# REUNION INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-K

April 15, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549-1004 FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ---- EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (NO FEE REQUIRED)

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ---- EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (NO FEE REQUIRED)

For the transition period from to

-----

Commission File Number 01-15739

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

-----

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 06-1439715

(State of Incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(412) 281-2111

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:

COMMON STOCK, \$.01 par value

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered:

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or  $15\,(d)$  of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

1

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Act). Yes  $$\rm No$$  X

At March 15, 2005, 16,278,519 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. As of June 30, 2004, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (computed by reference to the average of the high and low sales prices on the American Stock Exchange) was \$3,333,774.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: Part III, Items 10 through 14 of this report are incorporated from the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed on or before May 2, 2005.

-----

## REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	4
Item 2.	Properties	8
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	9
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	10
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Stock and	
	Related Stockholder Matters	11
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	12
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of	
	Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 7a.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
Item 8.	Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	34
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants	
	on Accounting and Financial Disclosures	34
Item 9a.	Controls and Procedures	34
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	35
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	35
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial	
	Owners and Management	35
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	35
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	35
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules,	
	and Reports on Form 8-K	36
SIGNATUR	ES	37

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act that are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are enclosed in brackets [ | for ease of identification. Note that all forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Factors which could cause the future results and shareholder values to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the strengths of the markets which the Company serves, the Company's ability to generate liquidity and the Company's ability to service its debts and meet financial covenants. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained in this report are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurances that the forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference in this report will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the Company's objectives and plans will be achieved. In addition, the Company does not intend to, and is not obligated to, update these forward-looking statements after filing and distribution of this report, even if new information, future events or other circumstances have made them incorrect or misleading as of any future date.

- 3 -

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Reunion Industries, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Reunion Industries" or "Reunion"), is the successor by merger, effective March 16, 2000, of Chatwins Group, Inc. ("Chatwins Group") with and into Reunion Industries, Inc. The terms "Company" and "We" refer to Reunion after the merger. Reunion Industries' executive offices are located at 11 Stanwix Street, Suite 1400, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222 and its telephone number is (412) 281-2111.

The Company owns and operates industrial manufacturing operations that design and manufacture engineered, high-quality products for specific customer requirements, such as large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders, grating and precision plastic components. Until December 2004, the Company's products also included leaf springs. Such business was sold in January 2005. Additionally, during the year 2004, the Company decided to exit the thermoset plastics portion ("Rostone") of its plastics segment. Rostone was both a compounder and molder of thermoset polyester resins. Prior to 2003, the Company's products also included heavy duty cranes, bridge structures and materials handling systems. These businesses were sold during 2002. All of these sold businesses, as well as Rostone, are reported as discontinued operations.

The Company's customers include original equipment manufacturers and endusers in a variety of industries, such as transportation, power generation, chemicals, metals, home electronics, office equipment and consumer goods. The

Company's business units are organized into two major product categories:

- \* Metals manufactures and markets fabricated and machined industrial metal parts and products including large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders and grating. .
- \* Plastics manufactures precision molded plastic parts and provides engineered plastics services.

Metals includes three reportable segments: Pressure vessels; Cylinders and Grating. Plastics is a single segment.

#### METALS

Our Metals businesses include:

CPI - CPI, founded in 1897 and located in McKeesport, Pennsylvania, specializes in manufacturing large, seamless pressure vessels for the above ground storage and transportation of highly pressurized gases such as natural gas, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen and helium. These pressure vessels are provided to customers such as industrial gas producers and suppliers, the alternative fueled vehicle compressed natural gas fuel industry, chemical and petrochemical processing facilities, shipbuilders, NASA, public utilities and gas transportation companies.

Hanna - Hanna, founded in 1901 and located in Libertyville, Illinois, designs and manufactures a broad line of hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders, actuators, accumulators and manifolds. These products are used in a wide variety of industrial and mobile machinery and equipment requiring the application of force in a controlled and repetitive process. Hanna's specialty is custom cylinders in both small quantities packaged by its distributors with valves, pumps and controls as complete fluid power systems and large quantities sold directly to equipment manufacturers.

Shanghai Klemp Metal Products Co., Ltd. Located in Shanghai, China, this business was formed in 1995 when the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with two other Chinese based affiliates. The Company has a 65%

- 4 -

interest in the joint venture. In 1999, the Company adopted a plan to exit the grating manufacturing business and was able to sell all of its grating businesses, with the exception of this business in China, in that year. This grating business was classified as a discontinued business from that time until the year 2004. In the four years since adopting this plan, the Company has made several unsuccessful attempts to dispose of its investment in the joint venture and now perceives that a presence in China is consistent with its future plans for certain of its continuing operations. As a result and in connection with our Chinese partners' expressed desire to return control of the business to the Company for various reasons, the Company has decided to retain its investment in the Chinese joint venture and returned it to continuing operations effective at the beginning of 2004

Markets and Customers. Metals operates in mature markets. Except for pressure vessels, Metals' products are sold in highly competitive markets both in the U.S. and internationally and compete with a significant number of companies of varying sizes, including divisions or subsidiaries of larger

companies, on the basis of price, service, quality and the ability to supply customers in a timely manner. CPI is the dominant provider of pressure vessels in the U.S. Many of our competitors have financial and other resources that are substantially greater than ours. [Competitive pressures or other factors could cause us to lose market share or erode prices which could negatively impact the Company's results of operations.]

Individual customers sometimes account for more than 10% of Metals' sales. However, during 2004, no customer accounted for more than 10% of Metals' sales.

Sales and Marketing. Metals markets and distributes its products in a variety of ways including in-house marketing and sales personnel at all of its divisions, domestic independent and manufacturer representatives, domestic and international agents and independent distributors that specialize in metal products.

Raw Materials. The major raw materials used by Metals include welded and seamless steel tubing and pipe, steel alloy bars, steel plates, brass tubing and bars and aluminum extrusions, all of which are supplied by various domestic sources. Prices began to rise half way through the 2004 year and continue to rise into 2005. [Increases in the prices of raw materials could negatively affect our operating results if they can not be passed on to our customers.]

Backlog. Metals' U.S. backlog, which the Company believes is firm, was \$16.0 million at December 31, 2004 and \$10.5 million at December 31, 2003. As of February 28, 2005, Metals backlog continued to increase and was more than \$21 million at that date.

Research and Development. Our Metals research and development activities relate to improving the quality and performance of our products. We also develop ways to meet the design requirements and specifications of customers that require customized products. To do this, there are engineering departments at all major metals manufacturing divisions. Metals' products are not materially dependent on any patents, licenses or trademarks.

#### PLASTICS

Our Plastics Segment operates under the name ORC Plastics. Founded in 1964 as Oneida Molded Plastics, this Plastics division designs and produces injection molded parts and provides secondary services, such as hot stamping, welding, printing, painting and assembly of such products, and designs and builds custom molds at its tool shop in order to produce component parts for specific customers. The division's principal products consist of specially designed and manufactured components for office equipment; business machines; computers and peripherals; telecommunications, packaging and industrial equipment; and recreational and consumer products.

- 5 -

Markets and Customers. The markets for Plastics' products exceeded \$25 billion annually and are very competitive. The competitors are international companies with multi-plant operations based in the United States, Germany, France and Japan, and approximately 3,800 independent companies located in the United States engaged in the custom molding business. Most of these companies are privately owned and have sales volumes ranging from \$3 million to \$7 million per year. About one-half of the total injection molding market is

supplied by in-house molding shops. The Plastics division competes on the basis of customer service, product quality and price.

During 2004, three customers accounted for approximately 34% of the Plastics division's sales, with each individual customer exceeding 10% of the Plastics division sales. [Loss of any of these customers could adversely affect our results of operations]. The Plastics division is trying to diversify its customer base and has approximately 500 customers. ORC Plastics wants more customers in the business machines, consumer products and medical products industries. [We believe that growth opportunities exist in these industries.]

Sales and Marketing. Sales are made through an internal sales staff and a network of independent manufacturers' representatives working from regional offices throughout the eastern United States. We pay commissions of between 2% and 5% percent of sales based upon volume.

Raw Materials. The Plastics division uses thermoplastic polymers which are available from a number of suppliers. Prices for these materials are affected by changes in market demand. Although many of our contracts provide that price increases can be passed through to the customers, [increases in the prices of raw materials could negatively affect our operating results if they can not be passed on to our customers.]

Research and Development. Plastics' research and development activities relate to meeting design requirements and specifications of customers that require customized products. To meet these objectives, the division has engineering personnel at each of its manufacturing locations. The division's business is not materially dependent on any patents, licenses or trademarks.

Backlog. Plastics backlog, which the Company believes is firm, was \$8.2 million at December 31, 2004 and \$5.1 million at December 31, 2003. As of February 28, 2005, the Plastics backlog continued to increase and was more than \$8.5 million at that date.

REPORTABLE SEGMENT DATA - See Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this report for financial information about Reunion's segments. See Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" of this report for financial information about geographic areas.

#### Employees

At December 31, 2004, in its ongoing operations, Reunion Industries employed 533 full time employees, both in the United States and in China, of whom 334 were employed in Metals and 188 were employed in Plastics. There were 11 corporate employees. The Company believes its relations with its employees are good. A breakdown of the Company's workforce by location and function at December 31, 2004 is as follows.

- 6 -

Group	Location		Manuf	acturing		ral and istrative	Total
			Union	Non-Union	Union	Non-Union	
Metals:							
	McKeesport,	PA	83(1)	6	8 (2)	14	111

	Libertyville, IL		96		20	116
	Shanghai, China		77		29	106
	Beijing, China				1	1
Plastics:						
	Oneida, NY		66		13	79
	Phoenix, NY		59		3	62
	Siler City, NC		42		5	47
Corporate:						
	Pittsburgh, PA				11	11
				_		
Totals		83	346	8	96	533
		===	===	=	===	=====

- (1) United Steelworkers of America Contract expires May 31, 2006.
- (2) United Steelworkers of America Contract expires May 31, 2006.

The employee in Beijing, China is a Chinese national and relates to seamless pressure vessel sales efforts in that region. The employees in Shanghai, China are Chinese nationals and relate to the Company's grating subsidiary. These Chinese nationals are not covered by a union nor are they covered by any benefit or insurance plans sponsored by the Company.

As of December 31, 2004, approximately 17% of the Company's workforce was covered by collective bargaining agreements, none of which expire within one year of December 31, 2004.

#### Available Information

Reunion's website is http://www.reunionindustries.com. Reunion makes available free of charge, through its website, its annual, quarterly and current reports, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information contained on Reunion's website is not part of this report.

- 7 -

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company has a total of 83.1 acres and approximately 1.2 million square feet under roof being used for ongoing operations. Except for CPI's sales office in Beijing, China and the Company's corporate offices sites in Pittsburgh, PA, which are administrative, all locations are both manufacturing and administrative facilities:

Group	Location	Approx. Square Feet	Land Acres	Title	Expiration Date
Metals:	McKeesport, PA Beijing, China Shanghai, China Libertyville, Milwaukee, WI	603,000 1,000 20,500 IL 56,000 68,000	37.0 - 3.2	Owned Leased Leased Leased Owned	- 10/31/07 01/01/25 d 12/31/13
Plastics:	Oneida, NY Phoenix, NY	84,000 28,000	9.8 2.8	Owned Owned	-

Phoenix, NY	20,000	2.0	Owned	_
Siler City, NC	130,000	8.3	Owned	_
Lafayette, IN	168,000	20.0	Owned	_

Headquarters: Pittsburgh, PA 8,000 - Leased 4/30/05

The operations of the Company's Milwaukee, WI facility were relocated to its leased facility in Libertyville, IL. [We are exploring our options with regard to the Milwaukee facility.] During the year 2004, we combined Hanna's Chicago operations with operations in the facility in Libertyville.

We also own certain oil and gas properties in Louisiana that were retained when we disposed of our oil and gas operations in 2000. We retained these properties because of litigation concerning environmental matters. [We plan to operate the wells on the property for the net production revenues as required by a litigation settlement agreement]

We also hold title to or recordable interests in federal and state leases totaling approximately 55,000 acres near Moab, Utah, known as Ten Mile Potash. Sylvanite, a potash mineral, is the principal mineral of interest and occurrence in the Ten Mile Potash property. To date, Ten Mile Potash has not yielded any significant revenues from mining operations or any other significant revenues. [We are exploring our options with regard to these interests.]

All of our facilities have been in operation for a sufficient period of time to demonstrate their suitability for manufacturing and administrative purposes. [The production capacities of our facilities are sufficient for future needs.]

- 8 -

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is and has been involved in a number of lawsuits and administrative proceedings, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business of the Company and its subsidiaries. A summary of pending legal proceedings follows.

Asbestos (ORC)

Since July 10, 2001, various legal actions, some involving multiple plaintiffs, alleging personal injury/wrongful death from asbestos exposure have been filed in multiple states, including California, Oregon, Washington, New York and Mississippi, against a large number of defendants, including Oneida Rostone Corporation (ORC), pre-merger Reunion's Plastics subsidiary and the Company's Plastics segment. In October 2001, Allen-Bradley Company, a former owner of the Rostone business of ORC, accepted Reunion Industries' tender of its defense and indemnification in the first such lawsuit filed, pursuant to a contractual obligation to do so. Subsequent to the acceptance of the tender of defense and indemnification in the first lawsuit, Allen-Bradley Company has accepted the Company's tender of defense and indemnification in a total of 135 separate actions, all of which have been defended by Allen-Bradley Company.

Asbestos (Alliance)

The Company has been named in approximately 2,400 separate asbestos suits filed since January 1, 2001 by various plaintiffs' law firms in Michigan, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Illinois, Maryland, Alabama and W. Virginia. The claims are primarily directed against over 100 defendants, including Reunion, and allege that cranes from the Company's former crane manufacturing location in Alliance, OH, were present in various steel mills located in those states and that those cranes contained asbestos to which plaintiffs were exposed over a 40 year span. Counsel for the Company has filed an answer to each complaint denying liability by the Company and asserting all alternative defenses permitted under the various Courts' Case Management Orders. Counsel for the Company has successfully resolved approximately 500-600 cases with no cost to the Company. The Company denies that it manufactured any products containing asbestos or otherwise knew or should have known that any component part manufacturers provided products containing asbestos. It has been further denied that the Company was otherwise advised by component part manufacturers that component parts could be hazardous, or otherwise constitutes a health risk. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

## NAPTech Suit

On or about March 16, 2004, Shaw NAPTech, Inc. ("NAPTech"), as successor by merger to NAPTech, Inc. and NAPTech PS Corporation, filed a suit against the Company in state court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to collect payment on a subordinated note (the "NAPTech Note") issued to NAPTech and assumed by the Company in a January 2001 acquisition. In April, 2005 the trial court in this case entered a summary judgment in favor of NAPTech in the amount of \$3,145,403 in principal, plus \$1,207,760 in unpaid interest through November 30, 2003, plus interest at 15% per annum on the unpaid principal after November 30, 2003. This judgment does not constitute a new liability, as the Company has recorded the liability under the NAPTech Note in its consolidated balance sheets since January, 2001. The trial court rejected defenses raised by the Company that the suit is currently barred by a subordination agreement to which NAPTech is a party and that the court lacks personal jurisdiction over the Company. The Company intends to appeal from this judgment on the grounds that the trial court was in error in rejecting its defenses. There is no assurance that the appeal will be successful. Also named as defendants in the suit are Charles E. Bradley, Sr. (a director of the Company), as guarantor of the NAPTech Note, and KSB Acquisition Corp. and Hanna Investment Corp. (both of which are affiliates of Mr. Bradley), as pledgors of certain assets securing the note.

- 9 -

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on December 15, 2004, the stockholders (1) approved an amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation (the "Charter Amendment") to increase by 10 million the number of authorized common shares of the Company and to eliminate the Company's preferred shares, (2) approved the 2004 Stock Option Plan (the "Option Plan"), which provides for up to 1 million shares of the Company's common stock to be available for future option grants under the Plan to key employees, directors and consultants of the Company, and (3) elected seven directors for a term ending at the next Annual Meeting. The votes, in thousands of shares, on the Charter Amendment and the Option Plan were as follows:

Proposal	Voted For	Voted Against	Non-Votes	Abstaining

Charter A	mendment	10,417	144	3,729	1,680
Option P	lan	10,063	482	3,729	1,696

The votes, in thousands of shares, on the election of directors were as follows:

Nominee	Voted For	Withheld
Thomas J. Amonett	14,221	1,749
Charles E. Bradley, S	Sr. 14,222	1,748
Kimball J. Bradley	14,222	1,748
Thomas L. Cassidy	14,235	1,735
David E. Jackson	14,236	1,734
Joseph C. Lawyer	14,224	1,746
John G. Poole	14,22	1,746

- 10 -

PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Reunion Industries' common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange. As of March 15, 2005, there were 1,167 holders of record of Reunion Industries' common stock with an aggregate of 16,278,519 shares outstanding. The table below reflects the high and low sales prices for the quarterly periods for 2004 and 2003.

QUARTER ENDED	High	Low
2004		
March 31	\$0.680	\$0.320
June 30	\$0.600	\$0.310
September 30	\$0.550	\$0.250
December 31	\$0.500	\$0.220
2003		
March 31	\$0.200	\$0.110
June 30	\$0.350	\$0.150
September 30	\$0.500	\$0.170
December 31	\$0.890	\$0.180

No cash dividends have been declared or paid during the past two fiscal years. Cash dividends are limited by the availability of funds, and by restrictions contained in our loan agreements. [We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.]

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Securities issued, and securities available, under equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2004 are as follows:

Equity	Compen	satio	on Plans
Approved	hv	Not	Approved
Security	Dy		Security
Holders		_	Holders

Number of common stock shares to be issued upon		
exercise of outstanding options	614,000	_
	=======	=======
Weighted-average exercise price of		
outstanding options	\$0.51	_
	=======	=======
Number of common stock shares remaining available	.e	
for future issuance under equity		
compensation plans (excluding outstanding		
options)	1,161,100	_
	=======	=======

- 11 -

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

All data is reported in thousands, except for per-share data. The data is derived from the consolidated financial statements presented in Item 15 which also should be read. Effective January 1, 2002, we ceased amortizing goodwill.

Year Ended December 31,	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
EARNINGS DATA:					
Net sales (1) Cost of sales		45,775		\$ 81,440 67,987	67,889
Gross profit Selling, general and	12,999			13,453	
administrative expenses Gain on extinguishment of debt	(3,540)	(10,991)	_	_	_
Provision for restructuring Other (income) expense, net		(299)		6,811 1,180	
Operating profit (loss) Interest expense, net(2) Equity in loss from continuin	7,937				
operations of affiliate					296 
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interests	397	4,169	(10,480)	(14,813)	5,828
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	_	_	_	12,678	(616)
<pre>Income (loss) from   continuing operations   before minority interests</pre>	397	4,169	(10,480)	(27,491)	6,444
Less: minority interests	33	0			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 67			\$(27,491) ======	

Income (loss) from continuing
 operations applicable to

common stockholders	\$	126	\$	4,169	\$	(10,480)	\$ (	27,491)	\$	6,444
Weighted average common share	== S	=====	==	=====	==		==	=====	==	=====
Outstanding - basic		16 <b>,</b> 279		16,279	==	15 <b>,</b> 591 ======		15 <b>,</b> 587		13 <b>,</b> 236
Weighted average common share		10 010		1.C. CE.A		1 = = 0.1		1 F C10		12 206
Outstanding - diluted	==	18,812	==	16,654	==	15 <b>,</b> 591 =====	==	======	==	13 <b>,</b> 306
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing   operations per common share</pre>										
- basic	\$	0.01	\$ ==	0.26	\$	(0.67) =====	\$ ==	(1.76) =====	\$ ==	0.49
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share										
- diluted	\$	0.01	\$	0.25	\$	(0.67)	\$	(1.76) =====	\$ ==	0.48

- 12 -

OPERATING AND OTHER DATA: Cash flow from (used in) operating activities(3)				\$ 5,050 =====	
Cash flow from (used in) investing activities(3)	(780)		•	(2,532)	•
Cash flow from (used in) financing activities(3)	4,565 =====	•		(3,863)	
Depreciation and amortization(4)	2,480	2,582	2,762		4 <b>,</b> 639
Capital expenditures(5)	729	200	188	519	1,457
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Total assets	56,596				
Debt in default	-	_	40,049	64,389	-
Revolving credit facility	14,485	9,214	_		19,367
Long-term debt(6)	35,628	31,915	61	•	50,732
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(25,583)	(27,755)	(30,840)	(17,245)	21,559
EBITDA(7):	•			\$ (148) ======	•

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents sales from continuing operations. The Company has adjusted prior year financial data for the classifications of its springs and Rostone divisions as discontinued operations.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes amortization of debt issuance expenses and estimated warrant value of the following amounts for the following years: 2004: \$1,002; 2003: \$97; 2002: \$754; 2001: \$1,011; and 2000: \$897.

- (3) Not restated for discontinued operations.
- (4) Excludes amortization of debt issuance expenses and depreciation and amortization related to discontinued operations. See note (2) above.
- (5) Excludes capital expenditures of discontinued operations.
- (6) Excludes borrowings under revolving credit facilities and includes current maturities of 13% senior notes for year 2000.
- (7) EBITDA is calculated as follows:

	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes Interest expense, net(2)	397 7,937	•	\$(10,480)	\$ (14,813)	\$ 5,828 6,791
Depreciation and amortization (4)	,	1,849	2,012	4,675	4,095
Gain on extinguishment of debt Write-off of impaired goodwill	(3,540)	(10 <b>,</b> 991) -	, - -	2,946	, - -
Equity loss from continuing operations of affiliate	_	_	_	-	296
EBITDA S	6,461	\$ 1,966	\$ (448)	\$ (148) ======	\$ 17,010 =====

- 13 -

EBITDA is presented in the Selected Historical Financial Data, not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt and due to the close relationship it bears to Reunion's financial covenants in its borrowing agreements. Gains on extinguishment of debt are excluded from the current definition of EBITDA.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is provided to assist readers in understanding the Company's financial performance during the periods presented and significant trends which may impact the future performance of the Company. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

#### GENERAL

The Company owns and operates industrial manufacturing operations that design and manufacture engineered, high-quality products for specific customer requirements, such as large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders, grating and precision plastic components. Until December 2004, the Company's products also included leaf springs. Such business was sold as of December 31, 2004. Additionally, during the year 2004, the Company decided to exit the thermoset plastics portion ("Rostone") of its plastics segment. Rostone was both a compounder and molder of thermoset polyester resins. Prior to 2003, the Company's products also included heavy duty cranes, bridge structures and materials handling systems.

These businesses were sold during 2002. All of these sold businesses, as well as Rostone, are reported as discontinued operations.

The Company's customers include original equipment manufacturers and endusers in a variety of industries, such as transportation, power generation, chemicals, metals, home electronics, office equipment and consumer goods. The Company's business units are organized into two major product categories:

- \* Metals manufactures and markets fabricated and machined industrial metal parts and products including large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders and grating.
- $^{\star}$  Plastics manufactures precision molded plastic parts and provides engineered plastics services.

Metals includes three reportable segments: Pressure vessels; Cylinders and Grating. Plastics is a single segment.

#### RECENT EVENTS

Shanghai Klemp Metal Products Co., Ltd

In December 1995, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with China Metallurgical Import & Export Shanghai Company and Wanggang Township Economic Development Corporation. The Company has a 65% interest in the joint venture. During 1996, the Company capitalized its investment in the joint venture by contributing cash of \$150,000 and machinery and equipment with an estimated fair market value of \$1.9 million to the joint venture. The joint venture manufacturers grating panels and is located in Wangang Township, Pudong New Area, Shanghai in the People's Republic of China.

- 14 -

In 1999, the Company adopted a plan to exit the grating manufacturing business through the disposition of its grating businesses, including its Chinese joint venture investment. Upon adoption of the plan, the grating businesses and assets were classified and accounted for as discontinued operations, including a provision for estimated loss on disposal of the Chinese joint venture of \$2.0 million, and we ceased consolidating the joint venture as control of its daily operations was given to our joint venture partners and our then expatriate management was removed.

In the four years since adopting this plan, the Company has made several unsuccessful attempts to dispose of its investment in the joint venture and now perceives that a presence in China is consistent with its future plans for certain of its continuing operations. As a result and in connection with our Chinese partners' expressed desire to return control of the business to the Company for various reasons, the Company has decided to retain its investment in the Chinese joint venture and returned it to continuing operations effective at the beginning of 2004.

#### Congress Financial Financing

In May 2004, the Company and Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress Financial"), which has since been merged into Wachovia Bank, N.A., amended their Loan and Security Agreement (the "Congress Loan Agreement"). Pursuant to the amendment, Congress Financial provided an additional \$3.0 million loan (the "Congress Supplemental Loan") to the Company within the limit of the

existing \$25 million credit facility (the "Congress Loan Facility"), which did not increase. Proceeds from the Congress Supplemental Loan were used to pay trade payables and for other corporate purposes. Funds for the Supplemental Loan were provided to Congress by two private capital funds (the "Junior Participants") through their purchase of junior participation interests in the Congress Loan Facility in the amount of \$1.5 million each. In partial consideration of such investment, the Company issued to each of the Junior Participants a warrant to purchase 375,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an initial exercise price of \$0.01 per share, together with registration rights. The warrants were issued in January 2005, after the Company's Certificate of Incorporation was amended, with stockholder approval, to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock of the Company by 10 million.

Interest on the Congress Supplemental Loan is payable monthly at a fixed rate of 15% per annum. Like the rest of the Congress Loan Facility, the Congress Supplemental Loan is collateralized by a continuing security interest and lien on substantially all of the Company's assets.

The Congress Supplemental Loan is subject to the same financial and other covenants under the Congress Loan Agreement as the rest of the Congress Loan Facility, including the minimum amount of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

Through August 2004, the Company achieved the minimum monthly EBITDA required under the Congress Loan Agreement. However, the Company was unable to achieve the required minimum monthly EBITDA of \$650,000 for September 2004, having generated only \$604,000, as calculated under the terms of the Congress Loan Agreement. In November 2004, Congress Financial and the Company entered into another amendment to the Congress Loan Agreement that waived the minimum EBITDA covenant for September 2004, eliminated the minimum EBITDA covenant for October 2004 and significantly reduced the monthly minimum EBITDA covenant going forward. The Company was in compliance with all other financial, affirmative and negative covenants in September 2004, including the required minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.77:1 for the third quarter of 2004, having achieved a third quarter 2004 ratio of 1.17:1. However, as part of this amendment to the Congress Loan Agreement the covenant as to the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio was eliminated for all future quarters.

- 15 -

Under the above noted November 2004 amendment, the Company was required to maintain new minimum monthly amounts of EBITDA of \$280,000 in November 2004, \$290,000 in December 2004, \$350,000 in January 2005, \$280,000 in February 2005 and \$300,000 per month thereafter. In January 2005, the Company failed to meet the minimum monthly amount, when it had an EBITDA loss for the month of \$39,000. Congress has waived this EBITDA shortfall, and the Company is currently not in default under the Congress Loan Agreement.

SFSC Settlement

Effective May 10, 2004, the Company's indebtedness to and from Stanwich Financial Services Corp. ("SFSC") was settled on the following terms: (1) pursuant to the judgment referred to below, the Company is obligated to pay SFSC the sum of \$4.29 million on or before December 31, 2006, plus interest on such sum at the rate of 10% per annum from such effective date, in satisfaction of the Company's indebtedness to SFSC, (2) SFSC's indebtedness to the Company in the amount of \$310,000 plus interest was cancelled and (3)

provided it performs its obligations under the settlement and the judgment, the Company will be released from the claims of SFSC's creditors. As contemplated by the settlement agreement, a judgment has been entered against the Company for the settlement amount by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut, in SFSC's pending Chapter 11 Bankruptcy proceeding. The settlement amount does not constitute a new liability of the Company, as it relates to indebtedness and a note receivable that had previously been recorded on the Company's balance sheet. The settlement resulted in a \$3.3 million gain on debt extinguishment calculated as follows (in thousands):

Description	Payable (Receivable)	Interest and Fee Accruals	Totals		
SFSC note receivable Reserve for interest receivable SFSC collateral fee SFSC credit support fee SFSC note payable by assignment	\$ (310) - - 2,999 500 100 1,017	\$ (155) 100 690 294 1,693 317 57 320	100 690 294		
Totals  Less: Note payable under settleme	\$ 4,306 ======	\$ 3,316 ======	7,622		
Gain on SFSC litigation settlement	=		\$ 3,332 ======		

The gain on debt extinguishment of \$3.1 million recorded in the first quarter of 2004 was under the assumption that the settlement agreement would be effective as of January 1, 2004. However, subsequent to the filing of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, the parties to the settlement agreement set the effective date of the settlement as May 10, 2004. Accordingly, the Company recorded an additional gain on debt extinguishment of \$262,000 in the 2004 second quarter to reflect the settlement as if the Company continued to accrue interest under the terms of the prior SFSC notes payable through May 10, 2004.

- 16 -

#### 13% Senior Notes

In January 2004, we solicited our noteholders for the second time to consent to certain provisions and waivers of the indenture governing the 13% senior notes. In the first consent in November 2003, \$23,250,700 of the \$24,855,000 principal amount of senior notes, or 93.55%, had consented. The second consent solicitation period ended on April 28, 2004 at which time holders of an additional \$434,300 principal amount of senior notes had consented. The significant provisions of the solicitation requested that the noteholders consent to waive all then existing defaults under the indenture, cancel all accrued and unpaid interest, cancel 12% of the principal amount of senior notes and extend the maturity of the notes to December 2006. Accordingly, 12% of the \$434,300 principal amount of senior notes of noteholders that had consented to the various provisions and waivers in the second solicitation, or \$52,000, was extinguished pursuant to the provisions

of the consent. As of April 28, 2004, accrued and unpaid interest related to the 13% senior notes consenting in the second solicitation totaled \$156,000. Such interest was extinguished pursuant to the provisions of the consent.

On February 3, 2005, the Company announced that it was unable to make a \$2,928,000 interest payment by February 2, 2005 to the holders of the 13% Senior Notes. Holders of more than 80% of the principal amount of such Senior Notes agreed to enter into a Standstill Agreement with the Company, pursuant to which such holders agreed that they would not exercise and will (to the extent within their control) cause the Trustee not to exercise any remedies provided for in the Indenture under which the Senior Notes were issued, or any other agreements related to such notes, with respect to this payment default or with respect to a potential event of default if the Company fails to make the next scheduled interest payment due April 1, 2005. In the Standstill Agreement, such holders agreed to defer the \$2,928,000 of interest not paid, plus any interest that is not paid on the next regularly scheduled due date of April 1, 2005, to December 2006. On April 1, 2005 the Company was unable to make this scheduled interest payment.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003

#### Continuing Operations

Net sales, gross margins and EBITDA percentages for 2004 and 2003 are as follows. The percentages of EBITDA to net sales exclude corporate and other EBITDA. Including corporate and other EBITDA, the percentages of consolidated EBITDA to net sales for the years ended 2004 and 2003 are 9.4% and 3.6%.

	Net Sales			Gross	Margin	EBITDA		
		2004		2003	2004	2003	2004	2003
Pressure vessels Cylinders Grating	\$	22,921 18,488 9,306	\$	21,371 17,891	21.4% 14.0% 24.9%	22.4% 13.6%	17.4% 3.1% 13.7%	18.0% 2.0%
Plastics		17,935		15,791	17.8%	13.0%	12.3%	7.7%
Totals	\$	68 <b>,</b> 650	\$	55,053	18.9%	16.9%	11.8%	9.8%

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2004 were up \$13.6 million, or 24.7%, compared to the 2003 year. Sales in 2004 include \$9.3 million of sales from the consolidation of the Company's 65% owned Chinese grating manufacturing subsidiary. Such operation had been treated as a discontinued operation. See "Minority Interests" and "Return of Discontinued Operations to Continuing Operations - Change in Plan" below. Excluding such grating sales, 2004 sales were up \$4.3 million, or 7.8%, when compared to 2003. Such sales

- 17 -

increase reflects the effects of increased backlogs in all segments of the business, which continued to grow throughout the year and produced higher fourth quarter sales in 2004 than in 2003.

All segments of the business entered the 2004 year with historically low

backlogs and all segments of the business recorded lower sales for the first nine months of year 2004 as compared to the comparable period in 2003. However, all segments were able to achieve higher backlogs as the year progressed and all segments recorded significantly higher sales in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to 2003. Such higher 2004 fourth quarter sales, when added to the first nine months sales, enabled each segment to achieve higher sales for the full year 2004 than in the full year 2003. Such improving backlogs in all segments reflect an improving overall economic environment as well as our ability to overcome customers' concerns over our financial condition. [Management anticipates that it will be able to continue to increase its existing backlog in the first half of 2005].

Gross margin as a percentage of sales increased approximately 12% in 2004 when compared to the 2003 percentage primarily due to the inclusion of the Chinese grating subsidiary in 2004. Excluding the sales and gross margin of the Chinese grating subsidiary, the U.S. operations gross margin percentage increased to 18.0% in 2004 from 16.9% in 2003. This increase in gross profit margin was primarily attributable to operating efficiencies from the increase in sales in 2004 combined with overall lower cost structures resulting from cost reduction efforts in 2003, especially in the Plastics segment. The consolidation of the cylinder operations in 2004 into a single facility also had a favorable effect on the gross profit margin of that segment. Only the pressure vessel segment recorded lower 2004 gross profit margins as a percentage of sales and this was primarily attributable to production interruptions in June 2004 caused by an electrical failure which resulted in unanticipated costs and underabsorbed overheads.

Management evaluates the Company's segments based on EBITDA, a measure of cash generation, which is presented, not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt and due to the close relationship it bears to Reunion's financial covenants in its borrowing agreements. Excluding the Chinese grating subsidiary and corporate and other EBITDA, EBITDA and EBITDA as a percentage of sales increased in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due not only to the same factors affecting gross profit margin discussed above, but also to significant reductions in selling, general and administrative expenses as discussed below. A reconciliation of EBITDA to operating income for 2004 and 2003 by segment and corporate and other is as follows (in thousands):

	Ope	erating						
		Profit	D	eprec-	Amoı	rtiz-		
		(Loss)		iation	á	ation		EBITDA
2004:								
Pressure vessels	\$	3 <b>,</b> 395	\$	584	\$	_	\$	3 <b>,</b> 979
Cylinders		409		171		_		580
Grating		1,267		7		_		1,274
Plastics		1,347		863		_		2,210
Corporate and other(1)(2)		(1,624)		42		_		(1,582)
Totals		4,794	\$	1,667	Ş	_	\$	6,461
			==	=====	====		==	
Gain on debt extinguishment		3,540						
Operating profit	 \$	8,334						
oberacing brotte	Ψ ==							

2003:				
Pressure vessels Cylinders Plastics Corporate and other(2)(3)	\$ 3,184 107 316 (3,490)	\$ 653 246 900 50	\$ - - - -	\$ 3,837 353 1,216 (3,440)
Totals	117	\$ 1,849	\$ -	\$ 1,966
Gain on debt extinguishment	10,991	======	======	======
Operating profit	\$ 11,108 ======			

- (1) Includes income totaling \$0.6 million from reduction in environmental reserve.
- (2) Excludes gain on debt extinguishment of \$3.540 million in 2004 and \$10.991 million in 2003.
- (3) Includes gains totaling \$0.2 million on sales of property and equipment.

#### Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative (SGA) expenses for 2004 were \$9.0 million, compared to \$9.5 million for the 2003. SGA in 2004 includes \$1.1 million from the Chinese grating joint venture. Excluding this, SGA decreased to \$7.9 million domestically, or by \$1.6 million. This decrease in SGA occurred in all segments, as well as the corporate office, and reflects a full year's benefit of cost cutting measures and payroll reductions implemented in 2003 as well as continuing expense reductions in 2004. Excluding the sales and SGA of the grating subsidiary, SGA as a percentage of sales decreased to 13.4% for 2004 compared to 17.2% in 2003.

#### Gains on Debt Extinguishments

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"SFSC Settlement," the settlement of the Company's obligations to SFSC resulted in a \$3.32 million gain on debt extinguishment in 2004.

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"13% Senior Notes," \$2.8 million of the principal of and \$8.2 million of accrued and unpaid interest on certain of the Company's 13% Senior Notes were cancelled or extinguished in 2003, and \$52,000 of the principal of and \$156,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on certain of such notes were cancelled or extinguished in 2004.

#### Other Income

Other income for 2004 was \$788,000, compared to other income of \$299,000 for 2003. The components are as follows:

	2004		2003		Change	
Costs to consolidate cylinder locations	\$	264	\$	_	\$	264
Adjustments of environmental reserve		(601)		_		(601)
Gain on sale of property		-		(138)		138
Reduction of the lease termination reserve		(97)		(117)		20
Write-off of assets		_		117		(117)
Other income, net		(354)		(161)		(193)

Total other income, net

\$ (788) \$ (299) \$ (489)

During May 2004, we completed the consolidation of our cylinder manufacturing operations into a single facility in Libertyville, Illinois. Costs totaling \$264,000 were incurred in 2004. The Company finalized the settlement of a Louisiana environmental litigation matter in 2004. Such

- 19 -

settlement has resulted in a new estimate of the required reserve, which resulted in a \$601,000 adjustment. In June 2003, the Company sublet its idle manufacturing facility in Clearfield, Utah and, therefore, has recorded rental income in both 2004 and 2003. The Company sold idle property in the 2003 third quarter which resulted in a gain. There were no other individually significant items of other income or expense in 2004 or 2003.

#### Minority Interests

Minority interests of \$0.3 million represent income during 2004 allocated to the minority ownerships of the Company's consolidated foreign grating joint venture. Minority interests are calculated based on the percentage of minority ownership. See "Return of Discontinued Operations to Continuing Operations - Change in Plan" below.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense for 2004 was \$7.9 million compared to \$6.9 million for 2003. This increase is primarily due to the higher level of amortization of deferred financing costs and estimated warrant value as well as the accrual of fees in connection with debt guarantees in 2004 than in 2003.

#### Income Taxes

There was no tax provision from continuing operations in 2004 or 2003. The Company has net operating loss carryforwards for Federal tax return reporting purposes totaling \$64.1 million at December 31, 2004. The years in which such net operating losses expire are as follows (in thousands):

Year	ending	December	31:	
2007			\$	6,067
2008				609
2009				3,235
2010				2,520
After	2010			51,666

[The Company may be able to utilize its loss carryforwards against possible increased future profitability.] However, management has determined to fully reserve for the total amount of net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2004 [and to continue to do so during 2005 until management can conclude that it is more likely than not that some or all of our loss carryforwards can be utilized.]

Return of Discontinued Operations to Continuing Operations - Change in Plan

See above "RECENT EVENTS", "Shanghai Klemp Metal Products Co., Ltd", under this Item 6.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

Continuing Operations

Net sales, gross margins and EBITDA percentages for 2003 and 2002 are as follows. The percentages of EBITDA to net sales exclude corporate and other EBITDA. Including corporate and other EBITDA, the percentages of consolidated EBITDA to net sales for the years ended 2003 and 2002 are 3.6% and (1.0%).

- 20 -

	Net Sales		Gross	Margin	EBITDA		
		2003	 2002	2003	2002	2003	2002
Pressure vessels Cylinders Plastics	\$	21,371 17,891 15,791	\$ 17,317 18,087 19,783	22.4% 13.6% 13.0%	14.3% 10.8% 18.5%	18.0% 2.0% 7.7%	5.2% (1.6%) 12.2%
Totals	\$	55 <b>,</b> 053	\$ 55,187	16.9%	14.7%	9.8%	5.5%

Pressure vessels sales were up in 2003 compared to 2002. This increase is due to this segment's return to almost normal production and shipment levels during the first half of 2003 compared to management's decision to shut-down our pressure vessels facility for the first two months of 2002 with a limited production schedule. We made this decision in 2002 to reduce spending due to our liquidity problems and to lessen the strain on this segment's raw material vendors.

Cylinder sales were flat for 2003 compared to 2002 due to weak fourth quarter 2003 shipments caused by temporary customer facility shutdowns and a resulting decrease in order levels. This segment continues to be affected by softness in its markets.

The decrease in Plastics revenues in 2003 compared to 2002 began in the second quarter of 2003 and is the result of several reasons including our reduced ability to access raw materials, which was affected by tight liquidity, and a lag in certain customers' decisions on newly quoted programs, which are intended to replace finished programs, caused by uncertainty surrounding our financial condition.

The increase in gross margin as a percentage of sales in the pressure vessels segment in 2003 compared to 2002 is primarily due to increased sales and to management's decision to shut-down our pressure vessels facility for the first two months of 2002 as discussed above, resulting in a reduction in production activity for the second quarter 2002 causing underabsorption of overheads and a lower gross margin as a percentage of sales than would normally be expected. The increase in gross margin as a percentage of sales at cylinders is the result of actions to reduce costs through workforce reductions taken in the 2002 third quarter to better match production resources with volume levels. Although the same actions to reduce costs were also taken at plastics, the benefits were more than offset by the decreased volume level and the resulting effect of fixed costs on gross margin.

Management evaluates the Company's segments based on EBITDA, a measure of cash generation, which is presented, not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting

principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt and due to the close relationship it bears to Reunion's financial covenants in its borrowing agreements. EBITDA and EBITDA as a percentage of sales increased during 2003 compared to 2002 primarily due to the same factors affecting gross profit margin discussed above and our continued focus on reducing selling, general and administrative costs in Plastics. A reconciliation of EBITDA to operating income (loss) in 2003 and 2002 by segment and corporate and other is as follows (in thousands):

- 21 -

		Operating Profit Deprec- Amorti (Loss) iation ati			
2003:					
Pressure vessels Cylinders Plastics Corporate and other(1)(2)	107 316	246 900	_	\$ 3,837 353 1,216 (3,440)	
Totals	117	•	\$ -	•	
Gain on debt extinguishment	10 <b>,</b> 991	======	======	======	
Operating profit	\$ 11,108 ======				
2002:					
Pressure vessels Cylinders Plastics Corporate and other(3)	(609) 1,497	323 924		(286) 2,421	
Totals	\$ (2,460) ======		\$ -	\$ (448)	

- (1) Includes gains totaling \$226,000 on sales of property and equipment.
- (2) Excludes gain on debt extinguishment of \$10,991,000. See "Gain on Debt Extinguishment" below.
- (3) Include gain of \$375,000 on sale of equipment.

#### Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative (SGA) expenses for 2003 were \$9.5 million, compared to \$11.4 million for 2002. This decrease in SGA is due to 2002 including approximately \$1.0 million of incremental legal, audit and consultant costs related to the default on our bank financing that did not recur in 2003. The remaining decrease is related to cost cutting measures taken during 2002, including trimming the executive payroll and reductions in administrative positions. SGA as a percentage of sales decreased to 17.2% for 2003 compared to 20.6% in 2002. SGA as a percentage of sales was lower in 2003 compared to 2002 due to lower incremental bank-induced costs and previously described cost-cutting measures.

Gain on Debt Extinguishment

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"13% SENIOR NOTES," the Company made a Consent Solicitation to the holders of its 13% Senior Notes in 2003 and recirculated the solicitation in 2004. As a result of consents received by the Company in 2003 from certain of such holders, \$2.8 million of the principal and \$8.2 million of accrued and unpaid interest on the 13% Senior Notes held by such consenting noteholders were cancelled or extinguished in the fourth quarter of 2003.

[The Company is currently investigating other recapitalization scenarios that include, among other things, the use of additional private capital fund financing to repurchase at discounts with waivers of all accrued and unpaid interest some portion or all of our senior and unsecured subordinated notes payable.] The Company believes that, as the result of recent events related to our refinancing and senior notes and, in view of our continuing efforts to investigate other recapitalization scenarios, resulting gains from such activities do not meet the criteria pursuant to APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions" for classification as extraordinary.

- 22 -

#### Other Income

Other income for 2003 was \$299,000, compared to other income of \$805,000 for 2002. The components are as follows:

	2003		2002		Change	
Gain on sale of property		(138)				(138)
Reduction of the lease termination reserve	Ÿ	(117)	Y	_	Y	(117)
Gain on sale of equipment		(88)		(375)		287
Write-off of assets		117		_		117
Other income, net		(73)		(430)		357
Total other income, net	\$	(299)	Ş	(805)	\$	506
	===	=====	==	=====	===	=====

Other income in 2003 includes gains on sales of idle farmland in Boone County, IL and excess manufacturing equipment of \$88,000. We also adjusted the lease termination reserve, resulting in a reduction of \$117,000. The lease termination reserve was reduced as the result of the execution of a sublease agreement with another party resulting in a new estimate of future lease termination costs. We also wrote-off assets of an inactive subsidiary deemed to have no value. In January 2002, we sold equipment that had no book value. The decrease in the remaining other income is primarily due to higher levels of sales of scrap and miscellaneous parts in 2002 due to cleaning out idled facilities.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense for 2003 was \$6.9 million compared to \$8.0 million for 2002. For 2002, a total of \$2.5 million of interest expense has been allocated to or actually incurred in discontinued operations. On a combined basis interest expense was \$10.5 million in 2002. Average debt during 2003 was significantly less than 2002 levels due to pay-downs with proceeds from asset sales in June 2002 and September 2002. Also, in 2002 compared to 2003, the Company was paying a higher default rate on the BOA revolving credit and term loan facilities and we paid \$1,675,000 in amendment and overadvance fees

to BOA in 2002. These decreases from 2002 were partially offset by more than \$800,000 in fees and costs charged to interest expense incurred during our continuing refinancing efforts during 2003 with other lenders.

Income Taxes

There was no tax provision from continuing operations in 2003 or 2002. The Company had net operating loss carryforwards for Federal tax return reporting purposes totaling \$115.2 million at December 31, 2003, \$53.1 million of which expired by the end of 2004. Management has determined to fully reserve for the total amount of net deferred tax assets until management can conclude that it is more likely than not that some or all of our loss carryforwards can be utilized.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### General

The Company manages its liquidity as a consolidated enterprise. The operating groups of the Company carry minimal cash balances. Cash generated from group operating activities generally is used to repay borrowings under revolving credit arrangements, as well as other uses (e.g. corporate headquarters expenses, debt service, capital expenditures, etc.). Conversely, cash required for group operating activities generally is provided from funds available under the same revolving credit arrangements.

- 23 -

#### Recent Events

#### Financing Transactions

In 2003 and 2004, the Company received financing, or amended existing financing arrangements, in the following transactions:

- 1. In August 2003, LC Capital Master Fund ("LC Capital") made a term loan of \$2.5\$ million to the Company (the "First LCC Loan").
- 2. In connection with the Congress Financial refinancing described below, LC Capital made a second term loan of \$1.0 million to the Company on December 3, 2003 (the "Second LCC Loan"). The First LCC Loan and the Second LCC Loan were combined into a single loan of \$3.5 million, and the promissory note for the First LCC Loan was replaced by an amended promissory note in the amount of \$3.5 million (the "Amended LCC Note"). The principal of the Amended LCC is payable in December 2006, bears interest at the rate of 10% per annum, payable monthly, and is subordinate to the Company's indebtedness to Congress Financial . The Amended LCC Note is secured by security interests in substantially all of the Company's assets. In connection with these financings, the Company has issued warrants to LC Capital to purchase 875,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.01 per share.
- 3. Also in connection with the Congress Financial refinancing, Mercury Capital Corporation ("Mercury Capital"), another private capital firm, made a \$4.2 term loan to the Company on December 3, 2003 (the "Mercury Capital Loan"). The Mercury Capital Loan bears interest at the greater of 12% per annum or the prime rate plus 8%, payable monthly. The principal is payable in December 2006, subject to the Company's right to prepay without penalty after May 2004. The Mercury Capital Loan is secured by mortgages on all of the

Company's real properties. In connection with the Mercury Capital Loan, the Company issued an option to Mercury Capital to purchase up to 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.50 per share.

4. In December 3, 2003 the Company refinanced its senior secured loan (revolving and term) with Bank of America, N.A. ("BOA") through a senior secured credit facility (the "Congress Loan Facility) with Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress Financial"), consisting of revolving credit, term loan and letter of credit accommodations up to \$25 million. The \$3.2 million term loan component of the Congress Loan Facility amortizes to the revolving credit line under the facility at the rate of \$53,000 per month, beginning on January 1, 2004. Interest on loans outstanding on the Congress Loan Facility (other than the Supplemental Loan referred to in the next paragraph) is payable monthly at a variable rate to the prime rate publicly announced from time to time by Wachovia Bank, National Association plus 2.5%. The default rate of interest is prime plus 4.5%. The Company's indebtedness under the Congress Loan Facility is collateralized by security interests on substantially all of the Company's assets.

In May, 2004 Congress made an additional loan of \$3.0 million to the Company under and within the limits of the Congress Loan Facility. See above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"Congress Financial Financing" for a description of this Supplemental Loan.

The Congress Loan Facility has a three-year term, subject to automatic renewals of one year, unless either party gives notice of termination at least 90 days before the end of the term. On December 31, 2004, the Company had outstanding borrowings under this facility of \$17.2 million, including \$11.7 million under the revolving credit line, \$2.5 million under the term loan and \$3.0 million under the 2004 Supplemental Loan.

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"13% Senior Notes," in 2003 and 2004, in connection with the Congress Financial refinancing, the Company restructured its indebtedness under its 13% Senior Notes. Among other things, this restructuring resulted in a cancellation of \$2,842,000 of the principal and \$8,357,000 of accrued and unpaid interest under such notes.

- 24 -

The proceeds of the foregoing were used to repay the Company's loans from Bank of America (\$11.7 million on December 3, 2003) and for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

SFSC Settlement

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"SFSC Settlement," the settlement of the Company's indebtedness to Stanwich Financial Services Corp. on May 10, 2004 resulted in debt extinguishment of \$3.3 million and the extension of the due date for the \$4.29 balance of this debt to December 31, 2006.

13% Senior Notes

As described above in this Item 7 under the captions "RECENT EVENTS"/"13% Senior Notes," the Company made a Consent Solicitation to the holders of its 13% Senior Notes in 2003 and recirculated the solicitation in 2004. As a result of consents received by the Company in response to these solicitations, among other things, \$2.8 million of the principal of and \$8.2 million of

accrued and unpaid interest on certain of such notes were cancelled or extinguished in 2003, and \$52,000 of the principal and \$156,000 of the accrued and unpaid interest were cancelled or extinguished in 2004.

#### Summary of 2004 Activities

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$1.1 million and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. For 2004, \$3.3 million of cash used by operating activities and \$0.8 million of cash used for capital expenditures was provided by \$4.6 million of additional financing activities.

#### Operating Activities

Cash used by operating activities of \$3.3 million in 2004 was primarily the result of an increase in working capital, mainly receivables and inventories.

#### Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities in 2004 consisted solely of capital expenditures of \$0.8\$ million.

#### Financing Activities

As noted above, the Company arranged for a \$3.0 million junior participation loan with Congress. Funds for the additional \$3.0 million of supplemental financing were provided to Congress by two private capital funds as the result of the execution of a junior participation loan transaction between Congress and the two funds. These funds, plus \$2.4 million of increased borrowing under the Congress revolving credit facility provided \$5.5 million of additional financing to the Company. The Company made repayments of debt during the year of \$0.7 million, mainly related to the scheduled debt payments on the Congress term loan, and paid \$0.4 million of financing costs related to the December 2003 refinancing.

#### - 25 -

#### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following represents a tabular summarization of the Company's contractual obligations at December 31, 2004 for each of the next five years and thereafter (in thousands):

Description	Total	2005	2006	2	2007	2008	2	009	2009+
Congress revolving									
credit facility	\$14,649	\$ -	\$14,649	\$	_	\$ -	\$	- 1	\$ -
Congress term loan	2,539	636	636		636	631		_	_
Note payable	4,200	-	4,200		-	_		_	_
Note payable	3,500	-	3,500		-	_		_	_
13% senior notes	22,013	-	22,013		-	_		_	_
Note payable	4,290	-	4,290		-	_		_	_
Notes payable-									
currently due	4,162	4,162	_		_	-		_	_
Note payable-									
related parties	500	500	_		-	_		_	_
Capital lease									
obligations									
and SBA loans	9	9	_		_	_		_	_

Noncancellable							
operating lease							
commitments	9,501	1,552	1,151	1,039	1,020	969	3,770
Total contractual							
obligations	\$65,363	\$ 6,859	\$50,439	\$ 1,675	\$ 1,651	\$ 969	\$ 3,770

The above table shows the contractual aggregate maturities of debt, including \$14.6 million of revolving credit facility borrowings, and commitments under noncancellable operating leases. As of December 31, 2004, holders of \$1.2 million of principal amount of 13% senior notes had not consented to the provisions and waivers in the November 2003 solicitation. However, since the Company succeeded in obtaining the consent of holders of more than 90% of the principal amount of senior notes, and since such holders have directed the trustee to refrain from exercising any remedies in respect of past, present or future defaults, the \$1.2 million principal amount of senior notes held by non-consenting holders is classified as being due in December 2006. Notes payable - currently due and notes payable - related parties, although contractually due, may not be paid due to restrictions imposed by the Congress loan and security agreement.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Application of the accounting principles requires the Company's management to make estimates about the future resolution of existing uncertainties and that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses which in the normal course of business are subsequently adjusted to actual results. Actual results could differ from such estimates. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of the amounts and disclosures included in the consolidated financial statements giving due regard to materiality.

Revenue Recognition, Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Sales are recorded when title and risks of ownership transfer to the buyer. Shipping and handling fees charged to customers are recorded as revenues and the related costs are recorded as cost of sales.

- 26 -

The Company markets its products to a diverse customer base in the United States and in other countries. Credit is extended after a credit review by management, which is based on a customer's ability to perform its obligations. Such reviews are regularly updated. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based upon agings of customer balances and specific account reviews by management. Reunion Industries has no concentration of credit risks and generally does not require collateral or other security from its customers.

Accounts receivable are presented net of a reserve for doubtful accounts of \$202,000 at December 31, 2004 and \$337,000 at December 31, 2003, which represented 1.7% and 4.4%, respectively, of gross trade receivables (excluding other non-trade receivables).

Inventories and Inventory Reserves

At December 31, 2004, inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, at costs that approximate the first-in, first-out method of inventory valuation. During the third quarter of 2003, for those locations of the Company that had been using the last-in, first-out method of inventory valuation, we changed the method of valuing inventory to the first-in, first-out method. The effect on the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 was inconsequential. Work-in-process and finished goods include material costs, labor costs and manufacturing overhead. Reserves for excess, slow-moving and obsolete inventories are based on reviews of inventory usage reports for items more than one year old. The Company evaluates its inventories on a quarterly basis to identify excess, slow-moving and obsolete inventories and assess reserve adequacy. When this evaluation indicates such inventories exist, the reserve is increased by a charge to operations or such inventories are written off.

#### Goodwill and Impairment

The excess of the purchase consideration over the fair value of the net assets of acquired businesses is considered to be goodwill. The Company reviews goodwill when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. We also review goodwill as required by SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", which requires that goodwill be tested annually using a two-step process. The first is to identify any potential impairment by comparing the carrying value of reporting units to their fair value. If a potential impairment is identified, the second step is to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount to measure the impairment loss. Reporting unit fair value is estimated using the income approach, which assumes that the value of a reporting unit can be computed as the present value of the assumed future returns of an enterprise discounted at a rate of return that reflects the risk of an investment. A significant increase in the rate at which the assumed future returns are discounted could result in an unexpected impairment charge to goodwill, which could have a negative impact on our operating results.

At December 31, 2004, the Company had \$11.0 million of goodwill on its consolidated balance sheet. Of the \$11.0 million of goodwill, \$9.5 million relates to the pressure vessels and springs segment and \$1.5 million relates to the cylinders segment. We have completed all transitional and annual impairment tests necessary to date and concluded that our goodwill is not impaired.

#### Pensions

The Company accounts for its defined benefit pension plans in accordance with SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions" which requires that amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements be determined on an actuarial basis. The Company makes contributions to the defined benefit plans based on the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

- 27 -

The Company uses the fair value of plan assets to determine the expected and actual returns on plan assets. The difference between the expected return and actual return is deferred. During the second half of 2001 and the year 2002, the fair value of assets in both of the Company's defined benefit pension plans decreased for various reasons, including a downturn in the

overall economy and unusual world events. During 2003 and 2004, the fair value of assets increased but was mostly offset by an increase in the benefit obligation. The Company has recorded additional minimum pension liabilities in excess of amounts previously accrued totaling \$1.9 million at December 31, 2004 and \$2.0 million at December 31, 2003, which is classified as accumulated comprehensive loss within stockholders' deficit at such dates. Although management believes the long-term rates of return used to calculate the expected returns on plan assets are reasonable, a trend of actual returns being less than expected returns would cause future pension costs to increase.

In determining the discount rates to be used at the end of each year to determine plan liabilities, the Company looks at rates of return on high-quality, fixed-income investments that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized ratings agency. Changes in discount rates over the last three years have not materially affected pension costs and the net effect of changes in the discount rate, as well as the net effect of other changes in actuarial assumptions and experience, have been deferred as allowed by SFAS 87

[The Company currently expects consolidated pension costs in 2005 will approximate 2004.]

#### Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company provides health benefits for certain retired employees at the Company's pressure vessel operations and Plastics and of its Corporate Executive Payroll. These plans are not funded. During 2004, management decided to sell and close its Rostone operation. As a result, there was a significant decrease in the expected benefit obligation of the Plastics segment and a gain of \$558,000 was recognized in 2004 and is included in discontinued operations of Rostone. The other postretirement benefit costs and the benefit obligation are actuarially determined based on discount rates and expected trends in healthcare costs. Absent the gain from Rostone, costs increased slightly in 2004 over 2003 and [the Company currently expects consolidated other postretirement benefit costs for 2005 to increase slightly from 2004.]

#### Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations in accounting for stock options and awards. Accordingly, no compensation costs for stock options is included in operating results since all awards were made at exercise prices at or above their fair value on the dates of grants.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, amending FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation." This statement amends SFAS No. 123 to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosure about the effects on operating results of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends APB 28, "Interim Financial Reporting" to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. Had the Company applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 there would have been no material effect on reported income (loss) for years 2004, 2003 and 2002.

#### Environmental Contingencies

When it is probable that a liability has been incurred or an asset has been impaired, a loss is recognized assuming the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company believes it is currently in material compliance with existing environmental protection laws and regulations and is no longer involved in any significant remediation activities or administrative or judicial proceedings arising under federal, state or local environmental protection laws and regulations. In addition to management personnel who are responsible for monitoring environmental compliance and arranging for remedial actions that may be required, the Company has also employed outside consultants from time to time to advise and assist the Company's environmental compliance efforts. As a result, the Company has no significant amount of accruals for environmental costs.

#### FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Reunion's vendors may restrict credit terms

We have corrected many vendor-related problems with liquidity generated from the refinancing and from asset sales. However, another period of tight liquidity could result in key vendors restricting or eliminating the extension of credit terms to us. If this would happen, our ability to obtain raw materials would be strained significantly and our ability to manufacture products would be reduced.

Reunion's bank financing is subject to financial covenants

We are currently not in default on our bank financing and senior notes. However, our bank financing is subject to monthly financial and other covenants, and we have failed to meet such covenants on several occasions, for which we were able to obtain default waivers. If our operations do not improve during 2005, we may fail to meet one or more financial or other covenants. If this would happen, we would be in default on our bank obligations and, subject to the terms of the loan and security agreement, all of our bank loans would be due and payable. Although it may be possible to negotiate additional waivers of defaults, no assurances can be given that we would be able to do so.

Reunion operates in highly competitive mature, niche markets

Our products are sold in highly competitive mature, niche markets and we compete with companies of varying size, including divisions and subsidiaries of larger companies that have financial resources that exceed ours. This combination of competitive and financial pressures could cause us to lose market share or erode prices, which could negatively impact our financial position and results of operations.

Reunion's past performance could impact future prospects

Because of losses suffered by the Company over the past several years, potential or current customers may decide not to do business with us. If this were to happen, our sales may not increase or may decline. If sales do not increase, or we experience a decline in sales, our ability to cover costs would be further reduced, which could negatively impact our financial position and results of operations.

Reunion is a going concern

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2004, the Company has a deficiency in working capital of \$13.3 million, a loss from continuing operations of \$3.5 million before gain on debt extinguishment, cash

- 29 -

used in operating activities of \$3.3 million and a deficiency in assets of \$25.6 million. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

We successfully refinanced our bank debt in December 2003 and have extinguished a significant portion of our obligations under various debt instruments over the past year. These steps have improved liquidity and deferred the principal maturities on a significant portion of our debt. However, on February 3, 2005, we announced that we were unable to make a \$2,928,000 interest payment by February 2, 2005 to the holders of the Senior Notes. Holders of more than 80% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes agreed to enter into a Standstill Agreement with the Company. Pursuant to such Standstill Agreement, holders agreed that they would not exercise and will (to the extent within their control) cause the Trustee not to exercise any remedies provided for in the Indenture under which the Senior Notes were issued, or any other agreements related to the Senior Notes, with respect to this payment default or with respect to a potential Event of Default if the Company fails to make the next scheduled interest payment due April 1, 2005. In the Standstill Agreement, such holders agreed to defer payment of the interest not paid by February 2, 2005, and any interest that is due April 1, 2005 and not paid, to December 2006. Although it may be possible to negotiate additional waivers of defaults, no assurances can be given that we would be able to do so.

The Company is investigating other recapitalization scenarios in an effort to provide additional liquidity and extinguishments or deferrals of debt obligations. Although we believe we can accomplish these plans, no assurances exist that we will. Failure to accomplish these plans could have an adverse impact on the Company's liquidity, financial position and future operations.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2004 and May 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position Nos. 106-1 and 106-2, respectively, regarding accounting and disclosure requirements related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Act). Recognition of the Act was permitted to be deferred under FASB Staff Position No. FAS 106-1, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003." Under the provisions of the Act, companies that provide prescription drug coverage to retirees over age 65 will be entitled to a government subsidy beginning in 2006 if the benefits provided under the company plan are at least actuarially equivalent to those that will otherwise be offered by the government. The FASB issued final accounting guidance in May 2004 with FASB Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003", which requires that the effect of the Act to be

reflected in third quarter 2004 financial statements. The effect of such Act has not had, and is not expected to have, any material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

On December 17, 2003, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition" (SAB 104), which supersedes Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" (SAB 101). SAB 104 rescinds accounting guidance contained in SAB 101 related to multiple element revenue arrangements, superseded as a result of the issuance of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21 (EITF 00-21), "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Additionally, SAB 104 rescinds the SEC's Revenue

- 30 -

Recognition in Financial Statements Frequently Asked Questions and Answers (the FAQ) issued with SAB 101 that had been codified in SEC topic 13, "Revenue Recognition". While the wording of SAB 104 has changed to reflect the issuance of EITF 00-21, the revenue recognition principles of SAB 101 remain largely unchanged by the issuance of SAB 104. The adoption of SAB 104 has not had, and is not expected to have, a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs." This statement requires the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities and clarifies that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials should be recognized as period expense. The Statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. We are evaluating the impact of this Statement but do not expect it to have a significant effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004." The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 introduces a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a U.S. taxpayer, provided certain criteria are met. FAS 109-2 provides accounting and disclosure guidance for the repatriation provision, and was effective immediately upon issuance. This accounting pronouncement had no impact on Reunion as the Company did not repatriate earnings in 2004 for U.S. tax purposes.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, "Share Based Payment." SFAS 123R is a revision to SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and amends SFAS 95, "Statement of Cash Flows." This statement requires a public entity to expense the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments. This statement also provides guidance on valuing and expensing these awards, as well as disclosure requirements of these equity arrangements. This statement is effective for the first interim reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. SFAS 123R permits public companies to choose between the following two adoption methods:

1. A "modified prospective" method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS 123R that remain unvested on the effective date, or 2. A "modified retrospective" method which includes the requirements of the

modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption.

Reunion currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using APB 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, recognizes no compensation expense for employee stock options. The impact of the adoption of SFAS 123R on Reunion cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. There would have been no material impact on reported results of operations and earnings per share had the Company applied the fair value provisions of SFAS 123 to share-based payments.

The adoption of SFAS 123R's fair value method may have an impact, possibly material, on Reunion's future results of operations but is not expected to significantly impact the Company's overall financial position. SFAS 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense, if any, to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This

- 31 -

requirement may reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in the consolidated statement of cash flows of periods after adoption. Due to timing of the release of SFAS 123R and the choice between the two adoption methods, the Company is still analyzing the ultimate impact that this new pronouncement may have on its results of operations.

On March 29, 2005, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "Share-Based Payment" (SAB 107). Although not altering any conclusions reached in SFAS 123R, SAB 107 provides the views of the Staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and, among other things, provide the Staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. Reunion intends to follow the interpretative guidance on share-based payment set forth in SAB 107 during the Company's adoption of SFAS 123R.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In the operation of its business, Reunion Industries has market risk exposure to foreign currency exchange rates, raw material prices and interest rates. Each of these risks and Reunion Industries' strategies to manage the exposure is discussed below.

Excluding its subsidiary in Shanghai, China, Reunion Industries manufactures its products in the United States and sells its products in the United States and in foreign countries. The countries to which the Company exports its products vary from year to year. International sales in 2004, 2003 and 2002 were primarily in four areas: the Far East; Latin and South America; Western Europe; and Canada. The majority of international sales in 2004 and 2003 relate to pressure vessel sales to customers in Taiwan and the People's Republic of China. Of Reunion's \$59.3 million of consolidated net sales from U.S. continuing operations for 2004, \$7.4 million were export sales, of which \$6.0 million related to pressure vessel sales and \$1.1 million related to Plastics. Of the \$6.0 million of foreign pressure vessel sales, approximately \$4.3 million was in the Far East (principally China and Taiwan) and \$1.1 million was in Canada. Of the \$1.1 million of foreign Plastics sales, approximately \$0.4 million was in Mexico, approximately \$0.3 was in Canada and approximately \$0.3 was in Western Europe. Foreign cylinder sales

for 2004 were approximately \$0.4 million, primarily into Canada. Of Reunion's \$55.1 million of consolidated net sales for 2003, \$7.5 million were export sales, of which \$6.0 million related to pressure vessel sales and \$1.2 million related to Plastics. The remainder related to cylinder sales in Canada. Of the \$6.0 million of foreign pressure vessel sales, approximately \$4.9 million was in the Far East (principally China and Taiwan) and \$0.6 million was in Western Europe. The remainder was in Canada. Plastics export sales included \$0.5 million in Mexico with the remainder in Europe and Canada. Of Reunion's \$55.2 million of consolidated net sales for 2002, \$9.6 million were export sales, of which \$7.7 million related to pressure vessel sales and \$1.6 million related to Plastics. The remainder related to cylinder sales, primarily in Canada. Of the \$7.7 million of foreign pressure vessel sales, approximately \$6.7 million was in the Far East (principally China and Taiwan) and \$0.7million was in Western Europe. The remainder were in the Middle East and Canada. Plastics export sales included \$0.8 million in Mexico with the remainder in Europe and Canada.

Export sales to foreign countries are denominated in U.S. dollars, the Company's reporting currency. Accordingly, transaction loss exposures due to fluctuations in the currencies of the countries to which the Company's domestic locations export are minimal.

The major raw materials used by Metals include welded and seamless steel tubing and pipe, steel alloy bars, steel plates, brass tubing and bars and aluminum extrusions. The major raw material used by Plastics is thermoplastic polymers. These materials are available from a number of suppliers. [Prices for these materials are affected by changes in market demand, and there can be

- 32 -

no assurances that prices for these and other raw materials will not increase in the future.] [Reunion Industries' contracts with its Plastics customers generally provide that such price increases can be passed through to its customers.] A 1.00% change in raw material prices would affect results of operations by approximately \$400,000.

Reunion Industries' operating results are subject to risk from interest rate fluctuations on debt that carries variable interest rates. The variable rate debt was approximately \$15.2 million at December 31, 2004, which is representative of balances outstanding during the year. A 1.00% change in interest rates would affect results of operations by approximately \$150,000.

#### ITEM 8. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Reunion's consolidated financial statements are set forth beginning at Page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rule 13a-15(b), Reunion's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation

as of the end of the period covered by this report, of the effectiveness of Reunion's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Reunion's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. As required by Rule 13a-15(d), Reunion's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of Reunion's internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fourth fiscal guarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Reunion's internal control over financial reporting. Due to staff reductions and additional burdens placed on the Plastics division, due primarily to Management's decision to dispose of its Rostone operation, the internal controls at the Plastics division showed some deterioration during the fourth quarter which resulted in our auditors proposing several adjusting entries. Management is focused on correcting and improving these internal controls and has restructured plant reporting and supervision responsibilities at the Plastics division to address these issues. We have concluded that such deterioration has not materially affected the financial results presented for the year.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system will be met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events.

- 33 -

PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information under the captions "Proposal, Election of Directors" and "Management Information; Executive Officers" is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2005.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information under the captions "Management Information" and "Proposal; Election of Directors; Director Compensation" is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2005.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information under the caption "Ownership Information" is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2005.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information under the caption "Ownership Information; Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities

and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2005.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information under the caption "Fees Paid to Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C." is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 2, 2005.

- 34 -

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Documents included in this report:

The following consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of Reunion Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries are included in Part II, Item 8:

1. Financial Statements (Pages F-1 through F- 47)

Report of Management

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm ?

Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm -

Ernst & Young LLP

Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2004 and 2003

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive

Income (Loss) - Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules (Page S-1)

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

Other schedules have been omitted because they are either not required, not applicable, or the information required to be presented is included in Reunion Industries' financial statements and related notes.

### 3. Exhibits

See pages E-1 to E-4 for a listing of exhibits filed with this report or incorporated by reference herein.

(b) Current Reports on Form 8-K

The Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed on February 3, 2005 under Item 2 to announce that, following the failure of the Company by February 2, 2005 to make a \$2,928,000 interest payment to the holders of its 13% Senior Notes, holders of more than 80% of the principal of such notes had entered into a Standstill Agreement with the Company. Pursuant to such Standstill Agreement, holders agreed that they would not exercise and will (to the extent within their control) cause the Trustee not to exercise any remedies provided for in the Indenture under which the Senior Notes were

issued, or any other agreements related to the Senior Notes, with respect to this payment default or with respect to a potential Event of Default if the Company fails to make the next scheduled interest payment due April 1, 2005. In the Standstill Agreement, such holders agreed to defer payment of the interest not paid by February 2, 2005, and any interest that is due April 1, 2005 and not paid, to December 2006.

- 35 -

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: April 15, 2005 REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

\_\_\_\_\_

By: /s/ Charles E. Bradley, Sr.

Charles E. Bradley, Sr.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on this 15th day of April, 2005.

Signature	Title
/s/ Kimball J. Bradley	President, Chief Operating Officer
Kimball J. Bradley	and Director
/s/ Joseph C. Lawyer	Vice Chairman and Director
Joseph C. Lawyer	
/s/ John M. Froehlich	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and
John M. Froehlich	Assistant Secretary (chief financial and accounting officer)
/s/ Thomas N. Amonett	Director
Thomas N. Amonett	
/s/ Thomas L. Cassidy	Director
Thomas L. Cassidy	
/s/ David E. Jackson	Director
David E. Jackson	
/s/ John G. Poole	Director
John G. Poole	

- 36 -

#### REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS P	Page
Report of ManagementF	7-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm ? Mahoney	
Cohen & Company, CPA, P.CF	7-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm ? Ernst &	
Young LLPF	-4
Consolidated Balance SheetsF	7-5
Consolidated Statements of Operations and	
Comprehensive Income (Loss)F	
Consolidated Statements of Cash FlowsF	-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial StatementsF	'-9

- F-1 -

#### Report of Management

Management is responsible for the preparation and integrity of the consolidated financial statements appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America appropriate under the circumstances and, accordingly, include some amounts based on management's best judgments and estimates. Financial information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is consistent with that in the financial statements.

Management is responsible for maintaining a system of internal business controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance, at an appropriate cost/benefit relationship, that assets are safeguarded and that transactions are authorized, recorded and reported properly. The internal control system is augmented by appropriate reviews by management, written policies and guidelines, careful selection and training of qualified personnel and a written code of business ethics applicable to all employees of Reunion and its subsidiaries. Management believes that Reunion's internal controls provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded against material loss from unauthorized use or disposition and that the financial records are reliable for preparing financial statements and other data and maintaining accountability for assets.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, composed solely of Directors who are not employees or officers of Reunion, meets on a regular periodic basis with the independent auditors and management to discuss internal business controls, auditing and financial reporting matters. The Committee reviews with the independent auditors the scope and results of the audit effort. The Committee also meets with the independent auditors without management present to ensure that the independent auditors have free access to the Audit Committee.

The independent auditors, Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., are engaged to audit the consolidated financial statements of Reunion and to conduct such tests and related procedures as they deem necessary in accordance with auditing standards promulgated by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). The opinion of the independent auditors, based upon their audits of the consolidated financial statements, is contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

/s/ Charles E. Bradley, Sr.

/s/ John M. Froehlich

Charles E. Bradley, Sr.

\_\_\_\_\_

John M. Froehlich
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

- F-2 -

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders Reunion Industries, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Reunion Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for the years then ended. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Reunion Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Reunion Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1, at December 31, 2004, the Company has a deficiency in working capital of \$13.3 million, a loss from continuing operations of \$3.5 million before gain on debt extinguishment, cash used in Operating Activities of \$3.3 million and a deficiency in assets of \$25.6 million. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets

or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

New York, New York March 18, 2005

- F-3 -

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders Reunion Industries, Inc.

We have audited the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2002. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a) for the year ended December 31, 2002. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of operations and cash flows of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Reunion Industries, Inc. will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1, at December 31, 2002, the Company is in default on \$40.0 million of debt, has a deficiency in working capital of \$57.8 million, a loss from continuing operations of \$11.5 million, and a deficiency in assets of \$30.8 million. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and

classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" in 2002.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania March 14, 2003

- F-4 -

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands)

At	December 2	2004	At D	eceml	per 31, 2003	
ASSETS:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,				656	
Receivables, net	12,	,768			8,591	
Advances to employees		26			56	
Inventories, net	•	,300			6,753	
Other current assets		,832			1,212	
Assets of discontinued operations, current	3, 	,216			3 <b>,</b> 208	
Total current assets	28,	, 288		:	20,476	
Property, plant and equipment, net		,374			10,304	
Property, plant and equipment, held for sale	2,	,911			3,893	
Due from related parties		919			1,405	
Goodwill, net	10,	,994			10,994	
Other assets, net	4,	,110			4,438	
Other assets of discontinued operations		_			13	
Total assets	\$ 56 <b>,</b>			\$ .	51,523	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT:	=====	====		==:	=====	
Current maturities of debt	\$	645		\$	683	
Revolving credit facilities			14,485			9,
Trade payables		,300			8,619	
Accrued salaries and benefits		,184			1,645	
Accrued interest	5,	,663			2,119	
Accrued environmental reserves		230			832	
Due to related party		285			3 <b>,</b> 276	
Other current liabilities	4,	,266			5,149	
Notes payable	4,	,161			4,161	
Notes payable - related parties		500			5,115	
Current liabilities of discontinued						
operations		827			1,191	
Total current liabilities	41,	,546		:	32,790	
Long-term debt	35,	,628		:	31,915	

Other liabilities	3 <b>,</b> 759	3,859
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations	916	1,500
Total liabilities	81,849	79,278
Minority interests	330	_
Commitments and contingent liabilities Stockholders' deficit: Common stock (\$.01 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized, 16,278,519 shares issued	-	-
and outstanding)	163	163
Capital in excess of par value	27,866	25,609
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,902)	(1,979)
Accumulated deficit	(51,710)	(51,548)
Stockholders' deficit	(25, 583)	(27,755)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 56,596 ======	\$ 51,523 ======

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- F-5 -

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (in thousands, except per share information)

		Ended December 2003	•
Sales:			
Metals	\$ 50,715	\$ 39,262	\$ 35,404
Plastics	17,935	15 <b>,</b> 791	19 <b>,</b> 783
Total sales	68,650	55,053	55,187
Cost of Sales:			
Metals	40,914	32,032	30,969
Plastics		13 <b>,</b> 743	
Total cost of sales	55,651	45 <b>,</b> 775	47,086
Gross profit		9 <b>,</b> 278	
Selling, general & administrative	8,993	9,460	11,366
Gain on debt extinguishment	(3,540)	(10,991)	_
Other (income) expense, net	(788)	(299)	
Operating profit (loss)	8,334	11,108	
Interest expense, net	7,937	6,939	8,020
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing operations   before income taxes and</pre>			
minority interests	397	4,169	(10,480)
Provision for income taxes			-
Income (loss) from continuing operations			

Before minority interests	397	4,169	(10,480)
Less: Minority interests	330	_	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	67	4,169	(10,480)
Discontinued operations, net of tax:			
<pre>Income(loss)from discontinued springs   operations, less applicable income</pre>			
taxes of \$-0-		176 (19	98) 165
Loss on disposal of springs operations,	(21.0)		
less applicable income taxes of \$-0- Loss from discontinued Rostone operations,	(318)	_	_
less applicable income taxes of \$-0-	(87)	(389)	(1,218)
Loss on disposal of discontinued bridges			
and cranes operations, less applicable income taxes of \$-0- and \$-0-, respectively	_	(9/12)	(3,913)
Income (loss) from discontinued materials		(342)	(3, 313)
handling operations, less applicable			
income taxes of \$-0- and \$-0-, respectively	_	_	(537)
Gain on disposal of discontinued materials handling systems operations, less			
applicable income taxes of \$-0-	_	-	3,124
Loss from discontinued operations	(229)	(1,529)	(2,379)

- F-6 -

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(continued) (in thousands, except per share information)

	2004	Year Ended December 2004 2003			
Net income (loss)				(162) 2,640	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of \$-0- tax: Reduction in (additional) pension liability in excess of unrecognized prior service cost		31	, ,		
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (85)	\$ 2,671 ======	\$(13,733) ======		
Earnings (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$ (162) ======	\$ 2,640 ======	\$(12,859) ======		
Earnings (loss) per common share-basic: Continuing operations Discontinued operations	(0.01)	\$ 0.26 (0.10)	(0.15)		
Income (loss) per common share-basic		\$ 0.16 =====			

Earnings (loss) per common share-diluted:
 - basic and diluted:

Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$ - (0.01)	\$ 0.25 (0.09)	\$ (0.67) (0.15)
<pre>Income (loss) per common share   - basic and diluted</pre>	\$ (0.01) ======	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.82)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	16 <b>,</b> 279	16,279	15 <b>,</b> 591
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	18,812	16,654 ======	15,591 ======

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- F-7 -

## REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

(in thousands)			
		er 31,	
	2004	2003	2002
Cash flow from operating activities:			
	(162)	\$ 2,640	\$(12,859)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to	, ,		
net cash from operating activities:			
Depreciation	2,480	2,582	2,762
Amortization of deferred financing fees	864		754
Amortization of estimated warrant value	138	16	_
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(3,540)	(10,991)	_
Gain on sale of property and equipment	_	(226)	
Provision for bad debt	_	130	_
Write-off of impaired assets	_	117	_
Increase in minority interest	330	_	_
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in receivables	(4,014)	2,165	6,431
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(2,819)	(312)	1,366
(Increase) decrease in other current assets Increase (decrease) in trade payables	517	486	(662)
and other current liabilities	1,076	(150)	3 <b>,</b> 585
Net change in other assets and liabilities	(267)	807	(1,981)
Capitalization from China joint venture	2,074	<del>-</del>	_
Cash used in operating activities	(3,323)	(2,665)	(979)
Cash flow from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of discontinued materials			
handling systems operations	-	_	25,000
Proceeds from sale of assets of discontinued			
bridges and cranes operations	_		3,058
Proceeds from sale of property	-	455	
Capital expenditures	(780)	(282)	(502)
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(780)	173	27,931
Cash flow from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of debt	3,000	11,375	_
Repayments of debt	(682)	(4,001)	(13,740)
Revolving credit facilities borrowings	70,774	67,105	116,157

Revolving credit facilities repayments	(68,340)	(69,678)	(126,845)
Payments of deferred financing costs	(431)	(2,161)	_
Net increase(decrease) in outstanding checks	244	(200)	(2,909)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	s 4,565	2,440	(27,337)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and			
cash equivalents	462	(52)	(385)
Less: Change in cash of discontinued operations	s 28	29	436
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	656	679	628
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 146	\$ 656	\$ 679
=		======	======
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 2,642	\$ 2,304	\$ 4,619
=		======	======
Income taxes paid (refunded)	\$ 6	\$ 5	\$ (326)
=			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

- F-8 -

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2004

#### NOTE 1: ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business

The Company owns and operates industrial manufacturing operations that design and manufacture engineered, high-quality products for specific customer requirements, such as large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders, grating and precision plastic components. Until December 2004, the Company's products also included leaf springs. Such business was sold in January 2005. Additionally, during the year 2004, the Company decided to exit the thermoset plastics portion ("Rostone") of its plastics segment. Rostone was both a compounder and molder of thermoset polyester resins. Prior to 2003, the Company's products also included heavy duty cranes, bridge structures and materials handling systems. These businesses were sold during 2002. All of these sold businesses, as well as Rostone, are reported as discontinued operations.

In December 1995, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with China Metallurgical Import & Export Shanghai Company and Wanggang Township Economic Development Corporation. The Company has a 65% interest in the joint venture. During 1996, the Company capitalized its investment in the joint venture by contributing cash of \$150,000 and machinery and equipment with an estimated fair market value of \$1.9 million to the joint venture. The joint venture manufacturers grating panels and is located in Wangang Township, Pudong New Area, Shanghai in the People's Republic of China.

In 1999, the Company adopted a plan to exit the grating manufacturing business through the disposition of its grating businesses, including its Chinese joint venture investment. Upon adoption of the plan, the grating businesses and assets were classified and accounted for as discontinued operations, including a provision for estimated loss on disposal of the Chinese joint venture of \$2.0 million, and ceased consolidating the joint

venture as control of its daily operations was given to our joint venture partners and our then expatriate management was removed.

In the four years since adopting this plan, the Company has made several unsuccessful attempts to dispose of its investment in the joint venture and now perceives that a presence in China is consistent with its future plans for certain of its continuing operations. As a result and in connection with our Chinese partners' expressed desire to return control of the business to the Company for various reasons, the Company has decided to retain its investment in the Chinese joint venture and returned it to continuing operations effective at the beginning of 2004.

The Consolidated Statement of Operations for 2004 includes the sales of this grating subsidiary for the entire 2004 year along with the Company's ownership share of the profits for the same period. The Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2004 reflects the change in assets and liabilities from the beginning of the 2004 year. Since such assets and liabilities turnover more than once a year, the balance sheet at December 31, 2004 includes the existing assets and liabilities of this subsidiary, excluding those assets that were previously written down in 1999. The minority interest in this subsidiary was calculated for the 35% ownership and the remaining amount was treated as additional capital of the Company.

#### Going Concern

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2004, the Company has a deficiency in working capital of \$13.3 million, a loss from continuing operations of \$3.5 million before gain on debt extinguishment, cash

- F-9 -

used in operating activities of \$3.3 million and a deficiency in assets of \$25.6 million. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company successfully refinanced our bank debt in 2003, extinguished a significant portion of our obligations under the senior notes in 2003 and 2004 and removed all previously existing defaults on debt. These steps were taken to improve liquidity and defer the principal maturities on a significant portion of our debt. The Company is investigating other recapitalization scenarios in an effort to provide additional liquidity and extinguishments or deferrals of debt obligations. Although the Company believes that it can accomplish these plans, no assurances exist that it will. Failure to accomplish these plans could have an adverse impact on the Company's liquidity, financial position and future operations.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Reunion Industries and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and disclosures of contingencies during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from management's estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of demand deposit accounts and other cash equivalents with original maturities of 3 months or less.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are net of \$202,000 and \$337,000 in allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Credit is extended after a credit review by management that is based on a customer's ability to perform its obligations. Such reviews are regularly updated. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based upon agings of customer balances and specific account reviews by management. The Company has no concentration of credit risks and generally does not require collateral or other security from its customers.

Inventories and Reserves for Obsolescence

At December 31, 2004, inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, at costs that approximate the first-in, first-out method of inventory valuation. During the third quarter of 2003, for those locations of the Company that had been using the last-in, first-out method of inventory valuation, the Company changed the method of valuing inventory to the first-in, first-out method. Work-in-process and finished goods include material costs, labor costs and manufacturing overhead. Reserves for excess, slow-moving and obsolete inventories are based on reviews of inventory usage reports for items more than one year old.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost, including costs as determined by the allocation of the purchase price in business acquisitions accounted for using the purchase method, and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method for financial statement purposes.

- F-10 -

Estimated useful lives in years for depreciation are as follows: 25 to 40 for buildings and improvements; 7 to 12 for machinery and equipment; 5 to 8 for computer systems; 7 to 10 for furniture and fixtures. Additions, betterments and replacements are capitalized, while expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to operations when incurred. As units of property are sold or retired, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in income.

#### Goodwill

The Company has recorded goodwill totaling \$11.0 million at December 31, 2004, of which \$9.5 million relates to our pressure vessels and \$1.5 million relates to our cylinders segment. Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and ceased amortizing goodwill. The Company completed internal impairment tests of goodwill as of January 1, 2002 and

engaged an independent valuation consultant to confirm our conclusions. The Company completed additional impairment tests of goodwill as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. The Company concluded that goodwill is not impaired.

Long-Lived Assets and Impairment

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable, and recognizes an impairment loss when the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset. Long-lived assets held for sale, other than assets to be disposed of in connection with disposal of a discontinued business segment, are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

#### Revenue Recognition

Sales are recorded when shipped and title and risks of ownership transfer to the buyer. Shipping and handling fees charged to customers are recorded as revenues and the related costs are recorded as cost of sales.

#### Environmental Policies

Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations are either expensed or capitalized depending on the nature of the expenditure. Expenditures relating to conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to current or future revenue generation are expensed. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remediation actions are probable, and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

#### Income Taxes

The Company provides deferred income taxes for all temporary differences between financial and income tax reporting using the liability method. Deferred taxes are determined based on the estimated future tax effect of differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities given the provisions of enacted tax laws. A valuation allowance is recorded for net deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. The Company has significant net operating loss and investment tax credit carryforwards for tax purposes, portions of which may expire unutilized.

#### Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during this period. Potential common shares include shares issuable upon exercise of the Company's stock options and issuable upon exercise of warrants.

- F-11 -

Potential common shares relating to warrants and options to purchase common stock aggregating approximately 2,533,000 and 375,000 are included in the weighted average number of shares for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. There were no potential common shares in 2002.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2004 and May 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position Nos.

106-1 and 106-2, respectively, regarding accounting and disclosure requirements related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Act). Recognition of the Act was permitted to be deferred under FASB Staff Position No. FAS 106-1, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003." Under the provisions of the Act, companies that provide prescription drug coverage to retirees over age 65 will be entitled to a government subsidy beginning in 2006 if the benefits provided under the company plan are at least actuarially equivalent to those that will otherwise be offered by the government. The FASB issued final accounting quidance in May 2004 with FASB Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003", which requires that the effect of the Act to be reflected in third quarter 2004 financial statements. The effect of such Act has not had, and is not expected to have, any material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

On December 17, 2003, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition" (SAB 104), which supersedes Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" (SAB 101). SAB 104 rescinds accounting guidance contained in SAB 101 related to multiple element revenue arrangements, superseded as a result of the issuance of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21 (EITF 00-21), "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Additionally, SAB 104 rescinds the SEC's Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements Frequently Asked Questions and Answers (the FAQ) issued with SAB 101 that had been codified in SEC topic 13, "Revenue Recognition". While the wording of SAB 104 has changed to reflect the issuance of EITF 00-21, the revenue recognition principles of SAB 101 remain largely unchanged by the issuance of SAB 104. The adoption of SAB 104 has not had, and is not expected to have, a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs." This statement requires the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities and clarifies that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials should be recognized as period expense. The Statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company is evaluating the impact of this Statement but does not expect it to have a significant effect on financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004." The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 introduces a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a U.S. taxpayer, provided certain criteria are met. FAS 109-2 provides accounting and disclosure guidance for the repatriation provision, and was effective immediately upon issuance. This accounting pronouncement had no impact on Reunion as the Company did not repatriate earnings in 2004 for U.S. tax purposes.

- F-12 -

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, "Share Based Payment." SFAS 123R is a revision to SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and amends SFAS 95, "Statement of Cash Flows." This

statement requires a public entity to expense the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments. This statement also provides guidance on valuing and expensing these awards, as well as disclosure requirements of these equity arrangements. This statement is effective for the first interim reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. SFAS 123R permits public companies to choose between the following two adoption methods:

1. A "modified prospective" method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS 123R that remain unvested on the effective date, or 2. A "modified retrospective" method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption

Reunion currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using APB 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, recognizes no compensation expense for employee stock options. The impact of the adoption of SFAS 123R on Reunion cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. There would have been no material impact on reported results of operations and earnings per share had the Company applied the fair value provisions of SFAS 123 to share-based payments.

The adoption of SFAS 123R's fair value method may have an impact, possibly material, on Reunion's future results of operations but no material impact on overall financial position. SFAS 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense, if any, to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement may reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in the consolidated statement of cash flows of periods after adoption. Due to timing of the release of SFAS 123R and the choice between the two adoption methods, the Company is still analyzing the ultimate impact that this new pronouncement may have on its results of operations.

On March 29, 2005, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "Share-Based Payment" (SAB 107). Although not altering any conclusions reached in SFAS 123R, SAB 107 provides the views of the Staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and, among other things, provide the Staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. Reunion intends to follow the interpretative guidance on share-based payment set forth in SAB 107 during the Company's adoption of SFAS 123R.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts may have been made to conform to 2004 classifications.

- F-13 -

#### NOTE 2: RECENT EVENTS

#### Annual Meeting

At the Company's Annual Meeting held on December 15, 2004, the Company's stockholders adopted and approved (1) an amendment to the Company's

Certificate of Incorporation to increase by 10 million (to 30 million) the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock and to eliminate the Company's preferred shares and (2) the Company's 2004 Stock Option Plan, which provides for up to 1 million shares of the Company's common stock to be available for future option grants to key employees, directors and consultants of the Company.

Congress Financial Corporation Revolving and Term Loan Credit Facility

In May 2004, the Company and Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress Financial") entered an amendment to their loan and security agreement (the "Congress Loan Agreement"), pursuant to which Congress Financial provided an additional \$3.0 million loan (the "Congress Supplemental Loan") to the Company within the limit of the existing \$25 million credit facility (the "Congress Loan Facility"), which did not increase. Proceeds from this loan were used to pay trade payables and for other corporate purposes. Funds for the Supplemental Loan were provided to Congress Financial by two private capital funds (the "Junior Participants") through their purchase of junior participation interests in the Congress Financial Loan Facility in the amount of \$1.5 million each. In partial consideration of such investment, the Company issued to each of the Junior Participants a warrant to purchase 375,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an initial exercise price of \$0.01 per share, together with registration rights. The warrants were issued in January 2005 after the Company's Certificate of Incorporation was amended, in December 2004 with stockholder approval, to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock of the Company by 10 million.

Interest on the Congress Supplemental Loan is payable monthly at a fixed rate of 15% per annum. Like the rest of the Congress Loan Facility, the Congress Supplemental Loan is collateralized by a security interest and lien on substantially all of the Company's assets.

The Congress Supplemental Loan is subject to the same financial covenants and other covenants under the Congress Loan Agreement as the rest of the Congress Loan Facility, including the minimum amount of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

Through August 2004, the Company achieved the minimum monthly EBITDA required under the Congress Loan Agreement. However, the Company was unable to achieve the required minimum monthly EBITDA of \$650,000 for September 2004, having generated only \$604,000, as calculated under the terms of the Congress Loan Agreement. In November 2004, Congress Financial and the Company entered into another amendment to the Congress Loan Agreement that waived the minimum EBITDA covenant for September 2004, eliminated the minimum EBITDA covenant for October 2004 and significantly reduced the monthly minimum EBITDA covenant going forward. The Company was in compliance with all other financial, affirmative and negative covenants in September 2004, including the required minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.77:1 for the third quarter of 2004, having achieved a third quarter 2004 ratio of 1.17:1. However, as part of this amendment, the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio was eliminated in all future quarters.

- F-14 -

Under the above described November 2004 amendment to the Congress Loan Agreement, the Company was required to maintain new minimum monthly amounts of EBITDA of \$280,000 in November 2004, \$290,000 in December 2004, \$350,000 in January 2005, \$280,000 in February 2005 and \$300,000 per month thereafter. In

January 2005, the Company failed to meet the minimum monthly amount, when it had an EBITDA loss for the month of \$39,000. Congress Financial has waived this EBITDA shortfall, and the Company is currently not in default under the Congress Loan Agreement.

See also NOTE 7 OF NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, below, for additional information about the Company's financing arrangements with Congress Financial.

#### 13% Senior Notes

In November, 2003 the Company made a Consent Solicitation to the holders of its 13% Senior Notes requesting that, among other things, the holders consent to (1) a waiver of all then-existing defaults under the Indenture governing such notes, (2) cancellation of 12% of the principal amount of such notes, (3) the extension of the maturity of such notes to December, 2006 and (4) changing the schedule for interest payments under such notes to quarterly, beginning January, 2005. In the fourth quarter of 2003, the holders of \$23,250,750 (or 93.55%) of the \$24,855,000 principal amount of such notes provided the requested consents. During the period from January 1, 2004 through April 28, 2004, in response to a recirculation of the Consent Solicitation, the holders of an additional \$434,300 principal amount of such notes provided the requested consents. As a result of the consents received in 2004, pursuant to the recirculation of the Consent Solicitation, \$52,000 of the principal and \$156,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on the 13% Senior Notes were cancelled or extinguished in April, 2004 (in addition to the \$2.8 million of principal on and \$8.2 million of accrued and unpaid interest on such notes that were cancelled or extinguished in December, 2003). No portion of the principal of or interest on any 13% Senior Notes held by non-consenting holders has been cancelled. Each consenting noteholder received (1) a consent fee of \$27.625 for each \$1,000 principal amount of such notes owned by such holder, and (2) a warrant to purchase 76.18 shares of Reunion's common stock at a price of \$0.01 per share for each \$1,000 principal amount of such notes owned by such holder. In connection with the Consent Solicitation, the Company granted the holders of the 13% Senior Notes a security interest in substantially all of its assets.

On February 3, 2005, the Company announced that it was unable to make a \$2,928,000 interest payment by February 2, 2005 to the holders of the 13% Senior Notes. Holders of more than 80% of the principal amount of such Senior Notes agreed to enter into a Standstill Agreement with the Company, pursuant to which such holders agreed that they would not exercise and will (to the extent within their control) cause the Trustee not to exercise any remedies provided for in the Indenture under which the Senior Notes were issued, or any other agreements related to such notes, with respect to this payment default or with respect to a potential event of default if the Company fails to make the next scheduled interest payment due April 1, 2005. In the Standstill Agreement, such holders agreed to defer the \$2,928,000 of interest not paid, plus any interest that is not paid on the next regularly scheduled due date of April 1, 2005, to December 2006. On April 1, 2005 the Company was unable to make this scheduled interest payment.

#### SFSC Settlement

Effective May 10, 2004, the Company's indebtedness to and from Stanwich Financial Services Corp. ("SFSC") was settled on the following terms: (1) pursuant to the judgment referred to below, the Company is obligated to pay SFSC the sum of \$4.29 million on or before December 31, 2006, plus interest on

such sum at the rate of 10% per annum from such effective date, in satisfaction of the Company's indebtedness to SFSC, (2) SFSC's indebtedness to the Company in the amount of \$310,000 plus interest was cancelled and (3) provided it performs its obligations under the settlement and the judgment, the Company will be released from the claims of SFSC's creditors. As contemplated by the settlement agreement, a judgment has been entered against the Company for the settlement amount by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut, in SFSC's pending Chapter 11 Bankruptcy proceeding. The settlement amount does not constitute a new liability of the Company, as it relates to indebtedness and a note receivable that had previously been recorded on the Company's balance sheet. The settlement resulted in a \$3.3 million gain on debt extinguishment calculated as follows (in thousands):

Description	Payable and		Interest Payable and Fee (Receivable) Accruals		е	Totals		
SFSC note receivable	\$ (32	LO)	. ,		\$	, ,		
Reserve for interest receivable		_		100		100		
SFSC collateral fee		_		690		690		
SFSC credit support fee		_		294		294		
SFSC note payable	2,99	99	1,	693		4,692		
SFSC note payable	50	00		317		817		
SFSC note payable	10	00		57		157		
SFSC note payable by assignment	1,01	L7		320		1,337		
Totals	\$ 4,30	06	\$ 3,	316		7,622		
	======	==		===				
Less: Note payable under settleme	ent agreemen	nt			(	4,290)		
Gain on SFSC litigation settlement					\$	3,332		

#### NOTE 3: INVENTORIES

Inventories of continuing operations are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
	2004		2003	
Raw material	\$ 3 <b>,</b> 760	\$	2 <b>,</b> 674	
Work-in-process	2,775		1,959	
Finished goods	2,765		2,120	
Inventories	\$ 9,300	\$	6 <b>,</b> 753	
	=======	==		

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on the first-in, first-out method. In the third quarter of 2003, for those locations of the company that had been using the last-in, first-out method of inventory valuation, the Company changed the method of valuing inventory to the first-in, first-out method. The effect on the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 was inconsequential. The above amounts are net of inventory reserves of \$682 and \$553 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

#### NOTE 4: PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment (PP&E) of continuing operations is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
	2004	2003		
Land	\$ 686	\$ 686		
Buildings and improvements	7,752	7,403		
Machinery and equipment	15 <b>,</b> 678	15 <b>,</b> 876		
Computer systems	2,580	2,662		
Furniture and fixtures	566	477		
Construction-in-progress	54	173		
Property, plant and equipment	27,316	27 <b>,</b> 277		
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(17,942)	(16,973)		
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 9,374	\$ 10,304		
	=======	=======		

#### NOTE 5: OTHER ASSETS

Other assets are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	At Decembe	er 31,
	2004	2003
Deferred financing costs (net of accumulated		
amortization of \$864 and \$0)	\$ 1,728	\$ 2,161
Other	2,382	2,277
Total other assets	\$ 4,110	\$ 4,438
	=======	=======

The Company refinanced its bank debt in 2003 and solicited the consent of its senior noteholders to certain provisions and waivers of defaults under the indenture governing the 13% senior notes. The Company has paid or accrued \$2.6 million of financing related costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over a three-year period using a straight line amortization method which approximates the effective interest method of amortization.

The remainder of other assets is composed primarily of the cash surrender value of life insurance policies of approximately \$2.3 million on key employees of the Company. Portions of cash surrender values of these policies totaling \$1.75 million secure borrowings under the Congress revolving credit loan.

#### NOTE 6: OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

		At December	31,	
	200	)4	:	2003
Accrued liabilities - legacy costs	\$	656	\$	1,328

	=======	=======
Total other current liabilities	\$ 4,266	\$ 5,149
Other	2,347	2,593
Reserve for restructuring	42	474
Accrued liabilities - Shanghai China	333	_
Termond linkilities Chambhai China	222	
Accrued health self-insurance liability	888	754

- F-17 -

Accrued liabilities - legacy costs primarily represents the remaining estimated liabilities of our discontinued bridges and cranes operations and includes \$0.4 million of trade payables, \$0.2 million of future lease commitments and \$0.1 million of employee-related legacy costs such as deferred and workers compensation costs. At December 31, 2003, this accrual also includes estimated liabilities and a post-closing working capital adjustment related to the sale of the material handling systems operations. The decrease from December 31, 2003 represents payments and settlements of all such liabilities. Accrued liabilities - Shanghai China represent that subsidiary's accrued liabilities in China. Such Subsidiary was not consolidated in 2003. (See NOTE 1.)

NOTE 7: LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
	2004	2003		
Congress revolving credit facility	\$ 11,650	\$ 9,214		
Junior participation to revolving credit				
facility (net of warrant value of \$165)	2,835	-		
Congress term loan facility	2,539	3 <b>,</b> 175		
Note payable due December 1, 2006	4,200	4,200		
Note payable due December 5, 2006 (net of				
warrant value of \$71 and 83, respectively)	3 <b>,</b> 429	3,417		
13% senior notes (net of warrant value of				
\$207 and 315, respectively)	21,806	21,750		
Notes payable	8,451	4,161		
Notes payable ? related parties	500	5,115		
Capital leases and other	9	56 		
Total long-term debt	55,419	51,088		
Classified as current	(19,791)	(19,173)		
Long-term debt	\$ 35,628	\$ 31,915		
	======	======		

Pursuant to EITF 95-22, "Balance Sheet Classification of Borrowings Outstanding under Revolving Credit Agreements that Include both a Subjective Acceleration Clause and a Lock-Box Arrangement are Deemed to be Current", the Company has classified its Congress revolving credit obligations, including the junior participation, within current liabilities as the Congress agreement contains language that implies that Congress has a subjective acceleration clause that it could invoke at any time to accelerate the debt and includes a required lock-box arrangement.

Congress Financial Corporation Revolving and Term Loan Credit Facility

On December 3, 2003, the Company entered into a new revolving and term loan bank credit facility (the "Congress Loan Facility") with Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress Financial" or "Congress"), which has since been merged into Wachovia Bank, National Association. The Congress Loan Facility consists of revolving credit, term loan and letter of credit accommodations up to a maximum credit of \$25.0 million. The term loan amortizes to the revolving credit availability at a rate of \$53,000 per month beginning on January 31, 2004 until fully paid. This facility has a three-year initial term and automatically renews for additional one-year increments unless either party gives the other notice of termination at least 90 days prior to the beginning of the next one-year term.

- F-18 -

Interest on loans outstanding under the Congress facility (other than the Congress Supplemental Loan described in the next paragraph) is payable monthly at a variable rate tied to the prime rate publicly announced from time to time by Wachovia Bank, National Association, plus 2.50%. The facility also provides for a default interest rate of the prime rate plus 4.50%.

The Congress Loan Facility is collateralized by a continuing security interest and lien on substantially all of the Company's assets.

In May 2004, the Company and Congress Financial entered an amendment to the loan and security agreement whereby Congress provided an additional \$3.0 million of supplemental revolving credit financing to the Company. Proceeds from the loan were used to pay trade payables and for other corporate purposes. Funds for the additional \$3.0 million of supplemental financing were provided to Congress by two private capital funds as the result of the execution of a junior participation loan transaction between Congress and the two funds. Each fund participated in the supplemental financing at a ratio of 50% each. In addition to their junior participation loan having the same level of collateral security as Congress as described below, each private equity fund received, subject to shareholder approval, a warrant to purchase 375,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an initial exercise price of \$0.01 per share, together with registration rights. The warrants were issued in January 2005, following the approval by the Company's stockholders to amend the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock of the Company by 10,000,000. The value of the warrants was estimated to be \$165,000 at December 31, 2004 using the Black-Scholes pricing model. This amount was recorded as an original issue discount with an increase to paid-in capital and will be amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method of amortization.

Interest on the Congress supplemental revolving credit financing is payable monthly at a fixed rate of 15% per annum. The Congress supplemental revolving credit financing is collateralized by a continuing security interest and lien on substantially all of the current and after-acquired assets of Reunion including, without limitation, all accounts receivable, inventory, real property, equipment, chattel paper, documents, instruments, deposit accounts, contract rights and general intangibles.

The Congress supplemental revolving credit financing is subject to the same financial covenants and other covenants as the revolving credit and term loan facilities, including the minimum amount of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

Through August 2004, the Company achieved the minimum monthly EBITDA required for compliance with the Congress covenant. However, the Company was unable to achieve the required minimum monthly covenant of \$650,000 for September 2004, having generated only \$604,000 as calculated under the terms of the facility. In November 2004, Congress and the Company entered into an amendment of the revolving and term loan credit facility wherein Congress waived the minimum EBITDA covenant for September 2004, eliminated the minimum EBITDA covenant for October 2004 and significantly reduced the monthly minimum EBITDA covenant going forward. The Company was in compliance with all other financial, affirmative and negative covenants in September 2004, including the required minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.77:1 for the third quarter of 2004, having achieved a third quarter 2004 ratio of 1.17:1. However, as part of the amendment to the revolving and term loan credit facility, Congress eliminated the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio in all future quarters.

- F-19 -

Under the above noted November 2004 amendment, the Company was required to maintain new minimum monthly amounts of EBITDA of \$280,000 in November 2004, \$290,000 in December 2004, \$350,000 in January 2005, \$280,000 in February 2005 and \$300,000 per month thereafter. In January 2005, the Company failed to meet the minimum monthly amount when it had an EBITDA loss for the month of \$39,000. Congress has waived this failure and the Company is currently not in default of its Agreement.

#### Private Capital Fund Financing

On August 11, 2003, Reunion borrowed \$2.5 million from a private capital fund by executing a senior subordinated secured promissory note payable in the amount of and with cash proceeds of \$2.5 million. The note bears interest at 10% per annum, such interest being payable on the last day of every month in arrears commencing on August 31, 2003. The principal amount of \$2.5 million was due on August 11, 2005 with voluntary prepayments permitted but was extended to December 5, 2006 as discussed below. The note is secured by a majority of the assets of Reunion, provided that such security interest is subordinate to the security interest of Congress. In addition to its subordinated security interest, the fund received a warrant to purchase 625,000 shares of the Company's common stock and registration rights with respect to the warrant and shares issuable thereto at a price of \$0.01 per share. The value of the warrants was estimated to be \$90,000 at the time of their issuance using the Black-Scholes pricing model. This amount was recorded as an original issue discount with an increase to paid-in capital and is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method of amortization. The cash proceeds were used for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the Congress refinancing on December 3, 2003, this same private capital fund amended and restated its senior subordinated secured promissory note to provide an additional \$1.0 million of financing to the Company at the same interest rate but changed the maturity date from August 11, 2005 to December 5, 2006. Additional warrants to purchase 325,000 were eventually issued to the fund in January 2005, following the approval by the Company's stockholders to amend the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock of the Company by 10,000,000. The value of these warrants was estimated to be \$18,000 at the time of their issuance using the Black-Scholes pricing model. This amount was recorded as an original issue discount with an increase to paid-in capital and is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method of

amortization.

In connection with the Congress refinancing on December 3, 2003, a separate private capital fund provided the Company with a \$4.2 million loan evidenced by a senior subordinated secured promissory note payable with net cash proceeds of \$4.0 million after fees and expenses. The note bears interest at a rate of the greater of 12%, or the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal plus 8%. Interest is payable on the first day of every month. The principal amount of \$4.2 million is due on December 1, 2006. Prepayments in whole or in part are permitted without penalty commencing in May 2004. The note is secured by mortgage liens and/or deed of trust security interests totaling \$4.2 million encumbering all premises owned by the Company. In addition to its security interest, the fund received a warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock and registration rights with respect to the warrant and shares issuable thereto at a price of \$0.50 per share. The warrants were estimated to have no value at the time of their issuance using the Black-Scholes pricing model.

- F-20 -

#### 13% Senior Notes

The Company had a total of \$24.855 million of 13% senior notes outstanding. The senior notes required semi-annual interest payments every November 1st and May 1st and sinking fund payments of \$12.5 million on May 1, 2002 and \$12.355 million on May 1, 2003. Since November 1, 2001, the Company was unable to make the required semi-annual interest payments or the sinking fund payments due May 1, 2002 and 2003. As a result, an event of default, as defined in the indenture governing the 13% senior notes, had existed since December 1, 2001 as the Company was not able to make the November 1, 2001 semi-annual interest payment within the 30-day cure period provided for in the indenture.

In November 2003, the Company solicited the consent of our noteholders to certain provisions and waivers of the indenture governing the 13% senior notes in an effort to facilitate the refinancing. The significant provisions of the solicitation requested that the noteholders consent to permanently waive all then existing defaults under the indenture, cancel all accrued and unpaid interest, cancel 12% of the principal amount of senior notes and extend the maturity of the notes to December 2006. In order for the consent to be effective, the Company needed noteholders comprising at least 90% of the principal amount of outstanding senior notes to consent. As of December 1, 2003, the end of the consent period, \$23,250,700 of the \$24,855,000 principal amount of senior notes, or 93.55%, had consented. Accordingly, 12% of the \$23,250,700 principal amount of senior notes of noteholders that had consented to the various provisions and waivers, or \$2.8 million, was extinguished pursuant to the provisions of the consent.

In January 2004, the Company solicited our noteholders for the second time to consent to certain provisions and waivers of the indenture governing the 13% senior notes. In the first consent in November 2003, \$23,250,700 of the \$24,855,000 principal amount of senior notes, or 93.55%, had consented. The second consent solicitation period ended on April 28, 2004 at which time holders of an additional \$434,300 principal amount of senior notes had consented. The significant provisions of the solicitation requested that the noteholders consent to waive all then existing defaults under the indenture, cancel all accrued and unpaid interest, cancel 12% of the principal amount of senior notes and extend the maturity of the notes to December 2006. Accordingly, 12% of the \$434,300 principal amount of senior notes of

noteholders that had consented to the various provisions and waivers in the second solicitation, or \$52,000, was extinguished pursuant to the provisions of the consent.

In exchange for their consent, each consenting noteholder received a consent fee of \$27.625, warrants to purchase 76.18 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.01 per share for each \$1,000 of principal amount of notes owned and a junior priority lien on the Company's assets. In the refinancing that took place on December 3, 2003, the Company placed in escrow with the trustee of the senior notes, \$686,619 for payment of consent fees, of which \$642,301 has been or will be disbursed to consenting senior noteholders. Warrants to purchase the Company's common stock totaling 1,771,217 have been reserved for future issuance to consenting noteholders. The value of the warrants was estimated to be \$324,000 at the time of their issuance using the Black-Scholes pricing model. This amount was recorded as an original issue discount with an increase to paid-in capital and is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method of amortization. Effective with having obtained the consents of holders of at least 90% of the principal amount of outstanding senior notes, all previously existing defaults under the indenture for the 13% senior notes have been permanently waived.

- F-21 -

#### Notes Payable

Notes payable consists of a \$1,017,000 note payable assumed by Reunion in a previous year acquisition of a plastics operation and a \$3,144,000 15% note payable assumed by Reunion in connection with the acquisition by merger of NPS Acquisition Corp ("NPSAC") in January, 2001 (the "NAPTech Note").

To facilitate the Congress Financial refinancing, in November 2003, Reunion and the holder of the \$1,017,000 note payable entered into a replacement promissory note payable with an annual interest rate of the prime rate, as published by Bank One, National Association, plus 8% with a maturity date of December 8, 2004. The Company was unable to make this payment and the note remains currently due. The Company made no payments of interest on this note in 2004 and \$74,180 of interest payments during 2003.

The original principal balance of the NAPTech Note, which is unsecured, was \$4.9 million. This Note bears interest at 15% per annum. In 2001 the Company made \$1.2 million in principal payments and seven monthly interest payments under this Note from funds available under its revolving credit facility. In January 2003, the Company made a principal payment of \$500,000 with the proceeds of a subordinated loan from Charles E. Bradley, Sr., the Chairman of our Board of Directors. On December 31, 2004, the principal balance of the NAPTech Note was \$3.1 million and accrued and unpaid interest thereunder was \$1.7 million. The NAPTech Note is in default and is currently due and payable in full. The holder of the NAPTech Note has obtained a judgment against the Company, as described below in NOTE 14 OF NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS under the caption "NAPTech Suit."

Notes Payable - SFSC and Related Parties

Notes payable to related parties at December 31, 2003 includes notes payable to Stanwich Financial Services Corp. ("SFSC"), either directly or through assignment, totaling \$4.6 million with interest at 10% per annum on \$100,000, at 11% per annum on \$1,017,000, at 15% per annum on \$500,000 and at 18% per annum on \$2,998,000. As part of the settlement described above in NOTE 2 OF NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS under the caption "SFSC

Settlement," effective May 10, 2004, these notes were cancelled and replaced by a \$4.29 million judgment against the Company in favor of SFSC. This judgment, which is unsecured, bears interest at the rate of 10% per annum. The judgment is payable on or before December 31, 2006. The Company's indebtedness under the judgment is subordinated to its indebtedness to Congress Financial. The Company did not make any principal or interest payments on its indebtedness to SFSC in 2003 or 2004. The SFSC settlement resulted in a \$3.3 gain on debt extinguishment for the Company in 2004.

Notes payable to related parties at December 31, 2004 consists only of a December 31, 2002 note payable to Mr. Charles Bradley of \$500,000 with interest at 10% per annum, which note was issued to evidence a loan from him. Such note was due on October 31, 2004. The proceeds of this loan were used to partially pay the NAPTech Note. This note is subordinated to the Company's indebtedness to Congress Financial. No payments of principal or interest have been made on this note.

#### Aggregate Maturities

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt, excluding the Congress revolving credit facility borrowings at December 31, 2004, are as follows (in thousands):

\$41,213	\$ 5 <b>,</b> 307	\$34 <b>,</b> 639	\$	636	\$	631	\$	-	\$	-			
Total	2005	2006 2007 2008		2007 2008		2007		2007		2009		2009+	

- F-22 -

#### NOTE 8: STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation was amended by vote of the stockholders in 2005 to increase the authorized common stock from 20,000,000 shares to 30,000,000 shares and to eliminate the 10,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock of the Company. The common stock retained its par value \$.01 per share

No dividends have been declared or paid during the year with respect to the common stock of the Company. Cash dividends are limited by the availability of funds and by restrictions in the Company's debt agreements.

The following represents stockholders' equity (deficit) activity for each year in the 3-year period ended December 31, 2004 (in thousands):

		2004	2003	2002
Par value of common stock, January 1 Options exercise Contingent share issuance	\$	163 - -	\$ 163	\$ 156 7 –
Par value of common stock, December 31	 \$ ==	163 =====	\$ 163	\$ 163
Capital in excess of par value, January 1 Warrant issuances Options exercise Capitalization from China Joint Venture (NOTE 1)	\$	25,609 183 - 2,074	\$ 25,195 414 - -	\$ 25,064 - 131 -
Capital in excess of par value, December 31	\$	27 <b>,</b> 866	\$ 25 <b>,</b> 609	\$ 25,195

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, January 1 Additional pension liability in excess of unrecognized prior service cost		\$ (2,010) 31	
unrecognized prior service cost	/ /	21	( 0/4)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, December 31		\$ (1,979)	
Accumulated deficit, January 1 Net income (loss)	\$(51,548) (162)	\$ (54,188) 2,640	\$(41,329) (12,859)
Accumulated deficit, December 31	\$(51,710)	\$(51,548) ======	\$(54,188)
Total stockholders' equity   (deficit), January 1 Warrant issuances Options exercise Capitalization from China Joint Venture (NOTE 1) Other comprehensive income (loss) Net income (loss)	183 - 2,074 77		138 - ( 874)
Total stockholders' deficit, December 31		\$(27,755) ======	\$(30,840) ======

- F-23 -

In 2003 and 2004, the Company issued to certain of its lenders warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1.625 million shares of its common stock, in each case at a price of \$0.01 per share. The warrants and the transactions in connection with which they were issued are described above in NOTE 7 OF NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. The values of the warrants issued in 2003 and 2004 were estimated to be, respectively, \$183 and \$414 at the times of their issuance, in all cases using the Black-Scholes pricing model. These amounts were recorded as original issue discounts with an increase to paid-incapital and are being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method of amortization

The following represents common stock activity for the 3-year period ended December 31, 2004(in thousands of shares):

	Year En 2004	ded Decemb 2003	er 31, 2002
Outstanding common stock, January 1 Options exercise	16 <b>,</b> 278	16 <b>,</b> 278	15 <b>,</b> 591 687
Outstanding common stock, December 31	16 <b>,</b> 278	16 <b>,</b> 278	16 <b>,</b> 278

#### NOTE 9: STOCK OPTIONS

At December 31, 2004, the Company had four stock option plans. They are described below. The Company accounts for its plans using APB Opinion 25 and related interpretations. Stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to or above the then current market price, so no compensation expense has been recognized for the Company's stock option plans. At December 31, 2004, 1,161,100 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under these plans.

The Repricing

At its meeting on June 12, 2002, the Board directed the Company's management to take action to improve the value of outstanding stock options as an incentive to the Company's employees that then held stock options. At its meeting on September 26, 2002, the Company's management presented its plan to the Board to offer to holders of the Company's stock options the opportunity to reprice their stock options to an amount closer to recent trade amounts.

Elements of the repricing, if elected, included: (i) repricing of all options held to \$0.20 (twenty cents) per share, the closing price on the AMEX of the Company's common stock on June 11, 2002, the day before the Board directed management to take action, (ii) a no-exercise period through and including December 12, 2002, (iii) automatic vesting of all repriced options on December 13, 2002, and (iv) the opportunity to exercise all or any portion of the repriced options at \$0.20 per share through and including December 31, 2002. At the close of business on December 31, 2002, all repriced but unexercised options were forfeited.

At the time of the repricing offer on September 30, 2002, there were 911,450 outstanding stock options held by directors, executive management and other Company employees (including two former employees that retained their stock options). Certain non-director employees were offered the opportunity to offset amounts accrued for their prior years' bonuses against the exercise price of their options. Mr. Bradley offset amounts owed to him under the SPI Consulting Agreement against the \$25,000 exercise price of his options. Results of the repricing offer by group are as follows:

-F-24-

		Non-		
		Director	Other	
	Directors	Executive	Employees	Total
Options on September 30, 2002	495,000	158,000	258,450	911,450
Elected to reprice - cash purchase	(227,000)	(30,000)	(96,400)	(353,400)
Elected to reprice - accrual offset	(125,000)	(128,000)	(81,500)	(334,500)
Elected to reprice - forfeited	(99,000)	_	(80,550)	(179 <b>,</b> 550)
Options on December 31, 2002	44,000	_	_	44,000

One director holding 44,000 stock options did not reprice. Results of the repricing offer by plan are as follows:

	1998	1993	1992	
	Plan	Plan	Plan	Total
Options on September 30, 2002	675 <b>,</b> 617	196,833	39,000	911,450
Elected to reprice - cash purchase	(278,567)	(74,833)	_	(353,400)
Elected to reprice - accrual offset	(195,500)	(100,000)	(39,000)	(334,500)
Elected to reprice - forfeited	(157,550)	(22,000)	_	(179,550)
Options on December 31, 2002	44,000	_	_	44,000
		=======	=======	

1992 Option Plan

Effective July 1, 1992, the Board of Directors and stockholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1992 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (the "1992 Option Plan"). The 1992 Option Plan, as amended, authorized the grant of options and sale of 250,000 shares of common stock of the Company to key employees, directors and consultants. In 1992, the Company granted a total of 211,000 options to its then four members of the Board of Directors and a consultant to the Board. By the end of1995, these options had been exercised. The Company granted the remaining 39,000 options to Mr. Bradley in March and June of 2000. Mr. Bradley exercised these options in the previously discussed repricing. No options remain available for issuance under the 1992 Option Plan.

#### 1993 Option Plan

Effective September 28, 1993, the Board of Directors and stockholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "1993 Option Plan") for the granting of options or awards covering up to 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to officers and other key employees. Under the terms of the 1993 Option Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is authorized to grant (i) stock options (nonqualified or incentive), (ii) restricted stock awards, (iii) phantom stock options, (iv) stock bonuses and (v) cash bonuses in connection with grants of restricted stock or stock bonuses. At December 31, 2004, 50,500 options were available for issuance under the 1993 Option Plan.

#### 1998 Option Plan

On August 4, 1998, the Company's stockholders ratified the adoption by the Board of Directors, on June 1, 1998, of the 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Option Plan). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is authorized to grant incentive options and nonqualified options covering up to 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock to officers and other key employees. At the Company's annual meeting of stockholders in May 2001, the Company's stockholders approved a proposal to reserve an additional 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock for issuance under the 1998 Stock Option Plan.

-F-25-

At its meeting on June 26, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of a total of 170,000 options to two directors and one non-director executive of the Company. The per-share closing price on the AMEX of the Company's common stock on June 25, 2003 was \$0.25. Kimball Bradley received 100,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.275 per share of Company common stock. These options have a 5-year term and vest in one-third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of their issuance. Mr. David E. Jackson, director, received 20,000 options and a non-director executive received 50,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share. These options have a 10-year term and vest in one-third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of their issuance. By written unanimous consent in lieu of a meeting dated December 1, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of a total of 400,000 options to two directors of the Company. The per-share closing price on the AMEX of the Company's common stock on November 30, 2003 was \$0.32. Kimball Bradley received 300,000 options and Mr. Bradley received 100,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.352 per share of Company common stock. These options have a 5-year term and vest in one-third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of their issuance. At December 31, 2004, 110,600 options were available for issuance under the 1998 Option Plan.

2004 Option Plan

Effective December 15, 2004, the Board of Directors and stockholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 2004 Stock Option Plan (the "2004 Option Plan"). The 2004 Option Plan authorizes the grant of options and sale of 1,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company to key employees, directors and consultants. No options have been granted under the 2004 Option Plan.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options and warrants as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and changes during the year is presented below:

	20	04	2003		2002	2
Fixed Options	Shares	Exercise		Exercise		Exercise
Outstanding at						
beginning of year Granted	614,000		44,000 570,000			\$2.57 -
Exercised	_	_	_	_	(687,900)	0.20
Forfeited/expired	-	_	_	_	(357,100)	0.20
Outstanding at end of year	614,000		614,000		44,000	2.94
Options exercisable at end of year		0.82		3.06		3.21
Weighted-average Exercise price equa to market price		of option	s granted:			
on grant date			\$ 0.25		\$ -	
Posteria anisa see	=======		=======			
Exercise price greathan market price						
on grant date			\$ 0.34		\$ -	
	=======		=======		=======	

<sup>-</sup> F-26 -

The following table summarizes information about stock options and warrants outstanding at December 31, 2004:

Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life	Number Outstanding at 12/31/04	Number Exercisable at 12/31/04
\$0.2500	8.50 years	70,000	23,334
\$0.2750	3.50 years	100,000	33,333
\$0.3520	4.00 years	400,000	133,333
\$1.0000	5.50 years	9,000	9,000
\$1.4500	6.50 years	10,000	10,000
\$3.0000	5.25 years	10,000	10,000
\$5.0625	3.00 years	15,000	15,000

614,000 234,000

Had the Company used FASB Statement 123 to account for our stock options, our operating results would not have been materially different from our reported results.

#### NOTE 10: EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company sponsors defined benefit plans and other postretirement benefit plans for certain employees in Metals and Plastics and employees of its Corporate Executive Payroll (as defined in the plan document).

#### Metals

Metals Pension Plan: Reunion sponsors a defined benefit pension plan which covers substantially all of its employees at its McKeesport, PA pressure vessel manufacturing location. This plan is the defined benefit plan from former Chatwins Group. Benefits under this plan are based solely on continuous years of service and are not affected by changes in compensation rates.

The Company's funding policy with respect to this plan provides that payments to the pension trusts be at least equal to the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Assets of the plan are invested principally in fixed income and equity securities.

Metals and Corporate Executive Payroll Other Postretirement Plan: Reunion maintains various postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans for certain active and retired employees. Covered active and retired employees include those of the Company's McKeesport, PA pressure vessel manufacturing location, pursuant to a November 1997 plan amendment which became effective January 1, 1998 and employees of Reunion's Corporate Executive Payroll.

Eligible active and retired employees of the one Metals operating division for which postretirement benefits are provided include both union and nonunion employees. Healthcare benefits for both union and nonunion retirees are provided for the most part through comprehensive major medical and other health benefit provisions subject to various retiree cost-sharing features. The majority of employees eligible for healthcare benefits upon retirement are former employees of USX Corporation (USX). A significant portion of postretirement healthcare earned by such employees prior to 1987 is the responsibility of USX. Life insurance benefits provided to eligible union retirees are based on fixed amounts negotiated in labor agreements. Life insurance benefits provided to eligible nonunion retirees are based on the employee's annual base salary at retirement subject to a maximum benefit.

- F-27 -

Postretirement healthcare benefits for eligible active and retired employees of Reunion's Corporate Executive Payroll are paid for by Reunion and subject to various retiree cost-sharing features. Postretirement healthcare benefits for Corporate Executive Payroll employees terminate when the retiree becomes Medicare eligible. Postretirement life insurance benefits for eligible active and retired employees of Reunion's Corporate Payroll are paid for by Reunion and are based on the employee's annual base salary at

retirement. Except for certain life insurance benefits paid from reserves held by insurance carriers, benefits have not been funded. Contributions to the plans by Reunion equal benefits paid.

The following table sets forth the changes in the benefit obligations and plan assets for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the funded status at December 31, 2004 and 2003 of the Metals pension plan and the Metals and Corporate Executive Payroll other postretirement benefits plans (in thousands). The accrued benefit cost is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet:

	Pension			Other Postretirement				
		2004		2003		2004		2003
Change in benefit obligation Benefit obligation, beginning Service cost Interest cost Actuarial loss (gain) Benefits paid	\$	139 214		3,192 140 207 177 (138)		1,965 93 116 (25) (89)		1,714 75 104 397 (325)
Benefit obligation, ending			\$	3 <b>,</b> 578	\$	2,060		
Change in plan assets: Fair value, beginning Actual return Company contribution Benefits paid	\$	2,201 248 397	\$	1,959 168 212 (138)	\$	 - 89 (89)	\$	325 (325)
Fair value, ending	\$		\$	2,201	\$	-	\$	-
Funded status: Net obligation, ending Unrecognized costs: Additional minimum pension	\$	1,327	\$	1,377	\$	2,060	\$	1,965
Liability Prior service costs				(179)		- 4		- 5
Net loss Transition obligation		(1,200)		(1,065)		(456) (343)		
Accrued benefit costs		1,327		1,377 ======	•	1,265		1,024

In addition to accrued benefit costs, amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet include an intangible asset of \$159,000 and \$179,000 and other comprehensive losses of \$1,139,000 and \$1,004,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefits costs for the following years for Metals pension and Metals and Corporate Executive Payroll other postretirement benefits plans ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

- F-28 -

	Pension		Other	Postreti	rement
2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002

Benefits earned during year	\$	139	\$	140	\$	141	\$	93	\$	75	\$	61
Interest cost		214		207		178		116		104		96
Early retirement incentive		_		_		_		_		_		_
Amortization of:												
Prior service cost		21		20		15		(1)		(1)		_
Unrecognized net loss (gain)		54		46		11		75		24		(10)
Unrecognized net obligation		_		_		12		48		49		50
Expected return on plan asset	S	(195)		(183)		(184)		_		_		_
Defined benefit pension and												
total other postretirement												
benefits costs	\$	233	\$	230	\$	173	\$	331	\$	251	\$	197
	==		==		==		==	====	==	====	==	

Assumptions used to develop Metals pension cost and projected benefit obligation for the defined benefit pension plan for the following years ended December 31 are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.25%	6.25%	6.75%
	=======	======	======
Rate of compensation increase	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	=======	======	=======
Expected rate of return on plan assets	7.75%	7.75%	7.75%
		=======	======

The details of Metal's pension plan assets by asset category are as follows:

	200	)4				
	Assets	Percent	As	sets	Percent	
Equity securities		2,020	75%	\$	1,298	59%
Corporate bonds	406	15		468	21	
Government obligations	164	6		415	19	
Cash and other	99	4		20	1	
Total	\$ 2,689	100%	 \$	2,201	100%	

The Company's investment strategy for pension funds is to maintain at least 50% of such funds in equity securities with the balance invested primarily in bonds and other fixed income securities. The Company believes that the returns on common stock over the long term will be higher than returns from fixed income securities. Both equity and fixed income investments are made across a wide range of industries and companies in order to provide protection against the impact of volatility in any single industry or company. The Company is currently using an expected rate of return of 7.75% on our assets and a 2.5% anticipated inflation rate which produces an expected real rate of return of 5.25%. This rate was chosen by taking into account our intended asset mix and the historical returns of the plan since its inception. The Company expects to contribute \$384,000 to the plan in 2005.

-F-29-

Expected benefit payments under the Metal's pension plan, which reflect

expected future service, as appropriate, are as follows for the years indicated (in thousands):

	Benefits
2005	\$ 246
2006	253
2007	247
2008	278
2009	347
2010-2014	1,719

Assumptions used to develop the net periodic postretirement benefit costs and accumulated postretirement benefit obligations for the following years ended December 31 are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.25%	6.25%	6.75%
	=======	======	======
Healthcare cost trend rate (USX eligible)	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%
	======		
Healthcare cost trend rate (All others)	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%
	=======	======	======
Rate of compensation increase	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

USX administers the postretirement healthcare plans for the eligible employees of the McKeesport, PA location previously owned by USX and bills Reunion for its share of the postretirement costs related to Reunion's retirees covered by the plans. During 1997, Chatwins Group's actuary reviewed several years of rates charged to Chatwins Group by USX for retiree medical coverage and, as a result, elected to reduce the applicable healthcare cost trend rate for all years subsequent to 1997 to 3%. Such rate was reduced to 2% in 2003 after further review. For all others, the 7.0% is a weightedaverage based on an assumed rate of increase in per capita healthcare costs of 10% for 2004 with a gradual decrease to 5% and remaining at that level thereafter. A one percentage point increase in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would increase the benefit obligation at December 31, 2004 by approximately \$272,000 and increase the total of the service and interest cost components by approximately \$39,000. Conversely, a one percentage point decrease in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would result in approximate decreases in each by \$219,000 and \$32,000, respectively.

#### Plastics

Plastics Pension Plan: Reunion sponsors a defined benefit pension plan which covered substantially all of its employees at its New York facilities. Effective June 15, 1999, benefits were frozen.

Plastics Other Postretirement Plan: Reunion maintains a postretirement healthcare plan for certain salaried and union retirees and their dependents. Covered employees are eligible to participate in the medical benefit plan if, at the time they retire, they have at least 10 years of service and have attained 62 years of age. This plan is contributory via employee contributions, deductibles and co-payments and are subject to certain annual, lifetime and benefit-specific maximum amounts.

The following table sets forth the changes in the benefit obligations and plan assets for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the funded status at December 31, 2004 and 2003 for Plastics pension and other postretirement benefits plans (in thousands). The accrued pension benefit cost

is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the accrued other postretirement cost is included in non-current liabilities of discontinued operations:

- F-30 -

	Pension			Other Postretirement				
		2004		2003		2004		2003
Change in benefit obligation Benefit obligation, beginning Service cost Interest cost Plan curtailment Actuarial loss (gain) Benefits paid		3,711 - 224 - 98 (137)		220 - 255 (140)		2,395 55 139 (1,480) (97) (97)		1,833 54 119 - 514 (125)
Benefit obligation, ending		3 <b>,</b> 896	\$	3,711	\$		\$	2 <b>,</b> 395
Change in plan assets: Fair value, beginning Actual return Company contribution Benefits paid		2,464 308 498 (137)		1,906 437 261 (140)		- - 97 (97)		- - 125 (125)
Fair value, ending		3,133	\$	2,464	\$		'	
Funded status: Net obligation, ending Unrecognized costs: Additional minimum pension				1,247				2,395
Liability Net loss		1,436 (1,436)		•		- 1		- (831)
Accrued benefit costs		763		1,247	•	916 =====		1,564 =====

In addition to accrued benefit costs, amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet include other comprehensive losses of \$763,000 and \$975,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefits costs for the following years for Plastics pension and other postretirement benefits plan ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	Pension					Other Postretirement						
	2	2004	2	2003		2002	2	004	:	2003	2	002
Benefits earned during year	\$		\$		\$		\$	56	\$	54	\$	42
Interest cost		224		220		213		138		119		97
Unrecognized net loss (gain)		62		64		33		52		16		_
Plan curtailment		_		_		_		(804)		_		_
Expected return on plan asset	S	(237)		(173)		(196)		-		_		-
Defined benefit pension and												
total other postretirement												
benefits costs (income)	\$	49	\$	111	\$	50	\$	(558)	\$	189	\$	139

Assumptions used to develop the pension cost and projected benefit obligation for the defined benefit pension plan for the following years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

-F-31-

	2004	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.25%	6.25%	6.75%
Expected rate of return on plan assets	7.75%	7.75%	7.75%
	=======	=======	=======

The details of Plastic's pension plan assets by asset category are as follows:

	20	004	2		
	Assets	Percent	Assets	Percent	
Equity securities Fixed income/bond funds Cash and other	906 20	2,207 29 1	70% 706 267		59%
Total	\$ 3,133 ======	100%	\$ 2,464 ======	100%	

The Company's investment strategy for pension funds is to maintain at least 50% of such funds in equity securities with the balance invested primarily in bonds and other fixed income securities. The Company believes that the returns on common stock over the long term will be higher than returns from fixed income securities. Both equity and fixed income investments are made across a wide range of industries and companies in order to provide protection against the impact of volatility in any single industry or company. The Company is currently using an expected rate of return of 7.75% on our assets and a 2.5% anticipated inflation rate which produces an expected real rate of return of 5.25%. This rate was chosen by taking into account our intended asset mix and the historical returns of the plan since its inception. The Company expects to contribute \$419,000 to the plan in 2005.

Expected benefit payments under the Plastic's pension plan, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are as follows for the years indicated (in thousands):

		Benefits	
2005		\$	154
2006	163		
2007	164		
2008	171		
2009	173		
2010-2014	1,	065	

Assumptions used to develop the net periodic postretirement benefit costs and accumulated postretirement benefit obligations for the following years ended December 31 are as follows:

	=======	=======	=======
Healthcare cost trend rate	7.00%	7.00%	6.75%
	======	======	=======
Discount rate	6.25%	6.25%	6.75%
	2004	2003	2002

-F-32-

For measurement purposes, an assumed rate of increase in per capita healthcare costs of 10% was used for 2004 with a gradual decrease to 5% and remaining at that level thereafter. A one percentage point increase in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would increase the benefit obligation at December 31, 2004 by approximately \$46,000 and increase the total of the service and interest cost components by approximately \$17,000. Conversely, a one percentage point decrease in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would result in approximate decreases in each by \$42,000 and \$15,000, respectively.

Other Employee Benefit Plans

The Company also sponsors qualified contributory 401(k) plans covering substantially all employees. For those employees electing to participate in the 401(k) plans, employees can contribute up to an annually determined maximum amount permitted by law and the Company makes matching contributions up to specified limits. Effective December 31, 2002, the Company suspended its matching contributions to the 401(k) plans. During 2002, the Company expensed \$299,000 in connection with this plan.

The Company does not provide any other postemployment benefits to former or inactive employees except for unemployment compensation benefits required by law.

#### NOTE 11: EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The computations of basic and diluted earnings per common share (EPS) for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	I	ncome	Shares	EPS
Year ended December 31, 2004: Loss applicable to common stockholders, weighted average shares outstanding				
and basic EPS	\$	(162)	16,279	\$ - =====
Dilutive effect of stock options and warrants		-	2,533	
Loss applicable to common stockholders, shares outstanding and diluted EPS	\$	(162)	18,812	\$ -
Year ended December 31, 2003: Income available to common stockholders, weighted average shares outstanding	==	=====		=====
and basic EPS	\$	2,640	16,279	\$ 0.17
Dilutive effect of stock options			375	
<pre>Income available to common stockholders,     shares outstanding and diluted EPS</pre>	\$	2,640	16,654	\$ 0.16

	=======		======
Year ended December 31, 2002:			
Loss applicable to common stockholders,			
weighted average shares outstanding			
and basic EPS	\$(12,859)	15,591	\$ (0.82)
			======
Dilutive effect of stock options	_	_	
Loss applicable to common stockholders,			
shares outstanding and diluted EPS	\$(12,859)	15,591	\$ (0.82)
			======

- F-33 -

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company's stock options outstanding totaled 614,000. Such options for years 2004 and 2003 included a dilutive component of 128,711 and 112 shares, respectively. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, outstanding warrants to purchase the Company's common stock totaled 3,476,585 and 2,896,238, respectively. Such warrants included a dilutive component of 2,404,000 and 374,876 shares, respectively. At December 31, 2002 the Company's stock options outstanding totaled 44,000 and were not dilutive.

## NOTE 12: INCOME TAXES

Due to the existence and use of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards, there was no tax provision recorded for the years ending December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

The Company's effective income tax rate from continuing operations, reflected in the accompanying consolidated statement of income, differs from the statutory rate due to the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Computed amount at statutory rate (34%)	\$ 43	\$ 897	\$(3,921)
Net change in valuation allowance	(17,794)	(2,335)	(3,069)
Section 108 cancellation of indebtedness			
income exclusion	3,558	(3,737)	_
Section 108 reduction of loss carryforwards			
from cancellation of indebtedness			
income exclusion	(3,558)	3,737	
Goodwill amortization	(140)	(140)	(140)
Foreign sales exclusions	(40)	(57)	(56)
Expiration of loss carryforwards	18,054	1,396	7,330
Chinese income tax exclusion	(336)	-	-
Federal return to prior year's			
provision adjustments	33	142	(193)
Non-deductible expenses	84	78	84
Other - net	96	19	(35)
Total tax provision from continuing			
operations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	=======	======	=======

Temporary differences and carryforwards that gave rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	At December 2004	per 31, 2003
Depreciation Historical goodwill Other	\$ (127) (218) (139)	\$ (340) (53) (176)
Deferred tax liabilities	(484)	(569)
Loss carryforwards (NOLs) Book reserves Deferred compensation Tax credit carryforwards Unicap adjustments Other	21,793 2,470 493 851 167 1,536	39,161 2,933 521 851 156 1,567
Deferred tax assets Less: Valuation allowance	27,310 (26,826)	45,189 (44,620)
Deferred tax assets, net	484	569
Deferred taxes, net	\$ - ======	\$ - ======

- F-34 -

At December 31, 2004, Reunion had net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax return reporting purposes of approximately \$64.1million, of which \$16.1 million will expire by the end of 2007. Management has concluded that it is more likely than not that the Company's loss carryforwards will expire unutilized and has determined to continue to fully reserve for the total amount of net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2004.

The current and noncurrent classifications of the deferred tax balances are as follows (in thousands):

	At Dece	mber 31,
	2004	2003
Current:		
Deferred tax assets	\$ 2,363	\$ 2,173
Deferred tax liabilities	(24)	(34)
Less: Valuation allowance	(2,231)	(2,056)
Current deferred, net asset	108	83
Noncurrent:		
Deferred tax assets	24,947	43,016
Deferred tax liabilities	(460)	(535)
Less: Valuation allowance	(24,595)	(42,564)
Noncurrent deferred, net (liability)	(108)	(83)
Deferred taxes, net	\$ -	\$ -
	======	=======

No U.S. federal or state corporate income tax return examinations are currently in process. The Company believes adequate provisions for income taxes have been recorded for all years.

## NOTE 13: RELATED PARTIES, TRANSACTIONS AND BALANCES

#### Related Parties

Reunion Industries, Inc. - Reunion is a publicly traded Delaware corporation headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Charles E. Bradley, Sr. (Mr. Bradley) is chairman of the board and Chief Executive Officer of Reunion. Kimball Bradley is President, Chief Operating Officer, a director of Reunion and son of Mr. Bradley. Mr. John G. Poole ("Mr. Poole") is a director of Reunion.

Stanwich Consulting Corp., f/k/a Stanwich Partners, Inc. - Stanwich Consulting Corp. ("SCC") was formerly engaged in the business of investment banking and consulting. It is currently inactive. Mr. Bradley is the President of SCC.

Stanwich Financial Services Corp. - SFSC is a privately held, currently inactive, corporation that was formerly in the structured settlement business. SFSC is owned 100% by Mr. Bradley. Prior to May 10, 2004, this company was a related party to Reunion. On that date, management control of SFSC was vested in a liquidating trustee and an executive committee, of which Mr. Bradley is not a member, in accordance with SFSC's plan of reorganization in its proceeding under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, SFSC is no longer a related party. See also "SFSC Settlement" in NOTE 2 of NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

NPS Acquisition Corp. - NPS Acquisition Corp. (NPSAC) was formed by Mr. Bradley to acquire and hold NAPTech Pressure Systems (NAPTech). NAPTech was based in Clearfield, Utah and engaged in the business of manufacturing seamless steel pressure vessels, an existing Metals product line. In January 2001, NPSAC was purchased by the Company.

- F-35 -

CPS Leasing, Inc. - CPS Leasing, Inc. (CPSL) is a subsidiary of Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. (CPS). Mr. Bradley and Mr. Poole are stockholders of CPS. Mr. Poole is a director of CPS and Mr. Bradley was a director until July 2001. Charles E. Bradley Jr., Mr. Bradley's son, is President of CPS. CPSL is primarily engaged in machinery and equipment lease financing.

## Transactions and Balances

## SCC Consulting Agreement

Reunion had a consulting agreement with SCC which was terminated effective September 30, 2002. During 2002, \$225,000 was expensed related to this agreement. At December 31, 2003, \$117,205 was owed to SCC under this agreement and is classified as due to related parties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Such amount was forgiven in the year 2004.

#### SFSC Indebtedness

Pursuant to a settlement that became effective on May 10, 2004 (the "SFSC Settlement"), the Company is indebted in the amount of \$4.29 million, plus interest that accrues on that amount after May 10, 2004 at the rate of 10% per annum. The settlement, which represents a compromise of the Company's indebtedness to SFSC and SFSC's indebtedness to the Company, resulted in a gain on debt extinguishment of \$3.3 million for the Company in 2004. This

settlement amount is payable on December 31, 2006, but may be prepaid without penalty. It is unsecured and is subordinate to the Company's indebtedness to Congress Financial. For a description of the settlement agreement and the indebtedness to which the SFSC Settlement relates, see NOTES 2 AND 7 OF NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS above under the captions "SFSC Settlement" and "Notes Payable ? SFSC and Related Parties," respectively. The Company made no payments of principal or interest to SFSC in 2003 or 2004.

#### SFSC Note Receivable

In August 1999, pre-merger Reunion loaned \$310,000 to SFSC. The loan was scheduled to be repaid in December 1999 with interest at 15%. In December 1999, the Company agreed to extend the maturity to March 2000 and loaned an additional \$40,000 to SFSC also with interest at 15%, which was repaid in March 2000. The remaining balance including accrued and unpaid interest due from SFSC at December 31, 2003 was \$465,000 and is included at that date in due from related parties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This note receivable was cancelled pursuant to the SFSC settlement referred to in the preceding paragraph. As a result, this note no longer exists, having been merged into the settlement.

## CPS Leasing, Inc.

Reunion entered into various operating lease agreements with CPSL. During 2004 and 2003, lease payments totaling \$426,000 and \$336,000, respectively, were paid to or accrued for CPSL. At December 31, 2004, the Company has future minimum rental commitments under noncancellable operating leases with CPSL totaling \$1,257,000.

#### SFSC Collateral Fees

To facilitate the completion of the Company's financing with Bank of America in March 2000, SFSC provided side collateral in the form of CPS debt and common stock to support the borrowings. For this credit support, SFSC was to receive a 5% collateral fee for as long as the collateral was in place. Such collateral remained in place until the Congress Financial refinancing in December 2003. No payments were made under this arrangement during 2004, 2003 or 2002. At December 31, 2003, \$690,041 was included in due to related party in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This collateral fee obligation was included in the SFSC settlement referred to above in this Note under the caption "SFSC Indebtedness." As a result, this payable no longer exists, having been merged into the settlement.

-F-36-

In March 2000, SFSC pledged a \$5.0 million note from CPS to secure the obligations of NPSAC to the former owners of the business. NPSAC agreed to pay SFSC a 2% credit support fee for this pledge. The Company assumed this credit support obligation in the acquisition of NPSAC. No payments were made under this arrangement during 2004, 2003 or 2002. At December 31, 2003, accrued and unpaid fees totaling \$293,943 were due to SFSC under this credit support obligation and included in due to related party at that date in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This collateral fee obligation was included in the SFSC settlement referred to above in this Note under the caption "SFSC Indebtedness." As a result, this payable no longer exists, having been merged into the settlement.

Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance Policies

The Company pays the premiums on life insurance policies covering Mr. Bradley, Mr. Joseph C. Lawyer (Mr. Lawyer), the Company's vice chairman and a director, and Mr. Poole. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company will be reimbursed for the premiums it pays for such policies from either the death benefit of the policy or their cash surrender value. The covered individuals have agreed with the Company that if the policy proceeds are insufficient to reimburse the Company for the full amount of the premiums paid, they will cover the shortfall. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, premiums paid by the Company in excess of the cash surrender values of the policies totaled \$919,000 and \$940,000, respectively, and are included in due from related parties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

## Mr. Bradley Note Payable

In January 2003, Mr. Bradley made a \$500,000 payment on behalf of the Company to Shaw NAPTech, Inc. This payment was applied to reduce by \$500,000 the Company's indebtedness to Shaw NAPTech, Inc. To evidence this loan by Mr. Bradley, the Company issued to him its unsecured \$500,000 10% note payable. The note payable became due on October 31, 2004. No principal or interest payments have been made on this note. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, accrued and unpaid interest related to this note totaled \$100,000 and \$50,000, respectively, and, together with the principal of the note, is included in due to related parties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Mr. Bradley's rights under this note are subordinate to the Company's indebtedness to Congress Financial.

## Mr. Bradley Guarantees

To facilitate the closing of the Congress Financial refinancing in December 2003, Mr. Bradley provided a personal guarantee of \$1.5 million of the revolving credit portion of the Congress Loan Facility. In exchange for his guarantee, the Board of Directors approved a 2% guarantee fee to be paid to Mr. Bradley during the time period such guarantee is in place. Amounts payable under this arrangement are being offset against an employee advance previously received by Mr. Bradley and owed to the Company. Such advance totaled \$58,000 at the time of the refinancing and \$29,917 and \$2,384 of guarantee fees have been offset against such advance for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. See "Employee Advances" below.

## Kimball Bradley Guarantees

To facilitate obtaining new financing with two private investment funds and the closing of the refinancing of the Company's bank debt with Congress in December 2003, Kimball Bradley provided personal guarantees totaling \$9.2 million, including guarantees of two notes payable totaling \$7.7 million and \$1.5 of the revolving credit portion of the new Congress facilities. In exchange for his guarantees, the Board of Directors approved 2% guarantee fees to be paid to Kimball Bradley during the time period such guarantees are in place. Amounts payable under these arrangements are being offset against an

- F-37 -

employee advance previously received by Kimball Bradley and owed to the Company. Such advance totaled \$55,000 at the time of the refinancing. In addition, Kimball Bradley owed the Company \$19,000 for the December 2002 exercise of 95,000 options which had been repriced to \$0.20. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, guarantee fees totaling \$183,878 and \$74,963, respectively, had been incurred by the Company, of which \$74,000 was offset in 2003 against amounts due from Kimball Bradley. The remaining

\$184,841 at December 31, 2004 and \$963 at December 31, 2003 is included in due to related parties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. See "Employee Advances" below.

Employee Advances

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company had non-interest bearing advances due from Mr. Charles E. Bradley. During 2003, the Company also had a non-interest bearing advance from Mr. Kimball J. Bradley. The highest balance outstanding during 2004 was \$56,000 and during 2003 was \$113,000. See "Mr. Bradley Guarantees" and "Kimball Bradley Guarantees" above.

## NOTE 14: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is and has been involved in a number of lawsuits and administrative proceedings, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business of the Company and its subsidiaries. A summary of such legal proceedings follows.

Asbestos (ORC)

Since July 10, 2001, various legal actions, some involving multiple plaintiffs, alleging personal injury/wrongful death from asbestos exposure have been filed in multiple states, including California, Oregon, Washington, New York and Mississippi, against a large number of defendants, including Oneida Rostone Corporation (ORC), pre-merger Reunion's Plastics subsidiary and the Company's Plastics segment. In October 2001, Allen-Bradley Company, a former owner of the Rostone business of ORC, accepted Reunion Industries' tender of its defense and indemnification in the first such lawsuit filed, pursuant to a contractual obligation to do so. Subsequent to the acceptance of the tender of defense and indemnification in the first lawsuit, Allen-Bradley Company has accepted the Company's tender of defense and indemnification in a total of 135 separate actions, all of which have been defended by Allen-Bradley Company.

Asbestos (Alliance)

The Company has been named in approximately 2,400 separate asbestos suits filed since January 1, 2001 by various plaintiffs' law firms in Michigan, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Illinois, Maryland, Alabama and W. Virginia. The claims are primarily directed against over 100 defendants, including Reunion, and allege that cranes from the Company's former crane manufacturing location in Alliance, OH were present in various steel mills located in those states and that those cranes contained asbestos to which plaintiffs were exposed over a 40 year span. Counsel for the Company has filed an answer to each complaint denying liability by the Company and asserting all alternative defenses permitted under the various Courts' Case Management Orders. Counsel for the Company has successfully resolved approximately 500-600 cases with little or no cost to the Company. The Company denies that it manufactured any products containing asbestos or otherwise knew or should have known that any component part manufacturers provided products containing asbestos. It has been further denied that the Company was otherwise advised by component part manufacturers that component parts could be hazardous, or otherwise constitute a health risk. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

#### NAPTech Suit

On or about March 16, 2004, Shaw NAPTech, Inc. ("NAPTech"), as successor by merger to NAPTech, Inc. and NAPTech PS Corporation, filed a suit against the Company in state court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to collect payment on a subordinated note (the "NAPTech Note") issued to NAPTech and assumed by the Company in a January 2001 acquisition. In April, 2005 the trial court in this case entered a summary judgment in favor of NAPTech in the amount of \$3,145,403 in principal, plus \$1,207,760 in unpaid interest through November 30, 2003, plus interest at 15% per annum on the unpaid principal after November 30, 2003. This judgment does not constitute a new liability, as the Company has recorded the liability under the NAPTech Note in its consolidated balance sheets, since January, 2001. The trial court rejected defenses raised by the Company that the suit is currently barred by a subordination agreement to which NAPTech is a party and that the court lacks personal jurisdiction over the Company. The Company intends to appeal from this judgment on the grounds that the trial court was in error in rejecting its defenses. There is no assurance that the appeal will be successful. Also named as defendants in the suit are Charles E. Bradley, Sr. (a director of the Company), as guarantor of the subordinated note, and KSB Acquisition Corp. and Hanna Investment Corp. (both of which are affiliates of Mr. Bradley), as pledgors of certain assets securing the note.

## Product Warranties

The Company provides for warranty claims at its cylinders segment. Amounts accrued are estimates of future claims based on historical claims experience or a management estimate related to a specifically identified issue. The Company reevaluates its product warranty reserve quarterly and adjusts it based on changes in historical experience and identification of new or resolution of prior specifically identified issues. A tabular reconciliation of the product warranty reserve follows (in thousands):

	===	=====	===			
Ending balance	\$	100	\$	211		
Deduct: Cost of claims		(109)		(251)		
Add: Provision for estimated future claims		(2)		83		
Beginning balance	\$	211	\$	379		
Description	2004					

## Operating leases

Minimum rental commitments under all noncancellable operating leases in effect for continuing operations at December 31, 2004, were as follows (in thousands):

			Year ended		•	
Total	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	After 2009
\$ 9,501	\$1 <b>,</b> 552	\$1,151	\$1,039	\$1,020	\$ 969	\$3 <b>,</b> 770
======	=====	=====	======	=====	=====	=====

Operating lease rental expense related to continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, amounted to \$1,713,000,\$2,885,000 and \$2,354,000, respectively.

<sup>-</sup> F-39 -

#### NOTE 15: FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable - The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturities of these instruments.

Debt - \$15.2 million of the Company's debt has variable rates of interest and \$40.7 million bears interest at fixed rates. Management estimates the carrying value of variable-rate debt to approximate its fair value as its stated rate of interest changes with changes in market rates of interest. Of the \$40.7 million of fixed-rate debt, \$22.0 million represents the 13% senior note obligation. Such notes have recently been restructured and there has been little, if any, trading activity in the Company's senior notes over the past year. Based on this, the fair value of such notes is not readily determinable. Of the remaining fixed-rate debt, \$0.5 million is to a related party, for which comparable instruments do not exist, and \$18.2 million relates to debt that bears interest at rates ranging between 10% and 18%. Given our financial condition, the fair value of such debt is not readily determinable.

#### NOTE 16: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In December 2001, the Company classified and began accounting for its bridges and cranes and materials handling systems businesses as discontinued operations in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions" (APB 30).

On September 24, 2002, the Company sold Kingway, our discontinued materials handling systems operations, for cash proceeds of \$25.0 million and a \$7.0 million note receivable in amounts of up to \$2.333 million in each of 2003, 2004 and 2005. Future proceeds under the note receivable are contingent upon the combined operations of the buyer's material handling businesses exceeding certain specified amounts such that EBITDA in excess of \$10.0 million in 2003, \$12.0 million in 2004 and \$14.0 million in 2005 are to be paid to the Company. In 2003, the EBITDA of the buyer's material handling businesses did not exceed \$10.0 million. The net cash proceeds of \$24.12million after transaction-related expenses were used to reduce borrowings under our BOA revolving credit facility of \$15.96 million, term loan A facility of \$7.11 million and pay overadvance fees of \$1.05 million. The Company realized a net gain of \$3.1 million, classified within discontinued operations, on this transaction. Finalization of this sale was subject to a post-closing working capital adjustment. The buyer alleged it was owed approximately \$905,000 based on its calculation of working capital on the closing date. Pursuant to the asset purchase agreement, the Company submitted this dispute to a mutually agreed-upon independent accounting firm for resolution in arbitration. The arbitrator for the dispute determined that, of the \$905,000 working capital deficiency claimed by the buyer, \$704,000 was not valid. The Company and the Buyer entered into a payment arrangement for a total of \$200,000 to be paid in \$50,000 installments by the Company, which began in December 2003.

On June 30, 2002, the Company sold the inventory and substantially all of the property, plant and equipment of Alliance, our discontinued bridges and cranes operations, for cash proceeds of \$3.058 million and the assumption by

the buyer of \$242,000 of accrued liabilities. The Company retained all - F-40 -

receivables, trade payables and certain other liabilities of this discontinued operation. The net cash proceeds of \$3.058 million were used to reduce borrowings under our then existing Bank of America revolving credit facility (\$322,000), term loan A facility (\$1.87 million) and capital expenditure facility (\$866,000). The Company realized a loss of \$3.9 million, classified within discontinued operations, on this transaction. The loss on disposal of the discontinued bridges and cranes operations differed from the 2001 due to adjustments of the carrying values to net realizable value, primarily machinery and equipment and receivables, of assets retained from the sale of the discontinued bridges and cranes operations.

In January, 2005, the Company sold its springs division operations for cash proceeds of \$792,000. Finalization of this sale is subject to a post closing working capital adjustment which has not yet been determined. Net cash proceeds of \$775,000 after transaction related expenses were received on January 4, 2005, of which \$250,000 was used to reduce borrowings under the term loan from a private capital fund that is secured by the real property, \$41,000 was used to reduce borrowings under the term loan portion of the Congress Loan Facility and the remaining balance of \$484,000 was used to reduce borrowings under the Congress revolving credit facility.

In December 2004, the Company classified and began accounting for its Rostone business as discontinued operations in accordance with FAS 144.

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are comprised of the assets and liabilities of the springs and Rostone businesses. Such assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	At Dece	mber 31,
	2004	2003
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71	\$ 99
Receivables, net	1,307	1,440
Inventories, net	1,726	1,454
Other current assets	112	215
Total current assets	\$ 3,216	
	=======	=======
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Trade payables	\$ 827	\$ 844
Other current liabilities	_	347
Total current liabilities	\$ 827	\$ 1,191
	=======	=======
OTHER ASSETS:		
Property, plant and equipment, held for sale	\$ 2,911	\$ 3,893
Goodwill, net	_	13
Total other assets	\$ 2,911	\$ 3 <b>,</b> 906
	=======	=======
OTHER LIABILITIES:		
Other liabilities	\$ 916	\$ 1,500
	=======	=======

Summarized results of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 follow (in thousands):

		2004	2003			2002
	_					
Net sales	\$	12,399	\$ 13,	456	\$	49,035
Income (loss) before taxes		(229)	(1,	529)		(2,379)

The loss from discontinued operations in 2004 includes a loss on disposal of our springs operation of \$0.3 million. The loss from discontinued operations in 2003 includes \$0.9 million related to an evaluation of legacy healthcare costs and litigation in the discontinued bridges and crane operation.

Interest expense is allocated to discontinued operations on the basis of the percentage of total average assets of discontinued operations to gross total assets for the period presented. The above results of discontinued operations include actual and allocated interest expense totaling \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

## NOTE 17: SEGMENT DISCLOSURES AND RELATED INFORMATION

The Company owns and operates industrial manufacturing operations that design and manufacture engineered, high-quality products for specific customer requirements, such as large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders and precision plastic components.

The Company's customers include original equipment manufacturers and endusers in a variety of industries, such as transportation, power generation, chemicals, metals, home electronics, office equipment and consumer goods. The Company's business units are organized into two major product categories:

- \* Metals manufactures and markets a broad range of fabricated and machined industrial metal parts and products including large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, and hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders.
- $^{\star}$  Plastics manufactures precision molded plastic parts and provides engineered plastics services.

Metals includes two reportable segments: Pressure vessels and Cylinders. Plastics is a single segment.

Excluding its subsidiary in Shanghai, China, Reunion Industries manufactures its products in the United States and sells its products in the United States and in foreign countries. The countries to which the Company exports its products vary from year to year. International sales in 2004 were primarily in four areas: the Far East; Latin and South America; Western Europe; and Canada. The majority of international sales in 2004 relate to pressure vessel sales to customers in Taiwan and the People's Republic of China. Of Reunion's \$59.3 million of consolidated net sales from U.S. continuing operations for 2004, \$7.4 million were export sales, of which \$6.0 million related to pressure vessel sales and \$1.1 million related to Plastics. Of the \$6.0 million of foreign pressure vessel sales, approximately \$4.3 million was in the Far East (principally China and Taiwan) and \$1.1 million was in Canada. Of the \$1.1 million of foreign Plastics sales, approximately \$0.4 million was in Mexico, approximately \$0.3 was in Canada and approximately

\$0.3 was in Western Europe. Foreign cylinder sales for 2004 were approximately \$0.4 million, primarily into Canada. During 2004, no one customer accounted for more than 10% of the net sales of Reunion. Segment data, including earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) (in thousands except for related notes):

- F-42 -

	Net	t Sales	EB	ITDA(1)	_	ital ending		
2004:								
Metals: Pressure vessels Cylinders Grating			6	580 1,27	4	202	0	
Subtotal Metals Plastics Corporate and other (3) Discontinued Operations		50,715 17,935		5,833 2,210 (1,582)				27,868 8,000
Totals		68,650	_	6,461		780	\$	56 <b>,</b> 596
Gain on extinguishment of debt Depreciation Interest expense		=====	_	3,540 (1,667) (7,937)	==	=====	=	=====
<pre>Income from continuing opera   before income taxes and mis   interests</pre>			\$	397 =====				
2003:								
Metals: Pressure vessels Cylinders		21,371 17,891		3,837 353		_ 101 		12,351 7,877
Subtotal Metals Plastics Corporate and other (4) Discontinued Operations				4,190 1,216 (3,440)		101 96 3 82		
Totals	\$	55,053		1,966	\$	282	\$	51,523
Gain on extinguishment of debt Depreciation Interest expense	=		_	10,991 (1,849) (6,939)	==		=	
Income from continuing operation before income taxes	tio	ns		4 <b>,</b> 169				
2002:								
Metals: Pressure vessels	\$	17,317		\$ 899	\$	45	\$	12,464

Cylinders	18,087	(286)		92	9,700
Subtotal Metals	35,404	613		137	22,164
Plastics	19,783	2,421		49	9,361
Corporate and other (5)	_	(3,482)		2	15 <b>,</b> 357
Discontinued operations	-	_		314	8,436
Totals	\$ 55,187	(448)	 \$	502	\$ 55,318
	=======	(,	_===		=======
Depreciation		(2,012)			
Interest expense		(8,020)			
Loss from continuing operati	ons.				
before income taxes		\$(10,480)			
		=======			

-F-43-

- (1) EBITDA is presented as it is the primary measurement used by management in assessing segment performance and not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt.
- (2) Corporate and other assets at December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 includes \$8.0 million of goodwill that relates to the Company's pressure vessel and springs segment. For evaluation purposes under SFAS No. 142, this goodwill is included in the carrying value of pressure vessels and springs.
- (3) Includes income totaling \$0.6 million from reduction in environmental reserve.
- (4) Includes gain of \$0.2 million on sale of equipment with no carrying value.
- (5) Includes gain of \$0.4 million on sale of equipment with no carrying value.
- F-44 -

NOTE 18: QUARTERLY DATA (unaudited)

Results by quarter for 2004 and 2003 follow:

	2004 Quarter Ended								
	March 31	June 30	Sept 30	Dec 31					
Operating revenue Operating costs and expenses	•	\$ 17,538 15,672	•	\$ 18,191 17,056					
Operating income (loss)	\$ 4,058	\$ 1,866 ======	\$ 1,275 ======	\$ 1,135 ======					
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing   operations Income (loss) from discontinued</pre>	\$ 2,181	\$ (222)	\$ (873)	\$ (960)					

operations		168		(180)		(60)		(157)	
Net income (loss)	\$	2,349	\$	(402)		(933)	\$	(1,117)	
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing   operations per common share:</pre>									
Basic	\$	0.14		(0.02)		(0.06)		(0.07)	
Diluted	\$	0.12	\$		\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.07)	
Significant item included in results of continuing operations which might affect comparability: Gain on extinguishment of debt	\$		\$	470	\$		\$		
	2003 Quart								
		arch 31	June 30			Sept 30	Dec 31		
Operating revenue Operating costs and expenses		17,086 15,671	\$	14,540 13,901	\$	11,898 11,704	\$	11,529	
Operating income (loss)	\$	1,415 =====	\$		\$	194 =====	\$	8,860 =====	
Loss from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued	\$	(94)	\$	(1,273)	\$	(1,543)	\$	7,079	
operations		3				(510)			
Net income (loss)			\$	(1,324)	\$	(2,053)	\$	6,108	
<pre>Income (loss) from continuing   operations per common share:</pre>									
Basic						(0.09)			
Diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.08)	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.37	
Significant item included in results of continuing operations which might affect comparability:  Gain on extinguishment of debt	\$	_	¢	_	Ś	_	Ś	10 991	
Gain on excinguishment of dept	ې ==							10 <b>,</b> 991 =====	

- F-45 -

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS SCHEDULE II (dollars in thousands)

	Begi	nning.		rged to					Endi	.ng
Year ended:	Bala	ince	Exp	enses		Other	Deduct	ions	Bala	nce
					-					
December 31, 2004 Allowance for doubtfu		485	\$	(36)	\$	(151) (1)	\$	96(2)	\$	202

Inventory obsolescence		F.C.O.		220		(7) (1)		10070		600
reserve Deferred tax valuation		560		229		(7)(1)		100(2)		682
allowance		,620				_		17,794(6)	28	,826
44,620										
Reserve for										
restructuring		474		_		_		234		240
Product warranty		011		(0)				100(5)		1.00
reserve		211		(2)		_		109(5)		100
December 31, 2003:										
Allowance for doubtful										
accounts	\$	300	\$	205	\$	_	\$	20(2)	\$	485
Inventory obsolescence										
reserve		,056		147		(166)		477 (3)		560
Deferred tax valuation										
allowance	46	, 955		_		_		2,335(6)	44	,620
Reserve for										
restructuring		861		_		(117)		270		474
Product warranty										
reserve		379		83		_		251(5)		211
December 31, 2002:										
Allowance for doubtful										
accounts	\$	212	\$	198	\$	50(4)	\$	160(2)	\$	300
Inventory obsolescence			,	100	,	00(1)	т.	100(2)	т	000
reserve		,504		285		_		733(3)	1	,056
Deferred tax valuation		•						, ,		•
allowance	50	,475		_		_		3,520(6)	46	, 955
Reserve for										
restructuring	1	,192		_		_		331		861
Product warranty										
Reserve		56		457		_		134(5)		379

<sup>(1)</sup> Reduction to beginning balance due to businesses classified as discontinued operations during the year.

## EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on March 4, 1996 (File No. 33-64325).
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Company. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on March 4, 1996 (File No. 33-64325).
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, dated December 17, 2004. (2)
- 4.1 Specimen Stock Certificate evidencing the Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, of Reunion Industries, Inc. Incorporated by

<sup>(2)</sup> Uncollectible accounts written off, net of recoveries.

<sup>(3)</sup> Inventory written off.

<sup>(4)</sup> Transferred from accrued liabilities.

<sup>(5)</sup> Cost of claims.

<sup>(6)</sup> Primarily related to reduction in expired net operating losses.

<sup>-</sup> S-1 -

reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on March 4, 1996 (File No. 33-64325).

- 10.1\* Buttes Gas & Oil Co. 1992 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 (File No. 001-07726).
- 10.2\* Form of Stock Option Agreement for options issued pursuant to the 1992 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 (File No. 001-07726).
- 10.3\* Reunion Resources Company 1993 Incentive Stock Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 001-07726).
- 10.4\* Form of Stock Option Agreement for options issued pursuant to the 1993 Incentive Stock Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 001-07726).
- 10.5\* The 1998 Stock Option Plan of the Company. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on June 26, 1998 (File No. 333-56153).
- 10.6\* Form of Stock Option Agreement for options issued pursuant to the 1998 Stock Option Plan of the Company. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Reunion Industries' Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.7 -
- 10.15 Not used.
- 10.16 Indenture (the "Indenture"), dated as of May 1, 1993, by and between Chatwins Group, Inc. and The First National Bank of Boston, as trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Chatwins Group, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 30, 1993 (File No. 33-63274).
- 10.17 First Supplemental Indenture and Wavier of Covenants of Indenture between The First National Bank of Boston, as trustee, and Chatwins Group, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.32 to Chatwins Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 30, 1995 and filed with the Commission on July 3, 1995 (File No. 33-63274).
- E-1 -
- 10.18 Second Supplemental Indenture between The First National Bank of Boston, as trustee, and Chatwins Group, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.33 to Chatwins Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 30, 1995 and filed with the Commission July 3, 1995 (File No. 33-63274).
- 10.19 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 28, 1999, between Chatwins Group, Inc. and State Street Bank and Trust company, as successor Trustee to The First National Bank of Boston.

Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.19 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 33-64325).

- 10.20 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 8, 2000, between Chatwins Group, Inc. and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as successor Trustee to The First National Bank of Boston.

  Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.20 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.21 Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 16, 2000, between Chatwins Group, Inc., the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as successor Trustee to The First National Bank of Boston. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.22 Memorandum regarding Notice of Consent Solicitation dated November 26, 2003 from the Company to the holders of the Company's 13% Senior Notes (the "Consent Solicitation"). (2)
- 10.23 Security Agreement dated December 2, 2003 between the Company and U.S. Bank, N.A., as successor trustee under the Indenture ("U.S. Bank, as trustee") for the holders of the Company's 13% Senior Notes. (2)
- 10.24 Form of Warrant issued by the Company to the holders of its 13% Senior Notes who provided consents to its Consent Solicitation. The Company has reserved a total of 1,771,217 shares of its common stock for issuance upon exercise of these Warrants. Each such Warrant is identical to all such other Warrants issued to such holders, except as to the name of the holder and the number of shares covered thereby. (2)
- 10.25 Not used.
- 10.26 Standstill Agreement regarding Default in Payment of Interest dated as of February 2, 2005 among Reunion and certain holders of its 13% Senior Notes. (2)
- Loan and Security Agreement dated as of December 3, 2003 between the Company and Congress Financial Corporation ("Congress Financial"). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, as amended by Amendment No. 2 thereto dated and filed with the Commission on October 6, 2004. (File No. 01-15739)
- 10.28 Amendment No. 1 to Loan and Security Agreement dated May 19, 2004 between the Company and Congress Financial. (2)

- E-2 -

- 10.29 Amendment No. 2 to Loan and Security Agreement dated October 18, 2004 between the Company and Congress Financial. (2)
- 10.30 Amendment No. 3 to Loan and Security Agreement dated November 22, 2004 between the Company and Congress Financial. (2)

- 10.31 Not used.
- 10.32 Intercreditor and Subordination Agreement dated December 3, 2003 among Congress Financial, Mercury Capital Corporation ("Mercury Capital"), LC Capital Masterfund, Ltd. ("LC Capital") and U.S. Bank, N.A., as trustee, and acknowledged and agreed to by the Company. (2)
- 10.33 Not used.
- 10.34 Not used.
- 10.35 Merger Agreement dated as of March 30, 1999 among the Company, NPS Acquisition Corp. and Charles E. Bradley, Sr. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.36 Forbearance Agreement dated as of January 16, 2001 among NAPTech, Inc.; NAPTech PS Corporation, the Company, Stanwich Financial Services Corp. and Charles E. Bradley. Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.36 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.37 Reaffirmation of Merger Agreement dated as of December 5, 2000 among the Company, NPS Acquisition Corp. and Charles E. Bradley. Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.37 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.38 Subordinated Promissory Note issued by the Company to NAPTECH, Inc. and NAPTECH PS Corporation (which payees are now known as Shaw NAPTech, Inc.) in the original amount of \$4,860,535. Incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.38 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 33-64325).
- 10.39 ?
- 10.45 Not used.
- 10.46 Promissory Note dated November 25, 2003 in the original principal amount of 4,200,000 issued by the Company to Mercury Capital. (2)
- 10.47 Option to Purchase dated November 25, 2003 issued by the Company to Mercury Capital. (2)
- 10.48 Open-End Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents, Fixture Filing and Security Agreement dated November 25, 2003 granted by the Company to Mercury Capital with respect to Reunion's real property located in Pennsylvania. In November and December 2003, the Company also granted mortgages to the Other Mortgagees (as defined below) on this property and to Mercury Capital and the Other Mortgagees on the Company's other real properties. Such other mortgages are not being filed as exhibits to this report, because they are similar to this Exhibit in all material respects, except as to the location and description of the mortgaged properties, the names of the mortgagees and/or the debt secured thereby. As used in this paragraph the term "Other Mortgagees" means Congress Financial, LC Capital and U.S. Bank, as trustee. (2)

- E-3 -

- 10.49 Amended and Restated Senior Subordinated Secured Promissory Note dated December 3, 2003 in the original principal amount of \$3.5 million issued by the Company to LC Capital. (2)
- 10.50 Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated December 3, 2003 between the Company and LC Capital. (2)
- 10.51 Not used.
- 10.52 Warrant dated August 11, 2003 issued by the Company to LC Capital. (2)
- 10.53 Amendment to Warrant dated December 3, 2003 between the Company and LC Capital. (2)
- 10.54 Amendment No. 2 to Warrant dated May 19, 2001 between the Company and LC Capital. (2)
- 10.55 Registration Rights Agreement dated August 11, 2003 between the Company and LC Capital. (2)
- 10.56 Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement dated December 3, 2003 between the Company and LC Capital. (2)
- 10.57 Letter Agreement dated May 19, 2004 among the Company, LC Capital and WebFinancial Corporation ("WebFinancial"). (2)
- 10.58 Letter Agreement dated December 14, 2004 among the Company, LC Capital and WebFinancial. (2)
- 10.59 Warrant dated January 14, 2005 issued by the Company to LC Capital. (2)
- 10.60 Warrant dated January 14, 2005 issued by the Company to WebFinancial. (2)
- 10.61 Chapter 11 Settlement Agreement dated as of December 1, 2003 among Stanwich Financial Services Corp., the Other Released Parties, the Further Released Parties and Bankers Trust. (2)
- 21.1 List of subsidiaries and jurisdictions of organization. (1)
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Public Accountants ? Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.(1)
- 31.2 Consent of Independent Public Accountants ? Ernst & Young LLP(1)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(1)
- E-4 -
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(1)
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(1)

- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(1)
- (1) Filed herewith.
- (2) To be filed as an Amendment to this Form 10-K.
- \* Compensatory plan or arrangement.
- E-5 -

## Exhibit 21.1

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. SUBSIDIARIES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

Company		Incorporated	Parent	
1 Reunion Indo 2 Buttes Dril 3 Reunion Tit. 4 Reunion Pot. 5 Shanghai Klo	ling-C Company an, Inc.	Delaware Texas Texas Delaware	1 2 1	(65.0%)
Inactive Companies				
	ix Transport, Inc.	District of Columbia	a 1	
7 Reunion Sub	I, Inc.	Delaware	1	
8 Reunion Sub	II, Inc.	Delaware	1	
9 Reunion Sub	III, Inc.	Delaware	1	
10 Asie-Dolphi	n Drilling SDN BHD	Malaysia	2	(49%)
11 Buttes Gas	& Oil do Brasil, Ltda.	Brazil	1	(49%)
12 Dolphin Tita	an do Brazil Servicos			
de Perfur	acoes, Ltd.	Brazil	2	
13 Monaco Corporation		British Virgin Is.	2	
14 Ocean Phoenix Holdings, N. V.		Netherlands Antilles	s 1	
15 Progress Dr.	illing International, Inc.	Panama	2	
16 Progress Pe	rfuracoes do Brasil, Ltd.	Brazil	15	

- E-6 -

EXHIBIT 23.1

## Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-37702) pertaining to the 1998 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-62074) pertaining to the 1998 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-77232) pertaining to the 1993 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 33-77566) pertaining to the registration of 248,500 shares of common stock, the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-37368) pertaining to the registration of 8,269,479 shares of common stock and the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-41842) pertaining to the registration of 3,245,515 shares of common

stock of Reunion Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries of our report dated March 18, 2005, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedule of Reunion Industries, Inc. and Subsidiaries included in the Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2004.

/s/ Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

New York, New York April 14, 2005

- E-7 -

EXHIBIT 23.2

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-37702) pertaining to the 1998 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-62074) pertaining to the 1998 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-77232) pertaining to the 1993 Stock Option Plan, the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 33-77566) pertaining to the registration of 248,500 shares of common stock, the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-37368) pertaining to the registration of 8,269,479 shares of common stock and the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-41842) pertaining to the registration of 3,245,515 shares of common stock of Reunion Industries, Inc. of our report dated March 14, 2003, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedule of Reunion Industries, Inc. included in the Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2004.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania April 12, 2005

- E-8 -

EXHIBIT 31.1

## CERTIFICATION

- I, Charles E. Bradley, Sr., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Reunion Industries, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial

information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 15, 2005

/s/ Charles E. Bradley, Sr.

-----

Chief Executive Officer

- E-9 -

EXHIBIT 31.2

## CERTIFICATION

- I, John M. Froehlich, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Reunion Industries, Inc.:
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this

report;

- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 15, 2005

/s/ John M. Froehlich

-----

Chief Financial Officer

- E-10 -

EXHIBIT 32.1

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. SARBANES-OXLEY ACT SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with this annual report on Form 10-K of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, I, Charles E. Bradley, Sr., Chief Executive Officer of Reunion Industries, Inc., hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley

Act of 2002, that:

- 1. this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the periods presented therein.

Date: April 15, 2005

- E-11 -

EXHIBIT 32.2

# REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. SARBANES-OXLEY ACT SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with this annual report on Form 10-K of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, I, John M. Froehlich, Chief Financial Officer of Reunion Industries, Inc., hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1. this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Reunion Industries, Inc. for the periods presented therein.

Date: April 15, 2005

- E-12 -

<sup>- 36 -</sup>

<sup>-</sup>F-45-

- S-1 -
- E-12 -