EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 27, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09149 Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)
Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)
Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(Name and Address of Agent for Services)
(617) 482-8260
(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30
Date of Fiscal Year End
November 30, 2011
Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

Annual Report November 30, 2011

> California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ) New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report November 30, 2011

Eaton Vance

Municipal Income Trusts

Table of Contents

| Management s Discussion of Fund Performance | 2 |
|---|----|
| Performance and Fund Profile | |
| California | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 5 |
| Michigan | 6 |
| New Jersey | 7 |
| New York | 8 |
| Ohio | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 |
| Endnotes and Additional Disclosures | 11 |
| Financial Statements | 12 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 61 |
| Federal Tax Information | 62 |
| Notice to Shareholders | 63 |
| Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 64 |
| Management and Organization | 66 |
| Important Notices | 69 |

Eaton Vance
Municipal Income Trusts
November 30, 2011
Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

Early in the fiscal year, in the December 2010 through March 2011 timeframe, economic indicators seemed to show that a modest recovery was under way. But in the second quarter of 2011, Europe s sovereign debt problems began to intensify, and investors worried about the potential impact on the U.S. economy and U.S. banks.

Meanwhile, unemployment remained stubbornly high, the housing market was not recovering, and Congressional wrangling over the debt ceiling led Standard & Poor s to downgrade U.S. Treasuries. The potential for a double-dip recession began to look real. Reacting to this turmoil, the S&P 500 Index² fell more than 15% in just over two weeks during late July and early August and spent the rest of the period trying to claw its way back.

Against this backdrop, Treasury and municipal interest rates began to rise in the first part of the fiscal year, as people believed an economic recovery was well underway. When economic indicators began to suggest that the U.S. economy was not as strong as first perceived and European sovereign debt problems intensified, we saw a flight to safety beginning in the second quarter. Treasury prices rose and yields fell significantly as equity markets declined. Municipal bonds rallied as well, but not to the same degree, because investors were still concerned about the perceived ability of state and local governments to address historically large fiscal deficits and balance their budgets. As the period wore on, however, several factors caused performance of municipals to improve. The massive municipal defaults predicted by high-profile market analysts did not materialize, while the budget situation for many issuers began to recover. In addition, the supply-demand equation for municipal bonds improved as the number of new issues declined dramatically from that of 2010.

With extremely low Treasury yields driven by problems in Europe and the Fed s Operation Twist (central bank s swapping its short-term holdings for longer-term Treasury bonds), municipals during the period offered significantly higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries. The ratio of AAA⁶ municipal yields to Treasury yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt rose from 103.9% at the start of the period to 125.9% at period-end. The result was a pickup in municipal sales and prices as investors moved to lock in attractive municipal yields. For the one-year period as a whole, the Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index a broad measure of U.S. municipal bond performance rose 6.53%.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending November 30, 2011, the Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, and Ohio Trusts shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 8.32% return of the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), the Funds benchmark, while the California, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania Trusts shares underperformed the Index at NAV.

The Funds were hedged during the period to help mitigate the potential interest-rate risk associated with the Funds overall investment strategy. Generally speaking, the Funds—overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds at the longer end of the maturity spectrum in order to capture their typically higher yields and greater income payments. The Funds tend to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps to provide downside protection. For the 12-month period ending November 30, 2011, this hedging strategy was a drag on performance, as the ratio of municipal yields to U.S. Treasury yields of similar maturities remained relatively high and actually increased during the period. Thus, the more a Fund was hedged, the more that hedging detracted from the Fund—s performance. Hedging was a detractor from performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust, where the negative effect of hedging was less. In contrast, leverage⁵ aided performance. The use of leverage has the effect of providing additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a Fund—s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to all of the Funds—relative performance versus the benchmark.

An overweighting in long-maturity bonds (20 years or more), which outperformed short- and medium-maturity issues during the period, also helped performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust. See *Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report*.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts November 30, 2011

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 7.99%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. A key detractor was security selection in hospital bonds. Performance was helped, however, by security selection in the transportation sector and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 8.49%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Security selection in generally high quality general obligation bonds was positive for performance, while underweighting transportation as well as water & sewer, two sectors that did well over the period, hurt results.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 11.66%, outpacing the 8.32% return of the Index. Key drivers of performance included overweights in generally high quality local general obligation bonds, hospital bonds, and zero-coupon bonds, all of which did well during the period. Security selection in hospitals helped as well. But overweighting bonds with 10-20 year maturities, which performed well but not as strongly as longer-maturity issues, detracted from performance.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 5.64%, trailing the 8.32% return of the Index. An underweighting in general obligation bonds, which outpaced the overall market, held back results. Contributors included an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and security selection in industrial development bonds. Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.06%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and in 5.50% and 5.75% coupon bonds, which performed well during the period, aided performance. Security selection in the industrial development and transportation sectors, however, detracted from results.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.21%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in generally high quality local government general obligation bonds contributed to results, while exposure to lower-quality industrial development revenue bonds and an underweighting in the strong-performing transportation sector detracted.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 6.53%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Key detractors included security selection in the water & sewer and the industrial development sectors. In contrast, security selection and an overweighting in education bonds, as well as an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, aided results.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Fund at NAV | 1/29/1999 | 7.99% | 0.77% | 4.93% |
| Fund at Market Price | | 11.04 | 3.14 | 5.30 |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | | 8.32% | 3.61% | 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | 2.91% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period | | | | \$0.886 |
| Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 7.14% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 12.25% |
| Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | 6.94% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market | | | | |
| Price | | | | 11.90% |
| | | | | |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) | | | | 31.83% |
| Residual Interest Bond (RIB) | | | | 10.94 |

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

| AAA | 4.8% | BBB | 7.2% |
|-----|------|-------|------|
| AA | 52.8 | BB | 0.8 |
| | | Not | |
| A | 27.5 | Rated | 6.9 |

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

4

Eaton Vance

Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | 1/29/1999 | 8.49% 13.45 8.32% | 3.05% 5.95 3.61% | 6.04% 6.46 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | 6.01% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | \$0.906 6.49% 10.54% 6.12% 9.94% |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Residual Interest Bond (RIB) | | | | 31.67% 7.72 |

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

| AAA | 16.7% | BBB | 9.6% |
|-----|-------|-------|------|
| AA | 37.2 | BB | 1.4 |
| | | Not | |
| A | 31.5 | Rated | 3.6 |

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Fund at NAV | 1/29/1999 | 11.66% | 3.49% | 5.57% |
| Fund at Market Price | | 10.60 | 3.84 | 5.96 |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | | 8.32% | 3.61% | 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | -6.94% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period | | | | \$0.839 |
| Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 6.11% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 9.83% |
| Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | 6.57% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market | | | | |
| Price | | | | 10.57% |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) | | | | 38.15% |

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

Fund Profile

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | 1/29/1999 | 5.64% 6.39 8.32% | 1.93% 3.95 3.61% | 5.85% 6.55 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | 2.69% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | \$0.923 6.90% 11.66% 6.72% 11.36% |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Residual Interest Bond (RIB) | | | | 32.26% 9.13 |

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

| AAA | 14.5% | BB | 3.0% |
|-----|-------|-------|------|
| AA | 26.2 | В | 1.1 |
| | | Not | |
| A | 43.4 | Rated | 0.8 |
| BBB | 11.0 | | |

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Fund at NAV | 1/29/1999 | 9.06% | 2.05% | 5.84% |
| Fund at Market Price | | 8.18 | 3.34 | 6.12 |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | | 8.32% | 3.61% | 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | 1.01% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period | | | | \$0.910 |
| Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 6.84% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 11.56% |
| Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | 6.77% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market | | | | |
| Price | | | | 11.44% |
| 0/ Total Lavarage5 | | | | |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) | | | | 26.58% |
| Residual Interest Bond (RIB) | | | | 16.12 |

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

| AAA | 13.6% | BBB | 11.8% |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| AA | 38.0 | BB | 1.2 |
| | | Not | |
| A | 27.3 | Rated | 8.1 |

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Fund at NAV | 1/29/1999 | 9.21% | 2.96% | 5.79% |
| Fund at Market Price | | 6.25 | 4.26 | 6.04 |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | | 8.32% | 3.61% | 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | -0.86% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period | | | | \$0.861 |
| Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 6.18% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 10.11% |
| Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | 6.24% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market | | | | |
| Price | | | | 10.20% |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) | | | | 36.33% |

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

Residual Interest Bond (RIB)

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

2.33

Eaton Vance

Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

Performance^{2,3}

| % Average Annual Total Returns | Inception Date | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Fund at NAV | 1/29/1999 | 6.53% | 2.99% | 5.62% |
| Fund at Market Price | | 13.15 | 4.92 | 7.05 |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index | | 8.32% | 3.61% | 5.34% |
| % Premium/Discount to NAV | | | | |
| | | | | 3.02% |
| Distributions ⁴ | | | | |
| Total Distributions per share for the period | | | | \$0.867 |
| Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 6.54% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV | | | | 10.38% |
| Distribution Rate at Market Price | | | | 6.35% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market | | | | |
| Price | | | | 10.08% |
| % Total Leverage ⁵ | | | | |
| Auction Preferred Shares (APS) | | | | 35.99% |
| Residual Interest Bond (RIB) | | | | 2.80 |

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

| AAA | 3.8% | CC | 0.6% |
|-----|------|-------|------|
| AA | 47.7 | C | 0.1 |
| | | Not | |
| A | 35.6 | Rated | 6.9 |
| BBB | 5.3 | | |

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

10

Eaton Vance
Municipal Income Trusts
November 30, 2011
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- ⁴ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest federal and state income tax rates, as applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rate(s) will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. The distribution declared on December 30, 2011 reflects a reduction of the monthly distribution for Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust and New Jersey Municipal Income Trust.
- ⁵ Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- ⁶ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in

the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1%

| | Principal Amount | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Security | (000 s omitted) | Value |
| | | |
| Education 18.6% | | |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont | | |
| McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 | \$ 3,135 | \$ 3,264,789 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd | 40.7 | 200.06 |
| College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 | 195 | 208,867 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 | 330 | 344,487 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola | 330 | 544,407 |
| Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 | 745 | 762,157 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran | | • |
| University), 5.00%, 10/1/29 | 2,625 | 2,520,052 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara | | |
| University), 5.00%, 9/1/23 | 1,600 | 1,879,328 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San | 225 | 260.042 |
| Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 | 235 | 260,042 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 | 2,490 | 2,676,725 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San | 2,490 | 2,070,723 |
| Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31 | 415 | 427,824 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San | | , |
| Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 | 285 | 288,850 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San | | |
| Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 | 810 | 877,570 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San | | |
| Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 | 850 | 908,880 |
| California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San | | |
| Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 | 895 | 951,850 |
| University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39 | 1,250 | 1,331,213 |

\$ 16,702,634

| Electric Utilities 13.4% | | |
|---|----------|---------------|
| Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34 Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT), | \$ 270 | \$ 297,478 |
| 5.00%, 12/1/27 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System | 2,275 | 2,350,576 |
| Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 | 2,170 | 2,341,907 |
| Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24 | 1,500 | 1,654,095 |
| Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27 | 1,335 | 1,445,364 |
| Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28 | 1,795 | 1,926,933 |
| Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton | | |
| Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 | 680 | 710,124 |
| Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21 | 1,300 | 1,296,477 |
| | | \$ 12,022,954 |
| | | Ψ 12,022,754 |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.0%) | | |
| Listrowed / Frerended 0.0 % | | |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence | | |
| Health System), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 6.50%, 10/1/38 | \$ 25 | \$ 32,813 |
| | | \$ 32,813 |
| | | ,, |
| | | |
| General Obligations 14.3% | | |
| California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 | \$ 1,600 | \$ 1,691,728 |
| California, 6.00%, 4/1/38 | 750 | 830,190 |
| California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36 | 1,590 | 1,564,481 |
| California Department of Veterans Affairs, (AMT), | • | • |
| 5.00%, 12/1/27 | 1,500 | 1,516,185 |
| Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽²⁾ | 3,655 | 3,891,405 |
| Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 3,180 | 3,367,620 |
| | | |

\$ 12,861,609

Hospital 16.8%

| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic | | |
|---|----------|--------------|
| Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,041,950 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic | | |
| Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 | 190 | 196,673 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic | | |
| Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 | 1,000 | 1,034,990 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence | | |
| Health System), 6.50%, 10/1/38 | 1,475 | 1,666,735 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 | 640 | 634,374 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 | 445 | 439,064 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| (Kaiser Permanente), 5.50%, 11/1/32 | 1,565 | 1,572,778 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| (Sonoma County Indian Health), 6.40%, 9/1/29 | 1,700 | 1,700,272 |
| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
| (Sutter Health), 5.50%, 8/15/28 | 1,500 | 1,508,100 |
| Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 | 1,900 | 1,903,952 |
| Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 | 2,780 | 2,701,549 |
| Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29 | 700 | 699,944 |

\$ 15,100,381

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Housing 1.1% | Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| 6.50%, 12/1/29 \$ 682 \$ 650,274 Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.85%, 12/1/29 \$ 402 \$ 376,678 Industrial Development Revenue 3.5% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 \$ 2,000 \$ 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 \$ 1,250 \$ 1,468,225 | Housing 1.1% | | |
| 6.85%, 12/1/29 Industrial Development Revenue 3.5% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | 6.50%, 12/1/29 | \$ 682 | \$ 650,274 |
| Industrial Development Revenue 3.5% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | | 402 | 376,678 |
| California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | | | \$ 1,026,952 |
| California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | | | |
| Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 \$ 1,235 \$ 1,268,975 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | Industrial Development Revenue 3.5% | | |
| (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46 2,000 1,835,580 \$ 3,104,555 Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 | \$ 1,235 | \$ 1,268,975 |
| Insured Education 7.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2,000 | 1,835,580 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | | | \$ 3,104,555 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | | | |
| University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 2,660 \$ 2,750,041 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | Insured Education 7.1% | | |
| University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 1,250 1,468,225 | University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 | \$ 2,660 | \$ 2,750,041 |
| | University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 | • | |

| | | \$ | 6,377,804 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Insured Electric Utilities 3.3% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31 | \$ 2,790 | | 2,932,485 2,932,485 |
| Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.5% Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26 | \$ 5,130 | \$ \$ | 3,179,574 3,179,574 |
| Insured General Obligations 5.6% Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/25 | 6,485 4,825 4,720 | \$ | 1,625,401 1,135,419 2,240,159 5,000,979 |
| Insured Hospital 13.9% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽⁴⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.75%, 8/15/27 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,900 750 3,735 | \$ | 2,934,162 752,648 3,738,660 |

| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽⁴⁾ | 5,000 | 5,051,000 \$ 12,476,470 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.6% | | |
| Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Public Improvements), (AGM), 0.00%, 9/1/17 Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to | \$ 5,410 | \$ 4,287,100 |
| Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of | 2,000 | 2,550,460 |
| Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,500 | 3,629,080 |
| | | \$ 10,466,640 |
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.5% | | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | \$ 21,285 7,615 | \$ 1,362,240 908,622 |
| | | \$ 2,270,862 |
| Insured Transportation 9.2% | | |
| Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/29 Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG), | \$ 5,000 | \$ 1,607,350 |
| 0.00%, 10/1/31 | 4,500 | 1,273,770 |
| Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 ⁽⁴⁾ | 740 | 743,848 |
| San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32 | 10,000 | 1,905,400 |
| San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), | 1,320 | 1,324,435 |
| 6.00%, 3/1/47 | 1,350 | 1,416,137 |

\$ 8,270,940

See Notes to Financial Statements.

13

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Insured Water and Sewer 4.1% | | |
| East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,135,040 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/30 | 1,830 | 1,566,681 |
| | | \$ 3,701,721 |
| | | |
| Other Revenue 2.0% | | |
| California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, | \$ 385 | \$ 388,234 |
| (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.30%, (0.00%) | 315 | 309,821 |
| until 12/1/12), 6/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.75%, 6/1/47 | 980 640 | 611,706 452,442 |
| | | \$ 1,762,203 |
| | | |
| Senior Living / Life Care 1.9% | | |
| ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31 | \$ 290 175 | \$ 289,484 158,002 |

| California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | | |
|---|----------|--------------------|
| (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | 700 | 581,049 |
| (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41 | 600 | 643,554 |
| | | \$ 1,672,089 |
| Special Tax Revenue 15.4% | | |
| Bonita Canyon Public Financing Authority, 5.375%, 9/1/28 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 986,340 |
| Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26 | 285 | 240,477 |
| Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34 | 460 | 352,981 |
| Corona Public Financing Authority, 5.80%, 9/1/20 | 970 | 971,222 |
| Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax | | |
| Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/27 | 200 | 188,530 |
| Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax | 500 | 441 175 |
| Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/36 | 500 | 441,175 |
| Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills), 5.60%, 10/1/27 | 1,590 | 1,591,081 |
| Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School | 1,390 | 1,391,001 |
| District No. 2003-2), 5.75%, 9/1/24 | 420 | 420,382 |
| Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School | 120 | 120,302 |
| District No. 2003-2), 5.90%, 9/1/29 | 750 | 750,217 |
| Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.40%, 9/2/18 | 1,495 | 1,510,832 |
| Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.50%, 9/2/24 | 900 | 906,885 |
| San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax | | |
| Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28 | 2,400 | 2,645,280 |
| Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21 | 250 | 250,838 |
| Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30 | 490 | 490,652 |
| Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/27 | 250 | 235,663 |
| Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37 | 400 | 351,636 407,005 |
| Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37 Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue | 500 | 497,995 |
| Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23 | 1,000 | 1,001,100 |
| | | \$ 13,833,286 |
| Transportation 12.1% | | |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.00%, 4/1/31 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,103,680 |

| Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco | | |
|--|-------|-----------|
| Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 | 1,000 | 1,087,020 |
| Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles | | |
| International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2,120 | 2,234,247 |
| Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles | | |
| International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30 | 1,500 | 1,586,160 |
| Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30 | 1,170 | 1,060,160 |
| San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San | | |
| Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 | 2,760 | 2,829,469 |

\$ 10,900,736

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Water and Sewer 4.2% | | |
| California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29 San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36 | \$ 1,840 1,700 | \$ 1,988,727 1,795,302 |
| | | \$ 3,784,029 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1% (identified cost \$146,937,929) | | \$ 147,481,716 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends | (55.6)% | \$ (49,976,571) |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities (8.5)% | | \$ (7,643,439) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 89,861,706 |

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG - CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

RADIAN - Radian Group, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 37.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 15.3% of total investments.

- (1) Amount is less than 0.05%
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,626,867.
- (4) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bond Bank 6.1% | | |
| Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34 | \$ 910 990 | \$ 1,118,144 1,212,790 |
| | | \$ 2,330,934 |
| Education 29.0% | | |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | \$ 600 | \$ 604,908 |
| (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35 | 1,080 | 1,146,323 |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), 5.25%, 7/1/38 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | 895 | 891,142 |
| (Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32 | 1,500 | 1,524,555 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | 1,640 | 1,966,163 |
| (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ | 1,500 | 1,620,570 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | 41.7 | 110 170 |
| (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/38 | 415 1,350 | 442,162 1,389,258 |

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38 1,420 1,548,794 \$ 11,133,875 Electric Utilities 2.7% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Devens Electric System), 6.00%, 12/1/30 \$ 1,000 \$ 1,016,600 \$ 1,016,600 Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.1% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western New England College), Prefunded to 12/1/12, 6.125%, 12/1/32 400 427,028 427,028 General Obligations 13.6% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 \$ 300 325,278 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 595 690,432 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 974,925 885 Newton, 5.00%, 4/1/36⁽²⁾ 750 811,350 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 345 375,943 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 315 342,216 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 510 564,269 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 770 846,253 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36 245 269,721

\$ 5,200,387

Hospital 25.8%

| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical | | |
|--|--------|------------|
| Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32 | \$ 600 | \$ 666,330 |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | | |
| (UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31 | 370 | 375,905 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36 | 1,210 | 1,253,899 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Berkshire Health System), 6.25%, 10/1/31 | 400 | 402,192 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39 | 500 | 520,025 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37 | 1,135 | 1,154,783 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Healthcare System-Covenant Health), 6.00%, 7/1/31 | 885 | 894,222 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33 | 755 | 757,937 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35 | 970 | 877,724 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ | 2,000 | 2,046,360 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29 | 675 | 675,182 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Winchester Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/38 | 290 | 272,794 |
| | | |

\$ 9,897,353

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Housing 6.7% | | |
| Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48 | \$ 2,100 | \$ 1,928,115 |
| Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/28 | 650 | 654,251 |
| | | \$ 2,582,366 |
| | | |
| Industrial Development Revenue 1.5% | | |
| Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, (American Hingham Water Co.), (AMT), 6.60%, 12/1/15 | \$ 575 | \$ 576,029 |
| | | \$ 576,029 |
| | | |
| Insured Education 10.3% | | |
| Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,182,010 |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ | 1,365 | 1,611,437 |

| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 | 1,165 | 1,171,536 |
|---|----------|--------------|
| | | \$ 3,964,983 |
| | | |
| Insured Electric Utilities 1.6% | | |
| Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29 | \$ 570 | \$ 601,948 |
| | | \$ 601,948 |
| | | |
| Insured General Obligations 3.2% | | |
| Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,231,100 |
| | | \$ 1,231,100 |
| | | . , , |
| Insured Hospital 0.9% | | |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25 | \$ 335 | \$ 348,685 |
| | | \$ 348,685 |
| | | |
| Insured Other Revenue 2.0% | | |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42 | \$ 705 | \$ 773,336 |
| | | \$ 773,336 |
| | | φ 113,330 |

| Insured | Special Tax Revenue | 12.5% |
|---------|---------------------|-------|
|---------|---------------------|-------|

| _ | | |
|--|----------|--------------------|
| Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, | \$ 1,450 | \$ 1,487,932 |
| (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax | 1,000 | 1,118,930 |
| Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37 ⁽¹⁾ Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), | 1,340 | 1,394,860 |
| 0.00%, 8/1/54 | 7,595 | 486,080 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | 2,735 | 326,340 |
| | | \$ 4,814,142 |
| | | |
| Insured Student Loan 5.7% | | |
| Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30 | \$ 405 | \$ 431,507 |
| Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | Ψ +03 | Ψ +31,307 |
| (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33 | 1,885 | 1,749,337 |
| | | \$ 2,180,844 |
| | | |
| Insured Transportation 3.4% | | |
| Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC), | | |
| (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32 | \$ 315 | \$ 312,389 |
| Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/38 | 1,010 | 981,740 |
| (1112), (1112), (1100) | 1,010 | <i>701,</i> 7.10 |
| | | \$ 1,294,129 |
| | | |
| Nursing Home 1.3% | | |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | Φ 525 | ф. 514.05 0 |
| (Christopher House), 6.875%, 1/1/29 | \$ 535 | \$ 514,970 |
| | | |

\$ 514,970

Other Revenue 2.9%

| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22 | \$ 500 | \$ 563,195 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25 | 505 | 548,778 |

\$ 1,111,973

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|-----------------|
| Senior Living / Life Care 5.8% | | |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire | \$ 250 | \$ 222,008 |
| Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | 1,500 | 1,429,920 |
| (Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage | 125 | 127,336 |
| VOA Concord), 5.125%, 11/1/27 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage | 140 | 112,616 |
| VOA Concord), 5.20%, 11/1/41 | 475 | 344,612 |
| | | \$ 2,236,492 |
| Special Tax Revenue 7.9% | | |
| Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax | \$ 140 | \$ 150,669 |
| Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31 | 1,665 | 662,570 |
| Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34 | 5,195 | 1,778,404 |
| Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/39 | 75 | 69,331 |
| Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | 335 | 359,244 |

| \$ | 3,020,218 | |
|----|-----------|--|
| Ψ | 2,020,210 | |

| Transportation 7.3% | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34 | \$ 1,500 500 670 | \$ 1,552,875 547,235 703,594 |
| | | \$ 2,803,704 |
| | | |
| Water and Sewer 2.3% | | |
| Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 4.00%, 8/1/46 | \$ 960 | \$ 886,848 |
| | | \$ 886,848 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6% (identified cost \$57,244,089) | | \$ 58,947,944 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (52.2)% | | \$ (20,050,234) |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities (1.4)% | | \$ (525,316) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 38,372,394 |

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 25.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.3% to 14.8% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 151.5%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Bond Bank 2.3% Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, (Clean Water Revenue), 5.00%, 10/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 600 | \$ 652,824 |
| | | \$ 652,824 |
| Education 10.0% | | |
| Grand Valley State University, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Grand Valley State University, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale | \$ 525 525 | \$ 563,456 558,574 |
| College), 5.00%, 3/1/35 | 200 | 200,470 |
| Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40 Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/44 | 1,000 460 | 1,048,270 477,687 |
| | | \$ 2,848,457 |

Electric Utilities 1.9%

| Edgar Filling. EATON VAIVOE OF ITO MONION AE INC | OWE THOO TO | III IV 00I I |
|--|----------------|----------------------|
| Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41 | \$ 500 | \$ 548,150 |
| | | \$ 548,150 |
| | | |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.9% | | |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.9% | | |
| Macomb County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mount Clemens General Hospital), Prerefunded to 11/15/13, 5.875%, 11/15/34 | \$ 560 | \$ 619,164 |
| Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Creative Studies), Prerefunded to 6/1/12, 5.90%, 12/1/27 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, | 1,250 | 1,285,263 |
| 5.25%, 7/1/31 | 600 | 623,712 |
| | | \$ 2,528,139 |
| | | . , , |
| | | |
| General Obligations 26.1% | | |
| Ann Arbor School District, 4.50%, 5/1/24 | \$ 350 | \$ 364,133 |
| Charter County of Wayne, 6.75%, 11/1/39 | 490 | 529,719 |
| Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28 | 230 | 244,460 |
| Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31 | 275 | 289,471 |
| Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33 | 220 | 231,081 |
| East Grand Rapids Public School District, 5.00%, 5/1/25 | 500 | 507,490 |
| Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28 | 500 | 524,685 |
| Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/30 | 500 | 519,590 1,639,590 |
| Kent County, 5.00%, 1/1/25 Kent County, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/28 | 1,500 1,000 | 1,059,720 |
| Michigan, 5.00%, 11/1/20 | 1,000 | 1,186,700 |
| Michigan, 5.50%, 11/1/25 | 270 | 306,426 |
| | | \$ 7,403,065 |
| | | |
| Hospital 26.1% | | |
| • | | |
| Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial | | h |
| Hospital Association), 6.20%, 1/1/25 | \$ 185 | \$ 165,864 |
| Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial | 105 | 106.060 |
| Hospital Association), 6.50%, 1/1/37 | 125 275 | 106,969 |
| | 275 | 310,046 |

| Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health), 5.50% to 1/15/15 (Put Date), 1/15/47 Mecosta County, (Michigan General Hospital), 6.00%, 5/15/18 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Healthcare), 5.00%, 8/1/35 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Memorial Healthcare Center), 5.875%, 11/15/21 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Mid Michigan Obligation Group), 6.125%, 6/1/39 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mercy Memorial Hospital Corp.), 5.375%, 6/1/26 Saginaw Hospital Finance Authority, (Covenant Medical Center, Inc.), 5.00%, 7/1/30 | 410 675 1,000 1,080 750 500 1,000 425 1,000 | 410,303 657,916 978,510 1,081,534 750,577 526,685 1,057,540 417,239 952,830 \$ 7,416,013 |
|---|---|---|
| Housing 1.8% Michigan Housing Development Authority, 4.60%, 12/1/26 Industrial Development Revenue 4.9% Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, (Chrysler Corp.), 5.375%, 5/1/21 Dickinson County Economic Development Corp., (International Paper Co.), 5.75%, 6/1/16 Puerto Rico Port Authority, (American Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), | \$ 500 \$ 750 800 | \$ 516,015 \$ 516,015 \$ 539,145 813,616 |
| 6.25%, 6/1/26 ⁽²⁾ | 125 | 23,781 |

\$ 1,376,542

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Am | cipal ount nitted) | Value |
|---|----|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Insured Education 5.8% | | | |
| Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33 Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/38 Wayne State University, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35 | \$ | 570 500 500 | \$ 594,989 519,590 516,745 |
| | | | \$ 1,631,324 |
| Insured Electric Utilities 5.7% | | | |
| Michigan Strategic Fund, (Detroit Edison Co.), (XLCA), 5.25%, 12/15/32 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), | \$ | 400 | \$ 401,652 |
| 5.25%, 7/1/30 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), | | 220 | 228,630 |
| 5.25%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29 | | 500 435 | 513,020 459,382 |
| | | | \$ 1,602,684 |

Insured General Obligations 18.8%

| Battle Creek School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/37 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 3.75%, 5/1/26 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 4.00%, 5/1/28 Detroit School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/32 Detroit School District, (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/1/28 Hartland Consolidated Schools, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/29 Van Dyke Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 | \$ 1,105 650 290 300 650 1,000 1,250 | \$ 1,131,001 625,059 282,994 303,561 643,760 1,072,510 1,281,312 |
|---|--|--|
| | | \$ 5,340,197 |
| Insured Hospital 3.4% | | |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, (William Beaumont Hospital), (NPFG), 5.25%, 11/15/35 | \$ 985 | \$ 977,672 |
| | | \$ 977,672 |
| | | |
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.7% | | |
| Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30 | \$ 1,000 4,300 | \$ 390,870 1,509,730 |
| | | \$ 1,900,600 |
| | | |
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.1% | | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | \$ 5,160 2,210 | \$ 330,240 263,697 |
| | | \$ 593,937 |

| Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/31 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 964,570 \$ 964,570 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Insured Transportation 3.6% | | |
| Wayne County Airport Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 5.375%, 12/1/32 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,006,950 |
| | | \$ 1,006,950 |
| Insured Water and Sewer 11.5% | | |
| Detroit Sewage Disposal System, (AGC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 Detroit Water Supply System, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Grand Rapids Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.10%, 1/1/39 | \$ 560 1,650 1,000 | \$ 561,910 1,650,099 1,054,940 |
| | | \$ 3,266,949 |
| Other Revenue 1.2% | | |
| Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, 6.00%, 6/1/48 | \$ 500 | \$ 352,765 |
| | | \$ 352,765 |
| Special Tax Revenue 1.3% | | |
| Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | \$ 115 125 110 | \$ 117,149 126,960 117,961 |

\$ 362,070

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Water and Sewer 6.0% | | |
| Grand Rapids, (Sanitary Sewer System), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, (Clean Water Revenue), | \$ 790 | \$ 898,680 |
| 5.00%, 10/1/30 Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31 | 500 250 | 544,850 255,280 |
| | | \$ 1,698,810 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 151.5% (identified cost \$42,740,065) | | \$ 42,987,733 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (61.7)% | | \$ (17,500,659) |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities 10.2% | | \$ 2,879,256 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 28,366,330 |

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 40.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.9% to 13.0% of total investments.

(1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

(2) Defaulted bond.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 160.1%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|------------------------|
| Bond Bank 1.2% | | |
| New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust, 4.00%, 9/1/23 | \$ 650 | \$ 707,603 |
| | | \$ 707,603 |
| | | |
| Education 20.1% | | |
| New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court | \$ 250 | \$ 253,330 |
| University), 5.00%, 7/1/33 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court | 250 | 243,075 |
| University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Kean | 220 | 220,886 |
| University), 5.50%, 9/1/36 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Princeton | 1,650 | 1,770,813 |
| University), 4.50%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ | 3,500 | 3,609,550 |
| New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (University of | 1,650 | 1,671,978 |
| Medicine and Dentistry), 7.50%, 12/1/32 Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ | 965 3,150 | 1,127,873 3,324,510 |

| | | \$ 12,222,015 |
|---|----------|----------------------|
| | | |
| Electric Utilities 4.2% | | |
| Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/25 Salem County Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Public | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,059,830 |
| Service Enterprise Group, Inc.), (AMT), 5.75%, 4/1/31 | 1,500 | 1,516,665 |
| | | \$ 2,576,495 |
| | | |
| General Obligations 9.2% | | |
| General Congations 7.2% | | |
| Monmouth County Improvement Authority, (Governmental Pooled Loan), 5.00%, 1/15/28 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,850 | \$ 2,085,894 |
| Monmouth County Improvement Authority, (Governmental | \$ 1,630 | \$ 2,063,694 |
| Pooled Loan), 5.00%, 1/15/30 | 1,795 | 1,997,027 |
| West Morris Regional High School District, 4.50%, 5/1/23 | 640 | 738,227 |
| West Morris Regional High School District, 4.50%, 5/1/24 | 705 | 798,934 |
| | | \$ 5,620,082 |
| | | |
| Hospital 21.3% | | |
| • | | |
| Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 | \$ 90 | \$ 78,188 |
| Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health | Ψ | Ψ 70,100 |
| System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 | 1,415 | 1,365,871 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (AHS Hospital Corp.), 5.00%, 7/1/27 | 2,305 | 2,354,811 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | 2,303 | 2,334,011 |
| (Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 | 2,095 | 2,106,564 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Chilton Memorial Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/39 | 915 | 924,177 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | 713 |)2 1 ,177 |
| (Kennedy Health System), 5.625%, 7/1/31 | 1,525 | 1,524,909 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hespital) 5 00%, 7/1/31 | 1.000 | 1 007 740 |
| (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South | 1,000 | 1,027,740 |
| Jarray Hagnital) 5 00% 7/1/1/6 | 2.440 | 2 208 105 |

Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46

2,398,105

2,440

| 3 3 | | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), 5.75%, 7/1/33 | 1,075 | 1,137,490 |
| | | \$ 12,917,855 |
| Housing 3.8% | | |
| 6 | | |
| New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37 New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single | \$ 680 | \$ 667,481 |
| Family Housing), (AMT), 5.00%, 10/1/37 | 1,640 | 1,643,920 |
| | | \$ 2,311,401 |
| Industrial Development Revenue 12.1% | | |
| Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 5.75%, 9/15/32 | \$ 500 | \$ 501,275 |
| Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 6.05%, 9/15/34 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, | 540 | 546,966 |
| (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.95%, 3/1/47 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental | 1,070 | 1,043,945 |
| Airlines), (AMT), 6.25%, 9/15/29 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental | 215 | 203,510 |
| Airlines), (AMT), 9.00%, to 6/1/13 (Put Date), 6/1/33 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New | 750 | 769,013 |
| Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 6/1/23 | 220 | 238,700 |
| 22 | See Notes | to Financial Statements. |
| | | |

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Industrial Development Revenue (continued) | | |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.70%, 10/1/39 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, (HOVENSA LLC), (AMT), 4.70%, 7/1/22 | \$ 2,235 2,080 | \$ 2,348,091 1,681,202 |
| | | \$ 7,332,702 |
| Insured Education 1.2% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (AGM), (FGIC), 3.00%, 7/1/28 | \$ 825 | \$ 717,907 \$ 717,907 |
| Insured Gas Utilities 7.8% | | |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey Natural Gas Co.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 4.90%, to 10/1/25 (Put Date), 10/1/40 | \$ 4,575 | \$ 4,742,079 |

| | | \$ 4,742,079 |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | | |
| Insured General Obligations 4.1% | | |
| Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31 | \$ 1,015 1,240 | \$ 1,077,463 1,395,335 |
| | | \$ 2,472,798 |
| | | |
| Insured Hospital 5.3% | | |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 750 | \$ 768,990 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health Center), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 | 500 | 505,430 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health Center), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ | 500 | 505,430 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 | 1,380 | 1,440,306 |
| | | \$ 3,220,156 |
| | | , , |
| Insured Housing 5.6% | | |
| New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, | | |
| (Multi-Family Housing), (AGM), (AMT), 5.05%, 5/1/34 | \$ 3,390 | \$ 3,390,101 |
| | | \$ 3,390,101 |
| | | |
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5% | | |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,602,225 |

| 2 agai 1 milgi 2711 611 1711 162 6111 6 111 611 712 111 | | |
|--|--|--|
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28 | 1,000 | 1,129,980 |
| | | \$ 2,732,205 |
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 11.5% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/25 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | \$ 5,550 4,300 2,020 7,185 4,965 | \$ 3,010,986 2,035,104 895,890 459,840 592,424 |
| Insured Student Loan 3.8% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 2,225 | \$ 2,322,989 \$ 2,322,989 |
| Insured Transportation 0.6% South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33 | \$ 315 | \$ 344,667 \$ 344,667 |
| Insured Water and Sewer 3.3% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Water New Jersey, Inc.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/25 | \$ 1,940 | \$ 2,003,147 |

| \$ | 2. | O | 03 | .1 | 47 |
|----|----|---|--------------|-----|----|
| w | ~ | v | \mathbf{v} | • 1 | т, |

| Lease Revenue / | Certificates | of Participation | 5.4% |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|------|
| | | | |

| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School | | |
|---|----------|--------------|
| Facilities Construction), 5.25%, 12/15/33 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,573,680 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38 | 1,700 | 1,730,634 |

\$ 3,304,314

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Other Revenue 5.6% | | |
| Children s Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, 0.00%, 5/15/50 Children s Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, | \$ 7,200 | \$ 332,136 |
| 0.00%, 5/15/55 | 13,280 | 327,352 |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Duke Farms Foundation), 5.00%, 7/1/48 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., 5.00%, 6/1/41 | 2,040 900 | 2,145,549 624,231 |
| | | \$ 3,429,268 |
| | | |
| Senior Living / Life Care 3.1% | | |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 5.875%, 7/1/28 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, | \$ 465 | \$ 467,111 |
| Inc.), 6.00%, 7/1/38 | 770 | 762,385 |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Seabrook Village), 5.25%, 11/15/36 | 815 | 672,644 |
| | | \$ 1,902,140 |

| | Special | Tax | Revenue | 2.2% |
|--|---------|-----|---------|------|
|--|---------|-----|---------|------|

| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/27 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.75%, 8/1/37 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | \$ 100 175 500 500 | \$ 96,620 161,765 530,305 536,185 |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| | | \$ 1,324,875 |
| | | |
| Student Loan 4.1% | | |
| New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 1.276% , $6/1/36^{(1)(4)(5)}$ | \$ 2,500 | \$ 2,463,025 |
| | | \$ 2,463,025 |
| | | |
| Transportation 20.1% | | |
| Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New | \$ 1,060 | \$ 1,098,096 |
| Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 | 1,080 | 1,109,225 |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31 ⁽⁶⁾ New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, | 1,850 | 2,007,879 |
| (Transportation System), 5.875%, 12/15/38 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, | 250 | 274,893 |
| (Transportation System), 6.00%, 12/15/38 | 530 | 587,452 |
| New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 5.25%, 1/1/40 | 3,600 | 3,778,812 |
| Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ | 1,995 | 2,150,450 |
| South Jersey Port Authority, (Marine Terminal), | 1,770 | 2,100,100 |
| 5.10%, 1/1/33 | 1,175 | 1,182,156 |
| | | \$ 12,188,963 |

(identified cost \$95,027,586) **\$97,241,031**

Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid

Dividends (55.0)% \$ (33,426,048)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (5.1)% \$ (3,080,505)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% \$ 60,734,478

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 29.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.5% to 10.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$463,025.
- (5) Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2011.
- (6) When-issued security.

| Eaton Vance | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| New York Municipal Income T | `rust |

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Bond Bank 6.3% | | |
| New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and | \$ 1,730 | \$ 1,842,502 |
| Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 6/15/37 ⁽¹⁾ | 2,535 | 2,702,893 |
| | | \$ 4,545,395 |
| Cogeneration 1.5% | | |
| Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Nissequogue Cogeneration Partners Facility), (AMT), 5.50%, 1/1/23 | \$ 1,150 | \$ 1,117,168 |
| | | \$ 1,117,168 |
| Education 26.1% | | |
| Geneva Industrial Development Agency, (Hobart & William Smith Project), 5.375%, 2/1/33 | \$ 315 1,490 | \$ 325,883 1,582,514 |

| New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/34 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), 5.75%, 7/1/33 | 325 510 | 342,716 551,417 |
|--|------------|--------------------|
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽²⁾ | 1,000 | 1,073,220 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41 | 725 | 799,697 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/34 | 510 | 543,242 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), | 2,000 | 2,124,980 |
| 5.50%, 7/1/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of | 1,000 | 1,077,530 |
| Technology), 6.00%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), | 2,250 | 2,477,430 |
| 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), | 2,500 | 2,659,575 |
| 5.00%, 7/1/27 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), | 325 | 353,220 |
| 5.25%, 7/1/29 New York Dormitory Authority, (St. Francis College), | 400 | 435,980 |
| 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), | 1,495 | 1,501,787 |
| 5.50%, 7/1/40 Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), | 2,000 | 2,111,160 |
| 5.20%, 7/1/29 Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.375%, 7/1/40 | 280 735 | 285,129 746,069 |
| 3.37370, 111140 | 733 | 740,009 |
| | | \$ 18,991,549 |
| | | |
| Electric Utilities 4.5% | | |
| Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, 6.00%, 5/1/33 ⁽³⁾ | \$ 1,420 | \$ 1,595,384 |
| Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Keyspan-Port Jefferson), (AMT), 5.25%, 6/1/27 | 1,645 | 1,672,176 |
| | | |

\$ 3,267,560

| General Obligations 1 | 8.1% |
|-----------------------|------|
|-----------------------|------|

| Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/34 Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/35 New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, 5.25%, 9/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, 6.25%, 10/15/28 Westchester County, 4.00%, 7/1/19 | \$ 585 325 4,000 6,000 1,000 850 | \$ 212,454 111,082 4,345,480 6,303,300 1,175,710 992,868 \$ 13,140,894 |
|--|---|--|
| Health Care Miscellaneous 2.8% | | |
| New York City Industrial Development Agency, (A Very Special Place, Inc.), 5.75%, 1/1/29 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Ohel Children s Home), 6.25%, 8/15/22 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class H, 7.50%, 9/1/15 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class I, 7.50%, 9/1/15 | \$ 1,115 1,200 50 100 | \$ 945,788 945,756 50,570 101,140 |
| | | \$ 2,043,254 |
| Hospital 22.6% | | |
| Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/30 | \$ 130 | \$ 135,948 |
| Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/40 Fulton County Industrial Development Agency, | 960 | 985,114 |
| (Nathan Littauer Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/18 Monroe County Industrial Development Agency, (Highland | 1,070 | 1,037,600 |
| Hospital), 5.00%, 8/1/25 | 2,490 | 2,513,580 |

25

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Hospital (continued) | | |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Methodist Hospital), | | |
| 5.25%, 7/1/33 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,004,920 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Mount Sinai Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/26 | 1,000 | 1,031,290 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/34 | 845 | 847,341 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center), 5.625%, 7/1/37 | 1,250 | 1,272,675 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.125%, 12/1/29 | 415 | 416,216 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.25%, 12/1/37 | 835 | 835,701 |
| Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (St. Elizabeth s Medical Center), 5.75%, 12/1/19 | 1,195 | 1,194,928 |
| Saratoga County Industrial Development Agency, (Saratoga Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/32 | 650 | 651,157 |
| Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island), 5.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽⁴⁾ Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Huntington | 1,250 | 1,272,337 |
| Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/22 | 2,105 | 2,215,976 |
| | | |

Housing 16.7%

\$ 16,414,783

| New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), 5.05%, 11/1/39 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,497,705 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), | 2 (20 | 2 (41 40 (|
| 5.20%, 11/1/40 New York Housing Finance Agency, 5.25%, 11/1/41 | 2,620 1,000 | 2,641,406 |
| New York Housing Finance Agency, (FNMA), (AMT), | 1,000 | 1,017,780 |
| 5.40%, 11/15/42 | 2,625 | 2,671,016 |
| New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/30 | 1,500 | 1,496,235 |
| New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37 | 1,850 | 1,821,880 |
| New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 5.125%, 10/1/37 | 1,000 | 1,006,890 |
| | | |
| | | \$ 12,152,912 |
| | | |
| Industrial Development Revenue 6.8% | | |
| | | |
| Essex County Industrial Development Agency, (International | ¢ 1 000 | ¢ 1.062.210 |
| Paper Company), (AMT), 6.625%, 9/1/32 New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,063,210 |
| Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 | 1,000 | 1,011,500 |
| Onondaga County Industrial Development Agency, | 1,000 | 1,011,500 |
| (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 6.25%, 12/1/34 | 2,500 | 2,503,025 |
| Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (Continental | | |
| Airlines), (AMT), 9.125%, 12/1/15 | 360 | 363,888 |
| | | \$ 4,941,623 |
| | | Ψ +,9+1,023 |
| | | |
| Insured Education 6.5% | | |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), | | |
| 5.50%, 7/1/35 | \$ 1,250 | \$ 1,296,237 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), | Ψ 1, 2 00 | Ψ 1,230,20 <i>1</i> |
| 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) | 1,500 | 1,579,395 |
| Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton | | |
| College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/33 | 5,365 | 1,880,111 |
| | | 4 A REE RAG |
| | | \$ 4,755,743 |

| Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33 | \$ 1,365 | \$ | 1,526,998 |
|---|--------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | \$ | 1,526,998 |
| | | | |
| Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.8% | | | |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Ketter Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7 New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Ketter) | /1/26 \$ 855 | \$ | 546,277 |
| Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7 | _ | | 778,611 |
| | | \$ | 1,324,888 |
| | | | |
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation | 2.9% | | |
| Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15 | \$ 2,350 | \$ | 2,122,073 |
| | | \$ | 2,122,073 |
| | | | |
| Insured Other Revenue 2.9% | | | |
| New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/31 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee | \$ 2,645 | \$ | 917,603 |
| Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/32 | 3,625 | | 1,185,629 |
| | | \$ | 2,103,232 |
| | Se | ee Notes to Finan | cial Statements. |
| | 26 | | |

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|-----------------|
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 4.7% | | |
| New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | \$ 525 | \$ 498,309 |
| 0.00%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), | 4,440 | 963,347 |
| 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), | 19,745 | 1,263,680 |
| 0.00%, 8/1/45 | 6,110 | 729,045 |
| | | \$ 3,454,381 |
| Insured Transportation 2.0% | | |
| Niagara Frontier Airport Authority, (Buffalo Niagara International Airport), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.625%, 4/1/29 | \$ 1,475 | \$ 1,432,299 |
| | | \$ 1,432,299 |

Insured Water and Sewer 1.3%

| Nassau County Industrial Development Agency, (Water Services Corp.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/35 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 966,770 |
|---|----------------|------------------------|
| | | \$ 966,770 |
| | | |
| Other Revenue 6.6% | | |
| Albany Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facility, (Charitable Leadership), 5.75%, 7/1/26 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays | \$ 1,285 | \$ 758,972 |
| Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays | 3,120 | 1,014,936 |
| Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (Museum of | 380 | 395,793 |
| Modern Art), 5.00%, 4/1/31 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (Building | 1,415 | 1,509,734 |
| Aid), 5.50%, 7/15/31 | 1,000 | 1,093,490 |
| | | \$ 4,772,925 |
| | | |
| Senior Living / Life Care 3.1% | | |
| Mount Vernon Industrial Development Agency, (Wartburg Senior Housing, Inc.), 6.20%, 6/1/29 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Peconic | \$ 1,450 | \$ 1,323,096 |
| Landing at Southold, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40 | 905 | 912,819 |
| | | \$ 2,235,915 |
| | | |
| Special Tax Revenue 10.5% | | |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/15/34 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,578,885 |
| Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax | 2,100 | 2,348,493 |
| Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33 New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, (University & College Improvements), | 1,000 1,000 | 1,068,500 1,067,560 |

| 5.25%, 3/15/38 New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | 900 545 | 949,734 584,442 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | \$ 7,597,614 | |
| Transportation 10.8% | | | |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/37 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 11/15/37 ⁽¹⁾ Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 4.75%, 6/15/33 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/34 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 790 1,900 955 990 10 2,740 | \$ 799,512 1,989,034 963,805 1,067,141 10,901 2,987,011 \$ 7,817,404 | |
| Water and Sewer 6.2% New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾ Saratoga County Water Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/48 | \$ 3,105 1,000 | \$ 3,488,064 1,036,670 \$ 4,524,734 | |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8% (identified cost \$117,989,587) | | \$ 121,250,114 | |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends | (46.4)% | \$ (33,726,273) |) |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities (20.4)% | | \$ (14,845,636) |) |

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 72,678,205

See Notes to Financial Statements.

27

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FNMA - Federal National Mortgage Association
MEMP

MFMR - Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 14.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.7% to 6.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (4) When-issued security.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$773.493.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 151.2%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Bond Bank 12.4% | | |
| Ohio Economic Development Commission, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), (AMT), 4.85%, 6/1/25 Ohio Economic Development Commission, (Ohio Enterprise | \$ 550 | \$ 565,955 |
| Bond Fund), (AMT), 5.85%, 12/1/22 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control, | 1,020 | 1,058,770 |
| (Water Quality), 5.00%, 12/1/28 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control, | 250 | 276,438 |
| (Water Quality), 5.00%, 6/1/30 Rickenbacker Port Authority, Oasbo Expanded Asset Pool Loan, | 250 | 272,245 |
| 5.375%, 1/1/32 Summit County Port Authority, (Twinsburg Township), | 1,245 | 1,332,399 |
| 5.125%, 5/15/25 | 295 | 263,724 |
| Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, 5.40%, 5/15/19 | 1,100 | 1,008,018 |
| | | \$ 4,777,549 |
| Education 17.1% | | |
| Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.00%, 7/1/44 | \$ 440 | \$ 446,890 |
| Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.25%, 7/1/44 | 1,250 | 1,291,363 |

| Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University of Dayton), 5.50%, 12/1/36 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/28 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/30 University of Cincinnati, 5.00%, 6/1/34 Wright State University, 5.00%, 5/1/31 | 1,000 500 1,675 500 750 | 1,049,400 572,945 1,912,147 518,525 777,630 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| | | ψ 0,200,200 |
| Electric Utilities 1.9% | | |
| Clyde, Electric System Revenue, (AMT), 6.00%, 11/15/14 | \$ 195 | \$ 195,045 |
| Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, (Buckeye Power, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40 | 500 | 526,445 |
| | | \$ 721,490 |
| General Obligations 21.8% | | |
| Barberton City School District, 4.50%, 12/1/33 | \$ 900 | \$ 893,169 |
| Beavercreek City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, 5.125%, 9/1/27 | 1,750 1,090 | 1,877,120 1,179,631 |
| Columbus, 5.00%, 7/1/23 ⁽¹⁾ | 500 | 545,620 |
| Columbus City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/29 | 1,000 | 1,100,610 |
| Huber Heights City School District, 4.75%, 12/1/25 | 595 | 642,552 |
| Maple Heights City School District, 5.00%, 1/15/37 Symmes Township, Hamilton County, (Parkland Acquisition & | 1,000 | 1,035,800 |
| Improvement), 5.25%, 12/1/37 | 1,000 | 1,104,460 |
| | | \$ 8,378,962 |
| | | |
| Hospital 14.0% | | |
| Butler County, (Kettering Health Network Obligated Group), 5.25%, 4/1/31 | \$ 500 | \$ 503,080 |
| Franklin County, (Nationwide Children's Hospital), 5.00%, 11/1/34 | 800 | 812,776 |
| Hancock County, (Blanchard Valley Regional Health Center), 6.25%, 12/1/34 | 750 | 802,942 |

| Edgar Filing: EATON VANGE OHIO MONIC | PAL INCOME TRUST | - FOIII N-CSR |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Miami County, (Upper Valley Medical Center), 5.25%, 5/15/26 Montgomery County, (Catholic Health Initiatives), 5.50%, 5/1/34 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland | 500 | 507,220 |
| | 500 | 528,155 |
| Clinic Health System), 5.50%, 1/1/39 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Summa Health | 1,000 | 1,043,110 |
| System), 5.75%, 11/15/40 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University | 595 | 593,733 |
| Hospital Health Systems, Inc.), 4.75%, 1/15/46 Richland County, (MedCentral Health Systems), | 285 | 258,501 |
| 6.375%, 11/15/22 | 330 | 332,280 |
| | | \$ 5,381,797 |
| Housing 10.8% | | |
| - | | |
| Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (AMT), 4.625%, 9/1/27 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (AMT), 4.75%, 3/1/37 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (AMT), 5.00%, 9/1/31 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Uptown Community Partners), | \$ 950 | \$ 926,069 |
| | 425 | 411,307 |
| | 295 | 296,227 |
| (AMT), 5.25%, 4/20/48 | 2,500 | 2,501,200 |
| | | \$ 4,134,803 |
| | | |
| Industrial Development Revenue 7.7% | | |
| Cleveland Airport, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.375%, 9/15/27 | \$ 555 | \$ 480,058 |
| Ohio Water Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 6.00%, 8/1/38 | 2,250 | 2,251,665 |
| | Caa M | Notes to Financial Statements. |
| 29 | See T | voies to 1 manetal statements. |

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Industrial Development Revenue (continued) | | |
| Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal, (Allied Waste North America, Inc.), (AMT), 5.15%, 7/15/15 | \$ 225 | \$ 229,399 |
| | | \$ 2,961,122 |
| Insured Education 13.0% Hamilton County, (University Heights Community Urban Development Corp.), (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/30 | \$ 7 50 | \$ 794,453 |
| Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/26 | 1,000 465 | 1,075,250 489,547 |
| Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/29 Miami University, (AMBAC), 3.25%, 9/1/26 | 635 | 585,299 |
| University of Akron, Series A, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 University of Akron, Series B, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 | 1,500 500 | 1,541,490 513,565 |
| | | \$ 4,999,604 |
| Insured Electric Utilities 12.6% | | |
| | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,084,150 |

| American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/27 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/38 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/25 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/26 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/30 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26 | 710 2,000 830 3,000 210 250 500 | 324,101 465,780 440,348 1,488,270 218,238 256,510 542,285 |
|---|--|--|
| | | \$ 4,819,682 |
| Insured General Obligations 17.8% Brookfield Local School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/30 Buckeye Valley Local School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/36 Canal Winchester Local School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/30 Cincinnati School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Madeira City School District, (AGM), 3.50%, 12/1/27 Milford Exempt Village School District, (AGC), 5.25%, 12/1/36 St. Marys City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 | \$ 200 500 2,455 1,000 1,500 1,750 750 | \$ 209,158 529,180 943,874 1,144,330 1,419,645 1,826,492 771,150 \$ 6,843,829 |
| Insured Hospital 5.9% Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children's Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/15/32 Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children's Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 5/15/28 Lorain County, (Catholic Healthcare Partners), (AGM), 17.943%, 2/1/29 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 280 1,500 485 | \$ 262,111 1,454,565 537,011 |

\$ 2,253,687

| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1% | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Summit County, (Civic Theater Project), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/33 | \$ 500 | \$ 407,085 |
| | | \$ 407,085 |
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.6% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | \$ 9,905 3,040 | \$ 633,920 362,733 |
| | | \$ 996,653 |
| Insured Transportation 6.2% | | |
| Ohio Turnpike Commission, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/24 Ohio Turnpike Commission, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/26 | \$ 1,000 1,000 | \$ 1,192,870 1,204,240 |
| | | \$ 2,397,110 |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.4% | | |
| Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/27 | \$ 500 | \$ 535,675 |
| | | \$ 535,675 |
| Other Revenue 3.7% | | |
| Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, 5.875%, 6/1/47 | \$ 710 | \$ 497,206 |

Riversouth Authority, (Lazarus Building Redevelopment), 5.75%, 12/1/27

1,000

922,330

\$ 1,419,536

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Special Tax Revenue 1.2% | | |
| Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | \$ 155 170 110 | \$ 157,897 172,665 117,961 |
| | | \$ 448,523 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 151.2% (identified cost \$56,752,375) | | \$ 58,046,007 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (59.2)% | | \$ (22,725,125) |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities 8.0% | | \$ 3,057,738 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 38,378,620 |

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 39.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.8% to 17.7% of total investments.

- (1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (2) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2011, the aggregate value of these securities is \$537,011 or 1.4% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (3) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Trust to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Trust could ultimately be required to make under the
 - agreement is \$1,455,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond.
- (4) Security has been issued as a leveraged residual interest bond with a variable interest rate. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2011.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 159.5%

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Cogeneration 2.0% | | |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Northampton Generating), (AMT), 6.50%, 1/1/13 ⁽¹⁾ Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, | \$ 100 | \$ 56,250 |
| (Northampton Generating), (AMT), 6.60%, 1/1/19 ⁽¹⁾ | 500 | 283,520 |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Resource Recovery-Colver), (AMT), 5.125%, 12/1/15 | 375 | 368,992 |
| | | \$ 708,762 |
| | | |
| Education 18.0% | | |
| Allegheny County Higher Education Building Authority, (Duquesne University), 5.50%, 3/1/31 Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (George | \$ 1,050 | \$ 1,121,705 |
| School), 5.00%, 9/15/39 | 500 | 530,580 |
| Cumberland County Municipal Authority, (Dickinson College), 5.00%, 11/1/39 Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh | 1,200 | 1,251,336 |
| University), 5.00%, 11/15/39 | 500 | 519,370 |
| Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Saint Joseph s University), 5.00%, 11/1/40 | 440 625 | 444,237 647,831 |

| Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/40 State Public School Building Authority, (Northampton County Area Community College), 5.50%, 3/1/31 University of Pittsburgh, 5.25%, 9/15/29 Washington County Industrial Development Authority, (Washington and Jefferson College), 5.25%, 11/1/30 | 750 500 575 | 802,388 557,930 607,608 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Electric Utilities 1 70/ | | \$ 6,482,985 |
| Electric Utilities 1.7% | | |
| York County Industrial Development Authority, Pollution Control Revenue, (Public Service Enterprise Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 9/1/20 | \$ 600 | \$ 608,100 |
| | | \$ 608,100 |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.2% | | |
| Escrowed / Frerended 5.2 % | | |
| Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (Pennswood Village), Prerefunded to 10/1/12, 6.00%, 10/1/27 Washington County Hospital Authority, (Monongahela | \$ 600 | \$ 634,128 |
| Hospital), Prerefunded to 6/1/12, 5.50%, 6/1/17 | 500 | 518,280 |
| | | \$ 1,152,408 |
| General Obligations 10.4% | | |
| Chester County, 5.00%, 7/15/27 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 500 | \$ 564,600 |
| Daniel Boone Area School District, 5.00%, 8/15/32 | 1,000 | 1,041,830 |
| Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Philadelphia School District, 6.00%, 9/1/38 | 1,000 1,000 | 1,056,570 1,083,710 |
| | | \$ 3,746,710 |

| Hospital | 21.8% |
|----------|-------|
|----------|-------|

| 2107 | | |
|---|--------|--------------|
| Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, | | |
| (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center), 5.50%, 8/15/34 | \$ 500 | \$ 523,765 |
| Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, | | |
| (Jefferson Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/40 | 750 | 763,703 |
| Dauphin County General Authority, (Pinnacle Health System), | | |
| 6.00%, 6/1/29 | 750 | 781,447 |
| Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley | | |
| Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 | 1,215 | 1,235,363 |
| Lycoming County Authority, (Susquehanna Health System), | | |
| 5.75%, 7/1/39 | 750 | 753,383 |
| Monroe County Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), | | |
| 5.25%, 1/1/43 | 1,500 | 1,467,315 |
| Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Saint Luke s | | |
| Hospital), 5.50%, 8/15/33 | 250 | 251,265 |
| Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, | | |
| (University of Pennsylvania Health System), 6.00%, 8/15/26 ⁽³⁾ | 1,000 | 1,128,820 |
| Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (UPMC | | |
| Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/31 | 675 | 693,488 |
| South Fork Municipal Authority, (Conemaugh Health System), | | |
| 5.50%, 7/1/29 | 250 | 250,580 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ 7,849,129 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 11 ' 16 00 | | |

Housing 16.9%

| Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, | | |
|---|--------|------------|
| (AMT), 4.95%, 11/1/37 | \$ 435 | \$ 433,408 |
| Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, | | |
| (AMT), 5.00%, 5/1/35 | 1,115 | 1,122,638 |
| Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), | | |
| 4.70%, 10/1/37 | 840 | 835,590 |
| Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), | | |
| 4.75%, 10/1/25 | 500 | 503,710 |
| Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), | | |
| 4.875%, 4/1/26 | 885 | 890,628 |
| Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), | | |
| 4.875%, 10/1/31 | 500 | 499,970 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| Housing (continued) | | |
| Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 5.15%, 10/1/37 | \$ 970 830 | \$ 970,776 834,092 |
| | | \$ 6,090,812 |
| Industrial Development Revenue 8.1% | | |
| Luzerne County Industrial Development Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 5.50%, 12/1/39 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua | \$ 200 | \$ 209,958 |
| Pennsylvania, Inc.), (AMT), 5.25%, 7/1/42 | 750 | 757,650 |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 6.20%, 4/1/39 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Procter & Gamble Paper Products Co.), (AMT), | 250 | 278,020 |
| 5.375%, 3/1/31 | 1,000 | 1,112,510 |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 10/1/27 Puerto Rico Port Authority, (American Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), | 500 | 504,105 |
| 6.25%, 6/1/26 ⁽¹⁾ | 315 | 59,929 |

\$ 2,922,172

| Insured Education 12.9% Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AGC), 5.50%, 10/1/37 Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 5/1/32 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Drexel University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/37 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/32 | \$ 500 1,675 1,115 | \$ 519,860 1,673,877 1,145,105 |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| | 375 875 | 393,964 905,415 \$ 4,638,221 |
| Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 9.2% | | |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Oil Franchise Tax, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 4.75%, 12/1/27 Westmoreland Municipal Authority, (FGIC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/19 | \$ 1,600 2,000 | \$ 1,615,936 1,691,780 \$ 3,307,716 |
| Insured General Obligations 3.8% Beaver County, (AGM), 5.55%, 11/15/31 Bethlehem Area School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/25 | \$ 500 750 | \$ 535,490 819,075 |
| | ,00 | \$ 1,354,565 |
| Insured Hospital 11.2% | \$ 250 | \$ 304,188 |

| Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (UPMC Health System), (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/24 Delaware County General Authority, (Catholic Health East), (AMBAC), 4.875%, 11/15/26 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Montgomery County Higher Education and Health Authority, (Abington Memorial Hospital), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/1/28 | 355 1,440 1,900 | 355,096 1,457,611 1,898,936 \$ 4,015,831 |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.9% | | |
| Commonwealth Financing Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (One | \$ 500 | \$ 526,010 |
| Benjamin Franklin), (AGM), 4.75%, 2/15/27 | 1,195 | 1,248,118 |
| | | \$ 1,774,128 |
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.8% | | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 | \$ 9,870 | \$ 631,680 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 | 3,050 | 363,926 |
| | | \$ 995,606 |
| Insured Transportation 9.2% | | |
| Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AGM), (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/27 Philadelphia Parking Authority, (AMBAC), 5.25%, 2/15/29 | \$ 500 1,005 | \$ 508,820 1,005,633 |
| Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 ⁽³⁾ | 1,800 | 1,809,360 |
| | | |

\$ 3,323,813

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Insured Water and Sewer 3.9% | | |
| Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 Delaware County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/36 Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, (FGIC), | \$ 500 525 | \$ 522,670 527,478 |
| (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/1/31 | 360 | 361,962 |
| | | \$ 1,412,110 |
| Senior Living / Life Care 2.6% | | |
| Cliff House Trust, (AMT), 6.625%, 6/1/27 ⁽¹⁾ Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, | \$ 1,000 | \$ 530,290 |
| (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/24 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, | 200 | 201,040 |
| (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/30 | 200 | 193,566 |
| | | \$ 924,896 |

| Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 | \$ 110 | \$ 117,961 |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| | | \$ 117,961 |
| Transportation 11.2% | | |
| Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 | \$ 465 | \$ 481,712 |
| Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, | 285 | 292,712 |
| (Amtrak), (AMT), 6.25%, 11/1/31 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.25%, 6/1/39 | 270 1,000 | 271,836 1,017,040 |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.35%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/30 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.625%, 6/1/29 | 1,430 750 | 1,176,318 801,690 |
| | | \$ 4,041,308 |
| | | |
| Utilities 1.6% | | |
| Philadelphia Gas Works, 5.25%, 8/1/40 | \$ 600 | \$ 589,050 |
| | | \$ 589,050 |
| | | |
| Water and Sewer 3.8% | | |
| Harrisburg Water Authority, 5.25%, 7/15/31 Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/36 | \$ 750 750 | \$ 604,890 771,765 |
| | | \$ 1,376,655 |
| | | |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 159.5% (identified cost \$56,716,086) | | \$ 57,432,938 |

Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid

Dividends (58.8)% \$ (21,175,248)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (0.7)% \$ (246,701)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% \$ 36,010,989

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

CIFG - CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
Single Family Mortson Research

SFMR - Single Family Mortgage Revenue

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 36.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.2% to 12.5% of total investments.

- (1) Defaulted bond.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

November 30, 2011

| Assets | Massachusetts California Trust Trust | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Investments | | | | | | |
| Identified cost | \$ 146,937,929 | \$ 57,244,089 | \$ 42,740,065 | \$ 95,027,586 | | |
| Unrealized appreciation | 543,787 | 1,703,855 | 247,668 | 2,213,445 | | |
| Investments, at value | \$ 147,481,716 | \$ 58,947,944 | \$ 42,987,733 | \$ 97,241,031 | | |
| Cash | \$ 3,755,623 | \$ 3,351,271 | \$ 2,384,172 | \$ 6,782,903 | | |
| Interest receivable | 1,700,938 | 954,097 | 545,617 | 1,438,082 | | |
| Receivable for investments sold | 4,142,609 | 136,307 | 18,287 | 38,915 | | |
| Receivable for variation margin on | | | | | | |
| open financial futures contracts | 110,562 | 23,438 | 15,750 | 253,750 | | |
| Deferred debt issuance costs | 29,473 | 3,101 | | 3,582 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 157,220,921 | \$ 63,416,158 | \$ 45,951,559 | \$ 105,758,263 | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Payable to affiliates: | \$ 17,170,000 | \$ 4,885,000 | \$ | \$ 9,455,000 1,988,477 | | |
| Investment adviser fee | 82,032 | 33,061 | 25,324 | 54,449 | | |
| Administration fee | 24,487 | 9,869 | 7,559 | 16,253 | | |
| Trustees fees | 842 | 383 | 316 | 597 | | |
| Interest expense and fees payable | 25,118 | 10,510 | | 17,906 | | |
| Accrued expenses | 80,165 | 54,707 | 51,371 | 65,055 | | |

| Total liabilities | \$ 17,382,644 | \$ 4,993,530 | \$ 84,570 | \$ 11,597,737 |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends | \$ 49,976,571 | \$ 20,050,234 | \$ 17,500,659 | \$ 33,426,048 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 89,861,706 | \$ 38,372,394 | \$ 28,366,330 | \$ 60,734,478 |
| Sources of Net Assets | | | | |
| Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 72,439 104,964,981 (16,998,841) 1,202,450 620,677 | \$ 27,466 39,669,177 (3,375,066) 330,666 1,720,151 | \$ 21,163 30,027,968 (2,140,028) 198,605 258,622 | \$ 46,649 66,836,219 (8,954,179) 415,866 2,389,923 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 89,861,706 | \$ 38,372,394 | \$ 28,366,330 | \$ 60,734,478 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | 1,999 | 802 | 700 | 1,337 |
| Common Shares Outstanding | 7,243,893 | 2,746,642 | 2,116,294 | 4,664,948 |

Net Asset Value Per Common Share

Net assets applicable to common shares , common shares issued and outstanding

12.41 \$

\$

13.97

\$ 13.40

\$

13.02

See Notes to Financial Statements.

35

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Statements of Assets and Liabilities continued

November 30, 2011

| Assets | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|---|---|---|--|
| Investments Identified cost Unrealized appreciation | \$ 117,989,587 3,260,527 | \$ 56,752,375 1,293,632 | \$ 56,716,086 716,852 |
| Investments, at value | \$ 121,250,114 | \$ 58,046,007 | \$ 57,432,938 |
| Cash Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts Deferred debt issuance costs Total assets | \$ 3,969,307 1,632,920 1,430,469 29,298 1,850 \$ 128,313,958 | \$ 954,912 986,423 1,179,589 34,422 \$ 61,201,353 | \$ 10,322 790,423 618,119 87,500 \$ 58,939,302 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Payable to affiliates: | \$ 20,455,000 1,264,150 | \$ | \$ 1,650,000 |
| Investment adviser fee Administration fee Trustees fees Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses | 64,921 19,379 683 33,091 72,256 | 33,668 10,050 397 53,493 | 32,360 9,660 388 5,108 55,549 |
| 1101000 Tiponoo | , 2,250 | 22,173 | 22,517 |

| Total liabilities | \$ 21,909,480 | \$ | 97,608 | \$ 1,753,065 |
|---|--|------|---|---|
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends | \$ 33,726,273 | \$ 2 | 22,725,125 | \$ 21,175,248 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 72,678,205 | \$ 3 | 38,378,620 | \$ 36,010,989 |
| Sources of Net Assets | | | | |
| Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 54,616 79,185,631 (10,783,544) 940,604 3,280,898 | | 28,551 40,895,760 (4,195,583) 332,320 1,317,572 | \$ 27,172 38,541,358 (3,720,375) 385,127 777,707 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 72,678,205 | \$ 3 | 38,378,620 | \$ 36,010,989 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | 1,349 | | 909 | 847 |
| Common Shares Outstanding | 5,461,594 | | 2,855,064 | 2,717,198 |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares common shares issued and outstanding | \$ 13.31 | \$ | 13.44 | \$ 13.25 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Statements of Operations

Year Ended November 30, 2011

| Investment Income | | | Massachusetts Trust | | Michigan Trust | | New Jersey Trust | |
|--|----|-------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------|----|---------------------|--|
| Interest | \$ | 8,400,649 | \$ 3,161,765 | \$: | 2,297,121 | \$ | 5,311,215 | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 8,400,649 | \$ 3,161,765 | \$ 2 | 2,297,121 | \$ | 5,311,215 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee | \$ | 978,128 | \$ 391,800 | \$ | 300,279 | \$ | 659,732 | |
| Administration fee | | 289,367 | 115,912 | | 88,837 | | 195,158 | |
| Trustees fees and expenses | | 4,974 | 2,282 | | 1,869 | | 3,524 | |
| Custodian fee | | 78,032 | 39,920 | | 36,273 | | 57,657 | |
| Transfer and dividend disbursing agent | | 20.415 | 10 (17 | | 10.057 | | 10.602 | |
| fees | | 20,415 | 19,617 | | 19,857 | | 19,693 | |
| Legal and accounting services | | 50,127 | 39,268 | | 35,721 | | 45,016 | |
| Printing and postage Interest expense and fees | | 16,199 | 11,407 38,489 | | 11,912 | | 13,979 | |
| Preferred shares service fee | | 143,080 69,843 | 29,182 | | 23,553 | | 87,470 48,968 | |
| Miscellaneous | | 60,481 | 33,531 | | 32,112 | | 34,761 | |
| Miscentaneous | | 00,481 | 33,331 | | 32,112 | | 34,701 | |
| Total expenses | \$ | 1,710,646 | \$ 721,408 | \$ | 550,413 | \$ | 1,165,958 | |
| Deduct | | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of custodian fee | \$ | 745 | \$ 514 | \$ | 595 | \$ | 1,591 | |
| Total expense reductions | \$ | 745 | \$ 514 | \$ | 595 | \$ | 1,591 | |

| Net expenses | \$ 1,709,901 | \$ 720,894 | \$ 549,818 | \$ 1,164,367 |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Net investment income | \$ 6,690,748 | \$ 2,440,871 | \$ 1,747,303 | \$ 4,146,848 |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) Investment transactions Extinguishment of debt Financial futures contracts Swap contracts | \$ (1,947,304) (1,630) (3,069,857) (793,598) | \$ (587,391) (832,273) (378,607) | \$ (133,087) (153,381) (93,022) | \$ (1,096,361) (2,559,014) (660,818) |
| Net realized loss | \$ (5,812,389) | \$ (1,798,271) | \$ (379,490) | \$ (4,316,193) |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments Financial futures contracts Swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | \$ 5,607,294 100,502 132,762 \$ 5,840,558 | \$ 2,402,924 16,296 (2,110) \$ 2,417,110 | \$ 1,531,924 17,892 15,562 \$ 1,565,378 | \$ 3,308,257 167,150 (2,686) \$ 3,472,721 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | \$ 28,169 | \$ 618,839 | \$ 1,185,888 | \$ (843,472) |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (156,530) | \$ (62,477) | \$ (53,546) | \$ (104,690) |
| | \$ 6,562,387 | \$ 2,997,233 | \$ 2,879,645 | \$ 3,198,686 |

Net increase in net assets from operations

See Notes to Financial Statements.

37

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Statements of Operations continued

Year Ended November 30, 2011

| Investment Income | New York Trust | | Ohio Trust | | Pennsylvania Trust | |
|--|----------------|--|------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| Interest | \$ | 6,575,494 | \$ | 3,137,457 | \$ | 3,071,067 |
| Total investment income | \$ | 6,575,494 | \$ | 3,137,457 | \$ | 3,071,067 |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee Administration fee Trustees fees and expenses Custodian fee Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees Legal and accounting services Printing and postage Interest expense and fees Preferred shares service fee Miscellaneous | \$ | 773,725 228,894 4,041 66,217 19,903 49,026 16,564 151,401 48,917 38,699 | \$ | 405,220 119,877 2,348 43,612 19,558 36,783 12,694 3,153 32,624 34,665 | \$ | 391,093 115,694 2,296 41,787 20,102 36,462 13,287 16,065 30,196 34,250 |
| Total expenses | \$ | 1,397,387 | \$ | 710,534 | \$ | 701,232 |
| Deduct Reduction of custodian fee | \$ | 1,379 | \$ | 923 | \$ | 473 |
| Total expense reductions | \$ | 1,379 | \$ | 923 | \$ | 473 |

| Net expenses | \$ 1,396,008 | \$ 709,611 | \$ 700,759 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Net investment income | \$ 5,179,486 | \$ 2,427,846 | \$ 2,370,308 |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | | |
| | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) Investment transactions Extinguishment of debt | \$ (1,415,070) (10,425) | \$ (432,132) | \$ (408,325) |
| Financial futures contracts | (650,292) | (491,223) | (977,936) |
| Swap contracts | (1,387,906) | (265,119) | (334,422) |
| | | | |
| Net realized loss | \$ (3,463,693) | \$ (1,188,474) | \$ (1,720,683) |
| Change in unusalized appreciation (demonstration) | | | |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments | \$ 4,258,347 | \$ 2,053,103 | \$ 1,527,185 |
| Financial futures contracts | 65,113 | 44,817 | 57,124 |
| Swap contracts | 99,211 | (23,174) | (19,890) |
| Swap contracts | <i>>></i> ,211 | (23,171) | (15,050) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation | | | |
| (depreciation) | \$ 4,422,671 | \$ 2,074,746 | \$ 1,564,419 |
| | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | \$ 958,978 | \$ 886,272 | \$ (156,264) |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | | |
| | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (103,791) | \$ (70,672) | \$ (65,985) |
| | | | |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ 6,034,673 | \$ 3,243,446 | \$ 2,148,059 |

November 30, 2011

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Year Ended November 30, 2011

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | California Trust | Massachusetts Trust | Michigan Trust | New Jersey Trust |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, | \$ 6,690,748 | \$ 2,440,871 | \$ 1,747,303 | \$ 4,146,848 |
| financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, | (5,812,389) | (1,798,271) | (379,490) | (4,316,193) |
| financial futures contracts and swap contracts | 5,840,558 | 2,417,110 | 1,565,378 | 3,472,721 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income | (156,530) | (62,477) | (53,546) | (104,690) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ 6,562,387 | \$ 2,997,233 | \$ 2,879,645 | \$ 3,198,686 |
| Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income | \$ (6,404,210) | \$ (2,484,380) | \$ (1,775,591) | \$ (4,301,179) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (6,404,210) | \$ (2,484,380) | \$ (1,775,591) | \$ (4,301,179) |
| Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders | \$ 308,410 | \$ 124,586 | \$ | \$ 120,167 |
| | \$ 308,410 | \$ 124,586 | \$ | \$ 120,167 |

Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions

| Net increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ | 466,587 | \$ | 637,439 | \$ | 1,104,054 | \$ | (982,326) |
|--|------|------------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Share | es | | | | | | | |
| At beginning of year | \$ 8 | 39,395,119 | \$ 3 | 7,734,955 | \$ 2 | 7,262,276 | \$ 6 | 51,716,804 |
| At end of year | \$ 8 | 89,861,706 | \$ 3 | 8,372,394 | \$ 2 | 8,366,330 | \$ 6 | 50,734,478 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | | | |
| At end of year | \$ | 1,202,450 | \$ | 330,666 | \$ | 198,605 | \$ | 415,866 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

39

November 30, 2011

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

Year Ended November 30, 2011

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions, | \$ 5,179,486 | \$ 2,427,846 | \$ 2,370,308 |
| extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | (3,463,693) | (1,188,474) | (1,720,683) |
| from investments, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Distributions to preferred shareholders | 4,422,671 | 2,074,746 | 1,564,419 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income | (103,791) | (70,672) | (65,985) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ 6,034,673 | \$ 3,243,446 | \$ 2,148,059 |
| Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income | \$ (4,960,863) | \$ (2,454,993) | \$ (2,355,299) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (4,960,863) | \$ (2,454,993) | \$ (2,355,299) |
| Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders | \$ 232,016 | \$ 127,253 | \$ 7,823 |
| Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions | \$ 232,016 | \$ 127,253 | \$ 7,823 |

| Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR | Edgar Filing: EATON | VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL | INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|

| Net increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ 1,305,826 | \$ 915,706 | \$ (199,417) |
|--|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | |
| At beginning of year | \$ 71,372,379 | \$ 37,462,914 | \$ 36,210,406 |
| At end of year | \$ 72,678,205 | \$ 38,378,620 | \$ 36,010,989 |
| | | | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | |
| At end of year | \$ 940,604 | \$ 332,320 | \$ 385,127 |
| | 40 | See Notes to |) Financial Statements. |
| | 40 | | |

November 30, 2011

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

Year Ended November 30, 2010

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | California Trust | Massachusetts Trust | Michigan Trust | New Jersey Trust |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment | \$ 6,802,191 | \$ 2,529,497 | \$ 1,854,695 | \$ 4,440,964 |
| transactions, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, | (614,616) | (50,147) | (57,158) | (1,539,020) |
| financial futures contracts and swap contracts | 764,376 | 619,522 | (38,495) | 171,740 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income | (203,844) | (81,604) | (70,520) | (136,338) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ 6,748,107 | \$ 3,017,268 | \$ 1,688,522 | \$ 2,937,346 |
| Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income | \$ (6,355,945) | \$ (2,473,535) | \$ (1,817,913) | \$ (4,399,126) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (6,355,945) | \$ (2,473,535) | \$ (1,817,913) | \$ (4,399,126) |
| Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders | \$ 282,674 | \$ 180,515 | \$ | \$ 386,092 |
| Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions | \$ 282,674 | \$ 180,515 | \$ | \$ 386,092 |

| Net increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ 674,836 | \$ 724,248 | \$ (129,391) | \$ (1,075,688) | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | S | | | | | |
| At beginning of year | \$ 88,720,283 | \$ 37,010,707 | \$ 27,391,667 | \$ 62,792,492 | | |
| At end of year | \$ 89,395,119 | \$ 37,734,955 | \$ 27,262,276 | \$ 61,716,804 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | |
| At end of year | \$ 1,223,364 | \$ 464,800 | \$ 295,348 | \$ 731,612 | | |
| | 41 | | See Notes to F | inancial Statements. | | |

November 30, 2011

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

Year Ended November 30, 2010

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions, | \$ 5,176,320 | \$ 2,552,700 | \$ 2,472,980 |
| financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income | (1,029,790) | (58,106) | (465,417) |
| | 1,939,142 | (868,779) | 289,880 |
| | (135,619) | (92,648) | (86,181) |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ 5,950,053 | \$ 1,533,167 | \$ 2,211,262 |
| Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income | \$ (4,909,382) | \$ (2,528,385) | \$ (2,351,411) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (4,909,382) | \$ (2,528,385) | \$ (2,351,411) |
| Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders | \$ 475,009 | \$ 162,675 | \$ 95,355 |
| Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions | \$ 475,009 | \$ 162,675 | \$ 95,355 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ 1,515,680 | \$ (832,543) | \$ (44,794) |

| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| At beginning of year | \$ 69,856,699 | \$ 38,295,457 | \$ 36,255,200 |
| At end of year | \$ 71,372,379 | \$ 37,462,914 | \$ 36,210,406 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | |
| At end of year | \$ 852,703 | \$ 436,643 | \$ 415,649 |
| | 42 | See Notes t | to Financial Statements. |

November 30, 2011

Statements of Cash Flows*

Year Ended November 30, 2011

| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | California Trust | New Jersey Trust | New York Trust |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Net increase in net assets from operations Distributions to preferred shareholders | \$ 6,562,387 156,530 | \$ 3,198,686 104,690 | \$ 6,034,673 103,791 |
| Net increase in net assets from operations excluding distributions to preferred shareholders Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities: | \$ 6,718,917 | \$ 3,303,376 | \$ 6,138,464 |
| Investments purchased | (33,845,507) | (11,296,353) | (15,711,387) |
| Investments sold | 49,142,859 | 23,526,345 | 21,109,161 |
| Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount) | (1,421,860) | (646,328) | (520,199) |
| Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs | 1,463 | 392 | 7,053 |
| Decrease in interest receivable | 229,334 | 168,325 | 90,461 |
| Increase in receivable for investments sold | (4,130,609) | (14,273) | (1,355,387) |
| Increase in receivable for variation margin on open | | | |
| financial futures contracts | (110,562) | (253,750) | (29,298) |
| Decrease in receivable for open swap contracts | | 87,790 | 103,093 |
| Increase (decrease) in payable for when-issued | | | |
| securities | (1,615,120) | 1,988,477 | (1,215,928) |
| Decrease in payable for variation margin on open | | | |
| financial futures contracts | (19,001) | (74,219) | (35,625) |
| Decrease in payable for open swap contracts | (132,762) | (85,104) | (202,304) |
| Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment | | | |
| adviser fee | (2,956) | (2,667) | (1,387) |
| Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate for | | | |
| administration fee | (327) | (423) | 19 |
| Decrease in payable to affiliate for Trustees fees | (139) | (92) | (99) |
| Decrease in interest expense and fees payable | (5,925) | (22,745) | (10,793) |
| Decrease in accrued expenses | (10,096) | (5,788) | (3,633) |
| Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation | | | |
| from investments | (5,607,294) | (3,308,257) | (4,258,347) |
| Net realized loss from investments | 1,947,304 | 1,096,361 | 1,415,070 |

1,630

10,425

| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 11,139,349 | \$ 14,461,067 | \$ 5,529,359 |
|---|--|---|--|
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments Cash distributions paid to preferred shareholders Proceeds from secured borrowings Repayment of secured borrowings | \$ (6,095,800) (157,381) 1,800,000 (5,165,000) | \$ (4,181,012) (105,262) (5,117,000) | \$ (4,728,847) (104,561) 5,415,000 (5,435,000) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ (9,618,181) | \$ (9,403,274) | \$ (4,853,408) |
| Net increase in cash | \$ 1,521,168 | \$ 5,057,793 | \$ 675,951 |
| Cash at beginning of year | \$ 2,234,455 | \$ 1,725,110 | \$ 3,293,356 |
| Cash at end of year | \$ 3,755,623 | \$ 6,782,903 | \$ 3,969,307 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of: Reinvestment of dividends and distributions | \$ 308,410 | \$ 120,167 | \$ 232,016 |
| Cash paid for interest and fees | 147,542 | 109,823 | 155,141 |

^{*} Statement of Cash Flows is not required for Massachusetts Trust, Michigan Trust, Ohio Trust and Pennsylvania Trust.

November 30, 2011

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

California Trust

| | Year Ended November 30, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) | \$ 12.390 | \$ 12.330 | \$ 9.890 | \$ 15.120 | \$ 16.430 |
| Income (Loss) From Operations | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain | \$ 0.926 | \$ 0.945 | \$ 0.947 | \$ 0.943 | \$ 0.936 |
| (loss) Distributions to preferred | 0.002 | 0.026 | 2.321 | (5.223) | (1.294) |
| shareholders From net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | (0.022) | (0.028) | (0.047) | (0.277) | (0.280) |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$ 0.906 | \$ 0.943 | \$ 3.221 | \$ (4.557) | \$ (0.638) |
| Less Distributions to Common Sharel | nolders | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (0.886) | \$ (0.883) | \$ (0.781) | \$ (0.673) | \$ (0.672) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (0.886) | \$ (0.883) | \$ (0.781) | \$ (0.673) | \$ (0.672) |

Net asset value End of year

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 12.410 | \$ 12.390 | \$ 12.330 | \$ 9.890 | \$ 15.120 | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 12.770 | \$ 12.400 | \$ 12.170 | \$ 9.150 | \$ 13.160 | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 7.99% | 7.73% | 34.24% | (30.70)% | (3.65)% | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 11.04% | 9.25% | 43.19% | (26.34)% | (8.44)% | | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3) | \$ 89,862 | \$ 89,395 | \$ 88,720 | \$ 71,065 | \$ 108,567 | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.83% | 1.78% | 1.93% | 1.87% | $1.78\%^{(4)}$ | | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 0.17% | 0.18% | 0.23% | 0.37% | 0.34% | | | |
| reduction | 2.00% | 1.96% | 2.16% | 2.24% | $2.12\%^{(4)}$ | | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | | | | |
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.83% | 1.78% | 1.93% | 1.85% | 1.76% ⁽⁴⁾ | | | |
| Net investment income Portfolio Turnover | 7.81% 22% | 7.34% 14% | 8.35% 18% | 6.91% 31% | 5.94% 40% | | | |
| The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): Expenses excluding interest and fees 1.15% 1.16% 1.19% 1.18% 1.17% (4) Interest and fee expense (5) 0.11% 0.11% 0.15% 0.24% 0.22% | | | | | | | | |
| Total expenses before custodian fee reduction | 1.26% | 1.27% | 1.34% | 1.42% | 1.39%(4) | | | |
| 2240401 | 1.15% | 1.16% | 1.19% | 1.17% | $1.16\%^{(4)}$ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Net investment income | 4.93% | 4.77% | 5.18% | 4.39% | 3.90% |
| | | | | | |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 1,999 | 1,999 | 1,999 | 1,999 | 2,360 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 69,954 | \$ 69,721 | \$ 69,383 | \$ 60,552 | \$ 71,003 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference | | | | | |
| per preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| | | | | | |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

2010

(0.030)

\$ 1.106

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Net asset value

(Common shares)

Income (Loss) From Operations

Net realized and unrealized gain

From net investment income⁽¹⁾

Total income (loss) from operations \$ 1.086

Net investment income⁽¹⁾

Distributions to preferred

(loss)

shareholders

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Beginning of year

2011

\$ 13.790

\$ 0.890

0.219

(0.023)

Massachusetts Trust

Year Ended November 30,

2009

(0.049)

\$ 4.255

\$ 13.590 \$ 10.160 \$ 14.860 \$ 16.170 \$ 0.926 \$ 0.948 \$ 0.947 \$ 0.914 0.210 3.356 (4.720) (1.314)

2008

(0.278)

\$ (4.051)

2007

(0.271)

\$ (0.671)

| Less Distributions to Common Shareho | lders | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| From net investment income | \$ (0.906) | \$ (0.906) | \$ (0.825) | \$ (0.649) | \$ (0.639) |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (0.906) | \$ (0.906) | \$ (0.825) | \$ (0.649) | \$ (0.639) |

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.970 | \$ 13.790 | \$ 13.590 | \$ 10.160 | \$ 14.860 | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 14.810 | \$ 13.980 | \$ 13.260 | \$ 8.930 | \$ 13.050 | | |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 8.49% | 8.16% | 43.29% | (28.02)% | (3.94)% | | |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 13.45% | 12.38% | 58.91% | (27.89)% | (8.57)% | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾ | \$ 38,372 | \$ 37,735 | \$ 37,011 | \$ 27,576 | \$ 40,341 | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.87% | 1.83% | 2.02% | 2.06% | 1.91%(4) | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 0.11% | 0.09% | 0.14% | 0.26% | 0.61% | | |
| reduction | 1.98% | 1.92% | 2.16% | 2.32% | $2.52\%^{(4)}$ | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.87% | 1.82% | 2.02% | 2.04% | 1.89%(4) | | |
| Net investment income | 6.70% | 6.51% | 7.77% | 7.03% | 5.90% | | |
| Portfolio Turnover | 15% | 16% | 24% | 40% | 42% | | |
| The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): (3) | | | | | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 1.21% 0.07% | 1.20% 0.06% | 1.26% 0.09% | 1.31% 0.16% | $1.26\%^{(4)} \ 0.40\%$ | | |
| Total expenses before custodian fee | 0.07 /0 | 0.00 /0 | 0.09 /0 | 0.10/0 | U.TU /U | | |
| reduction | 1.28% | 1.26% | 1.35% | 1.47% | 1.66%(4) | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.21% | 1.20% | 1.26% | 1.30% | 1.25%(4) | | |
| Net investment income | 4.32% | 4.29% | 4.85% | 4.47% | 3.91% | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 802 | 802 | 802 | 802 | 860 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 72,846 | \$ 72,051 | \$ 71,150 | \$ 59,391 | \$ 71,920 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

November 30, 2011

shareholders

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Michigan Trust | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | Year Ended November 30, | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) | \$ 12.880 | \$ 12.940 | \$ 10.860 | \$ 14.510 | \$ 15.420 | |
| Income (Loss) From Operations | | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 0.826 | \$ 0.876 | \$ 0.918 | \$ 0.931 | \$ 0.913 | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred | 0.558 | (0.044) | 1.990 | (3.669) | (0.881) | |
| shareholders From net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | (0.025) | (0.033) | (0.056) | (0.301) | (0.296) | |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$ 1.359 | \$ 0.799 | \$ 2.852 | \$ (3.039) | \$ (0.264) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Less Distributions to Common Shareho | olders | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (0.839) | \$ (0.859) | \$ (0.772) | \$ (0.611) | \$ (0.646) | |
| Total distributions to common | | | | | | |

\$ (0.839)

\$ (0.859)

\$ (0.772)

\$ (0.611)

\$ (0.646)

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.400 | \$ 12.880 | \$ 12.940 | \$ 10.860 | \$ 14.510 | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 12.470 | \$ 12.100 | \$ 11.530 | \$ 7.920 | \$ 12.430 | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 11.66% | 6.57% | 28.08% | (21.02)% | (1.37)% | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 10.60% | 12.36% | 56.49% | (32.76)% | (7.66)% | | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾ | \$ 28,366 | \$ 27,262 | \$ 27,392 | \$ 22,977 | \$ 30,710 | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 2.04% | 1.98% | 2.18% 0.06% | 2.15% 0.16% | 2.03% ⁽⁴⁾ 0.32% | | | |
| reduction Expenses after custodian fee | 2.04% | 1.98% | 2.24% | 2.31% | 2.35%(4) | | | |
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 2.04% | 1.98% | 2.18% | 2.13% | $2.01\%^{(4)}$ | | | |
| Net investment income Portfolio Turnover | 6.49% 18% | 6.57% 14% | 7.61% 23% | 6.96% 24% | 6.12% 22% | | | |
| The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): (3) | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 1.24% | 1.22% | 1.29% 0.04% | 1.33% 0.10% | 1.31% ⁽⁴⁾ 0.21% | | | |
| reduction | 1.24% | 1.22% | 1.33% | 1.43% | 1.52%(4) | | | |

| 1.24% | 1.22% | 1.29% | 1.31% | $1.29\%^{(4)}$ |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| 3.93% | 4.06% | 4.52% | 4.30% | 3.94% |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| \$ 65,524 | \$ 63,948 | \$ 64,132 | \$ 57,828 | \$ 68,878 |
| | | | | |
| \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| | | | | |
| \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| | 3.93% 700 \$ 65,524 \$ 25,000 | 3.93% 4.06% 700 700 \$ 65,524 \$ 63,948 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | 3.93% 4.06% 4.52% 700 700 700 \$ 65,524 \$ 63,948 \$ 64,132 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | 3.93% 4.06% 4.52% 4.30% 700 700 700 700 \$ 65,524 \$ 63,948 \$ 64,132 \$ 57,828 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

November 30, 2011

shareholders

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | New Jersey Trust | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| | Year Ended November 30, | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.260 | \$ 13.570 | \$ 9.400 | \$ 14.930 | \$ 16.200 | |
| Income (Loss) From Operations | | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 0.890 | \$ 0.957 | \$ 0.971 | \$ 0.968 | \$ 0.926 | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders | (0.185) | (0.290) | 4.091 | (5.579) | (1.275) | |
| From net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | (0.022) | (0.029) | (0.048) | (0.289) | (0.273) | |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$ 0.683 | \$ 0.638 | \$ 5.014 | \$ (4.900) | \$ (0.622) | |
| Less Distributions to Common Shareho | olders | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (0.923) | \$ (0.948) | \$ (0.844) | \$ (0.630) | \$ (0.648) | |
| 170m net investment income | ψ (0.723) | ψ (0.270) | ψ (0.0 11) | ψ (0.030) | ψ (0.040) | |
| Total distributions to common | A (0.000) | h (0.040) | . (0.014) | h (0 (2 0) | d (0.510) | |

\$ (0.923)

\$ (0.948)

\$ (0.844)

\$ (0.630)

\$ (0.648)

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.020 | \$ 13.260 | \$ 13.570 | \$ 9.400 | \$ 14.930 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | | | | |
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.370 | \$ 13.520 | \$ 14.040 | \$ 8.500 | \$ 12.790 |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 5.64% | 4.62% | 55.43% | (33.57)% | (3.59)% |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 6.39% | 3.10% | 77.84% | (29.88)% | (11.28)% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3) | \$ 60,734 | \$ 61,717 | \$ 62,792 | \$ 43,459 | \$ 69,001 |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.81% | 1.79% | 1.99% | 1.96% | $1.84\%^{(4)}$ |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.15% | 0.18% | 0.24% | 0.45% | 0.89% |
| Total expenses before custodian fee | | | | | 40 |
| reduction | 1.96% | 1.97% | 2.23% | 2.41% | $2.73\%^{(4)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian fee | 1.81% | 1.79% | 1.99% | 1.94% | 1.81%(4) |
| reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income | 6.96% | 6.87% | 8.16% | 7.22% | 5.94% |
| Portfolio Turnover | 11% | 9% | 48% | 54% | 42% |
| The ratios reported above are based on including amounts related to preferred Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): ⁽³⁾ | | | non shares. The | ratios based on | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.16% | 1.18% | 1.24% | 1.23% | $1.21\%^{(4)}$ |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.09% | 0.12% | 0.15% | 0.28% | 0.58% |
| Total expenses before custodian fee reduction | 1.25% | 1.30% | 1.39% | 1.51% | 1.79%(4) |
| reduction | 1.23/0 | 1.50 /0 | 1.37/0 | 1.31/0 | 1.17/0 |

| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.16% | 1.18% | 1.24% | 1.21% | $1.19\%^{(4)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.46% | 4.53% | 5.08% | 4.51% | 3.89% |
| | | | | | |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 1,337 | 1,337 | 1,337 | 1,366 | 1,520 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 70,427 | \$ 71,162 | \$ 71,966 | \$ 56,817 | \$ 70,395 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

November 30, 2011

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | New York Trust | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Year Ended November 30, | | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | | | |
| Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.110 | \$ 12.920 | \$ 9.350 | \$ 15.240 | \$ 16.550 | | | |
| Income (Loss) From Operations | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 0.950 | \$ 0.954 | \$ 0.960 | \$ 0.987 | \$ 0.991 | | | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | 0.179 | 0.166 | 3.493 | (5.887) | (1.293) | | | |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | (0.019) | (0.025) | (0.042) | (0.269) | (0.287) | | | |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$ 1.110 | \$ 1.095 | \$ 4.411 | \$ (5.169) | \$ (0.589) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Less Distributions to Common Shareho | olders | | | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (0.910) | \$ (0.905) | \$ (0.841) | \$ (0.721) | \$ (0.721) | | | |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (0.910) | \$ (0.905) | \$ (0.841) | \$ (0.721) | \$ (0.721) | | | |

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.310 | \$ 13.110 | \$ 12.920 | \$ 9.350 | \$ 15.240 |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| (Common shares) | Ψ 10.010 | Ψ 13.110 | Ψ 12.>20 | Ψ 7.550 | Ψ 12.210 |
| Market value End of year | ¢ 12 450 | ф 12 250 | ¢ 12 200 | ¢ 7,000 | ¢ 14 100 |
| (Common shares) | \$ 13.450 | \$ 13.350 | \$ 13.200 | \$ 7.900 | \$ 14.100 |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 9.06% | 8.48% | 49.00% | (35.07)% | (3.42)% |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 8.18% | 8.16% | 80.12% | (40.71)% | (5.81)% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾ | \$ 72,678 | \$ 71,372 | \$ 69,857 | \$ 50,325 | \$ 81,931 |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.78% | 1.74% | 1.98% | 1.92% | $1.80\%^{(4)}$ |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.22% | 0.21% | 0.24% | 0.55% | 0.98% |
| Total expenses before custodian fee reduction | 2.00% | 1.95% | 2.22% | 2.47% | $2.78\%^{(4)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian fee | 2.00 /6 | 1.73 /6 | 2.2270 | 2.47 /0 | 2.7670 |
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.78% | 1.74% | 1.98% | 1.89% | $1.78\%^{(4)}$ |
| Net investment income | 7.40% | 7.02% | 8.40% | 7.21% | 6.23% |
| Portfolio Turnover | 13% | 13% | 20% | 48% | 29% |
| The ratios reported above are based on including amounts related to preferred | | | non shares. The | ratios based on | net assets, |
| Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): ⁽³⁾ | snaies, are as i | tonows. | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.20% | 1.18% | 1.28% | 1.23% | 1.18%(4) |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.15% | 0.15% | 0.15% | 0.35% | 0.65% |
| Total expenses before custodian fee reduction | 1.35% | 1.33% | 1.43% | 1.58% | 1.83%(4) |
| reduction | 1.3370 | 1.33% | 1.4370 | 1.30% | 1.03%(1) |

| Expenses after custodian fee | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.20% | 1.18% | 1.28% | 1.21% | $1.17\%^{(4)}$ |
| Net investment income | 5.00% | 4.82% | 5.43% | 4.63% | 4.10% |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,780 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 78,877 | \$ 77,909 | \$ 76,785 | \$ 62,309 | \$ 71,032 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

November 30, 2011

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Ohio Trust | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | Year Ended November 30, | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.170 | \$ 13.520 | \$ 10.450 | \$ 14.830 | \$ 15.690 | | |
| Income (Loss) From Operations | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 0.851 | \$ 0.899 | \$ 0.945 | \$ 0.961 | \$ 0.938 | | |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | 0.305 | (0.325) | 2.974 | (4.410) | (0.845) | | |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | | | | | | | |
| From net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | (0.025) | (0.033) | (0.055) | (0.303) | (0.297) | | |
| Total income (loss) from operations | \$ 1.131 | \$ 0.541 | \$ 3.864 | \$ (3.752) | \$ (0.204) | | |
| Less Distributions to Common Shareho | olders | | | | | | |
| From net investment income | \$ (0.861) | \$ (0.891) | \$ (0.794) | \$ (0.628) | \$ (0.656) | | |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ (0.861) | \$ (0.891) | \$ (0.794) | \$ (0.628) | \$ (0.656) | | |

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.440 | \$ 13.440 \$ 13.170 \$ 13.520 | | \$ 10.450 | \$ 14.830 | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.320 | \$ 13.420 | \$ 13.430 | \$ 8.550 | \$ 12.850 | | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 9.21% | 3.96% | 38.58% | (25.69)% | (1.06)% | | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 6.25% | 6.64% | 68.25% | (29.83)% | (7.93)% | | | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾ | \$ 38,379 | \$ 37,463 | \$ 38,295 | \$ 29,563 | \$ 41,953 | | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.93% | 1.85% | 2.08% | 2.08% | 1.93%(4) | | | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 0.01% | 0.02% | 0.02% | 0.26% | 0.72% | | | | |
| reduction | 1.94% | 1.87% | 2.10% | 2.34% | $2.65\%^{(4)}$ | | | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee | 1 020/ | 1 0507 | 2.000/ | 2.060 | $1.91\%^{(4)}$ | | | | |
| reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income | 1.93% 6.64% | 1.85% 6.53% | 2.08% 7.77% | 2.06% 7.12% | 6.17% | | | | |
| Portfolio Turnover | 11% | 17% | 20% | 27% | 24% | | | | |
| The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): Expenses excluding interest and fees 1.19% 1.17% 1.26% 1.29% 1.25%(4) | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ Total expenses before custodian fee | 0.01% | 0.01% | 0.01% | 0.16% | 0.46% | | | | |
| reduction | 1.20% | 1.18% | 1.27% | 1.45% | $1.71\%^{(4)}$ | | | | |
| Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income | 1.19% 4.09% | 1.17% 4.13% | 1.26% 4.68% | 1.28% 4.41% | 1.23% ⁽⁴⁾ 3.99% | | | | |

| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 909 | 909 | 909 | 918 | 940 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 67,221 | \$ 66,215 | \$ 67,131 | \$ 57,209 | \$ 69,640 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per | | | | | |
| preferred share ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

Pennsylvania Trust

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

Total distributions to common

shareholders

November 30, 2011

Financial Highlights continued Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Year Ended November 30, 2011 2010 2007 2009 2008 Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares) \$ 13.330 \$ 13.380 \$ 10.320 \$ 14.840 \$ 15.510 Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income⁽¹⁾ \$ 0.873 \$ 0.912 \$ 0.953 \$ 0.928 \$ 0.986 Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) (0.062)(0.063)2.973 (4.555)(0.661)Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income⁽¹⁾ (0.024)(0.032)(0.053)(0.299)(0.300)**Total income (loss) from operations** \$ 0.787 \$ 0.817 3.848 \$ (3.868) \$ (0.008) Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income \$ (0.867) \$ (0.867) \$ (0.788) \$ (0.652) \$ (0.662)

\$ (0.867)

\$ (0.867)

\$ (0.788)

\$ (0.652)

\$ (0.662)

| Net asset value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.250 | \$ 13.330 | \$ 13.380 | \$ 10.320 | \$ 14.840 | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Market value End of year (Common shares) | \$ 13.660 | \$ 12.930 | \$ 13.050 | \$ 9.600 | \$ 12.790 | | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾ | 6.53% | 6.13% | 39.16% | (26.57)% | 0.27% | | | | |
| Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾ | 13.15% | 5.57% | 45.88% | (20.75)% | (7.95)% | | | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data | | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): ⁽³⁾ | \$ 36,011 | \$ 36,210 | \$ 36,255 | \$ 27,944 | \$ 40,182 | | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.93% | 1.88% | 2.11% | 2.06% | 1.95%(4) | | | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.05% | 0.06% | 0.21% | 0.37% | 0.70% | | | | |
| Total expenses before custodian fee | 4.00~ | 1010 | 2.22~ | • 40~ | 2 5 7 27 (4) | | | | |
| reduction Expenses after custodian fee | 1.98% | 1.94% | 2.32% | 2.43% | $2.65\%^{(4)}$ | | | | |
| reduction excluding interest and fees | 1.93% | 1.88% | 2.11% | 2.04% | 1.94%(4) | | | | |
| Net investment income | 6.71% | 6.61% | 7.61% | 7.23% | 6.28% | | | | |
| Portfolio Turnover | 8% | 17% | 23% | 25% | 23% | | | | |
| The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): (3) | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses excluding interest and fees | 1.21% | 1.20% | 1.28% | 1.28% | 1.27%(4) | | | | |
| Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.03% | 0.04% | 0.13% | 0.23% | 0.45% | | | | |
| Total expenses before custodian fee reduction | 1.24% | 1.24% | 1.41% | 1.51% | $1.72\%^{(4)}$ | | | | |

| 1 21% | 1 20% | 1 28% | 1 27% | 1.26%(4) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 4.19% | 4.22% | 4.63% | 4.50% | 4.06% |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 847 | 847 | 847 | 889 | 900 |
| \$ 67,516 | \$ 67,752 | \$ 67,806 | \$ 56,439 | \$ 69,658 |
| | | | | |
| \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| | | | | |
| \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| | 847 \$ 67,516 \$ 25,000 | 4.19% 4.22% 847 847 \$ 67,516 \$ 67,752 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | 4.19% 4.22% 4.63% 847 847 847 \$ 67,516 \$ 67,752 \$ 67,806 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 | 4.19% 4.22% 4.63% 4.50% 847 847 847 889 \$ 67,516 \$ 67,752 \$ 67,806 \$ 56,439 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (4) The investment adviser was allocated a portion of the Trust s operating expenses (equal to less than 0.01% of average daily net assets for the year ended November 30, 2007). Absent this allocation, total return would be lower.
- (5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (7) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (California Trust), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (Massachusetts Trust), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (Michigan Trust), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (New Jersey Trust), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (New York Trust), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (Ohio Trust) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (Pennsylvania Trust), (each individually referred to as the Trust, and collectively, the Trusts), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. Each Trust seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Trusts. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Trust in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Trust might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Trust s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Trust intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Trust, as exempt-interest dividends. The portion of such interest, if any, earned on private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986, may be considered a tax preference item to shareholders.

At November 30, 2011, the following Trusts, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards which will reduce the respective Trust s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Trusts of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards are as follows:

| Expiration Date | Cal Tru | ifornia ıst | Massachuset Trust | ts Mi Tri | 0 | New Jersey Trust | New York Trust | Oh Tri | | Per Tru | ınsylvania ıst |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| November 30, 2012 | \$ | 995,999 | \$ | \$ | 697,198 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 764,355 | \$ | 502,868 |
| November 30, 2013 | | | | | 224,050 | | | | 588,403 | | 389,289 |
| November 30, 2016 | | 6,689,345 | 692,532 | | 517,712 | | 2,354,581 | | 736,482 | | 800,874 |
| November 30, 2017 | | 4,084,290 | 991,790 | | 337,540 | 3,185,143 | 3,171,310 | | 840,450 | | |
| November 30, 2018 | | 355,871 | | | 34,334 | 1,512,852 | 671,928 | | 41,243 | | 329,527 |
| November 30, 2019 | | 5,299,748 | 1,780,081 | | 345,052 | 4,137,608 | 3,607,489 | | 1,169,431 | | 1,724,760 |
| | \$ 1 | 7,425,253 | \$ 3,464,403 | \$: | 2,155,886 | \$ 8,835,603 | \$ 9,805,308 | \$ 4 | 4,140,364 | \$ 3 | 3,747,318 |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

As of November 30, 2011, the Trusts had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each of the Trusts federal tax returns filed in the 3-year period ended November 30, 2011 remains subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Trusts. Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Trust maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Trust s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under each Trust's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Trust. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Trust) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Trust. However, each Trust's Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Trust shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Trust shall assume the defense on behalf of any Trust shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Trust property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Trust enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Trust's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Trust that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Trusts may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Trust may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Trust buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Trust, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Trust (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Trust gives the Trust the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the

Bond held by the SPV to the Trust, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Trust exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Trusts account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Interest expense related to the Trusts liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Trust, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At November 30, 2011, the amounts of the Trusts Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as

| | California Trust | Massachusetts Trust | New Jersey Trust | New York Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Floating Rate | | | | | |
| Notes Outstanding | \$ 17,170,000 | \$ 4,885,000 | \$ 9,455,000 | \$ 20,455,000 | \$ 1,650,000 |
| Interest Rate or | | | | | |
| Range of Interest | | | | | |
| Rates (%) | 0.14 - 0.20 | 0.14 - 0.24 | 0.14 - 0.34 | 0.12 - 0.20 | 0.14 - 0.19 |
| Collateral for | | | | | |
| Floating Rate | | | | | |
| Notes Outstanding | \$ 21,652,143 | \$ 6,673,227 | \$ 12,821,955 | \$ 26,810,811 | \$ 2,938,180 |

follows:

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended November 30, 2011, the Trusts average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

| | California Trust | Massachusetts Trust | New Jersey Trust | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding Average Interest | \$ 18,971,123 | \$ 4,885,000 | \$ 11,394,729 | \$ 20,502,137 | \$ 336,548 | \$ 1,803,863 |
| Rate | 0.75% | 0.79% | 0.77% | 0.74% | 0.94% | 0.89% |

The Trusts may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Trust agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Trusts had no shortfalls as of November 30, 2011.

The Trusts may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Trusts investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Trusts investment policies do not allow the Trusts to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Trusts—restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Trusts—Statements of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Trusts—restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Trusts are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Trust is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Trust each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Trust. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Trust may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Trust makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Trust is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Trusts may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Trusts maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Trust is the amount included in the Trust s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

2 Auction Preferred Shares

Each Trust issued Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on March 1, 1999 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs incurred in connection with the offering were recorded as a reduction of the paid-in capital of the common shares of each respective Trust. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Trust at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if a Trust is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years—dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Trust is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the Trusts—By-laws and the 1940 Act. Each Trust pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Trust intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS. In addition, at least annually, each Trust intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for APS at November 30, 2011, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates, and dividend rate ranges for the year then ended were as follows:

| | Cali Tru | ifornia Ist | Mass Trust | achusetts t | Micl Trus | higan st | Nev Tru | v Jersey ast | Nev Tru | w York ıst | Ohio Trust | | Penn Trus | nsylvania st |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|
| Dividend at nber 30, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ends ed to | | 0.23% | | 0.21% | | 0.23% | | 0.23% | | 0.23% | | 0.20% | | 0.21 |
| nolders ge APS end | \$ | 156,530 | \$ | 62,477 | \$ | 53,546 | \$ | 104,690 | \$ | 103,791 | \$ | 70,672 | \$ | 65,985 |
| | | 0.31% | | 0.31% | | 0.31% | | 0.31% | | 0.31% | | 0.31% | | 0.31 |

s (%) 0.11 - 0.69 0.11 - 0.69 0.11 - 0.50 0.11 - 0.69 0.11 - 0.69 0.11 - 0.69 0.11 - 0.69

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Trusts APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rates for each Trust as of November 30, 2011.

The Trusts distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2010 was as follows:

| | | | Year l | Ended Novemb | er 30, 2011 | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | California | Massachusett | s Michigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
| | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |
| Distributions | | | | | | | |
| declared from: | | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt income | \$ 6,556,616 | \$ 2,546,610 | \$ 1,829,137 | \$ 4,405,869 | \$ 5,061,545 | \$ 2,516,322 | \$ 2,381,612 |
| Ordinary income | \$ 4,124 | \$ 247 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,109 | \$ 9,343 | \$ 39,672 |

| | | | Year 1 | Ended Novemb | er 30, 2010 | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | California | Massachusett | ts Michigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
| | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |
| Distributions | | | | | | | |
| declared from: | | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt income | \$ 6,548,819 | \$ 2,554,569 | \$ 1,888,433 | \$ 4,529,949 | \$ 5,039,476 | \$ 2,604,159 | \$ 2,437,121 |
| Ordinary income | \$ 10.970 | \$ 570 | \$ | \$ 5.515 | \$ 5.525 | \$ 16.874 | \$ 471 |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended November 30, 2011, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount:

| | California | Massachuse | t t Michigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |
| Change in: Paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment | \$ | \$ | \$ (443,883) | \$ (177,350) | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | \$ 150,922 | \$ 28,148 | \$ 458,792 | \$ 234,075 | \$ 26,931 | \$ 6,504 | \$ (20,454) |
| income | \$ (150,922) | \$ (28,148) | \$ (14,909) | \$ (56,725) | \$ (26,931) | \$ (6,504) | \$ 20,454 |

These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Trusts.

As of November 30, 2011, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

| | Cal Tru | ifornia ıst | Mas Tru | ssachusetts st | Mic Tru | 0 | New Tru | y Jersey st | New Tru | y York st | Ohio Trus | | Pen Tru | nsylvania st |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| Indistributed ax-exempt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ncome Capital loss | \$ | 1,204,021 | \$ | 330,900 | \$ | 203,127 | \$ | 416,914 | \$ | 941,877 | \$ | 332,445 | \$ | 463,278 |
| arryforward let nrealized | \$ (| (17,425,253) | \$ (. | 3,464,403) | \$ (2 | 2,155,886) | \$ (| 8,835,603) | \$ (9 | 9,805,308) | \$ (4 | 1,140,364) | \$ (| 3,747,318) |
| ppreciation | \$ | 1.047.089 | \$ | 1.809.488 | \$ | 270.617 | \$ | 2.271.347 | \$ 3 | 2.302.662 | \$ 1 | 1.262.353 | \$ | 726.747 |

Other emporary ifferences

s \$ (1,571) \$ (234) \$ (659) \$ (1,048) \$ (1,273) \$ (125) \$ (248)

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, accretion of market discount, futures contracts, the timing of recognizing distributions to shareholders, defaulted bond interest and residual interest bonds.

4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Trust. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.670% (0.685% prior to May 1, 2011) of each Trust is average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each Trust and EVM that commenced on May 1, 2010, the annual adviser fee will be reduced by 0.015% every May 1 thereafter for the next nineteen years. The fee reduction cannot be terminated without the consent of the Trustees and shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Trust, and the amount of any outstanding APS issued by the Trust. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of a Trust is APS then outstanding and the amount payable by the Trust to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Trust. The administration fee is earned by EVM for administering the business affairs of each Trust and is computed at an annual rate of 0.20% of each Trust is average weekly gross assets. For the year ended November 30, 2011, the investment adviser fees and administration fees were as follows:

| | California | Massachuse | U | New Jersey | | Ohio | Pennsylvania | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--|
| | Trust | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Investment Adviser | | | | | | | | |
| Fee | \$ 978,128 | \$ 391,800 | \$ 300,279 | \$ 659,732 | \$ 773,725 | \$ 405,220 | \$ 391,093 | |
| Administration Fee | \$ 289,367 | \$ 115,912 | \$ 88,837 | \$ 195,158 | \$ 228,894 | \$ 119,877 | \$ 115,694 | |

Except for Trustees of the Trusts who are not members of EVM s organization, officers and Trustees receive remuneration for their services to the Trusts out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Trusts who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended November 30, 2011, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Trusts are officers of EVM.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

5 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended November 30, 2011 were as follows:

| | California | Massachusetts | Michigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |
| Purchases | \$ 33,845,507 | \$ 9,132,091 | \$ 7,789,439 | \$ 11,296,353 | \$ 15,711,387 | \$ 6,518,375 | \$ 4,649,017 |
| Sales | \$ 49,142,859 | \$ 13,806,713 | \$ 9,444,716 | \$ 23,526,345 | \$ 21,109,161 | \$ 6,456,561 | \$ 6,598,865 |

6 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Trusts dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2010 were as follows:

| | California Trust | Massachuse Trust | ttsNew Jersey Trust | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Year Ended November 30, 2011 Year Ended November 30, | 26,037 | 9,543 | 9,594 | 18,118 | 10,146 | 590 |
| 2010 | 22,026 | 12,738 | 27,868 | 35,153 | 11,892 | 6,938 |

7 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Trust at November 30, 2011, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

| | Cal Tru | lifornia ıst | Massachusetts Trust | Michigan Trust | New Jersey Trust | New York Trust | Ohio Trust | Pennsylvania Trust |
|---|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| gregate cost | \$ 1 | 129,264,627 | \$ 52,253,456 | \$ 42,717,116 | \$ 85,514,684 | \$ 98,492,452 | \$ 56,783,654 | \$ 55,056,191 |
| ss ealized reciation ss ealized | \$ | 7,185,125 | \$ 2,869,174 | \$ 1,540,957 | \$ 4,511,744 | \$ 5,570,153 | \$ 2,697,177 | \$ 2,619,282 |
| reciation | | (6,138,036) | (1,059,686) | (1,270,340) | (2,240,397) | (3,267,491) | (1,434,824) | (1,892,535) |
| unrealized reciation | \$ | 1,047,089 | \$ 1,809,488 | \$ 270,617 | \$ 2,271,347 | \$ 2,302,662 | \$ 1,262,353 | \$ 726,747 |

8 Financial Instruments

The Trusts may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Trust has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at November 30, 2011 is as follows:

Futures Contracts

| Trust | Expiration Month/Year | Contracts | Position | Aggregate Cost | Value | Net Unrealized Appreciation |
|---------------|--------------------------|---|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| California | 3/12 | 68 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 48 | Short | \$ (8,813,844) | \$ (8,795,375) | \$ 18,469 |
| | 3/12 | U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond | Short | (6,844,420) | (6,785,999) | 58,421 |
| Massachusetts | 3/12 | 60 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note | Short | \$ (7,776,921) | \$ (7,760,625) | \$ 16,296 |
| Michigan | 3/12 | 9 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond | Short | \$ (1,283,329) | \$ (1,272,375) | \$ 10,954 |
| | | | | . ())) | . () -)- /-/ | , |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

| New Jersey | 3/12 | 145 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond | Short | \$ (20,675,853) | \$ (20,499,375) | \$ 176,478 |
|--------------|------|---|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| New York | 3/12 | 75 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note | Short | \$ (9,721,152) | \$ (9,700,781) | \$ 20,371 |
| Ohio | 3/12 | 3 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 19 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond | Short | \$ (388,846) (2,709,250) | \$ (388,031) (2,686,125) | \$ 815 23,125 |
| Pennsylvania | 3/12 | 50 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond | Short | \$ (7,129,605) | \$ (7,068,750) | \$ 60,855 |

At November 30, 2011, the Trusts had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Trust is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. Because the Trusts hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, each Trust enters into interest rate swap contracts. The Trusts also purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at November 30, 2011 were as follows:

| California | Massach | usett M ichigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
|------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |

Asset Derivative:

| Futures Contracts | \$ 76,890(1) | \$ 16,296(1) | \$ 10,954(1) | \$ 176,478(1) | \$ 20,371(1) | \$ 23,940(1) | \$ 60,855(1) |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 76,890 | \$ 16,296 | \$ 10,954 | \$ 176,478 | \$ 20,371 | \$ 23,940 | \$ 60,855 |

⁽¹⁾ Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

California

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended November 30, 2011 was as follows:

New Jersey

New York

Ohio

Pennsylvania

Massachusetts Michigan

| | Tru | ıst | Trus | sachusetts | Tru | O | Tru | st | Tru | st | Tru | _ | Trus | st |
|---|------|-------------|-------|------------|------|-----------|------|------------|-------|------------|------|-----------|-------|------------|
| Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income ⁽¹⁾ Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in | \$ (| (3,863,455) | \$ (1 | ,210,880) | \$ (| (246,403) | \$ (| 3,219,832) | \$ (2 | 2,038,198) | \$ (| (756,342) | \$ (1 | 1,312,358) |
| Income ⁽²⁾ | \$ | 233,264 | \$ | 14,186 | \$ | 33,454 | \$ | 164,464 | \$ | 164,324 | \$ | 21,643 | \$ | 37,234 |

The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the year ended November 30, 2011, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

| California | Massachus | etts Michigan | New Jersey | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania |
|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|
| Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust | Trust |

⁽¹⁾ Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.

⁽²⁾ Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.

Average Notional

| • | m | \mathbf{a} | nı | • |
|---|---|--------------|----|---|
| | | | | |

| Futures Contracts | \$ 12,077,000 | \$ 5,077,000 | \$ 608,000 | \$ 13,115,000 | \$ 7,269,000 | \$ 1,977,000 | \$ 5,000,000 |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Interest Rate | | | | | | | |
| Swaps | \$ 2,888,000 | \$ 1,603,000 | \$ 338,000 | \$ 2,794,000 | \$ 5,508,000 | \$ 1,197,000 | \$ 1,478,000 |

9 Fair Value Measurements

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At November 30, 2011, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Trusts investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

| California Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 147,481,716 | \$ | \$ 147,481,716 |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 147,481,716 | \$ | \$ 147,481,716 |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 76,890 | \$ | \$ | \$ 76,890 |
| Total | \$ 76,890 | \$ 147,481,716 | \$ | \$ 147,558,606 |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

| Massachusetts Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 58,947,944 | \$ | \$ 58,947,944 |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 58,947,944 | \$ | \$ 58,947,944 |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 16,296 | \$ | \$ | \$ 16,296 |
| Total | \$ 16,296 | \$ 58,947,944 | \$ | \$ 58,964,240 |
| | | | | |
| Michigan Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 42,987,733 | \$ | \$ 42,987,733 |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 42,987,733 | \$ | \$ 42,987,733 |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 10,954 | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,954 |

| Total | \$ 10,954 | \$ 42,987,733 | \$ | \$ 42,998,687 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| New Jersey Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 97,241,031 | \$ | \$ 97,241,031 |
| | | | | |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 97,241,031 | \$ | \$ 97,241,031 |
| | | | | |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 176,478 | \$ | \$ | \$ 176,478 |
| | | | | |
| Total | \$ 176,478 | \$ 97,241,031 | \$ | \$ 97,417,509 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| New York Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 121,250,114 | \$ | \$ 121,250,114 |
| | | | | |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 121,250,114 | \$ | \$ 121,250,114 |
| | | | | |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 20,371 | \$ | \$ | \$ 20,371 |
| | | | | |
| Total | \$ 20,371 | \$ 121,250,114 | \$ | \$ 121,270,485 |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

| Ohio Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 58,046,007 | \$ | \$ 58,046,007 |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 58,046,007 | \$ | \$ 58,046,007 |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 23,940 | \$ | \$ | \$ 23,940 |
| Total | \$ 23,940 | \$ 58,046,007 | \$ | \$ 58,069,947 |
| | | | | |
| | 59 | | | |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Notes to Financial Statements continued

| Pennsylvania Trust Asset Description | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ | \$ 57,432,938 | \$ | \$ 57,432,938 |
| Total Investments | \$ | \$ 57,432,938 | \$ | \$ 57,432,938 |
| Futures Contracts | \$ 60,855 | \$ | \$ | \$ 60,855 |
| Total | \$ 60,855 | \$ 57,432,938 | \$ | \$ 57,493,793 |

The Trusts held no investments or other financial instruments as of November 30, 2010 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At November 30, 2011, the value of investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2, if any, during the year then ended was not significant.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (collectively the Trusts), including the portfolios of investments, as of November 30, 2011, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, and the statements of cash flows of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust for the year then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of each Trust s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. Each Trust is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of each Trust s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2011, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust as of November 30 2011, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, and the cash flows of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Boston, Massachusetts January 17, 2012

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2012 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2011. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Trusts. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified within 60 days of the Trusts fiscal year end regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Trusts designate the following percentages of dividends from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

| Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust | 99.94% |
|--|---------|
| Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust | 99.99% |
| Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust | 100.00% |
| Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust | 100.00% |
| Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust | 99.94% |
| Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust | 99.63% |
| Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust | 98.36% |

| Eaton Van | ce | |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| Municipal | Income | Trusts |

November 30, 2011

Notice to Shareholders

At the August 4, 2011 Board Meeting, the Trustees approved the following defensive investing policy: During unusual market conditions, the Funds may invest up to 100% of assets in cash or cash equivalents temporarily, which may be inconsistent with a Fund s investment objective(s) and other policies.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Trust offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders automatically have distributions reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Trust unless they elect otherwise through their investment dealer. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that the Trust s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by the Trust. Plan participants will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan

This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan.

The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan.

Please print exact name on account:

Shareholder signature Date Shareholder signature Date

Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign.

YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY.

This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company P.O. Box 922 Wall Street Station New York, NY 10269-0560

Number of Employees

Each Trust is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

Number of Shareholders

As of November 30, 2011, Trust records indicate that there are 102, 90, 61, 113, 101, 83 and 105 registered shareholders for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively, and approximately 2,323, 1,165, 1,184, 1,871, 2,218, 1,450 and 1,369 shareholders owning the Trust shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks, and financial intermediaries for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal

Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Trust reports directly, which contain important information about a Trust, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 1-800-262-1122

NYSE Amex symbols

| California Municipal Income Trust | CEV |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust | MMV |
| Michigan Municipal Income Trust | EMI |
| New Jersey Municipal Income Trust | EVJ |
| New York Municipal Income Trust | EVY |
| Ohio Municipal Income Trust | EVO |
| Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust | EVP |

65

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Management and Organization

Position(s)

Fund Management. The Trustees of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (CEV), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (MMV), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (EMI), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (EVJ), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (EVY), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (EVO) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (EVP) (collectively, the Trusts) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Trusts affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Trusts are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Trusts, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 179 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

| Name and Year of Birth | with the Trusts | Term of Office; Length of Service | Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Interested Trustee | | | |
| Thomas E. Faust Jr. 1958 | Class II Trustee | • | Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD. Trustee and/or officer of 179 registered investment companies and 1 private investment company managed by EVM or BMR. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Trusts. Directorships in the Last Five Years. Director of EVC. |

Noninterested Trustees

| Scott E. Eston 1956 | Class II Trustee | • | Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997). Directorships in the Last Five Years. None. |
|---|----------------------|---|--|
| Benjamin C. Esty ^(A) 1963 | Class I Trustee | • | Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Directorships in the Last Five Years. ⁽¹⁾ None. |
| Allen R. Freedman 1940 | Class II Trustee | _ | Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000). Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011). |
| William H. Park 1947 | Class III Trustee | | Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981). Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None. |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Management and Organization continued

| Name and Year of Birth | Position(s) with the Trusts | Term of Office; Length of Service | Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Noninterested Trustees (contin | nued) | | |
| Ronald A. Pearlman 1940 | Class I Trustee | | Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990). Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None. |
| Helen Frame Peters 1948 | Class III Trustee | | Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998). Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009). |
| Lynn A. Stout 1957 | Class I Trustee | Until 2012. 3 years. Trustee since 1998. | Paul Hastings Professor of Corporate and Securities Law (since 2006) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law. Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None. |
| Harriett Tee Taggart 1948 | Class III Trustee | Until 2014. 3 years. Trustee since 2011. | Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP (investment |

management firm) (1983-2006).

Directorships in the Last Five Years. Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).

| Ralph F. Verni(A) | Chairman of the | Until 2013. 3 years. |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1943 | Board and | Trustee since 2005. |
| | Class II Trustee | Chairman of the |
| | | Roard since 2007 |

S. Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life. Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).

Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

| 1 | Princinal | Officers | who a | re not T | Tructeec |
|---|-----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| ı | rinicidai | CHICCIS | wiio a | re nor | LIUSIEES |

| Timelpur Officers who are | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| Name and Year of Birth | Position(s) with the Trusts | Length of Service | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years |
| Cynthia J. Clemson 1963 | President of CEV, EMI, EVY, EVO and EVP | Since 2005 | Vice President of EVM and BMR. |
| Thomas M. Metzold 1958 | President of MMV and EVJ | Since 2010 | Vice President of EVM and BMR. |
| Payson F. Swaffield 1956 | Vice President | Since 2011 | Chief Income Investment Officer of EVC. Vice President of EVM and BMR. |
| Barbara E. Campbell 1957 | Treasurer | Since 2005 | Vice President of EVM and BMR. |

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

Management and Organization continued

| Name and Year of Birth | Position(s) with the Trusts | Length of Service | Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Principal Officers who are n | ot Trustees (cont | inued) | |
| Maureen A. Gemma 1960 | Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer | Vice President since 2011, Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008 | Vice President of EVM and BMR. |
| Paul M. O Neil 1953 | Chief Compliance | Since 2004 | Vice President of EVM and BMR. |

Officer

During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as trustees of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

⁽A) APS Trustee.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2011

IMPORTANT NOTICES

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. *Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise.* If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund may redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary. A Fund also may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if the Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount.

Closed-End Fund Information. The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain quarterly fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each calendar quarter-end. Certain month-end fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each calendar month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent calendar quarter-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the quarter. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Investment Adviser and Administrator **Eaton Vance Management**Two International Place
Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116

Transfer Agent
American Stock Transfer & Trust Company
59 Maiden Lane
Plaza Level
New York, NY 10038

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm **Deloitte & Touche LLP** 200 Berkeley Street Boston, MA 02116-5022

Fund Offices Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 147-1/12 CE-MUNISRC7

Item 2. Code of Ethics

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2011 by the Fund s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

| Fiscal Years Ended | 11/30/10 | 11/30/11 |
|--|---|---|
| Audit Fees Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾ Tax Fees ⁽²⁾ All Other Fees ⁽³⁾ | \$ 23,438 \$ 3,915 \$ 7,289 \$ 500 | \$ 23,668 \$ 3,915 \$ 7,400 \$ 300 |
| Total | \$ 35,142 | \$ 35,283 |

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees and specifically include fees for the performance of certain agreed-upon procedures relating to the registrant s auction preferred shares.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full

responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant. (e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

- (f) Not applicable.
- (g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30,

2010 and November 30, 2011; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

| Fiscal Years Ended | 11/30/10 | 11/30/11 |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Registrant | \$ 11,704 | \$ 11,615 |
| Eaton Vance(1) | \$ 278,901 | \$ 287,931 |

- (1) Eaton Vance Management, a subsidiary of Eaton Vance Corp., acts as the registrant s investment adviser and administrator.
- (h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Helen Frame Peters, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the

Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

Cynthia J. Clemson, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, William H. Ahern, Jr., portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust and Adam A. Weigold, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a co-Director of Municipal Investments and Vice President of Eaton Vance Management (EVM) and Boston Management and Research (BMR), an Eaton Vance subsidiary. Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Weigold has been a credit analyst with Eaton Vance since 1991 and a portfolio manager since 2007, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following table shows, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

| | | | | Total A | Assets of |
|----------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Number | | Total | Number of | | |
| of | | Assets | Accounts Paying a | | counts ying a |
| All | of All | | Performance | Performance | |
| Accounts | Α | ccounts | Fee | Fee | |
| | | | | | |
| 10 | \$ | 1,433.8 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | \$ | 1,325.1 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | \$ | 22.0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | \$ | 556.8 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | \$ | 303.3 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | | 0 | | | 0 |
| 1 | \$ | 22.0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| | of All Accounts 10 0 0 13 0 1 13 0 0 13 0 0 | of All Accounts A 10 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 13 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 13 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 13 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ | of Assets All of All Accounts 10 \$ 1,433.8 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 13 \$ 1,325.1 0 \$ 0 1 \$ 22.0 13 \$ 556.8 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 13 \$ 0 | of Assets Accounts All of All Performance Accounts Fee 10 \$ 1,433.8 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 13 \$ 1,325.1 0 0 \$ 0 0 1 \$ 22.0 0 13 \$ 556.8 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 13 \$ 303.3 0 0 \$ 0 0 | Number of of of Assets Total Accounts Paying a Paying a Paying a Paying a Paying a Performance Accounts Paying a Paying a Paying a Performance Performance Performance Accounts 10 \$ 1,433.8 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 13 \$ 1,325.1 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 1 \$ 22.0 0 \$ 0 13 \$ 556.8 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 13 \$ 303.3 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 |

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.

| Portfolio Manager and Fund Name Cynthia J. Clemson California Municipal Income Trust | Dollar Range of Equity Securities Owned in the Fund |
|--|---|
| California Municipal Income Trust | None |
| William H. Ahern, Jr. Michigan Municipal Income Trust Ohio Municipal Income Trust | None None |
| Craig R. Brandon Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust | None |
| New York Municipal Income Trust | None |
| Adam A. Weigold New Jersey Municipal Income Trust | None |

None

Potential for Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of the Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between the Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

Compensation Structure for EVM

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

Method to Determine Compensation. EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund s peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM s management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund s success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and

accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM s portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated **Purchasers**

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No Material Changes.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits

| (a)(1) | Registrant s Code of Ethics | Not applicable (please see Item 2). |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (a)(2)(i) | Treasurer s Section 302 certification | |

Treasurer s Section 302 certification. (a)(2)(1)President s Section 302 certification. (a)(2)(ii)Combined Section 906 certification. (b)

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: January 17, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell Barbara E. Campbell Treasurer

Date: January 17, 2012

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: January 17, 2012