AMERISTAR CASINOS INC Form DEF 14A April 28, 2006

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

riiea	by the Registrant x			
Filed	by a Party other than the Registrant o			
Chec	ck the appropriate box:			
D.	Preliminary Proxy Statement	0	Confidential, for Use of the Con	nmission
	Definitive Proxy Statement			C. Intity In
	Definitive Additional Materials			the Registrant) hange Act Rule 0-11
	Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12			
	AMERISTA	R CAS	INOS, INC.	
	(Name of Registra	ant as Specified	in Its Charter)	
	(Name of Person(s) Filing Pro	oxy Statement, is	f other than the Registrant)	
Payn	nent of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):			
X	No fee required.			
O	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14	a-6(i)(1) and 0-1	11.	
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction	on applies:		
		•		
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction	on applies:		
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calcul-			
Payment of Scott No.				

(5) Total fee paid: Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (3) Filing Party:		(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing (1) Amount Previously Paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	o Fo Co	(5) T	otal fee paid:
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing (1) Amount Previously Paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	0	Fee p	d previously with preliminary materials.
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (3) Filing Party:	o	Check	box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting
(3) Filing Party:	o I	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
		(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(4) Date Filed:		(3)	Filing Party:
		(4)	Date Filed:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

PROXY STATEMENT

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

FORM 10 -K

FUTURE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

OTHER MATTERS

Table of Contents

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held on June 9, 2006

To the Stockholders of Ameristar Casinos, Inc.

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at 2:00 p.m. (local time) on Friday, June 9, 2006, at Bellagio, 3600 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect two Class B Directors to serve for a three-year term; and
- 2. To transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements.

A proxy statement containing information for stockholders is annexed hereto and a copy of our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2005 is enclosed herewith.

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on May 1, 2006 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

Whether or not you expect to attend the meeting in person, please date and sign the accompanying proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope enclosed for that purpose.

By order of the Board of Directors

Craig H. Neilsen

President and Chief Executive Officer

Las Vegas, Nevada April 28, 2006

Table of Contents

AMERISTAR CASINOS, INC. 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 490 South Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 (702) 567-7000

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Ameristar Casinos, Inc. (we, Ameristar or the Company), a Nevada corporation, for use only at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Friday, June 9, 2006, or any adjournments or postponements (the Annual Meeting). We anticipate that this proxy statement and accompanying proxy card will first be mailed to stockholders on or about May 12, 2006.

You may not vote your shares unless the signed proxy card is returned or you make other specific arrangements to have the shares represented at the Annual Meeting. Any stockholder of record giving a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is voted by filing with the Secretary of Ameristar a notice in writing revoking it, by executing a proxy bearing a later date or by attending the Annual Meeting and expressing a desire to revoke the proxy and vote the shares in person. If your shares are held in street name you should consult with your broker or other nominee concerning procedures for revocation. Subject to any revocation, all shares represented by a properly executed proxy card will be voted as you direct on the proxy card. If no choice is specified, proxies will be voted for the election as Directors of the persons nominated by our Board of Directors.

In addition to soliciting proxies by mail, Ameristar officers, Directors and other regular employees, without additional compensation, may solicit proxies personally or by other appropriate means. We will bear the total cost of solicitation of proxies. Although there are no formal agreements to do so, we anticipate that we will reimburse banks, brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable expenses in forwarding any proxy soliciting materials to their principals.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on May 1, 2006 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of March 31, 2006, we had 56,194,243 shares of Common Stock outstanding, which constituted all of our outstanding voting securities. Each share outstanding on the record date is entitled to one vote on each matter. A majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding on the record date and represented at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast. You may not cumulate your votes in the election of directors. Under Nevada law, in general, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes actually cast on any other proposal that may be presented at the Annual Meeting will constitute the approval of the stockholders.

A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal or matter, and so notifies us, because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that proposal or matter and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business but will not be counted in any of the matters being voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Thus, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of Directors.

Craig H. Neilsen, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, owns 30,958,400 outstanding shares of our Common Stock, which represented approximately 55.1% of our voting power as of March 31, 2006. Mr. Neilsen intends to vote all his shares for the election as Directors of the persons nominated by the Board of Directors. Mr. Neilsen s vote by itself will be sufficient to cause the election of the Directors nominated by the Board of Directors.

All share information in this proxy statement has been retroactively adjusted to give effect to our 2-for-1 stock split effective June 20, 2005.

Table of Contents

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Information Concerning the Nominees

Our Articles of Incorporation provide that the Board of Directors shall be classified, with respect to the time for which the Directors hold office, into three classes, as nearly equal in number as possible as the total number of Directors constituting the entire Board permits. The Board of Directors is authorized to fix the number of Directors from time to time at not less than three and not more than 15. The authorized number of Directors is currently fixed at seven. Of the seven incumbent Directors, two are Class B Directors whose terms are expiring in 2006 and who our Board of Directors has nominated for re-election as described below. Biographical information concerning the nominees and our other Directors is set forth under the caption Directors and Executive Officers. See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management for information regarding each such person s holdings of Common Stock.

The Board of Directors has nominated each of the incumbent Class B Directors, Leslie Nathanson Juris and Thomas M. Steinbauer, to be elected for a term expiring at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal.

The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that its nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected. However, should these nominees become unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the persons named as proxies will vote instead for such other persons as the Board of Directors may recommend.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of each of the above-named nominees as Directors.

Directors and Executive Officers

The following sets forth information as of April 15, 2006 with regard to each of our Directors and executive officers. The terms of office of the Class A, B and C Directors expire in 2008, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

Name	Age	Position
Craig H. Neilsen	64	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Class C Director
Gordon R. Kanofsky	50	Executive Vice President
Thomas M. Steinbauer	55	Senior Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial
		Officer, Treasurer, Secretary and Class B Director
Angela R. Frost	41	Senior Vice President of Operations
Peter C. Walsh	49	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Larry A. Hodges *	57	Class A Director
Joseph E. Monaly*	70	Class C Director
Leslie Nathanson Juris	59	Class B Director
J. William Richardson*	58	Class C Director
Luther P. Cochrane	57	Class A Director

^{*} Member of the Audit Committee.

Member of the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Neilsen has been Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since our inception in August 1993. Since May 1984, Mr. Neilsen has been the President and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Cactus Pete s, Inc. (CPI), a predecessor and now a subsidiary of the Company. Mr. Neilsen has also been the President and sole director of each of the Company s other subsidiaries since their inception. Mr. Neilsen has been actively involved in the development and operation of all of our properties for more than 19 years. He also owns a controlling interest in several closely held entities unrelated to our business, most of which are engaged in real estate development and management operations. Since 1987, Mr. Neilsen has devoted substantially all of his business time to the affairs of the Company. He was named Gaming CEO of the Year in 2002

2

Table of Contents

by the American Gaming Association and was inducted into the Gaming Hall of Fame in 2005. Mr. Neilsen is a member of the Board of Directors of the American Gaming Association.

Mr. Kanofsky joined the Company in September 1999 and has been Executive Vice President since March 2002 after initially serving as Senior Vice President of Legal Affairs. Mr. Kanofsky oversees the Company s legal, regulatory compliance, business development and governmental affairs departments. Mr. Kanofsky was in private law practice in Washington, D.C. and Los Angeles, California from 1980 to September 1999. While in private practice, he represented the Company beginning in 1993. Mr. Kanofsky also represented several other gaming industry clients while in private practice. Mr. Kanofsky serves on the American Gaming Association s Task Force on Diversity. He is a graduate of the Duke University School of Law and holds an undergraduate degree from Washington University in St. Louis.

Mr. Steinbauer has been Senior Vice President of Finance of the Company since 1995 and Treasurer and a Director since our inception. Mr. Steinbauer was appointed as Secretary of the Company in June 1998 and as Chief Financial Officer in July 2003. He served as Vice President of Finance and Administration and Secretary of the Company from our inception until 1995. Mr. Steinbauer has 30 years of experience in the gaming industry in Nevada and elsewhere. From April 1989 to January 1991, Mr. Steinbauer was Vice President of Finance of Las Vegas Sands, Inc., the owner of the Sands Hotel & Casino in Las Vegas. From August 1988 to April 1989, he worked for McClaskey Enterprises as the General Manager of the Red Lion Inn & Casino, handling the day-to-day operations of seven hotel and casino properties in northern Nevada. Mr. Steinbauer was Property Controller of Bally s Reno from 1987 to 1988. Prior to that time, he was employed for 11 years by the Hilton Corporation and rose from an auditor to be the Casino Controller of the Flamingo Hilton in Las Vegas and later the Property Controller of the Reno Hilton. Mr. Steinbauer holds Bachelor of Science degrees in Business Administration and Accounting from the University of Nebraska-Omaha.

Ms. Frost was promoted to Senior Vice President of Operations of the Company in January 2002 and is responsible for overseeing our operations as well as the operational aspects of our construction projects. Ms. Frost has been with the Company or our predecessor, CPI, in various capacities since 1984. Most recently, she was Vice President of Hotel Operations since joining the corporate office in January 2001. Ms. Frost served as the Senior Vice President and General Manager of The Reserve Hotel & Casino in Henderson, Nevada from 1997 until we sold that property in January 2001, and was the General Manager of our Jackpot properties from 1995 until moving to The Reserve in 1997. Ms. Frost served in various capacities at the Jackpot properties prior to becoming the General Manager.

Mr. Walsh joined the Company as Senior Vice President and General Counsel in April 2002. From June 2001 to April 2002, he was in private law practice in Las Vegas, Nevada. Mr. Walsh was Assistant General Counsel of MGM MIRAGE from June 2000 to June 2001, also serving as Vice President of that company from December 2000 to June 2001. He was Assistant General Counsel of Mirage Resorts, Incorporated from 1992 until its acquisition by MGM MIRAGE in May 2000. Prior to joining Mirage Resorts, he was in private law practice in Los Angeles, California from 1981 to 1992. Mr. Walsh is a graduate of UCLA School of Law and holds an undergraduate degree from Loyola Marymount University in Los Angeles.

Mr. Hodges became a Director of the Company in March 1994. Since September 2005, he has been a Managing Director of Corporate Revitalization Partners, LLC (CRP), a privately held business management firm. From July 2003 to September 2005, he was a Managing Director of RKG Osnos Partners, LLC, a privately held business management firm that merged with CRP. Mr. Hodges has more than 35 years—experience in the retail food business. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of Mrs. Fields Original Cookies, Inc. from April 1994 to May 2003, after serving as President of Food Barn Stores, Inc. from July 1991 to March 1994. From February 1990 to October 1991, Mr. Hodges served as president of his own company, Branshan Inc., which engaged in the business of providing management consulting services to food makers and retailers. Earlier, Mr. Hodges was with American Stores

Company for 25 years, where he rose to the position of President of two substantial subsidiary corporations. Mr. Hodges first management position was Vice President of Marketing for Alpha Beta Co., a major operator of grocery stores in the West.

Mr. Monaly became a Director of the Company in April 2001. Mr. Monaly retired in 1989 as an audit partner with Arthur Andersen LLP, where he had international responsibility for the firm s gaming industry practice. He has

3

Table of Contents

more than 30 years—experience in auditing and consulting with gaming companies. He co-authored with leaders of the industry the first authoritative audit and accounting guide for gaming under the auspices of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. He has served on various commissions and municipal task forces since his retirement from public accounting. Mr. Monaly is a graduate of the University of Southern California, where he earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting.

Ms. Nathanson Juris became a Director of the Company in May 2003. She has more than 25 years of experience as a consultant in the areas of implementing strategy and managing complex organizational change. She works with executives to develop strategy, structure, succession, culture and practices to improve organizational performance. Since June 1999, she has been President of Nathanson/Juris Consulting, where she advises executives of both publicly and privately held companies in a broad range of industries. From 1994 to June 1999, she was Managing Partner of Roberts, Nathanson & Wolfson Consulting, Inc. (now known as RNW Consulting), a management consulting firm. Ms. Nathanson Juris holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Tufts University, a Master of Arts degree specializing in management and education from Northwestern University and a Ph.D. degree specializing in organizational behavior from Northwestern University.

Mr. Richardson became a Director of the Company in July 2003. He has over 30 years experience in the hotel industry. Since February 2004, Mr. Richardson has been Chief Financial Officer of Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (IHR), the nation slargest independent hotel management company. IHR manages more than 300 hotels for third-party owners, including REITs, institutional real estate owners and privately held companies. From 1988 to July 2002, he held several executive finance positions with Interstate Hotels Corporation (a predecessor of IHR), most recently Vice Chairman/Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Richardson began his hotel finance career in 1970 as Hotel Controller with Marriott Corporation, then became Vice President and Corporate Controller of Interstate Hotels Corporation in 1981, and Partner and Vice President of Finance with the start-up hotelier Stormont Company in 1984, before re-joining Interstate Hotels in 1988. Mr. Richardson holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business/Finance from the University of Kentucky.

Mr. Cochrane was elected by the Board of Directors as a Director in January 2006. Since June 2004, he has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of BE&K Building Group, Inc., a diversified commercial, hospitality, healthcare, industrial and institutional construction firm in the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic regions. From 1998 to March 2003, he was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and later Chairman, of Bovis Lend Lease, a global real estate group providing a full range of construction, development, capital structuring and consulting services. From 1990 to 2003, Mr. Cochrane held various senior executive positions with the construction firms McDevitt Street Bovis and Bovis Lend Lease Americas, including Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bovis Lend Lease Americas. Mr. Cochrane was formerly a senior partner in Griffin, Cochrane and Marshall in Atlanta, Georgia, specializing in real estate and construction law. He is a graduate of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the University of North Carolina School of Law at Chapel Hill. Mr. Cochrane is also a director of New Dominion Bank, a commercial bank in Charlotte, North Carolina.

Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Board of Directors and Committees

Directors are elected to serve staggered three-year terms and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Each Director who is not employed by the Company (referred to as an Outside Director) receives an annual Director s fee of \$30,000, paid in quarterly installments, plus \$3,500 for each Board meeting (and each Board committee meeting held other than in conjunction with a Board meeting) attended in person. The Chairman of the Audit Committee receives an additional annual fee of \$10,000, paid quarterly, for service in that capacity. Pursuant to our 2002 Non-Employee Directors Stock Election Plan, each Outside Director may elect to be paid all or a portion of his or her Director s fee in

shares of our Common Stock in lieu of cash. None of our current Directors has elected to do so.

The Board has adopted a general policy of granting options to purchase 20,000 shares of Common Stock to each new Outside Director who joins the Board and options to purchase 15,000 shares of Common Stock to each Outside Director on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders so long as the Outside Director has held such position for at least six months. All options granted pursuant to the policy vest on the first anniversary of the grant

4

Table of Contents

date. We also reimburse each Outside Director for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in his or her capacity as a member of the Board or its committees. No payments are made for participation in telephonic meetings of the Board or its committees or actions taken in writing. The Board held five meetings during 2005.

The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Hodges, Monaly, Richardson and Cochrane, and Ms. Nathanson Juris, are independent, as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(15) of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. s listing requirements.

Stockholders may communicate with the Board of Directors or individual Directors by mail addressed to the same at our principal office in Las Vegas. The Company transmits these communications directly to the Director(s) without screening them.

Since June 2005, the Audit Committee has consisted of Messrs. Monaly, Richardson and Hodges, with Mr. Monaly serving as Chairman of the Committee. Prior to that, Messrs. Monaly, Richardson and W. Bruce Turner, a former Director of the Company, served on the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Monaly and Richardson are audit committee financial experts, as defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, and reviews and reassesses the adequacy of the charter on an annual basis. The functions of the Audit Committee include selecting the Company s independent registered public accounting firm and approving the terms of their engagement, approving the terms of any other services to be rendered by the independent registered public accounting firm, discussing with the independent registered public accounting firm the scope and results of their audit, reviewing our audited financial statements, considering matters pertaining to our accounting policies, reviewing the adequacy of our system of internal control over financial reporting and providing a means for direct communication between the independent registered public accounting firm and the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee has not adopted a pre-approval policy with respect to any general classes of audit or non-audit services of the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee s policy is that all proposals for specific services must be approved by the Audit Committee or by the Chairman of the Committee pursuant to delegated authority. The Audit Committee held four meetings during 2005.

In 2005, the Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Richardson and Hodges and Ms. Nathanson Juris, with Mr. Richardson serving as Chairman of the Committee. Mr. Cochrane was appointed to the Compensation Committee in January 2006. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee. The functions of the Compensation Committee include reviewing and approving compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, reviewing and making recommendations with respect to the executive compensation and benefits philosophy and strategy of the Company, administering our stock-based incentive compensation plans and selecting participants for our Deferred Compensation Plan. The Compensation Committee held six meetings during 2005.

We have no nominating committee or committee performing similar functions because we believe that a nominating committee would only add an unnecessary extra layer of corporate governance. Nominations of directors are made by the entire Board of Directors, five of the seven of whom are independent as described above. While the listing requirements of The Nasdaq Stock Market generally require nominations to be made by an independent committee or a majority of the independent Directors, we are exempt from this requirement as a controlled company by virtue of Mr. Neilsen s ownership of a majority of our voting power.

The Board of Directors has not adopted a formal policy with respect to consideration of any Director candidates recommended by stockholders. We believe that such a policy is unnecessary because we do not limit the sources from which we may receive nominations. The Board of Directors will consider candidates recommended by stockholders. Stockholders may submit such recommendations by mail to the attention of the Board of Directors or the Secretary of

the Company at our principal office in Las Vegas. The Board of Directors has not established any specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a nominee for a position on the Board of Directors, but takes into account a candidate s education, business or other experience, independence, character and any particular expertise or knowledge the candidate possesses that may be relevant to service on the Board of Directors or its committees. The Board of Directors evaluates potential nominees without regard to the source of the recommendation. The Board of Directors identifies potential nominees through recommendations from individual Directors

5

Table of Contents

and management, and from time to time we also retain and pay third-party professional search firms to assist the Board of Directors in identifying and evaluating potential nominees.

During 2005, each Director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and each committee on which he or she served. We have not adopted a formal policy with regard to Directors attendance at annual meetings of stockholders, but we expect all Directors to attend annual meetings in the absence of unusual circumstances. All of the members of the Board of Directors other than Ms. Nathanson Juris attended the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Our Gaming Compliance Program requires one of the members of the Compliance Committee that oversees that Program to be an Outside Director of the Company. Mr. Hodges has been appointed by the Board of Directors as the Chairman of the Compliance Committee. For these additional services, Mr. Hodges receives compensation of \$1,000 per meeting, whether attended in person or by telephone. The Compliance Committee held four meetings during 2005. Mr. Steinbauer is also a member of the Compliance Committee, but he does not receive any additional compensation for these services.

Code of Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics, in accordance with Item 406 of Regulation S-K, that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer/controller and persons performing similar functions. We filed the Code of Ethics as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth information as of March 31, 2006 concerning beneficial ownership of our Common Stock, as that term is defined in the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, by: (i) all persons known by us to be beneficial owners of more than 5% of our outstanding Common Stock, (ii) each Director, (iii) each executive officer and (iv) all executive officers and Directors as a group. The persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, unless otherwise indicated.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock		
Craig H. Neilsen	31,378,400(1)	55.4%		
Private Capital Management, L.P.	5,678,165(2)	10.1%		
Gordon R. Kanofsky	109,492(3)	(4)		
Thomas M. Steinbauer	28,736(5)	(4)		
Angela R. Frost	82,848(6)	(4)		
Peter C. Walsh	247,760(7)	(4)		
Larry A. Hodges	98,800(8)	(4)		
Joseph E. Monaly	1,000	(4)		
J. William Richardson	27,500(9)	(4)		
Leslie Nathanson Juris	30,000(9)	(4)		
Luther P. Cochrane	0	0		
All executive officers and Directors as a group (10 persons)	32,004,536(10)	55.9%		

- (1) Mr. Neilsen s mailing address is c/o Ameristar Casinos, Inc., 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 490 South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109. Includes 420,000 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.
- (2) Private Capital Management, L.P. (PCM), a registered investment adviser whose mailing address is 8889 Pelican Bay Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34108, has reported shared voting and dispositive power as to these shares. Bruce S. Sherman, the CEO of PCM, and Gregg J. Powers, the President of PCM, have each

6

Table of Contents

- reported shared voting and dispositive power as to these shares as well. This information is derived from a Schedule 13G, dated February 10, 2006, filed by PCM with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (3) Includes 12,000 shares held by a family trust (the Kanofsky Trust) of which Mr. Kanofsky is a co-trustee with his wife, with whom he shares voting and investment power. Includes 97,492 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options, which stock options are held by the Kanofsky Trust.
- (4) Represents less than 1% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.
- (5) Includes 1,000 shares held jointly by Mr. Steinbauer and his wife and with respect to which Mr. and Mrs. Steinbauer have shared voting and investment power. Includes 27,736 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.
- (6) Includes 82,448 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.
- (7) Consists solely of shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options. Options are held by a family trust of which Mr. Walsh is a co-trustee with his wife, with whom he shares voting and investment power.
- (8) Includes 96,000 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.
- (9) Consists solely of shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.
- (10) Includes 1,028,936 shares that may be acquired within 60 days of March 31, 2006 upon exercise of stock options.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

To the best of our knowledge, all filings for the year 2005 required to be made by our executive officers and Directors under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, were made on a timely basis.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors consists of Messrs. Richardson, Hodges and Cochrane and Ms. Nathanson Juris. Mr. Cochrane joined the Compensation Committee after his election to the Board on January 27, 2006. None of the members is or was an employee or officer or a former employee or officer of the Company or our subsidiaries.

Report of the Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee administers our stock incentive plans pursuant to which our employees (including executive officers) may receive stock option and restricted stock grants. The Compensation Committee also determines salaries and other compensation of our executive officers and determines which employees are eligible to participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan. None of the actions or recommendations of the Compensation Committee in 2005 were modified or rejected by the Board of Directors.

General Compensation Philosophy

The Compensation Committee tries to compensate our executive officers in a fashion that will attract, retain, motivate and appropriately reward those individuals who are responsible for our profitability and growth. The compensation of executive officers has historically been determined primarily on subjective factors and competitive requirements.

Compensation for our executive officers in 2005 consisted principally of salary, a cash bonus and the award of stock options. Executive officers also participated in benefit plans available to employees generally, including a

7

Table of Contents

medical plan, a 401(k) plan and group term life insurance, as well as certain benefit plans available only to highly compensated employees, including a supplemental medical plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan.

In 2004, the Compensation Committee retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FWC), an independent compensation consulting firm, to review the compensation and benefit arrangements for our executive officers. Based on the recommendation of FWC, the Compensation Committee set each officer s total target cash compensation (base salary plus target annual bonus described below) at approximately the median of total target cash compensation paid by a peer group of 15 publicly traded gaming companies identified by FWC: Alliance Gaming Corporation; Argosy Gaming Company; Aztar Corporation; Boyd Gaming Corporation; Caesars Entertainment, Inc.; Dover Downs Gaming & Entertainment, Inc.; Harrah s Entertainment, Inc.; Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc.; Mandalay Resort Group; MGM MIRAGE; Monarch Casino & Resort, Inc.; MTR Gaming Group, Inc.; Penn National Gaming, Inc.; Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc.; and Station Casinos, Inc. (the Peer Group). In 2005, the Compensation Committee did not retain a compensation consulting firm, but asked our management to update the compensation data on the Peer Group for the Committee s review. Based in part on its review of that information, the Compensation Committee established each officer s total target compensation for 2005 near the median of total cash compensation paid by the Peer Group. In making its determinations as to base salary for 2005, the Compensation Committee also took into account certain other factors, including the compensation paid to the executive officers in prior years, the responsibilities of each executive officer and the Chief Executive Officer s subjective assessment of each executive officer s contributions to the Company during 2005. No specific weight was assigned to any particular factor.

For 2005, each of the executive officers was awarded a cash bonus as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table below. The Compensation Committee determined the cash bonuses to be paid to corporate senior management pursuant to the annual bonus program approved in March 2005 and the performance-based bonus plan previously approved by our stockholders for the Chief Executive Officer. The senior management bonus program set forth: (1) a target bonus, expressed as a percentage of base salary, for each member of senior management based on a target level of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization expense (EBITDA) expected to be achieved by the Company in 2005; and (2) a formula pursuant to which each executive s actual bonus would be determined as a percentage (not to exceed 200%) of his or her target bonus based on the actual EBITDA achieved by the Company in 2005 and the executive s merit performance grade. While the Compensation Committee retained discretion under the senior management bonus program to adjust each executive s actual bonus upward or downward from the amount determined by applying the formula, the Compensation Committee did not exercise such discretion in 2005.

The Compensation Committee believes it is both appropriate and important that the long-term economic interests of executive officers and key employees be aligned with those of our stockholders. In 2005, the Compensation Committee made annual stock option awards by targeting approximately the median annual fair-value transfer of our Peer Group. Using this methodology, in December 2005, the Compensation Committee awarded to eligible employees, including all executive officers, stock options having a total Black-Scholes grant-date value equal to approximately 1% of our market capitalization. The options were allocated among eligible employees based on each employee s level and base salary. A total of 417,650 options were awarded to the five executive officers, as set forth in the Option Grants in 2005 table below. All options were granted at the market price of the Common Stock on the date of grant (\$22.87), with a term of seven years and annual installment vesting over five years, except for the options granted to our Chief Executive Officer, which were vested in full upon grant as discussed under Compensation of Chief Executive Officer below.

We also maintain a Deferred Compensation Plan, a non-qualified plan that allows highly compensated employees selected by the Compensation Committee (or the Chairman of the Committee acting on delegated authority) to defer a portion of their salary and bonus. We match the first 5% of a participant s salary deferrals and the first 5% of a participant s bonus deferrals, which matching contributions vest over the employee s first five years of participation in

the plan. There are currently approximately 75 employees who are participants in the Deferred Compensation Plan, including each of the executive officers.

8

Table of Contents

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code disallows a deduction for federal income tax purposes of most compensation exceeding \$1,000,000 in any year paid to the chief executive officer and the four other most highly compensated executive officers of a publicly traded corporation. We were not impacted by Section 162(m) in 2005. The Compensation Committee takes into account the effect of Section 162(m) if the potential compensation payable to any executive officer approaches \$1,000,000, and the Compensation Committee has done so in adopting the Performance-Based Bonus Plan for Craig H. Neilsen, which was approved by our stockholders in 2002 (the Bonus Plan). The Bonus Plan is a qualifying performance-based plan and, as a result, amounts awarded under the Bonus Plan are not subject to the deductibility limitation of Section 162(m). However, the fact that compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 may not be deductible for federal income tax purposes will not necessarily preclude the award of such compensation if the Compensation Committee believes it is otherwise justified.

Compensation of Chief Executive Officer

In December 2004, the Compensation Committee set the Chief Executive Officer s 2005 annual salary at \$850,000, an increase of \$100,000 from the amount of his 2004 salary. Consistent with the methodology used for the other executive officers as described above, the Compensation Committee established Mr. Neilsen s total target cash compensation at approximately the median of total target cash compensation paid to chief executive officers by the Company s Peer Group. In December 2005, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Neilsen s 2006 annual salary to \$925,000.

Mr. Neilsen was awarded a cash bonus of \$703,568 for 2005. In determining Mr. Neilsen s 2005 bonus, the Compensation Committee implemented the Bonus Plan previously adopted for him. Under the Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee established: (1) a target bonus for Mr. Neilsen based on a target level of EBITDA expected to be achieved by the Company in 2005; and (2) a formula pursuant to which Mr. Neilsen s actual bonus would be determined as a percentage (not to exceed 200%) of his target bonus, based on the actual EBITDA achieved by the Company in 2005. Under the Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee retains discretion to adjust Mr. Neilsen s actual bonus downward (but not upward) from the amount determined by applying the formula. The Compensation Committee did not exercise such discretion in 2005.

In December 2005, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Neilsen 210,000 stock options pursuant to our annual stock option grant program, based on the approximate median allocation of annual fair-value transfer to chief executive officers by our Peer Group. These options were granted at the market price of our Common Stock on the date of grant with a term of seven years. The options were vested in full upon grant in accordance with the recommendation of FWC in order to avoid the compensation expense that we would otherwise have been required to recognize beginning in 2006 upon the effectiveness of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. For the same reason, and also based on the recommendation of FWC, in 2005 the Compensation Committee accelerated the vesting date of the 210,000 stock options granted to Mr. Neilsen in December 2004 so that they all became vested and exercisable on October 28, 2005.

After reviewing all elements of Mr. Neilsen s compensation, the Compensation Committee believes that his total compensation package is reasonable and appropriate.

Compensation Committee

J. William Richardson, Chairman Larry A. Hodges Leslie Nathanson Juris

9

Table of Contents

Summary of Compensation of Named Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information concerning the annual and long-term compensation earned by the Named Executive Officers for services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. The Named Executive Officers include the Chief Executive Officer and the other four executive officers of the Company at December 31, 2005.

Summary Compensation Table

				Long-Term Compensation Awards(4) Other Shares AnnualUnderlying ompensatiOptions Co			_	
Name and Principal Position	Year	(\$)(2)		(\$)	(\$)(3)	(#)		(\$)(5)
Craig H. Neilsen, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	2005 2004 2003	\$ \$ \$	850,000 930,288 1,110,577	\$ 703,568 740,324 914,060		210,000 210,000 0	\$ \$ \$	87,390 93,133 110,734
Gordon R. Kanofsky, Executive Vice President	2005 2004 2003	\$ \$ \$	448,943 407,404 382,596	\$ 316,606 342,682 397,212		77,120 83,800 48,340	\$ \$ \$	47,044 45,407 46,793
Thomas M. Steinbauer, Senior Vice President of Finance and Chief	2005 2004 2003	\$ Taxes. Total tax income for the three months ended September 30, 2009 amounted to \$1.6 million, compared to tax expense of \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008. Tax income for the three months ended September 30, 2009 is primarily attributable to reduction of income before tax as explained above, and as a result of an income tax benefit attributable to a settlement of a tax uncertainty in favor of our OEM operations in Israel, which resulted in the reduction of a previously recorded tax provision of approximately \$1.6 million.	329,365 314,481	174,133 198,222		36,060 39,600	\$	34,168 33,239
		Net income to controlling interest. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, net income increased 56.6% to \$1.2 million, from net income of \$0.7 million for						

the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Nine Months ended September 30, 2009 compared with Nine months ended September 30, 2008

Revenues. Total revenues decreased to \$64.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from \$72.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 10.3%. This reflects decreased revenues in the MRO and Parts services operations in the U.S.; off-set by increased revenues in the OEM operations in Israel due to organic growth in the OEM of Heat Transfer products segment, as well as revenues in the OEM of Electric Motion Systems segment derived from the Company s 70% controlled subsidiary, Bental, commencing August 18, 2008.

Cost of revenues. Cost of revenues decreased to \$50.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from \$55.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 9.6%. The decrease in cost of revenues was primarily attributable to the decreased revenues in the MRO and Part services segments and to product mix with higher margin sold during the period in the OEM of Heat Transfer Products segment. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 77% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009, a slight increase compared to 76% in the nine months ended September 30, 2008, primarily as a result of product mix with lower margin products sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as well as to additional cost in the MRO segment, related to Repair Center and Storefront agreements affected retroactively.

Research and development. Research and Development expenses were \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, and are related to new products and technologies within the OEM operations in Israel. Research and Development expenses as a percentage of revenues in this

segment were 1% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. TAT did not incur any material research and development expenses in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. TAT does expect to continue to incur and record research and development expenses in coming years.

Selling and marketing expenses. Selling and marketing expenses decreased to \$2.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, from \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 19.5%. The decrease in selling and marketing expenses was primarily attributable to decreased payroll expenses in Israel and the U.S.. Selling and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues were 4.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to 4.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased to \$9.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, from \$8.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 3.8%. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily attributable to one time expenses associated with the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of TAT and Limco mentioned above and to increased expenses in the OEM operations as a result of the consolidation of Bental operations commencing August 18, 2008 off-set by one-time expenses in 2008 associated with the retirement of certain management members. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 14% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from 12% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Relocation Expenses. On July 28, 2009 the Company had determined not to go forward with the planned relocation of the operations of Limco s Tulsa, Oklahoma based subsidiary to the location of Limco s Piedmont Aviation Component Services, Inc. subsidiary in

Kernersville, North Carolina. Relocation expenses were \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to none during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. TAT expects not to incur additional material costs related to that item.

Operating income. Operating income decreased to \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from \$4.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, a decrease of 58%. The decrease in operating income reflects decreased gross and operational margins in the MRO and in the Parts services segments in 2009 compared to 2008; offset by increased gross and operational margins in the OEM operations due to improved margins in the OEM of Heat Transfer products segment, as well as operational income in the OEM of Electric Motion Systems segment derived from the company s 70% controlled subsidiary, Bental Industries Ltd. commencing August 18, 2008.

Financial expenses. Financial expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$1.4 million, compared to \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. In the first nine months of 2009 financial expense primarily resulted from changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Israeli Shekel, as well as interest payments on long-term loans. In the first nine months of 2008 financial expense primarily resulted from changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Israeli Shekel.

Financial income. Financial income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$1.3 million, compared to \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and was primarily attributable to hedging activities related to exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Israeli Shekel and to interest received for short-term investments.

Other Income (expenses). TAT had other income of \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to insignificant other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily resulted from a change in the fair value of unrealized forward transactions gains as of September 30, 2009.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest. TAT recognized a net income attributable to noncontrolling interest of \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared with a net income attributable to noncontrolling interest of \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Taxes. Total tax income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 amounted to \$1.0 million, compared to tax expense of \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Tax income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 is primarily attributable to reduction of income before tax as explained above, and as a result of an income tax benefit attributable to a settlement of a tax uncertainty in favor of our OEM operations in Israel, which resulted in the reduction of a previously recorded tax provision of approximately \$1.6 million.

Net income to controlling interest. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, net income was \$2.8 million, compared with net income of \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, TAT received a \$1.3 million loan from Bank Leumi to finance Bental s working capital.

As of September 30, 2009 TAT had cash and cash equivalents and short-term deposits of \$26.1, in addition to marketable securities of \$10.8 million, as compared with cash and cash equivalents and short-term

deposits of \$23.2 million, in addition to marketable securities of \$21.1 million, as of September 30, 2008.

On July 15, 2009 Limco entered into a credit facility with a U.S. bank providing for borrowings of up to \$15 million under certain conditions. As of September 30, 2009, this credit facility had not been utilized.

Seasonality

TAT believes that the growth of its business over the last three years has masked a historical seasonal trend in the MRO services sector. Historically, TAT has seen many airlines decrease their maintenance requirements in the peak air travel summer months and increase its maintenance requirements in the winter months when air travel is lower.

Subsequent Event

On August 13, 2009, TAT s Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The plan will be in effect for a period of six months (subject to extension) and will provide for the purchase of shares in an aggregate amount of up to 2 million U.S. dollars. As of the date of this report, 208,700 shares had been purchased for a total amount of \$1.626 million (average of \$7.77 per share) constituting 2.3% of TAT s issued shares.

On November 12, 2009, subsequent to the balance sheet date, TAT s Board declared a cash dividend in the total amount of NIS 10 million (approximately \$2.66 million), or NIS 1.123 per share (approximately \$0.30 per share), for all of the shareholders of TAT. The dividend will be paid to shareholders of record on November 23, 2009. TAT will pay the dividend on December 7, 2009.

On November 9, 2009 TAT has entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with First Aviation

Subsequent Event 29

Services Holdings, Inc. (FAvS) pursuant to which, among other things, TAT s US subsidiary, Piedmont, will acquire 37% of FAvS common stock and \$750,000 of its preferred stock, in exchange for the contribution of Piedmont s parts and propeller businesses. FAvS is a leading supplier of products and services to the aerospace industry worldwide, including the provisioning or aircraft parts and components, and supply chain management services. FAvS also performs overhaul and repair services for wheels, brakes and starter/generators, and builds custom hose assemblies. Simultaneously, the parties announced that FAvS has entered into an agreement to acquire all of the assets of Kelly Aerospace Turbine Rotables (KATR). KATR is a provider of overhaul and repair services for landing gear, safety equipment, hydraulic and electrical components, brakes and hose assemblies for corporate, regional and military aircraft. Piedmont has agreed to provide a 2 year guaranty up to \$7 million for the debt being incurred by FAvS in connection with the KATR acquisition. In addition, FAvS and TAT will sign mutual marketing agreements as part of the transaction. The transaction is subject to certain conditions and its closing is anticipated before the year end.

TAT s executive offices are located in the Re em Industrial Park, Neta Boulevard, Bnei Ayish, Gedera 70750, Israel, and TAT s telephone number is 972-8-862-8500.

For more information of TAT Technologies, please visit our web-site: www.tat.co.il

Contact:

Yaron Shalem -

Miri Segal-Scharia CFO

TAT

Technologies

MS-IR LLC

Ltd. Tel:

Tel:1-917-607-8654972-8-862-8500 <u>msegal@ms-ir.com</u> <u>yarons@tat.co.il</u>

Subsequent Event 30

Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements

This press release contains

forward-looking statements which include, without limitation, statements regarding possible or assumed future operation results, synergies, customer benefits, growth opportunities, financial improvements, expected expense savings and other benefits anticipated from the merger. These statements are hereby identified as forward-looking statements for purposes of the safe harbor provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause our results to differ materially from management s current expectations. Actual results and performance can also be influenced by other risks that we face in running our operations including, but are not limited to, general business conditions in the airline industry, changes in demand for our services and products, the timing and amount or cancellation of orders, the price and continuity of supply of component parts used in our operations, and other risks detailed from time to time in the company s filings with the Securities Exchange Commission, including its registration statement on form F-4, its annual report on form 20-F and its periodic reports on form 6-K. These documents contain and identify other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in our projections or forward-looking statements. Stockholders and other readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statement.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

> TAT TECHNOLOGIES LTD. (Registrant)

By: /s/ Yaron Shalem

Yaron Shalem Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 12, 2009