

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC
Form SC 13G
February 12, 2009

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G

Under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934

Magma Design Automation Inc.
(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock
(Title of Class of Securities)

559181102
(CUSIP Number)

December 31, 2008
(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

This Schedule is filed pursuant to Rule 13d-1(b)

The information required in the remainder of this cover page (except any items to which the form provides a cross-reference) shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act.

CUSIP NO. 871607107

1) Name of Reporting Person S.S. or I.R.S. Identification
No. of Above Person

Ameriprise Financial, Inc. IRS No. 13-3180631

2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (a) []
(b) [X]*

* This filing describes the reporting person's relationship with other persons, but the reporting person does not affirm the existence of a group.

3) SEC Use Only

4) Citizenship or Place of Organization

Delaware

5) Sole Voting Power

-0-

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH

6) Shared Voting Power
-0-

7) Sole Dispositive Power
-0-

8) Shared Dispositive Power
2,368,383

9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person
2,368,383

10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares
Not Applicable

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount In Row (9)
5.22%

12) Type of Reporting Person
CO

CUSIP NO. 871607107

1) Name of Reporting Person S.S. or I.R.S. Identification No. of Above Person
RiverSource Investments, LLC IRS No. 13-3180631

2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (a) [] (b) [X]*

* This filing describes the reporting person's relationship with other persons, but the reporting person does not affirm the existence of a group.

3) SEC Use Only

4) Citizenship or Place of Organization
Minnesota

5) Sole Voting Power
-0-

NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH

6) Shared Voting Power
-0-

7) Sole Dispositive Power

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

PERSON WITH -0-

8) Shared Dispositive Power

2,368,383

9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person

2,368,383

10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares

Not Applicable

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount In Row (9)

5.22%

12) Type of Reporting Person

IA

1(a) Name of Issuer: Magma Design Automation Inc.

1(b) Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices: 1650 Technology Drive
San Jose, CA 95110

2(a) Name of Person Filing: (a) Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
("AFI")
(b) RiverSource Investments, LLC
("RvS")

2(b) Address of Principal Business Office: c/o Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
145 Ameriprise Financial Center
Minneapolis, MN 55474

2(c) Citizenship: (a) Delaware
(b) Minnesota

2(d) Title of Class of Securities: Common Stock

2(e) Cusip Number: 559181102

3 Information if statement is filed pursuant to Rules 13d-1(b) or 13d-2(b):

(a) Ameriprise Financial, Inc.

A parent holding company in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G).
(Note: See Item 7)

(b) RiverSource Investments, LLC

An investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E)

4 Incorporated by reference to Items (5)-(9) and (11) of the cover page pertaining to each reporting person.

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

AFI, as the parent company of RvS, may be deemed to beneficially own the shares reported herein by RvS. Accordingly, the shares reported herein by AFI include those shares separately reported herein by RvS.

Each of Ameriprise Financial, Inc. and RiverSource Investments, LLC, disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares reported on this Schedule.

5 Ownership of 5% or Less of a Class: Not Applicable

6 Ownership of more than 5% on Behalf of Another Person: Not Applicable

7 Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary Which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by the Parent Holding Company:

AFI: See Exhibit I

8 Identification and Classification of Members of the Group:

Not Applicable

9 Notice of Dissolution of Group:

Not Applicable

10 Certification:

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired for the purpose of and do not have the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of such securities and were not acquired in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having such purposes or effect.

Signature

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: February 10, 2009

Ameriprise Financial, Inc.

By /s/ Wade M. Voigt

Name: Wade M. Voigt

Title: Director - Fund Administration

Contact Information

Wade M. Voigt

Director - Fund Administration

Telephone: (612) 671-5682

Exhibit Index

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

Exhibit I Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by the Parent Holding Company.

Exhibit II Joint Filing Agreement

Exhibit I

to

Schedule 13G

Ameriprise Financial, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, is a parent holding company. The classification and identity of the relevant subsidiaries is as follows:

Investment Adviser - RiverSource Investments, LLC, is investment adviser registered under section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

Exhibit II

to

Schedule 13G

Joint Filing Agreement

The undersigned persons agree and consent to the joint filing on their behalf of this Schedule 13G dated February 10, 2009 in connection with their beneficial ownership of Magma Design Automation Inc. RiverSource Investments, LLC authorizes Ameriprise Financial, Inc. to execute the Schedule 13G to which this Exhibit is attached and make any necessary amendments thereto.

Ameriprise Financial, Inc.

By: /s/ Wade M. Voigt
Wade M. Voigt
Director - Fund Administration

RiverSource Investments, LLC

By: /s/ Peter A. Gallus
Peter A. Gallus
Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

John M. Nehra 15 186,117 * **Dr. William L. Roper** 16 85,306 * **Roger J. Valine** 17 101,842
All directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons) 18 4,419,926 2.1%

*

Amount represents less than 1% of our common stock.

1

Unless otherwise set forth in the footnotes below, the address of each beneficial owner is 2000 16th Street, Denver, Colorado, 80202.

2

Based solely on information contained in Amendment No. 4 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 17, 2015, Berkshire Hathaway Inc., a diversified holding company which Mr. Buffett may be deemed to control. Mr. Buffett and Berkshire Hathaway Inc. share voting and dispositive power over 38,565,570 shares of the Company's common stock, which include shares beneficially owned by certain subsidiaries of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. as a result of being a parent holding company or control person.

3

Based solely upon information contained in Amendment No. 5 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2016, The Vanguard Group, Inc., an investment adviser, has sole voting power with respect to 308,622 shares, shared voting power with respect to 16,700 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 14,047,851 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 332,928 shares.

4

Based solely upon information contained in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 28, 2016, BlackRock, Inc., an investment advisor, has sole voting power with respect to 10,691,404 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 12,297,950 shares.

5

Includes 458,994 shares held in a family trust and 1,450,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 72,064 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

6

Includes 549,167 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 36,466 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

7

Includes 130,600 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 4,934 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

8

Includes 84,350 shares held in trust and 472,500 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 36,466 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

9

Includes 47,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 3,983 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

10

Includes 77,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

30

Table of Contents

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management 

- 11 Includes 14,095 shares held in trust and 53,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 12 Includes 41,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 13 Includes 5,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 14 Includes 115,908 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 15 Includes 72,379 shares in trust and 113,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 16 Includes 77,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 17 Includes 83,414 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.
- 18 Includes 3,284,585 shares issuable upon the exercise of SSARs and 154,451 restricted stock units, which are exercisable as of, or will become exercisable within 60 days after, March 31, 2016.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

Information Concerning Our Executive Officers

Kent J. Thiry	60	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Executive Officer, HealthCare Partners
Javier J. Rodriguez	45	Chief Executive Officer, Kidney Care
Michael D. Staffieri	42	Chief Operating Officer, Kidney Care
Dennis L. Kogod	56	President, HealthCare Partners, and Chief Executive Officer, International
Joseph C. Mello	57	Chief Operating Officer, HealthCare Partners
James K. Hilger	54	Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
Kathleen A. Waters	48	Chief Legal Officer
Jeanine M. Jiganti	56	Chief Compliance Officer
LeAnne M. Zumwalt	57	Group Vice President, Purchasing and Public Affairs

Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, the Board. Set forth below is a brief description as of March 31, 2016 of the business experience of all executive officers other than Mr. Thiry, who is also a director and whose business experience is set forth above in the section of this Proxy Statement entitled "Information Concerning Members of the Board Standing for Election."

Javier J. Rodriguez became our chief executive officer, Kidney Care in March 2014. Since joining the Company in 1998, Mr. Rodriguez has served in a number of different capacities. From February 2012 to March 2014, he served as our president. From April 1, 2006 through February 2012, he served as our senior vice president. Before that, from 2000 to 2006 he served as a vice president of operations and payor contracting. Mr. Rodriguez joined the Company in 1998 as a director of value management. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Rodriguez worked for Baxter Healthcare Corporation in Finance from 1995 to 1996. He also previously served as director of operations for CBS Marketing Inc. in Mexico City.

Michael D. Staffieri became our chief operating officer, Kidney Care, in March 2014. From July 2011 to February 2014, he served as a senior vice president, Kidney Care. From March 2008 to July 2011, he served as our vice president of operations and new center development. Mr. Staffieri joined the Company in July 2000 and has served in several different roles. Prior to joining us, Mr. Staffieri worked for Arthur Andersen LLP in Finance from 1999 to 2000.

Dennis L. Kogod became our president, HealthCare Partners, and our chief executive officer, International, effective January 2015. From March 2014 through December 2014, he served as chief operating officer of our HealthCare Partners division. From January 2009 to March 2014, he served as our chief operating officer, and prior to that, he served as our president-west beginning in October 2005. From January 2004 until joining us, Mr. Kogod served as president and chief operating officer-west of Gambro Healthcare, Inc., which we acquired in October 2005. From July 2000 to January 2004, Mr. Kogod served as president, west division of Gambro Healthcare, Inc. From June 1999 to July 2000, Mr. Kogod was president of Teleflex Medical Group, a medical original equipment manufacturer of medical delivery systems. From January 1996 to June 1999, Mr. Kogod was corporate vice president of Teleflex Surgical Group, a surgical device and service organization. Mr. Kogod previously served on the board of Arbios Systems, Inc., a medical device and cell-based therapy company.

Joseph C. Mello became our chief operating officer, HealthCare Partners, in January 2015. From April 2012 to April 2014, Mr. Mello served on the Board of CapitalSource Inc., a commercial lender, Mr. Mello previously served as our chief operating officer emeritus from January 2009 to

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

December 2012, and chief operating officer from June 2000 to December 2008. From April 1998 to June 2000, Mr. Mello served as president and chief executive officer of Vivra Asthma & Allergy. From August 1994 to April 1998, Mr. Mello held various positions with MedPartners, Inc.,

Table of Contents

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

including senior vice president/chief operating officer-southeastern region from March 1997 to April 1998. Prior to joining MedPartners, from 1984 to 1994, Mr. Mello was associated with KPMG LLP, where he became a partner in 1989.

James K. Hilger became our interim chief financial officer in March 2015, a position he previously held from April 2012 until November 2013. Mr. Hilger continues to serve as our chief accounting officer, a position he has held since April 2010. Prior to April 2010, Mr. Hilger served as our vice president and controller since May 2006, after having served as our vice president, finance beginning in September 2005. Mr. Hilger was our acting chief financial officer from November 2007 through February 2008. From September 2003 to September 2005, Mr. Hilger served as vice president, finance and administration and chief financial officer of Pyramid Breweries, a brewer of specialty beverages. From December 1998 to July 2003, Mr. Hilger served as chief executive officer and chief financial officer of WorldCatch, Inc., a seafood industry company. From 1987 until joining WorldCatch, Inc., Mr. Hilger held a variety of senior financial positions in the food industry. Mr. Hilger began his career in public accounting with Ernst & Whinney.

Kathleen A. Waters became our chief legal officer in May 2016. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Waters was senior vice president, general counsel and secretary of Health Net, Inc., a publicly traded managed care organization from April 2015 to March 2016. She was a partner in Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP's litigation practice from 2003 to 2015. She also was the leader of that firm's Los Angeles litigation group and co-leader of the healthcare group. Before that, Ms. Waters was a partner at Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP in Los Angeles.

Jeanine M. Jiganti became our chief compliance officer in March 2013. From July 2012 to March 2013, she

served as our vice president, international chief compliance officer and deputy chief compliance officer. Prior to joining us, she served as chief compliance officer for Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, a subsidiary of a Japanese pharmaceutical company, from October 2005 to March 2012. Additionally, she served as chief compliance officer for several of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited's affiliates including Takeda Global Research and Development and Takeda Pharmaceuticals International Operations. During Ms. Jiganti's career, she has served as general counsel for the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity from September 2003 to September 2005, general counsel of Near North Insurance Company from September 2002 to September 2003 and vice president of litigation at Caremark Inc., a pharmaceutical services company, from 1996 to 2002.

LeAnne M. Zumwalt became our group vice president-purchasing and public affairs in July 2011. From January 2000 to July 2011, Ms. Zumwalt served as our vice president in many capacities. From January 2000 to October 2009, she served as our vice president, investor relations while having other responsibilities. From 1997 to 1999, Ms. Zumwalt served as chief financial officer of Vivra Specialty Partners, Inc. a privately held health care service and technology firm. From 1991 to 1997, Ms. Zumwalt held various executive positions, including chief financial officer, at Vivra Incorporated, a publicly held provider of dialysis services. Prior to joining Vivra Incorporated, Ms. Zumwalt was a senior manager at Ernst & Young, LLP. Ms. Zumwalt serves on the board of The Advisory Board Company.

None of the executive officers has any family relationship with any other executive officer or with any of our directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires "insiders," including our executive officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our common stock, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the SEC and the NYSE, and to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they

file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from reporting persons, we believe that our insiders complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements during 2015.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Information

Executive Summary

	<u>35</u>
<u>Our Business</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Our Executive Compensation Structure</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Consideration of Say-on-Pay Results and Pay for Performance</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Our Compensation Design and Philosophy</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>2015 Financial and Performance Highlights</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Linking 2015 NEO Compensation to Performance</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Stockholder Interest Alignment</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Key Features of Our Executive Compensation Program</u>	<u>39</u>

Elements of Compensation

	<u>40</u>
<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Short-Term Incentive Program (STI Program) for 2015</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Supplemental STI Program for 2015</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Long-Term Incentive Program (LTI Program) for 2015</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Executive Compensation Program for 2016</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Personal Benefits and Perquisites</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation Program</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Severance and Change of Control Arrangements</u>	<u>47</u>

Process For Determining NEO Compensation

	<u>48</u>
<u>Role of Independent Compensation Committee</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Role of Independent Compensation Consultant</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Market Competitiveness</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Risk Considerations in Our Compensation Program</u>	<u>51</u>

Compensation Policies and Practices

	<u>51</u>
<u>Management Share Ownership Policy</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Policy Regarding Clawback of Bonuses and Incentive Compensation</u>	<u>52</u>

Tax and Accounting Considerations

	<u>52</u>
<u>Deduction Limit</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation</u>	<u>52</u>

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Information

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (the "CD&A") describes our executive compensation program for the following named executive officers ("NEOs"):

Kent J. Thiry	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, DaVita HealthCare Partners, and Chief Executive Officer, HealthCare Partners
Javier J. Rodriguez	Chief Executive Officer, Kidney Care
Michael D. Staffieri	Chief Operating Officer, Kidney Care
Dennis L. Kogod	President, HealthCare Partners, and Chief Executive Officer, International
James K. Hilger	Interim Chief Financial Officer (effective March 30, 2015) and Chief Accounting Officer
Dr. Garry E. Menzel	Chief Financial Officer through March 30, 2015 ¹

1

Dr. Menzel served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer from November 7, 2013 to March 30, 2015.

Executive Summary

Our Business

The Company consists of two major divisions, Kidney Care and HealthCare Partners (HCP). Our Kidney Care division is comprised of our U.S. dialysis and related lab services, our ancillary services and strategic initiatives, including our international operations, and our corporate administrative support.

Our largest line of business is our U.S. dialysis and related lab services business, which is a leading provider of kidney dialysis services in the United States. As of December 31, 2015, we operated or provided administrative services through a network of 2,251 outpatient dialysis centers in the U.S., serving a total of approximately 180,000 patients in 46 states and the District of Columbia. We also provide acute inpatient dialysis services in approximately 900 hospitals. In 2015, our overall network of U.S. outpatient dialysis centers increased by 72 centers primarily as a result of opening new centers and acquisitions. In addition, the overall number of patients that we serve in the U.S. increased by approximately 4.1% from 2014.

Our other major line of business is HCP, a patient-and physician-focused integrated health care delivery and management company with over two decades of experience providing coordinated, outcomes-based

medical care in a cost-effective manner. Through capitation contracts with some of the nation's leading health plans, HCP had approximately 807,400 members under its care in southern California, Colorado, central and south Florida, southern Nevada, central New Mexico and central Arizona as of December 31, 2015. In addition to its managed care business, HCP provides care in all of its markets to over 612,200 patients whose health coverage is structured on a fee-for-service basis, including patients enrolled through traditional Medicare and Medicaid programs, preferred provider organizations and other third party payors.

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

The HCP patients as well as the patients of HCP's associated physicians, physician groups and independent practice associations benefit from an integrated approach to medical care that places the physician at the center of patient care. As of December 31, 2015, HCP delivered services to its members via a network of 547 associated group full-time primary care physicians, over 2,900 associated group and other network primary care physicians, 240 network hospitals, and several thousand associated group and network specialists. Together with hundreds of case managers, registered nurses and other care coordinators, these medical professionals utilize a comprehensive information technology system, sophisticated risk

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

management techniques and clinical protocols to provide high-quality, cost-effective care to HCP's members.

Our executive compensation program is best understood within the context of the business environment in which we currently operate. This includes increasing regulation by numerous federal, state and local government entities, reductions in reimbursements under federal and state healthcare programs, including Medicare and Medicaid, continued downward pressure on our commercial payment rates, and the continued integration of HCP into the enterprise.

Our Executive Compensation Structure

Our executive compensation program is designed to align our executive compensation structure with our strategic and financial objectives in creating stockholder value. Our executive compensation structure is comprised of both short- and long-term incentives, which include challenging performance goals that we believe are aligned with our strategic objectives to provide high quality care to our patients, increase profitability, maximize growth and increase stockholder value.

The 2015 short- and long-term criteria, described in further detail starting on page 40, emphasized our objectives as a Company, and our resulting compensation structure incorporated incentives tied to clinical care, profit and growth.

We will continue our ongoing engagement with our stockholders on corporate governance issues that are of interest to them or that our Board might be evaluating. The Compensation Committee will consider the feedback we receive from our stockholders in making future compensation decisions for our NEOs. We also believe it is important to maintain consistency with our compensation philosophy and approach, described in further detail below in the section entitled "Our Compensation Design and Philosophy," to continue to incentivize management toward short and long-term financial and operating goals, which are intended to create long-term stockholder value.

Consideration of Say-on-Pay Results and Pay for Performance

In June 2015, approximately 96% of the votes cast by stockholders at the annual meeting were voted in favor of the compensation program applicable to our NEOs, as described in the 2015 proxy statement. We believe the votes reflect support for our executive compensation

program, particularly the scope and effectiveness of the changes we initially adopted in March 2014, and strong pay for performance alignment. We reached out to our largest institutional stockholders in late 2015 to discuss our existing compensation structure. During these discussions, stockholders expressed general satisfaction with our executive compensation program, and emphasized continued pay-for-performance. While we did not modify our executive compensation program in response to the prior year say-on-pay vote, based on the feedback we received from our stockholders, we refined our short-term incentive and long-term incentive programs to tailor the programs to each NEO by adding performance measures reflective of the particular NEO's business division.

Our Compensation Design and Philosophy

Our ability to recruit and retain highly qualified executives is essential to our long-term success. An important goal in the design of our executive compensation program, in addition to clinical differentiation and creating stockholder value, is to attract and retain outstanding leaders who possess the skills and talent necessary to achieve our business goals and objectives, and who embody our mission and values. We believe it is in the best interests of our stockholders to attract and retain talented leaders, and we strive to do so by providing compensation that we believe is reasonable, provides the best value for our stockholders, aligns incentives, and is sufficient to achieve our recruitment and retention objectives.

Our ultimate objective is to continue to create long-term stockholder value by being a leader in clinical differentiation, generating strong overall revenue growth, increasing market share, improving clinical outcomes, growing operating margins, increasing Medicare Advantage enrollment, and delivering consistently strong total stockholder return.

In order to achieve this objective, we have established an executive compensation program that we believe:

- (i) rewards superior clinical outcomes;
- (ii)

rewards strong Company performance;

(iii)

aligns our executives' interests with our stockholders' interests; and

(iv)

is competitive within the health care services, diagnostics, managed care and solutions markets so that we can attract and retain outstanding executives.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

2015 Financial and Performance Highlights

Our overall financial and operating performance was strong for 2015 despite the challenges we faced with Medicare reimbursement and a large increase in pharmaceutical costs in the Kidney Care division and Medicare Advantage reimbursement in the HCP division. We believe that the NEOs were instrumental in achieving these results, including the following major achievements and financial operating performance indicators in 2015:

improved clinical outcomes in our U.S. dialysis operations, including second year in a row as leader of the Five-Star Quality Rating System created by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services;

consolidated net revenue growth of 7.7%;

net revenue growth of 5.2% related to our U.S. dialysis segment operations as a result of an increase in revenue per treatment of \$6;

an increase in HCP's net revenue of 9.6% related to an increase of its fee-for-service business and senior capitated revenue;

an increase in other ancillary services and strategic initiatives net revenue of 21.3%;

U.S. dialysis treatment growth of 4.1%;

normalized non-acquired U.S. dialysis treatment growth of 3.9%;

net addition of 72 U.S. dialysis centers and 27 international dialysis centers;

strong operating cash flows of \$1.557 billion, which have been reduced by approximately \$304 million of after-tax payments made in connection with the settlement of the Vainer private civil suit; and

a \$1.5 billion financing to lower interest rate, extend maturities and enhance liquidity.

The Company's TSR from the first quarter of 2000 (our CEO's first full quarter with the Company) through the fourth quarter of 2015 was approximately 3,298%, putting the Company in the top 10 of all current S&P 500 companies over that period.

We believe our U.S. dialysis and related lab services clinical outcomes compare favorably with other dialysis providers in the United States and generally exceed the dialysis outcome quality indicators of the National Kidney Foundation. Our clinical outcomes mean better quality of life for approximately 180,000 dialysis patients we serve.

Linking 2015 NEO Compensation to Performance

Our compensation program for our NEOs emphasizes compensation based on performance and is designed to align our NEOs' interests with those of our stockholders, and to permit individuals who have performed well in creating and protecting significant long-term value for the Company and its stockholders to share in the value generated. To this end, our compensation program emphasizes variable compensation in the form of cash and equity awards over fixed compensation.

When establishing the compensation for our NEOs for 2015, the Compensation Committee gave significant weight to our sustained record of strong operating performance as highlighted above, our improvement in strategic positioning and our continued strong clinical performance, particularly in light of ongoing general economic volatility and significant industry regulatory challenges and uncertainty. In 2015, we continued to lead industry public policy efforts, achieving favorable outcomes for the industry and the Company. The Compensation Committee balanced its evaluation of the Company's financial and clinical performance by also considering the Company's implementation of the Corporate Integrity Agreement with the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, healthcare reform, changes to government reimbursement policies, other significant healthcare regulatory changes, as well as the government investigations affecting the Company. The Compensation Committee also took into account Kidney Care's strong performance and HCP's underperformance. HCP has

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

experienced declines in its financial performance, thereby partially offsetting Kidney Care's strong financial performance. HCP's underperformance is primarily driven by government reimbursement cuts and our inability to mitigate those cuts in their entirety. When establishing 2015 compensation for our NEOs, the Compensation Committee considered these and other factors in the context of evaluating the impact that individual NEO performance had in achieving these

Continues on next page

DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc. Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement 37

Table of Contents

results and responding to these challenges. The following table shows the 2015 total direct compensation (base salary, annual performance-based cash award and long-term incentive award) determined by the Compensation Committee for each NEO who remained an executive officer on December 31, 2015. This table is not a substitute for the information disclosed in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table and related footnotes, which begin on page 54.

Kent J. Thiry	\$1,200,000	\$2,225,186	\$7,142,616	
Javier J. Rodriguez	\$800,000	\$1,700,000	\$6,407,089	\$2,363,661
Michael D. Staffieri	\$583,270	\$1,020,000	\$3,674,047	\$1,240,922
Dennis L. Kogod	\$800,000	\$200,000		
James K. Hilger	\$366,635	\$195,000	\$489,141	

1

The amounts reported here reflect the base salary amounts actually paid during the 2015 fiscal year.

2

The amounts reported under the Annual LTI Award column consist of the grant date fair value of all 2015 equity awards (both SSARs and PSUs) as well as the target value of the 2015 performance-based cash awards.

Given the emphasis on variable compensation, the Compensation Committee determined to limit increases to fixed compensation amounts in 2015 such that the base salaries of our NEOs were retained at 2014 levels, other than Mr. Staffieri's base salary, which was increased in 2015 pursuant to the Compensation Committee's review of his performance as the Chief Operating Officer of Kidney Care and consideration of comparative market data provided by the committee's outside compensation consultant, Compensia, and Mr. Hilger's base salary, which was increased in 2015 in connection with his expanded role as the Interim Chief Financial Officer. The following pie charts illustrate the allocation of the total direct compensation that the NEOs above earned or, in the case of the long-term incentives, were granted with respect to 2015:

Compensation Summary
CEO

Compensation Summary
Other NEOs

The Compensation Committee believes that the above compensation structure struck an appropriate balance by promoting long-term stockholder value creation without motivating or rewarding excessive risk-taking.

38

Table of ContentsCompensation Discussion and Analysis **Stockholder Interest Alignment**

Our executive compensation is designed to focus on pay-for-performance and to align the interests of our executives with the long-term interests of our stockholders. Our incentive criteria focus on performance-based compensation that aligns with our strategic, operational and financial objectives in creating stockholder value. Our CEO receives all long-term compensation in the form of equity compensation, while other executives receive long-term compensation in the form of both equity compensation and cash-based incentive compensation. Our long-term equity compensation is comprised of stock-settled stock appreciation rights ("SSARs") and performance stock units ("PSUs"). At least 50% of our CEO's equity awards and at least 25% of the other executive

officers' awards are in the form of PSUs. To create close alignment with stockholder value creation, relative TSR was selected as the performance criteria for 50% of the PSUs granted to each of our participating NEOs in 2015. The equity awards are further subject to time vesting – equity awards granted in 2015 vest 50% on each of the third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date. The combination of performance-based metrics and extended vesting schedules is intended to assist in the long-term retention of executives and further the alignment of the interests of our executives with the long-term interests of our stockholders. A key component of our executive compensation philosophy and design is that stock-based compensation creates an incentive for the executives to contribute to the overall success of the Company and to take actions that result in the creation of long-term stockholder value.

Key Features of Our Executive Compensation Program

Have double trigger change of control provisions for acceleration of equity award vesting	Provide excise tax gross-ups on change of control payments for new or materially amended agreements entered into since 2008 ¹
Limit severance payments to not more than three times base salary and bonus	Re-price or replace underwater stock options or stock appreciation rights
Provide for multi-year vesting periods for equity award grants to reinforce a culture in which the Company's long-term success takes precedence over volatile short-term results	Have our Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant provide any other services to the Company
Have our Compensation Committee use an independent compensation consultant	Have a defined benefit pension plan
Have a clawback policy that permits recovery of bonuses, incentive and equity-based compensation from executives	Allow hedging of the Company's securities by directors, executives and other employees
Seek stockholder feedback on our executive compensation program	Allow directors, executives and other employees to pledge the Company's securities as collateral for a loan
Apply meaningful stock ownership guidelines to strengthen alignment of executives' and stockholders' interests	

1

We have not provided for tax gross-ups in any employment agreements or amended employment agreements entered into after July 2008. Our CEO has the only remaining legacy agreement that contains a tax gross-up; however, no gross-up would have been payable under his agreement in any of the prior five years if a change of control had occurred. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" on pages 61 to 66.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents**Elements of Compensation**

The elements of direct compensation offered under our executive compensation program include both fixed (base salaries) and variable (annual and long-term incentives) compensation.

Base Salary

We compensate our executives with a base salary because we believe it is appropriate that some portion of compensation be provided in a form that is liquid and assured. Base salaries are initially established at levels necessary to enable us to attract and retain highly qualified executives with reference to comparative pay within the Company for executives with similar levels of responsibility, the prior experience of the executive, and expected contributions to Company performance.

We do not guarantee salary adjustments on an annual basis. During March of each year, the Compensation Committee considers adjustments to base salary as part of the overall annual compensation assessment for our executives. Our CEO typically provides the Compensation Committee with his recommendation regarding merit-based increases for each executive officer other than himself. The CEO's base salary is recommended by the Compensation Committee with input from Compensia, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, and Compensia's analysis of CEO compensation of our comparator peer group, and approved by the independent members of the Board of Directors.

Consistent with our emphasis on performance-based compensation and the Compensation Committee's decision to limit increases to fixed compensation amounts in 2015, the Compensation Committee maintained the base salaries of Mr. Thiry, Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. Kogod at 2014 levels. Mr. Staffieri's base salary was increased in 2015 pursuant to the Compensation Committee's review of his performance as Chief Operating Officer of the Company's Kidney Care division and consideration of comparative market data provided by the Compensation Committee's outside compensation consultant, Compensia. Mr. Hilger's base salary was also increased in 2015 in connection with the increasing accounting complexity of the Company's business operations and transactions. The base salaries for 2014 and 2015 for our NEOs who remained executive officers on December 31, 2015 are shown in the following table.

Kent J. Thiry	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
Javier J. Rodriguez	\$800,000	\$800,000
Michael D. Staffieri	\$550,000	\$600,000
Dennis L. Kogod	\$800,000	\$800,000
James K. Hilger	\$350,000	\$375,000

1 The amounts reported reflect the annual base salaries approved in March 2014.

2 The amounts reported reflect the annual base salaries approved in April 2015.

Short-Term Incentive Program (STI Program) for 2015

The STI program awards in 2015 were granted pursuant to the DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc. 2011 Incentive Award Plan, as amended and restated (the "2011 Plan"), which permits the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance stock units, equity and cash-based performance awards, as well as other forms of equity awards.

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

Under the STI program in 2015, each NEO was eligible for a maximum annual performance-based bonus potential calculated as a multiple of the annual salary approved in March 2015 by the Compensation Committee, and with respect to the CEO, the Board, as summarized in the table below. Since Mr. Hilger is the Interim Chief Financial Officer, he is not subject to the terms of the 2015 STI program. Instead, for 2015, Mr. Hilger received a discretionary cash bonus equal to \$195,000 in recognition of his performance as Interim Chief Financial Officer during 2015.

Kent J. Thiry	\$1,200,000	3.0x	\$3,600,000
Javier J. Rodriguez	\$800,000	2.5x	\$2,000,000
Michael D. Staffieri	\$600,000	2.0x	\$1,200,000
Dennis L. Kogod	\$800,000	2.5x	\$2,000,000

Each performance metric under our STI program was assigned a relative weight to determine the percentage of the maximum bonus potential for which each executive was eligible. The percentage of the maximum bonus potential was determined based on results achieved in 2015, and the Compensation Committee could then exercise negative discretion to reduce the annual bonus payment based on changed or special circumstances, or factors that may not have been anticipated when the criteria range for the metrics was established.

Table of ContentsCompensation Discussion and Analysis 

The tables below summarize the relative weights, criteria range, maximum performance based eligibility range, actual performance and maximum eligible payout achieved for each of the 2015 STI performance metrics, as well as the actual payout percentage and amount for each NEO who remained an executive officer as of December 31, 2015, other than Mr. Hilger.

Kent J. Thiry

			Maximum Performance Based Eligibility Range			Maximum Eligible Payout Achieved	
Enterprise Adjusted Operating Income	50%	\$1,788 million to \$1,900 million ¹	25% - 100%	\$450,000 - \$1,800,000	\$1,898 million	75%	\$1,350,000
HCP Adjusted Operating Income	20%	\$238 million to \$275 million ¹	25% - 100%	\$180,000 - \$720,000	\$240 million	25%	\$180,000
Kidney Care Catheter Rate	5%	13.35% to 13.15% (lower is better) and 15% better than industry	50% - 100%	\$90,000 - \$180,000	13.06%	100%	\$180,000
Kidney Care Non Acquired Growth	10%	4.3% to 4.9% ²	50% - 100%	\$180,000 - \$360,000	3.9%	0%	\$0
HCP Star Metrics	5%	Customized index range	30% - 100%	\$54,000 - \$180,000	Internal index value	86.2%	\$155,186
HCP Medicare Advantage Enrollment Growth	10%	5% to 20% above industry	25% - 100%	\$90,000 - \$360,000	>20%	100%	\$360,000
					Total Eligible STI Bonus	61.8%	\$2,225,186
					Total Actual STI Bonus	61.8%	\$2,225,186

The percentage of maximum bonus for which Mr. Thiry is eligible is determined based on the top 75% of the guidance ranges for fiscal year 2015 provided to our investors with our fourth quarter 2014 earnings release, the latest guidance ranges available to the Compensation Committee at the time it approved this performance condition.

2

For relative context, overall industry growth in 2013 (the latest information available as of 3/31/16) was 3.5% and the compound annual growth rate from 2009 to 2013 was 3.6%. The foregoing data are based on the 2015 Annual Data Report, United States Renal Data System, Table D.1 "Percentages and counts of reported ESRD patients: by treatment modality." This includes the results of our Company, without which the industry growth rates would be lower.

Javier J. Rodriguez

			Maximum Performance Based Eligibility Range			Maximum Eligible Payout Achieved	
Core Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income	70%	\$1,550 million to \$1,625 million ¹	25% - 100%	\$350,000 - \$1,400,000	\$1,658 million ¹	100%	\$1,400,000
Kidney Care Catheter Rate	15%	13.35% to 13.15% (lower is better) and 15% better than industry	50% - 100%	\$150,000 - \$300,000	13.06%	100%	\$300,000
Kidney Care Non Acquired Growth	15%	4.3% to 4.9% ²	50% - 100%	\$150,000 - \$300,000	3.9%	0%	\$0
Total Eligible STI Bonus						85%	\$1,700,000
Total Actual STI Bonus						85%	\$1,700,000

1

Criteria range represents the top 75% of the public guidance range for fiscal year 2015 for our Kidney Care division at the time this performance metric was developed. Core Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income is a subset of Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income that excludes certain non-core business units. Actual Performance represents actual Adjusted Operating Income for our Kidney Care division.

2

For relative context, overall industry growth in 2013 (the latest information available as of 3/31/16) was 3.5% and the compound annual growth rate from 2009 to 2013 was 3.6%. The foregoing data are based on the 2015 Annual Data Report, United States Renal Data System, Table D.1 "Percentages and counts of reported ESRD patients: by treatment modality." This includes the results of our Company, without which the industry growth rates would be lower.

Continues on next page

DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc. Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement 41

Table of Contents*Michael D. Staffieri*

			Maximum Performance Based Eligibility Range			Maximum Eligible Payout Achieved	
Core Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income	70%	\$1,550 million to \$1,625 million ¹	25% - 100%	\$210,000 - \$840,000	\$1,658 million ¹	100%	\$840,000
Kidney Care Catheter Rate	15%	13.35% to 13.15% (lower is better) and 15% better than industry	50% - 100%	\$90,000 - \$180,000	13.06%	100%	\$180,000
Kidney Care Non Acquired Growth	15%	4.3% to 4.9% ²	50% - 100%	\$90,000 - \$180,000	3.9%	0%	\$0
Total Eligible STI Bonus						85%	\$1,020,000
Total Actual STI Bonus						85%	\$1,020,000

1

Criteria range represents the top 75% of the public guidance range for fiscal year 2015 for our Kidney Care division at the time this performance metric was developed. Core Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income is a subset of Kidney Care Adjusted Operating Income that excludes certain non-core business units. Actual Performance represents actual Adjusted Operating Income for our Kidney Care division.

2

For relative context, overall industry growth in 2013 (the latest information available as of 3/31/16) was 3.5% and the compound annual growth rate from 2009 to 2013 was 3.6%. The foregoing data are based on the 2015 Annual Data Report, United States Renal Data System, Table D.1 "Percentages and counts of reported ESRD patients: by treatment modality." This includes the results of our Company, without which the industry growth rates would be lower.

Dennis L. Kogod

**Maximum Performance Based
Eligibility Range**

**Maximum Eligible
Payout Achieved**

HCP Adjusted Operating Income	30%	\$238 million to \$275 million ¹	25% - 100%	\$150,000 - \$600,000	\$240 million	25%	\$150,000
Specified HCP Market Adjusted Operating Income	30%	Range related to internal budget	25% - 100%	\$150,000 - \$600,000	Below budget	0%	\$0
International Adjusted Operating Income	20%	Range related to internal budget	25% - 100%	\$100,000 - \$400,000	Below budget	0%	\$0
HCP Star Metrics	20%	Customized index range	30% - 100%	\$120,000 - \$400,000	Internal index value	86.2%	\$344,859
Total Eligible STI Bonus						24.7%	\$494,859
Total Actual STI Bonus						10%	\$200,000²

1

The percentage of maximum bonus for which Mr. Kogod is eligible is determined based on the top 75% of the guidance range for fiscal year 2015 for our HealthCare Partners division provided to our investors with our fourth quarter 2014 earnings release, the latest guidance range available to the Compensation Committee at the time it approved this performance condition.

2

The Compensation Committee exercised negative discretion to reduce Mr. Kogod's STI bonus to \$200,000 as a result of underperformance in our HealthCare Partners division and our international business.

Supplemental STI Program for 2015

The 2015 Supplemental STI Program is a one-time program created under the 2011 Plan to provide our non-CEO NEOs cash incentive award opportunities that are: (1) tied to 2015 operating results in the dialysis and related lab services operating segment and (2) comparable to that of other non-NEO executives. Mr. Thiry was not eligible to participate in the 2015 Supplemental STI Program because his LTI awards are all equity-based.

The cash-based LTI Program the Company created in early 2013 contemplated a payout of at least 50% of target to the program participants if the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment exceeded \$1,597 million, and a payout of at least 150% if the 2015 adjusted operating income was at least or exceeded \$1,742 million.

Under the 2013 cash-based LTI Program, the maximum payout an NEO could receive was 150%, whereas other non-NEO executives could receive additional amounts by participating in a funding pool, the size of which was a function of 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment in excess of \$1,742 million. The reason for this restriction on NEOs was that any payout beyond 150% under the program was to be based on a retrospective non-formulaic assessment of each individual participant of the program, and payment based on such a retrospective non-formulaic assessment for NEOs would not be tax-deductible to the Company.

When it became clear that the Kidney Care NEOs were the primary drivers of the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment, the Compensation Committee implemented the 2015 Supplemental STI Program to

Table of ContentsCompensation Discussion and Analysis 

give the Kidney Care NEOs an opportunity to earn additional compensation comparable to non-NEO executives. Each NEO was eligible for an incremental 0% to 250% payout (in addition to the 150% formulaically earned under the 2013 cash-based LTI Program) to the extent the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment exceeded \$1,742 million (the threshold for 150% payout under the 2013 cash-based LTI Program). To be eligible for the maximum 250% payout under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program, the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment would have to be at least \$2,143 million. Since the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment was \$1,857 million, the NEOs were eligible for an incremental 72% payout. Specifically, Messrs. Rodriguez and Kogod each had a target under the 2013 cash-based LTI Program of \$3,300,000 and earned 150%, or \$4,950,000, based on the 2015 adjusted operating income for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment exceeding the threshold for 150% payout, payable 50% in 2016 and 50% in 2017. In addition, each was eligible for an incremental 72%, or \$2,363,661, under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program. Similarly, Mr. Staffieri had a target under the 2013 cash-based LTI Program of \$1,732,500 and earned 150%, or \$2,598,750, payable 50% in 2016 and 50% in 2017. In addition, he was eligible for an incremental 72%, or \$1,240,922 under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program. Messrs. Rodriguez and Staffieri received the maximum eligible amounts under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program because both NEOs were determined to be instrumental in driving the results for the 2015 dialysis and related lab services operating segment. The Compensation Committee exercised its negative discretion for Mr. Kogod, who transitioned from Kidney Care to HCP in March 2014, given his minimal role in dialysis and related lab services, resulting in Mr. Kogod receiving no amount under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program. All amounts under the 2015 Supplemental STI Program were paid to Messrs. Rodriguez and Staffieri in 2016.

Long-Term Incentive Program (LTI Program) for 2015

LTI program awards are granted pursuant to the 2011 Plan. Our LTI program is designed to provide a link to long-term stockholder value through equity awards for all executives, while also providing a more direct tie to our various lines of business for which executives are responsible through cash-based performance awards targeting internal operating performance metrics consistent with our existing compensation philosophy.

Equity Awards

While we emphasize stock-based compensation, we do not designate a target percentage of total compensation as stock-based. We instead maintain discretion to respond to changes in executives and Company performance and related objectives, as well as to changes in remaining relative values that have yet to be vested. We believe that our emphasis on stock-based compensation creates an alignment of interests between our executives and our stockholders. Grants of equity awards also serve as an important tool for attracting and retaining executives. To vest in equity awards and earn the full benefit of the award, executives must remain employed for a multi-year vesting period, typically over four years, which reinforces a culture in which the Company's long-term success takes precedence over volatile and unsustainable short-term results.

Each year, the Compensation Committee recommends to the full Board an aggregate equity award pool that will be available for grants to all eligible recipients of equity awards, based on (i) the historical amounts granted, (ii) the amount of equity held by participants that is currently in-the-money, (iii) the number of shares we expect to be forfeited due to anticipated departures, and (iv) the number of shares that will likely be required both to retain and incentivize our highest-potential and highest-performing employees and to attract new employees we expect to hire during the coming year. The Compensation Committee may also recommend the establishment of special purpose share budgets for proposed interim grants. After considering such recommendations, the Board approves a budget and delegates authority to the Compensation Committee to make awards to our executive officers and other employees. The equity awards that are granted to our executives are generally made annually (typically in the first half of the year). Discretionary interim awards to our executives may be made during the year to address special circumstances, such as retention concerns, promotions and special performance recognition awards, and new hire awards. Our annual equity awards are generally awarded upon the completion of performance reviews and in connection with the Compensation Committee's decision and review process regarding other forms of direct compensation. The timing of the interim grants is contingent upon individual circumstances. Under the terms of the 2011 Plan, awards are granted with an exercise or base price not less than the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Furthermore, the 2011 Plan prohibits repricing or replacing underwater stock options or stock appreciation rights without prior stockholder approval.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents*Stock-settled Stock Appreciation Rights*

The majority of our equity awards to executives are in the form of stock-settled stock appreciation rights, which only derive value if the market value of our common stock increases. The economic value and tax and accounting treatment of SSARs are comparable to those of stock options, but SSARs are less dilutive to our stockholders because only shares with a total value equal to the grantee's gain (the difference between the fair market value of the base shares and their base price) are ultimately issued. SSARs are granted with a base price not less than the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant and vest based on the passage of time. SSARs granted in 2015 vest 50% on each of June 2, 2018 and June 2, 2019.

Performance Stock Units

As part of our compensation program, we also award PSUs to our top executives who become one of the NEOs in any given year. PSUs are granted under the 2011 Plan and typically vest based on a combination of accomplishment of performance metrics and passage of time over a period of three or more years, but the Compensation Committee may approve alternative vesting schedules based on performance, timing of vesting of individual outstanding grants and other retention related factors.

A minimum of 50% of the CEO's equity compensation and 25% of each of Messrs. Rodriguez and Staffieri's equity compensation is awarded in the form of PSUs, which fully vest in four years (50% on June 2, 2018, and 50% on June 2, 2019) so long as performance goals have been met. Based on the level of achievement, more or less than 100% of the target PSUs can vest based on the achievement of the underlying performance. Mr. Hilger was not granted PSUs due to his interim status as Chief Financial Officer.

The tables below summarize the criteria range and percent range of target PSUs for each of the 2015 PSU performance metrics and detail the relative weightings of each 2015 PSU performance metric for Messrs. Thiry, Rodriguez and Staffieri. The performance periods for these metrics run through 2017, or in the case of Relative TSR through March 31, 2019 and, therefore, we are not able to present performance against these metrics at this time. Given the market and operating conditions at the time the targets were set, the target vesting levels were designed to be achievable with strong management performance, while maximum vesting levels were designed to be difficult to achieve.

Kidney Care Quality Incentive Program	10% to 40% (below rest of industry)	50% - 100%
Kidney Care Non Acquired Growth	3.95% to 4.70%	50% - 150%
HCP New Market Success	2 to 6 markets that meet threshold	50% - 200%
HCP New Market Adjusted Operating Income	50% to 200% of internal goal	50% - 200%
DaVita Rx Specialty Drugs Contracts	50% to 200% of internal goal	50% - 200%
Paladina Members	180% to 541% growth over 3 years	50% - 200%
Village Health Hospital Admission Rate	Range tied to internal goal	50% - 200%
Relative TSR	40th percentile to 90th percentile	50% - 200%

Performance Metrics Weightings

Kidney Care Quality Incentive Program	10%	20%	20%
Kidney Care Non Acquired Growth	10%	20%	20%
HCP New Market Success	7.5%		
HCP New Market Adjusted Operating Income	7.5%		
DaVita Rx Specialty Drugs Contracts	5%		
Paladina Members	5%		
Village Health Hospital Admission Rate	5%	10%	10%
Relative TSR	50%	50%	50%

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

Cash-Based Performance Awards

In 2015, the Compensation Committee granted cash-based performance awards to Messrs. Rodriguez, and Staffieri. Mr. Thiry received all of his 2015 LTI awards in the form of equity (SSARs and PSUs). Mr. Kogod's cash-based performance award for 2014 and his cash-based and equity awards for 2015 were delayed to 2016, when cash-based performance awards for 2014 and cash and equity-based awards for 2015 will be made to other executives of the Company's HCP division. Since there was no long-term cash-based incentive plan at HCP, and no such plan had previously existed at HCP, the grant of cash-based performance awards to HCP executives was delayed to allow time for the proper structuring of a long-term cash-based incentive plan. In addition, because the value of the cash-based award is linked to the value of the equity-based awards, the 2015 equity awards to HCP executives were also delayed to 2016. As a result, LTI awards for HCP executives in 2016, including Mr. Kogod, will appear greater than a typical annual grant since they will effectively represent grants for multiple years.

The Compensation Committee determines the target award value for NEOs' cash-based performance awards in a manner similar to how it determines the amount of equity awards to grant; that is, based on individual and Company historical and expected performance, including an executive's ability to influence the targeted performance measure. The aggregate target value of cash-based performance awards available for allocation to our executives is approved by the full Board for administration by the Compensation Committee along with the aggregate equity award pool.

The cash-based performance awards granted in 2015 will vest on April 1, 2018, subject to the NEO's continued employment and the achievement of performance conditions relating to adjusted operating income of the Company's dialysis and related lab services segment in 2017. Under the terms of the 2011 Plan, the maximum annual amount of any cash-based performance awards payable to any executive is \$10,000,000 in a 12 month period. However, the Compensation Committee established target award values for each of Messrs. Rodriguez, Staffieri and Hilger at the time of grant, at amounts substantially lower than the maximum under the 2011 Plan.

In early 2016, Messrs. Rodriguez, Staffieri, Kogod and Hilger received payouts under the 2013 long-term cash-based performance awards granted under the

Company's 2011 Plan. Payouts under the 2013 long-term cash-based performance awards are paid 50% in early 2016 and 50% in early 2017. The payment in 2017 is not subject to any incremental performance conditions, but only to continued employment at the time of vesting, April 1, 2017. Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. Kogod each earned \$4,950,000. Mr. Staffieri earned \$2,598,750, and Mr. Hilger earned \$309,375 for performance exceeding the maximum 150% payout level as a result of adjusted operating income achieved for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment of \$1,857 million for fiscal year 2015 compared to a target of \$1,669 million at the 100% payout level. These earned amounts were paid 50% in 2016, and the remaining 50% will be paid in 2017. In addition, as discussed above under "Supplemental STI Program for 2015," Messrs. Rodriguez, Kogod and Staffieri were eligible for additional amounts subject to negative discretion based on exceptional performance above the maximum 150% payout level under the 2013 long-term cash-based incentive program. They became eligible for these additional amounts through the 2015 STI program put in place in early 2015 to make their incentive award opportunity tied to 2015 operating results in the dialysis and related lab services operating segment comparable to that of other non-NEO executives, while maintaining the additional discipline of negative discretion by the Compensation Committee. Please see "Supplemental STI Program for 2015" for further information regarding these payouts.

Determining LTI Program Award Amounts

The Compensation Committee reviews the annual LTI program award recommendations for our NEOs and other executives in advance of the grant date with the input of our CEO and the committee's outside compensation consultant, Compensia. Based upon a review of equity award shares available, their dilutive effect on stockholders, long-term share budgeting restrictions, cash-based performance award dollars available and recommendations from management, the Compensation Committee recommends for Board approval the aggregate equity and cash LTI program award pools for the year. In considering how to distribute the equity and cash-based performance award units in the respective LTI program award pools, our CEO, together with a team that includes other senior executives, gives differential attention to high-potential individuals whom the Company believes will be the future senior leaders of the Company, and to other high-performing individuals whose performance in their current positions exceeded expectations.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

Each such high-potential and/or high-performing employee is then individually reviewed, from a holistic perspective, starting with a review of such employee's historical compensation, including his or her initial base salary, any base salary increases during his or her tenure with the Company and performance cash bonuses and equity and long-term cash-based incentive award grants over his or her career at the Company. A determination is then made as to the amount and number of cash and equity LTI program award units that should be granted and the appropriate vesting schedules and performance conditions that should be implemented for such awards in order to retain and continue to motivate these high-quality, high-performing individuals. Our goal is to achieve fairness in compensation and motivate performance over the course of multiple years, which is the reason we take into account all compensation that has been awarded to such individuals over their respective careers at the Company when making prospective award decisions.

The Compensation Committee also evaluates the market competitiveness of the Company's compensation for its NEOs and other executive officers by analyzing its historical and proposed compensation changes in light of compensation practices among its comparator peer group as provided in an annual assessment by Compensia, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant.

After taking into account the elements set forth above, the Compensation Committee approved LTI program award grants to our NEOs in 2015, except for Mr. Thiry, whose LTI program award grant was approved by the independent members of the Board as required by the committee's charter. All of the SSARs, PSUs and cash-based performance awards granted to our NEOs were granted in June 2015 after the completion of the review and approval by the Compensation Committee, and with respect to Mr. Thiry, by the independent members of the Board. The table below shows the aggregate number of shares subject to SSARs and PSUs, and the base target value of the cash-based performance awards granted to each of our NEOs in 2015, who remained executive officers as of December 31, 2015.

Kent J. Thiry	179,041	48,323	
Javier J. Rodriguez	46,551	12,564	4,550,000
Michael D. Staffieri	40,284	3,624	2,625,000
Dennis L. Kogod			
James K. Hilger	5,968	1,492	250,000

The 2015 SSAR, PSU and RSU awards above vest 50% on each of June 2, 2018 and June 2, 2019, the third and fourth anniversaries, respectively, of the grant date. In each case, vesting is subject to the NEO's continued employment and, in the case of PSUs, the achievement of the underlying performance conditions. The cash-based performance awards vest 100% on April 1, 2018, subject to the NEO's continued employment and the achievement of performance conditions relating to adjusted operating income of the Company's dialysis and related lab services segment in 2017. Given the market and operating conditions at the time the targets were set, the target vesting levels were designed to be achievable with strong management performance.

Executive Compensation Program for 2016

We are using performance metrics consistent with 2015 for our 2016 STI Program. We are currently in the process of finalizing the 2016 LTI Program, and anticipate that the broad structure will remain the same as for 2015. Consistent with our practice, we also plan to reach out to our stockholders for feedback on compensation of our executive officers and consider any changes and adjustments to our compensation policies and practices suggested by our stockholders.

Personal Benefits and Perquisites

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

As described above, our compensation program for NEOs emphasizes compensation based on performance and compensation which serves to align our NEOs' interests with those of our stockholders. As a result, the Compensation Committee has determined that the Company should provide few perquisites to NEOs. We believe that the perquisites and personal benefits that we provide support important attraction and retention objectives. We also consider the extent to which the perquisite or personal benefit provided serves to enhance the performance of our NEOs in light of the demands on these individuals' time. The perquisites and personal benefits available to our NEOs are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee has authorized the personal use of fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft by some of our NEOs. The Compensation Committee believes that access to an aircraft for personal travel enables our NEOs to maximize their work hours, particularly in light of their demanding business travel schedules. One of the Compensation Committee's objectives is to ensure that our NEOs are afforded adequate flexibility to allow for sufficient personal time in light of the significant demands of the Company. The Compensation Committee and our CEO allocate a fixed number of

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

hours for personal use by identified NEOs and consider the allocated amount as part of the NEO's total compensation. The Compensation Committee and our CEO use their discretion when determining the number of allocated hours and displace other forms of compensation that otherwise would have been awarded to the NEO.

Our CEO is authorized by the Compensation Committee to use a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft for business purposes and long-distance commuting, and for a fixed number of hours per year for personal use instead of additional cash compensation that would have otherwise been paid. Other executives of the Company are authorized on a limited basis to use a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft for a fixed number of hours for business purposes and to a much lesser extent for a fixed number of hours per year for personal use. As part of our CEO's aggregate compensation package, the Compensation Committee approves a fixed number of hours for personal use each year and unused hours from the prior year are available for use the following year. When determining the number of hours of personal use of aircraft to award, the Compensation Committee takes into consideration Mr. Thiry's overall compensation package. If Mr. Thiry were to exceed the fixed number of hours for personal use that is unrelated to business or long-distance commuting in a given year, the excess hours of personal use would offset the number of hours approved by the Compensation Committee the following year for personal use or Mr. Thiry would be required to compensate us directly, although historically he has not exceeded the hours authorized for personal use. The Compensation Committee reviews all business and personal use of the aircraft annually, including detailed passenger logs with special attention to mixed business and personal use and required reimbursements to the Company.

Deferred Compensation Program

Our deferred compensation program permits certain employees, including our NEOs, to defer compensation at the election of the participant or at the election of the Company. We maintain a Deferred Compensation Plan which allows certain employees, including our NEOs, to defer a percentage of their base salary, cash bonus and other compensation as identified by the Company. We do not utilize deferred compensation as a significant component of compensation and there are no Company contributions thereto or above-market returns available thereunder.

Severance and Change of Control Arrangements

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our NEOs. These agreements, among other things, provide for severance benefits in the event of a termination of employment in certain circumstances, including, with respect to certain NEOs, the departure of the NEO following a change of control of our Company. Each agreement is individually negotiated and the terms vary. When entering into employment agreements with our NEOs, we attempt to provide severance and change of control benefits which strike a balance between providing sufficient protections for the NEO while still providing post-termination compensation that we consider reasonable and in the interests of the Company and our stockholders. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" beginning on page 61 of this Proxy Statement for a description of the severance and change of control arrangements set forth in our employment agreements with the NEOs.

The terms of individual agreements vary but under our current stock-based award agreements, accelerated vesting of stock-based awards is generally triggered when a change of control event occurs and either the acquiring entity fails to assume, convert or replace the stock-based award or the grantee's employment is terminated following a change of control or if the executive resigns for "good reason" or is terminated by the Company without "cause" as provided in his or her applicable employment agreement, all within a certain period of time after the effective date of the change of control event. The additional acceleration provisions in our stock-based award agreements further serve to secure the continued employment and commitment of our NEOs prior to or following a change of control. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" beginning on page 61 of this Proxy Statement for more information regarding accelerated vesting under our stock-based award agreements.

Dr. Menzel stepped down as our Chief Financial Officer effective March 30, 2015, and in accordance with his then-existing compensation arrangement, received his base salary of \$510,000 over a 12-month period beginning on May 5, 2015.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

Process for Determining NEO Compensation

Role of Independent Compensation Committee

Our executive compensation and benefits programs are designed and administered under the direction and control of the Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee, composed solely of independent directors, reviews and approves our overall executive compensation program, strategy and policies and sets the compensation of our executive officers.

When recruiting new executives, the Compensation Committee and our CEO evaluate the comparative compensation of executives within the Company with similar levels of responsibility, the prior experience of the executive and expected contributions to Company performance. Thereafter, each executive's compensation is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee and CEO, and considered for adjustment based on individual performance and other factors.

When evaluating performance, we base compensation decisions on an assessment of Company and individual performance over the year, taking individual accomplishments into consideration in light of the totality of circumstances together with individual potential to contribute to the Company's future growth. We believe that all of our NEOs have the ability to influence overall Company policies and performance and, accordingly, should be accountable for Company-wide performance as well as the areas over which they have direct influence. The differences in total annual compensation levels among the NEOs are based on their individual roles and responsibilities within the Company and their relative individual performance. The Compensation Committee uses its judgment in awarding compensation to our NEOs in accordance with the overall objectives of the Company's compensation program.

The Compensation Committee takes into consideration a number of factors when determining the elements and amounts of compensation awarded to our NEOs, including individual performance, overall financial and non-financial performance of the Company for the year, individual skill sets and experience relative to industry peers, readiness for promotion, past and expected future performance, the importance and difficulty of achieving future Company and individual objectives, the value of

each executive's outstanding equity awards, aggregate historical compensation, levels of responsibility and performance relative to other executives within the Company, importance to the Company and difficulty of replacement. The Compensation Committee also gives significant weight to our clinical performance and quality of patient care. Accordingly, Company-wide patient clinical outcomes and improvements in quality of patient care, and each NEO's contributions in those areas, can have a significant impact on NEO compensation.

The Company-wide factors taken into consideration by the Compensation Committee include, but are not limited to, the following:

overall revenue growth, increases in our treatment volume, market share increases, improvements in controlling treatment costs, operating income growth, operating margin growth and increases in earnings per share;

healthcare regulatory compliance initiatives;

improved strategic positioning;

improved positioning of the Company for continued growth and diversification;

improved organizational capabilities;

patient growth and geographic expansion;

relationships with private payors;

improved clinical outcomes, vaccination rates and other measures of quality of care;

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

relationships with medical directors and other physicians involved in our patient care;

selection and implementation of improved financial, operating and clinical information systems;

management performance in attracting and retaining high-performing employees throughout our organization and succession planning;

implementation of successful public policy efforts;

good corporate citizenship; and

advancement of strategic business initiatives supporting our mission to be the provider, partner and employer of choice.

The Compensation Committee retains discretion as to how to weigh these factors. There is no formal weighting of the individual elements considered and no particular elements are required to be considered with respect to a given individual or in any particular year.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

When determining annual compensation for our NEOs, other than for our CEO, the Compensation Committee works closely with our CEO to review each individual's performance for the year and determine such NEO's compensation. Shortly following the end of each year, our CEO provides his assessment of each NEO's performance during the year based on his personal experience with the individual, the NEO's achievement of success in areas determined to be significant to the Company, and any changes in responsibility levels. The Compensation Committee also considers performance discussions that have taken place at the Board and Compensation Committee level regarding the NEOs, retention objectives and the future growth potential of the individual executive. Our CEO recommends to the Compensation Committee the amounts of cash and stock-based compensation for each of the NEOs. The Compensation Committee considers the recommendations made by the CEO regarding the other NEOs but retains the discretion to deviate from those recommendations. Neither the CEO nor other members of management provide a recommendation to the Compensation Committee with regard to the CEO's compensation.

The Compensation Committee evaluates our CEO's performance at the same time it sets the compensation of the other NEOs. When evaluating the performance of our CEO and making decisions about his compensation, the Compensation Committee considers overall Company performance as part of the assessment of our CEO's performance, in addition to the achievement of specific objectives to determine his compensation. The Compensation Committee also considers a self-assessment prepared by our CEO. As part of this self-assessment, our CEO reviews with the Compensation Committee the overall annual management objectives of the Company and his participation in the attainment, or level of responsibility for the shortfall, of such objectives. Approximately every other year, the Compensation Committee engages an outside independent consultant to conduct an in-depth analysis of our CEO's performance as a manager during the year. The most recent assessment took place in 2015. This evaluation involves a rigorous assessment of our CEO's

performance by members of the senior management team. This assessment is reviewed by the Board and the Compensation Committee and is one of the many factors considered when making compensation decisions. As further described below, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant provides the Compensation Committee with an analysis of comparative market data on the cash, stock-based compensation and total compensation for senior executives, including the CEO, at a group of comparable companies within our industry. The compensation package for our CEO is approved by the independent members of the Board after the Compensation Committee's recommendation for approval.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has selected and directly retains the services of Compensia, an independent national compensation consulting firm. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain or replace Compensia in its discretion. Compensia does not provide consulting services to the Company and may not provide such services without prior approval of the chair of the Compensation Committee. Accordingly, Compensia only provides compensation consulting services to the Compensation Committee, and works with the Company's management only on matters for which the Compensation Committee provides direction and is responsible. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Compensia pursuant to the rules of the SEC and NYSE and concluded that Compensia's work for the Compensation Committee does not raise any conflicts of interest. The Compensation Committee periodically seeks input from Compensia on a range of external market factors, including evolving compensation trends, appropriate peer companies and market survey data. Compensia also provides general observations on the Company's compensation program, but it does not determine or recommend the amount or form of compensation for the NEOs.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents**Market Competitiveness**

We evaluate the overall competitiveness of our executives' total direct compensation each year in order to assist in executive retention. In 2014 and 2015, the Compensation Committee retained Compensia to perform a comprehensive market analysis of our executive compensation programs and pay levels and based upon the recommendation of Compensia adopted a revised comparator peer group in late 2014 to be used to evaluate 2014 bonus payouts and 2015 compensation decisions.

Compensia provided the Compensation Committee with an analysis of comparative market data on the cash, stock-based compensation and total compensation for senior executives at the companies within our comparator peer group. In addition to public executive compensation data, the Compensation Committee reviewed the compensation practices of our comparator peer group for purposes of benchmarking and understanding the general compensation practices of our peers. Our comparator peer group consists of the following companies, which are all in the health care services, diagnostics, managed care and solutions markets:

Abbott Laboratories⁴	-13.6%	5.9%	\$55,740	\$4,423	\$20,405
Aetna⁴	11.9%	29.7%	\$35,593	\$2,390	\$60,227
Anthem⁴	-1.7%	28.4%	\$34,089	\$2,560	\$79,156
Baxter International⁴	-3.1%	2.0%	\$20,044	\$968	\$9,968
Centene Corp.	13.7%	42.2%	\$7,468	\$355	\$22,760
Community Health Systems, Inc.	-54.4%	-17.6%	\$2,422	\$158	\$19,437
HCA Holdings, Inc.	-1.7%	22.7%	\$27,744	\$2,129	\$39,678
Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings	-2.1%	7.9%	\$11,381	\$437	\$8,680
Molina Healthcare, Inc.	7.9%	24.1%	\$3,075	\$143	\$14,178
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated	-5.6%	6.5%	\$9,391	\$709	\$7,493
Tenet Healthcare, Inc.	-35.9%	-11.3%	\$2,671	\$(140)	\$18,634
Thermo Fisher Scientific⁴	6.0%	23.0%	\$52,775	\$1,975	\$16,965
Universal Health Services, Inc.	10.2%	26.1%	\$11,072	\$681	\$9,042
WellCare Health Plans⁴	4.3%	14.4%	\$3,352	\$119	\$13,890

Summary Statistics:

75th Percentile	7.4%	25.6%	\$32,503	\$2,091	\$22,171
50th Percentile	-1.7%	18.6%	\$11,227	\$695	\$17,800
25th Percentile	-5.0%	6.0%	\$4,381	\$207	\$10,949
DaVita	-10.6%	5.2%	\$14,079	\$270	\$13,782
DaVita Percentage Rank	18%	22%	56%	27%	31%

1

The Company's peer group was compiled by Compensia and approved by the Compensation Committee. The following companies were deleted from the Company's 2014 peer group in late 2015 due to differences in size and/or business model or the peer group company ceasing to be an independent publicly-traded company: Catamaran, Health Net, HealthSouth, Humana, MEDNAX and Omnicare. These companies, however, were included in the Company's peer group at the beginning of the year to evaluate initial 2015 compensation decisions.

2

Data as of January 29, 2016.

3

Financial data generally publicly available as of January 29, 2016.

4

Added to the Company's comparator peer group in late 2015.

Our 2015 comparator peer group includes a diverse representation of various health care services, diagnostics, managed care, and solutions markets because we compete in these broad industry groups for executive talent. The Compensation Committee, in conjunction with Compensia, reviews the composition of

this group annually and makes adjustments to the composition of the group as it deems appropriate in order to provide a fairly consistent measure for comparing executive compensation. We believe that our comparator peer companies are comparable to us in their size, as measured by market capitalization, net

50

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis 

income and revenues. Compensation paid by this comparator peer group is representative of the compensation we believe is required to attract, retain and motivate our executive talent.

The Compensation Committee considered the comparator peer group together with market data information analysis from Compensia and other factors, in determining 2015 base salary amounts and LTI program awards granted in April and June 2015, respectively. The comparator peer group together with market data and analysis from Compensia and other factors were considered by the Compensation Committee in determining 2016 base salary amounts and 2015 performance bonuses, and will be used in determining LTI program awards expected to be granted in 2016.

The Compensation Committee considered Compensia's analysis (based on publicly disclosed compensation practices) of the compensation of executives serving in similar positions at comparable companies to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices in our industry. The analysis provided by Compensia was used to provide context for the compensation decisions made by the Compensation Committee, but the Compensation Committee's decisions were not directly related to or otherwise based upon the comparative data. Instead, the Compensation Committee used this comparative data as one of many factors considered to set the compensation for our NEOs. The Compensation Committee also used the analysis as a tool to assess how well the Company is implementing its core compensation objective of awarding compensation weighted heavily in favor of variable compensation tied to performance.

In approving executive compensation, the Compensation Committee considered the Company's market capitalization, which is at the 56th percentile of our

comparator peer group, and the Company's size, in terms of net income and revenue, which is at the 27th and 31st percentiles, respectively, of our comparator peer group. The Compensation Committee also considered each NEO's roles and responsibilities within the Company, individual performance, Company performance and internal pay equity in addition to the results of the competitive pay analysis.

Risk Considerations in Our Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Compensia, conducted a review of the Company's material compensation policies and practices applicable to its employees, including its executive officers. Based on this review, the Compensation Committee concluded that these policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The key features of the executive compensation program that support this conclusion include:

a balance between cash and equity compensation;

a balance between short-term and long-term performance focus;

short-term incentive opportunities are capped and are not linked to any one specific goal;

severance payments are limited to 3x base salary and bonus;

equity awards have meaningful vesting requirements;

a clawback policy that permits the Board to recover annual bonuses and longer-term incentive and equity-based compensation from executive officers and members of the Board;

stock ownership guidelines; and

significant independent Compensation Committee oversight.

Compensation Policies and Practices

We are committed to strong governance standards with respect to our compensation program, procedures and practices. We believe that the following aspects of our compensation program are indicative of this commitment.

Management Share Ownership Policy

We have a share ownership policy that applies to members of our management team at the executive level. The management share ownership policy is similar to our share ownership policy that applies to all non-employee members of the Board described on

page 15 of this Proxy Statement. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that our executive officers accumulate a meaningful ownership stake in the Company over time by retaining a specified financial interest in our common stock. Both shares owned directly and shares underlying vested but unexercised stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, and stock options are included in

Continues on next page

DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc. Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement 51

Table of Contents

the determination of whether the share ownership guidelines are met. The total net realizable share value retained must have a current market value of not less than the lower of 25% of the total equity award value in excess of \$100,000 realized to date by the executive (since promotion to Vice President); or a specific multiple of the executive's base salary. The salary multiple requirement for our current NEOs is 5.0 for Mr. Thiry, and 3.0 for Mr. Rodriguez, Mr. Kogod, and Mr. Staffieri. Mr. Hilger is not subject to the requirements under this policy because he is serving as our chief financial officer on an interim basis. As of December 31, 2015, all of the NEOs met or exceeded the guidelines under our share ownership policy.

Policy Regarding Clawback of Bonuses and Incentive Compensation

In 2010, the Board adopted a clawback policy that permits the Board to recover annual bonuses and longer-term incentive and equity-based compensation from executive officers and non-employee members of the Board whose fraud or intentional misconduct was a significant contributing factor to the Company having to restate all or a portion of its financial statements. In December 2014, the policy was further amended to add significant misconduct as another possible clawback triggering event, in accordance with the executive financial compensation recoupment requirements under the Corporate Integrity Agreement.

This new provision applies to all senior vice presidents and above of the Company's domestic dialysis business, in addition to the executive officers and non-employee members of the Board. The clawback policy allows for the recovery of any bonus or incentive compensation paid to those executive officers or directors, the cancellation of restricted or deferred stock awards and outstanding stock awards granted to those executive officers or directors, and the reimbursement of any gains realized that are attributable to such awards to the fullest extent permitted by law. The policy allows for the foregoing actions to the extent that the amount of incentive compensation was calculated based upon the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently reduced due to a restatement; the executive officer or director engaged in any fraud or intentional misconduct that was a significant contributing factor to the Company having to restate its financial statements; where the amount of the bonus or incentive compensation that would have been awarded to the officer had the financial results been properly reported would have been lower than the amount actually awarded; and, where the amount of the bonus or incentive compensation that was awarded to the officer would not have been awarded had any significant misconduct been known. The Company will not seek to recover bonuses or incentive or equity-based compensation paid or vested more than three years prior to the date the applicable restatement is disclosed or the significant misconduct is discovered.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Deduction Limit

When reviewing compensation matters, the Compensation Committee considers the anticipated tax treatment of various payments and benefits to the Company and, when relevant, to its executives. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated NEOs employed at the end of the year (other than the chief financial officer), such executives are referred to as "covered employees."

Certain compensation is specifically exempt from the deduction limit to the extent that it is "performance-based" as defined in Section 162(m). While the Compensation Committee recognizes the desirability of preserving and strives to maintain the deductibility of

payments made to the NEOs, the Compensation Committee believes that it must maintain flexibility in its approach in order to structure a program that it believes to be the most effective in attracting, motivating and retaining the Company's key executives.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, which requires the Company to recognize compensation expense for share-based payments (including SSARs, RSUs, PSUs and other forms of equity compensation). FASB ASC Topic 718 is taken into account by the Compensation Committee in determining to issue various types of equity awards, considering the natural economic exchange ratios implied by their approximate respective fair values.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee of the Board is currently composed of four independent directors. The Compensation Committee oversees the Company's compensation program on behalf of the Board. The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth in this Proxy Statement with management.

Based on the Compensation Committee's review and discussion with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2016 annual meeting of stockholders and the Company's annual report on Form 10-K.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Pamela M. Arway, Chair
Paul J. Diaz
Peter T. Grauer
Roger J. Valine

The information contained above under the caption "Compensation Committee Report" will not be considered "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC, nor will that information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing.

Table of Contents

2015 Summary Compensation Table

Kent J. Thiry	2015	1,200,000		3,720,140	3,422,476	2,225,186	471,020	11,038,822
Chairman of the Board of Directors,	2014	1,200,000		4,905,159	4,558,730	2,610,000	517,134	13,791,023
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Executive Officer, HealthCare Partners	2013	1,148,077			12,272,760	3,000,000	678,420	17,099,257
Javier J. Rodriguez	2015	800,000		967,239	889,850	9,013,661	164,816	11,835,566
Chief Executive Officer, Kidney Care	2014	800,000		1,376,459	1,279,239	8,142,500	151,140	11,749,338
	2013	765,385			3,780,980	1,600,000	13,245	6,159,610
Michael D. Staffieri	2015	583,270	3,415	278,994	770,053	4,859,672	64,719	6,560,123
Chief Operating Officer, Kidney Care	2014	515,385	38,363	450,495	418,657	3,392,500	29,981	4,845,381
Dennis L. Kogod	2015	800,000				5,150,000	120,441	6,070,441
President,	2014	800,000	200,000	667,422	1,860,796	6,142,500	104,792	9,775,510
HealthCare Partners and Chief Executive Officer, International	2013	800,000			2,970,770	1,100,000	90,042	4,960,812
James K. Hilger	2015	366,635	195,000	125,059	114,082	309,375	360	1,110,511
Interim Chief Financial Officer,	2014	350,000	225,000	124,953	116,302	1,092,500	336	1,909,091
and Chief Accounting Officer	2013	350,000	300,000		189,049		544	839,593
Garry E. Menzel	2015	188,308					510,204	698,512

Former Chief Financial Officer	2014	510,000	44,548		480	555,028
	2013	147,115	66,484	1,624,716	45	1,838,360

1

The amounts reported in this column for 2015 represent annual performance bonuses for non-STIP participants, namely Mr. Hilger, discretionary bonuses, including relocation bonuses, earned with respect to 2015. The cash component of our 2015 short-term incentive program (the "2015 STI program") under the DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc. 2011 Incentive Award Plan is included in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column.

2

The amounts shown in this column reflect restricted and performance stock unit awards and represent the aggregate grant date fair value of all such awards granted to the executive during the year as estimated by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. In accordance with SEC rules, the amounts included in the Stock Awards column for the performance stock unit awards granted during 2015 are calculated based on the most probable outcome of the performance conditions for such awards on the grant date. If the most probable outcome of the performance conditions as of grant date had been maximum performance, then the grant date fair value of the PSUs would have been as follows: Mr. Thiry \$5,137,787; Mr. Rodriguez \$1,191,700; and Mr. Staffieri \$343,750. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a discussion of the relevant assumptions used in calculating these amounts pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

3

The amounts shown in this column reflect SSAR awards and represent the aggregate grant date fair value of all such awards granted to the executive during the year as estimated by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a discussion of the relevant assumptions used in calculating these amounts pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

4

For 2013, the amounts shown in this column constitute amounts earned under the Company's expired employee incentive program (EIP). For 2014 and 2015, the amounts shown in this column represent amounts earned for performance periods ending in 2014 and 2015, respectively. For 2015, these amounts include the 2015 STI program and 2013 Cash LTI program. In early 2016, Messrs. Rodriguez, Staffieri, Kogod and Hilger received payouts under the 2013 long-term cash-based performance awards and the 2015 Supplemental STI program which was put in place in early 2015 to make their incentive award opportunity tied to 2015 operating results in the dialysis and related lab services operating segment comparable to that of other non-NEO executives, while maintaining the additional discipline of negative discretion by the Compensation Committee, all granted under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan. As a result of these two performance-based cash awards, Mr. Rodriguez earned \$7,313,661 for performance at the 221.6% payout level, Mr. Kogod earned \$4,950,000 for performance at the 150% payout level as the Compensation Committee exercised negative discretion to reduce the payout, Mr. Staffieri earned \$3,839,672 for

Table of ContentsExecutive Compensation 

performance at the 221.6% payout level, all as a result of adjusted operating income achieved for the dialysis and related lab services operating segment of \$1,857 million for fiscal year 2015 compared to a target of \$1,669 million at the 100% payout level.

Kent J. Thiry	\$2,225,186			\$2,225,186
Javier J. Rodriguez	\$1,700,000	\$2,363,661	\$4,950,000	\$9,013,661
Michael D. Staffieri	\$1,020,000	\$1,240,922	\$2,598,750	\$4,859,672
Dennis L. Kogod	\$200,000		\$4,950,000	\$5,150,000
James K. Hilger			\$309,375	\$309,375
Dr. Garry E. Menzel				

The awards are reported for the year with respect to which they were earned, regardless of when the award is paid. Please see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Short-Term Incentive Program (STI Program) for 2015" in this Proxy Statement for a discussion of the performance criteria under the 2015 STI program.

5

Amounts included in this column are set forth by category below. The amounts disclosed, other than use of a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft, are the actual or share of actual costs to the Company of providing these benefits. Because a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft is used primarily for business purposes, we do not include in incremental cost the fixed costs that do not change based on usage. The incremental cost to us of personal use of a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft, including use for commuting, is calculated based on the variable operating costs related to the operation of the aircraft, including fuel costs and landing fees, trip-related repairs and maintenance, catering and other miscellaneous variable costs. Fixed costs that do not change based on usage, such as pilot salaries, training, utilities, depreciation, management fees, taxes and general repairs and maintenance are excluded. The value of the personal use of a fractionally-owned or chartered corporate aircraft by our NEOs is included in their personal income in accordance with applicable tax regulations.

Kent J. Thiry	2015	\$469,867	\$1,153	\$471,020
Javier J. Rodriguez	2015	\$164,336	\$480	\$164,816

Michael D. Staffieri	2015	\$64,239	\$480		\$64,719
Dennis L. Kogod	2015	\$119,879	\$562		\$120,441
James K. Hilger	2015		\$360		\$360
Dr. Garry E. Menzel	2015		\$204	\$510,000	\$510,204

*

For purposes of calculating the incremental costs to the Company of each NEO's personal use of Company aircraft, the total cost of the flight is allocated to personal use based upon the relative ratio of personal mileage to total mileage. Costs for fuel, ground costs, catering costs, landing fees, domestic passenger fees and federal excise tax charges are also included, if applicable.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents



The following table sets forth information concerning awards made to each of the NEOs under the Company's equity compensation plans during 2015.

2015 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards		Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards⁴		
1	2,225,186	3,600,000			
6/2/2015			36,243	48,323	65,236
6/2/2015					179,041
1	1,700,000	2,000,000			
2	2,363,661	8,250,000			
7/2/2015 ³	2,275,000	4,550,000	10,000,000		
6/2/2015			9,424	12,564	15,077
6/2/2015					46,551
1	1,020,000	1,200,000			
2	1,240,922	4,331,250			
7/2/2015 ³	1,312,500	2,625,000	10,000,000		
6/2/2015			2,719	3,624	4,349
6/2/2015					40,284
1	200,000	2,000,000			

2			8,250,000	
2/2015	125,000	250,000	1,000,000	
6/2/2015				1,492
6/2/2015				5,968

1
 Represents applicable amounts for our 2015 short-term incentive program (2015 STI program) under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan. The amount in the "Maximum" column represents the maximum amount the executive was eligible to earn under the 2015 STI Program if all performance criteria were achieved at their highest payout level. Since 2015 is now complete, the amount in the "Target" column represents the payout amounts awarded under the 2015 STI program, considering both the formulaic criteria and any further negative discretion the Committee applied thereunder. Since the Committee may use discretion to reduce amounts awarded to zero, there are no fixed threshold amounts under the 2015 STI Program. Accordingly this table reflects a zero amount in the "Threshold" column.

2
 Represents applicable amounts for our 2015 Supplemental STI Program as described in further detail beginning on page 42.

3
 Represents long-term cash-based performance awards granted in June 2015 (2015 cash LTI program awards) under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan. For a description of these 2015 cash LTIP awards, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-term Incentive Program (LTI Program) for 2015" in this Proxy Statement.

4
 This number represents performance stock unit awards awarded under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan. The PSU awards above vest 50% each on June 2, 2018 and June 2, 2019, subject to the NEO's continued employment and the achievement of the underlying performance conditions. For a description of the PSUs, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Program (LTI Program) for 2015 Equity Awards Performance Stock Units" in this Proxy Statement.

5
 This number represents SSARs awarded under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan. The SSARs vest 50% each in the third and fourth years from the date of grant, subject to the NEO's continued employment. For a description of the SSARs, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Program (LTI Program) for 2015 Equity Awards Stock-settled Stock Appreciation Rights" in this Proxy Statement.

6
 The amounts for SSARs and performance stock unit awards are the aggregate grant date fair values of each award determined pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718 and, in the case of the performance stock unit awards, are based upon the probable outcome of the applicable performance conditions on the grant date. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a discussion of the relevant assumptions used in calculating grant date fair value pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

7
 Mr. Kogod received no LTI program awards during the year ended December 31, 2015 and Dr. Menzel received no grants of plan-based awards for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation 

The following table sets forth information concerning outstanding SSARs and unvested stock awards held by each of the NEOs at December 31, 2015.

2015 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Option Awards				Stock Awards	
12/18/2012	500,000	500,000 ⁴	\$55.34	12/18/2017	
3/20/2013		900,000 ³	\$59.52	3/20/2018	
4/24/2014		282,339 ³	\$69.38	4/24/2019	
6/2/2015		179,041	83.82	6/2/2020	
12/18/2012				72,064 ⁵	5,023,581 ⁵
4/24/2014					63,022 ²
6/2/2015					48,323 ⁸
4/13/2011	216,667 ³		\$43.35	4/13/2016	
12/8/2011	80,000 ⁶	6	\$36.96	12/8/2016	
12/18/2012	56,250 ⁴	56,250 ⁴	\$55.34	12/18/2017	
3/19/2013		280,000 ³	\$58.94	3/19/2018	

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

4/24/2014		79,2283	\$69.38	4/24/2019			
6/2/2015		46,5513	\$83.82	6/2/2020			
12/18/2012						14,0635	980,3325
12/18/2012						22,4035	1,561,7135
4/24/2014							17,6852
6/2/2015							12,5648
3/29/2011	40,0003		\$41.51	3/29/2016			
8/9/2011	36,6687	3,3327	\$37.19	8/9/2016			
12/18/2012	12,6003	12,6003	\$55.34	12/18/2017			
3/19/2013		50,8003	\$58.94	3/19/2018			
4/24/2014		25,9293	\$69.38	4/24/2019			
6/2/2015		40,2843	\$83.82	6/2/2020			
12/18/2012						1,3505	94,1095
12/18/2012						3,5845	249,8415
4/24/2014							5,7882
6/2/2015							3,6248
4/13/2011	250,0003		\$43.35	4/13/2016			
12/18/2012	56,2504	56,2504	\$55.34	12/18/2017			
3/19/2013		220,0003	\$58.94	3/19/2018			
4/24/2014		115,2463	\$69.38	4/24/2019			
12/18/2012						36,4665	2,542,0455
4/24/2014							8,5752
12/18/2012	20,0004	20,0004	\$55.34	12/18/2017			
3/19/2013		14,0003	\$58.94	3/19/2018			

4/24/2014	7,2033	\$69.38	4/24/2019		
6/2/2015	5,9683	\$83.82	6/2/2020		
12/18/2012				3,9835	277,6555
4/24/2014				1,8019	125,5489
6/2/2015				1,49210	104,00710

Menzel
Outstanding
5

1
The market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested reflects the \$69.71 closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, as reported by the NYSE.

2
These PSUs vest 50% each on May 15, 2017 and May 15, 2018, subject to achievement of the performance conditions for PSUs. The amounts listed here are the target number of PSUs awarded.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents



3	These SSARs vest 50% on the third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date.
4	These remaining SSARs vest 50% on April 1, 2015 and 50% on April 1, 2016.
5	These remaining RSUs vest 50% on May 15, 2015 and 50% on May 15, 2016.
6	These SSARs vest 33% on the second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date.
7	These SSARs vest 50% on the second anniversary and 8.33% every three months thereafter.
8	These performance stock units vest 50% each on June 2, 2018 and June 2, 2019, subject to the performance conditions for PSUs. The amounts listed here are the target number of shares awarded.
9	These RSUs vest 50% each on May 15, 2017 and May 15, 2018.
10	These RSUs vest 50% each on June 2, 2018 and June 2, 2019.

58

Table of ContentsExecutive Compensation 

The following table sets forth information concerning the exercise of stock options and SSARs and the vesting of stock awards held by each of the NEOs during 2015.

2015 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Kent J. Thiry	1,000,000	\$33,919,985	72,064	\$5,871,775
Javier J. Rodriguez	48,000	\$1,980,165	36,466	\$2,972,001
Michael D. Staffieri	217,000	\$8,657,189	4,934	\$402,022
Dennis L. Kogod			36,466	\$2,971,250
James K. Hilger	17,500	\$679,299	3,983	\$324,535
Dr. Garry E. Menzel				

1 Value realized on exercise is determined by subtracting the exercise or base price from the market price of our common stock at exercise, and multiplying the remainder by the number of shares exercised.

2 Value realized on vesting is determined by multiplying the number of shares underlying RSUs by the closing price for our common stock on the date of vesting, as reported by the NYSE.

No Pension Benefits

The Company does not have a defined benefit pension plan in which any employee, including the NEOs, can participate to receive payments or other benefits at, following, or in connection with retirement.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information concerning the Company's nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

2015 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Kent J. Thiry Deferred Compensation Plan	\$1,928,0772	(32,977)	\$10,397,1283
Javier J. Rodriguez Voluntary Deferral Plan		(36,520)	\$573,297
Michael D. Staffieri			
Dennis L. Kogod Executive Retirement Plan		\$14,562	\$326,796
James K. Hilger			

- 1 None of the earnings in this column are included in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table because they are not preferential or above market.
- 2 This amount is reported in the "Salary" column in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table.
- 3 Mr. Thiry deferred \$1,928,077 in 2015 into the Deferred Compensation Plan, and \$2,076,923 in 2014 and \$385,557 in 2013 into the Voluntary Deferral Plan.
- 4 Mr. Hilger, Mr. Staffieri and Dr. Menzel did not participate in any of the Company's nonqualified deferred compensation plans in 2015.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents



Voluntary Deferral Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan

The 2015 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table presents amounts deferred under our Voluntary Deferral Plan and our Deferred Compensation Plan, which replaced the Voluntary Deferral Plan effective January 1, 2015.

Contributions

Under the Voluntary Deferral Plan, participants could defer (i) up to 50% of their base salary, (ii) all or a portion of their annual bonus payment that is earned in the same year as their base salary but payable in the following year and (iii) all or a portion of their other compensation as determined by the Company for deferrals prior to 2015. Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, participants may defer i) up to 50% of their base salary, and (ii) all or a portion of their annual bonus payment that is earned in the same year as their base salary but payable in the following year. Under both plans, deferred amounts are credited with earnings or losses based on the rate of return of one or more investment alternatives selected by the participant from among the investment funds selected by the Company.

Participants may change their investment elections daily. We do not make company contributions to participants' accounts under either the Voluntary Deferral Plan or the Deferred Compensation Plan. All participant contributions are irrevocably funded into a rabbi trust for the benefit of those participants. Assets held in the trust are subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors in the event of the Company's bankruptcy or insolvency until paid to the plan participants.

Payment of benefits

Distributions are generally paid out in cash at the participant's election. Under the Voluntary Deferral Plan, distributions can be made commencing in the first or second year following retirement or in a specified year at least three to four years after the deferral election was effective, and participants can elect to receive distributions in the form of one, five, ten, fifteen or twenty annual installments. Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, distributions can be made

commencing in the second year following the year to which the deferral election applies, after separation from service, or on any other scheduled payment date, and participants can elect to receive either a lump sum distribution or annual installments over any period from two to twenty years; provided, that, if the Deferred Compensation Plan balance does not exceed \$20,000, a lump sum will be paid. If the participant has not elected a specified year for payout and the participant has a separation from service, distributions generally will be paid in a lump sum cash distribution after separation from service.

In the event of a participant's unforeseeable emergency, the plan administrator may, in its sole discretion, authorize the cessation of deferrals by a participant, provide for immediate distribution to a participant in the form of a lump sum cash payment to cover the unforeseeable emergency, or provide for such other payment schedule as the plan administrator deems appropriate.

Executive Retirement Plan

The table also presents amounts deferred under our Executive Retirement Plan. The Executive Retirement Plan was assumed by the Company from Gambro Healthcare, Inc. following our acquisition of Gambro Healthcare in October 2005. Amounts contributed to the plan were based on a percentage of an executive's annual base salary and such contributions were made prior to our assumption of the plan. We did not make any contributions to the Executive Retirement Plan following our assumption of the plan, and effective February 1, 2006, we amended the plan to eliminate the obligation to make further contributions under the plan. All amounts contributed under this plan and currently in deferred accounts are fully vested. Deferred amounts are credited with earnings or losses based on the rate of return of one or more investment alternatives selected by the participant from among the investment funds selected by the Company. Participants may change their investment elections daily. All contributions are irrevocably funded into a rabbi trust for the benefit of plan participants. Assets held in the trust are subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors in the event of the Company's bankruptcy or insolvency until paid to the plan participants. Benefits under the Executive Retirement Plan are distributed upon separation from service from the Company.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation 

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

General Terms and Definitions

For purposes of the table below:

"Cause" is defined in Mr. Thiry's employment agreement as any of the following: (i) conviction of a felony; (ii) any act of fraud or dishonesty resulting or intended to result directly or indirectly in personal enrichment at the expense of the Company; (iii) repeated failure or refusal by the executive to follow policies established by the Board or written directives of the Board that goes uncorrected for a period of 30 consecutive days after notice of such failure or refusal, and that is material and willful and has a material adverse effect on the Company's business; or (iv) a material breach of the executive's employment agreement that goes uncorrected for a period of 30 consecutive days after written notice has been provided to the executive.

Involuntary termination for **"Material Cause"** occurs if the Company terminates employment for any of the following reasons: (i) conviction of a felony or plea of no contest to a felony; (ii) any act of fraud or dishonesty in connection with the performance of the executive's duties; (iii) repeated failure or refusal by the executive to follow lawful policies or directives reasonably established by the CEO of the Company or his designee that goes uncorrected for a period of 10 consecutive days after written notice has been provided to the executive; (iv) a material breach of the executive's employment agreement; (v) any gross or willful misconduct or gross negligence by the executive in performance of the executive's duties; (vi) egregious conduct by the executive that brings the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates into public disgrace or disrepute; (vii) an act of unlawful discrimination, including sexual harassment; (viii) a violation of the duty of loyalty or of any fiduciary duty; or (ix) exclusion or notice of exclusion of the executive from participating in any federal health care program. With respect to Mr. Staffieri's employment agreement, clause "(iv)" also includes a breach of the executive's Noncompetition, Nonsolicitation and Confidentiality Agreement.

"Material Cause" is defined in the employment agreement of Mr. Kogod as any of the following: (i) conviction of a felony or plea of no contest to a felony; (ii) the adjudication by a court of competent jurisdiction that the executive has committed any act of

fraud or dishonesty resulting or intended to result directly or indirectly in personal enrichment at the expense of the Company; (iii) repeated failure or refusal by the executive to follow policies or directives reasonably established by the CEO of the Company or his designee that goes uncorrected for a period of 30 consecutive days after written notice has been provided to the executive; (iv) a material breach of the executive's employment agreement that goes uncorrected for a period of 30 consecutive days after written notice has been provided to the executive; (v) any gross or willful misconduct or gross negligence by the executive in the performance of his duties; (vi) egregious conduct by the executive that brings the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates into public disgrace or disrepute; (vii) an act of unlawful discrimination, including sexual harassment; (viii) a violation of the duty of loyalty or of any fiduciary duty; or (ix) exclusion or notice of exclusion of the executive from participating in any federal health care program.

Except with respect to Mr. Thiry, as noted below, a **"Change of Control"** means (i) any transaction or series of transactions in which any person or group (within the meaning of Rule 13d-5 under the Exchange Act and Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) becomes the direct or indirect "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), by way of a stock issuance, tender offer, merger, consolidation, other business combination or otherwise, of greater than 50% of the total voting power (on a fully diluted basis as if all convertible securities had been converted and all warrants and options had been exercised) entitled to vote in the election of directors of the Company (including any transaction in which the Company becomes a wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiary of another corporation), (ii) any merger or consolidation or reorganization in which the Company does not survive, (iii) any merger or consolidation in which the Company survives, but the shares of the Company's common stock outstanding immediately prior to such merger or consolidation represent 40% or less of the voting power of the Company after such merger or consolidation, and (iv) any transaction in which more than 40% of the Company's assets are sold. However, despite the occurrence of any of the above-described events, a "Change of Control" will not have occurred if Mr. Thiry remains the CEO of the Company for at least one year after the Change of Control or becomes the CEO or executive chair of the surviving company with which the Company merged or consolidated and remains in that position for at least one year after the Change of Control.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

"Good Cause" means the occurrence of the following events without the executive's express written consent: (i) the Company materially diminishes the scope of the executive's duties and responsibilities; or (ii) the Company materially reduces the executive's base compensation. Notwithstanding the above, the occurrence of any such condition shall not constitute Good Cause unless the executive provides notice to the Company of the existence of such condition not later than 90 days after the initial existence of such condition, and the Company shall have failed to remedy such condition within 30 days after receipt of such notice.

With respect to Mr. Thiry's employment agreement, **"Good Reason"** means during the employment period, without the written consent of the executive, any one or more of the following (provided that an isolated, insubstantial or inadvertent action not taken in bad faith or failure not occurring in bad faith which is remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof given by the executive shall not constitute Good Reason): (i) the assignment to the executive of any duties inconsistent in any material and adverse respect with the executive's then current duties and responsibilities; (ii) the material and adverse change in the executive's titles or positions; (iii) reduction in the executive's base salary or target annual incentive opportunity, unless such reductions are part of an across-the-board reduction that applies to all senior executives of the Company and takes effect prior to a Change in Control (as defined below for Mr. Thiry); or (iv) any material breach by the Company of the employment agreement, that is not corrected within 30 days after notice of such breach.

For purposes of the definition of "Good Reason" in Mr. Thiry's employment agreement above, a **"Change of Control"** means (i) any transaction or series of transactions in which any person or group (within the meaning of Rule 13d-5 under the Exchange Act and Sections 13(d) and 14(d) under the Exchange Act) becomes the direct or indirect "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), by way of a stock issuance, tender offer, merger, consolidation, other business combination or otherwise, of greater than 40% of the total voting power (on a fully diluted basis as if all convertible securities had been converted and all warrants and options had been exercised) entitled to vote in the election of directors of the Company (including any transaction in which the Company becomes a wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiary of another corporation), (ii) consummation of any

merger or consolidation in which the shares of the Company's common stock outstanding immediately prior to such merger or consolidation represent 50% or less of the voting power of the corporation resulting from such merger or consolidation, or, if applicable, the ultimate parent corporation of such corporation, (iii) during any twenty-four month period, individuals who, as of the beginning of such period, constitute the Board (the "Incumbent Board") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of such Board; provided that any individual who becomes a director of the Company subsequent to the beginning of such period whose election, or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders, was approved by the vote of at least a majority of the directors then comprising the Incumbent Board shall be deemed a member of the Incumbent Board; and provided further, that any individual who was initially elected as a director of the Company as a result of an actual or threatened solicitation by a person other than the Board for the purpose of opposing a solicitation by any other person with respect to the election or removal of directors, or any other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of any person other than the Board shall not be deemed a member of the Incumbent Board, (iv) consummation of any transaction in which all or substantially all of the Company's assets are sold, or (v) the approval by the Company's stockholders of a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company; provided, however, that no transaction contemplated by clauses (i) through (iv) above shall constitute a Change of Control if the person acting as the CEO of the Company for the twelve months prior to such transaction continues as the CEO or executive chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company or becomes the CEO or executive chairman of the Board of Directors of the entity that has acquired control of the Company as a result of such transaction (the "Acquiror") immediately after such transaction and remains the CEO or executive chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company or the Acquiror for not less than twelve months following the transaction, and further provided, that in the event that the person acting as the CEO of the Company for the twelve months prior to such transaction ceases to be CEO or executive chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company or of the Acquiror during the twelve months following the transaction, a Change of Control shall be deemed to have occurred on the date on which such person ceases to be CEO or executive chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company or the Acquiror.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation ■

Severance Payments and Benefits

The following tables and summary set forth the Company's payment obligations pursuant to the terms of the employment agreements for each of our NEOs, under the circumstances described below, assuming that their employment was terminated on December 31, 2015. For a description of the value of stock-based awards held by Messrs. Thiry, Rodriguez, Staffieri, Kogod, and Hilger that are subject to accelerated vesting upon a Change of Control, see " Accelerated Vesting of Stock-Based Awards" below.

Thiry

		\$2,225,1862			\$
		\$2,225,1862			\$
y Termination without Cause	\$12,015,0003	\$2,225,1864	\$74,6705	\$308,7876	\$1
y Termination without Cause (prior to age 62)7	\$6,007,5008	\$2,225,1864	\$74,6705	\$308,7876	\$
n for Good Reason	\$12,015,0003	\$2,225,1864	\$74,6705	\$308,7876	\$1

Rodriguez

y Termination for Other than Material Cause	\$1,200,0009	\$2,000,00010			\$
n for Good Cause	\$1,200,0009	\$2,000,00010			\$
n Following a Good Cause Event after a Control	\$1,600,00011	\$2,000,00010			\$

. Staffieri

y Termination for Other than Material Cause	\$600,000	12
e Resignation after a Change of Control	\$600,000	13

Kogod

y Termination for Other than Material Cause	\$800,000	14	\$200,000	15
n Following a Good Cause Event Unrelated to a Control	\$800,000	14	\$200,000	15
n Following a Good Cause Event after a Control	\$1,600,000	16	\$200,000	15

Hilger

y Termination for Other than Material Cause	\$375,000	17	\$23,429	18
e Resignation after a Change of Control				

E. Menzel

y Termination for Other than Material Cause	\$510,000	19
---	-----------	----

1 Does not include any amounts payable to Messrs. Thiry, Rodriguez or Kogod pursuant to our Voluntary Deferral Plan or Deferred Compensation Plan which amounts are included in the 2015 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table. Such amounts are currently vested, but payment thereof may be accelerated in the event of death, disability or termination of employment.

2 Mr. Thiry (or his estate) will be entitled to receive the amount of any bonus earned and payable but not yet paid for the fiscal year prior to the year in which the termination occurs. On December 31, 2015, Mr. Thiry had fully earned his bonus for 2015, so he would have received the full amount of his annual incentive bonus as reported in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table upon termination.

3 Mr. Thiry will be entitled to receive a lump-sum payment equal to the product of (x) three, and (y) the sum of his base salary in effect as of the date of termination and the Prior Bonus. "Prior Bonus" means the average of the annual incentive bonus earned under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan (including any bonus earned and payable but not yet paid) for the last two fiscal years before the fiscal year in which Mr. Thiry's employment was terminated. The amount reported in the table above reflects the product of (x) three, and (y) the sum of Mr. Thiry's base salary as of December 31, 2015, which was \$1,200,000, and the average of Mr. Thiry's 2014 annual incentive bonus in the amount of \$2,610,000 and Mr. Thiry's 2013 annual incentive

bonus in the amount of \$3,000,000.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents



4

Mr. Thiry will be entitled to receive the amount of any bonus earned and payable but not yet paid for the fiscal year prior to the year in which the termination occurs. Mr. Thiry will also be entitled to receive a prorated annual incentive bonus (based on the actual bonus earned under the objective standards set forth under the Company's 2011 Incentive Award Plan for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs) through and including the date of termination. On December 31, 2015, Mr. Thiry had fully earned his annual incentive bonus for 2015, so he would have received the full amount of his annual incentive bonus as reported in the 2015 Summary Compensation Table upon termination.

5

Mr. Thiry will continue to receive his health benefits for the three-year period following termination. The amount reported in the table above is the estimated actual cost of COBRA insurance premiums for Mr. Thiry for the three-year period following termination.

6

Mr. Thiry will be entitled to the use of an office and services of an administrative assistant for three years or until he obtains other full-time employment. The amounts above reflect the estimated costs to us of providing the office and secretarial services for three years.

7

Mr. Thiry will be entitled to receive the payments set forth in this row in the event that, prior to the date on which Mr. Thiry attains age 62, the Board gives Mr. Thiry written notice that the term of his employment agreement shall not be extended.

8

Mr. Thiry will be entitled to receive a lump sum payment equal to the product of (x) one and one-half, and (y) the sum of his base salary in effect as of the date of termination and the Prior Bonus (as defined above). The amount reported in the table above reflects the product of (x) one and one-half, and (y) the sum of Mr. Thiry's base salary as of December 31, 2015, which was \$1,200,000, and the average of Mr. Thiry's 2014 annual incentive bonus in the amount of \$2,610,000 and Mr. Thiry's 2013 annual incentive bonus in the amount of \$3,000,000.

9

Mr. Rodriguez will be entitled to receive his salary for the 18-month period following his termination without material cause or resignation for good cause. As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Rodriguez's base salary was \$800,000.

10

If Mr. Rodriguez is terminated after April in a given year, he will be entitled to receive a lump-sum payment equal to the bonus paid in the year prior to the termination, pro-rated for the number of months served in the year his employment is terminated. The Company interprets this severance provision to mean the severance is based on the bonus paid "for" the year prior to the year for which a bonus was most recently earned. This severance amount is reported as the bonus paid to Mr. Rodriguez for 2014, which was \$2,000,000.

11

Mr. Rodriguez will be entitled to receive his salary for the two-year period following his resignation for good cause following a change in control.

12

Mr. Staffieri will be entitled to receive his salary for the one-year period following his termination. As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Staffieri's base salary was \$600,000. Effective March 30, 2016, Mr. Staffieri's base salary was increased to \$700,000.

13

Mr. Staffieri will be entitled to receive his salary for the one-year period following his resignation for good cause following a change in control. As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Staffieri's base salary was \$600,000. Effective March 30, 2016, Mr. Staffieri's base salary was increased to \$700,000.

14

Mr. Kogod will be entitled to receive his salary for the one-year period following his termination or resignation. As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Kogod's base salary was \$800,000.

15

Mr. Kogod will be entitled to receive a lump-sum payment equivalent to the bonus that he had been paid in the year before the termination. The Company interprets this severance provision to mean the severance is based on the bonus paid "for" the year prior to the year for which a bonus was most recently earned. This severance amount is reported as the bonus paid to Mr. Kogod for 2014, which was \$200,000.

16

Mr. Kogod will be entitled to receive his salary for the two-year period following his resignation for good cause following a change in control.

17

Mr. Hilger will be entitled to receive payment in an amount equal to his salary for the 12-month period following his termination. As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Hilger's base salary was \$375,000. Such payment obligation will be reduced dollar-for-dollar by the amount of any compensation received by Mr. Hilger from another employer during the severance payment period, and Mr. Hilger is obligated to use reasonable efforts to find employment during such period.

18

Mr. Hilger will continue to receive his health benefits for the one-year period following termination. The amount reported in the table above is the estimated actual cost of COBRA insurance premiums for Mr. Hilger for the one-year period following termination.

19

Dr. Menzel stepped down as our Chief Financial Officer effective March 30, 2015 and is entitled to receive his salary for the one-year period following his termination. As of his termination, Dr. Menzel's base salary was \$510,000, which was paid over a 12-month period beginning on May 5, 2015.

Other Severance Payments and Benefits

The Company's obligation to provide continued health benefits under the circumstances set forth in the tables above is subject to earlier termination in connection with the executive accepting employment with another employer.

In the event of termination as a result of death, the estates of the NEOs identified in the tables above will also receive the proceeds of the respective term life insurance policy for each NEO. The coverage amount for each NEO is as follows: \$1,201,000 for Mr. Thiry, \$500,000 for Mr. Rodriguez, \$500,000 for Mr. Staffieri, \$585,000 for Mr. Kogod and \$375,000 for Mr. Hilger.

Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Thiry will be eligible to receive a "gross-up" payment to the extent that any payment or benefit received or to be received by him is reduced by tax obligations possibly imposed by Sections 280G or 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. Assuming a triggering event took place on December 31, 2015, there would not be any tax gross-up amount payable. Moreover, no gross-up would have been payable under his agreement in any of the prior five years if a change

of control had occurred. Mr. Thiry has the only remaining legacy agreement that contains a tax gross-up. We have not provided for tax gross-ups in any employment agreements or amended employment agreements entered into after July 2008.

To receive the severance payments and benefits described above, each NEO must execute the Company's standard severance and general release agreement. In addition, the employment agreements with each of our NEOs include confidentiality provisions that would apply until the confidential information becomes publicly available (other than through breach by the NEO). These employment agreements also include nonsolicitation provisions which prohibit each NEO from soliciting any patient or customer of the Company to patronize a competing dialysis facility or from soliciting any patient, customer, supplier or physician to terminate their business relationship with the Company, for a period of two years following the termination of the NEO's employment. However, with respect to Mr. Kogod, the nonsolicitation provision would apply for a period of one year following termination.

Table of ContentsExecutive Compensation **Accelerated Vesting of Stock-Based Awards**

For grants and awards of SSARs and/or RSUs to our NEOs, the stock-based award agreements provide that in the event that either (i) in connection with a Change of Control (as defined below), the acquiring entity fails to assume, convert or replace the NEO's options or awards, or (ii) the NEO's employment is terminated within the twenty-four-month period following a Change of Control by the Company (or the acquiring entity) other than for Cause (as defined below) or, if applicable, by the NEO in accordance with the termination for Good Reason provisions of the NEO's employment agreement, if any, then, in any such case, the options or RSU awards shall automatically vest and

become immediately exercisable in their entirety, such vesting to be effective as of immediately prior to the effective date of the Change of Control in the case of (i), and as of the date of termination of the NEO's employment in the case of (ii). For grants of PSUs, upon a Change of Control, all PSU awards immediately vest, and all PSU performance metrics are converted to the relative TSR metric. The number of shares issuable are then determined based on the Company's relative TSR performance (as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis) through an ending average price period of the approximately 30 calendar days immediately preceding the Change of Control.

The table below sets forth the value of the Company's obligations upon the automatic vesting of the stock-based awards of our NEOs as described above and assumes that the triggering event took place on December 31, 2015.

Name

Kent J. Thiry^{3,4}	\$16,449,172	\$5,023,581	
Javier J. Rodriguez	\$3,850,058	\$2,542,045	N/A
Michael D. Staffieri	\$845,108	\$343,949	N/A
Dennis L. Kogod	\$3,215,774	\$2,542,045	N/A
James K. Hilger	\$440,557	\$507,210	N/A

Garry E. Menzel

1

Values are based on the aggregate difference between the respective base prices and the closing sale price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which was \$69.71 per share, as reported by the NYSE.

2

Values are based on the aggregate number of shares underlying PSUs and RSUs multiplied by the closing sale price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, which was \$69.71 per share, as reported by the NYSE. Based on the terms of the PSU agreement, as of December 31, 2015, any accelerated PSUs would be valued at \$0.

3

Pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement entered into on July 25, 2008, Mr. Thiry would be entitled to receive a "gross-up" payment to the extent any benefit received is reduced by tax obligations possibly imposed by Sections 280G or 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. Any such tax gross-up amount would be calculated using a 20% excise tax rate and an approximately 40% individual income tax rate and assumes that

the base amount for purposes of Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code has been allocated between the cash severance and equity components of the change of control benefits in proportion to the amounts of each component. Assuming a triggering event took place on December 31, 2015, there would not be any tax gross-up amount payable.

4

Since Mr. Thiry has been employed with the Company for over ten years as of December 31, 2015, 50% of any unvested equity awards vest upon any termination by Mr. Thiry without Cause or for Good Reason. The value of such accelerated vesting is equal to 50% of the amounts set forth in the table.

Definitions Under Stock-Based Award Agreements

For purposes of the stock-based award agreements and the table above:

A "**Change of Control**" means (i) any transaction or series of transactions in which any person or group (within the meaning of Rule 13d-5 under the Exchange Act and Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) becomes the direct or indirect "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), by way of a stock issuance, tender offer, merger, consolidation, other business combination or otherwise, of greater than 50% of the total voting power (on a fully diluted basis as if all convertible securities had been converted and all warrants and options had been exercised) entitled to vote in the election of directors of the Company

(including any transaction in which the Company becomes a wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiary of another corporation), (ii) any merger or consolidation or reorganization in which the Company does not survive, (iii) any merger or consolidation in which the Company survives, but the shares of the Company's common stock outstanding immediately prior to such merger or consolidation represent 50% or less of the voting power of the Company after such merger or consolidation, and (iv) any transaction in which more than 50% of the Company's assets are sold.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents



No transaction will constitute a Change of Control under the stock-based award agreements if both (x) the person acting as the CEO of the Company for the six months prior to such transaction becomes the CEO or executive chairman of the board of directors of the entity that has acquired control of the Company as a result of such transaction immediately after such transaction and remains the CEO or executive chairman of the board of directors for not less than one year following the transaction and (y) a majority of the acquiring entity's board of directors immediately after such transaction consist of persons who were directors of the Company immediately prior to such transaction.

"Cause" means: (1) a material breach by the executive of those duties and responsibilities of the executive which do not differ in any material respect from the duties and responsibilities of the executive during the

90-day period immediately prior to a Change of Control (other than as a result of incapacity due to physical or mental illness) which is demonstrably willful and deliberate on the executive's part, which is committed in bad faith or without reasonable belief that such breach is in the best interests of the Company and which is not remedied in a reasonable period of time after receipt of written notice from the Company specifying such breach; (2) willful misconduct or gross negligence which results in material harm to the Company; (3) the conviction of the executive of, or a plea of nolo contendere by the executive to, a felony or other crime involving fraud or dishonesty; or (4) willful violation of Company policies which results in material harm to the Company.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our non-employee directors during 2015. Mr. Thiry also serves as a member of the Board. As an executive officer of the Company, Mr. Thiry does not receive any additional compensation for his services as a member of the Board.

2015 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Pamela M. Arway	\$155,000	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$319,597
Charles G. Berg	\$229,000	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$393,597
Carol Anthony ("John") Davidson	\$167,500	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$332,097
Barbara J. Desoer	\$18,696	\$22,168	\$14,649	\$55,513
Paul J. Diaz	\$110,000	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$274,597
Peter T. Grauer	\$132,500	\$94,991	\$101,662	\$329,153
John M. Nehra	\$132,500	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$297,097
Dr. William L. Roper	\$127,500	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$292,097
Roger J. Valine	\$117,500	\$94,991	\$69,606	\$282,097

1

Consists of the amounts described below under "Annual Retainers," "Meeting Fees," and "Expense Reimbursement and Per Diem Compensation." With respect to Mr. Grauer, includes the \$37,500 cash portion for service as lead independent director. With respect to Ms. Arway and Messrs. Davidson and Berg, includes the \$50,000 cash portion for service as chair of the Compensation Committee, Audit Committee and Compliance Committee, respectively. With respect to Mr. Nehra and Dr. Roper, includes the \$25,000 cash portion for service as chair of the Public Policy Committee and Clinical Performance Committee, respectively. With respect to Mr. Berg, includes the \$59,000 of additional fees in the aggregate paid to Mr. Berg in his role as the chairman of the Board's Compliance Committee, in overseeing the Corporate Integrity Agreement and the subpoenas received by HCP, at the request of the Board

2

With respect to Mr. Grauer, includes the \$43,750 equity portion denominated in direct stock issuances for service as lead independent director.

3

The amounts shown in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of all common stock awards, restricted stock units and DSI awards granted to our directors during 2015 as estimated by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a discussion of the relevant

assumptions used in calculating grant date fair value pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

4

The amounts shown in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of all SSAR awards granted to our directors during 2015 as estimated by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. With respect to Mr. Grauer, includes the \$43,750 equity portion denominated in SSARs for service as lead independent director. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a discussion of the relevant assumptions used in calculating grant date fair value pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

5

As of December 31, 2015, each non-employee director had the following number of SSARs outstanding: Ms. Arway, 82,076; Mr. Berg, 58,076; Mr. Davidson, 46,076; Ms. Desoer, 1,223; Mr. Diaz, 10,076; Mr. Grauer, 122,717; Mr. Nehra, 118,076; Dr. Roper, 82,076; and Mr. Valine, 88,076.

Our Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy (the "Director Compensation Policy") sets forth the terms of our director compensation. There is no discretionary decision-making involved in director compensation. The Compensation Committee and the Board periodically review director compensation, except with respect to occasional meetings or activities outside the scope of normal Board duties that are compensated on a *per diem* basis (see description below under "Per Diem Compensation"). The following describes the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for service as a director during 2015 under the Director Compensation Policy as set forth in the table above. Directors who are our employees or officers do not receive compensation for service on the Board or any committee of the Board.

Stock-Based Compensation

Annual Grant. Under the Director Compensation Policy, each of our non-employee directors is entitled to receive SSARs, granted on, and priced as of close of the market on, the date of our annual stockholder meeting. The number of SSARs to be granted shall be determined by dividing \$95,000 by 25% of the closing market price of our common stock on the grant date. The SSARs vest in full on the one year anniversary of the date of grant, with acceleration of vesting upon a Change of Control (as defined above under "Definitions Under Stock-Based Award Agreements"), and expiring five years after the date of grant. Each of our non-employee directors is also entitled to receive direct stock issuances ("DSIs") to be granted quarterly on the last day of each fiscal quarter. The number of DSIs to be granted quarterly shall be determined by dividing \$23,750 by the closing market price of our common

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

stock on the last trading day of each fiscal quarter. The DSIs are 100% vested upon issuance. The annual grant of SSARs and DSIs shall be prorated, as applicable, including for new directors, based on the days of service on the Board within a fiscal year or fiscal quarter, respectively. SSARs granted on a prorated basis shall be granted and priced as of the close of market on the first day of service on the Board, which date shall be determined by the Board upon such individual's appointment as a director.

Additional Annual Grant to Lead Independent Director. The lead independent director is also entitled to receive additional SSARs, granted on, and priced as of the close of the market on, the date of our annual stockholder meeting. The number of SSARs to be granted shall be determined by dividing \$43,750 by 25% of the closing market price of our common stock on the grant date. The SSARs vest in full on the one year anniversary of the date of grant, with acceleration of vesting upon a Change of Control (as defined above under "Definitions Under Stock-Based Award Agreements"), and expiring five years after the date of grant. Vesting of these SSARs continues so long as the non-employee director continues to serve on the Board even if he or she is no longer lead independent director. The lead independent director is also entitled to receive DSIs to be granted quarterly on the last day of each fiscal quarter. The number of DSIs to be granted quarterly shall be determined by dividing \$10,937.50 by the closing market price of our common stock on the last trading day of each fiscal quarter. The DSIs are 100% vested upon issuance. The annual grant of SSARs and DSIs shall be prorated, as applicable, based on the days of service as lead independent director within a fiscal year or fiscal quarter, as applicable. SSARs granted on a prorated basis shall be granted and priced as of the close of market on the first day of service as lead independent director on the Board.

If the lead independent director also serves as a chair of any committee of the Board, the lead independent director will also be entitled to receive the additional retainer for serving as the chair of any such committee, in addition to the retainers and equity grants he or she is entitled to receive as the lead independent director.

Annual Retainers

Annual Retainer. Pursuant to the Director Compensation Policy, each of our non-employee directors is entitled to receive an annual retainer of \$80,000 in cash per year, paid quarterly in arrears.

Lead Independent Director Retainer. Under the Director Compensation Policy, the lead independent director receives an additional retainer of \$37,500 in cash per year, paid quarterly in arrears.

Committee Chairs Retainer. Under the Director Compensation Policy, the chairs of the Audit, Compensation and Compliance Committees receive an additional retainer of \$50,000 in cash per year, paid quarterly in arrears, and the chairs of the Public Policy and the Clinical Performance Committees receive an additional retainer of \$25,000 in cash per year, paid quarterly in arrears.

Proration of Quarterly Retainer Upon Appointment. The quarterly retainer due to a director elected during a quarter is prorated based on the date of such director's appointment.

Proration of Quarterly Retainer Upon Termination. The quarterly retainer due to a director terminating service during a quarter is prorated based on the date of such director's termination.

Meeting Fees

Board Meetings. Under the Director Compensation Policy, our non-employee directors are not entitled to receive any additional compensation for regularly scheduled Board meetings.

Special Board Meetings. Non-employee directors are entitled to receive \$2,500 in cash for attendance at a special meeting regardless of the duration of such meeting, unless the meeting is held telephonically, in which case the meeting must last at least approximately one hour.

Committee Meetings. For committee meetings, non-employee directors are entitled to receive additional compensation of \$2,500 in cash for attendance regardless of the duration of such meetings, unless it is a special committee meeting held telephonically, in which case the meeting must last at least approximately one hour. In the case of Audit Committee meetings related to quarterly earnings releases, additional compensation of \$2,500 in cash for each such meeting is paid regardless of the duration of such meetings.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Directors 

Expense Reimbursement and Per Diem Compensation

Expense Reimbursement. Under the Director Compensation Policy, we reimburse our directors for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with their travel to and attendance at meetings of the Board or any committee thereof and other Board-related business.

Per Diem Compensation. Additionally, under the Director Compensation Policy, we compensate our non-employee directors on a per diem, hourly or other

basis at a rate that is reasonable and fair to the Company as determined at the discretion of the lead independent director, the Board or the Compensation Committee, as applicable, for significant time spent outside of Board or committee meetings or for meetings or activities outside the scope of normal board duties, including director training, meeting with Company management or external auditors, interviewing director candidates or other activities deemed necessary by the chairman of the Board, the lead independent director, or the entire Board. The per diem rate is paid on a pro rata basis for activities that do not require a full day of service.

Continues on next page

Table of Contents

No member of the Compensation Committee has served as one of our officers or employees at any time. During 2015, none of our executive officers served as a member of the compensation committee or board of directors of any other company whose executive officer(s) served as a member of our Compensation Committee or Board.

70

Table of Contents

We or one of our subsidiaries may occasionally enter into transactions with certain "related persons." Related persons include our executive officers, directors, nominees for directors, 5% or more beneficial owners of our common stock and immediate family members of these persons. We refer to transactions involving amounts in excess of \$120,000 and in which the related person has a direct or indirect material interest as "related person transactions." Each related person transaction must be approved or ratified in accordance with the Company's written Related Person Transactions Policy by our Audit Committee or, if our Audit Committee determines that the approval or ratification of such related person transaction should be considered by all disinterested members of the Board, by the vote of a majority of such disinterested members.

The Audit Committee considers all relevant factors when determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction including, without limitation, the following:

the size of the transaction and the amount payable to a related person;

the nature of the interest of the related person in the transaction;

whether the transaction may involve a conflict of interest; and

whether the transaction involves the provision of goods or services to the Company that are available from unaffiliated third parties and, if so, whether the transaction is on terms and made under circumstances that are at least as favorable to the Company as would be available in comparable transactions with or involving unaffiliated third parties.

The Company's Related Person Transactions Policy is available under the Corporate Governance section of our website, located at <http://www.davita.com/about/corporate-governance>.

In 2013 the Company discovered certain errors made in processing annual bonuses deferrals under the Company's Voluntary Deferral Plan. For affected employees, the errors resulted in underpayment of their bonuses and overfunding of their deferral accounts. There were 67 employees affected, including Mr. Thiry, our Chief Executive Officer. Once discovered, the Company corrected these errors consistent with the rules prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). These corrections required certain of the affected employees to: (1) recognize taxable income in excess of the amounts previously reported to them by the Company in their wage and tax statements, (2) pay penalties to the IRS under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, and (3) file amended tax returns for the years affected by the errors. In addition, the employees suffered the forfeiture of earnings on improperly deferred amounts during the period of deferral. As a consequence, the affected employees submitted claims for the losses and expenses they suffered (including for forfeited earnings, tax penalties, interest for late payments, costs of amending prior years' tax returns and the cost of related tax advice) as a result of the Company's errors. Mr. Thiry submitted claims for, and was reimbursed \$873,373. The reimbursement of Mr. Thiry in connection with his claims was evaluated and approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the Company's Related Person Transaction Policy.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee of the Board is responsible for providing independent, objective oversight of the Company's accounting functions and internal controls. The Audit Committee is composed of three directors, each of whom is independent as defined by New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board.

The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, as well as monitoring the independence, qualifications and performance of KPMG LLP and the Company's internal audit function. In addition, the Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services to the Company by KPMG LLP is compatible with maintaining KPMG LLP's independence.

KPMG LLP has been retained as the Company's external auditor continuously since 2000.

The Audit Committee is responsible for the audit fee negotiations associated with the Company's retention of KPMG LLP.

In order to assure continuing auditor independence, the Audit Committee periodically considers whether there should be a regular rotation of the independent external audit firm.

In conjunction with the mandated rotation of the Audit Firm's lead engagement partner, the Audit Committee and its chairperson are directly involved in the selection of KPMG LLP's new lead engagement partner.

The members of the Audit Committee and the Board believe that the continued retention of KPMG LLP to serve as the Company's independent external auditor is in the best interests of the Company and its investors.

Management is responsible for internal controls and the financial reporting process. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board

(United States) and an audit of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

The Audit Committee has met and held discussions with the Company's internal auditors and KPMG LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the scope of their audit plans, results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

The Audit Committee engaged the independent registered public accounting firm to conduct the independent audit. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management the December 31, 2015 audited consolidated financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be reviewed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16 (Communication with Audit Committees). In addition, the Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

Based upon the Audit Committee's reviews and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Carol Anthony ("John") Davidson (Chairman)

Charles G. Berg

Roger J. Valine

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Report 

Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting

If you wish to present a proposal for action at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders and wish to have it included in the proxy statement and form of proxy that management will prepare, you must notify us no later than January 11, 2017 in the form required under the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC. Otherwise, your proposal will not be included in management's proxy materials.

If you wish to present a proposal for action at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, even though it will not be included in management's proxy materials, or if you wish to nominate a director for election at the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, our bylaws require that you must notify us no later than March 22, 2017, and no earlier than February 20, 2017. However, if we hold our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders more than

30 days before or more than 70 days after the one-year anniversary of our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, you must notify us: (i) not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the 2017 annual meeting and (ii) not later than the close of business on the 90th day prior to such annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the 2017 annual meeting was first made. We advise you to review our bylaws, which contain these and other requirements with respect to advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations, including certain information that must be included concerning the stockholder and each proposal. Our bylaws are available under the Corporate Governance section of our website, located at <http://www.davita.com/about/corporate-governance>.

Table of Contents

The Board does not know of any other matters to be presented at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders but, if other matters do properly come before the meeting, it is intended that the persons named as proxies in the proxy card will vote on them in accordance with their best judgment.

A copy of our 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders accompanies this Proxy Statement. The 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders includes our audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K includes these financial statements, as well as other supplementary financial information and certain schedules. The Annual Report on Form 10-K is not part of our proxy soliciting material. **Copies of the Annual Report on Form 10-K, without**

exhibits, can be obtained without charge by contacting Investor Relations at the following address: Attn: Investor Relations, DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc., 2000 16th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202, (888) 484-7505 or through our website, located at <http://www.davita.com>.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Martha Ha
Corporate Secretary

May 11, 2016

Table of Contents

Appendix A

The full text of the amendments to the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws, as proposed to be adopted, is set forth below. These amendments will only be adopted if Proposal 4 is approved.

Article III, Section 12(a)(1)

Nominations of persons for election to the Board and the proposal of business to be considered by the stockholders may be made at an annual meeting of stockholders only (a) pursuant to the Corporation's notice of meeting (or any supplement thereto), (b) by or at the direction of the Board ~~or~~, (c) by any stockholder of the Corporation who was a stockholder of record of the Corporation at the time the notice provided for in this Section 12 is delivered to the Secretary, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who complies with the notice procedures set forth in this Section 12, or (d) by any stockholder of the Corporation or group of stockholders in accordance with Article III Section 13 of these Bylaws.

Article III, Section 12(c)(1)

Only such persons who are nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in (a) this Section 12 shall be eligible to be elected at with respect to an annual or special meeting of stockholders, or (b) Article III Section 13 of these Bylaws with respect to an annual meeting, shall be eligible to be elected at a meeting of stockholders of the Corporation to serve as directors and only such business shall be conducted at a meeting of stockholders as shall have been brought before the meeting in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 12. Except as otherwise provided by law, the chairman of the meeting shall have the power and duty (a) to determine whether a nomination or any business proposed to be brought before the meeting was made or proposed, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 12 (including whether the stockholder or beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made solicited (or is part of a group which solicited) or did not so solicit, as the case may be, proxies in support of such stockholder's nominee or proposal in compliance with such stockholder's representation required under Section 12(b), the substance of which representation is set forth in Section 12(a)(2)(c)(vi)) and (b) if any proposed nomination or business was not made or proposed in compliance with this Section 12, to declare that such nomination shall be disregarded or that such proposed business shall not be transacted. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 12, if the stockholder who submitted a nomination or proposed business (or a qualified representative of the stockholder) does not appear at the annual or special meeting of stockholders of the Corporation to present a nomination or proposed business, such nomination shall be disregarded and such proposed business shall not be transacted, notwithstanding that proxies in respect of such matter may have been received by the Corporation. For purposes of this Section 12 and Article III Section 13 of these Bylaws, to be considered a qualified representative of the stockholder, a person must be authorized by a writing executed by such stockholder or an electronic transmission delivered by such stockholder to act for such stockholder as proxy at the meeting of stockholders and such person must produce such writing or electronic transmission, or a reliable reproduction of the writing or electronic transmission, at the meeting of stockholders.

Article III, Sections 13 and 14

Section 13. Proxy Access for Director Nominations.

(a) Definitions. For purposes of Section 13, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

(1) "Authorized Group Member" shall mean, with respect to any nomination by a Nominator Group, the member of that Nominator Group that is authorized to act on behalf of all members of that Nominator Group with respect to matters relating to the nomination, including withdrawal of the nomination.

(2) "Compensation Arrangement" shall mean any direct or indirect compensatory, payment or other financial agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or entity other than the Corporation, including, without limitation, any agreement, arrangement or understanding with respect to any direct or indirect compensation, reimbursement or indemnification in connection with candidacy, nomination, service or action as a nominee or as a director.

(3) "Eligible Stockholder" shall mean a person who has either (a) been a record holder of the shares of common stock of the Corporation used to satisfy the eligibility requirements in Section 13(d) continuously for the required three (3)-year period or (b) provides to the Secretary of the Corporation, within the time period referred to in Section 13(e), evidence of continuous Ownership of such shares for such three (3)-year period from one or more securities intermediaries.

(4) "Maximum Number" shall mean that number of directors constituting the greater of (a) two (2) or (b) twenty percent (20%) of the total number of directors of the Corporation on the last day on which a

A-1

Table of Contents

Nomination Notice may be submitted pursuant to this Section 13 (rounded down to the nearest whole number), which number shall be reduced as set forth in Section 13(c)(1).

(5) "Minimum Number" shall mean three percent (3%) of the number of outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation as of the most recent date for which such amount is given in any filing by the Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the submission of the Nomination Notice.

(6) "Nominating Stockholder" shall mean any Eligible Stockholder or group of up to twenty (20) stockholders (a "Nominator Group") that, collectively as a group, satisfy the requirements to qualify as an Eligible Stockholder, that (a) has (individually and collectively, in the case of a Nominator Group) satisfied all applicable conditions and complied with all applicable procedures set forth in this Section 13 (including, without limitation, the timely submission of a Nomination Notice that meets the requirements set forth in this Section 13), and (b) has nominated a Stockholder Nominee.

(7) "Nomination Notice" shall mean all information and documents that a Nominating Stockholder is required to submit to the Secretary of the Corporation pursuant to Section 13(f).

(8) "Own" shall mean possession, with respect to those outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation entitled to vote generally for the election of all directors of the Corporation, of both: (a) the full voting and investment rights pertaining to the shares; and (b) the full economic interest in (including the opportunity for profit and risk of loss on) such shares; provided, that the number of shares calculated in accordance with clauses (a) and (b) shall not include any shares: (i) sold by such stockholder or any of its affiliates in any transaction that has not been settled or closed, including any short sale; (ii) borrowed by such stockholder or any of its affiliates for any purposes or purchased by such stockholder or any of its affiliates pursuant to an agreement to resell; or (iii) subject to any option, warrant, forward contract, swap, contract of sale, or other derivative or similar agreement entered into by such stockholder or any of its affiliates, whether any such instrument or agreement is to be settled with shares or with cash based on the notional amount or value of outstanding shares of stock of the Corporation, in any such case which instrument or agreement has, or is intended to have, or if exercised by either party thereto would have, the purpose or effect of reducing in any manner, to any extent or at any time in the future, such stockholder's or affiliates' full right to vote or direct the voting of any such shares, and/or hedging, offsetting or altering to any degree any gain or loss arising from the full economic Ownership of such shares by such stockholder or affiliate, other than any such arrangements solely involving a national or multi-national multi-industry market index. A stockholder shall "Own" shares held in the name of a nominee or other intermediary so long as the stockholder retains the right to instruct how the shares are voted with respect to the election of directors and the right to direct the disposition thereof and possesses the full economic interest in the shares. A stockholder's Ownership of shares shall be deemed to continue during any period in which the stockholder has loaned such shares or delegated any voting power over such shares by means of a proxy, power of attorney or other instrument or arrangement which in either case is revocable at any time by the stockholder; provided, however, in the event of a loan, the stockholder has the power to recall such loaned shares on five (5) business days' notice. The terms "Owned," "Owning," "Ownership" and other variations of the word "Own" shall have correlative meanings. Whether shares constitute shares Owned shall be decided by the Board in its reasonable determination, which determination shall be conclusive and binding on the Corporation and its stockholders.

(9) "public announcement" shall have the meaning set forth in Article III Section 12(c)(2) of these Bylaws.

(10) "Stock Exchange Rules" shall mean the rules of any stock exchange on which the Corporation's securities are traded.

(11) "Stockholder Nominee" shall mean any person nominated for election pursuant to this Section 13.

(12) "Voting Commitment" shall have the meaning set forth in Article IV Section 2 of these Bylaws.

Table of Contents

(b) Proxy Access at Annual Meetings. Subject to the provisions of this Section 13, if expressly requested in the relevant Nomination Notice, the Corporation shall include in its proxy statement for any annual meeting of stockholders:

- (1) the name of any Stockholder Nominee, which shall also be included on the Corporation's form of proxy and ballot;**
- (2) disclosure about the Stockholder Nominee and the Nominating Stockholder required under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission or other applicable law to be included in the proxy statement;**
- (3) any statement included by the Nominating Stockholder in the Nomination Notice for inclusion in the proxy statement in support of the Stockholder Nominee's election to the Board (subject, without limitation, to Section 13(g)), if such statement does not exceed five hundred (500) words; and**
- (4) any other information that the Corporation or the Board determines, in its discretion, to include in the proxy statement relating to the nomination of the Stockholder Nominee, including, without limitation, any statement in opposition to the nomination, information relating to any Compensation Arrangement and/or Voting Commitment, and any of the information provided pursuant to this Section 13.**

For the avoidance of doubt, the provisions of this Section 13 shall not apply to a special meeting of stockholders (including, without limitation, a Stockholder Requested Special Meeting), and the Corporation shall not be required to include a director nominee of a stockholder or group of stockholders in the Corporation's proxy statement or form of proxy or ballot for any special meeting of stockholders.

(c) Maximum Number of Stockholder Nominees.

(1) The Corporation shall not be required to include in the proxy statement for an annual meeting of stockholders more Stockholder Nominees than the Maximum Number. In the event that one or more vacancies for any reason occurs on the Board after the deadline set forth in Section 13(e) but before the date of the annual meeting and the Board resolves to reduce the size of the Board in connection therewith, the Maximum Number shall be calculated based on the number of directors in office as so reduced. The Maximum Number for a particular annual meeting shall be reduced by:

- (i) Stockholder Nominees whose nominations for election at such annual meeting are subsequently withdrawn; and**
- (ii) Stockholder Nominees who the Board itself decides to nominate for election at such annual meeting.**

(2) Any Nominating Stockholder submitting more than one Stockholder Nominee for inclusion in the Corporation's proxy materials pursuant to this Section 13 shall rank such Stockholder Nominees based on the order that the Nominating Stockholder desires such Stockholder Nominees to be selected for inclusion in the Corporation's proxy materials. In the event that the number of Stockholder Nominees submitted by Nominating Stockholders pursuant to this Section 13 exceeds the Maximum Number, the highest ranking Stockholder Nominee who meets the requirements of this Section 13 from each Nominating Stockholder will be selected for inclusion in the Corporation's proxy materials until the Maximum Number is reached, going in order of the amount (largest to smallest) of shares of stock of the Corporation that each Nominating Stockholder disclosed as Owned in its respective Nomination Notice submitted to the Corporation. This selection process will continue with the next highest ranked nominees as many times as necessary, following the same order each time, until the Maximum Number is reached.

(d) Eligible Stockholders.

(1) An Eligible Stockholder or Nominator Group may submit a nomination in accordance with this Section 13 only if the person or group (in the aggregate) has continuously Owned at least the Minimum Number (as adjusted for any stock splits, stock dividends, subdivisions, combinations, reclassifications, recapitalizations or similar events) of shares of the Corporation's common stock throughout the three (3)-year period preceding and including the date of submission of the Nomination Notice, and continues to Own at least the Minimum Number of shares through the date of the annual meeting. No shares may be

Table of Contents

attributed to more than one Eligible Stockholder. The following shall be treated as one Eligible Stockholder or one member of a Nominator Group if such Eligible Stockholder or member of a Nominator Group shall provide together with the Nomination Notice documentation that demonstrates compliance with the following criteria: (a) funds under common management and investment control; (b) funds under common management and funded primarily by the same employer; or (c) a "family of investment companies" or a "group of investment companies" (each as defined in or under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended).

For the avoidance of doubt, in the event of a nomination by a Nominator Group, any and all requirements and obligations for a given Eligible Stockholder (including, without limitation, each and every fund or company that comprises the Nominator Group) that are set forth in this Section 13, including the minimum holding period, shall apply to each member of such Nominator Group; provided, however, that the Minimum Number shall apply to the Ownership of the Nominator Group in the aggregate. Should any stockholder withdraw from a Nominator Group at any time prior to the annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominator Group shall only be deemed to Own the shares held by the remaining members of that Nominator Group.

(2) No stockholder shall be permitted to be in more than one Nominator Group, and if any stockholder appears as a member of more than one Nominator Group, or as a member of a Nominator Group and as a Nominating Stockholder without any such group, such stockholder shall be deemed to be a member of only the Nominator Group that has the largest Ownership position as reflected in the Nomination Notice and is not permitted to act as a Nominating Stockholder separate from such Nominator Group.

(e) Timely Nomination Notice. To be timely, a Nomination Notice must be delivered to or be mailed and received at the principal executive offices of the Corporation not later than the close of business on the one hundred twentieth (120th) day nor earlier than the close of business on the one hundred fiftieth (150th) day prior to the first anniversary of the date (as stated in the Corporation's proxy materials relating to that annual meeting) that the Corporation first mailed its proxy statement for the annual meeting of the previous year, except where information or documents are required to be provided after the date the Nomination Notice is first submitted, as set forth in this Section 13 (provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is more than thirty (30) days before or more than seventy (70) days after such anniversary date, the Nomination Notice must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the one hundred fiftieth (150th) day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the one hundred twentieth (120th) day prior to such annual meeting or the tenth (10th) day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made by the Corporation). In no event shall the adjournment or postponement of an annual meeting, or the public announcement of such an adjournment or postponement, commence a new time period (or extend any time period) for the giving of a Nomination Notice.

(f) Nomination Notice. The Nomination Notice shall consist of, collectively, the following information, documents and agreements which shall, for avoidance of doubt, be compiled, completed and submitted by the Nominating Stockholder or its representatives at its own cost:

(1) documentary evidence in the form of one or more written statements from the record holder of the shares (and from each intermediary through which the shares are or have been held during the requisite three (3)-year holding period, provided that each such intermediary must be a participant in the Depository Trust Company or an affiliate of a participant in the Depository Trust Company) verifying and certifying that, as of a date within seven (7) calendar days prior to the date of the Nomination Notice, the Nominating Stockholder Owns, and has continuously Owned for the preceding three (3) years, the Minimum Number of shares, and the Nominating Stockholder's agreement to provide, within five (5) business days after the record date for the annual meeting, documentary evidence in the form of written statements from the record holder and intermediaries verifying and certifying the Nominating Stockholder's continuous Ownership of the Minimum Number of shares through the record date;

(2) an undertaking to provide immediate notice if the Nominating Stockholder ceases to Own the Minimum Number of shares prior to the date of the annual meeting;

Table of Contents

- (3) a copy of the Schedule 14N (or any successor form) relating to the Stockholder Nominee, completed and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by the Nominating Stockholder as applicable, in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules;**
- (4) the written consent of each Stockholder Nominee to being named in the Corporation's proxy statement, form of proxy and ballot as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected;**
- (5) a written notice of the nomination of such Stockholder Nominee that includes the following additional information, agreements, representations and warranties by the Nominating Stockholder (including, for the avoidance of doubt, each member of a Nominator Group):**
- (i) the information and other deliverables that would be required to be set forth in a stockholder's notice of nomination pursuant to Article III Section 12(a) of these Bylaws, as if the Nominating Stockholder were the proposing stockholder under that section;**
 - (ii) to the extent not included in the response to paragraph (i) above, a detailed description of all material relationships, between or among the Nominating Stockholder, on the one hand, and each Stockholder Nominee, on the other hand, including, without limitation, all information that would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K (or its successor item) if the Nominating Stockholder were the "registrant" for purposes of such item and the Stockholder Nominee, were a director or executive officer of such registrant;**
 - (iii) a detailed description of all communications by such Nominating Stockholder with any other stockholder or beneficial owner of any securities of the Corporation regarding such Stockholder Nominee;**
 - (iv) the details of any relationship that existed within the past three years and that would have been described pursuant to Item 6(e) of Schedule 14N (or any successor item) if it existed on the date of submission of the Schedule 14N (or any successor item);**
 - (v) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder did not acquire, and is not holding, securities of the Corporation for the purpose or with the effect of influencing or changing control of the Corporation;**
 - (vi) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder has not nominated and will not nominate for election to the Board at the annual meeting any person other than such Nominating Stockholder's Stockholder Nominee(s);**
 - (vii) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder has not engaged in and will not engage in a "solicitation" within the meaning of Rule 14a-1(l) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act with respect to the annual meeting, other than with respect to such Nominating Stockholder's Stockholder Nominee(s) or any nominee of the Board;**
 - (viii) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder has not engaged in and will not engage in, other than with respect to such Nominating Stockholder's Stockholder Nominee(s) or any nominee of the Board, (A) an exempt solicitation as described in Rule 14a-2(b) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act, or (B) any communication, as described in Rule 14a-1(l)(2)(iv) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act, stating how the Nominating Stockholder intends to vote at the annual meeting and the reasons therefore;**
 - (ix) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder will not use or distribute any proxy card other than the Corporation's proxy card in soliciting stockholders in connection with the election of a Stockholder Nominee at the annual meeting;**
 - (x) a representation and warranty that the Stockholder Nominee's candidacy or, if elected, membership on the Board would not violate applicable state or federal law or Stock Exchange Rules;**
 - (xi) a representation and warranty that the Stockholder Nominee: (A) qualifies as independent under the Stock Exchange Rules and any publicly disclosed standards used by the Board in determining and**

Table of Contents

disclosing the independence of the directors; and (B) is not and has not been subject to any event specified in Rule 506(d)(1) of Regulation D (or any successor thereof) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Item 401(f) of Regulation S-K (or any successor item) under the Exchange Act, without reference to whether the event is material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of the Stockholder Nominee;

(xii) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder satisfies the eligibility requirements set forth in Section 13(d);

(xiii) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder will continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 13(d) through the date of the annual meeting;

(xiv) the details of any position of the Stockholder Nominee as an officer or director of any competitor (that is, any entity that provides products or services that compete with or are alternatives to the principal products produced or services provided by the Corporation or its affiliates) of the Corporation, within the three (3) years preceding the submission of the Nomination Notice;

(xv) if desired, a statement for inclusion in the proxy statement in support of the Stockholder Nominee's election to the Board. Any such statement shall not exceed five hundred (500) words and shall fully comply with Section 14 (or any successor thereof) of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder; and

(xvi) in the case of a nomination by a Nominator Group, the designation by all group members of one Authorized Group Member;

(6) an executed agreement (which form of agreement shall be provided by the Secretary of the Corporation upon written request), which must be submitted within ten (10) days of the Nominating Stockholder's first submission of any information required by this Section 13(f), pursuant to which the Nominating Stockholder (including each member of a Nominator Group) agrees:

(i) to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in connection with the nomination, solicitation and election;

(ii) to file any written solicitation or other communication with the Corporation's stockholders relating to one or more of the Corporation's directors or director nominees or any Stockholder Nominee with the Securities and Exchange Commission, regardless of whether any such filing is required under any rule or regulation or whether any exemption from filing is available for such materials under any rule or regulation;

(iii) to assume all liability stemming from any action, suit or proceeding concerning any actual or alleged legal or regulatory violation arising out of any communication by the Nominating Stockholder or the Stockholder Nominee nominated by such Nominating Stockholder with the Corporation, its stockholders or any other person, including, without limitation, the Nomination Notice;

(iv) to indemnify and hold harmless (jointly with all other members of a Nominator Group, if applicable) the Corporation and each of its directors, officers and employees individually against any liability, loss, damages, expenses or other costs (including attorneys' fees) incurred in connection with any action, suit or proceeding (whether threatened, pending or completed), whether legal, judicial administrative or investigative, against the Corporation or any of its directors, officers or employees arising out of or relating to a failure or alleged failure of the Nominating Stockholder or Stockholder Nominee to comply with, or any breach or alleged breach of, its, or his or her, as applicable, obligations, agreements or representations under or pursuant to this Section 13, or otherwise arising out of any nomination, solicitation or other activity by any Eligible Stockholder or any member of a Nominator Group in connection with its efforts pursuant to this Section 13;

(v) to promptly (and in any event within forty-eight (48) hours of discovering such misstatement or omission) notify the Corporation and any other recipient of any misstatement or omission of information included in the Nomination Notice, or any other communication by the Nominating Stockholder (including with respect to any member of a Nominator Group) with the Corporation, its stockholders or

Table of Contents

any other person in connection with the nomination or election ceases to be true and accurate in all material respects (or omits a material fact necessary to make the statements made not misleading), and promptly notify the Corporation and any other recipient of the information that is required to correct the misstatement or omission; and

(vi) in the event that the Nominating Stockholder (including any member of a Nominator Group) has failed to continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 13(d), to promptly notify the Corporation; and

(7) a written questionnaire and a written representation and agreement pursuant to Article IV Section 2 of these Bylaws (each in the form provided by the Secretary of the Corporation upon written request), which must be submitted within ten (10) days of the Nominating Stockholder's first submission of any information required by this Section 13(f).

The information and documents required by this Section 13(f) shall be provided with respect to and executed by the Nominating Stockholder (and each member of a Nominator Group), and provided with respect to the persons specified in Instructions 1 and 2 to Items 6(c) and (d) of Schedule 14N (or any successor item) in the case of a Nominating Stockholder or any member of a Nominator Group. The Nomination Notice shall be deemed submitted on the date on which all the information and documents referred to in this Section 13(f) (other than such information and documents required to be provided after the date the Nomination Notice is first submitted) have been delivered to or, if sent by mail, received by the Secretary of the Corporation.

(g) Exclusion or Disqualification of Stockholder Nominees.

(1) If, after the deadline for submitting a Nomination Notice as set forth in Section 13(e), a Nominating Stockholder becomes ineligible or withdraws its nomination or a Stockholder Nominee becomes ineligible or unwilling to serve on the Board, whether before or after the mailing of the definitive proxy statement, the Corporation:

(i) shall not be required to include in its proxy statement or on any ballot or form of proxy the Stockholder Nominee or any successor or replacement nominee proposed by the Nominating Stockholder or by any other Nominating Stockholder; and

(ii) may otherwise communicate to its stockholders, including without limitation by amending or supplementing its proxy statement or ballot or form of proxy, that the Stockholder Nominee will not be included as a Stockholder Nominee in the proxy statement or on any ballot or form of proxy and will not be voted on at the annual meeting.

(2) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 13, the Corporation may omit from its proxy materials any Stockholder Nominee, and any information concerning such Stockholder Nominee (including a Nominating Stockholder's statement in support), and in such case such nomination shall be disregarded and no vote on such Stockholder Nominee will occur (notwithstanding that proxies in respect of such vote may have been received by the Corporation), and the Nominating Stockholder may not, after the last day on which a Nomination Notice would be timely, cure in any way any defect preventing the nomination of the Stockholder Nominee, if:

(i) the Corporation receives a notice (whether or not subsequently withdrawn) that a stockholder intends to nominate any candidate for election to the Board at the annual meeting pursuant to the advance notice requirements for stockholder nominees set forth in Article III Section 12(a) of these Bylaws;

(ii) the Nominating Stockholder has engaged in a "solicitation" within the meaning of Rule 14a-1(l) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act with respect to the annual meeting, other than with respect to such Nominating Stockholder's Stockholder Nominee(s) or any nominee of the Board;

(iii) the Nominating Stockholder has engaged in, other than with respect to such Nominating Stockholder's Stockholder Nominee(s) or any nominee of the Board, (A) an exempt solicitation as described in Rule 14a-2(b) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act, or (B) any

Table of Contents

communication, as described in Rule 14a-1(l)(2)(iv) (or any successor thereof) under the Exchange Act, stating how the Nominating Stockholder intends to vote at the annual meeting and the reasons therefore;

(iv) the Nominating Stockholder or the Authorized Group Member, as applicable, or any qualified representative thereof, does not appear at the annual meeting to present the nomination submitted in accordance with this Section 13;

(v) the Board of Directors, acting in good faith, determines that such Stockholder Nominee's nomination or election to the Board would result in the Corporation violating or failing to be in compliance with these Bylaws or the Certificate of Incorporation, or any applicable law, rule or regulation to which the Corporation is subject, including the Stock Exchange Rules;

(vi) the Stockholder Nominee has been, within the past three (3) years, an officer or director of a competitor, as defined for purposes of Section 8 (or any successor thereof) of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, as amended; or

(vii) the Nominating Stockholder has failed to continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 13(d), any of the representations and warranties made in the Nomination Notice ceases to be true and accurate in all material respects (or omits a material fact necessary to make the statement made not misleading), the Stockholder Nominee becomes unwilling or unable to serve on the Board or any violation or breach occurs of any of the obligations, agreements, representations or warranties of the Nominating Stockholder or the Stockholder Nominee under or pursuant to this Section 13.

(3) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 13, the Corporation may omit from its proxy statement, or may supplement or correct, any information, including all or any portion of the statement in support of the Stockholder Nominee included in the Nomination Notice, if:

(i) such information is not true in all material respects or omits a material statement necessary to make the statements made not misleading;

(ii) such information directly or indirectly impugns the character, integrity or personal reputation of, or directly or indirectly makes charges concerning improper, illegal or immoral conduct or associations, without factual foundation, with respect to, any individual, corporation, partnership, association or other entity, organization or governmental authority;

(iii) the inclusion of such information in the proxy statement would otherwise violate the Securities and Exchange Commission proxy rules or any other applicable law, rule or regulation; or

(iv) the inclusion of such information in the proxy statement would impose a material risk of liability upon the Corporation.

(4) The Corporation may solicit against, and include in the proxy statement its own statement relating to, any Stockholder Nominee.

(h) Interpretation. The Board (and any other person or body authorized by the Board, including, without limitation, the chairman of the relevant annual meeting) shall have the power and authority to interpret this Section 13 and to make any and all determinations necessary or advisable to apply this Section 13 to any persons, facts or circumstances, including the power to determine (1) whether one or more stockholders or beneficial owners qualifies as an Eligible Stockholder or Nominator Group, as applicable, (2) whether a Nomination Notice complies with this Section 13, (3) whether a Stockholder Nominee satisfies the qualifications and requirements in this Section 13, and (4) whether any and all requirements of this Section 13 have been satisfied. Any such interpretation or determination adopted in good faith by the Board (or any other person or body authorized by the Board, including, without limitation, the chairman of the relevant annual meeting) shall be binding on all persons, including the Corporation and its stockholders (including any beneficial owners). The chairman of the meeting shall, if the facts warrant, determine and declare to the meeting that a nomination was not made in accordance with the foregoing procedures, and the defective nomination shall be disregarded.

Table of Contents

Section 14. ~~Section 13.~~ Conduct of Meetings.

A-9

DaVita Inc.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

2016 Amendment and Restatement

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Article I Purpose and Effective Date</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>Article II Definitions</u>	
	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.1 "Account"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.2 "Board"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.3 "Code"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.4 "Committee"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.5 "Common Stock"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.6 "Company"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.7 "Continuous Employment"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.8 "Employee"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.9 "Exchange Act"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.10 "Fair Market Value"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.11 "Insider"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.12 "Leave of Absence"</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>2.13 "Participant"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.14 "Plan"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.15 "Plan Broker"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.16 "Purchase Right"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.17 "Purchase Right Period"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.18 "Stockholders"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>2.19 "Subsidiary"</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>Article III Eligibility and Participation</u>	
	<u>B-2</u>
<u>3.1 Eligibility</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>3.2 Payroll Withholding</u>	<u>B-2</u>
<u>3.3 Limitations</u>	<u>B-3</u>
<u>3.4 Granting of Purchase Rights</u>	<u>B-3</u>
<u>3.5 Establishment of Accounts</u>	<u>B-3</u>
<u>Article IV Purchase Rights</u>	
	<u>B-3</u>
<u>4.1 Termination of Purchase Rights</u>	<u>B-3</u>
<u>4.2 Exercise of Purchase Rights</u>	<u>B-3</u>
<u>4.3 Termination Event</u>	<u>B-4</u>
<u>4.4 Non-Transferability of Purchase Rights</u>	<u>B-4</u>
<u>Article V Common Stock</u>	
	<u>B-4</u>
<u>5.1 Shares Subject to Plan</u>	<u>B-4</u>
<u>5.2 Adjustment Upon Changes in Capitalization</u>	<u>B-5</u>
<u>5.3 Proration of Purchase Rights</u>	<u>B-5</u>
<u>Article VI Plan Administration</u>	
	<u>B-5</u>
<u>6.1 Administration</u>	<u>B-5</u>
<u>6.2 Indemnification</u>	<u>B-5</u>
<u>Article VII Amendment and Termination</u>	
	<u>B-6</u>
<u>7.1 Amendment and Termination</u>	<u>B-6</u>
<u>7.2 Stockholder Approval</u>	<u>B-6</u>
<u>Article VIII Miscellaneous Matters</u>	
	<u>B-6</u>
<u>8.1 Uniform Rights and Privileges</u>	<u>B-6</u>
<u>8.2 Application of Proceeds</u>	<u>B-6</u>
<u>8.3 Notice of Disqualifying Disposition</u>	<u>B-6</u>
<u>8.4 No Additional Rights</u>	<u>B-6</u>

Table of Contents

DaVita Inc.
Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Article I
Purpose and Effective Date

The purpose of the Plan is to provide incentives for, and to encourage stock ownership by, Employees of DaVita Inc. or any of its Subsidiaries whose Employees participate in the Plan in order to increase their proprietary interest in the success of the Company.

The effective date of this 2016 Amendment and Restatement of the Plan is June 20, 2016.

Article II
Definitions

Whenever capitalized in the text, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below.

- 2.1** **"Account"** shall mean the account established pursuant to Section 3.5 below to hold a Participant's contributions to the Plan.
- 2.2** **"Board"** shall mean the Board of Directors of DaVita Inc.
- 2.3** **"Code"** shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Reference to any specific section of the Code shall be deemed to be a reference to any successor provision.
- 2.4** **"Committee"** shall mean the Compensation Committee of the Board or such other committee designated by the Board to administer the Plan.
- 2.5** **"Common Stock"** shall mean the common stock of DaVita Inc.
- 2.6** **"Company"** shall mean DaVita Inc., a Delaware corporation, as well as any Subsidiary whose employees participate in the Plan with the consent of the Board.
- 2.7** **"Continuous Employment"** shall mean employment without interruption by the Company. Employment shall *not* be considered interrupted because of:
- (a) Transfers of employment between the Company and a Subsidiary (or vice versa) or between different Subsidiaries; or
- (b) Any Leave of Absence.
- 2.8** **"Employee"** shall mean a worker whose earnings the Company reports on a Form W-2. This term does *not* include members of the Board of Directors unless they are employed by the Company in a position *in addition to* their duties as a director.
- 2.9** **"Exchange Act"** shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

- 2.10 **"Fair Market Value"** of Common Stock for any day shall be the last reported sale price on that day regular way, or if no such reported sale takes place on that day, the average of the last reported bid and ask prices on that day regular way, in either case on the principal national securities exchange on which the Common Stock is admitted to trading or listed. If the national securities exchange is closed on such date, the "Fair Market Value" shall be determined as of the last preceding day on which the Common Stock was traded or for which bid and ask prices are available.
- 2.11 **"Insider"** shall mean a Participant who is subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.
- 2.12 **"Leave of Absence"** shall mean an unpaid leave of absence taken in accordance with the Company's leave of absence policy. A Participant will not be considered to have incurred a break in Continuous Employment because

Table of Contents

of a Leave of Absence that does not exceed ninety (90) days. If the Leave of Absence exceeds ninety (90) days, the Participant will be deemed to have incurred a break in Continuous Employment on the ninety-first (91st) day, unless the Participant's rights to reemployment are guaranteed by statute or contract.

- 2.13 **"Participant"** shall mean an Employee who has been granted a Purchase Right under the Plan.
- 2.14 **"Plan"** shall mean the DaVita Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- 2.15 **"Plan Broker"** shall mean a stock brokerage firm designated by the Committee to establish accounts for Common Stock purchased under the Plan by Participants.
- 2.16 **"Purchase Right"** shall mean a right to purchase Common Stock granted pursuant to the Plan.
- 2.17 **"Purchase Right Period"** shall mean the period beginning on January 1 or July 1 (whichever is applicable) and terminating on the immediately following December 31. (But see Section 4.3 below for special rules regarding the termination of a Purchase Right Period upon a Termination Event.)
- 2.18 **"Stockholders"** shall mean the holders of Common Stock.
- 2.19 **"Subsidiary"** shall mean any corporation (other than the Company) in an unbroken chain of corporations beginning with the Company if each of the corporations (other than the last corporation in the unbroken chain) owns stock possessing fifty percent (50%) or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock in one of the other corporations in the chain.

Article III
Eligibility and Participation

- 3.1 **Eligibility.**
- (a) All Employees of the Company who are scheduled to work at least twenty (20) hours per week are eligible to participate in the Plan, provided they have completed at least three (3) months of Continuous Employment prior to the first day of the Purchase Right Period. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Employees that are citizens or residents of a foreign jurisdiction (i) whose laws prohibit their participation in the Plan, (ii) whose participation would cause the Plan to not meet the eligibility requirements of I.R.C. Section 423, or (iii) where the burden to the Company of complying with local tax, securities and employment law does not warrant extending participation in the Plan in such foreign jurisdiction, may be deemed by the Committee as not eligible to participate in the Plan.
- (b) No Employee may be granted a Purchase Right if the Employee would immediately thereafter own, directly or indirectly, five percent (5%) or more of the combined voting power or value of all classes of stock of the Company or of a Subsidiary. For this purpose, an Employee's ownership interest shall be determined in accordance with the constructive ownership rules of Code Section 424(d).
- 3.2 **Payroll Withholding.**
- (a) Employees who have satisfied the eligibility conditions of Section 3.1 above may enroll as Participants by executing prior to the commencement of each Purchase Right Period a form provided by the Company on which they designate:

- (i) The dollar amount (*not* a percentage of compensation) to be deducted from their paychecks and contributed to their Accounts for the purchase of Common Stock, which shall not be less than ten dollars (\$10.00) per payroll period; and/or
 - (ii) The amount of funds, if any, which they will deposit at the beginning of the Purchase Right Period for the purchase of Common Stock.
- (b) Once selected, the rate of contributions for a Purchase Right Period *cannot* be decreased or increased without terminating the Purchase Right. However, pursuant to rules and procedures prescribed by the Committee, a Participant may make additional contributions to make up for amounts that he or she failed to

B-2

Table of Contents

make while on a Leave of Absence if the Participant returns to active employment and contributes those amounts before the end of the Purchase Right Period.

3.3

Limitations.

- (a) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, a Participant may not accrue a right to purchase shares of Common Stock at a rate that exceeds twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00) per calendar year, determined in a manner consistent with Code Section 423(b)(8).
- (b) This limitation shall apply to the Participant's right to purchase Common Stock under the Plan and under all other employee stock purchase plans described in Code Section 423 that are maintained by the Company and its Subsidiaries.
- (c) This dollar limitation applies to the Fair Market Value of Common Stock determined at the time the Purchase Right is granted.

3.4

Granting of Purchase Rights. The price at which each share covered by a Purchase Right will be purchased will in all instances be the *lesser* of:

- (a) One hundred percent (100%) of the Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock on the first day of the applicable Purchase Right Period; or
- (b) Eighty-five percent (85%) of the Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock on the last day of that Purchase Right Period;

provided, however, that such price will in no event be less than the price required under Code Section 423(b)(6).

3.5

Establishment of Accounts.

- (a) All amounts contributed by the Participant to the Plan (whether by means of payroll withholding or a lump sum advance contribution) will be deposited into a separate Account maintained for the Participant.
- (b) No interest will be earned on those contributions.
- (c) A Participant may not withdraw any amounts from his or her Account without terminating his or her Purchase Right pursuant to Section 4.1 below.

Article IV
Purchase Rights

4.1

Termination of Purchase Rights.

- (a) A Participant may withdraw from the Plan at any time prior to the last day of the Purchase Right Period by submitting a form provided by the Company to the People Services Department of the Company. The Participant's Purchase Right shall

terminate upon withdrawal from the Plan.

(b)

A Purchase Right shall terminate automatically if the Participant holding the Purchase Right ceases to be employed by the Company for any reason (including death, disability, or retirement) prior to the last day of the Purchase Right Period.

(c)

Upon the termination of a Purchase Right, all amounts held in the Participant's Account shall be refunded to the Participant.

4.2

Exercise of Purchase Rights.

(a)

Unless previously terminated, Purchase Rights will be exercised automatically on the last day of the Purchase Right Period.

Table of Contents

- (b) Except as provided in Section 3.2(b) above, payment for shares to be purchased at the termination of the Purchase Right Period may only be made from funds:
 - (i) Deposited at the beginning of a Purchase Right Period; and/or
 - (ii) Accumulated through payroll deductions made during the Purchase Right Period.
- (c) If the balance of the Participant's Account on the date of purchase exceeds the purchase price of the whole number of shares to be acquired, the surplus shall be applied to the next Purchase Right Period, unless the Company elects to distribute the remaining funds to the Participant or unless the Participant elects to receive a refund by submitting a form prescribed by the Committee to the People Services Department of the Company.
- (d) Following the end of each Purchase Right Period, the number of shares of Common Stock purchased by each Participant shall be deposited into an account established in the Participant's name at the Plan Broker, or otherwise transferred to or for the account of the Participant.
- (e) A Participant shall be free to make a disposition (as that term is defined in Code Section 424(c)) of the shares in the Participant's account at the Plan Broker at any time, whether by sale, exchange, gift, or other transfer of legal title, but in the absence of such a disposition of the shares, the shares must remain in the Participant's account at the Plan Broker until the holding period set forth in Code Section 423(a) has been satisfied. With respect to shares for which the holding period set forth in Code Section 423(a) has been satisfied, the Participant may move such shares to another brokerage account of the Participant's choice or request that such shares otherwise be transferred to or for the account of the Participant. (As of the effective date of the 2007 amendment of the Plan, the holding period set forth in Code Section 423(a) is the longer of two (2) years after the first day of the Purchase Right Period and one (1) year after the last day of the Purchase Right Period during which the shares were purchased by the Participant.)

4.3

Termination Event. The following provisions of this Section 4.3 shall apply, notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary.

- (a) A "Termination Event" shall be deemed to occur as a result of (i) a transaction in which the Company will cease to be an independent publicly-owned corporation, (ii) a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, or (iii) a termination of the Plan.
- (b) The Purchase Right Period in which a Termination Event occurs shall terminate and all Purchase Rights shall be automatically exercised immediately preceding the Termination Event. In the case of a Termination Event described in Section 4.3(a)(i) or 4.3(a)(ii) above, for purposes of determining the amount under Section 3.4(b), the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock on the last day of the Purchase Right Period shall be deemed to be equal to the per share consideration received in the transaction by the holders of the Common Stock.

4.4

Non-Transferability of Purchase Rights. A Purchase Right may not be assigned or alienated.

Article V
Common Stock

5.1

Shares Subject to Plan.

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

- (a) Effective June 20, 2016, the maximum number of shares of Common Stock which may be issued under the Plan is twelve million, five hundred thousand (12,500,000). The number of these shares shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to Section 5.2 below.
- (b) If any outstanding Purchase Right is terminated for any reason prior to its exercise, the shares allocable to the Purchase Right will again become subject to purchase under the Plan.
- (c) The Common Stock issuable under the Plan may be previously unissued or may have been reacquired by the Company in the open market (or otherwise).

B-4

Table of Contents

5.2

Adjustment Upon Changes in Capitalization. Subject to the provisions of Section 4.3 above, if the outstanding shares of Common Stock are increased, decreased, or exchanged for different securities, through reorganization, merger, consolidation, recapitalization, reclassification, stock split, reverse stock dividend, or other similar transaction, a proportionate adjustment shall be made by the Committee to the:

- (a) Number, price, and kind of shares subject to outstanding Purchase Rights; and
- (b) Maximum number and kind of shares that are available for issuance under the Plan.

5.3

Proration of Purchase Rights. Should the total number of shares of Common Stock to be purchased pursuant to outstanding Purchase Rights on any particular date exceed the number of shares then available for issuance under the Plan:

- (a) The Committee shall make a pro-rata allocation of the available shares on a uniform and nondiscriminatory basis; and
- (b) The payroll deductions of each Participant, to the extent in excess of the aggregate purchase price payable for the Common Stock pro-rated to such individual, shall be refunded to the Participant.

Article VI
Plan Administration

6.1

Administration.

- (a) The Plan shall be administered by the Committee. The Committee shall have authority to:
 - (i) Interpret the Plan;
 - (ii) Prescribe rules and procedures relating to the Plan; and
 - (iii) Take all other actions necessary or appropriate in connection with the administration of the Plan.
- (b) A majority of the members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum, and any action shall constitute the action of the Committee if it is authorized by a majority of the members:
 - (i) Present at any meeting; or
 - (ii) In writing without a meeting.
- (c) All decisions of the Committee shall be final and binding on all Participants.
- (d) No member of the Committee shall be liable for any action or inaction taken in good faith with respect to the Plan or any Purchase Right granted under it.

6.2

Indemnification.

(a)

To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Company shall indemnify each member of the Committee and each other member of the Board, as well as any other Employee with duties under the Plan, against expenses (including any amount paid in settlement or in satisfaction of a judgment) reasonably incurred by the individual in connection with any claims against the individual by reason of the performance of the individual's duties under the Plan. This indemnity shall *not* apply, however, if:

(i)

It is determined in the action, lawsuit, or proceeding that the individual is guilty of gross negligence or intentional misconduct in the performance of those duties; or

(ii)

The individual fails to assist the Company in defending against any such claim.

(b)

Notwithstanding the above, the Company shall have the right to select counsel and to control the prosecution or defense of the suit. Furthermore, the Company shall not be obligated to indemnify any individual for any

Table of Contents

amount incurred through any settlement or compromise of any action unless the Company consents in writing to the settlement or compromise.

Article VII
Amendment and Termination

7.1

Amendment and Termination. The Board may amend or terminate the Plan at any time by means of written action, except with respect to outstanding Purchase Rights. However, the preceding sentence shall not limit the ability of the Company to terminate the plan in accordance with Section 4.3 above.

7.2

Stockholder Approval. Within twelve (12) months after its adoption by the Board, the Stockholders must approve any amendment to the Plan that relates to:

- (a) The class of individuals eligible to participate; and
- (b) The aggregate number of shares to be granted under the Plan.

Article VIII
Miscellaneous Matters

8.1

Uniform Rights and Privileges. The rights and privileges of all Participants under the Plan shall be the same.

8.2

Application of Proceeds. The proceeds received by the Company from the sale of Common Stock pursuant to Purchase Rights may be used for any corporate purpose.

8.3

Notice of Disqualifying Disposition. A Participant must notify the Company if the Participant disposes of stock acquired pursuant to the Plan prior to the expiration of the holding period set forth in Code Section 423(a) through any means other than through the Plan Broker.

8.4

No Additional Rights.

- (a) Neither the adoption of this Plan nor the granting of any Purchase Right shall:
 - (i) Affect or restrict in any way the power of the Company to undertake any corporate action otherwise permitted under applicable law; or
 - (ii) Confer upon any Participant the right to continue to be employed by the Company, nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the Company to terminate the employment of any Participant at any time, with or without cause.
- (b) No Participant shall have any rights as a Shareholder with respect to any shares covered by a Purchase Right granted to the Participant until the Common Stock is actually issued to the Participant.

- (c) No adjustments will be made for cash dividends or other rights for which the record date is prior to the issuance of the Common Stock.

8.5

Governing Law.

- (a) The Plan and all actions taken under it shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware.
- (b) The provisions of this Plan shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with this Plan satisfying the requirements of Code Section 423.

Table of Contents

DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC.

2000 16TH STREET

DENVER, CO 80202

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on Sunday, June 19, 2016. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on Sunday, June 19, 2016. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

E09762-P78969-Z67700KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION
ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC.									
The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR all nominees in Proposal 1:									
1. Election of Directors									
Nominees:	For	Against	Abstain						
1a. Pamela M. Arway	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR Proposals 2 through 5.		For	Against	Abstain	
1b. Charles G. Berg	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	2. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
1c. Carol Anthony Davidson	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>						
1d. Barbara J. Desoer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	3. To hold an advisory vote on executive compensation.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
1e. Paul J. Diaz	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	4. To adopt and approve proposed amendments to our Amended and Restated Bylaws to adopt proxy access.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
1f. Peter T. Grauer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	5. To adopt and approve an amendment to increase the number of shares available under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan by 7,500,000 shares.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
1g. John M. Nehra	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>						
1h. William L. Roper	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	The Board of Directors recommends you vote AGAINST Proposal 6.					
1i. Kent J. Thiry	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>						
1j. Roger J. Valine	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	6. To consider and vote upon a stockholder proposal regarding action by written consent, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.			<input type="radio"/>						
Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.			<input type="radio"/>						
			Yes	No					
Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name by authorized officer.									

Edgar Filing: MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION INC - Form SC 13G

	Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]	Date				Signature (Joint Owners)	Date				

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

E09763-P78969-Z67700

DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC.

PROXY

**This Proxy is solicited on behalf of
the Board of Directors of DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC.**

The undersigned hereby appoints Kent J. Thiry and Martha Ha, or either of them, the true and lawful attorneys and proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution to vote all shares of the Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share, of DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC., which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of DAVITA HEALTHCARE PARTNERS INC., to be held at 5:30 p.m., Mountain Daylight Time, on June 20, 2016 at 2000 16th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202, and any and all adjournments thereof, on the

proposals set forth on the reverse side of this Proxy.

Unless a contrary direction is indicated, this Proxy will be voted FOR all nominees listed in Proposal 1, FOR Proposals 2, 3, 4 and 5 and AGAINST Proposal 6. If specific instructions are indicated, this Proxy will be voted in accordance therewith.

In their discretion, Kent J. Thiry and Martha Ha, or either of them, are authorized to vote upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting. All Proxies to vote at said meeting or any adjournment heretofore given by the undersigned are hereby revoked. Receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement dated May 11, 2016 is hereby acknowledged.

		Address Changes/Comments:			
(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)					
Continued and to be signed on reverse side					