

DYNATRONICS CORP
Form 10-K/A
October 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 0-12697

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Utah
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

87-0398434
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7030 Park Centre Drive, Cottonwood Heights, Utah
(Address of principal executive offices)

84121-6618
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (801) 568-7000

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, no par value
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Act. Yes
No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Edgar Filing: DYNATRONICS CORP - Form 10-K/A

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of December 31, 2013 (the last day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately \$9.6 million, based on the average bid and asked price of the common stock on that date.

As of September 18, 2014, there were 2,520,389 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes No

Documents Incorporated by Reference

None.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A (the "Amendment") amends our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") on September 29, 2014 (the "Original Filing"). We are filing this Amendment to amend Part III of the Original Filing to include the information required by and not included in Part III of the Original Filing because we no longer intend to file our definitive proxy statement within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Certain information in "Item 1A Risk Factors" and "Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources" is also updated in this Amendment to conform to recent events involving our bank line of credit. No changes were made to Registrant's financial statements included in the Original Filing. Except as described above, no other changes have been made to the Original Filing. The Original Filing continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing, and we have not updated the disclosures contained therein to reflect any events which occurred at a date subsequent to the filing of the Original Filing other than as expressly indicated in this Amendment. Accordingly, this Amendment should be read in conjunction with the Original Filing and our other filings made with the SEC on or subsequent to September 29, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------|----|
| Item 1. | Business | 1 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 10 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 16 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 16 |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | 17 |

PART II

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 17 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 17 |
| Item 7. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 18 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk | 25 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 25 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 25 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 26 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | 26 |

PART III

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 27 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 31 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 35 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 37 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 38 |

PART IV

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| Item 15. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | 39 |
| Signatures | | 41 |

PART I

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this report to “registrant,” “we,” “us,” “our,” “Dynatronics” or the “Company” refer to Dynatronics Corporation, a Utah corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking information. Forward-looking information includes statements relating to future actions, prospective products, future performance or results of current or anticipated products, sales and marketing efforts, costs and expenses, interest rates, outcomes of contingencies, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, business strategies, cost savings, objectives of management and other matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a “safe harbor” for forward-looking information in order to encourage companies to provide prospective information about themselves without fear of litigation, so long as that information is identified as forward-looking and is accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the information. Forward-looking information may be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or may be incorporated by reference from other documents filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You can find many of these statements by looking for words including, for example, “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates” or similar expressions in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in documents incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as otherwise required by applicable law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or future events.

We have based the forward-looking statements relating to our operations on management’s current expectations, estimates and projections about us and the industry in which we operate. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that we cannot predict. In particular, we have based many of these forward-looking statements on assumptions about future events that may prove to be inaccurate. Accordingly, our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. Any differences could result from a variety of factors, including, but not limited to the following:

- strategies, outlook and growth prospects;
- future plans and potential for future growth;
- liquidity, capital resources and capital expenditures;
- growth in demand for our products;
- economic outlook and industry trends;
- development of our markets;
- the impact of regulatory initiatives;
- § new state or federal legislation; and
- the strength of our competitors.

Item 1. Business

Our Company

Dynatronics is a Utah corporation formed on April 29, 1983. Our predecessor company, Dynatronics Research Company, was formed in 1979. Our principal business is the manufacturing, distribution and marketing of physical medicine and aesthetic products. We operate on a fiscal year basis, ending on June 30. For example, reference to fiscal year 2014 refers to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. All references to financial statements in this report refer to the consolidated financial statements of Dynatronics Corporation.

Recent Developments

During fiscal year 2014, we signed an exclusive, sole-source agreement with Amerinet, one of the five largest group purchasing organizations, or GPO's in the United States, to supply medical products to their acute care and alternate care members. Amerinet is one of the nation's leading healthcare GPOs, helping its members to reduce healthcare costs and improve healthcare quality. The three-year agreement with Amerinet became effective July 1, 2014.

In August 2014, we sold our Cottonwood Heights facility housing our principal executive offices and manufacturing facilities to an investment group for \$3,800,000 and leased the facility back for a 15-year term. Profit from the sale was \$2,250,000. Accounting rules require that we recognize the gain ratably over the 15-year life of the lease and that we capitalize the lease. We used the proceeds from the sale to satisfy all mortgage obligations on the building, and to pay applicable taxes and closing and other transaction costs. We also used \$2,100,000 of the proceeds to pay down our line of credit. In the aggregate, we applied the proceeds from the sale of this building to pay down debt by approximately \$2,750,000. Due to the lease being capitalized, we will be required to recognize approximately \$11,000 more in monthly occupancy costs. However, our actual monthly rental payments of \$27,000 in cash will be offset by reductions in principal and interest payments on the former mortgage and line of credit.

In December 2013, we introduced the ThermoStim probe - one of the most innovative and revolutionary products in our history. The ThermoStim probe offers the ability to deliver thermal therapy (hot and cold) and/or electrotherapy in a targeted, attended treatment. The hand held probe is an accessory to the Dynatron SolarisPlus family of products. The new ThermoStim probe utilizes thermoelectric chips to generate the thermal therapy. This innovative design has not only generated significant demand for the probe, but also for the new SolarisPlus units which serve as the control console for the probe.

In June 2013, we introduced our new Dynatron® 25 Series electrotherapy/ultrasound line of combination therapy devices as a successor line of products to the 50 Series Plus family of products originally introduced in 1997. This new line consists of four separate devices: the Dynatron 925, Dynatron 825, Dynatron 625 and Dynatron 525. These four units provide seven different types of electrotherapy treatments and three frequencies of ultrasound, including our proprietary three-frequency ultrasound transducers. They are capable of delivering between three and five separate treatments simultaneously, depending on the model. The ability to provide multiple treatments simultaneously is expected to be very helpful in busy clinics and training rooms, or for patients needing treatment of multiple areas of the body. This new product line was specially designed to be sold through our expanding channel of general line distributors whereas the predecessor line of 50 Series Plus products were only available to our exclusive direct sales representatives and specialty dealers.

Our Products

We sell products manufactured by others as well as our own product lines. Sales are split 54%-47%, favoring sales of products manufactured by others that we distribute. These include a broad line of medical equipment for physical medicine applications including therapy devices, medical supplies and soft goods, treatment tables and rehabilitation equipment. Our products are used primarily by physical therapists, chiropractors, sports medicine practitioners, podiatrists, physicians and other physical medicine professionals.

We also manufacture and distribute a line of aesthetic equipment including aesthetic massage and microdermabrasion devices, as well as skin care products. These products are used by aestheticians, plastic surgeons, dermatologists and other aesthetic services providers.

The products we manufacture fall into two categories: Physical Medicine Products and Aesthetic Products.

Physical Medicine Products

Electrotherapy - The therapeutic effects of electrical energy have occupied an important position in physical medicine for over six decades. There has been an evolution through the years to use the most effective and painless waveforms and frequencies to produce patient comfort and successful treatment of pain and related physical ailments. Medium frequency alternating currents, which we use primarily in our electrotherapy devices, are believed to be the most effective and comfortable for patients. Electrotherapy can be effective in treating chronic intractable pain and/or acute post-traumatic pain, increasing local blood circulation, relaxation of muscle spasms, prevention or retardation of disuse atrophy, and muscle re-education.

Therapeutic Ultrasound - Ultrasound therapy provides therapeutic deep heat to soft tissue through the introduction of sound waves into the body. It is one of the most common modalities used in physical therapy for treating pain, muscle spasms and joint contractures.

We market a broad line of devices that include electrotherapy, ultrasound or a combination of both of these modalities in a single device. The Dynatron 125 ultrasound and the new "25 Series" electrotherapy/ultrasound devices target the lower-priced segment of the market. The Dynatron SolarisPlus products add Tri-Wave phototherapy capabilities to

electrotherapy and ultrasound combination devices. We intend to continue development of our core therapy technology and remain a leader in the design, manufacture and sale of therapy equipment.

Phototherapy – Phototherapy has been popular among physical medicine practitioners for its ability to provide topical heating to increase local blood circulation, provide temporary relief of minor muscle and joint aches, pain and stiffness as well as to treat minor pain and stiffness associated with arthritis. The wavelength of the light determines the depth of penetration – the longer the wavelength the deeper the penetration. The benefits of phototherapy have been documented by numerous research studies published over the past four decades.

Our Dynatron SolarisPlus 709, 708, 706, and 705 units, as well as the Dynatron 702, X3 and DX2 devices, all feature phototherapy technology. The SolarisPlus products are capable of powering either the handheld Tri-Wave phototherapy probe or the larger Tri-Wave phototherapy pads. The Dynatron Tri-Wave pad is capable of treating larger areas of the body via unattended infrared, red and blue wavelength phototherapy. The Dynatron Tri-Wave phototherapy probe is used in an attended mode targeting specific treatment sites by the practitioner. The Dynatron 702, X3 and DX2 devices power other phototherapy products such as the 880 probe that provides primarily infrared therapy at 880nm. Also available is an 890 infrared laser probe and a probe that features 405nm blue light.

Thermal Therapy – For many decades, physical therapists and other medical practitioners have relied on cold compression therapy as a primary standard of care for treating patient injuries and for post surgical conditions. In December 2013, we introduced the new Dynatron Thermostim Probe to the market. The innovative Thermostim Probe incorporates technology designed to deliver thermal therapy (hot or cold) together with electrotherapy treatments.

The Dynatron Thermostim Probe employs state-of-the-art technology providing precise temperature control while moving beyond the current standard by eliminating the need for ice when providing cold therapy. This probe is an accessory to the SolarisPlus family of products.

Oscillation Therapy - Soft tissue oscillation therapy has been used for the treatment of pain in Europe for over 16 years, yet it has been used in the United States market for only approximately 10 years. The Dynatron X5 Oscillation Therapy device creates an electrostatic field within the patient, resulting in a highly effective treatment for reducing minor muscle aches and pains.

Iontophoresis - Iontophoresis uses electrical current to transdermally deliver drugs such as lidocaine for localized treatment of inflammation without the use of needles. The Dynatron iBox™, our proprietary iontophoresis device, is capable of delivering two treatments simultaneously. We also distribute a line of proprietary iontophoresis electrodes under the brand name of Dynatron® Ion electrodes along with other types of iontophoresis electrodes from other manufacturers.

Manufactured Medical Supplies and Soft Goods - We currently manufacture or have manufactured for us over 700 medical supply and soft goods products including hot packs, cold packs, lumbar rolls, exercise balls, wrist splints, ankle weights, cervical collars, slings, cervical pillows, bolsters, positioning wedges, back cushions, weight racks, rehabilitation products, back and wrist braces, mat tables, work tables, training stairs, and parallel bars.

Manufactured Treatment Tables and Rehabilitation Equipment - We manufacture and distribute motorized and manually operated physical therapy treatment tables, rehabilitation parallel bars, and other specialty rehabilitation products.

Distributed Medical Equipment, Supplies and Soft Goods - Over the years, we have significantly expanded the number of products we distribute to include additional exercise equipment, massage therapy products, treatment tables, parallel bars, hand therapy products, hot and cold therapy products, lotions and gels, paper products, athletic tape, canes and crutches, reflex hammers, stethoscopes, splints, elastic wraps, exercise weights, Thera-Band® (a registered mark of Hygenic Corp.) tubing, walkers, treadmills, stair climbers, heating units for hot packs, whirlpools, gloves, electrodes, hydrotherapy and aquatic exercise products, clinical supplies, aids to daily living products, cardio

equipment, diagnostic and evaluation products, orthopedic supports, patient positioners, rehabilitation equipment, traction equipment, wound and edema care products, pilates and yoga equipment, nutritional supplements, emergency care products and portable electrotherapy products. Our full-line catalog was updated in 2013 and contains over 13,000 rehabilitation products.

We market our products through direct sales representatives, independent dealers, our e-commerce website and our product catalog. We continually seek to update our line of manufactured and distributed medical supplies and soft goods.

Aesthetic Products

We manufacture and market a line of aesthetic products under the brand name of Synergie™. The Synergie Aesthetic Massage System (“AMS”) applies therapeutic vacuum massage to skin and subcutaneous tissues to achieve a temporary reduction in the appearance of cellulite and reduces the circumferential body measurements of the treated areas.

The results of a Dynatronics-sponsored research study available at our offices show that 91% of Synergie participants experienced a reduction in the appearance of cellulite. In addition, participants on average reported a cumulative reduction of six-inches in girth around the hips, thighs, and waist.

We also manufacture and market the Synergie microdermabrasion device as a companion to the AMS device. The microdermabrasion device gently exfoliates the upper layers of skin, exposing softer, smoother skin. In conjunction with the microdermabrasion devices, we offer a unique line of skin care products under the trademark Calisse™ which is designed to enhance the effects of the microdermabrasion treatments.

As part of the aesthetics line of products, we market the Synergie LT device which provides phototherapy for aesthetic applications. Phototherapy is used in aesthetic applications to improve skin tone and appearance. Combining elements of the AMS vacuum massage techniques with microdermabrasion and Synergie LT for phototherapy has provided aestheticians with the ability to provide an enhanced “ultimate facial” available only with the use of Synergie devices.

Sales Mix Among Key Products

No product accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in fiscal years 2014 and 2013. Sales of manufactured physical medicine products represented approximately 47% and 46% of total physical medicine product sales in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Distribution of products manufactured by other suppliers accounted for the balance of our physical medicine product sales in those years.

Patents and Trademarks

Patents. We hold a United States patent on our combination traction/phototherapy technology that will remain in effect until December 2026 and a United States patent on our phototherapy technology that will remain in effect until August 2025. In addition, we hold a United States patent on our microdermabrasion technology that will remain in effect until February 2020. We also hold two United States design patents on the microdermabrasion device that will remain in effect until November 2015 and a United States patent on the combination of our aesthetic massage and microdermabrasion technologies that will remain in effect until February 2020. An additional patent application relating to our thermoelectric technology has been filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and is pending.

Trademarks. We have developed and we use registered trademarks in our business, particularly relating to our corporate and product names. The trademark “Dynatron®” has been registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. In addition, United States trademark registrations have been obtained for the trademarks: Dynatron Solaris®, Synergie®, Synergie Peel®, Dynaheat®, BodyIce®, and Nutura®. Our materials are also protected under copyright laws, both in the United States and internationally.

Federal registration of a trademark enables the registered owner of the mark to bar the unauthorized use of the registered mark in connection with a similar product in the same channels of trade by any third party anywhere in the United States, regardless of whether the registered owner has ever used the trademark in the area where the unauthorized use occurs. We may register additional trademarks in countries where our products are or may be sold in

the future. Protection of registered trademarks in some jurisdictions may not be as extensive as the protection in the United States.

We also claim ownership and protection of certain product names, unregistered trademarks, and service marks under common law. Common law trademark rights do not provide the same level of protection that is afforded by the registration of a trademark. In addition, common law trademark rights are limited to the geographic area in which the trademark is actually used. We believe these trademarks, whether registered or claimed under common law, constitute valuable assets, adding to recognition of Dynatronics and the effective marketing of Dynatronics products. Trademark registration once obtained is essentially perpetual, subject to the payment of a renewal fee. We therefore believe that these proprietary rights have been and will continue to be important in enabling us to compete.

Trade Secrets. We own certain intellectual property, including trade secrets that we seek to protect, in part, through confidentiality agreements with key employees and other parties involved in research and development. Even where these agreements exist, there can be no assurance that these agreements will not be breached, that we would have adequate remedies for any breach, or that our trade secrets will not otherwise become known to or independently developed by competitors. Our proprietary product formulations are generally considered trade secrets, but are not otherwise protected under intellectual property laws.

We intend to protect our legal rights concerning intellectual property by all appropriate legal action. Consequently, we may become involved from time to time in litigation to determine the enforceability, scope, and validity of any of the foregoing proprietary rights. Any patent litigation could result in substantial cost and divert the efforts of management and technical personnel.

Warranty Service

We provide a warranty on all products we manufacture for time periods ranging in length from 90 days to five years from the date of sale. We service warranty claims on these products primarily at our Cottonwood Heights, Utah and Chattanooga, Tennessee facilities depending on the service required. We also have field service in other parts of the United States and Canada. Our warranty policies are comparable to warranties generally available in the industry. Warranty claims were approximately \$141,000 and \$160,000 in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Products we distribute carry warranties provided by the manufacturers of those products. We do not generally supplement these warranties or provide unreimbursed warranty services for distributed products. We also sell accessory items for our manufactured products that are supplied by other manufacturers. These accessory products carry warranties from their original manufacturers without supplement from us.

Customers and Markets

We sell our products primarily to licensed practitioners such as physical therapists, chiropractors, podiatrists, sports medicine specialists, medical doctors, hospitals and clinics, plastic surgeons, dermatologists and aestheticians. We utilize direct sales representatives and independent sales representatives to sell our products together with a network of over 200 independent dealers throughout the United States and internationally. Most dealers purchase and take title to the products, which they then sell to licensed practitioners.

We have entered into agreements with Group Purchasing Organizations (“GPOs”) and regional/national chains of physical therapy clinics and hospitals. We sell our products directly to these clinics and hospitals as well as member facilities of the GPO’s pursuant to preferred pricing arrangements. We also have preferred pricing arrangements with key customers who commit to purchase certain volumes and varieties of products. No single customer or group of related accounts was responsible for 10% or more of total sales in fiscal years 2014 and 2013.

We export products to approximately 30 different countries. Sales outside North America totaled approximately \$749,000, or 2.7% of net sales, in fiscal year 2014, compared to approximately \$647,000, or 2.2% of net sales, in fiscal year 2013. We are working to establish effective distribution for our products in international markets. Our Utah facility is certified to the ISO 13485 quality standard for medical device manufacturing. This ISO designation enables us to qualify for the CE Mark, a designation required for marketing products in the European community and other foreign markets, and signifies the device or product was manufactured pursuant to a certified quality system. We have no foreign manufacturing operations. However, we purchase certain products and components from foreign manufacturers.

Competition

We believe our key products are distinguished competitively by our use of the latest technology. Several of our products are protected by patents. We believe that the integration of advanced technology in the design of each product has distinguished Dynatronics' branded products in a very competitive market. For example, we were the first company to integrate infrared phototherapy as part of a combination therapy device. The introduction of the ThermoStim probe this year was the first of its product type on the market. By manufacturing approximately half of the products that we sell, we can focus on quality engineered products at competitive prices. We believe these factors give us an edge over many competitors who are solely distributors of competing products. Furthermore, the addition of direct sales representatives over the course of the last six years, together with our current expansion of general line dealers, has provided us with improved distribution channels for our products. These distribution channels provide important competitive advantages due to many established relationships with clinics which directly affect the sale and distribution of our manufactured products as well as products of other manufacturers that we distribute, including products from competitors such as Mettler Electronics, manufacturer of the Sonicator brand of electrotherapy and ultrasound therapy products and DJO, manufacturer of the Chattanooga brand of electrotherapy products, and many manufacturers of treatment tables, medical supplies and soft goods. Generally, since the migration of our business model six years ago from being primarily a manufacturer to being a manufacturer and distributor, the competitive landscape takes on different dimensions as outlined below. Dynatronics is one of only two companies in the physical medicine industry that has a direct sales force; the other is Patterson Medical (formerly Sammons Preston), a division of Patterson Companies.

Information necessary to determine or reasonably estimate our market share or that of any competitor in any of these markets is not readily available.

Electrotherapy/Ultrasound

We compete in the clinical market for electrotherapy and ultrasound devices with both domestic and foreign companies. Approximately 12 companies produce electrotherapy and/or ultrasound devices directly competitive with our products. Some of these competitors are larger and better established, and have greater resources than us. Other than Dynatronics, few companies, domestic or foreign, provide multiple-modality devices, which is one important distinction between us and our competition. Furthermore, we believe no competitor offers three frequencies on multiple-sized soundheads or provides the proprietary electrotherapy features offered in our electrotherapy devices. We believe that our primary domestic competitors that manufacture competitive clinical electrotherapy and ultrasound equipment include DJO Global (Chattanooga Brand), Rich-Mar, Mettler Electronics, and the Metron Division of Patterson Medical.

Phototherapy

Competitors that manufacture and market phototherapy devices include DJO (Chattanooga Brand), Rich-Mar, Erchonia, Apollo, Multi Radiance and MedX. We are aware of only two competitors, DJO and Rich-Mar, that offer a device that includes phototherapy in combination with electrotherapy and ultrasound capabilities in the same device.

Medical Supplies and Soft Goods

We compete against various manufacturers and distributors of medical supplies and soft goods, some of which are larger, more established and have greater resources than us. Excellent customer service, along with providing online ordering capability and value to customers is of key importance for us to remain competitive in this market. While there are many specialized manufacturers in this area such as DJO, Hausmann Industries and Fabrication Enterprises, most of our competitors are primarily distributors such as Patterson Medical, North Coast Medical and Meyer Distributing. It is not common for manufacturers of products in this category to have any direct distribution of their products. They typically rely on distribution companies like Dynatronics or the competitors mentioned in this section for sale of their products. We enjoy cost advantages on the products we manufacture and distribute directly to end users compared to companies that only distribute similar products. Dynatronics and Patterson Medical are the only two companies with a direct sales force. All other competitors are primarily catalog or internet sales companies. In addition to our proprietary products, we also distribute products manufactured by many of our competitors.

Iontophoresis

Our competitors in the iontophoresis market include DJO (EMPI and Iomed divisions) Rich-Mar, Travanti Pharma and North Coast Medical. We believe that DJO enjoys the largest market share of the iontophoresis market. We also believe that our strong distribution network is important to our continued ability to compete in this increasingly competitive market. In addition, our products target a lower selling price than the products of DJO.

Treatment Tables

Our primary competition in the treatment table market is from domestic manufacturers including Hill Laboratories Company, Hausmann Industries, Patterson Medical, Bailey Manufacturing, Tri-W-G, DJO, Armedica, Stonehaven, and Clinton Industries. Cardon Industries from Canada is also a competitor. We believe we compete based on our industry experience and product quality. In addition, certain components of the treatment tables are manufactured overseas, which we believe allows for pricing advantages over competitors.

Aesthetic Products

Our primary competitor in the therapeutic massage industry is Silhouette Tone. Other competitors include Cynosure, Inc., Palomar Medical, LPG, and Syneron. The Synergie AMS device utilizes proprietary technology that has been proven effective in a research study and in more than ten years of use by doctors and spas. In addition, we provide a comprehensive training and certification program for aestheticians and medical practitioners. Our aesthetic massage equipment is priced lower than competitors' units, providing a significant advantage in the marketplace. There are a number of competitors in the microdermabrasion market including Mega Peel, Diamond Peel, DermaGenesis, DermaMed, E-Med, Integreded, Medical Alliance, Palomar, Slimtone USA and Soundskin Corp. The Synergie microdermabrasion device incorporates a patented anti-clogging design for the crystals, which sets it apart from competitors' units. In addition, the system has an innovative disposable system for the abrasive material, which prevents unwanted contact with the spent crystals following treatment. Powered by the Synergie AMS device, the Synergie microdermabrasion device is one of the most powerful and easy to control units on the market.

Competitors in the phototherapy segment of the aesthetic market include Revitalite, Silhouette Tone, Photo Actif, and DermaPulse. The Synergie LT device features a computerized dosage calculation system and is competitively priced.

Manufacturing and Quality Assurance

We manufacture therapy devices, soft goods and other medical products at our facilities in Cottonwood Heights, Utah and Chattanooga, Tennessee. We purchase some components for our manufactured products from third-party suppliers. All parts and components purchased from these suppliers meet specifications we have established. Trained staff performs all sub-assembly, final assembly and quality assurance procedures. Every effort is made to design Dynatronics products to incorporate component parts and raw materials that are readily available from suppliers.

The development and manufacture of our products is subject to rigorous and extensive regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and other regulatory agencies and authorities in the United States and abroad. In compliance with the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practices, or GMP, we have developed a comprehensive program for processing customer feedback and analyzing product performance trends. By ensuring prompt processing of timely information, we are better able to respond to customer needs and ensure proper operation of the products.

Our Cottonwood Heights facility is certified to ISO 13485:2003 standards for medical products. ISO 13485 is an internationally recognized quality management system standard adopted by over 90 countries. The ISO 13485 certification also allows us to qualify for CE Mark certification. With the CE Mark certification, we are able to market qualified products throughout the European Union and in other countries where CE Mark certification and ISO 13485 certification are recognized.

Products manufactured at our facility in Tennessee are subject to our own internal quality system which mimics the quality system implemented at our facility in Utah. While we have not sought ISO certification for the Tennessee facility, we believe our quality system is rigorous and adequate for producing the type of quality product to which our customers have become accustomed.

Research and Development

Total research and development ("R&D") expenses in fiscal year 2014 were \$992,729, compared to \$1,120,887 in fiscal year 2013. The decrease in R&D expenditures in fiscal year 2014 reflects the completion of the development work on the Dynatron Thermostim Probe. The Dynatron Thermostim Probe was introduced in December 2013. R&D expenses represented approximately 3.6% and 3.8% of our net sales in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Going forward, R&D expenditures are expected to remain near current levels in fiscal year 2015.

Regulatory Matters

The manufacture, packaging, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution and sale of our products are subject to regulation by numerous national and local governmental agencies in the United States and other countries. In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates our products pursuant to the Medical Device Amendment of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDC Act, and regulations promulgated thereunder. Advertising and other forms of promotion and methods of marketing of the products are subject to regulation by the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, under the Federal Trade Commission Act.

As a device manufacturer, we are required to register with the FDA and once registered we are subject to inspection for compliance with the FDA's Quality Systems regulations. These regulations require us to manufacture our products and maintain our documents in a prescribed manner with respect to manufacturing, testing, and control activities. Further, we are required to comply with various FDA requirements for reporting. The FDC Act and medical device reporting regulations require us to provide information to the FDA on deaths or serious injuries alleged to have been caused or contributed to by the use of our products, as well as product malfunctions that would likely cause or contribute to death or serious injury if the malfunction were to occur. The FDA also prohibits an approved device from being marketed for unapproved uses. All of our therapeutic and aesthetic treatment devices as currently designed are cleared for marketing under section 510(k) of the Medical Device Amendment to the FDC Act or are considered 510(k) exempt. If a device is subject to section 510(k) approval requirements, the FDA must receive premarket notification from the manufacturer of its intent to market the device. The FDA must find that the device is substantially equivalent to a legally marketed predicate device before the agency will clear the new device for marketing. We intend to continuously improve our products after they have been introduced to the market. Certain modifications to our marketed devices may require a premarket notification and clearance under section 510(k) before the changed device may be marketed, if the change or modification could significantly affect safety or effectiveness. As appropriate, we may therefore submit future 510(k) notifications to the FDA. No assurance can be given that clearance or approval of such new applications will be granted by the FDA on a timely basis, or at all. Furthermore, we may be required to submit extensive preclinical and clinical data depending on the nature of the product changes. All of our devices, unless specifically exempted by regulation, are subject to the FDC Act's general controls, which include, among other things, registration and listing, adherence to the Quality System Regulation requirements for manufacturing, medical device reporting and the potential for voluntary and mandatory recalls described above.

The passage in 2010 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Educational Reconciliation Act, (the "Health Care Reform Law") has affected and will continue to affect our operations. The addition of millions to the rolls of the insured is expected to increase demand for services. That increased demand could lead to increased sales of our products in the future. The magnitude of those increases is difficult to assess at this time. So far in 2014 there has been no perceptible increase in demand for services due to increases in the ranks of the insured through the Health Care Reform Law. A negative impact of this legislation as enacted is its imposition of an excise tax on all manufacturers and importers of medical devices. An excise tax is assessed against sales, not profits. Therefore, even in a year when we may have no profits, such as this year, we were required to pay the excise tax to the federal government. On December 7, 2012, the Internal Revenue Service published the interim final rules governing the payment of this tax which became effective January 1, 2013 and applies to all manufactured or imported medical devices. About half of our product sales are not subject to the tax because they are not manufactured or imported by us, but rather distributed on behalf of other manufacturers or importers. Furthermore, included in the interim final rules were certain exemptions available for products identified as generally available to the public and requiring little or no involvement of a medical practitioner to use effectively. Specifically, the rule singles out a section of medical devices identified in the Code of Federal Regulations that covers rehabilitation products. Many of the products we manufacture or import are in this category. Therefore, less than a third of our sales are subject to the tax. During this fiscal year, we paid approximately \$159,900 in medical device taxes. This compares to \$81,736 paid for the last half of fiscal year 2013. Given the reported pre-tax losses for this fiscal year of \$397,165, the medical device tax accounted for \$159,900 of that loss, or 40%. Because of the phase-in of various provisions of federal healthcare reform and other possible legislative actions, we cannot predict what the full effects of this legislation will be on our business and industry. However, both the United States Senate and House of Representatives in separate votes have indicated a willingness to repeal this punitive tax. We will continue to lobby for its repeal as it is clearly inequitable as applied to revenues instead of profits. In the meantime, we are taking full advantage of every opportunity presented by the broader legislation to find ways to increase sales and to offset any negative effects, such as the medical device tax, that may be imposed by this legislation.

The Health Care Reform Law also includes new reporting and disclosure requirements for device manufacturers with regard to payments or other transfers of value made to certain healthcare providers. Specifically, any transfer of value exceeding \$10 in a single transfer or cumulative transfers over a one-year period exceeding \$100 to any statutorily defined practitioner (primarily physicians, podiatrists, dentists and chiropractors, or a teaching hospital) must be reported to the federal government by March 31st of each year for the prior calendar year. The data will be assembled and posted to a publicly accessible website by September 30th following the March 31st reporting date. If we fail to provide these reports, or if the reports we provide are not accurate, we could be subject to significant penalties. Several states have adopted similar reporting requirements. We believe we are in compliance with the Health Care Reform Law and have systems in place to assure continued compliance.

The FDA is currently evaluating the classification of iontophoresis products. Since the passage of the Medical Device Amendment in 1975, these products have been listed as Class III products. However, the FDA has never required these products be subjected to a Pre-Market Approval (“PMA”) process like other Class III devices. Instead, it has allowed iontophoresis products to proceed to market as though they were Class II. Four years ago, the FDA indicated they intend to make a final decision to either call for a PMA for iontophoresis products or reclassify them to Class II. We submitted to the FDA the required information to allow continued marketing of our proprietary iontophoresis products until the final FDA decision is made. In our submission we urged that the products be reclassified to Class II. If the FDA does not change the classification of iontophoresis products and requires a PMA, we will be required to provide a PMA or, in the alternative, cease distributing our proprietary line and distribute competitor products that comply with the FDA requirements. We do not expect this will have a material impact on the Company’s financial results. Presently, an FDA panel has recommended that the products be considered for reclassification to Class II, but the formal action required to comply with that recommendation has not yet been completed.

During fiscal year 2003, Congress enacted the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act (MDUFMA). Among other things, this act imposes for the first time a user fee on medical device manufacturers. Under the provisions of MDUFMA and its subsequent re-authorizations, manufacturers seeking clearance to market a new device must pay a fee to the FDA in order to have their applications reviewed. We submit new products for clearance primarily under section 510(k) of the Medical Device Amendment of the FDC Act. Renewal of MDUFMA was passed during 2013 setting fees for the next five years starting 2014 that are cumulatively double what they have been the prior five years. However, the increase is not considered to have a material effect on our operations.

Failure to comply with applicable FDA regulatory requirements may result in, among other things, injunctions, product withdrawals, recalls, product seizures, fines, and criminal prosecutions. Any such action by the FDA could materially adversely affect our ability to successfully market our products. Our Utah and Tennessee facilities are inspected periodically by the FDA for compliance with the FDA's GMP and other requirements, including appropriate reporting regulations and various requirements for labeling and promotion. The FDA Quality Systems Regulations are similar to the ISO 13485 Quality Standard. The GMP regulation requires, among other things, that (i) the manufacturing process be regulated and controlled by the use of written procedures, and (ii) the ability to produce devices that meet the manufacturer's specifications be validated by extensive and detailed testing of every aspect of the process.

Advertising of our products is subject to regulation by the FTC under the FTC Act. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Section 12 of the FTC Act provides that the dissemination or the causing to be disseminated of any false advertisement pertaining to, among other things, drugs, cosmetics, devices or foods, is an unfair or deceptive act or practice. Pursuant to this FTC requirement, we are required to have adequate substantiation for all advertising claims made about our products. The type of substantiation required depends upon the product claims made.

If the FTC has reason to believe the law is being violated (e.g., the manufacturer or distributor does not possess adequate substantiation for product claims), it can initiate an enforcement action. The FTC has a variety of processes and remedies available to it for enforcement, both administratively and judicially, including compulsory process authority, cease and desist orders, and injunctions. FTC enforcement could result in orders requiring, among other things, limits on advertising, consumer redress, divestiture of assets, rescission of contracts, and such other relief as may be deemed necessary. Violation of such orders could result in substantial financial or other penalties. Any such action by the FTC could materially adversely affect the Company's ability to successfully market its products.

From time to time, legislation is introduced in the Congress of the United States or in state legislatures that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the approval, manufacturing, and marketing of medical devices and products like those we manufacture. In addition, FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the agency in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. It is impossible to predict whether legislative changes will be enacted, or FDA regulations, guidance, or interpretations will be changed, and what the impact of such changes, if any, may be on our business and our results of operations. We cannot predict the nature of any future laws, regulations, interpretations, or applications, nor can we determine what effect additional governmental regulations or administrative orders, when and if promulgated, domestically or internationally, would have on our business in the future. They could include, however, the requirement for the reformulation of certain products to meet new standards, the recall or discontinuance of certain products, additional record keeping, expanded documentation of the properties of certain products, expanded or different labeling, and additional scientific substantiation. Any or all such requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In addition to compliance with FDA rules and regulations, we are also required to comply with international regulatory laws including Health Canada, CE Mark, or other regulatory schemes used by other countries. We believe all of our present products are in compliance in all material respects with all applicable performance standards in countries where the products are sold. We also believe that our products comply with GMP, record keeping and reporting requirements in the production and distribution of the products in the United States.

Environment

Environmental regulations and the cost of compliance with them are not material to our business. We do not discharge into the environment any pollutants that are regulated by a governmental agency with the exception of the requirement to provide proper filtering of discharges into the air from the painting processes at our Tennessee location.

Seasonality

We believe that the effect of seasonality on the results of our operations is not material.

Backlog

We had a backlog of orders of approximately \$444,000 as of June 30, 2014, compared to approximately \$633,000 as of June 30, 2013.

Employees

On June 30, 2014, we had a total of 133 full-time employees and seven part-time employees, compared to 135 full-time employees and nine part-time employees on June 30, 2013.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before making a decision to invest in our common stock. Our business, operating results, financial condition or prospects could be materially and adversely affected by any of these risks and uncertainties. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you might lose all or part of your investment. In addition, the risks and uncertainties discussed below are not the only ones we face. Our business, operating results, financial performance or prospects could also be harmed by risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently do not believe are material. In assessing the risks and uncertainties described below, you should also refer to the other information contained in this Annual Report before making a decision to invest in our common stock.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We have a recent history of losses, and we may not return to or sustain profitability in the future.

We have incurred net losses in each of the previous three fiscal years. In recent years, we have made substantial investments in research and development, infrastructure, distribution channel expansion and acquisitions to support anticipated future revenue growth. In the past, from time to time we have not been in compliance with our liquidity covenants under our credit agreements and we may not be in compliance with the liquidity covenant or other financial covenants in our credit agreements in the future. We expect to continue to make significant investments in the development and expansion of our business, which may make it difficult for us to return to profitability. Our present business strategy is to improve cash flow by adding to our existing product line and expanding our sales and marketing efforts, including the addition of in house sales personnel and the possible acquisition of additional product lines and intellectual property. We cannot predict when we will again achieve profitable operations or that we will not require additional financing to fulfill our business objectives. We may not be able to increase revenue in future periods, and our revenue could continue to decline or grow more slowly than we expect. We may incur significant losses in the future for many reasons, including due to the risks described in this Annual Report.

We may need additional funding and may be unable to raise additional capital when needed, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

In the future, we may require additional capital to pursue business opportunities or acquisitions or respond to challenges and unforeseen circumstances. We may also decide to engage in equity or debt financings or enter into credit facilities for other reasons. We may not be able to secure additional debt or equity financing in a timely manner, on favorable terms, or at all. Any debt financing obtained by us in the future could involve restrictive covenants relating to our capital raising activities and other financial and operational matters, which may make it more difficult for us to obtain additional capital and to pursue business opportunities, including potential acquisitions. Failure to obtain additional financing would have a material adverse effect on our business operations.

Our level of indebtedness may harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Our level of indebtedness will impact our future operations in many important ways, including, without limitation, by:

- Requiring that a portion of our cash flows from operations be dedicated to the payment of any interest or amortization required with respect to outstanding indebtedness;
- Increasing our vulnerability to adverse changes in general economic and industry conditions, as well as to competitive pressure; and
- Limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures, general corporate and other purposes.

At the scheduled maturity of our credit facilities or in the event of an acceleration of a debt facility following an event of default, the entire outstanding principal amount of the indebtedness under such facility, together with all other amounts payable thereunder from time to time, will become due and payable. It is possible that we may not have sufficient funds to pay such obligations in full at maturity or upon such acceleration. If we default and are not able to pay any such obligations due, our lenders have liens on substantially all of our assets and could foreclose on our assets in order to satisfy our obligations. If we are unable to meet our debt service obligations and other financial obligations, we could be forced to restructure or refinance our indebtedness and other financial transactions, seek additional equity capital or sell our assets. We might then be unable to obtain such financing or capital or sell our assets on satisfactory terms, if at all. Our line of credit with a bank matures on October 31, 2014 and is expected to be extended until January 31, 2015 without further extensions, which will require that we obtain financing from another financial institution. There is no assurance we will be successful in obtaining a new credit facility from another lender. In addition, any refinancing of our indebtedness could be at significantly higher interest rates, and/or result in significant transaction fees.

If we fail to effectively expand our sales and marketing capabilities and teams, we may not be able to increase our customer base and increase revenues.

Increasing our customer base and achieving broader market acceptance of our solutions will depend on our ability to expand our sales and marketing teams and their capabilities to obtain new customers and sell additional products and services to existing customers. We believe there is significant competition for direct sales professionals with the skills and technical knowledge that we require, and we may be unable to hire or retain sufficient numbers of qualified individuals in the future. Our ability to achieve significant future revenue growth will depend on our success in recruiting, training and retaining sufficient numbers of direct sales professionals. New hires require significant training and time before they become fully productive, and may not become as productive as quickly as we anticipate. Our growth prospects will be harmed if our efforts to expand, train and retain our direct sales team do not generate a corresponding significant increase in revenue.

In addition to our direct sales team, we also extend our sales distribution through relationships with independent sales representatives and marketing service providers. These providers do not have exclusive relationships with us, and we cannot be certain that these partners will prioritize or provide adequate resources for selling our products.

Our inability to acquire and integrate other businesses, products or technologies could harm our operating results.

Our business plan includes the acquisition of other businesses, products and technologies. Since 2007, we have acquired seven former distributors. In the future we may acquire or invest in businesses, products or technologies that we believe could complement or expand our existing product lines, expand our customer base and operations, enhance

our technical capabilities or otherwise offer growth or cost-saving opportunities. We have limited experience in successfully acquiring and integrating businesses, products and technologies. If we identify an appropriate acquisition candidate, we may not be successful in negotiating the terms of the acquisition, financing the acquisition or effectively integrating the acquired business, product or technology into our existing business and operations. Our due diligence may fail to identify all of the problems, liabilities or other shortcomings or challenges of an acquired business, product or technology, including issues related to intellectual property, product quality or product architecture, regulatory compliance practices, revenue recognition or other accounting practices, or employee or customer issues.

Additionally, in connection with any acquisitions we complete, we may not achieve the synergies or other benefits we expected to achieve, and we may incur write-downs, impairment charges or unforeseen liabilities that could negatively affect our operating results or financial position or could otherwise harm our business. If we finance acquisitions by issuing convertible debt or equity securities, the ownership interest of our existing shareholders may be diluted, which could adversely affect the market price of our stock. Further, contemplating or completing an acquisition and integrating an acquired business, product or technology could divert management and employee time and resources from other matters.

Uncertain or weakened global economic conditions may adversely affect our industry, business and results of operations.

Our overall performance depends on domestic and worldwide economic conditions, which may remain challenging for the foreseeable future. Financial developments seemingly unrelated to us or to our industry may adversely affect us. The U.S. economy and other key international economies have been impacted by threatened sovereign defaults and ratings downgrades, falling demand for a variety of goods and services, restricted credit, threats to major multinational companies, poor liquidity, reduced corporate profitability, volatility in credit, equity and foreign exchange markets, bankruptcies and overall uncertainty. Healthcare reform in the United States has created a great deal of confusion and reduced capital expenditures for medical equipment and products such as those manufactured and distributed by us. These conditions affect the rate of medical device spending and could adversely affect our customers' ability or willingness to purchase our products, or delay prospective customers' purchasing decisions, any of which could adversely affect our operating results. We cannot predict the timing, strength or duration of the economic recovery or any subsequent economic slowdown worldwide, in the United States, or in our industry.

We rely on our management team and other key employees, and the loss of one or more key employees could harm our business.

Our success and future growth depend upon the continued services of our management team and other key employees, including in the areas of research and development, marketing, sales, services and general and administrative functions. From time to time, there may be changes in our management team resulting from the hiring or departure of executives, which could disrupt our business. If new key employees and other members of our senior management team cannot work together effectively, or if other members of our senior management team resign, our ability to effectively manage our business may be impacted. We may terminate any executive officer's employment at any time, with or without cause, and any executive officer may resign at any time, with or without cause. We do not maintain key person life insurance on any of our employees. The loss of any of our key employees could harm our business.

Healthcare reform in the United States has had and is expected to continue to have a significant effect on our business and on our ability to expand and grow our business.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, each enacted in March 2010, generally known as the Health Care Reform Law, significantly expanded health insurance coverage to uninsured Americans and changed the way health care is financed by both governmental and private payers. We expect expansion of access to health insurance may eventually increase the demand for our products and services, but other provisions of the Health Care Reform Law have affected us adversely. Additionally, further federal and state proposals for health care reform are likely. The reform has created uncertainty regarding reimbursement and delivery of services and resulted in reluctance on the part of health care providers to expand or improve their practices with new products and equipment, which has adversely affected our revenues. We cannot predict what further reform proposals, if any, will be adopted, when they may be adopted, or what impact they may have on us.

The Health Care Reform Law contains many provisions designed to generate the revenues necessary to fund the coverage expansions and to reduce costs of Medicare and Medicaid, including imposing a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices by manufacturers and importers beginning in 2013. This new tax is levied on sales revenue, rather than profits, and has adversely affected our sales and cost of goods sold. For example, (i) where we purchase medical devices from third-party manufacturers, the manufacturers may increase their prices to cover their payment of the excise tax and our costs to purchase such medical devices may therefore increase and (ii) where we manufacture medical devices or are the importer of record, our cost of goods sold have increased because we are subject to paying the excise tax.

As a participant in the healthcare industry, our operations and products, and those of our customers, are regulated by numerous government agencies, both inside and outside the United States.

The impact of this on us is direct, to the extent we are subject to these laws and regulations, and indirect in that in a number of situations, even though we may not be directly regulated by specific healthcare laws and regulations, our products must be capable of being used by our customers in a manner that complies with those laws and regulations. The manufacture, distribution, marketing and use of our products are subject to extensive regulation and increased scrutiny by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulatory authorities globally. Any new product must undergo lengthy and rigorous testing and other extensive, costly and time-consuming procedures mandated by FDA and foreign regulatory authorities. Changes to current products may be subject to vigorous review, including additional 510(k) and other regulatory submissions, and approvals are not certain. Our facilities must be approved and licensed prior to production and remain subject to inspection from time to time thereafter. Failure to comply with the requirements of FDA or other regulatory authorities, including a failed inspection or a failure in our adverse event reporting system, could result in adverse inspection reports, warning letters, product recalls or seizures, monetary sanctions, injunctions to halt the manufacture and distribution of products, civil or criminal sanctions, refusal of a government to grant approvals or licenses, restrictions on operations or withdrawal of existing approvals and licenses. Any of these actions could cause a loss of customer confidence in us and our products, which could adversely affect our sales. The requirements of regulatory authorities, including interpretative guidance, are subject to change and compliance with additional or changing requirements or interpretative guidance may subject the company to further review, result in product launch delays or otherwise increase our costs.

The sales, marketing and pricing of products and relationships that medical device companies have with healthcare providers are under increased scrutiny by federal, state and foreign government agencies.

Compliance with the Anti-Kickback Statute, False Claims Act, Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (including as these laws relate to off-label promotion of products) and other healthcare related laws, as well as competition, data and patient privacy and export and import laws, is under increased focus by the agencies charged with overseeing such activities, including FDA, Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission. The DOJ and the SEC have also increased their focus on the enforcement of the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), particularly as it relates to the conduct of pharmaceutical companies. The FCPA and similar anti-bribery laws generally prohibit companies and their employees, contractors or agents from making improper payments to government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. The FCPA also imposes recordkeeping and internal controls requirements on us. The laws and standards governing the promotion, sale and reimbursement of our products and those governing our relationships with healthcare providers and governments can be complicated, are subject to frequent change and may be violated unknowingly. Violations or allegations of violations of these laws may result in large civil and criminal penalties, debarment from participating in government programs, diversion of management time, attention and resources and may otherwise have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The laws and regulations discussed above are broad in scope and subject to evolving interpretations, which could require us to incur substantial costs associated with compliance or to alter one or more of our sales and marketing practices and may subject us to enforcement actions which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets, and nondisclosure and non-competition agreements to protect our proprietary intellectual property, and we will continue to do so.

While we intend to defend against any threats to our intellectual property, these patents, trade secrets, or other agreements may not adequately protect our intellectual property. Third parties could obtain patents that may require us to negotiate licenses to conduct our business, and the required licenses may not be available on reasonable terms or at all. We also rely on nondisclosure and non-competition agreements with certain employees, consultants, and other parties to protect, in part, trade secrets and other proprietary rights. We cannot be certain that these agreements will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any breach, that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information, or that third parties will not otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or proprietary knowledge.

The cost of healthcare has risen significantly over the past decade and numerous initiatives and reforms initiated by legislators, regulators and third-party payors to curb these costs have resulted in a consolidation trend in the medical device industry as well as among our customers, including healthcare providers.

This in turn has resulted in greater pricing pressures and limitations on our ability to sell to important market segments, as group purchasing organizations, independent delivery networks and large single accounts continue to consolidate purchasing decisions for some of our healthcare provider customers. We expect that market demand, government regulation, third-party reimbursement policies and societal pressures will continue to change the worldwide healthcare industry, resulting in further business consolidations and alliances which may exert further downward pressure on the prices of our products and adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are dependent on our suppliers because we do not manufacture the majority of the products we sell.

Approximately 54% of our revenues are derived from the sale and distribution of products we do not manufacture. Interruptions in supply could adversely affect our operating results. If a supplier is unable to deliver

product in a timely and efficient manner, whether due to financial difficulties, natural disasters or other reasons, we could experience lost sales. We generally do not have long-term contracts with our suppliers that commit them to produce products for us.

The products we sell are subject to market and technological obsolescence.

We carry approximately 13,000 different product stock keeping units or SKUs. Some of these products are subject to technological obsolescence outside of our control, since we do not manufacture the majority of the products we sell. If our customers discontinue purchasing a given product, we might have to record expense related to the diminution in value of inventories we have in stock, and depending on the magnitude, that expense could adversely impact our operating results. In addition to the products of others that we distribute, we design and manufacture our own medical and aesthetic devices and products. We may be unable to effectively develop and market products against the products of our competitors in a highly competitive industry. Our present or future products could be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances by our competitors. Competitive factors include price, customer service, technology, innovation, quality, reputation and reliability. Our competition may respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, undertake more extensive marketing campaigns, have greater financial, marketing and other resources than us or be more successful in attracting potential customers, employees and strategic partners. Given these factors, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to continue our level of success in the industry.

Competition in research, involving the development and improvement of new and existing products, is particularly significant and results from time to time in product obsolescence.

The markets in which we operate are highly competitive, and new products are introduced on an ongoing basis. Such marketplace changes may cause some of our products to become obsolete. If actual product life cycles, product demand or acceptance of new product introductions are less favorable than projected by management, a higher level of inventory write downs may result.

We may be adversely affected by product liability claims, unfavorable court decisions or legal settlements.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the design, manufacture and marketing of medical devices. We maintain product liability insurance coverage which we deem to be adequate based on historical experience; however, there can be no assurance that such coverage will be available for such risks in the future or that, if available, it would prove sufficient to cover potential claims or that the present amount of insurance can be maintained in force at an acceptable cost. Furthermore, the assertion of such claims, regardless of their merit or eventual outcome, also may have a material adverse effect on our Company, business reputation and operations. In addition, we may incur significant legal expenses regardless of whether we are found to be liable.

Intellectual property litigation and infringement claims could cause us to incur significant expenses or prevent us from selling certain of our products.

The medical device industry is characterized by extensive intellectual property litigation and, from time to time, we are the subject of claims by third parties of potential infringement or misappropriation. Regardless of outcome, such claims are expensive to defend and divert the time and effort of management and operating personnel from other business issues. A successful claim or claims of patent or other intellectual property infringement against us could result in our payment of significant monetary damages and/or royalty payments or negatively impact our ability to sell current or future products in the affected category.

Our success is dependent in large part on the accuracy, reliability and proper use of sophisticated and dependable information processing systems and management information technology.

Our information technology systems are designed and selected in order to facilitate order entry and customer billing, maintain records, accurately track purchases, accounts receivable and accounts payable, manage accounting, finance and manufacturing operations, generate reports and provide customer service and technical support. Any interruption in these systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in financial accounting standards or practices may cause adverse, unexpected financial reporting fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations.

Financial accounting standards may change or their interpretation may change. A change in accounting standards or practices can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change becomes effective. Changes to existing rules or the re-examining of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business. Accounting for revenue from sales of our solutions is particularly complex, is often the subject of intense scrutiny by the SEC, and will evolve as the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") continues to consider applicable accounting standards in this area.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

A decline in the price of our common stock could affect our ability to raise further working capital and adversely impact our operations and would dilute existing or future investors if we were to raise funds at lower prices.

A prolonged decline in the price of our common stock could result in a reduction in our ability to raise capital. If our stock price declines, there can be no assurance that we can raise additional capital. We believe the following factors could cause the market price of our common stock to continue to fluctuate widely and could cause our common stock to trade at a price below the price at which you purchase your shares of common stock:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results;
- announcements of new services, products, acquisitions or strategic relationships by us or our competitors;
- changes in accounting treatments or principles;
- changes in earnings estimates by securities analysts and in analyst recommendations; and
- general political, economic, regulatory and market conditions.

The market price for our common stock may also be affected by our ability to meet or exceed expectations of analysts or investors. Any failure to meet these expectations, even if minor, could materially adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our stock price has been volatile and we expect that it will continue to be volatile.

For example during the year ended June 30, 2014, the price of our common stock ranged from a high of \$7.94 to a low of \$2.33. The volatility of our stock price can be due to many factors, including:

- quarterly variations in our operating results;
- changes in the market's expectations about our operating results;
- our operating results failing to meet the expectation of securities analysts or investors in a particular period;
- changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts concerning our Company or of the healthcare industry in general;
- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to us;
- news reports relating to trends in our markets;
- changes in laws and regulations affecting our business;
- material announcements by us or our competitors;

- material announcements by the manufacturers and suppliers we use;
- sales of substantial amounts of our common stock by our directors, executive officers or significant shareholders or the perception that such sales could occur; and
- general economic and political conditions such as recessions and acts of war or terrorism.

Investors in our securities may not be able to resell them following periods of volatility because of the market's adverse reaction to the volatility of the stock price.

Our common stock may not trade at the same levels as the stock of other medical device manufacturers or health care businesses, and the market in general may not sustain its current prices.

Investors in our securities may experience dilution with the future issuances of stock, exercise of stock options and warrants, the grant of restricted stock and issuance of stock in connection with our acquisitions of other companies.

Our articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of 50,000,000 shares of common stock and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our board of directors (“Board of Directors” or “Board”) has the authority to issue additional shares of common and preferred stock up to the authorized capital stated in the articles of incorporation. Our Board of Directors may choose to issue some or all of such shares of common or preferred stock to acquire one or more businesses or to provide additional financing in the future. From time to time, we have issued and we expect we will continue to issue stock options or restricted stock grants to employees and non-employee directors pursuant to our equity incentive plan. Investors may experience dilution as the options vest and are exercised by their holders and the restrictions lapse on the restricted stock grants. In addition, we may issue stock to raise capital to fund our growth initiatives, in connection with acquisitions of other companies, or warrants in connection with the settlement of obligations and or indebtedness with vendors and suppliers, which may result in investors experiencing dilution. The issuance of any such shares of common or preferred stock may result in a reduction of the book value or market price of the outstanding shares of our common stock. If we do issue any such additional shares of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for the purchase of common stock, such issuance also will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other shareholders. Further, any such issuance may result in a change of control of our corporation.

Substantial sales of our securities, or the perception that such sales might occur, could depress the market price of our common stock.

A substantial amount of the shares of our securities are eligible for immediate resale in the public market. Any sales of substantial amounts of our securities in the public market, or the perception that such sales might occur, could depress the market price of our common stock.

Our issuance of shares of preferred stock could delay or prevent a change of control of the company.

Our Board of Directors has the authority to cause us to issue, without any further vote or action by the shareholders, up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, no par value per share, in one or more series, to designate the number of shares constituting any series, and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof, including dividend rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption, redemption price or prices and liquidation preferences of such series. The issuance of shares of preferred stock may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our Company without further action by the shareholders, even where shareholders are offered a premium for their shares. The issuance of shares of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights may adversely affect the voting power of the holders of common stock, including the loss of voting control. We have no preferred stock currently outstanding.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate headquarters and principal executive offices are located at 7030 Park Centre Drive, Cottonwood Heights, Utah. Cottonwood Heights is a suburb of Salt Lake City, Utah. The headquarters consist of a single facility housing administrative offices and manufacturing space totaling approximately 36,000 square feet. As noted in the recent development section at the beginning of this report, we sold the building in August 2014 and now lease it back from the purchaser with a monthly payment of approximately \$27,000. The lease ends in 2029. We own a 53,200 sq. ft. manufacturing facility with accompanying undeveloped acreage for future expansion in Chattanooga, Tennessee, subject to a mortgage requiring monthly payments of approximately \$13,000 and maturing in 2021. In addition, we rent office and warehouse space in Livermore, California; Stafford, Texas; Chesterfield, Michigan and Minneapolis, Minnesota. On June 30, 2014, we closed our office operations in Youngstown, OH.

We believe the facilities described above are adequate and able to accommodate our presently expected growth and operating needs. As our business continues to grow, additional facilities or the expansion of existing facilities may be required.

We own equipment used in the manufacture and assembly of our products. The nature of this equipment is not specialized and replacements may be readily obtained from any of a number of suppliers. In addition, we own computer equipment and engineering and design equipment used in research and development programs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

There are no pending legal proceedings of a material nature to which we are a party or to which any of our property is the subject.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

As of September 18, 2014, we had approximately 2,520,389 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. Our common stock is included on the NASDAQ Capital Market (symbol: DYNT). The following table shows the range of high and low sale prices for our common stock as quoted on the NASDAQ system for the quarterly periods indicated. All common stock share and per share information in the tables below have been adjusted to reflect retrospective application of the reverse stock split that was effected in December 2012.

| | Fiscal Year Ended June 30, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | | 2014 | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| 1st Quarter (July-September) | \$ 3.25 | \$ 2.35 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 2.33 |
| 2nd Quarter (October-December) | \$ 4.24 | \$ 2.00 | \$ 4.85 | \$ 2.74 |
| 3rd Quarter (January-March) | \$ 3.95 | \$ 2.30 | \$ 5.57 | \$ 2.94 |
| 4th Quarter (April-June) | \$ 2.86 | \$ 2.45 | \$ 4.44 | \$ 2.86 |

Shareholders

As of September 18, 2014, the approximate number of shareholders of record was 385. This number does not include beneficial owners of shares held in "nominee" or "street" name. Including such beneficial owners, we estimate that the total number of beneficial owners of our common stock is approximately 2,200.

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Our anticipated capital requirements are such that we intend to follow a policy of retaining earnings, if any, in order to finance the development of the business.

Purchases of Equity Securities

In February 2011, the Board of Directors approved \$1,000,000 for open market share repurchases of the Company's common stock. During fiscal year 2010, the Board also approved the repurchase of up to \$100,000 of stock annually

for three years from each of two former distributors whose businesses were acquired by the Company in 2007. During the year ended June 30, 2013, we purchased 32,786 shares of common stock from one of the former distributors for \$99,997. No purchases of common stock were made during the quarter or the year ended June 30, 2014.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes to those consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical information, this discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Factors that could cause or contribute to those differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below and those discussed above in the section entitled "1A. Risk Factors."

Overview

Our principal business is the manufacturing, distribution and marketing of physical medicine products and aesthetic products. We offer a broad line of medical equipment including therapy devices, medical supplies and soft goods, treatment tables and rehabilitation equipment. Our line of aesthetic equipment includes aesthetic massage and microdermabrasion devices, as well as skin care products. Our products are sold to and used primarily by physical therapists, chiropractors, sports medicine practitioners, podiatrists, plastic surgeons, dermatologists, aestheticians and other aesthetic services providers. Our fiscal year ends on June 30. Reference to fiscal year 2014 refers to the year ended June 30, 2014.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year 2014 Compared to Fiscal Year 2013

Net Sales

Net sales in fiscal year 2014 were \$27,444,223, compared to \$29,538,275 in fiscal year 2013. In fiscal year 2013, we introduced the new SolarisPlus product line which significantly increased sales of manufactured capital devices during that period. In fiscal year 2014, increased sales of our top-selling SolarisPlus therapy devices and new ThermoStim probe were offset by lower sales of certain medical products and supplies, including other manufactured modalities, metal treatment tables, exercise products, nutritional supplements and taping products. Market conditions continued to deteriorate during the year due to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, each enacted in March 2010 (the "Health Care Reform Law"). The Health Care Reform Law has had the effect of creating significant uncertainty relative to delivery of care and reimbursement. There has been a marked decline in the opening of new clinics and expansion of existing clinics in our marketplace which typically are a significant source of demand for our products – particularly the higher margin capital equipment products. The uncertainty surrounding the Health Care Reform Law has not only led to customers focusing more on controlling operating costs by reducing expenditures, but has also caused a reluctance to invest in new equipment and clinic upgrades. In addition to the impacts of the Health Care Reform Law, we continue to see slower economic recovery in some parts of the country as well as a temporary decrease in demand due to severe weather events during this past winter.

With a currently shrinking market, it is necessary to implement strategies to increase market share. To accomplish that, management has undertaken efforts to (i) expand our distribution channels by adding several new dealers and sales representatives, and (ii) stimulate sales of the new Dynatron ThermoStim Probe and other new products. We may also consider the acquisition of other businesses and technology. The new ThermoStim probe delivers thermal (hot and cold) therapy and/or electrotherapy in a targeted, attended treatment. Because the probe is operated from the control console of the SolarisPlus units, we are seeing demand for SolarisPlus units rise commensurate with the demand for the ThermoStim probe.

Management believes that as healthcare reform progresses, uncertainty in our market will diminish, and demand for our products will begin to strengthen.

Sales of proprietary manufactured physical medicine products represented approximately 47% and 46% of total physical medicine product sales in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Distribution of products manufactured by other suppliers accounted for the balance of our physical medicine product sales in those years. Sales of manufactured aesthetic products in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, represented approximately 86% and 78% of total aesthetic product sales, respectively, with distributed products making up the balance.

The majority of our sales revenues come from the sale of physical medicine products, both manufactured and distributed. In fiscal years 2014 and 2013, sales of physical medicine products accounted for 91% of total sales in both years. Chargeable repairs, billable freight revenue, aesthetic product sales and other miscellaneous revenue accounted for approximately 9% of total revenues in both years.

Gross Profit

Gross profit totaled \$10,020,372, or 36.5% of net sales, in fiscal year 2014, compared to \$11,086,602, or 37.5% of net sales, in fiscal year 2013. Lower sales revenue generated during the year was the primary factor in the reduction in gross profit compared to the prior year period. In addition, a reduction in revenue from the phasing out of our Stream software service contributed to the lower gross profit and gross margin percentage generated in the reporting periods. Sales of Stream services were approximately \$7,765 in 2014, compared to \$108,100 in 2013. Those sales were 100% gross profit as they carried no associated cost of sale. Loss of approximately \$100,000 in gross profit from the termination of the Stream program accounted for one third of the drop in gross profit percentage. The balance was attributable to product mix favoring lower margin supply products and slightly increased cost of sales for manufactured capital products.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A expenses were \$9,213,433, or 33.6% of net sales, in fiscal year 2014, compared to \$9,860,964, or 33.4% of net sales, in fiscal year 2013. The \$647,531 decrease in SG&A expenses in fiscal year 2014 as compared to 2013 is a result of the following:

- \$281,331 of lower selling expenses due primarily to lower sales commissions;
- \$226,860 of lower labor and overhead costs;
- \$139,340 of lower general expenses primarily related to a reduction in regulatory compliance costs and professional fees and lower bad debt expense.

The reduction in expenses was related to the declining sales. However, the reduction in expense was insufficient to fully offset the \$1,067,000 decrease in gross profit during the period.

Research and Development

Research and development, or R&D expenses for 2014 were \$992,729 compared to \$1,120,887 in 2013, a drop of \$128,158. With the completion of the development work associated with the new ThermoStim probe, R&D expense in the latter half of 2014 decreased. Over the past two years, we have introduced more new products than any previous two-year period in our history. The new product introductions include the SolarisPlus line of electrotherapy/ultrasound/ phototherapy units, the Ultra 2 and Ultra 3 motorized treatment tables, the 25 Series line of electrotherapy and ultrasound products, as well as the Dynatron ThermoStim Probe. We believe that developing new products is a key element in our strategy and critical to moving purchasing momentum in a positive direction. R&D costs are expensed as incurred and are expected to remain at current levels in the coming year as we have concluded a major R&D investment cycle incurred over the past three years. R&D expense decreased as a percentage of net sales in fiscal year 2014 to 3.6% from 3.8% of net sales in fiscal year 2013.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by \$28,834, to \$231,865 in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$260,699 in fiscal year 2013 due to lower balances on our long-term debt compared to fiscal year 2013. In August 2014, we sold our Cottonwood Heights facility housing our principal executive offices and manufacturing facilities to an investment group and leased the facility back for a 15-year term. We used the proceeds from this sale to retire the mortgage loan on the property and to pay down our line of credit by approximately \$2,100,000.

Loss Before Income Tax Benefit

Pre-tax loss in fiscal year 2014 was \$397,165, compared to \$131,125 in fiscal year 2013. Lower sales and gross margin led to \$1,066,230 in lower gross profit in 2014 compared to 2013. That lower gross profit was offset by \$775,689 in lower SG&A and R&D expenses and \$24,501 of lower interest expense and other income resulting in a \$266,040 greater loss before taxes for 2014. Adding the \$266,040 to the \$131,125 loss last year results in this year's pre-tax loss of \$397,165. We believe the introduction of the new ThermoStim probe not only adds a new, highly profitable product to our product line to increase sales, but we also expect that it will boost demand for SolarisPlus products that are required to power the ThermoStim probe.

Income Tax Benefit

Income tax benefit was \$126,023 in fiscal year 2014, compared to \$86,754 in fiscal year 2013. Due to tax benefits associated with a reduction of R&D tax credits and other credits, the effective income tax benefit rate in fiscal year 2014 was 31.7% compared to an effective tax benefit rate of 66.2% in 2013. The difference in the effective tax benefit rates is attributable to lower R&D tax credits in fiscal year 2014, a true up of R&D tax credits in 2013, as well as certain permanent book to tax differences. It should be noted that the sale of the building referenced above will result in a profit that consumes all tax attributes available to us at the end of fiscal year 2015.

Net Loss

Net loss was \$271,142 (\$.11 per share) in fiscal year 2014, compared to \$44,371 (\$.02 per share) in fiscal year 2013. As reported above, lower sales and gross profits were the primary reason for the increase in net loss for the reporting periods. These increases were partially offset by lower SG&A, R&D and interest expenses for fiscal year 2014. The difference in effective tax benefit rates also affected the increase in net loss for 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed operations through cash from operations, available cash reserves, and borrowings under a line of credit with a bank. Working capital decreased \$197,993 to \$3,347,595 as of June 30, 2014, inclusive of the current portion of long-term obligations and credit facilities, compared to working capital of \$3,545,588 as of June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had approximately \$978,800 of available credit under a credit facility with a commercial bank. The current ratio was 1.5 to 1 as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Current assets were 73% of total assets as of June 30, 2014 and 72% of total assets as of June 30, 2013.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Our cash and cash equivalents position as of June 30, 2014, was \$332,800, compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$302,050 as of June 30, 2013. Our cash position varies throughout the year, but typically stays within a range of \$200,000 to \$350,000. We expect that cash flows from operating activities, together with amounts available through an existing line-of-credit facility, will be sufficient to cover operating needs in the ordinary course of business for at least the next twelve months. If we experience an adverse operating environment, or unusual capital expenditure requirements, additional financing may be required. No assurance can be given that additional financing, if required, would be available on terms favorable to us, or at all.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, decreased \$81,316, or 2.5%, to \$3,165,396 as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$3,246,712 as of June 30, 2013. Trade accounts receivable represent amounts due from our customers including medical practitioners, clinics, hospitals, colleges and universities and sports teams as well as dealers and distributors that purchase our products for redistribution. We believe that our estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate based on our historical knowledge and relationship with these customers. Accounts receivable are generally collected within 30 days of the agreed terms.

Inventories

Inventories, net of reserves, decreased \$249,705, or 3.9%, to \$6,157,848 as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$6,407,553 as of June 30, 2013. Inventory levels can fluctuate based on the timing of large inventory purchases from overseas suppliers.

Medical Device Tax

In January 2013, all medical device manufacturers, including the Company, became subject to the medical device tax or “MDT” provisions of the Health Care Reform Law. The MDT requires that medical device manufacturers and importers pay a 2.3% excise tax on sales of all qualified medical devices. Some exemptions in the law allow us to exclude a large portion of sales from being subject to the MDT. For instance, products that are sold internationally are not subject to the MDT. Some rehabilitation products that are generally sold at retail are not subject to the MDT. Income from our distribution and sale of products manufactured by others is not taxable to us under the MDT (although many of the manufacturers of these products are raising prices to their customers, including the Company, to cover their cost of the MDT). Given these exemptions, we estimate that approximately 33% of our total sales are subject to the MDT. During fiscal year 2014, we paid approximately \$159,920, compared to \$81,736 in 2013 in MDT. The MDT began January 1, 2013 and only affected operations for the last half of fiscal year 2013, compared to the full fiscal year 2014.

Accounts Payable

Accounts payable decreased \$318,360, or 11.6%, to \$2,433,534 as of June 30, 2014, from \$2,751,894 as of June 30, 2013. Over the year, management has made a concerted effort to reduce outstanding payables. We take advantage of available early payment discounts when offered by our vendors.

Line of Credit

The outstanding balance on our line of credit increased \$24,819 to \$3,521,209 as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$3,496,390 as of June 30, 2013. Interest on the line of credit is based on the 90-day LIBOR rate (0.23% as of June 30, 2014) plus 3.5%. The line of credit is collateralized by accounts receivable and inventories. Borrowing limitations are based on approximately 45% of eligible inventory and up to 80% of eligible accounts receivable, up to a maximum credit facility of \$4,500,000. Interest payments on the line are due monthly. As of June 30, 2014, the borrowing base was approximately \$4,500,000 resulting in approximately \$979,000 of available credit on the line. All borrowings under the line of credit are presented as current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The line of credit agreement includes covenants requiring us to maintain certain financial ratios. As of June 30, 2014, we were not in compliance with one of the loan covenants; however, the bank granted a waiver for the period. The line of credit matures on October 31, 2014. On October 3, 2014, the bank informed us that it did not intend to renew the line, but would extend it until January 31, 2015 to allow us time to find a new lending partner. We intend to seek and expect to obtain a new credit facility with other lenders. We have also entered into an agreement with a placement agent to seek equity funding to provide working capital for the Company. Failure to obtain a new credit facility with another lender or to obtain the equity funding will have a material adverse effect on our business operations.

We believe that amounts available under the line of credit as well as cash generated from operating activities will continue to be sufficient to meet our short term operating requirements.

As previously explained in this report, in order to assure adequate availability of operating capital under our line of credit and to more fully take advantage of accumulated deferred tax assets, on August 8, 2014, we sold our building that houses operations in Utah and leased back the premises for a term of 15 years. The sales price was \$3,800,000. We used the proceeds from the sale to pay off the mortgage on the property, pay down amounts outstanding on our line of credit and reduce debt obligations. The profit realized from the sale will be sufficient to utilize the majority, if not all of our deferred tax assets in fiscal year 2015. As a result of this repayment of debt, our maximum credit facility under the line of credit was changed to \$2,500,000 in August 2014. Our outstanding balance under the line as of September 30, 2014 was approximately \$1,172,000.

Debt

Long-term debt, excluding current installments decreased \$306,643 to \$1,255,133 as of June 30, 2014, compared to \$1,561,776 as of June 30, 2013. Long-term debt is comprised primarily of the mortgage loans on our office and manufacturing facilities in Utah and Tennessee. The principal balance on the mortgage loans at June 30, 2014 was approximately \$1,498,051, of which \$1,291,646 is classified as long-term debt, with monthly principal and interest payments of \$30,263. Our mortgage loans mature in 2017 and 2021. In conjunction with the sale/leaseback of our Utah facility, approximately \$632,000 of mortgage debt was paid to the lender. Of this amount, approximately \$170,900 was included as current portion of long-term debt as of June 30, 2014.

Inflation

Our revenues and net income have not been unusually affected by inflation or price increases for raw materials and parts from vendors.

Stock Repurchase Plans

Our Board of Directors adopted a stock repurchase plan authorizing repurchases of shares in the open market, through block trades or otherwise. Decisions to repurchase shares under this plan are based upon market conditions, the level of our cash balances, general business opportunities, and other factors. Our Board of Directors periodically approves the dollar amounts for share repurchases under the plan. As of June 30, 2014, \$448,450 remained available under the Board's authorization for purchases under the plan. There is no expiration date for the plan. No purchases were made under this plan during the fiscal quarter and year ended June 30, 2014.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, net sales and expenses. Management bases estimates on historical experience and other assumptions it believes to be reasonable given the circumstances and evaluates these estimates on an ongoing basis. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve a high degree of judgment and complexity. See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2014, for a complete discussion of our significant accounting policies. The following summary sets forth information regarding significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Inventory Reserves

The nature of our business requires that we maintain sufficient inventory on hand at all times to meet the requirements of our customers. We record finished goods inventory at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost (first-in, first-out) or market. Raw materials are recorded at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. Inventory valuation reserves are maintained for the estimated impairment of the inventory. Impairment may be a result of slow-moving or excess inventory, product obsolescence or changes in the valuation of the inventory. In determining the adequacy of reserves, we analyze the following, among other things:

- Current inventory quantities on hand;
- Product acceptance in the marketplace;
- Customer demand;
- Historical sales;
- Forecast sales;
- Product obsolescence;
- Technological innovations; and
- Character of the inventory as a distributed item, finished manufactured item or raw material.

Any modifications to estimates of inventory valuation reserves are reflected in cost of goods sold within the statements of operations during the period in which such modifications are determined necessary by management. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, our inventory valuation reserve balance, which established a new cost basis, was \$335,355 and \$327,519, respectively, and our inventory balance was \$6,157,848 and \$6,407,553, net of reserves, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Our sales force and distributors sell our products to end users, including physical therapists, professional trainers, athletic trainers, chiropractors, medical doctors and aestheticians. Sales revenues are recorded when products are shipped FOB shipping point under an agreement with a customer, risk of loss and title have passed to the customer, and collection of any resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Amounts billed for shipping and handling of products are recorded as sales revenue. Costs for shipping and handling of products to customers are recorded as cost of sales.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We must make estimates of the collectability of accounts receivable. In doing so, we analyze historical bad debt trends, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment patterns when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Our accounts receivable balance was \$3,165,396 and \$3,246,712, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$325,355 and \$247,708, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Deferred Income Tax Assets

In assessing the deferred income tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the years in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred income tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. The sale and lease-back of our Utah facility generated sufficient profitability to use all existing deferred tax assets making the evaluation of any impairment of deferred tax assets unnecessary for fiscal year 2014 and 2015.

We have available at June 30, 2014 and 2013 federal and state net operating loss (“NOL”) carry forwards of \$745,605 and \$974,484, respectively. The federal NOLs will expire in 2030. The state NOLs will expire depending upon the various rules in the states in which we operate. Our federal and state income tax returns for June 30, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are open tax years.

Business Plan and Outlook

During the past three years, we have focused much of our resources and energy on developing new and innovative products. The scope of that R&D effort has been more significant than at any time in our history. Looking ahead, we intend to build upon the investments of the past few years. Those investments are the foundation for our growth and business success. Highlights include the following:

In December 2013, we introduced the ThermoStim probe – one of the most innovative and revolutionary products in our history. The ThermoStim probe offers the ability to deliver thermal therapy (hot and cold) and/or electrotherapy in a targeted, attended treatment. The hand held probe is an accessory to the Dynatron SolarisPlus family of products. The ThermoStim probe utilizes thermoelectric chip technology to generate the thermal therapy. This innovative product is generating demand not only for the probe, but also for the SolarisPlus units which serve as the control console for the probe. Based on sales of the last six months of the fiscal year, 80% of all ThermoStim probe sales were accompanied by the sale of a Dynatron SolarisPlus device.

In June 2013, we began shipping our Dynatron® 25 Series electrotherapy/ultrasound line of combination therapy devices. This line consists of four separate devices: the Dynatron 925, Dynatron 825, Dynatron 625 and Dynatron 525. These four units provide seven different types of electrotherapy treatments and three frequencies of ultrasound, including our proprietary three-frequency ultrasound transducers. They are capable of delivering between three and five separate treatments simultaneously, depending on the model. The ability to provide multiple treatments simultaneously is expected to be very helpful in busy clinics and training rooms, or for patients needing treatment of multiple areas of the body. The Dynatron 25 Series of products was specifically designed to replace the aging Dynatron 50 Plus series of products. This product line was also positioned to be sold through our expanding channel of general line distributors. The predecessor 50 Series Plus line of products was only available to direct sales representatives and dealers authorized to sell our specialty line of products. Making the 25 Series available to all distributors is a departure from past practice and designed to increase sales of these products. Initial results indicate that sales of 25 Series products have fallen short of expectations, but upselling from the lower price 25 Series to the SolarisPlus devices appears to be more successful than upselling from the 50 Series Plus line of products.

In December 2012, we introduced a line of motorized treatment tables. The Ultra 2 and Ultra 3 are the first two of possibly several other future treatment tables manufactured for us by Enraf-Nonius, a well-established manufacturer of physical therapy products in Europe. These tables offer features popular to the practitioner such as full-length foot bars that elevate and lower the table height together with a unique wheel raising system that lifts the table allowing an easy change between mobility and stability. Enraf tables are known for their high quality standards and are

competitively priced for the US market.

In August 2012, we introduced to the market our Dynatron SolarisPlus line of electrotherapy/ultrasound/ phototherapy units. This product line consists of four units: the Dynatron SolarisPlus 709, 708, 706, and 705. These attractive units provide our most advanced technology in combination therapy devices by adding phototherapy capabilities to enhanced electrotherapy and ultrasound combination devices. The Dynatron SolarisPlus line of products features a Tri-Wave phototherapy probe and a Tri-Wave phototherapy pad. Tri-wave phototherapy features infrared, red and blue wavelength light. The Dynatron Solaris Tri-Wave phototherapy pad is capable of treating large areas of the body via unattended infrared, red and blue wavelength phototherapy. The Tri-Wave phototherapy probe allows the practitioner to treat specific, targeted areas of the body in an attended treatment. As part of the SolarisPlus product line, we also introduced a display cart specifically designed for these units. The SolarisPlus line has become popular for its power and versatility. The units are capable of simultaneously powering five electrotherapy channels, ultrasound therapy, a phototherapy probe and phototherapy pad. No other device on the market offers such powerful simultaneous combination therapies.

The introduction of so many new products in the last two years marks the most productive two year period of new product introductions in our history. With most of the planned new products now released, R&D costs in 2014 cycled back to a lower level more in line with historical amounts. Management is confident the investments made in R&D will yield long-term benefits and are important to assuring that we maintain our reputation in the industry for being an innovator and leader in product development.

Our product catalog not only includes our proprietary products previously discussed, but also our expansive offering of non-proprietary products (approximately 13,000 SKUs) to service the broader needs of our customers. It also provides an excellent sales tool for our sales representatives in the field and the foundation for our e-commerce platform. The catalog includes an online electronic version of the catalog that is incorporated into our e-commerce website. The catalog has been praised for its clarity and ease of use.

Over the past few years, consolidations in our market have changed the landscape of our industry's distribution channels. At the present time, we believe that there remain only two companies with a national direct sales force selling proprietary and distributed products: Dynatronics and Patterson Medical. All other distribution in our market is directed through catalog companies with a limited direct sales force, or through independent local dealers that have limited geographical reach. Our national direct sales force consists of direct sales employees and independent sales representatives. In addition to these direct sales representatives, we continue to enjoy a strong relationship with scores of independent dealers. We believe we have the best trained and most knowledgeable sales force in the industry. We are actively seeking to expand our market penetration through increased distribution. To accomplish this, during fiscal year 2014, for the first time in our history, we made available to all distributors and qualified sales persons, a family of proprietary combination therapy devices, the Dynatron 25 Series. The availability of these products is attracting new distributors and sales persons. In addition, where these sales persons have had limited or no access to premier lines like the Dynatron SolarisPlus products, they are now able to access these products in certain geographical areas through the authorized sales representative or dealer who has the rights to the products in those territories. Making these products more widely available is expected to increase our ability to expand distribution of not only our own proprietary products, but also those we distribute on behalf of other manufacturers.

Pursuit of national accounts, including Group Purchasing Organizations (GPO) continues to be a strategic endeavor. During fiscal year 2014, we signed an exclusive, sole-source agreement with Amerinet, one of the five largest GPO's in the United States, to supply medical products to their acute care and alternate care members. Amerinet is one of the nation's leading healthcare GPOs, helping its members to reduce healthcare costs and improve healthcare quality. The three-year agreement with Amerinet became effective July 1, 2014. The prior vendor reported approximately \$6,000,000 per year in sales to Amerinet members. We do not expect that this contract will rise to that level for various reasons and we anticipate that it will take several months to ramp up sales under this contract. We expect that sales under this contract will gradually increase over the life of the contract to a rate of approximately \$3,000,000 annually. In 2013, we were successful in qualifying to be an approved vendor to the federal government, including the Veterans Administration hospitals and medical facilities associated with military installations.

Economic pressures from the recent recession in the United States have affected available credit that would facilitate large capital purchases, and have also reduced demand for discretionary services such as those provided by the purchasers of our aesthetic products. As a result, we reduced our expenses in the Synergie department. We believe that our aesthetic devices remain the best value on the market and we are seeking innovative ways to market these products, including strategic partnerships, both domestic and international, to help enhance sales momentum.

We have long believed that international markets present an untapped potential for growth and expansion. Adding new distributors in several countries will be the key to this expansion effort. We remain committed to finding the most effective ways to expand our markets internationally. Over the coming year, our efforts will be focused on

partnering with key manufacturers and distributors interested in our product line or technology. Our Utah facility, where all electrotherapy, ultrasound, traction, phototherapy and Synergie products are manufactured, is certified to ISO 13485:2003, an internationally recognized standard of excellence in medical device manufacturing. This designation is an important requirement in obtaining the CE Mark certification, which allows us to market our products in the European Union and in other international locations. The introduction of several important new products has generated new interest on the part of some foreign distributors in Asia, Europe and South America. We are focusing specifically on distribution in China, Japan, Central and South America. We are also examining the potential for distribution within Europe more seriously than in the past. As we secure CE Mark Certification and meet local regulatory requirements for our products we will be better able to explore the interest of distributors in these markets.

Refining our business model for supporting sales representatives and distributors will also be a focal point of operations. We will continue to evaluate the most efficient ways to maintain our satellite sales offices and warehouses. The ongoing refinement of this model is expected to yield further efficiencies that will better achieve sales goals while, at the same time, reduce expenses. For instance, on June 30, 2014 we closed our office in Youngstown, OH.

Our efforts to prudently reduce costs in the face of some economic uncertainty have made us a leaner operation. During fiscal year 2013, we implemented almost \$1,000,000 in expense reductions. In fiscal year 2014, we reduced costs by an additional \$648,000. We will continue to be vigilant in maintaining appropriate overhead costs and operating costs while still providing support for anticipated increases in sales from our new products.

Based on our defined strategic initiatives, we are focusing our resources in the following areas:

- Increasing market share of manufactured capital products by promoting sales of our new state-of-the-art Dynatron ThermoStim Probe, SolarisPlus and 25 Series products.
- Seeking to improve distribution of our products through recruitment of additional qualified sales representatives and dealers attracted by the many new products being offered and expanding the availability of proprietary combination therapy devices.
- Developing sales through the recently acquired Amerinet contract.
- Continuing to seek ways of increasing business with regional and national accounts including other group purchasing organizations such as Amerinet and the U.S. Government.
- Improving operational efficiencies by scaling costs to be reflective of current levels of sales.
- Strengthening pricing management and procurement methodologies.
- Focusing international sales efforts on identifying key distributors and strategic partners who could represent the Company's product line, particularly in China, Japan, Southeast Asia, Central and South America as well as portions of Europe.
- Exploring strategic business alliances that will leverage and complement our competitive strengths, increase market reach and supplement capital resources.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not Applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements required to be filed are indexed on page 22 and follow thereafter.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness, as of June 30, 2014, of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the “Exchange Act”). The purpose of this evaluation was to determine whether as of the evaluation date our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information we are required to disclose in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on their evaluation, our management has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2014.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. In conducting the evaluation, our management used the criteria set forth in 1992 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (the COSO criteria). Based on our evaluation under the COSO criteria, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014 is effective.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitation on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

The effectiveness of any system of internal control over financial reporting, including ours, is subject to inherent limitations, including the exercise of judgment in designing, implementing, operating, and evaluating the controls and procedures, and the inability to completely eliminate misconduct. Accordingly, any system of internal control over financial reporting, including ours, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable, not absolute assurance. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. We intend to continue to monitor and upgrade our internal controls as necessary or appropriate for our business, but cannot assure you that such improvements will be sufficient to provide us with effective internal control over financial reporting.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock ("Reporting Persons") to file initial reports of ownership and to report changes in ownership in reports filed with the SEC. Reporting Persons are required by regulation of the SEC to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on review of the copies of the Forms 3, 4 and 5 (and amendments thereto) furnished to us during and with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, we believe that during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 all Section 16(a) filings applicable to these Reporting Persons were timely filed.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

26

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

The Board comprises experienced leaders in their respective fields. Many of the current directors currently hold or have held senior leadership positions in domestic and international companies. Most of them have also served for several years on our Board of Directors, gaining deep insight into our operations and our industry. In these positions with the Company and with other enterprises, the nominees have also gained significant and diverse management experience, including strategic and financial planning, public company financial reporting, compliance, risk management and leadership development. Several of the directors also have experience serving as executive officers, or on boards of directors and board committees of other public companies, and have an understanding of corporate governance practices and trends.

The following information describes the skills, qualities, attributes and experience of each of the nominees that led the Board to determine that these directors are qualified to serve as members of our Board of Directors in the capacities indicated.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President Age 57 Director since 1983</p> | <p>Mr. Cullimore has been our Chairman since January 2005 and President and Chief Executive Officer since 1992. He served as our Secretary/Treasurer from 1983 to 1992 and as Administrative Vice President from 1988 to 1992. Mr. Cullimore graduated cum laude from Brigham Young University in 1980 with a Bachelor's degree in Financial and Estate Planning. In addition to his involvement with Dynatronics, Mr. Cullimore served as Executive Vice President and a director of our former parent company. Mr. Cullimore has served previously on the board of directors of a printing company, lumber company, theater and restaurant company and travel agency. Mr. Cullimore is a member of the board and serves as Secretary of the Medical Device Manufacturers Association, a national medical device trade association headquartered in Washington D.C. He also serves as the Mayor of Cottonwood Heights, Utah, a suburb of Salt Lake City, where our corporate headquarters are located. Based on his experience in management, his long association with and effective leadership of the Company, and his prominence in national associations in our industry, we believe Mr. Cullimore is well qualified to serve on our Board.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Larry K. Beardall Executive Vice President of Sales and Marketing Age 57 Director since 1986</p> | <p>Mr. Beardall was appointed as our Executive Vice President in December 1992. He has been a director and the Vice President of Sales and Marketing since July 1986. Mr. Beardall joined us in February 1986 as Director of Marketing. He graduated from Brigham Young University with a Bachelor's degree in Finance in 1979. Prior to his employment with the Company, Mr. Beardall worked as Manager of Mergers and Acquisitions with GTE Corporation in Durham, North Carolina and then as National Sales Manager of Donzis Protective Equipment, a supplier of protective sports equipment in Houston, Texas. He also served on the board of directors of Nielsen & Nielsen, Inc., the marketing arm for Donzis. Based on Mr. Beardall's experience and background in our industry, we believe that he is qualified to serve on our Board</p> |
|---|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Howard L. Edwards* Director Age 82 Director since 1997</p> | <p>Since 1987, Mr. Edwards has served on the National Advisory Council of Dixie State University (St. George, Utah) and from 1974 to 1983 he served on the Alumni Board of Brigham Young University. From 1970 until 1996, Mr. Edwards served on the board of directors of Lerch Bates and Associates. From 1968 to 1995, Mr. Edwards served in various capacities at Atlantic Richfield</p> |
|---|--|

Company (ARCO) and its predecessor, the Anaconda Company, including Corporate Secretary, Vice President, Treasurer and General Attorney. Mr. Edwards graduated from the George Washington University School of Law and holds a Bachelor's degree in Finance and Banking from Brigham Young University. Based on Mr. Edwards' substantial experience in operations of multi-national businesses and boards of directors, we believe that Mr. Edwards is well qualified to serve on our Board.

Joseph H. Barton*
Director
Age 85
Director since 2004

Since 2000, Mr. Barton has served on the board of directors of EcoNova, Inc., a privately held Utah corporation engaged in the design and installation of water purification systems. Mr. Barton also served on our Board of Directors from 1996 to 2002 before taking a two-year hiatus. He has held various executive positions, including President of J.H. Barton Construction Company, Senior Vice President of Beverly Enterprises, and President of KB Industries, a building and land development company. Mr. Barton also served as Senior Vice President of GranCare, Inc. from 1989 to 1994. He received a Civil Engineering degree from the University of California at Berkeley. Based on Mr. Barton's experience, insight, and background in business, we believe that he is well qualified to serve on our Board.

R. Scott Ward, PhD*
Director
Age 57
Director since 2013

Dr. Ward serves as the chairman of the Department of Physical Therapy at the University of Utah. He is the past president of the American Physical Therapy Association, a position he held from 2006 to 2012. In addition, Dr. Ward served as chair of the rehabilitation committee of the American Burn Association. He has published extensive research studies related to wound care and burn rehabilitation. Dr. Ward received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Physical Therapy and a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Physiology from the University of Utah. Based on Dr. Ward's prominence in his field, and his extensive experience and expertise in physical therapy, we believe that Dr. Ward is well qualified to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

* Denotes member of the Audit and Compensation Committees of our Board of Directors.

Independence

We continue to monitor the rules and regulations of the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market to ensure that a majority of our Board of Directors are deemed to be independent. Our Board of Directors has determined, after considering all of the relevant facts and circumstances, that each of Mr. Edwards, Mr. Barton and Dr. Ward is independent within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. This means that the Board of Directors has determined that each of these directors (1) is not an officer or employee of Dynatronics or its subsidiary, and (2) has no direct or indirect relationship with Dynatronics that would interfere with the exercise of his independent judgment in carrying out his responsibilities of a director. As a result, the Board of Directors has determined that we have a majority of independent directors as required by the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. The Board also has determined that each independent director also qualifies as "independent" as the term is used in Item 407 of Regulation S-K as promulgated by the SEC and as that term is defined under NASDAQ Rule 4200(a) (15). In addition, each member of the Audit Committee is independent as required under Section 10A (m) (3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

None of our directors has been, during the past 10 years:

- (i) involved in any bankruptcy petition filed by or against such person or any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
- (ii) named in as a defendant or counter-claimant in any civil litigation;

- (iii) convicted or plead nolo contendere in any criminal proceeding or is subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
- (iv) subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoined, barred, suspended or otherwise limited from involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities or banking activities;
- (v) found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;
- (vi) involved in any judicial or administrative proceeding resulting from involvement in mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity;
- (vii) involved in any judicial or administrative proceedings based on violations of federal or state securities, commodities, banking or insurance laws and regulations, or any settlement to such actions (other than settlements of civil proceedings among private parties);
- (viii) involved in any disciplinary sanction or orders imposed by a stock, commodities or derivatives exchange or other similar self-regulatory organization.

Committees

Our Board of Directors has two standing committees: the Compensation Committee and the Audit Committee. Each of these committees is comprised solely of independent directors. The Board of Directors does not have a standing nominating committee or other committee that recommends qualified candidates to the Board of Directors for nomination or election as directors.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving, where required, the compensation, as well as evaluating the performance, of our principal executive officer and other executive officers, and advising and assisting management in developing our overall compensation strategy to assure that it promotes shareholder interests, supports our strategic and tactical objectives, and provides for appropriate rewards and incentives for our management and employees. In exercising its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee establishes and monitors policies governing the compensation of executive officers, reviews the performance of and determines salaries and incentive compensation for executive officers, and makes option awards to those individuals. Additionally, the Compensation Committee administers our stock plans and reviews and approves the structure of our bonus plans. The Compensation Committee has a written charter, a copy of which is available on our corporate Web site, www.dynatronics.com, under “Company Information, Investor Relations, Company Policies.”

The following independent directors are members of the Compensation Committee: Howard L. Edwards (chair), Joseph H. Barton, and R. Scott Ward. The Compensation Committee held no meetings during fiscal year 2014.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which has been established in accordance with requirements of Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act is comprised of the following independent directors: Howard L. Edwards (committee chairman), Joseph H. Barton and R. Scott Ward. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee: (i) is independent, (ii) meets the financial literacy requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, and (iii) meets the enhanced independence standards established by the SEC. In addition, the Board has determined that Mr. Edwards qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” as that term is defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Exchange Act by the SEC.

The Audit Committee is primarily concerned with the integrity of our financial statements, the independence, qualifications and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm, and our compliance with legal requirements. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee that reflects standards and requirements adopted by the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market. The Audit Committee Charter can be found on our website, www.dynatronics.com, under “Company Information, Investor Relations, Company Policies.” The Audit Committee held four meetings during fiscal year 2014. Each member of the Audit Committee attended at least 75% of the Audit Committee’s meetings.

According to its charter, the Audit Committee’s duties include selecting and engaging our independent registered public accounting firm; reviewing the scope of the audit to be conducted by our independent registered public accounting firm; overseeing our independent registered public accounting firm and reviewing the results of its audit; reviewing our financial reporting processes, including the accounting principles and practices followed and the financial information provided to shareholders and others; overseeing our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures; and serving as our legal compliance committee.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

There were 10 meetings of the Board of Directors held during fiscal year 2014. No director attended fewer than 75% of these meetings.

Executive Sessions of Independent Directors

The independent directors meet in executive session at scheduled times and as needed during the year. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the independent directors did not meet in executive session.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. serves as the Chairman of our Board of Directors and as our Chief Executive Officer. We do not have a formal policy with respect to separation of the offices of chairman of the board and chief executive officer, and the Board of Directors believes that flexibility in appointing the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer allows the Board of Directors to make a determination as to such positions from time to time and in a manner that it believes is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders.

The Board of Directors believes that the traditional practice of combining the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer currently provides the preferred form of leadership for the Company. Given Mr. Cullimore's long tenure and vast experience with the Company and our industry, the tremendous respect which he has earned from employees, business partners and shareholders, as well as other members of the medical device manufacturers industry, and his proven leadership skills, the Board of Directors believes the best interests of our shareholders are met by Mr. Cullimore's continued service in both capacities. The Board of Directors also believes that Mr. Cullimore's performance of both responsibilities encourages clear accountability and effective decision-making, and provides strong leadership for our employees and other stakeholders.

Given the experience and qualifications our directors contribute to the Board's activities, we have implemented a number of practices designed to encourage effective corporate governance. These practices include:

- the requirement that at least a majority of the directors meet the standards of independence applicable to the Company;
- the appointment of Howard L. Edwards as lead independent director, empowered to schedule and conduct meetings of the independent directors, communicate with the Chairman of the Board, disseminate information to the Board and raise issues with management on behalf of the independent directors when appropriate;
- executive sessions of the independent members of the Board of Directors and committee meetings which include individual sessions with representatives of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, as well as the CFO and CEO; and
- completion of performance evaluations of the CEO by the Compensation Committee.

Our management is primarily responsible to manage risk and inform the Board of Directors regarding the most material risks confronting us and our business. The Board of Directors has oversight responsibility of the processes established to monitor and manage such risks. The Board of Directors believes that such oversight function is the responsibility of the entire Board of Directors through frequent reports and discussions at regularly scheduled Board meetings. In addition, the Board has delegated specific risk management oversight responsibility to the Audit

Committee and to the independent members of the Board of Directors. In particular, the Audit Committee oversees management of risks related to accounting, auditing and financial reporting and maintaining effective internal controls for financial reporting. The independent members of the Board of Directors oversee risk management related to corporate governance practices and executive compensation plans and arrangements. These specific risk categories and our risk management practices are reviewed by the entire Board of Directors in the ordinary course of regular Board meetings.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Shareholders may communicate directly with our Board of Directors by writing to them at Board of Directors, c/o Vice President of Administration, Dynatronics Corporation, 7030 Park Centre Drive, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121. All communications received in this manner will be opened by the Secretary of the Company for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to our directors; after which they will be forwarded to the director or directors to whom addressed, except for communications that are (1) advertisements, promotions of a product or service, patently offensive material or matters deemed inappropriate for the Board of Directors, (2) solely related to complaints with respect to ordinary course of business customer service and satisfaction issues, or (3) clearly unrelated to our business, industry, management, Board of Directors, or related committee matters.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have established a Code of Business Ethics that applies to our officers, directors and employees. The Code of Business Ethics contains general guidelines for conducting our business consistent with the highest standards of business ethics, and is intended to qualify as a “code of ethics” within the meaning of the Exchange Act and as a “code of business conduct and ethics” within the meaning of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

All of our directors, officers and employees must act in accordance with our Code of Business Ethics. Employees and directors are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. In addition, our Audit Committee has established procedures to receive, retain and treat complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters, and to allow for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

The Code of Business Ethics is available on our website at www.dynatronics.com, in the “Company Information, Investor Relations, Company Policies” section. A copy may also be obtained by writing to the Vice President of Administration, Dynatronics Corporation, 7030 Park Centre Drive, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of our Board of Directors is employed by us except for Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. (President and Chief Executive Officer) and Larry K. Beardall (Executive Vice President). None of our executive officers serves on the board of directors of another entity whose executive officers serve on the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. No officer or employee of Dynatronics participated in deliberations of our Compensation Committee concerning executive officer compensation.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation program and reviews all compensation decisions relating to our executive officers, including our principal executive officer. The Compensation Committee evaluates both performance and compensation to ensure that we are able to attract and retain the best possible employees in key positions and that the compensation provided to key employees remains competitive with the compensation provided to employees of our peer group comprised of companies of comparable revenue and market capitalization in the diversified high technology market. The following is a discussion of our compensation program for compensation of our Named Executive Officers and directors.

Compensation Program Objectives

Executive compensation is determined by several factors. The following are the main objectives of our executive compensation program as determined by the Compensation Committee:

- Retention of qualified officers.
- Providing overall corporate direction for the officers and also to provide direction that is specific to officer's respective areas of authority. The level of compensation amongst the officer group, in relation to one another, is also considered in order to maintain a high level of satisfaction within the leadership group. We consider the relationship that the officers maintain to be one of the most important elements of the leadership group.
- Providing a performance incentive for the officers.

The compensation program is designed to reward the officers in the following areas:

- Achievement of specific goals
- Creativity in the form of innovative ideas and analysis for new programs and projects
- New program implementation
- Attainment of company goals, budgets, and objectives
- Results-oriented determination and organization
- Positive and supportive direction for company personnel

The Compensation Committee determines the portion of compensation allocated to each element for each individual executive officer. The discussions of compensation practices and policies are of historical practices and policies. Our Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee expect to continue these policies and practices, but will reevaluate the practices and policies as they consider advisable.

The principal elements of our executive compensation program include:

- Base salary
- Performance bonus
- Stock options and stock awards
- Employee benefits in the form of:
 - o health and dental insurance
 - o life insurance
- Other benefits including use of Company automobile and cell phone

Base salary

Base salary is intended to provide competitive compensation for job performance and to attract and retain qualified executive officers. The base salary level is determined by considering several factors inherent in the market place such as: the size of the company; the prevailing salary levels for the particular office or position; prevailing salary levels in a given geographic locale; and the qualifications and experience of the executive officer. In determining the salary of the executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered the comparable salary levels provided by various published executive compensation survey reports for the medical device industry.

Performance bonus

Bonuses are based on company performance. A percentage formula based on our pre-tax profit is used in determining the performance bonus for the executive officers.

Stock options and stock awards

Stock ownership is provided to enable executive officers to participate in our success. The direct or potential ownership of stock is expected to provide the incentive to expand the involvement of the executive officer to include, and therefore be mindful of, the perspective of our shareholders.

Employee benefits

Employee benefits for the executive officers are selected to provide security. Most notably, insurance coverage for health, life, and disability are intended to provide a level of protection that will enable the executive officers to function without having the distraction of having to manage undue risk. The health insurance also provides access to preventative medical care which will help the executive officers function at a high energy level, manage job related stress, and contribute to the overall well being of the executive officers, all of which contribute to an enhanced job performance.

Other benefits

Other employee benefits such as cell phones and automobile usage are directly related to job functions and contain a personal use element which is considered to be a goodwill gesture that contributes to enhanced job performance.

As discussed above, the Compensation Committee determines the portion of compensation allocated to each element for each individual executive officer. As a general rule, base salary is competitively based while giving consideration to employee retention, qualifications, performance, and general market conditions. Typically, stock options are based on the current market value of the underlying common shares and how that will contribute to the overall compensation of the executive officer. Consideration is also given to the fact that the option has the potential for an appreciated future value. As such, this future value may in fact be the most significant factor of the option, but it is also more difficult to quantify as a benefit to the executive officer.

Accordingly, in determining our compensation program, as well as setting the compensation for each executive officer, the Compensation Committee attempts to attract the interest of the executive officer within the constraints of a compensation package that is fair and equitable to all parties involved.

Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

We believe it is in our best interests to secure the services of key executives and that it is appropriate to provide these executives with protection should their employment with Dynatronics be terminated under certain circumstances. Therefore, we have entered into written employment contracts with Larry K. Beardall, our Executive Vice President and Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr., our Chairman, President, and CEO. These agreements were approved by the Compensation Committee.

The compensation package under each of these contracts includes a salary, an automobile allowance, an annual bonus based on pre-tax operating profit (at rates established by the Compensation Committee), and stock and/or stock options granted under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and the 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated. They also receive other welfare and employee benefits that are standard in such agreements, including, by way of example, health insurance, and paid vacation. These contracts also contain a provision granting the executives certain rights and protections in the event of a change in control.

As defined in these employment contracts, a “change of control” will occur in transactions such as an acquisition of Dynatronics through a purchase of shares or assets, or by merger, in which any person is or becomes the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of our then outstanding voting securities; or the composition of the Board of Directors is changed such that the former members of the Board of Directors cease to constitute at least a majority of the Board of Directors; or our shareholders approve an agreement for the sale or disposition by us of all or substantially all of our assets.

If a change of control occurs and the employment of Mr. Beardall is terminated within 18 months thereof, he is to be paid 12 months of salary and a lump sum of \$400,000. If a change of control occurs and the employment of Mr. Cullimore, Jr. is terminated within 18 months thereof, he is to be paid 12 months of salary and a lump sum of \$500,000. All stock options, stock awards, warrants and other similar rights granted by us to each executive prior to termination will immediately and entirely vest and will be immediately delivered to the executive without restriction or limitation of any kind (except for normal transfer restrictions required by law). Each agreement also provides that we will transfer to each executive title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to either (i) the Company-owned vehicle used by the executive at the time of termination, or (ii) a vehicle of substantially similar market value.

These employment contracts terminate upon the executive’s death or disability or termination of their employment for cause. The employment contracts also contain covenants against competition by each executive during the term of his employment and for two years after the termination of his employment for any reason.

401(k) Plan

Dynatronics has adopted a 401(k) plan. Employees who are 20 years of age or older and have completed at least six months of service with us are eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan.

Eligible employees may make contributions to the 401(k) plan in the form of salary deferrals of up to 20% of total compensation, not to exceed \$17,000, the maximum allowable amount of salary deferrals for calendar year 2014. We match annual employee contributions at 25% of employee contributions, up to a maximum of \$500 per employee per year.

Participants in the 401(k) plan are fully vested in their salary deferral contributions and vest 20% per year after two years of participation in matching contributions. Amounts deferred by Named Executive Officers in the 401(k) plan, along with the 25% matching contributions, are included under “Other Compensation” in the Summary Compensation Table.

Summary Compensation Table 2014 and 2013

The following table summarizes information concerning the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to, our Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) during fiscal years 2014 and 2013 and our two other highest paid executive officers earning in excess of \$100,000 for services rendered in all capacities (collectively, the “Named Executive Officers”) who were serving in such capacities as of June 30, 2014.

| Name and principal position (a) | Year ended June 30, (b) | Salary (\$)(c) | Bonus (\$)(d) | Stock awards (\$)(Note 2)(e) | Option awards (\$)(f) | Nonequity incentive plan compensation (\$)(g) | Nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (\$)(h) | All other compensation (\$)(Note 1)(i) | Total (\$)(j) |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr., Chairman, President/CEO | 2014 | \$175,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 27,745 | \$203,345 |
| | 2013 | \$175,600 | \$13,652 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0- | \$ 0- | \$ 25,667 | \$214,919 |
| Larry K Beardall, Executive Vice President | 2014 | \$160,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 24,427 | \$185,027 |
| | 2013 | \$160,600 | \$7,782 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 22,739 | \$191,121 |
| Bryan D. Alsop, VP Information Technology | 2014 | \$128,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 13,389 | \$141,389 |
| | 2013 | \$128,000 | \$2,456 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 13,322 | \$143,778 |

(1) For each of the individuals listed in the table above, “All Other Compensation” includes but is not limited to perquisites including the dollar value of insurance premiums paid with respect to health and dental insurance, use of Company paid automobile, and cellular phone.

Outstanding Equity Awards as of Fiscal Year-End 2014

The following table summarizes the outstanding equity awards held by our Named Executive Officers as of June 30, 2014:

| Option Awards | Stock Awards | Equity incentive plan awards: market or payout |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Equity incentive plan awards: | |

Edgar Filing: DYNATRONICS CORP - Form 10-K/A

| Name (a) | Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable (b) | Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable (c) | Equity incentive plan awards: number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) (d) | Option exercise price (\$) (e) | Option expiration date (f) | Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (g) | Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$) (h) | number of unearned shares, units, or other rights that have not vested (#) (i) | value of unearned shares, units, or other rights that have not vested (\$) (j) |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. Principal Executive Officer | - 8,000 6,000 | - - - | - - - | - \$8.60 \$7.10 | - 5/24/15 11/22/15 | 72,000 - - | \$267,840 - - | - - - | - - - |
| Larry K. Beardall | - 8,000 5,000 | - - - | - - - | - \$8.60 \$7.10 | - 5/24/15 11/22/15 | 32,000 - - | \$119,040 - - | - - - | - - - |
| Bryan D. Alsop | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | \$4.15 | 7/28/19 | - | - | - | - |

Equity Compensation Plans

We have two equity compensation plans, the Amended and Restated 1992 Stock Option Plan (the “1992 Plan”) and the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2005 Plan”). Both plans were approved by our shareholders. Nonstatutory and statutory options and other awards have been granted to our employees, officers, directors and consultants under these plans. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors administers both plans. As of June 30, 2014, options for the purchase of 137,804 shares were exercisable and a total of 155,604 shares were outstanding under these plans.

With the adoption of the 2005 Plan, our Board of Directors determined that no further awards would be granted under the 1992 Plan. As of June 30, 2014, a total of 117,451 shares were available for issuance through options or awards yet to be granted under the 2005 Plan. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, each of the three independent directors received 495 shares of restricted common stock under the 2005 Plan.

The following table sets forth information as of June 30, 2014 about our stock option plans and our non-plan options under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 259,604 | \$ 3.87 | 117,451 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | - | - | - |
| Total | 259,604 | | 117,451 |

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Voting Securities and Principal Shareholders

The following tables contain information as of October 15, 2014 (the “Table Date”) with respect to beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock, for (1) all persons known to be holders of more than 5% of our voting securities based solely on our review of SEC filings, (2) each director, (3) each Named Executive Officer in the Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement holding office on the Table Date, and (4) all executive officers and directors as a group. As of the Table Date, 2,520,389 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. Unless noted otherwise, we believe each person named below has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares

indicated. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, the address of the shareholder is the address of our principal executive office, 7030 Park Centre Drive, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121.

Security Ownership of Holders of More than 5% of Voting Securities

| Name of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares(1) | Percent of Class |
|---|---------------------|------------------|
| Stephen Cyman 50760 Metzen Dr. Chesterfield, MI 48051 | 148,408 | 5.9 % |
| John Rajala/Rajala Family Trust 12 Red Maple Place Danville, CA 94506 | 142,492 (2) | 5.7 % |
| AJB Investment Fund II LP and Adam J. Bradley 123 S. White St. Wake Forest, NC 27587 | 126,297 | 5.0 % |

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Included in the computation of the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person are shares of common stock subject to options, warrants, or other convertible instruments held by that person that are exercisable or that become exercisable at the Table Date or within 60 days thereafter. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

(2) Includes 140,000 shares owned by a family trust.

Security Ownership of Management

| Name of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares(1) | Percent of Class |
|---|---------------------|------------------|
| Directors & Exec. Officers | | |
| Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. (CEO/Director) | 210,058 (3) | 8.3 % |
| Larry K. Beardall (Exec. VP/Director) | 88,118 (4) | 3.5 % |
| Howard L. Edwards (Director) | 25,472 (5) | 1.0 % |
| Joseph H. Barton (Director) | 14,274 (6) | * |
| R. Scott Ward (Director) | | |
| Other Executive Officers | | |
| Douglas G. Sampson (VP/Operations) | 5,000 (7) | * |
| Robert J. Cardon (VP/Administration) | 18,700 (8) | * |
| Terry M. Atkinson (CFO) | 10,000 (9) | * |
| Bryan D. Alsop (VP/Information Technology) | 5,000 (10) | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (9 persons) | 376,621 | 14.6 % |

* Represents less than one percent of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock as of the Table Date.

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Included in the computation of the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person are shares of common stock subject to options, warrants, or other convertible instruments held by that person that are exercisable or that become exercisable within 60 days of the Table Date. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.
- (3) Includes 114,058 shares owned directly, 72,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest upon retirement, change of control or death, 10,000 shares owned by Mr. Cullimore's wife, and options for the purchase of 14,000 shares.
- (4) Includes 43,118 shares owned directly, 32,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest upon retirement, change of control or death, and options for the purchase of 13,000 shares.
- (5) Includes 20,672 shares owned directly and options for the purchase of 4,800 shares.
- (6) Includes 8,874 shares owned directly and options for the purchase of 5,400 shares.
- (7) Includes options for the purchase of 5,000 shares.
- (8) Includes 8,700 shares owned directly and options for the purchase of 10,000 shares.
- (9) Includes 2,000 shares owned directly and options for the purchase of 8,000 shares.
- (10) Includes options for the purchase of 5,000 shares.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Transaction Review

We have adopted a policy that any transactions with directors, executive officers or entities of which they are also officers or directors or in which they have a financial interest, will only be on terms consistent with industry standards and approved by a majority of the disinterested directors of our Board of Directors. Our bylaws provide that no such transactions by us shall be either void or voidable solely because of such relationship or interest of directors or officers or solely because such directors are present at the meeting of our Board of Directors or a committee thereof which approves such transactions, or solely because their votes are counted for such purpose if:

- The fact of such common directorship or financial interest is disclosed or known by our Board of Directors or committee and noted in the minutes, and our Board of Directors or committee authorizes, approves or ratifies the contract or transaction in good faith by a vote for that purpose without counting the vote or votes of such interested directors; or
- The fact of such common directorship or financial interest is disclosed to or known by the shareholders entitled to vote, and they approve or ratify the contract or transaction in good faith by a majority vote or written consent of shareholders holding a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote (the votes of the interested directors or officers shall be counted in any such vote of shareholders); or
- The contract or transaction is fair and reasonable to us at the time it is authorized or approved.

In addition, interested directors may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of our Board of Directors or a committee thereof that approves such transactions. If there are no disinterested directors, we shall obtain a majority vote of the shareholders approving the transaction.

Transactions with Related Parties

During fiscal year 2014, the office and warehouse spaces in Detroit, Michigan; and Hopkins, Minnesota were leased on an annual/monthly basis from employees/shareholders; or entities controlled by shareholders, who were previously principals of the dealers acquired in 2007. During fiscal year 2013, the office and warehouse space in Pleasanton, California was leased on an annual basis from employees/shareholders; or entities controlled by shareholders, who were previously principals of the dealers acquired in 2007.

The leases are related-party transactions with three employee/shareholders, however, management believes the lease agreements have been conducted on an arms-length basis and the terms are similar to those that would be available to other third parties. In December, 2012, the Company moved its Pleasanton operation to a new, larger location in Livermore, California and entered into a lease agreement with an unaffiliated third party. The expense associated with these related-party transactions totaled \$69,900 and \$93,300 expense for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

In 2010 we agreed to repurchase up to \$100,000 of common stock from John Rajala and Tony Trolio annually for three years at the market value of the stock on the date of purchase. These agreements became effective on July 1, 2010 and expired June 30, 2013. Pursuant to these agreements, we purchased \$100,000 of common stock from each of these shareholders during the year ended June 30, 2011. In addition, we purchased \$100,000 of common stock from Mr. Rajala during each of the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2013. Mr. Rajala and Mr. Trolio originally

acquired their shares of common stock in connection with the sale of their respective distribution companies in 2007.

Except as described above and in this 10K under executive employment contracts, during the two years ended June 30, 2014, we were not a party to any transaction in which any director, executive officer or shareholder holding more than 5% of the issued and outstanding common stock had a direct or indirect material interest.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation Fees

Our independent registered public accounting firm is Mantyla McReynolds LLC. In 2013, our independent registered public accounting firm was Larson & Company PC. During 2013 and 2014, we did not engage Larson & Company PC or Mantyla McReynolds LLC to provide any professional services in connection with (i) operating or supervising the operation of our information system or managing our local area network or (ii) designing or implementing a hardware or software system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements or generates information that is significant to our financial statements taken as a whole.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Mantyla McReynolds LLC for professional services rendered for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 in connection with (i) the audit of our annual financial statements set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year then ended, and (ii) the reviews of our quarterly financial statements set forth in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of our fiscal quarters during the periods then ended, totaled approximately \$59,000. No fees were billed and no professional services were rendered by Mantyla McReynolds LLC for fiscal year 2013.

The aggregate fees billed by Larson & Company PC for professional services rendered for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 in connection with (i) the audit of our annual financial statements set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year then ended, and (ii) the reviews of our quarterly financial statements set forth in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of our fiscal quarters during the periods then ended, totaled approximately \$70,000. The aggregate fees billed by Larson & Company PC for professional services rendered for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 in connection with the review of our quarterly financial statements set forth in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, totaled approximately \$9,233.

Audit-Related Fees

No fees were billed by Mantyla McReynolds LLC or Larson & Company PC in each of the last two fiscal years for other assurance and related services.

Audit Committee Policy Regarding Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee has established a policy that all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm will be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee considers whether the provision of each non-audit service is compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm. Pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. The independent registered public accounting firm and our management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

(1) Financial statements as indexed below;

(2) Financial statement schedules required to be filed by Item 8 of this form and by paragraph (b) of Item 15, below (included in the financial statements as required); and

(3) Those exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K, indexed in (b), below.

(b) Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

Exhibit Description

No.

3.1 Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of Dynatronics Laser Corporation. Incorporated by reference to a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 2-85045) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and effective November 2, 1984.

3.2 Articles of Amendment dated November 21, 1988 (previously filed)

3.3 Articles of Amendment dated November 18, 1993 (previously filed)

3.4 Bylaws dated May 19, 1983 (previously filed)

4.1 Form of certificate representing Dynatronics Laser Corporation common shares, no par value. Incorporated by reference to a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 2-85045) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and effective November 2, 1984.

10.1 Employment contract with Larry K. Beardall (filed as an Exhibit to a Current Report on Form 8-K on March 28, 2012)

10.2 Loan Agreement with Zions Bank (filed as Exhibit to June 30, 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K)

10.3 Dynatronics Corporation 2005 Equity Incentive Award Plan (previously filed as Annex A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 27, 2005)

- 10.4 Form of Option Agreement for the 2005 Equity Incentive Award Plan for incentive stock options (filed as Exhibit to June 30, 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K)
- 10.5 Form of Option Agreement for the 2005 Equity Incentive Award Plan for non-qualified options (filed as Exhibit to June 30, 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K)
- 10.6 Employment contract with Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. (filed as an Exhibit to a Current Report on Form 8-K on March 28, 2012)
- 21 Subsidiaries of the registrant (previously filed)
- 23.1 Consent of Larson & Company (filed herewith)
- 23.2 Consent of Mantyla McReynolds LLC (filed herewith)
- 31.1 Certification under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of principal executive officer (filed herewith)
- 31.2 Certification under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of principal accounting officer and principal financial officer (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350) (filed herewith)

| | |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| 101 INS | XBRL Instance Document* |
| 101 SCH | XBRL Schema Document* |
| 101 CAL | XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document* |
| 101 DEF | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document* |
| 101 LAB | XBRL Labels Linkbase Document* |
| 101 PRE | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document* |

* The XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability of that section and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing or other document pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing or document.

(c) Financial statements

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (MantylaF-1 McReynolds LLC) | |
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (LarsonF-2 and Co.) | |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 | F-3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 | F-4 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 | F-5 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 | F-6 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-7 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Dynatronics Corporation and Subsidiary

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Dynatronics Corporation and subsidiary (collectively, the Company) as of June 30, 2014 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dynatronics Corporation and subsidiary at June 30, 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Mantyla McReynolds, LLC
Salt Lake City, Utah
September 29, 2014

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Dynatronics Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Dynatronics Corporation and subsidiary (collectively, the Company) as of June 30, 2013, and the related statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dynatronics Corporation and subsidiary as of June 30, 2013, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/Larson & Company PC
Salt Lake City, UT
September 30, 2013

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION
Consolidated Balance Sheets
As of June 30, 2014 and 2013

| Assets | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 332,800 | 302,050 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$325,355 as of June 30, 2014 and \$247,708 as of June 30, 2013 | 3,165,396 | 3,246,712 |
| Other receivables | 15,594 | 27,197 |
| Inventories, net | 6,157,848 | 6,407,553 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 298,370 | 506,836 |
| Current portion of deferred income tax assets | 408,919 | 389,101 |
| Total current assets | 10,378,927 | 10,879,449 |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,980,677 | 3,324,947 |
| Intangible assets, net | 235,440 | 280,078 |
| Other assets | 396,456 | 422,672 |
| Deferred income tax assets, net of current portion | 303,644 | 197,441 |
| Total assets | \$ 14,295,144 | 15,104,587 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ 302,274 | 322,573 |
| Line of credit | 3,521,209 | 3,496,390 |
| Warranty reserve | 157,753 | 178,148 |
| Accounts payable | 2,433,534 | 2,751,894 |
| Accrued expenses | 342,716 | 347,221 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits expense | 243,394 | 216,266 |
| Income tax payable | 30,452 | 21,369 |
| Total current liabilities | 7,031,332 | 7,333,861 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 1,255,133 | 1,561,776 |
| Total liabilities | 8,286,465 | 8,895,637 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, no par value: Authorized 50,000,000 shares; 2,520,389 shares and 2,518,904 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively | 7,149,812 | 7,078,941 |
| Accumulated deficit | (1,141,133) | (869,991) |

| | | |
|--|---------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 6,008,679 | 6,208,950 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 14,295,144 | 15,104,587 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | |

F - 3

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION
 Consolidated Statements of Operation
 For the Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|---------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ 27,444,223 | 29,538,275 |
| Cost of sales | 17,423,851 | 18,451,673 |
| | - | - |
| Gross profit | 10,020,372 | 11,086,602 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 9,213,433 | 9,860,964 |
| Research and development expenses | 992,729 | 1,120,887 |
| Operating income | (185,790) | 104,751 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest income | 44 | 681 |
| Interest expense | (231,865) | (260,699) |
| Other income, net | 20,446 | 24,142 |
| Total other income (expense) | (211,375) | (235,876) |
| Loss before income tax benefit | (397,165) | (131,125) |
| Income tax benefit | 126,023 | 86,754 |
| Net loss | \$ (271,142) | (44,371) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per common share | \$ (0.11) | (0.02) |
| Weighted-average basic and diluted common shares outstanding | 2,519,490 | 2,526,533 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
For the Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

| | Number of shares* | Common stock | Accumulated deficit | Total stockholders' equity |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balances as of June 30, 2012 | 2,537,730 | \$7,091,935 | (825,620) | 6,266,315 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (32,786) | (99,997) | - | (99,997) |
| Stock-based compensation | 13,689 | 86,639 | - | 86,639 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of employee stock options | 208 | 364 | - | 364 |
| Shares issued due to stock split rounding | 63 | - | - | - |
| Net loss | - | - | (44,371) | (44,371) |
| Balances as of June 30, 2013 | 2,518,904 | 7,078,941 | (869,991) | 6,208,950 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,485 | 70,871 | - | 70,871 |
| Net loss | - | - | (271,142) | (271,142) |
| Balances as of June 30, 2014 | 2,520,389 | \$7,149,812 | (1,141,133) | 6,008,679 |

*Reflects adjusted shares due to 1:5 reverse stock split effective December 19, 2012

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the Years Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|--------------|------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(271,142) | (44,371) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment | 433,014 | 435,366 |
| Amortization of intangible and other assets | 147,901 | 118,335 |
| Gain on sale of assets | - | (2,993) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 70,871 | 86,639 |
| Change in deferred income tax assets | (126,021) | (86,754) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts receivable | 96,000 | 180,000 |
| Provision for inventory obsolescence | 120,000 | 206,460 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | (3,081) | 224,895 |
| Inventories | 129,705 | (515,416) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 216,324 | (281,855) |
| Prepaid income taxes | 20,248 | 23,615 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (327,297) | 299,185 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 506,522 | 643,106 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (176,958) | (100,438) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | - | 345 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (176,958) | (100,093) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | (323,633) | (418,386) |
| Net change in line of credit | 24,819 | (1,207) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | - | 364 |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | - | (99,997) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (298,814) | (519,226) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 30,750 | 23,787 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 302,050 | 278,263 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$332,800 | 302,050 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$232,571 | 259,794 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2014 and 2013

(1) Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Description of Business

Dynatronics Corporation (the Company), a Utah corporation, distributes and markets a broad line of medical and aesthetic products, many of which are designed and manufactured by the Company. Among the products offered by the Company are therapeutic, diagnostic, and rehabilitation equipment, medical supplies and soft goods, treatment tables and aesthetic medical devices to an expanding market of physical therapists, podiatrists, orthopedists, chiropractors, plastic surgeons, dermatologists, and other medical professionals.

(b) Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of Dynatronics Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Dynatronics Distribution Company, LLC. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(c) Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase. Also included within cash equivalents are deposits in-transit from banks for payments related to third-party credit card and debit card transactions.

(d) Inventories

Finished goods inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost (first-in, first-out method), which approximates actual cost, or market. Raw materials are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. The Company periodically reviews the value of items in inventory and provides write-downs or write-offs of inventory based on its assessment of slow moving or obsolete inventory. Write-downs and write-offs are charged against the reserve.

(e) Trade Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest, although a finance charge may be applied to such receivables that are past the due date. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in the Company's existing accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance based on a combination of statistical analysis, historical collections, customers' current credit worthiness, the age of the receivable balance both individually and in the aggregate and general economic conditions that may affect the customer's ability to pay. All account balances are reviewed on an individual basis. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when the potential for recovery is considered remote. Recoveries of receivables previously charged off are recognized when payment is received.

(f) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The building and its component parts are being

depreciated over their estimated useful lives that range from 5 to 31.5 years. Estimated lives for all other depreciable assets range from 3 to 7 years.

F - 7

(g) Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated.

(h) Intangible Assets

Costs associated with the acquisition of trademarks, trade names, license rights and non-compete agreements are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over periods ranging from 3 months to 20 years.

(i) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when products are shipped FOB shipping point under an agreement with a customer, risk of loss and title have passed to the customer, and collection of any resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Amounts billed for shipping and handling of products are recorded as sales revenue. Costs for shipping and handling of products to customers are recorded as cost of sales.

(j) Research and Development Costs

Direct research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

(k) Product Warranty Costs

Costs estimated to be incurred in connection with the Company's product warranty programs are charged to expense as products are sold based on historical warranty rates.

(l) Net Income (Loss) per Common Share

Net income (loss) per common share is computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and, when appropriate, dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding during the year. Stock options are considered to be common stock equivalents. The computation of diluted net income (loss) per common share does not assume exercise or conversion of securities that would have an anti-dilutive effect.

Basic net income (loss) per common share is the amount of net income (loss) for the year available to each weighted-average share of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted net income (loss) per common share is the amount of net income (loss) for the year available to each weighted-average share of common stock outstanding during the year and to each common stock equivalent outstanding during the year, unless inclusion of common stock equivalents would have an anti-dilutive effect.

On December 19, 2012, the Company completed a 1-for-5 reverse split of its common stock. All common stock share and per share information in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been adjusted to reflect retrospective application of the reverse stock split, except for par value per share and the number of authorized shares, which were not affected by the reverse stock split.

The reconciliation between the basic and diluted weighted-average number of common shares for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is summarized as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the year | 2,519,490 | 2,526,533 |
| Weighted-average number of dilutive common stock options outstanding during the year | - | - |
| Diluted weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the year | 2,519,490 | 2,526,533 |

Outstanding options not included in the computation of diluted net loss per common share totaled 145,987 as of June 30, 2014 and 161,454 as of June 30, 2013. These common stock equivalents were not included in the computation because to do so would have been antidilutive.

(m)

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes an asset or liability for the deferred income tax consequences of all temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of the assets and liabilities are recovered or settled. Accruals for uncertain tax positions are provided for in accordance with the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740-10, Income Taxes. Under ASC 740-10, the Company may recognize the tax benefits from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. ASC 740-10 also provides guidance on derecognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions, and income tax disclosures. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns. Variations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could materially impact the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company evaluates the need for a valuation allowance on deferred taxes on a quarterly and annual base. This evaluation considers the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods which the deferred income tax assets are deductible. If management determines that it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the benefits of these deductible differences, a valuation allowance is recorded.

(n) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC 718, Stock Compensation. Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the applicable vesting period of the stock award (generally five years) using the straight-line method.

(o) Concentration of Risk

In the normal course of business, the Company provides unsecured credit to its customers. Most of the Company's customers are involved in the medical industry. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for probable losses which, when realized, have been within the range of management's expectations. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which at times may exceed federally insured limits. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risks with respect to cash or cash equivalents.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company has approximately \$82,800 in cash and cash equivalents in excess of the FDIC limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

(p) Operating Segments

The Company operates in one line of business: the development, marketing, and distribution of a broad line of medical products for the physical therapy and aesthetics markets. As such, the Company has only one reportable operating segment.

The Company groups its sales into physical medicine products and aesthetic products. Physical medicine products made up 91% of net sales for both the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Aesthetics products made up 1% of net sales for both the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Chargeable repairs, billable freight and other miscellaneous revenues account for the remaining 8% of net sales for both the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

(q) Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in accordance with US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP). Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the carrying amount of property and equipment; valuation allowances for receivables, income taxes, and inventories; accrued product warranty costs; and estimated recoverability of intangible assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(r) Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$111,900 and \$127,400, respectively.

(2) Inventories

Inventories consist of the following as of June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 2,783,306 | 2,732,363 |
| Finished goods | 3,709,897 | 4,002,709 |
| Inventory reserve | (335,355) | (327,519) |
| | \$ 6,157,848 | 6,407,553 |

(3) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following as of June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Land | \$ 354,743 | 354,743 |
| Buildings | 3,758,524 | 3,746,472 |
| Machinery and equipment | 1,598,770 | 1,550,633 |
| Office equipment | 266,563 | 263,861 |
| Computer equipment | 1,980,746 | 1,963,414 |
| Vehicles | 236,987 | 266,946 |
| | 8,196,333 | 8,146,069 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (5,215,656) | (4,821,122) |
| | \$ 2,980,677 | 3,324,947 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$433,686 and \$435,366, respectively.

(4) Intangible Assets

Identifiable intangible assets and their useful lives consist of the following as of June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Trade name – 15 years | \$ 339,400 | 339,400 |
| Domain name – 15 years | 5,400 | 5,400 |
| Non-compete covenant – 4 years | 149,400 | 149,400 |
| Customer relationships – 7 years | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| Trademark licensing agreement – 20 years | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Backlog of orders – 3 months | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Customer database – 7 years | 38,100 | 38,100 |
| License agreement – 10 years | 73,240 | 73,240 |
| Total identifiable intangibles | 773,240 | 773,240 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (537,800) | (493,162) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 235,440 | 280,078 |

Amortization expense associated with the intangible assets was \$44,637 for both fiscal years 2014 and 2013. Estimated amortization expense for the identifiable intangibles is expected to be as follows: 2015, \$30,680; 2016, \$30,680; 2017, \$30,680; 2018, 26,430; 2019, 26,430 and thereafter \$90,540.

(5) Warranty Reserve

A reconciliation of the change in the warranty reserve consists of the following for the fiscal years ended June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Beginning warranty reserve balance | \$ 178,148 | 181,000 |
| Warranty repairs | (141,471) | (160,267) |
| Warranties issued | 153,648 | 127,863 |
| Changes in estimated warranty costs | (32,572) | 29,552 |
| Ending warranty reserve | \$ 157,753 | 178,148 |

(6) Line of Credit

The Company has a revolving line-of-credit facility with a commercial bank in the amount of \$4,500,000. Borrowing limitations are based on 45% of eligible inventory and up to 80% of eligible accounts receivable resulting in a borrowing base of \$4,845,000, subject to the \$4,500,000 limitation as described above, as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the outstanding balance was approximately \$3,521,000 and \$3,496,000, respectively. Available borrowings as of June 30, 2014 were \$979,000. The line of credit is collateralized by inventory and accounts receivable and bears interest at a rate based on the lender's 90-day LIBOR rate plus 3%. The interest rate was 3.7% and 3.8% as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. This line is subject to biennial renewal and matures on October 15, 2014. However, if the line of credit is not extended, the Company will need to find additional sources of financing. Failure to obtain additional financing would have a material adverse effect on our business operations. All borrowings under the line of credit are presented as current liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Accrued interest is payable monthly. The Company's revolving line of credit agreement includes covenants requiring the Company to maintain certain financial ratios. As of June 30, 2014, the Company was not in compliance with one of the loan covenants, however, the bank granted a waiver for the period.

The Company believes that amounts available under the line of credit as well as cash generated from operating activities will continue to be sufficient to meet our short term operating requirements.

(7) Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following as of June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|--------------|------------|
| 6.44% promissory note secured by trust deed on real property, maturing January 2021, payable in monthly installments of \$13,278 | \$ 853,090 | 953,929 |
| 5.235% promissory note secured by building, maturing December 2017, payable in monthly installments of \$16,985 | 644,962 | 808,326 |
| Promissory note secured by a vehicle, payable in monthly installments of \$639 through February 2019 | 33,913 | 43,449 |
| 8.49% promissory note secured by equipment, payable in monthly installments of \$2,097 through December 2014 | 12,279 | 35,332 |
| 5.887% promissory note secured by a vehicle, payable in monthly installments of \$390 through March 2017 | 12,140 | 15,970 |
| 13.001% promissory note secured by equipment, payable in monthly installments of \$70 through October 2015 | 1,023 | 1,683 |
| 14.305% promissory note secured by equipment, payable in monthly installments of \$2,338 through May 2014 | - | 23,965 |
| 5.75% promissory note secured by a vehicle, payable in monthly installments of \$435 through October 2013 | - | 1,695 |
| | 1,557,407 | 1,884,349 |
| Less current portion | (302,274) | (322,573) |
| | \$ 1,255,133 | 1,561,776 |

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt for each of the years subsequent to 2014 are as follows: 2015, \$302,274; 2016, \$307,773; 2017, \$325,687; 2018, \$240,098; 2019, \$144,707 and thereafter \$236,868.

(8) Leases

The Company leases vehicles under noncancelable operating lease agreements. Lease expense for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, was \$16,106 and \$15,076, respectively. Future minimum lease payments required under noncancelable operating leases that have initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year as of 2014 are as

follows: 2015, \$16,106 and 2016, \$7,403.

The Company rents office, warehouse and storage space and office equipment under agreements which run one year or more in duration. The rent expense for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$203,361 and \$191,659, respectively. Future minimum rental payments required under operating leases that have a duration of one year or more as of June 30, 2014 are as follows: 2015, \$94,752; 2016, \$84,777; 2017, \$54,852; 2018, \$5,088 and 2019, \$2,544.

During fiscal year 2014, the office and warehouse spaces in Detroit, Michigan and Hopkins, Minnesota were leased on an annual/monthly basis from employees/stockholders; or entities controlled by stockholders, who were previously principals of the dealers acquired in July 2007. The leases are related-party transactions with two employee/stockholders, however, management believes the lease agreements have been conducted on an arms-length basis and the terms are similar to those that would be available to other third parties. During fiscal year 2013 the Company also leased office and warehouse space in Pleasanton, California from an employee/stockholder. In December, 2012, the Company moved its Pleasanton operation to a new, larger location in Livermore, California and entered into a lease agreement with an unaffiliated third party. The expense associated with these related-party transactions totaled \$52,200 and \$93,300 expense for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

(9) Income Taxes

Income tax benefit (provision) for the years ended June 30 consists of:

| | Current | Deferred | Total |
|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 2014: | | | |
| U.S. federal | \$ - | 107,439 | 107,439 |
| State and local | - | 18,584 | 18,584 |
| | \$ - | 126,023 | 126,023 |
| 2013: | | | |
| U.S. federal | \$ - | 83,198 | 83,198 |
| State and local | - | 3,556 | 3,556 |
| | \$ - | 86,754 | 86,754 |

The actual income tax benefit (provision) differs from the “expected” tax benefit (provision) computed by applying the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate of 34% to income (loss) before income taxes for the years ended June 30, are as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|------------|-----------|
| Expected tax benefit (provision) | \$ 135,036 | 44,583 |
| State taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 12,265 | 2,359 |
| R&D tax credit | - | 55,000 |
| Incentive stock options | (4,852) | (10,213) |
| Other, net | (16,426) | (4,975) |
| | \$ 126,023 | 86,754 |

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities related to the tax effects of temporary differences are as follow as of June 30:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------|---------|
| Net deferred income tax assets – current: | | |
| Inventory capitalization for income tax purposes | \$ 68,748 | 72,058 |
| Inventory reserve | 130,788 | 127,732 |
| Warranty reserve | 61,524 | 69,477 |
| Accrued product liability | 20,970 | 23,228 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 126,889 | 96,606 |
| Total deferred income tax assets – current | \$ 408,919 | 389,101 |

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Net deferred income tax assets (liabilities) – non-current: | | |
| Property and equipment, principally due to differences in depreciation | \$ (255,835) | (262,726) |
| Research and development credit carryover | 370,757 | 383,226 |
| Other intangibles | (91,822) | (109,231) |
| Operating loss carry forwards | 280,544 | 186,172 |
| Total deferred income tax assets (liabilities) – non-current | \$ 303,644 | 197,441 |

In assessing the realizability of deferred income tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred income tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the years in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred income tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods which the deferred income tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences.

The Company has available at June 30, 2014 and 2013 estimated federal and state net operating loss (“NOL”) carry forwards of \$745,605 and \$974,484, respectively. The federal NOL will expire in 2030. The state NOLs will expire depending upon the various rules in the states in which the Company operates.

The Company’s federal and state income tax returns for June 30, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are open tax years.

(10) Major Customers and Sales by Geographic Location

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, sales to any single customer did not exceed 10% of total net sales.

The Company exports products to approximately 30 countries. Sales outside North America totaled \$749,341 or 2.7% of net sales, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$647,047, or 2.2% of net sales, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

(11) Common Stock and Common Stock Equivalents

On July 15, 2003, the board of directors (board) approved an open-market share repurchase program for up to \$500,000 of the Company's common stock. On November 27, 2007, the board approved an additional \$250,000 for the open-market share repurchase program after the original \$500,000 was used. In February 2011, the board approved an additional \$1,000,000 for repurchases under the program. During fiscal year 2010, the board authorized the repurchase of up to \$100,000 of stock annually for three years from each of two former distributors that were acquired by the Company in 2007. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Company did not acquire any shares of common stock. During the year ended June 30, 2013, the Company acquired and retired 32,786 shares of common stock for \$99,997.

During the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company granted 1,485 and 13,689 shares, respectively, of restricted common stock to directors and officers in connection with compensation arrangements.

The Company maintains a 2005 equity incentive plan for the benefit of employees. Incentive and nonqualified stock options, restricted common stock, stock appreciation rights, and other share-based awards may be granted under the plan. Awards granted under the plan may be performance-based. Effective November 27, 2007, the plan was amended, as approved by the shareholders, to increase the number of shares available by 1,000,000 shares. As of June 30, 2014, 117,451 shares of common stock were authorized and reserved for issuance, but were not granted under the terms of the 2005 equity incentive plan as amended.

The Company granted options to acquire common stock under its 2005 equity incentive plan during fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The options are granted at not less than 100% of the market price of the stock at the date of grant. Option terms are determined by the board, and exercise dates may range from 6 months to 10 years from the date of grant.

The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|---------------------------------|----------|---|----------|---|
| Expected dividend yield | 0 | % | 0 | % |
| Expected stock price volatility | 69 | % | 69 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.53 | % | 1.74 | % |
| Expected life of options | 10 years | | 10 years | |

The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal years 2014 and 2013 was \$1.89 and \$2.03, respectively.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity during the reported fiscal years:

| | 2014 | | | 2013 | |
|--|------------------------|--|---|------------------------|--|
| | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual term | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price |
| Options outstanding at beginning of the year | 163,868 | \$ 6.51 | 4.12 years | 173,089 | \$ 6.48 |
| Options granted | 3,598 | 2.42 | | 1,352 | 2.70 |
| Options exercised | - | - | | (208) | 1.75 |
| Options canceled or expired | (11,862) | 6.01 | | (10,365) | 5.69 |
| Options outstanding at end of the year | 155,604 | 6.45 | 3.56 years | 163,868 | 6.51 |
| Options exercisable at end of the year | 137,804 | 7.09 | | 138,920 | 7.20 |
| Range of exercise prices at end of the year | | 1.75 – \$ 8.60 | | | 1.75 – \$ 8.60 |

The Company recognized \$70,871 and \$86,639 in stock-based compensation for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which is included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The stock-based compensation includes amounts for both restricted stock and stock options under ASC 718.

As of June 30, 2014 there was \$387,855 of unrecognized stock-based compensation cost that is expected to be expensed over periods of four to nine years.

The aggregate intrinsic value on the date of exercise of options exercised during the year ended June 30, 2013 was \$386. No options were exercised during the fiscal year 2014. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding options as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$8,732 and \$734, respectively.

(12) Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a deferred savings plan which qualifies under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k). The plan covers all employees of the Company who have at least six months of service and who are age 20 or older. For fiscal years 2014 and 2013, the Company made matching contributions of 25% of the first \$2,000 of each employee's contribution. The Company's contributions to the plan for 2014 and 2013 were \$39,056 and \$35,167, respectively. Company matching contributions for future years are at the discretion of the board of directors.

(13)

Subsequent Events

On August 8, 2014, the Company sold the building that houses its operations in Utah and leased back the premises for a term of 15 years. The sale price was \$3.8 million. Proceeds from the sale were used to reduce debt obligations of the Company. The profit generated from the sale will be sufficient to utilize the majority, if not all of the Company's deferred tax assets. As a result of this repayment of debt, our maximum credit facility under the line of credit was changed to \$2,500,000 in August, 2014.

(14)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This Update clarifies the accounting for equity awards in which the performance target (ie IPO) could be achieved after the requisite service period. The guidance require a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the service period be treated as a performance condition and not be reflected in the fair value of the award. Therefore, the compensation costs will begin to be recognized when it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved. If the requisite service period is complete, the entire amount of compensation costs should be recognized at that time. This Update is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company currently does not have any stock-based awards meeting the criteria noted so the Company doesn't expect this Update to have a significant impact on its financials However, it will evaluate new grants and ensure the guidance is followed if these types of grants are made.

F - 17

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. This Update eliminates different accounting treatments for repurchase agreements so the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity and linked repurchase financings to secured borrowings is consistent with other repurchase agreements. The amendment also requires an entity to disclose information on transfers accounted for as sales in transactions that are economically similar to repurchase agreements and increased transparency about the types of collateral pledged in repurchase agreements and similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. This Update is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Since the Company does not have the repurchase agreements identified in the Update, the Company doesn't expect this Update to have a significant impact on its financials.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customer (Topic 606). This Update provides new revenue recognition guidance that will be applicable for all industries and develops a common revenue standard for GAAP and IFRS. The main purpose of the new guidance is to remove inconsistencies, provide a more robust framework, improve comparability among industries, improve disclosure requirements and reduce the number of requirements to which an entity must refer. The guidance outlines the following five steps that should be followed in recognizing revenue:

1. Identify contract with customer
2. Identify the performance obligations in the contract
3. Determine the transaction price
4. Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract
5. Recognize revenue when the performance obligation is satisfied.

The update also provides disclosure requirements requiring entities to provide sufficient information to enable users to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. This Update is effective for public entities for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and for all other entities, it is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Due to the extensive nature of this Update, the Company is evaluating the impact this new guidance will have on its financials.

In March 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-07, Applying Variable Interest Entities Guidance to Common Control Leasing Arrangements. Under the amendments in this update, a private company could elect, when certain conditions exist, not to apply VIE guidance to a lessor entity under common control. This update is not applicable to public business entities; therefore, the update is not applicable to our Company.

In March 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-06, Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms. The amendments in this update represent changes to clarify the Master Glossary of the Codification, consolidate multiple instances of the same term into a single definition, or make minor improvements to the Master Glossary that are not expected to result in substantive changes to the application of existing guidance or create a significant administrative cost to most entities. Additionally, the amendments will make the Master Glossary easier to understand, as well as reduce the number of terms appearing in the Master Glossary. The amendments in this update are effective immediately. The Company reviewed and noted the changes made in this update, which can be categorized into four sections: 1) Deletion of Master Glossary Terms, 2) Addition of Master Glossary Term Links, 3) Duplicate Master Glossary Terms, and 4) Other Technical Corrections Related to Glossary Terms. The Company implemented the update upon issuance, but the changes did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-05, Service Concession Arrangements (Topic 853) a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force. Current U.S. GAAP does not contain specific guidance for the accounting for service concession arrangements. Depending on the terms of a service concession arrangement, an operating entity may or may not conclude that a service concession arrangement meets the lease criteria in Topic 840. Consequently, the amendments in this update improve financial reporting by clarifying that a service concession arrangement within the scope of this update should not be accounted for as a lease in accordance with Topic 840 and, thereby, alleviates the confusion that arises for preparers when determining whether a service concession arrangement is a lease. A service concession arrangement is an arrangement between a public-sector entity grantor and an operating entity under which the operating entity operates the grantor's infrastructure (for example, airports, roads, and bridges). The operating entity also may provide the construction, upgrading, or maintenance services of the grantor's infrastructure. The update is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company does not receive any service concession arrangements from any public-sector entity; therefore, the Company does not believe this update will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740) – Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This update indicates that an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset except in circumstances where a net operating loss carryforward or tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction. This update is effective for years beginning after December 15, 2013 for public companies. The adoption of this pronouncement had no significant effect on the Company's financial statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DYNATRONICS CORPORATION

By /s/ Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr.
Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr.
Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: October 27, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. Chairman, President, CEO October 27, 2014
Kelvyn H. Cullimore, Jr. (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Terry M. Atkinson Chief Financial Officer October 27, 2014
Terry M. Atkinson, CPA (Principal Accounting Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Larry K. Beardall Director, Executive October 27, 2014
Larry K. Beardall Vice President

/s/ Howard L. Edwards Director October 27, 2014
Howard L. Edwards

/s/ Joseph H. Barton Director October 27, 2014
Joseph H. Barton

/s/ R. Scott Ward Director October 27, 2014
R. Scott Ward

