

EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND  
Form N-CSR  
November 24, 2015

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**  
**MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**  
**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21148**

**Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund**  
**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)**

**Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110**  
**(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

**Maureen A. Gemma**

**Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110**

**(Name and Address of Agent for Services)**

**(617) 482-8260**

**(Registrant's Telephone Number)**

**September 30**

**Date of Fiscal Year End**

**September 30, 2015**

**Date of Reporting Period**

**Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2015

Municipal (EIM)

California (EVM)

New York (ENX)

**Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration.** Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ( CFTC ) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

**Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.**

**Annual Report** September 30, 2015

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

#### Table of Contents

Management's Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund	3
California Municipal Bond Fund	4
New York Municipal Bond Fund	5
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Federal Tax Information	35
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	36
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	37
Board of Trustees' Contract Approval	39
Management and Organization	42
Important Notices	44

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Management's Discussion of Fund Performance

##### Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2014, municipal bonds were well into a rally that continued for the first four months of the period ended September 30, 2015. U.S. 10-year Treasury and municipal rates hit their low for the period around the end of January 2015. After that, municipal returns turned negative as a flood of new issuance, along with modest outflows from municipal mutual funds, put downward pressure on bond prices. For the period as a whole, however, municipal bonds delivered positive performance as gains during the rally in the opening months of the period outweighed losses during the selloff in the period's final eight months.

Going into the period, investors anticipated rising interest rates, driven by what was expected to be the Federal Reserve Board's (the Fed's) first rate hike since 2006. But while the U.S. economy continued to experience moderate growth and low inflation, fixed-income investors became increasingly concerned about declining growth in the eurozone, Japan and China. The result was strong worldwide demand for U.S. Treasuries that pushed Treasury rates down in the early months of the period, with municipal rates following.

Beginning in February 2015, however, rates in the short end of the yield curve began to creep upward, as investors believed the Fed was getting closer to a rate hike. In the following months, as European interest rates rose from historic lows, yields on longer maturity Treasuries climbed as well.

In August 2015, China surprised the markets by devaluing its currency. Oil prices continued their fall, causing investors to question whether the Fed would raise rates in September as many had expected. After a number of U.S. economic numbers came in weaker than expected, the Fed decided not to take action at its September meeting. Against this backdrop, many asset classes experienced dramatic volatility in August and September, although the municipal market remained relatively calm.

For the one-year period as a whole, the municipal yield curve flattened. For AAA-rated<sup>7</sup> issues, interest rates rose modestly in the one- to six-year part of the curve, while rates declined slightly in the seven- to 30-year part of the curve.

##### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 4.44% return of the Funds' benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index), while California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV performed in line with the Index.

The Funds' overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher). In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds' tax-

exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund's exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and rising prices in the medium- and long-maturity areas of the municipal yield curve, the use of leverage contributed to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds' overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of positive

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

performance by municipal bonds, the Funds' Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds' performance relative to the unhedged Index.

### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.69%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, an overweight and security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund's hedging strategy, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight in the hospital sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 4.46%, performing in line with the 4.44% return of the Index. Leverage contributed to Fund performance versus the Index during the period, as did an overweight in zero coupon bonds. In contrast, detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund's hedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in the hospital sector, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an overweight in pre-refunded bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.07%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. Primary contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector, and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund's hedging strategy, an underweight in the hospital sector, and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

*See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.*

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to [eatonvance.com](http://eatonvance.com).*



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

<b>% Average Annual Total Returns</b>	<b>Inception Date</b>	<b>One Year</b>	<b>Five Years</b>	<b>Ten Years</b>
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.69%	7.93%	5.89%
Fund at Market Price		6.14	4.45	4.99
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

**% Premium/Discount to NAV<sup>4</sup>**

9.81%

**Distributions<sup>5</sup>**

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.52%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.75%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	10.81%

**% Total Leverage<sup>6</sup>**

Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing 38.75%

## Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

*performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to [eatonvance.com](http://eatonvance.com).*

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

**Portfolio Manager** Craig R. Brandon, CFA

<b>% Average Annual Total Returns</b>	<b>Inception Date</b>	<b>One Year</b>	<b>Five Years</b>	<b>Ten Years</b>
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	4.46%	6.57%	4.94%
Fund at Market Price		8.55	3.57	4.57
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

<b>% Premium/Discount to NAV<sup>4</sup></b>
8.57%

<b>Distributions<sup>5</sup></b>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.684
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.38%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.96%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.88%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.98%

<b>% Total Leverage<sup>6</sup></b>	
RIB Financing	39.17%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to [eatonvance.com](http://eatonvance.com).*

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>**Portfolio Manager** Craig R. Brandon, CFA

<b>% Average Annual Total Returns</b>	<b>Inception Date</b>	<b>One Year</b>	<b>Five Years</b>	<b>Ten Years</b>
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.07%	6.39%	5.23%
Fund at Market Price		8.14	3.67	4.95
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

**% Premium/Discount to NAV<sup>4</sup>**

9.61%

**Distributions<sup>5</sup>**

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.718
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.98%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.04%

**% Total Leverage<sup>6</sup>**

RIB Financing 38.29%

## Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>7,8</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

*Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to [eatonvance.com](http://eatonvance.com).*

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- <sup>1</sup> The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund's actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund's or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to <http://eatonvance.com/closedend>.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund's last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund's NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund's distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund's webpage available at [eatonvance.com](http://eatonvance.com).  
The Fund's distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund's long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- <sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody's, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the RATINGS agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer's creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P's measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody's) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency's analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

reflection of the issuer's current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security's market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as "Not Rated" are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

<sup>8</sup> The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Education 15.2%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,750	\$ 10,859,940
Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,700	16,671,858
Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John's School), 5.25%, 9/1/33	3,985	4,402,429
Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,000	17,213,850
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27	5,810	7,526,971
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30	8,325	10,710,945
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38	2,000	2,157,500
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36	8,790	10,017,436
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,300	17,121,924
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,500	15,035,625
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	3,730	4,196,772
University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39	720	830,297
University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,298,100
University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,175	15,876,283
		<b>\$ 143,919,930</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 4.2%</b>		
Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$ 2,320	\$ 2,634,592
JEA St. Johns River Power Park System, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,155,900
Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40	10,000	10,991,700
South Carolina Public Service Authority, 5.50%, 1/1/38	6,545	7,313,187
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	3,425	3,751,094
Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	4,500	5,214,195
		<b>\$ 40,060,668</b>
<b>Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.7%</b>		
South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 565	\$ 649,004
<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Value</b>
	<b>Amount</b>	

# Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

(000 s omitted)

## Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)

Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), Prerefunded to 8/15/20, 5.25%, 8/15/40	\$	450	\$	530,379
Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38		5,000		5,603,700
			<b>\$</b>	<b>6,783,083</b>

## General Obligations 14.5%

California, 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$	7,390	\$	8,687,536
California, 5.00%, 10/1/33		18,815		21,780,620
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,320		8,803,725
Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33		4,500		5,095,035
Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32		3,000		3,673,590
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,000		2,281,620
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,481,600
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		12,075		13,719,856
New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32		10,000		11,397,000
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,750		7,776,473
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36		2,000		2,301,660
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35		7,500		8,700,675
Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		10,868,100
Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35		15		17,162
Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		18,250		20,880,190
			<b>\$</b>	<b>137,464,842</b>

## Hospital 8.6%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$	1,000	\$	1,130,170
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28		1,770		1,986,931
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39		11,570		12,821,295
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38		2,790		3,207,719
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36		7,190		7,559,206
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38		5,040		1,654,531
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41		10,000		2,797,300
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46		5,355		5,532,090

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Hospital (continued)</b>		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35	\$ 4,385	\$ 4,963,864
Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32	10,950	12,329,262
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40	5,655	6,323,082
West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38	7,605	8,515,775
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,500	12,669,550
		<b>\$ 81,490,775</b>
<b>Industrial Development Revenue 0.5%</b>		
Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$ 4,245	\$ 4,350,234
		<b>\$ 4,350,234</b>
<b>Insured Education 3.0%</b>		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32	\$ 15,900	\$ 20,212,716
Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 4/1/17, 5.00%, 4/1/31	7,865	8,277,677
		<b>\$ 28,490,393</b>
<b>Insured Electric Utilities 3.2%</b>		
Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38	\$ 4,905	\$ 5,580,271
Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41	13,895	13,982,677
Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35	2,735	3,001,690
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	7,215	8,061,825
		<b>\$ 30,626,463</b>
<b>Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.9%</b>		
American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/15/19, 5.75%, 2/15/39	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,799,350
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26	3,185	3,599,082
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27	1,985	2,243,070
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38	3,170	3,605,558

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Security	Principal	
	(000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)</b>		
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 11/16/15, 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$ 3,795	\$ 3,818,681
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/22	13,145	11,784,492
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34	1,875	2,149,294
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38	625	717,925
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/20	5,570	5,206,446
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 6.00%, 8/15/39	5,795	6,863,250
		<b>\$ 45,787,148</b>
<b>Insured General Obligations 9.0%</b>		
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$ 35	\$ 36,759
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,600	14,283,536
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	3,750	4,772,063
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36	11,845	9,715,387
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39	9,530	8,923,987
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	16,605	13,788,294
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,000	7,557,480
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32	8,955	10,016,526
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,950	11,740,918
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	4,275	4,295,050
		<b>\$ 85,130,000</b>
<b>Insured Hospital 12.4%</b>		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,803,575
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,000	11,716,430
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,500	12,139,055

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured Hospital (continued)</b>		
Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 15,000	\$ 16,098,900
Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,500	2,653,650
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37	2,625	2,961,735
Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	1,675	1,780,073
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	19,150	19,639,282
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	40	42,390
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,000	3,179,280
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	13,115	14,500,600
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,700	9,586,527
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,605	13,902,673
		<b>\$ 117,004,170</b>
<b>Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1%</b>		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,000	\$ 10,079,730
		<b>\$ 10,079,730</b>
<b>Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.4%</b>		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34	\$ 1,035	\$ 1,139,390
San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	24,000	26,178,480
Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,000	14,052,870
		<b>\$ 41,370,740</b>
<b>Insured Other Revenue 1.6%</b>		
Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPF), 0.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 16,795	\$ 7,078,253
New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	6,750	7,939,282
		<b>\$ 15,017,535</b>

<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Value</b>
	<b>Amount</b>	

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

(000 s omitted)

Insured Solid Waste 0.5%

Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$	2,760	\$ 3,148,001
Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26		1,575	1,783,325
			<b>\$ 4,931,326</b>

Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.5%

Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27	\$	15,975	\$ 15,536,966
Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24		18,035	13,178,535
Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39		15,000	15,999,600
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPF), 0.00%, 8/1/45		28,945	4,476,344
Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), Prerefunded to 6/15/18, 4.75%, 6/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,800	11,950,413
			<b>\$ 61,141,858</b>

Insured Student Loan 0.7%

Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	5,595	\$ 6,161,438
			<b>\$ 6,161,438</b>

Insured Transportation 19.3%

Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34	\$	21,640	\$ 22,943,808
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28		2,500	2,837,575
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29		1,000	1,125,980
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30		1,800	2,031,930
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31		1,570	1,767,946
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32		1,015	1,147,275
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/33		1,150	1,299,074
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39		8,080	9,057,599
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/21		10,200	8,939,076
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/39		25,000	8,214,500
Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPF), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,800	8,325,876
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30		6,710	7,123,806
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		20,995	22,906,588

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>		<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured Transportation (continued)</b>			
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 14,000	\$	15,274,700
Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29	1,785		2,012,373
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38	11,700		12,781,197
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29	1,015		1,124,610
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39	1,160		1,288,934
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 <sup>(1)</sup>	20,000		21,866,000
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24	1,605		1,021,839
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25	1,950		1,174,173
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26	1,000		569,070
San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFPG), 0.00%, 1/15/25	26,215		18,020,453
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	10,275		9,383,952
			<b>\$ 182,238,334</b>
<b>Insured Water and Sewer 14.7%</b>			
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 2,060	\$	2,208,547
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFPG), 0.00%, 1/1/23	13,670		10,216,958
DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000		12,001,800
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFPG), 5.00%, 7/1/34	2,505		2,513,166
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,500		9,271,375
Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,570		29,695,645
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32	5,540		7,121,836
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38	1,070		1,382,558
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40	9,095		9,143,749
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32	2,615		2,912,561
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33	2,240		2,494,889
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35	2,730		2,993,145
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37	2,240		2,443,661
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,500		10,591,835
		<b>Principal</b>	
		<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>		<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured Water and Sewer (continued)</b>			
San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFPG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	\$ 3,535	\$	3,708,745
Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,670		30,092,507

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

\$ 138,792,977

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.5%

Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47	\$	1,980	\$	2,259,240
North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26		10		12,107
North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup>		16,000		19,371,680
North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,583,800

\$ 33,226,827

Other Revenue 2.6%

New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	10,750	\$	12,203,938
Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30		9,200		10,615,880
Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30		1,700		1,855,465

\$ 24,675,283

Senior Living / Life Care 0.1%

Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$	1,175	\$	1,309,514
--	----	-------	----	-----------

\$ 1,309,514

Special Tax Revenue 11.6%

Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30	\$	20	\$	24,018
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		12,575		15,101,192
Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		20,000		22,826,800
Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/35		2,895		3,348,502
Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/36		1,535		1,770,131
New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, 5.00%, 11/15/45 <sup>(1)</sup>		13,000		14,520,610
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31		10,000		11,607,100
New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34		3,285		3,769,570
New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35		12,040		13,789,894



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Special Tax Revenue (continued)</b>		
New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 20,000	\$ 22,581,000
		<b>\$ 109,338,817</b>
<b>Transportation 11.1%</b>		
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$ 3,205	\$ 3,739,306
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31	4,950	5,759,721
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35	8,275	9,214,047
Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28	3,285	3,835,106
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32	4,380	5,116,628
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38	4,640	5,369,501
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40	4,735	5,316,837
Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41	10,825	11,651,705
Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, 5.00%, 10/1/37	4,615	5,168,339
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24	10,000	10,631,800
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35	2,915	3,269,464
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,590	2,900,696
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34	5,000	5,488,750
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 12/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,360	16,595,421
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39	5,000	5,683,950
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	5,000	5,436,850
		<b>\$ 105,178,121</b>
<b>Water and Sewer 7.9%</b>		
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,785,100
Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35	2,735	3,090,659
Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42	5,000	5,159,250
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32	1,070	1,140,534
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39	1,965	2,121,041
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41	2,910	3,095,105
Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,750	11,032,807
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,324,700
		<b>Principal</b>
		<b>Amount</b>
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

### Water and Sewer (continued)

Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$	1,445	\$	1,636,217
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40		6,325		7,168,439
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31		10,000		11,504,800
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36		5,385		6,023,123

**\$ 75,081,775**

**Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%**  
(identified cost \$1,397,084,545)

**\$ 1,529,651,981**

**Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.8)%**

**\$ (584,172,680)**

**Net Assets 100.0%**

**\$ 945,479,301**

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BAM	Build America Mutual Assurance Co.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
PSF	Permanent School Fund
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2015, the concentration of the Fund's investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York	12.3%
Texas	11.2%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	76.5%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 50.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.3% to 21.1% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

## Eaton Vance

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.2%

	Principal Amount	
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value
<b>Education 15.4%</b>		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,278,800
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27	2,680	2,913,776
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31	550	632,902
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36	940	1,067,906
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	1,375	1,541,086
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29	3,630	4,079,612
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36	650	788,964
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39	6,200	6,886,464
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30	1,790	2,019,603
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31	1,175	1,350,439
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35	800	910,408
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26	2,270	2,671,994
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	2,395	2,801,456
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	2,520	2,933,834
		<b>\$ 41,877,244</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 1.5%</b>		
Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$ 1,890	\$ 2,145,358
Vernon Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	1,650	1,826,154
		<b>\$ 3,971,512</b>
<b>Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.3%</b>		
Vernon Electric System Revenue, Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$ 725	\$ 804,047
		<b>\$ 804,047</b>
<b>General Obligations 36.5%</b>		
Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 2013), 4.00%, 8/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,900	\$ 7,333,803
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	4,600	5,490,284
<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Value</b>

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Amount

(000 s omitted)

General Obligations (continued)

Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$	20	\$	22,684
Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		9,750		11,058,645
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,256,900
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,020		8,013,541
Palomar Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/44 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,444,700
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/27 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,775		6,345,050
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32		1,375		1,584,096
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31		2,545		2,948,332
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/35		5,000		5,360,750
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33		1,910		2,201,791
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35		2,230		2,555,625
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		4,975		5,661,450
Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35		7,500		8,568,375
Ventura County Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,000		9,534,160
				<b>\$ 99,380,186</b>

Hospital 14.1%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$	1,750	\$	1,977,798
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28		550		617,408
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39		4,505		4,992,216
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32		1,795		2,003,579
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35		2,565		2,847,483
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33		4,480		5,082,202
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37		2,100		2,359,140
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,000		5,824,050
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34		2,170		2,246,579
Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31		3,950		4,024,062

## Eaton Vance

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Hospital (continued)</b>		
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$ 3,165	\$ 3,275,712
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	3,005	3,013,444
		<b>\$ 38,263,673</b>
<b>Insured Education 3.3%</b>		
California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,998,853
		<b>\$ 8,998,853</b>
<b>Insured Electric Utilities 12.8%</b>		
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFPG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,899,798
Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	2,240	2,416,445
Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24	2,000	2,206,400
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFPG), 5.25%, 7/1/34	3,840	3,516,403
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,000	1,111,400
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	4,000	4,792,320
		<b>\$ 34,942,766</b>
<b>Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.5%</b>		
Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFPG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.25%, 8/1/39	\$ 4,175	\$ 4,538,142
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 6/1/17, 5.00%, 6/1/32	345	370,896
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFPG), Prerefunded to 6/1/17, 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,500	6,987,890
Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFPG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/32	5,705	6,175,206
San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFPG), Prerefunded to 9/1/17, 5.00%, 9/1/38	4,750	5,153,417
		<b>\$ 23,225,551</b>
<b>Insured General Obligations 18.5%</b>		
Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 1997), (NPFPG), 0.00%, 8/1/21	\$ 4,135	\$ 3,638,138
Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34	23,150	8,531,006
Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	4,500	5,028,120
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,100	6,521,632

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

San Diego Unified School District, (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/22	2,300	1,967,650
San Diego Unified School District, (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/23	5,000	4,127,200
San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21	5,630	5,025,507
	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured General Obligations (continued)</b>		
San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$ 4,840	\$ 4,230,354
San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/23	4,365	3,640,454
San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/25	3,955	3,059,469
San Mateo Union High School District, (NPF), 0.00%, 9/1/21	5,240	4,681,835
		<b>\$ 50,451,365</b>
<b>Insured Hospital 6.8%</b>		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 2,205	\$ 2,218,098
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,537,000
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,500	3,558,170
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,093,120
		<b>\$ 18,406,388</b>
<b>Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.0%</b>		
San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,907,700
		<b>\$ 10,907,700</b>
<b>Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.0%</b>		
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31	\$ 595	\$ 606,013
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37	7,240	7,338,826
Pomona Public Financing Authority, (NPF), 5.00%, 2/1/33	5,940	5,946,772
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPF), 0.00%, 8/1/45	15,020	2,322,843
		<b>\$ 16,214,454</b>
<b>Insured Transportation 1.5%</b>		
San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	\$ 1,885	\$ 1,962,794
San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	2,040	2,126,516
		<b>\$ 4,089,310</b>
<b>Insured Water and Sewer 2.6%</b>		
Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38	\$ 1,595	\$ 1,758,934
San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPF), 5.00%, 9/1/38	250	266,983

## Eaton Vance

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured Water and Sewer (continued)</b>		
San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	\$ 2,750	\$ 2,885,162
Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	2,075	2,092,409
		<b>\$ 7,003,488</b>
<b>Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1%</b>		
California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$ 2,565	\$ 2,909,172
		<b>\$ 2,909,172</b>
<b>Special Tax Revenue 10.2%</b>		
Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/30	\$ 625	\$ 706,500
Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/32	625	700,344
Riverside County Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 6/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,285	7,293,857
San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40	5	5,836
San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,375	12,108,662
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,250	7,016,812
		<b>\$ 27,832,011</b>
<b>Transportation 8.3%</b>		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.25%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,500	\$ 7,460,765
Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27	1,960	2,242,789
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,500	8,513,850
San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	2,190	2,430,506
San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	1,750	1,931,195
		<b>\$ 22,579,105</b>
<b>Water and Sewer 9.8%</b>		
Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 5,725	\$ 6,546,079
Los Angeles, Wastewater System Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/43 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,500	8,416,800
<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Value</b>

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	Amount
	(000 s omitted)
<b>Water and Sewer (continued)</b>	
Orange County, Sanitation District Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000 \$ 11,754,000
	<b>\$ 26,716,879</b>
<b>Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.2%</b> (identified cost \$406,695,046)	<b>\$ 438,573,704</b>
<b>Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.2)%</b>	<b>\$ (166,528,426)</b>
<b>Net Assets 100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 272,045,278</b>

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 39.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.1% to 19.2% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).



## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 158.7%

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Bond Bank 4.4%</b>		
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,877,350
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39	3,360	3,801,034
		<b>\$ 9,678,384</b>
<b>Education 29.6%</b>		
Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/30	\$ 200	\$ 228,308
Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/33	105	118,754
Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/34	200	225,450
Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2012, 5.00%, 9/1/32	1,330	1,486,262
Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2014, 5.00%, 9/1/32	200	226,498
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/20	760	880,255
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/21	950	1,111,291
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31	800	881,064
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/32	300	329,415
Madison County Capital Resource Corp., (Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/28	550	659,901
Madison County Capital Resource Corp., (Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/29	300	356,115
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23	405	462,826
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39	240	265,274
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,053,100
New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,477,200
New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,700	6,481,242
New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,152,200
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,000	2,260,520
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	500	559,540
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,700	3,021,516
	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Education (continued)</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,345,022
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30	250	291,058
New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	5,250	5,964,105

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	3,305	3,752,431
		<b>\$ 64,589,347</b>
<b>Electric Utilities 1.5%</b>		
Utility Debt Securitization Authority, 5.00%, 12/15/33	\$ 2,895	\$ 3,377,539
		<b>\$ 3,377,539</b>
<b>Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.0%</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), Prerefunded to 5/1/17, 5.00%, 5/1/26	\$ 2,055	\$ 2,198,377
		<b>\$ 2,198,377</b>
<b>General Obligations 11.2%</b>		
Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26	\$ 4,715	\$ 5,213,093
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,250	8,296,972
New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,650	9,908,229
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	465	505,455
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36	490	532,630
		<b>\$ 24,456,379</b>
<b>Hospital 9.3%</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$ 620	\$ 692,280
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32	820	910,675
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,325	9,889,442
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20	1,065	1,217,657
Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	6,900	7,616,220
		<b>\$ 20,326,274</b>
<b>Housing 1.7%</b>		
New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,597,150
New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33	1,000	1,008,030
		<b>\$ 3,605,180</b>

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Industrial Development Revenue 1.1%</b>		
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$ 490	\$ 580,527
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	1,440	1,758,874
		<b>\$ 2,339,401</b>
<b>Insured Education 18.2%</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35	\$ 925	\$ 1,089,400
New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23	1,750	2,006,183
New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,750	11,710,512
New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34	1,555	1,713,035
New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39	2,405	2,643,215
New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John's University), (NPF), Prerefunded to 7/1/17, 5.25%, 7/1/37	3,750	4,051,838
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,500	9,259,475
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/34	5,555	2,413,092
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/36	8,455	3,344,037
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPF), 0.00%, 7/1/37	4,000	1,509,960
		<b>\$ 39,740,747</b>
<b>Insured Electric Utilities 6.0%</b>		
Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,717,950
New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPF), 4.50%, 11/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,210	7,394,143
		<b>\$ 13,112,093</b>
<b>Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.4%</b>		
Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$ 300	\$ 339,810
Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.375%, 11/1/28	3,835	4,373,089
New York City, (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/22	2,250	2,305,057
New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), Prerefunded to 9/15/16, 5.00%, 3/15/37	2,415	2,524,424
		<b>\$ 9,542,380</b>
<b>Security</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Value</b>

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	Amount	
	(000 s omitted)	
<b>Insured General Obligations 9.2%</b>		
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	\$ 2,290	\$ 2,560,083
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24	2,390	2,707,774
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20	200	221,690
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21	200	220,456
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22	200	219,892
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23	200	219,296
Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23	175	186,812
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20	185	207,748
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21	195	217,809
Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23	1,110	1,199,999
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23	820	878,056
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24	860	923,511
Oyster Bay, (AGM), 4.00%, 8/1/28	4,585	4,925,253
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19	785	848,938
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20	825	892,196
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22	905	983,482
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	950	1,032,384
William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	1,590	1,742,258
		<b>\$ 20,187,637</b>
<b>Insured Hospital 2.1%</b>		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 4,355	\$ 4,638,554
		<b>\$ 4,638,554</b>
<b>Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.4%</b>		
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,353,859
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23	1,090	926,020
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	3,635	2,871,287
		<b>\$ 5,151,166</b>
<b>Insured Other Revenue 2.1%</b>		
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 4,050	\$ 4,510,080
		<b>\$ 4,510,080</b>

## Eaton Vance

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

## Portfolio of Investments continued

	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.0%</b>		
New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,582,182
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36	3,000	652,230
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPF), 0.00%, 8/1/45	6,705	1,036,928
		<b>\$ 4,271,340</b>
<b>Other Revenue 11.2%</b>		
Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34	\$ 4,925	\$ 5,613,318
Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31	4,900	2,419,130
New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32	4,110	4,737,761
New York Transitional Finance Authority, (Building Aid), 5.00%, 7/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,690,200
		<b>\$ 24,460,409</b>
<b>Special Tax Revenue 24.2%</b>		
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,638,400
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,524,100
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	1,000	1,179,110
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,500	7,544,615
New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34	6,600	7,573,566
New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26	2,370	2,685,376
Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,900	10,634,877
		<b>\$ 52,780,044</b>
<b>Transportation 11.5%</b>		
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38	\$ 3,430	\$ 3,969,265
Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35	1,565	1,686,021
Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40	300	323,628
New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37	7,280	8,176,969
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,982,800
		<b>\$ 25,138,683</b>

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<b>Water and Sewer 5.6%</b>		
Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26	\$ 755	\$ 874,494
Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29	500	585,055
	<b>Principal</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Security</b>	<b>(000 s omitted)</b>	<b>Value</b>
<b>Water and Sewer (continued)</b>		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,140,550
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,750	9,615,637
		<b>\$ 12,215,736</b>
<b>Total Tax-Exempt Investments 158.7%</b> (identified cost \$319,503,144)		<b>\$ 346,319,750</b>
<b>Other Assets, Less Liabilities (58.7%)</b>		<b>\$ (128,037,773)</b>
<b>Net Assets 100.0%</b>		<b>\$ 218,281,977</b>

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 29.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 3.3% to 13.8% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$429,110.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	September 30, 2015		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Assets</b>			
Investments			
Identified cost	\$ 1,397,084,545	\$ 406,695,046	\$ 319,503,144
Unrealized appreciation	132,567,436	31,878,658	26,816,606
<b>Investments, at value</b>	<b>\$ 1,529,651,981</b>	<b>\$ 438,573,704</b>	<b>\$ 346,319,750</b>
Cash	\$	\$	\$ 3,304,246
Restricted cash*	928,000	488,000	205,000
Interest receivable	18,982,247	5,164,326	4,351,162
Receivable for investments sold		8,009,550	
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	128,812	52,250	28,125
Deferred debt issuance costs	338,125	112,132	24,600
Due from broker for floating rate notes issued	10,400,000		
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,560,429,165</b>	<b>\$ 452,399,962</b>	<b>\$ 354,232,883</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 598,055,000	\$ 175,185,000	\$ 135,425,000
Due to custodian	14,802,497	4,491,339	
Payable to affiliates:			
Investment adviser fee	754,965	223,733	188,690
Interest expense and fees payable	1,121,691	332,343	220,663
Accrued expenses	215,711	122,269	116,553
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 614,949,864</b>	<b>\$ 180,354,684</b>	<b>\$ 135,950,906</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>	<b>\$ 945,479,301</b>	<b>\$ 272,045,278</b>	<b>\$ 218,281,977</b>
<b>Sources of Net Assets</b>			
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 681,683	\$ 213,912	\$ 156,586
Additional paid-in capital	958,203,607	302,760,817	221,022,853
Accumulated net realized loss	(146,798,638)	(64,699,449)	(31,451,954)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	1,467,917	2,250,747	1,878,214
Net unrealized appreciation	131,924,732	31,519,251	26,676,278
<b>Net Assets</b>	<b>\$ 945,479,301</b>	<b>\$ 272,045,278</b>	<b>\$ 218,281,977</b>
<b>Common Shares Outstanding</b>	<b>68,168,250</b>	<b>21,391,186</b>	<b>15,658,585</b>
<b>Net Asset Value</b>			
<b>Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding</b>	<b>\$ 13.87</b>	<b>\$ 12.72</b>	<b>\$ 13.94</b>

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Investment Income</b>			
Interest	\$ 65,402,326	\$ 18,873,331	\$ 14,641,777
<b>Total investment income</b>	<b>\$ 65,402,326</b>	<b>\$ 18,873,331</b>	<b>\$ 14,641,777</b>
<b>Expenses</b>			
Investment adviser fee	\$ 9,327,592	\$ 2,754,708	\$ 2,349,903
Trustees' fees and expenses	68,000	24,286	19,161
Custodian fee	317,137	117,332	94,595
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	20,077	18,180	18,566
Legal and accounting services	146,719	95,931	85,668
Printing and postage	70,285	20,359	18,265
Interest expense and fees	3,602,484	1,090,079	816,990
Miscellaneous	73,531	33,550	32,203
<b>Total expenses</b>	<b>\$ 13,625,825</b>	<b>\$ 4,154,425</b>	<b>\$ 3,435,351</b>
Deduct			
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ 2,175	\$ 936	\$ 576
<b>Total expense reductions</b>	<b>\$ 2,175</b>	<b>\$ 936</b>	<b>\$ 576</b>
<b>Net expenses</b>	<b>\$ 13,623,650</b>	<b>\$ 4,153,489</b>	<b>\$ 3,434,775</b>
<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>\$ 51,778,676</b>	<b>\$ 14,719,842</b>	<b>\$ 11,207,002</b>
<b>Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)</b>			
Net realized gain (loss)			
Investment transactions	\$ (2,556,518)	\$ 3,433,531	\$ 1,395,875
Extinguishment of debt	(177)	(20,339)	(24)
Financial futures contracts	(1,900,690)	(1,126,749)	(414,174)
<b>Net realized gain (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (4,457,385)</b>	<b>\$ 2,286,443</b>	<b>\$ 981,677</b>
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)			
Investments	\$ 875,812	\$ (5,710,433)	\$ (2,342,498)
Financial futures contracts	(1,018,324)	(552,444)	(222,222)
<b>Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)</b>	<b>\$ (142,512)</b>	<b>\$ (6,262,877)</b>	<b>\$ (2,564,720)</b>
<b>Net realized and unrealized loss</b>	<b>\$ (4,599,897)</b>	<b>\$ (3,976,434)</b>	<b>\$ (1,583,043)</b>
<b>Net increase in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 47,178,779</b>	<b>\$ 10,743,408</b>	<b>\$ 9,623,959</b>



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
<b>Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets</b>			
From operations			
Net investment income	\$ 51,778,676	\$ 14,719,842	\$ 11,207,002
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial futures contracts	(4,457,385)	2,286,443	981,677
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts	(142,512)	(6,262,877)	(2,564,720)
<b>Net increase in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 47,178,779</b>	<b>\$ 10,743,408</b>	<b>\$ 9,623,959</b>
Distributions to common shareholders			
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,244)	\$ (14,631,999)	\$ (11,244,698)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (52,218,244)</b>	<b>\$ (14,631,999)</b>	<b>\$ (11,244,698)</b>
Capital share transactions			
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$ (87,649)	\$ (287,318)
<b>Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (87,649)</b>	<b>\$ (287,318)</b>
<b>Net decrease in net assets</b>	<b>\$ (5,039,465)</b>	<b>\$ (3,976,240)</b>	<b>\$ (1,908,057)</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>			
At beginning of year	\$ 950,518,766	\$ 276,021,518	\$ 220,190,034
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 945,479,301</b>	<b>\$ 272,045,278</b>	<b>\$ 218,281,977</b>
<b>Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets</b>			
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 1,467,917</b>	<b>\$ 2,250,747</b>	<b>\$ 1,878,214</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	<b>Year Ended September 30, 2014</b>		
	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
<b>Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets</b>			
From operations			
Net investment income	\$ 53,349,688	\$ 15,088,430	\$ 11,858,752
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial futures contracts	190,580	(2,717,813)	(1,552,854)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts	111,749,614	31,277,572	20,855,535
<b>Net increase in net assets from operations</b>	<b>\$ 165,289,882</b>	<b>\$ 43,648,189</b>	<b>\$ 31,161,433</b>
Distributions to common shareholders			
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,243)	\$ (14,152,654)	\$ (10,885,152)
<b>Total distributions to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ (52,218,243)</b>	<b>\$ (14,152,654)</b>	<b>\$ (10,885,152)</b>
Capital share transactions			
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$ (3,881,323)	\$ (2,538,716)
<b>Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (3,881,323)</b>	<b>\$ (2,538,716)</b>
<b>Net increase in net assets</b>	<b>\$ 113,071,639</b>	<b>\$ 25,614,212</b>	<b>\$ 17,737,565</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>			
At beginning of year	\$ 837,447,127	\$ 250,407,306	\$ 202,452,469
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 950,518,766</b>	<b>\$ 276,021,518</b>	<b>\$ 220,190,034</b>
<b>Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets</b>			
<b>At end of year</b>	<b>\$ 659,752</b>	<b>\$ 2,223,235</b>	<b>\$ 1,851,579</b>

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>			
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 47,178,779	\$ 10,743,408	\$ 9,623,959
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Investments purchased	(103,047,735)	(42,077,810)	(32,846,181)
Investments sold	96,996,386	37,499,188	37,897,659
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)	(2,907,243)	(656,687)	308,406
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	100,687	17,062	14,086
Decrease in restricted cash	105,000	42,000	20,000
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	(79,821)	(27,232)	40,009
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	10,938	8,594	2,344
Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee	(8,835)	(2,291)	(4,589)
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable	(49,284)	(9,859)	(2,052)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses	(2,754)	2,808	(1,995)
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments	(875,812)	5,710,433	2,342,498
Net realized (gain) loss from investments	2,556,518	(3,433,531)	(1,395,875)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	177	20,339	24
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>\$ 39,977,001</b>	<b>\$ 7,836,422</b>	<b>\$ 15,998,293</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>			
Repurchase of common shares	\$	\$ (87,649)	\$ (287,318)
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	(52,218,244)	(14,631,999)	(11,244,698)
Proceeds from secured borrowings	37,460,000	21,500,000	14,675,000
Repayment of secured borrowings	(52,835,000)	(29,815,000)	(21,920,000)
Increase in due to custodian	14,802,497	4,491,339	
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>\$ (52,790,747)</b>	<b>\$ (18,543,309)</b>	<b>\$ (18,777,016)</b>
<b>Net decrease in cash</b>	<b>\$ (12,813,746)</b>	<b>\$ (10,706,887)</b>	<b>\$ (2,778,723)</b>
<b>Cash at beginning of year</b>	<b>\$ 12,813,746</b>	<b>\$ 10,706,887</b>	<b>\$ 6,082,969</b>
<b>Cash at end of year</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 3,304,246</b>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>			
Cash paid for interest and fees	\$ 3,551,081	\$ 1,082,876	\$ 804,956

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Financial Highlights

		<b>Municipal Fund</b>				
		<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
		<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Net asset value	Beginning of year	\$ 13.940	\$ 12.290	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>						
	Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.760	\$ 0.783	\$ 0.768	\$ 0.763	\$ 0.878
	Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(0.064)	1.633	(1.812)	1.584	(0.482)
	<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 0.696</b>	<b>\$ 2.416</b>	<b>\$ (1.044)</b>	<b>\$ 2.347</b>	<b>\$ 0.396</b>
<b>Less Distributions</b>						
	From net investment income	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)
	<b>Total distributions</b>	<b>\$ (0.766)</b>	<b>\$ (0.766)</b>	<b>\$ (0.766)</b>	<b>\$ (0.807)</b>	<b>\$ (0.916)</b>
Net asset value	End of year	<b>\$ 13.870</b>	<b>\$ 13.940</b>	<b>\$ 12.290</b>	<b>\$ 14.100</b>	<b>\$ 12.560</b>
Market value	End of year	<b>\$ 12.510</b>	<b>\$ 12.520</b>	<b>\$ 11.560</b>	<b>\$ 14.460</b>	<b>\$ 12.350</b>
	<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>5.69%</b>	<b>21.00%</b>	<b>(7.59)%</b>	<b>19.33%</b>	<b>3.89%</b>
	<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>6.14%</b>	<b>15.44%</b>	<b>(15.17)%</b>	<b>24.45%</b>	<b>(3.87)%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>						
	Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 945,479	\$ 950,519	\$ 837,447	\$ 960,528	\$ 855,705
	Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):					
	Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(3)</sup>	1.05%	1.12%	1.15%	1.30%	1.25%
	Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.38%	0.43%	0.47%	0.48%	0.56%
	Total expenses <sup>(3)</sup>	1.43%	1.55%	1.62%	1.78%	1.81%
	Net investment income	5.43%	6.01%	5.67%	5.75%	7.54%
	Portfolio Turnover	5%	9%	18%	17%	18%

(1) Computed using average shares outstanding.

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan.

(3) Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).



## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Financial Highlights continued

	<b>California Fund</b>				
	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 12.900	\$ 11.510	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.740	\$ 12.610
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.688	\$ 0.700	\$ 0.698	\$ 0.689	\$ 0.801
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(0.184)	1.326	(1.514)	1.282	(0.822)
<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 0.504</b>	<b>\$ 2.026</b>	<b>\$ (0.816)</b>	<b>\$ 1.971</b>	<b>\$ (0.021)</b>
<b>Less Distributions</b>					
From net investment income	\$ (0.684)	\$ (0.657)	\$ (0.654)	\$ (0.731)	\$ (0.849)
<b>Total distributions</b>	<b>\$ (0.684)</b>	<b>\$ (0.657)</b>	<b>\$ (0.654)</b>	<b>\$ (0.731)</b>	<b>\$ (0.849)</b>
<b>Anti-dilutive effect of share repurchase program (see Note 5)<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 0.000<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 0.021</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Net asset value End of year</b>	<b>\$ 12.720</b>	<b>\$ 12.900</b>	<b>\$ 11.510</b>	<b>\$ 12.980</b>	<b>\$ 11.740</b>
<b>Market value End of year</b>	<b>\$ 11.630</b>	<b>\$ 11.350</b>	<b>\$ 10.330</b>	<b>\$ 12.650</b>	<b>\$ 12.270</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>4.46%</b>	<b>18.96%</b>	<b>(6.18)%</b>	<b>17.34%</b>	<b>0.48%</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>8.55%</b>	<b>16.62%</b>	<b>(13.60)%</b>	<b>9.42%</b>	<b>(0.43)%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>					
Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 272,045	\$ 276,022	\$ 250,407	\$ 282,353	\$ 255,294
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):					
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.11%	1.16%	1.22%	1.25%	1.42%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.40%	0.42%	0.46%	0.48%	0.57%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.51%	1.58%	1.68%	1.73%	1.99%
Net investment income	5.36%	5.75%	5.56%	5.57%	7.20%
Portfolio Turnover	7%	7%	22%	27%	21%

(1) Computed using average shares outstanding.

(2) Amount is less than \$0.0005.

(3) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan.

(4) Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

<sup>(5)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Financial Highlights continued

	<b>New York Fund</b>				
	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>				
	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 14.040	\$ 12.740	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610
<b>Income (Loss) From Operations</b>					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.716	\$ 0.752	\$ 0.735	\$ 0.728	\$ 0.797
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(0.100)	1.219	(1.767)	1.308	(0.412)
<b>Total income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>\$ 0.616</b>	<b>\$ 1.971</b>	<b>\$ (1.032)</b>	<b>\$ 2.036</b>	<b>\$ 0.385</b>
<b>Less Distributions</b>					
From net investment income	\$ (0.718)	\$ (0.690)	\$ (0.688)	\$ (0.746)	\$ (0.825)
<b>Total distributions</b>	<b>\$ (0.718)</b>	<b>\$ (0.690)</b>	<b>\$ (0.688)</b>	<b>\$ (0.746)</b>	<b>\$ (0.825)</b>
<b>Anti-dilutive effect of share repurchase program (see Note 5)<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 0.002</b>	<b>\$ 0.019</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Net asset value End of year</b>	<b>\$ 13.940</b>	<b>\$ 14.040</b>	<b>\$ 12.740</b>	<b>\$ 14.460</b>	<b>\$ 13.170</b>
<b>Market value End of year</b>	<b>\$ 12.600</b>	<b>\$ 12.330</b>	<b>\$ 11.540</b>	<b>\$ 14.660</b>	<b>\$ 13.450</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>5.07%</b>	<b>16.72%</b>	<b>(7.16)%</b>	<b>15.87%</b>	<b>3.37%</b>
<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>8.14%</b>	<b>13.16%</b>	<b>(17.05)%</b>	<b>15.03%</b>	<b>2.56%</b>
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data</b>					
Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 218,282	\$ 220,190	\$ 202,452	\$ 229,792	\$ 209,003
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):					
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(3)</sup>	1.20%	1.22%	1.21%	1.22%	1.39%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.37%	0.40%	0.42%	0.43%	0.52%
Total expenses <sup>(3)</sup>	1.57%	1.62%	1.63%	1.65%	1.91%
Net investment income	5.11%	5.65%	5.29%	5.29%	6.37%
Portfolio Turnover	5%	7%	12%	17%	29%

(1) Computed using average shares outstanding.

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan.

(3) Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).





## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Notes to Financial Statements

##### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds' investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Each Fund is an investment company and follows accounting and reporting guidance in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946.

**A Investment Valuation** The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

**Debt Obligations.** Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services' pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, interest rates, anticipated prepayments, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

**Derivatives.** Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded.

**Fair Valuation.** Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security's value, or the amount that a Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security's disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity's financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

**B Investment Transactions and Related Income** Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

**C Federal Taxes** Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

As of September 30, 2015, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

**D Expense Reduction** State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund's custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

**E Legal Fees** Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

**F Use of Estimates** The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**G Indemnifications** Under each Fund's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund's Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

**H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held** The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond for cash to a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), while at the same time, buying a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of the SPV. The bond is deposited into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the SPV by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the Bond held by the SPV transferred to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the SPV the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the SPV for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 8) at September 30, 2015. Interest expense related to the Funds' liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2015, the amounts of the Funds' Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	<b>Municipal</b>	<b>California</b>	<b>New York</b>
	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 598,055,000	\$ 175,185,000	\$ 135,425,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.02 - 0.37	0.02 - 0.03	0.02 - 0.05
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 739,371,000	\$ 227,366,087	\$ 174,453,770

For the year ended September 30, 2015, the Funds' average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	<b>Municipal</b>	<b>California</b>	<b>New York</b>
	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 597,824,712	\$ 184,587,630	\$ 141,801,479
Average Interest Rate	0.60%	0.59%	0.58%

In certain circumstances, the Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with brokers by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2015.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds' investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds' investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds' restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds' Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds' restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. federal agencies published final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule). The Volcker Rule prohibits banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading of certain instruments and limits such entities investments in, and relationships with, covered funds (such as SPVs), as defined in the rules. The compliance date for the Volcker Rule for certain covered funds was July 21, 2015 while for other covered funds the compliance date is July 21, 2016. The Volcker Rule precludes banking entities and their affiliates from (i) sponsoring residual interest bond programs (as such programs are presently structured) and (ii) continuing relationships with or services for existing residual interest bond programs. As a result, residual interest bond trusts will need to be restructured or unwound. The effects of the Volcker Rule may make it more difficult for the Funds to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Funds to incur additional expenses to maintain their leverage.

As of September 30, 2015, the Funds' investments in residual interest bonds that were required to be compliant with the Volcker Rule by July 21, 2015 were restructured by the required compliance date. Legal and restructuring fees incurred in connection with residual interest bond trusts that were restructured during the year ended September 30, 2015 have been recorded as interest expense.

**I Financial Futures Contracts** Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

**J When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions** The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

**K Statement of Cash Flows** The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund's Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

## 2 Distributions to Shareholders and Income Tax Information

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to shareholders are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP. As required by U.S. GAAP, only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund	

Distributions declared from:

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Tax-exempt income	\$ 52,211,758	\$ 14,631,999	\$ 11,144,007
Ordinary income	\$ 6,486	\$	\$ 100,691

**Year Ended September 30, 2014**

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
<b>Distributions declared from:</b>			
Tax-exempt income	\$ 52,185,586	\$ 14,133,405	\$ 10,885,152
Ordinary income	\$ 32,657	\$ 19,249	\$

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended September 30, 2015, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for premium amortization, accretion of market discount and expenditures on defaulted bonds.

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Change in:			
Paid-in capital	\$ (31,250)	\$	\$
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ (1,216,483)	\$ 60,331	\$ (64,331)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	\$ 1,247,733	\$ (60,331)	\$ 64,331
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Funds.			

As of September 30, 2015, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 1,467,917	\$ 2,250,747	\$ 1,878,214
Capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses	\$ (150,846,531)	\$ (65,202,004)	\$ (31,495,098)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 135,972,625	\$ 32,021,806	\$ 26,719,422
The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, futures contracts, premium amortization and accretion of market discount.			

At September 30, 2015, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund's taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. Under tax regulations, capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 2010 are considered deferred capital losses and are treated as arising on the first day of a Fund's next taxable year, retaining the same short-term or long-term character as when originally deferred. Deferred capital losses are required to be used prior to capital loss carryforwards, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards, whose character is short-term, and the amounts of the deferred capital losses are as follows:

<b>Expiration Date</b>	<b>Municipal Fund</b>	<b>California Fund</b>	<b>New York Fund</b>
September 30, 2016	\$ 6,857,645	\$ 533,889	\$
September 30, 2017	18,034,628	4,562,453	7,946,914



Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

September 30, 2018	56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
September 30, 2019	16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
<b>Total capital loss carryforwards</b>	<b>\$ 97,534,546</b>	<b>\$ 35,931,225</b>	<b>\$ 23,319,475</b>
<b>Deferred capital losses:</b>			
Short-term	\$ 31,142,295	\$ 9,137,773	\$ 4,116,551
Long-term	\$ 22,169,690	\$ 20,133,006	\$ 4,059,072

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2015, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
<b>Aggregate cost</b>	<b>\$ 795,624,356</b>	<b>\$ 231,366,898</b>	<b>\$ 184,175,328</b>
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 138,607,110	\$ 33,511,581	\$ 27,953,103
Gross unrealized depreciation	(2,634,485)	(1,489,775)	(1,233,681)
<b>Net unrealized appreciation</b>	<b>\$ 135,972,625</b>	<b>\$ 32,021,806</b>	<b>\$ 26,719,422</b>

### 3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. Pursuant to the investment advisory agreement for New York Fund, the fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of its average weekly gross assets. Pursuant to the investment advisory agreement and a subsequent fee reduction agreement between each of Municipal Fund and California Fund and EVM, the fee is calculated at an annual rate of 0.60% of each Fund's average weekly gross assets. The fee reductions cannot be terminated without the consent of a majority of Trustees and a majority of shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each Fund and EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. The investment adviser fee is payable monthly. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2015, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 9,327,592	\$ 2,754,708	\$ 2,349,903

Trustees and officers of the Funds who are members of EVM's organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2015, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

### 4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2015 were as follows:

Municipal	California	New York
-----------	------------	----------

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>
Purchases	\$ 81,410,297	\$ 33,318,300	\$ 18,486,016
Sales	\$ 90,683,870	\$ 45,508,738	\$ 34,779,805
5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest			

The Funds may issue common shares pursuant to their dividend reinvestment plans. There were no common shares issued by the Funds for the years ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014.

On November 11, 2013, the Boards of Trustees of the Funds authorized the repurchase by each Fund of up to 10% of its then currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value (NAV). The repurchase program does not obligate the Funds to purchase a

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

specific amount of shares. During the years ended September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the number, cost (including brokerage commissions), average price per share and weighted average discount per share to NAV of common shares repurchased, were as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Common shares repurchased		7,500	23,000
Cost, including brokerage commissions, of common shares repurchased		\$ 87,649	\$ 287,318
Average price per share		\$ 11.69	\$ 12.49
Weighted average discount per share to NAV		9.90%	11.61%

	Year Ended September 30, 2014		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Common shares repurchased		357,500	215,000
Cost, including brokerage commissions, of common shares repurchased		\$ 3,881,323	\$ 2,538,716
Average price per share		\$ 10.86	\$ 11.81
Weighted average discount per share to NAV		10.57%	10.38%

## 6 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund's assets to the extent of any overdraft. At September 30, 2015, the Municipal Fund and California Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$14,802,497 and \$4,491,339, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2015. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 8) at September 30, 2015. The Funds' average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2015 were not significant.

## 7 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2015 is as follows:

## Futures Contracts

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Fund	Expiration		Position	Aggregate		Net Unrealized Depreciation
	Month/Year	Contracts		Cost	Value	
Municipal		229				
California	12/15	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 100	Short	\$ (35,389,015)	\$ (36,031,719)	\$ (642,704)
	12/15	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 79	Short	\$ (12,735,750)	\$ (12,873,438)	\$ (137,688)
New York	12/15	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 50	Short	(12,208,437)	(12,430,156)	(221,719)
	12/15	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (7,726,859)	\$ (7,867,187)	\$ (140,328)

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Notes to Financial Statements continued

At September 30, 2015, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Funds enter into U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2015 were as follows:

	<b>Municipal</b>	<b>California</b>	<b>New York</b>
	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>
<b>Liability Derivative:</b>			
Futures Contracts	\$ (642,704) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (359,407) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (140,328) <sup>(1)</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (642,704)</b>	<b>\$ (359,407)</b>	<b>\$ (140,328)</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized depreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day's variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2015 was as follows:

	<b>Municipal</b>	<b>California</b>	<b>New York</b>
	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>	<b>Fund</b>
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (1,900,690) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (1,126,749) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (414,174) <sup>(1)</sup>
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (1,018,324) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (552,444) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (222,222) <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amounts of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2015, which are indicative of the volume of this derivative type, were approximately as follows:

<b>Municipal</b>	<b>California</b>	<b>New York</b>
------------------	-------------------	-----------------

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	Fund	Fund	Fund
<b>Average Notional Amount:</b>			
Futures Contracts Short	\$ 40,626,000	\$ 26,690,000	\$ 8,864,000
8 Fair Value Measurements			

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Notes to Financial Statements continued

At September 30, 2015, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds' investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

<b>Municipal Fund Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 1,529,651,981	\$	\$ 1,529,651,981
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,529,651,981</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,529,651,981</b>
<b>Liability Description</b>				
Futures Contracts	\$ (642,704)	\$	\$	\$ (642,704)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (642,704)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (642,704)</b>

<b>California Fund Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 438,573,704	\$	\$ 438,573,704
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 438,573,704</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 438,573,704</b>
<b>Liability Description</b>				
Futures Contracts	\$ (359,407)	\$	\$	\$ (359,407)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (359,407)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (359,407)</b>

<b>New York Fund Asset Description</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 346,319,750	\$	\$ 346,319,750
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 346,319,750</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 346,319,750</b>
<b>Liability Description</b>				
Futures Contracts	\$ (140,328)	\$	\$	\$ (140,328)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (140,328)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (140,328)</b>

California Fund and New York Fund held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2014 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. Level 3 investments held by Municipal Fund at the beginning and/or end of the period in relation to net assets were not significant and accordingly, a reconciliation of Level 3 assets for the year ended September 30, 2015 is not presented.

At September 30, 2015, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.



## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2015, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2015, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2015, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 16, 2015

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in February 2016 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2015. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

**Exempt-Interest Dividends.** For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, the Funds designate the following percentages of distributions from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Municipal Bond Fund	99.99%
California Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%
New York Municipal Bond Fund	99.10%

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 23, 2015. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

**Item 1:** The election of William H. Park, Valerie A. Mosley and Ralph F. Verni as Class I Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2018.

	<b>Nominee for Class I Trustee</b>	<b>Nominee for Class I Trustee</b>	<b>Nominee for Class I Trustee</b>
	<b>Elected by All Shareholders:</b>	<b>Elected by All Shareholders:</b>	<b>Elected by All Shareholders:</b>
	<b>William H. Park</b>	<b>Valerie A. Mosley</b>	<b>Ralph F. Verni</b>
Municipal Fund			
For	56,408,583	56,420,257	56,320,935
Withheld	5,550,827	5,539,153	5,638,475
California Fund			
For	18,612,092	18,527,600	18,609,962
Withheld	726,343	810,835	728,473
New York Fund			
For	12,637,760	12,462,197	12,634,359
Withheld	1,334,489	1,510,052	1,337,890

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that each Fund's transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent's service fee for handling distributions will be paid by each Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro-rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan

This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan.

The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan.

Please print exact name on account:

Shareholder signature

Date

Shareholder signature

Date

Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign.

**YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY.**

*This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:*

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC

P.O. Box 922

Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

**Number of Employees**

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

**Number of Shareholders**

As of September 30, 2015, Fund records indicate that there are 155, 30 and 39 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 22,751, 5,485 and 4,549 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

**NYSE MKT symbols**

Municipal Bond Fund	EIM
California Municipal Bond Fund	EVM
New York Municipal Bond Fund	ENX

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Board of Trustees Contract Approval

##### Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund's board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a "Board") of the registered investment companies advised, administered and/or distributed by Eaton Vance Management or its affiliates (the "Eaton Vance Funds") held on April 27, 2015, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of its Contract Review Committee, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2015. The Contract Review Committee also considered information received at prior meetings of the Board and its committees, as relevant to its annual evaluation of the investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements.

The information that the Board considered included, among other things, the following:

##### *Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses*

A report from an independent data provider comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds as identified by the data provider (comparable funds);

A report from an independent data provider comparing each fund's total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

A report from an independent data provider comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups identified by the adviser in consultation with the Board;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund;

##### *Information about Portfolio Management and Trading*

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes it employs;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser's policies and practices with respect to trading, including each adviser's processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions;

Information about the allocation of brokerage transactions and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and policies with respect to soft dollars ;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;  
*Information about each Adviser*

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

The Code of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Policies and procedures relating to proxy voting and the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management's procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;



## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

#### *Other Relevant Information*

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each investment advisory agreement.

Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2015, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met nine times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met eight, seventeen, seven, eleven and thirteen times, respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund, and considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund's investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions and risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters. In addition to the formal meetings of the Board and its Committees, the Independent Trustees hold regular teleconferences in between meetings to discuss, among other topics, matters relating to the continuation of investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of investment advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund's investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement. In evaluating each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement, including the specific fee structures and other terms of the agreements, the Contract Review Committee was informed by multiple years of analysis and discussion among the Independent Trustees and the Eaton Vance Funds' advisers and sub-advisers.

#### Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds ), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser ), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser's management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds, including recent changes to such personnel, where relevant. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser's large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, as well as the compensation methods of the Adviser and other factors, such as the reputation and resources of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel. In addition, the

## Eaton Vance

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

#### Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Board considered the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management, as well as the infrastructure, operational capabilities and support staff in place to assist in the management of the Funds, including the provision of administrative services.

The Board considered the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also considered the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

#### Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund's investment performance to that of comparable funds and appropriate benchmark indices and assessed each Fund's performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board's review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2014 for each Fund. The Board considered, among other things, the Adviser's efforts to generate competitive levels of tax-exempt current income over time through investments that, relative to comparable funds, focus on higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. On the basis of the foregoing and other relevant information provided by the Adviser in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, the Board concluded that the performance of each Fund was satisfactory.

#### Management Fees and Expenses

The Board considered contractual fee rates payable by each Fund for advisory and administrative services (referred to collectively as management fees). As part of its review, the Board considered each Fund's management fees and total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2014, as compared to those of comparable funds, before and after giving effect to any undertaking to waive fees or reimburse expenses. The Board considered certain Fund specific factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios relative to comparable funds, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee. The Board also considered actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the fund complex level.

After considering the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

#### Profitability

The Board considered the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

Economies of Scale

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and that the Funds' assets are not expected to increase materially in the foreseeable future. The Board concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser's profits with respect to each Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules is not warranted at this time.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Management and Organization

**Fund Management.** The Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund (EIM), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (EVM) and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (ENX), (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds' affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The

Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Funds, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 174 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the Funds	Term Expiring; Trustee Since <sup>(1)</sup>	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
			During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
<b>Interested Trustee</b>			
Thomas E. Faust Jr. 1958	Class II Trustee	Until 2016. Trustee since 2007.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD. Trustee and/or officer of 174 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds.  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
<b>Noninterested Trustees</b>			
Scott E. Eston 1956	Class II Trustee	Until 2016. Trustee since 2011.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> None.
Cynthia E. Frost 1961	Class II Trustee	Until 2016. Trustee since 2014.	Private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer of Brown University (university endowment) (2000-2012); Portfolio Strategist for Duke Management Company (university endowment manager) (1995-2000); Managing Director, Cambridge Associates (1989-1995); Consultant, Bain and Company (1987-1989); Senior Equity Analyst, BA Investment Management Company (1983-1985).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> None.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

George J. Gorman	Class III	Until 2017.	Principal at George J. Gorman LLC (consulting firm). Formerly, Senior Partner at Ernst & Young LLP (public accounting firm) (1974-2009).
1952	Trustee	Trustee since 2014.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Formerly, Trustee of the Bank of America Money Market Funds Series Trust (2011-2014) and of the Ashmore Funds (2010-2014).
Valerie A. Mosley	Class I	Until 2018.	Chairwoman and Chief Executive Officer of Valmo Ventures (a consulting and investment firm). Former Partner and Senior Vice President, Portfolio Manager and Investment Strategist at Wellington Management Company, LLP (investment management firm) (1992-2012). Former Chief Investment Officer, PG Corbin Asset Management (1990-1992). Formerly worked in institutional corporate bond sales at Kidder Peabody (1986-1990).
1960	Trustee	Trustee since 2014.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> Director of Dynex Capital, Inc. (mortgage REIT) (since 2013).
William H. Park	Class I	Until 2018.	Private investor. Formerly, Consultant (2012-2014). Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).
1947	Trustee	Trustee since 2003.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> None.

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

## Management and Organization continued

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the Funds	Term Expiring; Trustee Since <sup>(1)</sup>	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
			During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
<b>Noninterested Trustees (continued)</b>			
Helen Frame Peters 1948	Class III Trustee	Until 2017. Trustee since 2008.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> Formerly, Director of BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Susan J. Sutherland <sup>(3)</sup> 1957	Class III Trustee	Until 2017. Trustee since 2015.	Private investor. Formerly, Associate, Counsel and Partner at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP (law firm) (1982-2013).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Formerly, Director of Montpelier Re Holdings Ltd. (global provider of customized insurance and reinsurance products) (2013-2015).
Harriett Tee Taggart 1948	Class III Trustee	Until 2017. Trustee since 2011.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP (investment management firm) (1983-2006).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni 1943	Chairman of the Board and  Class I Trustee	Until 2018.  Trustee since 2005 and Chairman since 2007.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life. Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).  <b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(2)</sup> None.

## Principal Officers who are not Trustees

Name and Year of Birth	Position(s) with the	Officer Since <sup>(4)</sup>	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years
------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	---

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	<b>Funds</b>		
Payson F. Swaffield	President	2003	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960			
James F. Kirchner	Treasurer	2007	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1967			
Paul M. O. Neil	Chief Compliance Officer	2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953			

- (1) Year first appointed to serve as Trustee for a fund in the Eaton Vance family of funds. Each Trustee has served continuously since appointment unless indicated otherwise. Each Trustee holds office until the annual meeting for the year in which his or her term expires and until his or her successor is elected and qualified, subject to a prior death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal.
- (2) During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except for Mmes. Frost and Sutherland and Mr. Gorman) also served as Board members of one or more of the following funds (which operated in the years noted): eUnits<sup>TM</sup> 2 Year U.S. Market Participation Trust: Upside to Cap / Buffered Downside (launched in 2012 and terminated in 2014); eUnits<sup>TM</sup> 2 Year U.S. Market Participation Trust II: Upside to Cap / Buffered Downside (launched in 2012 and terminated in 2014); Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009). However, Ms. Mosley did not serve as a Board member of eUnits<sup>TM</sup> 2 Year U.S. Market Participation Trust: Upside to Cap / Buffered Downside (launched in 2012 and terminated in 2014).
- (3) Ms. Sutherland began serving as a Trustee effective May 1, 2015.
- (4) Year first elected to serve as officer of a fund in the Eaton Vance family of funds when the officer has served continuously. Otherwise, year of most recent election as an officer of a fund in the Eaton Vance family of funds. Titles may have changed since initial election.



## Eaton Vance Funds

### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com).

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management's Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

**Delivery of Shareholder Documents.** The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. *Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise.* If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be househanded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

**Portfolio Holdings.** Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com), by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

**Proxy Voting.** From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

**Share Repurchase Program.** The Funds' Boards of Trustees have approved a share repurchase program authorizing each Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its outstanding common shares as of the approved date in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate a Fund to purchase a specific amount of shares. The Funds' repurchase activity, including the number of shares purchased, average price and average discount to net asset value, is disclosed in the Funds' annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders.

## Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

**Additional Notice to Shareholders.** If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding preferred shares in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

**Closed-End Fund Information.** Eaton Vance closed-end funds make fund performance data and certain information about portfolio characteristics available on the Eaton Vance website shortly after the end of each month. Other information about the funds is available on the website. The funds' net asset value per share is readily accessible on the Eaton Vance website. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at [www.eatonvance.com](http://www.eatonvance.com) on the fund information pages under Individual Investors' Closed-End Funds.

Investment Adviser and Administrator

**Eaton Vance Management**

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

**State Street Bank and Trust Company**

State Street Financial Center, One Lincoln Street

Boston, MA 02111

Transfer Agent

**American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC**

6201 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Brooklyn, NY 11219

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

**Deloitte & Touche LLP**

200 Berkeley Street

Boston, MA 02116-5022

Fund Offices

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1453 9.30.15

**Item 2. Code of Ethics**

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

**Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The registrant's Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a private investor. Previously, he served as a consultant, as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

**Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services****(a) (d)**

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant's fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2015 by the registrant's principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

<b>Fiscal Years Ended</b>	<b>9/30/14</b>	<b>9/30/15</b>
Audit Fees	\$ 70,575	\$ 72,650
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 13,160	\$ 13,040
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 83,735</b>	<b>\$ 85,690</b>

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant's audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant's principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies ). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant's audit committee at least annually. The registrant's audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant's principal accountant.

- (e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant's audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant's fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2015; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

<b>Fiscal Years Ended</b>	<b>9/30/14</b>	<b>9/30/15</b>
<b>Registrant</b>	\$ 13,160	\$ 13,040
<b>Eaton Vance<sup>(1)</sup></b>	\$ 256,315	\$ 46,000

(1) The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.

(h) The registrant's audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant's principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant's investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

#### **Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants**

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Cynthia E. Frost and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant's audit committee.

#### **Item 6. Schedule of Investments**

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

#### **Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies**

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the "Fund Policy"), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund's investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser's proxy voting policies and procedures (the "Policies") which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund's proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund's shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board's Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board's Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company's management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service ("Agent"), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of

proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund's shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser's personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

#### **Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies**

Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund and Cynthia J. Clemson, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund's investments.

Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998, a portfolio manager since 2004, and is Co-Director of the Municipal Investments Group. Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is Co-Director of the Municipal Investments Group. Mr. Brandon and Ms. Clemson are Vice Presidents of Eaton Vance Management (EVM or Eaton Vance). This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following table shows, as of each Fund's most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.



	Number of All Accounts	Total Assets of All Accounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Total Assets of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee
<b>Craig R. Brandon</b>				
Registered Investment Companies	17	\$ 6,478.8	0	\$ 0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
<b>Cynthia J. Clemson</b>				
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 4,744.4	0	\$ 0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund's most recent fiscal year end.

	Dollar Range of Equity Securities Owned in the Fund
California Municipal Bond Fund Craig R. Brandon	None
Municipal Bond Fund Cynthia J. Clemson	None
New York Municipal Bond Fund Craig R. Brandon	None

*Potential for Conflicts of Interest.* It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager's management of a Fund's investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser's trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

---

## Compensation Structure for EVM

Compensation of EVM's portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC's nonvoting common stock and restricted shares of EVC's nonvoting common stock. EVM's investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM's employees. Compensation of EVM's investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

*Method to Determine Compensation.* EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund's peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM's management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group or market index. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund's success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers' performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is generally based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus adjusted operating income. While the salaries of EVM's portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

## Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

### REGISTRANT PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period*	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares	
			Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Programs*
October 2014	14,300	12.51	14,300	1,360,359
November 2014				1,360,359
December 2014	8,700	12.47	8,700	1,351,659
January 2015				1,351,659
February 2015				1,351,659
March 2015				1,351,659
April 2015				1,351,659
May 2015				1,351,659
June 2015				1,351,659
July 2015				1,351,659
August 2015				1,351,659
September 2015				1,351,659
<b>Total</b>	<b>23,000</b>	<b>12.49</b>	<b>23,000</b>	

\* On November 11, 2013, the Fund's Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program authorizing the Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its then currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program was announced on November 15, 2013.

### Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No material changes.

### Item 11. Controls and Procedures

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant's current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission's rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

### Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant's Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer's Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President's Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield  
Payson F. Swaffield  
President

Date: November 12, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ James F. Kirchner  
James F. Kirchner  
Treasurer

Date: November 12, 2015

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield  
Payson F. Swaffield  
President

Date: November 12, 2015