Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. Form N-CSR March 02, 2015

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM N-CSR**

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-22707

Cohen & Steers Limited Duration Preferred and Income Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

280 Park Avenue, New York, NY (Address of principal executive offices)

10017 (Zip code)

Tina M. Payne

Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc.

280 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10017 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (212) 832-3232

Date of fiscal year December 31

end:

Date of reporting period: December 31, 2014

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

#### To Our Shareholders:

We would like to share with you our report for the year ended December 31, 2014. The net asset value (NAV) at that date was \$25.70 per common share. The Fund's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and its share price can differ from its NAV; at year end, the Fund's closing price on the NYSE was \$22.66.

The total returns, including income, for the Fund and its comparative benchmarks were:

	Six Months Ended December 31, 2014	Year Ended December 31, 2014
Cohen & Steers Limited Duration		,
Preferred and		
Income Fund at NAVa	0.26%	12.13%
Cohen & Steers Limited Duration		
Preferred and		
Income Fund at Market Valuea	6.32%	9.57%
BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. Capital		
Securities Index <sup>b</sup>	0.88%	8.93%
Blended Benchmark 75% BofA Merrill		
Lynch		
U.S. Capital Securities Index/25%		
BofA Merrill		
Lynch 7% Constrained Adjustable		
Rate		
Preferred Securities Index <sup>b</sup>	0.75%	10.05%
Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond		
Index <sup>b</sup>	1.96%	5.95%

The performance data quoted represent past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. The investment return and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate and shares, if sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. Performance results reflect the effects of leverage, resulting from borrowings under a credit agreement. Current total returns of the Fund can be obtained by visiting our website at cohenandsteers.com. The Fund's returns assume the reinvestment of all dividends and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> As a closed-end investment company, the price of the Fund's NYSE-traded shares will be set by market forces and can deviate from the NAV per share of the Fund.

b The BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. Capital Securities Index is a subset of the BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. Corporate Index including all fixed-to-floating rate, perpetual callable and capital securities. The BofA Merrill Lynch 7% Constrained Adjustable Rate Preferred Securities Index contains all securities in the BofA Merrill Lynch Adjustable Rate Preferred Securities Index but caps issuer exposure at 7%. Index constituents are capitalization-weighted, based on their current amount outstanding, provided the total allocation to an individual issuer does not exceed 7%. Issuers that exceed the limit are reduced to 7% and the face value of each of their bonds is adjusted on a pro-rata basis. Similarly, the face values of bonds of all other issuers that fall below the 7% cap are increased on a pro-rata basis. In the event there are fewer than 15 issuers in the Index, each is equally weighted and the face values of their respective bonds are increased or decreased on a pro-rata basis. The Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond Index includes U.S. government,

corporate and mortgage-backed securities with maturities of at least one year. Benchmark returns are shown for comparative purposes only and may not necessarily be representative of the Fund's portfolio. The Fund's benchmarks do not include below-investment grade securities.

1

distributions at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan. Index performance does not reflect the deduction of any fees, taxes or expenses. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. Performance figures for periods shorter than one year are not annualized.

The Fund makes regular monthly distributions at a level rate (the Policy). Distributions paid by the Fund are subject to recharacterization for tax purposes and are taxable up to the amount of the Fund's investment company taxable income and net realized gains. As a result of the Policy, the Fund may pay distributions in excess of the Fund's investment company taxable income and net realized gains. This excess would be a return of capital distributed from the Fund's assets. Distributions of capital decrease the Fund's total assets and, therefore, could have the effect of increasing the Fund's expense ratio. In addition, in order to make these distributions, the Fund may have to sell portfolio securities at a less than opportune time.

#### Investment Review

Preferred securities advanced in 2014, a favorable period for virtually all fixed income asset classes. U.S. Treasury yields, which had risen sharply in 2013 in expectation that the Federal Reserve (the Fed) would partially lessen quantitative easing (QE) by tapering its bond purchases, reversed direction. Bond yields trended lower in 2014 even as QE tapering ran its course through October. This reflected modest U.S. economic growth through much of the period as well as weaker readings in China and Europe. Also keeping rates low were a generally benign global inflation outlook, heightened geopolitical tensions and aggressive monetary policy measures by the European Central Bank (ECB).

The 10-year U.S. Treasury yield declined from 3.0% in January to 2.2% by December while sovereign yields in Europe reached record lows. These trends provided a global tailwind to financial assets with perceived sensitivity to interest rates. Preferred securities and investment-grade corporate bonds had attractive absolute returns in this environment, with preferreds faring somewhat better. Preferred securities strongly outpaced high-yield bonds, which entered the year with historically high valuations and came under pressure as oil prices rapidly declined. Energy companies account for a material portion of the high-yield market and had been its fastest-growing segment.

Within the preferreds market, \$25 par exchange-traded securities outperformed preferreds traded over-the-counter (OTC), consistent with the exchange-traded group's higher average duration and sensitivity to movements in interest rates. In terms of sector performance, preferreds issued by banks, the largest issuers of these securities, performed approximately in line with the index. Earnings reports from banks, while soft in terms of revenue growth and profit margins, remained good from a credit perspective. Banks continued to build their capital bases thanks in part to continued moderation of bad debt charges, which in the U.S. have fallen to levels comparable with those existing before the financial crisis. Bolstered to meet new regulatory requirements, bank capital levels have grown to exceed pre-crisis levels.

Real estate preferreds were a standout segment, rising more than 20% for the year. The group benefited from strong industry fundamentals, as reflected in earnings that mostly exceeded expectations across the range of property types. REIT cash flows have been growing with the U.S. economy, and the new supply of high-quality commercial real estate has been modest. In addition, real estate companies

remained generally conservative with balance sheet management, and the new supply of REIT preferreds was quite limited.

Preferreds issued by European companies participated in the rally, supported by declining sovereign yields across the region. Along with lower oil prices, the mostly disappointing economic data reported as the year progressed tamped down inflation forecasts, and fed expectations that the ECB would engage in QE. Issuance of contingent capital securities (CoCos), a new and evolving source of Tier 1 capital for European and other non-U.S. banks (at present), was visible throughout the year. Deals that occurred near year end included Deutsche Bank issuing a large CoCo with a 7.5% coupon scheduled to reset to a floating rate in 10 years. Also noteworthy was a new security from QBE Insurance Group in Australia, a rare example so far of an insurance company taking advantage of the market for CoCos.

#### Fund Performance

The Fund had a positive total return for the year and outperformed its blended benchmark based on NAV. Based on market price, the Fund underperformed its blended benchmark. The Fund benefited from an underweight in energy preferreds and an out-of-benchmark allocation to REIT preferreds.

Throughout much of the year we emphasized securities that were more defensive relative to interest-rate risk given our view that economic growth would continue to be solid, potentially presenting further challenges to bond markets. With rates coming down, this positioning weighed on the Fund's performance relative to the benchmark. However, the impact was in large part countered by good performance from certain investments in below-investment-grade and non-rated issues that were not represented in the index.

### Impact of Derivatives on Fund Performance

In connection with its use of leverage, the Fund pays interest on borrowings based on a floating rate under the terms of its credit agreement. To reduce the impact that an increase in interest rates could have on the performance of the Fund with respect to these borrowings, the Fund used interest rate swaps to exchange the floating rate for a fixed rate. During the 12-month period ended December 31, 2014, the Fund's use of swaps detracted from the Fund's performance.

The Fund also used derivatives in the form of forward foreign currency exchange contracts in order to manage currency risk on certain Fund positions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts significantly contributed to the Fund's performance for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2014.

### Impact of Leverage on Fund Performance

The Fund employs leverage as part of a yield-enhancement strategy. Leverage, which can increase total return in rising markets (just as it can have the opposite effect in declining markets), significantly contributed to the Fund's performance for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2014.

#### **Investment Outlook**

While acknowledging that growth may have slowed globally, we note that the U.S. economy appears to be on generally solid footing, given continued U.S. gross domestic product expansion as well as good employment gains in recent months. Although the Fed finally exited its QE purchases, we believe it is likely to continue to soothe markets by indicating that the path to rate hikes will be very data-dependent. We currently expect modest rate hikes in the latter half of 2015; however, if global growth does not pick up these may be delayed.

We are expecting Treasury yields to remain somewhat low for the near term, reflecting weak global growth and low inflation readings, including in the U.S., where the strong dollar is likely to play a role in subdued price gains for many goods. Falling oil prices will also likely diminish headline inflation, although savings for consumers might lead to higher demand for other goods. While we have a generally benign outlook for the near term, we expect that Treasury yields may rise somewhat in the intermediate term as U.S. growth continues in 2015 and U.S. labor markets tighten. In this respect, we note that the high income that preferreds offer substantially higher than is offered by most traditional fixed income assets may help protect investors from a total-return standpoint over time, should demanded yields begin to rise.

We have added in recent months to more rate-sensitive instruments but continue to generally favor higher income and somewhat more stable value issues, for instance, fixed-to-float structures with good amounts of call protection that can perform well in most rate environments. At the same time, we have become somewhat more cautious in some credit markets, notably Europe, which faces deflation and political tensions caused by weak growth. More broadly, however, we continue to view the harsh regulatory environment spurred by the financial crisis as an important tailwind to the credit quality of financial preferred issuers around the globe and note that bank capital requirements will continue to rise in coming quarters.

We continue to seek opportunities in the expanding market for new preferred instruments, including U.S. bank and insurance preferreds and the new CoCo securities being issued by many banks around the globe. Many preferred instruments offer income rates that compare favorably with global investment-grade and even global high-yield bonds, making them attractive investments, in our view.

Sincerely,

ROBERT H. STEERS JOSEPH M. HARVEY
Chairman Portfolio Manager

WILLIAM F. SCAPELL ELAINE ZAHARIS-NIKAS

Portfolio Manager Portfolio Manager

The views and opinions in the preceding commentary are subject to change without notice and are as of the date of publication. There is no guarantee that any market forecast set forth in the commentary will be realized. This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific point in time, should not be relied upon as investment advice and is not intended to predict or depict performance of any investment.

#### Visit Cohen & Steers online at cohenandsteers.com

For more information about the Cohen & Steers family of mutual funds, visit cohenandsteers.com. Here you will find fund net asset values, fund fact sheets and portfolio highlights, as well as educational resources and timely market updates.

Our website also provides comprehensive information about Cohen & Steers, including our most recent press releases, profiles of our senior investment professionals and their investment approach to each asset class. The Cohen & Steers family of mutual funds invests in major real asset categories including real estate, infrastructure and commodities, along with preferred securities and other income solutions.

Our Leverage Strategy (Unaudited)

Our current leverage strategy utilizes borrowings up to the maximum permitted by the Investment Company Act of 1940 to provide additional capital for the Fund, with an objective of increasing the net income available for shareholders. As of December 31, 2014, leverage represented 30% of the Fund's managed assets.

It has been our philosophy to utilize interest rate swap transactions to seek to reduce the interest rate risk inherent in our utilization of leverage. Considering that the Fund's borrowings have variable interest rate payments, we seek to lock in those rates on a significant portion of this additional capital through interest rate swap agreements (where we effectively convert our variable rate obligations to fixed rate obligations for the term of the swap agreements). Locking in a significant portion of our leveraging costs is designed to protect the dividend-paying ability of the Fund. The use of leverage increases the volatility of the Fund's net asset value in both up and down markets. However, we believe that locking in a portion of the Fund's leveraging costs for the term of the swap agreements partially protects the Fund's expenses from an increase in short-term interest rates.

### Leverage Factsa,b

Leverage (as a % of managed assets)	30%
% Fixed Rate	90%
% Variable Rate	10%
Weighted Average Rate on Swaps	1.2%
Weighted Average Term on Swaps	3.9 years
Current Rate on Debt	1.0%

The Fund seeks to enhance its dividend yield through leverage. The use of leverage is a speculative technique and there are special risks and costs associated with leverage. The net asset value of the Fund's shares may be reduced by the issuance and ongoing costs of leverage. So long as the Fund is able to invest in securities that produce an investment yield that is greater than the total cost of leverage, the leverage strategy will produce higher current net investment income for shareholders. On the other hand, to the extent that the total cost of leverage exceeds the incremental income gained from employing such leverage, shareholders would realize lower net investment income. In addition to the impact on net income, the use of leverage will have an effect of magnifying capital appreciation or depreciation for shareholders. Specifically, in an up market, leverage will typically generate greater capital appreciation than if the Fund were not employing leverage. Conversely, in down markets, the use of leverage will generally result in greater capital depreciation than if the Fund had been unlevered. To the extent that the Fund is required or elects to reduce its leverage, the Fund may need to liquidate investments, including under adverse economic conditions which may result in capital losses potentially reducing returns to shareholders. There can be no assurance that a leveraging strategy will be successful during any period in which it is employed.

- <sup>a</sup> Data as of December 31, 2014. Information is subject to change.
- b See Note 7 in Notes to Financial Statements.

December 31, 2014

Top Ten Holdings<sup>a</sup> (Unaudited)

		% of
Security	Value	Managed Assets
General Electric Capital Corp., 7.125%, Series A	\$44,948,750	4.3
Southern California Edison Co., 4.51%, Series D (\$100		
Par Value) (FRN)	41,178,982	3.9
US Bancorp, 3.50%, Series A, (\$1,000 Par Value) (FRN)	30,064,380	2.8
Wells Fargo & Co., 7.98%, Series K	22,951,125	2.2
HSBC USA, 3.50%, Series F (FRN)	19,725,303	1.9
Aquarius + Investments PLC, 8.25% (Switzerland)	18,763,750	1.8
JPMorgan Chase & Co., 7.90%, Series I	18,598,360	1.8
Goldman Sachs Capital II, 4.00%, (FRN)	18,179,580	1.7
Aegon NV, 2.549%, (\$100 Par Value) (FRN)		
(Netherlands)	18,073,331	1.7
Morgan Stanley, 6.875%	16,046,149	1.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Top ten holdings are determined on the basis of the value of individual securities held. The Fund may also hold positions in other types of securities issued by the companies listed above. See the Schedule of Investments for additional details on such other positions.

Sector Breakdown

(Based on Managed Assets) (Unaudited)

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

		Number of Shares	Value
PREFERRED SECURITIES \$25	45.00/		
PAR VALUE BANKS	45.8% 18.2%		
AgriBank FCB, 6.875%, (\$100 Par	10.270		
Value) <sup>a</sup>		65,000	\$ 6,835,159
Ally Financial, 8.50%, Series A <sup>a</sup>		195,800	5,263,104
Ally Financial, 7.00%, Series G,		100,000	0,200,101
144A			
(\$1000 Par Value) <sup>a,b</sup>		5,000	5,022,969
Citigroup, 6.875%, Series Ka		222,375	5,910,728
CoBank ACB, 6.25%, 144A (\$100		,	,
Par Value) <sup>a,b</sup>		117,000	11,849,912
CoBank ACB, 6.125%, Series G			
(\$100 Par Value)a		32,250	2,928,703
Farm Credit Bank of Texas,			
6.75%, 144A <sup>a,b</sup>		67,500	7,001,019
Fifth Third Bancorp, 6.625%,			
Series I <sup>a</sup>		308,640	8,435,131
GMAC Capital Trust I, 8.125%,			
due 2/15/40,			
Series II (TruPS) <sup>a</sup>		200,000	5,276,000
HSBC USA, 3.50%, Series F			
(FRN) <sup>a</sup>		872,801	19,725,303
HSBC USA, 4.918%, Series G			
(FRN) <sup>a</sup>		242,293	5,633,312
PrivateBancorp, 7.125%, due		000.400	5.040.000
10/30/42 <sup>a</sup>		200,100	5,242,620
Regions Financial Corp., 6.375%,		201 200	7.045.400
Series Ba		301,000	7,645,400
US Bancorp, 3.50%, Series A, (\$1,000 Par			
• •		26 776	20.064.290
Value)(FRN) <sup>a</sup> Zions Bancorp, 7.90%, Series F <sup>a</sup>		36,776 174,694	30,064,380 4,751,677
Zions Bancorp, 6.30%, Series G <sup>a</sup>		126,557	3,267,702
Zions Bancorp, 0.30 %, Genes G		120,337	134,853,119
BANKS FOREIGN	0.2%		107,000,110
Barclays Bank PLC, 8.125%,	<b>0.2</b> /0		
Series V			
(United Kingdom) <sup>a</sup>		50,000	1,304,000
ELECTRIC INTEGRATED	5.6%	30,000	1,001,000
	2.0,0	408,851	41,178,982
		. 30,00 !	, 3,00=

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Southern California Edison Co.,			
4.63%,			
Series D (\$100 Par Value)(FRN)a			
FINANCE INVESTMENT			
BANKER/BROKER	5.4%		
Goldman Sachs Group, 6.375%,			
Series K <sup>a</sup>		111,782	2,897,389
Morgan Stanley, 6.875% <sup>a</sup>		603,012	16,046,149
Morgan Stanley, 4.00%, Series A			
(FRN) <sup>a</sup>		692,675	13,832,720
Morgan Stanley, 6.375%, Series			
<b>J</b> a		300,000	7,593,000
			40,369,258
	See accompanying notes to fi	nancial statements.	
	8		

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

		Number of Shares	Value
INDUSTRIALS CHEMICALS	2.5%	or chares	Value
CHS, 6.75% <sup>a</sup>		333,191	\$ 8,469,715
CHS, 7.10%, Series IIa		381,672	10,022,707
			18,492,422
INSURANCE	6.9%		
LIFE/HEALTH INSURANCE	3.6%		
MetLife, 4.00%, Series A (FRN) <sup>a</sup> Principal Financial Group, 5.563%, Series A		526,431	12,055,270
(\$100 Par Value) <sup>a</sup>		146,513	14,788,656
			26,843,926
LIFE/HEALTH INSURANCE FOREIGN	0.5%		
Aegon NV, 4.00%, Series I (FRN)			
(Netherlands) <sup>a</sup>	4.00/	159,074	3,735,057
MULTI-LINE	1.0%		
Hartford Financial Services			
Group, 7.875%, due 4/15/42 <sup>a</sup>		240,000	7,190,400
REINSURANCE	1.1%	240,000	7,130,400
Reinsurance Group of America,	1.170		
6.20%, due 9/15/42a		287,951	7,993,520
REINSURANCE FOREIGN	0.7%	·	, ,
Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd.,			
5.95% (Bermuda) <sup>a</sup>		120,023	3,031,781
Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd.,			
7.25% (Bermuda) <sup>a</sup>		97,849	2,561,687
TOTAL INCLIDANCE			5,593,468
TOTAL INSURANCE	0.50/		51,356,371
PIPELINES NuStar Logistics LP, 7.625%, due	0.5%		
1/15/43 <sup>a</sup>		137,632	3,550,906
REAL ESTATE	5.0%	107,002	3,330,300
DIVERSIFIED	2.2%		
Colony Financial, 8.50%, Series			
Aa		240,000	6,307,200
NorthStar Realty Finance Corp.,			
8.50%, Series D <sup>a</sup>		99,400	2,490,964
Retail Properties of America,			
7.00% <sup>a</sup>		99,400	2,604,280
Urstadt Biddle Properties, 7.125%, Series F <sup>a</sup>		193,484	5,030,584

16,433,028

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

		Number of Shares	Value
HOTEL	1.1%		
Summit Hotel Properties, 7.125% <sup>a</sup>		115,500	\$ 2,939,475
Summit Hotel Properties, 7.875,			
Series B <sup>a</sup>		186,650	4,903,296
OFFICE	4.00/		7,842,771
OFFICE	1.3%		
American Realty Capital		327,627	7 406 977
Properties, 6.70%, Series F <sup>a</sup> Corporate Office Properties Trust,		327,027	7,486,277
7.375%, Series La		90,866	2,369,785
7.373 %, Selles L*		90,000	9,856,062
RESIDENTIAL MANUFACTURED			9,000,002
HOME	0.4%		
Sun Communities, 7.125%,	0.170		
Series A <sup>a</sup>		100,000	2,568,000
TOTAL REAL ESTATE		100,000	36,699,861
TRANSPORT MARINE FOREIGN	1.0%		00,000,00
Seaspan Corp., 6.375%, due			
4/30/19 (Hong Kong) <sup>a</sup>		162,450	4,028,760
Seaspan Corp., 9.50%, Series C		,	, ,
(Hong Kong) <sup>a</sup>		140,705	3,763,859
			7,792,619
UTILITIES	0.5%		
SCE Trust III, 5.75% <sup>a</sup>		135,150	3,574,717
TOTAL PREFERRED			
SECURITIES \$25 PAR VALUE			
(Identified cost \$331,825,812)			339,172,255
PREFERRED			
SECURITIES CAPITAL			
SECURITIES	90.5%		
BANKS	22.4%		
BAC Capital Trust XIV, 4.00%,		10,000,000	10.004.475
Series G, (FRN) <sup>a</sup>		16,930,000	12,824,475
Bank of America Corp., 8.125%,		12 500 000	14 600 605
Series M		13,500,000	14,630,625
Bank of America Corp., 6.25%, Series X		9,115,000	9,055,178
Bank of America Corp., 6.50%,		3,113,000	9,000,170
Series Z		11,136,000	11,363,174
Goldman Sachs Capital II, 4.00%, (FRN)		24,567,000	18,179,580
		· ,	,

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

/=	40.500
17,200,000	18,598,360
10,400,000	11,024,000
5,900,000	5,900,000
10,115,000	8,016,138
5,300,000	5,339,750
8,878,000	7,191,180
5,000,000	4,852,500
5,946,000	6,005,460
20,700,000	22,951,125
See accompanying notes to financial statements.	
	5,900,000  10,115,000 5,300,000 8,878,000  5,000,000  5,946,000  20,700,000 See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

	Number	
7: D 7.000/ O : I	of Shares	Value
Zions Bancorp, 7.20%, Series J	5,490,000	\$ 5,797,330
Zions Bancorporation, 5.65%,	4 350 000	4 420 201
due 11/15/23	4,250,000	4,428,381 166,157,256
BANKS FOREIGN 28.69	0/_	100,137,230
Baggot Securities Ltd., 10.24%,	76	
144A (EUR)		
(Ireland) <sup>a,b</sup>	1,161,000	1,475,112
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria	1,101,000	1,170,112
SA, 9.00% (Spain) <sup>a</sup>	8,400,000	9,009,000
Banco Bradesco SA/Cayman,	, ,	, ,
5.75%, due 3/1/22,		
144A (Brazil) <sup>b</sup>	4,000,000	4,100,000
Banco do Brasil SA/Cayman,		
9.00%, 144A (Brazil) <sup>b</sup>	8,270,000	7,732,450
Barclays Bank PLC, 7.625%, due		
11/21/22		
(United Kingdom)	4,800,000	5,257,440
Barclays PLC, 8.00% (United	000 000	4.040.000
Kingdom) (EUR) <sup>a</sup>	800,000	1,012,328
Barclays PLC, 8.25% (United	11 005 000	10.015.000
Kingdom)	11,895,000	12,215,202
BBVA Bancomer SA Texas, 6.75%, due 9/30/22,		
144A (Mexico) <sup>b</sup>	5,000,000	5,512,500
BNP Paribas, 7.195%, 144A	3,000,000	3,312,300
(France) <sup>b</sup>	5,000,000	5,806,250
Credit Agricole SA, 7.875%, 144A	2,000,000	0,000,200
(France) <sup>b</sup>	7,661,000	7,819,269
Credit Suisse AG, 6.50%, due	, ,	, ,
8/8/23, 144A		
(Switzerland) <sup>b</sup>	4,500,000	4,950,994
Credit Suisse Group AG, 7.50%,		
144A (Switzerland) <sup>b</sup>	6,463,000	6,737,677
Deutsche Bank AG, 7.50%		
(Germany)	12,000,000	11,550,000
Deutsche Bank Capital Funding		
Trust I, 3.204%,	0.400.000	0.010.100
144A (FRN) (Germany) <sup>b</sup>	8,480,000	8,310,400
Deutsche Bank Capital Trust IV	0.000.000	E 000 000
(Germany)	6,000,000	5,820,000
	2,800,000	2,758,000

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Deutsche Bank Capital Trust V, 144A. (Germany)<sup>b</sup>

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

		Number of Shares	Value
Itau Unibanco Holding		0. 0.10.00	Value
SA/Cayman Island, 6.20%,			
due 12/21/21, 144A (Brazil)b		3,000,000	\$ 3,163,140
Lloyds Banking Group PLC,		, ,	. , ,
7.50% (United Kingdom)		12,850,000	13,107,000
Nationwide Building Society, 10.25%			
(United Kingdom) <sup>a</sup>		6,380,000	12,577,808
Nordea Bank AB, 6.125%, 144A		2,000,000	,,
(Sweden)b		5,700,000	5,660,522
Rabobank Nederland, 8.40%		,	, ,
(Netherlands)a		7,500,000	8,231,250
Rabobank Nederland, 11.00%,			
144A (Netherlands) <sup>b</sup>		4,000,000	5,158,000
Royal Bank of Scotland Group			
PLC, 7.648%			
(United Kingdom)		8,427,000	9,859,590
Royal Bank of Scotland PLC,			
9.50%, due 3/16/22		5 000 000	5 000 755
(United Kingdom) <sup>a</sup>		5,000,000	5,692,755
Societe Generale SA, 8.875%		1 750 000	0.054.050
(France) (GBP) <sup>a</sup> UBS AG, 7.625%, due 8/17/22		1,750,000	3,054,858
(Switzerland)		9,200,000	10,847,738
(Switzeriand)		3,200,000	211,549,646
FINANCE	6.8%		211,545,040
CREDIT CARD	0.7%		
American Express Co., 5.20% <sup>a</sup>	011 70	5,400,000	5,512,947
DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL		2, 122,000	2,2 : _,0 : .
SERVICES	6.1%		
General Electric Capital Corp.,			
7.125%, Series A		38,500,000	44,948,750
TOTAL FINANCE			50,461,697
INSURANCE	26.6%		
LIFE/HEALTH			
INSURANCE FOREIGN	9.0%		
Aegon NV, 2.722%, (\$100 Par			
Value) (FRN)			
(Netherlands) <sup>a</sup>		20,985,000	18,073,331
CNP Assurances, 3.4212%,		F 000 000	4 000 700
(FRN) (France) <sup>a</sup>		5,000,000	4,902,792
		6,900,000	7,220,132

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Dai-ichi Life Insurance Co. Ltd., 5.10%, 144A (Japan)b Intesa Sanpaolo Vita SpA, 4.75% (Italy)a 2,200,000 2,715,353 La Mondiale Vie, 7.625% (France)a 14,434,219 13,250,000 Nippon Life Insurance Co., 5.10%, due 10/16/44, 144A (Japan)b 8,200,000 8,602,415 Sumitomo Life Insurance Co, 6.50%, due 9/20/73, 144A (Japan)b 11,000,951 9,800,000 66,949,193 See accompanying notes to financial statements. 12

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

		Number	
MULTI-LINE	2.1%	of Shares	Value
American International Group,	2.170		
8.175%,			
due 5/15/68, (FRN) <sup>a</sup>		9,000,000	\$ 12,240,000
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co., 5.81%,			
due 12/15/24, 144A <sup>b</sup>		3,125,000	3,131,722
GGG 12/16/21, 111/1		3,123,333	15,371,722
MULTI-LINE FOREIGN	3.7%		,
Aviva PLC, 8.25% (United			
Kingdom) <sup>a</sup>		8,600,000	9,663,192
AXA SA, 1.794%, (FRN) (EUR)			
(France) <sup>a</sup>		5,000,000	4,099,790
AXA SA, 6.463%, 144A		10 100 000	10.000.005
(France) <sup>a,b</sup> ING Capital Funding Trust III,		10,102,000	10,682,865
3.83%, (FRN)			
(Netherlands)		3,329,000	3,337,323
(Notificitation)		3,323,333	27,783,170
PROPERTY CASUALTY	3.3%		
Farmers Exchange Capital III, 5.454%,			
due 10/15/54, 144A <sup>b</sup>		4,600,000	4,787,116
Liberty Mutual Group, 7.00%, due		, ,	. ,
3/15/37, 144Ab		6,575,000	6,772,250
Liberty Mutual Group, 7.80%, due		10 600 000	10 551 050
3/15/37, 144Ab TOTAL PROPERTY CASUALTY		10,682,000	12,551,350 24,110,716
PROPERTY			24,110,710
CASUALTY FOREIGN	2.9%		
Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co.,			
Ltd., 7.00%,			
due 3/15/72, 144A (Japan) <sup>b</sup>		9,000,000	10,365,867
QBE Insurance Group Ltd.,			
6.75%, due 12/2/44		4.005.000	4 000 005
(Australia) <sup>a</sup>		4,005,000	4,029,995
RL Finance Bonds No. 2 PLC, 6.125%, due 11/30/43			
(United Kingdom) <sup>a</sup>		4,000,000	6,745,364
(Office Milgaolii)		₹,000,000	21,141,226
REINSURANCE FOREIGN	5.6%		21,111,220
		17,000,000	18,763,750

Aquarius + Investments PLC, 8.25% (Switzerland)a Catlin Insurance Co., 7.249%, 10,000,000 9,993,750 144A (Bermuda)b,c QBE Capital Funding III Ltd., 7.25%, due 5/24/41, 144A (Australia)b 12,000,000 13,096,812 41,854,312 **TOTAL INSURANCE** 197,210,339 See accompanying notes to financial statements. 13

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

		Number of Shares	Value
INTEGRATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES	0.8%		
Centaur Funding Corp., 9.08%,			
due 4/21/20,			
144A (Cayman) <sup>a,b</sup>	4.00/	4,622	\$ 5,806,388
PIPELINES Enbridge Energy Partners LP,	1.0%		
8.05%, due 10/1/77		3,100,000	3,371,250
Enterprise Products Operating		3,103,000	3,371,233
LLC, 7.034%,			
due 1/15/68, Series B		4,000,000	4,390,508
	4.00/		7,761,758
UTILITIES ELECTRIC UTILITIES	4.3% 0.7%		
FPL Group Capital, 7.30%, due	0.7 /6		
9/1/67, Series D		5,000,000	5,353,230
ELECTRIC UTILITIES FOREIGN	1.6%	, ,	, ,
Enel SpA, 8.75%, due 9/24/73,			
144A (Italy) <sup>b</sup>		10,232,000	11,933,070
MULTI-UTILITIES	2.0%		
Dominion Resources, 5.75%, due 10/1/54		8,873,000	9,280,404
Dominion Resources, 2.534%,		0,073,000	3,200,404
due 9/30/66 (FRN)		5,400,000	5,112,876
,			14,393,280
TOTAL UTILITIES			31,679,580
TOTAL PREFERRED			
SECURITIES CAPITAL SECURITIES			
(Identified cost \$631,524,887)			670,626,664
(10011111111111111111111111111111111111		Principal	070,020,004
		Amount	
CORPORATE BONDS	2.6%		
INSURANCE-PROPERTY			
CASUALTY	0.8%		
Liberty Mutual Insurance, 7.697%,			
due 10/15/97, 144A <sup>b</sup>		\$ 5,000,000	6,341,830
INTEGRATED		Ψ 0,000,000	0,011,000
TELECOMMUNICATIONS			
SERVICES	1.8%		

Frontier Communications Corp.,

9.00%,

due 8/15/31 12,500,000 13,250,000

TOTAL CORPORATE BONDS (Identified cost \$18,067,964)

19,591,830

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

14

### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2014

		Number	
		of Shares	Value
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS	1.5%		
MONEY MARKET FUNDS			
State Street Institutional Treasury			
Money Market Fund,			
0.00% <sup>d</sup>		11,100,000	\$ 11,100,000
TOTAL SHORT-TERM			
INVESTMENTS			
(Identified cost \$11,100,000)			11,100,000
TOTAL INVESTMENTS (Identified			
cost \$992,518,663)	140.4%		1,040,490,749
LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF OTHER			
ASSETS	(40.4)		(299,584,975)
NET ASSETS (Equivalent to \$25.70			
per share based on			
28,830,580 shares of common stock			
outstanding)	100.0%		\$ 740,905,774
Makes Demonstrate and finally at all and because at a		af illa a Francial	

Note: Percentages indicated are based on the net assets of the Fund.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All or a portion of the security is pledged as collateral in connection with the Fund's revolving credit agreement. \$510,719,826 in aggregate has been pledged as collateral.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Resale is restricted to qualified institutional investors. Aggregate holdings equal 31.9% of the net assets of the Fund, of which 0.0% are illiquid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> A portion of the security is segregated as collateral for open forward foreign currency exchange contracts. \$1,798,875 in aggregate has been segregated as collateral.

d Rate quoted represents the annualized seven-day yield of the Fund.

### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2014

Interest rate swaps outstanding at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Counterparty	Notional Amount	Fixed Rate Payable	Floating Rate (resets monthly) Receivablea	Termination Date	Unrealized Appreciation
Bank of		,			444
America,				December	
N.A.	\$94,500,000	0.914%	0.155%	1, 2017	\$ 507,998
Bank of				Dagambar	
America,	04 500 000	1 1640/	0.1550/	December	776 445
N.A.	94,500,000	1.164%	0.155%	1, 2018	776,445
BNP				December	
Paribas	94,500,000	1.395%	0.155%	1, 2019	807,995
					\$ 2,092,438

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Based on LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate). Represents rates in effect at December 31, 2014.

Forward foreign currency exchange contracts outstanding at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Counterparty	Contracts to Deliver	In Exchange For	Settlement Date	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Brown Brothers				,
Harriman	EUR 2,179,047	USD 2,715,310	1/5/15	\$ 78,554
Brown Brothers	, ,		4 /F /4 F	·
Harriman	EUR12,385,671	USD 15,444,734	1/5/15	457,450
Brown Brothers				
Harriman	GBP14,176,907	USD22,190,928	1/5/15	94,786
Brown Brothers				
Harriman	USD 4,445,492	EUR 3,615,397	1/5/15	(70,680)
Brown Brothers				· ·
Harriman	USD22,109,737	GBP14,176,907	1/5/15	(13,595)
Brown Brothers				,
Harriman	USD 13,246,598	EUR10,949,321	1/5/15	2,630
Brown Brothers	, ,	, ,		,
Harriman	EUR11,749,571	USD14,217,615	2/3/15	(4,352)
Brown Brothers	, ,	, ,		( , ,
Harriman	GBP14,299,111	USD22,293,015	2/3/15	11,489
	, ,	•		\$ 556,282

Glossary of Portfolio Abbreviations

**EUR Euro Currency** FRN Floating Rate Note GBP Great British Pound TruPS Trust Preferred Securities

27

# USD United States Dollar

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

16

# STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

ASSETS:		
Investments in securities, at value (Identified		
cost \$992,518,663)	\$ -	1,040,490,749
Cash		11,960,315
Unrealized appreciation on interest rate swap		, ,
transactions		2,092,438
Receivable for:		•
Dividends and interest		10,288,477
Investment securities sold		355,938
Unrealized appreciation on forward foreign currency		
exchange contracts		644,909
Other assets		228,908
Total Assets	-	1,066,061,734
LIABILITIES:		
Unrealized depreciation on forward foreign currency		
exchange contracts		88,627
Payable for:		
Revolving credit agreement		315,000,000
Dividends declared		8,957,341
Investment advisory fees		633,331
Interest expense		269,665
Administration fees		45,238
Directors' fees		390
Other liabilities		161,368
Total Liabilities		325,155,960
NET ASSETS	\$	740,905,774
NET ASSETS consist of:		
Paid-in capital	\$	684,644,336
Accumulated undistributed net investment income		69,108
Accumulated undistributed net realized gain		5,620,980
Net unrealized appreciation		50,571,350
	\$	740,905,774
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE:		
(\$740,905,774 ÷ 28,830,580 shares outstanding)	\$	25.70
MARKET PRICE PER SHARE	\$	22.66
MARKET PRICE DISCOUNT TO NET ASSET VALUE		
PER SHARE		(11.83)%
See accompanying notes to financial statements.		
17		

### STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

# For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

Investment Income:		
Dividend income	\$	18,384,759
Interest income (net of \$51,292 of foreign withholding	·	,
tax)		44,621,089
Total Investment Income		63,005,848
Expenses:		
Investment advisory fees		7,520,212
Interest expense		3,277,261
Administration fees		697,255
Custodian fees and expenses		105,119
Professional fees		102,655
Shareholder reporting expenses		73,371
Directors' fees and expenses		39,960
Line of credit fees		28,700
Transfer agent fees and expenses		19,882
Registration and filing fees		8,748
Miscellaneous		73,291
Total Expenses		11,946,454
Net Investment Income		51,059,394
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss):		
Net realized gain (loss) on:		
Investments		17,174,841
Foreign currency transactions		4,684,719
Interest rate swap transactions		(2,868,855)
Net realized gain		18,990,705
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on:		
Investments		13,963,821
Foreign currency translations		1,192,755
Interest rate swap transactions		(4,455,857)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		10,700,719
Net realized and unrealized gain		29,691,424
Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations	\$	80,750,818
* · ·		
Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations  See accompanying notes to financial statements.  18	\$	80,750,818

### STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Year Ended Year E		For the Year Ended ember 31, 2013	
Change in Net Assets:				
From Operations:				
Net investment income	\$	51,059,394	\$	51,823,918
Net realized gain (loss)		18,990,705		(147,025)
Net change in unrealized				
appreciation				
(depreciation)		10,700,719		(5,782,125)
Net increase in net assets				
resulting				
from operations		80,750,818		45,894,768
Dividends and Distributions to Sharehold	ders fro	om:		
Net investment income		(47,656,331)		(53,021,466)
Net realized gain		(14,963,689)		(893,748)
Return of capital		,		(1,175,592)
Total dividends and				,
distributions				
to shareholders		(62,620,020)		(55,090,806)
Capital Stock Transactions:				, , ,
Decrease in net assets from				
Fund share				
transactions				(2,500,023)
Total increase (decrease) in				, ,
net assets		18,130,798		(11,696,061)
Net Assets:				, , ,
Beginning of year		722,774,976		734,471,037
End of year <sup>a</sup>	\$	740,905,774	\$	722,774,976
2. In all calls a second of the all conditions of the all conditio		1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Includes accumulated undistributed net investment income and dividends in excess of net investment income of \$69,108 and \$357,216, respectively.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

### STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

Increase in Cash:	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 80,750,818
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets resulting from	
operations to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Purchases of long-term investments	(491,297,578)
Net purchases, sales and maturities of short-term	
investments	(7,100,000)
Net amortization of premium	2,918,442
Proceeds from sales and maturities of long-term	
investments	502,149,309
Net decrease in dividends and interest receivable and	
other assets	2,636,486
Net increase in interest expense payable, accrued	
expenses and	
other liabilities	263,655
Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments	(13,963,821)
Net change in unrealized depreciation on interest rate	
swap transactions	4,455,857
Net change in unrealized appreciation on forward foreign	
currency	
exchange contracts	(1,279,205)
Net realized gain on investments	(17,174,841)
Cash provided by operating activities	62,359,122
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:	
Dividends and distributions paid	(54,885,131)
Increase in cash	7,473,991
Cash at beginning of year	4,486,324
Cash at end of year	\$ 11,960,315
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:	

During the year ended December 31, 2014, interest paid was \$3,016,763.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

20

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The following table includes selected data for a share outstanding throughout each period and other performance information derived from the financial statements. It should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto.

	For the Ye Decem	For the Period July 27, 2012 <sup>a</sup> through			
Per Share Operating					
Performance:	2014	2013	Decem	ber 31, 2012	
Net asset value, beginning of					
period	\$ 25.07	\$ 25.37	\$	23.88	
Income (loss) from investment oper					
Net investment incomeb	1.77	1.79		$0.59_{c}$	
Net realized and unrealized gain					
(loss)	1.03	(0.20)		1.63	
Total from investment operations	2.80	1.59		2.22	
Less dividends and distributions to	shareholders from:				
Net investment income	(1.65)	(1.83)		(0.67)	
Net realized gain	(0.52)	(0.03)		(0.01)	
Return of capital		(0.04)			
Total dividends and distributions					
to shareholders	(2.17)	(1.90)		(0.68)	
Offering costs charged to paid-in					
capital				(0.05)	
Anti-dilutive effect from the				,	
issuance of reinvested shares		$0.00_{\sf d}$		$0.00_{\sf d}$	
Anti-dilutive effect from the					
repurchase of shares		0.01			
Net increase (decrease) in net					
asset value	0.63	(0.30)		1.49	
Net asset value, end of period	\$ 25.70	\$ 25.07	\$	25.37	
Market value, end of period	\$ 22.66	\$ 22.62	\$	25.04	
Total net asset value returne	12.13%	6.80%	·	9.14% <sup>f</sup>	
Total market value returne	9.57%	2.37%		2.89% <sup>f</sup>	
	See accompanying notes to fir				
	21				

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS (Continued)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		For the Period July 27, 2012 <sup>a</sup> through		
Ratios/Supplemental Data:	2014	2013	Decem	ber 31, 2012	
Net assets, end of period (in millions)	\$ 740.9	\$ 722.8	\$	734.5	
Ratio of expenses to average					
daily net assets	1.57%	1.62%		1.39% <sup>g</sup>	
Ratio of expenses to average daily net assets					
(excluding interest expense)	1.14%	1.16%		1.09% <sup>g</sup>	
Ratio of net investment income to					
average daily net assets	6.72%	6.98%		5.57% <sup>g</sup>	
Ratio of expenses to average					
daily managed assetsh	1.11%	1.13%		<b>1.09</b> % <sup>g</sup>	
Portfolio turnover rate	47%	40%		23% <sup>f</sup>	
Revolving Credit Agreement					
Asset coverage ratio for revolving					
credit agreement	335%	329%		333%	
Asset coverage per \$1,000 for					
revolving credit agreement	\$3,352	\$ 3,295	\$	3,332	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Commencement of operations.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Calculation based on average shares outstanding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> 10.5% of gross income was attributable to dividends paid by GMAC Capital Trust I.

d Amount is less than \$0.005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Total net asset value return measures the change in net asset value per share over the period indicated. Total market value return is computed based upon the Fund's NYSE market price per share and excludes the effects of brokerage commissions. Dividends and distributions are assumed, for purposes of these calculations, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan.

f Not annualized.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Annualized.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Average daily managed assets represent net assets plus the outstanding balance of the revolving credit agreement.

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# Note 1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Cohen & Steers Limited Duration Preferred and Income Fund, Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on May 1, 2012 and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company. The Fund's investment objective is high current income.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The Fund is an investment company and, accordingly, follows the investment company accounting and reporting guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946 Investment Companies. The accounting policies of the Fund are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of the financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Portfolio Valuation: Investments in securities that are listed on the NYSE are valued, except as indicated below, at the last sale price reflected at the close of the NYSE on the business day as of which such value is being determined. If there has been no sale on such day, the securities are valued at the mean of the closing bid and ask prices on such day or, if no ask price is available, at the bid price. Forward contracts are valued daily at the prevailing forward exchange rate.

Securities not listed on the NYSE but listed on other domestic or foreign securities exchanges are valued in a similar manner. Securities traded on more than one securities exchange are valued at the last sale price reflected at the close of the exchange representing the principal market for such securities on the business day as of which such value is being determined. If after the close of a foreign market, but prior to the close of business on the day the securities are being valued, market conditions change significantly, certain non-U.S. equity holdings may be fair valued pursuant to procedures established by the Board of Directors.

Readily marketable securities traded in the over-the-counter market, including listed securities whose primary market is believed by Cohen & Steers Capital Management (the investment advisor) to be over-the-counter, are valued at the last sale price on the valuation date as reported by sources deemed appropriate by the Board of Directors to reflect their fair market value. If there has been no sale on such day, the securities are valued at the mean of the closing bid and ask prices on such day or, if no ask price is available, at the bid price. However, certain fixed-income securities may be valued on the basis of prices provided by a third-party pricing service or third-party broker-dealers when such prices are believed by the investment advisor, pursuant to delegation by the Board of Directors, to reflect the fair market value of such securities. Interest rate swaps are valued utilizing quotes received from an outside pricing service. The pricing services or broker-dealers use multiple valuation techniques to determine fair value. In instances where sufficient market activity exists, the pricing services or broker-dealers may utilize a market-based approach through which quotes from market makers are used to determine fair value. In instances where sufficient market activity may not exist or is limited, the pricing services or

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

broker-dealers also utilize proprietary valuation models which may consider market transactions in comparable securities and the various relationships between securities in determining fair value and/or characteristics such as benchmark yield curves, option-adjusted spreads, credit spreads, estimated default rates, coupon rates, anticipated timing of principal repayments, underlying collateral, and other unique security features which are used to calculate the fair values.

Short-term debt securities with a maturity date of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. Investments in open-end mutual funds are valued at their closing net asset value.

The policies and procedures approved by the Fund's Board of Directors delegate authority to make fair value determinations to the investment advisor, subject to the oversight of the Board of Directors. The investment advisor has established a valuation committee (Valuation Committee) to administer, implement and oversee the fair valuation process according to the policies and procedures approved annually by the Board of Directors. Among other things, these procedures allow the Fund to utilize independent pricing services, quotations from securities and financial instrument dealers and other market sources to determine fair value.

Securities for which market prices are unavailable, or securities for which the investment advisor determines that the bid and/or ask price or a counterparty valuation does not reflect market value, will be valued at fair value, as determined in good faith by the Valuation Committee, pursuant to procedures approved by the Fund's Board of Directors. Circumstances in which market prices may be unavailable include, but are not limited to, when trading in a security is suspended, the exchange on which the security is traded is subject to an unscheduled close or disruption or material events occur after the close of the exchange on which the security is principally traded. In these circumstances, the Fund determines fair value in a manner that fairly reflects the market value of the security on the valuation date based on consideration of any information or factors it deems appropriate. These may include, but are not limited to, recent transactions in comparable securities, information relating to the specific security and developments in the markets.

Fair value is defined as the price that the Fund would expect to receive upon the sale of an investment or expect to pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with an independent buyer in the principal market or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the investment or liability. The hierarchy of inputs that are used in determining the fair value of the Fund's investments is summarized below.

- Level 1 guoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For movements between the levels within the fair value hierarchy, the Fund has adopted a policy of recognizing the transfer at the end of the period in which the underlying event causing the movement occurred. Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 securities as of December 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of the inputs used as of December 31, 2014 in valuing the Fund's investments carried at value:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Investments (Level 1)	Other Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Preferred Securities \$25 Par Value:			, , ,	,
Banks	\$134,853,119	\$101,215,357	\$33,637,762	\$
Electric Integrate		Ψ101,213,331	41,178,982	Ψ
Life/Health	u 11,170,002		11,170,002	
Insurance	26,843,926	12,055,270	14,788,656	
Other	, ,	, ,	, ,	
Industries	136,296,228	136,296,228		
Preferred				
Securities Capita				
Securities	670,626,664		670,626,664	
Corporate Bonds	19,591,830		19,591,830	
Short-Term	, ,		,	
Investments	11,100,000		11,100,000	
Total				
Investmentsa	\$ 1,040,490,749	\$ 249,566,855	\$ 790,923,894	\$
Interest rate				
swaps	\$ 2,092,438	\$	\$ 2,092,438	\$
Forward foreign currency exchange contracts	644,909		644,909	
Total Appreciation in Other Financial	\$ 2,737,347	\$	\$ 2,737,347	\$

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Instrumentsa				
Forward				
foreign				
currency				
exchange				
contracts	\$ (88,627)	\$ \$	(88,627)	\$
Total				
Depreciation				
in				
Other				
Financial				
Instrumentsa	\$ (88,627)	\$ \$	(88,627)	\$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Portfolio holdings are disclosed individually on the Schedule of Investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Following is a reconciliation of investments for which significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were used in determining fair value:

	Total Investments in Securities	Preferred Securities \$25 Par Value Banks	Preferred Securities Capital Securities Banks Foreign	Preferred Securities Capital Securities Insurance Life/Health Insurance Foreign
Balance as of December 31,			ŭ	ŭ
2013	\$ 21,753,317	\$ 5,983,469	\$ 10,645,368	\$ 5,124,480
Purchases	5,099,674	815,000	4,284,674	
Amortization	22,588		2,460	20,128
Change in unrealized appreciation				
(depreciation)	364,040	202,550	403,306	(241,816)
Transfers out of Level 3 <sup>a</sup>	(27,239,619)	(7,001,019)	(15,335,808)	(4,902,792)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$	\$	\$	\$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> As of December 31, 2013, the Fund used significant unobservable inputs in determining the value of certain investments. As of December 31, 2014, the Fund used significant observable inputs in determining the value of the same investments.

Security Transactions and Investment Income: Security transactions are recorded on trade date. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are recorded on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Discounts are accreted and premiums are amortized over the life of the respective securities. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date, except for certain dividends on foreign securities, which are recorded as soon as the Fund is informed after the ex-dividend date. Distributions from Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) are recorded as ordinary income, net realized capital gains or return of capital based on information reported by the REITs and management's estimates of such amounts based on historical information. These estimates are adjusted when the actual source of distributions is disclosed by the REITs and actual amounts may differ from the estimated amounts.

Foreign Currency Translation: The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Investment securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars based upon prevailing exchange rates on the date of valuation. Purchases and sales of investment securities and income and expense items denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars based upon prevailing exchange rates on the respective dates of such transactions. The Fund does not isolate that portion of the results of operations resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on investments from the fluctuations arising from changes in market prices of securities held. Such

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR fluctuations are included with the net realized and unrealized gain or loss on investments.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Net realized foreign exchange gains or losses arise from sales of foreign currencies, including gains and losses on forward foreign currency exchange contracts, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest, and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses arise from changes in the values of assets and liabilities, other than investments in securities, on the date of valuation, resulting from changes in exchange rates. Pursuant to U.S. federal income tax regulations, certain foreign currency gains/losses included in realized and unrealized gains/losses are included in or are a reduction of ordinary income for federal income tax purposes.

Foreign Securities: The Fund directly purchases securities of foreign issuers. Investing in securities of foreign issuers involves special risks not typically associated with investing in securities of U.S. issuers. The risks include possible revaluation of currencies, the ability to repatriate funds, less complete financial information about companies and possible future adverse political and economic developments. Moreover, securities of many foreign issuers and their markets may be less liquid and their prices more volatile than securities of comparable U.S. issuers.

Forward Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts: The Fund enters into forward foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge the currency exposure associated with certain of its non-U.S. dollar denominated securities. A forward foreign currency exchange contract is a commitment between two parties to purchase or sell foreign currency at a set price on a future date. The market value of a forward foreign currency exchange contract fluctuates with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These contracts are marked to market daily and the change in value is recorded by the Fund as unrealized appreciation and/or depreciation on foreign currency translations. Realized gains or losses equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed are included in net realized gain or loss on foreign currency transactions. For federal income tax purposes, the Fund has made an election to treat gains and losses from forward foreign currency exchange contracts as capital gains and losses.

Forward foreign currency exchange contracts involve elements of market risk in excess of the amounts reflected on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Fund bears the risk of an unfavorable change in the foreign exchange rate underlying the contract. Risks may also arise upon entering these contracts from the potential inability of the counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. In connection with these contracts, securities may be identified as collateral in accordance with the terms of the respective contracts.

Interest Rate Swaps: The Fund uses interest rate swaps in connection with borrowing under its credit agreement. The interest rate swaps are intended to reduce interest rate risk by countering the effect that an increase in short-term interest rates could have on the performance of the Fund's shares as a result of the floating rate structure of interest owed pursuant to the credit agreement. In these interest rate swaps, the Fund agrees to pay the other party to the interest rate swap (which is known as the counterparty) a fixed rate payment in exchange for the counterparty's agreement to pay the Fund a variable rate payment that is intended to approximate the Fund's variable rate payment obligation on

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the credit agreement. The payment obligation is based on the notional amount of the swap. Depending on the state of interest rates in general, the use of interest rate swaps could enhance or harm the overall performance of the Fund. The market value of interest rate swaps is based on pricing models that consider the time value of money, volatility, the current market and contractual prices of the underlying financial instrument. Unrealized appreciation is reported as an asset and unrealized depreciation is reported as a liability on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The change in value of swaps, including the accrual of periodic amounts of interest to be paid or received on swaps, is reported as unrealized appreciation or depreciation in the Statement of Operations. A realized gain or loss is recorded upon payment or receipt of a periodic payment or termination of a swap agreement. Swap agreements involve, to varying degrees, elements of market and counterparty risk, and exposure to loss in excess of the related amounts reflected on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Fund's maximum risk of loss from counterparty credit risk is the discounted net value of the cash flows to be received from the counterparty over the contract's remaining life, to the extent that such amount is positive.

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) required the Securities and Exchange Commission and Commodity Futures Trading Commission to mandate by regulation that certain derivatives, previously traded over-the-counter, including interest rate swaps, be executed in a regulated, transparent market and settled by means of a central clearing house. Any such changes may, among various possible effects, increase the cost of entering into derivatives transactions, require more assets of the Fund to be used for collateral in support of those derivatives than is currently the case, or could limit the Fund's ability to pursue its investment strategies.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund did not enter into any centrally cleared swap contracts.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders: Dividends from net investment income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. Dividends from net investment income, if any, are declared and paid monthly. Net realized capital gains, unless offset by any available capital loss carryforward, are typically distributed to shareholders at least annually. Dividends and distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date and are automatically reinvested in full and fractional shares of the Fund in accordance with the Fund's Reinvestment Plan, unless the shareholder has elected to have them paid in cash.

Distributions paid by the Fund are subject to recharacterization for tax purposes. Based upon the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2014, a portion of the distributions have been reclassified to distributions from realized gains.

Income Taxes: It is the policy of the Fund to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company, if such qualification is in the best interest of the shareholders, by complying with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies, and by distributing substantially all of its taxable earnings to its shareholders. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Dividend and interest income from holdings in non-U.S. securities is recorded net of non-U.S. taxes paid. Management has analyzed the Fund's tax positions taken on federal and applicable state income tax returns as well as its tax positions in non-U.S. jurisdictions in which it

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

trades for all open tax years and has concluded that as of December 31, 2014, no additional provisions for income tax are required in the Fund's financial statements. The Fund's tax positions for the tax years for which the applicable statutes of limitations have not expired are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service, state departments of revenue and by foreign tax authorities.

Note 2. Investment Advisory Fees, Administration Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates

Investment Advisory Fees: The investment advisor serves as the Fund's investment advisor pursuant to an investment advisory agreement (the investment advisory agreement). Under the terms of the investment advisory agreement, the investment advisor provides the Fund with day-to-day investment decisions and generally manages the Fund's investments in accordance with the stated policies of the Fund, subject to the supervision of the Board of Directors.

For the services provided to the Fund, the investment advisor receives a fee, accrued daily and paid monthly, at the annual rate of 0.70% of the average daily managed assets of the Fund. Managed assets are equal to the net assets of the common shares plus the amount of any borrowings, used for leverage, outstanding.

Administration Fees: The Fund has entered into an administration agreement with the investment advisor under which the investment advisor performs certain administrative functions for the Fund and receives a fee, accrued daily and paid monthly, at the annual rate of 0.05% of the average daily managed assets of the Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund incurred \$537,158 in fees under this administration agreement. Additionally, the Fund pays State Street Bank and Trust Company as co-administrator under a fund accounting and administration agreement.

Directors' and Officers' Fees: Certain directors and officers of the Fund are also directors, officers and/or employees of the investment advisor. The Fund does not pay compensation to directors and officers affiliated with the investment advisor except for the Chief Compliance Officer, who received compensation from the investment advisor, which was reimbursed by the Fund, in the amount of \$12,245 for the year ended December 31, 2014.

#### Note 3. Purchases and Sales of Securities

Purchases and sales of securities, excluding short-term investments, for the year ended December 31, 2014, totaled \$491,297,578 and \$501,851,009, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 4. Derivative Investments

The following tables present the value of derivatives held at December 31, 2014 and the effect of derivatives held during the year ended December 31, 2014, along with the respective location in the financial statements.

Statement of Assets and Liabilities					
		Assets	l	_iabilities	
Derivatives	Location	Fair Value	Location	Fair Value	
Interest rate	Unrealized		Unrealized		
swap contracts	appreciation	\$2,092,438	depreciation	\$	
Forward foreign					
currency					
exchange	Unrealized		Unrealized		
contractsa	appreciation	644,909	depreciation	88,627	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Forward foreign currency exchange contracts executed with Brown Brothers Harriman are not subject to a master netting arrangement or another similar agreement.

Statement of Operations					
Derivatives	Location	Realized	Change in Unrealized Appreciation		
	Location	Gain (Loss)	(Depreciation)		
Interest rate	–				
swap	Net Realized and				
contracts	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	\$(2,868,855)	\$ (4,455,857)		
Forward foreign					
currency					
exchange	Net Realized and				
contracts	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	4,698,460	1,279,205		
The following sur	mmarizes the volume of the Fund's	interest rate swans and forw	vard foreign currency		

The following summarizes the volume of the Fund's interest rate swaps and forward foreign currency exchange contracts activity during the year ended December 31, 2014:

	Interest Rate Swap	Forward Foreign Currency Exchange
	Contracts	Contracts
Average Notional Balance	\$283,500,000	\$ 63,342,203
Ending Notional Balance	283,500,000	36,510,630

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

At December 31, 2014, the Fund's derivative assets and liabilities (by type), which are subject to a master netting agreement, are as follows:

Derivative Financial Instruments	Assets	Liabilities	
Interest rate swap contracts	\$2,092,438	\$	

The following table presents the Fund's derivative assets by counterparty net of amounts available for offset under a master netting agreement and net of the related collateral received by the Fund, if any, as of December 31, 2014:

	Gross Amounts of Assets Presented in the Statement of Assets	Financial Instruments and Derivatives Available	Collateral	Net Amount of Derivative
Counterparty	and Liabilities	for Offset	Received	Assets <sup>a</sup>
Bank of				
America	\$ 1,284,443	\$	\$	\$ 1,284,443
BNP Paribas	807,995			807,995
Total	\$ 2,092,438	\$	\$	\$ 2,092,438

For the Year Ended

#### Note 5. Income Tax Information

The tax character of dividends and distributions paid was as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Ordinary income	\$49,308,005	\$53,915,214
Long-term capital gains	13,312,015	
Return of capital		1,175,592
Total dividends and distributions	\$62,620,020	\$55,090,806
	31	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Net amount represents the net receivable from the counterparty in the event of default.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2014, the tax-basis components of accumulated earnings and the federal tax cost were as follows:

Cost for federal income tax purposes	\$991,077,008
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 54,064,080
Gross unrealized depreciation	(4,650,339)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 49,413,741
Undistributed long-term capital gains	\$ 4,427,836

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund utilized net capital loss carryforwards of \$699,475.

As of December 31, 2014, the Fund had temporary book/tax differences primarily attributable to wash sales on portfolio securities and certain fixed income securities and permanent book/tax differences primarily attributable to foreign currency transactions, differing treatment of interest rate swaps and certain fixed income securities. To reflect reclassifications arising from the permanent differences, paid-in capital was credited \$3,035,434, accumulated undistributed net realized gain was charged \$58,695 and accumulated undistributed net investment income was charged \$2,976,739. Net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

# Note 6. Capital Stock

The Fund is authorized to issue 250 million shares of common stock at a par value of \$0.001 per share.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund did not issue shares of common stock for the reinvestment of dividends. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Fund issued 19,422 shares of common stock for the reinvestment of dividends in an amount of \$510,378.

On December 9, 2014, the Board of Directors approved the continuation of the delegation of its authority to management to effect repurchases, pursuant to management's discretion and subject to market conditions and investment considerations, of up to 10% of the Fund's common shares outstanding (Share Repurchase Program) as of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund did not effect any repurchases. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Fund repurchased 135,026 Treasury shares of its common stock at an average price of \$22.29 per share (including brokerage commissions) at a weighted average discount of 10.9%. These repurchases, which had a total cost of \$3,010,401 resulted in an increase of \$0.01 to the Fund's net asset value per share.

#### Note 7. Borrowings

From September 19, 2012 to November 21, 2014, the Fund was party to a \$315,000,000 revolving credit agreement (the BOA credit agreement) with Bank of America, N.A. London Branch (BoA). The Fund paid a monthly financing charge which was calculated based on the used portion of the BOA credit

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

agreement and a LIBOR-based rate. The Fund also paid a fee of 0.25% per annum on the unused portion of the BOA credit agreement. The BOA credit agreement had a 364-day rolling term that reset daily; however, if the Fund exceeded certain net asset value triggers or violated certain other conditions, the BOA credit agreement may have been terminated. The Fund was required to pledge portfolio securities as collateral in an amount up to two times the loan balance outstanding and had granted a security interest in the securities pledged to, and in favor of, BoA as security for the loan balance outstanding. If the Fund failed to meet certain requirements, or maintain other financial covenants required under the BOA credit agreement, the Fund may have been required to repay immediately, in part or in full, the loan balance outstanding under the BOA credit agreement, necessitating the sale of portfolio securities at potentially inopportune times. The BOA credit agreement also included a term that permitted the Fund to request, upon notice, that the facility convert to a combination of LIBOR-based variable and fixed rate financing, subject to certain conditions. The Fund's credit agreement with BoA terminated on November 21, 2014.

On the same day, November, 21, 2014, the Fund entered into a \$315,000,000 revolving credit agreement (the SSB credit agreement) with State Street Bank and Trust Company (State Street). The Fund pays a monthly financing charge which is calculated based on the used portion of the SSB credit agreement and a LIBOR-based rate. The Fund also pays a fee of 0.20% per annum on the unused portion of the SSB credit agreement. The SSB credit agreement has a 360-day evergreen provision whereby State Street may terminate this agreement upon 360 days' notice, but the Fund may terminate on 30 days' notice to State Street; however, if the Fund exceeds certain net asset value triggers or violates certain other conditions, the SSB credit agreement may be terminated. If the Fund fails to meet certain requirements, or maintain other financial covenants required under the SSB credit agreement, the Fund may be required to repay immediately, in part or in full, the loan balance outstanding under the SSB credit agreement, necessitating the sale of portfolio securities at potentially inopportune times. On the same day that the Fund entered into the SSB credit agreement with State Street, the Fund paid off in its entirety the prior credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. London Branch.

As of December 31, 2014, the Fund had outstanding borrowings of \$315,000,000. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Fund borrowed an average daily balance of \$315,000,000 at a weighted average borrowing cost of 1.0%.

#### Note 8. Other

In the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that provide general indemnifications. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is dependent on claims that may be made against the Fund in the future and, therefore, cannot be estimated; however, based on experience, the risk of material loss from such claims is considered remote.

# Note 9. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated events and transactions occurring after December 31, 2014 through the date that the financial statements were issued, and has determined that no additional disclosure in the financial statements is required.

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Cohen & Steers Limited Duration Preferred and Income Fund, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the schedule of investments, and the related statements of operations, of changes in net assets and of cash flows and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cohen & Steers Limited Duration Preferred and Income Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") at December 31, 2014, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended and the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the periods presented, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as "financial statements") are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at December 31, 2014 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers. provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP New York, New York February 25, 2015

# **AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURNS**

(Periods ended December 31, 2014) (Unaudited)

Based on Net Asset Value		Based on Market Value		
	Since Inception		Since Inception	
One Year	(7/27/12)	One Year	(7/27/12)	
12.13%	11.67%	9.57%	4.04%	

The performance data quoted represent past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. The investment return will vary and the principal value of an investment will fluctuate and shares, if sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage from utilization of borrowings under a credit agreement. Current total returns of the Fund can be obtained by visiting our website at cohenandsteers.com. The Fund's returns assume the reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan.

#### TAX INFORMATION 2014 (Unaudited)

Pursuant to the Jobs and Growth Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, the Fund designates qualified dividend income of \$42,770,481. Additionally, 48.46% of the ordinary dividends qualified for the dividends received deduction available to corporations. Also, the Fund designates a long-term capital gain distribution of \$13,312,015 at the 20% maximum rate.

#### **REINVESTMENT PLAN**

The Fund has a dividend reinvestment plan commonly referred to as an "opt-out" plan (the Plan). Each common shareholder who participates in the Plan will have all distributions of dividends and capital gains (Dividends) automatically reinvested in additional common shares by Computershare as agent (the Plan Agent). Shareholders who elect not to participate in the Plan will receive all Dividends in cash paid by check mailed directly to the shareholder of record (or if the shares are held in street or other nominee name, then to the nominee) by the Plan Agent, as dividend disbursing agent. Shareholders whose common shares are held in the name of a broker or nominee should contact the broker or nominee to determine whether and how they may participate in the Plan.

The Plan Agent serves as agent for the shareholders in administering the Plan. After the Fund declares a Dividend, the Plan Agent will, as agent for the shareholders, either: (i) receive the cash payment and use it to buy common shares in the open market, on the NYSE or elsewhere, for the participants' accounts or (ii) distribute newly issued common shares of the Fund on behalf of the participants.

The Plan Agent will receive cash from the Fund with which to buy common shares in the open market if, on the Dividend payment date, the net asset value (NAV) per share exceeds the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions on that date. The Plan Agent will receive the Dividend in newly issued common shares of the Fund if, on the Dividend payment date, the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions equals or exceeds the NAV per share of the Fund on that date. The number of shares to be issued will be computed at a per share rate equal to the greater of (i) the NAV or (ii) 95% of the closing market price per share on the payment date.

If the market price per share is less than the NAV on a Dividend payment date, the Plan Agent will have until the last business day before the next ex-dividend date for the common stock, but in no event more than 30 days after the Dividend payment date (as the case may be, the Purchase Period), to invest the Dividend amount in shares acquired in open market purchases. If at the close of business on any day during the Purchase Period on which NAV is calculated the NAV equals or is less than the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions, the Plan Agent will cease making open market purchases and the uninvested portion of such Dividends shall be filled through the issuance of new shares of common stock from the Fund at the price set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.

Participants in the Plan may withdraw from the Plan upon notice to the Plan Agent. Such withdrawal will be effective immediately if received not less than ten days prior to a Dividend record date; otherwise, it will be effective for all subsequent Dividends. If any participant elects to have the Plan Agent sell all or part of his or her shares and remit the proceeds, the Plan Agent is authorized to deduct a \$15.00 fee plus \$0.10 per share brokerage commissions.

The Plan Agent's fees for the handling of reinvestment of Dividends will be paid by the Fund. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of Dividends. The automatic reinvestment of Dividends will not relieve participants of any income tax that may be payable or required to be withheld on such Dividends.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan. All correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at 800-432-8224.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348, (ii) on our website at cohenandsteers.com or (iii) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's (the SEC) website at http://www.sec.gov. In addition, the Fund's proxy voting record for the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available by August 31 of each year (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348 or (ii) on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov.

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available (i) without charge, upon request, by calling 800-330-7348 or (ii) on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov. In addition, the Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 800-SEC-0330.

Please note that distributions paid by the Fund to shareholders are subject to recharacterization for tax purposes and are taxable up to the amount of the Fund's investment company taxable income and net realized gains. Distributions in excess of the Fund's net investment company taxable income and realized gains are a return of capital distributed from the Fund's assets. To the extent this occurs, the Fund's shareholders of record will be notified of the estimated amount of capital returned to shareholders for each such distribution and this information will also be available at cohenandsteers.com. The final tax treatment of all distributions is reported to shareholders on their 1099-DIV forms, which are mailed after the close of each calendar year. Distributions of capital decrease the Fund's total assets

and, therefore, could have the effect of increasing the Fund's expense ratio. In addition, in order to make these distributions, the Fund may have to sell portfolio securities at a less than opportune time.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act that the Fund may purchase, from time to time, shares of its common stock in the open market.

#### Election of Additional Director

Effective January 26, 2015, the Board of Directors has elected Dean Junkans as director of the Fund to serve until the annual meeting of stockholders in 2017 and until he or his successor is duly elected and qualified.

Prior to becoming a Director of various Cohen & Steers funds, Mr. Junkans was Chief Investment Officer at Wells Fargo Private Bank from 2004 to 2014, and also served as Chief Investment Officer of the Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement group at Wells Fargo & Company from 2011 to 2014. He is currently a member, and former Chair, of the Claritas Advisory Committee at the CFA Institute, and is also a board member and Investment Committee member of Bethel University Foundation. He was a member of the Board of Governors of the University of Wisconsin Foundation, River Falls, from 1996 to 2004, and is a U.S. Army Veteran.

# MANAGEMENT OF THE FUND

The business and affairs of the Fund are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors approves all significant agreements between the Fund and persons or companies furnishing services to it, including the Fund's agreements with its investment advisor, administrator, co-administrator, custodian and transfer agent. The management of the Fund's day-to-day operations is delegated to its officers, the investment advisor, administrator and co-administrator, subject always to the investment objective and policies of the Fund and to the general supervision of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors and officers of the Fund and their principal occupations during at least the past five years are set forth below. The statement of additional information (SAI) includes additional information about fund directors and is available, without charge, upon request by calling 800-330-7348.

				Number of	
				Funds	
				Within	
				Fund	
				Complex	
			Principal Occupation	Overseen	
	Position(s)		During At Least	by	Length
Address <sup>1</sup>	Held		The Past 5 Years	Director	of
and	With	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	Time
Age	Fund	Office <sup>2</sup>	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	Served <sup>3</sup>
Interested	d Directors <sup>4</sup>				
Robert	Director	Until	Chief Executive Officer of Cohen & Steers Capital	21	Since
H.	and	next	Management, Inc. (CSCM or the Advisor) and its		1991
Steers	Chairman	election	parent, Cohen & Steers, Inc. (CNS) since 2014.		
Age: 61		of	Prior to that, Co-Chairman and Co-Chief		
		directors	Executive Officer of the Advisor since 2003 and		
			CNS since 2004.		
Joseph	Director	Until	President and Chief Investment Officer of CSCM	16	Since
M.	and	next	since 2003 and President of CNS since 2004.		2014
Harvey	Vice	election			
Age: 51	President	of			
		directors			
Disinteres	sted Directo	ors			
Michael	Director	Until	From May 2006 to June 2011, President and Chief	21	Since
G.		next	Executive Officer of DWS Funds and Managing		2011
Clark		election	Director of Deutsche Asset Management.		
Age: 49		of			
		directors			
(table co	ontinued on	next page)			
•		/			

(table continued from previous page)

		Principal Occupation	Number of Funds Within Fund Complex Overseen	
Positio	n(s)	During At Least		Length
Name, Hel	` '	The Past 5 Years	Director	of
Address <sup>1</sup> Wit		(Including Other	(Including	•
and Age Fun		Directorships Held)	the Fund)S	
Bonnie Direct		Consultant. Board Member, DC Public Library Foundation	21	Since
Cohen		since 2012, President since 2014; Board Member,		2001
Age: 72	directors	Telluride Mountain Film Festival since 2010; Trustee, H.		
3011		Rubenstein Foundation since 1996; Trustee, District of		
		Columbia Public Libraries since 2004.		
George Direct	or Until next	Attorney-at-law.	21	Since
Grossman	election of	•		1993
Age: 61	directors			
Richard Direct		Member of Investment Committee, Monmouth University	21	Since
E. Kroon		since 2004; Former Director, Retired Chairman and		2004
Age: 72	directors	Managing Partner of Sprout Group venture capital funds,		
Ü		then an affiliate of Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette		
		Securities Corporation from 1981 to 2001. Former		
		chairman of the National Venture Capital Association for		
		the year 2000.		
Richard Direct	or Until next	Private Investor. Member, Montgomery County, Maryland	21	Since
J.		Department of Corrections Volunteer Corps since		2001
Norman	directors	February 2010; Liason for Business Leadership,		
Age: 71		Salvation Army World Service Organization (SAWSO)		
•		since 2010; Advisory Board Member, The Salvation Army		
		since 1985; Prior thereto, Investment Representative of		
		Morgan Stanley Dean Witter from 1966 to 2000.		
(table continue	ed on next page	9)		

(table continued from previous page)

				Number of	f
				Funds	
				Within	
				Fund	
				Complex	
			Principal Occupation	Overseen	
	Position(s)	)	During At Least	by	Length
Name,	Held		The Past 5 Years	Director	of
Address <sup>1</sup>	With	Term of	(Including Other	(Including	Time
and Age	Fund	Office <sup>2</sup>	Directorships Held)	the Fund)	
Frank K.	Director	Until next	Visiting Professor of Accounting and Director of the	21 ^	Since
Ross		election of	Center for Accounting Education at Howard University		2004
Age: 71		directors	School of Business since 2004; Board member and		
			member of Audit Committee (Chairman from 2007 to		
			2012) and Human Resources and Compensation		
			Committee, Pepco Holdings, Inc. (electric utility) from		
			2004 to 2014; Formerly, Mid-Atlantic Area Managing		
			Partner for Assurance Services at KPMG LLP and		
			Managing Partner of its Washington, DC offices from		
			1977 to 2003.		
C.	Director	Until next	Member of The Board of Trustees of Manhattan College,	21	Since
Edward		election of	Riverdale, New York from 2004 to 2014. Formerly		2004
Ward Jr.		directors	Director of closed-end fund management for the New		
Age: 68			York Stock Exchange, where he worked from 1979 to		
			2004.		
1 Thood	droop for a	ach directo	er in 200 Bark Avanua Now York NV 10017		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The address for each director is 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.

NI. ..aala a.u. a.f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On March 12, 2008, the Board of Directors adopted a mandatory retirement policy stating a Director must retire from the Board on December 31st of the year in which he or she turns 75 years of age.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The length of time served represents the year in which the director was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Cohen & Steers fund complex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Interested person", as defined in the 1940 Act, of the Fund because of affiliation with CSCM (Interested Directors).

The officers of the Fund (other than Messrs. Steers and Harvey, whose biographies are provided above), their address, their ages and their principal occupations for at least the past five years are set forth below.

			Length
Name, Address	Position(s) Held		of Time
and Age <sup>1</sup>	With Fund		Served <sup>2</sup>
Adam M. Derechin	President and Chief Executive	Chief Operating Officer of CSCM (since 2003) and CNS since 2004.	Since 2005
Age: 50	Officer		2003
William F.	Vice President	Executive Vice President of CSCM since January 2014. Prior to that	Since
Scapell		Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2003.	2003
Age: 46			
Francis C.	Secretary	Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel of CSCM	Since
Poli		and CNS since March 2007.	2007
Age: 52	Traceruser	Eventive Vice President of CCCM since January 2014 and prior to that	Cinas
James Giallanza	Treasurer and Chief Financial	Executive Vice President of CSCM since January 2014 and prior to that Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2006.	2006
Age: 48	Officer	Genior vice i resident of Goody since 2000.	2000
Lisa D.	Chief	Senior Vice President of CSCM since 2008. Chief Compliance Officer	Since
Phelan	Compliance	of CSCM, the Cohen & Steers funds, Cohen & Steers Asia Limited and	2006
Age: 46	Officer	CSSL since 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.	
Heather	Deputy Chief	Vice President of CSCM since 2010 and Compliance Officer of Cohen	Since
Kaden	Compliance	& Steers UK, Limited since 2013. Prior to that, Senior Compliance	2014
Age: 39	Officer	Associate since 2007.	0:
Tina M.	Assistant	Senior Vice President and Associate General Counsel of CSCM. Prior	Since
Payne Age: 40	Secretary	to that Vice President and Associate General Counsel since July 2007.	2007
Neil Bloom	Assistant	Vice President of CSCM since August 2008.	Since
Age: 44	Treasurer	Tios Freedom of occur of our of hagain 2000.	2009
•		- 1 - 000 Dade Assaura Nave Vade NV 40047	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The address of each officer is 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Officers serve one-year terms. The length of time served represents the year in which the officer was first elected to that position in any fund in the Cohen & Steers fund complex. All of the officers listed above are officers of one or more of the other funds in the complex.

# Cohen & Steers Privacy Policy

Facts Why?	What Does Cohen & Steers Do With Your Personal Information? Financial companies choose how they share your personal information. Federal law gives consumers the right to limit some but not all sharing. Federal law also requires us to tell you how we collect, share, and protect your personal information. Please read this notice carefully to understand what we do.
What?	The types of personal information we collect and share depend on the product or service you have with us. This information can include:  • Social Security number and account balances  • Transaction history and account transactions  • Purchase history and wire transfer instructions
How?	All financial companies need to share customers' personal information to run their everyday business. In the section below, we list the reasons financial companies can share their customers' personal information; the reasons Cohen & Steers chooses to share; and whether you can limit this sharing.

Reasons we can share your personal information	Does Cohen & Steers share?	Can you limit this sharing?
For our everyday business purposes such as to process your transactions, maintain your account(s), respond to court orders and legal investigations, or reports to credit bureaus	Yes	No
For our marketing purposes	Yes	No
to offer our products and services to you		
For joint marketing with other financial companies	No	We don't share
For our affiliates' everyday business purposes	No	We don't
information about your transactions and experiences		share
For our affiliates' everyday business purposes information about your creditworthiness	No	We don't share
For our affiliates to market to you	No	We don't share
For non-affiliates to market to you	No	We don't share
Questions? Call 800-330-7348		Silaic

Cohen & Steers Privacy Policy (Continued)

Who we are

Who is providing this

notice?

Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc., Cohen & Steers Asia Limited. Cohen & Steers UK Limited, Cohen & Steers Securities, LLC, Cohen & Steers Private Funds and Cohen & Steers Open- and Closed-End Funds (collectively, Cohen & Steers).

What we do

protect my personal

information?

How does Cohen & Steers To protect your personal information from unauthorized access and use, we use security measures that comply with federal law. These measures include computer safeguards and secured files and buildings. We restrict access to your information to those employees who need it to perform their jobs, and also require companies that provide services on our behalf to protect your

information.

collect my personal

information?

How does Cohen & Steers We collect your personal information, for example, when you:

• Open an account or buy securities from us

• Provide account information or give us your contact information

Make deposits or withdrawals from your account

We also collect your personal information from other companies.

Why can't I limit all

sharing?

Federal law gives you the right to limit only:

• sharing for affiliates' everyday business purposes information about your

creditworthiness

• affiliates from using your information to market to you

• sharing for non-affiliates to market to you

State law and individual companies may give you additional rights to limit

sharing.

**Definitions** 

**Affiliates** 

Companies related by common ownership or control. They can be financial

and nonfinancial companies.

Cohen & Steers does not share with affiliates.

Non-affiliates

Companies not related by common ownership or control. They can be financial

and nonfinancial companies.

Cohen & Steers does not share with non-affiliates.

Joint marketing

A formal agreement between non-affiliated financial companies that together

market financial products or services to you. · Cohen & Steers does not jointly market.

43

#### Cohen & Steers Investment Solutions

#### **COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL REALTY SHARES**

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in global real estate equity securities
- Symbols: CSFAX, CSFBX\*, CSFCX, CSSPX, GRSRX, CSFZX

#### **COHEN & STEERS INSTITUTIONAL REALTY SHARES**

- Designed for institutional investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities
- Symbol: CSRIX

#### **COHEN & STEERS REAL ESTATE SECURITIES FUND**

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities
- Symbols: CSEIX, CSBIX\*, CSCIX, CSDIX, CIRRX, CSZIX

# **COHEN & STEERS INTERNATIONAL REALTY FUND**

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in international real estate securities
- Symbols: IRFAX, IRFCX, IRFIX

# **COHEN & STEERS REALTY SHARES**

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in U.S. real estate securities
- Symbol: CSRSX

# COHEN & STEERS INSTITUTIONAL GLOBAL REALTY SHARES

- Designed for institutional investors seeking total return, investing primarily in global real estate securities
- Symbol: GRSIX

#### **COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND**

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in global infrastructure securities
- Symbols: CSUAX, CSUBX\*, CSUCX, CSUIX, CSURX, CSUZX

# **COHEN & STEERS DIVIDEND VALUE FUND**

Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

- Designed for investors seeking long-term growth of income and capital appreciation, investing primarily in dividend paying common stocks and preferred stocks
  - Symbols: DVFAX, DVFCX, DVFIX, DVFRX, DVFZX

# COHEN & STEERS PREFERRED SECURITIES AND INCOME FUND

- Designed for investors seeking total return (high current income and capital appreciation), investing primarily in preferred and debt securities
  - Symbols: CPXAX, CPXCX, CPXIX, CPRRX, CPXZX

#### **COHEN & STEERS REAL ASSETS FUND**

- Designed for investors seeking total return and the maximization of real returns during inflationary environments by investing primarily in real assets
  - Symbols: RAPAX, RAPCX, RAPIX, RAPRX, RAPZX

# COHEN & STEERS MLP & ENERGY OPPORTUNITY FUND

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in midstream energy master limited partnership (MLP) units and related stocks
  - Symbols: MLOAX, MLOCX, MLOIX, MLORX, MLOZX

# COHEN & STEERS ACTIVE COMMODITIES STRATEGY FUND

- Designed for investors seeking total return, investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of exchange-traded commodity future contracts and other commodity-related derivative instruments
  - Symbols: CDFAX, CDFCX, CDFIX, CDFRX, CDFZX

Distributed by Cohen & Steers Securities, LLC.

#### **COHEN & STEERS GLOBAL REALTY MAJORS ETF**

- Designed for investors who seek a relatively low-cost passive approach for investing in a portfolio of real estate equity securities of companies in a specified index
  - · Symbol: GRI

Distributed by ALPS Distributors, Inc.

# ISHARES COHEN & STEERS REALTY MAJORS INDEX FUND

# Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

- Designed for investors who seek a relatively low-cost passive approach for investing in a portfolio of real estate equity securities of companies in a specified index
  - · Symbol: ICF

Distributed by SEI Investments Distribution Co.

\* Class B shares are no longer offered except through dividend reinvestment and permitted exchanges by existing Class B shareholders.

Please consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of the fund carefully before investing. A summary prospectus and prospectus containing this and other information can be obtained by calling 800-330-7348 or by visiting cohenandsteers.com. Please read the summary prospectus and prospectus carefully before investing.

# **OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS**

Robert H. Steers Director and Chairman

Joseph M. Harvey Director and Vice President

Michael G. Clark Director

Bonnie Cohen Director

George Grossman Director

Richard E. Kroon Director

Richard J. Norman Director

Frank K. Ross Director

C. Edward Ward, Jr. Director

Adam M. Derechin
President and Chief Executive Officer

William F. Scapell Vice President

Francis C. Poli Secretary

James Giallanza
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Lisa D. Phelan Chief Compliance Officer

Heather Kaden Deputy Chief Compliance Officer Edgar Filing: Cohen & Steers Ltd Duration Preferred & Income Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Tina M. Payne Assistant Secretary

Neil Bloom Assistant Treasurer

**KEY INFORMATION** 

**Investment Advisor** 

Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 832-3232

Co-administrator and Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111

**Transfer Agent** 

Computershare 480 Washington Boulevard Jersey City, NJ 07310 (866) 227-0757

Legal Counsel

Ropes & Gray LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: LDP

Website: cohenandsteers.com

This report is for shareholder information. This is not a prospectus intended for use in the purchase or sale of Fund shares. Performance data quoted represent past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results and your investment may be worth more or less at the time you sell your shares.

**COHEN & STEERS** 

LIMITED DURATION PREFERRED AND INCOME FUND

280 PARK AVENUE

NEW YORK, NY 10017

## **eDelivery NOW AVAILABLE**

Stop traditional mail delivery; receive your shareholder reports and prospectus online.

Sign up at cohenandsteers.com

**LDPAR** 

Annual Report December 31, 2014

Cohen & Steers Limited Duration Preferred and Income Fund

#### Item 2. Code of Ethics.

The Registrant has adopted an Amended and Restated Code of Ethics that applies to its Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer. The Code of Ethics was in effect during the reporting period. The Registrant has not amended the Code of Ethics as described in Form N-CSR during the reporting period. The Registrant has not granted any waiver, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Ethics as described in Form N-CSR during the reporting period. A current copy of the Code of Ethics is available on the Registrant s website at www.cohenandsteers.com/assets/content/uploads/code\_of\_ethics\_exec\_and\_senior.pdf. Upon request, a copy of the Code of Ethics can be obtained free of charge by calling 800-330-7348 or writing to the Secretary of the Registrant, 280 Park Avenue, 10th floor, New York, NY 10017.

#### Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

The registrant s board has determined that Michael G. Clark and Frank K. Ross, each a member of the board s Audit Committee, are each an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Clark and Mr. Ross are each independent, as such term is defined in Form N-CSR.

#### Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a) (d) Aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the registrant s principal accountant were as follows:

	:	2014	2013
Audit Fees	\$	47,700	\$ 46,350
Audit-Related Fees	\$	0	\$ 0
Tax Fees	\$	6,600	\$ 6,400
All Other Fees	\$	0	\$ 0

Tax fees were billed in connection with the preparation of tax returns, calculation and designation of dividends and other miscellaneous tax services.

(e)(1) The registrant s audit committee is required to pre-approve audit and non-audit services performed for the registrant by the principal accountant. The audit committee also is required to pre-approve non-audit services performed by the registrant s principal accountant for the registrant s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and/or to any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the registrant s investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant, if the engagement for services relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant.

The audit committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members who are independent members of the board of directors of the registrant. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. The audit committee may not delegate its responsibility to pre-

approve services to be	e performed by the regis	strant s principal ac	countant to th	ne investme	nt advisor.	
(e)(2) No se Regulation S-X.	ervices included in (b)	(d) above were app	proved by the	audit comn	nittee pursuant to paragr	aphs (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of
(f)	Not applicable.					
principal accountant f (not including any sub	or non-audit services re p-advisor whose role is entity controlling, cont	ndered to the registr primarily portfolio r	rant and for n	on-audit ser and is subco	ontracted with or oversee	illed by the registrant s gistrant s investment advisor on by another investment advisor that provides ongoing
		2014		2013		
Registrant Investment Advisor		\$ \$	6,600 \$ 15,000 \$		6,400 15,000	
investment advisor (n another investment ad provides ongoing serv	ot including any sub-ad lvisor) and/or to any ent	visor whose role is partity controlling, controlling, controlling at were not required	primarily por trolled by or u to be pre-app	tfolio mana under comm proved purs	gement and is subcontraction control with the regis	t were rendered to the registrant s cted with or overseen by strant s investment advisor that (ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation
Item 5. Audit Comm	ittee of Listed Registr	ants.				
					ance with Section 3(a)(5 ichael G. Clark, Bonnie	8)(A) of the Securities Cohen, George Grossman and
Item 6. Schedule of I	investments.					
Included in Item 1 abo	ove.					

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

The registrant has delegated voting of proxies in respect of portfolio holdings to Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc., in accordance with the policies and procedures set forth below.

#### COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

#### STATEMENT OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REGARDING THE VOTING OF SECURITIES

This statement sets forth the policies and procedures that Cohen & Steers, Inc. and its affiliated advisors ( Cohen & Steers , we or us ) follow in exercising voting rights with respect to securities held in its client portfolios. All proxy-voting rights that are exercised by Cohen & Steers shall be subject to this Statement of Policy and Procedures.

A. General Proxy Voting Guidelines
Objectives
Voting rights are an important component of corporate governance. Cohen & Steers has three overall objectives in exercising voting rights:
• Responsibility. Cohen & Steers shall seek to ensure that there is an effective means in place to hold companies accountable for their actions. While management must be accountable to its board, the board must be accountable to a company s shareholders. Although accountability can be promoted in a variety of ways, protecting shareholder voting rights may be among our most important tools.
• Rationalizing Management and Shareholder Concerns. Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that the interests of a company s management and board are aligned with those of the company s shareholders. In this respect, compensation must be structured to reward the creation of shareholder value.
• <u>Shareholder Communication</u> . Since companies are owned by their shareholders, Cohen & Steers seeks to ensure that management effectively communicates with its owners about the company s business operations and financial performance. It is only with effective communication that shareholders will be able to assess the performance of management and to make informed decisions on when to buy, sell or hold a company s securities.
General Principles
In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers shall conduct itself in accordance with the general principles set forth below.

The ability to exercise a voting right with respect to a security is a valuable right and, therefore, must be viewed as part of the asset

itself.

In exercising voting rights, Cohen & Steers shall engage in a careful evaluation of issues that may materially affect the rights of rs and the value of the security.

• and diliger	Consistent with general fiduciary principles, the exercise of voting rights shall always be conducted with reasonable care, prudence nce.
• constructi	In exercising voting rights on behalf of clients, Cohen & Steers shall conduct itself in the same manner as if Cohen & Steers were the we owner of the securities.
•	To the extent reasonably possible, Cohen & Steers shall participate in each shareholder voting opportunity.
•	Voting rights shall not automatically be exercised in favor of management-supported proposals.
• decision.	Cohen & Steers, and its officers and employees, shall never accept any item of value in consideration of a favorable proxy voting
General (	Guidelines
Set forth b	below are general guidelines that Cohen & Steers shall follow in exercising proxy voting rights:
	<u>Prudence</u> . In making a proxy voting decision, Cohen & Steers shall give appropriate consideration to all relevant facts and nees, including the value of the securities to be voted and the likely effect any vote may have on that value. Since voting rights must ed on the basis of an informed judgment, investigation shall be a critical initial step.
	Third Party Views. While Cohen & Steers may consider the views of third parties, Cohen & Steers shall never base a proxy voting olely on the opinion of a third party. Rather, decisions shall be based on a reasonable and good faith determination as to how best to shareholder value.
how a pro- company	Shareholder Value. Just as the decision whether to purchase or sell a security is a matter of judgment, determining whether a specific olution will increase the market value of a security is a matter of judgment as to which informed parties may differ. In determining xy vote may affect the economic value of a security, Cohen & Steers shall consider both short-term and long-term views about a s business and prospects, especially in light of our projected holding period on the stock (e.g., Cohen & Steers may discount long-term a short-term holding).

**Specific Guidelines** 

#### **Uncontested Director Elections**

Votes on director nominees should be made on a case-by-case basis using a mosaic approach, where all factors are considered in director elections and where no single issue is deemed to be determinative. For example, a nominee s experience and business judgment may be critical to

	erm success of the portfolio company, notwithstanding the fact that he or she may serve on the board of more than four public s. In evaluating nominees, we consider the following factors:
•	Whether the nominee attended less than 75 percent of the board and committee meetings without a valid excuse for the absences;
•	Whether the nominee is an inside or affiliated outside director and sits on the audit, compensation, or nominating committees;
•	Whether the board ignored a significant shareholder proposal that was approved by a majority of the votes cast in the previous year
• adopted a	Whether the board, without shareholder approval, to our knowledge instituted a new poison pill plan, extended an existing plan, or new plan upon the expiration of an existing plan during the past year;
• committee	Whether the nominee is an inside or affiliated outside director and the full board serves as the audit, compensation, or nominating or the company does not have one of these committees;
•	Whether the nominee is an insider or affiliated outsider on boards that are not at least majority independent;
•	Whether the nominee is the CEO of a publicly-traded company who serves on more than two public boards;
•	Whether the nominee is the chairperson of a publicly-traded company who serves on more than two public boards;
•	Whether the nominee serves on more than four public company boards;
• Sarbanes (	Whether the nominee serves on the audit committee where there is evidence (such as audit reports or reports mandated under the Oxley Act) that there exists material weaknesses in the company s internal controls;
• or options	Whether the nominee serves on the compensation committee if that director was present at the time of the grant of backdated option the pricing or the timing of which we believe may have been manipulated to provide additional benefits to executives;

• portfolio c	Whether the nominee has a material related party transaction or is believed by us to have a material conflict of interest with the ompany;
•	Whether the nominee (or the overall board) in our view has a record of making poor

corporate or strategic decisions or has demonstrated an overall lack of good business judgment, including, among other things, whether the company s total shareholder return is in the bottom 25% of its peer group over the prior five years;
• Material failures of governance, stewardship, risk oversight(1), or fiduciary responsibilities at the company;
• Failure to replace management as appropriate; and
• Egregious actions related to a director s service on other boards that raise substantial doubt about his or her ability to effectively oversee management and serve the best interests of shareholders at any company.
Proxy Access
We recognize the importance of shareholder access to the ballot process as a means to ensure that boards do not become self-perpetuating and self-serving. However, we are also aware that some proposals may promote certain interest groups and could be disruptive to the nomination process. We will generally vote against proxy access except in instances where companies have displayed a lack of shareholder accountability and where the proposal is specifically defined ( <i>i.e.</i> minimum ownership threshold, duration, etc.).
(1) Examples of failure of risk oversight include, but are not limited to: bribery; large or serial fines from regulatory bodies; significant adverse legal judgments or settlements; hedging of company stock by the employees or directors of a company; or significant pledging of company stock in the aggregate by the officers and directors of a company.
Proxy Contests
Director Nominees in a Contested Election
By definition, this type of board candidate or slate runs for the purpose of seeking a significant change in corporate policy or control. Therefore the economic impact of the vote in favor of or in opposition to that director or slate must be analyzed using a higher standard such as is normally applied to changes in control. Criteria for evaluating director nominees as a group or individually should also include: the underlying reason why the new slate (or individual director) is being proposed; performance; compensation; corporate governance provisions and takeover activity criminal activity; attendance at meetings; investment in the company; interlocking directorships; inside, outside and independent directors; number of other board seats; and other experience. It is impossible to have a general policy regarding director nominees in a contested election.

Reimbursement of Proxy Solicitation Expenses

Decisions to provide full reimbursement for dissidents waging a proxy contest should be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### Ratification of Auditors

We vote for proposals to ratify auditors, unless an auditor has a financial interest in or association with the company, and is therefore not independent; or there is reason to believe that the independent auditor has rendered an opinion that is neither accurate nor indicative of the company s financial position.

Generally, we vote against auditor ratification and withhold votes from audit committee members if non-audit fees exceed audit fees.

We generally vote against auditor ratification if the fees paid to the audit firm are not disclosed by the company in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

We vote on a case-by-case basis on auditor rotation proposals. Criteria for evaluating the rotation proposal include, but are not limited to: tenure of the audit firm; establishment and disclosure of a renewal process whereby the auditor is regularly evaluated for both audit quality and competitive price; length of the rotation period advocated in the proposal; and any significant audit related issues.

Generally, we vote against auditor indemnification and limitation of liability; however we recognize there may be situations where indemnification and limitations on liability may be appropriate.

#### Takeover Defenses

While we recognize that a takeover attempt can be a significant distraction for the board and management to deal with, the simple fact is that the possibility of a corporate takeover keeps management focused on maximizing shareholder value. As a result, Cohen & Steers opposes measures that are designed to prevent or obstruct corporate takeovers because they can entrench current management. The following are our guidelines on change of control issues:

Shareholder Rights Plans

We acknowledge that there are arguments for and against shareholder rights plans, also known as poison pills. Companies should put their case for rights plans to shareholders.

We review on a case-by-case basis management proposals to ratify a poison pill. We generally look for shareholder friendly features including a two- to three-year sunset provision, a permitted bid provision and a 20 percent or higher flip-in provision.

# Greenmail We vote for proposals to adopt anti-greenmail charter or bylaw amendments or otherwise restrict a company s ability to make greenmail payments. Unequal Voting Rights Generally, we vote against dual-class recapitalizations as they offer an effective way for a firm to thwart hostile takeovers by concentrating

voting power in the hands of management or other insiders.

Classified Boards
We generally vote in favor of shareholder proposals to declassify a board of directors, although we acknowledge that a classified board may be in the long-term best interests of the shareholders of a company in certain situations, such as continuity of a strong board and management team or for certain types of companies. In voting on shareholder proposals to declassify a board of directors, we evaluate all facts and circumstances surrounding such proposal, including whether: (i) the current management and board have a track record of making good corporate or strategic decisions, (ii) the shareholder proposing the de-classification has an agenda in making such proposal that may be at odds with the long-term best interests of the shareholders of the company, or (iii) it would be in the best interests of the company to thwart a shareholder s attempt to control the board of directors.
Cumulative Voting
Having the ability to cumulate our votes for the election of directors that is, cast more than one vote for a director about whom they feel strongly generally increases shareholders—rights to effect change in the management of a corporation. However, we acknowledge that cumulative voting promotes special candidates who may not represent the interests of all, or even a majority, of shareholders. In voting on proposals to institute cumulative voting, we therefore evaluate all facts and circumstances surrounding such proposal and we generally vote against cumulative voting where the company has good corporate governance practices in place, including majority voting for board elections and de-classified boards.
Shareholder Ability to Call Special Meeting
Cohen & Steers votes on a case-by-case basis for shareholder proposals requesting companies to amend their governance documents (bylaws and/or charter) in order to allow shareholders to call special meetings. We recognize the importance on shareholder ability to call a special meeting and generally will vote for such shareholder proposals where the shareholder(s) making such proposal hold at least 20% of the company s outstanding shares. However, we are also aware that some proposals are put forth in order to promote the agenda(s) of certain special interest groups and could be disruptive to the management of the company, and in those cases we will vote against such shareholder proposals.
Shareholder Ability to Act by Written Consent
We generally vote against proposals to allow or facilitate shareholder action by written consent. The requirement that all shareholders be given notice of a shareholders meeting and matters to be discussed therein seems to provide a reasonable protection of minority shareholder rights.
Shareholder Ability to Alter the Size of the Board

We generally vote for proposals that seek to fix the size of the board and vote against proposals that give management the ability to alter the size

of the board without shareholder approval. While we recognize the importance of such proposals, we are however also aware that these

proposals are sometimes put forth in order to promote the agenda(s) of certain special interest

groups and could be disruptive to the management of the company.
Miscellaneous Board Provisions
Board Committees
Boards should delegate key oversight functions, such as responsibility for audit, nominating and compensation issues, to independent committees. The chairman and members of any committee should be clearly identified in the annual report. Any committee should have the authority to engage independent advisors where appropriate at the company s expense.
Audit, nominating and compensation committees should consist solely of non-employee directors, who are independent of management.
Separate Chairman and CEO Positions
We will generally vote for proposals looking to separate the CEO and Chairman roles. We do acknowledge, however, that under certain circumstances, it may be reasonable for the CEO and Chairman roles to be held by a single person.
Lead Directors and Executive Sessions
In cases where the CEO and Chairman roles are combined, we will vote for the appointment of a lead (non-insider) director and for regular executive sessions (board meetings taking place without the CEO/Chairman present).
Majority of Independent Directors
We vote for proposals that call for the board to be composed of a majority of independent directors. We believe that a majority of independent directors can be an important factor in facilitating objective decision making and enhancing accountability to shareholders.
Independent Committees

We vote for shareholder proposals requesting that the board s audit, compensation, and nominating committees consist exclusively of independent directors.

Stock Ownership Requirements

We support measures requiring senior executives to hold a minimum amount of stock in a company (often expressed as a percentage of annual compensation), which may include restricted stock or restricted stock units.

Term of Office
We vote against shareholder proposals to limit the tenure of outside directors. Term limits pose artificial and arbitrary impositions on the board and could harm shareholder interests by forcing experienced and knowledgeable directors off the board.
Director and Officer Indemnification and Liability Protection
Proposals concerning director and officer indemnification and liability protection should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
Board Size
We generally vote for proposals to limit the size of the board to 15 members or less.
Majority Vote Standard
We generally vote for proposals asking for the board to initiate the appropriate process to amend the company s governance documents (charte or bylaws) to provide that director nominees shall be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at an annual meeting of shareholders. We would generally review on a case-by-case basis proposals that address alternative approaches to a majority vote requirement.
Confidential Voting
We vote for shareholder proposals requesting that companies adopt confidential voting, use independent tabulators, and use independent inspectors of election as long as the proposals include clauses for proxy contests as follows: in the case of a contested election, management should be permitted to request that the dissident group honor its confidential voting policy. If the dissidents agree, the policy remains in place. It the dissidents do not agree, the confidential voting policy is waived.
We also vote for management proposals to adopt confidential voting.
Bundled Proposals

We review on a case-by-case basis bundled or conditioned proxy proposals. In the case of items that are conditioned upon each other, we examine the benefits and costs of the packaged items. In instances where the joint effect of the conditioned items is not in shareholders best interests, we vote against the proposals. If the combined effect is positive, we support such proposals. In the case of bundled director proposals, we will vote for the entire slate only if we would have otherwise voted for each director on an individual basis.

#### Disclosure of Board Nominees

We generally vote against the election of directors at companies if the names of the director nominees are not disclosed in a timely manner prior to the meeting. However, we recognize that companies in certain emerging markets may have a legitimate reason for not disclosing nominee names. In such a rare case, if a company discloses a legitimate reason why such nominee names

should not be disclosed, we may vote for the nominees even if nominee names are not disclosed in a timely manner.
Disclosure of Board Compensation
We generally vote against the election of directors at companies if the compensation paid to such directors is not disclosed in a timely manner prior to the meeting. However, we recognize that companies in certain emerging markets may have a legitimate reason for not disclosing such compensation information. In such a rare case, if a company discloses a legitimate reason why such compensation should not be disclosed, we may vote for the nominees even if compensation is not disclosed in a timely manner.
Date/Location of Meeting
We vote against shareholder proposals to change the date or location of the shareholders meeting. No one site will meet the needs of all shareholders.
Adjourn Meeting if Votes are Insufficient.
Open-end requests for adjournment of a shareholder meeting generally will not be supported. However, where management specifically states the reason for requesting an adjournment and the requested adjournment is necessary to permit a proposal that would otherwise be supported under this policy to be carried out, the adjournment request will be supported.
Disclosure of Shareholder Proponents

# Other Business

the proponents of a shareholder proposal for additional information.

Cohen & Steers will generally vote against proposal to approve other business where we cannot determine the exact nature of the proposal to be voted on.

We vote for shareholder proposals requesting that companies disclose the names of shareholder proponents. Shareholders may wish to contact

#### Capital Structure

#### Increase Additional Common Stock

We generally vote for increases in authorized shares, provided that the increase is not greater than three times the number of shares outstanding and reserved for issuance (including shares reserved for stock-related plans and securities convertible into common stock, but not shares reserved for any poison pill plan).

Votes generally are cast in favor of proposals to authorize additional shares of stock except where the proposal:

- creates a blank check preferred stock; or
- establishes classes of stock with superior voting rights.

#### Blank Check Preferred Stock

Votes generally are cast in opposition to management proposals authorizing the creation of new classes of preferred stock with unspecific voting, conversion, distribution and other rights, and management proposals to increase the number of authorized blank check preferred shares. We may vote in favor of this type of proposal when we receive assurances to our reasonable satisfaction that (i) the preferred stock was authorized by the board for the use of legitimate capital formation purposes and not for anti-takeover purposes, and (ii) no preferred stock will be issued with voting power that is disproportionate to the economic interests of the preferred stock. These representations should be made either in the proxy statement or in a separate letter from the company to Cohen & Steers.

#### Pre-emptive Rights

We believe that the governance and regulation of public equity markets allow for adequate shareholder protection against dilution. Further, we believe that companies should have more flexibility to issue shares without costly and time constraining rights offerings. As such, we do not believe that pre-emptive rights are necessary and as such, we generally vote for the issuance of equity shares without pre-emptive rights. On a limited basis, we will vote for shareholder pre-emptive rights where such pre-emptive rights are necessary, taking into account the best interests of the company s shareholders.

We acknowledge that international local practices typically call for shareholder pre-emptive rights when a company seeks authority to issue shares (e.g., UK authority for the issuance of only up to 5% of outstanding shares without pre-emptive rights). While we would prefer that companies be permitted to issue shares without pre-emptive rights, in deference to international local practices, in markets outside the US we will approve issuance requests without pre-emptive rights for up to 100% of a company s outstanding capital.

#### **Dual Class Capitalizations**

Because classes of common stock with unequal voting rights limit the rights of certain shareholders, we vote against adoption of a dual or multiple class capitalization structure.

#### Restructurings/Recapitalizations

We review proposals to increase common and/or preferred shares and to issue shares as part of a debt restructuring plan on a case-by-case basis. In voting, we consider the following issues:

- dilution how much will ownership interest of existing shareholders be reduced, and how extreme will dilution to any future earnings be?
- change in control will the transaction result in a change in control of the company?

bankruptcy generally, approve proposals that facilitate debt restructurings unless there are clear signs of self-dealing or other abuses.

#### Share Repurchase Programs

Boards may institute share repurchase or stock buy-back programs for a number of reasons. Cohen & Steers will generally vote in favor of such programs where the repurchase would be in the long-term best interests of shareholders, and where the company is not thought to be able to use the cash in a more useful way.

We will vote against such programs when shareholders interests could be better served by deployment of the cash for alternative uses, or where the repurchase is a defensive maneuver or an attempt to entrench management.

#### **Targeted Share Placements**

These shareholder proposals ask companies to seek stockholder approval before placing 10% or more of their voting stock with a single investor. The proposals are typically in reaction to the placement by various companies of a large block of their voting stock in an ESOP, parent capital fund or with a single friendly investor, with the aim of protecting themselves against a hostile tender offer. These proposals are voted on a case-by-case basis after reviewing the individual situation of the company receiving the proposal.

#### **Executive and Director Compensation**

#### Executive Compensation ( Say on Pay )

Votes regarding shareholder say on pay are determined on a case-by-case basis. Generally, we believe that executive compensation should be tied to the long-term performance of the executive and the company both in absolute and relative to the peer group. We therefore monitor the compensation practices of portfolio companies to determine whether compensation to these executives is commensurate to the company s total shareholder return (TSR) (*i.e.*, we generally expect companies that pay their executives at the higher end of the pay range to also be performing commensurately well).

Further, pay elements that are not directly based on performance are generally evaluated on a case-by-case basis considering the context of a company s overall pay program and demonstrated pay-for-performance philosophy. The following list highlights certain negative pay practices that carry significant weight in this overall consideration and may result in adverse vote recommendations:

- Repricing or replacing of underwater stock options/SARS without prior shareholder approval (including cash buyouts and voluntary surrender of underwater options);
- Excessive perquisites or tax gross-ups;
- New or extended agreements that provide for:

- CIC payments exceeding 3 times base salary and bonus;
- CIC severance payments without involuntary job loss or substantial diminution of duties ( single or modified single triggers);
- CIC payments with excise tax gross-ups (including modified gross-ups).

Also, we generally vote for shareholder proposals that seek additional disclosure of executive and director pay information.

Frequency of Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation ( Say When on Pay )
We generally vote for annual advisory votes on compensation as we note that executive compensation is also evaluated on an annual basis by the company s compensation committee.
Stock-based Incentive Plans
Votes with respect to compensation plans should be determined on a case-by-case basis. The analysis of compensation plans focuses primarily on the transfer of shareholder wealth (the dollar cost of pay plans to shareholders). Other matters included in our analysis are the amount of the company s outstanding stock to be reserved for the award of stock options or restricted stock, whether the exercise price of an option is less than the stock s fair market value at the date of the grant of the options, and whether the plan provides for the exchange of outstanding options for new ones at lower exercise prices. Every award type is valued. An estimated dollar cost for the proposed plan and all continuing plans is derived. This cost, dilution to shareholders equity, will also be expressed as a percentage figure for the transfer of shareholder wealth and will be considered along with dilution to voting power. Once the cost of the plan is estimated, it is compared to an allowable industry-specific and market cap-based dilution cap.

If the proposed plan cost is above the allowable cap, an against vote is indicated. If the proposed cost is below the allowable cap, a vote for the plan is indicated unless the plan violates the repricing guidelines. If the company has a history of repricing options or has the express ability to reprice underwater stock options without first securing shareholder approval under the proposed plan, the plan receives an against vote—even in cases where the plan cost is considered acceptable based on the quantitative analysis.

We vote against equity plans that have high average three year burn rates, unless the company has publicly committed to reduce the burn rate to a rate that is comparable to its peer group (as determined by Cohen & Steers).

#### Approval of Cash or Cash-and-Stock Bonus Plans

We vote for cash or cash-and-stock bonus plans to exempt the compensation from limits on deductibility under the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### Reload/Evergreen Features

We will generally vote against plans that enable the issuance of reload options and that provide an automatic share replenishment ( evergreen ) feature.

#### Golden Parachutes

In general, the guidelines call for voting against golden parachute plans because they impede potential takeovers that shareholders should be free to consider. In particular, we oppose the use

of employment contracts that result in cash grants of greater than three times annual compensation (salary and bonus) and generally withhold our votes at the next shareholder meeting for directors who to our knowledge approved golden parachutes.

#### Voting on Golden Parachutes in an Acquisition, Merger, Consolidation, or Proposed Sale

We vote on a case-by-case basis on proposals to approve the company s golden parachute compensation. Features that may lead to a vote against include:

- Potentially excessive severance payments (cash grants of greater than three times annual compensation (salary and bonus));
- Agreements that include excessive excise tax gross-up provisions;
- Single trigger payments that will happen immediately upon a change in control, including cash payment and such items as the acceleration of performance-based equity despite the failure to achieve performance measures;
- Single-trigger vesting of equity based on a definition of change in control that requires only shareholder approval of the transaction (rather than consummation):
- Recent amendments or other changes that may make packages so attractive as to influence merger agreements that may not be in the best interests of shareholders;
- In the case of a substantial gross-up from pre-existing/grandfathered contract: the element that triggered the gross-up (*i.e.*, option mega-grants at low point in stock price, unusual or outsized payments in cash or equity made or negotiated prior to the merger); or
- The company s assertion that a proposed transaction is conditioned on shareholder approval of the golden parachute advisory vote.

#### 401(k) Employee Benefit Plans

We vote for proposals to implement a 401(k) savings plan for employees.

#### Employee Stock Purchase Plans

We support employee stock purchase plans, although we generally believe the discounted purchase price should be at least 85% of the current market price.

#### **Option Expensing**

We vote for shareholder proposals to expense fixed-price options.
Vesting
We believe that restricted stock awards normally should vest over at least a two-year period.
Option Repricing
Stock options generally should not be re-priced, and never should be re-priced without shareholder approval. In addition, companies should not issue new options, with a lower strike price, to make up for previously issued options that are substantially underwater. Cohen &

Steers will vote against the election of any slate of directors that, to its knowledge, has authorized a company to re-price or replace underwater options during the most recent year without shareholder approval.
Stock Holding Periods
Generally vote against all proposals requiring executives to hold the stock received upon option exercise for a specific period of time.
Transferable Stock Options
Review on a case-by-case basis proposals to grant transferable stock options or otherwise permit the transfer of outstanding stock options, including cost of proposal and alignment with shareholder interests.
Recoup Bonuses
We vote on a case-by-case on shareholder proposals to recoup unearned incentive bonuses or other incentive payments made to senior executives if it is later determined that fraud, misconduct, or negligence significantly contributed to a restatement of financial results that led to the awarding of unearned incentive compensation.
Incorporation
Reincorporation Outside of the United States
Generally, we will vote against companies looking to reincorporate outside of the U.S.
Voting on State Takeover Statutes
We review on a case-by-case basis proposals to opt in or out of state takeover statutes (including control share acquisition statutes, control share cash-out statutes, freezeout provisions, fair price provisions, stakeholder laws, poison pill endorsements, severance pay and labor contract

provisions, antigreenmail provisions, and disgorgement provisions). In voting on these shareholder proposals, we evaluate all facts and circumstances surrounding such proposal, including whether the shareholder proposing such measure has an agenda in making such proposal that may be at odds with the long-term best interests of the company or whether it would be in the best interests of the company to thwart a

shareholder s attempt to control the board of directors.

#### Voting on Reincorporation Proposals

Proposals to change a company s state of incorporation are examined on a case-by-case basis. In making our decision, we review management s rationale for the proposal, changes to the charter/bylaws, and differences in the state laws governing the companies.

Mergers and Corporate Restructurings
Mergers and Acquisitions
Votes on mergers and acquisitions should be considered on a case-by-case basis, taking into account factors including the following: anticipated financial and operating benefits; offer price (cost vs. premium); prospects of the combined companies; how the deal was negotiated; and changes in corporate governance and their impact on shareholder rights.
We vote against proposals that require a super-majority of shareholders to approve a merger or other significant business combination. We support proposals that seek to lower super-majority voting requirements.
Nonfinancial Effects of a Merger or Acquisition
Some companies have proposed a charter provision which specifies that the board of directors may examine the nonfinancial effect of a merger or acquisition on the company. This provision would allow the board to evaluate the impact a proposed change in control would have on employees, host communities, suppliers and/or others. We generally vote against proposals to adopt such charter provisions. We feel it is the directors fiduciary duty to base decisions solely on the financial interests of the shareholders.
Corporate Restructuring
Votes on corporate restructuring proposals, including minority squeezeouts, leveraged buyouts, going private proposals, spin-offs, liquidations, and asset sales, should be considered on a case-by-case basis.
Spin-offs
Votes on spin-offs should be considered on a case-by-case basis depending on the tax and regulatory advantages, planned use of sale proceeds, market focus, and managerial incentives.
Asset Sales

Votes on asset sales should be made on a case-by-case basis after considering the impact on the balance sheet/working capital, value received for

the asset, and potential elimination of diseconomies.

#### Liquidations

Votes on liquidations should be made on a case-by-case basis after reviewing management s efforts to pursue other alternatives, appraisal value of assets, and the compensation plan for executives managing the liquidation.

Appraisal Rights
We vote for proposals to restore, or provide shareholders with, rights of appraisal. Rights of appraisal provide shareholders who are not satisfied with the terms of certain corporate transactions the right to demand a judicial review in order to determine a fair value for their shares.
Changing Corporate Name
We vote for changing the corporate name.
Shareholder Rights
Our position on the rights of shareholders is as follows:
• Shareholders should be given the opportunity to exercise their rights. Notification of opportunities for the exercise of voting rights should be given in good time.
Shareholders are entitled to submit questions to company management.
• Minority shareholders should be protected as far as possible from the exercise of voting rights by majority shareholders.
• Shareholders are entitled to hold company management as well as the legal person or legal entity accountable for any action caused by the company or company management for which the company, company management or legal entity should bear responsibility.
Environmental and Social Issues
We recognize that the companies in which we invest can enhance shareholder value and long-term profitability by adopting policies and

• Whether adoption of the proposal is likely to have significant economic benefit for the company, such that shareholder value is enhanced or protected by the adoption of the proposal;

procedures that promote corporate social and environmental responsibility. Because of the diverse nature of environmental and social shareholder proposals and the myriad ways companies deal with them, these proposals should be considered on a case-by-case basis. All such proposals are scrutinized based on whether they contribute to the creation of shareholder value, are reasonable and relevant, and provide adequate disclosure of key issues to shareholders. When evaluating social and environmental shareholder proposals, we tend to focus on the financial aspects of the social and environmental proposals, and we consider the following factors (in the order of importance as set forth

below):

- Whether the issues presented are more appropriately/effectively dealt with through governmental or company-specific action, as many social and environmental issues are more properly the province of government and broad regulatory action;
- Whether the subject of the proposal is best left to the discretion of the board;
- Whether the company has already responded in some appropriate manner to the request embodied in the proposal;

• measured l	Whether the information requested concerns business issues by sales, assets, and earnings;	that relate to a meaningful percentage of the company s business as			
• vulnerable	The degree to which the company s stated position on the is to a boycott or selective purchasing;	ssues raised in the proposal could affect its reputation or sales, or leave it			
•	Whether implementation of the proposal s request would achieve the proposal s objectives;				
•	Whether the requested information is available to shareholded	ers either from the company or from a publicly available source; and			
• competitiv	<ul> <li>Whether providing this information would reveal proprietary or confidential information that would place the company at a competitive disadvantage.</li> </ul>				
Item 8. Po	ortfolio Managers of Closed-End Investment Companies.				
Informatio	on pertaining to the portfolio managers of the registrant, as of	March 2, 2015, is set forth below.			
Joseph Ha	rvey	President and Chief Investment Officer of Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. ( C&S ) since 2003 and President of Cohen & Steers, Inc. ( CNS ) since 2004.			
•	Vice president				
•	Portfolio manager since 2004				
William F.	. Scapell	Executive vice president of C&S since January 2014. Prior to that, senior vice president of C&S since 2003.			
•	Vice President				
•	Portfolio manager since 2005				
Elaine Zah	aris-Nikas	Senior Vice president of C&S.			
	Vice president				

#### Portfolio manager since 2012

Each portfolio manager listed above manages other investment companies and/or investment vehicles and accounts in addition to the registrant. The following tables show, as of December 31, 204, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets in the accounts managed within each category. Three(3) of the 36 other accounts managed by Mr. Harvey, with total assets of \$558.0 million, are subject to performance-based fees.

#### Joseph Harvey

		Number of accounts	Total assets
•	Registered investment companies	15	\$ 22,277,986,000
•	Other pooled investment vehicles	29	\$ 16,726,211,000
•	Other account	36	\$ 5,335,428,000

#### William F. Scapell

		Number of accounts	<b>Total assets</b>
•	Registered investment companies	9	\$ 11,978,444,000
•	Other pooled investment vehicles	4	\$ 11,033,474,000
•	Other accounts	8	\$ 984,722,000

Elaine Zaharis-Nikas

		Number of accounts	Total assets
•	Registered investment companies	6	\$ 8,281,142,000
•	Other pooled investment vehicles	2	\$ 390,235,000
•	Other accounts	6	\$ 585,781,000

Share Ownership. The following table indicates the dollar range of securities of the registrant owned by the registrant s portfolio managers as of December 31, 2014:

	Dollar Range of Securities Owned
Joseph Harvey	None
William F. Scapell	\$10,000 \$50,000
Elaine Zaharis-Nikas	None

Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with the portfolio manager s management of the registrant s investments on the one hand and the investments of other accounts or vehicles for which the portfolio managers are responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the registrant and the other accounts or vehicles he advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions among the registrant and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the registrant.

In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may provide more revenue to the Advisor. While this may appear to create additional conflicts of interest for the portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities, the Advisor strives to ensure that portfolio managers endeavor to exercise their discretion in a manner that is equitable to all interested persons. In this regard, in the absence of specific

account-related impediments (such as client-imposed restrictions or lack of available cash), it is the policy of the Advisor to allocate investment ideas pro rata to all accounts with the same primary investment objective.

In addition, certain of the portfolio managers may from time to time manage one or more accounts on behalf of the Advisor and its affiliated companies (the CNS Accounts). Certain securities held and traded in the CNS Accounts also may be held and traded in one or more client accounts. It is the policy of the Advisor however not to put the interests of the CNS Accounts ahead of the interests of client accounts. The Advisor may aggregate orders of client accounts with those of the CNS Accounts; however, under no circumstances will preferential treatment be given to the CNS Accounts. For all orders involving the CNS Accounts, purchases or sales will be allocated prior to trade placement, and orders that are only partially filled will be allocated across all accounts in proportion to the shares each account, including the CNS Accounts, was designated to receive prior to trading. As a result, it is expected that the CNS Accounts will receive the same average price as other accounts included in the aggregated order. Shares will not be allocated or re-allocated to the CNS Accounts after trade execution or after the average price is known. In the event so few shares of an order are executed that a pro-rata allocation is not practical, a rotational system of allocation may be used; however, the CNS Accounts will never be part of that rotation or receive shares of a partially filled order other than on a pro-rata basis.

Because certain CNS Accounts are managed with a cash management objective, it is possible that a security will be sold out of the CNS Accounts but continue to be held for one or more client accounts. In situations when this occurs, such security will remain in a client account only if the portfolio manager, acting in its reasonable judgment and consistent with its fiduciary duties, believes this is appropriate for, and consistent with the objectives and profile of, the client account.

<u>C&S Compensation Structure.</u> Compensation of C&S s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus and (3) long-term stock-based compensation consisting generally of restricted stock units of C&S s parent, CNS. C&S s investment professionals, including the portfolio managers, also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to all of its employees. Compensation of C&S s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect in the January following the fiscal year-end of CNS.

Method to Determine Compensation. C&S compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of funds and accounts managed by the portfolio manager versus appropriate peer groups or benchmarks. C&S uses a variety of benchmarks to evaluate the portfolio managers—performance for compensation purposes, including the NAREIT Equity REIT Index with respect to Mr. Harvey and the Merrill Lynch Fixed Rate Preferred Index with respect to Mr. Scapell and Ms. Zaharis-Nikas. In evaluating the performance of a portfolio manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on one- and three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer periods of time. Performance is evaluated on a pre-tax and pre-expense basis. In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to risk-adjusted performance. For funds and accounts with a

primary investment objective of high current income, consideration will also be given to the fund s and account s success in achieving this objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis. C&S has three funds or accounts with performance-based advisory fees. Portfolio managers are also evaluated on the basis of their success in managing their dedicated team of analysts. Base compensation for portfolio managers of C&S varies in line with the portfolio manager s seniority and position with the firm.
Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of the Advisor and CNS. While the annual salaries of the Advisor s portfolio managers are fixed, cash bonuses and stock based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors.
Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.
None.
Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.
There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.
Item 11. Controls and Procedures.
(a) The registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures are reasonably designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in this Form N-CSR was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, based upon such officers evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report.
(b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.
Item 12. Exhibits.
(a)(1) Not Applicable.

(a)(2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Compared to 1940.	any
(a)(3) Not Applicable.	

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### COHEN & STEERS LIMITED DURATION PREFERRED AND INCOME FUND, INC.

By: /s/ Adam M. Derechin

Name: Adam M. Derechin

Title: President and Chief Executive

Officer

Date: March 2, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Adam M. Derechin

Name: Adam M. Derechin

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ James Giallanza

Name: James Giallanza

Title: Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: March 2, 2015