GLOBAL PARTNERS LP Form 10-Q/A March 31, 2014 <u>Table of Contents</u>

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

# **FORM 10-Q/A**

# (Amendment No. 1)

(Mark One)

ý

### QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

### • TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 001-32593

to

# **Global Partners LP**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 74-3140887 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

#### P.O. Box 9161 800 South Street Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9161

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

#### (781) 894-8800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer x	Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller reporting company o
		(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

The issuer had 27,430,563 common units outstanding as of November 5, 2013.

Yes o No ý

## Table of Contents

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXPLANATORY NOTE	1
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 (restated) and December 31, 2012	2
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (restated) and 2012	3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (restated) and 2012	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (restated) and 2012	5
Consolidated Statement of Partners Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (restated)	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	48
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	70
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	72
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	74
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	74
Item 1A. Risk Factors	74
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	74
Item 6. Exhibits	75
SIGNATURES	
INDEX TO EXHIBITS	

Table of Contents

#### **EXPLANATORY NOTE**

To reflect the corrections described below, Global Partners LP (the Partnership ) is amending its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and restating its unaudited consolidated financial statements for each of the quarters ended March 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2013 (the Restated 2013 Quarters) and related disclosures. This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A amends the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Partnership for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 7, 2013, and restates its unaudited consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the notes thereto and related disclosure. As a result, the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in the originally filed Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 should not be relied upon.

The Partnership is restating its unaudited consolidated financial statements primarily to reflect a correction in its accounting for Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs ARIN is a serial number assigned to a batch of biofuel for the purpose of tracking its production, use, and trading as required by the Environmental Protection Agency s (EPA) Renewable Fuel Standard that originated with the Energy Policy Act of 2005. To evidence that the required volume of renewable fuel is blended with gasoline, obligated parties must retire sufficient RINs to cover their Renewable Volume Obligation (RVO). The Partnership s EPA obligations relative to renewable fuel reporting are largely limited to the foreign gasoline that the Partnership may choose to import. As a wholesaler of transportation fuels through its terminals, the Partnership separates RINs from renewable fuel through blending with gasoline and can use those separated RINs to settle its RVO. While the annual compliance period for a RVO is a calendar year, the settlement of the RVO can occur, upon certain deferral elections, more than one year after the close of the compliance period.

In connection with the year ended December 31, 2013 financial statement close process, certain misstatements were identified related to the Partnership s accounting for the RVO, RIN inventory and the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments. The Partnership has corrected its accounting for RINs, which included the recognition of a mark-to-market liability associated with the RVO deficiency at period end. The Partnership is restating its consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 to reflect in the proper period the impact of these accounting corrections.

Additionally, the Partnership determined that at September 30, 2013, certain accrued liabilities related to the procurement of petroleum products were no longer warranted. The Partnership is restating its consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and results of operations for the three and nine months then ended to reflect the correction of the timing of the relief of these accrued liabilities at that date.

The Partnership has also corrected other items that individually and in the aggregate are immaterial to the Partnership s operating results. The more significant of these items include a correction to the Partnership s business combination accounting related to a 2013 acquisition and an income statement classification error related to the Partnership s presentation of amortization of deferred financing fees.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included herein have been restated to reflect these corrections, and disclosures of these corrections have been made to the discussion under Part I, Item 2., Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Partnership is refiling the Form 10-Q in its entirety in this Amendment No. 1, except as stated above and for the disclosure included in Part I, Item 4., Controls and Procedures. The Partnership has included new certifications of its officers pursuant to Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act with this Form 10-Q/A.

<sup>1</sup> 

Table of Contents

Item 1. Financial Statements

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except unit data)

#### (Unaudited)

		September 30, 2013 (Restated)		December 31, 2012
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	15,068	\$	5,977
Accounts receivable, net		625,829		696,762
Accounts receivable affiliates		1,496		1,307
Inventories		397,412		634,667
Brokerage margin deposits		40,694		54,726
Fair value of forward fixed price contracts		37,001		48,062
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		41,591		65,432
Total current assets		1,159,091		1,506,933
Property and equipment, net		838,424		712,322
Intangible assets, net		73.663		60,822
Goodwill		118,390		32,326
Other assets		17,701		17,349
Total assets	\$	,	\$	2,329,752
Liabilities and partners equity	Ψ	_,,	Ŷ	_,;;_;;;
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	615,093	\$	759,698
Working capital revolving credit facility current portion		, - ,		83,746
Term loan		115.000		
Environmental liabilities current portion		4,271		4,341
Trustee taxes payable		75,891		91,494
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		60,621		71,442
Obligations on forward fixed price contracts		38,885		34,474
Total current liabilities		909,761		1,045,195
Working capital revolving credit facility less current portion		300,300		340,754
Revolving credit facility		399,700		422,000
Senior notes		68,163		
Environmental liabilities less current portion		37,651		39,831
Other long-term liabilities		44,454		45,511
Total liabilities		1,760,029		1,893,291
Partners equity				
Global Partners LP equity:				
Common unitholders (27,430,563 units issued and 27,268,247 outstanding at September 30, 2013 and 27,430,563 units issued and 27,310,648 outstanding at		409,728		456,538

December 31, 2012)		
General partner interest (0.83% interest with 230,303 equivalent units outstanding at		
September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012)	(487)	(407)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13,877)	(19,670)
Total Global Partners LP equity	395,364	436,461
Noncontrolling interest	51,876	
Total partners equity	447,240	436,461
Total liabilities and partners equity	\$ 2,207,269 \$	2,329,752

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

#### (In thousands, except per unit data)

#### (Unaudited)

	Three Mon Septem			Nine Mon Septem		ed
	2013 (Restated)	,	2012	2013 (Restated)	,	2012
Sales	\$ 4,433,426	\$	4,617,194 \$	14,794,372	\$	12,508,738
Cost of sales	4,315,333		4,534,574	14,523,410		12,280,124
Gross profit	118,093		82,620	270,962		228,614
Costs and operating expenses:						
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27,889		22,709	79,232		66,502
Operating expenses	46,713		40,196	137,420		100,692
Amortization expense	4,773		1,511	13,321		5,373
Total costs and operating expenses	79,375		64,416	229,973		172,567
Operating income	38,718		18,204	40,989		56,047
Interest expense	(10,855)		(10,633)	(32,113)		(31,811)
Income before income tax expense	27,863		7,571	8,876		24,236
Income tax expense	(2,727)		(678)	(852)		(228)
Net income	25,136		6,893	8,024		24,008
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	679			549		
Net income attributable to Global Partners LP	25,815		6,893	8,573		24,008
Less: General partner s interest in net income, including incentive distribution rights	(1,042)		(316)	(2,306)		(733)
Limited partners interest in net income	\$ 24,773	\$	6,577 \$	6,267	\$	23,275
Basic net income per limited partner unit	\$ 0.91	\$	0.24 \$	0.23	\$	0.89
Diluted net income per limited partner unit	\$ 0.91	\$	0.24 \$	0.23	\$	0.89
Basic weighted average limited partner units outstanding	27,333		27,311	27,350		26,085
Diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding	27,333		27,485	27,593		26,258

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

#### (In thousands)

#### (Unaudited)

		Three Mon Septem	 	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	(1	2013 Restated)	2012		2013 (Restated)		2012
Net income	\$	25,136	\$ 6,893	\$	8,024	\$	24,008
Other comprehensive income:							
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges		(945)	515		2,577		1,204
Change in pension liability		1,191	373		3,216		581
Total other comprehensive income		246	888		5,793		1,785
Comprehensive income		25,382	7,781		13,817		25,793
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest		679			549		
Comprehensive income attributable to Global Partners LP	\$	26,061	\$ 7,781	\$	14,366	\$	25,793

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

#### (In thousands)

#### (Unaudited)

	2013 (Restate	(be		2012
Cash flows from operating activities	(Restard	.u)		
Net income	\$	8.024	\$	24,008
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		- ) -		,
Depreciation and amortization		55,534		32,663
Amortization of deferred financing fees		5,062		4,106
Amortization of senior notes discount		263		,
Bad debt expense		3,030		270
Stock-based compensation expense		955		(20)
Gain on disposition of property and equipment		(1,444)		(162)
Curtailment gain				(469)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of business combinations:				
Accounts receivable		70,202		(40,237)
Accounts receivable affiliate		(189)		499
Inventories		237,386		81,839
Broker margin deposits		14,032		13,663
Prepaid expenses, all other current assets and other assets		18,589		264
Accounts payable	(	147,359)		91,708
Trustee taxes payable	,	(15,603)		(7,515)
Change in fair value of forward fixed price contracts		15,472		(25,450)
Accrued expenses, all other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities		(9,842)		14,533
Net cash provided by operating activities		254,112		189,700
Cash flows from investing activities				
Acquisitions	(	185,262)		(181,898)
Capital expenditures		(46,935)		(30,907)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		5,769		6,610
Net cash used in investing activities	(	226,428)		(206,195)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Payments on working capital revolving credit facility	(	124,200)		(161,800)
(Payments on) borrowings from revolving credit facility		(22,300)		217,000
Borrowings from term loan		115,000		
Proceeds from senior notes, net of discount		67,900		
Repurchase of common units		(4,331)		(2,152)
Repurchased units withheld for tax obligations		(2,086)		(96)
Noncontrolling interest capital contribution		1,425		
Distributions to partners		(50,001)		(39,712)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(18,593)		13,240

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	9,091	(3,255)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,977	4,328
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 15,068	\$ 1,073
Supplemental information		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 26,002	\$ 27,720
Non-cash investing activities (see Note 18)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS EQUITY

#### (In thousands)

#### (Restated) (Unaudited)

	-	ommon itholders	P	General Partner nterest	ccumulated Other mprehensive Loss	controlling Interest	Total Partners Equity
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	456,538	\$	(407)	\$ (19,670)	\$	\$ 436,461
Net income (loss)		6,267		2,306		(549)	8,024
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest, at fair value						51,000	51,000
Noncontrolling interest capital contribution						1,425	1,425
Other comprehensive income					5,793		5,793
Stock-based compensation		955					955
Distributions to partners		(47,731)		(2,386)			(50,117)
Repurchase of common units		(4,331)					(4,331)
Repurchased units withheld for tax obligation		(2,086)					(2,086)
Phantom unit dividends		116					116
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$	409,728	\$	(487)	\$ (13,877)	\$ 51,876	\$ 447,240

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Global Partners LP (the Partnership ) is a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership formed in March 2005. As of September 30, 2013, the Partnership had the following wholly owned subsidiaries: Global Companies LLC, Glen Hes Corp., Global Montello Group Corp. (GMG), Chelsea Sandwich LLC, Global Energy Marketing LLC, Alliance Energy LLC, Bursaw Oil LLC, GLP Finance Corp., Global Energy Marketing II LLC, Global CNG LLC and Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC. Global GP LLC, the Partnership s general partner (the General Partner ) manages the Partnership s operations and activities and employs its officers and substantially all of its personnel, except for its gasoline station and convenience store employees and certain union personnel who are employed by GMG.

The Partnership is a midstream logistics and marketing company. The Partnership is one of the largest distributors of gasoline (including gasoline blendstocks such as ethanol and naphtha), distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene), residual oil and renewable fuels to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the New England states and New York. The Partnership also engages in the purchasing, selling and logistics of transporting domestic and Canadian crude oil and other products via rail, establishing a virtual pipeline from the mid-continent region of the United States and Canada to the East and West Coasts for distribution to refiners and other customers. The Partnership owns, controls or has access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products and renewable fuels in Massachusetts, Maine, Connecticut, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania (collectively, the Northeast). The Partnership is a major multi-brand gasoline distributor and, as of September 30, 2013, had a portfolio of approximately 900 owned, leased and/or supplied gasoline stations primarily in the Northeast. The Partnership receives revenue from retail sales of gasoline, convenience store sales and gasoline station rental income. The Partnership is also a distributor of natural gas and propane. In addition, the Partnership provides ancillary services to companies and receives revenue from these ancillary services.

On March 1, 2012, the Partnership acquired from AE Holdings Corp. ( AE Holdings ) 100% of the outstanding membership interests in Alliance Energy LLC ( Alliance ) (see Note 3). Prior to the closing of the acquisition, Alliance was wholly owned by AE Holdings, which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. No member of the Slifka family owned a controlling interest in AE Holdings, nor currently owns a controlling interest in the General Partner. Three independent directors of the General Partner s board of directors serve on a conflicts committee. The conflicts committee unanimously approved the Alliance acquisition and received advice from its independent counsel and independent financial adviser.

On February 1, 2013, the Partnership acquired a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload LLC (Basin Transload), and on February 15, 2013, the Partnership acquired 100% of the membership interests in Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC (Cascade Kelly). See Note 3.

The General Partner, which holds a 0.83% general partner interest in the Partnership, is owned by affiliates of the Slifka family. As of September 30, 2013, affiliates of the General Partner, including its directors and executive officers, owned 11,548,902 common units, representing a 42.1% limited partner interest.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The financial results of Basin Transload for the eight months ended September 30, 2013 and of Cascade Kelly for the seven and one-half months ended September 30, 2013 are included in the accompanying statements of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Partnership consolidated the September 30, 2013 balance sheet of Basin Transload because the Partnership controls the entity. The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 reflect the accounts of the Partnership. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation (continued)

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) and reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and operating results for the interim periods. The interim financial information, which has been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 and notes thereto contained in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The significant accounting policies described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of such Annual Report on Form 10-K are the same used in preparing the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that will be realized for the entire year ending December 31, 2013. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Partnership s Annual Report on Form10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Due to the nature of the Partnership s business and its customers reliance, in part, on consumer travel and spending patterns, the Partnership may experience more demand for gasoline and gasoline blendstocks during the late spring and summer months than during the fall and winter. Travel and recreational activities are typically higher in these months in the geographic areas in which the Partnership operates, increasing the demand for gasoline blendstocks that the Partnership distributes. Therefore, the Partnership s volumes in gasoline and gasoline blendstocks that the Partnership distributes. Therefore, the Partnership s volumes in gasoline and gasoline blendstocks are typically higher in the second and third quarters of the calendar year. As demand for some of the Partnership s refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, heating oil and residual oil sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. These factors may result in significant fluctuations in the Partnership s quarterly operating results.

#### Noncontrolling Interest

These financial statements reflect the application of ASC 810, Consolidations (ASC 810) which establishes accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) the ownership interest in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent to be clearly identified and presented in the consolidated balance sheet within shareholder s equity, but separate from the parent s equity; (ii) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations and (iii) changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary to be accounted for consistently.

The Partnership acquired a 60% interest in Basin Transload on February 1, 2013. After evaluating ASC 810, the Partnership concluded it is appropriate to consolidate the balance sheet and statement of operations of Basin Transload based on an evaluation of the outstanding voting interests. Amounts pertaining to the noncontrolling ownership interest held by third parties in the financial position and operating results of the Partnership are reported as a noncontrolling interest in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and statement of income.

#### Reclassification

Amortization expense of deferred financing fees has been reclassified from selling, general and administrative expenses to interest expense.

Table of Contents

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation (continued)

#### **Concentration of Risk**

The following table presents the Partnership s product sales as a percentage of total sales for the periods presented:

		nths Ended nber 30,		nths Ended nber 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Gasoline sales: gasoline and gasoline blendstocks such as ethanol				
and naphtha	65%	76%	59%	70%
Distillates (home heating oil, diesel and kerosene), residual oil,				
crude oil, natural gas and propane sales	35%	24%	41%	30%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The Partnership had two significant customers, ExxonMobil Corporation (ExxonMobil) and Philli**66** (Phillip**66**), which accounted for approximately 18% and 11%, respectively, of total sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013, and approximately 15% and 14% respectively, of total sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Partnership had one significant customer, ExxonMobil, which accounted for approximately 16% and 16% of total sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

#### Note 2. Restatement

The Partnership is restating its unaudited consolidated financial statements primarily to reflect a correction in its accounting for Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs). A RIN is a serial number assigned to a batch of biofuel for the purpose of tracking its production, use, and trading as required by the Environmental Protection Agency s (EPA) Renewable Fuel Standard that originated with the Energy Policy Act of 2005. To evidence that the required volume of renewable fuel is blended with gasoline, obligated parties must retire sufficient RINs to cover their Renewable Volume Obligation (RVO). The Partnership s EPA obligations relative to renewable fuel reporting are largely limited to the foreign gasoline that the Partnership may choose to import. As a wholesaler of transportation fuels through its terminals, the Partnership separates RINs from renewable fuel through blending with gasoline and can use those separated RINs to settle its RVO. While the annual compliance period for a RVO is a calendar year, the settlement of the RVO can occur, under certain deferral elections, more than one year after the close of the compliance period.

In connection with the year ended December 31, 2013 financial statement close process, certain misstatements were identified related to the Partnership s accounting for the RVO, RIN inventory and the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments. The Partnership has corrected its accounting for RINs, which included the recognition of a mark-to-market liability associated with the RVO deficiency at period end (the RVO Deficiency At September 30, 2013, the Partnership s RVO Deficiency was \$22.6 million, the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments was \$6.6 million and the reduction in previously reported RIN inventory was \$4.8 million. The Partnership is restating its consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 to reflect in the proper period the impact of these accounting corrections. The impact of these corrections was to increase net income by \$15.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, and to decrease net income by 29.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Additionally, the Partnership determined that at September 30, 2013, certain accrued liabilities related to the procurement of petroleum products were no longer warranted. The Partnership is restating its consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and results of operations for the three and nine months then ended to reflect the correction of the timing of the relief of these accrued liabilities at that date. The impact of these corrections was \$5.4 million and \$10.8 million of additional income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 2. Restatement (continued)

The Partnership has also corrected other items that individually and in the aggregate are immaterial to the Partnership s operating results. The more significant of these items include a correction to the Partnership s business combination accounting related to a 2013 acquisition and an income statement classification error related to the Partnership s presentation of amortization of deferred financing fees.

As a result, the Partnership has restated its unaudited consolidated financial statements to reflect these corrections as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. These corrections had no impact on the Partnership s previously reported net cash provided by operating activities, net cash provided by investing activities or net cash used in financing activities.

The following is a summary of the adjustments to the Partnership s previously issued unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Previously Reported	Adjustments	Restated
Assets	•	U U	
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 781,800	\$ (155,971) (d)(e)	\$ 625,829
Inventories	\$ 402,221	\$ (4,809) (a)	\$ 397,412
Total current assets	\$ 1,319,871	\$ (160,780) (a)(d)(e)	\$ 1,159,091
Intangible assets, net	\$ 129,755	\$ (56,092) (c)	\$ 73,663
Goodwill	\$ 58,890	\$ 59,500 (c)	\$ 118,390
Total assets	\$ 2,364,641	\$ (157,372) (a)(c)(d)(e)	\$ 2,207,269
		• • •	
Liabilities and partners equity			
Accounts payable	\$ 769,693	\$ (154,600) (d)	\$ 615,093
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 46,403	\$ 14,218 (b)(f)(g)	\$ 60,621
Total current liabilities	\$ 1,050,143	\$ (140,382) (b)(d)(f)(g)	\$ 909,761
Total liabilities	\$ 1,900,411	\$ (140,382) (b)(d)(f)(g)	\$ 1,760,029
Partners equity			
Common unitholders	\$ 427,929	\$ (18,201)	\$ 409,728
General partner interest	\$ (335)	\$ (152) (j)	\$ (487)
Total Global Partners LP equity	\$ 413,717	\$ (18,353)	\$ 395,364

Noncontrolling interest	\$ 50,513	\$ 1,363 (i)	\$ 51,876
Total partners equity	\$ 464,230	\$ (16,990)	\$ 447,240
Total liabilities and partners equity	\$ 2,364,641	\$ (157,372)	\$ 2,207,269

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 2. Restatement (continued)

The following is a summary of the adjustments to the Partnership s previously issued unaudited consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the most significant of which relates to an aggregate of RIN adjustments totaling 15.0 million (income) and 29.9 million (expense) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (see footnotes (a)(f)(g)) (in thousands, except per unit data):

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Previously		<b>D</b> ( )
	Reported	Adjustments	Restated
Cost of sales	\$ 4,337,146	\$ (21,813) (a)(b)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 4,315,333
Gross profit	\$ 96,280	\$ 21,813 (a)(b)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 118,093
Selling, general and administrative			
expenses	\$ 29,086	\$ (1,197) (e)	\$ 27,889
Amortization	\$ 6,676	\$ (1,903) (c)	\$ 4,773
Total operating expenses	\$ 82,475	\$ (3,100) (c)(e)	\$ 79,375
Operating income	\$ 13,805	\$ 24,913 (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 38,718
Interest expense	\$ (9,111)	\$ (1,744) (e)	\$ (10,855)
Income before income taxes	\$ 4,694	\$ 23,169 (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 27,863
Net income	\$ 1,967	\$ 23,169 (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 25,136
Net loss attributable to			
noncontrolling interest	\$ 1,440	\$ (761) (i)	\$ 679
Net income attributable to Global			
Partners LP	\$ 3,407	\$ 22,408	\$ 25,815
General partner s interest in net income, including incentive			
distribution rights	\$ (856)	\$ (186) (j)	\$ (1,042)
Limited partners interest in net			
income	\$ 2,551	\$ 22,222	\$ 24,773
Basic net income per limited			
partner unit	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.91
Diluted net income per limited			
partner unit	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.91

#### Three Months Ended Sentember 30, 2013

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
--------------------------------------	--

	J	Previously	• *	
		Reported	Adjustments	Restated
Cost of sales	\$	14,504,383	\$ 19,027 (a)(b)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 14,523,410
Gross profit	\$	289,989	\$ (19,027) (a)(b)(f)(g)(h)	\$ 270,962

Selling, general and administrative	e					
expenses	\$	82,923	\$	(3,691) (e)	\$	79,232
Amortization	\$	16,729	\$	(3,408) (c)	\$	13,321
Total operating expenses	\$	237,072	\$	(7,099) (c)(e)	\$	229,973
Operating income	\$	52,917	\$	(11,928) (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$	40,989
Interest expense	\$	(27,051)	\$	(5,062) (e)	\$	(32,113)
Income before income taxes	\$	25,866	\$	(16,990) (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$	8,876
Net income	\$	25,014	\$	(16,990) (a)(b)(c)(e)(f)(g)(h)	\$	8,024
Net loss attributable to						
noncontrolling interest	\$	1,912	\$	(1,363) (i)	\$	549
Net income attributable to Global						
Partners LP	\$	26,926	\$	(18,353)	\$	8,573
General partner s interest in net income, including incentive						
distribution rights	\$	(2,458)	\$	152 (j)	\$	(2,306)
Limited partners interest in net						
income	\$	24,468	\$	(18,201)	\$	6,267
Basic net income per limited partner unit	\$	0.89	\$	(0.66)	\$	0.23
Diluted net income per limited	ψ	0.89	φ	(0.00)	φ	0.23
partner unit	\$	0.89	\$	(0.66)	\$	0.23

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 2. Restatement (continued)

The following is a summary of the adjustments to the Partnership s previously issued unaudited consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the most significant of which relates to an aggregate of RIN adjustments totaling 15.0 million (income) and 29.9 million (expense) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (see footnote (a)(f)(g)) (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013					
	Previously					
	R	eported		Adjustments		Restated
Net income	\$	1,967	\$	23,169	\$	25,136
Comprehensive income	\$	2,213	\$	23,169	\$	25,382
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling						
interest	\$	1,440	\$	(761)	\$	679
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Global						
Partners LP	\$	3,653	\$	22,408	\$	26,061

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013						
	Previously						
		Reported	Adjustments	R	estated		
Net income	\$	25,014	\$ (16,990)	\$	8,024		
Comprehensive income	\$	30,807	\$ (16,990)	\$	13,817		
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling							
interest	\$	1,912	\$ (1,363)	\$	549		
Comprehensive income attributable to Global Partners							
LP	\$	32,719	\$ (18,353)	\$	14,366		

The following is a summary of the adjustments to the Partnership s previously issued unaudited consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the most significant of which relates to an aggregate of RIN adjustments totaling \$29.9 million (expense) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (see footnote (a)(f)(g)) (in thousands):

	1	Previously		
		Reported	Adjustments	Restated
Net income	\$	25,014	\$ (16,990) \$	8,024
Depreciation and amortization	\$	58,942	\$ (3,408) \$	55,534
Bad debt expense	\$	1,659	\$ 1,371 \$	3,030

Accounts receivable	\$ (84,398)	\$ 154,600 \$	70,202
Inventories	\$ 232,577	\$ 4,809 \$	237,386
Accounts payable	\$ 7,241	\$ (154,600) \$	(147,359)
Accrued expenses, all other current liabilities and other			
long-term liabilities	\$ (24,060)	\$ 14,218 \$	(9,842)
Net cash provided by operating activities	254,112		254,112

<sup>(</sup>a) To reduce previously reported inventory for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 by approximately \$4.8 million (expense). The impact of adjusting previously reported RIN inventory in prior quarters resulted in a \$9.0 million increase in net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

<sup>(</sup>b) To reduce accrued liabilities related to the procurement of petroleum products by approximately \$5.4 million (income) and \$10.8 million (income) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 for accruals determined to no longer be warranted at the end of the reporting period.

<sup>12</sup> 

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 2. Restatement (continued)

(c) To correct the valuation of customer relationships and related amortization expense on such assets acquired in connection with the February 1, 2013 acquisition of a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload LLC. Specifically, the reduction in the value of customer relationships reflects the reversal of a customer relationship that was determined to not meet the criteria of a capitalizable intangible asset and, separately, a reduction in the cash flow period for another customer relationship that should have been considered at the date of acquisition. The difference in the amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$1.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, reflects the reduction in the value of customer relationships acquired of \$52.0 million and the reduction in the economic useful life of the remaining assets from five to two years.

(d) To reduce accounts receivable by \$154.6 million and accounts payable by \$154.6 million which reflect the netting of positive and negative product exchange balances with the same counterparty which has a right of offset.

(e) Other adjustments to operating expenses include an increase to the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$547,000 and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30,2013, respectively and an income statement reclassification of amortization of deferred financing fees from selling, general and administrative expenses to interest expense of \$1.7 million and \$5.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

(f) To record the change in the RVO Deficiency of approximately \$13.5 million (expense) and \$22.6 million (expense) at the end of the reporting period for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

(g) To record the change in the liability related to the losses on RIN forward commitments of \$19.5 million (income) and \$2.6 million (expense) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(h) Other adjustments to costs of sales include a \$1.3 million (income) and \$0.0 million fair value of oil related forward fixed price contracts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(i) Represents impact of all adjustments to the net loss attributable to the non-controlling interest of \$761,000 (expense) and \$1.4 million (expense), respectively.

(j) Represents impact of all adjustments to the General Partner s interest in net income of \$186,000 (income) and \$152,000 (expense), respectively.

#### Acquisition of Basin Transload LLC

On February 1, 2013, the Partnership acquired a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload, which operates two transloading facilities in Columbus and Beulah, North Dakota for crude oil and other products, with a combined rail loading capacity of 160,000 barrels per day. The purchase price, including expenditures related to certain capital expansion projects, was approximately \$91.1 million which the Partnership financed with borrowings under its credit facility.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 3. Business Combinations

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) guidance regarding business combinations. The Partnership s financial statements include the results of operations of its membership interest in Basin Transload subsequent to the acquisition date.

The purchase price allocation is considered preliminary, and additional adjustments may be recorded during the allocation period in accordance with the FASB s guidance regarding business combinations. The purchase price allocation will be finalized as the Partnership receives additional information relevant to the acquisition, including a final valuation of the assets purchased, including tangible and intangible assets, and liabilities assumed.

The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition (in thousands):

\$ 2,003
68
29,112
26,162
57,345
(1,326)
(1,326)
56,019
(51,000)
86,064
\$ 91,083

The Partnership engaged a third-party valuation firm to assist in the valuation of the Partnership s interest in Basin Transload s property and equipment, intangible assets and noncontrolling interest. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Partnership recorded certain changes to the preliminary purchase accounting, primarily related to the values assigned to property and equipment, intangibles and the noncontrolling interest based on a preliminary valuation received from a third-party valuation firm. The impact of these changes increased goodwill from \$76.1 million at June 30, 2013 to \$86.1 million at September 30, 2013.

The Partnership s third party valuation firm primarily used the replacement cost methodology to value property and equipment, adjusted for depreciation associated with the age and estimated condition of the assets. The income approach was used to value the intangible assets, which consist principally of customer relationships.

The fair value of the noncontrolling interest was developed by a third-party valuation firm based on the fair value of the acquired business as a whole, reduced by the consideration paid by management to obtain control. This fair value of the business was estimated based on the fair value of Basin Transload s net assets and applying a reasonable control premium.

The fair values of the remaining Basin Transload assets and liabilities noted above approximate their carrying values at February 1, 2013. The Partnership is completing its review of the preliminary values received from the third-party valuation firm with a particular emphasis on assessing the appropriate number of years of cash flows used to value the intangible assets given the nature of the industry. It is possible that once the Partnership receives the completed valuations on the property and equipment, intangible assets and noncontrolling interest, the final purchase price accounting may be different than what is presented above.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 3. Business Combinations (continued)

The preliminary purchase price for the acquisition was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The Partnership then allocated the purchase price in excess of net tangible assets acquired to identifiable intangible assets, based upon on their estimates and assumptions. Any excess purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired was allocated to goodwill.

The Partnership utilized accounting guidance related to intangible assets which lists the pertinent factors to be considered when estimating the useful life of an intangible asset. These factors include, in part, a review of the expected use by the Partnership of the assets acquired, the expected useful life of another asset (or group of assets) related to the acquired assets and legal, regulatory or other contractual provisions that may limit the useful life of an acquired asset. The Partnership amortizes these intangible assets over their estimated useful lives which is consistent with the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of these assets.

As part of the purchase price allocation, identifiable intangible assets include customer relationships that are being amortized over two years. Amortization expense amounted to \$3.0 million and \$8.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The following table presents the estimated remaining amortization expense for intangible assets acquired in connection with the acquisition (in thousands):

2013 (10/1/13	12/31/13)	\$ 2,978
2014		12,111
2015		2,969
Total		\$ 18,058

The \$86.1 million of goodwill was assigned to the Wholesale reporting unit. The goodwill recognized is attributed to the unique origin of the acquired locations through which the Partnership s customers can efficiently supply cost-competitive crude oil to destinations on the East and West Coasts. The goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

Acquisition of Cascade Kelly Holdings LLC

On February 15, 2013, the Partnership acquired 100% of the membership interests in Cascade Kelly, which owns a West Coast crude oil and ethanol facility near Portland, Oregon. The total cash purchase price was approximately \$94.2 million which the Partnership funded with borrowings under its credit facility and with proceeds from the issuance of the Partnership s unsecured 8.00% senior notes due 2018 (see Note 7). The transaction includes a rail transloading facility serviced by the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway, 200,000 barrels of storage capacity, a deepwater marine terminal with access to a 1,200-foot leased dock and the largest ethanol plant on the West Coast. Situated along the Columbia River in Clatskanie, Oregon, the site is located on land leased under a long-term agreement from the Port of St. Helens.

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with the FASB s guidance regarding business combinations. The Partnership s financial statements include the results of operations of Cascade Kelly subsequent to the acquisition date.

The purchase price allocation is considered preliminary, and additional adjustments may be recorded during the allocation period in accordance with the FASB s guidance regarding business combinations. The purchase price allocation will be finalized as the Partnership receives additional information relevant to the acquisition, including a final valuation of the assets purchased, including tangible and intangible assets, and liabilities assumed.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 3. Business Combinations (continued)

The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition (in thousands):

Assets purchased:	
Accounts receivable	\$ 296
Inventory	131
Prepaid expenses	96
Property and equipment	96,591
Total identifiable assets purchased	97,114
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable	(1,428)
Other current liabilities	(1,507)
Total liabilities assumed	(2,935)
Net identifiable assets acquired	\$ 94,179

Management is in the process of finalizing the purchase price accounting. The Partnership engaged a third-party valuation firm to assist in the valuation of Cascade Kelly s property and equipment, and the preliminary estimate of fair value is \$96.6 million. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Partnership recorded certain changes to the preliminary purchase accounting, primarily related to the values assigned to property and equipment based on a preliminary valuation received from a third-party valuation firm. The impact of these changes decreased goodwill from \$51.1 million at June 30, 2013 to \$0 at September 30, 2013.

During the preliminary stage of evaluating the purchase price accounting, the estimated fair value of property and equipment developed by management and used in the determination of the acquisition price was based on management s acquisition history and on the crude oil facility. In the third quarter, the Partnership s third-party valuation firm completed inspections of the crude oil and ethanol fixed assets and prepared a preliminary valuation which began with quantifying the replacement cost of the acquired assets. The level of physical depreciation and other forms of depreciation was then quantified and deducted from the replacement cost to arrive at the fair value of the assets. The impact of the valuation was to increase property and equipment by \$51.5 million. Management attributed the increase to the completion of the valuation by the third-party valuation firm, which concluded that the fair value of the ethanol assets is more favorable than originally anticipated. The Partnership continues to review the assumptions used in valuing the crude oil and ethanol fixed assets, with a particular focus on the adjustments made between replacement cost and fair value.

The Partnership expects to make the capital improvements necessary to place the ethanol plant into service; therefore, as of September 30, 2013, the fair value of the ethanol plant is included in construction in process. After the plant has been successfully placed into service, depreciation will commence.

It is possible that once the Partnership receives the completed valuations on the property and equipment, the final purchase price accounting may be different than what is presented above.

The fair values of the remaining Cascade Kelly assets and liabilities noted above approximate their carrying values at February 15, 2013.

The preliminary purchase price for the acquisition was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The Partnership then allocated the purchase price in excess of net tangible assets acquired to identifiable intangible assets, if any, based upon on their estimates and assumptions.

Table of Contents

#### GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 3. Business Combinations (continued)

2012 Acquisition

Alliance Energy LLC On March 1, 2012, pursuant to a Contribution Agreement between the Partnership and AE Holdings (the Contribution Agreement ), the Partnership acquired from AH Holdings 100% of the outstanding membership interests in Alliance, a gasoline distributor and operator of gasoline stations and convenience stores. The aggregate purchase price of the acquisition was approximately \$312.4 million, consisting of both cash and non-cash components. Alliance was an affiliate of the Partnership as Alliance was owned by AE Holdings which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. Both the Partnership and Alliance shared certain common directors.

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with the FASB s guidance regarding business combinations. The Partnership s financial statements include the results of operations of Alliance subsequent to the acquisition date.

The purchase price includes cash consideration of \$181.9 million which was funded by the Partnership through additional borrowings under its revolving credit facility. The consideration also includes the issuance of 5,850,000 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership which had a fair value of \$22.31 per unit on March 1, 2012, resulting in equity consideration of \$130.5 million.

The purchase price for the acquisition was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values with the exception of environmental liabilities which were recorded on an undiscounted basis (see Note 12). The Partnership then allocated the purchase price in excess of net tangible assets acquired to identifiable intangible assets, based upon a valuation from an independent third party. Any excess purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired was allocated to goodwill and assigned to the Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations reporting unit.

Goodwill The following table presents a summary roll forward of the Partnership s goodwill at September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Goodwill atGoodwill atDecember 31,20132012Additions2013

Acquisition of Alliance (1)	\$ 31,151 \$	\$	31,151
Acquisition of gasoline stations from Mutual Oil Company (1)	1,175		1,175
Acquisition of 60% interest in Basin Transload (2)		86,064	86,064
Total	\$ 32,326 \$	86,064 \$	118,390

(1) Goodwill allocated to the Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations reporting unit

(2) Goodwill allocated to the Wholesale reporting unit

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 3. Business Combinations (continued)

*Supplemental Pro-Forma Information* Revenues and net income included in the Partnership's consolidated operating results for Basin Transload from January 1, 2013 to February 1, 2013, the acquisition date, and for Cascade Kelly from January 1, 2013 to February 15, 2013, the acquisition date, were immaterial. Accordingly, the supplemental pro-forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is consistent with the amounts reported in the accompanying statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The following unaudited pro-forma information presents the consolidated results of operations of the Partnership as if the acquisitions of Basin Transload, Cascade Kelly and Alliance occurred at the beginning of the period presented, with pro-forma adjustments to give effect to intercompany sales and certain other adjustments (in thousands, except per unit data):

Nine Months Ended

	September 30, 2012	
Sales	\$	12,758,170
Net loss	\$	(4,194)
Net loss per limited partner unit, basic and diluted	\$	(0.17)

The Partnership s 60% interest in Basin Transload s sales and net loss included in the Partnership s consolidated operating results from February 2013, the acquisition date, through the period ended September 30, 2013 were \$6.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. Cascade Kelly s sales and net loss included in the Partnership s consolidated operating results from February 5, 2013, the acquisition date, through the period ended September 30, 2013 were \$6.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. Cascade Kelly s sales and net loss included in the Partnership s consolidated operating results from February 5, 2013, the acquisition date, through the period ended September 30, 2013 were \$7.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

#### Note 4. Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit

Under the Partnership s partnership agreement, for any quarterly period, the incentive distribution rights ( IDRs ) participate in net income only to the extent of the amount of cash distributions actually declared, thereby excluding the IDRs from participating in the Partnership s undistributed net income or losses. Accordingly, the Partnership s undistributed net income is assumed to be allocated to the common unitholders, or limited partners interest, and to the General Partner s general partner interest.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, common units outstanding as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements excluded 162,316 and 119,915 common units, respectively, held on behalf of the Partnership pursuant to its repurchase program (see Note 13). These units are not deemed outstanding for purposes of calculating net income per limited partner unit (basic and diluted).

# **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# Note 4. Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit (continued)

The following table provides a reconciliation of net income and the assumed allocation of net income to the limited partners interest for purposes of computing net income per limited partner unit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except per unit data):

		Three	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Limited General Partner Partner					Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Limited General Partner Partner								
Numerator:		Total		Interest	-	nterest		IDRs		Total		Interest		iterest		IDRs
Net income attributable to																
Global Partners LP	\$	25,815	\$	24,773	\$	1,042	\$		\$	6,893	\$	6,577	\$	316	\$	
	<i>•</i>	15 405	<b>^</b>	16.450	<b></b>	100	<b>b</b>	0.00	<b>•</b>	15.010	<b></b>	14 (07	<i>•</i>	100	<b></b>	200
Declared distribution	\$	17,425	\$	16,459	\$	138	\$	828	\$	15,019	\$	14,607	\$	122	\$	290
Assumed allocation of undistributed net income		8,390		8,314		76				(8,126)		(8,030)		(96)		
Assumed allocation of net																
income	\$	25,815	\$	24,773	\$	214	\$	828	\$	6,893	\$	6,577	\$	26	\$	290
Denominator:																
Basic weighted average																
limited partner units				27,333								27,311				
outstanding Dilutive effect of phantom				27,333								27,511				
units												174				
Diluted weighted average limited partner units																
outstanding				27,333								27,485				
Basic net income per																
limited partner unit			\$	0.91							\$	0.24				
Diluted net income per			¢	0.01							¢	0.24				
limited partner unit (1)			\$	0.91							\$	0.24				

<sup>(1)</sup> Basic units were used to calculate diluted net income per limited partner unit for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as using the effects of the phantom units would have an anti-dilutive effect on income per limited partner unit.

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

Note 4. Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit (continued)

Numerator:	Nine Total	Months Ended September 30, 20 Limited General Partner Partner Interest Interest			IDRs	Nine Months Ended S Limited Partner Total Interest			Limited	General Partner			DRs	
Net income attributable to	1000			-		1010		1000	-				-	
Global Partners LP (1)	\$ 8,573	\$	6,267	\$	2,306	\$	\$	24,008	\$	23,275	\$	733	\$	
Declared distribution	\$ 51,196	\$	48,554	\$	407	\$ 2,235	\$	43,786	\$	42,724	\$	358	\$	704
Adjustment to distribution in connection with the Alliance acquisition (2)								(1,929)		(1,929)				
Adjusted declared distribution	51,196		48,554		407	2,235		41,857		40,795		358		704
Adjusted declared distribution Assumed allocation of	51,190		40,334		407	2,235		41,037		40,795		556		/04
undistributed net income	(42,623)		(42,287)		(336)			(17,849)		(17,520)		(329)		
Assumed allocation of net														
income	\$ 8,573	\$	6,267	\$	71	\$ 2,235	\$	24,008	\$	23,275	\$	29	\$	704
Denominator:														
Basic weighted average														
limited partner units outstanding			27,350							26,085				
Dilutive effect of phantom units			243							173				
Diluted weighted average limited partner units														
outstanding			27,593							26,258				
Basic net income per limited														
partner unit		\$	0.23						\$	0.89				
Diluted net income per limited partner unit		\$	0.23						\$	0.89				
•														

(1) Calculation includes the effect of the March 1, 2012 issuance of 5,850,000 common units in connection with the acquisition of Alliance. As a result, the general partner interest was 0.83% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and, based on a weighted average, 0.87% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

(2) In connection with the acquisition of Alliance on March 1, 2012 and the issuance of 5,850,000 common units, the Contribution Agreement provided that any declared distribution for the first quarter of 2012 reflect the seller s actual period of ownership during that quarter. The payment by the seller of \$1.9 million reflects the timing of the transaction (March 1), the seller s 31 days of actual unit ownership in the 91 days of the quarter and the net receipt by seller (\$1.0 million) of a pro-rated portion of the quarterly cash distribution of \$0.50 per unit paid on the issued 5,850,000 common units.

On April 24, 2013, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.5825 per unit for the period from January 1, 2013 through March 31, 2013. On July 23, 2013, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.5875 per unit for the period from April 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. On October 23, 2013, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.60 per unit for the period from July 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013. These declared cash distributions result in incentive distributions to the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, and enable the Partnership to exceed its second target level distribution with respect to such IDRs. See Note 9, Cash Distributions for further information.

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 5. Inventories

Except for its convenience store inventory and its RIN inventory, the Partnership hedges substantially all of its inventory, primarily through futures contracts. These futures contracts are entered into when inventory is purchased and are designated as fair value hedges against the inventory on a specific barrel basis. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. All hedged inventory is valued using the lower of cost, as determined by specific identification, or market. Prior to sale, hedges are removed from specific barrels of inventory, and the then unhedged inventory is sold and accounted for on a first-in, first-out basis. In addition, the Partnership has convenience store inventory and RIN inventory which are carried at the lower of historical cost or market. Inventory from Cascade Kelly was nominal at September 30, 2013 and is carried at the lower of cost or market.

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Distillates: home heating oil, diesel and kerosene	\$ 165,561	\$ 235,029
Gasoline	82,061	144,269
Gasoline blendstocks	36,875	119,932
Renewable identification numbers (RINs)	5,854	19,384
Crude oil	60,837	80,273
Residual oil	36,649	29,150
Propane and other	2,411	
Convenience store inventory	7,164	6,630
Total	\$ 397,412	\$ 634,667

In addition to its own inventory, the Partnership has exchange agreements for petroleum products with unrelated third-party suppliers, whereby it may draw inventory from these other suppliers and suppliers may draw inventory from the Partnership. Positive exchange balances are accounted for as accounts receivable and amounted to \$49.2 million and \$120.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Negative exchange balances are accounted for as accounts payable and amounted to \$40.4 million and \$139.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Exchange transactions are valued using current carrying costs.

## Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments

Accounting and reporting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities requires that an entity recognize derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measure the instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative are to be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. The Partnership principally uses derivative instruments to hedge the commodity risk associated with its inventory and product purchases and sales and to hedge variable interest rates associated with the Partnership s credit facilities.

<sup>21</sup> 

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the volume of activity related to the Partnership s derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2013:

Units (1)	Unit of Measure
19,639	Thousands of barrels
(23,968)	Thousands of barrels
7,481	Thousands of decatherms
(7,481)	Thousands of decatherms
100.0	Millions of U.S. dollars
100.0	Millions of U.S. dollars
100.0	Millions of U.S. dollars
23.8	Millions of Canadian dollars
23.1	Millions of U.S. dollars
	19,639 (23,968) 7,481 (7,481) 100.0 100.0 100.0 23.8

(1) Number of open positions and gross notional amounts do not quantify risk or represent assets or liabilities of the Partnership, but are used in the calculation of daily cash settlements under the contracts.

(2) All-in forward rate Canadian dollars ( CAD ) \$1.0311 to USD \$1.00.

#### Fair Value Hedges

The Partnership enters into futures contracts in the normal course of business to reduce the risk of loss of inventory value, which could result from fluctuations in market prices. These futures contracts are designated as fair value hedges against the inventory with specific futures contracts matched to specific barrels of inventory. As a result of the Partnership s hedge designation on these transactions, the futures contracts are recorded on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet and market to market through the use of independent markets based on the prevailing market prices of such instruments at the date of valuation. Likewise, the underlying inventory being hedged is also marked to market. Changes in the fair value of the futures contracts, as well as the change in the fair value of the hedged inventory, are recognized in the consolidated statement of income through cost of sales. These futures contracts are settled on a daily basis by the Partnership through brokerage

margin accounts.

The Partnership s futures contracts are settled daily; therefore, there was no corresponding asset or liability on the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet related to these contracts at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. These contracts remain open until their contract end date. The daily settlement of these futures contracts is accomplished through the use of brokerage margin deposit accounts.

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the hedge ineffectiveness from derivatives involved in fair value hedging relationships recognized in the Partnership s consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Three Mor Septen 2013	nths Er		tives Nine Mon Septen 2013 15,753 ecognized in	nths Ended mber 30, 2012		
Futures contracts	Cost of sales	\$ (4,348)	\$	(100,666)	\$ 15,753	\$	(110,114)	
	Location of Gain (Loss)	Three Mo		nount of Gain () Income on I Ended	d Items	ths Er	uded	
Hedged Items in Fair Value Hedged Relationships	Recognized in Income on Hedged Items	Septer 2013	mber 3	0, 2012		1ber 30	), 2012	
Inventories	Cost of sales	\$ 4,545	\$	100,765	\$ (15,033)	\$	110,368	

#### Cash Flow Hedges

The Partnership utilizes various interest rate derivative instruments to hedge variable interest rate on its debt. These derivative instruments are designated as cash flow hedges of the underlying debt. To the extent such hedges are effective, the changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into interest expense or interest income in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

In September 2008, the Partnership executed a zero premium interest rate collar with a major financial institution. The collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expired on October 2, 2013, was used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate.

In October 2009, the Partnership executed an interest rate swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which became effective on May 16, 2011 and expires on May 16, 2016, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility at a fixed rate of 3.93%.

In April 2011, the Partnership executed an interest rate cap with a major financial institution. The rate cap, which became effective on April 13, 2011 and expires on April 13, 2016, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate above 5.5% with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility.

In September 2013, the Partnership executed a forward interest rate swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which became effective on October 2, 2013 and expires on October 2, 2018, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility at a fixed rate of 1.819%. This swap will essentially replace the interest rate collar which expired on October 2, 2013.

# **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the fair value of the Partnership s derivative instruments involved in cash flow hedging relationships and their location in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	tember 30, 2013 air Value	December 31, 2012 Fair Value
Asset derivatives			
Interest rate cap	Other assets	\$ 49	\$ 35
Liability derivatives			
Interest rate collar	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 6	\$ 1,868
Interest rate swap	Other long-term liabilities	10,833	11,534
Total liability derivatives		\$ 10,839	\$ 13,402

The following table presents the amount of net gains and losses from derivatives involved in cash flow hedging relationships recognized in the Partnership s consolidated statements of income and partners equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of ( Recognized Comprehen- on Deri Three Mon Septem 2013	d in Ot sive In vatives ths En ber 30	her come s ided	(	Recognized i on Deriva (Ineffectivene and Amount from Effect Testin Three Montl Septembe 2013	atives ss Portion Excluded tiveness g) 1s Ended	Amount of 6 Recognized Comprehens on Deri Nine Mont Septem 2013	d in ( sive I vativ ths E	Other ncome es nded	(	Recognized in on Deriva (Ineffectivenes and Amount ) from Effect Testin Nine Month September 2013	tives ss Portion Excluded iveness g) s Ended
Interest rate collar	\$ 635	\$	481	\$		\$	\$ 1,861	\$	1,341	\$		\$
Interest rate swap	(1,538)		78				701		133			
Interest rate cap	(42)		(44)				15		(270)			
Total	\$ (945)	\$	515	\$		\$	\$ 2,577	\$	1,204	\$		\$

Ineffectiveness related to the interest rate collar and the interest rate swap is recognized as interest expense and was immaterial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The effective portion related to the interest rate collar that was originally reported in other comprehensive income and reclassified to earnings was \$0.6 million for each of the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and \$1.9 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. None of the effective portion related to the interest rate cap that was originally reported in other comprehensive income was reclassified into earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2013 and 2012.

#### Other Derivative Activity

The Partnership uses futures contracts, and occasionally swap agreements, to hedge its commodity exposure under forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments on its products. These derivatives are not designated by the Partnership as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges. Rather, the forward fixed price purchase and sales commitments, which meet the definition of a derivative, are reflected in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet. The related futures contracts (and swaps, if applicable) are also reflected in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheet, thereby creating an economic hedge. Changes in the fair value of the futures contracts (and swaps, if applicable), as well as offsetting gains or losses due to the change in the fair value of forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments, are recognized in the consolidated statement of income through cost of sales. These futures contracts are settled on a daily basis by the Partnership through brokerage margin accounts.

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

While the Partnership seeks to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within its product purchase activities, it may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as other logistical issues inherent in the business, such as weather conditions. In connection with managing these positions, maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace and managing the futures market outlook for future anticipated inventories, which are necessary for its business, the Partnership engages in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of products at any one point in time. Any derivatives not involved in a direct hedging activity are marked to market and recognized in the consolidated statement of income through cost of sales.

The Partnership also markets and sells natural gas by entering into forward purchase commitments for natural gas when it enters into arrangements for the forward sale commitment of product for physical delivery to third-party users. The Partnership reflects the fair value of forward fixed purchase and sales commitments in its consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of the forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments are recognized in the consolidated statement of income through cost of sales.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Partnership entered into forward currency contracts to hedge certain foreign denominated (Canadian) product purchases. These forward contracts are not designated and are reflected in the consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the fair values of these forward currency contracts are reflected in cost of sales.

Similar to the futures contracts used by the Partnership to hedge its inventory, the Partnership s futures contracts are settled daily and, accordingly, there was no corresponding asset or liability in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets related to these contracts at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. These contracts remain open until their contract end date. The daily settlement of these futures contracts is accomplished through the use of brokerage margin deposit accounts.

# **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table summarizes the derivatives not designated by the Partnership as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges and their respective fair values and location in the Partnership s consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Summary of Other Derivatives	Item Pertains to	Balance Sheet Location	September 30, 2013 Fair Value	December 31, 2012 Fair Value
Asset Derivatives				
Forward purchase commitments	Gasoline and Gasoline Blendstocks	(1)	\$ 10,328	
	Crude Oil	(1)	957	15,127
	Residual Oil	(1)		285
Total forward purchase commitments			11,285	15,543
Forward sales commitments	Gasoline and Gasoline Blendstocks	(1)	13,649	30,928
	Distillates	(1)	3,938	
	Crude Oil	(1)	5,874	
	Natural Gas	(1)	2,255	1,591
Total forward sales commitments			25,716	32,519
Total forward fixed price contracts			37,001	48,062
Foreign currency forward contract	Foreign Denominated Sales	(2)		145
Total asset derivatives			\$ 37,001	\$ 48,207
Liability Derivatives				
Forward purchase commitments	Gasoline and Gasoline Blendstocks	(3)	\$ 12,226	\$ 27,604
•	Residual Oil	(3)	268	
	Distillates	(3)	3,888	2,171
	Crude Oil	(3)	5,243	
	Natural Gas	(3)	2,233	1,576
	Propane	(3)	768	
Total forward purchase commitments			24,626	31,351
Forward sales commitments	Gasoline and Gasoline Blendstocks	(3)	12,675	173
	Distillates	(3)	1,529	2,950
	Crude Oil	(3)	55	
Total forward sales commitments			14,259	3,123
Total obligations on forward fixed price contracts			38,885	34,474
Foreign currency forward contract	Foreign Denominated Sales	(4)	58	
Total liability derivatives	-		\$ 38,943	\$ 34,474

- (1) Fair value of forward fixed price contracts
- (2) Prepaid expenses and other current assets
- (3) Obligations on forward fixed price contracts
- (4) Accrued expenses and other current liabilities

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from derivatives not involved in a hedging relationship recognized in the Partnership s consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of 6 Recognized on Deri Three Mon Septem 2013	in Ind vative oths Er	come s nded	Amount of Recognized on Deri Nine Mon Septem 2013	l in Inc ivatives ths En	ome s ded
Product contracts	Cost of sales	\$ 4,576	\$	11,062	\$ 8,223	\$	15,666
Foreign currency contracts	Cost of sales	(437)		160	(203)		121
Total		\$ 4,139	\$	11,222	\$ 8,020	\$	15,787

#### Credit Risk

The Partnership s derivative financial instruments do not contain credit risk related to other contingent features that could cause accelerated payments when these financial instruments are in net liability positions.

The Partnership is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of forward purchase and sale commitments, futures contracts and swap agreements, but the Partnership has no current reason to expect any material nonperformance by any of these counterparties. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized by the Partnership, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. The Partnership utilizes primarily three clearing brokers, all major financial institutions, for all New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) and Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of derivative instruments is presented on a net basis in the consolidated balance sheets. Exposure on forward purchase and sale commitments and swap agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates.

#### **Credit Agreement**

The Partnership entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated May 14, 2010, as amended (the Credit Agreement ). Total available commitments under the Credit Agreement are \$1.615 billion. The Credit Agreement will mature on May 14, 2015.

As of September 30, 2013, there were three facilities under the Credit Agreement:

• a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of the Partnership s borrowing base and \$1.0 billion;

- a \$500.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for acquisitions and general corporate purposes; and
- a \$115.0 million term loan that will mature on January 31, 2014.

In addition, the Credit Agreement has an accordion feature whereby the Partnership may request on the same terms and conditions of its then existing Credit Agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) then exists, an increase to the working capital revolving credit facility, the revolving credit facility or both by up to another \$250.0 million, in the aggregate, for a total credit facility of up to \$1.865 billion. Any such request for an increase by the Partnership must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million. The Partnership cannot provide assurance, however, that its lending group will agree to fund any request by the Partnership for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$1.615 billion.

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 7. Debt (continued)

In addition, the Credit Agreement includes a swing line pursuant to which Bank of America, N.A., as the swing line lender, may make swing line loans in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of (a) \$35.0 million and (b) the Aggregate WC Commitments (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Swing line loans will bear interest at the Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The swing line is a sub-portion of the working capital revolving credit facility and is not an addition to the total available commitments of \$1.615 billion.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, and in connection with any agreement by and between a Loan Party and a Lender (as such terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) or affiliate thereof (an AR Buyer ), a Loan Party may sell certain of its accounts receivables to an AR Buyer (the Receivables Sales Agreement ). Also pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Loan Parties are permitted to sell or transfer any account receivable to an AR Buyer only to the extent that (i) no Default or Event of Default (as such terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) has occurred and is continuing or would exist after giving effect to any such sale or transfer; (ii) such accounts receivable are sold for cash; (iii) the cash purchase price to be paid to the selling Loan Party for each account receivable is not less than the amount of credit such Loan Party would have been able to get for such account receivable had such account receivable been included in the Borrowing Base (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or, to the extent such account receivable is not otherwise eligible to be included in the Borrowing Base, then the cash purchase price to be paid is not less than 85% of the face amount of such account receivable; (iv) such account receivable is sold pursuant to a Receivables Sales Agreement; (v) the Loan Parties have complied with the notice requirement set forth in the Credit Agreement; (vi) neither the AR Buyer nor the Administrative Agent has delivered any notice of a termination event; (vii) the aggregate amount of the accounts receivable sold to one or more AR Buyers which has not yet been collected will not exceed \$75.0 million at any time; and (viii) the cash proceeds received from the applicable Loan Party in connection with such sale will be used to immediately repay any outstanding WC Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement). To date, the level of receivables sold has not been significant, and the Partnership has accounted for such transfers as sales pursuant to ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing. Due to the short-term nature of the receivables sold to date, no servicing obligation has been recorded because it would have been de minimus.

Availability under the Partnership s working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under the Credit Agreement, the Partnership s borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility cannot exceed the then current borrowing base. Availability under the Partnership s borrowing base may be affected by events beyond the Partnership s control, such as changes in petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits, and general economic conditions. These and other events could require the Partnership to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. The Partnership can provide no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained or, if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to the Partnership.

Commencing November 16, 2012, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.00% to 2.50%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.00% to 2.50%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.00% to 1.50%, each depending on the Utilization Amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement). From January 1, 2012 through November 15, 2012, borrowings under the working capital

revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.00%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, which in turn depended upon the Utilization Amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

Commencing November 16, 2012, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.50%, each depending on the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). From January 1, 2012 through November 15, 2012, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.875%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 7. Debt (continued)

Borrowings under the term loan bear interest at either the Eurodollar rate or the cost of funds rate, in each case plus 3.50%, or the base rate plus 2.50%.

The average interest rates for the Credit Agreement were 4.3% and 4.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 4.3% and 4.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, the Partnership had a zero premium interest rate collar, an interest rate swap and an interest rate cap, all of which were used to hedge the variability in interest payments under the Credit Agreement due to changes in LIBOR rates. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, the interest rate collar expired and was replaced with a forward starting interest swap agreement. See Note 6 for additional information on these cash flow hedges.

The Partnership incurs a letter of credit fee of 2.00% 2.50% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, the Partnership incurs a commitment fee on the unused portion of each facility under the Credit Agreement, ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum.

The Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a long-term liability because the Partnership has a multi-year, long-term commitment from its bank group. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was \$300.3 million and \$340.8 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, representing the amounts expected to be outstanding during the entire year. In addition, the Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a current liability because it repays amounts outstanding and reborrows funds based on its working capital requirements. The current portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was approximately \$0 and \$83.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, representing the amounts the Partnership expects to pay down during the course of the year.

As of September 30, 2013, the Partnership had total borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement of \$815.0 million, including \$399.7 million outstanding on the revolving credit facility and \$115.0 million outstanding on the term loan which was used to acquire a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload and a portion of all of the outstanding membership interests in Cascade Kelly. In addition, the Partnership had outstanding letters of credit of \$278.4 million. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit was \$521.6 million and \$218.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Partnership and the Partnership s wholly owned subsidiaries and is guaranteed by the General Partner. The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements including, for example, a prohibition against distributions if any potential default or Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) would occur as a result thereof, and limitations on the Partnership s ability to grant liens, make certain loans or investments, incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness, make any material change to the nature of the Partnership s business or undergo a fundamental change, make any material dispositions, acquire another company, enter into a merger, consolidation, sale leaseback transaction or purchase of assets, or make capital expenditures in excess of specified levels.

The Credit Agreement imposes financial covenants that require the Partnership to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum combined interest coverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a maximum total leverage ratio. On September 20, 2013, the Partnership entered into a Twelfth Amendment to the Credit Agreement which amended the Credit Agreement to modify a certain financial covenant. While the Partnership would not have been in compliance with certain of the foregoing covenants at September 30, 2013 following the restatement of its unaudited consolidated financial statements, the Credit Agreement has been terminated, is of no force and effect as of the date of this filing on Form 10-Q/A and has been restated in its

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 7. Debt (continued)

entirety by a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 16 2013. The Credit Agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Credit Agreement). In addition, the Credit Agreement limits distributions by the Partnership to its unitholders to the amount of the Partnership s Available Cash (as defined in its partnership agreement).

Senior Notes

On February 14, 2013, the Partnership entered into a Note Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement ) with FS Energy and Power Fund (FS Energy), with respect to the issue and sale by the Partnership to FS Energy of an aggregate principal amount of \$70.0 million unsecured 8.00% Senior Notes due 2018 (the Notes). The Notes were issued in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) and have not been registered under the Securities Act or any state securities laws, and may not be offered or sold except pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state laws.

Closing of the offering occurred on February 14, 2013. The Notes were sold to FS Energy at 97% of their face amount, resulting in net proceeds to the Partnership of approximately \$67.9 million. Additionally, the Partnership separately paid fees and offering expenses. The discount of \$2.1 million at issuance will be accreted as additional interest over the expected term on the Notes. On February 15, 2013, the Partnership used the net proceeds from the offering, after paying fees and offering expenses, together with a portion of the \$115.0 million term loan to finance its acquisition of all of the outstanding membership interests in Cascade Kelly and to pay related transaction costs.

The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of February 14, 2013 (the Indenture ) among the Partnership, our subsidiary guarantors and FS Energy. The Notes will mature on February 14, 2018. Interest on the Notes accrued from February 14, 2013 and is paid semi-annually on February 14 and August 14 of each year, beginning on August 14, 2013.

The Partnership may redeem all or some of the Notes at any time or from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Indenture. The Notes are also subject to optional or mandatory exchange for HY Bonds (as such term is defined in the Indenture) at the time and on the terms specified in the Indenture. The holders of the Notes may require the Partnership to repurchase the Notes following certain asset sales or a Change of Control (as defined in the Indenture) at the prices and on the terms specified in the Indenture.

The Notes are guaranteed on a senior, unsecured basis by certain of the Partnership s wholly owned subsidiaries. The Indenture contains covenants that are no more restrictive to the Partnership in the aggregate than the terms, conditions, covenants and defaults contained in its Credit Agreement and will limit the Partnership s ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, make distributions to equity owners, make certain investments, restrict distributions by its subsidiaries, create liens, enter into sale-leaseback transactions, sell assets or merge with other entities.

#### **Deferred Financing Fees**

The Partnership incurs bank fees related to its Credit Agreement. These deferred financing fees are amortized over the life of the Credit Agreement. The Partnership capitalized deferred financing fees of \$0.2 million and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.3 million and \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amortization expenses of approximately \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Unamortized fees are included in other current assets and other long-term assets.

#### GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 8. Related Party Transactions

The Partnership is a party to a Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage Rental and Throughput Agreement, as amended, with Global Petroleum Corp. (GPC), an affiliate of the Partnership that is 100% owned by members of the Slifka family. The agreement, which extends through July 31, 2015, is accounted for as an operating lease. After July 31, 2015, the agreement continues for successive one year terms unless either party gives notice to terminate at least 90 days prior to the expiration of the then current term. The expenses under this agreement totaled approximately \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$6.8 million and \$6.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Pursuant to an Amended and Restated Services Agreement with GPC, GPC provides certain terminal operating management services to the Partnership and uses certain administrative, accounting and information processing services of the Partnership. The expenses from these services totaled approximately \$24,000 for each of the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and \$72,000 for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. These charges were recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. On March 9, 2012, in connection with the Partnership s acquisition of Alliance (see Note 3), the agreement was amended to include the services provided by GPC to Alliance. The agreement is for an indefinite term, and either party may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services thereunder upon 180 days notice at any time. As of September 30, 2013, no such notice of termination was given by either party.

Prior to the acquisition of Alliance on March 1, 2012, the Partnership was a party to an Amended and Restated Services Agreement with Alliance. Pursuant to the agreement, the Partnership provided certain administrative, accounting and information processing services, and the use of certain facilities, to Alliance. The income from these services was approximately \$31,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These fees were recorded as an offset to selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. On March 9, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of Alliance, the agreement was terminated without penalty. There were no settlement gains or losses recognized as a result of the termination of this agreement.

In addition, on March 9, 2012, following the closing of the acquisition of Alliance, Global Companies and AE Holdings entered into a shared services agreement pursuant to which Global Companies provides AE Holdings with certain tax, accounting, treasury and legal support services for which AE Holdings pays Global Companies \$15,000 per year. The shared services agreement is for an indefinite term and AE Holdings may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services upon 180 days notice. As of September 30, 2013, no such notice of termination was given by AE Holdings.

Prior to the acquisition of Alliance on March 1, 2012, the Partnership sold refined petroleum products and renewable fuels to Alliance at prevailing market prices at the time of delivery. Sales to Alliance were approximately \$40.6 million for the nine months ended September 30,

2012.

In addition, Global Companies and GMG entered into management agreements with Alliance in connection with the Partnership s September 2010 acquisition of retail gasoline stations from ExxonMobil. The management fee and overhead reimbursement were approximately \$433,000 and \$250,000, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. On March 9, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of Alliance, the management agreements were terminated without penalty.

The General Partner employes all of the Partnership's employees, except for its gasoline station and convenience store employees and certain union personnel, who are employed by GMG. The Partnership reimburses the General Partner for expenses incurred in connection with these employees. These expenses, including payroll, payroll taxes and bonus accruals, were \$13.9 million and \$10.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$45.0 million and \$30.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Partnership also reimburses the General Partner for its contributions under the General Partner's 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and the General Partner's qualified and non-qualified pension plans.

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 8. Related Party Transactions (continued)

The table below presents trade receivables with GPC and the Partnership and receivables from the General Partner (in thousands):

	mber 30, 013	December 31, 2012
Receivables from GPC	\$ 436	\$ 275
Receivables from the General Partner (1)	1,060	1,032
Total	\$ 1,496	\$ 1,307

(1) Receivables from the General Partner reflect the Partnership s prepayment of payroll taxes and payroll accruals to the General Partner.

Note 9. Cash Distributions

The Partnership intends to consider regular cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. The Credit Agreement prohibits the Partnership from making cash distributions if any potential default or Event of Default, as defined in the Credit Agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, the Partnership will distribute all of its Available Cash (as defined in its partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of Available Cash is all cash on hand on the date of determination of Available Cash for the quarter; less the amount of cash reserves established by the General Partner to provide for the proper conduct of the Partnership s business, to comply with applicable law, any of the Partnership s debt instruments, or other agreements or to provide funds for distributions to unitholders and the General Partner for any one or more of the next four quarters.

The Partnership will make distributions of Available Cash from distributable cash flow for any quarter in the following manner: 99.17% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 0.83% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distribution is distributed to

the unitholders and the General Partner based on the percentages as provided below.

As holder of the IDRs, the General Partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount that the Partnership distributes with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly Distribution	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions				
	Target Amount	Unitholders	General Partner			
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.4625	99.17%	0.83%			
First Target Distribution	\$0.4625	99.17%	0.83%			
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.4625 up to \$0.5375	86.17%	13.83%			
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.5375 up to \$0.6625	76.17%	23.83%			
Thereafter	above \$0.6625	51.17%	48.83%			

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 9. Cash Distributions (continued)

The Partnership paid the following cash distribution during 2013 (in thousands, except per unit data):

Cash Distribution Payment Date	_	er Unit Cash tribution	Common Gener Units Partne		eneral artner	ncentive stribution		Total Cash Distribution	
02/14/13 (1)	\$	0.5700	\$ 15,636	\$	131	\$ 579	\$	16,346	
05/15/13 (2)		0.5825	15,979		134	683		16,796	
08/14/13 (3)		0.5875	16,116		135	724		16,975	

(1) This distribution of \$0.57 per unit resulted in the Partnership exceeding its second target level distribution for the fourth quarter of 2012. As a result, the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, received an incentive distribution.

(2) This distribution of \$0.5825 per unit resulted in the Partnership exceeding its second target level distribution for the first quarter of 2013. As a result, the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, received an incentive distribution.

(3) This distribution of \$0.5875 per unit resulted in the Partnership exceeding its second target level distribution for the second quarter of 2013. As a result, the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, received an incentive distribution.

In addition, on October 23, 2013, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.60 per unit (\$2.40 per unit on an annualized basis) for the period from July 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013. On November 14, 2013, the Partnership will pay this cash distribution to its common unitholders of record as of the close of business November 5, 2013. This distribution will result in the Partnership exceeding its second target level distribution for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Note 10. Segment Reporting

The Partnership engages in the distribution of refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane. The Partnership also engages in the purchasing, selling and logistics of transporting domestic and Canadian crude oil and other products. The Partnership s operating segments are based upon the revenue sources for which discrete financial information is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker (the CODM ) and include Wholesale, Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations and Commercial. Each of these operating segments

generates revenues and incurs expenses and is evaluated for operating performance on a regular basis.

These operating segments are also the Partnership s reporting segments based on the way the CODM manages the business and on the similarity of customers and expected long-term financial performance of each segment. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Commercial operating segment did not meet the quantitative metrics for disclosure as a reportable segment on a stand-alone basis as defined in accounting guidance related to segment reporting. However, the Partnership has elected to present segment disclosures for the Commercial operating segment as management believes such disclosures are meaningful to the user of the Partnership s financial information. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Partnership s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In the Wholesale reporting segment, the Partnership sells unbranded gasoline (including gasoline blendstocks such as ethanol and naphtha) and diesel to unbranded gasoline customers and other resellers of transportation fuels. The Partnership sells home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil and propane to home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors. The Partnership also sells and transports crude oil to refiners. Generally, customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take delivery of the gasoline and distillate products at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that the Partnership owns or controls or with which it has throughput or exchange arrangements. Crude oil is aggregated by truck or pipeline in the mid-continent, transported on land by train and shipped to refineries on the East Coast and West Coast in barges. Additionally, ethanol is shipped primarily by rail and by barge. The results of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, both acquired in February 2013 (see Note 3), are included in the Wholesale segment.

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 10. Segment Reporting (continued)

In the Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations reporting segment, the Partnership sells branded and unbranded gasoline to gasoline stations and other sub-jobbers. This segment also includes gasoline, convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales at the Partnership s directly operated stores, as well as rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased gasoline stations.

The Commercial segment includes sales and deliveries to end user customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial end users of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil, renewable fuels and natural gas. In the case of commercial and industrial end user customers, the Partnership sells products primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. The Commercial segment also includes sales of custom blended fuels delivered by barges or from a terminal dock to ships through bunkering activity.

Commercial segment end user customers include federal and state agencies, municipalities, large industrial companies, many autonomous authorities such as transportation authorities and water resource authorities, colleges and universities and a group of small utilities. In the Commercial segment, the Partnership generally arranges the delivery of the product to the customer s designated location. The Partnership typically hires third-party common carriers to deliver the product.

The Partnership evaluates segment performance based on net product margins before allocations of corporate and indirect operating costs, depreciation, amortization (including non-cash charges) and interest. Based on the way the CODM manages the business, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate the components of operating costs and expenses among the reportable segments. There were no intersegment sales for any of the periods presented below.

# **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 10. Segment Reporting (continued)

Summarized financial information for the Partnership s reportable segments is presented in the table below (in thousands):

Wholesale Segment:								
Gasoline and gasoline blendstocks	\$	1,981,536	\$	2,570,580	\$	6,142,691	\$	6,465,950
Total	\$	3,307,910	\$	3,507,571	\$	11,494,906	\$	9,668,058
Gasoline and gasoline blendstocks (1)	\$	21.854	\$	8.925	\$	4,786	\$	34,382
Susonne and gusonne biendstocks (1)	Ψ	21,051	Ψ	0,725	Ψ	1,700	ψ	51,502
Total (1)	\$	64,067	\$	34,919	\$	120,552	\$	102,831
Sales								
Station operations (3)		40,970		36,760		109,891		91,806
Net product margin								
Station operations (3)		21,287		18,673		59,062		48,367
Commercial Segment:								
Net product margin	\$	4,745	\$	4,779	\$	21,340	\$	14,158
Tet product margin	Ŷ	1,713	Ŷ	1,777	Ψ	21,510	Ψ	1,150
Sales	\$	4,433,426	\$	4,617,194	\$	14,794,372	\$	12,508,738
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales		(15,449)		(9,307)		(40,525)		(26,026)

(1) Includes a \$15.5 million decrease and a (\$6.6 million) increase in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and increases of \$13.5 million and \$22.6 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively (see Note 2).

(2) Other oils and related products primarily consist of distillates, residual oil, crude oil and propane and include the February 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly (see Note 3).

(3) Station operations primarily consist of convenience store sales at the Partnership s directly operated stores and rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased gasoline stations.

(4) Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of the Partnership s consolidated financial statements to assess the Partnership s business. The table above includes a reconciliation of net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP measure.

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 10. Segment Reporting (continued)

A reconciliation of the totals reported for the reportable segments to the applicable line items in the consolidated financial statements is as follows (in thousands):

Combined gross profit (1)	\$ 118,093	\$ 82,620 \$	270,962	\$ 228,614
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27,889	22,709	79,232	66,502
Amortization expense	4,773	1,511	13,321	5,373
Operating income (1)	38,718	18,204	40,989	56,047
Income tax expense	(2,727)	(678)	(852)	(228)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	679		549	

(1) Includes a \$15.5 million decrease and a (\$6.6 million) increase in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and increases of \$13.5 million and \$22.6 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively (see Note 2).

There were no foreign sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The Partnership has no significant foreign assets.

Segment Assets

In connection with its acquisitions of Cascade Kelly and a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload in February 2013, the Partnership acquired assets, including goodwill, of approximately \$240.5 million, of which approximately \$125.7 million of property and equipment has primarily been allocated to the Wholesale segment as of the respective acquisition dates. As of September 30, 2013, the total property and equipment related to these assets had a net book value of approximately \$120.5 million.

The Partnership acquired retail gasoline stations from Alliance in March 2012 and ExxonMobil in September 2010 which have been allocated to the Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations segment. As of September 30, 2013, total property and equipment allocated to this segment had a net book value of approximately \$506.1 million.

Due to the commingled nature and uses of the remainder of the Partnership s assets, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate these assets among its reportable segments.

#### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 11. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	1	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Buildings and improvements	\$	592,285	\$	498,984	
Land		287,592		289,903	
Fixtures and equipment		18,222		14,554	
Construction in process		90,633		17,809	
Capitalized internal use software		5,847		5,847	
Total property and equipment		994,579		827,097	
Less accumulated depreciation		(156,155)		(114,775)	
Total	\$	838,424	\$	712,322	

The increase of approximately \$167.5 million in gross total property and equipment at September 30, 2013 was primarily due to the Partnership s acquisitions of its membership interest in Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly (see Note 2) and to additions related to the Partnership s gasoline stations and Albany, New York terminal.

#### Note 12. Environmental Liabilities, Asset Retirement Obligations and Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs)

#### **Environmental Liabilities**

The Partnership owns or leases properties where refined petroleum products, renewable fuels and crude oil are being or may have been handled. These properties and the refined petroleum products, renewable fuels and crude oil handled thereon may be subject to federal and state environmental laws and regulations. Under such laws and regulations, the Partnership could be required to remove or remediate containerized hazardous liquids or associated generated wastes (including wastes disposed of or abandoned by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property arising from the release of liquids or wastes into the environment, including contaminated groundwater, or to implement best management practices to prevent future contamination.

The Partnership maintains insurance of various types with varying levels of coverage that it considers adequate under the circumstances to cover its operations and properties. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles that the Partnership considers reasonable and not excessive. In addition, the Partnership has entered into indemnification agreements with various sellers in conjunction with several of its acquisitions. Allocation of environmental liability is an issue negotiated in connection with each of the Partnership s acquisition transactions. In each case, the Partnership makes an assessment of potential environmental liability exposure based on available information. Based on that assessment and relevant economic and risk factors, the Partnership determines whether to, and the extent to which it will, assume liability for existing environmental conditions.

In connection with the December 2012 acquisition of six New England gasoline stations from Mutual Oil Company, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including certain ongoing remediation efforts. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, a total environmental liability of approximately \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 12. Environmental Liabilities, Asset Retirement Obligations and Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs) (continued)

In connection with the March 2012 acquisition of Alliance, the Partnership assumed Alliance s environmental liabilities, including ongoing environmental remediation at certain of the retail stations owned by Alliance and future remediation activities required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation. Remedial action plans are in place, as may be applicable with the state agencies regulating such ongoing remediation. Based on reports from environmental engineers, the Partnership s estimated cost of the ongoing environmental remediation for which Alliance was responsible and future remediation activities required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation is estimated to be approximately \$16.1 million to be expended over an extended period of time. Certain environmental remediation obligations at the retail stations acquired by Alliance from ExxonMobil in 2011 are being funded by a third party who assumed the liability in connection with the Alliance/ExxonMobil transaction in 2011 and, therefore, cost estimates for such obligations at these stations are not included in this estimate. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$16.1 million.

In connection with the September 2010 acquisition of retail gasoline stations from ExxonMobil, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including ongoing environmental remediation at and monitoring activities at certain of the acquired sites and future remediation activities required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation. Remedial action plans are in place with the applicable state regulatory agencies for the majority of these locations, including plans for soil and groundwater treatment systems at certain sites. Based on consultations with environmental engineers, the Partnership s estimated cost of the remediation is expected to be approximately \$30.0 million to be expended over an extended period of time. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$30.0 million.

In connection with the June 2010 acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals in Newburgh, New York, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including certain ongoing remediation efforts. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, a total environmental liability of approximately \$1.5 million.

In connection with the November 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil s Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil to and approved by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation (NYDEC) with respect to both terminals. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million.

In connection with the May 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil s Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total

environmental liabilities of approximately \$8.0 million. In June 2008, the Partnership submitted a remedial action work plan to NYDEC, implementing NYDEC s conditional approval of the remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil. The Partnership responded to NYDEC s requests for additional information and conducted pilot tests for the remediation outlined in the work plan. Based on the results of such pilot tests, the Partnership changed its estimate and reduced the environmental liability by \$2.8 million during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2008. In July 2009, NYDEC approved the remedial action work plan, and the Partnership signed a Stipulation Agreement with NYDEC to govern implementation of the approved plan. The remedial action work has been implemented pursuant to the approved work plan, and the post-remediation stage of operation, monitoring and maintenance has commenced and is ongoing. As a result, the Partnership changed its estimate and reduced the environmental liability by \$1.7 million during the second quarter ended June 30, 2011.

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 12. Environmental Liabilities, Asset Retirement Obligations and Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs) (continued)

The following table presents a summary roll forward of the Partnership s environmental liabilities at September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Environmental Liability Related to:	Balance at December 31, 2012		Payments in 2013			Dispositions 2013	Other Adjustments		Balance at September 30, 2013	
ExxonMobil Gasoline Stations	\$	26,610	\$	(1,018)	\$	(366)	\$	(3)	\$	25,223
Alliance Gasoline Stations		14,984		(613)		(600)		420		14,191
Mutual Oil		625								625
Newburgh		1,500								1,500
Glenwood Landing and Inwood		347		(25)						322
Albany		106		(45)						61
Total environmental liabilities	\$	44,172	\$	(1,701)	\$	(966)	\$	417	\$	41,922
Current portion	\$	4,341							\$	4,271
Long-term portion		39,831								37,651
Total environmental liabilities	\$	44,172							\$	41,922

The Partnership s estimates used in these environmental liabilities are based on all known facts at the time and its assessment of the ultimate remedial action outcomes. Among the many uncertainties that impact the Partnership s estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, its remediation plans, the amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment, relief of obligation through divestures of sites and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Dispositions generally represent relief of legal obligation through the sale of the related property. Other adjustments generally represent changes in estimates for existing obligations or obligations associated with new sites. Therefore, although the Partnership believes that these environmental liabilities are adequate, no assurances can be made that any costs incurred in excess of these environmental liabilities or outside of indemnifications or not otherwise covered by insurance would not have a material adverse effect on the Partnership s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### Asset Retirement Obligations

The Partnership is required to account for the legal obligations associated with the long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development or operation of long-lived assets. Such asset retirement obligations specifically pertain to the treatment of underground gasoline storage tanks (USTs) that exist in those U.S. states which statutorily require removal of the USTs at a certain point in time. Specifically, the

Partnership s retirement obligations consist of the estimated costs of removal and disposals of USTs in specific states. The fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the year in which it is incurred. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying cost of the asset. The Partnership has recorded approximately \$1.9 million in total asset retirement obligations which are included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet at September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 12. Environmental Liabilities, Asset Retirement Obligations and Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs) (continued)

Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs)

A RIN is a serial number assigned to a batch of biofuel for the purpose of tracking its production, use, and trading as required by the EPA Renewable Fuel Standard that originated with the Energy Policy Act of 2005. To evidence that the required volume of renewable fuel is blended with gasoline, obligated parties must retire sufficient RINs to cover their RVO. The Partnership s EPA obligations relative to renewable fuel reporting are largely limited to the foreign gasoline that the Partnership may choose to import. As a wholesaler of transportation fuels through its terminals, the Partnership separates RINs from renewable fuel through blending with gasoline and can use those separated RINs to settle its RVO. While the annual compliance period for a RVO is a calendar year, the settlement of the RVO can occur, upon certain deferral elections, more than one year after the close of the compliance period.

The Partnership s Wholesale segment s operating results are sensitive to the timing associated with its RIN position relative to its RVO at a point in time, and the Partnership may recognize a mark-to-market liability for a shortfall in RINs at the end of each reporting period. To the extent the Partnership does not have a sufficient number of RINs to satisfy the obligation as of the balance sheet date, the Partnership charges cost of sales for such deficiency based on the market price of the RINs as of the balance sheet date, and records a liability representing the Partnership s obligation to purchase RINs. At September 30, 2013, the Partnership s RVO Deficiency was(\$22.6 million). The Partnership s RVO Deficiency was immaterial at December 31, 2012.

The Partnership may enter into RIN forward commitments. At September 30, 2013, a significant number of the forward sales contracts were fixed at a price below the RIN spot rate. Accordingly, the Partnership has provided for the mark to market value of these firm non-cancellable forward commitments which amounted to approximately (\$6.6 million) at September 30, 2013. The mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments was immaterial at December 31, 2012.

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 13. Long-Term Incentive Plan

The General Partner has a Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) whereby 564,242 common units were initially authorized for issuance. On June 22, 2012, the Partnership s common unitholders approved an amendment and restatement of the LTIP (the Restated LTIP). The Restated LTIP: (i) increases the number of common units available for delivery with respect to awards under the LTIP so that, effective June 22, 2012 a total of 4,300,000 common units are available for delivery with respect to awards under the Restated LTIP, (ii) adds a prohibition on repricing of unit options and unit appreciation rights without approval of the Partnership s unitholders, except in the case of adjustments implemented to reflect certain Partnership transactions, (iii) adds a prohibition on granting unit options or unit appreciation rights with an exercise price less than the fair market value of a common unit on the grant date (other than substitute awards granted in substitution for similar awards held by individuals who become employees, consultants and directors of the Partnership or one of its affiliates as a result of a merger, consolidation or acquisition by the Partnership or its affiliate of another entity or the assets of another entity), (iv) permits the granting of fully-vested common units and (v) incorporates certain other non-material ministerial changes. Any units delivered pursuant to an award under the Restated LTIP may be acquired in the open market, issued by the Partnership, or any combination of the foregoing. The Restated LTIP provides for awards to employees, consultants and directors of the General Partner and employees and consultants of affiliates of the Partnership who perform services for the Partnership. The Restated LTIP allows for the award of options, unit appreciation rights, restricted units, phantom units, unit awards and substitute awards.

### Phantom Unit Awards

On June 27, 2013, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors of the General Partner granted a total of 510,836 phantom units under the Restated LTIP to certain employees and non-employee directors of the General Partner. In connection with the awards, grantees who are employees entered into various forms of a Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement with the General Partner. The Partnership currently intends and reasonably expects to issue and deliver the common units upon vesting.

The awards granted to employees, with one exception, will vest on a cumulative basis as follows, subject to continued employment: 33 1/3% on July 1, 2017, 66 2/3% on July 1, 2018 and 100% on July 1, 2019. The phantom unit award to one employee will vest on a cumulative basis as follows, subject to continued employment: 33 1/3% on December 31, 2014, 66 2/3% on December 31, 2015 and 100% on December 31, 2016. The awards granted to the non-employee directors will vest on a cumulative basis as follows: 33 1/3% on December 31, 2014, 66 2/3% on December 31, 2015 and 100% on December 31, 2016.

Accounting guidance for share-based compensation requires that a non-vested equity share unit awarded to an employee is to be measured at its fair value as if it were vested and issued on the grant date. The fair value of the award at the June 27, 2013 grant date approximated the fair value of the Partnership s common unit at that date.

Compensation cost for an award of share-based employee compensation classified as equity, as is the case of the Partnership s award, is recognized over the requisite service period. The requisite service period for the Partnership is from June 27, 2013, the grant date, through the vesting dates described above. The Partnership will recognize as compensation expense for the awards granted to employees and non-employee directors the value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest over the requisite service period on a straight-line basis. In accordance with the guidance issued for share-based compensation, the Partnership estimated forfeitures at the time of grant. Such estimates, which were based on the Partnership s service history, will be revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from estimates. The Partnership recorded compensation expense related to these awards of approximately \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The total compensation cost related to the non-vested awards not yet recognized at September 30, 2013 was approximately \$18.7 million and is expected to be recognized ratably over the remaining requisite service period.

Table of Contents

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 13. Long-Term Incentive Plan (continued)

Repurchase Program

In May 2009, the board of directors of the General Partner authorized the repurchase of the Partnership s common units (the Repurchase Program ) for the purpose of meeting the General Partner s anticipated obligations to deliver common units under the LTIP and meeting the General Partner s obligations under existing employment agreements and other employment related obligations of the General Partner (collectively, the General Partner s Obligations ). The Partnership is authorized to acquire up to 942,427 of its common units in the aggregate over an extended period of time, consistent with the General Partner s Obligations. Common units of the Partnership may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, including block purchases, or in privately negotiated transactions. Such authorized unit repurchases may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time, and are subject to price, economic and market conditions, applicable legal requirements and available liquidity. Since the Repurchase Program was implemented, the General Partner repurchased 487,915 common units pursuant to the Repurchase Program for approximately \$12.0 million, of which approximately \$4.3 million was purchased in 2013.

Note 14. Fair Value Measurements

Certain of the Partnership s assets and liabilities are measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The FASB established a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value into the following three levels:

Level 1	Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2	Inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
Level 3	Unobservable inputs based on the entity s own assumptions.

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 14. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The following table presents those financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value as of September 30, 2013						Fair Value as of December 31, 2012						
	Total	]	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Total	]	Level 1		Level 2	Level 3
Assets:													
Hedged inventories	\$ 342,379	\$		\$	342,379	\$		\$ 526,107	\$		\$	526,107	\$
Fair value of forward													
fixed price contracts	37,001				30,170		6,831	48,062				48,062	
Swap agreements and													
options	139		134		5			179		54		125	
Foreign currency													
derivatives								145				145	
Interest rate cap	49				49			35				35	
Broker margin													
deposits	40,694		40,694					54,726		54,726			
Pension plan	17,885		17,885					17,158		17,158			
Total	\$ 438,147	\$	58,713	\$	372,603	\$	6,831	\$ 646,412	\$	71,938	\$	574,474	\$
Liabilities:													
Obligations on													
forward fixed price													
contracts	\$ (38,885)	\$		\$	(33,587)	\$	(5,298)	\$ (34,474)	\$		\$	(34,474)	\$
RIN forward													
commitments	(6,608)				(6,608)								
Swap agreements and													
option contracts	(134)		(134)					(54)		(54)			
Foreign currency													
derivatives	(58)				(58)								
Interest rate collar													
and swap	(10,840)				(10,840)			(13,402)				(13,402)	
Total liabilities	\$ (56,525)	\$	(134)	\$	(51,093)	\$	(5,298)	\$ (47,930)	\$	(54)	\$	(47,876)	\$

This table excludes cash on hand and assets and liabilities that are measured at historical cost or any basis other than fair value. The carrying amounts of certain of the Partnership s financial instruments, including cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities. The carrying value of the Partnership s credit facilities approximate fair value due to the variable rate nature of these financial instruments. The fair values of the derivatives used by the Partnership are disclosed in Note 6.

The majority of the Partnership's derivatives outstanding are reported at fair value based market quotes that are deemed to be observable inputs in an active market for similar assets and liabilities and are considered Level 2 inputs for purposes of fair value disclosures. Specifically, the fair values of the Partnership's financial assets and financial liabilities provided above were derived from NYMEX and New York Harbor quotes for the Partnership's hedged inventories, forward fixed price contracts, swap agreements and option contracts and from the LIBOR rates for the Partnership's interest rate collar, interest rate swap and interest rate cap. The fair value of the foreign currency derivatives and the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments are based on broker price quotations. Except as discussed below, the Partnership has not changed its valuation techniques or Level 2 inputs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The fair value for the Partnership s forward fixed price contracts related to crude oil are derived from a combination of quoted NYMEX market commodity prices as well as significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), including internally developed assumptions where there is little, if any, market activity. The unobservable inputs used in the measurement of the Partnership s forward fixed price contracts include estimates for location basis, transportation and throughput costs net of an estimated margin for current market participants. Gains and losses recognized in earnings (or changes in net assets) are disclosed in Note 6.

Table of Contents

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 14. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The following table presents a summary of the changes in fair value of the Partnership s Level 3 financial assets and liabilities at September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Assets
Fair value at December 31, 2012	\$
Reclass of Level 2 inputs	15,127
Change in fair value recorded in Cost of Sales	(13,594)
Fair value at September 30, 2013	\$ 1,533

The fair values of the Partnership s pension plan assets at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were determined by Level 1 inputs which principally consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. The plan assets primarily consisted of fixed income securities, equity securities and cash and cash equivalents.

For assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis during the period, accounting guidance requires quantitative disclosures about the fair value measurements separately for each major category. See Note 3 for acquired assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis during the fiscal quarters ended September 30, 2013.

### Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Partnership s financial instruments approximated the carrying value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, in each case due to the short-term nature and the variable interest rate of the financial instruments.

Note 15. Income Taxes

Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code provides that publicly-traded partnerships are, as a general rule, taxed as corporations. However, an exception, referred to as the Qualifying Income Exception, exists under Section 7704(c) with respect to publicly-traded partnerships of which 90% or more of the gross income for every taxable year consists of qualifying income. Qualifying income includes income and gains derived from the transportation, storage and marketing of refined petroleum products and crude oil to resellers and refiners. Other types of qualifying income include interest (other than from a financial business), dividends, gains from the sale of real property and gains from the sale or other disposition of capital assets held for the production of income that otherwise constitutes qualifying income.

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 15. Income Taxes (continued)

Substantially all of the Partnership s income is qualifying income for federal income tax purposes and, therefore, is not subject to federal income taxes at the partnership level. Accordingly, no provision has been made for income taxes on the qualifying income in the Partnership s financial statements. Net income for financial statement purposes may differ significantly from taxable income reportable to unitholders as a result of differences between the tax basis and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and the taxable income allocation requirements under the Partnership s agreement of limited partnership. Individual unitholders have different investment basis depending upon the timing and price at which they acquired their common units. Further, each unitholder s tax accounting, which is partially dependent upon the unitholder s tax position, differs from the accounting followed in the Partnership s consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the aggregate difference in the basis of the Partnership s net assets for financial and tax reporting purposes cannot be readily determined because information regarding each unitholder s tax attributes in the Partnership is not available to the Partnership.

One of the Partnership s wholly owned subsidiaries, GMG, is a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. Current and deferred income taxes are recognized on the separate earnings of GMG. The after-tax earnings of GMG are included in the earnings of the Partnership. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Partnership calculates its current and deferred tax provision based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from actual results reflected in income tax returns filed in subsequent years. Adjustments based on filed returns are recorded when identified.

The Partnership recognizes deferred tax assets to the extent that the recoverability of these assets satisfy the more likely than not recognition criteria in accordance with the FASB s guidance regarding income taxes. Based upon projections of future taxable income, the Partnership believes that the recorded deferred tax assets will be realized.

Note 16. Legal Proceedings

General

Although the Partnership may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business, the Partnership does not believe that it is a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on its financial condition or results of operations. Except as described below and in Note 12 included herein, the Partnership is not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against it, or contemplated to be brought against it. The Partnership maintains insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as its general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

Other

The Partnership received from the EPA, by letters dated November 2, 2011 and March 29, 2012, reporting requirements and testing orders (collectively, the Requests for Information ) for information under the Clean Air Act. The Requests for Information are part of an EPA investigation to determine whether the Partnership has violated sections of the Clean Air Act at certain of its terminal locations in New England with respect to residual oil and asphalt. The Partnership has submitted all required information requested under the Requests for Information. The Partnership does not believe that a material violation has occurred nor does the Partnership believe any adverse determination in connection with such investigation would have a material impact on its operations.

Table of Contents

## **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 17. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table presents the changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	I	Pension		
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Plan	Derivatives	Total
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$	(2,859) \$	(11,264)	\$ (14,123)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications of gain (loss)		1,191	(945)	246
Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income				
Total comprehensive income		1,191	(945)	246
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$	(1,668) \$	(12,209)	\$ (13,877)

	I	Pension		
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Plan	Derivatives	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	(4,884) \$	(14,786)	\$ (19,670)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications of gain (loss)		3,216	2,577	5,793
Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income				
Total comprehensive income		3,216	2,577	5,793
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$	(1,668) \$	(12,209)	\$ (13,877)

## Note 18. Non-Cash Investing Activities

The following table presents non-cash investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Effect of acquisition of Alliance Energy LLC:		
Fair value of tangible assets acquired	\$	(333,212)
Fair value of liabilities assumed		83,209
Fair value of acquired intangible assets		(33,285)
Fair value of common units issued		130,513
Consideration paid in excess of fair value (goodwill)		(29,123)
Net cash paid in connection with the acquisition of Alliance	\$	(181,898)

Table of Contents

### **GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 19. New Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards or Updates Recently Adopted

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-02, Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. Under this standard, an entity is required to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be classified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. This standard does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the financial statements. The Partnership adopted this guidance on January 1, 2013 which did not have a material impact on the Partnership s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. This standard provides additional guidance on the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The additional guidance provides that only recognized derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions would be subject to disclosure requirements. The Partnership adopted this guidance on January 1, 2013 which did not have a material impact on the Partnership s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Accounting Standards or Updates Not Yet Effective

The Partnership has evaluated the accounting guidance recently issued and has determined that these standards or updates will not have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 20. Subsequent Event

On October 23, 2013, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.60 per unit (\$2.40 per unit on an annualized basis) for the period from July 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013. On November 14, 2013, the Partnership will pay this cash distribution to its common unitholders of record as of the close of business November 5, 2013.

### Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of Global Partners LP should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A.

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

Some of the information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, any statement that may project, indicate or imply future results, events, performance or achievements, and may contain the words may, believe, should, could, expect, anticipate, plan, intend, estimate, continue, will likely result, o expressions. In addition, any statement made by our management concerning future financial performance (including future revenues, earnings or growth rates), ongoing business strategies or prospects, and possible actions by us are also forward-looking statements. Although we believe these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or control, and there can be no assurance that future developments affecting our business will be those that we anticipate. Additionally, all statements concerning our expectations regarding future operating results are based on current forecasts for our existing operations and do not include the potential impact of any future acquisitions. The factors listed under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as any cautionary language in this report, describe the known material risks, uncertainties and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. Additional factors or events that may emerge from time to time, or those that we currently deem to be immaterial, could cause our actual results to differ, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained herein. The following factors are among those that may cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from our forward-looking statements:

• We may not have sufficient cash from operations to enable us to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels following establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner.

A significant decrease in demand for the products we sell could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Our sales of home heating oil and residual oil could be significantly reduced by conversions to natural gas.

Erosion of the value of the Mobil brand could adversely affect our gasoline sales and customer traffic.

• Our gasoline station and convenience store business could expose us to an increase in consumer litigation and result in an unfavorable outcome or settlement of one or more lawsuits where insurance proceeds are insufficient or otherwise unavailable.

• Our gasoline sales could be significantly reduced by a reduction in demand due to higher prices and to new technologies and alternative fuel sources, such as electric, hybrid or battery powered motor vehicles.

• Our crude oil sales could be adversely affected by, among other things, unanticipated changes in the crude oil market structure, grade differentials and volatility (or lack thereof), changes in refiner demand, severe weather conditions, significant changes in prices and interruptions in rail transportation services and other necessary services and equipment, such as railcars, trucks, loading equipment and qualified drivers.

• We depend upon marine, pipeline, rail and truck transportation services for a substantial portion of our logistics business in transporting the products we sell. A disruption in these transportation services could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

• Changes to government usage mandates could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which could negatively impact our sales.

• Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our home heating oil and residual oil sales.

• Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity risk. In addition, noncompliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.

Our results of operations are affected by the overall forward market for the products we sell.

## Table of Contents

• Our business could be affected by a range of issues, such as dramatic changes in commodity prices, energy conservation, competition, the global economic climate, movement of products between foreign locales and within the United States, changes in refiner demand, weekly and monthly refinery output levels, changes in local, domestic and worldwide inventory levels, seasonality and supply, weather and logistics disruptions.

• Increases and/or decreases in the prices of the products we sell could adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.

- We are exposed to trade credit risk in the ordinary course of our business.
- We are exposed to risk associated with our trade credit support in the ordinary course of our business.
- The condition of credit markets may adversely affect us.

• Our bank credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior notes contain operating and financial covenants, and our credit agreement contains borrowing base requirements. A failure to comply with the operating and financial covenants in our credit agreement, the indenture and any future financing agreements could impact our access to bank loans and other sources of financing and restrict our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in, expand or pursue our business activities.

- A significant increase in interest rates could adversely affect our ability to service our indebtedness.
- Adverse developments in the areas where we conduct our business could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

• A serious disruption to our information technology systems could significantly limit our ability to manage and operate our business efficiently.

• We are exposed to performance risk in our supply chain.

• Our businesses are subject to both federal and state environmental and non-environmental regulations which could have a material adverse effect on such businesses.

• Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of unitholders.

• Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or to remove our general partner without the consent of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding units (including units held by our general partner and its affiliates), which could lower the trading price of our common units.

- Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.
- Unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Additional information about risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements is contained in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A.

We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update these statements to reflect any change in our expectations or beliefs or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any forward-looking statement is based. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

### Table of Contents

Overview

#### General

We are a midstream logistics and marketing company. We are one of the largest distributors of gasoline (including gasoline blendstocks such as ethanol and naphtha), distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene), residual oil and renewable fuels to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the New England states and New York. We also engage in the purchasing, selling and logistics of transporting domestic and Canadian crude oil and other products via rail, establishing a virtual pipeline from the mid-continent region of the United States and Canada to the East and West Coasts for distribution to refiners and other customers. We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products and renewable fuels in Massachusetts, Maine, Connecticut, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania (collectively, the Northeast ). We also own and control terminals in North Dakota and Oregon that extend our origin-to-destination capabilities. We are a major multi-brand gasoline distributor and, as of September 30, 2013, had a portfolio of approximately 900 owned, leased and/or supplied gasoline stations primarily in the Northeast. We receive revenue from retail sales of gasoline, convenience store sales and gasoline station rental income. We are also a distributor of natural gas and propane. In addition, we provide ancillary services to companies and receive revenue from these ancillary services.

We purchase refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane primarily from domestic and foreign refiners and ethanol producers, crude oil producers, major and independent oil companies and trading companies, and we sell these products in three reporting segments: (i) Wholesale, (ii) Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations and (iii) Commercial which are discussed below.

Collectively, we sold approximately \$4.4 billion and \$14.7 billion of refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. In addition, we had other revenues of approximately \$41.0 million and \$109.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, primarily from convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased gasoline stations.

Like most independent marketers, we base our pricing on spot prices, fixed prices or indexed prices and routinely use the NYMEX, CME, IntercontinentalExchange (ICE) or other counterparties to hedge the risk inherent in buying and selling commodities. Through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchased volumes and sales volumes or future delivery obligations.

#### Wholesale

We engage in the logistics of gathering, storage, transportation and marketing of refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil and propane. In February 2013, we acquired a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload, which operates two transloading facilities in Columbus and Beulah, North Dakota for crude oil and other products, and 100% of the membership interest in Cascade Kelly, which owns a West Coast crude oil and ethanol facility near Portland, Oregon. In January 2013, we signed a five-year contract with Phillips 66 under which we use our storage, rail transloading, logistics and transportation system to deliver crude oil from the Bakken region of North Dakota to Phillips 66 s Bayway, New Jersey refinery.

We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products and renewable fuels in the Northeast. We also own and control terminals in North Dakota and Oregon that extend our origin-to-destination capabilities. Our strategically located terminal assets, logistics capabilities, transloading facilities and access to railroad and barge transportation provide a virtual pipeline solution for the transportation of crude oil, renewable fuels and other products from the mid-continent region of the United States and Canada to the East and West Coasts.

This reportable segment includes sales of unbranded gasoline (including gasoline blendstocks such as ethanol and naphtha) and diesel to unbranded gasoline customers and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil and propane to home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors and crude oil to refiners.

5	1	١
J	L	J

### Table of Contents

In our Wholesale segment, we obtain Renewable Identification Numbers ( RINs ) in connection with our purchase of ethanol either to be used for bulk trading purposes or for blending with gasoline through our terminal system. A RIN is a renewable identification number associated with government-mandated renewable fuel standards. To evidence that the required volume of renewable fuel is blended with gasoline, obligated parties must retire sufficient RINs to cover their Renewable Volume Obligation ( RVO Øur EPA obligations relative to renewable fuel reporting are largely limited to the foreign gasoline that we may choose to import.

### Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations

As of September 30, 2013, we had a portfolio of approximately 900 owned, leased and/or supplied gasoline stations primarily in the Northeast. In September 2010, we completed the acquisition from ExxonMobil Corporation of 190 retail gasoline stations, together with the rights to (i) supply Mobil-branded fuel to those stations as well as an additional 31 existing locations in Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island, and (ii) expand supply opportunities for Mobil-branded and Exxon-branded fuel in certain other New England states. This acquisition expanded our wholesale supply business and added vertical integration to our transportation fuel business in New England. On March 1, 2012, we acquired Alliance Energy LLC (Alliance), a gasoline distributor and operator of gasoline stations and convenience stores. As of the date of the acquisition, Alliance is portfolio included approximately 540 gasoline stations. The Alliance acquisition expanded our geographic footprint for gasoline stations to include Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Maine and Vermont. Alliance is a top-tier distributor of multiple brands, including Exxon, Mobil, Shell, Sunoco, CITGO and Gulf. Prior to the closing of the acquisition, Alliance was wholly owned by AE Holdings Corp. which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family.

On April 26, 2012, we entered into an agreement with Getty Realty Corp. (Getty Realty) to supply and provide management services to more than 200 of its gasoline stations in New York and New Jersey. On November 19, 2012, we signed a long-term lease agreement with Getty Realty for approximately 90 of those 200 sites, which enables us to supply gasoline to and operate gasoline stations, primarily in the New York City boroughs of Queens, Manhattan and the Bronx as well as in Long Island and Westchester County. Under the April 26, 2012 agreement, we currently manage approximately 40 sites. The lease with Getty Realty significantly expands our retail gasoline and fuel distribution presence in the New York metro region.

This reportable segment includes sales of branded and unbranded gasoline to gasoline stations and other sub-jobbers as well as gasoline, convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales at our directly operated stores and rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased retail gasoline stations.

#### Commercial

This segment includes sales and deliveries to end user customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial end users of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil, renewable fuels and natural gas. In the case of commercial and industrial end user customers, we sell our products primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. Our Commercial segment also includes sales of custom blended distillates and residual oil delivered by barge or from a terminal dock to ships through bunkering activity. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Commercial operating segment did not meet the quantitative metrics for disclosure as a reportable segment on a stand-alone basis. However, we have elected to present segment disclosures for the Commercial operating segment as we believe such disclosures are meaningful to the user of our financial information.

## **Products and Operational Structure**

Our products primarily include gasoline, distillates, residual oil, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane. We sell gasoline to branded and unbranded gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, as well as to customers in the public sector. The distillates we sell are used primarily for fuel for trucks and off-road construction equipment and for space heating of residential and commercial buildings. We receive crude oil in the mid-continent region of the United States and Canada and aggregate crude oil by truck or pipeline in the mid-continent, transport it on land by train and ship it to refineries on the East and West Coasts in barges. We sell residual oil to major housing units, such as public housing authorities, colleges and hospitals and large industrial facilities that use processed steam in their manufacturing processes. In addition, we sell bunker fuel, which we can custom blend, to cruise ships,

### Table of Contents

bulk carriers and fishing fleets. We sell our natural gas to end users and our propane to home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors.

Due to the nature of our business and our customers reliance, in part, on consumer travel and spending patterns, we may experience more demand for gasoline and gasoline blendstocks during the late spring and summer months than during the fall and winter. Travel and recreational activities are typically higher in these months in the geographic areas in which we operate, increasing the demand for gasoline and gasoline blendstocks that we distribute. Therefore, our volumes in gasoline and gasoline blendstocks are typically higher in the second and third quarters of the calendar year. As demand for some of our refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, heating oil and residual oil sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. These factors may result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

Generally, our wholesale customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take delivery of the gasoline and distillate products at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that we own or control or with which we have throughput or exchange arrangements. Our crude oil is aggregated by truck or pipeline in the mid-continent, transported on land by train and shipped to refineries on the East and West Coasts in barges. Ethanol is shipped primarily by rail and by barge. For our commercial customers, we generally arrange the delivery of the product to the customer s designated location, typically hiring third-party common carriers to deliver the product.

#### Outlook

This section identifies certain risks and certain economic or industry-wide factors that may affect our financial performance and results of operations in the future, both in the short-term and in the long-term. Our results of operations and financial condition depend, in part, upon the following:

Our business is influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products, renewable fuels and crude oil, and increases and/or decreases in the prices of these products may adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders and the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement. Results from our purchasing, storing, terminalling, transporting and selling operations are influenced by prices for these products, pricing volatility and the market for such products. Prices in the overall forward market for these products may affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Our margins can be significantly impacted by the forward product pricing curve, often referred to as the futures market. We typically hedge our exposure to petroleum product and renewable fuel price moves with futures contracts and, to a lesser extent, swaps. In markets where futures prices are higher than current prices, referred to as contango, we may use our storage capacity to improve our margins by storing products we have purchased at lower prices in the current market for delivery to customers at higher prices in the future. In markets where futures prices are lower than current prices, referred to as backwardation, inventories can depreciate in value and hedging costs are more expensive. For this reason, in these backward markets, we attempt to reduce our inventories in order to minimize these effects. When prices for the products we sell rise, some of our customers may have insufficient credit to purchase supply from us at their historical purchase volumes, and their customers, in turn, may adopt conservation measures which reduce consumption, thereby reducing demand for product. Furthermore, when prices increase rapidly and dramatically, we may be unable to promptly pass our additional costs on to our customers, resulting in lower margins for us which could adversely affect our results of operations. Higher prices for the products we sell may (1) diminish our access to trade credit support and/or cause it to become more expensive and (2) decrease the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our credit agreement as a result of total available commitments, borrowing base limitations and advance rates thereunder. When prices for the products we sell decline, our exposure to risk of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers of our forward contracts may be increased as they and/or their customers may breach their contracts and purchase the products we sell at the then lower retail market price. A significant decrease in the price for crude oil could adversely affect the economics of the domestic crude oil production for the product which, in turn, could have an adverse effect on our crude oil logistics activities and sales.

• We commit substantial resources to pursuing acquisitions, although there is no certainty that we will successfully complete any acquisitions or receive the economic results we anticipate from completed acquisitions. Consistent with our business strategy, we are continuously engaged in discussions with potential sellers and lessors of existing (or suitable for development) terminalling, storage, logistics and/or marketing

### Table of Contents

assets, including gasoline stations, and related businesses. Our growth largely depends on our ability to make accretive acquisitions and/or accretive development projects. We may be unable to make such accretive acquisitions for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) we are unable to identify attractive transaction candidates or negotiate acceptable transaction contracts; (2) we are unable to raise financing for such transactions on economically acceptable terms; or (3) we are outbid by competitors. In addition, we may consummate transactions that at the time of consummation we believe will be accretive but that ultimately may not be accretive. If any of these events were to occur, our future growth would be limited. We can give no assurance that our transaction efforts will be successful or that any such transaction will be completed on terms that are favorable to us.

• The condition of credit markets may adversely affect our liquidity. In the past, world financial markets experienced a severe reduction in the availability of credit. Possible negative impacts in the future could include a decrease in the availability of borrowings under our credit agreement, increased counterparty credit risk on our derivatives contracts and our contractual counterparties requiring us to provide collateral. In addition, we could experience a tightening of trade credit from our suppliers.

• We depend upon rail and marine transportation services for a substantial portion of our logistics business in transporting the products we sell. A disruption in rail and marine transportation services could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Hurricanes, flooding and other severe weather conditions could cause a disruption in rail and marine transportation service. In addition, labor disputes between the railroads and their union employees and labor renegotiations or a work stoppage at railroads could also disrupt rail service. These events could result in service disruptions and increased cost which could also adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Use the to unitholders adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

• Our gasoline and gasoline blendstocks financial results are seasonal and generally lower in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Due to the nature of our business and our reliance, in part, on consumer travel and spending patterns, we may experience more demand for gasoline and gasoline blendstocks during the late spring and summer months than during the fall and winter. Travel and recreational activities are typically higher in these months in the geographic areas in which we operate, increasing the demand for gasoline and gasoline blendstocks that we distribute. Therefore, our results of operations in gasoline and gasoline blendstocks are typically lower in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year.

• Our heating oil and residual oil financial results are seasonal and generally lower in the second and third quarters of the calendar year. Demand for some refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally higher during November through March than during April through October. We obtain a significant portion of these sales during the winter months. Therefore, our results of operations in heating oil and residual oil for the first and fourth calendar quarters are generally better than for the second and third quarters.

• *Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.* Weather conditions generally have an impact on the demand for both home heating oil and residual oil. Because we supply distributors whose customers depend on home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during the winter, warmer-than-normal temperatures during the first and fourth calendar quarters in the Northeast can decrease the total volume we sell and the gross profit realized on those sales.

• Energy efficiency, higher prices, new technology and alternative fuels could reduce demand for our products. Increased conservation and technological advances have adversely affected the demand for home heating oil and residual oil. Consumption of residual oil has steadily declined over the last three decades. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources as a result of future government-mandated controls or regulation further promoting the use of cleaner fuels. End users who are dual-fuel users have the ability to switch between residual oil and natural gas. Other end users may elect to convert to natural gas. During a period of increasing residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas, dual-fuel customers may switch and other end users may convert to natural gas. During periods of increasing home heating oil prices relative to the price of natural gas, residential users of home heating oil may also convert to natural gas. Such switching or conversion could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. In addition, higher prices and new technologies and alternative fuel sources, such as electric, hybrid or battery powered motor vehicles, could reduce the demand for gasoline and adversely impact our gasoline sales. A reduction in gasoline sales could have an adverse effect on our financial condition to our unitholders.

### Table of Contents

• Changes in government usage mandates and tax credits could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which could negatively impact our gasoline sales. Future demand for ethanol will be largely dependent upon the economic incentives to blend based upon the relative value of gasoline and ethanol, taking into consideration the EPA s regulations on the Renewable Fuels Standard (RFS) program and oxygenate blending requirements. A reduction or waiver of the RFS mandate or oxygenate blending requirements could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which in turn could adversely affect our future gasoline and ethanol sales.

• New, stricter environmental laws and regulations could significantly increase our costs, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating product quality specifications and other environmental matters. The trend in environmental regulation is towards more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment over time. Our business may be adversely affected by increased costs and liabilities resulting from such stricter laws and regulations. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. However, there can be no assurances as to the timing and type of such changes in existing laws or the promulgation of new laws or the amount of any required expenditures associated therewith.

#### **Results of Operations**

Evaluating Our Results of Operations

Our management uses a variety of financial and operational measurements to analyze our performance. These measurements include: (1) net product margin, (2) gross profit, (3) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), (4) distributable cash flow, (5) selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A), (6) operating expenses, (7) net income per diluted limited partner unit and (8) degree day.

#### Net Product Margin

We view net product margin as an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations. We review net product margin monthly for consistency and trend analysis. We define net product margin as our sales minus product costs. Sales primarily include sales of unbranded and branded gasoline, distillates, residual oil, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane, as well as convenience store sales and gasoline station rental income. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane and all associated costs including shipping and handling costs to bring such product to the point of sale as well as product costs related to convenience store items. We also look at net product margin on a per unit basis (net product margin divided by volume). Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements to assess our business. Net product margin should not be considered an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our net product margin may not be comparable to net product margin or a similarly titled measure of other companies.

We define gross profit as our sales minus product costs and terminal and gasoline station related depreciation expense allocated to cost of sales. Sales primarily include sales of unbranded and branded gasoline, distillates, residual oil, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil, natural gas and propane and all associated costs to bring such products to the point of sale.

## Table of Contents

EBITDA

EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure used as a supplemental financial measure by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

- our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;
- our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;
- our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

• our operating performance and return on invested capital as compared to those of other companies in the wholesale, marketing, storing and distribution of refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil and propane, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income, and these measures may vary among other companies. Therefore, EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

#### Distributable Cash Flow

Distributable cash flow is an important non-GAAP financial measure for our limited partners since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on their investment. Distributable cash flow means our net income plus depreciation and amortization minus maintenance capital expenditures, as well as adjustments to eliminate items approved by the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner that are extraordinary or non-recurring in nature and that would otherwise increase distributable cash flow.

Specifically, this financial measure indicates to investors whether or not we have generated sufficient earnings on a current or historic level that can sustain or support an increase in our quarterly cash distribution. Distributable cash flow is a quantitative standard used by the investment community with respect to publicly traded partnerships. Distributable cash flow should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our distributable cash flow or similarly titled measures of other companies.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Our SG&A expenses include, among other things, marketing costs, corporate overhead, employee salaries and benefits, pension and 401(k) plan expenses, discretionary bonuses, non-interest financing costs, professional fees and information technology expenses. Employee-related expenses including employee salaries, discretionary bonuses and related payroll taxes, benefits, and pension and 401(k) plan expenses are paid by our general partner which, in turn, is reimbursed for these expenses by us.

**Operating Expenses** 

Operating expenses are costs associated with the operation of the terminals (including the crude oil facilities) and gasoline stations used in our business. Lease payments and storage expenses, maintenance and repair, utilities, taxes, labor and labor-related expenses comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. These expenses remain relatively stable independent of the volumes through our system but fluctuate slightly depending on the activities performed during a specific period.

### Table of Contents

Net Income Per Diluted Limited Partner Unit

We use net income per diluted limited partner unit to measure our financial performance on a per-unit basis. Net income per diluted limited partner unit is defined as net income, after deducting the amount allocated to noncontrolling interest, divided by the weighted average number of outstanding diluted common units, or limited partner units, during the period.

Degree Day

A degree day is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average temperature departs from a human comfort level of 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average, or normal, to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service and officially archived by the National Climatic Data Center. For purposes of evaluating our results of operations, we use the normal heating degree day amount as reported by the National Weather Service at its Logan International Airport station in Boston, Massachusetts.

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we experienced the following:

• For the first nine months of 2013, we recognized the results of the Alliance acquisition for the full period compared to a seven-month period in 2012 as we acquired Alliance on March 1, 2012.

• In our Wholesale segment, in part through the February 2013 acquisitions of a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload and a 100% membership interest in Cascade Kelly, we continued our expansion into crude oil logistics, including the gathering, storage, transportation and marketing of crude oil which improved our Wholesale segment net product margin.

• During the first nine months of 2013, we continued to expand our wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks and distillates businesses by expanding terminal throughput and sales locations at third-party facilities across the country.

• Our net product margin from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks sales increased for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 due to a reduction in the loss on RIN forward commitments offset by increased competition in gasoline and to a backward market in gasoline blendstocks for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in the market value of the RVO Deficiency was

offset by the timing of margin relating to our RIN transactional activity. Our net product margin from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to the effect of increases in the liability related to RIN forward commitments of \$6.6 million and in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency of \$22.6 million, resulting in a \$29.2 million unfavorable impact for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In addition, during the first quarter of 2013, we experienced tighter product margins related to market conditions which negatively impacted our net product margin in wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks sales for the first nine months of 2013.

### Table of Contents

• In our Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations segment, rising gasoline prices typically compress our gasoline net product margins and declining gasoline prices typically improve our gasoline net product margins. The extent of the impact on our net product margins depends on the magnitude, duration and direction of the market. Gasoline prices declined during the third quarter of 2013, which improved our margins for the three months ended September 30, 2013. As a result of the decline in gasoline prices during the third quarter, our net product margin improved for the first nine months of 2013 but was negatively impacted by rising prices during the first half of the year. The following chart provides the RBOB NYMEX gasoline prices during 2013:

<sup>•</sup> Operating expenses increased by \$6.5 million and \$36.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to the February 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly and the March 2012 acquisition of Alliance.

<sup>•</sup> Our depreciation allocated to cost of sales, which impacts our gross profit, increased by \$6.1 million and \$14.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to our acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly.

• Temperatures for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were 21% colder than the same period in 2012 which increased demand for our weather-related products and improved our Wholesale and Commercial net product margins.

• Our net product margins for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were also affected by a variety of other factors, such as changes in commodity prices, movement of products between foreign locales and within the United States, changes in refiner demand, weekly and monthly refinery output levels, changes in local, domestic and worldwide inventory levels, seasonality, supply, weather and logistics disruptions.

### Table of Contents

### Key Performance Indicators

The following table provides a summary of some of the key performance indicators that may be used to assess our results of operations. These comparisons are not necessarily indicative of future results (gallons and dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013		2012	2013		2012
Net income attributable to Global Partners LP (1)	\$ 25,815	\$	6,893	\$ 8,573	\$	24,008
Net income per diluted limited partner unit (2)	\$ 0.91	\$	0.24	\$ 0.23	\$	0.89
EBITDA (1)(3)	\$ 58,458	\$	29,470	\$ 92,537	\$	88,710
Distributable cash flow (1)(4)	\$ 44,443	\$	14,954	\$ 52,750	\$	48,701
Wholesale Segment:						
Volume (gallons)	1,171,484		1,225,719	4,124,773		3,374,621
Sales						
Gasoline and gasoline blendstocks	\$ 1,981,536	\$	2,570,580	\$ 6,142,691	\$	6,465,950
Other oils and related products (5)	1,326,374		936,991	5,352,215		3,202,108
Total	\$ 3,307,910	\$	3,507,571	\$ 11,494,906	\$	9,668,058
Net product margin						
Gasoline and gasoline blendstocks (1)	\$ 21,854	\$	8,925	\$ 4,786	\$	34,382
Other oils and related products (5)	42,213		25,994	115,766		68,449
Total (1)	\$ 64,067	\$	34,919	\$ 120,552	\$	102,831
Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations						
Segment:						
Volume (gallons)	276,254		282,518	783,755		686,404
Sales						
Gasoline	\$ 870,689	\$	907,579	\$ 2,449,400	\$	2,181,164
Station operations (6)	40,970		36,760	109,891		91,806
Total	\$ 911,659	\$	944,339	\$ 2,559,291	\$	2,272,970
Net product margin						
Gasoline	\$ 43,443	\$	33,556	\$ 110,533	\$	89,284
Station operations (6)	21,287		18,673	59,062		48,367
Total	\$ 64,730	\$	52,229	\$ 169,595	\$	137,651
Commercial Segment:						
Volume (gallons)	84,026		80,676	292,566		255,320
Sales	\$ 213,857	\$	165,284	\$ 740,175	\$	567,710
Net product margin	\$ 4,745	\$	4,779	\$ 21,340	\$	14,158
Combined sales and net product margin:						
Sales	\$ 4,433,426	\$	4,617,194	\$ 14,794,372	\$	12,508,738
Net product margin (1)(7)	\$ 133,542	\$	91,927	\$ 311,487	\$	254,640
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales	(15,449)		(9,307)	(40,525)		(26,026)
Combined gross profit	\$ 118,093	\$	82,620	\$ 270,962	\$	228,614
Weather conditions:						
Normal heating degree days	96		96	3,750		3,781
Actual heating degree days	85		72	3,617		2,996
Variance from normal heating degree days	(11%)		(25%)	(4%)		(21%)
Variance from prior period actual heating degree days	18%		64%	21%		(19%)

(1) Includes a \$15.5 million decrease and a \$6.6 million increase in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and increases of \$13.5 million and \$22.6 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Financial Statements).

### Table of Contents

(2) See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for net income per diluted limited partner unit calculation.

(3) EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under Evaluating Our Results of Operations. The table below presents reconciliations of EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

(4) Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under Evaluating Our Results of Operations. The table below presents reconciliations of distributable cash to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

(5) Other oils and related products primarily consist of distillates, residual oil, crude oil and propane and include the February 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

(6) Station operations primarily consist of convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased gasoline stations.

(7) Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under Evaluating Our Results of Operations. The table above includes a reconciliation of net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

The following table presents reconciliations of EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis for each period presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,		
		2013		2012		2013		2012
Reconciliation of net income to EBITDA:								
Net income	\$	25,136	\$	6,893	\$	8,024	\$	24,008
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest		679				549		
Net income attributable to Global Partners LP		25,815		6,893		8,573		24,008
Depreciation and amortization, excluding the impact of								
noncontrolling interest		19,061		11,266		50,999		32,663
Interest expense		10,855		10,633		32,113		31,811
Income tax expense		2,727		678		852		228
EBITDA	\$	58,458	\$	29,470	\$	92,537	\$	88,710
Reconciliation of net cash (used in) provided by								
operating activities to EBITDA:								
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$	(73,600)	\$	(26,600)	\$	254,112	\$	189,700
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities and certain								
non-cash items		119,537		44,759		(190,554)		(133,029)
Net cash from operating activities and changes in								
operating assets and liabilities attributable to								
noncontrolling interest		(1,061)				(3,986)		
Interest expense		10,855		10,633		32,113		31,811
Income tax expense		2,727		678		852		228
EBITDA	\$	58,458	\$	29,470	\$	92,537	\$	88,710

EBITDA was favorably impacted due to the decrease in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments of \$15.5 million, offset by an increase of \$13.5 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency, resulting in a \$2.0 million favorable impact for the three months ended September 30, 2013. EBITDA was adversely impacted due to the increases in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments of \$6.6 million and the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency of \$22.6 million, resulting in a \$29.2 million unfavorable

impact for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

### Table of Contents

The following table presents reconciliations of distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis for each period presented (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septem 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012				
Reconciliation of net income to distributable cash flow:	2013		2012		2015		2012
Net income	\$ 25,136	\$	6,893	\$	8,024	\$	24,008
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	679				549		
Net income attributable to Global Partners LP	25,815		6,893		8,573		24,008
Depreciation and amortization, excluding the impact of							
noncontrolling interest	19,061		11,266		50,999		32,663
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,744		1,396		5,062		4,106
Amortization of senior notes discount	105				263		
Amortization of routine bank refinancing fees	(985)		(960)		(2,955)		(2,878)
Maintenance capital expenditures	(1,297)		(3,641)		(9,192)		(9,198)
Distributable cash flow	\$ 44,443	\$	14,954	\$	52,750	\$	48,701
Reconciliation of net cash (used in) provided by							
operating activities to distributable cash flow:							
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (73,600)	\$	(26,600)	\$	254,112	\$	189,700
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities and certain							
non-cash items	119,537		44,759		(190,554)		(133,029)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,744		1,396		5,062		4,106
Amortization of senior notes discount	105				263		
Net cash from operating activities and changes in							
operating assets and liabilities attributable to							
noncontrolling interest	(1,061)				(3,986)		
Amortization of routine bank refinancing fees	(985)		(960)		(2,955)		(2,878)
Maintenance capital expenditures	(1,297)		(3,641)		(9,192)		(9,198)
Distributable cash flow	\$ 44,443	\$	14,954	\$	52,750	\$	48,701

Distributable cash flow was favorably impacted due to the decrease in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments of \$15.5 million, offset by an increase of \$13.5 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency, resulting in a \$2.0 million favorable impact for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Distributable cash flow was adversely impacted due to the increases in the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments of \$6.6 million and the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency of \$22.6 million, resulting in a \$29.2 million unfavorable impact for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

### Table of Contents

#### **Consolidated Results**

Our total sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$0.2 billion, or 4%, to \$4.4 billion compared to \$4.6 billion for the same period in 2012, primarily due to a decrease in volume sold, largely in wholesale gasoline. Our aggregate volume of product sold was approximately 1.5 billion gallons for the third quarter of 2013 compared to 1.6 billion gallons for the same period in 2012, a decrease of 57 million gallons, or 4%. The decrease in volume sold includes decreases of 54 million gallons in our wholesale segment and 6 million gallons in our gasoline distribution business, offset by an increase of 3 million gallons in our commercial segment. The decrease in our wholesale volume sold is primarily due to a lower gasoline volume attributable to increased competition, offset by increases in volume attributable our crude oil activities and to distillates. Our gross profit for the third quarter of 2013 was \$118.1 million, an increase of \$35.5 million, or 43%, compared to \$82.6 million for the third quarter of 2012, due primarily to (i) our gasoline distribution business as a result of declining prices during the third quarter of 2013, (ii) our wholesale distillates business due to favorable market conditions, (iii) an increase in our crude oil activities, including the February 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly and (iv) a reduction in the loss on RIN forward commitments. The increase in our RVO Deficiency was offset by the timing of gross profit relating to our RIN transaction activity. Despite the increase, our gross profit was negatively impacted during the third quarter of 2013 by a substantially backward market in wholesale gasoline blendstocks and by temporary supply dislocations in the crude oil market. The increase in gross profit was also offset by an increase in depreciation, which is included in cost of sales, primarily related to our acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly.

Our total sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$2.3 billion, or 18%, to \$14.8 billion compared to \$12.5 billion for the same period in 2012, primarily due to an increase in volume sold. Our aggregate volume of product sold was 5.2 billion gallons for first nine months of 2013 compared to 4.3 billion gallons for the same period in 2012, an increase of 884 million gallons, or 20%. The increase in volume sold includes an increase of 750 million gallons in our wholesale segment attributable to increases in our crude oil activities and in distillates due to colder weather period over period, offset by a decrease in gasoline volume due to increased competition. The number of actual heating degree days increased 21% to 3,617 for the first nine months of 2013 compared to 2,996 for the same period in 2012. The increase in total volume also includes increases of 97 million gallons in our gasoline distribution business, primarily due to our supply and management agreement and unitary lease with Getty Realty and to the inclusion of the Alliance acquisition for nine months compared to seven months in 2012, and 37 million gallons in our commercial business due largely to an increase in bunkering activity. Our gross profit for the first nine months of 2013 was \$270.9 million, an increase of \$42.3 million, or 19%, compared to \$228.6 million for the same period in 2012, due primarily to an increase in (i) our crude oil activities, including the February 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, (ii) our gasoline distribution business which includes the results of the Alliance acquisition for nine months compared to seven months in 2012, (iii) our wholesale distillates business due to favorable market conditions, and (iv) our commercial business due largely to an increase in bunkering activity. Despite the increase, our gross profit was negatively impacted by 2013 events, including (i) increases of \$6.6 million in the liability related to RIN forward commitments and \$22.6 million in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency, resulting in a \$29.2 million unfavorable impact for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, (ii) tighter product margins related to market conditions in wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks during the first quarter, (iii) a substantially backward market in wholesale gasoline blendstocks during the third quarter, (iv) compressed margins in our gasoline distribution business due to rising gasoline prices for the first half of 2013 and (v) temporary supply dislocations in the crude oil market during 2013. The increase in gross profit was also offset by an increase in depreciation, which is included in cost of sales, primarily related to our acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly.

#### Wholesale Segment

<u>Gasoline and Gasoline Blendstocks</u>. Sales from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks were \$2.0 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$2.6 billion for the same period in 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, sales from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks were \$6.1 billion compared to \$6.5 billion for the same period in 2012. The decreases of \$0.6 billion, or 23%, and \$0.3 billion, or 5%, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, were due primarily to decreases in volume sold. Our net product margin from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks sales increased by \$12.9 million to \$21.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$8.9 million for the same period in 2012 due primarily to a reduction

in the loss on RIN forward commitments offset by increased competition in gasoline and to a backward market in gasoline blendstocks for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in our RVO Deficiency was offset by the timing of margin relating to our RIN transaction activity.

### Table of Contents

Our net product margin from wholesale gasoline and gasoline blendstocks sales decreased by \$29.6 million to \$4.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$34.4 million for the same period in 2012 due primarily to a negative impact of \$29.2 million resulting from increases in the liability related to RIN forward commitments of \$6.6 million and in the mark to market value of the RVO Deficiency of \$22.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. During the first quarter of 2013, we also experienced tighter product margins related to market conditions which negatively impacted our net product margin for the first nine months of 2013.

Other Oils and Related Products. Sales from other oils and related products (primarily distillates, residual oil, crude oil and propane) were \$1.3 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared with \$0.9 billion for the same period in 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, sales from other oils and related products were \$5.3 billion compared to \$3.2 billion for the same period in 2012. The increases of \$0.4 million and \$2.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, were primarily due to increases in our crude oil activities, including the acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, and in distillates due to favorable market conditions and, for the first nine months of 2013, colder weather period over period. Primarily for these same reasons, our net product margin increased by \$16.2 million, or 62%, to \$42.2 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$26.0 million for the third quarter of 2012 and by \$47.3 million, or 69%, to \$115.7 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$68.4 million for the first nine months of 2012.

#### Gasoline Distribution and Station Operations Segment

<u>Gasoline Distribution</u>. Sales from gasoline distribution were \$870.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared with \$907.6 million for the same period in 2012. The \$36.9 million decrease in sales was due to a 2% decrease in volume sold and to lower gasoline prices. Our net product margin from gasoline distribution increased by \$9.8 million to \$43.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$33.6 million for the same period in 2012 due to declining gasoline prices during the third quarter of 2013, which improved our net product margin, compared to rising gasoline prices during the third quarter of 2012 which compressed our net product margin.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, sales from gasoline distribution were \$2.4 billion compared to \$2.2 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase of \$268.2 million, or 12%, was due primarily to an increase in volume sold as a result of including the results of the Alliance acquisition for nine months compared to seven months in 2012 and to our supply and management agreement and unitary lease with Getty Realty which were not in place for the full first nine months of 2012. Primarily for these same reasons, and due to declining prices during the third quarter of 2013, our net product margin increased by \$21.2 million to \$110.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$89.3 million for the same period in 2012.

Station Operations. Our station operations, which consist primarily of convenience stores sales at our directly operated stores and rental income from dealer leased or commission agent leased gasoline stations, collectively generated revenues of approximately \$41.0 million and \$36.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$109.9 million and \$91.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$109.9 million and \$18.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$48.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$48.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increases in revenues and net product margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 were due primarily to including the results of the Alliance acquisition for nine months in 2013 versus seven months in 2012.

Our commercial sales were \$213.9 million and \$165.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$740.2 million and \$567.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our commercial net product margins were \$4.7 million and \$4.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$21.3 million and \$14.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$21.3 million and \$14.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$21.3 million in sales and net product margin, respectively, for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 were primarily due to an increase in bunkering activity and to colder weather period over period. In our Commercial segment, residual oil accounted for approximately 54% and 36% of our total commercial volume sold for the three months ended

#### Table of Contents

September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 51% and 38% of our total commercial volume sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Distillates, gasoline and natural gas accounted for the remainder of the total commercial sales, volume sold and net product margin.

#### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A expenses increased by \$5.2 million, or 23%, to \$27.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$22.7 million for the same period in 2012. The increase primarily includes increases of \$2.9 million in professional fees and due diligence costs associated with the growth of our business, \$0.7 million in incentive compensation, \$0.6 million in overhead expenses, \$0.5 million in bad debt expense, \$0.2 million in bank and letter of credit fees primarily related to the increase in our crude oil activities and \$0.3 million in other SG&A expenses.

SG&A expenses increased by \$12.7 million, or 19%, to \$79.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$66.5 million for the same period in 2012. The increase includes increases of \$6.9 million in professional fees and due diligence costs associated with the growth of our business, including the acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, \$4.0 million in overhead expenses, \$2.3 million in bank and letter of credit fees primarily related to the increase in our crude oil activities, \$2.7 million in bad debt expense, \$1.3 million in costs related to our Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly facilities and \$1.7 million in other SG&A expenses. The increase in SG&A expenses for the first nine months of 2013 includes expenses related to our retail gasoline stations for a full nine months in 2013 versus seven months in 2012. The increase in SG&A expenses was offset by decreases of \$1.5 million in commissions related to certain gasoline station operators and \$0.3 million in incentive compensation. In addition, in the first nine months of 2012, we had costs related to Alliance that did not recur in 2013, specifically \$4.0 million in one-time acquisition costs and \$0.4 million in management fees related to management agreements with Alliance that terminated in connection with the acquisition.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses increased by \$6.5 million, or 16%, to \$46.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$40.2 million for the same period in 2012. The increase in operating expenses primarily includes \$4.2 million in costs associated with our crude oil operations, largely reflecting our 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, \$2.0 million in costs related to the operations of our retail gasoline stations, including expenses associated with management of the Getty Realty locations and \$0.4 million in operating costs associated with our terminals in Albany, New York, Newburgh, New York and South Portland, Maine.

Operating expenses increased by \$36.7 million, or 36%, to \$137.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$100.7 million for the same period in 2012. The increase in operating expenses includes \$21.3 million in costs related to the operations of our retail gasoline stations for a full nine months in 2013 versus seven months in 2012, including expenses associated with management of the Getty Realty locations, \$12.2 million in costs associated with our crude oil operations, largely reflecting our 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly, \$3.0 million in operating costs associated with our terminals in Albany, New York, Newburgh, New York and South Portland, Maine and \$0.2 million in other operating expenses.

Amortization expense related to our intangible assets was \$4.8 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$13.3 million and \$5.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increases of \$3.3 million and \$7.9 million were primarily due to the intangible assets acquired in the Basin Transload acquisition.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$10.8 million and \$10.6 million, respectively. Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$32.1 million and \$31.8 million, respectively. The increases of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, were attributed to additional borrowings related to our 2013 acquisitions of Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly and our 2012 acquisition of Alliance and included increases of \$0.3 million and

### Table of Contents

\$0.9 million in amortization of deferred financing fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

#### Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$2.7 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$0.9 million and \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The income tax expense is due to the operating results of our wholly owned subsidiary, Global Montello Group Corp., which is a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes.

Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest

On February 1, 2013, we acquired a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload. The net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, represents Basin Transload s 40% interest.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

#### Liquidity

Our primary liquidity needs are to fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures and distributions and to service our indebtedness. Cash generated from operations and our working capital revolving credit facility provide our primary sources of liquidity. Working capital decreased by \$212.4 million to \$249.3 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$461.7 million at December 31, 2012, in part due to changes in accounts receivable, inventories, accounts payable, accrued liabilities for the RVO Deficiency of \$22.6 million and the mark to market loss related to RIN forward commitments of \$6.6 million and to the addition of the term loan.

On February 14, 2013, we paid a cash distribution to our common unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$16.3 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. On May 15, 2013, we paid a cash distribution to our common unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$16.8 million for the first quarter of 2013. On August 14, 2013, we paid a cash distribution to our common unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$17.0 million for the second quarter of 2013. On October 23, 2013, the board of directors of our general partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.60 per unit (\$2.40 per unit on an annualized basis) for the period from July 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013 to our common unitholders of record as of the close of business November 5, 2013. We expect to pay the cash distribution of approximately \$17.4 million on November 14, 2013.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

We have contractual obligations that are required to be settled in cash. The amounts of our contractual obligations at September 30, 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

	Payments due by period									
		Total		Less than 1 year		1-3 years		4-5 years	]	More than 5 years
Revolver loan obligations (1)	\$	748,476	\$	7,458	\$	741,018	\$		\$	
Term loan and senior notes										
obligation (2)		212,922		117,722		11,200		84,000		
Operating lease obligations (3)		530,632		16,847		181,374		159,063		173,348
Capital lease obligations		397		17		132		248		
Other long-term liabilities (4)		215,963		9,108		67,468		65,372		74,015
Total	\$	1,708,390	\$	151,152	\$	1,001,192	\$	308,683	\$	247,363

(1) Includes principal and interest on our working capital revolving credit facility and our revolving credit facility at September 30, 2013 and assumes a ratable payment through the expiration date. Our credit agreement has a contractual maturity of May 14, 2015 and no principal payments are required prior to that date. However, we repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements. Therefore, the current portion of the working capital revolving credit facility included in the accompanying balance sheets is the amount we expect to pay down during the course of the year, and the long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year.

(2) Includes principal and interest on our \$115.0 million term loan that will mature on January 31, 2014 and on our 8.00% senior notes due 2018.

#### Table of Contents

(3) Includes operating lease obligations related to leases for office space and computer equipment, land, terminals and throughputs, gasoline stations, railcars and a lease with a related party.

(4) Includes amounts related to our 15-year brand fee agreement entered into in 2010 with ExxonMobil, minimum freight requirements on the transportation of ethanol to our Albany, New York terminal and pension and deferred compensation obligations.

#### Capital Expenditures

Our operations require investments to expand, upgrade and enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operations regulations. We categorize our capital requirements as either maintenance capital expenditures or expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures represent capital expenditures to repair or replace partially or fully depreciated assets to maintain the operating capacity of, or revenues generated by, existing assets and extend their useful lives. Maintenance capital expenditures include expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage and pipeline integrity and safety and to address certain environmental regulations. We anticipate that maintenance capital expenditures will be funded with cash generated by operations. We had approximately \$9.2 million and \$9.2 million in maintenance capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. Repair and maintenance expenses associated with existing assets that are minor in nature and do not extend the useful life of existing assets are charged to operating expenses as incurred.

Expansion capital expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets to grow our business or expand our existing facilities, such as projects that increase our operating capacity or revenues by increasing, by example, rail capacity, dock capacity and tankage, diversifying product availability and storage flexibility at various terminals and adding terminals. We have the ability to fund our expansion capital expenditures through cash from operations or our credit agreement or by issuing debt securities or additional equity. We had approximately \$163.4 million and \$316.6 million in expansion capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Specifically, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, expansion capital expenditures included approximately \$125.7 million in property and equipment associated with the acquisitions of Cascade Kelly and a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload. In addition, we had \$37.7 million in expansion capital expenditures which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. The \$37.7 million consists of \$18.1 million in new site development, expansion and improvements at certain retail gasoline stations, \$12.8 million in costs associated with our crude oil activities, \$4.2 million in costs associated with the building of a propane storage and distribution facility in Albany, New York, and \$2.6 million in other expansion capital expenditures including, in part, construction costs at our compressed natural gas loading station in Bangor, Maine and terminal equipment at our Albany terminal. The \$12.8 million in costs associated with our crude oil activities include, in part, tank construction projects, a pipeline connection at one of our transloading facilities for the storage and handling of crude oil, a build-out project to increase the rail receipt and throughput storage capacities of ethanol and crude oil and converting certain storage tanks for the handling of crude oil at our Albany, New York terminal and miscellaneous upgrades. Certain of the \$12.8 million in costs associated with our crude oil activities include expenditures related to our Beulah, North Dakota facility, 60% of which was funded by us and 40% was funded by the noncontrolling interest at Basin Transload. These costs are reported in the accompanying consolidated statement of cash flows as we concluded that we control the entity based on an evaluation of the outstanding voting interests (see Note 1 for additional information on the noncontrolling interest).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, expansion capital expenditures included approximately \$294.9 million associated with the purchase of Alliance, a portion of which was funded through equity and a portion was funded with cash. In addition we had \$21.7 million in expansion capital expenditures which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. The \$21.7 million consists of \$11.9 million in costs associated with our crude oil activities, \$4.2 million in costs associated with the building of a propane storage and distribution facility in Albany, New York, \$3.8 million in site expansion and improvements at certain retail gas stations, \$0.6 million in costs related to information technology, including increases in storage and computing capacity, \$0.5 million in costs to acquire land for future development and \$0.7 million in other expansion capital expenditures. The \$11.9 million in costs associated with our crude oil activities included costs associated with a build-out project to increase the rail receipt and throughput storage capacities of ethanol and crude oil

and converting certain storage tanks for the handling of crude oil at our Albany, New York terminal, and tank construction at a transloading facility in North Dakota for the storage and handling of crude oil.

### Table of Contents

We believe that we will have sufficient cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity under our credit agreement and the ability to issue additional common units and/or debt securities to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures. However, we are subject to business and operational risks that could adversely affect our cash flow. A material decrease in our cash flows would likely produce an adverse effect on our borrowing capacity as well as our ability to issue additional common units and/or debt securities.

#### Cash Flow

The following table summarizes cash flow activity (in thousands):

	Nine Mon Septem	ed
	2013	2012
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 254,112	\$ 189,700
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (226,428)	\$ (206,195)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$ (18,593)	\$ 13,240

Cash flow from operating activities generally reflects our net income, balance sheet changes arising from inventory purchasing patterns, the timing of collections on our accounts receivable, the seasonality of parts of our business, fluctuations in petroleum product prices, working capital requirements and general market conditions.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$254.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$189.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, for a period-over-period increase in cash provided by operating activities of \$64.4 million. The primary drivers of the increase include the following:

	Nine Mont Septem	Period over Period	
	2013	2012	Change
Decrease in accounts receivable	\$ 70,202	\$ (40,237)	\$ 110,439
Decrease in inventories	\$ 237,386	\$ 81,839	\$ 155,547
Decrease in accounts payable	\$ (147,359)	\$ 91,708	\$ (239,067)
Decrease (increase) in the change in fair value of forward			
fixed price contracts	\$ 15,472	\$ (25,450)	\$ 40,922

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, excluding the beginning account balances related to Basin Transload and Cascade Kelly as of their respective acquisition dates (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), the decreases in accounts receivable and accounts payable were due primarily to the decrease in our positive and negative exchange balances, offset by an increase in our crude oil activities and to increased prices period over period. The decrease in inventories was primarily due to carrying lower levels of inventory, partially offset by the increase in prices. In addition, through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we maintain a position that is substantially hedged with respect to our inventories. Specifically, due to market direction, the contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program provided funds.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, excluding the beginning account balances related to Alliance as of its acquisition date, the increases in accounts receivable and accounts payable reflected increased prices period over period and the inclusion of Alliance. The decrease in inventories was due to carrying lower levels of inventory, partially offset by the increase in prices and the inclusion of Alliance. In addition, due to market direction, the contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program required margin payments.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$226.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and included \$185.3 million related to our 2013 acquisitions (\$91.1 million for our 60% membership interest in Basin Transload and \$94.2 million for Cascade Kelly), \$37.7 million in expansion capital expenditures and \$9.2 million in maintenance capital expenditures, offset by \$5.8 million in proceeds from the sale of property and equipment. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net cash used in investing activities was \$206.2 million and included \$181.9 million in the cash portion related to the acquisition of Alliance, \$21.7 million in expansion capital expenditures and \$9.2 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$9.2 million in discussion of our expansion capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

### Table of Contents

Net cash used in financing activities was \$18.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and included \$124.2 million payments on our working capital revolving credit facility, \$22.3 million in payments on our revolving credit facility, \$50.0 million in cash distributions to our common unitholders and our general partner, \$4.3 million in the repurchase of common units pursuant to our repurchase program for future satisfaction of our general partner s obligations and \$2.1 million in repurchased units held for tax obligations related to units distributed under an LTIP award granted in 2009, offset by \$115.0 million in borrowings under our term loan, \$67.9 million in proceeds from our senior notes and \$1.4 million in capital contributions from our noncontrolling interest.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net cash provided by financing activities was \$13.2 million and primarily included \$217.0 million in borrowings from our revolving credit facility substantially in connection with the acquisition of Alliance, offset by \$161.8 million in payments on our working capital revolving credit facility, \$39.7 million in cash distributions to our common unitholders and our general partner and \$2.2 million in the repurchase of common units pursuant to our repurchase program for future satisfaction of our general partner s obligations.

#### **Credit Agreement**

We entered into an amended and restated credit agreement dated May 14, 2010 (as amended, our credit agreement ). Total commitments under our credit agreement are \$1.615 billion. We repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements and, therefore, classify as a current liability the portion of the working capital revolving credit facility we expect to pay down during the course of the year. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year. Our credit agreement will mature on May 14, 2015.

As of September 30, 2013, there were three facilities under our credit agreement:

• a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of our borrowing base and \$1.0 billion;

- a \$500.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for acquisitions and general corporate purposes; and
- a \$115.0 million term loan that will mature on January 31, 2014.

In addition, our credit agreement has an accordion feature whereby we may request on the same terms and conditions of our then existing credit agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in our credit agreement) then exists, an increase to the working capital revolving credit facility, the revolving credit facility or both by up to another \$250.0 million, in the aggregate, for a total credit facility of up to \$1.865 billion. Any such request for an increase by us must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million. We cannot provide assurance, however, that our lending group will agree to fund any request by us for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$1.615 billion.

In addition, our credit agreement includes a swing line pursuant to which Bank of America, N.A., as the swing line lender, may make swing line loans in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of (a) \$35.0 million and (b) the Aggregate WC Commitments (as defined in our credit agreement). Swing line loans will bear interest at the Base Rate (as defined in our credit agreement). The swing line is a sub-portion of the working capital revolving credit facility and is not an addition to the total available commitments of \$1.615 billion.

Pursuant to our credit agreement, and in connection with any agreement by and between a Loan Party and a Lender (as such terms are defined in our credit agreement) or affiliate thereof (an AR Buyer ), a Loan Party may sell certain of its accounts receivables to an AR Buyer (the

Receivables Sales Agreement ). Also pursuant to our credit agreement, the Loan Parties are permitted to sell or transfer any account receivable to an AR Buyer only to the extent that (i) no Default or Event of Default (as such terms are defined in our credit agreement) has occurred and is continuing or would exist after giving effect to any such sale or transfer; (ii) such accounts receivable are sold for cash; (iii) the cash purchase price to be paid to the selling Loan Party for each account receivable is not less than the amount of credit such Loan Party would have been able to get for such account receivable had such account receivable been included in the Borrowing Base (as defined in our credit agreement) or, to the extent such account receivable is not otherwise eligible to be included in the Borrowing Base, then the cash purchase price to be paid is not less than 85% of the face amount of such account receivable; (iv) such account receivable is sold pursuant to a Receivables Sales Agreement; (v) the Loan Parties have complied with the notice requirement set forth in our credit agreement; (vi) neither the AR Buyer nor the Administrative Agent has delivered any notice of a termination event; (vii) the aggregate amount of the accounts receivable sold to one or more AR Buyers which has not yet been collected will not exceed \$75.0 million at

### Table of Contents

any time; and (viii) the cash proceeds received from the applicable Loan Party in connection with such sale will be used to immediately repay any outstanding WC Loans (as defined in our credit agreement). To date, the level of receivables sold has not been significant, and we have accounted for such transfers as sales pursuant to ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing. Due to the short-term nature of the receivables sold to date, no servicing obligation has been recorded because it would have been de minimus.

Availability under our working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under our credit agreement, our borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility cannot exceed the then current borrowing base. Availability under our borrowing base may be affected by events beyond our control, such as changes in petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits and general economic conditions. These and other events could require us to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. We can provide no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained, or, if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to us.

Commencing November 16, 2012, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.00% to 2.50%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.00% to 2.50%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.00% to 1.50%, each depending on the Utilization Amount (as defined in our credit agreement). From January 1, 2012 through November 15, 2012, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.00%, each depending on the pricing level provided in our credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Utilization Amount (as defined in our credit agreement).

Commencing November 16, 2012, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.50%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.50%, each depending on the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in our credit agreement). From January 1, 2012 through November 15, 2012, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.875%, each depending on the pricing level provided in our credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in our credit agreement).

Borrowings under the term loan bear interest at either the Eurodollar rate or the cost of funds rate, in each case plus 3.50%, or the base rate plus 2.50%.

The average interest rate for our credit agreement were 4.3% and 4.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 4.3% and 4.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We incur a letter of credit fee of 2.00% - 2.50% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, we incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of each facility under our credit agreement, ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum.

As of September 30, 2013, we had total borrowings outstanding under our credit agreement of \$815.0 million, including \$399.7 million outstanding on our revolving credit facility and \$115.0 million outstanding on the term loan which was used to acquire a 60% membership interest in Basin Transload and a portion of all of the outstanding membership interests in Cascade Kelly. In addition, we had outstanding letters

of credit of \$278.4 million. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit was \$521.6 million and \$218.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Our credit agreement imposes financial covenants that require us to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum combined interest coverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a maximum total leverage ratio. On September 20, 2013, we entered into a Twelfth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement which amended the credit agreement to modify a certain financial covenant. While we would not have been in compliance with certain of the foregoing covenants at September 30, 2013 following the restatement of our unaudited consolidated financial statements, our credit agreement has been terminated, is of no force and effect as of the date of this filing on Form 10-Q/A and has been restated in its entirety by a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 16, 2013. Our credit agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in our credit agreement). In addition, our credit agreement limits distributions by us to our unitholders to the amount of our Available Cash (as defined in the partnership agreement).

### Table of Contents

Our obligations under our credit agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our operating company and operating subsidiaries.

#### Senior Notes

On February 14, 2013, we entered into a Note Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement ) with FS Energy and Power Fund (FS Energy), with respect to the issue and sale by us to FS Energy of an aggregate principal amount of \$70.0 million of unsecured 8.00% Senior Notes due 2018 (the Notes). The Notes were issued in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) and have not been registered under the Securities Act or any state securities laws, and may not be offered or sold except pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state laws.

Closing of the offering occurred on February 14, 2013. The Notes were sold to FS Energy at 97% of their face amount, resulting in net proceeds to us of approximately \$67.9 million. Additionally, we separately paid fees and offering expenses. The discount of \$2.1 million at issuance will be accreted as additional interest over the expected term on the Notes. On February 15, 2013, we used the net proceeds from the offering, after paying fees and offering expenses, together with a portion of the \$115.0 million term loan to finance our acquisition of all of the outstanding membership interests in Cascade Kelly and to pay related transaction costs.

The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of February 14, 2013 (the Indenture ) among us, our subsidiary guarantors and FS Energy. The Notes will mature on February 14, 2018. Interest on the Notes accrued from February 14, 2013 and is paid semi-annually on February 14 and August 14 of each year, beginning on August 14, 2013.

We may redeem all or some of the Notes at any time or from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Indenture. The Notes are also subject to optional or mandatory exchange for HY Bonds (as such term is defined in the Indenture) at the time and on the terms specified in the Indenture. The holders of the Notes may require us to repurchase the Notes following certain asset sales or a Change of Control (as defined in the Indenture) at the prices and on the terms specified in the Indenture.

The Notes are guaranteed on a senior, unsecured basis by certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries. The Indenture contains covenants that are no more restrictive to us in the aggregate than the terms, conditions, covenants and defaults contained in our credit agreement and will limit our ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, make distributions to equity owners, make certain investments, restrict distributions by our subsidiaries, create liens, enter into sale-leaseback transactions, sell assets or merge with other entities.

#### **Deferred Financing Fees**

We incur bank fees related to our credit agreement. These deferred financing fees are amortized over the life of our credit agreement. We capitalized deferred financing fees of \$0.2 million and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.3 million and \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amortization expenses of approximately \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.1 million and \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Unamortized fees are included in other current assets and other long-term assets.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated

#### Table of Contents

financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions that we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations and are recorded in the period in which they become known. We have identified the following estimates that, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analysis: inventory, leases, revenue recognition, derivative financial instruments, valuation of intangibles and other long-lived assets, goodwill, environmental and other liabilities and related party transactions.

The significant accounting policies and estimates that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are detailed in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no subsequent changes in these policies and estimates that had a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations for the periods covered in this report.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

A description and related impact expected from the adoption of certain new accounting pronouncements is provided in Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. The principal market risks to which we are exposed are interest rate risk and commodity risk. We currently utilize an interest rate swap, an interest rate cap and a forward interest rate swap to manage exposure to interest rate risk and various derivative instruments to manage exposure to commodity risk.

#### Interest Rate Risk

We utilize variable rate debt and are exposed to market risk due to the floating interest rates on our credit agreement. Therefore, from time to time, we utilize interest rate collars, swaps and caps to hedge interest obligations on specific and anticipated debt issuances.

As of September 30, 2013, we had total borrowings outstanding under our credit agreement of \$815.0 million. Please read Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement for information on interest rates related to our borrowings. The impact of a 1% increase in the interest rate on this amount of debt would have resulted in an increase in interest expense, and a corresponding

decrease in our results of operations, of approximately \$8.2 million annually, assuming, however, that our indebtedness remained constant throughout the year.

In September 2008, we executed a zero premium interest rate collar with a major financial institution. The collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expired on October 2, 2013, was used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate.

In October 2009, we executed an interest rate swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which became effective on May 16, 2011 and expires on May 16, 2016, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility at a fixed rate of 3.93%.

In April 2011, we executed an interest rate cap with a major financial institution. The rate cap, which became effective on April 13, 2011 and expires on April 13, 2016, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate above 5.5% with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility.

### Table of Contents

In September 2013, the Partnership executed a forward interest rate swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which became effective on October 2, 2013 and expires on October 2, 2018, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings on the credit facility at a fixed rate of 1.819%. This swap will essentially replace the interest rate collar which expired on October 2, 2013.

See Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our derivative instruments.

### Commodity Risk

We hedge our exposure to price fluctuations with respect to refined petroleum products, renewable fuels, crude oil and gasoline blendstocks in storage and expected purchases and sales of these commodities. The derivative instruments utilized consist primarily of futures contracts traded on the NYMEX, CME and ICE and over-the-counter transactions, including swap agreements entered into with established financial institutions and other credit-approved energy companies. Our policy is generally to purchase only products for which we have a market and to structure our sales contracts so that price fluctuations do not materially affect our profit. While our policies are designed to minimize market risk, as well as inherent basis risk, exposure to fluctuations in market conditions remains. Except for the controlled trading program discussed below, we do not acquire and hold futures contracts or other derivative products for the purpose of speculating on price changes that might expose us to indeterminable losses.

While we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within our product purchase activities, we may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as other logistical issues inherent in the business, such as weather conditions. In connection with managing these positions, maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace and managing the futures market outlook for future anticipated inventories, which are necessary for our business, we engage in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of products at any one point in time. Any derivatives not involved in a direct hedging activity are marked to market and recognized in the consolidated statement of income through cost of sales. In addition, because a portion of our crude oil business is conducted in Canadian dollars, we may use foreign currency derivatives to minimize the risks of unfavorable exchange rates. These instruments include foreign currency exchange contracts and forwards. In conjunction with entering into the commodity derivative, we may enter into a foreign currency derivative to hedge the resulting foreign currency risk. These foreign currency derivatives are generally short-term in nature and not designated for hedge accounting.

We utilize futures contracts and other derivative instruments to minimize or hedge the impact of commodity price changes on our inventories and forward fixed price commitments. Any hedge ineffectiveness is reflected in our results of operations. We utilize regulated exchanges, including the NYMEX, CME and ICE, which are regulated exchanges for the commodities that each trades, thereby reducing potential delivery and supply risks. Generally, our practice is to close all exchange positions rather than to make or receive physical deliveries. With respect to other energy products such as ethanol, which may not have a correlated exchange contract, we enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that we believe have a strong credit profile, in order to hedge market fluctuations and/or lock-in margins relative to our commitments.

At September 30, 2013, the fair value of all of our commodity risk derivative instruments and the change in fair value that would be expected from a 10% price increase or decrease are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Fa	air Value at	Gain	(Loss)	
	Se	ptember 30, 2013	Effect of 10% Price Increase		Effect of 10% Price Decrease
Futures contracts	\$	8,213	\$ (35,239)	\$	35,239
Swaps, options and other, net		(6)	(7,378)		4,473
	\$	8,207	\$ (42,617)	\$	39,712

The fair values of the futures contracts are based on quoted market prices obtained from the NYMEX and the CME. The fair value of the swaps and option contracts are estimated based on quoted prices from various sources such as independent reporting services, industry publications and brokers. These quotes are compared to the contract price of the swap, which approximates the gain or loss that would have been realized if the contracts had been closed out at September 30, 2013. For positions where independent quotations are not available, an estimate is provided, or the

### Table of Contents

prevailing market price at which the positions could be liquidated is used. All hedge positions offset physical exposures to the physical market; none of these offsetting physical exposures are included in the above table. Price-risk sensitivities were calculated by assuming an across-the-board 10% increase or decrease in price regardless of term or historical relationships between the contractual price of the instruments and the underlying commodity price. In the event of an actual 10% change in prompt month prices, the fair value of our derivative portfolio would typically change less than that shown in the table due to lower volatility in out-month prices. We have a daily margin requirement to maintain a cash deposit with our brokers based on the prior day s market results on open futures contracts. The balance of this deposit will fluctuate based on our open market positions and the commodity exchange s requirements. The brokerage margin balance was \$40.7 million at September 30, 2013.

We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of futures contracts, forward contracts and swap agreements. We anticipate some nonperformance by some of these counterparties which, in the aggregate, we do not believe at this time will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. Exposure on swap and certain option agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates. We utilize primarily three clearing brokers, all major financial institutions, for all NYMEX derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of all derivative instruments is displayed on a net basis.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2013. In the originally filed Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we disclosed that based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013. In conjunction with the filing of this amendment to that Form 10-Q and the restatement of our unaudited consolidated financial statements in that Form 10-Q, management with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer re-evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013. Based on this re-evaluation and the existence of material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting (discussed below) that gave rise to the restatement, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2013. Based on our internal review that identified corrections to our previously issued financial statements, steps to remediate the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting (discussed below) and additional procedures pursued by management to ensure the reliability of our financial reporting, we believe that the consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q/A fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of the dates, and for the periods, presented in conformity with GAAP.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As discussed in more detail in Note 2 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q/A, in connection with the preparation of the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, management concluded that we were not correctly accounting for RINs (Renewable Identification Numbers) and identified certain out of period adjustments with respect to accrued obligations affecting cost of goods sold specific to the procurement of petroleum products. Management also concluded that material weaknesses existed in our internal control over reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Specifically, we were not performing timely and comprehensive reconciliations between our RINs on hand and our renewable volume obligation (RVO). Additionally, the integration and communication between our departments were not effective in identifying forward RIN purchase and sales contracts which were unfavorable. In addition, due to the inability to age and analyze the lag associated with certain accrued liabilities related to petroleum products, there was a design deficiency in the precision of our monitoring control over this liability. We also identified other deficiencies in our financial statement close process, which when aggregated, represented a material weakness in the financial statement close process. These control deficiencies contributed to material errors in the financial

### Table of Contents

statements. Therefore, management has determined that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013.

In response to management s determination as of the date of this filing, we have designed and substantially implemented the following changes in our internal control over financial reporting:

• Enhanced integration of and communication among the fuel compliance officer, the operational groups and the finance and accounting personnel.

• Established a timely and comprehensive reconciliation of compliance data used in conjunction with the EPA systems and data used in the financial reporting process.

• Established a RIN operational policy and monitor compliance with and effectiveness of that policy through the risk department reporting to senior management.

• Developed reporting systems to monitor RIN positions and compliance with the RIN operational policy that will be reconciled to the accounting records and the EPA Moderated Transaction System (EMTS).

• Established policies and procedures with respect to accrued cost of goods sold liabilities to assess a timeframe as to when to investigate aged accruals to determine if they are still needed and designate personnel to monitor compliance with same.

• Enhanced computer system functionality to allow for the review of accrued items for age and activity in accordance with established policy.

• Hired additional experienced employees in the finance and accounting function.

Except as described above, there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Table of Contents

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

#### General

Although we may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business, we do not believe that we are a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations. Except as described below and in Note 12 in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, we are not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against us, or contemplated to be brought against us. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as our general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, we can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

#### Other

We received from the Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA ), by letters dated November 2, 2011 and March 29, 2012, reporting requirements and testing orders (collectively, the Requests for Information ) for information under the Clean Air Act. The Requests for Information are part of an EPA investigation to determine whether we have violated sections of the Clean Air Act at certain of our terminal locations in New England with respect to residual oil and asphalt. We have submitted all required information requested under the Requests for Information. We do not believe that a material violation has occurred nor do we believe any adverse determination in connection with such investigation would have a material impact on our operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The table below provides information with respect to purchases of our common units made by our general partner on our behalf during the quarter ended September 30, 2013:

Period	Total Number Of Units Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Unit(\$)	Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Units That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
July 1 July 31, 2013			0	0
August 1 August 31, 2013	125,341	34.52		454,512
September 1 September 30, 2013				

(1) On May 7, 2009, the board of directors of our general partner announced that it authorized the repurchase of our common units for the purpose of meeting our general partner s anticipated obligations to deliver common units under the LTIP and meeting the general partner s obligations under existing employment agreements and other employment related obligations of the general partner. We are authorized to acquire up to 942,427 of our common units in the aggregate to be acquired over an extended period of time, consistent with the general partner s obligations under the LTIP and employment agreements. Common units may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, including block purchases, or in privately negotiated transactions. Such authorized unit repurchases may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time, and are subject to price, economic and market conditions, applicable legal requirements and available liquidity.

### Table of Contents

### Item 6. Exhibits

2.1**	Contribution Agreement dated November 21, 2011, by and among Global Partners LP and AE Holdings Corp. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2011).
2.2	Exhibit A to Contribution Agreement (Exhibit 2.1), Determination of the Cash Adjustment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2011).
2.3**	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 22, 2013, between JH Kelly Holdings LLC and Global Partners LP (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2013).
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of December 9, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009).
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 14, 2013, by and among Global Partners LP and GLP Finance Corp., as Issuers, the Guarantors party thereto and FS Energy and Power Fund, as Purchaser (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2013).
10.1^	Form of Phantom Unit Award Agreement for Employees under Global Partners LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.2^	Form of Phantom Unit Award Agreement for Directors under Global Partners LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.3^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Daphne H. Foster (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.4^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Mark Romaine (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.5^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Charles A. Rudinsky (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.6^	Form of Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement for Phantom Unit Award Recipients (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.7^	Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Daphne H. Foster (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.8^	Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Mark Romaine (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.9^	Memorandum to Thomas J. Hollister, Follow-up Understandings, dated July 9, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 7, 2013).

### Table of Contents

10.10	Twelfth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 20, 2013, by and among the Borrowers, the Original Guarantors, the Lenders and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 25, 2013).
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

\*\* Schedules and similar attachments have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Partnership undertakes to furnish supplementally copies of any of the omitted schedules and exhibits upon request by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

\* Filed herewith.

Not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

<sup>^</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

### Table of Contents

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	GLOBAL PART By:	<b>TNERS LP</b> Global GP LLC, its general partner	
Dated: March 31, 2014		By:	/s/ Eric Slifka Eric Slifka President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
Dated: March 31, 2014		By:	/s/ Daphne H. Foster Daphne H. Foster Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

### Table of Contents

### INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1**	Contribution Agreement dated November 21, 2011, by and among Global Partners LP and AE Holdings Corp. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2011).
2.2	Exhibit A to Contribution Agreement (Exhibit 2.1), Determination of the Cash Adjustment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2011).
2.3**	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 22, 2013, between JH Kelly Holdings LLC and Global Partners LP (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2013).
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of December 9, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009).
4.1	Indenture, dated as of February 14, 2013, by and among Global Partners LP and GLP Finance Corp., as Issuers, the Guarantors party thereto and FS Energy and Power Fund, as Purchaser (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2013).
10.1^	Form of Phantom Unit Award Agreement for Employees under Global Partners LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.2^	Form of Phantom Unit Award Agreement for Directors under Global Partners LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.3^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Daphne H. Foster (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.4^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Mark Romaine (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.5^	Executive Change of Control Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Charles A. Rudinsky (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.6^	Form of Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement for Phantom Unit Award Recipients (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.7^	Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Daphne H. Foster (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).
10.8^	Confidentiality, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Competition Agreement, effective July 1, 2013, by and between Global GP LLC and Mark Romaine (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2013).

### Table of Contents

10.9^	Memorandum to Thomas J. Hollister, Follow-up Understandings, dated July 9, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 7, 2013)
10.10	Twelfth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 20, 2013, by and among the Borrowers, the Original Guarantors, the Lenders and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 25, 2013).
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schedules and similar attachments have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Partnership undertakes to furnish supplementally copies of any of the omitted schedules and exhibits upon request by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

<sup>^</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

<sup>79</sup>