

GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
July 29, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-9753

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)
115 Perimeter Center Place, Suite 460,
Atlanta, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)

58-1563799
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
30346
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(770) 395-4500**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA GULF CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Class
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Outstanding as of July 26, 2005
34,113,520

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

INDEX

	Page Number
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u> 3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> 3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> 4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> 5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 6
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 24
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 30
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 30
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 31
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 31
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> 31
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 32
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	33
<u>CERTIFICATIONS</u>	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.**Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)**

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,886	\$ 21,088
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,874 in 2005 and 2004	79,041	134,852
Inventories	189,713	186,313
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,936	5,186
Deferred income taxes	7,595	10,097
Total current assets	284,171	357,536
Property, plant and equipment, net	410,471	425,734
Goodwill	77,720	77,720
Other assets, net	175,982	102,840
Total assets	\$ 948,344	\$ 963,830
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 176,000	\$ 189,900
Accounts payable	163,502	205,365
Interest payable	2,203	1,557
Accrued compensation	12,686	18,293
Other accrued liabilities	14,666	11,779
Total current liabilities	369,057	426,894
Long-term debt	128,583	128,583
Deferred income taxes	119,791	128,032
Other non-current liabilities	14,717	12,052
Total liabilities	632,148	695,561
Commitments and contingencies (note 8)		
Stockholders equity	316,196	268,269
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 948,344	\$ 963,830
Common shares outstanding	34,110	33,925

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004	June 30, 2005	2004
Net sales	\$ 584,162	\$ 522,272	\$ 1,229,571	\$ 1,018,959
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	549,053	454,683	1,112,152	900,471
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,615	13,991	30,465	28,761
Total operating costs and expenses	563,668	468,674	1,142,617	929,232
Operating income	20,494	53,598	86,954	89,727
Interest expense, net	(5,379)	(6,213)	(10,826)	(12,482)
Income before income taxes	15,115	47,385	76,128	77,245
Provision for income taxes	4,946	17,652	27,216	28,775
Net income	\$ 10,169	\$ 29,733	\$ 48,912	\$ 48,470
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.48
Diluted	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.47
Weighted average common shares:				
Basic	33,888	32,799	33,832	32,704
Diluted	34,220	33,215	34,275	33,070

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 48,912	\$ 48,470
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	31,387	31,815
Deferred income taxes	(5,738)	(122)
Tax benefit related to stock plans	1,677	1,336
Stock based compensation	1,831	1,893
Change in operating assets, liabilities and other	(65,460)	12,955
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,609	96,347
Cash flows used in investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(13,418)	(8,859)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net change in revolving line of credit	(13,900)	
Debt payments related to asset securitization		(35,000)
Other long term debt payments		(30,400)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	2,646	5,909
Purchase and retirement of common stock	(1,682)	(603)
Dividends paid	(5,457)	(5,276)
Net cash used in financing activities	(18,393)	(65,370)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(19,202)	22,118
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,088	1,965
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,886	\$ 24,083

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GEORGIA GULF CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do reflect all the adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods reported. Such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Our operating results for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. There have been no material changes in the accounting policies followed by us during the period ended June 30, 2005.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2004 the Financial Accounting Standards Board, (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-based Payment*, which replaces the prior SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. With limited exceptions, the amount of compensation cost will be measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. In addition, liability awards will be remeasured each reporting period. Compensation cost will be recognized over the period that an employee provides services in exchange for the award. This new standard will become effective for us on January 1, 2006, due to Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 2005-57, which amended the effective date of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004). On March 29, 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107. SAB No. 107 summarizes the views of the SEC staff regarding the interaction between SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides the staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. We will be incorporating SAB No. 107 as part of our adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004). We are still evaluating the impact of these pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004 the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 109-1 (FSP FAS No. 109-1), *Application of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes*, to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities Provided by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 introduces a special tax deduction of up to 9.0 percent when fully phased in, of the lesser of qualified production activities income or taxable income. FSP FAS No. 109-1 clarifies that this tax deduction should be accounted for as a special tax deduction in accordance with SFAS No. 109. We are treating the estimated tax deduction on qualified production activities as a special tax deduction in accordance with SFAS No. 109 as prescribed by FSP FAS No. 109-1 during 2005.

In May 2005 the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and FASB Statement No. 3, *Reporting Accounting*

Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS No. 154 requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively to all prior period financial statements presented, unless it is impracticable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also provides that a change in method of depreciating, amortizing or depleting a long-lived non-financial asset be accounted for as a change in estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. In addition, SFAS No. 154 requires a correction of an error in previously issued financial statements to be termed a restatement. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SECURITIZATION

We have an agreement pursuant to which we sell an undivided percentage ownership interest in a defined pool of our trade receivables on a revolving basis through a wholly owned subsidiary to third parties (the "Securitization"). As collections reduce accounts receivable included in the pool, we sell ownership interests in new receivables to bring the ownership interests sold up to a maximum of \$165.0 million, as permitted by the Securitization. At June 30, 2005, and December 31, 2004, the unpaid balance of accounts receivable in the defined pool was approximately \$248.4 million and \$288.4 million, respectively. The balances of receivables sold as of June 30, 2005, and December 31, 2004, were \$163.0 million and \$165.0 million, respectively.

On July 20, 2005, we were required to reduce the balance in the interest of receivables sold to \$135.0 million due to the lower trade receivables balance on June 30, 2005. We funded this \$28.0 million from the availability under our revolving credit facility.

4. INVENTORIES

The major classes of inventories were as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 82,750	\$ 63,226
Finished goods	106,963	123,087
Inventories	\$ 189,713	\$ 186,313

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Machinery and equipment	\$ 968,885	\$ 958,162
Land and land improvements	26,944	26,944
Buildings	31,854	31,769
Construction-in-progress	18,849	16,804
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	1,046,532	1,033,679
Accumulated depreciation	636,061	607,945
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 410,471	\$ 425,734

6. OTHER ASSETS, NET

Other assets, net of accumulated amortization, consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Advances for long-term purchase contracts	\$ 114,288	\$ 37,394
Investment in joint ventures	21,628	23,581
Debt issuance costs	5,773	6,495
Prepaid pension costs	17,901	18,729
Other	16,392	16,641
Total other assets	\$ 175,982	\$ 102,840

In the first quarter of 2005, we entered into a long-term raw materials supply contract, which required a \$79.0 million advance payment and was classified in other assets.

7. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Revolving credit facility	\$ 76,000	\$ 89,900
7.625% notes due 2005	100,000	100,000
7.125% notes due 2013	100,000	100,000
Other	28,583	28,583
Total debt	304,583	318,483
Less current portion	176,000	189,900
Long-term debt	\$ 128,583	\$ 128,583

Over the next twelve months, our expectation is to pay off our revolving credit facility of \$76.0 million, and therefore, we have classified this debt as current. In addition, we have classified our \$100.0 million 7.625 percent notes as current debt as they mature in November 2005.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Purchase Commitments. In January 2005 we entered into a long-term raw materials supply contract through 2014, which required a \$79.0 million advance payment that has been classified in other long-term assets in the accompanying balance sheet. We amortize this advance payment based on the physical delivery of the raw materials. In addition, this purchase commitment is designed to assure a source of supply and is not in excess of our normal manufacturing requirements. We have historically taken physical delivery of the raw materials under similar purchase agreements and intend to take physical delivery over the contract term. Therefore, we account for the purchase agreement under the normal purchase provision of SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its amendments.

Legal Proceedings. We are a party to numerous individual and several class-action lawsuits filed against the company, among other parties, arising out of an incident that occurred in September 1996 in which workers were exposed to a chemical substance on our premises in Plaquemine, Louisiana. The substance was later identified to be a form of mustard agent, which occurred as a result of an unforeseen chemical reaction. All of the actions claim one or more forms of compensable damages, including past and future wages, past and future physical and emotional pain and suffering. The lawsuits were originally filed in Louisiana state court in Iberville Parish.

In September 1998 the state court trial judge granted the plaintiffs' motion permitting the filing of amended petitions that added the additional allegations that we had engaged in intentional conduct against the plaintiffs. Amended petitions making such allegations were filed. Our two insurers notified us that they were reserving their rights to deny coverage to the extent liability could be established due to such intentional conduct in accordance with their insurance policies. We disputed the insurers' reservation of rights. In December 1998, as required by the terms of the insurance policies, each insurer demanded arbitration of the issue of the insurers' duties relating to the intentional conduct allegations. As a result of the arbitrations relating to the insurance issue, as permitted by federal statute, the insurers removed the cases to United States District Court in December 1998.

Following the above removal of these actions and unsuccessful attempts by plaintiffs to remand the cases, we were able to settle the claims of all but two worker plaintiffs (and their collaterals) who had filed suit prior to removal. These settlements included the vast majority of those claimants believed to be the most seriously injured. No further legal proceedings are required relating to these settled cases. Negotiations regarding the remaining claims of the two worker plaintiffs are ongoing.

Following these settlements, we were sued by approximately 400 additional plaintiff workers (and their collaterals) who claim that they were injured as a result of the incident. After negotiation, including a mediation, we reached an agreement for the settlement of these additional claims. This court approved settlement, which is on a class basis, will resolve the claims of all workers who claim to have been exposed and injured as a result of the incident other than those workers who opt out of the class settlement. We are aware of two worker plaintiffs and several collaterals who have filed suit in state court who have opted not to participate in the class settlement, as well as the two worker plaintiffs and collaterals whose claims are pending in federal court (see discussion above). Based on the present status of the proceedings, we believe the liability ultimately imposed on us will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

Many of the workers injured in this accident were employed by contractors we hired to perform various services on our site. Under the contracts for services, the contractors agreed to hold us harmless and indemnify us for amounts we were required to pay for personal injuries to their workers. During the course of this litigation, we had made demands for the contractors to reimburse us for damage amounts we had paid to their employees. In August 2003 we recovered \$3.1 million as reimbursement for amounts paid by us to one contractor's employees. We continue to pursue additional repayments from other contractors, but we do not believe any future recoveries will be material.

As of October 8, 2004, we concluded a global settlement with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality that combines several pending enforcement matters relating to the operation of production facilities in Lake Charles and Plaquemine, Louisiana. These proceedings allege unauthorized episodic releases, exceedences of permitted emission rates, exceedences of authorized emissions limitations, and allege violation of leak detection and repair requirements. Under the terms of the settlement, we have paid \$50,000 to the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality and have expended \$220,000 for the implementation and performance of certain beneficial environmental projects. Further, we will voluntarily undertake additional projects to reduce emissions and protect air quality at a cost of approximately \$1.3 million in 2005. These payments and expenditures will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

In August 2004 and January and February 2005, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) conducted environmental investigations of our manufacturing facilities in Aberdeen, Mississippi and Plaquemine, Louisiana, respectively. The USEPA has informed us that it has identified several areas of concern, and has indicated that such areas of concern may, in its view, constitute violations of applicable requirements, thus warranting monetary penalties and possible injunctive relief. In lieu of pursuing such relief through its traditional enforcement process, the USEPA has proposed that the

parties enter into negotiations in an effort to reach a global settlement of the areas of concern and that such a global settlement cover our manufacturing facilities at Lake Charles, Louisiana and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma as well. It is likely that any such global settlement, if achieved, will result in the imposition of monetary penalties, capital expenditures for installation of environmental controls, and/or other relief. We do not know the total cost of monetary penalties, environmental projects, or other relief that would be imposed in any settlement or order. While at this time we have no reason to believe that such costs will be material, there can be no assurances in that regard.

In addition, we are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these other claims and legal actions will not have a material effect on our financial position or on our results of operations.

Environmental Regulation. Our operations are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental quality. These regulations, which are enforced principally by the USEPA and comparable state agencies, govern the management of solid hazardous waste, emissions into the air and discharges into surface and underground waters, and the manufacture of chemical substances.

In October 2004 the USEPA notified us that we have been identified as a potentially responsible party (PRP) for a Superfund site in Galveston, Texas. The site is a former industrial waste recycling, treatment and disposal facility. Over one thousand PRPs have been identified by the USEPA. We contributed a relatively small proportion of the total amount of waste shipped to the site. In the notice, the USEPA informed us of the agency s willingness to settle with us and other PRPs that contributed relatively small proportions of the total quantity of waste shipped to the Superfund site. We believe that we can reach a settlement with the USEPA in this matter, and although there can be no assurance, we expect the amount of the settlement to be less than \$100,000.

There are several serious environmental issues concerning the vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) facility at Lake Charles, Louisiana we acquired from CONDEA Vista Company (CONDEA Vista is now Sasol North America, Inc.) on November 12, 1999. Substantial investigation of the groundwater at the site has been conducted, and groundwater contamination was first identified in 1981. Groundwater remediation through the installation of groundwater recovery wells began in 1984. The site currently contains about 90 monitoring wells and 18 recovery wells. Investigation to determine the full extent of the contamination is ongoing. It is possible that offsite groundwater recovery will be required, in addition to groundwater monitoring. Soil remediation could also be required.

Investigations are currently underway by federal environmental authorities concerning contamination of an estuary near the Lake Charles VCM facility we acquired known as the Calcasieu Estuary. It is likely that this estuary will be listed as a Superfund site and be the subject of a natural resource damage recovery claim. It is estimated that there are about 200 PRPs associated with the estuary contamination. CONDEA Vista is included among these parties with respect to its Lake Charles facilities, including the VCM facility we acquired. The estimated cost for investigation and remediation of the estuary is unknown and could be quite costly. Also, Superfund statutes may impose joint and several liability for the cost of investigations and remedial actions on any company that generated the waste, arranged for disposal of the waste, transported the waste to the disposal site, selected the disposal site, or presently or formerly owned, leased or operated the disposal site or a site otherwise contaminated by hazardous substances. Any or all of the responsible parties may be required to bear all of the costs of cleanup regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal or ownership of the disposal site. Currently, we discharge our wastewater to CONDEA Vista, which has a permit to discharge treated wastewater into the estuary.

CONDEA Vista has agreed to retain responsibility for substantially all environmental liabilities and remediation activity relating to the vinyls business we acquired from it, including the Lake Charles, Louisiana VCM facility. For all matters of environmental contamination that were currently known at the

time of acquisition, we may make a claim for indemnification at any time; for environmental matters that were then unknown, we must generally make claims for indemnification before November 12, 2009. Further, our agreement with CONDEA Vista provides that CONDEA Vista will be subject to the presumption that all later discovered on-site environmental contamination arose before closing, and is therefore CONDEA Vista's responsibility; this presumption may only be rebutted if CONDEA Vista can show that we caused the environmental contamination by a major, unaddressed release.

At our Lake Charles VCM facility, CONDEA Vista will continue to conduct the ongoing remediation at its expense until November 12, 2009. After November 12, 2009, we will be responsible for remediation costs up to about \$150,000 of expense per year, as well as costs in any year in excess of this annual amount up to an aggregate one-time amount of about \$2.3 million. In the first quarter of 2004, as part of our ongoing assessment of our environmental contingencies, we determined these remediation costs to be probable and estimable and therefore recorded an accrual to other non-current liabilities of \$2.7 million.

The property owned by CONDEA Vista in Mansfield, Massachusetts, for which we negotiated an early lease termination in May 2001, has been the subject of ongoing environmental investigations under an order with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Groundwater investigations continue at the Mansfield property to address identified on-site groundwater contamination and investigate the possible off-site migration of contaminated groundwater. It is also possible that the USEPA may list the property as a Superfund site. The environmental investigations and actions are associated with the past operations at the property and were not assumed in our lease of the property. In addition, CONDEA Vista has indemnified us for claims related to this environmental contamination beyond an aggregate threshold amount of about \$0.3 million, including coverage for potential joint and several liabilities under the environmental statutes. Upon removal of manufacturing equipment and termination of the lease, the site with buildings and infrastructure equipment reverted to CONDEA Vista.

As for employee and independent contractor exposure claims, CONDEA Vista is responsible for exposures before November 12, 2009, and we are responsible for exposures after November 12, 2009, on a pro rata basis determined by years of employment or service before and after November 12, 1999, by any claimant. There is, however, a presumption for claims brought before November 12, 2004, by current or former CONDEA Vista employees and contractors that, absent a showing of new acute exposure after November 12, 1999, all responsibility will be deemed to have arisen before November 12, 1999, and will be solely CONDEA Vista's.

We believe that we are in material compliance with all current environmental laws and regulations. We estimate that any expenses incurred in maintaining compliance with these requirements will not materially affect earnings or cause us to exceed our level of anticipated capital expenditures. However, there can be no assurance that regulatory requirements will not change, and it is not possible to accurately predict the aggregate cost of compliance resulting from any such changes.

9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Restricted Stock Awards. For the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, we granted restricted stock awards for 110,375 and 108,542 shares, respectively, of our common stock to key employees of the company. The grant date fair value per share of restricted stock granted during the first six months of 2005 and 2004 was \$52.81 and \$27.11, respectively. The restricted shares vest over a three-year period. Compensation expense, net of tax, for the second quarter of 2005 and 2004 related to the vesting of all restricted stock awards was approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively; compensation expense, net of tax, for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, was \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The unamortized costs of all unvested restricted stock awards of approximately \$7.1 million at June 30, 2005, are included in stockholders' equity and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the three-year vesting period.

Stock Options. For the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, we granted stock options for 317,200 and 326,533 shares, respectively, of our common stock to key employees of the company. The exercise price of stock options granted during the first six months of 2005 and 2004 was \$53.38 and \$27.21, respectively. Option prices are equal to the closing price of our common stock on the day prior to the date of grant. Options vest over a one or three-year period from the date of grant and expire no more than ten years after the date of grant. No compensation expense is recognized for our stock option plans.

Pro Forma Effect of Stock Compensation Plans. We account for our stock-based compensation plans in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and comply with SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended, for disclosure purposes. Under these provisions, no compensation has been recognized for our stock option plans or our stock purchase plan. For SFAS No. 123 purposes, the fair value of each stock option and stock purchase right for 2005 and 2004 has been estimated as of the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

	Stock purchase plan rights Six months ended June 30,		Stock option grants Six months ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Risk-free interest rate	2.75%	1.29%	3.97%	4.00%
Expected life	1.0 year	1.0 year	4.7 years	8.0 years
Expected volatility	33%	29%	39%	40%
Expected dividend yield	0.64%	1.11%	0.61%	1.18%

Using the above assumptions, additional compensation expense under the fair value method would be:

(In thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
For stock option grants	\$ 1,031	\$ 830	\$ 1,761	\$ 1,568
For stock purchase plan rights	224	192	529	384
Total	1,255	1,022	2,290	1,952
Provision for income taxes	477	388	870	742
Total, net of taxes	\$ 778	\$ 634	\$ 1,420	\$ 1,210

Had compensation expense been determined consistently with SFAS No. 123, utilizing the assumptions previously detailed, our net income and earnings per common share would have been the following pro forma amounts:

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended _June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income				
As reported	\$ 10,169	\$ 29,733	\$ 48,912	\$ 48,470
Pro forma	9,391	29,099	47,492	47,260
Basic earnings per share:				
As reported	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.48
Pro forma	0.28	0.89	1.40	1.45
Diluted earnings per share:				
As reported	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.47
Pro forma	0.28	0.88	1.39	1.43

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table reconciles the denominator for the basic and diluted earnings per share computations shown on the condensed consolidated statements of income:

(In thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004	June 30, 2005	2004
Weighted average common shares basic	33,888	32,799	33,832	32,704
Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions:				
Options and awards	300	383	418	343
Employee stock purchase plan rights	32	33	25	23
Weighted average common shares diluted	34,220	33,215	34,275	33,070

Options to purchase 0.3 million and 0.5 million shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the exercise prices of these options were greater than the average market price of the common stock during these periods.

11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) INFORMATION

Our comprehensive income is comprised of net income, additional minimum pension liability and the fair value of derivative instruments, net of related income taxes. The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss and total comprehensive income are shown as follows:

Accumulated other comprehensive loss net of tax

(In thousands)	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Minimum pension liability	\$ (159)	\$ (159)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (159)	\$ (159)

Total comprehensive income

(In thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004	June 30, 2005	2004
Net income	\$ 10,169	\$ 29,733	\$ 48,912	\$ 48,470
Reclassification of gain on derivative contracts to income				(204)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 10,169	\$ 29,733	\$ 48,912	\$ 48,266

12. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS

The following table provides the components for the net periodic benefit cost for all retirement plans:

(In thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004	June 30, 2005	2004
Service cost	\$ 819	\$ 767	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,534
Interest cost	1,294	1,211	2,586	2,421
Expected return on plan assets	(1,788)	(1,385)	(3,575)	(2,770)
Amortization of:				
Transition obligation	53	56	107	111
Prior service cost	77	83	153	166
Net loss	14	1	28	4
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 469	\$ 733	\$ 937	\$ 1,466

Our major assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for retirement plans are presented as weighted-averages:

	Six months ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004
Discount rate	6.00 %	6.25 %
Expected return on assets	8.50 %	8.75 %
Rate of compensation increase	4.31 %	4.31 %

As of June 30, 2005, we have made no contributions during 2005 to the plan trust and \$0.6 million in the form of direct benefit payments.

13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have two reportable segments through which we conduct our operating activities: chlorovinyls and aromatics. These two segments reflect the organization that we use for internal reporting. The chlorovinyls segment is a highly integrated chain of products that includes chlorine, caustic soda, vinyl chloride monomer and vinyl resins and compounds. The aromatics segment is also vertically integrated and includes cumene and the co-products phenol and acetone.

Earnings of each segment exclude interest income and expense, unallocated corporate expenses and general plant services, and provision for (benefit from) income taxes. Intersegment sales and transfers are insignificant.

(In thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2005	2004	June 30, 2005	2004
Segment net sales:				
Chlorovinyls	\$ 382,111	\$ 369,478	\$ 779,226	\$ 720,149
Aromatics	202,051	152,794	450,345	298,810
Net sales	\$ 584,162	\$ 522,272	\$ 1,229,571	\$ 1,018,959
Segment operating income (loss):				
Chlorovinyls	\$ 36,024	\$ 53,048	\$ 94,975	\$ 91,368
Aromatics	(8,771)	5,958	5,505	9,764
Corporate and general plant services	(6,759)	(5,408)	(13,526)	(11,405)
Total operating income	\$ 20,494	\$ 53,598	\$ 86,954	\$ 89,727

14. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION

Our payment obligations under our 7.125 percent senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by GG Terminal Management Corporation, Great River Oil & Gas Corporation, Georgia Gulf Lake Charles, LLC and Georgia Gulf Chemicals & Vinyls, LLC, some of our wholly owned subsidiaries (the Guarantor Subsidiaries). The guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several. Georgia Gulf Corporation is in essence a holding company for all of its wholly owned subsidiaries. The following unaudited condensed consolidating balance sheets, statements of income and statements of cash flows present the financial statements of the parent company, and the combined financial statements of our Guarantor Subsidiaries and our remaining subsidiaries (the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries). Provisions in our senior credit facility limit payment of dividends, distributions, loans and advances to us by our subsidiaries.

15

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries
Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 1,883	\$ 3	\$	\$ 1,886
Receivables, net	205,670	1,240	114,999	(242,868)	79,041
Inventories		189,713			189,713
Prepaid expenses		5,895	41		5,936
Deferred income taxes		7,595			7,595
Total current assets	205,670	206,326	115,043	(242,868)	284,171
Property, plant and equipment, net	191	410,280			410,471
Goodwill		77,720			77,720
Other assets, net	14,500	161,482			175,982
Investment in subsidiaries	369,676	114,563		(484,239)	
Total assets	\$ 590,037	\$ 970,371	\$ 115,043	\$ (727,107)	\$ 948,344
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 100,000	\$ 76,000	\$	\$	\$ 176,000
Accounts payable	35,578	370,306	486	(242,868)	163,502
Interest payable	1,848	355			2,203
Accrued compensation	297	12,389			12,686
Other accrued liabilities		14,666			14,666
Total current liabilities	137,723	473,716	486	(242,868)	369,057
Long-term debt, net of current portion	128,583				128,583
Deferred income taxes		119,791			119,791
Other non-current liabilities	7,535	7,182			14,717
Total liabilities	273,841	600,689	486	(242,868)	632,148
Stockholders equity	316,196	369,682	114,557	(484,239)	316,196
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 590,037	\$ 970,371	\$ 115,043	\$ (727,107)	\$ 948,344

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries
Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2004
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 21,082	\$ 6	\$	\$ 21,088
Receivables, net	177,264	14,443	121,657	(178,512)	134,852
Inventories		186,313			186,313
Prepaid expenses and other		5,090	96		5,186
Deferred income taxes		10,097			10,097
Total current assets	177,264	237,025	121,759	(178,512)	357,536
Property, plant and equipment, net	217	425,517			425,734
Goodwill		77,720			77,720
Other assets, net	14,502	88,338			102,840
Investment in subsidiaries	317,433	112,355		(429,788)	
Total Assets	\$ 509,416	\$ 940,955	\$ 121,759	\$ (608,300)	\$ 963,830
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 100,000	\$ 89,900	\$	\$	\$ 189,900
Accounts payable	3,967	370,507	9,403	(178,512)	205,365
Interest payable	1,520	37			1,557
Accrued compensation		18,293			18,293
Other accrued liabilities		11,779			11,779
Total current liabilities	105,487	490,516	9,403	(178,512)	426,894
Long-term debt	128,583				128,583
Deferred income taxes		128,032			128,032
Other non-current liabilities	7,077	4,975			12,052
Total liabilities	241,147	623,523	9,403	(178,512)	695,561
Stockholders' equity	268,269	317,432	112,356	(429,788)	268,269
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 509,416	\$ 940,955	\$ 121,759	\$ (608,300)	\$ 963,830

Georgia Gulf Corporation and Subsidiaries
Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Three Months Ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Parent Company	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations
-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------