rate spread . Net interest income is produced when interest-earning assets equal or exceed interest-bearing liabilities and there is a positive interest rate spread. Net interest income and net interest rate spread are affected by changes in interest rates, the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the level of non-performing assets. The Company s net income is also affected by the generation of non-interest income, which consists primarily of gains or losses from the sale of securities, gains from the sale of loans, fees for servicing mortgage loans, and the generation of fees and service charges on deposit accounts. The Bank incurs expenses in addition to interest expense in the form of salaries and benefits, occupancy expenses, provisions for loan losses and amortization of mortgage servicing assets. For several years prior to the onset of the recent recession, the Company had increased the emphasis on commercial and commercial real estate loans, which has increased the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. While the Company did not originate or hold subprime mortgages in its loan portfolio, purchase investments backed by subprime mortgages, or incur any write downs directly related to subprime mortgages, subprime credit issues

## Edgar Filing: HMN FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

indirectly impacted the Company by making it more difficult for some borrowers with marginal credit to qualify for a mortgage because most of the non-traditional mortgage products were eliminated by the banks and mortgage companies that were previously
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offering them. This decrease in available credit reduced the demand for single family homes as there were fewer qualified buyers in the marketplace. The decrease in demand for housing and building lots affected our level of loan charge offs and the risk ratings on many of our residential development loans. Consequently, our provision for loan losses significantly increased relative to periods before the current economic slowdown. The increase in the provision was due primarily to commercial loan charge offs and risk rating downgrades caused by continued weak demand for housing and building and general economic weakness in our markets. In addition, our losses on loans and other real estate owned increased due to the declining value of the real estate.
The earnings of financial institutions, such as the Bank, are significantly affected by prevailing economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in interest rates, government monetary and fiscal policies, and regulations of various regulatory authorities. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for and supply of business credit, single family and commercial properties, competition among lenders, the level of interest rates and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of deposits are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest on competing investments, account maturities and levels of personal income and savings.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those policies that the Company s management believes are the most important to understanding the Company s financial condition and operating results. The Company has identified the following policies as being critical because they require difficult, subjective, and/or complex judgments that are inherently uncertain. Therefore, actual financial results could differ significantly depending upon the estimates, assumptions and other factors used.

## Allowance for Loan Losses and Related Provision

The allowance for loan losses is based on periodic analysis of the loan portfolio. In this analysis, management considers factors including, but not limited to, specific occurrences of loan impairment, changes in the size of the portfolios, national and regional economic conditions such as unemployment data, loan portfolio composition, loan delinquencies, local construction permits, development plans, local economic growth rates, historical experience and observations made by the Company s ongoing internal audit and regulatory exam processes. Loans are charged off to the extent they are deemed to be uncollectible. The Company has established separate components of its overall methodology to determine the adequacy of the loan loss allowance for its homogeneous single-family and consumer loan portfolios and its non-homogeneous loan portfolios. The determination of the allowance on the homogeneous single-family and consumer loan portfolios is calculated on a pooled basis with individual determination of the allowance of all non-performing loans. The determination of the allowance for the non-homogeneous commercial, commercial real estate, and multi-family loan portfolios involves assigning standardized risk ratings and loss factors that are periodically reviewed. The loss factors are estimated based on the Company s own loss experience and are assigned to all loans without identified credit weaknesses. For each non-performing loan, the Company also performs an individual analysis of impairment that is based on the expected cash flows or the value of the assets collateralizing the loans and establishes any necessary specific reserves.
The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is dependent upon management s estimates of variables affecting valuation, appraisals of collateral, evaluations of performance and status, and the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Such estimates, appraisals, evaluations and cash flows may be subject to frequent adjustments due to changing economic prospects of borrowers or properties. The estimates are reviewed periodically and adjustments, if any, are recorded in the provision for loan losses in the periods in which the adjustments become known. Because of the size of some loans, changes in estimates can have a significant impact on the loan loss provision. The allowance is allocated to individual loan categories based upon the relative risk characteristics of the loan portfolios and the actual loss experience. The Company increases its allowance for loan losses by charging the provision for loan losses against income. The methodology for establishing the allowance for loan losses takes into consideration probable losses that have been identified in connection with specific loans as well as probable losses in the loan portfolio for which specific reserves are not required. Although management believes that based on current conditions the allowance for loan losses is maintained at an adequate amount to provide for probable loan losses inherent in the portfolio as of the balance sheet date, future conditions may differ substantially from those anticipated in determining the allowance for loan losses and adjustments may be required in the future.
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## Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. These calculations are based on many complex factors including estimates of the timing of reversals of temporary differences, the interpretation of federal and state income tax laws, and a determination of the differences between the tax and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates and interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax liabilities.
The Company has historically maintained significant net deferred tax assets for deductible temporary differences, the largest of which relates to the allowance for loan losses. For income tax return purposes, only net charge-offs and specific reserves are deductible, not the provision for loan losses. Under generally accepted accounting principles, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of the realizability of the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning management $s$ evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, the forecasts of future income, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of the current and future economic and business conditions. The Company considers both positive and negative evidence regarding the ultimate realizabilty of deferred tax assets. Positive evidence includes the ability to implement tax planning strategies to accelerate taxable income recognition and the probability that taxable income will be generated in future periods. Negative evidence includes the Company s cumulative loss in the prior three year period and the general business and economic trends. At September 30, 2010, the Company established a valuation allowance of $\$ 12.2$ million offsetting the full amount of our net deferred tax assets as of that date. This determination was based primarily upon the existence of a three year cumulative loss. The three year cumulative loss position is primarily attributable to significant provisions for loan losses incurred during the three years ended September 30, 2010. Although the Company s current financial forecasts indicate that taxable income will be generated in the future, those forecasts were not considered sufficient positive evidence to overcome the observable negative evidence associated with the three year cumulative loss position determined at September 30, 2010. The creation of the valuation allowance, although it increased tax expense and similarly reduced tangible book value, does not have an effect on the Company s cash flows, and may be recoverable in subsequent periods if the Company realizes certain sustained future taxable income. Since the amount of the net deferred tax assets available as regulatory capital was already restricted by regulation, the deferred tax valuation allowance has no effect on the Bank s regulatory capital. It is possible that future conditions may differ substantially from those anticipated in determining the need for a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets and adjustments may be required in the future.
ASC 740, formerly FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (ASC 740) requires the use of estimates and management s best judgment to determine the amounts and probabilities of all of the possible outcomes that could be realized upon the ultimate settlement of a tax position using the facts, circumstances, and information available. The application of ASC 740 requires significant judgment in arriving at the amount of tax benefits to be recognized in the financial statements for a given tax position. It is possible that the tax benefits realized upon the ultimate resolution of a tax position may result in tax benefits that are significantly different from those estimated.

## Net Loss

The net loss was $\$ 9.4$ million for the third quarter of 2010 , a $\$ 10.3$ million change from net income of $\$ 0.9$ million for the third quarter of 2009. Net loss available to common shareholders for the third quarter of 2010 was $\$ 9.8$ million, a change of $\$ 10.3$ million, from net income available to common shareholders of $\$ 443,000$ for the third quarter of 2009. Diluted loss per common share for the third quarter of 2010 was $\$ 2.60$, down $\$ 2.72$ from the diluted income per common share of $\$ 0.12$ for the third quarter of 2009. The decrease in net income for the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009 is due primarily to an $\$ 8.6$ million increase in the provision for loan losses between the periods. The increased provision is primarily the result of additional reserves established on commercial real estate loans as a result of decreases in the estimated value of the underlying collateral supporting the loans and an
increase in the general reserves required for
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other risk rated commercial loans as the result of a loan portfolio analysis. The net loss was also adversely affected by a $\$ 3.7$ million impact on income taxes as a result of establishing an additional deferred tax valuation reserve during the third quarter of 2010 due to the tax treatment of the net operating loss incurred during the quarter. Because of the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset, the Company was not able to record an income tax benefit during the third quarter of 2010 related to the pre-tax loss because any current income tax benefit that would normally result from a pre-tax loss is offset by additional deferred tax expense due to an increase in the required valuation allowance. The net loss was $\$ 19.0$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, an increased loss of $\$ 8.1$ million, from the $\$ 10.9$ million loss for the nine month period ended September 30, 2009. The net loss available to common shareholders was $\$ 20.4$ million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, an increased loss of $\$ 8.1$ million, from the net loss available to common shareholders of $\$ 12.3$ million for the same period of 2009. Diluted loss per common share for the nine-month period in 2010 was $\$ 5.43$, an increased loss of $\$ 2.11$, from the diluted loss per share of $\$ 3.32$ for the same period in 2009. The increase in net loss for the first nine months of 2010 is primarily due to an $\$ 11.4$ million increase in the provision for income taxes between the periods, which was primarily the result of recording a $\$ 12.2$ million deferred tax asset valuation reserve during the first nine months of 2010 . The increase in net loss was partially offset by a $\$ 4.2$ million decrease in the losses recognized on real estate owned between the periods.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income was $\$ 7.8$ million for the third quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 0.8$ million, or $9.5 \%$, compared to $\$ 8.6$ million for the third quarter of 2009. Interest income was $\$ 12.0$ million for the third quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 2.3$ million, or $16.5 \%$, from $\$ 14.3$ million for the same period in 2009. Interest income decreased between the periods primarily because of a $\$ 70$ million decrease in the average interest-earning assets and a decrease in the average yields between the periods. Average interest earning assets decreased between the periods primarily because of a decrease in the commercial loan portfolio, which occurred because of declining loan demand and the Company s focus on improving credit quality, managing net interest margin and improving capital ratios. The average yield earned on interest-earning assets was $5.19 \%$ for the third quarter of 2010, a decrease of 58 basis points from the $5.77 \%$ average yield for the third quarter of 2009.
Interest expense was $\$ 4.2$ million for the third quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 1.5$ million, or $27.0 \%$, compared to $\$ 5.7$ million for the third quarter of 2009. Interest expense decreased primarily because of the lower interest rates paid on money market accounts and certificates of deposit. The decreased rates were the result of the 400 basis point decrease in the federal funds rate that occurred in 2008. Decreases in the federal funds rate, which is the rate that banks charge other banks for short term loans, generally have a lagging effect and decrease the rates banks pay for deposits. The lagging effect of deposit rate changes is primarily due to the Bank s deposits that are in the form of certificates of deposit, which do not re-price immediately when the federal funds rate changes. Interest expense also decreased because of a $\$ 75$ million decrease in the average interest-bearing liabilities between the periods. The decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities is primarily the result of a decrease in the average outstanding brokered certificates of deposit due to the lower funding needs caused by the reduction in assets between the periods. The average interest rate paid on interest bearing liabilities was $1.93 \%$ for the third quarter of 2010 , a decrease of 50 basis points from the $2.43 \%$ average rate paid in the third quarter of 2009.
Net interest margin (net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets) for the third quarter of 2010 was $3.37 \%$, a decrease of 9 basis points, compared to $3.46 \%$ for the third quarter of 2009. Net interest margin decreased between the periods primarily because the yield on interest-earning assets decreased more than the rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. The decrease in yield on interest-earning assets is primarily the result of a change in the mix of assets as more lower yielding investments were held during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009.
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Net interest income was $\$ 23.7$ million for the first nine months of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 2.2$ million, or $8.3 \%$, from $\$ 25.9$ million for the same period in 2009. Interest income was $\$ 37.4$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 7.1$ million, or $15.8 \%$, from $\$ 44.5$ million for the same period in 2009. Interest income decreased between the periods primarily because of an $\$ 86$ million decrease in the average interest-earning assets and a decrease in the average yields between the periods. Average interest earning assets decreased between the periods primarily because of a decrease in the commercial loan portfolio, which occurred because of declining loan demand and the Company s focus on improving credit quality, managing net interest margin and improving capital ratios. The average yield earned on interest-earning assets was $5.28 \%$ for the nine-month period of 2010, a decrease of 47 basis points from the $5.75 \%$ average yield for the third quarter of 2009.
Interest expense was $\$ 13.7$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 4.9$ million, or $26.3 \%$, from $\$ 18.6$ million for the same period in 2009. Interest expense decreased primarily because of the lower interest rates paid on money market accounts and certificates of deposit. The decreased rates were the result of the 400 basis point decrease in the federal funds rate that occurred in 2008. Decreases in the federal funds rate generally have a lagging effect and decrease the rates banks pay for deposits. The lagging effect of deposit rate changes is primarily due to the Banks deposits that are in the form of certificates of deposit, which do not re-price immediately when the federal funds rate changes. Interest expense also decreased because of a $\$ 79$ million decrease in the average interest-bearing liabilities between the periods. The decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities is primarily the result of a decrease in the average outstanding brokered certificates of deposit between the periods. The average interest rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was $2.05 \%$ for the nine month period of 2010, a decrease of 50 basis points from the $2.55 \%$ average rate paid for the same nine-month period of 2009.
Net interest margin (net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets) was $3.35 \%$ for the nine-month period of 2010, the same as for the nine-month period of 2009.
A summary of the Company s net interest margin for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 is as follows:

For the nine month period ended


Advances and other borrowings

| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| liabilities | 811,238 |  |  |  | 904,717 |  |  |
| Non-interest checking | 82,881 |  |  |  | 68,369 |  |  |
| Other non-interest bearing deposits | 1,578 |  |  |  | 1,324 |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities and non-interest bearing deposits | \$ 895,697 | 13,712 | 2.05 | \$ | 974,410 | 18,608 | 2.55 |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 23,724 |  |  |  | \$ 25,859 |  |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  | 3.24\% |  |  |  | 3.20\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 3.35\% |  |  |  | 3.35\% |
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## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is recorded to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on factors disclosed in the critical accounting policies previously discussed. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 11.9$ million for the third quarter of 2010, an increase of $\$ 8.5$ million, compared to $\$ 3.4$ million for the third quarter of 2009. The provision increased primarily because of the additional reserves established on commercial real estate loans due to decreases in the estimated value of the underlying collateral supporting the loans and an increase in the reserves required for other risk rated commercial loans as result of an internal loan portfolio analysis. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 22.8$ million for the first nine-months of 2010 , a decrease of $\$ 0.4$ million, from $\$ 23.2$ million for the same nine-month period in 2009. The provision for loan losses remained elevated in the first nine months of 2010 primarily because of the $\$ 15.4$ million in additional reserves established on commercial real estate and commercial business loans primarily as a result of decreases in the estimated value of the underlying collateral supporting the loans, $\$ 1.5$ million in additional reserves established on other loans due to risk rating changes, $\$ 1.6$ million in additional reserves established on a commercial loan due to the borrower filing bankruptcy and a $\$ 4.3$ million increase in the reserves required for other risk rated commercial loans as a result of an internal loan portfolio analysis.
A rollforward of the Company s allowance for loan losses for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 is summarized as follows:

| (in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at June 30, | $\$ 26,027$ | $\$ 25,403$ |
| Provision | 11,946 | 3,381 |
| Charge offs: | 0 | $(17)$ |
| One-to-four family | $(406)$ | $(586)$ |
| Consumer | $(3,045)$ | $(1,236)$ |
| Commercial business | $(4,512)$ | $(1,839)$ |
| Commercial real estate | 29 | 99 |
| Total charge offs | $\$ 33,490$ | $\$ 27,044$ |
| Recoveries |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Balance at September 30, | $\$ 16,292$ | $\$ 11,877$ |
|  | 17,198 | 15,167 |
| General allowance |  |  |
| Specific allowance | $\$ 33,490$ | $\$ 27,044$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
|  | $\$ 23,811$ | $\$ 21,257$ |
| (in thousands) | 22,839 | 23,254 |
| Balance at January 1, | $(168)$ | $(82)$ |
| Provision | $(795)$ | $(1,692)$ |
| Charge offs: | $(5,803)$ | $(5,352)$ |
| One-to-four family | $(6,524)$ | $(11,017)$ |
| Consumer | $(13,290)$ | $(18,143)$ |


| Recoveries | 130 | 676 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at September 30, | $\$ 33,490$ | $\$ 27,044$ |
| General allowance | $\$ 16,292$ | $\$ 11,877$ |
| Specific allowance | 17,198 | 15,167 |
|  | $\$ 33,490$ | $\$ 27,044$ |
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## Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income was $\$ 1.9$ million for the third quarter of 2010, the same as for the third quarter of 2009. Fees and service charges decreased $\$ 62,000$ between the periods primarily because of decreased ATM fees as a result of exiting a customer ATM relationship in the first quarter of 2010. Gain on sales of loans increased $\$ 58,000$ due to an increase in the margin realized on the single-family mortgage loans that were sold. Other income increased $\$ 11,000$ due to a decrease in the losses recognized on the sale of assets between the periods.
Non-interest income was $\$ 5.2$ million for the first nine months of 2010 , a decrease of $\$ 0.8$ million, or $12.6 \%$, from $\$ 6.0$ million for the same period in 2009. Gain on sales of loans decreased $\$ 526,000$ between the periods primarily because of a decrease in the gains recognized on the sale of single-family mortgage loans caused by a decrease in loan originations and sales between the periods. Fees and service charges decreased $\$ 337,000$ between the periods primarily because of decreased overdraft and ATM fees as a result of exiting a customer ATM relationship in the first quarter of 2010. Other income increased $\$ 77,000$ primarily as a result of decreased losses on limited partnerships and increased rental income. Mortgage servicing fees increased $\$ 36,000$ between the periods due to an increase in the single-family mortgage loans being serviced.

## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense was $\$ 7.0$ million for the third quarter of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 1.0$ million, or $15.8 \%$, from $\$ 6.0$ million for the same period of 2009. Loss on real estate owned changed $\$ 741,000$, from a gain of $\$ 357,000$ in the third quarter of 2009 to a loss of $\$ 384,000$ in the third quarter of 2010 . Compensation and benefits expense increased $\$ 176,000$ between the periods primarily because of increased personnel in the commercial loan recovery area and increased pension costs as a result of an increase in the payments required on a defined benefit plan that was frozen in 2002. Deposit insurance expense increased $\$ 86,000$ as a result of increased insurance premiums between the periods. Occupancy expense increased $\$ 85,000$ primarily because of increased software maintenance fees. Other non-interest expenses decreased $\$ 128,000$ primarily because of a decrease in the costs related to other real estate owned. Non-interest expense was $\$ 19.3$ million for the first nine months of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 5.8$ million, or $22.9 \%$, from $\$ 25.1$ million for the same period in 2009. Losses on real estate owned decreased $\$ 4.2$ million between the periods because of the decreases in the losses recognized on real estate sold. Other non-interest expenses decreased $\$ 1.5$ million due primarily to the $\$ 1.2$ million impact of the reversal of the accrued interest on a state tax assessment as a result of a favorable Minnesota Supreme Court ruling in the second quarter of 2010, a $\$ 152,000$ decrease in item processing charges as a result of implementing improved clearing procedures and an $\$ 88,000$ decrease in postage and printing supplies as a result of increasing the number of customers receiving electronic statements. Compensation expense decreased $\$ 97,000$ primarily because of a decrease in incentive compensation between the periods. Occupancy expense increased $\$ 50,000$ primarily because of increased monthly software maintenance fees.

## Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was $\$ 97,000$ in the third quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 78,000$, from $\$ 175,000$ in the third quarter of 2009. In the second quarter of 2010, the Company recorded a valuation reserve against the entire deferred tax asset balance. Since the valuation reserve is established against the entire deferred tax asset balance at September 30, 2010, the only amount included as income tax expense for the third quarter of 2010 relates to the taxes on the change in the fair market value of the available for sale investment portfolio.
The effect of income taxes changed $\$ 11.3$ million between the periods from a benefit of $\$ 5.5$ million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2009 to an expense of $\$ 5.8$ million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. In the second quarter of 2009, additional income tax expense of $\$ 1.0$ million was recorded, which was a reduction of the overall tax benefit, as a result of an unfavorable tax court ruling in that quarter related to the tax treatment of the inter-company dividends paid to the Bank by a former subsidiary in prior tax years. Excluding this adjustment, the effective tax rate would have been $39.6 \%$ for the first nine months of 2009. In the first nine months of 2010 , income taxes were increased $\$ 12.2$ million as a result of recording a deferred tax asset valuation reserve, which was partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million tax benefit recorded as a result of a favorable Minnesota Supreme Court tax ruling during the period, which reversed the unfavorable tax court ruling from 2009. Excluding these adjustments, the effective tax rate would have been $39.0 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010.
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## Non-Performing Assets

The following table summarizes the amounts and categories of non-performing assets in the Bank s portfolio and loan delinquency information as of the end of the two most recently completed quarters and December 31, 2009.

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Accruing Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family real estate | \$ | 3,222 | \$ 2,431 | \$ | 2,132 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 33,715 | 31,916 |  | 37,122 |
| Consumer |  | 348 | 364 |  | 4,086 |
| Commercial business |  | 25,721 | 24,812 |  | 17,787 |
| Total |  | 63,006 | 59,523 |  | 61,127 |
| Foreclosed and Repossessed Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family real estate |  | 906 | 934 |  | 1,011 |
| Consumer |  | 14 | 0 |  | 5 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 17,653 | 10,687 |  | 15,246 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ | 81,579 | \$ 71,144 | \$ | 77,389 |
| Total as a percentage of total assets |  | 8.99\% | 7.30\% |  | 7.47\% |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ | 63,006 | \$ 59,523 | \$ | 61,127 |
| Total as a percentage of total loans receivable, net |  | 9.00\% | 7.99\% |  | 7.65\% |
| Allowance for loan loss to non-performing loans |  | 53.15\% | 43.73\% |  | 38.95\% |
| Delinquency Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30+ days | \$ | 16,416 | \$ 14,819 | \$ | 11,140 |
| 90+ days |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of loan and lease portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30+ days |  | 2.28\% | 1.95\% |  | 1.37\% |
| 90+ days |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |

(1) Excludes non-accrual
loans.
The Company had specific reserves established against the above non-accruing loans of $\$ 17.2$ million, $\$ 14.9$ million and $\$ 12.1$ million, respectively, at September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The increase in loans that were more than 30 days delinquent at September 30, 2010, when compared to the previous quarter, relates primarily to a $\$ 3.3$ million increase in delinquent commercial real estate loans and a $\$ 0.4$ million increase in delinquent mortgage and other loans that was partially offset by a $\$ 2.1$ million decrease in delinquent construction loans.

Total non-performing assets were $\$ 81.6$ million at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 10.5$ million, or $14.7 \%$, from $\$ 71.1$ million at June 30, 2010. Non-performing loans increased $\$ 3.5$ million and foreclosed and repossessed assets increased $\$ 7.0$ million during the third quarter of 2010. The non-performing loan and foreclosed and repossessed asset activity for the quarter was as follows:

## (Dollars in thousands)

Non-performing loans
June 30, 2010 ..... \$59,523
Classified as non-performing ..... 17,301
Charge offs ..... $(4,482)$
Principal payments received ..... (807)
Classified as accruing ..... $(1,054)$
Transferred to real estate owned ..... $(7,475)$
September 30, 2010 ..... \$63,006
Foreclosed and repossessed assets
June 30, 2010\$ 11,621
Transferred from non-performing loans ..... 7,475
Other foreclosures/repossessions ..... 45
Real estate sold ..... (61)
Net gain on sale of assets ..... 10
Write downs ..... (517)
September 30, 2010 ..... \$ 18,573

The increase in non-performing loans is primarily the result of four residential development loans totaling $\$ 12.4$ million that were classified as non-performing during the quarter.
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Total non-performing assets were $\$ 81.6$ million at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 4.2$ million from $\$ 77.4$ million at December 31, 2009. Non-performing loans increased $\$ 1.9$ million and foreclosed and repossessed assets increased $\$ 2.3$ million during the nine-month period. The non-performing loan and foreclosed and repossessed asset activity for the first nine months of 2010 was as follows:
(Dollars in thousands)
Non-performing loans
December 31, 2009 \$ 61,127
Classified as non-performing 45,396
Charge offs $(13,260)$
Principal payments received
Classified as accruing
Transferred to real estate owned
September 30, 2010
\$ 63,006

## Foreclosed and repossessed assets

December 31, 2009 \$ 16,262
Transferred from non-performing loans 14,909
Other foreclosures/repossessions 954
Real estate sold
Net gain on sale of assets
744
Write downs
September 30, 2010 \$ 18,573

The following table summarizes the number of lending relationships and types of commercial real estate loans that were non-performing as of the end of the two most recent quarters and December 31, 2009.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Principal } \\
\text { Amount of } \\
\text { Loan }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Principal } \\
\text { Amount of } \\
\text { Leptember }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Principal } \\
\text { Amount of }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Loan\end{array}\right]\)| June 30, |
| :---: |
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The Company had specific reserves established against the above commercial real estate loans of $\$ 7.8$ million, $\$ 7.2$ million and $\$ 7.7$ million, respectively, at September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The following table summarizes the number of lending relationships and industry of commercial business loans that were non-performing for the two most recent quarters and December 31, 2009.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Principal } \\ \text { Amount of } \\ \text { Loan }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Principal } \\ \text { Amount of } \\ \text { Loan }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Principal <br>

Amount of <br>
Loan\end{array}\right]\)

The Company had specific reserves established against the above commercial business loans of $\$ 8.6$ million, $\$ 7.0$ million and $\$ 3.4$ million, respectively, at September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. At September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, impaired loans were $\$ 63.0$ million, $\$ 59.5$ million and $\$ 61.1$ million, respectively, for which the related allowance for loan losses was $\$ 17.2$ million, $\$ 14.9$ million, and $\$ 12.1$ million, respectively. Impaired loans for which no specific allowance has been recorded because management determined that the collateral was sufficient to repay the loan totaled $\$ 5.6$ million, $\$ 11.1$ million and $\$ 17.0$ million at September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
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In addition to the non-performing assets in the prior table of all non-performing assets, as of September 30, 2010, the Bank held 30 loans (relating to five relationships) for which the interest rates were modified in troubled debt restructurings in the last twelve month period. The principal balances of the loans that were modified totaled $\$ 15.2$ million and related to a variety of commercial real estate loans, a single family home loan, an auto loan and home equity loans. The loans were not classified as non-performing as it is anticipated that the borrowers will be able to make all of the required principal and interest payments under the modified terms of the loans. The Bank has no outstanding commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

## Dividends

The declaration of dividends on common stock is at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors and is subject to, among other things, the Company s financial condition and results of operations, the Bank s compliance with its regulatory capital requirements, including risk based capital requirements, limitations imposed through the Company s participation in the U.S. Treasury s Capital Purchase Program, tax considerations, industry standards, economic conditions, regulatory restrictions, general business practices and other factors. The Bank s capital position at September 30, 2010 remained above the levels required for the Bank to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution by regulatory standards. The payment of dividends is dependent upon the Company having adequate cash or other assets that can be converted to cash to pay dividends to its stockholders. The Company suspended the payment of quarterly cash dividends to common stockholders in the fourth quarter of 2008 due to the net operating loss experienced and the challenging economic environment. It is not anticipated that dividends on common stock will be paid over the next 12 months because of our desire to preserve capital. In addition, under the terms of the informal written agreement that the Company entered into with the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), effective December 9, 2009, the Company may not declare or pay any cash dividends, or repurchase or redeem any capital stock, without prior notice to, and consent of, the OTS. The Company is also restricted from repurchasing common stock because of the stock repurchase restriction imposed by its participation in the U.S. Treasury s Capital Purchase Program. The Company currently anticipates making quarterly preferred dividend payments of $\$ 325,000$ on the preferred stock issued to the Treasury for the first five years the preferred stock is outstanding and $\$ 585,000$ each quarter thereafter if the preferred stock is not redeemed.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 21.8$ million. The Company collected $\$ 100.0$ million from the maturities of securities, $\$ 14.5$ million from principal repayments on securities, $\$ 13.8$ million from the sales of real estate and $\$ 1.2$ million from the redemption of FHLB stock. It purchased securities available for sale of $\$ 103.2$ million, FHLB stock of $\$ 1.7$ million and premises and equipment of $\$ 0.2$ million. Net loans receivable decreased $\$ 58.1$ million due primarily to a decrease in commercial loan originations and prepayments on single-family mortgage loans. The Company had a net decrease in deposit balances of $\$ 110.4$ million of which $\$ 88.3$ million was brokered deposits, and received and repaid $\$ 38.5$ million and $\$ 37.0$ million, respectively in FHLB/FRB advances. The Company did not purchase any common stock and paid $\$ 1.0$ million in preferred stock dividends to the U.S. Treasury.
At September 30, 2010, the Company had certificates of deposit with outstanding balances of $\$ 203.3$ million that become due over the next 12 months. Based upon past experience, management anticipates that the majority of the deposits will renew for another term. The Company believes that deposits that do not renew will be replaced with deposits from other customers or brokers. FHLB/FRB advances or proceeds from the sale of securities could also be used to replace unanticipated outflows of deposits. The Company has established combined lines of credit with the FHLB/FRB and unpledged investment securities totaling $\$ 163.2$ million at September 30, 2010 that could be used to fund any short-term cash needs.
At September 30, 2010, the Company had deposits of $\$ 48.1$ million in checking and money market accounts with customers that have individual balances greater than $\$ 5.0$ million. These funds may be withdrawn at any time, and management anticipates that $\$ 5.0$ million of these deposits will be withdrawn from the Bank over the next twelve months as they are scheduled for disbursement. The Company expects these deposits to be replaced primarily with brokered deposits or advances from the FHLB or FRB. Management anticipates that the majority of the remaining large checking and money market accounts will remain on deposit with the Bank. If these deposits were to be
withdrawn, the Company expects they would be replaced with deposits from other customers or
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brokers. Advances from the FHLB or the FRB, or proceeds from the sale of securities could also be used to replace unanticipated outflows of large checking and money market deposits.
At September 30, 2010, the Company had $\$ 70.0$ million of FHLB advances which mature beyond September 30, 2011 but have call features that can be exercised by the FHLB during the next twelve months. As the advances mature or if the call features are exercised, the Company has the option of requesting any advance otherwise available to it pursuant to the credit policy of the FHLB.
At September 30, 2010, the Bank had the ability to draw additional borrowings from the FHLB of $\$ 24.6$ million based upon the mortgage loans pledged, subject to a requirement to purchase additional FHLB stock and the FHLB s approval at time of request. At September 30, 2010, the Bank also had the ability to draw additional borrowings of $\$ 91.3$ million from the FRB based upon the loans pledged with it. Management continues to believe that the Bank s liquidity levels are adequate.

## Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. The Company s market risk arises primarily from interest rate risk inherent in its investing, lending and deposit taking activities. Management actively monitors and manages its interest rate risk exposure.
The Company s profitability is affected by fluctuations in interest rates. A sudden and substantial change in interest rates may adversely impact the Company s earnings to the extent that the interest rates borne by assets and liabilities do not change at the same speed, to the same extent, or on the same basis. The Company monitors the projected changes in net interest income that occur if interest rates were to suddenly change up or down. The Rate Shock Table located in the following Asset/Liability Management section of this report discloses the Company s projected changes in net interest income based upon immediate interest rate changes called rate shocks.
The Company utilizes a model that uses the discounted cash flows from its interest-earning assets and its interest-bearing liabilities to calculate the current market value of those assets and liabilities. The model also calculates the changes in market value of the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities due to different interest rate changes.
The following table discloses the projected changes in market value to the Company s interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities based upon incremental 100 basis point changes in interest rates from interest rates in effect on September 30, 2010.

Other than trading portfolio
(Dollars in thousands)
Basis point change in interest rates
Total market risk sensitive assets
Total market risk sensitive liabilities
Off-balance sheet financial instruments
Net market risk

Percentage change from current market value

| Market Value |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -100 | 0 | +100 | +200 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 893,193$ | 880,004 | 865,718 | 848,619 |
| 843,481 | 830,838 | 816,920 | 803,145 |
| 406 | 0 | 712 | 1,343 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 49,306$ | 49,166 | 48,086 | 44,131 |

The preceding table was prepared utilizing the following assumptions (Model Assumptions) regarding prepayment and decay ratios, which were determined by management based upon their review of historical prepayment speeds and future prepayment projections. Fixed rate loans were assumed to prepay at annual rates of between $6 \%$ to $71 \%$, depending on the note rate and the period to maturity. Adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) were assumed to prepay at annual rates of between $12 \%$ and $34 \%$, depending on the note rate and the period to maturity. Growing Equity Mortgage (GEM) loans were assumed to prepay at annual rates of between $8 \%$ and $45 \%$ depending on the note rate and the period to maturity. Mortgage-backed securities and Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs) were projected to have prepayments based upon the underlying collateral securing
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the instrument and the related cash flow priority of the CMO tranche owned. Certificate accounts were assumed not to be withdrawn until maturity. Passbook accounts were assumed to decay at an annual rate of $23 \%$, money market accounts were assumed to decay at an annual rate of $25 \%$, non-interest checking was assumed to decay at an annual rate of $19 \%$ and NOW accounts were assumed to decay at an annual rate of $21 \%$. Commercial non-interest checking was assumed to decay at an annual rate of $19 \%$, commercial NOW accounts and money market accounts were assumed to decay at annual rates of $21 \%$ and $25 \%$, respectively. FHLB advances were projected to be called at the first call date where the projected interest rate on similar remaining term advances exceeded the interest rate on the Company s callable advance.
Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the preceeding table. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. The model assumes that the difference between the current interest rate being earned or paid compared to a treasury instrument or other interest index with a similar term to maturity (the Interest Spread) will remain constant over the interest changes disclosed in the table. Changes in Interest Spread could impact projected market value changes. Certain assets, such as ARMs, have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the assets. The market value of the interest-bearing assets which are approaching their lifetime interest rate caps could be different from the values disclosed in the table. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels may deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the foregoing table. The ability of many borrowers to service their debt may decrease in the event of a substantial sustained interest rate increase.

## Asset/Liability Management

The Company s management reviews the impact that changing interest rates will have on its net interest income projected for the twelve months following September 30, 2010 to determine if its current level of interest rate risk is acceptable. The following table projects the estimated annual impact on net interest income of immediate interest rate changes called rate shocks.

## (Dollars in thousands)

| Rate Shock | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in | Change in Net <br> Interest | Percentage |
| Basis Points | Income | Change |
| +200 | 630 | $2.16 \%$ |
| +100 | 482 | $1.66 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| -100 | $(695)$ | $(2.39) \%$ |

The preceding table was prepared utilizing the Model Assumptions. Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing table. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the foregoing table. The ability of many borrowers to service their debt may decrease in the event of a substantial increase in interest rates and could impact net interest income. The increase in interest income in a rising rate environment is primarily because more loans than deposits are scheduled to reprice in the next twelve months.
In an attempt to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates, management closely monitors interest rate risk. The Bank has an Asset/Liability Committee (Committee)which meets frequently to discuss changes in the interest rate risk position and projected profitability. The Committee makes adjustments to the asset-liability position of the Bank, which are reviewed by the Board of Directors of the Bank (Board). This Committee also reviews the Bank sportfolio, formulates investment strategies and oversees the timing and implementation of transactions to assure attainment of the Board s objectives in the most effective manner. In addition, each quarter the Board reviews the Bank s asset/liability position, including simulations of the effect on the Bank s capital of various interest rate scenarios.
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In managing its asset/liability mix, the Bank, depending on the relationship between long- and short-term interest rates, market conditions and consumer preference, may place more emphasis on managing net interest margin than on better matching the interest rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities in an effort to enhance net interest income. Management believes that the increased net interest income resulting from a mismatch in the maturity of its asset and liability portfolios can, in certain situations, provide high enough returns to justify the increased exposure to sudden and unexpected changes in interest rates.
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To the extent consistent with its interest rate spread objectives, the Bank attempts to manage its interest rate risk and has taken a number of steps to structure its balance sheet in order to better match the maturities of its assets and liabilities. The Bank has primarily focused its fixed rate one-to-four family residential lending program on loans that are saleable to third parties and generally places only those fixed rate loans that meet certain risk characteristics into its loan portfolio. The Bank s commercial loan production has primarily been in adjustable rate loans while the fixed rate commercial loans placed in the portfolio have been shorter-term loans, usually with maturities of five years or less, in order to manage the Company s interest rate risk exposure.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements other than commitments to originate and sell loans in the ordinary course of business.

## Item 4T: Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act)). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.
Changes in internal controls. There was no change in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting during the Company s most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.
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## HMN FINANCIAL, INC. PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, HMN is party to legal proceedings arising out of its lending and deposit operations. HMN is and expects to become engaged in a number of foreclosure proceedings and other collection actions as part of its collection activities. Litigation is often unpredictable and the actual results of litigation cannot be determined with any certainty.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Financial reform legislation recently enacted by Congress will, among other things, eliminate the Office of Thrift Supervision, tighten capital standards, create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and result in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.
In July 2010, Congress enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ). This new law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.
Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on the Company. For example, the new law provides that the Office of Thrift Supervision, which currently is the primary federal regulator for the Company and the Bank, will cease to exist one year from the date of the new law s enactment. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which is currently the primary federal regulator for national banks, will become the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts, including the Bank. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System will supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including the Company.
Also effective one year after the date of enactment is a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act that eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on the Company s interest expense.
The Dodd-Frank Act directs the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to redefine the base for deposit insurance assessments paid by banks. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. This change may proportionally shift deposit insurance funding away from banks that rely primarily on deposits for funding operations, like the Bank. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to $\$ 250,000$ per depositor. The Dodd-Frank Act also effectively extends the FDIC s program of insuring non-interest bearing transaction accounts on an unlimited basis through December 31, 2013.
The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than $\$ 10$ billion in assets. Savings institutions with $\$ 10$ billion or less in assets, such as the Bank, will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators. The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws. The Dodd-Frank Act also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives.
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It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and could increase our interest expense.
We may be required to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed. We are required by federal regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. Based on operating performance or other capital demands, we may at some point need to raise additional capital. If we raise capital through the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or other equity securities, it would dilute the ownership interests of existing stockholders and may dilute the per share book value of our common stock. New investors may also have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our current stockholders, which may adversely impact our current stockholders.
Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of our control, and on our financial performance. Accordingly, we may not be able to raise additional capital, if needed, on favorable economic terms, or other terms acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed, our ability to maintain or expand our operations, our ability to operate without additional regulatory or other restrictions, and our operating results, could be materially adversely affected.
See Part I, Item 1.A. of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for additional risk factors.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
None.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Not applicable.
Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

## Item 5. Other Information.

None.
Item 6. Exhibits.
Incorporated by reference to the index to exhibits included with this report immediately following the signature page.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HMN FINANCIAL, INC.
Registrant
Date: November 2, 2010

By: /s/ Bradley Krehbiel<br>Bradley Krehbiel, President<br>(Principal Executive Officer and<br>Duly Authorized Representative)

Date: November 2, 2010
By:/s/ Jon Eberle
Jon Eberle,
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
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*3 Incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated April 1, 1994 (File No. 33-77212).

