

Trinsic, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 15, 2005

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005
OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO**

**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-28467
TRINSIC, INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

59-3501119
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

**601 SOUTH HARBOUR ISLAND BOULEVARD, SUITE 220
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602**

(813) 273-6261

(Address, including zip code, and
telephone number including area code, of
Registrant's principal executive offices)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OF THE ACT: NONE

**SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(G) OF THE ACT: COMMON STOCK, PAR
VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE, PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE RIGHTS**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12B-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes No

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of August 12, 2005 was approximately 55,905,602.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | |
|--|----|
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2005 (unaudited) and December 31, 2004</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 13 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 23 |

PART II

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 27 |
| <u>Ex-10.1.2 Amendment to Credit Facility</u> | |
| <u>Ex-10.1.3 Amended Promissory Note</u> | |
| <u>Ex-31.1 Section 302 CEO Certification</u> | |
| <u>Ex-31.2 Section 302 CFO Certification</u> | |
| <u>Ex-32.1 Section 906 CEO Certification</u> | |
| <u>Ex-32.2 Section 906 CFO Certification</u> | |

Table of Contents

TRINSIC, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

| | (Unaudited) June 30, 2005 | (Audited) December 31, 2004 |
|--|---|---|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 536 | \$ 1,363 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9,214 and \$10,967 | 25,936 | 27,242 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,856 | 836 |
| Total current assets | 29,328 | 29,441 |
| Property and equipment, net | 22,411 | 27,829 |
| Intangible assets, net | | 457 |
| Other assets | 4,238 | 3,609 |
| Total assets | \$ 55,977 | \$ 61,336 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Deficit | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 49,879 | \$ 55,605 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,536 | 6,264 |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 20,501 | 7,536 |
| Asset based loan | | 12,934 |
| Total current liabilities | 76,916 | 82,339 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 18 | 46 |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 34 | 33 |
| Total liabilities | 76,968 | 82,418 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 7 and 10) | | |
| Stockholders deficit: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 55,973,912 and 55,253,612 shares issued; 55,905,602 and 55,185,302 shares outstanding | 552 | 553 |
| Notes receivable from stockholders | (870) | (3,685) |
| Unearned stock compensation | (314) | (466) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 392,430 | 392,488 |
| Accumulated deficit | (412,711) | (409,894) |

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Treasury stock, 68,310 shares at cost | (78) | (78) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (20,991) | (21,082) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$ 55,977 | \$ 61,336 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

TRINSIC, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenues | \$ 50,797 | \$ 63,797 | \$ 107,928 | \$ 132,264 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Network operations, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below | 26,999 | 31,232 | 56,833 | 65,266 |
| Sales and marketing | 3,692 | 4,937 | 8,911 | 10,014 |
| General and administrative | 18,574 | 31,125 | 41,084 | 65,125 |
| Restructuring charge | 451 | 807 | 451 | 807 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,955 | 5,109 | 7,134 | 10,420 |
| Total operating expenses | 52,671 | 73,210 | 114,413 | 151,632 |
| Operating loss | (1,874) | (9,413) | (6,485) | (19,368) |
| Nonoperating income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest and other income | 490 | 440 | 7,293 | 1,282 |
| Interest and other expense | (1,873) | (2,138) | (3,626) | (3,408) |
| Total nonoperating income (expense) | (1,383) | (1,698) | 3,667 | (2,126) |
| Net loss | (3,257) | (11,111) | (2,818) | (21,494) |
| Less mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock dividends and accretion | | (3,626) | | (7,991) |
| Less deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature | | (47) | | (93) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (3,257) | \$ (14,784) | \$ (2,818) | \$ (29,578) |

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 55,335,635 | 7,321,741 | 55,260,884 | 7,267,561 |
| Basic and diluted net loss per common share | \$ (0.06) | \$ (2.02) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (4.07) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

TRINSIC, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,818) | \$(21,494) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,134 | 10,420 |
| Provision for bad debts | 6,384 | 3,017 |
| Expense charged for granting of stock options | 93 | 888 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Increase in accounts receivable | (2,513) | (7,089) |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses | (2,020) | 2,860 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (628) | 551 |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (5,352) | 4,515 |
| Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue | 244 | (2,851) |
| Total adjustments | 3,342 | 12,311 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 524 | (9,183) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,633) | (4,732) |
| Principal repayments received on notes receivable | | 40 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,633) | (4,692) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (534) | (3,248) |
| Principal repayments received on notes receivable issued for stock | 250 | 191 |
| Payment of preferred stock dividends | | (3) |
| Proceeds from (payoff of) asset based loan | (12,934) | 12,619 |
| Proceeds from stand by credit facility | 13,500 | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants | | 499 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 282 | 10,058 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (827) | (3,817) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 1,363 | 12,013 |

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 536 | \$ 8,196 |
|--|--------|----------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

5

Table of Contents

TRINSIC, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

(ALL TABLES ARE IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT FOR SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Trinsic, Inc. and subsidiaries (we or us) is an emerging provider of advanced, integrated telecommunications services targeted to residential and business subscribers. We provide local and long distance telephone services in combination with enhanced communication features accessible through the telephone, the Internet and certain personal digital assistants. We provide these services in forty-nine states, but our customers are primarily concentrated in six states. We recently began providing services utilizing Internet protocol, often referred to as IP telephony, voice over Internet protocol or VoIP. We provide long-distance telecommunications services nationwide.

We introduced our services on a wholesale basis during the first quarter of 2002. This provides other companies with the opportunity to provide local, long-distance and enhanced telephone service to their own residential and business end user customers on a private label basis by utilizing our telephone exchange services, enhanced services platform, infrastructure and back-office operations.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business is dependent on, among other things, the company's ability to operate profitably, to generate cash flow from operations and to obtain funding adequate to fund its business.

We have a limited operating history and our operations are subject to material risks and uncertainties, particularly related to the evolution of the regulatory environment, which impacts our access to and cost of the network elements that we utilize to provide services to our customers.

We have incurred significant losses since our inception as a result of developing our business, performing ongoing research and development, building and maintaining our network infrastructure and technology, the sale and promotion of our services, and ongoing administrative expenditures. As of June 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$412.7 million and \$0.5 million in cash and cash equivalents. We have funded our expenditures primarily through operating revenues, private securities offerings, various working capital facilities, our standby credit facility and an initial public offering.

The company's inability to operate profitably and to consistently generate cash flows from operations, its reliance therefore on external funding either from loans or equity raise substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.5 million as compared to \$9.2 million used in operating activities in the prior year period.

In April 2004, the company secured an asset based loan facility with Textron Financial Corporation (Textron), which provided up to \$25 million to fund operations. Effective January 27, 2005, we entered into a Modification and Termination Agreement with Textron. Among other things the Modification and Termination Agreement provided that Textron would forbear from exercising default rights and remedies until May 31, 2005, would waive the early termination fee and modify the annual facility fee. We agreed to pay a modification fee of \$150,000.

On April 4, 2005, we entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Thermo Credit, LLC (Thermo). The agreement provides for the sale of up to \$22 million of our accounts receivable on a continuous basis to Thermo, subject to selection criteria as defined in the contract. On May 6, 2005, we used proceeds from this accounts receivable financing facility to pay off our loan balance with Textron.

By letter dated May 6, 2005, the Nasdaq Stock Market notified us that the market value of our common stock remained below the minimum of \$35 million required by Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(2)(B)(ii) and accordingly our shares would be delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market at the opening of business on May 17, 2005. We appealed the decision and presented a definitive plan for regaining compliance to a hearing panel. A Nasdaq Listing

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Qualifications Hearing Panel granted the company a temporary exception from these requirements subject to the following conditions:

(1) The company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 must evidence pro forma stockholders' equity of at least \$2,500,000 at June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

(2) The company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 must report actual stockholders' equity at September 30, 2005 of at least \$2,500,000.

(3) On or before September 30, 2005, the company must evidence a closing bid price of at least \$1.00 per share and immediately thereafter a closing bid price of at least \$1.00 per share for a minimum of 10 consecutive trading days. If we meet the terms of the exception, our shares will continue to be listed on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Commencing Friday, July 28, 2005 and for the duration of the exception, the trading symbol for our shares will be TRINC. The C will be removed from the symbol upon confirmation of the company's compliance with the terms of the exception and all other criteria for continued listing.

Our net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$3.1 million to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$4.7 million the prior year period. The reduction was attributable to the purchasing of less property and equipment during the six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same period in the prior year.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.3 million as compared to \$10.1 million for the prior year period. This change is the result of using proceeds from our accounts receivable financing facility to payoff our asset based loan with Textron.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by us in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and are in the form prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes for complete financial statements as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The interim unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2004, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on April 15, 2005. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005.

RECLASSIFICATION

Certain amounts in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

(a) Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Effective January 1, 2005, we have deferred the fee charged to us by the Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILECs) in the activation of our business VoIP customers. This fee and any acquisition revenue received from the customers are being deferred and amortized over the life of each customer's signed contract. At June 30, 2005, unamortized deferred set up fees amounted to \$0.6 million.

(b) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, which is effective beginning on January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 154 requires that all voluntary changes in accounting principles are retrospectively applied to prior financial statements as if that principle had always been used, unless it is impracticable to do so. When it is impracticable to calculate the effects on all prior periods, SFAS No. 154 requires that the new principle be applied to the earliest period practicable. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 is not anticipated to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation Number 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, and also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We do not anticipate that the implementation of FIN 47 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of

operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payments* (SFAS No. 123R). SFAS No. 123R requires the recognition of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments in the financial statements and measurement based on the grant-date fair value of the award. It requires the cost to be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Additionally, compensation expense will be recognized over the remaining employee service period for the outstanding portion of any awards for which compensation expense had not been previously recognized or disclosed under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS No. 123). SFAS No. 123R replaces SFAS No. 123 and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB No. 25), and its related interpretations.

SFAS No. 123R was originally required to be adopted beginning no later than the third quarter of 2005. However, in April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission announced the adoption of a new staff accounting bulletin that amends the compliance dates for SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, we are required to adopt SFAS No. 123R no later than January 1, 2006. We are currently assessing the timing and impact of adopting SFAS No. 123R.

4. STANDBY CREDIT FACILITY AND ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AGREEMENT

During the first quarter of 2005, we received advances of \$3.5 million, \$2.5 million and \$1.2 million on February 14, 2005, March 4, 2005 and March 24, 2005, respectively, from our standby credit facility with The 1818 Fund III, LP. During the second quarter of 2005, we received advances of \$1.3 million, \$2.5 million and \$2.5 million on May 9, 2005, May 24, 2005 and June 10, 2005, respectively.

On April 4, 2005, we entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Thermo Credit, LLC (Thermo) to replace our Textron credit facility. The agreement provides for the sale of up to \$22 million of our accounts receivable on a continuous basis to Thermo, subject to selection criteria as defined in the contract. The discount rate is 2.5%. Purchase of the receivables is at the option of Thermo. On May 6, 2005, we used proceeds from this accounts receivable financing facility to pay off our loan balance with Textron.

5. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

On April 6, 2005, we initiated a reduction in force which terminated the employment of approximately 107 of our employees. We incurred a one-time charge during April of approximately \$450,000 consisting primarily of post termination wages, salaries and the associated payroll taxes, net of vacation expense already accrued for these employees. Substantially all of these post termination wages were paid within 30 days following the reduction in force.

The following table shows the restructuring charges and related accruals recognized under the restructuring plans described above and in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and the effect on our consolidated financial position:

| | Employee Termination Benefits | Lease Abandonment Costs | Asset Retirement | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2002 | \$ | \$ 551 | \$ | \$ 551 |
| Cash paid | | (200) | | (200) |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | | 351 | | 351 |
| Plan Charges | 4,527 | 234 | 40 | 4,801 |
| Cash paid | (3,646) | (262) | | (3,908) |
| Asset disposal | | | (40) | (40) |
| Converted to note payable | | (40) | | (40) |
| Lease termination settlement reversal | | (210) | | (210) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 881 | 73 | | 954 |
| Plan Charges | 451 | | | 451 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|------|----|---------|
| Vacation Accrual | 91 | | | 91 |
| Cash paid | (1,062) | (73) | | (1,135) |
| Balance at June 30, 2005 | \$ 361 | \$ | \$ | \$ 361 |

6. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

For employee stock options, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation requiring entities to recognize as an expense, over the vesting period, the fair value of the options or utilize the accounting for employee stock options used under APB 25. We apply the provisions of APB 25 and consequently recognize compensation expense over the vesting period for grants made to employees and directors only if, on the measurement date, the market price of the underlying stock exceeds the

Table of Contents

exercise price. We provide the pro forma net income and earnings per share disclosures as required under SFAS No. 123 for grants made as if the fair value method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. We recognize expense over the vesting period of the grants made to non-employees utilizing the Black-Scholes stock valuation model to calculate the value of the option on the measurement date.

The following table illustrates, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, an Amendment of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, the effect on net income (loss) and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, to stock-based employee compensation.

| | For the three months ended | | For the six months ended | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders, as reported | \$ (3,257) | \$ (14,784) | \$ (2,818) | \$ (29,578) |
| Add: Stock based compensation included in net loss | 52 | 888 | 93 | 888 |
| Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation determined under the fair value based method for all awards | (637) | (1,043) | (1,279) | (1,799) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders, pro forma | \$ (3,842) | \$ (14,939) | \$ (4,004) | \$ (30,489) |
| Basic and Diluted Net Loss per Common Share | | | | |
| As reported | \$ (0.06) | \$ (2.02) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (4.07) |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.07) | \$ (2.04) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (4.20) |

We calculated the fair value of each grant on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. In addition to there being no payments of dividends on our common stock, the following assumptions were used for each respective period:

| | For the three months ended | | For the six months ended | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Discount Rate | 4.3% | 3.4% | 4.3% | 3.1% |
| Volatility | 102.7% | 98.4% | 102.7% | 100.1% |
| Average Option Expected Life | 5 years | 5 years | 5 years | 5 years |

There were no stock option grants in the first three months of 2005, so the discount rate and volatility are the same for the three month period and the six month period. Incremental shares of common stock equivalents are not included in the calculation of net loss per share as the inclusion of such equivalents would be anti-dilutive.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We have disputed billings and access charges from certain inter-exchange carriers (IXC) and incumbent local exchange carriers (ILEC). We contend that the invoicing and billings of these access charges are not in accordance with the interconnection, service level, or tariff agreements between us and certain IXCs and ILECs. We have not paid these disputed amounts and management believes that we will prevail in these disputes. At June 30, 2005, the total disputed amounts were approximately \$17.8 million. We have accrued for \$9.3 million, which represents the access charges that we believe are valid or that may be deemed valid.

We currently have agreements with two long-distance carriers to provide transmission and termination services for all of our long distance traffic. These agreements generally provide for the resale of long distance services on a per-minute basis and contain minimum volume commitments. As a result of not fulfilling all of our volume commitments as outlined in one of these contracts we agreed to pay an increased per minute charge for minutes until the achievement of certain minimum minute requirements. Once we meet the new agreed upon minimum minutes we will revert to the terms of our original agreement. All other terms of the original agreement continue in full force. On April 15, 2005, Trinsic entered into a Wholesale Advantage Services Agreement with Verizon Services Company on behalf of Verizon's Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (Verizon ILECs). The Wholesale Advantage Services Agreement will act as a replacement for Trinsic's existing Interconnection Agreements for the provision of UNE-P services in Verizon service areas. As long as Trinsic meets certain volume commitments, Verizon will continue to provide a UNE-P like service at gradually increasing rates for a five year period. The contract contains a take-or-pay clause that is applicable for every month starting in May 2005. The calculation is based on a snapshot of lines we had in service as of March 31, 2005 the baseline volume. If Trinsic is unable to replace lines generated by normal churn, these take-or-pay clause may become effective and significantly raise our cost in the Verizon footprint.

Table of Contents

In connection with certain of our wholesale services agreements, a portion of customers are provisioned using our company code. Therefore, we are the customer of record for the Regional Bell Operating Companies' wholesale billing. It is very likely that the state commissions would require us to continue providing services to our wholesale customers for at least a 90-day period, regardless of whether our wholesale relationships continue.

We have agreed to certain service level agreements (SLAs) for providing service under our wholesale agreements. If we were to not fulfill the SLAs after the phase-in period there are certain remedies including but not limited to financial compensation. We have not had to pay or accrue any financial compensation as a result of any SLAs since our inception.

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On September 29, 2004, we signed an agreement with SipStorm, Inc., a company owned by two of our shareholders and former officers of our company to transfer selected computer hardware, software and intellectual property rights to SipStorm. Relative to the purchase, SipStorm assumed responsibility for certain accounts payable, future maintenance payments and provided a promissory note in the amount of \$2.8 million. The promissory note was settled for \$250,000 during the second quarter of 2005. The note was collateralized by shares of our common stock owned by the directors of SipStorm and \$250,000 reflected its estimated realizable value at the time of the settlement. In anticipation of the settlement, \$2.5 million in bad debt expense was booked during the first quarter of 2005.

9. COMPUTATION OF NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Incremental shares of common stock equivalents are not included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share as the inclusion of such equivalents would be anti-dilutive. Net loss per share is calculated as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share: | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,257) | \$ (11,111) | \$ (2,818) | \$ (21,494) |
| Less mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock dividends and accretion | | (3,626) | | (7,991) |
| Less deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature | | (47) | | (93) |
| | | | | |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (3,257) | \$ (14,784) | \$ (2,818) | \$ (29,578) |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 55,335,635 | 7,321,741 | 55,260,884 | 7,267,561 |
| | | | | |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share | \$ (0.06) | \$ (2.02) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (4.07) |

Basic and diluted net loss per share are the same for each of the periods presented. The following table includes potentially dilutive items that were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per share for all periods presented because to do so would be anti-dilutive in each case:

Six Months Ended June 30,
2005 **2004**

| | | |
|---|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Unexercised stock options | 1,209,081 | 2,843,917 |
| Unexercised warrants | 1,078,781 | 2,168,298 |
| Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock convertible into common shares | | 7,140,562 |
| | | |
| Total potentially dilutive shares of common stock equivalents | 2,287,862 | 12,152,777 ~~~~~ ^a |

10. LEGAL AND REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS

During June and July 2001, three separate class action lawsuits were filed against us, certain of our current and former directors and officers (the "D&Os") and firms engaged in the underwriting (the "Underwriters") of our initial public offering of stock (the "IPO"). The lawsuits, along with approximately 310 other similar lawsuits filed against other issuers arising out of initial public offering allocations, have been assigned to a Judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for pretrial coordination. The lawsuits against us have been consolidated into a single action. A consolidated amended complaint was filed on April 20, 2002. A Second Corrected Amended Complaint (the "Amended Complaint"), which is the operative complaint, was filed on July 12, 2002.

Table of Contents

April 20, 2002. A Second Corrected Amended Complaint (the Amended Complaint), which is the operative complaint, was filed on July 12, 2002.

The Amended Complaint is based on the allegations that our registration statement on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in connection with the IPO, contained untrue statements of material fact and omitted to state facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading by failing to disclose that the underwriters allegedly had received additional, excessive and undisclosed commissions from, and allegedly had entered into unlawful tie-in and other arrangements with, certain customers to whom they allocated shares in the IPO. The plaintiffs in the Amended Complaint assert claims against us and the D&Os pursuant to Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated by the SEC there under. The plaintiffs in the Amended Complaint assert claims against the D&Os pursuant to Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated by the SEC there under. The plaintiffs seek an undisclosed amount of damages, as well as pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs and expenses, including attorneys fees, experts fees and other costs and disbursements. We believe we are entitled to indemnification from our Underwriters.

A settlement has been reached by the respective lawyers for plaintiffs, the issuers and insurers of the issuers. The principal terms of the proposed settlement are (i) a release of all claims against the issuers and their officers and directors, (ii) the assignment by the issuers to the plaintiffs of certain claims the issuers may have against the Underwriters and (iii) an undertaking by the insurers to ensure the plaintiffs receive not less than \$1 billion in connection with claims against the Underwriters. Hence, under the terms of the proposed settlement our financial obligations will likely be covered by insurance. The court has given preliminary approval of the settlement subject to certain modifications. A revised settlement agreement has been submitted to the court. To be binding, the settlement must be executed by the parties and thereafter submitted to and approved by the court. The settlement will not be binding upon any plaintiffs electing to opt-out of the settlement.

Susan Schad, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, filed a class action lawsuit against Trinsic Communications, Inc. (formerly known as Z-Tel Communications, Inc.), our wholly-owned subsidiary corporation, on May 13, 2004. The lawsuit alleges that our subsidiary has engaged in a pattern and practice of deceiving consumers into paying amounts in excess of their monthly rates by deceptively labeling certain line-item charges as government-mandated taxes or fees when in fact they were not. The complaint seeks to certify a class of plaintiffs consisting of all persons or entities who contracted with Trinsic for telecommunications services and were billed for particular taxes or regulatory fees. The complaint asserts a claim under the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys fees and court costs. On June 22, 2004, we filed a notice of removal in the state circuit court action, removing the case to the federal district court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, C.A. No. 4 C 4187. On July 26, 2004, Plaintiff filed a motion to remand the case to the state circuit court. On January 12, 2005, the federal court granted the motion and remanded the case to the state court. Although we believe the plaintiff s allegations are without merit and intend to defend the lawsuit vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of this litigation with any certainty.

On November 19, 2004, the landlord of our principal Tampa, Florida facility sued us a seeking a declaration of its rights and obligations under the lease and damages for breach of contract. We assert that the landlord has failed to provide certain services in accordance with the lease, including maintenance of air conditioning and emergency electrical generating systems crucial to our operations. We have taken steps necessary to provide this maintenance and have offset the costs of these measures against the rent, which we believe we are entitled to do under the lease. Thus far we have withheld approximately \$180,000. We also believe we are entitled to reimbursement from the landlord for approximately \$23,000 in costs associated with improvements to the leased space.

On November 19, 2004, a provider of parking spaces for our Tampa facilities sued us for parking fees in excess of \$334,300. Pursuant to our lease we are entitled to a number of free spaces and we are obligated to pay for additional usage of parking spaces. We believe the provider has substantially overstated our use of the spaces. We expect to resolve this dispute.

Trident Marketing International, Inc. performed telemarketing services for us. On April 28, 2005, they sued us claiming we owed them compensation of approximately \$836,458, plus additional damages of \$865,448 relating to

early termination of their services. We settled this dispute on June 14, 2005. Among other things, we agreed to pay a total of \$500,000 over a three-month period. As of June 30, 2005, we had an accrual balance of \$225,000, which represents the remaining unpaid settlement amount. In addition we will continue to pay to Trident residual compensation for accounts previously generated by Trident.

11. SEGMENT REPORTING

We have two reportable operating segments: Retail Services and Wholesale Services.

The retail services segment includes our residential and business services that offer bundled local and long-distance telephone services in combination with enhanced communication features accessible, through the telephone, the Internet and certain personal digital assistants. We provide these services in forty-nine states, but our customers are concentrated primarily in metropolitan areas within six states. This segment also includes our Touch 1 residential long-distance offering that is available on a nation wide basis.

The wholesale services segment allows companies to offer telephone exchange and enhanced services on a private label basis to

Table of Contents

residential and small business customers. Sprint is our sole customer within this segment of our business. Management evaluates the performance of each business unit based on segment results, after making adjustments for unusual items. Special items are transactions or events that are included in our reported consolidated results but are excluded from segment results due to their nonrecurring or non-operational nature. It is also important to understand when viewing our segment results that we only record direct expenses in our wholesale services and, therefore, all employee benefits, occupancy, insurance, and other indirect or overhead related expenses are reflected in the retail services segment.

The following summarizes the financial information concerning our reportable segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Services | | | | |
| Revenues | \$40,308 | \$42,814 | \$84,333 | \$ 88,118 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 2,907 | \$ 5,073 | \$ 7,050 | \$ 9,750 |
| Segment results | \$ (1,913) | \$ (8,805) | \$ (6,170) | \$ (18,135) |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 401 | \$ 2,311 | \$ 1,633 | \$ 4,691 |
| Identifiable assets | | | \$50,315 | \$ 67,344 |

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Wholesale Services | | | | |
| Revenues | \$10,489 | \$20,983 | \$23,595 | \$44,146 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 48 | \$ 36 | \$ 84 | \$ 670 |
| Segment results | \$ 2,993 | \$ 4,501 | \$ 6,819 | \$ 9,187 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | \$ 12 | \$ | \$ 41 |
| Identifiable Assets | | | \$ 5,662 | \$13,225 |

The following table reconciles our segment information to the consolidated financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Segment results: | | | | |
| Total segment results | \$ 1,081 | \$ (4,304) | \$ 649 | \$ (8,948) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (2,955) | (5,109) | (7,134) | (10,420) |
| Total consolidated operating loss | \$(1,874) | \$(9,413) | \$(6,485) | \$(19,368) |

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 15, 2005, we entered into an Exchange and Purchase Agreement with The 1818 Fund III, L.P. (the Fund). In the Exchange and Purchase Agreement, we agreed to issue to the Fund 24,084.769 shares of Series H Convertible Preferred Stock in exchange for all (approximately \$21.5 million) outstanding indebtedness (including principal, interest and premium) owing to the Fund under a promissory note due in March 2006 and \$2.5 million in cash. We consummated the exchange and purchase immediately after executing the agreement. We sold the Series H Convertible Preferred Stock in private placement pursuant to the exemption from registration afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The Series H Convertible Preferred Stock is mandatorily convertible into common stock

under certain circumstances.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information sets forth:

the historical financial information derived from our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004, and from our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

adjustments to give effect to our July 15, 2005 Exchange and Purchase Agreement with the 1818 Fund III, L.P.

12

Table of Contents

our pro forma, as adjusted unaudited financial information as of June 30, 2005, and the pro forma, as adjusted, unaudited financial information related to our operations for the six months ended June 30, 2005, and for the year ended December 31, 2004, as adjusted to give effect to the Exchange and Purchase Agreement with the 1818 Fund III, L.P.

As of June 30, 2005

| | Historical | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 536 | \$ 2,500(1) | \$ 3,036 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 49,879 | \$ (1,107)(1) | \$ 48,772 |
| Current portion of LT debt | \$ 20,501 | \$ (20,477)(1) | \$ 24 |
| Preferred stock | \$ | \$ 24,084(2) | \$ 24,084 |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit) | \$ (20,991) | \$ 24,084 | \$ 3,093 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2005

| | Historical | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma |
|---|------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Interest and other expense | \$ 3,626 | \$ (1,124)(3) | \$ 2,502 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ 2,818 | \$ (1,124)(3) | \$ 1,694 |
| Basic net loss per common share | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.02(3) | \$ 0.03 |
| Diluted net loss per common share | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.04(3) | \$ 0.01 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- basic | 55,260,884 | | 55,260,884 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- diluted | 55,260,884 | 120,423,845(4) | 175,684,729 |

For the year ended December 31, 2004

| | Historical | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma |
|---|------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Interest and other expense | \$ 6,111 | \$ (249)(3) | \$ 5,862 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ 106,523 | \$ (249)(3) | \$ 106,274 |
| Basic net loss per common share | \$ 9.12 | \$ 0.02(3) | \$ 9.10 |
| Diluted net loss per common share | \$ 9.12 | \$ 8.32(3) | \$ 0.80 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- basic | 11,676,783 | | 11,676,783 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- diluted | 11,676,783 | 120,423,845(4) | 132,100,628 |

- (1) Includes effects of removing outstanding indebtedness owed to the Fund and \$2.5 million in cash that were exchanged for preferred stock in the Exchange and Purchase Agreement. Also includes the effects of removing \$0.4 million of debt premium and \$0.08 million of interest expense, both of which were incurred upon consummation of the transaction in the month of July.
- (2) Includes 24,084,769 shares of preferred stock issued to the Fund as part of the Exchange and Purchase Agreement.
- (3) Includes effects of removing interest expense and amortized debt premium incurred on indebtedness owed to the Fund. For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the interest expense was \$0.7 million and the amortized debt premium was \$0.4 million. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, the interest expense was \$0.2 million and the amortized debt premium was \$0.05 million. As of January 1, 2005, the unamortized debt premium was \$0.2 million.
- (4) Assumes conversion of Series H Convertible Preferred Stock at a conversion price of \$0.20 per share, thereby increasing the weighted average common shares outstanding-diluted by 120,423,845 shares.

On July 29, 2005, we entered into a letter of intent to acquire from another carrier certain local telephone service lines for which we currently provide service under a wholesale, private-label arrangement with that carrier. The letter provides that we and the other carrier will use best efforts to complete a definitive agreement by September 1, 2005. The transfer is scheduled to occur in February 2006 and a structured pay-out is planned. The aggregate purchase price will depend on the number of lines in service at the time of the transfer. We currently provide local telephone service for approximately 187,000 end user lines under the wholesale arrangement. We expect normal attrition will likely result in a lesser number of lines in service at the time of transfer, but that the number of these UNE-P lines at September 29, 2005 will exceed 150,000. The transaction is subject to regulatory approvals.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

You should read the following discussion together with the Selected Consolidated Financial Data, financial statements and related notes included in this document. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those discussed in Item 1. Business, as well as Cautionary Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, and Risks Related to our Financial Condition and our Business included in our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2005, and other factors relating to our business and us that are not historical facts. Factors that may affect our results of operations include, but are not limited to, our limited operating history and cumulative losses, uncertainty of customer demand, rapid expansion, potential software failures and errors, potential network and interconnection failure, dependence on local exchange carriers, dependence on third party vendors, success and profitability of our wholesale services, dependence on key personnel, uncertainty of government regulation, legal and regulatory uncertainties, access to capital markets, and competition. We disclaim any obligation to update information contained in any forward-looking statement.

OVERVIEW

We are an emerging provider of advanced, integrated telecommunications services targeted to residential and business customers. We offer local and long distance telephone services in combination with enhanced communication features accessible through the telephone or the Internet. These features include Personal Voice Assistant (PVA), Find-Me, Notify-Me, caller identification, call waiting and speed dialing. PVA allows users to store contacts in a virtual address book and then access and utilize that information by voice from any telephone. PVA users can also send voice e-mails. We have successfully deployed Cisco soft switches in the Tampa and New York City markets and intend on targeting multi-line business customers with our converged IP telephony services. We also intend on using these soft switches to serve consumers as we continue to deploy broadband loop concentrators into central offices. In addition to providing our services on a retail basis, we are providing these services on a wholesale basis. Our wholesale services provide other companies the ability to utilize our telephone exchange services, enhanced services platform, infrastructure and back-office operations to provide services to retail and business customers on a private label basis. For management purposes, we are organized into two reportable operating segments: retail services and wholesale services. The nature of our business is rapidly evolving, and we have a limited operating history. In evaluating our financial condition and operating performance the most important matters on which we focus are lines under management, revenue per unit, cost per unit, bad debt expense, expenses as a percentage of revenues and results by segment. These measures and other analysis are discussed in detail throughout the following paragraphs and provide insight into how we analyze and review our business.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of results of operations is by business segment. Management evaluates the performance of each business unit based on segment results, after making adjustments for unusual items. Unusual items are transactions or events that are included in our reported consolidated results, but are excluded from segment results due to their non-recurring or non-operational nature. See our segment footnote to our consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of segmented results to the consolidated financial information.

Table of Contents**Revenues**

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|---|--|---------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Total revenues by segment (in millions) | | | | |
| Retail Segment | \$40.3 | \$42.8 | 79.4% | 67.1% |
| Wholesale segment | 10.5 | 21.0 | 20.6% | 32.9% |
| Total Revenues | \$50.8 | \$63.8 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Total revenues by segment (in millions) | | | | |
| Retail Segment | \$ 84.3 | \$ 88.1 | 78.1% | 66.6% |
| Wholesale segment | 23.6 | 44.2 | 21.9% | 33.4% |
| Total Revenues | \$107.9 | \$132.3 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Two significant drivers impact our revenues: number of lines in service and average (monthly) revenue per unit (ARPU). The more significant driver impacting our changes in revenue is the number of lines in service. The table below provides a detailed break-down of our lines:

| Type of Service | Average lines in service for the three months ended June 30, | | Average lines in service for the six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|--|----------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | 164,124 | 185,631 | 177,560 | 193,051 |
| Bundled business services | 45,957 | 39,554 | 46,705 | 34,054 |
| 1+ long distance services | 34,386 | 55,737 | 36,151 | 61,935 |
| Wholesale services | 232,640 | 347,821 | 255,426 | 326,368 |
| VoIP | 4,366 | | 3,425 | |
| Total lines under management | 481,473 | 628,743 | 519,267 | 615,408 |

| Type of Service | Ending lines in service as of June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | 144,609 | 181,344 |
| Bundled business services | 45,023 | 46,514 |
| 1+ long distance services | 35,927 | 49,451 |
| Wholesale services | 212,423 | 355,912 |
| VoIP | 5,218 | |
| Total lines under management | 443,200 | 633,221 |

ARPU provides us with a business measure as to the average monthly revenue generation attributable to each line in service, by business segment. ARPU is calculated by taking total revenues over a period divided by the number of months in the period to calculate the average revenue per month and this total is divided by the average lines in service during the period. We use this measure when analyzing our retail services businesses, but not when assessing our wholesale services business for the reasons summarized earlier within this section. The following table provides a detail of our ARPU:

Table of Contents

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Average revenue per unit in service | | | | |
| Bundled residential services | \$69.28 | \$64.82 | \$67.09 | \$65.66 |
| Bundled business services | \$34.99 | \$41.06 | \$35.83 | \$39.80 |
| 1+ long distance services | \$13.32 | \$11.03 | \$13.00 | \$10.59 |

During the remainder of 2005 we expect to generate moderate consumer line growth, focused in the Northeast. We still anticipate that our consumer base will account for the largest portion of our overall revenue stream and our operating cash flow over the full year. We will also continue to focus on building our IP telephony business customer base largely focused on the New York Metro and Tampa metropolitan market areas as liquidity allows. Our VoIP offerings may provide additional revenues, but will not contribute meaningfully to positive operations or be of a significant nature until at least 2006. Additionally we will continue to deploy facilities aimed at serving the consumer market segment as resources permit and look to migrate customers to our own network at a moderate pace.

Retail Segment

| Retail segment revenues by type (in millions) | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|---|--|--------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | \$34.1 | \$36.1 | 84.6% | 84.3% |
| Bundled business services | 4.8 | 4.9 | 12.0% | 11.4% |
| 1+ long-distance services | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.4% | 4.3% |
| Total Revenues | \$40.3 | \$42.8 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| Retail segment revenues by type (in millions) | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | \$71.5 | \$76.0 | 84.8% | 86.3% |
| Bundled business services | 10.0 | 8.1 | 11.9% | 9.2% |
| 1+ long-distance services | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.3% | 4.5% |
| Total Revenues | \$84.3 | \$88.1 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, the decrease in retail revenue as compared to the same periods in 2004 was primarily the result of the decline in residential UNE-P lines and 1+ long distance lines. These decreases were partially mitigated by increases in bundled business revenue which was directly attributable to line growth.

Wholesale Segment

Table of Contents

| Wholesale segment revenues by type (in millions) | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|--|--|---------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Sprint | \$ 10.5 | \$ 20.5 | 100.0% | 98.0% |
| MCI | | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Other | | 0.4 | 0.0% | 1.9% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 10.5 | \$ 21.0 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| Wholesale segment revenues by type (in millions) | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Revenues | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Sprint | \$ 23.6 | \$ 43.6 | 99.9% | 98.7% |
| MCI | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1% | 0.4% |
| Other | | 0.4 | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 23.6 | \$ 44.1 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Sprint lines have decreased significantly from June 30, 2004 to 2005, resulting in a decline in wholesale revenue.

We expect that our wholesale lines in service will continue to decline steadily during 2005. While revenues are expected to decline as well over this period, the amount of decline may not directly correspond to the reduction in line count. The degree of revenue attrition will be directly tied to the number of departing Sprint end users whose ILEC local service arrangements were billed through Trinsic versus the number of departing Sprint end users whose ILEC local service arrangements were billed directly to Sprint by the ILEC.

We employ the gross accounting method for recognizing revenue within the wholesale service business segment; therefore, where ILEC billings are passed through Trinsic, we record revenue for certain services that we provide to Sprint at our cost and record the off-setting expense in one of the respective operating cost lines within our income statement when we are the primary obligor. These revenues (and cost pass-through items) result in no contribution to operating profit. The largest revenue component that is treated in this manner is the charge from the ILECs, which are recorded in network operations and general and administrative expense. Also our stated accounts payable will decrease as we will no longer be incurring this liability. Therefore, to the extent that departing Sprint end users are concentrated among the base billed for ILEC services through Trinsic, the amount of revenue loss per departing end users will be greater than for departing Sprint end users who are direct billed by the ILEC to Sprint. The direct impact of the loss of Sprint end users upon profitability to Trinsic has no relationship to whether the ILEC bills are passed through Trinsic or direct billed to Sprint.

We intend to migrate the portion of the lines that we serve on a wholesale basis off of our own ILEC service arrangement with Verizon within a reasonable period of time. For the portion that we serve we will experience a decline in reported revenue, although this one event should have no material impact on our operating earnings.

Network Operations

Our network operations expense primarily consists of fixed and variable transmission expenses for the leasing of the UNE-P components from the ILECs, domestic and international charges from service level agreements with IXCs, and the USF and certain other regulatory charges to be consistent with industry practice. The following table shows the break-down by segment of network operations expense:

Table of Contents

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage Segment Revenues | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Network operations expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense, by segment (in millions) | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$21.4 | \$21.7 | 53.1% | 50.0% |
| Wholesale Segment | 5.6 | 9.6 | 53.3% | 45.0% |
| Total Network Operations Expense | \$27.0 | \$31.2 | 53.2% | 49.0% |

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage Segment Revenues | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Network operations expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense, by segment (in millions) | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$44.3 | \$44.3 | 52.6% | 50.0% |
| Wholesale Segment | 12.5 | 21.0 | 52.9% | 47.0% |
| Total Network Operations Expense | \$56.8 | \$65.3 | 52.7% | 49.0% |

The following table shows the break-down by type of network operations expense:

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Network Operations | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Network operations expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization, by type (in millions) | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | \$17.8 | \$18.7 | 65.9% | 60.0% |
| Bundled business services | 3.4 | 2.6 | 12.5% | 8.4% |
| 1+ long distance services | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8% | 1.0% |
| Wholesale services | 5.6 | 9.6 | 20.7% | 30.7% |
| Total | \$27.0 | \$31.2 | 100% | 100% |

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Network Operations | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Network operations expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization, by type (in millions) | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | \$36.9 | \$39.7 | 64.9% | 60.8% |
| Bundled business services | 7.0 | 3.8 | 12.3% | 5.8% |
| 1+ long distance services | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8% | 1.2% |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Wholesale services | 12.5 | 21.0 | 22.0% | 32.1% |
| Total | \$56.8 | \$65.3 | 100% | 100% |

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, network operations expense decreased as compared to the same periods in 2004 for residential, 1+ long distance services and wholesale services primarily because lines in service have also decreased. Network operations expense increased for bundled business services during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004 due to the line growth experienced.

We also analyze the average expense per unit (AEPU) for network operations, similar to the ARPU calculation for revenues. AEPU is calculated by taking total network operations expense over a period divided by the number of months in the period to calculate the average expense per month and this total is divided by the average lines in service during the period. The following

Table of Contents

details AEPU for network operations expense.

| Average network operations expense per unit | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | \$36.16 | \$33.55 | \$34.65 | \$34.29 |
| Bundled business services | \$24.57 | \$22.02 | \$24.87 | \$18.49 |
| 1+ long distance services | \$ 2.11 | \$ 1.79 | \$ 2.13 | \$ 2.15 |

Fluctuations in AEPU are the net result of four offsetting factors: (1) increased usage as a result of our customers choosing our unlimited product which generally results in increased domestic long-distance charges; (2) changes in per line costs as our customer base continues to become more geographically diverse resulting in some changes to the average cost experienced as a result of having more lines in higher or lower priced UNE-P states and zones; (3) our auditing and analysis of network operations and improving the synchronization of our billing systems to help reduce network costs on a per unit basis; and (4) changes in ILEC rates.

We expect network operations expense to increase during the remainder of 2005 due to rate increases that are associated with the FCC's recent UNE-P ruling and due to the agreed upon rates contained within the commercial services agreements that we have with Qwest and Verizon as well as any other rate increases that we may incur by entering into any additional interconnection agreements with other ILECs. We also expect our network operations expense to increase as a result of the associated fixed cost structure that we will incur related to our VoIP offering. Effective January 1, 2005, we have deferred the fee charged to us by the Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILECs) in the activation of our business VoIP customers. This fee and any acquisition revenue received from the customers are being deferred and amortized over the life of each customer's signed contract. At June 30, 2005, unamortized deferred set up fees amounted to \$0.6 million.

Retail Segment

The following table provides a detail of network operations expense as a percentage of revenues by the respective revenue types. This table excludes an analysis of the wholesale services business segment because management does not evaluate this measure, given that network expenses related to wholesale services are intended to be zero-margin direct cost pass-through in nature.

| Network operations expense as a percentage of revenues | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Bundled residential services | 52.2% | 51.8% | 51.6% | 52.2% |
| Bundled business services | 70.2% | 53.6% | 69.4% | 46.5% |
| 1+ long distance services | 15.9% | 16.3% | 16.4% | 20.3% |

- During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, network operations expense related to bundled business services increased as a percentage of revenues as compared to the same prior year periods due to increased usage, increased ILEC rates and changes in geographic distribution of our customer base. 1+ long distance services decreased as a percentage of revenues primarily as a result of our auditing and analysis of network operations.

- During the three months ended June 30, 2005, network operations expense increased as a percentage of revenues as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2004 mostly due to increased ILEC rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same period in 2004, network operations expense decreased as a percentage of revenues because the increase in ILEC rates was offset by reductions to network operations as a result of improved internal operations and processes intended to minimize our network operations costs.

Wholesale Segment

- Network operations expense from the wholesale segment decreased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004. Sprint elected to become the customer of record for billing purposes from the ILECs for a significant portion of their total wholesale lines in service. This caused a decrease in network operations expense which

Table of Contents

was further reduced by the drop in wholesale lines in service for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004.

Sales and Marketing

The sales and marketing expense primarily consists of telemarketing, direct mail, brand awareness advertising and independent sales representative commissions and salaries and benefits paid to employees engaged in sales and marketing activities. The following table shows the break-down by segment of sales and marketing expense:

| Sales & marketing expense by segment (in millions) | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Segment Revenues | |
|--|--|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$3.7 | \$4.9 | 9.2% | 11.4% |
| Wholesale segment | | 0.1 | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| Total Sales & Marketing Expense | \$3.7 | \$4.9 | 7.3% | 7.7% |

| Sales & marketing expense by segment (in millions) | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Segment Revenues | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$8.9 | \$ 9.9 | 10.6% | 11.2% |
| Wholesale segment | | 0.1 | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| Total Sales & Marketing Expense | \$8.9 | \$10.0 | 8.3% | 7.6% |

Retail Segment

- The decrease in sales and marketing expense during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004 was primarily due to commissions paid to independent sales representatives. A portion of the commission payments is directly related to the number of new lines brought in by the sales representatives. Since line counts have decreased, the corresponding commission payments have decreased as well.

Wholesale Segment

We are not actively seeking any new wholesale relationships at this time, therefore we are not currently expending any effort.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense primarily consists of employee salaries and benefits, outsourced services, bad debt expense, billing and collection costs, occupancy costs, legal and provisioning costs. The following table shows the break-down by segment of general and administrative expense:

Table of Contents

| General & administrative expense by segment (in millions) | For the three months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Segment Revenues | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$ 16.7 | \$ 24.3 | 41.3% | 56.7% |
| Wholesale segment | 1.9 | 6.8 | 18.2% | 32.6% |
| Total General & Administrative Expense | \$ 18.6 | \$ 31.1 | 36.6% | 48.8% |

| General & administrative expense by segment (in millions) | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage of Segment Revenues | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Retail Segment | \$ 36.8 | \$ 51.3 | 43.6% | 58.2% |
| Wholesale segment | 4.3 | 13.8 | 18.2% | 31.3% |
| Total General & Administrative Expense | \$ 41.1 | \$ 65.1 | 38.1% | 49.2% |

- The decrease in general and administrative expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004 is explained by decreases in payroll and payroll related expenses, contract development, legal expenses, billing, collections, professional and consulting fees and ILEC setup fees to establish service for new customers. These decreases were slightly offset by increases in bad debt expense and ILEC performance credits.

We have improved our operating costs and overall operations, which has contributed to improved per line administrative cost factors. We anticipate general and administrative expenditures will decrease in total into the future as management continues to rationalize operating costs. However, this will be offset to some extent by our expected increase in VoIP during 2005. We will continue to evaluate our operations for efficiencies and our employee staffing requirements as they relate to increased efficiencies or needs to expand or outsource services. We expect to see continued improvements to the reductions of general and administrative expense as a percentage of total reported revenue in 2005 relative to 2004.

Retail Segment

- General and administrative expense decreased \$7.6 million and \$14.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The largest decreases occurred in payroll and payroll related expenses, legal expenses, billing expenses and contract development expenses.
- These decreases were slightly offset by an increase in ILEC performance credits for failure to meet certain service levels and an increase in bad debt expense due to the \$2.5 million reserve that we booked against the SipStorm note, as mentioned in footnote 8 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Related Party Transactions.

Included in the retail services general and administrative expense are all employee benefits expenses, occupancy, insurance, and other indirect or overhead-related expenses as only direct costs are recorded within our wholesale services business segment.

Wholesale Segment

- The decrease in general and administrative expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004 is a direct result of decreased wholesale lines in service. The expense line items that decreased most significantly are payroll and payroll related expenses, billing expenses,

outsourced collection expenses and ILEC setup fees to establish service for new customers.

We expect to steadily decrease headcount related to supporting the subscriber lines of our existing wholesale customers. We may transfer existing employees from our wholesale services business to our retail services unit. We have not recorded any bad debt expense for our wholesale services and have not had any material write-offs of receivables. We will continue to monitor our wholesale services and determine the appropriate bad debt methodology based on each individual wholesale contract, but we do not expect any material changes to our current approach.

Depreciation and Amortization

Table of Contents

- Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$2.1 million and \$3.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The decrease was the result of decreased capital spending, asset disposals and an increase in the number of assets which became fully depreciated during the later part of 2004 and the first six months of 2005.

Interest and Other Income

- Interest and other income primarily consists of interest charged to our bundled residential and business customers for not paying their bills on time and income from interest earned on our cash balances.
- During the six months ended June 30, 2005, interest and other income also includes \$6 million of lawsuit proceeds from our settlement with SBC Communications, Inc. and several of its subsidiaries, as described in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Interest and Other Expense

Interest and other expense includes late fees for vendor payments, interest related to the asset based loan with Textron and our standby credit facility, capital leases and our other debt obligations.

- The decrease in interest and other expense during the three months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same period in 2004 was primarily attributable to the termination of our asset based loan with Textron on May 6, 2005.
- During the six months ended June 30, 2005, our interest and other expense increased as compared to the same period in 2004. This was primarily attributable to our standby credit facility and an increase in late fees for vendor payments.

Net Loss Attributable to Common Stockholders

- Net loss attributable to common stockholders decreased by \$11.5 million and \$26.8 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2004. In addition to the decreases in our operating losses and the income from the legal settlement discussed above, an additional \$3.7 million and \$8.1 million for the three and six months, respectively, was attributable to the preferred stock conversion that occurred at the end of 2004.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business is dependent on, among other things, the company's ability to operate profitably, to generate cash flow from operations and to obtain funding adequate to fund its business.

We have a limited operating history and our operations are subject to material risks and uncertainties, particularly related to the evolution of the regulatory environment, which impacts our access to and cost of the network elements that we utilize to provide services to our customers.

We have incurred significant losses since our inception as a result of developing our business, performing ongoing research and development, building and maintaining our network infrastructure and technology, the sale and promotion of our services, and ongoing administrative expenditures. As of June 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$412.7 million and \$0.5 million in cash and cash equivalents. We have funded our expenditures primarily through operating revenues, private securities offerings, various working capital facilities, our standby credit facility and an initial public offering.

The company's inability to operate profitably and to consistently generate cash flows from operations, its reliance therefore on external funding either from loans or equity raise substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.5 million as compared to \$9.2 million used in operating activities in the prior year period.

In April 2004, the company secured an asset based loan facility with Textron Financial Corporation (Textron), which provided up to \$25 million to fund operations. Effective January 27, 2005, we entered into a Modification and Termination Agreement with Textron. Among other things the Modification and Termination Agreement provided that Textron would forbear from exercising default rights and remedies until May 31, 2005, would waive the early termination fee and modify the annual facility fee. We agreed to pay a modification fee of \$150,000.

On April 4, 2005, we entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Thermo Credit, LLC (Thermo). The agreement

Table of Contents

provides for the sale of up to \$22 million of our accounts receivable on a continuous basis to Thermo, subject to selection criteria as defined in the contract. On May 6, 2005, we used proceeds from this accounts receivable financing facility to pay off our loan balance with Textron.

By letter dated May 6, 2005, the Nasdaq Stock Market notified us that the market value of our common stock remained below the minimum of \$35 million required by Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(2)(B)(ii) and accordingly our shares would be delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market at the opening of business on May 17, 2005. We appealed the decision and presented a definitive plan for regaining compliance to a hearing panel. A Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Hearing Panel granted the company a temporary exception from these requirements subject to the following conditions:

(1) The company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 must evidence pro forma stockholders' equity of at least \$2,500,000 at June 30, 2005.

(2) The company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 must report actual stockholders' equity at September 30, 2005 of at least \$2,500,000.

(3) On or before September 30, 2005, the company must evidence a closing bid price of at least \$1.00 per share and immediately thereafter a closing bid price of at least \$1.00 per share for a minimum of 10 consecutive trading days.

If we meet the terms of the exception, our shares will continue to be listed on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market.

Commencing Friday, July 28, 2005 and for the duration of the exception, the trading symbol for our shares will be

TRINC. The C will be removed from the symbol upon confirmation of the company's compliance with the terms of the exception and all other criteria for continued listing

Our net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$3.1 million to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$4.7 million the prior year period. The reduction was attributable to the purchasing of less property and equipment during the six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to the same period in the prior year.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.3 million as compared to \$10.1 million for the prior year period. This change is the result of using proceeds from our accounts receivable financing facility to payoff our asset based loan with Textron.

DEBT INSTRUMENTS**Working Capital Financing**

On April 4, 2005, we entered into an accounts receivable financing agreement with Thermo Credit, LLC (Thermo) to replace our Textron credit facility. The agreement provides for the sale of up to \$22 million of our accounts receivable on a continuous basis to Thermo, subject to selection criteria as defined in the contract. The discount rate is 2.5%. Purchase of the receivables is at the option of Thermo. On May 6, 2005, we used proceeds from this accounts receivable financing facility to pay off our loan balance with Textron.

ILEC, IXC AND RELATED DISPUTED CHARGES

Since our existence we have disputed and continue to dispute significant charges from the various ILECs, IXCs, and certain other carriers providing us services. We have a policy of treating all charges that we believe are without merit, but are still being presented on a bill to us as disputes, regardless of the age of the dispute. We believe that the majority of these disputes have significant merit; however, we accrue the amount of the charges we believe represent valid charges against us. Our outstanding disputes at June 30, 2005 totaled \$17.8 million and are best summarized in the following table:

| | Outstanding Disputes at June 30, 2005 (in millions) |
|---|--|
| Alternatively billed services | \$ 6.0 |
| Late fees for non-payment of disputed charges | 5.3 |
| Billing errors | 4.8 |
| All others | 1.8 |

\$ 17.8

Alternatively billed services are primarily charges for certain 1-800, collect and information service calls. These disputes are largely historic in nature. We settled certain of these disputes in Texas with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company. We remit all monies collected associated with these services but do not pay the charges unless we collect from our customers. We believe that our liability related to these charges should be capped at the amount remitted by our end users; however, some of our settlements have included payments in excess of payments from our customers.

Table of Contents

The late fees are accumulating from all of our disputes as we do not pay for disputed items and therefore incur and accumulate late fees for these disputed billings.

We believe that we have adequately accrued for our disputes and we believe our maximum exposure for these charges is \$17.8 million. However, we do not believe that these charges are valid and intend to continue our dispute and non-payment of these charges.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On September 29, 2004, we signed an agreement with SipStorm, Inc., a company owned by two of our shareholders and former officers of our company; we transferred selected computer hardware, software and intellectual property rights to SipStorm. Relative to the purchase, SipStorm assumed responsibility for certain accounts payable, future maintenance payments and provided a promissory note in the amount of \$2.8 million. The promissory note was settled for \$250,000 during the second quarter of 2005. The note was collateralized by shares of our common stock owned by the directors of SipStorm and \$250,000 reflected its estimated realizable value at the time of the settlement.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes and do not currently utilize derivative financial instruments. Our operations are conducted primarily in the United States and as such are not subject to material foreign currency exchange rate risk.

The fair value of our investment portfolio or related income would not be significantly impacted by either a 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates due mainly to the short-term nature of the major portion of our investment portfolio.

We have no material future earnings or cash flow exposures from changes in interest rates on our long-term debt obligations, as substantially all of our long-term debt obligations are fixed rate obligations.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that material information related to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in accordance with SEC rules and forms. Our management, with the participation of Chief Executive Officer (and former Chief Financial Officer), Horace J. Davis, III and Acting Chief Financial Officer, J. Michael Morgan, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, Messrs. Davis and Morgan have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in causing material information to be recorded, processed, summarized, and reported so as to ensure the quality and timeliness of our public disclosures in compliance with SEC rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We also maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets and (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

1. Master File Number 21 MC 92; In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation., in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (filed June 7, 2001)

During June and July 2001, three separate class action lawsuits were filed against us, certain of our current and former directors and officers (the D&Os) and firms engaged in the underwriting (the Underwriters) of our initial public

offering of stock (the IPO).

Table of Contents

The lawsuits, along with approximately 310 other similar lawsuits filed against other issuers arising out of initial public offering allocations, have been assigned to a Judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for pretrial coordination. The lawsuits against us have been consolidated into a single action. A consolidated amended complaint was filed on April 20, 2002. A Second Corrected Amended Complaint (the Amended Complaint), which is the operative complaint, was filed on July 12, 2002.

The Amended Complaint is based on the allegations that our registration statement on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in connection with the IPO, contained untrue statements of material fact and omitted to state facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading by failing to disclose that the underwriters allegedly had received additional, excessive and undisclosed commissions from, and allegedly had entered into unlawful tie-in and other arrangements with, certain customers to whom they allocated shares in the IPO. The plaintiffs in the Amended Complaint assert claims against us and the D&Os pursuant to Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated by the SEC there under. The plaintiffs in the Amended Complaint assert claims against the D&Os pursuant to Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated by the SEC there under. The plaintiffs seek an undisclosed amount of damages, as well as pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs and expenses, including attorneys fees, experts fees and other costs and disbursements. We believe we are entitled to indemnification from our Underwriters.

A settlement has been reached by the respective lawyers for plaintiffs, the issuers and insurers of the issuers. The principal terms of the proposed settlement are (i) a release of all claims against the issuers and their officers and directors, (ii) the assignment by the issuers to the plaintiffs of certain claims the issuers may have against the Underwriters and (iii) an undertaking by the insurers to ensure the plaintiffs receive not less than \$1 billion in connection with claims against the Underwriters. Hence, under the terms of the proposed settlement our financial obligations will likely be covered by insurance. The court has given preliminary approval of the settlement subject to certain modifications. A revised settlement agreement has been submitted to the court. To be binding, the settlement must be executed by the parties and thereafter submitted to and approved by the court. The settlement will not be binding upon any plaintiffs electing to opt-out of the settlement.

2. C.A. No. 04CH07882, Susan Schad, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, v. Z-Tel Communications, Inc., In the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Illinois County Department, Chancery Division, filed May 13, 2004;

Susan Schad, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, filed a class action lawsuit against Trinsic Communications, Inc. (formerly known as Z-Tel Communications, Inc.), our wholly-owned subsidiary corporation, on May 13, 2004. The lawsuit alleges that our subsidiary has engaged in a pattern and practice of deceiving consumers into paying amounts in excess of their monthly rates by deceptively labeling certain line-item charges as government-mandated taxes or fees when in fact they were not. The complaint seeks to certify a class of plaintiffs consisting of all persons or entities who contracted with Trinsic for telecommunications services and were billed for particular taxes or regulatory fees. The complaint asserts a claim under the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Businesses Practices Act and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys fees and court costs. On June 22, 2004, we filed a notice of removal in the state circuit court action, removing the case to the federal district court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, C.A. No. 4 C 4187. On July 26, 2004, Plaintiff filed a motion to remand the case to the state circuit court. On January 12, 2005, the federal court granted the motion and remanded the case to the state court. Although we believe the plaintiff s allegations are without merit and intend to defend the lawsuit vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of this litigation with any certainty.

3. Case. No. 0410453, Wilder Corporation of Delaware, Inc. v. Trinsic Communications, Inc., In the Circuit Court of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida, Civil Division, Division G, filed November 19, 2004

On November 19, 2004, the landlord of our principal Tampa, Florida facility sued us a seeking a declaration of its rights and obligations under the lease and damages for breach of contract. We assert that the landlord has failed to provide certain services in accordance with the lease, including maintenance of air conditioning and emergency electrical generating systems crucial to our operations. We have taken steps necessary to provide this maintenance and

have offset the costs of these measures against the rent, which we believe we are entitled to do under the lease. Thus far we have withheld approximately \$180,000. We also believe we are entitled to reimbursement from the landlord for approximately \$23,000 in costs associated with improvements to the leased space.

4. Case. No. 0410441, Beneficial Management Corporation of America. v. Trinsic Communications, Inc., In the Circuit Court of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida, Civil Division, Division F, filed November 19, 2004

On November 19, 2004, a provider of parking spaces for our Tampa facilities sued us for parking fees in excess of \$334,300. Pursuant to our lease we are entitled to a number of free spaces and we are obligated to pay for additional usage of parking spaces. We believe the provider has substantially overstated our use of the spaces. We expect to resolve this dispute.

5. Case. No. 05-3845, Trinsic Marketing International, Inc. v. Trinsic Communications, Inc., In the Circuit Court in and for Hillsborough County, Florida, Civil Division, Division K, filed April 28, 2005

Table of Contents

Trident Marketing International, Inc. performed telemarketing services for us. On April 28, 2005, they sued us claiming we owed them compensation of approximately \$836,458, plus additional damages of \$865,448 relating to early termination of their services. We settled this dispute on June 14, 2005. Among other things, we agreed to pay a total of \$500,000 over a three-month period. In addition we will continue to pay to Trident residual compensation for accounts previously generated by Trident.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

**EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

DESCRIPTION

- | | |
|------|---|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Trinsic, Inc. as amended. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed April 15, 2005. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Trinsic, as amended. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004 filed November 15, 2004. |
| 4.1 | Form of Common Stock Certificate. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed April 15, 2005. |
| 4.2 | See Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 of this Form for provisions of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, and our Bylaws, as amended, defining rights of security holders. |
| 4.6 | Form of Warrant for the purchase of shares of our common stock by each of the purchasers of our Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000, filed on August 14, 2000. |
| 4.7 | Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated October 19, 2000, by and among us and The 1818 Fund III, L.P. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000, filed on November 14, 2000. |
| 4.9 | Registration Rights Agreement between and among us and The 1818 Fund III, L.P. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000, filed on November 14, 2000. |
| 4.10 | Warrant issued to The 1818 Fund III, L.P. for the purchase of shares of our common stock. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000, filed on November 14, 2000. |
| 4.11 | Certificate of Designation of Series F Junior Participating Preferred Stock. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 30, 2001. |
| 4.12 | Rights Agreement dated as of February 19, 2001 between Z-Tel Technologies, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent, as amended July 2, 2001. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 |

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- 4.13 Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement dated as of November 19, 2004 between Z-Tel Technologies, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our registration statement on form 8-A/A filed on December 6, 2004.
- 4.14 Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreement dated as of July 19, 2005, between Trinsic, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our registration statement on form 8-A/A filed on July 21, 2005.
- 4.15 Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2001, by and between us, D. Gregory Smith, and others. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to Amendment No. 1 of the Schedule 13D filed July 12, 2001 with respect to our common stock by, among other persons, The 1818 Fund III, L.P.
- 4.16 Warrant for the Purchase of Shares of Common Stock of Trinsic, dated as of July 2, 2001. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to Amendment No. 1 of the Schedule 13D filed July 12, 2001 with respect to our common stock by,

Table of Contents

| EXHIBIT NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
|---------------------------|--|
| | among other persons, The 1818 Fund III, L.P. |
| 4.17 | Backup Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2001, by and among Z-Tel Communications, Inc., a Delaware corporation and our wholly owned subsidiary, Touch 1 Communications, Inc., an Alabama corporation and our wholly owned subsidiary, D. Gregory Smith and others. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Amendment No. 1 of the Schedule 13D filed July 12, 2001 with respect to our common stock by, among other persons, The 1818 Fund III, L.P. |
| 4.18 | Additional Investor Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2001, between Z-Tel, D. Gregory Smith and others. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5 to the Schedule 13D filed July 24, 2001 with respect to our common stock by, among other persons, D. Gregory Smith. |
| 4.19 | Voting Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2001, between us and certain of our stockholders. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5 to Amendment No. 1 of the Schedule 13D filed July 12, 2001 with respect to our common stock by, among other persons, The 1818 Fund III, L.P. |
| 4.20 | Exchange and Purchase Agreement dated July 15, 2005 between Trinsic, Inc. and The 1818 Fund III, L.P. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to our Form 8-K filed July 20, 2005 |
| 4.21 | Certificate of Designation of Convertible Preferred Stock, Series H. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to our Form 8-K filed July 20, 2005. |
| 10.1.1 | Standby Credit Facility Agreement, dated August 24, 2004, by and among Trinsic, Inc. and The 1818 Fund III, L.P. Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on August 26, 2004 |
| 10.1.2 | Amendment No. 1, dated May 24, 2005, to Standby Credit Facility Agreement, dated August 24, 2004 by and between Trinsic, Inc. and The 1818 Fund III, L.P. |
| 10.1.3 | Amended and Restated Senior Unsecured Promissory Note dated August 24, 2004 from Trinsic, Inc. to The 1818 Fund III, L.P. |
| 10.2.1 | 1998 Equity Participation Plan. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-89063), originally filed October 14, 1999, as amended and as effective December 14, 1999. |
| 10.2.2 | 2000 Equity Participation Plan, as amended. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed April 15, 2005. |
| 10.2.3 | 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed May 8, 2005. |
| 10.3 | Loan and Security Agreement, dated April 22, 2004, by and between Textron Financial Corporation and Z-Tel. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-116747), originally filed June 22, 2004, as amended and as effective July 15, 2004. |
| 10.4 | |

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Receivables Sales Agreement dated as of July 27, 2000 by and between Z-Tel Communications, Inc., as seller and subservicer, Touch 1 Communications, Inc., as seller and subservicer, and RFC Capital Corporation, as purchaser. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000, filed on August 14, 2000, with an amendment extending the agreement until July 27, 2004.

- 10.5 Form of Indemnification Agreement for our executive officers and directors. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 30, 2001.
- 10.6 Employment Agreement of Horace J. Trey Davis III, dated August 14, 2002. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, filed on May 17, 2004. By amendment dated October 5, 2004, the annual salary was increased to \$300,000. The amendment is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed October 12, 2004.
- 10.7 Modification and Termination Agreement dated January 27, 2005 with Textron Financial Corporation modifying our Loan and Security Agreement dated April 22, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K filed

Table of Contents

**EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

DESCRIPTION

| | |
|-------|---|
| | February 2, 2005. |
| 10.8 | Asset Sale and Purchase Agreement dated September 29, 2004, between and among Sipstorm, Inc. and us. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to October 6, 2004. |
| 10.11 | Promissory Note, dated September 10, 1999, from Touch 1 Communications, Inc. to William F. Corman (First Revocable Trust). Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 30, 2001. |
| 10.12 | Promissory Note, dated September 10, 1999, from Touch 1 Communications, Inc. to James F. Corman. Incorporated by reference to the correspondingly numbered exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 30, 2001. |
| 10.13 | Agreement for Resale of Local Wireline Telecommunications Services and Provision of Ancillary Services, dated February 4, 2003, between Z-Tel Communications Inc. and Sprint Communications L.P. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed March 30, 2004. Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment |
| 10.14 | Receivables Sales Agreement, dated as of March 28, 2005, by and between Trinsic Communications Inc. and Touch 1 Communications s Inc., collectively as Seller and Subservicer, and Thermo Credit, LLC, as Purchaser and Master Servicer. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our o Form 8-K filed i April 5, 2005. |
| 31.1 | Certification , of the Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350 |
| 32.2 | Written Statement of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, as of the 15th day of August 2005.

TRINSIC, INC.

By: */s/ HORACE J. DAVIS, III*
Horace J. Davis, III
Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ J. MICHAEL MORGAN*
J. Michael Morgan
Acting Chief Financial Officer

Edgar Filing: Trinsic, Inc. - Form 10-Q

A signed original of this report has been provided to Trinsic, Inc. and will be retained by the Trinsic, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

27