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## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1

## SIERRA BANCORP

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

| ASSETS March 31, 2006 December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 41,627 | \$ | 50,147 |
| Federal Funds Sold |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash \& Cash Equivalents |  | 41,627 |  | 50,147 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 197,495 |  | 193,676 |
| Loans and leases: |  |  |  |  |
| Gross loans and leases |  | 795,041 |  | 741,360 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(10,297)$ |  | $(9,330)$ |
| Deferred loan and lease fees, net |  | $(2,933)$ |  | $(2,250)$ |
| Net Loans and Leases |  | 781,811 |  | 729,780 |
| Restricted stock |  | 7,517 |  | 5,299 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 18,128 |  | 18,055 |
| Operating leases, net |  | 2,966 |  | 2,113 |
| Other real estate |  |  |  | 533 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 5,536 |  | 5,100 |
| Other assets |  | 47,150 |  | 47,983 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,102,230 | \$ | 1,052,686 |

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Demand | 280,866 | $\$$ |
| Interest bearing demand | 75,718 | 282,451 |
| Savings | 72,406 | 69,088 |
| MMDA s | 112,008 | 71,901 |
| TDOA s, IRA s \& KEOGH S | 22,923 | 107,045 |
| Time deposits $\langle \$ 100,000$ | 89,629 | 22,480 |
| Time deposits $\geq \$ 100,000$ | 154,615 | 90,639 |
|  |  | 172,067 |
| Total Deposits | 808,165 | 815,671 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 24,428 | 26,791 |
| Short Term Borrowings | 96,800 | 41,070 |
| Long Term Borrowings | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| Accrued interest payable | 2,153 | 1,459 |
| Other liabilities | 11,402 | 12,004 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 30,928 | 30,928 |

TOTAL LIABILITIES 1,019,876 973,923
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
Common stock, no par value; 24,000,000 shares authorized; 9,769,880 and 9,723,320 shares
issued and outstanding at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively 12,894
12,221

| Retained earnings | 71,564 | 68,382 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $(2,104)$ | $(1,840)$ |  |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY | 82,354 | 78,763 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS | EQUITY | $1,102,230$ | $\$$ |

## SIERRA BANCORP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME \& COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(dollars in thousands, except per share data, unaudited)

|  | For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2006 |  | For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits | \$ | 7 | \$ | 26 |
| US Treasury securities |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| US Gov t agencies |  | 187 |  | 60 |
| State and political subdivisions |  | 475 |  | 334 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,424 |  | 1,557 |
| Loans and leases, including fee income |  | 15,922 |  | 12,678 |
| Total interest income |  | 18,019 |  | 14,659 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits |  | 3,077 |  | 1,677 |
| Interest on borrowed funds |  | 1,463 |  | 1,154 |
| Total interest expense |  | 4,540 |  | 2,831 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 13,479 |  | 11,828 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,050 |  | 1,000 |
| Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses |  | 12,429 |  | 10,828 |
| OTHER OPERATING INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 1,476 |  | 1,258 |
| Gains on sales of loans |  |  |  | 527 |
| Other |  | 1,327 |  | 874 |
| Total other operating income |  | 2,803 |  | 2,659 |
| OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 4,191 |  | 4,066 |
| Occupancy expense |  | 1,548 |  | 1,497 |
| Other |  | 2,844 |  | 3,173 |
| Total other operating expenses |  | 8,583 |  | 8,736 |
| INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES |  | 6,649 |  | 4,751 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 2,199 |  | 1,490 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 4,450 | \$ | 3,261 |
| Other comprehensive (loss), unrealized (loss) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes |  | (265) |  | $(1,408)$ |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$ | 4,185 | \$ | 1,853 |
| PER SHARE DATA |  |  |  |  |
| Book value | \$ | 8.43 | \$ | 7.49 |
| Cash dividends | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.34 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ | 0.43 | \$ | 0.31 |
| Average shares outstanding, basic |  | 9,752,930 |  | 9,723,454 |
| Average shares outstanding, diluted |  | 10,271,219 |  | 10,386,027 |
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## SIERRA BANCORP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Three Months Ended March 31, } \\ 2006 & 2005\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 4,450 | \$ | 3,261 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on sales of loans |  |  |  | (527) |
| Loss (Gain) on sale of other real estate |  | 18 |  | (39) |
| Writedown to OREO |  | 133 |  | 260 |
| Expense for options granted under 123(R) |  | 101 |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,050 |  | 1,000 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 834 |  | 791 |
| Net amortization on securities premiums and discounts |  | 205 |  | 358 |
| Decrease (Increase) in unearned net loan fees |  | 683 |  | (54) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies |  | (185) |  | (157) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale |  |  |  | 32,648 |
| Originations of loans held for sale |  |  |  | $(31,802)$ |
| (Increase) Decrease in interest receivable and other assets |  | $(1,413)$ |  | 392 |
| Increase in other liabilities |  | 263 |  | 1,028 |
| Tax benefit from equity based compensation |  | (171) |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 5,968 |  | 7,159 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Maturities of securities available for sale |  | 413 |  | 1,785 |
| Proceeds from sales/calls of securities available for sale |  | 65 |  |  |
| Purchases of securities available for sale |  | $(11,394)$ |  | $(14,729)$ |
| Principal paydowns on securities available for sale |  | 6,387 |  | 9,215 |
| (Increase) Decrease in loans receivable, net |  | $(53,764)$ |  | 12,555 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net |  | $(1,760)$ |  | (363) |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate |  | 399 |  | 69 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities |  | $(59,654)$ |  | 8,532 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| (Decrease) Increase in deposits |  | $(7,506)$ |  | 26,390 |
| Increase (Decrease) in borrowed funds |  | 55,730 |  | $(28,800)$ |
| (Decrease) Increase in repurchase agreements |  | $(2,363)$ |  | 4,533 |
| Cash dividends paid |  | $(1,268)$ |  | $(1,070)$ |
| Stock repurchased |  |  |  | (214) |
| Stock options exercised |  | 402 |  | 1,157 |
| Tax benefit from equity based compensation |  | 171 |  |  |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 45,166 |  | 1,996 |
| (Decrease) Increase in cash and due from banks |  | $(8,520)$ |  | 17,687 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of period |  | 50,147 |  | 36,735 |
| End of period | \$ | 41,627 | \$ | 54,422 |
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## SIERRA BANCORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2006

## Note 1 The Business of Sierra Bancorp

Sierra Bancorp (the Company ) is a California corporation registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is headquartered in Porterville, California. The Company was incorporated in November 2000 and acquired all of the outstanding shares of Bank of the Sierra (the Bank ) in August 2001. The Company s principal subsidiary is the Bank, and the Company exists primarily for the purpose of holding the stock of the Bank and of such other subsidiaries it may acquire or establish. The Company s principal source of income is dividends from the Bank, but the Company intends to explore supplemental sources of income in the future. The expenditures of the Company, including (but not limited to) the payment of dividends to shareholders, if and when declared by the Board of Directors, and the cost of servicing debt, will generally be paid from cash raised via capital trust pass-through securities and retained at the holding company level, and dividends paid to the Company by the Bank.

At the present time, the Company s only other direct subsidiaries are Sierra Capital Trust I, which was formed in November 2001 solely to facilitate the issuance of capital trust pass-through securities, and Sierra Statutory Trust II, formed in March 2004 also for the purpose of issuing capital trust pass-through securities. Pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46), Sierra Capital Trust I and Sierra Statutory Trust II are not reflected on a consolidated basis in the financial statements of the Company. References herein to the
Company include the Sierra Bancorp and its consolidated subsidiary, the Bank, unless the context indicates otherwise.
The Bank is a California state-chartered bank headquartered in Porterville, California. It was incorporated in September 1977, opened for business in January 1978, and has grown to be the largest independent bank headquartered in the South San Joaquin Valley. The Bank is a multi-community independent bank that offers a full range of retail and commercial banking services primarily in the central and southern sections of the San Joaquin Valley. We currently operate twenty full service branch offices throughout this geographic footprint. The Bank stwo newest branches opened in Reedley in December 2005 and Bakersfield in February 2006, and our next branch is expected to open in the city of Delano in the third quarter of 2006. In addition to these full-service branches, the Bank has an agricultural credit unit and an SBA lending unit with staff located at our corporate headquarters, and eight offsite ATMs. The Bank s deposit accounts are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to maximum insurable amounts.

## Note 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in a condensed format, and therefore do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The information furnished in these interim statements reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for such period. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed in this Form 10-Q. The results of operations in the interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other quarter, or for the full year. Certain amounts reported for 2005 have been reclassified to be consistent with the reporting for 2006. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Note 3 Current Accounting Developments

On December 16, 2004, the FASB published Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment. Statement 123(R) covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. It revises FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting
for Stock Issued to Employees. This statement requires that stock-based compensation transactions be recognized as compensation expense in the income statement based on their fair values at the date of grant. Companies transitioning to fair value based accounting for stock-based compensation are required to use the modified prospective method, whereby they must recognize equity compensation cost from the beginning of the period in which the recognition provisions are first applied as if the fair value method had been previously used to account for all equity compensation awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 31, 1994. As allowed by SEC release no. 33-8568, the Company began expensing unvested stock options commencing January 1, 2006. The impact was an increase of $\$ 100,000$ in first quarter 2006 pre-tax compensation expense. In prior periods, the Company disclosed the pro-forma impact of this accounting methodology pursuant to previously accepted accounting principles.

## Note 4 Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, cash paid for interest due on interest-bearing liabilities was $\$ 3.8$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million, respectively. There was no cash paid for income taxes during the three months ended March 31, 2006, or for the three months ended March 31, 2005. There was no real estate acquired in the settlement of loans for the three months ended March 31, 2006 or the three months ended March 31, 2005. There were no loans made to finance the sale of other real estate for the three months ended March 31, 2006 or the three months ended March 31, 2005.

## Note 5 Stock Based Compensation

The Company s stock-based employee compensation plan, the 1998 Stock Option Plan, was assumed from Bank of the Sierra in August 2001 in conjunction with the Company s acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of the Bank. Until the adoption of Statement 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the 1998 Stock Option Plan was accounted for under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, and no stock-based employee compensation expense was reflected in net income since all options granted under this plan had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. However, pro-forma adjustments to the Company s consolidated net earnings and earnings per share have been disclosed as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation.

The Company is currently using the Black-Scholes model to value stock options. The multiple option approach is used to allocate the resulting valuation to actual expense commencing in 2006, and to pro-forma expense for disclosure purposes for periods prior to that. Under the multiple option approach, an employee s options for each vesting period are separately valued and amortized. This appears to be the FASB-preferred method for option grants with multiple vesting periods, which is the case for most options granted by the Company. The following table illustrates the pro-forma effect on net income and earnings per share for the first quarter of 2005:

|  | For the 3-month period ended: March 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income, as reported (in \$000 s) | \$ | 3,261 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects (in \$000 s) |  | 45 |
| Pro forma net income (in \$000 s) | \$ | 3,216 |
| Basic earnings per share - as reported | \$ | 0.34 |
| Basic earnings per share - pro forma | \$ | 0.33 |
| Diluted earnings per share - as reported | \$ | 0.31 |
| Diluted earnings per share - pro forma | \$ | 0.31 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during period | \$ | 6.18 |
| Assumptions for determining fair values: |  |  |
| Dividend yield |  | 1.95\% |
| Projected stock price volatility |  | 30.03\% |
| Risk-free interest rate |  | 3.80\% |
| Expected option term (from option date) |  | years |

There were no stock options granted by the Company in the first quarter of 2006. Pursuant to the provisions of Statement 123(R), a total of $\$ 100,000$ was reflected in the Company s income statement during the first quarter of 2006 as pre-tax compensation expense related to outstanding and unvested stock options.

## Note 6 Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share for all periods presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period retroactively restated for stock splits and dividends. There were $9,752,930$ weighted average shares outstanding during the first quarter of 2006, and $9,723,454$ during the first quarter of 2005. Diluted earnings per share include the effect of the potential issuance of common shares, which for the Company is limited to shares that would be issued on the exercise of outstanding stock options. The dilutive effect of all options outstanding was calculated using the treasury stock method, excluding anti-dilutive transactions and adjusting for unamortized expense and windfall tax benefits. For the first quarter of 2006, the dilutive effect of options outstanding totaled 518,289 shares, which were added to basic weighted average shares outstanding for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share. Likewise, for the first quarter of 2005 , shares totaling 662,573 were added to basic weighted average shares outstanding in order to calculate diluted earnings per share.

## Note 7 Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income. The Company s only source of other comprehensive income is derived from unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available-for-sale. Reclassification adjustments, resulting from gains or losses on investment securities that were realized and included in net income of the current period that also had been included in other comprehensive income as unrealized holding gains or losses in the period in which they arose, are excluded from comprehensive income of the current period. The Company s comprehensive income was as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | For the 3-month period ended: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ 4,450 | \$ | 3,261 |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income: |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding (loss)/gain | (502) |  | $(2,392)$ |
| Less: reclassification adjustment | 0 |  | 0 |
| Pre-tax other comprehensive (loss)/income | (502) |  | $(2,392)$ |
| Less: tax impact of above | (237) |  | (984) |
| Net other comprehensive (loss)/income | (265) |  | $(1,408)$ |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 4,185 | \$ | 1,853 |

## Note 8 Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business, in order to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. They involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet.

The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and letters of credit as it does for loans included on the balance sheet.

The following financial instruments represent off-balance-sheet credit risk (dollars in thousands):

|  | March 31, 2006 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Commitments to extend credit | $\$$ | 300,062 | $\$$ |  |
| Standby letters of credit | $\$$ | 17,820 | $\$$ |  |
| Commercial letters of credit | $\$$ | 12,023 | $\$ 16,630$ |  |
| Credit card commitments | $\$$ | 46,322 | $\$$ |  |

Commitments to extend credit consist primarily of unfunded single-family residential construction loans and home equity lines of credit, and commercial real estate construction loans and commercial revolving lines of credit. Construction loans are established under standard underwriting guidelines and policies and are secured by deeds of trust, with disbursements made over the course of construction. Commercial revolving lines of credit have a high degree of industry diversification. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are generally unsecured and are issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party, while commercial letters of credit represent the Bank s commitment to pay a third party on behalf of a customer upon fulfillment of contractually-specified requirements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. Credit card commitments represent available balances on credit cards and are unsecured.

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 2

## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND

## ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

## AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements that involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Words such as expects , anticipates , believes , projects , and estimates or variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed, forecast in, or implied by such forward-looking statements.

A variety of factors could have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations, and should be considered when evaluating the potential future financial performance of the Company. These include but are not limited to the possibility of deterioration in economic conditions in the Company s service areas; risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates; liquidity risks; increases in nonperforming assets and net credit losses that could occur, particularly in times of weak economic conditions or rising interest rates; the loss in market value of available-for-sale securities that could result if interest rates change substantially or an issuer has real or perceived financial difficulties; and risks associated with the multitude of current and future laws and regulations to which the Company is and will be subject.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company s financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The financial information and disclosures contained within those statements are significantly impacted by Management s estimates and judgments, which are based on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under current circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that involve the most complex and subjective decisions and assessments, and have the greatest potential impact on the Company s stated results of operations. In Management s opinion, the Company s critical accounting policies deal with the following areas: the establishment of the Company s allowance for loan and lease losses, as explained in detail in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses sections of this discussion and analysis; loan origination costs, which are estimated in the aggregate by loan type based on an annual evaluation of expenses (primarily salaries and benefits) associated with successful loan originations and are allocated to individual loans as they are booked, but can actually vary significantly for individual loans depending on the characteristics of such loans; income taxes, especially with regard to the ability of the Company to recover deferred tax assets, as discussed in the Provision for Income Taxes and Other Assets sections of this discussion and analysis; goodwill, which is evaluated annually for impairment based on changes in the market capitalization of the Company; and equity-based compensation, which is discussed in greater detail in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements. Critical accounting areas are evaluated on an ongoing basis to ensure that the Company sfinancial statements incorporate the most recent expectations with regard to these areas.

## OVERVIEW OF THE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SUMMARY

Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was $\$ 4.5$ million compared with $\$ 3.3$ million for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, an improvement of $36 \%$. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the first quarter of 2006 were $\$ 0.46$ and $\$ 0.43$, compared to $\$ 0.34$ and $\$ 0.31$ for the first quarter of 2005. The Company s annualized return on average equity was $22.46 \%$ and annualized return on average assets was $1.70 \%$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to a return on equity of $18.32 \%$ and return on assets of $1.32 \%$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The primary drivers behind the variance in net income for the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005 are as follows:

Net interest income increased by $\$ 1.7$ million, or $14 \%$, due mainly to the addition of $\$ 63$ million in average loans and a 42 basis point net interest margin gain.

Service charges on deposits were up by $\$ 218,000$, or $17 \%$, due to new charges for higher-risk accounts.

There was no loan sale income in the first quarter of 2006 since no loans were sold, but $\$ 21$ million in mortgage loans were sold in March 2005 at a $\$ 527,000$ gain.

Other non-interest income increased by $\$ 453,000$, or $52 \%$, due to increases in various components of core operating income and because of a prior-year charge of $\$ 330,000$ to write down our investment in Diversified Holdings, a title insurance holding company.

Despite two additional branches, salaries and benefits expense and occupancy expense each increased by only $3 \%$. The expense recognized for salaries and benefits was not as great as expected in part because of an increase in deferred salaries related to loan originations and a decline in the cost of benefits, and reduced maintenance and repair costs had a beneficial impact on occupancy expense.

Other operating expenses fell by a combined $\$ 329,000$, or $10 \%$, primarily because of a $\$ 273,000$, or $55 \%$, drop in advertising and marketing costs, although much of the marketing expense decline is due to timing issues and these costs are likely to escalate later in the year.
FINANCIAL CONDITION SUMMARY
The Company s total assets were slightly over $\$ 1.10$ billion at March 31, 2006, an increase of $\$ 50$ million, or $5 \%$, over total assets of $\$ 1.05$ billion at December 31, 2005. The most significant characteristics of and changes in the Company s balance sheet during the first quarter of 2006 are outlined below:

Gross loan and lease balances increased by $\$ 54$ million, or $7 \%$, ending the quarter at $\$ 795$ million. The increase was mostly organic growth resulting from strong loan demand in our service areas.

Overall, nonperforming assets remain at nominal levels, ending the quarter unchanged at $0.11 \%$ of gross loans plus other real estate owned ( OREO ). Non-accruing loans increased slightly, but the Company s single remaining OREO property at year-end 2005 was sold in March 2006 and the OREO balance at the end of the first quarter was zero.
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Total time deposits fell by $\$ 18$ million during the quarter due mainly to the loss of a single account, and non-interest demand deposits were down by about $\$ 2$ million. On the other hand, NOW, savings, and money market deposits increased by a combined $\$ 12$ million.

Short-term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank increased by $\$ 56$ million to compensate for the overall drop in deposits and to fund loan growth.

## EARNINGS PERFORMANCE

The Company earns income from two primary sources. The first is net interest income, which is interest income generated by earning assets less interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. The second is non-interest income, which primarily consists of customer service charges and fees but also comes from non-customer sources such as bank-owned life insurance. The majority of the Company s non-interest expenses are operating costs that relate to providing a full range of banking services to our customers.

## NET INTEREST INCOME AND NET INTEREST MARGIN

Net interest income for the first quarter of 2006 was $\$ 13.5$ million compared to $\$ 11.8$ million in the first quarter of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million, or $14 \%$. The level of net interest income depends on several factors in combination, including growth in earning assets, yields on earning assets, the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, the relative volumes of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the mix of products which comprise the Company s earning assets, deposits, and other interest-bearing liabilities.

The following Distribution, Rate and Yield table shows, for the periods noted, the average balance of each principal balance sheet category and the amount of interest income or interest expense associated with that category. This table also shows the calculated yields on each major component of the Company s investment and loan portfolio, the average rates paid on each key segment of the Company sinterest bearing liabilities, and the net interest margin.

## Average Balances and Rates

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

|  | For the Quarter |  |  |  | For the Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ended March 31, $2006{ }^{(a)(b) ~(f) ~}$ |  |  |  | Ended March 31, 2005 (a) (b) (f) |  |  |
|  |  | Average <br> Balance | Income/ Expense | Average Rate/Yield | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Average <br> Rate/Yield |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds sold/Due from time | \$ | 793 | \$ 7 | 3.58\% | \$ 4,145 | \$ 26 | 2.54\% |
| Taxable | \$ | 148,301 | \$ 1,615 | 4.42\% | \$ 166,014 | \$ 1,621 | 3.96\% |
| Non-taxable | S | 48,001 | \$ 475 | 6.17\% | \$ 33,121 | \$ 334 | 6.20\% |
| Equity | \$ | 11 | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ 8 | \$ | 0.00\% |
| Total Investments | \$ | 197,106 | \$ 2,097 | 4.84\% | \$ 203,288 | \$ 1,981 | 4.30\% |
| Loans and Leases: ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | \$ | 8,813 | \$ 189 | 8.70\% | \$ 11,573 | \$ 198 | 6.94\% |
| Commercial | \$ | 129,161 | \$ 2,900 | 9.11\% | \$ 121,341 | \$ 2,189 | 7.32\% |
| Real Estate | \$ | 548,360 | \$ 11,314 | 8.37\% | \$ 496,892 | \$ 9,038 | 7.38\% |
| Consumer | \$ | 52,031 | \$ 1,165 | 9.08\% | \$ 49,397 | \$ 977 | 8.02\% |
| Consumer Credit Cards | \$ | 7,770 | \$ 210 | 10.96\% | \$ 8,725 | \$ 221 | 10.27\% |
| Direct Financing Leases | \$ | 9,659 | \$ 144 | 6.05\% | \$ 3,207 | \$ 55 | 6.96\% |
| Other | \$ | 315 | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ 1,647 | \$ | 0.00\% |
| Total Loans and Leases | \$ | 756,109 | \$ 15,922 | 8.54\% | \$ 692,782 | \$ 12,678 | 7.42\% |
| Total Interest Earning Assets ${ }^{\left({ }^{\text {e }} \text { )}\right.}$ | \$ | 953,215 | \$ 18,019 | 7.78\% | \$ 896,070 | \$ 14,659 | 6.71\% |
| Other Earning Assets | \$ | 5,548 |  |  | \$ 7,048 |  |  |
| Non-Earning Assets | \$ | 102,466 |  |  | \$ 95,775 |  |  |
| Total Assets |  | 1,061,229 |  |  | \$ 998,893 |  |  |

Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

| Interest Bearing Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW | \$ | 67,614 | \$ | 16 | 0.10\% | \$ 65,825 | \$ | 15 | 0.09\% |
| Savings Accounts | \$ | 70,758 | \$ | 91 | 0.52\% | \$ 69,784 | \$ | 91 | 0.53\% |
| Money Market | \$ | 111,482 | \$ | 387 | 1.41\% | \$ 131,599 | \$ | 214 | 0.66\% |
| TDOA s, IRA \& KEOGH s | \$ | 22,672 | \$ | 158 | 2.83\% | \$ 22,191 | \$ | 98 | 1.79\% |
| Certificates of Deposit<\$100,000 | \$ | 90,131 | \$ | 732 | 3.29\% | \$ 84,056 | \$ | 402 | 1.94\% |
| Certificates of Deposit $\geq$ \$100,000 | \$ | 173,656 | \$ | 1,693 | 3.95\% | \$ 141,719 | \$ | 857 | 2.45\% |
| Total Interest Bearing Deposits | \$ | 536,313 | \$ | 3,077 | 2.33\% | \$ 515,174 | \$ | 1,677 | 1.32\% |
| Borrowed Funds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funds Purchased | \$ | 14,192 | \$ | 167 | 4.77\% | \$ 695 | \$ | 4 | 2.33\% |
| Repurchase Agreements | \$ | 25,838 | \$ | 36 | 0.57\% | \$ 27,554 | \$ | 24 | 0.35\% |
| Short Term Borrowings | \$ | 36,285 | \$ | 290 | 3.24\% | \$ 26,289 | \$ | 161 | 2.48\% |
| Long Term Borrowings | \$ | 46,000 | \$ | 330 | 2.91\% | \$ 75,000 | \$ | 466 | 2.52\% |
| TRUPS | \$ | 30,928 | \$ | 640 | 8.39\% | \$ 30,928 | \$ | 499 | 6.54\% |
| Total Borrowed Funds | \$ | 153,243 | \$ | 1,463 | 3.87\% | \$ 160,466 | \$ | 1,154 | 2.92\% |
| Total Interest Bearing Liabilities | \$ | 689,556 | \$ | 4,540 | 2.67\% | \$ 675,640 | \$ | 2,831 | 1.70\% |


| Demand Deposits |  | 277,247 |  |  | \$ 239,870 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Liabilities | \$ | 14,079 |  |  | \$ 11,207 |  |  |
| Shareholders Equity | \$ | 80,347 |  |  | \$ 72,176 |  |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity |  | 1,061,229 |  |  | \$ 998,893 |  |  |
| Interest Income/Interest Earning Assets |  |  |  | 7.78\% |  |  | 6.71\% |
| Interest Expense/Interest Earning Assets |  |  |  | 1.93\% |  |  | 1.28\% |
| Net Interest Income and Margin ${ }^{(d)}$ |  |  | \$ 13,479 | 5.85\% |  | \$ 11,828 | 5.43\% |

(a) Average balances are obtained from the best available daily or monthly data and are net of deferred fees and related direct costs.
(b) Yields and net interest margin have been computed on a tax equivalent basis.
(c) Loan fees have been included in the calculation of interest income. Loan fees were approximately $\$ 478$ thousand and $\$ 466$ thousand for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.
Loans are gross of the allowance for possible loan losses.
(d) Represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
(e) Non-accrual loans have been included in total loans for purposes of total earning assets.
(f) Annualized

The Volume and Rate Variances table below sets forth the dollar difference in interest earned and paid for each major category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the noted periods, and the amount of such change attributable to changes in average balances (volume) or changes in average interest rates. Volume variances
are equal to the increase or decrease in average balance times prior period rates, and rate variances are equal to the increase or decrease in average rate times prior period average balances. Variances attributable to both rate and volume changes are equal to the change in rate times the change in average balance.

## Volume \& Rate Variances

(dollars in thousands)

Quarter Ended March 31, 2006 over 2005

|  | Increase (decrease) due to |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Rate |  | lume | Net |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds sold / Due from time | \$ (21) | 11 |  | (9) | \$ (19) |
| Taxable | \$ (173) | 187 |  | (20) | \$ (6) |
| Non-taxable ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 150 | (6) |  | (3) | \$ 141 |
| Equity | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |
| Total Investments | \$ (44) | \$ 192 | \$ | (32) | \$ 116 |
| Loans and Leases: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | \$ (47) | 50 |  | (12) | \$ (9) |
| Commercial | \$ 141 | 536 |  | 35 | \$ 711 |
| Real Estate | \$ 936 | 1,214 |  | 126 | \$ 2,276 |
| Consumer | \$ 52 | 129 |  | 7 | \$ 188 |
| Consumer Credit Cards | \$ (24) | 14 |  | (1) | \$ (11) |
| Direct Financing Leases | \$ 110 | (7) |  | (14) | \$ 89 |
| Other | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |
| Total Loans and Leases | \$ 1,168 | \$ 1,936 | \$ | 141 | \$ 3,244 |
| Total Interest Earning Assets | \$ 1,123 | \$2,128 | \$ | 109 | \$ 3,360 |

## Liabilities

| Interest Bearing Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW | \$ |  | 1 |  |  | \$ | 1 |
| Savings Accounts | \$ | 1 | (1) |  |  | \$ |  |
| Money Market | \$ | (33) | 243 |  | (37) | \$ | 173 |
| TDOA s \& IRA s | \$ | 2 | 57 |  | 1 | \$ | 60 |
| Certificates of Deposit < \$100,000 | \$ | 29 | 281 |  | 20 | \$ | 330 |
| Certificates of Deposit $\geq \$ 100,000$ | \$ |  | 525 |  | 118 | \$ | 836 |
| Total Interest Bearing Deposits | \$ | 192 | \$ 1,106 | \$ | 102 |  | ,400 |
| Borrowed Funds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funds Purchased | \$ |  | 4 |  | 81 | \$ | 163 |
| Repurchase Agreements | \$ | (1) | 14 |  | (1) | \$ | 12 |
| Short Term Borrowings | \$ | 61 | 49 |  | 19 | \$ | 129 |
| Long Term Borrowings | \$ | (180) | 72 |  | (28) | , | (136) |
| TRUPS | \$ |  | 141 |  |  | \$ | 141 |
| Total Borrowed Funds | \$ | (42) | \$ 280 | \$ | 71 | \$ | 309 |
| Total Interest Bearing Liabilities |  |  | \$ 1,386 | \$ | 173 |  | 1,709 |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Net Interest Margin/Income } & \$ 973 & \$ 742 \quad \$ \quad(64) & \$ 1,651\end{array}$
${ }^{(1)}$ Yields on tax exempt income have not been computed on a tax equivalent basis.
As shown above, pure volume variances contributed $\$ 973,000$ to net interest income in the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005. Favorable changes in interest rates supplemented this amount by $\$ 742,000$, and the combined rate/volume variance was a negative $\$ 64,000$. The positive volume variance is mainly due to growth in average interest-earning assets without significant corresponding growth in interest-bearing liabilities, as can be seen in the Distribution, Rate \& Yield table.

Average interest-earning assets were $\$ 57$ million higher in the first quarter of 2006 than in the first quarter of 2005, an increase of $6 \%$. The increase was due to growth of $\$ 63$ million, or $9 \%$, in average loan balances, slightly offset by a decline of $\$ 6$ million, or $3 \%$, in average investment balances. Average non-interest assets were about $10 \%$ of
average total assets in the first quarters of both 2006 and 2005; however, the average balance of demand deposits, other liabilities, and shareholders equity increased to $35 \%$ of average assets from $32 \%$. Average demand deposits grew by $\$ 37$ million, or $16 \%$, other liabilities grew by $\$ 3$ million, or $26 \%$, and shareholders equity increased by $\$ 8$ million, or $11 \%$, all of which exceeded the rate of growth in the aggregate balance sheet. The increase in the relative level of non-interest liabilities and equity contributed to the Company s profitability by reducing our need to obtain interest-bearing funds, as evidenced by the fact that interest-bearing liabilities increased by only $\$ 14$ million, or $2 \%$. Note that the volume increase in earning assets added $\$ 1.1$ million to interest income, while the volume increase in interest-bearing liabilities added only $\$ 150,000$ to interest expense.

The calculated rate increase for the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005 is 107 basis points for interest-earning assets and 97 basis points for interest-bearing liabilities. The increase is higher for earning assets because of a relatively high level of variable-rate loans, which have experienced rate increases as the prime rate has risen. The favorable rate increase differential is enhanced by the spread between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (otherwise known as the net interest position ). The Company s net interest position was $\$ 220$ million in the first quarter of 2005, the base period for the rate variance calculations, thus the rate increase for earning assets was applied to a substantially larger volume than the rate increase for interest-bearing liabilities and had a relatively larger impact.

The Company s net interest margin is tax-equivalent net interest income expressed as a percentage of average earning assets, and it is affected by many of the same factors discussed relative to our rate and volume variances. Our net interest margin was $5.85 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 as compared to $5.43 \%$ in the first quarter of 2005 , an increase of 42 basis points. A substantial portion of the Company s earning assets are variable-rate loans that re-price immediately when the Company s prime lending rate is changed, versus a large base of core deposits that are generally slower to re-price. This causes the Company s balance sheet to be slightly asset-sensitive, which means that all else being equal, the Company s net interest margin will be lower during periods when short-term interest rates are falling and higher when rates are rising. This effect has been visible during 2005 and 2006, as the Company s net interest margin has increased in strong correlation to rising short-term market interest rates.

Our net interest margin was also favorably affected by an increase in the Company s net interest position. As noted above, in the first quarter of 2005 the net interest position was $\$ 220$ million, or $22 \%$ of average assets, but in the first quarter of 2006 it rose to $\$ 264$ million, or $25 \%$ of average assets. The expansion in the Company s net interest position was enabled by the combined $\$ 48$ million increase in average demand deposits, equity, and other liabilities, which was only slightly offset by a $\$ 5$ million increase in average non-interest assets. A drop of $\$ 3.5$ million, or $85 \%$, in average nonperforming assets also had a beneficial impact on our net interest margin.

Management anticipates that the Company s net interest margin could experience some compression if short-term interest rates do not continue to rise, nevertheless we feel that net interest income will continue to increase if loans and core deposits grow as planned. However, no assurance can be given that this will, in fact, occur.

## PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

Credit risk is inherent in the business of making loans. The Company sets aside an allowance for loan and lease losses through periodic charges to earnings, which are reflected in the income statement as the provision for loan and lease losses. These charges are in amounts sufficient to achieve an allowance for loan and lease losses that, in management s judgment, is adequate to absorb losses inherent in the Company s loan portfolio.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the Company s provision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 50,000$ greater than the amount provided in the first quarter of 2005 , an increase of $5 \%$. Because of robust growth in loans during the first quarter of 2006 , the loan loss provision was slightly higher despite the prior-year s build-up of a specific reserve for a large unsecured loan that was placed on non-accrual status and subsequently charged off prior to year-end. The procedures for monitoring the adequacy of the allowance, and detailed information on the allowance, are included below in Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses .

## NON-INTEREST REVENUE AND OPERATING EXPENSE

The following table provides details on the Company s non-interest income and non-interest expense for the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005.

## Non Interest Income/Expense

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | For the Quarter Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER OPERATING INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 1,476 | 52.66\% | \$ 1,258 | 47.31\% |
| Other service charges, commissions \& fees | \$ 1,071 | 38.21\% | \$ 816 | 30.69\% |
| Gains on sales of loans | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ 527 | 19.82\% |
| Loan servicing income | \$ 13 | 0.46\% | \$ 33 | 1.24\% |
| Bank owned life insurance | \$ 185 | 6.60\% | \$ 253 | 9.51\% |
| Other | \$ 58 | 2.07\% | \$ (228) | -8.57\% |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 2,803 | 100.00\% | \$ 2,659 | 100.00\% |
| As a percentage of average earning assets ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1.19\% |  | 1.19\% |
| OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 4,191 | 48.83\% | \$ 4,066 | 46.54\% |
| Occupancy costs |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture \& equipment | \$ 817 | 9.52\% | \$ 806 | 9.23\% |
| Premises | \$ 731 | 8.52\% | \$ 691 | 7.91\% |
| Advertising and marketing costs | \$ 223 | 2.60\% | \$ 496 | 5.68\% |
| Data processing costs | \$ 238 | 2.77\% | \$ 298 | 3.41\% |
| Deposit services costs | \$ 446 | 5.20\% | \$ 304 | 3.48\% |
| Loan services costs |  |  |  |  |
| Loan processing | \$ 62 | 0.72\% | \$ 87 | 1.00\% |
| ORE owned | \$ 146 | 1.70\% | \$ 222 | 2.54\% |
| Credit card | \$ 180 | 2.10\% | \$ 146 | 1.67\% |
| Other operating costs |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone \& data communications | \$ 199 | 2.32\% | \$ 203 | 2.32\% |
| Postage \& mail | \$ 111 | 1.29\% | \$ 128 | 1.47\% |
| Other | \$ 470 | 5.47\% | \$ 409 | 4.68\% |
| Professional services costs |  |  |  |  |
| Legal \& accounting | \$ 261 | 3.04\% | \$ 351 | 4.02\% |
| Other professional service | \$ 287 | 3.34\% | \$ 328 | 3.75\% |
| Stationery \& supply costs | \$ 179 | 2.09\% | \$ 145 | 1.66\% |
| Sundry \& tellers | \$ 42 | 0.49\% | \$ 56 | 0.64\% |
| Total non-interest Expense | \$8,583 | 100.00\% | \$8,736 | 100.00\% |
| As a \% of average earning assets |  | 3.63\% |  | 3.92\% |
| Efficiency Ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ | 51.53\% |  | 57.78\% |  |
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The Company s results reflect an increase of $\$ 144,000$, or $5 \%$, in total other operating income for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 relative to the quarter ended March 31, 2005. Total other operating income was $1.19 \%$ of average earning assets for both quarters.

Service charges on deposit accounts increased by $\$ 218,000$, or $17 \%$, primarily because of the implementation of risk-based pricing for certain deposit accounts in September 2005. This resulted in additional fees related to higher-risk business accounts, which added $\$ 182,000$ to pre-tax income for the first quarter of 2006. Despite significantly higher average transaction account balances, other service charges on deposit accounts increased only minimally because many of the transaction accounts added during the past year are initially free of service charges, and because hard-dollar charges for business accounts on analysis have declined as the earnings credit rate for those accounts has increased.

Other service charges, commissions, and fees increased by $\$ 255,000$, or $31 \%$, due to increases in a variety of categories such as check card interchange fees, bill-pay income, currency order charges, and leasing rental income. For gains on the sale of loans, there were no loans sold in the first quarter of 2006, while the first quarter of 2005 includes a $\$ 527,000$ gain on the sale of $\$ 21$ million in residential mortgage loans. Loan servicing income was down since the number of loans being serviced for others continues to decline.

Bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) income declined by $\$ 68,000$, or $27 \%$. At March 31, 2006 the Company had $\$ 18.7$ million invested in single-premium general account BOLI, with an interest credit rate that does not change frequently and is floored at no less than $3 \%$. This balance includes $\$ 2$ million of BOLI that was purchased in August of 2005. Income from this BOLI is used to fund expenses associated with executive salary continuation plans and a directors retirement plan. In addition, however, at March 31, 2006 the Company had approximately $\$ 1.3$ million invested in separate account BOLI used to hedge deferred compensation arrangements for certain directors and senior officers. These BOLI accounts have returns pegged to participant-directed investment allocations, and are thus subject to loss of principal depending on equity market movements. The decline in BOLI income for the quarter was due in part to slightly lower rates on the Company s general account BOLI, but was mainly the result of lower returns on separate account BOLI linked to deferred compensation balances.

Other non-interest income includes gains and losses on investments, gains on the disposition of fixed assets and real properties, and rental income generated by the Company s alliance with Investment Centers of America. There were no investment gains or losses recorded for either the first quarter of 2006 or the first quarter of 2005 . Other non-interest income increased by $\$ 286,000$ for the quarter, however, due to the $\$ 330,000$ write-down of the Company s $\$ 1$ million investment in Diversified Holdings Corporation, a title insurance holding company, in the first quarter of 2005 . That investment was subsequently written down by an additional $\$ 240,000$ in the fourth quarter of 2005 , to the level of expected proceeds from the sale of the primary operating subsidiaries of that company.

Total operating expense (non-interest expense) was $\$ 8.6$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, a decline of $\$ 153,000$, or $2 \%$, relative to total operating expense of $\$ 8.7$ million for the same period in 2005. As discussed further below, the decline was largely due to lower marketing expenses. Because of this decline and because average earning assets increased, non-interest expenses fell to $3.6 \%$ of average earning assets for the first quarter of 2006 relative to $3.9 \%$ in the first quarter of 2005.

The largest component of non-interest expense, Salaries and employee benefits, increased by $\$ 125,000$, or $3 \%$, for the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005 . Salaries alone increased by $\$ 254,000$, or $9 \%$, due to the following: Regular annual increases; employee salaries for the new Reedley and Bakersfield Riverlakes branches; and selective staff additions in our back office operations to support branch growth. The increase in salaries would have been greater if not for the increase of $\$ 177,000$, or $24 \%$, in salaries that are directly related to successful loan originations and are thus deferred and amortized as an adjustment to loan yields pursuant to FAS 91. This credit against current salaries was higher because of the substantial increase in loan origination activity in the first quarter of 2006. The cost of benefits dropped by $\$ 127,000$, or $10 \%$, due to lower workers compensation insurance premiums and lower deferred compensation costs. In spite of the minimal increase in aggregate salaries and benefits, they rose to $48.8 \%$ of total non-interest expense for the first three months of 2006 from $46.5 \%$ in the first three
months of 2005, due to the overall decline in total non-interest expense. The Company had 353 full-time equivalent employees at March 31, 2006, and 342 full-time equivalents at March 31, 2005.

Occupancy expense, including furniture and equipment maintenance and depreciation, increased by only $\$ 51,000$, or $3 \%$, for the first quarter of 2006 relative to the first quarter of 2005. The increase was relatively low due to a drop in costs related to maintenance and repairs. Occupancy expense was $18.0 \%$ of total non-interest expense for the first three months of 2006 , up from $17.1 \%$ for the first three months of 2005, with the increase again caused by the overall decline in non-interest expense.

Perhaps the most notable change in expenses occurred in advertising and marketing costs. Marketing expense decreased $\$ 273,000$, or $55 \%$, in large part because of the discontinuation of a deposit-oriented marketing campaign that added approximately $\$ 125,000$ to expenses in the first quarter of 2005 . Newspaper, radio, and television advertising was also down by $\$ 124,000$ for the quarter, although it is expected that these expenses will increase later in the year.

Other sizeable changes in non-interest expenses include OREO expense, other operating costs, legal and accounting costs, and expenses related to other professional services. OREO expenses were $\$ 76,000$ lower due to a decline in charges to write down OREO properties. With the sale of our final remaining property in the first quarter of 2006, the balance of OREO has been completely eliminated as of March 31, 2006. The other category under other operating costs is up by $\$ 61,000$, or $15 \%$, for the first quarter of 2006 compared to the first quarter of 2005 , due to higher depreciation expense on operating leases and higher pass-through losses on our increased limited partnership investment in tax credit funds. Lower internal audit costs and lower legal costs contributed to the decline of $\$ 90,000$, or $26 \%$, in legal and accounting costs. The cost of other professional services was $\$ 41,000$ lower, mainly because of lower accruals for our directors retirement plan.

Because the percentage increase in net interest plus other income was greater than the percentage change in non-interest expense, the Company s tax-equivalent overhead efficiency ratio declined to $51.5 \%$ for the first quarter of 2006 relative to $57.8 \%$ for the first quarter of 2005. The overhead efficiency ratio represents total operating expense divided by the sum of fully tax-equivalent net interest and non-interest income, with the provision for loan losses, investment gains and losses, and other extraordinary income and expenses excluded from the equation.

## PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

The Company sets aside a provision for income taxes on a monthly basis. This tax provision was $\$ 2.2$ million for the first quarter of 2006 and $\$ 1.5$ million for the first quarter of 2005 , representing $33 \%$ and $31 \%$, respectively, of pre-tax income for those periods. The amount of the tax provision is determined by applying the Company s statutory income tax rates to pre-tax book income, adjusted for permanent differences between pre-tax book income and actual taxable income. Such permanent differences include but are not limited to tax-exempt interest income, increases in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance, California Enterprise Zone deductions, certain expenses that are not allowed as tax deductions, and tax credits. Since permanent differences have not increased at the same rate as pre-tax income, much of the $\$ 1.9$ million increase in pre-tax income is taxable at the Company s marginal tax rate of approximately $41 \%$. Thus, the provision for income taxes increased slightly as a percentage of pre-tax income.

## BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

## EARNING ASSETS

## INVESTMENTS

The major components of the Company searning asset base are its investments and loans, and the detailed composition and growth characteristics of both are significant determinants of the financial condition of the Company. The Company s investments are analyzed in this section, while the loan and lease portfolio is discussed in a later section of this Form 10-Q.

The Company s investments consist of debt and marketable equity securities (together, the investment portfolio ), investments in the time deposits of other banks, and overnight fed funds sold. These serve several purposes: 1) they provide liquidity to even out cash flows from the loan and deposit activities of customers; 2) they provide a source of pledged assets for securing public deposits, bankruptcy deposits and certain borrowed funds which require collateral; 3) they constitute a large base of assets with maturity and interest rate characteristics that can be changed more readily than the loan portfolio, to better match changes in the deposit base and other funding sources of the Company; 4) they are an alternative interest-earning use of funds when loan demand is light; and 5) they can provide partially tax exempt income.

Although the Company currently has the intent and the ability to hold the securities in its investment portfolio to maturity, the securities are all marketable and are classified as available for sale to allow maximum flexibility with regard to interest rate risk and liquidity management. Pursuant to SFAS 115, the balance of available for sale securities is carried on the Company s financial statements at its estimated fair market value, with monthly tax-effected mark-to-market adjustments made vis-à-vis the accumulated other comprehensive income account in shareholders equity. The following table sets forth the Company s investment portfolio by investment type as of the dates noted:

## Investment Portfolio

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | March 31, 2006 |  |  | December 31, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Market Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Market Value |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Treasury securities | \$ 503 | \$ | 494 | \$ 504 | \$ | 495 |
| US Gov t agencies | 17,355 |  | 17,089 | 17,360 |  | 17,143 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 133,879 |  | 129,974 | 134,455 |  | 131,050 |
| State \& political subdivisions | 49,380 |  | 49,929 | 44,477 |  | 44,977 |
| Other equity securities | 6 |  | 9 | 6 |  | 11 |
| Total Investment Securities | \$ 201,123 |  | \$ 197,495 | \$ 196,802 | \$ | 193,676 |

The carrying value of the investment portfolio increased by almost $\$ 4$ million, or $2 \%$, during the first quarter of 2006. Approximately $\$ 69$ million of the portfolio total at March 31, 2006 represents remaining balances from the $\$ 100$ million leverage strategy implemented in April 2004. The leverage balances are funded mostly by $\$ 65$ million in fixed-rate FHLB borrowings obtained at the commencement of the leverage strategy, with short-term FHLB borrowings making up the difference.

During the first quarter of 2006, mortgage-backed securities declined slightly due to prepayments, while the balance of municipal bonds increased as the Company has taken advantage of relative value in that sector. Securities that were pledged as collateral for FHLB borrowings, repurchase agreements, public deposits and for other purposes as required or permitted by law totaled $\$ 168$ million at March 31, 2006, and $\$ 164$ million at December 31, 2005. There were no fed funds sold at either March 31, 2006 or at December 31, 2005, and the Company s investment in the time deposits of other banks totaled $\$ 250,000$ at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 345,000$ at December 31, 2005. Aggregate investments were $18 \%$ of total assets at both March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

## LOAN PORTFOLIO

The Company s loans and leases, gross of the associated allowance for losses and deferred fees and origination costs, totaled $\$ 795$ million at the end of March 2006. This represents an increase of $\$ 54$ million, or $7 \%$, since December 31, 2005. Net participations purchased account for $\$ 11$ million of this increase, while the remainder consists of organic growth. While we do not expect to sustain this rate of growth for the remainder of 2006, our current momentum is likely to keep growth at above-average levels relative to historical growth rates at least into the first
part of the second quarter. Because of the many variables that ultimately impact loan growth, however, no assurance can be given that anticipated increases in loans will materialize.

A comparative schedule of the distribution of the Company s loans at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, by outstanding balance as well as by percentage of total loans, is presented in the following Loan and Lease Distribution table. The balances shown for each loan type are before deferred or unamortized loan origination, extension, or commitment fees, and deferred origination costs for loans in that category.

## Loan and Lease Distribution

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | March 31 |  | ember 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 |  |
| Agricultural | \$ 8,642 | \$ | 9,898 |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 111,435 | \$ | 100,545 |
| Real Estate: |  |  |  |
| Secured by commercial/professional office Properties including construction and development | \$ 378,371 | \$ | 363,094 |
| Secured by residential properties | \$ 154,792 | \$ | 128,735 |
| Secured by farmland | \$ 43,939 | \$ | 45,353 |
| Total Real Estate | \$ 577,102 | \$ | 537,182 |
| Small Business Administration loans | \$ 26,463 | \$ | 24,190 |
| Consumer loans | \$ 50,268 | \$ | 51,006 |
| Consumer Credit Cards | \$ 8,162 | \$ | 8,401 |
| Direct Financing Leases | \$ 12,969 | \$ | 10,138 |
| Total Loans and Leases | \$ 795,041 | \$ | 741,360 |
| Percentage of Total Loans and Leases |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | 1.09\% |  | 1.34\% |
| Commercial and industrial | 14.02\% |  | 13.56\% |
| Real Estate: |  |  |  |
| Secured by commercial/professional office Properties including construction and development | 47.59\% |  | 48.98\% |
| Secured by residential properties | 19.47\% |  | 17.36\% |
| Secured by farmland | 5.53\% |  | 6.12\% |
| Total Real Estate | 72.59\% |  | 72.46\% |
| Small Business Administration loans | 3.33\% |  | 3.26\% |
| Consumer loans | 6.32\% |  | 6.88\% |
| Consumer Credit cards | 1.02\% |  | 1.13\% |
| Direct Financing Leases | 1.63\% |  | 1.37\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |

The first category in the table, agricultural production loans, shows a decline of over $\$ 1$ million, or $13 \%$, although this is considered to be seasonal and these loan balances are expected to increase later in the year. During the first quarter of 2006, commercial and industrial loans reflect a relatively strong increase of $\$ 11$ million, or $11 \%$, increasing to $14.02 \%$ of total loans at the end of the quarter from $13.56 \%$ at the end of 2005. Total real estate loans increased by $\$ 40$ million, or $7 \%$, and within that category loans secured by residential properties increased by $\$ 26$ million, or $20 \%$. About $\$ 10$ million of the increase in loans secured by residential properties is from an increase in outstanding balances on home equity lines and equity loans, another $\$ 5$ million is from growth in residential mortgage loans, and the remainder is in the form of commercial loans where residential real estate was taken as collateral. These loans increased to $19.47 \%$ of total loans at March 31, 2006, from $17.36 \%$ at December 31, 2005. Other commercial real estate loans increased by $\$ 15$ million, or $4 \%$, declining slightly as a percentage of total loans since their growth rate was lower than that of the aggregate portfolio.
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Direct finance leases experienced significant growth during the first quarter of 2006, increasing by $\$ 2.8$ million, or $28 \%$. Consumer loans and credit card loans were not actively promoted during the period and thus did not grow. Small Business Administration (SBA) loans increased by $\$ 2.3$ million, or $9 \%$.

Although not reflected in the loan totals above, from time to time the Company originates and sells agricultural and residential mortgage loans to certain other investors, and we currently provide servicing for a small number of SBA loans and a certain number of agricultural mortgage loans. The balance of loans serviced for others was $\$ 16$ million as of March 31, 2006, compared to $\$ 17$ million at December 31, 2005.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In the normal course of business, the Company makes commitments to extend credit as long as there are no violations of any conditions established in the outstanding contractual arrangement. Unused commitments to extend credit, including credit card lines, totaled $\$ 346$ million at March 31, 2006 as compared to $\$ 317$ million at December 31, 2005, although it is expected that not all of these commitments will ultimately be drawn down. Unused commitments represented approximately $44 \%$ of gross loans outstanding at March 31, 2006, and 43\% as of December 31, 2005. In addition to unused loan commitments, the Company had letters of credit totaling $\$ 30$ million at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 17$ million at December 31, 2005. This represents $8 \%$ of total commitments as of March 31, 2006, and 5\% at December 312005.

The effect on the Company s revenues, expenses, cash flows and liquidity from the unused portion of the commitments to provide credit cannot be reasonably predicted because there is no guarantee that the lines of credit will ever be used. For more information regarding the Company s off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 8 to the financial statements located elsewhere herein.

## NONPERFORMING ASSETS

Nonperforming assets are comprised of the following: loans for which the Company is no longer accruing interest; loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest (although loans are generally placed on non-accrual when they become 90 days past due, whether or not interest is still being collected); loans restructured where the terms of repayment have been renegotiated resulting in a deferral of interest or principal; and other real estate owned ( OREO ). Management s classification of a loan as non-accrual or restructured is an indication that there is reasonable doubt as to the Company s ability to collect principal or interest on the loan. At that point, the Company stops accruing income from the interest on the loan, reverses any interest that has been accrued but is not yet collected, and recognizes interest income only as cash interest payments are received and as long as the collection of all outstanding principal is not in doubt. These loans may or may not be collateralized, but in all cases collection efforts are continuously pursued. The following table presents comparative data for the Company s nonperforming assets.

## Non-performing Assets

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31 \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31 \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NON-ACCRUAL LOANS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by commercial/professional office properties including construction and development | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Secured by residential properties | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Secured by farmland | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,305 |
| Held for sale | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| TOTAL REAL ESTATE | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,305 |
| Small Business Administration loans | \$ | 245 | \$ | 288 | \$ | 222 |
| Consumer loans | \$ |  | \$ | 21 | \$ | 74 |
| Consumer Credit cards | \$ | 39 | \$ |  | \$ | 30 |
| Direct Financing Leases | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ |  | \$ | 309 | \$ | 1,631 |

## LOANS 90 DAYS OR MORE PAST DUE \& STILL ACCRUING:

| (as to principal OR interest) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial and Industrial | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by commercial/professional office Properties including construction and development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by residential properties | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Secured by farmland | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Held for sale | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| TOTAL REAL ESTATE | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Small Business Administration loans | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Consumer loans | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Consumer Credit cards | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Direct Financing Leases | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| TOTAL NONPERFORMING LOANS | \$ | 914 | \$ | 309 | \$ | 1,631 |
| Other real estate | \$ |  | \$ | 533 | \$ | 2,234 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 914 | \$ | 842 | \$ | 3,865 |
| Restructured loans |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| Nonperforming loans as a \% of total gross loans and leases |  | 0.11\% |  | 0.04\% |  | 0.24\% |
| Nonperforming assets as a \% of total gross loans and leases and other real estate |  | 0.11\% |  | 0.11\% |  | 0.56\% |

Total nonperforming assets were $\$ 914,000$ at March 31, 2006 relative to $\$ 842,000$ at December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 72,000$ or $9 \%$. Over the past year, though, nonperforming assets have been reduced by almost $\$ 3$ million, or $76 \%$, due principally to the sale of a $\$ 1.3$ million non-accruing note and the elimination of OREO. Nonperforming assets were $0.11 \%$ of total gross loans and leases plus OREO at both March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, down from $0.56 \%$ at March 31, 2005.
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Nonperforming loans increased during the first quarter of 2006 primarily because of a $\$ 400,000$ commercial loan that was placed on non-accrual status during the quarter, but OREO was reduced to zero upon the sale of our last remaining OREO property. The commercial loan that was placed on non-accrual has only negligible collateral, and may be charged off during the second quarter of 2006 depending upon the prospects for collection. Approximately $\$ 184,000$ of the March 31, 2006 total nonperforming balance in the table above is guaranteed by the U.S. Government. While the current level of nonperforming assets is quite low relative to levels experienced in years past, we recognize that an increase in the dollar amount of non-accrual loans and leases is possible in the normal course of business as we expand our lending activities, and we also expect occasional foreclosures as a last resort in the resolution of some problem credits.

## ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The allowance for loan and lease losses is established through a provision for loan and lease losses based on management s evaluation of known and inherent risks in the Company s loan portfolio. At March 31, 2006 the allowance for loan and lease losses was $\$ 10.3$ million, or $1.30 \%$ of gross loans, an increase of $\$ 967,000$ relative to the $\$ 9.3$ million allowance at December 31, 2005 that was $1.26 \%$ of gross loans. An allowance for potential losses inherent in unused commitments is included in other liabilities, and totaled $\$ 136,000$ at March 31, 2006.

We employ a systematic methodology for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for loan and lease losses and adjusting it on at least a quarterly basis. Our process includes a periodic review of individual loans that have been specifically identified as problem loans or have characteristics that could lead to impairment, as well as detailed reviews of other loans either individually or in pools. While this methodology utilizes historical data and other objective information, the classification of loans and the establishment of the allowance for loan and lease losses are both to some extent based on management s judgment and experience.

Our methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both quantitative and qualitative, in establishing an allowance for loan and lease losses that management believes is appropriate at each reporting date. Quantitative factors include our historical loss experience, delinquency and charge-off trends, collateral values, changes in nonperforming loans, the rate of loan portfolio growth, and other factors. Quantitative factors also incorporate known information about individual loans, including a borrower s sensitivity to interest rate movements or other quantifiable external factors such as commodity prices or acts of nature (freezes, earthquakes, fires, etc.).

Qualitative factors include the general economic environment in our markets and, in particular, the state of the agriculture industry and other key industries in the Central San Joaquin Valley. The way a particular loan might be structured, the extent and nature of waivers of existing loan policies, the results of bank regulatory examinations, and model imprecision are additional qualitative factors that are considered.

The table that follows summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses for the periods indicated:

## Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)


[^2]
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The allowance is increased by a provision for possible loan and lease losses charged against current earnings and by the recovery of previously charged-off balances, and it is reduced by loan charge-offs. Specifically identifiable and quantifiable losses are immediately charged off against the allowance; recoveries are generally recorded only when cash payments are received subsequent to the charge off. The Company sprovision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 50,000$ higher in the first quarter of 2006 than in the first quarter of 2005. The Company had net charge-offs of $\$ 83,000$ against the allowance in the first quarter of 2006, versus $\$ 191,000$ in the first quarter of 2005 . The $\$ 2.7$ million net charge-off amount shown for the year for 2005 includes a single charge-off of $\$ 2.5$ million for an unsecured commercial loan, as discussed in greater detail in the Company s most recently filed Form 10-K.

The Company considers its allowance for loan and lease losses at March 31, 2006 to be adequate to cover specifically identified losses and other losses inherent in its loan portfolio. However, no assurance can be given that the Company will not experience substantial losses relative to the size of the allowance.

## OTHER ASSETS

The balance of cash and due from banks was $\$ 42$ million at March 31, 2006, a decline of $17 \%$ relative to the $\$ 50$ million balance at December 31, 2005. Since the actual balance of cash and due from banks depends on the timing of collection of outstanding cash items, it is subject to significant fluctuation in the normal course of business. While cash flows are normally predictable within limits, those limits are fairly broad and the Company manages its cash position through the utilization of overnight loans to and borrowings from correspondent banks, including the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. Should a large short overnight position persist for any length of time, the Company typically raises money through focused retail deposit gathering efforts or by adding brokered time deposits. If a long position is prevalent, the Company will, to the extent possible, let brokered deposits roll off as they mature.

Because of frequent balance fluctuations, a more accurate gauge of cash management efficiency is the average balance for the period. The $\$ 38$ million average of cash and due from banks for the first three months of 2006 was the same as average for all of 2005, despite two additional branches. Average cash balances have been well-controlled due to close monitoring and efficient management of branch cash levels. It is expected that as additional branches commence operations in the future, the Company s balance of cash will increase to meet the needs of those branches.

Restricted stock is comprised primarily of FHLB stock that fluctuates in conjunction with our FHLB borrowings. This stock is not deemed to be marketable or liquid and is thus not grouped with the Company s investments described above. Dividends received on FHLB stock are reflected in other non-interest income rather than interest income, and are not factored into our yield analysis. The balance of these non-marketable equity securities was $\$ 7.5$ million at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 5.3$ million at December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 2.2$ million that was necessitated by our increased level of short-term FHLB borrowings.

Net premises and equipment increased negligibly during the first quarter of 2006, while other assets experienced a slight decline relative to year-end 2005. At March 31, 2006, the Company s other assets included a $\$ 5.5$ million net deferred tax asset. Most of the Company s temporary differences between book and taxable income and expenses involve recognizing more expense in its financial statements than it has been allowed to deduct for taxes, therefore the Company s deferred tax assets typically exceed its deferred tax liabilities. The net deferred tax asset is primarily due to temporary book/tax differences in the reported allowance for loan losses plus deferred compensation, net of deferred liabilities comprised mainly of fixed asset depreciation differences and deferred loan origination costs. Management has evaluated all deferred tax assets, and has no reason to believe that either the quality of the deferred tax assets or the Company s future taxable income potential would preclude full realization of all amounts in future years.

## DEPOSITS AND INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES

## DEPOSITS

Another key balance sheet component impacting the Company s net interest margin is our deposit base. The Company s net interest margin is improved to the extent that growth in deposits can be concentrated in less volatile and typically less costly core deposits, which include demand deposit accounts, interest-bearing demand accounts (NOW accounts), savings accounts, money market demand accounts (MMDA s), and time deposits under $\$ 100,000$.

Overall, deposits declined by $\$ 8$ million, or $1 \%$, to $\$ 808$ million at March 31, 2006 from $\$ 816$ million at December 31, 2005. Among core deposit categories, demand deposits dropped by $\$ 2$ million, or $1 \%$, NOW accounts grew by $\$ 7$ million, or $10 \%$, savings account balances increased $\$ 505,000$, or $1 \%$, money market accounts increased by $\$ 5$ million, or $5 \%$, and time deposits of less than $\$ 100,000$ declined by $\$ 1$ million, or $1 \%$. While the overall drop in deposits is not unusual relative to previous cyclical swings experienced by the Company, management is focused on the decline and is in the process of supplementing the Company s deposit products with a new money market sweep account and remote deposit capture capabilities, among other things. An officer incentive program specifically targeting demand deposits is also under consideration.

Mainly because of the disintermediation of one large account, total time deposits over $\$ 100,000$ declined by $\$ 17$ million, despite an increase of $\$ 11$ million in brokered time deposits. The Company had a total of $\$ 48$ million in brokered deposits on its books at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 37$ million at year-end 2005. Maturities of these deposits are staggered over the next 12 months, and it is expected that they will be replaced with internally-generated branch deposits when possible.

Information concerning average balances and rates paid on deposits by deposit type for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 is contained in the Average Rates and Balances tables appearing above in the section entitled Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin.

## OTHER INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

The Company s other interest-bearing liabilities include overnight borrowings from other banks ( fed funds purchased ), borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, and junior subordinated debentures issued in consideration for cash raised by a wholly-owned trust subsidiary via the sale of trust-preferred securities (see Capital Resources section for more detailed explanation of trust-preferred securities).

As of March 31, 2006, FHLB borrowings specifically linked to the leverage strategy discussed above in Investments comprised all of the Company s $\$ 46$ million in long-term borrowings. In addition, leverage-related funding included $\$ 19$ million in fixed-rate FHLB borrowings which were obtained at the commencement of the leverage strategy but which matured in April and are thus classified as short term borrowings , plus a small balance of frequently-renewed short-term FHLB borrowings.

Short-term borrowings show a $\$ 56$ million increase during the first quarter of 2006, since short-term and overnight FHLB advances were utilized to make up for the deposit shortfall and to fund loan growth. The Company uses fed funds purchased and short-term FHLB borrowings to support liquidity needs created by seasonal deposit flows, to temporarily satisfy funding needs from increased loan demand, and for other short-term purposes. Uncommitted lines are available from several correspondent banks. The FHLB line is committed, but the amount of available credit is dependent on the level of pledged collateral. There were no overnight fed funds purchased on the Company s books at March 31, 2006. Repurchase agreements, which represent sweep accounts , or non-deposit investment accounts secured by pledged investment securities, totaled approximately $\$ 24$ million at March 31, 2006. While this was $\$ 2.4$ million lower than the $\$ 27$ million balance at the end of December 2005, the nature of this product lends itself to frequent fluctuations in balances.

## OTHER NON-INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES

Other non-interest bearing liabilities are principally comprised of accrued interest payable, accrued income taxes, other expenses accrued but unpaid, and certain clearing amounts. Within this category, the largest changes in the first quarter include accrued interest payable, which increased by $\$ 694,000$ because of the increase in interest rates, our liability for current taxes, which increased by $\$ 718,000$, and accrued expenses and other liabilities, which declined by over $\$ 1$ million as final 2005 expenses were paid and accrual amounts started new for 2006.

## LIOUIDITY AND MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

## INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

Market risk arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The Company does not engage in the trading of financial instruments, nor does the Company have exposure to currency exchange rates. The Company s market risk exposure is primarily that of interest rate risk, and it has established policies and procedures to monitor and limit earnings and balance sheet exposure to changes in interest rates. The principal objective of interest rate risk management (often referred to as asset/liability management ) is to manage the financial components of the Company in a manner that will optimize the risk/reward equation for earnings and
capital in relation to changing interest rates. To identify areas of potential exposure to rate changes, the Company performs an earnings simulation analysis and a market value of portfolio equity calculation on a monthly basis.

The Company uses Sendero modeling software for asset/liability management in order to simulate the effects of potential interest rate changes on the Company s net interest margin, and to calculate the estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments under different interest rate scenarios. The program imports current balances, interest rates, maturity dates and repricing information for individual financial instruments, and incorporates assumptions on the characteristics of embedded options along with pricing and duration for new volumes to project the effects of a given interest rate change on the Company s interest income and interest expense. Rate scenarios consisting of key rate and yield curve projections are run against the Company s investment, loan, deposit and borrowed funds portfolios. These rate projections can be shocked (an immediate and parallel change in all base rates, up or down), ramped (an incremental increase or decrease in rates over a specified time period), economic (based on current trends and econometric models) or stable (unchanged from current actual levels).

The Company uses seven standard interest rate scenarios in conducting its simulations, namely stable , upward shocks of 100, 200 and 300 basis points, and downward shocks of 100,200 , and 300 basis points. Our policy is to limit the projected change in net interest income relative to the stable rate scenario for the next 12 months to plus or minus $5 \%$ based on a 100 basis point (b.p.) shock, plus or minus $10 \%$ based on a 200 b.p. shock, and plus or minus $15 \%$ based on a 300 b.p. shock in interest rates. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had the following estimated net interest income sensitivity profile:

## Immediate Change in Rate

|  | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0}$ b.p. | $\mathbf{- 2 0 0}$ b.p. | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ b.p. | +100 b.p. | +200 b.p. | +300 b.p. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Net Int. Inc. (in $\$ 000$ s) | $-\$ 4,851$ | $-\$ 2,712$ | $-\$ 919$ | $\$$ | 321 | $\$$ | 468 | $\$ 969$ |
| \% Change | $-8.32 \%$ | $-4.65 \%$ | $-1.58 \%$ | $0.55 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ |  |  |

The above profile illustrates that if there were an immediate and sustained downward adjustment of 200 basis points in interest rates, net interest income over the next twelve months would likely be $\$ 2.7$ million, or $4.7 \%$, lower than net interest income under a stable rate scenario. By the same token, if there were an immediate increase of 200 basis points in interest rates, the Company s net interest income would likely be $\$ 468,000$, or $0.8 \%$, higher than net interest income in a flat rate scenario. According to these simulations the Company is asset sensitive over a one-year time frame, meaning that assets will reprice more quickly than liabilities. This is supported by fact the Company has, in the past, seen erosion in its interest margin when rates have declined but has benefited from rising rates. Our asset sensitivity can be explained in part by fact that approximately half of our loan portfolio consists of variable-rate loans, and another $17 \%$ is in the form of adjustable-rate loans. On the other side of the balance sheet, we have a relatively large level of non-interest demand deposits and other non-maturity deposits that are not extremely sensitive to interest rate changes.

The changes in net interest income under rising and declining rate scenarios are typically expected to bear more of a linear relationship. The exposure to declining rates is disproportionate in these simulations, however, because some of the Company s variable deposit rates are relatively close to a floor of zero. As rates on interest-bearing liabilities hit this floor the Company s earning asset yields continue to fall, creating significant compression in the Company s net interest margin. This effect is exacerbated by the fact that prepayments on fixed-rate loans tend to increase as rates decline.

The economic value of the Company s balance sheet will also vary under the different interest rate scenarios previously discussed. The amount of change is dependent upon the characteristics of each class of financial instrument, including the stated interest rate relative to current market rates, the likelihood of prepayment, whether the rate is fixed or floating, the maturity date of the instrument and the particular circumstances of the Company s customers. An economic value simulation is a static measure for balance sheet accounts at a given point in time, but this measurement can change substantially over time as the characteristics of the Company s balance sheet evolve and as interest rate and yield curve assumptions are updated.

The economic (or fair ) value of financial instruments on the Company s balance sheet will also vary under the interest rate scenarios previously discussed. Economic values for financial instruments are estimated by discounting projected cash flows (principal and interest) at current replacement rates for each account type, while the fair value of non-financial accounts is assumed to equal book value and does not vary with interest rate fluctuations. An economic value simulation is a static measure for balance sheet accounts at a given point in time, but this measurement can change substantially over time as the characteristics of the Company s balance sheet evolve and as interest rate and yield curve assumptions are updated.

The amount of change in economic value under different interest rate scenarios is dependent upon the characteristics of each class of financial instrument, including the stated interest rate or spread relative to current market rates or spreads, the likelihood of prepayment, whether the rate is fixed or floating, and the maturity date of the instrument. As a general rule, fixed-rate financial liabilities gain in value as interest rates rise and lose value as interest rates decline, while fixed-rate financial assets become more valuable in declining rate scenarios and less valuable in rising rate scenarios. The longer the maturity of the financial instrument, the greater the impact a given rate change will have on its value. In our economic value simulations, estimated prepayments are factored in for financial instruments with stated maturity dates, and decay rates for non-maturity deposits are also projected based on management s best estimates. We have found that model results are highly sensitive to changes in the assumed decay rate for non-maturity deposits, in particular.

The economic value of equity (EVE) is calculated by subtracting the estimated fair value of liabilities from the estimated fair value of assets. The table below shows estimated changes in the Company s EVE under different interest rate scenarios relative to a base case of current interest rates:

## Immediate Change in Rate

|  | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0}$ b.p. | $\mathbf{- 2 0 0}$ b.p. | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ b.p. | $\mathbf{+ 1 0 0} \mathbf{~ b . p . ~}$ | +200 b.p. | + 300 b.p. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in EVE (in $\$ 000$ s) | $-\$ 19,217$ | $-\$ 9,802$ | $-\$ 1,735$ | $-\$ 3,354$ | $-\$ 9,561$ | $-\$ 14,669$ |
| \% Change | $-9.19 \%$ | $-4.69 \%$ | $-0.83 \%$ | $-1.60 \%$ | $-4.57 \%$ | $-7.02 \%$ |

The drop in EVE as rates increase is the reverse of the positive slope apparent in the Company s net interest income simulations, due primarily to the fact that $\$ 541$ million in non-maturity deposits are assumed to run off at the rate of $10 \%$ per year. In contrast, our net interest income simulations are dynamic rather than static and incorporate growth rather than run-off for non-maturity deposits. If a higher deposit decay rate is used for EVE simulations the decline becomes more severe, while the slope conforms more closely to that of our net interest income simulations if non-maturity deposits do not run off. Under declining rates, a floor of zero (or slightly above zero) for the discount rate on variable rate deposits and other liabilities, and increased principal prepayments and calls on investment securities and fixed rate loans, more than offset the increase in the value of fixed-rate loans.

## LIOUIDITY

Liquidity refers to the Company s ability to maintain cash flows that are adequate to fund operations and meet other obligations and commitments in a timely and cost-effective fashion. The Company also, on occasion, experiences short-term cash needs as the result of loan growth or deposit outflows, or other asset purchases or liability repayments. To meet short-term needs, the Company can borrow overnight funds from other financial institutions or solicit brokered deposits if deposits are not immediately obtainable from local sources. Further, funds can be obtained by drawing down the Company s correspondent bank deposit accounts, or by liquidating investments or other assets. In addition, the Company can raise immediate cash for temporary needs by selling under agreement to repurchase those investments in its portfolio which are not pledged as collateral. As of March 31, 2006, non-pledged securities comprised $\$ 29$ million of the Company s investment portfolio balances.

Other forms of balance sheet liquidity include but are not necessarily limited to vault cash and balances due from banks, immediately marketable loan balances in the amount of $\$ 20$ million, and the $\$ 20$ million net cash surrender value of BOLI on the Company s books at March 31, 2006. In addition to the liquidity inherent in its balance sheet, the Company has off-balance-sheet liquidity in the form of lines of credit from correspondent banks, including the

Federal Home Loan Bank. Availability on these lines totaled $\$ 176$ million at March 31, 2006. An additional $\$ 31$ million in credit is available from the Federal Home Loan Bank if the Company increases its pledged real-estate related collateral in a like amount and purchases the required amount of FHLB stock. The Company is also eligible to borrow up to $\$ 2.4$ million at the Federal Reserve Discount Window if necessary, based on current pledged amounts. The Company manages its liquidity in such a fashion as to be able to meet any unexpected change in levels of assets or liabilities.

The Company s liquidity ratio and average loans to assets ratio were $17.8 \%$ and $71 \%$, respectively, at March 31 , 2006, as compared to internal policy guidelines of greater than $10 \%$ and less than $78 \%$. The liquidity ratio is calculated with marketable and otherwise liquid assets as the numerator and non-collateralized deposits as the denominator. While these ratios are merely indicators and are not measures of actual liquidity, they are monitored closely and we are focused on maintaining adequate liquidity resources to draw upon should the need arise. Other liquidity ratios reviewed by management and the Board on a regular basis include average loans to core deposits, net non-core funding dependence, and reliance on wholesale funding. All of these ratios were within policy guidelines as of March 31, 2006.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

At March 31, 2006, the Company had total shareholders equity of $\$ 82.4$ million, comprised of $\$ 12.9$ million in common stock, $\$ 71.6$ million in retained earnings, and a negative $\$ 2.1$ million in accumulated other comprehensive income. Total shareholders equity at the end of 2005 was $\$ 78.8$ million. The $\$ 3.6$ million increase in shareholders equity during the first quarter of 2006 was due primarily to the addition of net earnings, less $\$ 1.3$ million in dividends paid. However, it also includes a $\$ 600,000$ increase due to the issuance of shares from exercised stock options, including the tax effect of such exercised options, and a $\$ 300,000$ decrease in the market value of investment securities (net of the tax impact) which reduced accumulated other comprehensive income by the same amount.

The Company uses a variety of measures to evaluate its capital adequacy, with risk-based capital ratios calculated separately for the Company and the Bank. Management reviews these capital measurements on a quarterly basis and takes appropriate action to ensure that they are within established internal and external guidelines. The Company s current capital position exceeds minimum thresholds established by industry regulators, and by current regulatory definitions the Bank is well capitalized, the highest rating of the categories defined under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA) of 1991. The FDIC has promulgated risk-based capital guidelines for all state non-member banks such as the Bank. These guidelines establish a risk-adjusted ratio relating capital to different categories of assets and off balance sheet exposures. There are two categories of capital under the guidelines: Tier 1 capital includes common stockholders equity, qualifying minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, and qualifying trust-preferred securities (including notes payable to unconsolidated special purpose entities that issue trust-preferred securities), less goodwill and certain other deductions, notably the unrealized net gains or losses (after tax adjustments) on available for sale investment securities carried at fair market value; Tier 2 capital can include qualifying subordinated debt and redeemable preferred stock, qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations.

As noted previously, the Company s junior subordinated debentures represent borrowings from its unconsolidated subsidiaries that have issued an aggregate $\$ 30$ million in trust-preferred securities. These debentures currently qualify for inclusion as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes to the extent that they do not exceed $25 \%$ of total Tier 1 capital, but are classified as long-term debt in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. On March 1, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board adopted a final rule that allows the continued inclusion of trust-preferred securities (and/or related subordinated debentures) in the Tier I capital of bank holding companies. However, under the final rule, after a five-year transition period goodwill must be deducted from Tier I capital prior to calculating the $25 \%$ limitation. Generally, the amount of junior subordinated debentures in excess of the $25 \%$ Tier 1 limitation is included in Tier 2 capital. Of the Company s Tier 1 capital at March 31, 2006, $\$ 28.2$ million consisted of junior subordinated debentures. Its Tier 2 capital includes junior subordinated debentures totaling $\$ 1.8$ million.

Our first $\$ 15$ million in trust-preferred securities was issued in November 2001, and becomes callable in December 2006. Because the rate spreads on these securities have declined substantially since our initial issuance, we anticipate exercising the call this December. However, rate spreads for trust-preferred securities hit a record low earlier this year and have since started to gradually rise. Supply/demand issues are likely to cause further widening of spreads, and, after analyzing our options, our Board decided to issue an additional $\$ 15$ million in trust-preferred securities in advance of the redemption of our first $\$ 15$ million. While this will temporarily increase our total outstanding balance to $\$ 45$ million from $\$ 30$ million, the rate commitment we have received for issuance in June is 235 basis points lower that the rate on the securities issued in November 2001, and approximately 15 to 20 basis points lower than rates currently being offered to other issuers.

The following table sets forth the Company $s$ and the Bank $s$ regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated:

## Risk Based Ratios

(dollars in thousands, unaudited)

|  | March 31, | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | Minimum Requirement for Well Capitalized Bank |
| Sierra Bancorp |  |  |  |
| Total Capital to Total Risk-weighted Assets | 13.12\% | 13.50\% | 10.00\% |
| Tier 1 Capital to Total Risk-weighted Assets | 11.77\% | 12.01\% | 6.00\% |
| Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | 10.14\% | 9.87\% | 5.00\% |
| Bank of the Sierra |  |  |  |
| Total Capital to Total Risk-weighted Assets | 12.10\% | 12.46\% | 10.00\% |
| Tier 1 Capital to Total Risk-weighted Assets | 10.96\% | 11.34\% | 6.00\% |
| Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | 9.45\% | 9.31\% | 5.00\% |

At the current time, there are no commitments that would necessitate the use of material amounts of the Company s capital.

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 3

## QUALITATIVE \& QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES


#### Abstract

ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk is included as part of Part I, Item 2 above. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Market Risk Management .


## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 4

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company s Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date ) have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report was being prepared.

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## Changes in Internal Controls

There were no significant changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in the first quarter of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company sfinancial condition or results of operation.

## ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

There were no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

## ITEM 2: UNREGISTERED SALES OF EOUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) Stock Repurchases

The following table provides information concerning the Company s repurchases of its Common Stock during the first quarter of 2006:

|  | January | February | March |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total shares purchased | None | None | None |
| Average per share price | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan or program | None | None | None |
| Maximum number of shares remaining for purchase under a plan or program ${ }^{(1)}$ | 78,700 | 78,700 | 328,700 |

(1) The current stock repurchase plan became effective July 1, 2003 and has no expiration date. The repurchase program initially provided that up to 250,000 shares of Sierra Bancorp s common stock could be purchased by the Company in the open market from time to time, and that amount was supplemented by 250,000 shares on May 19, 2005 and another 250,000 shares on March 16, 2006.

## ITEM 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable

## ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

## ITEM 5: OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable
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